

Restriction_Spaces: a Fixed-Point Theory

Benoît Ballenghien Benjamin Puyobro Burkhart Wolff

February 6, 2026

Abstract

Fixed-point constructions are fundamental to defining recursive and co-recursive functions. However, a general axiom $Yf = f(Yf)$ leads to inconsistency, and definitions must therefore be based on theories guaranteeing existence under suitable conditions. In `Isabelle/HOL`, such constructions are typically based on sets, well-founded orders or domain-theoretic models such as for example `HOLCF`. In this submission we introduce `Restriction_Spaces`, a formalization of spaces equipped with a so-called restriction, denoted by \downarrow , satisfying three properties:

$$\begin{aligned}x \downarrow 0 &= y \downarrow 0 \\x \downarrow n \downarrow m &= x \downarrow \min n m \\x \neq y &\implies \exists n. x \downarrow n \neq y \downarrow n\end{aligned}$$

They turn out to be cartesian closed and admit natural notions of constructiveness and completeness, enabling the definition of a fixed-point operator under verifiable side-conditions. This is achieved in our entry, from topological definitions to induction principles. Additionally, we configure the simplifier so that it can automatically solve both constructiveness and admissibility subgoals, as long as users write higher-order rules for their operators. Since our implementation relies on axiomatic type classes, the resulting library is a fully abstract, flexible and reusable framework.

Contents

1	Locales factorizing the proof Work	1
1.1	Basic Notions for Restriction	2
1.2	Restriction shift Maps	6
1.2.1	Definition	7
1.2.2	Three particular Cases	8
1.2.3	Properties	12
2	Class Implementation	19
2.1	Preliminaries	19
2.2	Basic Notions for Restriction	20
2.3	Definition of the Fixed-Point Operator	24

2.3.1	Preliminaries	24
2.3.2	Fixed-Point Operator	31
3	Product over Restriction Spaces	35
3.1	Restriction Space	35
3.2	Restriction shift Maps	36
3.2.1	Domain is a Product	36
3.2.2	Codomain is a Product	39
3.3	Limits and Convergence	40
3.4	Completeness	41
3.5	Fixed Point	41
4	Functions towards a Restriction Space	43
4.1	Restriction Space	43
4.2	Restriction shift Maps	44
4.3	Limits and Convergence	46
4.4	Completeness	47
5	Topological Notions	48
5.1	Continuity	48
5.2	Balls	50
5.3	Compactness	59
5.4	Properties for Function and Product	62
6	Induction in Restriction Space	66
6.1	Admissibility	66
6.1.1	Definition	66
6.1.2	Properties	66
6.2	Induction	71
7	Entry Point	73

1 Locales factorizing the proof Work

named-theorems *restriction-shift-simpset*

named-theorems *restriction-shift-introset* — Useful for future automation.

In order to factorize the proof work, we first work with locales and then with classes.

1.1 Basic Notions for Restriction

```

locale Restriction =
  fixes restriction :: ⟨['a, nat] ⇒ 'a⟩ (infixl ⟨↓⟩ 60)
  and relation    :: ⟨['a, 'a] ⇒ bool⟩ (infixl ⟨≲⟩ 50)
  assumes restriction-restriction [simp] : ⟨x ↓ n ↓ m = x ↓ min n m⟩
begin

```

abbreviation *restriction-related-set* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat set} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \equiv \{n. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n\} \rangle$

abbreviation *restriction-not-related-set* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat set} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y \equiv \{n. \neg x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n\} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-related-set-Un-restriction-not-related-set* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \cup \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y = \text{UNIV} \rangle$
by *blast*

lemma *disjoint-restriction-related-set-restriction-not-related-set* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \cap \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y = \{\} \rangle$ **by**
blast

lemma $\langle \text{bdd-below } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \rangle$ **by** *(fact bdd-below-bot)*

lemma $\langle \text{bdd-below } (\text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y) \rangle$ **by** *(fact bdd-below-bot)*

end

locale *PreorderRestrictionSpace* = *Restriction* +
assumes *restriction-0-related* [*simp*] : $\langle x \downarrow 0 \lesssim y \downarrow 0 \rangle$
and *mono-restriction-related* : $\langle x \lesssim y \implies x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$
and *ex-not-restriction-related* : $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \implies \exists n. \neg x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$
and *related-trans* : $\langle x \lesssim y \implies y \lesssim z \implies x \lesssim z \rangle$
begin

lemma *exists-restriction-related* [*simp*] : $\langle \exists n. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$
using *restriction-0-related* **by** *blast*

lemma *all-restriction-related-iff-related* : $\langle (\forall n. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n) \longleftrightarrow x \lesssim y \rangle$
using *mono-restriction-related* *ex-not-restriction-related* **by** *blast*

lemma *restriction-related-le* : $\langle x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$ **if** $\langle n \leq m \rangle$ **and** $\langle x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m \rangle$

proof –

from *mono-restriction-related*[*OF* $\langle x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m \rangle$] **have** $\langle x \downarrow m \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow m \downarrow n \rangle$.

also have $\langle (\lambda x. x \downarrow m \downarrow n) = (\lambda x. x \downarrow n) \rangle$ **by** *(simp add: $\langle n \leq m \rangle$)*
finally show $\langle x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$.

qed

corollary *restriction-related-pred* : $\langle x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \implies x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$

by (metis le-add2 plus-1-eq-Suc restriction-related-le)

lemma *all-ge-restriction-related-iff-related* : $\langle (\forall n \geq m. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n) \longleftrightarrow x \lesssim y \rangle$
 by (metis all-restriction-related-iff-related nle-le restriction-related-le)

lemma *take-lemma-restriction* : $\langle x \lesssim y \rangle$
 if $\langle \bigwedge n. [\bigwedge k. k \leq n \implies x \downarrow k \lesssim y \downarrow k] \implies x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
proof (subst all-restriction-related-iff-related[symmetric], intro allI)
 show $\langle x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$ for n
 by (induct n rule: full-nat-induct)
 (metis not-less-eq-eq restriction-0-related restriction-related-le that zero-induct)
qed

lemma *ex-not-restriction-related-optimized* :
 $\langle \exists! n. \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \wedge (\forall m \leq n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m) \rangle$ if $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
proof (rule ex1I)
 let $?S = \langle \{n. \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \wedge (\forall m \leq n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m)\} \rangle$
 let $?n = \langle \text{Inf } \{n. \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \wedge (\forall m \leq n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m)\} \rangle$
 from restriction-related-le[of - - x y] take-lemma-restriction[of x y]
 $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
 have $\langle ?S \neq \{\} \rangle$ by auto
 from Inf-nat-def1[OF this] have $\langle ?n \in ?S \rangle$.
 thus $\langle \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } ?n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } ?n \wedge (\forall m \leq ?n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m) \rangle$ by blast
 thus $\langle \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \wedge (\forall m \leq n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m) \implies n = ?n \rangle$ for n
 by (meson not-less-eq-eq order-antisym-conv)
qed

lemma *nonempty-restriction-related-set* : $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x y \neq \{\} \rangle$
 using restriction-0-related by blast

lemma *non-UNIV-restriction-not-related-set* : $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x y \neq \text{UNIV} \rangle$
 using restriction-0-related by blast

lemma *UNIV-restriction-related-set-iff* : $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x y = \text{UNIV} \longleftrightarrow x \lesssim y \rangle$
 using all-restriction-related-iff-related by blast

lemma *empty-restriction-not-related-set-iff*: $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y = \{\} \longleftrightarrow x \lesssim y \rangle$
by (*simp add: all-restriction-related-iff-related*)

lemma *finite-restriction-related-set-iff* :
 $\langle \text{finite } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \longleftrightarrow \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
proof (*rule iffI*)
assume $\langle \text{finite } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \rangle$
obtain n **where** $\langle \neg x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$
using $\langle \text{finite } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \rangle$ **by** *fastforce*
with *mono-restriction-related* **show** $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$ **by** *blast*
next
assume $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
then obtain n **where** $\langle \forall m > n. \neg x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m \rangle$
by (*meson all-restriction-related-iff-related less-le-not-le restriction-related-le*)
hence $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \subseteq \{0..n\} \rangle$
by (*simp add: subset-iff*) (*meson linorder-not-le*)
thus $\langle \text{finite } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \rangle$
by (*simp add: subset-eq-atLeast0-atMost-finite*)
qed

lemma *infinite-restriction-not-related-set-iff* :
 $\langle \text{infinite } (\text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y) \longleftrightarrow \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
by (*metis empty-restriction-not-related-set-iff finite-restriction-related-set-iff finite.emptyI finite-Collect-not infinite-UNIV-char-0*)

lemma *bdd-above-restriction-related-set-iff* :
 $\langle \text{bdd-above } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \longleftrightarrow \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
by (*simp add: bdd-above-nat finite-restriction-related-set-iff*)

context *fixes* $x \ y$ **assumes** $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$ **begin**

lemma *Sup-in-restriction-related-set* :
 $\langle \text{Sup } (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \in \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \rangle$
using *Max-in[OF finite-restriction-related-set-iff[THEN iffD2, OF*
 $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
nonempty-restriction-related-set]
cSup-eq-Max[OF finite-restriction-related-set-iff[THEN iffD2, OF
 $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
nonempty-restriction-related-set]
by *argo*

lemma *Inf-in-restriction-not-related-set* :
 $\langle \text{Inf } (\text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y) \in \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y \rangle$
by (*metis* $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$ *Inf-nat-def1 finite.emptyI infinite-restriction-not-related-set-iff*)

lemma *Inf-restriction-not-related-set-eq-Suc-Sup-restriction-related-set*
:
 $\langle \text{Inf} (\text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y) = \text{Suc} (\text{Sup} (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y)) \rangle$
proof –
let $?S\text{-eq} = \langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \rangle$
let $?S\text{-neq} = \langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y \rangle$
from *Inf-in-restriction-not-related-set* **have** $\langle \text{Inf } ?S\text{-neq} \in ?S\text{-neq} \rangle$
by *blast*
from *Sup-in-restriction-related-set* **have** $\langle \text{Sup } ?S\text{-eq} \in ?S\text{-eq} \rangle$ **by**
blast
hence $\langle \text{Suc} (\text{Sup } ?S\text{-eq}) \notin ?S\text{-eq} \rangle$
by (*metis Suc-n-not-le-n* $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$ *bdd-above-restriction-related-set-iff*
cSup-upper)
with *restriction-related-set-Un-restriction-not-related-set*
have $\langle \text{Suc} (\text{Sup } ?S\text{-eq}) \in ?S\text{-neq} \rangle$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle \text{Inf } ?S\text{-neq} = \text{Suc} (\text{Sup } ?S\text{-eq}) \rangle$
proof (*rule order-antisym*)
show $\langle \text{Inf } ?S\text{-neq} \leq \text{Suc} (\text{Sup } ?S\text{-eq}) \rangle$
by (*fact wellorder-Inf-le1* [*OF* $\langle \text{Suc} (\text{Sup } ?S\text{-eq}) \in ?S\text{-neq} \rangle$])
next
from $\langle \text{Inf } ?S\text{-neq} \in ?S\text{-neq} \rangle$ $\langle \text{Sup } ?S\text{-eq} \in ?S\text{-eq} \rangle$ **show** $\langle \text{Suc} (\text{Sup } ?S\text{-eq}) \leq \text{Inf } ?S\text{-neq} \rangle$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) mem-Collect-eq not-less-eq-eq re-*
striction-related-le)
qed
qed
end

lemma *restriction-related-set-is-atMost* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y =$
 $(\text{if } x \lesssim y \text{ then } \text{UNIV} \text{ else } \{..\text{Sup} (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y)\}) \rangle$
proof (*split if-split, intro conjI impI*)
show $\langle x \lesssim y \implies \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y = \text{UNIV} \rangle$
by (*simp add: UNIV-restriction-related-set-iff*)
next
assume $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
hence $* : \langle \text{Sup} (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y) \in \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \rangle$
by (*fact Sup-in-restriction-related-set*)
show $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y = \{..\text{Sup} (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y)\} \rangle$
proof (*intro subset-antisym subsetI*)
show $\langle n \in \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \implies n \in \{..\text{Sup} (\text{restriction-related-set } x \ y)\} \rangle$ **for** n
by (*simp add:* $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$ *finite-restriction-related-set-iff le-cSup-finite*)

```

next
  from * show  $\langle n \in \{..Sup (restriction-related-set\ x\ y)\} \implies$ 
     $n \in restriction-related-set\ x\ y \rangle$  for  $n$ 
    by simp (meson mem-Collect-eq restriction-related-le)
qed
qed

```

lemma *restriction-not-related-set-is-atLeast :*

```

 $\langle restriction-not-related-set\ x\ y =$ 
   $(if\ x \lesssim y\ then\ \{\}\ else\ \{Inf\ (restriction-not-related-set\ x\ y).. \}) \rangle$ 
proof (split if-split, intro conjI impI)
  from empty-restriction-not-related-set-iff
  show  $\langle x \lesssim y \implies restriction-not-related-set\ x\ y = \{\} \rangle$  by blast
next
  assume  $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$ 
  have  $\langle restriction-not-related-set\ x\ y = UNIV - restriction-related-set$ 
 $x\ y \rangle$  by auto
  also have  $\langle .. = UNIV - \{..Sup (restriction-related-set\ x\ y)\} \rangle$ 
    by (subst restriction-related-set-is-atMost) (simp add:  $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$ )
  also have  $\langle .. = \{Suc (Sup (restriction-related-set\ x\ y)).. \} \rangle$  by auto
  also have  $\langle Suc (Sup (restriction-related-set\ x\ y)) = Inf (restriction-not-related-set$ 
 $x\ y) \rangle$ 
    by (simp add:  $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$  flip: Inf-restriction-not-related-set-eq-Suc-Sup-restriction-related-set)
  finally show  $\langle restriction-not-related-set\ x\ y = \{Inf (restriction-not-related-set$ 
 $x\ y).. \} \rangle$  .
qed

```

end

1.2 Restriction shift Maps

locale *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace =*

```

 $R1 : Restriction\ \langle \downarrow_1 \rangle\ \langle \lesssim_1 \rangle +$ 
 $PRS2 : PreorderRestrictionSpace\ \langle \downarrow_2 \rangle\ \langle \lesssim_2 \rangle$ 
for  $restriction_1 :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$  (infixl  $\langle \downarrow_1 \rangle$  60)
  and  $relation_1 :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool \rangle$  (infixl  $\langle \lesssim_1 \rangle$  50)
  and  $restriction_2 :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$  (infixl  $\langle \downarrow_2 \rangle$  60)
  and  $relation_2 :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool \rangle$  (infixl  $\langle \lesssim_2 \rangle$  50)
begin

```

1.2.1 Definition

This notion is a generalization of constructive map and non-destructive map.

definition *restriction-shift-on* $:: \langle ['a \Rightarrow 'b, int, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$

```

where  $\langle restriction-shift-on\ f\ k\ A \equiv$ 
   $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall n. x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \implies f\ x \downarrow_2\ nat\ (int\ n +$ 
 $k) \lesssim_2 f\ y \downarrow_2\ nat\ (int\ n + k) \rangle$ 

```

definition *restriction-shift* :: $\langle ['a \Rightarrow 'b, \text{int}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ \text{UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-onI* :
 $\langle (\bigwedge x \ y \ n. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \neg f \ x \lesssim_2 f \ y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-shift-on-def*
by (*metis PRS2.all-restriction-related-iff-related*)

corollary *restriction-shiftI* :
 $\langle (\bigwedge x \ y \ n. \llbracket \neg f \ x \lesssim_2 f \ y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{restriction-shift } f \ k \rangle$
by (*unfold restriction-shift-def, rule restriction-shift-onI*)

lemma *restriction-shift-onD* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A; x \in A; y \in A; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \ x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \rrbracket$
by (*unfold restriction-shift-on-def blast*)

lemma *restriction-shiftD* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{restriction-shift } f \ k; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f \ x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k)$
 $\lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \rrbracket$
unfolding *restriction-shift-def using restriction-shift-onD by blast*

lemma *restriction-shift-on-subset* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-shift-on-def subset-iff*)

lemma *restriction-shift-imp-restriction-shift-on [restriction-shift-simpset]*
:
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-shift-def using restriction-shift-on-subset by blast*

lemma *restriction-shift-on-imp-restriction-shift-on-le [restriction-shift-simpset]*
:
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ l \ A \rangle$ **if** $\langle l \leq k \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-shift-onI*)
fix $x \ y \ n$ **assume** $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rangle$
from $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle$ [*THEN restriction-shift-onD, OF this*]
have $\langle f \ x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f \ y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$.

moreover have $\langle \text{nat } (int\ n + l) \leq \text{nat } (int\ n + k) \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: nat-mono* $\langle l \leq k \rangle$)
ultimately show $\langle f\ x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int\ n + l) \lesssim_2 f\ y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int\ n + l) \rangle$
using *PRS2.restriction-related-le* **by** *blast*
qed

corollary *restriction-shift-imp-restriction-shift-le* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:
 $\langle l \leq k \implies \text{restriction-shift } f\ k \implies \text{restriction-shift } f\ l \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-shift-def*
by (*fact restriction-shift-on-imp-restriction-shift-on-le*)

lemma *restriction-shift-on-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P\ x \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } (f\ x)\ k\ A; \bigwedge x. \neg P\ x \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } (g\ x)\ k\ A \rrbracket \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda y. \text{if } P\ x \text{ then } f\ x\ y \text{ else } g\ x\ y)\ k\ A \rangle$
by (*rule restriction-shift-onI*) (*auto dest: restriction-shift-onD*)

corollary *restriction-shift-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P\ x \implies \text{restriction-shift } (f\ x)\ k; \bigwedge x. \neg P\ x \implies \text{restriction-shift } (g\ x)\ k \rrbracket \implies \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda y. \text{if } P\ x \text{ then } f\ x\ y \text{ else } g\ x\ y)\ k \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-shift-def* **by** (*fact restriction-shift-on-if-then-else*)

1.2.2 Three particular Cases

The shift is most often equal to 0, 1 or -1 . We provide extra support in these three cases.

