

Residuated Transition Systems II: Categorical Properties

Eugene W. Stark

Department of Computer Science
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, New York 11794 USA

June 17, 2024

Abstract

This article extends the formal development of the theory of residuated transition systems (RTS's), begun in the author's previous article, to include category-theoretic properties. There are two main themes: (1) RTS's *as* categories; and (2) RTS's *in* categories. Concerning the first theme, we show that every RTS determines a category via the “composite completion” construction given in the previous article, and we obtain a characterization of the “categories of transitions” that arise in this way. Concerning the second theme, we show that the “small” extensional RTS's having arrows that inhabit a type with suitable closure properties, form the objects of a cartesian closed category \mathbf{RTS} when equipped with simulations as morphisms. This category can in turn be regarded as contained in a larger, 2-category-like structure which is a category under “horizontal” composition, and is an RTS under “vertical” residuation. We call such structures *RTS-categories*. We explore in particular detail the RTS-category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger having RTS's as objects, simulations as 1-cells, and transformations between simulations as 2-cells. As a category, \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger is also cartesian closed, and the category \mathbf{RTS} occurs as the subcategory comprised of the arrows that are identities with respect to the residuation. To obtain these results various technical issues, related to the formalization within the relatively weak HOL, have to be addressed. We also consider RTS-categories from the point of view of enriched category theory and we show that RTS-categories are essentially the same thing as categories enriched in \mathbf{RTS} , and that the RTS-category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger is determined up to isomorphism by its cartesian closed subcategory \mathbf{RTS} .

Contents

Contents	2
Introduction	3
1 Preliminaries	8
1.1 Simulations	8
1.2 Transformations	18
1.3 Binary Simulations	25
1.4 Horizontal Composite of Transformations	29
2 RTS's as Categories	33
2.1 Categories with Bounded Pushouts	33
2.1.1 Bounded Spans	33
2.1.2 Pushouts	34
2.2 Categories of Transitions	36
2.3 Extensional RTS's with Composites as Categories	39
2.4 Characterization	42
3 RTS Constructions	48
3.1 Notation	48
3.2 Some Constraints on a Type	49
3.2.1 Nondegenerate	49
3.2.2 Lifting	49
3.2.3 Pairing	50
3.2.4 Exponentiation	51
3.2.5 Universe	54
3.3 Small RTS's	55
3.4 Injective Images of RTS's	61
3.5 Empty RTS	67
3.6 One-Transition RTS	69
3.7 Sub-RTS	74
3.8 Fibered Product RTS	77
3.9 Product RTS	85
3.9.1 Associators	100

3.10	Exponential RTS	101
3.10.1	Exponential of Small RTS's	134
3.10.2	Exponential into RTS with Composites	136
3.10.3	Exponential by One	140
3.10.4	Evaluation Map	143
3.10.5	Currying	145
3.10.6	Currying and Uncurrying as Inverse Simulations	172
3.10.7	Coextension of a Simulation	204
3.10.8	Compositors	215
3.10.9	Functoriality of Exponential	220
4	RTS's in Categories	230
4.1	RTS-Categories	230
4.1.1	Definition and Basic Properties	230
4.1.2	Hom-RTS's	237
4.1.3	Additional Notions	247
4.2	Concrete RTS-Categories	250
4.3	The RTS-Category of RTS's and Transformations	267
4.3.1	Terminal Object	282
4.3.2	Products	299
4.3.3	Exponentials	322
4.3.4	Cartesian Closure	358
4.3.5	Repleteness	359
4.4	The Category of RTS's and Simulations	377
4.4.1	Terminal Object	383
4.4.2	Products	385
4.4.3	Exponentials	392
4.4.4	Cartesian Closure	394
4.4.5	Associators	395
4.4.6	Compositors	404
4.5	Top-Level Interpretation	420
5	RTS-Enriched Categories	422
5.1	RTS-Enriched Categories	422
5.2	RTS-Enriched Categories induce RTS-Categories	425
5.2.1	The Small Case	458
5.2.2	Functoriality	470
5.3	RTS-Categories induce RTS-Enriched Categories	478
5.3.1	Functoriality	500
5.4	Equivalence of RTS-Enriched Categories and RTS-Categories	510
5.4.1	RTS-Category to Enriched Category to RTS-Category	510
5.4.2	Enriched Category to RTS-Category to Enriched Category	516
5.5	RTS [†] Determined by its Underlying Category	533

Introduction

This article continues the formal development of the theory of residuated transition systems (RTS's) which was begun in the previous article [4]. A particular theme of the present article is the development of category-theoretic properties. These were intentionally omitted from the previous article in order to avoid dependence of the basic RTS theories on a development of category theory. The present article has two main themes: (1) considering RTS's as themselves being (or perhaps generating) categories; and (2) studying RTS's as objects within categories. With respect to the first theme, we recall from the previous article that every RTS determines an extensional RTS with composites – its “composite completion.” As a structure consisting of a set of arrows equipped with a partial composition that is associative and satisfies left and right identity laws, an RTS with composites can obviously be regarded as a category. In view of the fact that the composition is derived from an underlying residuation operation, it is straightforward to show that such a category has unique “bounded pushouts”; that is, a unique pushout exists for every span that is “bounded” in the sense that it can be completed to a commutative square. In addition, in such a category, every arrow is an epimorphism and there are no non-trivial isomorphisms. We define a “category of transitions” to be a category with these properties, and we show that every such category is in fact an extensional RTS with composites, where consistency of a span of transitions coincides with boundedness and the residuation is obtained from pushouts.

Concerning the second theme, we are interested a category **RTS** whose objects are extensional RTS's and whose morphisms are simulations between such RTS's. Since the set of morphisms between two extensional RTS's A and B is itself an extensional RTS having as its morphisms the transformations between simulations, it is clear that **RTS** has additional structure to be explored here beyond that of a simple category. As we expect the category **RTS** (or closely related structures) to be the main focus of attention in applications of residuated transition systems (to programming language semantics, for example), our objective is to clarify this structure as much as possible and to set up technology for working formally with this category. There are some technical problems that arise with the formalization of this material in the context of Isabelle/HOL, though. First of all, RTS's exist

with arrows at arbitrary types, so it is impossible in HOL to directly formalize a category whose objects encompass “all” RTS’s. Instead, we have to consider categories of RTS’s whose arrows inhabit some particular type, though we may exploit polymorphism to prove general theorems that hold for any such category. Secondly, the fact that the hom-sets of a category of extensional RTS’s and simulations themselves admit the structure of an extensional RTS suggests that we ought to be looking at the category **RTS** as a *closed* category; in fact cartesian closed, as it happens. Here again, we face some limitations posed by our choice to carry out the formalization within Isabelle/HOL. In particular, a cartesian closed category, having RTS’s as objects and as homs the sets of all simulations between such, cannot be constructed in pure HOL, unless we restrict our attention to finite RTS’s only. However, we can work around this limitation if we are willing to extend pure HOL with the assumption that there is a “universe” type that is “large” enough to be closed under the function space constructions that we need to perform in order to achieve cartesian closure. The Isabelle HOL library already has a well-developed theory of this kind; namely, the *ZFC_in_HOL* theory, which axiomatically extends HOL with a type V and a notion of “smallness”, such that the small sets of type V satisfy the axioms of ZFC. In our development, we presuppose the existence of a notion of smallness and suppose that the underlying arrow type of the category **RTS** is closed under the construction of small function spaces, but we have avoided “hard coding” the particular type V defined in *ZFC_in_HOL* into our development. This independence from a particular choice of “universe” comes at a cost, however: in order to show that the category **RTS** admits type-increasing constructions such as products and exponentials, we have to concern ourselves in each case with finding a suitable “type-reducing map” to show that the RTS’s constructed with arrows at the higher types are in fact isomorphic to RTS’s that already exist at the original arrow type. We note that these complications only arise in showing that **RTS** admits various categorical constructions — once this has been done we can work with these constructions in a simple way using the universal properties that characterize them.

To summarize the above, one of our main results is the construction of a cartesian closed category **RTS**, whose objects are in bijection with “small”, extensional RTS’s whose arrows inhabit a suitable “universe” type α and each of whose hom-sets $\mathbf{RTS}(A, B)$ is in bijective correspondence with the set of all simulations between A and B . We show that the particular type V axiomatized in *ZFC_in_HOL* satisfies the requirements for the universe type α , but our development does not otherwise depend on details of *ZFC_in_HOL* except for the notion of smallness defined therein. We prove theorems that allow us to pass back and forth between notions internal to **RTS** and corresponding external notions expressed in terms of the concrete structure of RTS’s and simulations.

The fact that **RTS** is cartesian closed is a consequence of the fact that the transformations between simulations from an extensional RTS A to an extensional RTS B may themselves be regarded as the arrows of an exponential RTS $[A, B]$. The category **RTS** may therefore be regarded as a category “enriched in itself” [1]. However, it seems more natural to think of **RTS** as something more like a 2-category, where the 0-cells correspond to RTS’s, the 1-cells correspond to simulations, and the 2-cells correspond to transformations between simulations. Unless we restrict ourselves *a priori* to RTS’s with composites (something that we do not wish to do), the resulting structure will not actually be a 2-category, because the homs will in general be RTS’s that do not necessarily admit composition of transitions (*i.e.* of transformations). So instead the kind of structure we obtain consists of a category under “horizontal” composition, and an RTS under “vertical” residuation. We formalize such a structure, calling it an “RTS-category”. We show that there is an RTS-category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger , whose 0-cells (objects) are in bijection with the small, extensional RTS’s with arrows at a universe type α , whose 1-cells (arrows) are in bijection with simulations between such RTS’s, and whose 2-cells are in bijection with transformations between such simulations. As a category, \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger is itself cartesian closed, and the subcategory defined by the 1-cells (which coincide with the identities of the residuation) is the ordinary cartesian closed category **RTS**. We prove results that allow us to pass back and forth between notions internal to \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger and the corresponding external notions. The construction of \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger and the proof of associated facts constitutes a second group of main results of this article.

Finally, our third group of main results concerns the clarification of the relationship between the notion of RTS-category and that of a category enriched in **RTS**. We show that from a category E enriched in **RTS** we can construct an RTS-category C having as its set of 2-cells the disjoint union of the sets of arrows of the RTS’s underlying the hom-objects of E . Conversely, given an RTS-category C we can construct a corresponding category E enriched in **RTS** by taking as the “hom-objects” of E the objects of **RTS** corresponding to the “hom-RTS’s” of C . These correspondences are functorial and extend to an equivalence between a category of RTS-categories and a category of **RTS**-enriched categories (for a suitable definition of morphism in each case). So, RTS-categories and categories enriched in **RTS** amount to the same thing, though the definition of RTS-categories is more elementary and will likely be easier to work with in applications.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows: In Chapter 1, we have proved various facts we need about RTS’s, simulations, and transformations, which are not part of the previous article [4]. In Chapter 2, we present the results discussed above which pertain to the theme “RTS’s as Categories”. Chapter 3 defines various concrete constructions on RTS’s, including product and exponential, and proves associated universal properties. In addition, this section defines the constraints on a type α required for it to

serve as a “universe” and establishes related facts. Finally, in Chapter 4, we define the notion of RTS-category, construct the RTS-category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger and prove facts about it, including cartesian closure, construct the subcategory \mathbf{RTS} and prove facts about it as well, and finally establish the equivalence of RTS-categories and categories enriched in \mathbf{RTS} .

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

This section develops some extensions to theories contained in the previous AFP articles [4] and [3].

```
theory Preliminaries
imports Main HOL-Library.FuncSet
         ResiduatedTransitionSystem.ResiduatedTransitionSystem
begin

lemma (in extensional-rts) divisors-of-ide:
assumes composite-of t u v and ide v
shows ide t and ide u
proof –
  show ide t
    using assms ide-backward-stable by blast
  show ide u
    by (metis assms(1-2) composite-ofE con-ide-are-eq con-prfx-composite-of(1)
        ide-backward-stable)
qed
```

1.1 Simulations

```
abbreviation I
where I  $\equiv$  identity-simulation.map
```

```
lemma comp-identity-simulation:
assumes simulation A B F
shows I B  $\circ$  F = F
  using assms simulation.extensional simulation.preserves-reflects-arr
  by fastforce
```

```
lemma comp-simulation-identity:
assumes simulation A B F
shows F  $\circ$  I A = F
```

```

using assms residuation.not-arr-null rts.axioms(1) simulation.extensional
      simulation-def
by fastforce

```

lemma *product-identity-simulation:*

assumes *rts A and rts B*

shows *product-simulation.map A B (I A) (I B) = I (product-rts.resid A B)*

proof –

interpret *A: rts A*

using *assms(1) by blast*

interpret *B: rts B*

using *assms(2) by blast*

interpret *A: identity-simulation A ..*

interpret *B: identity-simulation B ..*

interpret *AxB: product-rts A B ..*

interpret *IAxIB: product-simulation A B A B A.map B.map ..*

interpret *AxB: identity-simulation AxB.resid ..*

show *IAxIB.map = AxB.map*

using *IAxIB.map-def by auto*

qed

locale *constant-simulation =*

A: rts A +

B: rts B

for *A :: 'a resid (infix _A 70)*

and *B :: 'b resid (infix _B 70)*

and *b :: 'b +*

assumes *ide-b: B.ide b*

begin

abbreviation *map*

where *map t ≡ if A.arr t then b else B.null*

sublocale *simulation A B map*

using *ide-b A.con-implies-arr*

by *unfold-locales auto*

end

locale *inverse-simulations =*

A: rts A +

B: rts B +

F: simulation B A F +

G: simulation A B G

for *A :: 'a resid (infix _A 70)*

and *B :: 'b resid (infix _B 70)*

and *F :: 'b ⇒ 'a*

and *G :: 'a ⇒ 'b +*

```

assumes inv:  $G \circ F = I B$ 
and inv':  $F \circ G = I A$ 
begin

  lemma inv-simp [simp]:
    assumes  $B.arr\ y$ 
    shows  $G (F\ y) = y$ 
    using assms inv by (metis comp-apply)

  lemma inv'-simp [simp]:
    assumes  $A.arr\ x$ 
    shows  $F (G\ x) = x$ 
    using assms inv' by (metis comp-apply)

  lemma induce-bij-betw-arr-sets:
    shows bij-betw  $F$  (Collect  $B.arr$ ) (Collect  $A.arr$ )
    using inv inv' by (intro bij-betwI) auto

end

lemma inverse-simulations-sym:
assumes inverse-simulations  $A\ B\ F\ G$ 
shows inverse-simulations  $B\ A\ G\ F$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: inverse-simulations-axioms-def inverse-simulations-def)

locale invertible-simulation =
  simulation +
assumes invertible:  $\exists G. \textit{inverse-simulations}\ A\ B\ G\ F$ 

lemma invertible-simulation-def':
shows invertible-simulation  $A\ B\ F \iff (\exists G. \textit{inverse-simulations}\ A\ B\ G\ F)$ 
  using inverse-simulations-def invertible-simulation-axioms-def
  invertible-simulation-def
  by blast

lemma invertible-simulation-iff:
shows invertible-simulation  $A\ B\ F \iff$ 
  simulation  $A\ B\ F \wedge$ 
  bij-betw  $F$  (Collect (residuation.arr  $A$ )) (Collect (residuation.arr  $B$ ))  $\wedge$ 
   $(\forall t\ u. \textit{residuation.con}\ B\ (F\ t)\ (F\ u) \implies \textit{residuation.con}\ A\ t\ u)$ 
proof (intro iffI conjI)
  assume  $F: \textit{invertible-simulation}\ A\ B\ F$ 
  interpret  $F: \textit{invertible-simulation}\ A\ B\ F$ 
  using  $F$  by blast
  obtain  $G$  where  $G: \textit{inverse-simulations}\ A\ B\ G\ F$ 
  using  $F.invertible$  by blast
  interpret  $FG: \textit{inverse-simulations}\ A\ B\ G\ F$ 

```

```

using  $G$  by blast
show simulation A B F
  using  $F$ .simulation-axioms by blast
have  $*$ :  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Collect } F.B.\text{arr} \implies F (G y) = y$ 
  by (metis CollectD FG.inv comp-apply)
show bij-betw F (Collect F.A.arr) (Collect F.B.arr)
  using  $G$  inverse-simulations.induce-bij-betw-arr-sets inverse-simulations-sym
  by blast
show  $\forall t u. F.B.\text{con } (F t) (F u) \longrightarrow F.A.\text{con } t u$ 
by (metis F.B.not-con-null(1) F.B.not-con-null(2) F.extensional FG.F.preserves-con
  FG.inv' comp-apply)
next
assume  $F$ : simulation A B F  $\wedge$ 
  bij-betw F (Collect (residuation.arr A)) (Collect (residuation.arr B))  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall t u. \text{residuation.con } B (F t) (F u) \longrightarrow \text{residuation.con } A t u$ )
interpret  $F$ : simulation A B F
  using  $F$  by blast
let  $?G = \lambda y. \text{if } y \in F.A.\text{arr}$ 
  then inv-into (Collect F.A.arr) F y
  else F.A.null
interpret  $G$ : simulation B A ?G
proof
  show  $\bigwedge t. \neg F.B.\text{arr } t \implies ?G t = F.A.\text{null}$ 
  by fastforce
  fix  $t u$ 
  assume  $1$ :  $F.B.\text{con } t u$ 
  have  $2$ :  $?G t = \text{inv-into (Collect F.A.arr) F t} \wedge$ 
   $?G u = \text{inv-into (Collect F.A.arr) F u}$ 
  using  $1$   $F$ .B.con-implies-arr
  by (simp add: bij-betw-def)
  have  $3$ :  $F.A.\text{con } (\text{inv-into (Collect F.A.arr) F t})$ 
   $(\text{inv-into (Collect F.A.arr) F u})$ 
  using  $1$   $F$ .B.con-implies-arr F
  by (metis bij-betw-imp-surj-on f-inv-into-f mem-Collect-eq)
  thus  $4$ :  $F.A.\text{con } (?G t) (?G u)$ 
  using  $2$  by simp
  have  $?G (B t u) = \text{inv-into (Collect F.A.arr) F (B t u)}$ 
  by (metis 1 F CollectI F.B.arr-resid-iff-con bij-betw-def)
  also have  $\dots = A (?G t) (?G u)$ 
proof –
  have  $F (A (?G t) (?G u)) = B (F (?G t)) (F (?G u))$ 
  using  $4$   $F$ .preserves-resid by presburger
  also have  $\dots = B t u$ 
  using  $4$   $F$ .A.not-con-null(1-2) f-inv-into-f
  by (auto simp add: f-inv-into-f)
  finally have  $F (A (?G t) (?G u)) = B t u$  by blast
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis 4 F F.A.arr-resid bij-betw-inv-into-left mem-Collect-eq)
qed

```

```

    finally show ?G (B t u) = A (?G t) (?G u) by blast
  qed
interpret FG: inverse-simulations A B ?G F
proof
  show F o ?G = I B
  proof
    fix t
    show (F o ?G) t = I B t
    apply simp
    by (metis F F.A.not-arr-null bij-betw-def f-inv-into-f mem-Collect-eq
        simulation.extensional)
  qed
  show ?G o F = I A
  proof
    fix t
    show (?G o F) t = I A t
    apply simp
    by (metis F F.preserves-reflects-arr bij-betw-def inv-into-f-f mem-Collect-eq)
  qed
qed
show invertible-simulation A B F
using FG.inverse-simulations-axioms invertible-simulation-def
by unfold-locales blast
qed

```

```

context invertible-simulation
begin

```

```

lemma is-bijection-betw-arr-sets:
shows bij-betw F (Collect A.arr) (Collect B.arr)
using invertible-simulation-axioms invertible-simulation-iff by metis

```

```

lemma reflects-con:
assumes residuation.con B (F t) (F u)
shows residuation.con A t u
using assms invertible-simulation-axioms invertible-simulation-iff by metis

```

```

end

```

```

context inverse-simulations
begin

```

```

sublocale F: invertible-simulation B A F
by (meson inverse-simulations-axioms inverse-simulations-sym invertible-simulation-def')

```

```

sublocale G: invertible-simulation A B G
by (meson inverse-simulations-axioms invertible-simulation-def')

```

```

end

```

```

lemma inverse-simulation-unique:
assumes inverse-simulations A B G F
and inverse-simulations A B G' F
shows  $G = G'$ 
proof -
  interpret  $FG$ : inverse-simulations A B G F
    using assms(1) by simp
  interpret  $FG'$ : inverse-simulations A B G' F
    using assms(2) by simp
  show ?thesis
proof
  fix  $x$ 
  show  $G x = G' x$ 
  by (metis FG'.F.extensional FG'.F.preserves-reflects-arr FG'.inv FG.F.extensional
      FG.inv' comp-apply)
qed
qed

locale inverse-simulation =
   $A$ : rts A +
   $B$ : rts B +
   $F$ : invertible-simulation
begin

  definition map
  where  $map \equiv SOME G. inverse-simulations A B G F$ 

  interpretation inverse-simulations A B map F
    using F.invertible invertible-simulation-def map-def
      someI-ex [of  $\lambda G. inverse-simulations A B G F$ ]
    by auto

  sublocale simulation B A map ..

  lemma is-simulation:
  shows simulation B A map
  ..

  sublocale inverse-simulations A B map F ..

  lemma map-simp:
  assumes  $B.arr x$ 
  shows  $map x = inv-into (Collect A.arr) F x$ 
  by (metis CollectI F.preserves-reflects-arr assms bij-betw-inv-into-left
      inv-simp inverse-simulations.induce-bij-betw-arr-sets
      inverse-simulations-axioms inverse-simulations-sym)

  lemma map-eq:

```

shows $map = (\lambda x. \text{if } B.\text{arr } x \text{ then } \text{inv-into } (Collect\ A.\text{arr})\ F\ x \text{ else } A.\text{null})$
using *map-simp* **by** (*meson F.extensional*)

end

lemma *invertible-simulation-identity*:

assumes *rts A*

shows [*intro*]: *invertible-simulation A A (I A)*

and *inverse-simulations A A (I A) (I A)*

and *inverse-simulation.map A A (I A) = I A*

proof –

interpret *A*: *rts A*

using *assms* **by** *blast*

interpret *id*: *identity-simulation A ..*

show *1*: *inverse-simulations A A id.map id.map*

by *unfold-locales auto*

thus *invertible-simulation A A id.map*

using *id.simulation-axioms invertible-simulation.intro*

invertible-simulation-axioms.intro

by *blast*

show *inverse-simulation.map A A id.map = id.map*

using *1 inverse-simulation-unique [of A A id.map id.map]*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) <invertible-simulation A A id.map> assms*
inverse-simulation.intro inverse-simulation.map-def someI-ex)

qed

lemma *inverse-simulations-compose*:

assumes *inverse-simulations A B F' F* **and** *inverse-simulations B C G' G*

shows *inverse-simulations A C (F' o G') (G o F)*

proof –

interpret *FF'*: *inverse-simulations A B F' F*

using *assms* **by** *blast*

interpret *GG'*: *inverse-simulations B C G' G*

using *assms* **by** *blast*

interpret *GoF*: *composite-simulation A B C F G ..*

interpret *F'oG'*: *composite-simulation C B A G' F' ..*

show *?thesis*

proof

show *GoF.map o F'oG'.map = I C*

by (*metis FF'.inv GG'.F.extensional GG'.F.preserves-reflects-arr*
GG'.inv comp-def)

show *F'oG'.map o GoF.map = I A*

by (*metis FF'.A.not-arr-null FF'.G.preserves-reflects-arr FF'.inv*
FF'.inv' GG'.inv' comp-apply)

qed

qed

lemma *invertible-simulation-comp* [*intro*]:

assumes *invertible-simulation A B F* **and** *invertible-simulation B C G*

```

shows invertible-simulation A C (G ∘ F)
and inverse-simulations A C
      (inverse-simulation.map A B F ∘ inverse-simulation.map B C G) (G ∘ F)
and inverse-simulation.map A C (G ∘ F) =
      inverse-simulation.map A B F ∘ inverse-simulation.map B C G
proof –
  obtain F' where F': inverse-simulations A B F' F
    using assms(1) invertible-simulation.invertible by blast
  interpret FF': inverse-simulations A B F' F
    using F' by blast
  obtain G' where G': inverse-simulations B C G' G
    using assms(2) invertible-simulation.invertible by blast
  interpret GG': inverse-simulations B C G' G
    using G' by blast
  interpret GoF: composite-simulation A B C F G ..
  interpret F' ∘ G': composite-simulation C B A G' F' ..
  interpret F': inverse-simulation A B F
    using F' assms(1) inverse-simulation-def inverse-simulations-def by blast
  interpret G': inverse-simulation B C G
    using G' assms(2)
  by (simp add: inverse-simulation.intro inverse-simulations-def)
  have F'-eq: F' = F'.map
    using F' F'.inverse-simulations-axioms inverse-simulation-unique by blast
  have G'-eq: G' = G'.map
    using G' G'.inverse-simulations-axioms inverse-simulation-unique by blast
  have 1: inverse-simulations A C (F' ∘ G') (G ∘ F)
    using F' G' inverse-simulations-compose by blast
  thus 2: invertible-simulation A C (G ∘ F)
    using invertible-simulation-def by unfold-locales blast
  show inverse-simulations A C (F'.map ∘ G'.map) GoF.map
    using F'-eq G'-eq 1 by blast
  show inverse-simulation.map A C GoF.map = F'.map ∘ G'.map
    using 1 2 F'-eq G'-eq inverse-simulation-unique
  by (metis FF'.A.rts-axioms GG'.B.rts-axioms inverse-simulation.intro
      inverse-simulation.map-def someI-ex)
qed

```

```

lemma inverse-simulation-product [intro]:
assumes invertible-simulation A B F and invertible-simulation C D G
shows invertible-simulation (product-rts.resid A C) (product-rts.resid B D)
      (product-simulation.map A C F G)
and inverse-simulations (product-rts.resid A C) (product-rts.resid B D)
      (product-simulation.map B D
        (inverse-simulation.map A B F) (inverse-simulation.map C D G))
      (product-simulation.map A C F G)
and inverse-simulation.map (product-rts.resid A C) (product-rts.resid B D)
      (product-simulation.map A C F G) =
      product-simulation.map B D
      (inverse-simulation.map A B F) (inverse-simulation.map C D G)

```



```

proof –
  interpret A: rts A
    using assms(1) by (simp add: invertible-simulation-iff simulation-def)
  interpret B: rts B
    using assms(1) by (simp add: invertible-simulation-iff simulation-def)
  interpret C: rts C
    using assms(2) by (simp add: invertible-simulation-iff simulation-def)
  interpret D: rts D
    using assms(2) by (simp add: invertible-simulation-iff simulation-def)
  interpret AxC: product-rts A C ..
  interpret BxD: product-rts B D ..
  interpret F: simulation A B F
    using assms(1) invertible-simulation-def invertible-simulation-iff by blast
  interpret F': inverse-simulation A B F
    using assms(1) invertible-simulation.invertible by unfold-locales auto
  interpret FF': inverse-simulations A B F'.map F ..
  interpret G: simulation C D G
    using assms(2) invertible-simulation-def invertible-simulation-iff by blast
  interpret G': inverse-simulation C D G
    using assms(2) invertible-simulation.invertible by unfold-locales auto
  interpret GG': inverse-simulations C D G'.map G ..
  interpret FxG: product-simulation A C B D F G ..
  interpret F'xG': product-simulation B D A C F'.map G'.map ..
  interpret inverse-simulations AxC.resid BxD.resid F'xG'.map FxG.map
proof
  show FxG.map  $\circ$  F'xG'.map = I BxD.resid
proof
  fix t
  show (FxG.map  $\circ$  F'xG'.map) t = I BxD.resid t
    using F'.inv G'.inv FxG.map-def F'xG'.map-def
      F'.extensional G'.extensional
    by auto
  qed
  show F'xG'.map  $\circ$  FxG.map = I AxC.resid
proof
  fix t
  show (F'xG'.map  $\circ$  FxG.map) t = I AxC.resid t
    using F'.inv' G'.inv' FxG.map-def F'xG'.map-def
      F'.extensional G'.extensional
    by auto
  qed
qed
show inverse-simulations AxC.resid BxD.resid F'xG'.map FxG.map ..
show invertible-simulation AxC.resid BxD.resid FxG.map
  using inverse-simulations-axioms
  by unfold-locales blast
thus inverse-simulation.map AxC.resid BxD.resid FxG.map = F'xG'.map
  by (metis inverse-simulation.intro inverse-simulation.map-def
    inverse-simulation-unique inverse-simulations-axioms invertible-simulation-def)

```

simulation-def someI-ex)

qed

lemma *invertible-simulation-cancel-left*:
assumes *invertible-simulation A B H*
shows $\llbracket \text{simulation } C \ A \ F; \text{simulation } C \ A \ G; H \circ F = H \circ G \rrbracket \implies F = G$
proof –
 obtain *K* **where** *K: inverse-simulations A B K H*
 using *assms(1) invertible-simulation.invertible* **by** *blast*
 interpret *HK: inverse-simulations A B K H*
 using *K* **by** *blast*
 show $\llbracket \text{simulation } C \ A \ F; \text{simulation } C \ A \ G; H \circ F = H \circ G \rrbracket \implies F = G$
 by (*metis HK.inv' HOL.ext comp-def simulation.extensional*
 simulation.preserves-reflects-arr)

qed

lemma *invertible-simulation-cancel-right*:
assumes *invertible-simulation A B H*
shows $\llbracket \text{simulation } B \ C \ F; \text{simulation } B \ C \ G; F \circ H = G \circ H \rrbracket \implies F = G$
proof –
 obtain *K* **where** *K: inverse-simulations A B K H*
 using *assms(1) invertible-simulation.invertible* **by** *blast*
 interpret *HK: inverse-simulations A B K H*
 using *K* **by** *blast*
 show $\llbracket \text{simulation } B \ C \ F; \text{simulation } B \ C \ G; F \circ H = G \circ H \rrbracket \implies F = G$
 by (*metis HK.inv HOL.ext comp-def simulation.extensional*)

qed

definition *isomorphic-rts*
where *isomorphic-rts A B* $\equiv \exists F \ G. \text{inverse-simulations } A \ B \ G \ F$

lemma *isomorphic-rts-reflexive*:
assumes *rts A*
shows *isomorphic-rts A A*
 using *assms invertible-simulation-identity isomorphic-rts-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *isomorphic-rts-symmetric*:
assumes *isomorphic-rts A B*
shows *isomorphic-rts B A*
 using *assms inverse-simulations-sym isomorphic-rts-def* **by** *meson*

lemma *isomorphic-rts-transitive* [*trans*]:
assumes *isomorphic-rts A B* **and** *isomorphic-rts B C*
shows *isomorphic-rts A C*
 using *assms invertible-simulation-comp(1) isomorphic-rts-def*
 invertible-simulation-def
 by (*metis inverse-simulations.axioms(4) invertible-simulation.invertible*
 invertible-simulation-axioms.intro)

lemma (in *simulation*) *simulation-inv-intoI*:
assumes *inj-on* F (*Collect* $A.arr$) **and** $F' (Collect\ A.arr) = Collect\ B.arr$
and $\bigwedge t. \neg B.arr\ t \implies inv-into\ (Collect\ A.arr)\ F\ t = A.null$
and $\bigwedge t\ u. t \frown_B u \implies$
 $inv-into\ (Collect\ A.arr)\ F\ t \frown_A inv-into\ (Collect\ A.arr)\ F\ u$
shows *simulation* $B\ A$ (*inv-into* (*Collect* $A.arr$) F)
proof
show $\bigwedge t. \neg B.arr\ t \implies inv-into\ (Collect\ A.arr)\ F\ t = A.null$
using *assms*(3) **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge t\ u. t \frown_B u \implies$
 $inv-into\ (Collect\ A.arr)\ F\ t \frown_A inv-into\ (Collect\ A.arr)\ F\ u$
using *assms*(4) **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge t\ u. t \frown_B u \implies$
 $inv-into\ (Collect\ A.arr)\ F\ (t \setminus_B u) =$
 $inv-into\ (Collect\ A.arr)\ F\ t \setminus_A inv-into\ (Collect\ A.arr)\ F\ u$
by (*simp* *add*: *assms*(1-2,4) *f-inv-into-f* *inv-into-f* *eq* $B.con-implies-arr$ (1-2))
qed

1.2 Transformations

lemma (in *transformation*) *preserves-arr*:
assumes $A.arr\ t$
shows $B.arr\ (\tau\ t)$
using *assms* $B.join-of-un-upto-cong$ $B.pfx-implies-con$ *naturality3* **by** *blast*

lemma (in *transformation*) *preserves-con*:
assumes $t \frown_A u$
shows $\tau\ t \frown_B \tau\ u$ **and** $\tau\ t \frown_B F\ u$
proof –
show $\tau\ t \frown_B \tau\ u$
proof (*intro* $B.con-with-join-of-iff$ (1))
show $B.join-of\ (\tau\ (A.src\ t))\ (F\ t)\ (\tau\ t)$
using *assms* *naturality3* [*of* t] $A.con-implies-arr$ (1) **by** *blast*
show $F\ t \frown_B \tau\ u \wedge \tau\ u \setminus_B F\ t \frown_B \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B F\ t$
proof (*intro* *conjI*)
show $\tau\ u \setminus_B F\ t \frown_B \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B F\ t$
proof –
have $(\tau\ u \setminus_B F\ t \frown_B \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B F\ t) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\tau\ u \setminus_B \tau\ (A.src\ t) \frown_B F\ t \setminus_B \tau\ (A.src\ t))$
using $B.con-def$ $B.cube$ **by** *force*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow \tau\ u \setminus_B \tau\ (A.src\ t) \frown_B G\ t$
using $A.con-implies-arr$ (1) *assms* *naturality2* **by** *force*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow G\ u \frown_B G\ t$
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) $A.con-imp-eq-src$ $A.con-implies-arr$ (2))
 $B.composite-ofE$ $B.cong-subst-left$ (1) $B.join-ofE$ *assms*
naturality2 *naturality3*)
also have $\dots = True$
using *assms* $A.con-sym$ $G.preserves-con$ **by** *blast*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed
thus $F t \frown_B \tau u$
by (*metis* $B.con-def$ $B.con-sym$ $B.not-con-null(1)$)
qed
qed
thus $\tau t \frown_B F u$
using *assms*
by (*meson* $A.residuation-axioms$ $B.con-sym$ $B.con-with-join-of-iff(2)$
 $B.join-of-symmetric$ *naturality3* *residuation.con-implies-arr(2)*)
qed

lemma (*in transformation*) *naturality1'*:
assumes $A.arr t$
shows $B.composite-of (F t) (\tau (A.trg t)) (\tau t)$
using *assms*
by (*metis* $B.rts-axioms$ *naturality1* *naturality3* *rts.join-ofE*)

lemma (*in transformation*) *naturality2'*:
assumes $A.arr t$
shows $B.composite-of (\tau (A.src t)) (G t) (\tau t)$
by (*metis* $B.join-ofE$ *assms* *naturality2* *naturality3*)

locale *transformation-to-extensional-rts* =
transformation +
 $B: extensional-rts B$
begin

notation $B.comp$ (**infixr** \cdot_B 55)
notation $B.join$ (**infix** \sqcup_B 52)

lemma *naturality1'E*:
shows $F t \cdot_B \tau (A.trg t) = \tau t$
and $A.arr t \implies B.composable (F t) (\tau (A.trg t))$
proof –
show $F t \cdot_B \tau (A.trg t) = \tau t$
using *naturality1' B.comp-is-composite-of(2)*
by (*metis* $A.arr-trg-iff-arr$ $B.comp-null(2)$ *extensional*)
thus $A.arr t \implies B.composable (F t) (\tau (A.trg t))$
by (*simp add: B.composable-iff-arr-comp preserves-arr*)
qed

lemma *naturality2'E*:
shows $\tau (A.src t) \cdot_B G t = \tau t$
and $A.arr t \implies B.composable (\tau (A.src t)) (G t)$
proof –
show $\tau (A.src t) \cdot_B G t = \tau t$
using *naturality2' B.comp-is-composite-of(2)*
by (*metis* $B.comp-null(2)$ $G.extensional$ *extensional*)
thus $A.arr t \implies B.composable (\tau (A.src t)) (G t)$

by (*simp add: B.composable-iff-arr-comp preserves-arr*)
qed

lemma naturality3'_E:

shows $\tau (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B F\ t = \tau\ t$

and $A.arr\ t \implies B.joinable\ (\tau\ (A.src\ t))\ (F\ t)$

proof –

show $\tau (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B F\ t = \tau\ t$

using *naturality3*

by (*metis A.not-arr-null A.src-def B.join-def B.join-is-join-of
B.join-of-unique B.joinable-def B.joinable-implies-con
B.not-arr-null F.extensional extensional B.arrI*)

thus $A.arr\ t \implies B.joinable\ (\tau\ (A.src\ t))\ (F\ t)$

by (*metis B.joinable-iff-arr-join preserves-arr*)

qed

lemma naturality_E:

shows $\tau (A.src\ t) \cdot_B G\ t = F\ t \cdot_B \tau (A.trg\ t)$

using *naturality1'_E(1) naturality2'_E(1)* **by** *presburger*

lemma general-naturality:

assumes $A.con\ x\ y$

shows $\tau\ x \setminus_B F\ y = \tau\ (x \setminus_A y)$

and $F\ x \setminus_B \tau\ y = G\ (x \setminus_A y)$

proof –

show $\tau\ x \setminus_B F\ y = \tau\ (x \setminus_A y)$

using *assms*

by (*metis (full-types) A.residuation-axioms A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
B.extensional-rts-axioms G.simulation-axioms extensional-rts.resid-comp(2)
naturality2'_E(1) residuation.con-implies-arr(2) simulation.preserves-resid
transformation.naturality1-ax transformation.naturality2-ax
transformation.preserves-con(2) transformation-axioms
weakly-extensional-rts.con-imp-eq-src weakly-extensional-rts.src-resid*)

show $F\ x \setminus_B \tau\ y = G\ (x \setminus_A y)$

using *assms*

by (*metis A.con-sym A.src-resid B.resid-comp(1) F.preserves-resid
naturality1'_E(1) naturality2 preserves-con(2)*)

qed

lemma preserves-prfx:

assumes $t \lesssim_A u$

shows $\tau\ t \lesssim_B \tau\ u$

proof –

have $\tau\ t \setminus_B \tau\ u = B.trg\ (\tau\ (A.src\ t)) \setminus_B (F\ u \setminus_B \tau\ (A.src\ t)) \sqcup_B
B.trg\ (F\ u) \setminus_B (\tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B F\ u)$

proof –

have $\tau\ t \setminus_B \tau\ u = (\tau\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B F\ t) \setminus_B (\tau\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B F\ u)$

by (*metis A.con-imp-eq-src A.prfx-implies-con assms naturality3'_E(1)*)

also have ... = $(\tau (A.src\ t) \setminus_B (\tau (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B F\ u)) \sqcup_B (F\ t \setminus_B (\tau (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B F\ u))$
by (*metis* *assms* *calculation* *A.con-implies-arr*(2) *A.con-sym* *A.prfx-implies-con* *B.arr-resid-iff-con* *B.con-sym* *B.resid-join_E*(3) *naturality3'_E*(2) *preserves-con*(1))
also have ... = $(\tau (A.src\ t) \setminus_B \tau (A.src\ t)) \setminus_B (F\ u \setminus_B \tau (A.src\ t)) \sqcup_B (F\ t \setminus_B F\ u) \setminus_B (\tau (A.src\ t) \setminus_B F\ u)$
by (*metis* (*full-types*) *assms* *calculation* *A.prfx-implies-con* *B.arr-prfx-join-self* *B.conE* *B.conI* *B.join-def* *B.prfx-implies-con* *B.resid-join_E*(1-2) *B.null-is-zero*(2) *preserves-con*(1))
also have ... = $B.trg (\tau (A.src\ t)) \setminus_B (F\ u \setminus_B \tau (A.src\ t)) \sqcup_B B.trg (F\ u) \setminus_B (\tau (A.src\ t) \setminus_B F\ u)$
by (*metis* *A.arr-resid-iff-con* *A.con-implies-arr*(2) *A.ide-implies-arr* *A.src-ide* *A.src-resid* *B.trg-def* *F.preserves-resid* *F.preserves-trg* *assms*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have *B.ide* ...
proof –
have *B.ide* ($B.trg (\tau (A.src\ t)) \setminus_B (F\ u \setminus_B \tau (A.src\ t))$)
by (*metis* *assms* *A.con-imp-eq-src* *A.con-implies-arr*(2) *A.cong-reflexive* *A.ide-src* *A.prfx-implies-con* *A.resid-src-arr* *A.trg-def* *naturality2* *preserves-trg* *G.preserves-prfx*)
moreover have *B.ide* ($B.trg (F\ u) \setminus_B (\tau (A.src\ t) \setminus_B F\ u)$)
using *B.apex-sym* *B.cube* *B.trg-def* **calculation** **by** *force*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis* *B.apex-sym* *B.ide-iff-src-self* *B.ide-implies-arr* *B.join-arr-self* *B.prfx-implies-con* *B.src-resid*)
qed
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
end

locale *transformation-by-components* =
A: *weakly-extensional-rts* *A* +
B: *extensional-rts* *B* +
F: *simulation* *A* *B* *F* +
G: *simulation* *A* *B* *G*
for *A* :: '*a* *resid* (**infix** \setminus_A 55)
and *B* :: '*b* *resid* (**infix** \setminus_B 55)
and *F* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*b*
and *G* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*b*
and τ :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*b* +
assumes *preserves-src*: *A.ide* *a* \Longrightarrow *B.src* (τ *a*) = *F* *a*
and *preserves-trg*: *A.ide* *a* \Longrightarrow *B.trg* (τ *a*) = *G* *a*
and *naturality1*: *A.arr* *t* \Longrightarrow $\tau (A.src\ t) \setminus_B F\ t = \tau (A.trg\ t)$

and naturality2: $A.arr\ t \implies F\ t \setminus_B \tau\ (A.src\ t) = G\ t$
and joinable: $A.arr\ t \implies B.joinable\ (\tau\ (A.src\ t))\ (F\ t)$
begin

notation $B.comp$ (**infixr** \cdot_B 55)

notation $B.join$ (**infix** \sqcup_B 52)

definition map

where $map\ t = \tau\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B\ F\ t$

lemma $map\ eq$:

shows $map\ t = (if\ A.arr\ t\ then\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B\ F\ t\ else\ B.null)$

unfolding $map\ def$

by ($metis\ B.conE\ B.join\ def\ B.joinable\ implies\ con\ B.null\ is\ zero(2)$
 $F.extensional$)

lemma $map\ simp\ ide$ [$simp$]:

assumes $A.ide\ a$

shows $map\ a = \tau\ a$

using $assms\ map\ def$

by ($metis\ A.ide\ iff\ src\ self\ A.ide\ implies\ arr\ B.arr\ trg\ iff\ arr\ B.join\ src$
 $B.join\ sym\ G.preserves\ ide\ preserves\ src\ preserves\ trg\ B.ide\ implies\ arr$)

sublocale $transformation\ A\ B\ F\ G\ map$

using $map\ eq\ preserves\ src\ preserves\ trg\ naturality1\ naturality2\ joinable$

apply ($unfold\ locales,\ simp\ all$)

apply ($metis\ A.ide\ implies\ arr\ A.src\ ide\ B.src\ join$)

apply ($metis\ A.ide\ implies\ arr\ A.src\ ide\ A.trg\ ide\ B.trg\ join$)

apply ($metis\ A.arr\ src\ iff\ arr\ A.arr\ trg\ iff\ arr\ A.ide\ src\ A.src\ src\ A.src\ trg$
 $map\ simp\ ide$)

apply ($metis\ A.arr\ src\ iff\ arr\ A.ide\ src\ A.src\ src\ map\ simp\ ide$)

by ($metis\ A.arr\ src\ iff\ arr\ A.ide\ src\ A.src\ src\ B.join\ is\ join\ of\ map\ simp\ ide$)

lemma $is\ transformation$:

shows $transformation\ A\ B\ F\ G\ map$

..

end

locale $identity\ transformation =$

$transformation +$

assumes $identity$: $A.ide\ a \implies B.ide\ (\tau\ a)$

begin

lemma $src\ eq\ trg$:

shows $F = G$

proof

fix x

show $F\ x = G\ x$

```

    by (metis A.ide-src B.ideE B.resid-arr-src B.src-eqI B.src-join-of(1-2)
        F.extensional F.preserves-reflects-arr G.extensional identity
        naturality3 naturality2)
qed

sublocale simulation ..

end

lemma comp-identity-transformation:
assumes transformation A B F G T
shows  $I B \circ T = T$ 
  using assms transformation.extensional transformation.preserves-arr
  by fastforce

lemma comp-transformation-identity:
assumes transformation A B F G T
shows  $T \circ I A = T$ 
  using assms residuation.not-arr-null rts.axioms(1) transformation.extensional
  transformation-def simulation-def
  by fastforce

locale constant-transformation =
  A: weakly-extensional-rts A +
  B: weakly-extensional-rts B
for A :: 'a resid    (infix \ $\_A$  70)
and B :: 'b resid    (infix \ $\_B$  70)
and t :: 'b +
assumes arr-t: B.arr t
begin

  abbreviation map
  where map  $x \equiv$  if A.arr x then t else B.null

  abbreviation F
  where F  $\equiv$  constant-simulation.map A B (B.src t)

  abbreviation G
  where G  $\equiv$  constant-simulation.map A B (B.trg t)

  interpretation F: simulation A B F
  using arr-t A.con-implies-arr B.resid-arr-ide
  by unfold-locales auto

  interpretation G: simulation A B G
  using arr-t A.con-implies-arr B.resid-arr-ide
  by unfold-locales auto

sublocale transformation A B F G map

```



```

    using arr-t B.join-of-arr-src(2)
    by unfold-locales auto

end

locale simulation-as-transformation =
  simulation +
  A: weakly-extensional-rtts A +
  B: weakly-extensional-rtts B
begin

  sublocale transformation A B F F F
    using extensional A.con-arr-src(1-2) B.join-of-arr-src(1)
    apply unfold-locales
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal by simp (metis A.con-arr-src(2) A.resid-src-arr preserves-resid)
    subgoal by simp (metis A.con-arr-src(1) A.resid-arr-src preserves-resid)
    subgoal by simp
    done

  sublocale identity-transformation A B F F F
    by unfold-locales auto

end

lemma transformation-eqI:
assumes transformation A B F G  $\sigma$  and transformation A B F G  $\tau$ 
and extensional-rtts B
and  $\bigwedge a. \text{residuation.ide } A \ a \implies \sigma \ a = \tau \ a$ 
shows  $\sigma = \tau$ 
proof
  interpret A: weakly-extensional-rtts A
    using assms(1) simulation.axioms(1) transformation-def by blast
  interpret B: extensional-rtts B
    using assms(3) by simp
  interpret F: simulation A B F
    using assms(1) transformation.axioms(3) by blast
  interpret G: simulation A B G
    using assms(1) transformation.axioms(4) by blast
  interpret  $\sigma$ : transformation A B F G  $\sigma$ 
    using assms(1) by blast
  interpret  $\tau$ : transformation A B F G  $\tau$ 
    using assms(2) by blast
  fix t
  show  $\sigma \ t = \tau \ t$ 
    by (metis A.ide-src B.join-of-unique  $\sigma$ .extensional  $\sigma$ .naturality3
       $\tau$ .extensional  $\tau$ .naturality3 assms(4))

```

qed

lemma *invertible-simulation-cancel-left'*:

assumes *invertible-simulation A B H*

shows $\llbracket \text{transformation } C \ A \ F \ G \ S; \text{ transformation } C \ A \ F \ G \ T; \\ H \circ S = H \circ T \rrbracket \\ \implies S = T$

proof –

obtain *K* **where** *K: inverse-simulations A B K H*

using *assms(1) invertible-simulation.invertible* **by** *blast*

interpret *HK: inverse-simulations A B K H*

using *K* **by** *blast*

show $\llbracket \text{transformation } C \ A \ F \ G \ S; \text{ transformation } C \ A \ F \ G \ T; \\ H \circ S = H \circ T \rrbracket \\ \implies S = T$

by (*metis HK.inv' HOL.ext comp-def transformation.extensional transformation.preserves-arr*)

qed

lemma *invertible-simulation-cancel-right'*:

assumes *invertible-simulation A B H*

shows $\llbracket \text{transformation } B \ C \ F \ G \ S; \text{ transformation } B \ C \ F \ G \ T; \\ S \circ H = T \circ H \rrbracket \\ \implies S = T$

proof –

obtain *K* **where** *K: inverse-simulations A B K H*

using *assms(1) invertible-simulation.invertible* **by** *blast*

interpret *HK: inverse-simulations A B K H*

using *K* **by** *blast*

show $\llbracket \text{transformation } B \ C \ F \ G \ S; \text{ transformation } B \ C \ F \ G \ T; \\ S \circ H = T \circ H \rrbracket \\ \implies S = T$

by (*metis HK.inv HOL.ext comp-def transformation.extensional*)

qed

1.3 Binary Simulations

locale *binary-simulation-between-weakly-extensional-rts =*

binary-simulation +

A1: weakly-extensional-rts A1 +

A0: weakly-extensional-rts A0 +

B: weakly-extensional-rts B

begin

interpretation *A: product-of-weakly-extensional-rts A1 A0 ..*

sublocale *simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts A.resid B F ..*

lemma *fixing-arr-gives-transformation-1:*

assumes *A1.arr t1*

shows *transformation A0 B*
 $(\lambda t0. F (A1.src\ t1, t0)) (\lambda t0. F (A1.trg\ t1, t0))$
 $(\lambda t0. F (t1, t0))$

proof –

interpret *Fsrc: simulation A0 B* $\langle \lambda t0. F (A1.src\ t1, t0) \rangle$
using *assms fixing-ide-gives-simulation-1* **by** *simp*

interpret *Fsrc: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts A0 B*
 $\langle \lambda t0. F (A1.src\ t1, t0) \rangle$

..

interpret *Ftrg: simulation A0 B* $\langle \lambda t0. F (A1.trg\ t1, t0) \rangle$
using *assms fixing-ide-gives-simulation-1* **by** *simp*

interpret *Ftrg: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts A0 B*
 $\langle \lambda t0. F (A1.trg\ t1, t0) \rangle$

..

show *transformation A0 B*
 $(\lambda t0. F (A1.src\ t1, t0)) (\lambda t0. F (A1.trg\ t1, t0))$
 $(\lambda t0. F (t1, t0))$

proof

show $\bigwedge f. \neg A0.arr\ f \implies F (t1, f) = B.null$
by (*simp add: extensional*)

show $\bigwedge f. A0.ide\ f \implies B.src\ (F (t1, f)) = F (A1.src\ t1, f)$
using *assms B.src-eqI* **by** *simp*

show $\bigwedge f. A0.ide\ f \implies B.trg\ (F (t1, f)) = F (A1.trg\ t1, f)$
by (*metis assms fixing-ide-gives-simulation-0 simulation.preserves-trg*)

fix *f*

assume *f: A0.arr f*

show $F (t1, A0.src\ f) \setminus_B F (A1.src\ t1, f) = F (t1, A0.trg\ f)$

proof –

have $F (t1, A0.src\ f) \setminus_B F (A1.src\ t1, f) =$
 $F (A.resid\ (t1, A0.src\ f)\ (A1.src\ t1, f))$
by (*simp add: assms f*)

also have $... = F (t1, A0.trg\ f)$
by (*simp add: assms f A.resid-def*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

show $F (A1.src\ t1, f) \setminus_B F (t1, A0.src\ f) = F (A1.trg\ t1, f)$

proof –

have $F (A1.src\ t1, f) \setminus_B F (t1, A0.src\ f) =$
 $F (A.resid\ (A1.src\ t1, f)\ (t1, A0.src\ f))$
by (*simp add: assms f*)

also have $... = F (A1.trg\ t1, f)$
by (*simp add: assms f A.resid-def*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

show $B.join-of\ (F (t1, A0.src\ f))\ (F (A1.src\ t1, f))\ (F (t1, f))$
by (*simp add: f A1.join-of-arr-src(2) A0.join-of-arr-src(1)*)
assms preserves-joins A.join-of-char(1)

qed

qed

```

lemma fixing-arr-gives-transformation-0:
assumes  $A0.arr\ t2$ 
shows transformation  $A1\ B$ 
       $(\lambda t1. F\ (t1, A0.src\ t2))\ (\lambda t1. F\ (t1, A0.trg\ t2))$ 
       $(\lambda t1. F\ (t1, t2))$ 
proof -
  interpret  $Fsrc: simulation\ A1\ B\ \langle \lambda t1. F\ (t1, A0.src\ t2) \rangle$ 
    using assms fixing-ide-gives-simulation-0 by simp
  interpret  $Fsrc: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts\ A1\ B$ 
     $\langle \lambda t1. F\ (t1, A0.src\ t2) \rangle$ 
  ..
  interpret  $Ftrg: simulation\ A1\ B\ \langle \lambda t1. F\ (t1, A0.trg\ t2) \rangle$ 
    using assms fixing-ide-gives-simulation-0 by simp
  interpret  $Ftrg: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts\ A1\ B$ 
     $\langle \lambda t1. F\ (t1, A0.trg\ t2) \rangle$ 
  ..
  show transformation  $A1\ B$ 
     $(\lambda t1. F\ (t1, A0.src\ t2))\ (\lambda t1. F\ (t1, A0.trg\ t2))$ 
     $(\lambda t1. F\ (t1, t2))$ 
proof
  show  $\bigwedge f. \neg A1.arr\ f \implies F\ (f, t2) = B.null$ 
    by (simp add: extensional)
  show  $\bigwedge f. A1.ide\ f \implies B.src\ (F\ (f, t2)) = F\ (f, A0.src\ t2)$ 
    using assms B.src-eqI by simp
  show  $\bigwedge f. A1.ide\ f \implies B.trg\ (F\ (f, t2)) = F\ (f, A0.trg\ t2)$ 
    by (metis assms fixing-ide-gives-simulation-1 simulation.preserves-trg)
  fix  $f$ 
  assume  $f: A1.arr\ f$ 
  show  $F\ (A1.src\ f, t2) \setminus_B F\ (f, A0.src\ t2) = F\ (A1.trg\ f, t2)$ 
    using f fixing-arr-gives-transformation-1 transformation.naturality2
    by fastforce
  show  $F\ (f, A0.src\ t2) \setminus_B F\ (A1.src\ f, t2) = F\ (f, A0.trg\ t2)$ 
    by (metis assms f fixing-arr-gives-transformation-1
      transformation.naturality1-ax)
  show  $\bigwedge f. A1.arr\ f \implies$ 
     $B.join-of\ (F\ (A1.src\ f, t2))\ (F\ (f, A0.src\ t2))\ (F\ (f, t2))$ 
    by (simp add: A1.join-of-arr-src(1) A0.join-of-arr-src(2)
      assms preserves-joins A.join-of-char(1))
  qed
qed
end

```

```

locale transformation-of-binary-simulations =
   $A1: weakly-extensional-rts\ A1 +$ 
   $A0: weakly-extensional-rts\ A0 +$ 
   $B: weakly-extensional-rts\ B +$ 
   $A1xA0: product-rts\ A1\ A0 +$ 

```

```

F: binary-simulation A1 A0 B F +
G: binary-simulation A1 A0 B G +
transformation A1xA0.resid B F G τ
for A1 :: 'a1 resid (infix \A1 55)
and A0 :: 'a0 resid (infix \A0 55)
and B :: 'b resid (infix \B 55)
and F :: 'a1 * 'a0 ⇒ 'b
and G :: 'a1 * 'a0 ⇒ 'b
and τ :: 'a1 * 'a0 ⇒ 'b
begin

notation A0.con (infix ∩A0 50)
notation A0.prfx (infix ≲A0 50)
notation A0.cong (infix ∼A0 50)

notation A1.con (infix ∩A1 50)
notation A1.prfx (infix ≲A1 50)
notation A1.cong (infix ∼A1 50)

notation B.con (infix ∩B 50)
notation B.prfx (infix ≲B 50)
notation B.cong (infix ∼B 50)

notation A1xA0.resid (infix \A1xA0 55)

sublocale A1xA0: product-of-weakly-extensional-rts A1 A0 ..

lemma fixing-ide-gives-transformation-1:
assumes A1.ide a1
shows transformation A0 B ⟨λf0. F (a1, f0)⟩ (λf0. G (a1, f0))
(λf0. τ (a1, f0))
proof -
interpret Fa1: simulation A0 B ⟨λf0. F (a1, f0)⟩
using assms F.fixing-ide-gives-simulation-1 by simp
interpret Ga1: simulation A0 B ⟨λf0. G (a1, f0)⟩
using assms G.fixing-ide-gives-simulation-1 by simp
show ?thesis
proof
fix f
show ¬ A0.arr f ⇒ τ (a1, f) = B.null
by (simp add: extensional)
show A0.ide f ⇒ B.src (τ (a1, f)) = F (a1, f)
using assms preserves-src by force
show A0.ide f ⇒ B.trg (τ (a1, f)) = G (a1, f)
by (simp add: assms preserves-trg)
show A0.arr f ⇒ τ (a1, A0.src f) \B F (a1, f) = τ (a1, A0.trg f)
by (metis A1.ide-iff-src-self A1.ide-iff-trg-self A1.ide-implies-arr
A1xA0.src-char A1xA0.trg-char F.preserves-reflects-arr
Fa1.preserves-reflects-arr assms fst-conv naturality1 snd-conv)

```

```

show  $A0.arr\ f \implies F\ (a1, f) \setminus_B \tau\ (a1, A0.src\ f) = G\ (a1, f)$ 
  by (metis assms  $A1.ide\text{-}iff\text{-}src\text{-}self\ A1.ide\text{-}implies\text{-}arr\ A1xA0.src\text{-}char$ 
     $G.preserves\text{-}reflects\text{-}arr\ Ga1.preserves\text{-}reflects\text{-}arr\ fst\text{-}conv$ 
    naturality2\ snd\ conv)
show  $A0.arr\ f \implies B.join\text{-}of\ (\tau\ (a1, A0.src\ f))\ (F\ (a1, f))\ (\tau\ (a1, f))$ 
  by (metis assms  $A1.ide\text{-}iff\text{-}src\text{-}self\ A1.ide\text{-}implies\text{-}arr\ A1xA0.src\text{-}char$ 
     $G.preserves\text{-}reflects\text{-}arr\ Ga1.preserves\text{-}reflects\text{-}arr\ fst\text{-}conv\ naturality3$ 
    snd\ conv)
qed
qed

```

lemma *fixing-ide-gives-transformation-0*:

assumes $A0.ide\ a0$

shows $transformation\ A1\ B\ (\lambda f1. F\ (f1, a0))\ (\lambda f1. G\ (f1, a0))$
 $(\lambda f1. \tau\ (f1, a0))$

proof –

interpret $Fa0: simulation\ A1\ B\ \langle \lambda f1. F\ (f1, a0) \rangle$

using *assms* $F.fixing\text{-}ide\text{-}gives\text{-}simulation\text{-}0$ **by** *simp*

interpret $Ga0: simulation\ A1\ B\ \langle \lambda f1. G\ (f1, a0) \rangle$

using *assms* $G.fixing\text{-}ide\text{-}gives\text{-}simulation\text{-}0$ **by** *simp*

show *?thesis*

proof

fix f

show $\neg\ A1.arr\ f \implies \tau\ (f, a0) = B.null$

by (*simp* *add: extensional*)

show $A1.ide\ f \implies B.src\ (\tau\ (f, a0)) = F\ (f, a0)$

by (*simp* *add: assms preserves\ src*)

show $A1.ide\ f \implies B.trg\ (\tau\ (f, a0)) = G\ (f, a0)$

by (*simp* *add: assms preserves\ trg*)

show $A1.arr\ f \implies \tau\ (A1.src\ f, a0) \setminus_B F\ (f, a0) = \tau\ (A1.trg\ f, a0)$

by (*metis* $A1xA0.src\text{-}char\ A1xA0.trg\text{-}char\ A0.ide\text{-}iff\text{-}src\text{-}self$
 $A0.ide\text{-}iff\text{-}trg\text{-}self\ A0.ide\text{-}implies\text{-}arr\ F.preserves\text{-}reflects\text{-}arr$
 $Fa0.preserves\text{-}reflects\text{-}arr\ assms\ fst\text{-}conv\ naturality1\ snd\ conv$)

show $A1.arr\ f \implies F\ (f, a0) \setminus_B \tau\ (A1.src\ f, a0) = G\ (f, a0)$

by (*metis* $A1xA0.src\text{-}char\ A0.ide\text{-}iff\text{-}src\text{-}self\ A0.ide\text{-}implies\text{-}arr$
 $F.preserves\text{-}reflects\text{-}arr\ Fa0.preserves\text{-}reflects\text{-}arr\ assms$
 $fst\text{-}conv\ naturality2\ snd\ conv$)

show $A1.arr\ f \implies B.join\text{-}of\ (\tau\ (A1.src\ f, a0))\ (F\ (f, a0))\ (\tau\ (f, a0))$

by (*metis* $A1xA0.src\text{-}char\ A0.ide\text{-}iff\text{-}src\text{-}self\ A0.ide\text{-}implies\text{-}arr$
 $F.preserves\text{-}reflects\text{-}arr\ Fa0.preserves\text{-}reflects\text{-}arr\ assms$
 $fst\text{-}conv\ naturality3\ snd\ conv$)

qed

qed

end

1.4 Horizontal Composite of Transformations

lemma *transformation-whisker-left*:

assumes *transformation* $A B F G \tau$ **and** *simulation* $B C H$
and *weakly-extensional-rtts* C
shows *transformation* $A C (H \circ F) (H \circ G) (H \circ \tau)$
proof –
interpret C : *weakly-extensional-rtts* C
using *assms*(3) **by** *blast*
interpret τ : *transformation* $A B F G \tau$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
interpret H : *simulation* $B C H$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
interpret HoF : *composite-simulation* $A B C F H ..$
interpret HoG : *composite-simulation* $A B C G H ..$
show *?thesis*
proof
fix t
show $\neg \tau.A.arr t \implies (H \circ \tau) t = C.null$
by (*simp add: H.extensional τ .extensional*)
show $\tau.A.ide t \implies C.src ((H \circ \tau) t) = HoF.map t$
by (*metis C.src-eqI H.preserves-con HoF.preserves-ide $\tau.A.ide$ -implies-arr $\tau.B.con$ -arr-src(2) τ .preserves-arr τ .preserves-src comp-eq-dest-lhs*)
show $\tau.A.ide t \implies C.trg ((H \circ \tau) t) = HoG.map t$
by (*metis H.preserves-trg $\tau.A.ide$ -implies-arr τ .preserves-arr τ .preserves-trg comp-apply*)
show $\tau.A.arr t \implies$
 $C ((H \circ \tau) (\tau.A.src t)) (HoF.map t) = (H \circ \tau) (\tau.A.trg t)$
by (*metis H.preserves-resid $\tau.B.conI$ $\tau.B.con$ -sym-ax $\tau.B.not$ -arr-null $\tau.G$.preserves-reflects-arr $\tau.naturality1$ -ax $\tau.naturality2$ -ax comp-apply*)
show $\tau.A.arr t \implies C (HoF.map t) ((H \circ \tau) (\tau.A.src t)) = HoG.map t$
by (*metis H.preserves-resid $\tau.B.arr$ -resid-iff-con $\tau.G$.preserves-reflects-arr $\tau.naturality2$ -ax comp-apply*)
show $\tau.A.arr t \implies C.join$ -of $((H \circ \tau) (\tau.A.src t)) (HoF.map t) ((H \circ \tau) t)$
by (*metis H.simulation-axioms $\tau.naturality3$ comp-apply simulation.preserves-joins*)
qed
qed

lemma *transformation-whisker-right*:
assumes *transformation* $B C F G \tau$ **and** *simulation* $A B H$
and *weakly-extensional-rtts* A
shows *transformation* $A C (F \circ H) (G \circ H) (\tau \circ H)$
proof –
interpret A : *weakly-extensional-rtts* A
using *assms*(3) **by** *blast*
interpret τ : *transformation* $B C F G \tau$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
interpret H : *simulation* $A B H$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
interpret FoH : *composite-simulation* $A B C H F ..$
interpret GoH : *composite-simulation* $A B C H G ..$

```

show ?thesis
proof
  fix t
  show  $\neg A.arr\ t \implies (\tau \circ H)\ t = \tau.B.null$ 
    by (simp add:  $\tau.extensional$ )
  show  $A.ide\ t \implies \tau.B.src\ ((\tau \circ H)\ t) = FoH.map\ t$ 
    by (simp add:  $\tau.preserves-src$ )
  show  $A.ide\ t \implies \tau.B.trg\ ((\tau \circ H)\ t) = GoH.map\ t$ 
    by (simp add:  $\tau.preserves-trg$ )
  show  $A.arr\ t \implies C\ ((\tau \circ H)\ (A.src\ t))\ (FoH.map\ t) = (\tau \circ H)\ (A.trg\ t)$ 
    by (metis  $A.arr-has-un-source\ A.arr-src-iff-arr\ A.con-arr-src(1)$ 
       $A.src-in-sources\ A.trg-src\ H.preserves-con\ H.preserves-trg$ 
       $\tau.A.con-imp-eq-src\ \tau.A.src-trg\ \tau.naturality1\ comp-def$ )
  show  $A.arr\ t \implies C\ (FoH.map\ t)\ ((\tau \circ H)\ (A.src\ t)) = GoH.map\ t$ 
    by (metis  $A.con-arr-src(2)\ A.ide-src\ H.preserves-con\ H.preserves-ide$ 
       $\tau.A.con-imp-eq-src\ \tau.naturality2\ comp-apply\ \tau.A.src-ide$ )
  show  $A.arr\ t \implies \tau.B.join-of\ ((\tau \circ H)\ (A.src\ t))\ (FoH.map\ t)\ ((\tau \circ H)\ t)$ 
    by (metis (full-types)  $A.con-arr-src(2)\ A.ide-src\ FoH.preserves-reflects-arr$ 
       $H.preserves-con\ H.preserves-ide\ \tau.B.not-arr-null$ 
       $\tau.F.extensional\ \tau.naturality3\ comp-apply\ \tau.A.con-imp-eq-src\ \tau.A.src-ide$ )
qed
qed

```

Horizontal composition of transformations requires reasoning about joins which it is not clear that it is possible to do unless extensionality is assumed.

lemma *horizontal-composite*:

assumes *transformation* $B\ C\ F\ G\ \sigma$ **and** *transformation* $A\ B\ H\ K\ \tau$
and *extensional-rts* A **and** *extensional-rts* B **and** *extensional-rts* C
shows *transformation* $A\ C\ (F \circ H)\ (G \circ K)\ (\sigma \circ \tau)$

proof –

```

interpret A: extensional-rts A
  using assms(3) by blast
interpret B: extensional-rts B
  using assms(4) by blast
interpret C: extensional-rts C
  using assms(5) by blast
interpret  $\sigma$ : transformation B C F G  $\sigma$ 
  using assms by blast
interpret  $\sigma$ : transformation-to-extensional-rts B C F G  $\sigma$  ..
interpret  $\tau$ : transformation A B H K  $\tau$ 
  using assms by blast
interpret  $\tau$ : transformation-to-extensional-rts A B H K  $\tau$  ..
interpret FoH: composite-simulation A B C H F ..
interpret GoH: composite-simulation A B C H G ..
interpret FoK: composite-simulation A B C K F ..
interpret GoK: composite-simulation A B C K G ..
show ?thesis
proof
  write A (infix \ $\setminus_A$  55)

```



```

write B (infix \B 55)
write C (infix \C 55)
write B.join (infixr  $\sqcup_B$  52)
write C.join (infixr  $\sqcup_C$  52)
fix t
show  $\neg A.arr\ t \implies (\sigma \circ \tau)\ t = C.null$ 
  by (simp add:  $\sigma.extensional\ \tau.extensional$ )
show  $A.ide\ t \implies C.src\ ((\sigma \circ \tau)\ t) = FoH.map\ t$ 
  by (metis  $A.arr-def\ A.ideE\ C.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms\ \sigma.naturality3$ 
 $\sigma.transformation-axioms\ \tau.F.preserves-ide\ \tau.preserves-arr\ \tau.preserves-src$ 
 $comp-apply\ transformation.preserves-src\ weakly-extensional-rts.src-join-of(1)$ )
show  $A.ide\ t \implies C.trg\ ((\sigma \circ \tau)\ t) = GoK.map\ t$ 
  by (metis  $A.arr-resid-iff-con\ A.ideE\ C.apex-sym\ C.trg-join-of(1)$ 
 $\sigma.G.preserves-trg\ \sigma.naturality2\ \sigma.naturality3\ \tau.preserves-arr$ 
 $\tau.preserves-trg\ comp-apply$ )
assume t: A.arr t
show  $(\sigma \circ \tau)\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_C FoH.map\ t = (\sigma \circ \tau)\ (A.trg\ t)$ 
  by (simp add:  $\sigma.general-naturality(1)\ \tau.naturality1-ax$ 
 $\tau.preserves-con(2)\ t$ )
show  $FoH.map\ t \setminus_C (\sigma \circ \tau)\ (A.src\ t) = GoK.map\ t$ 
  by (simp add:  $B.con-sym\ \sigma.general-naturality(2)\ \tau.naturality2-ax$ 
 $\tau.preserves-con(2)\ t$ )
show  $C.join-of\ ((\sigma \circ \tau)\ (A.src\ t))\ (FoH.map\ t)\ ((\sigma \circ \tau)\ t)$ 
proof -
  have  $\sigma\ (\tau\ (A.src\ t)) \sqcup_C F\ (H\ t) = \sigma\ (\tau\ t)$ 
  proof (intro C.join-eqI)
    show 1:  $C.prfx\ (\sigma\ (\tau\ (A.src\ t)))\ (\sigma\ (\tau\ t))$ 
      using t  $\tau.preserves-prfx\ \sigma.preserves-prfx$  by simp
    show 2:  $C.prfx\ (F\ (H\ t))\ (\sigma\ (\tau\ t))$ 
      using t
      by (meson C.composite-ofE C.prfx-transitive  $\sigma.F.preserves-composites$ 
 $\sigma.naturality1'\ \tau.naturality1'\ \tau.preserves-arr$ )
    show 3:  $\sigma\ (\tau\ t) \setminus_C F\ (H\ t) = \sigma\ (\tau\ (A.src\ t)) \setminus_C F\ (H\ t)$ 
      by (simp add:  $A.trg-def\ \sigma.general-naturality(1)\ \tau.general-naturality(1)$ 
 $\tau.preserves-con(2)\ t$ )
    show  $\sigma\ (\tau\ t) \setminus_C \sigma\ (\tau\ (A.src\ t)) = F\ (H\ t) \setminus_C \sigma\ (\tau\ (A.src\ t))$ 
      using t 1 2 3 C.apex-arr-prfx(1) C.apex-sym C.cube C.extensional
      C.ideE C.trg-def
      by (smt (verit, del-insts))
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  using t
  by (metis C.join-is-join-of C.joinable-iff-arr-join  $\sigma.preserves-arr$ 
 $\tau.preserves-arr\ comp-apply$ )
qed
qed
qed
end

```

Chapter 2

RTS's as Categories

As shown in the previous article [4], every RTS extends to an extensional RTS that has a composite for each pair of composable transitions. Such an RTS may be regarded as a category, and in this section we establish a characterization of the kind of categories that are obtained from RTS's in this way.

2.1 Categories with Bounded Pushouts

2.1.1 Bounded Spans

We call a span in a category “bounded” if it can be completed to a commuting square. A category with bounded pushouts is a category in which every bounded span has a pushout.

```
theory CategoryWithBoundedPushouts
imports Category3.EpiMonoIso Category3.CategoryWithPullbacks
begin
```

```
context category
begin
```

```
definition bounded-span
where bounded-span h k  $\equiv \exists f g. \text{commutative-square } f g h k$ 
```

```
lemma bounded-spanI [intro]:
assumes commutative-square f g h k
shows bounded-span h k
using assms bounded-span-def by auto
```

```
lemma bounded-spanE [elim]:
assumes bounded-span h k
obtains f g where commutative-square f g h k
using assms bounded-span-def by auto
```

lemma *bounded-span-sym*:
shows *bounded-span h k \implies bounded-span k h*
unfolding *bounded-span-def commutative-square-def*
by (*metis seqE seqI*)

end

2.1.2 Pushouts

Here we give a definition of the notion “pushout square” in a category, and prove that pushout squares compose. The definition here is currently a “free-standing” one, because it has been stated on its own, without deriving it from a general notion of colimit. At some future time, once the general development of limits given in [2] has been suitably dualized to obtain a corresponding development of colimits, this formal connection should be made.

context *category*
begin

definition *pushout-square*
where *pushout-square f g h k \equiv*
commutative-square f g h k \wedge
($\forall f' g'. \text{commutative-square } f' g' h k \longrightarrow (\exists ! l. l \cdot f = f' \wedge l \cdot g = g')$)

lemma *pushout-squareI [intro]*:
assumes *cospan f g and span h k and dom f = cod h and f \cdot h = g \cdot k*
and *$\bigwedge f' g'. \text{commutative-square } f' g' h k \implies \exists ! l. l \cdot f = f' \wedge l \cdot g = g'$*
shows *pushout-square f g h k*
using *assms pushout-square-def by simp*

lemma *composition-of-pushouts*:
assumes *pushout-square u' t' t u and pushout-square v' t'' t' v*
shows *pushout-square (v' \cdot u') t'' t (v \cdot u)*

proof

show *1: cospan (v' \cdot u') t''*
using *assms*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) commutative-square-def seqI cod-comp pushout-square-def*)
show *span t (v \cdot u)*
using *assms pushout-square-def by fastforce*
show *dom (v' \cdot u') = cod t*
using *assms*
by (*metis 1 commutative-squareE dom-comp pushout-square-def*)
show *(v' \cdot u') \cdot t = t'' \cdot v \cdot u*
using *assms*
by (*metis commutative-squareE comp-assoc pushout-square-def*)
fix *w x*
assume *wx: commutative-square w x t (v \cdot u)*

show $\exists! l. l \cdot v' \cdot u' = w \wedge l \cdot t'' = x$
proof –
have 1 : *commutative-square* $w (x \cdot v) t u$
using wx
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) cod-comp commutative-square-def dom-comp comp-assoc seqE seqI*)
hence $*$: $\exists! z. z \cdot u' = w \wedge z \cdot t' = x \cdot v$
using *assms pushout-square-def* **by** *auto*
obtain z **where** $z: z \cdot u' = w \wedge z \cdot t' = x \cdot v$
using $*$ **by** *auto*
have 2 : *commutative-square* $z x t' v$
using z
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) 1 cod-comp commutative-square-def dom-comp seqE*)
hence $**$: $\exists l. l \cdot v' = z \wedge l \cdot t'' = x$
by (*meson assms(2) pushout-square-def*)
obtain l **where** $l: l \cdot v' = z \wedge l \cdot t'' = x$
using $**$ **by** *auto*
have $l \cdot v' \cdot u' = w \wedge l \cdot t'' = x$
using l *comp-assoc* z **by** *force*
moreover **have** $\bigwedge l l'. \llbracket l \cdot v' \cdot u' = w \wedge l \cdot t'' = x;$
 $l' \cdot v' \cdot u' = w \wedge l' \cdot t'' = x \rrbracket$
 $\implies l = l'$
proof –
fix $l l'$
assume $l: l \cdot v' \cdot u' = w \wedge l \cdot t'' = x$
assume $l': l' \cdot v' \cdot u' = w \wedge l' \cdot t'' = x$
have $(l \cdot v') \cdot u' = w \wedge (l \cdot v') \cdot t' = x \cdot v \wedge$
 $(l' \cdot v') \cdot u' = w \wedge (l' \cdot v') \cdot t' = x \cdot v$
using *assms(2)*
by (*metis commutative-squareE pushout-square-def l l' comp-assoc*)
thus $l = l'$
by (*metis * 2 assms(2) l l' pushout-square-def z*)
qed
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

end

locale *elementary-category-with-bounded-pushouts* =
category C
for $C :: 'a \text{ comp}$ (**infixr** \cdot 55)
and $inj0 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ ($i_0[-, -]$)
and $inj1 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ ($i_1[-, -]$) +
assumes *inj0-ext*: $\neg \text{bounded-span } h k \implies i_0[h, k] = \text{null}$
and *inj1-ext*: $\neg \text{bounded-span } h k \implies i_1[h, k] = \text{null}$
and *pushout-commutes* [*intro*]:
 $\text{bounded-span } h k \implies \text{commutative-square } i_1[h, k] i_0[h, k] h k$

and *pushout-universal*:
 $commutative-square\ f\ g\ h\ k \implies \exists !l. l \cdot i_1[h, k] = f \wedge l \cdot i_0[h, k] = g$
begin

lemma *dom-inj* [*simp*]:
assumes *bounded-span h k*
shows $dom\ i_0[h, k] = cod\ k$ **and** $dom\ i_1[h, k] = cod\ h$
using *assms pushout-commutes* **by** *blast+*

lemma *cod-inj*:
assumes *bounded-span h k*
shows $cod\ i_1[h, k] = cod\ i_0[h, k]$
using *assms pushout-commutes* **by** *auto*

lemma *has-bounded-pushouts*:
assumes *bounded-span h k*
shows *pushout-square* $i_1[h, k]\ i_0[h, k]\ h\ k$
using *assms pushout-square-def pushout-commutes pushout-universal* **by** *simp*

end

end

2.2 Categories of Transitions

theory *CategoryOfTransitions*
imports *Main Category3.EpiMonoIso CategoryWithBoundedPushouts*
ResiduatedTransitionSystem.ResiduatedTransitionSystem
begin

A category of transitions is a category with bounded pushouts in which every arrow is an epimorphism and the only isomorphisms are identities.

locale *category-of-transitions* =
elementary-category-with-bounded-pushouts +
assumes *arr-implies-epi*: $arr\ t \implies epi\ t$
and *iso-implies-ide*: $iso\ t \implies ide\ t$
begin

lemma *commutative-square-sym*:
shows *commutative-square* $f\ g\ h\ k \implies commutative-square\ g\ f\ k\ h$
by *auto*

lemma *inj-sym*:
shows $i_0[k, h] = i_1[h, k]$
proof –
have $\neg\ bounded-span\ h\ k \implies ?thesis$
using *inj0-ext* [*of k h*] *inj1-ext* [*of h k*] *bounded-span-sym* **by** *metis*
moreover **have** $bounded-span\ h\ k \implies ?thesis$

proof –
assume 1 : *bounded-span* h k
have $(\exists l. l \cdot i_1[h, k] = i_0[k, h]) \wedge (\exists l'. l' \cdot i_0[k, h] = i_1[h, k])$
by (*meson* 1 *bounded-span-sym* *commutative-square-sym*
pushout-commutes *pushout-universal*)
moreover have *epi* $i_0[k, h] \wedge$ *epi* $i_1[h, k]$
by (*meson* 1 *arr-implies-epi* *bounded-span-sym*
commutative-squareE *pushout-commutes*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis* *arr-implies-epi* *iso-iff-section-and-epi* *comp-cod-arr*
comp-ide-arr *epiE* *epi-implies-arr* *ide-cod* *iso-implies-ide*
comp-assoc *sectionI* *seqE*)
qed
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

In this setting, pushouts are uniquely determined.

lemma *pushouts-unique*:
assumes *pushout-square* f g h k
shows $f = i_1[h, k]$ **and** $g = i_0[h, k]$
proof –
obtain w **where** $w \cdot i_1[h, k] = f \wedge w \cdot i_0[h, k] = g$
using *assms* *pushout-universal* *pushout-square-def* **by** *meson*
obtain w' **where** $w' \cdot f = i_1[h, k] \wedge w' \cdot g = i_0[h, k]$
using *assms* *pushout-universal* *pushout-square-def* *pushout-commutes*
bounded-spanI
by *meson*
have 1 : *ide* w
proof –
have *commutative-square* f g h k
using *assms* *pushout-square-def* **by** *blast*
hence *ide* $(w \cdot w')$
using *assms* w w' *comp-cod-arr* *ide-char'* *comp-assoc*
apply (*elim* *commutative-squareE*)
by (*metis* *arr-implies-epi* *epiE* *seqE*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis* *ideD(1)* *ide-is-iso* *inv-comp-right(2)* *iso-iff-section-and-epi*
sectionI *seqE* *arr-implies-epi* *iso-implies-ide*)
qed
show $f = i_1[h, k]$ **and** $g = i_0[h, k]$
using 1 w w' **by** (*metis* *comp-ide-arr* *ext* *null-is-zero(2)*)
qed

lemma *inj-arr-self*:
assumes *arr* t
shows $i_0[t, t] = \text{cod } t$ **and** $i_1[t, t] = \text{cod } t$
proof –
have 1 : *pushout-square* $(\text{cod } t)$ $(\text{cod } t)$ t t
using *assms* *comp-arr-dom* *pushout-universal*

```

apply (intro pushout-squareI)
  apply auto[4]
apply auto
  apply (metis commutative-squareE inj-sym)
  by (metis ide-cod commutative-squareE comp-arr-ide)
show  $i_0[t, t] = \text{cod } t$  and  $i_1[t, t] = \text{cod } t$ 
  using 1 pushouts-unique by auto
qed

```

```

lemma inj-arr-dom:
assumes arr t
shows  $i_0[t, \text{dom } t] = t$  and  $i_1[t, \text{dom } t] = \text{cod } t$ 
proof -
  have 1: pushout-square (cod t) t t (dom t)
    using assms comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr pushout-universal
    apply (intro pushout-squareI)
      apply auto[4]
    apply auto
    apply (metis cod-dom commutative-squareE)
    by (metis arr-implies-epi commutative-squareE epiE)
  show  $i_0[t, \text{dom } t] = t$  and  $i_1[t, \text{dom } t] = \text{cod } t$ 
  using 1 pushouts-unique by auto
qed

```

```

lemma eq-iff-ide-inj:
assumes span t u
shows  $t = u \iff \text{ide } i_0[t, u] \wedge \text{ide } i_0[u, t]$ 
proof
  show  $t = u \implies \text{ide } i_0[t, u] \wedge \text{ide } i_0[u, t]$ 
    using assms by (simp add: inj-arr-self(1))
  show  $\text{ide } i_0[t, u] \wedge \text{ide } i_0[u, t] \implies t = u$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) comp-cod-arr commutative-squareE
      ide-char ide-def inj0-ext inj-sym pushout-commutes)
qed

```

```

lemma inj-comp:
assumes bounded-span t (v · u)
shows  $i_0[t, v \cdot u] = i_0[i_0[t, u], v]$ 
and  $i_0[v \cdot u, t] = i_0[v, i_0[t, u]] \cdot i_0[u, t]$ 
proof -
  obtain w x where wx:  $\text{seq } w \ t \wedge w \cdot t = x \cdot v \cdot u$ 
    using assms by blast
  have 1:  $\text{seq } w \ t \wedge w \cdot t = (x \cdot v) \cdot u$ 
    using wx comp-assoc by auto
  have 2: pushout-square  $i_0[u, t] \ i_0[t, u] \ t \ u$ 
    by (metis (full-types) 1 bounded-span-def cod-comp
      commutative-squareI dom-comp has-bounded-pushouts inj-sym seqE)
  have 3: pushout-square  $i_0[v, i_0[t, u]] \ i_0[i_0[t, u], v] \ i_0[t, u] \ v$ 
proof -

```

```

have 4: commutative-square  $w (x \cdot v) t u$ 
  using wx comp-assoc
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) cod-comp commutative-square-def
    dom-comp seqE)
obtain l where  $l: l \cdot i_0[u, t] = w \wedge l \cdot i_0[t, u] = x \cdot v$ 
  using 2 4 pushout-square-def [of i_0[u, t] i_0[t, u] t u] by blast
have bounded-span  $i_0[t, u] v$ 
  by (metis (full-types) 1 l bounded-spanI cod-comp
    commutative-squareI dom-comp seqE)
thus ?thesis
  using assms has-bounded-pushouts inj-sym by auto
qed
show  $i_0[t, v \cdot u] = i_0[i_0[t, u], v]$ 
  using assms 2 3 composition-of-pushouts pushouts-unique
  by (metis (full-types))
show  $i_0[v \cdot u, t] = i_0[v, i_0[t, u]] \cdot i_0[u, t]$ 
  using assms 2 3 composition-of-pushouts pushouts-unique inj-sym
  by metis
qed

```

```

lemma inj-prefix:
assumes arr  $(u \cdot t)$ 
shows  $i_0[u \cdot t, t] = u$  and  $i_0[t, u \cdot t] = \text{cod } u$ 
proof –
  have 1: bounded-span  $(u \cdot t) t$ 
    by (metis assms ideD(1) ide-cod inj1-ext inj-arr-self(1)
      inj-comp(2) inj-sym not-arr-null seqE)
  show  $i_0[u \cdot t, t] = u$ 
    using assms 1
    by (metis bounded-span-sym seqE comp-arr-dom inj-arr-dom(1)
      inj-arr-self(1) inj-comp(2))
  show  $i_0[t, u \cdot t] = \text{cod } u$ 
    using 1
    by (metis assms bounded-span-sym seqE inj-arr-dom(2) inj-arr-self(1)
      inj-comp(1) inj-sym)
qed

```

end

2.3 Extensional RTS's with Composites as Categories

An extensional RTS with composites can be regarded as a category in an obvious way.

```

locale extensional-rts-with-composites-as-category =
  A: extensional-rts-with-composites
begin

```


Because we've defined RTS composition to take its arguments in diagram order, the ordering has to be reversed to match the way it is done for categories.

```
interpretation Category.partial-magma ⟨ $\lambda u t. t \cdot u$ ⟩
  using A.comp-null by unfold-locales metis
interpretation Category.partial-composition ⟨ $\lambda u t. t \cdot u$ ⟩ ..
```

```
lemma null-char:
shows null = A.null
  by (metis A.comp-null(1) null-is-zero(1))
```

```
lemma ide-char:
shows ide a  $\longleftrightarrow$  A.ide a
proof
  assume a: A.ide a
  show ide a
  proof (unfold ide-def, intro conjI allI impI)
    show  $a \cdot a \neq \text{null}$ 
      using a null-char by auto
    show  $\bigwedge t. t \cdot a \neq \text{null} \implies t \cdot a = t$ 
      using a
      by (metis A.comp-def A.comp-is-composite-of(2) A.composable-def
        A.composite-of-def A.cong-char null-char)
    show  $\bigwedge t. a \cdot t \neq \text{null} \implies a \cdot t = t$ 
      using a
      by (metis A.arr-comp A.ide-iff-trg-self A.comp-src-arr null-char
        A.comp-def A.arr-compEC)
  qed
next
assume a: ide a
show A.ide a
  using a
  by (metis A.arr-comp A.comp-def A.comp-eqI A.cong-reflexive
    ide-def null-char)
qed
```

```
lemma src-in-domains:
assumes A.arr t
shows A.src t  $\in$  domains t
  unfolding domains-def
  using assms ide-char null-char by force
```

```
lemma trg-in-codomains:
assumes A.arr t
shows A.trg t  $\in$  codomains t
  unfolding codomains-def
  using assms ide-char null-char by force
```

```
lemma arr-char:
```

```

shows  $arr = A.arr$ 
proof
  fix  $t$ 
  show  $arr\ t \longleftrightarrow A.arr\ t$ 
  proof
    show  $A.arr\ t \implies arr\ t$ 
      using src-in-domains trg-in-codomains arr-def by auto
    assume  $t: arr\ t$ 
    have  $1: domains\ t \neq \{\} \vee codomains\ t \neq \{\}$ 
      using  $t\ arr-def$  by simp
    show  $A.arr\ t$ 
    proof (cases  $domains\ t \neq \{\}$ )
      case True
        obtain  $a$  where  $a: a \in domains\ t$ 
          using True by auto
        show  $A.arr\ t$ 
          using  $a\ t\ A.composable-iff-seq\ [of\ a\ t]\ A.comp-def\ domains-def\ null-char$ 
          by auto
        next
      case False
        obtain  $a$  where  $a: a \in codomains\ t$ 
          using False 1 by auto
        show  $A.arr\ t$ 
          using  $a\ t\ A.composable-iff-seq\ [of\ t\ a]\ A.comp-def\ codomains-def\ null-char$ 
          by auto
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma seq-char:
shows  $seq\ u\ t \longleftrightarrow A.seq\ t\ u$ 
  using arr-char by auto

```

```

sublocale category  $\langle \lambda u\ t. t \cdot u \rangle$ 
proof
  show  $\bigwedge g\ f. f \cdot g \neq null \implies seq\ g\ f$ 
    using A.composable-iff-comp-not-null null-char seq-char by auto
  show  $\bigwedge f. (domains\ f \neq \{\}) = (codomains\ f \neq \{\})$ 
    using arr-char arr-def src-in-domains trg-in-codomains empty-iff by metis
  fix  $f\ g\ h$ 
  show  $1: f \cdot (g \cdot h) = (f \cdot g) \cdot h$ 
    using A.comp-assocEC by blast
  show  $\llbracket seq\ h\ g; seq\ (g \cdot h)\ f \rrbracket \implies seq\ g\ f$ 
    using A.comp-assocEC seq-char by auto
  show  $\llbracket seq\ h\ (f \cdot g); seq\ g\ f \rrbracket \implies seq\ h\ g$ 
    by (metis 1 A.arr-compEC arr-char)
  show  $\llbracket seq\ g\ f; seq\ h\ g \rrbracket \implies seq\ (g \cdot h)\ f$ 

```

using *seq-char* **by** *fastforce*
qed

proposition *is-category*:
shows *category* $(\lambda u t. t \cdot u)$
 ..

lemma *cod-char*:
shows $cod = A.trg$
unfolding *A.trg-def*
by (*metis arr-char cod-def cod-eqI' codomains-char null-char*
A.con-implies-arr(2) trg-in-codomains A.conI A.trg-def)

lemma *dom-char*:
shows $dom = A.src$
unfolding *A.src-def*
by (*metis A.src-def arr-char arr-def dom-eqI' dom-def null-char*
src-in-domains)

lemma *arr-implies-epi*:
assumes *arr t*
shows *epi t*
using *assms*
by (*metis arr-char epiI A.comp-cancel-left*)

lemma *iso-implies-ide*:
assumes *iso t*
shows *ide t*
by (*metis A.ide-backward-stable A.src-ide arr-char arr-inv assms*
comp-inv-arr' dom-char dom-inv ide-char' iso-is-arr A.ide-src
A.prfx-comp seqI)

end

2.4 Characterization

The categories arising from extensional RTS's with composites are categories of transitions.

context *extensional-rts-with-composites-as-category*
begin

sublocale *category-of-transitions* $\langle \lambda u t. t \cdot u \rangle \langle \lambda h k. h \setminus k \rangle \langle \lambda h k. k \setminus h \rangle$

proof

show $1: \bigwedge h k. \neg \text{bounded-span } h k \implies k \setminus h = \text{null}$

proof –

fix $h k$

have $k \setminus h \neq \text{null} \implies \text{commutative-square } (k \setminus h) (h \setminus k) h k$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) A.apex-sym A.conI A.con-imp-eq-src*)

```

    A.diamond-commutes dom-char cod-char commutative-squareI
    A.join-expansion(2) null-char seqE seq-char)
  thus  $\neg$  bounded-span  $h\ k \implies k \setminus h = \text{null}$ 
    by auto
qed
show  $\bigwedge h\ k. \neg$  bounded-span  $h\ k \implies h \setminus k = \text{null}$ 
  using 1 bounded-span-sym by blast
show  $\bigwedge h\ k. \text{bounded-span } h\ k \implies \text{commutative-square } (k \setminus h)\ (h \setminus k)\ h\ k$ 
proof -
  fix  $h\ k$ 
  assume 1: bounded-span  $h\ k$ 
  hence con:  $h \frown k$ 
    by (metis A.bounded-imp-conE A.cong-reflexive arr-char
      bounded-span-def commutative-squareE seqI)
  show commutative-square  $(k \setminus h)\ (h \setminus k)\ h\ k$ 
proof
  show cospan  $(k \setminus h)\ (h \setminus k)$ 
    by (simp add: con A.apex-sym A.con-sym arr-char cod-char)
  show span  $h\ k$ 
    using 1 by blast
  show dom  $(k \setminus h) = \text{cod } h$ 
    using A.join-expansion(2) con seq-char by blast
  show  $h \cdot k \setminus h = k \cdot h \setminus k$ 
    using A.diamond-commutes by blast
qed
qed
show  $\bigwedge f\ g\ h\ k. \text{commutative-square } f\ g\ h\ k$ 
   $\implies \exists ! l. k \setminus h \cdot l = f \wedge h \setminus k \cdot l = g$ 
proof -
  fix  $f\ g\ h\ k$ 
  assume 1: commutative-square  $f\ g\ h\ k$ 
  hence 2:  $h \cdot f = k \cdot g$ 
    using dom-char cod-char by auto
  hence 3:  $h \frown k$ 
    by (metis 1 A.bounded-imp-conE A.prfx-reflexive arr-char
      commutative-squareE seqI)
  let  $?l = (h \cdot f) \setminus (h \sqcup k)$ 
  have 4:  $(k \setminus h) \cdot ?l = f$ 
    by (metis 1 3 A.comp-assocEC A.comp-resid-prfx A.induced-arrow(2)
      A.join-expansion(1) A.seq-implies-arr-comp commutative-squareE
      seqI seq-char)
  moreover have  $(h \setminus k) \cdot ?l = g$ 
    by (metis 1 A.comp-resid-prfx A.induced-arrow(2)
      A.seq-implies-arr-comp calculation commutative-squareE
      seqI seq-char)
  ultimately have  $\exists l. (k \setminus h) \cdot l = f \wedge (h \setminus k) \cdot l = g$ 
    by simp
  moreover have  $\bigwedge l'. (k \setminus h) \cdot l' = f \wedge (h \setminus k) \cdot l' = g \implies l' = ?l$ 
    by (metis 1 4 A.comp-cancel-left arr-char commutative-square-def)

```

```

    ultimately show  $\exists ! l. (k \setminus h) \cdot l = f \wedge (h \setminus k) \cdot l = g$  by auto
  qed
  show  $\bigwedge t. \text{arr } t \implies \text{epi } t$ 
    using arr-implies-epi by simp
  show  $\bigwedge t. \text{iso } t \implies \text{ide } t$ 
    using iso-implies-ide by simp
  qed

```

proposition *is-category-of-transitions*:
 shows *category-of-transitions* $(\lambda u t. t \cdot u) (\lambda h k. h \setminus k) (\lambda h k. k \setminus h)$
 ..

end

Every category of transitions is derived from an underlying extensional RTS, obtained by using pushouts to define residuation.

```

locale underlying-rts =
  C: category-of-transitions
begin

```

```

interpretation ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma  $\langle \lambda h k. i_0[h, k] \rangle$ 
  by unfold-locales
  (metis C.inj1-ext C.inj-sym C.not-arr-null C.pushout-commutes
   C.commutative-squareE)

```

```

lemma null-char:
shows null = C.null
  using null-eqI C.inj0-ext C.not-arr-null C.pushout-commutes
  by (metis C.commutative-squareE)

```

```

interpretation residuation  $\langle \lambda h k. i_0[h, k] \rangle$ 

```

proof

```

show 0:  $\bigwedge t u. i_0[t, u] \neq \text{null} \implies i_0[u, t] \neq \text{null}$ 
  by (metis C.inj0-ext C.inj-sym C.not-arr-null C.pushout-commutes
   C.commutative-squareE null-char)
show  $\bigwedge t u. i_0[t, u] \neq \text{null} \implies i_0[i_0[t, u], i_0[t, u]] \neq \text{null}$ 
  by (metis C.commutative-squareE C.eq-iff-ide-inj C.ideD(1) C.inj0-ext
   C.not-arr-null C.pushout-commutes null-char)
have *:  $\bigwedge t u v. [i_0[t, u] \neq \text{null}; i_0[t, v] \neq \text{null}; i_0[i_0[v, t], i_0[u, t]] \neq \text{null}]$ 
   $\implies \exists w. i_0[i_0[v, t], i_0[u, t]] = w \cdot i_0[i_0[v, u], i_0[t, u]]$ 

```

proof –

```

fix t u v
assume tu:  $i_0[t, u] \neq \text{null}$  and tv:  $i_0[t, v] \neq \text{null}$ 
and vt-ut:  $i_0[i_0[v, t], i_0[u, t]] \neq \text{null}$ 
have 1:  $(i_0[i_0[v, t], i_0[u, t]] \cdot i_0[t, u]) \cdot u = (i_0[i_0[u, t], i_0[v, t]] \cdot i_0[t, v]) \cdot v$ 
  using tu tv vt-ut C.commutative-squareE C.comp-assoc C.inj0-ext
   C.inj-sym C.pushout-commutes null-char
by metis
have 2: C.commutative-square  $(i_0[i_0[v, t], i_0[u, t]] \cdot i_0[t, u])$ 

```


by (*metis* $\langle \wedge u t. i_0[t, u] \neq \text{null} \implies i_0[u, t] \neq \text{null} \rangle$ *null-is-zero(1)*)
obtain w **where** $w: i_0[i_0[v, t], i_0[u, t]] = w \cdot i_0[i_0[v, u], i_0[t, u]]$
 using *tu tv vt-ut* * **by** *blast*
have $vu\text{-}tu: i_0[i_0[v, u], i_0[t, u]] \neq \text{null}$
 using w *null-char vt-ut* **by** *fastforce*
obtain w' **where** $w': i_0[i_0[v, u], i_0[t, u]] = w' \cdot i_0[i_0[v, t], i_0[u, t]]$
 using 0 *vu-tu* * *null-is-zero(2)* **by** *metis*
have $C.\text{ide } (w \cdot w')$
 using w w' *vt-ut*
by (*metis* $C.\text{comp-cod-arr } C.\text{ext } C.\text{ide-cod } C.\text{inj-prefix}(1-2) C.\text{seqE}$
null-char)
hence $C.\text{ide } w$
 using w w'
by (*metis* $C.\text{comp-arr-ide } C.\text{ide-compE } C.\text{inj-arr-dom}(1) C.\text{inj-prefix}(2)$
C.seqE)
thus $i_0[i_0[v, t], i_0[u, t]] = i_0[i_0[v, u], i_0[t, u]]$
 using w $C.\text{comp-ide-arr}$
by (*metis* $C.\text{ext null-char vt-ut}$)
qed
qed

lemma *con-char*:
shows $\text{con } t u \iff C.\text{bounded-span } t u$
by (*metis* $C.\text{commutative-squareE } C.\text{inj0-ext } C.\text{not-arr-null}$
 $C.\text{pushout-commutes con-def null-char}$)

lemma *arr-char*:
shows $\text{arr } t \iff C.\text{arr } t$
by (*metis* $C.\text{arr-cod } C.\text{inj-arr-self}(1) C.\text{not-arr-null } C.\text{pushout-commutes}$
 $\text{arr-def } C.\text{commutative-squareE con-char con-def null-char}$)

lemma *ide-char*:
shows $\text{ide } a \iff C.\text{ide } a$
by (*metis* $C.\text{ide-char } C.\text{ide-char}' C.\text{ide-def } C.\text{inj-arr-self}(1) \text{arr-char ide-def}$
 $\text{null-char con-def ide-implies-arr}$)

interpretation $\text{rts } \langle \lambda h k. i_0[h, k] \rangle$

proof

show $\wedge a t. \llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{con } t a \rrbracket \implies i_0[t, a] = t$
 using $C.\text{inj-arr-dom con-char ide-char}$ **by** *fastforce*
thus $\wedge a t. \llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{con } a t \rrbracket \implies \text{ide } i_0[a, t]$
by (*metis* $\text{con-sym cube ide-def con-def}$)
show $\wedge t. \text{arr } t \implies \text{ide } (\text{trg } t)$
by (*metis* $\text{arr-char } C.\text{eq-iff-ide-inj ide-char resid-arr-self}$)
thus $\wedge t u. \text{con } t u \implies \exists a. \text{ide } a \wedge \text{con } a t \wedge \text{con } a u$
 using con-char ide-char
by (*metis* $C.\text{ide-dom } C.\text{inj-arr-dom}(1) C.\text{pushout-commutes}$
 $C.\text{commutative-squareE con-implies-arr}(1) \text{con-sym arr-resid-iff-con}$)
show $\wedge t u v. \llbracket \text{ide } i_0[t, u]; \text{con } u v \rrbracket \implies \text{con } i_0[t, u] i_0[v, u]$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *C.dom-inj*(1) *C.ideD*(2) *C.inj-arr-dom*(1)
arr-char con-char ide-char arr-resid-iff-con con-sym ide-implies-arr)

qed

interpretation *extensional-rts* $\langle \lambda h k. i_0[h, k] \rangle$

by *unfold-locales*

(*metis* *C.ideD*(2) *C.inj-sym* *C.pushout-commutes* *prfx-implies-con*
C.commutative-squareE *C.comp-cod-arr* *con-char ide-char*)

lemma *src-char*:

assumes *arr t*

shows *src t = C.dom t*

by (*metis* *C.ide-dom* *C.inj-arr-dom*(1) *arr-char arr-resid-iff-con* *assms*
con-imp-eq-src ide-char src-ide)

lemma *trg-char*:

assumes *arr t*

shows *trg t = C.cod t*

by (*metis* *assms* *C.inj-arr-self*(1) *resid-arr-self* *arr-char*)

lemma *seq-char*:

shows *seq t u \longleftrightarrow C.seq u t*

using *seq-def* *sources-char* *targets-char*_{WE} *arr-char* *src-char* *trg-char*

by *auto*

lemma *comp-char*:

shows *comp t u = u · t*

by (*metis* *C.cod-comp* *C.ext* *C.inj-arr-self*(1) *C.inj-prefix*(1–2)
arr-char *composable-imp-seq* *cong-reflexive* *comp-def* *null-char*
prfx-decomp *seq-char*)

sublocale *extensional-rts-with-composites* $\langle \lambda h k. i_0[h, k] \rangle$

by *unfold-locales*

(*metis* *C.not-arr-null* *composable-iff-comp-not-null* *comp-char* *null-char*
seq-char)

proposition *is-extensional-rts-with-composites*:

shows *extensional-rts-with-composites* $(\lambda h k. i_0[h, k])$

..

end

end

Chapter 3

RTS Constructions

This section develops several constructions on residuated transition systems, including the construction of: an RTS with no transitions (at an arbitrary type), an RTS with exactly one transition (at any type having at least two elements), free and fibered (binary) products of RTS's, and an exponential RTS. These constructions will be used in a subsequent section to construct a cartesian closed category having residuated transition systems as objects and simulations as arrows. The natural definitions of the product and exponential constructions on RTS's yield results at higher types than those of their arguments, but for a cartesian closed category we need versions of these constructions that produce results at the same type as their arguments. Since it is not possible in the case of the exponential to carry out such a construction within HOL (except for finite types), we make use of the “ZFC in HOL” axiomatic extension to HOL to obtain a type having suitable closure properties. The ZFC in HOL extension includes definitions of “smallness” for sets and types, and we show that each of the RTS constructions preserves smallness in a suitable sense. We then show that the small results (at higher type) of applying the constructions to small arguments can be mapped back, via functions injective on arrows, to isomorphic copies that “live” at the original argument type.

```
theory RTSConstructions  
imports Main Preliminaries ZFC-in-HOL.ZFC-Cardinals  
begin
```

3.1 Notation

Some of the theories in the HOL library that we depend on define global notation involving generic symbols that we would like to use here. It would be best if there were some way to import these theories without also having to import this notation, but for now the best we can do is to uninstall the

notation involving the symbols at issue.

```

no-notation Equipollence.eqpoll (infixl  $\approx$  50)
no-notation Equipollence.lepoll (infixl  $\lesssim$  50)
no-notation Lattices.sup-class.sup (infixl  $\sqcup$  65)
no-notation ZFC-Cardinals.cmult (infixl  $\langle \otimes \rangle$  70)

no-syntax -Tuple :: [V, Vs]  $\Rightarrow$  V ((-, / -))
no-syntax -hpattern :: [ptrn, patterns]  $\Rightarrow$  ptrn ((-, / -))

```

3.2 Some Constraints on a Type

3.2.1 Nondegenerate

We will call a type “nondegenerate” if it has at least two elements. This means that the type admits RTS’s with a non-empty set of arrows (after using one of the elements for the required null value).

```

locale nondegenerate =
fixes type :: 'a itself
assumes is-nondegenerate:  $\exists x y :: 'a. x \neq y$ 

```

3.2.2 Lifting

A type ‘a “admits lifting” if there is an injection from the type ‘a option to ‘a.

```

locale lifting =
fixes type :: 'a itself
assumes admits-lifting:  $\exists l :: 'a \text{ option} \Rightarrow 'a. \text{inj } l$ 
begin

definition some-lift :: 'a option  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where some-lift  $\equiv$  SOME l :: 'a option  $\Rightarrow$  'a. inj l

lemma inj-some-lift:
shows inj some-lift
using admits-lifting someI-ex [of  $\lambda l. \text{inj } l$ ] some-lift-def by fastforce

```

A type that admits lifting is obviously nondegenerate.

```

sublocale nondegenerate
proof (unfold-locales, intro exI)
show some-lift None  $\neq$  some-lift (Some (some-lift None))
using injD inj-some-lift by fastforce
qed

```

end

3.2.3 Pairing

A type $'a$ “admits pairing” if there exists an injective “pairing function” from $'a * 'a$ to $'a$. This allows us to encode pairs of elements of $'a$ without having to pass to a higher type.

```
locale pairing =
  fixes type :: 'a itself
  assumes admits-pairing:  $\exists p :: 'a * 'a \Rightarrow 'a. inj\ p$ 
  begin

    definition some-pair :: 'a * 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
    where some-pair  $\equiv SOME\ p :: 'a * 'a \Rightarrow 'a. inj\ p$ 

    abbreviation is-pair
    where is-pair  $x \equiv x \in range\ some-pair$ 

    definition first :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
    where first  $x \equiv fst\ (inv\ some-pair\ x)$ 

    definition second :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
    where second  $x = snd\ (inv\ some-pair\ x)$ 

    lemma inj-some-pair:
    shows inj some-pair
      using admits-pairing someI-ex [of  $\lambda p. inj\ p$ ] some-pair-def by fastforce

    lemma first-conv:
    shows first (some-pair (x, y)) = x
      using first-def inj-some-pair by auto

    lemma second-conv:
    shows second (some-pair (x, y)) = y
      using second-def inj-some-pair by auto

    lemma pair-conv:
    assumes is-pair x
    shows some-pair (first x, second x) = x
      using assms first-def second-def inj-some-pair by force

  end

  A type that is nondegenerate and admits pairing also admits lifting.

  locale nondegenerate-and-pairing =
    nondegenerate + pairing
  begin

    sublocale lifting type
  proof
    obtain  $c :: 'a$  where  $c: \forall x. c \neq some-pair\ (c, x)$ 
```

```

using is-nondegenerate inj-some-pair
by (metis (full-types) first-conv second-conv)
let ?f =  $\lambda$ None  $\Rightarrow$  c | Some x  $\Rightarrow$  some-pair (c, x)
have inj ?f
  unfolding inj-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) c option.case-eq-if option.collapse
      second-conv)
thus  $\exists$  l :: 'a option  $\Rightarrow$  'a. inj l
  by blast
qed

```

end

3.2.4 Exponentiation

In order to define the exponential $[A, B]$ of an RTS A and an RTS B at a type ' a without having to pass to a higher type, we need the type ' a to be large enough to embed the set of all extensional functions that have “small” sets as their domains. Here we are using the notion of “small” provided by the `ZFC_in_HOL` extension to HOL. Now, the standard Isabelle/HOL definition of “extensional” uses the specific chosen value `undefined` as the default value for an extensional function outside of its domain, but here we need to apply this concept in cases where the value could be something else (the null value for an RTS, in particular). So, we define a notion of a function that has at most one “popular value” in its range, where a popular value is one with a “large” preimage. If such a function in addition has a small range, then it in some sense has a small encoding, which consists of its graph restricted to its domain (which must then necessarily be small), paired with the single default value that it takes outside its domain.

abbreviation *popular-value* :: (' a \Rightarrow ' b) \Rightarrow ' b \Rightarrow *bool*
where *popular-value F y* \equiv \neg *small* { x . $F x = y$ }

definition *some-popular-value* :: (' a \Rightarrow ' b) \Rightarrow ' b
where *some-popular-value F* \equiv *SOME y. popular-value F y*

abbreviation *at-most-one-popular-value*
where *at-most-one-popular-value F* \equiv $\exists_{\leq 1} y. \text{popular-value } F y$

definition *small-function*
where *small-function F* \equiv *small (range F) \wedge at-most-one-popular-value F*

lemma *small-preimage-unpopular*:
fixes *F* :: ' a \Rightarrow ' b
assumes *small-function F*
shows *small* { x . $F x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F$ }
proof (*cases* $\exists y. \text{popular-value } F y$)
assume *1*: $\neg (\exists y. \text{popular-value } F y)$

```

have  $\bigwedge y. \text{small } \{x. F x = y\}$ 
  using 1 by blast
moreover have  $UNIV = (\bigcup_{y \in \text{range } F}. \{x. F x = y\})$ 
  by auto
ultimately have  $\text{small } (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})$ 
  using assms(1) small-function-def by (metis small-UN)
thus ?thesis
  using smaller-than-small by blast
next
assume 1:  $\exists y. \text{popular-value } F y$ 
have  $\text{popular-value } F$  (some-popular-value F)
  using 1 someI-ex [of  $\lambda y. \text{popular-value } F y$ ] some-popular-value-def by metis
hence 2:  $\bigwedge y. y \neq \text{some-popular-value } F \implies \text{small } \{x. F x = y\}$ 
  using assms
  unfolding small-function-def
  by (meson Uniq-D)
moreover have  $\{x. F x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\} =$ 
   $(\bigcup_{y \in \{y. y \in \text{range } F \wedge y \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\}}. \{x. F x = y\})$ 
  by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
  using assms
  unfolding small-function-def
  by auto
qed

```

A type $'a$ “admits exponentiation” if there is an injective function that maps each small function from $'a$ to $'a$ back into $'a$.

```

locale exponentiation =
fixes type :: 'a itself
assumes admits-exponentiation:
   $\exists e :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a. \text{inj-on } e \text{ (Collect small-function)}$ 
begin

```

```

definition some-inj :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where some-inj  $\equiv$  SOME  $e :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a. \text{inj-on } e \text{ (Collect small-function)}$ 

```

```

lemma inj-some-inj:
shows inj-on some-inj (Collect small-function)
  using some-inj-def admits-exponentiation
  someI-ex [of  $\lambda e :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a. \text{inj-on } e \text{ (Collect small-function)}$ ]
  unfolding small-function-def
  by presburger

```

```

definition app :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where app  $f \equiv \text{inv-into}$ 
   $\{F. \text{small } (\text{range } F) \wedge$ 
   $\text{at-most-one-popular-value } F\} \text{some-inj } f$ 

```

```

lemma app-some-inj:

```

assumes *small-function* F
shows $\text{app } (\text{some-inj } F) = F$
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Collect-cong* *assms* *inv-into-f-f* *app-def*
inj-some-inj *mem-Collect-eq* *small-function-def*)

lemma *some-inj-lam-app*:
assumes $f \in \text{some-inj}$ ‘*Collect small-function*
shows $\text{some-inj } (\lambda x. \text{app } f x) = f$
using *assms* *f-inv-into-f*
unfolding *small-function-def*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *app-def*)

end

context
begin

The type V (axiomatized in *ZFC-in-HOL.ZFC-in-HOL*) admits exponentiation. We show this by exhibiting a “small encoding” for small functions. We provide this fact as evidence of the nontriviality of the subsequent development, in the sense that if the existence of the type V is consistent with HOL, then the existence of infinite types satisfying the locale assumptions for *exponentiation* is also consistent with HOL.

interpretation *exponentiation* $\langle \text{TYPE}(V) \rangle$

proof

show $\exists e :: (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V. \text{inj-on } e$ (*Collect small-function*)

proof

let $?e = \lambda F. \text{vpair } (\text{some-popular-value } F)$
 $(\text{set } ((\lambda a. \text{vpair } a (F a)) \text{ ‘ } \{x. F x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\}))$

show $\text{inj-on } ?e$ (*Collect small-function*)

proof (*intro inj-onI*)

fix $F F' :: V \Rightarrow V$

assume $F: F \in \text{Collect small-function}$

assume $F': F' \in \text{Collect small-function}$

assume *eq*:

$\text{vpair } (\text{some-popular-value } F)$
 $(\text{set } ((\lambda a. \text{vpair } a (F a)) \text{ ‘ } \{x. F x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\})) =$
 $\text{vpair } (\text{some-popular-value } F')$
 $(\text{set } ((\lambda a. \text{vpair } a (F' a)) \text{ ‘ } \{x. F' x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F'\}))$

have 1: $\text{some-popular-value } F = \text{some-popular-value } F' \wedge$

$\text{set } ((\lambda a. \text{vpair } a (F a)) \text{ ‘ } \{x. F x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\}) =$
 $\text{set } ((\lambda a. \text{vpair } a (F' a)) \text{ ‘ } \{x. F' x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F'\})$

using *eq* **by** *blast*

have 2: $(\lambda a. \text{vpair } a (F a)) \text{ ‘ } \{x. F x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\} =$
 $(\lambda a. \text{vpair } a (F' a)) \text{ ‘ } \{x. F' x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F'\}$

proof –

have *small* $\{x. F x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\}$

using *F small-preimage-unpopular* **by** *blast*

hence *small* $((\lambda a. \text{vpair } a (F a)) \text{ ‘ } \{x. F x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\})$

```

    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis (full-types) 1 F' mem-Collect-eq replacement set-injective
        small-preimage-unpopular)
qed
show F = F'
proof
  fix x
  show F x = F' x
    using 1 2
    by (cases F x = some-popular-value F) force+
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma V-admits-exponentiation:
shows exponentiation TYPE(V)
..

end

```

3.2.5 Universe

locale *universe* = *nondegenerate-and-pairing* + *exponentiation*

The type V axiomatized in *ZFC-in-HOL.ZFC-in-HOL* is a universe.

```

context
begin

interpretation nondegenerate <TYPE(V)>
proof
  obtain f :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  V where f: inj f
    using inj-compose inj-ord-of-nat by blast
  show  $\exists x y :: V. x \neq y$ 
    by (metis Inl-Inr-iff)
qed

lemma V-is-nondegenerate:
shows nondegenerate TYPE(V)
..

interpretation pairing <TYPE(V)>
  apply unfold-locales
  using inj-on-vpair by blast

lemma V-admits-pairing:
shows pairing TYPE(V)
..

```

interpretation *exponentiation* $\langle TYPE(V) \rangle$
using *V-admits-exponentiation* **by** *blast*

interpretation *universe* $\langle TYPE(V) \rangle$
 ..

lemma *V-is-universe:*
shows *universe* $TYPE(V)$
 ..

end

3.3 Small RTS's

We will call an RTS “small” if its set of arrows is a small set.

locale *small-rts* =
rts +
assumes *small*: *small* (*Collect arr*)

lemma *isomorphic-to-small-rts-is-small-rts:*
assumes *small-rts A* **and** *isomorphic-rts A B*
shows *small-rts B*
proof –
interpret *A: small-rts A*
using *assms* **by** *blast*
interpret *B: rts B*
using *assms isomorphic-rts-def inverse-simulations-def* **by** *blast*
obtain *F G* **where** *FG: inverse-simulations A B F G*
using *assms isomorphic-rts-def* **by** *blast*
interpret *FG: inverse-simulations A B F G*
using *FG* **by** *blast*
show *small-rts B*
using *A.small FG.G.is-bijection-betw-arr-sets*
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*metis bij-betw-imp-surj-on replacement*)
qed

lemma *small-function-transformation:*
assumes *small-rts A* **and** *small-rts B* **and** *transformation A B F G T*
shows *small-function T*
proof –
interpret *A: small-rts A*
using *assms(1)* **by** *blast*
interpret *B: small-rts B*
using *assms(2)* **by** *blast*
interpret *T: transformation A B F G T*
using *assms(3)* **by** *blast*
have *1: range T* \subseteq *Collect B.arr* \cup $\{B.null\}$


```

    using T.extensional T.preserves-arr by blast
  show ?thesis
proof (unfold small-function-def, intro conjI)
  show small (range T)
    using assms(2) 1 B.small smaller-than-small by blast
  show at-most-one-popular-value T
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge v. \text{popular-value } T \ v \implies v = B.\text{null}$ 
  proof -
    fix v
    assume v: popular-value T v
    have  $v \neq B.\text{null} \implies v \in \text{range } T$ 
    using v
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) empty-Collect-eq rangeI small-empty)
    thus  $v = B.\text{null}$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) A.small Collect-mono T.extensional
        smaller-than-small v)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using Uniq-def by blast
  qed
qed
qed

```

We can't simply use the previous fact to prove the following, because our definition of transformation includes extensionality conditions that are not part of the definition of simulation. So, we have to repeat the proof.

```

lemma small-function-simulation:
assumes small-rts A and small-rts B and simulation A B F
shows small-function F
proof -
  interpret A: small-rts A
    using assms(1) by blast
  interpret B: small-rts B
    using assms(2) by blast
  interpret F: simulation A B F
    using assms(3) by blast
  have  $1: \text{range } F \subseteq \text{Collect } B.\text{arr} \cup \{B.\text{null}\}$ 
    using F.extensional F.preserves-reflects-arr by blast
  show ?thesis
proof (unfold small-function-def, intro conjI)
  show small (range F)
    using assms(2) 1 B.small smaller-than-small by blast
  show at-most-one-popular-value F
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge v. \text{popular-value } F \ v \implies v = B.\text{null}$ 
  proof -
    fix v
    assume v: popular-value F v

```

```

have  $v \neq B.null \implies v \in \text{range } F$ 
  using  $v$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) empty-Collect-eq rangeI small-empty)
thus  $v = B.null$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) A.small Collect-mono F.extensional
      smaller-than-small v)
qed
thus ?thesis
  using Uniq-def by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma small-function-resid:
fixes  $A :: 'a \text{ resid}$ 
assumes small-rts A
shows small-function A
and  $\bigwedge t. \text{small-function } (A \ t)$ 
proof -
  interpret  $A: \text{small-rts } A$ 
  using assms by blast
  show 1: small-function A
  proof (unfold small-function-def, intro conjI)
  show small (range A)
  proof -
    have  $\text{range } A \subseteq A \text{ ' Collect } A.arr \cup A \text{ ' } \{x. \neg A.arr \ x\}$ 
      by blast
    moreover have small (A ' Collect A.arr)
      using A.small by blast
    moreover have small (A ' {x. ¬ A.arr x})
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge x. \neg A.arr \ x \implies A \ x = (\lambda x. A.null)$ 
      using A.con-implies-arr(1) by blast
    hence  $A \text{ ' } \{x. \neg A.arr \ x\} \subseteq \{\lambda x. A.null\}$ 
      by blast
    thus ?thesis
      by (meson small-empty small-insert smaller-than-small)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (meson small-Un smaller-than-small)
  qed
show at-most-one-popular-value A
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge v. \text{popular-value } A \ v \implies v \in A \text{ ' } \{x. \neg A.arr \ x\}$ 
  proof -
    fix  $v$ 
    assume  $v: \text{popular-value } A \ v$ 
    have  $\neg \text{small } \{x. \neg A.arr \ x \wedge A \ x = v\}$ 
  proof -

```

have $\neg \text{small} (\{x. A x = v\} - \{x. A.\text{arr } x \wedge A x = v\})$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) A.small Collect-mono*
Un-Diff-cancel small-Un smaller-than-small sup-ge2 v)
moreover have $\{x. A x = v\} - \{x. A.\text{arr } x \wedge A x = v\} =$
 $\{x. \neg A.\text{arr } x \wedge A x = v\}$
by *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *metis*
qed
hence $v \in A \text{ ' } \{x. \neg A.\text{arr } x \wedge A x = v\}$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) empty-Collect-eq image-eqI*
mem-Collect-eq small-empty)
thus $v \in A \text{ ' } \{x. \neg A.\text{arr } x\}$ **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $A \text{ ' } \{x. \neg A.\text{arr } x\} \subseteq \{\lambda x. A.\text{null}\}$
proof –
have $\bigwedge x. \neg A.\text{arr } x \implies A x = (\lambda x. A.\text{null})$
using *A.con-implies-arr(1)* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Uniq-def empty-iff singletonD*
subset-singleton-iff)
qed
qed
show 2: $\bigwedge t. \text{small-function } (A t)$
proof –
fix t
show *small-function* $(A t)$
proof (*unfold small-function-def, intro conjI*)
show *small* $(\text{range } (A t))$
proof –
have $\text{range } (A t) \subseteq \text{Collect } A.\text{arr} \cup \{A.\text{null}\}$
using *A.arr-resid* **by** *blast*
moreover have *small* $(\text{Collect } A.\text{arr} \cup \{A.\text{null}\})$
using *A.small* **by** *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *smaller-than-small* **by** *blast*
qed
show *at-most-one-popular-value* $(A t)$
proof –
have $\bigwedge v. \text{popular-value } (A t) v \implies v = A.\text{null}$
proof –
fix v
assume $v: \text{popular-value } (A t) v$
have $\neg \text{small } \{u. A t u = v\}$
using v **by** *blast*
hence $\neg (\{u. A t u = v\} \subseteq \text{Collect } A.\text{arr})$
using *A.small smaller-than-small* **by** *blast*
hence $\exists u. A t u = v \wedge \neg A.\text{arr } u$

```

    by blast
  thus  $v = A.null$ 
    using  $A.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr(2)$  by blast
qed
  thus ?thesis
    using  $Uniq\text{-}def$  by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

context exponentiation
begin

```

```

lemma small-function-some-inj-resid:
fixes  $A :: 'a\ resid$ 
assumes small-rts  $A$ 
shows small-function  $(\lambda t. some\text{-}inj (A t))$ 
proof -
  interpret  $A: small\text{-}rts A$ 
  using assms by blast
  show small-function  $(\lambda t. some\text{-}inj (A t))$ 
  proof (unfold small-function-def, intro conjI)
    show small  $(range (\lambda t. some\text{-}inj (A t)))$ 
    proof -
      have  $range (\lambda t. some\text{-}inj (A t)) = some\text{-}inj \text{' } range (\lambda t. A t)$ 
        by auto
      moreover have small ...
        using assms small-function-resid(1)
        by (metis replacement small-function-def)
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  show at-most-one-popular-value  $(\lambda t. some\text{-}inj (A t))$ 
  proof -
    have  $\exists: \bigwedge t v. popular\text{-}value (\lambda t. some\text{-}inj (A t)) v$ 
       $\implies v \in some\text{-}inj \text{' } Collect (popular\text{-}value A)$ 
    proof -
      fix  $t v$ 
      assume  $v: popular\text{-}value (\lambda t. some\text{-}inj (A t)) v$ 
      have  $\neg small \{t. A t = inv\text{-}into (Collect\ small\text{-}function) some\text{-}inj v\}$ 
    proof -
      have  $1: \bigwedge t. A.arr t \iff some\text{-}inj (A t) \neq v$ 
      proof
        have  $2: \bigwedge t. A.arr t \iff A t \neq (\lambda u. A.null)$ 
          using  $A.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr(1)$  by fastforce
        have  $\exists: v = some\text{-}inj (\lambda u. A.null)$ 
          using  $v 2$ 
          by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) A.small Collect-mono)
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

      smaller-than-small)
    show  $\bigwedge t. \text{some-inj } (A \ t) \neq v \implies A.\text{arr } t$ 
      using 2 3 by force
    show  $\bigwedge t. A.\text{arr } t \implies \text{some-inj } (A \ t) \neq v$ 
      using assms 2 3 inj-some-inj app-some-inj small-function-resid(2)
      by (metis A.not-arr-null)
  qed
  have  $\{t. A \ t = \text{inv-into } (\text{Collect small-function}) \ \text{some-inj } v\} =$ 
     $\{t. \neg A.\text{arr } t\}$ 
    using 1
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.not-arr-null CollectD CollectI
      app-some-inj assms f-inv-into-f image-eqI inv-into-into
      small-function-resid(2))
  thus ?thesis
    using v 1 by auto
  qed
  hence  $\text{inv-into } (\text{Collect small-function}) \ \text{some-inj } v$ 
     $\in \text{Collect } (\text{popular-value } A)$ 
    by auto
  moreover have some-inj
     $(\text{inv-into } (\text{Collect small-function}) \ \text{some-inj } v) = v$ 
    using assms v inj-some-inj
      f-inv-into-f [of v some-inj Collect small-function]
    by (metis (mono-tags) small-function-resid(2) empty-Collect-eq
      inv-into-f-f mem-Collect-eq small-empty)
  ultimately show  $v \in \text{some-inj } ' \text{Collect } (\text{popular-value } A)$ 
    by force
  qed
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix u v
    assume u: popular-value  $(\lambda x. \text{some-inj } (A \ x)) \ u$ 
    assume v: popular-value  $(\lambda x. \text{some-inj } (A \ x)) \ v$ 
    obtain f where f: popular-value  $A \ f \wedge \text{some-inj } f = u$ 
      using u 3 by blast
    obtain g where g: popular-value  $A \ g \wedge \text{some-inj } g = v$ 
      using v 3 by blast
    have  $f = g$ 
      using assms f g small-function-resid(1) Uniq-D
      unfolding small-function-def
      by auto fastforce
    thus  $u = v$ 
      using f g by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  fun some-inj-resid :: 'a resid  $\Rightarrow$  'a

```

where $\text{some-inj-resid } A = (\text{some-inj } (\lambda t. \text{some-inj } (A t)))$

lemma *inj-on-some-inj-resid*:

shows *inj-on some-inj-resid* $\{A :: 'a \text{ resid. small-rts } A\}$

proof

fix $A B :: 'a \text{ resid}$

assume $A: A \in \{A. \text{small-rts } A\}$ **and** $B: B \in \{B. \text{small-rts } B\}$

assume $eq: \text{some-inj-resid } A = \text{some-inj-resid } B$

interpret $A: \text{small-rts } A$

using A **by** *blast*

interpret $B: \text{small-rts } B$

using B **by** *blast*

show $A = B$

proof –

have $\text{some-inj } (\lambda t. \text{some-inj } (A t)) = \text{some-inj } (\lambda t. \text{some-inj } (B t))$

using $A B eq$ **by** *simp*

moreover have *small-function* $(\lambda t. \text{some-inj } (A t))$

using A *small-function-some-inj-resid* **by** *auto*

moreover have *small-function* $(\lambda t. \text{some-inj } (B t))$

using B *small-function-some-inj-resid* **by** *auto*

ultimately have $(\lambda t. \text{some-inj } (A t)) = (\lambda t. \text{some-inj } (B t))$

using $A B$ *inj-some-inj*

by (*simp add: inj-onD*)

hence $\bigwedge t. A t = B t$

using $A B$ *inj-some-inj small-function-resid(2)*

by (*metis app-some-inj mem-Collect-eq*)

thus $A = B$ **by** *blast*

qed

qed

end

3.4 Injective Images of RTS's

Here we show that the image of an RTS A at type $'a$, under a function from $'a$ to $'b$ that is injective on the set of arrows, is an RTS at type $'b$ that is isomorphic to A . We will use this, together with the universe assumptions, to obtain isomorphic images, of constructions such as product and exponential RTS, that yield results that “live” at the same type as their arguments.

locale *inj-image-rts* =

$A: \text{rts } A$

for $map :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$

and $A :: 'a \text{ resid}$ (**infix** \setminus_A 70) +

assumes *inj-map*: *inj-on map* (*Collect* $A.\text{arr} \cup \{A.\text{null}\}$)

begin

notation $A.\text{con}$ (**infix** \frown_A 50)

notation $A.\text{prfx}$ (**infix** \lesssim_A 50)

notation $A.cong$ (**infix** \sim_A 50)

abbreviation $Null$

where $Null \equiv map\ A.null$

abbreviation Arr

where $Arr\ t \equiv t \in map\ 'Collect\ A.arr$

abbreviation map'

where $map'\ t \equiv inv-into\ (Collect\ A.arr)\ map\ t$

definition $resid :: 'b\ resid$ (**infix** \setminus 70)

where $t \setminus u = (if\ Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u\ then\ map\ (map'\ t \setminus_A\ map'\ u)\ else\ Null)$

lemma $inj-map'$:

shows $inj-on\ map\ (Collect\ A.arr)$

using $inj-map$ **by** $auto$

lemma $map-null$:

shows $map\ A.null \notin map\ 'Collect\ A.arr$

using $inj-map$ **by** $simp$

lemma $map'-map$ [$simp$]:

assumes $A.arr\ t$

shows $map'\ (map\ t) = t$

using $assms\ inj-map$ **by** $simp$

lemma $map-map'$ [$simp$]:

assumes $Arr\ t$

shows $map\ (map'\ t) = t$

using $assms\ f-inv-into-f$ **by** $metis$

sublocale $ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma\ resid$

by ($metis\ ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.intro\ map-null\ resid-def$)

lemma $null-char$:

shows $null = Null$

by ($metis\ map-null\ null-is-zero(2)\ resid-def$)

sublocale $residuation\ resid$

proof

show $\bigwedge t\ u.\ t \setminus u \neq null \implies u \setminus t \neq null$

unfolding $resid-def\ null-char$

by ($metis\ A.arr-resid\ A.conI\ A.con-sym\ imageI\ map-null\ mem-Collect-eq$)

show $\bigwedge t\ u.\ t \setminus u \neq null \implies (t \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) \neq null$

unfolding $resid-def\ null-char\ inj-map$

apply $simp$

by ($metis\ CollectI\ A.arr-resid\ A.conI\ A.con-imp-arr-resid\ imageI\ map'-map\ map-null$)

show $\bigwedge v t u. (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \neq \text{null}$
 $\implies (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

proof –
fix $t u v$
assume $vt\text{-}ut: (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \neq \text{null}$
have $1: \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Arr } u \wedge \text{Arr } v$
using $vt\text{-}ut$
by (*metis map-null null-char resid-def*)
have $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = \text{map } ((\text{map}' v \setminus_A \text{map}' t) \setminus_A (\text{map}' u \setminus_A \text{map}' t))$
using $1 \text{ null-char resid-def } vt\text{-}ut$
apply $\text{auto}[1]$
by (*metis A.arr-resid A.conI map'-map map-null vt-ut*)
also have
 $\dots = \text{map } ((\text{map}' v \setminus_A \text{map}' u) \setminus_A (\text{map}' t \setminus_A \text{map}' u))$
using $A.\text{cube}$ **by** simp
also have $\dots = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$
using $1 \text{ null-char resid-def}$
apply $\text{auto}[1]$
apply (*metis CollectI A.conI image-eqI map'-map map-null A.arr-resid*)
apply (*metis A.conI A.con-implies-arr(1) image-eqI mem-Collect-eq*)
by (*metis CollectI A.conI A.con-implies-arr(2) image-eqI*)
finally show $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$
by blast

qed
qed

notation con (infix \frown 50)

lemma con-char :
shows $t \frown u \iff \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Arr } u \wedge \text{map}' t \frown_A \text{map}' u$
using $\text{null-char con-def inj-map resid-def A.con-def}$
by (*metis (full-types) image-eqI map-null mem-Collect-eq A.arr-resid*)

lemma arr-char :
shows $\text{arr } t \iff \text{Arr } t$
using $\text{arr-def con-char inj-map}$ **by** auto

lemma ide-char_{II} :
shows $\text{ide } t \iff \text{Arr } t \wedge A.\text{ide } (\text{map}' t)$
unfolding $\text{ide-def resid-def con-char}$
using $\text{inj-map}' f\text{-inv-into-f}$
by (*metis A.ide-def inv-into-f-f mem-Collect-eq A.arr-resid*)

lemma trg-char :
shows $\text{trg } t = (\text{if } \text{Arr } t \text{ then } \text{map } (A.\text{trg } (\text{map}' t)) \text{ else } \text{null})$
unfolding $\text{trg-def resid-def A.trg-def null-char}$ **by** simp

sublocale rts resid

proof


```

show  $\bigwedge t. \text{arr } t \implies \text{ide } (\text{trg } t)$ 
  using ide-charII inj-map trg-char trg-def by fastforce
show  $1: \bigwedge a t. \llbracket \text{ide } a; t \frown a \rrbracket \implies t \setminus a = t$ 
  by (simp add: A.resid-arr-ide con-char f-inv-into-f ide-charII resid-def)
show  $\bigwedge a t. \llbracket \text{ide } a; a \frown t \rrbracket \implies \text{ide } (a \setminus t)$ 
  by (metis 1 arrE arr-resid con-sym cube ideE ideI)
show  $\bigwedge t u. t \frown u \implies \exists a. \text{ide } a \wedge a \frown t \wedge a \frown u$ 
  by (metis (full-types) CollectI A.con-imp-coinitial-ax ide-charII image-eqI inj-image-rts.con-char inj-image-rts-axioms map'-map A.ide-implies-arr)
show  $\bigwedge t u v. \llbracket \text{ide } (t \setminus u); u \frown v \rrbracket \implies t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u$ 
proof –
  fix t u v
  assume ide: ide (t \setminus u)
  assume con: u \frown v
  have Arr t \wedge Arr u \wedge Arr v
    using ide con ide-charII con-char
    by (metis arr-resid-iff-con ide-implies-arr)
  moreover have A.arr (map' t \setminus_A map' u)
    using ide ide-charII resid-def
    by (meson A.arr-resid arr-resid-iff-con con-char ide-implies-arr)
  moreover have A.arr (map' v \setminus_A map' u)
    using con con-char
    by (meson A.arr-resid A.con-sym)
  ultimately show t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u
    using ide con ide-charII con-char resid-def A.con-target by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

notation prfx (infix  $\lesssim 50$ )
notation cong (infix  $\sim 50$ )

```

The function *map* and its inverse (both suitably extensionalized) determine an isomorphism between *A* and its image.

```

abbreviation mapext
where mapext t  $\equiv$  if A.arr t then map t else null

```

```

abbreviation map'ext
where map'ext t  $\equiv$  if Arr t then map' t else A.null

```

```

sublocale Map: simulation A resid mapext
  using con-char A.con-implies-arr resid-def
  by unfold-locales auto

```

```

sublocale Map': simulation resid A map'ext
  using arr-char con-char resid-def
  by unfold-locales auto

```

```

sublocale inverse-simulations resid A mapext map'ext

```

using *arr-char map-null null-char*
by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma *invertible-simulation-map*:
shows *invertible-simulation A resid map_{ext}*
using *inverse-simulations-axioms inverse-simulations-sym invertible-simulation-def'*
by *fast*

lemma *invertible-simulation-map'*:
shows *invertible-simulation resid A map'_{ext}*
using *inverse-simulations-axioms inverse-simulations-sym invertible-simulation-def'*
by *fast*

lemma *inj-on-map*:
shows *inj-on map_{ext} (Collect A.arr)*
using *induce-bij-betw-arr-sets bij-betw-def by blast*

lemma *range-map'*:
shows *map'_{ext} ' (Collect arr) = Collect A.arr*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) bij-betw-imp-surj-on*
inverse-simulations.induce-bij-betw-arr-sets
inverse-simulations-axioms inverse-simulations-sym)

lemma *cong-char_{II}*:
shows $t \sim u \iff \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Arr } u \wedge \text{map}' t \sim_A \text{map}' u$
by (*metis (full-types) Map'.preserves-resid Map'.preserves-ide con-def*
ide-char_{II} not-arr-null null-char resid-def residuation.ide-implies-arr
residuation-axioms)

lemma *preserves-weakly-extensional-rts*:
assumes *weakly-extensional-rts A*
shows *weakly-extensional-rts resid*
by (*metis assms cong-char_{II} ide-char_{II} inv-into-injective rts-axioms*
weakly-extensional-rts.intro weakly-extensional-rts.weak-extensionality
weakly-extensional-rts-axioms.intro)

lemma *preserves-extensional-rts*:
assumes *extensional-rts A*
shows *extensional-rts resid*
proof
interpret *A: extensional-rts A*
using *assms by blast*
show $\bigwedge t u. t \sim u \implies t = u$
using *cong-char_{II} ide-char_{II}*
by (*meson A.extensional inv-into-injective*)
qed

lemma *preserves-reflects-small-rts*:
shows *small-rts A \iff small-rts resid*

```

using induce-bij-betw-arr-sets
by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.rts-axioms bij-betw-def rts-axioms
      small-image-iff small-rts.intro small-rts.small small-rts-axioms-def)

end

lemma inj-image-rts-comp:
fixes  $F :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  and  $G :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c$ 
assumes inj  $F$  and inj  $G$ 
assumes rts  $X$ 
shows inj-image-rts.resid  $(G \circ F) X =$ 
      inj-image-rts.resid  $G$  (inj-image-rts.resid  $F X$ )
proof -
  interpret  $X$ : rts  $X$ 
  using assms(3) by blast
  interpret  $FX$ : inj-image-rts  $F X$ 
  by (metis  $X$ .rts-axioms assms(1)
      inj-image-rts-axioms-def inj-image-rts-def inj-on-subset top-greatest)
  interpret  $GFX$ : inj-image-rts  $G$   $FX$ .resid
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $FX$ .rts-axioms assms(2) inj-def
      inj-image-rts-axioms-def inj-image-rts-def inj-onI)
  interpret  $GoF-X$ : inj-image-rts  $\langle G \circ F \rangle X$ 
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)  $X$ .rts-axioms
      assms(1-2) inj-compose inj-image-rts-axioms-def
      inj-image-rts-def inj-on-subset top-greatest)
  show  $GoF-X$ .resid =  $GFX$ .resid
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge t u. GoF-X$ .resid  $t u = GFX$ .resid  $t u$ 
    unfolding  $GoF-X$ .resid-def  $GFX$ .resid-def
    using  $FX$ .arr-char  $FX$ .null-char  $FX$ .resid-def  $GoF-X$ .map'-map by auto
    thus ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed

lemma inj-image-rts-map-comp:
fixes  $F :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  and  $G :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c$ 
assumes inj  $F$  and inj  $G$ 
assumes rts  $X$ 
shows inj-image-rts.mapext  $(G \circ F) X =$ 
      inj-image-rts.mapext  $G$  (inj-image-rts.resid  $F X$ )  $\circ$ 
      (inj-image-rts.mapext  $F X$ )
and inj-image-rts.map'ext  $(G \circ F) X =$ 
      inj-image-rts.map'ext  $F X$   $\circ$ 
      inj-image-rts.map'ext  $G$  (inj-image-rts.resid  $F X$ )
proof -
  interpret  $X$ : rts  $X$ 
  using assms(3) by blast
  interpret  $FX$ : inj-image-rts  $F X$ 
  by (metis  $X$ .rts-axioms assms(1) inj-image-rts-axioms-def inj-image-rts-def

