

# Formalization of 3-independence of simple tabulation hashing

Wei De Leong and Yong Kiam Tan and Seng Joe Watt

July 4, 2026

## Abstract

Simple tabulation hashing [2] is a computationally-efficient hashing algorithm to get values that behave independently and are uniformly distributed for any 3 distinct keys. This entry formalizes the 3-independence and non-4-independence of simple tabulation hashing, based on the written proofs provided by [1, Solutions 8][4]

## Contents

<b>1 Preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 The XOR operator . . . . .	2
1.1.1 Definition of XOR . . . . .	3
1.1.2 Standard Instantiations . . . . .	4
1.1.3 Syntactic sugar . . . . .	4
1.2 Intro rules, contrapositives and bijections . . . . .	9
1.2.1 Application . . . . .	11
<b>2 Definitions</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>3 Proofs</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1 Proof of 1-independence and uniformity . . . . .	14
3.2 Proof of two-independence . . . . .	14
3.3 Proof of 3-independence . . . . .	14
3.4 Proof of 3-universal . . . . .	15
3.5 Proof of non-4-universal . . . . .	15
<b>4 Appendix</b>	<b>16</b>
4.1 Utility . . . . .	16
4.2 Alternate proof of non-4-universal . . . . .	16
4.2.1 Preliminaries . . . . .	16
4.2.2 Proofs . . . . .	17

```

theory Xor
imports
  Main
begin

```

This theory abstractly defines

- the binary operator *xor* (aliases (*XOR*) ( $\oplus$ ))
- the n-ary version *xor-fold*
- and the Big version *xor-sum* (alias  $\bigoplus_{i \in J}. f i$ ).

An implementation of xor should abide by the following laws:

- identity element
- commutative
- associative
- left/right neutrality
- self-inverse

which constitutes an abelian group.

Instantiations of `abel_group_xor` have been provided for `bool`, `nat` and `int`.

Other theories relating to xor:

- *abstract-boolean-algebra-sym-diff*
- *xor*
- (AFP) `Approximate_Model_Counting.RandomXOR` [3]

## 1 Preliminaries

### 1.1 The XOR operator

**lemma** (in *monoid-list*) *list-conv-take-drop*:  
**shows**  $F xs = F (take\ i\ xs) * F (drop\ i\ xs)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *monoid-list*) *list-conv-take-nth-drop*:  
**assumes**  $i < length\ xs$   
**shows**  $F xs = F (take\ i\ xs) * xs\ !\ i * F (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *comm-monoid-list*) *absorb-right*:

**assumes**  $i < \text{length } xs$

**shows**  $F\ xs * v = F\ (xs[i := xs ! i * v])$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *semiring-bit-operations*) *eq-iff*:

**shows** *semiring-bit-operations-class.xor*  $a\ b = 0 \longleftrightarrow a = b$

*<proof>*

### 1.1.1 Definition of XOR

**class** *xor* =

**fixes** *xor* ::  $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$  (**infixr**  $\langle XOR \rangle$  59) — Definition of 2-ary xor

**class** *abel-group-xor* = *zero* + *xor* +

**assumes** *xor-commute*:  $a\ XOR\ b = b\ XOR\ a$

**assumes** *xor-assoc*:  $(a\ XOR\ b)\ XOR\ c = a\ XOR\ b\ XOR\ c$

**assumes** *xor-right-neutral*:  $a\ XOR\ 0 = a$

**assumes** *eq-iff [iff]*:  $a\ XOR\ b = 0 \longleftrightarrow a = b$

**begin**

**lemma** *self-inv [simp]*:

**shows**  $a\ XOR\ a = 0$

*<proof>*

The above properties show that XOR forms an abelian group

**sublocale** *group xor 0 id*

*<proof>*

**sublocale** *abel-semigroup xor <proof>*

**sublocale** *comm-monoid xor 0 <proof>*

**sublocale** *xor-sum: comm-monoid-set xor 0*

**defines** *xor-sum* = *xor-sum.F* *<proof>*

**abbreviation** *Xor-Sum*  $\equiv$  *xor-sum* ( $\lambda x. x$ )

**sublocale** *xor-list: monoid-list xor 0*

**defines** *xor-fold* = *xor-list.F* *<proof>*

**sublocale** *xor-comm-list: comm-monoid-list xor 0*

**rewrites** *monoid-list.F xor 0* = *xor-fold*

*<proof>*

**sublocale** *xor-list: comm-monoid-list-set xor 0*

**rewrites** *monoid-list.F xor 0* = *xor-fold* **and** *comm-monoid-set.F xor 0* =  
*xor-sum*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *xor-cong*:

**assumes**  $a \text{ XOR } b = 0$

**shows**  $a = b$

$\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *inj-on-UNIV*:

**shows** *inj-on xor UNIV*

$\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *map-indices-conv-list-update-conv*:

**shows**  $\text{map } (\lambda j. \text{ if } j = i \text{ then } g \ j \ \text{else } xs \ ! \ j) \ [0..<\text{length } xs] = xs[i := g \ i]$

$\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *fold-absorb*:

**assumes**  $i < \text{length } xs$

**shows**  $\text{xor-fold } xs \ \text{XOR } v =$

$\text{xor-fold } (\text{map } (\lambda j. \text{ if } j = i \text{ then } xs \ ! \ j \ \text{XOR } v \ \text{else } xs \ ! \ j) \ [0..<\text{length } xs])$

$\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**end**

**hide-fact** *xor-commute xor-assoc xor-right-neutral*

### 1.1.2 Standard Instantiations

**instantiation** *bool* :: *abel-group-xor* **begin**

**definition** *zero-bool*  $\equiv \text{False}$

**definition** *xor-bool* ::  $\text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where** *xor-bool*  $a \ b \equiv (a \neq b)$

**instance**  $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**end**

**instantiation** *nat* **and** *int* :: *abel-group-xor* **begin**

**definition** *xor-nat* ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  **where** *xor-nat*  $\equiv \text{semiring-bit-operations-class.xor}$

**definition** *xor-int* ::  $\text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int}$  **where** *xor-int*  $\equiv \text{semiring-bit-operations-class.xor}$

**instance**  $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**end**

### 1.1.3 Syntactic sugar

**open-bundle** *xor-syntax* **begin**

**notation** *xor* (**infixr**  $\langle \oplus \rangle$  59)

**notation** *Xor-Sum* ( $\langle \bigoplus \rangle$ )

Now: lots of fancy syntax. First, *xor-sum*  $(\lambda x. e) \ A$  is written  $\bigoplus_{x \in A} e$ .

**syntax** (*ASCII*)

*-xor-sum* ::  $\text{pttrn} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b::\text{abel-group-xor}$  ( $\langle$  $\langle$ *indent=3 notation=* $\langle$ *binder*

*XORSUM* $\rangle\rangle$ *XORSUM*  $(-/:-)/ \ -)$   $[0, 51, 10] \ 10)$

**syntax**

```
-xor-sum :: pttm => 'a set => 'b => 'b::abel-group-xor (<(<indent=2 notation=<binder
⊕ >>⊕ (-/∈-)./-) > [0, 51, 10] 10)
```

**syntax-consts**

```
-xor-sum ≡ xor-sum
```

**translations** — Beware of argument permutation!

```
⊕ i∈A. b ≡ CONST xor-sum (λi. b) A
end
```

**unbundle** *no xor-syntax*

**end**

**theory** *Dependent-Product*

```
imports HOL-Probability.Probability
```

**begin**

The following lemma was reproduced from [3, Lemma *measure\_pmf\_prob\_dependent\_product\_bound\_eq*] The AFP imports `Monad_Normalisation.Monad_Normalisation` which alters some Isabelle syntax, which we want to avoid by copy pasting the lemmas here

**lemma** *measure-pmf-prob-dependent-product-bound-eq*:

```
assumes countable A ∧ i. countable (B i)
```

```
assumes ∧ a. a ∈ A ⇒ measure-pmf.prob N (B a) = r
```

```
shows measure-pmf.prob (pair-pmf M N) (Sigma A B) = measure-pmf.prob M
A * r
```

```
(is ?L = ?R)
```

```
<proof>
```

The following lemma was reproduced from [3, Lemma *measure\_pmf\_prob\_dependent\_product\_bound\_eq'*] The AFP imports `Monad_Normalisation.Monad_Normalisation` which alters some Isabelle syntax, which we want to avoid by copy pasting the lemmas here

**lemma** *measure-pmf-prob-dependent-product-bound-eq'*:

```
— N is the pmf we want to fix depending on values from M
```

```
assumes ∧ a. a ∈ A ∩ set-pmf M ⇒ measure-pmf.prob N (B a) = r
```

```
shows measure-pmf.prob (pair-pmf M N) (Sigma A B) = measure-pmf.prob M
A * r
```

```
(is ?L = ?R)
```

```
<proof>
```

**end**

**theory** *Xor-Inst*

**imports**

```
Xor
```

```
Fixed-Length-Vector.Fixed-Length-Vector
```

**begin**

More instances for *abel-group-xor*

**unbundle** *Fixed-Length-Vector.vec-syntax*

Sequences containing a base type that can be xor'd can itself also be xor'd, via element-wise xor

**instantiation** *vec* :: (*abel-group-xor,index1*) *abel-group-xor* **begin**

XORing two vectors is defined as element-wise XOR

**definition** *xor-vec* :: ('a, 'b) *vec* ⇒  
                  ('a, 'b) *vec* ⇒  
                  ('a, 'b) *vec* **where**  
*xor-vec a b* ≡ *map-vec* (λ(*a',b'*). *a' XOR b'*) (*zip-vec a b*)

The identity vector is a vector where every element is zero

**definition** *zero-vec* ≡ *replicate-vec 0* :: ('a,'b) *vec*

**instance** ⟨*proof*⟩

**end**

**lemma** *nth-conv* [*simp*]:

**shows** (*a XOR b*) \$ *i* = *a* \$ *i XOR b* \$ *i*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**unbundle** *no Fixed-Length-Vector.vec-syntax*