Non-too-destructive Map **definition** *non-too-destructive-on* ::

$\langle ['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f\ A \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f\ (-1)\ A \rangle$

definition *non-too-destructive* :: $\langle ['a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$

where $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \equiv \text{non-too-destructive-on } f\ \text{UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructive-onI* :

$\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f\ A \rangle$
if $\langle \bigwedge n\ x\ y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \neg f\ x \lesssim_2 f\ y; x \downarrow_1\ \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1\ \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies f\ x \downarrow_2\ n \lesssim_2 f\ y \downarrow_2\ n \rangle$

proof (*unfold non-too-destructive-on-def, rule restriction-shift-onI*)

fix $x\ y\ n$

show $\langle \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \neg f\ x \lesssim_2 f\ y; x \downarrow_1\ n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1\ n \rrbracket \implies f\ x \downarrow_2\ \text{nat } (int\ n + -1) \lesssim_2 f\ y \downarrow_2\ \text{nat } (int\ n + -1) \rangle$

by (cases <n < 1>) (simp-all add: Suc-nat-eq-nat-zadd1 that)
qed

lemma non-too-destructiveI :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge n x y. \llbracket \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 n \rrbracket \rangle$
 $\implies \text{non-too-destructive } f \rangle$
 by (unfold non-too-destructive-def, rule non-too-destructive-onI)

lemma non-too-destructive-onD :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 n \rangle$
 unfolding non-too-destructive-on-def using restriction-shift-onD by fastforce

lemma non-too-destructiveD :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{non-too-destructive } f; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 n \rangle$
 unfolding non-too-destructive-def using non-too-destructive-onD by simp

lemma non-too-destructive-on-subset :
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A \rangle$
 by (meson non-too-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-subset)

lemma non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset] :
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A \rangle$
 unfolding non-too-destructive-def using non-too-destructive-on-subset by auto

corollary non-too-destructive-on-if-then-else [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } (f x) A; \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } (g x) A \rrbracket \implies \text{non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) A \rangle$
 and non-too-destructive-if-then-else [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{non-too-destructive } (f x); \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{non-too-destructive } (g x) \rrbracket \implies \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) \rangle$
 by (auto simp add: non-too-destructive-def non-too-destructive-on-def intro: restriction-shift-on-if-then-else)

Non-destructive Map definition non-destructive-on :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 where $\langle \text{non-destructive-on } f A \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f 0 A \rangle$

definition *non-destructive* :: $\langle [a \Rightarrow b] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \equiv \text{non-destructive-on } f \text{ UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *non-destructive-onI* :
 $\langle [\bigwedge n \ x \ y. [n \neq 0; x \in A; y \in A; \neg f \ x \ \lesssim_2 \ f \ y; x \ \downarrow_1 \ n \ \lesssim_1 \ y \ \downarrow_1 \ n]] \Rightarrow f \ x \ \downarrow_2 \ n \ \lesssim_2 \ f \ y \ \downarrow_2 \ n] \Rightarrow \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A \rangle$
by (*unfold non-destructive-on-def, rule restriction-shift-onI*)
(*metis PRS2.restriction-0-related add.right-neutral nat-int*)

lemma *non-destructiveI* :
 $\langle [\bigwedge n \ x \ y. [n \neq 0; \neg f \ x \ \lesssim_2 \ f \ y; x \ \downarrow_1 \ n \ \lesssim_1 \ y \ \downarrow_1 \ n]] \Rightarrow f \ x \ \downarrow_2 \ n \ \lesssim_2 \ f \ y \ \downarrow_2 \ n] \Rightarrow \text{non-destructive } f \rangle$ **by** (*unfold non-destructive-def, rule non-destructive-onI*)

lemma *non-destructive-onD* :
 $\langle [\text{non-destructive-on } f \ A; x \in A; y \in A; \neg f \ x \ \lesssim_2 \ f \ y; x \ \downarrow_1 \ n \ \lesssim_1 \ y \ \downarrow_1 \ n] \Rightarrow f \ x \ \downarrow_2 \ n \ \lesssim_2 \ f \ y \ \downarrow_2 \ n \rangle$
by (*simp add: non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-def*)

lemma *non-destructiveD* : $\langle [\text{non-destructive } f; x \ \downarrow_1 \ n \ \lesssim_1 \ y \ \downarrow_1 \ n] \Rightarrow f \ x \ \downarrow_2 \ n \ \lesssim_2 \ f \ y \ \downarrow_2 \ n \rangle$
by (*simp add: non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-def*)

lemma *non-destructive-on-subset* :
 $\langle \text{non-destructive-on } f \ B \Rightarrow A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A \rangle$
by (*meson non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-subset*)

lemma *non-destructive-imp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \Rightarrow \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A \rangle$
unfolding *non-destructive-def using non-destructive-on-subset by auto*

lemma *non-destructive-on-imp-non-too-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A \Rightarrow \text{non-too-destructive-on } f \ A \rangle$
unfolding *non-destructive-on-def non-too-destructive-on-def*
by (*rule restriction-shift-on-imp-restriction-shift-on-le[of <- 1> 0 f A, simplified]*)

corollary *non-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \Rightarrow \text{non-too-destructive } f \rangle$
by (*unfold non-destructive-def non-too-destructive-def*)
(*fact non-destructive-on-imp-non-too-destructive-on*)

corollary *non-destructive-on-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{non-destructive-on } (f x) A; \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{non-destructive-on } (g x) A \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) A \rangle$

and *non-destructive-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{non-destructive } (f x); \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{non-destructive } (g x) \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{non-destructive } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) \rangle$

by (*auto simp add: non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-def*
intro: restriction-shift-on-if-then-else)

Constructive Map **definition** *constructive-on* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where $\langle \text{constructive-on } f A \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f 1 A \rangle$

definition *constructive* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \rangle \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where $\langle \text{constructive } f \equiv \text{constructive-on } f \text{ UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-onI* :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge n x y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{constructive-on } f A \rangle$

by (*simp add: Suc-as-int constructive-on-def restriction-shift-onI*)

lemma *constructiveI* :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge n x y. \llbracket \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{constructive } f \rangle$ **by** (*unfold constructive-def, rule constructive-onI*)

lemma *constructive-onD* :

$\langle \llbracket \text{constructive-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket$

unfolding *constructive-on-def* **by** (*metis Suc-as-int restriction-shift-onD*)

lemma *constructiveD* : $\langle \llbracket \text{constructive } f; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket$

unfolding *constructive-def* **using** *constructive-onD* **by** *blast*

lemma *constructive-on-subset* :

$\langle \text{constructive-on } f B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{constructive-on } f A \rangle$

by (*meson constructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-subset*)

lemma *constructive-imp-constructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :

$\langle \text{constructive } f \implies \text{constructive-on } f \ A \rangle$
unfolding *constructive-def using constructive-on-subset by auto*

lemma *constructive-on-imp-non-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]*
 :
 $\langle \text{constructive-on } f \ A \implies \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A \rangle$
by (*rule non-destructive-onI*)
 (*meson PRS2.restriction-related-pred constructive-onD*)

corollary *constructive-imp-non-destructive [restriction-shift-simpset]*
 :
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \implies \text{non-destructive } f \rangle$
unfolding *constructive-def non-destructive-def*
by (*fact constructive-on-imp-non-destructive-on*)

corollary *constructive-on-if-then-else [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset]* :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P \ x \implies \text{constructive-on } (f \ x) \ A; \bigwedge x. \neg P \ x \implies \text{constructive-on } (g \ x) \ A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{constructive-on } (\lambda y. \text{if } P \ x \ \text{then } f \ x \ y \ \text{else } g \ x \ y) \ A \rangle$
and *constructive-if-then-else [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset]*
 :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P \ x \implies \text{constructive } (f \ x); \bigwedge x. \neg P \ x \implies \text{constructive } (g \ x) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{constructive } (\lambda y. \text{if } P \ x \ \text{then } f \ x \ y \ \text{else } g \ x \ y) \rangle$
by (*auto simp add: constructive-def constructive-on-def*
intro: restriction-shift-on-if-then-else)

end

1.2.3 Properties

locale *PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace* =
 $PRS1 : \text{PreorderRestrictionSpace } \langle \downarrow_1 \rangle \langle \lesssim_1 \rangle +$
 $PRS2 : \text{PreorderRestrictionSpace } \langle \downarrow_2 \rangle \langle \lesssim_2 \rangle$
for *restriction₁* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow_1 \rangle$ 60)
and *relation₁* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \lesssim_1 \rangle$ 50)
and *restriction₂* :: $\langle 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow_2 \rangle$ 60)
and *relation₂* :: $\langle 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \lesssim_2 \rangle$ 50)
begin

sublocale *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace* **by** *unfold-locales*

lemma *restriction-shift-on-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle f \ (x \ \downarrow_1 \ n) \ \downarrow_2 \ \text{nat} \ (int \ n + k) \lesssim_2 \ f \ x \ \downarrow_2 \ \text{nat} \ (int \ n + k) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle \langle x \ \downarrow_1 \ n \in A \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle \langle x \ \downarrow_1 \ n \lesssim_1 \ x \ \downarrow_1 \ n \rangle$

— the last assumption is trivial if (\lesssim_1) is reflexive

by (*rule restriction-shift-onD*
 $[OF \langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ A \rangle \langle x \downarrow_1 \ n \in A \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle]$)
(simp add: $\langle x \downarrow_1 \ n \lesssim_1 \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \rangle$))

corollary *restriction-shift-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle f \ (x \downarrow_1 \ n) \downarrow_2 \ \text{nat} \ (int \ n + k) \lesssim_2 \ f \ x \downarrow_2 \ \text{nat} \ (int \ n + k) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \rangle$ **and** $\langle x \downarrow_1 \ n \lesssim_1 \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \rangle$
by (*rule restriction-shiftD* $[OF \langle \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \rangle]$)
(simp add: $\langle x \downarrow_1 \ n \lesssim_1 \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \rangle$))

corollary *constructive-on-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{constructive-on } f \ A; \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \in A; \ x \in A; \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \lesssim_1 \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \ (x \downarrow_1 \ n) \downarrow_2 \ \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 \ f \ x \downarrow_2 \ \text{Suc } n \rangle$
using *restriction-shift-on-restriction-restriction*
restriction-shift-restriction-restriction Suc-as-int
unfolding *constructive-on-def* **by** *presburger*

corollary *constructive-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \implies x \downarrow_1 \ n \lesssim_1 \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \implies f \ (x \downarrow_1 \ n) \downarrow_2 \ \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 \ f \ x \downarrow_2 \ \text{Suc } n \rangle$
by (*simp add: constructive-def constructive-on-restriction-restriction*)

corollary *non-destructive-on-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A; \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \in A; \ x \in A; \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \lesssim_1 \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \ (x \downarrow_1 \ n) \downarrow_2 \ n \lesssim_2 \ f \ x \downarrow_2 \ n \rangle$
using *restriction-shift-on-restriction-restriction*
restriction-shift-restriction-restriction
unfolding *non-destructive-on-def* **by** (*metis add.commute add-0 nat-int*)

corollary *non-destructive-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \implies x \downarrow_1 \ n \lesssim_1 \ x \downarrow_1 \ n \implies f \ (x \downarrow_1 \ n) \downarrow_2 \ n \lesssim_2 \ f \ x \downarrow_2 \ n \rangle$
by (*simp add: non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-restriction-restriction*)

corollary *non-too-destructive-on-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{non-too-destructive-on } f \ A; \ x \downarrow_1 \ \text{Suc } n \in A; \ x \in A; \ x \downarrow_1 \ \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 \ x \downarrow_1 \ \text{Suc } n \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \ (x \downarrow_1 \ \text{Suc } n) \downarrow_2 \ n \lesssim_2 \ f \ x \downarrow_2 \ n \rangle$
using *restriction-shift-on-restriction-restriction*
restriction-shift-restriction-restriction
unfolding *non-too-destructive-on-def* **by** *fastforce*

corollary *non-too-destructive-restriction-restriction* :
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \implies x \downarrow_1 \ \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 \ x \downarrow_1 \ \text{Suc } n \implies f \ (x \downarrow_1 \ \text{Suc } n) \downarrow_2 \ n \lesssim_2 \ f \ x \downarrow_2 \ n \rangle$

$n) \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 n$
by (*simp add: non-too-destructive-def non-too-destructive-on-restriction-restriction*)

end

locale *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*

=

R2PRS1 : *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace* $\langle \downarrow_1 \rangle \langle \lesssim_1 \rangle \langle \downarrow_2 \rangle$
 $\langle \lesssim_2 \rangle$ +
PRS2 : *PreorderRestrictionSpace* $\langle \downarrow_3 \rangle \langle \lesssim_3 \rangle$
for *restriction*₁ :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow_1 \rangle$ 60)
and *relation*₁ :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \lesssim_1 \rangle$ 50)
and *restriction*₂ :: $\langle 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow_2 \rangle$ 60)
and *relation*₂ :: $\langle 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \lesssim_2 \rangle$ 50)
and *restriction*₃ :: $\langle 'c \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'c \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow_3 \rangle$ 60)
and *relation*₃ :: $\langle 'c \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (**infixl** $\langle \lesssim_3 \rangle$ 50)

begin

interpretation *R2PRS2* : *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace* $\langle \downarrow_1 \rangle$
 $\langle \lesssim_1 \rangle \langle \downarrow_3 \rangle \langle \lesssim_3 \rangle$
by *unfold-locales*

interpretation *PRS2PRS3* : *PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*
 $\langle \downarrow_2 \rangle \langle \lesssim_2 \rangle \langle \downarrow_3 \rangle \langle \lesssim_3 \rangle$
by *unfold-locales*

theorem *restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

$\langle R2PRS2.\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) (k + l) A \rangle$
if $\langle f ' A \subseteq B \rangle \langle PRS2PRS3.\text{restriction-shift-on } g l B \rangle \langle R2PRS1.\text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$

proof (*rule R2PRS2.restriction-shift-onI*)

fix $x y n$ **assume** $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rangle$
from $\langle R2PRS1.\text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$ [*THEN* *R2PRS1.restriction-shift-onD*,
OF this]

have $\langle f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int n + k) \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (int n + k) \rangle$.
moreover from $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle f ' A \subseteq B \rangle$ **have** $\langle f x \in B \rangle \langle f y \in B \rangle$ **by** *auto*

ultimately have $\langle g (f x) \downarrow_3 \text{nat } (int (nat (int n + k)) + l) \lesssim_3$
 $g (f y) \downarrow_3 \text{nat } (int (nat (int n + k)) + l) \rangle$

using $\langle PRS2PRS3.\text{restriction-shift-on } g l B \rangle$ [*THEN* *PRS2PRS3.restriction-shift-onD*]
by *blast*

moreover have $\langle \text{nat } (int n + (k + l)) \leq \text{nat } (int (nat (int n + k)) + l) \rangle$

by (*simp add: nat-mono*)

ultimately show $\langle g (f x) \downarrow_3 \text{ nat } (int\ n + (k + l)) \lesssim_3 g (f y) \downarrow_3 \text{ nat } (int\ n + (k + l)) \rangle$
by (*metis PRS2.restriction-related-le*)
qed

corollary *restriction-shift-comp-restriction-shift-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:
 $\langle PRS2PRS3.restriction-shift\ g\ l \implies R2PRS1.restriction-shift-on\ f\ k$
 $A \implies$
 $R2PRS2.restriction-shift-on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ (k + l)\ A \rangle$
using *PRS2PRS3.restriction-shift-imp-restriction-shift-on*
restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on **by** *blast*

corollary *restriction-shift-comp-restriction-shift* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:
 $\langle PRS2PRS3.restriction-shift\ g\ l \implies R2PRS1.restriction-shift\ f\ k \implies$
 $R2PRS2.restriction-shift\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ (k + l) \rangle$
by (*simp add: R2PRS1.restriction-shift-imp-restriction-shift-on*
R2PRS2.restriction-shift-def restriction-shift-comp-restriction-shift-on)

corollary *non-destructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \llbracket f ' A \subseteq B; PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-on\ g\ B; R2PRS1.non-destructive-on\ f\ A \rrbracket \implies$
 $R2PRS2.non-destructive-on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ A \rangle$
by (*simp add: R2PRS1.non-destructive-on-def R2PRS2.non-destructive-on-def*
R2PRS2.restriction-shift-on-def R2PRS1.restriction-shift-on-def)
(*meson PRS2.mono-restriction-related PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-onD*
image-subset-iff)

corollary *non-destructive-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:
 $\langle PRS2PRS3.non-destructive\ g \implies R2PRS1.non-destructive-on\ f\ A$
 \implies
 $R2PRS2.non-destructive-on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ A \rangle$
by (*simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-destructiveD R2PRS1.non-destructive-on-def*
R2PRS2.non-destructive-on-def R2PRS2.restriction-shift-on-def
R2PRS1.restriction-shift-on-def)

corollary *non-destructive-comp-non-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:
 $\langle PRS2PRS3.non-destructive\ g \implies R2PRS1.non-destructive\ f \implies$
 $R2PRS2.non-destructive\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x)) \rangle$
by (*simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-destructiveD R2PRS1.non-destructiveD*
R2PRS2.non-destructive-def R2PRS2.non-destructive-onI)

corollary *constructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:

 $\langle \llbracket f ' A \subseteq B; PRS2PRS3.constructive-on\ g\ B; R2PRS1.non-destructive-on\ f\ A \rrbracket \implies$
 $R2PRS2.constructive-on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ A \rangle$
by (*metis PRS2PRS3.constructive-on-def R2PRS1.non-destructive-on-def*
 $R2PRS2.constructive-on-def\ add.commute\ add-cancel-left-right$
 $restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on$)

corollary *constructive-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:

 $\langle PRS2PRS3.constructive\ g \implies R2PRS1.non-destructive-on\ f\ A \implies$
 $R2PRS2.constructive-on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ A \rangle$
by (*simp add: R2PRS1.Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-axioms*
 $PRS2PRS3.constructiveD\ R2PRS1.non-destructive-on-def\ R2PRS2.constructive-onI$
 $Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.restriction-shift-on-def$)

corollary *constructive-comp-non-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:

 $\langle PRS2PRS3.constructive\ g \implies R2PRS1.non-destructive\ f \implies$
 $R2PRS2.constructive\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x)) \rangle$
by (*simp add: PRS2PRS3.constructiveD R2PRS1.non-destructiveD*
 $R2PRS2.constructiveI$)

corollary *non-destructive-on-comp-constructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:

 $\langle \llbracket f ' A \subseteq B; PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-on\ g\ B; R2PRS1.constructive-on\ f\ A \rrbracket \implies$
 $R2PRS2.constructive-on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ A \rangle$
by (*simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-onD R2PRS1.constructive-onD*
 $R2PRS2.constructive-onI\ image-subset-iff$)

corollary *non-destructive-comp-constructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:

 $\langle PRS2PRS3.non-destructive\ g \implies R2PRS1.constructive-on\ f\ A \implies$
 $R2PRS2.constructive-on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ A \rangle$
using $PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-def\ non-destructive-on-comp-constructive-on$
by *blast*

corollary *non-destructive-comp-constructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:

 $\langle PRS2PRS3.non-destructive\ g \implies R2PRS1.constructive\ f \implies$
 $R2PRS2.constructive\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x)) \rangle$
using $PRS2PRS3.non-destructiveD\ R2PRS1.constructiveD\ R2PRS2.constructiveI$
by *presburger*

corollary *non-too-destructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:
 $\langle \llbracket f \cdot A \subseteq B; PRS2PRS3.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\text{-}on\ g\ B; R2PRS1.non\text{-}destructive\text{-}on\ f\ A \rrbracket \implies$
 $R2PRS2.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\text{-}on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ A \rangle$
by (*metis PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-on-def R2PRS1.non-destructive-on-def*
R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-on-def add.commute
add.right-neutral restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on)

corollary *non-too-destructive-comp-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:
 $\langle PRS2PRS3.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\ g \implies R2PRS1.non\text{-}destructive\text{-}on\ f$
 $A \implies$
 $R2PRS2.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\text{-}on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ A \rangle$
by (*metis PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on*
non-too-destructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on top-greatest)

corollary *non-too-destructive-comp-non-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:
 $\langle PRS2PRS3.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\ g \implies R2PRS1.non\text{-}destructive\ f$
 \implies
 $R2PRS2.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x)) \rangle$
by (*simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructiveD R2PRS1.non-destructiveD*
R2PRS2.non-too-destructiveI)

corollary *non-destructive-on-comp-non-too-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:
 $\langle \llbracket f \cdot A \subseteq B; PRS2PRS3.non\text{-}destructive\text{-}on\ g\ B; R2PRS1.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\text{-}on\ f\ A \rrbracket \implies$
 $R2PRS2.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\text{-}on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ A \rangle$
by (*simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-onD R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-onD*
R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-onI image-subset-iff)

corollary *non-destructive-comp-non-too-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:
 $\langle PRS2PRS3.non\text{-}destructive\ g \implies R2PRS1.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\text{-}on\ f$
 $A \implies$
 $R2PRS2.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\text{-}on\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x))\ A \rangle$
by (*simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-destructiveD R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-onD*
R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-onI)

corollary *non-destructive-comp-non-too-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:
 $\langle PRS2PRS3.non\text{-}destructive\ g \implies R2PRS1.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\ f$
 \implies
 $R2PRS2.non\text{-}too\text{-}destructive\ (\lambda x. g\ (f\ x)) \rangle$
using *R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-def*
non-destructive-comp-non-too-destructive-on **by** *blast*

corollary *non-too-destructive-on-comp-constructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \llbracket f \text{ ' } A \subseteq B; \text{ PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-on } g \text{ B; R2PRS1.constructive-on } f \text{ A} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ A} \rangle$
using *restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on*[*of f A B g <- 1>*]
 1]
by (*simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-on-def*
R2PRS2.non-destructive-on-def R2PRS1.constructive-on-def)

corollary *non-too-destructive-comp-constructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.constructive-on } f \text{ A} \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ A} \rangle$
by (*metis PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on*
non-too-destructive-on-comp-constructive-on top-greatest)

corollary *non-too-destructive-comp-constructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.constructive } f \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-destructive } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \rangle$
by (*simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructiveD R2PRS1.constructiveD*
R2PRS2.non-destructiveI)

corollary *constructive-on-comp-non-too-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \llbracket f \text{ ' } A \subseteq B; \text{ PRS2PRS3.constructive-on } g \text{ B; R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on } f \text{ A} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ A} \rangle$
using *restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on*[*of f A B g 1 <-*
 1>]
by (*simp add: R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on-def*
PRS2PRS3.constructive-on-def R2PRS2.non-destructive-on-def)

corollary *constructive-comp-non-too-destructive-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.constructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on } f \text{ A} \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ A} \rangle$
using *PRS2PRS3.constructive-imp-constructive-on constructive-on-comp-non-too-destructive-on*
by *blast*

corollary *constructive-comp-non-too-destructive* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
 :
 $\langle \text{PRS2PRS3.constructive } g \implies \text{R2PRS1.non-too-destructive } f \implies$
 $\text{R2PRS2.non-destructive } (\lambda x. g (f x)) \rangle$

by (metis R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on
R2PRS2.non-destructiveI
R2PRS2.non-destructive-onD UNIV-I constructive-comp-non-too-destructive-on)

end

2 Class Implementation

2.1 Preliminaries

Small lemma from HOL-Library.Infinite_Set to avoid dependency.

lemma *INFM-nat-le*: $\langle (\exists_{\infty} n :: \text{nat}. P\ n) \longleftrightarrow (\forall m. \exists n \geq m. P\ n) \rangle$
unfolding *cofinite-eq-sequentially frequently-sequentially by simp*

We need to be able to extract a subsequence verifying a predicate.

fun *extraction-subseq* :: $\langle [\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{extraction-subseq}\ \sigma\ P\ 0 = (\text{LEAST}\ k. P\ (\sigma\ k)) \rangle$
 $\mid \langle \text{extraction-subseq}\ \sigma\ P\ (\text{Suc}\ n) = (\text{LEAST}\ k. \text{extraction-subseq}\ \sigma\ P\ n < k \wedge P\ (\sigma\ k)) \rangle$

lemma *exists-extraction-subseq* :

assumes $\langle \exists_{\infty} k. P\ (\sigma\ k) \rangle$

defines *f-def* : $\langle f \equiv \text{extraction-subseq}\ \sigma\ P \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{strict-mono}\ f \rangle$ **and** $\langle P\ (\sigma\ (f\ k)) \rangle$

proof –

have $\langle f\ n < f\ (\text{Suc}\ n) \wedge P\ (\sigma\ (f\ n)) \rangle$ **for** *n*

by (cases *n*, *simp-all add: f-def*)

(metis (mono-tags, lifting) $\langle \exists_{\infty} k. P\ (\sigma\ k) \rangle$ [unfolded *INFM-nat-le*]

LeastI-ex Suc-le-eq)+

thus $\langle \text{strict-mono}\ f \rangle$ **and** $\langle P\ (\sigma\ (f\ k)) \rangle$

by (*simp-all add: strict-mono-Suc-iff*)

qed

lemma *extraction-subseqD* :

$\langle \exists f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}. \text{strict-mono}\ f \wedge (\forall k. P\ (\sigma\ (f\ k))) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \exists_{\infty} k. P\ (\sigma\ k) \rangle$

proof (*rule exI*)

show $\langle \text{strict-mono}\ (\text{extraction-subseq}\ \sigma\ P) \wedge (\forall k. P\ (\sigma\ ((\text{extraction-subseq}\ \sigma\ P)\ k))) \rangle$

by (*simp add: $\langle \exists_{\infty} k. P (\sigma k) \rangle$ exists-extraction-subseq*)
qed

lemma *extraction-subseqE* :

— The idea is to abstract the concrete construction of this extraction function, we only need the fact that there is one.

