

Wetzel's Problem and the Continuum Hypothesis

Lawrence C. Paulson

February 6, 2026

Abstract

Let F be a set of analytic functions on the complex plane such that, for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the set $\{f(z) \mid f \in F\}$ is countable; must then F itself be countable? The answer is yes if the Continuum Hypothesis is false, i.e., if the cardinality of \mathbb{R} exceeds \aleph_1 . But if CH is true then such an F , of cardinality \aleph_1 , can be constructed by transfinite recursion.

The formal proof illustrates reasoning about complex analysis (analytic and homomorphic functions) and set theory (transfinite cardinalities) in a single setting. The mathematical text comes from *Proofs from THE BOOK* [1, pp. 137–8], by Aigner and Ziegler.

Contents

1	Wetzel’s Problem, Solved by Erdős	3
1.0.1	When the continuum hypothesis is false	3
1.0.2	When the continuum hypothesis is true	4

Acknowledgements The author was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178) funded by the European Research Council. Thanks also to Manuel Eberl for advice on proving a function to be holomorphic.

1 Wetzel's Problem, Solved by Erdős

Martin Aigner and Günter M. Ziegler. Proofs from THE BOOK. (Springer, 2018). Chapter 19: Sets, functions, and the continuum hypothesis Theorem 5 (pages 137–8)

theory *Wetzels-Problem* **imports**

HOL-Complex-Analysis. Complex-Analysis ZFC-in-HOL. General-Cardinals

begin

definition *Wetzel* :: (complex \Rightarrow complex) set \Rightarrow bool

where *Wetzel* $\equiv \lambda F. (\forall f \in F. f \text{ analytic-on } UNIV) \wedge (\forall z. \text{countable}((\lambda f. f z) \text{ ` } F))$

1.0.1 When the continuum hypothesis is false

proposition *Erdos-Wetzel-nonCH*:

assumes *W*: *Wetzel F* **and** *NCH*: *C-continuum* $> \aleph_1$

shows *countable F*

proof –

have $\exists z_0. \text{gcard} ((\lambda f. f z_0) \text{ ` } F) \geq \aleph_1$ **if** *uncountable F*

proof –

have *gcard F* $\geq \aleph_1$

using *that uncountable-gcard-ge by force*

then obtain *F'* **where** $F' \subseteq F$ **and** $F': \text{gcard } F' = \aleph_1$

by (*meson Card-Aleph subset-smaller-gcard*)

then obtain φ **where** φ : *bij-betw* φ (*elts* ω_1) *F'*

by (*metis TC-small eqpoll-def gcard-reqpoll*)

define *S* **where** $S \equiv \lambda \alpha \beta. \{z. \varphi \alpha z = \varphi \beta z\}$

have *co-S*: *gcard* ($S \alpha \beta$) $\leq \aleph_0$ **if** $\alpha \in \text{elts } \beta$ $\beta \in \text{elts } \omega_1$ **for** $\alpha \beta$

proof –

have $\varphi \alpha$ *holomorphic-on UNIV* $\varphi \beta$ *holomorphic-on UNIV*

using *W* $\langle F' \subseteq F \rangle$ **unfolding** *Wetzel-def*

by (*meson Ord- ω_1 Ord-trans φ analytic-imp-holomorphic bij-betwE subsetD*

that)+

moreover have $\varphi \alpha \neq \varphi \beta$

by (*metis Ord- ω_1 Ord-trans φ bij-betw-def inj-on-def mem-not-refl that*)

ultimately have *countable* ($S \alpha \beta$)

using *holomorphic-countable-equal-UNIV unfolding S-def by blast*

then show *?thesis*

using *countable-imp-g-le-Aleph0 by blast*

qed

define *SS* **where** $SS \equiv \bigsqcup \beta \in \text{elts } \omega_1. \bigsqcup \alpha \in \text{elts } \beta. S \alpha \beta$

have *F'-eq*: $F' = \varphi \text{ ` } \text{elts } \omega_1$

using φ *bij-betw-imp-surj-on by auto*

have \S : $\bigwedge \beta. \beta \in \text{elts } \omega_1 \implies \text{gcard} (\bigcup \alpha \in \text{elts } \beta. S \alpha \beta) \leq \omega$