```

```

      inj-on-subset top-greatest)
interpret GFX: inj-image-rts G FX.resid
  by (metis FX.rts-axioms Int-UNIV-right assms(2) inj-image-rts.intro
      inj-image-rts-axioms.intro inj-on-Int)
interpret GoF-X: inj-image-rts ⟨G o F⟩ X
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) X.rts-axioms assms(1-2) inj-compose
      inj-image-rts-axioms-def inj-image-rts-def inj-on-subset top-greatest)
show GoF-X.mapext = GFX.mapext o FX.mapext
  using FX.null-char GFX.null-char GoF-X.null-char by fastforce
show GoF-X.map'ext = FX.map'ext o GFX.map'ext
  using FX.arr-char FX.not-arr-null GoF-X.map'-map by fastforce
qed

```

3.5 Empty RTS

For any type, there exists an empty RTS having that type as its arrow type. Since types in HOL are nonempty, we may use the guaranteed element *undefined* as the null value.

```

locale empty-rts
begin

  definition resid :: 'e resid
  where resid t u = undefined

  sublocale ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma resid
    by unfold-locales (metis resid-def)

  lemma null-char:
  shows null = undefined
    by (metis null-is-zero(1) resid-def)

  sublocale residuation resid
    apply unfold-locales
    by (metis resid-def)+

  lemma arr-char:
  shows arr t  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
    using null-char resid-def
    by (metis arrE conE)

  lemma ide-charERTS:
  shows ide t  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
    using arr-char by force

  lemma con-char:
  shows con t u  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
    by (simp add: con-def null-char resid-def)

```

lemma *trg-char*:
shows *trg t = null*
by (*simp add: null-char resid-def trg-def*)

sublocale *rts resid*
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*metis arr-char*)
by (*metis con-char*)+

lemma *cong-char_{ERTS}*:
shows *cong t u \longleftrightarrow False*
by (*simp add: ide-char_{ERTS}*)

sublocale *small-rts resid*
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*metis Collect-empty-eq arr-char small-empty*)

lemma *is-small-rts*:
shows *small-rts resid*
..

sublocale *extensional-rts resid*
by *unfold-locales (simp add: cong-char_{ERTS})*

lemma *is-extensional-rts*:
shows *extensional-rts resid*
..

lemma *src-char*:
shows *src t = null*
by (*simp add: arr-char src-def*)

lemma *prfx-char*:
shows *prfx t u \longleftrightarrow False*
using *ide-char_{ERTS}* **by** *metis*

lemma *composite-of-char*:
shows *composite-of t u v \longleftrightarrow False*
using *composite-of-def prfx-char* **by** *metis*

lemma *composable-char*:
shows *composable t u \longleftrightarrow False*
using *composable-def composite-of-char* **by** *metis*

lemma *seq-char*:
shows *seq t u \longleftrightarrow False*
using *arr-char* **by** (*metis seqE*)

sublocale *rts-with-composites resid*

by *unfold-locales (simp add: composable-char seq-char)*

lemma *is-rts-with-composites:*
shows *rts-with-composites resid*
..

sublocale *extensional-rts-with-composites resid ..*

lemma *is-extensional-rts-with-composites:*
shows *extensional-rts-with-composites resid*
..

lemma *comp-char:*
shows *comp t u = null*
by (*meson composable-char composable-iff-comp-not-null*)

There is a unique simulation from an empty RTS to any other RTS.

definition *initiator* :: *'e resid \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'e*
where *initiator A \equiv (λt . ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null A)*

lemma *initiator-is-simulation:*
assumes *rts A*
shows *simulation resid A (initiator A)*
using *assms initiator-def con-char*
by (*metis rts-axioms simulation-axioms.intro simulation-def*)

lemma *universality:*
assumes *rts A*
shows $\exists! F$. *simulation resid A F*
proof
show *simulation resid A (initiator A)*
using *assms initiator-is-simulation by blast*
show $\bigwedge F$. *simulation resid A F \implies F = initiator A*
by (*metis HOL.ext initiator-def arr-char simulation.extensional*)
qed

end

3.6 One-Transition RTS

For any type having at least two elements, there exists a one-transition RTS having that type as its arrow type. We use the already-distinguished element *undefined* as the null value and some value distinct from *undefined* as the single transition.

locale *one-arr-rts =*
 nondegenerate arr-type
 for *arr-type* :: *'t itself*
begin

definition *the-arr* :: 't
where *the-arr* \equiv *SOME* t. t \neq undefined

definition *resid* :: 't *resid* (**infix** _1 70)
where *resid* t u = (if t = *the-arr* \wedge u = *the-arr* then *the-arr* else undefined)

sublocale *ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma resid*
using *resid-def*
by *unfold-locales metis*

lemma *null-char*:
shows *null* = undefined
by (*metis null-is-zero(1) resid-def*)

sublocale *residuation resid*
using *null-char resid-def*
by *unfold-locales metis+*

notation *con* (**infix** \frown_1 50)

lemma *arr-char*:
shows *arr* t \longleftrightarrow t = *the-arr*
using *null-char resid-def is-nondegenerate*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) arr-def con-def someI-ex the-arr-def*)

lemma *ide-char*_{1RTS}:
shows *ide* t \longleftrightarrow t = *the-arr*
using *arr-char ide-def resid-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *con-char*:
shows *con* t u \longleftrightarrow *arr* t \wedge *arr* u
by (*metis arr-char con-arr-self con-implies-arr(2) con-sym*)

lemma *trg-char*:
shows *trg* t = (if *arr* t then t else *null*)
by (*simp add: arr-char null-char resid-def trg-def*)

sublocale *rts resid*
using *con-char arr-char ide-char*_{1RTS} *trg-char ideE*
by *unfold-locales metis+*

notation *prfx* (**infix** \lesssim_1 50)
notation *cong* (**infix** \sim_1 50)

lemma *cong-char*_{1RTS}:
shows t \lesssim_1 u \longleftrightarrow *arr* t \wedge *arr* u
using *arr-char ide-char*_{1RTS} *not-ide-null null-char resid-def* **by** *force*

sublocale *extensional-rts resid*
using *arr-char cong-char_{1RTS}*
by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma *is-extensional-rts:*
shows *extensional-rts resid*
 ..

lemma *src-char:*
shows *src t = (if t = the-arr then t else null)*
using *arr-char ide-char_{1RTS} src-def src-ide* **by** *presburger*

lemma *prfx-char:*
shows $t \lesssim_1 u \iff arr\ t \wedge arr\ u$
by *(metis cong-char_{1RTS})*

lemma *composite-of-char:*
shows $composite\ of\ t\ u\ v \iff arr\ t \wedge arr\ u \wedge arr\ v$
using *composite-of-def*
by *(metis composite-of-ide-self prfx-char)*

lemma *composable-char:*
shows $composable\ t\ u \iff arr\ t \wedge arr\ u$
using *composable-def composite-of-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *seq-char:*
shows $seq\ t\ u \iff arr\ t \wedge arr\ u$
using *arr-char composable-char composable-imp-seq* **by** *auto*

sublocale *rts-with-composites resid*
by *unfold-locales (simp add: composable-char seq-char)*

lemma *is-rts-with-composites:*
shows *rts-with-composites resid*
 ..

sublocale *extensional-rts-with-composites resid ..*

lemma *is-extensional-rts-with-composites:*
shows *extensional-rts-with-composites resid*
 ..

sublocale *small-rts resid*
by *(simp add: Collect-cong arr-char rts-axioms small-rts.intro small-rts-axioms.intro)*

lemma *is-small-rts:*
shows *small-rts resid*
 ..

lemma *comp-char*:
shows $\text{comp } t \ u = (\text{if } \text{arr } t \wedge \text{arr } u \text{ then } \text{the-arr} \text{ else } \text{null})$
using *arr-char composable-iff-comp-not-null trg-char* **by** *auto*

For an arbitrary RTS A , there is a unique simulation from A to the one-transition RTS.

definition *terminator* $:: 'a \text{ resid} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 't$
where *terminator* $A \equiv (\lambda t. \text{if } \text{residuation.arr } A \ t \text{ then } \text{the-arr} \text{ else } \text{null})$

lemma *terminator-is-simulation*:
assumes *rts* A
shows *simulation* $A \text{ resid } (\text{terminator } A)$
proof –
interpret $A: \text{rts } A$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
unfolding *terminator-def*
using *assms ide-char_{1RTS} ideE A.con-implies-arr*
apply (*unfold-locales*)
by *auto metis*

qed

lemma *universality*:
assumes *rts* A
shows $\exists! F. \text{simulation } A \text{ resid } F$
proof
show *simulation* $A \text{ resid } (\text{terminator } A)$
using *assms terminator-is-simulation* **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge F. \text{simulation } A \text{ resid } F \Longrightarrow F = \text{terminator } A$
unfolding *terminator-def*
by (*meson arr-char simulation.extensional*
simulation.preserves-reflects-arr)

qed

A “global transition” of an RTS A is a transformation from the one-arrow RTS to A . An important fact is that equality of simulations and of transformations is determined by their compositions with global transitions.

lemma *eq-simulation-iff*:
assumes *weakly-extensional-rts* A
and *simulation* $A \ B \ F$ **and** *simulation* $A \ B \ G$
shows $F = G \iff$
 $(\forall Q \ R \ T. \text{transformation resid } A \ Q \ R \ T \longrightarrow F \circ T = G \circ T)$

proof

interpret $A: \text{weakly-extensional-rts } A$
using *assms(1) simulation-def* **by** *blast*
interpret $F: \text{simulation } A \ B \ F$
using *assms(2)* **by** *blast*
interpret $G: \text{simulation } A \ B \ G$

using *assms(3)* **by** *blast*
show $F = G \implies$
 $\forall Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \longrightarrow F \circ T = G \circ T$
by *blast*
show $\forall Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \longrightarrow F \circ T = G \circ T$
 $\implies F = G$
proof –
have $F \neq G \implies$
 $(\exists Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \wedge F \circ T \neq G \circ T)$
proof –
assume $1: F \neq G$
obtain t **where** $t: A.\text{arr } t \wedge F t \neq G t$
using 1 *F.extensional G.extensional* **by** *fastforce*
interpret $T: \text{constant-transformation resid } A t$
using t **by** *unfold-locales blast*
have *transformation resid A T.F T.G T.map*
using *T.transformation-axioms* **by** *simp*
moreover have $F \circ T.\text{map} \neq G \circ T.\text{map}$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) arr-char comp-apply t*)
ultimately show $\exists Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \wedge$
 $F \circ T \neq G \circ T$
by *blast*
qed
thus $\forall Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \longrightarrow F \circ T = G \circ T$
 $\implies F = G$
by *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *eq-transformation-iff*:

assumes *weakly-extensional-rtss A and weakly-extensional-rtss B*
and *transformation A B F G U and transformation A B F G V*

shows $U = V \iff$

$(\forall Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \longrightarrow U \circ T = V \circ T)$

proof

interpret $A: \text{weakly-extensional-rtss } A$

using *assms(1) simulation-def* **by** *blast*

interpret $B: \text{weakly-extensional-rtss } B$

using *assms(2) simulation-def* **by** *blast*

interpret $U: \text{transformation } A B F G U$

using *assms(3)* **by** *blast*

interpret $V: \text{transformation } A B F G V$

using *assms(4)* **by** *blast*

show $U = V \implies$

$\forall Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \longrightarrow U \circ T = V \circ T$

by *blast*

show $\forall Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \longrightarrow U \circ T = V \circ T$

$\implies U = V$

proof –

```

have  $U \neq V \implies$ 
  ( $\exists Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \wedge U \circ T \neq V \circ T$ )
proof -
  assume 1:  $U \neq V$ 
  obtain  $t$  where  $t: A.\text{arr } t \wedge U t \neq V t$ 
    using 1  $U.\text{extensional } V.\text{extensional}$  by fastforce
  interpret  $T: \text{constant-transformation resid } A t$ 
    using  $t$  by unfold-locales blast
  have  $\text{transformation resid } A T.F T.G T.\text{map}$ 
    using  $T.\text{transformation-axioms}$  by simp
  moreover have  $U \circ T.\text{map} \neq V \circ T.\text{map}$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) arr-char comp-apply t)
  ultimately show  $\exists Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \wedge$ 
     $U \circ T \neq V \circ T$ 
    by blast
  qed
  thus  $\forall Q R T. \text{transformation resid } A Q R T \longrightarrow U \circ T = V \circ T$ 
     $\implies U = V$ 
    by blast
  qed
qed
end

```

3.7 Sub-RTS

A sub-RTS of an RTS R may be determined by specifying a subset of the transitions of R that is closed under residuation and in addition includes some common source for every consistent pair of transitions contained in it.

```

locale sub-rts =
   $R: \text{rts } R$ 
for  $R :: 'a \text{ resid}$  (infix  $\backslash_R$  70)
and  $\text{Arr} :: 'a \implies \text{bool} +$ 
assumes inclusion:  $\text{Arr } t \implies R.\text{arr } t$ 
and resid-closed:  $\llbracket \text{Arr } t; \text{Arr } u; R.\text{con } t u \rrbracket \implies \text{Arr } (t \backslash_R u)$ 
and enough-sources:  $\llbracket \text{Arr } t; \text{Arr } u; R.\text{con } t u \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\exists a. \text{Arr } a \wedge a \in R.\text{sources } t \wedge a \in R.\text{sources } u$ 
begin

  definition resid  $:: 'a \text{ resid}$  (infix  $\backslash$  70)
  where  $\text{resid } t u \equiv \text{if } \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Arr } u \wedge R.\text{con } t u \text{ then } t \backslash_R u \text{ else } R.\text{null}$ 

  sublocale ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma resid
    using  $R.\text{not-con-null}(2) R.\text{null-is-zero}(1) \text{resid-def}$ 
    by unfold-locales metis

  lemma null-char:
  shows  $\text{null} = R.\text{null}$ 

```

by (*metis* *R.not-arr-null inclusion null-eqI resid-def*)

sublocale *residuation resid*
using *R.conE R.con-sym R.not-con-null(1) null-is-zero(1) resid-def*
apply *unfold-locales*
apply *metis*
apply (*metis R.con-def R.con-imp-arr-resid resid-closed*)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R.con-def R.cube resid-closed*)

lemma *arr-char*:
shows $arr\ t \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t$
by (*metis R.con-arr-self R.con-def R.not-arr-null arrE con-def inclusion null-is-zero(2) resid-def residuation.con-implies-arr(1) residuation-axioms*)

lemma *ide-char*:
shows $ide\ t \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t \wedge R.ide\ t$
by (*metis R.ide-def arrI arr-char con-def ide-def not-arr-null resid-def*)

lemma *con-char*:
shows $con\ t\ u \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge R.con\ t\ u$
by (*metis R.conE arr-char con-def not-arr-null null-is-zero(1) resid-def*)

lemma *trg-char*:
shows $trg = (\lambda t. \text{if } arr\ t \text{ then } R.trg\ t \text{ else } null)$
using *arr-char trg-def R.trg-def resid-def* **by** *fastforce*

sublocale *rts resid*
using *arr-char ide-char con-char trg-char resid-def resid-closed inclusion*
apply *unfold-locales*
using *R.prfx-reflexive trg-def* **apply** *force*
apply (*simp add: R.resid-arr-ide*)
apply *simp*
apply (*meson R.con-sym R.in-sourcesE enough-sources*)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) R.con-target arr-resid-iff-con con-sym-ax null-char*)

lemma *prfx-char*:
shows $prfx\ t\ u \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge R.prfx\ t\ u$
using *arr-char con-char ide-char*
by (*metis R.prfx-implies-con prfx-implies-con resid-closed resid-def*)

lemma *cong-char*:
shows $cong\ t\ u \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge R.cong\ t\ u$
using *prfx-char* **by** *blast*

lemma *composite-of-char*:
shows $composite-of\ t\ u\ v \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge Arr\ v \wedge R.composite-of\ t\ u\ v$
proof
show $composite-of\ t\ u\ v \implies Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge Arr\ v \wedge R.composite-of\ t\ u\ v$
by (*metis RTSConstrutions.sub-rts.resid-def R.composite-of-def*)

R.con-sym composite-ofE con-char prfx-char prfx-implies-con sub-rts-axioms)
show $Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge Arr\ v \wedge R.composite-of\ t\ u\ v \implies composite-of\ t\ u\ v$
by (*metis (full-types) RTSConstructions.sub-rts.resid-def R.composite-of-def*
R.con-sym R.rts-axioms composite-ofI prfx-char resid-closed
rts.prfx-implies-con sub-rts-axioms)

qed

lemma *join-of-char*:

shows $join-of\ t\ u\ v \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge Arr\ v \wedge R.join-of\ t\ u\ v$

using *composite-of-char*

by (*metis R.bounded-imp-con R.join-of-def join-of-def resid-closed resid-def*)

lemma *preserves-weakly-extensional-rts*:

assumes *weakly-extensional-rts R*

shows *weakly-extensional-rts resid*

by (*metis assms cong-char ide-char rts-axioms weakly-extensional-rts.intro*
weakly-extensional-rts.weak-extensionality weakly-extensional-rts-axioms.intro)

lemma *preserves-extensional-rts*:

assumes *extensional-rts R*

shows *extensional-rts resid*

by (*meson assms extensional-rts.cong-char extensional-rts.intro*
extensional-rts-axioms.intro prfx-char rts-axioms)

end

locale *sub-rts-of-weakly-extensional-rts* =

R: weakly-extensional-rts R +

sub-rts R Arr

for $R :: 'a\ resid$ (**infix** $\setminus_R\ 70$)

and $Arr :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$

begin

sublocale *weakly-extensional-rts resid*

using *R.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms preserves-weakly-extensional-rts*

by *blast*

lemma *src-char*:

shows $src = (\lambda t. if\ arr\ t\ then\ R.src\ t\ else\ null)$

proof

fix t

show $src\ t = (if\ arr\ t\ then\ R.src\ t\ else\ null)$

by (*metis R.src-eqI con-arr-src(2) con-char ide-char ide-src src-def*)

qed

lemma *targets-char*:

assumes $arr\ t$

shows $targets\ t = \{R.trg\ t\}$

using *assms trg-char trg-in-targets arr-has-un-target* **by** *auto*

```

end

locale sub-rts-of-extensional-rts =
  R: extensional-rts R +
  sub-rts R Arr
for R :: 'a resid (infix \R 70)
and Arr :: 'a ⇒ bool
begin

  sublocale sub-rts-of-weakly-extensional-rts ..

  sublocale extensional-rts resid
    using R.extensional-rts-axioms preserves-extensional-rts
    by blast

end

```

3.8 Fibered Product RTS

```

locale fibered-product-rts =
  A: rts A +
  B: rts B +
  C: weakly-extensional-rts C +
  F: simulation A C F +
  G: simulation B C G
for A :: 'a resid (infix \A 70)
and B :: 'b resid (infix \B 70)
and C :: 'c resid (infix \C 70)
and F :: 'a ⇒ 'c
and G :: 'b ⇒ 'c
begin

  notation A.con (infix ∼A 50)
  notation B.con (infix ∼B 50)
  notation C.con (infix ∼C 50)
  notation A.prfx (infix ≲A 50)
  notation B.prfx (infix ≲B 50)
  notation C.prfx (infix ≲C 50)
  notation A.cong (infix ∼A 50)
  notation B.cong (infix ∼B 50)
  notation C.cong (infix ∼C 50)

  abbreviation Arr
  where Arr ≡ λtu. A.arr (fst tu) ∧ B.arr (snd tu) ∧ F (fst tu) = G (snd tu)

  abbreviation Ide
  where Ide ≡ λtu. A.ide (fst tu) ∧ B.ide (snd tu) ∧ F (fst tu) = G (snd tu)

```

abbreviation *Con*

where $Con \equiv \lambda tu vw. fst\ tu \frown_A fst\ vw \wedge snd\ tu \frown_B snd\ vw \wedge$
 $F\ (fst\ tu) = G\ (snd\ tu) \wedge F\ (fst\ vw) = G\ (snd\ vw)$

definition *resid* :: ('a * 'b) *resid* (**infix** \ 70)

where $tu \setminus vw =$
(if *Con* *tu vw* then $(fst\ tu \setminus_A fst\ vw, snd\ tu \setminus_B snd\ vw)$
else $(A.null, B.null)$)

sublocale *ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma resid*

using *resid-def*

by *unfold-locales*

(*metis B.arr-resid-iff-con B.ex-un-null B.not-arr-null*
B.null-is-zero(2) snd-conv)

lemma *null-char*:

shows $null = (A.null, B.null)$

unfolding *null-def*

using *ex-un-null resid-def*

the1-equality [of $\lambda n. \forall f. n \setminus f = n \wedge f \setminus n = n$ (*A.null, B.null*)]

by *simp*

sublocale *residuation resid*

proof

show $\bigwedge t u. t \setminus u \neq null \implies u \setminus t \neq null$

by (*metis A.con-sym B.con-sym B.residuation-axioms null-char prod.inject*
resid-def residuation.con-def)

show $\bigwedge t u. t \setminus u \neq null \implies (t \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) \neq null$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) A.conE A.conI A.con-imp-arr-resid B.con-def*
B.con-imp-arr-resid F.preserves-resid G.preserves-resid fst-conv null-char
resid-def snd-conv)

show $\bigwedge v t u. (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \neq null \implies (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

proof –

fix $v\ t\ u$

assume $1: (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \neq null$

have $2: (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) =$

$((fst\ v \setminus_A fst\ t) \setminus_A (fst\ u \setminus_A fst\ t),$
 $(snd\ v \setminus_B snd\ t) \setminus_B (snd\ u \setminus_B snd\ t))$

using 1 *resid-def null-char* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = ((fst\ v \setminus_A fst\ u) \setminus_A (fst\ t \setminus_A fst\ u),$
 $(snd\ v \setminus_B snd\ u) \setminus_B (snd\ t \setminus_B snd\ u))$

using *A.cube B.cube* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

proof –

have *Con* $(v \setminus u)\ (t \setminus u)$

proof –

have $fst\ v \setminus_A fst\ u \frown_A fst\ t \setminus_A fst\ u$

using $1\ 2$ *A.cube*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) A.con-def fst-conv null-char resid-def*)

```

moreover have  $snd\ v \setminus_B\ snd\ u \frown_B\ snd\ t \setminus_B\ snd\ u$ 
using 1 2 B.cube
by (metis (no-types, lifting) B.con-def null-char resid-def snd-conv)
ultimately show ?thesis
using 1 resid-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.conI A.con-implies-arr(1) A.not-arr-null
A.not-con-null(2) B.conI B.con-implies-arr(1) B.not-arr-null
B.not-con-null(2) F.preserves-resid G.preserves-resid fst-eqD
null-char snd-eqD)
qed
thus ?thesis
using resid-def null-char by auto
qed
finally show  $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$ 
by blast
qed
qed

notation con (infix  $\frown$  50)

lemma arr-char:
shows  $arr\ t \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t$ 
by (metis B.arr-def B.conE Pair-inject conE conI null-char resid-def
A.arr-def arr-def)

lemma con-char:
shows  $t \frown u \longleftrightarrow Con\ t\ u$ 
by (metis B.conE null-char resid-def con-def snd-conv)

lemma ide-charFP:
shows  $ide\ t \longleftrightarrow Ide\ t$ 
unfolding ide-def
using con-char resid-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.ide-def fst-conv prod.exhaust-sel
B.ide-def snd-conv)

lemma trg-char:
shows  $trg\ t = (if\ arr\ t\ then\ (A.trg\ (fst\ t),\ B.trg\ (snd\ t))\ else\ null)$ 
by (simp add: A.trg-def B.trg-def con-char null-char resid-def
arr-def trg-def)

sublocale rts resid
proof
show  $\bigwedge t. arr\ t \implies ide\ (trg\ t)$ 
using trg-char ide-charFP arr-char F.preserves-trg G.preserves-trg
apply simp
using F.preserves-trg G.preserves-trg by metis
show 1:  $\bigwedge a\ t. \llbracket ide\ a; t \frown a \rrbracket \implies t \setminus a = t$ 
by (simp add: A.resid-arr-ide B.resid-arr-ide con-char ide-charFP)

```


resid-def
show $\bigwedge a t. \llbracket \text{ide } a; a \frown t \rrbracket \implies \text{ide } (a \setminus t)$
by (*metis 1 arr-resid-iff-con con-sym cube ide-def*)
show $\bigwedge t u. t \frown u \implies \exists a. \text{ide } a \wedge a \frown t \wedge a \frown u$
proof –
fix $t u$
assume $\text{con}: t \frown u$
obtain a **where** $a: A.\text{ide } a \wedge a \frown_A \text{fst } t \wedge a \frown_A \text{fst } u$
using *con con-char A.con-imp-coinitial-ax* **by** *fastforce*
obtain b **where** $b: B.\text{ide } b \wedge b \frown_B \text{snd } t \wedge b \frown_B \text{snd } u$
using *con con-char B.con-imp-coinitial-ax* **by** *fastforce*
have $F a = G b$
by (*metis C.src-eqI F.preserves-con F.preserves-ide G.preserves-con G.preserves-ide a b con con-char*)
thus $\exists a. \text{ide } a \wedge a \frown t \wedge a \frown u$
using $a b$ *ide-char_{FP} con con-char* **by** *auto*
qed
show $\bigwedge t u v. \llbracket \text{ide } (t \setminus u); u \frown v \rrbracket \implies t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) A.con-target B.con-target arr-char arr-resid-iff-con con-char con-sym fst-conv ide-char_{FP} ide-implies-arr resid-def snd-conv*)
qed

notation *prfx* (**infix** \lesssim 50)
notation *cong* (**infix** \sim 50)

lemma *prfx-char*:
shows $t \lesssim u \iff F(\text{fst } t) = G(\text{snd } t) \wedge F(\text{fst } u) = G(\text{snd } u) \wedge \text{fst } t \lesssim_A \text{fst } u \wedge \text{snd } t \lesssim_B \text{snd } u$
using *A.prfx-implies-con B.prfx-implies-con ide-char_{FP} resid-def*
by *auto*

lemma *cong-char_{FP}*:
shows $t \sim u \iff F(\text{fst } t) = G(\text{snd } t) \wedge F(\text{fst } u) = G(\text{snd } u) \wedge \text{fst } t \sim_A \text{fst } u \wedge \text{snd } t \sim_B \text{snd } u$
using *prfx-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *sources-char*:
shows $\text{sources } t = \{a. F(\text{fst } t) = G(\text{snd } t) \wedge F(\text{fst } a) = G(\text{snd } a) \wedge \text{fst } a \in A.\text{sources } (\text{fst } t) \wedge \text{snd } a \in B.\text{sources } (\text{snd } t)\}$
using *con-char ide-char_{FP} sources-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *targets-char_{FP}*:
shows $\text{targets } t = \{a. F(\text{fst } t) = G(\text{snd } t) \wedge F(\text{fst } a) = G(\text{snd } a) \wedge \text{fst } a \in A.\text{targets } (\text{fst } t) \wedge \text{snd } a \in B.\text{targets } (\text{snd } t)\}$

proof
show $\text{targets } t \subseteq \{a. F(\text{fst } t) = G(\text{snd } t) \wedge F(\text{fst } a) = G(\text{snd } a) \wedge \text{fst } a \in A.\text{targets } (\text{fst } t) \wedge \text{snd } a \in B.\text{targets } (\text{snd } t)\}$

$fst\ a \in A.targets\ (fst\ t) \wedge snd\ a \in B.targets\ (snd\ t)\}$

using *arr-char arr-iff-has-target ide-char_{FP}*
apply *auto[1]*
apply (*metis arr-char arr-composite-of composite-of-arr-ide in-targetsE*
trg-def)
apply (*metis A.in-targetsI con-char con-implies-arr(1) fst-conv*
in-targetsE not-arr-null trg-char)
by (*metis B.in-targetsI con-char con-implies-arr(1) in-targetsE*
not-arr-null snd-conv trg-char)
show $\{a.\ F\ (fst\ t) = G\ (snd\ t) \wedge F\ (fst\ a) = G\ (snd\ a) \wedge$
 $fst\ a \in A.targets\ (fst\ t) \wedge snd\ a \in B.targets\ (snd\ t)\} \subseteq targets\ t$
using *A.arr-iff-has-target B.arr-iff-has-target arr-char con-char*
ide-char_{FP} ide-trg targets-def trg-char
by *auto*
qed

definition $P_0 :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'b$
where $P_0\ t \equiv if\ arr\ t\ then\ snd\ t\ else\ B.null$

definition $P_1 :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'a$
where $P_1\ t \equiv if\ arr\ t\ then\ fst\ t\ else\ A.null$

sublocale P_0 : *simulation resid B P₀*
using P_0 -def *con-char resid-def arr-resid con-implies-arr(1-2)*
by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma P_0 -is-simulation:
shows *simulation resid B P₀*
 ..

sublocale P_1 : *simulation resid A P₁*
using P_1 -def *con-char resid-def arr-resid con-implies-arr(1-2)*
by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma P_1 -is-simulation:
shows *simulation resid A P₁*
 ..

lemma *commutativity*:
shows $F \circ P_1 = G \circ P_0$
using *F.extensional G.extensional P₁-def P₀-def arr-char* **by** *auto*

definition *tuple* :: $'x\ resid \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b$
where *tuple X H K* $\equiv \lambda t.\ if\ residuation.arr\ X\ t\ then\ (H\ t,\ K\ t)\ else\ null$

lemma *universality*:
assumes *rts X* **and** *simulation X A H* **and** *simulation X B K*
and $F \circ H = G \circ K$
shows [*intro*]: *simulation X resid (tuple X H K)*

and $P_1 \circ \text{tuple } X \ H \ K = H$ **and** $P_0 \circ \text{tuple } X \ H \ K = K$
and $\exists !HK. \text{simulation } X \text{ resid } HK \wedge P_1 \circ HK = H \wedge P_0 \circ HK = K$
proof –
interpret X : *rts* X
using *assms(1)* **by** *blast*
interpret H : *simulation* $X \ A \ H$
using *assms(2)* **by** *blast*
interpret K : *simulation* $X \ B \ K$
using *assms(3)* **by** *blast*
let $?HK = \text{tuple } X \ H \ K$
interpret HK : *simulation* $X \text{ resid } ?HK$
proof
show $\bigwedge t. \neg X.\text{arr } t \implies ?HK \ t = \text{null}$
unfolding *tuple-def* **by** *simp*
fix $t \ u$
assume *con*: $X.\text{con } t \ u$
have 1 : $X.\text{arr } t \wedge X.\text{arr } u$
using *con* $X.\text{con-implies-arr}(1)$ $X.\text{con-implies-arr}(2)$ **by** *force*
show 2 : $\text{con } (?HK \ t) \ (?HK \ u)$
by (*metis* 1 *assms(4)* *comp-apply con con-char fst-conv tuple-def*
H.preserves-con K.preserves-con snd-conv)
show $?HK \ (X \ t \ u) = \text{resid } (?HK \ t) \ (?HK \ u)$
using $1 \ 2$ *con resid-def null-char con-char arr-char*
by (*simp add: tuple-def*)
qed
show *simulation* $X \ \text{resid} \ (\text{tuple } X \ H \ K)$
..
show $P_1 \circ ?HK = H$
proof
fix t
show $(P_1 \circ ?HK) \ t = H \ t$
using $P_1\text{-def}$ $HK.\text{preserves-reflects-arr}$
by (*simp add: H.extensional tuple-def*)
qed
moreover show $P_0 \circ ?HK = K$
proof
fix t
show $(P_0 \circ ?HK) \ t = K \ t$
using $P_0\text{-def}$ $HK.\text{preserves-reflects-arr}$
by (*simp add: K.extensional tuple-def*)
qed
ultimately
have *simulation* $X \ \text{resid} \ ?HK \wedge P_1 \circ ?HK = H \wedge P_0 \circ ?HK = K$
using $HK.\text{simulation-axioms}$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $\bigwedge M. \text{simulation } X \ \text{resid} \ M \wedge P_1 \circ M = H \wedge P_0 \circ M = K$
 $\implies M = ?HK$
unfolding $P_1\text{-def}$ $P_0\text{-def}$ *tuple-def*
using *simulation.extensional simulation.preserves-reflects-arr*
by *fastforce*

ultimately show $\exists!HK. \text{simulation } X \text{ resid } HK \wedge$
 $P_1 \circ HK = H \wedge P_0 \circ HK = K$
by *auto*
qed

lemma *preserves-weakly-extensional-rts:*
assumes *weakly-extensional-rts A and weakly-extensional-rts B*
shows *weakly-extensional-rts resid*
using *assms*
by *unfold-locales*
(metis con-char ide-char_{FP} prod.exhaust-sel prfx-implies-con
weakly-extensional-rts.con-ide-are-eq)

lemma *preserves-extensional-rts:*
assumes *extensional-rts A and extensional-rts B*
shows *extensional-rts resid*
using *assms*
by *unfold-locales*
(metis (no-types, lifting) extensional-rts.extensional fst-conv ide-char_{FP}
ide-implies-arr not-arr-null null-char prod.exhaust-sel resid-def snd-conv)

lemma *preserves-small-rts:*
assumes *small-rts A and small-rts B*
shows *small-rts resid*
proof
interpret *A: small-rts A*
using *assms(1) by blast*
interpret *B: small-rts B*
using *assms(2) by blast*
show *small (Collect arr)*
proof –
have *1: Collect arr \subseteq {t. A.arr (fst t) \wedge B.arr (snd t)}*
using *arr-char by blast*
obtain φ
where $\varphi: \text{inj-on } \varphi \text{ (Collect A.arr)} \wedge \varphi \text{ ‘ Collect A.arr } \in \text{range elts}$
using *A.small small-def by metis*
obtain ψ
where $\psi: \text{inj-on } \psi \text{ (Collect B.arr)} \wedge \psi \text{ ‘ Collect B.arr } \in \text{range elts}$
using *B.small small-def by metis*
let $?\varphi\psi = \lambda ab. \text{vpair } (\varphi \text{ (fst ab)}) \text{ (}\psi \text{ (snd ab))}$
have *inj-on ? $\varphi\psi$ (Collect arr)*
using *1 φ ψ arr-char inj-on-def [of φ Collect A.arr]*
inj-on-def [of ψ Collect B.arr] prod.expand
by *(intro inj-onI) force*
moreover have $?\varphi\psi \text{ ‘ Collect arr } \in \text{range elts}$
proof –
have $?\varphi\psi \text{ ‘ Collect arr } \subseteq$

```

      elts (vtimes (set (φ ‘ Collect A.arr)) (set (ψ ‘ Collect B.arr)))
    using A.small B.small arr-char by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (meson down-raw)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (meson small-def)
qed
qed

end

locale fibered-product-of-weakly-extensional-rts =
  A: weakly-extensional-rts A +
  B: weakly-extensional-rts B +
  fibered-product-rts
begin

  sublocale weakly-extensional-rts resid
    using A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
      preserves-weakly-extensional-rts
    by auto

  lemma is-weakly-extensional-rts:
  shows weakly-extensional-rts resid
    ..

  lemma src-char:
  shows src t = (if arr t then (A.src (fst t), B.src (snd t)) else null)
  proof (cases arr t)
    show ¬ arr t ⇒ ?thesis
      using src-def by presburger
    assume t: arr t
    show ?thesis
  proof (intro src-eqI)
    show ide (if arr t then (A.src (fst t), B.src (snd t)) else null)
      using t ide-charFP
    by simp (metis A.src-eqI B.src-eqI con-arr-src(2) con-char ide-src)
    show (if arr t then (A.src (fst t), B.src (snd t)) else null) ∩ t
      using t con-char arr-char
    by simp
      (metis A.arr-def A.src-eqI B.arr-def B.src-eqI
        con-imp-coinitial-ax ide-charFP)
  qed
qed

end

locale fibered-product-of-extensional-rts =

```

```

A: extensional-rts A +
B: extensional-rts B +
fibered-product-of-weakly-extensional-rts
begin

sublocale fibered-product-of-weakly-extensional-rts A B ..
sublocale extensional-rts resid
using A.extensional-rts-axioms B.extensional-rts-axioms preserves-extensional-rts
by blast

lemma is-extensional-rts:
shows extensional-rts resid
..

end

locale fibered-product-of-small-rts =
A: small-rts A +
B: small-rts B +
fibered-product-rts
begin

sublocale small-rts resid
by (simp add: A.small-rts-axioms B.small-rts-axioms preserves-small-rts)

lemma is-small-rts:
shows small-rts resid
..

end

```

3.9 Product RTS

It is possible to define a product construction for RTS's as a special case of the fibered product, but some inconveniences result from that approach. In addition, we have already defined a product construction in *ResiduatedTransitionSystem.ResiduatedTransitionSystem*. So, we will build on that existing construction.

```

definition pointwise-tuple :: ('x ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('x ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'a × 'b ((⟨-, -⟩))
where pointwise-tuple H K ≡ (λt. (H t, K t))

```

```

context product-rts
begin

```

```

definition P0 :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'b
where P0 t ≡ if arr t then snd t else B.null

```

```

definition P1 :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a

```

where $P_1 t \equiv \text{if arr } t \text{ then fst } t \text{ else } A.\text{null}$

sublocale P_0 : *simulation resid B P₀*
using $P_0\text{-def con-char resid-def arr-resid con-implies-arr}(1-2)$
by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma $P_0\text{-is-simulation}$:
shows *simulation resid B P₀*
..

sublocale P_1 : *simulation resid A P₁*
using $P_1\text{-def con-char resid-def arr-resid con-implies-arr}(1-2)$
by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma $P_1\text{-is-simulation}$:
shows *simulation resid A P₁*
..

abbreviation $\text{tuple} :: ('x \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b$
where $\text{tuple} \equiv \text{pointwise-tuple}$

lemma *universality*:
assumes *simulation X A H and simulation X B K*
shows [*intro*]: *simulation X resid <<H, K>>*
and $P_1 \circ \langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle = H$ **and** $P_0 \circ \langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle = K$
and $\exists! HK. \text{simulation } X \text{ resid } HK \wedge P_1 \circ HK = H \wedge P_0 \circ HK = K$
proof –

interpret H : *simulation X A H*

using $\text{assms}(1)$ **by** *blast*

interpret K : *simulation X B K*

using $\text{assms}(2)$ **by** *blast*

interpret HK : *simulation X resid <<H, K>>*

proof

show $\bigwedge t. \neg H.A.\text{arr } t \implies \langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle t = \text{null}$

by (*simp add: H.extensional K.extensional pointwise-tuple-def*)

fix $t u$

assume $\text{con: } H.A.\text{con } t u$

have $1: H.A.\text{arr } t \wedge H.A.\text{arr } u$

using $\text{con } H.A.\text{con-implies-arr}(1-2)$ **by** *force*

show $\text{con } (\langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle t) (\langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle u)$

using $1 \text{ con con-char } H.\text{preserves-con } K.\text{preserves-con pointwise-tuple-def}$

by (*metis fst-conv snd-conv*)

thus $\langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle (X t u) = \text{resid } (\langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle t) (\langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle u)$

unfolding *pointwise-tuple-def*

using $1 \text{ con resid-def null-char con-char arr-char}$

by *simp*

qed

show *simulation X resid <<H, K>>* ..

show $P_1 \circ \langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle = H$

unfolding *pointwise-tuple-def*
using P_1 -def *HK.preserves-reflects-arr*
by (*auto simp add: H.extensional*)
moreover show $P_0 \circ \langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle = K$
unfolding *pointwise-tuple-def*
using P_0 -def *HK.preserves-reflects-arr*
by (*auto simp add: K.extensional*)
ultimately have *simulation X resid* $\langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle \wedge$
 $P_1 \circ \langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle = H \wedge P_0 \circ \langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle = K$
using *HK.simulation-axioms* **by** *simp*
moreover have $\bigwedge M. \text{simulation } X \text{ resid } M \wedge P_1 \circ M = H \wedge P_0 \circ M = K$
 $\implies M = \langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle$
proof
fix $M t$
assume 1: *simulation X resid* $M \wedge P_1 \circ M = H \wedge P_0 \circ M = K$
interpret M : *simulation X resid M*
using 1 **by** *blast*
show $M t = \langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle t$
using 1 *M.extensional M.preserves-reflects-arr*
unfolding P_1 -def P_0 -def *pointwise-tuple-def*
by *force*
qed
ultimately
show $\exists! HK. \text{simulation } X \text{ resid } HK \wedge P_1 \circ HK = H \wedge P_0 \circ HK = K$
by *auto*
qed

lemma *proj-joint-monic*:
assumes *simulation X resid F* **and** *simulation X resid G*
and $P_0 \circ F = P_0 \circ G$ **and** $P_1 \circ F = P_1 \circ G$
shows $F = G$
using *assms(1-4) P₀-is-simulation P₁-is-simulation universality(4)*
by *blast*

lemma *tuple-proj*:
assumes *simulation X resid F*
shows $\langle\langle P_1 \circ F, P_0 \circ F \rangle\rangle = F$
by (*meson P₀-is-simulation P₁-is-simulation proj-joint-monic assms*
universality(1-3) simulation-comp)

lemma *proj-tuple*:
assumes *simulation X A F* **and** *simulation X B G*
shows $P_1 \circ \langle\langle F, G \rangle\rangle = F$ **and** $P_0 \circ \langle\langle F, G \rangle\rangle = G$
using *assms(1-2) universality(2-3)* **by** *auto*

lemma *preserves-weakly-extensional-rts*:
assumes *weakly-extensional-rts A* **and** *weakly-extensional-rts B*
shows *weakly-extensional-rts resid*
by (*metis assms(1-2) ide-char prfx-char prod.exhaust-sel rts-axioms*)

*weakly-extensional-rts.intro weakly-extensional-rts.weak-extensionality
weakly-extensional-rts-axioms.intro)*

lemma *preserves-extensional-rts:*
assumes *extensional-rts A and extensional-rts B*
shows *extensional-rts resid*
proof –
 interpret *A: extensional-rts A*
 using *assms(1) by blast*
 interpret *B: extensional-rts B*
 using *assms(2) by blast*
 show *?thesis*
 by *unfold-locales*
 (*metis A.extensional B.extensional cong-char prod.collapse*)
qed

lemma *preserves-small-rts:*
assumes *small-rts A and small-rts B*
shows *small-rts resid*
proof
 interpret *A: small-rts A*
 using *assms(1) by blast*
 interpret *B: small-rts B*
 using *assms(2) by blast*
 show *small (Collect arr)*
proof –

interpret *One: one-arr-rts <TYPE(bool)>*
 by *unfold-locales auto*
 interpret *simulation A One.resid <One.terminator A>*
 using *One.terminator-is-simulation A.rts-axioms by blast*
 interpret *simulation B One.resid <One.terminator B>*
 using *One.terminator-is-simulation B.rts-axioms by blast*
 interpret *AxB: fibered-product-of-small-rts A B One.resid*
 <One.terminator A> <One.terminator B> ..
 have *Collect arr ⊆ Collect AxB.arr*
 using *AxB.arr-char arr-char One.terminator-def*
 by (*metis Collect-mono*)
 moreover have *small (Collect AxB.arr)*
 using *AxB.small by blast*
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *smaller-than-small by blast*
qed
qed

end

locale *product-of-extensional-rts =*
 A: extensional-rts A +

```

B: extensional-rts B +
product-rts
begin

  sublocale product-of-weakly-extensional-rts A B ..

  sublocale extensional-rts resid
  using A.extensional-rts-axioms B.extensional-rts-axioms preserves-extensional-rts
  by blast

  lemma is-extensional-rts:
  shows extensional-rts resid
  ..

  lemma proj-tuple2:
  assumes transformation X A F G S and transformation X B H K T
  shows  $P_1 \circ \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle = S$  and  $P_0 \circ \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle = T$ 
  proof -
    interpret S: transformation X A F G S
    using assms(1) by blast
    interpret T: transformation X B H K T
    using assms(2) by blast
    show  $P_1 \circ \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle = S$ 
    proof
      fix t
      show  $(P_1 \circ \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle) t = S t$ 
      unfolding pointwise-tuple-def P1-def
      using S.extensional S.preserves-arr T.preserves-arr
      apply auto[1]
      by metis+
    qed
    show  $P_0 \circ \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle = T$ 
    proof
      fix t
      show  $(P_0 \circ \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle) t = T t$ 
      unfolding pointwise-tuple-def P0-def
      using T.extensional S.preserves-arr T.preserves-arr
      apply auto[1]
      by metis+
    qed
  qed

  lemma universality2:
  assumes simulation X resid F and simulation X resid G
  and transformation X A (P1 o F) (P1 o G) S
  and transformation X B (P0 o F) (P0 o G) T
  shows [intro]: transformation X resid F G <<S, T>>
  and  $P_1 \circ \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle = S$  and  $P_0 \circ \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle = T$ 
  and  $\exists! ST. \text{transformation } X \text{ resid } F G ST \wedge P_1 \circ ST = S \wedge P_0 \circ ST = T$ 

```

```

proof -
interpret X: rts X
  using assms(1) simulation-def by auto
interpret A: weakly-extensional-rts A
  using assms(3) transformation-def by blast
interpret B: weakly-extensional-rts B
  using assms(4) transformation-def by blast
interpret X: weakly-extensional-rts X
  using assms(4) transformation-def by blast
interpret F: simulation X resid F
  using assms(1) by blast
interpret F: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts X resid F ..
interpret G: simulation X resid G
  using assms(2) by blast
interpret G: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts X resid G ..
interpret P1oF: composite-simulation X resid A F P1 ..
interpret P1oF: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts X A P1oF.map ..
interpret P1oG: composite-simulation X resid A G P1 ..
interpret P1oG: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts X A P1oF.map ..
interpret P0oF: composite-simulation X resid B F P0 ..
interpret P0oF: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts X A P1oF.map ..
interpret P0oG: composite-simulation X resid B G P0 ..
interpret P0oG: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts X A P1oF.map ..
interpret S: transformation X A ⟨P1 ∘ F⟩ ⟨P1 ∘ G⟩ S
  using assms(3) by blast
interpret S: transformation-to-extensional-rts X A ⟨P1 ∘ F⟩ ⟨P1 ∘ G⟩ S ..
interpret T: transformation X B ⟨P0 ∘ F⟩ ⟨P0 ∘ G⟩ T
  using assms(4) by blast
interpret T: transformation-to-extensional-rts X B ⟨P0 ∘ F⟩ ⟨P0 ∘ G⟩ T ..
interpret ST: transformation X resid F G ⟨⟨S, T⟩⟩
proof
show ∧t. ¬ X.arr t ⇒ ⟨⟨S, T⟩⟩ t = null
  by (simp add: S.extensional T.extensional pointwise-tuple-def)
fix t
assume t: X.ide t
have arr: arr (⟨⟨S, T⟩⟩ t)
  unfolding pointwise-tuple-def
  using t S.preserves-arr T.preserves-arr by auto
show src (⟨⟨S, T⟩⟩ t) = F t
proof -
have F t = ((P1 ∘ F) t, (P0 ∘ F) t)
  using t tuple-proj F.simulation-axioms pointwise-tuple-def
  by metis
thus ?thesis
  using arr src-char arr-char
  by (simp add: S.preserves-src T.preserves-src t pointwise-tuple-def)
qed
show trg (⟨⟨S, T⟩⟩ t) = G t
proof -

```

```

have  $G t = ((P_1 \circ G) t, (P_0 \circ G) t)$ 
  using  $t$  tuple-proj G.simulation-axioms pointwise-tuple-def
  by metis
thus ?thesis
  using arr trg-char arr-char
  by (simp add: S.preserves-trg T.preserves-trg t pointwise-tuple-def)
qed
next
fix  $t$ 
assume  $t: X.arr t$ 
have  $con1: S (X.src t) \frown_A P_1 (F t)$ 
proof –
  have  $S t = A.join (S (X.src t)) (P_1 (F t))$ 
    using  $t$  S.naturality3'_E by auto
  hence  $A.prfx (S (X.src t)) (S t) \wedge A.prfx (P_1 (F t)) (S t)$ 
    using  $t$  A.join-sym A.arr-prfx-join-self S.preserves-arr
    A.joinable-iff-arr-join
    by metis
  thus ?thesis
    using  $t$  S.preserves-arr A.con-arr-self A.con-prfx(1-2) by blast
qed
moreover have  $con0: T (X.src t) \frown_B P_0 (F t)$ 
proof –
  have  $T t = B.join (T (X.src t)) (P_0 (F t))$ 
    using  $t$  T.naturality3'_E by auto
  hence  $B.prfx (T (X.src t)) (T t) \wedge B.prfx (P_0 (F t)) (T t)$ 
    using  $t$  B.join-sym B.arr-prfx-join-self T.preserves-arr
    B.joinable-iff-arr-join
    by metis
  thus ?thesis
    using  $t$  T.preserves-arr B.con-arr-self B.con-prfx(1-2) by blast
qed
show  $\langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle (X.src t) \setminus F t = \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle (X.trg t)$ 
proof –
  have  $\langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle (X.src t) \setminus F t =$ 
     $(S (X.src t), T (X.src t)) \setminus (P_1 (F t), P_0 (F t))$ 
    using  $t$  tuple-proj [of X F] F.simulation-axioms
    pointwise-tuple-def [of S T]
    pointwise-tuple-def [of P_1 o F.map P_0 o F.map]
    apply auto[1]
    by metis
  also have  $\dots = (S (X.src t) \setminus_A P_1 (F t), T (X.src t) \setminus_B P_0 (F t))$ 
    using  $t$  con0 con1 resid-def by auto
  also have  $\dots = (S (X.trg t), T (X.trg t))$ 
    using  $t$  S.naturality1 T.naturality1 by auto
  also have  $\dots = \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle (X.trg t)$ 
    using  $t$  pointwise-tuple-def by metis
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

show  $F t \setminus \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle (X.\text{src } t) = G t$ 
proof -
  have  $F t \setminus \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle (X.\text{src } t) =$ 
     $(P_1 (F t), P_0 (F t)) \setminus (S (X.\text{src } t), T (X.\text{src } t))$ 
  using  $t$  tuple-proj [of X F] F.simulation-axioms
    pointwise-tuple-def [of S T]
    pointwise-tuple-def [of P1 o F.map P0 o F.map]
  apply auto[1]
  by metis
  also have  $\dots = (P_1 (F t) \setminus_A S (X.\text{src } t), P_0 (F t) \setminus_B T (X.\text{src } t))$ 
  using  $t$  con0 con1 resid-def A.con-sym B.con-sym by auto
  also have  $\dots = (P_1 \circ G.\text{map } t, P_0 \circ G.\text{map } t)$ 
  using  $t$  S.naturality2 T.naturality2 by auto
  also have  $\dots = G t$ 
  using  $t$  tuple-proj G.simulation-axioms pointwise-tuple-def by metis
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show join-of  $(\langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle (X.\text{src } t)) (F t) (\langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle t)$ 
proof -
  have A.join-of  $(S (X.\text{src } t)) (P_1 \circ F.\text{map } t) (S t)$ 
  using  $t$  con1 S.naturality3 [of t] by blast
  moreover have B.join-of  $(T (X.\text{src } t)) (P_0 \circ F.\text{map } t) (T t)$ 
  using  $t$  con0 T.naturality3 [of t] by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding pointwise-tuple-def
  using  $t$  join-of-char(1-2) F.preserves-reflects-arr P0-def P1-def
  by auto
qed
qed
show 1: transformation X (\) F G \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle ..
show 2: P1 o \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle = S and 3: P0 o \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle = T
  using proj-tuple2 S.transformation-axioms T.transformation-axioms
  by blast+
show  $\exists! ST. \text{transformation } X (\) F G ST \wedge P_1 \circ ST = S \wedge P_0 \circ ST = T$ 
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge ST. \llbracket \text{transformation } X (\) F G ST; P_1 \circ ST = S; P_0 \circ ST = T \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies ST = \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle$ 
proof -
  fix  $ST$ 
  assume  $ST: \text{transformation } X \text{ resid } F G ST$ 
  assume  $0: P_0 \circ ST = T$ 
  assume  $1: P_1 \circ ST = S$ 
  interpret  $ST: \text{transformation } X \text{ resid } F G ST$ 
  using  $ST$  by blast
  show  $ST = \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle$ 
proof
  fix  $t$ 
  show  $ST t = \langle\langle S, T \rangle\rangle t$ 
  unfolding pointwise-tuple-def

```

```

    using 0 1 ST.preserves-arr ST.extensional P0-def P1-def
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis prod.exhaust-sel)
  qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using 1 2 3 by metis
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma proj-joint-monic2:
assumes transformation X resid F G S and transformation X resid F G T
and P0 ∘ S = P0 ∘ T and P1 ∘ S = P1 ∘ T
shows S = T
  using assms transformation-whisker-left [of X resid F G S] universality2(4)
    A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
    P1.simulation-axioms P0.simulation-axioms
  by (metis transformation-def)

```

```

lemma join-char:
shows join t u =
  (if joinable t u
   then (A.join (fst t) (fst u), B.join (snd t) (snd u))
   else null)
by (metis A.join-is-join-of B.join-is-join-of fst-conv join-is-join-of
  join-of-char(1-2) join-of-unique joinable-iff-join-not-null snd-conv)

```

```

lemma join-simp:
assumes joinable t u
shows join t u = (A.join (fst t) (fst u), B.join (snd t) (snd u))
  using assms join-char by auto

```

end

```

locale product-of-small-rts =
  A: small-rts A +
  B: small-rts B +
  product-rts
begin

```

```

  sublocale small-rts resid
    using A.small-rts-axioms B.small-rts-axioms preserves-small-rts
    by blast

```

```

lemma is-small-rts:
shows small-rts resid
  ..

```

end

```

lemma simulation-tuple [intro]:
assumes simulation  $X$   $A$   $H$  and simulation  $X$   $B$   $K$ 
and  $Y = \text{product-rts.resid } A \ B$ 
shows simulation  $X$   $Y$   $\langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle$ 
  using assms product-rts.universality(1) [of  $A$   $B$   $X$   $H$   $K$ ]
  by (simp add: product-rts.intro simulation-def)

lemma simulation-product [intro]:
assumes simulation  $A$   $B$   $H$  and simulation  $C$   $D$   $K$ 
and  $X = \text{product-rts.resid } A \ C$  and  $Y = \text{product-rts.resid } B \ D$ 
shows simulation  $X$   $Y$  (product-simulation.map  $A$   $C$   $H$   $K$ )
  using assms
  by (meson product-rts-def product-rts-def product-simulation.intro
    product-simulation.is-simulation simulation-def simulation-def)

lemma comp-pointwise-tuple:
shows  $\langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle \circ L = \langle\langle H \circ L, K \circ L \rangle\rangle$ 
  unfolding pointwise-tuple-def
  by auto

lemma comp-product-simulation-tuple2:
assumes simulation  $A$   $A'$   $F$  and simulation  $B$   $B'$   $G$ 
and transformation  $X$   $A$   $H_0$   $H_1$   $H$  and transformation  $X$   $B$   $K_0$   $K_1$   $K$ 
shows product-simulation.map  $A$   $B$   $F$   $G$   $\circ \langle\langle H, K \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle F \circ H, G \circ K \rangle\rangle$ 
proof –
  interpret  $X$ : weakly-extensional-rts  $X$ 
    using assms(3) transformation.axioms(1) by blast
  interpret  $A$ : rts  $A$ 
    using assms(1) simulation.axioms(1) by blast
  interpret  $B$ : rts  $B$ 
    using assms(2) simulation.axioms(1) by blast
  interpret  $A'$ : rts  $A'$ 
    using assms(1) simulation.axioms(2) by blast
  interpret  $B'$ : rts  $B'$ 
    using assms(2) simulation.axioms(2) by blast
  interpret  $AxB$ : product-rts  $A$   $B$  ..
  interpret  $A'xB'$ : product-rts  $A'$   $B'$  ..
  interpret  $F$ : simulation  $A$   $A'$   $F$ 
    using assms by blast
  interpret  $G$ : simulation  $B$   $B'$   $G$ 
    using assms by blast
  interpret  $FxG$ : product-simulation  $A$   $B$   $A'$   $B'$   $F$   $G$  ..
  interpret  $H$ : transformation  $X$   $A$   $H_0$   $H_1$   $H$ 
    using assms(3) by blast
  interpret  $K$ : transformation  $X$   $B$   $K_0$   $K_1$   $K$ 
    using assms(4) by blast
  show ?thesis
proof

```

```

fix x
show (FxG.map ∘ ⟨⟨H, K⟩⟩) x = ⟨⟨F ∘ H, G ∘ K⟩⟩ x
  using FxG.extensional pointwise-tuple-def F.extensional G.extensional
    H.extensional K.extensional H.preserves-arr K.preserves-arr
  by (cases X.arr x) (auto simp add: pointwise-tuple-def)
qed
qed

```

lemma *comp-product-simulation-tuple*:
assumes *simulation A A' F* **and** *simulation B B' G*
and *simulation X A H* **and** *simulation X B K*
shows *product-simulation.map A B F G ∘ ⟨⟨H, K⟩⟩ = ⟨⟨F ∘ H, G ∘ K⟩⟩*
proof –

```

interpret X: rts X
  using assms(3) simulation.axioms(1) by blast
interpret A: rts A
  using assms(1) simulation.axioms(1) by blast
interpret B: rts B
  using assms(2) simulation.axioms(1) by blast
interpret A': rts A'
  using assms(1) simulation.axioms(2) by blast
interpret B': rts B'
  using assms(2) simulation.axioms(2) by blast
interpret AxB: product-rts A B ..
interpret A'xB': product-rts A' B' ..
interpret F: simulation A A' F
  using assms by blast
interpret G: simulation B B' G
  using assms by blast
interpret FxG: product-simulation A B A' B' F G ..
interpret H: simulation X A H
  using assms(3) by blast
interpret K: simulation X B K
  using assms(4) by blast
show ?thesis
proof
  fix x
  show (FxG.map ∘ ⟨⟨H, K⟩⟩) x = ⟨⟨F ∘ H, G ∘ K⟩⟩ x
    using FxG.extensional pointwise-tuple-def F.extensional G.extensional
      H.extensional K.extensional H.preserves-reflects-arr
      K.preserves-reflects-arr
    by (cases X.arr x) (auto simp add: pointwise-tuple-def)
  qed
qed

```

locale *product-transformation* =


```

A1: weakly-extensional-rts A1 +
A0: weakly-extensional-rts A0 +
B1: extensional-rts B1 +
B0: extensional-rts B0 +
A1xA0: product-rts A1 A0 +
B1xB0: product-rts B1 B0 +
F1: simulation A1 B1 F1 +
F0: simulation A0 B0 F0 +
G1: simulation A1 B1 G1 +
G0: simulation A0 B0 G0 +
T1: transformation A1 B1 F1 G1 T1 +
T0: transformation A0 B0 F0 G0 T0
for A1 :: 'a1 resid    (infix \A1 70)
and A0 :: 'a0 resid    (infix \A0 70)
and B1 :: 'b1 resid    (infix \B1 70)
and B0 :: 'b0 resid    (infix \B0 70)
and F1 :: 'a1 ⇒ 'b1
and F0 :: 'a0 ⇒ 'b0
and G1 :: 'a1 ⇒ 'b1
and G0 :: 'a0 ⇒ 'b0
and T1 :: 'a1 ⇒ 'b1
and T0 :: 'a0 ⇒ 'b0
begin

sublocale F1: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts A1 B1 F1 ..
sublocale F0: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts A0 B0 F0 ..
sublocale G1: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts A1 B1 G1 ..
sublocale G0: simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts A0 B0 G0 ..

sublocale A1xA0: product-of-weakly-extensional-rts A1 A0 ..
sublocale B1xB0: product-of-extensional-rts B1 B0 ..
sublocale F1xF0: product-simulation A1 A0 B1 B0 F1 F0 ..
sublocale G1xG0: product-simulation A1 A0 B1 B0 G1 G0 ..

abbreviation (input) map₀ :: 'a1 × 'a0 ⇒ 'b1 × 'b0
where map₀ ≡ (λa. (T1 (fst a), T0 (snd a)))

sublocale TC: transformation-by-components
      A1xA0.resid B1xB0.resid F1xF0.map G1xG0.map map₀
proof
show ∧a. A1xA0.ide a ⇒ B1xB0.src (map₀ a) = F1xF0.map a
  unfolding F1xF0.map-def
  using T1.preserves-arr T0.preserves-arr T1.preserves-src T0.preserves-src
      B1xB0.src-char
  by auto
show ∧a. A1xA0.ide a ⇒ B1xB0.trg (map₀ a) = G1xG0.map a
  unfolding G1xG0.map-def
  using T1.preserves-arr T0.preserves-arr T1.preserves-trg T0.preserves-trg
      B1xB0.trg-char

```

```

    by auto
  fix t
  assume t: A1xA0.arr t
  show B1xB0.resid (map0 (A1xA0.src t)) (F1xF0.map t) =
    map0 (A1xA0.trg t)
  unfolding F1xF0.map-def
  using t B1xB0.resid-def A1xA0.src-char A1xA0.trg-char
    T1.naturality1 T0.naturality1 A1.con-arr-src(2) T1.preserves-con(2)
    A0.con-arr-src(2) T0.preserves-con(2)
  by auto
  show B1xB0.resid (F1xF0.map t) (map0 (A1xA0.src t)) =
    G1xG0.map t
  unfolding F1xF0.map-def G1xG0.map-def
  using t B1xB0.resid-def A1xA0.src-char A1xA0.trg-char
    T1.naturality2 T0.naturality2
  apply auto[1]
  apply (metis B1.conI B1.not-arr-null G1.preserves-reflects-arr)
  by (metis B0.conI B0.not-arr-null G0.preserves-reflects-arr)
  show B1xB0.joinable (map0 (A1xA0.src t)) (F1xF0.map t)
  unfolding F1xF0.map-def
  using t A1xA0.src-char T1.naturality3 T0.naturality3
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis B0.joinable-def B1.joinable-def B1xB0.join-of-char(2)
    fst-eqD snd-eqD)
qed

definition map :: 'a1 × 'a0 ⇒ 'b1 × 'b0
where map ≡ TC.map

sublocale transformation
  A1xA0.resid B1xB0.resid F1xF0.map G1xG0.map map
  unfolding map-def ..

lemma is-transformation:
shows transformation A1xA0.resid B1xB0.resid F1xF0.map G1xG0.map map
..

lemma map-simp:
shows map t = B1xB0.join (map0 (A1xA0.src t)) (F1xF0.map t)
  unfolding map-def TC.map-def by blast

lemma map-simp-ide:
assumes A1xA0.ide t
shows map t = map0 (A1xA0.src t)
  unfolding map-def
  using assms TC.map-simp-ide by simp
end

```

```

lemma comp-product-transformation-tuple:
assumes transformation-to-extensional-rts A1 B1 F1 G1 T1
and transformation-to-extensional-rts A0 B0 F0 G0 T0
and simulation X A1 H1 and simulation X A0 H0
shows product-transformation.map A1 A0 B1 B0 F1 F0 T1 T0  $\circ$   $\langle\langle H1, H0 \rangle\rangle =$ 
   $\langle\langle T1 \circ H1, T0 \circ H0 \rangle\rangle$ 
proof –
  interpret X: rts X
    using assms(3) simulation.axioms(1) by blast
  interpret A1: weakly-extensional-rts A1
    using assms(1) transformation-to-extensional-rts.axioms(1)
      transformation.axioms(1)
    by blast
  interpret A0: weakly-extensional-rts A0
    using assms(2) transformation-to-extensional-rts.axioms(1)
      transformation.axioms(1)
    by blast
  interpret B1: extensional-rts B1
    using assms(1) transformation-to-extensional-rts.axioms(2) by blast
  interpret B0: extensional-rts B0
    using assms(2) transformation-to-extensional-rts.axioms(2) by blast
  interpret F1: simulation A1 B1 F1
    using assms(1) transformation-to-extensional-rts.axioms(1)
      transformation.axioms(3) simulation.axioms(3)
    by blast
  interpret F0: simulation A0 B0 F0
    using assms(2) transformation-to-extensional-rts.axioms(1)
      transformation.axioms(3) simulation.axioms(3)
    by blast
  interpret G1: simulation A1 B1 G1
    using assms(1) transformation-to-extensional-rts.axioms(1)
      transformation.axioms(4) simulation.axioms(3)
    by blast
  interpret G0: simulation A0 B0 G0
    using assms(2) transformation-to-extensional-rts.axioms(1)
      transformation.axioms(4) simulation.axioms(3)
    by blast
  interpret T1: transformation-to-extensional-rts A1 B1 F1 G1 T1
    using assms(1) by blast
  interpret T0: transformation-to-extensional-rts A0 B0 F0 G0 T0
    using assms(2) by blast
  interpret A1xA0: product-of-weakly-extensional-rts A1 A0 ..
  interpret B1xB0: product-of-extensional-rts B1 B0 ..
  interpret F1xF0: product-simulation A1 A0 B1 B0 F1 F0 ..
  interpret G1xG0: product-simulation A1 A0 B1 B0 G1 G0 ..
  interpret T1xT0: product-transformation A1 A0 B1 B0 F1 F0 G1 G0 T1 T0
  ..
  interpret H1: simulation X A1 H1
    using assms(3) by blast

```

```

interpret H0: simulation X A0 H0
  using assms(4) by blast
show ?thesis
proof
  fix x
  show (T1xT0.map ∘ ⟨⟨H1, H0⟩⟩) x = ⟨⟨T1 ∘ H1, T0 ∘ H0⟩⟩ x
  proof –
    have X.arr x ⇒
      B1xB0.join
        (T1 (fst (A1xA0.src (H1 x, H0 x))),
         T0 (snd (A1xA0.src (H1 x, H0 x))))
        (F1 (H1 x), F0 (H0 x)) =
      (T1 (H1 x), T0 (H0 x))
    proof –
      assume x: X.arr x
      have B1xB0.join
        (T1 (fst (A1xA0.src (H1 x, H0 x))),
         T0 (snd (A1xA0.src (H1 x, H0 x))))
        (F1 (H1 x), F0 (H0 x)) =
      B1xB0.join
        (T1 (A1.src (H1 x)), T0 (A0.src (H0 x)))
        (F1 (H1 x), F0 (H0 x))
      using x A1xA0.src-char by auto
      also have ... = (T1 (H1 x), T0 (H0 x))
      using x B1xB0.join-char
      by (simp add: B1xB0.join-of-char(2) T0.naturality3'E(1-2)
          T1.naturality3'E(1-2))
      finally show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  thus ?thesis
  using pointwise-tuple-def H1.extensional H0.extensional
    T1.extensional T0.extensional T1xT0.extensional T1xT0.map-def
    T1xT0.TC.map-def comp-product-simulation-tuple
    F1xF0.product-simulation-axioms G1xG0.product-simulation-axioms
  by (cases X.arr x) (auto simp add: pointwise-tuple-def)
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma simulation-interchange:
  assumes simulation A A' F and simulation B B' G
  and simulation A' A'' F' and simulation B' B'' G'
  shows product-simulation.map A B (F' ∘ F) (G' ∘ G) =
    product-simulation.map A' B' F' G' ∘ product-simulation.map A B F G
proof –
  interpret F: simulation A A' F
  using assms(1) by blast
  interpret G: simulation B B' G
  using assms(2) by blast

```

```

interpret  $F'$ : simulation  $A'$   $A''$   $F'$ 
  using assms(3) by blast
interpret  $G'$ : simulation  $B'$   $B''$   $G'$ 
  using assms(4) by blast
interpret  $F' \circ F$ : composite-simulation  $A$   $A'$   $A''$   $F$   $F'$  ..
interpret  $G' \circ G$ : composite-simulation  $B$   $B'$   $B''$   $G$   $G'$  ..
interpret  $FxG$ : product-simulation  $A$   $B$   $A'$   $B'$   $F$   $G$  ..
interpret  $F'xG'$ : product-simulation  $A'$   $B'$   $A''$   $B''$   $F'$   $G'$  ..
interpret  $F' \circ FxG' \circ G$ : product-simulation  $A$   $B$   $A''$   $B''$   $\langle F' \circ F \rangle$   $\langle G' \circ G \rangle$  ..
show  $F' \circ FxG' \circ G.map = F'xG'.map \circ FxG.map$ 
  unfolding  $F' \circ FxG' \circ G.map-def$   $FxG.map-def$   $F'xG'.map-def$ 
  using  $F.extensional$   $G.extensional$   $F'.extensional$   $G'.extensional$  by auto
qed

```

3.9.1 Associators

For any RTS's A , B , and C , there exists an invertible “associator” simulation from the product RTS $(A \times B) \times C$ to the product RTS $A \times (B \times C)$.

```

locale ASSOC =
   $A$ : rts  $A$  +
   $B$ : rts  $B$  +
   $C$ : rts  $C$ 
for  $A$  :: ' $a$  resid
and  $B$  :: ' $b$  resid
and  $C$  :: ' $c$  resid
begin

  sublocale  $AxB$ : product-rts  $A$   $B$  ..
  sublocale  $BxC$ : product-rts  $B$   $C$  ..
  sublocale  $AxB-xC$ : product-rts  $AxB.resid$   $C$  ..
  sublocale  $Ax-BxC$ : product-rts  $A$   $BxC.resid$  ..

```

The following definition is expressed in a form that makes it evident that it defines a simulation.

```

definition map :: (' $a$   $\times$  ' $b$ )  $\times$  ' $c$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$   $\times$  ' $b$   $\times$  ' $c$ 
where  $map \equiv Ax-BxC.tuple$ 
      ( $AxB.P_1 \circ AxB-xC.P_1$ )
      ( $BxC.tuple (AxB.P_0 \circ AxB-xC.P_1) AxB-xC.P_0$ )

```

```

sublocale simulation  $AxB-xC.resid$   $Ax-BxC.resid$  map
  unfolding map-def
  using  $AxB.P_0.simulation-axioms$   $AxB.P_1.simulation-axioms$ 
       $AxB-xC.P_0.simulation-axioms$   $AxB-xC.P_1.simulation-axioms$ 
  by (intro simulation-tuple simulation-comp) auto

```

```

lemma is-simulation:
shows simulation  $AxB-xC.resid$   $Ax-BxC.resid$  map
  ..

```

The following explicit formula is more convenient for calculations.

lemma *map-eq*:

shows $map = (\lambda x. \text{if } AxB-xC.arr \ x$
 $\text{then } (fst \ (fst \ x), \ (snd \ (fst \ x), \ snd \ x))$
 $\text{else } Ax-BxC.null)$

unfolding *map-def pointwise-tuple-def AxB.P₀-def AxB.P₁-def*
AxB-xC.P₀-def AxB-xC.P₁-def

by *auto*

definition $map' :: 'a \times 'b \times 'c \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \times 'c$

where $map' \equiv AxB-xC.tuple$
 $(AxB.tuple \ Ax-BxC.P_1 \ (BxC.P_1 \circ \ Ax-BxC.P_0))$
 $(BxC.P_0 \circ \ Ax-BxC.P_0)$

sublocale *inv: simulation Ax-BxC.resid AxB-xC.resid map'*

unfolding *map'-def*

using *BxC.P₀.simulation-axioms BxC.P₁.simulation-axioms*

AxB-xC.P₀.simulation-axioms Ax-BxC.P₁.simulation-axioms

by (*intro simulation-tuple simulation-comp*) *auto*

lemma *inv-is-simulation*:

shows *simulation Ax-BxC.resid AxB-xC.resid map'*

..

lemma *map'-eq*:

shows $map' =$
 $(\lambda x. \text{if } Ax-BxC.arr \ x$
 $\text{then } ((fst \ x, \ fst \ (snd \ x)), \ snd \ (snd \ x))$
 $\text{else } AxB-xC.null)$

unfolding *map'-def pointwise-tuple-def BxC.P₀-def BxC.P₁-def*
AxB-xC.P₀-def Ax-BxC.P₁-def

by *auto*

lemma *inverse-simulations-map'-map*:

shows *inverse-simulations AxB-xC.resid Ax-BxC.resid map' map*

proof

show $map \circ map' = I \ Ax-BxC.resid$

unfolding *map-eq map'-eq* **by** *auto*

show $map' \circ map = I \ AxB-xC.resid$

unfolding *map-eq map'-eq* **by** *auto*

qed

end

3.10 Exponential RTS

The exponential $[A, B]$ of RTS's A and B has states corresponding to simulations from A to B and transitions corresponding to transformations between

such simulations. Since our definition of transformation has assumed that A and B are weakly extensional, we need to include those assumptions here. In addition, the definition of residuation for the exponential RTS uses the assumption of uniqueness of joins, so we actually assume that B is extensional. Things become rather inconvenient if this assumption is not made, and I have not investigated whether relaxing it is possible.

```

locale consistent-transformations =
  A: weakly-extensional-rts A +
  B: extensional-rts B +
  F: simulation A B F +
  G: simulation A B G +
  H: simulation A B H +
   $\sigma$ : transformation A B F G  $\sigma$  +
   $\tau$ : transformation A B F H  $\tau$ 
for A :: 'a resid    (infix \ $\setminus_A$  70)
and B :: 'b resid    (infix \ $\setminus_B$  70)
and F :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
and G :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
and H :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
and  $\sigma$  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
and  $\tau$  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b +
assumes con: A.ide a  $\longrightarrow$  B.con ( $\sigma$  a) ( $\tau$  a)
begin

  sublocale  $\sigma$ : transformation-to-extensional-rts A B F G  $\sigma$  ..
  sublocale  $\tau$ : transformation-to-extensional-rts A B F H  $\tau$  ..

  sublocale sym: consistent-transformations A B F H G  $\tau$   $\sigma$ 
  by (meson B.residuation-axioms con consistent-transformations-axioms
    consistent-transformations-axioms.intro consistent-transformations-def
    residuation.con-sym)

  The “apex” determined by consistent transformations  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  is the
  simulation whose value at a transition  $t$  of  $A$  may be visualized as the apex
  of a rectangular parallelepiped, which is formed with  $t$  as its base, the com-
  ponents at src  $c$  of the transformations associated with the two transitions
  and their residuals, and the images of  $t$  under these transformations.

  abbreviation apex :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
  where apex  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda t$ . if A.arr  $t$ 
    then H  $t$  \ $\setminus_B$  ( $\sigma$  (A.src  $t$ ) \ $\setminus_B$   $\tau$  (A.src  $t$ ))
    else B.null)

  abbreviation resid :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
  where resid  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda t$ . if A.arr  $t$ 
    then B.join ( $\sigma$  (A.src  $t$ ) \ $\setminus_B$   $\tau$  (A.src  $t$ )) (H  $t$ )
    else B.null)

end

```

For unknown reasons, it is necessary to close and re-open the context here in order to obtain access to *sym* as a sublocale.

context *consistent-transformations*
begin

lemma *sym-apex-eq*:
shows *sym.apex = apex*
proof
 fix *t*
 show *sym.apex t = apex t*
 by (*metis (full-types) σ.naturality2 τ.naturality2 B.cube*)
qed

The apex associated with two consistent transformations is a simulation. The proof that it preserves residuation can be visualized in terms of a three-dimensional figure consisting of four rectangular parallelepipeds connected into an overall diamond shape, with $Dom \tau t$ and $Dom \sigma u$ (which is equal to $Dom \tau u$) and their residuals at the base of the overall diamond and with $Apex \sigma \tau t$ and $Apex \sigma \tau u$ at its peak.

interpretation *apex: simulation A B apex*
proof
 show $\bigwedge t. \neg A.arr t \implies apex t = B.null$
 by *simp*
 show $\bigwedge t u. t \frown_A u \implies apex t \frown_B apex u$
 proof –
 fix *t u*
 assume *con: t frown_A u*
 have $apex t \frown_B apex u \iff$
 $H t \setminus_B (\sigma (A.src t) \setminus_B \tau (A.src t)) \frown_B$
 $H u \setminus_B (\sigma (A.src u) \setminus_B \tau (A.src u))$
 using *A.con-implies-arr(1-2) con by simp*
 also have $\dots \iff (F t \setminus_B \tau (A.src t)) \setminus_B$
 $(\sigma (A.src t) \setminus_B \tau (A.src t)) \frown_B$
 $(F u \setminus_B \tau (A.src t)) \setminus_B$
 $(\sigma (A.src t) \setminus_B \tau (A.src t))$
 using *A.con-implies-arr(1-2) τ.naturality2 con A.con-imp-eq-src*
 by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
 also have $\dots \iff (F t \setminus_B F u) \setminus_B \tau (A.trg u) \frown_B$
 $(\sigma (A.src t) \setminus_B F u) \setminus_B \tau (A.trg u)$
 using *A.con-imp-eq-src τ.naturality1 con B.con-def B.cube by presburger*
 also have $\dots \iff (F t \setminus_B F u) \setminus_B \tau (A.trg u) \frown_B$
 $\sigma (A.trg u) \setminus_B \tau (A.trg u)$
 using *A.con-imp-eq-src σ.naturality1 con by presburger*
 also have $\dots \iff F (t \setminus_A u) \setminus_B \tau (A.trg u) \frown_B$
 $\sigma (A.trg u) \setminus_B \tau (A.trg u)$
 using *F.preserves-resid con by presburger*
 also have $\dots \iff True$
 by (*metis A.arr-resid A.ide-trg A.src-resid B.con-def B.con-sym*)

$B.cube \sigma.naturality1 \tau.naturality1 \text{ con sym.con}$
finally show $\text{apex } t \frown_B \text{apex } u$ **by** *blast*
qed
show $\bigwedge t u. t \frown_A u \implies \text{apex } (t \setminus_A u) = \text{apex } t \setminus_B \text{apex } u$
using $\sigma.naturality1 \tau.naturality1 \tau.naturality2 B.cube A.arr-resid A.arr-src-iff-arr$
 $A.con-imp-eq-src A.con-implies-arr(1-2) A.src-resid H.preserves-resid$
by *auto (metis (mono-tags, lifting))*
qed

lemma *simulation-apex*:
shows *simulation A B apex*
 ..

lemma *resid-ide*:
assumes *A.ide a*
shows $\text{resid } a = \sigma a \setminus_B \tau a$
by (*metis (full-types) A.arr-def A.ideE A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms*
 $B.join-prfx(2) \text{apex.preserves-ide assms weakly-extensional-rts.src-ide}$)

interpretation *resid*: *transformation* $\langle \setminus_A \rangle \langle \setminus_B \rangle H \text{apex resid}$

proof –

have 2: $\bigwedge f. A.ide f \implies B.joinable (\sigma (A.src f) \setminus_B \tau (A.src f)) (H f)$
using *B.joinable-iff-arr-join con resid-ide* **by** *auto*

show *transformation* $\langle \setminus_A \rangle \langle \setminus_B \rangle H \text{apex resid}$

proof

show $\bigwedge f. \neg A.arr f \implies \text{resid } f = B.null$

by *force*

show 3: $\bigwedge f. A.ide f \implies B.src (\text{resid } f) = H f$

using 2 *B.src-join $\tau.preserves-trg$ con* **by** *auto*

show $\bigwedge f. A.ide f \implies B.trg (\text{resid } f) = \text{apex } f$

using *B.apex-arr-prfx(2) apex.preserves-ide resid-ide* **by** *force*

show $\bigwedge f. A.arr f \implies H f \setminus_B \text{resid } (A.src f) = \text{apex } f$

using *resid-ide* **by** *force*

show $\bigwedge f. A.arr f \implies \text{resid } (A.src f) \setminus_B H f = \text{resid } (A.trg f)$

using *A.ide-src A.ide-trg B.cube $\sigma.naturality1 \tau.naturality1$*
 $\tau.naturality2 \text{resid-ide}$

by *auto metis*

show $\bigwedge f. A.arr f \implies B.join-of (\text{resid } (A.src f)) (H f) (\text{resid } f)$

proof –

fix *f*

assume *f: A.arr f*

have 1: $B.joinable (\sigma (A.src f) \setminus_B \tau (A.src f)) (H f)$

proof –

have $(\sigma (A.src f) \sqcup_B F f) \setminus_B \tau (A.src f) =$
 $(\sigma (A.src f) \setminus_B \tau (A.src f)) \sqcup_B (F f \setminus_B \tau (A.src f))$

by (*metis A.prfx-reflexive A.trg-def B.conI B.con-with-join-if(2)*

B.not-arr-null B.resid-join_E(3) H.preserves-reflects-arr

$\sigma.naturality1-ax \sigma.naturality3^l_E(2) \tau.naturality1-ax \tau.naturality2$

f sym.con)

```

    hence B.join-of ( $\sigma$  (A.src f)  $\setminus_B$   $\tau$  (A.src f)) (H f)
      (( $\sigma$  (A.src f)  $\setminus_B$   $\tau$  (A.src f))  $\sqcup_B$  (F f  $\setminus_B$   $\tau$  (A.src f)))
  using f A.ide-trg B.arr-resid-iff-con B.conE B.con-sym B.con-with-join-if(1)
      B.join-def B.join-is-join-of H.preserves-reflects-arr  $\sigma$ .naturality1
       $\sigma$ .naturality3'E(2)  $\tau$ .naturality1  $\tau$ .naturality2
    by (metis sym.con)
  thus ?thesis
    using B.joinable-def by blast
  qed
  show B.join-of (resid (A.src f)) (H f) (resid f)
    using 1 A.ide-src B.join-is-join-of resid-ide f by auto
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma transformation-resid:
  shows transformation ( $\setminus_A$ ) ( $\setminus_B$ ) H apex resid
  ..

```

end

Now we can define the exponential $[A, B]$ of RTS's A and B .

```

locale exponential-rts =
  A: weakly-extensional-rts A +
  B: extensional-rts B
  for A :: 'a resid    (infix  $\setminus_A$  70)
  and B :: 'b resid    (infix  $\setminus_B$  70)
  begin

    notation A.con    (infix  $\frown_A$  50)
    notation A.prfx   (infix  $\lesssim_A$  50)
    notation B.con    (infix  $\frown_B$  50)
    notation B.join   (infix  $\sqcup_B$  52)
    notation B.prfx   (infix  $\lesssim_B$  50)

    datatype ('aa, 'bb) arr =
      Null
    | MkArr <'aa  $\Rightarrow$  'bb> <'aa  $\Rightarrow$  'bb> <'aa  $\Rightarrow$  'bb>

    abbreviation MkIde :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) arr
    where MkIde a  $\equiv$  MkArr a a a

    fun Dom :: ('a, 'b) arr  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
    where Dom (MkArr F -) = F
      | Dom - = undefined

    fun Cod :: ('a, 'b) arr  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
    where Cod (MkArr - G -) = G
      | Cod - = undefined
  end

```

fun $Map :: ('a, 'b) arr \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
where $Map (MkArr - - \tau) = \tau$
 $| Map - = undefined$

abbreviation $Arr :: ('a, 'b) arr \Rightarrow bool$
where $Arr \equiv \lambda \tau. \tau \neq Null \wedge transformation\ A\ B\ (Dom\ \tau)\ (Cod\ \tau)\ (Map\ \tau)$

abbreviation $Ide :: ('a, 'b) arr \Rightarrow bool$
where $Ide \equiv \lambda \tau. \tau \neq Null \wedge$
 $identity\text{-}transformation\ A\ B\ (Dom\ \tau)\ (Cod\ \tau)\ (Map\ \tau)$

In order to define consistency for transitions of the exponential, we at least need to have pointwise consistency of the components of the corresponding transitions. Surprisingly, this is sufficient.

abbreviation $Con :: ('a, 'b) arr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) arr \Rightarrow bool$
where $Con \equiv \lambda \sigma\ \tau. Arr\ \sigma \wedge Arr\ \tau \wedge Dom\ \sigma = Dom\ \tau \wedge$
 $(\forall a. A.ide\ a \longrightarrow B.con\ (Map\ \sigma\ a)\ (Map\ \tau\ a))$

lemma *Con-sym*:
assumes $Con\ \sigma\ \tau$
shows $Con\ \tau\ \sigma$
using *assms B.con-sym by auto*

lemma *Con-implies-consistent-transformations*:
assumes $Con\ \sigma\ \tau$
shows *consistent-transformations*
 $A\ B\ (Dom\ \sigma)\ (Cod\ \sigma)\ (Cod\ \tau)\ (Map\ \sigma)\ (Map\ \tau)$

proof –
interpret $\sigma: transformation\ A\ B\ \langle Dom\ \sigma \rangle\ \langle Cod\ \sigma \rangle\ \langle Map\ \sigma \rangle$
using *assms by auto*
interpret $\tau: transformation\ A\ B\ \langle Dom\ \sigma \rangle\ \langle Cod\ \tau \rangle\ \langle Map\ \tau \rangle$
using *assms by auto*
show *?thesis*
using *assms*
apply *intro-locales*
by (*simp add: consistent-transformations-axioms-def*)
qed

definition $Apex :: ('a, 'b) arr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) arr \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
where $Apex\ \sigma\ \tau = (\lambda t. if\ A.arr\ t$
 $then\ Cod\ \tau\ t\ \setminus_B\ (Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t))\ \setminus_B\ Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t))$
 $else\ B.null)$

lemma *Apex-sym*:
assumes $Con\ \sigma\ \tau$
shows $Apex\ \sigma\ \tau = Apex\ \tau\ \sigma$
unfolding *Apex-def*
using *assms Con-implies-consistent-transformations*

consistent-transformations.sym-apex-eq
 [of $A B \text{ Dom } \sigma \text{ Cod } \sigma \text{ Cod } \tau \text{ Map } \sigma \text{ Map } \tau$]
 by *auto*

lemma *Apex-is-simulation* [intro]:
assumes $\text{Con } \sigma \tau$
shows $\text{simulation } A B (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau)$
unfolding *Apex-def*
using *assms Con-implies-consistent-transformations*
consistent-transformations.simulation-apex
 by *blast*

abbreviation $\text{Resid} :: ('a, 'b) \text{ arr} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
where $\text{Resid } \sigma \tau \equiv (\lambda t. \text{if } A.\text{arr } t$
 $\text{then } (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Cod } \tau t$
 $\text{else } B.\text{null})$

definition $\text{resid} :: ('a, 'b) \text{ arr resid} \quad (\text{infix } \setminus 70)$
where $\sigma \setminus \tau =$
 $(\text{if } \text{Con } \sigma \tau$
 $\text{then } \text{MkArr } (\text{Cod } \tau)$
 $(\text{consistent-transformations.apex } A B (\text{Cod } \tau) (\text{Map } \sigma) (\text{Map } \tau))$
 $(\text{consistent-transformations.resid } A B (\text{Cod } \tau) (\text{Map } \sigma) (\text{Map } \tau))$
 $\text{else } \text{Null})$

lemma *Dom-resid'*:
assumes $\text{Con } \sigma \tau$
shows $\text{Dom } (\sigma \setminus \tau) = \text{Cod } \tau$
using *assms resid-def* by *auto*

lemma *Cod-resid'*:
assumes $\text{Con } \sigma \tau$
shows $\text{Cod } (\sigma \setminus \tau) = \text{Apex } \sigma \tau$
unfolding *Apex-def*
using *assms resid-def Con-implies-consistent-transformations* by *auto*

lemma *Map-resid'*:
assumes $\text{Con } \sigma \tau$
shows $\text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) = (\lambda t. \text{if } A.\text{arr } t$
 $\text{then } \text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Cod } \tau t$
 $\text{else } B.\text{null})$
using *assms resid-def* by *auto*

lemma *Map-resid-ide'*:
assumes $\text{Con } \sigma \tau$ and $A.\text{ide } a$
shows $\text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) a = \text{Map } \sigma a \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau a$
unfolding *resid-def*
using *assms Con-implies-consistent-transformations*
consistent-transformations.resid-ide

[of A B Dom σ Cod σ Cod τ Map σ Map τ]
 by auto

lemma *transformation-Map-resid*:

assumes *Con* σ τ

shows *transformation* (\setminus_A) (\setminus_B) (Cod τ) (Apex σ τ) (Map ($\sigma \setminus \tau$))

using *assms Apex-def resid-def Con-implies-consistent-transformations*
consistent-transformations.transformation-resid

[of A B Dom σ Cod σ Cod τ Map σ Map τ]

by auto

sublocale *ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma resid*

proof

show $\exists!n. \forall t. n \setminus t = n \wedge t \setminus n = n$

using *resid-def* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *null-char*:

shows *null* = *Null*

by (*metis null-is-zero(2) resid-def*)

sublocale *residuation resid*

proof

show $\bigwedge \sigma \tau. \sigma \setminus \tau \neq \text{null} \implies \tau \setminus \sigma \neq \text{null}$

using *resid-def null-char Con-sym*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) arr.simps(2)*)

show $\bigwedge \sigma \tau. \sigma \setminus \tau \neq \text{null} \implies (\sigma \setminus \tau) \setminus (\sigma \setminus \tau) \neq \text{null}$

proof –

fix $\sigma \tau$

assume *1*: $\sigma \setminus \tau \neq \text{null}$

have *Con* σ τ

using *1*

by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) null-char resid-def*)

hence *Con* ($\sigma \setminus \tau$) ($\sigma \setminus \tau$)

using *1 null-char Dom-resid' Cod-resid' transformation-Map-resid*

apply *auto[1]*

by (*metis A.ideE transformation.preserves-con(1)*)

thus ($\sigma \setminus \tau$) \setminus ($\sigma \setminus \tau$) \neq *null*

by (*simp add: null-char resid-def*)

qed

show $\bigwedge \varrho \sigma \tau. (\sigma \setminus \tau) \setminus (\varrho \setminus \tau) \neq \text{null}$

$\implies (\sigma \setminus \tau) \setminus (\varrho \setminus \tau) = (\sigma \setminus \varrho) \setminus (\tau \setminus \varrho)$

proof –

fix $\varrho \sigma \tau$

assume $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\sigma$: ($\sigma \setminus \tau$) \setminus ($\varrho \setminus \tau$) \neq *null*

have $\sigma\tau$: *Con* σ τ

using $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\sigma$ *resid-def*

by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) null-char*)

have $\varrho\tau$: *Con* ϱ τ

```

using  $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\sigma$  resid-def
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) null-char)
have  $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\tau$ : Con ( $\sigma \setminus \tau$ ) ( $\varrho \setminus \tau$ )
using  $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\sigma$  resid-def
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) null-char)
interpret  $\sigma$ : transformation  $A B \langle \text{Dom } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Cod } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Map } \sigma \rangle$ 
using  $\sigma\tau$  by blast
interpret  $\tau$ : transformation  $A B \langle \text{Dom } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Cod } \tau \rangle \langle \text{Map } \tau \rangle$ 
using  $\sigma\tau$  by auto
interpret  $\varrho$ : transformation  $A B \langle \text{Dom } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Cod } \varrho \rangle \langle \text{Map } \varrho \rangle$ 
using  $\sigma\tau \varrho\tau$  by auto
have  $1$ :  $\bigwedge a. A.\text{ide } a \implies \text{Map } \sigma a \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau a \frown_B \text{Map } \varrho a \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau a$ 
using  $\sigma\tau \varrho\tau$  Map-resid-ide'  $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\tau$  by force
show  $(\sigma \setminus \tau) \setminus (\varrho \setminus \tau) = (\sigma \setminus \varrho) \setminus (\tau \setminus \varrho)$ 
proof –
interpret  $\sigma\tau$ : transformation  $A B \langle \text{Cod } \tau \rangle \langle \text{Apex } \sigma \tau \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) \rangle$ 
using  $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\sigma \sigma\tau \varrho\tau$  resid-def transformation-Map-resid [of  $\sigma \tau$ ] by blast
interpret  $\varrho\tau$ : transformation  $A B \langle \text{Cod } \tau \rangle \langle \text{Apex } \varrho \tau \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\varrho \setminus \tau) \rangle$ 
using  $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\sigma \sigma\tau \varrho\tau$  resid-def transformation-Map-resid [of  $\varrho \tau$ ] by blast
have  $\sigma\varrho$ : Con  $\sigma \varrho$ 
by (metis  $\sigma\tau \varrho\tau 1 B.\text{resid-reflects-con}$ )
have  $\tau\varrho$ : Con  $\tau \varrho$ 
by (metis  $\varrho\tau B.\text{con-sym}$ )
interpret  $\sigma\varrho$ : transformation  $A B \langle \text{Cod } \varrho \rangle \langle \text{Apex } \sigma \varrho \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \varrho) \rangle$ 
using  $\sigma\varrho$  transformation-Map-resid by blast
interpret  $\tau\varrho$ : transformation  $A B \langle \text{Cod } \varrho \rangle \langle \text{Apex } \tau \varrho \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\tau \setminus \varrho) \rangle$ 
using  $\tau\varrho$  transformation-Map-resid by blast
have  $\sigma\varrho\text{-}\tau\varrho$ : Con  $(\sigma \setminus \varrho) (\tau \setminus \varrho)$ 
proof (intro conjI)
show  $\sigma \setminus \varrho \neq \text{Null}$ 
using  $\sigma\varrho$  resid-def by force
show  $\tau \setminus \varrho \neq \text{Null}$ 
by (metis  $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\sigma \langle \bigwedge \tau \sigma. \sigma \setminus \tau \neq \text{null} \implies \tau \setminus \sigma \neq \text{null} \rangle$  null-char null-is-zero(2))
show  $\text{Dom } (\sigma \setminus \varrho) = \text{Dom } (\tau \setminus \varrho)$ 
using  $\sigma\varrho \tau\varrho$  exponential-rts.resid-def exponential-rts-axioms by force
show transformation  $A B (\text{Dom } (\sigma \setminus \varrho)) (\text{Cod } (\sigma \setminus \varrho)) (\text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \varrho))$ 
using  $\sigma\varrho \sigma\varrho.$ transformation-axioms Dom-resid' Cod-resid' by auto
show transformation  $A B (\text{Dom } (\tau \setminus \varrho)) (\text{Cod } (\tau \setminus \varrho)) (\text{Map } (\tau \setminus \varrho))$ 
using  $\tau\varrho \tau\varrho.$ transformation-axioms Dom-resid' Cod-resid' by auto
show  $\forall a. A.\text{ide } a \implies \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \varrho) a \frown_B \text{Map } (\tau \setminus \varrho) a$ 
using  $1 B.\text{con-def } B.\text{cube Map-resid-ide' } \sigma\varrho \tau\varrho$  by force
qed
interpret  $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\tau$ : transformation  $A B \langle \text{Apex } \varrho \tau \rangle \langle \text{Apex } (\sigma \setminus \tau) (\varrho \setminus \tau) \rangle$ 
using  $\langle \text{Map } ((\sigma \setminus \tau) \setminus (\varrho \setminus \tau)) \rangle$ 
by (metis  $\varrho\tau$  Cod-resid'  $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\tau$  transformation-Map-resid)
interpret  $\sigma\varrho\text{-}\tau\varrho$ : transformation  $A B \langle \text{Apex } \tau \varrho \rangle \langle \text{Apex } (\sigma \setminus \varrho) (\tau \setminus \varrho) \rangle$ 
using  $\langle \text{Map } ((\sigma \setminus \varrho) \setminus (\tau \setminus \varrho)) \rangle$ 
using  $\sigma\varrho\text{-}\tau\varrho$  transformation-Map-resid

```

by (*metis Cod-resid' $\tau \varrho$*)
show $(\sigma \setminus \tau) \setminus (\varrho \setminus \tau) = (\sigma \setminus \varrho) \setminus (\tau \setminus \varrho)$
proof –
have 2: $\bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies$
 $Map\ ((\sigma \setminus \tau) \setminus (\varrho \setminus \tau))\ a = Map\ ((\sigma \setminus \varrho) \setminus (\tau \setminus \varrho))\ a$
using *B.cube Map-resid-ide' $\varrho\tau\ \sigma\varrho\ \sigma\varrho\text{-}\tau\varrho\ \sigma\tau\ \sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\tau\ \tau\varrho$ by auto*
have 3: $Apex\ (\sigma \setminus \varrho)\ (\tau \setminus \varrho) = Apex\ (\sigma \setminus \tau)\ (\varrho \setminus \tau)$
proof –
have ($\lambda t. if\ A.arr\ t$
 $then\ Cod\ (\tau \setminus \varrho)\ t \setminus_B$
 $(Map\ (\sigma \setminus \varrho)\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ (\tau \setminus \varrho)\ (A.src\ t))$
 $else\ B.null$) =
 $(\lambda t. if\ A.arr\ t$
 $then\ Cod\ (\varrho \setminus \tau)\ t \setminus_B$
 $(Map\ (\sigma \setminus \tau)\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ (\varrho \setminus \tau)\ (A.src\ t))$
 $else\ B.null$)
proof
fix t
show ($if\ A.arr\ t$
 $then\ Cod\ (\tau \setminus \varrho)\ t \setminus_B$
 $(Map\ (\sigma \setminus \varrho)\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ (\tau \setminus \varrho)\ (A.src\ t))$
 $else\ B.null$) =
 $(if\ A.arr\ t$
 $then\ Cod\ (\varrho \setminus \tau)\ t \setminus_B$
 $(Map\ (\sigma \setminus \tau)\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ (\varrho \setminus \tau)\ (A.src\ t))$
 $else\ B.null$)
proof (*cases A.arr t*)
show $\neg A.arr\ t \implies ?thesis$
by *auto*
assume $t: A.arr\ t$
show $?thesis$
by (*metis 2 A.ide-src Apex-def Apex-sym $\sigma\varrho\text{-}\tau\varrho.naturality2$*
 $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\tau.naturality2\ \tau\varrho$)
qed
qed
thus $?thesis$
using *Apex-def by simp*
qed
have $\bigwedge x\ y. \llbracket x \neq null; y \neq null; \rrbracket$
 $Dom\ x = Dom\ y; Cod\ x = Cod\ y; Map\ x = Map\ y$
 $\implies x = y$
by (*metis Cod.elims Dom.simps(1) Map.simps(1) null-char*)
moreover **have** $(\sigma \setminus \varrho) \setminus (\tau \setminus \varrho) \neq null$
using $\sigma\varrho\text{-}\tau\varrho\ exponential\text{-rts.resid-def exponential\text{-rts-axioms null-char}$
by *force*
moreover **have** $Dom\ ((\sigma \setminus \tau) \setminus (\varrho \setminus \tau)) = Dom\ ((\sigma \setminus \varrho) \setminus (\tau \setminus \varrho))$
using $\varrho\tau\ Apex\text{-sym Cod-resid' Dom-resid' \sigma\varrho\text{-}\tau\varrho\ \sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\tau\ \tau\varrho$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $Cod\ ((\sigma \setminus \tau) \setminus (\varrho \setminus \tau)) = Cod\ ((\sigma \setminus \varrho) \setminus (\tau \setminus \varrho))$
using *Cod-resid' $\sigma\varrho\text{-}\tau\varrho\ \sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\tau\ 3$ by auto*

moreover have $Map ((\sigma \setminus \tau) \setminus (\varrho \setminus \tau)) = Map ((\sigma \setminus \varrho) \setminus (\tau \setminus \varrho))$
using $\varrho\tau$ 2 3 $\sigma\varrho\text{-}\tau\varrho$.*transformation-axioms* $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\tau$.*transformation-axioms*
transformation-eqI B .*extensional-rts-axioms* $Apex\text{-}sym$
by *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using $\sigma\tau\text{-}\varrho\sigma$ *null-char* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
qed
qed

notation con (infix \frown 50)

lemma *con-char*:
shows $\sigma \frown \tau \longleftrightarrow Con \sigma \tau$
using *con-def resid-def null-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *arr-char*:
shows $arr \tau \longleftrightarrow Arr \tau$
by (*metis* A .*ide-implies-arr* B .*arr-def* *arrE* *arrI* *con-char*
transformation.preserves-arr)

lemma *Dom-resid* [*simp*]:
assumes $\sigma \frown \tau$
shows $Dom (\sigma \setminus \tau) = Cod \tau$
using *assms Dom-resid' con-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *Cod-resid* [*simp*]:
assumes $\sigma \frown \tau$
shows $Cod (\sigma \setminus \tau) = Apex \sigma \tau$
using *assms Cod-resid' con-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *Map-resid* [*simp*]:
assumes $\sigma \frown \tau$
shows $Map (\sigma \setminus \tau) = (\lambda t. \text{if } A.arr \ t$
 $\text{then } Map \ \sigma \ (A.src \ t) \setminus_B \ Map \ \tau \ (A.src \ t) \sqcup_B \ Cod \ \tau \ t$
 $\text{else } B.null)$
using *assms Map-resid' con-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *Map-resid-ide* [*simp*]:
assumes $con \ \sigma \ \tau$ **and** $A.ide \ a$
shows $Map (\sigma \setminus \tau) \ a = Map \ \sigma \ a \setminus_B \ Map \ \tau \ a$
using *Map-resid-ide' assms(1-2)* *con-char* **by** *blast*

lemma *resid-Map*:
assumes $con \ \varrho \ \sigma$ **and** $t \frown_A \ u$
shows $Map \ \varrho \ t \setminus_B \ Map \ \sigma \ u = Map (\varrho \setminus \sigma) (t \setminus_A u)$
proof –
interpret ϱ : *transformation* $A \ B \ \langle Dom \ \varrho \rangle \ \langle Cod \ \varrho \rangle \ \langle Map \ \varrho \rangle$

using *assms(1) arr-char con-implies-arr(1)* **by** *blast*
interpret ϱ : *transformation-to-extensional-rts* $A\ B\ \langle Dom\ \varrho\rangle\ \langle Cod\ \varrho\rangle\ \langle Map\ \varrho\rangle$
..
interpret σ : *transformation* $A\ B\ \langle Dom\ \sigma\rangle\ \langle Cod\ \sigma\rangle\ \langle Map\ \sigma\rangle$
using *assms(1) arr-char con-implies-arr(2)* **by** *blast*
interpret σ : *transformation-to-extensional-rts* $A\ B$
 $\langle Dom\ \sigma\rangle\ \langle Cod\ \sigma\rangle\ \langle Map\ \sigma\rangle$
..
interpret $\varrho\sigma$: *transformation* $A\ B\ \langle Cod\ \sigma\rangle\ \langle Apex\ \varrho\ \sigma\rangle\ \langle Map\ (\varrho\ \backslash\ \sigma)\rangle$
using *assms con-char transformation-Map-resid* **by** *auto*
interpret $\varrho\sigma$: *transformation-to-extensional-rts* $A\ B$
 $\langle Cod\ \sigma\rangle\ \langle Apex\ \varrho\ \sigma\rangle\ \langle Map\ (\varrho\ \backslash\ \sigma)\rangle$
..
have $Map\ \varrho\ t\ \backslash_B\ Map\ \sigma\ u = Map\ \varrho\ t\ \backslash_B\ (Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ u)\ \sqcup_B\ Dom\ \sigma\ u)$
by (*metis* $\sigma.naturality3'_E(1)$)
also have $\dots =$
 $(Map\ \varrho\ t\ \backslash_B\ Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ u))\ \backslash_B\ (Dom\ \sigma\ u\ \backslash_B\ Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ u))$
using *B.resid-join_E(2)* [*of* $Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ u)\ Dom\ \sigma\ u\ Map\ \varrho\ t$]
by (*metis* $A.con-implies-arr(2)\ B.conI\ B.con-sym-ax\ B.con-with-join-if(2)$
 $B.join-sym\ B.joinable-iff-join-not-null\ B.null-is-zero(2)\ \sigma.naturality3'_E(2)$
assms(2))
also have $\dots = (Map\ \varrho\ t\ \backslash_B\ Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ u))\ \backslash_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ u$
using $\sigma.naturality2$ **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots =$
 $((Map\ \varrho\ (A.src\ t)\ \sqcup_B\ Dom\ \varrho\ t)\ \backslash_B\ Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ u))\ \backslash_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ u$
by (*metis* $\varrho.naturality3'_E(1)$)
also have $\dots =$
 $((Map\ \varrho\ (A.src\ t)\ \backslash_B\ Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ u))\ \sqcup_B$
 $(Dom\ \varrho\ t\ \backslash_B\ Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ u)))$
 $\backslash_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ u$
using *B.resid-join_E(3)*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) $A.con-imp-eq-src\ A.ide-trg\ A.residuation-axioms$
 $B.con-sym\ B.con-with-join-if(2)\ B.joinable-implies-con\ \varrho.naturality1$
 $\varrho.naturality3'_E(2)\ \sigma.naturality1\ \sigma.naturality3'_E(2)\ assms(1-2)\ con-char$
residuation.con-implies-arr(1))
also have $\dots = (Map\ (\varrho\ \backslash\ \sigma)\ (A.src\ t)\ \sqcup_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ t)\ \backslash_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ u$
by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) $A.con-imp-eq-src\ A.con-implies-arr(1)\ A.ide-src$
 $Map-resid-ide\ \sigma.naturality2\ assms(1-2)\ con-char$)
also have $\dots = Map\ (\varrho\ \backslash\ \sigma)\ (A.src\ t)\ \backslash_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ u\ \sqcup_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ t\ \backslash_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ u$
by (*metis* (*full-types*) $assms(2)\ A.con-implies-arr(1)\ B.conE\ B.conI\ B.con-sym-ax$
 $B.resid-join_E(3)\ \varrho\sigma.naturality3'_E(1-2)\ \varrho\sigma.preserves-con(2)$)
also have $\dots = Map\ (\varrho\ \backslash\ \sigma)\ (A.src\ t)\ \backslash_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ u\ \sqcup_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ (t\ \backslash_A\ u)$
using *assms(2)* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = Map\ (\varrho\ \backslash\ \sigma)\ (A.trg\ u)\ \sqcup_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ (t\ \backslash_A\ u)$
using $A.con-imp-eq-src\ \varrho\sigma.naturality1\ assms(2)$ **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots = Map\ (\varrho\ \backslash\ \sigma)\ (t\ \backslash_A\ u)$
by (*metis* $A.src-resid\ \varrho\sigma.naturality3'_E(1)\ assms(2)$)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *resid-def'*:
shows $\sigma \setminus \tau =$
 (*if* $\sigma \frown \tau$
 then $MkArr (Cod \tau) (Apex \sigma \tau)$
 ($\lambda t.$ *if* $A.arr t$
 then $Map \sigma (A.src t) \setminus_B Map \tau (A.src t) \sqcup_B Cod \tau t$
 else $B.null$)
 else null)
using *Apex-def resid-def con-char null-char Dom-resid Cod-resid Map-resid*
by *auto*

lemma *trg-simp*:
assumes *arr* τ
shows $trg \tau = MkArr (Cod \tau) (Cod \tau) (Cod \tau)$
proof –
interpret τ : *transformation* $A B \langle Dom \tau \rangle \langle Cod \tau \rangle \langle Map \tau \rangle$
using *assms arr-char* **by** *blast*
have $trg \tau = \tau \setminus \tau$
using *assms trg-def* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = MkArr (Cod \tau) (Cod \tau) (Cod \tau)$
proof –
have $Dom (\tau \setminus \tau) = Cod \tau$
using *Dom-resid assms* **by** *blast*
moreover have $Cod (\tau \setminus \tau) = Cod \tau$
proof –
have ($\lambda t.$ *if* $A.arr t$
 then $Cod \tau t \setminus_B (Map \tau (A.src t) \setminus_B Map \tau (A.src t))$
 else $B.null$) =
 $Cod \tau$
proof
fix t
show (*if* $A.arr t$
 then $Cod \tau t \setminus_B (Map \tau (A.src t) \setminus_B Map \tau (A.src t))$
 else $B.null$) =
 $Cod \tau t$
by (*metis* $A.con-arr-src(1) A.ide-src A.resid-arr-src B.trg-def$
 $\tau.G.extensional \tau.G.preserves-resid \tau.preserves-trg$)
qed
thus *?thesis*
using *resid-def Apex-def $\tau.transformation-axioms$ assms*
arr-char null-char $\tau.preserves-arr$
by *auto*
qed
moreover have $Map (\tau \setminus \tau) = Cod \tau$
proof –
have ($\lambda t.$ *if* $A.arr t$
 then $Map \tau (A.src t) \setminus_B Map \tau (A.src t) \sqcup_B Cod \tau t$
 else $B.null$) =

```

      Cod  $\tau$ 
proof
  fix  $t$ 
  show (if  $A.arr\ t$ 
    then  $Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Cod\ \tau\ t$ 
    else  $B.null$ ) =
     $Cod\ \tau\ t$ 
  by (metis  $B.arr-resid-iff-con\ B.join-src\ B.src-resid\ B.trg-def$ 
     $\tau.G.extensional\ \tau.G.preserves-reflects-arr\ \tau.naturality2$ )
qed
thus ?thesis
  using  $resid-def\ \tau.transformation-axioms\ assms\ arr-char$ 
     $A.ide-implies-arr\ B.residuation-axioms\ \tau.preserves-arr$ 
     $residuation.arrE$ 
  by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using  $assms\ arr-char$ 
  by (metis  $Cod.simps(1)\ Dom.simps(1)\ Map.simps(1)\ arr.exhaust\ arrE$ 
     $conE\ null-char$ )
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

lemma *trg-char*:
shows $trg = (\lambda\tau. \text{if } arr\ \tau \text{ then } MkIde\ (Cod\ \tau) \text{ else } null)$
using $trg-simp\ trg-def$ **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Map-trg [simp]*:
assumes $arr\ \tau$
shows $Map\ (trg\ \tau) = Cod\ \tau$
using $assms\ trg-simp$ **by** *auto*

lemma *resid-Map-self*:
assumes $arr\ \sigma$ **and** $t \frown_A u$
shows $Map\ \sigma\ t \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ u = Cod\ \sigma\ (t \setminus_A u)$
using $assms\ resid-Map\ [of\ \sigma\ \sigma\ t\ u]$
by (metis $Map-trg\ arrE\ trg-def$)

lemma *ide-char_{ERTS} [iff]*:
shows $ide\ \tau \iff \tau \neq null \wedge simulation\ A\ B\ (Map\ \tau) \wedge$
 $Dom\ \tau = Map\ \tau \wedge Cod\ \tau = Map\ \tau$

proof
show $ide\ \tau \implies \tau \neq null \wedge simulation\ A\ B\ (Map\ \tau) \wedge$
 $Dom\ \tau = Map\ \tau \wedge Cod\ \tau = Map\ \tau$
by (metis $Dom-resid\ Map.simps(1)\ arr-char\ ideE\ ide-implies-arr$
 $null-char\ transformation-def\ trg-simp\ trg-def$)
show $\tau \neq null \wedge simulation\ A\ B\ (Map\ \tau) \wedge$
 $Dom\ \tau = Map\ \tau \wedge Cod\ \tau = Map\ \tau$

```

     $\implies \text{ide } \tau$ 
proof –
  assume 1:  $\tau \neq \text{null} \wedge \text{simulation } A \ B \ (\text{Map } \tau) \wedge$ 
            $\text{Dom } \tau = \text{Map } \tau \wedge \text{Cod } \tau = \text{Map } \tau$ 
  interpret  $\tau$ : simulation  $A \ B \ \langle \text{Map } \tau \rangle$ 
  using 1 by blast
  interpret  $\tau$ : simulation-as-transformation  $A \ B \ \langle \text{Map } \tau \rangle \ ..$ 
  show ide  $\tau$ 
  by (metis 1 Cod.elims Dom.simps(1) Map.simps(1)
         $\tau$ .transformation-axioms arr-char arr-def ide-def null-char
        trg-simp trg-def)
qed
qed

sublocale rts resid
proof
  show  $\bigwedge t. \text{arr } t \implies \text{ide } (\text{trg } t)$ 
  using arr-char ide-charERTS trg-simp
  by (metis (full-types) Cod.simps(1) arr.simps(2) con-def con-imp-arr-resid
        con-implies-arr(2) ide-def null-char trg-def)
  show  $\bigwedge a \ t. \llbracket \text{ide } a; t \frown a \rrbracket \implies t \setminus a = t$ 
proof –
  fix  $a \ t$ 
  assume  $a$ : ide  $a$ 
  assume  $con$ :  $t \frown a$ 
  interpret  $a$ : identity-transformation  $A \ B \ \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \ \langle \text{Cod } a \rangle \ \langle \text{Map } a \rangle$ 
  using  $a$  ide-charERTS
  by (metis  $con$  con-char identity-transformation-axioms-def
        identity-transformation-def simulation.preserves-ide)
  interpret  $t$ : transformation  $A \ B \ \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \ \langle \text{Cod } t \rangle \ \langle \text{Map } t \rangle$ 
  using  $con$  con-char by metis
  have  $t \setminus a = \text{MkArr } (\text{Cod } a) \ (\text{Apex } t \ a)$ 
            $(\lambda ta. \text{if } A.\text{arr } ta$ 
              $\text{then } (\text{Map } t \ (A.\text{src } ta) \setminus_B \ \text{Map } a \ (A.\text{src } ta)) \sqcup_B$ 
              $\text{Cod } a \ ta$ 
              $\text{else } B.\text{null})$ 
  using  $con$  ide-charERTS con-char resid-def Apex-def
  by simp metis
moreover have  $\text{Apex } t \ a = \text{Cod } t$ 
proof –
  have  $(\lambda u. \text{if } A.\text{arr } u$ 
           $\text{then } \text{Cod } a \ u \setminus_B \ (\text{Map } t \ (A.\text{src } u) \setminus_B \ \text{Map } a \ (A.\text{src } u))$ 
           $\text{else } B.\text{null}) =$ 
           $\text{Cod } t$ 
proof
  fix  $u$ 
  show  $(\text{if } A.\text{arr } u$ 
           $\text{then } \text{Cod } a \ u \setminus_B \ (\text{Map } t \ (A.\text{src } u) \setminus_B \ \text{Map } a \ (A.\text{src } u))$ 
           $\text{else } B.\text{null}) =$ 

```

```

      Cod t u
    by (metis A.src-src A.trg-src a.a.src-eq-trg ide-charERTS
        t.G.extensional t.naturality1 t.naturality2)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using a.con ide-charERTS con-char Apex-def by simp
  qed
  moreover have (λu. if A.arr u
    then Map t (A.src u) \B Map a (A.src u) ⊔B Cod a u
    else B.null) =
    Map t
  proof
    fix u
    show (if A.arr u
      then Map t (A.src u) \B Map a (A.src u) ⊔B Cod a u
      else B.null) =
      Map t u
    by (metis (full-types) A.arr-src-iff-arr A.ide-src A.src-src
        B.join-is-join-of B.join-of-unique B.joinable-def B.resid-arr-src
        a.ide-charERTS t.naturality3 t.preserves-arr t.preserves-src
        t.transformation-axioms transformation.extensional)
  qed
  ultimately show t \ a = t
    using con con-char
    by (metis Cod.elims Dom.simps(1) Map.simps(1) a.src-eq-trg)
  qed
  thus ∧ a t. [ide a; a ∩ t] ⇒ ide (a \ t)
    by (metis arrE arr-resid con-sym cube ideE ideI)
  show ∧ t u. t ∩ u ⇒ ∃ a. ide a ∧ a ∩ t ∧ a ∩ u
  proof -
    fix t u
    assume con: t ∩ u
    interpret t: transformation A B ⟨Dom t⟩ ⟨Cod t⟩ ⟨Map t⟩
      using con con-char by blast
    interpret u: transformation A B ⟨Dom t⟩ ⟨Cod u⟩ ⟨Map u⟩
      using con con-char by auto
    interpret Dom-t: transformation A B ⟨Dom t⟩ ⟨Dom t⟩ ⟨Dom t⟩
      by (metis Cod.simps(1) Dom.simps(1) Map.simps(1) arr.simps(2)
          arr-char ide-charERTS ide-implies-arr null-char
          t.F.simulation-axioms)
    interpret Dom-t: identity-transformation A B ⟨Dom t⟩ ⟨Dom t⟩ ⟨Dom t⟩
      by unfold-locales auto
    have ide (MkIde (Dom t))
      by (simp add: null-char t.F.simulation-axioms)
    moreover have MkIde (Dom t) ∩ t
      using con con-char Dom-t.transformation-axioms Dom-t.identity
        t.transformation-axioms
    by simp
    (metis A.ide-iff-src-self A.ide-implies-arr B.not-ide-null)

```

B.conI t.G.preserves-ide t.naturality2)
moreover have *MkIde (Dom t) \circ u*
using *con con-char Dom-t.transformation-axioms Dom-t.identity*
u.transformation-axioms
by simp
(metis A.ide-iff-src-self A.ide-implies-arr B.not-ide-null
B.conI u.G.preserves-ide u.naturality2)
ultimately show $\exists a. \text{ide } a \wedge a \circ t \wedge a \circ u$ **by blast**
qed
show $\bigwedge t u v. \llbracket \text{ide } (t \setminus u); u \circ v \rrbracket \implies t \setminus u \circ v \setminus u$
by *(metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Dom-resid conI con-implies-arr(1)*
cube ideE resid-arr-self conE con-imp-arr-resid trg-simp)
qed

lemma *is-rts:*
shows *rts resid*
 ..

sublocale *extensional-rts resid*

proof

fix *t u*
assume *cong: cong t u*
interpret *t: transformation A B \langle Dom t \rangle \langle Cod t \rangle \langle Map t \rangle*
using *cong con-char prfx-implies-con* **by blast**
interpret *u: transformation A B \langle Dom t \rangle \langle Cod u \rangle \langle Map u \rangle*
using *cong con-char prfx-implies-con* **by metis**
interpret *tu: transformation A B \langle Cod u \rangle \langle Apex t u \rangle \langle Map (t \setminus u) \rangle*
using *cong transformation-Map-resid*
by *(metis con-char prfx-implies-con)*
interpret *tu: identity-transformation A B \langle Cod u \rangle \langle Apex t u \rangle \langle Map (t \setminus u) \rangle*
by *unfold-locales (meson ide-char_{ERTS} cong simulation.preserves-ide)*
interpret *ut: transformation A B \langle Cod t \rangle \langle Apex t u \rangle \langle Map (u \setminus t) \rangle*
using *cong transformation-Map-resid Apex-sym*
by *(metis con-char prfx-implies-con)*
interpret *ut: identity-transformation A B \langle Cod t \rangle \langle Apex t u \rangle \langle Map (u \setminus t) \rangle*
by *unfold-locales (meson ide-char_{ERTS} cong simulation.preserves-ide)*
have *1: $\bigwedge a. A.\text{ide } a \implies \text{Map } t a = \text{Map } u a$*
by *(metis B.cong-char Map-resid-ide congE cong tu.identity ut.identity)*
show *t = u*
using *t.transformation-axioms u.transformation-axioms*
transformation-eqI
by *(metis (no-types, lifting) 1 B.extensional-rts-axioms Cod.elims*
Dom.simps(1) Map.simps(1) resid-def cong not-ide-null null-char
tu.src-eq-trg ut.src-eq-trg)

qed

lemma *is-extensional-rts:*
shows *extensional-rts resid*
 ..

lemma *conI_{ERTS}* [*intro*]:
assumes *coinitial* σ τ
and $\bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies Map\ \sigma\ a \frown_B Map\ \tau\ a$
shows $\sigma \frown \tau$
using *assms con-char*
by (*metis (full-types) coinitialE_{WE} con-arr-src(1)*)

lemma *conE_{ERTS}* [*elim*]:
assumes $\sigma \frown \tau$
and $\llbracket coinitial\ \sigma\ \tau; \bigwedge t\ u. A.con\ t\ u \implies Map\ \sigma\ t \frown_B Map\ \tau\ u \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
by (*metis A.arr-resid-iff-con B.arr-resid-iff-con arr-char*
arr-resid-iff-con assms(1) assms(2) con-imp-coinitial resid-Map
transformation.preserves-arr)

lemma *arrI* [*intro*]:
assumes $f \neq null$ **and** *transformation* $A\ B$ (*Dom* f) (*Cod* f) (*Map* f)
shows *arr* f
using *assms arr-char null-char by simp*

lemma *arrE* [*elim*]:
assumes *arr* f
and $\llbracket f \neq null; \text{transformation } A\ B\ (\text{Dom } f)\ (\text{Cod } f)\ (\text{Map } f) \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
using *assms arr-char null-char by simp*

lemma *arr-MkArr* [*iff*]:
shows *arr* (*MkArr* $F\ G\ \tau$) \longleftrightarrow *transformation* $A\ B\ F\ G\ \tau$
using *arr-char null-char transformation-def by fastforce*

lemma *src-simp*:
assumes *arr* τ
shows *src* $\tau = MkIde$ (*Dom* τ)
by (*metis Dom-resid arr-src-iff-arr assms con-arr-src(1) resid-arr-src*
trg-simp trg-src)

lemma *src-char*:
shows *src* = ($\lambda\tau. \text{if arr } \tau \text{ then } MkIde\ (\text{Dom } \tau) \text{ else } null$)
using *src-simp src-def by auto*

lemma *Map-src* [*simp*]:
assumes *arr* τ
shows *Map* (*src* τ) = *Dom* τ
using *assms src-simp by auto*

lemma *ide-MkIde* [*iff*]:
shows *ide* (*MkIde* F) \longleftrightarrow *simulation* $A\ B\ F$
using *ide-char_{ERTS} null-char by auto*

lemma *MkArr-Map*:
assumes $\tau \neq \text{Null}$
shows $\tau = \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \tau) (\text{Cod } \tau) (\text{Map } \tau)$
using *assms* **by** (*cases* τ) *auto*

lemma *MkIde-Dom*:
assumes *arr* τ
shows $\text{MkIde } (\text{Dom } \tau) = \text{src } \tau$
using *assms arr-char src-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *MkIde-Cod*:
assumes *arr* τ
shows $\text{MkIde } (\text{Cod } \tau) = \text{trg } \tau$
using *assms arr-char trg-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *MkIde-Map*:
assumes *ide* a
shows $\text{MkIde } (\text{Map } a) = a$
using *assms trg-char trg-ide* **by** *auto*

lemma *arr-eqI*:
assumes *arr* σ **and** *arr* τ **and** $\text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau$ **and** $\text{Cod } \sigma = \text{Cod } \tau$
and $\bigwedge a. A.\text{ide } a \implies \text{Map } \sigma a = \text{Map } \tau a$
shows $\sigma = \tau$
proof –

interpret σ : *transformation* $A B \langle \text{Dom } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Cod } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Map } \sigma \rangle$
using *assms(1)* **by** *blast*
interpret σ : *transformation-to-extensional-rts* $A B$
 $\langle \text{Dom } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Cod } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Map } \sigma \rangle \dots$
interpret τ : *transformation* $A B \langle \text{Dom } \tau \rangle \langle \text{Cod } \tau \rangle \langle \text{Map } \tau \rangle$
using *assms(2)* **by** *blast*
interpret τ : *transformation-to-extensional-rts* $A B$
 $\langle \text{Dom } \tau \rangle \langle \text{Cod } \tau \rangle \langle \text{Map } \tau \rangle \dots$
have $\text{Map } \sigma = \text{Map } \tau$
by (*metis* *assms(3–5)* *B.extensional-rts-axioms* $\sigma.\text{transformation-axioms}$
 $\tau.\text{transformation-axioms}$ *transformation-eqI*)
thus *?thesis*
using *assms*
by (*metis* *MkArr-Map arr-char*)

qed

lemma *seq-char*:
shows $\text{seq } \sigma \tau \iff \text{Arr } \sigma \wedge \text{Arr } \tau \wedge \text{Cod } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau$
using *arr-char src-char trg-char*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *Map-src Map-trg seqE_{WE} seqI_{WE}(1)*)

notation *prfx* (**infix** $\lesssim 50$)

lemma *prfx-char*:

shows $\sigma \lesssim \tau \iff \text{arr } \sigma \wedge \text{arr } \tau \wedge \text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau \wedge$
 $(\forall a. A.\text{ide } a \longrightarrow \text{Map } \sigma a \lesssim_B \text{Map } \tau a)$

proof

show $\sigma \lesssim \tau \implies \text{arr } \sigma \wedge \text{arr } \tau \wedge \text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau \wedge$
 $(\forall a. A.\text{ide } a \longrightarrow \text{Map } \sigma a \lesssim_B \text{Map } \tau a)$

by (*metis* *Map-resid-ide con-char con-implies-arr(1-2)* *ide-char_{ERTS}*
prfx-implies-con simulation.preserves-ide)

show $\text{arr } \sigma \wedge \text{arr } \tau \wedge \text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau \wedge$
 $(\forall a. A.\text{ide } a \longrightarrow \text{Map } \sigma a \lesssim_B \text{Map } \tau a) \implies \sigma \lesssim \tau$

proof –

assume *1*: $\text{arr } \sigma \wedge \text{arr } \tau \wedge \text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau \wedge$
 $(\forall a. A.\text{ide } a \longrightarrow \text{Map } \sigma a \lesssim_B \text{Map } \tau a)$

have *2*: $\sigma \frown \tau$

using *1* *con-char arr-char B.prfx-implies-con* **by** *force*

interpret $\sigma\tau$: *transformation* *A B* $\langle \text{Cod } \tau \rangle \langle \text{Apex } \sigma \tau \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) \rangle$

using *2* *con-char transformation-Map-resid* **by** *auto*

interpret $\sigma\tau$: *simulation* *A B* $\langle \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) \rangle$

proof

show $\bigwedge t. \neg A.\text{arr } t \implies \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) t = B.\text{null}$

using $\sigma\tau.\text{extensional}$ **by** *blast*

show *3*: $\bigwedge t u. t \frown_A u \implies \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) t \frown_B \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) u$

using $\sigma\tau.\text{preserves-con}$ **by** *blast*

show $\bigwedge t u. t \frown_A u \implies$

$\text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) (t \setminus_A u) = \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) t \setminus_B \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) u$

by (*metis* *1 2 3 A.arr-resid A.con-arr-src(1) A.ide-src A.resid-arr-src*
B.resid-arr-ide arr-resid-iff-con Map-resid-ide resid-Map-self)

qed

show $\sigma \lesssim \tau$

proof

show $\sigma \setminus \tau \neq \text{null} \wedge \text{simulation } A B (\text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau)) \wedge$

$\text{Dom } (\sigma \setminus \tau) = \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) \wedge \text{Cod } (\sigma \setminus \tau) = \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau)$

proof (*intro conjI*)

show $\sigma \setminus \tau \neq \text{null}$

using *2* **by** *blast*

show *simulation* *A B* $(\text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau))$

..

show *3*: $\text{Dom } (\sigma \setminus \tau) = \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau)$

proof –

have $\text{Dom } (\sigma \setminus \tau) = \text{Cod } \tau$

using *2* *con-char* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau)$

proof –

have $\bigwedge t. A.\text{arr } t \implies$

$\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) = B.\text{src } (\text{Cod } \tau t)$

by (*metis* *1 2 A.ide-src B.src-ide B.src-join-of(1-2) Map-resid-ide*
 $\sigma\tau.\text{naturality3}$)

hence $\bigwedge t. \text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \tau) t = \text{Cod } \tau t$

```

      using 1 2 B.join-src  $\sigma\tau$ .F.extensional con-char by auto
      thus ?thesis by auto
    qed
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  show Cod ( $\sigma \sqcup \tau$ ) = Map ( $\sigma \sqcup \tau$ )
  proof -
    have Cod ( $\sigma \sqcup \tau$ ) = Apex  $\sigma \tau$ 
      using 2 con-char by auto
    also have ... = Map ( $\sigma \sqcup \tau$ )
      proof
        fix t
        show Apex  $\sigma \tau t$  = Map ( $\sigma \sqcup \tau$ ) t
          using 1 Map-resid [of  $\sigma \tau$ ]
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 3 A.con-arr-src(1) A.resid-arr-src
              Apex-def Dom-resid  $\sigma\tau$ .naturality2  $\sigma\tau$ .preserves-resid)
      qed
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

lemma *Map-preserves-prfx*:
assumes $\sigma \lesssim \tau$ **and** $A.arr t$
shows $Map \sigma t \lesssim_B Map \tau t$
by (metis *A.rts-axioms Map-resid-ide assms(1-2) prfx-char resid-Map*
rts.cong-reflexive rts.prfx-implies-con rts-axioms)

Joins in an Exponential RTS

notation *join* (infix \sqcup 52)

lemma *join-char*:

shows *joinable* $\sigma \tau \iff$

$$arr \sigma \wedge arr \tau \wedge Dom \sigma = Dom \tau \wedge$$

$$(\forall t. A.arr t \implies$$

$$B.joinable (Map \sigma (A.src t) \sqcup_B Map \tau (A.src t)) (Dom \sigma t))$$

and $\sigma \sqcup \tau =$

(if *joinable* $\sigma \tau$

then $MkArr (Dom \tau) (Apex \sigma \tau)$

$$(\lambda t. (Map \sigma (A.src t) \sqcup_B Map \tau (A.src t)) \sqcup_B Dom \tau t)$$

else null)

proof (intro iffI)

have *: *joinable* $\sigma \tau \implies$

$$arr \sigma \wedge arr \tau \wedge Dom \sigma = Dom \tau \wedge \sigma \sqcup \tau \neq Null \wedge$$

$$Dom (\sigma \sqcup \tau) = Dom \sigma \wedge Cod (\sigma \sqcup \tau) = Apex \sigma \tau \wedge$$

$$Map (\sigma \sqcup \tau) =$$

$(\lambda t. (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \tau t) \wedge$
transformation $A B (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) (\text{Map } (\text{join } \sigma \tau)) \wedge$
 $(\forall a. A.\text{ide } a \longrightarrow B.\text{joinable } (\text{Map } \sigma a \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau a) (\text{Dom } \tau a)) \wedge$
 $(\forall t. (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \tau t =$
 $\text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) t)$

proof (*intro conjI*)
assume $1: \text{joinable } \sigma \tau$
show $\sigma: \text{arr } \sigma$
using $1 \text{ con-implies-arr}(1) \text{ joinable-implies-con}$ **by** *blast*
show $\tau: \text{arr } \tau$
using $1 \text{ con-implies-arr}(2) \text{ joinable-implies-con}$ **by** *blast*
show $\text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau$
using $1 \text{ con-char joinable-implies-con}$ **by** *presburger*
have $2: \text{join-of } \sigma \tau (\sigma \sqcup \tau)$
using $1 \text{ join-is-join-of}$ **by** *simp*
show $\sigma \sqcup \tau \neq \text{Null}$
using $1 \text{ joinable-iff-join-not-null null-char}$ **by** *force*
show $\text{Dom}: \text{Dom } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) = \text{Dom } \sigma$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) $1 \text{ arr-prfx-join-self not-ide-null null-char resid-def}$)
show $\text{Cod}: \text{Cod } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) = \text{Apex } \sigma \tau$
using $1 \text{ trg-simp Cod-resid trg-join joinable-implies-con arr-resid}$
by (*metis* *arr.inject joinable-iff-arr-join*)
interpret $\sigma\tau: \text{transformation } A B \langle \text{Dom } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Apex } \sigma \tau \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) \rangle$
using $\text{Dom Cod } 1 \text{ joinable-implies-con con-char arr-char}$
by (*metis* *joinable-iff-arr-join*)
interpret $\sigma\tau: \text{transformation-to-extensional-rts } A B$
 $\langle \text{Dom } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Apex } \sigma \tau \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) \rangle \dots$
show *transformation* $A B (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) (\text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau)) \dots$
have $3: \bigwedge a. A.\text{ide } a \implies \text{Map } \sigma a \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau a = \text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) a$
proof (*intro B.join-eqI*)
fix a
assume $a: A.\text{ide } a$
show $\text{Map } \sigma a \lesssim_B \text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) a$
using $1 \text{ a arr-prfx-join-self prfx-char}$ **by** *blast*
show $\text{Map } \tau a \lesssim_B \text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) a$
using *join-sym*
by (*meson* $2 \text{ a composite-ofE join-ofE prfx-char}$)
show $\text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) a \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau a = \text{Map } \sigma a \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau a$
by (*metis* $1 \ 2 \text{ Map-resid-ide a composite-ofE con-prfx-composite-of}(1)$
extensional join-ofE joinable-implies-con con-sym)
show $\text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) a \setminus_B \text{Map } \sigma a = \text{Map } \tau a \setminus_B \text{Map } \sigma a$
by (*metis* $1 \text{ Map-resid-ide } \langle \text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau \rangle \sigma \tau a \text{ arr-prfx-join-self}$
join-src joinable-iff-arr-join joinable-implies-con prfx-implies-con
resid-join_E(3) resid-src-arr con-sym src-simp trg-def)
qed
show $\forall a. A.\text{ide } a \longrightarrow B.\text{joinable } (\text{Map } \sigma a \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau a) (\text{Dom } \tau a)$
by (*metis* $3 \text{ A.ide-iff-src-self A.ide-implies-arr } \langle \text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau \rangle$
 $\sigma\tau.\text{naturality3}'_E(2)$)

show $\forall t. (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \tau t =$
 $\text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) t$
by (*metis* 3 *A.ide-src B.joinable-iff-join-not-null B.joinable-implies-con*
B.null-is-zero(2) B.residuation-axioms $\langle \text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau \rangle$
 $\sigma\tau.F.\text{extensional } \sigma\tau.\text{naturality3}'_E(1)$ residuation.conE)

thus $\text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) =$
 $(\lambda t. (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \tau t)$
by *auto*

qed

show $\sigma \sqcup \tau =$
(if joinable $\sigma \tau$
then MkArr (Dom τ) (Apex $\sigma \tau$)
 $(\lambda t. (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \tau t)$
else null)

using * *MkArr-Map joinable-iff-join-not-null* **by** *fastforce*

show *joinable* $\sigma \tau \implies$
 $\text{arr } \sigma \wedge \text{arr } \tau \wedge \text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau \wedge$
 $(\forall t. A.\text{arr } t \longrightarrow$
 $B.\text{joinable } (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) (\text{Dom } \sigma t))$

using *

by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *B.joinable-iff-arr-join transformation.preserves-arr*)

show $\text{arr } \sigma \wedge \text{arr } \tau \wedge \text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau \wedge$
 $(\forall t. A.\text{arr } t \longrightarrow$
 $B.\text{joinable } (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) (\text{Dom } \sigma t))$
 $\implies \text{joinable } \sigma \tau$

proof –

assume $1: \text{arr } \sigma \wedge \text{arr } \tau \wedge \text{Dom } \sigma = \text{Dom } \tau \wedge$
 $(\forall t. A.\text{arr } t \longrightarrow$
 $B.\text{joinable } (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) (\text{Dom } \sigma t))$

interpret σ : *transformation* $A B \langle \text{Dom } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Cod } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Map } \sigma \rangle$
using 1 *arr-char* **by** *blast*

interpret τ : *transformation* $A B \langle \text{Dom } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Cod } \tau \rangle \langle \text{Map } \tau \rangle$
using 1 *arr-char* **by** *auto*

interpret *Apex*: *simulation* $A B \langle \text{Apex } \sigma \tau \rangle$
using 1 *arr-char Apex-is-simulation*

by (*metis* *A.ide-iff-src-self A.ide-implies-arr B.con-implies-arr(1)*
B.joinable-iff-join-not-null B.joinable-implies-con B.not-arr-null)

let $?Map = \lambda a. \text{Map } \sigma a \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau a$

interpret $\sigma\tau$: *transformation-by-components*
 $A B \langle \text{Dom } \sigma \rangle \langle \text{Apex } \sigma \tau \rangle ?Map$

proof

show 2: $\bigwedge a. A.\text{ide } a \implies B.\text{src } (?Map a) = \text{Dom } \sigma a$
by (*metis* (*full-types*) 1 *A.ide-implies-arr A.src-ide B.join-sym*
B.joinable-iff-join-not-null B.src-join B.src-src $\sigma.\text{preserves-src}$)

show $\bigwedge a. A.\text{ide } a \implies B.\text{trg } (?Map a) = \text{Apex } \sigma \tau a$
by (*metis* (*full-types*) 2 *A.ide-iff-src-self A.ide-implies-arr Apex-def*
B.con-arr-src(2) B.joinable-iff-arr-join B.joinable-iff-join-not-null
B.not-ide-null B.null-is-zero(2) B.resid-join_E(1) B.resid-src-arr
B.src-trg B.trg-def $\sigma.F.\text{preserves-ide } \tau.\text{naturality2}$)

```

show  $\bigwedge t. A.arr\ t \implies$ 
   $(Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t)) \setminus_B Dom\ \sigma\ t =$ 
   $Map\ \sigma\ (A.trg\ t) \sqcup_B Map\ \tau\ (A.trg\ t)$ 
  by (metis (full-types) 1 B.conE B.conI B.con-sym-ax B.join-def
B.joinable-implies-con B.null-is-zero(2) B.resid-joinE(3)
 $\sigma.naturality1$   $\tau.naturality1$ )
show  $\bigwedge t. A.arr\ t \implies$ 
   $Dom\ \sigma\ t \setminus_B (Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t)) = Apex\ \sigma\ \tau\ t$ 
  by (metis 1 Apex-def B.con-implies-arr(2) B.joinable-implies-con
 $\tau.naturality2$  B.joinable-iff-arr-join B.resid-joinE(1) B.con-sym)
show  $\bigwedge t. A.arr\ t \implies$ 
   $B.joinable\ (Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t))\ (Dom\ \sigma\ t)$ 
  using 1 by blast
qed
let ?Cod- $\sigma\tau$  =  $\lambda t. if\ A.arr\ t$ 
   $then\ Cod\ \tau\ t \setminus_B (Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t))$ 
   $else\ B.null$ 
let ?Map- $\sigma\tau$  =  $\lambda t. if\ A.arr\ t$ 
   $then\ (Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t)) \sqcup_B Dom\ \sigma\ t$ 
   $else\ B.null$ 
have  $\sigma\tau'$ : transformation A B (Dom  $\tau$ ) ?Cod- $\sigma\tau$  ?Map- $\sigma\tau$ 
  using 1 Apex-def  $\sigma\tau.map-eq$   $\sigma\tau.transformation-axioms$  by presburger
let ? $\sigma\tau$  = MkArr (Dom  $\sigma$ ) (Apex  $\sigma\ \tau$ )  $\sigma\tau.map$ 
have  $\sigma\tau$ : arr ? $\sigma\tau$ 
  using arr-char  $\sigma\tau.transformation-axioms$  by blast
have con- $\sigma$ - $\sigma\tau$ :  $\sigma \frown ?\sigma\tau$ 
  using 1 con-char  $\sigma.transformation-axioms$   $\sigma\tau.transformation-axioms$ 
 $\sigma\tau.map-simp-ide$ 
by auto
  (metis (no-types, lifting) A.ide-implies-arr B.arr-prfx-join-self
B.joinable-iff-join-not-null B.not-arr-null B.prfx-implies-con
transformation.preserves-arr)
have con- $\tau$ - $\sigma\tau$ :  $\tau \frown ?\sigma\tau$ 
  using 1 con-char  $\tau.transformation-axioms$   $\sigma\tau.transformation-axioms$ 
 $\sigma\tau.map-simp-ide$ 
by auto
  (metis (no-types, lifting) A.ide-implies-arr B.arr-prfx-join-self B.conI
B.join-sym B.joinable-iff-join-not-null B.not-arr-null B.not-ide-null
transformation.preserves-arr)
have  $\downarrow$ : Apex  $\sigma$  ? $\sigma\tau$  = Apex  $\sigma\ \tau$ 
proof
  fix t
  have A.arr t  $\implies$ 
   $(Cod\ \tau\ t \setminus_B (Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t))) \setminus_B$ 
   $(Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B (Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t))) =$ 
   $Cod\ \tau\ t \setminus_B (Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t))$ 
proof –
  assume t: A.arr t
  have  $\downarrow$ : Map  $\sigma$  (A.src t)  $\lesssim_B$ 