$\langle \exists_{\infty} k. P (\sigma k) \implies (\bigwedge f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat. strict-mono } f \implies (\bigwedge k. P (\sigma (f k))) \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis} \rangle$
by (*blast dest: extraction-subseqD*)

2.2 Basic Notions for Restriction

class *restriction* =

fixes *restriction* :: $\langle 'a, \text{nat} \rangle \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** $\langle \downarrow \rangle$ 60)

assumes [*simp*] : $\langle x \downarrow n \downarrow m = x \downarrow \min n m \rangle$

begin

sublocale *Restriction* $\langle (\downarrow) \rangle \langle (=) \rangle$ **by** *unfold-locales simp*

— Just to recover *local.restriction-related-set* and *local.restriction-not-related-set*.
end

class *restriction-space* = *restriction* +

assumes [*simp*] : $\langle x \downarrow 0 = y \downarrow 0 \rangle$

and *ex-not-restriction-eq* : $\langle x \neq y \implies \exists n. x \downarrow n \neq y \downarrow n \rangle$

begin

sublocale *PreorderRestrictionSpace* $\langle (\downarrow) \rangle \langle (=) \rangle$

by *unfold-locales (simp-all add: ex-not-restriction-eq)*

lemma *restriction-related-set-commute* :

$\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x y = \text{restriction-related-set } y x \rangle$ **by** *auto*

lemma *restriction-not-related-set-commute* :

$\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x y = \text{restriction-not-related-set } y x \rangle$ **by** *auto*

end

context *restriction-space* **begin**

sublocale *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*

$\langle (\downarrow) :: 'b :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle \langle (=) \rangle$

$\langle (\downarrow) :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \langle (=) \rangle \dots$

With this we recover constants like *local.restriction-shift-on*.

sublocale *PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*

$\langle (\downarrow) :: 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle \langle (=) \rangle$

$\langle \downarrow \rangle :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \langle (=) \rangle ..$

With that we recover theorems like $\llbracket \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive} \downarrow \rangle (=) \downarrow \rangle (=) ?f; ?x \downarrow ?n = ?x \downarrow ?n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?f (?x \downarrow ?n) \downarrow \text{Suc} ?n = ?f ?x \downarrow \text{Suc} ?n.$

sublocale *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*
 $\langle \downarrow \rangle :: 'c :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'c \rangle \langle (=) \rangle$
 $\langle \downarrow \rangle :: 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle \langle (=) \rangle$
 $\langle \downarrow \rangle :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \langle (=) \rangle ..$

And with that we recover theorems like $\llbracket ?f ' ?A \subseteq ?B; \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on} \downarrow \rangle (=) \downarrow \rangle (=) ?g ?B; \text{R2PRS1.non-destructive-on} ?f ?A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on} \downarrow \rangle (=) \downarrow \rangle (=) (\lambda x. ?g (?f x)) ?A.$

lemma *restriction-shift-const* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift} (\lambda x. c) k \rangle \text{ by } (\text{simp add: restriction-shiftI})$

lemma *constructive-const* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{constructive} (\lambda x. c) \rangle \text{ by } (\text{simp add: constructiveI})$

end

lemma *restriction-shift-on-restricted* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on} (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) k A \rangle \text{ if } \langle \text{restriction-shift-on} f k A \rangle$

proof (*rule restriction-shift-onI*)

fix $x y m$ **assume** $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle x \downarrow m = y \downarrow m \rangle$

from *restriction-shift-onD*[*OF* $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on} f k A \rangle$ *this*]

have $\langle f x \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } m + k) = f y \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } m + k) \rangle .$

hence $\langle f x \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } m + k) \downarrow n = f y \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } m + k) \downarrow n \rangle \text{ by } \text{arg0}$

thus $\langle f x \downarrow n \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } m + k) = f y \downarrow n \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } m + k) \rangle$

by (*simp add: min.commute*)

qed

lemma *restriction-shift-restricted* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift} f k \Longrightarrow \text{restriction-shift} (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) k \rangle$
using *restriction-shift-def restriction-shift-on-restricted* **by** *blast*

corollary *constructive-restricted* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{constructive} f \Longrightarrow \text{constructive} (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) \rangle$
by (*simp add: constructive-def constructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-restricted*)

corollary *non-destructive-restricted* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{non-destructive} f \Longrightarrow \text{non-destructive} (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) \rangle$
by (*simp add: non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-restricted*)

lemma *non-destructive-id* [*restriction-shift-simpset*] :
 ⟨*non-destructive id*⟩ ⟨*non-destructive* ($\lambda x. x$)⟩
 by (*simp-all add: id-def non-destructiveI*)

interpretation *less-eqRS* : *Restriction* ⟨ \downarrow ⟩ ⟨ \leq ⟩ by *unfold-locales*
 — Just to recover *less-eqRS.restriction-related-set* and *less-eqRS.restriction-not-related-set*.

class *preorder-restriction-space* = *restriction* + *preorder* +
 assumes *restriction-0-less-eq* [*simp*] : ⟨ $x \downarrow 0 \leq y \downarrow 0$ ⟩
 and *mono-restriction-less-eq* : ⟨ $x \leq y \implies x \downarrow n \leq y \downarrow n$ ⟩
 and *ex-not-restriction-less-eq* : ⟨ $\neg x \leq y \implies \exists n. \neg x \downarrow n \leq y \downarrow n$ ⟩
 begin

sublocale *less-eqRS* : *PreorderRestrictionSpace* ⟨ \downarrow ⟩ :: '*a* \implies *nat* \implies
 '*a*⟩ ⟨ \leq ⟩
proof *unfold-locales*
 show ⟨ $x \downarrow 0 \leq y \downarrow 0$ ⟩ for *x y* :: '*a* by *simp*
 next
 show ⟨ $x \leq y \implies x \downarrow n \leq y \downarrow n$ ⟩ for *x y* :: '*a* and *n*
 by (*fact mono-restriction-less-eq*)
 next
 show ⟨ $\neg x \leq y \implies \exists n. \neg x \downarrow n \leq y \downarrow n$ ⟩ for *x y* :: '*a*
 by (*simp add: ex-not-restriction-less-eq*)
 next
 show ⟨ $x \leq y \implies y \leq z \implies x \leq z$ ⟩ for *x y z* :: '*a* by (*fact order-trans*)
 qed

 end

class *order-restriction-space* = *preorder-restriction-space* + *order*
 begin

subclass *restriction-space*
proof *unfold-locales*
 show ⟨ $x \downarrow 0 = y \downarrow 0$ ⟩ for *x y* :: '*a* by (*rule order-antisym*) *simp-all*
 next
 show ⟨ $x \neq y \implies \exists n. x \downarrow n \neq y \downarrow n$ ⟩ for *x y* :: '*a*
 by (*metis ex-not-restriction-less-eq order.eq-iff*)
 qed

 end

context *preorder-restriction-space* **begin**

sublocale *less-eqRS* : *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*

$\langle (\downarrow) :: 'b :: \{restriction, ord\} \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b \rangle \langle (\leq) \rangle$
 $\langle (\downarrow) :: 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \langle (\leq) \rangle ..$

With this we recover constants like *local.less-eqRS.restriction-shift-on*.

sublocale *less-eqRS* : *PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*

$\langle (\downarrow) :: 'b :: preorder-restriction-space \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b \rangle \langle (\leq) \rangle$
 $\langle (\downarrow) :: 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \langle (\leq) \rangle ..$

With that we recover theorems like $\llbracket Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive$

$(\downarrow) (\leq) (\downarrow) (\leq) ?f; ?x \downarrow ?n \leq ?x \downarrow ?n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?f (?x \downarrow ?n) \downarrow Suc$
 $?n \leq ?f ?x \downarrow Suc ?n.$

sublocale *less-eqRS* : *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*

$\langle (\downarrow) :: 'c :: restriction \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'c \rangle \langle (=) \rangle$
 $\langle (\downarrow) :: 'b :: preorder-restriction-space \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b \rangle \langle (\leq) \rangle$
 $\langle (\downarrow) :: 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \langle (\leq) \rangle ..$

And with that we recover theorems like $\llbracket ?f ' ?A \subseteq ?B; Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on (\downarrow) (\leq) (\downarrow) (\leq) ?g ?B; local.less-eqRS.R2PRS1.non-destructive-on ?f ?A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on (\downarrow) (=) (\downarrow) (\leq) (\lambda x. ?g (?f x)) ?A.$

end

context *order-restriction-space* **begin**

From $\llbracket ?x \leq ?y; ?y \leq ?x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?x = ?y$ we can obtain stronger lemmas.

corollary *order-restriction-shift-onI* :

$\langle (\wedge x y n. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow f x \downarrow nat (int n + k) \leq f y \downarrow nat (int n + k)) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow restriction-shift-on f k A \rangle$

by (*simp add: order-antisym restriction-shift-onI*)

corollary *order-restriction-shiftI* :

$\langle (\wedge x y n. \llbracket f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow f x \downarrow nat (int n + k) \leq f y \downarrow nat (int n + k)) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow restriction-shift f k \rangle$

by (*simp add: order-antisym restriction-shiftI*)

corollary *order-non-too-destructive-onI* :

$\langle (\wedge x y n. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; f x \neq f y; x \downarrow Suc n = y \downarrow Suc n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow f x \downarrow n \leq f y \downarrow n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow non-too-destructive-on f A \rangle$

by (*simp add: order-antisym non-too-destructive-onI*)

corollary *order-non-too-destructiveI* :

$\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket f x \neq f y; x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow n \leq f y \downarrow n) \implies \text{non-too-destructive } f \rangle$

by (*simp add: order-antisym non-too-destructiveI*)

corollary *order-non-destructive-onI* :

$\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket n \neq 0; x \in A; y \in A; f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow n \leq f y \downarrow n) \implies \text{non-destructive-on } f A \rangle$

by (*simp add: order-antisym non-destructive-onI*)

corollary *order-non-destructiveI* :

$\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket n \neq 0; f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow n \leq f y \downarrow n) \implies \text{non-destructive } f \rangle$

by (*simp add: order-antisym non-destructiveI*)

corollary *order-constructive-onI* :

$\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \leq f y \downarrow \text{Suc } n) \implies \text{constructive-on } f A \rangle$

by (*simp add: order-antisym constructive-onI*)

corollary *order-constructiveI* :

$\langle (\bigwedge x y n. \llbracket f x \neq f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \leq f y \downarrow \text{Suc } n) \implies \text{constructive } f \rangle$

by (*simp add: order-antisym constructiveI*)

end

2.3 Definition of the Fixed-Point Operator

2.3.1 Preliminaries

Chain *context restriction begin*

definition *restriction-chain* :: $\langle [\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ (*chain_↓*)

where $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \equiv \forall n. \sigma (\text{Suc } n) \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$

lemma *restriction-chainI* : $\langle (\bigwedge n. \sigma (\text{Suc } n) \downarrow n = \sigma n) \implies \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle$

and *restriction-chainD* : $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \implies \sigma (\text{Suc } n) \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$

by (*simp-all add: restriction-chain-def*)

end

context *restriction-space* **begin**

lemma (in *restriction-space*) *restriction-chain-def-bis*:

$\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \leftrightarrow (\forall n m. n < m \rightarrow \sigma m \downarrow n = \sigma n) \rangle$

proof (rule *iffI*)

show $\langle \forall n m. n < m \rightarrow \sigma m \downarrow n = \sigma n \implies \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-chainI*)

next

show $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \implies \forall n m. n < m \rightarrow \sigma m \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$

proof (*intro allI impI*)

fix $n m$

assume *restriction* : $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle$

show $\langle n < m \implies \sigma m \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$

proof (*induct* $\langle m - n \rangle$ *arbitrary: m*)

fix m

assume $\langle 0 = m - n \rangle$ **and** $\langle n < m \rangle$

hence *False* **by** *simp*

thus $\langle \sigma m \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$ **by** *simp*

next

fix $x m$

assume *prems* : $\langle \text{Suc } x = m - n \rangle$ $\langle n < m \rangle$

assume *hyp* : $\langle x = m' - n \implies n < m' \implies \sigma m' \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$

for m'

obtain m' **where** $\langle m = \text{Suc } m' \rangle$ **by** (*meson less-imp-Suc-add prems(2)*)

from *prems(2)* $\langle m = \text{Suc } m' \rangle$ **consider** $\langle n = m' \rangle$ | $\langle n < m' \rangle$ **by** *linarith*

thus $\langle \sigma m \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$

proof *cases*

show $\langle n = m' \implies \sigma m \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$

by (*simp add:* $\langle m = \text{Suc } m' \rangle$ *restriction restriction-chainD*)

next

assume $\langle n < m' \rangle$

have $*$: $\langle \sigma (\text{Suc } m') \downarrow n = \sigma (\text{Suc } m') \downarrow m' \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** (*simp add:* $\langle n < m' \rangle$)

from $\langle m = \text{Suc } m' \rangle$ *prems(1)* **have** $**$: $\langle x = m' - n \rangle$ **by** *linarith*

show $\langle \sigma m \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$

by (*simp add: ** $**$ $\langle m = \text{Suc } m' \rangle$ $\langle n < m' \rangle$ *hyp restriction restriction-chainD*)

qed

qed

qed

qed

lemma *restricted-restriction-chain-is* :

$\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \implies (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow n) = \sigma \rangle$

by (rule *ext*) (*metis min.idem restriction-chainD restriction-restriction*)

lemma *restriction-chain-def-ter*:
 $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall n m. n \leq m \longrightarrow \sigma m \downarrow n = \sigma n) \rangle$
by (*metis le-eq-less-or-eq restricted-restriction-chain-is restriction-chain-def-bis*)

lemma *restriction-chain-restrictions* : $\langle \text{restriction-chain } ((\downarrow) x) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-chainI*)

end

Iterations The sequence of restricted images of powers of a constructive function is a $\text{chain}_{\downarrow}$.

context *fixes* $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$ **begin**

lemma *restriction-chain-funpow-restricted* [*simp*]:
 $\langle \text{restriction-chain } (\lambda n. (f \text{ ^^ } n) x \downarrow n) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-chainI*)
show $\langle (f \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } n) x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \downarrow n = (f \text{ ^^ } n) x \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** n
proof (*induct n*)
show $\langle (f \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } 0) x \downarrow \text{Suc } 0 \downarrow 0 = (f \text{ ^^ } 0) x \downarrow 0 \rangle$ **by** *simp*
next
fix n **assume** $\langle (f \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } n) x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \downarrow n = (f \text{ ^^ } n) x \downarrow n \rangle$
from $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ [*THEN constructiveD, OF this[simplified]*]
show $\langle (f \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) x \downarrow \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \downarrow \text{Suc } n = (f \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } n) x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$ **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *constructive-imp-eq-funpow-restricted* :
 $\langle n \leq k \Longrightarrow n \leq l \Longrightarrow (f \text{ ^^ } k) x \downarrow n = (f \text{ ^^ } l) y \downarrow n \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$
proof (*induct n arbitrary: k l*)
show $\langle (f \text{ ^^ } k) x \downarrow 0 = (f \text{ ^^ } l) y \downarrow 0 \rangle$ **for** $k l$ **by** *simp*
next
fix $n k l$ **assume** *hyp* : $\langle n \leq k \Longrightarrow n \leq l \Longrightarrow (f \text{ ^^ } k) x \downarrow n = (f \text{ ^^ } l) y \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** $k l$
assume $\langle \text{Suc } n \leq k \rangle \langle \text{Suc } n \leq l \rangle$
then obtain $k' l'$ **where** $\langle k = \text{Suc } k' \rangle \langle n \leq k' \rangle \langle l = \text{Suc } l' \rangle \langle n \leq l' \rangle$
by (*metis Suc-le-D not-less-eq-eq*)
from $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ [*THEN constructiveD, OF hyp[OF \langle n \leq k' \rangle \langle n \leq l' \rangle]*]
show $\langle (f \text{ ^^ } k) x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = (f \text{ ^^ } l) y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
by (*simp add: \langle k = \text{Suc } k' \rangle \langle l = \text{Suc } l' \rangle*)
qed

end

Limits and Convergence **context** *restriction* **begin**

definition *restriction-tendsto* :: $\langle [nat \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool \rangle (\langle ((-)/ - \downarrow \rightarrow (-)) \rangle [59, 59] 59)$
where $\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \equiv \forall n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$

lemma *restriction-tendstoI* : $\langle (\bigwedge n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n) \implies \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-def*)

lemma *restriction-tendstoD* : $\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-def*)

lemma *restriction-tendstoE* :
 $\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge n0. (\bigwedge k. n0 \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n) \implies thesis) \implies thesis \rangle$
using *restriction-tendstoD* **by** *blast*

end

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-tendsto-unique* :
 $\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma' \implies \Sigma = \Sigma' \rangle$
by (*metis ex-not-restriction-eq restriction-tendstoD nat-le-linear*)

context *restriction* **begin**

lemma *restriction-tendsto-const-restricted* :
 $\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow k) - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \downarrow k \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-tendsto-def* **by** *metis*

lemma *restriction-tendsto-iff-eventually-in-restriction-eq-set* :
 $\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \iff (\forall n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. n \in \text{restriction-related-set } \Sigma (\sigma k)) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-def*)

lemma *restriction-tendsto-const* : $\langle (\lambda n. \Sigma) - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendstoI*)

