The Sum-of-Squares Function and Jacobi's Two-Square Theorem

Manuel Eberl

November 30, 2024

Abstract

This entry defines the sum-of-squares function $r_k(n)$, which counts the number of ways to write a natural number n as a sum of k squares of integers. Signs and permutations of these integers are taken into account, such that e.g. $1^2 + 2^2$, $2^2 + 1^2$, and $(-1)^2 + 2^2$ are all different decompositions of 5.

Using this, I then formalise the main result: Jacobi's two-square theorem, which states that for n > 0 we have $r_2(n) = 4(d_1(3) - d_3(n))$, where $d_i(n)$ denotes the number of divisors m of n such that $m = i \pmod{4}$.

Corollaries include the identities $r_2(2n) = r_2(n)$ and $r_2(p^2n) = r_2(n)$ if $p = 3 \pmod{4}$ and the well-known theorem that $r_2(n) = 0$ iff n has a prime factor p of odd multiplicity with $p = 3 \pmod{4}$.

Contents

1	Sum-of-square decompositions and Jacobi's two-squares Theorem			
				2
	1.1	Auxilia	ary material	2
	1.2	Decom	positions into squares of integers	3
	1.3	Decom	positions into squares of positive integers)
	1.4	Decom	ompositions into two squares	
		1.4.1	Gaussian integers on a circle	7
		1.4.2	The number of divisors in a given congruence class 27	7
		1.4.3	Jacobi's two-square Theorem)

1 Sum-of-square decompositions and Jacobi's twosquares Theorem

```
theory Sum_Of_Squares_Count
imports
  "HOL-Library.Discrete"
  "HOL-Library.FuncSet"
  "Gaussian_Integers.Gaussian_Integers"
  "Dirichlet_Series.Multiplicative_Function"
  "List-Index.List_Index"
begin
```

1.1 Auxiliary material

```
lemma map_index_cong:
 assumes "length xs = length ys" "\landi. i < length xs \Longrightarrow f i (xs ! i)
= g i (ys ! i)"
 \mathbf{shows}
           "map_index f xs = map_index g ys"
 by (rule nth_equalityI) (use assms in auto)
lemma map_index_idI: "(\landi. f i (xs ! i) = xs ! i) \Longrightarrow map_index f xs
= xs"
 by (rule nth_equalityI) auto
lemma map_index_transfer [transfer_rule]:
  "rel_fun (rel_fun (=) (rel_fun R1 R2)) (rel_fun (list_all2 R1) (list_all2
R2))
     map_index map_index"
 unfolding map_index by transfer_prover
lemma map_index_Cons: "map_index f (x # xs) = f 0 x # map_index (\lambdai x.
f (Suc i) x) xs"
 by (rule nth_equalityI) (auto simp: nth_Cons simp del: map_index'.simps
split: nat.splits)
lemma map_index_rev: "map_index f (rev xs) = rev (map_index (\lambdai. f (length
xs - i - 1)) xs)"
 by (rule nth_equalityI) (auto simp: rev_nth)
lemma map_conv_map_index: "map f xs = map_index (λi x. f x) xs"
 by (rule nth_equalityI) auto
lemma map_index_map_index: "map_index f (map_index g xs) = map_index
(\lambda i x. f i (g i x)) xs"
 by (rule nth_equalityI) auto
lemma map_index_replicate [simp]: "map_index f (replicate n x) = map
(\lambda i. f i x) [0.. < n]"
 by (rule nth_equalityI) auto
```

```
lemma zip_map_index:
  "zip (map_index f xs) (map_index g ys) = map_index (\lambdai. map_prod (f
i) (g i)) (zip xs ys)"
 by (rule nth_equalityI) auto
lemma map_index_conv_fold:
  "map_index f xs = rev (snd (fold (\lambdax (i,ys). (i+1, f i x # ys)) xs (0,
[])))"
proof -
 have "rev (snd (fold (\lambda x (i,ys). (i+1, f i x # ys)) xs (n, zs))) =
        rev zs @ map_index (\lambdai. f (i + n)) xs" for n zs
    by (induction xs arbitrary: n zs f) (simp_all add: map_index_Cons
del: map_index'.simps(2))
  from this[of 0 "[]"] show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
lemma map_index_code_conv_foldr:
  "map_index f xs = snd (foldr (\lambdax (i,ys). (i-1, f i x # ys)) xs (length
xs - 1, []))"
proof -
 have "foldr (\lambda x (i,ys). (i-1, f i x # ys)) xs (n, []) =
          (n - length xs, map_index (\lambda i. f (i + 1 + n - length xs)) xs)"
for n
    by (induction xs arbitrary: f n) (auto simp: map_index_Cons simp del:
map_index'.simps(2))
 note this[of "length xs - 1"]
 also have "map_index (\lambda i. f (i + 1 + (length xs - 1) - length xs)) xs
= map_index f xs"
    by (intro map_index_cong) auto
 finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: case_prod_unfold)
qed
lemma sum_list_of_nat: "sum_list (map of_nat xs) = of_nat (sum_list xs)"
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma sum_list_of_int: "sum_list (map of_int xs) = of_int (sum_list xs)"
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma sum_list_of_real: "sum_list (map of_real xs) = of_real (sum_list
xs)"
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma prime_cong_4_nat_cases [consumes 1, case_names 2 cong_1 cong_3]:
 assumes "prime (p :: nat)"
```

```
obtains "p = 2" | "[p = 1] \pmod{4}" | "[p = 3] \pmod{4}"
proof -
  have "[p = 2] (mod 4) \longleftrightarrow p = 2"
    assume "[p = 2] \pmod{4}"
    hence "p \mod 4 = 2"
      by (auto simp: cong_def)
    hence "even p"
      by (simp add: even_even_mod_4_iff)
    with assms show "p = 2"
      unfolding prime_nat_iff by force
  moreover have "[p \neq 0] (mod 4)"
  proof
    assume "[p = 0] \pmod{4}"
    hence "4 dvd p"
      by (auto simp: cong_0_iff)
    with assms have "p = 4"
      by (subst (asm) prime_nat_iff) auto
    thus False
      using assms by simp
  qed
  ultimately consider "[p = 3] \pmod{4}" | "[p = 1] \pmod{4}" | "p = 2"
    by (fastforce simp: cong_def)
  thus ?thesis
    using that by metis
qed
{\tt lemma member\_le\_sum\_list:}
  fixes \ x \ :: \ "'a \ :: \ ordered\_comm\_monoid\_add"
  assumes "x \in set xs" "\bigwedge x. x \in set xs \implies x \ge 0"
  shows "x \le sum\_list xs"
  using assms
proof (induction xs)
  case (Cons y xs)
  show ?case
  proof (cases "y = x")
    case True
    have "x + 0 \le x + sum_list xs"
      by (intro add_mono order.refl sum_list_nonneg) (use Cons in auto)
    thus ?thesis
      using True by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case False
    have "0 + x \le y + sum_list xs"
      by (intro add_mono Cons.IH Cons.prems) (use Cons.prems False in
auto)
    thus ?thesis
      by auto
```

```
qed
qed auto
lemma is_square_conv_sqrt: "is_square n \longleftrightarrow Discrete.sqrt \ n \ ^2 = n"
  by (metis is_nth_power_def sqrt_inverse_power2)
lemma \ sum\_replicate\_mset\_count\_eq: \ "(\sum x \in set\_mset \ X. \ replicate\_mset
(count X x) x) = X''
 by (rule multiset_eqI) (auto simp: count_sum Multiset.not_in_iff)
lemma coprime_crossproduct_strong:
 fixes a b c d :: "'a :: semiring_gcd"
 assumes "coprime a d" "coprime b c"
           "normalize (a * b) = normalize (c * d) \longleftrightarrow
 shows
             normalize a = normalize c \land normalize b = normalize d"
  assume *: "normalize (a * b) = normalize (c * d)"
 show "normalize a = normalize c \land normalize b = normalize d"
    have "a dvd c"
      by (metis assms(1) * coprime_dvd_mult_left_iff dvd_mult_left dvd_refl
normalize_dvd_iff)
    moreover have "c dvd a"
      by (metis assms(2) * coprime_commute coprime_dvd_mult_left_iff
            dvd_mult_left dvd_refl normalize_dvd_iff)
    ultimately show "normalize a = normalize c"
      by (intro associatedI)
  next
    have "b dvd d"
      by (metis assms(2) * coprime_dvd_mult_left_iff dvd_mult_left dvd_refl
            mult.commute normalize_dvd_iff)
    moreover have "d dvd b"
      by (metis assms(1) * coprime_commute coprime_dvd_mult_right_iff
dvd_normalize_iff
            dvd triv right)
    ultimately show "normalize b = normalize d"
      by (intro associatedI)
  qed
  assume "normalize a = normalize c \land normalize b = normalize d"
  thus "normalize (a * b) = normalize (c * d)"
    by (meson associated_iff_dvd mult_dvd_mono)
qed
lemma divisor_coprime_product_decomp_normalize:
  fixes d n1 n2 :: "'a :: factorial_semiring_gcd"
  assumes "d dvd n1 * n2" "coprime n1 n2"
         "normalize d = normalize (gcd d n1 * gcd d n2)"
```

```
proof -
  obtain d3 d4 where d34: "d = d3 * d4" "d3 dvd n1" "d4 dvd n2"
    using division_decomp[of d n1 n2] assms by auto
 have "gcd d n1 = normalize d3"
    using d34 assms
    by (metis coprime_mult_right_iff dvd_div_mult_self gcd_mult_left_right_cancel
gcd_proj1_iff)
  moreover have "gcd d n2 = normalize d4"
    using d34 assms
    by (metis coprime_commute coprime_mult_right_iff dvd_div_mult_self
              gcd_mult_left_left_cancel gcd_proj1_iff)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using d34 by simp
qed
lemma divisor_coprime_product_decomp:
 fixes d n1 n2 :: nat
 assumes "d dvd n1 * n2" "coprime n1 n2"
         "d = gcd d n1 * gcd d n2"
  using divisor_coprime_product_decomp_normalize[of d n1 n2] assms
 by simp
lemma gauss_int_norm_power: "gauss_int_norm (x ^ n) = gauss_int_norm
x ^ n"
 by (induction n) (simp_all add: gauss_int_norm_mult)
lemma gcd_gauss_cnj: "gcd (gauss_cnj x) (gauss_cnj y) = normalize (gauss_cnj
(gcd x y))"
proof (rule sym, rule gcdI, goal_cases)
 case (3 d)
 thus ?case
    by (auto simp: gauss_cnj_dvd_right_iff)
qed (auto simp: gauss_cnj_dvd_left_iff)
lemma gcd_gauss_cnj_left: "gcd (gauss_cnj x) y = normalize (gauss_cnj
(gcd x (gauss_cnj y)))"
 by (metis gauss_cnj_cnj gcd_gauss_cnj)
lemma gcd_gauss_cnj_right: "gcd x (gauss_cnj y) = normalize (gauss_cnj
(gcd (gauss_cnj x) y))"
 by (subst gcd_gauss_cnj [symmetric]) auto
```

