

Riesz Representation Theorem

Michikazu Hirata

June 11, 2024

Abstract

We formalize the Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem following pp.37-47 of the book *Real and Complex Analysis* by Rudin [1]. This entry also includes formalization of regular measures, tightness of measures, and Urysohn's lemma on locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Roughly speaking, the theorem states that if φ is a positive linear functional from $C(X)$ (the space of continuous functions from X to complex numbers which have compact supports) to complex numbers, then there exists a unique measure μ such that for all $f \in C(X)$,

$$\varphi(f) = \int f d\mu.$$

Contents

1	Urysohn's Lemma	2
1.1	Lemmas for Upper/Lower Semi-Continuous Functions	2
1.2	Urysohn's Lemma	4
2	Regular Measures	4
3	The Riesz Representation Theorem	8
3.1	Lemmas for Complex-Valued Continuous Maps	8
3.2	Compact Supports	9
3.3	Positive Linear Functionals	11
3.4	Lemmas for Uniqueness	13
3.5	Riesz Representation Theorem for Real Numbers	15
3.6	Riesz Representation Theorem for Complex Numbers	15
3.7	Other Forms of the Theorem	16
3.7.1	Theorem for Compact Hausdorff Spaces	16
3.7.2	Theorem for Compact Metrizable Spaces	17

1 Urysohn's Lemma

theory *Urysohn-Locally-Compact-Hausdorff*
imports *Standard-Borel-Spaces.StandardBorel*
begin

We prove Urysohn's lemma for locally compact Hausdorff space (Lemma 2.12 [1])

1.1 Lemmas for Upper/Lower Semi-Continuous Functions

lemma

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x = g x$

shows *upper-semicontinuous-map-cong*:

upper-semicontinuous-map $X f \longleftrightarrow \text{upper-semicontinuous-map } X g$ (**is** ?g1)

and *lower-semicontinuous-map-cong*:

lower-semicontinuous-map $X f \longleftrightarrow \text{lower-semicontinuous-map } X g$ (**is** ?g2)

<proof>

lemma *upper-lower-semicontinuous-map-iff-continuous-map*:

continuous-map X *euclidean* $f \longleftrightarrow \text{upper-semicontinuous-map } X f \wedge \text{lower-semicontinuous-map } X f$

<proof>

lemma [*simp*]:

shows *upper-semicontinuous-map-const*: *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c)$

and *lower-semicontinuous-map-const*: *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c)$

<proof>

lemma *upper-semicontinuous-map-c-add-iff*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$

shows *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c + f x) \longleftrightarrow \text{upper-semicontinuous-map } X f$

<proof>

corollary *upper-semicontinuous-map-add-c-iff*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$

shows *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. f x + c) \longleftrightarrow \text{upper-semicontinuous-map } X f$

<proof>

lemma *upper-semicontinuous-map-posreal-cmult-iff*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$

assumes $c > 0$

shows *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c * f x) \longleftrightarrow \text{upper-semicontinuous-map } X f$

<proof>

lemma *upper-semicontinuous-map-real-cmult*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$

assumes $c \geq 0$ *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X f$

shows *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c * f x)$

<proof>

lemma *lower-semicontinuous-map-posreal-cmult-iff*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$

assumes $c > 0$

shows *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c * f x) \longleftrightarrow$ *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X f$

<proof>

lemma *lower-semicontinuous-map-real-cmult*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$

assumes $c \geq 0$ *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X f$

shows *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c * f x)$

<proof>

lemma *upper-semicontinuous-map-INF*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{linorder-topology, complete-linorder}\}$

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow$ *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (f i)$

shows *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. \bigcap_{i \in I}. f i x)$

<proof>

lemma *upper-semicontinuous-map-cInf*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{linorder-topology, conditionally-complete-linorder}\}$

assumes $I \neq \{\}$ $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \Longrightarrow$ *bdd-below* $((\lambda i. f i x) ' I)$

and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow$ *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (f i)$

shows *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. \bigcap_{i \in I}. f i x)$