```

$(\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \tau (A.\text{src } t)$
using t 1 $B.\text{arr-prfx-join-self } B.\text{joinable-iff-join-not-null } \sigma\tau.\text{map-def } \sigma\tau.\text{map-simp-ide}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types}, \text{lifting}) A.\text{arr-src-iff-arr } A.\text{ide-src } A.\text{src-src})$
have $\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B$
 $((\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) =$
 $B.\text{src } (\text{Cod } \tau t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)))$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types}, \text{lifting}) 1 \not\leq A.\text{ide-src } A.\text{src-src})$
 $\text{Apex.preserves-reflects-arr } B.\text{ide-iff-src-self } B.\text{ide-implies-arr}$
 $B.\text{not-arr-null } B.\text{src-resid } \sigma\tau.\text{map-def } \sigma\tau.\text{map-simp-ide } \sigma\tau.\text{naturality2}$
 $\text{Apex-def } B.\text{conI } t)$
thus $(\text{Cod } \tau t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))) \setminus_B$
 $(\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))) =$
 $\text{Cod } \tau t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types}, \text{lifting}) 1 \not\leq A.\text{ide-src } A.\text{src-src } B.\text{arr-src-iff-arr}$
 $B.\text{prfx-implies-con } B.\text{resid-arr-src } \sigma\tau.\text{map-def } \sigma\tau.\text{map-simp-ide}$
 $B.\text{arr-resid } t)$
qed
thus $\text{Apex } \sigma \text{ ?}\sigma\tau t = \text{Apex } \sigma \tau t$
unfolding Apex-def **by** simp
qed
have 5: $\text{Apex } \tau \text{ ?}\sigma\tau = \text{Apex } \sigma \tau$
proof
fix t
have $A.\text{arr } t \implies$
 $(\text{Cod } \tau t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))) \setminus_B$
 $(\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))) =$
 $\text{Cod } \tau t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$
proof –
assume $t: A.\text{arr } t$
show $(\text{Cod } \tau t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))) \setminus_B$
 $(\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))) =$
 $\text{Cod } \tau t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$
proof –
have $\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) =$
 $B.\text{src } (\text{Cod } \tau t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)))$
proof –
have $\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) =$
 $(\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \setminus_B$
 $(\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types}, \text{lifting}) 1 A.\text{arr-src-iff-arr } A.\text{ide-src}$
 $B.\text{arr-prfx-join-self } B.\text{join-sym } B.\text{joinable-iff-join-not-null}$
 $B.\text{prfx-implies-con } B.\text{resid-join}_E(1) \sigma\tau.\text{map-def } \sigma\tau.\text{map-simp-ide}$
 $t)$
also have $\dots = B.\text{trg } (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$
using $B.\text{apex-sym } B.\text{cube } B.\text{trg-def}$ **by** auto
also have
 $\dots = B.\text{src } (\text{Cod } \tau t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)))$
by $(\text{metis } \text{Apex.preserves-reflects-arr } \text{Apex-def } B.\text{arr-resid-iff-con})$

```

      B.src-resid t)
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis B.arr-resid-iff-con B.con-def B.con-implies-arr(1)
      B.resid-arr-src)
  qed
  qed
  thus Apex  $\tau$  ? $\sigma\tau$  t = Apex  $\sigma$   $\tau$  t
    unfolding Apex-def by simp
  qed
  have  $\sigma \sqcup \tau = \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}$ 
  proof (intro join-eqI)
    show  $\sigma \lesssim \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}$ 
    proof -
      have  $\sigma \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map} = \text{trg } ?\sigma\tau$ 
      proof -
        have  $\text{Dom } (\sigma \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) = \text{Dom } (\text{trg } ?\sigma\tau)$ 
          using  $\sigma\tau$  con- $\sigma$ - $\sigma\tau$  trg-simp by fastforce
        moreover
        have  $\text{Cod } (\sigma \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) = \text{Cod } (\text{trg } ?\sigma\tau)$ 
          using  $\sigma\tau$  4 con- $\sigma$ - $\sigma\tau$  trg-simp by fastforce
        moreover
        have  $\text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) = \text{Map } (\text{trg } ?\sigma\tau)$ 
      proof -
        have  $\text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) = \text{Apex } \sigma \tau$ 
      proof
        fix t
        show  $\text{Map } (\sigma \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) t = \text{Apex } \sigma \tau t$ 
      proof (cases A.arr t)
        show  $\neg A.\text{arr } t \implies ?thesis$ 
          by (simp add: Apex.extensional con- $\sigma$ - $\sigma\tau$ )
        assume t: A.arr t
        have  $\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Apex } \sigma \tau t = \text{Apex } \sigma \tau t$ 
          by (metis Apex.preserves-reflects-arr B.arr-prfx-join-self
            B.arr-resid-iff-con B.con-implies-arr(2) B.con-target
            B.join-prfx(1) B.joinable-iff-arr-join
            B.joinable-implies-con B.resid-ide-arr  $\sigma\tau$ .joinable
             $\sigma\tau$ .naturality2 t)
        thus ?thesis
          by (simp add: con- $\sigma$ - $\sigma\tau$  t)
      qed
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using  $\sigma\tau$  trg-simp by force
  qed

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis
  using  $\sigma\tau$  con- $\sigma$ - $\sigma\tau$  resid-def' trg-simp by fastforce
qed
thus ?thesis
  using  $\sigma\tau$  ide-trg by presburger
qed
show  $\tau \lesssim \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}$ 
proof -
  have  $\tau \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map} = \text{trg } ?\sigma\tau$ 
  proof -
    have  $\text{Dom } (\tau \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) = \text{Dom } (\text{trg } ?\sigma\tau)$ 
      using  $\sigma\tau$  con- $\tau$ - $\sigma\tau$  trg-def by fastforce
    moreover have  $\text{Cod } (\tau \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) =$ 
       $\text{Cod } (\text{trg } ?\sigma\tau)$ 
      using  $\sigma\tau$  5 Cod.simps(1) Cod-resid con- $\tau$ - $\sigma\tau$  trg-simp by presburger
    moreover have  $\text{Map } (\tau \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) =$ 
       $\text{Map } (\text{trg } ?\sigma\tau)$ 
  proof -
    have  $\text{Map } (\tau \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) = \text{Apex } \sigma \tau$ 
  proof
    fix t
    show  $\text{Map } (\tau \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) t =$ 
       $\text{Apex } \sigma \tau t$ 
    proof (cases A.arr t)
      show  $\neg A.\text{arr } t \implies ?thesis$ 
        by (simp add: Apex.extensional con- $\tau$ - $\sigma\tau$ )
      assume t: A.arr t
      have  $\text{Map } (\tau \setminus \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) (\text{Apex } \sigma \tau) \sigma\tau.\text{map}) t =$ 
         $\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \sigma\tau.\text{map } (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Apex } \sigma \tau t$ 
        using  $\sigma\tau$  Map-resid
        by (simp add: con- $\tau$ - $\sigma\tau$  t)
      also have ... =  $\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B$ 
         $((\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B$ 
         $\text{Dom } \sigma (A.\text{src } t))$ 
         $\sqcup_B \text{Apex } \sigma \tau t$ 
        using t  $\sigma\tau.\text{map-def}$  by simp
      also have ... =  $((\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B$ 
         $(\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))) \setminus_B$ 
         $(\text{Dom } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B$ 
         $(\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))))$ 
         $\sqcup_B \text{Apex } \sigma \tau t$ 
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) t A.arr-src-iff-arr
          A.ide-src A.src-src A.trg-src B.cube  $\sigma\tau.\text{map-def}$ 
           $\sigma\tau.\text{map-simp-ide}$   $\sigma\tau.\text{naturality1}$   $\tau.\text{naturality1}$ )
      also have ... =  $((\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \setminus_B$ 
         $(\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))) \setminus_B$ 
         $(\text{Dom } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B$ 
         $(\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))))$ 
         $\sqcup_B \text{Apex } \sigma \tau t$ 
    end
  end

```


by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *A.arr-src-iff-arr* *A.ide-src*
B.arr-prfx-join-self *B.join-sym*
B.joinable-iff-join-not-null *B.not-arr-null*
B.prfx-implies-con *B.resid-join_E(1)* *στ.map-simp-ide*
στ.preserves-arr t)

also have ... = ((*Cod* τ (*A.src t*) \setminus_B
(*Map* σ (*A.src t*) \setminus_B *Map* τ (*A.src t*))) \setminus_B
(*Dom* σ (*A.src t*) \setminus_B
(*Map* σ (*A.src t*) \sqcup_B *Map* τ (*A.src t*))))
 \sqcup_B *Apex* σ τ *t*)

by (*simp add*: *t.τ.preserves-trg* *B.resid-arr-self*)

also have ... = (*Apex* σ τ (*A.src t*) \setminus_B
(*Dom* σ (*A.src t*) \setminus_B
(*Map* σ (*A.src t*) \sqcup_B *Map* τ (*A.src t*))))
 \sqcup_B *Apex* σ τ *t*)

by (*simp add*: *Apex-def* τ .*G.extensional*)

also have ... = (*Apex* σ τ (*A.src t*) \setminus_B *Apex* σ τ (*A.src t*)) \sqcup_B
Apex σ τ *t*)

by (*metis* *A.arr-src-iff-arr* *A.src-src* *στ.naturality2 t*)

also have ... = *Apex* σ τ (*A.src t*) \sqcup_B *Apex* σ τ *t*)

by (*metis* *A.ideE* *A.ide-src* *Apex.preserves-resid t*)

also have ... = *Apex* σ τ *t*)

by (*metis* *A.ide-src* *Apex.preserves-reflects-arr*
B.arr-resid-iff-con *B.join-src* *B.src-resid* *στ.naturality2*
στ.preserves-trg t)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

qed

thus *?thesis*

using *στ.trg-simp* **by** *force*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *στ.con-τ-στ.resid-def'* *trg-simp* **by** *fastforce*

qed

thus *?thesis*

using *στ.ide-trg* **by** *presburger*

qed

have 5: $\bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies Map\ \sigma\ a \frown_B Map\ \tau\ a$

by (*metis* *A.ide-iff-src-self* *B.not-ide-null* *B.null-is-zero(2)*
B.residuation-axioms *Apex.preserves-ide* *Apex-def* *residuation.con-def*)

have 6: $\forall a. A.ide\ a \implies$
(*Map* σ *a* \sqcup_B *Map* τ *a*) \sqcup_B *Dom* τ *a* \frown_B *Map* σ *a*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) 1 *A.ide-iff-src-self*
B.arr-prfx-join-self *B.conI* *B.con-sym* *B.join-def* *B.not-arr-null*
B.prfx-implies-con *B.src-def* *σ.F.preserves-ide* *στ.map-eq*
στ.map-simp-ide *στ.preserves-src*)

show *MkArr* (*Dom* σ) (*Apex* σ τ) *στ.map* \setminus $\tau = \sigma \setminus$ τ

proof –

have $\bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies$

$(\text{Map } \sigma \ a \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau \ a) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \tau \ a \frown_B \text{Map } \tau \ a$

using $B.\text{con-sym } \sigma\tau.\text{map-def } \text{con-}\tau\text{-}\sigma\tau \ \text{con-char}$ **by force**
moreover
have *transformation* $A \ B \ (\text{Dom } \tau) \ ?\text{Cod-}\sigma\tau \ ?\text{Map-}\sigma\tau$
using $\sigma\tau'$ **by simp**
moreover
have $(\lambda t. \text{if } A.\text{arr } t$
 then $\text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B$
 $(\text{Map } (\text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) \ ?\text{Cod-}\sigma\tau \ ?\text{Map-}\sigma\tau) (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B$
 $\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$
 else $B.\text{null}) =$
 $?\text{Cod-}\sigma\tau$

proof
fix t
show $(\text{if } A.\text{arr } t$
 then $\text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B$
 $(\text{Map } (\text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) \ ?\text{Cod-}\sigma\tau \ ?\text{Map-}\sigma\tau) (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B$
 $\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$
 else $B.\text{null}) =$
 $(\text{if } A.\text{arr } t$
 then $\text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$
 else $B.\text{null})$

proof –
have $A.\text{arr } t \implies$
 $\text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B$
 $((\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \sigma (A.\text{src } t))$
 $\setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) =$
 $\text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$

proof –
assume $t: A.\text{arr } t$
show $\text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B$
 $((\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \sigma (A.\text{src } t))$
 $\setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) =$
 $\text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$

proof –
have $\text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B$
 $((\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \sigma (A.\text{src } t))$
 $\setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) =$
 $\text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B$
 $((\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \setminus_B$
 $\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$
using $\sigma\tau.\text{map-def } \sigma\tau.\text{map-simp-ide } t$ **by force**
also have $\dots = \text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$

\sqcup_B

$\text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)$

by $(\text{metis } 1 \ B.\text{arr-prfx-join-self } B.\text{conE } B.\text{con-sym-ax } B.\text{join-def}$
 $B.\text{join-sym } B.\text{null-is-zero}(2) \ B.\text{prfx-implies-con}$
 $B.\text{resid-join}_E(3) \ t)$
also have $\dots = \text{Cod } \tau \ t \setminus_B (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \setminus_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t))$

\sqcup_B

```

                                Cod  $\tau$  (A.src t))
using A.ide-src A.trg-src B.trg-def  $\tau$ .preserves-trg t by presburger
also have ... = Cod  $\tau$  t  $\setminus_B$  (Map  $\sigma$  (A.src t)  $\setminus_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t))
  by (metis 5 A.ide-src B.arr-resid-iff-con B.join-src B.join-sym
      B.src-resid  $\tau$ .preserves-trg t)
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
moreover
have ( $\lambda t$ . if A.arr t
      then (Map (MkArr (Dom  $\sigma$ ) ?Cod- $\sigma\tau$  ?Map- $\sigma\tau$ ) (A.src t)  $\setminus_B$ 
           Map  $\tau$  (A.src t))
            $\sqcup_B$  Cod  $\tau$  t
      else B.null) =
  ( $\lambda t$ . if A.arr t
    then Map  $\sigma$  (A.src t)  $\setminus_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Cod  $\tau$  t
    else B.null)
proof
fix t
show (if A.arr t
  then Map (MkArr (Dom  $\sigma$ ) ?Cod- $\sigma\tau$  ?Map- $\sigma\tau$ ) (A.src t)  $\setminus_B$ 
        Map  $\tau$  (A.src t)
         $\sqcup_B$  Cod  $\tau$  t
  else B.null) =
  (if A.arr t
  then Map  $\sigma$  (A.src t)  $\setminus_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Cod  $\tau$  t
  else B.null)
proof –
have A.arr t  $\implies$ 
  ((Map  $\sigma$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t))  $\sqcup_B$ 
   Dom  $\sigma$  (A.src t))  $\setminus_B$ 
  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Cod  $\tau$  t =
  Map  $\sigma$  (A.src t)  $\setminus_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Cod  $\tau$  t
proof –
assume t: A.arr t
show ((Map  $\sigma$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t))  $\sqcup_B$ 
  Dom  $\sigma$  (A.src t))  $\setminus_B$ 
  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Cod  $\tau$  t =
  Map  $\sigma$  (A.src t)  $\setminus_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Cod  $\tau$  t
proof –
have ((Map  $\sigma$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t))  $\sqcup_B$ 
  Dom  $\sigma$  (A.src t))  $\setminus_B$ 
  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Cod  $\tau$  t =
  ((Map  $\sigma$  (A.src t)  $\sqcup_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t))  $\setminus_B$ 
  Map  $\tau$  (A.src t))
```

```

       $\sqcup_B \text{Cod } \tau \ t$ 
    using  $\sigma\tau.\text{map-def } \sigma\tau.\text{map-simp-ide } t$  by fastforce
  also have ... =  $(\text{Map } \sigma \ (A.\text{src } t) \ \backslash_B \ \text{Map } \tau \ (A.\text{src } t) \ \sqcup_B$ 
                  $\text{Map } \tau \ (A.\text{src } t) \ \backslash_B \ \text{Map } \tau \ (A.\text{src } t))$ 
                  $\sqcup_B \text{Cod } \tau \ t$ 
  by (metis 1 B.arr-prfx-join-self B.conE B.con-sym-ax B.join-def
      B.join-sym B.null-is-zero(2) B.prfx-implies-con B.resid-joinE(3)
      t)
  also have ... =
       $(\text{Map } \sigma \ (A.\text{src } t) \ \backslash_B \ \text{Map } \tau \ (A.\text{src } t) \ \sqcup_B \ \text{Cod } \tau \ (A.\text{src } t))$ 
       $\sqcup_B \text{Cod } \tau \ t$ 
  by (simp add:  $\tau.\text{preserves-trg } B.\text{resid-arr-self } t$ )
  also have ... =  $(\text{Map } \sigma \ (A.\text{src } t) \ \backslash_B \ \text{Map } \tau \ (A.\text{src } t)) \ \sqcup_B \ \text{Cod } \tau \ t$ 
  by (metis 5 A.ide-src B.arr-resid-iff-con B.join-src B.join-sym
      B.src-resid  $\tau.\text{preserves-trg } t$ )
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding resid-def  $\sigma\tau.\text{map-eq } \text{Apex-def}$ 
  using 1 5 arr-char  $\sigma.\text{transformation-axioms}$ 
  by auto
qed
show  $\text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) \ (\text{Apex } \sigma \ \tau) \ \sigma\tau.\text{map} \ \backslash \ \sigma = \tau \ \backslash \ \sigma$ 
proof -
  have  $(\lambda t. \text{if } A.\text{arr } t$ 
    then  $\text{Cod } \sigma \ t \ \backslash_B$ 
       $(\text{Map } (\text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) \ ?\text{Cod-}\sigma\tau \ ?\text{Map-}\sigma\tau) \ (A.\text{src } t) \ \backslash_B$ 
         $\text{Map } \sigma \ (A.\text{src } t))$ 
    else  $B.\text{null}) =$ 
     $(\lambda t. \text{if } A.\text{arr } t$ 
      then  $\text{Cod } \sigma \ t \ \backslash_B \ (\text{Map } \tau \ (A.\text{src } t) \ \backslash_B \ \text{Map } \sigma \ (A.\text{src } t))$ 
      else  $B.\text{null})$ 
  proof
  fix t
  show  $(\text{if } A.\text{arr } t$ 
    then  $\text{Cod } \sigma \ t \ \backslash_B$ 
       $(\text{Map } (\text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } \sigma) \ ?\text{Cod-}\sigma\tau \ ?\text{Map-}\sigma\tau) \ (A.\text{src } t) \ \backslash_B$ 
         $\text{Map } \sigma \ (A.\text{src } t))$ 
    else  $B.\text{null}) =$ 
     $(\text{if } A.\text{arr } t$ 
      then  $\text{Cod } \sigma \ t \ \backslash_B \ (\text{Map } \tau \ (A.\text{src } t) \ \backslash_B \ \text{Map } \sigma \ (A.\text{src } t))$ 
      else  $B.\text{null})$ 
  proof (cases  $A.\text{arr } t$ )
  show  $\neg A.\text{arr } t \implies ?thesis$ 
  by simp

```

```

assume  $t: A.arr\ t$ 
show  $?thesis$ 
proof –
  have  $Cod\ \sigma\ t \setminus_B$ 
     $((Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t)) \sqcup_B$ 
       $Dom\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t)) \setminus_B$ 
       $Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) =$ 
     $Cod\ \sigma\ t \setminus_B$ 
     $((Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t)) \setminus_B$ 
       $Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t))$ 
  using  $\sigma\tau.map-def\ \sigma\tau.map-simp-ide\ t$  by  $fastforce$ 
also have  $\dots = Cod\ \sigma\ t \setminus_B$ 
     $((Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t)) \sqcup_B$ 
       $(Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t)))$ 
  by  $(metis\ 1\ B.arr-prfx-join-self\ B.conE\ B.con-sym-ax\ B.join-def$ 
     $B.null-is-zero(2)\ B.prfx-implies-con\ B.resid-join_E(3)\ t)$ 
also have  $\dots = Cod\ \sigma\ t \setminus_B$ 
     $(Cod\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B$ 
       $(Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t)))$ 
  using  $A.ide-src\ A.trg-src\ B.trg-def\ \sigma.preserves-trg\ t$  by  $presburger$ 
also have  $\dots = Cod\ \sigma\ t \setminus_B (Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t))$ 
  by  $(metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ 5\ A.ide-src\ B.arr-resid-iff-con$ 
     $B.conI\ B.join-src\ B.src-resid\ \sigma.preserves-trg\ B.con-sym-ax\ t)$ 
finally show  $?thesis$  by  $auto$ 
qed
qed
qed
moreover
have  $(\lambda t. if\ A.arr\ t$ 
   $then\ (Map\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ \sigma)\ ?Cod-\sigma\tau\ ?Map-\sigma\tau)\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B$ 
     $Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t))$ 
     $\sqcup_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ t$ 
   $else\ B.null) =$ 
   $(\lambda t. if\ A.arr\ t$ 
     $then\ Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Cod\ \sigma\ t$ 
     $else\ B.null)$ 
proof
fix  $t$ 
show  $(if\ A.arr\ t$ 
   $then\ (Map\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ \sigma)\ ?Cod-\sigma\tau\ ?Map-\sigma\tau)\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B$ 
     $Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t))$ 
     $\sqcup_B\ Cod\ \sigma\ t$ 
   $else\ B.null) =$ 
   $(if\ A.arr\ t$ 
     $then\ Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Cod\ \sigma\ t$ 
     $else\ B.null)$ 
proof  $(cases\ A.arr\ t)$ 
show  $\neg A.arr\ t \implies ?thesis$ 
by  $simp$ 

```

```

assume  $t: A.arr$   $t$ 
show ?thesis
proof –
  have  $((Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t)) \sqcup_B$ 
     $Dom\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t)) \setminus_B$ 
     $Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Cod\ \sigma\ t =$ 
     $((Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t)) \setminus_B$ 
     $Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B Cod\ \sigma\ t$ 
    using  $\sigma\tau.map-def\ \sigma\tau.map-simp-ide\ t$  by fastforce
  also have  $\dots = (Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B$ 
     $Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t))$ 
     $\sqcup_B Cod\ \sigma\ t$ 
    by  $(metis\ Apex.preserves-reflects-arr\ B.arr-prfx-join-self\ B.conI$ 
     $B.joinable-iff-join-not-null\ B.not-arr-null\ B.not-ide-null$ 
     $B.null-is-zero(2)\ B.resid-join_E(3)\ \sigma\tau.naturality2\ t)$ 
  also have  $\dots = (Cod\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t) \sqcup_B$ 
     $(Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t)))$ 
     $\sqcup_B Cod\ \sigma\ t$ 
    using  $A.ide-src\ A.trg-src\ B.trg-def\ \sigma.preserves-trg\ t$ 
    by presburger
  also have  $\dots = (Map\ \tau\ (A.src\ t) \setminus_B Map\ \sigma\ (A.src\ t)) \sqcup_B Cod\ \sigma\ t$ 
    by  $(metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ 5\ A.ide-src\ B.arr-resid-iff-con$ 
     $B.conI\ B.join-src\ B.src-resid\ \sigma.preserves-trg\ B.con-sym-ax\ t)$ 
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding  $resid-def\ \sigma\tau.map-eq\ Apex-def$ 
  using  $1\ 5\ 6\ \sigma\tau'\ \sigma.transformation-axioms\ arr-char\ B.con-sym$ 
  by simp
qed
qed
thus  $joinable\ \sigma\ \tau$ 
  by  $(metis\ \sigma\tau\ joinable-iff-join-not-null\ not-arr-null)$ 
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Dom-join:
assumes  $joinable\ \sigma\ \tau$ 
shows  $Dom\ (\sigma \sqcup \tau) = Dom\ \sigma$ 
  using  $assms\ join-char$  by auto

```

```

lemma Cod-join:
assumes  $joinable\ \sigma\ \tau$ 
shows  $Cod\ (\sigma \sqcup \tau) = Apex\ \sigma\ \tau$ 
  using  $assms\ join-char$  by auto

```

```

lemma Map-join:

```

```

assumes joinable  $\sigma \ \tau$ 
shows  $\text{Map } (\sigma \sqcup \tau) =$ 
       $(\lambda t. (\text{Map } \sigma (A.\text{src } t) \sqcup_B \text{Map } \tau (A.\text{src } t)) \sqcup_B \text{Dom } \tau \ t)$ 
using assms join-char by auto

```

end

3.10.1 Exponential of Small RTS's

```

locale exponential-of-small-rts =

```

```

  A: small-rts A +

```

```

  B: small-rts B +

```

```

  exponential-rts

```

```

begin

```

```

lemma small-Collect-fun:

```

```

shows small  $\{F. F \text{ ' Collect } A.\text{arr} \subseteq \text{Collect } B.\text{arr} \wedge$ 
       $F \text{ ' (UNIV - Collect } A.\text{arr}) \subseteq \{B.\text{null}\}\}$ 

```

```

proof -

```

```

  let  $?F = \{F. F \text{ ' Collect } A.\text{arr} \subseteq \text{Collect } B.\text{arr} \wedge$ 
       $F \text{ ' (UNIV - Collect } A.\text{arr}) \subseteq \{B.\text{null}\}\}$ 

```

```

  obtain  $\varphi$  where  $\varphi$ : inj-on  $\varphi$  (Collect A.arr)  $\wedge \varphi \text{ ' Collect } A.\text{arr} \in \text{range } \text{elts}$ 

```

```

    using A.small small-def by metis

```

```

  obtain  $\psi$  where  $\psi$ : inj-on  $\psi$  (Collect B.arr)  $\wedge \psi \text{ ' Collect } B.\text{arr} \in \text{range } \text{elts}$ 

```

```

    using B.small small-def by metis

```

```

  let  $?graph = \lambda F :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b. \text{set } ((\lambda x. \text{vpair } (\varphi \ x) (\psi (F \ x))) \text{ ' Collect } A.\text{arr})$ 

```

```

  have  $?graph \text{ ' } ?F \subseteq \text{elts } (\text{VPow } (\text{vtimes } (\text{set } (\varphi \text{ ' Collect } A.\text{arr}))$ 
       $(\text{set } (\psi \text{ ' Collect } B.\text{arr}))))$ 

```

```

    using A.small B.small small-def

```

```

    by (simp add: image-subset-iff set-image-le-iff)

```

```

  moreover have inj-on  $?graph \ ?F$ 

```

```

proof (intro inj-onI)

```

```

  fix F G

```

```

  assume F:  $F \in ?F$  and G:  $G \in ?F$ 

```

```

  and eq:  $?graph \ F = ?graph \ G$ 

```

```

  show  $F = G$ 

```

```

proof

```

```

  fix x

```

```

  show  $F \ x = G \ x$ 

```

```

proof (cases A.arr x)

```

```

  show  $\neg A.\text{arr } x \Longrightarrow ?thesis$ 

```

```

    using F G

```

```

    by (simp add: image-subset-iff)

```

```

  assume x:  $A.\text{arr } x$ 

```

```

  have  $?graph \ F = ?graph \ G$ 

```

```

    using eq by simp

```

```

  hence  $(\lambda x. \text{vpair } (\varphi \ x) (\psi (F \ x))) \text{ ' Collect } A.\text{arr} =$ 

```

```

       $(\lambda x. \text{vpair } (\varphi \ x) (\psi (G \ x))) \text{ ' Collect } A.\text{arr}$ 

```

```

    using A.small by auto

```

hence $\exists x'. A.arr\ x' \wedge vpair\ (\varphi\ x)\ (\psi\ (F\ x)) = vpair\ (\varphi\ x')\ (\psi\ (G\ x'))$
using x **by** *blast*
hence $vpair\ (\varphi\ x)\ (\psi\ (F\ x)) = vpair\ (\varphi\ x)\ (\psi\ (G\ x))$
by (*metis* $x\ \varphi\ inj-onD\ mem-Collect-eq\ vpair-inject$)
hence $\psi\ (F\ x) = \psi\ (G\ x)$
by *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using $x\ F\ G\ \psi\ inj-onD$ [*of* $\psi\ Collect\ B.arr\ F\ x\ G\ x$] **by** *blast*
qed
qed
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*meson* *down-raw* *small-def*)
qed

lemma *small-Collect-simulation*:
shows *small* (*Collect* (*simulation* $A\ B$))
proof –
have $\bigwedge F. simulation\ A\ B\ F \implies$
 $F\ ' Collect\ A.arr \subseteq Collect\ B.arr \wedge$
 $F\ ' (UNIV - Collect\ A.arr) \subseteq \{B.null\}$
apply (*intro* *conjI*)
apply (*simp* *add: image-subset-iff simulation.preserves-reflects-arr*)
using *simulation.extensional* **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *Collect-mono* *small-Collect-fun* *smaller-than-small*)
qed

lemma *small-Collect-transformation*:
assumes *simulation* $A\ B\ F$ **and** *simulation* $A\ B\ G$
shows *small* (*Collect* (*transformation* $A\ B\ F\ G$))
proof –
have $\bigwedge \tau. transformation\ A\ B\ F\ G\ \tau \implies$
 $\tau\ ' Collect\ A.arr \subseteq Collect\ B.arr \wedge$
 $\tau\ ' (UNIV - Collect\ A.arr) \subseteq \{B.null\}$
by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) *DiffD2* *image-subsetI* *mem-Collect-eq*
singleton-iff transformation.extensional transformation.preserves-arr)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *Collect-mono* *small-Collect-fun*
smaller-than-small)
qed

sublocale *small-rts* *resid*

proof
have *small* ($\bigcup FG \in Collect\ (simulation\ A\ B) \times Collect\ (simulation\ A\ B).$
 $\{FG\} \times Collect\ (transformation\ A\ B\ (fst\ FG)\ (snd\ FG))$)
proof –
have *small* ($Collect\ (simulation\ A\ B) \times Collect\ (simulation\ A\ B)$)
using *small-Collect-simulation* **by** *fastforce*


```

moreover
have  $\bigwedge FG. FG \in Collect (simulation\ A\ B) \times Collect (simulation\ A\ B) \implies$ 
       $small (\{FG\} \times Collect (transformation\ A\ B\ (fst\ FG)\ (snd\ FG)))$ 
      using small-Collect-transformation by force
      ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have  $(\lambda t. ((Dom\ t,\ Cod\ t), Map\ t)) \text{ ' } Collect\ arr \subseteq$ 
       $(\bigcup FG \in Collect (simulation\ A\ B) \times Collect (simulation\ A\ B).$ 
       $\{FG\} \times Collect (transformation\ A\ B\ (fst\ FG)\ (snd\ FG)))$ 
proof
  fix T
  assume T:  $T \in (\lambda t. ((Dom\ t,\ Cod\ t), Map\ t)) \text{ ' } Collect\ arr$ 
  obtain t where  $t: arr\ t \wedge T = ((Dom\ t,\ Cod\ t), Map\ t)$ 
    using T by blast
  have  $simulation\ A\ B\ (Dom\ t) \wedge simulation\ A\ B\ (Cod\ t) \wedge$ 
     $transformation\ A\ B\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t)\ (Map\ t)$ 
    by (meson arr-char t transformation-def)
  thus  $T \in$ 
     $(\bigcup FG \in Collect (simulation\ A\ B) \times Collect (simulation\ A\ B).$ 
     $\{FG\} \times Collect (transformation\ A\ B\ (fst\ FG)\ (snd\ FG)))$ 
    using t by simp
qed
ultimately have  $small ((\lambda t. ((Dom\ t,\ Cod\ t), Map\ t)) \text{ ' } Collect\ arr)$ 
  using smaller-than-small by blast
moreover have  $inj\ on\ (\lambda t. ((Dom\ t,\ Cod\ t), Map\ t))\ (Collect\ arr)$ 
  using not-arr-null null-char MkArr-Map
  by (intro inj-onI) (metis fst-conv mem-Collect-eq snd-eqD)
ultimately show  $small (Collect\ arr)$  by auto
qed

lemma is-small-rts:
shows small-rts resid
  ..

end

```

3.10.2 Exponential into RTS with Composites

```

locale exponential-into-rts-with-composites =
  A: rts A +
  B: rts-with-composites B +
  exponential-rts
begin

```

interpretation *B*: *extensional-rts B* ..

interpretation *B*: *extensional-rts-with-composites B* ..

notation *B.comp* (**infixr** \cdot_B 55)

abbreviation $COMP :: ('a, 'b) arr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) arr \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) arr$
where $COMP\ t\ u \equiv MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)$
 $(\lambda x. Map\ t\ (A.src\ x) \cdot_B Map\ u\ (A.src\ x) \sqcup_B Dom\ t\ x)$

lemma *composite-of-iff*:

shows $composite-of\ t\ u\ v \iff seq\ t\ u \wedge v = COMP\ t\ u$

proof

show $\bigwedge v. seq\ t\ u \wedge v = COMP\ t\ u \implies composite-of\ t\ u\ v$

proof (*elim conjE*)

fix v

assume $tu: seq\ t\ u$

interpret $T: transformation\ A\ B\ \langle Dom\ t \rangle\ \langle Cod\ t \rangle\ \langle Map\ t \rangle$

using $tu\ arr-char$ **by** *blast*

interpret $U: transformation\ A\ B\ \langle Cod\ t \rangle\ \langle Cod\ u \rangle\ \langle Map\ u \rangle$

using $tu\ arr-char\ src-simp$

by (*metis Map-src Map-trg seqEWE*)

interpret $TU: transformation-by-components\ A\ B\ \langle Dom\ t \rangle\ \langle Cod\ u \rangle$

$\langle \lambda a. B.comp\ (Map\ t\ a)\ (Map\ u\ a) \rangle$

proof

show $\bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies B.src\ (B.comp\ (Map\ t\ a)\ (Map\ u\ a)) = Dom\ t\ a$

by (*metis A.ide-implies-arr B.rts-with-composites-axioms B.seqIWE(2)*)

$T.preserves-src\ T.preserves-trg\ U.preserves-arr\ U.preserves-src$

$exponential-rts-axioms\ exponential-rts-def$

$extensional-rts-with-composites.src-compEC$

$extensional-rts-with-composites-def$)

show $1: \bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies B.trg\ (B.comp\ (Map\ t\ a)\ (Map\ u\ a)) = Cod\ u\ a$

by (*metis A.ide-implies-arr B.arr-src-iff-arr B.composable-iff-arr-comp*)

$B.trg-comp\ T.F.preserves-reflects-arr\ U.preserves-trg$

$\langle \bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies B.src\ (B.comp\ (Map\ t\ a)\ (Map\ u\ a)) = Dom\ t\ a \rangle$)

fix x

assume $x: A.arr\ x$

show $B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.src\ x))\ (Map\ u\ (A.src\ x)) \setminus_B Dom\ t\ x =$

$B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.trg\ x))\ (Map\ u\ (A.trg\ x))$

proof –

have $B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.src\ x))\ (Map\ u\ (A.src\ x)) \setminus_B Dom\ t\ x =$

$B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.src\ x) \setminus_B Dom\ t\ x)$

$(Map\ u\ (A.src\ x) \setminus_B (Dom\ t\ x \setminus_B Map\ t\ (A.src\ x)))$

by (*metis (full-types) 1 A.arr-src-iff-arr A.ide-src B.arr-resid-iff-con*)

$B.arr-trg-iff-arr\ B.comp-def\ B.con-compI(2)\ B.not-arr-null$

$T.transformation-axioms\ U.G.preserves-reflects-arr$

$U.transformation-axioms\ exponential-rts-axioms\ exponential-rts-def$

$extensional-rts.resid-comp(2)\ transformation.naturality2-ax\ x$)

also have $\dots = B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.trg\ x))\ (Map\ u\ (A.trg\ x))$

using $T.naturality1\ T.naturality2\ U.naturality1$ **by** *presburger*

finally

show $B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.src\ x))\ (Map\ u\ (A.src\ x)) \setminus_B Dom\ t\ x =$

$B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.trg\ x))\ (Map\ u\ (A.trg\ x))$

by *blast*

qed

```

show 1:  $Dom\ t\ x \setminus_B B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.src\ x))\ (Map\ u\ (A.src\ x)) =$ 
       $Cod\ u\ x$ 
using  $x$ 
by (metis  $A.arr\ trg\ iff\ arr\ A.ide\ trg\ B.arr\ resid\ iff\ con\ B.arr\ trg\ iff\ arr$ 
       $B.resid\ comp(1)\ T.naturality2\ U.G.preserves\ reflects\ arr\ U.naturality2$ 
       $\langle B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.src\ x))\ (Map\ u\ (A.src\ x)) \setminus_B\ Dom\ t\ x =$ 
       $B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.trg\ x))\ (Map\ u\ (A.trg\ x)) \rangle$ 
       $\langle \bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies B.trg\ (B.comp\ (Map\ t\ a)\ (Map\ u\ a)) = Cod\ u\ a \rangle$ )
show  $B.joinable\ (B.comp\ (Map\ t\ (A.src\ x))\ (Map\ u\ (A.src\ x)))\ (Dom\ t\ x)$ 
using  $x\ B.joinable\ iff\ con$ 
by (metis  $1\ B.conI\ B.con\ sym\ ax\ B.not\ arr\ null$ 
       $U.G.preserves\ reflects\ arr$ )
qed
have  $composite\ of\ t\ u\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map)$ 
proof
have 1:  $arr\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map)$ 
using  $arr\ char\ TU.transformation\ axioms$  by  $blast$ 
have  $src\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map) = src\ t$ 
using  $1\ tu\ src\ simp$ 
by (metis ( $no\ types, lifting$ )  $Dom.simps(1)\ seqE_{WE}$ )
have 3:  $trg\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map) = trg\ u$ 
using  $1\ trg\ simp\ tu$ 
by (metis ( $no\ types, lifting$ )  $Cod.simps(1)\ seqE_{WE}$ )
have  $\forall a. A.ide\ a \longrightarrow$ 
       $Map\ t\ a \lesssim_B Map\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map)\ a$ 
using  $TU.map\ simp\ ide$ 
by (metis ( $no\ types, lifting$ )  $A.ide\ implies\ arr\ B.prfx\ comp$ 
       $Map.simps(1)\ TU.preserves\ arr$ )
thus 2:  $t \lesssim MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map$ 
using  $1\ src\ simp\ tu\ prfx\ char\ [of\ t\ MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map]$ 
by  $auto$ 
have  $MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map \setminus t = u$ 
proof –
have  $Dom\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map \setminus t) = Dom\ u$ 
by (metis ( $mono\ tags, lifting$ )  $2\ Dom\ resid\ conI\ con\ sym\ ax$ 
       $exponential\ rts.Map\ src\ exponential\ rts.Map\ trg$ 
       $exponential\ rts.ide\ char_{ERTS}\ exponential\ rts\ axioms\ seqE_{WE}\ tu$ )
moreover have  $Cod\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map \setminus t) = Cod\ u$ 
by (metis ( $no\ types, lifting$ )  $1\ 2\ Cod.simps(1)\ Map\ trg\ apex\ sym$ 
       $arr\ resid\ conI\ con\ sym\ ax\ not\ ide\ null\ src\ ide\ src\ resid\ trg\ ide$ )
moreover have  $Map\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map \setminus t) = Map\ u$ 
proof –
have  $\bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies$ 
       $Map\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ u)\ TU.map \setminus t)\ a = Map\ u\ a$ 
by (metis ( $no\ types, lifting$ )  $2\ A.ide\ implies\ arr\ B.comp\ resid\ prfx$ 
       $Map.simps(1)\ Map\ resid\ ide\ TU.map\ simp\ ide\ TU.preserves\ arr$ 
       $conI\ con\ sym\ ax\ not\ ide\ null$ )
thus  $?thesis$ 
using  $transformation\ eqI$ 

```

```

      [of A B Dom u Cod u
       Map (MkArr (Dom t) (Cod u) TU.map \ t) Map u]
      2 arr-char U.transformation-axioms
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) B.extensional-rts-axioms Dom-resid
        arr-resid calculation(1-2) conI con-sym-ax not-ide-null)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using 1
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 MkArr-Map arr-char con-sym-ax
      not-ide-null null-char seqEWE tu)
  qed
  thus cong (MkArr (Dom t) (Cod u) TU.map \ t) u
  by (metis (full-types) 1 3 ide-trg trg-def)
  qed
  thus v = COMP t u  $\implies$  composite-of t u v
  unfolding TU.map-def by blast
  qed
  thus composite-of t u v  $\implies$  seq t u  $\wedge$  v = COMP t u
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) arrE arr-composite-of comp-is-composite-of(2)
      composable-imp-seq comp-def)
  qed

  corollary is-rts-with-composites:
  shows rts-with-composites resid
  using composite-of-iff composable-def
  by unfold-locales auto

  sublocale rts-with-composites resid
  using is-rts-with-composites by blast
  sublocale extensional-rts-with-composites resid ..

  lemma naturality:
  assumes arr  $\tau$  and A.arr u
  shows Dom  $\tau$  u  $\cdot_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.trg u) = Map  $\tau$  (A.src u)  $\cdot_B$  Cod  $\tau$  u
  proof -
  interpret  $\tau$ : transformation A B  $\langle$ Dom  $\tau$  $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod  $\tau$  $\rangle$   $\langle$ Map  $\tau$  $\rangle$ 
  using assms arr-char by blast
  show ?thesis
  using assms(2)  $\tau$ .naturality1  $\tau$ .naturality2  $\tau$ .naturality3
  by (metis B.diamond-commutes)
  qed

  lemma Dom-comp [simp]:
  assumes seq  $\sigma$   $\tau$ 
  shows Dom (comp  $\sigma$   $\tau$ ) = Dom  $\sigma$ 
  using assms
  by (metis Map-src composable-iff-arr-comp has-composites seqEWE src-comp)

  lemma Cod-comp [simp]:

```

```

assumes seq  $\sigma$   $\tau$ 
shows  $Cod (comp \sigma \tau) = Cod \tau$ 
  using assms
  by (metis Map-trg composable-iff-arr-comp has-composites seqEWE trg-comp)

```

```

lemma Map-comp [simp]:
assumes seq  $\sigma$   $\tau$ 
shows  $Map (comp \sigma \tau) =$ 
   $(\lambda x. Map \sigma (A.src x) \cdot_B Map \tau (A.src x) \sqcup_B Dom \sigma x)$ 
  using assms composite-of-iff comp-is-composite-of has-composites
  by simp

```

```

lemma Map-comp-ide:
assumes seq  $\sigma$   $\tau$  and  $A.ide x$ 
shows  $Map (comp \sigma \tau) x = Map \sigma x \cdot_B Map \tau x$ 
proof –
  have  $1: B.src (Map \tau x) = Dom \tau (A.src x)$ 
    using assms
    by (metis A.src-ide seq-char transformation.preserves-src)
  have  $(Map \sigma (A.src x) \cdot_B Map \tau (A.src x)) \sqcup_B Dom \sigma x =$ 
     $Map \sigma (A.src x) \cdot_B Map \tau (A.src x)$ 
  proof –
    have  $B.seq (Map \sigma (A.src x)) (Map \tau (A.src x))$ 
      by (metis assms(1–2) 1 A.ideE A.ide-implies-arr A.src-ide
         $B.seqI_{WE}(2)$   $B.trg-def seq-char resid-Map-self seqE$ 
        transformation.preserves-arr)
    hence  $B.src (Map \sigma (A.src x) \cdot_B Map \tau (A.src x)) = Dom \sigma x$ 
      using assms A.src-ide seq-char transformation.preserves-src B.src-comp
      by (metis B.composable-iff-seq)
    thus ?thesis
    using assms B.join-src [of Map  $\sigma$  (A.src x)  $\cdot_B$  Map  $\tau$  (A.src x)] B.join-sym
       $B.seq-implies-arr-comp \langle B.seq (Map \sigma (A.src x)) (Map \tau (A.src x)) \rangle$ 
    by presburger
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  using assms by force
qed

```

end

3.10.3 Exponential by One

The isomorphism between an RTS A and the exponential $[1, A]$ is important in various situations.

```

locale exponential-by-One =
  One: one-arr-rts +
  A: extensional-rts A
for  $A :: 'a resid$     (infix  $\setminus_A$  70)
begin

```

sublocale *exponential-rts* *One.resid A ..*
notation *resid* (**infix** $\setminus 70$)
notation *con* (**infix** $\frown 50$)

abbreviation $Up :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b, 'a) \text{ arr}$
where $Up\ t \equiv$
 if $A.\text{arr}\ t$
 then $MkArr$
 $(\text{constant-simulation.map}\ One.\text{resid}\ A\ (A.\text{src}\ t))$
 $(\text{constant-simulation.map}\ One.\text{resid}\ A\ (A.\text{trg}\ t))$
 $(\text{constant-transformation.map}\ One.\text{resid}\ A\ t)$
 else $null$

abbreviation $Dn :: ('b, 'a) \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'a$
where $Dn\ t \equiv \text{if}\ \text{arr}\ t\ \text{then}\ \text{Map}\ t\ \text{One.the-arr}\ \text{else}\ A.\text{null}$

sublocale $Up: \text{simulation}\ A\ \text{resid}\ Up$
proof
 show $\bigwedge t. \neg A.\text{arr}\ t \Longrightarrow Up\ t = null$
 by *simp*
 fix $t\ u$
 assume $tu: t \frown_A u$
 interpret $T: \text{constant-transformation}\ One.\text{resid}\ A\ t$
 using $tu\ A.\text{con-implies-arr}$
 by *unfold-locales blast*
 interpret $U: \text{constant-transformation}\ One.\text{resid}\ A\ u$
 using $tu\ A.\text{con-implies-arr}$
 by *unfold-locales blast*
 interpret $TU: \text{constant-transformation}\ One.\text{resid}\ A\ \langle A\ t\ u \rangle$
 using tu
 by *unfold-locales auto*
 have $2: T.F = U.F$
 using $tu\ A.\text{con-implies-arr}\ A.\text{con-imp-eq-src}$ **by** *auto*
 have $3: TU.F = U.G$
 using tu **by** *auto*
 show $1: Up\ t \frown Up\ u$
 proof
 show *cointial* $(Up\ t)\ (Up\ u)$
 using $tu\ 2\ A.\text{con-implies-arr}\ \text{sources-char}\ \text{src-char}$
 $T.\text{transformation-axioms}\ U.\text{transformation-axioms}$
 by *(intro cointialI) auto*
 show $\bigwedge a. One.\text{ide}\ a \Longrightarrow \text{Map}\ (Up\ t)\ a \frown_A \text{Map}\ (Up\ u)\ a$
 by *(simp add: T.arr-t U.arr-t tu)*
 qed
 show $Up\ (t \setminus_A u) = Up\ t \setminus Up\ u$
 proof *(intro arr-eqI)*
 show *arr* $(Up\ (t \setminus_A u))$
 using $tu\ TU.\text{transformation-axioms}$ **by** *simp*

```

show arr (Up t \ Up u)
  using 1 by auto
show Dom (Up (t \_A u)) = Dom (Up t \ Up u)
  using tu 2 3 A.con-implies-arr resid-def
      T.transformation-axioms U.transformation-axioms
  by simp
show Cod (Up (t \_A u)) = Cod (Up t \ Up u)
proof -
  have TU.G =
    (λt. if One.arr t
      then Cod (MkArr U.F U.G U.map) t \_A
        (Map (MkArr U.F T.G T.map) (One.src t) \_A
          Map (MkArr U.F U.G U.map) (One.src t))
      else A.null)
  using A.apex-sym A.cube A.trg-def by auto
thus ?thesis
  using tu 2 3 A.con-implies-arr resid-def
      T.transformation-axioms U.transformation-axioms
  by simp
qed
show ∧a. One.ide a ⇒
  Map (Up (t \_A u)) a = Map (Up t \ Up u) a
  using tu 2 A.con-implies-arr resid-def
      T.transformation-axioms U.transformation-axioms
  apply simp
  by (metis 3 A.join-src A.join-sym TU.arr-t One.arr-char)
qed
qed

sublocale Dn: simulation resid A Dn
proof
show ∧t. ¬ arr t ⇒ Dn t = A.null
  by simp
fix t u
assume tu: t ∩ u
interpret T: transformation One.resid A ⟨Dom t⟩ ⟨Cod t⟩ ⟨Map t⟩
  using tu con-implies-arr arr-char by blast
interpret U: transformation One.resid A ⟨Dom u⟩ ⟨Cod u⟩ ⟨Map u⟩
  using tu con-implies-arr arr-char by blast
interpret TU: transformation One.resid A ⟨Cod u⟩ ⟨Apex t u⟩ ⟨Resid t u⟩
  using tu transformation-Map-resid [of t u] resid-def [of t u] con-char
  by auto
show 1: Dn t ∩_A Dn u
  using tu con-implies-arr con-char One.ide-char1RTS by auto
have 2: Dom t = Dom u
  using tu con-char by auto
show Dn (t \ u) = Dn t \_A Dn u
  using tu 1 2 con-implies-arr resid-def One.ide-char1RTS One.arr-char
      null-char not-arr-null T.transformation-axioms U.transformation-axioms

```

```

    One.src-char A.null-is-zero A.not-arr-null TU.transformation-axioms
    Apex-def
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.join-src A.join-sym A.residuation-axioms
      A.src-resid U.preserves-trg residuation.arr-resid)
qed

lemma inverse-simulations-Dn-Up:
shows inverse-simulations A resid Dn Up
proof
  show  $Dn \circ Up = I A$ 
    using One.arr-char by auto
  show  $Up \circ Dn = I resid$ 
proof
  interpret UpoDown: composite-simulation resid A resid Dn Up ..
  fix t
  show  $(Up \circ Dn) t = I resid t$ 
proof (cases arr t, intro arr-eqI)
  show  $\neg arr t \implies (Up \circ Dn) t = I resid t$ 
    by auto
  show  $arr t \implies arr (UpoDown.map t)$  by blast
  show  $arr t \implies arr (I resid t)$  by simp
fix t
assume t: arr t
interpret T: transformation One.resid A  $\langle Dom t \rangle$   $\langle Cod t \rangle$   $\langle Map t \rangle$ 
  using t arr-char [of t] by blast
show  $Dom (UpoDown.map t) = Dom (I resid t)$ 
  using t T.F.extensional T.preserves-arr One.arr-char One.ide-char1RTS
    T.preserves-src
  by auto
show  $Cod (UpoDown.map t) = Cod (I resid t)$ 
  using t T.G.extensional T.preserves-arr One.arr-char One.ide-char1RTS
    T.preserves-trg
  by auto
show  $\bigwedge a. One.ide a \implies Map (UpoDown.map t) a = Map (I resid t) a$ 
  using t T.preserves-arr One.arr-char by auto
qed
qed
qed

end

```

3.10.4 Evaluation Map

```

locale evaluation-map =
  A: weakly-extensional-rts A +
  B: extensional-rts B
for A :: 'a resid      (infix \ $\setminus_A$  55)
and B :: 'b resid      (infix \ $\setminus_B$  55)

```


begin

sublocale AB : *exponential-rts* $A B$..

sublocale $ABxA$: *product-rts* $AB.resid A$..

notation $AB.resid$ (infix $\setminus_{[A,B]}$ 55)

notation $ABxA.resid$ (infix $\setminus_{[A,B]xA}$ 55)

notation $AB.con$ (infix $\frown_{[A,B]}$ 50)

notation $ABxA.con$ (infix $\frown_{[A,B]xA}$ 50)

definition $map :: ('a, 'b) AB.arr \times 'a \Rightarrow 'b$

where $map Fg \equiv$ if $ABxA.arr Fg$ then $AB.Map (fst Fg) (snd Fg)$ else $B.null$

lemma *map-simp*:

assumes $ABxA.arr Fg$

shows $map Fg = AB.Map (fst Fg) (snd Fg)$

using *assms map-def by auto*

lemma *is-simulation*:

shows *simulation* $ABxA.resid B map$

proof

show $\bigwedge Fg. \neg ABxA.arr Fg \Longrightarrow map Fg = B.null$

using *map-def by auto*

fix $Fg Fg'$

assume $con: Fg \frown_{[A,B]xA} Fg'$

let $?F = fst Fg$ **and** $?g = snd Fg$

let $?F' = fst Fg'$ **and** $?g' = snd Fg'$

have $con-FF': ?F \frown_{[A,B]} ?F'$

using *con by blast*

have $con-gg': ?g \frown_A ?g'$

using *con by blast*

interpret F : *transformation* $A B \langle AB.Dom ?F \rangle \langle AB.Cod ?F \rangle \langle AB.Map ?F \rangle$

using *AB.con-char con-FF' by auto*

interpret F' : *transformation* $A B$

$\langle AB.Dom ?F' \rangle \langle AB.Cod ?F' \rangle \langle AB.Map ?F' \rangle$

using *AB.con-char con-FF' by metis*

interpret $F-F'$: *transformation* $A B \langle AB.Cod ?F' \rangle \langle AB.Apex ?F ?F' \rangle$

$\langle AB.Map (AB.resid ?F ?F') \rangle$

using *AB.con-char AB.transformation-Map-resid con-FF' by auto*

show $map Fg \frown_B map Fg'$

by (*metis* *A.arr-resid AB.resid-Map ABxA.con-implies-arr(2) B.conI*

B.not-arr-null F-F'.preserves-arr con con-FF' con-gg' map-def

ABxA.con-implies-arr(1))

show $map (Fg \setminus_{[A,B]xA} Fg') = map Fg \setminus_B map Fg'$

by (*metis* *AB.resid-Map ABxA.arr-resid ABxA.con-implies-arr(2)*

ABxA.resid-def con con-FF' con-gg' fst-conv map-def

ABxA.con-implies-arr(1) snd-conv)

qed

sublocale *simulation* $ABxA.resid\ B\ map$
using *is-simulation* **by** *auto*
sublocale *binary-simulation* $AB.resid\ A\ B\ map\ ..$

lemma *src-map*:
assumes $AB.arr\ Fg$ **and** $A.arr\ f$
shows $B.src\ (map\ (Fg,\ f)) = AB.Dom\ Fg\ (A.src\ f)$
proof –
interpret F : *transformation* $A\ B\ \langle AB.Dom\ Fg\rangle\ \langle AB.Cod\ Fg\rangle\ \langle AB.Map\ Fg\rangle$
using *assms* $AB.arr-char$ **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
by (*metis* $A.ide-src\ ABxA.arr-char\ B.src-join-of(1)\ F.naturality3$
 $F.preserves-src\ assms(1-2)\ fst-conv\ map-def\ snd-conv$)
qed

lemma *trg-map*:
assumes $AB.arr\ Fg$ **and** $A.arr\ f$
shows $B.trg\ (map\ (Fg,\ f)) = AB.Cod\ Fg\ (A.trg\ f)$
proof –
interpret F : *transformation* $A\ B\ \langle AB.Dom\ Fg\rangle\ \langle AB.Cod\ Fg\rangle\ \langle AB.Map\ Fg\rangle$
using *assms* $AB.arr-char$ **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
by (*metis* $ABxA.arr-char\ B.apex-sym\ B.trg-join-of(1)\ F.G.preserves-trg$
 $F.naturality2-ax\ F.naturality3\ assms(1-2)\ fst-conv\ map-def\ snd-conv$)
qed

end

3.10.5 Currying

locale *Currying* =
 A : *weakly-extensional-rts* A +
 B : *weakly-extensional-rts* B +
 C : *extensional-rts* C
for A :: ' $a\ resid$ (**infix** $\setminus_A\ 55$)
and B :: ' $b\ resid$ (**infix** $\setminus_B\ 55$)
and C :: ' $c\ resid$ (**infix** $\setminus_C\ 55$)
begin

sublocale AxB : *product-of-weakly-extensional-rts* $A\ B\ ..$
sublocale BC : *exponential-rts* $B\ C\ ..$
sublocale $BCxB$: *product-rts* $BC.resid\ B\ ..$
sublocale E : *evaluation-map* $B\ C\ ..$

notation $A.con$ (**infix** $\frown_A\ 50$)
notation $B.con$ (**infix** $\frown_B\ 50$)
notation $C.con$ (**infix** $\frown_C\ 50$)
notation $C.prfx$ (**infix** $\lesssim_C\ 50$)
notation $C.join$ (**infixr** $\sqcup_C\ 52$)

notation $AxB.resid$ (**infix** \setminus_{AxB} 55)
notation $AxB.con$ (**infix** \frown_{AxB} 52)
notation $AxB.prfx$ (**infix** \lesssim_{AxB} 52)
notation $BC.resid$ (**infix** $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ 55)
notation $BC.con$ (**infix** $\frown_{[B,C]}$ 52)
notation $BC.join$ (**infixr** $\sqcup_{[B,C]}$ 52)
notation $BCxB.resid$ (**infix** $\setminus_{[B,C]xB}$ 55)
notation $BCxB.con$ (**infix** $\frown_{[B,C]xB}$ 52)

definition $Curry :: ('a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'c)$
 $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b, 'c) BC.arr$

where $Curry F G \tau f =$
(if $A.arr f$
then $BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F (A.src f, g)) (\lambda g. G (A.trg f, g)) (\lambda g. \tau (f, g))$
else $BC.null)$

abbreviation $Curry3 :: ('a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b, 'c) BC.arr$
where $Curry3 F \equiv Curry F F F$

definition $Uncurry :: ('a \Rightarrow ('b, 'c) BC.arr) \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'c$
where $Uncurry \tau f \equiv \text{if } AxB.arr f \text{ then } E.map (\tau (fst f), snd f) \text{ else } C.null$

lemma *Curry-simp*:
assumes $A.arr f$
shows $Curry F G \tau f =$
 $BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F (A.src f, g)) (\lambda g. G (A.trg f, g)) (\lambda g. \tau (f, g))$
using *assms Curry-def by auto*

lemma *Uncurry-simp*:
assumes $AxB.arr f$
shows $Uncurry \tau f = E.map (\tau (fst f), snd f)$
using *assms Uncurry-def by auto*

lemma *Dom-Curry*:
assumes $A.arr f$
shows $BC.Dom (Curry F G \tau f) = (\lambda g. F (A.src f, g))$
using *assms Curry-simp by simp*

lemma *Cod-Curry*:
assumes $A.arr f$
shows $BC.Cod (Curry F G \tau f) = (\lambda g. G (A.trg f, g))$
using *assms Curry-simp by simp*

lemma *Map-Curry*:
assumes $A.arr f$
shows $BC.Map (Curry F G \tau f) = (\lambda g. \tau (f, g))$
using *assms Curry-simp by simp*

lemma *Map-simulation-expansion*:

assumes *simulation A BC.resid G and AxB.arr f*
shows $BC.Map (G (fst f)) (snd f) =$
 $BC.Map (G (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (G (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)$
proof –
interpret G : *simulation A BC.resid G*
using *assms(1)* **by** *blast*
interpret G : *simulation-to-extensional-rts A BC.resid G ..*
show *?thesis*
proof (*intro C.join-eqI'*)
show $BC.Map (G (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \lesssim_C BC.Map (G (fst f)) (snd f)$
by (*metis B.con-arr-src(2) B.resid-src-arr B.trg-def*
 $BC.Map-preserves-prfx BC.cong-reflexive BC.resid-Map-self$
 $G.preserves-reflects-arr assms(2) AxB.arr-char B.arrE$)
show $BC.Map (G (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \lesssim_C BC.Map (G (fst f)) (snd f)$
by (*meson A.source-is-prfx A.src-in-sources BC.Map-preserves-prfx*
 $G.preserves-prfx assms(2) AxB.arr-char$)
show $BC.Map (G (fst f)) (snd f) \setminus_C BC.Map (G (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) =$
 $BC.Map (G (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (G (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)$
by (*metis A.con-arr-src(1) AxB.arr-char AxB.con-char B.con-arr-src(2)*
 $B.resid-src-arr B.trg-def BC.resid-Map G.preserves-con assms(2)$
 $AxB.arrE$)
show $BC.Map (G (fst f)) (snd f) \setminus_C BC.Map (G (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) =$
 $BC.Map (G (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (G (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))$
by (*metis A.con-arr-src(2) A.resid-arr-self A.resid-src-arr*
 $B.con-arr-src(1) BC.resid-Map G.preserves-con$
 $G.preserves-resid assms(2) AxB.arr-char A.arrE$)
qed
qed

lemma *Map-simulation-monotone:*
assumes *simulation A BC.resid G and $f \lesssim_{AxB} g$*
shows $BC.Map (G (fst f)) (snd f) \lesssim_C BC.Map (G (fst g)) (snd g)$
proof –
interpret G : *simulation A BC.resid G*
using *assms(1)* **by** *blast*
interpret G : *simulation-to-extensional-rts A BC.resid G ..*
show *?thesis*
using *assms(2)*
by (*metis AxB.con-char AxB.prfx-char AxB.prfx-implies-con*
 $BC.Map-resid-ide BC.arrE BC.con-def BC.ide-implies-arr$
 $BC.prfx-char BC.resid-Map G.preserves-prfx$)
qed

lemma *Curry-preserves-simulations [intro]:*
assumes *simulation AxB.resid C F*
shows *simulation A BC.resid (Curry3 F)*

```

proof –
interpret  $F$ : simulation  $AxB.resid\ C\ F$ 
  using assms by auto
interpret  $F$ : binary-simulation-between-weakly-extensional-rts  $A\ B\ C\ F\ ..$ 
show ?thesis
proof
  show  $\bigwedge t. \neg A.arr\ t \implies Curry3\ F\ t = BC.null$ 
    using Curry-def by simp
  fix  $t\ u$ 
  assume con:  $t \frown_A u$ 
  interpret  $Ft$ : transformation  $B\ C\ \langle \lambda g. F\ (A.src\ u, g)\ \langle \lambda g. F\ (A.trg\ t, g)\ \langle \lambda g. F\ (t, g)\ \rangle \rangle \rangle$ 
    using con F.fixing-arr-gives-transformation-1 [of t] A.con-implies-arr(1)
      A.con-imp-eq-src
    by simp
  interpret  $Fu$ : transformation  $B\ C\ \langle \lambda g. F\ (A.src\ u, g)\ \langle \lambda g. F\ (A.trg\ u, g)\ \langle \lambda g. F\ (u, g)\ \rangle \rangle \rangle$ 
    using con F.fixing-arr-gives-transformation-1 [of u] A.con-implies-arr(2)
      A.con-imp-eq-src
    by simp
  show  $*$ :  $Curry3\ F\ t \frown_{[B,C]} Curry3\ F\ u$ 
    using con Curry-def BC.con-char Curry-simp A.con-implies-arr
      A.con-imp-eq-src Ft.transformation-axioms Fu.transformation-axioms
    by simp
  show  $Curry3\ F\ (t \setminus_A u) = Curry3\ F\ t \setminus_{[B,C]} Curry3\ F\ u$ 
proof –
  have  $BC.Dom\ (Curry3\ F\ (t \setminus_A u)) =$ 
     $BC.Dom\ (Curry3\ F\ t \setminus_{[B,C]} Curry3\ F\ u)$ 
    using  $*$  A.arr-resid A.con-implies-arr(2) A.src-resid BC.Dom-resid
      Cod-Curry Dom-Curry con
    by presburger
  moreover have  $BC.Cod\ (Curry3\ F\ (t \setminus_A u)) =$ 
     $BC.Cod\ (Curry3\ F\ t \setminus_{[B,C]} Curry3\ F\ u)$ 
proof –
  have  $BC.Cod\ (Curry3\ F\ (t \setminus_A u)) = (\lambda g. F\ (A.trg\ (t \setminus_A u), g))$ 
    using Cod-Curry con by force
  also have  $... = BC.Apex\ (BC.MkArr\ (\lambda g. F\ (A.src\ u, g))$ 
     $(\lambda g. F\ (A.trg\ t, g))\ (\lambda g. F\ (t, g)))$ 
     $(BC.MkArr\ (\lambda g. F\ (A.src\ u, g))$ 
     $(\lambda g. F\ (A.trg\ u, g))\ (\lambda g. F\ (u, g)))$ 
proof –
  have  $(\lambda g. F\ (A.trg\ (t \setminus_A u), g)) =$ 
     $(\lambda g. \text{if } B.arr\ g$ 
       $\text{then } BC.Cod\ (BC.MkArr\ (\lambda g. F\ (A.src\ u, g))$ 
         $(\lambda g. F\ (A.trg\ u, g))\ (\lambda g. F\ (u, g)))\ g \setminus_C$ 
       $(BC.Map\ (BC.MkArr$ 
         $(\lambda g. F\ (A.src\ u, g))\ (\lambda g. F\ (A.trg\ t, g))$ 
         $(\lambda g. F\ (t, g)))$ 
         $(B.src\ g) \setminus_C$ 

```

```

      BC.Map (BC.MkArr
              (λg. F (A.src u, g)) (λg. F (A.trg u, g))
              (λg. F (u, g)))
            (B.src g)
    else C.null)
proof
  fix g
  show F (A.trg (t \_A u), g) =
    (if B.arr g
     then BC.Cod (BC.MkArr
                  (λg. F (A.src u, g)) (λg. F (A.trg u, g))
                  (λg. F (u, g))) g \_C
     (BC.Map (BC.MkArr
              (λg. F (A.src u, g)) (λg. F (A.trg t, g))
              (λg. F (t, g)))
              (B.src g) \_C
              BC.Map (BC.MkArr (λg. F (A.src u, g))
                      (λg. F (A.trg u, g)) (λg. F (u, g)))
                      (B.src g))
              else C.null)
    proof (cases B.arr g)
  show ¬ B.arr g ⇒ ?thesis
  by (metis A.arr-resid A.ide-trg F.fixing-ide-gives-simulation-1 con
           simulation.extensional)
  assume g: B.arr g
  have F (t, B.src g) \_C F (u, B.src g) = F (t \_A u, B.src g)
  using g con F.preserves-resid AxB.resid-def AxB.con-char
  by (metis AxB.con-arr-self B.arr-src-iff-arr B.trg-def B.trg-src
           F.preserves-reflects-arr Ft.preserves-arr fst-conv snd-conv)
  moreover have F (A.trg (t \_A u), g) =
    F (A.trg u, g) \_C F (t \_A u, B.src g)
  using con g F.preserves-resid AxB.resid-def AxB.con-char
  by auto
  (metis (no-types, lifting) A.arr-resid A.con-imp-arr-resid
         A.resid-src-arr A.src-resid A.trg-def B.con-arr-src(1)
         B.resid-arr-src A.con-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using con BC.Apex-def A.con-implies-arr F.extensional
         F.preserves-trg F.preserves-resid AxB.resid-def
  by auto
  qed
qed
thus ?thesis
using con BC.Apex-def A.con-implies-arr F.extensional F.preserves-trg
by auto
qed
also have ... = BC.Cod (Curry3 F t \_[B,C] Curry3 F u)
using con Curry-def A.con-implies-arr A.con-imp-eq-src BC.Apex-def
         Ft.transformation-axioms Fu.transformation-axioms BC.resid-def

```

by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis by blast*
 qed
 moreover have $BC.Map (Curry3 F (t \setminus_A u)) =$
 $BC.Map (Curry3 F t \setminus_{[B,C]} Curry3 F u)$
 proof –
 have $BC.Map (Curry3 F (t \setminus_A u)) = (\lambda g. F (t \setminus_A u, g))$
 using *con Curry-def A.con-implies-arr A.con-imp-eq-src BC.Map-resid*
 by *simp*
 also have ... =
 $(\lambda g. \text{if } B.arr \ g$
 $\text{then } BC.Map (BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F (A.src \ u, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (A.trg \ t, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (t, g)))$
 $(B.src \ g) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F (A.src \ u, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (A.trg \ u, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (u, g)))$
 $(B.src \ g) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Cod (BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F (A.src \ u, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (A.trg \ u, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (u, g)))$
 $\quad \quad \quad g$
 $\text{else } C.null)$
 proof
 fix g
 show $F (t \setminus_A u, g) =$
 $(\text{if } B.arr \ g$
 $\text{then } BC.Map (BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F (A.src \ u, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (A.trg \ t, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (t, g)))$
 $(B.src \ g) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F (A.src \ u, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (A.trg \ u, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (u, g)))$
 $(B.src \ g) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Cod (BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F (A.src \ u, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (A.trg \ u, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (u, g)))$
 $\quad \quad \quad g$
 $\text{else } C.null)$
 proof (cases $B.arr \ g$)
 show $\neg B.arr \ g \implies ?thesis$
 using *F.extensional by simp*
 assume $g: B.arr \ g$
 have $F (t \setminus_A u, g) =$
 $F (t, B.src \ g) \setminus_C F (u, B.src \ g) \sqcup_C F (A.trg \ u, g)$
 proof –
 have $F (t, B.src \ g) \setminus_C F (u, B.src \ g) = F (t \setminus_A u, B.src \ g)$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) AxB.con-char AxB.resid-def
 B.ide-def B.ide-src F.preserves-resid con g fst-eqD snd-eqD)
 moreover have $F(t \setminus_A u, B.src\ g) \sqcup_C F(A.trg\ u, g) =$
 $F(t \setminus_A u, g)$
 proof –
 have $C.join-of(F(t \setminus_A u, B.src\ g))(F(A.trg\ u, g))$
 $(F(t \setminus_A u, g))$
 using F.preserves-joins BCxB.join-of-char
 by (metis A.arr-resid A.join-of-arr-src(2) A.src-in-sources
 A.src-resid AxB.join-of-char(1) B.join-of-arr-src(1)
 B.src-in-sources con g fst-eqD snd-conv)
 thus ?thesis
 by (meson C.join-is-join-of C.join-of-unique C.joinable-def)
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 thus ?thesis
 using g by simp
 qed
 qed
 finally show ?thesis
 using con Curry-def A.con-implies-arr A.con-imp-eq-src BC.Map-resid'
 Ft.transformation-axioms Fu.transformation-axioms
 by simp
 qed
 moreover have $Curry3\ F(t \setminus_A u) \neq BC.Null$
 using BC.arr-char
 by (simp add: con Curry-def)
 moreover have $Curry3\ F\ t \setminus_{[B,C]} Curry3\ F\ u \neq BC.Null$
 using con BC.arr-char * BC.null-char BC.con-def by force
 moreover
 have $\bigwedge x\ y. BC.Dom\ x = BC.Dom\ y \wedge BC.Cod\ x = BC.Cod\ y \wedge$
 $BC.Map\ x = BC.Map\ y \wedge x \neq BC.Null \wedge y \neq BC.Null$
 $\implies x = y$
 by (metis BC.Cod.simps(1) BC.Dom.simps(1) BC.Map.elims)
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 qed
 qed
 qed
 lemma Uncurry-preserves-simulations [intro]:
 assumes simulation A BC.resid F
 shows simulation AxB.resid C (Uncurry F)
 proof –
 interpret F: simulation A BC.resid F using assms by auto
 show ?thesis
 proof
 show $\bigwedge t. \neg AxB.arr\ t \implies Uncurry\ F\ t = C.null$
 using Uncurry-def by presburger


```

show  $\bigwedge t u. t \frown_{AxB} u \implies \text{Uncurry } F t \frown_C \text{Uncurry } F u$ 
  using Uncurry-def AxB.con-implies-arr(1) AxB.con-implies-arr(2)
  by auto
fix  $t u :: 'a * 'b$ 
assume  $con: t \frown_{AxB} u$ 
have  $\text{Uncurry } F (t \setminus_{AxB} u) = E.map (F (fst (t \setminus_{AxB} u)), snd (t \setminus_{AxB} u))$ 
  using AxB.arr-resid Uncurry-def con by presburger
also have  $\dots = \text{Uncurry } F t \setminus_C \text{Uncurry } F u$ 
  using AxB.con-implies-arr(1) AxB.con-implies-arr(2) AxB.resid-def
  BCxB.resid-def E.preserves-resid Uncurry-simp con
  by auto
finally show  $\text{Uncurry } F (t \setminus_{AxB} u) = \text{Uncurry } F t \setminus_C \text{Uncurry } F u$ 
  by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *Curry-preserves-transformations:*

assumes *transformation* *AxB.resid* $C F G \tau$

shows *transformation* $A BC.resid (Curry3 F) (Curry3 G) (Curry F G \tau)$

proof –

interpret τ : *transformation* *AxB.resid* $C F G \tau$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

interpret τ : *transformation-to-extensional-rtts* *AxB.resid* $C F G \tau$..

interpret τ : *transformation-of-binary-simulations* $A B C F G \tau$..

interpret *Curry-F*: *simulation* $A BC.resid \langle Curry F F F \rangle$

using *Curry-preserves-simulations* $\tau.F.simulation-axioms$ **by** *simp*

interpret *Curry-G*: *simulation* $A BC.resid \langle Curry G G G \rangle$

using *Curry-preserves-simulations* $\tau.G.simulation-axioms$ **by** *simp*

show *?thesis*

proof

fix f

show $\neg A.arr f \implies Curry F G \tau f = BC.null$

using *Curry-def* **by** *simp*

show $A.ide f \implies BC.src (Curry F G \tau f) = Curry3 F f$

unfolding *Curry-def*

using *BC.src-simp* *A.ide-implies-arr* *A.src-ide* *A.trg-ide* *BC.Dom.simps(1)*

BC.arr-MkArr $\tau.fixing-ide-gives-transformation-1$

by *presburger*

show $A.ide f \implies BC.trg (Curry F G \tau f) = Curry3 G f$

unfolding *Curry-def*

using *BC.trg-simp* *A.ide-implies-arr* *A.src-ide* *A.trg-ide* *BC.Cod.simps(1)*

BC.arr-MkArr $\tau.fixing-ide-gives-transformation-1$

by *presburger*

assume $f: A.arr f$

interpret τ -*src*: *transformation* $B C$

$\langle \lambda g. F (A.src f, g) \rangle \langle \lambda g. G (A.src f, g) \rangle \langle \lambda g. \tau (A.src f, g) \rangle$

using $f \tau.fixing-ide-gives-transformation-1$ **by** *auto*

interpret τ -*trg*: *transformation* $B C$

$\langle \lambda g. F (A.trg f, g) \rangle \langle \lambda g. G (A.trg f, g) \rangle \langle \lambda g. \tau (A.trg f, g) \rangle$

using $f \tau.fixing-ide-gives-transformation-1$ **by** *auto*

```

interpret  $\tau.F$ : binary-simulation-between-weakly-extensional-rts A B C F ..
interpret  $F.f$ : transformation B C
       $\langle \lambda g. F (A.src\ f, g) \rangle \langle \lambda g. F (A.trg\ f, g) \rangle \langle \lambda g. F (f, g) \rangle$ 
  using  $f$   $\tau.F.fixing-arr-gives-transformation-1$  by metis
show  $A$ :  $Curry\ F\ G\ \tau\ (A.src\ f) \setminus_{[B,C]} Curry3\ F\ f = Curry\ F\ G\ \tau\ (A.trg\ f)$ 
proof –
  have  $Curry\ F\ G\ \tau\ (A.src\ f) \setminus_{[B,C]} Curry3\ F\ f =$ 
     $BC.MkArr\ (\lambda g. F (A.src\ f, g))\ (\lambda g. G (A.src\ f, g))$ 
     $(\lambda g. \tau (A.src\ f, g)) \setminus_{[B,C]}$ 
     $BC.MkArr\ (\lambda g. F (A.src\ f, g))\ (\lambda g. F (A.trg\ f, g))\ (\lambda g. F (f, g))$ 
  using Curry-def f by simp
also have  $\dots = BC.MkArr\ (\lambda g. F (A.trg\ f, g))\ (\lambda g. G (A.trg\ f, g))$ 
     $(\lambda g. \tau (A.trg\ f, g))$ 
  (is  $?LHS1 \setminus_{[B,C]} ?LHS2 = ?RHS$ 
proof –
  have  $1$ :  $?LHS1 \frown_{[B,C]} ?LHS2$ 
  using  $f$  BC.con-char  $\tau$ -src.transformation-axioms
  F.f.transformation-axioms
  apply simp
  by (metis B.ide-iff-src-self B.ide-iff-trg-self C.conE C.conI
    C.con-arr-src(1)  $\tau$ .fixing-ide-gives-transformation-0
     $\tau$ -trg.naturality1  $\tau$ -trg.preserves-arr  $\tau$ -trg.preserves-src
    B.ide-implies-arr transformation.naturality1)
have  $BC.Dom\ (?LHS1 \setminus_{[B,C]} ?LHS2) = BC.Dom\ ?RHS$ 
  using  $1$  f BC.Dom-resid BC.con-char by simp
moreover have  $BC.Cod\ (?LHS1 \setminus_{[B,C]} ?LHS2) = BC.Cod\ ?RHS$ 
proof –
  have  $BC.Cod\ (?LHS1 \setminus_{[B,C]} ?LHS2) = BC.Apex\ ?LHS1\ ?LHS2$ 
  using  $1$  f BC.Cod-resid BC.con-char by fastforce
also have  $\dots = (\lambda g. G (A.trg\ f, g))$ 
proof
  fix  $g$ 
  show  $BC.Apex\ ?LHS1\ ?LHS2\ g = G (A.trg\ f, g)$ 
  unfolding BC.Apex-def
  using  $f$ 
  by (metis B.ide-src BC.Cod.simps(1) BC.Map.simps(1)
     $\tau$ .fixing-ide-gives-transformation-0  $\tau$ -trg.G.extensional
     $\tau$ -trg.naturality2 transformation.naturality1-ax)
  qed
also have  $\dots = BC.Cod\ ?RHS$ 
  using  $1$  f BC.Cod-resid BC.con-char by fastforce
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have  $BC.Map\ (?LHS1 \setminus_{[B,C]} ?LHS2) = BC.Map\ ?RHS$ 
proof
  fix  $g$ 
  have  $\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ g) \setminus_C F (f, B.src\ g) \sqcup_C F (A.trg\ f, g) =$ 
     $\tau (A.trg\ f, g)$ 
proof –

```

have $\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ g) \setminus_C F (f, B.src\ g) \sqcup_C F (A.trg\ f, g) =$
 $\tau (A.trg\ f, B.src\ g) \sqcup_C F (A.trg\ f, g)$
by (*metis* *AxB.src-char* *AxB.trg-char* *B.src-src* *B.trg-src*
C.null-is-zero(2) *F-f.extensional* *F-f.preserves-arr*
 $\tau.F.preserves-reflects-arr$ *$\tau.naturality1$* *$\tau-trg.extensional$*
fst-conv *snd-conv*)
also have $\dots = \tau (A.trg\ f, g)$
by (*metis* *B.arr-src-iff-arr* *C.arr-prfx-join-self* *C.join-def*
C.join-is-join-of *C.join-of-unique* *C.joinable-def*
C.not-ide-null *C.null-is-zero(1)* *$\tau-trg.extensional$*
 $\tau-trg.naturality3$)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $\neg B.arr\ g \implies C.null = \tau (A.trg\ f, g)$
using *$\tau-trg.extensional$* **by** *presburger*
ultimately show *BC.Map* (*?LHS1* $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ *?LHS2*) *g* = *BC.Map* *?RHS*
using *1*
by (*cases* *B.arr* *g*, *simp-all*)
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *1* *BC.con-char* *BC.resid-def* **by** *auto*
qed
also have $\dots = Curry\ F\ G\ \tau (A.trg\ f)$
using *Curry-def* **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
show *Curry3* *F* *f* $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ *Curry* *F* *G* $\tau (A.src\ f) = Curry3\ G\ f$
proof –
have *Curry3* *F* *f* $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ *Curry* *F* *G* $\tau (A.src\ f) =$
 $BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F (A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. F (A.trg\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F (f, g)) \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F (A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. G (A.src\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. \tau (A.src\ f, g))$
unfolding *Curry-def*
using *f* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = BC.MkArr (\lambda g. G (A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. G (A.trg\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. G (f, g))$
(is *?LHS1* $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ *?LHS2* = *?RHS*)
proof –
have *1*: *BC.con* *?LHS1* *?LHS2*
using *A* *f* *BC.con-sym-ax* *BC.null-char* *Curry-simp* **by** *auto*
have *BC.Dom* (*?LHS1* $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ *?LHS2*) = *BC.Dom* *?RHS*
using *1* *f* *BC.Dom-resid* *BC.con-char* **by** *auto*
moreover have *BC.Cod* (*?LHS1* $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ *?LHS2*) = *BC.Cod* *?RHS*
proof –
have *BC.Cod* (*?LHS1* $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ *?LHS2*) = *BC.Apex* *?LHS1* *?LHS2*
using *1* *f* *BC.Dom-resid* *BC.con-char* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = (\lambda g. G (A.trg\ f, g))$

g

proof
fix g
have $G (A.src\ f, g) \setminus_C (F (f, B.src\ g) \setminus_C \tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ g)) =$
 $G (A.trg\ f, g)$
proof –
have $G (A.src\ f, g) \setminus_C (F (f, B.src\ g) \setminus_C \tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ g)) =$
 $G (A.src\ f, g) \setminus_C G (f, B.src\ g)$
by (*metis* $AxB.src-char\ B.arr-src-iff-arr\ B.src-src\ C.con-sym-ax$
 $C.null-is-zero(2)\ F-f.preserves-arr\ \tau.F.preserves-reflects-arr$
 $\tau.naturality2\ \tau-src.G.extensional\ fst-conv\ snd-conv$)
also have $\dots = G ((A.src\ f, g) \setminus_{AxB} (f, B.src\ g))$
by (*metis* $A.con-arr-src(2)\ AxB.con-char\ B.arr-src-iff-arr$
 $B.con-arr-src(1)\ C.null-is-zero(2)\ \tau.G.extensional$
 $\tau.G.preserves-resid\ \tau-src.extensional\ calculation\ f$
 $AxB.arr-resid-iff-con\ fst-conv\ snd-conv$)
also have $\dots = G (A.src\ f \setminus_A f, g \setminus_B B.src\ g)$
using $AxB.resid-def\ B.arr-resid-iff-con\ \tau.G.extensional\ f$
by *auto*
also have $\dots = G (A.trg\ f, g)$
by (*metis* $A.resid-src-arr\ B.resid-arr-src\ B.resid-reflects-con$
 $\tau-trg.G.extensional\ f\ B.arr-def\ B.arr-resid-iff-con$)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $\neg B.arr\ g \implies C.null = G (A.trg\ f, g)$
using $\tau-trg.G.extensional$ **by** *presburger*
ultimately show $BC.Apex\ ?LHS1\ ?LHS2\ g = G (A.trg\ f, g)$
using $BC.Apex-def\ f$
by (*cases* $B.arr\ g, simp-all$)
qed
also have $\dots = BC.Cod\ ?RHS$
using $1\ f$ **by** *fastforce*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $BC.Map\ (?LHS1 \setminus_{[B,C]}\ ?LHS2) = BC.Map\ ?RHS$
proof
fix g
show $BC.Map\ (?LHS1 \setminus_{[B,C]}\ ?LHS2)\ g = BC.Map\ ?RHS\ g$
proof –
have $F (f, B.src\ g) \setminus_C \tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ g) \sqcup_C G (A.src\ f, g) =$
 $G (f, g)$
proof (*cases* $B.arr\ g$)
show $\neg B.arr\ g \implies ?thesis$
by (*metis* $B.arr-src-iff-arr\ C.arr-prfx-join-self$
 $C.joinable-iff-join-not-null\ C.not-ide-null\ C.null-is-zero(1)$
 $F-f.extensional\ \tau.naturality2$)
assume $g: B.arr\ g$
have $F (f, B.src\ g) \setminus_C \tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ g) \sqcup_C G (A.src\ f, g) =$
 $G (f, B.src\ g) \sqcup_C G (A.src\ f, g)$
by (*metis* $AxB.src-char\ B.arr-src-iff-arr\ B.src-src\ F-f.preserves-arr$)

$\tau.F.preserves-reflects-arr$ $\tau.naturality2$ g $fst-conv$ $snd-conv$)
also have ... = G (f , g)
using f g
 $\tau.G.preserves-joins$
 $[of$ (f , $B.src$ g) ($A.src$ f , g) (f , g)]
by ($metis$ $A.join-of-arr-src(2)$ $A.src-in-sources$ $AxB.join-of-char(1)$
 $B.join-of-arr-src(1)$ $B.src-in-sources$ $C.join-is-join-of$
 $C.join-of-unique$ $C.joinable-def$ $fst-conv$ $snd-conv$)
finally show $?thesis$ **by** $blast$
qed
thus $?thesis$
using 1 f $BC.Map-resid$ $BC.con-char$ $\tau.G.extensional$ **by** $auto$
qed
qed
ultimately show $?thesis$
using 1 $BC.con-char$ $BC.resid-def$ **by** $auto$
qed
also have ... = $Curry3$ G f
using $Curry-def$ f **by** $simp$
finally show $?thesis$ **by** $blast$
qed
show $BC.join-of$ ($Curry$ F G τ ($A.src$ f)) ($Curry3$ F f) ($Curry$ F G τ f)
proof –
have *: $\bigwedge t. B.arr$ $t \implies$
 $(\tau$ ($A.src$ f , $B.src$ t) \sqcup_C F (f , $B.src$ t)) \sqcup_C F ($A.src$ f , t) =
 τ ($A.src$ f , $B.src$ t) \sqcup_C F (f , t)
proof –
fix t
assume $t: B.arr$ t
have $1: C.joinable$ (τ ($A.src$ f , $B.src$ t)) (F (f , t))
by ($metis$ $AxB.product-of-weakly-extensional-rts-axioms$ $F-f.preserves-arr$
 $\tau.F.preserves-reflects-arr$ $\tau.naturality3'_E(2)$ $fst-conv$
 $product-of-weakly-extensional-rts.src-char$ $snd-conv$ t)
show (τ ($A.src$ f , $B.src$ t) \sqcup_C F (f , $B.src$ t)) \sqcup_C F ($A.src$ f , t) =
 τ ($A.src$ f , $B.src$ t) \sqcup_C F (f , t)
proof ($intro$ $C.join-eqI$)
show $2: \tau$ ($A.src$ f , $B.src$ t) \sqcup_C F (f , $B.src$ t) \lesssim_C
 τ ($A.src$ f , $B.src$ t) \sqcup_C F (f , t)
by ($metis$ $A.ide-trg$ $A.trg-def$ $AxB.prfx-char$ $AxB.src-char$
 $B.arr-src-iff-arr$ $B.ide-trg$ $B.resid-src-arr$ $B.src-src$
 $F-f.preserves-arr$ $\tau.F.preserves-reflects-arr$ $\tau.naturality3'_E(1)$
 $\tau.preserves-prfx$ f $fst-conv$ $snd-conv$ t)
show $3: F$ ($A.src$ f , t) \lesssim_C τ ($A.src$ f , $B.src$ t) \sqcup_C F (f , t)
by ($metis$ ($full-types$) 1 t $C.arr-prfx-join-self$ $C.join-is-join-of$
 $C.join-of-symmetric$ $C.join-of-unique$ $C.joinable-def$
 $C.prfx-transitive$ $F-f.naturality3$)
show $4: (\tau$ ($A.src$ f , $B.src$ t) \sqcup_C F (f , t)) \setminus_C F ($A.src$ f , t) =
 $(\tau$ ($A.src$ f , $B.src$ t) \sqcup_C F (f , $B.src$ t)) \setminus_C F ($A.src$ f , t)
proof –

have $(\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, t)) \setminus_C F (A.src\ f, t) =$
 $\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \setminus_C F (A.src\ f, t) \sqcup_C$
 $F (f, t) \setminus_C F (A.src\ f, t)$
by (*meson 1 3 C.ide-implies-arr C.resid-join_E(3) C.residuation-axioms*
residuation.arr-resid-iff-con)
also have $\dots = \tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \setminus_C F (A.src\ f, t) \sqcup_C$
 $F (f, B.src\ t) \setminus_C F (A.src\ f, t)$
by (*metis C.composite-ofE C.extensional F-f.naturality1*
F-f.naturality1' t)
also have $\dots = (\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t)) \setminus_C$
 $F (A.src\ f, t)$
by (*metis 2 3 C.con-prfx(1) C.joinable-iff-arr-join C.resid-join_E(3)*
C.con-implies-arr(1) C.prfx-implies-con)
finally show *?thesis by blast*
qed
show $(\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, t)) \setminus_C$
 $(\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t)) =$
 $F (A.src\ f, t) \setminus_C (\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t))$
proof –
have $(\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, t)) \setminus_C$
 $(\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t)) =$
 $\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \setminus_C (\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t)) \sqcup_C$
 $F (f, t) \setminus_C (\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t))$
using *1 2 C.prfx-implies-con C.resid-join_E(3) by blast*
also have $\dots = C.src (F (f, t) \setminus_C$
 $(\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t))) \sqcup_C$
 $F (f, t) \setminus_C (\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t))$
proof –
have $C.src (F (f, t) \setminus_C (\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t))) =$
 $C.trg (\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t))$
by (*metis 2 C.arr-prfx-join-self C.conI C.con-sym-ax*
C.ide-implies-arr C.join-of-symmetric C.joinable-def
C.joinable-iff-join-not-null C.not-arr-null C.null-is-zero(1)
C.src-resid calculation)
also have $\dots = \tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \setminus_C$
 $(\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t))$
by (*metis C.arr-prfx-join-self C.join-def C.null-is-zero(2)*
C.prfx-implies-con C.resid-join_E(1) C.trg-def C.trg-join)
finally show *?thesis by argo*
qed
also have $\dots = F (f, t) \setminus_C$
 $(\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t))$
by (*metis C.arr-prfx-join-self C.arr-resid-iff-con C.conI*
C.ide-implies-arr C.join-def C.join-src C.null-is-zero(2)
C.resid-join_E(1))
also have $\dots = (F (A.src\ f, t) \setminus_C F (f, B.src\ t)) \setminus_C$
 $(\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \setminus_C F (f, B.src\ t))$
proof –
have $F (f, t) \setminus_C (\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t)) =$

$(F(f, t) \setminus_C F(f, B.src\ t)) \setminus_C$
 $(\tau(A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \setminus_C F(f, B.src\ t))$
by (*metis 2 C.con-sym-ax C.extensional-rts-axioms C.join-def*
C.not-ide-null C.null-is-zero(2) C.residuation-axioms
extensional-rts.resid-join_E(1) residuation.conI)
also have ... = $(F(A.src\ f, t) \setminus_C F(f, B.src\ t)) \setminus_C$
 $(\tau(A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \setminus_C F(f, B.src\ t))$
by (*metis C.composite-ofE C.extensional C.join-ofE*
F-f.naturality3 t)
finally show ?thesis **by** blast
qed
also have ... = $F(A.src\ f, t) \setminus_C$
 $(\tau(A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F(f, B.src\ t))$
by (*metis 3 4 C.conI C.con-sym-ax C.join-def C.not-ide-null*
C.null-is-zero(2) C.resid-join_E(1))
finally show ?thesis **by** blast
qed
qed
qed
have 1: *BC.joinable*
 $(BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F(A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. G(A.src\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. \tau(A.src\ f, g)))$
 $(BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F(A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. F(A.trg\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F(f, g)))$
using * *BC.join-char(1) C.joinable-iff-join-not-null*
F-f.preserves-arr F-f.transformation-axioms AxB.src-char
 τ .naturality3'_E(2) τ -src.transformation-axioms
by force
moreover have $BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F(A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. G(A.src\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. \tau(A.src\ f, g)) \sqcup_{[B, C]}$
 $BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F(A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. F(A.trg\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F(f, g)) =$
 $BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F(A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. G(A.trg\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. \tau(f, g))$
proof –
have *BC.Apex*
 $(BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F(A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. G(A.src\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. \tau(A.src\ f, g)))$
 $(BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F(A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. F(A.trg\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F(f, g))) =$
 $(\lambda g. G(A.trg\ f, g))$
proof
fix *t*
show *BC.Apex*
 $(BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F(A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. G(A.src\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. \tau(A.src\ f, g)))$
 $(BC.MkArr (\lambda g. F(A.src\ f, g)) (\lambda g. F(A.trg\ f, g))$
 $(\lambda g. F(f, g))) t =$
 $G(A.trg\ f, t)$

```

using  $f \tau.naturality1$  [of  $(f, B.src\ t)$ ]
       $\tau.naturality2$  [of  $(A.trg\ f, t)$ ]  $AxB.trg-char$   $AxB.src-char$ 
       $\tau.G.extensional$   $BC.Apex-def$ 
by force
qed
moreover
have  $(\lambda t. (\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t)) \sqcup_C F (A.src\ f, t)) =$ 
       $(\lambda g. \tau (f, g))$ 
proof
  fix  $t$ 
  have  $B.arr\ t \implies AxB.src (f, t) = (A.src\ f, B.src\ t)$ 
    by ( $simp\ add: AxB.src-char\ f$ )
  thus  $(\tau (A.src\ f, B.src\ t) \sqcup_C F (f, B.src\ t)) \sqcup_C F (A.src\ f, t) =$ 
     $\tau (f, t)$ 
    by ( $metis * B.arr-src-iff-arr\ C.arr-prfx-join-self\ C.con-sym-ax$ 
       $C.join-def\ C.join-sym\ C.not-ide-null\ C.null-is-zero(2)$ 
       $F-f.extensional\ \tau.naturality3'_E(1)$ )
qed
ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
  using  $1\ BC.join-char(2)$  by auto
qed
ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
  using  $1\ BC.join-is-join-of\ Curry-def\ f$  by fastforce
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Uncurry-preserves-transformations:
assumes transformation  $A\ BC.resid\ F\ G\ \tau$ 
shows transformation  $AxB.resid\ C\ (Uncurry\ F)\ (Uncurry\ G)\ (Uncurry\ \tau)$ 
proof –
  interpret  $\tau: transformation\ A\ BC.resid\ F\ G\ \tau$  using assms by auto
  interpret  $\tau: transformation-to-extensional-rts\ A\ BC.resid\ F\ G\ \tau$  ..
  interpret  $Uncurry-F: simulation\ AxB.resid\ C\ \langle Uncurry\ F \rangle$ 
    using  $\tau.F.simulation-axioms\ Uncurry-preserves-simulations$  by blast
  interpret  $Uncurry-G: simulation\ AxB.resid\ C\ \langle Uncurry\ G \rangle$ 
    using  $\tau.G.simulation-axioms\ Uncurry-preserves-simulations$  by blast
  interpret  $Uncurry-F: binary-simulation-between-weakly-extensional-rts$ 
     $A\ B\ C\ \langle Uncurry\ F \rangle$ 
  ..
  interpret  $Uncurry-G: binary-simulation-between-weakly-extensional-rts$ 
     $A\ B\ C\ \langle Uncurry\ G \rangle$ 
  ..
  show  $?thesis$ 
proof
  show  $\bigwedge t. \neg AxB.arr\ t \implies Uncurry\ \tau\ t = C.null$ 
    by (meson  $Uncurry-def$ )
  show  $\bigwedge a. AxB.ide\ a \implies C.src\ (Uncurry\ \tau\ a) = Uncurry\ F\ a$ 
    by ( $metis\ A.ide-implies-arr\ AxB.ide-char\ AxB.ide-implies-arr$ )

```



```

    B.ide-implies-arr B.src-ide BC.Map-src Uncurry-simp
    E.map-def E.preserves-reflects-arr E.src-map
    Uncurry-F.preserves-reflects-arr τ.preserves-arr τ.preserves-src
    fst-conv snd-conv)
show  $\bigwedge a. AxB.ide a \implies C.trg (Uncurry \tau a) = Uncurry G a$ 
  unfolding Uncurry-def
  using E.trg-map E.map-def BC.ide-charERTS τ.G.preserves-ide
  apply auto[1]
  apply (metis BC.Dom-resid BC.con-arr-src(2) BC.resid-src-arr
    τ.preserves-trg)
  by (meson A.ide-implies-arr τ.preserves-arr)
fix f
assume f: AxB.arr f
interpret F-fst: transformation B C
  ⟨BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f)))⟩
  ⟨BC.Map (F (A.trg (fst f)))⟩
  ⟨BC.Map (F (fst f))⟩
proof –
  have (λt2. Uncurry F (A.src (fst f), t2)) = BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f)))
  proof
    fix t2
    show Uncurry F (A.src (fst f), t2) = BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) t2
      using Uncurry-def E.map-def f
      apply (cases B.arr t2)
      apply auto[2]
      by (metis A.ide-src BC.ide-charERTS τ.F.preserves-ide
        simulation.extensional)
  qed
  moreover have (λt2. Uncurry F (A.trg (fst f), t2)) =
    BC.Map (F (A.trg (fst f)))
  proof
    fix t2
    show Uncurry F (A.trg (fst f), t2) = BC.Map (F (A.trg (fst f))) t2
      using Uncurry-def E.map-def f
      apply (cases B.arr t2)
      apply auto[2]
      by (metis A.ide-trg BC.ide-charERTS τ.F.preserves-ide
        simulation.extensional)
  qed
  moreover have (λt2. Uncurry F (fst f, t2)) = BC.Map (F (fst f))
  proof
    fix t2
    show Uncurry F (fst f, t2) = BC.Map (F (fst f)) t2
      using Uncurry-def E.map-def f
      apply (cases B.arr t2)
      apply auto[2]
      by (metis BC.arrE τ.F.preserves-reflects-arr
        transformation.extensional)
  qed

```

```

ultimately
show transformation B C
  (BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f)))) (BC.Map (F (A.trg (fst f))))
  (BC.Map (F (fst f)))
  using f Uncurry-F.fixing-arr-gives-transformation-1 [of fst f]
  by fastforce
qed
interpret  $\tau$ -fst: transformation B C
  ⟨BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f)))⟩
  ⟨BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f)))⟩
  ⟨BC.Map ( $\tau$  (fst f))⟩
using f  $\tau$ .preserves-arr BC.arr-char
by (metis A.ide-src BC.Map.simps(1) BC.apex-sym BC.src-simp
    BC.src-join-of(1) BC.trg-simp BC.trg-join-of(1)  $\tau$ .G.preserves-trg
     $\tau$ .naturality2  $\tau$ .naturality3  $\tau$ .preserves-src AxB.arr-char)
have 1: Uncurry  $\tau$  (AxB.src f) \_C Uncurry  $\tau$  f = Uncurry G (AxB.trg f)
proof -
  have Uncurry  $\tau$  (AxB.src f) \_C Uncurry  $\tau$  f =
    BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.src (fst f)))
      (B.src (snd f)) \_C BC.Map ( $\tau$  (fst f)) (snd f)
  using Uncurry-def E.map-def f AxB.src-char AxB.trg-char
     $\tau$ .preserves-arr
  by simp
also have X: ... = BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.src (fst f))) (B.src (snd f)) \_C
  (BC.Map ( $\tau$  (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \_C
    BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f))
  using f  $\tau$ -fst.naturality3 C.join-is-join-of C.join-of-unique
  by (metis AxB.arr-char C.joinable-def)
also have ... = (BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.src (fst f))) (B.src (snd f)) \_C
  BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)) \_C
  (BC.Map ( $\tau$  (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \_C
    BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f))
  using C.resid-joinE(1)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) X AxB.arr-char C.conE C.conI
    C.con-sym-ax C.con-with-join-of-iff(1) C.joinable-iff-join-not-null
    C.null-is-zero(2)  $\tau$ -fst.naturality3 f)
also have ... = BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.src (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f)) \_C
  (BC.Map ( $\tau$  (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \_C
    BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.arr-src-iff-arr A.ide-src A.src-src
    A.trg-src AxB.arr-char BC.Dom-resid BC.arrE BC.con-arr-src(1)
    BC.ide-charERTS  $\tau$ .F.preserves-ide  $\tau$ .naturality1  $\tau$ .preserves-arr
     $\tau$ .preserves-src f transformation.naturality1)
also have ... = BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.src (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f)) \_C
  BC.Map ( $\tau$  (fst f)) (B.trg (snd f))
  using f  $\tau$ -fst.naturality1 by fastforce
also have ... = BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f))
  by (metis A.ide-trg A.resid-src-arr AxB.arr-char B.arr-trg-iff-arr
    BC.Map-preserves-prfx C.apex-sym C.arr-resid-iff-con)

```

$C.ide\text{-}iff\text{-}src\text{-}self$ $C.prfx\text{-}implies\text{-}con$ $C.src\text{-}resid$ $\tau.preserves\text{-}prfx$
 $\tau\text{-}fst.G.preserves\text{-}trg$ $\tau\text{-}fst.naturality1$ $\tau\text{-}fst.naturality2$ f)
also have ... = $Uncurry\ G\ (AxB.trg\ f)$
using $Uncurry\text{-}def$ $E.map\text{-}def\ f$ $AxB.src\text{-}char$ $AxB.trg\text{-}char$ $\tau.preserves\text{-}arr$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
have 2: $Uncurry\ F\ f\ \backslash_C\ Uncurry\ \tau\ f = Uncurry\ G\ (AxB.trg\ f)$
proof –
have $Uncurry\ F\ f\ \backslash_C\ Uncurry\ \tau\ f =$
 $BC.Map\ (F\ (fst\ f))\ (snd\ f)\ \backslash_C\ BC.Map\ (\tau\ (fst\ f))\ (snd\ f)$
using $Uncurry\text{-}def$ $E.map\text{-}def\ f$ $AxB.src\text{-}char$ $AxB.trg\text{-}char$ $\tau.preserves\text{-}arr$
by *simp*
also have ... = $(BC.Map\ (F\ (fst\ f))\ (B.src\ (snd\ f)))\ \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map\ (F\ (A.src\ (fst\ f)))\ (snd\ f)\ \backslash_C$
 $BC.Map\ (\tau\ (fst\ f))\ (snd\ f)$
by (*metis* $AxB.arr\text{-}char$ $C.join\text{-}is\text{-}join\text{-}of$ $C.join\text{-}of\text{-}unique$
 $C.joinable\text{-}def$ $F.fst.naturality3$ f)
also have ... = $(BC.Map\ (F\ (fst\ f))\ (B.src\ (snd\ f)))\ \backslash_C$
 $BC.Map\ (\tau\ (fst\ f))\ (snd\ f)\ \sqcup_C$
 $(BC.Map\ (F\ (A.src\ (fst\ f)))\ (snd\ f))\ \backslash_C$
 $BC.Map\ (\tau\ (fst\ f))\ (snd\ f)$
proof –
have 1: $C.joinable\ (BC.Map\ (F\ (fst\ f))\ (B.src\ (snd\ f)))$
 $(BC.Map\ (F\ (A.src\ (fst\ f)))\ (snd\ f))$
by (*meson* $AxB.arr\text{-}char$ $C.joinable\text{-}def$ $F.fst.naturality3$ f)
moreover have $BC.Map\ (\tau\ (fst\ f))\ (snd\ f)\ \frown_C$
 $(BC.Map\ (F\ (fst\ f))\ (B.src\ (snd\ f)))\ \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map\ (F\ (A.src\ (fst\ f)))\ (snd\ f)$
proof –
have 2: $BC.Map\ (F\ (A.src\ (fst\ f)))\ (snd\ f)\ \frown_C$
 $BC.Map\ (\tau\ (fst\ f))\ (snd\ f)$
using f
by (*meson* $AxB.arr\text{-}char$ $C.arrE$ $C.con\text{-}with\text{-}join\text{-}of\text{-}iff(2)$
 $C.join\text{-}of\text{-}symmetric$ $\tau\text{-}fst.naturality3$ $\tau\text{-}fst.preserves\text{-}arr$)
moreover have $BC.Map\ (\tau\ (fst\ f))\ (snd\ f)\ \backslash_C$
 $BC.Map\ (F\ (A.src\ (fst\ f)))\ (snd\ f)\ \frown_C$
 $BC.Map\ (F\ (fst\ f))\ (B.src\ (snd\ f))\ \backslash_C$
 $BC.Map\ (F\ (A.src\ (fst\ f)))\ (snd\ f)$
proof –
have $BC.Map\ (F\ (fst\ f))\ (B.src\ (snd\ f))\ \backslash_C$
 $BC.Map\ (F\ (A.src\ (fst\ f)))\ (snd\ f) =$
 $BC.Map\ (F\ (fst\ f))\ (B.trg\ (snd\ f))$
using f $F.fst.naturality1$ **by** *blast*
moreover
have $BC.Map\ (\tau\ (fst\ f))\ (snd\ f)\ \backslash_C$
 $BC.Map\ (F\ (A.src\ (fst\ f)))\ (snd\ f) =$
 $BC.Map\ (\tau\ (fst\ f))\ (B.trg\ (snd\ f))$
by (*metis* 2 $C.composite\text{-}ofE$ $C.cong\text{-}char$ $C.join\text{-}ofE$)

```

      C.residuation-axioms F-fst.F.simulation-axioms
      τ-fst.naturality1 τ-fst.naturality3
      residuation.con-implies-arr(1)
      simulation.preserves-reflects-arr)
moreover have BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.trg (snd f))  $\simeq_C$ 
      BC.Map (τ (fst f)) (B.trg (snd f))
proof –
  have BC.con (F (fst f)) (τ (fst f))
    by (meson AxB.arr-char BC.con-with-join-of-iff(2)
      BC.join-of-symmetric BC.residuation-axioms τ.preserves-arr
      assms f residuation.arr-def transformation.naturality3)
  thus ?thesis
    using f BC.con-char by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using C.con-sym by presburger
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
using 1 C.con-with-join-of-iff(1) C.con-sym C.join-is-join-of
  C.joinable-implies-con
by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
using C.resid-joinE(3) by blast
qed
also have ... = BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f))  $\sqcup_C$ 
  (BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)  $\setminus_C$ 
    BC.Map (τ (fst f)) (snd f))
proof –
  have BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))  $\setminus_C$ 
    BC.Map (τ (fst f)) (snd f) =
    BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))  $\setminus_C$ 
    (BC.Map (τ (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))  $\sqcup_C$ 
      BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f))
  using f τ-fst.naturality3 C.join-is-join-of C.join-of-unique
  by (metis AxB.arr-char C.joinable-def)
also have ... = (BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))  $\setminus_C$ 
    BC.Map (τ (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)))  $\setminus_C$ 
    (BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)  $\setminus_C$ 
      BC.Map (τ (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AxB.arr-char C.conI C.con-sym-ax
    C.con-with-join-if(1) C.join-sym C.joinable-def
    C.joinable-iff-join-not-null C.null-is-zero(2)
    C.resid-joinE(1) τ-fst.naturality3 f C.conE)
also have ... = BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f))) (B.src (snd f))  $\setminus_C$ 
  (BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)  $\setminus_C$ 
    BC.Map (τ (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)))
proof –
  have BC.resid (F (fst f)) (τ (fst f)) = G (A.trg (fst f))

```

by (*metis* $AxB.arr-char$ $BC.arrE$ $BC.arr-prfx-join-self$ $BC.con-def$
 $BC.join-is-join-of$ $BC.join-of-symmetric$ $BC.join-of-unique$
 $BC.joinable-def$ $BC.resid-join_E(1)$ $BC.trg-def$
 $\tau.G.preserves-trg$ $\tau.naturality2$ $\tau.naturality3$ f
 $BC.ide-implies-arr$)

thus *?thesis*
using f $BC.Map-resid-ide$
by (*metis* $A.arr-trg-iff-arr$ $AxB.arr-char$ $B.ide-src$
 $\tau.G.preserves-reflects-arr$ $BC.arr-resid-iff-con$)

qed
also have $\dots = BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f))) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f))) (snd f)$
using $AxB.arr-char$ $\tau.fst.naturality2$ f **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots = BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f))$
by (*metis* $AxB.arr-char$ $B.con-arr-src(2)$ $B.resid-src-arr$
 $\tau.fst.G.preserves-resid$ f)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed
also have $\dots = BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f)) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f))$

proof –
have $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (snd f) =$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f))$
by (*metis* $AxB.arr-char$ $C.join-is-join-of$ $C.join-of-unique$
 $C.joinable-def$ $\tau.fst.naturality3$ f)

also have $\dots = (BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f))$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) $AxB.arr-char$ $C.conE$ $C.conI$
 $C.con-sym-ax$ $C.con-with-join-of-iff(1)$ $C.join-def$
 $C.null-is-zero(2)$ $C.resid-join_E(1)$ $\tau.fst.naturality3$
calculation f)

also have $\dots = BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f))$
using $AxB.arr-char$ $C.trg-def$ $F.fst.F.preserves-trg$ f
by *presburger*
also have $\dots = BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f))$
by (*metis* $B.src-trg$ $\tau.fst.naturality1$ $\tau.fst.naturality2$)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed
also have $\dots = BC.Map (G (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f))$
using $C.join-arr-self$ f **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = Uncurry G (AxB.trg f)$
using $Uncurry-def$ $E.map-def$ f $AxB.src-char$ $AxB.trg-char$

```

       $\tau$ .preserves-arr
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
have 3: Uncurry  $\tau$  (AxB.src f) =
  BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.src (fst f))) (B.src (snd f))
  using Uncurry-def E.map-def f AxB.src-char AxB.trg-char
   $\tau$ .preserves-arr
  by simp
have 4: Uncurry F f = BC.Map (F (fst f)) (snd f)
  using Uncurry-def E.map-def f by simp
have 5: Uncurry  $\tau$  (AxB.trg f) =
  BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f))
  using Uncurry-def E.map-def f AxB.src-char AxB.trg-char
   $\tau$ .preserves-arr
  by simp
show 6: Uncurry  $\tau$  (AxB.src f)  $\setminus_C$  Uncurry F f = Uncurry  $\tau$  (AxB.trg f)
  using 3 4 5 AxB.arr-char B.con-arr-src(2) B.resid-src-arr
  BC.joinable-implies-con BC.resid-Map  $\tau$ .naturality1
   $\tau$ .naturality3'_E(2) f
  by presburger
show 7: Uncurry F f  $\setminus_C$  Uncurry  $\tau$  (AxB.src f) = Uncurry G f
  by (metis 3 4 AxB.arr-char B.con-arr-src(1) B.resid-arr-src BC.con-sym
  BC.joinable-implies-con BC.resid-Map Uncurry-simp E.map-def
  E.preserves-reflects-arr Uncurry-G.preserves-reflects-arr
   $\tau$ .naturality2  $\tau$ .naturality3'_E(2) f fst-conv snd-conv)
show C.join-of (Uncurry  $\tau$  (AxB.src f)) (Uncurry F f) (Uncurry  $\tau$  f)
proof (intro C.join-ofI C.composite-ofI)
  have 8: Uncurry  $\tau$  f  $\setminus_C$  Uncurry  $\tau$  (AxB.src f) = Uncurry G f
  proof -
    have Uncurry  $\tau$  f  $\setminus_C$  Uncurry  $\tau$  (AxB.src f) =
      BC.Map ( $\tau$  (fst f)) (snd f)  $\setminus_C$  BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.src (fst f)))
        (B.src (snd f))
      using Uncurry-def E.map-def f AxB.src-char AxB.trg-char
       $\tau$ .preserves-arr
      by simp
    also have ... = (BC.Map ( $\tau$  (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)))  $\sqcup_C$ 
      BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)  $\setminus_C$ 
      BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.src (fst f))) (B.src (snd f))
      by (metis AxB.arr-char C.join-is-join-of C.join-of-unique
      C.joinable-def  $\tau$ -fst.naturality3 f)
    also have ... = BC.Map ( $\tau$  (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))  $\setminus_C$ 
      BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.src (fst f))) (B.src (snd f))  $\sqcup_C$ 
      BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)  $\setminus_C$ 
      BC.Map ( $\tau$  (A.src (fst f))) (B.src (snd f))
      by (metis 1 AxB.ide-trg C.conE C.conI C.join-def C.null-is-zero(2)
      C.prfx-implies-con C.resid-join_E(3) Uncurry-G.preserves-ide
      calculation f C.con-sym)
    also have ... = BC.Map (G (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))  $\sqcup_C$ 

```

$BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (\tau (A.src (fst f))) (B.src (snd f))$

proof –

have $\tau (fst f) \setminus_{[B,C]} \tau (A.src (fst f)) = G (fst f)$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *A.con-arr-src*(2)
 $BC.arr-resid-iff-con$ $BC.join-src$ $BC.resid-join_E$ (3)
 $BC.src-resid$ $BC.trg-def$ $\tau.G.preserves-reflects-arr$
 $\tau.naturality2$ $\tau.naturality3'_E$ (2) $\tau.naturality3'_E$ (1)
 $\tau.preserves-con$ (1) *f* $AxB.arr-char$)

thus *?thesis*

using *f* $BC.Map-resid-ide$ $BC.con-char$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) $AxB.arr-char$ $B.ide-src$ $BC.arr-char$
 $BC.resid-def$ $\tau.G.preserves-reflects-arr$)

qed

also have $\dots = BC.Map (G (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (G (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)$

by (*metis* $A.arr-src-iff-arr$ $A.ide-src$ $A.trg-src$
 $B.con-arr-src$ (1) $B.resid-arr-src$ $BC.joinable-implies-con$
 $BC.prfx-implies-con$ $BC.resid-Map$ $BC.resid-arr-ide$
 $\tau.F.preserves-ide$ $\tau.G.preserves-ide$ $\tau.naturality1$ $\tau.naturality2$
 $\tau.naturality3'_E$ (2) *f* $AxB.arr-char$)

also have $\dots = BC.Map (G (fst f)) (snd f)$

using *Map-simulation-expansion* $\tau.G.simulation-axioms$ *f*

by *presburger*

also have $\dots = Uncurry G f$

using *Uncurry-def* *E.map-def* *f* $AxB.src-char$ $AxB.trg-char$
 $\tau.preserves-arr$

by *simp*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

have 9: $Uncurry \tau f \setminus_C Uncurry F f = Uncurry \tau (AxB.trg f)$

proof –

have $Uncurry \tau f \setminus_C Uncurry F f =$
 $BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (snd f) \setminus_C BC.Map (F (fst f)) (snd f)$

using *Uncurry-def* *E.map-def* *f* $AxB.src-char$ $AxB.trg-char$
 $\tau.preserves-arr$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = (BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (snd f)$

by (*metis* $AxB.arr-char$ $C.join-is-join-of$ $C.join-of-unique$
 $C.joinable-def$ $\tau.fst.naturality3$ *f*)

also have $\dots = BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (snd f) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (snd f)$

by (*metis* 1 2 8 $C.conI$ $C.con-sym-ax$ $C.join-def$ $C.not-arr-null$
 $C.null-is-zero$ (2) $C.resid-join_E$ (3)
 $Uncurry-G.preserves-reflects-arr$ *calculation* *f*)

also have ... = $BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)$
by (*metis AxB.arr-char C.join-is-join-of C.join-of-unique*
C.joinable-def F.fst.naturality3 f)

also have ... = $(BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \sqcup_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f))$

proof –

have $BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \sqcup_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) =$
 $(BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f))$
using $C.resid-join_E(1)$ [*of* $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)$
 $BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))$]

by (*metis AxB.arr-char C.conI C.con-sym-ax C.con-with-join-if(2)*
C.joinable-def C.null-is-zero(2) F.fst.naturality3 f)

also have ... = $(BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)))$
using $C.cube$ **by** *blast*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *presburger*

qed

also have ... = $(BC.Map (\tau (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \sqcup_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \setminus_C$
 $(BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \setminus_C$
 $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)))$
using $C.resid-join_E(2)$ [*of* $BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)$
 $BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f)$]

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) AxB.arr-char C.conI*
C.con-with-join-if(2) C.join-sym C.joinable-def
C.joinable-iff-join-not-null C.joinable-implies-con)


```

    F-fst.naturality3 f)
  also have ... = (BC.Map (τ (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \_C
    BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \_C
    (BC.Map (F (A.src (fst f))) (snd f) \_C
    BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \_C
    BC.Map (F (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f)))
  using C.apex-sym C.trg-def F-fst.naturality1 F-fst.preserves-trg f
  by auto
  also have ... = (BC.Map (τ (fst f)) (B.src (snd f)) \_C
    BC.Map (F (fst f)) (B.src (snd f))) \_C
    BC.Map (F (A.trg (fst f))) (snd f) \_C
    BC.Map (F (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f)))
  using AxB.arr-char F-fst.naturality2 f by presburger
  also have ... = BC.Map (τ (A.trg (fst f))) (B.src (snd f)) \_C
    BC.Map (F (A.trg (fst f))) (snd f) \_C
    BC.Map (F (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f)))
proof -
  have τ (fst f) \_{[B,C]} F (fst f) =
    (τ (A.src (fst f)) \_{[B,C]} F (fst f)) \_{[B,C]} F (fst f)
  by (metis AxB.arr-char BC.join-is-join-of BC.join-of-unique
    BC.joinable-def τ.naturality3 f)
  also have ... = τ (A.src (fst f)) \_{[B,C]} F (fst f) \_{[B,C]}
    F (fst f) \_{[B,C]} F (fst f)
  by (metis AxB.arr-char BC.arr-prfx-join-self BC.con-def BC.join-sym
    BC.joinable-def BC.joinable-iff-join-not-null BC.not-ide-null
    BC.resid-joinE(3) τ.naturality3 f)
  also have ... = τ (A.src (fst f)) \_{[B,C]} F (fst f)
  by (metis A.arr-trg-iff-arr AxB.arr-char BC.join-src BC.join-sym
    BC.src-resid BC.trg-def τ.naturality1 τ.preserves-arr f
    BC.arr-resid-iff-con)
  also have ... = τ (A.trg (fst f))
  using τ.naturality1 f by blast
  finally have τ (fst f) \_{[B,C]} F (fst f) = τ (A.trg (fst f))
  by blast
  thus ?thesis
  using BC.Map-resid-ide
  by (metis A.arr-trg-iff-arr B.ide-src BC.arr-resid-iff-con
    τ.preserves-arr f AxB.arr-char)
qed
  also have ... = BC.Map (τ (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f)) \_C
    BC.Map (F (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.ide-trg A.src-trg AxB.arr-char BC.arrE
    BC.ide-charERTS BC.prfx-char τ.F.preserves-ide τ.G.preserves-ide
    τ.naturality2 f transformation.naturality1)
  also have ... = BC.Map (τ (A.trg (fst f))) (B.trg (snd f))
  by (metis (full-types) 1 2 5 6 7 8 AxB.cong-reflexive C.extensional
    Uncurry-G.preserves-prfx calculation f C.cube)
  also have ... = Uncurry τ (AxB.trg f)
  using Uncurry-def E.map-def f AxB.src-char AxB.trg-char τ.preserves-arr

```

by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis* by *blast*
 qed
 show $Uncurry\ \tau\ (AxB.src\ f) \lesssim_C\ Uncurry\ \tau\ f$
 using *f 1 Uncurry-G.preserves-ide AxB.ide-trg* by *presburger*
 show $Uncurry\ F\ f \lesssim_C\ Uncurry\ \tau\ f$
 using *f 2 Uncurry-G.preserves-ide AxB.ide-trg* by *presburger*
 show 10: $C.cong\ (Uncurry\ \tau\ f \setminus_C\ Uncurry\ \tau\ (AxB.src\ f))$
 $(Uncurry\ F\ f \setminus_C\ Uncurry\ \tau\ (AxB.src\ f))$
 using *7 8 C.ide-trg C.trg-def f* by *auto*
 show $C.cong\ (Uncurry\ \tau\ f \setminus_C\ Uncurry\ F\ f)$
 $(Uncurry\ \tau\ (AxB.src\ f) \setminus_C\ Uncurry\ F\ f)$
 using *6 9 C.cube 10* by *presburger*
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *Uncurry-Curry*:
assumes *transformation AxB.resid C F G τ*
shows $Uncurry\ (Curry\ F\ G\ \tau) = \tau$
proof
interpret τ : *transformation AxB.resid C F G τ* using *assms* by *auto*
interpret *Curry- τ* : *transformation A BC.resid*
 $\langle Curry3\ F \rangle\ \langle Curry3\ G \rangle\ \langle Curry\ F\ G\ \tau \rangle$
 using *assms Curry-preserves-transformations τ .transformation-axioms*
 by *simp*
fix *f*
have $\neg\ AxB.arr\ f \implies Uncurry\ (Curry\ F\ G\ \tau)\ f = \tau\ f$
 using *Curry-def Uncurry-def τ .extensional* by *auto*
moreover have $AxB.arr\ f \implies Uncurry\ (Curry\ F\ G\ \tau)\ f = \tau\ f$
 by (*simp add: Curry- τ .preserves-arr Currying.Uncurry-def Currying-axioms*
 $E.map-def Map-Curry$)
ultimately show $Uncurry\ (Curry\ F\ G\ \tau)\ f = \tau\ f$ by *blast*
 qed

lemma *Curry-Uncurry*:
assumes *transformation A BC.resid F G τ*
shows $Curry\ (Uncurry\ F)\ (Uncurry\ G)\ (Uncurry\ \tau) = \tau$
proof
interpret τ : *transformation A BC.resid F G τ*
 using *assms* by *blast*
interpret *Uncurry- τ* : *transformation AxB.resid C*
 $\langle Uncurry\ F \rangle\ \langle Uncurry\ G \rangle\ \langle Uncurry\ \tau \rangle$
 using *assms Uncurry-preserves-transformations* by *auto*
fix *f*
show $Curry\ (Uncurry\ F)\ (Uncurry\ G)\ (Uncurry\ \tau)\ f = \tau\ f$
proof (*cases A.arr f*)
show $\neg\ A.arr\ f \implies ?thesis$
 using *Curry-def τ .extensional* by *auto*

```

assume  $f: A.arr\ f$ 
have  $Curry\ (Uncurry\ F)\ (Uncurry\ G)\ (Uncurry\ \tau)\ f \neq BC.null$ 
  by (metis  $A.not-arr-null\ \tau.F.extensional\ \tau.F.preserves-reflects-arr$ 
     $Curry-preserves-transformations\ f\ transformation.preserves-arr$ 
     $Uncurry-\tau.transformation-axioms$ )
moreover
  have  $BC.Dom\ (Curry\ (Uncurry\ F)\ (Uncurry\ G)\ (Uncurry\ \tau)\ f) =$ 
     $BC.Dom\ (\tau\ f)$ 
proof
  fix  $g$ 
  show  $BC.Dom\ (Curry\ (Uncurry\ F)\ (Uncurry\ G)\ (Uncurry\ \tau)\ f)\ g =$ 
     $BC.Dom\ (\tau\ f)\ g$ 
  using  $Curry-def\ Uncurry-def\ E.map-def\ f\ Uncurry-\tau.preserves-arr$ 
     $\tau.preserves-arr$ 
  apply auto[1]
  apply (metis  $A.ide-src\ BC.Map.simps(1)\ BC.src-simp\ BC.src-join-of(1)$ 
     $\tau.naturality3\ \tau.preserves-src$ )
  by (metis  $BC.arrE\ simulation.extensional\ transformation-def$ )
qed
moreover
  have  $BC.Cod\ (Curry\ (Uncurry\ F)\ (Uncurry\ G)\ (Uncurry\ \tau)\ f) =$ 
     $BC.Cod\ (\tau\ f)$ 
proof
  fix  $g$ 
  have  $BC.Cod\ (\tau\ f) = BC.Map\ (G\ (A.trg\ f))$ 
  proof –
  have  $BC.trg\ (\tau\ f) = G\ (A.trg\ f)$ 
  using  $f\ \tau.preserves-trg$ 
  by (metis  $BC.trg-join-of(2)\ \tau.G.preserves-trg\ \tau.naturality3$ 
     $\tau.naturality2$ )
  thus ?thesis
  using  $f\ BC.trg-simp\ \tau.preserves-arr$ 
  by (metis  $BC.Map.simps(1)$ )
qed
thus  $BC.Cod\ (Curry\ (Uncurry\ F)\ (Uncurry\ G)\ (Uncurry\ \tau)\ f)\ g =$ 
   $BC.Cod\ (\tau\ f)\ g$ 
  using  $Curry-def\ Uncurry-def\ E.map-def\ f\ Uncurry-\tau.preserves-arr$ 
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis  $A.ide-trg\ BC.ide-char_{ERTS}\ \tau.G.preserves-ide$ 
     $simulation.extensional$ )
qed
moreover
  have  $BC.Map\ (Curry\ (Uncurry\ F)\ (Uncurry\ G)\ (Uncurry\ \tau)\ f) =$ 
     $BC.Map\ (\tau\ f)$ 
proof
  fix  $g$ 
  show  $BC.Map\ (Curry\ (Uncurry\ F)\ (Uncurry\ G)\ (Uncurry\ \tau)\ f)\ g =$ 
     $BC.Map\ (\tau\ f)\ g$ 
  using  $Curry-def\ Uncurry-def\ E.map-def\ f\ Uncurry-\tau.preserves-arr$ 

```

```

       $\tau$ .preserves-arr
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis BC.arrE transformation.extensional)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using BC.null-char
    by (metis BC.MkArr-Map BC.not-arr-null  $\tau$ .preserves-arr f)
  qed
qed

```

lemma src-Curry:
assumes transformation $AxB.resid\ C\ F\ G\ \tau$ **and** $A.arr\ f$
shows $BC.src\ (Curry\ F\ G\ \tau\ f) = Curry3\ F\ (A.src\ f)$
proof –
interpret τ : transformation $A\ \langle(\backslash_{[B,C]})\rangle\ \langle Curry3\ F\rangle\ \langle Curry3\ G\rangle$
 $\langle Curry\ F\ G\ \tau\rangle$
using *assms Curry-preserves-transformations* **by** *blast*
show ?thesis
using *assms(2)*
by (metis $A.ide-src\ BC.src-join-of(1)\ \tau.naturality3\ \tau.preserves-src$)
qed

lemma trg-Curry:
assumes transformation $AxB.resid\ C\ F\ G\ \tau$ **and** $A.arr\ f$
shows $BC.trg\ (Curry\ F\ G\ \tau\ f) = Curry3\ G\ (A.trg\ f)$
proof –
interpret τ : transformation $A\ \langle(\backslash_{[B,C]})\rangle\ \langle Curry3\ F\rangle\ \langle Curry3\ G\rangle$
 $\langle Curry\ F\ G\ \tau\rangle$
using *assms Curry-preserves-transformations* **by** *blast*
show ?thesis
using *assms(2)*
by (metis $BC.trg-join-of(2)\ \tau.G.preserves-trg\ \tau.naturality3\ \tau.naturality2$)
qed

lemma src-Uncurry:
assumes transformation $A\ BC.resid\ F\ G\ \tau$ **and** $AxB.arr\ f$
shows $C.src\ (Uncurry\ \tau\ f) = Uncurry\ F\ (AxB.src\ f)$
proof –
interpret τ : transformation $AxB.resid\ C\ \langle Uncurry\ F\rangle\ \langle Uncurry\ G\rangle$
 $\langle Uncurry\ \tau\rangle$
using *assms Uncurry-preserves-transformations* **by** *blast*
show ?thesis
using *assms(2)*
by (metis $AxB.ide-src\ C.src-join-of(1)\ \tau.naturality3\ \tau.preserves-src$)
qed

lemma trg-Uncurry:
assumes transformation $A\ BC.resid\ F\ G\ \tau$ **and** $AxB.arr\ f$
shows $C.trg\ (Uncurry\ \tau\ f) = Uncurry\ G\ (AxB.trg\ f)$

proof –
interpret τ : transformation $AxB.resid\ C\ \langle Uncurry\ F\rangle\ \langle Uncurry\ G\rangle$
 $\langle Uncurry\ \tau\rangle$
using *assms* $Uncurry\text{-preserves}\text{-transformations}$ **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
using *assms*(\mathcal{Q})
by (*metis* $C.trg\text{-join-of}(\mathcal{Q})\ \tau.G.\text{preserves}\text{-trg}\ \tau.naturality3\ \tau.naturality2$)
qed

end

3.10.6 Currying and Uncurrying as Inverse Simulations

context $Currying$
begin

sublocale $AxB\text{-}C$: *exponential-rts* $AxB.resid\ C\ ..$
sublocale $A\text{-}BC$: *exponential-rts* $A\ BC.resid\ ..$

notation $AxB\text{-}C.resid$ (**infix** $\backslash_{[AxB,C]}$ 55)
notation $AxB\text{-}C.con$ (**infix** $\frown_{[AxB,C]}$ 55)
notation $A\text{-}BC.resid$ (**infix** $\backslash_{[A,[B,C]]}$ 55)
notation $A\text{-}BC.con$ (**infix** $\frown_{[A,[B,C]]}$ 50)

definition $CURRY :: ('a \times 'b, 'c)\ AxB\text{-}C.arr \Rightarrow ('a, ('b, 'c)\ BC.arr)\ A\text{-}BC.arr$
where $CURRY \equiv$

$(\lambda X. \text{if } AxB\text{-}C.arr\ X$
 $\text{then } A\text{-}BC.MkArr$
 $\quad (Curry\ (AxB\text{-}C.Dom\ X)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Dom\ X)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Dom\ X))$
 $\quad (Curry\ (AxB\text{-}C.Cod\ X)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Cod\ X)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Cod\ X))$
 $\quad (Curry\ (AxB\text{-}C.Dom\ X)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Cod\ X)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Map\ X))$
 $\text{else } A\text{-}BC.null)$

lemma $Dom\text{-}CURRY$ [*simp*]:
assumes $AxB\text{-}C.arr\ f$
shows $A\text{-}BC.Dom\ (CURRY\ f) =$
 $Curry\ (AxB\text{-}C.Dom\ f)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Dom\ f)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Dom\ f)$
using *assms* $CURRY\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $Cod\text{-}CURRY$ [*simp*]:
assumes $AxB\text{-}C.arr\ f$
shows $A\text{-}BC.Cod\ (CURRY\ f) =$
 $Curry\ (AxB\text{-}C.Cod\ f)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Cod\ f)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Cod\ f)$
using *assms* $CURRY\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $Map\text{-}CURRY$ [*simp*]:
assumes $AxB\text{-}C.arr\ f$
shows $A\text{-}BC.Map\ (CURRY\ f) =$
 $Curry\ (AxB\text{-}C.Dom\ f)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Cod\ f)\ (AxB\text{-}C.Map\ f)$

using *assms CURRY-def* by *auto*

lemma *CURRY-preserves-con*:

assumes *con*: $AxB-C.con\ t\ u$

shows $A-BC.con\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u)$

proof (*unfold A-BC.con-char, intro conjI*)

have $t: AxB-C.arr\ t$

using *AxB-C.con-implies-arr(1) con* by *blast*

have $u: AxB-C.arr\ u$

using *AxB-C.con-implies-arr(2) con* by *blast*

show $CURRY\ t \neq AxB-C.Null$

unfolding *CURRY-def*

using *AxB-C.con-implies-arr(1) con* by *force*

show *transformation A BC.resid*

$(A-BC.Dom\ (CURRY\ t))\ (A-BC.Cod\ (CURRY\ t))$

$(A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ t))$

using *CURRY-def A-BC.null-char* $\langle CURRY\ t \neq AxB-C.Null \rangle$

Curry-preserves-transformations

 by *fastforce*

show $CURRY\ u \neq AxB-C.Null$

unfolding *CURRY-def*

using *AxB-C.con-implies-arr(2) con* by *force*

show *transformation A BC.resid*

$(A-BC.Dom\ (CURRY\ u))\ (A-BC.Cod\ (CURRY\ u))$

$(A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ u))$

using *CURRY-def A-BC.null-char* $\langle CURRY\ u \neq AxB-C.Null \rangle$

Curry-preserves-transformations

 by *auto*

show $A-BC.Dom\ (CURRY\ t) = A-BC.Dom\ (CURRY\ u)$

using *CURRY-def A-BC.Dom.simps(1) A-BC.null-char AxB-C.con-char*
 $\langle CURRY\ t \neq AxB-C.Null \rangle\ \langle CURRY\ u \neq AxB-C.Null \rangle\ con$

 by *presburger*

show $\forall a. A.ide\ a \longrightarrow$

$BC.con\ (A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ t)\ a)\ (A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ u)\ a)$

proof (*intro allI impI*)

fix a

assume $a: A.ide\ a$

have $A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ t)\ a =$

$Curry\ (AxB-C.Dom\ t)\ (AxB-C.Cod\ t)\ (AxB-C.Map\ t)\ a$

using $a\ t$ *CURRY-def* by *simp*

moreover **have** $A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ u)\ a =$

$Curry\ (AxB-C.Dom\ u)\ (AxB-C.Cod\ u)\ (AxB-C.Map\ u)\ a$

using $a\ u$ *CURRY-def* by *simp*

moreover

have $BC.con\ (Curry\ (AxB-C.Dom\ t)\ (AxB-C.Cod\ t)\ (AxB-C.Map\ t)\ a)$

$(Curry\ (AxB-C.Dom\ u)\ (AxB-C.Cod\ u)\ (AxB-C.Map\ u)\ a)$

unfolding *BC.con-char*

using $a\ t\ u\ con$

apply *clarsimp*

```

apply (intro conjI)
subgoal using AxB-C.arr-char AxB-C.null-char
  Curry-preserves-transformations
by (metis A.ide-implies-arr BC.arr-char
  transformation.preserves-arr)
subgoal using AxB-C.arr-char AxB-C.null-char
  Curry-preserves-transformations
by (metis A.ide-implies-arr BC.arr-char
  transformation.preserves-arr)
subgoal using AxB-C.arr-char AxB-C.null-char
  Curry-preserves-transformations
by (metis A.ide-implies-arr BC.arr-char
  transformation.preserves-arr)
subgoal by (meson A.ide-implies-arr AxB-C.arrE BC.arr-char
  Curry-preserves-transformations Currying-axioms
  transformation.preserves-arr)
unfolding Curry-def
using AxB-C.con-char
by auto
ultimately
show BC.con (A-BC.Map (CURRY t) a) (A-BC.Map (CURRY u) a)
by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma CURRY-is-extensional:
assumes  $\neg$  AxB-C.arr t
shows CURRY t = A-BC.null
using assms CURRY-def by simp

```

```

lemma CURRY-preserves-arr:
assumes AxB-C.arr t
shows A-BC.arr (CURRY t)
using assms CURRY-preserves-con by blast

```

