

Restriction_Spaces: a Fixed-Point Theory

Benoît Ballenghien Benjamin Puyobro Burkhart Wolff

February 6, 2026

Abstract

Fixed-point constructions are fundamental to defining recursive and co-recursive functions. However, a general axiom $Yf = f(Yf)$ leads to inconsistency, and definitions must therefore be based on theories guaranteeing existence under suitable conditions. In `Isabelle/HOL`, such constructions are typically based on sets, well-founded orders or domain-theoretic models such as for example `HOLCF`. In this submission we introduce `Restriction_Spaces`, a formalization of spaces equipped with a so-called restriction, denoted by \downarrow , satisfying three properties:

$$\begin{aligned}x \downarrow 0 &= y \downarrow 0 \\x \downarrow n \downarrow m &= x \downarrow \min n m \\x \neq y &\implies \exists n. x \downarrow n \neq y \downarrow n\end{aligned}$$

They turn out to be cartesian closed and admit natural notions of constructiveness and completeness, enabling the definition of a fixed-point operator under verifiable side-conditions. This is achieved in our entry, from topological definitions to induction principles. Additionally, we configure the simplifier so that it can automatically solve both constructiveness and admissibility subgoals, as long as users write higher-order rules for their operators. Since our implementation relies on axiomatic type classes, the resulting library is a fully abstract, flexible and reusable framework.

Contents

1	Locales factorizing the proof Work	1
1.1	Basic Notions for Restriction	2
1.2	Restriction shift Maps	4
1.2.1	Definition	4
1.2.2	Three particular Cases	6
1.2.3	Properties	10
2	Class Implementation	15
2.1	Preliminaries	15
2.2	Basic Notions for Restriction	16
2.3	Definition of the Fixed-Point Operator	20

2.3.1	Preliminaries	20
2.3.2	Fixed-Point Operator	24
3	Product over Restriction Spaces	26
3.1	Restriction Space	26
3.2	Restriction shift Maps	27
3.2.1	Domain is a Product	27
3.2.2	Codomain is a Product	28
3.3	Limits and Convergence	30
3.4	Completeness	30
3.5	Fixed Point	30
4	Functions towards a Restriction Space	31
4.1	Restriction Space	31
4.2	Restriction shift Maps	31
4.3	Limits and Convergence	33
4.4	Completeness	33
5	Topological Notions	33
5.1	Continuity	34
5.2	Balls	35
5.3	Compactness	42
5.4	Properties for Function and Product	43
6	Induction in Restriction Space	45
6.1	Admissibility	45
6.1.1	Definition	45
6.1.2	Properties	46
6.2	Induction	48
7	Entry Point	49

1 Locales factorizing the proof Work

named-theorems *restriction-shift-simpset*

named-theorems *restriction-shift-introset* — Useful for future automation.

In order to factorize the proof work, we first work with locales and then with classes.

1.1 Basic Notions for Restriction

```

locale Restriction =
  fixes restriction :: ⟨['a, nat] ⇒ 'a⟩ (infixl ⟨↓⟩ 60)
  and relation :: ⟨['a, 'a] ⇒ bool⟩ (infixl ⟨≲⟩ 50)
  assumes restriction-restriction [simp] : ⟨x ↓ n ↓ m = x ↓ min n m⟩
begin

```

abbreviation *restriction-related-set* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat set} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \equiv \{n. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n\} \rangle$

abbreviation *restriction-not-related-set* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat set} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y \equiv \{n. \neg x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n\} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-related-set-Un-restriction-not-related-set* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \cup \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y = \text{UNIV} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *disjoint-restriction-related-set-restriction-not-related-set* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \cap \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y = \{\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\langle \text{bdd-below } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\langle \text{bdd-below } (\text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y) \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *PreorderRestrictionSpace* = *Restriction* +
assumes *restriction-0-related* [*simp*] : $\langle x \downarrow 0 \lesssim y \downarrow 0 \rangle$
and *mono-restriction-related* : $\langle x \lesssim y \implies x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$
and *ex-not-restriction-related* : $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \implies \exists n. \neg x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$
and *related-trans* : $\langle x \lesssim y \implies y \lesssim z \implies x \lesssim z \rangle$
begin

lemma *exists-restriction-related* [*simp*] : $\langle \exists n. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-restriction-related-iff-related* : $\langle (\forall n. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n) \longleftrightarrow x \lesssim y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-related-le* : $\langle x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$ **if** $\langle n \leq m \rangle$ **and** $\langle x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-related-pred* : $\langle x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \implies x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-ge-restriction-related-iff-related* : $\langle (\forall n \geq m. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n) \longleftrightarrow x \lesssim y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-lemma-restriction* : $\langle x \lesssim y \rangle$
if $\langle \bigwedge n. [\bigwedge k. k \leq n \implies x \downarrow k \lesssim y \downarrow k] \implies x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ex-not-restriction-related-optimized* :
 $\langle \exists ! n. \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \wedge (\forall m \leq n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nonempty-restriction-related-set* : $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \neq \{\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-UNIV-restriction-not-related-set* : $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y \neq \text{UNIV} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UNIV-restriction-related-set-iff* : $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y = \text{UNIV} \longleftrightarrow x \lesssim y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *empty-restriction-not-related-set-iff*: $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y = \{\} \longleftrightarrow x \not\lesssim y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-restriction-related-set-iff* :
 $\langle \text{finite } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \longleftrightarrow \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *infinite-restriction-not-related-set-iff* :
 $\langle \text{infinite } (\text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y) \longleftrightarrow \neg x \not\lesssim y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bdd-above-restriction-related-set-iff* :
 $\langle \text{bdd-above } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \longleftrightarrow \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *fixes* $x \ y$ **assumes** $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$ **begin**

lemma *Sup-in-restriction-related-set* :
 $\langle \text{Sup } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \in \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Inf-in-restriction-not-related-set* :

⟨Inf (restriction-not-related-set x y) ∈ restriction-not-related-set x y⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Inf-restriction-not-related-set-eq-Suc-Sup-restriction-related-set*

:
⟨Inf (restriction-not-related-set x y) = Suc (Sup (restriction-related-set x y))⟩
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *restriction-related-set-is-atMost* :

⟨restriction-related-set x y =
(if x \lesssim y then UNIV else {..Sup (restriction-related-set x y)})⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-not-related-set-is-atLeast* :

⟨restriction-not-related-set x y =
(if x \lesssim y then {} else {Inf (restriction-not-related-set x y)..})⟩
⟨proof⟩

end

1.2 Restriction shift Maps

locale *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace* =

R1 : *Restriction* ⟨(↓₁)⟩ ⟨(≲₁)⟩ +
PRS2 : *PreorderRestrictionSpace* ⟨(↓₂)⟩ ⟨(≲₂)⟩
for *restriction*₁ :: ⟨'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a⟩ (**infixl** ⟨↓₁⟩ 60)
 and *relation*₁ :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool⟩ (**infixl** ⟨≲₁⟩ 50)
 and *restriction*₂ :: ⟨'b ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b⟩ (**infixl** ⟨↓₂⟩ 60)
 and *relation*₂ :: ⟨'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool⟩ (**infixl** ⟨≲₂⟩ 50)

begin

1.2.1 Definition

This notion is a generalization of constructive map and non-destructive map.

definition *restriction-shift-on* :: ⟨['a ⇒ 'b, int, 'a set] ⇒ bool⟩

where ⟨*restriction-shift-on* f k A ≡
 ∀ x ∈ A. ∀ y ∈ A. ∀ n. x ↓₁ n ≲₁ y ↓₁ n ⟶ f x ↓₂ nat (int n +
k) ≲₂ f y ↓₂ nat (int n + k)⟩

definition *restriction-shift* :: ⟨['a ⇒ 'b, int] ⇒ bool⟩

where $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ \text{UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-onI* :

$\langle (\bigwedge x \ y \ n. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \neg f \ x \lesssim_2 f \ y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int \ n + k) \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int \ n + k)) \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-shiftI* :

$\langle (\bigwedge x \ y \ n. \llbracket \neg f \ x \lesssim_2 f \ y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int \ n + k) \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int \ n + k)) \implies \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-onD* :

$\langle \llbracket \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A; x \in A; y \in A; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int \ n + k) \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int \ n + k) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shiftD* :

$\langle \llbracket \text{restriction-shift } f \ k; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f \ x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int \ n + k) \lesssim_2$
 $f \ y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int \ n + k) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-on-subset* :

$\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-imp-restriction-shift-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-on-imp-restriction-shift-on-le* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ l \ A \ \mathbf{if} \ l \leq k \ \mathbf{and} \ \langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-shift-imp-restriction-shift-le* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:
 $\langle l \leq k \implies \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \implies \text{restriction-shift } f \ l \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-on-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } (f x) k A;$
 $\bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } (g x) k A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) k A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-shift-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{restriction-shift } (f x) k;$
 $\bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{restriction-shift } (g x) k \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{restriction-shift } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) k \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.2.2 Three particular Cases

The shift is most often equal to 0, 1 or -1 . We provide extra support in these three cases.