1.2 Decompositions into squares of integers

The following definition gives the set of all the different ways to decompose a natural number n into a sum of k squares of integers. The signs and

```
permutation of these integers is taken into account, i.e. 1^2 + 2^2, 2^2 + 1^2, and 1^2 + (-2)^2 are all counted as different decompositions of 5.
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{definition} & \textit{sos\_decomps} \ :: \ "\texttt{nat} \ \Rightarrow \ \texttt{nat} \ \Rightarrow \ \texttt{int} \ \texttt{list} \ \texttt{set"} \ \textbf{where} \\ \textit{"sos\_decomps} \ k \ n \ = \ \{\texttt{xs. length} \ \texttt{xs} \ = \ k \ \land \ \texttt{int} \ n \ = \ (\sum \texttt{x} \leftarrow \texttt{xs.} \ \texttt{x} \ ^2)\}" \end{array}
```

The following function that counts the number of such decompositions is known as the "sum-of-squares function" in the literature, and frequently denoted with $r_k(n)$.

```
\mathbf{definition} \  \, \mathsf{count\_sos} \  \, \colon \, \, \texttt{"nat} \, \Rightarrow \, \mathsf{nat} \, \Rightarrow \, \mathsf{nat"} \  \, \mathbf{where}
  "count_sos k n = card (sos_decomps k n)"
lemma finite_sos_decomps [simp, intro]: "finite (sos_decomps k n)"
proof (rule finite_subset)
  show "sos_decomps k n \subseteq {xs. set xs \subseteq {-int n..int n} \land length xs
= k
  proof safe
    fix xs x assume xs: "xs \in sos_decomps k n" and x: "x \in set xs"
    have ||x| \le x \cdot 2|
       using self_le_power[of "|x|" 2] by (cases "x = 0") auto
    also have "x ^ 2 \leq (\sum x \leftarrow xs. x ^ 2)"
       by (rule member_le_sum_list) (use x in auto)
    finally show "x \in \{- \text{ int } n.. \text{int } n\}"
       using xs by (auto simp: sos decomps def)
  qed (auto simp: sos_decomps_def)
next
  show "finite {xs. set xs \subseteq \{-int \ n..int \ n\} \land length \ xs = k\}"
    by (rule finite_lists_length_eq) auto
qed
lemma sos_decomps_0_right [simp]: "sos_decomps k 0 = {replicate k 0}"
  have "xs = replicate k 0" if "xs \in sos_decomps k 0" for xs
  proof -
    have xs: "length xs = k" "(\sum x \leftarrow xs. x \hat{2}) = 0"
       using that by (auto simp: sos_decomps_def)
    have "\forall x \in set xs. x = 0"
       using xs by (subst (asm) sum_list_nonneg_eq_0_iff) auto
    thus ?thesis
       using xs(1) by (intro replicate_eqI) auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp: sos_decomps_def sum_list_replicate)
qed
lemma sos_decomps_0: "sos_decomps_0 n = (if n = 0 then {[]} else {})"
  by (auto simp: sos decomps def)
lemma sos_decomps_1:
```

```
"sos_decomps (Suc 0) n = (if is_square n then {[Discrete.sqrt n], [-Discrete.sqrt
n]} else {})"
  (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix xs assume "xs \in ?lhs"
  then obtain x where [simp]: "xs = [x]" and x: "int n = x ^ 2"
    by (auto simp: sos_decomps_def length_Suc_conv)
  have "int n = x ^2"
    by fact
 also have "x ^2 = int (nat |x| ^2 2)"
    by auto
  finally have n_{eq}: "n = nat |x| ^ 2"
    by linarith
 show "xs \in ?rhs"
    using x by (auto simp: n_eq)
qed (auto simp: sos_decomps_def split: if_splits elim!: is_nth_powerE)
lemma bij_betw_sos_decomps_2: "bij_betw (\lambda(x,y). [x,y]) {(i,j). i^2 +
j^2 = int n} (sos_decomps 2 n)"
 by (rule bij_betwI[of _ _ _ "\lambdaxs. (xs ! 0, xs ! 1)"])
     (auto simp: length_Suc_conv eval_nat_numeral sos_decomps_def)
lemma sos_decomps_Suc:
  "sos_decomps (Suc k) n =
     (#) 0 `sos_decomps k n \cup
     (\bigcup i \in \{1..Discrete.sqrt n\}. \bigcup xs \in sos\_decomps k (n - i ^ 2). \{int i \}
# xs, (-int i) # xs})"
  (is "?A = ?B \cup ?C")
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
 fix xs assume "xs \in ?B \cup ?C"
 thus "xs \in ?A"
    by (auto simp: sos_decomps_def of_nat_diff Discrete.le_sqrt_iff)
next
 fix xs assume "xs \in ?A"
 hence xs: "length xs = Suc k" "int n = (\sum x \leftarrow xs. x^2)"
    by (auto simp: sos_decomps_def)
 then obtain x xs' where xs_eq: "xs = x # xs'"
    by (cases xs) auto
 show "xs \in ?B \cup ?C"
  proof (cases "x = 0")
    case True
    hence "xs \in ?B"
      using xs by (auto simp: sos_decomps_def xs_eq)
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case False
    define y where "y = nat |x|"
    have "y \in {1..Discrete.sqrt n}" and "y \hat{} 2 \leq n"
    proof -
```

```
have *: "x ^2 = int y ^2"
        by (auto simp: y_def)
      have "int y \hat{ } 2 \leq int n"
         using xs by (auto simp: xs_eq * intro!: sum_list_nonneg)
      thus "y 2 \le n"
         unfolding of_nat_power [symmetric] by linarith
      moreover have "y \ge 1"
         using False by (auto simp: y_def)
      ultimately show "y \in \{1..Discrete.sqrt n\}"
         by (simp add: Discrete.le_sqrt_iff)
    qed
    have x_{disj}: "x = int y \lor x = -int y"
      by (auto simp: y_def)
    hence "xs \in ?C"
      using xs False \langle y \in \rangle \langle y \hat{2} \leq n \rangle x_{disj}
      by (auto simp: sos_decomps_def xs_eq of_nat_diff intro!: bexI[of
  "nat |x|"] exI[of xs'])
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
qed
lemma count_sos_0_right [simp]: "count_sos k 0 = 1"
  unfolding count_sos_def by simp
lemma count\_sos\_0 \ [simp]: "n > 0 \Longrightarrow count\_sos \ 0 \ n = 0"
  unfolding count_sos_def by (subst sos_decomps_0) auto
lemma count_sos_1: "n > 0 \Longrightarrow count_sos (Suc 0) n = (if is_square n then
2 else 0)"
  unfolding count_sos_def by (subst sos_decomps_1) auto
lemma count_sos_2: "count_sos 2 n = card \{(i,j). i^2 + j^2 = int n\}"
  using bij_betw_same_card[OF bij_betw_sos_decomps_2[of n]] by (simp add:
count_sos_def)
The following obvious recurrence for r_k(n) allows us to compute r_k(n) for
concrete k, n – albeit rather inefficiently:
                    r_{k+1}(n) = r_k(n) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} r_k(n-i^2)
lemma count_sos_Suc:
  "count_sos (Suc k) n = count_sos k n + 2 * (\sum i=1...Discrete.sqrt n.
count_sos k (n - i ^ 2))"
proof -
  have "count_sos (Suc k) n = card ((#) 0 `sos_decomps k n \cup
           (\bigcup i \in \{1..Discrete.sqrt n\}.\bigcup xs \in sos\_decomps k (n - i^2). \{int\}
```

i # xs, - int i # xs}))"

```
(is "_ = card (?A ∪ ?B)") unfolding count_sos_def sos_decomps_Suc
 also have "... = card ?A + card ?B"
    by (subst card_Un_disjoint) auto
  also have "card ?A = count sos k n"
    unfolding count_sos_def by (subst card_image) auto
  also have "card ?B = (\sum i=1..Discrete.sqrt n. card (\bigcup xs \in sos_decomps))
k (n - i^2). {int i # xs, - int i # xs}))"
    by (rule card_UN_disjoint) auto
  also have "... = (\sum i=1..Discrete.sqrt n. 2 * count_sos k (n - i ^ 2))"
    by (rule sum.cong) (auto simp: card_UN_disjoint count_sos_def)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: sum_distrib_left)
qed
lemma count sos code [code]:
  "count sos k n = (if n = 0 then 1
     else if k = 0 then 0
     else if k = 1 then (if Discrete.sqrt n ^2 = n then 2 else 0)
     else count_sos (k-1) n + 2 * (\sum i=1..Discrete.sqrt n. count_sos (k-1)
  unfolding is_square_conv_sqrt [symmetric] using count_sos_Suc[of "k-1"
 by (auto simp: count_sos_1)
```

1.3 Decompositions into squares of positive integers

It seems somewhat unnatural to allow $(-x)^n$ and x^n as two different squares (for nonzero x), and it may also seem strange to allow 0^2 in the decomposition. However, as we will see later, this notion of square decomposition has some nice properties.