by (*metis Aleph-0 TC-small co-S countable-UN countable-iff-g-le-Aleph0 less- ω_1 -imp-countable*)

have *gcard SS* $\leq \text{gcard} ((\lambda \beta. \bigcup \alpha \in \text{elts } \beta. S \alpha \beta) \text{ ` } \text{elts } \omega_1) \otimes \aleph_0$

```

    apply (simp add: SS-def)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) § TC-small gcard-Union-le-cmult imageE)
  also have ... ≤ ℵ1
  proof (rule cmult-InfCard-le)
    show gcard ((λβ. ⋃α∈elts β. S α β) ‘ elts ω1) ≤ ω1
      using gcard-image-le by fastforce
  qed auto
  finally have gcard SS ≤ ℵ1 .
  with NCH obtain z0 where z0 ∉ SS
    by (metis Complex-gcard UNIV-eq-I less-le-not-le)
  then have inj-on (λx. φ x z0) (elts ω1)
    apply (simp add: SS-def S-def inj-on-def)
    by (metis Ord-ω1 Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear)
  then have gcard ((λf. f z0) ‘ F') = ℵ1
    by (smt (verit) F' F'-eq gcard-image imageE inj-on-def)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis TC-small ⟨F' ⊆ F⟩ image-mono subset-imp-gcard-le)
  qed
  with W show ?thesis
    unfolding Wetzel-def by (meson countable uncountable-gcard-ge)
  qed

```

1.0.2 When the continuum hypothesis is true

lemma *Rats-closure-real2*: $\text{closure } (\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}) = (\text{UNIV}::\text{real set}) \times (\text{UNIV}::\text{real set})$
 by (simp add: Rats-closure-real closure-Times)

proposition *Erdos-Wetzel-CH*:

assumes *CH*: $C\text{-continuum} = \aleph_1$

obtains *F* where *Wetzel F* and *uncountable F*

proof –

define *D* where $D \equiv \{z. \text{Re } z \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge \text{Im } z \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

have *Deq*: $D = (\bigcup x \in \mathbb{Q}. \bigcup y \in \mathbb{Q}. \{\text{Complex } x y\})$

using *complex.collapse* by (force simp: *D-def*)

with *countable-rat* **have** *countable D*

by *blast*

have *infinite D*

unfolding *Deq*

by (*intro infinite-disjoint-family-imp-infinite-UNION Rats-infinite*) (*auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def*)

have $\exists w. \text{Re } w \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge \text{Im } w \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge \text{norm } (w - z) < e$ **if** $e > 0$ **for** *z* **and** *e::real*

proof –

obtain *x y* **where** $x \in \mathbb{Q} \ y \in \mathbb{Q}$ **and** *xy*: $\text{dist } (x,y) (\text{Re } z, \text{Im } z) < e$

using $\langle e > 0 \rangle$ *Rats-closure-real2* **unfolding** *closure-approachable set-eq-iff*

by *blast*

moreover **have** $\text{dist } (x,y) (\text{Re } z, \text{Im } z) = \text{norm } (\text{Complex } x y - z)$

by (*simp add: norm-complex-def norm-prod-def dist-norm*)

ultimately show $\exists w. \text{Re } w \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge \text{Im } w \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge \text{norm } (w - z) < e$

