

Compositional properties of crypto-based components

Maria Spichkova

January 11, 2014

Abstract

This paper presents an Isabelle/HOL [?] set of theories which allows to specify crypto-based components and to verify their composition properties wrt. cryptographic aspects. We introduce a formalisation of the security property of data secrecy, the corresponding definitions and proofs. A part of these definitions is based on [?]. Please note that here we import the Isabelle/HOL theory ListExtras.thy, presented in [?].

Contents

1	Auxiliary data types	2
2	Correctness of the relations between sets of Input/Output channels	2
3	Secrecy: Definitions and properties	4
4	Local Secrets of a component	19
5	Knowledge of Keys and Secrets	26

1 Auxiliary data types

```
theory Secrecy-types
imports Main
begin
```

- We assume disjoint sets: Data of data values,
- Secrets of unguessable values, Keys - set of cryptographic keys.
- Based on these sets, we specify the sets EncType of encryptors that may be used for encryption or decryption, and Expression of expression items.
- The specification (component) identifiers should be listed in the set specID,
- the channel indentifiers should be listed in the set chanID.

```
datatype Keys = CKey | CKeyP | SKey | SKeyP | genKey
datatype Secrets = secretD | N | NA
type-synonym Var = nat
type-synonym Data = nat
datatype KS = kKS Keys | sKS Secrets
datatype EncType = kEnc Keys | vEnc Var
datatype specID = sComp1 | sComp2 | sComp3 | sComp4
datatype Expression = kE Keys | sE Secrets | dE Data | idE specID
datatype chanID = ch1 | ch2 | ch3 | ch4
```

```
primrec Expression2KSL:: Expression list  $\Rightarrow$  KS list
where
```

```
Expression2KSL [] = [] |
Expression2KSL (x#xs) =
  ((case x of (kE m)  $\Rightarrow$  [kKS m]
    | (sE m)  $\Rightarrow$  [sKS m]
    | (dE m)  $\Rightarrow$  []
    | (idE m)  $\Rightarrow$  []) @ Expression2KSL xs)
```

```
primrec KS2Expression:: KS  $\Rightarrow$  Expression
where
```

```
KS2Expression (kKS m) = (kE m) |
KS2Expression (sKS m) = (sE m)
```

```
end
```

2 Correctness of the relations between sets of Input/Output channels

```
theory inout
imports Secrecy-types
begin
```

```
consts
  subcomponents :: specID  $\Rightarrow$  specID set
```

— Mappings, defining sets of input, local, and output channels
 — of a component

consts

$ins :: specID \Rightarrow chanID\ set$
 $loc :: specID \Rightarrow chanID\ set$
 $out :: specID \Rightarrow chanID\ set$

— Predicate insuring the correct mapping from the component identifier
 — to the set of input channels of a component

definition

$inStream :: specID \Rightarrow chanID\ set \Rightarrow bool$

where

$inStream\ x\ y \equiv (ins\ x = y)$

— Predicate insuring the correct mapping from the component identifier
 — to the set of local channels of a component

definition

$locStream :: specID \Rightarrow chanID\ set \Rightarrow bool$

where

$locStream\ x\ y \equiv (loc\ x = y)$

— Predicate insuring the correct mapping from the component identifier
 — to the set of output channels of a component

definition

$outStream :: specID \Rightarrow chanID\ set \Rightarrow bool$

where

$outStream\ x\ y \equiv (out\ x = y)$

— Predicate insuring the correct relations between
 — to the set of input, output and local channels of a component

definition

$correctInOutLoc :: specID \Rightarrow bool$

where

$correctInOutLoc\ x \equiv$
 $(ins\ x) \cap (out\ x) = \{\}$
 $\wedge (ins\ x) \cap (loc\ x) = \{\}$
 $\wedge (loc\ x) \cap (out\ x) = \{\}$

— Predicate insuring the correct relations between
 — sets of input channels within a composed component

definition

$correctCompositionIn :: specID \Rightarrow bool$

where

$correctCompositionIn\ x \equiv$
 $(ins\ x) = (\bigcup (ins\ ' (subcomponents\ x)) - (loc\ x))$
 $\wedge (ins\ x) \cap (\bigcup (out\ ' (subcomponents\ x))) = \{\}$

— Predicate insuring the correct relations between

— sets of output channels within a composed component

definition

$correctCompositionOut :: specID \Rightarrow bool$

where

$correctCompositionOut\ x \equiv$

$(out\ x) = (\bigcup (out\ ' (subcomponents\ x)) - (loc\ x))$

$\wedge (out\ x) \cap (\bigcup (ins\ ' (subcomponents\ x))) = \{\}$

— Predicate insuring the correct relations between

— sets of local channels within a composed component

definition

$correctCompositionLoc :: specID \Rightarrow bool$

where

$correctCompositionLoc\ x \equiv$

$(loc\ x) = \bigcup (ins\ ' (subcomponents\ x))$

$\cap \bigcup (out\ ' (subcomponents\ x))$

— If a component is an elementary one (has no subcomponents)

— its set of local channels should be empty

lemma *subcomponents-loc*:

assumes $correctCompositionLoc\ x$

and $subcomponents\ x = \{\}$

shows $loc\ x = \{\}$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionLoc-def*)

end

3 Secrecy: Definitions and properties

theory *Secrecy*

imports *Secrecy-types inout ListExtras*

begin

— Encryption, decryption, signature creation and signature verification functions

— For these functions we define only their signatures and general axioms,

— because in order to reason effectively, we view them as abstract functions and

— abstract from their implementation details

consts

$Enc :: Keys \Rightarrow Expression\ list \Rightarrow Expression\ list$

$Decr :: Keys \Rightarrow Expression\ list \Rightarrow Expression\ list$

$Sign :: Keys \Rightarrow Expression\ list \Rightarrow Expression\ list$

$Ext :: Keys \Rightarrow Expression\ list \Rightarrow Expression\ list$

— Axioms on relations between encryption and decryption keys

axiomatization

$EncrDecrKeys :: Keys \Rightarrow Keys \Rightarrow bool$

where

ExtSign:

$EncrDecrKeys\ K1\ K2 \longrightarrow (Ext\ K1\ (Sign\ K2\ E)) = E$ **and**

DecrEnc:

$$\text{EncrDecrKeys } K1 \ K2 \longrightarrow (\text{Decr } K2 \ (\text{Enc } K1 \ E)) = E$$

— Set of private keys of a component

consts

$$\text{specKeys} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{Keys set}$$

— Set of unguessable values used by a component

consts

$$\text{specSecrets} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{Secrets set}$$

— Join set of private keys and unguessable values used by a component

definition

$$\text{specKeysSecrets} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{KS set}$$

where

$$\text{specKeysSecrets } C \equiv$$

$$\{y . \exists x. y = (kKS \ x) \ \wedge \ (x \in (\text{specKeys } C))\} \cup \\ \{z . \exists s. z = (sKS \ s) \ \wedge \ (s \in (\text{specSecrets } C))\}$$

— Predicate defining that a list of expression items does not contain

— any private key or unguessable value used by a component

definition

$$\text{notSpecKeysSecretsExpr} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{Expression list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\text{notSpecKeysSecretsExpr } P \ e \equiv$$

$$(\forall x. (kE \ x) \ \text{mem } e \longrightarrow (kKS \ x) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P) \ \wedge \\ (\forall y. (sE \ y) \ \text{mem } e \longrightarrow (sKS \ y) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P)$$

— If a component is a composite one, the set of its private keys

— is a union of the subcomponents' sets of the private keys

definition

$$\text{correctCompositionKeys} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\text{correctCompositionKeys } x \equiv$$

$$\text{subcomponents } x \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \\ \text{specKeys } x = \bigcup (\text{specKeys } ' (\text{subcomponents } x))$$

— If a component is a composite one, the set of its unguessable values

— is a union of the subcomponents' sets of the unguessable values

definition

$$\text{correctCompositionSecrets} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\text{correctCompositionSecrets } x \equiv$$

$$\text{subcomponents } x \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \\ \text{specSecrets } x = \bigcup (\text{specSecrets } ' (\text{subcomponents } x))$$

— If a component is a composite one, the set of its private keys and

— unguessable values is a union of the corresponding sets of its subcomponents

definition

$$\text{correctCompositionKS} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$correctCompositionKS\ x \equiv$
 $subcomponents\ x \neq \{\} \longrightarrow$
 $specKeysSecrets\ x = \bigcup (specKeysSecrets\ ' (subcomponents\ x))$

- Predicate defining set of correctness properties of the component's
- interface and relations on its private keys and unguessable values

definition

$correctComponentSecrecy :: specID \Rightarrow bool$

where

$correctComponentSecrecy\ x \equiv$
 $correctCompositionKS\ x \wedge$
 $correctCompositionSecrets\ x \wedge$
 $correctCompositionKeys\ x \wedge$
 $correctCompositionLoc\ x \wedge$
 $correctCompositionIn\ x \wedge$
 $correctCompositionOut\ x \wedge$
 $correctInOutLoc\ x$

- Predicate $exprChannel\ I\ E$ defines whether the expression item E can be sent via the channel I

consts

$exprChannel :: chanID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool$

- Predicate $eoutM\ sP\ M\ E$ defines whether the component sP may eventually
- output an expression E if there exists a time interval t of
- an output channel which contains this expression E

definition

$eout :: specID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool$

where

$eout\ sP\ E \equiv$
 $\exists (ch :: chanID). ((ch \in (out\ sP)) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E))$

- Predicate $eout\ sP\ E$ defines whether the component sP may eventually
- output an expression E via subset of channels M ,
- which is a subset of output channels of sP ,
- and if there exists a time interval t of
- an output channel which contains this expression E

definition

$eoutM :: specID \Rightarrow chanID\ set \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool$

where

$eoutM\ sP\ M\ E \equiv$
 $\exists (ch :: chanID). ((ch \in (out\ sP)) \wedge (ch \in M) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E))$