**end**

**theory** *Vec-Extras*

**imports**

*HOL-Analysis.Finite-Cartesian-Product*

*Fixed-Length-Vector.Fixed-Length-Vector*

**begin**

**unbundle** *no Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec-syntax*

**unbundle** *Fixed-Length-Vector.vec-syntax*

**setup-lifting** *type-definition-vec*

The most important lemmas to know when working with *Fixed-Length-Vector.vec* are

- *vec-of-list-inject*:  $\llbracket x \in \{xs. \text{length } xs = \text{CARD('b)}\}; y \in \{xs. \text{length } xs = \text{CARD('b)}\} \rrbracket \implies (\text{vec-of-list } x = \text{vec-of-list } y) = (x = y)$
- *vec-of-list-inverse*:  $y \in \{xs. \text{length } xs = \text{CARD('b)}\} \implies \text{list-of-vec} (\text{vec-of-list } y) = y$
- *nth-vec.rep-eq*:  $(\$) x = (!) (\text{list-of-vec } x) \circ \text{from-index}$

Tip: When using *vec-of-list* to create a vector *v* from *xs*, it is essential to separately prove that  $xs \in \{xs. \text{length } xs = \text{CARD('b)}\}$  for above lemmas to apply

**lift-definition** *vec-of-fcp* :: ('a, 'b::{finite, index1}) *Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec* ⇒

('a, 'b) *Fixed-Length-Vector.vec* **is**  
 ⟨λx. map (λi. *Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec-nth* x i :: 'a) (indexes :: 'b list)⟩  
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition** *fcp-of-vec* :: ('a, 'b::{finite, index1}) *Fixed-Length-Vector.vec* ⇒  
 ('a, 'b) *Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec* **where**

⟨*fcp-of-vec* ≡ λx. *Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec-lambda* (λi. x \$ i)⟩

**lift-definition** *enumerate-vec* :: nat ⇒ ('a, 'b) *Fixed-Length-Vector.vec* ⇒  
 (nat × 'a, 'b) *Fixed-Length-Vector.vec* **is**

⟨*List.enumerate*⟩ ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *infinite-UNIV-vec*:

**assumes** *infinite* (UNIV :: 'a set) *finite* (UNIV :: 'b set)

**shows** *infinite* (UNIV :: ('a, 'b) *Fixed-Length-Vector.vec* set)

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *infinite-index-UNIV-vec*:

**assumes** *infinite* (UNIV :: 'b set)

**shows** (UNIV :: ('a, 'b) *Fixed-Length-Vector.vec* set) = {*vec-of-list* []}

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *card-UNIV-vec*:

**shows** *CARD*((('a, 'b) *Fixed-Length-Vector.vec*) = *CARD*('a) ^ *CARD*('b) **(is**  
 ?lhs = ?rhs)

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (in *index1*) *from-index-neq* [*simp*]:

**assumes**  $a \neq b$

**shows** *from-index* a ≠ *from-index* b

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *list-of-vec-not-empty* [*simp*]:

**fixes**  $xs :: ('a, 'b :: index1) \textit{Fixed-Length-Vector.vec}$

**shows** *list-of-vec* xs ≠ []

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *id-take-nth-drop-vec*:

**fixes**  $xs :: ('a, 'b :: index1) \textit{Fixed-Length-Vector.vec}$

**assumes**  $i < \textit{CARD}('b)$

**shows**

$xs = \textit{vec-of-list}$  (  
 $\textit{take } i (\textit{list-of-vec } xs) \textcircled{\#}$   
 $\textit{list-of-vec } xs ! i \#$   
 $\textit{drop } (\textit{Suc } i) (\textit{list-of-vec } xs)$ )

*<proof>*

**lemma** *id-take-nth-drop-vec'*:

**fixes**  $xs :: ('a, 'b :: index1) \text{Fixed-Length-Vector.vec}$

**assumes**  $i < \text{CARD}('b)$

**shows**

$xs = \text{vec-of-list} ($   
   $\text{take } i (\text{list-of-vec } xs) @$   
   $xs \$ \text{to-index } i \#$   
   $\text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) (\text{list-of-vec } xs))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *nth-not-mem-enumerate*:

**assumes**  $y ! i \neq x ! i$

**shows**  $(i, y ! i) \notin \text{set } (\text{List.enumerate } 0 \ x)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *nth-not-mem-enumerate-take*:

**assumes**  $y ! j \neq x ! j$

**shows**  $(j, y ! j) \notin \text{set } (\text{List.enumerate } 0 \ (\text{take } i \ x))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *nth-not-mem-enumerate-drop*:

**assumes**  $y ! j \neq x ! j$

**shows**  $(j, y ! j) \notin \text{set } (\text{List.enumerate } (\text{Suc } i) \ (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) \ x))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *nth-enumerate-eq-vec*:

**fixes**  $xs :: ('a, 'b :: index1) \text{Fixed-Length-Vector.vec}$

**assumes**  $m < \text{CARD}('b)$

**shows**  $\text{enumerate-vec } n \ xs \$ \text{to-index } m = (n + m, xs \$ \text{to-index } m)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-set-enumerate-eq-vec*:

**fixes**  $xs :: ('a, 'b :: index1) \text{Fixed-Length-Vector.vec}$

**shows**  $p \in \text{set-vec } (\text{enumerate-vec } n \ xs) \longleftrightarrow$

$n \leq \text{fst } p \wedge \text{fst } p < \text{CARD}('b) + n \wedge xs \$ \text{to-index } (\text{fst } p - n) = \text{snd } p$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *inj-vec-of-fcp*:

**shows** *inj vec-of-fcp*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *inj-fcp-of-vec*:

**shows** *inj fcp-of-vec*

*<proof>*

```

lemma fcf-of-vec-inverse:
  shows vec-of-fcf (fcf-of-vec x) = x
  <proof>

lemma vec-of-fcf-inverse:
  shows fcf-of-vec (vec-of-fcf x) = x
  <proof>

lemma surj-vec-of-fcf:
  shows surj vec-of-fcf
  <proof>

lemma surj-fcf-of-vec:
  shows surj fcf-of-vec
  <proof>

lemma bij-vec-of-fcf:
  shows bij vec-of-fcf
  <proof>

lemma bij-fcf-of-vec:
  shows bij fcf-of-vec
  <proof>

unbundle no Fixed-Length-Vector.vec-syntax
end
theory Simple-Tabulation-Hashing
imports
  Universal-Hash-Families.Universal-Hash-Families-More-Product-PMF
  Dependent-Product
  Xor-Inst
  Vec-Extras
begin

unbundle Xor.xor-syntax
unbundle Fixed-Length-Vector.vec-syntax
unbundle no Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec-syntax
hide-type Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec

```

## 1.2 Intro rules, contrapositives and bijections

```

lemma (in prob-space) k-wise-indep-varsI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge a J. \llbracket J \subseteq I; \text{card } J \leq k; \text{finite } J \rrbracket \implies$ 
     $\text{prob } \{\omega. \forall i \in J. X i \omega = a i\} = (\prod_{i \in J}. \text{prob } \{\omega. X i \omega = a i\})$ 
     $M = \text{measure-pmf } p$ 
  shows k-wise-indep-vars k ( $\lambda\cdot$ . count-space UNIV) X I
  <proof>

```

**lemma** (in *prob-space*) *indep-vars-pmf-contrapos*:  
**assumes**  $\text{prob } \{\omega. \forall x \in J. P x (X' x \omega)\} \neq (\prod x \in J. \text{prob } \{\omega. P x (X' x \omega)\})$   
*finite J M = measure-pmf p*  
**shows**  $\neg \text{indep-vars } (\lambda. \text{count-space UNIV}) X' J$   
*<proof>*

**locale** *bij-betw-funcsetE* **begin**

These lemmas prove bijections between sets of 2-arity functions and their decompositions

For example, a function  $f : [0, 100] \rightarrow \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow \{True, False\}$  can be decomposed into 3 parts:

$$\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow \{True, False\} \\ \equiv & [1, 100] \rightarrow \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow \{True, False\} \times \\ & \{A, C\} \rightarrow \{True, False\} \times \\ & \{True, False\} \end{aligned}$$

- base:  $[1, 100] \rightarrow \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow \{True, False\}$  (split the 0-index, leaving 1-100 intact)
- $z_0$ :  $\{A, C\} \rightarrow \{True, False\}$  (represents the 0-index, but further split the B-index)
- $z_b$ :  $B \in \{True, False\}$  (decides the value for  $f 0 B$ )

$f$  is equivalent to its reconstruction,  $f = \text{base}(0 := z_0(B := z_b))$

**context**

**fixes**  $\alpha$  **and**  $A B :: - \text{set}$

**assumes**  $\alpha\text{-in-}A: \alpha \in A$

**begin**

**lemma** *bij-PiE-remove-point*:

*bij-betw*  $(\lambda (f, v). f(\alpha := v)) ((A - \{\alpha\} \rightarrow_E B) \times B) (A \rightarrow_E B)$   
*<proof>*

Helper: "Absorb" a point into a function space bijection. This allows chaining: if we have a bijection  $F$  for a smaller domain  $S \rightarrow (A - \{x\} \rightarrow B)$ , we can automatically get a bijection for  $S \times B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$ .

**lemma** *bij-absorb-point*:

**assumes** *bij-betw*  $F S (A - \{\alpha\} \rightarrow_E B)$

**shows** *bij-betw*  $(\lambda (s, v). (F s)(\alpha := v)) (S \times B) (A \rightarrow_E B)$

*<proof>*

Helper 2: "Lift Value" Attaches a computed value  $V$  to the function at point  $x$ . Used for the outer function (e.g.,  $a(\alpha := V)$ ).

