

Schönhage-Strassen Multiplication on Integers

Jakob Schulz

May 26, 2024

Abstract

We give a verified implementation of the Schönhage-Strassen Multiplication on Integers based on the original paper by Schönhage and Strassen [3] and verify its asymptotic complexity of $\mathcal{O}(n \log n \log \log n)$ bit operations.

Integers are represented as LSBF (least significant bit first) boolean lists. The running time is verified using the Time Monad defined in [2]. For verifying correctness, we adapt the formalization of Number Theoretic Transforms (NTTs) by Ammer and Kreuzer [1] to the context of rings that need not be fields.

Contents

1 Preliminaries	2
1.1 Some Running Time Formalizations	4
1.2 Auxiliary Lemmas for Landau Notation	6
1.3 Multiplicative Subgroups	8
1.4 Additive Subgroups	10
2 Number Theoretic Transforms in Rings	10
2.1 Roots of Unity	11
2.2 Primitive Roots	13
2.3 Number Theoretic Transforms	13
2.3.1 Inversion Rule	14
2.3.2 Convolution Theorem	15
2.4 Fast Number Theoretic Transforms in Rings	16
3 The Schoenhage-Strassen Algorithm	20
3.1 Representing \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}	20
3.2 Representing \mathbb{Z}_{F_n}	23
3.3 Implementing FNTT in \mathbb{Z}_{F_n}	29
3.4 Final Preparations	40
3.4.1 A special residue problem	46
3.4.2 Subroutine for combining the final result	47

3.5	Schoenhage-Strassen Multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_{F_m}	48
3.6	Schoenhage-Strassen Multiplication in \mathbb{N}	51
4	Running Time Formalization	53
4.1	Multiplication in \mathbb{N}	60

1 Preliminaries

```

theory Schoenhage-Strassen-Preliminaries
imports
  Main
  HOL-Library.FuncSet
  Karatsuba.Karatsuba-Preliminaries
  Karatsuba.Nat-LSBF
begin

lemma two-pow-pos:  $(2 :: nat) \wedge x > 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma length-take-cobounded1:  $length (take n xs) \leq n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma const-diff-mod-idem:
  assumes  $m \geq (n :: nat)$ 
   $f = (\lambda i. (m - i) \bmod n)$ 
  shows  $(\forall i. i \in \{0..<n\} \implies f (f i) = i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma const-diff-mod-bij:  $m \geq (n :: nat) \implies bij\text{-}betw (\lambda i. (m - i) \bmod n) \{0..<n\}$ 
   $\{0..<n\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma const-add-mod-bij:  $bij\text{-}betw (\lambda i. ((m :: nat) + i) \bmod n) \{0..<n\}$ 
   $\{0..<n\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mod-diff-add-eq:  $(a - b + c) \bmod (m :: int) = (a \bmod m - b \bmod m + c \bmod m) \bmod m$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma set-map-subseteqI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \in B$ 
  assumes  $set xs \subseteq A$ 
  shows  $set (map f xs) \subseteq B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma in-set-conv-nth-map2:
  assumes  $z \in set (map2 f xs ys)$ 
  shows  $\exists i. i < min (length xs) (length ys) \wedge z = f (xs ! i) (ys ! i)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-map2*:

assumes $z \in \text{set}(\text{map2 } f \text{ } xs \text{ } ys)$
shows $\exists x y. x \in \text{set} xs \wedge y \in \text{set} ys \wedge z = f x y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-map2-subseteqI*:

assumes $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in B \implies f x y \in C$
assumes $\text{set} xs \subseteq A$ $\text{set} ys \subseteq B$
shows $\text{set}(\text{map2 } f \text{ } xs \text{ } ys) \subseteq C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-set-conv-nth-map3*:

assumes $w \in \text{set}(\text{map3 } f \text{ } xs \text{ } ys \text{ } zs)$
shows $\exists i. i < \min(\min(\text{length } xs), \text{length } ys, \text{length } zs) \wedge w = f(xs ! i)(ys ! i)(zs ! i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-map3*:

assumes $w \in \text{set}(\text{map3 } f \text{ } xs \text{ } ys \text{ } zs)$
shows $\exists x y z. x \in \text{set} xs \wedge y \in \text{set} ys \wedge z \in \text{set} zs \wedge w = f x y z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-map3-subseteqI*:

assumes $\bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in B \implies z \in C \implies f x y z \in D$
assumes $\text{set} xs \subseteq A$ $\text{set} ys \subseteq B$ $\text{set} zs \subseteq C$
shows $\text{set}(\text{map3 } f \text{ } xs \text{ } ys \text{ } zs) \subseteq D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map3-compose3*: $\text{map3 } (\lambda x y z. f x y (g z)) \text{ } xs \text{ } ys \text{ } zs = \text{map3 } f \text{ } xs \text{ } ys \text{ } (map g \text{ } zs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *rotate-left* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$ **where**

rotate-left k xs = $(\text{let } (xs1, xs2) = \text{split-at } (k \bmod \text{length } xs) \text{ } xs \text{ in } xs2 @ xs1)$

lemma *rotate-left-rotate[simp]*: $\text{rotate-left } k \text{ } xs = \text{rotate } k \text{ } xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *rotate-right* **where**

rotate-right k xs = $\text{rotate-left } (\text{length } xs - (k \bmod \text{length } xs)) \text{ } xs$

lemma *length-rotate-right[simp]*: $\text{length } (\text{rotate-right } k \text{ } xs) = \text{length } xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rotate-right-rotate[simp]*: $\text{rotate-right } k \text{ } (\text{rotate } k \text{ } xs) = xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma rotate-rotate-right[simp]: rotate k (rotate-right k xs) = xs
⟨proof⟩

value rotate 5 [1::nat..<8]
value rotate-right 3 [True, False, False]

lemma rotate-right-append: rotate-right (length q) (l @ q) = q @ l
⟨proof⟩

lemma rotate-right-conv-mod: rotate-right n xs = rotate-right (n mod length xs)
xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-diff-right-eq-nat:
assumes (a::nat) ≥ b
shows (a - b) mod m = (a - (b mod m)) mod m
⟨proof⟩

lemma rotate-right k (rotate-right l xs) = rotate-right (k + l) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma nth-rotate-right: n < length xs ==> m < length xs ==> rotate-right m xs !
n = xs ! ((n + length xs - m) mod length xs)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.1 Some Running Time Formalizations

```

theory Schoenhage-Strassen-Runtime-Preliminaries
imports
  Main
  Karatsuba.Time-Monad-Extended
  Karatsuba.Main-TM
  Karatsuba.Karatsuba-Preliminaries
  Karatsuba.Nat-LSBF
  Karatsuba.Nat-LSBF-TM
  Karatsuba.Estimation-Method
  Schoenhage-Strassen-Preliminaries
  Akra-Bazzi.Akra-Bazzi
  HOL-Library.Landau-Symbols
begin

fun zip-tm :: 'a list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ ('a × 'b) list tm where
zip-tm xs [] =1 return []
| zip-tm [] ys =1 return []
| zip-tm (x # xs) (y # ys) =1 do { rs ← zip-tm xs ys; return ((x, y) # rs) }

lemma val-zip-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (zip-tm xs ys) = zip xs ys

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *time-zip-tm[simp]*: $time(\text{zip-tm } xs \text{ } ys) = \min(\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *map3-tm* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd \text{ tm}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'd \text{ list tm}$
where
map3-tm $f (x \# xs) (y \# ys) (z \# zs) = 1$ do {
 $r \leftarrow f x y z;$
 $rs \leftarrow \text{map3-tm } f xs ys zs;$
 $\text{return } (r \# rs)$
}
 $| \text{map3-tm } f \dots = 1 \text{ return } []$

lemma *val-map3-tm[simp, val-simp]*: $val(\text{map3-tm } f xs ys zs) = \text{map3 } (\lambda x y z. val(f x y z)) xs ys zs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *time-map3-tm-bounded*:

assumes $\bigwedge x y z. x \in \text{set } xs \implies y \in \text{set } ys \implies z \in \text{set } zs \implies time(f x y z) \leq c$
shows $time(\text{map3-tm } f xs ys zs) \leq (c + 1) * \min(\min(\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys)) (\text{length } zs) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *map4-tm* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow 'e \text{ tm}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'd \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'e \text{ list tm}$ **where**
map4-tm $f (x \# xs) (y \# ys) (z \# zs) (w \# ws) = 1$ do {
 $r \leftarrow f x y z w;$
 $rs \leftarrow \text{map4-tm } f xs ys zs ws;$
 $\text{return } (r \# rs)$
}
 $| \text{map4-tm } f \dots = 1 \text{ return } []$

lemma *val-map4-tm[simp, val-simp]*: $val(\text{map4-tm } f xs ys zs ws) = \text{map4 } (\lambda x y z w. val(f x y z w)) xs ys zs ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *time-map4-tm-bounded*:

assumes $\bigwedge x y z w. x \in \text{set } xs \implies y \in \text{set } ys \implies z \in \text{set } zs \implies w \in \text{set } ws \implies time(f x y z w) \leq c$
shows $time(\text{map4-tm } f xs ys zs ws) \leq (c + 1) * \min(\min(\min(\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys)) (\text{length } zs)) (\text{length } ws) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *map2-tm* **where**
map2-tm $f xs ys = 1$ do {
 $xys \leftarrow \text{zip-tm } xs ys;$
 $\text{map-tm } (\lambda(x,y). f x y) xys$
}

```

lemma val-map2-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (map2-tm f xs ys) = map2 ( $\lambda x y. \text{val}(x y)$ ) xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-map2-tm-bounded:
  assumes length xs = length ys
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{set } xs \implies y \in \text{set } ys \implies \text{time}(f x y) \leq c$ 
  shows time (map2-tm f xs ys)  $\leq (c + 2) * \text{length } xs + 3$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition rotate-left-tm :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list tm where
rotate-left-tm k xs =1 do {
  lenxs  $\leftarrow$  length-tm xs;
  kmod  $\leftarrow$  k modt lenxs;
  (xs1, xs2)  $\leftarrow$  split-at-tm kmod xs;
  xs2 @t xs1
}

lemma val-rotate-left-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (rotate-left-tm k xs) = rotate-left k xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-rotate-left-tm-le: time (rotate-left-tm k xs)  $\leq 13 + 14 * \max k (\text{length } xs)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition rotate-right-tm :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list tm where
rotate-right-tm k xs =1 do {
  lenxs  $\leftarrow$  length-tm xs;
  kmod  $\leftarrow$  k modt lenxs;
  rk  $\leftarrow$  lenxs -t kmod;
  rotate-left-tm rk xs
}

lemma val-rotate-right-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (rotate-right-tm k xs) = rotate-right k xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-rotate-right-tm-le: time (rotate-right-tm k xs)  $\leq 23 + 26 * \max k (\text{length } xs)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.2 Auxiliary Lemmas for Landau Notation

```

lemma eventually-early-nat:
  fixes f g :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  assumes f  $\in O(g)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \geq n0 \implies g x > 0$ 

```

shows $\exists c. (\forall x. x \geq n0 \longrightarrow f x \leq c * g x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-early-real*:
fixes $f g :: nat \Rightarrow real$
assumes $f \in O(g)$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \geq n0 \implies f x \geq 0 \wedge g x \geq 1$
shows $\exists c. (\forall x \geq n0. f x \leq c * g x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *floor-in-nat-iff*: $\text{floor } x \in \mathbb{N} \longleftrightarrow x \geq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bigo-floor*:
fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow nat$
fixes $g :: nat \Rightarrow real$
assumes $(\lambda x. real (f x)) \in O(g)$
assumes *eventually* $(\lambda x. g x \geq 1)$ *at-top*
shows $(\lambda x. real (f x)) \in O(\lambda x. real (\text{nat} (\text{floor} (g x))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
theory *Schoenhage-Strassen-Ring-Lemmas*
imports *HOL-Algebra.Ring HOL-Algebra.Multiplicative-Group*
begin

context *cring*
begin

lemma *diff-diff*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$ $b \in \text{carrier } R$ $c \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $a \ominus (b \ominus c) = a \ominus b \oplus c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minus-eq-mult-one*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\ominus a = (\ominus \mathbf{1}) \otimes a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-eq-add-mult-one*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$ $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $a \ominus b = a \oplus (\ominus \mathbf{1}) \otimes b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minus-cancel*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$ $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $a \ominus b \oplus b = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *assoc4*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$ $b \in \text{carrier } R$ $c \in \text{carrier } R$ $d \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $a \otimes (b \otimes (c \otimes d)) = a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma diff-sum:
  assumes a ∈ carrier R b ∈ carrier R c ∈ carrier R d ∈ carrier R
  shows (a ⊖ c) ⊕ (b ⊖ d) = (a ⊕ b) ⊖ (c ⊕ d)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in ring) inv-cancel-left:
  assumes x ∈ carrier R
  assumes y ∈ carrier R
  assumes z ∈ Units R
  assumes x = z ⊗ y
  shows inv z ⊗ x = y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ring) r-distr-diff:
  assumes x ∈ carrier R
  assumes y ∈ carrier R
  assumes z ∈ carrier R
  shows x ⊗ (y ⊖ z) = x ⊗ y ⊖ x ⊗ z
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in group)
  assumes x ∈ carrier G
  shows ⋀ i. i ∈ {1..<ord x} ⟹ x [↑] i ≠ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.3 Multiplicative Subgroups

```

locale multiplicative-subgroup = cring +
  fixes X
  fixes M
  assumes Units-subset: X ⊆ Units R
  assumes M-def: M = (carrier = X, monoid.mult = (⊗), one = 1)
  assumes M-group: group M
begin

lemma carrier-M[simp]: carrier M = X ⟨proof⟩

lemma one-eq: 1_M = 1 ⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-eq: a ⊗_M b = a ⊗ b ⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-eq:
  assumes x ∈ X
  shows inv_M x = inv x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-pow-eq: x [↑]_M (m :: nat) = x [↑] m

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma int-pow-eq:
  assumes  $x \in X$ 
  shows  $x \lceil_M (i :: \text{int}) = x \lceil i$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

context cring
begin

interpretation units-group: group units-of R
⟨proof⟩

lemma units-subgroup: multiplicative-subgroup R (Units R) (units-of R)
⟨proof⟩

interpretation units-subgroup: multiplicative-subgroup R Units R units-of R
⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-nat-pow:
  assumes  $a \in \text{Units } R$ 
  shows  $\text{inv} (a \lceil (b :: \text{nat})) = \text{inv } a \lceil b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma int-pow-mult:
  fixes  $m1 \ m2 :: \text{int}$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{Units } R$ 
  shows  $x \lceil m1 \otimes x \lceil m2 = x \lceil (m1 + m2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma int-pow-pow:
  fixes  $m1 \ m2 :: \text{int}$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{Units } R$ 
  shows  $(x \lceil m1) \lceil m2 = x \lceil (m1 * m2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma int-pow-one:
  1  $\lceil (i :: \text{int}) = \mathbf{1}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma int-pow-closed:
  assumes  $x \in \text{Units } R$ 
  shows  $x \lceil (i :: \text{int}) \in \text{Units } R$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma units-of-int-pow:  $\mu \in \text{Units } R \implies \mu \lceil_{(\text{units-of } R)} i = \mu \lceil (i :: \text{int})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma units-int-pow-neg:  $\mu \in \text{Units } R \implies (\text{inv } \mu) \lceil (n :: \text{int}) = \mu \lceil (-n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma units-inv-int-pow:  $\mu \in \text{Units } R \implies \text{inv } \mu = \mu \lceil (- (1 :: \text{int}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inv-prod:  $\mu \in \text{Units } R \implies \nu \in \text{Units } R \implies \text{inv } (\mu \otimes \nu) = \text{inv } \nu \otimes \text{inv } \mu$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma powers-of-negative:
  fixes  $r :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows even  $r \implies (\ominus x) \lceil r = x \lceil r$  odd  $r \implies (\ominus x) \lceil r = \ominus (x \lceil r)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