Non-too-destructive Map **definition** *non-too-destructive-on* ::

$\langle ['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f (-1) A \rangle$

definition *non-too-destructive* :: $\langle ['a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$

where $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \equiv \text{non-too-destructive-on } f \text{ UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructive-onI* :

$\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A \rangle$
if $\langle \bigwedge n x y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket$
 $\implies f x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructiveI* :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge n x y. \llbracket \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2$
 $f y \downarrow_2 n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{non-too-destructive } f \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructive-onD* :

$\langle \llbracket \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket$
 $\implies f x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructiveD* :

$\langle \llbracket \text{non-too-destructive } f; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2$
 $f y \downarrow_2 n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructive-on-subset* :
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f \ B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } f \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
: $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } f \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-too-destructive-on-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset*,
restriction-shift-introset]:
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P \ x \implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } (f \ x) \ A; \bigwedge x. \neg P \ x \implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } (g \ x) \ A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda y. \text{if } P \ x \ \text{then } f \ x \ y \ \text{else } g \ x \ y) \ A \rangle$
and *non-too-destructive-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P \ x \implies \text{non-too-destructive } (f \ x); \bigwedge x. \neg P \ x \implies \text{non-too-destructive } (g \ x) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda y. \text{if } P \ x \ \text{then } f \ x \ y \ \text{else } g \ x \ y) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Non-destructive Map definition *non-destructive-on* :: $\langle ['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ 0 \ A \rangle$

definition *non-destructive* :: $\langle ['a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \equiv \text{non-destructive-on } f \ \text{UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructive-onI* :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge n \ x \ y. \llbracket n \neq 0; x \in A; y \in A; \neg f \ x \lesssim_2 f \ y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \ x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructiveI* :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge n \ x \ y. \llbracket n \neq 0; \neg f \ x \lesssim_2 f \ y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f \ x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{non-destructive } f \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructive-onD* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A; x \in A; y \in A; \neg f \ x \lesssim_2 f \ y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f \ x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 n \rrbracket$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructiveD* : $\langle \llbracket \text{non-destructive } f; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f \ x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 n \rrbracket$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *non-destructive-on-subset* :

⟨*non-destructive-on* $f B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{non-destructive-on } f A$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *non-destructive-imp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

⟨*non-destructive* $f \implies \text{non-destructive-on } f A$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *non-destructive-on-imp-non-too-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

⟨*non-destructive-on* $f A \implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

corollary *non-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

⟨*non-destructive* $f \implies \text{non-too-destructive } f$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

corollary *non-destructive-on-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

⟨ $\llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{non-destructive-on } (f x) A; \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{non-destructive-on } (g x) A \rrbracket$ ⟩

$\implies \text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) A$ ⟩

and *non-destructive-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

⟨ $\llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{non-destructive } (f x); \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{non-destructive } (g x) \rrbracket$ ⟩

$\implies \text{non-destructive } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

Constructive Map **definition** *constructive-on* :: ⟨ $'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set}$ ⟩

$\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ⟩

where ⟨*constructive-on* $f A \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f 1 A$ ⟩

definition *constructive* :: ⟨ $'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ⟩

where ⟨*constructive* $f \equiv \text{constructive-on } f \text{ UNIV}$ ⟩

lemma *constructive-onI* :

⟨ $\llbracket \bigwedge n x y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket$ ⟩

$\implies \text{constructive-on } f A$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *constructiveI* :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge n x y. [\neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n] \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies \text{constructive } f \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-onD* :

$\langle \llbracket \text{constructive-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructiveD* : $\langle \llbracket \text{constructive } f; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-on-subset* :

$\langle \text{constructive-on } f B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{constructive-on } f A \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-imp-constructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :

$\langle \text{constructive } f \implies \text{constructive-on } f A \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-on-imp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

$\langle \text{constructive-on } f A \implies \text{non-destructive-on } f A \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *constructive-imp-non-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

$\langle \text{constructive } f \implies \text{non-destructive } f \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *constructive-on-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{constructive-on } (f x) A; \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{constructive-on } (g x) A \rrbracket \implies \text{constructive-on } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) A \rangle$

and *constructive-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*]

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{constructive } (f x); \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{constructive } (g x) \rrbracket \implies \text{constructive } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

1.2.3 Properties

locale *PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace* =
PRS1 : *PreorderRestrictionSpace* $\langle \downarrow_1 \rangle \langle \lesssim_1 \rangle +$
PRS2 : *PreorderRestrictionSpace* $\langle \downarrow_2 \rangle \langle \lesssim_2 \rangle$
for *restriction₁* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow_1 \rangle$ 60)
and *relation₁* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \lesssim_1 \rangle$ 50)
and *restriction₂* :: $\langle 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow_2 \rangle$ 60)
and *relation₂* :: $\langle 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \lesssim_2 \rangle$ 50)
begin

sublocale *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-on-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle \langle x \downarrow_1 n \in A \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle \langle x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \rangle$
 n
— the last assumption is trivial if (\lesssim_1) is reflexive
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-shift-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f k \rangle$ **and** $\langle x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *constructive-on-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{constructive-on } f A; x \downarrow_1 n \in A; x \in A; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket$
 $\implies f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *constructive-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \implies x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \implies f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f$
 $x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-on-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{non-destructive-on } f A; x \downarrow_1 n \in A; x \in A; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket$
 $\implies f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \implies x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \implies f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f x$
 $\downarrow_2 n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-too-destructive-on-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \in A; x \in A; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1$

$x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \Downarrow$
 $\implies f (x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n) \downarrow_2 n \approx_2 f x \downarrow_2 n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-too-destructive-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \implies x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \approx_1 x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \implies f (x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n) \downarrow_2 n \approx_2 f x \downarrow_2 n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*

$=$
 $R2PRS1 : \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace } \langle (\downarrow_1) \rangle \langle (\approx_1) \rangle \langle (\downarrow_2) \rangle$
 $\langle (\approx_2) \rangle +$
 $PRS2 : \text{PreorderRestrictionSpace } \langle (\downarrow_3) \rangle \langle (\approx_3) \rangle$
for $\text{restriction}_1 :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow_1 \rangle$ 60)
and $\text{relation}_1 :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \approx_1 \rangle$ 50)
and $\text{restriction}_2 :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow_2 \rangle$ 60)
and $\text{relation}_2 :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \approx_2 \rangle$ 50)
and $\text{restriction}_3 :: \langle 'c \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'c \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow_3 \rangle$ 60)
and $\text{relation}_3 :: \langle 'c \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \approx_3 \rangle$ 50)

begin

interpretation $R2PRS2 : \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace } \langle (\downarrow_1) \rangle$
 $\langle (\approx_1) \rangle \langle (\downarrow_3) \rangle \langle (\approx_3) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation $PRS2PRS3 : \text{PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace}$
 $\langle (\downarrow_2) \rangle \langle (\approx_2) \rangle \langle (\downarrow_3) \rangle \langle (\approx_3) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

$\langle R2PRS2.\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) (k + l) A \rangle$
if $\langle f ' A \subseteq B \rangle \langle PRS2PRS3.\text{restriction-shift-on } g l B \rangle \langle R2PRS1.\text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-shift-comp-restriction-shift-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

$\langle PRS2PRS3.\text{restriction-shift } g l \implies R2PRS1.\text{restriction-shift-on } f k$
 $A \implies$
 $R2PRS2.\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) (k + l) A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-shift-comp-restriction-shift* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

$\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.restriction-shift } g \ l \implies \text{R2PRS1.restriction-shift } f \ k \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.restriction-shift } (\lambda x. g \ (f \ x)) \ (k + l) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

$\langle \llbracket f \ ' \ A \subseteq B; \text{PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-on } g \ B; \text{R2PRS1.non-destructive-on}$
 $f \ A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. g \ (f \ x)) \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

$\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.non-destructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.non-destructive-on } f \ A$
 \implies
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. g \ (f \ x)) \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-comp-non-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

$\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.non-destructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.non-destructive } f \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-destructive } (\lambda x. g \ (f \ x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *constructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