```

lemma Dom-Map-CURRY-resid:
assumes con: AxB-C.con t u and f: A.arr f
shows BC.Dom (A-BC.Map (CURRY (t \[_{AxB,C}] u)) f) =
  BC.Dom (A-BC.Map (CURRY t \[_{A,[B,C]}] CURRY u) f)
proof –
have t: AxB-C.arr t
using assms(1) AxB-C.con-implies-arr(1) by blast
have u: AxB-C.arr u
using assms(1) AxB-C.con-implies-arr(2) by blast
have A-BC.con (CURRY t) (CURRY u)
using con CURRY-preserves-con by simp
interpret Curry-trg-u: simulation A BC.resid <Curry>3 (AxB-C.Cod t)
using t
by (meson AxB-C.arrE Curry-preserves-simulations transformation-def)

```

```

interpret Curry-trg-u: simulation-to-extensional-rts A BC.resid
  ⟨ Curry3 (AxB-C.Cod t) ⟩

..
interpret Curry-trg-u: transformation A BC.resid
  ⟨ Curry3 (AxB-C.Cod u) ⟩
  ⟨ Curry3 (AxB-C.Cod u) ⟩
  ⟨ Curry3 (AxB-C.Cod u) ⟩

  using u
  by (metis A-BC.arr-MkArr A-BC.arr-trg-iff-arr A-BC.trg-char
    Cod-CURRY CURRY-preserves-arr)
interpret Curry-u: transformation A BC.resid
  ⟨ Curry3 (AxB-C.Dom u) ⟩
  ⟨ Curry3 (AxB-C.Cod u) ⟩
  ⟨ Curry (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u) ⟩

  using u Curry-preserves-transformations by blast
interpret Curry-u: transformation-to-extensional-rts A BC.resid
  ⟨ Curry3 (AxB-C.Dom u) ⟩
  ⟨ Curry3 (AxB-C.Cod u) ⟩
  ⟨ Curry (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u) ⟩

..
have BC.Dom (A-BC.Map (CURRY (t \[_{AxB,C}] u)) f) =
  (λg. AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f, g))
  using f con Dom-Curry by simp
also
have ... = BC.Dom (Curry3 (AxB-C.Cod u) f)
  using f u Dom-Curry by auto
also
have ... = BC.Dom
  (BC.join
    (A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f) \[_{B,C}]
      Curry (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u)
      (A.src f))
    (Curry3 (AxB-C.Cod u) f))
proof –
  have BC.joinable
    (Curry3 (AxB-C.Cod u) f)
    (A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f) \[_{B,C}]
      Curry (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u) (A.src f))
proof –
  have BC.joinable
    (Curry3 (AxB-C.Dom u) f)
    (A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f))
  using u f
  by (metis A-BC.con-char BC.join-of-symmetric BC.joinable-def
    Dom-CURRY ⟨A-BC.con (CURRY t) (CURRY u)⟩
    transformation.naturality3)
moreover
have 1: Curry (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u)
  (A.src f)  $\frown$  \[_{B,C}]

```


$(\text{Curry3 } (AxB-C.Dom u) f \sqcup_{[B,C]} A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f))$
proof (*intro BC.con-with-join-if*)
show *BC.joinable*
 $(\text{Curry3 } (AxB-C.Dom u) f)$
 $(A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f))$
using *calculation by blast*
show $A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f) \frown_{[B,C]}$
 $\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u) (A.src f)$
using *A-BC.con-char <CURRY t &frown_{[A,[B,C]] CURRY u> f u by auto*
show $\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u)$
 $(A.src f) \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f) \frown_{[B,C]}$
 $\text{Curry3 } (AxB-C.Dom u) f \setminus_{[B,C]} A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f)$
using *u A.ide-trg A-BC.con-char BC.conE BC.conI BC.con-sym-ax*
BC.cube Dom-CURRY Map-CURRY
<CURRY t &frown_{[A,[B,C]] CURRY u>
f transformation.naturality1
by (*metis (full-types)*)
qed
ultimately
have $(\text{Curry3 } (AxB-C.Dom u) f \sqcup_{[B,C]} A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f)) \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u) (A.src f) =$
 $\text{Curry3 } (AxB-C.Dom u) f \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u)$
 $(A.src f) \sqcup_{[B,C]}$
 $A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f) \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u) (A.src f)$
using *BC.resid-joinE(3)*
 $[of \text{Curry3 } (AxB-C.Dom u) f A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f)$
 $\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u)$
 $(A.src f)]$
by *blast*
hence *BC.joinable*
 $(\text{Curry3 } (AxB-C.Dom u) f \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u)$
 $(A.src f))$
 $(A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f) \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u)$
 $(A.src f))$
by (*metis 1 BC.arr-resid BC.con-sym BC.joinable-iff-arr-join*)
moreover
have $\text{Curry3 } (AxB-C.Cod u) f =$
 $\text{Curry3 } (AxB-C.Dom u) f \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u) (A.src f)$
using *f u Curry-u.naturality2 by presburger*
ultimately show *?thesis by simp*
qed

thus *?thesis*
using $f\ u\ BC.src-char\ BC.src-join\ BC.Dom-join\ BC.join-sym$ **by** *auto*
qed
also have $\dots = BC.Dom\ (A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ t\ \backslash_{[A,[B,C]]}\ CURRY\ u)\ f)$
using $f\ u\ con\ CURRY-preserves-con\ A-BC.Map-resid\ Dom-Curry$
Cod-Curry\ Cod-CURRY
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *Cod-Map-CURRY-resid:*

assumes $con: AxB-C.con\ t\ u$ **and** $f: A.arr\ f$

shows $BC.Cod\ (A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u))\ f) =$
 $BC.Cod\ (A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u)\ f)$

proof –

have $t: AxB-C.arr\ t$

using $assms(1)\ AxB-C.con-implies-arr(1)$ **by** *blast*

have $u: AxB-C.arr\ u$

using $assms(1)\ AxB-C.con-implies-arr(2)$ **by** *blast*

interpret $Apex: simulation$

$A\ BC.resid\ \langle A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u) \rangle$

using $assms\ CURRY-preserves-con\ A-BC.Apex-is-simulation\ A-BC.con-char$
by *blast*

interpret $Cod-u: simulation\ AxB.resid\ C\ \langle AxB-C.Cod\ u \rangle$

using $AxB-C.ide-trg\ AxB-C.trg-char\ u$ **by** *force*

interpret $t: transformation$

$AxB.resid\ C\ \langle AxB-C.Dom\ t \rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Cod\ t \rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Map\ t \rangle$

using $t\ AxB-C.arr-char$ **by** *blast*

interpret $u: transformation$

$AxB.resid\ C\ \langle AxB-C.Dom\ u \rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Cod\ u \rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Map\ u \rangle$

using $u\ AxB-C.arr-char$ **by** *blast*

have $BC.Cod\ (A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u))\ f) =$
 $BC.Cod\ (Curry3\ (AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u)\ f)$

by (*simp\ add: con\ Curry-def*)

also have $\dots = BC.Cod\ (A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u)\ f)$

proof

fix g

show $BC.Cod\ (Curry3\ (AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u)\ f)\ g =$

$BC.Cod\ (A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u)\ f)\ g$

proof (*cases\ B.arr\ g*)

assume $g: \neg B.arr\ g$

show *?thesis*

using $f\ g\ Curry-def\ AxB-C.Apex-def\ A-BC.Apex-def$
 $CURRY-preserves-con$

apply *simp*

using $BC.Apex-def\ BC.Cod-resid\ BC.arr-resid-iff-con$
 $Apex.preserves-reflects-arr$

by *presburger*

next
assume $g: B.arr\ g$
show $?thesis$
proof –
have $BC.Cod\ (Curry3\ (AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u)\ f)\ g =$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f,\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ t\ (AxB.src\ (A.trg\ f,\ g))\ \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (AxB.src\ (A.trg\ f,\ g)))$
using $assms\ t\ u\ f\ g\ AxB.con-char\ Cod-Curry\ AxB-C.Apex-def$
by $simp$
also have $\dots = BC.Cod$
 $(A-BC.Cod\ (CURRY\ u)\ f)\ \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $(A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ t)\ (A.src\ f))\ \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ u)\ (A.src\ f))\ g$
proof –
have $BC.con\ (A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ t)\ (A.src\ f))$
 $(A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ u)\ (A.src\ f))$
using $assms\ f\ g\ t\ u\ AxB-C.con-char\ BC.con-char$
 $CURRY-preserves-con$
by $(metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ A-BC.Apex-def\ BC.arr-char$
 $BC.conI\ BC.null-char\ Apex.simulation-axioms$
 $simulation.preserves-reflects-arr)$
moreover have $BC.con\ (A-BC.Cod\ (CURRY\ u)\ f)$
 $(A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ t)\ (A.src\ f))\ \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ u)\ (A.src\ f))$
using $assms\ f\ g\ t\ u\ AxB-C.con-char\ BC.con-char$
 $CURRY-preserves-con$
by $(metis\ A-BC.Apex-def\ BC.arr-char\ BC.conI\ BC.null-char$
 $Apex.simulation-axioms\ simulation.preserves-reflects-arr)$
moreover have $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f,\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ t\ (AxB.src\ (A.trg\ f,\ g))\ \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (AxB.src\ (A.trg\ f,\ g))) =$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)))\ \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f,\ B.src\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g))\ \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g))$
proof –
have $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)))\ \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f,\ B.src\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g))\ \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g))) =$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ g)\ \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)\ \setminus_C$

$AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f,\ B.src\ g) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)))$

proof –

have $C.src\ (AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)) =$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)$

proof –

have $C.src\ (AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)) =$
 $C.trg\ (AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g))$
using $AxB-C.con-char\ con\ f\ g$ **by force**
also have $\dots = AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)$
by (*metis* $A.ide-src\ AxB.product-rts-axioms$
 $AxB-C.Map.simps(1)\ AxB-C.Map-resid-ide$
 $AxB-C.con-arr-self\ AxB-C.trg-char$
 $AxB-C.trg-def\ B.ide-src\ C.trg-def\ f\ g$
 $fst-conv\ product-rts.ide-char\ snd-conv\ u$)
finally show *?thesis* **by blast**

qed

thus *?thesis*
using $C.join-src$
by (*metis* $C.arr-resid\ C.conI\ C.join-sym$
 $C.null-is-zero(1-2)$)

qed

also have $\dots = (AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ g) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f,\ B.src\ g)) \setminus_C$
 $((AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f,\ B.src\ g))$
using $C.cube$ **by blast**

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f,\ g) \setminus_C$
 $((AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f,\ B.src\ g))$
by (*metis* $A.con-arr-src(2)\ A.resid-src-arr\ AxB.con-char$
 $AxB.resid-def\ B.con-arr-src(1)\ B.resid-arr-src$
 $Cod-u.preserves-resid\ f\ g\ fst-conv\ snd-conv$)

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f,\ g) \setminus_C$
 $((AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Dom\ u\ (f,\ B.src\ g) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g)))$
by (*metis* $AxB.arr-char\ AxB.src-char\ B.arr-src-iff-arr$
 $B.src-src\ f\ g\ fst-conv\ snd-conv\ u.naturality2$)

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f,\ g) \setminus_C$
 $((AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f,\ B.src\ g) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Dom\ u\ (f,\ B.src\ g)) \setminus_C$

```

      (AxB-C.Map u (A.src f, B.src g) \C
        AxB-C.Dom u (f, B.src g)))
    using C.cube by presburger
  also have ... = AxB-C.Cod u (A.trg f, g) \C
    ((AxB-C.Map t (A.src f, B.src g) \C
      AxB-C.Dom t (f, B.src g)) \C
      (AxB-C.Map u (A.src f, B.src g) \C
        AxB-C.Dom u (f, B.src g)))

    using assms
  by (simp add: AxB-C.con-char)
  also have ... = AxB-C.Cod u (A.trg f, g) \C
    (AxB-C.Map t (A.trg f, B.src g) \C
      AxB-C.Map u (A.trg f, B.src g))

  proof -
  have AxB-C.Map t (A.src f, B.src g) \C
    AxB-C.Dom t (f, B.src g) =
    AxB-C.Map t (A.trg f, B.src g)
  by (metis AxB.arr-char AxB.src-char AxB.trg-char
    B.arr-src-iff-arr B.src-src B.trg-src f g
    fst-conv snd-conv t.naturality1)
  moreover have AxB-C.Map u (A.src f, B.src g) \C
    AxB-C.Dom u (f, B.src g) =
    AxB-C.Map u (A.trg f, B.src g)
  by (metis AxB.arr-char AxB.src-char AxB.trg-char
    B.arr-src-iff-arr B.src-src B.trg-src f g
    fst-conv snd-conv u.naturality1)
  ultimately show ?thesis by presburger
  qed
  also have ... = AxB-C.Cod u (A.trg f, g) \C
    (AxB-C.Map t (AxB.src (A.trg f, g)) \C
      AxB-C.Map u (AxB.src (A.trg f, g)))
  by (simp add: AxB.src-char f g)
  finally show ?thesis by argo
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using assms f g t u AxB-C.con-char CURRY-preserves-con BC.Apex-def
    Dom-Curry Cod-Curry Map-Curry BC.Cod-resid BC.Dom-resid
  by simp
  qed
  also have ... = BC.Cod (A-BC.Apex (CURRY t) (CURRY u) f) g
  using assms f g CURRY-preserves-con CURRY-preserves-arr A-BC.Apex-def
  by simp
  finally show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  qed
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

lemma *Map-Map-CURRY-resid*:

assumes *con*: $AxB-C.con\ t\ u$ **and** $f1: A.arr\ f1$

shows $BC.Map\ (A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u))\ f1) =$
 $BC.Map\ (A-BC.Map\ (A-BC.resid\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u))\ f1)$

proof –

have $t: AxB-C.arr\ t$
using *assms(1) AxB-C.con-implies-arr(1)* **by** *blast*

have $u: AxB-C.arr\ u$
using *assms(1) AxB-C.con-implies-arr(2)* **by** *blast*

interpret t : *transformation*
 $AxB.resid\ C\ \langle AxB-C.Dom\ t\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Cod\ t\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Map\ t\rangle$
using t *AxB-C.arr-char [of t]* **by** *blast*

interpret t : *transformation-of-binary-simulations*
 $A\ B\ C\ \langle AxB-C.Dom\ t\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Cod\ t\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Map\ t\rangle$

..

interpret u : *transformation*
 $AxB.resid\ C\ \langle AxB-C.Dom\ u\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Cod\ u\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Map\ u\rangle$
using u *AxB-C.arr-char [of u]* **by** *blast*

interpret u : *transformation-of-binary-simulations*
 $A\ B\ C\ \langle AxB-C.Dom\ u\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Cod\ u\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Map\ u\rangle$

..

interpret tu : *transformation*
 $AxB.resid\ C\ \langle AxB-C.Cod\ u\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\rangle$
 $\langle AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\rangle$
using *con AxB-C.arr-char [of t \backslash_{[AxB,C]} u]* **by** *simp*

interpret tu : *transformation-to-extensional-rts AxB.resid C*
 $\langle AxB-C.Cod\ u\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\rangle\ \langle AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\rangle$

..

interpret *Curry-t: transformation A BC.resid*
 $\langle Curry3\ (AxB-C.Dom\ t)\rangle$
 $\langle Curry3\ (AxB-C.Cod\ t)\rangle$
 $\langle Curry\ (AxB-C.Dom\ t)\ (AxB-C.Cod\ t)\ (AxB-C.Map\ t)\rangle$
using t *Curry-preserves-transformations* **by** *blast*

interpret *Curry-u: transformation A BC.resid*
 $\langle Curry3\ (AxB-C.Dom\ u)\rangle$
 $\langle Curry3\ (AxB-C.Cod\ u)\rangle$
 $\langle Curry\ (AxB-C.Dom\ u)\ (AxB-C.Cod\ u)\ (AxB-C.Map\ u)\rangle$
using u *Curry-preserves-transformations* **by** *blast*

interpret *Apex: simulation*
 $A\ BC.resid\ \langle A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u)\rangle$

using *assms CURRY-preserves-con A-BC.Apex-is-simulation A-BC.con-char*
by *blast*

interpret *Apex: simulation-to-extensional-rts*
 $A\ BC.resid\ \langle A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u)\rangle$

..

interpret *Cod-u: simulation AxB.resid C \langle AxB-C.Cod u\rangle*
using *AxB-C.ide-trg AxB-C.trg-char u* **by** *force*

interpret *Cod-u: binary-simulation A B C \langle AxB-C.Cod u\rangle* ..

interpret *Cod-u: binary-simulation-between-weakly-extensional-rts*

$A \ B \ C \ \langle AxB-C.Cod \ u \rangle$

..

have *: $\bigwedge f2. B.arr \ f2 \implies$
 $((AxB-C.Map \ t \ (A.src \ f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \backslash_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Map \ u \ (A.src \ f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \sqcup_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (A.src \ f1, \ B.src \ f2)) \ \sqcup_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (f1, \ B.src \ f2)) \ \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (A.src \ f1, \ f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (f1, \ f2)$

proof –
fix $f2$
assume $f2: B.arr \ f2$
have 1:
 $Curry \ (AxB-C.Dom \ t) \ (AxB-C.Cod \ t) \ (AxB-C.Map \ t) \ (A.src \ f1) \ \frown_{[B,C]}$
 $Curry \ (AxB-C.Dom \ u) \ (AxB-C.Cod \ u) \ (AxB-C.Map \ u) \ (A.src \ f1)$
by (*metis* $A.ide-src \ A-BC.con-char \ con \ Map-CURRY \ f1$
 $CURRY-preserves-con \ t \ u$)
have $((AxB-C.Map \ t \ (A.src \ f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \backslash_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Map \ u \ (A.src \ f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \sqcup_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (A.src \ f1, \ B.src \ f2)) \ \sqcup_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (f1, \ B.src \ f2)) \ \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (A.src \ f1, \ f2) =$
 $(AxB-C.Map \ t \ (A.src \ f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \backslash_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Map \ u \ (A.src \ f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \sqcup_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (f1, \ B.src \ f2)) \ \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (A.src \ f1, \ f2)$
using $AxB-C.Map-resid-ide \ con \ f1 \ f2$ **by** *force*
also **have** ... = $(AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (A.src \ f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \sqcup_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (f1, \ B.src \ f2)) \ \sqcup_C \ AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (A.src \ f1, \ f2)$
using $f1 \ f2 \ con \ AxB-C.Map-resid-ide$ **by** *simp*
also **have** ... = $AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \sqcup_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (A.src \ f1, \ f2)$
using $AxB.src-char \ AxB-C.Map-resid-ide \ con \ f1 \ f2$ **by** *force*
also **have** ... = $AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (f1, \ f2)$
proof (*intro* $C.join-eq1$)
show 2: $AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \lesssim_C$
 $AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (f1, \ f2)$
proof –
have $AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \backslash_C$
 $AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (f1, \ f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \backslash_C$
 $\quad (AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (AxB.src \ (f1, \ f2))) \ \sqcup_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Cod \ u \ (f1, \ f2))$
using $f1 \ f2 \ AxB.prfx-char \ tu.naturality3$
by (*metis* $AxB.arr-char \ C.join-is-join-of \ C.join-of-unique$
 $C.joinable-def \ fst-conv \ snd-conv$)
also **have** ... = $(AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (f1, \ B.src \ f2) \ \backslash_C$
 $\quad AxB-C.Map \ (t \ \backslash_{[AxB,C]} \ u) \ (AxB.src \ (f1, \ f2))) \ \backslash_C$

$(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2)\ \backslash_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (AxB.src\ (f1,\ f2)))$

using $C.resid-join_E(2)$
 $[of\ AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (AxB.src\ (f1,\ f2))$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2)$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)]$

by $(metis\ A.cong-reflexive\ AxB.prfx-char\ B.ide-trg$
 $B.resid-src-arr\ C.conI\ C.joinable-iff-join-not-null$
 $C.not-ide-null\ C.null-is-zero(2)\ calculation$
 $f1\ f2\ fst-conv\ snd-conv\ tu.preserves-prfx)$

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)\ \backslash_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2)\ \backslash_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (AxB.src\ (f1,\ f2)))$

proof –

have $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)\ \backslash_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (AxB.src\ (f1,\ f2)) =$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2)\ \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2))\ \backslash_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (AxB.src\ (f1,\ f2))$

using $AxB.src-char\ AxB-C.Map-resid-ide\ con\ f1\ f2$ **by** $fastforce$

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2)\ \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (AxB.src\ (f1,\ f2))\ \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)\ \backslash_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (AxB.src\ (f1,\ f2))$

using $C.resid-join_E(3)$

by $(metis\ AxB.arr-char\ AxB.src-char\ B.arr-src-iff-arr\ B.src-src$
 $C.arr-prfx-join-self\ C.joinable-def\ C.prfx-implies-con$
 $f1\ f2\ fst-conv\ snd-conv\ tu.naturality3)$

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2)\ \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)\ \backslash_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (AxB.src\ (f1,\ f2))$

using $AxB.src-char\ C.trg-def\ f1\ f2\ tu.preserves-trg$ **by** $fastforce$

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2)\ \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)$

using $AxB.src-char\ AxB-C.Map-resid-ide\ AxB-C.Apex-def\ con\ f2$
by $force$

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)$

proof –

have $C.prfx\ (AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2))$
 $(AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2))$

by $(simp\ add:\ f1\ f2)$

thus $?thesis$

by $(metis\ AxB.arr-char\ AxB.ide-src\ AxB.src-char$
 $B.arr-src-iff-arr\ C.join-src\ C.prfx-implies-con$
 $C.src-ide\ f1\ f2\ fst-conv\ snd-conv\ transformation-def$
 $tu.G.preserves-ide\ tu.G.preserves-reflects-arr$
 $tu.transformation-axioms$
 $weakly-extensional-rts.con-imp-eq-src)$

qed

finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
also
have ... = $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2) \setminus_C\ AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (f1,\ f2)$
by (*simp add: f1 f2 tu.naturality2*)
also have ... = $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.trg\ f2)$
by (*metis A.residuation-axioms A.trg-def AxB.con-char AxB.resid-def B.con-arr-src(2) B.resid-src-arr f1 f2 fst-conv residuation.arrE snd-conv tu.G.preserves-resid*)
finally have $AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2) \setminus_C\ AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ f2) = AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.trg\ f2)$
by *blast*
moreover have *C.ide* ...
by (*simp add: f1 f2*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
show 3: $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \lesssim_C\ AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ f2)$
proof –
have $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C\ AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ f2) = AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C\ (AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C\ AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2))$
using *AxB.src-char AxB-C.Map-resid-ide con f1 f2* **by** *force*
also
have ... = $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C\ AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2)) \setminus_C\ (AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \setminus_C\ AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2))$
proof –
have $C.joinable\ (AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2))\ (AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2))$
by (*metis AxB.arr-char AxB.src-char C.joinable-def f1 f2 fst-conv snd-conv tu.naturality3*)
moreover have $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \frown_C\ AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C\ AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2)$
by (*metis A.con-arr-src(1) A.ide-trg A.resid-src-arr AxB.con-char AxB.prfx-char B.ide-trg B.residuation-axioms B.trg-def C.con-sym C.con-target C.con-with-join-if(2) C.joinable-implies-con calculation f1 f2 fst-conv residuation.arrE snd-conv u.G.preserves-con u.G.preserves-prfx*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *C.resid-join_E(1)* **by** *fastforce*
qed
also have ... = $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.trg\ f2) \setminus_C\ (AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \setminus_C\ AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2))$

$AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2))$

proof –

have $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.trg\ f2)$

using $u.G.preserves-resid\ [of\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2)\ (f1,\ f2)]$
by $(simp\ add:\ AxB.resid-def\ B.trg-def\ f1\ f2)$
thus $?thesis$
by $presburger$

qed

also have $... = AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.trg\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.trg\ f2)$

by $(metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ AxB.arr-char\ AxB.src-char\ AxB.trg-char$
 $f1\ f2\ fst-conv\ snd-conv\ tu.naturality1)$

also have $... = AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.trg\ f2)$

by $(metis\ A.src-trg\ AxB.src-char\ AxB-C.Apex-def\ B.src-trg$
 $C.null-is-zero(2)\ fst-conv\ snd-conv\ tu.extensional$
 $tu.naturality2)$

finally have $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.trg\ f2)$

by $blast$

moreover have $C.ide\ ...$

by $(simp\ add:\ f1\ f2)$

ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $simp$

qed

show $\lambda: AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2)$

proof –

have $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) =$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2)) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2)$

by $(metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ AxB.ide-src\ AxB.src-char$
 $AxB-C.Map-resid\ AxB-C.Map-resid-ide\ C.arr-def$
 $C.ide-iff-src-self\ C.ide-implies-arr\ C.not-arr-null$
 $C.prfx-implies-con\ C.src-resid\ C.trg-def\ 2\ con$
 $fst-conv\ snd-conv)$

also have $... = AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2) \setminus_C AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2)$

by $(metis\ C.conE\ C.conI\ C.con-sym\ C.joinable-iff-join-not-null$
 $C.null-is-zero(2)\ C.prfx-implies-con\ C.resid-joinE(3)\ 3\ calculation)$

also have $\lambda: ... = AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.trg\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2) \setminus_C AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2)$

by $(metis\ A.src-src\ A.trg-src\ AxB.src-char\ AxB.trg-char\ C.con-sym-ax$

$C.not\text{-}ide\text{-}null$ $C.null\text{-}is\text{-}zero(2)$ 3 $fst\text{-}conv$ $snd\text{-}conv$ $tu.naturality1$
 $u.G.extensionsal$
also have ... = $AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($A.src$ $f1$, $B.trg$ $f2$) \sqcup_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($f1$, $B.trg$ $f2$)
using $f1$ $f2$ $u.G.preserves\text{-}con$ $AxB.resid\text{-}def$ $B.trg\text{-}def$
 $u.G.preserves\text{-}resid$ [of ($f1$, $f2$) ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$)]

by simp
also have ... = $AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($f1$, $B.trg$ $f2$)
using $AxB.src\text{-}char$ $AxB\text{-}C.Map\text{-}resid\text{-}ide$ con $f1$ $f2$ **by force**
finally have $L: AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($f1$, $f2$) \setminus_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$) =
 $AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($f1$, $B.trg$ $f2$)
by blast

have 5: $AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($f1$, $B.src$ $f2$) \setminus_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$) =
($AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($A.src$ $f1$, $B.src$ $f2$) \sqcup_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($f1$, $B.src$ $f2$)) \setminus_C $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$)
using $AxB.src\text{-}char$ $AxB\text{-}C.Map\text{-}resid\text{-}ide$ con $f1$ $f2$ **by auto**
also have ... = $AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($A.src$ $f1$, $B.src$ $f2$) \setminus_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$) \sqcup_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($f1$, $B.src$ $f2$) \setminus_C $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$)
proof –
have $C.joinable$ ($AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($A.src$ $f1$, $B.src$ $f2$))
($AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($f1$, $B.src$ $f2$))
by ($metis$ $AxB.arr\text{-}char$ $AxB.src\text{-}char$ $B.arr\text{-}src\text{-}iff\text{-}arr$ $B.src\text{-}src$
 $C.joinable\text{-}def$ $f1$ $f2$ $fst\text{-}conv$ $snd\text{-}conv$ $tu.naturality3$)
moreover have $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$) \frown_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($A.src$ $f1$, $B.src$ $f2$) \sqcup_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($f1$, $B.src$ $f2$)
by ($metis$ 2 3 5 $C.arr\text{-}resid\text{-}iff\text{-}con$ $C.con\text{-}sym$ $C.con\text{-}target$
 $C.prfx\text{-}implies\text{-}con$ $C.resid\text{-}reflects\text{-}con$)
ultimately show $?thesis$
using $C.resid\text{-}join_E(3)$ **by blast**
qed
also have ... = $AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($A.src$ $f1$, $B.src$ $f2$) \setminus_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$) \sqcup_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($f1$, $B.trg$ $f2$)
using $u.G.preserves\text{-}resid$ [of ($f1$, $B.src$ $f2$) ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$)]
by ($simp$ $add: AxB.resid\text{-}def$ $f1$ $f2$)
also have ... = $AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($A.src$ $f1$, $B.trg$ $f2$) \sqcup_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($f1$, $B.trg$ $f2$)
using $tu.naturality1$ [of ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$)]
by ($simp$ $add: AxB.src\text{-}char$ $AxB.trg\text{-}char$ $f1$ $f2$)
also have ... = $AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($f1$, $B.trg$ $f2$)
using $AxB.src\text{-}char$ $AxB\text{-}C.Map\text{-}resid\text{-}ide$ con $f1$ $f2$ **by force**
finally have $R: AxB\text{-}C.Map$ ($t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u$) ($f1$, $B.src$ $f2$) \setminus_C
 $AxB\text{-}C.Cod$ u ($A.src$ $f1$, $f2$) =

$AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.trg f2)$

by *blast*

show *?thesis*
using *L R by auto*

qed

show $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2)$

proof –

have *5*: $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2) =$
 $(AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src f1, B.src f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod u (f1, f2)) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2)$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) AxB.ide-src AxB.src-char*
AxB-C.Map-resid AxB-C.Map-resid-ide C.arr-def
C.ide-iff-src-self C.ide-implies-arr C.not-arr-null
C.prfx-implies-con C.src-resid C.trg-def 3 con
fst-conv snd-conv)

also have *6*: $\dots = AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod u (f1, f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2)$

by (*metis C.conI C.con-sym-ax C.joinable-iff-join-not-null C.not-ide-null*
C.null-is-zero(2) C.resid-join_E(3) 2 calculation)

also have $\dots = (AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Apex t u (A.trg f1, f2)$

proof –

have $AxB-C.Cod u (f1, f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2) =$
 $(AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2) \sqcup_C AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2)) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2)$

proof –

have $AxB.join-of (f1, B.src f2) (A.src f1, f2) (f1, f2)$
using *f1 f2*
by (*simp add: A.join-of-arr-src(2) AxB.join-of-char(1)*
B.join-of-arr-src(1))

thus *?thesis*
using *f1 f2 u.G.preserves-joins AxB.join-of-char*
C.join-is-join-of C.join-of-unique C.joinable-def
by *metis*

qed

also have $\dots = (AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src f2))$

using $C.resid-join_E(3)$ [of $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, f2)$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1, B.src\ f2)$]

by (*metis 2 5 6 C.conE C.conI C.con-sym-ax*
 $C.join-def\ C.joinable-implies-con\ C.null-is-zero(2)$
 $C.prfx-implies-con\ calculation$)

also have $\dots = (AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2))) \sqcup_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)))$

using $f2\ AxB.src-char\ AxB-C.Map-resid-ide\ 2\ con$
by force

also have $\dots = (AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2))$

proof –

have $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)) =$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C\ AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2))$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) C.arr-prfx-join-self*
 $C.conI\ C.con-sym-ax\ C.joinable-iff-join-not-null$
 $C.not-ide-null\ C.null-is-zero(1)\ C.resid-join_E(1)$
 $5\ 2\ calculation$)

thus *?thesis by argo*

qed

also have $\dots = (AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2))) \sqcup_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)))$

using $u.G.preserves-trg$ [of $(f1, B.src\ f2)$] $AxB.trg-char$
 $C.trg-def\ f1\ f2$

by force

also have $\dots = (AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.trg\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \backslash_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)))$

using $tu.naturality1$ [of $(f1, B.src\ f2)$] $AxB.src-char$

$AxB.trg-char\ f1\ f2$
by force
also have ... = $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)))$
by (*metis* $A.src-trg\ AxB.src-char\ AxB-C.Apex-def\ B.src-src$
 $C.ex-un-null\ C.null-is-zero(1)\ fst-conv\ snd-conv$
 $tu.extensional\ tu.naturality2$)
also have ... = $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $((AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)))$
by (*metis* $C.arr-prfx-join-self\ C.conI\ C.con-sym-ax$
 $C.joinable-iff-join-not-null\ C.not-ide-null\ C.null-is-zero(2)$
 $C.resid-join_E(1)\ 2\ 5\ calculation$)
also have ... = $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.trg\ f1,\ B.src\ f2)$
by (*metis* $AxB.src-char\ AxB.trg-char\ B.src-src\ B.trg-src$
 $C.not-ide-null\ C.null-is-zero(1)\ 2\ fst-conv$
 $snd-conv\ tu.extensional\ tu.naturality1$)
also have ... = $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.trg\ f1,\ B.src\ f2)$
using $u.G.preserves-resid\ [of\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2)\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)]$
 $AxB.resid-def\ f1\ f2$
by auto
also have ... = $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$
by (*metis* $A.arr-trg-iff-arr\ A.src-trg\ AxB.arr-char\ AxB.src-char$
 $f1\ f2\ fst-conv\ snd-conv\ tu.naturality2$)
also have ... = $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$
proof –
have $AxB.join-of\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.src\ f2)\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$
by (*simp add:* $AxB.join-of-arr-src(1)\ f1\ f2$)
thus *?thesis*
using $tu.G.preserves-joins\ C.join-is-join-of$
 $C.join-of-unique\ C.joinable-def$
by meson
qed
finally have $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1,\ B.src\ f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$
by blast
thus *?thesis* **by auto**
qed

also have ... = $(AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1, f2)$

proof –

have $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src\ f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2))$

using $f1\ f2\ AxB.src-char\ AxB-C.Map-resid-ide\ con$ **by** *auto*

also have ... = $(AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2))$

proof –

have $C.joinable (AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2))$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2))$

by (*metis C.arr-prfx-join-self C.con-sym-ax*

$C.joinable-iff-join-not-null\ C.not-ide-null\ C.null-is-zero(1)$
 $2\ 5\ 6\ calculation)$

moreover have $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \curvearrowright_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)$

using $C.arr-prfx-join-self\ C.prfx-implies-con\ calculation$

by *presburger*

ultimately have $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2) =$
 $(AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2))$

using $f1\ f2\ C.resid-join_E(2)$ **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

finally have $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (f1, B.src\ f2) =$
 $(AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2))$

by *blast*

thus *?thesis* **by** *argo*

qed

also have ... = $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \sqcup_C$

$AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$

by (*metis* $AxB.ide-src\ AxB.src-char\ C.not-ide-null$
 $C.null-is-zero(2)\ C.trg-def\ 2\ fst-conv\ snd-conv\ tu.extensionsal$
 $tu.preserves-trg$)

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$

by (*metis* $AxB.src-char\ B.src-trg\ B.trg-src\ C.con-sym-ax$
 $C.not-ide-null\ C.null-is-zero(2)\ 4\ 3\ fst-conv\ snd-conv$
 $tu.extensionsal\ tu.naturality2$)

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$

using $tu.G.preserves-trg\ [of\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)]$

by (*simp* $add: AxB.trg-char\ C.con-imp-coinitial\ C.resid-ide(2)\ f1\ f2$)

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$

proof –

have $AxB.join-of\ (A.trg\ f1,\ B.src\ f2)\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$
by (*simp* $add: AxB.join-of-arr-src(1)\ f1\ f2$)

thus *?thesis*

using $tu.G.preserves-joins\ C.join-is-join-of\ C.join-of-unique$
 $C.joinable-def$

by *meson*

qed

finally have $L: AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$

by *blast*

have $R: AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2)$

proof –

have $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2) =$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2))$

using $AxB.src-char\ AxB-C.Map-resid-ide\ con\ f1\ f2$ **by** *force*

also have $\dots = (AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2))$

by (*metis* $C.conI\ C.con-sym-ax\ C.joinable-iff-join-not-null$
 $C.not-ide-null\ C.null-is-zero(2)\ C.resid-join_E(1)\ 3\ 4$
calculation)

also have $\dots = AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f1,\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $(AxB-C.Map\ (t\ \setminus_{[AxB,C]}\ u)\ (A.src\ f1,\ B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2))$

using $u.G.preserves-resid\ [of\ (A.src\ f1,\ f2)\ (f1,\ B.src\ f2)]$


```

    by (simp add: AxB.resid-def f1 f2)
  also have ... = AxB-C.Cod u (A.trg f1, f2) \_C
                AxB-C.Map (t \_[AxB,C] u) (A.trg f1, B.src f2)
  by (metis AxB.src-char AxB.trg-char B.src-src B.trg-src
            C.ex-un-null C.not-ide-null C.null-is-zero(2) 2
            fst-conv snd-conv tu.extensional tu.naturality1)
  also have ... = AxB-C.Apex t u (A.trg f1, f2)
  by (metis A.src-trg AxB.src-char C.conI C.con-sym-ax
            C.prfx-implies-con C.residuation-axioms L 2 fst-conv
            residuation.arr-resid snd-conv tu.G.preserves-reflects-arr
            tu.naturality2)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show ?thesis
  using L R by simp
qed
qed
finally show ((AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \_C
              AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2) \_C
              AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, B.src f2)) \_C
              AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2)) \_C
              AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2) =
              AxB-C.Map (t \_[AxB,C] u) (f1, f2)
  by simp
qed
have BC.Map (A-BC.Map (CURRY (t \_[AxB,C] u)) f1) =
  (\f2. if B.arr f2
    then AxB-C.Map t (AxB.src (f1, f2)) \_C
          AxB-C.Map u (AxB.src (f1, f2)) \_C
          AxB-C.Cod u (f1, f2)
    else C.null)
  unfolding curry-def
  using f1 con Curry-simp by simp
also have ... = (\f2. if B.arr f2
  then ((AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \_C
          AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2) \_C
          AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, B.src f2)) \_C
          AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2)) \_C
          AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2)
  else C.null)
  using * con f1 by auto
also
have ... = BC.Map (A-BC.Map (A-BC.resid (CURRY t) (CURRY u)) f1)
proof -
  have 1: Curry
    (AxB-C.Dom t) (AxB-C.Cod t) (AxB-C.Map t) (A.src f1)  $\curvearrowright$ _{[B,C]}
    Curry
    (AxB-C.Dom u) (AxB-C.Cod u) (AxB-C.Map u) (A.src f1)
  by (metis A.ide-src A-BC.con-char Map-CURRY con

```

CURRY-preserves-con f1 t u)

moreover
have *BC.Dom*
 $(\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom\ t) (AxB-C.Cod\ t) (AxB-C.Map\ t)$
 $(A.src\ f1) \setminus_{[B,C]}$
 $\text{Curry } (AxB-C.Dom\ u) (AxB-C.Cod\ u) (AxB-C.Map\ u)$
 $(A.src\ f1)) =$
 $(\lambda f2. AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, f2))$

using *f1 t u 1 BC.Dom-resid Map-Curry Dom-Curry Cod-Curry by simp*
moreover have *transformation B C* $(\lambda f2. AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, f2))$
 $(\lambda f2. AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.trg\ f1, f2))$
 $(\lambda f2. AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, f2))$

using *f1 Cod-u.fixing-arr-gives-transformation-1 by simp*
ultimately
have *BC.Map* $(A-BC.Map\ (A-BC.resid\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u))\ f1) =$
 $(\lambda f2. (BC.Resid$
 $(A-BC.MkArr$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Dom\ t\ (A.src\ f1, g))$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Cod\ t\ (A.src\ f1, g))$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f1, g)))$
 $(A-BC.MkArr$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Dom\ u\ (A.src\ f1, g))$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, g))$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f1, g)))$
 $(B.src\ f2) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, f2))$

using ** t u f1 C.joinable-iff-arr-join tu.preserves-arr con*
CURRY-preserves-con A-BC.Map-resid BC.join-char
Map-CURRY BC.Map-resid-ide Map-Curry Dom-Curry Curry-def

by auto
also have $\dots = (\lambda f2. \text{if } B.arr\ f2$
 $\text{then } ((AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2) \setminus_C$
 $AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, B.src\ f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (f1, B.src\ f2)) \sqcup_C$
 $AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, f2)$
 $\text{else } C.null)$

proof
fix *f2*
show $(BC.Resid$
 $(A-BC.MkArr$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Dom\ t\ (A.src\ f1, g))$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Cod\ t\ (A.src\ f1, g))$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Map\ t\ (A.src\ f1, g)))$
 $(A-BC.MkArr$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Dom\ u\ (A.src\ f1, g))$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Cod\ u\ (A.src\ f1, g))$
 $(\lambda g. AxB-C.Map\ u\ (A.src\ f1, g)))$

```

      (B.src f2)  $\sqcup_C$ 
      AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2))  $\sqcup_C$ 
      AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2) =
      (if B.arr f2
       then ((AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2)  $\setminus_C$ 
              AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2)  $\sqcup_C$ 
              AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, B.src f2))  $\sqcup_C$ 
              AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2))  $\sqcup_C$ 
              AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2)
            else C.null)
       apply (cases B.arr f2)
       apply force
       using B.src-def C.arr-prfx-join-self C.join-def
       by fastforce
    qed
  finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed

lemma Cod-Curry-Apex:
  assumes con: AxB-C.con t u and f1: A.arr f1
  shows BC.Cod
    (Curry (AxB-C.Apex t u) (AxB-C.Apex t u) (AxB-C.Apex t u) f1) =
    BC.Cod (A-BC.Map (A-BC.resid (CURRY t) (CURRY u)) f1)
  proof -
    have BC.Cod (Curry3 (AxB-C.Apex t u) f1) =
      BC.Cod (A-BC.Map (CURRY (t  $\setminus_{[AxB,C]}$  u)) f1)
    by (simp add: assms(1) Curry-def)
    also have ... = BC.Cod (A-BC.Apex (CURRY t) (CURRY u) f1)
    using assms Cod-Map-CURRY-resid by simp
    also have ... =
      BC.Cod (A-BC.Map (A-BC.resid (CURRY t) (CURRY u)) f1)
  proof -
    have BC.trg (A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f1)  $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ 
      A-BC.Map (CURRY u) (A.src f1)  $\sqcup_{[B,C]}$ 
      A-BC.Cod (CURRY u) f1) =
      BC.trg (A-BC.Cod (CURRY u) f1  $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ 
        (A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f1)  $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ 
          A-BC.Map (CURRY u) (A.src f1)))
    by (metis A-BC.Map-preserves-prfx A-BC.Map-resid
      A-BC.cong-reflexive BC.apex-sym BC.joinable-iff-join-not-null
      BC.not-ide-null BC.null-is-zero(2) BC.trg-join
      CURRY-preserves-con con f1 A-BC.arr-resid)
    hence BC.Cod (A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f1)  $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ 
      A-BC.Map (CURRY u) (A.src f1)  $\sqcup_{[B,C]}$ 
      A-BC.Cod (CURRY u) f1) =
      BC.Cod (A-BC.Cod (CURRY u) f1  $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ 
        (A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f1)  $\setminus_{[B,C]}$ 

```

```

      A-BC.Map (CURRY u) (A.src f1)))
  using BC.trg-char
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) BC.Map-trg BC.arr-trg-iff-arr
      BC.con-imp-arr-resid BC.join-char(2)
      BC.joinable-iff-arr-join BC.trg-def)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms CURRY-preserves-con A-BC.Map-resid A-BC.Apex-def
    by simp
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

lemma *Curry-preserves-Apex:*

assumes $AxB-C.con\ t\ u$

shows $Curry^3\ (AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u) = A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u)$
 (is $?LHS = ?RHS$)

proof –

have $t: AxB-C.arr\ t$

using $assms\ AxB-C.con-implies-arr(1)$ **by** *blast*

have $u: AxB-C.arr\ u$

using $assms\ AxB-C.con-implies-arr(2)$ **by** *blast*

interpret $Apex: simulation$

$A\ BC.resid\ \langle A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u) \rangle$

using $assms\ CURRY-preserves-con\ A-BC.Apex-is-simulation\ A-BC.con-char$
by *blast*

interpret $Cod-u: simulation\ AxB.resid\ C\ \langle AxB-C.Cod\ u \rangle$

using $AxB-C.ide-trg\ AxB-C.trg-char\ u$ **by** *force*

interpret $Curry-Apex: simulation\ A\ BC.resid$

$\langle Curry\ (AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u)\ (AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u) \rangle$
 $(AxB-C.Apex\ t\ u) \rangle$

using $Curry-preserves-simulations\ AxB-C.Apex-is-simulation$
 $AxB-C.con-char\ assms$

by *blast*

show $?thesis$

proof

fix $f1$

show $?LHS\ f1 = ?RHS\ f1$

proof (*cases* $A.arr\ f1$)

show $\neg\ A.arr\ f1 \implies ?thesis$

using $A-BC.Apex-def\ Curry-def$ **by** *force*

assume $f1: A.arr\ f1$

interpret $Apex-curry: transformation\ B\ C$

$\langle BC.Dom\ (A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u)\ f1) \rangle$

$\langle BC.Cod\ (A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u)\ f1) \rangle$

$\langle BC.Map\ (A-BC.Apex\ (CURRY\ t)\ (CURRY\ u)\ f1) \rangle$

using $f1\ BC.arr-char$ **by** *blast*

interpret $Map-Curry-Apex:$

$transformation\ B\ C$

$\langle BC.Dom$

```

      (Curry
        (AxB-C.Apex t u)
        (AxB-C.Apex t u)
        (AxB-C.Apex t u)
      f1)›
    ‹BC.Cod
      (Curry
        (AxB-C.Apex t u)
        (AxB-C.Apex t u)
        (AxB-C.Apex t u)
      f1)›
    ‹BC.Map
      (Curry
        (AxB-C.Apex t u)
        (AxB-C.Apex t u)
        (AxB-C.Apex t u)
      f1)›
  using f1 Curry-Apex.preserves-reflects-arr BC.arr-char
  by blast
show ?thesis
proof –
  have BC.Dom (?LHS f1) = BC.Dom (?RHS f1)
    by (metis A.con-arr-src(1) A.con-arr-src(2) A.con-implies-arr(1)
      A.ide-src A.resid-arr-src A-BC.Apex-def A-BC.Map-resid-ide
      BC.Dom-resid BC.arr-resid-iff-con Apex.preserves-reflects-arr
      Cod-Curry-Apex Curry-Apex.preserves-con
      Curry-Apex.preserves-resid assms CURRY-preserves-con f1)
  moreover
  have BC.Cod (?LHS f1) = BC.Cod (?RHS f1)
    using Cod-Curry-Apex Cod-Map-CURRY-resid assms f1 Curry-def
    by auto
  moreover
  have BC.Map (?LHS f1) = BC.Map (?RHS f1)
  proof
    fix f2
    show BC.Map (?LHS f1) f2 = BC.Map (?RHS f1) f2
    proof (cases B.arr f2)
      show ¬ B.arr f2 ⇒ ?thesis
        by (simp add: Apex-curry.extensional
          Map-Curry-Apex.extensional)
    assume f2: B.arr f2
    show ?thesis
    proof –
      have 0: BC.Map (?LHS f1) f2 =
        AxB-C.Cod u (f1, f2) \_C
          (AxB-C.Map t (AxB.src (f1, f2)) \_C
            AxB-C.Map u (AxB.src (f1, f2)))
        using assms t u f1 f2 AxB.con-char Map-Curry AxB-C.Apex-def
        by simp

```

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} & BC.Map \\ & (A-BC.Cod (CURRY u) f1 \setminus_{[B,C]} \\ & (A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f1) \setminus_{[B,C]} \\ & A-BC.Map (CURRY u) (A.src f1))) f2 \end{aligned}$$

proof –

have 1: $AxB.join-of (f1, B.src f2) (A.src f1, f2) (f1, f2)$
by (*simp add: A.join-of-arr-src(2) AxB.join-of-char(1) B.join-of-arr-src(1) f1 f2*)
have $A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f1) \frown_{[B,C]} A-BC.Map (CURRY u) (A.src f1)$
using *A.ide-src A-BC.con-char assms CURRY-preserves-con f1 by presburger*
moreover have $A-BC.Cod (CURRY u) f1 \frown_{[B,C]} A-BC.Map (CURRY t) (A.src f1) \setminus_{[B,C]} A-BC.Map (CURRY u) (A.src f1)$
by (*metis A-BC.Apex-def BC.arrE BC.conI Apex.preserves-reflects-arr f1*)
moreover have $AxB-C.Cod u (f1, f2) \setminus_C (AxB-C.Map t (AxB.src (f1, f2)) \setminus_C AxB-C.Map u (AxB.src (f1, f2))) = AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C ((AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2)) \sqcup_C AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, B.src f2)) \sqcup_C AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2) \setminus_C (AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2))$

proof –

have $AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C ((AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2)) \sqcup_C AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, B.src f2)) \sqcup_C AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2) \setminus_C (AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2)) = AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C (AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2)) \sqcup_C AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2) \setminus_C (AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2))$
using *AxB-C.Map-resid-ide assms f1 f2 by fastforce*
also have ... = $(AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2) \sqcup_C AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2)) \setminus_C (AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \setminus_C AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2))$

proof –

have *C.joinable (AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2))*

```

      (AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2))
    using 1 Cod-u.preserves-joins C.joinable-def by blast
  moreover have AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \_C
    AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2) \_C
    AxB-C.Cod u (f1, B.src f2) \_C
    AxB-C.Cod u (A.src f1, f2)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 0 1
    AxB.join-of-un-upto-cong AxB.prfx-implies-con
    AxB.residuation-axioms AxB.src-char
    C.join-is-join-of C.join-of-unique C.joinable-def
    C.residuation-axioms Cod-u.preserves-joins
    Map-Curry-Apex.preserves-arr f2 fst-conv
    residuation.arrI residuation.arr-resid-iff-con
    residuation.con-sym snd-conv)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using C.resid-joinE(3) by simp
qed
also have ... = AxB-C.Cod u (f1, f2) \_C
  (AxB-C.Map t (A.src f1, B.src f2) \_C
  AxB-C.Map u (A.src f1, B.src f2))
  using 1 f1 f2 Cod-u.preserves-joins C.join-is-join-of
  C.join-of-unique
  by (metis C.joinable-def)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: AxB.src-char f1 f2)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using f1 f2 t u AxB-C.con-char BC.Map-resid BC.Apex-def
  Cod-Curry Map-Curry
  by simp
qed
also have ... = BC.Map (?RHS f1) f2
  using assms f1 f2 CURRY-preserves-con CURRY-preserves-arr
  A-BC.Apex-def
  by simp
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
qed
moreover have ?LHS f1 ≠ BC.Null
  by (simp add: f1 Curry-def)
moreover have ?RHS f1 ≠ BC.Null
  using BC.arr-char f1 by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis BC.MkArr-Map)
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

sublocale CURRY: simulation AxB-C.resid A-BC.resid CURRY
proof
  show  $\bigwedge t. \neg \text{AxB-C.arr } t \implies \text{CURRY } t = \text{A-BC.null}$ 
    unfolding CURRY-def
    by auto
  fix  $t\ u$ 
  assume  $\text{con}: \text{AxB-C.con } t\ u$ 
  have  $t: \text{AxB-C.arr } t$ 
    using AxB-C.con-implies-arr(1) con by blast
  have  $u: \text{AxB-C.arr } u$ 
    using AxB-C.con-implies-arr(2) con by blast
  show  $\text{A-BC.con } (\text{CURRY } t)\ (\text{CURRY } u)$ 
    using con CURRY-preserves-con by simp
  show  $\text{CURRY } (t \setminus_{[\text{AxB,C}]} u) = \text{CURRY } t \setminus_{[\text{A},[\text{B,C}]]} \text{CURRY } u$ 
proof –
  have  $1: \text{AxB-C.Dom } (t \setminus_{[\text{AxB,C}]} u) = \text{AxB-C.Cod } u$ 
    using con AxB-C.con-char by auto
  have  $2: \text{AxB-C.Cod } (t \setminus_{[\text{AxB,C}]} u) = \text{AxB-C.Apex } t\ u$ 
    using con AxB-C.con-char by auto
  have  $\text{A-BC.Dom } (\text{CURRY } (t \setminus_{[\text{AxB,C}]} u)) =$ 
     $\text{A-BC.Dom } (\text{CURRY } t \setminus_{[\text{A},[\text{B,C}]]} \text{CURRY } u)$ 
    using con 1 2  $t\ u$  AxB-C.con-char
    apply simp
    using  $\langle \text{CURRY } t \frown_{[\text{A},[\text{B,C}]]} \text{CURRY } u \rangle$  by force
  moreover
  have  $\text{A-BC.Cod } (\text{CURRY } (t \setminus_{[\text{AxB,C}]} u)) =$ 
     $\text{A-BC.Cod } (\text{CURRY } t \setminus_{[\text{A},[\text{B,C}]]} \text{CURRY } u)$ 
    using con 1 2  $t\ u$  AxB-C.con-char Curry-preserves-Apex
    apply simp
    using  $\langle \text{CURRY } t \frown_{[\text{A},[\text{B,C}]]} \text{CURRY } u \rangle$  by force
  moreover
  have  $\text{A-BC.Map } (\text{CURRY } (t \setminus_{[\text{AxB,C}]} u)) =$ 
     $\text{A-BC.Map } (\text{CURRY } t \setminus_{[\text{A},[\text{B,C}]]} \text{CURRY } u)$ 
    (is ?LHS = ?RHS)
proof
  fix  $f1$ 
  show ?LHS  $f1 = ?RHS\ f1$ 
proof (cases A.arr  $f1$ )
  show  $\neg \text{A.arr } f1 \implies ?thesis$ 
    by (simp add:  $\langle \text{A-BC.con } (\text{CURRY } t)\ (\text{CURRY } u) \rangle$  con Curry-def)
  assume  $f1: \text{A.arr } f1$ 
  have  $\text{BC.Dom } (?LHS\ f1) = \text{BC.Dom } (?RHS\ f1)$ 
    using Dom-Map-CURRY-resid con  $f1$  by blast
  moreover
  have  $\text{BC.Cod } (?LHS\ f1) = \text{BC.Cod } (?RHS\ f1)$ 
    using Cod-Map-CURRY-resid Cod-Curry-Apex con
    Curry-preserves-Apex  $f1$ 
  by force

```


moreover
have $BC.Map (?LHS f1) = BC.Map (?RHS f1)$
using $f1$ *con* $Map-Map-CURRY-resid$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $?LHS f1 \neq A-BC.Null$
by (*simp add: con f1 Curry-def*)
moreover have $?RHS f1 \neq A-BC.Null$
by (*metis A.arrE A-BC.arr-def A-BC.arr-resid A-BC.conE_{ERTS}*
 $BC.con-char \langle CURRY t \cap_{[A,[B,C]]} CURRY u \rangle f1$)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis BC.MkArr-Map*)
qed
qed
moreover have $CURRY t \setminus_{[A,[B,C]]} CURRY u \neq AxB-C.Null$
using $A-BC.null-char \langle A-BC.con (CURRY t) (CURRY u) \rangle$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $CURRY (t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} u) \neq A-BC.Null$
using *con A-BC.arr-char AxB-C.arr-resid CURRY-preserves-arr*
by *presburger*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis A-BC.MkArr-Map*)
qed
qed

lemma *CURRY-is-simulation:*
shows *simulation AxB-C.resid A-BC.resid CURRY*
..

definition *UNCURRY*
 $:: ('a, ('b, 'c) BC.arr) A-BC.arr \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b, 'c) AxB-C.arr$
where $UNCURRY f \equiv$ *if* $A-BC.arr f$
 \quad *then* $AxB-C.MkArr (Uncurry (A-BC.Dom f))$
 \quad $(Uncurry (A-BC.Cod f))$
 \quad $(Uncurry (A-BC.Map f))$
 \quad *else* $AxB-C.null$

lemma *Dom-UNCURRY [simp]:*
assumes $A-BC.arr f$
shows $AxB-C.Dom (UNCURRY f) = Uncurry (A-BC.Dom f)$
using *assms UNCURRY-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *Cod-UNCURRY [simp]:*
assumes $A-BC.arr f$
shows $AxB-C.Cod (UNCURRY f) = Uncurry (A-BC.Cod f)$
using *assms UNCURRY-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *Map-UNCURRY [simp]:*
assumes $A-BC.arr f$
shows $AxB-C.Map (UNCURRY f) = Uncurry (A-BC.Map f)$
using *assms UNCURRY-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *UNCURRY-CURRY* [*simp*]:
assumes $AxB-C.arr\ t$
shows $UNCURRY\ (CURRY\ t) = t$
proof –
interpret *CURRY*: *simulation* $AxB-C.resid\ A-BC.resid\ CURRY$
using *CURRY-is-simulation* **by** *simp*
have $UNCURRY\ (CURRY\ t) =$
 $AxB-C.MkArr$
 $(Uncurry\ (A-BC.Dom\ (CURRY\ t)))$
 $(Uncurry\ (A-BC.Cod\ (CURRY\ t)))$
 $(Uncurry\ (A-BC.Map\ (CURRY\ t)))$
using *assms* *UNCURRY-def* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = AxB-C.MkArr$
 $(Uncurry\ (Curry3\ (AxB-C.Dom\ t)))$
 $(Uncurry\ (Curry3\ (AxB-C.Cod\ t)))$
 $(Uncurry$
 $(Curry\ (AxB-C.Dom\ t)\ (AxB-C.Cod\ t)\ (AxB-C.Map\ t)))$
using *assms* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots =$
 $AxB-C.MkArr\ (AxB-C.Dom\ t)\ (AxB-C.Cod\ t)\ (AxB-C.Map\ t)$
by (*metis* $AxB-C.arr-MkArr\ AxB-C.arr-char\ AxB-C.ide-MkIde$
 $AxB-C.ide-implies-arr\ Uncurry-Curry\ assms\ transformation-def$)
also have $\dots = t$
using *assms* $AxB-C.MkArr-Map\ AxB-C.arr-char$ **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CURRY-UNCURRY* [*simp*]:
assumes $A-BC.arr\ t$
shows $CURRY\ (UNCURRY\ t) = t$
proof –
have $1: AxB-C.arr\ (UNCURRY\ t)$
using *assms* $AxB-C.arr-char\ UNCURRY-def\ Uncurry-preserves-transformations$
by *fastforce*
have $CURRY\ (UNCURRY\ t) =$
 $A-BC.MkArr$
 $(Curry3\ (Uncurry\ (A-BC.Dom\ t)))$
 $(Curry3\ (Uncurry\ (A-BC.Cod\ t)))$
 $(Curry\ (Uncurry\ (A-BC.Dom\ t)\ (Uncurry\ (A-BC.Cod\ t))$
 $(Uncurry\ (A-BC.Map\ t)))$
using *assms* $1\ CURRY-def$ **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = A-BC.MkArr\ (A-BC.Dom\ t)\ (A-BC.Cod\ t)\ (A-BC.Map\ t)$
by (*metis* $A-BC.arrE\ A-BC.arr-MkArr\ A-BC.arr-src-iff-arr$
 $A-BC.arr-trg-iff-arr\ A-BC.src-char\ A-BC.trg-char\ Curry-Uncurry\ assms$)
also have $\dots = t$
using *assms* $A-BC.MkArr-Map\ A-BC.arr-char$ **by** *force*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *UNCURRY-is-simulation*:
shows *simulation A-BC.resid AxB-C.resid UNCURRY*
proof
 show $\bigwedge t. \neg A\text{-}BC.\text{arr } t \implies \text{UNCURRY } t = \text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{null}$
 using *UNCURRY-def* **by** *auto*
 show *: $\bigwedge t u. A\text{-}BC.\text{con } t u \implies \text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{con } (\text{UNCURRY } t) (\text{UNCURRY } u)$
proof –
 fix $t u$
 assume $\text{con}: A\text{-}BC.\text{con } t u$
 have $t: A\text{-}BC.\text{arr } t$
 using *con*
 by (*simp add: A-BC.con-implies-arr(1)*)
 have $u: A\text{-}BC.\text{arr } u$
 using *con*
 by (*simp add: A-BC.con-implies-arr(2)*)
 show $\text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{con } (\text{UNCURRY } t) (\text{UNCURRY } u)$
proof (*unfold AxB-C.con-char, intro conjI*)
 show $\text{UNCURRY } t \neq \text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Null}$
 using t *UNCURRY-def* **by** *simp*
 show $\text{UNCURRY } u \neq \text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Null}$
 using u *UNCURRY-def* **by** *simp*
 show *transformation AxB.resid C*
 $(\text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Dom } (\text{UNCURRY } t)) (\text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Cod } (\text{UNCURRY } t))$
 $(\text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Map } (\text{UNCURRY } t))$
 using t *A-BC.arr-char Uncurry-preserves-transformations* **by** *simp*
 show *transformation AxB.resid C*
 $(\text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Dom } (\text{UNCURRY } u)) (\text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Cod } (\text{UNCURRY } u))$
 $(\text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Map } (\text{UNCURRY } u))$
 using u *A-BC.arr-char Uncurry-preserves-transformations* **by** *simp*
 show $\text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Dom } (\text{UNCURRY } t) = \text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Dom } (\text{UNCURRY } u)$
 using $t u$ *con A-BC.con-char* **by** *simp*
 show $\forall ab. \text{Ax}B.\text{ide } ab \longrightarrow$
 $\text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Map } (\text{UNCURRY } t) ab \frown_C$
 $\text{Ax}B\text{-}C.\text{Map } (\text{UNCURRY } u) ab$
 using *A-BC.con-char Uncurry-simp con t u* **by** *auto*
 qed
 qed
 show $\bigwedge t u. t \frown_{[A,[B,C]]} u \implies$
 $\text{UNCURRY } (t \setminus_{[A,[B,C]]} u) =$
 $\text{UNCURRY } t \setminus_{[\text{Ax}B,C]} \text{UNCURRY } u$
proof –
 fix $t u$
 assume $\text{con}: A\text{-}BC.\text{con } t u$
 have $\text{con}': \text{UNCURRY } t \frown_{[\text{Ax}B,C]} \text{UNCURRY } u$
 using con * **by** *simp*
 hence 1: $\text{CURRY } (\text{UNCURRY } t \setminus_{[\text{Ax}B,C]} \text{UNCURRY } u) =$
 $\text{CURRY } (\text{UNCURRY } t) \setminus_{[A,[B,C]]} \text{CURRY } (\text{UNCURRY } u)$
 by *auto*
 also have 2: $\dots = t \setminus_{[A,[B,C]]} u$

using *A-BC.con-implies-arr(1) A-BC.con-implies-arr(2) con* **by force**
also have $\dots = \text{CURRY} (\text{UNCURRY} (t \setminus_{[A,[B,C]]} u))$
by (*simp add: con*)
finally have $\text{CURRY} (\text{UNCURRY} t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} \text{UNCURRY} u) =$
 $\text{CURRY} (\text{UNCURRY} (t \setminus_{[A,[B,C]]} u))$
by *blast*
thus $\text{UNCURRY} (t \setminus_{[A,[B,C]]} u) = \text{UNCURRY} t \setminus_{[AxB,C]} \text{UNCURRY} u$
using *con*
by (*metis AxB-C.residuation-axioms UNCURRY-CURRY 1 2 con'*
residuation.arr-resid)
qed
qed

sublocale *UNCURRY: simulation A-BC.resid AxB-C.resid UNCURRY*
using *UNCURRY-is-simulation* **by** *blast*

interpretation *inverse-simulations*
 $A-BC.resid AxB-C.resid \text{CURRY} \text{UNCURRY}$
using *CURRY-UNCURRY UNCURRY-CURRY CURRY.extensional*
UNCURRY.extensional
by *unfold-locales auto*

sublocale *CURRY: invertible-simulation AxB-C.resid A-BC.resid CURRY*
..

lemma *invertible-simulation-CURRY:*
shows *invertible-simulation AxB-C.resid A-BC.resid CURRY*
..

sublocale *UNCURRY: invertible-simulation*
 $A-BC.resid AxB-C.resid \text{UNCURRY}$
..

lemma *invertible-simulation-UNCURRY:*
shows *invertible-simulation A-BC.resid AxB-C.resid UNCURRY*
..

sublocale *inverse-simulations A-BC.resid AxB-C.resid CURRY UNCURRY*
..

lemma *inverse-simulations-CURRY-UNCURRY:*
shows *inverse-simulations A-BC.resid AxB-C.resid CURRY UNCURRY*
..

end

3.10.7 Coextension of a Simulation

Here we define the coextension, of a simulation G from $X \times A$ to B , to a simulation F from X to $[A, B]$, and we prove that it is universal for the property $eval \circ (F \times A) = G$.

```
context evaluation-map
begin
```

```
abbreviation (input) coext
  :: 'c resid  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\times$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) AB.arr
where coext X G  $\equiv$  Currying.Curry3 X A B G
```

```
lemma Uncurry-simulation-expansion:
```

```
assumes weakly-extensional-rts X
```

```
and simulation X AB.resid F
```

```
shows Currying.Uncurry X A B F =
  map  $\circ$  (product-simulation.map X A F (I A))
```

```
proof -
```

```
interpret X: weakly-extensional-rts X
```

```
  using assms(1) by blast
```

```
interpret XxA: product-rts X A ..
```

```
interpret F: simulation X AB.resid F
```

```
  using assms by blast
```

```
interpret Currying X A B ..
```

```
interpret A: identity-simulation A ..
```

```
interpret FxA: product-simulation X A AB.resid A F A.map ..
```

```
show Uncurry F = map  $\circ$  FxA.map
```

```
  using Uncurry-def map-def FxA.map-def by auto
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma universality:
```

```
assumes weakly-extensional-rts X
```

```
and simulation (product-rts.resid X A) B G
```

```
shows simulation X AB.resid (Currying.Curry3 X A B G)
```

```
and Currying.Uncurry X A B (coext X G) = G
```

```
and  $\exists!F$ . simulation X AB.resid F  $\wedge$  Currying.Uncurry X A B F = G
```

```
proof -
```

```
interpret X: weakly-extensional-rts X
```

```
  using assms(1) by blast
```

```
interpret XxA: product-rts X A ..
```

```
interpret simulation XxA.resid B G
```

```
  using assms by blast
```

```
interpret Currying X A B ..
```

```
interpret A: identity-simulation A ..
```

```
let ?F = Curry G G G
```

```
interpret F: simulation X AB.resid ?F
```

```
  using assms Curry-preserves-simulations by blast
```

```
interpret FxA: product-simulation X A AB.resid A ?F A.map ..
```

```
show simulation X ( $\backslash_{[A,B]}$ ) ?F
```

```

using F.simulation-axioms by blast
show Uncurry (coext X G) = G
using Uncurry-simulation-expansion Uncurry-Curry Uncurry-def
      FxA.map-def Map-Curry map-def XxA.arr-char extensional
by auto
moreover
have  $\bigwedge F'. \text{simulation } X \text{ AB.resid } F' \wedge \text{Uncurry } F' = G \implies F' = ?F$ 
proof –
  fix F'
  assume F': simulation X AB.resid F' ∧ Uncurry F' = G
  interpret F': simulation X AB.resid F'
    using F' by blast
  interpret F': simulation-as-transformation X AB.resid F' ..
  interpret F'xA: product-simulation X A AB.resid A F' A.map ..
  have Uncurry F' = G
    using F' F'xA.map-def Uncurry-def Map-Curry map-def XxA.arr-char
      extensional
    by auto
  hence Curry (Uncurry F') (Uncurry F') (Uncurry F') = ?F
    by simp
  thus F' = ?F
    using Curry-Uncurry F'.transformation-axioms by simp
qed
ultimately show  $\exists !F. \text{simulation } X \text{ AB.resid } F \wedge \text{Uncurry } F = G$ 
  using F.simulation-axioms
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed

```

lemma *comp-coext-simulation:*

```

assumes weakly-extensional-rtts X and weakly-extensional-rtts X'
and simulation X X' G
and simulation (product-rtts.resid X' A) B H
shows coext X' H ∘ G = coext X (H ∘ product-simulation.map X A G (I A))
proof –
  interpret X: weakly-extensional-rtts X
    using assms(1) by blast
  interpret X': weakly-extensional-rtts X'
    using assms(2) by blast
  interpret XxA: product-rtts X A ..
  interpret X'xA: product-rtts X' A ..
  interpret G: simulation X X' G
    using assms(3) by blast
  interpret H: simulation X'xA.resid B H
    using assms(4) by blast
  interpret A: identity-simulation A ..
  interpret GxA: product-simulation X A X' A G A.map ..
  interpret coext-H: simulation X' AB.resid ⟨coext X' H⟩
    using universality H.simulation-axioms X'.weakly-extensional-rtts-axioms
    by auto

```

```

interpret coext-H-o-G: simulation X AB.resid ⟨coext X' H ∘ G⟩
  using universality(1) [of X' H] simulation-comp G.simulation-axioms
    H.simulation-axioms X'.weakly-extensional-rt-axioms
  by auto
interpret coext-H-o-G-x-A: product-simulation X A AB.resid A
  ⟨coext X' H ∘ G⟩ A.map ..

have coext X' H ∘ G = coext X (map ∘ coext-H-o-G-x-A.map)
  using universality(1-3) X.weakly-extensional-rt-axioms
    coext-H-o-G.simulation-axioms coext-H-o-G-x-A.simulation-axioms
    simulation-axioms simulation-comp [of - - coext-H-o-G-x-A.map - map]
    Uncurry-simulation-expansion
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
also have ... = coext X
  (map ∘ (product-simulation.map X' A (coext X' H) A.map
    ∘ GxA.map))
  using simulation-interchange
    [of X X' G A A A.map AB.resid coext X' H A A.map]
    G.simulation-axioms A.simulation-axioms coext-H.simulation-axioms
    comp-simulation-identity [of A A A.map] A.simulation-axioms
  by simp
also have ... = coext X
  (map ∘ product-simulation.map X' A (coext X' H) A.map
    ∘ GxA.map)
  using fun.map-comp by metis
also have ... = coext X (H ∘ GxA.map)
  using H.simulation-axioms X'.weakly-extensional-rt-axioms universality(2)
    Uncurry-simulation-expansion coext-H.simulation-axioms
  by fastforce
finally have coext X' H ∘ G = coext X (H ∘ GxA.map) by blast
thus ?thesis by fastforce
qed

end

locale evaluation-map-between-extensional-rt- =
  evaluation-map +
  A: extensional-rt- A
begin

  lemma Uncurry-transformation-expansion:
  assumes weakly-extensional-rt- X
  and transformation X AB.resid F G T
  shows Currying.Uncurry X A B T =
    map ∘ product-transformation.map X A AB.resid A F (I A) T (I A)
proof –
  interpret X: weakly-extensional-rt- X
  using assms(1) by blast

```

```

interpret XxA: product-rts X A ..
interpret F: simulation X AB.resid F
  using assms transformation-def by blast
interpret G: simulation X AB.resid G
  using assms transformation-def by blast
interpret T: transformation X AB.resid F G T
  using assms by blast
interpret Currying X A B ..
interpret Uncurry-F: simulation XxA.resid B ⟨Uncurry F⟩
  using F.simulation-axioms Uncurry-preserves-simulations by blast
interpret Uncurry-G: simulation XxA.resid B ⟨Uncurry G⟩
  using G.simulation-axioms Uncurry-preserves-simulations by blast
interpret Uncurry-T: transformation
  XxA.resid B ⟨Uncurry F⟩ ⟨Uncurry G⟩ ⟨Uncurry T⟩
  using T.transformation-axioms Uncurry-preserves-transformations by blast
interpret A: identity-simulation A ..
interpret A: simulation-as-transformation A A A.map ..
interpret TxA: product-transformation
  X A AB.resid A F A.map G A.map T A.map ..
show Uncurry T = map ∘ TxA.map
proof (intro transformation-eqI
  [of XxA.resid B Uncurry F Uncurry G Uncurry T
  map ∘ TxA.map])
show transformation XxA.resid B (Uncurry F) (Uncurry G) (Uncurry T)
  using Uncurry-T.transformation-axioms by blast
show transformation
  XxA.resid B (Uncurry F) (Uncurry G) (map ∘ TxA.map)
  using simulation-axioms F.simulation-axioms G.simulation-axioms
  TxA.transformation-axioms
  transformation-whisker-left
  [of XxA.resid ABxA.resid TxA.F1xF0.map TxA.G1xG0.map
  TxA.map B map]
  X.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
  Uncurry-simulation-expansion [of X F]
  Uncurry-simulation-expansion [of X G]
  by presburger
show extensional-rts B
  using B.extensional-rts-axioms by blast
fix xa
assume xa: XxA.ide xa
show Uncurry T xa = (map ∘ TxA.map) xa
  using xa Uncurry-def map-def TxA.map-def by auto
qed
qed

lemma universality2:
assumes weakly-extensional-rts X
and transformation (product-rts.resid X A) B F G T
shows transformation X AB.resid

```



```

      (Currying.Curry3 X A B F) (Currying.Curry3 X A B G)
      (Currying.Curry X A B F G T)
and Currying.Uncurry X A B (Currying.Curry X A B F G T) = T
and  $\exists! T'$ . transformation X AB.resid
      (Currying.Curry3 X A B F) (Currying.Curry3 X A B G)
      T'  $\wedge$ 
      Currying.Uncurry X A B T' = T
proof –
interpret X: weakly-extensional-rts X
  using assms(1) by blast
interpret XxA: product-rts X A ..
interpret XxA: product-of-weakly-extensional-rts X A ..
interpret F: simulation XxA.resid B F
  using assms transformation-def by blast
interpret F: simulation-as-transformation XxA.resid B F ..
interpret G: simulation XxA.resid B G
  using assms transformation-def by blast
interpret G: simulation-as-transformation XxA.resid B G ..
interpret T: transformation XxA.resid B F G T
  using assms transformation-def by blast
interpret T: transformation-to-extensional-rts XxA.resid B F G T ..
interpret Currying X A B ..
interpret A: identity-simulation A ..

let ?F' = Curry3 F
interpret F': simulation X AB.resid ?F'
  using F.simulation-axioms Curry-preserves-simulations by blast
let ?G' = Curry3 G
interpret G': simulation X AB.resid ?G'
  using G.simulation-axioms Curry-preserves-simulations by blast
let ?T' = Curry F G T
interpret T': transformation X AB.resid ?F' ?G' ?T'
  using T.transformation-axioms Curry-preserves-transformations
  by blast

interpret ABxA: product-of-extensional-rts AB.resid A ..
interpret BxA: product-of-extensional-rts B A ..

interpret IA: identity-simulation A ..
interpret IA: simulation-as-transformation A A A.map ..
interpret T'xA: product-transformation
      X A AB.resid A ?F' <I A> ?G' <I A> ?T' <I A> ..

show transformation X AB.resid ?F' ?G' ?T' ..
moreover show Uncurry (Curry F G T) = T
proof –
  have map  $\circ$  T'xA.map = T
  proof
    fix t

```

```

show (map ◦ T'xA.map) t = T t
proof (cases XxA.arr t)
  show ¬ XxA.arr t ⇒ ?thesis
    using T.extensional T'xA.extensional extensional by simp
  assume t: XxA.arr t
  have 0: X.arr (fst (XxA.src t))
    using t XxA.arr-src-iff-arr by blast
  have 1: A.arr (snd (XxA.src t))
    using t XxA.arr-src-iff-arr by blast
  have 2: AB.arr (fst (ABxA.join
    (Curry F G T (fst (XxA.src t)),
      snd (XxA.src t))
    (T'xA.F1xF0.map t)))
    using t 0 1 ABxA.arr-char BxA.joinable-iff-arr-join
      T'xA.TC.joinable T'xA.map-simp T'xA.preserves-arr
    by presburger
  have 3: A.arr (snd (ABxA.join
    (Curry F G T (fst (XxA.src t)),
      snd (XxA.src t))
    (T'xA.F1xF0.map t)))
    using t 0 1 ABxA.arr-char BxA.joinable-iff-arr-join
      T'xA.TC.joinable T'xA.map-simp T'xA.preserves-arr
    by presburger

  have *: (map ◦ T'xA.map) t =
    AB.Map
      (fst (ABxA.join
        (Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)), A.src (snd t))
        (Curry F F F (fst t), snd t)))
      (snd (ABxA.join
        (Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)), A.src (snd t))
        (Curry F F F (fst t), snd t)))
    unfolding map-def T'xA.map-simp
    using t 0 1 2 3 XxA.src-char trg-Curry
      T'xA.F1xF0.map-simp [of fst t snd t]
    by simp

  have 6: ABxA.joinable
    (Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)), A.src (snd t))
    (Curry F F F (fst t), snd t)
  proof –
    have AB.joinable (Curry F G T (X.src (fst t))) (Curry3 F (fst t))
    proof –
      have AB.arr (Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)))
        using t T'.preserves-arr X.arr-src-if-arr by blast
      moreover have AB.arr (Curry F F F (fst t))
        using t AB.joinable-def T'.naturality3 by simp
      moreover have AB.Dom (Curry F G T (X.src (fst t))) =
        AB.Dom (Curry3 F (fst t))

```

```

using  $t$  Dom-Curry by auto
moreover
have  $\bigwedge u. A.arr\ u$ 
   $\implies B.joinable$ 
   $(AB.Map\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t)))\ (A.src\ u)) \sqcup_B$ 
   $AB.Map\ (Curry3\ F\ (fst\ t))\ (A.src\ u)$ 
   $(AB.Dom\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t)))\ u)$ 
proof –
fix  $u$ 
assume  $u: A.arr\ u$ 
have  $AB.Map\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t)))\ (A.src\ u) \lesssim_B$ 
   $AB.Map\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ (fst\ t))\ u$ 
using  $t\ u$  Map-Curry  $B.composite-of-def$   $T.naturality2'$ 
   $XxA.src-char$ 
by auto
moreover have  $AB.Map\ (Curry3\ F\ (fst\ t))\ (A.src\ u) \lesssim_B$ 
   $AB.Map\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ (fst\ t))\ u$ 
proof –
have  $F\ (fst\ t, A.src\ u) \lesssim_B T\ (fst\ t, u)$ 
proof –
have  $1: F\ (fst\ t, A.src\ u) \setminus_B T\ (fst\ t, u) =$ 
   $F\ (fst\ t, A.src\ u) \setminus_B$ 
   $(T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t), A.src\ u) \sqcup_B F\ (fst\ t, u))$ 
using  $t\ u$   $T.naturality3$  [of  $(fst\ t, u)$ ]  $XxA.arr-char$ 
   $XxA.src-char$   $B.join-is-join-of$   $B.join-of-unique$ 
   $B.joinable-def$ 
apply auto[1]
by metis
also have  $\dots = (F\ (fst\ t, A.src\ u) \setminus_B F\ (fst\ t, u)) \setminus_B$ 
   $(T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t), A.src\ u) \setminus_B F\ (fst\ t, u))$ 
proof –
have  $B.joinable$ 
   $(F\ (fst\ t, u))$ 
   $(T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t), A.src\ u))$ 
using  $t\ u$   $XxA.arr-char$ 
by (metis  $B.join-sym$   $B.joinable-iff-join-not-null$ 
   $B.not-arr-null$   $T.naturality3'_E(1)$   $T.preserves-arr$ 
   $XxA.src-char$   $fst-conv$   $snd-conv$ )
moreover have  $F\ (fst\ t, A.src\ u) \frown_B$ 
   $F\ (fst\ t, u) \sqcup_B T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t), A.src\ u)$ 
using  $t\ u$  1
by (metis  $A.con-arr-src(1)$   $B.conE$   $B.conI$   $B.con-sym$ 
   $B.join-sym$   $T.preserves-con(2)$   $XxA.con-arr-self$ 
   $XxA.con-char$   $fst-conv$   $snd-conv$ )
ultimately show ?thesis
using  $t\ u$   $B.resid-join_E(2)$   $B.join-sym$  by simp
qed
also have  $\dots = F\ (X.trg\ (fst\ t), A.trg\ u) \setminus_B$ 
   $(T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t), A.src\ u) \setminus_B F\ (fst\ t, u))$ 

```

```

using  $t\ u\ XxA.con-char\ XxA.resid-def\ X.trg-def$ 
       $F.preserves-resid\ [of\ (fst\ t,\ A.src\ u)\ (fst\ t,\ u)]$ 

by simp
moreover have  $B.ide\ \dots$ 
proof  $-$ 
  have  $B.ide\ (F\ (X.trg\ (fst\ t),\ A.trg\ u))$ 
    using  $t\ u\ F.preserves-ide\ XxA.ide-char$  by simp
  moreover have  $B.coinitial$ 
     $(F\ (X.trg\ (fst\ t),\ A.trg\ u))$ 
     $(T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t),\ A.src\ u)\ \backslash_B\ F\ (fst\ t,\ u))$ 
proof
  show  $B.arr\ (F\ (X.trg\ (fst\ t),\ A.trg\ u))$ 
    using  $t\ u\ F.preserves-reflects-arr$  by simp
  show  $B.src\ (F\ (X.trg\ (fst\ t),\ A.trg\ u)) =$ 
     $B.src\ (T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t),\ A.src\ u)\ \backslash_B\ F\ (fst\ t,\ u))$ 
    using  $t\ u\ XxA.arr-char\ [of\ (fst\ t,\ u)]$ 
    by (metis  $B.src-ide\ T.naturality1\ T.preserves-src$ 
       $XxA.arr-char\ XxA.ide-trg\ XxA.src-char\ XxA.trg-char$ 
      calculation\ fst-conv\ snd-conv)
qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using  $t\ u\ B.resid-ide(2)$  by auto
qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
  thus ?thesis
    using  $t\ u\ Map-Curry$  by auto
qed
moreover have  $AB.Dom\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t)))\ u\ \lesssim_B$ 
   $AB.Map\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ (fst\ t))\ u$ 
  using  $t\ u\ Dom-Curry\ Map-Curry$ 
  apply auto[1]
  using  $A.trg-def\ T.general-naturality(2)\ XxA.ide-trg$ 
   $XxA.resid-def\ XxA.trg-char$ 
  by force
ultimately show  $B.joinable$ 
   $(AB.Map\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t)))\ (A.src\ u))\ \sqcup_B$ 
   $AB.Map\ (Curry\ F\ F\ F\ (fst\ t))\ (A.src\ u)$ 
   $(AB.Dom\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ (X.src\ (fst\ t)))\ u)$ 
  by (meson  $A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms\ AB.joinable-def$ 
   $B.extensional-rts-axioms\ T'.naturality3\ XxA.arr-char$ 
  exponential-rts.intro\ exponential-rts.join-char(1)\ t\ u)
qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding  $AB.join-char(1)$ 
  using  $t\ Map-Curry\ Dom-Curry$ 
  by (intro\ allI\ impI\ conjI) auto
qed

```

moreover have $A.joinable (A.src (snd t)) (snd t)$
using $t A.join-src A.join-sym A.joinable-iff-join-not-null$ **by force**
ultimately show $?thesis$
using $ABxA.join-of-char(2)$ **by auto**
qed
hence $ABxA.join$
 $(Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)), A.src (snd t))$
 $(Curry3 F (fst t), snd t) =$
 $(AB.join (Curry F G T (X.src (fst t))) (Curry3 F (fst t)),$
 $A.join (A.src (snd t)) (snd t))$
using $t 0 1 2 3 ABxA.join-simp$ **by auto**
also have $... = (AB.join$
 $(Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)))$
 $(Curry3 F (fst t), snd t)$
using $t A.join-src A.join-sym XxA.src-char$ **by simp**
also have $... = (Curry F G T (fst t), snd t)$
proof –
have $AB.join$
 $(Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)))$
 $(Curry3 F (fst t)) =$
 $Curry F G T (fst t)$
proof ($intro AB.arr-eqI$)
show $4: AB.arr$
 $(AB.join$
 $(Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)))$
 $(Curry3 F (fst t)))$
using $t 2 T'xA.F1xF0.map-def XxA.src-char$ *calculation*
by auto
show $5: AB.arr (Curry F G T (fst t))$
using $t T'.preserves-arr$ **by blast**
show $AB.Dom$
 $(AB.join$
 $(Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)))$
 $(Curry3 F (fst t))) =$
 $AB.Dom (Curry F G T (fst t))$
using $t Dom-Curry$
by ($metis 6 AB.join-is-join-of AB.join-of-unique$
 $ABxA.join-of-char(2) T'.naturalty3 XxA.arr-char fst-conv$)
show $AB.Cod$
 $(AB.join$
 $(Curry F G T (X.src (fst t))) (Curry3 F (fst t))) =$
 $AB.Cod (Curry F G T (fst t))$
using $t Cod-Curry$
by ($metis 6 AB.join-is-join-of AB.join-of-unique$
 $ABxA.join-of-char(2) T'.naturalty3 XxA.arr-char fst-conv$)
show $\bigwedge a. A.ide a \implies$
 $AB.Map$
 $(AB.join$
 $(Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)))$

```

      (Curry3 F (fst t))
    a =
      AB.Map (Curry F G T (fst t)) a
  using t Map-Curry AB.Map-join
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 4 AB.join-is-join-of
      AB.join-of-unique AB.joinable-iff-arr-join T'.naturality3)
  qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  finally have ABxA.join
    (Curry F G T (X.src (fst t)), A.src (snd t))
    (Curry3 F (fst t), snd t) =
    (Curry F G T (fst t), snd t)
  by blast
  hence (map ∘ T'xA.map) t = AB.Map (Curry F G T (fst t)) (snd t)
  using * by auto
  also have ... = T t
  using t Map-Curry by simp
  finally show (map ∘ T'xA.map) t = T t by blast
  qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  using Uncurry-transformation-expansion [of X ?F' ?G' ?T']
    X.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms T.transformation-axioms
    Uncurry-Curry
  by blast
  qed
  moreover
  have ∧ T''. [[transformation X (\_{[A,B]}) (coext X F) (coext X G) T'';
    Uncurry T'' = T]]
    ⇒ T'' = ?T'
  proof -
  fix T''
  assume T'': transformation X (\_{[A,B]}) (coext X F) (coext X G) T''
  assume 1: Uncurry T'' = T
  interpret T'': transformation X AB.resid ⟨coext X F⟩ ⟨coext X G⟩ T''
  using T'' by blast
  interpret T''xA: product-transformation X A AB.resid A
    ⟨coext X F⟩ ⟨I A⟩ ⟨coext X G⟩ ⟨I A⟩ T'' ⟨I A⟩ ..
  show T'' = ?T'
  proof (intro transformation-eqI)
  show transformation X (\_{[A,B]}) (coext X F) (coext X G) T''
  by fact
  show transformation X (\_{[A,B]}) (coext X F) (coext X G) ?T'
  ..
  show extensional-rts AB.resid
  ..
  fix x

```

```

assume  $x: X.ide\ x$ 
show  $T''\ x = Curry\ F\ G\ T\ x$ 
proof (intro AB.arr-eqI)
  show  $AB.arr\ (T''\ x)$ 
    using  $x\ T''.preserves-arr$  by blast
  show  $AB.arr\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ x)$ 
    using  $x\ T'.preserves-arr$  by blast
  show  $AB.Dom\ (T''\ x) = AB.Dom\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ x)$ 
    unfolding Curry-def Uncurry-def
    using  $x\ map-def$ 
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) AB.Map-src Map-Curry
       $T''.preserves-src\ X.ide-implies-arr\ \langle AB.arr\ (T''\ x)\ \rangle$ )
  show  $AB.Cod\ (T''\ x) = AB.Cod\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ x)$ 
    unfolding Curry-def Uncurry-def
    using  $x\ map-def$ 
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) AB.Map-trg Map-Curry
       $T''.preserves-trg\ X.ide-implies-arr\ \langle AB.arr\ (T''\ x)\ \rangle$ )
  fix  $a$ 
  assume  $a: A.ide\ a$ 
  have  $AB.Map\ (T''\ x)\ a = T\ (x, a)$ 
  proof –
    have  $AB.Map\ (T''\ x)\ a = AB.Map\ (Curry\ F\ G\ (Uncurry\ T'')\ x)\ a$ 
      using  $T''\ Curry-Uncurry\ [of\ coext\ X\ F\ coext\ X\ G\ T'']$ 
      Uncurry-Curry\ F.transformation-axioms
      G.transformation-axioms
    by simp
    also have  $\dots = AB.Map\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ x)\ a$ 
      unfolding Curry-def Uncurry-def
      using  $a\ x\ 1\ map-def\ T''xA.map-simp-ide$ 
      T''.transformation-axioms\ X.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
      Uncurry-transformation-expansion
       $[of\ X\ Curry3\ F\ Curry3\ G\ T'']$ 
    by auto
    also have  $\dots = T\ (x, a)$ 
      unfolding Curry-def
      using  $a\ x$  by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  thus  $AB.Map\ (T''\ x)\ a = AB.Map\ (Curry\ F\ G\ T\ x)\ a$ 
    unfolding Curry-def Uncurry-def
    using  $x\ a\ map-def$  by auto
  qed
qed
qed
ultimately
show  $\exists!T'.\ transformation\ X\ (\backslash_{[A,B]})\ (Curry3\ F)\ (Curry3\ G)\ T' \wedge$ 
   $Uncurry\ T' = T$ 

```

by *blast*
qed

end

3.10.8 Compositors

For any RTS's A , B , and C , there exists a “compositor” simulation from the product of exponential RTS's $[B, C] \times [A, B]$ to the exponential RTS $[A, C]$.

locale *COMP* =

A: *extensional-rts A* +
B: *extensional-rts B* +
C: *extensional-rts C*

for *A* :: 'a resid
and *B* :: 'b resid
and *C* :: 'c resid
begin

sublocale *AC*: *exponential-rts A C* ..
sublocale *AB*: *exponential-rts A B* ..
sublocale *BC*: *exponential-rts B C* ..

sublocale *BCxAB*: *product-rts BC.resid AB.resid* ..
sublocale *BCxAB*: *product-of-extensional-rts BC.resid AB.resid* ..

interpretation *AB*: *identity-simulation AB.resid* ..
interpretation *BC*: *identity-simulation BC.resid* ..

interpretation *ABxA*: *product-rts AB.resid A* ..
interpretation *BCxB*: *product-rts BC.resid B* ..
interpretation *BCxAB*: *identity-simulation BCxAB.resid* ..
interpretation *BCxAB-x-A*: *product-rts BCxAB.resid A* ..
interpretation *BC-x-ABxA*: *product-rts BC.resid ABxA.resid* ..

interpretation *E-AB*: *RTSConstructions.evaluation-map A B* ..
interpretation *E-BC*: *RTSConstructions.evaluation-map B C* ..
interpretation *BCxE-AB*: *product-simulation*
BC.resid ABxA.resid BC.resid B
BC.map E-AB.map ..

interpretation *ASSOC-BC-AB-A*: *ASSOC BC.resid AB.resid A* ..
sublocale *Currying*: *Currying BCxAB.resid A C* ..

The following definition is expressed in a form that makes it evident that it defines a simulation.

definition *map* :: ('b, 'c) *BC.arr* \times ('a, 'b) *AB.arr* \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *AC.arr*
where *map* = (λF . *Currying.Curry3 F*)
(E-BC.map \circ *BCxE-AB.map* \circ *ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map*)


```

sublocale simulation BCxAB.resid AC.resid map
  unfolding map-def
  using E-BC.simulation-axioms BCxE-AB.simulation-axioms
         ASSOC-BC-AB-A.simulation-axioms simulation-comp
  by auto

```

```

lemma is-simulation:
shows simulation BCxAB.resid AC.resid map
  ..

```

```

sublocale binary-simulation BC.resid AB.resid AC.resid map ..

```

```

lemma is-binary-simulation:
shows binary-simulation BC.resid AB.resid AC.resid map
  ..

```

```

sublocale E-BC-o-BCxE-AB: composite-simulation
           BC-x-ABxA.resid BCxB.resid C
           BCxE-AB.map E-BC.map
  ..

```

The following explicit formula is more useful for calculations. There is a bit of work involved to show that the two versions are equal, but notice that as a consequence we obtain a proof that the explicit formula actually defines a simulation. A similar amount of work would be required to show this directly.

```

lemma map-eq:
shows map = (λgf. if BCxAB.arr gf
        then AC.MkArr
        (BC.Dom (fst gf) ∘ AB.Dom (snd gf))
        (BC.Cod (fst gf) ∘ AB.Cod (snd gf))
        (BC.Map (fst gf) ∘ AB.Map (snd gf))
        else AC.Null)

```

```

proof
  fix gf
  show map gf = (if BCxAB.arr gf
        then AC.MkArr
        (BC.Dom (fst gf) ∘ AB.Dom (snd gf))
        (BC.Cod (fst gf) ∘ AB.Cod (snd gf))
        (BC.Map (fst gf) ∘ AB.Map (snd gf))
        else AC.Null)

```

```

proof (cases BCxAB.arr gf)
  show  $\neg BCxAB.arr gf \implies ?thesis$ 
    using map-def Currying.Curry-def AC.null-char by presburger
  assume gf: BCxAB.arr gf
  have AC.Dom (map gf) = BC.Dom (fst gf) ∘ AB.Dom (snd gf)
  proof –
    have AC.Dom (map gf) =

```

```

      (λt. E-BC.map
        (BCxE-AB.map (ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map (BCxAB.src gf, t))))
    using gf Currying.Dom-Curry map-def by simp
  also have ... = BC.Dom (fst gf) ∘ AB.Dom (snd gf)
proof
  fix t
  have E-BC.map
    (BCxE-AB.map (ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map (BCxAB.src gf, t))) =
    (if A.arr t
     then E-BC.map
       (BCxE-AB.map (fst (BCxAB.src gf), snd (BCxAB.src gf), t))
     else C.null)
  using gf ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map-def BCxAB.arr-src-iff-arr
    BCxAB.extensional
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map-eq
    ASSOC-BC-AB-A.preserves-reflects-arr BCxAB-x-A.arr-char
    BCxE-AB.preserves-reflects-arr E-BC.extensional
    fst-conv snd-conv)
  also have ... = E-BC.map
    (BCxE-AB.map
      (fst (BCxAB.src gf),
       snd (BCxAB.src gf), t))
  using BCxE-AB.map-def E-BC.extensional by fastforce
  also have ... = E-BC.map
    (BCxE-AB.map
      (BC.src (fst gf), AB.src (snd gf), t))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AB.src-eqI BC.src-eqI
    BCxAB.con-arr-src(2) BCxAB.con-char BCxAB.ide-char
    BCxAB.ide-src gf)
  also have ... = E-BC.map
    (BC.src (fst gf),
     E-AB.map (AB.src (snd gf), t))
  using gf AB.src-simp BCxE-AB.map-simp BCxE-AB.map-def
    E-BC.extensional
  by simp
  also have ... = E-BC.map (BC.src (fst gf), AB.Dom (snd gf) t)
  using gf E-AB.extensional
    E-AB.map-simp [of (AB.src (snd gf), t)]
    AB.src-simp [of snd gf]
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AB.arr-MkArr AB.arr-src-iff-arr
    transformation.extensional)
  also have ... = BC.Dom (fst gf) (AB.Dom (snd gf) t)
  using gf BC.src-simp E-BC.map-simp E-BC.extensional
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) BC.Map.simps(1) BC.arr-MkArr
    BC.arr-src-iff-arr transformation.extensional)
  also have ... = (BC.Dom (fst gf) ∘ AB.Dom (snd gf)) t
  by simp

```

```

finally show  $E\text{-}BC.\text{map}$ 
  ( $BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map}$ 
    ( $ASSOC\text{-}BC\text{-}AB\text{-}A.\text{map}$  ( $BCxAB.\text{src}$   $gf$ ,  $t$ ))) =
  ( $BC.\text{Dom}$  ( $\text{fst}$   $gf$ )  $\circ$   $AB.\text{Dom}$  ( $\text{snd}$   $gf$ ))  $t$ 
  by blast
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have  $AC.\text{Cod}$  ( $\text{map}$   $gf$ ) =  $BC.\text{Cod}$  ( $\text{fst}$   $gf$ )  $\circ$   $AB.\text{Cod}$  ( $\text{snd}$   $gf$ )
proof –
  have  $AC.\text{Cod}$  ( $\text{map}$   $gf$ ) =
    ( $\lambda t.$   $E\text{-}BC.\text{map}$ 
      ( $BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map}$  ( $ASSOC\text{-}BC\text{-}AB\text{-}A.\text{map}$  ( $BCxAB.\text{trg}$   $gf$ ,  $t$ ))))
    using  $gf$  Currying.Cod-Curry map-def by simp
  also have ... =  $BC.\text{Cod}$  ( $\text{fst}$   $gf$ )  $\circ$   $AB.\text{Cod}$  ( $\text{snd}$   $gf$ )
proof
  fix  $t$ 
  have  $E\text{-}BC.\text{map}$ 
    ( $BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map}$  ( $ASSOC\text{-}BC\text{-}AB\text{-}A.\text{map}$  ( $BCxAB.\text{trg}$   $gf$ ,  $t$ ))) =
    (if  $A.\text{arr}$   $t$ 
      then  $E\text{-}BC.\text{map}$ 
        ( $BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map}$ 
          ( $\text{fst}$  ( $BCxAB.\text{trg}$   $gf$ ),  $\text{snd}$  ( $BCxAB.\text{trg}$   $gf$ ),  $t$ )
          else  $C.\text{null}$ )
        using  $gf$  ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map-def  $BCxAB.\text{arr-trg-iff-arr}$ 
           $BCxAB.\text{extensional}$ 
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map-eq
          ASSOC-BC-AB-A.preserves-reflects-arr  $BCxAB\text{-}x\text{-}A.\text{arr-char}$ 
           $BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{preserves-reflects-arr}$   $E\text{-}BC.\text{extensional}$ 
          fst-conv snd-conv)
      also have ... =  $E\text{-}BC.\text{map}$ 
        ( $BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map}$ 
          ( $\text{fst}$  ( $BCxAB.\text{trg}$   $gf$ ),  $\text{snd}$  ( $BCxAB.\text{trg}$   $gf$ ),  $t$ )
          using  $BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map-def}$   $E\text{-}BC.\text{extensional}$  by fastforce
        also have ... =  $E\text{-}BC.\text{map}$ 
          ( $BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map}$ 
            ( $BC.\text{trg}$  ( $\text{fst}$   $gf$ ),  $AB.\text{trg}$  ( $\text{snd}$   $gf$ ),  $t$ )
            using  $BCxAB.\text{trg-char}$   $gf$  by auto
          also have ... =  $E\text{-}BC.\text{map}$ 
            ( $BC.\text{trg}$  ( $\text{fst}$   $gf$ ),  $E\text{-}AB.\text{map}$  ( $AB.\text{trg}$  ( $\text{snd}$   $gf$ ),  $t$ )
            using  $gf$   $AB.\text{trg-simp}$   $BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map-simp}$ 
            by (simp add:  $BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map-def}$   $E\text{-}BC.\text{extensional}$ )
          also have ... =  $E\text{-}BC.\text{map}$  ( $BC.\text{trg}$  ( $\text{fst}$   $gf$ ),  $AB.\text{Cod}$  ( $\text{snd}$   $gf$ )  $t$ )
            using  $gf$   $E\text{-}AB.\text{extensional}$   $E\text{-}AB.\text{map-simp}$  [of ( $AB.\text{trg}$  ( $\text{snd}$   $gf$ ),  $t$ )]
               $AB.\text{trg-simp}$  [of  $\text{snd}$   $gf$ ]
            apply auto[1]
            by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $AB.\text{arr-MkArr}$   $AB.\text{arr-trg-iff-arr}$ 
              transformation.extensional)
          also have ... =  $BC.\text{Cod}$  ( $\text{fst}$   $gf$ ) ( $AB.\text{Cod}$  ( $\text{snd}$   $gf$ )  $t$ )

```

```

using gf BC.trg-simp BCxE-AB.map-simp E-BC.map-simp E-BC.extensional
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) BC.Map.simps(1) BC.arr-MkArr
    BC.arr-trg-iff-arr transformation.extensional)
also have ... = (BC.Cod (fst gf)  $\circ$  AB.Cod (snd gf)) t
  by simp
finally show E-BC.map
  (BCxE-AB.map
    (ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map (BCxAB.trg gf, t))) =
  (BC.Cod (fst gf)  $\circ$  AB.Cod (snd gf)) t
  by blast
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have AC.Map (map gf) = BC.Map (fst gf)  $\circ$  AB.Map (snd gf)
proof –
  have AC.Map (map gf) =
    ( $\lambda t$ . E-BC.map (BCxE-AB.map (ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map (gf, t))))
  using gf Currying.Map-Curry map-def by simp
also have ... = BC.Map (fst gf)  $\circ$  AB.Map (snd gf)
proof
  fix t
  have E-BC.map (BCxE-AB.map (ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map (gf, t))) =
    (if A.arr t
      then E-BC.map (BCxE-AB.map (fst gf, snd gf, t))
      else C.null)
  using gf ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map-eq
    ASSOC-BC-AB-A.preserves-reflects-arr BCxAB-x-A.arr-char
    BCxE-AB.preserves-reflects-arr E-BC.extensional fst-conv snd-conv)
also have ... = E-BC.map (BCxE-AB.map (fst gf, snd gf, t))
  using BCxE-AB.map-def E-BC-o-BCxE-AB.extensional by force
also have ... = BC.Map (fst gf) (AB.Map (snd gf) t)
  using gf E-AB.map-def E-BC.map-def BCxE-AB.map-def AB.arr-char
    BC.arr-char
  apply (auto simp add: transformation.preserves-arr)[1]
  by (simp add: transformation-axioms-def transformation-def)
also have ... = (BC.Map (fst gf)  $\circ$  AB.Map (snd gf)) t
  by simp
finally show E-BC.map
  (BCxE-AB.map (ASSOC-BC-AB-A.map (gf, t))) =
  (BC.Map (fst gf)  $\circ$  AB.Map (snd gf)) t
  by blast
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using gf Currying.Curry-simp map-def
  by (simp add: Currying.Curry-def AC.null-char)

```

qed
qed

end

3.10.9 Functoriality of Exponential

Here we show that the covariant and contravariant exponential RTS constructions are “meta-functorial”: they preserve identity simulations and compositions of simulations. We say “meta-functorial”, rather than “functorial”, because we do not have formal categories to serve as the domain and codomain for these constructions.

abbreviation *cov-Exp*

$:: 'c \text{ resid} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ resid} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ resid} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$
 $\Rightarrow ('c, 'a) \text{ exponential-rts.arr} \Rightarrow ('c, 'b) \text{ exponential-rts.arr} \quad (\text{Exp}^\rightarrow)$

where $\text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B C \equiv$

$\lambda G t2. \text{COMP.map } X B C \text{ (exponential-rts.MkIde } G, t2)$

abbreviation *cnt-Exp*

$:: 'a \text{ resid} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ resid} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ resid} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$
 $\Rightarrow ('b, 'c) \text{ exponential-rts.arr} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ exponential-rts.arr} \quad (\text{Exp}^\leftarrow)$

where $\text{Exp}^\leftarrow A B X \equiv$

$\lambda F t1. \text{COMP.map } A B X \text{ (} t1, \text{exponential-rts.MkIde } F)$

lemma *cov-Exp-eq*:

assumes *extensional-rts X and extensional-rts B and extensional-rts C*

shows $\text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B C G =$

$(\lambda t2. \text{if simulation } B C G \wedge \text{residuation.arr (exponential-rts.resid } X B) t2$
 $\text{then exponential-rts.MkArr}$
 $\quad (G \circ \text{exponential-rts.Dom } t2)$
 $\quad (G \circ \text{exponential-rts.Cod } t2)$
 $\quad (G \circ \text{exponential-rts.Map } t2)$
 $\text{else exponential-rts.Null})$

proof

interpret *X*: *extensional-rts X*

using *assms(1)* **by** *blast*

interpret *B*: *extensional-rts B*

using *assms(2)* **by** *blast*

interpret *C*: *extensional-rts C*

using *assms(3)* **by** *blast*

interpret *XB*: *exponential-rts X B ..*

interpret *XC*: *exponential-rts X C ..*

interpret *COMP*: *COMP X B C ..*

fix *t2*

show $\text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B C G t2 =$

$(\text{if simulation } B C G \wedge \text{XB.arr } t2$
 $\text{then } \text{XC.MkArr } (G \circ \text{XB.Dom } t2) (G \circ \text{XB.Cod } t2) (G \circ \text{XB.Map } t2)$
 $\text{else } \text{XC.Null})$

using *COMP.map-eq* **apply** *simp*
using *COMP.BC.ide-implies-arr transformation.axioms(4)* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *cnt-Exp-eq*:

assumes *extensional-rts X* **and** *extensional-rts A* **and** *extensional-rts B*
shows $Exp^{\leftarrow} A B X F =$

$(\lambda t1. \text{if } residuation.arr (exponential-rts.resid B X) t1 \wedge simulation A B F$
 $\text{then } exponential-rts.MkArr$
 $\quad (exponential-rts.Dom t1 \circ F)$
 $\quad (exponential-rts.Cod t1 \circ F)$
 $\quad (exponential-rts.Map t1 \circ F)$
 $\text{else } exponential-rts.Null)$

proof

interpret *X: extensional-rts X*

using *assms(1)* **by** *blast*

interpret *A: extensional-rts A*

using *assms(2)* **by** *blast*

interpret *B: extensional-rts B*

using *assms(3)* **by** *blast*

interpret *AX: exponential-rts A X ..*

interpret *BX: exponential-rts B X ..*

interpret *COMP: COMP A B X ..*

fix *t1*

show $Exp^{\leftarrow} A B X F t1 =$

$(\text{if } BX.arr t1 \wedge simulation A B F$
 $\text{then } AX.MkArr (BX.Dom t1 \circ F) (BX.Cod t1 \circ F) (BX.Map t1 \circ F)$
 $\text{else } AX.Null)$

using *COMP.map-eq* **apply** *simp*

using *COMP.AB.ide-implies-arr transformation-def* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *simulation-cov-Exp*:

assumes *extensional-rts X* **and** *extensional-rts B* **and** *extensional-rts C*
and *simulation B C G*

shows *simulation (exponential-rts.resid X B) (exponential-rts.resid X C)*
 $(Exp^{\rightarrow} X B C G)$

proof –

interpret *COMP X B C*

using *assms COMP-def* **by** *blast*

show *?thesis*

using *assms(4) fixing-ide-gives-simulation-1* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *simulation-cnt-Exp*:

assumes *extensional-rts X* **and** *extensional-rts A* **and** *extensional-rts B*
and *simulation A B F*

shows *simulation (exponential-rts.resid B X) (exponential-rts.resid A X)*
 $(Exp^{\leftarrow} A B X F)$

```

proof –
  interpret COMP A B X
    using assms COMP-def by blast
  show ?thesis
    using assms(4) fixing-ide-gives-simulation-0 by blast
qed

lemma cov-Exp-ide:
assumes extensional-rts X and extensional-rts B
shows  $\text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B B (I B) = I$  (exponential-rts.resid X B)
proof –
  interpret X: extensional-rts X
    using assms(1) by blast
  interpret B: extensional-rts B
    using assms(2) by blast
  interpret XB: exponential-rts X B ..
  interpret BB: exponential-rts B B ..
  interpret B: identity-simulation B
    using assms
    by (simp add: extensional-rts.axioms(1) identity-simulation.intro)
  interpret I-XB: identity-simulation XB.resid ..
  interpret COMP X B B
    using assms COMP-def by blast
  interpret XB: simulation XB.resid XB.resid  $\langle \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B B B.\text{map} \rangle$ 
    using simulation-cov-Exp X.extensional-rts-axioms B.extensional-rts-axioms
      B.simulation-axioms
    by blast
  show ?thesis
proof
  fix t2
  show COMP.map X B B (BB.MkIde B.map, t2) = I-XB.map t2
proof (cases XB.arr t2)
  show  $\neg \text{XB.arr } t2 \implies ?thesis$ 
    using XB.extensional XB.extensional by presburger
  assume t2: XB.arr t2
  interpret t2: transformation X B  $\langle \text{XB.Dom } t2 \rangle \langle \text{XB.Cod } t2 \rangle \langle \text{XB.Map } t2 \rangle$ 
    using t2 by blast
  have  $B.\text{map} \circ \text{XB.Dom } t2 = \text{XB.Dom } t2$ 
    using comp-identity-simulation t2.F.simulation-axioms by blast
  moreover have  $B.\text{map} \circ \text{XB.Cod } t2 = \text{XB.Cod } t2$ 
    using comp-identity-simulation t2.G.simulation-axioms by blast
  moreover have  $B.\text{map} \circ \text{XB.Map } t2 = \text{XB.Map } t2$ 
proof
  fix x
  show  $(B.\text{map} \circ \text{XB.Map } t2) x = \text{XB.Map } t2 x$ 
    apply simp
    by (metis t2.extensional t2.preserves-arr)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis

```

```

    using t2 map-eq comp-identity-simulation XB.MkArr-Map XB.arr-char
      XB.preserves-reflects-arr
    by force
  qed
qed
qed

lemma cnt-Exp-ide:
  assumes extensional-rts X and extensional-rts B
  shows  $\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} B B X (I B) = I$  (exponential-rts.resid B X)
  proof -
    interpret X: extensional-rts X
      using assms(1) by blast
    interpret B: extensional-rts B
      using assms(2) by blast
    interpret BX: exponential-rts B X ..
    interpret BB: exponential-rts B B ..
    interpret B: identity-simulation B
      using assms
      by (simp add: extensional-rts.axioms(1) identity-simulation.intro)
    interpret I-BX: identity-simulation BX.resid ..
    interpret COMP B B X
      using assms COMP-def by blast
    interpret BX: simulation BX.resid BX.resid  $\langle \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} B B X B.\text{map} \rangle$ 
      using X.extensional-rts-axioms B.extensional-rts-axioms simulation-cnt-Exp
        B.simulation-axioms
      by blast
    show ?thesis
  proof
    fix t1
    show COMP.map B B X (t1, BB.MkIde (I B)) = I-BX.map t1
  proof (cases BX.arr t1)
    show  $\neg BX.\text{arr } t1 \implies ?thesis$ 
      using BX.extensional [of t1] BX.extensional [of t1] by presburger
    assume t1: BX.arr t1
    interpret t1: transformation B X  $\langle BX.\text{Dom } t1 \rangle \langle BX.\text{Cod } t1 \rangle \langle BX.\text{Map } t1 \rangle$ 
      using t1 by blast
    have BX.Dom t1  $\circ B.\text{map} = BX.\text{Dom } t1$ 
      using comp-simulation-identity t1.F.simulation-axioms by blast
    moreover have BX.Cod t1  $\circ B.\text{map} = BX.\text{Cod } t1$ 
      using comp-simulation-identity t1.G.simulation-axioms by blast
    moreover have BX.Map t1  $\circ B.\text{map} = BX.\text{Map } t1$ 
  proof
    fix x
    show (BX.Map t1  $\circ B.\text{map}$ ) x = BX.Map t1 x
      using t1.extensional by fastforce
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using t1 map-eq comp-identity-simulation BX.MkArr-Map BX.arr-char

```


$BX.preserves-reflects-arr$
 by force
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *cov-Exp-comp*:

assumes *extensional-rts X* and *extensional-rts B* and *extensional-rts C*
 and *extensional-rts D* and *simulation B C F* and *simulation C D G*
 shows $Exp^\rightarrow X B D (G \circ F) = Exp^\rightarrow X C D G \circ Exp^\rightarrow X B C F$

proof –

interpret *X*: *extensional-rts X*
 using *assms(1)* by blast
 interpret *B*: *extensional-rts B*
 using *assms(2)* by blast
 interpret *C*: *extensional-rts C*
 using *assms(3)* by blast
 interpret *D*: *extensional-rts D*
 using *assms(4)* by blast
 interpret *XB*: *exponential-rts X B ..*
 interpret *BC*: *exponential-rts B C ..*
 interpret *CD*: *exponential-rts C D ..*
 interpret *BD*: *exponential-rts B D ..*
 interpret *XC*: *exponential-rts X C ..*
 interpret *XD*: *exponential-rts X D ..*
 interpret *F*: *simulation B C F*
 using *assms(5)* by blast
 interpret *G*: *simulation C D G*
 using *assms(6)* by blast
 interpret *GoF*: *composite-simulation B C D F G ..*
 interpret *XBC*: *COMP X B C*
 using *assms COMP-def* by blast
 interpret *XCD*: *COMP X C D*
 using *assms COMP-def* by blast
 interpret *XBD*: *COMP X B D*
 using *assms COMP-def* by blast
 interpret *EXP-F*: *simulation XB.resid XC.resid $\langle Exp^\rightarrow X B C F \rangle$*
 using *assms simulation-cov-Exp* by blast
 interpret *EXP-G*: *simulation XC.resid XD.resid $\langle Exp^\rightarrow X C D G \rangle$*
 using *assms simulation-cov-Exp* by blast
 interpret *EXP-GoF*: *simulation XB.resid XD.resid $\langle Exp^\rightarrow X B D (G \circ F) \rangle$*
 using *assms GoF.simulation-axioms simulation-cov-Exp*
 by blast
 interpret *F*: *simulation-as-transformation B C F ..*
 interpret *G*: *simulation-as-transformation C D G ..*
 show $Exp^\rightarrow X B D (G \circ F) = Exp^\rightarrow X C D G \circ Exp^\rightarrow X B C F$
 proof
 fix *t2*
 show $Exp^\rightarrow X B D (G \circ F) t2 = (Exp^\rightarrow X C D G \circ Exp^\rightarrow X B C F) t2$

```

proof (cases XB.arr t2)
  show  $\neg XB.arr\ t2 \implies ?thesis$ 
    using EXP-GoF.extensional EXP-F.extensional EXP-G.extensional
    by simp
  assume t2: XB.arr t2
  interpret t2: transformation X B <XB.Dom t2> <XB.Cod t2> <XB.Map t2>
    using t2 by blast
  show ?thesis
  using t2 XBD.map-eq XCD.map-eq XBC.map-eq transformation-whisker-left
    F.transformation-axioms G.transformation-axioms
    t2.transformation-axioms C.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
    D.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms F.simulation-axioms
    G.simulation-axioms
    transformation-whisker-left
    [of X B XB.Dom t2 XB.Cod t2 XB.Map t2 C F]
  by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *cnt-Exp-comp*:

assumes *extensional-rts X and extensional-rts B and extensional-rts C*
and *extensional-rts D and simulation B C F and simulation C D G*
shows $Exp^{\leftarrow} B D X (G \circ F) = Exp^{\leftarrow} B C X F \circ Exp^{\leftarrow} C D X G$

proof –

```

interpret X: extensional-rts X
  using assms(1) by blast
interpret B: extensional-rts B
  using assms(2) by blast
interpret C: extensional-rts C
  using assms(3) by blast
interpret D: extensional-rts D
  using assms(4) by blast
interpret BC: exponential-rts B C ..
interpret CD: exponential-rts C D ..
interpret BD: exponential-rts B D ..
interpret BX: exponential-rts B X ..
interpret CX: exponential-rts C X ..
interpret DX: exponential-rts D X ..
interpret F: simulation B C F
  using assms(5) by blast
interpret G: simulation C D G
  using assms(6) by blast
interpret GoF: composite-simulation B C D F G ..
interpret BCX: COMP B C X
  using assms COMP-def by blast
interpret CDX: COMP C D X
  using assms COMP-def by blast
interpret BDX: COMP B D X

```

```

using assms COMP-def by blast
interpret EXP-F: simulation CX.resid BX.resid  $\langle \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} B C X F \rangle$ 
using assms simulation-cnt-Exp by blast
interpret EXP-G: simulation DX.resid CX.resid  $\langle \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} C D X G \rangle$ 
using assms simulation-cnt-Exp by blast
interpret EXP-GoF: simulation DX.resid BX.resid  $\langle \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} B D X (G \circ F) \rangle$ 
using assms GoF.simulation-axioms simulation-cnt-Exp
by blast
interpret F: simulation-as-transformation B C F ..
interpret G: simulation-as-transformation C D G ..
show  $\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} B D X (G \circ F) = \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} B C X F \circ \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} C D X G$ 
proof
  fix t1
  show  $\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} B D X (G \circ F) t1 = (\text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} B C X F \circ \text{Exp}^{\leftarrow} C D X G) t1$ 
  proof (cases DX.arr t1)
    show  $\neg \text{DX.arr } t1 \implies ?thesis$ 
      using EXP-GoF.extensional EXP-F.extensional EXP-G.extensional
      by simp
    assume t1: DX.arr t1
    interpret t1: transformation D X  $\langle \text{DX.Dom } t1 \rangle \langle \text{DX.Cod } t1 \rangle \langle \text{DX.Map } t1 \rangle$ 
      using t1 by blast
    show ?thesis
      using t1 BDX.map-eq CDX.map-eq BCX.map-eq
        F.transformation-axioms G.transformation-axioms
        t1.transformation-axioms B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
        C.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms F.simulation-axioms
        G.simulation-axioms transformation-whisker-right
        transformation-whisker-right
        [of D X DX.Dom t1 DX.Cod t1 DX.Map t1 C G]
      by auto
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma cov-Exp-preserves-inverse-simulations:
assumes extensional-rts X and extensional-rts B and extensional-rts C
and inverse-simulations B C G F
shows inverse-simulations
  (exponential-rts.resid X B) (exponential-rts.resid X C)
  (Exp→ X C B G) (Exp→ X B C F)
proof –
  interpret X: extensional-rts X
    using assms(1) by blast
  interpret B: extensional-rts B
    using assms(2) by blast
  interpret C: extensional-rts C
    using assms(3) by blast
  interpret XB: exponential-rts X B ..
  interpret XC: exponential-rts X C ..