<proof>

lemma *lower-semicontinuous-map-Sup*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{linorder-topology, complete-linorder}\}$

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow$ *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X (f i)$

shows *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. \bigcup_{i \in I}. f i x)$

<proof>

lemma *indicator-closed-upper-semicontinuous-map*:

assumes *closedin* $X C$

shows *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\text{indicator } C :: - \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{zero-less-one, linorder-topology}\})$

<proof>

lemma *indicator-open-lower-semicontinuous-map*:

assumes *openin* $X U$

shows *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X (\text{indicator } U :: - \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{zero-less-one, linorder-topology}\})$

linorder-topology)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lower-semicontinuous-map-cSup*:
 fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{linorder-topology, conditionally-complete-linorder}\}$
 assumes $I \neq \{\}$ $\wedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies \text{bdd-above } ((\lambda i. f i x) ' I)$
 and $\wedge i. i \in I \implies \text{lower-semicontinuous-map } X (f i)$
 shows *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. \bigsqcup_{i \in I}. f i x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *openin-continuous-map-less*:
 assumes *continuous-map* $X (\text{euclidean} :: ('a :: \{\text{dense-linorder, order-topology}\}$
topology) f
 and *continuous-map* $X \text{euclidean } g$
 shows *openin* $X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x < g x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary *closedin-continuous-map-eq*:
 assumes *continuous-map* $X (\text{euclidean} :: ('a :: \{\text{dense-linorder, order-topology}\}$
topology) f
 and *continuous-map* $X \text{euclidean } g$
 shows *closedin* $X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x = g x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.2 Urysohn's Lemma

lemma *locally-compact-Hausdorff-compactin-openin-subset*:
 assumes *locally-compact-space* X *Hausdorff-space* $X \vee$ *regular-space* X
 and *compactin* $X T$ *openin* $X V$ $T \subseteq V$
 shows $\exists U. \text{openin } X U \wedge \text{compactin } X (X \text{closure-of } U) \wedge T \subseteq U \wedge (X$
closure-of $U) \subseteq V$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Urysohn-locally-compact-Hausdorff-closed-compact-support*:
 fixes $a b :: \text{real}$ and $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$
 assumes *locally-compact-space* X *Hausdorff-space* $X \vee$ *regular-space* X
 and $a \leq b$ *closedin* $X S$ *compactin* $X T$ *disjnt* $S T$
 obtains f where *continuous-map* $X (\text{subtopology euclidean } \{a..b\}) f f ' S \subseteq$
 $\{a\} f ' T \subseteq \{b\}$ *disjnt* $(X \text{closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq a\}) S$ *compactin* $X (X$
closure-of $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq a\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

2 Regular Measures

theory *Regular-Measure*
 imports *HOL-Probability.Probability*
 Standard-Borel-Spaces.StandardBorel

Urysohn-Locally-Compact-Hausdorff

begin

context *Metric-space*

begin

lemma *nbh-add*: $(\bigcup b \in (\bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a \ e). \text{mball } b \ f) \subseteq (\bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a \ (e + f))$
<proof>

lemma *nbh-subset*:

assumes *A*: $A \subseteq M$ **and** *e*: $e > 0$

shows $A \subseteq (\bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a \ e)$

<proof>

lemma *nbh-decseq*:

assumes *decseq an*

shows *decseq* $(\lambda n. \bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a \ (an \ n))$

<proof>

lemma *nbh-Inter-closure-of*:

assumes *A*: $A \neq \{\}$ $A \subseteq M$

and *an*: $\bigwedge n. an \ n > 0 \text{ decseq } an \ an \longrightarrow 0$

shows $(\bigcap n. \bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a \ (an \ n)) = \text{mtopology closure-of } A$

<proof>

end

lemma(*in finite-measure*)

assumes *range A* \subseteq *sets M disjoint-family A*

shows *suminf-measure*: $(\sum i. \text{measure } M \ (A \ i)) = \text{measure } M \ (\bigcup i. A \ i)$

and *summable-measure*: *summable* $(\lambda i. \text{measure } M \ (A \ i))$

<proof>

We refer to the lecture note [2].