$\langle \llbracket f \ ' \ A \subseteq B; \text{PRS2PRS3.constructive-on } g \ B; \text{R2PRS1.non-destructive-on}$
 $f \ A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.constructive-on } (\lambda x. g \ (f \ x)) \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *constructive-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

$\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.constructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.non-destructive-on } f \ A \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.constructive-on } (\lambda x. g \ (f \ x)) \ A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *constructive-comp-non-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

$\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.constructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.non-destructive } f \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.constructive } (\lambda x. g \ (f \ x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-on-comp-constructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \llbracket f \text{ ' } A \subseteq B; \text{PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-on } g \text{ B; R2PRS1.constructive-on } f \text{ A} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.constructive-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ A} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-comp-constructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.non-destructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.constructive-on } f \text{ A} \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.constructive-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ A} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-comp-constructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.non-destructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.constructive } f \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.constructive } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-too-destructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \llbracket f \text{ ' } A \subseteq B; \text{PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-on } g \text{ B; R2PRS1.non-destructive-on } f \text{ A} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ A} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-too-destructive-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.non-destructive-on } f$
 $\text{A} \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ A} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-too-destructive-comp-non-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.non-destructive } f$
 \implies
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-on-comp-non-too-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \llbracket f \text{ ' } A \subseteq B; \text{PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-on } g \text{ B; R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on } f \text{ A} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ A} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-comp-non-too-destructive-on* [restriction-shift-simpset]

:
⟨*PRS2PRS3.non-destructive* $g \implies$ *R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on* f
 $A \implies$
R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-on $(\lambda x. g (f x)) A$ ⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *non-destructive-comp-non-too-destructive* [restriction-shift-simpset]

:
⟨*PRS2PRS3.non-destructive* $g \implies$ *R2PRS1.non-too-destructive* f
 \implies
R2PRS2.non-too-destructive $(\lambda x. g (f x))$ ⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *non-too-destructive-on-comp-constructive-on* [restriction-shift-simpset]

:
⟨ $\llbracket f \text{ ' } A \subseteq B; \textit{PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-on } g B; \textit{R2PRS1.constructive-on } f A \rrbracket \implies$
R2PRS2.non-destructive-on $(\lambda x. g (f x)) A$ ⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *non-too-destructive-comp-constructive-on* [restriction-shift-simpset]

:
⟨*PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive* $g \implies$ *R2PRS1.constructive-on* $f A$
 \implies
R2PRS2.non-destructive-on $(\lambda x. g (f x)) A$ ⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *non-too-destructive-comp-constructive* [restriction-shift-simpset]

:
⟨*PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive* $g \implies$ *R2PRS1.constructive* $f \implies$
R2PRS2.non-destructive $(\lambda x. g (f x))$ ⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *constructive-on-comp-non-too-destructive-on* [restriction-shift-simpset]

:
⟨ $\llbracket f \text{ ' } A \subseteq B; \textit{PRS2PRS3.constructive-on } g B; \textit{R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on } f A \rrbracket \implies$
R2PRS2.non-destructive-on $(\lambda x. g (f x)) A$ ⟩
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *constructive-comp-non-too-destructive-on* [restriction-shift-simpset]

:
⟨*PRS2PRS3.constructive* $g \implies$ *R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on* $f A$
 \implies
R2PRS2.non-destructive-on $(\lambda x. g (f x)) A$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

corollary *constructive-comp-non-too-destructive* [restriction-shift-simpset]

:

⟨*PRS2PRS3.constructive* $g \implies R2PRS1.non-too-destructive\ f \implies$
R2PRS2.non-destructive $(\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

end

2 Class Implementation

2.1 Preliminaries

Small lemma from `HOL-Library.Infinite_Set` to avoid dependency.

lemma *INFM-nat-le*: ⟨ $(\exists_{\infty} n :: nat. P\ n) \longleftrightarrow (\forall m. \exists n \geq m. P\ n)$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

We need to be able to extract a subsequence verifying a predicate.

fun *extraction-subseq* :: ⟨ $[nat \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow bool] \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ ⟩
 where ⟨*extraction-subseq* $\sigma\ P\ 0 = (LEAST\ k. P\ (\sigma\ k))$ ⟩
 | ⟨*extraction-subseq* $\sigma\ P\ (Suc\ n) = (LEAST\ k. extraction-subseq\ \sigma\ P\ n < k \wedge P\ (\sigma\ k))$ ⟩

lemma *exists-extraction-subseq* :

assumes ⟨ $\exists_{\infty} k. P\ (\sigma\ k)$ ⟩

defines *f-def* : ⟨ $f \equiv extraction-subseq\ \sigma\ P$ ⟩

shows ⟨*strict-mono* f ⟩ **and** ⟨ $P\ (\sigma\ (f\ k))$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *extraction-subseqD* :

 ⟨ $\exists f :: nat \Rightarrow nat. strict-mono\ f \wedge (\forall k. P\ (\sigma\ (f\ k)))$ ⟩ **if** ⟨ $\exists_{\infty} k. P\ (\sigma\ k)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *extraction-subseqE* :

 — The idea is to abstract the concrete construction of this extraction function, we only need the fact that there is one.

$\langle \exists_{\infty} k. P(\sigma k) \implies (\bigwedge f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat. strict-mono } f \implies (\bigwedge k. P(\sigma (f k))) \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Basic Notions for Restriction

```

class restriction =
  fixes restriction :: ⟨[a, nat] ⇒ a⟩ (infixl ⟨↓⟩ 60)
  assumes [simp] : ⟨x ↓ n ↓ m = x ↓ min n m⟩
begin

```

```

sublocale Restriction ⟨(↓)⟩ ⟨(=)⟩ ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

class restriction-space = restriction +
  assumes [simp] : ⟨x ↓ 0 = y ↓ 0⟩
  and ex-not-restriction-eq : ⟨x ≠ y ⟹ ∃ n. x ↓ n ≠ y ↓ n⟩
begin

```

```

sublocale PreorderRestrictionSpace ⟨(↓)⟩ ⟨(=)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma restriction-related-set-commute :
  ⟨restriction-related-set x y = restriction-related-set y x⟩ ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma restriction-not-related-set-commute :
  ⟨restriction-not-related-set x y = restriction-not-related-set y x⟩ ⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

context restriction-space begin

```

```

sublocale Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
  ⟨(↓) :: 'b :: restriction ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b⟩ ⟨(=)⟩
  ⟨(↓) :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a⟩ ⟨(=)⟩ ⟨proof⟩

```

With this we recover constants like *local.restriction-shift-on*.

```

sublocale PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
  ⟨(↓) :: 'b :: restriction-space ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b⟩ ⟨(=)⟩
  ⟨(↓) :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a⟩ ⟨(=)⟩ ⟨proof⟩

```

With that we recover theorems like $\llbracket \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive} \llbracket (\downarrow) (=) (\downarrow) (=) ?f; ?x \downarrow ?n = ?x \downarrow ?n \rrbracket \implies ?f (?x \downarrow ?n) \downarrow \text{Suc } ?n = ?f ?x \downarrow \text{Suc } ?n$.

```

sublocale Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
  ⟨(↓) :: 'c :: restriction ⇒ nat ⇒ 'c⟩ ⟨(=)⟩
  ⟨(↓) :: 'b :: restriction-space ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b⟩ ⟨(=)⟩

```

$\langle (\downarrow) :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \langle (=) \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

And with that we recover theorems like $\llbracket ?f ' ?A \subseteq ?B; \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on } (\downarrow) (=) (\downarrow) (=) ?g ?B; \text{R2PRS1.non-destructive-on } ?f ?A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on } (\downarrow) (=) (\downarrow) (=) (\lambda x. ?g (?f x)) ?A$.

lemma *restriction-shift-const* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. c) k \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-const* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda x. c) \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *restriction-shift-on-restricted* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) k A \rangle \text{ if } \langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-restricted* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f k \Longrightarrow \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) k \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *constructive-restricted* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \Longrightarrow \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-destructive-restricted* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \Longrightarrow \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructive-id* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{non-destructive id} \rangle \langle \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. x) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *less-eqRS* : *Restriction* $\langle (\downarrow) \rangle \langle (\leq) \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

class *preorder-restriction-space* = *restriction* + *preorder* +
assumes *restriction-0-less-eq* [*simp*] : $\langle x \downarrow 0 \leq y \downarrow 0 \rangle$
and *mono-restriction-less-eq* : $\langle x \leq y \Longrightarrow x \downarrow n \leq y \downarrow n \rangle$
and *ex-not-restriction-less-eq* : $\langle \neg x \leq y \Longrightarrow \exists n. \neg x \downarrow n \leq y \downarrow n \rangle$
 $n \rangle$
begin

```

sublocale less-eqRS : PreorderRestrictionSpace  $\langle \downarrow \rangle$  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$ 
'a  $\langle (\leq) \rangle$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

```

class order-restriction-space = preorder-restriction-space + order
begin

```

```

subclass restriction-space
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

```

context preorder-restriction-space begin

```

```

sublocale less-eqRS : Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
 $\langle \downarrow \rangle$  :: 'b :: {restriction, ord}  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\langle (\leq) \rangle$ 
 $\langle \downarrow \rangle$  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\langle (\leq) \rangle$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

With this we recover constants like *local.less-eqRS.restriction-shift-on*.