- Predicate $ineM\ sP\ M\ E$ defines whether a component sP may eventually
- get an expression E if there exists a time interval t of
- an input stream which contains this expression E

definition

$ine :: specID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ine } sP \ E &\equiv \\ &\exists (ch :: \text{chanID}). ((ch \in (\text{ins } sP)) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } ch \ E)) \end{aligned}$$

- Predicate $\text{ine } sP \ E$ defines whether a component sP may eventually
- get an expression E via subset of channels M ,
- which is a subset of input channels of sP ,
- and if there exists a time interval t of
- an input stream which contains this expression E

definition

$$\text{ineM } sP \ M \ E :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{chanID set} \Rightarrow \text{Expression} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ineM } sP \ M \ E &\equiv \\ &\exists (ch :: \text{chanID}). ((ch \in (\text{ins } sP)) \wedge (ch \in M) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } ch \ E)) \end{aligned}$$

- This predicate defines whether an input channel ch of a component sP
- is the only one input channel of this component
- via which it may eventually output an expression E

definition

$$\text{out-exprChannelSingle } sP \ ch \ E :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{chanID} \Rightarrow \text{Expression} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{out-exprChannelSingle } sP \ ch \ E &\equiv \\ &(ch \in (\text{out } sP)) \wedge \\ &(\text{exprChannel } ch \ E) \wedge \\ &(\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). (t :: \text{nat}). ((x \in (\text{out } sP)) \wedge (x \neq ch) \longrightarrow \neg \text{exprChannel } x \ E)) \end{aligned}$$

- This predicate yields true if only the channels from the set $chSet$,
- which is a subset of input channels of the component sP ,
- may eventually output an expression E

definition

$$\text{out-exprChannelSet } sP \ chSet \ E :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{chanID set} \Rightarrow \text{Expression} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{out-exprChannelSet } sP \ chSet \ E &\equiv \\ &((\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in chSet) \longrightarrow ((x \in (\text{out } sP)) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } x \ E)))) \\ &\wedge \\ &(\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \notin chSet) \wedge (x \in (\text{out } sP)) \longrightarrow \neg \text{exprChannel } x \ E))) \end{aligned}$$

- This predicate defines whether
- an input channel ch of a component sP is the only one input channel
- of this component via which it may eventually get an expression E

definition

$$\text{ine-exprChannelSingle } sP \ ch \ E :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{chanID} \Rightarrow \text{Expression} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ine-exprChannelSingle } sP \ ch \ E &\equiv \\ &(ch \in (\text{ins } sP)) \wedge \\ &(\text{exprChannel } ch \ E) \wedge \\ &(\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). (t :: \text{nat}). ((x \in (\text{ins } sP)) \wedge (x \neq ch) \longrightarrow \neg \text{exprChannel } x \ E)) \end{aligned}$$

- This predicate yields true if the component sP may eventually
- get an expression E only via the channels from the set chSet,
- which is a subset of input channels of sP

definition

ine-exprChannelSet :: *specID* \Rightarrow *chanID set* \Rightarrow *Expression* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

ine-exprChannelSet sP chSet E \equiv
 $((\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in \text{chSet}) \longrightarrow ((x \in (\text{ins } sP)) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } x E))))$
 \wedge
 $(\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \notin \text{chSet}) \wedge (x \in (\text{ins } sP)) \longrightarrow \neg \text{exprChannel } x E)))$

- If a list of expression items does not contain any private key
- or unguessable value of a component P, then the first element
- of the list is neither a private key nor unguessable value of P

lemma *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-L1*:

assumes *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr* P (a # l)

shows *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr* P [a]

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def*)

- If a list of expression items does not contain any private key
- or unguessable value of a component P, then this list without its first
- element does not contain them too

lemma *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-L2*:

assumes *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr* P (a # l)

shows *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr* P l

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def*)

- If a channel belongs to the set of input channels of a component P
- and does not belong to the set of local channels of the composition of P and Q
- then it belongs to the set of input channels of this composition

lemma *correctCompositionIn-L1*:

assumes *subcomponents* PQ = {P, Q}

and *correctCompositionIn* PQ

and *ch* \notin *loc* PQ

and *ch* \in *ins* P

shows *ch* \in *ins* PQ

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionIn-def*)

- If a channel belongs to the set of input channels of the composition of P and Q
- then it belongs to the set of input channels either of P or of Q

lemma *correctCompositionIn-L2*:

assumes *subcomponents* PQ = {P, Q}

and *correctCompositionIn* PQ

and *ch* \in *ins* PQ

shows (*ch* \in *ins* P) \vee (*ch* \in *ins* Q)

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionIn-def*)

lemma *ineM-L1*:

assumes *ch* \in *M*

and $ch \in ins\ P$
and $exprChannel\ ch\ E$
shows $ineM\ P\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ineM-def*, *blast*)

lemma *ineM-ine*:
assumes $ineM\ P\ M\ E$
shows $ine\ P\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ineM-def* *ine-def*, *blast*)

lemma *not-ine-ineM*:
assumes $\neg\ ine\ P\ E$
shows $\neg\ ineM\ P\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ineM-def* *ine-def*)

lemma *eoutM-eout*:
assumes $eoutM\ P\ M\ E$
shows $eout\ P\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *eoutM-def* *eout-def*, *blast*)

lemma *not-eout-eoutM*:
assumes $\neg\ eout\ P\ E$
shows $\neg\ eoutM\ P\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *eoutM-def* *eout-def*)

lemma *correctCompositionKeys-subcomp1*:
assumes *correctCompositionKeys* C
and $x \in subcomponents\ C$
and $xb \in specKeys\ C$
shows $\exists\ x \in subcomponents\ C. (xb \in specKeys\ x)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionKeys-def*, *auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionSecrets-subcomp1*:
assumes *correctCompositionSecrets* C
and $x \in subcomponents\ C$
and $s \in specSecrets\ C$
shows $\exists\ x \in subcomponents\ C. (s \in specSecrets\ x)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionSecrets-def*, *auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionKeys-subcomp2*:
assumes *correctCompositionKeys* C
and $xb \in subcomponents\ C$
and $xc \in specKeys\ xb$
shows $xc \in specKeys\ C$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionKeys-def*, *auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionSecrets-subcomp2*:
assumes *correctCompositionSecrets* C
and $xb \in subcomponents\ C$

and $xc \in \text{specSecrets } xb$
 shows $xc \in \text{specSecrets } C$
 using *assms* by (simp add: correctCompositionSecrets-def, auto)

lemma correctCompKS-Keys:
 assumes correctCompositionKS C
 shows correctCompositionKeys C
proof (cases subcomponents $C = \{\}$)
 assume subcomponents $C = \{\}$
 from this and *assms* show ?thesis
 by (simp add: correctCompositionKeys-def)
 next
 assume subcomponents $C \neq \{\}$
 from this and *assms* show ?thesis
 by (simp add: correctCompositionKS-def
 correctCompositionKeys-def
 specKeysSecrets-def, blast)
 qed

lemma correctCompKS-Secrets:
 assumes correctCompositionKS C
 shows correctCompositionSecrets C
proof (cases subcomponents $C = \{\}$)
 assume subcomponents $C = \{\}$
 from this and *assms* show ?thesis
 by (simp add: correctCompositionSecrets-def)
 next
 assume subcomponents $C \neq \{\}$
 from this and *assms* show ?thesis
 by (simp add: correctCompositionKS-def
 correctCompositionSecrets-def
 specKeysSecrets-def, blast)
 qed

lemma correctCompKS-KeysSecrets:
 assumes correctCompositionKeys C
 and correctCompositionSecrets C
 shows correctCompositionKS C
proof (cases subcomponents $C = \{\}$)
 assume subcomponents $C = \{\}$
 from this and *assms* show ?thesis
 by (simp add: correctCompositionKS-def)
 next
 assume subcomponents $C \neq \{\}$
 from this and *assms* show ?thesis
 by (simp add: correctCompositionKS-def
 correctCompositionKeys-def
 correctCompositionSecrets-def
 specKeysSecrets-def, blast)

qed

lemma *correctCompositionKS-subcomp1*:
assumes *h1:correctCompositionKS C*
 and *h2:x ∈ subcomponents C*
 and *h3:xa ∈ specKeys C*
shows $\exists y \in \text{subcomponents } C. (xa \in \text{specKeys } y)$
proof (cases *subcomponents C = {}*)
 assume *subcomponents C = {}*
 from *this* and *h2* **show** ?thesis **by** *simp*
next
 assume *subcomponents C ≠ {}*
 from *this* and *assms* **show** ?thesis
 by (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def specKeysSecrets-def, blast*)
qed

lemma *correctCompositionKS-subcomp2*:
assumes *h1:correctCompositionKS C*
 and *h2:x ∈ subcomponents C*
 and *h3:xa ∈ specSecrets C*
shows $\exists y \in \text{subcomponents } C. xa \in \text{specSecrets } y$
proof (cases *subcomponents C = {}*)
 assume *subcomponents C = {}*
 from *this* and *h2* **show** ?thesis **by** *simp*
next
 assume *subcomponents C ≠ {}*
 from *this* and *assms* **show** ?thesis
 by (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def specKeysSecrets-def, blast*)
qed

lemma *correctCompositionKS-subcomp3*:
assumes *correctCompositionKS C*
 and *x ∈ subcomponents C*
 and *xa ∈ specKeys x*
shows *xa ∈ specKeys C*
using *assms*
by (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def specKeysSecrets-def, auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-subcomp4*:
assumes *correctCompositionKS C*
 and *x ∈ subcomponents C*
 and *xa ∈ specSecrets x*
shows *xa ∈ specSecrets C*
using *assms*
by (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def specKeysSecrets-def, auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-PQ*:
assumes *subcomponents PQ = {P, Q}*
 and *correctCompositionKS PQ*