Still, we now introduce the perhaps more intuitively sensible definition of the different ways to decompose n into k squares of *positive* integers, and relate it to what we introduced above.

```
definition pos_sos_decomps :: "nat ⇒ nat list set" where
  "pos_sos_decomps k n = {xs. length xs = k ∧ 0 ∉ set xs ∧ n = (∑x←xs.
x ^ 2)}"

definition count_pos_sos :: "nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat" where
  "count_pos_sos k n = card (pos_sos_decomps k n)"

lemma finite_pos_sos_decomps [simp, intro]: "finite (pos_sos_decomps k n)"

proof -
  have "map int ` pos_sos_decomps k n ⊆ sos_decomps k n"
  by (auto simp: pos_sos_decomps_def sos_decomps_def o_def simp flip:
sum_list_of_nat)
  moreover have "finite (sos_decomps k n)"
```

```
by blast
  ultimately have "finite (map int `pos_sos_decomps k n)"
    using finite_subset by blast
  also have "?this \longleftrightarrow finite (pos_sos_decomps k n)"
    by (subst finite_image_iff) (auto intro!: inj_onI)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma pos_sos_decomps_0_right: "pos_sos_decomps k 0 = (if k = 0 then
{[]} else {})"
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix xs assume "xs ∈ pos_sos_decomps k 0"
  hence "xs = [] \land k = 0"
    by (cases xs) (auto simp: pos_sos_decomps_def)
  thus "xs \in (if k = 0 then {[]} else {\}})"
    by auto
qed (auto simp: pos_sos_decomps_def split: if_splits)
lemma pos_sos_decomps_0: "pos_sos_decomps 0 n = (if n = 0 then {[]} else
{})"
  by (auto simp: pos_sos_decomps_def)
lemma pos_sos_decomps_1:
  "pos_sos_decomps (Suc 0) n = (if is_square n \land n > 0 then {[Discrete.sqrt
n]} else {})"
  (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix xs assume "xs \in ?1hs"
  then obtain x where [simp]: "xs = [x]" and n_eq: "n = x ^ 2" and "x
> 0"
    unfolding pos_sos_decomps_def length_Suc_conv by force
  \mathbf{show} \ \texttt{"xs} \in \texttt{?rhs"}
    using \langle x \rangle 0 \rangle by (auto simp: n_eq)
qed (auto simp: pos_sos_decomps_def split: if_splits elim!: is_nth_powerE)
lemma bij betw pos sos decomps 2:
  "bij_betw (\lambda(x,y). [x,y]) {(i,j). i^2 + j^2 = n \land i > 0 \land j > 0} (pos_sos_decomps
2 n)"
  by (rule bij_betwI[of _ _ _ "\lambdaxs. (xs ! 0, xs ! 1)"])
     (auto simp: length_Suc_conv eval_nat_numeral pos_sos_decomps_def)
lemma pos_sos_decomps_Suc:
  "pos_sos_decomps (Suc k) n =
     (\bigcup i \in \{1...Discrete.sqrt n\}. ((\#) i) `pos_sos_decomps k (n - i ^ 2))"
  (is "?A = ?B")
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix xs assume "xs \in ?B"
  thus "xs \in ?A"
    by (auto simp: pos_sos_decomps_def of_nat_diff Discrete.le_sqrt_iff)
```

```
fix xs assume "xs \in ?A"
  hence xs: "length xs = Suc k" "n = (\sum x \leftarrow xs. x^2)" "0 \notin set xs"
    by (auto simp: pos_sos_decomps_def)
  then obtain x xs' where xs_eq: "xs = x # xs'"
    by (cases xs) auto
  have "x \in \{1..Discrete.sqrt n\}" and "x \hat{2} \le n"
  proof -
    have "x \hat{ } 2 \leq int n"
      using xs by (auto simp: xs_eq intro!: sum_list_nonneg)
    thus "x ^2 \le n"
      unfolding of_nat_power [symmetric] by linarith
    moreover have "x \ge 1"
      using xs by (auto simp: xs_eq)
    ultimately show "x∈ {1..Discrete.sqrt n}"
      by (simp add: Discrete.le_sqrt_iff)
  qed
  thus "xs \in ?B"
    using xs \langle x \in \ \ \ \rangle \langle x \cap 2 \leq n \rangle
    by (auto simp: pos_sos_decomps_def xs_eq of_nat_diff intro!: bexI[of
_ x] exI[of _ xs'])
qed
lemma count_pos_sos_0_right: "count_pos_sos k 0 = (if k = 0 then 1 else
  unfolding count_pos_sos_def by (simp add: pos_sos_decomps_0_right)
lemma count_pos_sos_0: " count_pos_sos 0 n = (if n = 0 then 1 else 0)"
  {\bf unfolding}\ count\_pos\_sos\_def\ by\ ({\tt subst}\ pos\_sos\_decomps\_0)\ {\tt auto}
lemma count_pos_sos_0_0 [simp]: "count_pos_sos 0 0 = 1"
  and count_pos_sos_0_right' [simp]: "k > 0 \Longrightarrow count_pos_sos k 0 = 0"
  and count_pos_sos_0' [simp]: "n > 0 \Longrightarrow count_pos_sos_0 n = 0"
  by (simp_all add: count_pos_sos_0 count_pos_sos_0_right)
lemma count_pos_sos_1: "count_pos_sos (Suc 0) n = (if is_square n ∧
n > 0 then 1 else 0)"
  unfolding count_pos_sos_def by (subst pos_sos_decomps_1) auto
lemma count_pos_sos_2: "count_pos_sos 2 n = card \{(i,j).\ i^2 + j^2 = n\}
\wedge i > 0 \wedge j > 0}"
  using bij_betw_same_card[OF bij_betw_pos_sos_decomps_2[of n]]
  by (simp add: count_pos_sos_def)
We get a similar recurrence for count_pos_sos as earlier:
lemma count_pos_sos_Suc:
  "count_pos_sos (Suc k) n = (\sum i=1..Discrete.sqrt n. count_pos_sos k
(n - i ^ 2))"
```

next

```
proof -
 have "count_pos_sos (Suc k) n =
          card ((\bigcup i \in \{1..Discrete.sqrt n\}. (#) i `pos_sos_decomps k (n
- i<sup>2</sup>)))"
    unfolding count_pos_sos_def pos_sos_decomps_Suc ..
  also have "... = (\sum i=1..Discrete.sqrt n. card ((#) i `pos_sos_decomps
k (n - i^2))"
    by (rule card_UN_disjoint) auto
 also have "... = (\sum i=1...Discrete.sqrt n. count_pos_sos k (n - i ^ 2))"
    by (rule sum.cong) (auto simp: card_UN_disjoint count_pos_sos_def
card_image)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: sum_distrib_left)
qed
lemma count pos sos code [code]:
  "count pos sos k n = (if k = 0 \land n = 0 then 1
     else if k = 0 \lor n = 0 then 0
     else if k = 1 then (if Discrete.sqrt n ^2 2 = n then 1 else 0)
     else (\sum i=1..Discrete.sqrt n. count_pos_sos (k-1) (n-i^2))"
  unfolding is_square_conv_sqrt [symmetric] using count_pos_sos_Suc[of
"k-1" n]
  by (auto simp: count_pos_sos_1)
```

If we denote the number of decompositions of n into k squares of integers as $r_k(n)$ and the number of decompositions of n into k positive integers as $r_k^+(n)$, we can show the following formula:

$$r_k(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} 2^j \binom{k}{j} r_j^+(n)$$

There is a simple combinatorial argument for this: any decomposition of n into k squares of integers can be produced by picking

- an integer j between 0 and k determining how many of the squares in the decomposition will be non-zero
- a set $X \subseteq [k]$ with |X| = j of their indices
- a function $s:X\to \{-1,1\}$ determining the sign of each of the j non-zero integers
- a decomposition of n into j squares, which determines the absolute values of each of the j integers

The inverse of this process is also clear: given a decomposition of n into k squares of integers, j is the number of non-zero integers in it, X is the set of all indices with a non-zero integer, s(i) is the sign of the i-th integer, and

the absolute values of the j non-zero integers in the decomposition form a decomposition of n into j squares of positive integers.

However, this proof is somewhat tedious to write down because it is not so easy to, given a list xs with k elements and a set $X \subseteq [k]$ of indices, construct a list that has the elements of xs at the indices X left-to-right and 0 everywhere else.

Therefore, we simply use a straightforward induction on k instead, which is also simple to do, albeit perhaps less insightful.

```
lemma count_sos_conv_count_pos_sos:
   "count_sos k n = (\sum j \le k. 2 ^ j * (k choose j) * count_pos_sos j n)"
proof (induction k arbitrary: n)
  case (Suc k n)
  define m where "m = Discrete.sqrt n"
  have "(\sum j \le Suc \ k. \ 2 \ j * (Suc \ k \ choose \ j) * count_pos_sos \ j \ n) =
          count_pos_sos 0 n +
          (\sum j \le k.\ 2\ \widehat{\ } Suc j * (k choose j) * count_pos_sos (Suc j) n) +
          (\sum_{j \le k} j \le k. \ 2 \ \text{Suc } j * (k \text{ choose Suc } j) * \text{count_pos_sos (Suc } j)
n)"
     by (subst sum.atMost_Suc_shift) (simp_all add: ring_distribs sum.distrib)
  also have "(\sum j \le k. \ 2 \ \widehat{} \ Suc \ j * (k \ choose \ Suc \ j) * count_pos_sos (Suc \ j)
                (\sum j \in \{1..Suc\ k\}.\ 2\ \hat{j}\ *\ (k\ choose\ j)\ *\ count\_pos\_sos\ j
n)"
     by (intro sum.reindex_bij_witness[of \_ "\lambda j. j - 1" Suc]) auto
  also have "(\sum j \le k. \ 2 \ \text{Suc} \ j * (k \text{ choose } j) * \text{count\_pos\_sos} (Suc \ j)
                (\sum j \le k. \sum i=1..m. 2 ^ Suc j * (k choose j) * count_pos_sos
i (n - i^2))"
     by (simp add: count_pos_sos_Suc sum_distrib_left mult.assoc m_def)
  also have "... = (\sum i=1..m. \sum j \le k. 2  Suc j * (k choose <math>j) * count\_pos\_sos
j (n - i^2))"
     by (rule sum.swap)
  finally have "(\sum j \le Suc \ k. \ 2 \ j * (Suc \ k \ choose \ j) * count_pos_sos \ j
                    count\_pos\_sos 0 n + (\sum j=1..Suc k. 2 ^ j * (k choose j)
* count_pos_sos j n) +
                    (\sum i=1..m. \sum j \le k. \ 2 \ ^Suc \ j * (k \ choose \ j) * count_pos_sos)
j (n - i^2))"
     by Groebner_Basis.algebra
  also have "count_pos_sos 0 n + (\sum j=1...Suc k. 2 \hat{j} * (k choose j) *
count_pos_sos j n) =
                (\sum j \in insert \ 0 \ \{1..Suc \ k\}. \ 2 \ j * (k choose j) * count_pos_sos
j n)"
     by (subst sum.insert) auto
  also have "insert 0 \{1..Suc k\} = \{..Suc k\}"
     by auto
  also have "(\sum j \le Suc\ k.\ 2 \hat{j} * (k\ choose\ j) * count_pos_sos\ j\ n) = (\sum j \le k.\ 2 \hat{j} * (k\ choose\ j) * count_pos_sos\ j\ n)"
```

```
by (rule sum.mono_neutral_right) auto also have "(\sum j \le k. \ 2 \ j * (k \ choose \ j) * count_pos_sos \ j \ n) + (\sum i = 1..m. \sum j \le k. \ 2 \ Suc \ j * (k \ choose \ j) * count_pos_sos \ j \ (n - i^2)) = count_sos (Suc \ k) \ n"by (simp add: count_sos_Suc Suc.IH sum_distrib_left mult.assoc m_def) finally show ?case .. qed (auto simp: count_pos_sos_0)
```

We can however, just for illustration, easily establish a bijection between the the set of decompositions of n into k squares of integers and the set of pairs consisting of a decomposition of n into k squares of positive integers and a subset of [k] (indicating which of the integers were originally negative).