**lemma** *bij-lift-value*:

**assumes** *bij-betw*  $V S B$   
**shows** *bij-betw*  $(\lambda(f, s). f(\alpha := V s)) ((A - \{\alpha\} \rightarrow_E B) \times S) (A \rightarrow_E B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

Tuple Association Helper:  $((A, B), C) \longleftrightarrow (A, B, C)$

**lemma** *bij-assoc-right-to-left*:  
*bij-betw*  $(\lambda(a, b, c). ((a, b), c)) (A \times B \times C) ((A \times B) \times C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-assoc-left-to-right*:  
*bij-betw*  $(\lambda((a, b), c). (a, b, c)) ((A \times B) \times C) (A \times B \times C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-betw-combine-right*:  
**assumes** *bij-betw*  $f B C$   
**shows** *bij-betw*  $(\lambda(a, b). (a, f b)) (A \times B) (A \times C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.2.1 Application

**lemma** *remove1-remove1*:  
**assumes**  $\alpha \in A \beta \in B$  *finite A finite B finite C*  $C \neq \{\}$   
**shows** *bij-betw*  
 $(\lambda(a, b, c). a(\alpha := b(\beta := c)))$   
 $((A - \{\alpha\} \rightarrow_E B \rightarrow_E C) \times (B - \{\beta\} \rightarrow_E C) \times C)$   
 $(A \rightarrow_E B \rightarrow_E C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove1-remove2*:  
**assumes**  $\beta 1 \neq \beta 2 \alpha \in A \beta 1 \in B \beta 2 \in B$   
*finite A finite B finite C*  $C \neq \{\}$   
**shows** *bij-betw*  
 $(\lambda(a, b, c, d). a(\alpha := b(\beta 1 := c, \beta 2 := d)))$   
 $((A - \{\alpha\} \rightarrow_E B \rightarrow_E C) \times (B - \{\beta 1, \beta 2\} \rightarrow_E C) \times C \times C)$   
 $(A \rightarrow_E B \rightarrow_E C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove1-remove3*:  
**assumes**  $\beta 1 \neq \beta 2 \beta 2 \neq \beta 3 \beta 3 \neq \beta 1 \alpha \in A \beta 1 \in B \beta 2 \in B \beta 3 \in B$   
*finite A finite B finite C*  $C \neq \{\}$   
**shows** *bij-betw*  
 $(\lambda(a, b, c, d, e). a(\alpha := b(\beta 1 := c, \beta 2 := d, \beta 3 := e)))$   
 $((A - \{\alpha\} \rightarrow_E B \rightarrow_E C) \times (B - \{\beta 1, \beta 2, \beta 3\} \rightarrow_E C) \times C \times C \times C)$   
 $(A \rightarrow_E B \rightarrow_E C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove2-remove2'remove1*:

```

assumes  $\alpha 1 \neq \alpha 2 \ \beta 1 \neq \beta 2 \ \alpha 1 \in A \ \alpha 2 \in A \ \beta 1 \in B \ \beta 2 \in B \ \beta 3 \in B$ 
            $finite \ A \ finite \ B \ finite \ C \ C \neq \{\}$ 
shows bij-betw
          $(\lambda(a,b,c,d,e,f). \ a(\alpha 1 := b(\beta 1 := d, \ \beta 2 := e), \ \alpha 2 := c(\beta 3 := f)))$ 
          $((A - \{\alpha 1, \alpha 2\} \rightarrow_E B \rightarrow_E C) \times (B - \{\beta 1, \beta 2\} \rightarrow_E C) \times (B - \{\beta 3\} \rightarrow_E$ 
          $C) \times C \times C \times C)$ 
          $(A \rightarrow_E B \rightarrow_E C)$ 
         <proof>

end

```

## 2 Definitions

```

locale simple-tabulation-hashing =
  fixes  $n :: 'n :: \{index1, zero\} \ itself$  — key length, i.e. 4 for 4 'fragments
  fixes  $r :: 'result :: \{abel-group-xor, finite\} \ itself$  — bool or any type that supports
xor
  fixes  $q :: 'q :: index1 \ itself$  — digest length, i.e. 3
  fixes  $d :: 'fragment :: finite \ itself$  — domain of input key fragments
  assumes  $n: n = TYPE('n)$ 
begin

```

In tabulation hashing, keys are split into  $n$  fragments, each piece hashed individually, before being combined into a final digest with the XOR operator. i.e.  $x \equiv [x_0, x_1, x_2]$ ,  $h(x) \equiv h_0x_0 \oplus h_1x_1 \oplus h_2x_2$

Therefore, the type variable 'n,  $CARD('n)$  and  $n$  must reflect the number of parts in a key. In the example above, 'n = 3 (which is an alias for num1 bit1)

The final digest length is indicated by the type variable 'q, and 'result is any type that supports xor. For example, 'result = bool. When using 'result = bool and 'q = 3, it can be interpreted as using a 3-bit word as the output, which can encode  $2^3 = 8$  possibilities, e.g. [True, False, True]

**abbreviation**  $cardn :: 'n \ itself \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  $cardn - \equiv CARD('n)$

**abbreviation**  $N :: 'n \ itself \Rightarrow nat \ set$  **where**  $N \ type \equiv \{..<cardn \ type\}$

**abbreviation**  $D :: 'fragment \ set$  **where**  $D \equiv UNIV$

**abbreviation**  $Dn :: ('fragment, 'n) \ vec \ set \ (\langle D^n \rangle)$  **where**

— domain of all possible input keys s.t. each key is composed of exactly \*n\* fragments  
 $D^n \equiv UNIV$