and $ks \in \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
shows $ks \in \text{specKeysSecrets } P \vee ks \in \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-neg1*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
and $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
shows $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-negP*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
shows $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-negQ*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
shows $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def*)

lemma *out-exprChannelSingle-Set*:
assumes *out-exprChannelSingle* $P \text{ } ch \text{ } E$
shows *out-exprChannelSet* $P \{ch\} E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: out-exprChannelSingle-def out-exprChannelSet-def*)

lemma *out-exprChannelSet-Single*:
assumes *out-exprChannelSet* $P \{ch\} E$
shows *out-exprChannelSingle* $P \text{ } ch \text{ } E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: out-exprChannelSingle-def out-exprChannelSet-def*)

lemma *ine-exprChannelSingle-Set*:
assumes *ine-exprChannelSingle* $P \text{ } ch \text{ } E$
shows *ine-exprChannelSet* $P \{ch\} E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ine-exprChannelSingle-def ine-exprChannelSet-def*)

lemma *ine-exprChannelSet-Single*:
assumes *ine-exprChannelSet* $P \{ch\} E$
shows *ine-exprChannelSingle* $P \text{ } ch \text{ } E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ine-exprChannelSingle-def ine-exprChannelSet-def*)

```

lemma ine-ins-neg1:
assumes  $\neg \text{ine } P \ m$ 
         and exprChannel  $x \ m$ 
shows  $x \notin \text{ins } P$ 
using assms by (simp add: ine-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem1a:
assumes ine  $PQ \ E$ 
         and subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionIn  $PQ$ 
shows ine  $P \ E \ \vee \ \text{ine } Q \ E$ 
using assms by (simp add: ine-def correctCompositionIn-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem1b:
assumes ineM  $PQ \ M \ E$ 
         and subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionIn  $PQ$ 
shows ineM  $P \ M \ E \ \vee \ \text{ineM } Q \ M \ E$ 
using assms by (simp add: ineM-def correctCompositionIn-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem2a:
assumes eout  $PQ \ E$ 
         and subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionOut  $PQ$ 
shows eout  $P \ E \ \vee \ \text{eout } Q \ E$ 
using assms by (simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem2b:
assumes eoutM  $PQ \ M \ E$ 
         and subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionOut  $PQ$ 
shows eoutM  $P \ M \ E \ \vee \ \text{eoutM } Q \ M \ E$ 
using assms by (simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto)

lemma correctCompositionIn-prop1:
assumes subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionIn  $PQ$ 
         and  $x \in (\text{ins } PQ)$ 
shows  $(x \in (\text{ins } P)) \vee (x \in (\text{ins } Q))$ 
using assms by (simp add: correctCompositionIn-def)

lemma correctCompositionOut-prop1:
assumes subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionOut  $PQ$ 
         and  $x \in (\text{out } PQ)$ 
shows  $(x \in (\text{out } P)) \vee (x \in (\text{out } Q))$ 
using assms by (simp add: correctCompositionOut-def)

```

theorem *TBtheorem3a*:
assumes $\neg (ine\ P\ E)$
and $\neg (ine\ Q\ E)$
and $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $correctCompositionIn\ PQ$
shows $\neg (ine\ PQ\ E)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: ine-def correctCompositionIn-def, auto*)

theorem *TBlemma3b*:
assumes $h1:\neg (ineM\ P\ M\ E)$
and $h2:\neg (ineM\ Q\ M\ E)$
and $h3:subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $h4:correctCompositionIn\ PQ$
and $h5:ch \in M$
and $h6:ch \in ins\ PQ$
and $h7:exprChannel\ ch\ E$
shows *False*
proof (*cases* $ch \in ins\ P$)
assume $a1:ch \in ins\ P$
from $a1$ **and** $h5$ **and** $h7$ **have** $ineM\ P\ M\ E$ **by** (*simp add: ineM-L1*)
from *this* **and** $h1$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
assume $a2:ch \notin ins\ P$
from $h3$ **and** $h4$ **and** $h6$ **have** $(ch \in ins\ P) \vee (ch \in ins\ Q)$
by (*simp add: correctCompositionIn-L2*)
from *this* **and** $a2$ **have** $ch \in ins\ Q$ **by** *simp*
from *this* **and** $h5$ **and** $h7$ **have** $ineM\ Q\ M\ E$ **by** (*simp add: ineM-L1*)
from *this* **and** $h2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

theorem *TBtheorem3b*:
assumes $h1:\neg (ineM\ P\ M\ E)$
and $h2:\neg (ineM\ Q\ M\ E)$
and $h3:subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $h4:correctCompositionIn\ PQ$
shows $\neg (ineM\ PQ\ M\ E)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis TBtheorem1b*)

theorem *TBtheorem4a-empty*:
assumes $(ine\ P\ E) \vee (ine\ Q\ E)$
and $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $correctCompositionIn\ PQ$
and $loc\ PQ = \{\}$
shows $ine\ PQ\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: ine-def correctCompositionIn-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4a-P*:
assumes $ine\ P\ E$
and $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$

and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. (ch \in (ins\ P) \wedge exprChannel\ ch\ E \wedge ch \notin (loc\ PQ))$
shows *ine* $PQ\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ine-def* *correctCompositionIn-def*, *auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4b-P*:
assumes *ineM* $P\ M\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. ((ch \in (ins\ Q)) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \wedge$
 $(ch \notin (loc\ PQ)) \wedge (ch \in M))$
shows *ineM* $PQ\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ineM-def* *correctCompositionIn-def*, *auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4a-PQ*:
assumes $(ine\ P\ E) \vee (ine\ Q\ E)$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. (((ch \in (ins\ P)) \vee (ch \in (ins\ Q))) \wedge$
 $(exprChannel\ ch\ E) \wedge (ch \notin (loc\ PQ)))$
shows *ine* $PQ\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ine-def* *correctCompositionIn-def*, *auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4b-PQ*:
assumes $(ineM\ P\ M\ E) \vee (ineM\ Q\ M\ E)$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. (((ch \in (ins\ P)) \vee (ch \in (ins\ Q))) \wedge$
 $(ch \in M) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \wedge (ch \notin (loc\ PQ)))$
shows *ineM* $PQ\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ineM-def* *correctCompositionIn-def*, *auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4a-notP1*:
assumes *ine* $P\ E$
and $\neg ine\ Q\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. ((ine-exprChannelSingle\ P\ ch\ E) \wedge (ch \in (loc\ PQ)))$
shows $\neg ine\ PQ\ E$
using *assms*
by (*simp* *add*: *ine-def* *correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSingle-def, *auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4b-notP1*:
assumes *ineM* $P\ M\ E$
and $\neg ineM\ Q\ M\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. ((ine-exprChannelSingle\ P\ ch\ E) \wedge (ch \in M))$

$\wedge (ch \in (loc PQ)))$
shows $\neg ineM PQ M E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ineM-def correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSingle-def, auto)

theorem *TBtheorem4a-notP2*:
assumes $\neg ine Q E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and *ine-exprChannelSet* $P ChSet E$
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSet) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc PQ)))$
shows $\neg ine PQ E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ine-def correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

theorem *TBtheorem4b-notP2*:
assumes $\neg ineM Q M E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and *ine-exprChannelSet* $P ChSet E$
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSet) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc PQ)))$
shows $\neg ineM PQ M E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ineM-def correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

theorem *TBtheorem4a-notPQ*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and *ine-exprChannelSet* $P ChSetP E$
and *ine-exprChannelSet* $Q ChSetQ E$
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetP) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc PQ)))$
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetQ) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc PQ)))$
shows $\neg ine PQ E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ine-def correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

lemma *ineM-Un1*:
assumes *ineM* $P A E$
shows *ineM* $P (A Un B) E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: ineM-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4b-notPQ*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and *ine-exprChannelSet* $P ChSetP E$

and *ine-exprChannelSet* Q $ChSetQ$ E
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetP) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))$
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetQ) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))$
shows $\neg ineM\ PQ\ M\ E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ineM-def correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

lemma *ine-nonempty-exprChannelSet*:
assumes *ine-exprChannelSet* P $ChSet\ E$
and $ChSet \neq \{\}$
shows *ine* $P\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: ine-def ine-exprChannelSet-def, auto*)

lemma *ine-empty-exprChannelSet*:
assumes *ine-exprChannelSet* P $ChSet\ E$
and $ChSet = \{\}$
shows $\neg ine\ P\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: ine-def ine-exprChannelSet-def*)

theorem *TBtheorem5a-empty*:
assumes $(eout\ P\ E) \vee (eout\ Q\ E)$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionOut* PQ
and $loc\ PQ = \{\}$
shows *eout* $PQ\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem45a-P*:
assumes *eout* $P\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionOut* PQ
and $\exists\ ch. ((ch \in (out\ P)) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \wedge$
 $(ch \notin (loc\ PQ)))$
shows *eout* $PQ\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheore54b-P*:
assumes *eoutM* $P\ M\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionOut* PQ
and $\exists\ ch. ((ch \in (out\ Q)) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \wedge$
 $(ch \notin (loc\ PQ)) \wedge (ch \in M))$
shows *eoutM* $PQ\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem5a-PQ*:
assumes $(eout\ P\ E) \vee (eout\ Q\ E)$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$