This shows that $r_k(n) \geq 2^k r_k^+(n)$ (although we could easily have derived that fact from our identity relating $r_k(n)$ and $r_k^+(n)$ as well).

lemma

```
fixes k n :: nat
  fixes f :: "nat list \times nat set \Rightarrow int list"
  defines "f \equiv (\lambda(xs, X). map_index (\lambdai x. if i \in X then -int x else int
  defines "A \equiv pos_sos_decomps k n \times Pow {..<k}"
  defines "B \equiv \{xs \in sos\_decomps \ k \ n. \ 0 \notin set \ xs\}"
  shows bij_betw_pos_sos_decomps_nonzero_sos_decomps: "bij_betw f A B"
    and count\_sos\_ge\_twopow\_pos\_sos: "count_sos k n \geq 2 ^ k * count_pos_sos
k n"
proof -
  define g :: "int list \Rightarrow nat list \times nat set"
    where "g = (\lambda xs. (map (nat \circ abs) xs, \{i \in \{... < k\}. xs ! i < 0\}))"
  show "bij_betw f A B"
  proof (rule bij_betwI[of _ _ g])
    show "f \in A \rightarrow B"
       by (force simp: f_def A_def B_def sos_decomps_def pos_sos_decomps_def
                          map_map_index set_conv_nth sum_list_sum_nth
                   intro!: sum.cong split: if_splits)
  next
    show "g \in B \rightarrow A"
    proof
       fix xs assume "xs \in B"
       hence xs: "int n = (\sum x \leftarrow xs. x ^2)" "0 \notin set xs" "length xs =
k"
         by (simp_all add: B_def sos_decomps_def)
       note xs(1)
       also have "(\sum x \leftarrow xs. x \hat{\ } 2) = int (\sum x \leftarrow xs. (nat |x|) \hat{\ } 2)"
         by (subst sum_list_of_nat [symmetric]) (simp_all add: o_def)
       finally have "n = (\sum x \leftarrow xs. (nat |x|) ^2)"
         by linarith
       thus "g xs \in A"
```

```
using xs by (auto simp: A_def pos_sos_decomps_def g_def o_def)
    qed
 \mathbf{next}
    show "g (f xs_X) = xs_X" if "xs_X \in A" for xs_X
    proof -
      obtain X xs where [simp]: "xs_X = (xs, X)"
        by (cases xs_X)
      have "X = \{i \in \{... < k\}.\ f (xs, X) \mid i < 0\}" using that
        by (force simp: f_def A_def pos_sos_decomps_def set_conv_nth split:
if_splits)
      moreover have "xs = map (nat \circ abs) (f (xs, X))"
        by (rule nth_equalityI) (use that in <auto simp: f_def A_def>)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (simp add: g_def)
    qed
 next
    show "f (g xs) = xs" if "xs \in B" for xs using that
      by (auto simp: f_def g_def map_index_map B_def sos_decomps_def intro!:
nth_equalityI)
  qed
 have "2 ^ k * count_pos_sos k n = card A"
    by (simp add: A_def card_Pow count_pos_sos_def)
  also have "... = card B"
    using bij_betw_same_card[OF <bij_betw f _ _>] .
 also have "... = card \{xs \in sos\_decomps \ k \ n. \ 0 \notin set \ xs\}"
    by (simp add: B_def count_sos_def)
  also have "... \le card (sos_decomps k n)"
    by (rule card_mono) auto
 also have "... = count_sos k n"
    by (simp add: count_sos_def)
 finally show "count_sos k n \geq 2 ^ k * count_pos_sos k n" .
qed
value "map (count_pos_sos 2) [0..<100]"</pre>
```

1.4 Decompositions into two squares

For the rest of this development, we will focus on k = 2, i.e. decompositions of n into two squares. There is an obvious relationship between these and Gaussian integers with norm n.

To that end, recall that the Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are the subring of the complex numbers of the form a+bi with $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$. Their integer-valued norm is defined as $N(a+bi)=a^2+b^2$ (which is the square of the distance of the complex number a+bi to the origin).

```
by (auto simp: sos_decomps_def gauss_int_norm_def) lemma sos_decomps_2_conv_gauss_int_norm: 
   "bij_betw (\lambda z. [ReZ z, ImZ z]) {z. gauss_int_norm z = n} (sos_decomps 2 n)"
   by (rule bij_betwI[of _ _ _ "\lambdaxs. of_int (xs ! 0) + of_int (xs ! 1) * iz"])
      (auto simp: sos_decomps_def length_Suc_conv gauss_int_norm_def eval_nat_numeral gauss_int_eq_iff)
```

To make use of this connection, we will now develop some more theory on Gaussian integers with a given norm n.

1.4.1 Gaussian integers on a circle

We define the set of all Gaussian integers with norm n, i.e. all complex numbers with integer real and imaginary part that lie on a circle of radius n^2 around the origin.

```
definition gauss_ints_with_norm :: "nat ⇒ gauss_int set" where
   "gauss_ints_with_norm n = gauss_int_norm -` {n}"

lemma gauss_ints_with_norm_0 [simp]: "gauss_ints_with_norm 0 = {0}"
   by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm_def)

lemma card_gauss_ints_with_norm_conv_count_sos: "card (gauss_ints_with_norm n) = count_sos 2 n"
   using bij_betw_same_card[OF sos_decomps_2_conv_gauss_int_norm[of n]]
   by (simp add: gauss_ints_with_norm_def vimage_def count_sos_def)
```

For convenience, we also define the following variant where we restrict the above set to the "standard" quadrant where the real part is positive and the imaginary part is non-negative.

In other words: if we have a Gaussian integer z, there are three more copies of it with the same norm in the other three quadrants, differing from z by one of the unit factors -1, i, or -i. It makes sense to therefore only look at the copy in the first quadrant as the "canonical" representative.

```
definition gauss_ints_with_norm' :: "nat \Rightarrow gauss_int set" where "gauss_ints_with_norm' n = gauss_int_norm -` \{n\} \cap \{z. \ z \neq 0 \land normalize z = z\}"

lemma gauss_ints_with_norm'_subset:
   "gauss_ints_with_norm' n \subseteq (\lambda(a,b). \ of_int \ a + of_int \ b * i_{\mathbb{Z}}) ` (\{0...int \ n\} \times \{0...int \ n\})"

proof
   fix z assume "z \in gauss_ints_with_norm' n"
   hence *: "gauss_int_norm z = n" "normalize z = z"
   by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def)
```

```
have nonneg: "ReZ z \geq 0 \wedge ImZ z \geq 0"
    using *(2) by (simp add: normalized_gauss_int)
  moreover {
    have "ReZ z \le ReZ z ^2"
      using self_le_power[of "ReZ z" 2] nonneg by (cases "ReZ z = 0")
auto
    also have "ReZ z \hat{} 2 \leq gauss_int_norm z"
      by (simp add: gauss_int_norm_def)
    finally have "ReZ z \leq int n"
      using * by simp
  } moreover {
    have "ImZ z \leq ImZ z ^2"
      using self_le_power[of "ImZ z" 2] nonneg by (cases "ImZ z = 0")
auto
    also have "ImZ z ^ 2 \le gauss_int_norm z"
      by (simp add: gauss_int_norm_def)
    finally have "ImZ z \le int n"
      using * by simp
  ultimately have "ReZ z \in \{0..int n\}" "ImZ z \in \{0..int n\}"
  thus "z \in (\lambda(a,b). of_int a + of_int b * i_{\mathbb{Z}}) ` ({0..int n} \times {0..int
n})"
    by (intro rev_image_eqI[of "(ReZ z, ImZ z)"]) (simp_all add: gauss_int_eq_iff)
qed
lemma finite_gauss_ints_with_norm' [simp, intro]: "finite (gauss_ints_with_norm'
 using gauss_ints_with_norm'_subset by (rule finite_subset) auto
lemma gauss_ints_with_norm'_0 [simp]: "gauss_ints_with_norm' 0 = {}"
 by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def)
lemma gauss_ints_with_norm'_1 [simp]: "gauss_ints_with_norm' (Suc 0)
= {1}"
 by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def gauss_int_norm_eq_Suc_0_iff
is_unit_normalize)
lemma unit_factor_eq_1_iff: "unit_factor x = 1 \longleftrightarrow normalize x = x \land
 by (metis unit_factor_0 unit_factor_1_imp_normalized unit_factor_normalize
zero_neq_one)
{\bf lemma~gauss\_ints\_with\_norm\_conv\_norm':}
 assumes "n > 0"
           "bij_betw (\lambda(c,z). c*z)
 shows
              ({z. is_unit z} × gauss_ints_with_norm' n) (gauss_ints_with_norm
n)"
 by (rule bij_betwI[of _ _ _ "\lambda z. (unit_factor z, normalize z)"])
```

```
(use assms in <auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def gauss_ints_with_norm_def
                                gauss_int_norm_mult gauss_int_norm_eq_Suc_0_iff
is_unit_normalize
                                 unit_factor_eq_1_iff>)
lemma finite_gauss_ints_with_norm [simp, intro]: "finite (gauss_ints_with_norm
n)"
proof -
  have "\{z. \text{ is\_unit } z\} = \{1, -1, i_{\mathbb{Z}}, -i_{\mathbb{Z}}\}"
    by (auto simp: is_unit_gauss_int_iff)
  thus ?thesis
    using bij_betw_finite[OF gauss_ints_with_norm_conv_norm'[of n]]
    by (cases "n = 0") auto
qed
lemma card gauss ints with norm conv norm':
  assumes "n > 0"
          "card (gauss_ints_with_norm n) = 4 * card (gauss_ints_with_norm'
  shows
n)"
proof -
  define U where "U = {z :: gauss_int. is_unit z}"
  have U_eq: "U = \{1, -1, i_{\mathbb{Z}}, -i_{\mathbb{Z}}\}"
    by (auto simp: is_unit_gauss_int_iff U_def)
  have [simp]: "finite U" "card U = 4"
    by (auto simp: U_eq gauss_int_eq_iff)
  have "card (gauss_ints_with_norm n) = card (U × gauss_ints_with_norm'
n)"
    unfolding U def
    by (rule sym, rule bij_betw_same_card, rule gauss_ints_with_norm_conv_norm')
fact
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
qed
It now turns out that the number G(n) of Gaussian integers (up to units)
with norm n is a multiplicative function in n, meaning that G(0) = 0,
G(1) = 1, and G(mn) = G(m)G(n) if m and n are coprime.
lemma gauss_ints_with_norm'_mult_coprime:
  assumes "coprime n1 n2"
           "bij_betw (\lambda(x1,x2). normalize (x1 * x2))
  shows
              (gauss ints with norm' n1 × gauss ints with norm' n2)
              (gauss_ints_with_norm' (n1 * n2))"
  unfolding bij_betw_def
proof
  show "(\lambda(x, y). normalize (x * y)) ` (gauss_ints_with_norm' n1 \times gauss_ints_with_norm'
        gauss_ints_with_norm' (n1 * n2)"
  proof safe
    fix z assume z: "z \in gauss_ints_with_norm" (n1 * n2)"
```

```
define x1 \ x2 where "x1 = gcd \ z (of_nat n1)" and "x2 = gcd \ z (of_nat
n2)"
    have eq: "of_nat n1 * of_nat n2 = z * gauss_cnj z"
      using z by (simp add: self_mult_gauss_cnj gauss_ints_with_norm'_def)
    hence "z dvd of_nat n1 * of_nat n2"
      by auto
    hence z_{eq}: "normalize z = normalize (x1 * x2)"
      unfolding x1_def x2_def
      by (rule divisor_coprime_product_decomp_normalize)
         (use assms in <simp_all add: coprime_of_nat_gauss_int>)
    then obtain c where c: "is_unit c" "z = c * x1 * x2"
      by (elim associatedE1) (simp add: algebra_simps)
    have "(of_nat (n1 * n2) :: gauss_int) =
            (c * gauss_cnj c) * (x1 * gauss_cnj x1) * (x2 * gauss_cnj
x2)"
      by (simp add: eq c mult ac)
    also have "... = of_nat (gauss_int_norm x1 * gauss_int_norm x2)"
      unfolding self_mult_gauss_cnj using c(1)
      by (simp add: is_unit_gauss_int_iff')
    finally have "n1 * n2 = gauss_int_norm x1 * gauss_int_norm x2"
      by (simp only: of_nat_eq_iff)
    moreover have "gauss_int_norm x1 dvd gauss_int_norm (of_nat n1)"
      unfolding x1_def by (rule gauss_int_norm_dvd_mono) auto
    hence "gauss_int_norm x1 dvd n1 ^ 2"
      by simp
    moreover have "gauss_int_norm x2 dvd gauss_int_norm (of_nat n2)"
      unfolding x2_def by (rule gauss_int_norm_dvd_mono) auto
    hence "gauss_int_norm x2 dvd n2 ^ 2"
      by simp
    ultimately have "n1 = gauss_int_norm x1 \land n2 = gauss_int_norm x2"
      by (metis assms coprime_crossproduct_nat coprime_mult_left_iff coprime_mult_right_iff
                dvd_div_mult_self power2_eq_square)
   moreover have "x1 \neq 0" "normalize x1 = x1" "x2 \neq 0" "normalize x2
      using z by (auto simp: x1_def x2_def gauss_ints_with_norm'_def)
    ultimately have "x1 \in gauss_ints_with_norm' n1" "x2 \in gauss_ints_with_norm'
n2"
                    "z = normalize (x1 * x2)"
      using z_eq z unfolding gauss_ints_with_norm'_def by auto
    thus "z \in (\lambda(x, y). normalize (x * y)) ` (gauss_ints_with_norm' n1)
× gauss_ints_with_norm' n2)"
      by fast
  qed (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def gauss_int_norm_mult)
 show "inj_on (\lambda(x1, x2). normalize (x1 * x2)) (gauss_ints_with_norm'
n1 × gauss_ints_with_norm' n2)"
 proof (safe intro!: inj_onI)
    fix x1 x2 y1 y2 :: gauss_int
    assume eq: "normalize (x1 * x2) = normalize (y1 * y2)"
```

```
assume x12: "x1 \in gauss_ints_with_norm' n1" "y1 \in gauss_ints_with_norm'
n1"
                 "x2 \in gauss_ints_with_norm' n2" "y2 \in gauss_ints_with_norm'
n2"
    from eq have "normalize x1 = normalize y1 \land normalize x2 = normalize
v2"
    proof (subst (asm) coprime_crossproduct_strong)
      have "coprime (of_nat n1) (of_nat n2 :: gauss_int)"
        using assms by (simp add: coprime_of_nat_gauss_int)
      hence "coprime (x1 * gauss_cnj x1) (y2 * gauss_cnj y2)"
        using x12 unfolding self_mult_gauss_cnj gauss_ints_with_norm'_def
by simp_all
      thus "coprime x1 y2"
        by simp
    \mathbf{next}
      have "coprime (of_nat n2) (of_nat n1 :: gauss_int)"
        using assms by (simp add: coprime_of_nat_gauss_int coprime_commute)
      hence "coprime (x2 * gauss_cnj x2) (y1 * gauss_cnj y1)"
        using x12 unfolding self_mult_gauss_cnj gauss_ints_with_norm'_def
by simp_all
      thus "coprime x2 y1"
        by simp
    qed auto
    thus "x1 = y1" "x2 = y2"
      using x12 by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def)
  qed
qed
interpretation gauss_ints_with_norm': multiplicative_function "\lambda n. card
(gauss_ints_with_norm' n)"
proof
  fix \ \texttt{m} \ \texttt{n} \ :: \ \texttt{nat}
  assume coprime: "coprime m n"
  show "card (gauss_ints_with_norm' (m * n)) =
        card (gauss ints with norm' m) * card (gauss ints with norm' n)"
    using bij_betw_same_card[OF gauss_ints_with_norm'_mult_coprime[OF
coprime]] by simp
qed auto
A similar multiplicativity result for r_2(n) follows, namely
                         r_2(mn) = \frac{1}{4}r_2(m)r_2(n)
for m, n positive and coprime.
corollary count_sos_2_mult_coprime:
  "m > 0 \Longrightarrow n > 0 \Longrightarrow coprime m n \Longrightarrow 4 * count_sos 2 (m * n) = count_sos
```