1.4 Additive Subgroups

```

locale additive-subgroup = cring +
  fixes  $X$ 
  fixes  $M$ 
  assumes Units-subset:  $X \subseteq \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes M-def:  $M = \langle \text{carrier} = X, \text{monoid.mult} = (\oplus), \text{one} = \mathbf{0} \rangle$ 
  assumes M-group: group  $M$ 
begin

lemma carrier-M[simp]: carrier  $M = X$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma one-eq:  $\mathbf{1}_M = \mathbf{0}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mult-eq:  $a \otimes_M b = a \oplus b$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inv-eq:
  assumes  $a \in X$ 
  shows  $\text{inv}_M a = \ominus a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

end

```

2 Number Theoretic Transforms in Rings

```

theory NTT-Rings
imports
  Number-Theoretic-Transform.NTT
  Karatsuba.Monoid-Sums
  Karatsuba.Karatsuba-Preliminaries
  ..../Preliminaries/Schoenhage-Strassen-Preliminaries

```

.. / Preliminaries / Schoenhage-Strassen-Ring-Lemmas

begin

lemma max-dividing-power-factorization:

```
fixes a :: nat
assumes a ≠ 0
assumes k = Max {s. p ^ s dvd a}
assumes r = a div (p ^ k)
assumes prime p
shows a = r * p ^ k coprime p r
⟨proof⟩
```

context cring

begin

interpretation units-group: group units-of R

⟨proof⟩

interpretation units-subgroup: multiplicative-subgroup R Units R units-of R

⟨proof⟩

2.1 Roots of Unity

definition root-of-unity :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where

root-of-unity n μ ≡ μ ∈ carrier R ∧ μ [] n = 1

lemma root-of-unityI[intro]: μ ∈ carrier R ⇒ μ [] n = 1 ⇒ root-of-unity n μ

⟨proof⟩

lemma root-of-unityD[simp]: root-of-unity n μ ⇒ μ [] n = 1

⟨proof⟩

lemma root-of-unity-closed[simp]: root-of-unity n μ ⇒ μ ∈ carrier R

⟨proof⟩

context

fixes n :: nat

assumes n > 0

begin

lemma roots-Units[simp]:

assumes root-of-unity n μ

shows μ ∈ Units R

⟨proof⟩

definition roots-of-unity-group where

roots-of-unity-group ≡ () carrier = {μ. root-of-unity n μ}, monoid.mult = (⊗), one = 1 ()

```

lemma roots-of-unity-group-is-group:
  shows group roots-of-unity-group
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation root-group : group roots-of-unity-group
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation root-subgroup : multiplicative-subgroup R {μ. root-of-unity n μ}
  roots-of-unity-group
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma root-of-unity-inv:
  assumes root-of-unity n μ
  shows root-of-unity n (inv μ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-root-of-unity:
  assumes root-of-unity n μ
  shows inv μ = μ [↑] (n - 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-pow-root-of-unity:
  assumes root-of-unity n μ
  assumes i ∈ {1..<n}
  shows (inv μ) [↑] i = μ [↑] (n - i) n - i ∈ {1..<n}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma root-of-unity-nat-pow-closed:
  assumes root-of-unity n μ
  shows root-of-unity n (μ [↑] (m :: nat))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma root-of-unity-powers:
  assumes root-of-unity n μ
  shows μ [↑] i = μ [↑] (i mod n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma root-of-unity-powers-modint:
  assumes root-of-unity n μ
  shows μ [↑] (i :: int) = μ [↑] (i mod int n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma root-of-unity-powers-nat:
  assumes root-of-unity n μ
  assumes i mod n = j mod n
  shows μ [↑] i = μ [↑] j
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma root-of-unity-powers-int:
  assumes root-of-unity  $n \mu$ 
  assumes  $i \text{ mod int } n = j \text{ mod int } n$ 
  shows  $\mu [ \lceil i = \mu [ \lceil j$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

2.2 Primitive Roots

```

definition primitive-root ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  primitive-root  $n \mu \equiv \text{root-of-unity } n \mu \wedge (\forall i \in \{1..<n\}. \mu [ \lceil i \neq 1)$ 

```

```

lemma primitive-rootI[intro]:
  assumes  $\mu \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes  $\mu [ \lceil n = 1$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i > 0 \implies i < n \implies \mu [ \lceil i \neq 1$ 
  shows primitive-root  $n \mu$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma primitive-root-is-root-of-unity[simp]: primitive-root  $n \mu \implies \text{root-of-unity } n \mu$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma primitive-root-recursion:
  assumes even  $n$ 
  assumes primitive-root  $n \mu$ 
  shows primitive-root  $(n \text{ div } 2) (\mu [ \lceil (2 :: \text{nat}))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma primitive-root-inv:
  assumes  $n > 0$ 
  assumes primitive-root  $n \mu$ 
  shows primitive-root  $n (\text{inv } \mu)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.3 Number Theoretic Transforms

```

definition NTT ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$  where
   $\text{NTT } \mu a \equiv \text{let } n = \text{length } a \text{ in } [\bigoplus j \leftarrow [0..<n]. (a ! j) \otimes (\mu [ \lceil i) [ \lceil j. i \leftarrow [0..<n]]$ 

```

```

lemma NTT-length[simp]:  $\text{length } (\text{NTT } \mu a) = \text{length } a$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma NTT-nth:
  assumes  $\text{length } a = n$ 
  assumes  $i < n$ 
  shows  $\text{NTT } \mu a ! i = (\bigoplus j \leftarrow [0..<n]. (a ! j) \otimes (\mu [ \lceil i) [ \lceil j)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma NTT-nth-2:
  assumes length a = n
  assumes i < n
  assumes  $\mu \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows  $\text{NTT } \mu a ! i = (\bigoplus j \leftarrow [0..<n]. (a ! j) \otimes (\mu [\lceil] (i * j)))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma NTT-nth-closed:
  assumes set a  $\subseteq \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes  $\mu \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes length a = n
  assumes i < n
  shows  $\text{NTT } \mu a ! i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma NTT-closed:
  assumes set a  $\subseteq \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes  $\mu \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows set ( $\text{NTT } \mu a$ )  $\subseteq \text{carrier } R$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma primitive-root 1 1
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $(\ominus 1) [\lceil] (2::nat) = 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma  $1 \oplus 1 \neq 0 \implies \text{primitive-root } 2 (\ominus 1)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

2.3.1 Inversion Rule

theorem inversion-rule:
  fixes  $\mu :: 'a$ 
  fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $n > 0$ 
  assumes primitive-root n  $\mu$ 
  assumes good:  $\bigwedge i. i \in \{1..<n\} \implies (\bigoplus j \leftarrow [0..<n]. (\mu [\lceil] i) [\lceil] j) = 0$ 
  assumes [simp]: length a = n
  assumes [simp]: set a  $\subseteq \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows  $\text{NTT } (\text{inv } \mu) (\text{NTT } \mu a) = \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{nat-embedding } n \otimes x) a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inv-good:
  assumes  $n > 0$ 
  assumes primitive-root n  $\mu$ 
  assumes good:  $\bigwedge i. i \in \{1..<n\} \implies (\bigoplus j \leftarrow [0..<n]. (\mu [\lceil] i) [\lceil] j) = 0$ 
  shows primitive-root n ( $\text{inv } \mu$ )
   $\bigwedge i. i \in \{1..<n\} \implies (\bigoplus j \leftarrow [0..<n]. ((\text{inv } \mu) [\lceil] i) [\lceil] j) = 0$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inv-halfway-property*:

assumes $\mu \in \text{Units } R$
assumes $\mu [\lceil] (i:\text{nat}) = \ominus \mathbf{1}$
shows $(\text{inv } \mu) [\lceil] i = \ominus \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sufficiently-good-aux*:

assumes *primitive-root* $m \eta$
assumes $m = 2^{\wedge} j$
assumes $\eta [\lceil] (m \text{ div } 2) = \ominus \mathbf{1}$
assumes *odd r*
assumes $r * 2^{\wedge} k < m$
shows $(\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0..<m]. (\eta [\lceil] (r * 2^{\wedge} k)) [\lceil] l) = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sufficiently-good*:

assumes *primitive-root* $n \mu$
assumes $\text{domain } R \vee (n = 2^{\wedge} k \wedge \mu [\lceil] (n \text{ div } 2) = \ominus \mathbf{1})$
shows *good*: $\bigwedge i. i \in \{1..<n\} \implies (\bigoplus j \leftarrow [0..<n]. (\mu [\lceil] i) [\lceil] j) = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *inversion-rule-inv*:

fixes $\mu :: 'a$
fixes $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes $n > 0$
assumes *primitive-root* $n \mu$
assumes *good*: $\bigwedge i. i \in \{1..<n\} \implies (\bigoplus j \leftarrow [0..<n]. (\mu [\lceil] i) [\lceil] j) = \mathbf{0}$
assumes[simp]: *length a = n*
assumes[simp]: *set a \subseteq carrier R*
shows $\text{NTT } \mu (\text{NTT } (\text{inv } \mu) a) = \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{nat-embedding } n \otimes x) a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3.2 Convolution Theorem

lemma *root-of-unity-power-sum-product*:

assumes *root-of-unity* $n x$
assumes[simp]: $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes[simp]: $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies g i \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i \otimes x [\lceil] i) \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. g i \otimes x [\lceil] i) =$
 $(\bigoplus k \leftarrow [0..<n]. (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i \otimes g ((n + k - i) \text{ mod } n)) \otimes x [\lceil] k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes $n :: \text{nat}$

begin

```

definition cyclic-convolution :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list (infixl  $\star$  70) where
  cyclic-convolution a b  $\equiv$   $(\bigoplus \sigma \leftarrow [0..<n]. (a ! \sigma \otimes b ! ((n + i - \sigma) \bmod n))). i \leftarrow [0..<n]]$ 

lemma cyclic-convolution-length[simp]:
  length (a  $\star$  b) = n  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cyclic-convolution-nth:
   $i < n \implies (a \star b) ! i = (\bigoplus \sigma \leftarrow [0..<n]. (a ! \sigma \otimes b ! ((n + i - \sigma) \bmod n)))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cyclic-convolution-closed:
  assumes length a = n length b = n
  assumes set a  $\subseteq$  carrier R set b  $\subseteq$  carrier R
  shows set (a  $\star$  b)  $\subseteq$  carrier R
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem convolution-rule:
  assumes length a = n
  assumes length b = n
  assumes set a  $\subseteq$  carrier R
  assumes set b  $\subseteq$  carrier R
  assumes root-of-unity n  $\mu$ 
  assumes i < n
  shows NTT  $\mu$  a ! i  $\otimes$  NTT  $\mu$  b ! i = NTT  $\mu$  (a  $\star$  b) ! i
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
end
end

```

2.4 Fast Number Theoretic Transforms in Rings

```

theory FNTT-Rings
  imports NTT-Rings Number-Theoretic-Transform.Butterfly
  begin

  context cring begin

```

The following lemma is the essence of Fast Number Theoretic Transforms (FNTTs).

```

lemma NTT-recursion:
  assumes even n
  assumes primitive-root n  $\mu$ 
  assumes[simp]: length a = n
  assumes[simp]: j < n
  assumes[simp]: set a  $\subseteq$  carrier R

```

```

defines  $j' \equiv (\text{if } j < n \text{ div } 2 \text{ then } j \text{ else } j - n \text{ div } 2)$ 
shows  $j' < n \text{ div } 2 \cdot j = (\text{if } j < n \text{ div } 2 \text{ then } j' \text{ else } j' + n \text{ div } 2)$ 
and  $(\text{NTT } \mu a) ! j = (\text{NTT } (\mu [\lceil (2::nat)]) [a ! i. i \leftarrow \text{filter even } [0..<n]]) ! j'$ 
 $\oplus \mu [\lceil j \otimes (\text{NTT } (\mu [\lceil (2::nat)]) [a ! i. i \leftarrow \text{filter odd } [0..<n]]) ! j'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma NTT-recursion-1:
assumes even  $n$ 
assumes primitive-root  $n \mu$ 
assumes[simp]: length  $a = n$ 
assumes[simp]:  $j < n \text{ div } 2$ 
assumes[simp]: set  $a \subseteq \text{carrier } R$ 
shows  $(\text{NTT } \mu a) ! j =$ 
 $(\text{NTT } (\mu [\lceil (2::nat)]) [a ! i. i \leftarrow \text{filter even } [0..<n]]) ! j$ 
 $\oplus \mu [\lceil j \otimes (\text{NTT } (\mu [\lceil (2::nat)]) [a ! i. i \leftarrow \text{filter odd } [0..<n]]) ! j$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma NTT-recursion-2:
assumes even  $n$ 
assumes primitive-root  $n \mu$ 
assumes[simp]: length  $a = n$ 
assumes[simp]:  $j < n \text{ div } 2$ 
assumes[simp]: set  $a \subseteq \text{carrier } R$ 
assumes halfway-property:  $\mu [\lceil (n \text{ div } 2) = \ominus \mathbf{1}$ 
shows  $(\text{NTT } \mu a) ! (n \text{ div } 2 + j) =$ 
 $(\text{NTT } (\mu [\lceil (2::nat)]) [a ! i. i \leftarrow \text{filter even } [0..<n]]) ! j$ 
 $\ominus \mu [\lceil j \otimes (\text{NTT } (\mu [\lceil (2::nat)]) [a ! i. i \leftarrow \text{filter odd } [0..<n]]) ! j$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma NTT-diffs:
assumes even  $n$ 
assumes primitive-root  $n \mu$ 
assumes length  $a = n$ 
assumes  $j < n \text{ div } 2$ 
assumes set  $a \subseteq \text{carrier } R$ 
assumes  $\mu [\lceil (n \text{ div } 2) = \ominus \mathbf{1}$ 
shows  $\text{NTT } \mu a ! j \ominus \text{NTT } \mu a ! (n \text{ div } 2 + j) = \text{nat-embedding } 2 \otimes (\mu [\lceil j$ 
 $\otimes \text{NTT } (\mu [\lceil (2::nat)]) (\text{map } (!) a) (\text{filter odd } [0..<n])) ! j)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