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-tendsto-restrictions* : $\langle (\lambda n. \Sigma \downarrow n) - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*metis restriction-tendstoI restriction-chain-def-ter restriction-chain-restrictions*)

lemma *restriction-tendsto-shift-iff* : $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \iff \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

proof (*rule iffI*)

show $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **if** $\langle \sigma - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

proof (rule *restriction-tendstoI*)
from $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ [*THEN restriction-tendstoD*]
show $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma (k + l) \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** n **by** (*meson trans-le-add1*)
qed
next
show $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **if** $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
proof (rule *restriction-tendstoI*)
fix n
from $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ [*THEN restriction-tendstoD*]
obtain $n0$ **where** $\langle \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma (k + l) \downarrow n \rangle$..
hence $\langle \forall k \geq n0 + l. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$
by (*metis add.commute add-leD2 add-le-imp-le-left le-iff-add*)
thus $\langle \exists n1. \forall k \geq n1. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$..
qed
qed

lemma *restriction-tendsto-shiftI* : $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle \implies \langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-shift-iff*)

lemma *restriction-tendsto-shiftD* : $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle \implies \langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-shift-iff*)

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-tendsto-restricted-iff-restriction-tendsto* :

$\langle (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow n) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle \iff \langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
proof (rule *iffI*)
assume $*$: $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow n) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
show $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
proof (rule *restriction-tendstoI*)
fix n
from $*$ *restriction-tendstoE* **obtain** $n0$ **where** $\langle n0 \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow k \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** k **by** *blast*
hence $\langle \max n0 n \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** k **by** *auto*
thus $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
next
assume $*$: $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
show $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow n) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
proof (rule *restriction-tendstoI*)
fix n
from $*$ *restriction-tendstoE* **obtain** $n0$ **where** $\langle n0 \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** k **by** *blast*
hence $\langle \max n0 n \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow k \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** k **by** *auto*
thus $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow k \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** *blast*

qed
qed

lemma *restriction-tendsto-subseq* :
 $\langle \sigma \circ f \rangle \text{-}\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma$ **if** $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$ **and** $\langle \sigma \rangle \text{-}\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma$
proof (*rule restriction-tendstoI*)
 fix n
 have $\langle n \leq f n \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: strict-mono-imp-increasing* $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$)
 moreover from $\langle \sigma \rangle \text{-}\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma$ *restriction-tendstoE* **obtain** $n0$ **where**
 $\langle n0 \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** k **by** *blast*
 ultimately have $\langle \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma (f k) \downarrow n \rangle$
 by (*metis le-trans strict-mono-imp-increasing that(1)*)
 thus $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = (\sigma \circ f) k \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** *auto*
qed

end

context *restriction* **begin**

definition *restriction-convergent* :: $\langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ($\langle \text{convergent} \downarrow \rangle$)
 where $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \equiv \exists \Sigma. \sigma \text{-}\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

lemma *restriction-convergentI* : $\langle \sigma \rangle \text{-}\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma$
by (*auto simp add: restriction-convergent-def*)

lemma *restriction-convergentD'* : $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \implies \exists \Sigma. \sigma \text{-}\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-convergent-def*)

end

context *restriction-space* **begin**

lemma *restriction-convergentD* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \implies \exists ! \Sigma. \sigma \text{-}\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 unfolding *restriction-convergent-def* **using** *restriction-tendsto-unique*
by *blast*

lemma *restriction-convergentE* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \implies$
 $(\bigwedge \Sigma. \sigma \text{-}\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge \Sigma'. \sigma \text{-}\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma' \implies \Sigma' = \Sigma)) \implies \text{thesis} \rangle \implies$
 thesis
 using *restriction-convergentD* **by** *blast*

lemma *restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-convergent* :

$\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow (\text{THE } \Sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-convergentD the1I2*)

end

context *restriction* **begin**

lemma *restriction-convergent-const* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{convergent}_\downarrow (\lambda n. \Sigma) \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-convergent-def restriction-tendsto-def* **by** *blast*

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-convergent-restrictions* [*simp*]
 :
 $\langle \text{convergent}_\downarrow (\lambda n. \Sigma \downarrow n) \rangle$
using *restriction-convergent-def restriction-tendsto-restrictions* **by**
blast

lemma *restriction-convergent-shift-iff* :
 $\langle \text{convergent}_\downarrow (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \longleftrightarrow \text{convergent}_\downarrow \sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-convergent-def restriction-tendsto-shift-iff*)

lemma *restriction-convergent-shift-shiftI* :
 $\langle \text{convergent}_\downarrow \sigma \implies \text{convergent}_\downarrow (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-convergent-shift-iff*)

lemma *restriction-convergent-shift-shiftD* :
 $\langle \text{convergent}_\downarrow (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \implies \text{convergent}_\downarrow \sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-convergent-shift-iff*)

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-convergent-restricted-iff-restriction-convergent*
 :
 $\langle \text{convergent}_\downarrow (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow n) \longleftrightarrow \text{convergent}_\downarrow \sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-convergent-def*
restriction-tendsto-restricted-iff-restriction-tendsto)

lemma *restriction-convergent-subseq* :
 $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \implies \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \implies \text{restriction-convergent } (\sigma \circ f) \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-convergent-def* **using** *restriction-tendsto-subseq*
by *blast*

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*)
convergent-restriction-chain-imp-ex1 : $\langle \exists! \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$
and *restriction-tendsto-of-convergent-restriction-chain* : $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow (\text{THE } \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n) \rangle$

```

if  $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \rangle$  and  $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle$ 
proof –
  from  $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \rangle$   $\text{restriction-convergentE}$  obtain  $\Sigma$ 
  where  $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$   $\langle \bigwedge \Sigma'. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma' \implies \Sigma' = \Sigma \rangle$  by blast

  have  $*$  :  $\langle \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$  for  $n$ 
  proof –
    from  $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$   $\text{restriction-tendstoE}$  obtain  $n0$  where  $\langle n0 \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $k$  by blast
    hence  $\langle \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma (\max n0 n) \downarrow n \rangle$  by simp
    thus  $\langle \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: restriction-chain-def-ter[THEN iffD1, OF restriction-chain sigma])
  qed
  have  $**$  :  $\langle \forall n. \Sigma' \downarrow n = \sigma n \implies \Sigma' = \Sigma \rangle$  for  $\Sigma'$ 
  by (metis * ex-not-restriction-eq)
  from  $*$  show  $\langle \exists ! \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n \rangle$  by blast
  from theI[OF this]  $**$  have  $\langle \Sigma = (\text{THE } \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n) \rangle$  by
simp
  with  $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  show  $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow (\text{THE } \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n) \rangle$  by
simp
qed

end

```

2.3.2 Fixed-Point Operator

Our definition only makes sense if such a fixed point exists and is unique. We will therefore directly add a completeness assumption, and define the fixed-point operator within this context. It will only be valid when the function f is *constructive*.

```

class complete-restriction-space = restriction-space +
  assumes restriction-chain-imp-restriction-convergent :  $\langle \text{chain}_{\downarrow} \sigma \implies \text{convergent}_{\downarrow} \sigma \rangle$ 

```

definition (in *complete-restriction-space*)

```

restriction-fix ::  $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \Rightarrow 'a$ 

```

— We will use a syntax rather than a binder to be compatible with the product.

```

where  $\langle \text{restriction-fix } (\lambda x. f x) \equiv \text{THE } \Sigma. (\lambda n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) \text{ undefined}) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 

```

```

syntax -restriction-fix ::  $\langle [\text{pttrn}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
  ( $\langle (\langle \text{indent}=3 \text{ notation}=\langle \text{binder } \text{restriction-fix} \rangle v \text{ -./ -} \rangle [0, 10] 10) \rangle$ )
syntax-consts -restriction-fix  $\equiv$  restriction-fix
translations  $v x. f \Rightarrow \text{CONST } \text{restriction-fix } (\lambda x. f)$ 
print-translation  $\langle$ 
   $[(\text{const-syntax } \langle \text{restriction-fix} \rangle, \text{fn } \text{ctxt} \Rightarrow \text{fn } [\text{Abs } \text{abs}] \Rightarrow$ 

```

$let\ val\ (x,\ t) = Syntax-Trans.atomic-abs-tr'\ ctxt\ abs$
 $in\ Syntax.const\ \mathbf{syntax-const}\ \langle -restriction-fix\rangle\ \$\ x\ \$\ t\ end]$
 \rangle — To avoid eta-contraction of body

context *complete-restriction-space* **begin**

The following result is quite similar to the Banach's fixed point theorem.

lemma *restriction-chain-imp-ex1* : $\langle \exists!\Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma\ n \rangle$
and *restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-chain* : $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow (THE\ \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma\ n) \rangle$
if $\langle restriction-chain\ \sigma \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: convergent-restriction-chain-imp-ex1*
restriction-tendsto-of-convergent-restriction-chain
restriction-chain-imp-restriction-convergent $\langle restriction-chain\ \sigma \rangle$)

lemma *restriction-chain-is* :

$\langle \sigma = (\downarrow) (THE\ \Sigma. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$

$\langle \sigma = (\downarrow) (THE\ \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma\ n) \rangle$ **if** $\langle restriction-chain\ \sigma \rangle$

proof —

from *restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-chain*[*OF* $\langle restriction-chain\ \sigma \rangle$]

have $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow (THE\ \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma\ n) \rangle$.

with *restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-convergent*
restriction-convergentI *restriction-tendsto-unique*

have * : $\langle (THE\ \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma\ n) = (THE\ \Sigma. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$ **by** *blast*

from *theI*[*OF* *restriction-chain-imp-ex1*, *OF* $\langle restriction-chain\ \sigma \rangle$]

show $\langle \sigma = (\downarrow) (THE\ \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma\ n) \rangle$ **by** (*intro ext*) *simp*

with * **show** $\langle \sigma = (\downarrow) (THE\ \Sigma. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$ **by** *auto*

qed

end

context

fixes *f* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: complete-restriction-space \rangle$

assumes $\langle constructive\ f \rangle$

begin

lemma *ex1-restriction-fix* :

$\langle \exists!\Sigma. \forall x. (\lambda n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n)\ x) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

proof —

let $?\sigma = \langle \lambda x\ n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n)\ x \downarrow n \rangle$

from *constructive-imp-eq-funpow-restricted*[*OF* $\langle constructive\ f \rangle$]

have $\langle ?\sigma x = ?\sigma y \rangle$ **for** $x y$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $\langle \text{restriction-chain } (?\sigma x) \rangle$ **for** x **by** (*simp add:*
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$)
ultimately obtain Σ **where** $\langle \forall x. ?\sigma x \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*metis (full-types) restriction-chain-is(1) restriction-tendsto-restrictions*)
with *restriction-tendsto-unique* **have** $\langle \exists !\Sigma. \forall x. ?\sigma x \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by**
blast
thus $\langle \exists !\Sigma. \forall x. (\lambda n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-restricted-iff-restriction-tendsto*)
qed

lemma *ex1-restriction-fix-bis* :
 $\langle \exists !\Sigma. (\lambda n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
using *ex1-restriction-fix restriction-tendsto-unique* **by** *blast*

lemma *restriction-fix-def-bis* :
 $\langle (v x. f x) = (\text{THE } \Sigma. (\lambda n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$
proof –
have $\langle (\lambda \Sigma. (\lambda n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) \text{undefined}) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma) = (\lambda \Sigma. (\lambda n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$
by (*metis ex1-restriction-fix restriction-tendsto-unique*)
from *arg-cong[where f = The, OF this, folded restriction-fix-def]*
show $\langle (v x. f x) = (\text{THE } \Sigma. (\lambda n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$.
qed

lemma *funpow-restriction-tendsto-restriction-fix* : $\langle (\lambda n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x) \dashv\rightarrow (v x. f x) \rangle$
by (*metis ex1-restriction-fix restriction-convergentI*
restriction-fix-def-bis restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-convergent)

lemma *restriction-restriction-fix-is* : $\langle (v x. f x) \downarrow n = (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \downarrow n \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-tendsto-unique*)
from *funpow-restriction-tendsto-restriction-fix*
show $\langle (\lambda k. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} k) x \downarrow n) \dashv\rightarrow (v x. f x) \downarrow n \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-def*)
next
from *restriction-tendsto-restrictions*
have $\langle (\lambda k. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \downarrow n \downarrow k) \dashv\rightarrow (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \downarrow n \rangle$.
then obtain $n0$ **where** $*$: $\langle \forall k \geq n0. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \downarrow n = (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \downarrow n \downarrow k \rangle$
by (*metis restriction-restriction min-def*)
show $\langle (\lambda k. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} k) x \downarrow n) \dashv\rightarrow (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \downarrow n \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-tendstoI*)
fix m
from $*$ **have** $\langle \forall k \geq n + n0 + m. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \downarrow n \downarrow m = (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} k) x \downarrow n \downarrow m \rangle$

by (*simp add: <constructive f> constructive-imp-eq-funpow-restricted*)
thus $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \downarrow n \downarrow m = (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} k) x \downarrow n \downarrow m \rangle \dots$
qed
qed

lemma *restriction-fix-eq* : $\langle (v x. f x) = f (v x. f x) \rangle$
proof (*subst restriction-fix-def, intro the1-equality restriction-tendstoI*)
show $\langle \exists ! \Sigma. (\lambda n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) \text{ undefined}) - \downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: ex1-restriction-fix-bis*)
next
have $\langle n \leq k \implies f (\text{restriction-fix } f) \downarrow n = (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} k) \text{ undefined} \downarrow n \rangle$
for $n k$
by (*cases n, simp, cases k, simp-all*)
(meson <constructive f> [THEN constructiveD] restriction-related-le restriction-restriction-fix-is)
thus $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. f (\text{restriction-fix } f) \downarrow n = (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} k) \text{ undefined} \downarrow n \rangle$
for n **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *restriction-fix-unique* : $\langle f x = x \implies (v x. f x) = x \rangle$
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) restriction-fix-eq <constructive f> constructiveD dual-order.refl take-lemma-restriction*)

lemma *restriction-fix-def-ter* : $\langle (v x. f x) = (THE x. f x = x) \rangle$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) restriction-fix-eq restriction-fix-unique the-equality*)

end

3 Product over Restriction Spaces

3.1 Restriction Space

instantiation *prod* :: (*restriction, restriction*) *restriction*
begin

definition *restriction-prod* :: $\langle 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \rangle$
where $\langle p \downarrow n \equiv (\text{fst } p \downarrow n, \text{snd } p \downarrow n) \rangle$

instance **by** *intro-classes (simp add: restriction-prod-def)*

end

```

instance prod :: (restriction-space, restriction-space) restriction-space
proof intro-classes
  show  $\langle p \downarrow 0 = q \downarrow 0 \rangle$  for  $p\ q :: \langle 'a \times 'b \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: restriction-prod-def)
next
  show  $\langle p \neq q \implies \exists n. p \downarrow n \neq q \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $p\ q :: \langle 'a \times 'b \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: restriction-prod-def)
      (meson ex-not-restriction-related prod.expand)
qed

```

```

instantiation prod :: (preorder-restriction-space, preorder-restriction-space)
preorder-restriction-space
begin

```

We might want to use lexicographic order :

- $p \leq q \equiv \text{fst } p < \text{fst } q \vee \text{fst } p = \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p \leq \text{snd } q$
- $p < q \equiv \text{fst } p < \text{fst } q \vee \text{fst } p = \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p < \text{snd } q$

but this is wrong since it is incompatible with $p \downarrow 0 \leq q \downarrow 0, \neg p \leq q \implies \exists n. \neg p \downarrow n \leq q \downarrow n$ and $p \leq q \implies p \downarrow n \leq q \downarrow n$.

```

definition less-eq-prod ::  $\langle 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ 
  where  $\langle p \leq q \equiv \text{fst } p \leq \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p \leq \text{snd } q \rangle$ 

```

```

definition less-prod ::  $\langle 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ 
  where  $\langle p < q \equiv \text{fst } p \leq \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p < \text{snd } q \vee \text{fst } p < \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p \leq \text{snd } q \rangle$ 

```

```

instance
proof intro-classes
  show  $\langle p < q \iff p \leq q \wedge \neg q \leq p \rangle$  for  $p\ q :: \langle 'a \times 'b \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp add: less-eq-prod-def less-prod-def less-le-not-le)
next
  show  $\langle p \leq p \rangle$  for  $p :: \langle 'a \times 'b \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: less-eq-prod-def)
next
  show  $\langle p \leq q \implies q \leq r \implies p \leq r \rangle$  for  $p\ q\ r :: \langle 'a \times 'b \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp add: less-eq-prod-def intro: order-trans)
next
  show  $\langle p \downarrow 0 \leq q \downarrow 0 \rangle$  for  $p\ q :: \langle 'a \times 'b \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: less-eq-prod-def restriction-prod-def)
next

```

```

show  $\langle p \leq q \implies p \downarrow n \leq q \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $p\ q :: \langle 'a \times 'b \rangle$  and  $n$ 
by (simp add: less-eq-prod-def restriction-prod-def mono-restriction-less-eq)
next
show  $\langle \neg p \leq q \implies \exists n. \neg p \downarrow n \leq q \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $p\ q :: \langle 'a \times 'b \rangle$ 
by (simp add: less-eq-prod-def restriction-prod-def)
      (meson ex-not-restriction-less-eq)
qed

end

```

```

instance prod :: (order-restriction-space, order-restriction-space) order-restriction-space
by intro-classes (simp add: less-eq-prod-def order-antisym prod.expand)

```

3.2 Restriction shift Maps

3.2.1 Domain is a Product

```

lemma restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff :
   $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f\ k\ (A \times B) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda y. f\ (x, y))\ k\ B) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall y \in B. \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. f\ (x,$ 
   $y))\ k\ A) \rangle$ 

```

```

proof (intro iffI conjI ballI)
  show  $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda y. f\ (x, y))\ k\ B \rangle$ 
    if  $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f\ k\ (A \times B) \rangle$  and  $\langle x \in A \rangle$  for  $x$ 
  proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
    show  $\langle y1 \in B \implies y2 \in B \implies y1 \downarrow n = y2 \downarrow n \implies$ 
       $f\ (x, y1) \downarrow \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) = f\ (x, y2) \downarrow \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$  for
     $y1\ y2\ n$ 
    by (rule that(1)[THEN restriction-shift-onD])
      (use that(2) in <simp-all add: restriction-prod-def>)
  qed

```

```

next
  show  $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. f\ (x, y))\ k\ A \rangle$ 
    if  $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f\ k\ (A \times B) \rangle$  and  $\langle y \in B \rangle$  for  $y$ 
  proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
    show  $\langle x1 \in A \implies x2 \in A \implies x1 \downarrow n = x2 \downarrow n \implies$ 
       $f\ (x1, y) \downarrow \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) = f\ (x2, y) \downarrow \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$  for
     $x1\ x2\ n$ 
    by (rule that(1)[THEN restriction-shift-onD])
      (use that(2) in <simp-all add: restriction-prod-def>)
  qed

```

```

next
  assume assm :  $\langle (\forall x \in A. \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda y. f\ (x, y))\ k\ B) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall y \in B. \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. f\ (x, y))\ k\ A) \rangle$ 
  show  $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f\ k\ (A \times B) \rangle$ 
  proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
    fix  $p\ q\ n$  assume  $\langle p \in A \times B \rangle \langle q \in A \times B \rangle \langle p \downarrow n = q \downarrow n \rangle$ 

```

then obtain $x1\ y1\ x2\ y2$
where $\langle p = (x1, y1) \rangle \langle q = (x2, y2) \rangle \langle x1 \in A \rangle \langle y1 \in B \rangle$
 $\langle x2 \in A \rangle \langle y2 \in B \rangle \langle x1 \downarrow n = x2 \downarrow n \rangle \langle y1 \downarrow n = y2 \downarrow n \rangle$
by (*cases p, cases q, simp add: restriction-prod-def*)
have $\langle p = (x1, y1) \rangle$ **by** (*fact $\langle p = (x1, y1) \rangle$*)
also have $\langle f (x1, y1) \downarrow \text{nat} (int\ n + k) = f (x1, y2) \downarrow \text{nat} (int\ n + k) \rangle$
by (*rule assm[THEN conjunct1, rule-format, OF $\langle x1 \in A \rangle$, THEN restriction-shift-onD]*)
(fact $\langle y1 \in B \rangle \langle y2 \in B \rangle \langle y1 \downarrow n = y2 \downarrow n \rangle$)+
also have $\langle f (x1, y2) \downarrow \text{nat} (int\ n + k) = f (x2, y2) \downarrow \text{nat} (int\ n + k) \rangle$
by (*rule assm[THEN conjunct2, rule-format, OF $\langle y2 \in B \rangle$, THEN restriction-shift-onD]*)
(fact $\langle x1 \in A \rangle \langle x2 \in A \rangle \langle x1 \downarrow n = x2 \downarrow n \rangle$)+
also have $\langle (x2, y2) = q \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: $\langle q = (x2, y2) \rangle$*)
finally show $\langle f p \downarrow \text{nat} (int\ n + k) = f q \downarrow \text{nat} (int\ n + k) \rangle$.
qed
qed

lemma *restriction-shift-prod-domain-iff:*

$\langle \text{restriction-shift } f\ k \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda y. f (x, y))\ k) \wedge$
 $(\forall y. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. f (x, y))\ k) \rangle$

unfolding *restriction-shift-def*

by (*metis UNIV-I UNIV-Times-UNIV restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff*)

lemma *non-too-destructive-on-prod-domain-iff :*

$\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f (A \times B) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \text{non-too-destructive-on}$
 $(\lambda y. f (x, y))\ B) \wedge$