using gauss_ints_with_norm'.mult_coprime[of m n]

2 m * count_sos 2 n"

Since G(n) is multiplicative, it is determined completely by the values it takes on prime powers. We will therefore determine the value of $G(p^k)$ for p being a (rational) prime next, and we distinguish the three cases p = 2, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{1}$, and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{3}$, corresponding to the different ways in which a rational prime p factors in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

The integer 2 factors into the prime factors into $-i(1+i)^2$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ (where 1+i is prime and -i is a unit), there is exactly one Gaussian integer with norm 2^n (up to units), namely $(1+i)^n$.

```
lemma gauss_ints_with_norm'_2_power: "gauss_ints_with_norm' (2 ^ n) =
{normalize ((1 + i_{\mathbb{Z}}) \hat{n})}"
proof -
  define p where "p = 1 + i_{\mathbb{Z}}"
  have p: "p \neq 0" "gauss_int_norm p = 2" "prime p"
    by (auto simp: p_def gauss_int_eq_iff gauss_int_norm_def prime_one_plus_i_gauss_int)
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro equalityI subsetI; (elim singletonE; hypsubst)?)
    show "normalize ((1 + i_{\mathbb{Z}}) ^ n) \in gauss_ints_with_norm' (2 ^ n)"
      unfolding p_def [symmetric]
      using p by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def gauss_int_norm_power)
  next
    fix \ z \ assume \ "z \in gauss_ints_with_norm" \ (2 \ ^n)"
    hence z: "gauss_int_norm z = 2 ^ n" "normalize z = z"
      by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def)
    from z have "2 ^n = z * gauss_cnj z"
      by (simp add: self_mult_gauss_cnj)
    also have "2 = -i_{\mathbb{Z}} * p ^2"
      by (auto simp: p_def power2_eq_square algebra_simps)
    also have "... \hat{n} = (-i_{\mathbb{Z}}) \hat{n} * p \hat{2} * n"
      by (simp add: algebra_simps power_minus' flip: power_mult)
    finally have "z dvd (-i_{\mathbb{Z}}) ^ n * p ^ (2 * n)"
      by auto
    moreover have "is_unit ((-i_{\mathbb{Z}}) ^ n)"
      by auto
    ultimately have "z dvd p \hat{} (2 * n)"
      using dvd_mult_unit_iff' by blast
    with \langle prime p \rangle obtain i where i: "i \leq 2 * n" "z = normalize (p ^
i)"
      using divides_primepow_weak[of p z "2*n"] z by auto
    with z p have "i = n"
      by (simp add: gauss_int_norm_power)
    with i \text{ show } "z \in \{normalize ((1 + gauss_i) ^ n)\}"
      by (simp add: p_def)
  qed
qed
```

Rational primes p with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ are inert in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, i.e. they are also prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Using this, we can show that there is no Gaussian integers with norm p^{2n+1} and exactly one Gaussian integer (up to units) with norm p^{2n} , namely p^n .

lemma gauss_ints_with_norm'_prime_power_cong_3:

```
assumes "prime p" "[p = 3] \pmod{4}"
          "gauss ints with norm' (p ^ n) =
              (if odd n then \{\} else \{of_nat (p ^ (n div 2))\})"
  (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix z assume "z \in ?rhs"
  thus "z \in ?lhs" using assms
    by (auto split: if_splits simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def gauss_int_norm_power
              simp flip: power_mult of_nat_power)
next
  fix z assume "z \in ?lhs"
  hence z: "gauss_int_norm z = p ^ n" "normalize z = z"
    by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def)
  from z have "of_nat p ^ n = z * gauss_cnj z"
    by (simp add: self_mult_gauss_cnj)
  hence "z dvd of_nat p ^ n"
    by simp
  then obtain i where i: "i \le n" "z = of_nat p \hat{i}"
    using divides_primepow_weak[of "of_nat p" z n] z assms prime_gauss_int_of_nat[of
p]
    by (auto simp flip: of_nat_power)
  with z have "p \hat{} (2 * i) = p \hat{} n"
    by (simp add: gauss_int_norm_power flip: power_mult)
  hence "n = 2 * i"
    using assms prime_power_inj by blast
  with i show "z \in ?rhs"
    by auto
qed
Any rational prime p with p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} factor into two conjugate prime
factors q and \bar{q} in \mathbb{Z}[i], just like it was the case for 2. But unlike for 2, where
q = \bar{q} = 1 + i, we now have q = \bar{q}.
Thus a Gaussian integer z has norm p^n iff we have z\bar{z}=p^n=q^n\bar{q}^n, which
means that z must be of the form q^i \bar{q}^{n-i}. This leaves us with n+1 choices
for i and therefore n+1 such Gaussian integers z.
lemma gauss_ints_with_norm'_prime_power_cong_1:
  assumes "prime p" "[p = 1] \pmod{4}"
  obtains q :: gauss_int where "prime q" "gauss_int_norm q = p"
    "bij_betw (\lambdai. normalize (q ^ i * gauss_cnj q ^ (n - i))) {0..n} (gauss_ints_with_norm'
(p ^ n))"
proof -
  interpret p: noninert_gauss_int_prime p
```

```
by standard fact+
  obtain q q' where q: "prime q'" "prime q'" "gauss_int_norm q = p" "gauss_int_norm
q' = p''
                        "prime_factorization (of_nat p) = {#q, q'#}"
    and q'_def: "q' = i_{\mathbb{Z}} * gauss_cnj q"
    using p.prime_factorization by metis
  have neq: "q' \neq q"
  proof
    assume q' = q''
    hence "ReZ q = ImZ q"
      unfolding q'_def gauss_int_eq_iff times_gauss_int.sel gauss_i.sel
gauss_cnj.sel
      by linarith
    hence "even (gauss_int_norm q)"
      by (simp add: gauss_int_norm_def nat_mult_distrib)
    thus False
      using q by (simp add: p.odd_p)
  qed
  have not_q_dvd: "¬q dvd gauss_cnj q"
    using neq q by (metis prime_elem_dvd_mult_iff prime_imp_prime_elem
primes_dvd_imp_eq q'_def)
  have [simp]: "multiplicity q (gauss_cnj q ^ i) = 0" for i
    by (rule not_dvd_imp_multiplicity_0) (use not_q_dvd prime_dvd_power
q(1) in auto)
  have [simp]: "multiplicity q' (gauss_cnj q) = 1"
    have "multiplicity q' (gauss_cnj q) = multiplicity q' q'"
      unfolding q'_def by (subst multiplicity_times_unit_right) auto
    thus ?thesis
      using q by simp
  qed
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule that[of q])
    show "bij_betw (\lambdai. normalize (q ^ i * gauss_cnj q ^ (n - i))) {0..n}
(gauss_ints_with_norm' (p ^ n))"
     proof \ (\textit{rule bij\_betwI[of \_ \_ \_ "multiplicity q"]}) \\
      from q show "(\lambdai. normalize (q ^ i * gauss_cnj q ^ (n - i))) \in
\{0..n\} \rightarrow gauss_ints_with_norm' (p ^ n)"
        by \ (auto \ simp: \ gauss\_ints\_with\_norm'\_def \ gauss\_int\_norm\_mult \ gauss\_int\_norm\_power
                  simp flip: power_add)
    \mathbf{next}
      show "multiplicity q \in gauss\_ints\_with\_norm' (p ^ n) \rightarrow \{0..n\}"
      proof
        fix z assume z: "z ∈ gauss_ints_with_norm' (p ^ n)"
        from z have [simp]: "z \neq 0"
          by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def)
```