The following algorithm is adapted from *Number-Theoretic-Transform.Butterfly*

```

lemma FNTT-term-aux[simp]: length (filter P [0..<l]) < Suc l
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
fun FNTT :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
FNTT  $\mu [] = []$ 
| FNTT  $\mu [x] = [x]$ 
| FNTT  $\mu [x, y] = [x \oplus y, x \ominus y]$ 
| FNTT  $\mu a = (\text{let } n = \text{length } a;$ 
 $\quad \text{nums1} = [a!i. i \leftarrow \text{filter even } [0..<n]];$ 

```

```

nums2 = [a!i. i ← filter odd [0..<n]];
b = FNTT (μ [ ] (2::nat)) nums1;
c = FNTT (μ [ ] (2::nat)) nums2;
g = [b!i ⊕ (μ [ ] i) ⊗ c!i. i ← [0..<(n div 2)]]; 
h = [b!i ⊖ (μ [ ] i) ⊗ c!i. i ← [0..<(n div 2)]] 
in g@h)
lemmas [simp del] = FNTT-term-aux

declare FNTT.simps[simp del]

lemma length-FNTT[simp]:
assumes length a = 2 ^ k
shows length (FNTT μ a) = length a
⟨proof⟩

theorem FNTT-NTT:
assumes[simp]: μ ∈ carrier R
assumes n = 2 ^ k
assumes primitive-root n μ
assumes halfway-property: μ [ ] (n div 2) = ⊖ 1
assumes[simp]: length a = n
assumes set a ⊆ carrier R
shows FNTT μ a = NTT μ a
⟨proof⟩

end

```

The following is copied from *Number-Theoretic-Transform.Butterfly* and moved outside of the *butterfly* locale.

```

fun evens-odds where
evens-odds - [] = []
| evens-odds True (x#xs) = (x # evens-odds False xs)
| evens-odds False (x#xs) = evens-odds True xs

lemma map-filter-shift: map f (filter even [0..<Suc g]) =
f 0 # map (λ x. f (x+1)) (filter odd [0..<g])
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-filter-shift': map f (filter odd [0..<Suc g]) =
map (λ x. f (x+1)) (filter even [0..<g])
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-comprehension-evens-odds:
[xs ! i. i ← filter even [0..<length xs]] = evens-odds True xs ∧
[xs ! i. i ← filter odd [0..<length xs]] = evens-odds False xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma FNTT'-termination-aux[simp]: length (evens-odds True xs) < Suc (length xs)

```

```

length (evens-odds False xs) < Suc (length xs)
⟨proof⟩

(End of copy)

lemma map-evens-odds: map f (evens-odds x a) = evens-odds x (map f a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-evens-odds:
length (evens-odds True a) = (if even (length a) then length a div 2 else length a
div 2 + 1)
length (evens-odds False a) = length a div 2
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-evens-odds:
set (evens-odds x a) ⊆ set a
⟨proof⟩

context cring begin

Similar to Number-Theoretic-Transform.Butterfly, we give an abstract algorithm that can be refined more easily to a verifiably efficient FNTT algorithm.

fun FNTT' :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
FNTT' μ [] = []
| FNTT' μ [x] = [x]
| FNTT' μ [x, y] = [x ⊕ y, x ⊖ y]
| FNTT' μ a = (let n = length a;
    nums1 = evens-odds True a;
    nums2 = evens-odds False a;
    b = FNTT' (μ [ ] (2::nat)) nums1;
    c = FNTT' (μ [ ] (2::nat)) nums2;
    g = [b!i ⊕ (μ [ ] i) ⊗ c!i. i ← [0..<(n div 2)]]; 
    h = [b!i ⊖ (μ [ ] i) ⊗ c!i. i ← [0..<(n div 2)]] 
    in g@h)

lemma FNTT'-FNTT: FNTT' μ xs = FNTT μ xs
⟨proof⟩

fun FNTT'' :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
FNTT'' μ [] = []
| FNTT'' μ [x] = [x]
| FNTT'' μ [x, y] = [x ⊕ y, x ⊖ y]
| FNTT'' μ a = (let n = length a;
    nums1 = evens-odds True a;
    nums2 = evens-odds False a;
    b = FNTT'' (μ [ ] (2::nat)) nums1;
    c = FNTT'' (μ [ ] (2::nat)) nums2;
    g = map2 (⊕) b (map2 (⊗) [μ [ ] i. i ← [0..<(n div 2)]] c);
    h = [b!i ⊖ (μ [ ] i) ⊗ c!i. i ← [0..<(n div 2)]] 
    in g@h)

```

```


$$h = \text{map2 } (\lambda x\ y. x \ominus y) b (\text{map2 } (\otimes) [\mu [\lceil i. i \leftarrow [0..<(n \text{ div } 2)]]]$$

c)
in  $g@h)$ 

lemma FNTT''-FNTT':
assumes length a =  $2^k$ 
shows FNTT'' μ a = FNTT' μ a
<proof>

end

end

```

3 The Schoenhage-Strassen Algorithm

3.1 Representing \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}

```

theory Z-mod-power-of-2
imports
  Karatsuba.Nat-LSBF-TM
  Finite-Fields.Ring-Characteristic
  Karatsuba.Abstract-Representations-2
  HOL-Number-Theory.Number-Theory
begin

context cring begin
lemma pow-one-imp-unit:
   $(n::nat) > 0 \implies a \in \text{carrier } R \implies a \lceil n = 1 \implies a \in \text{Units } R$ 
<proof>
end

definition ensure-length where ensure-length k xs = take k (fill k xs)
lemma ensure-length-correct[simp]: length (ensure-length k xs) = k <proof>
lemma to-nat-ensure-length: Nat-LSBF.to-nat xs <  $2^k$   $\implies$  Nat-LSBF.to-nat (ensure-length n xs) = Nat-LSBF.to-nat xs
<proof>

locale int-lsbf-mod =
  fixes k :: nat
  assumes k-positive: k > 0
begin

abbreviation n where n ≡ (2::nat) ^ k

definition Zn where Zn ≡ residue-ring (int n)

lemma n-positive[simp]: n > 0
<proof>

```

```

sublocale residues n Zn
  ⟨proof⟩

definition to-residue-ring :: nat-lsbf ⇒ int where
  to-residue-ring xs = int (Nat-LSBF.to-nat xs) mod int n

lemma to-residue-ring-in-carrier: to-residue-ring xs ∈ carrier Zn
  ⟨proof⟩

definition from-residue-ring :: int ⇒ nat-lsbf where
  from-residue-ring x = fill k (Nat-LSBF.from-nat (nat x))

definition reduce where
  reduce xs = ensure-length k xs

lemma length-reduce: length (reduce xs) = k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-reduce: Nat-LSBF.to-nat (reduce xs) = Nat-LSBF.to-nat xs mod n
  ⟨proof⟩

definition add-mod where
  add-mod x y = reduce (add-nat x y)

definition subtract-mod where
  subtract-mod xs ys =
    (if compare-nat xs ys then
      reduce (subtract-nat ((fill k xs) @ [True]) ys)
    else
      subtract-nat xs ys)

lemma to-nat-add-mod: Nat-LSBF.to-nat (add-mod x y) = (Nat-LSBF.to-nat x
+ Nat-LSBF.to-nat y) mod n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-subtract-mod: length xs ≤ k ⇒ length ys ≤ k ⇒ int (Nat-LSBF.to-nat
  (subtract-mod xs ys)) = (int (Nat-LSBF.to-nat xs) - int (Nat-LSBF.to-nat ys))
  mod n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-subtract-mod: length xs ≤ k ⇒ length ys ≤ k ⇒ length (subtract-mod
  xs ys) ≤ k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-mod-correct: to-residue-ring (add-mod x y) = to-residue-ring x ⊕Zn
  to-residue-ring y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subtract-mod-correct:
  assumes length x ≤ k
  assumes length y ≤ k
  assumes n > 1
  shows to-residue-ring (subtract-mod x y) = to-residue-ring x ⊕Zn to-residue-ring
y
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-from-residue-ring: x < 2 ^ k ⇒ length (from-residue-ring x) = k
⟨proof⟩

interpretation int-lsbf-mod: abstract-representation-2 from-residue-ring to-residue-ring
{0..}
  rewrites int-lsbf-mod.reduce = reduce
  and int-lsbf-mod.representations = {x :: bool list. length x = k}
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-mod-closed: length (add-mod x y) = k
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Z-mod-power-of-2-TM
  imports Z-mod-power-of-2 Karatsuba.Nat-LSBF-TM
begin

definition ensure-length-tm :: nat ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
ensure-length-tm k xs =1 fill-tm k xs ≈ take-tm k

lemma val-ensure-length-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (ensure-length-tm k xs) = ensure-length k xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-ensure-length-tm[simp]: time (ensure-length-tm k xs) = 7 + 2 * length
xs + 2 * k
⟨proof⟩

context int-lsbf-mod
begin

definition reduce-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
reduce-tm xs =1 ensure-length-tm k xs

lemma val-reduce-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (reduce-tm xs) = reduce xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-reduce-tm[simp]: time (reduce-tm xs) = 8 + 2 * length xs + 2 * k

```

```

⟨proof⟩

definition add-mod-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
add-mod-tm xs ys =nt 1 xs +nt ys ≫= reduce-tm

lemma val-add-mod-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (add-mod-tm xs ys) = add-mod xs ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-add-mod-tm-le: time (add-mod-tm xs ys) ≤ 14 + 4 * max (length xs)
(length ys) + 2 * k
⟨proof⟩

definition subtract-mod-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
subtract-mod-tm xs ys =1 do {
  b ← xs ≤nt ys;
  if b then do {
    fillx ← fill-tm k xs;
    fillx1 ← fillx @t [True];
    fillx1 −nt ys ≫= reduce-tm
  } else xs −nt ys
}

lemma val-subtract-mod-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (subtract-mod-tm xs ys) = sub-
tract-mod xs ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-subtract-mod-tm-le: time (subtract-mod-tm xs ys) ≤ 118 + 51 * max
k (max (length xs) (length ys))
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

3.2 Representing \mathbb{Z}_{F_n}

```

theory Z-mod-Fermat
imports
  Z-mod-power-of-2
  ..../NTT-Rings/FNTT-Rings
  ..../Preliminaries/Schoenhage-Strassen-Preliminaries
  Karatsuba.Estimation-Method
begin

lemma to-nat-replicate-True2:
  assumes Nat-LSBF.to-nat xs = 2 ^ (length xs) − 1
  shows xs = replicate (length xs) True
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma residue-ring-pow:  $n > 1 \implies a \lceil_{\text{residue-ring}} n b = (a \wedge b) \bmod n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in residues) pow-nat-eq:
 $a \lceil_R (n :: \text{nat}) = a \wedge n \bmod m$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

locale int-lsbf-fermat =
  fixes k :: nat
begin

abbreviation n where  $n \equiv (2 :: \text{nat}) \wedge (2 \wedge k) + 1$ 

lemma n-positive[simp]:  $n > 0$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma n-gt-1[simp]:  $n > 1$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma n-gt-2[simp]:  $n > 2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition Fn where Fn  $\equiv \text{residue-ring} (\text{int } n)$ 

sublocale residues n Fn
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition fermat-non-unique-carrier where
  fermat-non-unique-carrier  $\equiv \{xs :: \text{nat-lsbf}. \text{length } xs = 2 \wedge (k + 1)\}$ 

lemma fermat-non-unique-carrierI[intro]:
 $\text{length } xs = 2 \wedge (k + 1) \implies xs \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fermat-non-unique-carrierE[elim]:
 $xs \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier} \implies (\text{length } xs = 2 \wedge (k + 1) \implies P) \implies P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma two-pow-half-carrier-length[simp]:  $(\text{int } 2 \wedge (2 \wedge k)) \bmod n = -1 \bmod n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma two-pow-half-carrier-length-neq-1:  $2 \wedge (2 \wedge k) \bmod n \neq 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma two-pow-carrier-length[simp]:  $(2 :: \text{nat}) \wedge (2 \wedge (k + 1)) \bmod n = 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma two-pow-half-carrier-length-residue-ring[simp]:
 $(2 :: \text{int}) \lceil_{Fn} (2 :: \text{nat}) \wedge k = \ominus_{Fn} \mathbf{1}_{Fn}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma two-pow-carrier-length-residue-ring[simp]:
 $(2 :: \text{int}) \lceil_{Fn} (2 :: \text{nat}) \wedge (k + 1) = \mathbf{1}_{Fn}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *two-is-unit*: $2 \in \text{Units } F_n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *two-in-carrier*: $2 \in \text{carrier } F_n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-mod-eqE*: $(a::nat) \bmod m = b \bmod m \implies \exists i j. a + i * m = b + j * m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *pow-mod-carrier-length*:
 assumes $(a::nat) \bmod 2^{\wedge}(k+1) = b \bmod 2^{\wedge}(k+1)$
 shows $2[\wedge]_{F_n} a = 2[\wedge]_{F_n} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-powers-trivial*:
 assumes $s \leq 2^{\wedge}k$
 shows $2[\wedge]_{F_n} s = 2^{\wedge}s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-powers-Units*:
 assumes $s \leq 2^{\wedge}k$
 shows $2^{\wedge}s \in \text{Units } F_n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *two-powers-in-carrier*:
 assumes $s \leq 2^{\wedge}k$
 shows $2^{\wedge}s \in \text{carrier } F_n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-powers-half-carrier-length-residue-ring[simp]*:
 assumes $i + s = k$
 shows $(2^{\wedge}2^{\wedge}i)[\wedge]_{F_n} (2::nat)^{\wedge}s = \ominus_{F_n} \mathbf{1}_{F_n}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *z-mod-fermat-unit-group*: *group units-of* F_n
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inv-of-2[simp]*:
 $\text{inv}_{F_n} 2 = 2[\wedge]_{F_n} ((2::nat)^{\wedge}(k+1) - 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inv-of-2-powers*:
 assumes $s \leq 2^{\wedge}k$
 shows $\text{inv}_{F_n} (2^{\wedge}s) = 2[\wedge]_{F_n} (2^{\wedge}(k+1) - s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inv-pow-mod-carrier-length*:

assumes $(a::nat) \ mod \ 2^{\wedge}(k + 1) = b \ mod \ 2^{\wedge}(k + 1)$
shows $(inv_{F_n} 2) [\wedge]_{F_n} a = (inv_{F_n} 2) [\wedge]_{F_n} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

assumes $m > 0$
shows $\exists i j. (a::nat) = j + i * m \wedge j < m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *two-powers*: $(2::nat)^{\wedge}a \ mod \ n = (2::nat)^{\wedge}(a \ mod \ (2^{\wedge}(k + 1))) \ mod \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fermat-carrier-length*[simp]: $xs \in fermat\text{-non}\text{-unique}\text{-carrier} \implies length \ xs = 2^{\wedge}(k + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *to-residue-ring* :: *nat-lsb*f \Rightarrow *int* **where**
to-residue-ring $xs = int(Nat\text{-LSBF}.to\text{-nat} \ xs) \ mod \ int \ n$
fun *from-residue-ring* :: *int* \Rightarrow *nat-lsb*f **where**
from-residue-ring $x = fill(2^{\wedge}(k + 1))(Nat\text{-LSBF}.from\text{-nat} \ (nat \ x))$