```

sublocale less-eqRS : PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
 $\langle \downarrow \rangle$  :: 'b :: preorder-restriction-space  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\langle (\leq) \rangle$ 
 $\langle \downarrow \rangle$  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\langle (\leq) \rangle$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

With that we recover theorems like $\llbracket \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive} \langle \downarrow \rangle (\leq) \langle \downarrow \rangle (\leq) ?f; ?x \downarrow ?n \leq ?x \downarrow ?n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?f (?x \downarrow ?n) \downarrow \text{Suc} ?n \leq ?f ?x \downarrow \text{Suc} ?n$.

```

sublocale less-eqRS : Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
 $\langle \downarrow \rangle$  :: 'c :: restriction  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\langle (=) \rangle$ 
 $\langle \downarrow \rangle$  :: 'b :: preorder-restriction-space  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\langle (\leq) \rangle$ 
 $\langle \downarrow \rangle$  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\langle (\leq) \rangle$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

And with that we recover theorems like $\llbracket ?f ' ?A \subseteq ?B; \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on} \langle \downarrow \rangle (\leq) \langle \downarrow \rangle (\leq) ?g ?B; \text{local.less-eqRS.R2PRS1.non-destructive-on} ?f ?A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on} \langle \downarrow \rangle (=) \langle \downarrow \rangle (\leq) (\lambda x. ?g (?f x)) ?A$.

end