Inner regular and outer regular with abstract topologies.

definition *inner-regular* :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a measure \Rightarrow bool **where**

inner-regular $X \ M \longleftrightarrow \text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. M \ A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X \ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. M \ C))$

definition *outer-regular* :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a measure \Rightarrow bool **where**

outer-regular $X \ M \longleftrightarrow \text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. M \ A = (\bigcap C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. M \ C))$

definition *regular-measure* :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a measure \Rightarrow bool **where**

regular-measure $X \ M \longleftrightarrow \text{inner-regular } X \ M \wedge \text{outer-regular } X \ M$

lemma

shows *inner-reguarI*: $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M$

$\implies M A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. M C) \implies \text{inner-regular } X M$

and *inner-regularD*: $\text{inner-regular } X M \implies \text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X)$
 $\text{inner-regular } X M \implies A \in \text{sets } M \implies M A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. M C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma

shows *outer-regularI*: $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X)$
 $\implies (\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies M A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. M C))$
 $\implies \text{outer-regular } X M$
and *outer-regularD*: $\text{outer-regular } X M \implies \text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X)$
 $\text{outer-regular } X M \implies A \in \text{sets } M \implies M A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. M C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma

shows *regular-measureI*: $\text{inner-regular } X M \implies \text{outer-regular } X M \implies \text{regular-measure } X M$
and *regular-measureD*:
 $\text{regular-measure } X M \implies \text{inner-regular } X M \text{ regular-measure } X M \implies \text{outer-regular } X M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inner-regular-finite-measure*:

assumes *finite-measure* M
shows $\text{inner-regular } X M \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. \text{measure } M A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \text{measure } M C))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *finite-measure*)

shows *inner-regularI*: $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \implies (\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M$
 $\implies \text{measure } M A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \text{measure } M C)) \implies$
 $\text{inner-regular } X M$
and *inner-regularD*:
 $\text{inner-regular } X M \implies A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{measure } M A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \text{measure } M C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *outer-regular-finite-measure*:

assumes *finite-measure* M
shows $\text{outer-regular } X M \longleftrightarrow \text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. \text{measure } M A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M C))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *finite-measure*)

shows *outer-regularI*: $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \implies (\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M$
 $\implies \text{measure } M A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M C)) \implies$

outer-regular $X M$

and outer-regular D : outer-regular $X M \implies A \in \text{sets } M$

$\implies \text{measure } M A = (\bigcap C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M C)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Abstract version of $\llbracket \text{sets } ?M = \text{sets borel}; \text{emeasure } ?M (\text{space } ?M) \neq \infty; ?B \in \text{sets borel} \rrbracket \implies \text{emeasure } ?M ?B = \bigsqcup (\text{emeasure } ?M \text{ ' } \{K. K \subseteq ?B \wedge \text{compact } K\})$ and $\llbracket \text{sets } ?M = \text{sets borel}; \text{emeasure } ?M (\text{space } ?M) \neq \infty; ?B \in \text{sets borel} \rrbracket \implies \text{emeasure } ?M ?B = \bigsqcap (\text{emeasure } ?M \text{ ' } \{U. ?B \subseteq U \wedge \text{open } U\})$.

lemma(in finite-measure)

assumes metrizable-space X sets (borel-of X) = sets M

shows inner-regular': inner-regular $X M$

and outer-regular': outer-regular $X M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition tight-on-set :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a measure set \Rightarrow bool **where**

tight-on-set $X \Gamma \longleftrightarrow (\forall M \in \Gamma. \text{finite-measure } M \wedge \text{sets (borel-of } X) = \text{sets } M) \wedge$
 $(\forall e > 0. \exists K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge (\forall M \in \Gamma. \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - K) < e))$

abbreviation tight-on :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a measure \Rightarrow bool **where**

tight-on $X M \equiv \text{tight-on-set } X \{M\}$

lemma tight-on-def:

tight-on $X M \longleftrightarrow \text{finite-measure } M \wedge \text{sets (borel-of } X) = \text{sets } M \wedge$