lemma *to-residue-ring-in-carrier*[simp]: *to-residue-ring* $xs \in carrier \ F_n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *to-residue-ring-eq-to-nat*: $Nat\text{-LSBF}.to\text{-nat} \ xs < n \implies to\text{-residue-ring} \ xs = int(Nat\text{-LSBF}.to\text{-nat} \ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *multiply-with-power-of-2* :: *nat-lsb*f \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat-lsb*f **where**
multiply-with-power-of-2 $xs \ m = rotate\text{-right} \ m \ xs$

definition *divide-by-power-of-2* :: *nat-lsb*f \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat-lsb*f **where**
divide-by-power-of-2 $xs \ m = rotate\text{-left} \ m \ xs$

lemma *length-multiply-with-power-of-2*[simp]: $length(multiply\text{-with}\text{-power}\text{-of}\text{-}2 \ xs \ m) = length \ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-divide-by-power-of-2*[simp]: $length(divide\text{-by}\text{-power}\text{-of}\text{-}2 \ xs \ m) = length \ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *euclidean-semiring-cancel*) *sum-list-mod*: $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. (f \ i \ mod \ m)) \ mod \ m = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f \ i) \ mod \ m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *euclidean-semiring-cancel*) *sum-list-mod'*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \bmod m = g i \bmod m$ 
shows  $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i) \bmod m = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. g i) \bmod m$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma multiply-with-power-of-2-correct':  $xs \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier} \implies \text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat}(\text{multiply-with-power-of-2 } xs \ bmod m) = \text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat } xs * 2^{\lceil m \rceil} \bmod n \wedge$ 
 $\text{multiply-with-power-of-2 } xs \ bmod m \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary multiply-with-power-of-2-closed:
assumes  $xs \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
shows  $\text{multiply-with-power-of-2 } xs \ bmod m \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary multiply-with-power-of-2-correct:
assumes  $xs \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
shows  $\text{to-residue-ring}(\text{multiply-with-power-of-2 } xs \ bmod m) = \text{to-residue-ring } xs \otimes_{F_n} 2^{\lceil m \rceil}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma
assumes  $xs \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
shows divide-by-power-of-2-correct:  $\text{to-residue-ring}(\text{divide-by-power-of-2 } xs \ bmod m)$ 
 $= \text{to-residue-ring } xs \otimes_{F_n} (\text{inv}_{F_n} 2)^{\lceil m \rceil}$ 
and divide-by-power-of-2-closed:  $\text{divide-by-power-of-2 } xs \ bmod m \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition add-fermat where
add-fermat  $xs \ ys = (\text{let } zs = \text{add-nat } xs \ ys \text{ in if } \text{length } zs = 2^{\lceil k + 1 \rceil} + 1 \text{ then}$ 
 $\text{inc-nat } (\text{butlast } zs) \text{ else } zs)$ 

lemma add-fermat-correct':
assumes  $xs \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
assumes  $ys \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
shows  $\text{add-fermat } xs \ ys \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier} \wedge \text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat}(\text{add-fermat } xs \ ys) \bmod n = (\text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat } xs + \text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat } ys) \bmod n$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary add-fermat-closed:
assumes  $xs \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
assumes  $ys \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
shows  $\text{add-fermat } xs \ ys \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary add-fermat-correct:
assumes  $xs \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
assumes  $ys \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
shows  $\text{to-residue-ring}(\text{add-fermat } xs \ ys) = \text{to-residue-ring } xs \oplus_{F_n} \text{to-residue-ring } ys$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition subtract-fermat **where**

subtract-fermat xs ys = add-fermat xs (multiply-with-power-of-2 ys (2^k))

lemma subtract-fermat-correct':

assumes xs ∈ fermat-non-unique-carrier

assumes ys ∈ fermat-non-unique-carrier

shows subtract-fermat xs ys ∈ fermat-non-unique-carrier \wedge int (Nat-LSBF.to-nat (subtract-fermat xs ys)) mod n = (int (Nat-LSBF.to-nat xs) - int (Nat-LSBF.to-nat ys)) mod n

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary subtract-fermat-closed:

assumes xs ∈ fermat-non-unique-carrier

assumes ys ∈ fermat-non-unique-carrier

shows subtract-fermat xs ys ∈ fermat-non-unique-carrier

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary subtract-fermat-correct:

assumes xs ∈ fermat-non-unique-carrier

assumes ys ∈ fermat-non-unique-carrier

shows to-residue-ring (subtract-fermat xs ys) = to-residue-ring xs ⊕_{Fn} to-residue-ring

ys

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

context int-lsbf-fermat **begin**

definition reduce :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf **where**

reduce xs = (let (ys, zs) = split xs in

if compare-nat zs ys then

subtract-nat ys zs

else

subtract-nat (add-nat (True # replicate ($2^k - 1$) False @ [True]) ys) zs)

lemma reduce-correct':

assumes xs ∈ fermat-non-unique-carrier

shows Nat-LSBF.to-nat (reduce xs) < n \wedge Nat-LSBF.to-nat (reduce xs) mod n

= Nat-LSBF.to-nat xs mod n **and** length (reduce xs) $\leq 2^k + 2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma reduce-correct:

assumes xs ∈ fermat-non-unique-carrier

shows Nat-LSBF.to-nat xs mod n = Nat-LSBF.to-nat (reduce xs)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma add-take-drop-carry-aux:

```

assumes  $xs' = add\text{-}nat (take e xs) (drop e xs)$ 
assumes  $\text{length } xs = e + 1$ 
assumes  $e \geq 1$ 
shows  $\text{length } xs' \leq e \vee (xs' = replicate e \text{False} @ [True] \wedge xs = replicate e \text{True}$ 
@ [True])
⟨proof⟩

function  $from\text{-}nat\text{-}lsbf :: nat\text{-}lsbf \Rightarrow nat\text{-}lsbf$  where
 $from\text{-}nat\text{-}lsbf xs = (\text{if } \text{length } xs \leq 2^{\wedge}(k + 1) \text{ then } \text{fill } (2^{\wedge}(k + 1)) \text{ xs}$ 
 $\quad \text{else } from\text{-}nat\text{-}lsbf (add\text{-}nat (take (2^{\wedge}(k + 1)) xs) (drop (2^{\wedge}(k + 1)) xs)))$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma  $from\text{-}nat\text{-}lsbf\text{-dom-termination}: All \text{from-nat-lsbf-dom}$ 
⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩

declare  $from\text{-}nat\text{-}lsbf.simps[simp del]$ 

lemma  $from\text{-}nat\text{-}lsbf\text{-correct}:$ 
shows  $from\text{-}nat\text{-}lsbf xs \in \text{fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
 $\text{to-residue-ring } (from\text{-}nat\text{-}lsbf xs) = \text{to-residue-ring } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $length\text{-}from\text{-}nat\text{-}lsbf: length (from\text{-}nat\text{-}lsbf xs) = 2^{\wedge}(k + 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.3 Implementing FNTT in \mathbb{Z}_{F_n}

```

lemma  $n\text{-odd}: odd n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $ord\text{-}2: ord n 2 = 2^{\wedge}(k + 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩
corollary  $ord\text{-}2\text{-int}: ord (\text{int } n) 2 = 2^{\wedge}(k + 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $two\text{-is-primitive-root}: primitive\text{-root } (2^{\wedge}(k + 1)) 2$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $two\text{-inv-is-primitive-root}: primitive\text{-root } (2^{\wedge}(k + 1)) (\text{inv}_{F_n} 2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $two\text{-powers-primitive-root}:$ 
assumes  $i + s = k + 1$ 
assumes  $i \leq k$ 
shows  $primitive\text{-root } (2^{\wedge}s) (2 [\wedge]_{F_n} (2::nat)^{\wedge} i)$ 
⟨proof⟩

fun  $fft\text{-combine}\text{-}b\text{-}c\text{-aux} :: (nat\text{-}lsbf \Rightarrow nat\text{-}lsbf \Rightarrow nat\text{-}lsbf) \Rightarrow (nat\text{-}lsbf \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat\text{-}lsbf) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat\text{-}lsbf \text{list} \times nat \Rightarrow nat\text{-}lsbf \text{list} \Rightarrow nat\text{-}lsbf \text{list} \Rightarrow nat\text{-}lsbf \text{list}$ 

```

```

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fft-combine-b-c-aux } f g l (\text{revs}, e) [] [] = \text{rev revs} \\ | & \text{fft-combine-b-c-aux } f g l (\text{revs}, e) (b \# bs) (c \# cs) = \\ & \quad \text{fft-combine-b-c-aux } f g l ((f b (g c e)) \# \text{revs}, (e + l) \bmod 2^{\lceil k + 1 \rceil}) bs cs \\ | & \text{fft-combine-b-c-aux } f g l - - - = \text{undefined} \end{aligned}$$


fun fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add where

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add } \text{True } l bs cs = \text{fft-combine-b-c-aux add-fermat divide-by-power-of-2} \\ & l ([] , 0) bs cs \\ | & \text{fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add } \text{False } l bs cs = \text{fft-combine-b-c-aux add-fermat multiply-with-power-of-2} \\ & l ([] , 0) bs cs \end{aligned}$$


fun fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract where

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract } \text{True } l bs cs = \text{fft-combine-b-c-aux subtract-fermat di-} \\ & \text{vide-by-power-of-2 } l ([] , 0) bs cs \\ | & \text{fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract } \text{False } l bs cs = \text{fft-combine-b-c-aux subtract-fermat} \\ & \text{multiply-with-power-of-2 } l ([] , 0) bs cs \end{aligned}$$


lemma fft-combine-b-c-aux-correct:
assumes length bs = len-bc length cs = len-bc
assumes e <  $2^{\lceil k + 1 \rceil}$ 
shows fft-combine-b-c-aux f g l (revs, e) bs cs = rev revs @ map3 ( $\lambda x y i. f x (g y ((e + l * i) \bmod 2^{\lceil k + 1 \rceil}))$ ) bs cs [0..<len-bc]
{proof}

lemma fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add-correct:
assumes length bs = len-bc length cs = len-bc
shows fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add it l bs cs = map3 ( $\lambda x y i. \text{add-fermat } x ((\text{if it then divide-by-power-of-2 else multiply-with-power-of-2}) y ((l * i) \bmod 2^{\lceil k + 1 \rceil}))$ )
bs cs [0..<len-bc]
{proof}

lemma fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract-correct:
assumes length bs = len-bc length cs = len-bc
shows fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract it l bs cs = map3 ( $\lambda x y i. \text{subtract-fermat } x ((\text{if it then divide-by-power-of-2 else multiply-with-power-of-2}) y ((l * i) \bmod 2^{\lceil k + 1 \rceil}))$ )
bs cs [0..<len-bc]
{proof}

lemma fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add-carrier:
assumes length bs = len-bc length cs = len-bc
assumes set bs  $\subseteq$  fermat-non-unique-carrier
assumes set cs  $\subseteq$  fermat-non-unique-carrier
shows set (fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add it l bs cs)  $\subseteq$  fermat-non-unique-carrier
{proof}

lemma fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract-carrier:
assumes length bs = len-bc length cs = len-bc
assumes set bs  $\subseteq$  fermat-non-unique-carrier
```

```

assumes set cs ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
shows set (fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract it l bs cs) ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
⟨proof⟩

fun fft-ifft :: bool ⇒ nat ⇒ nat-lsbf list ⇒ nat-lsbf list where
  fft-ifft it l [] = []
  | fft-ifft it l [x] = [x]
  | fft-ifft it l [x, y] = [add-fermat x y, subtract-fermat x y]
  | fft-ifft it l a = (let nums1 = evens-odds True a;
    nums2 = evens-odds False a;
    b = fft-ifft it (2 * l) nums1;
    c = fft-ifft it (2 * l) nums2;
    g = fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add it l b c;
    h = fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract it l b c
    in g@h)

fun fft where fft l xs = fft-ifft False l xs
fun ifft where ifft l xs = fft-ifft True l xs

end

locale fft-context = int-lsbf-fermat +
  fixes it :: bool
  fixes l e :: nat
  fixes a1 a2 a3 :: nat-lsbf
  fixes as :: nat-lsbf list
  assumes length-a': length (a1 # a2 # a3 # as) = 2 ^ e
begin

definition a where a = a1 # a2 # a3 # as
definition nums1 where nums1 = evens-odds True a
definition nums2 where nums2 = evens-odds False a
definition b where b = fft-ifft it (2 * l) nums1
definition c where c = fft-ifft it (2 * l) nums2
definition g where g = fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add it l b c
definition h where h = fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract it l b c
lemmas defs = a-def nums1-def nums2-def b-def c-def g-def h-def

lemma length-a: length a = 2 ^ e ⟨proof⟩
lemma e-ge-2: e ≥ 2
⟨proof⟩
lemma e-pos: e > 0 ⟨proof⟩
lemma two-pow-e-div-2: (2::nat) ^ e div 2 = 2 ^ (e - 1)
⟨proof⟩
lemma two-pow-e-as-sum: (2::nat) ^ e = 2 ^ (e - 1) + 2 ^ (e - 1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma

```

```

shows length-nums1: length nums1 =  $2^{\wedge}(e - 1)$ 
and length-nums2: length nums2 =  $2^{\wedge}(e - 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma result-eq: fft-ifft it l a = g @ h
⟨proof⟩

lemma
  assumes set a ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  shows nums1-carrier: set nums1 ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  and nums2-carrier: set nums2 ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context int-lsbf-fermat
begin

lemma length-fft-ifft:
  assumes length a =  $2^{\wedge} e$ 
  shows length (fft-ifft it l a) =  $2^{\wedge} e$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-fft:
  assumes length a =  $2^{\wedge} e$ 
  shows length (fft l a) =  $2^{\wedge} e$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-ifft:
  assumes length a =  $2^{\wedge} e$ 
  shows length (ifft l a) =  $2^{\wedge} e$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context fft-context begin

lemma length-b: length b =  $2^{\wedge}(e - 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma length-c: length c =  $2^{\wedge}(e - 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma length-g: length g =  $2^{\wedge}(e - 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma length-h: length h =  $2^{\wedge}(e - 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

context int-lsbf-fermat

```

```

begin

lemma fft-ifft-carrier:
  assumes length a = 2 ^ l
  assumes set a ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  shows set (fft-ifft it s a) ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fft-carrier:
  assumes length a = 2 ^ l
  assumes set a ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  shows set (fft s a) ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ifft-carrier:
  assumes length a = 2 ^ l
  assumes set a ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  shows set (ifft s a) ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fft-ifft-correct':
  assumes length a = 2 ^ l
  assumes l ≤ k + 1
  assumes set a ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  shows map to-residue-ring (fft-ifft it s a) = FNTT'' ((if it then invFn 2 else 2)
  [ ]Fn s) (map to-residue-ring a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fft-ifft-correct:
  assumes length a = 2 ^ l
  assumes s = 2 ^ i
  assumes i + l = k + 1
  assumes l > 0
  assumes set a ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  shows map to-residue-ring (fft-ifft it s a) = NTT ((if it then invFn 2 else 2)
  [ ]Fn s) (map to-residue-ring a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fft-correct:
  assumes length a = 2 ^ l
  assumes s = 2 ^ i
  assumes i + l = k + 1
  assumes l > 0
  assumes set a ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
  shows map to-residue-ring (fft s a) = NTT (2 [ ]Fn s) (map to-residue-ring a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ifft-correct:
  assumes length a = 2 ^ l

```