```

context order-restriction-space begin

```

From $\llbracket ?x \leq ?y; ?y \leq ?x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?x = ?y$ we can obtain stronger lemmas.

corollary *order-restriction-shift-onI* :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \implies \\ &\quad f x \downarrow \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \leq f y \downarrow \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k)) \implies \\ &\quad \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

corollary *order-restriction-shiftI* :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \implies \\ &\quad f x \downarrow \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \leq f y \downarrow \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k)) \implies \\ &\quad \text{restriction-shift } f k \rangle \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

corollary *order-non-too-destructive-onI* :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; f x \neq f y; x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies \\ &\quad f x \downarrow n \leq f y \downarrow n) \implies \\ &\quad \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A \rangle \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

corollary *order-non-too-destructiveI* :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket f x \neq f y; x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow n \leq f y \downarrow n) \implies \\ &\quad \text{non-too-destructive } f \rangle \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

corollary *order-non-destructive-onI* :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket n \neq 0; x \in A; y \in A; f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow \\ &\quad n \leq f y \downarrow n) \implies \\ &\quad \text{non-destructive-on } f A \rangle \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

corollary *order-non-destructiveI* :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket n \neq 0; f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow n \leq f y \downarrow n) \implies \\ &\quad \text{non-destructive } f \rangle \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

corollary *order-constructive-onI* :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \\ &\quad \leq f y \downarrow \text{Suc } n) \implies \\ &\quad \text{constructive-on } f A \rangle \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

corollary *order-constructiveI* :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \leq f y \downarrow \text{Suc } n) \implies \\ &\quad \text{constructive } f \rangle \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

end

2.3 Definition of the Fixed-Point Operator

2.3.1 Preliminaries

Chain context *restriction* begin

definition *restriction-chain* :: $\langle [nat \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$ ($\langle chain_{\downarrow} \rangle$)
where $\langle restriction-chain \ \sigma \equiv \forall n. \ \sigma \ (Suc \ n) \ \downarrow \ n = \sigma \ n \rangle$

lemma *restriction-chainI* : $\langle (\bigwedge n. \ \sigma \ (Suc \ n) \ \downarrow \ n = \sigma \ n) \implies restriction-chain \ \sigma \rangle$
and *restriction-chainD* : $\langle restriction-chain \ \sigma \implies \sigma \ (Suc \ n) \ \downarrow \ n = \sigma \ n \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *restriction-space* begin

lemma (in *restriction-space*) *restriction-chain-def-bis*:
 $\langle restriction-chain \ \sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall n \ m. \ n < m \longrightarrow \sigma \ m \ \downarrow \ n = \sigma \ n) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restricted-restriction-chain-is* :
 $\langle restriction-chain \ \sigma \implies (\lambda n. \ \sigma \ n \ \downarrow \ n) = \sigma \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-chain-def-ter*:
 $\langle restriction-chain \ \sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall n \ m. \ n \leq m \longrightarrow \sigma \ m \ \downarrow \ n = \sigma \ n) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-chain-restrictions* : $\langle restriction-chain \ ((\downarrow) \ x) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

Iterations The sequence of restricted images of powers of a constructive function is a $chain_{\downarrow}$.

context **fixes** $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: restriction-space \rangle$ begin

lemma *restriction-chain-funpow-restricted* [*simp*]:
 $\langle restriction-chain \ (\lambda n. \ (f \ \frown \ n) \ x \ \downarrow \ n) \ \text{if} \ \langle constructive \ f \rangle \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *constructive-imp-eq-funpow-restricted* :
 $\langle n \leq k \implies n \leq l \implies (f \ \frown \ k) \ x \ \downarrow \ n = (f \ \frown \ l) \ y \ \downarrow \ n \ \text{if} \ \langle constructive \ f \rangle \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

Limits and Convergence *context restriction begin*

definition *restriction-tendsto* :: $\langle [nat \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool \rangle (\langle ((-)/ - \downarrow \rightarrow (-)) \rangle [59, 59] 59)$

where $\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \equiv \forall n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendstoI* : $\langle (\bigwedge n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n) \implies \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendstoD* : $\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendstoE* :

$\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge n0. (\bigwedge k. n0 \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n) \implies thesis) \implies thesis \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma (*in restriction-space*) *restriction-tendsto-unique* :

$\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma' \implies \Sigma = \Sigma' \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context restriction begin

lemma *restriction-tendsto-const-restricted* :

$\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow k) - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \downarrow k \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendsto-iff-eventually-in-restriction-eq-set* :

$\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \iff (\forall n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. n \in \text{restriction-related-set } \Sigma (\sigma k)) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendsto-const* : $\langle (\lambda n. \Sigma) - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (*in restriction-space*) *restriction-tendsto-restrictions* : $\langle (\lambda n. \Sigma \downarrow n) - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendsto-shift-iff* : $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \longleftrightarrow \sigma -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendsto-shiftI* : $\langle \sigma -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendsto-shiftD* : $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies \sigma -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *restriction-space*) *restriction-tendsto-restricted-iff-restriction-tendsto* :
 $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow n) -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \longleftrightarrow \sigma -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendsto-subseq* :
 $\langle (\sigma \circ f) -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$ **and** $\langle \sigma -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *restriction* **begin**

definition *restriction-convergent* :: $\langle (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ ($\langle \text{convergent}_\downarrow \rangle$)
where $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \equiv \exists \Sigma. \sigma -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

lemma *restriction-convergentI* : $\langle \sigma -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-convergentD'* : $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \implies \exists \Sigma. \sigma -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *restriction-space* **begin**

lemma *restriction-convergentD* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \implies \exists ! \Sigma. \sigma -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-convergentE* :
 ⟨*restriction-convergent* $\sigma \implies$
 $(\bigwedge \Sigma. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge \Sigma'. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma' \implies \Sigma' = \Sigma) \implies \textit{thesis}) \implies$
thesis⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-convergent* :
 ⟨*restriction-convergent* $\sigma \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow (THE \Sigma. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$ ⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

context *restriction begin*

lemma *restriction-convergent-const* [*simp*] : ⟨*convergent*_↓ $(\lambda n. \Sigma)$ ⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-convergent-restrictions* [*simp*]
 :
 ⟨*convergent*_↓ $(\lambda n. \Sigma \downarrow n)$ ⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restriction-convergent-shift-iff* :
 ⟨*convergent*_↓ $(\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \iff \textit{convergent}_\downarrow \sigma$ ⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restriction-convergent-shift-shiftI* :
 ⟨*convergent*_↓ $\sigma \implies \textit{convergent}_\downarrow (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l))$ ⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restriction-convergent-shift-shiftD* :
 ⟨*convergent*_↓ $(\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \implies \textit{convergent}_\downarrow \sigma$ ⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-convergent-restricted-iff-restriction-convergent*
 :
 ⟨*convergent*_↓ $(\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow n) \iff \textit{convergent}_\downarrow \sigma$ ⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restriction-convergent-subseq* :
 ⟨*strict-mono* $f \implies \textit{restriction-convergent} \sigma \implies \textit{restriction-convergent}$
 $(\sigma \circ f)$ ⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *restriction-space*)
convergent-restriction-chain-imp-ex1 : $\langle \exists ! \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma \ n \rangle$
and *restriction-tendsto-of-convergent-restriction-chain* : $\langle \sigma \ -\downarrow \rightarrow$
(*THE* $\Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma \ n$) \rangle
if $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.3.2 Fixed-Point Operator

Our definition only makes sense if such a fixed point exists and is unique. We will therefore directly add a completeness assumption, and define the fixed-point operator within this context. It will only be valid when the function f is *constructive*.

class *complete-restriction-space* = *restriction-space* +
assumes *restriction-chain-imp-restriction-convergent* : $\langle \text{chain}_{\downarrow} \sigma \implies \text{convergent}_{\downarrow} \sigma \rangle$

definition (in *complete-restriction-space*)
restriction-fix :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \Rightarrow 'a$
— We will use a syntax rather than a binder to be compatible with the product.
where $\langle \text{restriction-fix } (\lambda x. f \ x) \equiv \text{THE } \Sigma. (\lambda n. (f \ \sim n) \ \text{undefined}) \ -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

syntax *-restriction-fix* :: $\langle [\text{pttrn}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
 $\langle (\langle \langle \text{indent}=3 \ \text{notation}=\langle \text{binder } \text{restriction-fix} \rangle \rangle v \ -./ \ -) \rangle [0, 10] \ 10 \rangle$
syntax-consts *-restriction-fix* \equiv *restriction-fix*
translations $v \ x. f \ \equiv$ *CONST* *restriction-fix* $(\lambda x. f)$
 $\langle \text{ML} \rangle$

context *complete-restriction-space* **begin**

The following result is quite similar to the Banach's fixed point theorem.

lemma *restriction-chain-imp-ex1* : $\langle \exists ! \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma \ n \rangle$
and *restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-chain* : $\langle \sigma \ -\downarrow \rightarrow (\text{THE } \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma \ n) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-chain-is* :
 $\langle \sigma = (\downarrow) (\text{THE } \Sigma. \sigma \ -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$
 $\langle \sigma = (\downarrow) (\text{THE } \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma \ n) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

end

context

fixes $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \rangle$

assumes ⟨constructive f ⟩

begin

lemma *ex1-restriction-fix* :

⟨ $\exists ! \Sigma. \forall x. (\lambda n. (f \hat{\sim} n) x) \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *ex1-restriction-fix-bis* :

⟨ $\exists ! \Sigma. (\lambda n. (f \hat{\sim} n) x) \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-fix-def-bis* :

⟨ $(v x. f x) = (THE \Sigma. (\lambda n. (f \hat{\sim} n) x) \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *funpow-restriction-tendsto-restriction-fix* : ⟨ $(\lambda n. (f \hat{\sim} n) x)$

$\dashv \rightarrow (v x. f x)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-restriction-fix-is* : ⟨ $(v x. f x) \downarrow n = (f \hat{\sim} n) x \downarrow n$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-fix-eq* : ⟨ $(v x. f x) = f (v x. f x)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-fix-unique* : ⟨ $f x = x \implies (v x. f x) = x$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-fix-def-ter* : ⟨ $(v x. f x) = (THE x. f x = x)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

end

3 Product over Restriction Spaces

3.1 Restriction Space

instantiation *prod* :: (*restriction*, *restriction*) *restriction*
begin

definition *restriction-prod* :: $\langle 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \rangle$
where $\langle p \downarrow n \equiv (\text{fst } p \downarrow n, \text{snd } p \downarrow n) \rangle$

instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

instance *prod* :: (*restriction-space*, *restriction-space*) *restriction-space*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation *prod* :: (*preorder-restriction-space*, *preorder-restriction-space*)
preorder-restriction-space
begin

We might want to use lexicographic order :

- $p \leq q \equiv \text{fst } p < \text{fst } q \vee \text{fst } p = \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p \leq \text{snd } q$
- $p < q \equiv \text{fst } p < \text{fst } q \vee \text{fst } p = \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p < \text{snd } q$

but this is wrong since it is incompatible with $p \downarrow 0 \leq q \downarrow 0, \neg p \leq q \implies \exists n. \neg p \downarrow n \leq q \downarrow n$ and $p \leq q \implies p \downarrow n \leq q \downarrow n$.

definition *less-eq-prod* :: $\langle 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle p \leq q \equiv \text{fst } p \leq \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p \leq \text{snd } q \rangle$

definition *less-prod* :: $\langle 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle p < q \equiv \text{fst } p \leq \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p < \text{snd } q \vee \text{fst } p < \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p \leq \text{snd } q \rangle$

instance
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

instance *prod* :: (*order-restriction-space*, *order-restriction-space*) *order-restriction-space*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

3.2 Restriction shift Maps

3.2.1 Domain is a Product

lemma *restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff* :
 ⟨*restriction-shift-on* *f* *k* (*A* × *B*) ↔ (∀ *x* ∈ *A*. *restriction-shift-on* (λ*y*. *f* (*x*, *y*)) *k* *B*) ∧

$$(\forall y \in B. \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) k A) \rangle$$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restriction-shift-prod-domain-iff* :
 ⟨*restriction-shift* *f* *k* ↔ (∀ *x*. *restriction-shift* (λ*y*. *f* (*x*, *y*)) *k*) ∧

$$(\forall y. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) k) \rangle$$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *non-too-destructive-on-prod-domain-iff* :
 ⟨*non-too-destructive-on* *f* (*A* × *B*) ↔ (∀ *x* ∈ *A*. *non-too-destructive-on* (λ*y*. *f* (*x*, *y*)) *B*) ∧

$$(\forall y \in B. \text{non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) A) \rangle$$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *non-too-destructive-prod-domain-iff* :
 ⟨*non-too-destructive* *f* ↔ (∀ *x*. *non-too-destructive* (λ*y*. *f* (*x*, *y*)))

$$\wedge (\forall y. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y))) \rangle$$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *non-destructive-on-prod-domain-iff* :
 ⟨*non-destructive-on* *f* (*A* × *B*) ↔ (∀ *x* ∈ *A*. *non-destructive-on* (λ*y*. *f* (*x*, *y*)) *B*) ∧

$$(\forall y \in B. \text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) A) \rangle$$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *non-destructive-prod-domain-iff* :
 ⟨*non-destructive* *f* ↔ (∀ *x*. *non-destructive* (λ*y*. *f* (*x*, *y*)))