and *correctCompositionOut PQ*
and $\exists \text{ ch. } (((\text{ch} \in (\text{out } P)) \vee (\text{ch} \in (\text{out } Q))) \wedge$
 $(\text{exprChannel ch } E) \wedge (\text{ch} \notin (\text{loc } PQ)))$
shows *eout PQ E*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem5b-PQ:*
assumes $(\text{eoutM } P \text{ M } E) \vee (\text{eoutM } Q \text{ M } E)$
and *subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}*
and *correctCompositionOut PQ*
and $\exists \text{ ch. } (((\text{ch} \in (\text{out } P)) \vee (\text{ch} \in (\text{out } Q))) \wedge (\text{ch} \in M)$
 $\wedge (\text{exprChannel ch } E) \wedge (\text{ch} \notin (\text{loc } PQ)))$
shows *eoutM PQ M E*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem5a-notP1:*
assumes *eout P E*
and $\neg \text{eout } Q \text{ E}$
and *subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}*
and *correctCompositionOut PQ*
and $\exists \text{ ch. } ((\text{out-exprChannelSingle } P \text{ ch } E) \wedge (\text{ch} \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$
shows $\neg \text{eout } PQ \text{ E}$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def*
out-exprChannelSingle-def, auto)

theorem *TBtheorem5b-notP1:*
assumes *eoutM P M E*
and $\neg \text{eoutM } Q \text{ M } E$
and *subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}*
and *correctCompositionOut PQ*
and $\exists \text{ ch. } ((\text{out-exprChannelSingle } P \text{ ch } E) \wedge (\text{ch} \in M)$
 $\wedge (\text{ch} \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$
shows $\neg \text{eoutM } PQ \text{ M } E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def*
out-exprChannelSingle-def, auto)

theorem *TBtheorem5a-notP2:*
assumes $\neg \text{eout } Q \text{ E}$
and *subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}*
and *correctCompositionOut PQ*
and *out-exprChannelSet P ChSet E*
and $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in \text{ChSet}) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$
shows $\neg \text{eout } PQ \text{ E}$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def*
out-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

```

theorem TBtheorem5b-notP2:
assumes  $\neg \text{eoutM } Q \ M \ E$ 
  and  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
  and  $\text{correctCompositionOut } PQ$ 
  and  $\text{out-exprChannelSet } P \ ChSet \ E$ 
  and  $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in ChSet) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$ 
shows  $\neg \text{eoutM } PQ \ M \ E$ 
using assms
by (simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def
      out-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem5a-notPQ:
assumes  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
  and  $\text{correctCompositionOut } PQ$ 
  and  $\text{out-exprChannelSet } P \ ChSetP \ E$ 
  and  $\text{out-exprChannelSet } Q \ ChSetQ \ E$ 
  and  $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in ChSetP) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$ 
  and  $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in ChSetQ) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$ 
shows  $\neg \text{eout } PQ \ E$ 
using assms
by (simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def
      out-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem5b-notPQ:
assumes  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
  and  $\text{correctCompositionOut } PQ$ 
  and  $\text{out-exprChannelSet } P \ ChSetP \ E$ 
  and  $\text{out-exprChannelSet } Q \ ChSetQ \ E$ 
  and  $M = ChSetP \cup ChSetQ$ 
  and  $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in ChSetP) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$ 
  and  $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in ChSetQ) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$ 
shows  $\neg \text{eoutM } PQ \ M \ E$ 
using assms
by (simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def
      out-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

end

```

4 Local Secrets of a component

```

theory CompLocalSecrets
imports Secrecy
begin

```

- Set of local secrets: the set of secrets which does not belong to
- the set of private keys and unguessable values, but are transmitted
- via local channels or belongs to the local secrets of its subcomponents

axiomatization

LocalSecrets :: *specID* \Rightarrow *KS set*

where

LocalSecretsDef:

LocalSecrets A =

$$\{(m :: KS). m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } A \wedge \\ ((\exists x y. ((x \in \text{loc } A) \wedge m = (kKS y) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } x (kE y)))) \\ | (\exists x z. ((x \in \text{loc } A) \wedge m = (sKS z) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } x (sE z))))) \} \\ \cup (\bigcup (\text{LocalSecrets } ' (\text{subcomponents } A)))$$

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition1*:

assumes *ls* \in *LocalSecrets P*

and *subcomponents PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}

shows *ls* \in *LocalSecrets PQ*

using *assms* **by** (*simp* (*no-asm*) *only*: *LocalSecretsDef*, *auto*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-k*:

assumes *exprChannel x (kE Keys)*

and $\neg \text{ine } P (kE \text{ Keys})$

and $\neg \text{ine } Q (kE \text{ Keys})$

and $\neg (x \notin \text{ins } P \wedge x \notin \text{ins } Q)$

shows *False*

using *assms* **by** (*metis ine-def*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-s*:

assumes *exprChannel x (sE Secrets)*

and $\neg \text{ine } P (sE \text{ Secrets})$

and $\neg \text{ine } Q (sE \text{ Secrets})$

and $\neg (x \notin \text{ins } P \wedge x \notin \text{ins } Q)$

shows *False*

using *assms* **by** (*metis ine-ins-neg1*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-k*:

assumes *subcomponents PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}

and *correctCompositionLoc PQ*

and $\neg \text{ine } P (kE \text{ Keys})$

and $\neg \text{ine } Q (kE \text{ Keys})$

and *kKS Keys* \notin *LocalSecrets P*

and *kKS Keys* \notin *LocalSecrets Q*

shows *kKS Keys* \notin *LocalSecrets PQ*

proof –

from *assms* **show** *?thesis*

apply (*simp* (*no-asm*) *only*: *LocalSecretsDef*,

simp add: *correctCompositionLoc-def*, *clarify*)

by (*rule LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-k*, *auto*)

qed

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-neg-k*:

assumes *subcomponents PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}

and *correctCompositionLoc PQ*

and *correctCompositionKS PQ*

```

    and (kKS m)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
    and (kKS m)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
    and  $\neg$  ine P (kE m)
    and  $\neg$  ine Q (kE m)
    and (kKS m)  $\notin$  ((LocalSecrets P)  $\cup$  (LocalSecrets Q))
  shows (kKS m)  $\notin$  (LocalSecrets PQ)
  proof -
    from assms show ?thesis
    apply (simp (no-asm) only: LocalSecretsDef,
           simp add: correctCompositionLoc-def, clarify)
    by (rule LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-k, auto)
  qed

```

```

lemma LocalSecretsComposition-neg-s:
  assumes h1:subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
    and h2:correctCompositionLoc PQ
    and h3:correctCompositionKS PQ
    and h4:(sKS m)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
    and h5:(sKS m)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
    and h6: $\neg$  ine P (sE m)
    and h7: $\neg$  ine Q (sE m)
    and h8:(sKS m)  $\notin$  ((LocalSecrets P)  $\cup$  (LocalSecrets Q))
  shows (sKS m)  $\notin$  (LocalSecrets PQ)
  proof -
    from h1 and h3 and h4 and h5 have sg1:sKS m  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets PQ
    by (simp add: correctCompositionKS-neg1)
    from h1 and h2 and h8 have sg2:
      sKS m  $\notin$   $\bigcup$  (LocalSecrets 'subcomponents PQ)
    by simp
    from sg1 and sg2 and assms show ?thesis
    apply (simp (no-asm) only: LocalSecretsDef,
           simp add: correctCompositionLoc-def, clarify)
    by (rule LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-s, auto)
  qed

```

```

lemma LocalSecretsComposition-neg:
  assumes h1:subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
    and h2:correctCompositionLoc PQ
    and h3:correctCompositionKS PQ
    and h4:ks  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
    and h5:ks  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
    and h6: $\forall$  m. ks = kKS m  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\neg$  ine P (kE m)  $\wedge$   $\neg$  ine Q (kE m))
    and h7: $\forall$  m. ks = sKS m  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\neg$  ine P (sE m)  $\wedge$   $\neg$  ine Q (sE m))
    and h8:ks  $\notin$  ((LocalSecrets P)  $\cup$  (LocalSecrets Q))
  shows ks  $\notin$  (LocalSecrets PQ)
  proof (cases ks)
    fix m
    assume a1:ks = kKS m
    from this and h6 have  $\neg$  ine P (kE m)  $\wedge$   $\neg$  ine Q (kE m) by simp

```

```

    from this and a1 and assms show ?thesis
    by (simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg-k)
next
  fix m
  assume a2:ks = sKS m
  from this and h7 have  $\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (sE m)} \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (sE m)}$  by simp
  from this and a2 and assms show ?thesis
  by (simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg-s)
qed

```

```

lemma LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-s:
assumes subcomponents PQ = {P, Q}
    and correctCompositionLoc PQ
    and  $\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (sE s)}$ 
    and  $\neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (sE s)}$ 
    and sKS s  $\notin$  LocalSecrets P
    and sKS s  $\notin$  LocalSecrets Q
shows sKS s  $\notin$  LocalSecrets PQ
proof -
  from assms have
    sKS s  $\notin \bigcup (\text{LocalSecrets } \text{'subcomponents PQ})$ 
  by simp
  from assms and this show ?thesis
  apply (simp (no-asm) only: LocalSecretsDef,
    simp add: correctCompositionLoc-def, clarify)
  by (rule LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-s, auto)
qed

```

```

lemma LocalSecretsComposition-neg1:
assumes h1:subcomponents PQ = {P, Q}
    and h2:correctCompositionLoc PQ
    and h3: $\forall m. ks = kKS m \longrightarrow (\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (kE m)} \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (kE m)})$ 
    and h4: $\forall m. ks = sKS m \longrightarrow (\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (sE m)} \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (sE m)})$ 
    and h5:ks  $\notin$  LocalSecrets P
    and h6:ks  $\notin$  LocalSecrets Q
shows ks  $\notin$  LocalSecrets PQ
proof (cases ks)
  fix m
  assume a1:ks = kKS m
  from this and h3 have  $\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (kE m)} \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (kE m)}$  by simp
  from this and a1 and assms show ?thesis
  by (simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-k)
next
  fix m
  assume a2:ks = sKS m
  from this and h4 have  $\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (sE m)} \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (sE m)}$  by simp
  from this and a2 and assms show ?thesis
  by (simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-s)
qed