by (*metis complex.sel*)

```

qed
then have cloD: closure D = UNIV
  by (auto simp: D-def closure-approachable dist-complex-def)
obtain  $\zeta$  where  $\zeta$ : bij-betw  $\zeta$  (elts  $\omega 1$ ) (UNIV::complex set)
  by (metis Complex-gcard TC-small assms eqpoll-def gcard-reqpoll)
define inD where inD  $\equiv \lambda\beta f. (\forall\alpha \in \text{elts } \beta. f (\zeta \alpha) \in D)$ 
define  $\Phi$  where  $\Phi \equiv \lambda\beta f. f \beta$  analytic-on UNIV  $\wedge$  inD  $\beta$  (f  $\beta$ )  $\wedge$  inj-on f (elts
(succ  $\beta$ )))
have ind-step:  $\exists h. \Phi \gamma ((\text{restrict } f (\text{elts } \gamma))(\gamma:=h))$ 
  if  $\gamma$ :  $\gamma \in \text{elts } \omega 1$  and  $\forall \beta \in \text{elts } \gamma. \Phi \beta f$  for  $\gamma f$ 
proof –
  have f:  $\forall \beta \in \text{elts } \gamma. f \beta$  analytic-on UNIV  $\wedge$  inD  $\beta$  (f  $\beta$ )
    using that by (auto simp:  $\Phi$ -def)
  have inj: inj-on f (elts  $\gamma$ )
    using that by (simp add:  $\Phi$ -def inj-on-def) (meson Ord- $\omega 1$  Ord-in-Ord
Ord-linear)
obtain h where h analytic-on UNIV inD  $\gamma$  h ( $\forall \beta \in \text{elts } \gamma. h \neq f \beta$ )
proof (cases finite (elts  $\gamma$ ))
  case True
then obtain  $\eta$  where  $\eta$ : bij-betw  $\eta$   $\{..<\text{card} (\text{elts } \gamma)\}$  (elts  $\gamma$ )
  using bij-betw-from-nat-into-finite by blast
define g where  $g \equiv f \circ \eta$ 
define w where  $w \equiv \zeta \circ \eta$ 
have gf:  $\forall i < \text{card} (\text{elts } \gamma). h \neq g i \implies \forall \beta \in \text{elts } \gamma. h \neq f \beta$  for h
  using  $\eta$  by (auto simp: bij-betw-iff-bijections g-def)
have **:  $\exists h. h$  analytic-on UNIV  $\wedge$  ( $\forall i < n. h (w i) \in D \wedge h (w i) \neq g i$  (w
i))
  if  $n \leq \text{card} (\text{elts } \gamma)$  for n
  using that
proof (induction n)
  case 0
then show ?case
  using analytic-on-const by blast
next
case (Suc n)
then obtain h where h analytic-on UNIV and hg:  $\forall i < n. h (w i) \in D \wedge$ 
h(w i)  $\neq$  g i (w i)
  using Suc-leD by blast
define p where  $p \equiv \lambda z. \prod_{i < n. z - w i}$ 
have p0:  $p z = 0 \iff (\exists i < n. z = w i)$  for z
  unfolding p-def by force
obtain d where  $d \in D - \{g n (w n)\}$ 
  using (infinite D) by (metis ex-in-conv finite.emptyI infinite-remove)
define h' where  $h' \equiv \lambda z. h z + p z * (d - h (w n)) / p (w n)$ 
have h'-eq:  $h' (w i) = h (w i)$  if  $i < n$  for i
  using that by (force simp: h'-def p0)
show ?case
proof (intro exI strip conjI)
  have nless:  $n < \text{card} (\text{elts } \gamma)$ 