$(\forall e > 0. \exists K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - K) < e)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma tight-on-set-subset: $A \subseteq B \implies \text{tight-on-set } X B \implies \text{tight-on-set } X A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma tight-on-tight: tight-on-set euclidean $(M_i \text{ ' } UNIV) \wedge (\forall i. \text{real-distribution } (M_i i)) \longleftrightarrow \text{tight } M_i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma inner-regular'':

assumes metrizable-space X tight-on $X M$

and [measurable]: $A \in \text{sets } M$

shows $\text{measure } M A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{measure } M K)$

(is - = ?rhs)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in finite-measure) tight-on-compact-space:

assumes metrizable-space X compact-space X sets (borel-of X) = sets M

shows tight-on $X M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in finite-measure) tight-on-finite-space:

assumes *metrizable-space* X *sets (borel-of X) = sets M finite (space M)*
shows *tight-on X M*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(*in finite-measure*) *tight-on-Polish*:
assumes *Polish-space* X *sets (borel-of X) = sets M*
shows *tight-on X M*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary(*in finite-measure*) *inner-regular-Polish*:
assumes *Polish-space* X *sets (borel-of X) = sets M $A \in$ sets M*
shows *measure M $A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X\} K \wedge K \subseteq A). \text{measure } M K$*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

3 The Riesz Representation Theorem

theory *Riesz-Representation*
imports *Regular-Measure*
 Urysohn-Locally-Compact-Hausdorff
begin

3.1 Lemmas for Complex-Valued Continuous Maps

lemma *continuous-map-Re*[*simp,continuous-intros*]: *continuous-map euclidean euclideanreal Re*
and *continuous-map-Im*[*simp,continuous-intros*]: *continuous-map euclidean euclideanreal Im*
and *continuous-map-complex-of-real*[*simp,continuous-intros*]: *continuous-map euclideanreal euclidean complex-of-real*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary
assumes *continuous-map X euclidean f*
shows *continuous-map-Re*[*simp,continuous-intros*]: *continuous-map X euclideanreal $(\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x))$*
and *continuous-map-Im*[*simp,continuous-intros*]: *continuous-map X euclideanreal $(\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x))$*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *continuous-map-of-real-iff*[*simp*]:
continuous-map X euclidean $(\lambda x. \text{of-real } (f x)) :: - :: \text{real-normed-div-algebra} \longleftrightarrow$
continuous-map X euclideanreal f
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *continuous-map-complex-mult* [*continuous-intros*]:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{complex}$

shows $\llbracket \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f; \text{ continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g \rrbracket \implies \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } (\lambda x. f x * g x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *continuous-map-complex-mult-left*:

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{complex}$

shows $\text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \implies \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } (\lambda x. c * f x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complex-continuous-map-iff*:

$\text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \iff \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } (\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \wedge \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complex-integrable-iff*: $\text{complex-integrable } M f \iff \text{integrable } M (\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \wedge \text{integrable } M (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.2 Compact Supports

definition *has-compact-support-on* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{monoid-add}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ topology} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

(**infix** *has'-compact'-support'-on* 60) **where**

$f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \iff \text{compactin } X (X \text{ closure-of support-on } (\text{topspace } X) f)$

lemma *has-compact-support-on-iff*:

$f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \iff \text{compactin } X (X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-compact-support-on-zero[simp]*: $(\lambda x. 0) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-compact-support-on-compact-space[simp]*: $\text{compact-space } X \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-compact-support-on-add[simp,intro!]*:

assumes $f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$ $g \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

shows $(\lambda x. f x + g x) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-compact-support-on-sum*:

assumes $\text{finite } I \wedge i. i \in I \implies f i \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

shows $(\lambda x. (\sum i \in I. f i x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-compact-support-on-mult-left*:

fixes $g :: - \Rightarrow - :: \text{mult-zero}$

assumes $g \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

shows $(\lambda x. f x * g x) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