$$\wedge (\forall y. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y))) \rangle$$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *constructive-on-prod-domain-iff* :
 ⟨*constructive-on* *f* (*A* × *B*) ↔ (∀ *x* ∈ *A*. *constructive-on* (λ*y*. *f* (*x*,

$y)) B) \wedge$
 $(\forall y \in B. \text{constructive-on } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-prod-domain-iff* :
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \text{constructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y))) \wedge$
 $(\forall y. \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-prod-domain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda y. f(x, y)) k; \bigwedge y. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{restriction-shift } f k \rangle$
and *non-too-destructive-prod-domain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y)); \bigwedge y. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{non-too-destructive } f \rangle$
and *non-destructive-prod-domain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y)); \bigwedge y. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{non-destructive } f \rangle$
and *constructive-prod-domain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*]
:
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. \text{constructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y)); \bigwedge y. \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{constructive } f \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.2.2 Codomain is a Product

lemma *restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \longleftrightarrow (\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. \text{fst}(f x)) k A) \wedge$
 $(\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. \text{snd}(f x)) k A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-prod-codomain-iff*:
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f k \longleftrightarrow (\text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. \text{fst}(f x)) k) \wedge$
 $(\text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. \text{snd}(f x)) k) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructive-on-prod-codomain-iff* :
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A \longleftrightarrow (\text{non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{fst}(f x)) A) \wedge$
 $(\text{non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{snd}(f x)) A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructive-prod-codomain-iff* :
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x))) \wedge$
 $\quad (\text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructive-on-prod-codomain-iff* :
 $\langle \text{non-destructive-on } f A \longleftrightarrow (\text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) A) \wedge$
 $\quad (\text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructive-prod-codomain-iff* :
 $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x))) \wedge$
 $\quad (\text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-on-prod-codomain-iff* :
 $\langle \text{constructive-on } f A \longleftrightarrow (\text{constructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) A) \wedge$
 $\quad (\text{constructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-prod-codomain-iff* :
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\text{constructive } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x))) \wedge$
 $\quad (\text{constructive } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-prod-codomain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \text{restriction-shift } f k; \text{restriction-shift } g k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\quad \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. (f x, g x)) k \rangle$

and *non-too-destructive-prod-codomain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \text{non-too-destructive } f; \text{non-too-destructive } g \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{non-too-destructive}$
 $\quad (\lambda x. (f x, g x)) \rangle$

and *non-destructive-prod-codomain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \text{non-destructive } f; \text{non-destructive } g \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. (f x,$
 $\quad g x)) \rangle$

and *constructive-prod-codomain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \text{constructive } f; \text{constructive } g \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{constructive } (\lambda x. (f x, g x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3 Limits and Convergence

lemma *restriction-chain-prod-iff* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \longleftrightarrow \text{restriction-chain } (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma \ n)) \wedge$
 $\text{restriction-chain } (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma \ n)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendsto-prod-iff* :
 $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \longleftrightarrow (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma \ n)) \dashrightarrow \text{fst } \Sigma \wedge (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma \ n)) \dashrightarrow$
 $\text{snd } \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-convergent-prod-iff* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \longleftrightarrow \text{restriction-convergent } (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma \ n))$
 \wedge
 $\text{restriction-convergent } (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma \ n)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *funpow-indep-prod-is* :
 $\langle ((\lambda(x, y). (f \ x, g \ y)) \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ n) \ (x, y) = ((f \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ n) \ x, (g \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ n) \ y) \rangle$
for $f \ g :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.4 Completeness

instance *prod* :: (*complete-restriction-space*, *complete-restriction-space*)
complete-restriction-space
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.5 Fixed Point

lemma *restriction-fix-indep-prod-is* :
 $\langle (v \ (x, y). (f \ x, g \ y)) = (v \ x. f \ x, v \ y. g \ y) \rangle$
if *constructive* : $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle \langle \text{constructive } g \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \rangle$
and $g :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructive-fst* : $\langle \text{non-destructive } \text{fst} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructive-snd* : $\langle \text{non-destructive } \text{snd} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-restriction-fix-right* :

$\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda x. v y. f (x, y)) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-restriction-fix-left* :
 $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda y. v x. f (x, y)) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-fix-prod-is* :
 $\langle (v p. f p) = (v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y))))),$
 $v y. snd (f (v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y))))), y)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is } \langle (v p. f p) = (?x, ?y) \rangle \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4 Functions towards a Restriction Space

4.1 Restriction Space

instantiation $\langle \text{fun} \rangle :: (\text{type}, \text{restriction}) \text{restriction}$
begin

definition *restriction-fun* :: $\langle ['a \Rightarrow 'b, \text{nat}, 'a] \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$
where $\langle f \downarrow n \equiv (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) \rangle$

instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

instance $\langle \text{fun} \rangle :: (\text{type}, \text{restriction-space}) \text{restriction-space}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance $\langle \text{fun} \rangle :: (\text{type}, \text{preorder-restriction-space}) \text{preorder-restriction-space}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance $\langle \text{fun} \rangle :: (\text{type}, \text{order-restriction-space}) \text{order-restriction-space}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2 Restriction shift Maps

lemma *restriction-shift-on-fun-iff* :

$\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z) \ k \ A) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-fun-iff* : $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z) \ k) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructive-on-fun-iff*:

$\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f \ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z) \ A) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructive-fun-iff*:

$\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z)) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructive-on-fun-iff*:

$\langle \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z) \ A) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructive-fun-iff*:

$\langle \text{non-destructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z)) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-on-fun-iff*:

$\langle \text{constructive-on } f \ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{constructive-on } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z) \ A) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-fun-iff*:

$\langle \text{constructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z)) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-fun* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*]

:

$\langle (\bigwedge z. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z) \ k) \implies \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \rangle$

and *non-too-destructive-fun* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*]

:

$\langle (\bigwedge z. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z)) \implies \text{non-too-destructive } f \rangle$

and *non-destructive-fun* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*]

:

$\langle (\bigwedge z. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f \ x \ z)) \implies \text{non-destructive } f \rangle$

and *constructive-fun* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*]

:

$\langle (\bigwedge z. \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f x z)) \implies \text{constructive } f \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.3 Limits and Convergence

lemma *reached-dist-funE* :

fixes $f g :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$ **assumes** $\langle f \neq g \rangle$
obtains x **where** $\langle f x \neq g x \rangle \langle \text{Sup } (\text{restriction-related-set } f g) = \text{Sup } (\text{restriction-related-set } (f x) (g x)) \rangle$

— Morally, we say here that the distance between two functions is reached. But we did not introduce the concept of distance.

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reached-restriction-related-set-funE* :

fixes $f g :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$
obtains x **where** $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } f g = \text{restriction-related-set } (f x) (g x) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-chain-fun-iff* :

$\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \iff (\forall z. \text{restriction-chain } (\lambda n. \sigma n z)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendsto-fun-imp* : $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. \sigma n z) \dashrightarrow \Sigma z \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-convergent-fun-imp* :

$\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \implies \text{restriction-convergent } (\lambda n. \sigma n z) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.4 Completeness

instance $\langle \text{fun} \rangle :: (\text{type}, \text{complete-restriction-space}) \text{complete-restriction-space}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5 Topological Notions

named-theorems *restriction-cont-simpset* — For future automation.

5.1 Continuity

context *restriction* **begin**

definition *restriction-cont-at* :: $\langle 'b :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow 'a, 'b \rangle \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (-) \text{ at } (-) \rangle [1000, 1000]$
where $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \equiv \forall \sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \longrightarrow (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-atI* : $\langle (\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma) \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-atD* : $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-at-comp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow g \text{ at } (f \Sigma) \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-at-if-then-else* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (f x) \text{ at } \Sigma; \bigwedge x. \neg P x \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (g x) \text{ at } \Sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *restriction-open* :: $\langle 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle (\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \rangle)$
where $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \equiv \forall \Sigma \in U. \forall \sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \longrightarrow (\exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in U) \rangle$

lemma *restriction-openI* : $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma \sigma. \Sigma \in U \Longrightarrow \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in U) \Longrightarrow \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-openD* : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \Longrightarrow \Sigma \in U \Longrightarrow \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-openE* :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \Longrightarrow \Sigma \in U \Longrightarrow \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge n0. (\bigwedge n. n0 \leq k \Longrightarrow \sigma k \in U) \Longrightarrow \text{thesis}) \Longrightarrow \text{thesis} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-open-UNIV* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \text{UNIV} \rangle$
and *restriction-open-empty* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \{\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-open-union* :

$\langle \text{open}_{\downarrow} U \implies \text{open}_{\downarrow} V \implies \text{open}_{\downarrow} (U \cup V) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma restriction-open-Union :
 $\langle (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{open}_{\downarrow} (U i)) \implies \text{open}_{\downarrow} (\bigcup_{i \in I} U i) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma restriction-open-inter :
 $\langle \text{open}_{\downarrow} (U \cap V) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{open}_{\downarrow} U \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{open}_{\downarrow} V \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma restriction-open-finite-Inter :
 $\langle \text{finite } I \implies (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{open}_{\downarrow} (U i)) \implies \text{open}_{\downarrow} (\bigcap_{i \in I} U i) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition restriction-closed :: $\langle 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ ($\langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} \rangle$)
where $\langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} S \equiv \text{open}_{\downarrow} (- S) \rangle$

lemma restriction-closedI : $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma \sigma. \Sigma \notin S \implies \sigma \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma \implies \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \notin S) \implies \text{closed}_{\downarrow} S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma restriction-closedD : $\langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} S \implies \Sigma \notin S \implies \sigma \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma \implies \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \notin S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma restriction-closedE :
 $\langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} S \implies \Sigma \notin S \implies \sigma \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge n0. (\bigwedge n. n0 \leq k \implies \sigma k \notin S) \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma restriction-closed-UNIV [simp] : $\langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} \text{UNIV} \rangle$
and **restriction-closed-empty [simp] :** $\langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} \{\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5.2 Balls

context restriction begin

definition restriction-cball :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \rangle$ ($\langle \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}'(-, -) \rangle$)
where $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \equiv \{x. x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n\} \rangle$

lemma restriction-cball-mem-iff : $\langle x \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \longleftrightarrow x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \rangle$