```

```

    using Suc.premis Suc-le-eq by blast
  with  $\eta$  have  $\eta n \neq \eta i$  if  $i < n$  for  $i$ 
    using that unfolding bij-betw-iff-bijections
    by (metis lessThan-iff less-not-refl order-less-trans)
  with  $\zeta \eta \gamma$  have pwn-nonzero:  $p (w n) \neq 0$ 
    apply (clarsimp simp: p0 w-def bij-betw-iff-bijections)
    by (metis Ord- $\omega$ 1 Ord-trans nless lessThan-iff order-less-trans)
  then show  $h'$  analytic-on UNIV
    unfolding  $h'$ -def p-def by (intro analytic-intros  $\langle h$  analytic-on UNIV  $\rangle$ )
  fix  $i$ 
  assume  $i < \text{Suc } n$ 
  then have  $\S$ :  $i < n \vee i = n$ 
    by linarith
  then show  $h' (w i) \in D$ 
    using  $h'$ -eq hg d  $h'$ -def pwn-nonzero by force
  show  $h' (w i) \neq g i (w i)$ 
    using  $\S$   $h'$ -eq hg  $h'$ -def d pwn-nonzero by fastforce
qed
qed
show ?thesis
  using ** [OF order-refl]  $\eta$  that gf
  by (simp add: w-def bij-betw-iff-bijections inD-def) metis
next
case False
then obtain  $\eta$  where  $\eta$ : bij-betw  $\eta$  (UNIV::nat set) (elts  $\gamma$ )
  by (meson  $\gamma$  countable-infiniteE' less- $\omega$ 1-imp-countable)
then have  $\eta$ -inject [simp]:  $\eta i = \eta j \iff i=j$  for  $i j$ 
  by (simp add: bij-betw-imp-inj-on inj-eq)
define  $g$  where  $g \equiv f \circ \eta$ 
define  $w$  where  $w \equiv \zeta \circ \eta$ 
then have  $w$ -inject [simp]:  $w i = w j \iff i=j$  for  $i j$ 
  by (smt (verit) Ord- $\omega$ 1 Ord-trans UNIV-I  $\eta \gamma \zeta$  bij-betw-iff-bijections
  comp-apply)
define  $p$  where  $p \equiv \lambda n z. \prod_{i < n}. z - w i$ 
define  $q$  where  $q \equiv \lambda n. \prod_{i < n}. 1 + \text{norm } (w i)$ 
define  $h$  where  $h \equiv \lambda n \varepsilon z. \sum_{i < n}. \varepsilon i * p i z$ 
define BALL where  $BALL \equiv \lambda n \varepsilon. \text{ball } (h n \varepsilon (w n)) (\text{norm } (p n (w n)) /$ 
(fact  $n * q n$ ))
  — The demonimator above is the key to keeping the epsilons small
define DD where  $DD \equiv \lambda n \varepsilon. D \cap BALL n \varepsilon - \{g n (w n)\}$ 
define dd where  $dd \equiv \lambda n \varepsilon. \text{SOME } x. x \in DD n \varepsilon$ 
have p0:  $p n z = 0 \iff (\exists i < n. z = w i)$  for  $z n$ 
  unfolding p-def by force
have [simp]:  $p n (w i) = 0$  if  $i < n$  for  $i n$ 
  using that by (simp add: p0)
have q-gt0:  $0 < q n$  for  $n$ 
  unfolding q-def by (smt (verit) norm-not-less-zero prod-pos)
have  $DD n \varepsilon \neq \{\}$  for  $n \varepsilon$ 
proof —

```

```

have r > 0  $\implies$  infinite (D  $\cap$  ball z r) for z r
  by (metis islimpt-UNIV limpt-of-closure islimpt-eq-infinite-ball cloD)
then have infinite (D  $\cap$  BALL n  $\varepsilon$ ) for n  $\varepsilon$ 
  by (simp add: BALL-def p0 q-gt0)
then show ?thesis
  by (metis DD-def finite.emptyI infinite-remove)
qed
then have dd-in-DD: dd n  $\varepsilon \in$  DD n  $\varepsilon$  for n  $\varepsilon$ 
  by (simp add: dd-def some-in-eq)

have h-cong: h n  $\varepsilon =$  h n  $\varepsilon'$  if  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies \varepsilon i = \varepsilon' i$  for n  $\varepsilon \varepsilon'$ 
  using that by (simp add: h-def)
have dd-cong: dd n  $\varepsilon =$  dd n  $\varepsilon'$  if  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies \varepsilon i = \varepsilon' i$  for n  $\varepsilon \varepsilon'$ 
  using that by (metis dd-def DD-def BALL-def h-cong)
have [simp]: h n (cut  $\varepsilon$  less-than n) = h n  $\varepsilon$  for n  $\varepsilon$ 
  by (meson cut-apply h-cong less-than-iff)
have [simp]: dd n (cut  $\varepsilon$  less-than n) = dd n  $\varepsilon$  for n  $\varepsilon$ 
  by (meson cut-apply dd-cong less-than-iff)

define coeff where coeff  $\equiv$  wfrec less-than ( $\lambda \varepsilon n. (dd n \varepsilon - h n \varepsilon (w n)) /$ 
p n (w n))
have coeff-eq: coeff n = (dd n coeff - h n coeff (w n)) / p n (w n) for n
  by (simp add: def-wfrec [OF coeff-def])

have norm-coeff: norm (coeff n) < 1 / (fact n * q n) for n
  using dd-in-DD [of n coeff]
by (simp add: q-gt0 coeff-eq DD-def BALL-def dist-norm norm-minus-commute
norm-divide divide-simps)
have norm-p-bound: norm (p n z')  $\leq$  q n * (1 + norm z)  $^{\wedge}$  n
  if dist z z'  $\leq$  1 for n z z'
proof (induction n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (auto simp: p-def q-def)
next
  case (Suc n)
  have norm z' - norm z  $\leq$  1
    by (smt (verit) dist-norm norm-triangle-ineq3 that)
  then have  $\S$ : norm (z' - w n)  $\leq$  (1 + norm (w n)) * (1 + norm z)
    by (simp add: mult.commute add-mono distrib-left norm-triangle-le-diff)
  have norm (p n z') * norm (z' - w n)  $\leq$  (q n * (1 + norm z)  $^{\wedge}$  n) * norm
(z' - w n)
    by (metis Suc mult.commute mult-left-mono norm-ge-zero)
  also have ...  $\leq$  (q n * (1 + norm z)  $^{\wedge}$  n) * (1 + norm (w n)) * ((1 +
norm z)
 $^{\wedge}$  n)
    by (smt (verit)  $\S$  Suc mult.assoc mult-left-mono norm-ge-zero)
  also have ...  $\leq$  q n * (1 + norm (w n)) * ((1 + norm z) * (1 + norm z)
 $^{\wedge}$  n)
    by auto