<proof>

lemma *has-compact-support-on-mult-right*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \text{mult-zero}$

assumes $f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

shows $(\lambda x. f x * g x) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

<proof>

lemma *has-compact-support-on-uminus-iff[simp]*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \text{group-add}$

shows $(\lambda x. - f x) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \iff f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

<proof>

lemma *has-compact-support-on-diff[simp,intro!]*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \text{group-add}$

shows $f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \implies g \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

$\implies (\lambda x. f x - g x) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

<proof>

lemma *has-compact-support-on-max[simp,intro!]*:

assumes $f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$ $g \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

shows $(\lambda x. \max (f x) (g x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

<proof>

lemma *has-compact-support-on-ext-iff[iff]*:

$(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \iff f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

<proof>

lemma *has-compact-support-on-of-real-iff[iff]*:

$(\lambda x. \text{of-real } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X = f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

<proof>

lemma *has-compact-support-on-complex-iff*:

$f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \iff$

$(\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \wedge (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

<proof>

lemma [simp]:

assumes $f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

shows $\text{has-compact-support-on-Re}:(\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

and $\text{has-compact-support-on-Im}:(\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

<proof>

3.3 Positive Linear Functionals

definition *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* :: 'a topology \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow 'b :: {ring, order, topological-space}) \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow bool

where *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* X $\varphi \equiv$

($\forall f$. *continuous-map X euclidean f* \longrightarrow *f has-compact-support-on X*
 $\longrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \geq 0) \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \geq 0$) \wedge
($\forall f$ a. *continuous-map X euclidean f* \longrightarrow *f has-compact-support-on X*
 $\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. a * f x) = a * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$) \wedge
($\forall f$ g. *continuous-map X euclidean f* \longrightarrow *f has-compact-support-on X*
 \longrightarrow *continuous-map X euclidean g* \longrightarrow *g has-compact-support-on X*
 $\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$)

lemma

assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* X φ

shows *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos*:

$\wedge f$. *continuous-map X euclidean f* \Longrightarrow *f has-compact-support-on X*
 $\Longrightarrow (\wedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \Longrightarrow f x \geq 0) \Longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \geq 0$

and *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin*:

$\wedge f$ a. *continuous-map X euclidean f* \Longrightarrow *f has-compact-support-on X*
 $\Longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. a * f x) = a * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$

$\wedge f$ g. *continuous-map X euclidean f* \Longrightarrow *f has-compact-support-on X*
 \Longrightarrow *continuous-map X euclidean g* \Longrightarrow *g has-compact-support-on X*
 $\Longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$

($\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x$)

<proof>

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos-complex*:

assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* X φ

shows *continuous-map X euclidean f* \Longrightarrow *f has-compact-support-on X*

$\Longrightarrow (\wedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \Longrightarrow \text{Re } (f x) \geq 0) \Longrightarrow (\wedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \Longrightarrow f x \in \mathbb{R})$

$\Longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \geq 0$

<proof>

lemma *positive-linear-functional-on-CX-compact*:

assumes *compact-space X*

shows *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* X $\varphi \longleftrightarrow$

($\forall f$. *continuous-map X euclidean f* $\longrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \geq 0) \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \geq 0$) \wedge

($\forall f$ a. *continuous-map X euclidean f* $\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. a * f x) = a * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$) \wedge

($\forall f$ g. *continuous-map X euclidean f* \longrightarrow *continuous-map X euclidean g*
 $\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$)

<proof>

lemma

assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* X φ *compact-space X*

shows *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-compact-pos*:
 $\bigwedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f$
 $\implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \geq 0) \implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \geq 0$
and *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-compact-lin*:
 $\bigwedge f a. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f$
 $\implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. a * f x) = a * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$
 $\bigwedge f g. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \implies \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g$
 $\implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$
<proof>

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-diff*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \varphi$
and *cont:continuous-map* $X \text{ euclidean } f \text{ continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g$
and *csupp: f has-compact-support-on X g has-compact-support-on X*
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x - g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) - \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$
<proof>