```

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-k*:
assumes $kKS\ k \in LocalSecrets\ PQ$
and $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $correctCompositionLoc\ PQ$
and $\neg\ ine\ Q\ (kE\ k)$
and $kKS\ k \notin LocalSecrets\ P$
and $kKS\ k \notin LocalSecrets\ Q$
shows $\ ine\ P\ (kE\ k)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-k*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-s*:
assumes $sKS\ s \in LocalSecrets\ PQ$
and $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $correctCompositionLoc\ PQ$
and $\neg\ ine\ Q\ (sE\ s)$
and $sKS\ s \notin LocalSecrets\ P$
and $sKS\ s \notin LocalSecrets\ Q$
shows $\ ine\ P\ (sE\ s)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-s*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-ine2-k*:
assumes $kKS\ k \in LocalSecrets\ PQ$
and $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $correctCompositionLoc\ PQ$
and $\neg\ ine\ P\ (kE\ k)$
and $kKS\ k \notin LocalSecrets\ P$
and $kKS\ k \notin LocalSecrets\ Q$
shows $\ ine\ Q\ (kE\ k)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-k*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-ine2-s*:
assumes $h1:sKS\ s \in LocalSecrets\ PQ$
and $h2:subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $h3:correctCompositionLoc\ PQ$
and $h4:\neg\ ine\ P\ (sE\ s)$
and $h5:sKS\ s \notin LocalSecrets\ P$
and $h6:sKS\ s \notin LocalSecrets\ Q$
shows $\ ine\ Q\ (sE\ s)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-s*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-k*:
assumes $h1:kKS\ key \notin LocalSecrets\ P$
and $h2:exprChannel\ ch\ (kE\ key)$
and $h3:kKS\ key \notin specKeysSecrets\ P$
shows $\ ch \notin loc\ P$
using *assms* **by** (*simp only: LocalSecretsDef, auto*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-s*:

assumes $h1:sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } P$
and $h2:\text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (sE secret)}$
and $h3:sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
shows $ch \notin \text{loc } P$
using *assms* **by** (*simp only: LocalSecretsDef, auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-P:*
assumes $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$
and $ch \in \text{ins } P$
and $\text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (kE key)}$
and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
shows $ch \in \text{ins } PQ \wedge \text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (kE key)}$
using *assms*
by (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-k correctCompositionIn-L1*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-Pex:*
assumes $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$
and $ch \in \text{ins } P$
and $\text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (kE key)}$
and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
shows $\exists ch. ch \in \text{ins } PQ \wedge \text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (kE key)}$
using *assms*
by (*metis correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-P*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-Q:*
assumes $h1:\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $h2:\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
and $h3:kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$
and $h4:ch \in \text{ins } Q$
and $h5:\text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (kE key)}$
and $h6:kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
and $h7:\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
shows $ch \in \text{ins } PQ \wedge \text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (kE key)}$
proof –
from *assms* **have** $ch \notin \text{loc } PQ$
by (*simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-k*)
from this and *assms* **have** $ch \in \text{ins } PQ$
by (*simp add: correctCompositionIn-def*)
from this and $h5$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-Qex:*
assumes $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$

and *correctCompositionKS* *PQ*
and *kKS* *key* \notin *LocalSecrets* *PQ*
and *ch* \in *ins* *Q*
and *exprChannel* *ch* (*kE* *key*)
and *kKS* *key* \notin *specKeysSecrets* *PQ*
and *correctCompositionIn* *PQ*
shows \exists *ch*. *ch* \in *ins* *PQ* \wedge *exprChannel* *ch* (*kE* *key*)
using *assms*
by (*metis* *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-Q*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-P*:
assumes *subcomponents* *PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}
and *correctCompositionKS* *PQ*
and *sKS* *secret* \notin *LocalSecrets* *PQ*
and *ch* \in *ins* *P*
and *exprChannel* *ch* (*sE* *secret*)
and *sKS* *secret* \notin *specKeysSecrets* *PQ*
and *correctCompositionIn* *PQ*
shows *ch* \in *ins* *PQ* \wedge *exprChannel* *ch* (*sE* *secret*)
using *assms*
by (*metis* *LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-s correctCompositionIn-L1*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-Pex*:
assumes *subcomponents* *PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}
and *correctCompositionKS* *PQ*
and *sKS* *secret* \notin *LocalSecrets* *PQ*
and *ch* \in *ins* *P*
and *exprChannel* *ch* (*sE* *secret*)
and *sKS* *secret* \notin *specKeysSecrets* *PQ*
and *correctCompositionIn* *PQ*
shows \exists *ch*. *ch* \in *ins* *PQ* \wedge *exprChannel* *ch* (*sE* *secret*)
using *assms*
by (*metis* *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-P*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-Q*:
assumes *h1:subcomponents* *PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}
and *h2:correctCompositionKS* *PQ*
and *h3:sKS* *secret* \notin *LocalSecrets* *PQ*
and *h4:ch* \in *ins* *Q*
and *h5:exprChannel* *ch* (*sE* *secret*)
and *h6:sKS* *secret* \notin *specKeysSecrets* *PQ*
and *h7:correctCompositionIn* *PQ*
shows *ch* \in *ins* *PQ* \wedge *exprChannel* *ch* (*sE* *secret*)
proof –
from *assms* **have** *ch* \notin *loc* *PQ*
by (*simp* *add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-s*)
from *this* **and** *assms* **have** *ch* \in *ins* *PQ*
by (*simp* *add: correctCompositionIn-def*)
from *this* **and** *h5* **show** ?thesis **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-Qex*:
assumes *subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}*
and *correctCompositionKS PQ*
and *sKS secret \notin LocalSecrets PQ*
and *ch \in ins Q*
and *exprChannel ch (sE secret)*
and *sKS secret \notin specKeysSecrets PQ*
and *correctCompositionIn PQ*
shows $\exists ch. ch \in ins PQ \wedge exprChannel ch (sE secret)$
using *assms*
by (*metis correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-Q*)
end

5 Knowledge of Keys and Secrets

theory *KnowledgeKeysSecrets*
imports *CompLocalSecrets*
begin

An component A knows a secret m (or some secret expression m) that does not belong to its local secrets , if

- *A may eventually get the secret m,*
- *m belongs to the set LS_A of its local secrets,*
- *A knows some list of expressions m_2 which is an concatenations of m and some list of expressions m_1 ,*
- *m is a concatenation of some lists of secrets m_1 and m_2 , and A knows both these secrets,*
- *A knows some secret key k^{-1} and the result of the encryption of the m with the corresponding public key,*
- *A knows some public key k and the result of the signature creation of the m with the corresponding private key,*
- *m is an encryption of some secret m_1 with a public key k, and A knows both m_1 and k,*
- *m is the result of the signature creation of the m_1 with the key k, and A knows both m_1 and k.*

primrec

know :: specID \Rightarrow KS \Rightarrow bool

where

know A (kKS m) =
 $((ine A (kE m)) \vee ((kKS m) \in (LocalSecrets A))) \mid$
know A (sKS m) =
 $((ine A (sE m)) \vee ((sKS m) \in (LocalSecrets A)))$

axiomatization

$knows :: specID \Rightarrow Expression\ list \Rightarrow bool$

where

$knows_emptyexpression:$

$knows\ C\ [] = True$ **and**

$know1k:$

$knows\ C\ [KS2Expression\ (kKS\ m1)] = know\ C\ (kKS\ m1)$ **and**

$know1s:$

$knows\ C\ [KS2Expression\ (sKS\ m2)] = know\ C\ (sKS\ m2)$ **and**

$knows2a:$

$knows\ A\ (e1\ @\ e) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ e$ **and**

$knows2b:$

$knows\ A\ (e\ @\ e1) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ e$ **and**

$knows3:$

$(knows\ A\ e1) \wedge (knows\ A\ e2) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ (e1\ @\ e2)$ **and**

$knows4:$

$(IncrDecrKeys\ k1\ k2) \wedge (know\ A\ (kKS\ k2)) \wedge (knows\ A\ (Enc\ k1\ e))$
 $\longrightarrow knows\ A\ e$

and

$knows5:$

$(IncrDecrKeys\ k1\ k2) \wedge (know\ A\ (kKS\ k1)) \wedge (knows\ A\ (Sign\ k2\ e))$
 $\longrightarrow knows\ A\ e$

and

$knows6:$

$(know\ A\ (kKS\ k)) \wedge (knows\ A\ e1) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ (Enc\ k\ e1)$

and

$knows7:$

$(know\ A\ (kKS\ k)) \wedge (knows\ A\ e1) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ (Sign\ k\ e1)$

primrec $eoutKnowCorrect :: specID \Rightarrow KS \Rightarrow bool$

where

$eout_know_k:$

$eoutKnowCorrect\ C\ (kKS\ m) =$
 $((eout\ C\ (kE\ m)) \longleftrightarrow (m \in (specKeys\ C) \vee (know\ C\ (kKS\ m)))) \mid$