```

```

interpret B: identity-simulation B
  using assms
  by (simp add: extensional-rts.axioms(1) identity-simulation.intro)
interpret XB: identity-simulation XB.resid ..
interpret C: identity-simulation C
  using assms
  by (simp add: extensional-rts.axioms(1) identity-simulation.intro)
interpret XC: identity-simulation XC.resid ..
interpret F: simulation B C F
  using assms(3-4) inverse-simulations-def by auto
interpret G: simulation C B G
  using assms
  by (simp add: inverse-simulations-def)
interpret XBC: COMP X B C
  using assms COMP-def by blast
interpret XCB: COMP X C B
  using assms COMP-def by blast
interpret XBB: COMP X B B
  using assms COMP-def by blast
interpret XCC: COMP X C C
  using assms COMP-def by blast
interpret HOM-F: simulation XB.resid XC.resid  $\langle \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B C F \rangle$ 
  using assms simulation-cov-Exp F.simulation-axioms by blast
interpret HOM-G: simulation XC.resid XB.resid  $\langle \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X C B G \rangle$ 
  using assms simulation-cov-Exp G.simulation-axioms by blast
interpret FG: inverse-simulations B C G F
  using assms by blast
show ?thesis
proof
  show  $\text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B C F \circ \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X C B G = \text{XC.map}$ 
  proof –
    have  $\text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B C F \circ \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X C B G = \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X C C (F \circ G)$ 
      using assms cov-Exp-comp [of X C B C G F] F.simulation-axioms
        G.simulation-axioms
      by presburger
    also have  $\dots = \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X C C C.map$ 
      using FG.inv by simp
    also have  $\dots = \text{XC.map}$ 
      using assms cov-Exp-ide by blast
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  show  $\text{Exp}^\rightarrow X C B G \circ \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B C F = \text{XB.map}$ 
  proof –
    have  $\text{Exp}^\rightarrow X C B G \circ \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B C F = \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B B (G \circ F)$ 
      using assms cov-Exp-comp [of X B C B F G] F.simulation-axioms
        G.simulation-axioms
      by presburger
    also have  $\dots = \text{Exp}^\rightarrow X B B B.map$ 
      using FG.inv' by simp

```

```

    also have ... = XB.map
      using assms cov-Exp-ide by blast
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma cov-Exp-preserves-invertible-simulations:
  assumes extensional-rts X and extensional-rts B and extensional-rts C
  and invertible-simulation B C F
  shows invertible-simulation
    (exponential-rts.resid X B) (exponential-rts.resid X C)
    (Exp→ X B C F)

```

```

proof -
  obtain G where G: inverse-simulations B C G F
  using assms inverse-simulations-def invertible-simulation-def' by blast
  have inverse-simulations
    (exponential-rts.resid X B) (exponential-rts.resid X C)
    (Exp→ X C B G) (Exp→ X B C F)
  using assms G cov-Exp-preserves-inverse-simulations by blast
  thus ?thesis
  using inverse-simulations-def invertible-simulation-def' by blast
qed

```

```

lemma cnt-Exp-preserves-inverse-simulations:
  assumes extensional-rts X and extensional-rts B and extensional-rts C
  and inverse-simulations B C G F
  shows inverse-simulations
    (exponential-rts.resid B X) (exponential-rts.resid C X)
    (Exp← B C X F) (Exp← C B X G)

```

```

proof -
  interpret X: extensional-rts X
    using assms(1) by blast
  interpret B: extensional-rts B
    using assms(2) by blast
  interpret C: extensional-rts C
    using assms(3) by blast
  interpret BX: exponential-rts B X ..
  interpret CX: exponential-rts C X ..
  interpret B: identity-simulation B
    using assms
    by (simp add: extensional-rts.axioms(1) identity-simulation.intro)
  interpret BX: identity-simulation BX.resid ..
  interpret C: identity-simulation C
    using assms
    by (simp add: extensional-rts.axioms(1) identity-simulation.intro)
  interpret CX: identity-simulation CX.resid ..
  interpret F: simulation B C F
    using assms(3-4) inverse-simulations-def by auto

```

```

interpret G: simulation C B G
  using assms by (simp add: inverse-simulations-def)
interpret HOM-F: simulation CX.resid BX.resid ⟨Exp← B C X F⟩
  using assms simulation-cnt-Exp [of X B C F] F.simulation-axioms by blast
interpret HOM-G: simulation BX.resid CX.resid ⟨Exp← C B X G⟩
  using assms simulation-cnt-Exp [of X C B G] G.simulation-axioms by blast
interpret FG: inverse-simulations B C G F
  using assms by blast
show ?thesis
proof
  show Exp← C B X G ∘ Exp← B C X F = CX.map
    using assms cnt-Exp-comp [of X C B C G F] F.simulation-axioms
      G.simulation-axioms FG.inv cnt-Exp-ide
    by force
  show Exp← B C X F ∘ Exp← C B X G = BX.map
    using assms cnt-Exp-comp [of X B C B F G] F.simulation-axioms
      G.simulation-axioms FG.inv' cnt-Exp-ide
    by force
  qed
qed

lemma cnt-Exp-preserves-invertible-simulations:
assumes extensional-rts X and extensional-rts B and extensional-rts C
and invertible-simulation B C F
shows invertible-simulation
  (exponential-rts.resid C X) (exponential-rts.resid B X)
  (Exp← B C X F)
proof –
  obtain G where G: inverse-simulations B C G F
  using assms inverse-simulations-def invertible-simulation-def' by blast
show ?thesis
  using assms G cnt-Exp-preserves-inverse-simulations
    inverse-simulations-sym inverse-simulations-def invertible-simulation-def'
  by fast
qed
end

```

Chapter 4

RTS's in Categories

4.1 RTS-Categories

In this section, we develop the notion of an *RTS-category*, which is analogous to a 2-category, except that the “vertical” structure is that of an RTS, rather than a category. So an RTS-category is a category with respect to a “horizontal” composition, which also has a vertical structure as an RTS.

```
theory RTSCategory
imports Main RTSConstructions Category3.ConcreteCategory
         Category3.CartesianClosedCategory Category3.EquivalenceOfCategories
begin
```

4.1.1 Definition and Basic Properties

```
locale rts-category =
  V: extensional-rts resid +
  H: category hcomp +
  VV: fibred-product-rts resid resid resid H.dom H.cod +
  H: simulation VV.resid resid
    ⟨ $\lambda t. \text{if } VV.arr\ t \text{ then } hcomp\ (fst\ t)\ (snd\ t) \text{ else } V.null$ ⟩
for resid :: 'a resid (infix \ 70)
and hcomp :: 'a comp (infixr * 53) +
assumes null-coincidence [simp]: H.null = V.null
and arr-coincidence [simp]: H.arr = V.arr
and src-dom [simp]: V.src (H.dom t) = H.dom t
begin

  notation H.in-hom    (« - : - → - »)

  abbreviation null
  where null ≡ V.null

  abbreviation arr
  where arr ≡ V.arr
```

abbreviation *src*
where *src* \equiv *V.src*

abbreviation *trg*
where *trg* \equiv *V.trg*

abbreviation *dom*
where *dom* \equiv *H.dom*

abbreviation *cod*
where *cod* \equiv *H.cod*

We refer to the identities for the horizontal composition as *objects*.

abbreviation *obj*
where *obj* \equiv *H.ide*

We refer to the identities for the vertical residuation as *states*.

abbreviation *sta*
where *sta* \equiv *V.ide*

interpretation *VV*: *fibred-product-of-extensional-rts resid resid resid dom cod*

..

interpretation *H*: *simulation-between-extensional-rts VV.resid resid*
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } VV.\text{arr } t \text{ then } \text{fst } t \star \text{snd } t \text{ else null} \rangle$

..

lemma *obj-is-isolated*:
assumes *obj a* **and** *obj a'* **and** *a \star a' \neq null*
shows *a = a'*
using *assms H.ide-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *obj-implies-sta*:
assumes *obj a*
shows *sta a*
using *assms H.ide-char arr-coincidence V.ide-src src-dom* **by** *metis*

lemma *trg-dom [simp]*:
shows *trg (dom t) = dom t*
by (*metis src-dom V.trg-src*)

lemma *src-cod [simp]*:
shows *src (cod t) = cod t*
by (*metis H.dom-cod src-dom*)

lemma *trg-cod [simp]*:
shows *trg (cod t) = cod t*
by (*metis H.dom-cod src-dom V.trg-src*)

lemma *dom-src* [*simp*]:
shows $\text{dom } (\text{src } t) = \text{dom } t$
using *H.preserves-src*
by (*metis VV.F.preserves-con VV.F.preserves-reflects-arr V.con-arr-src(1)*
V.con-imp-eq-src V.src-def src-dom)

lemma *dom-trg* [*simp*]:
shows $\text{dom } (\text{trg } t) = \text{dom } t$
using *H.preserves-trg*
by (*metis VV.F.extensional VV.F.preserves-trg V.arr-trg-iff-arr trg-dom*)

lemma *cod-src* [*simp*]:
shows $\text{cod } (\text{src } t) = \text{cod } t$
using *H.preserves-src*
by (*metis VV.G.preserves-con VV.G.preserves-reflects-arr V.con-arr-src(1)*
V.con-imp-eq-src src-cod V.src-def)

lemma *cod-trg* [*simp*]:
shows $\text{cod } (\text{trg } t) = \text{cod } t$
using *H.preserves-trg*
by (*metis VV.G.extensional VV.G.preserves-trg V.arr-trg-iff-arr trg-cod*)

lemma *arr-hcomp* [*intro*]:
assumes *H.seq t u*
shows $\text{arr } (t \star u)$
using *assms VV.arr-char H.preserves-reflects-arr* **by** *auto*

lemma *sta-hcomp* [*intro*]:
assumes *H.seq t u* **and** *sta t* **and** *sta u*
shows $\text{sta } (t \star u)$
using *assms VV.ide-char_{FP} H.preserves-ide*
by (*elim H.seqE*) *auto*

lemma *src-hcomp* [*simp*]:
assumes *H.seq t u*
shows $\text{src } (t \star u) = \text{src } t \star \text{src } u$
using *assms VV.arr-char H.preserves-src* [*of (t, u)*] *VV.src-char VV.arr-src-iff-arr*
by (*elim H.seqE*) *auto*

lemma *trg-hcomp* [*simp*]:
assumes *H.seq t u*
shows $\text{trg } (t \star u) = \text{trg } t \star \text{trg } u$
using *assms VV.arr-char H.preserves-trg* [*of (t, u)*] *VV.trg-char VV.arr-trg-iff-arr*
by (*elim H.seqE*) *auto*

lemma *con-implies-hpar*:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows *H.par t u*

using *assms* $V.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr$ $arr\text{-}coincidence$ $cod\text{-}src$ $V.con\text{-}imp\text{-}eq\text{-}src$
 $dom\text{-}src$
by *metis*

lemma *hpar-arr-resid*:

assumes $t \frown u$

shows $H.par\ t\ (t \setminus u)$

using *assms* $con\text{-}implies\text{-}hpar$ $V.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr$ $arr\text{-}coincidence$ $V.arr\text{-}resid$
 $cod\text{-}src$ $dom\text{-}src$ $V.resid\text{-}arr\text{-}self$

by *auto*

lemma *dom-resid* [*simp*]:

assumes $t \frown u$

shows $dom\ (t \setminus u) = dom\ t$

using *assms* *hpar-arr-resid* **by** *simp*

lemma *cod-resid* [*simp*]:

assumes $t \frown u$

shows $cod\ (t \setminus u) = cod\ t$

using *assms* *hpar-arr-resid* **by** *simp*

RTS-categories enjoy an “interchange law” between residuation and composition.

lemma *resid-hcomp*:

assumes $r \frown t$ **and** $s \frown u$ **and** $H.seq\ r\ s$

shows $r \star s \frown t \star u$

and $(r \star s) \setminus (t \star u) = r \setminus t \star s \setminus u$

proof –

have $tu: H.seq\ t\ u$

using *assms* $con\text{-}implies\text{-}hpar$

by (*elim* $H.seqE$, *intro* $H.seqI$) *auto*

have $1: VV.con\ (r, s)\ (t, u)$

using *assms* $tu\ VV.con\text{-}char$

by (*elim* $H.seqE$) *auto*

have $2: H.dom\ r = H.cod\ s \wedge H.dom\ t = H.cod\ u$

using *assms* tu **by** *blast*

show $r \star s \frown t \star u$

using *assms* $1\ 2\ VV.con\text{-}char\ VV.arr\text{-}char\ V.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr$
 $H.preserves\text{-}con$ [*of* $(r, s)\ (t, u)$]

by *simp*

show $(r \star s) \setminus (t \star u) = r \setminus t \star s \setminus u$

using *assms* $1\ 2\ VV.resid\text{-}def\ VV.arr\text{-}char\ V.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr$
 $H.preserves\text{-}resid$ [*of* $(r, s)\ (t, u)$]

by *auto*

qed

lemma *dom-vcomp* [*simp*]:

assumes $V.composable\ t\ u$

shows $dom\ (t \cdot u) = dom\ t$

using *assms arr-coincidence*
by (*metis dom-src V.src-comp*)

lemma *cod-vcomp [simp]*:
assumes *V.composable t u*
shows $\text{cod } (t \cdot u) = \text{cod } t$
using *assms arr-coincidence*
by (*metis cod-src V.src-comp*)

If the vertical structure is that of an RTS with composites, then the usual middle-four interchange law holds, as for 2-categories, between the horizontal and vertical compositions.

lemma *interchange*:
assumes *V.composable t r* **and** *V.composable u s* **and** *H.seq t u*
shows *V.composable (t \star u) (r \star s)*
and $(t \star u) \cdot (r \star s) = (t \cdot r) \star (u \cdot s)$
proof –
have *r: arr r* **and** *s: arr s* **and** *rs: dom r = cod s*
using *assms H.seqE*
apply *auto[3]*
by (*metis cod-src cod-trg V.composable-imp-seq dom-src dom-trg V.seqE_{WE}*)
have *1: V.composite-of (t \star u) (r \star s) ((t \cdot r) \star (u \cdot s))*
proof
have *2: t \cdot r \frown t*
using *assms(1) V.con-comp-iff [of t t r] V.con-sym* **by** *force*
have *3: u \cdot s \frown u*
using *assms(2) V.con-comp-iff [of u u s] V.con-sym* **by** *force*
show $t \star u \lesssim t \cdot r \star u \cdot s$
by (*metis 2 3 V.arr-comp V.arr-resid V.con-sym V.prfx-comp*
arr-coincidence assms(1–3) resid-hcomp(1) resid-hcomp(2)
sta-hcomp)
show $(t \cdot r \star u \cdot s) \setminus (t \star u) \sim r \star s$
by (*metis 2 3 H.seqI V.comp-resid-prfx V.prfx-reflexive*
arr-coincidence hpar-arr-resid resid-hcomp(2) rs)
qed
show *V.composable (t \star u) (r \star s)*
using *assms 1 V.composable-def* **by** *auto*
show $(t \star u) \cdot (r \star s) = t \cdot r \star u \cdot s$
using *1 V.comp-is-composite-of* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *hcomp-monotone*:
assumes $r \lesssim t$ **and** $s \lesssim u$ **and** *H.seq r s*
shows $r \star s \lesssim t \star u$
by (*metis V.prfx-implies-con assms(1–3) hpar-arr-resid*
resid-hcomp(1–2) sta-hcomp)

lemma *dom-join [simp]*:
assumes *V.joinable t u*

shows $H.dom (t \sqcup u) = H.dom t$
using *assms con-implies-hpar V.joinable-implies-con*
by (*metis dom-trg hpar-arr-resid V.trg-join*)

lemma *cod-join [simp]*:
assumes $V.joinable t u$
shows $H.cod (t \sqcup u) = H.cod t$
using *assms con-implies-hpar V.joinable-implies-con*
by (*metis cod-trg hpar-arr-resid V.trg-join*)

lemma *join-hcomp*:
assumes $V.joinable r t$ **and** $V.joinable s u$ **and** $H.seq r s$
shows $(r \star s) \sqcup (t \star u) = (r \sqcup t) \star (s \sqcup u)$
proof (*intro V.join-eqI*)
show $r \star s \lesssim (r \sqcup t) \star (s \sqcup u)$
using *assms hcomp-monotone V.arr-prfx-join-self by presburger*
show $0: t \star u \lesssim (r \sqcup t) \star (s \sqcup u)$
using *assms hcomp-monotone V.arr-prfx-join-self V.join-sym H.seqE H.seqI*
con-implies-hpar V.joinable-iff-join-not-null V.joinable-implies-con
by *metis*
have $1: H.seq (r \sqcup t) (s \sqcup u)$
using *assms H.seqE H.seqI arr-coincidence cod-join dom-join V.joinable-iff-arr-join*
by *metis*
have $2: r \sqcup t \frown t \wedge r \sqcup t \frown r$
using *assms V.arr-prfx-join-self V.con-sym V.join-sym V.joinable-iff-arr-join*
V.prfx-implies-con
by *metis*
have $3: s \sqcup u \frown u \wedge s \sqcup u \frown s$
using *assms V.arr-prfx-join-self V.con-sym V.join-sym V.joinable-iff-arr-join*
V.prfx-implies-con
by *metis*
have $4: (r \sqcup t) \setminus t = r \setminus t \wedge (r \sqcup t) \setminus r = t \setminus r$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 2 V.arr-resid-iff-con V.con-sym*
V.join-src V.join-sym V.joinable-implies-con V.resid-joinE(3)
V.src-resid V.trg-def assms(1))
have $5: (s \sqcup u) \setminus u = s \setminus u \wedge (s \sqcup u) \setminus s = u \setminus s$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 3 V.arr-resid-iff-con V.con-sym*
V.join-src V.join-sym V.joinable-implies-con V.resid-joinE(3)
V.src-resid V.trg-def assms(2))
show $((r \sqcup t) \star (s \sqcup u)) \setminus (t \star u) = (r \star s) \setminus (t \star u)$
using *assms 1 2 3 4 5 V.joinable-implies-con resid-hcomp by auto*
show $((r \sqcup t) \star (s \sqcup u)) \setminus (r \star s) = (t \star u) \setminus (r \star s)$
using *assms 1 2 3 4 5 V.joinable-implies-con resid-hcomp*
apply *auto[1]*
by (*metis 0 V.arr-resid-iff-con V.prfx-implies-con hpar-arr-resid resid-hcomp(2)*)
qed

The source and target maps given by the vertical structure are functorial with respect to the horizontal structure.

sublocale *src*: *functor hcomp hcomp src*
apply *unfold-locales*
subgoal using *V.src-def* **by** *auto*
by *auto*

sublocale *trg*: *functor hcomp hcomp trg*
apply *unfold-locales*
subgoal using *V.trg-def* **by** *auto*
by *auto*

An isomorphism with respect to the horizontal composition is an identity with respect to the vertical residuation.

lemma *iso-implies-sta*:
assumes *H.iso f*
shows *sta f*
proof –
obtain *g* **where** *inv-fg: H.inverse-arrows f g*
using *assms* **by** *blast*
have *f: arr f* **and** *g: arr g* **and** *fg: H.dom f = H.cod g*
using *inv-fg arr-coincidence*
by *auto fastforce+*

have *sta (cod f \star f)*
proof –
have *sta ((trg f \star trg g) \star f)*
proof –
have *1: sta (trg g \star f)*
proof –
have *2: sta ((g \star src f) \cdot (trg g \star f))*
proof –
have *sta (g \star f)*
using *inv-fg obj-implies-sta* **by** *blast*
moreover have *V.composable g (trg g)*
using *V.composable-iff-comp-not-null g* **by** *auto*
moreover have *V.composable (src f) f*
using *V.composable-iff-comp-not-null f* **by** *auto*
moreover have *H.seq g (src f)*
by (*metis H.dom-null H.ext H.ide-compE*
H.inverse-arrowsE H.seqI cod-src dom-trg
inv-fg src.preserves-arr trg.is-extensional)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *g interchange* **by** *auto*

qed
moreover have *V.composable (g \star src f) (trg g \star f)*
using *2 V.composable-iff-arr-comp* **by** *blast*
ultimately show *sta (trg g \star f)*
using *g V.comp-is-composite-of*
V.divisors-of-ide
 $[of\ g\ \star\ src\ f\ trg\ g\ \star\ f\ (g\ \star\ src\ f)\ \cdot\ (trg\ g\ \star\ f)]$

```

      by blast
    qed
  moreover have  $H.seq (trg f) (trg g \star f)$ 
    using  $f g inv-fg 1$ 
    by (intro  $H.seqI$ ) auto
  moreover have  $sta (trg f)$ 
    using  $assms arr-coincidence$  by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using  $g H.comp-assoc$  by auto
  qed
  moreover have  $H.inverse-arrows (trg f) (trg g)$ 
    using  $inv-fg trg.preserves-inverse-arrows$  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by fastforce
  qed
  thus  $sta f$ 
    using  $assms H.comp-cod-arr$  by force
  qed

```

4.1.2 Hom-RTS's

We have defined the vertical structure of an RTS-category as a “global” residuation, but in fact a pair of arrows can only be consistent if they have the same domain and codomain with respect to the horizontal composition. If we restrict the global residuation to sets of arrows having the same domain and codomain, then we obtain hom-RTS's, analogous to the hom-categories in the case of a 2-category.

```

abbreviation  $HOM$ 
where  $HOM a b \equiv sub-rts.resid resid (\lambda t. \langle t : a \rightarrow b \rangle)$ 

lemma  $sub-rts-HOM$ :
shows  $sub-rts resid (\lambda t. \langle t : a \rightarrow b \rangle)$ 
proof
  show  $\bigwedge t. \langle t : a \rightarrow b \rangle \implies arr t$ 
    by  $auto$ 
  show  $\bigwedge t u. [\langle t : a \rightarrow b \rangle; \langle u : a \rightarrow b \rangle; t \frown u] \implies \langle t \setminus u : a \rightarrow b \rangle$ 
    using  $H.in-homE H.in-homI hpar-arr-resid$  by  $metis$ 
  show  $\bigwedge t u. [\langle t : a \rightarrow b \rangle; \langle u : a \rightarrow b \rangle; t \frown u] \implies$ 
     $\exists X. \langle X : a \rightarrow b \rangle \wedge X \in V.sources t \wedge X \in V.sources u$ 
    using  $arr-coincidence$ 
    by ( $metis (mono-tags, lifting) H.arr-iff-in-hom H.in-homE cod-src$ 
       $V.con-imp-coinitial-ax V.con-implies-arr(1) dom-src V.src-eqI$ 
       $V.src-in-sources$ )
  qed

```

```

lemma  $extensional-rts-HOM$ :
assumes  $obj a$  and  $obj b$ 
shows  $HOM a b \in Collect extensional-rts$ 

```

proof –
interpret HOM : *sub-rts resid* $\langle \lambda t. t \in H.hom\ a\ b \rangle$
using *assms sub-rts-HOM* **by** *fastforce*
show *?thesis*
using *HOM.preserves-extensional-rts V.extensional-rts-axioms* **by** *auto*
qed

Given an object a and an arrow t , horizontal composition with t determines a transformation $HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ t$ from $HOM\ a\ (H.dom\ t)$ to $HOM\ a\ (H.cod\ t)$.

abbreviation *cov-HOM* (HOM^{\rightarrow})
where $HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ t \equiv$
 $(\lambda x. \text{if residuation.arr } (HOM\ a\ (dom\ t))\ x \text{ then } t \star x \text{ else null})$

lemma *simulation-cov-HOM-sta*:
assumes *obj a and sta f*
shows *simulation* ($HOM\ a\ (dom\ f)$) ($HOM\ a\ (cod\ f)$) ($HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ f$)
proof –

interpret $HOM\text{-}a$: *sub-rts resid* $\langle \lambda t. \langle t : a \rightarrow dom\ f \rangle \rangle$
using *sub-rts-HOM* **by** *blast*
interpret $HOM\text{-}b$: *sub-rts resid* $\langle \lambda t. \langle t : a \rightarrow cod\ f \rangle \rangle$
using *sub-rts-HOM* **by** *blast*

show *simulation* $HOM\text{-}a.resid\ HOM\text{-}b.resid\ (HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ f)$

proof

show $\bigwedge x. \neg HOM\text{-}a.arr\ x \implies HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ f\ x = HOM\text{-}b.null$
using *assms HOM-b.null-char* **by** *auto*

fix $t\ u$

assume tu : $HOM\text{-}a.con\ t\ u$

have 1: $\langle f \star t : a \rightarrow cod\ f \rangle \wedge \langle f \star u : a \rightarrow cod\ f \rangle$

proof –

have $f \frown f$

using *assms(2)* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms tu HOM-a.con-implies-arr HOM-a.con-char [of t u]*
by *auto*

qed

have 2: $\langle f \star t : a \rightarrow cod\ f \rangle \wedge \langle f \star u : a \rightarrow cod\ f \rangle \wedge f \star t \frown f \star u$

using *assms tu 1 HOM-a.con-char resid-hcomp(1) [of f f t u]*

by *blast*

show $HOM\text{-}b.con\ (HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ f\ t)\ (HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ f\ u)$

using *assms(2) tu 2 HOM-a.con-implies-arr [of t u]*

$HOM\text{-}a.con\text{-}char\ HOM\text{-}b.con\text{-}char$

by *auto*

show $HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ f\ (HOM\text{-}a.resid\ t\ u) =$

$HOM\text{-}b.resid\ (HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ f\ t)\ (HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ f\ u)$

proof –

have $HOM\text{-}a.arr\ (t \setminus u)$

using $tu\ HOM\text{-}a.con\text{-}char\ [of\ t\ u]\ HOM\text{-}a.arr\text{-}char\ [of\ t \setminus u]$

$dom\text{-}resid\ [of\ t\ u]\ cod\text{-}resid\ [of\ t\ u]$

```

    by fastforce
  moreover have arr (f ★ t)
    using 2 by auto
  moreover have f ∩ f
    using assms(2) by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms(1-2) tu 1 2 HOM-b.resid-def HOM-a.resid-def
      HOM-a.con-implies-arr HOM-a.con-char resid-hcomp(2)
    by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma transformation-cov-HOM-arr:

assumes obj a and arr t

shows transformation (HOM a (dom t)) (HOM a (cod t))
 (HOM[→] a (src t)) (HOM[→] a (trg t)) (HOM[→] a t)

proof -

interpret Dom': sub-rts resid ⟨λx. x ∈ H.hom a (dom t)⟩

using assms sub-rts-HOM by auto

interpret Dom': sub-rts-of-extensional-rts resid ⟨λx. x ∈ H.hom a (dom t)⟩

..

interpret Cod': sub-rts resid ⟨λx. x ∈ H.hom a (cod t)⟩

using assms sub-rts-HOM by auto

interpret Cod': sub-rts-of-extensional-rts resid ⟨λx. x ∈ H.hom a (cod t)⟩ ..

have Dom'-eq: Dom'.resid = HOM a (dom t)

using assms Cod'.null-char by auto

have Cod'-eq: Cod'.resid = HOM a (cod t)

using assms Cod'.null-char by auto

interpret Dom: extensional-rts ⟨HOM a (dom t)⟩

using Dom'-eq Dom'.extensional-rts-axioms by simp

interpret Cod: extensional-rts ⟨HOM a (cod t)⟩

using Cod'-eq Cod'.extensional-rts-axioms by simp

interpret Src: simulation

⟨HOM a (dom t)⟩ ⟨HOM a (cod t)⟩ ⟨HOM[→] a (src t)⟩

using assms simulation-cov-HOM-sta [of a src t] by auto

interpret Trg: simulation

⟨HOM a (H.dom t)⟩ ⟨HOM a (cod t)⟩ ⟨HOM[→] a (trg t)⟩

using assms simulation-cov-HOM-sta [of a trg t] by auto

show ?thesis

proof

show ∧f. ¬ Dom.arr f ⇒ HOM[→] a t f = Cod.null

using Dom'-eq Cod'-eq Cod'.null-char by simp

fix f

assume f: Dom.ide f

have 1: H.seq t f

using assms f Dom'.ide-char Dom'.arr-char

by (intro H.seqI) auto

have 2: «t ★ f : a → cod t»


```

    using f 1 Dom'.ide-char Dom'.arr-char H.cod-comp
    by (intro H.in-homI) auto
show Cod.src (HOM→ a t f) = HOM→ a (src t) f
    using f 1 2 Cod'.src-char Dom'.ide-char Cod'.arr-char by simp
show Cod.trg (HOM→ a t f) = HOM→ a (trg t) f
    using f 1 2 Cod'.trg-char Dom'.ide-char Cod'.arr-char by simp
next
fix f
assume f: Dom.arr f
have arr-f: arr f
    using f Dom'.arr-char by auto
have 3: H.cod f = H.dom t
    using f Dom'.arr-char by auto
have 4: Dom.src f = src f
    using f Dom'-eq Dom'.src-char by auto
have 5: VV.con (t, Dom.src f) (src t, f)
    unfolding VV.con-char
    using assms f 3 4 Dom'-eq Dom'.arr-char
    by (intro conjI) auto
have 6: VV.con (src t, f) (t, Dom.src f)
    using assms arr-f 3 4 VV.con-char by auto
have 7: VV.resid (t, Dom.src f) (src t, f) = (t, trg f)
    using f 5 VV.resid-def VV.con-char Dom'.src-char V.con-implies-arr
    by auto
show HOM a (cod t) (HOM→ a t (Dom.src f)) (HOM→ a (src t) f) =
    HOM→ a t (Dom.trg f)
proof -
    have HOM a (cod t) (HOM→ a t (Dom.src f)) (HOM→ a (src t) f) =
        Cod'.resid (t ★ src f) (src t ★ f)
        using f 4 Dom.arr-src-iff-arr [of f] by simp
    also have ... = (t ★ src f) \ (src t ★ f)
        using assms f 4 5 Cod'.resid-def Dom'-eq Dom'.arr-char H.preserves-con
            VV.con-implies-arr
        by auto
    also have ... = t \ src t ★ src f \ f
        using assms f 4 5 7 VV.arr-char Dom'.arr-char
            H.preserves-resid [of (t, src f) (src t, f)]
        by auto
    also have ... = HOM→ a t (Dom.trg f)
        using assms f Dom'.arr-char Dom'.trg-char H.in-homI by auto
    finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show HOM a (cod t) (HOM→ a (src t) f) (HOM→ a t (Dom.src f)) =
    HOM→ a (trg t) f
proof -
    have HOM a (cod t) (HOM→ a (src t) f) (HOM→ a t (Dom.src f)) =
        Cod'.resid (src t ★ f) (t ★ Dom.src f)
        using f by simp
    also have ... = (src t ★ f) \ (t ★ src f)

```

```

    using assms f 4 Cod'.resid-def Dom'.arr-char by auto
  also have ... = src t \ t * f \ src f
    using assms f arr-f 4 6 VV.arr-char Dom'.arr-char VV.resid-def
      H.preserves-resid [of (src t, f) (t, src f)]
    by auto
  also have ... = cov-HOM a (trg t) f
    using assms f arr-f by simp
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show Cod.join-of (HOM→ a t (Dom.src f)) (HOM→ a (src t) f)
  (HOM→ a t f)
proof -
  have 8: t * src f  $\sqcup$  src t * f = t * f
    using assms f arr-f V.join-src V.join-sym V.joinable-iff-arr-join
      join-hcomp Dom'.arr-char H.seqI
    by auto
  moreover have «f : a → dom t»
    using f Dom'.arr-char by auto
  moreover have «src f : a → dom t»
    using f Dom'.arr-char H.in-homI by auto
  moreover have V.joinable (t * src f) (src t * f)
  proof -
    have t * f ∈ H.hom a (cod t)
      using assms f Dom'.arr-char by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using 8 V.joinable-iff-arr-join by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms 4 Dom'.arr-char Cod'.join-of-char
      V.join-is-join-of [of t * src f src t * f]
    by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

For fixed a , the mapping $HOM^{\rightarrow} a$ takes horizontal composite of arrows to function composition.

lemma *cov-HOM-hcomp*:

assumes *obj a* and $H.seq\ t\ u$

shows $HOM^{\rightarrow} a (t * u) = HOM^{\rightarrow} a t \circ HOM^{\rightarrow} a u$

proof

interpret *au*: transformation $\langle HOM\ a\ (dom\ u) \rangle \langle HOM\ a\ (cod\ u) \rangle$
 $\langle HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ (src\ u) \rangle \langle HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ (trg\ u) \rangle \langle HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ u \rangle$

using *assms transformation-cov-HOM-arr* [of $a\ u$] **by** *fastforce*

interpret *at*: transformation $\langle HOM\ a\ (dom\ t) \rangle \langle HOM\ a\ (cod\ t) \rangle$
 $\langle HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ (src\ t) \rangle \langle HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ (trg\ t) \rangle \langle HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ t \rangle$

using *assms transformation-cov-HOM-arr* [of $a\ t$] **by** *fastforce*

fix x

have $(HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ t \circ HOM^{\rightarrow} a\ u)\ x =$

```

      (if au.A.arr x then (t ★ u) ★ x else null)
    using assms(2) H.comp-assoc au.preserves-arr H.seqE H.null-is-zero
    apply (cases au.A.arr x)
    apply auto[2]
    by metis
  thus  $HOM^{\rightarrow} a (t \star u) x = (HOM^{\rightarrow} a t \circ HOM^{\rightarrow} a u) x$ 
    using assms(2) by auto
qed

```

The mapping $HOM^{\rightarrow} a$ preserves consistency and residuation.

```

lemma cov-HOM-resid:
assumes obj a and V.con t u
shows cov-HOM a (t \ u) =
  consistent-transformations.resid
    (HOM a (dom t)) (HOM a (cod t)) (HOM→ a (trg u))
    (HOM→ a t) (HOM→ a u)
proof –
  have 1: HOM a (dom u) = HOM a (dom t)
    using assms V.con-imp-eq-src dom-src by metis
  have 2: HOM a (cod u) = HOM a (cod t)
    using assms V.con-imp-eq-src cod-src by metis
  interpret A: sub-rts resid ⟨λx. «x : a → dom t»⟩
    using sub-rts-HOM by blast
  interpret A: extensional-rts A.resid
    using assms V.con-implies-arr extensional-rts-HOM by simp
  interpret B: sub-rts resid ⟨λx. «x : a → cod t»⟩
    using sub-rts-HOM by blast
  interpret B: extensional-rts B.resid
    using assms V.con-implies-arr extensional-rts-HOM by simp
  interpret at: transformation A.resid B.resid
    ⟨HOM→ a (src t)⟩ ⟨HOM→ a (trg t)⟩ ⟨HOM→ a t⟩
    using assms transformation-cov-HOM-arr [of a t] V.con-implies-arr
    by fastforce
  interpret au: transformation A.resid B.resid
    ⟨HOM→ a (src t)⟩ ⟨HOM→ a (trg u)⟩ ⟨HOM→ a u⟩
    using assms 1 2 V.con-imp-eq-src transformation-cov-HOM-arr [of a u]
    V.con-implies-arr
    by presburger
  interpret at-au: consistent-transformations A.resid B.resid
    ⟨HOM→ a (src t)⟩ ⟨HOM→ a (trg t)⟩ ⟨HOM→ a (trg u)⟩
    ⟨HOM→ a t⟩ ⟨HOM→ a u⟩
proof
  show ∧x. A.ide x → HOM→ a t x ∩B HOM→ a u x
  proof (intro impI)
    fix x
    assume x: A.ide x
    show HOM→ a t x ∩B HOM→ a u x
      using assms x resid-hcomp B.con-char B.arr-char at.preserves-arr
      au.preserves-arr

```

```

apply auto[1]
apply (metis (no-types, lifting) B.inclusion V.arrE arr-coincidence
A.ide-implies-arr H.seqE)
by (metis (full-types) B.not-arr-null B.null-char A.ide-implies-arr
rts-category.sub-rts-HOM)
qed
qed
show  $HOM^{\rightarrow} a (t \setminus u) = at.au.resid$ 
proof (intro transformation-eqI)
show extensional-rts ( $HOM a (cod t)$ ) ..
show transformation ( $HOM a (dom t)$ ) B.resid
(cov-HOM  $a (trg u)$ ) at-au.apex at-au.resid
using at-au.transformation-resid by blast
show transformation ( $HOM a (dom t)$ ) B.resid
( $HOM^{\rightarrow} a (trg u)$ ) at-au.apex ( $HOM^{\rightarrow} a (t \setminus u)$ )
proof –
have  $dom (t \setminus u) = dom t$ 
using assms dom-resid by blast
moreover have  $cod (t \setminus u) = cod t$ 
using assms cod-resid by blast
moreover have  $(\lambda x. \text{if } residuation.arr (HOM a (dom u)) x$ 
 $\text{then } src (t \setminus u) \star x \text{ else } null) =$ 
 $(\lambda x. \text{if } residuation.arr (HOM a (dom u)) x$ 
 $\text{then } trg u \star x \text{ else } null)$ 
using assms by auto
moreover have  $(\lambda x. \text{if } A.arr x \text{ then } trg (t \setminus u) \star x \text{ else } null) = at-au.apex$ 
proof
fix  $x$ 
show  $(\text{if } A.arr x \text{ then } trg (t \setminus u) \star x \text{ else } null) = at-au.apex x$ 
proof (cases  $A.arr x$ )
case False
show ?thesis
using False B.null-char by auto
next
case True
show ?thesis
proof –
have  $3: residuation.arr (HOM a (dom u)) (A.src x)$ 
using True 1 by auto
have  $\langle t \star A.src x : a \rightarrow cod t \rangle$ 
using True B.arr-char at.preserves-arr by force
moreover have  $\langle u \star A.src x : a \rightarrow cod t \rangle$ 
using True 1 A.arr-char A.arr-src-if-arr B.arr-char au.preserves-arr
by force
moreover have  $\langle trg u \star x : a \rightarrow cod t \rangle$ 
using assms True A.arr-char con-implies-hpar by fastforce
moreover have  $4: \langle (t \star A.src x) \setminus (u \star A.src x) : a \rightarrow cod t \rangle$ 
using 1 3 A.ide-iff-src-self A.src-src B.con-char B.resid-closed
at-au.con

```

```

    by presburger
  moreover have  $t \star A.\text{src } x \frown u \star A.\text{src } x$ 
    using 4 B.inclusion V.arr-resid-iff-con by blast
  moreover have  $\text{trg } (t \setminus u) \star x =$ 
     $(\text{trg } u \star x) \setminus ((t \star A.\text{src } x) \setminus (u \star A.\text{src } x))$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\text{trg } u \star x) \setminus ((t \star A.\text{src } x) \setminus (u \star A.\text{src } x)) =$ 
       $(\text{trg } u \star x) \setminus ((t \setminus u) \star A.\text{src } x)$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 3 A.con-arr-self A.con-char
      A.trg-char A.trg-src H.arrI V.trg-def assms(2)
      calculation(1) resid-hcomp(2))
    also have ... =  $\text{trg } (t \setminus u) \star x$ 
  proof -
    have « $\text{trg } u \star x : a \rightarrow \text{cod } t$ »
    using assms True A.arr-char con-implies-hpar by fastforce
    thus ?thesis
    using assms True resid-hcomp [of  $\text{trg } u \ t \setminus u \ x \ A.\text{src } x$ ]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.con-arr-src(1) A.con-char
      A.resid-arr-src A.resid-def H.arrI V.arr-resid V.con-def
      V.con-imp-arr-resid V.resid-src-arr V.src-resid V.trg-def)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using True 1 B.resid-def by auto
  qed
  qed
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms transformation-cov-HOM-arr [of  $a \ t \setminus u$ ] by auto
  qed
  show  $\bigwedge x. A.\text{ide } x \implies \text{HOM}^{\rightarrow} a (t \setminus u) x = \text{at-}a.u.\text{resid } x$ 
  proof -
    fix x
    assume x: A.ide x
    have  $\text{at-}a.u.\text{resid } x = B.\text{resid } (\text{HOM}^{\rightarrow} a \ t \ x) (\text{HOM}^{\rightarrow} a \ u \ x)$ 
      using x at-a.resid-ide by blast
    also have ... =  $\text{HOM}^{\rightarrow} a (t \setminus u) x$ 
      using assms x 1 A.ide-char A.arr-char resid-hcomp [of  $t \ u \ x \ x$ ]
        B.resid-def
    apply clarsimp
    apply (intro conjI impI)
    subgoal by (metis B.inclusion V.ideE)
    subgoal using V.trg-def con-implies-hpar trg-dom by force
    subgoal using B.arr-char au.preserves-arr at.preserves-arr
      by auto (metis arr-coincidence category.in-homE
        rts-category-axioms rts-category-def)
    done
  finally show  $\text{HOM}^{\rightarrow} a (t \setminus u) x = \text{at-}a.u.\text{resid } x$  by simp

```

qed
 qed
 qed

We can dualize the above, to define, given an object c and an arrow t , a contravariant mapping $HOM^{\leftarrow} c t$ from $HOM (H.cod t) c$ to $HOM (H.dom t) c$. I have not carried out a full development parallel to the covariant case, because the contravariant version is not used in an essential way in this article.

abbreviation $cnt\text{-}HOM (HOM^{\leftarrow})$
where $HOM^{\leftarrow} c t \equiv$
 $(\lambda x. \text{if residuation.arr } (HOM (cod t) c) x \text{ then } x \star t \text{ else null})$

lemma *simulation-cnt-HOM-sta:*

assumes $sta f$ **and** $\langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle$ **and** $obj c$

shows $simulation (HOM b c) (HOM a c) (HOM^{\leftarrow} c f)$

proof –

interpret $HOM\text{-}a$: $sub\text{-}rts\ resid \langle \lambda t. \langle t : a \rightarrow c \rangle \rangle$

using $sub\text{-}rts\text{-}HOM$ **by** $blast$

interpret $HOM\text{-}b$: $sub\text{-}rts\ resid \langle \lambda t. \langle t : b \rightarrow c \rangle \rangle$

using $sub\text{-}rts\text{-}HOM$ **by** $blast$

show $simulation HOM\text{-}b.resid HOM\text{-}a.resid (HOM^{\leftarrow} c f)$

proof

show $\bigwedge x. \neg HOM\text{-}b.arr x \implies HOM^{\leftarrow} c f x = HOM\text{-}a.null$

using $assms(2) HOM\text{-}a.null\text{-}char$ **by** $auto$

fix $t u$

assume $tu: HOM\text{-}b.con t u$

show $HOM\text{-}a.con (HOM^{\leftarrow} c f t) (HOM^{\leftarrow} c f u)$

proof –

have $f \frown f$

using $assms(1)$ **by** $auto$

hence $\langle t \star f : a \rightarrow c \rangle \wedge \langle u \star f : a \rightarrow c \rangle \wedge t \star f \frown u \star f$

using $assms tu HOM\text{-}b.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr HOM\text{-}b.con\text{-}char [of t u]$
 $resid\text{-}hcomp(1) [of t u f f]$

by $(intro conjI) blast+$

thus $?thesis$

using $assms(2) tu HOM\text{-}b.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr [of t u]$

$HOM\text{-}a.con\text{-}char HOM\text{-}b.con\text{-}char$

by $auto$

qed

show $HOM^{\leftarrow} c f (HOM\text{-}b.resid t u) =$

$HOM\text{-}a.resid (HOM^{\leftarrow} c f t) (HOM^{\leftarrow} c f u)$

proof –

have $HOM\text{-}b.arr (t \setminus u)$

using $tu HOM\text{-}b.con\text{-}char [of t u] HOM\text{-}b.arr\text{-}char [of t \setminus u]$
 $dom\text{-}resid [of t u] cod\text{-}resid [of t u]$

by $fastforce$

moreover have $arr (t \star f)$

using $assms(2) tu HOM\text{-}b.con\text{-}char HOM\text{-}b.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr$

```

    by blast
  moreover
  have « $t \star f : a \rightarrow c$ »  $\wedge$  « $u \star f : a \rightarrow c$ »  $\wedge$   $t \star f \frown u \star f$ 
    using assms tu HOM-b.con-char [of t u] resid-hcomp(1)
    by (intro conjI) blast+
  moreover have  $f \frown f$ 
    using assms(2) by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms(1-2) tu HOM-a.resid-def HOM-b.resid-def
      HOM-b.con-implies-arr HOM-b.con-char resid-hcomp(2)
    by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *HOM-preserves-isomorphic-left:*

assumes *H.isomorphic a b* **and** *obj c*

shows *isomorphic-rts (HOM a c) (HOM b c)*

proof –

```

  interpret HOM-a: sub-rts resid « $\lambda t. \langle t : a \rightarrow c \rangle$ »

```

```

    using sub-rts-HOM by blast

```

```

  interpret HOM-b: sub-rts resid « $\lambda t. \langle t : b \rightarrow c \rangle$ »

```

```

    using sub-rts-HOM by blast

```

```

  obtain f g where fg: H.inverse-arrows f g  $\wedge$  dom f = a  $\wedge$  cod f = b

```

```

    using assms(1) by blast

```

```

  have 1: sta f  $\wedge$  sta g

```

```

    using fg iso-implies-sta by blast

```

```

  have f: «f : a → b»

```

```

    using fg H.inverse-arrows-def

```

```

    by (intro H.in-homI) auto

```

```

  have g: «g : b → a»

```

```

    using fg H.inverse-arrows-def

```

```

    by (intro H.in-homI) auto

```

```

  let ?F = « $\lambda t. \text{if } HOM-b.arr \ t \ \text{then } t \star f \ \text{else } null$ »

```

```

  let ?G = « $\lambda t. \text{if } HOM-a.arr \ t \ \text{then } t \star g \ \text{else } null$ »

```

```

  interpret F: simulation HOM-b.resid HOM-a.resid ?F

```

```

    using assms(2) f 1 simulation-cnt-HOM-sta by blast

```

```

  interpret G: simulation HOM-a.resid HOM-b.resid ?G

```

```

    using assms(2) g 1 simulation-cnt-HOM-sta by blast

```

```

  interpret FG: inverse-simulations HOM-a.resid HOM-b.resid ?F ?G

```

proof

```

  show ?F  $\circ$  ?G = I HOM-a.resid

```

proof

```

  fix x

```

```

  show (?F  $\circ$  ?G) x = I HOM-a.resid x

```

```

    using G.preserves-reflects-arr H.comp-arr-dom H.comp-assoc

```

```

      H.comp-inv-arr HOM-a.arr-char HOM-a.null-char fg

```

```

    by auto

```

qed

```

show ?G ∘ ?F = I HOM-b.resid
proof
  fix x
  show (?G ∘ ?F) x = I HOM-b.resid x
  proof (cases HOM-b.arr x)
    show ¬ HOM-b.arr x ⇒ ?thesis
      using HOM-b.null-char H.null-is-zero by auto
    assume x: HOM-b.arr x
    show ?thesis
      proof –
        have obj (f ★ g)
          using fg by blast
        moreover have arr (x ★ f ★ g)
          using f g x HOM-b.arr-char by blast
        moreover have «x ★ f : dom f → c»
          using f x HOM-b.arr-char H.dom-comp by blast
        ultimately show ?thesis
          using fg x H.comp-assoc H.comp-arr-ide HOM-a.arr-char
            by auto
      qed
    qed
  qed
  show ?thesis
    using FG.inverse-simulations-axioms isomorphic-rts-def by blast
  qed
end

```

4.1.3 Additional Notions

An RTS-category is *locally small* if each of the hom-RTS's is a small RTS.

```

locale locally-small-rts-category =
  rts-category +
  assumes small-homs: [[obj a; obj b] ⇒ small (H.hom a b)
begin

  lemma HOM-is-small-extensional-rts:
  assumes obj a and obj b
  shows HOM a b ∈ Collect extensional-rts ∩ Collect small-rts
  proof –
    interpret HOM: sub-rts resid ⟨λt. t ∈ H.hom a b⟩
      using assms sub-rts-HOM by fastforce
    interpret HOM: small-rts HOM.resid
      using assms small-homs [of a b] smaller-than-small HOM.arr-char
    apply unfold-locales
    by (simp add: smaller-than-small subset-eq)
  show ?thesis
    using HOM.preserves-extensional-rts V.extensional-rts-axioms

```



```

      HOM.small-rts-axioms
    by auto
  qed

```

end

An *RTS-functor* is a mapping between RTS-categories that is functor with respect to the horizontal composition and a simulation with respect to the vertical residuation. An *RTS-category isomorphism* is an RTS-functor that is invertible as a simulation, from which it follows that it is also invertible as a functor.

```

locale rts-functor =
  A: rts-category residA compA +
  B: rts-category residB compB +
  functor compA compB F +
  simulation residA residB F
for residA :: 'a resid (infix \A 70)
and compA :: 'a comp (infixr *A 53)
and residB :: 'b resid (infix \B 70)
and compB :: 'b comp (infixr *B 53)
and F :: 'a ⇒ 'b
begin

  notation A.V.con (infix  $\frown_A$  50)
  notation B.V.con (infix  $\frown_B$  50)

  lemma is-invertible-simulation-if:
  assumes invertible-functor compA compB F
  and  $\bigwedge t u. F t \frown_B F u \implies t \frown_A u$ 
  shows invertible-simulation residA residB F
  proof –
  obtain G where G: inverse-functors compA compB G F
    using assms(1) invertible-functor.invertible by blast
  interpret FG: inverse-functors compA compB G F
    using G by blast
  interpret FG: inverse-simulations residA residB G F
  proof
  show  $\bigwedge t. \neg B.arr t \implies G t = A.null$ 
    using FG.F.is-extensional by simp
  show  $inv: F \circ G = I resid_B$  and  $inv': G \circ F = I resid_A$ 
    using FG.inv FG.inv' A.H.map-def B.H.map-def by auto
  fix t u
  assume tu: t  $\frown_B$  u
  have F (G t)  $\frown_B$  F (G u)
    using tu inv
    by (metis B.V.con-implies-arr(1–2) comp-apply)
  thus G t  $\frown_A$  G u
    using assms(2) by blast
  show G (t  $\frown_B$  u) = G t  $\frown_A$  G u

```

```

    by (metis A.V.arr-resid B.V.con-implies-arr(1-2)
        ‹G t  $\cap_A$  G u› comp-apply inv inv' preserves-resid tu)
  qed
  show ?thesis
    using invertible-simulation-def' FG.inverse-simulations-axioms by auto
  qed

```

lemma *is-invertible-if*:

assumes *invertible-simulation resid_A resid_B F*

shows *invertible-functor comp_A comp_B F*

proof –

obtain *G* **where** *G: inverse-simulations resid_A resid_B G F*

using *assms(1) invertible-simulation-def'* **by** *blast*

interpret *FG: inverse-simulations resid_A resid_B G F*

using *G* **by** *blast*

interpret *FG: inverse-functors comp_A comp_B G F*

proof

show $\bigwedge f. \neg B.H.arr\ f \implies G\ f = A.H.null$

using *FG.F.extensional* **by** *auto*

show 1: $\bigwedge f. B.H.arr\ f \implies A.H.arr\ (G\ f)$

by *auto*

show 2: $\bigwedge f. B.H.arr\ f \implies A.H.dom\ (G\ f) = G\ (B.H.dom\ f)$

by (metis 1 A.H.arr-dom-iff-arr A.arr-coincidence B.arr-coincidence
FG.inv FG.inv' o-apply preserves-dom)

show 3: $\bigwedge f. B.H.arr\ f \implies A.H.cod\ (G\ f) = G\ (B.H.cod\ f)$

by (metis 1 A.H.arr-cod-iff-arr A.arr-coincidence B.arr-coincidence
FG.inv FG.inv' o-apply preserves-cod)

show $F \circ G = B.H.map$

using *B.H.map-def FG.inv* **by** *auto*

show $G \circ F = A.H.map$

using *A.H.map-def FG.inv'* **by** *auto*

show $\bigwedge g\ f. B.H.seq\ g\ f \implies G\ (g\ \star_B\ f) = G\ g\ \star_A\ G\ f$

by (metis (full-types) 1 2 3 A.arr-coincidence B.H.seqE B.arr-coincidence
FG.inv-simp FG.inv'-simp as-nat-trans.preserves-comp-2 A.H.seqI)

qed

show *?thesis*

using *FG.inverse-functors-axioms*

by *unfold-locales blast*

qed

end

locale *rts-category-isomorphism* =

rts-functor +

invertible-simulation resid_A resid_B F

begin

sublocale *invertible-functor comp_A comp_B F*

using *invertible-simulation-axioms is-invertible-if* **by** *simp*

end

end

```
theory ConcreteRTSCategory
imports Main RTSCategory
begin
```

4.2 Concrete RTS-Categories

If we are given a set Obj of “objects”, a mapping Hom that assigns to every two objects A and B an extensional “hom-RTS” RTS $Hom A B$, a mapping Id that assigns to each object A an “identity arrow” $Id A$ of $Hom A B$, and a mapping $Comp$ that assigns to every three objects A, B, C a “composition law” $Comp A B C$ from $Hom B C \times Hom A B$ to $Hom A B$, subject to suitable identity and associativity conditions, then we can form from this data an RTS-category whose underlying set of arrows is the disjoint union of the sets of arrows of the hom-RTS’s.

```
locale concrete-rts-category =
fixes obj-type :: 'O itself
and arr-type :: 'A itself
and Obj :: 'O set
and Hom :: 'O  $\Rightarrow$  'O  $\Rightarrow$  'A resid
and Id :: 'O  $\Rightarrow$  'A
and Comp :: 'O  $\Rightarrow$  'O  $\Rightarrow$  'O  $\Rightarrow$  'A  $\Rightarrow$  'A  $\Rightarrow$  'A
assumes rts-Hom:  $\llbracket A \in Obj; B \in Obj \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$  extensional-rts (Hom A B)
and binary-simulation-Comp:
 $\llbracket A \in Obj; B \in Obj; C \in Obj \rrbracket$ 
 $\Longrightarrow$  binary-simulation
(Hom B C) (Hom A B) (Hom A C) ( $\lambda(t, u).$  Comp A B C t u)
and ide-Id:  $A \in Obj \Longrightarrow$  residuation.ide (Hom A A) (Id A)
and Comp-Hom-Id:  $\llbracket A \in Obj; B \in Obj; residuation.arr (Hom A B) t \rrbracket$ 
 $\Longrightarrow$  Comp A A B t (Id A) = t
and Comp-Id-Hom:  $\llbracket A \in Obj; B \in Obj; residuation.arr (Hom A B) u \rrbracket$ 
 $\Longrightarrow$  Comp A B B (Id B) u = u
and Comp-assoc:  $\llbracket A \in Obj; B \in Obj; C \in Obj; D \in Obj;$ 
residuation.arr (Hom C D) t; residuation.arr (Hom B C) u;
residuation.arr (Hom A B) v  $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 
Comp A B D (Comp B C D t u) v =
Comp A C D t (Comp A B C u v)
```

begin

```
datatype ('o, 'a) arr =
Null
| MkArr 'o 'o 'a
```

fun *Dom* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ 'O
where *Dom* (MkArr a -) = a
| *Dom* - = undefined

fun *Cod* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ 'O
where *Cod* (MkArr - b) = b
| *Cod* - = undefined

fun *Trn* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ 'A
where *Trn* (MkArr - - t) = t
| *Trn* - = undefined

abbreviation *Arr* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ bool
where *Arr* ≡ λt. t ≠ Null ∧ Dom t ∈ Obj ∧ Cod t ∈ Obj ∧
residuation.arr (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t)

abbreviation *Ide* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ bool
where *Ide* ≡ λt. t ≠ Null ∧ Dom t ∈ Obj ∧ Cod t ∈ Obj ∧
residuation.ide (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t)

abbreviation *Con* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ bool
where *Con* t u ≡ Arr t ∧ Arr u ∧ Dom t = Dom u ∧ Cod t = Cod u ∧
residuation.con (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t) (Trn u)

fun *resid* (**infix** \ 70)
where *resid* Null u = Null
| *resid* t Null = Null
| *resid* t u =
(if *Con* t u
then MkArr (Dom t) (Cod t) (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t) (Trn u))
else Null)

sublocale *V*: *ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma resid*
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*metis* *Trn.cases resid.simps(1-2)*)

lemma *null-char*:
shows *V.null* = Null
by (*metis* *V.null-is-zero(2) resid.simps(1)*)

sublocale *V*: *residuation resid*
proof

fix t u :: ('O, 'A) arr
assume tu: t \ u ≠ V.null
interpret *hom*: *extensional-rts* ⟨Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)⟩
using tu *null-char rts-Hom*
by (*metis* *arr.exhaust V.null-is-zero(2) resid.simps(1,3)*)
show t \ u ≠ V.null ⇒ u \ t ≠ V.null

```

using null-char hom.con-sym
apply (cases t; cases u)
  apply auto[3]
  by (metis arr.simps(2) resid.simps(3))
show (t \ u) \ (t \ u) ≠ V.null
using tu null-char hom.arr-resid hom.con-arr-self
apply (cases t \ u)
  apply force
apply (cases t; cases u)
  apply auto[3]
  by (metis Cod.simps(1) Dom.simps(1) Trn.simps(1)
    arr.simps(2) resid.simps(3))
next
fix t u v :: ('O, 'A) arr
assume tuv: (v \ t) \ (u \ t) ≠ V.null
have tu: Con t u
  using tuv null-char V.null-is-zero(2) resid.simps(3)
  apply (cases t; cases u; cases v)
    apply auto[7]
  by (metis extensional-rts-def residuation.con-sym
    rts-Hom rts-def)
have tv: Con t v
  using tu tuv null-char resid.simps(1,3)
  apply (cases t; cases u; cases v)
    apply auto[7]
  by (metis extensional-rts-def residuation.con-sym
    rts-Hom rts-def)
interpret hom: extensional-rts ⟨Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)⟩
  using tu null-char rts-Hom by metis
have uv: Con u v
  using tu tv tuv null-char hom.con-sym
    resid.simps(1,3) rts-Hom
  apply (cases t; cases u; cases v)
    apply auto[7]
  apply (intro conjI)
    apply auto[10]
  by auto (metis Cod.simps(1) Dom.simps(1) hom.resid-reflects-con)
interpret hom: extensional-rts ⟨Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)⟩
  using tu null-char rts-Hom by blast
show (v \ t) \ (u \ t) = (v \ u) \ (t \ u)
  using tu tv uv null-char hom.arr-resid-iff-con
    hom.con-sym hom.cube
  apply (cases t; cases u; cases v)
  by auto metis+
qed

```

notation $V.con$ (infix \curvearrowright 50)

lemma con-char:

```

shows  $t \frown u \iff \text{Con } t \ u$ 
proof
  show  $t \frown u \implies \text{Con } t \ u$ 
    using  $V.\text{con-def } V.\text{not-con-null}(2) \ \text{null-char}$ 
    apply ( $\text{cases } t; \text{cases } u$ )
      apply  $\text{auto}[3]$ 
    by  $\text{fastforce}$ 
  show  $\text{Con } t \ u \implies t \frown u$ 
    using  $\text{null-char}$ 
    by ( $\text{cases } t; \text{cases } u$ )  $\text{auto}$ 
qed

lemma  $\text{arr-char}$ :
shows  $V.\text{arr } t \iff \text{Arr } t$ 
  by ( $\text{metis } V.\text{arr-def } \text{con-char } \text{extensional-rts-def}$ 
     $\text{residuation.arr-def } \text{rts-Hom } \text{rts-def}$ )

lemma  $\text{arrI}$  [ $\text{intro}$ ]:
assumes  $t \neq V.\text{null}$  and  $\text{Dom } t \in \text{Obj}$  and  $\text{Cod } t \in \text{Obj}$ 
and  $\text{residuation.arr } (\text{Hom } (\text{Dom } t) (\text{Cod } t)) (\text{Trn } t)$ 
shows  $V.\text{arr } t$ 
  using  $\text{assms } \text{arr-char } \text{null-char}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

lemma  $\text{arrE}$  [ $\text{elim}$ ]:
assumes  $V.\text{arr } t$ 
and [ $t \neq V.\text{null}; \text{Dom } t \in \text{Obj}; \text{Cod } t \in \text{Obj};$ 
   $\text{residuation.arr } (\text{Hom } (\text{Dom } t) (\text{Cod } t)) (\text{Trn } t)$ ]
   $\implies T$ 
shows  $T$ 
  using  $\text{assms } \text{arr-char}$  by  $\text{fastforce}$ 

lemma  $\text{sta-char}$ :
shows  $V.\text{ide } t \iff \text{Ide } t$ 
proof
  assume  $t: V.\text{ide } t$ 
  interpret  $\text{hom}: \text{extensional-rts } \langle \text{Hom } (\text{Dom } t) (\text{Cod } t) \rangle$ 
    using  $t$ 
    by ( $\text{meson } V.\text{ide-implies-arr } \text{arrE } \text{rts-Hom}$ )
  show  $\text{Ide } t$ 
    using  $t \ \text{null-char } V.\text{not-ide-null}$ 
    apply ( $\text{cases } t$ )
    apply  $\text{force}$ 
    by ( $\text{metis } \text{Trn.simps}(1) \ V.\text{ideE } \text{hom.ideI } \text{resid.simps}(3)$ )
  next
  assume  $t: \text{Ide } t$ 
  show  $V.\text{ide } t$ 
  proof ( $\text{cases } t$ )
    show  $t = \text{Null} \implies ?\text{thesis}$ 
      using  $t \ V.\text{ide-def } \text{con-char}$  by  $\text{blast}$ 

```

```

fix A B F
assume 1: t = MkArr A B F
interpret hom: extensional-rts ⟨Hom A B⟩
  using t 1 rts-Hom by simp
show V.ide t
  using t 1 V.con-def V.ide-def null-char by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma staI [intro]:
assumes t ≠ V.null and Dom t ∈ Obj and Cod t ∈ Obj
and residuation.ide (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t)
shows V.ide t
  using assms sta-char null-char by auto

```

```

lemma staE [elim]:
assumes V.ide t
and [t ≠ V.null; Dom t ∈ Obj; Cod t ∈ Obj;
  residuation.ide (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t)]
  ⇒ T
shows T
  using assms sta-char by fastforce

```

```

lemma trg-char:
shows V.trg t =
  (if V.arr t
  then MkArr (Dom t) (Cod t)
  (residuation.trg (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t))
  else Null)
proof (cases V.arr t)
show ¬ V.arr t ⇒ ?thesis
  using V.trg-def null-char V.con-def by auto
assume t: V.arr t
interpret hom: extensional-rts ⟨Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)⟩
  using t
  by (meson V.ide-implies-arr arrE rts-Hom)
show ?thesis
  using t null-char
  apply (cases t)
  apply fastforce
  by (metis V.trg-def arrE hom.conI hom.ide-trg
  hom.not-ide-null hom.trg-def resid.simps(3))
qed

```

```

lemma con-implies-Par:
assumes t ∼ u
shows Dom t = Dom u and Cod t = Cod u
  using assms con-char by blast+

```

lemma *Dom-resid* [*simp*]:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $Dom (t \setminus u) = Dom t$
using *assms con-char*
by (*cases t; cases u*) *auto*

lemma *Cod-resid* [*simp*]:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $Cod (t \setminus u) = Cod t$
using *assms con-char*
by (*cases t; cases u*) *auto*

lemma *Trn-resid* [*simp*]:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $Trn (t \setminus u) = Hom (Dom t) (Cod t) (Trn t) (Trn u)$
using *assms con-char*
by (*cases t; cases u*) *auto*

sublocale *rts resid*

proof

show $\bigwedge t. V.arr t \implies V.ide (V.trg t)$
by (*simp add: arr-char extensional-rts.axioms(1) rts.ide-trg*
rts-Hom sta-char trg-char)

show $1: \bigwedge a t. \llbracket V.ide a; t \frown a \rrbracket \implies t \setminus a = t$

proof –

fix $a t$

assume $a: V.ide a$

assume $con: t \frown a$

have $t \setminus a \neq Null \wedge t \neq Null$

using *con null-char* **by** *auto*

moreover have $Dom (t \setminus a) = Dom t$

using $a con$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $Cod (t \setminus a) = Cod t$

using $a con$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $Trn (t \setminus a) = Trn t$

using $a con$ **apply** *simp*

by (*metis con-char extensional-rts-def sta-char rts.resid-arr-ide rts-Hom*)

ultimately show $t \setminus a = t$

by (*metis Cod.elims Dom.simps(1) Trn.simps(1)*)

qed

show $\bigwedge a t. \llbracket V.ide a; a \frown t \rrbracket \implies V.ide (a \setminus t)$

using *sta-char con-char*

by (*metis 1 V.arr-resid V.con-arr-self V.con-sym V.cube V.ideE V.ideI*)

show $\bigwedge t u. t \frown u \implies \exists a. V.ide a \wedge a \frown t \wedge a \frown u$

proof –

fix $t u$

assume $tu: t \frown u$

interpret $hom: extensional-rts \langle Hom (Dom t) (Cod t) \rangle$

using $tu con-char arr-char$ [*of t*] *rts-Hom* **by** *auto*


```

have 1: hom.con (Trn t) (Trn u)
  using tu con-char by auto
obtain  $\alpha$  where  $\alpha$ : hom.ide  $\alpha \wedge$  hom.con  $\alpha$  (Trn t)  $\wedge$  hom.con  $\alpha$  (Trn u)
  using 1 hom.con-imp-coinitial-ax by auto
have V.ide (MkArr (Dom t) (Cod t)  $\alpha$ )
  using tu  $\alpha$  V.con-implies-arr arr-char sta-char by auto
moreover have MkArr (Dom t) (Cod t)  $\alpha \frown t$ 
  using  $\alpha$  tu con-char hom.ide-implies-arr by auto
moreover have MkArr (Dom t) (Cod t)  $\alpha \frown u$ 
  using  $\alpha$  tu con-char hom.ide-implies-arr by auto
ultimately show  $\exists a. V.ide a \wedge a \frown t \wedge a \frown u$  by blast
qed
show  $\bigwedge t u v. \llbracket V.ide (t \setminus u); u \frown v \rrbracket \implies t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u$ 
proof -
  fix t u v
  assume tu: V.ide (t \setminus u)
  assume uv: u \frown v
  have 1: t \setminus u  $\neq$  V.null
    using tu by auto
  interpret HOM: extensional-rts  $\langle Hom (Dom t) (Cod t) \rangle$ 
    using 1 con-char arr-char [of t] rts-Hom by auto
  have 2: HOM.con (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t) (Trn t) (Trn u))
    (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t) (Trn v) (Trn u))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cod-resid Dom-resid HOM.con-target
      Trn-resid V.conI con-char staE tu uv)
  have 3: Con t u
    using 1 con-char by blast
  have 4: Con v u
    using uv con-char V.con-sym by blast
  have 5: t \setminus u = MkArr (Dom t) (Cod t)
    (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t) (Trn t) (Trn u))
    using 1 3
    by (cases t; cases u) auto
  have 6: v \setminus u = MkArr (Dom v) (Cod v)
    (Hom (Dom v) (Cod t) (Trn v) (Trn u))
    using 3 4
    by (cases v; cases u) auto
  show t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u
    using 2 3 4 5 6 HOM.con-implies-arr(1-2) con-char by auto
qed
qed

lemma is-rts:
shows rts resid
..

sublocale V: extensional-rts resid
proof
  fix t u

```

```

assume tu: cong t u
have 1:  $t \setminus u \neq V.null$ 
  using tu by auto
interpret HOM: extensional-rts  $\langle Hom (Dom t) (Cod t) \rangle$ 
  using 1 con-char arr-char [of t] rts-Hom by auto
have  $t \neq Null \wedge u \neq Null$ 
  using tu con-char by blast
moreover have  $Dom t = Dom u$ 
  using tu con-char by blast
moreover have  $Cod t = Cod u$ 
  using tu con-char by blast
moreover have  $Trn t = Trn u$ 
  by (metis calculation(2-3) Cod-resid Dom-resid Trn-resid sta-char
    congE HOM.cong-char tu)
ultimately show  $t = u$ 
  by (metis Cod.elims Dom.simps(1) Trn.simps(1))
qed

```

```

lemma is-extensional-rts:
shows extensional-rts resid
  ..

```

```

lemma arr-MkArr [intro]:
assumes  $a \in Obj$  and  $b \in Obj$ 
and residuation.arr  $(Hom a b) t$ 
shows  $V.arr (MkArr a b t)$ 
  using assms arr-char by auto

```

```

lemma arr-eqI:
assumes  $t \neq Null$  and  $u \neq Null$ 
and  $Dom t = Dom u$  and  $Cod t = Cod u$  and  $Trn t = Trn u$ 
shows  $t = u$ 
  using assms null-char
  by (metis Cod.elims Dom.simps(1) Trn.simps(1))

```

```

lemma MkArr-Trn:
assumes  $V.arr t$ 
shows  $MkArr (Dom t) (Cod t) (Trn t) = t$ 
  using assms null-char V.not-arr-null
  by (intro arr-eqI) auto

```

```

lemma src-char:
shows  $V.src t = (if V.arr t$ 
   $then MkArr (Dom t) (Cod t)$ 
   $(weakly-extensional-rts.src (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t))$ 
   $else Null)$ 

```

```

proof (cases V.arr t)
show  $\neg V.arr t \implies ?thesis$ 
  by (simp add: V.src-def null-char)

```

```

assume  $t: V.arr\ t$ 
interpret  $HOM: extensional\ rts\ \langle Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t)\rangle$ 
  using  $t\ con\ char\ arr\ char\ rts\ Hom$  by  $auto$ 
show  $?thesis$ 
  using  $t$ 
  by  $(metis\ (no\ types,\ lifting)\ Cod.simps(1)\ Dom.simps(1)\ HOM.src\ eqI$ 
     $Trn.simps(1)\ V.con\ arr\ src(2)\ V.ide\ src\ arr.simps(2)\ arr\ eqI$ 
     $con\ char\ staE)$ 

```

qed

```

definition  $hcomp$  (infixr  $\star$  53)
where  $t\ \star\ u \equiv$  if  $V.arr\ t \wedge V.arr\ u \wedge Dom\ t = Cod\ u$ 
  then  $MkArr\ (Dom\ u)\ (Cod\ t)$ 
     $(Comp\ (Dom\ u)\ (Cod\ u)\ (Cod\ t)\ (Trn\ t)\ (Trn\ u))$ 
  else  $V.null$ 

```

lemma $arr\ hcomp_{CRC}$:

assumes $V.arr\ t$ **and** $V.arr\ u$ **and** $Dom\ t = Cod\ u$

shows $V.arr\ (t\ \star\ u)$

proof –

let $?a = Dom\ u$ **and** $?b = Cod\ u$ **and** $?c = Cod\ t$

interpret $Comp: binary\ simulation\ \langle Hom\ ?b\ ?c\rangle\ \langle Hom\ ?a\ ?b\rangle\ \langle Hom\ ?a\ ?c\rangle$
 $\langle \lambda(t, u). Comp\ ?a\ ?b\ ?c\ t\ u\rangle$

using $assms\ arr\ char\ binary\ simulation\ Comp$ **by** $auto$

let $?tu = MkArr\ ?a\ ?c\ (Comp\ ?a\ ?b\ ?c\ (Trn\ t)\ (Trn\ u))$

have $V.arr\ ?tu$

using $assms\ arr\ char\ Comp.preserves\ reflects\ arr$ [of $(Trn\ t, Trn\ u)$]

by $simp$

thus $?thesis$

using $assms\ hcomp\ def$ **by** $simp$

qed

lemma $Dom\ hcomp$ [simp]:

assumes $V.arr\ t$ **and** $V.arr\ u$ **and** $Dom\ t = Cod\ u$

shows $Dom\ (t\ \star\ u) = Dom\ u$

using $assms\ hcomp\ def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $Cod\ hcomp$ [simp]:

assumes $V.arr\ t$ **and** $V.arr\ u$ **and** $Dom\ t = Cod\ u$

shows $Cod\ (t\ \star\ u) = Cod\ t$

using $assms\ hcomp\ def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $Trn\ hcomp$ [simp]:

assumes $V.arr\ t$ **and** $V.arr\ u$ **and** $Dom\ t = Cod\ u$

shows $Trn\ (t\ \star\ u) = Comp\ (Dom\ u)\ (Cod\ u)\ (Cod\ t)\ (Trn\ t)\ (Trn\ u)$

using $assms\ hcomp\ def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $hcomp\ Null$ [simp]:

shows $t\ \star\ Null = Null$ **and** $Null\ \star\ u = Null$

using *hcomp-def null-char* **by** *fastforce+*

sublocale *H*: *Category.partial-magma hcomp*
using *hcomp-def V.not-arr-null*
by *unfold-locales metis*

lemma *null-coincidence_{CR}* [*simp*]:
shows $H.null = V.null$
using *hcomp-def*
by (*metis H.null-eqI V.not-arr-null*)

sublocale *H*: *partial-composition hcomp ..*

lemma *H-composable-char*:
shows $t \star u \neq V.null \iff V.arr\ t \wedge V.arr\ u \wedge Dom\ t = Cod\ u$
using *hcomp-def null-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *objI* [*intro*]:
assumes $t \neq V.null$
and $Dom\ t \in Obj$ **and** $Cod\ t = Dom\ t$ **and** $Trn\ t = Id\ (Dom\ t)$
shows $H.ide\ t$
using *assms*
by (*metis Comp-Hom-Id Comp-Id-Hom H.ide-def MkArr-Trn*
V.ide-implies-arr arrE hcomp-def ide-Id null-coincidence_{CR} staI)

lemma *objE* [*elim*]:
assumes $H.ide\ a$
and $\llbracket a \neq V.null; Dom\ a \in Obj; Cod\ a = Dom\ a; Trn\ a = Id\ (Dom\ a) \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
using *assms*
by (*metis Cod.simps(1) Dom.simps(1) H.ide-def H-composable-char*
Trn.simps(1) arr.simps(2) arr-char null-char null-coincidence_{CR} objI)

definition *mkobj*
where $mkobj\ A \equiv MkArr\ A\ A\ (Id\ A)$

lemma *mkobj-simps* [*simp*]:
shows $Dom\ (mkobj\ A) = A$ **and** $Cod\ (mkobj\ A) = A$
and $Trn\ (mkobj\ A) = Id\ A$
using *mkobj-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *obj-mkobj*:
assumes $A \in Obj$
shows $H.ide\ (mkobj\ A)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: mkobj-def null-char objI*)

lemma *obj-char*:
shows $H.ide\ a \iff V.arr\ a \wedge mkobj\ (Dom\ a) = a$

by (metis *H.ide-def H-composable-char MkArr-Trn arrE mkobj-def*
objE obj-mkobj)

lemma *obj-is-sta*:
 assumes *H.ide a*
 shows *V.ide a*
 using *assms ide-Id* by *fastforce*

lemma *obj-simps*:
 assumes *H.ide a*
 shows *Cod a = Dom a* and *Trn a = Id (Dom a)*
 using *assms* by *auto*

lemma *domains-char*:
 shows *H.domains t = {a. V.arr t ∧ mkobj (Dom t) = a}*
 unfolding *H.domains-def*
 using *H-composable-char obj-char obj-mkobj obj-simps(1)* by *auto*

lemma *codomains-char*:
 shows *H.codomains t = {a. V.arr t ∧ mkobj (Cod t) = a}*
 unfolding *H.codomains-def*
 using *H-composable-char obj-char obj-mkobj obj-simps(1)* by *auto*

lemma *H-arr-char*:
 shows *H.arr t ⟷ t ≠ Null ∧ Dom t ∈ Obj ∧ Cod t ∈ Obj ∧*
residuation.arr (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t)
 using *H.arr-def codomains-char domains-char arr-char* by *force*

lemma *H-arrI [intro]*:
 assumes *t ≠ V.null* and *Dom t ∈ Obj* and *Cod t ∈ Obj*
 and *residuation.arr (Hom (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t)*
 shows *H.arr t*
 using *assms H-arr-char null-char* by *auto*

lemma *H-seq-char*:
 shows *H.seq t u ⟷ V.arr t ∧ V.arr u ∧ Dom t = Cod u*
 by (metis *H-arr-char H-composable-char arr-char arr-hcomp_{CR} null-char*)

lemma *H-seqI [intro]*:
 assumes *V.arr t* and *V.arr u* and *Dom t = Cod u*
 shows *H.seq t u*
 using *assms H-seq-char* by *blast*

sublocale *H: category hcomp*

proof

show $\bigwedge t u. hcomp\ t\ u \neq H.null \implies H.seq\ t\ u$
 using *hcomp-def H-seq-char null-char*
 by (metis *null-coincidence_{CR}*)
 show $\bigwedge t. (H.domains\ t \neq \{\}) = (H.codomains\ t \neq \{\})$

```

    by (simp add: codomains-char domains-char)
  show  $\bigwedge h g f. \llbracket H.seq\ h\ g; H.seq\ (h \star g)\ f \rrbracket \implies H.seq\ g\ f$ 
    using H-seq-char
    by (metis Dom.simps(1) hcomp-def)
  show  $\bigwedge h g f. \llbracket H.seq\ h\ (g \star f); H.seq\ g\ f \rrbracket \implies H.seq\ h\ g$ 
    using H-seq-char
    by (metis Cod.simps(1) hcomp-def)
  show  $\bigwedge g f h. \llbracket H.seq\ g\ f; H.seq\ h\ g \rrbracket \implies H.seq\ (h \star g)\ f$ 
    using H-seq-char
    by (metis Dom.simps(1) arr-hcompCRC hcomp-def)
  show  $\bigwedge t u v. \llbracket H.seq\ u\ v; H.seq\ t\ u \rrbracket \implies (t \star u) \star v = t \star u \star v$ 
    using arr-hcompCRC H-seq-char H-composable-char null-char Comp-assoc
    apply (intro arr-eqI)
    apply auto[4]
    apply auto
    using H-arr-char arr-char by auto
qed

```

lemma *is-category*:
shows *category hcomp*
 ..

lemma *arr-coincidence_{CRC}* [*simp*]:
shows $H.arr = V.arr$
 using *H-arr-char arr-char* by *blast*

lemma *dom-char*:
shows $H.dom = (\lambda t. \text{if } H.arr\ t \text{ then } mkobj\ (Dom\ t) \text{ else } V.null)$
 using *domains-char H.dom-in-domains H.has-domain-iff-arr H.dom-def*
 by *auto*

lemma *dom-mkobj* [*simp*]:
assumes $A \in Obj$
shows $H.dom\ (mkobj\ A) = mkobj\ A$
 using *assms obj-mkobj* by *auto*

lemma *mkobj-Dom* [*simp*]:
assumes $H.ide\ a$
shows $mkobj\ (Dom\ a) = H.dom\ a$
 using *assms obj-char* by *auto*

lemma *cod-char*:
shows $H.cod = (\lambda t. \text{if } H.arr\ t \text{ then } mkobj\ (Cod\ t) \text{ else } V.null)$
 using *codomains-char H.cod-in-codomains H.has-codomain-iff-arr H.cod-def*
 by *auto*

lemma *cod-mkobj* [*simp*]:
assumes $A \in Obj$
shows $H.cod\ (mkobj\ A) = mkobj\ A$

```

using assms obj-mkobj by auto

lemma Dom-dom [simp]:
assumes V.arr t
shows  $Dom (H.dom t) = Dom t$ 
using assms dom-char mkobj-def by auto

lemma Dom-cod [simp]:
assumes V.arr t
shows  $Dom (H.cod t) = Cod t$ 
using assms cod-char mkobj-def by auto

lemma Cod-dom [simp]:
assumes V.arr t
shows  $Cod (H.dom t) = Dom t$ 
using assms dom-char mkobj-def by auto

lemma Cod-cod [simp]:
assumes V.arr t
shows  $Cod (H.cod t) = Cod t$ 
using assms cod-char mkobj-def by auto

lemma con-implies-par:
assumes V.con t u
shows H.par t u
using assms cod-char dom-char V.con-implies-arr(1-2) con-implies-Par(1-2)
by auto

lemma par-resid:
assumes V.con t u
shows H.par t (resid t u)
using assms cod-char dom-char V.con-implies-arr(1-2) con-implies-Par(1-2)
by auto

lemma simulation-dom:
shows simulation resid resid H.dom
using dom-char arr-char H-arr-char con-char
apply unfold-locales
apply presburger
apply (metis (no-types, lifting) H.arr-dom V.arrE)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.ide-dom par-resid V.ideE obj-is-sta)

lemma simulation-cod:
shows simulation resid resid H.cod
using cod-char arr-char H-arr-char con-char
apply unfold-locales
apply presburger
apply (metis (no-types, lifting) H.arr-cod V.arr-def)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.ide-cod par-resid V.ideE obj-is-sta)

```

```

sublocale dom: simulation resid resid H.dom
  using simulation-dom by blast
sublocale cod: simulation resid resid H.cod
  using simulation-cod by blast

sublocale VV: fibered-product-rts resid resid resid H.dom H.cod ..

sublocale HVV: simulation VV.resid resid
  ⟨λt. if VV.arr t then fst t ★ snd t else V.null⟩
proof
  let ?C = λt. if VV.arr t then fst t ★ snd t else V.null
  show ∧t. ¬ VV.arr t ⇒ ?C t = V.null
    by simp
  fix t u
  assume tu: VV.con t u
  have arr-t: VV.arr t and arr-u: VV.arr u
    using tu VV.con-implies-arr by blast+
  have t: V.arr (fst t) ∧ V.arr (snd t) ∧ Dom (fst t) = Cod (snd t)
    by (metis Cod-cod Cod-dom VV.arr-char arr-t)
  have u: V.arr (fst u) ∧ V.arr (snd u) ∧ Dom (fst u) = Cod (snd u)
    by (metis Cod-cod Cod-dom VV.arr-char arr-u)
  let ?a = Dom (snd t) and ?b = Cod (snd t) and ?c = Cod (fst t)
  have a: ?a ∈ Obj and b: ?b ∈ Obj and c: ?c ∈ Obj
    using tu VV.con-char VV.con-implies-arr sta-char arr-char by fast+
  interpret AB: extensional-rts ⟨Hom ?a ?b⟩
    using a b rts-Hom by simp
  interpret BC: extensional-rts ⟨Hom ?b ?c⟩
    using b c rts-Hom by simp
  interpret AC: extensional-rts ⟨Hom ?a ?c⟩
    using a c rts-Hom by simp
  interpret BCxAB: product-rts ⟨Hom ?b ?c⟩ ⟨Hom ?a ?b⟩ ..
  interpret Comp: binary-simulation ⟨Hom ?b ?c⟩ ⟨Hom ?a ?b⟩ ⟨Hom ?a ?c⟩
    ⟨λ(t', u'). Comp ?a ?b ?c t' u'⟩
    using a b c binary-simulation-Comp by simp
  have 0: BCxAB.con (Trn (fst t), Trn (snd t)) (Trn (fst u), Trn (snd u))
    by (metis BCxAB.con-char VV.con-char con-char fst-conv snd-conv t tu)
  have 1: Dom (snd u) = ?a and 2: Cod (fst u) = ?c
  and 3: Cod (snd u) = ?b
    using VV.con-char con-char tu by metis+
  show 4: ?C t ∼ ?C u
    using a c t u tu 0 1 2 3 VV.arr-char VV.con-char hcomp-def
      AC.con-implies-arr con-char
      Comp.preserves-con
      [of (Trn (fst t), Trn (snd t)) (Trn (fst u), Trn (snd u))]
    by simp
  show ?C (VV.resid t u) = ?C t \ ?C u
proof –
  have Con: VV.Con t u

```



```

    using tu VV.con-char by blast
  have ?C (VV.resid t u) = fst t \ fst u * snd t \ snd u
    using tu Con VV.arr-char VV.arr-resid VV.resid-def by auto
  also have ... = (fst t * snd t) \ (fst u * snd u)
    using t u tu arr-t arr-u 0 1 2 3 4 Con VV.con-char null-char
      H-composable-char BCxAB.resid-def Comp.preserves-resid
    by (intro arr-eqI) auto
  also have ... = ?C t \ ?C u
    using arr-t arr-u by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *simulation-hcomp*:
shows *simulation* VV.resid resid (λt . if VV.arr t then fst t * snd t else V.null)
 ..

lemma *Dom-src* [*simp*]:
assumes V.arr t
shows Dom (V.src t) = Dom t
 using *assms con-implies-Par(1)* by *simp*

lemma *Dom-trg* [*simp*]:
assumes V.arr t
shows Dom (V.trg t) = Dom t
 using *assms V.trg-def* by *simp*

lemma *Cod-src* [*simp*]:
assumes V.arr t
shows Cod (V.src t) = Cod t
 using *assms con-implies-Par(2)* by *simp*

lemma *Cod-trg* [*simp*]:
assumes V.arr t
shows Cod (V.trg t) = Cod t
 using *assms V.trg-def* by *simp*

lemma *dom-src_{CR}* [*simp*]:
shows H.dom (V.src t) = H.dom t
 by (*metis V.arr-src-iff-arr V.con-arr-src(2) con-implies-par dom.extensional*)

lemma *dom-trg_{CR}* [*simp*]:
shows H.dom (V.trg t) = H.dom t
 by (*metis H.dom-dom V.arr-def V.trg-def dom.extensional null-char par-resid trg-char*)

lemma *cod-src_{CR}* [*simp*]:
shows H.cod (V.src t) = H.cod t
 by (*simp add: cod-char V.arr-src-iff-arr*)

```

lemma cod-trgCR [simp]:
shows H.cod (V.trg t) = H.cod t
  by (simp add: cod-char V.arr-trg-iff-arr)

lemma src-domCR [simp]:
shows V.src (H.dom t) = H.dom t
  by (metis H.ide-dom dom-char V.src-ide arr-char src-char null-char obj-is-sta)

lemma trg-domCR [simp]:
shows V.trg (H.dom t) = H.dom t
  by (metis H.cod-dom V.ide-iff-src-self V.trg-ide cod.extensional
      null-char src-domCR trg-char)

lemma src-codCR [simp]:
shows V.src (H.cod t) = H.cod t
  by (metis H.dom-cod src-domCR)

lemma trg-codCR [simp]:
shows V.trg (H.cod t) = H.cod t
  by (metis cod.extensional cod.preserves-trg cod-trgCR dom.extensional
      trg-domCR)

sublocale rts-category resid hcomp
  by unfold-locales auto

proposition is-rts-category:
shows rts-category resid hcomp
  ..

The elements of the originally given set Obj are in bijective correspon-
dence with the objects of the constructed RTS-category.

lemma bij-mkobj:
shows mkobj ∈ Obj → Collect obj
and Dom ∈ Collect obj → Obj
and  $\bigwedge A. \text{Dom (mkobj } A) = A$ 
and  $\bigwedge a. \text{obj } a \implies \text{mkobj (Dom } a) = a$ 
and bij-betw mkobj Obj (Collect obj)
and bij-betw Dom (Collect obj) Obj
  using obj-mkobj obj-char arr-char
  apply auto[6]
  by (intro bij-betwI, auto)+

abbreviation MkArrext
where MkArrext A B ≡
   $\lambda t. \text{if residuation.arr (Hom } A \ B) \ t \text{ then MkArr } A \ B \ t \text{ else Null}$ 

abbreviation Trnext
where Trnext a b ≡

```

$\lambda t.$ if *residuation.arr* (*HOM a b*) *t* then *Trn t*
 else *ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null*
 (*Hom (Dom a) (Dom b)*)

lemma *inverse-simulations-Trn-MkArr:*

assumes *A* \in *Obj* and *B* \in *Obj*

shows *inverse-simulations* (*Hom A B*) (*HOM (mkobj A) (mkobj B)*)
 (*Trnext (mkobj A) (mkobj B)*) (*MkArr_ext A B*)

proof –

interpret *Hom-AB:* *rts* \langle *Hom A B* \rangle

using *assms*

by (*simp add: extensional-rts.axioms(1) rts-Hom*)

let *?a* = *mkobj A* and *?b* = *mkobj B*

let *?F* = *MkArr_ext A B*

let *?G* = *Trnext (mkobj A) (mkobj B)*

have *a: obj ?a* and *b: obj ?b*

using *assms obj-char obj-mkobj* **by** *auto*

interpret *HOM-ab:* *sub-rts resid* \langle $\lambda t. \langle t : ?a \rightarrow ?b \rangle$ \rangle

using *assms sub-rts-HOM* **by** *simp*

interpret *F:* *simulation* \langle *Hom A B* \rangle \langle *HOM ?a ?b* \rangle *?F*

using *assms a b HOM-ab.con-char HOM-ab.null-char null-char*

Hom-AB.con-implies-arr(1-2) H.in-homI cod-char dom-char arr-char

HOM-ab.resid-def con-char

by *unfold-locales auto*

interpret *G:* *simulation* \langle *HOM ?a ?b* \rangle \langle *Hom A B* \rangle *?G*

proof

show $\bigwedge t. \neg$ *HOM-ab.arr t* \implies *Trnext ?a ?b t* = *Hom-AB.null*

by *simp*

fix *t u*

assume *tu: HOM-ab.con t u*

show *con: Hom-AB.con (?G t) (?G u)*

using *assms a b tu HOM-ab.arr-char HOM-ab.con-char con-char*

apply *auto[1]*

by (*metis Cod.simps(1) Cod-cod Cod-dom H.in-homE arr-char mkobj-def*)

show *?G (HOM ?a ?b t u)* = *Hom A B (?G t) (?G u)*

using *assms tu con HOM-ab.con-char HOM-ab.resid-def HOM-ab.resid-closed*

apply *auto[1]*

by (*metis Cod-dom Dom-cod H.in-homE HOM-ab.inclusion mkobj-simps(1-2)*)

qed

show *inverse-simulations* (*Hom A B*) (*HOM ?a ?b*) *?G ?F*

proof

show *?F* \circ *?G* = *I HOM-ab.resid*

proof

fix *t*

show (*?F* \circ *?G*) *t* = *I HOM-ab.resid t*

using *assms a b MkArr-Trn HOM-ab.arr-char HOM-ab.null-char*

arr-char null-char

apply *auto[1]*

apply (*metis Cod-dom Dom-cod H.in-homE HOM-ab.inclusion mkobj-simps(1-2)*)

```

      by (metis Cod-dom Dom-cod H.in-homE H-arr-char mkobj-simps(1-2))
    qed
  show ?G ∘ ?F = I (Hom A B)
    using assms a b by auto
  qed
end

```

Each hom-RTS of the constructed RTS-category is isomorphic to the corresponding RTS given by *Hom*.

```

lemma isomorphic-rts-Hom-HOM:
  assumes A ∈ Obj and B ∈ Obj
  shows isomorphic-rts (Hom A B) (HOM (mkobj A) (mkobj B))
    using assms inverse-simulations-Trn-MkArr isomorphic-rts-def by blast

```

end

end

4.3 The RTS-Category of RTS's and Transformations

```

theory RTSCatx
imports Main ConcreteRTSCategory
begin

```

In this section we apply the *concrete-rts-category* construction to create an RTS-category, taking the set of all small extensional RTS's at a given arrow type as the objects and the exponential RTS's formed from these as the hom's, so that the arrows correspond to transformations and the arrows that are identities with respect to the residuation correspond to simulations. We prove that the resulting category, which we will refer to in informal text as **RTS**[†], is cartesian closed. For that to hold, we need to start with the assumption that the underlying arrow type is a universe.

```

locale rtscatx =
  universe arr-type
  for arr-type :: 'A itself
begin

  sublocale concrete-rts-category
    ⟨TYPE('A resid)⟩ ⟨TYPE(('A, 'A) exponential-rts.arr)⟩
    ⟨Collect extensional-rts ∩ Collect small-rts⟩
    ⟨λA B. exponential-rts.resid A B⟩
    ⟨λA. exponential-rts.MkArr (I A) (I A) (I A)⟩
    ⟨λA B C f g. COMP.map A B C (f, g)⟩
  proof (intro concrete-rts-category.intro)
    show ∧A B. [A ∈ Collect extensional-rts ∩ Collect small-rts;
      B ∈ Collect extensional-rts ∩ Collect small-rts]
  qed
end

```

```

     $\implies$  extensional-rts (exponential-rts.resid A B)
  by (metis CollectD Int-Collect exponential-rts.intro
      exponential-rts.is-extensional-rts extensional-rts.extensional
      small-rts.axioms(1) weakly-extensional-rts.intro
      weakly-extensional-rts-axioms.intro)
  show  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{Collect } \textit{extensional-rts} \cap \text{Collect } \textit{small-rts} \implies$ 
      residuation.ide (exponential-rts.resid A A)
      (exponential-rts.MkArr (I A) (I A) (I A))
  proof -
    fix A :: 'a resid
    assume A: A  $\in$  Collect extensional-rts  $\cap$  Collect small-rts
    show residuation.ide (exponential-rts.resid A A)
      (exponential-rts.MkIde (I A))
    proof -
      interpret A: extensional-rts A using A by blast
      interpret I: identity-simulation A ..
      interpret AA: exponential-rts A A ..
      show AA.ide (AA.MkIde (I A))
        using AA.ide-MkIde I.simulation-axioms by blast
    qed
  qed
  fix A :: 'a resid and B :: 'a resid
  assume A: A  $\in$  Collect extensional-rts  $\cap$  Collect small-rts
  and B: B  $\in$  Collect extensional-rts  $\cap$  Collect small-rts
  interpret A: extensional-rts A using A by blast
  interpret B: extensional-rts B using B by blast
  interpret IA: identity-simulation A ..
  interpret IA: simulation-as-transformation A A  $\langle$ I A $\rangle$  ..
  interpret IB: identity-simulation B ..
  interpret IB: simulation-as-transformation B B  $\langle$ I B $\rangle$  ..
  interpret AA: exponential-rts A A ..
  interpret BB: exponential-rts B B ..
  interpret AB: exponential-rts A B ..
  interpret Cmp-AAB: COMP A A B ..
  interpret Cmp-ABB: COMP A B B ..
  show  $\bigwedge t. AB.\textit{arr} t \implies \textit{Cmp-AAB.map} (t, AA.\textit{MkIde} (I A)) = t$ 
  proof -
    fix t
    assume t: AB.arr t
    interpret t: transformation A B  $\langle$ AB.Dom t $\rangle$   $\langle$ AB.Cod t $\rangle$   $\langle$ AB.Map t $\rangle$ 
      using t AB.arr-char by blast
    show Cmp-AAB.map (t, AA.MkIde (I A)) = t
    proof -
      have AB.Dom t  $\circ$  AA.Dom (AA.MkIde (I A)) = AB.Dom t
        using t.F.simulation-axioms comp-simulation-identity by auto
      moreover have AB.Cod t  $\circ$  AA.Cod (AA.MkIde (I A)) = AB.Cod t
        using t.G.simulation-axioms comp-simulation-identity by auto
      moreover have AB.Map t  $\circ$  AA.Map (AA.MkIde (I A)) = AB.Map t
        using t.extensional by auto
    qed
  qed

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis
  using t Cmp-AAB.map-eq AB.MkArr-Map AB.arr-char
  IA.transformation-axioms
  by auto
qed
qed
show  $\bigwedge u. AB.arr\ u \implies Cmp-ABB.map\ (BB.MkIde\ (I\ B),\ u) = u$ 
proof -
  fix u
  assume u: AB.arr u
  interpret u: transformation A B  $\langle AB.Dom\ u \rangle \langle AB.Cod\ u \rangle \langle AB.Map\ u \rangle$ 
  using u AB.arr-char by blast
  show Cmp-ABB.map (BB.MkIde (I B), u) = u
  proof -
    have BB.Dom (BB.MkIde (I B))  $\circ$  AB.Dom u = AB.Dom u
      using u.F.simulation-axioms comp-identity-simulation by auto
    moreover have BB.Cod (BB.MkIde (I B))  $\circ$  AB.Cod u = AB.Cod u
      using u.G.simulation-axioms comp-identity-simulation by auto
    moreover have BB.Map (BB.MkIde (I B))  $\circ$  AB.Map u = AB.Map u
  proof
    fix x
    show (BB.Map (BB.MkIde (I B))  $\circ$  AB.Map u) x = AB.Map u x
      using u.extensional u.preserves-arr by auto metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using u Cmp-ABB.map-eq AB.MkArr-Map AB.arr-char
    IB.transformation-axioms
    by auto
  qed
qed
fix C :: 'a resid
assume C: C  $\in$  Collect extensional-rts  $\cap$  Collect small-rts
interpret C: extensional-rts C using C by blast
interpret BC: exponential-rts B C ..
interpret AC: exponential-rts A C ..
interpret BCxAB: product-rts BC.resid AB.resid ..
interpret Cmp-ABC: COMP A B C ..
show binary-simulation BC.resid AB.resid AC.resid
  ( $\lambda(t, u). Cmp-ABC.map\ (t, u)$ )
  using Cmp-ABC.simulation-axioms BCxAB.product-rts-axioms
  BC.rts-axioms AB.rts-axioms AC.rts-axioms binary-simulation.intro
  by auto
fix D :: 'a resid
assume D: D  $\in$  Collect extensional-rts  $\cap$  Collect small-rts
interpret D: extensional-rts D using D by blast
interpret BD: exponential-rts B D ..
interpret CD: exponential-rts C D ..
interpret Cmp-ABD: COMP A B D ..
interpret Cmp-BCD: COMP B C D ..

```

```

interpret Cmp-ACD: COMP A C D ..
show  $\bigwedge t u v. \llbracket CD.arr\ t; BC.arr\ u; AB.arr\ v \rrbracket \implies$ 
      COMP.map A B D (COMP.map B C D (t, u), v) =
      COMP.map A C D (t, COMP.map A B C (u, v))
proof –
  fix t u v
  assume t: CD.arr t and u: BC.arr u and v: AB.arr v
  have transformation A C
    (AC.Dom u  $\circ$  AC.Dom v) (AC.Cod u  $\circ$  AC.Cod v)
    (AC.Map u  $\circ$  AC.Map v)
  using t u v Preliminaries.horizontal-composite
  by (metis A.extensional-rts-axioms AB.arrE B.extensional-rts-axioms
      BC.arrE C.extensional-rts-axioms)
  moreover
  have transformation B D
    (BD.Dom t  $\circ$  BD.Dom u) (BD.Cod t  $\circ$  BD.Cod u)
    (BD.Map t  $\circ$  BD.Map u)
  using t u v Preliminaries.horizontal-composite
  by (metis B.extensional-rts-axioms BC.arrE C.extensional-rts-axioms
      CD.arrE D.extensional-rts-axioms)
  ultimately
  show COMP.map A B D (COMP.map B C D (t, u), v) =
      COMP.map A C D (t, COMP.map A B C (u, v))
  using t u v Cmp-ABD.map-eq Cmp-BCD.map-eq Cmp-ACD.map-eq
      Cmp-ABC.map-eq
  by auto
qed
qed

type-synonym 'a arr =
  ('a resid, ('a, 'a) exponential-rts.arr) concrete-rts-category.arr

```

```

notation resid (infix \ 70)
notation hcomp (infixr * 53)

```

The mapping *Trn* that takes arrow $t \in H.hom\ a\ b$ to the underlying transition of the exponential RTS $[Dom\ a, Dom\ b]$, is injective.

```

lemma inj-Trn:
assumes obj a and obj b
shows  $Trn \in H.hom\ a\ b \rightarrow$ 
      Collect (residuation.arr (exponential-rts.resid (Dom a) (Dom b)))
and inj-on Trn (H.hom a b)
proof
  interpret A: extensional-rts  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle$ 
  using assms obj-char arr-char by blast
  interpret B: extensional-rts  $\langle Dom\ b \rangle$ 
  using assms obj-char arr-char by blast
  interpret AB: exponential-rts  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle\ \langle Dom\ b \rangle ..$ 
  show  $\bigwedge x. x \in H.hom\ a\ b \implies Trn\ x \in Collect\ AB.arr$ 

```

```

using assms arr-char H.in-homE by auto
show inj-on Trn (H.hom a b)
proof
  fix t u
  assume t: t ∈ H.hom a b and u: u ∈ H.hom a b
  assume tu: Trn t = Trn u
  show t = u
    using t u tu AB.arr-eqI
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis H.comp-arr-dom H.comp-cod-arr H.in-homE H-seq-char
      MkArr-Trn)
qed
qed

```

```

sublocale locally-small-rts-category resid hcomp
proof
  fix a b
  assume a: obj a and b: obj b
  interpret A: extensional-rts ⟨Dom a⟩
    using a obj-char arr-char by blast
  interpret A: small-rts ⟨Dom a⟩
    using a obj-char arr-char by blast
  interpret B: extensional-rts ⟨Dom b⟩
    using b obj-char arr-char by blast
  interpret B: small-rts ⟨Dom b⟩
    using b obj-char arr-char by blast
  interpret AB: exponential-of-small-rts ⟨Dom a⟩ ⟨Dom b⟩ ..
  have Trn ‘ H.hom a b ⊆ Collect AB.arr
    using H.arr-char image-subset-iff by auto
  moreover have inj-on Trn (H.hom a b)
    using a b inj-Trn by blast
  ultimately show small (H.hom a b)
    using a b AB.small smaller-than-small small-image-iff inj-Trn by metis
qed

```

```

abbreviation sta-in-hom («- : - →sta -»)
where sta-in-hom f a b ≡ H.in-hom f a b ∧ sta f

```

```

abbreviation trn-to («- : - ⇒ -»)
where trn-to t f g ≡ arr t ∧ src t = f ∧ trg t = g

```

```

definition mkarr :: 'A resid ⇒ 'A resid ⇒
  ('A ⇒ 'A) ⇒ ('A ⇒ 'A) ⇒ ('A ⇒ 'A) ⇒
  'A arr

```

```

where mkarr A B F G τ ≡
  MkArr A B (exponential-rts.MkArr F G τ)

```

```

abbreviation mksta
where mksta A B F ≡ mkarr A B F F F

```


lemma *Dom-mkarr* [*simp*]:
shows $Dom (mkarr A B F G \tau) = A$
unfolding *mkarr-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Cod-mkarr* [*simp*]:
shows $Cod (mkarr A B F G \tau) = B$
unfolding *mkarr-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *arr-mkarr* [*intro*]:
assumes *small-rts A* **and** *extensional-rts A*
and *small-rts B* **and** *extensional-rts B*
and *transformation A B F G τ*
shows $arr (mkarr A B F G \tau)$
and $src (mkarr A B F G \tau) = mksta A B F$
and $trg (mkarr A B F G \tau) = mksta A B G$
and $dom (mkarr A B F G \tau) = mkobj A$
and $cod (mkarr A B F G \tau) = mkobj B$
proof –
interpret *A: extensional-rts A*
using *assms* **by** *simp*
interpret *B: extensional-rts B*
using *assms* **by** *simp*
interpret *AB: exponential-rts A B ..*
interpret τ : *transformation A B F G τ*
using *assms(5)* **by** *blast*
show 1: $arr (mkarr A B F G \tau)$
unfolding *mkarr-def*
using *assms arr-char* **by** *auto*
show $src (mkarr A B F G \tau) = mksta A B F$
and $trg (mkarr A B F G \tau) = mksta A B G$
and $dom (mkarr A B F G \tau) = mkobj A$
and $cod (mkarr A B F G \tau) = mkobj B$
unfolding *mkarr-def*
using *assms 1 src-char trg-char AB.src-char AB.trg-char*
dom-char cod-char
by *auto*
qed

lemma *mkarr-simps* [*simp*]:
assumes $arr (mkarr A B F G \sigma)$
shows $dom (mkarr A B F G \sigma) = mkobj A$
and $cod (mkarr A B F G \sigma) = mkobj B$
and $src (mkarr A B F G \sigma) = mksta A B F$
and $trg (mkarr A B F G \sigma) = mksta A B G$
using *assms arr-mkarr dom-char cod-char* **apply** *auto[4]*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Cod-mkarr CollectD Dom-mkarr Int-Collect*
Trn.simps(1) arrE exponential-rts.arr-MkArr exponential-rts.intro
extensional-rts.axioms(1) extensional-rts.extensional mkarr-def)

weakly-extensional-rts.intro weakly-extensional-rts-axioms.intro)+

lemma *sta-mksta* [*intro*]:
assumes *small-rts A* **and** *extensional-rts A*
and *small-rts B* **and** *extensional-rts B*
and *simulation A B F*
shows *sta (mksta A B F)*
and *dom (mksta A B F) = mkobj A* **and** *cod (mksta A B F) = mkobj B*
proof –
 interpret *A: extensional-rts A*
 using *assms* **by** *blast*
 interpret *B: extensional-rts B*
 using *assms* **by** *blast*
 interpret *F: simulation A B F*
 using *assms* **by** *blast*
 interpret *F: simulation-as-transformation A B F ..*
 show *sta (mksta A B F)*
 using *assms F.transformation-axioms arr-mkarr V.ide-iff-src-self*
 by *presburger*
 show *dom (mksta A B F) = mkobj A*
 using *assms F.transformation-axioms arr-mkarr(4)* **by** *blast*
 show *cod (mksta A B F) = mkobj B*
 using *assms F.transformation-axioms arr-mkarr(5)* **by** *blast*
qed

abbreviation *Src*
where *Src* \equiv *exponential-rts.Dom* \circ *Trn*

abbreviation *Trg*
where *Trg* \equiv *exponential-rts.Cod* \circ *Trn*

abbreviation *Map*
where *Map* \equiv *exponential-rts.Map* \circ *Trn*

lemma *Src-mkarr* [*simp*]:
assumes *arr (mkarr A B F G σ)*
shows *Src (mkarr A B F G σ) = F*
 using *assms*
 by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) Int-Collect Trn.simps(1) arrE comp-apply*
 exponential-rts.Dom.simps(1) exponential-rts.intro
 extensional-rts.axioms(1) extensional-rts.extensional mem-Collect-eq
 mkarr-def weakly-extensional-rts.intro
 weakly-extensional-rts-axioms.intro)

lemma *Trg-mkarr* [*simp*]:
assumes *arr (mkarr A B F G σ)*
shows *Trg (mkarr A B F G σ) = G*
 using *assms*
 by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) Int-Collect Trn.simps(1) arrE comp-apply*)

exponential-rts.Cod.simps(1) exponential-rts.intro
extensional-rts.axioms(1) extensional-rts.extensional mem-Collect-eq
mkarr-def weakly-extensional-rts.intro
weakly-extensional-rts-axioms.intro

lemma *Map-simps [simp]*:
assumes *arr t*
shows $Map (dom\ t) = I (Dom\ t)$
and $Map (cod\ t) = I (Cod\ t)$
and $Map (src\ t) = Src\ t$
and $Map (trg\ t) = Trg\ t$
proof –
interpret *A: extensional-rts* $\langle Dom\ t \rangle$
using *assms arr-char* **by** *blast*
interpret *B: extensional-rts* $\langle Cod\ t \rangle$
using *assms arr-char* **by** *blast*
interpret *AB: exponential-rts* $\langle Dom\ t \rangle \langle Cod\ t \rangle ..$
show $Map (dom\ t) = I (Dom\ t)$
using *assms dom-char* **by** *simp*
show $Map (cod\ t) = I (Cod\ t)$
using *assms cod-char* **by** *simp*
show $Map (src\ t) = Src\ t$
using *assms arr-char src-char* **by** *simp*
show $Map (trg\ t) = Trg\ t$
using *assms arr-char trg-char* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *src-simp*:
assumes *arr t*
shows $src\ t = mksta (Dom\ t) (Cod\ t) (Src\ t)$
proof –
interpret *A: extensional-rts* $\langle Dom\ t \rangle$
using *assms arr-char* **by** *blast*
interpret *B: extensional-rts* $\langle Cod\ t \rangle$
using *assms arr-char* **by** *blast*
interpret *AB: exponential-rts* $\langle Dom\ t \rangle \langle Cod\ t \rangle ..$
show *?thesis*
using *assms mkarr-def src-char AB.src-char* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *trg-simp*:
assumes *arr t*
shows $trg\ t = mksta (Dom\ t) (Cod\ t) (Trg\ t)$
proof –
interpret *A: extensional-rts* $\langle Dom\ t \rangle$
using *assms arr-char* **by** *blast*
interpret *B: extensional-rts* $\langle Cod\ t \rangle$
using *assms arr-char* **by** *blast*
interpret *AB: exponential-rts* $\langle Dom\ t \rangle \langle Cod\ t \rangle ..$

```

show ?thesis
  using assms mkarr-def trg-char AB.trg-char by auto
qed

```

The mapping *Map* that takes a transition to its underlying transformation, is a bijection, which cuts down to a bijection between states and simulations.

```

lemma bij-mkarr:
assumes small-rts A and extensional-rts A
and small-rts B and extensional-rts B
and simulation A B F and simulation A B G
shows mkarr A B F G  $\in$  Collect (transformation A B F G)
   $\rightarrow$   $\{t. \langle t : \text{mksta } A B F \Rightarrow \text{mksta } A B G \rangle\}$ 
and Map  $\in$   $\{t. \langle t : \text{mksta } A B F \Rightarrow \text{mksta } A B G \rangle\}$ 
   $\rightarrow$  Collect (transformation A B F G)
and [simp]: Map (mkarr A B F G  $\tau$ ) =  $\tau$ 
and [simp]:  $t \in \{t. \langle t : \text{mksta } A B F \Rightarrow \text{mksta } A B G \rangle\}$ 
   $\implies$  mkarr A B F G (Map t) = t
and bij-betw (mkarr A B F G) (Collect (transformation A B F G))
   $\{t. \langle t : \text{mksta } A B F \Rightarrow \text{mksta } A B G \rangle\}$ 
and bij-betw Map  $\{t. \langle t : \text{mksta } A B F \Rightarrow \text{mksta } A B G \rangle\}$ 
  (Collect (transformation A B F G))
proof –
  interpret A: extensional-rts A
    using assms by simp
  interpret B: extensional-rts B
    using assms by simp
  interpret AB: exponential-rts A B ..
  show 1: mkarr A B F G  $\in$ 
    Collect (transformation A B F G)
     $\rightarrow$   $\{t. \langle t : \text{mksta } A B F \Rightarrow \text{mksta } A B G \rangle\}$ 
    using assms(1,3) src-char A.extensional-rts-axioms
      B.extensional-rts-axioms arr-mkarr(1-3)
    by auto
  show 2: Map  $\in$   $\{t. \langle t : \text{mksta } A B F \Rightarrow \text{mksta } A B G \rangle\}$ 
     $\rightarrow$  Collect (transformation A B F G)
    using assms arr-char src-char trg-char mkarr-def
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis AB.Map.simps(1) AB.Map-src AB.Map-trg AB.arr-char)
  show 3:  $\bigwedge \tau. \text{Map (mkarr A B F G } \tau) = \tau$ 
    using mkarr-def by simp
  show 4:  $\bigwedge t. t \in \{t. \langle t : \text{mksta } A B F \Rightarrow \text{mksta } A B G \rangle\}$ 
     $\implies$  mkarr A B F G (Map t) = t
    using AB.MkArr-Map AB.arr-char MkArr-Trn arr-char src-char trg-char
      mkarr-def
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis AB.Map.simps(1) AB.Map-src AB.Map-trg)
  show bij-betw (mkarr A B F G) (Collect (transformation A B F G))
     $\{t. \langle t : \text{mksta } A B F \Rightarrow \text{mksta } A B G \rangle\}$ 

```

```

using 1 2 3 4 by (intro bij-betwI)
show bij-betw Map {t. «t : mksta A B F ⇒ mksta A B G»}
      (Collect (transformation A B F G))
using 1 2 3 4 by (intro bij-betwI)
qed

```

lemma *bij-mksta*:

```

assumes small-rts A and extensional-rts A
and small-rts B and extensional-rts B
shows mksta A B ∈ Collect (simulation A B)
      → {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}
and Map ∈ {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}
      → Collect (simulation A B)
and [simp]: Map (mksta A B F) = F
and [simp]: t ∈ {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}
      ⇒ mksta A B (Map t) = t
and bij-betw (mksta A B) (Collect (simulation A B))
      {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}
and bij-betw Map {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}
      (Collect (simulation A B))

```

proof –

```

interpret A: extensional-rts A
using assms by simp
interpret A: small-rts A
using assms by simp
interpret B: extensional-rts B
using assms by simp
interpret B: small-rts B
using assms by simp
interpret AB: exponential-rts A B ..
show 1: mksta A B ∈ Collect (simulation A B)
      → {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}

```

proof

```

fix F
assume F: F ∈ Collect (simulation A B)
interpret F: simulation A B F
using F by blast
interpret F: simulation-as-transformation A B F ..
show mksta A B F ∈ {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}
      using assms F sta-mksta A.small-rts-axioms F.transformation-axioms
      by auto

```

qed

```

show 2: Map ∈ {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}
      → Collect (simulation A B)
      using AB.ide-charERTS cod-char dom-char mkobj-def sta-char by auto
show 3: ∧F. Map (mksta A B F) = F
      using mkarr-def by auto
show 4: ∧t. t ∈ {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}
      ⇒ mksta A B (Map t) = t

```

```

using AB.Map.simps(1) Trn.simps(1) AB.MkArr-Map AB.arr-char mkarr-def
apply auto[1]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) AB.MkIde-Map Dom-cod Dom-dom H.in-homE
      MkArr-Trn V.ide-implies-arr mkobj-simps(1) sta-char)
show bij-betw (mksta A B) (Collect (simulation A B))
      {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}
using assms 1 2 3 4 sta-mksta
apply (intro bij-betwI)
by (auto simp add: dom-char cod-char)
show bij-betw Map {t. «t : mkobj A →sta mkobj B»}
      (Collect (simulation A B))
using assms 1 2 3 4 sta-mksta
apply (intro bij-betwI)
by (auto simp add: dom-char cod-char)
qed

```

```

lemma mkarr-comp:
assumes small-rts A and extensional-rts A
and small-rts B and extensional-rts B
and small-rts C and extensional-rts C
and transformation A B F G σ
and transformation B C H K τ
shows mkarr A C (H ∘ F) (K ∘ G) (τ ∘ σ) =
      mkarr B C H K τ ★ mkarr A B F G σ
proof –
interpret COMP: COMP A B C
using assms COMP.intro by blast
interpret σ: transformation A B F G σ
using assms by simp
interpret τ: transformation B C H K τ
using assms by simp
show ?thesis
unfolding hcomp-def mkarr-def
using assms sta-mksta COMP.map-eq by auto
qed

```

```

lemma mkarr-resid:
assumes small-rts A ∧ extensional-rts A
and small-rts B ∧ extensional-rts B
and consistent-transformations A B F G H σ τ
shows mkarr A B F G σ ∩ mkarr A B F H τ
and mkarr A B H (consistent-transformations.apex A B H σ τ)
      (consistent-transformations.resid A B H σ τ) =
      mkarr A B F G σ \ mkarr A B F H τ
proof –
interpret A: extensional-rts A
using assms by simp
interpret B: extensional-rts B
using assms by simp

```

```

interpret AB: exponential-rts A B ..
interpret  $\sigma\tau$ : consistent-transformations A B F G H  $\sigma$   $\tau$ 
  using assms by blast
show 1: mkarr A B F G  $\sigma$   $\frown$  mkarr A B F H  $\tau$ 
  using assms  $\sigma\tau$ .con con-char AB.con-char mkarr-def
  by (simp add:  $\sigma\tau$ . $\sigma$ .transformation-axioms  $\sigma\tau$ . $\tau$ .transformation-axioms)
show mkarr A B H  $\sigma\tau$ .apex  $\sigma\tau$ .resid =
  mkarr A B F G  $\sigma$  \ mkarr A B F H  $\tau$ 
  unfolding mkarr-def
  using assms Trn-resid AB.resid-def AB.Apex-def AB.con-char
   $\sigma\tau$ . $\sigma$ .transformation-axioms  $\sigma\tau$ . $\tau$ .transformation-axioms
   $\sigma\tau$ .con
  by (intro arr-eqI) auto
qed

```

```

lemma Map-hcomp:
assumes H.seq t u
shows Map (t  $\star$  u) = Map t  $\circ$  Map u
proof –
  interpret COMP  $\langle$ Dom u $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod u $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$ 
  using assms arr-char COMP.intro H.seq-char by auto
  have t: arr t and u: arr u
  using assms by fastforce+
  have tu: Dom t = Cod u
  using assms H.seq-char by blast
  show ?thesis
  unfolding hcomp-def
  using assms tu t u map-eq H.ext arr-char by auto
qed

```

```

lemma Map-resid:
assumes V.con t u
shows consistent-transformations (Dom t) (Cod t)
  (Src t) (Trg t) (Trg u) (Map t) (Map u)
and Map (t \ u) =
  consistent-transformations.resid (Dom t) (Cod t) (Trg u)
  (Map t) (Map u)
proof –
  interpret A: extensional-rts  $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$ 
  using assms arr-char V.con-implies-arr by blast
  interpret B: extensional-rts  $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$ 
  using assms arr-char V.con-implies-arr by blast
  interpret AB: exponential-rts  $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$  ..
  have 1: Dom t = Dom u and Cod t = Cod u
  using assms con-implies-Par(1–2) by auto
  have 2: Src t = Src u
  using assms con-char AB.con-char by simp
  interpret T: transformation  $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$ 
   $\langle$ Src t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Trg t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Map t $\rangle$ 

```

```

using assms arr-char AB.arr-char [of Trn t] V.con-implies-arr
by simp
interpret U: transformation ⟨Dom t⟩ ⟨Cod t⟩
           ⟨Src t⟩ ⟨Trg u⟩ ⟨Map u⟩
using assms 1 2 con-char arr-char [of u] AB.arr-char V.con-implies-arr
by simp
interpret TU: consistent-transformations ⟨Dom t⟩ ⟨Cod t⟩
           ⟨Src t⟩ ⟨Trg t⟩ ⟨Trg u⟩
           ⟨Map t⟩ ⟨Map u⟩
using assms con-char AB.con-char
by unfold-locales auto
show consistent-transformations (Dom t) (Cod t)
      (Src t) (Trg t) (Trg u)
      (Map t) (Map u)
..
show Map (t \ u) =
      consistent-transformations.resid (Dom t) (Cod t) (Trg u)
      (Map t) (Map u)
using assms con-char AB.con-char AB.Map-resid by auto
qed

```

```

lemma simulation-Map-sta:
assumes sta f
shows simulation (Dom f) (Cod f) (Map f)
by (metis Map-resid(1) Map-simps(3) V.ideE V.ide-implies-arr
      V.src-ide assms consistent-transformations.axioms(6)
      transformation.axioms(3))

```

```

lemma transformation-Map-arr:
assumes arr t
shows transformation (Dom t) (Cod t) (Src t) (Trg t) (Map t)
by (meson Map-resid(1) V.arrE assms
      consistent-transformations.axioms(6))

```

```

lemma iso-char:
shows H.iso t ⟷ arr t ∧ Src t = Map t ∧ Trg t = Map t ∧
      invertible-simulation (Dom t) (Cod t) (Map t)

```

```

proof
assume t: H.iso t
have 1: arr t
      using t H.iso-is-arr by simp
interpret A: extensional-rts ⟨Dom t⟩
      using 1 arr-char by blast
interpret B: extensional-rts ⟨Cod t⟩
      using 1 arr-char by blast
interpret AB: exponential-rts ⟨Dom t⟩ ⟨Cod t⟩ ..
interpret BA: exponential-rts ⟨Cod t⟩ ⟨Dom t⟩ ..
show arr t ∧ Src t = Map t ∧ Trg t = Map t ∧
      invertible-simulation (Dom t) (Cod t) (Map t)

```



```

proof (intro conjI)
  show arr t by fact
  obtain u where tu: H.inverse-arrows t u
    using t H.iso-def by blast
  have 2: V.ide t  $\wedge$  V.ide u
    using tu iso-implies-sta by auto
  have 3: Dom u = Cod t  $\wedge$  Cod u = Dom t
    using tu
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.inverse-arrowsE H-composable-char
      V.not-ide-null obj-is-sta)
  show Src t = Map t and Trg t = Map t
    using 2 sta-char AB.ide-charERTS by auto
  let ?T = Map t and ?U = Map u
  interpret T: simulation  $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$  ?T
    using 2 sta-char AB.ide-charERTS by simp
  interpret U: simulation  $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$  ?U
    using 2 3 sta-char BA.ide-charERTS by simp
  have inverse-simulations (Dom t) (Cod t) ?U ?T
  proof
    show ?T  $\circ$  ?U = I (Cod t)
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 H.ide-compE H.inverse-arrowsE
        Map-hcomp Map-simps(2) V.ide-implies-arr tu)
    show ?U  $\circ$  ?T = I (Dom t)
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 H.ide-compE H.inverse-arrowsE
        Map-hcomp Map-simps(1) V.ide-implies-arr tu)
  qed
  thus invertible-simulation (Dom t) (Cod t) (Map t)
    using invertible-simulation-def' by blast
qed
next
assume t: arr t  $\wedge$  Src t = Map t  $\wedge$  Trg t = Map t  $\wedge$ 
  invertible-simulation (Dom t) (Cod t) (Map t)
interpret A: extensional-rts  $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$ 
  using t arr-char by blast
interpret A: small-rts  $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$ 
  using t arr-char by blast
interpret B: extensional-rts  $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$ 
  using t arr-char by blast
interpret B: small-rts  $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$ 
  using t arr-char by blast
interpret AB: exponential-rts  $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$  ..
interpret BA: exponential-rts  $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$  ..
interpret AA: exponential-rts  $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$  ..
interpret BB: exponential-rts  $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$  ..
interpret C: COMP  $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$  ..
interpret C': COMP  $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$  ..
interpret T: invertible-simulation  $\langle$ Dom t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod t $\rangle$   $\langle$ Map t $\rangle$ 
  using t by auto
show H.iso t

```

```

proof –
  obtain  $U$  where  $U$ : inverse-simulations (Dom  $t$ ) (Cod  $t$ )  $U$  (Map  $t$ )
    using  $T.invertible$  by blast
  interpret  $U$ : simulation  $\langle$ Cod  $t$  $\rangle$   $\langle$ Dom  $t$  $\rangle$   $U$ 
    using  $U.inverse-simulations-def$  by blast
  interpret  $U$ : simulation-as-transformation  $\langle$ Cod  $t$  $\rangle$   $\langle$ Dom  $t$  $\rangle$   $U$  ..
  interpret  $TU$ : inverse-simulations  $\langle$ Dom  $t$  $\rangle$   $\langle$ Cod  $t$  $\rangle$   $U$   $\langle$ Map  $t$  $\rangle$ 
    using  $U$  by blast
  let  $?u = mksta$  (Cod  $t$ ) (Dom  $t$ )  $U$ 
  have  $u$ :  $V.ide$   $?u \wedge \langle ?u : cod\ t \rightarrow dom\ t \rangle$ 
    using  $t.sta-mksta$   $U.simulation-axioms$   $A.small-rts-axioms$ 
       $A.extensional-rts-axioms$   $B.small-rts-axioms$ 
       $B.extensional-rts-axioms$  dom-char cod-char
    by auto
  have  $seq$ :  $H.seq$   $?u\ t \wedge H.seq$   $t\ ?u$ 
    using  $t\ u$   $H.seqI$  by auto
  have  $H.inverse-arrows$   $t\ ?u$ 
proof
  show  $obj$  ( $hcomp$   $?u\ t$ )
  proof –
    have  $hcomp$   $?u\ t = dom\ t$ 
    proof (intro arr-eqI)
      show  $mksta$  (Cod  $t$ ) (Dom  $t$ )  $U \star t \neq Null$ 
        using  $t.U.transformation-axioms$   $sta-mksta$   $V.not-arr-null$ 
          null-char seq
        by force
      show  $dom\ t \neq Null$ 
        using  $t.arr-char$  by blast
      show  $Dom$  ( $mksta$  (Cod  $t$ ) (Dom  $t$ )  $U \star t$ ) =  $Dom$  ( $dom\ t$ )
        using  $t\ u$   $sta-mksta$  mkarr-def by simp
      show  $Cod$  ( $mksta$  (Cod  $t$ ) (Dom  $t$ )  $U \star t$ ) =  $Cod$  ( $dom\ t$ )
        using  $t\ u$   $sta-mksta$  mkarr-def by simp
      show  $Trn$  ( $mksta$  (Cod  $t$ ) (Dom  $t$ )  $U \star t$ ) =  $Trn$  ( $dom\ t$ )
    proof –
      have  $Trn$  ( $mksta$  (Cod  $t$ ) (Dom  $t$ )  $U \star t$ ) =
         $C.map$  ( $BA.MkIde$   $U$ ,  $Trn\ t$ )
        using  $t\ u$   $Trn-hcomp$  mkarr-def by auto
      also have  $\dots = C'.Currying.A-BC.MkArr$ 
        ( $U \circ Src\ t$ ) ( $U \circ Trg\ t$ ) ( $U \circ Map\ t$ )
        unfolding  $C.map-eq$ 
        using  $t.U.transformation-axioms$  arr-char by auto
      also have  $\dots = Trn$  ( $dom\ t$ )
        using  $t.U.inverse-simulations.inv'$  dom-char mkobj-simps(3)
        by auto
      finally show  $?thesis$  by blast
  qed
qed
thus  $?thesis$ 
  using  $t.H.ide-dom$  by auto

```

```

qed
show obj (hcomp t ?u)
proof –
  have hcomp t ?u = cod t
proof (intro arr-eqI)
  show t ★ mksta (Cod t) (Dom t) U ≠ Null
    using t U.transformation-axioms sta-mksta V.not-arr-null
      null-char seq
    by force
show cod t ≠ Null
  using t arr-char by blast
show Dom (t ★ mksta (Cod t) (Dom t) U) = Dom (cod t)
  using t u sta-mksta mkarr-def by simp
show Cod (t ★ mksta (Cod t) (Dom t) U) = Cod (cod t)
  using t u sta-mksta mkarr-def by simp
show Trn (t ★ mksta (Cod t) (Dom t) U) = Trn (cod t)
proof –
  have Trn (t ★ mksta (Cod t) (Dom t) U) =
    C'.map (Trn t, BA.MkIde U)
    using t u Trn-hcomp mkarr-def by auto
  also have ... = C.Currying.A-BC.MkArr
    (Src t ○ U) (Trg t ○ U) (Map t ○ U)
    unfolding C'.map-eq
    using t U.transformation-axioms arr-char by auto
  also have ... = Trn (cod t)
    using t U.inverse-simulations.inv cod-char mkobj-simps(3)
    by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  using t H.ide-cod by auto
qed
qed
thus H.iso t by blast
qed
qed
end

```

4.3.1 Terminal Object

The object corresponding to the one-arrow RTS is a terminal object. We don't want too much clutter in *rtscatx*, so we prove everything in a separate locale and then transfer only what we want to *rtscatx*.

```

locale terminal-object-in-rtscat =
  rtscatx arr-type
for arr-type :: 'A itself
begin

```

```

sublocale One: one-arr-rts arr-type ..
interpretation I1: identity-simulation One.resid ..

abbreviation one (1)
where one  $\equiv$  mkobj One.resid

lemma obj-one:
shows obj 1
  using obj-mkobj One.is-extensional-rts One.small-rts-axioms by blast

definition trm
where trm a  $\equiv$  MkArr (Dom a) One.resid
  (exponential-rts.MkIde
  (constant-simulation.map (Dom a) One.resid One.the-arr))

lemma one-universality:
assumes obj a
shows  $\langle \text{trm } a : a \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rangle$ 
and  $\bigwedge t. \langle t : a \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rangle \implies t = \text{trm } a$ 
and  $\exists ! t. \langle t : a \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rangle$ 
proof –
  interpret A: extensional-rts  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$ 
    using assms obj-char arr-char by blast
  interpret A: small-rts  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$ 
    using assms obj-char arr-char by blast
  interpret A-One: exponential-rts  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$  One.resid ..
  interpret Trm: constant-simulation  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$  One.resid One.the-arr
    using One.ide-char1RTS
    by unfold-locales auto
  interpret Trm: simulation-as-transformation  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$  One.resid Trm.map ..
  have Dom-trm: Dom (trm a) = Dom a
    using trm-def by simp
  have Cod-trm: Cod (trm a) = One.resid
    using trm-def by auto
  show 1:  $\langle \text{trm } a : a \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rangle$ 
proof –
  have a: mksta (Dom a) (Dom a) (I (Dom a)) = a
    using assms bij-mkobj(4) [of a] mkobj-def mkarr-def by auto
  have t: arr (trm a)
    using assms obj-char arr-char One.is-extensional-rts One.small-rts-axioms
    A-One.ide-MkIde A-One.ide-implies-arr Trm.transformation-axioms
    by (unfold trm-def, intro arr-MkArr) auto
  show ?thesis
    using a t dom-char cod-char Dom-trm Cod-trm mkobj-def mkarr-def
    by (intro H.in-homI) auto
qed
show  $\bigwedge t. \langle t : a \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rangle \implies t = \text{trm } a$ 
proof (intro arr-eqI)

```

```

fix t
assume t: «t : a → 1»
show t ≠ Null
  using t arr-char [of t] by auto
show trm a ≠ Null
  using 1 arr-char [of trm a] by auto
show Dom t = Dom (trm a)
  using t 1 trm-def dom-char by auto
show Cod t = Cod (trm a)
  using t 1 cod-char mkobj-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cod.simps(1) H.in-homE)
show Trn t = Trn (trm a)
proof (intro A-One.arr-eqI)
  have 2:  $\bigwedge F G. \llbracket \text{simulation (Dom a) One.resid F};$ 
            $\text{simulation (Dom a) One.resid G} \rrbracket$ 
            $\implies F = G$ 
    using A.rts-axioms One.universality by blast
show 3: A-One.arr (Trn t)
  using assms t arr-char mkobj-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.ideD(1-2) H.in-homE
      H.arr-char cod-char dom-char arr.simps(1))
show 4: A-One.arr (Trn (trm a))
  using 1 trm-def H.in-homE H.arr-char by auto
show A-One.Dom (Trn t) = A-One.Dom (Trn (trm a))
  using 2 3 4 trm-def A-One.ide-MkIde A-One.ide-src A-One.src-simp
  by metis
show A-One.Cod (Trn t) = A-One.Cod (Trn (trm a))
  using 2 3 4 trm-def A-One.arr-char transformation.axioms(4)
  by metis
show  $\bigwedge x. A.ide\ x \implies$ 
           A-One.Map (Trn t) x = A-One.Map (Trn (trm a)) x
  using 3 trm-def A-One.con-char One.arr-char One.con-char by force
qed
qed
thus  $\exists! t. \llbracket t : a \rightarrow 1 \rrbracket$ 
  using 1 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma terminal-one:
shows H.terminal 1
  using one-universality H.terminal-def obj-one by blast

```

```

lemma trm-in-hom [intro, simp]:
assumes obj a
shows «trm a : a → 1»
  using assms one-universality by simp

```

```

lemma terminal-arrow-is-sta:
assumes «t : a → 1»

```

```

shows sta t
proof –
  have src t = t
    using assms H.ide-dom one-universality(3)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.in-homE H.terminal-arr-unique
        cod-src dom-src src.preserves-arr terminal-one)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.arrI V.ide-iff-src-self arr-coincidence)
qed

```

For any object a we have an RTS isomorphism $Dom\ a \cong HOM\ \mathbf{1}\ a$. Note that these are *not* at the same type.

```

abbreviation UP :: 'A arr  $\Rightarrow$  'A  $\Rightarrow$  'A arr
where UP a  $\equiv MkArr_{ext}\ (\backslash_1)\ (Dom\ a) \circ exponential\text{-by}\text{-One}.\text{Up}\ (Dom\ a)$ 

```

```

abbreviation DN :: 'A arr  $\Rightarrow$  'A arr  $\Rightarrow$  'A
where DN a  $\equiv exponential\text{-by}\text{-One}.\text{Dn}\ (Dom\ a) \circ Trn_{ext}\ \mathbf{1}\ a$ 

```

lemma *inverse-simulations-DN-UP*:

assumes *obj a*

shows *inverse-simulations (Dom a) (HOM 1 a) (DN a) (UP a)*

and *isomorphic-rts (Dom a) (HOM 1 a)*

proof –

```

interpret A: extensional-rts  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle$ 
  using assms obj-char arr-char by blast
interpret A: small-rts  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle$ 
  using assms obj-char arr-char by blast
interpret Exp: exponential-rts One.resid  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle$  ..
interpret HOM: sub-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \rangle$ 
  using assms sub-rts-HOM by blast
interpret exponential-by-One arr-type  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle$  ..
interpret Dom-Exp: inverse-simulations  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle$  Exp.resid Dn Up
  using inverse-simulations-Dn-Up by blast
interpret Trn-MkArr: inverse-simulations Exp.resid HOM.resid
   $\langle Trn_{ext}\ \mathbf{1}\ a \rangle \langle MkArr_{ext}\ One.resid\ (Dom\ a) \rangle$ 
  using assms inverse-simulations-Trn-MkArr [of One.resid Dom a]
  bij-mkobj(4) [of a] A.extensional-rts-axioms A.small-rts-axioms
  One.extensional-rts-axioms One.small-rts-axioms mkobj-def
apply auto[1]
by metis
show inverse-simulations (Dom a) HOM.resid
   $(Dn \circ Trn_{ext}\ \mathbf{1}\ a)\ (MkArr_{ext}\ One.resid\ (Dom\ a) \circ Up)$ 
  using inverse-simulations-compose Dom-Exp.inverse-simulations-axioms
  Trn-MkArr.inverse-simulations-axioms
by blast
thus isomorphic-rts (Dom a) (HOM 1 a)
  using isomorphic-rts-def by blast
qed

```

```

lemma terminal-char:
shows  $H.\text{terminal } x \longleftrightarrow \text{obj } x \wedge (\exists !t. \text{residuation.}\text{arr } (Dom\ x)\ t)$ 
proof (intro iffI conjI)

  assume  $x: H.\text{terminal } x$ 
  show  $\text{obj-}x: \text{obj } x$ 
    using  $x\ H.\text{terminal-def}$  by fastforce
  interpret  $X: \text{extensional-}\text{rts } \langle Dom\ x \rangle$ 
    using  $\text{obj-}x\ \text{obj-char arr-char}$  by blast
  have  $1: H.\text{isomorphic } x\ \mathbf{1}$ 
    using  $x\ \text{obj-char terminal-one } H.\text{terminal-objs-isomorphic}$  by force
  obtain  $f$  where  $f: \langle f : x \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rangle \wedge H.\text{iso } f$ 
    using  $1\ H.\text{isomorphic-def}$  by auto
  have  $\text{ide-}f: \text{sta } f$ 
    using  $f\ \text{iso-implies-sta}$  by blast
  show  $\exists !t. \text{residuation.}\text{arr } (Dom\ x)\ t$ 
  proof -
    have  $\text{card } (Collect\ (\text{residuation.}\text{arr } (Dom\ x))) = 1$ 
    proof -
      have  $\text{bij-betw } (Map\ f)\ (Collect\ X.\text{arr})\ (Collect\ One.\text{arr})$ 
      proof -
        have  $Dom\ f = Dom\ x$  and  $Cod\ f = One.\text{resid}$ 
          using  $f\ \text{dom-char cod-char mkobj-def}$  by auto
        thus ?thesis
          by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $f$ 
            invertible-simulation.is-bijection-betw-arr-sets iso-char)
      qed
    qed
    moreover have  $\text{card } (Collect\ One.\text{arr}) = 1$ 
      by (simp add: Collect-cong One.arr-char)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp add: bij-betw-same-card)
    qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis CollectI Collect-empty-eq One-nat-def card-1-singleton-iff
      card-eq-0-iff singleton-iff zero-neq-one)
  qed
next
assume  $x: \text{obj } x \wedge (\exists !t. \text{residuation.}\text{arr } (Dom\ x)\ t)$ 
interpret  $X: \text{extensional-}\text{rts } \langle Dom\ x \rangle$ 
  using  $x\ \text{obj-char arr-char}$  by blast
interpret  $T: \text{simulation } \langle Dom\ x \rangle\ One.\text{resid } \langle One.\text{terminator } (Dom\ x) \rangle$ 
  using  $x\ One.\text{terminator-is-simulation obj-char arr-char small-}\text{rts-def}$ 
  by blast
have  $\text{bij-betw } (One.\text{terminator } (Dom\ x))\ (Collect\ X.\text{arr})\ (Collect\ One.\text{arr})$ 
proof (unfold bij-betw-def, intro conjI)
  show  $\text{inj-on } (One.\text{terminator } (Dom\ x))\ (Collect\ X.\text{arr})$ 
    using  $x\ T.\text{simulation-axioms}$ 
    by (intro inj-onI) auto

```

```

show One.terminator (Dom x) ‘ Collect X.arr = Collect One.arr
proof
  show One.terminator (Dom x) ‘ Collect X.arr ⊆ Collect One.arr
    by auto
  show Collect One.arr ⊆ One.terminator (Dom x) ‘ Collect X.arr
    using x T.simulation-axioms One.arr-char T.preserves-reflects-arr
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD CollectI image-iff subsetI)
qed
qed
hence 2: invertible-simulation (Dom x) One.resid (One.terminator (Dom x))
  using invertible-simulation-iff
    [of Dom x One.resid One.terminator (Dom x)]
    One.con-implies-arr
  by (metis T.simulation-axioms X.arrE T.preserves-reflects-arr x)
have 3: sta (mksta (Dom x) One.resid (One.terminator (Dom x)))
  using x T.simulation-axioms obj-char iso-char sta-mksta(1)
    arr-char One.small-rts-axioms One.extensional-rts-axioms
    invertible-simulation-def
  by blast
have 4: H.iso (mksta (Dom x) One.resid (One.terminator (Dom x)))
  unfolding iso-char
  using 2 3 bij-mksta(3) sta-char mkarr-def
  by (metis Cod-mkarr Dom-mkarr Map-simps(4) Src-mkarr Trg-mkarr
    V.ide-implies-arr V.trg-ide)
interpret T: simulation-as-transformation
    ⟨Dom x⟩ One.resid ⟨One.terminator (Dom x)⟩
  ..
have H.isomorphic x 1
  using x 4 obj-char arr-char mkarr-simps(1–2) One.small-rts-axioms
    One.extensional-rts-axioms T.transformation-axioms
    H.isomorphicI [of mksta (Dom x) (\_1) (One.terminator (Dom x))]
  by (simp add: arr-mkarr(4–5))
thus H.terminal x
  using H.isomorphic-symmetric H.isomorphic-to-terminal-is-terminal
    terminal-one
  by blast
qed
end

```

The above was all carried out in a separate locale. Here we transfer to *rtscatx* just the final definitions and facts that we want.

```

context rtscatx
begin

```

```

sublocale One: one-arr-rts arr-type ..