from z have "gauss_int_norm z = p ^ n"

```
by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def)
                  hence "of_nat (p \hat{n}) = z * gauss_cnj z"
                       by (simp add: self_mult_gauss_cnj)
                  also have "of_nat (p \hat{n}) = ((q * gauss_cnj q) \hat{n} :: gauss_int)"
                       using q by (simp add: self_mult_gauss_cnj)
                  also have "... = q \hat{n} * gauss\_cnj (q \hat{n})"
                       by (simp add: algebra_simps)
                  finally have "q ^ n * gauss_cnj (q ^ n) = z * gauss_cnj z".
                  hence "multiplicity q (q \hat{n} * gauss\_cnj (q \hat{n})) = multiplicity
q (z * gauss_cnj z)"
                      by (rule arg_cong)
                  also have "multiplicity q (q \hat{n} * gauss\_cnj (q \hat{n})) = n"
                       using q by (simp add: prime_elem_multiplicity_mult_distrib)
                  also have "multiplicity q (z * gauss_cnj z) = multiplicity q z
+ multiplicity q (gauss_cnj z)"
                       using q by (subst prime_elem_multiplicity_mult_distrib) auto
                  finally show "multiplicity q z \in \{0..n\}"
                      by simp
             qed
         \mathbf{next}
             fix i assume "i \in \{0..n\}"
             thus "multiplicity q (normalize (q \hat{i} * gauss\_cnj q \hat{i} (n - i)))
= i"
                  using q by (simp add: prime_elem_multiplicity_mult_distrib)
         next
             fix \ z \ assume \ "z \in gauss\_ints\_with\_norm" \ (p \ ^n)"
             hence [simp]: "z \neq 0" and z: "normalize z = z" "gauss_int_norm
z = p ^n n''
                  by (auto simp: gauss_ints_with_norm'_def)
             define i where "i = multiplicity q z"
             have subset: "prime_factors z \subseteq \{q, q'\}"
             proof -
                  have "prime_factors z \subseteq prime_factors (z * gauss_cnj z)"
                      by (simp add: dvd_prime_factors)
                  also have "z * gauss_cnj z = of_nat p ^ n"
                       by (simp add: self_mult_gauss_cnj z)
                  also have "prime_factors ... ⊆ prime_factors (of_nat p)"
                       by (cases "n = 0") (simp_all add: prime_factors_power)
                  also have "... = \{q, q'\}"
                       using q by simp
                  finally show ?thesis .
             have "normalize z = normalize (prod_mset (prime_factorization z))"
                  using \langle z \neq 0 \rangle by (rule prod_mset_prime_factorization_weak [symmetric])
             also have "prod_mset (prime_factorization z) = (\prod r \in prime_factors)
z. r ^multiplicity r z)"
                  by (subst prod_mset_multiplicity, rule prod.cong)
                          (auto simp: count_prime_factorization_prime prime_factors_multiplicity)
             also have "(\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in \{q, r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity r z) = (\prod r \in prime\_factors z. r \cap multiplicity z. r \cap multiplicity z. r \cap multiplicity z. r \cap multiplicity z. r
```

```
q'}. r ^ multiplicity r z)"
        by (rule prod.mono_neutral_left) (use subset q in \langleauto simp:
prime_factors_multiplicity>)
      also have "... = q \hat{i} * q' \hat{multiplicity} q' z"
        using q neq by (simp add: i_def)
      finally have z_{eq}: "z = normalize (q ^ i * q' ^ multiplicity q' z)"
        by (simp add: z(1))
      have "gauss_int_norm z = p ^ (i + multiplicity q' z)"
        by (subst z_eq) (use q in <simp_all add: gauss_int_norm_mult gauss_int_norm_power
power_add>)
      also have "gauss_int_norm z = p ^ n"
        using z by simp
      finally have "n = i + multiplicity q'z"
        using <prime p> prime_power_inj by blast
      hence "multiplicity q'z = n - i"
        by linarith
      with z_eq have "z = normalize (q \hat{i} * q' \hat{i} (n - i))"
        by simp
      also have "... = normalize (i_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{ } (n - i) * (q \hat{ } i * gauss_cnj q)
^ (n - i)))"
        by (simp add: q'_def mult_ac power_mult_distrib)
      also have "... = normalize (q \hat{i} * gauss\_cnj q \hat{i} (n - i))"
        by (rule normalize_mult_unit_left) auto
      finally show "normalize (q \hat{i} * gauss\_cnj q \hat{i} (n - i)) = z"..
    qed
 qed fact+
Combining all of these results, we now know the value of G(p^n) for any
rational prime p:
theorem card_gauss_ints_with_norm'_prime_power:
  assumes "prime p"
 shows
           "card (gauss_ints_with_norm' (p ^ n)) =
              (if [p = 3] \pmod{4} \land odd n then 0
              else if [p = 1] \pmod{4} then n + 1 else 1)"
  using assms
proof (cases p rule: prime_cong_4_nat_cases)
 case 2
 thus ?thesis
    using gauss_ints_with_norm'_2_power[of n]
    by (simp add: cong_def)
next
  case cong 1
  then obtain q where q: "prime q" "gauss_int_norm q = p"
    "bij_betw (\lambdai. normalize (q ^ i * gauss_cnj q ^ (n - i))) {0..n} (gauss_ints_with_norm'
(p ^ n))"
    using gauss_ints_with_norm'_prime_power_cong_1[of p n] assms by blast
  have "card {0..n} = card (gauss_ints_with_norm' (p ^ n))"
    by (rule bij_betw_same_card[OF q(3)])
```

```
thus ?thesis
    using cong_1 by (simp add: cong_def)
next
    case cong_3
    thus ?thesis
     using gauss_ints_with_norm'_prime_power_cong_3[of p n] assms
    by (auto simp: cong_def)
ged
```

This allows us to compute G(n) efficiently given a prime factorisation of n.

1.4.2 The number of divisors in a given congruence class

Next, we introduce a variant of the divisor counting function $\sigma_0(n)$ that will turn out to be useful for computing $r_k(n)$. This function counts the number of divisors d of n with $d \cong i \pmod{m}$ for fixed i and m.

It is not quite a multiplicative function (unless i = 1) since it does not necessarily return 1 for n = 1 (unless i = 1), but it is *somewhat* multiplicative since it does distribute over coprime factors in a more general sense, as we will see below.

```
definition divisor_count_cong :: "nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat" where
  "divisor_count_cong i m n = card {d. d dvd n \land [d = i] (mod m)}"
lemma divisor_count_cong_0 [simp]:
  assumes "m > 0"
          "divisor_count_cong i m 0 = 0"
  shows
proof -
  have "range (\lambda k. m * k + i) \subseteq \{d. [d = i] \pmod{m}\}"
    by (auto simp: cong_def)
  moreover have "infinite (range (\lambda k. m * k + i))"
    by (subst finite_image_iff) (use assms in <auto intro!: injI>)
  ultimately have "infinite {d. [d = i] (mod m)}"
    using finite_subset by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: divisor_count_cong_def)
qed
lemma divisor_count_cong_1:
  "divisor_count_cong i m (Suc 0) = (if [i = 1] (mod m) then 1 else 0)"
proof -
  have "\{d.\ d\ dvd\ 1\ \land\ [d=i]\ (mod\ m)\}\ =\ (if\ [i=1]\ (mod\ m)\ then\ \{1\}
    by (auto simp: divisor_count_cong_def cong_sym_eq)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: divisor_count_cong_def)
qed
```