**abbreviation**  $R :: ('result, 'q) \ vec \ set$  **where**

— range of all possible outputs, s.t. each output is composed of exactly \*q\* bits  
 $R \equiv UNIV$

**abbreviation**  $H :: 'n \text{ itself} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'fragment \Rightarrow ('result, 'q) \text{ vec}) \text{ set}$  **where**  
 — set of all possible assignments and combinations for every column-item pair  
 $H \text{ type} \equiv N \text{ type} \rightarrow_E D \rightarrow_E R$

**definition**  $tb-S :: 'n \text{ itself} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'fragment \Rightarrow ('result, 'q) \text{ vec}) \text{ pmf}$  **where**  
 — Generator of instances of H  
 $tb-S - \equiv \text{pmf-of-set } (H \ n)$

**definition**  $tb-H :: ('fragment, 'n) \text{ vec} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'fragment \Rightarrow ('result, 'q) \text{ vec}) \Rightarrow ('result, 'q) \text{ vec}$  **where**  
 — Applies the tabulation hashing algorithm on \*k\* using a hash function from H  
 $tb-H \ k \ h \equiv \text{xor-fold } (\text{map } (\lambda i. h \ i \ (k \ \$ \ \text{to-index } i))) \ ([0..<\text{cardn } n])$

**lemma**  $tb-S\text{-alt-def}$ :  
**shows**  $tb-S \ n = \text{prod-pmf } (N \ n) \ (\lambda-. \text{prod-pmf } UNIV \ (\lambda-. \text{pmf-of-set } R))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $tb-H\text{-absorb}$ :  
**assumes**  $i < \text{CARD}('n)$   
**shows**  $tb-H \ x \ h \oplus c = tb-H \ x \ (h(i := (h \ i)(x \ \$ \ \text{to-index } i := h \ i \ (x \ \$ \ \text{to-index } i) \oplus c)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $tb-H\text{-absorb}'$ :  
**shows**  $tb-H \ x \ h \oplus c = tb-H \ x \ (h(\text{from-index } i := (h \ (\text{from-index } i))(x \ \$ \ i := h \ (\text{from-index } i) \ (x \ \$ \ i) \oplus c)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $tb-H\text{-extract}$ :  
**assumes**  $i < \text{CARD}('n)$   
**shows**  $tb-H \ x \ (h(i := g(x \ \$ \ \text{to-index } i := \alpha))) = tb-H \ x \ (h(i := g(x \ \$ \ \text{to-index } i := 0))) \oplus \alpha$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $tb-H\text{-extract}'$ :  
**shows**  $tb-H \ x \ (h(\text{from-index } i := g(x \ \$ \ i := \alpha))) = tb-H \ x \ (h(\text{from-index } i := g(x \ \$ \ i := 0))) \oplus \alpha$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $tb-H\text{-exch}$ :  
**assumes**  $i < \text{CARD}('n)$   
**shows**  $tb-H \ x \ (a(i := aa(x \ \$ \ \text{to-index } i := b))) = \alpha \longleftrightarrow b = tb-H \ x \ (a(i := aa(x \ \$ \ \text{to-index } i := \alpha)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $tb-H\text{-exch}'$ :  
**shows**  $tb-H \ x \ (a(\text{from-index } i := aa(x \ \$ \ i := b))) = \alpha \longleftrightarrow b = tb-H \ x \ (a(\text{from-index } i := aa(x \ \$ \ i := \alpha)))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *tb-H-discard*:

**assumes**  $x \ \$ \ i \neq \ y \ \$ \ i$

**shows**  $tb\text{-}H \ x \ (a(\text{from-index } i := b(y \ \$ \ i := c))) = tb\text{-}H \ x \ (a(\text{from-index } i := b))$

*<proof>*

### 3 Proofs

#### 3.1 Proof of 1-independence and uniformity

**lemma** *prob-tb-H-bin*:

**shows**  $measure\text{-}pmf.\text{prob} \ (tb\text{-}S \ n) \ \{h. \ tb\text{-}H \ x \ h = \alpha\} = 1 \ / \ real \ (card \ R) \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?lhs = ?rhs)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *uniform*:

**shows**  $prob\text{-}space.\text{uniform-on} \ (tb\text{-}S \ n) \ (tb\text{-}H \ key) \ R$

*<proof>*

#### 3.2 Proof of two-independence

**lemma** *prob-tb-H-bin1-bin2*:

**assumes**  $x \neq y$

**shows**  $measure\text{-}pmf.\text{prob} \ (tb\text{-}S \ n) \ \{h. \ tb\text{-}H \ x \ h = \alpha \wedge tb\text{-}H \ y \ h = \beta\} = 1 \ / \ real \ (card \ R * card \ R)$