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-compact-diff*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \varphi$ *compact-space* X
and *continuous-map* $X \text{ euclidean } f \text{ continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g$
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x - g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) - \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$
<proof>

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-mono*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1, ordered-ab-group-add}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \varphi$
and *mono:* $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \leq g x$
and *cont:continuous-map* $X \text{ euclidean } f \text{ continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g$
and *csupp: f has-compact-support-on X g has-compact-support-on X*
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \leq \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$
<proof>

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-compact-mono*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1, ordered-ab-group-add}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \varphi$ *compact-space* X
and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \leq g x$
and *continuous-map* $X \text{ euclidean } f \text{ continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g$
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \leq \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$
<proof>

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-zero*:
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \varphi$
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 0) = 0$
<proof>

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-uminus*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$
and *continuous-map* X *euclidean* f
and *csupp*: f *has-compact-support-on* X
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. - f x) = - \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-compact-uminus*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$ *compact-space* X
and *continuous-map* X *euclidean* f
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. - f x) = - \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-sum*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$
and *finite* $I \ \wedge i. i \in I \implies$ *continuous-map* X *euclidean* $(f i)$
and $\wedge i. i \in I \implies$ $f i$ *has-compact-support-on* X
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. (\sum i \in I. f i x)) = (\sum i \in I. \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f i x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos-is-real*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$
and *continuous-map* X *euclidean* f f *has-compact-support-on* X
and $\wedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \in \mathbb{R}$
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \in \mathbb{R}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

fixes φX
defines $\varphi' \equiv (\lambda f. \text{Re } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x))))$
assumes *plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$
shows *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-complex-decompose*:
 $\wedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
 $\implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$
 $= \text{complex-of-real } (\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Re } (f x))) + i * \text{complex-of-real } (\varphi'$
 $(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Im } (f x)))$
and *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-complex-decompose-plf*:
positive-linear-functional-on-CX $X \ \varphi'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.4 Lemmas for Uniqueness

lemma *rep-measures-real-unique*:

assumes *locally-compact-space* X *Hausdorff-space* X

assumes N : subalgebra N (borel-of X)
 $\bigwedge f$. continuous-map X euclideanreal $f \implies f$ has-compact-support-on $X \implies$
 integrable $N f$
 $\bigwedge A$. $A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}.$
 $\text{emeasure } N C)$
 $\bigwedge A$. $\text{openin } X A \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq$
 $A\}. \text{emeasure } N K)$
 $\bigwedge A$. $A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K.$
 $\text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K)$
 $\bigwedge K$. $\text{compactin } X K \implies N K < \infty$
assumes M : subalgebra M (borel-of X)
 $\bigwedge f$. continuous-map X euclideanreal $f \implies f$ has-compact-support-on $X \implies$
 integrable $M f$
 $\bigwedge A$. $A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}.$
 $\text{emeasure } M C)$
 $\bigwedge A$. $\text{openin } X A \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq$
 $A\}. \text{emeasure } M K)$
 $\bigwedge A$. $A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } M A < \infty \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K.$
 $\text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } M K)$
 $\bigwedge K$. $\text{compactin } X K \implies M K < \infty$
and sets-eq: sets $N =$ sets M
and integ-eq: $\bigwedge f$. continuous-map X euclideanreal $f \implies f$ has-compact-support-on
 $X \implies (\int x. f x \partial N) = (\int x. f x \partial M)$
shows $N = M$
 <proof>

lemma rep-measures-complex-unique:

fixes X :: 'a topology
assumes locally-compact-space X Hausdorff-space X
assumes N : subalgebra N (borel-of X)
 $\bigwedge f$. continuous-map X euclidean $f \implies f$ has-compact-support-on $X \implies$ com-
 plex-integrable $N f$
 $\bigwedge A$. $A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}.$
 $\text{emeasure } N C)$
 $\bigwedge A$. $\text{openin } X A \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq$
 $A\}. \text{emeasure } N K)$
 $\bigwedge A$. $A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K.$
 $\text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K)$
 $\bigwedge K$. $\text{compactin } X K \implies N K < \infty$
assumes M : subalgebra M (borel-of X)
 $\bigwedge f$. continuous-map X euclidean $f \implies f$ has-compact-support-on $X \implies$
 complex-integrable $M f$
 $\bigwedge A$. $A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}.$
 $\text{emeasure } M C)$
 $\bigwedge A$. $\text{openin } X A \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq$
 $A\}. \text{emeasure } M K)$
 $\bigwedge A$. $A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } M A < \infty \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K.$
 $\text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } M K)$
 $\bigwedge K$. $\text{compactin } X K \implies M K < \infty$

and sets-eq: $sets\ N = sets\ M$
and integ-eq: $\bigwedge f :: 'a \Rightarrow complex. continuous-map\ X\ euclidean\ f \Longrightarrow f\ has-compact-support-on\ X$
 $\Longrightarrow (\int x. f\ x\ \partial N) = (\int x. f\ x\ \partial M)$
shows $N = M$
 <proof>

lemma finite-tight-measure-eq:

assumes $locally-compact-space\ X\ metrizable-space\ X\ tight-on\ X\ N\ tight-on\ X\ M$
and integ-eq: $\bigwedge f. continuous-map\ X\ euclideanreal\ f \Longrightarrow f \in\ topspace\ X \rightarrow \{0..1\} \Longrightarrow (\int x. f\ x\ \partial N) = (\int x. f\ x\ \partial M)$
shows $N = M$
 <proof>

3.5 Riesz Representation Theorem for Real Numbers

theorem Riesz-representation-real-complete:

fixes $X :: 'a\ topology$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow real$
assumes $lh:locally-compact-space\ X\ Hausdorff-space\ X$
and $plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX\ X\ \varphi$
shows $\exists M. \exists !N. sets\ N = M \wedge subalgebra\ N\ (borel-of\ X)$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in sets\ N. emeasure\ N\ A = (\prod C \in \{C. openin\ X\ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. emeasure\ N\ C))$
 $\wedge (\forall A. openin\ X\ A \longrightarrow emeasure\ N\ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. compactin\ X\ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. emeasure\ N\ K))$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in sets\ N. emeasure\ N\ A < \infty \longrightarrow emeasure\ N\ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. compactin\ X\ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. emeasure\ N\ K))$
 $\wedge (\forall K. compactin\ X\ K \longrightarrow emeasure\ N\ K < \infty)$
 $\wedge (\forall f. continuous-map\ X\ euclideanreal\ f \longrightarrow f\ has-compact-support-on\ X \longrightarrow \varphi\ (\lambda x \in topspace\ X. f\ x) = (\int x. f\ x\ \partial N))$
 $\wedge (\forall f. continuous-map\ X\ euclideanreal\ f \longrightarrow f\ has-compact-support-on\ X \longrightarrow integrable\ N\ f)$
 $\wedge complete-measure\ N$
 <proof>

3.6 Riesz Representation Theorem for Complex Numbers

theorem Riesz-representation-complex-complete:

fixes $X :: 'a\ topology$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow complex) \Rightarrow complex$
assumes $lh:locally-compact-space\ X\ Hausdorff-space\ X$
and $plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX\ X\ \varphi$
shows $\exists M. \exists !N. sets\ N = M \wedge subalgebra\ N\ (borel-of\ X)$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in sets\ N. emeasure\ N\ A = (\prod C \in \{C. openin\ X\ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. emeasure\ N\ C))$
 $\wedge (\forall A. openin\ X\ A \longrightarrow emeasure\ N\ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. compactin\ X\ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. emeasure\ N\ K))$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in sets\ N. emeasure\ N\ A < \infty \longrightarrow emeasure\ N\ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. compactin\ X\ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. emeasure\ N\ K))$
 $\wedge (\forall K. compactin\ X\ K \longrightarrow emeasure\ N\ K < \infty)$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow$
 $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow$
 $\text{complex-integrable } N f)$
 $\wedge \text{complete-measure } N$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.7 Other Forms of the Theorem