$(\forall y \in B. \text{non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. f$
 $(x, y))\ A) \rangle$

by (*simp add: non-too-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff*)

lemma *non-too-destructive-prod-domain-iff :*

$\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda y. f (x, y)))$

\wedge

$(\forall y. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. f (x, y))) \rangle$

unfolding *non-too-destructive-def*

by (*metis UNIV-I UNIV-Times-UNIV non-too-destructive-on-prod-domain-iff*)

lemma *non-destructive-on-prod-domain-iff :*

$\langle \text{non-destructive-on } f (A \times B) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda y.$
 $f (x, y))\ B) \wedge$

$(\forall y \in B. \text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. f (x, y))$
 $A) \rangle$

by (*simp add: non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff*)

lemma *non-destructive-prod-domain-iff* :

$\langle \text{non-destructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y))) \wedge$
 $(\forall y. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y))) \rangle$

unfolding *non-destructive-def*

by (*metis UNIV-I UNIV-Times-UNIV non-destructive-on-prod-domain-iff*)

lemma *constructive-on-prod-domain-iff* :

$\langle \text{constructive-on } f(A \times B) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \text{constructive-on } (\lambda y. f(x, y)) B) \wedge$
 $(\forall y \in B. \text{constructive-on } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) A) \rangle$

by (*simp add: constructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff*)

lemma *constructive-prod-domain-iff* :

$\langle \text{constructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \text{constructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y))) \wedge$
 $(\forall y. \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y))) \rangle$

unfolding *constructive-def*

by (*metis UNIV-I UNIV-Times-UNIV constructive-on-prod-domain-iff*)

lemma *restriction-shift-prod-domain* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda y. f(x, y)) k;$
 $\bigwedge y. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{restriction-shift } f k \rangle$

and *non-too-destructive-prod-domain* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y));$
 $\bigwedge y. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{non-too-destructive } f \rangle$

and *non-destructive-prod-domain* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y));$
 $\bigwedge y. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{non-destructive } f \rangle$

and *constructive-prod-domain* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*]

:

$\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. \text{constructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y));$
 $\bigwedge y. \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{constructive } f \rangle$

by (*simp-all add: restriction-shift-prod-domain-iff non-too-destructive-prod-domain-iff non-destructive-prod-domain-iff constructive-prod-domain-iff*)

3.2.2 Codomain is a Product

lemma *restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff* :

$\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \longleftrightarrow (\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) k$
 $A) \wedge$

$(\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) k A) \rangle$

by (*simp add: restriction-shift-on-def restriction-prod-def*) *blast*

lemma *restriction-shift-prod-codomain-iff*:

$$\langle \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \longleftrightarrow (\text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f \ x)) \ k) \wedge \\ (\text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f \ x)) \ k) \rangle$$

unfolding *restriction-shift-def*

by (*fact restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff*)

lemma *non-too-destructive-on-prod-codomain-iff* :

$$\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f \ A \longleftrightarrow (\text{non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f \ x)) \ A) \wedge \\ (\text{non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f \ x)) \ A) \rangle$$

by (*simp add: non-too-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff*)

lemma *non-too-destructive-prod-codomain-iff* :

$$\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f \ x))) \wedge \\ (\text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f \ x))) \rangle$$

by (*simp add: non-too-destructive-def non-too-destructive-on-prod-codomain-iff*)

lemma *non-destructive-on-prod-codomain-iff* :

$$\langle \text{non-destructive-on } f \ A \longleftrightarrow (\text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f \ x)) \ A) \wedge \\ (\text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f \ x)) \ A) \rangle$$

by (*simp add: non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff*)

lemma *non-destructive-prod-codomain-iff* :

$$\langle \text{non-destructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f \ x))) \wedge \\ (\text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f \ x))) \rangle$$

by (*simp add: non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-prod-codomain-iff*)

lemma *constructive-on-prod-codomain-iff* :

$$\langle \text{constructive-on } f \ A \longleftrightarrow (\text{constructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f \ x)) \ A) \wedge \\ (\text{constructive-on } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f \ x)) \ A) \rangle$$

by (*simp add: constructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff*)

lemma *constructive-prod-codomain-iff* :

$$\langle \text{constructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\text{constructive } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f \ x))) \wedge \\ (\text{constructive } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f \ x))) \rangle$$

by (*simp add: constructive-def constructive-on-prod-codomain-iff*)

lemma *restriction-shift-prod-codomain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

$$\langle \llbracket \text{restriction-shift } f \ k; \text{restriction-shift } g \ k \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. (f \ x, g \ x)) \ k \rangle$$

and *non-too-destructive-prod-codomain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :

$$\langle \llbracket \text{non-too-destructive } f; \text{non-too-destructive } g \rrbracket \implies \text{non-too-destructive} \rangle$$

$\langle \lambda x. (f x, g x) \rangle$
and *non-destructive-prod-codomain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{non-destructive } f; \text{ non-destructive } g \rrbracket \implies \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. (f x, g x)) \rangle$
and *constructive-prod-codomain* [*restriction-shift-simpset*, *restriction-shift-introset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{constructive } f; \text{ constructive } g \rrbracket \implies \text{constructive } (\lambda x. (f x, g x)) \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-shift-prod-codomain-iff non-too-destructive-prod-codomain-iff non-destructive-prod-codomain-iff constructive-prod-codomain-iff*)

3.3 Limits and Convergence

lemma *restriction-chain-prod-iff* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \longleftrightarrow \text{restriction-chain } (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \wedge \text{restriction-chain } (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-chain-def restriction-prod-def*)
(metis fst-conv prod.collapse snd-conv)

lemma *restriction-tendsto-prod-iff* :
 $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \longleftrightarrow (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow \text{fst } \Sigma \wedge (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow \text{snd } \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-def restriction-prod-def*)
(meson nle-le order-trans)

lemma *restriction-convergent-prod-iff* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \longleftrightarrow \text{restriction-convergent } (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \wedge \text{restriction-convergent } (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-convergent-def restriction-tendsto-prod-iff*)

lemma *funpow-indep-prod-is* :
 $\langle ((\lambda(x, y). (f x, g y)) \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) (x, y) = ((f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x, (g \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) y) \rangle$
for $f g :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
by (*induct n simp-all*)

3.4 Completeness

instance *prod* :: (*complete-restriction-space*, *complete-restriction-space*)
complete-restriction-space
proof *intro-classes*
fix $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \rangle$
assume $\langle \text{chain}_{\downarrow} \sigma \rangle$
hence $\langle \text{chain}_{\downarrow} (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \rangle \langle \text{chain}_{\downarrow} (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-chain-prod-iff*)
hence $\langle \text{convergent}_{\downarrow} (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \rangle \langle \text{convergent}_{\downarrow} (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-chain-imp-restriction-convergent*)
thus $\langle \text{convergent}_{\downarrow} \sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-convergent-prod-iff*)
qed

3.5 Fixed Point

lemma *restriction-fix-indep-prod-is* :
 $\langle (v\ x\ y). (f\ x,\ g\ y)) = (v\ x.\ f\ x,\ v\ y.\ g\ y) \rangle$
if *constructive* : $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle \langle \text{constructive } g \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \rangle$
and $g :: \langle 'b \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-fix-unique*)
from *constructive* **show** $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda(x, y). (f\ x,\ g\ y)) \rangle$
by (*simp add: constructive-prod-domain-iff constructive-prod-codomain-iff*
constructive-const)
next
show $\langle (\text{case } (v\ x.\ f\ x,\ v\ y.\ g\ y)\ \text{of } (x, y) \Rightarrow (f\ x,\ g\ y)) = (v\ x.\ f\ x,\ v\ y.\ g\ y) \rangle$
by *simp (metis restriction-fix-eq constructive)*
qed

lemma *non-destructive-fst* : $\langle \text{non-destructive } \text{fst} \rangle$
by (*rule non-destructiveI*) (*simp add: restriction-prod-def*)

lemma *non-destructive-snd* : $\langle \text{non-destructive } \text{snd} \rangle$
by (*rule non-destructiveI*) (*simp add: restriction-prod-def*)

lemma *constructive-restriction-fix-right* :
 $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda x. v\ y.\ f\ (x, y)) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$
proof (*rule constructiveI*)
fix n **and** $x\ x' :: 'a$ **assume** $\langle x \downarrow n = x' \downarrow n \rangle$
show $\langle (v\ y.\ f\ (x, y)) \downarrow \text{Suc } n = (v\ y.\ f\ (x', y)) \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
proof (*subst (1 2) restriction-restriction-fix-is*)
show $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda y. f\ (x', y)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda y. f\ (x, y)) \rangle$
using $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ *constructive-prod-domain-iff* **by** *blast+*
next
from $\langle x \downarrow n = x' \downarrow n \rangle$ **show** $\langle ((\lambda y. f\ (x, y)) \rightsquigarrow \text{Suc } n)\ \text{undefined} \downarrow \text{Suc } n =$
 $((\lambda y. f\ (x', y)) \rightsquigarrow \text{Suc } n)\ \text{undefined} \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
by (*induct n, simp-all*)
(use $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ *constructiveD* *restriction-0-related* **in** *blast,*
metis (no-types, lifting) $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ *constructiveD* *prod.sel*
restriction-related-pred *restriction-prod-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *constructive-restriction-fix-left* :
 $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda y. v x. f (x, y)) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
proof (*rule constructiveI*)
fix n **and** $y y' :: 'b$ **assume** $\langle y \downarrow n = y' \downarrow n \rangle$
show $\langle (v x. f (x, y)) \downarrow \text{Suc } n = (v x. f (x, y')) \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
proof (*subst (1 2) restriction-restriction-fix-is*)
show $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f (x, y')) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f (x, y)) \rangle$
using $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ *constructive-prod-domain-iff* **by** *blast+*
next
from $\langle y \downarrow n = y' \downarrow n \rangle$ **show** $\langle ((\lambda x. f (x, y)) \rightsquigarrow \text{Suc } n) \text{ undefined} \downarrow \text{Suc } n = ((\lambda x. f (x, y')) \rightsquigarrow \text{Suc } n) \text{ undefined} \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
by (*induct n, simp-all*)
(use restriction-0-related $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ *constructiveD in blast,*
metis (no-types, lifting) $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ *constructiveD prod.sel*
restriction-related-pred restriction-prod-def)
qed
qed

— “Bekic’s Theorem” in HOLCF

lemma *restriction-fix-prod-is* :
 $\langle (v p. f p) = (v x. \text{fst } (f (x, v y. \text{snd } (f (x, y))))),$
 $v y. \text{snd } (f (v x. \text{fst } (f (x, v y. \text{snd } (f (x, y))))), y)) \rangle$
(is $\langle (v p. f p) = (?x, ?y) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-fix-unique[OF* $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ *]*)
have $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda p. \text{snd } (f p)) \rangle$
by (*fact non-destructive-comp-constructive[OF non-destructive-snd*
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ *]*)
hence $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda x. v y. \text{snd } (f (x, y))) \rangle$
by (*fact constructive-restriction-fix-right*)
hence $\langle \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. v y. \text{snd } (f (x, y))) \rangle$
by (*fact constructive-imp-non-destructive*)
hence $\langle \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. (x, v y. \text{snd } (f (x, y)))) \rangle$
by (*fact non-destructive-prod-codomain[OF non-destructive-id(2)]*)
hence $\langle \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f (x, v y. \text{snd } (f (x, y)))) \rangle$
by (*fact constructive-comp-non-destructive[OF* $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ *]*)
hence $\ast : \langle \text{constructive } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f (x, v y. \text{snd } (f (x, y)))) \rangle$
by (*fact non-destructive-comp-constructive[OF non-destructive-fst]*)
have $\langle \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. v x. \text{fst } (f (x, v y. \text{snd } (f (x, y)))) \rangle$

```

    by (fact constructive-imp-non-destructive[OF constructive-const])
  hence ⟨non-destructive (Pair (v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y))))))⟩
  by (fact non-destructive-prod-codomain[OF non-destructive-id(2)])
  hence ⟨constructive (λx. f (v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y))))), x)⟩
  by (fact constructive-comp-non-destructive[OF ⟨constructive f⟩])
  hence ** : ⟨constructive (λx. snd (f (v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x,
y))))), x))⟩
  by (fact non-destructive-comp-constructive[OF non-destructive-snd])

  have ⟨fst (f (?x, ?y)) = ?x⟩
  by (rule trans [symmetric, OF restriction-fix-eq[OF *]]) simp
  moreover have ⟨snd (f (?x, ?y)) = ?y⟩
  by (rule trans [symmetric, OF restriction-fix-eq[OF **]]) simp
  ultimately show ⟨f (?x, ?y) = (?x, ?y)⟩ by (cases ⟨f (?x, ?y)⟩)
simp
qed

```

4 Functions towards a Restriction Space

4.1 Restriction Space

```

instantiation ⟨fun⟩ :: (type, restriction) restriction
begin

```

```

definition restriction-fun :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'b, nat, 'a⟩ ⇒ 'b
  where ⟨f ↓ n ≡ (λx. f x ↓ n)⟩

```

```

instance by intro-classes (simp add: restriction-fun-def)
end

```

```

instance ⟨fun⟩ :: (type, restriction-space) restriction-space
proof (intro-classes, unfold restriction-fun-def)
  show ⟨(λx. f x ↓ 0) = (λx. g x ↓ 0)⟩
  for f g :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'b⟩ by (rule ext) simp
next
  fix f g :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'b⟩ assume ⟨f ≠ g⟩
  then obtain x where ⟨f x ≠ g x⟩ by fast
  with ex-not-restriction-related obtain n
  where ⟨f x ↓ n ≠ g x ↓ n⟩ by blast
  hence ⟨(λx. f x ↓ n) ≠ (λx. g x ↓ n)⟩ by meson
  thus ⟨∃ n. (λx. f x ↓ n) ≠ (λx. g x ↓ n)⟩ ..
qed

```

```

instance ⟨fun⟩ :: (type, preorder-restriction-space) preorder-restriction-space
proof intro-classes
  show ⟨f ↓ 0 ≤ g ↓ 0⟩ for f g :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'b⟩
    by (simp add: le-fun-def restriction-fun-def)
next
  show ⟨f ≤ g ⇒ f ↓ n ≤ g ↓ n⟩ for f g :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'b⟩ and n
    by (simp add: restriction-fun-def le-fun-def mono-restriction-less-eq)
next
  show ⟨¬ f ≤ g ⇒ ∃ n. ¬ f ↓ n ≤ g ↓ n⟩ for f g :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'b⟩
    by (metis ex-not-restriction-less-eq le-funD le-funI restriction-fun-def)
qed

instance ⟨fun⟩ :: (type, order-restriction-space) order-restriction-space
..

```

4.2 Restriction shift Maps

```

lemma restriction-shift-on-fun-iff :
  ⟨restriction-shift-on f k A ⟷ (∀ z. restriction-shift-on (λx. f x z) k A)⟩
proof (intro iffI allI)
  show ⟨restriction-shift-on (λx. f x z) k A⟩ if ⟨restriction-shift-on f k A⟩ for z
    proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
      fix x y n assume ⟨x ∈ A⟩ ⟨y ∈ A⟩ ⟨x ↓ n = y ↓ n⟩
      from restriction-shift-onD[OF ⟨restriction-shift-on f k A⟩ this]
      show ⟨f x z ↓ nat (int n + k) = f y z ↓ nat (int n + k)⟩
        by (unfold restriction-fun-def) (blast dest!: fun-cong)
    qed
next
  show ⟨restriction-shift-on f k A⟩ if ⟨∀ z. restriction-shift-on (λx. f x z) k A⟩
    proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
      fix x y n assume ⟨x ∈ A⟩ ⟨y ∈ A⟩ ⟨x ↓ n = y ↓ n⟩
      with ⟨∀ z. restriction-shift-on (λx. f x z) k A⟩ restriction-shift-onD
      have ⟨f x z ↓ nat (int n + k) = f y z ↓ nat (int n + k)⟩ for z by
        blast
      thus ⟨f x ↓ nat (int n + k) = f y ↓ nat (int n + k)⟩
        by (simp add: restriction-fun-def)
    qed
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-shift-fun-iff : ⟨restriction-shift f k ⟷ (∀ z. restriction-shift (λx. f x z) k)⟩
  by (unfold restriction-shift-def, fact restriction-shift-on-fun-iff)

```

```

lemma non-too-destructive-on-fun-iff:
  ⟨non-too-destructive-on f A ⟷ (∀ z. non-too-destructive-on (λx. f

```

$x z) A\rangle$
by (*simp add: non-too-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-fun-iff*)

lemma *non-too-destructive-fun-iff*:
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. f x z)) \rangle$
by (*unfold restriction-shift-def non-too-destructive-def*)
(fact non-too-destructive-on-fun-iff)

lemma *non-destructive-on-fun-iff*:
 $\langle \text{non-destructive-on } f A \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda x. f x z) A) \rangle$
by (*simp add: non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-fun-iff*)

lemma *non-destructive-fun-iff*:
 $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f x z)) \rangle$
unfolding *non-destructive-def* **by** (*fact non-destructive-on-fun-iff*)

lemma *constructive-on-fun-iff*:
 $\langle \text{constructive-on } f A \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{constructive-on } (\lambda x. f x z) A) \rangle$
by (*simp add: constructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-fun-iff*)

lemma *constructive-fun-iff*:
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f x z)) \rangle$
unfolding *constructive-def* **by** (*fact constructive-on-fun-iff*)

lemma *restriction-shift-fun* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*]
:
 $\langle (\bigwedge z. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. f x z) k) \implies \text{restriction-shift } f k \rangle$
and *non-too-destructive-fun* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*]
:
 $\langle (\bigwedge z. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. f x z)) \implies \text{non-too-destructive } f \rangle$
and *non-destructive-fun* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*]
:
 $\langle (\bigwedge z. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f x z)) \implies \text{non-destructive } f \rangle$
and *constructive-fun* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset*]
:
 $\langle (\bigwedge z. \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f x z)) \implies \text{constructive } f \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-shift-fun-iff non-too-destructive-fun-iff*
non-destructive-fun-iff constructive-fun-iff)

4.3 Limits and Convergence

lemma *reached-dist-funE* :
fixes $f g :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$ **assumes** $\langle f \neq g \rangle$
obtains x **where** $\langle f x \neq g x \rangle \langle \text{Sup } (\text{restriction-related-set } f g) = \text{Sup}$
(restriction-related-set (f x) (g x))

— Morally, we say here that the distance between two functions is reached. But we did not introduce the concept of distance.

proof –

```

let ?n = ⟨Sup (restriction-related-set f g)⟩
from Sup-in-restriction-related-set[OF ⟨f ≠ g⟩]
have ⟨?n ∈ restriction-related-set f g⟩ .
with restriction-related-le have ⟨∀ m ≤ ?n. f ↓ m = g ↓ m⟩ by blast
moreover have ⟨f ↓ Suc ?n ≠ g ↓ Suc ?n⟩
  using cSup-upper[OF - bdd-above-restriction-related-set-iff[THEN
iffD2, OF ⟨f ≠ g⟩], of ⟨Suc ?n⟩]
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) dual-order.refl mem-Collect-eq not-less-eq-eq
restriction-related-le)
ultimately obtain x where * : ⟨∀ m ≤ ?n. f x ↓ m = g x ↓ m⟩ ⟨f x
↓ Suc ?n ≠ g x ↓ Suc ?n⟩
  unfolding restriction-fun-def by meson
from *(2) have ⟨f x ≠ g x⟩ by auto
moreover from * have ⟨?n = Sup (restriction-related-set (f x) (g
x))⟩
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨∀ m ≤ ?n. f ↓ m = g ↓ m⟩
⟨f ↓ Suc ?n ≠ g ↓ Suc ?n⟩ not-less-eq-eq restriction-related-le)
ultimately show thesis using that by blast
qed

```

lemma reached-restriction-related-set-funE :

```

fixes f g :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'b :: restriction-space⟩
obtains x where ⟨restriction-related-set f g = restriction-related-set
(f x) (g x)⟩
proof (cases ⟨f = g⟩)
  from that show ⟨f = g ⇒ thesis⟩ by simp
next
  from that show ⟨f ≠ g ⇒ thesis⟩
  by (elim reached-dist-funE) (metis (full-types) restriction-related-set-is-atMost)
qed

```

lemma restriction-chain-fun-iff :

```

⟨restriction-chain σ ⟷ (∀ z. restriction-chain (λn. σ n z))⟩
proof (intro iffI allI)
  show ⟨restriction-chain σ ⇒ restriction-chain (λn. σ n z)⟩ for z
  by (auto simp add: restriction-chain-def restriction-fun-def dest!:
fun-cong)
next
  show ⟨∀ z. restriction-chain (λn. σ n z) ⇒ restriction-chain σ⟩
  by (simp add: restriction-chain-def restriction-fun-def)
qed

```

lemma *restriction-tendsto-fun-imp* : $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. \sigma n z) \dashrightarrow \Sigma z \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-def restriction-fun-def*) *meson*

lemma *restriction-convergent-fun-imp* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \implies \text{restriction-convergent } (\lambda n. \sigma n z) \rangle$
by (*metis restriction-convergent-def restriction-tendsto-fun-imp*)

4.4 Completeness

instance *fun* :: (type, complete-restriction-space) complete-restriction-space

proof *intro-classes*

fix $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \rangle$

assume $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle$

hence $*$: $\langle \text{restriction-chain } (\lambda n. \sigma n x) \rangle$ **for** x

by (*simp add: restriction-chain-fun-iff*)

from *restriction-chain-imp-restriction-convergent*[*OF this*]

have $**$: $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } (\lambda n. \sigma n x) \rangle$ **for** x .

then obtain Σ **where** $***$: $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma n x) \dashrightarrow \Sigma x \rangle$ **for** x

by (*meson restriction-convergent-def*)

from $*$ **have** $****$: $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma n x \downarrow n) = (\lambda n. \sigma n x) \rangle$ **for** x

by (*simp add: restricted-restriction-chain-is*)

have $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

proof (*rule restriction-tendstoI*)

fix n

have $\langle \forall k \geq n. \Sigma x \downarrow n = \sigma k x \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** x

by (*metis * ** *** **** restriction-related-le restriction-chain-is(1)*)

restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-convergent restriction-tendsto-unique)

hence $\langle \forall k \geq n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: restriction-fun-def*)

thus $\langle \exists n_0. \forall k \geq n_0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** *blast*

qed

thus $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \rangle$ **by** (*fact restriction-convergentI*)

qed

5 Topological Notions

named-theorems *restriction-cont-simpset* — For future automation.