$(\mathbf{is} \ ?lhs = ?rhs)$

*<proof>*

#### 3.3 Proof of 3-independence

**lemma** *prob-3same-conv*:

**assumes**  $x \ \$ \ i \neq \ y \ \$ \ i \ y \ \$ \ i \neq \ z \ \$ \ i \ z \ \$ \ i \neq \ x \ \$ \ i$

**shows**  $measure\text{-}pmf.\text{prob} \ (tb\text{-}S \ n) \ \{h. \ tb\text{-}H \ x \ h = \alpha \wedge tb\text{-}H \ y \ h = \beta \wedge tb\text{-}H \ z \ h = \gamma\} =$

$1 \ / \ (real \ (card \ R) * real \ (card \ R) * real \ (card \ R)) \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?lhs = ?rhs)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *prob-2same-conv*:

**assumes**  $x \ \$ \ i \neq \ y \ \$ \ i \ z \ \$ \ j \neq \ x \ \$ \ j \ i \neq \ j$

**and**  $z \ \$ \ i = x \ \$ \ i$

**shows**  $measure\text{-}pmf.\text{prob} \ (tb\text{-}S \ n) \ \{h. \ tb\text{-}H \ x \ h = \alpha \wedge tb\text{-}H \ y \ h = \beta \wedge tb\text{-}H \ z \ h = \gamma\} =$

$1 \ / \ (real \ (card \ R) * real \ (card \ R) * real \ (card \ R)) \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?lhs = ?rhs)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *prob-tb-H-bin1-bin2-bin3*:

**assumes**  $x \neq y \ y \neq z \ z \neq x$

**shows** *measure-pmf.prob* (tb-S n) {h. tb-H x h =  $\alpha$   $\wedge$  tb-H y h =  $\beta$   $\wedge$  tb-H z h =  $\gamma$ } =  
 1 / (real (card R) \* real (card R) \* real (card R)) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)  
 <proof>

### 3.4 Proof of 3-universal

**lemma** *three-indep-vars*:

**shows** *prob-space.k-wise-indep-vars* (tb-S n) 3 ( $\lambda$ -. count-space R) tb-H D<sup>n</sup>  
 <proof>

**theorem** *three-universal*:

**shows** *prob-space.k-universal* (tb-S n) 3 tb-H D<sup>n</sup> R  
 <proof>

### 3.5 Proof of non-4-universal

**lemma** *prob-4-conv*:

**fixes** u v :: 'fragment

**assumes** W  $\oplus$  X  $\oplus$  Y  $\oplus$  Z = 0  $\wedge$  h. P (h(0 := undefined, Suc 0 := undefined))  
 = P h

CARD('n) > Suc 0 u  $\neq$  v

**shows** *measure-pmf.prob* (tb-S n) {h::nat  $\Rightarrow$  'fragment  $\Rightarrow$  ('result, 'q) vec.

h 0 u  $\oplus$  h (Suc 0) u  $\oplus$  P h = W  $\wedge$

h 0 u  $\oplus$  h (Suc 0) v  $\oplus$  P h = X  $\wedge$

h 0 v  $\oplus$  h (Suc 0) u  $\oplus$  P h = Y  $\wedge$

h 0 v  $\oplus$  h (Suc 0) v  $\oplus$  P h = Z }

= 1 / (real (card R) \* real (card R) \* real (card R)) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

<proof>

**lemma** *not-four-indep*:

**assumes** CARD('n) > 1 — if n = 1, then tabulation hashing is 4-independent

card D  $\geq$  2 — if D = or D = x, then it is impossible to obtain 4 distinct  
 keys

card R > 1 — tabulation hashing is 4-independent otherwise

**shows**  $\neg$  *prob-space.k-wise-indep-vars* (tb-S n) 4 ( $\lambda$ -. count-space R) tb-H D<sup>n</sup>

<proof>

**theorem** *not-four-universal*:

**assumes** CARD('n) > 1 — if n = 1, then tabulation hashing is 4-independent

card D  $\geq$  2 — if D = or D = x, then it is impossible to obtain 4 distinct  
 keys

card R > 1 — tabulation hashing is 4-independent otherwise

**shows**  $\neg$  *prob-space.k-universal* (tb-S n) 4 tb-H D<sup>n</sup> R

<proof>

**end**

## 4 Appendix

### 4.1 Utility

**context** *prob-space*  
**begin**

**context**  
  **fixes**  $K D k p$   
  **assumes** *assms'*:  $K \subseteq D$  *card*  $K \leq k$  *finite*  $K M = \text{measure-pmf } p$   
**begin**

**lemma** *k-wise-indep-vars-probD*:  
  **assumes** *k-wise-indep-vars*  $k$  ( $\lambda$ -. *count-space UNIV*)  $X D$   
  **shows**  $\text{prob } \{\omega. \forall x \in K. P x (X x \omega)\} = (\prod x \in K. \text{prob } \{\omega. P x (X x \omega)\})$   
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  
  **assumes** *k-universal*  $k X D R$   
  **shows**  
    *k-universal-probD*:  
     $\bigwedge P. \text{prob } \{\omega. \forall x \in K. P x (X x \omega)\} = (\prod x \in K. \text{prob } \{\omega. P x (X x \omega)\})$   
    (is *PROP ?thesis-0*) **and**  
    *k-universal-probD'*:  
     $\text{prob } \{\omega. \forall x \in K. X x \omega = P x\} = (\prod x \in K. \text{indicat-real } R (P x) / \text{real } (\text{card } R))$   
    (is *?thesis-1*)  
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$   
**end**  
**end**