and **restriction-cball-memI** : $\langle x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \implies x \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \rangle$
and **restriction-cball-memD** : $\langle x \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \implies x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

abbreviation (*iff*) *restriction-ball* :: ⟨'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a set⟩
where ⟨*restriction-ball* a n ≡ $\mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, \text{Suc } n)$ ⟩

lemma ⟨ $x \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \longleftrightarrow x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = a \downarrow \text{Suc } n$ ⟩
and ⟨ $x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \implies x \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n$ ⟩
and ⟨ $x \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \implies x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = a \downarrow \text{Suc } n$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma ⟨ $a \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n$ ⟩
and *center-mem-restriction-cball* [*simp*] : ⟨ $a \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n)$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-cball-0-is-UNIV* [*simp*] :
⟨ $\mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, 0) = \text{UNIV}$ ⟩ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *every-point-of-restriction-cball-is-centre* :
⟨ $b \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \implies \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) = \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, n)$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma ⟨ $b \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \implies \text{restriction-ball } a \ n = \text{restriction-ball } b \ n$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition *restriction-sphere* :: ⟨'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a set⟩ (⟨ $\mathcal{S}_\downarrow'(-, -)$ ⟩)
where ⟨ $\mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \equiv \{x. x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \wedge x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n\}$ ⟩

lemma *restriction-sphere-mem-iff* : ⟨ $x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \longleftrightarrow x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \wedge x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n$ ⟩
and *restriction-sphere-memI* : ⟨ $x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \implies x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \implies x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n)$ ⟩
and *restriction-sphere-memD1* : ⟨ $x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \implies x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n$ ⟩
and *restriction-sphere-memD2* : ⟨ $x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \implies x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-sphere-is-diff* : ⟨ $\mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) = \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) - \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, \text{Suc } n)$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-open-restriction-cball* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-closed-restriction-cball* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-open-Compl-iff* : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow (- S) \longleftrightarrow \text{closed}_\downarrow S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-open-restriction-sphere* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-closed-restriction-sphere* : $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *restriction-space* **begin**

lemma *restriction-cball-anti-mono* : $\langle n \leq m \implies \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, m) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inside-every-cball-iff-eq* : $\langle (\forall n. x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \longleftrightarrow x = \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inf-many-inside-cball-iff-eq* : $\langle (\exists_\infty n. x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \longleftrightarrow x = \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inf-many-inside-cball-imp-eq* : $\langle \exists_\infty n. x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \implies x = \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cballs-disjoint-or-subset* :
 $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \cap \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) = \{\} \vee \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) \vee \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equal-restriction-to-cball* :

$\langle a \notin \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, n) \implies x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, n) \implies y \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, n) \implies x \downarrow k = a \downarrow k$
 $\longleftrightarrow y \downarrow k = a \downarrow k \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *restriction begin*

lemma *restriction-tendsto-iff-restriction-cball-characterization* :

$\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballI* : $\langle (\bigwedge n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0.$

$\sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballD* : $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies \exists n0.$

$\forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballE* :

$\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge n0. (\bigwedge k. n0 \leq k \implies \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \implies \text{thesis})$
 $\implies \text{thesis} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *restriction begin*

theorem *restriction-closed-iff-sequential-characterization* :

$\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \longleftrightarrow (\forall \Sigma \sigma. \text{range } \sigma \subseteq S \longrightarrow \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma \in S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-closed-sequentialI* :

$\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma \sigma. \text{range } \sigma \subseteq S \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies \Sigma \in S) \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-closed-sequentialD* :

$\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \implies \text{range } \sigma \subseteq S \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies \Sigma \in S \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *restriction-space* **begin**

theorem *restriction-open-iff-restriction-cball-characterization* :

$\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \iff (\forall \Sigma \in U. \exists n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-open-restriction-cballI* :

$\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma. \Sigma \in U \implies \exists n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U) \implies \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-open-restriction-cballD* :

$\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \Sigma \in U \implies \exists n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-open-restriction-cballE* :

$\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \Sigma \in U \implies (\bigwedge n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *restriction* **begin**

definition *restriction-cont-on* :: $\langle ['b :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow 'a, 'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (-) \text{ on } (-) \rangle [1000, 1000]$

where $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \equiv \forall \Sigma \in A. \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-onI* : $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma \sigma. \Sigma \in A \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f(\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-onD* : $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \Sigma \in A \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f(\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-on-comp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow g \text{ on } B \implies f ' A \subseteq B \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. g(f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-on-if-then-else* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (f x) \text{ on } A; \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (g x) \text{ on } A \rrbracket \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) \text{ on } A \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-on-subset* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *restriction-cont* :: $\langle [b :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ ($\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow \rangle$)
where $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \equiv \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } \text{UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-contI* : $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma \sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-contD* : $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-comp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow g \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. g (f x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-if-then-else* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (f x); \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (g x) \rrbracket \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *restriction-space* **begin**

theorem *restriction-cont-at-iff-restriction-cball-characterization* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \iff (\forall n. \exists k. f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n)) \rangle$
for $f :: \langle [b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballI* :
 $\langle (\bigwedge n. \exists k. f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n)) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
for $f :: \langle [b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballD* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \exists k. f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \rangle$
for $f :: \langle [b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballE* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge k. f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis} \rangle$

for $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *restriction-cont-iff-restriction-open-characterization* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \iff (\forall U. \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (f - ' U)) \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-cont-restriction-openI* :
 $\langle (\bigwedge U. \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (f - ' U)) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-cont-restriction-openD* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (f - ' U) \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *restriction-cont-iff-restriction-closed-characterization* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \iff (\forall S. \text{closed}_\downarrow S \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow (f - ' S)) \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-cont-restriction-closedI* :
 $\langle (\bigwedge U. \text{closed}_\downarrow U \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow (f - ' U)) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-cont-restriction-closedD* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow U \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow (f - ' U) \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *restriction-shift-on-restriction-open-imp-restriction-cont-on* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } U \rangle \text{ if } \langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle \text{ and } \langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f k U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-shift-imp-restriction-cont* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f k \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *non-too-destructive-imp-restriction-cont* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

end

5.3 Compactness

context *restriction* begin

definition *restriction-compact* :: ⟨'a set ⇒ bool⟩ (⟨compact_↓⟩)

where ⟨compact_↓ K ≡
 ∀σ. range σ ⊆ K ⟶
 (∃f :: nat ⇒ nat. ∃Σ. Σ ∈ K ∧ strict-mono f ∧ (σ ∘ f) -↓→ Σ)⟩

lemma *restriction-compactI* :

⟨(∧σ. range σ ⊆ K ⟹ ∃f :: nat ⇒ nat. ∃Σ. Σ ∈ K ∧ strict-mono
f ∧ (σ ∘ f) -↓→ Σ)
 ⟹ compact_↓ K⟩ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-compactD* :

⟨compact_↓ K ⟹ range σ ⊆ K ⟹
 ∃f :: nat ⇒ nat. ∃Σ. Σ ∈ K ∧ strict-mono f ∧ (σ ∘ f) -↓→ Σ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-compactE* :

assumes ⟨compact_↓ K⟩ **and** ⟨range σ ⊆ K⟩
obtains f :: ⟨nat ⇒ nat⟩ **and** Σ **where** ⟨Σ ∈ K⟩ ⟨strict-mono f⟩
⟨(σ ∘ f) -↓→ Σ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-compact-empty* [*simp*] : ⟨compact_↓ {}⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *restriction-space*) *restriction-compact-imp-restriction-closed*

:
 ⟨closed_↓ K⟩ **if** ⟨compact_↓ K⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-compact-union* : ⟨compact_↓ (K ∪ L)⟩

if ⟨compact_↓ K⟩ **and** ⟨compact_↓ L⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *restriction-compact-finite-Union* :

⟨[finite I; ∧i. i ∈ I ⟹ compact_↓ (K i)] ⟹ compact_↓ (∪i∈I. K

$i\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *restriction-space*) *restriction-compact-Inter* :
 $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (\bigcap i. K i) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \bigwedge i. \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (K i) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-imp-restriction-compact* : $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{finite } K \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-compact-restriction-closed-subset* : $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} L \rangle$
if $\langle L \subseteq K \rangle$ $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle$ $\langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} L \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-image-of-restriction-compact* :
 $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (f \text{ ‘ } K) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \text{ on } K \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5.4 Properties for Function and Product

lemma *restriction-cball-fun-is* : $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(f, n) = \{g. \forall x. g x \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(f x, n)\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cball-prod-is* :
 $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(\Sigma, n) = \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(\text{fst } \Sigma, n) \times \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(\text{snd } \Sigma, n) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-open-prod-imp-restriction-open-image-fst* :
 $\langle \text{open}_{\downarrow} (\text{fst ‘ } U) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{open}_{\downarrow} U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-open-prod-imp-restriction-open-image-snd* :
 $\langle \text{open}_{\downarrow} (\text{snd ‘ } U) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{open}_{\downarrow} U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-open-prod-iff* :
 $\langle \text{open}_{\downarrow} (U \times V) \longleftrightarrow (V = \{\}) \vee \text{open}_{\downarrow} U \rangle \wedge (U = \{\}) \vee \text{open}_{\downarrow} V \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-at-prod-codomain-iff* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \text{ at } \Sigma \longleftrightarrow \text{cont}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \wedge \text{cont}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$

at Σ
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-on-prod-codomain-iff*:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \iff \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ on } A \wedge \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-prod-codomain-iff*:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \iff \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \wedge \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-at-prod-codomain-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]:
:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-on-prod-codomain-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]:
:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-prod-codomain-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]:
:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-at-fun-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x y) \text{ at } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-on-fun-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x y) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-cont-fun-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x y) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]