```

```

finally show ?case
  by (auto simp: p-def q-def norm-mult simp del: fact-Suc)
qed

show ?thesis
proof
  define hh where hh  $\equiv \lambda z. \text{suminf } (\lambda i. \text{coeff } i * p \ i \ z)$ 
  have hh holomorphic-on UNIV
  proof (rule holomorphic-uniform-sequence)
    fix n — Many thanks to Manuel Eberl for suggesting these approach
    show h n coeff holomorphic-on UNIV
      unfolding h-def p-def by (intro holomorphic-intros)
  next
    fix z
    have uniform-limit (cball z 1) ( $\lambda n. h \ n \ \text{coeff}$ ) hh sequentially
      unfolding hh-def h-def
    proof (rule Weierstrass-m-test)
      let ?M =  $\lambda n. (1 + \text{norm } z) ^ n / \text{fact } n$ 
      have  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. B \leq (1 + \text{real } n) / (1 + \text{norm } z)$  for B
      proof
        show  $\forall n \geq \text{nat } [B * (1 + \text{norm } z)]. B \leq (1 + \text{real } n) / (1 + \text{norm } z)$ 
          using norm-ge-zero [of z] by (auto simp: divide-simps simp del:
norm-ge-zero)
        qed
      then have L:  $\text{liminf } (\lambda n. \text{ereal } ((1 + \text{real } n) / (1 + \text{norm } z))) = \infty$ 
        by (simp add: Lim-PInfty flip: liminf-PInfty)
      have  $\forall_F n$  in sequentially.  $0 < (1 + \text{cmod } z) ^ n / \text{fact } n$ 
        using norm-ge-zero [of z] by (simp del: norm-ge-zero)
      then show summable ?M
        by (rule ratio-test-convergence) (auto simp: add-nonneg-eq-0-iff L)
      fix n z'
      assume  $z' \in \text{cball } z \ 1$ 
      then have  $\text{norm } (\text{coeff } n * p \ n \ z') \leq \text{norm } (\text{coeff } n) * q \ n * (1 + \text{norm } z) ^ n$ 
        by (simp add: mult.assoc mult-mono norm-mult norm-p-bound)
      also have  $\dots \leq (1 / \text{fact } n) * (1 + \text{norm } z) ^ n$ 
      proof (rule mult-right-mono)
        show  $\text{norm } (\text{coeff } n) * q \ n \leq 1 / \text{fact } n$ 
          using q-gt0 norm-coeff [of n] by (simp add: field-simps)
      qed auto
      also have  $\dots \leq ?M \ n$ 
        by (simp add: divide-simps)
      finally show  $\text{norm } (\text{coeff } n * p \ n \ z') \leq ?M \ n$  .
    qed
    then show  $\exists d > 0. \text{cball } z \ d \subseteq \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{uniform-limit } (\text{cball } z \ d) (\lambda n. h \ n \ \text{coeff})$  hh sequentially
      using zero-less-one by blast
    qed auto
  then show hh analytic-on UNIV