```

```

definition one (1)

```

```

where one ≡ terminal-object-in-rtscat.one

```


definition *trm*
where *trm* = *terminal-object-in-rtscat.trm*

interpretation *Trm*: *terminal-object-in-rtscat ..*
no-notation *Trm.one* (1)

lemma *obj-one* [*intro, simp*]:
shows *obj one*
 unfolding *one-def*
 using *Trm.obj-one* **by** *blast*

lemma *trm-simps'* [*simp*]:
assumes *obj a*
shows *arr (trm a)* **and** *dom (trm a) = a* **and** *cod (trm a) = 1*
and *src (trm a) = trm a* **and** *trg (trm a) = trm a*
and *sta (trm a)*
proof –
 show *arr (trm a)* **and** *dom (trm a) = a* **and** *cod (trm a) = 1*
 unfolding *trm-def one-def*
 using *assms Trm.terminal-arrow-is-sta H.in-homE*
 by *auto blast+*
 show *src (trm a) = trm a* **and** *trg (trm a) = trm a* **and** *sta (trm a)*
 using *Trm.terminal-arrow-is-sta Trm.trm-in-hom V.src-ide*
 V.trg-ide assms trm-def
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
qed

sublocale *category-with-terminal-object hcomp*
using *Trm.terminal-one H.terminal-def Trm.obj-one*
by *unfold-locales auto*

sublocale *elementary-category-with-terminal-object hcomp one trm*
using *Trm.obj-one Trm.trm-in-hom*
by *unfold-locales*
 (*auto simp add: Trm.one-universality(2) one-def trm-def*)

lemma *is-elementary-category-with-terminal-object*:
shows *elementary-category-with-terminal-object hcomp one trm*
 ..

lemma *terminal-char*:
shows *H.terminal x* \longleftrightarrow *obj x* \wedge ($\exists !t$. *residuation.arr (Dom x) t*)
 using *Trm.terminal-char* **by** *simp*

lemma *Map-trm*:
assumes *obj a*
shows *Map (trm a) =*
 constant-simulation.map (Dom a) One.resid One.the-arr

proof –
interpret A : *extensional-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$
using *assms obj-char arr-char* **by** *blast*
interpret $A1$: *exponential-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$ *One.resid ..*
show *?thesis*
using *assms trm-def Trm.trm-def*
by (*metis A1.Map.simps(1) Trn.simps(1) comp-apply*)
qed

lemma *inverse-simulations-DN-UP*:
assumes *obj a*
shows *inverse-simulations* ($\text{Dom } a$) ($\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} a$) ($\text{Trm.DN } a$) ($\text{Trm.UP } a$)
and *isomorphic-rts* ($\text{Dom } a$) ($\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} a$)
unfolding *one-def*
using *assms Trm.inverse-simulations-DN-UP* **by** *auto*

abbreviation $\text{UP}_{rts} :: 'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A \Rightarrow 'A \text{ arr}$
where $\text{UP}_{rts} a \equiv \text{MkArr}_{ext} (\backslash_1) (\text{Dom } a) \circ \text{exponential-by-One.Up } (\text{Dom } a)$

abbreviation $\text{DN}_{rts} :: 'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A$
where $\text{DN}_{rts} a \equiv \text{exponential-by-One.Dn } (\text{Dom } a) \circ \text{Trn}_{ext} \mathbf{1} a$

lemma *UP-DN-naturality*:
assumes *arr t*
shows $\text{DN}_{rts} (\text{cod } t) \circ \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} t = \text{Map } t \circ \text{DN}_{rts} (\text{dom } t)$
and $\text{UP}_{rts} (\text{cod } t) \circ \text{Map } t = \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} t \circ \text{UP}_{rts} (\text{dom } t)$
and $\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} t = \text{UP}_{rts} (\text{cod } t) \circ \text{Map } t \circ \text{DN}_{rts} (\text{dom } t)$
and $\text{Map } t = \text{DN}_{rts} (\text{cod } t) \circ \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} t \circ \text{UP}_{rts} (\text{dom } t)$
proof –
let $?a = \text{dom } t$ **and** $?b = \text{cod } t$
let $?A = \text{Dom } t$ **and** $?B = \text{Cod } t$
have a : *obj ?a* **and** b : *obj ?b*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
have t : $\langle t : ?a \rightarrow ?b \rangle$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
have *a-simp*: $\text{mksta } ?A ?A (I ?A) = ?a$
using *assms a bij-mkobj(4) dom-char mkobj-def mkarr-def* **by** *simp*
have *b-simp*: $\text{mksta } ?B ?B (I ?B) = ?b$
using *assms b bij-mkobj(4) cod-char mkobj-def mkarr-def* **by** *simp*
have *one-simp*: $\text{mksta } \text{One.resid } \text{One.resid} (I \text{One.resid}) = \text{one}$
unfolding *one-def mkarr-def*
by (*simp add: mkobj-def*)
interpret A : *extensional-rts* $?A$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
interpret A : *small-rts* $?A$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
interpret B : *extensional-rts* $?B$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
interpret B : *small-rts* $?B$

```

using assms by blast
interpret OneA: exponential-by-One arr-type ?A ..
interpret OneB: exponential-by-One arr-type ?B ..
interpret HOM-1a: sub-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow ?a \rangle \rangle$ 
  using a sub-rts-HOM by blast
interpret HOM-1a: sub-rts-of-extensional-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow ?a \rangle \rangle$  ..
interpret HOM-1b: sub-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow ?b \rangle \rangle$ 
  using b sub-rts-HOM by blast
interpret HOM-1b: sub-rts-of-extensional-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow ?b \rangle \rangle$  ..
interpret Trn-MkArr-a: inverse-simulations OneA.resid  $\langle \text{HOM } \mathbf{1} ?a \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{Trn}_{\text{ext}} \mathbf{1} ?a \rangle \langle \text{MkArr}_{\text{ext}} \text{One.resid } ?A \rangle$ 
proof –
  show inverse-simulations OneA.resid  $(\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} ?a)$ 
     $(\text{Trn}_{\text{ext}} \mathbf{1} ?a) (\text{MkArr}_{\text{ext}} \text{One.resid } ?A)$ 
    using assms inverse-simulations-Trn-MkArr(1) [of One.resid ?A]
    unfolding one-def mkobj-def
    apply simp
    by (metis A.extensional-rts-axioms A.small-rts-axioms
      One.is-extensional-rts One.small-rts-axioms a-simp mkarr-def)
qed
interpret Trn-MkArr-b: inverse-simulations OneB.resid  $\langle \text{HOM } \mathbf{1} ?b \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{Trn}_{\text{ext}} \mathbf{1} ?b \rangle \langle \text{MkArr}_{\text{ext}} \text{One.resid } ?B \rangle$ 
proof –
  show inverse-simulations OneB.resid  $(\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} ?b)$ 
     $(\text{Trn}_{\text{ext}} \mathbf{1} ?b) (\text{MkArr}_{\text{ext}} \text{One.resid } ?B)$ 
    using assms inverse-simulations-Trn-MkArr(1) [of One.resid ?B]
    unfolding one-def mkobj-def
    apply simp
    by (metis B.extensional-rts-axioms B.small-rts-axioms
      One.is-extensional-rts One.small-rts-axioms b-simp mkarr-def)
qed
have UP-a:  $UP_{\text{rts}} ?a = \text{MkArr}_{\text{ext}} (\backslash_1) ?A \circ \text{OneA.Up}$ 
  using assms t Dom-dom by presburger
have UP-b:  $UP_{\text{rts}} ?b = \text{MkArr}_{\text{ext}} (\backslash_1) ?B \circ \text{OneB.Up}$ 
  using assms t Dom-cod by presburger
have DN-a:  $DN_{\text{rts}} ?a = \text{OneA.Dn} \circ \text{Trn}_{\text{ext}} \mathbf{1} ?a$ 
  using assms t Dom-dom by presburger
have DN-b:  $DN_{\text{rts}} ?b = \text{OneB.Dn} \circ \text{Trn}_{\text{ext}} \mathbf{1} ?b$ 
  using assms t Dom-cod by presburger
interpret UP-DN-a: inverse-simulations ?A HOM-1a.resid
   $\langle DN_{\text{rts}} ?a \rangle \langle UP_{\text{rts}} ?a \rangle$ 
  using a t DN-a UP-a OneA.inverse-simulations-Dn-Up
  Trn-MkArr-a.inverse-simulations-axioms
  inverse-simulations-compose
  by fastforce
interpret UP-DN-b: inverse-simulations ?B HOM-1b.resid
   $\langle DN_{\text{rts}} ?b \rangle \langle UP_{\text{rts}} ?b \rangle$ 
  using b t DN-b UP-b OneB.inverse-simulations-Dn-Up
  Trn-MkArr-b.inverse-simulations-axioms

```

```

      inverse-simulations-compose
    by fastforce
  interpret T: transformation ?A ?B ⟨Src t⟩ ⟨Trg t⟩ ⟨Map t⟩
    using assms(1) arr-char [of t]
    by (simp add: A.rts-axioms A.weak-extensionality B.extensional-rts-axioms
      exponential-rts.arr-char exponential-rts.intro
      weakly-extensional-rts.intro weakly-extensional-rts-axioms.intro)
  interpret T': transformation ⟨HOM 1 ?a⟩ ⟨HOM 1 ?b⟩
    ⟨cov-HOM 1 (src t)⟩ ⟨cov-HOM 1 (trg t)⟩ ⟨cov-HOM 1 t⟩
    using assms(1) transformation-cov-HOM-arr [of 1 t] obj-one by blast

  interpret LHS: transformation ⟨HOM 1 ?a⟩ ?B
    ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 (src t)⟩
    ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 (trg t)⟩
    ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 t⟩
    using assms transformation-whisker-left UP-DN-b.F.simulation-axioms
      T'.F.simulation-axioms T'.G.simulation-axioms T'.transformation-axioms
      B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms DN-b
    by fastforce
  interpret RHS: transformation ⟨HOM 1 ?a⟩ ?B
    ⟨Src t ∘ DNrts ?a⟩ ⟨Trg t ∘ DNrts ?a⟩ ⟨Map t ∘ DNrts ?a⟩
    using assms
      transformation-whisker-right
      [of ?A ?B Src t Trg t Map t HOM-1a.resid DNrts ?a]
      UP-DN-a.F.simulation-axioms T.transformation-axioms
      HOM-1a.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms DN-a
    by auto
  show 1: DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 t = Map t ∘ DNrts ?a
  proof
    fix x
    show (DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 t) x = (Map t ∘ DNrts ?a) x
    proof (cases HOM-1a.arr x)
      show ¬ HOM-1a.arr x ⇒ ?thesis
        using LHS.extensional RHS.extensional by auto
      assume x: HOM-1a.arr x
      have Trn-x: OneA.arr (Trn x)
        using Trn-MkArr-a.F.preserves-reflects-arr x by presburger
      have Trn-tx: OneB.arr (Trn (t ★ x))
        using x t T'.preserves-arr Trn-MkArr-b.F.preserves-reflects-arr
        by presburger
      show ?thesis
        using assms x Map-hcomp Trn-tx Dom-cod T'.preserves-arr
          HOM-1b.arr-char HOM-1b.inclusion T'.preserves-arr Trn-x
        by (auto simp add: one-def)
    qed
  qed
  show cov-HOM 1 t = UPrts ?b ∘ Map t ∘ DNrts ?a
  proof -
    have cov-HOM 1 t = (UPrts ?b ∘ DNrts ?b) ∘ cov-HOM 1 t
  
```

using b t *comp-identity-transformation* [of $HOM-1a.resid$ $HOM-1b.resid$]
 $T'.transformation-axioms$ $UP-DN-b.inv$ $UP-b$ $DN-b$
by *force*
also have $\dots = UP_{rts} ?b \circ (DN_{rts} ?b \circ cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t)$
by *auto*
also have $\dots = UP_{rts} ?b \circ (Map t \circ DN_{rts} ?a)$
using 1 **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = UP_{rts} ?b \circ Map t \circ DN_{rts} ?a$
by *auto*
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed
show 2 : $UP_{rts} ?b \circ Map t = cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t \circ UP_{rts} ?a$

proof –
have $UP_{rts} ?b \circ Map t = UP_{rts} ?b \circ (Map t \circ (DN_{rts} ?a \circ UP_{rts} ?a))$
using a t $T.transformation-axioms$ $UP-a$ $DN-a$
 $UP-DN-a.inverse-simulations-axioms$
by (*simp add: comp-transformation-identity inverse-simulations.inv'*)
also have $\dots = UP_{rts} ?b \circ (DN_{rts} ?b \circ cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t \circ UP_{rts} ?a)$
using 1 **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = UP_{rts} ?b \circ (DN_{rts} ?b \circ (cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t \circ UP_{rts} ?a))$
using $Fun.comp-assoc$ [of $DN_{rts} ?b$ $cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t$ $UP_{rts} ?a$] **by** *force*
also have $\dots = (UP_{rts} ?b \circ DN_{rts} ?b) \circ (cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t \circ UP_{rts} ?a)$
using $Fun.comp-assoc$ [of $UP_{rts} ?b$ $DN_{rts} ?b$ $cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t \circ UP_{rts} ?a$]
by *force*
also have $\dots = I HOM-1b.resid \circ (cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t \circ UP_{rts} ?a)$
using $UP-DN-b.inv$ **by** *force*
also have $\dots = I HOM-1b.resid \circ cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t \circ UP_{rts} ?a$
using $Fun.comp-assoc$ [of $I HOM-1b.resid$ $cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t$ $UP_{rts} ?a$]
by *force*
also have $\dots = cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t \circ UP_{rts} ?a$
using *comp-identity-transformation*
[of $HOM-1a.resid$ $HOM-1b.resid$ $cov-HOM \mathbf{1} (src t)$
 $cov-HOM \mathbf{1} (trg t)$ $cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t$]
 $T'.transformation-axioms$
by *fastforce*
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*

qed
show $Map t = DN_{rts} ?b \circ cov-HOM \mathbf{1} t \circ UP_{rts} ?a$

proof –
have $Map t = DN_{rts} ?b \circ UP_{rts} ?b \circ Map t$
proof –
have $Map t = I (Cod t) \circ Map t$
using $T.transformation-axioms$
 $comp-identity-transformation$ [of $Dom t$ $Cod t$]
by *auto*
also have $\dots = DN_{rts} ?b \circ UP_{rts} ?b \circ Map t$
using b $UP-b$ $DN-b$ $UP-DN-b.inverse-simulations-axioms$
 $inverse-simulations.inv'$

```

    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
also have ... = DNrts ?b ◦ (UPrts ?b ◦ Map t)
    by auto
also have ... = DNrts ?b ◦ (cov-HOM 1 t ◦ UPrts ?a)
    using 2 by simp
also have ... = DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 t ◦ UPrts ?a
    using comp-assoc [of DNrts ?b cov-HOM 1 t UPrts ?a] by metis
    finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

Equality of parallel arrows $\langle u : a \rightarrow b \rangle$ and $\langle v : a \rightarrow b \rangle$ is determined by their compositions with global transitions $\langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle$.

lemma *arr-extensionality*:

assumes $\langle u : a \rightarrow b \rangle$ **and** $\langle v : a \rightarrow b \rangle$ **and** $\text{src } u = \text{src } v$ **and** $\text{trg } u = \text{trg } v$
shows $u = v \iff (\forall t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \longrightarrow u \star t = v \star t)$

proof

```

have a: obj a and b: obj b
    using assms(1) by auto
have A: small-rts (Dom a)  $\wedge$  extensional-rts (Dom a)
and B: small-rts (Dom b)  $\wedge$  extensional-rts (Dom b)
    using a b obj-char arr-char by blast+
interpret A: extensional-rts  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$ 
    using A by blast
interpret B: extensional-rts  $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$ 
    using B by blast
interpret AB: exponential-rts  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle ..$ 
have Dom u = Dom a and Cod u = Dom b
    using assms(1) Dom-dom Dom-cod by auto
have Dom v = Dom a and Cod v = Dom b
    using assms(2) Dom-dom Dom-cod by auto
have Map (src u) = Src u and Map (trg u) = Trg u
    using assms(1) Map-simps(3-4) by fastforce+
have Map (src v) = Src v and Map (trg v) = Trg v
    using assms(2) Map-simps(3-4) by fastforce+
interpret U: transformation  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$ 
     $\langle \text{Map (src } u) \rangle \langle \text{Map (trg } u) \rangle \langle \text{Map } u \rangle$ 
    using assms(1) arr-char [of u] AB.arr-char [of Trn u]
     $\langle \text{Dom } u = \text{Dom } a \rangle \langle \text{Cod } u = \text{Dom } b \rangle$ 
     $\langle \text{Map (src } u) = \text{Src } u \rangle \langle \text{Map (trg } u) = \text{Trg } u \rangle$ 
    by auto
interpret V: transformation  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$ 
     $\langle \text{Map (src } v) \rangle \langle \text{Map (trg } v) \rangle \langle \text{Map } v \rangle$ 
    using assms(2) arr-char [of v] AB.arr-char [of Trn v]
     $\langle \text{Dom } v = \text{Dom } a \rangle \langle \text{Cod } v = \text{Dom } b \rangle$ 
     $\langle \text{Map (src } v) = \text{Src } v \rangle \langle \text{Map (trg } v) = \text{Trg } v \rangle$ 
    by auto

```

```

show  $u = v \implies \forall t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \longrightarrow u \star t = v \star t$ 
  by blast
show  $\forall t. \langle t : one \rightarrow a \rangle \longrightarrow u \star t = v \star t \implies u = v$ 
proof (intro arr-eqI)
  assume  $1: \forall t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \longrightarrow u \star t = v \star t$ 
  show  $u \neq Null$ 
    using assms(1) arr-char by fastforce
  show  $v \neq Null$ 
    using assms(2) arr-char by fastforce
  show  $Dom\ u = Dom\ v$ 
    using  $\langle Dom\ u = Dom\ a \rangle \langle Dom\ v = Dom\ a \rangle$  by auto
  show  $Cod\ u = Cod\ v$ 
    using  $\langle Cod\ u = Dom\ b \rangle \langle Cod\ v = Dom\ b \rangle$  by presburger
  show  $Trn\ u = Trn\ v$ 
proof (intro AB.arr-eqI)
  show  $AB.arr\ (Trn\ u)$ 
    using assms(1) arr-char [of u]  $\langle Dom\ u = Dom\ a \rangle \langle Cod\ u = Dom\ b \rangle$ 
    by auto
  show  $AB.arr\ (Trn\ v)$ 
    using assms(2) arr-char [of v]  $\langle Dom\ v = Dom\ a \rangle \langle Cod\ v = Dom\ b \rangle$ 
    by auto
  show  $AB.Dom\ (Trn\ u) = AB.Dom\ (Trn\ v)$ 
    using assms(3) arr-char arr-char  $\langle Map\ (src\ u) = Src\ u \rangle$ 
     $\langle Map\ (src\ v) = Src\ v \rangle$ 
    by auto
  show  $AB.Cod\ (Trn\ u) = AB.Cod\ (Trn\ v)$ 
    using assms(4) arr-char arr-char  $\langle Map\ (trg\ u) = Trg\ u \rangle$ 
     $\langle Map\ (trg\ v) = Trg\ v \rangle$ 
    by auto
  have  $Map\ u = Map\ v$ 
proof –
  have  $\bigwedge Q\ R\ T. \text{transformation}\ One.resid\ (Dom\ a)\ Q\ R\ T$ 
 $\implies Map\ u \circ T = Map\ v \circ T$ 
proof –
  fix  $Q\ R\ T$ 
  assume  $2: \text{transformation}\ One.resid\ (Dom\ a)\ Q\ R\ T$ 
  interpret  $T: \text{transformation}\ One.resid\ \langle Dom\ a \rangle\ Q\ R\ T$ 
  using  $2$  by blast
  let  $?t = mkarr\ One.resid\ (Dom\ a)\ Q\ R\ T$ 
  have  $t: \langle ?t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 A.H.ideD(2) H.ideD(3) H.ide-in-hom
H.in-homI One.is-extensional-rts One.small-rts-axioms Trm.obj-one
Trm.one-universality(2) a arr-coincidence arr-mkarr(1) arr-mkarr(5)
mkarr-simps(1) mkobj-Dom trm-def trm-simps(3))
  show  $Map\ u \circ T = Map\ v \circ T$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 AB.Map.simps(1) H.seqI' mkarr-def
Map-hcomp Trn.simps(1) assms(1) comp-def t)
qed
thus  $Map\ u = Map\ v$ 

```

```

using assms(3-4)
One.eq-transformation-iff U.transformation-axioms V.transformation-axioms
A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
⟨Map (src u) = Src u⟩ ⟨Map (trg u) = Trg u⟩
⟨Map (src v) = Src v⟩ ⟨Map (trg v) = Trg v⟩
by metis
qed
thus  $\bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies AB.Map\ (Trn\ u)\ a = AB.Map\ (Trn\ v)\ a$  by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *sta-extensionality*:

```

assumes «f : a →sta b» and «g : a →sta b»
shows  $f = g \iff (\forall t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \implies f \star t = g \star t)$ 
proof
  have a: obj a and b: obj b
    using assms(1) by auto
  have A: small-rts (Dom a) ∧ extensional-rts (Dom a)
  and B: small-rts (Dom b) ∧ extensional-rts (Dom b)
    using a b obj-char arr-char by blast+
  interpret A: extensional-rts ⟨Dom a⟩
    using A by blast
  interpret B: extensional-rts ⟨Dom b⟩
    using B by blast
  interpret AB: exponential-rts ⟨Dom a⟩ ⟨Dom b⟩ ..
  have Dom f = Dom a and Cod f = Dom b
    using assms(1) Dom-dom Dom-cod by auto
  have Dom g = Dom a and Cod g = Dom b
    using assms(2) Dom-dom Dom-cod by auto
  interpret F: simulation ⟨Dom a⟩ ⟨Dom b⟩ ⟨Map f⟩
    using assms(1) sta-char [of f] AB.ide-charERTS [of Trn f]
      ⟨Dom f = Dom a⟩ ⟨Cod f = Dom b⟩
    by simp
  interpret G: simulation ⟨Dom a⟩ ⟨Dom b⟩ ⟨Map g⟩
    using assms(2) sta-char [of g] AB.ide-charERTS [of Trn g]
      ⟨Dom g = Dom a⟩ ⟨Cod g = Dom b⟩
    by simp
  show  $f = g \implies \forall t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \implies f \star t = g \star t$ 
    by blast
  show  $\forall t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \implies f \star t = g \star t \implies f = g$ 
proof –
    assume 1:  $\forall t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \implies f \star t = g \star t$ 
    have  $\bigwedge Q\ R\ T. transformation\ One.resid\ (Dom\ a)\ Q\ R\ T$ 
       $\implies Map\ f \circ T = Map\ g \circ T$ 
proof –
    fix Q R T
    assume 2: transformation One.resid (Dom a) Q R T
    interpret T: transformation One.resid ⟨Dom a⟩ Q R T

```



```

using 2 by blast
let ?t = mkarr One.resid (Dom a) Q R T
have t: «?t : 1 → a»
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 A H.ideD(2) H.ideD(3) H.ide-in-hom
    H.in-homI One.is-extensional-rts One.small-rts-axioms Trm.obj-one
    Trm.one-universality(2) a arr-coincidence arr-mkarr(1) arr-mkarr(5)
    mkarr-simps(1) mkobj-Dom trm-def trm-simps(3))
show Map f ∘ T = Map g ∘ T
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 AB.Map.simps(1) H.seqI' mkarr-def
    Map-hcomp Trn.simps(1) assms(1) comp-apply t)
qed
hence 2: Map f = Map g
  using One.eq-simulation-iff F.simulation-axioms G.simulation-axioms
    A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
  by blast
have f = mksta (Dom a) (Dom b) (Map f)
  using assms(1) a b A B obj-char arr-char bij-mksta(4) by fastforce
also have ... = mksta (Dom a) (Dom b) (Map g)
  using 2 by simp
also have ... = g
  using assms(2) a b A B obj-char arr-char bij-mksta(4) by fastforce
finally show f = g by auto
qed
qed

```

The mapping *HOM 1*, like *Dom*, takes each object to a corresponding RTS, but unlike *Dom* it stays at type *'A arr*, rather than decreasing the type from *'A arr* to *'A*.

```

lemma HOM1-mapsto:
shows HOM 1 ∈ Collect obj → Collect extensional-rts ∩ Collect small-rts
proof
  fix a
  assume a: a ∈ Collect obj
  have A: extensional-rts (HOM 1 a)
    using a extensional-rts-HOM by blast
  interpret HOM-1A: sub-rts resid ⟨λt. «t : 1 → a⟩⟩
    using a sub-rts-HOM by simp
  have small-rts HOM-1A.resid
  proof –
    have Collect HOM-1A.arr ⊆ H.hom 1 a
      using HOM-1A.arr-char by blast
    moreover have small (H.hom 1 a)
      using a small-homs by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using smaller-than-small small-rts-def HOM-1A.rts-axioms
        small-rts-axioms-def
      by blast
  qed
moreover have extensional-rts HOM-1A.resid

```

using A **by** *blast*
ultimately show $HOM\ 1\ a \in Collect\ extensional\ rts \cap Collect\ small\ rts$
by *blast*
qed

The mapping $HOM\ 1$ is not necessarily injective, but it is essentially so.

lemma *HOM1-reflects-isomorphic:*

assumes *obj a and obj b and isomorphic-rts (HOM 1 a) (HOM 1 b)*

shows $H.isomorphic\ a\ b$

proof –

have $1: isomorphic\ rts\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ b)$

proof –

have $isomorphic\ rts\ (Dom\ a)\ (HOM\ 1\ a)$

using $assms(1)\ inverse\ simulations\ DN\ UP(2)$ **by** *blast*

also have $isomorphic\ rts\ \dots\ (HOM\ 1\ b)$

using $assms(3)$ **by** *blast*

also have $isomorphic\ rts\ \dots\ (Dom\ b)$

using $assms(2)\ inverse\ simulations\ DN\ UP(2)\ isomorphic\ rts\ symmetric$

by *blast*

finally show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*

qed

obtain $F\ G$ **where** $FG: inverse\ simulations\ (Dom\ b)\ (Dom\ a)\ F\ G$

using $1\ isomorphic\ rts\ def\ isomorphic\ rts\ symmetric$ **by** *blast*

interpret $FG: inverse\ simulations\ \langle Dom\ b \rangle\ \langle Dom\ a \rangle\ F\ G$

using FG **by** *blast*

let $?f = mksta\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ b)\ F$

let $?g = mksta\ (Dom\ b)\ (Dom\ a)\ G$

have $f: \langle ?f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle$ **and** $g: \langle ?g : b \rightarrow_{sta} a \rangle \wedge sta\ ?g$

using $assms(1-2)\ FG.F.simulation\ axioms\ FG.G.simulation\ axioms$

$bij\ mksta(1)\ obj\ char\ [of\ a]\ obj\ char\ [of\ b]$

$obj\ is\ sta\ sta\ char\ sta\ mksta(1-3)$

by *auto*

have $H.inverse\ arrows\ ?f\ ?g$

proof

show $obj\ (?g \star ?f)$

proof –

have $?g \star ?f = a$

proof –

have $gf: \langle ?g \star ?f : a \rightarrow_{sta} a \rangle$

using $f\ g\ Cod.simps(1)\ Dom.simps(1)\ H.cod\ comp\ H.dom\ comp\ H.seqI$

$V.ide\ implies\ arr\ sta\ hcomp$

by *blast*

have $?g \star ?f = mksta\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ a)\ (Map\ (?g \star ?f))$

using $f\ g\ gf$

by $(metis\ (no\ types,\ lifting)\ Cod\ mkarr\ Dom\ mkarr\ Int\ Collect$

$Src\ mkarr\ Trg\ mkarr\ V.ide\ implies\ arr\ assms(1-2)\ bij\ mksta(3)$

$inf\ idem\ mkarr\ comp\ objE\ transformation\ Map\ arr)$

also have $\dots = mksta\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ a)\ (Map\ ?g \circ Map\ ?f)$

using $gf\ H.arrI\ Map\ hcomp$ **by** *force*

```

also have ... = mksta (Dom a) (Dom a) (G ∘ F)
  using assms obj-char arr-char FG.F.simulation-axioms
    FG.G.simulation-axioms bij-mksta(3)
  by auto
also have ... = mksta (Dom a) (Dom a) (I (Dom a))
  using FG.inv by simp
also have ... = a
  using assms obj-char mkobj-def mkarr-def by simp
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
thus obj (?g ★ ?f)
  using assms by simp
qed
show obj (?f ★ ?g)
proof –
  have ?f ★ ?g = b
proof –
  have fg: « ?f ★ ?g : b →sta b »
  using f g Cod.simps(1) Dom.simps(1) H.cod-comp H.dom-comp H.seqI
    V.ide-implies-arr sta-hcomp
  by blast
have ?f ★ ?g = mksta (Dom b) (Dom b) (Map (?f ★ ?g))
  using f g fg
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cod-mkarr Dom-mkarr Int-Collect
    Src-mkarr Trg-mkarr V.ide-implies-arr assms(1–2) bij-mksta(3)
    inf-idem mkarr-comp objE transformation-Map-arr)
also have ... = mksta (Dom b) (Dom b) (Map ?f ∘ Map ?g)
  using fg H.arrI Map-hcomp by force
also have ... = mksta (Dom b) (Dom b) (F ∘ G)
  using assms obj-char arr-char FG.F.simulation-axioms
    FG.G.simulation-axioms bij-mksta(3)
  by auto
also have ... = mksta (Dom b) (Dom b) (I (Dom b))
  using FG.inv' by simp
also have ... = b
  using assms obj-char mkobj-def mkarr-def by simp
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
thus obj (?f ★ ?g)
  using assms by simp
qed
qed
hence « ?f : a → b » ∧ H.iso ?f
  using f by blast
thus ?thesis
  using H.isomorphic-def by blast
qed
end

```

4.3.2 Products

In this section we show that the category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger has products. A product of objects a and b is obtained by constructing the product $Dom\ a \times Dom\ b$ of their underlying RTS's and then showing that there exists an object $a \otimes b$ such that $Dom\ (a \otimes b)$ is isomorphic to $Dom\ a \times Dom\ b$. Since $Dom\ (a \otimes b)$ will have arrow type $'A$, but $Dom\ a \times Dom\ b$ has arrow type $'A \times 'A$, we need a way to reduce the arrow type of $Dom\ a \times Dom\ b$ from $'A \times 'A$ to $'A$. This is done by using the assumption that the type $'A$ admits pairing to obtain an injective map from $'A \times 'A$ to $'A$, and then applying the injective image construction to obtain an RTS with arrow type $'A$ that is isomorphic to $Dom\ a \times Dom\ b$.

```

locale product-in-rtscat =
  rtscatx arr-type
for arr-type :: 'A itself
and a
and b +
assumes obj-a: obj a
and obj-b: obj b
begin

```

```

notation hcomp (infixr  $\star$  53)

```

```

interpretation A: extensional-rts  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle$ 
  using obj-a bij-mkobj obj-char by blast
interpretation A: small-rts  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle$ 
  using obj-a bij-mkobj obj-char by blast
interpretation B: extensional-rts  $\langle Dom\ b \rangle$ 
  using obj-b bij-mkobj obj-char by blast
interpretation B: small-rts  $\langle Dom\ b \rangle$ 
  using obj-b bij-mkobj obj-char by blast
interpretation AB: exponential-rts  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle\ \langle Dom\ b \rangle\ ..$ 

```

```

sublocale PROD: product-rts  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle\ \langle Dom\ b \rangle\ ..$ 
sublocale PROD: product-of-extensional-rts  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle\ \langle Dom\ b \rangle\ ..$ 
sublocale PROD: product-of-small-rts  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle\ \langle Dom\ b \rangle\ ..$ 

```

```

sublocale Prod: inj-image-rts pairing.some-pair PROD.resid
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) PROD.rts-axioms
    inj-image-rts-axioms-def inj-image-rts-def inj-on-subset
    inj-some-pair top-greatest)
sublocale Prod: small-rts Prod.resid
  using PROD.small-rts-axioms Prod.preserves-reflects-small-rts
  by unfold-locales (simp add: small-rts.small)
sublocale Prod: extensional-rts Prod.resid
  using PROD.extensional-rts-axioms Prod.preserves-extensional-rts
  by unfold-locales (simp add: extensional-rts.extensional)

```

The injective image construction on RTS's gives us invertible simulations

between *Prod.resid* and *PROD.resid*.

abbreviation *Pack* :: 'A × 'A ⇒ 'A
where *Pack* ≡ *Prod.map_{ext}*

abbreviation *Unpack* :: 'A ⇒ 'A × 'A
where *Unpack* ≡ *Prod.map'_{ext}*

interpretation *P*₁: *composite-simulation Prod.resid PROD.resid* ⟨*Dom a*⟩
*Unpack PROD.P*₁

..

interpretation *P*₀: *composite-simulation Prod.resid PROD.resid* ⟨*Dom b*⟩
*Unpack PROD.P*₀

..

abbreviation *prod* :: 'A *arr*
where *prod* ≡ *mkobj Prod.resid*

lemma *obj-prod*:
shows *obj prod*
using *obj-mkobj Prod.extensional-rts-axioms Prod.small-rts-axioms* **by** *blast*

lemma *Dom-prod* [*simp*]:
shows *Dom prod* = *Prod.resid*
by (*simp add: Prod.extensional-rts-axioms Prod.small-rts-axioms*)

definition *p*₀ :: 'A *arr*
where *p*₀ ≡ *mksta Prod.resid (Dom b) P*₀.*map*

definition *p*₁ :: 'A *arr*
where *p*₁ ≡ *mksta Prod.resid (Dom a) P*₁.*map*

lemma *p*₀-*simps* [*simp*]:
shows *sta p*₀ **and** *dom p*₀ = *prod* **and** *cod p*₀ = *b*
and *Dom p*₀ = *Prod.resid* **and** *Cod p*₀ = *Dom b*
and *Trn p*₀ = *exponential-rts.MkIde P*₀.*map*
using *p*₀-*def obj-b B.extensional-rts-axioms B.small-rts-axioms*
*P*₀.*simulation-axioms Prod.extensional-rts-axioms*
Prod.small-rts-axioms sta-mksta(1) H.dom-eqI H.cod-eqI
H-seqI obj-char obj-prod mkarr-def
by *auto*

lemma *p*₁-*simps* [*simp*]:
shows *sta p*₁ **and** *dom p*₁ = *prod* **and** *cod p*₁ = *a*
and *Dom p*₁ = *Prod.resid* **and** *Cod p*₁ = *Dom a*
and *Trn p*₁ = *exponential-rts.MkIde P*₁.*map*
using *p*₁-*def obj-a A.extensional-rts-axioms A.small-rts-axioms*
*P*₁.*simulation-axioms Prod.extensional-rts-axioms*
Prod.small-rts-axioms sta-mksta(1) H.dom-eqI H.cod-eqI
H-seqI obj-char obj-prod mkarr-def

by *auto*

lemma *p₀-in-hom* [*intro*]:
shows «*p₀ : prod → b*»
by *auto*

lemma *p₁-in-hom* [*intro*]:
shows «*p₁ : prod → a*»
by *auto*

It should be noted that the length of the proof of the following result is partly due to the fact that it is proving something rather stronger than one might expect at first blush. The category we are working with here is analogous to a 2-category in the sense that there are essentially two classes of arrows: *states*, which correspond to simulations between RTS's, and *transitions*, which correspond to transformations. The class of states is included in the class of transitions. The universality result below shows the universality of the product for the full class of arrows, so it is in that sense analogous to showing that the category has 2-products, rather than just ordinary products.

lemma *universality*:

assumes «*h : x → a*» **and** «*k : x → b*»

shows $\exists!m. p_1 \star m = h \wedge p_0 \star m = k$

proof

interpret *X*: *extensional-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle$

using *assms*(1) *H.in-homE H-arr-char dom-char* **by** *auto*

interpret *X*: *small-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle$

using *assms*(1) *H.in-homE H-arr-char dom-char* **by** *auto*

interpret *A*: *extensional-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$

using *assms*(1) *H.in-homE H-arr-char cod-char* **by** *auto*

interpret *A*: *small-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$

using *assms*(1) *H.in-homE H-arr-char cod-char* **by** *auto*

interpret *B*: *extensional-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$

using *assms*(2) *H.in-homE H-arr-char cod-char* **by** *auto*

interpret *B*: *small-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$

using *assms*(2) *H.in-homE H-arr-char cod-char* **by** *auto*

interpret *XA*: *exponential-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$..

interpret *XB*: *exponential-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$..

have *: $\text{Dom } h = \text{Dom } x \wedge \text{Cod } h = \text{Dom } a \wedge$

$\text{Dom } k = \text{Dom } x \wedge \text{Cod } k = \text{Dom } b$

using *assms*(1–2) *dom-char cod-char* **by** *auto*

interpret *H₀*: *simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\text{src } h) \rangle$

by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) * *H.arrI H-arr-char Trn.simps*(1)

*XA.ide-char*_{ERTS} *XA.ide-src arr-char assms*(1) *comp-apply src-char*)

interpret *H₁*: *simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\text{trg } h) \rangle$

by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) * *H.arrI H-arr-char Trn.simps*(1)

*XA.ide-char*_{ERTS} *XA.ide-trg arr-char assms*(1) *comp-apply trg-char*)

interpret *K₀*: *simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\text{src } k) \rangle$

by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) * *H.arrI* *H-arr-char* *Map-simps*(3)
XB.arrE *arr-char* *assms*(2) *comp-apply* *transformation-def*)
interpret *K*₁: *simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\text{trg } k) \rangle$
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) * *H.arrI* *H-arr-char* *Map-simps*(4)
XB.arrE *arr-char* *assms*(2) *comp-apply* *transformation-def*)
interpret *H*: *transformation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{Map } (\text{src } h) \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\text{trg } h) \rangle \langle \text{Map } h \rangle$
using * *Map-simps*(3) *Map-simps*(4) *XA.arr-char* *arr-char* *assms*(1)
by (*metis* *H.arrI* *H-arr-char* *transformation-Map-arr*)
interpret *K*: *transformation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$
 $\langle \text{Map } (\text{src } k) \rangle \langle \text{Map } (\text{trg } k) \rangle \langle \text{Map } k \rangle$
using * *Map-simps*(3) *Map-simps*(4) *XB.arr-char* *arr-char* *assms*(2)
H.arrI
by *force*

interpret *HK*₀: *simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \text{PROD.resid}$
 $\langle \langle \langle \text{Map } (\text{src } h), \text{Map } (\text{src } k) \rangle \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* *PROD.universality*(1) [*of* *Dom* *h* *Map* (*src* *h*) *Map* (*src* *k*)]
*H*₀.*simulation-axioms* *K*₀.*simulation-axioms*
by *blast*

interpret *HK*₁: *simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \text{PROD.resid}$
 $\langle \langle \langle \text{Map } (\text{trg } h), \text{Map } (\text{trg } k) \rangle \rangle \rangle$
using *assms* *PROD.universality*(1) [*of* *Dom* *h* *Map* (*trg* *h*) *Map* (*trg* *k*)]
*H*₁.*simulation-axioms* *K*₁.*simulation-axioms*
by *blast*

interpret *PROD.P*₁: *simulation-as-transformation* *PROD.resid* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$
*PROD.P*₁

..
interpret *PROD.P*₀: *simulation-as-transformation* *PROD.resid* $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$
*PROD.P*₀

..
interpret *P*₁: *simulation-as-transformation* *Prod.resid* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$ *P*₁.*map* **..**
interpret *P*₀: *simulation-as-transformation* *Prod.resid* $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$ *P*₀.*map* **..**

interpret *P*₀*oHK*₀: *composite-simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \text{PROD.resid} \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$
 $\langle \langle \langle \text{Map } (\text{src } h), \text{Map } (\text{src } k) \rangle \rangle \rangle \text{PROD.P}_0$

..
interpret *P*₀*oHK*₁: *composite-simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \text{PROD.resid} \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$
 $\langle \langle \langle \text{Map } (\text{trg } h), \text{Map } (\text{trg } k) \rangle \rangle \rangle \text{PROD.P}_0$

..
interpret *P*₁*oHK*₀: *composite-simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \text{PROD.resid} \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$
 $\langle \langle \langle \text{Map } (\text{src } h), \text{Map } (\text{src } k) \rangle \rangle \rangle \text{PROD.P}_1$

..
interpret *P*₁*oHK*₁: *composite-simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \text{PROD.resid} \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$
 $\langle \langle \langle \text{Map } (\text{trg } h), \text{Map } (\text{trg } k) \rangle \rangle \rangle \text{PROD.P}_1$

..
interpret *HK*: *transformation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \text{PROD.resid}$
 $\langle \langle \langle \text{Map } (\text{src } h), \text{Map } (\text{src } k) \rangle \rangle \rangle$

```

      <<<Map (trg h), Map (trg k)>>>
      <<<Map h, Map k>>>
using assms HK0.simulation-axioms HK1.simulation-axioms
      H.transformation-axioms K.transformation-axioms
by (metis H0.simulation-axioms H1.simulation-axioms
      K0.simulation-axioms K1.simulation-axioms PROD.proj-tuple(1)
      PROD.universality2(1) PROD.universality(3))
interpret Pack-o-HK: transformation <Dom h> Prod.resid
      <Pack o <<Map (src h), Map (src k)>>>
      <Pack o <<Map (trg h), Map (trg k)>>>
      <Pack o <<Map h, Map k>>>
using assms transformation-whisker-left
      Prod.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms HK.transformation-axioms
      Prod.Map.simulation-axioms dom-char
by fastforce

let ?hk = mkarr (Dom h) Prod.resid
      (Pack o <<Map (src h), Map (src k)>>>)
      (Pack o <<Map (trg h), Map (trg k)>>>)
      (Pack o <<Map h, Map k>>>)
have hk: «?hk : dom h → prod»
      using assms arr-mkarr arr-char Pack-o-HK.transformation-axioms
      X.extensional-rts-axioms X.small-rts-axioms
      Prod.extensional-rts-axioms Prod.small-rts-axioms
      dom-char cod-char
      by auto
show p1 * ?hk = h ∧ p0 * ?hk = k
proof
  have seq0: H.seq p0 ?hk
    using hk by blast
  have seq1: H.seq p1 ?hk
    using hk by blast
show p1 * ?hk = h
proof (intro arr-eqI)
  show p1 * ?hk ≠ Null
    using seq1 arr-char by auto
  show h ≠ Null
    using assms arr-char [of h] by auto
  show Dom: Dom (p1 * ?hk) = Dom h
    using seq1 H-seq-char mkarr-def by fastforce
  show Cod: Cod (p1 * ?hk) = Cod h
    using * H.arrI hk mkarr-def by auto
  show Trn (p1 * ?hk) = Trn h
proof –
  interpret C: COMP <Dom x> Prod.resid <Dom a> ..
  have Trn (p1 * ?hk) =
    COMP.map (Dom ?hk) (Cod ?hk) (Cod p1) (Trn p1, Trn ?hk)
    using assms seq1 Trn-hcomp hk H.seqE mkarr-def by auto
  also have ... =

```



```

      COMP.map (Dom x) Prod.resid (Dom a) (Trn p1, Trn ?hk)
    using assms hk dom-char mkarr-def by auto
  also have ... =
    C.BC.MkArr
      ((P1.map ∘ Pack) ∘
        ⟨⟨C.BC.Map (Trn (src h)), C.BC.Map (Trn (src k))⟩⟩)
      ((P1.map ∘ Pack) ∘
        ⟨⟨C.BC.Map (Trn (trg h)), C.BC.Map (Trn (trg k))⟩⟩)
      ((P1.map ∘ Pack) ∘
        ⟨⟨C.BC.Map (Trn h), C.BC.Map (Trn k)⟩⟩)
    unfolding p1-def C.map-eq
    using assms hk C.map-eq P1.transformation-axioms
      Pack-o-HK.transformation-axioms dom-char cod-char mkarr-def
    by auto
  also have ... =
    C.BC.MkArr
      (PROD.P1 ∘
        ⟨⟨C.BC.Map (Trn (src h)), C.BC.Map (Trn (src k))⟩⟩)
      (PROD.P1 ∘
        ⟨⟨C.BC.Map (Trn (trg h)), C.BC.Map (Trn (trg k))⟩⟩)
      (PROD.P1 ∘
        ⟨⟨C.BC.Map (Trn h), C.BC.Map (Trn k)⟩⟩)
  proof –
    have P1.map ∘ Pack = PROD.P1
      using PROD.P1.extensional Prod.map-null Prod.null-char by auto
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = C.BC.MkArr
    (C.BC.Map (Trn (src h))) (C.BC.Map (Trn (trg h)))
    (C.BC.Map (Trn h))
    using PROD.proj-tuple2(1–2) PROD.proj-tuple(1–2)
      H.transformation-axioms K.transformation-axioms
      H0.simulation-axioms H1.simulation-axioms
      K0.simulation-axioms K1.simulation-axioms
    by auto
  also have ... = Trn h
  using assms C.BC.MkArr-Map * Map-simps(3–4) XA.arr-char arr-char
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.arrI H-arr-char comp-def)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  show p0 * ?hk = k
  proof (intro arr-eqI)
    show p0 * ?hk ≠ Null
      using seq0 arr-char by auto
    show k ≠ Null
      using assms arr-char [of k] by auto
  show Dom: Dom (p0 * ?hk) = Dom k
    using * H-seq-char seq1 mkarr-def by auto

```

```

show Cod: Cod ( $p_0 \star ?hk$ ) = Cod k
  using * H-seq-char seq0 by auto
show Trn ( $p_0 \star ?hk$ ) = Trn k
proof –
  interpret C: COMP  $\langle Dom\ x \rangle$  Prod.resid  $\langle Dom\ b \rangle$  ..
  have Trn ( $p_0 \star ?hk$ ) =
    COMP.map (Dom ?hk) (Cod ?hk) (Cod  $p_0$ ) (Trn  $p_0$ , Trn ?hk)
    using assms seq0 Trn-hcomp [of  $p_0$  ?hk] hk H.seqE mkarr-def by auto
  also have ... =
    COMP.map (Dom x) Prod.resid (Dom b) (Trn  $p_0$ , Trn ?hk)
    using assms hk dom-char mkarr-def by auto
  also have ... =
    C.BC.MkArr
    ((P0.map  $\circ$  Pack)  $\circ$ 
      \langle C.BC.Map (Trn (src h)), C.BC.Map (Trn (src k)) \rangle)
    ((P0.map  $\circ$  Pack)  $\circ$ 
      \langle C.BC.Map (Trn (trg h)), C.BC.Map (Trn (trg k)) \rangle)
    ((P0.map  $\circ$  Pack)  $\circ$ 
      \langle C.BC.Map (Trn h), C.BC.Map (Trn k) \rangle)
  unfolding p0-def C.map-eq
  using assms hk C.map-eq P0.transformation-axioms
    Pack-o-HK.transformation-axioms dom-char cod-char mkarr-def
  by auto
  also have ... =
    C.BC.MkArr
    (PROD.P0  $\circ$ 
      \langle C.BC.Map (Trn (src h)), C.BC.Map (Trn (src k)) \rangle)
    (PROD.P0  $\circ$ 
      \langle C.BC.Map (Trn (trg h)), C.BC.Map (Trn (trg k)) \rangle)
    (PROD.P0  $\circ$ 
      \langle C.BC.Map (Trn h), C.BC.Map (Trn k) \rangle)
  proof –
  have P0.map  $\circ$  Pack = PROD.P0
    using PROD.P0.extensional Prod.map-null Prod.null-char by auto
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
  also have ... = C.BC.MkArr
    (C.BC.Map (Trn (src k))) (C.BC.Map (Trn (trg k)))
    (C.BC.Map (Trn k))
  using PROD.proj-tuple2(1-2) PROD.proj-tuple(1-2)
    H.transformation-axioms K.transformation-axioms
    H0.simulation-axioms H1.simulation-axioms
    K0.simulation-axioms K1.simulation-axioms
  by auto
  also have ... = Trn k
    using assms C.BC.MkArr-Map [of Trn k] * Map-simps(3-4)
      XB.arr-char arr-char
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.arrI H.arr-char comp-apply)
  finally show ?thesis by blast

```

```

    qed
  qed
qed
fix m
assume m: p1 * m = h ∧ p0 * m = k
have arr-m: arr m
  using assms m by fastforce
have Dom-m: Dom m = Dom x
  using assms m dom-char by fastforce
have Cod-m: Cod m = Prod.resid
  using assms m cod-char
  using H-seq-char by auto
interpret X-Prod: exponential-rts ⟨Dom x⟩ Prod.resid ..
interpret M: transformation ⟨Dom x⟩ Prod.resid
  ⟨Map (src m)⟩ ⟨Map (trg m)⟩ ⟨Map m⟩
  using Cod-m Dom-m Map-simps(3) Map-simps(4) arr-char arr-m by auto
interpret UnpackoM: transformation ⟨Dom h⟩ PROD.resid
  ⟨Unpack ∘ Map (src m)⟩
  ⟨Unpack ∘ Map (trg m)⟩
  ⟨Unpack ∘ Map m⟩
  using * M.transformation-axioms PROD.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
  Prod.Map'.simulation-axioms transformation-whisker-left
  by fastforce
show m = ?hk
proof (intro arr-eqI)
  show m ≠ Null
    using assms m null-char by fastforce
  show ?hk ≠ Null
    using hk mkarr-def by auto
  show 2: Dom m = Dom ?hk
    using assms m hk cod-char * Dom.simps(1) Dom-m mkarr-def
    by presburger
  show 3: Cod m = Cod ?hk
    using assms m hk cod-char mkarr-def
    by (simp add: Cod-m)
  show Trn m = Trn ?hk
  proof (intro X-Prod.arr-eqI)
    interpret COMPa: COMP ⟨Dom x⟩ Prod.resid ⟨Dom a⟩ ..
    interpret COMPb: COMP ⟨Dom x⟩ Prod.resid ⟨Dom b⟩ ..
    show 4: X-Prod.arr (Trn m)
      using assms arr-m Dom-m Cod-m arr-char by simp
    show 5: X-Prod.arr (Trn ?hk)
      using 2 Dom-m H-arr-char hk mkarr-def by force
    show 6: X-Prod.Dom (Trn m) = X-Prod.Dom (Trn ?hk)
    proof -
      have PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Dom (Trn ?hk)) =
        PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Dom (Trn m))
    proof -
      have PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Dom (Trn ?hk)) =

```

```

    PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ Pack) ∘
      ⟨⟨COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (src h)),
        COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (src k))⟩⟩
  using mkarr-def by auto
  also have ... = COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (src h))
  proof
    fix x
    show (PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ Pack) ∘
      ⟨⟨COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (src h)),
        COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (src k))⟩⟩) x =
      COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (src h)) x
    using PROD.P1-def
    apply (auto simp add: pointwise-tuple-def)[1]
    apply (metis A.not-arr-null PROD.null-char
      Prod.null-char first-conv)
    apply (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) H0.extensional
      H0.simulation-axioms comp-apply
      simulation.preserves-reflects-arr)
    apply (metis B.not-arr-null PROD.null-char Prod.null-char
      second-conv)
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) A.not-arr-null
      H0.extensional P1oHK0.preserves-reflects-arr comp-def
      pointwise-tuple-def)
  qed
  also have ... = COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (src (p1 ★ m)))
    using m by blast
  also have ... = COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (p1 ★ src m))
    using assms m by auto
  also have ... =
    COMPa.BC.Map (COMPa.map (Trn p1, Trn (src m)))
    using arr-m Dom-m Cod-m Trn-hcomp by simp
  also have ... =
    COMPa.BC.Map (Trn p1) ∘ COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (src m))
  proof -
    have COMPa.BCxAB.arr (COMPa.BC.MkIde P1.map, Trn m)
      using assms arr-m Dom-m Cod-m arr-char arr-char p1-simps(1)
      P1.transformation-axioms
    by auto
    thus ?thesis
      unfolding COMPa.map-eq
      using assms m arr-m Dom-m Cod-m arr-char [of src m] by simp
  qed
  also have ... =
    (PROD.P1 ∘ Unpack) ∘ COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (src m))
    by simp
  also have ... = PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Dom (Trn m))
    by (auto simp add: 4 Cod-m Dom-m arr-m src-char)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

```

moreover have  $PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Dom (Trn ?hk)) =$ 
 $PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Dom (Trn m))$ 
proof –
  have  $PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Dom (Trn ?hk)) =$ 
 $PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ Pack) \circ$ 
 $\langle\langle COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (src h)),$ 
 $COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (src k)) \rangle\rangle$ 
  using mkarr-def by auto
also have  $\dots = COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (src k))$ 
proof
  fix  $x$ 
  show  $(PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ Pack) \circ$ 
 $\langle\langle COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (src h)),$ 
 $COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (src k)) \rangle\rangle) x =$ 
 $COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (src k)) x$ 
  using  $PROD.P_0-def$   $H_0.preserves-reflects-arr$   $K_0.extensional$ 
 $PROD.null-char$   $Prod.null-char$ 
  apply (auto simp add: pointwise-tuple-def)[1]
  apply (metis second-conv)
  apply (metis A.not-arr-null PROD.null-char first-conv)
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) B.not-arr-null
 $P_0 \circ HK_0.preserves-reflects-arr$  comp-def pointwise-tuple-def)
qed
also have  $\dots = COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (src (p_0 \star m)))$ 
  using  $m$  by blast
also have  $\dots = COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (p_0 \star src m))$ 
  using  $assms$   $m$  by auto
also have  $\dots = COMPb.BC.Map (COMPb.map (Trn p_0, Trn (src m)))$ 
  using  $arr-m$   $Dom-m$   $Cod-m$   $Trn-hcomp$  by simp
also have  $\dots = COMPb.BC.Map (Trn p_0) \circ$ 
 $COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (src m))$ 
proof –
  have  $COMPb.BCxAB.arr (COMPb.BC.MkIde P_0.map, Trn m)$ 
  using  $assms$   $arr-m$   $Dom-m$   $Cod-m$   $arr-char$   $arr-char$   $p_0-simps(1)$ 
 $P_0.transformation-axioms$ 
  by auto
  thus ?thesis
  unfolding  $COMPb.map-eq$ 
  using  $assms$   $m$   $arr-m$   $Dom-m$   $Cod-m$   $arr-char$  [of src m]
  by simp
qed
also have  $\dots =$ 
 $(PROD.P_0 \circ Unpack) \circ COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (src m))$ 
  by simp
also have  $\dots = PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Dom (Trn m))$ 
  by (auto simp add: 4 Cod-m Dom-m arr-m src-char)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have  $simulation (Dom x) PROD.resid$ 

```

```

      (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Dom (Trn ?hk))
using hk arr-char 2 Pack-o-HK.F.simulation-axioms Dom-m
      Prod.Map'.simulation-axioms simulation-comp mkarr-def
by auto
moreover have simulation (Dom x) PROD.resid
      (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Dom (Trn m))
using 4 X-Prod.ide-src Prod.Map'.simulation-axioms
      simulation-comp
by auto
ultimately have Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Dom (Trn ?hk) =
      Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Dom (Trn m)
using PROD.proj-joint-monic by blast
moreover have simulation (Dom x) Prod.resid (X-Prod.Dom (Trn ?hk))
using hk arr-char X-Prod.ide-src 2 Pack-o-HK.F.simulation-axioms
      Dom-m mkarr-def
by fastforce
moreover have simulation (Dom x) Prod.resid (X-Prod.Dom (Trn m))
using 4 X-Prod.ide-src by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
using invertible-simulation-cancel-left
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Prod.invertible-simulation-map')
qed
show 7: X-Prod.Cod (Trn m) = X-Prod.Cod (Trn ?hk)
proof –
have PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Cod (Trn ?hk)) =
      PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Cod (Trn m))
proof –
have PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Cod (Trn ?hk)) =
      PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ Pack) ∘
      ⟨⟨COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (trg h)),
      COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (trg k))⟩⟩
using mkarr-def by auto
also have ... = COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (trg h))
proof
fix x
show (PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ Pack) ∘
      ⟨⟨COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (trg h)),
      COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (trg k))⟩⟩) x =
      COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (trg h)) x
using PROD.P1-def
apply (auto simp add: pointwise-tuple-def)[1]
subgoal by (metis A.not-arr-null PROD.null-char Prod.null-char
      first-conv)
subgoal using H1.extensional H1.preserves-reflects-arr by auto
subgoal by (metis B.not-arr-null PROD.null-char Prod.null-char
      second-conv)
subgoal by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) H1.simulation-axioms
      K1.simulation-axioms comp-def simulation.extensional
      simulation.preserves-reflects-arr)

```

```

done
qed
also have ... = COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (trg (p1 ★ m)))
  using m by blast
also have ... = COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (p1 ★ trg m))
  using assms m by auto
also have ... = COMPa.BC.Map (COMPa.map (Trn p1, Trn (trg m)))
  using arr-m Dom-m Cod-m by simp
also have ... =
  COMPa.BC.Map (Trn p1) ∘ COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (trg m))
proof –
  have COMPa.BCxAB.arr (COMPa.BC.MkIde P1.map, Trn m)
    using assms arr-m Dom-m Cod-m arr-char arr-char p1-simps(1)
      P1.transformation-axioms
  by auto
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding COMPa.map-eq
    using assms m arr-m Dom-m Cod-m arr-char [of trg m] by simp
qed
also have ... =
  (PROD.P1 ∘ Unpack) ∘ COMPa.BC.Map (Trn (trg m))
  by simp
also have ... = PROD.P1 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Cod (Trn m))
  by (auto simp add: 4 Cod-m Dom-m arr-m trg-char)
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have PROD.P0 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Cod (Trn ?hk)) =
  PROD.P0 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Cod (Trn m))
proof –
  have PROD.P0 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-Prod.Cod (Trn ?hk)) =
    PROD.P0 ∘ (Unpack ∘ Pack) ∘
      ⟨⟨COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (trg h)),
        COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (trg k))⟩⟩⟩
  using mkarr-def by auto
  also have ... = COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (trg k))
proof
  fix x
  show (PROD.P0 ∘ (Unpack ∘ Pack) ∘
    ⟨⟨COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (trg h)),
      COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (trg k))⟩⟩⟩) x =
    COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (trg k)) x
  using PROD.P0-def H0.preserves-reflects-arr K0.extensional
    K1.extensional PROD.null-char Prod.null-char
    H1.preserves-reflects-arr second-conv
  apply (auto simp add: pointwise-tuple-def)[1]
  apply metis
  apply (metis B.not-arr-null)
  using K1.extensional K1.preserves-reflects-arr by fastforce
qed

```

also have ... = $COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (trg (p_0 \star m)))$
using m **by** *blast*
also have ... = $COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (p_0 \star trg m))$
using *assms m by auto*
also have ... =
 $COMPb.BC.Map (COMPb.map (Trn p_0, Trn (trg m)))$
using $arr\text{-}m$ $Dom\text{-}m$ $Cod\text{-}m$ **by** *simp*
also have ... =
 $COMPb.BC.Map (Trn p_0) \circ COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (trg m))$
proof –
have $COMPb.BCxAB.arr (COMPb.BC.MkIde P_0.map, Trn m)$
using *assms arr-m Dom-m Cod-m arr-char arr-char p_0-simps(1)*
 $P_0.transformation\text{-}axioms$
by *auto*
thus *?thesis*
unfolding $COMPb.map\text{-}eq$
using *assms m arr-m Dom-m Cod-m arr-char [of trg m]*
by *simp*
qed
also have ... = $(PROD.P_0 \circ Unpack) \circ COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (trg$
 $m))$
by *simp*
also have ... = $PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ X\text{-}Prod.Cod (Trn m))$
by *(auto simp add: 4 Cod-m Dom-m arr-m trg-char)*
finally show *?thesis by blast*
qed
moreover have *simulation (Dom x) PROD.resid*
 $(Unpack \circ X\text{-}Prod.Cod (Trn ?hk))$
using hk $arr\text{-}char$ 2 $Pack\text{-}o\text{-}HK.G.simulation\text{-}axioms$ $Dom\text{-}m$
 $Prod.Map'.simulation\text{-}axioms$ *simulation-comp*
using *mkarr-def by auto*
moreover have *simulation (Dom x) PROD.resid*
 $(Unpack \circ X\text{-}Prod.Cod (Trn m))$
using $X\text{-}Prod.ide\text{-}trg$ $\langle X\text{-}Prod.arr (Trn m) \rangle$
 $Prod.Map'.simulation\text{-}axioms$ *simulation-comp*
by *auto*
ultimately have $Unpack \circ X\text{-}Prod.Cod (Trn ?hk) =$
 $Unpack \circ X\text{-}Prod.Cod (Trn m)$
using $PROD.proj\text{-}joint\text{-}monic$ **by** *blast*
moreover have *simulation (Dom x) Prod.resid*
 $(X\text{-}Prod.Cod (Trn ?hk))$
using hk 2 $arr\text{-}char$ $X\text{-}Prod.ide\text{-}trg$ $Dom\text{-}m$ *mkarr-def*
 $Pack\text{-}o\text{-}HK.F.simulation\text{-}axioms$ $Pack\text{-}o\text{-}HK.G.simulation\text{-}axioms$
by *force*
moreover have *simulation (Dom x) Prod.resid*
 $(X\text{-}Prod.Cod (Trn m))$
using 4 $X\text{-}Prod.ide\text{-}trg$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *invertible-simulation-cancel-left*


```

    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Prod.invertible-simulation-map')
qed
show  $\bigwedge x. X.ide\ x \implies X-Prod.Map\ (Trn\ m)\ x = X-Prod.Map\ (Trn\ ?hk)\ x$ 
proof -
  have  $PROD.P_1 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map\ (Trn\ ?hk)) =$ 
     $PROD.P_1 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map\ (Trn\ m))$ 
  proof -
    have  $PROD.P_1 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map\ (Trn\ ?hk)) =$ 
       $PROD.P_1 \circ (Unpack \circ Pack) \circ$ 
       $\langle\langle COMPa.BC.Map\ (Trn\ h), COMPa.BC.Map\ (Trn\ k)\rangle\rangle$ 
    using mkarr-def by auto
  also have ... =  $COMPa.BC.Map\ (Trn\ h)$ 
  proof
    fix x
    show  $(PROD.P_1 \circ (Unpack \circ Pack) \circ$ 
       $\langle\langle COMPa.BC.Map\ (Trn\ h),$ 
       $COMPa.BC.Map\ (Trn\ k)\rangle\rangle)\ x =$ 
       $COMPa.BC.Map\ (Trn\ h)\ x$ 
    using PROD.P1-def
    apply (auto simp add: pointwise-tuple-def)[1]
    apply (metis A.not-arr-null PROD.null-char Prod.null-char
      first-conv)
    apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) H.transformation-axioms
      K.transformation-axioms PROD.P1.extensional
      PROD.P1.preserves-arr PROD.proj-tuple2(1) comp-apply)
    apply (metis B.not-arr-null PROD.null-char Prod.null-char
      second-conv)
    by (metis H.extensional K.preserves-arr comp-apply)
  qed
  also have ... =  $COMPa.BC.Map\ (Trn\ (p_1 \star m))$ 
    using m by blast
  also have ... =  $COMPa.BC.Map\ (COMPa.map\ (Trn\ p_1, Trn\ m))$ 
    using arr-m Dom-m Cod-m Trn-hcomp by simp
  also have ... =  $COMPa.BC.Map\ (Trn\ p_1) \circ COMPa.BC.Map\ (Trn\ m)$ 
  proof -
    have  $COMPa.BCxAB.arr\ (COMPa.BC.MkIde\ P_1.map, Trn\ m)$ 
      using assms arr-m Dom-m Cod-m arr-char arr-char p1-simps(1)
       $P_1.transformation-axioms$ 
    by auto
    thus ?thesis
      unfolding COMPa.map-eq
      using assms m arr-m Dom-m Cod-m by simp
  qed
  also have ... =  $(PROD.P_1 \circ Unpack) \circ COMPa.BC.Map\ (Trn\ m)$ 
    by simp
  also have ... =  $PROD.P_1 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map\ (Trn\ m))$ 
    by (auto simp add: 4 Cod-m Dom-m arr-m src-char)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

moreover have $PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map (Trn ?hk)) =$
 $PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map (Trn m))$

proof –

have $PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map (Trn ?hk)) =$
 $PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ Pack) \circ$
 $\langle\langle COMPb.BC.Map (Trn h),$
 $COMPb.BC.Map (Trn k)\rangle\rangle$

using *mkarr-def* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = COMPb.BC.Map (Trn k)$

proof

fix x

show $(PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ Pack) \circ$
 $\langle\langle COMPb.BC.Map (Trn h), COMPb.BC.Map (Trn k)\rangle\rangle) x =$
 $COMPb.BC.Map (Trn k) x$

using $PROD.P_0-def$ $H_0.preserves-reflects-arr$ $K_0.extensional$
 $PROD.null-char$ $Prod.null-char$

apply (*auto simp add: pointwise-tuple-def*)[1]

apply (*metis B.not-arr-null second-conv*)

apply (*metis H.preserves-arr K.extensional comp-apply*)

apply (*metis A.not-arr-null PROD.null-char first-conv*)

by (*metis K.extensional K.preserves-arr comp-apply*)

qed

also have $\dots = COMPb.BC.Map (Trn (p_0 \star m))$

using m **by** *blast*

also have $\dots = COMPb.BC.Map (COMPb.map (Trn p_0, Trn m))$

using $arr-m$ $Dom-m$ $Cod-m$ $Trn-hcomp$ **by** *simp*

also have $\dots =$
 $COMPb.BC.Map (Trn p_0) \circ COMPb.BC.Map (Trn m)$

proof –

have $COMPb.BCxAB.arr (COMPb.BC.MkIde P_0.map, Trn m)$

using $assms$ $arr-m$ $Dom-m$ $Cod-m$ $arr-char$ $p_0-simps(1)$
 $P_0.transformation-axioms$

by *auto*

thus *?thesis*

unfolding $COMPb.map-eq$

using $assms$ m $arr-m$ $Dom-m$ $Cod-m$ **by** *simp*

qed

also have $\dots =$
 $(PROD.P_0 \circ Unpack) \circ COMPb.BC.Map (Trn m)$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = PROD.P_0 \circ (Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map (Trn m))$

by (*auto simp add: 4 Cod-m Dom-m arr-m src-char*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

moreover have $transformation (Dom x) PROD.resid$
 $(Unpack \circ Map (src m)) (Unpack \circ Map (trg m))$
 $(Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map (Trn m))$

by (*metis * UnpackoM.transformation-axioms comp-def*)

moreover have $transformation (Dom x) PROD.resid$

$(Unpack \circ Map (src\ m)) (Unpack \circ Map (trg\ m))$
 $(Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map (Trn\ ?hk))$

proof –

have $Map (src\ m) = X-Prod.Dom (Trn\ m) \wedge Map (trg\ m) = X-Prod.Cod$
 $(Trn\ m)$

by $(metis\ Map-simps(3-4)\ arr-m\ comp-def)$

hence $Map (src\ m) = X-Prod.Dom (Trn\ ?hk) \wedge Map (trg\ m) =$
 $X-Prod.Cod (Trn\ ?hk)$

using 6 7 **by** $simp$

thus $?thesis$

using 5 $Prod.Map'.simulation-axioms\ X-Prod.arr-char$ [of $Trn\ ?hk$]
 $PROD.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms$
 $transformation-whisker-left$
[of $Dom\ x\ Prod.resid\ Map (src\ m)\ Map (trg\ m)$
 $X-Prod.Map (Trn\ ?hk)\ PROD.resid\ Unpack$]

by $metis$

qed

ultimately have $Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map (Trn\ ?hk) =$
 $Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map (Trn\ m)$

using 4 5 $X-Prod.arr-char$
 $PROD.proj-joint-monic2$
[of $Dom\ x\ Unpack \circ Map (src\ m)\ Unpack \circ Map (trg\ m)$
 $Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map (Trn\ ?hk)\ Unpack \circ X-Prod.Map (Trn$
 $m)$]

by $metis$

moreover have $Pack \circ \langle \langle Map (src\ h), Map (src\ k) \rangle \rangle = Map (src\ m)$

by $(simp\ add: 4\ Cod-m\ Dom-m$
 $\langle COMPb.BC.Dom (Trn\ m) = COMPb.BC.Dom (Trn\ ?hk) \rangle$
 $arr-m\ src-char\ mkarr-def)$

moreover have $Pack \circ \langle \langle Map (trg\ h), Map (trg\ k) \rangle \rangle = Map (trg\ m)$

by $(simp\ add: 4\ Cod-m\ Dom-m$
 $\langle COMPb.BC.Cod (Trn\ m) = COMPb.BC.Cod (Trn\ ?hk) \rangle$
 $arr-m\ trg-char\ mkarr-def)$

ultimately have $X-Prod.Map (Trn\ ?hk) = X-Prod.Map (Trn\ m)$

using $assms\ 2\ Dom-m\ Prod.invertible-simulation-map'$
 $invertible-simulation-cancel-left'$
 $M.transformation-axioms\ Pack-o-HK.transformation-axioms$
 $mkarr-def$

by $simp$

thus $\bigwedge x. X.ide\ x \implies$
 $X-Prod.Map (Trn\ m)\ x = X-Prod.Map (Trn\ ?hk)\ x$

by $simp$

qed

qed

qed

qed

lemma $has-as-binary-product$:
shows $H.has-as-binary-product\ a\ b\ p_1\ p_0$

proof
show $H.\text{span } p_1 p_0$ **and** $\text{cod } p_1 = a$ **and** $\text{cod } p_0 = b$
by *auto*
fix $x f g$
assume $f: \langle f : x \rightarrow a \rangle$ **and** $g: \langle g : x \rightarrow b \rangle$
have $1: \exists! h. p_1 \star h = f \wedge p_0 \star h = g$
using $f g$ *universality* **by** *blast*
show $\exists! h. \langle h : x \rightarrow \text{dom } p_1 \rangle \wedge p_1 \star h = f \wedge p_0 \star h = g$
using $1 f$ **by** *blast*
qed

sublocale *binary-product hcomp a b p1 p0*
using *has-as-binary-product*
by *unfold-locales blast*

lemma *preserves-extensional-rts:*
assumes *extensional-rts (Dom a)* **and** *extensional-rts (Dom b)*
shows *extensional-rts Prod.resid*
using *PROD.preserves-extensional-rts*
Prod.preserves-extensional-rts assms(1-2)
by *fastforce*

lemma *preserves-small-rts:*
assumes *small-rts (Dom a)* **and** *small-rts (Dom b)*
shows *small-rts Prod.resid*
using *PROD.preserves-small-rts*
Prod.preserves-reflects-small-rts assms(1-2)
by *fastforce*

lemma *sta-tuple:*
assumes $H.\text{span } t u$ **and** $\text{cod } t = a$ **and** $\text{cod } u = b$ **and** $\text{sta } t$ **and** $\text{sta } u$
shows $\text{sta } (\text{tuple } t u)$
proof –
have $0: \langle \text{tuple } t u : \text{dom } t \rightarrow \text{prod} \rangle$
using *assms tuple-props(1)*
by *(simp add: product-def)*
have $\text{src } (\text{tuple } t u) = \text{tuple } t u$
by *(metis (no-types, lifting) H.arr-iff-in-hom V.src-ide assms(1-5)*
p0-simps(1) p1-simps(1) src-hcomp tuple-props(6) universality)
thus *?thesis*
using $0 V.\text{ide-iff-src-self}$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Map-p0:*
shows $\text{Map } p_0 = \text{PROD}.P_0 \circ \text{Unpack}$
by *simp*

lemma *Map-p1:*
shows $\text{Map } p_1 = \text{PROD}.P_1 \circ \text{Unpack}$

by *simp*

lemma *Map-tuple*:

assumes $\langle t : x \rightarrow a \rangle$ and $\langle u : x \rightarrow b \rangle$

shows $\text{Map } (\text{tuple } t \ u) = \text{Pack } \circ \langle \langle \text{Map } t, \text{Map } u \rangle \rangle$

proof –

have *: $\text{Dom } t = \text{Dom } x \wedge \text{Cod } t = \text{Dom } a \wedge$

$\text{Dom } u = \text{Dom } x \wedge \text{Cod } u = \text{Dom } b$

using *assms dom-char cod-char* by *auto*

interpret *X*: *extensional-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle$

using *assms(1) arr-char [of t] dom-char* by *auto*

interpret *XA*: *exponential-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \dots$

interpret *XB*: *exponential-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle \dots$

interpret *AB*: *exponential-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle \dots$

interpret *aXb*: *extensional-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } \text{prod} \rangle$

using *obj-prod obj-char arr-char [of prod]* by *blast*

interpret *X-aXb*: *exponential-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \text{Prod.resid} \dots$

interpret *aXb-A*: *exponential-rts* $\text{Prod.resid} \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \dots$

interpret *aXb-B*: *exponential-rts* $\text{Prod.resid} \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle \dots$

interpret *COMP₁*: *COMP* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \text{Prod.resid} \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \dots$

interpret *COMP₀*: *COMP* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \text{Prod.resid} \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle \dots$

have *span*: $H.\text{span } t \ u$

using *assms* by *blast*

have *1*: *arr* $(\text{tuple } t \ u)$

using *assms span tuple-props [of t u]*

by *(elim H.in-homE) auto*

have *2*: $\text{Dom } (\text{tuple } t \ u) = \text{Dom } x$

by *(metis (no-types, lifting) 1 Dom-dom H.in-homE*

assms(1-2) tuple-props(2))

have *3*: $\text{Cod } (\text{tuple } t \ u) = \text{Prod.resid}$

by *(metis (no-types, lifting) H.in-homE H-seq-char*

assms(1-2) p₁-simps(4) tuple-props(4))

have *4*: $\text{COMP}_1.\text{BCxAB}.\text{arr } (\text{Trn } p_1, \text{Trn } (\text{tuple } t \ u))$

by *(metis (no-types, lifting) * 1 2 3*

COMP₁.extensional H.in-homE H-arr-char Trn-hcomp

V.ide-implies-arr XA.not-arr-null assms(1-2)

p₁-simps(1) p₁-simps(4) p₁-simps(5) tuple-props(4))

interpret *P₁*: *simulation-as-transformation* $\text{Prod.resid} \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle P_1.\text{map} \dots$

interpret *P₀*: *simulation-as-transformation* $\text{Prod.resid} \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle P_0.\text{map} \dots$

interpret *T*: *transformation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$

$\langle \text{XA.Dom } (\text{Trn } t) \rangle \langle \text{XA.Cod } (\text{Trn } t) \rangle \langle \text{XA.Map } (\text{Trn } t) \rangle$

using *assms(1) * arr-char [of t] XA.arr-char [of Trn t]* by *auto*

interpret *U*: *transformation* $\langle \text{Dom } x \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$

$\langle \text{XB.Dom } (\text{Trn } u) \rangle \langle \text{XB.Cod } (\text{Trn } u) \rangle \langle \text{XB.Map } (\text{Trn } u) \rangle$

using *assms(2) * arr-char [of u] XB.arr-char [of Trn u] H.arrI*

by *auto*

have $\text{Map } t = \text{PROD}.P_1 \circ (\text{Unpack} \circ X\text{-aXb}.\text{Map } (\text{Trn } (\text{tuple } t \ u)))$

by *(metis (no-types, lifting) H.in-homE Map-hcomp Map-p₁ assms(1-2))*

```

      comp-assoc o-apply tuple-props(4))
moreover
have Map u = PROD.P0 ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-aXb.Map (Trn (tuple t u)))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.in-homE Map-hcomp Map-p0 assms(1-2)
      comp-assoc comp-def tuple-props(5))
moreover have Map t = PROD.P1 ∘ ⟨⟨Map t, Map u⟩⟩
  using T.transformation-axioms U.transformation-axioms
      PROD.proj-tuple2 [of Dom x]
  by simp
moreover have Map u = PROD.P0 ∘ ⟨⟨Map t, Map u⟩⟩
  using T.transformation-axioms U.transformation-axioms
      PROD.proj-tuple2 [of Dom x]
  by simp
ultimately
have 5: Unpack ∘ X-aXb.Map (Trn (tuple t u)) = ⟨⟨Map t, Map u⟩⟩
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) PROD.tuple-proj
      Prod.Map'.simulation-axioms comp-assoc comp-pointwise-tuple)
show ?thesis
proof –
  have X-aXb.Map (Trn (tuple t u)) =
    Pack ∘ Unpack ∘ X-aXb.Map (Trn (tuple t u))
  using 1 2 3 arr-char [of tuple t u]
      X-aXb.arr-char [of Trn (tuple t u)]
      Prod.inv' comp-identity-transformation
  by fastforce
also have ... = Pack ∘ (Unpack ∘ X-aXb.Map (Trn (tuple t u)))
  by auto
also have ... = Pack ∘ ⟨⟨Map t, Map u⟩⟩
  using 5 by simp
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

end

```

Now we transfer to *rtscatx* just the definitions and facts we want from *product-in-rtscat*, generalized to all pairs of objects rather than a fixed pair.

```

context rtscatx
begin

```

```

definition p0
where p0 ≡ product-in-rtscat.p0

```

```

definition p1
where p1 ≡ product-in-rtscat.p1

```

```

lemma sta-p0:
assumes obj a and obj b
shows sta (p0 a b)

```

by (simp add: assms(1-2) p₀-def product-in-rtscat.p₀-simps(1)
product-in-rtscat-axioms.intro product-in-rtscat-def
rtscatx.intro universe-axioms)

lemma sta-p₁:

assumes obj a and obj b

shows sta (p₁ a b)

by (simp add: assms(1-2) p₁-def product-in-rtscat.p₁-simps(1)
product-in-rtscat-axioms.intro product-in-rtscat-def
rtscatx.intro universe-axioms)

lemma has-binary-products:

assumes obj a and obj b

shows H.has-as-binary-product a b (p₁ a b) (p₀ a b)

by (simp add: assms(1-2) p₀-def p₁-def
product-in-rtscat.has-as-binary-product product-in-rtscat-axioms-def
product-in-rtscat-def rtscatx.intro universe-axioms)

interpretation category-with-binary-products hcomp

using H.has-binary-products-def has-binary-products

by unfold-locales auto

proposition is-category-with-binary-products:

shows category-with-binary-products hcomp

..

lemma extends-to-elementary-category-with-binary-products:

shows elementary-category-with-binary-products hcomp p₀ p₁

proof

fix a b

assume a: obj a and b: obj b

interpret axb: product-in-rtscat arr-type a b

using a b **by** unfold-locales

show H.span (p₁ a b) (p₀ a b) and cod (p₁ a b) = a and cod (p₀ a b) = b

unfolding p₀-def p₁-def

using a b **by** auto

next

fix t u

assume tu: H.span t u

interpret axb: product-in-rtscat arr-type ⟨cod t⟩ ⟨cod u⟩

using tu H.ide-cod

by unfold-locales auto

show ∃!l. p₁ (cod t) (cod u) ★ l = t ∧

p₀ (cod t) (cod u) ★ l = u

unfolding p₀-def p₁-def

using tu axb.universality **by** blast

qed

interpretation elementary-category-with-binary-products hcomp p₀ p₁

using *extends-to-elementary-category-with-binary-products* by *blast*

notation p_0 ($p_0[-, -]$)
 notation p_1 ($p_1[-, -]$)
 notation *tuple* ($\langle -, - \rangle$)
 notation *prod* (**infixr** \otimes 51)

lemma *prod-eq*:
 assumes *obj a* and *obj b*
 shows $a \otimes b = \text{product-in-rtscat.prod } a \ b$
 proof –
 interpret *axb*: *product-in-rtscat arr-type a b*
 using *assms* by *unfold-locales auto*
 show $a \otimes b = \text{axb.prod}$
 using *assms*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *axb.p1-simps(2)* *p1-def pr-simps(5)*)
 qed

lemma *sta-tuple* [*simp*]:
 assumes $H.\text{span } t \ u$ and *sta t* and *sta u*
 shows *sta* $\langle t, u \rangle$
 proof –
 let $?a = \text{cod } t$ and $?b = \text{cod } u$
 have *a*: *obj* $?a$ and *b*: *obj* $?b$
 using *assms* by *auto*
 interpret *axb*: *product-in-rtscat arr-type ?a ?b*
 using *assms*
 by *unfold-locales auto*
 have $\langle t, u \rangle = \text{axb.tuple } t \ u$
 using *assms(1–2)* *a b H.in-homE axb.tuple-props(4–5)*
 tuple-pr-arr p0-def p1-def
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
 thus *?thesis*
 using *assms axb.sta-tuple* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *sta-prod*:
 assumes *sta t* and *sta u*
 shows *sta* $(t \otimes u)$
 proof –
 have $H.\text{span } (t \star p_1 (\text{dom } t) (\text{dom } u)) (u \star p_0 (\text{dom } t) (\text{dom } u))$
 using $H.\text{seqI } \text{assms}(1–2) \text{pr-simps}(1,4)$ by *force*
 moreover have $V.\text{ide } (t \star p_1 (\text{dom } t) (\text{dom } u))$
 using *assms pr-in-hom sta-p1 H-seq-char calculation* by *auto*
 moreover have $V.\text{ide } (u \star p_0 (\text{dom } t) (\text{dom } u))$
 using *assms pr-in-hom sta-p0 H-seq-char calculation* by *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis*

unfolding *prod-def*
using *assms sta-tuple* **by** *blast*
qed

definition *Pack* :: 'A *arr* \Rightarrow 'A *arr* \Rightarrow 'A \times 'A \Rightarrow 'A
where *Pack* \equiv *product-in-rtscat.Pack*

definition *Unpack* :: 'A *arr* \Rightarrow 'A *arr* \Rightarrow 'A \Rightarrow 'A \times 'A
where *Unpack* \equiv *product-in-rtscat.Unpack*

lemma *inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack*:
assumes *obj a* **and** *obj b*
shows *inverse-simulations* (*Dom* (*a* \otimes *b*)) (*product-rts.resid* (*Dom a*) (*Dom b*))
(*Pack a b*) (*Unpack a b*)

proof –
interpret *axb*: *product-in-rtscat arr-type a b*
using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*
show *?thesis*
unfolding *Pack-def Unpack-def*
using *p₀-def p₁-def*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *axb.Dom-prod*
axb.Prod.inverse-simulations-axioms axb.obj-a axb.obj-b
axb.p₀-simps(2) pr-simps(2))

qed

lemma *simulation-Pack*:
assumes *obj a* **and** *obj b*
shows *simulation* (*product-rts.resid* (*Dom a*) (*Dom b*)) (*Dom* (*a* \otimes *b*))
(*Pack a b*)
using *assms inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of a b]*
inverse-simulations-def
by *fast*

lemma *simulation-Unpack*:
assumes *obj a* **and** *obj b*
shows *simulation* (*Dom* (*a* \otimes *b*)) (*product-rts.resid* (*Dom a*) (*Dom b*))
(*Unpack a b*)
using *assms inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of a b]*
inverse-simulations-def
by *fast*

lemma *Pack-o-Unpack*:
assumes *obj a* **and** *obj b*
shows *Pack a b* \circ *Unpack a b* = *I* (*Dom* (*a* \otimes *b*))
proof –

interpret *PU*: *inverse-simulations*
 \langle *Dom* (*a* \otimes *b*) \rangle \langle *product-rts.resid* (*Dom a*) (*Dom b*) \rangle
 \langle *Pack a b* \rangle \langle *Unpack a b* \rangle
using *assms inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack* **by** *blast*

show *?thesis*
using *assms PU.inv'* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *Unpack-o-Pack*:
assumes *obj a and obj b*
shows $Unpack\ a\ b \circ Pack\ a\ b = I\ (product\ rts.\ resid\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ b))$
proof –
interpret *PU: inverse-simulations*
 $\langle Dom\ (a \otimes b) \rangle \langle product\ rts.\ resid\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ b) \rangle$
 $\langle Pack\ a\ b \rangle \langle Unpack\ a\ b \rangle$
using *assms inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
using *assms PU.inv* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *Pack-Unpack [simp]*:
assumes *obj a and obj b*
and *residuation.arr (Dom (a \otimes b)) t*
shows $Pack\ a\ b\ (Unpack\ a\ b\ t) = t$
by (*meson assms(1-3) inverse-simulations.inv'-simp*
inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack)

lemma *Unpack-Pack [simp]*:
assumes *obj a and obj b*
and *residuation.arr (product-rts.resid (Dom a) (Dom b)) t*
shows $Unpack\ a\ b\ (Pack\ a\ b\ t) = t$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Unpack-o-Pack assms(1-3) o-apply*)

lemma *src-tuple [simp]*:
assumes *H.span t u*
shows $src\ \langle t, u \rangle = \langle src\ t, src\ u \rangle$
using *assms sta-p₀ sta-p₁ tuple-simps(1) src-hcomp H.seqI*
 $src\ hcomp\ [of\ p_0\ (cod\ t)\ (cod\ u)\ tuple\ t\ u]$
 $src\ hcomp\ [of\ p_1\ (cod\ t)\ (cod\ u)\ tuple\ t\ u]$
by (*intro tuple-eqI*) *auto*

lemma *trg-tuple [simp]*:
assumes *H.span t u*
shows $trg\ \langle t, u \rangle = \langle trg\ t, trg\ u \rangle$
using *assms sta-p₀ sta-p₁ tuple-simps(1) src-hcomp H.seqI*
 $trg\ hcomp\ [of\ p_0\ (cod\ t)\ (cod\ u)\ tuple\ t\ u]$
 $trg\ hcomp\ [of\ p_1\ (cod\ t)\ (cod\ u)\ tuple\ t\ u]$
by (*intro tuple-eqI*) *auto*

lemma *Map-p₀*:
assumes *obj a and obj b*
shows $Map\ p_0[a, b] = product\ rts.\ P_0\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ b) \circ Unpack\ a\ b$
proof –

```

interpret axb: product-in-rtscat arr-type a b
  using assms by unfold-locales auto
show ?thesis
  unfolding Unpack-def p0-def
  using axb.Map-p0 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Map-p1:
assumes obj a and obj b
shows Map p1[a, b] = product-rtscat.P1 (Dom a) (Dom b) ∘ Unpack a b
proof –
  interpret axb: product-in-rtscat arr-type a b
    using assms by unfold-locales auto
  show ?thesis
    unfolding Unpack-def p1-def
    using axb.Map-p1 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Map-tuple:
assumes «t : x → a» and «u : x → b»
shows Map ⟨t, u⟩ = Pack a b ∘ ⟨⟨Map t, Map u⟩⟩
proof –
  interpret axb: product-in-rtscat arr-type a b
    using assms by unfold-locales auto
  show ?thesis
    unfolding Pack-def
    using assms axb.Map-tuple [of t x u] p0-def p1-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.in-homE axb.tuple-props(6) pr-tuple(1–2))
qed

```

```

lemma assoc-expansion:
assumes obj a and obj b and obj c
shows assoc a b c =
  ⟨p1[a, b] ★ p1[a ⊗ b, c], ⟨p0[a, b] ★ p1[a ⊗ b, c], p0[a ⊗ b, c]⟩
  using assms assoc-def by blast

```

end

4.3.3 Exponentials

In this section we show that the category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger has exponentials. The strategy is the same as for products: given objects b and c , construct the exponential RTS $[Dom\ b, Dom\ c]$, apply an injective map on the arrows to obtain an isomorphic RTS with arrow type $'A$, then let $exp\ b\ c$ be the object corresponding to this RTS. In order for the type-reducing injection to exist, we use the assumption that the type $'A$ admits exponentiation, but this is also where we use the assumption that the RTS's $Dom\ b$ and $Dom\ c$ are small, so that the exponential RTS $[Dom\ b, Dom\ c]$ is also small.

context *rtscatx*
begin

definition *inj-exp* :: ('A, 'A) *exponential-rts.arr* \Rightarrow 'A
where *inj-exp* \equiv λ *exponential-rts.MkArr* F G T \Rightarrow
 lifting.some-lift
 (*Some* (*pairing.some-pair*
 (*exponentiation.some-inj* F,
 pairing.some-pair
 (*exponentiation.some-inj* G,
 exponentiation.some-inj T))))
 | *exponential-rts.Null* \Rightarrow *lifting.some-lift None*

lemma *inj-inj-exp*:

assumes *small-rts A* **and** *extensional-rts A*

and *small-rts B* **and** *extensional-rts B*

shows *inj-on inj-exp*

(*Collect* (*residuation.arr* (*exponential-rts.resid* A B)) \cup {*exponential-rts.Null*})

proof

interpret A: *small-rts A*

using *assms* **by** *blast*

interpret A: *extensional-rts A*

using *assms* **by** *blast*

interpret B: *small-rts B*

using *assms* **by** *blast*

interpret B: *extensional-rts B*

using *assms* **by** *blast*

interpret AB: *exponential-rts A B ..*

fix x y

assume x: $x \in$ *Collect* AB.*arr* \cup {AB.*Null*}

and y: $y \in$ *Collect* AB.*arr* \cup {AB.*Null*}

assume eq: *inj-exp* x = *inj-exp* y

show x = y

proof (*cases* x; *cases* y)

show $\llbracket x =$ AB.*Null*; $y =$ AB.*Null* $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow x = y$

by *blast*

show \bigwedge F' G' T'. $\llbracket x =$ AB.*Null*; $y =$ AB.*MkArr* F' G' T' $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow x = y$

using x y eq *inj-some-lift*

unfolding *inj-exp-def inj-def*

by *simp blast*

show \bigwedge F G T. $\llbracket x =$ AB.*MkArr* F G T; $y =$ AB.*Null* $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow x = y$

using x y eq *inj-some-lift*

unfolding *inj-exp-def inj-def*

by *simp blast*

fix F G T F' G' T'

show $\llbracket x =$ AB.*MkArr* F G T; $y =$ AB.*MkArr* F' G' T' $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow x = y$

proof –

assume x-eq: x = AB.*MkArr* F G T **and** y-eq: y = AB.*MkArr* F' G' T'

have *some-lift*

```

      (Some
        (some-pair
          (some-inj F, some-pair (some-inj G, some-inj T)))) =
some-lift
  (Some
    (some-pair
      (some-inj F', some-pair (some-inj G', some-inj T'))))
using eq x-eq y-eq
unfolding inj-exp-def
by simp
hence Some
  (some-pair
    (some-inj F, some-pair (some-inj G, some-inj T))) =
Some
  (some-pair
    (some-inj F', some-pair (some-inj G', some-inj T')))
using inj-some-lift inj-def by metis
hence some-pair (some-inj F, some-pair (some-inj G, some-inj T)) =
  some-pair (some-inj F', some-pair (some-inj G', some-inj T'))
by simp
hence some-inj F = some-inj F' ∧
  some-pair (some-inj G, some-inj T) =
  some-pair (some-inj G', some-inj T')
using inj-some-pair inj-def [of some-pair] by blast
hence 1: some-inj F = some-inj F' ∧ some-inj G = some-inj G' ∧
  some-inj T = some-inj T'
using inj-some-pair inj-def [of some-pair] by blast
have F = F' ∧ G = G' ∧ T = T'
proof –
have small-function F ∧ small-function F' ∧
  small-function G ∧ small-function G'
using x-eq x y-eq y AB.arr-char small-function-simulation
  transformation-def
by (metis A.small-rtts-axioms AB.arr.simps(2)
  AB.arr-MkArr B.small-rtts-axioms UnE mem-Collect-eq singletonD)
moreover have small-function T ∧ small-function T'
using asms x-eq x y-eq y AB.arr-char small-function-transformation
by fast
ultimately show ?thesis
using 1 inj-some-inj inj-on-def [of some-inj] by auto
qed
thus x = y
using x-eq y-eq by auto
qed
qed
qed
end

```

```

locale exponential-in-rtscat =
  rtscatx arr-type
for arr-type :: 'A itself
and b :: 'A rtscatx.arr
and c :: 'A rtscatx.arr +
assumes obj-b: obj b
and obj-c: obj c
begin

  sublocale elementary-category-with-binary-products hcomp p0 p1
    using extends-to-elementary-category-with-binary-products by blast

  notation hcomp (infixr ★ 53)
  notation p0 (p0[-, -])
  notation p1 (p1[-, -])
  notation tuple ((-, -))
  notation prod (infixr ⊗ 51)

  sublocale B: extensional-rts ⟨Dom b⟩
    using obj-b bij-mkobj obj-char by blast
  sublocale B: small-rts ⟨Dom b⟩
    using obj-b bij-mkobj obj-char by blast
  sublocale C: extensional-rts ⟨Dom c⟩
    using obj-c bij-mkobj obj-char by blast
  sublocale C: small-rts ⟨Dom c⟩
    using obj-c bij-mkobj obj-char by blast

  sublocale EXP: exponential-rts ⟨Dom b⟩ ⟨Dom c⟩ ..
  sublocale EXP: exponential-of-small-rts ⟨Dom b⟩ ⟨Dom c⟩ ..

  lemma small-function-Map:
  assumes EXP.arr t
  shows small-function (EXP.Dom t) and small-function (EXP.Cod t)
  and small-function (EXP.Map t)
    using assms small-function-simulation small-function-transformation
      transformation-def B.small-rts-axioms C.small-rts-axioms
      EXP.con-arr-src(2) EXP.con-char
    by metis+

  Sublocale Exp refers to the isomorphic image of the RTS EXP under
  the type-reducing injective map inj-exp. These are connected by simulation
  Func, which maps Exp to EXP, and its inverse Unfunc, which maps EXP
  to Exp.

  sublocale Exp: inj-image-rts inj-exp EXP.resid
    using inj-inj-exp [of Dom b Dom c] EXP.null-char
      B.small-rts-axioms B.extensional-rts-axioms
      C.small-rts-axioms C.extensional-rts-axioms
    by unfold-locales argo
  sublocale Exp: extensional-rts Exp.resid

```

using *EXP.is-extensional-rts Exp.preserves-extensional-rts* **by** *blast*
sublocale *Exp: small-rts Exp.resid*
using *EXP.small-rts-axioms Exp.preserves-reflects-small-rts* **by** *blast*

lemma *is-extensional-rts:*
shows *extensional-rts Exp.resid*
 ..

lemma *is-small-rts:*
shows *small-rts Exp.resid*
 ..

abbreviation *Func :: 'A ⇒ ('A, 'A) EXP.arr*
where *Func ≡ Exp.map'ext*

abbreviation *Unfunc :: ('A, 'A) EXP.arr ⇒ 'A*
where *Unfunc ≡ Exp.mapext*

We define *exp* to be the object of the category **RTS**[†] having *Exp* as its underlying RTS.

definition *exp*
where *exp ≡ mkobj Exp.resid*

lemma *obj-exp:*
shows *obj exp*
using *exp-def Exp.rts-axioms is-small-rts is-extensional-rts bij-mkobj* **by** *auto*

The fact that *Dom exp* and *Exp.resid* are equal, but not identical, poses a minor inconvenience for the moment.

lemma *Dom-exp [simp]:*
shows *Dom exp = Exp.resid*
unfolding *exp-def* **by** *fastforce*

sublocale *EXPxB: product-rts EXP.resid ⟨Dom b⟩ ..*
sublocale *ExpxB: product-rts Exp.resid ⟨Dom b⟩ ..*

sublocale *B: identity-simulation ⟨Dom b⟩ ..*
sublocale *B: simulation-as-transformation ⟨Dom b⟩ ⟨Dom b⟩ B.map ..*
sublocale *B: transformation-to-extensional-rts*
⟨Dom b⟩ ⟨Dom b⟩ B.map B.map B.map ..
sublocale *UnfuncxB: product-simulation*
EXP.resid ⟨Dom b⟩ Exp.resid ⟨Dom b⟩ Unfunc B.map ..
sublocale *FuncxB: product-simulation*
Exp.resid ⟨Dom b⟩ EXP.resid ⟨Dom b⟩ Func B.map ..

sublocale *inverse-simulations EXPxB.resid ExpxB.resid*
FuncxB.map UnfuncxB.map
using *UnfuncxB.map-def FuncxB.map-def Exp.arr-char Exp.not-arr-null*
by *unfold-locales force+*

lemma *obj-expb*:
shows *obj* (*exp* \otimes *b*)
using *obj-exp obj-b* **by** *blast*

We now have a simulation *FuncxB-o-Unpack*, which refers to the result of composing the isomorphism *Unpack exp b* from *Dom expb* to *ExpxB*, with the isomorphism *FuncxB* from *ExpxB* to *EXPxB*. This composite essentially “unpacks” the RTS *Dom expb*, which underlies the product object *expb*, to expose its construction as an application of the exponential RTS construction, followed by an application of the product RTS construction.

sublocale *FuncxB-o-Unpack: composite-simulation*
 $\langle \text{Dom } (exp \otimes b) \rangle \text{ExpxB.resid EXPxB.resid}$
 $\langle \text{Unpack } exp \ b \rangle \text{FuncxB.map}$

proof –
have *product-rts.resid* (*Dom exp*) (*Dom b*) = *ExpxB.resid*
by *simp*
thus *composite-simulation* (*Dom (exp \otimes b)*) *ExpxB.resid EXPxB.resid*
(*Unpack exp b*) *FuncxB.map*
using *FuncxB.simulation-axioms simulation-Unpack* [*of exp b*]
simulation-comp
by (*simp add: composite-simulation-def obj-b obj-exp*)
qed

We construct the evaluation map associated with *ExpxB* by composing the evaluation map *Eval.map* from *EXPxB* to *C*, derived from the exponential RTS construction, with the isomorphism *FuncxB-o-Unpack* from *Dom expb.prod* to *EXPxB* and then obtain the corresponding arrow of the category.

sublocale *Eval: evaluation-map* $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle \langle \text{Dom } c \rangle \dots$
sublocale *Eval: evaluation-map-between-extensional-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle \langle \text{Dom } c \rangle \dots$
sublocale *Eval-o-FuncxB-o-Unpack:*
composite-simulation
 $\langle \text{Dom } (exp \otimes b) \rangle \text{EXPxB.resid } \langle \text{Dom } c \rangle$
FuncxB-o-Unpack.map Eval.map
using *Eval.simulation-axioms FuncxB-o-Unpack.simulation-axioms*
composite-simulation-def
by *fastforce*

lemma *EvaloFuncxB-o-Unpack-is-simulation:*
shows *simulation* (*Dom (exp \otimes b)*) (*Dom c*) *Eval-o-FuncxB-o-Unpack.map*
using *Eval-o-FuncxB-o-Unpack.simulation-axioms* **by** *blast*

definition *eval*
where *eval* \equiv *mksta* (*Dom (exp \otimes b)*) (*Dom c*) *Eval-o-FuncxB-o-Unpack.map*

lemma *eval-simps* [*simp*]:
shows *sta eval* **and** *dom eval* = *exp \otimes b* **and** *cod eval* = *c*


```

and Dom eval = Dom (exp ⊗ b) and Cod eval = Dom c
and Trn eval = exponential-rts.MkIde Eval-o-FuncxB-o-Unpack.map
proof –
  show 1: sta eval
    unfolding eval-def
    using sta-mksta [of Dom (exp ⊗ b) Dom c Eval-o-FuncxB-o-Unpack.map]
      obj-b obj-c obj-exp obj-char arr-char Eval-o-FuncxB-o-Unpack.is-simulation
    by blast
  show 2: Dom eval = Dom (exp ⊗ b) and 3: Cod eval = Dom c
    unfolding eval-def mkarr-def by auto
  show Trn eval = exponential-rts.MkIde Eval-o-FuncxB-o-Unpack.map
    unfolding eval-def mkarr-def by auto
  have 4: (λa. if FuncxB-o-Unpack.F.A.arr a then a
    else ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null
    (Dom eval)) =
    I (Dom (exp ⊗ b))
    using 2 by presburger
  have 5: (λt. if C.arr t then t
    else ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null
    (Cod eval)) =
    I (Dom c)
    using 3 by presburger
  show dom eval = exp ⊗ b
    using 1 2 4 dom-char obj-char obj-expb by auto
  show cod eval = c
    using 1 3 5 cod-char obj-char obj-c by auto
qed

```

```

lemma eval-in-hom [intro]:
shows «eval : exp ⊗ b → c»
  using eval-simps by auto

```

```

lemma Map-eval:
shows Map eval = Eval.map ∘ (FuncxB.map ∘ Unpack exp b)
  unfolding eval-def mkarr-def by simp

```

end

Now we transfer the definitions and facts we want to *rtscatx*.

```

context rtscatx
begin

```

```

interpretation elementary-category-with-binary-products hcomp p0 p1
  using extends-to-elementary-category-with-binary-products by blast

```

```

notation prod (infixr ⊗ 51)

```

```

definition exp
where exp b c ≡ exponential-in-rtscat.exp b c

```

lemma *obj-exp*:
assumes *obj b and obj c*
shows *obj (exp b c)*
proof –
 interpret *bc: exponential-in-rtscat arr-type b c*
 using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*
 show *?thesis*
 unfolding *exp-def*
 using *bc.obj-exp* **by** *blast*
qed

definition *eval*
where *eval b c* \equiv *exponential-in-rtscat.eval b c*

lemma *eval-simps* [*simp*]:
assumes *obj b and obj c*
shows *sta (eval b c)*
and *dom (eval b c) = exp b c \otimes b*
and *cod (eval b c) = c*
proof –
 interpret *bc: exponential-in-rtscat arr-type b c*
 using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*
 show *sta (eval b c)*
 and *dom (eval b c) = exp b c \otimes b*
 and *cod (eval b c) = c*
 unfolding *eval-def exp-def*
 using *bc.eval-simps* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *eval-in-hom_{RCC}* [*intro*]:
assumes *obj b and obj c*
shows $\langle\langle$ *eval b c : exp b c \otimes b \rightarrow c* $\rangle\rangle$
 using *assms eval-simps* **by** *auto*

definition *Func* :: *'a arr \Rightarrow 'a arr \Rightarrow ('a, 'a) exponential-rts.arr*
where *Func* \equiv *exponential-in-rtscat.Func*

definition *Unfunc* :: *'a arr \Rightarrow 'a arr \Rightarrow ('a, 'a) exponential-rts.arr \Rightarrow 'a*
where *Unfunc* \equiv *exponential-in-rtscat.Unfunc*

lemma *inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc*:
assumes *obj b and obj c*
shows *inverse-simulations*
 (*exponential-rts.resid (Dom b) (Dom c) (Dom (exp b c))*)
 (*Func b c*) (*Unfunc b c*)
proof –
 interpret *bc: exponential-in-rtscat arr-type b c*

```

using assms by unfold-locales blast
have bc.Exp.resid = Dom (exp b c)
using assms exp-def bc.Dom-exp by metis
thus ?thesis
unfolding Func-def Unfunc-def
using bc.Exp.inverse-simulations-axioms inverse-simulations-sym by auto
qed

```

```

lemma simulation-Func:
assumes obj b and obj c
shows simulation (Dom (exp b c)) (exponential-rts.resid (Dom b) (Dom c))
      (Func b c)
using assms inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc [of b c]
      inverse-simulations-def
by fast

```

```

lemma simulation-Unfunc:
assumes obj b and obj c
shows simulation (exponential-rts.resid (Dom b) (Dom c)) (Dom (exp b c))
      (Unfunc b c)
using assms inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc [of b c]
      inverse-simulations-def
by fast

```

```

lemma Func-o-Unfunc:
assumes obj b and obj c
shows Func b c  $\circ$  Unfunc b c = I (exponential-rts.resid (Dom b) (Dom c))
proof –
interpret FU: inverse-simulations
       $\langle$ exponential-rts.resid (Dom b) (Dom c) $\rangle$   $\langle$ Dom (exp b c) $\rangle$ 
       $\langle$ Func b c $\rangle$   $\langle$ Unfunc b c $\rangle$ 
using assms inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc by blast
show ?thesis
using assms FU.inv' by simp
qed

```

```

lemma Unfunc-o-Func:
assumes obj b and obj c
shows Unfunc b c  $\circ$  Func b c = I (Dom (exp b c))
proof –
interpret FU: inverse-simulations
       $\langle$ exponential-rts.resid (Dom b) (Dom c) $\rangle$   $\langle$ Dom (exp b c) $\rangle$ 
       $\langle$ Func b c $\rangle$   $\langle$ Unfunc b c $\rangle$ 
using assms inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc by blast
show ?thesis
using assms FU.inv by simp
qed

```

```

lemma Func-Unfunc [simp]:

```

assumes *obj b* **and** *obj c*
and *residuation.arr (exponential-rtscat (Dom b) (Dom c)) t*
shows *Func b c (Unfunc b c t) = t*
by (*meson assms(1-3) inverse-simulations.inv'-simp*
inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc)

lemma *Unfunc-Func [simp]*:
assumes *obj b* **and** *obj c*
and *residuation.arr (Dom (exp b c)) t*
shows *Unfunc b c (Func b c t) = t*
proof –
have *Unfunc b c (Func b c t) = (Unfunc b c o Func b c) t*
using *assms* **by** *simp*
also have *... = t*
using *assms Unfunc-o-Func [of b c]* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Map-eval*:
assumes *obj b* **and** *obj c*
shows *Map (eval b c) =*
evaluation-map.map (Dom b) (Dom c) o
(product-simulation.map
(Dom (exp b c)) (Dom b) (Func b c) (I (Dom b)) o
Unpack (exp b c) b)

proof –
interpret *bc: exponential-in-rtscat arr-type b c*
using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales blast*
have *Map (eval b c) = bc.Eval.map o (bc.FuncxB.map o Unpack (exp b c) b)*
using *assms bc.Map-eval comp-assoc exp-def local.eval-def*
by (*simp add: exp-def eval-def*)
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *Func-def*
by (*simp add: exp-def*)
qed

end

locale *currying-in-rtscat =*
exponential-in-rtscat arr-type b c
for *arr-type :: 'A itself*
and *a :: 'A rtscatx.arr*
and *b :: 'A rtscatx.arr*
and *c :: 'A rtscatx.arr +*
assumes *obj-a: obj a*
begin

sublocale *A: extensional-rtscat <Dom a>*
using *obj-a obj-char arr-char* **by** *blast*

sublocale *A*: *small-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$
using *obj-a obj-char arr-char* **by** *blast*
sublocale *B*: *extensional-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$
using *obj-b obj-char arr-char* **by** *blast*
sublocale *B*: *small-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$
using *obj-b obj-char arr-char* **by** *blast*

sublocale *AxB*: *product-of-extensional-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$..
sublocale *A-Exp*: *exponential-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$ *Exp.resid* ..

sublocale *aXb*: *extensional-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } (a \otimes b) \rangle$
using *obj-a obj-b obj-char arr-char* **by** *blast*
sublocale *aXb*: *small-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } (a \otimes b) \rangle$
using *obj-a obj-b obj-char arr-char* **by** *blast*
sublocale *expXb*: *exponential-rts* *Exp.resid* $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$..
sublocale *aXb-C*: *exponential-rts* $\langle \text{Dom } (a \otimes b) \rangle \langle \text{Dom } c \rangle$..

sublocale *Currying* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \langle \text{Dom } b \rangle \langle \text{Dom } c \rangle$..

definition *curry* :: 'A *arr* \Rightarrow 'A *arr*

where *curry f* = *mkarr* (*Dom a*) *Exp.resid*
(Unfunc \circ *Curry3* (*aXb-C.Dom* (*Trn f*) \circ *Pack a b*))
(Unfunc \circ *Curry3* (*aXb-C.Cod* (*Trn f*) \circ *Pack a b*))
(Unfunc \circ *Curry* (*aXb-C.Dom* (*Trn f*) \circ *Pack a b*)
(aXb-C.Cod (*Trn f*) \circ *Pack a b*)
(aXb-C.Map (*Trn f*) \circ *Pack a b*))

lemma *curry-in-hom* [*intro*]:

assumes $\langle f : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{curry } f : a \rightarrow \text{exp} \rangle$

proof –

have *Dom*: *Dom f* = *Dom* (*a* \otimes *b*) **and** *Cod*: *Cod f* = *Dom c*

using *assms*

apply (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *Dom-dom H.in-homE H-arr-char arr-char*)

using *cod-char assms* **by** *fastforce*

interpret *F*: *transformation* $\langle \text{Dom } (a \otimes b) \rangle \langle \text{Dom } c \rangle$

$\langle \text{aXb-C.Dom } (\text{Trn } f) \rangle \langle \text{aXb-C.Cod } (\text{Trn } f) \rangle \langle \text{aXb-C.Map } (\text{Trn } f) \rangle$

using *assms arr-char aXb-C.arr-char dom-char cod-char H.in-homE Dom*

by *auto*

let *?Src* = *Unfunc* \circ *Curry3* (*aXb-C.Dom* (*Trn f*) \circ *Pack a b*)

let *?Trg* = *Unfunc* \circ *Curry3* (*aXb-C.Cod* (*Trn f*) \circ *Pack a b*)

let *?Map* = *Unfunc* \circ *Curry* (*aXb-C.Dom* (*Trn f*) \circ *Pack a b*)

(aXb-C.Cod (*Trn f*) \circ *Pack a b*)

(aXb-C.Map (*Trn f*) \circ *Pack a b*)

interpret *Src*: *simulation* $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$ *Exp.resid* *?Src*

using *obj-a obj-b simulation-Pack F.F.simulation-axioms*

```

      Exp.Map.simulation-axioms
    by (intro simulation-comp) auto
  interpret Trg: simulation ⟨Dom a⟩ Exp.resid ?Trg
  using obj-a obj-b simulation-Pack F.G.simulation-axioms
      Exp.Map.simulation-axioms
    by (intro simulation-comp) auto
  interpret Map: transformation ⟨Dom a⟩ Exp.resid ?Src ?Trg ?Map
  proof –
    interpret FoMap: transformation AxB.resid ⟨Dom c⟩
      ⟨aXb-C.Dom (Trn f) ∘ Pack a b⟩
      ⟨aXb-C.Cod (Trn f) ∘ Pack a b⟩
      ⟨aXb-C.Map (Trn f) ∘ Pack a b⟩
    using obj-a obj-b F.transformation-axioms simulation-Pack
      transformation-whisker-right
      [of Dom (a ⊗ b) Dom c
       aXb-C.Dom (Trn f) aXb-C.Cod (Trn f)
       aXb-C.Map (Trn f) AxB.resid Pack a b]
      AxB.weakly-extensional-rt-axioms
    by blast
  have transformation (Dom a) EXP.resid
    (Eval.coext (Dom a) (aXb-C.Dom (Trn f) ∘ Pack a b))
    (Eval.coext (Dom a) (aXb-C.Cod (Trn f) ∘ Pack a b))
    (Curry (aXb-C.Dom (Trn f) ∘ Pack a b)
      (aXb-C.Cod (Trn f) ∘ Pack a b)
      (aXb-C.Map (Trn f) ∘ Pack a b))
  using Curry-preserves-transformations FoMap.transformation-axioms
  by blast
  thus transformation (Dom a) Exp.resid ?Src ?Trg ?Map
  using Exp.Map.simulation-axioms Exp.weakly-extensional-rt-axioms
    transformation-whisker-left
  by fastforce
qed
show ?thesis
  unfolding curry-def exp-def
  using obj-a obj-exp obj-char arr-char Map.transformation-axioms arr-mkarr
  by (intro H.in-homI) auto
qed

```

```

lemma curry-simps [simp]:
  assumes «t : a ⊗ b → c»
  shows arr (curry t) and dom (curry t) = a and cod (curry t) = exp
  and Dom (curry t) = Dom a and Cod (curry t) = Exp.resid
  and src (curry t) = curry (src t) and trg (curry t) = curry (trg t)
  and Map (curry t) =
    (Unfunc ∘ Curry (aXb-C.Dom (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)
      (aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)
      (aXb-C.Map (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b))
  proof –
  let ?Src = Unfunc ∘ Curry3 (aXb-C.Dom (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)

```

```

let ?Trg = Unfunc ∘ Curry3 (aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)
let ?Map = Unfunc ∘ Curry (aXb-C.Dom (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)
              (aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)
              (aXb-C.Map (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)
show arr (curry t) and dom (curry t) = a and cod (curry t) = exp
and Dom (curry t) = Dom a and Cod (curry t) = Exp.resid
and Map (curry t) = ?Map
  using assms obj-a curry-in-hom sta-mksta H.in-homE H-arr-char arr-char
    A.extensional-rts-axioms A.small-rts-axioms
    Exp.extensional-rts-axioms Exp.small-rts-axioms
  apply (auto simp add: curry-def mkarr-def)[6]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) A-Exp.arr-MkArr
      Cod.simps(1) Dom.simps(1) Trn.simps(1))
have 1: transformation (Dom a) Exp.resid ?Src ?Trg ?Map
  using ⟨arr (curry t)⟩ A-Exp.src-char curry-def curry-in-hom
    arr-char mkarr-def
  by simp
show src (curry t) = curry (src t)
proof -
  have src (curry t) =
    MkArr (Dom a) (Exp.resid) (A-Exp.src (Trn (curry t)))
  unfolding src-char
  using assms ⟨arr (curry t)⟩ ⟨Dom (curry t) = Dom a⟩
    ⟨Cod (curry t) = Exp.resid⟩
  by simp
  also have ... = mksta (Dom a) Exp.resid ?Src
  using 1 A-Exp.src-char curry-def curry-in-hom arr-char
    aXb-C.arr-char mkarr-def
  by auto
  also have ... = curry (src t)
  unfolding src-char curry-def mkarr-def
  using assms
  apply auto[1]
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Dom-cod Dom-dom H.in-homE
      H-arr-char aXb-C.Dom.simps(1) aXb-C.src-simp)
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Cod-cod Cod-dom H.ide-char
      H.in-homE H-arr-char aXb-C.MkIde-Dom expXb.Cod.simps(1)
      ide-prod obj-a obj-b obj-c obj-char)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cod-cod Cod-dom H.ide-char
      H.in-homE H-arr-char aXb-C.Map-src aXb-C.src-simp
      expXb.Cod.simps(1) expXb.Dom.simps(1) ide-prod
      obj-a obj-b obj-c obj-char)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show trg (curry t) = curry (trg t)
proof -
  have trg (curry t) =
    MkArr (Dom a) (Exp.resid) (A-Exp.trg (Trn (curry t)))
  unfolding trg-char

```

```

using assms ⟨arr (curry t)⟩ ⟨Dom (curry t) = Dom a⟩
  ⟨Cod (curry t) = Exp.resid⟩
by simp
also have ... = mksta (Dom a) Exp.resid ?Trg
using 1 A-Exp.trg-char curry-def curry-in-hom arr-char
  aXb-C.arr-char mkarr-def
by auto
also have ... = curry (trg t)
proof –
  have arr t
    using assms by auto
  moreover have aXb-C.Dom (Trn (trg t)) = aXb-C.Map (Trn (trg t)) ∧
    aXb-C.Cod (Trn (trg t)) = aXb-C.Map (Trn (trg t))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cod-trg Dom-cod Dom-dom Dom-trg
    H.in-homE aXb-C.ide-charERTS assms calculation ide-trg staE)
  moreover have aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) =
    aXb-C.Map
      (residuation.trg
        (exponential-rts.resid (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Dom-cod Dom-dom H.in-homE H-arr-char
    aXb-C.Map-trg arr-char assms)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding curry-def
    using assms trg-char by simp
  qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *sta-curry*:
assumes «*f* : *a* ⊗ *b* → *c*» **and** *sta* *f*
shows *sta* (*curry* *f*)
using *assms* *V.ide-iff-src-self* [*of* *curry* *f*] **by** *auto*

definition *uncurry* :: '*A* *arr* ⇒ '*A* *arr*
where *uncurry* *g* = *mkarr* (*Dom* (*a* ⊗ *b*)) (*Dom* *c*)
 (*Uncurry* (*Func* ∘ *exponential-rts.Dom* (*Trn* *g*)) ∘ *Unpack* *a* *b*)
 (*Uncurry* (*Func* ∘ *exponential-rts.Cod* (*Trn* *g*)) ∘ *Unpack* *a* *b*)
 (*Uncurry* (*Func* ∘ *exponential-rts.Map* (*Trn* *g*)) ∘ *Unpack* *a* *b*)

lemma *uncurry-in-hom* [*intro*]:
assumes «*g* : *a* → *exp*»
shows «*uncurry* *g* : *a* ⊗ *b* → *c*»
proof –
interpret *G*: *transformation* ⟨*Dom* *a*⟩ *Exp.resid*
 ⟨*A-Exp.Dom* (*Trn* *g*)⟩ ⟨*A-Exp.Cod* (*Trn* *g*)⟩ ⟨*A-Exp.Map* (*Trn* *g*)⟩
using *assms* *arr-char* *exp-def* *A-Exp.arr-char* *dom-char* *cod-char*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *H.in-homE* *H-arr-char*
mkobj-simps(2) *obj-a* *obj-char*)


```

interpret Cmp'oG: transformation ⟨Dom a⟩ EXP.resid
  ⟨Func ∘ A-Exp.Dom (Trn g)⟩
  ⟨Func ∘ A-Exp.Cod (Trn g)⟩
  ⟨Func ∘ A-Exp.Map (Trn g)⟩
using Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms G.transformation-axioms
  EXP.weakly-extensional-rt-axioms transformation-whisker-left
by simp
have transformation AxB.resid (Dom c)
  (Uncurry (Func ∘ A-Exp.Dom (Trn g)))
  (Uncurry (Func ∘ A-Exp.Cod (Trn g)))
  (Uncurry (Func ∘ A-Exp.Map (Trn g)))
using Cmp'oG.transformation-axioms Uncurry-preserves-transformations
by blast
hence transformation (Dom (a ⊗ b)) (Dom c)
  (Uncurry (Func ∘ A-Exp.Dom (Trn g)) ∘ Unpack a b)
  (Uncurry (Func ∘ A-Exp.Cod (Trn g)) ∘ Unpack a b)
  (Uncurry (Func ∘ A-Exp.Map (Trn g)) ∘ Unpack a b)
using obj-a obj-b simulation-Unpack [of a b]
  aXb.weakly-extensional-rt-axioms transformation-whisker-right
by auto
thus ?thesis
unfolding uncurry-def
using obj-c obj-char arr-char aXb.extensional-rt-axioms
  aXb.small-rt-axioms arr-mkarr
apply (intro H.in-homI)
apply auto[3]
using obj-a obj-b by blast
qed

```

```

lemma uncurry-simps [simp]:
assumes «u : a → exp»
shows arr (uncurry u)
and dom (uncurry u) = a ⊗ b and cod (uncurry u) = c
and Dom (uncurry u) = Dom (a ⊗ b) and Cod (uncurry u) = Dom c
and Map (uncurry u) =
  Uncurry (Func ∘ exponential-rt-Map (Trn u)) ∘ Unpack a b
and src (uncurry u) = uncurry (src u)
and trg (uncurry u) = uncurry (trg u)
proof –
show 0: arr (uncurry u)
and dom (uncurry u) = a ⊗ b and cod (uncurry u) = c
  using assms uncurry-in-hom [of u] by auto
show Dom (uncurry u) = Dom (a ⊗ b) and Cod (uncurry u) = Dom c
  using 0 ⟨dom (uncurry u) = a ⊗ b⟩ ⟨cod (uncurry u) = c⟩
  by (metis Dom-dom Dom-cod)+
show Map (uncurry u) =
  Uncurry (Func ∘ exponential-rt-Map (Trn u)) ∘ Unpack a b
unfolding uncurry-def mkarr-def by simp
have 1: transformation (Dom (a ⊗ b)) (Dom c)

```

```

      (Uncurry (Func ∘ aXb-C.Dom (Trn u)) ∘ Unpack a b)
      (Uncurry (Func ∘ aXb-C.Cod (Trn u)) ∘ Unpack a b)
      (Uncurry (Func ∘ aXb-C.Map (Trn u)) ∘ Unpack a b)
    using 0 A-Exp.src-char uncurry-def uncurry-in-hom arr-char mkarr-def
    by simp
  show src (uncurry u) = uncurry (src u)
  proof -
    have src (uncurry u) =
      MkArr (Dom (a ⊗ b)) (Dom c) (aXb-C.src (Trn (uncurry u)))
    unfolding src-char
    using assms 0 ⟨Dom (uncurry u) = Dom (a ⊗ b)⟩
      ⟨Cod (uncurry u) = Dom c⟩
    by simp
  also have ... = uncurry (src u)
    unfolding uncurry-def mkarr-def
    using assms 1 src-char aXb-C.src-char
    apply auto[1]
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) A-Exp.src-simp Dom-cod Dom-dom
      Dom-exp H.in-homE arrE expXb.Dom.simps(1))
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) A-Exp.src-simp Dom-cod Dom-dom
      Dom-exp H.in-homE arrE expXb.Cod.simps(1))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) A-Exp.Map-src Dom-cod Dom-dom
      Dom-exp H.in-homE arrE)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
  show trg (uncurry u) = uncurry (trg u)
  proof -
    have trg (uncurry u) =
      MkArr (Dom (a ⊗ b)) (Dom c) (aXb-C.trg (Trn (uncurry u)))
    unfolding trg-char
    using assms ⟨arr (uncurry u)⟩ ⟨Dom (uncurry u) = Dom (a ⊗ b)⟩
      ⟨Cod (uncurry u) = Dom c⟩
    by simp
  also have ... = uncurry (trg u)
    unfolding uncurry-def mkarr-def trg-char
    using assms 1 trg-char aXb-C.trg-char
    apply auto[1]
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) A-Exp.trg-char Dom-cod Dom-dom
      Dom-exp H.in-homE arrE expXb.Dom.simps(1))
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) A-Exp.trg-char Dom-cod Dom-dom
      Dom-exp H.in-homE arrE expXb.Cod.simps(1))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) A-Exp.Map-trg Dom-cod Dom-dom
      Dom-exp H.in-homE arrE)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *sta-uncurry*:
 assumes « $g : a \rightarrow exp$ » and *sta g*

shows sta ($uncurry\ g$)
using $assms\ V.ide-iff-src-self$ [of $uncurry\ g$] **by** $auto$

lemma $uncurry-curry$:
assumes $obj\ a$ **and** $obj\ b$
and $\langle t : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$
shows $uncurry\ (curry\ t) = t$

proof –
have $mkarr\ (Dom\ (a \otimes b))\ (Dom\ c)$
 $(Uncurry$
 $(Func\ \circ$
 $(Unfunc\ \circ$
 $Curry3\ (aXb-C.Dom\ (Trn\ t)\ \circ\ Pack\ a\ b)))\ \circ$
 $Unpack\ a\ b)$
 $(Uncurry$
 $(Func\ \circ$
 $(Unfunc\ \circ$
 $Curry3\ (aXb-C.Cod\ (Trn\ t)\ \circ\ Pack\ a\ b)))\ \circ$
 $Unpack\ a\ b)$
 $(Uncurry$
 $(Func\ \circ$
 $(Unfunc\ \circ$
 $Curry\ (aXb-C.Dom\ (Trn\ t)\ \circ\ Pack\ a\ b)$
 $(aXb-C.Cod\ (Trn\ t)\ \circ\ Pack\ a\ b)$
 $(aXb-C.Map\ (Trn\ t)\ \circ\ Pack\ a\ b)))\ \circ$
 $Unpack\ a\ b) =$
 t
 $(is\ mkarr\ (Dom\ (a \otimes b))\ (Dom\ c)\ ?Src\ ?Trg\ ?Map = t)$

proof –
interpret Dom : $simulation\ \langle Dom\ (a \otimes b) \rangle\ \langle Dom\ c \rangle\ \langle aXb-C.Dom\ (Trn\ t) \rangle$
using $assms(3)\ arr-char\ aXb-C.arr-char\ Dom-dom\ Dom-cod$
 $transformation-def$
by ($metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ H.in-homE\ arr-coincidence$)
interpret Cod : $simulation\ \langle Dom\ (a \otimes b) \rangle\ \langle Dom\ c \rangle\ \langle aXb-C.Cod\ (Trn\ t) \rangle$
using $assms(3)\ arr-char\ aXb-C.arr-char\ Dom-dom\ Dom-cod$
 $transformation-def$
by ($metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ H.in-homE\ arr-coincidence$)
interpret T : $transformation\ \langle Dom\ (a \otimes b) \rangle\ \langle Dom\ c \rangle$
 $\langle aXb-C.Dom\ (Trn\ t) \rangle\ \langle aXb-C.Cod\ (Trn\ t) \rangle$
 $\langle aXb-C.Map\ (Trn\ t) \rangle$
using $assms(3)\ arr-char\ aXb-C.arr-char\ Dom-dom\ Dom-cod$
by ($metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ H.in-homE\ arr-coincidence$)
interpret $Dom-o-Pack$: $composite-simulation$
 $AxB.resid\ \langle Dom\ (a \otimes b) \rangle\ \langle Dom\ c \rangle$
 $\langle Pack\ a\ b \rangle\ \langle aXb-C.Dom\ (Trn\ t) \rangle$
by $intro-locales$
 $(simp\ add:\ obj-a\ obj-b\ simulation.axioms(3)\ simulation-Pack)$
interpret $Dom-o-Pack$: $simulation-as-transformation$
 $AxB.resid\ \langle Dom\ c \rangle\ Dom-o-Pack.map$

```

..
interpret Cod-o-Pack: composite-simulation
  AxB.resid ‹Dom (a ⊗ b)› ‹Dom c›
  ‹Pack a b› ‹aXb-C.Cod (Trn t)›
..
interpret Cod-o-Pack: simulation-as-transformation
  AxB.resid ‹Dom c› Cod-o-Pack.map
..
interpret T-o-Pack: transformation AxB.resid ‹Dom c›
  Dom-o-Pack.map Cod-o-Pack.map
  ‹aXb-C.Map (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b›
using obj-a obj-b T.transformation-axioms simulation-Pack
  AxB.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
  transformation-whisker-right
  [of Dom (a ⊗ b) Dom c aXb-C.Dom (Trn t)
   aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) aXb-C.Map (Trn t)
   AxB.resid Pack a b]
by auto
interpret Curry-T-o-Pack: transformation ‹Dom a› EXP.resid
  ‹Curry3 Dom-o-Pack.map›
  ‹Curry3 Cod-o-Pack.map›
  ‹Curry
    Dom-o-Pack.map
    Cod-o-Pack.map
    (aXb-C.Map (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)›
using T-o-Pack.transformation-axioms Curry-preserves-transformations
by blast
have ?Src = aXb-C.Dom (Trn t)
proof –
  have ?Src =
    Uncurry
      ((Func ∘ Unfunc) ∘
        Curry3 (aXb-C.Dom (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)) ∘
        Unpack a b
    using comp-assoc by metis
also have ... =
    Uncurry
      (Curry3 (aXb-C.Dom (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)) ∘
      Unpack a b
    using Exp.inv Curry-T-o-Pack.transformation-axioms
      comp-identity-simulation
      [of Dom a EXP.resid Curry3 Dom-o-Pack.map]
    by (auto simp add: transformation-def)
also have ... = aXb-C.Dom (Trn t) ∘ (Pack a b ∘ Unpack a b)
  using Dom-o-Pack.transformation-axioms Uncurry-Curry by auto
also have ... = aXb-C.Dom (Trn t) ∘ I (Dom (a ⊗ b))
  using assms Pack-o-Unpack by simp
also have ... = aXb-C.Dom (Trn t)
  using assms Dom.simulation-axioms comp-simulation-identity

```

```

    by auto
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
moreover
have ?Trg = aXb-C.Cod (Trn t)
proof -
  have ?Trg =
    Uncurry
      ((Func ∘ Exp.mapext) ∘
        Curry3 (aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)) ∘
        Unpack a b
  using comp-assoc by metis
also have ... =
  Uncurry
    (Curry3 (aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)) ∘
    Unpack a b
  using Exp.inv Curry-T-o-Pack.transformation-axioms
  comp-identity-simulation
  [of Dom a EXP.resid Curry Cod-o-Pack.map
    Cod-o-Pack.map Cod-o-Pack.map]
  by (auto simp add: transformation-def)
also have ... = aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) ∘ (Pack a b ∘ Unpack a b)
  using Cod-o-Pack.transformation-axioms Uncurry-Curry by auto
also have ... = aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) ∘ I (Dom (a ⊗ b))
  using assms Pack-o-Unpack by simp
also have ... = aXb-C.Cod (Trn t)
  using assms Cod.simulation-axioms comp-simulation-identity
  by auto
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
moreover
have ?Map = aXb-C.Map (Trn t)
proof -
  have ?Map =
    Uncurry
      ((Func ∘ Unfunc) ∘
        Curry (aXb-C.Dom (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)
          (aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)
          (aXb-C.Map (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)) ∘
        Unpack a b
  using comp-assoc by metis
also have ... =
  Uncurry
    (Curry (aXb-C.Dom (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)
      (aXb-C.Cod (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)
      (aXb-C.Map (Trn t) ∘ Pack a b)) ∘
    Unpack a b
  using Exp.inv Curry-T-o-Pack.transformation-axioms
  comp-identity-transformation [of Dom a EXP.resid]

```

by (auto simp add: transformation-def)
 also have ... = $aXb\text{-}C.\text{Map } (Trn\ t) \circ (Pack\ a\ b \circ Unpack\ a\ b)$
 using $T\text{-}o\text{-}Pack.\text{transformation-axioms } Uncurry\text{-}Curry$ by auto
 also have ... = $aXb\text{-}C.\text{Map } (Trn\ t) \circ I\ (Dom\ (a \otimes b))$
 using $assms\ Pack\text{-}o\text{-}Unpack$ by simp
 also have ... = $aXb\text{-}C.\text{Map } (Trn\ t)$
 using $assms\ T.\text{transformation-axioms } comp\text{-}transformation\text{-}identity$
 by blast
 finally show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately have $mkarr\ (Dom\ (a \otimes b))\ (Dom\ c)\ ?Src\ ?Trg\ ?Map =$
 $mkarr\ (Dom\ (a \otimes b))\ (Dom\ c)$
 $(aXb\text{-}C.\text{Dom } (Trn\ t))\ (aXb\text{-}C.\text{Cod } (Trn\ t))$
 $(aXb\text{-}C.\text{Map } (Trn\ t))$
 by simp
 also have ... = t
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) Dom-cod Dom-dom H.in-homE
 $H\text{-arr-char } mkarr\text{-}def\ MkArr\text{-}Trn\ aXb\text{-}C.\text{arrE } aXb\text{-}C.\text{null-char}$
 $arr\text{-}char\ assms(3)\ expXb.\text{MkArr-Map}$)
 finally show ?thesis
 using $curry\text{-}def\ uncurry\text{-}def$ by simp
 qed
 thus ?thesis
 using $assms\ curry\text{-}def\ uncurry\text{-}def\ mkarr\text{-}def$ by simp
 qed

lemma $curry\text{-}uncurry$:

assumes $\langle u : a \rightarrow exp \rangle$

shows $curry\ (uncurry\ u) = u$

proof –

have $mkarr\ (Dom\ a)\ Exp.\text{resid}$
 $(Exp.\text{map}_{ext} \circ$
 $Curry3$
 $((Uncurry\ (Func \circ A\text{-}Exp.\text{Dom } (Trn\ u)) \circ Unpack\ a\ b) \circ Pack\ a\ b))$
 $(Exp.\text{map}_{ext} \circ$
 $Curry3$
 $((Uncurry\ (Func \circ A\text{-}Exp.\text{Cod } (Trn\ u)) \circ Unpack\ a\ b) \circ Pack\ a\ b))$
 $(Exp.\text{map}_{ext} \circ$
 $Curry$
 $((Uncurry\ (Func \circ A\text{-}Exp.\text{Dom } (Trn\ u)) \circ Unpack\ a\ b) \circ Pack\ a\ b)$
 $((Uncurry\ (Func \circ A\text{-}Exp.\text{Cod } (Trn\ u)) \circ Unpack\ a\ b) \circ Pack\ a\ b)$
 $((Uncurry\ (Func \circ A\text{-}Exp.\text{Map } (Trn\ u)) \circ Unpack\ a\ b) \circ Pack\ a\ b))$
 $= u$
 (is ?LHS = u)

proof –

interpret Dom : $simulation\ \langle Dom\ a \rangle\ Exp.\text{resid}\ \langle A\text{-}Exp.\text{Dom } (Trn\ u) \rangle$

using $assms(1)\ arr\text{-}char\ A\text{-}Exp.\text{arr-char } transformation\text{-}def$

by (metis Dom-cod Dom-dom Dom-exp H.in-homE arr-coincidence)

interpret Cod : $simulation\ \langle Dom\ a \rangle\ Exp.\text{resid}\ \langle A\text{-}Exp.\text{Cod } (Trn\ u) \rangle$

```

using assms(1) arr-char A-Exp.arr-char transformation-def
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Dom-cod Dom-dom Dom-exp
      H.in-homE arr-coincidence)
interpret U: transformation  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \text{Exp.resid}$ 
       $\langle \text{A-Exp.Dom (Trn } u) \rangle \langle \text{A-Exp.Cod (Trn } u) \rangle$ 
       $\langle \text{A-Exp.Map (Trn } u) \rangle$ 
using assms(1) arr-char A-Exp.arr-char H.in-homE dom-char cod-char
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Dom-cod Dom-dom Dom-exp arr-coincidence)
interpret FuncoDom: composite-simulation
       $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \text{Exp.resid EXP.resid}$ 
       $\langle \text{A-Exp.Dom (Trn } u) \rangle \text{Func}$ 
..
interpret FuncoDom: simulation-as-transformation
       $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \text{EXP.resid} \langle \text{Func} \circ \text{A-Exp.Dom (Trn } u) \rangle$ 
..
interpret FuncoCod: composite-simulation
       $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \text{Exp.resid EXP.resid}$ 
       $\langle \text{A-Exp.Cod (Trn } u) \rangle \text{Func}$ 
..
interpret FuncoCod: simulation-as-transformation
       $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \text{EXP.resid} \langle \text{Func} \circ \text{A-Exp.Cod (Trn } u) \rangle$ 
..
interpret FuncoU: transformation  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle \text{EXP.resid}$ 
      FuncoDom.map FuncoCod.map
       $\langle \text{Func} \circ \text{A-Exp.Map (Trn } u) \rangle$ 
using U.transformation-axioms Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms
      EXP.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms transformation-whisker-left
by blast
have 1: transformation AxB.resid (Dom c)
      (Uncurry FuncoDom.map) (Uncurry FuncoCod.map)
      (Uncurry (Func  $\circ$  aXb-C.Map (Trn u)))
using Uncurry-preserves-transformations FuncoU.transformation-axioms
by simp
have 2: (Uncurry (Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Dom (Trn u))  $\circ$  Unpack a b)  $\circ$ 
      Pack a b =
      Uncurry (Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Dom (Trn u))
proof –
have (Uncurry (Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Dom (Trn u))  $\circ$  Unpack a b)  $\circ$  Pack a b =
      Uncurry (Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Dom (Trn u))  $\circ$  (Unpack a b  $\circ$  Pack a b)
using comp-assoc by metis
also have ... = Uncurry (Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Dom (Trn u))  $\circ$  I AxB.resid
using obj-a obj-b Unpack-o-Pack by auto
also have ... = Uncurry (Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Dom (Trn u))
using 1 transformation-def comp-simulation-identity by blast
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
have 3: (Uncurry (Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Cod (Trn u))  $\circ$  Unpack a b)  $\circ$  Pack a b =
      Uncurry (Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Cod (Trn u))
proof –

```

```

have (Uncurry (Func ◦ A-Exp.Cod (Trn u)) ◦ Unpack a b) ◦ Pack a b =
  Uncurry (Func ◦ A-Exp.Cod (Trn u)) ◦ (Unpack a b ◦ Pack a b)
  using comp-assoc by metis
also have ... = Uncurry (Func ◦ A-Exp.Cod (Trn u)) ◦ I AxB.resid
  using obj-a obj-b Unpack-o-Pack by auto
also have ... = Uncurry (Func ◦ A-Exp.Cod (Trn u))
  using 1 transformation-def comp-simulation-identity by blast
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
have 4: (Uncurry (Func ◦ A-Exp.Map (Trn u)) ◦ Unpack a b) ◦ Pack a b =
  Uncurry (Func ◦ A-Exp.Map (Trn u))
proof –
  have (Uncurry (Func ◦ A-Exp.Map (Trn u)) ◦ Unpack a b) ◦ Pack a b =
    Uncurry (Func ◦ A-Exp.Map (Trn u)) ◦ (Unpack a b ◦ Pack a b)
    using comp-assoc by metis
  also have ... = Uncurry (Func ◦ A-Exp.Map (Trn u)) ◦ I AxB.resid
    using obj-a obj-b Unpack-o-Pack by auto
  also have ... = Uncurry (Func ◦ A-Exp.Map (Trn u))
    using 1 transformation-def comp-transformation-identity by blast
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
have ?LHS = mkarr (Dom a) Exp.resid
  (Exp.mapext ◦ Exp.map'ext ◦ A-Exp.Dom (Trn u))
  (Exp.mapext ◦ Exp.map'ext ◦ A-Exp.Cod (Trn u))
  (Exp.mapext ◦ Exp.map'ext ◦ A-Exp.Map (Trn u))
  using 2 3 4 FuncoDom.transformation-axioms
  FuncoCod.transformation-axioms FuncoU.transformation-axioms
  Curry-Uncurry mkarr-def
  by auto
also have ... = mkarr (Dom a) Exp.resid
  (A-Exp.Dom (Trn u)) (A-Exp.Cod (Trn u))
  (A-Exp.Map (Trn u))
  using Dom.simulation-axioms Cod.simulation-axioms
  U.transformation-axioms comp-identity-transformation
  comp-identity-simulation [of Dom a Exp.resid]
  Exp.inv' mkarr-def
  by simp
also have ... = u
proof –
  have Exp.resid = Cod u
  using assms Dom-exp cod-char
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Dom-cod H.in-homE
    H-arr-char arr-char)
moreover have Trn u =
  A-Exp.MkArr
  (A-Exp.Dom (Trn u)) (A-Exp.Cod (Trn u))
  (A-Exp.Map (Trn u))
  using assms arr-char [of u] A-Exp.MkArr-Map
  apply auto[1]

```



```

    by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.weakly-extensional-rt-axioms
        Dom-dom H.in-homE exponential-rt-arr-char
        exponential-rt-intro)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms U.transformation-axioms null-char arr-char
        A-Exp.arr-char A-Exp.null-char dom-char
        cod-char mkarr-def
    by (intro arr-eqI) auto
qed
finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
thus ?thesis
  unfolding curry-def uncurry-def mkarr-def
  by simp
qed

```

We are not yet quite where we want to go, because to establish the naturality of the curry/uncurry bijection we have to show how uncurry relates to evaluation.

```

lemma uncurry-expansion:
  assumes «u : a → exp»
  shows uncurry u = eval * ⟨u * p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩
  proof (intro arr-eqI)
    interpret AxB: identity-simulation AxB.resid ..
    interpret P0: simulation-as-transformation AxB.resid ⟨Dom b⟩ AxB.P0 ..
    interpret P1: simulation-as-transformation AxB.resid ⟨Dom a⟩ AxB.P1 ..
    interpret U: transformation ⟨Dom a⟩ ⟨Dom exp⟩
      ⟨A-Exp.Dom (Trn u)⟩ ⟨A-Exp.Cod (Trn u)⟩ ⟨A-Exp.Map (Trn u)⟩
    using assms Dom-dom Dom-cod [of u] arr-char A-Exp.arr-char
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Dom-exp H.in-homE arr-coincidence)
  have a: obj a
    using assms H.ide-dom by blast
  have src-u: «src u : a →sta exp»
    using assms by fastforce
  have 0: «eval * ⟨u * p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩ : a ⊗ b → c»
    using assms obj-a obj-b by auto
  have 00: «eval * ⟨src u * p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩ : a ⊗ b → c»
    using src-u obj-a obj-b by auto
  have Dom: Dom (eval * ⟨u * p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩) = Dom (a ⊗ b)
    using 0 Dom-dom [of eval * ⟨u * p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩] by auto
  have Cod: Cod (eval * ⟨u * p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩) = Dom c
    using 0 Cod-dom [of eval * ⟨u * p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Dom-cod H.in-homE H-arr-char arr-char)
  show uncurry u ≠ Null
    using assms uncurry-simps(1) V.not-arr-null null-char by metis
  show eval * ⟨u * p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩ ≠ Null
    using assms eval-in-hom null-char tuple-in-hom pr-in-hom
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.comp-in-homI H.seqI' V.not-arr-null
        arr-coincidence obj-a obj-b)

```

```

show 1:  $Dom (uncurry u) = Dom (eval \star \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle)$ 
  by (simp add: Dom assms)
show 2:  $Cod (uncurry u) = Cod (eval \star \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle)$ 
  by (simp add: Cod assms)
show  $Trn (uncurry u) = Trn (eval \star \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle)$ 
proof (intro aXb-C.arr-eqI)
  show  $aXb-C.arr (Trn (uncurry u))$ 
    using assms arr-char [of uncurry u] by auto
  show  $aXb-C.arr (Trn (eval \star \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle))$ 
    using assms(1) 0 1 2 arr-char [of eval \star \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle]
      Dom-dom Dom-cod
    by auto
  show  $aXb-C.Dom (Trn (uncurry u)) =$ 
     $aXb-C.Dom (Trn (eval \star \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle))$ 
proof –
  have 4:  $arr (eval \star \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle)$ 
    using 0 by blast
  have 5:  $sta \langle src u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle$ 
    using assms 00 sta-tuple sta-p0 sta-p1
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.dom-comp H.in-homE
      H.seqI cod-pr1 obj-a obj-b pr-simps(1-2,4-5)
      src-u sta-hcomp)
  have  $aXb-C.Dom (Trn (uncurry u)) =$ 
     $Eval.map \circ$ 
     $product-simulation.map (Dom a) (Dom b)$ 
     $(Func \circ Map (src u)) B.map \circ$ 
     $Unpack a b$ 
proof –
  have  $aXb-C.Dom (Trn (uncurry u)) =$ 
     $aXb-C.Map (aXb-C.src (Trn (uncurry u)))$ 
    by (simp add: \langle aXb-C.arr (Trn (uncurry u)) \rangle)
  also have  $\dots = Map (src (uncurry u))$ 
    using assms(1) src-char by force
  also have  $\dots = Map (uncurry (src u))$ 
    using assms(1) uncurry-simps by simp
  also have  $\dots = Uncurry (Func \circ Map (src u)) \circ Unpack a b$ 
    unfolding uncurry-def mkarr-def by simp
  also have  $\dots = Eval.map \circ$ 
     $product-simulation.map (Dom a) (Dom b)$ 
     $(Func \circ Map (src u)) B.map \circ$ 
     $Unpack a b$ 
proof –
  have  $simulation (Dom a) EXP.resid (Func \circ A-Exp.Map (Trn (src u)))$ 
    using assms Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms sta-char
      A-Exp.ide-char ERTS simulation-comp
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cod-src Dom-cod Dom-dom
      Dom-exp Dom-src H.in-homE V.ide-src arr-coincidence)
  thus ?thesis
    using Eval.Uncurry-simulation-expansion

```

[of $\text{Dom } a \text{ Exp.map}'_{ext} \circ A\text{-Exp.Map } (\text{Trn } (\text{src } u))$
 $A.\text{weakly-extensional-rts-axioms}$]

by *auto*
qed
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
moreover
have $aXb\text{-C.Dom } (\text{Trn } (\text{eval } \star \langle u \star \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b], \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \rangle)) =$
 $\text{Eval.map } \circ$
 $\text{product-simulation.map } (\text{Dom } a) (\text{Dom } b)$
 $(\text{Func } \circ \text{Map } (\text{src } u)) \text{ B.map } \circ$
 $\text{Unpack } a \text{ } b$

proof –
have $aXb\text{-C.Dom } (\text{Trn } (\text{eval } \star \langle u \star \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b], \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \rangle)) =$
 $aXb\text{-C.Map } (aXb\text{-C.src } (\text{Trn } (\text{eval } \star \langle u \star \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b], \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \rangle)))$
using *assms(1) 0 4 Dom Cod arr-char* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = aXb\text{-C.Map } (\text{Trn } (\text{src } (\text{eval } \star \langle u \star \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b], \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \rangle)))$
using *4 src-char Cod Dom Trn.simps(1)* **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots = \text{Map } (\text{eval } \star \langle \text{src } u \star \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b], \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \rangle)$
proof –
have $H.\text{seq } \text{eval } \langle u \star \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b], \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \rangle$
by (*simp add: 4*)
moreover have $H.\text{span } (u \star \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b]) \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b]$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) H.not-arr-null H-seq-char*
arr-coincidence calculation tuple-ext)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *obj-a obj-b sta-p0 sta-p1* **by** *auto*
qed
also have $\dots = \text{Map } \text{eval } \circ \text{Map } \langle \text{src } u \star \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b], \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \rangle$
using *00 Map-hcomp* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = \text{Map } \text{eval } \circ$
 $(\text{Pack } \text{exp } b \circ$
 $\langle \langle \text{Map } (\text{src } u \star \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b]), \text{AxB.P}_0 \circ \text{Unpack } a \text{ } b \rangle \rangle)$
using *assms(1) obj-a obj-b Map-p0*
 $\text{Map-tuple } [\text{of } \text{src } u \star \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \text{ } a \otimes b \text{ exp } \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \text{ } b]$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) H.comp-in-homI pr-in-hom(1-2) src-u*)
also have $\dots = \text{Map } \text{eval } \circ$
 $(\text{Pack } \text{exp } b \circ$
 $\langle \langle \text{Map } (\text{src } u) \circ (\text{AxB.P}_1 \circ \text{Unpack } a \text{ } b),$
 $\text{AxB.P}_0 \circ \text{Unpack } a \text{ } b \rangle \rangle)$
using *H.seqI Map-hcomp Map-p1 assms obj-b pr-simps(4)* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{Map } \text{eval } \circ$
 $\text{Pack } \text{exp } b \circ$
 $\langle \langle \text{Map } (\text{src } u) \circ (\text{AxB.P}_1 \circ \text{Unpack } a \text{ } b),$
 $\text{AxB.P}_0 \circ \text{Unpack } a \text{ } b \rangle \rangle)$
using *comp-assoc* **by** *metis*
also have $\dots = (\text{Eval.map } \circ$
 $(\text{FuncxB.map } \circ$
 $(\text{Unpack } \text{exp } b \circ \text{Pack } \text{exp } b)) \circ$

$\langle\langle \text{Map } (\text{src } u) \circ \text{Ax}B.P_1, \text{Ax}B.P_0 \rangle\rangle \circ$
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b$

using *Map-eval*
comp-pointwise-tuple
[*of Map (src u) \circ AxB.P₁ AxB.P₀ Unpack a b*]
by (*simp add: comp-assoc*)
also have ... = (*Eval.map* \circ
FuncxB.map \circ
 $\langle\langle \text{Map } (\text{src } u) \circ \text{Ax}B.P_1, \text{Ax}B.P_0 \rangle\rangle$) \circ
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b$

using *obj-b obj-exp Unpack-o-Pack Dom-exp*
FuncxB.simulation-axioms comp-simulation-identity
[*of ExpxB.resid EXPxB.resid FuncxB.map*]
by *presburger*
also have ... = *Eval.map* \circ
(*FuncxB.map* \circ
 $\langle\langle \text{Map } (\text{src } u) \circ \text{Ax}B.P_1, \text{Ax}B.P_0 \rangle\rangle$) \circ
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b$

by *auto*
also have ... = *Eval.map* \circ
 $\langle\langle \text{Func} \circ \text{Map } (\text{src } u) \circ \text{Ax}B.P_1,$
 $\text{B.map} \circ \text{Ax}B.P_0 \rangle\rangle$ \circ
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b$

proof –
have 1: *Src u = Map (src u)*
using *assms Map-simps(3)* **by** *fastforce*
interpret *src-uoP₁: simulation AxB.resid Exp.resid*
 $\langle \text{Map } (\text{src } u) \circ \text{Ax}B.P_1 \rangle$
using 1 *AxB.P₁.simulation-axioms U.F.simulation-axioms*
simulation-comp
by *auto*
interpret *src-uoP₁: simulation-as-transformation*
 $\text{Ax}B.\text{resid } \text{Exp}.\text{resid}$
 $\langle \text{Map } (\text{src } u) \circ \text{Ax}B.P_1 \rangle$
..

show *?thesis*
using *src-uoP₁.transformation-axioms B.simulation-axioms*
Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms P₀.transformation-axioms
comp-product-simulation-tuple2
[*of Exp.resid EXP.resid Func Dom b Dom b B.map*
 $\text{Ax}B.\text{resid} - - \text{Map } (\text{src } u) \circ \text{Ax}B.P_1 - - \text{Ax}B.P_0$]
by (*simp add: comp-assoc*)

qed
also have ... = *Eval.map* \circ
(*product-simulation.map (Dom a) (Dom b)*
(*Func* \circ *Map (src u)*) *B.map* \circ
 $\langle\langle \text{Ax}B.P_1, \text{Ax}B.P_0 \rangle\rangle$) \circ
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b$

proof –

```

have simulation (Dom a) EXP.resid (Func ∘ Map (src u))
using Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms U.F.simulation-axioms
      simulation-comp Dom-exp H.arrI Map-simps(3) assms
by auto
thus ?thesis
using B.simulation-axioms P0.transformation-axioms
      P1.transformation-axioms
      comp-product-simulation-tuple2
      [of Dom a EXP.resid Func ∘ Map (src u)
       Dom b Dom b B.map AxB.resid
       AxB.P1 AxB.P1 AxB.P1 AxB.P0 AxB.P0 AxB.P0]
      simulation-as-transformation.intro
      AxB.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
      A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
      B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
by simp
qed
also have ... = Eval.map ∘
      product-simulation.map (Dom a) (Dom b)
      (Func ∘ Map (src u)) B.map ∘
      (⟨⟨AxB.P1, AxB.P0⟩⟩ ∘ Unpack a b)

by auto
also have ... = Eval.map ∘
      (product-simulation.map (Dom a) (Dom b)
       (Func ∘ Map (src u)) B.map) ∘
      Unpack a b

proof –
interpret AxB: identity-simulation AxB.resid ..
have ⟨⟨AxB.P1, AxB.P0⟩⟩ = I AxB.resid
using AxB.tuple-proj [of AxB.resid I AxB.resid]
      AxB.simulation-axioms
      comp-simulation-identity [of AxB.resid Dom b AxB.P0]
      comp-simulation-identity [of AxB.resid Dom a AxB.P1]
      AxB.P0.simulation-axioms AxB.P1.simulation-axioms
by simp
thus ?thesis
using obj-a obj-b simulation-Unpack
      comp-identity-simulation
      [of Dom (a ⊗ b) AxB.resid Unpack a b]
by auto
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
show aXb-C.Cod (Trn (uncurry u)) =
      aXb-C.Cod (Trn (eval ★ ⟨u ★ p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩))
proof –
have aXb-C.Cod (Trn (uncurry u)) =

```

$aXb\text{-}C.\text{Map } (aXb\text{-}C.\text{trg } (\text{Trn } (\text{uncurry } u)))$
by (*simp add: ‹aXb-C.arr (Trn (uncurry u))›*)
also have ... = $\text{Map } (\text{trg } (\text{uncurry } u))$
using *assms(1) trg-char by force*
also have ... = $\text{Map } (\text{uncurry } (\text{trg } u))$
using *assms(1) uncurry-simps by simp*
also have ... = $\text{Uncurry } (\text{Func } \circ \text{Map } (\text{trg } u)) \circ \text{Unpack } a \ b$
unfolding *uncurry-def mkarr-def by simp*
also have ... = $\text{Eval.map } \circ$
 $\text{product-simulation.map } (\text{Dom } a) (\text{Dom } b)$
 $(\text{Func } \circ \text{Map } (\text{trg } u)) \ B.\text{map } \circ$
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b$

proof –

have *simulation (Dom a) EXP.resid (Func ◦ A-Exp.Map (Trn (trg u)))*
using *assms Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms sta-char A-Exp.ide-char ERTS*
simulation-comp
 $[\text{of } \text{Dom } a \ \text{Exp.resid } A\text{-Exp.Map } (\text{Trn } (\text{trg } u))$
 $\text{EXP.resid } \text{Func}]$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Cod-trg Dom-cod Dom-exp Dom-trg*
 $H.\text{in-homE } H.\text{seqI } H.\text{seq-char } \text{cod-pr1 } \text{ide-trg } \text{obj-a } \text{pr-simps}(4)$)
thus *?thesis*
using *Eval.Uncurry-simulation-expansion*
 $[\text{of } \text{Dom } a \ \text{Exp.map}'_{\text{ext}} \circ A\text{-Exp.Map } (\text{Trn } (\text{trg } u))]$
 $A.\text{weakly-extensional-rts-axioms}$
by *auto*

qed

also have ... = $\text{Eval.map } \circ$
 $\text{product-simulation.map } (\text{Dom } a) (\text{Dom } b)$
 $(\text{Func } \circ \text{Map } (\text{trg } u)) \ B.\text{map } \circ$
 $(\langle\langle AxB.P_1, AxB.P_0 \rangle\rangle \circ \text{Unpack } a \ b)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) AxB.tuple-proj*
 $\text{comp-pointwise-tuple } \text{obj-a } \text{obj-b } \text{simulation-Unpack}$)
also have ... = $\text{Eval.map } \circ$
 $(\text{product-simulation.map } (\text{Dom } a) (\text{Dom } b)$
 $(\text{Func } \circ \text{Map } (\text{trg } u)) \ B.\text{map } \circ$
 $\langle\langle AxB.P_1, AxB.P_0 \rangle\rangle \circ$
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b$

by *auto*

also have ... = $\text{Eval.map } \circ$
 $\langle\langle \text{Func } \circ \text{Map } (\text{trg } u) \circ AxB.P_1, B.\text{map } \circ AxB.P_0 \rangle\rangle \circ$
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b$

proof –

have *simulation (Dom a) EXP.resid (Func ◦ Map (trg u))*
using *Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms U.G.simulation-axioms*
simulation-comp Dom-exp H.arrI Map-simps(4) assms
by *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using *B.simulation-axioms P_0.transformation-axioms*
 $P_1.\text{transformation-axioms}$

comp-product-simulation-tuple2
 [of *Dom a EXP.resid Func* \circ *Map (trg u)*
Dom b Dom b B.map
AxB.resid AxB.P₁ AxB.P₁ AxB.P₁ AxB.P₀ AxB.P₀ AxB.P₀]
 by (*simp add: comp-assoc*)
qed
also have ... = *Eval.map* \circ
FuncxB.map \circ
 $\langle\langle$ *Map (trg u)* \circ *AxB.P₁*, *AxB.P₀* $\rangle\rangle$ \circ
Unpack a b

proof –
have 1: *Trg u* = *Map (trg u)*
using *assms Map-simps(4)* **by** *fastforce*
interpret *trg-uoP₁*: *simulation AxB.resid Exp.resid*
 \langle *Map (trg u)* \circ *AxB.P₁* \rangle
using 1 *AxB.P₁.simulation-axioms U.G.simulation-axioms*
simulation-comp
by *auto*
interpret *src-uoP₁*: *simulation-as-transformation AxB.resid Exp.resid*
 \langle *Map (trg u)* \circ *AxB.P₁* \rangle
 ..
interpret *P₀*: *simulation-as-transformation AxB.resid* \langle *Dom b* \rangle *AxB.P₀*
 ..
show ?thesis
using *src-uoP₁.transformation-axioms B.simulation-axioms*
Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms P₀.transformation-axioms
comp-product-simulation-tuple2
 [of *Exp.resid EXP.resid Func Dom b Dom b B.map*
AxB.resid - - Map (trg u) \circ AxB.P₁ - - AxB.P₀]
 by (*simp add: comp-assoc*)
qed
also have ... = (*Eval.map* \circ
FuncxB.map \circ
 $\langle\langle$ *Map (trg u)* \circ *AxB.P₁*, *AxB.P₀* $\rangle\rangle$) \circ
Unpack a b

by *auto*
also have ... = (*Eval.map* \circ
FuncxB.map \circ
Unpack exp b \circ *Pack exp b*) \circ
 $\langle\langle$ *Map (trg u)* \circ *AxB.P₁*, *AxB.P₀* $\rangle\rangle$ \circ
Unpack a b

using *obj-b obj-exp Unpack-o-Pack Dom-exp FuncxB.simulation-axioms*
comp-simulation-identity [of *ExpxB.resid EXPxB.resid FuncxB.map*]
by *presburger*
also have ... = *Map eval* \circ
Pack exp b \circ
 $\langle\langle$ *Map (trg u)* \circ *AxB.P₁* \circ *Unpack a b*,
AxB.P₀ \circ *Unpack a b* $\rangle\rangle$
using *Map-eval*

comp-pointwise-tuple
 [of $\text{Map } (\text{trg } u) \circ \text{AxB}.P_1 \text{ AxB}.P_0 \text{ Unpack } a \ b]$
by (*simp add: comp-assoc*)
also have ... = $\text{Map } \text{eval} \circ$
 ($\text{Pack } \text{exp } b \circ$
 $\langle\langle \text{Map } (\text{trg } u) \circ \text{AxB}.P_1 \circ \text{Unpack } a \ b,$
 $\text{AxB}.P_0 \circ \text{Unpack } a \ b \rangle\rangle$)
using *comp-assoc* **by** *metis*
also have ... = $\text{Map } \text{eval} \circ$
 ($\text{Pack } \text{exp } b \circ$
 $\langle\langle \text{Map } (\text{trg } u \star p_1 \ a \ b),$
 $\text{AxB}.P_0 \circ \text{Unpack } a \ b \rangle\rangle$)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) H.in-homE H.seqI Map-hcomp*
 Map-p1 assms cod-pr1 comp-assoc dom-trg obj-a obj-b
 pr-simps(4) trg.preserves-arr)
also have ... = $\text{Map } \text{eval} \circ \text{Map } \langle \text{trg } u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle$
proof –
 have $\langle \text{trg } u \star p_1[a, b] : a \otimes b \rightarrow \text{exp} \rangle$
 using *assms(1) obj-a obj-b sta-p0 [of a b] sta-p1 [of a b] H.seqI*
 by *auto*
 moreover have $\langle p_0[a, b] : a \otimes b \rightarrow b \rangle$
 using *obj-a obj-b* **by** *blast*
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *assms(1) obj-a obj-b Map-p0*
 *Map-tuple [of trg u * p1[a, b] a * b exp p0[a, b] b]*
 by *auto*
qed
also have ... = $\text{Map } (\text{eval} \star \langle \text{trg } u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle)$
 using *assms 0 Map-eval Map-hcomp H.cod-comp H.dom-comp H.seqI*
 cod-pr0 cod-pr1 cod-trg cod-tuple dom-trg eval-in-hom
 obj-a obj-b pr-simps(1-2,4-5) trg.preserves-arr tuple-simps(1)
 by (*elim H.in-homE*) *presburger*
also have ... = $\text{Map } (\text{trg } (\text{eval} \star \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle))$
proof –
 have $H.\text{seq } \text{eval } \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle$
 using *0* **by** *blast*
 moreover have $H.\text{span } (u \star p_1[a, b]) \ p_0[a, b]$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) H.not-arr-null H-seq-char*
 arr-coincidence calculation tuple-ext)
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *obj-a obj-b sta-p0 sta-p1* **by** *auto*
qed
also have ... = $\text{Trg } (\text{eval} \star \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle)$
 using *0 trg-char Cod Dom Trn.simps(1) H.arrI Map-simps(4)* **by** *blast*
also have ... = $\text{aXb}.C.\text{Cod } (\text{Trn } (\text{eval} \star \langle u \star p_1[a, b], p_0[a, b] \rangle))$
 by *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
fix x


```

assume  $x: aXb.ide\ x$ 
show  $aXb-C.Map\ (Trn\ (uncurry\ u))\ x =$ 
   $aXb-C.Map\ (Trn\ (eval\ \star\ \langle u\ \star\ p_1[a, b],\ p_0[a, b]\rangle))\ x$ 
proof –
  have  $aXb-C.Map\ (Trn\ (uncurry\ u))\ x =$ 
     $(Uncurry\ (Func\ \circ\ Map\ u)\ \circ\ Unpack\ a\ b)\ x$ 
  unfolding uncurry-def mkarr-def by simp
also have  $\dots = (Eval.map\ \circ$ 
   $product-transformation.map\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ b)$ 
   $EXP.resid\ (Dom\ b)$ 
   $(Func\ \circ\ A-Exp.Dom\ (Trn\ u))\ B.map$ 
   $(Func\ \circ\ A-Exp.Map\ (Trn\ u))\ B.map\ \circ$ 
   $Unpack\ a\ b)\ x$ 

proof –
  have  $transformation\ (Dom\ a)\ EXP.resid$ 
   $(Func\ \circ\ A-Exp.Dom\ (Trn\ u))\ (Func\ \circ\ A-Exp.Cod\ (Trn\ u))$ 
   $(Func\ \circ\ A-Exp.Map\ (Trn\ u))$ 
using assms Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms arr-char A-Exp.ide-char ERTS
  EXP.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms Dom-exp
  U.transformation-axioms transformation-whisker-left
by simp
thus ?thesis
using Eval.Uncurry-transformation-expansion
  [of Dom a Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Dom (Trn u)
  Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Cod (Trn u) Func  $\circ$  A-Exp.Map (Trn u)]
  A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
by auto
qed
also have  $\dots = (Eval.map\ \circ$ 
   $product-transformation.map\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ b)$ 
   $EXP.resid\ (Dom\ b)$ 
   $(Func\ \circ\ A-Exp.Dom\ (Trn\ u))\ B.map$ 
   $(Func\ \circ\ Map\ u)\ B.map\ \circ$ 
   $(\langle AxB.P_1, AxB.P_0 \rangle\ \circ\ Unpack\ a\ b))\ x$ 

proof –
  have  $pointwise-tuple\ AxB.P_1\ AxB.P_0 = I\ AxB.resid$ 
using AxB.tuple-proj [of AxB.resid I AxB.resid]
  comp-simulation-identity [of AxB.resid Dom b AxB.P_0]
  comp-simulation-identity [of AxB.resid Dom a AxB.P_1]
  AxB.P_0.simulation-axioms AxB.P_1.simulation-axioms
  AxB.simulation-axioms
by simp
thus ?thesis
using obj-a obj-b simulation-Unpack
  comp-identity-simulation
  [of Dom (a  $\otimes$  b) AxB.resid Unpack a b]
by auto
qed
also have  $\dots = (Eval.map\ \circ$ 

```

$((\text{product-transformation.map } (\text{Dom } a) (\text{Dom } b)$
 $\text{EXP.resid } (\text{Dom } b)$
 $(\text{Func } \circ \text{Src } u) \text{ B.map}$
 $(\text{Func } \circ \text{Map } u) \text{ B.map } \circ$
 $\langle\langle \text{AxB.P}_1, \text{AxB.P}_0 \rangle\rangle) \circ$
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b)) \ x$

by auto

also have ... = $(\text{Eval.map } \circ$
 $\langle\langle \text{Func } \circ (\text{Map } u \circ \text{AxB.P}_1), \text{B.map } \circ \text{AxB.P}_0 \rangle\rangle) \circ$
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b)) \ x$

proof –

have $\text{transformation } (\text{Dom } a) \ \text{EXP.resid}$
 $(\text{Func } \circ \text{Src } u) (\text{Func } \circ \text{Trg } u) (\text{Func } \circ \text{Map } u)$

using $\text{assms Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms U.transformation-axioms}$
 $\text{EXP.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms Dom-exp H.arrI}$
 $\text{Map-simps(4) transformation-whisker-left}$

by auto

hence $\text{transformation-to-extensional-rts } (\text{Dom } a) \ \text{EXP.resid}$
 $(\text{Func } \circ \text{Src } u) (\text{Func } \circ \text{Trg } u) (\text{Func } \circ \text{Map } u)$

using $\text{EXP.extensional-rts-axioms}$
 $\text{transformation-to-extensional-rts.intro}$

by blast

thus *?thesis*

using $\text{B.simulation-axioms AxB.P}_0\text{-is-simulation}$
 $\text{AxB.P}_1\text{-is-simulation}$
 $\text{B.transformation-to-extensional-rts-axioms}$
 $\text{comp-product-transformation-tuple}$
 $[\text{of Dom } a \ \text{EXP.resid}$
 $\text{Func } \circ \text{Src } u \ \text{Func } \circ \text{Trg } u \ \text{Func } \circ \text{Map } u$
 $\text{Dom } b \ \text{Dom } b \ \text{B.map } \text{B.map } \text{B.map}$
 $\text{AxB.resid } \text{AxB.P}_1 \ \text{AxB.P}_0]$

by (*simp add: comp-assoc*)

qed

also have ... = $(\text{Eval.map } \circ$
 $(\text{Func} \circ \text{B.map } \circ$
 $\langle\langle \text{Map } u \circ \text{AxB.P}_1, \text{AxB.P}_0 \rangle\rangle) \circ$
 $\text{Unpack } a \ b)) \ x$

proof –

have $\text{transformation } (\backslash_{\text{AxB}}) \ \text{Exp.resid}$
 $(\text{Src } u \circ \text{AxB.P}_1) (\text{Trg } u \circ \text{AxB.P}_1) (\text{Map } u \circ \text{AxB.P}_1)$

using $\text{transformation-whisker-right AxB.P}_1\text{.simulation-axioms}$
 $\text{U.transformation-axioms Dom-exp}$
 $\text{AxB.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms}$

by auto

thus *?thesis*

using $\text{B.simulation-axioms Exp.Map'.simulation-axioms}$
 $\text{P}_0\text{.transformation-axioms P}_1\text{.transformation-axioms}$
 $\text{comp-product-simulation-tuple2}$
 $[\text{of Exp.resid EXP.resid Func Dom } b \ \text{Dom } b \ \text{B.map}]$

```

      AxB.resid - - Map u ◦ AxB.P1 - - AxB.P0]
    by simp
  qed
  also have ... = ((Eval.map ◦
    FuncxB.map ◦
    ⟨⟨Map u ◦ AxB.P1, AxB.P0⟩⟩) ◦
    Unpack a b) x

    by auto
  also have ... = ((Eval.map ◦
    (FuncxB.map ◦
    (Unpack exp b ◦ Pack exp b)) ◦
    ⟨⟨Map u ◦ AxB.P1, AxB.P0⟩⟩) ◦
    Unpack a b) x

    using obj-b obj-exp Unpack-o-Pack Dom-exp FuncxB.simulation-axioms
      comp-simulation-identity
      [of ExpxB.resid EXPxB.resid FuncxB.map]
    by presburger
  also have ... = (Map eval ◦
    Pack exp b ◦
    ⟨⟨Map u ◦ AxB.P1 ◦ Unpack a b,
    AxB.P0 ◦ Unpack a b⟩⟩) x

    using Map-eval
      comp-pointwise-tuple [of Map u ◦ AxB.P1 AxB.P0 Unpack a b]
    by (simp add: comp-assoc)
  also have ... = (Map eval ◦
    (Pack exp b ◦
    ⟨⟨Map u ◦ (AxB.P1 ◦ Unpack a b),
    AxB.P0 ◦ Unpack a b⟩⟩)) x

    using comp-assoc by metis
  also have ... = (Map eval ◦
    (Pack exp b ◦
    ⟨⟨Map (u ★ p1 a b),
    AxB.P0 ◦ Unpack a b⟩⟩)) x

    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.seqI' Map-p1
      Map-hcomp arr-coincidence assms obj-a obj-b pr-in-hom(2))
  also have ... = (Map eval ◦ Map ⟨u ★ p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩) x
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) H.comp-in-homI Map-p0 Map-tuple
      assms obj-a obj-b pr-in-hom(1) pr-in-hom(2))
  also have ... = (aXb-C.Map (Trn (eval ★ ⟨u ★ p1[a, b], p0[a, b]⟩))) x
    using 0 Map-eval Map-hcomp by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  qed
  qed
end

```

Once again, we transfer the things we want to *rtscatx*.

context *rtscatx*

begin

interpretation *elementary-category-with-binary-products* *hcomp* p_0 p_1
using *extends-to-elementary-category-with-binary-products* **by** *blast*

notation *hcomp* (**infixr** \star 53)

notation p_0 ($\mathfrak{p}_0[-, -]$)

notation p_1 ($\mathfrak{p}_1[-, -]$)

notation *tuple* ($\langle -, - \rangle$)

notation *prod* (**infixr** \otimes 51)

definition *curry* :: $'A$ *arr* \Rightarrow $'A$ *arr* \Rightarrow $'A$ *arr* \Rightarrow $'A$ *arr* \Rightarrow $'A$ *arr*
where *curry* \equiv *currying-in-rtscat.curry*

definition *uncurry* :: $'A$ *arr* \Rightarrow $'A$ *arr* \Rightarrow $'A$ *arr* \Rightarrow $'A$ *arr* \Rightarrow $'A$ *arr*
where *uncurry* \equiv *currying-in-rtscat.uncurry*

lemma *curry-in-hom* [*intro*, *simp*]:

assumes *obj a* **and** *obj b*

and $\langle f : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{curry } a \ b \ c \ f : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle$

proof –

interpret *Currying*: *currying-in-rtscat arr-type a b c*

using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*

show *?thesis*

unfolding *curry-def exp-def*

using *assms Currying.curry-in-hom* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *curry-simps* [*simp*]:

assumes *obj a* **and** *obj b*

and $\langle f : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$

shows *arr* (*curry a b c f*)

and *dom* (*curry a b c f*) = *a* **and** *cod* (*curry a b c f*) = *exp b c*

and *src* (*curry a b c f*) = *curry a b c* (*src f*)

and *trg* (*curry a b c f*) = *curry a b c* (*trg f*)

proof –

interpret *Currying*: *currying-in-rtscat arr-type a b c*

using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*

show *arr* (*curry a b c f*)

and *dom* (*curry a b c f*) = *a* **and** *cod* (*curry a b c f*) = *exp b c*

using *assms curry-in-hom H.in-homE H-arr-char arr-char*

apply (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *H.in-homE assms curry-in-hom*)+

show *src* (*curry a b c f*) = *curry a b c* (*src f*)

and *trg* (*curry a b c f*) = *curry a b c* (*trg f*)

unfolding *curry-def*

using *assms* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *sta-curry*:
assumes *obj a* **and** *obj b*
and «*f* : $a \otimes b \rightarrow c$ » **and** *sta f*
shows *sta (curry a b c f)*
using *assms V.ide-iff-src-self [of curry a b c f]* **by** *auto*

lemma *uncurry-in-hom [intro, simp]*:
assumes *obj b* **and** *obj c*
and «*g* : $a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c$ »
shows «*uncurry a b c g* : $a \otimes b \rightarrow c$ »
proof –
interpret *Currying: currying-in-rtscat arr-type a b c*
using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*
show *?thesis*
using *assms*
unfolding *uncurry-def exp-def*
using *Currying.uncurry-in-hom* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *uncurry-simps [simp]*:
assumes *obj b* **and** *obj c*
and «*g* : $a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c$ »
shows *arr (uncurry a b c g)*
and *dom (uncurry a b c g) = a \otimes b* **and** *cod (uncurry a b c g) = c*
and *src (uncurry a b c g) = uncurry a b c (src g)*
and *trg (uncurry a b c g) = uncurry a b c (trg g)*
proof –
interpret *Currying: currying-in-rtscat arr-type a b c*
using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*
show *arr (uncurry a b c g)*
and *dom (uncurry a b c g) = a \otimes b* **and** *cod (uncurry a b c g) = c*
using *assms uncurry-in-hom H.in-homE H.arr-char arr-char*
apply (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) H.in-homE assms uncurry-in-hom*)
show *src (uncurry a b c g) = uncurry a b c (src g)*
and *trg (uncurry a b c g) = uncurry a b c (trg g)*
using *assms*
by (*auto simp add: uncurry-def exp-def*)
qed

lemma *sta-uncurry*:
assumes *obj b* **and** *obj c*
and «*g* : $a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c$ » **and** *sta g*
shows *sta (uncurry a b c g)*
using *assms V.ide-iff-src-self [of uncurry a b c g]* **by** *auto*

lemma *uncurry-curry*:
assumes *obj a* **and** *obj b*

and $\langle t : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$
shows $\text{uncurry } a \ b \ c \ (\text{curry } a \ b \ c \ t) = t$
proof –
interpret *Currying: currying-in-rtscat arr-type* $a \ b \ c$
using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*
show *?thesis*
unfolding *curry-def uncurry-def*
using *assms Currying.uncurry-curry* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *curry-uncurry:*
assumes *obj b* **and** *obj c*
and $\langle u : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle$
shows $\text{curry } a \ b \ c \ (\text{uncurry } a \ b \ c \ u) = u$
proof –
interpret *Currying: currying-in-rtscat arr-type* $a \ b \ c$
using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*
show *?thesis*
using *assms*
unfolding *curry-def uncurry-def exp-def*
using *Currying.curry-uncurry* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *uncurry-expansion:*
assumes *obj b* **and** *obj c*
and $\langle u : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle$
shows $\text{uncurry } a \ b \ c \ u = \text{eval } b \ c \ \star \ (u \ \otimes \ b)$
proof –
have $a : \text{obj } a$
using *assms(3)* **by** *auto*
interpret *Currying: currying-in-rtscat arr-type* $a \ b \ c$
using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*
have $\text{uncurry } a \ b \ c \ u = \text{eval } b \ c \ \star \ \langle u \ \star \ \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b], \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \rangle$
using *assms*
unfolding *curry-def uncurry-def exp-def eval-def*
using *Currying.uncurry-expansion* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = \text{eval } b \ c \ \star \ (u \ \otimes \ b) \ \star \ \langle \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b], \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \rangle$
proof –
have $b \ \star \ \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] = \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b]$
using *assms a sta-p0*
by *(simp add: H.comp-cod-arr)*
moreover have $H.\text{seq } u \ \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b]$
using *assms sta-p1 [of a b]*
by *(intro H.seqI) auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *assms prod-tuple [of p1[a, b] p0[a, b] u b]*
sta-p0 [of a b] sta-p1 [of a b]
by *auto*
qed

```

also have ... = eval b c ★ (u ⊗ b)
using assms a tuple-pr [of a b] H.comp-arr-ide
by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.comp-arr-dom H.comp-ide-self H.ideD(1)
      H.in-homE interchange)
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Map-curry:
assumes obj a and obj b and obj c
shows Map (curry a b c f) =
      Unfunc b c ∘
      Currying.Curry (Dom a) (Dom b) (Dom c)
      (Src f ∘ Pack a b) (Trg f ∘ Pack a b) (Map f ∘ Pack a b)

```

```

proof –
interpret Currying: currying-in-rtscat arr-type a b c
using assms by unfold-locales auto
show ?thesis
unfolding curry-def Currying.curry-def Unfunc-def mkarr-def by simp
qed

```

```

lemma Map-uncurry:
assumes obj a and obj b and obj c
shows Map (uncurry a b c g) =
      Currying.Uncurry (Dom a) (Dom b) (Dom c)
      (Func b c ∘ exponential-rts.Map (Trn g)) ∘ Unpack a b

```

```

proof –
interpret Currying: currying-in-rtscat arr-type a b c
using assms by unfold-locales auto
show ?thesis
unfolding uncurry-def Currying.uncurry-def Func-def mkarr-def by simp
qed

```

end

4.3.4 Cartesian Closure

We can now show that the category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger is cartesian closed.

```

context rtscatx
begin

interpretation elementary-category-with-binary-products hcomp p0 p1
using extends-to-elementary-category-with-binary-products by blast

notation prod (infixr ⊗ 51)

interpretation elementary-cartesian-closed-category
      hcomp p0 p1 one trm exp eval curry

proof
fix b c

```

```

assume  $b$ : obj  $b$  and  $c$ : obj  $c$ 
show  $\langle \text{eval } b \ c : \text{exp } b \ c \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$ 
  using  $b \ c$  eval-in-homRCR by blast
show obj ( $\text{exp } b \ c$ )
  using  $b \ c$  obj-exp by blast
fix  $a$ 
assume  $a$ : obj  $a$ 
show  $\bigwedge t. \langle t : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \implies \langle \text{curry } a \ b \ c \ t : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle$ 
  using  $a \ b \ c$  curry-in-hom by blast
show  $\bigwedge t. \langle t : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \implies \text{eval } b \ c \ \star (\text{curry } a \ b \ c \ t \otimes b) = t$ 
  by (metis  $\langle \bigwedge t. \langle t : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle \implies \langle \text{curry } a \ b \ c \ t : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle \rangle$ 
     $a \ b \ c$  uncurry-curry uncurry-expansion)
show  $\bigwedge u. \langle u : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle \implies \text{curry } a \ b \ c (\text{eval } b \ c \ \star (u \otimes b)) = u$ 
  using  $b \ c$  curry-uncurry uncurry-expansion by force
qed

```

```

lemma is-elementary-cartesian-closed-category:
shows elementary-cartesian-closed-category
  hcomp  $p_0 \ p_1$  one trm exp eval curry
  ..