The following is an obvious but very helpful lemma that allows us to deter-

mine the value of the function on a prime power by determining the number of exponents k such that $p^k \equiv i \pmod{m}$, which is quite easy for concrete i, m, p.

```
lemma\ \textit{divisor\_count\_cong\_prime\_power:}
  assumes "prime p"
          "divisor_count_cong i m (p \hat{n}) = card {k \in {0..n}. [p \hat{k} =
  \mathbf{shows}
i] (mod m)}"
proof -
  have "divisor_count_cong i m (p \hat{} n) = card {d. d dvd p \hat{} n \wedge [d =
i] (mod m)}"
    by (simp add: divisor_count_cong_def)
  also have bij: "bij_betw (\lambdai. p ^ i) {k \in {0..n}. [p ^ k = i] (mod m)}
{d. d dvd p \cap n \land [d = i] \pmod{m}}"
    by (rule bij_betwI[of _ _ _ "multiplicity p"])
        (use assms in <auto simp: dvd_power_iff divides_primepow_nat>)
  have "card {d. d dvd p \hat{n} \land [d = i] \pmod{m}} = card {k \in {0..n}. [p
k = i \pmod{m}"
    using bij_betw_same_card[OF bij] by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
The following is a variant of the above lemma for the particular case where
p divides the modulus m but not i.
lemma divisor_count_cong_prime_power_dvd:
  assumes "p dvd m" "prime p" "¬p dvd i"
  shows
           "divisor_count_cong i m (p \hat{n}) = (if [i = 1] \pmod{m}) then 1
else 0)"
proof -
  have "divisor_count_cong i m (p \hat{n}) = card {k \in {0..n}. [p \hat{k} = i]
(mod m)}"
    by (rule divisor_count_cong_prime_power) fact
  also have "\{k \in \{0..n\}. [p \hat{k} = i] (mod m)} = (if [i = 1] (mod m) then
{0} else {})"
  proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
    fix k assume k: k \in \{k \in \{0..n\}. [p \ k = i] \ (mod \ m)\}
    show "k \in (if [i = 1] \pmod{m} then \{0\} else \{\}\})"
    proof (cases "k = 0")
      case True
      thus ?thesis
        using k by (auto simp: cong_def)
    next
      case False
      have "[p \hat{k} \neq i] (mod m)"
        using False assms by (meson bot_nat_0.not_eq_extremum cong_dvd_iff
cong_dvd_modulus_nat dvd_power)
      hence False
        using k by auto
      thus ?thesis ..
    qed
```

```
qed (use assms in <auto split: if_splits simp: cong_sym>)
  finally show ?thesis
     by simp
Next, we explore the way in which our function distributes over coprime
factors.
context
  fixes D :: "nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat set" and m :: nat
    and F :: "nat \Rightarrow (nat \times nat) set"
    and count :: "nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat"
  assumes m: "m > 0"
  defines "D \equiv (\lambda i n. {d. d dvd n \wedge [d = i] (mod m)})"
  defines "F \equiv (\lambdai. {(j1,j2). j1 < m \wedge j2 < m \wedge [j1 * j2 = i] (mod m)})"
  defines "count \equiv (\lambdai. divisor_count_cong i m)"
begin
lemma finite_divisors_cong:
  assumes "n > 0"
  \mathbf{shows}
           "finite (D i n)"
proof (rule finite subset)
  show "D i n \subseteq \{..n\}"
    using assms by (auto simp: D_def)
ged auto
lemma bij_betw_divisors_cong_nat:
  assumes "coprime n1 n2"
           "bij_betw (\lambda(d1, d2). d1 * d2) (\bigcup (j1,j2)\inF i. D j1 n1 \times D
j2 n2) (D i (n1 * n2))"
proof (rule bij_betwI[of _ _ _ "\lambdad. (gcd d n1, gcd d n2)"]) show "(\lambda(d1, d2). d1 * d2) \in (\bigcup (j1, j2)\inF i. D j1 n1 \times D j2 n2) \rightarrow
D i (n1 * n2)"
     unfolding F_def D_def
  proof safe
     fix a b j1 j2 :: nat
     assume j12: "j1 < m" "j2 < m" "[j1 * j2 = i] (mod m)"
    assume a: "a dvd n1" "[a = j1] (mod m)" and b: "b dvd n2" "[b = j2]
(mod m)"
    show "a * b dvd n1 * n2"
       using a b by auto
     have "[a * b = j1 * j2] \pmod{m}"
       by (intro cong_mult a b)
     also have "[j1 * j2 = i] \pmod{m}"
       by fact
    finally show "[a * b = i] \pmod{m}".
  qed
  show "(\lambda d. (gcd d n1, gcd d n2)) \in D i (n1 * n2) \rightarrow (\bigcup (j1, j2) \in F i.
D j1 n1 \times D j2 n2)"
```

```
proof safe
    fix d assume "d \in D i (n1 * n2)"
    hence d: "d dvd n1 * n2" "[d = i] (mod m)"
      by (auto simp: D_def)
    define d1 d2 where "d1 = gcd d n1" and "d2 = gcd d n2"
    have d_{eq}: "d = d1 * d2"
      using divisor_coprime_product_decomp[of d n1 n2] d assms
      by (simp_all add: d1_def d2_def)
    have "[(d1 \mod m) * (d2 \mod m) = i] \pmod m"
    proof -
      have "[(d1 \mod m) * (d2 \mod m) = d1 * d2] (mod m)"
        by (intro cong_mult) (auto simp: cong_def)
      also have [d1 * d2 = i] \pmod{m}
        using d_eq d by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    hence "(d1 mod m, d2 mod m) \in F i"
      using m by (auto simp: F_def)
    moreover have "d1 \in D (d1 mod m) n1" "d2 \in D (d2 mod m) n2"
      using d_eq by (auto simp: D_def d1_def d2_def)
    ultimately show "(d1, d2) \in (\bigcup (j1, j2)\inF i. D j1 n1 \times D j2 n2)"
      by blast
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  fix d assume d: "d \in (\bigcup (j1, j2) \in F i. D j1 n1 \times D j2 n2)"
  obtain d1 d2 where [simp]: "d = (d1, d2)"
    by (cases d)
  have d12: "d1 dvd n1" "d2 dvd n2"
    using d by (auto simp: D_def)
  have "gcd (d1 * d2) n1 = d1"
    using assms d12
    by (metis coprime_mult_right_iff dvd_mult_div_cancel gcd_mult_left_right_cancel
gcd_nat.absorb_iff1)
 moreover have "gcd (d1 * d2) n2 = d2"
    using assms d12
    by (metis coprime_commute coprime_mult_right_iff dvd_div_mult_self
gcd_mult_left_left_cancel gcd_nat.orderE)
  ultimately show "(gcd (case d of (d1, d2) \Rightarrow d1 * d2) n1, gcd (case
d \ of \ (d1, \ d2) \Rightarrow d1 * d2) \ n2) = d"
    by (auto simp: D_def)
  fix d assume "d \in D i (n1 * n2)"
  hence "d dvd n1 * n2"
    by (auto simp: D_def)
  hence "gcd d n1 * gcd d n2 = d"
    using assms using divisor_coprime_product_decomp[of d n1 n2] by simp
  thus "(case (gcd d n1, gcd d n2) of (d1, d2) \Rightarrow d1 * d2) = d"
    using assms by (auto simp: D_def)
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma divisor_count_cong_mult_coprime:
  assumes "coprime n1 n2"
            "count i (n1 * n2) = (\sum (j1,j2) \in F i. count j1 n1 * count j2
n2)"
proof (cases "n1 = 0 \lor n2 = 0")
  case False
  hence [simp]: "n1 > 0" "n2 > 0"
    by auto
  have [intro]: "finite (F i)"
    by (rule finite_subset[of \_ "{..<m}\times{..<m}"]) (auto simp: F_def)
  have D_disjoint: "D j1 n \cap D j2 n = {}" if "j1 \neq j2" "j1 < m" "j2 <
m" for j1 j2 n
    using that by (auto simp: D_def cong_def)
  have "count i (n1 * n2) = card (D i (n1 * n2))"
    unfolding count_def divisor_count_cong_def D_def ..
  also have "... = card (\bigcup (j1, j2) \in F i. D j1 n1 \times D j2 n2)"
    by (rule sym, rule bij_betw_same_card, rule bij_betw_divisors_cong_nat)
  also have "... = (\sum (j1,j2) \in F \ i. \ card (D \ j1 \ n1 \times D \ j2 \ n2))"
  proof (subst card_UN_disjoint)
    \mathbf{show} \ "\forall \, \mathbf{ia} {\in} \mathit{F} \ \mathbf{i.} \ \forall \, \mathit{j} {\in} \mathit{F} \ \mathbf{i.} \ \mathbf{ia} \, \neq \, \mathit{j} \, \longrightarrow \,
               (case ia of (j1, j2) \Rightarrow D j1 n1 \times D j2 n2) \cap
               (case j of (j1, j2) \Rightarrow D j1 n1 \times D j2 n2) = {}"
       \mathbf{using}\ \textit{D\_disjoint[of \_ n1]}\ \textit{D\_disjoint[of \_ n2]}
       unfolding F_def by blast
  qed (auto simp: case_prod_unfold intro!: finite_divisors_cong finite_cartesian_product)
  also have "... = (\sum (j1, j2) \in F \ i. \ count \ j1 \ n1 * count \ j2 \ n2)"
    by (simp add: count_def D_def divisor_count_cong_def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed (use m in <auto simp: count_def>)
end
We now specialise the above relation to the particularly simple (but impor-
tant) cases of m = 4 and i = 1, 3.
context
  fixes d :: "nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat"
  defines "d \equiv (\lambdai. divisor_count_cong i 4)"
begin
lemma divisor_count_cong_1_mult_coprime:
  assumes "coprime n1 n2"
             "d 1 (n1 * n2) = d 1 n1 * d 1 n2 + d 3 n1 * d 3 n2"
  shows
  have "\{(j1 :: nat, j2). j1 < 4 \land j2 < 4 \land [j1 * j2 = 1] \pmod{4}\} = 1
            Set.filter (\lambda(j1,j2)). (j1 * j2) mod 4 = 1) ({...<4} × {...<4})"
```

```
by (auto simp: Set.filter_def cong_def)
 also have "... = \{(1,1), (3,3)\}"
    by code_simp
  finally have *: "{(j1 :: nat, j2). j1 < 4 \land j2 < 4 \land [<math>j1 * j2 = 1] (mod
4)} = {(1,1), (3,3)}".
 show ?thesis
    unfolding d_def using assms
    by (subst divisor_count_cong_mult_coprime) (use * in simp_all)
qed
lemma divisor_count_cong_3_mult_coprime:
  assumes "coprime n1 n2"
           "d 3 (n1 * n2) = d 1 n1 * d 3 n2 + d 3 n1 * d 1 n2"
 shows
proof -
 have "\{(j1 :: nat, j2). j1 < 4 \land j2 < 4 \land [j1 * j2 = 3] \pmod{4}\} =
          Set.filter (\lambda(j1,j2). (j1 * j2) mod 4 = 3) ({..<4} × {..<4})"
    by (auto simp: Set.filter_def cong_def)
  also have "... = \{(1,3), (3,1)\}"
    by code_simp
  finally have *: "\{(j1 :: nat, j2). j1 < 4 \land j2 < 4 \land [j1 * j2 = 3] \pmod{n} \}
4)} = {(1,3), (3,1)}".
 show ?thesis
    unfolding d_def using assms
    by (subst divisor_count_cong_mult_coprime) (use * in simp_all)
qed
```

1.4.3 Jacobi's two-square Theorem

We are now ready to prove Jacobi's two-square theorem, namely that the number of ways in which a number n > 0 can be written as a sum of two squares of integers is equal to $4(d_1(n) - d_3(n))$, where $d_i(n)$ denotes the number of divisors of n that are congruent i modulo 4.