$eout_know_s:$

$eoutKnowCorrect\ C\ (sKS\ m) =$
 $((eout\ C\ (sE\ m)) \longleftrightarrow (m \in (specSecrets\ C) \vee (know\ C\ (sKS\ m)))) \mid$

definition $eoutKnowsECorrect :: specID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool$

where

$eoutKnowsECorrect\ C\ e \equiv$
 $((eout\ C\ e) \longleftrightarrow$
 $((\exists\ k. e = (kE\ k) \wedge (k \in specKeys\ C)) \vee$
 $(\exists\ s. e = (sE\ s) \wedge (s \in specSecrets\ C)) \vee$
 $(knows\ C\ [e])))$

lemma $eoutKnowCorrect-L1k:$

assumes $eoutKnowCorrect\ C\ (kKS\ m)$

and $eout\ C\ (kE\ m)$

shows $m \in (\text{specKeys } C) \vee (\text{know } C (kKS\ m))$
using *assms* **by** (*metis eout-know-k*)

lemma *eoutKnowCorrect-L1s*:
assumes *eoutKnowCorrect* $C (sKS\ m)$
and *eout* $C (sE\ m)$
shows $m \in (\text{specSecrets } C) \vee (\text{know } C (sKS\ m))$
using *assms* **by** (*metis eout-know-s*)

lemma *eoutKnowsECorrect-L1*:
assumes *eoutKnowsECorrect* $C\ e$
and *eout* $C\ e$
shows $(\exists k. e = (kE\ k) \wedge (k \in \text{specKeys } C)) \vee$
 $(\exists s. e = (sE\ s) \wedge (s \in \text{specSecrets } C)) \vee$
 $(\text{knows } C [e])$
using *assms* **by** (*metis eoutKnowsECorrect-def*)

lemma *know2knows-k*:
assumes *know* $A (kKS\ m)$
shows *knows* $A [kE\ m]$
proof –
from *assms* **have** *sg1*: *KS2Expression* $(kKS\ m) = kE\ m$ **by** *simp*
from *assms* **have** *sg2*: *knows* $A [KS2Expression (kKS\ m)]$
by (*simp only: know1k*)
from *sg2* **and** *sg1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *knows2know-k*:
assumes *knows* $A [kE\ m]$
shows *know* $A (kKS\ m)$
using *assms*
proof –
from *assms* **have** $kE\ m = KS2Expression (kKS\ m)$ **by** *simp*
from *assms* **and** *this* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp only: know1k*)
qed

lemma *know2knowsPQ-k*:
assumes *know* $P (kKS\ m) \vee \text{know } Q (kKS\ m)$
shows *knows* $P [kE\ m] \vee \text{knows } Q [kE\ m]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know2knows-k*)

lemma *knows2knowPQ-k*:
assumes *knows* $P [kE\ m] \vee \text{knows } Q [kE\ m]$
shows *know* $P (kKS\ m) \vee \text{know } Q (kKS\ m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis knows2know-k*)

lemma *knows1k*:
 $\text{know } A (kKS\ m) = \text{knows } A [kE\ m]$
by (*metis know2knows-k knows2know-k*)

```

lemma know2knows-neg-k:
assumes  $\neg \text{know } A \text{ (} kKS \text{ } m \text{)}$ 
shows  $\neg \text{knows } A \text{ [} kE \text{ } m \text{]}$ 
using assms by (metis knows1k)

lemma knows2know-neg-k:
assumes  $\neg \text{knows } A \text{ [} kE \text{ } m \text{]}$ 
shows  $\neg \text{know } A \text{ (} kKS \text{ } m \text{)}$ 
using assms by (metis know2knowsPQ-k)

lemma know2knows-s:
assumes  $\text{know } A \text{ (} sKS \text{ } m \text{)}$ 
shows  $\text{knows } A \text{ [} sE \text{ } m \text{]}$ 
using assms
by (metis KS2Expression.simps(2) know1s)

lemma knows2know-s:
assumes  $\text{knows } A \text{ [} sE \text{ } m \text{]}$ 
shows  $\text{know } A \text{ (} sKS \text{ } m \text{)}$ 
using assms
by (metis KS2Expression.simps(2) know1s)

lemma know2knowsPQ-s:
assumes  $\text{know } P \text{ (} sKS \text{ } m \text{)} \vee \text{know } Q \text{ (} sKS \text{ } m \text{)}$ 
shows  $\text{knows } P \text{ [} sE \text{ } m \text{]} \vee \text{knows } Q \text{ [} sE \text{ } m \text{]}$ 
using assms by (metis know2knows-s)

lemma knows2knowPQ-s:
assumes  $\text{knows } P \text{ [} sE \text{ } m \text{]} \vee \text{knows } Q \text{ [} sE \text{ } m \text{]}$ 
shows  $\text{know } P \text{ (} sKS \text{ } m \text{)} \vee \text{know } Q \text{ (} sKS \text{ } m \text{)}$ 
using assms by (metis knows2know-s)

lemma knows1s:
 $\text{know } A \text{ (} sKS \text{ } m \text{)} = \text{knows } A \text{ [} sE \text{ } m \text{]}$ 
by (metis know2knows-s knows2know-s)

lemma know2knows-neg-s:
assumes  $\neg \text{know } A \text{ (} sKS \text{ } m \text{)}$ 
shows  $\neg \text{knows } A \text{ [} sE \text{ } m \text{]}$ 
using assms by (metis knows2know-s)

lemma knows2know-neg-s:
assumes  $\neg \text{knows } A \text{ [} sE \text{ } m \text{]}$ 
shows  $\neg \text{know } A \text{ (} sKS \text{ } m \text{)}$ 
using assms by (metis know2knows-s)

lemma knows2:
assumes  $e2 = e1 @ e \vee e2 = e @ e1$ 

```

and *knows* *A e2*
shows *knows* *A e*
using *assms* **by** (*metis knows2a knows2b*)

lemma *correctCompositionInLoc-exprChannel*:
assumes *subcomponents PQ = {P, Q}*
and *correctCompositionIn PQ*
and *ch : ins P*
and *exprChannel ch m*
and $\forall x. x \in \text{ins } PQ \longrightarrow \neg \text{exprChannel } x \ m$
shows *ch : loc PQ*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionIn-def, auto*)

lemma *eout-know-nonKS-k*:
assumes $m \notin \text{specKeys } A$
and *eout A (kE m)*
and *eoutKnowCorrect A (kKS m)*
shows *know A (kKS m)*
using *assms* **by** (*metis eoutKnowCorrect-L1k*)

lemma *eout-know-nonKS-s*:
assumes $m \notin \text{specSecrets } A$
and *eout A (sE m)*
and *eoutKnowCorrect A (sKS m)*
shows *know A (sKS m)*
using *assms* **by** (*metis eoutKnowCorrect-L1s*)

lemma *not-know-k-not-ine*:
assumes $\neg \text{know } A (kKS m)$
shows $\neg \text{ine } A (kE m)$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *not-know-s-not-ine*:
assumes $\neg \text{know } A (sKS m)$
shows $\neg \text{ine } A (sE m)$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *not-know-k-not-eout*:
assumes $m \notin \text{specKeys } A$
and $\neg \text{know } A (kKS m)$
and *eoutKnowCorrect A (kKS m)*
shows $\neg \text{eout } A (kE m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis eout-know-k*)

lemma *not-know-s-not-eout*:
assumes $m \notin \text{specSecrets } A$
and $\neg \text{know } A (sKS m)$
and *eoutKnowCorrect A (sKS m)*
shows $\neg \text{eout } A (sE m)$

using *assms* **by** (*metis eout-know-nonKS-s*)

lemma *adv-not-know1*:

assumes $h1: \text{out } P \subseteq \text{ins } A$

and $h2: \neg \text{know } A \text{ (} kKS \text{ } m \text{)}$

shows $\neg \text{eout } P \text{ (} kE \text{ } m \text{)}$

proof –

from $h2$ **have** $\neg \text{ine } A \text{ (} kE \text{ } m \text{)}$ **by** (*simp add: not-know-k-not-ine*)

from *this* **and** $h1$ **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: ine-def eout-def, auto*)

qed

lemma *adv-not-know2*:

assumes $h1: \text{out } P \subseteq \text{ins } A$

and $h2: \neg \text{know } A \text{ (} sKS \text{ } m \text{)}$

shows $\neg \text{eout } P \text{ (} sE \text{ } m \text{)}$

proof –

from $h2$ **have** $\neg \text{ine } A \text{ (} sE \text{ } m \text{)}$ **by** (*simp add: not-know-s-not-ine*)

from *this* **and** $h1$ **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: ine-def eout-def, auto*)

qed

lemma *LocalSecrets-L1*:

assumes $(kKS) \text{ key} \in \text{LocalSecrets } P$

and $(kKS \text{ key}) \notin \bigcup (\text{LocalSecrets } \text{'subcomponents } P)$

shows $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$

using *assms* **by** (*simp only: LocalSecretsDef, auto*)

lemma *LocalSecrets-L2*:

assumes $kKS \text{ key} \in \text{LocalSecrets } P$

and $kKS \text{ key} \in \text{specKeysSecrets } P$

shows $kKS \text{ key} \in \bigcup (\text{LocalSecrets } \text{'subcomponents } P)$

using *assms* **by** (*simp only: LocalSecretsDef, auto*)

lemma *know-composition1*:

assumes $h1: m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$

and $h2: m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$

and $h3: \text{know } P \text{ } m$

and $h4: \text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$

and $h5: \text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$

and $h6: \text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$

shows $\text{know } PQ \text{ } m$

proof (*cases m*)

fix *key*

assume $a1: m = kKS \text{ key}$

show *?thesis*

proof (*cases ine P (kE key)*)

assume $a11: \text{ine } P \text{ (} kE \text{ key)}$

from *this* **have** $a11\text{ext}: \text{ine } P \text{ (} kE \text{ key)} \mid \text{ine } Q \text{ (} kE \text{ key)}$ **by** *simp*

from $h4$ **and** $h6$ **and** $h1$ **and** $h2$ **have** $m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$

by (*rule correctCompositionKS-neg1*)

```

from this and a1 have sg1:kKS key  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets PQ by simp
from a1 and a11ext and h6 show ?thesis
proof (cases loc PQ = {})
  assume a11locE:loc PQ = {}
  from a11ext and h4 and h5 and a11locE have ine PQ (kE key)
  by (rule TBtheorem4a-empty)
  from this and a1 show ?thesis by auto
next
  assume a11locNE:loc PQ  $\neq$  {}
  from a1 and a11 and sg1 and assms show ?thesis
  apply (simp add: ine-def, auto)
  by (simp add: correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-Pex)
qed
next
  assume a12: $\neg$  ine P (kE key)
  from this and a1 and assms show ?thesis
  by (auto, simp add: LocalSecretsComposition1)
qed
next
fix secret
assume a2:m = sKS secret
show ?thesis
proof (cases ine P (sE secret))
  assume a21:ine P (sE secret)
  from this have a21ext:ine P (sE secret) | ine Q (sE secret) by simp
  from h4 and h6 and h1 and h2 have m  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets PQ
  by (rule correctCompositionKS-neg1)
  from this and a2 have sg2:sKS secret  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets PQ by simp
  from a2 and a21ext and h6 show ?thesis
  proof (cases loc PQ = {})
    assume a21locE:loc PQ = {}
    from a21ext and h4 and h5 and a21locE have ine PQ (sE secret)
    by (rule TBtheorem4a-empty)
    from this and a2 show ?thesis by auto
  next
    assume a21locNE:loc PQ  $\neq$  {}
    from a2 and a21 and sg2 and assms show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: ine-def, auto)
    by (simp add: correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-Pex)
  qed
next
  assume a12: $\neg$  ine P (sE secret)
  from this and a2 and assms show ?thesis
  by (auto, simp add: LocalSecretsComposition1)
qed
qed
lemma know-composition2:
assumes m  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P