```

assumes s = 2 ^ i
assumes i + l = k + 1
assumes l > 0
assumes set a ⊆ fermat-non-unique-carrier
shows map to-residue-ring (ifft s a) = NTT ((invFn 2) [ ]Fn s) (map to-residue-ring
a)
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Z-mod-Fermat-TM
imports
Z-mod-Fermat
Z-mod-power-of-2-TM
..../Preliminaries/Schoenhage-Strassen-Runtime-Preliminaries
begin

fun evens-odds-tm :: bool ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list tm where
evens-odds-tm b [] =1 return []
| evens-odds-tm True (x # xs) =1 do {
  rs ← evens-odds-tm False xs;
  return (x # rs)
}
| evens-odds-tm False (x # xs) =1 evens-odds-tm True xs

lemma val-evens-odds-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (evens-odds-tm b xs) = evens-odds
b xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-evens-odds-tm-le: time (evens-odds-tm b xs) ≤ length xs + 1
⟨proof⟩

context int-lsbf-fermat
begin

definition multiply-with-power-of-2-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
multiply-with-power-of-2-tm xs m =1 rotate-right-tm m xs

lemma val-multiply-with-power-of-2-tm[simp, val-simp]:
val (multiply-with-power-of-2-tm xs m) = multiply-with-power-of-2 xs m
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-multiply-with-power-of-2-tm-le:
time (multiply-with-power-of-2-tm xs m) ≤ 24 + 26 * max m (length xs)
⟨proof⟩

definition divide-by-power-of-2-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
divide-by-power-of-2-tm xs m =1 rotate-left-tm m xs

```

lemma *val-divide-by-power-of-2-tm*[*simp*, *val-simp*]:
val (*divide-by-power-of-2-tm xs m*) = *divide-by-power-of-2 xs m*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *time-divide-by-power-of-2-tm-le*:
time (*divide-by-power-of-2-tm xs m*) $\leq 24 + 26 * \max m (\text{length } xs)$
⟨proof⟩

definition *add-fermat-tm* :: *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf tm* **where**
add-fermat-tm xs ys = 1 do {
 $zs \leftarrow xs +_{nt} ys;$
 $lenzs \leftarrow \text{length-tm } zs;$
 $k1 \leftarrow k +_t 1;$
 $powk \leftarrow 2 \wedge_t k1;$
 $powk1 \leftarrow powk +_t 1;$
 $b \leftarrow lenzs =_t powk1;$
 $\text{if } b \text{ then do } \{$
 $zsr \leftarrow \text{butlast-tm } zs;$
 $\text{inc-nat-tm } zsr$
 $\} \text{ else return } zs$
 $\}$

lemma *val-add-fermat-tm*[*simp*, *val-simp*]: *val* (*add-fermat-tm xs ys*) = *add-fermat xs ys*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *time-add-fermat-tm-le*: *time* (*add-fermat-tm xs ys*) $\leq 13 + 7 * \max (\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys) + 28 * 2 \wedge k$
⟨proof⟩

definition *subtract-fermat-tm* :: *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf tm* **where**
subtract-fermat-tm xs ys = 1 do {
 $powk \leftarrow 2 \wedge_t k;$
 $minusy \leftarrow \text{multiply-with-power-of-2-tm } ys \text{ powk};$
 $\text{add-fermat-tm } xs \text{ minusy}$
 $\}$

lemma *val-subtract-fermat-tm*[*simp*, *val-simp*]: *val* (*subtract-fermat-tm xs ys*) = *subtract-fermat xs ys*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *time-subtract-fermat-tm-le*: *time* (*subtract-fermat-tm xs ys*) $\leq 38 + 66 * 2 \wedge k + 26 * \text{length } ys + 7 * \max (\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys)$
⟨proof⟩

definition *reduce-tm* :: *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf tm* **where**
reduce-tm xs = 1 do {
 $(ys, zs) \leftarrow \text{split-tm } xs;$

```

 $b \leftarrow zs \leq_{nt} ys;$ 
 $\text{if } b \text{ then } ys -_{nt} zs$ 
 $\text{else do } \{$ 
 $\quad kpow \leftarrow 2 \wedge_t k;$ 
 $\quad kpow1 \leftarrow kpow -_t 1;$ 
 $\quad zeros \leftarrow \text{replicate-tm } kpow1 \text{ False};$ 
 $\quad a1 \leftarrow zeros @_t [\text{True}];$ 
 $\quad s \leftarrow (\text{True} \# a1) +_{nt} ys;$ 
 $\quad s -_{nt} zs$ 
 $\}$ 
 $\}$ 

lemma val-reduce-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (reduce-tm xs) = reduce xs
<proof>

lemma time-reduce-tm-le: time (reduce-tm xs)  $\leq 155 + 85 * \text{length } xs + 46 * 2$ 
 $\wedge k$ 
<proof>

function (domintros) from-nat-lsbf-tm :: nat-lsbf  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbf tm where
from-nat-lsbf-tm xs =1 do {
 $k1 \leftarrow k +_t 1;$ 
 $powk \leftarrow 2 \wedge_t k1;$ 
 $lenxs \leftarrow \text{length-tm } xs;$ 
 $b \leftarrow lenxs \leq_t powk;$ 
 $\text{if } b \text{ then } \text{fill-tm } powk \text{ } xs \text{ else do } \{$ 
 $\quad xs1 \leftarrow \text{take-tm } powk \text{ } xs;$ 
 $\quad xs2 \leftarrow \text{drop-tm } powk \text{ } xs;$ 
 $\quad a \leftarrow xs1 +_{nt} xs2;$ 
 $\quad \text{from-nat-lsbf-tm } a$ 
 $\}$ 
 $\}$ 
<proof>
termination
<proof>

declare from-nat-lsbf-tm.simps[simp del]

lemma val-from-nat-lsbf-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (from-nat-lsbf-tm xs) = from-nat-lsbf
xs
<proof>

abbreviation e :: nat where e  $\equiv 2 \wedge (k + 1)$ 
lemma e-ge-1: e  $\geq 1$  <proof>
lemma e-ge-2: e  $\geq 2$  <proof>
lemma e-ge-4: k > 0  $\implies e \geq 4$  <proof>

lemma time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-le-aux:
assumes xs' = add-nat (take e xs) (drop e xs)

```

```

shows time (from-nat-lsbf-tm xs) ≤ 18 * e + 4 * length xs + 9 +
  (if length xs ≤ e then 0 else time (from-nat-lsbf-tm xs'))
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-le-aux':
  assumes xs' = add-nat (take e xs) (drop e xs)
  shows time (from-nat-lsbf-tm xs) ≤ 66 * e + 4 * length xs + 35 +
  (if length xs ≤ e + 1 then 0 else time (from-nat-lsbf-tm xs'))
⟨proof⟩

function time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-bound where
  time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-bound l = 88 * e + 4 * l + 48 +
    (if l ≤ 2 * e then 0 else time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-bound (l - (e - 1)))
  ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩
declare time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-bound.simps[simp del]

lemma time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-le-bound:
  assumes length xs ≤ l
  shows time (from-nat-lsbf-tm xs) ≤ time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-bound l
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-bound-closed:
  assumes x ≤ 2 * e
  assumes x ≥ e + 2
  shows time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-bound (x + l * (e - 1)) =
    (l + 1) * (88 * e + 4 * x + 48) + 4 * (∑ {0..l}) * (e - 1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-from-nat-lsbf-tm-le:
  assumes e ≥ 4
  assumes length xs ≤ c * e
  shows time (from-nat-lsbf-tm xs) ≤ (288 * c + 144) + (96 + 192 * c + 8 * c
  * c) * e
⟨proof⟩

fun fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm where
  fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm f g l (revs, s) [] [] = 1 rev-tm revs
  | fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm f g l (revs, s) (b # bs) (c # cs) = 1 do {
    c-shifted ← g c s;
    r ← f b c-shifted;
    s-new ← s +t l;
    k1 ← k +t 1;
    powk1 ← 2  $\hat{\wedge}_t$  k1;
    s-new-mod ← s-new modt powk1;
    fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm f g l (r # revs, s-new-mod) bs cs
  }
  | fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm ----- = undefined

```

```

lemma val-fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm[simp, val-simp]:
  assumes length bs = length cs
  shows val (fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm f g l (revs, s) bs cs) =
    fft-combine-b-c-aux ( $\lambda x y. \text{val} (f x y)$ ) ( $\lambda x y. \text{val} (g x y)$ ) l (revs, s) bs cs
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma time-fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm-le:
  assumes length bs = length cs
  assumes  $\bigwedge b. b \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{length } b = e$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge c. c \in \text{set } cs \implies \text{length } c = e$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge xs ys. \text{time} (f xs ys) \leq 38 + 66 * 2^k + 26 * \text{length } ys + 7 * \max(\text{length } xs, \text{length } ys)$ 
  assumes s < e
  assumes g = multiply-with-power-of-2-tm  $\vee$  g = divide-by-power-of-2-tm
  shows time (fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm f g l (revs, s) bs cs)  $\leq \text{length } revs + 3 + \text{length } bs * (72 + 116 * e + 8 * l)$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

fun fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add-tm :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsb list  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsb list tm where
  fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add-tm True l bs cs = 1 fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm add-fermat-tm
  divide-by-power-of-2-tm l ([]), 0) bs cs
  | fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add-tm False l bs cs = 1 fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm add-fermat-tm
  multiply-with-power-of-2-tm l ([]), 0) bs cs

fun fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract-tm :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsb list  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsb list tm where
  fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract-tm True l bs cs = 1 fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm subtract-fermat-tm
  divide-by-power-of-2-tm l ([]), 0) bs cs
  | fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract-tm False l bs cs = 1 fft-combine-b-c-aux-tm subtract-fermat-tm
  multiply-with-power-of-2-tm l ([]), 0) bs cs

lemma val-fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add-tm[simp, val-simp]:
  assumes length bs = length cs
  shows val (fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add-tm it l bs cs) = fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add it l bs
  cs
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma val-fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract-tm[simp, val-simp]:
  assumes length bs = length cs
  shows val (fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract-tm it l bs cs) = fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract
  it l bs cs
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma time-fft-combine-b-c-add-tm-le:
  assumes length bs = length cs
  assumes  $\bigwedge b. b \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{length } b = e$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge c. c \in \text{set } cs \implies \text{length } c = e$ 

```

shows time (fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add-tm it l bs cs) $\leq 4 + \text{length } bs * (72 + 116 * e + 8 * l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma time-fft-combine-b-c-subtract-tm-le:
assumes length bs = length cs
assumes $\bigwedge b. b \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{length } b = e$
assumes $\bigwedge c. c \in \text{set } cs \implies \text{length } c = e$
shows time (fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract-tm it l bs cs) $\leq 4 + \text{length } bs * (72 + 116 * e + 8 * l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
fun fft-ifft-tm where
| fft-ifft-tm it l [] =1 return []
| fft-ifft-tm it l [x] =1 return [x]
| fft-ifft-tm it l [x, y] =1 do {
    r1 ← add-fermat-tm x y;
    r2 ← subtract-fermat-tm x y;
    return [r1, r2]
}
| fft-ifft-tm it l a =1 do {
    nums1 ← evens-odds-tm True a;
    nums2 ← evens-odds-tm False a;
    b ← fft-ifft-tm it (2 * l) nums1;
    c ← fft-ifft-tm it (2 * l) nums2;
    g ← fft-ifft-combine-b-c-add-tm it l b c;
    h ← fft-ifft-combine-b-c-subtract-tm it l b c;
    g @t h
}
```

lemma val-fft-ifft-tm[simp, val-simp]: length a = 2^m \implies val (fft-ifft-tm it l a) = fft-ifft it l a
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma time-fft-ifft-tm-le-aux:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } a \implies \text{length } x = e$
assumes length a = 2^m
shows time (fft-ifft-tm it l a) $\leq 2^{(m-1)} * (52 + 87 * e) + (m-1) * 2^m * (76 + 116 * e) + (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<m-1]. 2^i) * (8 * 2^m * l + 13)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma time-fft-ifft-tm-le:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } a \implies \text{length } x = e$
assumes length a = 2^m
shows time (fft-ifft-tm it l a) $\leq 2^m * (65 + 87 * e) + m * 2^m * (76 + 116 * e) + (8 * l) * 2^m * (2 * m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun fft-tm where

```

 $\text{fft-tm } l \ a = 1 \ \text{fft-ifft-tm } \text{False } l \ a$ 
fun ifft-tm where
 $\text{ifft-tm } l \ a = 1 \ \text{fft-ifft-tm } \text{True } l \ a$ 

lemma val-fft-tm[simp, val-simp]:  $\text{length } a = 2^m \implies \text{val}(\text{fft-tm } l \ a) = \text{fft } l \ a$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma val-ifft-tm[simp, val-simp]:  $\text{length } a = 2^m \implies \text{val}(\text{ifft-tm } l \ a) = \text{ifft } l \ a$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-fft-tm-le:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } a \implies \text{length } x = e$ 
  assumes  $\text{length } a = 2^m$ 
  shows  $\text{time}(\text{fft-tm } l \ a) \leq 2^m * (66 + 87 * e) + m * 2^m * (76 + 116 * e) + (8 * l) * 2^{(2 * m)}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-ifft-tm-le:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } a \implies \text{length } x = e$ 
  assumes  $\text{length } a = 2^m$ 
  shows  $\text{time}(\text{ifft-tm } l \ a) \leq 2^m * (66 + 87 * e) + m * 2^m * (76 + 116 * e) + (8 * l) * 2^{(2 * m)}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

3.4 Final Preparations

```

theory Schoenhage-Strassen
imports
  Main
  HOL-Algebra.IntRing
  HOL-Algebra.QuotRing
  HOL-Algebra.Chinese-Remainder
  HOL-Algebra.Ring
  HOL-Algebra.Polynomials
  Word-Lib.Bit-Comprehension
  Z-mod-power-of-2
  Z-mod-Fermat
  Karatsuba.Nat-LSBF
  Karatsuba.Karatsuba-Sum-Lemmas
  Karatsuba.Karatsuba
  ..../Preliminaries/Schoenhage-Strassen-Ring-Lemmas
begin

```

```

lemma aux-ineq-1:  $n > 1 \implies 2^{(2 * n - 1)} > n + 1 + 2^n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma aux-ineq-2:  $n > 2 \implies 2^{\wedge}(2 * n - 2) > n + 2^{\wedge}n$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma aux-ineq-3:  $n > 1 \implies 2^{\wedge}n \geq n + 2$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in residues) nat-embedding-eq:  $\text{ring.nat-embedding } R x = \text{int } x \bmod m$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in residues) carrier-mod-eq:  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies x \bmod m = x$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