```

:
⟨cont↓ f at Σ ⇒ cont↓ (λx. f (x, snd Σ)) at (fst Σ)⟩
⟨cont↓ f at Σ ⇒ cont↓ (λy. f (fst Σ, y)) at (snd Σ)⟩
for f :: ⟨'a :: restriction-space × 'b :: restriction-space ⇒ 'c :: re-
striction-space⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *restriction-cont-on-prod-domain-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]

```

:
⟨cont↓ (λx. f (x, y)) on {x. (x, y) ∈ A}⟩
⟨cont↓ (λy. f (x, y)) on {y. (x, y) ∈ A}⟩ if ⟨cont↓ f on A⟩
for f :: ⟨'a :: restriction-space × 'b :: restriction-space ⇒ 'c :: restric-
tion-space⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *restriction-cont-prod-domain-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :

```

⟨cont↓ f ⇒ cont↓ (λx. f (x, y))⟩
⟨cont↓ f ⇒ cont↓ (λy. f (x, y))⟩
for f :: ⟨'a :: restriction-space × 'b :: restriction-space ⇒ 'c :: restric-
tion-space⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

6 Induction in Restriction Space

6.1 Admissibility

named-theorems *restriction-adm-simpset* — For future automation.

6.1.1 Definition

We start by defining the notion of admissible predicate. The idea is that if this predicates holds for each value of a convergent sequence, it also holds for its limit.

context *restriction begin*

definition *restriction-adm* :: ⟨('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool⟩ (⟨adm_↓⟩)
where ⟨*restriction-adm* P ≡ ∀σ Σ. σ -↓→ Σ → (∀n. P (σ n))
→ P Σ⟩

lemma *restriction-admI* :

```

⟨(∧σ Σ. σ -↓→ Σ ⇒ (∧n. P (σ n)) ⇒ P Σ) ⇒ restriction-adm
P⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *restriction-admD* :

```

⟨[restriction-adm P; σ -↓→ Σ; ∧n. P (σ n)] ⇒ P Σ⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

6.1.2 Properties

lemma *restriction-adm-const* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. t) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-conj* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x) \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. Q x) \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-all* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle (\bigwedge y. \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x y)) \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \forall y. P x y) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-ball* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle (\bigwedge y. y \in A \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x y)) \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \forall y \in A. P x y) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-disj* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x \vee Q x) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x) \rangle$ $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. Q x) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-imp* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \neg P x) \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. Q x) \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x \longrightarrow Q x) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-iff* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x \longrightarrow Q x) \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. Q x \longrightarrow P x) \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x \longleftrightarrow Q x) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-if-then-else* [*restriction-adm-simpset*]:
 $\langle \llbracket P \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. Q x); \neg P \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. R x) \rrbracket \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{if } P \text{ then } Q x \text{ else } R x) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

The notion of continuity is of course strongly related to the notion of admissibility.

lemma *restriction-adm-eq* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x = g x) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow g \rangle$
for $f g :: \langle 'a :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-subst* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P (t x)) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. t x) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow P \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-prod-domainD* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P (x, y)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda y. P (x, y)) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow P \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-restriction-shift-on* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda f. \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-constructive-on* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda f. \text{constructive-on } f \ A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda f. \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-restriction-cont-at* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda f. \text{cont}_\downarrow f \ \text{at } a) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-restriction-cont-on* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda f. \text{cont}_\downarrow f \ \text{on } A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *restriction-adm-restriction-shift* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda f. \text{restriction-shift } f \ k) \rangle$
and *restriction-adm-constructive* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda f. \text{constructive } f) \rangle$
and *restriction-adm-non-destructive* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda f. \text{non-destructive } f) \rangle$
and *restriction-adm-restriction-cont* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda f. \text{cont}_\downarrow f) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *restriction*) *restriction-adm-mem-restriction-closed* [*restriction-adm-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow K \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. x \in K) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *restriction-space*) *restriction-adm-mem-restriction-compact* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle compact_{\downarrow} K \implies adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. x \in K) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *restriction-space*) *restriction-adm-mem-finite* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle finite S \implies adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. x \in S) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-restriction-tendsto* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda \sigma. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-adm-lim* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda \Sigma. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-restriction-cont-on* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle cont_{\downarrow} f \text{ on } A \implies cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-on-id* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] : $\langle cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. x) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-on-const* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] : $\langle cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. c) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-on-fun* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] : $\langle cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda f. f x) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont2cont-on-fun* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle cont_{\downarrow} f \text{ on } A \implies cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. f x y) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2 Induction

Now that we have the concept of admissibility, we can formalize an induction rule for fixed points. Considering a *constructive* function f of type $'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (where $'a$ is instance of the class *complete-restriction-space*) and a predicate P which is admissible, and assuming that :

- P holds for a certain element x

- for any element x , if P holds for x then it still holds for $f x$
we can have that P holds for the fixed point $v x$. $P x$.

lemma *restriction-fix-ind'* [*case-names constructive adm steps*] :
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} P \implies (\bigwedge n. P ((f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x)) \implies P (v x. f x) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-fix-ind* [*case-names constructive adm base step*] :
 $\langle P (v x. f x) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} P \rangle$ $\langle P x \rangle$ $\langle \bigwedge x. P x \implies P (f x) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-fix-ind2* [*case-names constructive adm base0 base1 step*] :
 $\langle P (v x. f x) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} P \rangle$ $\langle P x \rangle$ $\langle P (f x) \rangle$
 $\langle \bigwedge x. \llbracket P x; P (f x) \rrbracket \implies P (f (f x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We can rewrite the fixed point over a product to obtain this parallel fixed point induction rule.

lemma *parallel-restriction-fix-ind* [*case-names constructiveL constructiveR adm base step*] :
fixes $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
and $g :: \langle 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$
assumes $\text{constructive} : \langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ $\langle \text{constructive } g \rangle$
and $\text{adm} : \langle \text{restriction-adm } (\lambda p. P (\text{fst } p) (\text{snd } p)) \rangle$
and $\text{base} : \langle P x y \rangle$ **and** $\text{step} : \langle \bigwedge x y. P x y \implies P (f x) (g y) \rangle$
shows $\langle P (v x. f x) (v y. g y) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

k-steps induction

lemma *restriction-fix-ind-k-steps* [*case-names constructive adm base-k-steps step*] :
assumes $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$
and $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} P \rangle$
and $\langle \forall i < k. P ((f \overset{\sim}{\sim} i) x) \rangle$
and $\langle \bigwedge x. \forall i < k. P ((f \overset{\sim}{\sim} i) x) \implies P ((f \overset{\sim}{\sim} k) x) \rangle$
shows $\langle P (v x. f x) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7 Entry Point

This is the file `Restriction_Spaces` should be imported from.

declare

```
restriction-shift-introset [intro!]  
restriction-shift-simpset [simp ]  
restriction-cont-simpset  [simp ]  
restriction-adm-simpset   [simp ]
```

We already have *non-destructive* $(\lambda x. x)$, and can easily notice *non-destructive* $(\lambda f. f x)$, but also *non-destructive* $(\lambda f. f x y)$, etc. We add a **simproc-setup** to enable the simplifier to automatically handle goals of this form, regardless of the number of arguments on which the function is applied.

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $\langle non-destructive (\lambda f. f a b c d e f' g h i j k l m n o' p q r s t$
 $u v w x y z) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$