To that end, we first define the function f(n) as the number of divisors congruent 1 modulo 4 minus the divisors congruent 3 modulo 4. This function f(n) turns out to be multiplicative.

```
context
  fixes f :: "nat ⇒ int"
  defines "f ≡ (\lambda n. int (d 1 n) - int (d 3 n))"
begin

interpretation f: multiplicative_function f
proof
  show "f 0 = 0"
    by (simp add: f_def d_def)
next
  show "f 1 = 1"
    by (simp add: f_def d_def divisor_count_cong_1 cong_def)
next.
```

```
fix n1 n2 :: nat
 assume n12: "n1 > 1" "n2 > 1" "coprime n1 n2"
 show "f (n1 * n2) = f n1 * f n2"
    unfolding f_def
    by (simp only: divisor_count_cong_1_mult_coprime divisor_count_cong_3_mult_coprime
n12(3))
       (simp add: algebra_simps)
qed
Next, we prove that in fact the number of Gaussian integers (up to units)
with norm n is exactly f(n). Since both functions are multiplicative, it
suffices to show that this holds for n being a prime power.
Since we have already done all the hard work for G(p^k), it only remains to
evaluate f(p^k) in each of the three cases.
lemma card_gauss_ints_with_norm': "int (card (gauss_ints_with_norm' n))
= f n''
proof -
  define G where "G = (\lambda n. \text{ card } (\text{gauss\_ints\_with\_norm' } n))"
 have "multiplicative_function G"
    unfolding G_{def} ..
 have "int (G n) = f n"
 proof (rule multiplicative_function_eqI)
    show "multiplicative_function (\lambda n. int (G n))"
      unfolding G_def by (rule multiplicative_function_of_natI) standard
 next
    show "multiplicative_function f" ..
 next
    fix p k :: nat
    assume p: "prime p" and k: "k > 0"
    thus "int (G (p \hat{k})) = f (p \hat{k})"
    proof (cases p rule: prime_cong_4_nat_cases)
      case [simp]: 2
      have "f(2^k) = 1"
        by (simp add: f_def d_def divisor_count_cong_prime_power_dvd cong_def)
      thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: G_def gauss_ints_with_norm'_2_power)
    next
      case cong_1
      have mod: "(p ^ i) mod 4 = 1" for i
      proof -
        have "[p \hat{i} = 1 \hat{i}] \pmod{4}"
          by (intro cong_pow cong_1)
        thus ?thesis
          by (simp add: cong_def)
      have "d 1 (p \hat{k}) = Suc k"
        using p by (simp add: d_def divisor_count_cong_prime_power cong_def
```

```
mod)
      moreover have "d 3 (p \hat{k}) = 0"
         using p by (simp add: d_def divisor_count_cong_prime_power cong_def
mod)
      ultimately have "f(p \hat{k}) = Suc k"
         by (simp add: f_def)
      moreover have "G (p \hat{k}) = Suc k"
         using cong_1 p by (simp add: G_def cong_def card_gauss_ints_with_norm'_prime_power)
      ultimately show ?thesis
         by simp
    next
      case cong_3
      have mod: "(p \hat{i}) \mod 4 = (if \text{ even } i \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 3)" for i
      proof -
         have "[int (p \hat{i}) = int (3 \hat{i})] (mod (int 4))"
           unfolding cong_int_iff using cong_3 by (intro cong_pow) auto
         hence "[int p \hat{i} = 3 \hat{i}] (mod 4)"
           by simp
         also have "[3 \hat{i} = (-1 :: int) \hat{i} \pmod{4}"
           by (intro cong_pow) (auto simp: cong_def)
         also have "(-1) ^ i = (if even i then 1 else -1 :: int)"
           by (auto simp: uminus_power_if)
         also have "[... = (if even i then 1 else 3 :: int)] (mod 4)"
           by (auto simp: cong_def)
         finally have "[int (p ^ i) = int (if even i then 1 else 3)] (mod
(int 4))"
           by (auto split: if_splits)
         hence "[p \hat{i} = (if \text{ even } i \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 3)] \pmod{4}"
           unfolding cong_int_iff .
         thus ?thesis
           by (auto simp: cong_def)
      qed
      have "d 1 (p \hat{k}) = k \text{ div } 2 + 1"
         have "d 1 (p \hat{k}) = card {i. i \leq k \land (p \hat{i}) \mod 4 = 1}" us-
ing p
           by (simp add: d_def divisor_count_cong_prime_power cong_def)
         also have "{i. i \leq k \land (p \hat{i}) \mod 4 = 1} = {i. i \leq k \land even
i}"
           by (auto simp: mod)
         also have "bij_betw (\lambdai. i div 2) {i. i \leq k \wedge even i} {0..k div
2}"
           by (rule bij_betwI[of _ _ _ "\lambdai. i * 2"]) auto
         hence "card {i. i \le k \land even i} = card {0..k div 2}"
           by (rule bij_betw_same_card)
         finally show ?thesis
           by simp
      qed
```

```
have "d 3 (p \hat{} k) = card {i. i \leq k \wedge (p \hat{} i) mod 4 = 3}" us-
ing p
          by (simp add: d_def divisor_count_cong_prime_power cong_def)
        also have "{i. i \le k \land (p \hat{i}) \mod 4 = 3} = {i. i \le k \land odd
i}"
          by (auto simp: mod)
        also have "bij_betw (\lambdai. (i+1) div 2) {i. i \leq k \wedge odd i} {1..(k+1)
div 2}"
          by (rule bij_betwI[of \_ \_ "\lambdai. i * 2 - 1"]) (auto elim!:
oddE)
        hence "card {i. i \le k \land odd i} = card {1..(k+1) div 2}"
          by (rule bij_betw_same_card)
        finally show ?thesis
          by simp
      qed
      ultimately have "f (p \hat{k}) = (if even k then 1 else 0)"
        by (auto simp: f_def elim!: evenE oddE)
      moreover have "G (p \hat{} k) = (if even k then 1 else 0)"
        using cong_3 p by (simp add: G_def cong_def card_gauss_ints_with_norm'_prime_power)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by simp
    qed
 qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: G_def)
qed
corollary card_gauss_ints_with_norm:
 assumes "n > 0"
 \mathbf{shows}
         "int (card (gauss_ints_with_norm n)) = 4 * f n"
 using card_gauss_ints_with_norm'[of n] assms
 by (simp add: card_gauss_ints_with_norm_conv_norm')
end
end
We get the "Sum of Two Squares" Theorem as a simply corollary.
theorem sum_of_two_squares_eq:
 assumes "n > 0"
           "count_sos 2 n = 4 * (int (divisor_count_cong 1 4 n) - int (divisor_count_cong
 shows
3 4 n))"
  unfolding card_gauss_ints_with_norm_conv_count_sos [symmetric]
  using card_gauss_ints_with_norm[OF assms] .
```

The number of decompositions into two squares of positive numbers can be computed similarly, but we need a "correction term" for the case that n itself is a square.

moreover have "d 3 $(p \hat{k}) = (k+1) \operatorname{div} 2$ "

proof -

```
corollary count_pos_sos_2_eq:
  assumes "n > 0"
 shows
         "count_pos_sos 2 n =
             (int (divisor_count_cong 1 4 n) - int (divisor_count_cong
3 4 n) -
             (if is_square n then 1 else 0))"
proof -
  have "int (count_sos 2 n) = 4 * (count_pos_sos 2 n + (if is_square n
then 1 else 0))"
    using assms by (auto simp: eval_nat_numeral count_sos_conv_count_pos_sos
count_pos_sos_1)
  also have "int (count_sos 2 n) = 4 * (int (divisor_count_cong 1 4 n)
- int (divisor_count_cong 3 4 n))"
    by (rule sum_of_two_squares_eq) fact
  finally show ?thesis
    by auto
qed
As a simple corollary, it follows that if p=2 (for any k) or p\equiv 3 \pmod 4 (for
even k), the numbers n and p^k n have the same number of decompositions
into two squares.
corollary count_sos_times_prime_power:
  assumes "p = 2 \lor (prime p \land [p = 3] \pmod{4} \land even k)"
 shows "count_sos 2 (p ^ k * n) = count_sos 2 n"
proof (cases "n = 0")
  case False
  define i where "i = multiplicity p n"
  define m where "m = n \text{ div } p \hat{\ } i"
 have 1: "n = p \hat{i} * m"
    using False unfolding i_def m_def by (simp add: multiplicity_dvd)
 have "p > 0" "p \neq Suc 0"
    using assms by (auto intro: Nat.gr0I)
  hence 2: "¬p dvd m"
    using False multiplicity_decompose[of n p] unfolding m_def i_def by
auto
 have "gauss_ints_with_norm' (p ^ k * n) = gauss_ints_with_norm' (p ^
(i + k) * m)"
    by (simp add: 1 power_add mult_ac)
  also have "card ... = card (gauss_ints_with_norm' (p ^ (i + k))) * card
(gauss_ints_with_norm' m)"
    by (rule gauss_ints_with_norm'.mult_coprime) (use 2 assms in <auto
simp: prime_imp_coprime>)
 also have "card (gauss_ints_with_norm' (p ^ (i + k))) = card (gauss_ints_with_norm'
(p ^ i))"
    by (subst (1 2) card_gauss_ints_with_norm'_prime_power) (use assms
in <auto simp: cong_def>)
 also have "... * card (gauss_ints_with_norm' m) = card (gauss_ints_with_norm'
(p ^ i * m))"
    by (rule gauss_ints_with_norm'.mult_coprime [symmetric])
```

```
(use 2 assms in <auto simp: prime_imp_coprime>)
  finally show ?thesis using False  0>
    by (simp add: 1 card_gauss_ints_with_norm_conv_norm'
              flip: card_gauss_ints_with_norm_conv_count_sos)
ged auto
corollary count_sos_2_double: "count_sos 2 (2 * n) = count_sos 2 n"
  using count_sos_times_prime_power[of 2 1 n] by simp
And as yet another corollary, the following well-known fact follows: a positive
integer n can be written as a sum of two squares iff all the prime factors
congruent 3 modulo 4 have odd multiplicity.
corollary count_sos_2_eq_0_iff:
  "count_sos 2 n = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists p. prime p \land [p = 3] (mod 4) \land odd (multiplicity
p n))"
proof (cases "n = 0")
  case False
  define G where "G = (\lambda n. \text{ card } (\text{gauss\_ints\_with\_norm' } n))"
  define a where "a = (\lambda p. \text{ multiplicity } p.n)"
  have "count_sos 2 n = 4 * G n"
    using False by (simp add: card_gauss_ints_with_norm_conv_norm' G_def
                            flip: card_gauss_ints_with_norm_conv_count_sos)
  also have "... = 0 \longleftrightarrow G n = 0"
    by simp
  also have "G n = (\prod p \in prime_factors n. G (p \hat{a} p))"
    using False gauss_ints_with_norm'.prod_prime_factors[of n] by (simp
add: G_def a_def)
  also have "... = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists p \in prime\_factors n. G (p ^ a p) = 0)"
  also have "... \longleftrightarrow (\exists p \in prime\_factors\ n. [p = 3] (mod 4) \land odd (a p))"
    by (intro bex_cong refl)
        (auto simp: prime_factors_multiplicity G_def card_gauss_ints_with_norm'_prime_power)
  also have "... \longleftrightarrow (\exists p. prime p \land [p = 3] \pmod{4} \land odd (a p))" un-
folding Bex_def
    by (intro arg_cong[of _ _ Ex]) (auto simp: prime_factors_multiplicity
fun_eq_iff a_def odd_pos)
  finally show ?thesis unfolding a_def .
qed auto
end
```

References

[1] E. Grosswald. Representations of Integers as Sums of Squares. Springer New York, 2012.