The Schoenhage-Strassen Multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_{F_m} works recursively. In the following, we will state some lemmas that will be useful in the recursion case ($m \geq 3$).

```

locale m-lemmas =
  fixes m :: nat
  assumes m-ge-3:  $\neg m < 3$ 
begin

Lemmas in nat resp. int.

lemma m-gt-0:  $m > 0$  ⟨proof⟩

definition n :: nat where
 $n \equiv (\text{if odd } m \text{ then } (m + 1) \text{ div } 2 \text{ else } (m + 2) \text{ div } 2)$ 

definition oe-n :: nat where
 $oe-n \equiv (\text{if odd } m \text{ then } n + 1 \text{ else } n)$ 

lemma n-gt-1:  $n > 1$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma n-ge-2:  $n \geq 2$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma n-gt-0:  $n > 0$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma even-m-imp-n-ge-3:  $\text{even } m \implies n \geq 3$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma n-lt-m:  $n < m$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oe-n-gt-1:  $oe-n > 1$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma oe-n-gt-0:  $oe-n > 0$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oe-n-le-n:  $oe-n \leq n + 1$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma oe-n-minus-1-le-n:  $oe-n - 1 \leq n$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-pow-oe-n-div-2:  $(2::\text{nat})^{\wedge} \text{oe-n div } 2 = 2^{\wedge}(\text{oe-n} - 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma two-pow-oe-n-as-halves:  $(2::\text{nat})^{\wedge} \text{oe-n} = 2^{\wedge}(\text{oe-n} - 1) + 2^{\wedge}(\text{oe-n} - 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma two-pow-Suc-oe-n-as-prod:  $(2::\text{nat})^{\wedge}(\text{oe-n} + 1) = 4 * 2^{\wedge}(\text{oe-n} - 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma index-intros:
  fixes i :: nat
  assumes i <  $2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1)$ 
  shows i <  $2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n$   $2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1) + i < 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma index-decomp:
  assumes j <  $(2\text{:}nat)^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n + 1)$ 
  shows
    j div  $2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1) < 4$ 
    j mod  $2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1) < 2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1)$ 
    j = (j div  $2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1)) * 2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1) + (j mod 2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma index-comp:
  fixes i j :: nat
  assumes i < 4 j <  $2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1)$ 
  shows
    i *  $2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1) + j < 2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n + 1)$ 
    (i *  $2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1) + j) \text{ div } 2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1) = i$ 
    (i *  $2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1) + j) \text{ mod } 2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1) = j$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mn:
  odd m  $\implies$  m =  $2 * n - 1$ 
  even m  $\implies$  m =  $2 * n - 2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma m0: m =  $(n - 1) + (oe\text{-}n - 1)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma m1: m + 1 =  $(n - 1) + oe\text{-}n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma two-pow-m1-as-prod:  $(2\text{:}nat)^{\wedge} (m + 1) = 2^{\wedge} (n - 1) * 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma two-pow-m0-as-prod:  $(2\text{:}nat)^{\wedge} m = 2^{\wedge} (n - 1) * 2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma two-pow-two-n-le:  $(2\text{:}nat)^{\wedge} (2 * n) \leq 2 * 2^{\wedge} (m + 1)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma oe-n-m-bound-0:  $oe\text{-}n + 2^{\wedge} n < 2^{\wedge} m$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma oe-n-m-bound-1:  $oe\text{-}n + 1 + 2^{\wedge} n \leq 2^{\wedge} m$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma two-pow-oe-n-m-bound-1:  $(2\text{:}'a\text{:}linordered-semidom})^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n + 1 + 2^{\wedge} n) \leq 2^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge} m$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma two-pow-oe-n-m-bound-0-int:  $2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n + 2^{\wedge} n) < int\text{-}lsbf\text{-}fermat.n m$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma two-pow-oe-n-m-bound-1-int:  $2^{\lceil \text{oe-}n + 1 + 2^{\lceil n} \rceil} < \text{int-lsbf-fermat.}n$ 
m
⟨proof⟩

lemma oe-n-n-bound-1:  $\text{oe-}n + 1 + 2^{\lceil n} \leq 2^{\lceil n + 1}$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition pad-length where pad-length =  $3 * n + 5$ 

Lemmas using residue rings.

definition Zn where Zn = residue-ring (int-lsbf-mod.n (n + 2))
definition Fn where Fn = residue-ring (int-lsbf-fermat.n n)
definition Fm where Fm = residue-ring (int-lsbf-fermat.n m)

Lemmas in  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n+2}}$ 

sublocale Znr : int-lsbf-mod n + 2
rewrites Znr.Zn ≡ Zn
⟨proof⟩

Lemmas in  $\mathbb{Z}_{F_m}$  resp.  $\mathbb{Z}_{F_n}$ .

sublocale Fnr : int-lsbf-fermat n
rewrites Fnr.Fn ≡ Fn
⟨proof⟩

sublocale Fnr-M : multiplicative-subgroup Fn Units Fn units-of Fn
⟨proof⟩

sublocale Fmr : int-lsbf-fermat m
rewrites Fmr.Fn ≡ Fm
⟨proof⟩

sublocale Fmr-M : multiplicative-subgroup Fm Units Fm units-of Fm
⟨proof⟩

lemma two-pow-oe-n-primitive-root-Fm:
Fmr.primitive-root ( $2^{\lceil \text{oe-}n} (2 \lceil_{Fm} (2::nat))^{\lceil (n - 1)}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma two-pow-oe-n-root-of-unity-Fm:
Fmr.root-of-unity ( $2^{\lceil \text{oe-}n} (2 \lceil_{Fm} (2::nat))^{\lceil (n - 1)}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma four-prim-root-Fn: Fnr.primitive-root ( $2^{\lceil n} (2 \lceil_{Fn} (2::nat))$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma two-oe-n:  $2 \lceil_{Fn} \text{oe-}n = 2^{\lceil \text{oe-}n}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma two-oe-n-Units-Fn:  $2^{\lceil \text{oe-}n} \in \text{Units Fn}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma two-oe-n-carrier-Fn:  $2^{\lceil \text{oe-}n} \in \text{carrier Fn}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
definition prim-root-exponent :: nat where prim-root-exponent = (if odd m then
1 else 2)
definition μ where μ = 2 [↑]Fn prim-root-exponent

lemma μ-Units-Fn: μ ∈ Units Fn
⟨proof⟩
lemma μ-carrier-Fn: μ ∈ carrier Fn
⟨proof⟩

lemma μ-prim-root: Fnr.primitive-root (2 ^ oe-n) μ
⟨proof⟩
lemma μ-root-of-unity: Fnr.root-of-unity (2 ^ oe-n) μ
⟨proof⟩
lemma μ-halfway-property: μ [↑]Fn ((2::nat) ^ oe-n div 2) = ⊕Fn 1Fn
⟨proof⟩

end
```

Lemmas only depending on one of the input arguments (and m).

```
locale carrier-input = m-lemmas +
fixes num :: nat-lsbf
assumes num-carrier: num ∈ int-lsbf-fermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
begin

definition num-blocks where num-blocks = subdivide (2 ^ (n - 1)) num
definition num-blocks-carrier where num-blocks-carrier = map (fill (2 ^ (n + 1))) num-blocks
definition num-Zn where num-Zn = map Znr.reduce num-blocks
definition num-Zn-pad where num-Zn-pad = concat (map (fill pad-length) num-Zn)
definition num-dft where num-dft = Fnr.fft prim-root-exponent num-blocks-carrier
definition num-dft-odds where num-dft-odds = evens-odds False num-dft

lemmas defs = num-blocks-def num-blocks-carrier-def num-Zn-def num-Zn-pad-def
num-dft-def num-dft-odds-def

lemma length-num[simp]: length num = 2 ^ (m + 1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-num-blocks[simp]: length num-blocks = 2 ^ oe-n
⟨proof⟩
lemma length-nth-num-blocks[simp]:
fixes i :: nat
assumes i < 2 ^ oe-n
shows length (num-blocks ! i) = 2 ^ (n - 1)
⟨proof⟩
lemma num-blocks-bound[simp]:
fixes i :: nat
```

```

assumes  $i < 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n$ 
shows  $\text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat}(\text{num-blocks} ! i) < 2^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge} (n - 1)$ 
<proof>
lemma  $\text{num-blocks-carrier-}\mathit{Fm}[\text{simp}]$ :
  fixes  $i :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $i < 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n$ 
  shows  $\text{int}(\text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat}(\text{num-blocks} ! i)) \in \text{carrier } \mathit{Fm}$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{length-num-blocks-carrier}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{length num-blocks-carrier} = 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{to-res-num} : \mathit{Fmr.to-residue-ring} \text{ num} = (\bigoplus_{\mathit{Fm}} j \leftarrow [0.. < 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n].$ 
   $\text{map}(\text{int} \circ \text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat}) \text{ num-blocks} ! j \otimes_{\mathit{Fm}} ((2 [\wedge]_{\mathit{Fm}} ((2::\text{nat})^{\wedge} (n - 1))) [\wedge]_{\mathit{Fm}} j))$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{length-num-Zn}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{length num-Zn} = 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n$ 
<proof>
lemma  $\text{length-nth-num-Zn}[\text{simp}]$ :
  fixes  $i :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $i < 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n$ 
  shows  $\text{length}(\text{num-Zn} ! i) = n + 2$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{length-num-Zn-pad}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{length num-Zn-pad} = \text{pad-length} * 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{to-nat-num-Zn-pad}:$ 
   $\text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat} \text{ num-Zn-pad} = (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n]. \text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat}(\text{num-Zn} ! i) * 2^{\wedge} (i * \text{pad-length}))$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{length-num-dft}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{length num-dft} = 2^{\wedge} oe\text{-}n$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{fill-num-blocks-carrier}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{set num-blocks-carrier} \subseteq \text{Fnr.fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{num-dft-carrier}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{set num-dft} \subseteq \text{Fnr.fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{to-res-num-dft}:$ 
   $\text{map Fnr.to-residue-ring num-dft} = \text{Fnr.NTT} \mu (\text{map Fnr.to-residue-ring num-blocks-carrier})$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{length-num-dft-odds}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{length num-dft-odds} = 2^{\wedge} (oe\text{-}n - 1)$ 
<proof>
lemma  $\text{num-dft-odds-carrier}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{set num-dft-odds} \subseteq \text{Fnr.fermat-non-unique-carrier}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

3.4.1 A special residue problem

definition *solve-special-residue-problem* **where**

solve-special-residue-problem $n \xi \eta =$

(*let* $\delta = \text{int-lsbf-mod.subtract-mod } (n + 2) \eta (\text{take } (n + 2) \xi)$ *in*
 $\text{add-nat } \xi (\text{add-nat } (\delta >>_n (2^n)) \delta)$)

lemma *two-pow-n-geq-n-plus-2*: $n \geq 2 \implies 2^n \geq n + 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fermat-mod-pow-2-aux*: $n \geq 2 \implies (2::nat)^{(2^n)} \bmod 2^{(n+2)} = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *solves-special-residue-problem* **where**

solves-special-residue-problem $z n \xi \eta \equiv$

$z < 2^{(n+2)} * \text{int-lsbf-fermat.n } n$
 $\wedge z \bmod \text{int-lsbf-fermat.n } n = \xi$
 $\wedge z \bmod (2^{(n+2)}) = \eta$

lemma *solve-special-residue-problem-correct*:
 fixes $n :: \text{nat}$
 fixes $\xi \eta :: \text{nat-lsbf}$
 assumes $n \geq 2$
 assumes $\text{length } \eta \leq n + 2$
 assumes $\text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat } \xi < \text{int-lsbf-fermat.n } n$
 assumes $z = \text{solve-special-residue-problem } n \xi \eta$
 shows *solves-special-residue-problem* ($\text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat } z$) $n (\text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat } \xi) (\text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat } \eta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fn-zn-coprime*: *coprime* ($\text{int-lsbf.fermat.n } n$) ($2^{(n+2)}$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-ideal-add*: $\text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} \{m\} <+>_{\mathcal{Z}} \text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} \{n\} = \text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} \{\gcd m n\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-ideal-inter*: $\text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} \{m\} \cap \text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} \{n\} = \text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} \{\text{lcm } m n\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *coprime m n* $\implies \text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} \{m\} <+>_{\mathcal{Z}} \text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} \{n\} = \text{carrier } \mathcal{Z}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *genideal-uminus*: $\text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} \{-x\} = \text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma genideal-normalize:  $Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{x\} = Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{\text{normalize } x\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary coprime  $m n \implies Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{m\} \cap Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{n\} = Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{m * n\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-ideal-inter-a-r-co-set-distrib:  $(Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{m\} \cap Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{n\}) +>_{\mathcal{Z}} x = (Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{m\} +>_{\mathcal{Z}} x) \cap (Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{n\} +>_{\mathcal{Z}} x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma chinese-remainder-very-simple-int:
  fixes  $x y m n :: int$ 
  assumes  $x \bmod m = y \bmod m$ 
  assumes  $x \bmod n = y \bmod n$ 
  shows  $x \bmod (\text{lcm } m n) = y \bmod (\text{lcm } m n)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma chinese-remainder-very-simple-nat:
  fixes  $x y m n :: nat$ 
  assumes  $x \bmod m = y \bmod m$ 
  assumes  $x \bmod n = y \bmod n$ 
  shows  $x \bmod (\text{lcm } m n) = y \bmod (\text{lcm } m n)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma special-residue-problem-unique-solution:
  fixes  $n :: nat$ 
  fixes  $\xi \eta :: nat$ 
  assumes solves-special-residue-problem  $z1 n \xi \eta$ 
  assumes solves-special-residue-problem  $z2 n \xi \eta$ 
  shows  $z1 = z2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.4.2 Subroutine for combining the final result

```

fun combine-z-aux where
  combine-z-aux  $l acc [] = concat (rev acc)$ 
  | combine-z-aux  $l acc [z] = combine-z-aux l (z \# acc) []$ 
  | combine-z-aux  $l acc (z1 \# z2 \# zs) = (let$ 
     $(z1h, z1t) = split-at l z1 in$ 
    combine-z-aux  $l (z1h \# acc) ((add-nat z1t z2) \# zs)$ 
  )

```

definition combine-z :: $nat \Rightarrow nat\text{-lsbf list} \Rightarrow nat\text{-lsbf}$ **where**
 $combine-z l zs = combine-z-aux l [] zs$

lemma combine-z-aux-correct:
assumes $l > 0$
assumes $\bigwedge z. z \in set zs \implies length z \geq l$