```

```

theorem is-cartesian-closed-category:
shows cartesian-closed-category hcomp
  ..

```

end

4.3.5 Repleteness

```

context rtscatx
begin

```

We have shown that the RTS-category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger has objects that are in bijective correspondence with small extensional RTS's, states (identities for the vertical residuation) that are in bijective correspondence with simulations, and arrows that are in bijective correspondence with transformations. These results allow us to pass back and forth between external constructions on RTS's and internal structure of the RTS-category, as was demonstrated in the proof of cartesian closure. However, these results make use of extra structure beyond that of an RTS-category; namely the mapping Dom that takes an object to its underlying RTS. We would like to have a characterization of \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger in terms that make sense for an abstract RTS-category without additional structure. It seems that it should be possible to do this, because as we have shown, for any object a the RTS $Dom \ a$ is isomorphic to $Hom \ \mathbf{1} \ a$. So we ought to be able to dispense with the extrinsic mapping Dom and work instead with the intrinsic mapping $Hom \ \mathbf{1}$. However, there is an issue here to do with types. The mapping Dom takes an object a to a small extensional RTS $Dom \ a$ having arrow type $'A$. On the other hand, the RTS

Hom 1 a has arrow type $'A \text{ arr}$. So one thing that needs to be done in order to carry out this program is to express the “object repleteness” of \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger in terms of small extensional RTS’s with arrow type $'A \text{ arr}$, as opposed to small extensional RTS’s with arrow type $'A$. However, the type $'A \text{ arr}$ is larger than the type $'A$, and consequently it could admit a larger class of small extensional RTS’s than type $'A$ does. It is possible, though, to define a mapping from $'A \text{ arr}$ to $'A$ whose restriction to the set of arrows (and null) of *rtscatx* is injective. This will allow us to take any small extensional RTS A with arrow type $'A \text{ arr}$, as long as its arrows and null are drawn from the set of arrows and null of *rtscatx* as a whole, and obtain an isomorphic image of it with arrow type $'A$.

We first define the required mapping from $'A \text{ arr}$ to $'A$.

```

fun inj-arr :: 'A arr  $\Rightarrow$  'A
where inj-arr (MkArr A B F) =
  lifting.some-lift
    (Some (pairing.some-pair
           (some-inj-resid A,
            pairing.some-pair
              (some-inj-resid B, inj-exp F))))
  | inj-arr Null = lifting.some-lift None

```

The mapping *inj-arr* has the required injectiveness property.

```

lemma inj-inj-arr:
fixes A :: 'A arr resid
assumes small-rts A and extensional-rts A
and Collect (residuation.arr A)  $\cup$ 
  {ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null A}  $\subseteq$ 
  Collect arr  $\cup$  {Null}
shows inj-on inj-arr
  (Collect (residuation.arr A)  $\cup$ 
   {ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null A})
proof
interpret A: small-rts A
  using assms(1) by blast
interpret A: extensional-rts A
  using assms(2) by blast
fix x y :: 'A arr
assume x: x  $\in$  Collect A.arr  $\cup$  {A.null}
assume y: y  $\in$  Collect A.arr  $\cup$  {A.null}
assume eq: inj-arr x = inj-arr y
show x = y
proof –
  have  $\llbracket x = \text{Null}; y \neq \text{Null} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?thesis$ 
    using eq
    apply (cases x; cases y)
    by (auto simp add: inj-eq inj-some-lift)
  moreover have  $\llbracket x \neq \text{Null}; y = \text{Null} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?thesis$ 

```

```

using eq
apply (cases x; cases y)
by (auto simp add: inj-eq inj-some-lift)
moreover have  $\llbracket x \neq \text{Null}; y \neq \text{Null} \rrbracket \implies x = y$ 
proof -
  assume  $x': x \neq \text{Null}$  and  $y': y \neq \text{Null}$ 
  have lifting.some-lift
    (Some (pairing.some-pair
      (some-inj-resid (Dom x),
        pairing.some-pair
          (some-inj-resid (Cod x), inj-exp (Trn x)))))) =
  lifting.some-lift
    (Some (pairing.some-pair
      (some-inj-resid (Dom y),
        pairing.some-pair
          (some-inj-resid (Cod y), inj-exp (Trn y))))))
  using eq  $x' y'$ 
  by (cases x; cases y) auto
hence Some (pairing.some-pair
  (some-inj-resid (Dom x),
    pairing.some-pair
      (some-inj-resid (Cod x), inj-exp (Trn x)))) =
  Some (pairing.some-pair
  (some-inj-resid (Dom y),
    pairing.some-pair
      (some-inj-resid (Cod y), inj-exp (Trn y))))
  using inj-some-lift injD by metis
hence pairing.some-pair
  (some-inj-resid (Dom x),
    pairing.some-pair
      (some-inj-resid (Cod x), inj-exp (Trn x))) =
  pairing.some-pair
  (some-inj-resid (Dom x),
    pairing.some-pair
      (some-inj-resid (Cod x), inj-exp (Trn x)))
  by auto
hence some-inj-resid (Dom x) = some-inj-resid (Dom y)  $\wedge$ 
  pairing.some-pair (some-inj-resid (Cod x), inj-exp (Trn x)) =
  pairing.some-pair (some-inj-resid (Cod x), inj-exp (Trn y))
  using inj-some-pair
  by (metis  $\langle$ Some (some-pair
    (some-inj-resid (Dom x),
      some-pair (some-inj-resid (Cod x), inj-exp (Trn x)))) =
    Some (some-pair
      (some-inj-resid (Dom y),
        some-pair (some-inj-resid (Cod y), inj-exp (Trn y)))) $\rangle$ 
    first-conv option.inject second-conv)
hence 1: some-inj-resid (Dom x) = some-inj-resid (Dom y)  $\wedge$ 
  some-inj-resid (Cod x) = some-inj-resid (Cod y)  $\wedge$ 

```

```

      inj-exp (Trn x) = inj-exp (Trn y)
using inj-some-pair
by (metis Pair-inject
      ‹Some (some-pair (some-inj-resid (Dom x),
        some-pair (some-inj-resid (Cod x), inj-exp (Trn x)))) =
        Some (some-pair (some-inj-resid (Dom y),
        some-pair (some-inj-resid (Cod y), inj-exp (Trn y))))›
      injD option.inject)
have Dom x = Dom y ∧ Cod x = Cod y ∧ Trn x = Trn y
proof –
  have 2: small-rts (Dom x) ∧ small-rts (Dom y) ∧
    small-rts (Cod x) ∧ small-rts (Cod y)
using assms x y x' y' 1 arr-char inj-on-some-inj-resid small-function-resid
  by blast
  have 3: Dom x = Dom y ∧ Cod x = Cod y
  using 1 2 inj-on-some-inj-resid inj-on-def
  by (metis mem-Collect-eq)
moreover have Trn x = Trn y
proof –
  have residuation.arr (exponential-rts.resid (Dom x) (Cod x)) (Trn x) ∧
    residuation.arr (exponential-rts.resid (Dom x) (Cod x)) (Trn y)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-insert-right arrE assms(3) calculation
    insertE mem-Collect-eq subsetD sup-bot.right-neutral x x' y y')
  thus ?thesis
  using 1 2 inj-inj-exp inj-on-def [of inj-exp]
    arr-char assms(3) x x'
  by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
thus x = y
apply (cases x; cases y)
apply auto[4]
using x' y' by blast+
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

The following result says that, for any small extensional RTS A whose arrows inhabit type $'A$ *arr resid* and are drawn from among the arrows and null of *rtscatx*, there is an object a of *rtscatx* such that the RTS $HOM\ 1\ a$ is isomorphic to A . It is expressed in terms that are intrinsic to \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger as an abstract RTS-category, as opposed to the fact *bij-mkobj*, which uses the extrinsically given mapping *Dom*. The result is proved by taking an isomorphic image of the given RTS A under the injective mapping *inj-arr* $:: 'A\ arr \Rightarrow 'A$, then applying *bij-mkobj* to obtain the corresponding object a , and finally using the isomorphism $Dom\ a \cong HOM\ 1\ a$ to conclude that $HOM\ 1\ a \cong A$.

```

lemma obj-replete:
fixes  $A :: 'A \text{ arr resid}$ 
assumes  $\text{small-rts } A \wedge \text{extensional-rts } A$ 
and  $\text{Collect } (\text{residuation.arr } A) \cup$ 
       $\{\text{ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null } A\}$ 
       $\subseteq \text{Collect arr} \cup \{\text{null}\}$ 
shows  $\exists a. \text{obj } a \wedge \text{isomorphic-rts } A \text{ (HOM 1 } a)$ 
proof –
  interpret  $A: \text{small-rts } A$ 
    using assms by blast
  interpret  $A: \text{extensional-rts } A$ 
    using assms by blast
  obtain  $\iota :: 'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A$ 
  where  $\iota: \text{inj-on } \iota (\text{Collect } A.\text{arr} \cup \{A.\text{null}\})$ 
    using assms inj-inj-arr [of  $A$ ] null-char by auto
  interpret  $\iota A: \text{inj-image-rts } \iota A$ 
    using  $\iota$  by unfold-locales blast
  have  $\text{small-rts } \iota A.\text{resid} \wedge \text{extensional-rts } \iota A.\text{resid}$ 
    using assms  $\iota A.\text{preserves-reflects-small-rts}$   $\iota A.\text{preserves-extensional-rts}$ 
    by blast
  have  $\iota A: \text{isomorphic-rts } A \ \iota A.\text{resid}$ 
    using  $\iota A.F.\text{invertible isomorphic-rts-def}$  by blast

  let  $?a = \text{mkobj } \iota A.\text{resid}$ 
  have  $a: \text{obj } ?a \wedge \text{Dom } ?a = \iota A.\text{resid}$ 
    using  $\langle \text{small-rts } \iota A.\text{resid} \wedge \text{extensional-rts } \iota A.\text{resid} \rangle \text{bij-mkobj}$  by auto
  hence  $\text{obj } ?a \wedge \text{isomorphic-rts } \iota A.\text{resid} \text{ (HOM 1 } ?a)$ 
    using inverse-simulations-DN-UP [of  $?a$ ] isomorphic-rts-def by auto
  hence  $\text{obj } ?a \wedge \text{isomorphic-rts } A \text{ (HOM 1 } ?a)$ 
    using  $\iota A \text{ isomorphic-rts-transitive}$  by blast
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

We now turn our attention to showing that, for any given objects a and b , the states from a to b correspond bijectively (via the “covariant hom” mapping *cov-HOM*) to simulations from $\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ a$ to $\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ b$ and the arrows from a to b correspond bijectively to the transformations between such simulations.

```

lemma HOM1-faithful-for-sta:
assumes  $\langle f : a \rightarrow_{\text{sta}} b \rangle$  and  $\langle g : a \rightarrow_{\text{sta}} b \rangle$ 
and  $\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f = \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ g$ 
shows  $f = g$ 
proof –
  interpret  $A: \text{extensional-rts } \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$ 
    using assms(1) obj-char arr-char
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.ide-dom H.in-homE Int-Collect mem-Collect-eq)
  interpret  $A: \text{small-rts } \langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$ 
    using assms(1) obj-char arr-char
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.ide-dom H.in-homE Int-Collect)

```

```

interpret B: extensional-rts ⟨Dom b⟩
  using assms(1) obj-char arr-char
by (metis (no-types, lifting) H.ide-cod H.in-homE Int-Collect mem-Collect-eq)
interpret AB: exponential-rts ⟨Dom a⟩ ⟨Dom b⟩ ..
interpret HOM-1a: sub-rts resid ⟨λt. «t : 1 → a»⟩
  using sub-rts-HOM by simp
interpret F: simulation ⟨Dom a⟩ ⟨Dom b⟩ ⟨AB.Map (Trn f)⟩
  using assms(1) sta-char
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AB.ide-charERTS Dom-cod Dom-dom
    H.in-homE V.residuation-axioms residuation.ide-implies-arr)
interpret G: simulation ⟨Dom a⟩ ⟨Dom b⟩ ⟨AB.Map (Trn g)⟩
  using assms(2) sta-char
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AB.ide-charERTS Dom-cod Dom-dom
    H.in-homE V.residuation-axioms residuation.ide-implies-arr)
have  $\bigwedge Q R T$ . transformation ( $\backslash_1$ ) (Dom a) Q R T
   $\implies$  AB.Map (Trn f)  $\circ$  T = AB.Map (Trn g)  $\circ$  T
proof –
  fix Q R T
  assume T: transformation ( $\backslash_1$ ) (Dom a) Q R T
  interpret T: transformation ⟨( $\backslash_1$ )⟩ ⟨Dom a⟩ Q R T
    using T by blast
  let ?t = mkarr One.resid (Dom a) Q R T
  have t: «?t : 1 → a»
  proof
    show H.arr ?t
      using A.extensional-rts-axioms A.small-rts-axioms One.is-extensional-rts
        One.small-rts-axioms T
      by auto
    show dom ?t = 1
      by (simp add: A.extensional-rts-axioms A.small-rts-axioms
        One.is-extensional-rts One.small-rts-axioms T arr-mkarr(4) one-def)
    show cod ?t = a
      using One.is-extensional-rts One.small-rts-axioms T assms(1) dom-char
      by fastforce
  qed
hence HOM-1a.arr ?t
  using assms HOM-1a.arr-char by blast
moreover have dom f = a and dom g = a
  using assms by blast+
ultimately have 1: f  $\star$  ?t = g  $\star$  ?t
  using assms
  by auto meson
have AB.Map (Trn f)  $\circ$  T = Map f  $\circ$  T
  by simp
also have ... = Map (f  $\star$  ?t)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.extensional-rts-axioms
    A.small-rts-axioms H.seqI' Map-hcomp One.is-extensional-rts
    One.small-rts-axioms T assms(1) bij-mkarr(3) t transformation-def)
also have ... = Map (g  $\star$  ?t)

```

```

    using 1 by simp
  also have ... = Map g ∘ T
    using assms t Map-hcomp [of g ?t] mkarr-def by auto
  also have ... = AB.Map (Trn g) ∘ T
    by simp
  finally show AB.Map (Trn f) ∘ T = AB.Map (Trn g) ∘ T by blast
qed
thus ?thesis
  using One.eq-simulation-iff A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
    B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms F.simulation-axioms
    G.simulation-axioms
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AB.MkIde-Map Dom-cod Dom-dom H.in-homE
    MkArr-Trn V.ide-implies-arr assms(1-2) sta-char)
qed

```

lemma *HOM1-faithful-for-arr*:

assumes *arr t* and *arr u* and *src t = src u* and *trg t = trg u*
 and *cov-HOM 1 t = cov-HOM 1 u*

shows *t = u*

proof (intro *arr-eqI*)

let *?a = dom t* and *?b = cod t*

have *a: dom t = ?a ∧ dom u = ?a*

using *assms dom-src [of t]* by auto

have *b: cod t = ?b ∧ cod u = ?b*

using *assms cod-src [of t]* by auto

let *?A = Dom t* and *?B = Cod t*

have *A: Dom t = ?A ∧ Dom u = ?A*

using *assms Dom-src [of t]* by auto

have *B: Cod t = ?B ∧ Cod u = ?B*

using *assms Cod-src [of t]* by auto

interpret *A: extensional-rts ?A*

using *assms(1) arr-char* by blast

interpret *A: small-rts ?A*

using *assms(1) arr-char* by auto

interpret *B: extensional-rts ?B*

using *assms(1) arr-char* by auto

interpret *AB: exponential-rts ?A ?B ..*

interpret *HOM-1a: sub-rts resid ⟨λx. «x : 1 → dom t⟩⟩*

using *sub-rts-HOM* by blast

interpret *HOM-1b: sub-rts resid ⟨λx. «x : 1 → cod t⟩⟩*

using *sub-rts-HOM* by blast

have *: $\bigwedge Q R X. \text{transformation } (\backslash_1) ?A Q R X$

$\implies AB.Map (Trn t) \circ X = AB.Map (Trn u) \circ X$

proof –

fix *Q R X*

assume *X: transformation (_1) ?A Q R X*

interpret *X: transformation ⟨(_1)⟩ ?A Q R X*

using *X* by blast

let *?x = mkarr One.resid ?A Q R X*

```

have x: «?x : 1 → ?a»
proof
  show 1: H.arr (mkarr (\_1) (Dom t) Q R X)
    using X arr-mkarr(1) [of One.resid ?A Q R X]
      One.small-rts-axioms One.extensional-rts-axioms
      A.small-rts-axioms A.extensional-rts-axioms
    by simp
  show dom (mkarr (\_1) (Dom t) Q R X) = 1
    using X arr-mkarr(4) [of One.resid ?A Q R X] one-def
      One.small-rts-axioms One.extensional-rts-axioms
      A.small-rts-axioms A.extensional-rts-axioms
    by metis
  show cod (mkarr (\_1) (Dom t) Q R X) = ?a
  proof -
    have (λta. if A.arr ta then ta
      else ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null
        (Dom (dom t))) =
      I (Dom t)
    using assms(1) Dom-dom by presburger
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1) X arr-mkarr(5) obj-char [of ?a] Dom-dom
      One.small-rts-axioms One.extensional-rts-axioms
      A.small-rts-axioms A.extensional-rts-axioms
    by simp
  qed
qed
have 1: t ★ ?x = u ★ ?x
proof -
  have HOM-1a.arr ?x
    using assms x HOM-1a.arr-char by blast
  moreover have dom t = ?a and dom u = ?a
    using a by blast+
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms
    by auto meson
  qed
have AB.Map (Trn t) ∘ X = Map t ∘ X
  by simp
also have ... = Map (t ★ ?x)
proof -
  have H.seq t ?x
    using assms x H.seqI by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using assms x Map-hcomp mkarr-def by simp
  qed
also have ... = Map (u ★ ?x)
  using 1 by simp
also have ... = Map u ∘ X
proof -

```

```

have  $H.seq\ u\ ?x$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1  $H.arr-cod-iff-arr\ H.dom-null$ 
     $H.ext\ H.in-homE\ H.seqI\ dom-char\ x$ )
thus ?thesis
  using assms  $x\ Map-hcomp\ mkarr-def$  by simp
qed
also have  $\dots = AB.Map\ (Trn\ u) \circ X$ 
  by simp
finally show  $AB.Map\ (Trn\ t) \circ X = AB.Map\ (Trn\ u) \circ X$  by blast
qed
show  $t \neq Null$  and  $u \neq Null$ 
  using assms(1-2) arr-char by blast+
show  $Dom\ t = Dom\ u$  and  $Cod\ t = Cod\ u$ 
  using  $A\ B$  by auto
show  $Trn\ t = Trn\ u$ 
proof (intro  $AB.arr-eqI$ )
  show  $AB.arr\ (Trn\ t)$  and  $AB.arr\ (Trn\ u)$ 
    using assms(1-2)  $A\ B\ arr-char$  by auto
  show  $Dom: AB.Dom\ (Trn\ t) = AB.Dom\ (Trn\ u)$ 
    using assms(1-3) arr-char Map-simps
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  show  $Cod: AB.Cod\ (Trn\ t) = AB.Cod\ (Trn\ u)$ 
    using assms(1-2,4) arr-char Map-simps
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  have  $AB.Map\ (Trn\ t) = AB.Map\ (Trn\ u)$ 
    using assms(1-2) *  $Dom\ Cod\ A\ B\ arr-char\ arr-char$ 
       $AB.arr-char\ AB.arr-char$ 
      One.eq-transformation-iff
      [of  $?A\ ?B\ AB.Dom\ (Trn\ t)\ AB.Cod\ (Trn\ t)$ 
         $AB.Map\ (Trn\ t)\ AB.Map\ (Trn\ u)$ ]
       $A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms$ 
       $B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms$ 
    by simp
  thus  $\bigwedge a. A.ide\ a \implies AB.Map\ (Trn\ t)\ a = AB.Map\ (Trn\ u)\ a$  by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma *HOM1-full-for-sta*:

assumes *obj a* **and** *obj b* **and** *simulation* (*HOM 1 a*) (*HOM 1 b*) F

shows $\exists f. \langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle \wedge sta\ f \wedge cov-HOM\ 1\ f = F$

proof –

```

interpret  $A: extensional-rts\ \langle Dom\ a \rangle$ 
  using assms obj-char arr-char by blast
interpret  $A: small-rts\ \langle Dom\ a \rangle$ 
  using assms obj-char arr-char by blast
interpret  $B: extensional-rts\ \langle Dom\ b \rangle$ 
  using assms obj-char arr-char by blast

```



```

interpret B: small-rts  $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$ 
  using assms obj-char arr-char by blast
interpret A1: exponential-by-One arr-type  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$  ..
interpret B1: exponential-by-One arr-type  $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$  ..
interpret HOM-1a: sub-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \rangle$ 
  using assms sub-rts-HOM by blast
interpret HOM-1b: sub-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow b \rangle \rangle$ 
  using assms sub-rts-HOM by blast
interpret UP-DN-a: inverse-simulations
   $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$  HOM-1a.resid  $\langle \text{DN}_{rts} a \rangle$   $\langle \text{UP}_{rts} a \rangle$ 
  using assms inverse-simulations-DN-UP [of a] dom-char
  by (metis one-def)
interpret UP-DN-b: inverse-simulations
   $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$  HOM-1b.resid  $\langle \text{DN}_{rts} b \rangle$   $\langle \text{UP}_{rts} b \rangle$ 
  using assms inverse-simulations-DN-UP [of b] dom-char
  by (metis one-def)
interpret F: simulation  $\langle \text{HOM } \mathbf{1} a \rangle$   $\langle \text{HOM } \mathbf{1} b \rangle$  F
  using assms by blast
interpret F': simulation  $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$   $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$   $\langle \text{DN}_{rts} b \circ F \circ \text{UP}_{rts} a \rangle$ 
  using simulation-comp UP-DN-a.G.simulation-axioms
  UP-DN-b.F.simulation-axioms F.simulation-axioms
  by blast
interpret F': simulation-as-transformation
   $\langle \text{Dom } a \rangle$   $\langle \text{Dom } b \rangle$   $\langle \text{DN}_{rts} b \circ F \circ \text{UP}_{rts} a \rangle$  ..
show  $\exists f. \langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle \wedge \text{sta } f \wedge \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} f = F$ 
proof –
  define f
  where f-def:  $f = \text{mksta } (\text{Dom } a) (\text{Dom } b) (\text{DN}_{rts} b \circ F \circ \text{UP}_{rts} a)$ 
  have sta-f: sta f
    unfolding f-def
    using sta-mksta(1) F'.simulation-axioms
      A.small-rts-axioms A.extensional-rts-axioms
      B.small-rts-axioms B.extensional-rts-axioms
    by blast
  moreover have f:  $\langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle$ 
    using assms sta-f f-def
    by (intro H.in-homI) auto
  moreover have cov-HOM  $\mathbf{1} f = F$ 
proof –
  have cov-HOM  $\mathbf{1} f = \text{UP}_{rts} b \circ \text{Map } f \circ \text{DN}_{rts} a$ 
    using f sta-f dom-char cod-char UP-DN-naturality(3) [of f]
    by auto
  also have  $\dots = (\text{UP}_{rts} b \circ \text{DN}_{rts} b) \circ F \circ (\text{UP}_{rts} a \circ \text{DN}_{rts} a)$ 
proof –
  have  $\dots = \text{UP}_{rts} b \circ (\text{DN}_{rts} b \circ F \circ \text{UP}_{rts} a) \circ \text{DN}_{rts} a$ 
    using f-def mkarr-def by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using comp-assoc by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed

```

also have $\dots = F \circ (UP_{rts} a \circ DN_{rts} a)$
using *comp-identity-simulation* [of *HOM-1a.resid HOM-1b.resid F*]
F.simulation-axioms UP-DN-b.inv
by *auto*
also have $\dots = F$
using *comp-simulation-identity* [of *HOM-1a.resid HOM-1b.resid F*]
F.simulation-axioms UP-DN-a.inv
by *auto*
finally show *?thesis by blast*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis by blast*
qed
qed

lemma *HOM1-full-for-arr:*

assumes *sta f and sta g and H.par f g*
and *transformation (HOM 1 (dom f)) (HOM 1 (cod f))*
(cov-HOM 1 f) (cov-HOM 1 g) T
shows $\exists t. arr\ t \wedge src\ t = f \wedge trg\ t = g \wedge cov-HOM\ 1\ t = T$
proof –
let $?a = dom\ f$ **and** $?b = cod\ f$
have $a: obj\ ?a$ **and** $b: obj\ ?b$
using *assms by auto*
have $f: \langle f : ?a \rightarrow ?b \rangle$ **and** $g: \langle g : ?a \rightarrow ?b \rangle$
using *assms by auto*
let $?A = Dom\ ?a$ **and** $?B = Dom\ ?b$
have $0: Dom\ f = ?A \wedge Cod\ f = ?B \wedge Dom\ g = ?A \wedge Cod\ g = ?B$
using *assms f dom-char cod-char*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Dom-cod Dom-dom arr-coincidence*)
have $A: small-rts\ ?A \wedge extensional-rts\ ?A$
using *assms arr-char by auto*
have $B: small-rts\ ?B \wedge extensional-rts\ ?B$
using *assms arr-char by auto*
interpret $A: extensional-rts\ ?A$ **using** A **by** *blast*
interpret $A: small-rts\ ?A$ **using** A **by** *blast*
interpret $B: extensional-rts\ ?B$ **using** B **by** *blast*
interpret $B: small-rts\ ?B$ **using** B **by** *blast*
interpret $AB: exponential-rts\ ?A\ ?B \dots$
interpret *HOM-1a: sub-rts resid* $\langle \lambda t. \langle t: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow ?a \rangle \rangle$
using *a sub-rts-HOM by blast*
interpret *HOM-1a: sub-rts-of-extensional-rts resid* $\langle \lambda t. \langle t: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow ?a \rangle \rangle \dots$
interpret *HOM-1a: small-rts* $\langle HOM\ 1\ ?a \rangle$
proof –
have *Collect HOM-1a.arr* $\subseteq H.hom\ 1\ ?a$
using *HOM-1a.arr-char by blast*
thus *small-rts (HOM 1 ?a)*
using *assms obj-one H.terminal-def small-homs [of 1 ?a]*
smaller-than-small
by *unfold-locales auto*

```

qed
interpret HOM-1b: sub-rts resid ⟨λt. «t: 1 → ?b⟩
  using b sub-rts-HOM by blast
interpret HOM-1b: sub-rts-of-extensional-rts resid ⟨λt. «t: 1 → ?b⟩ ..
interpret HOM-1b: small-rts ⟨HOM 1 ?b⟩
proof –
  have Collect HOM-1b.arr ⊆ H.hom 1 ?b
    using HOM-1b.arr-char by blast
  thus small-rts (HOM 1 ?b)
    using assms obj-one H.terminal-def small-homs [of 1 ?b]
      smaller-than-small
    by unfold-locales auto
qed
interpret UP-DN-a: inverse-simulations
  ?A HOM-1a.resid ⟨DNrts ?a⟩ ⟨UPrts ?a⟩
  using assms a inverse-simulations-DN-UP [of ?a] dom-char one-def
  by metis
interpret UP-DN-b: inverse-simulations
  ?B HOM-1b.resid ⟨DNrts ?b⟩ ⟨UPrts ?b⟩
  using assms b inverse-simulations-DN-UP [of ?b] dom-char one-def
  by metis
interpret T: transformation
  ⟨HOM 1 ?a⟩ ⟨HOM 1 ?b⟩ ⟨cov-HOM 1 f⟩ ⟨cov-HOM 1 g⟩ T
  using assms(4) by blast
interpret F': simulation ?A ?B ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f ∘ UPrts ?a⟩
  using simulation-comp UP-DN-a.G.simulation-axioms
    UP-DN-b.F.simulation-axioms T.F.simulation-axioms
  by blast
interpret G': simulation ?A ?B ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 g ∘ UPrts ?a⟩
  using simulation-comp UP-DN-a.G.simulation-axioms
    UP-DN-b.F.simulation-axioms T.G.simulation-axioms
  by blast
interpret DN-T: transformation HOM-1a.resid ?B
  ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f⟩ ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 g⟩
  ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ T⟩
  using UP-DN-a.G.simulation-axioms UP-DN-b.F.simulation-axioms
    T.transformation-axioms F'.simulation-axioms G'.simulation-axioms
    transformation-whisker-left B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
  by fastforce
interpret T': transformation ?A ?B
  ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f ∘ UPrts ?a⟩
  ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 g ∘ UPrts ?a⟩
  ⟨DNrts ?b ∘ T ∘ UPrts ?a⟩
  using UP-DN-a.G.simulation-axioms UP-DN-b.F.simulation-axioms
    T.transformation-axioms DN-T.transformation-axioms
    DN-T.F.simulation-axioms DN-T.G.simulation-axioms
    transformation-whisker-right A.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms
  by fastforce
define t

```

```

where t-def: t = mkarr ?A ?B
      (DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f ∘ UPrts ?a)
      (DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 g ∘ UPrts ?a)
      (DNrts ?b ∘ T ∘ UPrts ?a)
have t: «t : ?a → ?b»
proof
  show t: H.arr t
  proof -
    have V.arr t
      unfolding t-def
      using arr-mkarr(1) T'.transformation-axioms
        F'.simulation-axioms G'.simulation-axioms
        A.small-rts-axioms A.extensional-rts-axioms
        B.small-rts-axioms B.extensional-rts-axioms
      by blast
    thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  show dom t = ?a
    using assms(3) a t f dom-char t-def by auto
  show cod t = ?b
    using assms(3) b t f cod-char t-def by auto
  qed
  have 1: arr t
    using t by auto
  moreover have src t = f
  proof -
    have 2: src t = mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f ∘ UPrts ?a)
      using t t-def mkarr-simps(3) A.small-rts-axioms A.extensional-rts-axioms
        B.small-rts-axioms B.extensional-rts-axioms T'.transformation-axioms
      by blast
    also have ... = f
    proof (intro arr-eqI)
      show mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f ∘ UPrts ?a) ≠ Null
        unfolding mkarr-def by blast
      show f ≠ Null
        using f H-arr-char by blast
      show Dom (mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f ∘ UPrts ?a)) =
        Dom f
        using f dom-char mkarr-def by auto
      show Cod (mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f ∘ UPrts ?a)) =
        Cod f
        using f cod-char mkarr-def by auto
      show Trn (mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f ∘ UPrts ?a)) =
        Trn f
    proof -
      have Trn (mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f ∘ UPrts ?a)) =
        AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ∘ cov-HOM 1 f ∘ UPrts ?a)
        using mkarr-def by simp
      also have ... = Trn f
    qed
  qed

```

```

proof (intro AB.arr-eqI)
  show AB.arr (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a))
  proof –
    have arr (src t)
      using 1 V.arr-src-iff-arr by blast
    thus ?thesis
      using 2 arr-char mkarr-def by auto
  qed
  show AB.arr (Trn f)
    using f arr-char [of f] dom-char cod-char by auto
  show AB.Dom (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a)) =
    AB.Dom (Trn f)
  proof –
    have AB.Dom (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a)) =
      DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a
      by simp
    also have ... = AB.Map (Trn f)
      using assms f 0 UP-DN-naturality(4) by simp
    also have ... = AB.Dom (Trn f)
      using assms sta-char dom-char cod-char AB.ide-charERTS
      by fastforce
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  show AB.Cod (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a)) =
    AB.Cod (Trn f)
  proof –
    have AB.Cod (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a)) =
      DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a
      by simp
    also have ... = AB.Map (Trn f)
      using assms f 0 UP-DN-naturality(4) by simp
    also have ... = AB.Cod (Trn f)
      using assms sta-char dom-char cod-char AB.ide-charERTS
      by fastforce
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  show  $\bigwedge x. A.ide\ x \implies$ 
    AB.Map
      (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a)) x =
      AB.Map (Trn f) x
  proof –
    fix x
    assume x: A.ide x
    have AB.Map
      (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a)) x =
      (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a) x
      by simp
    also have ... = AB.Map (Trn f) x
      using assms f 0 UP-DN-naturality(4) [of f] by simp

```

```

    finally
    show AB.Map
      (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 f ◦ UPrts ?a)) x =
      AB.Map (Trn f) x
    by blast
  qed
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  moreover have trg t = g
  proof –
  have 2: trg t = mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a)
  using t t-def mkarr-simps(4) A.small-rts-axioms A.extensional-rts-axioms
    B.small-rts-axioms B.extensional-rts-axioms T'.transformation-axioms
  by blast
  also have ... = g
  proof (intro arr-eqI)
  show mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a) ≠ Null
  unfolding mkarr-def by blast
  show g ≠ Null
  using g H-arr-char by blast
  show Dom (mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a)) =
    Dom g
  using assms g dom-char mkarr-def by auto
  show Cod (mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a)) = Cod g
  using assms g cod-char mkarr-def by auto
  show Trn (mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a)) = Trn g
  proof –
  have 3: DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a = AB.Map (Trn g)
  using assms g 0 UP-DN-naturality(4)
  apply simp
  by presburger
  have Trn (mksta ?A ?B (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a)) =
    AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a)
  using mkarr-def by simp
  also have ... = Trn g
  proof (intro AB.arr-eqI)
  show AB.arr (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a))
  using AB.ide-implies-arr G'.simulation-axioms by blast
  show AB.arr (Trn g)
  using assms g arr-char [of g] dom-char cod-char by auto
  show AB.Dom (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a)) =
    AB.Dom (Trn g)
  using assms 3 sta-char AB.ide-charERTS by simp
  show AB.Cod (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b ◦ cov-HOM 1 g ◦ UPrts ?a)) =
    AB.Cod (Trn g)
  
```

```

      using assms 3 sta-char AB.ide-charERTS by simp
    show  $\bigwedge x. A.ide\ x \implies$ 
      AB.Map
      (AB.MkIde (DNrts ?b  $\circ$  cov-HOM 1 g  $\circ$  UPrts ?a)) x =
      AB.Map (Trn g) x
    using 3 by simp
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  moreover have cov-HOM 1 t = T
  proof -
    have cov-HOM 1 t = UPrts ?b  $\circ$  Map t  $\circ$  DNrts ?a
    proof -
      have arr t  $\wedge$  dom f = dom t  $\wedge$  cod f = cod t
      using f t by auto
      thus ?thesis
      using UP-DN-naturality(3) [of t] by presburger
    qed
  also have ... = UPrts ?b  $\circ$  (DNrts ?b  $\circ$  T  $\circ$  UPrts ?a)  $\circ$  DNrts ?a
    unfolding t-def mkarr-def by simp
  also have ... = (UPrts ?b  $\circ$  DNrts ?b)  $\circ$  T  $\circ$  (UPrts ?a  $\circ$  DNrts ?a)

    using comp-assoc by smt
  also have ... = T  $\circ$  (UPrts ?a  $\circ$  DNrts ?a)
    using comp-identity-transformation
      [of HOM-1a.resid HOM-1b.resid - - T]
      T.transformation-axioms UP-DN-b.inv
    by auto
  also have ... = T
    using comp-transformation-identity
      [of HOM-1a.resid HOM-1b.resid - - T]
      T.transformation-axioms UP-DN-a.inv
    by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed

lemma bij-HOM1-sta:
  assumes obj a and obj b
  shows bij-betw (cov-HOM 1) {f. «f : a  $\rightarrow_{sta}$  b»}
    (Collect (simulation (HOM 1 a) (HOM 1 b)))
  proof -
    interpret HOM-1a: sub-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \rangle$ 
      using assms(1) sub-rts-HOM by blast
    interpret HOM-1b: sub-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow b \rangle \rangle$ 

```

```

using assms(2) sub-rts-HOM by blast
have  $1: \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \in \{f. \langle f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle\}$ 
       $\rightarrow \text{Collect (simulation (HOM } \mathbf{1} \ a) \ (\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ b))}$ 
proof
  fix  $f$ 
  assume  $f: f \in \{f. \langle f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle\}$ 
  have  $sta \ f \wedge dom \ f = a \wedge cod \ f = b$ 
    using  $f$  by auto
  thus  $\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f \in \text{Collect (simulation (HOM } \mathbf{1} \ a) \ (\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ b))}$ 
    using simulation-cov-HOM-sta [of 1 f] by blast
qed
show  $\text{bij-betw (cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ \{f. \langle f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle\})}$ 
       $(\text{Collect (simulation (HOM } \mathbf{1} \ a) \ (\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ b)))}$ 
proof (unfold bij-betw-def, intro conjI)
  show  $\text{inj-on (cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ \{f. \langle f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle\})}$ 
    using assms HOM1-faithful-for-sta [of - a b]
    unfolding inj-on-def
    by auto
  show  $\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ \langle \{f. \langle f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle\} =$ 
       $\text{Collect (simulation (HOM } \mathbf{1} \ a) \ (\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ b))}$ 
proof
  show  $\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ \langle \{f. \langle f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle\}$ 
       $\subseteq \text{Collect (simulation HOM-1a.resid HOM-1b.resid)}$ 
    using  $1$  by blast
  show  $\text{Collect (simulation HOM-1a.resid HOM-1b.resid)}$ 
       $\subseteq \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ \langle \{f. \langle f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle\}$ 
proof
  fix  $F$ 
  assume  $F: F \in \text{Collect (simulation HOM-1a.resid HOM-1b.resid)}$ 
  obtain  $f$  where  $f: \langle f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle \wedge \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f = F$ 
    using assms F HOM1-full-for-sta [of a b F]
       $\text{HOM-1a.arr-char HOM-1b.arr-char}$ 
    by auto
  show  $F \in \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ \langle \{f. \langle f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle\}$ 
    using  $f$  by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma bij-HOM1-arr:
assumes  $\langle f : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle$  and  $\langle g : a \rightarrow_{sta} b \rangle$ 
shows  $\text{bij-betw (cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ \{t. \langle t : f \Rightarrow g \rangle\})}$ 
       $(\text{Collect (transformation (HOM } \mathbf{1} \ a) \ (\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ b)$ 
         $(\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f) \ (\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ g)))}$ 
proof –
  interpret HOM-1a: sub-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow a \rangle \rangle$ 
    using assms(1) sub-rts-HOM by blast
  interpret HOM-1b: sub-rts resid  $\langle \lambda t. \langle t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow b \rangle \rangle$ 

```



```

using assms(2) sub-rts-HOM by blast
have  $1: \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \in$ 
   $\{t. \langle t : f \Rightarrow g \rangle\}$ 
   $\rightarrow \text{Collect} (\text{transformation}$ 
     $(\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ a) (\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ b) (\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f) (\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ g))$ 
proof
  fix  $t$ 
  assume  $t: t \in \{t. \langle t : f \Rightarrow g \rangle\}$ 
  thus  $\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ t \in \text{Collect} (\text{transformation} (\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ a) (\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ b)$ 
     $(\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f) (\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ g))$ 
  using assms(1) t transformation-cov-HOM-arr [of 1 t] obj-one by auto
qed
show bij-betw  $(\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1}) \{t. \langle t : f \Rightarrow g \rangle\}$ 
   $(\text{Collect} (\text{transformation} (\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ a) (\text{HOM } \mathbf{1} \ b)$ 
     $(\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f) (\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ g))))$ 
proof (unfold bij-betw-def, intro conjI)
  show inj-on  $(\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1}) \{t. \langle t : f \Rightarrow g \rangle\}$ 
  using assms HOM1-faithful-for-arr
  unfolding inj-on-def
  by auto
show  $\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ ' \{t. \langle t : f \Rightarrow g \rangle\} =$ 
   $\text{Collect} (\text{transformation } \text{HOM-1a.resid } \text{HOM-1b.resid}$ 
     $(\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f) (\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ g))$ 
proof
  show  $\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ ' \{t. \langle t : f \Rightarrow g \rangle\} \subseteq$ 
   $\text{Collect} (\text{transformation } \text{HOM-1a.resid } \text{HOM-1b.resid}$ 
     $(\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f) (\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ g))$ 
  using  $1$  by blast
  show  $\text{Collect} (\text{transformation } \text{HOM-1a.resid } \text{HOM-1b.resid}$ 
     $(\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f) (\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ g)) \subseteq$ 
   $\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ ' \{t. \langle t : f \Rightarrow g \rangle\}$ 
proof
  fix  $T$ 
  assume  $T: T \in \text{Collect} (\text{transformation } \text{HOM-1a.resid } \text{HOM-1b.resid}$ 
     $(\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ f) (\text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ g))$ 
  obtain  $t$  where  $t: \langle t : f \Rightarrow g \rangle \wedge \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ t = T$ 
  using assms T HOM1-full-for-arr [of f g T] arr-char
  HOM-1a.arr-char HOM-1b.arr-char
  by blast
  show  $T \in \text{cov-HOM } \mathbf{1} \ ' \{t. \text{arr } t \wedge \text{src } t = f \wedge \text{trg } t = g\}$ 
  using  $t$  by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

My original objective for the results in this section was to obtain a characterization up to equivalence of the RTS-category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger in terms of intrinsic notions that make sense for any RTS-category, and to carry out the proof

of cartesian closure using *HOM 1* in place of *Dom*. This can probably be done, and I did push the idea through the construction of products, but for exponentials there were some technicalities that started to get messy and become distractions from the main things that I was trying to do. So I decided to leave this program for future work.

end

end

4.4 The Category of RTS's and Simulations

In this section, we show that the subcategory of \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger , comprised of the arrows that are identities with respect to the residuation, is also cartesian closed. In informal text, we will refer to this category as \mathbf{RTS} . In a later section, we will show that the entire structure of the RTS-category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger is already determined by the ordinary subcategory \mathbf{RTS} .

theory *RTSCat*

imports *Main RTSCatx EnrichedCategoryBasics.CartesianClosedMonoidalCategory*
begin

locale *rtscat* =

universe arr-type

for *arr-type* :: 'A *itself*

begin

sublocale *One: one-arr-rts arr-type ..*

interpretation *RTSx: rtscatx arr-type ..*

interpretation *RTSx: elementary-category-with-binary-products*

RTSx.hcomp RTSx.p₀ RTSx.p₁

using *RTSx.extends-to-elementary-category-with-binary-products* **by** *blast*

interpretation *RTS_S: subcategory RTSx.hcomp RTSx.sta*

using *RTSx.dom.preserves-ide RTSx.cod.preserves-ide RTSx.sta-hcomp*

RTSx.arr-hcomp RTSx.H.seqI

by *unfold-locales auto*

type-synonym 'a *arr* = 'a *rtscatx.arr*

definition *comp* :: 'A *arr comp* (**infixr** · 53)

where *comp* ≡ *subcategory.comp RTSx.hcomp RTSx.sta*

sublocale *category comp*

unfolding *comp-def*

using *RTS_S.is-category* **by** *blast*

notation *in-hom* (« - : - → - »)

lemma *ide-iff-RTS-obj*:
shows $ide\ a \longleftrightarrow RTSx.obj\ a$
using *RTSx.obj-is-sta* *RTS_S.ideI_{SbC}* *RTS_S.ide-char_{SbC}* *comp-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *arr-iff-RTS-sta*:
shows $arr\ f \longleftrightarrow RTSx.sta\ f$
by (*simp add: RTS_S.arr-char_{SbC} comp-def*)

We want *rtscat* to stand on its own, so here we embark on an extended development designed to bootstrap away from dependence on the supporting locale *rtscatx*.

abbreviation *Obj*
where $Obj\ A \equiv extensional\ rts\ A \wedge small\ rts\ A$

definition *mkide* :: $'A\ resid \Rightarrow 'A\ arr$
where $mkide \equiv RTSx.mkobj$

definition *mkarr* :: $'A\ resid \Rightarrow 'A\ resid \Rightarrow ('A \Rightarrow 'A) \Rightarrow 'A\ arr$
where $mkarr \equiv RTSx.mksta$

definition *Rts* :: $'A\ arr \Rightarrow 'A\ resid$
where $Rts \equiv RTSx.Dom$

abbreviation *Dom* :: $'A\ arr \Rightarrow 'A\ resid$
where $Dom\ t \equiv Rts\ (dom\ t)$

abbreviation *Cod* :: $'A\ arr \Rightarrow 'A\ resid$
where $Cod\ t \equiv Rts\ (cod\ t)$

definition *Map* :: $'A\ arr \Rightarrow 'A \Rightarrow 'A$
where $Map \equiv RTSx.Map$

lemma *ideD_{RTSC}* [*intro, simp*]:
assumes *ide a*
shows $Obj\ (Rts\ a)$
using *assms Rts-def RTS_S.arr-char_{SbC} ideD(1) comp-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ide-mkide* [*intro, simp*]:
assumes *Obj A*
shows $ide\ (mkide\ A)$
using *assms comp-def mkide-def RTSx.obj-implies-sta RTSx.obj-mkobj*
RTS_S.ideI_{SbC}
by *simp*

lemma *Rts-mkide* [*simp*]:
shows $Rts\ (mkide\ A) = A$
by (*simp add: Rts-def mkide-def*)

lemma *mkide-Rts* [*simp*]:

assumes *ide a*
shows $mkide (Rts\ a) = a$
using *assms RTSx.bij-mkobj(4) Rts-def ide-iff-RTS-obj mkide-def*
by *force*

lemma *Dom-mkide [simp]:*
assumes *ide (mkide A)*
shows $Dom (mkide\ A) = A$
using *assms by force*

lemma *Cod-mkide [simp]:*
assumes *ide (mkide A)*
shows $Cod (mkide\ A) = A$
using *assms by force*

lemma *Map-mkide [simp]:*
assumes *ide (mkide A)*
shows $Map (mkide\ A) = I\ A$
using *assms mkide-def RTSx.mkobj-def Map-def RTSx.bij-mksta(3)*
RTSx.mkarr-def Rts-def ideDRTSC
by *fastforce*

lemma *bij-mkide:*
shows $mkide \in Collect\ Obj \rightarrow Collect\ ide$
and $Rts \in Collect\ ide \rightarrow Collect\ Obj$
and $Rts (mkide\ A) = A$
and $ide\ a \implies mkide (Rts\ a) = a$
and *bij-betw mkide (Collect Obj) (Collect ide)*
and *bij-betw Rts (Collect ide) (Collect Obj)*
proof –
show $mkide \in Collect\ Obj \rightarrow Collect\ ide$ **by** *simp*
show $Rts \in Collect\ ide \rightarrow Collect\ Obj$ **by** *simp*
show $Rts (mkide\ A) = A$ **by** *simp*
show $ide\ a \implies mkide (Rts\ a) = a$ **by** *simp*
show *bij-betw mkide (Collect Obj) (Collect ide)*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
apply *auto[1]*
apply (*metis RTSx.mkobj-simps(1) inj-on-inverseI mkide-def*)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) CollectI ideDRTSC image-eqI mkide-Rts*)
show *bij-betw Rts (Collect ide) (Collect Obj)*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
apply *auto[1]*
apply (*metis CollectD inj-onI mkide-Rts*)
using *image-iff by fastforce*
qed

lemma *arrD:*
assumes *arr f*
shows $Obj (Rts (dom\ f))$ **and** $Obj (Rts (cod\ f))$

and simulation $(Rts\ (dom\ f))\ (Rts\ (cod\ f))\ (Map\ f)$
proof –
interpret A : *extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (dom\ f) \rangle$
by $(simp\ add:\ assms)$
interpret A : *small-rts* $\langle Rts\ (dom\ f) \rangle$
by $(simp\ add:\ assms)$
interpret B : *extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (cod\ f) \rangle$
by $(simp\ add:\ assms)$
interpret B : *small-rts* $\langle Rts\ (cod\ f) \rangle$
by $(simp\ add:\ assms)$
interpret AB : *exponential-rts* $\langle Rts\ (dom\ f) \rangle\ \langle Rts\ (cod\ f) \rangle\ ..$
have 1 : $mkarr\ (Rts\ (dom\ f))\ (Rts\ (cod\ f))\ (Map\ f) = f$
using $assms\ comp-def\ mkarr-def\ Rts-def\ RTSx.mkarr-def$
by $(metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ Map-def\ RTSx.Dom-cod\ RTSx.Dom-dom$
 $RTSx.Map-simps(4)\ RTSx.V.ide-implies-arr\ RTSx.V.trg-ide$
 $RTSx.trg-simp\ RTS_S.cod-char_{sbC}\ RTS_S.dom-char_{sbC}\ arr-iff-RTS-sta)$
show $Obj\ (Rts\ (dom\ f))$
using $A.extensional-rts-axioms\ A.small-rts-axioms$ **by** $simp$
show $Obj\ (Rts\ (cod\ f))$
using $B.extensional-rts-axioms\ B.small-rts-axioms$ **by** $simp$
show $simulation\ (Rts\ (dom\ f))\ (Rts\ (cod\ f))\ (Map\ f)$
using $assms\ 1\ RTSx.sta-char\ RTSx.simulation-Map-sta$
 $RTS_S.arr-char_{sbC}\ RTS_S.cod-simp\ RTS_S.dom-simp\ comp-def$
by $(simp\ add:\ Map-def\ Rts-def)$
qed

lemma $arr\ mkarr$ [*intro, simp*]:
assumes $Obj\ A$ **and** $Obj\ B$ **and** $simulation\ A\ B\ F$
shows $arr\ (mkarr\ A\ B\ F)$
unfolding $mkarr-def\ comp-def$
using $assms\ RTS_S.arr-char_{sbC}$ **by** $blast$

lemma $arrI_{RTSC}$:
assumes $f \in mkarr\ A\ B\ F$ ‘ *Collect* $(simulation\ A\ B)$
and $Obj\ A$ **and** $Obj\ B$
shows $arr\ f$
using $assms\ arr-mkarr$ **by** $blast$

lemma $Dom\ mkarr$ [*simp*]:
assumes $arr\ (mkarr\ A\ B\ F)$
shows $Dom\ (mkarr\ A\ B\ F) = A$
using $assms$
by $(simp\ add:\ RTS_S.arrE\ RTS_S.dom-simp\ Rts-def\ comp-def\ mkarr-def)$

lemma $Cod\ mkarr$ [*simp*]:
assumes $arr\ (mkarr\ A\ B\ F)$
shows $Cod\ (mkarr\ A\ B\ F) = B$
using $assms$
by $(simp\ add:\ RTS_S.arrE\ RTS_S.cod-simp\ Rts-def\ comp-def\ mkarr-def)$

lemma *Map-mkarr* [*simp*]:
assumes *arr* (*mkarr* *A B F*)
shows *Map* (*mkarr* *A B F*) = *F*
using *assms mkarr-def RTSx.mkarr-def*
by (*metis Cod-mkarr Dom-mkarr Map-def RTSx.bij-mksta*(3) *arrD*(1-2))

lemma *mkarr-Map* [*simp*]:
assumes *Obj A* **and** *Obj B* **and** $t \in \{t. \langle t : \text{mkide } A \rightarrow \text{mkide } B \rangle\}$
shows *mkarr* *A B* (*Map* *t*) = *t*
using *assms mkarr-def Map-def comp-def mkide-def*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *RTS_S.arrE RTS_S.in-hom-char_{SB}C*
RTSx.bij-mksta(4) *mem-Collect-eq*)

lemma *dom-mkarr* [*simp*]:
assumes *arr* (*mkarr* *A B F*)
shows *dom* (*mkarr* *A B F*) = *mkide A*
using *assms*
by (*metis Dom-mkarr ide-dom mkide-Rts*)

lemma *cod-mkarr* [*simp*]:
assumes *arr* (*mkarr* *A B F*)
shows *cod* (*mkarr* *A B F*) = *mkide B*
using *assms*
by (*metis Cod-mkarr ide-cod mkide-Rts*)

lemma *mkarr-in-hom* [*intro*]:
assumes *simulation A B F* **and** *Rts a = A* **and** *Rts b = B*
and *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows $\langle \text{mkarr } A B F : a \rightarrow b \rangle$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *bij-mkarr*:
assumes *Obj A* **and** *Obj B*
shows *mkarr* *A B* \in *Collect* (*simulation A B*)
 $\rightarrow \{t. \langle t : \text{mkide } A \rightarrow \text{mkide } B \rangle\}$
and *Map* $\in \{t. \langle t : \text{mkide } A \rightarrow \text{mkide } B \rangle\} \rightarrow$ *Collect* (*simulation A B*)
and *Map* (*mkarr* *A B F*) = *F*
and $t \in \{t. \langle t : \text{mkide } A \rightarrow \text{mkide } B \rangle\} \implies$ *mkarr* *A B* (*Map* *t*) = *t*
and *bij-betw* (*mkarr* *A B*) (*Collect* (*simulation A B*))
 $\{t. \langle t : \text{mkide } A \rightarrow \text{mkide } B \rangle\}$
and *bij-betw* *Map* $\{t. \langle t : \text{mkide } A \rightarrow \text{mkide } B \rangle\}$
(*Collect* (*simulation A B*))

proof –

show 1: *mkarr* *A B* \in *Collect* (*simulation A B*) \rightarrow *hom* (*mkide A*) (*mkide B*)
by (*simp add: assms in-homI*)
show 2: *Map* $\in \{t. \langle t : \text{mkide } A \rightarrow \text{mkide } B \rangle\} \rightarrow$ *Collect* (*simulation A B*)
using *arrD*(3) **by** *fastforce*
show 3: *Map* (*mkarr* *A B F*) = *F*

```

using assms
by (metis Map-def RTSx.bij-mksta(3) mkarr-def)
show  $\lambda t. t \in \{t. \langle t : \text{mkide } A \rightarrow \text{mkide } B \rangle\} \implies \text{mkarr } A B (\text{Map } t) = t$ 
using assms by auto
show bij-betw (mkarr A B) (Collect (simulation A B))
  {t. \langle t : \text{mkide } A \rightarrow \text{mkide } B \rangle}
using assms 1 2 3 4 by (intro bij-betwI) auto
show bij-betw Map {t. \langle t : \text{mkide } A \rightarrow \text{mkide } B \rangle} (Collect (simulation A B))
using assms 1 2 3 4 by (intro bij-betwI) auto
qed

```

```

lemma arr-eqI:
assumes par f g and Map f = Map g
shows f = g
proof (intro RTSx.arr-eqI)
  show f  $\neq$  RTSx.Null
    using assms RTSS.null-char RTSx.null-char not-arr-null comp-def by force
  show g  $\neq$  RTSx.Null
    using assms RTSS.null-char RTSx.null-char not-arr-null comp-def by force
  show 1: RTSx.Dom f = RTSx.Dom g
    using assms comp-def
  by (metis RTSx.Dom-dom RTSx.V.ide-implies-arr RTSS.arrE RTSS.dom-simp)
  show 2: RTSx.Cod f = RTSx.Cod g
    using assms comp-def
  by (metis RTSx.Dom-cod RTSx.V.ide-implies-arr RTSS.arrE RTSS.cod-charSBC)
  interpret A: extensional-rts  $\langle$ RTSx.Dom f $\rangle$ 
    using assms RTSS.arrE comp-def by auto
  interpret B: extensional-rts  $\langle$ RTSx.Cod f $\rangle$ 
    using assms RTSS.arrE comp-def by auto
  interpret AB: exponential-rts  $\langle$ RTSx.Dom f $\rangle$   $\langle$ RTSx.Cod f $\rangle$  ..
  show RTSx.Trn f = RTSx.Trn g
    using assms 1 2 comp-def Map-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AB.ide-implies-arr RTSx.Map-simps(4)
    RTSx.V.trg-ide RTSx.arr-char RTSx.sta-char RTSx.trg-simp
    RTSS.arr-charSBC)
qed

```

```

lemma Map-ide:
assumes ide a
shows Map a = I (Rts a)
  using assms Map-mkide [of Rts a] by simp

```

```

lemma Map-comp:
assumes seq g f
shows Map (g · f) = Map g ∘ Map f
using assms Map-def comp-def RTSx.Map-hcomp RTSS.arr-charSBC RTSS.comp-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma comp-mkarr:

```

assumes arr ($mkarr$ A B F) **and** arr ($mkarr$ B C G)
shows $mkarr$ B C G \cdot $mkarr$ A B F = $mkarr$ A C (G \circ F)
proof (*intro arr-eqI*)
have 1 : arr ($mkarr$ A C (G \circ F))
using *assms arrD simulation-comp bij-mkarr(3) Cod-mkarr Dom-mkarr arr-mkarr*
by *metis*
show par ($mkarr$ B C G \cdot $mkarr$ A B F) ($mkarr$ A C (G \circ F))
using *assms 1 by auto*
show Map ($mkarr$ B C G \cdot $mkarr$ A B F) = Map ($mkarr$ A C (G \circ F))
using *assms 1 Map-comp Map-mkarr by auto*
qed

lemma *iso-char*:
shows iso t \longleftrightarrow arr t \wedge *invertible-simulation* (Dom t) (Cod t) (Map t)
using *Map-def comp-def Rts-def RTSx.iso-char RTSx.iso-implies-sta*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RTSx.Dom-cod RTSx.Dom-dom*
 $RTSx.H.iso-inv-iso$ $RTSx.Map-simps(3-4)$
 $RTSx.V.ide-iff-src-self$ $RTSx.V.ide-implies-arr$ $RTSx.V.trg-ide$
 $RTS_S.arr-char_{SbC}$ $RTS_S.cod-simp$ $RTS_S.dom-char_{SbC}$ $RTS_S.iso-char_{SbC}$)

lemma *isomorphic-char*:
shows *isomorphic* a b \longleftrightarrow
 ide a \wedge ide b \wedge ($\exists F$. *invertible-simulation* (Rts a) (Rts b) F)
proof
show *isomorphic* a b \implies
 ide a \wedge ide b \wedge ($\exists F$. *invertible-simulation* (Rts a) (Rts b) F)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) ide-cod ide-dom in-homE iso-char isomorphicE*)
show ide a \wedge ide b \wedge ($\exists F$. *invertible-simulation* (Rts a) (Rts b) F)
 \implies *isomorphic* a b
by (*metis arr-mkarr cod-mkarr dom-mkarr ideD_{RTSC} isomorphic-def iso-char invertible-simulation.axioms(1) mkarr-in-hom mkide-Rts Map-mkarr*)
qed

4.4.1 Terminal Object

definition *one* (1)
where one \equiv $RTSx.one$
no-notation $RTSx.one$ (1)

definition *trm*
where trm \equiv $RTSx.trm$

lemma *Rts-one [simp]*:
shows Rts $\mathbf{1}$ = $One.resid$
unfolding *one-def Rts-def RTSx.one-def by simp*

lemma *mkide-One* [*simp*]:
shows *mkide One.resid = 1*
unfolding *one-def mkide-def RTSx.one-def* **by** *simp*

sublocale *elementary-category-with-terminal-object comp one trm*
proof

show *ide 1*
unfolding *one-def*
using *RTSx.obj-one RTSx.obj-is-sta RTS_S.ideI_{S_bC} comp-def* **by** *force*
show $\bigwedge a. \text{ide } a \implies \langle \text{trm } a : a \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rangle$
using *RTS_S.arr-char_{S_bC} RTS_S.ide-char_{S_bC} RTS_S.in-hom-char_{S_bC} comp-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RTSx.trm-in-hom RTSx.trm-simps'(6)*
⟨ide 1⟩ one-def trm-def)
show $\bigwedge a f. \llbracket \text{ide } a; \langle f : a \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rangle \rrbracket \implies f = \text{trm } a$
by (*metis RTSx.trm-eqI RTS_S.ide-char_{S_bC} RTS_S.in-hom-char_{S_bC} comp-def*
one-def trm-def)

qed

lemma *Map-trm*:
assumes *ide a*
shows *Map (trm a) = constant-simulation.map (Rts a) One.resid One.the-arr*
unfolding *Map-def trm-def Rts-def*
using *assms RTSx.Map-trm RTS_S.ide-char_{S_bC} comp-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *terminal-char*:
shows *terminal x \longleftrightarrow ide x \wedge ($\exists !t. \text{residuation.arr (Rts } x) t$)*

proof –

have $\bigwedge x. \text{terminal } x \longleftrightarrow \text{RTSx.H.terminal } x$

proof –

fix *x*

have *1: terminal x \longleftrightarrow isomorphic x one*

using *terminal-one terminal-objs-isomorphic*

by (*meson isomorphic-symmetric isomorphic-to-terminal-is-terminal*)

also have *... \longleftrightarrow RTSx.obj x \wedge isomorphic-rts (RTSx.Dom x) One.resid*

using *Rts-one ide-one isomorphic-char Rts-def ide-iff-RTS-obj*

isomorphic-rts-def [of Rts x One.resid]

invertible-simulation-def' [of Rts x Rts 1]

by *auto*

also have *... \longleftrightarrow RTSx.H.terminal x*

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) 1 RTS_S.arrI_{S_bC} RTS_S.in-hom-char_{S_bC}*

RTS_S.iso-char_{S_bC} RTS_S.isomorphic-def RTSx.H.iso-inv-iso

RTSx.H.isomorphic-def RTSx.H.isomorphic-symmetric

RTSx.H.isomorphic-to-terminal-is-terminal RTSx.H.terminal-def

RTSx.iso-implies-sta RTSx.obj-implies-sta RTSx.terminal-one

calculation comp-def one-def RTSx.H.terminal-objs-isomorphic)

finally show *terminal x \longleftrightarrow RTSx.H.terminal x* **by** *blast*

qed

thus *?thesis*

using *RTSx.terminal-char Rts-def ide-iff-RTS-obj* **by** *presburger*

qed

4.4.2 Products

definition $p_0 :: 'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A \text{ arr}$
where $p_0 \equiv \text{RTSx.p}_0$

definition $p_1 :: 'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A \text{ arr}$
where $p_1 \equiv \text{RTSx.p}_1$

no-notation RTSx.p_0 ($\mathfrak{p}_0[-, -]$)

no-notation RTSx.p_1 ($\mathfrak{p}_1[-, -]$)

notation p_0 ($\mathfrak{p}_0[-, -]$)

notation p_1 ($\mathfrak{p}_1[-, -]$)

sublocale *elementary-cartesian-category comp p₀ p₁ one trm*

proof

fix $a \ b$

assume a : ide a **and** b : ide b

show 1 : span $\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b]$ $\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b]$

using $a \ b$ RTSx.sta-p_0 RTSx.sta-p_1 $\text{RTS}_S.\text{arr-char}_{SbC}$ $\text{RTS}_S.\text{dom-simp}$
 $\text{RTS}_S.\text{ide-char}_{SbC}$

by (*simp add: comp-def p₀-def p₁-def*)

show cod $\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] = b$

using $a \ b \ 1$ $\text{RTS}_S.\text{cod-simp}$ RTSx.cod-pr0 *ide-iff-RTS-obj comp-def*
 $p_0\text{-def}$

by *metis*

show cod $\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] = a$

using $a \ b \ 1$ $\text{RTS}_S.\text{cod-simp}$ RTSx.cod-pr1 *ide-iff-RTS-obj comp-def*
 $p_1\text{-def}$

by *metis*

next

fix $f \ g$

assume fg : span $f \ g$

have a : ide (cod f) **and** b : ide (cod g)

using fg **by** *auto*

have 1 : $\text{RTSx.H.span } f \ g$

using fg $\text{RTS}_S.\text{arrE}$ $\text{RTS}_S.\text{dom-simp}$ *comp-def* **by** *force*

have 2 : $\text{RTSx.cod } f = \text{cod } f \wedge \text{RTSx.cod } g = \text{cod } g$

using fg **by** (*simp add: RTS_S.cod-char_{SbC} comp-def*)

show $\exists ! l. \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot l = f \wedge \mathfrak{p}_0[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot l = g$

proof –

have $\exists l. \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot l = f \wedge \mathfrak{p}_0[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot l = g$

proof –

let $?l = \text{RTSx.tuple } f \ g$

have $\mathfrak{p}_1[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot ?l = f \wedge \mathfrak{p}_0[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot ?l = g$

using $a \ b \ fg \ 1 \ 2$ RTSx.sta-p_0 RTSx.sta-p_1 *arr-iff-RTS-sta*
ide-iff-RTS-obj

by (*simp add: comp-def RTS_S.comp-def p₀-def p₁-def*)

thus $\exists l. p_1[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot l = f \wedge p_0[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot l = g$
by *blast*
qed
moreover
have $\bigwedge l l'. \llbracket p_1[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot l = f \wedge p_0[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot l = g;$
 $p_1[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot l' = f \wedge p_0[\text{cod } f, \text{cod } g] \cdot l' = g \rrbracket$
 $\implies l' = l$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) 1 *RTSx.tuple-eqI RTS_S.comp-simp*
a b fg ide-iff-RTS-obj comp-def p₀-def p₁-def)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

notation *prod* (**infixr** \otimes 51)
notation *tuple* ($\langle -, - \rangle$)
notation *dup* ($d[-]$)
notation *assoc* ($a[-, -, -]$)
notation *assoc'* ($a^{-1}[-, -, -]$)
notation *lunit* ($l[-]$)
notation *lunit'* ($l^{-1}[-]$)
notation *runit* ($r[-]$)
notation *runit'* ($r^{-1}[-]$)

lemma *tuple-char*:
shows $\text{tuple} = (\lambda f g. \text{if span } f g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.tuple } f g \text{ else null})$
proof –
have $\bigwedge f g. \langle f, g \rangle = (\text{if span } f g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.tuple } f g \text{ else null})$
proof –
fix $f g$
show $\langle f, g \rangle = (\text{if span } f g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.tuple } f g \text{ else null})$
proof (*cases span f g*)
case *True*
have $\text{RTSx.tuple } f g = \langle f, g \rangle$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *RTSx.tuple-pr-arr RTS_S.comp-simp*
RTS_S.seq-char_{S_bC} True ide-cod ide-iff-RTS-obj comp-def
p₀-def p₁-def tuple-eqI universal)
thus $\langle f, g \rangle = (\text{if span } f g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.tuple } f g \text{ else null})$
using *True* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
show $\langle f, g \rangle = (\text{if span } f g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.tuple } f g \text{ else null})$
using *False tuple-ext* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *prod-char*:
shows $(\otimes) = (\lambda f g. \text{if arr } f \wedge \text{arr } g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.prod } f g \text{ else null})$

```

proof –
  have  $\bigwedge f g. f \otimes g = (\text{if } \text{arr } f \wedge \text{arr } g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.prod } f g \text{ else null})$ 
proof –
  fix  $f g$ 
  have  $\neg \text{arr } f \implies f \otimes g = (\text{if } \text{arr } f \wedge \text{arr } g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.prod } f g \text{ else null})$ 
    using prod-def tuple-def by auto
  moreover
  have  $\neg \text{arr } g \implies f \otimes g = (\text{if } \text{arr } f \wedge \text{arr } g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.prod } f g \text{ else null})$ 
    using prod-def tuple-def by auto
  moreover have  $\llbracket \text{arr } f; \text{arr } g \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies f \otimes g = (\text{if } \text{arr } f \wedge \text{arr } g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.prod } f g \text{ else null})$ 
proof –
  assume  $f: \text{arr } f$  and  $g: \text{arr } g$ 
  have  $f \otimes g = \langle f \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{dom } f, \text{dom } g], g \cdot \mathfrak{p}_0[\text{dom } f, \text{dom } g] \rangle$ 
    unfolding prod-def by simp
  also have  $\dots = \langle f \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{RTSx.dom } f, \text{RTSx.dom } g],$ 
     $g \cdot \mathfrak{p}_0[\text{RTSx.dom } f, \text{RTSx.dom } g] \rangle$ 
    using  $f g$  RTSS.dom-charSbC comp-def by force
  also have  $\dots = \langle \text{RTSx.hcomp } f \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{RTSx.dom } f, \text{RTSx.dom } g],$ 
     $\text{RTSx.hcomp } g \mathfrak{p}_0[\text{RTSx.dom } f, \text{RTSx.dom } g] \rangle$ 
    using  $f g$  RTSS.comp-char RTSS.arr-charSbC
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTSS.null-char calculation
    comp-def not-arr-null prod-simps(1) tuple-ext)
  also have  $\dots = \text{RTSx.tuple}$ 
     $(\text{RTSx.hcomp } f \mathfrak{p}_1[\text{RTSx.dom } f, \text{RTSx.dom } g])$ 
     $(\text{RTSx.hcomp } g \mathfrak{p}_0[\text{RTSx.dom } f, \text{RTSx.dom } g])$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) calculation f g not-arr-null
    prod-simps(1) tuple-char)
  also have  $\dots = \text{RTSx.prod } f g$ 
    using RTSx.prod-def by (simp add: p0-def p1-def)
  finally show ?thesis
    using  $f g$  by auto
qed
  ultimately show  $f \otimes g = (\text{if } \text{arr } f \wedge \text{arr } g \text{ then } \text{RTSx.prod } f g \text{ else null})$ 
    by blast
qed
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

definition *Pack* :: $'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A \times 'A \Rightarrow 'A$
where $\text{Pack} \equiv \text{RTSx.Pack}$

definition *Unpack* :: $'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A \text{ arr} \Rightarrow 'A \Rightarrow 'A \times 'A$
where $\text{Unpack} \equiv \text{RTSx.Unpack}$

lemma *inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack*:

assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*

shows *inverse-simulations (Rts (a \otimes b)) (product-rts.resid (Rts a) (Rts b))*
(Pack a b) (Unpack a b)

using *Pack-def RTSx.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack Rts-def Unpack-def*
assms(1-2) ide-iff-RTS-obj prod-char
by *force*

lemma *simulation-Pack:*
assumes *ide a and ide b*
shows *simulation*
 $(\text{product-rts.resid } (Rts\ a)\ (Rts\ b))\ (Rts\ (a\ \otimes\ b))\ (Pack\ a\ b)$
using *assms inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack inverse-simulations-def*
by *fast*

lemma *simulation-Unpack:*
assumes *ide a and ide b*
shows *simulation*
 $(Rts\ (a\ \otimes\ b))\ (\text{product-rts.resid } (Rts\ a)\ (Rts\ b))\ (Unpack\ a\ b)$
using *assms inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack inverse-simulations-def*
by *fast*

lemma *Pack-o-Unpack:*
assumes *ide a and ide b*
shows $Pack\ a\ b\ \circ\ Unpack\ a\ b = I\ (Rts\ (a\ \otimes\ b))$
unfolding *Pack-def Unpack-def Rts-def*
using *assms RTSx.Pack-o-Unpack ide-iff-RTS-obj prod-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *Unpack-o-Pack:*
assumes *ide a and ide b*
shows $Unpack\ a\ b\ \circ\ Pack\ a\ b = I\ (\text{product-rts.resid } (Rts\ a)\ (Rts\ b))$
unfolding *Pack-def Unpack-def Rts-def*
using *assms RTSx.Unpack-o-Pack ide-iff-RTS-obj prod-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *Pack-Unpack [simp]:*
assumes *ide a and ide b*
and *residuation.arr (Rts (a \otimes b)) t*
shows $Pack\ a\ b\ (Unpack\ a\ b\ t) = t$
using *assms* **by** *(metis Pack-o-Unpack comp-apply)*

lemma *Unpack-Pack [simp]:*
assumes *ide a and ide b*
and *residuation.arr (product-rts.resid (Rts a) (Rts b)) t*
shows $Unpack\ a\ b\ (Pack\ a\ b\ t) = t$
using *assms* **by** *(metis Unpack-o-Pack comp-apply)*

lemma *Map-p₀:*
assumes *ide a and ide b*
shows $Map\ p_0[a, b] = \text{product-rts.P}_0\ (Rts\ a)\ (Rts\ b)\ \circ\ Unpack\ a\ b$
unfolding *Map-def p₀-def Unpack-def*
using *assms RTSx.Map-p₀ Rts-def ide-iff-RTS-obj* **by** *auto*

lemma *Map-p₁:*

assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows $\text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] = \text{product-rts}.P_1 (Rts\ a) (Rts\ b) \circ \text{Unpack } a\ b$
unfolding *Map-def* *p₁-def* *Unpack-def*
using *assms RTSx.Map-p₁* *Rts-def* *ide-iff-RTS-obj* **by** *auto*

lemma *Map-tuple*:
assumes $\langle f : x \rightarrow a \rangle$ **and** $\langle g : x \rightarrow b \rangle$
shows $\text{Map } \langle f, g \rangle = \text{Pack } a\ b \circ \langle \langle \text{Map } f, \text{Map } g \rangle \rangle$
unfolding *Map-def* *Pack-def* *comp-def*
using *assms RTSx.Map-tuple*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *RTS_S.in-hom-char_{SB_C}* *in-homE* *comp-def* *tuple-char*)

corollary *Map-dup*:
assumes *ide a*
shows $\text{Map } \text{d}[a] = \text{Pack } a\ a \circ \langle \langle \text{Map } a, \text{Map } a \rangle \rangle$
using *assms Map-tuple* *ide-in-hom* **by** *blast*

proposition *Map-lunit*:
assumes *ide a*
shows $\text{Map } \text{l}[a] = \text{product-rts}.P_0 (Rts\ \mathbf{1}) (Rts\ a) \circ \text{Unpack } \mathbf{1}\ a$
using *assms Map-p₀* *ide-one* **by** *auto*

proposition *Map-runit*:
assumes *ide a*
shows $\text{Map } \text{r}[a] = \text{product-rts}.P_1 (Rts\ a) (Rts\ \mathbf{1}) \circ \text{Unpack } a\ \mathbf{1}$
using *assms Map-p₁* *ide-one* **by** *auto*

lemma *assoc-expansion*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b* **and** *ide c*
shows $\text{a}[a, b, c] =$
 $\langle \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle \rangle$
using *assms RTSx.assoc-expansion* *assoc-def* *p₀-def* *p₁-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Unpack-naturality*:
assumes *arr f* **and** *arr g*
shows $\text{Unpack } (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g) \circ \text{Map } (f \otimes g) =$
 $\text{product-simulation.map } (Rts\ (\text{dom } f)) (Rts\ (\text{dom } g)) (\text{Map } f) (\text{Map } g) \circ$
 $\text{Unpack } (\text{dom } f) (\text{dom } g)$

proof –
interpret *Dom-f: extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (\text{dom } f) \rangle$
by (*simp* *add: assms(1)*)
interpret *Dom-g: extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (\text{dom } g) \rangle$
by (*simp* *add: assms(2)*)
interpret *Cod-f: extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (\text{cod } f) \rangle$
by (*simp* *add: assms(1)*)
interpret *Cod-g: extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (\text{cod } g) \rangle$
by (*simp* *add: assms(2)*)
interpret *Dom: product-rts* $\langle Rts\ (\text{dom } f) \rangle \langle Rts\ (\text{dom } g) \rangle \dots$

```

interpret Cod: product-rts ⟨Rts (cod f)⟩ ⟨Rts (cod g)⟩ ..
interpret Dom: extensional-rts Dom.resid
  using Dom.preserves-extensional-rts Dom-f.extensional-rts-axioms
    Dom-g.extensional-rts-axioms
  by simp
interpret Unpack: simulation
  ⟨Rts (dom f ⊗ dom g)⟩ Dom.resid ⟨Unpack (dom f) (dom g)⟩
  using assms simulation-Unpack [of dom f dom g] by simp
interpret Unpack.A: extensional-rts ⟨Rts (dom f ⊗ dom g)⟩
  by (simp add: assms)
interpret Unpack: simulation-as-transformation
  ⟨Rts (dom f ⊗ dom g)⟩ Dom.resid ⟨Unpack (dom f) (dom g)⟩
  ..
have Unpack (cod f) (cod g) ∘ Map (f ⊗ g) =
  Unpack (cod f) (cod g) ∘
  Map (f · p1[dom f, dom g], g · p0[dom f, dom g])
  using assms prod-def by force
also have ... = Unpack (cod f) (cod g) ∘
  Pack (cod f) (cod g) ∘
  (Cod.tuple (Map (f · p1[dom f, dom g]))
  (Map (g · p0[dom f, dom g])))
  using assms Map-tuple
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Fun.comp-assoc cod-pr0 cod-pr1
  comp-in-homI' ide-dom pr-simps(1-2,4-5))
also have ... = I (Cod.resid) ∘
  Cod.tuple (Map (f · p1[dom f, dom g]))
  (Map (g · p0[dom f, dom g]))
  using assms Unpack-o-Pack by auto
also have ... = Cod.tuple (Map (f · p1[dom f, dom g]))
  (Map (g · p0[dom f, dom g]))
proof –
  have simulation (Rts (dom f ⊗ dom g)) Cod.resid
  (Cod.tuple
  (Map (f · p1[dom f, dom g]))
  (Map (g · p0[dom f, dom g])))
  by (metis arrD(3) assms(1-2) cod-comp cod-pr0 cod-pr1 dom-comp
  ide-dom pr-simps(1-2,4-5) seqI simulation-tuple)
  thus ?thesis
  using assms comp-identity-simulation by blast
qed
also have ... = Cod.tuple
  (Map f ∘ (Dom.P1 ∘ Unpack (dom f) (dom g)))
  (Map g ∘ (Dom.P0 ∘ Unpack (dom f) (dom g)))
  using assms Map-comp Map-p1 Map-p0 by auto
also have ... = product-simulation.map (Rts f) (Rts g) (Map f) (Map g) ∘
  Dom.tuple
  (Dom.P1 ∘ Unpack (dom f) (dom g))
  (Dom.P0 ∘ Unpack (dom f) (dom g))
proof –

```

```

interpret  $P_1 \circ \text{Unpack}$ : simulation  $\langle \text{Rts } (dom\ f \otimes dom\ g) \rangle \langle \text{Rts } (dom\ f) \rangle$ 
       $\langle \text{Dom}.P_1 \circ \text{Unpack } (dom\ f) (dom\ g) \rangle$ 
using assms  $\text{Dom}.P_1\text{-is-simulation}$  simulation-comp
      simulation-Unpack [of  $dom\ f\ dom\ g$ ]
by auto
interpret  $P_1 \circ \text{Unpack}$ : simulation-as-transformation
       $\langle \text{Rts } (dom\ f \otimes dom\ g) \rangle \langle \text{Rts } (dom\ f) \rangle$ 
       $\langle \text{Dom}.P_1 \circ \text{Unpack } (dom\ f) (dom\ g) \rangle$ 
..
interpret  $P_0 \circ \text{Unpack}$ : simulation  $\langle \text{Rts } (dom\ f \otimes dom\ g) \rangle \langle \text{Rts } (dom\ g) \rangle$ 
       $\langle \text{Dom}.P_0 \circ \text{Unpack } (dom\ f) (dom\ g) \rangle$ 
using assms  $\text{Dom}.P_0\text{-is-simulation}$  simulation-comp
      simulation-Unpack [of  $dom\ f\ dom\ g$ ]
by auto
interpret  $P_0 \circ \text{Unpack}$ : simulation-as-transformation
       $\langle \text{Rts } (dom\ f \otimes dom\ g) \rangle \langle \text{Rts } (dom\ g) \rangle$ 
       $\langle \text{Dom}.P_0 \circ \text{Unpack } (dom\ f) (dom\ g) \rangle$ 
..
show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $P_0 \circ \text{Unpack}$ .transformation-axioms
       $P_1 \circ \text{Unpack}$ .transformation-axioms  $\text{RTSx}$ .Dom-dom  $\text{RTSx}$ .V.ide-implies-arr
       $\text{RTS}_S$ .dom-char $_{SbC}$   $\text{Rts-def}$   $\text{arrD}(3)$   $\text{arr-iff-RTS-sta}$  assms(1–2)
      comp-product-simulation-tuple2 comp-def)
qed
also have  $\dots = \text{product-simulation.map}$ 
       $(\text{Rts } (dom\ f)) (\text{Rts } (dom\ g)) (\text{Map } f) (\text{Map } g) \circ$ 
       $\text{Unpack } (dom\ f) (dom\ g)$ 
using assms  $\text{Unpack}$ .simulation-axioms  $\text{Dom}$ .tuple-proj  $\text{RTSx}$ .arr-char
by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $\text{RTSx}$ .Dom-dom  $\text{RTSx}$ .V.ide-implies-arr
       $\text{RTS}_S$ .arrE  $\text{RTS}_S$ .dom-char $_{SbC}$   $\text{Rts-def}$  comp-def)
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma Map-prod:
assumes  $\text{arr } f$  and  $\text{arr } g$ 
shows  $\text{Map } (f \otimes g) =$ 
       $\text{Pack } (cod\ f) (cod\ g) \circ$ 
       $\text{product-simulation.map } (\text{Rts } (dom\ f)) (\text{Rts } (dom\ g)) (\text{Map } f) (\text{Map } g) \circ$ 
       $\text{Unpack } (dom\ f) (dom\ g)$ 
proof –
have  $\text{Map } (f \otimes g) =$ 
       $\text{Pack } (cod\ f) (cod\ g) \circ (\text{Unpack } (cod\ f) (cod\ g) \circ \text{Map } (f \otimes g))$ 
proof –
have  $\text{Map } (f \otimes g) =$ 
       $(\text{Pack } (cod\ f) (cod\ g) \circ (\text{Unpack } (cod\ f) (cod\ g)) \circ \text{Map } (f \otimes g))$ 
using assms  $\text{Pack-o-Unpack}$  [of  $cod\ f\ cod\ g$ ]  $\text{arrD}(3)$  [of  $f \otimes g$ ]
by (simp add: comp-identity-simulation)
thus ?thesis
using  $\text{Fun.comp-assoc}$  by metis

```


qed
also have ... =

$$\text{Pack } (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g) \circ$$

$$(\text{product-simulation.map}$$

$$(\text{Rts } (\text{dom } f)) (\text{Rts } (\text{dom } g)) (\text{Map } f) (\text{Map } g) \circ$$

$$\text{Unpack } (\text{dom } f) (\text{dom } g))$$
using *assms* *Unpack-naturality* [of *f g*] **by** *auto*
also have ... = $\text{Pack } (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g) \circ$

$$\text{product-simulation.map}$$

$$(\text{Rts } (\text{dom } f)) (\text{Rts } (\text{dom } g)) (\text{Map } f) (\text{Map } g) \circ$$

$$\text{Unpack } (\text{dom } f) (\text{dom } g)$$

by *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

4.4.3 Exponentials

definition *exp* :: 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr
where *exp* \equiv *RTSx.exp*

definition *eval* :: 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr
where *eval* \equiv *RTSx.eval*

definition *curry* :: 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr
where *curry* \equiv *RTSx.curry*

definition *uncurry* :: 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr
where *uncurry* \equiv *RTSx.uncurry*

definition *Func* :: 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A \Rightarrow ('A, 'A) *exponential-rts.arr*
where *Func* \equiv *RTSx.Func*

definition *Unfunc* :: 'A arr \Rightarrow 'A arr \Rightarrow ('A, 'A) *exponential-rts.arr* \Rightarrow 'A
where *Unfunc* \equiv *RTSx.Unfunc*

lemma *inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc*:

assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*

shows *inverse-simulations*

$(\text{exponential-rts.resid } (\text{Rts } b) (\text{Rts } c)) (\text{Rts } (\text{exp } b \ c))$
 $(\text{Func } b \ c) (\text{Unfunc } b \ c)$

unfolding *Func-def* *Unfunc-def* *Rts-def* *exp-def*

using *assms* *RTSx.inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc* *ide-iff-RTS-obj* **by** *blast*

lemma *simulation-Func*:

assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*

shows *simulation*

$(\text{Rts } (\text{exp } b \ c)) (\text{exponential-rts.resid } (\text{Rts } b) (\text{Rts } c)) (\text{Func } b \ c)$

using *assms* *inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc* *inverse-simulations-def*

by *fast*

lemma *simulation-Unfunc*:

assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*

shows *simulation*

$(\text{exponential-rts.resid } (Rts\ b) (Rts\ c)) (Rts\ (\text{exp } b\ c)) (Unfunc\ b\ c)$

using *assms inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc inverse-simulations-def*

by *fast*

lemma *Func-o-Unfunc*:

assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*

shows $Func\ b\ c \circ Unfunc\ b\ c = I (\text{exponential-rts.resid } (Rts\ b) (Rts\ c))$

using *assms*

by $(\text{meson } \text{inverse-simulations.inv}' \text{ inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc})$

lemma *Unfunc-o-Func*:

assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*

shows $Unfunc\ b\ c \circ Func\ b\ c = I (Rts\ (\text{exp } b\ c))$

using *assms*

by $(\text{meson } \text{inverse-simulations.inv } \text{inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc})$

lemma *Func-Unfunc [simp]*:

assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*

and *residuation.arr* $(\text{exponential-rts.resid } (Rts\ b) (Rts\ c))\ t$

shows $Func\ b\ c (Unfunc\ b\ c\ t) = t$

using *assms*

by $(\text{meson } \text{inverse-simulations.inv}'\text{-simp } \text{inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc})$

lemma *Unfunc-Func [simp]*:

assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*

and *residuation.arr* $(Rts\ (\text{exp } b\ c))\ t$

shows $Unfunc\ b\ c (Func\ b\ c\ t) = t$

using *assms*

by $(\text{meson } \text{inverse-simulations.inv-simp } \text{inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc})$

lemma *Map-eval*:

assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*

shows $Map (eval\ b\ c) =$

$\text{evaluation-map.map } (Rts\ b) (Rts\ c) \circ$

$\text{product-simulation.map } (Rts\ (\text{exp } b\ c)) (Rts\ b) (Func\ b\ c) (I (Rts\ b)) \circ$

$Unpack (\text{exp } b\ c)\ b$

unfolding *Map-def eval-def Rts-def exp-def Func-def Unpack-def*

using *assms RTSx.Map-eval [of b c] ide-iff-RTS-obj* **by** *force*

lemma *Map-curry*:

assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b* **and** $\langle f : a \otimes b \rightarrow c \rangle$

shows $Map (\text{curry } a\ b\ c\ f) =$

$Unfunc\ b\ c \circ$

$\text{Currying.Curry3 } (Rts\ a) (Rts\ b) (Rts\ c) (Map\ f \circ Pack\ a\ b)$

unfolding *Map-def curry-def Unfunc-def Rts-def Pack-def*

using *assms* *RTSx.Map-curry* [of *a b c f*] *ide-iff-RTS-obj arr-iff-RTS-sta*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *RTSx.H.category-axioms RTSx.Map-simps(3-4)*
RTSx.V.ide-iff-src-self RTSx.V.trg-ide RTSx.arr-coincidence_{CR}
RTS_S.ide-cod RTS_S.in-hom-char_{SbC} category.in-homE category-axioms
comp-def)

lemma *Map-uncurry*:

assumes *ide b and ide c and* «*g : a → exp b c*»

shows *Map (uncurry a b c g) =*

Currying.Uncurry (Rts a) (Rts b) (Rts c) (Func b c o Map g) o
Unpack a b

unfolding *Map-def uncurry-def Func-def Rts-def Unpack-def*

using *assms RTSx.Map-uncurry ide-iff-RTS-obj arr-iff-RTS-sta ide-dom*

by *auto*

4.4.4 Cartesian Closure

sublocale *elementary-cartesian-closed-category*
comp p₀ p₁ one trm exp eval curry

proof

fix *b c*

assume *b: ide b and c: ide c*

show «*eval b c : exp b c ⊗ b → c*»

unfolding *eval-def exp-def*

using *b c RTSx.eval-in-hom_{RCR} prod-char ide-iff-RTS-obj*
arr-iff-RTS-sta RTSx.obj-is-sta

by (*simp add: RTSx.obj-exp RTS_S.in-hom-char_{SbC} comp-def*)

show *ide (exp b c)*

unfolding *exp-def*

using *b c ide-iff-RTS-obj RTSx.obj-exp by simp*

fix *a*

assume *a: ide a*

fix *g*

assume *g: «g : a ⊗ b → c»*

show *1: «curry a b c g : a → exp b c»*

unfolding *curry-def*

using *a b c g ide-char prod-char ide-iff-RTS-obj*
RTS_S.arr-char_{SbC} RTS_S.in-hom-char_{SbC} <ide (exp b c)>
exp-def comp-def RTSx.curry-in-hom RTSx.sta-curry

by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*))

show *eval b c · (curry a b c g ⊗ b) = g*

using *a b c g 1 RTSx.uncurry-curry RTSx.uncurry-expansion*
arr-iff-RTS-sta ide-iff-RTS-obj RTS_S.comp-char
RTS_S.in-hom-char_{SbC} ideD(1)

apply (*auto simp add: exp-def comp-def curry-def eval-def*)[1]

apply (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *comp-def prod-char*)

apply (*metis* *comp-def prod-simps(1)*)

by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *RTSx.H.ext RTSx.arr-coincidence_{CR}*
RTSx.null-coincidence_{CR} comp-def prod-char)

```

next
fix a b c h
assume a: ide a and b: ide b and c: ide c
and h: «h : a → exp b c»
show curry a b c (eval b c · (h ⊗ b)) = h
  using a b c h prod-char RTSx.curry-uncurry ide-iff-RTS-obj
    RTSx.uncurry-expansion RTSS.comp-char RTSS.in-hom-charSbC
    RTSx.obj-is-sta RTSx.sta-prod RTSS.arr-charSbC
  apply (auto simp add: eval-def curry-def comp-def exp-def)[1]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTSx.H.ext RTSx.arr-coincidenceCRC
    RTSx.null-coincidenceCRC)
qed

theorem is-elementary-cartesian-closed-category:
shows elementary-cartesian-closed-category
  comp p0 p1 one trm exp eval curry
  ..

end

```

4.4.5 Associators

Here we expose the relationship between the associators internal to **RTS** and those external to it.

```

locale Association =
  rtscat arr-type
for arr-type :: 'A itself
and a :: 'A rtscat.arr
and b :: 'A rtscat.arr
and c :: 'A rtscat.arr +
assumes a: ide a
and b: ide b
and c: ide c
begin

  interpretation A: extensional-rts ⟨Rts a⟩
    using a by simp
  interpretation B: extensional-rts ⟨Rts b⟩
    using b by simp
  interpretation C: extensional-rts ⟨Rts c⟩
    using c by simp

  interpretation A: identity-simulation ⟨Rts a⟩ ..
  interpretation B: identity-simulation ⟨Rts b⟩ ..
  interpretation C: identity-simulation ⟨Rts c⟩ ..

  interpretation AxB: product-rts ⟨Rts a⟩ ⟨Rts b⟩ ..
  interpretation AxB-xC: product-rts AxB.resid ⟨Rts c⟩ ..
  interpretation BxC: product-rts ⟨Rts b⟩ ⟨Rts c⟩ ..

```

interpretation $Ax-BxC$: *product-rts* $\langle Rts\ a \rangle\ BxC.resid\ ..$

interpretation AxB : *extensional-rts* $AxB.resid$
using $A.extensional-rts-axioms\ B.extensional-rts-axioms$
 $AxB.preserves-extensional-rts$
by *blast*

interpretation BxC : *extensional-rts* $BxC.resid$
using $B.extensional-rts-axioms\ C.extensional-rts-axioms$
 $BxC.preserves-extensional-rts$
by *blast*

interpretation $AxB-xC$: *extensional-rts* $AxB-xC.resid$
using $AxB.extensional-rts-axioms\ C.extensional-rts-axioms$
 $AxB-xC.preserves-extensional-rts$
by *blast*

interpretation $Ax-BxC$: *extensional-rts* $Ax-BxC.resid$
using $A.extensional-rts-axioms\ BxC.extensional-rts-axioms$
 $Ax-BxC.preserves-extensional-rts$
by *blast*

sublocale $ASSOC$: $ASSOC\ \langle Rts\ a \rangle\ \langle Rts\ b \rangle\ \langle Rts\ c \rangle\ ..$

interpretation axb : *extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (a\ \otimes\ b) \rangle$
using $a\ b$ **by** *simp*

interpretation bxc : *extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (b\ \otimes\ c) \rangle$
using $b\ c$ **by** *simp*

interpretation $axb-xc$: *extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ ((a\ \otimes\ b)\ \otimes\ c) \rangle$
using $a\ b\ c$ **by** *simp*

interpretation $ax-bxc$: *extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (a\ \otimes\ (b\ \otimes\ c)) \rangle$
using $a\ b\ c$ **by** *simp*

interpretation $PU-ab$: *inverse-simulations*
 $\langle Rts\ (a\ \otimes\ b) \rangle\ AxB.resid\ \langle Pack\ a\ b \rangle\ \langle Unpack\ a\ b \rangle$
using $a\ b$ *inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack* [of $a\ b$] **by** *fastforce*

interpretation $PU-bc$: *inverse-simulations*
 $\langle Rts\ (b\ \otimes\ c) \rangle\ BxC.resid\ \langle Pack\ b\ c \rangle\ \langle Unpack\ b\ c \rangle$
using $b\ c$ *inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack* [of $b\ c$] **by** *fastforce*

interpretation $Axbc$: *product-rts* $\langle Rts\ a \rangle\ \langle Rts\ (b\ \otimes\ c) \rangle\ ..$

interpretation $Axbc$: *identity-simulation* $Axbc.resid\ ..$

interpretation $abxC$: *product-rts* $\langle Rts\ (a\ \otimes\ b) \rangle\ \langle Rts\ c \rangle\ ..$

interpretation $abxC$: *identity-simulation* $abxC.resid\ ..$

interpretation $AxPack-bc$:
product-simulation $\langle Rts\ a \rangle\ BxC.resid\ \langle Rts\ a \rangle\ \langle Rts\ (b\ \otimes\ c) \rangle$
 $\langle I\ (Rts\ a) \rangle\ \langle Pack\ b\ c \rangle\ ..$

interpretation $AxUnpack-bc$:
product-simulation $\langle Rts\ a \rangle\ \langle Rts\ (b\ \otimes\ c) \rangle\ \langle Rts\ a \rangle\ BxC.resid$
 $\langle I\ (Rts\ a) \rangle\ \langle Unpack\ b\ c \rangle\ ..$

interpretation $Unpack-abxC$:

product-simulation $\langle Rts (a \otimes b) \rangle \langle Rts c \rangle AxB.resid \langle Rts c \rangle$
 $\langle Unpack a b \rangle \langle I (Rts c) \rangle ..$

sublocale *PU-Axbc: inverse-simulations* $Axbc.resid Ax-BxC.resid$
 $AxPack-bc.map AxUnpack-bc.map$

proof

show $AxPack-bc.map \circ AxUnpack-bc.map = Axbc.map$

proof –

have $AxPack-bc.map \circ AxUnpack-bc.map =$
 $product-simulation.map (Rts a) (Rts (b \otimes c))$
 $(A.map \circ A.map) (Pack b c \circ Unpack b c)$
using *A.simulation-axioms PU-bc.F.simulation-axioms*
PU-bc.G.simulation-axioms PU-bc.inv'
simulation-interchange
 $[of Rts a Rts a A.map Rts (b \otimes c)$
 $BxC.resid Unpack b c Rts a$
 $A.map Rts (b \otimes c) Pack b c]$

by *simp*

also have $... =$

$product-simulation.map (Rts a) (Rts (b \otimes c))$
 $A.map (I (Rts (b \otimes c)))$

using *PU-bc.inv' A.simulation-axioms*

comp-identity-simulation [of Rts a Rts a A.map]

by *simp*

also have $... = Axbc.map$

using *product-identity-simulation A.rts-axioms BxC.rts-axioms*

by *blast*

finally show *?thesis by blast*

qed

show $AxUnpack-bc.map \circ AxPack-bc.map = I Ax-BxC.resid$

proof –

have $AxUnpack-bc.map \circ AxPack-bc.map =$
 $product-simulation.map (Rts a) BxC.resid$
 $(A.map \circ A.map) (Unpack b c \circ Pack b c)$
using *A.simulation-axioms PU-bc.F.simulation-axioms*
PU-bc.G.simulation-axioms PU-bc.inv
simulation-interchange
 $[of Rts a Rts a A.map BxC.resid Rts (b \otimes c)$
 $Pack b c Rts a A.map BxC.resid Unpack b c]$

by *simp*

also have $... =$

$product-simulation.map (Rts a) BxC.resid$
 $A.map (I BxC.resid)$

using *PU-bc.inv A.simulation-axioms*

comp-identity-simulation [of Rts a Rts a A.map]

by *simp*

also have $... = I Ax-BxC.resid$

using *product-identity-simulation A.rts-axioms BxC.rts-axioms*

by *blast*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

The following shows that the simulation $Map\ a[a, b, c]$ underlying an internal associator $a[a, b, c]$ is transformed into a corresponding external associator $ASSOC.map$ via invertible simulations that “unpack” product objects in **RTS** into corresponding product RTS’s.

lemma *Unpack-o-Map-assoc*:

shows $(AxUnpack-bc.map \circ Unpack\ a\ (b \otimes c)) \circ Map\ a[a, b, c] =$
 $ASSOC.map \circ (Unpack-abxC.map \circ Unpack\ (a \otimes b)\ c)$

proof –

have $(AxUnpack-bc.map \circ Unpack\ a\ (b \otimes c)) \circ Map\ a[a, b, c] =$
 $(AxUnpack-bc.map \circ Unpack\ a\ (b \otimes c)) \circ$
 $Pack\ a\ (b \otimes c) \circ$
 $(Axbc.tuple$
 $(Map\ (\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]))$
 $(Map\ \langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle))$

using $a\ b\ c$

Map-tuple

$[of\ \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]\ (a \otimes b) \otimes c\ a$
 $\langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle\ b \otimes c]$
assoc-expansion $[of\ a\ b\ c]$

by *auto*

also have $\dots = AxUnpack-bc.map \circ$
 $(Unpack\ a\ (b \otimes c) \circ Pack\ a\ (b \otimes c)) \circ$
 $(Axbc.tuple$
 $(Map\ (\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]))$
 $(Map\ \langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle))$

by *force*

also have $\dots = AxUnpack-bc.map \circ$
 $I\ Axbc.resid \circ$
 $(Axbc.tuple$
 $(Map\ (\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]))$
 $(Map\ \langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle))$

using $a\ b\ c$ *Unpack-o-Pack* $[of\ a\ b \otimes c]$ **by** *fastforce*

also have $\dots = AxUnpack-bc.map \circ$
 $(Axbc.tuple$
 $(Map\ (\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]))$
 $(Map\ \langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle))$

using *comp-simulation-identity*

$[of\ Axbc.resid\ Ax-BxC.resid\ AxUnpack-bc.map]$

AxUnpack-bc.simulation-axioms

by *simp*

also have $\dots = Ax-BxC.tuple$
 $(I\ (Rts\ a) \circ Map\ (\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]))$
 $(Unpack\ b\ c \circ Map\ \langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle)$

proof –

have *simulation* $(Rts\ ((a \otimes b) \otimes c))\ (Rts\ a)\ (Map\ (\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]))$

```

using  $a\ b\ c$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) arrD(3) cod-comp cod-pr1 dom-comp ide-prod
      pr-simps(4) pr-simps(5) seqI)
moreover have simulation (Rts (( $a \otimes b$ )  $\otimes$   $c$ ) (Rts ( $b \otimes c$ ))
      (Map  $\langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle$ )
proof –
  have  $\langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle : (a \otimes b) \otimes c \rightarrow b \otimes c$ 
    using  $a\ b\ c$  by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using arrD(3) by fastforce
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using PU-bc.G.simulation-axioms A.simulation-axioms
    simulation-as-transformation.intro
    comp-product-simulation-tuple
    [of Rts  $a$  Rts  $a$  A.map Rts ( $b \otimes c$ ) BxC.resid Unpack  $b\ c$ 
      Rts (( $a \otimes b$ )  $\otimes$   $c$ ) Map ( $\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]$ )
      Map  $\langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle$ ]
  by blast
qed
also have ... = Ax-BxC.tuple
  (Map ( $\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]$ ))
  (Unpack  $b\ c \circ$  Map  $\langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle$ )
proof –
  have  $\langle \mathfrak{p}_1\ a\ b \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1\ (a \otimes b)\ c : (a \otimes b) \otimes c \rightarrow a \rangle$ 
    using  $a\ b\ c$  by blast
  hence simulation (Rts (( $a \otimes b$ )  $\otimes$   $c$ ) (Rts  $a$ )
    (Map ( $\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]$ ))
    using arrD(3) by (metis (no-types, lifting) in-homE)
  thus ?thesis
    using comp-identity-simulation
    [of Rts (( $a \otimes b$ )  $\otimes$   $c$ ) Rts  $a$  Map ( $\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]$ )]
    by fastforce
qed
also have ... = Ax-BxC.tuple
  (Map  $\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \circ$  Map  $\mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]$ )
  (Unpack  $b\ c \circ$  Map  $\langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c], \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle$ )
  using  $a\ b\ c$  Map-comp by auto
also have ... = Ax-BxC.tuple
  (Map  $\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \circ$  Map  $\mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]$ )
  (Unpack  $b\ c \circ$ 
    (Pack  $b\ c \circ$ 
      abxC.tuple
      (Map ( $\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]$ ))
      (Map  $\mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c]$ )))
  using  $a\ b\ c$  Map-tuple
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) comp-in-homI ide-prod pr-in-hom(1–2))
also have ... = Ax-BxC.tuple
  (Map  $\mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \circ$  Map  $\mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]$ )

```


$((\text{Unpack } b \ c \circ \text{Pack } b \ c) \circ$
 $abxC.tuple$
 $(\text{Map } (\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]))$
 $(\text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c]))$
using *fun.map-comp* **by** *metis*
also have ... = *Ax-BxC.tuple*
 $(\text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \circ \text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c])$
 $(I \ BxC.resid \circ$
 $BxC.tuple$
 $(\text{Map } (\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]))$
 $(\text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c]))$
using *PU-bc.inv* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *Ax-BxC.tuple*
 $(\text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_1[a, b] \circ \text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c])$
 $(BxC.tuple$
 $(\text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \circ \text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c])$
 $(\text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c]))$

proof –

have $\langle\langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c] : (a \otimes b) \otimes c \rightarrow b \rangle\rangle \wedge$
 $\langle\langle \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c] : (a \otimes b) \otimes c \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$

using *a b c* **by** *blast*

hence *simulation* $(Rts ((a \otimes b) \otimes c)) \ BxC.resid$
 $(pointwise-tuple (\text{Map } (\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c])) (\text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c]))$

using *a b c arrD(3)* *simulation-tuple in-homeE* **by** *metis*

thus *?thesis*

using *a b c Map-comp*
comp-identity-simulation
 $[of \ Rts ((a \otimes b) \otimes c) \ BxC.resid$
 $BxC.tuple$
 $(\text{Map } (\mathfrak{p}_0[a, b] \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1[a \otimes b, c]))$
 $(\text{Map } \mathfrak{p}_0[a \otimes b, c]))]$

by *auto*

qed

also have ... = *Ax-BxC.tuple*
 $((AxB.P_1 \circ \text{Unpack } a \ b) \circ$
 $(abxC.P_1 \circ \text{Unpack } (a \otimes b) \ c))$
 $(BxC.tuple$
 $((AxB.P_0 \circ \text{Unpack } a \ b) \circ$
 $(abxC.P_1 \circ \text{Unpack } (a \otimes b) \ c))$
 $(abxC.P_0 \circ \text{Unpack } (a \otimes b) \ c))$

using *a b c Map-p₁ Map-p₀* **by** *auto*

also have ... = *Ax-BxC.tuple*
 $(AxB.P_1 \circ (\text{Unpack } a \ b \circ abxC.P_1) \circ \text{Unpack } (a \otimes b) \ c)$
 $(BxC.tuple$
 $(AxB.P_0 \circ (\text{Unpack } a \ b \circ abxC.P_1) \circ \text{Unpack } (a \otimes b) \ c)$
 $(abxC.P_0 \circ \text{Unpack } (a \otimes b) \ c))$

using *fun.map-comp* **by** *metis*

also have ... = *Ax-BxC.tuple*
 $(AxB.P_1 \circ (AxB-xC.P_1 \circ \text{Unpack-abxC.map}) \circ$

```

      Unpack (a ⊗ b) c
    (BxC.tuple
      (AxB.P0 ∘ (AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map) ∘
        Unpack (a ⊗ b) c)
      (abxC.P0 ∘ Unpack (a ⊗ b) c))
proof –

have Unpack a b ∘ abxC.P1 = AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map
proof
  fix x
  show (Unpack a b ∘ abxC.P1) x =
    (AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map) x
  proof (cases abxC.arr x)
    show ¬ abxC.arr x ⇒ ?thesis
      using Unpack-abxC.extensional AxB-xC.P1.extensional
        abxC.P1.extensional PU-ab.G.extensional
      by auto
    assume x: abxC.arr x
    show ?thesis
      using x a b c abxC.P1-def Unpack-abxC.map-def AxB-xC.P1-def
      apply auto[1]
      using AxB.arr-char by blast+
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = Ax-BxC.tuple
  (AxB.P1 ∘ AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map ∘
    Unpack (a ⊗ b) c)
  (BxC.tuple
    (AxB.P0 ∘ AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map ∘
      Unpack (a ⊗ b) c)
    (abxC.P0 ∘ Unpack (a ⊗ b) c))
proof –
  have AxB.P1 ∘ (AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map) ∘ Unpack (a ⊗ b) c =
    AxB.P1 ∘ AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map ∘ Unpack (a ⊗ b) c
  by auto
  moreover have AxB.P0 ∘ (AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map) ∘
    Unpack (a ⊗ b) c =
    AxB.P0 ∘ AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map ∘
    Unpack (a ⊗ b) c
  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = Ax-BxC.tuple
  (AxB.P1 ∘ AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map)
  (BxC.tuple
    (AxB.P0 ∘ AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map)
    abxC.P0) ∘

```

```

      Unpack (a ⊗ b) c
    by (simp add: comp-pointwise-tuple)
  also have ... = Ax-BxC.tuple
    (AxB.P1 ∘ AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map)
    (BxC.tuple
      (AxB.P0 ∘ AxB-xC.P1 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map)
      (AxB-xC.P0 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map)) ∘
    Unpack (a ⊗ b) c
  proof –

    have AxB-xC.P0 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map = abxC.P0
  proof
    fix x
    show (AxB-xC.P0 ∘ Unpack-abxC.map) x = abxC.P0 x
    using a b c abxC.P0-def Unpack-abxC.map-def AxB-xC.P0-def
      PU-ab.G.preserves-reflects-arr abxC.arr-char PU-ab.G.extensional
      abxC.P1.extensional axb.not-arr-null AxB-xC.P1.extensional
      AxB-xC.not-arr-null
    by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = Ax-BxC.tuple
    (AxB.P1 ∘ AxB-xC.P1)
    (BxC.tuple (AxB.P0 ∘ AxB-xC.P1) AxB-xC.P0) ∘
    Unpack-abxC.map ∘ Unpack (a ⊗ b) c
    by (simp add: comp-pointwise-tuple)
  also have ... = ASSOC.map ∘ (Unpack-abxC.map ∘ Unpack (a ⊗ b) c)
    unfolding ASSOC.map-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

As a corollary, we obtain an explicit formula for $Map\ a[a, b, c]$ in terms of the external associator $ASSOC.map$ and packing and unpacking isomorphisms.

```

  corollary Map-assoc:
  shows Map a[a, b, c] =
    (Pack a (b ⊗ c) ∘ AxPack-bc.map) ∘
    ASSOC.map ∘
    (Unpack-abxC.map ∘ Unpack (a ⊗ b) c)
  proof –
    interpret PU-axbc: inverse-simulations
      ⟨Rts (a ⊗ (b ⊗ c))⟩ Axbc.resid
      ⟨Pack a (b ⊗ c)⟩ ⟨Unpack a (b ⊗ c)⟩
    using a b c
      inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of a b ⊗ c]
    by force
    interpret PU-abxc: inverse-simulations
      ⟨Rts ((a ⊗ b) ⊗ c)⟩ abxC.resid

```

```

      ⟨Pack (a ⊗ b) c⟩ ⟨Unpack (a ⊗ b) c⟩
using a b c
      inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of a ⊗ b c]
by force
interpret PoAxP: composite-simulation
      Ax-BxC.resid Axbc.resid ⟨Rts (a ⊗ b ⊗ c)⟩
      AxPack-bc.map ⟨Pack a (b ⊗ c)⟩ ..
interpret UxCoU: composite-simulation
      ⟨Rts ((a ⊗ b) ⊗ c)⟩ abxC.resid AxB-xC.resid
      ⟨Unpack (a ⊗ b) c⟩ Unpack-abxC.map ..
interpret AxUoU: composite-simulation
      ⟨Rts (a ⊗ b ⊗ c)⟩ Axbc.resid Ax-BxC.resid
      ⟨Unpack a (b ⊗ c)⟩ AxUnpack-bc.map ..

have *: inverse-simulations (Rts (a ⊗ b ⊗ c)) Ax-BxC.resid
      (Pack a (b ⊗ c) ∘ AxPack-bc.map)
      (AxUnpack-bc.map ∘ Unpack a (b ⊗ c))
proof
show AxUoU.map ∘ PoAxP.map = I Ax-BxC.resid
proof –
  have AxUoU.map ∘ PoAxP.map =
    AxUnpack-bc.map ∘ (Unpack a (b ⊗ c) ∘ Pack a (b ⊗ c)) ∘
    AxPack-bc.map
  using fun.map-comp by force
  also have ... = AxUnpack-bc.map ∘ I Axbc.resid ∘ AxPack-bc.map
  using PU-axbc.inv by simp
  also have ... = AxUnpack-bc.map ∘ AxPack-bc.map
  using comp-simulation-identity AxUnpack-bc.simulation-axioms
  by fastforce
  also have ... = I Ax-BxC.resid
  using PU-Axbc.inv by blast
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show PoAxP.map ∘ AxUoU.map = I (Rts (a ⊗ b ⊗ c))
proof –
  have PoAxP.map ∘ AxUoU.map =
    Pack a (b ⊗ c) ∘ (AxPack-bc.map ∘ AxUnpack-bc.map) ∘
    Unpack a (b ⊗ c)
  using fun.map-comp by auto
  also have ... = Pack a (b ⊗ c) ∘ I Axbc.resid ∘ Unpack a (b ⊗ c)
  using PU-Axbc.inv' by simp
  also have ... = Pack a (b ⊗ c) ∘ Unpack a (b ⊗ c)
  using comp-simulation-identity PU-axbc.F.simulation-axioms
  by fastforce
  also have ... = I (Rts (a ⊗ b ⊗ c))
  using PU-axbc.inv' by blast
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

```

have simulation (Rts ((a  $\otimes$  b)  $\otimes$  c)) (Rts (a  $\otimes$  b  $\otimes$  c)) (Map a[a, b, c])
  using a b c arrD(3)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) assoc-simps(1-3))
hence Map a[a, b, c] = I (Rts (a  $\otimes$  b  $\otimes$  c))  $\circ$  Map a[a, b, c]
  using a b c
    comp-identity-simulation
    [of Rts ((a  $\otimes$  b)  $\otimes$  c) Rts (a  $\otimes$  b  $\otimes$  c) Map a[a, b, c]]
  by auto
also have ... = PoAxP.map  $\circ$  AxUoU.map  $\circ$  Map a[a, b, c]
  using a b c * inverse-simulations.inv' by fastforce
also have ... = PoAxP.map  $\circ$  (AxUoU.map  $\circ$  Map a[a, b, c])
  using fun.map-comp by fastforce
also have ... = PoAxP.map  $\circ$  (ASSOC.map  $\circ$  UxCoU.map)
  using Unpack-o-Map-assoc by simp
also have ... = PoAxP.map  $\circ$  ASSOC.map  $\circ$  UxCoU.map
  using fun.map-comp by fastforce
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

end

context *rtscat*

begin

proposition *Map-assoc*:

assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b* **and** *ide c*

shows *Map* a[*a*, *b*, *c*] =

$$\begin{aligned}
& \textit{Pack} \ a \ (b \otimes c) \circ \\
& \textit{product-simulation.map} \ (Rts \ a) \ (\textit{product-rts.resid} \ (Rts \ b) \ (Rts \ c)) \\
& \ (I \ (Rts \ a)) \ (\textit{Pack} \ b \ c) \circ \\
& \ \textit{ASSOC.map} \ (Rts \ a) \ (Rts \ b) \ (Rts \ c) \circ \\
& \ (\textit{product-simulation.map} \\
& \ \ (Rts \ (a \otimes b)) \ (Rts \ c) \ (\textit{Unpack} \ a \ b) \ (I \ (Rts \ c)) \circ \\
& \ \textit{Unpack} \ (a \otimes b) \ c)
\end{aligned}$$

proof –

interpret *A*: *Association arr-type a b c*

using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales auto*

show *?thesis*

using *assms A.Map-assoc* **by** *force*

qed

end

4.4.6 Compositors

Here we expose the relationship between the compositors internal to **RTS** (inherited from *closed-monoidal-category*) and those external to it (given by horizontal composition of simulations).

context *rtscat*

```

begin

  sublocale CMC: cartesian-monoidal-category comp Prod  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 
    using extends-to-cartesian-monoidal-categoryECC by blast

  sublocale ECMC: elementary-closed-monoidal-category comp Prod  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 
    exp eval curry
    using extends-to-elementary-closed-monoidal-categoryECCC by blast

end

locale Composition =
  rtscat arr-type
for arr-type :: 'A itself
and a :: 'A rtscat.arr
and b :: 'A rtscat.arr
and c :: 'A rtscat.arr +
assumes a: ide a
and b: ide b
and c: ide c
begin

  abbreviation EXP
  where EXP  $\equiv$   $\lambda a b. Rts (exp a b)$ 

  interpretation A: extensional-rts  $\langle Rts a \rangle$ 
    using a by simp
  interpretation B: extensional-rts  $\langle Rts b \rangle$ 
    using b by simp
  interpretation C: extensional-rts  $\langle Rts c \rangle$ 
    using c by simp
  interpretation AxB: product-rts  $\langle Rts a \rangle \langle Rts b \rangle ..$ 
  interpretation BxC: product-rts  $\langle Rts b \rangle \langle Rts c \rangle ..$ 
  interpretation AB: exponential-rts  $\langle Rts a \rangle \langle Rts b \rangle ..$ 
  interpretation BC: exponential-rts  $\langle Rts b \rangle \langle Rts c \rangle ..$ 
  interpretation AC: exponential-rts  $\langle Rts a \rangle \langle Rts c \rangle ..$ 
  interpretation ABxA: product-rts AB.resid  $\langle Rts a \rangle ..$ 
  interpretation BCxAB: product-rts BC.resid AB.resid ..
  interpretation BCxAB: extensional-rts BCxAB.resid
    using AB.is-extensional-rts BC.is-extensional-rts
      BCxAB.preserves-extensional-rts
    by fastforce
  interpretation BCxAB-x-A: product-rts BCxAB.resid  $\langle Rts a \rangle ..$ 

  interpretation ab: extensional-rts  $\langle EXP a b \rangle$ 
    using a b ide-exp-ax by simp
  interpretation bc: extensional-rts  $\langle EXP b c \rangle$ 
    using b c ide-exp-ax by simp
  interpretation bcrab: product-of-extensional-rts  $\langle EXP b c \rangle \langle EXP a b \rangle ..$ 

```

interpretation $abxA$: *product-rts* $\langle EXP\ a\ b \rangle \langle Rts\ a \rangle ..$
interpretation $bcxB$: *product-rts* $\langle EXP\ b\ c \rangle \langle Rts\ b \rangle ..$
interpretation $bc-x-abxA$: *product-rts* $\langle EXP\ b\ c \rangle\ abxA.resid ..$
interpretation $bcxab-x-A$: *product-rts* $bcxab.resid\ \langle Rts\ a \rangle ..$

interpretation $ASSOC$: $ASSOC\ BC.resid\ AB.resid\ \langle Rts\ a \rangle ..$
interpretation $COMP$: $COMP\ \langle Rts\ a \rangle\ \langle Rts\ b \rangle\ \langle Rts\ c \rangle ..$

interpretation $Assoc-abc$: *Association arr-type* $a\ b\ c$
using $a\ b\ c$ **by** *unfold-locales*
interpretation $Assoc-bc-ab-a$: *Association arr-type* $\langle exp\ b\ c \rangle\ \langle exp\ a\ b \rangle\ a$
using $a\ b\ c$ *ide-exp-ax* **by** *unfold-locales*

interpretation $Func-Unfunc-ab$: *inverse-simulations* $AB.resid\ \langle EXP\ a\ b \rangle$
 $\langle Func\ a\ b \rangle\ \langle Unfunc\ a\ b \rangle$
using $a\ b$ *inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc* [of $a\ b$] **by** *blast*
interpretation $Func-Unfunc-bc$: *inverse-simulations* $BC.resid\ \langle EXP\ b\ c \rangle$
 $\langle Func\ b\ c \rangle\ \langle Unfunc\ b\ c \rangle$
using $a\ b\ c$ *inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc* [of $b\ c$] **by** *blast*
interpretation $Func-bcxFunc-ab$: *product-simulation*
 $\langle EXP\ b\ c \rangle\ \langle EXP\ a\ b \rangle\ BC.resid\ AB.resid$
 $\langle Func\ b\ c \rangle\ \langle Func\ a\ b \rangle ..$

The following shows that the simulation $Map\ (Comp\ a\ b\ c)$ underlying an internal compositor $Comp\ a\ b\ c$ is transformed into a corresponding external compositor $COMP.map$ by invertible simulations that “unpack” product and exponential objects in RTS_S into corresponding RTS products and exponentials.