In the case when the representation measure is on X .

theorem *Riesz-representation-real:*

assumes lh :locally-compact-space X Hausdorff-space X

and positive-linear-functional-on- CX X φ

shows $\exists ! N$. sets $N = \text{sets (borel-of } X)$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$

$\wedge (\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$

$\wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \text{integrable } N f)$

⟨proof⟩

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex:*

fixes $X :: 'a$ topology **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{complex}) \Rightarrow \text{complex}$

assumes lh :locally-compact-space X Hausdorff-space X

and positive-linear-functional-on- CX X φ

shows $\exists ! N$. sets $N = \text{sets (borel-of } X)$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$

$\wedge (\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$

$\wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \text{complex-integrable } N f)$

⟨proof⟩

3.7.1 Theorem for Compact Hausdorff Spaces

theorem *Riesz-representation-real-compact-Hausdorff:*

fixes $X :: 'a$ topology **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes *lh:compact-space X Hausdorff-space X*
and *positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ*
shows $\exists!N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{finite-measure } N$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$
 $\wedge (\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \text{integrable } N f)$
<proof>

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex-compact-Hausdorff:*
fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{complex}) \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
assumes *lh:compact-space X Hausdorff-space X*
and *positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ*
shows $\exists!N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{finite-measure } N$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$
 $\wedge (\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \text{complex-integrable } N f)$
<proof>

3.7.2 Theorem for Compact Metrizable Spaces

theorem *Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable:*
fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes *lh:compact-space X metrizable-space X*
and *plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ*
shows $\exists!N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{finite-measure } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
<proof>

theorem *Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable-le1:*
fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes *lh:compact-space X metrizable-space X*
and *plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ*
shows $\exists!N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{finite-measure } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\})$

$\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N)$
 <proof>

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex-compact-metrizable:*

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{complex}) \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
assumes $lh: \text{compact-space } X \text{ metrizable-space } X$
and $plf: \text{positive-linear-functional-on-CX } X \varphi$
shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge \text{finite-measure } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 <proof>

theorem *Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable-subprob:*

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $lh: \text{compact-space } X \text{ metrizable-space } X$
and $plf: \text{positive-linear-functional-on-CX } X \varphi$
and $le1: \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 1) \leq 1$ **and** $ne: X \neq \text{trivial-topology}$
shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge \text{subprob-space } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 <proof>

theorem *Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable-subprob-le1:*

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $lh: \text{compact-space } X \text{ metrizable-space } X$
and $plf: \text{positive-linear-functional-on-CX } X \varphi$
and $le1: \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 1) \leq 1$ **and** $ne: X \neq \text{trivial-topology}$
shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge \text{subprob-space } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\})$
 $\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N)$
 <proof>

theorem *Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable-prob:*

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $lh: \text{compact-space } X \text{ metrizable-space } X$
and $plf: \text{positive-linear-functional-on-CX } X \varphi$
and $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 1) = 1$
shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge \text{prob-space } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 <proof>

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex-compact-metrizable-subprob:*

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{complex}) \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
assumes $lh: \text{compact-space } X \text{ metrizable-space } X$
and $plf: \text{positive-linear-functional-on-CX } X \varphi$
and $le1: \text{Re } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 1)) \leq 1$ **and** $ne: X \neq \text{trivial-topology}$
shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge \text{subprob-space } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x.$

$f x \partial N))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex-compact-metrizable-prob:*

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{complex}) \Rightarrow \text{complex}$

assumes $lh: \text{compact-space } X \text{ metrizable-space } X$

and $plf: \text{positive-linear-functional-on-CX } X \varphi$

and $Re (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 1)) = 1$

shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{prob-space } N$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x.$

$f x \partial N))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

References

- [1] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd Ed.* McGraw-Hill, Inc., USA, 1987.
- [2] O. van Gaans. Probability measures on metric spaces. <https://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~vangaans/jancoll.pdf>. Accessed: March 2. 2023.