```

shows Nat-LSBF.to-nat (combine-z-aux l acc zs) = Nat-LSBF.to-nat (concat
(rev acc)) +
 $2^{\lceil \log_2(\text{length } (\text{concat } acc)) \rceil} * (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<\text{length } zs]. \text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat } (zs ! i) * 2^{\lceil \log_2(i * l) \rceil})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma combine-z-correct:
assumes l > 0
assumes  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } zs \implies \text{length } z \geq l$ 
shows Nat-LSBF.to-nat (combine-z l zs) =  $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<\text{length } zs]. \text{Nat-LSBF.to-nat } (zs ! i) * 2^{\lceil \log_2(i * l) \rceil})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-combine-z-aux-le:
assumes  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } zs \implies \text{length } z \leq lz$ 
assumes  $\text{length } z \leq lz + 1$ 
assumes l > 0
shows  $\text{length } (\text{combine-z-aux } l \text{ acc } (z \# zs)) \leq (lz + 1) * (\text{length } zs + 1) + \text{length } (\text{concat } acc)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-combine-z-le:
assumes  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } zs \implies \text{length } z \leq lz$ 
assumes l > 0
shows  $\text{length } (\text{combine-z } l \text{ zs}) \leq (lz + 1) * \text{length } zs$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.5 Schoenhage-Strassen Multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_{F_m}

```

function schoenhage-strassen :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbf  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbf  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbf where
schoenhage-strassen m a b =
(if m < 3 then int-lsbf-fermat.from-nat-lsbf m (grid-mul-nat a b) else
let
n = (if odd m then (m + 1) div 2 else (m + 2) div 2);
oe-n = (if odd m then n + 1 else n);
a' = subdivide ( $2^{\lceil \log_2(n - 1) \rceil}$ ) a;
b' = subdivide ( $2^{\lceil \log_2(n - 1) \rceil}$ ) b;
— residue mod  $2^{n+2}$ 
α = map (int-lsbf-mod.reduce (n + 2)) a';
u = concat (map (fill (3*n + 5)) α);
β = map (int-lsbf-mod.reduce (n + 2)) b';
v = concat (map (fill (3*n + 5)) β);
uv = ensure-length ((3*n + 5) *  $2^{\lceil \log_2(oe-n + 1) \rceil}$ ) (karatsuba-mul-nat u v);
γ = subdivide ( $2^{\lceil \log_2(oe-n - 1) \rceil}$ ) (subdivide (3*n + 5) uv);
η = map4 (λx y z w.
    int-lsbf-mod.add-mod (n + 2)
    (int-lsbf-mod.subtract-mod (n + 2) (take (n + 2) x) (take (n + 2) y))
    (int-lsbf-mod.subtract-mod (n + 2) (take (n + 2) z) (take (n + 2) w)))

```

```

)
(γ ! 0) (γ ! 1) (γ ! 2) (γ ! 3);

— residue mod  $F_n$ 
prim-root-exponent = (if odd m then 1 else 2);
a'-carrier = map (fill (2 ^ (n + 1))) a';
b'-carrier = map (fill (2 ^ (n + 1))) b';
a-dft = int-lsbf-fermat.fft n prim-root-exponent a'-carrier;
b-dft = int-lsbf-fermat.fft n prim-root-exponent b'-carrier;
a-dft-odds = evens-odds False a-dft;
b-dft-odds = evens-odds False b-dft;
c-dft-odds = map2 (schoenhage-strassen n) a-dft-odds b-dft-odds;
c-diffs = int-lsbf-fermat.ifft n (prim-root-exponent * 2) c-dft-odds;
ξ' = map2 (λcj j. int-lsbf-fermat.add-fermat n
  (int-lsbf-fermat.divide-by-power-of-2 cj (oe-n + prim-root-exponent * j - 1))
  (int-lsbf-fermat.from-nat-lsbf n (replicate (oe-n + 2 ^ n) False @ [True])))
  c-diffs [0..<2 ^ (oe-n - 1)]);
ξ = map (int-lsbf-fermat.reduce n) ξ';

```

```

— calculate  $z_j$  for  $j < 2^n$ 
z = map2 (solve-special-residue-problem n) ξ η;
z-filled = map (fill (2 ^ (n - 1))) z;
z-consts = replicate (2 ^ (oe-n - 1)) (replicate (oe-n + 2 ^ n) False @ [True]);
z-sum = combine-z (2 ^ (n - 1)) (z-filled @ z-consts);
result = int-lsbf-fermat.from-nat-lsbf m z-sum

```

— return the resulting sum
in result)

⟨proof⟩

termination

⟨proof⟩

declare schoenhage-strassen.simps[simp del]

```

locale schoenhage-strassen-context =
  fixes m :: nat
  fixes a :: nat-lsbf
  fixes b :: nat-lsbf
  assumes m-ge-3:  $\neg m < 3$ 
  assumes a-carrier: a ∈ int-lsbf-fermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
  assumes b-carrier: b ∈ int-lsbf-fermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
begin

```

```

sublocale m-lemmas
  ⟨proof⟩

```

sublocale A: carrier-input m a

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale B : carrier-input m b
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $uv\text{-length}$ **where** $uv\text{-length} = pad\text{-length} * 2^{\wedge}(oe\text{-}n + 1)$
definition $uv\text{-unpadded}$ **where** $uv\text{-unpadded} = karatsuba\text{-mul}\text{-nat } A.\text{num-Zn-pad}$
 $B.\text{num-Zn-pad}$
definition uv **where** $uv = ensure\text{-length } uv\text{-length } uv\text{-unpadded}$
definition γs **where** $\gamma s = subdivide pad\text{-length } uv$
definition γ **where** $\gamma = subdivide (2^{\wedge}(oe\text{-}n - 1)) \gamma s$
definition η **where** $\eta = map4 (\lambda x y z w. int\text{-lsbf-mod.add-mod} (n + 2)$
 $(int\text{-lsbf-mod.subtract-mod} (n + 2) (take (n + 2) x) (take (n + 2) y))$
 $(int\text{-lsbf-mod.subtract-mod} (n + 2) (take (n + 2) z) (take (n + 2) w))$
 $) (\gamma ! 0) (\gamma ! 1) (\gamma ! 2) (\gamma ! 3)$
definition $c\text{-dft-odds}$ **where** $c\text{-dft-odds} = map2 (schoenhage\text{-strassen } n) A.\text{num-dft-odds}$
 $B.\text{num-dft-odds}$
definition $c\text{-diffs}$ **where** $c\text{-diffs} = int\text{-lsbf-fermat.ifft } n (prim\text{-root-exponent} * 2)$
 $c\text{-dft-odds}$
definition ξ' **where** $\xi' = map2 (\lambda cj j. int\text{-lsbf-fermat.add-fermat } n$
 $(int\text{-lsbf-fermat.divide-by-power-of-2 } cj (oe\text{-}n + prim\text{-root-exponent} * j - 1))$
 $(int\text{-lsbf-fermat.from-nat-lsbf } n (replicate (oe\text{-}n + 2^{\wedge} n) False @ [True])))$
 $c\text{-diffs} [0..<2^{\wedge}(oe\text{-}n - 1)]$
definition ξ **where** $\xi = map (int\text{-lsbf-fermat.reduce } n) \xi'$
definition z **where** $z = map2 (solve\text{-special-residue-problem } n) \xi \eta$
definition $z\text{-filled}$ **where** $z\text{-filled} = map (fill (2^{\wedge}(n - 1))) z$
definition $z\text{-consts}$ **where** $z\text{-consts} = replicate (2^{\wedge}(oe\text{-}n - 1)) (replicate (oe\text{-}n$
 $+ 2^{\wedge} n) False @ [True])$
definition $z\text{-sum}$ **where** $z\text{-sum} = combine\text{-}z (2^{\wedge}(n - 1)) (z\text{-filled} @ z\text{-consts})$
definition $result$ **where** $result = int\text{-lsbf-fermat.from-nat-lsbf } m z\text{-sum}$

lemmas $defs = n\text{-def } oe\text{-}n\text{-def } A.\text{defs } B.\text{defs } pad\text{-length-def } uv\text{-length-def } uv\text{-unpadded-def}$
 $uv\text{-def}$
 $\gamma s\text{-def } \gamma\text{-def } \eta\text{-def } c\text{-dft-odds-def } c\text{-diffs-def } \xi'\text{-def } \xi\text{-def } z\text{-def } z\text{-filled-def } z\text{-consts-def}$
 $z\text{-sum-def } result\text{-def } prim\text{-root-exponent-def } \mu\text{-def}$

lemma $result\text{-eq}: schoenhage\text{-strassen } m a b = result$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $length\text{-uv}: length uv = uv\text{-length}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pad\text{-length-gt-0}: pad\text{-length} > 0 \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $scuv:$
 $length (subdivide pad\text{-length } uv) = 2^{\wedge}(oe\text{-}n + 1)$
 $x \in set (subdivide pad\text{-length } uv) \implies length x = pad\text{-length}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma length-c-dft-odds: length c-dft-odds =  $2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma length-c-diffs: length c-diffs =  $2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma length- $\xi'$ : length  $\xi' = 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma length- $\xi$ : length  $\xi = 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\gamma\text{-nth}: \forall i. i < 4 \implies j < 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil} \implies \gamma ! i ! j = (\text{subdivide pad-length } uv) ! (i * 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil} + j)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma  $\gamma\text{-nth}': \forall j. j < 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil + 1} \implies \gamma ! (j \text{ div } 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}) ! (j \text{ mod } 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}) = \text{subdivide pad-length } uv ! j$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma sc $\gamma$ : length  $\gamma = 4 \wedge \forall i. i < 4 \implies \text{length}(\gamma ! i) = 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemmas length- $\gamma$  = sc $\gamma(1)$ 
lemmas length- $\gamma$ -i = sc $\gamma(2)$ 
lemma length- $\gamma$ -nth:  $\forall i. i < 4 \implies j < 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil} \implies \text{length}(\gamma ! i ! j) = \text{pad-length}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma length- $\eta$ : length  $\eta = 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma length-z: length z =  $2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma nth-z:  $z ! j = \text{solve-special-residue-problem } n (\xi ! j) (\eta ! j) \text{ if } j < 2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil - 1} \text{ for } j$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma length-z-filled: length z-filled =  $2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma length-z-consts: length z-consts =  $2^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

theorem schoenhage-strassen-correct':
  assumes a ∈ int-lsbfermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
  assumes b ∈ int-lsbfermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
  shows int-lsbfermat.to-residue-ring m (schoenhage-strassen m a b)
    = int-lsbfermat.to-residue-ring m a ⊗int-lsbfermat.Fn m int-lsbfermat.to-residue-ring m b ∧ schoenhage-strassen m a b ∈ int-lsbfermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

3.6 Schoenhage-Strassen Multiplication in \mathbb{N}

In order to multiply a and b (given in LSBF representation), find an m s.t. $a \cdot b < F_m$.

It suffices to just pick $m = \max(\text{bitsize}(\text{length } a), \text{bitsize}(\text{length } b)) + 1$.

```

definition schoenhage-strassen-mul where
  schoenhage-strassen-mul a b = (let m = max (bitsize (length a)) (bitsize (length
  b)) + 1 in
    int-lsbf-fermat.reduce m (schoenhage-strassen m (fill (2 ^ (m + 1)) a) (fill (2 ^
  (m + 1)) b)))
  )

locale schoenhage-strassen-mul-context =
  fixes a b :: nat-lsbf
begin

  definition bits-a where bits-a = bitsize (length a)
  definition bits-b where bits-b = bitsize (length b)
  definition m' where m' = max bits-a bits-b
  definition m where m = m' + 1
  definition car-len where car-len = (2::nat) ^ (m + 1)
  definition fill-a where fill-a = fill car-len a
  definition fill-b where fill-b = fill car-len b
  definition fm-result where fm-result = schoenhage-strassen m fill-a fill-b

lemmas defs = bits-a-def bits-b-def m'-def m-def car-len-def fill-a-def fill-b-def

lemma
  shows length-a: length a < 2 ^ (m - 1)
  and length-b: length b < 2 ^ (m - 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  shows length-a': length a ≤ 2 ^ (m + 1)
  and length-b': length b ≤ 2 ^ (m + 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-fill-a: length fill-a = 2 ^ (m + 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-fill-b: length fill-b = 2 ^ (m + 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale fm: int-lsbf-fermat m ⟨proof⟩

definition Fm where Fm = residue-ring (int-lsbf-fermat.n m)
sublocale Fmr: residues fm.n Fm
  rewrites fm-Fm: fm.Fn ≡ Fm
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fill-a-carrier[simp, intro]: fill-a ∈ fm.fermat-non-unique-carrier
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma fill-b-carrier[simp, intro]: fill-b ∈ fm.fermat-non-unique-carrier
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fm-result-carrier[simp, intro]: fm-result ∈ fm.fermat-non-unique-carrier
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ssc': fm.to-residue-ring fm-result = fm.to-residue-ring fill-a ⊗Fm fm.to-residue-ring
fill-b
and fm-result ∈ int-lsbf-fermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
  ⟨proof⟩

end

theorem schoenhage-strassen-mul-correct: Nat-LSBF.to-nat (schoenhage-strassen-mul
a b) = Nat-LSBF.to-nat a * Nat-LSBF.to-nat b
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 Running Time Formalization

```

theory Schoenhage-Strassen-TM
imports
  Schoenhage-Strassen
  ..../Preliminaries/Schoenhage-Strassen-Preliminaries
  Z-mod-Fermat-TM
  Karatsuba.Karatsuba-TM
  Landau-Symbols.Landau-More
begin

definition solve-special-residue-problem-tm where
  solve-special-residue-problem-tm n ξ η =1 do {
    n2 ← n +t 2;
    ξmod ← take-tm n2 ξ;
    δ ← int-lsbf-mod.subtract-mod-tm n2 η ξmod;
    pown ← 2  $\hat{\wedge}_t$  n;
    δ-shifted ← δ >>nt pown;
    δ1 ← δ-shifted +nt δ;
    ξ +nt δ1
  }

lemma val-solve-special-residue-problem-tm[simp, val-simp]:
  val (solve-special-residue-problem-tm n ξ η) = solve-special-residue-problem n ξ η
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-solve-special-residue-problem-tm-le:
  time (solve-special-residue-problem-tm n ξ η) ≤ 245 + 74 * 2  $\hat{\wedge}$  n + 55 * length
  η + 2 * length ξ
  ⟨proof⟩

fun combine-z-aux-tm where

```

```

combine-z-aux-tm l acc [] =1 rev-tm acc => concat-tm
| combine-z-aux-tm l acc [z] =1 combine-z-aux-tm l (z # acc) []
| combine-z-aux-tm l acc (z1 # z2 # zs) =1 do {
  (z1h, z1t) ← split-at-tm l z1;
  r ← z1t +nt z2;
  combine-z-aux-tm l (z1h # acc) (r # zs)
}

lemma val-combine-z-aux-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (combine-z-aux-tm l acc zs) =
combine-z-aux l acc zs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-combine-z-aux-tm-le:
assumes ⋀z. z ∈ set zs ⇒ length z ≤ lz
assumes length z ≤ lz + 1
assumes l > 0
shows time (combine-z-aux-tm l acc (z # zs)) ≤ (2 * l + 2 * lz + 7) * length
zs + 3 * (length acc + length zs) + length (concat acc) + length zs * l + lz + 9
⟨proof⟩

definition combine-z-tm where combine-z-tm l zs =1 combine-z-aux-tm l [] zs

lemma val-combine-z-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (combine-z-tm l zs) = combine-z l zs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-combine-z-tm-le:
assumes ⋀z. z ∈ set zs ⇒ length z ≤ lz
assumes l > 0
shows time (combine-z-tm l zs) ≤ 10 + (3 * l + 2 * lz + 10) * length zs
⟨proof⟩

lemma schoenhage-strassen-tm-termination-aux: ¬ m < 3 ⇒ Suc (m div 2) <
m
⟨proof⟩

function schoenhage-strassen-tm :: nat ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
schoenhage-strassen-tm m a b =1 do {
  m-le-3 ← m <t 3;
  if m-le-3 then do {
    ab ← a *nt b;
    int-lsbf-fermat.from-nat-lsbf-tm m ab
  } else do {
    odd-m ← odd-tm m;
    n ← (if odd-m then do {
      m1 ← m +t 1;
      m1 divt 2
    } else do {
      m2 ← m +t 2;
      m2 divt 2
    })
  }
}

```