5.1 Continuity

context *restriction* **begin**

definition *restriction-cont-at* :: $\langle 'b :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow 'a, 'b \rangle \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (-) \text{ at } (-) \rangle [1000, 1000]$
where $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \equiv \forall \sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \longrightarrow (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-atI* : $\langle (\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma) \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-at-def*)

lemma *restriction-cont-atD* : $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \Longrightarrow \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-at-def*)

lemma *restriction-cont-at-comp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow g \text{ at } (f \Sigma) \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-at-def restriction-class.restriction-cont-at-def*)

lemma *restriction-cont-at-if-then-else* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (f x) \text{ at } \Sigma; \bigwedge x. \neg P x \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (g x) \text{ at } \Sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
by (*auto intro!: restriction-cont-atI*) (*blast dest: restriction-cont-atD*)+

definition *restriction-open* :: $\langle 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle (\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \rangle)$
where $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \equiv \forall \Sigma \in U. \forall \sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \longrightarrow (\exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in U) \rangle$

lemma *restriction-openI* : $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma \sigma. \Sigma \in U \Longrightarrow \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in U) \Longrightarrow \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-open-def*)

lemma *restriction-openD* : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \Longrightarrow \Sigma \in U \Longrightarrow \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in U \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-open-def*)

lemma *restriction-openE* :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \Longrightarrow \Sigma \in U \Longrightarrow \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge n0. (\bigwedge n. n0 \leq k \Longrightarrow \sigma k \in U) \Longrightarrow \text{thesis}) \Longrightarrow \text{thesis} \rangle$
using *restriction-openD* **by** *blast*

lemma *restriction-open-UNIV* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \text{UNIV} \rangle$
and *restriction-open-empty* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \{\} \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-open-def*)

lemma *restriction-open-union* :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \Longrightarrow \text{open}_\downarrow V \Longrightarrow \text{open}_\downarrow (U \cup V) \rangle$
by (*metis Un-iff restriction-open-def*)

lemma *restriction-open-Union* :
 $\langle \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (U i) \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (\bigcup_{i \in I} U i) \rangle$
by (*rule restriction-openI*) (*metis UN-iff restriction-openD*)

lemma *restriction-open-inter* :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow (U \cap V) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-openI*)
fix $\Sigma \sigma$ **assume** $\langle \Sigma \in U \cap V \rangle$ $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
from $\langle \Sigma \in U \cap V \rangle$ **have** $\langle \Sigma \in U \rangle$ **and** $\langle \Sigma \in V \rangle$ **by** *simp-all*
from $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$ $\langle \Sigma \in U \rangle$ $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ *restriction-openD*
obtain $n0$ **where** $\langle \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in U \rangle$ **by** *blast*
moreover from $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$ $\langle \Sigma \in V \rangle$ $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ *restriction-openD*
obtain $n1$ **where** $\langle \forall k \geq n1. \sigma k \in V \rangle$ **by** *blast*
ultimately have $\langle \forall k \geq \max n0 n1. \sigma k \in U \cap V \rangle$ **by** *simp*
thus $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in U \cap V \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *restriction-open-finite-Inter* :
 $\langle \text{finite } I \implies (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (U i)) \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (\bigcap_{i \in I} U i) \rangle$
by (*induct I rule: finite-induct*)
(simp-all add: restriction-open-inter)

definition *restriction-closed* :: $\langle 'a \text{ set} \implies \text{bool} \rangle$ ($\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \rangle$)
where $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \equiv \text{open}_\downarrow (- S) \rangle$

lemma *restriction-closedI* : $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma \sigma. \Sigma \notin S \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \notin S) \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow S \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-closed-def restriction-open-def*)

lemma *restriction-closedD* : $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \implies \Sigma \notin S \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \notin S \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-closed-def restriction-open-def*)

lemma *restriction-closedE* :
 $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \implies \Sigma \notin S \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge n0. (\bigwedge n. n0 \leq k \implies \sigma k \notin S) \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis} \rangle$
using *restriction-closedD* **by** *blast*

lemma *restriction-closed-UNIV* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \text{UNIV} \rangle$
and *restriction-closed-empty* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \{\} \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-closed-def*)

end

5.2 Balls

context *restriction* begin

definition *restriction-cball* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \rangle (\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow'(-, -) \rangle)$
where $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \equiv \{x. x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n\} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cball-mem-iff* : $\langle x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \longleftrightarrow x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \rangle$
and *restriction-cball-memI* : $\langle x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \Longrightarrow x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
and *restriction-cball-memD* : $\langle x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \Longrightarrow x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-cball-def*)

abbreviation (*iff*) *restriction-ball* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \equiv \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, \text{Suc } n) \rangle$

lemma $\langle x \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \longleftrightarrow x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
and $\langle x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \Longrightarrow x \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \rangle$
and $\langle x \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \Longrightarrow x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-cball-def*)

lemma $\langle a \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \rangle$
and *center-mem-restriction-cball* [*simp*] : $\langle a \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-cball-memI*)

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-cball-0-is-UNIV* [*simp*] :
 $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, 0) = \text{UNIV} \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: restriction-cball-def*)

lemma *every-point-of-restriction-cball-is-centre* :
 $\langle b \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) = \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, n) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cball-def*)

lemma $\langle b \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \Longrightarrow \text{restriction-ball } a \ n = \text{restriction-ball } b \ n \rangle$
by (*simp add: every-point-of-restriction-cball-is-centre*)

definition *restriction-sphere* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \rangle (\langle \mathcal{S}_\downarrow'(-, -) \rangle)$
where $\langle \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \equiv \{x. x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \wedge x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n\} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-sphere-mem-iff* : $\langle x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \longleftrightarrow x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \wedge x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
and *restriction-sphere-memI* : $\langle x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \Longrightarrow x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$

$\downarrow \text{Suc } n \implies x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n)$
and *restriction-sphere-memD1* : $\langle x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \implies x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \rangle$
and *restriction-sphere-memD2* : $\langle x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \implies x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-sphere-def*)

lemma *restriction-sphere-is-diff* : $\langle \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) = \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) - \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, \text{Suc } n) \rangle$
by (*simp add: set-eq-iff restriction-sphere-mem-iff restriction-cball-mem-iff*)

lemma *restriction-open-restriction-cball* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
by (*metis restriction-cball-mem-iff restriction-tendstoE restriction-openI*)

lemma *restriction-closed-restriction-cball* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
by (*metis restriction-cball-mem-iff restriction-closedI restriction-tendstoE*)

lemma *restriction-open-Compl-iff* : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow (- S) \longleftrightarrow \text{closed}_\downarrow S \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-closed-def*)

lemma *restriction-open-restriction-sphere* [*simp*] : $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-sphere-is-diff Diff-eq restriction-open-Compl-iff restriction-open-inter*)

lemma *restriction-closed-restriction-sphere* : $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-closed-def restriction-sphere-is-diff*)
(simp add: restriction-open-union restriction-open-Compl-iff)

end

context *restriction-space* **begin**

lemma *restriction-cball-anti-mono* : $\langle n \leq m \implies \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, m) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
by (*meson restriction-cball-memD restriction-cball-memI restriction-related-le subsetI*)

lemma *inside-every-cball-iff-eq* : $\langle (\forall n. x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \longleftrightarrow x = \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: all-restriction-related-iff-related restriction-cball-mem-iff*)

lemma *Inf-many-inside-cball-iff-eq* : $\langle (\exists_\infty n. x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \longleftrightarrow x = \Sigma \rangle$
by (*unfold INFM-nat-le*)
(meson inside-every-cball-iff-eq nle-le restriction-cball-anti-mono)

subset-eq)

lemma *Inf-many-inside-cball-imp-eq* : $\langle \exists_{\infty} n. x \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(\Sigma, n) \implies x = \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: Inf-many-inside-cball-iff-eq*)

lemma *restriction-cballs-disjoint-or-subset* :
 $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, m) = \{\} \vee \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, m) \vee \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, m) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \rangle$
proof (*unfold disj-imp, intro impI*)
assume $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, m) \neq \{\} \rangle$ $\langle \neg \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, m) \rangle$
from $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, m) \neq \{\} \rangle$ **obtain** x **where** $\langle x \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \rangle$
 $\langle x \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, m) \rangle$ **by** *blast*
with *every-point-of-restriction-cball-is-centre*
have $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) = \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(x, n) \rangle$ $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, m) = \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(x, m) \rangle$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle \neg \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, m) \rangle$ **show** $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, m) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(a, n) \rangle$
by (*metis nle-le restriction-cball-anti-mono*)
qed

lemma *equal-restriction-to-cball* :
 $\langle a \notin \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, n) \implies x \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, n) \implies y \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(b, n) \implies x \downarrow k = a \downarrow k$
 $\longleftrightarrow y \downarrow k = a \downarrow k \rangle$
by (*metis nat-le-linear restriction-cball-memD restriction-cball-memI restriction-related-le*)

end

context *restriction begin*

lemma *restriction-tendsto-iff-restriction-cball-characterization* :
 $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(\Sigma, n)) \rangle$
by (*metis restriction-cball-mem-iff restriction-tendsto-def*)

corollary *restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballI* : $\langle (\bigwedge n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(\Sigma, n)) \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-iff-restriction-cball-characterization*)

corollary *restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballD* : $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(\Sigma, n) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-iff-restriction-cball-characterization*)

corollary *restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballE* :
 $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge n0. (\bigwedge k. n0 \leq k \implies \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_{\downarrow}(\Sigma, n)) \implies thesis) \implies thesis \rangle$

```

using restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballD by blast

end

context restriction begin

theorem restriction-closed-iff-sequential-characterization :
   $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \longleftrightarrow (\forall \Sigma \sigma. \text{range } \sigma \subseteq S \longrightarrow \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma \in S) \rangle$ 
proof (intro iffI allI impI)
  show  $\langle \text{restriction-closed } S \implies \text{range } \sigma \subseteq S \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies \Sigma \in S \rangle$ 
  for  $\Sigma \sigma$ 
  by (meson le-add1 range-subsetD restriction-closedD)
next
  assume  $*$  :  $\langle \forall \Sigma \sigma. \text{range } \sigma \subseteq S \longrightarrow \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma \in S \rangle$ 
  show  $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \rangle$ 
  proof (rule restriction-closedI, rule ccontr)
  fix  $\Sigma \sigma$  assume  $\langle \Sigma \notin S \rangle$   $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$   $\langle \nexists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \notin S \rangle$ 
  from  $\langle \nexists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \notin S \rangle$  INFM-nat-le have  $\langle \exists_\infty k. \sigma k \in S \rangle$ 
by auto
  from this [THEN extraction-subseqD [of  $\langle \lambda x. x \in S \rangle$ ]]
  obtain  $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$  where  $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$   $\langle \forall k. \sigma (f k) \in S \rangle$ 
by blast
  from  $\langle \forall k. \sigma (f k) \in S \rangle$  have  $\langle \text{range } (\sigma \circ f) \subseteq S \rangle$  by auto
  moreover from  $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$   $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  have  $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  by (fact restriction-tendsto-subseq)
  ultimately have  $\langle \Sigma \in S \rangle$  by (fact * [rule-format])
  with  $\langle \Sigma \notin S \rangle$  show False ..
qed
qed

```

```

corollary restriction-closed-sequentialI :
   $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma \sigma. \text{range } \sigma \subseteq S \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies \Sigma \in S) \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow S \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-closed-iff-sequential-characterization)

```

```

corollary restriction-closed-sequentialD :
   $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \implies \text{range } \sigma \subseteq S \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies \Sigma \in S \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-closed-iff-sequential-characterization)

```

end

```

context restriction-space begin

```

```

theorem restriction-open-iff-restriction-cball-characterization :

```

$\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \iff (\forall \Sigma \in U. \exists n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U) \rangle$
proof (*intro iffI ballI*)
show $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \Sigma \in U \implies \exists n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U \rangle$ **for** Σ
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$ $\langle \Sigma \in U \rangle$ $\langle \nexists n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U \rangle$
from $\langle \nexists n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U \rangle$ **have** $\langle \forall n. \exists \sigma. \sigma \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \cap - U \rangle$
by auto
then obtain σ **where** $\langle \sigma n \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \rangle$ $\langle \sigma n \in - U \rangle$ **for** n **by**
(*metis IntE*)
from $\langle \bigwedge n. \sigma n \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \rangle$ **have** $\langle \sigma -\downarrow\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*metis restriction-cball-memD restriction-related-le restriction-tendstoI*)
moreover from $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$ **have** $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow (- U) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-closed-def*)
ultimately have $\langle \Sigma \in - U \rangle$
using $\langle \bigwedge n. \sigma n \in - U \rangle$ *restriction-closedD* **by blast**
with $\langle \Sigma \in U \rangle$ **show** *False* **by simp**
qed
next
show $\langle \forall \Sigma \in U. \exists n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U \implies \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$
by (*metis center-mem-restriction-cball restriction-open-def restriction-open-restriction-cball subset-iff*)
qed

corollary *restriction-open-restriction-cballI* :
 $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma. \Sigma \in U \implies \exists n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U) \implies \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-open-iff-restriction-cball-characterization*)

corollary *restriction-open-restriction-cballD* :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \Sigma \in U \implies \exists n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-open-iff-restriction-cball-characterization*)

corollary *restriction-open-restriction-cballE* :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \Sigma \in U \implies (\bigwedge n. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \subseteq U \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis} \rangle$
using *restriction-open-restriction-cballD* **by blast**

end

context *restriction* **begin**

definition *restriction-cont-on* :: $\langle 'b :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow 'a, 'b \text{ set} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (-) \text{ on } (-) \rangle [1000, 1000]$
where $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \equiv \forall \Sigma \in A. \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cont-onI* : $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma \sigma. \Sigma \in A \implies \sigma -\downarrow\rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f(\sigma n)) -\downarrow\rightarrow f \Sigma) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-on-def restriction-cont-atI*)

lemma *restriction-cont-onD* : $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \Sigma \in A \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-on-def restriction-cont-atD*)

lemma *restriction-cont-on-comp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow g \text{ on } B \implies f ' A \subseteq B \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (*simp add: image-subset-iff restriction-cont-at-comp restriction-cont-on-def restriction-class.restriction-cont-on-def*)

lemma *restriction-cont-on-if-then-else* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (f x) \text{ on } A; \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (g x) \text{ on } A \rrbracket \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (*auto intro!: restriction-cont-onI*) (*blast dest: restriction-cont-onD*)+

lemma *restriction-cont-on-subset* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-on-def subset-iff*)

abbreviation *restriction-cont* :: $\langle ['b :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle (\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow \rangle)$
where $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \equiv \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } \text{UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-contI* : $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma \sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-onI*)

lemma *restriction-contD* : $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-onD*)

lemma *restriction-cont-comp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow g \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. g (f x)) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-on-comp*)

lemma *restriction-cont-if-then-else* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \llbracket \bigwedge x. P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (f x); \bigwedge x. \neg P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (g x) \rrbracket \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) \rangle$
by (*auto intro!: restriction-contI*) (*blast dest: restriction-contD*)+

end

context *restriction-space* **begin**

theorem *restriction-cont-at-iff-restriction-cball-characterization* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \exists k. f ' \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n)) \rangle$

```

for  $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
proof (intro iffI allI)
  show  $\langle \exists k. f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \rangle$  if  $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$  for  $n$ 
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume  $\langle \nexists k. f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \rangle$ 
    hence  $\langle \forall k. \exists \psi. \psi \in f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \wedge \psi \notin \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \rangle$  by auto
    then obtain  $\psi$  where  $*$  :  $\langle \psi k \in f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \rangle$   $\langle \psi k \notin \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \rangle$ 
for  $k$  by metis
    from  $*(1)$  obtain  $\sigma$  where  $**$  :  $\langle \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \rangle$   $\langle \psi k = f(\sigma k) \rangle$ 
for  $k$ 
    by (simp add: image-iff) metis
    have  $\langle \sigma -\downarrow \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
    by (rule restriction-class.restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballI)
      (use  $** (1)$  restriction-space-class.restriction-cball-anti-mono in
blast)
    with restriction-cont-atD  $\langle \text{restriction-cont-at } f \Sigma \rangle$ 
    have  $\langle (\lambda k. f(\sigma k)) -\downarrow \rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$  by blast
    hence  $\langle \psi -\downarrow \rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$  by (fold  $** (2)$ )
    with  $*(2)$  restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballD show False by blast
  qed
next
  show  $\langle \forall n. \exists k. f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$ 
    by (intro restriction-cont-atI restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballI)
      (meson image-iff restriction-class.restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballD
subset-eq)
  qed

```

corollary restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballI :

```

 $\langle (\bigwedge n. \exists k. f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n)) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-cont-at-iff-restriction-cball-characterization)

```

corollary restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballD :

```

 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \exists k. f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-cont-at-iff-restriction-cball-characterization)

```

corollary restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballE :

```

 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge k. f \text{ ' } \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis} \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
using restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballD by blast

```

theorem restriction-cont-iff-restriction-open-characterization :

```

 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \iff (\forall U. \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (f \text{ -' } U)) \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 