```



```

    and  $m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$ 
    and  $\text{know } Q \ m$ 
    and  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
    and  $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$ 
    and  $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$ 
shows  $\text{know } PQ \ m$ 
using assms by (metis insert-commute know-composition1)

lemma know-composition:
assumes  $m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$ 
    and  $m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$ 
    and  $\text{know } P \ m \vee \text{know } Q \ m$ 
    and  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
    and  $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$ 
    and  $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$ 
shows  $\text{know } PQ \ m$ 
using assms by (metis know-composition1 know-composition2)

theorem know-composition-neg-ine-k:
assumes  $\neg \text{know } P \ (kKS \ \text{key})$ 
    and  $\neg \text{know } Q \ (kKS \ \text{key})$ 
    and  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
    and  $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$ 
shows  $\neg (\text{ine } PQ \ (kE \ \text{key}))$ 
using assms by (metis TBtheorem3a not-know-k-not-ine)

theorem know-composition-neg-ine-s:
assumes  $\neg \text{know } P \ (sKS \ \text{secret})$ 
    and  $\neg \text{know } Q \ (sKS \ \text{secret})$ 
    and  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
    and  $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$ 
shows  $\neg (\text{ine } PQ \ (sE \ \text{secret}))$ 
using assms by (metis TBtheorem3a not-know-s-not-ine)

lemma know-composition-neg1:
assumes  $h1:m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$ 
    and  $h2:m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$ 
    and  $h3:\neg \text{know } P \ m$ 
    and  $h4:\neg \text{know } Q \ m$ 
    and  $h5:\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
    and  $h6:\text{correctCompositionLoc } PQ$ 
    and  $h7:\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$ 
    and  $h8:\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$ 
shows  $\neg \text{know } PQ \ m$ 
proof (cases  $m$ )
  fix key
  assume  $a1:m = kKS \ \text{key}$ 
  from  $h3$  and  $a1$  have  $sg1:\neg \text{know } P \ (kKS \ \text{key})$  by simp
  then have  $sg1a:\neg \text{ine } P \ (kE \ \text{key})$  by simp

```

```

from sg1 have sg1b:kKS key  $\notin$  LocalSecrets P by simp
from h4 and a1 have sg2: $\neg$  know Q (kKS key) by simp
then have sg2a: $\neg$  ine Q (kE key) by simp
from sg2 have sg2b:kKS key  $\notin$  LocalSecrets Q by simp
from sg1 and sg2 and h5 and h7 have sg3: $\neg$  ine PQ (kE key)
  by (rule know-composition-neg-ine-k)
from h5 and h6 and sg1a and sg2a and sg1b and sg2b have sg4:
kKS key  $\notin$  LocalSecrets PQ
  by (rule LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-k)
from sg3 and sg4 and a1 show ?thesis by simp
next
fix secret
assume a2:m = sKS secret
from h3 and a2 have sg1: $\neg$  know P (sKS secret) by simp
then have sg1a: $\neg$  ine P (sE secret) by simp
from sg1 have sg1b:sKS secret  $\notin$  LocalSecrets P by simp
from h4 and a2 have sg2: $\neg$  know Q (sKS secret) by simp
then have sg2a: $\neg$  ine Q (sE secret) by simp
from sg2 have sg2b:sKS secret  $\notin$  LocalSecrets Q by simp
from sg1 and sg2 and h5 and h7 have sg3: $\neg$  ine PQ (sE secret)
  by (rule know-composition-neg-ine-s)
from h5 and h6 and sg1a and sg2a and sg1b and sg2b have sg4:
sKS secret  $\notin$  LocalSecrets PQ
  by (rule LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-s)
from sg3 and sg4 and a2 show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma know-decomposition:
assumes h1:m  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
      and h2:m  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
      and h3:know PQ m
      and h4:subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
      and h5:correctCompositionIn PQ
      and h6:correctCompositionLoc PQ
shows know P m  $\vee$  know Q m
proof (cases m)
fix key
assume a1:m = kKS key
from this show ?thesis
proof (cases ine PQ (kE key))
assume a11:ine PQ (kE key)
from this and h4 and h5 and a1 have
  ine P (kE key)  $\vee$  ine Q (kE key)
  by (simp add: TBtheorem1a)
from this and a1 show ?thesis by auto
next
assume a12: $\neg$  ine PQ (kE key)
from this and h3 and a1 have sg2:kKS key  $\in$  LocalSecrets PQ by auto
show ?thesis

```

```

proof (cases know Q m)
  assume know Q m
  from this show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume not-knowQm:¬ know Q m
  from not-knowQm and a1 have sg3a:¬ ineq (kE key) by simp
  from not-knowQm and a1 have sg3b:kKS key ∉ LocalSecrets Q by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases kKS key ∈ LocalSecrets P)
    assume kKS key ∈ LocalSecrets P
    from this and a1 show ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume kKS key ∉ LocalSecrets P
    from sg2 and h4 and h6 and sg3a and this and sg3b have ineq P (kE
key)
      by (simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-k)
    from this and a1 show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
next
  fix secret
  assume a2:m = sKS secret
  from this show ?thesis
  proof (cases ineq PQ (sE secret))
    assume a21:ineq PQ (sE secret)
    from this and h4 and h5 and a2 have
      ineq P (sE secret) ∨ ineq Q (sE secret)
    by (simp add: TBtheorem1a)
    from this and a2 show ?thesis by auto
  next
    assume a22:¬ ineq PQ (sE secret)
    from this and h3 and a2 have sg5:
      sKS secret ∈ LocalSecrets PQ by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases know Q m)
      assume know Q m
      from this show ?thesis by simp
    next
      assume not-knowQm:¬ know Q m
      from not-knowQm and a2 have sg6a:¬ ineq Q (sE secret) by simp
      from not-knowQm and a2 have sg6b:sKS secret ∉ LocalSecrets Q by simp
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases sKS secret ∈ LocalSecrets P)
        assume sKS secret ∈ LocalSecrets P
        from this and a2 show ?thesis by simp
      next
        assume sKS secret ∉ LocalSecrets P
        from sg5 and h4 and h6 and sg6a and this and sg6b have

```

```

    ine P (sE secret)
    by (simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-s)
    from this and a2 show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma eout-knows-nonKS-k:
  assumes h1:m ∉ (specKeys A)
    and h2:eout A (kE m)
    and h3:eoutKnowsECorrect A (kE m)
  shows knows A [kE m]
proof -
  from h3 and h2 have
    (∃ k. (kE m) = (kE k) ∧ (k ∈ specKeys A)) ∨ (knows A [kE m])
    by (simp only: eoutKnowsECorrect-def, auto)
  from this and h1 show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma eout-knows-nonKS-s:
  assumes h1:m ∉ specSecrets A
    and h2:eout A (sE m)
    and h3:eoutKnowsECorrect A (sE m)
  shows knows A [sE m]
proof -
  from h3 and h2 have
    (∃ s. (sE m) = (sE s) ∧ (s ∈ specSecrets A)) ∨ (knows A [sE m])
    by (simp only: eoutKnowsECorrect-def, auto)
  from this and h1 show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma not-knows-k-not-ine:
  assumes ¬ knows A [kE m]
  shows ¬ ine A (kE m)
  using assms by (metis knows2know-neg-k not-know-k-not-ine)

lemma not-knows-s-not-ine:
  assumes ¬ knows A [sE m]
  shows ¬ ine A (sE m)
  using assms by (metis knows2know-neg-s not-know-s-not-ine)

lemma not-knows-k-not-eout:
  assumes m ∉ specKeys A
    and ¬ knows A [kE m]
    and eoutKnowsECorrect A (kE m)
  shows ¬ eout A (kE m)
  using assms by (metis eout-knows-nonKS-k)