```

    });
n-plus-1 ← n +t 1;
n-minus-1 ← n −t 1;
n-plus-2 ← n +t 2;
oe-n ← (if odd-m then return n-plus-1 else return n);
segment-lens ← 2  $\widehat{\wedge}_t$  n-minus-1;
a' ← subdivide-tm segment-lens a;
b' ← subdivide-tm segment-lens b;
α ← map-tm (int-lsbf-mod.reduce-tm n-plus-2) a';
three-n ← 3 *t n;
pad-length ← three-n +t 5;
α-padded ← map-tm (fill-tm pad-length) α;
u ← concat-tm α-padded;
β ← map-tm (int-lsbf-mod.reduce-tm n-plus-2) b';
β-padded ← map-tm (fill-tm pad-length) β;
v ← concat-tm β-padded;
oe-n-plus-1 ← oe-n +t 1;
two-pow-oe-n-plus-1 ← 2  $\widehat{\wedge}_t$  oe-n-plus-1;
uv-length ← pad-length *t two-pow-oe-n-plus-1;
uv-unpadded ← karatsuba-mul-nat-tm u v;
uv ← ensure-length-tm uv-length uv-unpadded;
oe-n-minus-1 ← oe-n −t 1;
two-pow-oe-n-minus-1 ← 2  $\widehat{\wedge}_t$  oe-n-minus-1;
γs ← subdivide-tm pad-length uv;
γ ← subdivide-tm two-pow-oe-n-minus-1 γs;
γ0 ← nth-tm γ 0;
γ1 ← nth-tm γ 1;
γ2 ← nth-tm γ 2;
γ3 ← nth-tm γ 3;
η ← map4-tm
  (λx y z w. do {
    xmod ← take-tm n-plus-2 x;
    ymod ← take-tm n-plus-2 y;
    zmod ← take-tm n-plus-2 z;
    wmod ← take-tm n-plus-2 w;
    xy ← int-lsbf-mod.subtract-mod-tm n-plus-2 xmod ymod;
    zw ← int-lsbf-mod.subtract-mod-tm n-plus-2 zmod wmod;
    int-lsbf-mod.add-mod-tm n-plus-2 xy zw
  })
  γ0 γ1 γ2 γ3;
prim-root-exponent ← if odd-m then return 1 else return 2;
fn-carrier-len ← 2  $\widehat{\wedge}_t$  n-plus-1;
a'-carrier ← map-tm (fill-tm fn-carrier-len) a';
b'-carrier ← map-tm (fill-tm fn-carrier-len) b';
a-dft ← int-lsbf-fermat.fft-tm n prim-root-exponent a'-carrier;
b-dft ← int-lsbf-fermat.fft-tm n prim-root-exponent b'-carrier;
a-dft-odds ← evens-odds-tm False a-dft;
b-dft-odds ← evens-odds-tm False b-dft;
c-dft-odds ← map2-tm (schoenhage-strassen-tm n) a-dft-odds b-dft-odds;

```

```

prim-root-exponent-2 ← prim-root-exponent *t 2;
c-diffs ← int-lsbf-fermat.ifft-tm n prim-root-exponent-2 c-dft-odds;
two-pow-oe-n ← 2  $\hat{\wedge}$  t oe-n;
interval1 ← upt-tm 0 two-pow-oe-n-minus-1;
interval2 ← upt-tm two-pow-oe-n-minus-1 two-pow-oe-n;
two-pow-n ← 2  $\hat{\wedge}$  t n;
oe-n-plus-two-pow-n ← oe-n +t two-pow-n;
oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-zeros ← replicate-tm oe-n-plus-two-pow-n False;
oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-one ← oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-zeros @t [True];
ξ' ← map2-tm ( $\lambda$ x y. do {
    v1 ← prim-root-exponent *t y;
    v2 ← oe-n +t v1;
    v3 ← v2 -t 1;
    summand1 ← int-lsbf-fermat.divide-by-power-of-2-tm x v3;
    summand2 ← int-lsbf-fermat.from-nat-lsbf-tm n oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-one;
    int-lsbf-fermat.add-fermat-tm n summand1 summand2
})
c-diffs interval1;
ξ ← map-tm (int-lsbf-fermat.reduce-tm n) ξ';
z ← map2-tm (solve-special-residue-problem-tm n) ξ η;
z-filled ← map-tm (fill-tm segment-lens) z;
z-consts ← replicate-tm two-pow-oe-n-minus-1 oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-one;
z-complete ← z-filled @t z-consts;
z-sum ← combine-z-tm segment-lens z-complete;
result ← int-lsbf-fermat.from-nat-lsbf-tm m z-sum;
return result
}
}

⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

context schoenhage-strassen-context begin

abbreviation γ0 where γ0 ≡ γ ! 0
abbreviation γ1 where γ1 ≡ γ ! 1
abbreviation γ2 where γ2 ≡ γ ! 2
abbreviation γ3 where γ3 ≡ γ ! 3

definition fn-carrier-len where fn-carrier-len = (2::nat)  $\hat{\wedge}$  (n + 1)
definition segment-lens where segment-lens = (2::nat)  $\hat{\wedge}$  (n - 1)
definition interval1 where interval1 = [0..<2  $\hat{\wedge}$  (oe-n - 1)]
definition interval2 where interval2 = [2  $\hat{\wedge}$  (oe-n - 1)..<2  $\hat{\wedge}$  oe-n]
definition oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-zeros where oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-zeros = replicate
(oe-n + 2  $\hat{\wedge}$  n) False
definition oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-one where oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-one = append oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-zeros
[True]
definition z-complete where z-complete = z-filled @ z-consts

```

```

lemmas defs' =
  segment-lens-def fn-carrier-len-def
  c-diffs-def interval1-def interval2-def
  oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-zeros-def oe-n-plus-two-pow-n-one-def
  z-complete-def

lemma z-filled-def': z-filled = map (fill segment-lens) z
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma z-sum-def': z-sum = combine-z segment-lens z-complete
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas defs'' = defs' z-filled-def' z-sum-def'

lemma segment-lens-pos: segment-lens > 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-γs: length γs = 2 ^ (oe-n + 1)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma length-γs': length γs = 2 ^ (oe-n - 1) * 4
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma val-nth-γ[simp, val-simp]:
  val (nth-tm γ 0) = γ ! 0
  val (nth-tm γ 1) = γ ! 1
  val (nth-tm γ 2) = γ ! 2
  val (nth-tm γ 3) = γ ! 3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma val-fft1[simp, val-simp]: val (int-lsbf-fermat.fft-tm n prim-root-exponent
A.num-blocks-carrier) =
  int-lsbf-fermat.fft n prim-root-exponent A.num-blocks-carrier
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma val-fft2[simp, val-simp]: val (int-lsbf-fermat.fft-tm n prim-root-exponent
B.num-blocks-carrier) =
  int-lsbf-fermat.fft n prim-root-exponent B.num-blocks-carrier
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma val-ifft[simp, val-simp]: val (int-lsbf-fermat.ifft-tm n (prim-root-exponent *
2) c-dft-odds) =
  int-lsbf-fermat.ifft n (prim-root-exponent * 2) c-dft-odds
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma val-schoenhage-strassen-tm[simp, val-simp]:
assumes a ∈ int-lsbf-fermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
assumes b ∈ int-lsbf-fermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
shows val (schoenhage-strassen-tm m a b) = schoenhage-strassen m a b

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
fun schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound where
schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound m = (if m < 3 then 5336 else
let n = (if odd m then (m + 1) div 2 else (m + 2) div 2);
oe-n = (if odd m then n + 1 else n) in
23525 * 2 ^ m + 8093 * (n * 2 ^ (2 * n)) + 8410 +
time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound ((3 * n + 5) * 2 ^ oe-n) +
4 * karatsuba-lower-bound +
schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound n * 2 ^ (oe-n - 1))

declare schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound.simps[simp del]

lemma time-schoenhage-strassen-tm-le:
assumes a ∈ int-lsbfermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
assumes b ∈ int-lsbfermat.fermat-non-unique-carrier m
shows time (schoenhage-strassen-tm m a b) ≤ schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound m
⟨proof⟩

definition karatsuba-const where
karatsuba-const = (SOME c. (∀ x. x > 0 → time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound x ≤ c
* nat (floor (real x powr log 2 3)))))

lemma real-divide-mult-eq:
assumes (c :: real) ≠ 0
shows a / c * c = a
⟨proof⟩

lemma powr-unbounded:
assumes (c :: real) > 0
shows eventually (λx. d ≤ x powr c) at-top
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-kar-le-kar-const:
assumes x > 0
shows time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound x ≤ karatsuba-const * nat (floor (real x
powr log 2 3))
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-smallo-exp:
assumes c > 1
shows (λn. (real n) powr d) ∈ o(λn. c powr (real n))
⟨proof⟩

lemma kar-aux-lem: (λn. real (n * 2 ^ n) powr log 2 3) ∈ O(λn. real (2 ^ (2 *
n)))
⟨proof⟩

definition kar-aux-const where kar-aux-const = (SOME c. ∀ n ≥ 1. real (n * 2
```

```

 $\wedge n) \text{ powr log } 2 \beta \leq c * \text{real} (2 \wedge (2 * n)))$ 

lemma kar-aux-lem-le:
  assumes  $n > 0$ 
  shows  $\text{real} (n * 2 \wedge n) \text{ powr log } 2 \beta \leq \text{kar-aux-const} * \text{real} (2 \wedge (2 * n))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma kar-aux-const-gt-0:  $\text{kar-aux-const} > 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition kar-aux-const-nat where kar-aux-const-nat = karatsuba-const * nat
 $\lceil 16 \text{ powr log } 2 \beta \rceil * \text{nat} \lceil \text{kar-aux-const} \rceil$ 

definition s-const1 where s-const1 = 55897 + 4 * kar-aux-const-nat
definition s-const2 where s-const2 = 8410 + 4 * karatsuba-lower-bound

function schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound' :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
   $m < 3 \implies \text{schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound}' m = 5336$ 
   $| m \geq 3 \implies \text{schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound}' m = s\text{-const1} * (m * 2 \wedge m) +$ 
     $s\text{-const2} + \text{schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound}' ((m + 2) \text{ div } 2) * 2 \wedge ((m + 1) \text{ div } 2)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
termination
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound'.simp[simp del]

lemma schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound-le-schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound':
  shows schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound m  $\leq$  schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound' m
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition gamma-0 where gamma-0 = 2 * s-const1 + s-const2

lemma schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound'-oe-rec:
  assumes  $n \geq 3$ 
  shows schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound' ( $2 * n - 2$ )  $\leq \gamma\text{-}0 * n * 2 \wedge (2 * n -$ 
     $2) + \text{schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound}' n * 2 \wedge (n - 1)$ 
    and schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound' ( $2 * n - 1$ )  $\leq \gamma\text{-}0 * n * 2 \wedge (2 * n - 1)$ 
     $+ \text{schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound}' n * 2 \wedge n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition gamma where gamma = Max {gamma-0, schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound' 0, schoen-
  hage-strassen-Fm-bound' 1, schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound' 2, schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound'
  3}

lemma schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound'-le-aux1:
  assumes  $m \leq 2 \wedge \text{Suc } k + 1$ 
  shows schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound' m  $\leq \gamma * \text{Suc } k * 2 \wedge (\text{Suc } k + m)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound'-le-aux2:
  assumes k ≥ 1
  assumes m ≤ 2 ^ k + 1
  shows schoenhage-strassen-Fm-bound' m ≤ γ * k * 2 ^ (k + m)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.1 Multiplication in \mathbb{N}

```

definition schoenhage-strassen-mul-tm where
  schoenhage-strassen-mul-tm a b = 1 do {
    bits-a ← length-tm a ≈ bitsize-tm;
    bits-b ← length-tm b ≈ bitsize-tm;
    m' ← max-nat-tm bits-a bits-b;
    m ← m' +t 1;
    m-plus-1 ← m +t 1;
    car-len ← 2 ^ t m-plus-1;
    fill-a ← fill-tm car-len a;
    fill-b ← fill-tm car-len b;
    fm-result ← schoenhage-strassen-tm m fill-a fill-b;
    int-lsbf-fermat.reduce-tm m fm-result
  }

```

```

lemma val-schoenhage-strassen-mul-tm[simp, val-simp]:
  val (schoenhage-strassen-mul-tm a b) = schoenhage-strassen-mul a b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma real-power: a > 0 ⇒ real ((a :: nat) ^ x) = real a powr real x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition schoenhage-strassen-bound where
  schoenhage-strassen-bound n = 146 * n + 218 + 4 * (bitsize n + 1) + 126 * 2 ^
  (bitsize n + 2) +
  γ * bitsize (bitsize n + 1) * 2 ^ (bitsize (bitsize n + 1) + (bitsize n + 1))

```

```

theorem time-schoenhage-strassen-mul-tm-le:
  assumes length a ≤ n length b ≤ n
  shows time (schoenhage-strassen-mul-tm a b) ≤ schoenhage-strassen-bound n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma real-diff: a ≤ b ⇒ real (b - a) = real b - real a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bitsize-le-log: n > 0 ⇒ real (bitsize n) ≤ log 2 (real n) + 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma powr-mono-base2: a ≤ b ⇒ 2 powr (a :: real) ≤ 2 powr b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma log-mono-base2: a > 0 ⇒ b > 0 ⇒ a ≤ b ⇒ log 2 a ≤ log 2 b

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma log-nonneg-base2:  $x \geq 1 \implies \log 2 x \geq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma powr-log-cancel-base2:  $x > 0 \implies 2^{\text{powr}(\log 2 x)} = x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma const-bigo-log:  $1 \in O(\log 2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma const-bigo-log-log:  $1 \in O(\lambda x. \log 2 (\log 2 x))$ 
⟨proof⟩

theorem schoenhage-strassen-bound-bigo:  $\text{schoenhage-strassen-bound} \in O(\lambda n. n * \log 2 n * \log 2 (\log 2 n))$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

```

References

- [1] T. Ammer and K. Kreuzer. Number theoretic transform. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, August 2022. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Number_Theoretic_Transform.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] T. Nipkow. Verified root-balanced trees. In B.-Y. E. Chang, editor, *Asian Symposium on Programming Languages and Systems, APLAS 2017*, volume 10695 of *LNCS*, pages 255–272. Springer, 2017. <https://www21.in.tum.de/~nipkow/pubs/aplas17.pdf>.
- [3] A. Schönhage and V. Strassen. Schnelle multiplikation großer zahlen. *Computing*, 7:281–292, 1971.