**locale** *simple-tabulation-hashing'* = *simple-tabulation-hashing* +  
  **assumes**  $r$ :  $r = \text{TYPE}('result::\{\text{finite}, \text{abel-group-xor}\})$   
  **assumes**  $q$ :  $q = \text{TYPE}('q::\text{index1})$   
  **assumes**  $d$ :  $d = \text{TYPE}('fragment::\text{finite})$   
**begin**

**end**

### 4.2 Alternate proof of non-4-universal

#### 4.2.1 Preliminaries

**lemma** (in *prob-space*) *finite-if-k-universal*:  
  **assumes** *k-universal*  $k X D R D \neq \{\}$   
  **shows** *finite*  $R$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *xor-sum-uniform*:

**fixes**  $\alpha :: 'a :: \{finite,abel-group-xor\}$

**defines**  $R \equiv UNIV$

**assumes** [*simp*]:  $finite\ J\ J \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $measure-pmf.prob\ (pmf-of-set\ (J \rightarrow_E\ R))\ \{f.\ (\bigoplus_{x \in J}. f\ x) = \alpha\} = 1 /$   
*real*  $CARD('a)$

**(is**  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )

*<proof>*

**lemma** *k-universal-conv-pmf-of-set*:

**defines**  $R \equiv UNIV$

**assumes**  $prob-space.k-universal\ (measure-pmf\ p)\ k\ X\ D\ R$

**and**  $J \subseteq D\ card\ J \leq k\ finite\ J\ D \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $map-pmf\ (\lambda\omega. \lambda x \in J. X\ x\ \omega)\ p = pmf-of-set\ (J \rightarrow_E\ R)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *k-universal-imp-xor-sum-uniform*:

**fixes**  $\alpha :: 'a :: \{finite,abel-group-xor\}$

**defines**  $R \equiv UNIV$

**assumes**  $prob-space.k-universal\ (measure-pmf\ p)\ k\ X\ D\ R$

**and**  $J \subseteq D\ card\ J \leq k\ finite\ J\ J \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $measure-pmf.prob\ p\ \{\omega. (\bigoplus_{x \in J}. X\ x\ \omega) = \alpha\} = 1 / real\ (card\ R)$

**(is**  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )

*<proof>*

## 4.2.2 Proofs

**context** *simple-tabulation-hashing* **begin**

**lemma** *k-wise-indep-vars-imp-xor-sum-uniform*:

**assumes**  $prob-space.k-wise-indep-vars\ (tb-S\ n)\ k\ (\lambda-. count-space\ R)\ tb-H\ D^n$

$J \neq \{\}\ card\ J \leq k$

**shows**  $measure-pmf.prob\ (tb-S\ n)\ \{h. (\bigoplus_{x \in J}. tb-H\ x\ h) = \alpha\} = 1 / real\ (card\ R)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-k-wise-indep-vars-by-xor-sum*:

**assumes**  $measure-pmf.prob\ (tb-S\ n)\ \{h. (\bigoplus_{x \in J}. tb-H\ x\ h) = \alpha\} \neq 1 / real\ (card\ R)$

$J \neq \{\}\ card\ J \leq k$

**shows**  $\neg prob-space.k-wise-indep-vars\ (tb-S\ n)\ k\ (\lambda-. count-space\ R)\ tb-H\ D^n$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-four-indep'*:

**assumes**  $CARD('n) > 1$  — if  $n = 1$ , then tabulation hashing is 4-independent  
 $card D \geq 2$  — if  $D =$  or  $D = x$ , then it is impossible to obtain 4 distinct  
 keys  
 $card R > 1$  — tabulation hashing is 4-independent otherwise  
**shows**  $\neg prob\text{-}space.k\text{-}wise\text{-}indep\text{-}vars (tb\text{-}S n) 4 (\lambda\text{-} count\text{-}space R) tb\text{-}H D^n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
**end**  
**end**

## References

- [1] D. Mareek. NTIN066 Data Structures 1. <https://ufal.mff.cuni.cz/courses/ntin066>, Apr 2023. Solutions 8 is relevant for simple tabulation hashing.
- [2] M. Patrascu and M. Thorup. The power of simple tabulation hashing. In *Proceedings of the Forty-Third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '11, pages 1–10, New York, NY, USA, 2011. Association for Computing Machinery. numpages: 10, keywords: tabulation hashing, minwise independence, linear probing, independence, cuckoo hashing, concentration bounds, location: San Jose, California, USA.
- [3] Y. K. Tan and J. Yang. Approximate model counting. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, March 2024. [https://isa-afp.org/entries/Approximate\\_Model\\_Counting.html](https://isa-afp.org/entries/Approximate_Model_Counting.html), Formal proof development.
- [4] Wikipedia contributors. Tabulation hashing. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tabulation\\_hashing#Universality](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tabulation_hashing#Universality), Sep 2024. Accessed: 2026-03-28.