```

```

    by (simp add: analytic-on-open)
  have hh-eq-dd: hh (w n) = dd n coeff for n
  proof -
    have hh (w n) = h (Suc n) coeff (w n)
      unfolding hh-def h-def by (intro suminf-finite) auto
    also have ... = dd n coeff
      by (induction n) (auto simp add: p0 h-def p-def coeff-eq [of Suc -] coeff-eq
[of 0])
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  then have hh (w n) ∈ D for n
    using DD-def dd-in-DD by fastforce
  then show inD γ hh
    unfolding inD-def by (metis η bij-betw-iff-bijections comp-apply w-def)
  have hh (w n) ≠ f (η n) (w n) for n
    using DD-def dd-in-DD g-def hh-eq-dd by auto
  then show ∀ β ∈ elts γ. hh ≠ f β
    by (metis η bij-betw-imp-surj-on imageE)
  qed
  with f show ?thesis
    using inj by (rule-tac x=h in exI) (auto simp: Φ-def inj-on-def)
  qed
  define G where G ≡ λf γ. @h. Φ γ ((restrict f (elts γ))(γ:=h))
  define f where f ≡ transrec G
  have Φf: Φ β f if β ∈ elts ω1 for β
    using that
  proof (induction β rule: eps-induct)
    case (step γ)
    then have IH: ∀ β ∈ elts γ. Φ β f
      using Ord-ω1 Ord-trans by blast
    have f γ = G f γ
      by (metis G-def f-def restrict-apply' restrict-ext transrec)
    moreover have Φ γ ((restrict f (elts γ))(γ := G f γ))
      by (metis ind-step[OF step.prem] G-def IH someI)
    ultimately show ?case
      by (metis IH Φ-def elts-succ fun-upd-same fun-upd-triv inj-on-restrict-eq
restrict-upd)
  qed
  then have anf: ∧β. β ∈ elts ω1 ⇒ f β analytic-on UNIV
    and inD: ∧α β. [β ∈ elts ω1; α ∈ elts β] ⇒ f β (ζ α) ∈ D
    using Φ-def inD-def by blast+
  have injf: inj-on f (elts ω1)
    using Φf unfolding inj-on-def Φ-def by (metis Ord-ω1 Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear-le
in-succ-iff)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    let ?F = f ‘ elts ω1
    have countable ((λf. f z) ‘ f ‘ elts ω1) for z

```

```

proof –
  obtain  $\alpha$  where  $\alpha: \zeta \alpha = z \alpha \in \text{elts } \omega 1 \text{ Ord } \alpha$ 
    by (meson Ord- $\omega 1$  Ord-in-Ord UNIV-I  $\zeta$  bij-betw-iff-bijections)
  let  $?B = \text{elts } \omega 1 - \text{elts } (\text{succ } \alpha)$ 
  have  $eq: \text{elts } \omega 1 = \text{elts } (\text{succ } \alpha) \cup ?B$ 
    using  $\alpha$  by (metis Diff-partition Ord- $\omega 1$  OrdmemD less-eq-V-def succ-le-iff)
  have  $(\lambda f. f z) ' f ' ?B \subseteq D$ 
    using  $\alpha$  inD by clarsimp (meson Ord- $\omega 1$  Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear)
  then have countable  $((\lambda f. f z) ' f ' ?B)$ 
    by (meson <countable D> countable-subset)
  moreover have countable  $((\lambda f. f z) ' f ' \text{elts } (\text{succ } \alpha))$ 
    by (simp add:  $\alpha$  less- $\omega 1$ -imp-countable)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using  $eq$  by (metis countable-Un-iff image-Un)
qed
then show Wetzel ?F
  unfolding Wetzel-def by (blast intro: anf)
show uncountable ?F
  using Ord- $\omega 1$  countable-iff-less- $\omega 1$  countable-image-inj-eq injf by blast
qed
qed

```

theorem *Erdos-Wetzel: C -continuum = $\aleph 1 \iff (\exists F. \text{Wetzel } F \wedge \text{uncountable } F)$*
by (*metis C-continuum-ge Erdos-Wetzel-CH Erdos-Wetzel-nonCH less-V-def*)

The originally submitted version of this theory included the development of cardinals for general Isabelle/HOL sets (as opposed to ZF sets, elements of type V), as well as other generally useful library material. From March 2022, that material has been moved to the analysis libraries or to *ZFC-in-HOL.General-Cardinals*, as appropriate.

end

References

- [1] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler. *Proofs from THE BOOK*. Springer, 6th edition, 2018.