```

```

proof (intro iffI allI impI)
  fix U :: ‹'a set› assume ‹cont↓ f› ‹open↓ U›
  show ‹open↓ (f -' U)›
  proof (rule restriction-space-class.restriction-open-restriction-cballI)
    fix Σ assume ‹Σ ∈ f -' U›
    hence ‹f Σ ∈ U› by simp
    with ‹open↓ U› restriction-open-restriction-cballD
    obtain n where ‹B↓(f Σ, n) ⊆ U› by blast
    moreover obtain k where ‹f ' B↓(Σ, k) ⊆ B↓(f Σ, n)›
      by (meson UNIV-I ‹cont↓ f› restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballE
restriction-cont-on-def)
    ultimately have ‹B↓(Σ, k) ⊆ f -' U› by blast
    thus ‹∃ k. B↓(Σ, k) ⊆ f -' U› ..
  qed
next
  show ‹∀ U. open↓ U ⟶ open↓ (f -' U) ⟹ cont↓ f›
  by (unfold restriction-cont-on-def, intro ballI restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballI)
    (simp add: image-subset-iff-subset-vimage restriction-space-class.restriction-open-restriction-cballD)
qed

```

```

corollary restriction-cont-restriction-openI :
  ‹(∧ U. open↓ U ⟹ open↓ (f -' U)) ⟹ cont↓ f›
for f :: ‹'b :: restriction-space ⇒ 'a›
by (simp add: restriction-cont-iff-restriction-open-characterization)

```

```

corollary restriction-cont-restriction-openD :
  ‹cont↓ f ⟹ open↓ U ⟹ open↓ (f -' U)›
for f :: ‹'b :: restriction-space ⇒ 'a›
by (simp add: restriction-cont-iff-restriction-open-characterization)

```

```

theorem restriction-cont-iff-restriction-closed-characterization :
  ‹cont↓ f ⟷ (∀ S. closed↓ S ⟶ closed↓ (f -' S))›
for f :: ‹'b :: restriction-space ⇒ 'a›
by (metis boolean-algebra-class.boolean-algebra.double-compl local.restriction-closed-def
restriction-class.restriction-closed-def restriction-cont-iff-restriction-open-characterization
vimage-Compl)

```

```

corollary restriction-cont-restriction-closedI :
  ‹(∧ U. closed↓ U ⟹ closed↓ (f -' U)) ⟹ cont↓ f›
for f :: ‹'b :: restriction-space ⇒ 'a›
by (simp add: restriction-cont-iff-restriction-closed-characterization)

```

```

corollary restriction-cont-restriction-closedD :
  ‹cont↓ f ⟹ closed↓ U ⟹ closed↓ (f -' U)›
for f :: ‹'b :: restriction-space ⇒ 'a›
by (simp add: restriction-cont-iff-restriction-closed-characterization)

```

theorem *restriction-shift-on-restriction-open-imp-restriction-cont-on* :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } U \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ U \rangle$
proof (*intro restriction-cont-onI restriction-tendstoI*)
fix $\Sigma \ \sigma$ **and** $n :: \text{nat}$ **assume** $\langle \Sigma \in U \rangle \langle \sigma \text{ -}\downarrow\text{-}\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
with $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$ **obtain** $n0$ **where** $\langle \forall l \geq n0. \sigma \ l \in U \rangle$
by (*meson restriction-class.restriction-openD*)
moreover from $\langle \sigma \text{ -}\downarrow\text{-}\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ [*THEN restriction-class.restriction-tendstoD*]
obtain $n1$ **where** $\langle \forall l \geq n1. \Sigma \downarrow \text{nat } (int \ n - k) = \sigma \ l \downarrow \text{nat } (int \ n - k) \rangle$..
ultimately have $\langle \forall l \geq \max \ n0 \ n1. \sigma \ l \in U \wedge \Sigma \downarrow \text{nat } (int \ n - k) = \sigma \ l \downarrow \text{nat } (int \ n - k) \rangle$ **by** *simp*
with $\langle \Sigma \in U \rangle \langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f \ k \ U \rangle$ *restriction-shift-onD*
have $\langle \forall l \geq \max \ n0 \ n1. f \ \Sigma \downarrow \text{nat } (int \ (\text{nat } (int \ n - k)) + k) = f \ (\sigma \ l) \downarrow \text{nat } (int \ (\text{nat } (int \ n - k)) + k) \rangle$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $\langle n \leq \text{nat } (int \ (\text{nat } (int \ n - k)) + k) \rangle$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $\langle \forall l \geq \max \ n0 \ n1. f \ \Sigma \downarrow n = f \ (\sigma \ l) \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** (*meson restriction-related-le*)
thus $\langle \exists n2. \forall l \geq n2. f \ \Sigma \downarrow n = f \ (\sigma \ l) \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed

corollary *restriction-shift-imp-restriction-cont* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f \ k \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-shift-def restriction-shift-on-restriction-open-imp-restriction-cont-on*)

corollary *non-too-destructive-imp-restriction-cont* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$
by (*simp add: non-too-destructive-def non-too-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-restriction-open-imp-restriction-cont-on*)

end

5.3 Compactness

context *restriction begin*

definition *restriction-compact* :: $\langle 'a \ \text{set} \implies \text{bool} \rangle$ ($\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow \rangle$)
where $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow \ K \equiv$
 $\forall \sigma. \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists f :: \text{nat} \implies \text{nat}. \exists \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \text{ -}\downarrow\text{-}\rightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$

lemma *restriction-compactI* :
 $\langle (\bigwedge \sigma. \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \implies \exists f :: \text{nat} \implies \text{nat}. \exists \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \text{ -}\downarrow\text{-}\rightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$

$\implies \text{compact}_\downarrow K$ by (simp add: restriction-compact-def)

lemma *restriction-compactD* :

$\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \implies \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \implies$
 $\exists f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}. \exists \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 by (simp add: restriction-compact-def)

lemma *restriction-compactE* :

assumes $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$
obtains $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$ **and** Σ **where** $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$ $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$
 $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
 by (meson assms restriction-compactD)

lemma *restriction-compact-empty [simp]* : $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow \{\} \rangle$

by (simp add: restriction-compact-def)

lemma (in *restriction-space*) *restriction-compact-imp-restriction-closed*

:

$\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow K \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$

proof (rule *restriction-closed-sequentialI*)

fix $\sigma \Sigma$ **assume** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$ $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

from *restriction-compactD* $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$ $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$

obtain f **and** Σ' **where** $\langle \Sigma' \in K \rangle$ $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$ $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma' \rangle$

by *blast*

from *restriction-tendsto-subseq* $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$ $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

have $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by** *blast*

with $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma' \rangle$ **have** $\langle \Sigma' = \Sigma \rangle$ **by** (fact *restriction-tendsto-unique*)

with $\langle \Sigma' \in K \rangle$ **show** $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$ **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *restriction-compact-union* : $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow (K \cup L) \rangle$

if $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow L \rangle$

proof (rule *restriction-compactI*)

fix $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$ **assume** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \cup L \rangle$

{ **fix** $K L$ **and** $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$

assume $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$ $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$ $\langle \sigma (f n) \in K \rangle$ **for** n

from $\langle \bigwedge n. \sigma (f n) \in K \rangle$ **have** $\langle \text{range } (\sigma \circ f) \subseteq K \rangle$ **by** *auto*

with $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$ *restriction-compactD* **obtain** $g \Sigma$

where $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$ $\langle \text{strict-mono } g \rangle$ $\langle (\sigma \circ f \circ g) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by** *blast*

hence $\langle \Sigma \in K \cup L \wedge \text{strict-mono } (f \circ g) \wedge (\sigma \circ (f \circ g)) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Un-iff strict-mono f comp-assoc monotone-on-o subset-UNIV*)

hence $\langle \exists f \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \cup L \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by**

blast

} **note** $*$ = *this*

have $\langle \exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n \in K \rangle \vee \langle \exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n \in L \rangle$

proof (rule ccontr)
assume $\langle \neg ((\exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n \in K) \vee (\exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n \in L)) \rangle$
hence $\langle \text{finite } \{n. \sigma n \in K\} \wedge \text{finite } \{n. \sigma n \in L\} \rangle$
using frequently-cofinite **by** blast
then obtain n **where** $\langle n \notin \{n. \sigma n \in K\} \wedge n \notin \{n. \sigma n \in L\} \rangle$
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) INFM-nat-le dual-order.refl frequently-cofinite le-sup-iff mem-Collect-eq)
hence $\langle \sigma n \notin K \cup L \rangle$ **by** simp
with $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \cup L \rangle$ **show** False **by** blast
qed
thus $\langle \exists f \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \cup L \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (elim disjE extraction-subseqE)
(use * $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle$ **in** blast, metis * Un-iff $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} L \rangle$)
qed

lemma restriction-compact-finite-Union :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{finite } I; \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (K i) \rrbracket \implies \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (\bigcup_{i \in I. K i}) \rangle$
by (induct I rule: finite-induct)
(simp-all add: restriction-compact-union)

lemma (in restriction-space) restriction-compact-Inter :
 $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (\bigcap i. K i) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \bigwedge i. \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (K i) \rangle$
proof (rule restriction-compactI)
fix $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ **assume** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq \bigcap (\text{range } K) \rangle$
hence $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K i \rangle$ **for** i **by** blast
with $\langle \bigwedge i. \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (K i) \rangle$ restriction-compactD
obtain $f \Sigma$ **where** $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by** blast
from $\langle \bigwedge i. \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (K i) \rangle$ **have** $\langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} (K i) \rangle$ **for** i
by (simp add: restriction-compact-imp-restriction-closed)
moreover from $\langle \bigwedge i. \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K i \rangle$ **have** $\langle \text{range } (\sigma \circ f) \subseteq K i \rangle$
for i **by** auto
ultimately have $\langle \Sigma \in K i \rangle$ **for** i
by (meson $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ restriction-closed-sequentialD)
with $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
show $\langle \exists f \Sigma. \Sigma \in \bigcap (\text{range } K) \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by blast
qed

lemma finite-imp-restriction-compact : $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{finite } K \rangle$
proof (rule restriction-compactI)
fix $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow - \rangle$ **assume** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$
have $\langle \exists \Sigma \in K. \exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n = \Sigma \rangle$
proof (rule ccontr)
assume $\langle \neg (\exists \Sigma \in K. \exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n = \Sigma) \rangle$
hence $\langle \forall \Sigma \in K. \text{finite } \{n. \sigma n = \Sigma\} \rangle$ **by** (simp add: frequently-cofinite)
with $\langle \text{finite } K \rangle$ **have** $\langle \text{finite } (\bigcup \Sigma \in K. \{n. \sigma n = \Sigma\}) \rangle$ **by** blast
also from $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$ **have** $\langle (\bigcup \Sigma \in K. \{n. \sigma n = \Sigma\}) = \text{UNIV} \rangle$

by *auto*
finally show *False* **by** *simp*
qed
then obtain Σ **where** $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle \langle \exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n = \Sigma \rangle$..
from *extraction-subseqD*[*of* - σ , *OF* $\langle \exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n = \Sigma \rangle$]
obtain $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$ **where** $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle \sigma (f n) = \Sigma \rangle$ **for** n
by *blast*
from $\langle \bigwedge n. \sigma (f n) = \Sigma \rangle$ **have** $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendstoI*)
with $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$
show $\langle \exists f \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *restriction-compact-restriction-closed-subset* : $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} L \rangle$
if $\langle L \subseteq K \rangle \langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle \langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} L \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-compactI*)
fix $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \rightarrow \rangle$ **assume** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq L \rangle$
with $\langle L \subseteq K \rangle$ **have** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$ **by** *blast*
with $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle$ *restriction-compactD*
obtain $f \Sigma$ **where** $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle \langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by**
blast
from $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq L \rangle$ **have** $\langle \text{range } (\sigma \circ f) \subseteq L \rangle$ **by** *auto*
from *restriction-closed-sequentialD* $\langle \text{restriction-closed } L \rangle$
 $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle \langle \text{range } (\sigma \circ f) \subseteq L \rangle$ **have** $\langle \Sigma \in L \rangle$ **by** *blast*
with $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
show $\langle \exists f \Sigma. \Sigma \in L \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *restriction-cont-image-of-restriction-compact* :
 $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (f \text{ ' } K) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \text{ on } K \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-compactI*)
fix $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \rightarrow \rangle$ **assume** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq f \text{ ' } K \rangle$
hence $\langle \forall n. \exists \gamma. \gamma \in K \wedge \sigma n = f \gamma \rangle$ **by** (*meson imageE range-subsetD*)
then obtain $\gamma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \rightarrow \rangle$ **where** $\langle \text{range } \gamma \subseteq K \rangle \langle \sigma n = f (\gamma n) \rangle$
for n
by (*metis image-subsetI*)
from *restriction-class.restriction-compactD*[*OF* $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle \langle \text{range } \gamma \subseteq K \rangle$]
obtain $g \Sigma$ **where** $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle \langle \text{strict-mono } g \rangle \langle (\gamma \circ g) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by**
blast
from $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \text{ on } K \rangle \langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$
have $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: restriction-cont-on-def*)
with $\langle (\gamma \circ g) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ *restriction-cont-atD*
have $\langle (\lambda n. f ((\gamma \circ g) n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$ **by** *blast*
also have $\langle (\lambda n. f ((\gamma \circ g) n)) = (\sigma \circ g) \rangle$
by (*simp add: $\langle \bigwedge n. \sigma n = f (\gamma n) \rangle$ comp-def*)
finally have $\langle (\sigma \circ g) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$.

with $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$ *strict-mono g*
show $\langle \exists g \Sigma. \Sigma \in f ' K \wedge \text{strict-mono } g \wedge (\sigma \circ g) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
end

5.4 Properties for Function and Product

lemma *restriction-cball-fun-is* : $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f, n) = \{g. \forall x. g x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f x, n)\} \rangle$
by (*simp add: set-eq-iff restriction-cball-mem-iff restriction-fun-def*)
metis

lemma *restriction-cball-prod-is* :
 $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) = \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\text{fst } \Sigma, n) \times \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\text{snd } \Sigma, n) \rangle$
by (*simp add: set-eq-iff restriction-cball-def restriction-prod-def*)

lemma *restriction-open-prod-imp-restriction-open-image-fst* :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(\text{fst } ' U) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-openI*)
fix $\Sigma \sigma$ **assume** $\langle \Sigma \in \text{fst } ' U \rangle$ **and** $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
from $\langle \Sigma \in \text{fst } ' U \rangle$ **obtain** v **where** $\langle (\Sigma, v) \in U \rangle$ **by** *auto*
from $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **have** $\langle (\lambda n. (\sigma n, v)) \dashrightarrow (\Sigma, v) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-prod-iff restriction-tendsto-const*)
from *restriction-openD[OF restriction-open U] $\langle (\Sigma, v) \in U \rangle$ this*
obtain $n0$ **where** $\langle \forall k \geq n0. (\sigma k, v) \in U \rangle$..
thus $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \text{fst } ' U \rangle$ **by** (*metis fst-conv imageI*)
qed

lemma *restriction-open-prod-imp-restriction-open-image-snd* :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(\text{snd } ' U) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-openI*)
fix $\Sigma \sigma$ **assume** $\langle \Sigma \in \text{snd } ' U \rangle$ **and** $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
from $\langle \Sigma \in \text{snd } ' U \rangle$ **obtain** u **where** $\langle (u, \Sigma) \in U \rangle$ **by** *auto*
from $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **have** $\langle (\lambda n. (u, \sigma n)) \dashrightarrow (u, \Sigma) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-prod-iff restriction-tendsto-const*)
from *restriction-openD[OF restriction-open U] $\langle (u, \Sigma) \in U \rangle$ this*
obtain $n0$ **where** $\langle \forall k \geq n0. (u, \sigma k) \in U \rangle$..
thus $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \text{snd } ' U \rangle$ **by** (*metis snd-conv imageI*)
qed

lemma *restriction-open-prod-iff* :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(U \times V) \iff (V = \{\}) \vee \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle \wedge (U = \{\}) \vee \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$
proof (*intro iffI conjI*)
show $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(U \times V) \implies V = \{\} \vee \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$
by (*metis fst-image-times restriction-open-prod-imp-restriction-open-image-fst*)
next
show $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(U \times V) \implies U = \{\} \vee \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$
by (*metis restriction-open-prod-imp-restriction-open-image-snd snd-image-times*)

next
assume $\langle V = \{\} \vee \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle \wedge \langle U = \{\} \vee \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$
then consider $\langle U = \{\} \rangle \mid \langle V = \{\} \rangle \mid \langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \wedge \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$ **by fast**
thus $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow (U \times V) \rangle$
proof cases
show $\langle U = \{\} \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (U \times V) \rangle$ **by simp**
next
show $\langle V = \{\} \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (U \times V) \rangle$ **by simp**
next
show $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow (U \times V) \rangle$ **if** $*$: $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \wedge \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$
proof (rule restriction-openI)
fix $\Sigma \sigma$ **assume** $\langle \Sigma \in U \times V \rangle$ **and** $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
from $\langle \Sigma \in U \times V \rangle$ **have** $\langle \text{fst } \Sigma \in U \rangle \langle \text{snd } \Sigma \in V \rangle$ **by auto**
from $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **have** $\langle (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow \text{fst } \Sigma \rangle \langle (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow \text{snd } \Sigma \rangle$
by (simp-all add: restriction-tendsto-prod-iff)
from $\text{restriction-openD}[OF * [THEN conjunct1]] \langle \text{fst } \Sigma \in U \rangle \langle (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow \text{fst } \Sigma \rangle$
obtain $n0$ **where** $\langle \forall k \geq n0. \text{fst } (\sigma k) \in U \rangle$..
moreover from $\text{restriction-openD}[OF * [THEN conjunct2]] \langle \text{snd } \Sigma \in V \rangle \langle (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow \text{snd } \Sigma \rangle$
obtain $n1$ **where** $\langle \forall k \geq n1. \text{snd } (\sigma k) \in V \rangle$..
ultimately have $\langle \forall k \geq \max n0 n1. \sigma k \in U \times V \rangle$ **by (simp add: mem-Times-iff)**
thus $\langle \exists n2. \forall k \geq n2. \sigma k \in U \times V \rangle$ **by blast**
qed
qed
qed

lemma restriction-cont-at-prod-codomain-iff:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \iff \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \wedge \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
by (auto simp add: restriction-cont-at-def restriction-tendsto-prod-iff)

lemma restriction-cont-on-prod-codomain-iff:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \iff \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ on } A \wedge \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (metis restriction-cont-at-prod-codomain-iff restriction-cont-on-def)

lemma restriction-cont-prod-codomain-iff:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \iff \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \wedge \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \rangle$
by (fact restriction-cont-on-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma restriction-cont-at-prod-codomain-imp [restriction-cont-simpset]
:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-cont-at-prod-codomain-iff*)

lemma *restriction-cont-on-prod-codomain-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]
 :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-cont-on-prod-codomain-iff*)

lemma *restriction-cont-prod-codomain-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]
 :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-cont-prod-codomain-iff*)

lemma *restriction-cont-at-fun-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x y) \text{ at } A \rangle$
by (*rule restriction-cont-atI*)
 (*metis restriction-cont-atD restriction-tendsto-fun-imp*)

lemma *restriction-cont-on-fun-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x y) \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-at-fun-imp restriction-cont-on-def*)

corollary *restriction-cont-fun-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x y) \rangle$
by (*fact restriction-cont-on-fun-imp*)

lemma *restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]
 :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f (x, \text{snd } \Sigma)) \text{ at } (\text{fst } \Sigma) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. f (\text{fst } \Sigma, y)) \text{ at } (\text{snd } \Sigma) \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'c :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cball-prod-is subset-iff image-iff*
restriction-cont-at-iff-restriction-cball-characterization,
meson center-mem-restriction-cball) $+$

lemma *restriction-cont-on-prod-domain-imp* [*restriction-cont-simpset*]
 :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f (x, y)) \text{ on } \{x. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. f (x, y)) \text{ on } \{y. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'c :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$

```

proof –
  show  $\langle cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. f (x, y)) \text{ on } \{x. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$ 
  proof (unfold restriction-cont-on-def, rule ballI)
    fix  $x$  assume  $\langle x \in \{x. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$ 
    with  $\langle cont_{\downarrow} f \text{ on } A \rangle$  have  $\langle cont_{\downarrow} f \text{ at } (x, y) \rangle$ 
      unfolding restriction-cont-on-def by simp
    thus  $\langle cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. f (x, y)) \text{ at } x \rangle$ 
      by (fact restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp[of f  $\langle (x, y) \rangle$ , simplified])
  qed
next
  show  $\langle cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda y. f (x, y)) \text{ on } \{y. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$ 
  proof (unfold restriction-cont-on-def, rule ballI)
    fix  $y$  assume  $\langle y \in \{y. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$ 
    with  $\langle cont_{\downarrow} f \text{ on } A \rangle$  have  $\langle cont_{\downarrow} f \text{ at } (x, y) \rangle$ 
      unfolding restriction-cont-on-def by simp
    thus  $\langle cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda y. f (x, y)) \text{ at } y \rangle$ 
      by (fact restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp[of f  $\langle (x, y) \rangle$ , simplified])
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-cont-prod-domain-imp [restriction-cont-simpset] :
   $\langle cont_{\downarrow} f \implies cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. f (x, y)) \rangle$ 
   $\langle cont_{\downarrow} f \implies cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda y. f (x, y)) \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'c :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$ 
  by (metis UNIV-I restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp(1) restriction-cont-on-def split-pairs)
  (metis UNIV-I restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp(2) restriction-cont-on-def split-pairs)

```

6 Induction in Restriction Space

6.1 Admissibility

named-theorems *restriction-adm-simpset* — For future automation.