```

lemma *not-knows-s-not-eout*:
assumes $m \notin \text{specSecrets } A$
 and $\neg \text{knows } A \text{ [sE } m]$
 and $\text{eoutKnowsECorrect } A \text{ (sE } m)$
shows $\neg \text{eout } A \text{ (sE } m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis eout-knows-nonKS-s*)

lemma *adv-not-knows1*:
assumes $\text{out } P \subseteq \text{ins } A$
 and $\neg \text{knows } A \text{ [kE } m]$
shows $\neg \text{eout } P \text{ (kE } m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis adv-not-know1 knows2know-neg-k*)

lemma *adv-not-knows2*:
assumes $\text{out } P \subseteq \text{ins } A$
 and $\neg \text{knows } A \text{ [sE } m]$
shows $\neg \text{eout } P \text{ (sE } m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis adv-not-know2 knows2know-neg-s*)

lemma *knows-decomposition-1-k*:
assumes $kKS \ a \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
 and $kKS \ a \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
 and $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
 and $\text{knows } PQ \text{ [kE } a]$
 and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
 and $\text{correctCompositionLoc } PQ$
shows $\text{knows } P \text{ [kE } a] \vee \text{knows } Q \text{ [kE } a]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know-decomposition knows1k*)

lemma *knows-decomposition-1-s*:
assumes $sKS \ a \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
 and $sKS \ a \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
 and $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
 and $\text{knows } PQ \text{ [sE } a]$
 and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
 and $\text{correctCompositionLoc } PQ$
shows $\text{knows } P \text{ [sE } a] \vee \text{knows } Q \text{ [sE } a]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know-decomposition knows1s*)

lemma *knows-decomposition-1*:
assumes $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
 and $\text{knows } PQ \text{ [a]}$
 and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
 and $\text{correctCompositionLoc } PQ$
 and $(\exists z. a = kE \ z) \vee (\exists z. a = sE \ z)$
 and $\forall z. a = kE \ z \longrightarrow$
 $kKS \ z \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P \wedge kKS \ z \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
 and $\forall z. a = sE \ z \longrightarrow$
 $sKS \ z \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P \wedge sKS \ z \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$

shows $\text{knows } P [a] \vee \text{knows } Q [a]$
using *assms*
by (*metis knows-decomposition-1-k knows-decomposition-1-s*)

lemma *knows-composition1-k*:
assumes $(kKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
 and $(kKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
 and $\text{knows } P [kE\ m]$
 and $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
 and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
 and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
shows $\text{knows } PQ [kE\ m]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know-composition knows1k*)

lemma *knows-composition1-s*:
assumes $(sKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
 and $(sKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
 and $\text{knows } P [sE\ m]$
 and $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
 and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
 and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
shows $\text{knows } PQ [sE\ m]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know-composition knows1s*)

lemma *knows-composition2-k*:
assumes $(kKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
 and $(kKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
 and $\text{knows } Q [kE\ m]$
 and $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
 and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
 and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
shows $\text{knows } PQ [kE\ m]$
using *assms*
by (*metis know2knowsPQ-k know-composition knows2know-k*)

lemma *knows-composition2-s*:
assumes $(sKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
 and $(sKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
 and $\text{knows } Q [sE\ m]$
 and $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
 and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
 and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
shows $\text{knows } PQ [sE\ m]$
using *assms*
by (*metis know2knowsPQ-s know-composition knows2know-s*)

lemma *knows-composition-neg1-k*:
assumes $kKS\ m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
 and $kKS\ m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$

and $\neg \text{knows } P \ [kE \ m]$
and $\neg \text{knows } Q \ [kE \ m]$
and $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $\text{correctCompositionLoc } PQ$
and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
shows $\neg \text{knows } PQ \ [kE \ m]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know-decomposition knows1k*)

lemma *knows-composition-neg1-s*:
assumes $sKS \ m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
and $sKS \ m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
and $\neg \text{knows } P \ [sE \ m]$
and $\neg \text{knows } Q \ [sE \ m]$
and $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $\text{correctCompositionLoc } PQ$
and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
shows $\neg \text{knows } PQ \ [sE \ m]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis knows-decomposition-1-s*)

lemma *knows-concat-1*:
assumes $\text{knows } P \ (a \ \# \ e)$
shows $\text{knows } P \ [a]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis append-Cons append-Nil knows2*)

lemma *knows-concat-2*:
assumes $\text{knows } P \ (a \ \# \ e)$
shows $\text{knows } P \ e$
using *assms* **by** (*metis append-Cons append-Nil knows2a*)

lemma *knows-concat-3*:
assumes $\text{knows } P \ [a]$
and $\text{knows } P \ e$
shows $\text{knows } P \ (a \ \# \ e)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis append-Cons append-Nil knows3*)

lemma *not-knows-conc-knows-elem-not-knows-tail*:
assumes $\neg \text{knows } P \ (a \ \# \ e)$
and $\text{knows } P \ [a]$
shows $\neg \text{knows } P \ e$
using *assms* **by** (*metis knows-concat-3*)

lemma *not-knows-conc-not-knows-elem-tail*:
assumes $\neg \text{knows } P \ (a \ \# \ e)$
shows $\neg \text{knows } P \ [a] \vee \neg \text{knows } P \ e$
using *assms* **by** (*metis append-Cons append-Nil knows3*)

lemma *not-knows-elem-not-knows-conc*:

```

assumes  $\neg \text{knows } P [a]$ 
shows  $\neg \text{knows } P (a \# e)$ 
using assms by (metis knows-concat-1)

lemma not-knows-tail-not-knows-conc:
assumes  $\neg \text{knows } P e$ 
shows  $\neg \text{knows } P (a \# e)$ 
using assms by (metis knows-concat-2)

lemma knows-composition3:
fixes e::Expression list
assumes h1:knows P e
  and h2:subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
  and h3:correctCompositionIn PQ
  and h4:correctCompositionKS PQ
  and h5: $\forall (m::\text{Expression}). ((m \text{ mem } e) \longrightarrow$ 
     $((\exists z1. m = (kE z1)) \vee (\exists z2. m = (sE z2))))$ 
  and h6:notSpecKeysSecretsExpr P e
  and h7:notSpecKeysSecretsExpr Q e
shows knows PQ e
using assms
proof (induct e)
  case Nil
    from this show ?case by (simp only: knows-emptyexpression)
  next
    fix a l
    case (Cons a l)
    from Cons have sg1:knows P [a] by (simp add: knows-concat-1)
    from Cons have sg2:knows P l by (simp only: knows-concat-2)
    from sg1 have sg3:a mem (a # l) by simp
    from Cons and sg2 have sg2a:knows PQ l
      by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-L2)
    from Cons and sg1 and sg2 and sg3 show ?case
    proof (cases  $\exists z1. a = (kE z1)$ )
      assume  $\exists z1. a = (kE z1)$ 
      from this obtain z where a1:a = (kE z) by auto
      from a1 and Cons have sg4:(kKS z)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
        by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
      from a1 and Cons have sg5:(kKS z)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
        by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
      from sg1 and a1 have sg6:knows P [kE z] by simp
      from sg4 and sg5 and sg6 and h2 and h3 and h4
        have knows PQ [kE z]
        by (rule knows-composition1-k)
      from this and sg2a and a1 show ?case by (simp add: knows-concat-3)
    next
      assume  $\neg (\exists z1. a = (kE z1))$ 
      from this and Cons and sg3 have  $\exists z2. a = (sE z2)$  by auto
      from this obtain z where a2:a = (sE z) by auto

```



```

from a2 and Cons have sg8:(sKS z) ∉ specKeysSecrets P
  by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
from a2 and Cons have sg9:(sKS z) ∉ specKeysSecrets Q
  by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
from sg1 and a2 have sg10:knows P [sE z] by simp
from sg8 and sg9 and sg10 and h2 and h3 and h4
  have knows PQ [sE z]
  by (rule knows-composition1-s)
from this and sg2a and a2 show ?case by (simp add: knows-concat-3)
qed
qed

```

lemma knows-composition4:

```

assumes h1:knows Q e
  and h2:subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
  and h3:correctCompositionIn PQ
  and h4:correctCompositionKS PQ
  and h5:∀ m. m mem e ⟶ ((∃ z. m = kE z) ∨ (∃ z. m = sE z))
  and h6:notSpecKeysSecretsExpr P e
  and h7:notSpecKeysSecretsExpr Q e
shows knows PQ e
using assms
proof (induct e)
  case Nil
    from this show ?case by (simp only: knows-emptyexpression)
  next
    fix a l
    case (Cons a l)
    from Cons have sg1:knows Q [a] by (simp add: knows-concat-1)
    from Cons have sg2:knows Q l by (simp only: knows-concat-2)
    from sg1 have sg3:a mem (a # l) by simp
    from Cons and sg2 have sg2a:knows PQ l
      by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-L2)
    from Cons and sg1 and sg2 and sg3 show ?case
    proof (cases ∃ z1. a = kE z1)
      assume ∃ z1. a = (kE z1)
      from this obtain z where a1:a = (kE z) by auto
      from a1 and Cons have sg4:(kKS z) ∉ specKeysSecrets P
        by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
      from a1 and Cons have sg5:(kKS z) ∉ specKeysSecrets Q
        by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
      from sg1 and a1 have sg6:knows Q [kE z] by simp
      from sg4 and sg5 and sg6 and h2 and h3 and h4
        have knows PQ [kE z]
        by (rule knows-composition2-k)
      from this and sg2a and a1 show ?case by (simp add: knows-concat-3)
    next
      assume ¬ (∃ z1. a = kE z1)
      from this and Cons and sg3 have ∃ z2. a = (sE z2) by auto

```

```

from this obtain  $z$  where  $a2:a = (sE\ z)$  by auto
from  $a2$  and Cons have  $sg8:(sKS\ z) \notin specKeysSecrets\ P$ 
  by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
from  $a2$  and Cons have  $sg9:(sKS\ z) \notin specKeysSecrets\ Q$ 
  by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
from  $sg1$  and  $a2$  have  $sg10:knows\ Q\ [sE\ z]$  by simp
from  $sg8$  and  $sg9$  and  $sg10$  and  $h2$  and  $h3$  and  $h4$ 
  have  $knows\ PQ\ [sE\ z]$ 
  by (rule knows-composition2-s)
from this and  $sg2a$  and  $a2$  show ?case by (simp add: knows-concat-3)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma knows-composition5:
assumes  $knows\ P\ e \vee knows\ Q\ e$ 
  and subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
  and correctCompositionIn  $PQ$ 
  and correctCompositionKS  $PQ$ 
  and  $\forall\ m. m\ mem\ e \longrightarrow ((\exists\ z. m = kE\ z) \vee (\exists\ z. m = sE\ z))$ 
  and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr  $P\ e$ 
  and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr  $Q\ e$ 
shows  $knows\ PQ\ e$ 
using assms by (metis knows-composition3 knows-composition4)

end

```