6.1.1 Definition

We start by defining the notion of admissible predicate. The idea is that if this predicates holds for each value of a convergent sequence, it also holds for its limit.

context *restriction* **begin**

definition *restriction-adm* :: $\langle ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle \langle \text{adm}_\downarrow \rangle$
where $\langle \text{restriction-adm } P \equiv \forall \sigma \Sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \longrightarrow (\forall n. P (\sigma n)) \longrightarrow P \Sigma \rangle$

lemma *restriction-admI* :
 $\langle (\bigwedge \sigma \Sigma. \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge n. P (\sigma n)) \Longrightarrow P \Sigma) \Longrightarrow \text{restriction-adm } P \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-adm-def*)

lemma *restriction-admD* :
 $\langle [\text{restriction-adm } P; \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma; \bigwedge n. P (\sigma n)] \Longrightarrow P \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-adm-def*)

6.1.2 Properties

lemma *restriction-adm-const* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. t) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-admI*)

lemma *restriction-adm-conj* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x) \Longrightarrow \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. Q x) \Longrightarrow \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) \rangle$
by (*fast intro: restriction-admI elim: restriction-admD*)

lemma *restriction-adm-all* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle (\bigwedge y. \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x y)) \Longrightarrow \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \forall y. P x y) \rangle$
by (*fast intro: restriction-admI elim: restriction-admD*)

lemma *restriction-adm-ball* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle (\bigwedge y. y \in A \Longrightarrow \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x y)) \Longrightarrow \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \forall y \in A. P x y) \rangle$
by (*fast intro: restriction-admI elim: restriction-admD*)

lemma *restriction-adm-disj* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x \vee Q x) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x) \rangle \langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. Q x) \rangle$

proof (*rule restriction-admI*)

fix $\sigma \Sigma$

assume $*$: $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle \langle \bigwedge n. P (\sigma n) \vee Q (\sigma n) \rangle$

from $*(2)$ **have** $**$: $\langle (\forall i. \exists j \geq i. P (\sigma j)) \vee (\forall i. \exists j \geq i. Q (\sigma j)) \rangle$

by (*meson nat-le-linear*)

{ fix P **assume** $\$$: $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow (\lambda x. P x) \rangle \langle \forall i. \exists j \geq i. P (\sigma j) \rangle$

define f **where** $\langle f i = (\text{LEAST } j. i \leq j \wedge P (\sigma j)) \rangle$ **for** i

have $f1$: $\langle \bigwedge i. i \leq f i \rangle$ **and** $f2$: $\langle \bigwedge i. P (\sigma (f i)) \rangle$

using *LeastI-ex* [*OF* $\$(2)$ [*rule-format*]] **by** (*simp-all add: f-def*)

have $f3$: $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (f n)) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$

proof (*rule restriction-tendstoI*)

fix n

from $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ *restriction-tendstoD* **obtain** $n0$ **where** $\langle \forall k \geq n0.$

$\Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** *blast*

hence $\langle \forall k \geq \max n0 n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma (f k) \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** (*meson f1 le-trans*)

max.boundedE)
thus $\langle \exists n 0. \forall k \geq n 0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma (f k) \downarrow n \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
have $\langle P \Sigma \rangle$ **by** (*fact restriction-admD*[*OF* \$(1) f3 f2\$])
}

with ****** $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P x) \rangle \langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. Q x) \rangle$ **show** $\langle P \Sigma \vee Q \Sigma \rangle$ **by**
blast
qed

lemma *restriction-adm-imp* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. \neg P x) \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. Q x) \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P x \longrightarrow Q x) \rangle$
by (*subst imp-conv-disj*) (*rule restriction-adm-disj*)

lemma *restriction-adm-iff* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P x \longrightarrow Q x) \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. Q x \longrightarrow P x) \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P x \longleftrightarrow Q x) \rangle$
by (*subst iff-conv-conj-imp*) (*rule restriction-adm-conj*)

lemma *restriction-adm-if-then-else* [*restriction-adm-simpset*]:
 $\langle \llbracket P \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. Q x); \neg P \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. R x) \rrbracket \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. \text{if } P \text{ then } Q x \text{ else } R x) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-adm-def*)

end

The notion of continuity is of course strongly related to the notion of admissibility.

lemma *restriction-adm-eq* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. f x = g x) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} g \rangle$
for $f g :: \langle 'a :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-admI*)
fix $\sigma \Sigma$ **assume** $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **and** $\langle \bigwedge n. f (\sigma n) = g (\sigma n) \rangle$
from *restriction-contD*[*OF* $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \rangle \langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$] **have** $\langle (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$.
hence $\langle (\lambda n. g (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$ **by** (*unfold* $\langle \bigwedge n. f (\sigma n) = g (\sigma n) \rangle$)
moreover from *restriction-contD*[*OF* $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} g \rangle \langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$] **have** $\langle (\lambda n. g (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow g \Sigma \rangle$.
ultimately show $\langle f \Sigma = g \Sigma \rangle$ **by** (*fact restriction-tendsto-unique*)
qed

lemma *restriction-adm-subst* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P (t x)) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. t x) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} P \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-admI*)
fix $\sigma \Sigma$ **assume** $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ $\langle \bigwedge n. P (t (\sigma n)) \rangle$
from *restriction-contD*[*OF* $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. t x) \rangle \langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$]

have $\langle (\lambda n. t (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow t \Sigma \rangle$.
from $\text{restriction-admD}[OF \langle \text{restriction-adm } P \rangle \langle (\lambda n. t (\sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow t \Sigma \rangle \langle \bigwedge n. P (t (\sigma n)) \rangle]$
show $\langle P (t \Sigma) \rangle$.
qed

lemma $\text{restriction-adm-prod-domainD} [\text{restriction-adm-simpset}] :$
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P (x, y)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda y. P (x, y)) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} P \rangle$
proof –
show $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P (x, y)) \rangle$
proof ($\text{rule restriction-admI}$)
show $\langle P (\Sigma, y) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle \langle \bigwedge n. P (\sigma n, y) \rangle$ **for** $\sigma \Sigma$
proof ($\text{rule restriction-admD}[OF \langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} P \rangle - \langle \bigwedge n. P (\sigma n, y) \rangle]$)
show $\langle (\lambda n. (\sigma n, y)) \dashv\rightarrow (\Sigma, y) \rangle$
by ($\text{simp add: restriction-tendsto-prod-iff restriction-tendsto-const}$
 $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$)
qed
qed
next
show $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda y. P (x, y)) \rangle$
proof ($\text{rule restriction-admI}$)
show $\langle P (x, \Sigma) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle \langle \bigwedge n. P (x, \sigma n) \rangle$ **for** $\sigma \Sigma$
proof ($\text{rule restriction-admD}[OF \langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} P \rangle - \langle \bigwedge n. P (x, \sigma n) \rangle]$)
show $\langle (\lambda n. (x, \sigma n)) \dashv\rightarrow (x, \Sigma) \rangle$
by ($\text{simp add: restriction-tendsto-prod-iff restriction-tendsto-const}$
 $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$)
qed
qed
qed

lemma $\text{restriction-adm-restriction-shift-on} [\text{restriction-adm-simpset}] :$
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda f. \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A) \rangle$
proof ($\text{rule restriction-admI}$)
fix $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$ **and** $\Sigma :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$
assume $\langle \sigma \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **and** $\text{hyp} : \langle \text{restriction-shift-on } (\sigma n) k A \rangle$ **for** n
show $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } \Sigma k A \rangle$
proof ($\text{rule restriction-shift-onI}$)
fix $x y n$ **assume** $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n \rangle$
from $\text{hyp}[\text{THEN restriction-shift-onD, OF this}]$
have $*$: $\langle \sigma m x \downarrow \text{nat } (int n + k) = \sigma m y \downarrow \text{nat } (int n + k) \rangle$ **for**
 m .
show $\langle \Sigma x \downarrow \text{nat } (int n + k) = \Sigma y \downarrow \text{nat } (int n + k) \rangle$
proof ($\text{rule restriction-tendsto-unique}$)
show $\langle (\lambda m. \sigma m x \downarrow \text{nat } (int n + k)) \dashv\rightarrow \Sigma x \downarrow \text{nat } (int n + k) \rangle$

by (*simp add*: $\langle \sigma \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ *restriction-tendsto-const-restricted*
restriction-tendsto-fun-imp)
next
show $\langle (\lambda m. \sigma m x \downarrow \text{nat } (int\ n + k)) \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma\ y \downarrow \text{nat } (int\ n + k) \rangle$
by (*simp add*: * $\langle \sigma \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ *restriction-tendsto-const-restricted*
restriction-tendsto-fun-imp)
qed
qed
qed

lemma *restriction-adm-constructive-on* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda f. \text{constructive-on } f\ A) \rangle$
by (*simp add*: *constructive-on-def* *restriction-adm-restriction-shift-on*)

lemma *restriction-adm-non-destructive-on* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda f. \text{non-destructive-on } f\ A) \rangle$
by (*simp add*: *non-destructive-on-def* *restriction-adm-restriction-shift-on*)

lemma *restriction-adm-restriction-cont-at* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda f. \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f\ \text{at } a) \rangle$
proof (*rule* *restriction-admI*)
fix $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$ **and** $\Sigma :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$
assume $\langle \sigma \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **and** *hyp* : $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} (\sigma\ n)\ \text{at } a \rangle$ **for** n
show $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} \Sigma\ \text{at } a \rangle$
proof (*rule* *restriction-cont-atI*)
fix γ **assume** $\langle \gamma \dashv \rightarrow a \rangle$
from *hyp*[*THEN* *restriction-cont-atD*, *OF* *this*, *THEN* *restriction-tendstoD*]
have $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma\ m\ a \downarrow n = \sigma\ m\ (\gamma\ k) \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** $m\ n$.
moreover from $\langle \sigma \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ [*THEN* *restriction-tendstoD*]
have $\langle \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma\ k \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** n .
ultimately show $\langle (\lambda n. \Sigma (\gamma\ n)) \dashv \rightarrow \Sigma\ a \rangle$
by (*intro* *restriction-tendstoI*) (*metis* *restriction-fun-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *restriction-adm-restriction-cont-on* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda f. \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f\ \text{on } A) \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-cont-on-def*
by (*intro* *restriction-adm-ball* *restriction-adm-restriction-cont-at*)

corollary *restriction-adm-restriction-shift* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda f. \text{restriction-shift } f\ k) \rangle$
and *restriction-adm-constructive* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda f. \text{constructive } f) \rangle$

and *restriction-adm-non-destructive* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda f. \text{non-destructive } f) \rangle$
and *restriction-adm-restriction-cont* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda f. \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f) \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-adm-simpset restriction-shift-def*
constructive-def non-destructive-def)

lemma (**in** *restriction*) *restriction-adm-mem-restriction-closed* [*restriction-adm-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} K \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. x \in K) \rangle$
by (*auto intro!: restriction-admI dest: restriction-closed-sequentialD*)

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-adm-mem-restriction-compact*
[*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. x \in K) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-adm-mem-restriction-closed restriction-compact-imp-restriction-closed*)

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-adm-mem-finite* [*restriction-adm-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{finite } S \implies \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. x \in S) \rangle$
by (*simp add: finite-imp-restriction-compact restriction-adm-mem-restriction-compact*)

lemma *restriction-adm-restriction-tendsto* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda \sigma. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$
by (*intro restriction-admI restriction-tendstoI*
(metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) restriction-fun-def restriction-tendsto-def))

lemma *restriction-adm-lim* [*restriction-adm-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{adm}_{\downarrow} (\lambda \Sigma. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \rangle$
by (*metis restriction-admI restriction-openD restriction-open-restriction-cball*
restriction-tendsto-iff-restriction-cball-characterization)

lemma *restriction-restriction-cont-on* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :
 $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (*rule restriction-cont-onI*)
(simp add: restriction-cont-onD restriction-tendsto-const-restricted)

lemma *restriction-cont-on-id* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] : $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. x) \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-onI*)

lemma *restriction-cont-on-const* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] : $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. c) \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-onI restriction-tendstoI*)

lemma *restriction-cont-on-fun* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] : $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda f. f x) \text{ on } A \rangle$

by (*rule restriction-cont-onI*) (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-fun-imp*)

lemma *restriction-cont2cont-on-fun* [*restriction-cont-simpset*] :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x y) \text{ on } A \rangle$

by (*rule restriction-cont-onI*)

(*metis restriction-cont-onD restriction-tendsto-fun-imp*)

6.2 Induction

Now that we have the concept of admissibility, we can formalize an induction rule for fixed points. Considering a *constructive* function f of type $'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (where $'a$ is instance of the class *complete-restriction-space*) and a predicate P which is admissible, and assuming that :

- P holds for a certain element x
- for any element x , if P holds for x then it still holds for $f x$
we can have that P holds for the fixed point $v x$. $P x$.

lemma *restriction-fix-ind'* [*case-names constructive adm steps*] :

$\langle \text{constructive } f \implies \text{adm}_\downarrow P \implies (\bigwedge n. P ((f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x)) \implies P (v x. f x) \rangle$

using *restriction-admD funpow-restriction-tendsto-restriction-fix* **by** *blast*

lemma *restriction-fix-ind* [*case-names constructive adm base step*] :

$\langle P (v x. f x) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow P \rangle$ $\langle P x \rangle$ $\langle \bigwedge x. P x \implies P (f x) \rangle$

proof (*induct rule: restriction-fix-ind'*)

show $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ **by** (*fact* $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$)

next

show $\langle \text{restriction-adm } P \rangle$ **by** (*fact* $\langle \text{restriction-adm } P \rangle$)

next

show $\langle P ((f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x) \rangle$ **for** n

by (*induct n*) (*simp-all add:* $\langle P x \rangle$ $\langle \bigwedge x. P x \implies P (f x) \rangle$)

qed

lemma *restriction-fix-ind2* [*case-names constructive adm base0 base1 step*] :

$\langle P (v x. f x) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow P \rangle$ $\langle P x \rangle$ $\langle P (f x) \rangle$

$\langle \bigwedge x. \llbracket P x; P (f x) \rrbracket \implies P (f (f x)) \rangle$

proof (*induct rule: restriction-fix-ind'*)

show $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ **by** (*fact* $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$)

next

show $\langle \text{restriction-adm } P \rangle$ **by** (*fact* $\langle \text{restriction-adm } P \rangle$)

next

show $\langle P ((f \sim n) x) \rangle$ **for** n
by (*induct n rule: induct-nat-012*) (*simp-all add: that(3-5)*)
qed

We can rewrite the fixed point over a product to obtain this parallel fixed point induction rule.

lemma *parallel-restriction-fix-ind* [*case-names constructiveL constructiveR adm base step*] :

fixes $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
and $g :: \langle 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$
assumes *constructive* : $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle \langle \text{constructive } g \rangle$
and *adm* : $\langle \text{restriction-adm } (\lambda p. P (\text{fst } p) (\text{snd } p)) \rangle$
and *base* : $\langle P x y \rangle$ **and** *step* : $\langle \bigwedge x y. P x y \implies P (f x) (g y) \rangle$
shows $\langle P (v x. f x) (v y. g y) \rangle$
proof –
define F **where** $\langle F \equiv \lambda(x, y). (f x, g y) \rangle$
define Q **where** $\langle Q \equiv \lambda(x, y). P x y \rangle$

have $\langle P (v x. f x) (v y. g y) = Q (v p. F p) \rangle$
by (*simp add: F-def Q-def constructive restriction-fix-indep-prod-is*)
also have $\langle Q (v p. F p) \rangle$
proof (*induct F rule : restriction-fix-ind*)
show $\langle \text{constructive } F \rangle$
by (*simp add: F-def constructive-prod-codomain-iff constructive-prod-domain-iff constructive constructive-const*)
next
show $\langle \text{restriction-adm } Q \rangle$
by (*unfold Q-def*) (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) adm case-prod-beta restriction-adm-def*)
next
show $\langle Q (x, y) \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: Q-def base*)
next
show $\langle Q p \implies Q (F p) \rangle$ **for** p **by** (*simp add: Q-def F-def step split-beta*)
qed
finally show $\langle P (v x. f x) (v y. g y) \rangle$.
qed

k-steps induction

lemma *restriction-fix-ind-k-steps* [*case-names constructive adm base-k-steps step*] :

assumes $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$
and $\langle \text{adm}_\downarrow P \rangle$
and $\langle \forall i < k. P ((f \sim i) x) \rangle$
and $\langle \bigwedge x. \forall i < k. P ((f \sim i) x) \implies P ((f \sim k) x) \rangle$
shows $\langle P (v x. f x) \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-fix-ind'*)
show $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$ **by** (*fact* $\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle$)
next

```

  show ⟨adm↓ P⟩ by (fact ⟨adm↓ P⟩)
next
  have nat-k-induct :
    ⟨P n⟩ if ⟨∀ i < k. P i⟩ and ⟨∀ n0. (∀ i < k. P (n0 + i)) ⟶ P (n0 +
k)⟩ for k n :: nat and P
  proof (induct rule: nat-less-induct)
    fix n assume ⟨∀ m < n. P m⟩
    show ⟨P n⟩
    proof (cases ⟨n < k⟩)
      from that(1) show ⟨n < k ⟹ P n⟩ by blast
    next
      from ⟨∀ m < n. P m⟩ that(2)[rule-format, of ⟨n - k⟩]
      show ⟨¬ n < k ⟹ P n⟩ by auto
    qed
  qed
  show ⟨P ((f  $\sim$  i) x)⟩ for i
  proof (induct rule: nat-k-induct)
    show ⟨∀ i < k. P ((f  $\sim$  i) x)⟩ by (simp add: assms(3))
  next
    show ⟨∀ n0. (∀ i < k. P ((f  $\sim$  (n0 + i)) x)) ⟶ P ((f  $\sim$  (n0 + k))
x)⟩
    by (smt (verit, del-insts) add.commute assms(4) funpow-add
o-apply)
  qed
qed

```

7 Entry Point

This is the file `Restriction_Spaces` should be imported from.

```

declare
  restriction-shift-introset [intro!]
  restriction-shift-simpset [simp ]
  restriction-cont-simpset [simp ]
  restriction-adm-simpset [simp ]

```

We already have *non-destructive* $(\lambda x. x)$, and can easily notice *non-destructive* $(\lambda f. f x)$, but also *non-destructive* $(\lambda f. f x y)$, etc. We add a **simproc-setup** to enable the simplifier to automatically handle goals of this form, regardless of the number of arguments on which the function is applied.

```

simproc-setup apply-non-destructiveness (⟨non-destructive (λf. E f)⟩)
= <

```

```

fn - => fn ctxt => fn lhs =>
  (case Thm.term-of lhs of - $ foo =>
    case foo of Abs (-, -, expr) =>
      if case strip-comb expr of (f, args) =>
        f = Bound 0 andalso not (exists Term.is-dependent
args)
        (* since  $\langle \lambda f. E f \rangle$  is too permissive, we ensure here that
the term
        is of the form  $\langle \lambda f. f \dots \rangle$ , with  $\langle f \rangle$  no longer appearing
in  $\langle \dots \rangle$  *)
        then
          let
            val tac = Metis-Tactic.metis-tac [no-types] combs ctxt
              @ { thms non-destructive-fun-iff non-destructive-id(2) }
            val thm =
              Goal.prove-internal ctxt [] instantiate  $\langle lhs \text{ in } cprop$ 
 $\langle lhs = True \rangle$ 
              (fn - => tac 1)
            in SOME (mk-meta-eq thm) end
          else NONE
        | - => NONE
  )

```

```

lemma  $\langle non-destructive (\lambda f. f a b c d e f' g h i j k l m n o' p q r s t$ 
 $u v w x y z) \rangle$ 
using [[simp-trace]] by simp — test

```