lemma $Func-o-Map-Comp$:
shows $Func\ a\ c\ o\ Map\ (ECMC.Comp\ a\ b\ c) =$
 $COMP.map\ o\ (Func-bcxFunc-ab.map\ o\ Unpack\ (exp\ b\ c)\ (exp\ a\ b))$
proof –

interpret A : *identity-simulation* $\langle Rts\ a \rangle ..$
interpret B : *identity-simulation* $\langle Rts\ b \rangle ..$
interpret BC : *identity-simulation* $BC.resid ..$
interpret $ABxA$: *identity-simulation* $ABxA.resid ..$
interpret $BCxB$: *product-rts* $BC.resid\ \langle Rts\ b \rangle ..$
interpret $abxA$: *extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a) \rangle$
using $a\ b$ *ide-exp-ax* **by** *simp*
interpret $eval-ab$: *simulation* $\langle Rts\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a) \rangle\ \langle Rts\ b \rangle\ \langle Map\ (eval\ a\ b) \rangle$
using $a\ b$ *ide-exp-ax arrD eval-in-hom-ax* [of $a\ b$] **by** *force*
interpret bc : *identity-simulation* $\langle EXP\ b\ c \rangle ..$
interpret $bcxeval$: *product-simulation*
 $\langle EXP\ b\ c \rangle\ \langle Rts\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a) \rangle\ \langle EXP\ b\ c \rangle\ \langle Rts\ b \rangle$
 $bc.map\ \langle Map\ (eval\ a\ b) \rangle ..$
interpret $bcxab'$: *extensional-rts* $\langle Rts\ (exp\ b\ c\ \otimes\ exp\ a\ b) \rangle$
using $a\ b\ c$ *ide-exp-ax* **by** *simp*
interpret $bcxab'-x-A$: *product-rts* $\langle Rts\ (exp\ b\ c\ \otimes\ exp\ a\ b) \rangle\ \langle Rts\ a \rangle ..$
interpret $bcxab$: *identity-simulation* $bcxab.resid ..$

```

interpret bcxab-x-A: product-simulation bcxab.resid ⟨Rts a⟩
      bcxab.resid ⟨Rts a⟩ bcxab.map A.map ..
interpret bcxab: extensional-rts ⟨Rts (exp b c ⊗ exp a b)⟩
  using a b c ide-exp-ax by simp
interpret PU-abxA: inverse-simulations ⟨Rts (exp a b ⊗ a)⟩ abxA.resid
      ⟨Pack (exp a b) a⟩ ⟨Unpack (exp a b) a⟩
  using a b c inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of exp a b a] by blast
interpret PU-bcxab-xa: inverse-simulations
      ⟨Rts ((exp b c ⊗ exp a b) ⊗ a)⟩ bcxab'-x-A.resid
      ⟨Pack (exp b c ⊗ exp a b) a⟩
      ⟨Unpack (exp b c ⊗ exp a b) a⟩
  using a b c ide-exp-ax inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by simp
interpret PU-bcxab: inverse-simulations ⟨Rts (exp b c ⊗ exp a b)⟩ bcxab.resid
      ⟨Pack (exp b c) (exp a b)⟩
      ⟨Unpack (exp b c) (exp a b)⟩
  using a b c inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of exp b c exp a b] by blast
interpret FU-ac: inverse-simulations AC.resid ⟨EXP a c⟩
      ⟨Func a c⟩ ⟨Unfunc a c⟩
  using a c inverse-simulations-Func-Unfunc [of a c] by blast
interpret Func-bcxB: product-simulation
      ⟨EXP b c⟩ ⟨Rts b⟩ BC.resid ⟨Rts b⟩
      ⟨Func b c⟩ ⟨I (Rts b)⟩

..
interpret UnpackxA: product-simulation
      ⟨Rts (exp b c ⊗ exp a b)⟩ ⟨Rts a⟩ bcxab.resid ⟨Rts a⟩
      ⟨Unpack (exp b c) (exp a b)⟩ A.map ..
interpret Func-abxA: product-simulation
      ⟨EXP a b⟩ ⟨Rts a⟩ AB.resid ⟨Rts a⟩
      ⟨Func a b⟩ ⟨I (Rts a)⟩

..
interpret Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A: product-simulation
      bcxab.resid ⟨Rts a⟩ BCxAB.resid ⟨Rts a⟩
      Func-bcxFunc-ab.map A.map ..
interpret Func-bc-x-Func-abxA: product-simulation
      ⟨EXP b c⟩ abxA.resid BC.resid ABxA.resid
      ⟨Func b c⟩ Func-abxA.map ..
interpret E-AB: RTSConstructions.evaluation-map ⟨Rts a⟩ ⟨Rts b⟩ ..
interpret E-BC: RTSConstructions.evaluation-map ⟨Rts b⟩ ⟨Rts c⟩ ..
interpret BCxE-AB: product-simulation BC.resid ABxA.resid
      BC.resid ⟨Rts b⟩ BC.map E-AB.map ..
interpret E-ABoFunc-abxA: composite-simulation
      abxA.resid ABxA.resid ⟨Rts b⟩
      Func-abxA.map E-AB.map ..
interpret bc-x-E-ABoFunc-abxA: product-simulation
      ⟨EXP b c⟩ abxA.resid ⟨EXP b c⟩ ⟨Rts b⟩
      bc.map ⟨E-AB.map ∘ Func-abxA.map⟩ ..

have bc-map: bc.map ∘ bc.map = bc.map
  by auto

```


have 1: «eval b c · (exp b c ⊗ eval a b) · a[exp b c, exp a b, a] :
 (exp b c ⊗ exp a b) ⊗ a → c»
using a b c eval-in-hom-ax [of b c] eval-in-hom-ax [of a b] ide-exp-ax
by fastforce
have Func a c ∘ Map (ECMC.Comp a b c) =
 Func a c ∘ Map (curry (exp b c ⊗ exp a b) a c
 (eval b c · (exp b c ⊗ eval a b) ·
 a[exp b c, exp a b, a]))
unfolding ECMC.Comp-def
using Assoc-bc-ab-a.a Assoc-bc-ab-a.b a assoc-agreement **by** auto
also have ... = Func a c ∘
 Unfunc a c ∘
 COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp b c ⊗ exp a b))
 (Map (eval b c · (exp b c ⊗ eval a b) ·
 a[exp b c, exp a b, a]) ∘
 Pack (exp b c ⊗ exp a b) a)

proof –
have ide (exp b c ⊗ exp a b)
using a b c ide-exp-ax **by** blast
moreover have «eval b c · (exp b c ⊗ eval a b) ·
 a[exp b c, exp a b, a] :
 (exp b c ⊗ exp a b) ⊗ a → c»
using a b c eval-in-hom-ax eval-in-hom-ax ide-exp-ax
by fastforce
ultimately show ?thesis
using a Map-curry fun.map-comp **by** auto

qed
also have ... = I AC.resid ∘
 COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp b c ⊗ exp a b))
 (Map (eval b c · (exp b c ⊗ eval a b) ·
 a[exp b c, exp a b, a]) ∘
 Pack (exp b c ⊗ exp a b) a)

using FU-ac.inv' **by** simp
also have ... = I AC.resid ∘
 COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp b c ⊗ exp a b))
 (Map (eval b c) ∘
 Map (exp b c ⊗ eval a b) ∘
 Map a[exp b c, exp a b, a] ∘
 Pack (exp b c ⊗ exp a b) a)

proof –
have Map (eval b c · (exp b c ⊗ eval a b) · a[exp b c, exp a b, a]) =
 Map (eval b c) ∘ Map (exp b c ⊗ eval a b) ∘ Map a[exp b c, exp a b, a]
using 1 Map-comp **by** fastforce
thus ?thesis **by** auto

qed
also have ... = COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp b c ⊗ exp a b))
 (E-BC.map ∘ Func-bcxB.map ∘ Unpack (exp b c) b ∘
 Map (exp b c ⊗ eval a b) ∘
 Map a[exp b c, exp a b, a]) ∘

$Pack (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a)$

proof –

have *simulation* $bcxab'-x-A.resid\ (Rts\ c)$
 $(Map\ (eval\ b\ c) \circ$
 $Map\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes eval\ a\ b) \circ$
 $Map\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a] \circ$
 $Pack\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a)$

proof (*intro simulation-comp*)

show *simulation* $(Rts\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes b))\ (Rts\ c)\ (Map\ (eval\ b\ c))$
using $a\ b\ c\ eval-in-hom-ax\ [of\ b\ c]\ arrD(3)$ **by** *force*

show *simulation* $(Rts\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b \otimes a))\ (Rts\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes b))$
 $(Map\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes eval\ a\ b))$

proof –

have $\ll exp\ b\ c \otimes eval\ a\ b : exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b \otimes a \rightarrow exp\ b\ c \otimes b \gg$
using $a\ b\ c\ Assoc-bc-ab-a.a\ eval-in-hom-ax\ eval-in-hom-ax$
by *auto*

thus *?thesis*
using $arrD(3)$ **by** *fastforce*

qed

show *simulation* $bcxab'-x-A.resid\ (Rts\ ((exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b) \otimes a))$
 $(Pack\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a)$
using $a\ b\ c\ PU-bcxab-xa.F.simulation-axioms$ **by** *blast*

show *simulation* $(Rts\ ((exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b) \otimes a))$
 $(Rts\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b \otimes a))$
 $(Map\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a])$
using $a\ b\ c\ ide-exp-ax\ arrD(3)\ [of\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a]]$ **by** *auto*

qed

moreover **have** *Currying* $(Rts\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))\ (Rts\ a)\ (Rts\ c) \dots$
ultimately **have** *simulation* $(Rts\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))\ AC.resid$
 $(COMP.Currying.E.coext\ (Rts\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)))$
 $(Map\ (eval\ b\ c) \circ$
 $Map\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes eval\ a\ b) \circ$
 $Map\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a] \circ$
 $Pack\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a)$
using *Currying.Curry-preserves-simulations* **by** *fastforce*

thus *?thesis*
using $b\ c\ Assoc-abc.Map-assoc\ Map-eval\ comp-identity-simulation$
by *auto*

qed

also **have** $\dots = COMP.Currying.E.coext\ (Rts\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$
 $(E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ Unpack\ (exp\ b\ c)\ b \circ$
 $(Pack\ (exp\ b\ c)\ b \circ$
 $bcxeval.map \circ$
 $Unpack\ (dom\ (exp\ b\ c))\ (dom\ (eval\ a\ b))) \circ$
 $Map\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a] \circ$
 $Pack\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a)$

proof –

have $bcxeval.map = product-simulation.map$
 $(Dom\ (exp\ b\ c))\ (Dom\ (eval\ a\ b))$

$(Map (exp\ b\ c)) (Map (eval\ a\ b))$

proof –

have $Dom (eval\ a\ b) = Rts (exp\ a\ b \otimes a)$
 using $a\ b\ eval\ in\ hom\ ax$ **by** $fastforce$
 thus $?thesis$
 using $a\ b\ c\ Map\ ide\ ide\ exp\ ax$ **by** $auto$

qed

hence $Map (exp\ b\ c \otimes eval\ a\ b) =$
 $Pack (exp\ b\ c)\ b \circ$
 $bcxeval.map \circ$
 $Unpack (dom (exp\ b\ c)) (dom (eval\ a\ b))$
 using $a\ b\ c\ Map\ prod\ ide\ exp\ ax\ eval\ in\ hom\ ax$ **by** $fastforce$
 thus $?thesis$ **by** $simp$

qed

also have $\dots = COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$
 $(E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ Unpack (exp\ b\ c)\ b \circ$
 $(Pack (exp\ b\ c)\ b \circ$
 $bcxeval.map \circ$
 $Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b \otimes a)) \circ$
 $Map\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a] \circ$
 $Pack (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a)$
 using $a\ b\ c\ ide\ exp\ ax\ eval\ in\ hom\ ax$ **by** $auto$

also have $\dots = COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$
 $(E-BC.map \circ (Func-bcxB.map \circ$
 $(Unpack (exp\ b\ c)\ b \circ Pack (exp\ b\ c)\ b)) \circ$
 $bcxeval.map \circ$
 $Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b \otimes a) \circ$
 $Map\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a] \circ$
 $Pack (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a)$
 using $fun.map\ comp$ **by** $metis$

also have $\dots = COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$
 $(E-BC.map \circ (Func-bcxB.map \circ I\ bcxB.resid) \circ$
 $bcxeval.map \circ$
 $Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b \otimes a) \circ$
 $Map\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a] \circ$
 $Pack (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a)$
 using $b\ c\ Unpack\ o\ Pack\ ide\ exp\ ax$ **by** $auto$

also have $\dots = COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$
 $(E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ$
 $bcxeval.map \circ$
 $Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b \otimes a) \circ$
 $Map\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a] \circ$
 $Pack (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a)$
 using $comp\ simulation\ identity$ [$of\ bcxB.resid\ BCxB.resid\ Func-bcxB.map$]
 $Func-bcxB.simulation\ axioms$
 by $auto$

also have $\dots = COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$
 $(E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ$
 $product\ simulation.map (EXP\ b\ c) (Rts (exp\ a\ b \otimes a))$

$bc.map$
 $(E-AB.map \circ Func-abxA.map \circ Unpack (exp\ a\ b)\ a) \circ$
 $Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b \otimes a) \circ$
 $Map\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a] \circ$
 $Pack (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a$

using $a\ b\ c$ *Map-eval eval-in-hom-ax* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$
 $(E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ$
 $((product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP\ b\ c) ABxA.resid\ bc.map\ E-AB.map \circ$
 $product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP\ b\ c) abxA.resid\ bc.map\ Func-abxA.map) \circ$
 $product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP\ b\ c) (Rts (exp\ a\ b \otimes a))$
 $bc.map (Unpack (exp\ a\ b)\ a) \circ$
 $Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b \otimes a) \circ$
 $Map\ a[exp\ b\ c, exp\ a\ b, a] \circ$
 $Pack (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ a)$

proof –

have $product-simulation.map (EXP\ b\ c) (Rts (exp\ a\ b \otimes a))$
 $bc.map (E-AB.map \circ Func-abxA.map \circ Unpack (exp\ a\ b)\ a) =$
 $product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP\ b\ c) abxA.resid\ bc.map (E-AB.map \circ Func-abxA.map) \circ$
 $product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP\ b\ c) (Rts (exp\ a\ b \otimes a))\ bc.map (Unpack (exp\ a\ b)\ a)$

using $bc.map\ Func-abxA.simulation-axioms\ E-AB.simulation-axioms$
 $bc.simulation-axioms\ E-AB \circ Func-abxA.simulation-axioms$
 $PU-abxA.G.simulation-axioms$
 $simulation-interchange$
 $[of\ EXP\ b\ c\ EXP\ b\ c\ bc.map$
 $Rts (exp\ a\ b \otimes a)\ abxA.resid\ Unpack (exp\ a\ b)\ a$
 $EXP\ b\ c\ bc.map\ Rts\ b\ E-AB.map \circ Func-abxA.map]$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP\ b\ c) ABxA.resid\ bc.map\ E-AB.map \circ$
 $product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP\ b\ c) abxA.resid\ bc.map\ Func-abxA.map \circ$
 $product-simulation.map (EXP\ b\ c) (Rts (exp\ a\ b \otimes a))$
 $bc.map (Unpack (exp\ a\ b)\ a)$

using $a\ b\ c\ bc.map\ Func-abxA.simulation-axioms\ E-AB.simulation-axioms$
 $bc.simulation-axioms$
 $simulation-interchange$
 $[of\ EXP\ b\ c\ EXP\ b\ c\ bc.map$
 $abxA.resid\ ABxA.resid\ Func-abxA.map$
 $EXP\ b\ c\ bc.map\ Rts\ b\ E-AB.map]$

by *simp*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

also have $\dots = COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$

$(E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ$
 $(bc-x-E-ABoFunc-abxA.map \circ$
 $product-simulation.map (EXP b c) (Rts (exp a b \otimes a))$
 $bc.map (Unpack (exp a b) a)) \circ$
 $Unpack (exp b c) (exp a b \otimes a) \circ$
 $Map a[exp b c, exp a b, a] \circ$
 $Pack (exp b c \otimes exp a b) a)$

using $a b c E-AB.simulation-axioms Func-abxA.simulation-axioms$
 $bc.simulation-axioms bc-map$
 $simulation-interchange$
 $[of EXP b c EXP b c bc.map$
 $abxA.resid ABxA.resid Func-abxA.map$
 $EXP b c bc.map Rts b E-AB.map]$

by simp

also have $... = COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp b c \otimes exp a b))$
 $((E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ$
 $product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP b c) abxA.resid$
 $bc.map (E-AB.map \circ Func-abxA.map)) \circ$
 $(product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP b c) (Rts (exp a b \otimes a))$
 $bc.map (Unpack (exp a b) a) \circ$
 $Unpack (exp b c) (exp a b \otimes a) \circ$
 $Map a[exp b c, exp a b, a] \circ$
 $Pack (exp b c \otimes exp a b) a))$

proof –

have $E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ$
 $(product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP b c) abxA.resid bc.map (E-AB.map \circ Func-abxA.map) \circ$
 $product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP b c) (Rts (exp a b \otimes a))$
 $bc.map (Unpack (exp a b) a) \circ$
 $Unpack (exp b c) (exp a b \otimes a) \circ$
 $Map a[exp b c, exp a b, a] \circ$
 $Pack (exp b c \otimes exp a b) a =$
 $(E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ$
 $product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP b c) abxA.resid bc.map (E-AB.map \circ Func-abxA.map)) \circ$
 $(product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP b c) (Rts (exp a b \otimes a)) bc.map (Unpack (exp a b) a) \circ$
 $Unpack (exp b c) (exp a b \otimes a) \circ$
 $Map a[exp b c, exp a b, a] \circ$
 $Pack (exp b c \otimes exp a b) a)$

using $fun.map-comp$ **by auto**

thus $?thesis$ **by simp**

qed

also have $... = COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp b c \otimes exp a b))$
 $((E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ bc-x-E-ABoFunc-abxA.map) \circ$
 $(Assoc-bc-ab-a.ASSOC.map \circ UnpackxA.map))$

proof –

have *product-simulation.map*
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ (Rts\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))\ bc.map\ (Unpack\ (exp\ a\ b)\ a)\ \circ$
 $Unpack\ (exp\ b\ c)\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a)\ \circ$
 $Map\ a[exp\ b\ c,\ exp\ a\ b,\ a]\ \circ$
 $Pack\ (exp\ b\ c\ \otimes\ exp\ a\ b)\ a\ =$

product-simulation.map
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ (Rts\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))\ bc.map\ (Unpack\ (exp\ a\ b)\ a)\ \circ$
 $Unpack\ (exp\ b\ c)\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a)\ \circ$
 $(Pack\ (exp\ b\ c)\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))\ \circ$

product-simulation.map
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ abxA.resid\ bc.map\ (Pack\ (exp\ a\ b)\ a)\ \circ$
 $Assoc\ bc\ ab\ a.ASSOC.map\ \circ$
 $(UnpackxA.map\ \circ$
 $Unpack\ (exp\ b\ c\ \otimes\ exp\ a\ b)\ a))\ \circ$
 $Pack\ (exp\ b\ c\ \otimes\ exp\ a\ b)\ a$

using *Assoc-bc-ab-a.Map-assoc* **by** *simp*

also have ... =

product-simulation.map
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ (Rts\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))$
 $bc.map\ (Unpack\ (exp\ a\ b)\ a)\ \circ$
 $(Unpack\ (exp\ b\ c)\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))\ \circ\ Pack\ (exp\ b\ c)\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))\ \circ$

product-simulation.map
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ abxA.resid\ bc.map\ (Pack\ (exp\ a\ b)\ a)\ \circ$
 $Assoc\ bc\ ab\ a.ASSOC.map\ \circ$
 $(UnpackxA.map\ \circ$
 $(Unpack\ (exp\ b\ c\ \otimes\ exp\ a\ b)\ a)\ \circ$
 $Pack\ (exp\ b\ c\ \otimes\ exp\ a\ b)\ a))$

using *fun.map-comp* **by** *auto*

also have ... = $I\ bc\ x\ abxA.resid\ \circ$
 $Assoc\ bc\ ab\ a.ASSOC.map\ \circ$
 $(UnpackxA.map\ \circ\ I\ bc\ ab'\ x\ A.resid)$

proof –

have *product-simulation.map*
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ (Rts\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))$
 $bc.map\ (Unpack\ (exp\ a\ b)\ a)\ \circ$
 $(Unpack\ (exp\ b\ c)\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))\ \circ$
 $Pack\ (exp\ b\ c)\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))\ \circ$

product-simulation.map
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ abxA.resid\ bc.map\ (Pack\ (exp\ a\ b)\ a)\ =$

product-simulation.map
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ (Rts\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))\ bc.map\ (Unpack\ (exp\ a\ b)\ a)\ \circ$
 $(I\ bc\ xeval.A1xA0.resid)\ \circ$

product-simulation.map
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ abxA.resid\ bc.map\ (Pack\ (exp\ a\ b)\ a)$

using $a\ b\ c\ Unpack\ o\ Pack$ [of $exp\ b\ c\ exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a$] **by** *force*

also have ... = *product-simulation.map*
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ (Rts\ (exp\ a\ b\ \otimes\ a))$
 $bc.map\ (Unpack\ (exp\ a\ b)\ a)\ \circ$

```

      product-simulation.map (EXP b c) abxA.resid
      bc.map (Pack (exp a b) a)
using comp-simulation-identity
      [of bcxeval.A1xA0.resid bc-x-abxA.resid
      product-simulation.map (EXP b c) (Rts (exp a b ⊗ a))
      bc.map (Unpack (exp a b) a)]
      Assoc-bc-ab-a.PU-Axbc.G.simulation-axioms
by fastforce
also have ... =
      product-simulation.map
      (EXP b c) abxA.resid (bc.map ∘ bc.map)
      (Unpack (exp a b) a ∘ Pack (exp a b) a)
using simulation-interchange
      PU-abxA.F.simulation-axioms PU-abxA.G.simulation-axioms
      bc.simulation-axioms
by fastforce
also have ... = product-simulation.map (EXP b c) abxA.resid
      bc.map (I abxA.resid)
      using a b bc-map Unpack-o-Pack ide-exp-ax by simp
also have ... = I bc-x-abxA.resid
      using product-identity-simulation abxA.rts-axioms bc.rts-axioms
      by fastforce
finally have product-simulation.map
      (EXP b c) (Rts (exp a b ⊗ a))
      bc.map (Unpack (exp a b) a) ∘
      (Unpack (exp b c) (exp a b ⊗ a) ∘
      Pack (exp b c) (exp a b ⊗ a)) ∘
      product-simulation.map
      (EXP b c) abxA.resid bc.map (Pack (exp a b) a) =
      I bc-x-abxA.resid
      by blast
moreover have Unpack (exp b c ⊗ exp a b) a ∘
      Pack (exp b c ⊗ exp a b) a =
      I bcxab'-x-A.resid
      using a b c Unpack-o-Pack [of exp b c ⊗ exp a b a] by blast
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = Assoc-bc-ab-a.ASSOC.map ∘ UnpackxA.map
using comp-identity-simulation [of - bc-x-abxA.resid Assoc-bc-ab-a.ASSOC.map]
      comp-simulation-identity [of bcxab'-x-A.resid - UnpackxA.map]
      Assoc-bc-ab-a.ASSOC.simulation-axioms UnpackxA.simulation-axioms
      by simp
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... =
      COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp b c ⊗ exp a b))
      (E-BC.map ∘ BCxE-AB.map ∘
      (Func-bc-x-Func-abxA.map ∘ Assoc-bc-ab-a.ASSOC.map ∘
      UnpackxA.map))

```

proof –
have $E\text{-}BC.\text{map} \circ \text{Func}\text{-}bcxB.\text{map} \circ bc\text{-}x\text{-}E\text{-}AB \circ \text{Func}\text{-}abxA.\text{map} =$
 $E\text{-}BC.\text{map} \circ \text{Func}\text{-}bcxB.\text{map} \circ$
 $(\text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map} (EXP\ b\ c)\ ABxA.\text{resid}\ bc.\text{map}\ E\text{-}AB.\text{map} \circ$
 $\text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map} (EXP\ b\ c)\ abxA.\text{resid}\ bc.\text{map}\ \text{Func}\text{-}abxA.\text{map})$
using $bc.\text{map}\ \text{Func}\text{-}abxA.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}\ E\text{-}AB.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}$
 $bc.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}$
 $\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{interchange}$
 $[of\ EXP\ b\ c\ EXP\ b\ c\ bc.\text{map}\ abxA.\text{resid}\ ABxA.\text{resid}\ \text{Func}\text{-}abxA.\text{map}$
 $EXP\ b\ c\ bc.\text{map}\ Rts\ b\ E\text{-}AB.\text{map}]$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = E\text{-}BC.\text{map} \circ$
 $(\text{Func}\text{-}bcxB.\text{map} \circ$
 $\text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map} (EXP\ b\ c)\ ABxA.\text{resid}$
 $bc.\text{map}\ E\text{-}AB.\text{map}) \circ$
 $\text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map}$
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ abxA.\text{resid}\ bc.\text{map}\ \text{Func}\text{-}abxA.\text{map}$
using *fun.map-comp* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = E\text{-}BC.\text{map} \circ$
 $(\text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map}$
 $BC.\text{resid}\ ABxA.\text{resid}\ BC.\text{map}\ E\text{-}AB.\text{map} \circ$
 $\text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map}$
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ ABxA.\text{resid}\ (\text{Func}\ b\ c)\ ABxA.\text{map}) \circ$
 $\text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map}$
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ abxA.\text{resid}\ bc.\text{map}\ \text{Func}\text{-}abxA.\text{map}$

proof –
have $\text{Func}\text{-}bcxB.\text{map} \circ$
 $\text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map} (EXP\ b\ c)\ ABxA.\text{resid}$
 $bc.\text{map}\ E\text{-}AB.\text{map} =$
 $\text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map} (EXP\ b\ c)\ ABxA.\text{resid}\ (\text{Func}\ b\ c)\ E\text{-}AB.\text{map}$
using *simulation-interchange*
 $[of\ EXP\ b\ c\ EXP\ b\ c\ bc.\text{map}\ ABxA.\text{resid}\ Rts\ b\ E\text{-}AB.\text{map}$
 $BC.\text{resid}\ \text{Func}\ b\ c\ Rts\ b\ B.\text{map}]$
 $E\text{-}AB.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}\ \text{Func}\text{-}\text{Unfunc}\text{-}bc.\text{G}.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}$
 $B.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}\ bc.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}$
 $\text{comp}\text{-}\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{identity}\ [of\ EXP\ b\ c\ BC.\text{resid}\ \text{Func}\ b\ c]$
 $\text{comp}\text{-}\text{identity}\text{-}\text{simulation}\ [of\ ABxA.\text{resid}\ Rts\ b\ E\text{-}AB.\text{map}]$
 $\text{Func}\text{-}\text{Unfunc}\text{-}bc.\text{F}.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}$
by *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map}$
 $BC.\text{resid}\ ABxA.\text{resid}\ BC.\text{map}\ E\text{-}AB.\text{map} \circ$
 $\text{product}\text{-}\text{simulation}.\text{map}$
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ ABxA.\text{resid}\ (\text{Func}\ b\ c)\ ABxA.\text{map}$
using $ABxA.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}\ BC.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}$
 $E\text{-}AB.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}\ \text{Func}\text{-}\text{Unfunc}\text{-}bc.\text{G}.\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{axioms}$
 $\text{comp}\text{-}\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{identity}\ [of\ ABxA.\text{resid}\ Rts\ b\ E\text{-}AB.\text{map}]$
 $\text{comp}\text{-}\text{identity}\text{-}\text{simulation}\ [of\ EXP\ b\ c\ BC.\text{resid}\ \text{Func}\ b\ c]$
 $\text{simulation}\text{-}\text{interchange}$
 $[of\ EXP\ b\ c\ BC.\text{resid}\ \text{Func}\ b\ c]$

$ABxA.resid\ ABxA.resid\ ABxA.map$
 $BC.resid\ BC.map\ Rts\ b\ E-AB.map]$
Func-Unfunc-bc.F.simulation-axioms
by auto
finally have $Func-bcxB.map \circ$
 $product-simulation.map\ (EXP\ b\ c)\ ABxA.resid$
 $bc.map\ E-AB.map =$
 $BCxE-AB.map \circ$
 $product-simulation.map\ (EXP\ b\ c)\ ABxA.resid$
 $(Func\ b\ c)\ ABxA.map$
by blast
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have $\dots = E-BC.map \circ$
 $(product-simulation.map\ BC.resid\ ABxA.resid$
 $BC.map\ E-AB.map) \circ$
 $(product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ ABxA.resid\ (Func\ b\ c)\ ABxA.map \circ$
 $product-simulation.map$
 $(EXP\ b\ c)\ abxA.resid\ bc.map\ Func-abxA.map)$
using fun.map-comp by auto
also have $\dots = E-BC.map \circ BCxE-AB.map \circ Func-bc-x-Func-abxA.map$
using simulation-interchange
 $[of\ EXP\ b\ c\ EXP\ b\ c\ bc.map\ abxA.resid\ ABxA.resid\ Func-abxA.map$
 $BC.resid\ Func\ b\ c\ ABxA.resid\ ABxA.map]$
 $bc.simulation-axioms\ Func-abxA.simulation-axioms\ ABxA.simulation-axioms$
 $Func-Unfunc-bc.G.simulation-axioms$
 $comp-simulation-identity\ [of\ -\ -\ Func\ b\ c]$
 $comp-identity-simulation\ [of\ -\ ABxA.resid\ Func-abxA.map]$
 $Func-Unfunc-bc.F.simulation-axioms$
by auto
finally have $E-BC.map \circ Func-bcxB.map \circ bc-x-E-AB \circ Func-abxA.map =$
 $E-BC.map \circ BCxE-AB.map \circ Func-bc-x-Func-abxA.map$
by blast
thus ?thesis
using fun.map-comp by metis
qed
also have $\dots = COMP.Currying.E.coext\ (Rts\ (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$
 $(E-BC.map \circ BCxE-AB.map \circ ASSOC.map \circ$
 $(Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map \circ UnpackxA.map))$
proof –
have 1: $Func-bc-x-Func-abxA.map \circ Assoc-bc-ab-a.ASSOC.map =$
 $ASSOC.map \circ Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map$
proof –
have $Func-bc-x-Func-abxA.map \circ Assoc-bc-ab-a.ASSOC.map =$
 $Func-bc-x-Func-abxA.map \circ$
 $\langle\langle bcxab.P_1 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1,$
 $AxB.tuple\ (bcxab.P_0 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1)\ bcxab-x-A.P_0 \rangle\rangle$
unfolding Assoc-bc-ab-a.ASSOC.map-def by blast

also have ... = $\langle\langle \text{Func } b \ c \circ (bcxab.P_1 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1),$
 $\text{Func-abxA.map} \circ$
 $(AxB.tuple (bcxab.P_0 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1) \ bcxab-x-A.P_0) \rangle\rangle$

using *comp-product-simulation-tuple*
 $[of \ EXP \ b \ c \ BC.resid \ Func \ b \ c \ abxA.resid \ ABxA.resid$
 $\text{Func-abxA.map } bcxab-x-A.resid \ bcxab.P_1 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1$
 $AxB.tuple (bcxab.P_0 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1) \ bcxab-x-A.P_0]$
 $\text{Func-Unfunc-bc.F.simulation-axioms } \text{Func-abxA.simulation-axioms}$
 $bcxab-x-A.P_1.simulation-axioms \ bcxab-x-A.P_0.simulation-axioms$
 $bcxab.P_1.simulation-axioms \ bcxab.P_0.simulation-axioms$
 $\text{simulation-comp } \text{simulation-tuple}$

by *auto*

also have ... = $\langle\langle \text{Func } b \ c \circ (bcxab.P_1 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1),$
 $\langle\langle \text{Func } a \ b \circ (bcxab.P_0 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1),$
 $bcxab-x-A.P_0 \rangle\rangle \rangle$

using *comp-product-simulation-tuple*
 $[of \ EXP \ a \ b \ AB.resid \ Func \ a \ b \ Rts \ a \ Rts \ a \ A.map$
 $bcxab-x-A.resid \ bcxab.P_0 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1 \ bcxab-x-A.P_0]$
 $\text{comp-identity-simulation } [of \ bcxab-x-A.resid \ Rts \ a \ bcxab-x-A.P_0]$
 $A.simulation-axioms \ \text{Func-Unfunc-ab.F.simulation-axioms}$
 $bcxab.P_0.simulation-axioms \ bcxab-x-A.P_0.simulation-axioms$
 $bcxab-x-A.P_1.simulation-axioms$
 $\text{simulation-comp } [of \ - \ - \ bcxab-x-A.P_1 \ - \ bcxab.P_0]$

by *simp*

also have ... = $\langle\langle BCxAB.P_1 \circ BCxAB-x-A.P_1 \circ \text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map},$
 $\langle\langle BCxAB.P_0 \circ BCxAB-x-A.P_1 \circ \text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map},$
 $BCxAB-x-A.P_0 \circ \text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map} \rangle\rangle \rangle$

proof –

have $\text{Func } b \ c \circ (bcxab.P_1 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1) =$
 $BCxAB.P_1 \circ BCxAB-x-A.P_1 \circ \text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map}$

using $\text{Func-Unfunc-bc.F.extensional } BCxAB-x-A.P_1.extensional$
 $bcxab-x-A.map-def \ bcxab-x-A.P_1-def \ bcxab.P_1-def$
 $\text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map-def } \text{Func-bcxFunc-ab.map-def}$
 $BCxAB-x-A.P_1-def \ BCxAB.P_1-def$

by *auto*

moreover have $\text{Func } a \ b \circ (bcxab.P_0 \circ bcxab-x-A.P_1) =$
 $(BCxAB.P_0 \circ BCxAB-x-A.P_1) \circ \text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map}$

using $BCxAB.P_0-def \ BCxAB-x-A.P_1-def \ bcxab.P_0-def \ bcxab-x-A.P_1-def$
 $\text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map-def } \text{Func-bcxFunc-ab.map-def}$
 $\text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.extensional } \text{Func-Unfunc-ab.F.extensional}$

by *auto*

moreover have $bcxab-x-A.P_0 =$
 $BCxAB-x-A.P_0 \circ \text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map}$

using $BCxAB.P_0-def \ BCxAB-x-A.P_0-def \ bcxab-x-A.P_0-def$
 $\text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.map-def } \text{Func-bcxFunc-ab.map-def}$
 $\text{Func-bcxFunc-ab-x-A.extensional}$

by *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

also have ... = $\langle\langle BCxAB.P_1 \circ BCxAB-x-A.P_1, \langle\langle BCxAB.P_0 \circ BCxAB-x-A.P_1, BCxAB-x-A.P_0 \rangle\rangle \rangle\rangle \circ$
 $Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab\text{-}x\text{-}A.map$
by (*simp add: comp-pointwise-tuple*)
also have ... = $ASSOC.map \circ Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab\text{-}x\text{-}A.map$
unfolding $ASSOC.map\text{-}def$ **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
have $COMP.E\text{-}BC\text{-}o\text{-}BCxE\text{-}AB.map \circ$
 $(Func\text{-}bc\text{-}x\text{-}Func\text{-}abxA.map \circ Assoc\text{-}bc\text{-}ab\text{-}a.ASSOC.map \circ$
 $UnpackxA.map) =$
 $COMP.E\text{-}BC\text{-}o\text{-}BCxE\text{-}AB.map \circ$
 $(ASSOC.map \circ Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab\text{-}x\text{-}A.map \circ UnpackxA.map)$
using 1 **by** *simp*
also have ... = $COMP.E\text{-}BC\text{-}o\text{-}BCxE\text{-}AB.map \circ ASSOC.map \circ$
 $(Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab\text{-}x\text{-}A.map \circ UnpackxA.map)$
by *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
also have ... = $COMP.Currying.E.coext BCxAB.resid$
 $(E\text{-}BC.map \circ BCxE\text{-}AB.map \circ ASSOC.map) \circ$
 $(Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.map \circ Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b))$
proof –
have $COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$
 $(COMP.E\text{-}BC\text{-}o\text{-}BCxE\text{-}AB.map \circ ASSOC.map \circ$
 $(Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab\text{-}x\text{-}A.map \circ UnpackxA.map)) =$
 $COMP.Currying.E.coext (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))$
 $(COMP.E\text{-}BC\text{-}o\text{-}BCxE\text{-}AB.map \circ ASSOC.map \circ$
 $(product\text{-}simulation.map (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)) (Rts\ a)$
 $(Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.map \circ Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b)) A.map))$
proof –
have $A.map \circ A.map = A.map$
using *comp-identity-simulation* [of $Rts\ a\ Rts\ a\ A.map$]
 $A.simulation\text{-}axioms$
by *simp*
hence $Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab\text{-}x\text{-}A.map \circ UnpackxA.map =$
 $product\text{-}simulation.map (Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)) (Rts\ a)$
 $(Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.map \circ Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b)) A.map$
using *simulation-interchange*
[of $Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)$ $bcxab.resid$
 $Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b)$
 $Rts\ a\ Rts\ a\ A.map\ BCxAB.resid\ Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.map$
 $Rts\ a\ A.map$]
 $Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.simulation\text{-}axioms\ PU\text{-}bcxab.G.simulation\text{-}axioms$
 $A.simulation\text{-}axioms$
by *simp*
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
also have ... = $COMP.Currying.E.coext BCxAB.resid$

$(E\text{-}BC.\text{map} \circ BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map} \circ ASSOC.\text{map}) \circ$
 $(Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.\text{map} \circ Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b))$

proof –

have *simulation* $(Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b))\ BCxAB.resid$
 $(Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.\text{map} \circ Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b))$

using *simulation-comp* $Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.\text{simulation-axioms}$
 $PU\text{-}bcxab.G.\text{simulation-axioms}$

by *auto*

moreover have *simulation* $BCxAB\text{-}x\text{-}A.resid (Rts\ c)$
 $(COMP.E\text{-}BC\text{-}o\text{-}BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map} \circ ASSOC.\text{map})$

using *simulation-comp* $COMP.E\text{-}BC\text{-}o\text{-}BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{simulation-axioms}$
 $ASSOC.\text{simulation-axioms}$

by *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis*

using $COMP.Currying.E.\text{comp-coext-simulation}$
 $[of\ Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ BCxAB.resid$
 $Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.\text{map} \circ Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b)$
 $E\text{-}BC.\text{map} \circ BCxE\text{-}AB.\text{map} \circ ASSOC.\text{map}]$
 $BCxAB.\text{weakly-extensional-rts-axioms}$
 $bcxab'.\text{weakly-extensional-rts-axioms}$

by *fastforce*

qed

finally show *?thesis by blast*

qed

also have $\dots = COMP.\text{map} \circ$
 $(Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.\text{map} \circ Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b))$

unfolding $COMP.\text{map-def}$ **by** *simp*

finally show *?thesis by simp*

qed

We obtain as a corollary an explicit formula for $Map (Comp\ a\ b\ c)$ in terms of the external compositor $COMP.\text{map}$ and packing and unpacking isomorphisms.

corollary *Map-Comp:*

shows $Map (ECMC.Comp\ a\ b\ c) =$

$Unfunc\ a\ c \circ COMP.\text{map} \circ$

$(Func\text{-}bcxFunc\text{-}ab.\text{map} \circ Unpack (exp\ b\ c) (exp\ a\ b))$

proof –

have $Map (ECMC.Comp\ a\ b\ c) =$

$I (EXP\ a\ c) \circ Map (ECMC.Comp\ a\ b\ c)$

using $a\ b\ c\ ECMC.Comp\text{-in-hom}\ arrD(3)$ $[of\ ECMC.Comp\ a\ b\ c]$

comp-identity-simulation

$[of\ Rts (exp\ b\ c \otimes exp\ a\ b)\ EXP\ a\ c\ Map (ECMC.Comp\ a\ b\ c)]$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = Unfunc\ a\ c \circ Func\ a\ c \circ Map (ECMC.Comp\ a\ b\ c)$

using $a\ c\ Unfunc\text{-o-}Func$ **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = Unfunc\ a\ c \circ (Func\ a\ c \circ Map (ECMC.Comp\ a\ b\ c))$

by *auto*

also have $\dots = Unfunc\ a\ c \circ$

```

      COMP.map ∘
      (Func-bc ∘ Func-ab.map ∘ Unpack (exp b c) (exp a b))
    using Func-o-Map-Comp by auto
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed

end

context rtscat
begin

  abbreviation EXP
  where EXP ≡ λa b. Rts (exp a b)

  proposition Map-Comp:
  assumes ide a and ide b and ide c
  shows Map (ECMC.Comp a b c) =
    Unfunc a c ∘ COMP.map (Rts a) (Rts b) (Rts c) ∘
    (product-simulation.map (EXP b c) (EXP a b)
     (Func b c) (Func a b) ∘
     Unpack (exp b c) (exp a b))

  proof –
    interpret Comp: Composition
    using assms by unfold-locales
    show ?thesis
    using Comp.Map-Comp by blast
  qed

end

end

```

4.5 Top-Level Interpretation

```

theory RTSCat-Interp
imports RTSCatx RTSCat
begin

```

The purpose of this section is simply to demonstrate the possibility of making top-level interpretations of locales *rtscatx* and *rtscat*. It is important to do this because some kinds of clashes that occur when the same names are used in multiple sublocales only cause a problem when an attempt is made to instantiate the locale in the top-level name space.

```

interpretation RTSx: rtscatx ⟨TYPE(V)⟩
proof –
  interpret V: universe ⟨TYPE(V)⟩
  using V-is-universe by auto
  show rtscatx (TYPE(V)) ..

```

qed

interpretation *RTS*: *rtscat* $\langle TYPE(V) \rangle$

proof –

interpret *V*: *universe* $\langle TYPE(V) \rangle$

using *V-is-universe* **by** *auto*

show *rtscat* (*TYPE(V)*) ..

qed

end

Chapter 5

RTS-Enriched Categories

5.1 RTS-Enriched Categories

The category **RTS** is cartesian closed, hence monoidal closed. This implies that each hom-set of **RTS** itself carries the structure of an RTS, so that **RTS** becomes a category “enriched in itself”. In this section we show that RTS-categories are essentially the same thing as categories enriched in **RTS**, and that the RTS-category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger is equivalent to the RTS-category determined by **RTS** regarded as a category enriched in itself. Thus, the complete structure of the RTS-category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger is already determined by its ordinary subcategory **RTS**.

```
theory RTSEnrichedCategory
imports RTSCatx RTSCat EnrichedCategoryBasics.CartesianClosedMonoidalCategory
      EnrichedCategoryBasics.EnrichedCategory
begin

  context rtscat
  begin
```

```
  sublocale CMC: cartesian-monoidal-category comp Prod  $\alpha$   $\iota$ 
    using extends-to-cartesian-monoidal-categoryECC by blast
```

The tensor for *elementary-cartesian-closed-monoidal-category* is given by the binary functor *Prod*. This functor is defined in uncurried form, which is consistent with its nature as a functor defined on a product category. However, the tensor *CMC.tensor* defined in *monoidal-category* is a curried version. There might be a way to streamline this, if the various monoidal category locales were changed so that the binary functor used to specify the tensor were given in curried form, but I have not yet attempted to do this. For now, we have two versions of tensor, which we need to show are equal to each other.

lemma *tensor-agreement*:
assumes *arr f* **and** *arr g*
shows $CMC.tensor\ f\ g = f \otimes g$
by *simp*

The situation with tupling and “duplicators” is similar.

lemma *tuple-agreement*:
assumes *span f g*
shows $CMC.tuple\ f\ g = \langle f, g \rangle$
proof (*intro pr-joint-monic [of cod f cod g CMC.tuple f g <f, g>]*)
show *ide (cod f)* **and** *ide (cod g)*
using *assms by auto*
show $seq\ p_0[cod\ f,\ cod\ g]\ (CMC.tuple\ f\ g)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) CMC.ECC.seq-pr-tuple <ide (cod f)>*
<ide (cod g)> assms pr-agreement(1))
show $p_0[cod\ f,\ cod\ g] \cdot CMC.tuple\ f\ g = p_0[cod\ f,\ cod\ g] \cdot \langle f, g \rangle$
using *assms pr-agreement(1-2)*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) CMC.ECC.pr-tuple(2) <ide (cod f)>*
<ide (cod g)> pr-tuple(2))
show $p_1[cod\ f,\ cod\ g] \cdot CMC.tuple\ f\ g = p_1[cod\ f,\ cod\ g] \cdot \langle f, g \rangle$
using *assms pr-agreement(1-2)*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) CMC.ECC.pr-tuple(1) <ide (cod f)>*
<ide (cod g)> pr-tuple(1))
qed

lemma *dup-agreement*:
assumes *arr f*
shows $CMC.dup\ f = dup\ f$
using *assms tuple-agreement by simp*

sublocale *elementary-cartesian-closed-monoidal-category*
comp Prod α ι exp eval curry
using *extends-to-elementary-cartesian-closed-monoidal-categoryECCC*
by *blast*

We have a need for the following expansion of associators in terms of tensor and projections. This is actually the definition of the associators given in *category-with-binary-products*, but it could (and perhaps should) be proved as a consequence of the locale assumptions in *elementary-cartesian-category*. Here we already have the fact *assoc-agreement* which expresses that the definition of associators given in *category-with-binary-products* agrees with the version derived from the locale parameters in *cartesian-monoidal-category*, and *prod-eq-tensor*, which expresses that the tensor equals the cartesian product. So we can just use these facts, together with the definition from *elementary-cartesian-category*, to avoid a longer proof.

lemma *assoc-expansion*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b* **and** *ide c*
shows $CMC.assoc\ a\ b\ c =$

$\langle p_1[a, b] \cdot p_1[a \otimes b, c], p_0[a, b] \cdot p_1[a \otimes b, c], p_0[a \otimes b, c] \rangle$
using *assms assoc-def assoc-agreement by simp*

lemma *extends-to-enriched-category:*
shows *enriched-category comp Prod α ι*
(Collect ide) exp ECMC.Id ECMC.Comp
using *ECMC.is-enriched-in-itself by blast*

end

locale *rts-enriched-category* =
universe arr-type +
RTS: rtscat arr-type +
enriched-category RTS.comp RTS.Prod RTS. α RTS. ι Obj Hom Id Comp
for *arr-type :: 'A itself*
and *Obj :: 'O set*
and *Hom :: 'O \Rightarrow 'O \Rightarrow 'A rtscatx.arr*
and *Id :: 'O \Rightarrow 'A rtscatx.arr*
and *Comp :: 'O \Rightarrow 'O \Rightarrow 'O \Rightarrow 'A rtscatx.arr*
begin

abbreviation HOM_{EC}
where $HOM_{EC} a b \equiv RTS.Rts (Hom a b)$

end

locale *hom-rts* =
rts-enriched-category +
fixes *a :: 'b*
and *b :: 'b*
assumes *a: a \in Obj*
and *b: b \in Obj*
begin

sublocale *extensional-rts* $\langle HOM_{EC} a b \rangle$
using *a b by force*

sublocale *small-rts* $\langle HOM_{EC} a b \rangle$
using *a b by force*

end

locale *rts-enriched-functor* =
RTS: rtscat arr-type +
A: rts-enriched-category arr-type Obj_A Hom_A Id_A Comp_A +
B: rts-enriched-category arr-type Obj_B Hom_B Id_B Comp_B +
enriched-functor RTS.comp RTS.Prod RTS. α RTS. ι

```

for arr-type :: 'A itself
begin

  lemma is-local-simulation:
  assumes  $a \in \text{Obj}_A$  and  $b \in \text{Obj}_A$ 
  shows simulation ( $A.\text{HOM}_{EC} a b$ ) ( $B.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)$ )
    ( $\text{RTS}.\text{Map} (F_a a b)$ )
    using assms preserves-Hom [of  $a b$ ] RTS.arrD [of  $F_a a b$ ] by auto

end

locale fully-faithful-rtt-enriched-functor =
  rtt-enriched-functor +
  fully-faithful-enriched-functor RTS.comp RTS.Prod RTS. $\alpha$  RTS. $\iota$ 

```

5.2 RTS-Enriched Categories induce RTS-Categories

Here we show that every RTS-enriched category determines a corresponding RTS-category. This is done by combining the RTS's underlying the homs of the RTS-enriched category, forming a global RTS that provides the vertical structure of the RTS-category. The composition operation of the RTS-enriched category is used to obtain the horizontal structure.

```

locale rtt-category-of-enriched-category =
  universe arr-type +
  RTS: rtscat arr-type +
  rtt-enriched-category arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp
for arr-type :: 'A itself
and Obj :: 'O set
and Hom :: 'O  $\Rightarrow$  'O  $\Rightarrow$  'A rtscatx.arr
and Id :: 'O  $\Rightarrow$  'A rtscatx.arr
and Comp :: 'O  $\Rightarrow$  'O  $\Rightarrow$  'O  $\Rightarrow$  'A rtscatx.arr
begin

  notation RTS.in-hom ( $\ll - : - \rightarrow - \gg$ )
  notation RTS.prod (infixr  $\otimes$  51)
  notation RTS.one (1)
  notation RTS.assoc ( $\text{a}[-, -, -]$ )
  notation RTS.lunit ( $\text{l}[-]$ )
  notation RTS.runit ( $\text{r}[-]$ )

```

Here we define the “global RTS”, obtained as the disjoint union of all the hom-RTS's. Note that types 'O and 'A are fixed in the current context: type 'O is the type of “objects” of the given RTS-enriched category, and type 'A is the type of the universe that underlies the base category *RTS*.

```

datatype ('o, 'a) arr =
  Null
| MkArr 'o 'o 'a

```

fun *Dom* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ 'O
where *Dom* (MkArr a -) = a
| *Dom* - = undefined

fun *Cod* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ 'O
where *Cod* (MkArr - b) = b
| *Cod* - = undefined

fun *Trn* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ 'A
where *Trn* (MkArr - - t) = t
| *Trn* - = undefined

abbreviation *Arr* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ bool
where *Arr* ≡ λt. t ≠ Null ∧ Dom t ∈ Obj ∧ Cod t ∈ Obj ∧
residuation.arr (HOM_{EC} (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t)

abbreviation *Ide* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ bool
where *Ide* ≡ λt. t ≠ Null ∧ Dom t ∈ Obj ∧ Cod t ∈ Obj ∧
residuation.ide (HOM_{EC} (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t)

definition *Con* :: ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ ('O, 'A) arr ⇒ bool
where *Con* t u ≡ Arr t ∧ Arr u ∧ Dom t = Dom u ∧ Cod t = Cod u ∧
residuation.con (HOM_{EC} (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t) (Trn u)

The global residuation is obtained by combining the local residuations of each of the hom-RTS's.

fun *resid* (**infix** \ 70)
where *resid* Null u = Null
| *resid* t Null = Null
| *resid* t u = (if Con t u
then MkArr (Dom t) (Cod t)
(HOM_{EC} (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t) (Trn u))
else Null)

sublocale *V*: *ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma resid*
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*metis* *Trn.cases resid.simps(1-2)*)

lemma *null-char*:
shows *V.null* = Null
by (*metis* *V.null-is-zero(2) resid.simps(1)*)

lemma *ConI* [*intro*]:
assumes *Arr* t **and** *Arr* u **and** *Dom* t = *Dom* u **and** *Cod* t = *Cod* u
and *residuation.con* (HOM_{EC} (Dom t) (Cod t)) (Trn t) (Trn u)
shows *Con* t u
using *assms Con-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *ConE* [*elim*]:
assumes *Con t u*
and $\llbracket \text{Arr } t; \text{Arr } u; \text{Dom } t = \text{Dom } u; \text{Cod } t = \text{Cod } u; \text{residuation.con } (\text{HOM}_{EC} (\text{Dom } t) (\text{Cod } t)) (\text{Trn } t) (\text{Trn } u) \rrbracket \implies T$
shows *T*
using *assms Con-def by blast*

lemma *Con-sym*:
assumes *Con t u*
shows *Con u t*
using *assms Con-def extensional-rts-def residuation.con-sym rts.axioms(1)*
by *fastforce*

lemma *resid-ne-Null-imp-Con*:
assumes $t \setminus u \neq \text{Null}$
shows *Con t u*
using *assms resid.elims by metis*

sublocale *V*: *residuation resid*

proof

fix $t u :: ('O, 'A) \text{arr}$
assume $tu: t \setminus u \neq V.\text{null}$
interpret *hom*: *hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp* $\langle \text{Dom } t \rangle \langle \text{Cod } t \rangle$
using *tu null-char resid-ne-Null-imp-Con*
by *unfold-locales auto*
show $t \setminus u \neq V.\text{null} \implies u \setminus t \neq V.\text{null}$
using *tu null-char Con-sym*
apply (*cases t; cases u*)
apply *simp-all*
by *metis*
show $(t \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) \neq V.\text{null}$
using *tu null-char hom.arr-resid hom.con-arr-self Con-def*
apply (*cases t; cases u*)
apply *simp-all*
by *metis*
next
fix $t u v :: ('O, 'A) \text{arr}$
assume $tuv: (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \neq V.\text{null}$
have $tu: \text{Con } t u$
using *tuv null-char Con-sym resid-ne-Null-imp-Con*
by (*metis Con-def*)
have $tv: \text{Con } t v$
using *tuv null-char Con-sym resid-ne-Null-imp-Con*
by (*metis Con-def*)
interpret *hom*: *hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp* $\langle \text{Dom } t \rangle \langle \text{Cod } t \rangle$
using *tu null-char arr.exhaust resid.simps(1-3)*
apply *unfold-locales*
by *blast+*

```

show  $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$ 
proof –
  have  $\bigwedge td\ tc\ tt\ ud\ uc\ ut\ vd\ vc\ vt.$ 
     $\llbracket t = MkArr\ td\ tc\ tt; u = MkArr\ ud\ uc\ ut; v = MkArr\ vd\ vc\ vt \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies ?thesis$ 
  proof –
    fix  $td\ tc\ tt\ ud\ uc\ ut\ vd\ vc\ vt$ 
    assume  $t: t = MkArr\ td\ tc\ tt$ 
    assume  $u: u = MkArr\ ud\ uc\ ut$ 
    assume  $v: v = MkArr\ vd\ vc\ vt$ 
    show  $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$ 
      using  $t\ u\ v\ tu\ tv\ tuv\ Con-def\ hom.cube\ null-char$ 
      apply  $auto[1]$ 
      by  $(metis\ Cod.simps(1)\ Dom.simps(1)\ hom.con-sym\ hom.arr-resid$ 
         $hom.arr-resid-iff-con\ hom.resid-reflects-con)+$ 
    qed
  thus  $?thesis$ 
  using  $tu\ tv\ tuv\ hom.cube\ hom.arr-resid-iff-con\ hom.resid-reflects-con$ 
     $null-char\ resid-ne-Null-imp-Con$ 
  apply  $(cases\ v,\ blast)$ 
  apply  $(cases\ u,\ blast)$ 
  apply  $(cases\ t,\ blast)$ 
  by  $metis$ 
qed
qed

```

notation $V.con$ (**infix** \frown 50)

```

lemma  $con-char$ :
shows  $t \frown u \iff Con\ t\ u$ 
proof
  show  $t \frown u \implies Con\ t\ u$ 
    using  $null-char\ resid-ne-Null-imp-Con$  by  $auto$ 
  show  $Con\ t\ u \implies t \frown u$ 
    using  $null-char$ 
    by  $(cases\ t; cases\ u)\ auto$ 
qed

```

```

lemma  $arr-char$ :
shows  $V.arr\ t \iff Arr\ t$ 
by  $(metis\ Con-def\ RTS.ideD_{RTSC}\ V.arr-def\ con-char\ extensional-rts.axioms(1)$ 
   $ide-Hom\ residuation.arrE\ rts.axioms(1))$ 

```

```

lemma  $ide-char$ :
shows  $V.ide\ t \iff Ide\ t$ 
proof  $(cases\ V.arr\ t)$ 
  show  $\neg V.arr\ t \implies ?thesis$ 
    by  $(metis\ RTS.ideD_{RTSC}\ V.arrI\ V.ide-def\ arr-char\ ide-Hom$ 
       $residuation.ide-implies-arr\ rts.axioms(1)\ small-rts-def)$ 

```

```

assume  $t: V.arr\ t$ 
interpret  $hom: hom\text{-}rts\ arr\text{-}type\ Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ \langle Dom\ t \rangle\ \langle Cod\ t \rangle$ 
  using  $t\ arr\text{-}char$ 
  by  $unfold\text{-}locales\ auto$ 
show  $?thesis$ 
  using  $t\ null\text{-}char\ con\text{-}char\ V.ide\text{-}def\ arr\text{-}char\ hom.ide\text{-}def$ 
  by  $(cases\ t)\ auto$ 
qed

```

```

lemma  $con\text{-}implies\text{-}Par$ :
assumes  $t \frown u$ 
shows  $Dom\ t = Dom\ u$  and  $Cod\ t = Cod\ u$ 
  using  $assms\ con\text{-}char$  by  $blast+$ 

```

```

lemma  $Dom\text{-}resid$  [ $simp$ ]:
assumes  $t \frown u$ 
shows  $Dom\ (t \setminus u) = Dom\ t$ 
  using  $assms\ con\text{-}char$ 
  by  $(cases\ t; cases\ u)\ auto$ 

```

```

lemma  $Cod\text{-}resid$  [ $simp$ ]:
assumes  $t \frown u$ 
shows  $Cod\ (t \setminus u) = Cod\ t$ 
  using  $assms\ con\text{-}char$ 
  by  $(cases\ t; cases\ u)\ auto$ 

```

```

lemma  $Trn\text{-}resid$  [ $simp$ ]:
assumes  $t \frown u$ 
shows  $Trn\ (t \setminus u) = HOM_{EC}\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t)\ (Trn\ t)\ (Trn\ u)$ 
  using  $assms\ con\text{-}char$ 
  by  $(cases\ t; cases\ u)\ auto$ 

```

Targets of arrows of the global RTS agree with the local versions from which they were derived. The same will be shown for sources below.

```

lemma  $trg\text{-}char$ :
shows  $V.trg\ t = (if\ V.arr\ t$ 
   $then\ MkArr\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t)$ 
   $(residuation.trg\ (HOM_{EC}\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t))\ (Trn\ t))$ 
   $else\ Null)$ 
proof  $(cases\ V.arr\ t)$ 
  show  $\neg V.arr\ t \implies ?thesis$ 
  using  $V.con\text{-}def\ V.trg\text{-}def\ null\text{-}char$  by  $auto$ 
  show  $V.arr\ t \implies ?thesis$ 
  using  $null\text{-}char\ V.not\text{-}arr\text{-}null$ 
  apply  $(cases\ t)$ 
  apply  $auto[1]$ 
  by  $(metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ lifting)\ RTS.ideD_{RTSC}\ V.arrE\ V.trg\text{-}def$ 
   $arr\text{-}char\ con\text{-}char\ ide\text{-}Hom\ resid.simps(3)$ 
   $residuation.resid\text{-}arr\text{-}self\ rts.axioms(1)\ small\text{-}rts\text{-}def)$ 

```

qed

sublocale *rts resid*

proof

show $\bigwedge t. V.arr\ t \implies V.ide\ (V.trg\ t)$

by (*simp add: arr-char extensional-rts.axioms(1) ide-char rts.ide-trg trg-char*)

show $1: \bigwedge a\ t. \llbracket V.ide\ a; t \frown a \rrbracket \implies t \setminus a = t$

proof –

fix *a t*

assume *a: V.ide a*

assume *con: t \frown a*

have $t \setminus a \neq \text{Null} \wedge t \neq \text{Null}$

using *con null-char* by *auto*

moreover have $\text{Dom}\ (t \setminus a) = \text{Dom}\ t \wedge \text{Cod}\ (t \setminus a) = \text{Cod}\ t$

using *a con ide-char con-char Con-def*

by (*metis V.arrE V.arr-resid-iff-con V.con-sym V.cube V.ideE*)

moreover have $\text{Trn}\ (t \setminus a) = \text{Trn}\ t$

using *a con ide-char con-char small-rts-def Con-def*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RTS.ideDRTSC(1) Trn-resid ide-Hom rts.resid-arr-ide*)

ultimately show $t \setminus a = t$

by (*metis Cod.elims Dom.simps(1) Trn.simps(1)*)

qed

show $\bigwedge a\ t. \llbracket V.ide\ a; a \frown t \rrbracket \implies V.ide\ (a \setminus t)$

using *ide-char con-char*

by (*metis 1 V.arr-resid V.con-arr-self V.con-sym V.cube V.ideE V.ideI*)

show $\bigwedge t\ u. t \frown u \implies \exists a. V.ide\ a \wedge a \frown t \wedge a \frown u$

proof –

fix *t u*

assume *tu: t \frown u*

interpret *hom: hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp <Dom t> <Cod t>*

using *tu con-char arr-char*

by *unfold-locales blast+*

have $1: \text{hom.con}\ (\text{Trn}\ t)\ (\text{Trn}\ u)$

using *tu con-char* by *auto*

obtain α where $\alpha: \text{hom.ide}\ \alpha \wedge \text{hom.con}\ \alpha\ (\text{Trn}\ t) \wedge \text{hom.con}\ \alpha\ (\text{Trn}\ u)$

using *1 hom.con-imp-coinitial-ax* by *auto*

have $V.ide\ (\text{MkArr}\ (\text{Dom}\ t)\ (\text{Cod}\ t)\ \alpha)$

using *tu \alpha V.con-implies-arr arr-char ide-char* by *auto*

moreover have $\text{MkArr}\ (\text{Dom}\ t)\ (\text{Cod}\ t)\ \alpha \frown t \wedge$

$\text{MkArr}\ (\text{Dom}\ t)\ (\text{Cod}\ t)\ \alpha \frown u$

using α *tu con-char hom.ide-implies-arr Con-def* by *auto*

ultimately show $\exists a. V.ide\ a \wedge V.con\ a\ t \wedge V.con\ a\ u$ by *blast*

qed

show $\bigwedge t\ u\ v. \llbracket V.ide\ (t \setminus u); u \frown v \rrbracket \implies t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u$

proof –

fix *t u v*

assume *tu: V.ide (t \setminus u)*

```

assume  $uv: u \frown v$ 
have  $1: t \setminus u \neq V.null$ 
  using  $tu$  by  $auto$ 
interpret  $hom: hom\text{-}rts\ arr\text{-}type\ Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ \langle Dom\ t \rangle\ \langle Cod\ t \rangle$ 
  using  $1\ con\text{-}char\ arr\text{-}char$ 
  by  $unfold\text{-}locales\ blast+$ 
have  $hom.con\ (HOM_{EC}\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t)\ (Trn\ t)\ (Trn\ u))$ 
   $(HOM_{EC}\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t)\ (Trn\ v)\ (Trn\ u))$ 
proof  $-$ 
  have  $hom.con\ (Trn\ t)\ (Trn\ u)$ 
  using  $tu\ 1\ con\text{-}char$  by  $auto$ 
  have  $hom.ide\ (HOM_{EC}\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t)\ (Trn\ t)\ (Trn\ u))$ 
  using  $tu\ 1\ ide\text{-}char\ Dom.simps(1)\ Cod.simps(1)\ Trn.simps(1)\ V.conI$ 
  by  $auto$ 
  moreover have  $hom.con\ (Trn\ u)\ (Trn\ v)$ 
  using  $uv\ con\text{-}char\ 1\ V.conI\ Con\text{-}def$  by  $fastforce$ 
  ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
  using  $hom.con\text{-}target$  by  $blast$ 
qed
thus  $t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u$ 
  unfolding  $con\text{-}char\ Con\text{-}def$ 
  using  $tu\ uv\ 1\ null\text{-}char\ V.arr\text{-}resid\ arr\text{-}char\ V.ide\text{-}implies\text{-}arr$ 
  apply  $clarsimp$ 
  apply  $(intro\ conjI)$ 
  subgoal by  $(meson\ V.con\text{-}sym)$ 
  subgoal using  $V.arr\text{-}resid\ V.con\text{-}sym$  by  $meson$ 
  by  $(metis\ (mono\text{-}tags,\ lifting)\ Cod\text{-}resid\ Dom\text{-}resid\ Trn\text{-}resid$ 
   $V.conI\ V.con\text{-}sym\ con\text{-}implies\text{-}Par(1-2))+$ 
qed
qed

lemma  $is\text{-}rts$ :
shows  $rts\ resid$ 
  ..

sublocale  $V: extensional\text{-}rts\ resid$ 
proof
  fix  $t\ u$ 
  assume  $tu: cong\ t\ u$ 
  have  $1: t \setminus u \neq V.null$ 
  using  $tu$  by  $auto$ 
interpret  $hom: hom\text{-}rts\ arr\text{-}type\ Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ \langle Dom\ t \rangle\ \langle Cod\ t \rangle$ 
  using  $1\ con\text{-}char\ arr\text{-}char$   $[of\ t]$ 
  by  $unfold\text{-}locales\ blast+$ 
have  $t \neq Null \wedge u \neq Null$ 
  using  $tu\ con\text{-}char$  by  $fastforce$ 
moreover have  $Dom\ t = Dom\ u \wedge Cod\ t = Cod\ u$ 
  using  $tu\ 1\ con\text{-}char$  by  $blast$ 
moreover have  $Trn\ t = Trn\ u$ 

```


by (*metis Cod-resid Dom-resid Trn-resid calculation*(2)
hom.extensional ide-char prfx-implies-con tu)
ultimately show $t = u$
by (*cases t; cases u*) *auto*
qed

lemma *is-extensional-rts*:
shows *extensional-rts resid*
 ..

lemma *arr-MkArr [intro]*:
assumes $a \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $b \in \text{Obj}$
and *residuation.arr* (*HOM_{EC}* a b) t
shows $V.\text{arr}$ (*MkArr* a b t)
using *assms arr-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *arr-eqI*:
assumes $t \neq V.\text{null}$ **and** $u \neq V.\text{null}$
and $\text{Dom } t = \text{Dom } u$ **and** $\text{Cod } t = \text{Cod } u$ **and** $\text{Trn } t = \text{Trn } u$
shows $t = u$
using *assms null-char*
by (*metis Cod.elims Dom.simps(1) Trn.simps(1)*)

lemma *MkArr-Trn*:
assumes $V.\text{arr } t$
shows $t = \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } t) (\text{Cod } t) (\text{Trn } t)$
using *assms null-char V.not-arr-null*
by (*intro arr-eqI*) *auto*

lemma *src-char*:
shows $V.\text{src } t = (\text{if } V.\text{arr } t$
 then $\text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } t) (\text{Cod } t)$
 (*weakly-extensional-rts.src*
 (*HOM_{EC}* ($\text{Dom } t$) ($\text{Cod } t$)) ($\text{Trn } t$))
 else Null)

proof (*cases V.arr t*)
show $\neg V.\text{arr } t \implies ?thesis$
using *null-char V.src-def* **by** *presburger*
assume $t: V.\text{arr } t$
show *?thesis*
proof –
interpret *Hom: extensional-rts* $\langle \text{RTS.Rts } (\text{Hom } (\text{Dom } t) (\text{Cod } t)) \rangle$
using t *ide-Hom arr-char RTS.ide-char RTS.arrD* **by** *metis*
have $V.\text{ide } (\text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } t) (\text{Cod } t) (\text{Hom}.\text{src } (\text{Trn } t)))$
unfolding *ide-char*
using t *arr-char* **by** *auto*
moreover have $t \frown \text{MkArr } (\text{Dom } t) (\text{Cod } t) (\text{Hom}.\text{src } (\text{Trn } t))$
using t *MkArr-Trn con-char Con-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*

```

using  $V.sources-char$   $V.src-in-sources$  by auto
qed
qed

```

Here we use the composition operation of the original RTS-enriched category to define horizontal composition of transitions of the global RTS. Note that a pair of transitions (which comprise a transition of a product RTS) must be “packed” into a single transition of the RTS underlying a product object, before the composition operation can be applied.

```

definition hcomp (infixr  $\star$  53)
where  $t \star u \equiv$ 
  if  $V.arr\ t \wedge V.arr\ u \wedge Dom\ t = Cod\ u$ 
  then  $MkArr\ (Dom\ u)\ (Cod\ t)$ 
    ( $RTS.Map\ (Comp\ (Dom\ u)\ (Cod\ u)\ (Cod\ t))$ 
      ( $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t))$ 
        ( $Hom\ (Dom\ u)\ (Cod\ u)$ 
          ( $Trn\ t,\ Trn\ u$ )))
    else  $V.null$ 

```

lemma *arr-hcomp*:

assumes $V.arr\ t$ **and** $V.arr\ u$ **and** $Dom\ t = Cod\ u$

shows $V.arr\ (t \star u)$

proof –

let $?a = Dom\ u$ **and** $?b = Cod\ u$ **and** $?c = Cod\ t$

have $a: ?a \in Obj$ **and** $b: ?b \in Obj$ **and** $c: ?c \in Obj$

using *assms arr-char* **by** *auto*

interpret *HOM-ab*: *hom-rtts arr-type* $Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ ?a\ ?b$

using $a\ b$ **by** *unfold-locales auto*

interpret *HOM-bc*: *hom-rtts arr-type* $Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ ?b\ ?c$

using $b\ c$ **by** *unfold-locales auto*

interpret *HOM-ac*: *hom-rtts arr-type* $Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ ?a\ ?c$

using $a\ c$ **by** *unfold-locales auto*

interpret *bcxab*: *extensional-rtts* $\langle RTS.Rts\ (Hom\ ?b\ ?c \otimes Hom\ ?a\ ?b) \rangle$

using $a\ b\ c$ **by** *auto*

interpret *BCxAB*: *product-rtts* $\langle HOM_{EC}\ ?b\ ?c \rangle \langle HOM_{EC}\ ?a\ ?b \rangle ..$

interpret *Pack*: *simulation*

$BCxAB.resid\ \langle RTS.Rts\ (Hom\ ?b\ ?c \otimes Hom\ ?a\ ?b) \rangle$

$\langle RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ ?b\ ?c)\ (Hom\ ?a\ ?b) \rangle$

using $a\ b\ c$ *RTS.simulation-Pack* **by** *blast*

let $?tu = MkArr\ ?a\ ?c$

$(RTS.Map\ (Comp\ ?a\ ?b\ ?c)$

$(RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ ?b\ ?c)\ (Hom\ ?a\ ?b)\ (Trn\ t,\ Trn\ u)))$

have *arr-Trn-u*: *HOM-ab.arr* $(Trn\ u)$

using *assms arr-char* **by** *blast*

have *arr-Trn-t*: *HOM-bc.arr* $(Trn\ t)$

using *assms arr-char* **by** *simp*

have $V.arr\ ?tu$

proof

show $Dom\ u \in Obj$

```

    using assms arr-char by auto
  show Cod t ∈ Obj
    using assms arr-char by simp
  show HOM-ac.arr (RTS.Map (Comp ?a ?b ?c)
    (RTS.Pack (Hom ?b ?c) (Hom ?a ?b)
      (Trn t, Trn u)))
  proof –
    have HOM-ac.arr (RTS.Map (Comp ?a ?b ?c)
      (RTS.Pack (Hom ?b ?c) (Hom ?a ?b)
        (Trn t, Trn u)))
    proof –
      have RTS.in-hom (Comp (Dom u) (Cod u) (Cod t))
        (Hom ?b ?c ⊗ Hom ?a ?b)
        (Hom (Dom u) (Cod t))
      using a b c Comp-in-hom by auto
      moreover have bcxab.arr
        (RTS.Pack (Hom ?b ?c) (Hom ?a ?b) (Trn t, Trn u))
      using a b c arr-Trn-t arr-Trn-u Pack.preserves-reflects-arr
      by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) HOM-ac.arrI RTS.in-homE
        bcxab.arrE RTS.arrD(3) simulation.preserves-con)
    qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  using assms hcomp-def by simp
qed

```

```

lemma Dom-hcomp [simp]:
  assumes V.arr t and V.arr u and Dom t = Cod u
  shows Dom (t ★ u) = Dom u
    using assms hcomp-def by auto

```

```

lemma Cod-hcomp [simp]:
  assumes V.arr t and V.arr u and Dom t = Cod u
  shows Cod (t ★ u) = Cod t
    using assms hcomp-def by auto

```

```

lemma Trn-hcomp [simp]:
  assumes V.arr t and V.arr u and Dom t = Cod u
  shows Trn (t ★ u) =
    RTS.Map (Comp (Dom u) (Cod u) (Cod t))
      (RTS.Pack (Hom (Cod u) (Cod t)) (Hom (Dom u) (Cod u))
        (Trn t, Trn u))
  using assms hcomp-def by auto

```

```

lemma hcomp-Null [simp]:

```

shows $t \star \text{Null} = \text{Null}$ **and** $\text{Null} \star u = \text{Null}$
using *hcomp-def null-char* **by** *fastforce+*

sublocale *H*: *Category.partial-magma hcomp*
using *hcomp-def*
by (*metis Category.partial-magma.intro V.not-arr-null*)

lemma *H-null-char*:
shows $H.\text{null} = V.\text{null}$
using *hcomp-def*
by (*metis H.null-eqI V.not-arr-null*)

sublocale *H*: *partial-composition hcomp ..*

lemma *H-composable-char*:
shows $t \star u \neq V.\text{null} \iff V.\text{arr } t \wedge V.\text{arr } u \wedge \text{Dom } t = \text{Cod } u$
using *hcomp-def null-char*
by (*cases t; cases u*) *auto*

definition *horizontal-unit*
where *horizontal-unit a* \equiv
 $V.\text{arr } a \wedge \text{Dom } a = \text{Cod } a \wedge$
 $(\forall t. (V.\text{arr } t \wedge \text{Dom } t = \text{Cod } a \longrightarrow t \star a = t) \wedge$
 $(V.\text{arr } t \wedge \text{Dom } a = \text{Cod } t \longrightarrow a \star t = t))$

lemma *H-ide-char*:
shows $H.\text{ide } a \iff \text{horizontal-unit } a$
using *H.ide-def H-composable-char H-null-char horizontal-unit-def*
by *fastforce*

Each $A \in \text{Obj}$ determines a corresponding identity for horizontal composition; namely, the transition of $\text{HOM}_{EC} A A$ obtained by evaluating the simulation $\langle \text{Id } A : \text{One} \rightarrow \text{Hom } A A \rangle$ at the unique arrow RTS.One.the-arr of the underlying one-arrow RTS of *One*.

abbreviation *mkobj*
where $\text{mkobj } A \equiv \text{MkArr } A A (\text{RTS.Map } (\text{Id } A) \text{ RTS.One.the-arr})$

lemma *Id-yields-horiz-ide*:
assumes $A \in \text{Obj}$
shows $H.\text{ide } (\text{mkobj } A)$
proof (*unfold H.ide-def, intro allI conjI impI*)
interpret *HOM-A-A*: *hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp A A*
using *assms* **by** *unfold-locales*
interpret *Id-A*: *simulation* $\langle \text{RTS.Rts } \mathbf{1} \rangle \langle \text{HOM}_{EC} A A \rangle \langle \text{RTS.Map } (\text{Id } A) \rangle$
using *assms Id-in-hom* [*of A*] *RTS.arrD*(\mathcal{J}) [*of Id A*] *RTS.unity-agreement*
by *auto*
let $?a = \text{mkobj } A$
have *HOM-A-A.ide* ($\text{RTS.Map } (\text{Id } A) \text{ RTS.One.the-arr}$)
using *assms Id-A.preserves-ide RTS.One.ide-char_{1RTS}* **by** *auto*

```

hence a:  $V.arr\ ?a \wedge Dom\ ?a = Cod\ ?a$ 
  using assms ide-char by auto
show  $mkobj\ A \star mkobj\ A \neq H.null$ 
by (metis Cod.simps(1) Dom.simps(1) HOM-A-A.ide-implies-arr H-null-char
       $V.not-arr-null \langle HOM-A-A.ide\ (RTS.Map\ (Id\ A)\ RTS.One.the-arr) \rangle$ 
      arr-MkArr arr-hcomp assms)
fix t
show  $t \star ?a \neq H.null \implies t \star ?a = t$ 
proof –
  assume  $t \star ?a \neq H.null$ 
  hence t:  $V.arr\ t \wedge Dom\ t = Cod\ ?a$ 
    by (simp add: H-composable-char H-null-char)
  show  $t \star ?a = t$ 
proof –
  interpret HOM-AB: hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp A  $\langle Cod\ t \rangle$ 
    using assms t arr-char
    by unfold-locales auto
  interpret HOM-AB: simulation
     $\langle HOM_{EC}\ A\ (Cod\ t) \rangle \langle HOM_{EC}\ A\ (Cod\ t) \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Map\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t)) \rangle$ 
    using assms t arr-char RTS.arrD [of Hom A (Cod t)] by simp
  interpret HOM-ABxI: product-rts  $\langle HOM_{EC}\ A\ (Cod\ t) \rangle \langle RTS.One.resid \rangle$ 
  ..
  interpret HOM-ABxId-A: product-simulation
     $\langle HOM_{EC}\ A\ (Cod\ t) \rangle \langle RTS.Rts\ \mathbf{1} \rangle$ 
     $\langle HOM_{EC}\ A\ (Cod\ t) \rangle \langle HOM_{EC}\ A\ A \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Map\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t)) \rangle \langle RTS.Map\ (Id\ A) \rangle$ 
  ..
  interpret PU: inverse-simulations
     $\langle RTS.Rts\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t) \otimes \mathbf{1}) \rangle \langle HOM-ABxI.resid \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1} \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Unpack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1} \rangle$ 
    using assms t arr-char RTS.ide-one
      RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack
      [of Hom A (Cod t) RTS.one]
    by simp
  have  $t \star ?a \neq Null$ 
    using a t arr-hcomp null-char arr-char by blast
  moreover have  $t \neq Null$ 
    using t null-char arr-char by blast
  moreover have  $Dom\ t = Dom\ (hcomp\ t\ ?a)$ 
    using a t hcomp-def by fastforce
  moreover have  $Cod\ t = Cod\ (hcomp\ t\ ?a)$ 
    using a t hcomp-def by fastforce
  moreover have  $Trn\ t = Trn\ (hcomp\ t\ ?a)$ 
proof –
  have  $Trn\ (t \star ?a) =$ 
     $(RTS.Map\ (Comp\ A\ A\ (Cod\ t)) \circ$ 
       $(RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ (Hom\ A\ A)) \circ$ 

```

$HOM-ABxId-A.map)$
 $(Trn\ t, RTS.One.the-arr)$
using $HOM-ABxId-A.map-simp\ RTS.Map-ide\ a\ arr-char\ t$ **by force**
also have ... =
 $(RTS.Map\ (Comp\ A\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \circ$
 $(RTS.Map\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t)\ \otimes\ Id\ A))\ \circ$
 $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1})$
 $(Trn\ t, RTS.One.the-arr)$
proof –
have $RTS.Map\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t)\ \otimes\ Id\ A)\ \circ$
 $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1} =$
 $(RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ (Hom\ A\ A))\ \circ$
 $HOM-ABxId-A.map\ \circ$
 $RTS.Unpack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1}\ \circ$
 $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1}$
by $(metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ HOM-AB.b\ Id-in-hom\ RTS.Map-prod$
 $RTS.ideD(1-3)\ RTS.in-homE\ RTS.unity-agreement\ assms\ ide-Hom)$
also have ... =
 $(RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ (Hom\ A\ A))\ \circ$
 $HOM-ABxId-A.map)\ \circ$
 $(RTS.Unpack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1}\ \circ$
 $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1})$
by auto
also have ... = $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ (Hom\ A\ A)\ \circ$
 $(HOM-ABxId-A.map\ \circ\ I\ HOM-ABxI.resid)$
using $PU.inv$ **by auto**
also have ... = $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ (Hom\ A\ A)\ \circ$
 $HOM-ABxId-A.map$
using $comp-simulation-identity$
 $[of\ HOM-ABxI.resid\ HOM-ABxId-A.B1xB0.resid$
 $HOM-ABxId-A.map]$
 $HOM-ABxId-A.simulation-axioms$
by auto
finally have $RTS.Map\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t)\ \otimes\ Id\ A)\ \circ$
 $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1} =$
 $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ (Hom\ A\ A)\ \circ\ HOM-ABxId-A.map$
by blast
thus $?thesis$ **by simp**
qed
also have ... =
 $((RTS.Map\ (Comp\ A\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \circ$
 $RTS.Map\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t)\ \otimes\ Id\ A))\ \circ$
 $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1})$
 $(Trn\ t, RTS.One.the-arr)$
by auto
also have ... =
 $(RTS.Map\ (Comp\ A\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \cdot\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t)\ \otimes\ Id\ A))\ \circ$
 $RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ A\ (Cod\ t))\ \mathbf{1})$
 $(Trn\ t, RTS.One.the-arr)$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Comp-Hom-Id* *HOM-AB.b* *RTS.CMC.arr-runit*
RTS.Map-comp *assms ide-Hom prod.sel(1-2)*)
also have ... = (*RTS.Map* *r[Hom A (Cod t)]* \circ
RTS.Pack (Hom A (Cod t)) **1**)
(*Trn t*, *RTS.One.the-arr*)
using *assms t arr-char Comp-Hom-Id*
by (*simp add: RTS.runit-agreement*)
also have ... = (*HOM-ABxI.P₁* \circ
(*RTS.Unpack (Hom A (Cod t))* **1** \circ
RTS.Pack (Hom A (Cod t)) **1**)
(*Trn t*, *RTS.One.the-arr*)
using *assms t arr-char RTS.Map-runit* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *HOM-ABxI.P₁* (*Trn t*, *RTS.One.the-arr*)
using *assms t arr-char PU.inv HOM-ABxI.P₁.simulation-axioms*
comp-simulation-identity
[*of HOM-ABxI.resid - HOM-ABxI.P₁*]
by *simp*
also have ... = *Trn t*
using *t arr-char HOM-ABxI.P₁-def HOM-ABxI.arr-char RTS.One.arr-char*
by *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately show *t* \star *?a* = *t*
apply (*cases t*)
by *auto* (*metis Cod.simps(1) Dom.simps(1) Trn.elims*)
qed
qed
show *?a* \star *t* \neq *H.null* \implies *?a* \star *t* = *t*
proof –
assume *?a* \star *t* \neq *H.null*
hence *t*: *V.arr t* \wedge *Dom ?a* = *Cod t*
by (*simp add: H-composable-char H-null-char*)
show *?a* \star *t* = *t*
proof –
interpret *HOM-BA: hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp* \langle *Dom t* \rangle *A*
using *assms t arr-char*
by *unfold-locales auto*
interpret *HOM-BA: simulation*
 \langle *HOM_{EC} (Dom t) A* \rangle \langle *HOM_{EC} (Dom t) A* \rangle
 \langle *RTS.Map (Hom (Dom t) A)* \rangle
using *assms t arr-char RTS.arrD(3)* [*of Hom (Dom t) A*] **by** *auto*
interpret *IxHOM-BA: product-rts*
 \langle *RTS.Rts (RTS.dom 1)* \rangle
 \langle *HOM_{EC} (Dom t) A* \rangle
by (*metis HOM-BA.small-rts-axioms Id-A.simulation-axioms RTS.ideD(2)*
RTS.ide-one product-rts.intro simulation-def small-rts-def)
interpret *Id-AxHOM-BA: product-simulation*
 \langle *RTS.Rts (RTS.dom 1)* \rangle \langle *HOM_{EC} (Dom t) A* \rangle
 \langle *HOM_{EC} A A* \rangle \langle *HOM_{EC} (Dom t) A* \rangle

$\langle \text{RTS.Map } (Id\ A) \rangle \langle \text{RTS.Map } (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A) \rangle$

by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *HOM-BA.simulation-axioms*
Id-A.simulation-axioms *RTS.ideD(2)* *RTS.ide-one* *product-rts.intro*
product-simulation-def *simulation-def*)

interpret *PU*: *inverse-simulations*
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts } (\text{RTS.dom } (\mathbf{1} \otimes Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A)) \rangle$
IxHOM-BA.resid
 $\langle \text{RTS.Pack } \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Unpack } \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A) \rangle$

using *assms t arr-char RTS.ide-one*
RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of $\mathbf{1}\ Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A]$

by *simp*

have $?a \star t \neq Null$
using *a t arr-hcomp null-char arr-char* **by** *blast*

moreover **have** $t \neq Null$
using *t null-char arr-char* **by** *blast*

moreover **have** $Dom\ t = Dom\ (hcomp\ ?a\ t)$
using *a t hcomp-def* **by** *fastforce*

moreover **have** $Cod\ t = Cod\ (hcomp\ ?a\ t)$
using *a t hcomp-def* **by** *fastforce*

moreover **have** $Trn\ t = Trn\ (hcomp\ ?a\ t)$

proof –

have $Trn\ (?a \star t) =$
 $\text{RTS.Map } (Comp\ (Dom\ t)\ A\ A)$
 $(\text{RTS.Pack } (Hom\ A\ A)\ (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A)$
 $(\text{RTS.Map } (Id\ A)\ \text{RTS.One.the-arr},\ Trn\ t))$

using *a t hcomp-def* **by** *simp*

also **have** $\dots =$
 $(\text{RTS.Map } (Comp\ (Dom\ t)\ A\ A) \circ$
 $(\text{RTS.Pack } (Hom\ A\ A)\ (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A) \circ$
 $Id\text{-AxHOM-BA.map}))$
 $(\text{RTS.One.the-arr},\ Trn\ t)$

using *assms t arr-char RTS.Map-ide Id-AxHOM-BA.map-simp*
Id-A.preserves-reflects-arr *RTS.ide-one a*

by *auto*

also **have** $\dots =$
 $(\text{RTS.Map } (Comp\ (Dom\ t)\ A\ A) \circ$
 $(\text{RTS.Map } (Id\ A \otimes Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A) \circ$
 $\text{RTS.Pack } \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A)))$
 $(\text{RTS.One.the-arr},\ Trn\ t)$

proof –

have $\text{RTS.Map } (Id\ A \otimes Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A) \circ$
 $\text{RTS.Pack } \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A) =$
 $(\text{RTS.Pack } (Hom\ A\ A)\ (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A) \circ$
 $Id\text{-AxHOM-BA.map} \circ$
 $\text{RTS.Unpack } \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A)) \circ$
 $\text{RTS.Pack } \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ (Dom\ t)\ A)$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *HOM-BA.a Id-in-hom* *RTS.Map-prod*
RTS.ide-char *RTS.ide-one* *RTS.in-homE* *RTS.unity-agreement*)

assms ide-Hom)
also have ... =
 $(RTS.Pack (Hom A A) (Hom (Dom t) A) \circ$
 $Id-AxHOM-BA.map) \circ$
 $(RTS.Unpack \mathbf{1} (Hom (Dom t) A) \circ$
 $RTS.Pack \mathbf{1} (Hom (Dom t) A))$
by auto
also have ... = $(RTS.Pack (Hom A A) (Hom (Dom t) A) \circ$
 $Id-AxHOM-BA.map) \circ$
 $I IxHOM-BA.resid$
proof –
have $RTS.Unpack \mathbf{1} (Hom (Dom t) A) \circ$
 $RTS.Pack \mathbf{1} (Hom (Dom t) A) =$
 $I IxHOM-BA.resid$
using *PU.inv* **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
also have ... = $RTS.Pack (Hom A A) (Hom (Dom t) A) \circ$
 $(Id-AxHOM-BA.map \circ I IxHOM-BA.resid)$
by auto
also have ... = $RTS.Pack (Hom A A) (Hom (Dom t) A) \circ$
 $Id-AxHOM-BA.map$
using *comp-simulation-identity*
 $[of IxHOM-BA.resid Id-AxHOM-BA.B1xB0.resid$
 $Id-AxHOM-BA.map]$
 $Id-AxHOM-BA.simulation-axioms$
by auto
finally have $RTS.Map (Id A \otimes Hom (Dom t) A) \circ$
 $RTS.Pack \mathbf{1} (Hom (Dom t) A) =$
 $RTS.Pack (Hom A A) (Hom (Dom t) A) \circ Id-AxHOM-BA.map$
by blast
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
also have ... =
 $((RTS.Map (Comp (Dom t) A A) \circ$
 $RTS.Map (Id A \otimes Hom (Dom t) A)) \circ$
 $RTS.Pack \mathbf{1} (Hom (Dom t) A))$
 $(RTS.One.the-arr, Trn t)$
by auto
also have ... =
 $(RTS.Map (Comp (Dom t) A A \cdot (Id A \otimes Hom (Dom t) A)) \circ$
 $RTS.Pack \mathbf{1} (Hom (Dom t) A))$
 $(RTS.One.the-arr, Trn t)$
using *assms t Comp-Id-Hom HOM-BA.a*
 $RTS.Map-comp$
 $[of Comp (Dom t) A A Id A \otimes Hom (Dom t) A]$
by auto
also have ... = $(RTS.Map \mathbb{1}[Hom (Dom t) A] \circ$
 $RTS.Pack \mathbf{1} (Hom (Dom t) A))$

```

      (RTS.One.the-arr, Trn t)
    using assms t arr-char Comp-Id-Hom
    by (simp add: RTS.lunit-agreement)
  also have ... = (IxHOM-BA.P0 ◦
    RTS.Unpack RTS.one (Hom (Dom t) A) ◦
    RTS.Pack 1 (Hom (Dom t) A))
    (RTS.One.the-arr, Trn t)
  using assms t arr-char RTS.Map-lunit RTS.ide-one by auto
  also have ... = (IxHOM-BA.P0 ◦
    (RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom (Dom t) A) ◦
    RTS.Pack 1 (Hom (Dom t) A)))
    (RTS.One.the-arr, Trn t)

  by auto
  also have ... = IxHOM-BA.P0 (RTS.One.the-arr, Trn t)
  using assms t arr-char PU.inv IxHOM-BA.P0.simulation-axioms
    comp-simulation-identity
    [of IxHOM-BA.resid - IxHOM-BA.P0]
  by simp
  also have ... = Trn t
  using t arr-char IxHOM-BA.P0-def IxHOM-BA.arr-char
    Id-A.preserves-reflects-arr RTS.ide-one a
  by auto
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  apply (cases t)
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis Cod.simps(1) Dom.simps(1) Trn.elims)
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *H-ide-is-V-ide*:

assumes *H.ide a*

shows *V.ide a*

proof –

have 1: $V.arr a \wedge a = mkobj (Dom a)$

by (metis assms Cod.simps(1) *H-ide-char Id-yields-horiz-ide*
horizontal-unit-def arr-char)

interpret *HOM-AA*: *hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp* $\langle Dom a \rangle \langle Dom a \rangle$

using *assms 1 arr-char* **by** *unfold-locales simp*

interpret *Id-A*: *simulation* $\langle RTS.Dom 1 \rangle \langle HOM_{EC} (Dom a) (Dom a) \rangle$
 $\langle RTS.Map (Id (Dom a)) \rangle$

using 1 *arr-char Id-in-hom RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ide-one* **by** *force*

have *V.ide* (*MkArr* (*Dom a*) (*Dom a*))
(*RTS.Map* (*Id* (*Dom a*)) *RTS.One.the-arr*)

proof –

have *Trn a* = *RTS.Map* (*Id* (*Dom a*)) *RTS.One.the-arr*

using 1 **by** (metis *Trn.simps(1)*)

moreover have $2: \text{HOM-AA.ide} \dots$
using $\text{Id-A.preserves-ide RTS.One.ide-char}_{1\text{RTS}} \text{RTS.ide-one}$ **by force**
ultimately show $?thesis$
by $(metis\ 1\ \text{Cod.simps}(1)\ \text{arr-char}\ \text{ide-char})$
qed
thus $V.ide\ a$
using $1\ \text{ide-char}$ **by** $metis$
qed

lemma $H\text{-domains-char}$:
shows $H.domains\ t = \{a. V.arr\ t \wedge a = mkobj\ (Dom\ t)\}$
proof
show $\{a. V.arr\ t \wedge a = mkobj\ (Dom\ t)\} \subseteq H.domains\ t$
proof
fix a
assume $a: a \in \{a. V.arr\ t \wedge a = mkobj\ (Dom\ t)\}$
have $H.ide\ a$
using $a\ \text{arr-char}\ \text{Id-yields-horiz-ide}$ **by force**
thus $a \in H.domains\ t$
using $a\ H.domains-def\ H.ide-def\ H-composable-char\ H-null-char$
by force
qed
show $H.domains\ t \subseteq \{a. V.arr\ t \wedge a = mkobj\ (Dom\ t)\}$
proof
fix a
assume $a: a \in H.domains\ t$
have $1: H.ide\ a \wedge hcomp\ t\ a \neq H.null$
using $a\ H.domains-def$ **by blast**
have $a = mkobj\ (Dom\ t)$
using $a\ 1\ H.ide-def$
by $(metis\ (\text{no-types},\ \text{lifting})\ \text{Dom.simps}(1)\ H-composable-char\ H-null-char\ \text{Id-yields-horiz-ide}\ \text{arr-char})$
moreover have $V.arr\ t$
using $1\ hcomp-def\ null-char\ H-null-char$ **by** $metis$
ultimately show $a \in \{a. V.arr\ t \wedge a = mkobj\ (Dom\ t)\}$
by blast
qed
qed

lemma $H\text{-codomains-char}$:
shows $H.codomains\ t = \{a. V.arr\ t \wedge a = mkobj\ (Cod\ t)\}$
proof
show $\{a. V.arr\ t \wedge a = mkobj\ (Cod\ t)\} \subseteq H.codomains\ t$
proof
fix a
assume $a: a \in \{a. V.arr\ t \wedge a = mkobj\ (Cod\ t)\}$
have $H.ide\ a$
using $a\ \text{arr-char}\ \text{Id-yields-horiz-ide}$ **by force**
thus $a \in H.codomains\ t$

using a H .codomains-def H .ide-def H -composable-char H -null-char
by *force*
qed
show H .codomains $t \subseteq \{a. V.arr\ t \wedge a = mkobj\ (Cod\ t)\}$
proof
fix a
assume $a: a \in H$.codomains t
have $1: H$.ide $a \wedge hcomp\ a\ t \neq H$.null
using a H .codomains-def **by** *blast*
have $a = mkobj\ (Cod\ t)$
using a 1 H .ide-def
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) Cod .simps(1) H -composable-char
 H -null-char Id -yields-horiz-ide *arr-char*)
moreover **have** V .arr t
using 1 *hcomp-def* *null-char* H -null-char **by** *metis*
ultimately **show** $a \in \{a. V.arr\ t \wedge a = mkobj\ (Cod\ t)\}$
by *blast*
qed
qed

lemma H -arr-char:

shows H .arr $t \iff t \neq Null \wedge Dom\ t \in Obj \wedge Cod\ t \in Obj \wedge$
 $residuation.arr\ (HOM_{EC}\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t))\ (Trn\ t)$

proof

assume $t: H$.arr t
interpret $HOM: hom$ -rts *arr-type* $Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ \langle Dom\ t \rangle\ \langle Cod\ t \rangle$
using t
by *unfold-locales*
(auto simp add: H.arr-def H-codomains-char H-domains-char arr-char)
show $t \neq Null \wedge Dom\ t \in Obj \wedge Cod\ t \in Obj \wedge HOM$.arr $(Trn\ t)$
using t H .arr-def H -codomains-char H -domains-char *arr-char* **by** *auto*
next
assume $t: t \neq Null \wedge Dom\ t \in Obj \wedge Cod\ t \in Obj \wedge$
 $residuation.arr\ (HOM_{EC}\ (Dom\ t)\ (Cod\ t))\ (Trn\ t)$
have V .arr t
using t *arr-char* **by** *blast*
thus H .arr t
using t H .arr-def H -codomains-char H -domains-char *arr-char*
 Id -yields-horiz-ide
by *blast*
qed

lemma H -seq-char:

shows H .seq $t\ u \iff V$.arr $t \wedge V$.arr $u \wedge Dom\ t = Cod\ u$
by (*metis* H -arr-char H -composable-char *arr-char* *arr-hcomp* *null-char*)

sublocale H : *category* *hcomp*

proof

show $\bigwedge t\ u. hcomp\ t\ u \neq H$.null $\implies H$.seq $t\ u$

```

using hcomp-def H-seq-char H-null-char null-char
by auto argo+
show  $\bigwedge t. (H.domains\ t \neq \{\}) = (H.codomains\ t \neq \{\})$ 
by (simp add: H-codomains-char H-domains-char)
show  $\bigwedge h\ g\ f. \llbracket H.seq\ h\ g; H.seq\ (h \star g)\ f \rrbracket \implies H.seq\ g\ f$ 
using H-seq-char
by (metis Dom.simps(1) hcomp-def)
show  $\bigwedge h\ g\ f. \llbracket H.seq\ h\ (g \star f); H.seq\ g\ f \rrbracket \implies H.seq\ h\ g$ 
using H-seq-char
by (metis Cod.simps(1) hcomp-def)
show  $\bigwedge g\ f\ h. \llbracket H.seq\ g\ f; H.seq\ h\ g \rrbracket \implies H.seq\ (h \star g)\ f$ 
using H-seq-char
by (metis Dom.simps(1) arr-hcomp hcomp-def)
show  $\bigwedge t\ u\ v. \llbracket H.seq\ u\ v; H.seq\ t\ u \rrbracket \implies (t \star u) \star v = t \star u \star v$ 
proof (intro arr-eqI)
  fix t u v
  assume tu: H.seq t u and uv: H.seq u v
  show  $(t \star u) \star v \neq V.null$ 
    using tu uv arr-hcomp H-seq-char H-composable-char null-char by auto
  show  $t \star u \star v \neq V.null$ 
    using tu uv arr-hcomp H-seq-char H-composable-char null-char by auto
  show  $Dom\ ((t \star u) \star v) = Dom\ (t \star u \star v)$ 
    using tu uv arr-hcomp H-seq-char H-composable-char null-char by simp
  show  $Cod\ ((t \star u) \star v) = Cod\ (t \star u \star v)$ 
    using tu uv arr-hcomp H-seq-char H-composable-char null-char by simp
  show  $Trn\ ((t \star u) \star v) = Trn\ (t \star u \star v)$ 
proof -
  let ?A = Dom v and ?B = Cod v and ?C = Cod u and ?D = Cod t
  have A: ?A ∈ Obj and B: ?B ∈ Obj and C: ?C ∈ Obj and D: ?D ∈ Obj
    using tu uv arr-char arr-char [of u] arr-char [of v] H-seq-char by blast+
  interpret AB: hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp ?A ?B
    using A B by unfold-locales
  interpret BC: hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp ?B ?C
    using B C by unfold-locales
  interpret CD: hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp ?C ?D
    using C D by unfold-locales
  interpret CDxBC: product-rts  $\langle HOM_{EC}\ ?C\ ?D \rangle \langle HOM_{EC}\ ?B\ ?C \rangle ..$ 
  interpret BCxAB: product-rts  $\langle HOM_{EC}\ ?B\ ?C \rangle \langle HOM_{EC}\ ?A\ ?B \rangle ..$ 
  interpret CDxBC-x-AB: product-rts CDxBC.resid  $\langle HOM_{EC}\ ?A\ ?B \rangle ..$ 
  interpret CD-x-BCxAB: product-rts  $\langle HOM_{EC}\ ?C\ ?D \rangle BCxAB.resid ..$ 
  interpret I-AB: simulation
     $\langle HOM_{EC}\ ?A\ ?B \rangle \langle HOM_{EC}\ ?A\ ?B \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Map\ (Hom\ ?A\ ?B) \rangle$ 
    using A B by (metis RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ideD(1-3) ide-Hom)
  interpret I-BC: simulation
     $\langle HOM_{EC}\ ?B\ ?C \rangle \langle HOM_{EC}\ ?B\ ?C \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Map\ (Hom\ ?B\ ?C) \rangle$ 
    using B C by (metis RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ideD(1-3) ide-Hom)
  interpret I-CD: simulation

```

```

    ⟨HOMEC ?C ?D⟩ ⟨HOMEC ?C ?D⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (Hom ?C ?D)⟩
using C D by (metis RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ideD(1-3) ide-Hom)
interpret I-CDxI-BC: product-simulation
    ⟨HOMEC ?C ?D⟩ ⟨HOMEC ?B ?C⟩
    ⟨HOMEC ?C ?D⟩ ⟨HOMEC ?B ?C⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (Hom ?C ?D)⟩ ⟨RTS.Map (Hom ?B ?C)⟩

..
interpret PU-BC-AB: inverse-simulations
    ⟨RTS.Dom (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)⟩
    BCxAB.resid
    ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B)⟩
using A B C D RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by simp
interpret PU-CD-BC: inverse-simulations
    ⟨RTS.Dom (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C)⟩
    CDxBC.resid
    ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C)⟩
using A B C D RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by simp
interpret bcxab: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Dom (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)⟩
using A B C D RTS.arrD
    RTS.ide-char [of Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B]
by blast
interpret CD-x-bcxab: product-rts
    ⟨HOMEC ?C ?D⟩
    ⟨RTS.Dom (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)⟩

..
interpret cdxbc: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Dom (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C)⟩
using A B C D RTS.arrD
    RTS.ide-char [of Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C]
by blast
interpret cdxbc-x-AB: product-rts
    ⟨RTS.Dom (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C)⟩
    ⟨HOMEC ?A ?B⟩

..
interpret PU-cdxbc-x-AB: inverse-simulations
    ⟨RTS.Dom ((Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)⟩
    cdxbc-x-AB.resid
    ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Unpack
      (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B)⟩
using A B C D RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by auto
interpret PU-CD-x-bcxab: inverse-simulations
    ⟨RTS.Dom
      (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)⟩
    CD-x-bcxab.resid
    ⟨RTS.Pack
      (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)⟩

```

```

      ‹RTS.Unpack
        (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)›
using A B C D RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by auto
interpret I-AB: identity-simulation ‹HOMEC ?A ?B› ..
interpret U-CD-BC-x-I-AB: product-simulation
  ‹RTS.Dom (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C)›
  ‹HOMEC ?A ?B›
  CDxBC.resid ‹HOMEC ?A ?B›
  ‹RTS.Unpack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C)›
  ‹I (HOMEC ?A ?B)›

..
interpret C-CD-BC: simulation
  ‹RTS.Dom (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C)›
  ‹HOMEC ?B ?D›
  ‹RTS.Map (Comp ?B ?C ?D)›
using B C D Comp-in-hom [of ?B ?C ?D] arr-char
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ideD(2) RTS.ide-prod
  RTS.in-homE ide-Hom prod.sel(1-2))
interpret C-CD-BC-x-I-AB: product-simulation
  ‹RTS.Dom (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C)›
  ‹HOMEC ?A ?B›
  ‹HOMEC ?B ?D› ‹HOMEC ?A ?B›
  ‹RTS.Map (Comp ?B ?C ?D)›
  ‹RTS.Map (Hom ?A ?B)›

..
interpret I-CD: identity-simulation ‹HOMEC ?C ?D› ..
interpret I-CD-x-P-BC-AB: product-simulation
  ‹HOMEC ?C ?D› BCxAB.resid
  ‹HOMEC ?C ?D›
  ‹RTS.Dom (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)›
  ‹I (HOMEC ?C ?D)›
  ‹RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B)›

..
interpret C-BC-AB: simulation
  ‹RTS.Dom (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)›
  ‹HOMEC ?A ?C›
  ‹RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?B ?C)›
using A B C Comp-in-hom [of ?A ?B ?C] arr-char
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ideD(2) RTS.ide-prod
  RTS.in-homE ide-Hom prod.sel(1) prod.sel(2))
interpret I-CD-x-Comp-ABC: product-simulation
  ‹HOMEC ?C ?D›
  ‹RTS.Dom (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)›
  ‹HOMEC ?C ?D› ‹HOMEC ?A ?C›
  ‹RTS.Map (Hom ?C ?D)›
  ‹RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?B ?C)›

..
have Trn ((t ★ u) ★ v) =
  (RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?B ?D))

```

```

      (RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?D) (Hom ?A ?B)
       (RTS.Map (Comp ?B ?C ?D)
        (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u)),
        Trn v)))
    using tu uw H-seq-char ⟨(t ★ u) ★ v ≠ V.null⟩ hcomp-def by auto
  also have ... =
    RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?B ?D)
      (RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?D) (Hom ?A ?B)
       (RTS.Map (Comp ?B ?C ?D)
        (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u)),
        I (HOMEC ?A ?B) (Trn v)))
    using H-seq-char arr-char uw by simp
  also have ... =
    RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?B ?D)
      ((RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?D) (Hom ?A ?B) ◦ C-CD-BC-x-I-AB.map)
       (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u), Trn v))
    using A B C D RTS.Map-ide C-CD-BC-x-I-AB.map-simp H-seq-char
      PU-CD-BC.F.preserves-reflects-arr arr-char tu uw
    by force
  also have ... =
    RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?B ?D)
      ((RTS.Map (Comp ?B ?C ?D) ⊗ Hom ?A ?B) ◦
       RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B))
      (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u), Trn v))
  proof -
    have RTS.Map (Comp ?B ?C ?D) ⊗ Hom ?A ?B) ◦
      RTS.Pack
        (RTS.prod (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C)) (Hom ?A ?B) =
      RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?D) (Hom ?A ?B) ◦ C-CD-BC-x-I-AB.map ◦
        (RTS.Unpack (Hom ?C ?D) ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B) ◦
          RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B))
    using A B C D Comp-in-hom [of ?B ?C ?D]
      RTS.Map-prod [of Comp ?B ?C ?D Hom ?A ?B]
    by fastforce
  also have ... =
    RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?D) (Hom ?A ?B) ◦
      (C-CD-BC-x-I-AB.map ◦ I cdxbc-x-AB.resid)
    using PU-cdxbc-x-AB.inv
    by auto
  also have ... = RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?D) (Hom ?A ?B) ◦
    C-CD-BC-x-I-AB.map
    using comp-simulation-identity
      [of cdxbc-x-AB.resid C-CD-BC-x-I-AB.B1xB0.resid
       C-CD-BC-x-I-AB.map]
      C-CD-BC-x-I-AB.simulation-axioms
    by auto
  finally have RTS.Map (Comp ?B ?C ?D) ⊗ Hom ?A ?B) ◦
    RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B) =
    RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?D) (Hom ?A ?B) ◦ C-CD-BC-x-I-AB.map

```



```

    by blast
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... =
  ((RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?B ?D) ◦
    RTS.Map (Comp ?B ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)) ◦
    RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B))
    (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u), Trn v)
  by auto
also have ... =
  (RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?B ?D · (Comp ?B ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)) ◦
    RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B))
    (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u), Trn v)
proof -
  have RTS.seq (Comp ?A ?B ?D) (Comp ?B ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)
    using A B C D Comp-in-hom
  apply (intro RTS.seqI)
  apply auto[3]
  by (metis RTS.ide-char RTS.in-homE RTS.prod-simps(1,3) ide-Hom)+
  thus ?thesis
    using RTS.Map-comp
      [of Comp ?A ?B ?D Comp ?B ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?A ?B]
    by argo
qed
also have ... =
  (RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?C ?D · (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Comp ?A ?B ?C) ·
    a[Hom ?C ?D, Hom ?B ?C, Hom ?A ?B]) ◦
    RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B))
    (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u), Trn v)
  using A B C D Comp-assoc RTS.assoc-agreement by auto
also have ... =
  ((RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?C ?D) ◦
    RTS.Map ((Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Comp ?A ?B ?C) ·
      a[Hom ?C ?D, Hom ?B ?C, Hom ?A ?B])) ◦
    RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B))
    (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u), Trn v)
proof -
  have RTS.seq
    (Comp ?A ?C ?D)
    ((Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Comp ?A ?B ?C) ·
      a[Hom ?C ?D, Hom ?B ?C, Hom ?A ?B])
  using A B C D
    Comp-in-hom [of ?A ?C ?D] Comp-in-hom [of ?A ?B ?C]
    RTS.assoc-in-hom
  apply (intro RTS.seqI)
  apply auto[4]
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using RTS.Map-comp

```

$[of\ Comp\ ?A\ ?C\ ?D$
 $(Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Comp\ ?A\ ?B\ ?C) \cdot$
 $a[Hom\ ?C\ ?D, Hom\ ?B\ ?C, Hom\ ?A\ ?B]]$

by argo

qed

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((RTS.Map\ (Comp\ ?A\ ?C\ ?D) \circ \\
& \quad (RTS.Map\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Comp\ ?A\ ?B\ ?C) \circ \\
& \quad \quad RTS.Map \\
& \quad \quad \quad (RTS.assoc\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D)\ (Hom\ ?B\ ?C)\ (Hom\ ?A\ ?B)))) \circ \\
& \quad RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Hom\ ?B\ ?C)\ (Hom\ ?A\ ?B)) \\
& \quad (RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D)\ (Hom\ ?B\ ?C)\ (Trn\ t, Trn\ u), Trn\ v)
\end{aligned}$$

proof –

have $RTS.seq\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Comp\ ?A\ ?B\ ?C)$
 $a[Hom\ (Cod\ u)\ (Cod\ t), Hom\ (Cod\ v)\ (Cod\ u),$
 $Hom\ (Dom\ v)\ (Cod\ v)]$

using $A\ B\ C\ D\ Comp\text{-in-hom}\ [of\ ?A\ ?B\ ?C]\ RTS.assoc\text{-simps}(1,3)$
 $RTS.arrI$

by $(intro\ RTS.seqI)\ auto$

thus $?thesis$

using $RTS.Map\text{-comp}$
 $[of\ Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Comp\ ?A\ ?B\ ?C$
 $RTS.assoc\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D)\ (Hom\ ?B\ ?C)\ (Hom\ ?A\ ?B)]$

by auto

qed

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
& (RTS.Map\ (Comp\ ?A\ ?C\ ?D) \circ \\
& \quad RTS.Map\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Comp\ ?A\ ?B\ ?C)) \\
& \quad (RTS.Map\ (RTS.assoc\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D)\ (Hom\ ?B\ ?C)\ (Hom\ ?A\ ?B)) \\
& \quad \quad (RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Hom\ ?B\ ?C)\ (Hom\ ?A\ ?B) \\
& \quad \quad \quad (RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D)\ (Hom\ ?B\ ?C)\ (Trn\ t, Trn\ u), \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad Trn\ v)))
\end{aligned}$$

using $comp\text{-assoc}\ by\ simp$

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
& (RTS.Map\ (Comp\ ?A\ ?C\ ?D) \circ \\
& \quad RTS.Map\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Comp\ ?A\ ?B\ ?C)) \\
& \quad ((RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D)\ (Hom\ ?B\ ?C \otimes Hom\ ?A\ ?B) \circ \\
& \quad \quad I\text{-CD-x-P-BC-AB.map} \circ \\
& \quad \quad \quad ASSOC.map \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad (HOM_{EC}\ ?C\ ?D)\ (HOM_{EC}\ ?B\ ?C)\ (HOM_{EC}\ ?A\ ?B) \circ \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad U\text{-CD-BC-x-I-AB.map}) \\
& \quad \quad ((RTS.Unpack\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Hom\ ?B\ ?C)\ (Hom\ ?A\ ?B) \circ \\
& \quad \quad \quad RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Hom\ ?B\ ?C)\ (Hom\ ?A\ ?B)) \\
& \quad \quad \quad (RTS.Pack\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D)\ (Hom\ ?B\ ?C)\ (Trn\ t, Trn\ u), \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad Trn\ v)))
\end{aligned}$$

using $A\ B\ C\ D\ RTS.Map\text{-assoc}\ by\ simp$

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
& (RTS.Map\ (Comp\ ?A\ ?C\ ?D) \circ \\
& \quad RTS.Map\ (Hom\ ?C\ ?D \otimes Comp\ ?A\ ?B\ ?C))
\end{aligned}$$

$((RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C \otimes Hom ?A ?B) \circ$
 $I-CD-x-P-BC-AB.map \circ$
 $ASSOC.map$
 $(HOM_{EC} ?C ?D) (HOM_{EC} ?B ?C) (HOM_{EC} ?A ?B))$
 $(U-CD-BC-x-I-AB.map$
 $(RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u),$
 $Trn v)))$

proof –

have $RTS.Unpack (Hom ?C ?D \otimes Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B) \circ$
 $RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D \otimes Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B) =$
 $I cdxbc-x-AB.resid$
using $A B C D PU-cdxbc-x-AB.inv$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $I cdxbc-x-AB.resid$
 $(RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C)$
 $(Trn t, Trn u), Trn v) =$
 $(RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u),$
 $Trn v)$

proof –

have $CDxBC.arr (Trn t, Trn u)$
using $tu uv arr-char [of t] arr-char [of u] H-seq-char$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $AB.arr (Trn v)$
using $uv arr-char H-seq-char$ **by** *simp*
ultimately have $cdxbc-x-AB.arr$
 $(RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u),$
 $Trn v)$
using $A B C D PU-CD-BC.F.preserves-reflects-arr$ **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
also have ... =
 $(RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?C ?D) \circ$
 $RTS.Map (Hom ?C ?D \otimes Comp ?A ?B ?C))$
 $((RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C \otimes Hom ?A ?B) \circ$
 $I-CD-x-P-BC-AB.map \circ$
 $ASSOC.map$
 $(HOM_{EC} ?C ?D) (HOM_{EC} ?B ?C) (HOM_{EC} ?A ?B))$
 $((RTS.Unpack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) \circ$
 $RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C))$
 $(Trn t, Trn u),$
 $Trn v))$

proof –

have $cdxbc-x-AB.arr$
 $(RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C) (Trn t, Trn u), Trn v)$
using $tu uv arr-char H-seq-char PU-CD-BC.F.preserves-reflects-arr$
by *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using $A B C D U-CD-BC-x-I-AB.map-simp$ **by** *fastforce*
qed

also have ... =
 (RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?C ?D) ◦
 RTS.Map (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Comp ?A ?B ?C))
 ((RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)) ◦
 I-CD-x-P-BC-AB.map)
 (ASSOC.map
 (HOM_{EC} ?C ?D) (HOM_{EC} ?B ?C) (HOM_{EC} ?A ?B)
 ((Trn t, Trn u), Trn v)))
using tu uv arr-char H-seq-char PU-CD-BC.inv **by** fastforce
also have ... =
 (RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?C ?D) ◦
 RTS.Map (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Comp ?A ?B ?C))
 ((RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)) ◦
 I-CD-x-P-BC-AB.map)
 (Trn t, Trn u, Trn v))
proof –
interpret A: ASSOC
 ⟨HOM_{EC} ?C ?D⟩ ⟨HOM_{EC} ?B ?C⟩ ⟨HOM_{EC} ?A ?B⟩
 ..
have CDxBC-x-AB.arr ((Trn t, Trn u), Trn v)
using tu uv arr-char H-seq-char **by** fastforce
thus ?thesis
using A.map-eq **by** simp
qed
also have ... =
 (RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?C ?D)
 ((RTS.Map (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Comp ?A ?B ?C) ◦
 RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B))
 (Trn t, RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B) (Trn u, Trn v))))
using tu uv arr-char H-seq-char **by** fastforce
also have ... =
 RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?C ?D)
 ((RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?A ?C) ◦ I-CD-x-Comp-ABC.map)
 (Trn t, RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B) (Trn u, Trn v)))
proof –
have RTS.Map (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Comp ?A ?B ?C) ◦
 RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B) =
 (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?A ?C) ◦
 I-CD-x-Comp-ABC.map ◦
 (RTS.Unpack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B) ◦
 RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B)))
using A B C D RTS.Map-prod [of Hom ?C ?D Comp ?A ?B ?C]
 Comp-in-hom [of ?A ?B ?C]
by fastforce
also have ... =
 (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?A ?C) ◦
 (I-CD-x-Comp-ABC.map ◦ I CD-x-bcxab.resid))
using PU-CD-x-bcxab.inv **by** auto
also have ... = RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?A ?C) ◦

```

      I-CD-x-Comp-ABC.map
    using comp-simulation-identity
      [of CD-x-bcxab.resid - I-CD-x-Comp-ABC.map]
      I-CD-x-Comp-ABC.simulation-axioms
    by simp
  finally have RTS.Map (Hom ?C ?D ⊗ Comp ?A ?B ?C) ∘
    RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?B ?C ⊗ Hom ?A ?B) =
    RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?A ?C) ∘ I-CD-x-Comp-ABC.map
  by simp
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... =
  RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?C ?D)
    (RTS.Pack (Hom ?C ?D) (Hom ?A ?C)
      (Trn t,
        RTS.Map (Comp ?A ?B ?C)
          (RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B) (Trn u, Trn v))))
  using A B C D tu uv arr-char H-seq-char RTS.Map-ide
    I-CD-x-Comp-ABC.map-simp
    [of Trn t RTS.Pack (Hom ?B ?C) (Hom ?A ?B) (Trn u, Trn v)]
  by fastforce
  also have ... = Trn (t ★ u ★ v)
  using tu uv H-seq-char
  apply auto[1]
  using arr-hcomp hcomp-def by auto
  finally show Trn ((t ★ u) ★ v) = Trn (t ★ u ★ v)
  by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *is-category*:
shows *category hcomp*
 ..

lemma *H-dom-char*:
shows *H.dom* =
 (λt. if *H.arr* t
 then *MkArr* (*Dom* t) (*Dom* t)
 (RTS.Map (*Id* (*Dom* t)) *RTS.One.the-arr*)
 else *V.null*)
 using *H-domains-char* *H.dom-in-domains* *H.has-domain-iff-arr* *H.dom-def*
H-null-char
 by auto

lemma *H-dom-simp*:
assumes *V.arr* t
shows *H.dom* t = *MkArr* (*Dom* t) (*Dom* t)
 (RTS.Map (*Id* (*Dom* t)) *RTS.One.the-arr*)

using *assms arr-char H-arr-char H-dom-char* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *H-cod-char*:

shows *H.cod =*

($\lambda t.$ *if H.arr t*
 then MkArr (Cod t) (Cod t)
 (*RTS.Map (Id (Cod t)) RTS.One.the-arr*)
 else V.null)

using *H-codomains-char H.cod-in-codomains H.has-codomain-iff-arr*
 H.cod-def H-null-char

by *auto*

lemma *H-cod-simp*:

assumes *V.arr t*

shows *H.cod t = MkArr (Cod t) (Cod t)*
 (*RTS.Map (Id (Cod t)) RTS.One.the-arr*)

using *assms arr-char H-arr-char H-cod-char* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *con-implies-H-par*:

assumes *V.con t u*

shows *H.par t u*

using *assms con-char V.con-implies-arr(1-2) H-arr-char*
 H-dom-simp H-cod-simp

by (*simp add: arr-char con-implies-Par(1-2)*)

lemma *H-par-resid*:

assumes *V.con t u*

shows *H.par t (resid t u)*

using *assms con-char V.con-implies-arr(1-2) H-arr-char*
 H-dom-simp H-cod-simp
 Dom-resid Cod-resid arr-char V.arr-resid

by (*intro conjI*) *metis+*

lemma *simulation-dom*:

shows *simulation resid resid H.dom*

using *H-dom-char arr-char H-arr-char con-char*

apply *unfold-locales*

apply *auto[1]*

apply (*metis (no-types, lifting) Con-def H.arr-dom V.arrE*)

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) H.ide-dom H.ide-is-V-ide H-par-resid*
 V.ideE con-implies-H-par)

lemma *simulation-cod*:

shows *simulation resid resid H.cod*

using *H-cod-char arr-char H-arr-char con-char*

apply *unfold-locales*

apply *presburger*

apply (*metis (no-types, lifting) H.arr-cod V.arr-def*
 rts-category-of-enriched-category.ConE)

rts-category-of-enriched-category-axioms)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) H.ide-cod H.ide-is-V-ide*
H-par-resid V.con-implies-arr(2) V.ideE con-implies-Par(2))

sublocale *dom*: *simulation resid resid H.dom*
using *simulation-dom* **by** *blast*
sublocale *cod*: *simulation resid resid H.cod*
using *simulation-cod* **by** *blast*
sublocale *RR*: *fibred-product-rts resid resid resid H.dom H.cod ..*

sublocale *H*: *simulation RR.resid resid*
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } RR.\text{arr } t \text{ then } \text{fst } t \star \text{snd } t \text{ else } V.\text{null} \rangle$

proof
let $?C = \lambda t. \text{if } RR.\text{arr } t \text{ then } \text{fst } t \star \text{snd } t \text{ else } V.\text{null}$
show $\bigwedge t. \neg RR.\text{arr } t \implies ?C t = V.\text{null}$
by *simp*
fix $t u$
assume $tu: RR.\text{con } t u$
have $\text{arr-}t: RR.\text{arr } t$
using tu *RR.con-implies-arr* **by** *blast*
have $\text{arr-}u: RR.\text{arr } u$
using tu *RR.con-implies-arr* **by** *blast*
have $t: V.\text{arr } (\text{fst } t) \wedge V.\text{arr } (\text{snd } t) \wedge \text{Dom } (\text{fst } t) = \text{Cod } (\text{snd } t)$
by (*metis H.cod-simp H-dom-simp RR.arr-char arr.inject arr-t*)
have $u: V.\text{arr } (\text{fst } u) \wedge V.\text{arr } (\text{snd } u) \wedge \text{Dom } (\text{fst } u) = \text{Cod } (\text{snd } u)$
using *H.cod-simp H-dom-simp RR.arr-char arr-u* **by** *auto*
let $?a = \text{Dom } (\text{snd } t)$ **and** $?b = \text{Cod } (\text{snd } t)$ **and** $?c = \text{Cod } (\text{fst } t)$
have $a: ?a \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $b: ?b \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $c: ?c \in \text{Obj}$
using tu *RR.con-char RR.con-implies-arr ide-char arr-char* **by** *fast+*
interpret *AB*: *hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp ?a ?b*
using $t u$ *ide-char arr-char*
by *unfold-locales auto*
interpret *BC*: *hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp ?b ?c*
using $t u$ *ide-char arr-char*
by *unfold-locales auto*
interpret *AC*: *hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp ?a ?c*
using $t u$ *ide-char arr-char*
by *unfold-locales auto*
interpret *BCxAB*: *product-rts $\langle \text{HOM}_{EC} ?b ?c \rangle \langle \text{HOM}_{EC} ?a ?b \rangle ..$*
interpret *bcxab*: *extensional-rts*
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts } (\text{RTS.dom } (\text{Hom } ?b ?c \otimes \text{Hom } ?a ?b)) \rangle$
using $t u$ *ide-char arr-char* **by** *auto*
have $1: \text{Dom } (\text{snd } u) = ?a$
using tu *RR.con-char RR.arr-char RR.con-implies-arr RR.con-sym*
H-dom-simp H-cod-simp
by (*meson con-implies-Par(1)*)
have $2: \text{Cod } (\text{fst } u) = ?c$
using tu *RR.con-char RR.arr-char RR.con-implies-arr RR.con-sym*
H-dom-simp H-cod-simp

```

  by (meson con-implies-Par(2))
have 3: Cod (snd u) = ?b
  using tu RR.con-char RR.arr-char RR.con-implies-arr RR.con-sym
      H-dom-simp H-cod-simp
  by (meson con-implies-Par(2))
have 4: BCxAB.con (Trn (fst t), Trn (snd t)) (Trn (fst u), Trn (snd u))
  using tu RR.con-char BCxAB.con-char con-char t by auto

interpret P: simulation BCxAB.resid
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom ?b ?c ⊗ Hom ?a ?b))⟩
  ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom ?b ?c) (Hom ?a ?b)⟩
  using a b c RTS.simulation-Pack by auto
have 5: bcxab.con
  (RTS.Pack (Hom ?b ?c) (Hom ?a ?b) (Trn (fst t), Trn (snd t)))
  (RTS.Pack (Hom ?b ?c) (Hom ?a ?b) (Trn (fst u), Trn (snd u)))
  using 4 P.preserves-con by simp
interpret Comp: simulation
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom ?b ?c ⊗ Hom ?a ?b))⟩
  ⟨HOMEC ?a ?c⟩
  ⟨RTS.Map (Comp ?a ?b ?c)⟩
  using a b c Comp-in-hom arr-char
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ideD(2) RTS.ide-prod
      RTS.in-homE ide-Hom prod.sel(1-2))
show con: ?C t ~ ?C u
proof -
  have AC.con (RTS.Map (Comp ?a ?b ?c)
    (RTS.Pack (Hom ?b ?c) (Hom ?a ?b)
      (Trn (fst t), Trn (snd t))))
    (RTS.Map (Comp ?a ?b ?c)
      (RTS.Pack (Hom ?b ?c) (Hom ?a ?b)
        (Trn (fst u), Trn (snd u))))
  using 5 Comp.preserves-con by blast
thus ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 AC.con-implies-arr(1-2) Con-def H-composable-char
      a arr-t arr-u c con-char null-char t u
  by auto
qed
show ?C (RR.resid t u) = resid (?C t) (?C u)
proof -
  have resid (?C t) (?C u) =
    MkArr ?a ?c
      (RTS.Map (Comp ?a ?b ?c)
        (RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom ?b ?c ⊗ Hom ?a ?b))
          (RTS.Pack (Hom ?b ?c) (Hom ?a ?b) (Trn (fst t), Trn (snd t)))
          (RTS.Pack (Hom ?b ?c) (Hom ?a ?b) (Trn (fst u), Trn (snd u)))))
  using a b c t u arr-t arr-u 1 2 3 4 5 hcomp-def P.preserves-reflects-arr
      arr-char Comp.preserves-resid con con-char by force
also have ... = ?C (RR.resid t u)
  using tu t u a b c 1 2 3 4 RR.con-char RR.arr-resid hcomp-def

```


$RR.resid-def$ $BCxAB.resid-def$ $P.preserves-resid$
 by *auto*
 finally show *?thesis* by *simp*
 qed
 qed

lemma *simulation-hcomp*:
shows *simulation* $RR.resid$ *resid*
 ($\lambda t.$ if $RR.arr$ t then fst $t \star snd$ t else $V.null$)
 ..

lemma *Dom-src* [*simp*]:
assumes $V.arr$ t
shows Dom ($V.src$ t) = Dom t
 using *assms con-implies-Par(1)* by *simp*

lemma *Dom-trg* [*simp*]:
assumes $V.arr$ t
shows Dom ($V.trg$ t) = Dom t
 using *assms V.trg-def* by *simp*

lemma *Cod-src* [*simp*]:
assumes $V.arr$ t
shows Cod ($V.src$ t) = Cod t
 using *assms con-implies-Par(2)* by *simp*

lemma *Cod-trg* [*simp*]:
assumes $V.arr$ t
shows Cod ($V.trg$ t) = Cod t
 using *assms V.trg-def* by *simp*

lemma *null-coincidence* [*simp*]:
shows $H.null$ = $V.null$
 using *H-null-char* by *blast*

lemma *arr-coincidence* [*simp*]:
shows $H.arr$ = $V.arr$
 using *H-arr-char arr-char* by *blast*

lemma *dom-src* [*simp*]:
shows $H.dom$ ($V.src$ t) = $H.dom$ t
 using *H-dom-char H-arr-char arr-char null-char V.arr-src-iff-arr*
 by *auto*

lemma *src-dom* [*simp*]:
shows $V.src$ ($H.dom$ t) = $H.dom$ t
 using *H-ide-is-V-ide V.src-def V.src-ide dom.extensional* by *auto*

lemma *small-homs*:

```

shows small (H.hom a b)
proof -
  have  $\neg H.ide\ a \vee \neg H.ide\ b \implies ?thesis$ 
  proof -
    assume 1:  $\neg H.ide\ a \vee \neg H.ide\ b$ 
    have  $H.hom\ a\ b = \{\}$ 
      using 1 H.ide-dom H.ide-cod by blast
    thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  moreover have  $\llbracket H.ide\ a; H.ide\ b \rrbracket \implies ?thesis$ 
  proof -
    assume a:  $H.ide\ a$  and b:  $H.ide\ b$ 
    interpret Hom: hom-rts arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp  $\langle Dom\ a \rangle \langle Dom\ b \rangle$ 
      using a b
    by (meson H.ideD(1) H-arr-char hom-rts.intro hom-rts-axioms.intro
      rts-enriched-category-axioms)
    have bij-betw Trn (H.hom a b) (Collect Hom.arr)
    proof (intro bij-betwI)
      show  $Trn \in H.hom\ a\ b \rightarrow Collect\ Hom.arr$ 
        using a b H-ide-char H-arr-char ide-char arr-char
          H-ide-is-V-ide
      by (auto simp add: H-dom-simp H-cod-simp)
      show  $(\lambda t. MkArr\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ b)\ t) \in Collect\ Hom.arr \rightarrow H.hom\ a\ b$ 
        using a b H.ideD(1-2) H-cod-simp H-dom-char Hom.a Hom.b
          arr-MkArr arr-coincidence
      by auto
      show  $\bigwedge x. x \in H.hom\ a\ b \implies MkArr\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ b)\ (Trn\ x) = x$ 
        by (metis CollectD H.ide-in-hom H.seqI' H-seq-char MkArr-Trn a b)
      show  $\bigwedge y. y \in Collect\ Hom.arr \implies Trn\ (MkArr\ (Dom\ a)\ (Dom\ b)\ y) = y$ 
        using a b by auto
    qed
    hence inj-on Trn (H.hom a b)  $\wedge$  Collect Hom.arr = Trn ' $H.hom\ a\ b$ 
      using bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-betw-imp-surj-on by metis
    thus ?thesis
      using Hom.small small-image-iff by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

Note that the arrow type of the RTS-category given by the following is $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})\ arr$, where \mathcal{A} is the type of the universe underlying the category RTS and \mathcal{O} is the type of objects of the context RTS-enriched category. If we start with an RTS-enriched category having object type \mathcal{O} , then we construct an RTS-category having arrow type $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})\ arr$, and then we try to go back to an RTS-enriched category, the hom-RTS's will have arrow type $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})\ arr$, not \mathcal{A} as required for them to determine objects of *RTS*. So to show that the passage between RTS-categories and RTS-enriched categories is an equivalence, we will need to be able to reduce the type of the hom-RTS's from $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})\ arr$ back to \mathcal{A} .

```

sublocale rts-category resid hcomp
  using null-coincidence arr-coincidence small-homs
  by unfold-locales auto

proposition is-rts-category:
shows rts-category resid hcomp
  ..

end

```

5.2.1 The Small Case

Given an RTS-enriched category, the corresponding RTS-category R has arrows at a higher type than the arrow type $'A$ of the base category RTS . In particular, the arrow type for this category is $('O, 'A) arr$, where $'O$ is the element type of Obj . If we want to reconstruct the original RTS-enriched category up to isomorphism, then we need to be able to map this type back down to $'A$, so that we can obtain (via $RTS.MkIde$) an RTS R' with arrow type $'A$, which is isomorphic to the desired RTS-category R . For this to be possible, clearly we need the set Obj to be small. However, we also need a way to represent each element of Obj uniquely as an element of $'A$. This would be true automatically if we knew that $'A$ were large enough to embed all small sets, but we don't want to tie the definition of the category RTS itself to a particular definition of "small". So, here we instead just directly assume the existence of an injection from Obj to $'A$.

```

locale rts-category-of-small-enriched-category =
  rts-category-of-enriched-category arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp
for arr-type ::  $'A$  itself
and Obj ::  $'O$  set
and Hom ::  $'O \Rightarrow 'O \Rightarrow 'A$  rtscatx.arr
and Id ::  $'O \Rightarrow 'A$  rtscatx.arr
and Comp ::  $'O \Rightarrow 'O \Rightarrow 'O \Rightarrow 'A$  rtscatx.arr +
assumes small-Obj: small Obj
and inj-Obj-to-arr:  $\exists \varphi :: 'O \Rightarrow 'A.$  inj-on  $\varphi$  Obj
begin

```

We will use R to refer to the RTS constructed from the given enriched category.

```

abbreviation  $R :: ('O, 'A) arr$  resid
where  $R \equiv resid$ 

```

The locale assumptions are sufficient to allow us to uniquely encode each element of $Collect\ arr \cup \{null\}$ as single element of $'A$.

```

lemma ex-arrow-injection:
shows  $\exists i :: ('O, 'A) arr \Rightarrow 'A.$  inj-on  $i$   $(Collect\ arr \cup \{null\})$ 
proof –
  obtain  $\varphi :: 'O \Rightarrow 'A$  where  $\varphi$ : inj-on  $\varphi$  Obj

```

```

using inj-Obj-to-arr by blast
let  $?p = \lambda t. \text{some-pair } (\text{some-pair } (\varphi (\text{Dom } t), \varphi (\text{Cod } t)), \text{Trn } t)$ 
have  $p: \text{inj-on } ?p \text{ (Collect arr)}$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) CollectD  $\varphi$  arr-char arr-eqI
    first-conv inj-onD inj-onI null-char second-conv)
let  $?i = \lambda x. \text{some-lift } (\text{if arr } x \text{ then Some } (?p x) \text{ else None})$ 
have inj-on  $?i \text{ (Collect arr } \cup \{\text{null}\})$ 
proof
  fix  $x y$ 
  assume  $x: x \in \text{Collect arr } \cup \{\text{null}\}$  and  $y: y \in \text{Collect arr } \cup \{\text{null}\}$ 
  assume eq:  $?i x = ?i y$ 
  show  $x = y$ 
    using  $x y \text{ eq } p \text{ inj-some-lift injD inj-on-contrad}$  by fastforce
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma bij-betw-Obj-horiz-ide:
shows bij-betw mkobj Obj (Collect H.ide)
  using arr-char Id-yields-horiz-ide H-ide-char horizontal-unit-def
  apply (intro bij-betwI)
  apply auto[3]
  by (metis Dom.simps(1) mem-Collect-eq)

```

```

lemma ex-isomorphic-image-rts:
shows  $\exists R' (UP :: 'A \Rightarrow ('O, 'A) \text{arr}) (DN :: ('O, 'A) \text{arr} \Rightarrow 'A).$ 
  small-rts  $R' \wedge \text{extensional-rts } R' \wedge \text{inverse-simulations } R' \text{ } UP \text{ } DN$ 
proof –
  obtain  $i :: ('O, 'A) \text{arr} \Rightarrow 'A$  where  $i: \text{inj-on } i \text{ (Collect arr } \cup \{\text{null}\})$ 
    using ex-arrow-injection by blast
  interpret  $R': \text{inj-image-rts } i \text{ } R$ 
    using  $i$  by unfold-locales
  interpret  $R': \text{extensional-rts } R'.\text{resid}$ 
    using  $V.\text{extensional-rts-axioms } R'.\text{preserves-extensional-rts}$  by blast
  interpret  $R': \text{small-rts } R'.\text{resid}$ 
proof –
  have small (Collect arr)
proof –
  have small ( $(\text{Collect } H.\text{ide} \times \text{Collect } H.\text{ide}) \times$ 
     $(\bigcup_{x \in \text{Collect } H.\text{ide} \times \text{Collect } H.\text{ide}} H.\text{hom } (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x))$ )
proof –
  have  $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket H.\text{ide } a; H.\text{ide } b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{small } (H.\text{hom } a b)$ 
    using small-homs by auto
  moreover have small ( $\text{Collect } H.\text{ide} \times \text{Collect } H.\text{ide}$ )
    using small-Obj bij-betw-Obj-horiz-ide
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) bij-betw-imp-surj-on replacement
      small-Sigma)
  ultimately show ?thesis

```

```

    using small-homs by force
  qed
  moreover
  have (λt. ((H.dom t, H.cod t), t)) ∈
    Collect arr →
    ((Collect H.ide × Collect H.ide) ×
     (⋃ x ∈ Collect H.ide × Collect H.ide.
      H.hom (fst x) (snd x)))
  proof
    fix t
    assume t: t ∈ Collect arr
    have H.dom t ∈ Collect H.ide ∧ H.cod t ∈ Collect H.ide
      using t arr-coincidence H.ide-dom H.ide-cod by simp
    moreover have t ∈ H.hom (H.dom t) (H.cod t)
      using t arr-coincidence by auto
    ultimately
    show ((H.dom t, H.cod t), t) ∈
      (Collect H.ide × Collect H.ide) ×
      (⋃ x ∈ Collect H.ide × Collect H.ide. H.hom (fst x) (snd x))
      by auto
  qed
  moreover have inj-on (λt. ((H.dom t, H.cod t), t)) (Collect arr)
    by (intro inj-onI) blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using small-image-iff
      smaller-than-small
      [of - (λt. ((H.dom t, H.cod t), t)) 'Collect arr]
    by blast
  qed
  hence small-rts R
    using small-rts-def rts-axioms small-rts-axioms.intro by auto
  thus small-rts R'.resid
    using R'.preserves-reflects-small-rts by blast
  qed
  have inverse-simulations R'.resid R R'.mapext R'.map'ext
    using R'.inverse-simulations-axioms by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using R'.rts-axioms R'.extensional-rts-axioms R'.small-rts-axioms
      inverse-simulations-sym
    by meson
  qed

```

We now choose some RTS with the properties asserted by the previous lemma, along with the invertible simulations that relate it to R .

```

definition R' :: 'A resid
where R' ≡ SOME R'. ∃ UP DN. small-rts R' ∧ extensional-rts R' ∧
  inverse-simulations resid R' UP DN

```

```

definition UP :: 'A ⇒ ('O, 'A) arr

```

where $UP \equiv \text{SOME } UP. \exists DN. \text{small-rts } R' \wedge \text{extensional-rts } R' \wedge$
inverse-simulations resid R' UP DN

definition $DN :: ('O, 'A) \text{arr} \Rightarrow 'A$
where $DN \equiv \text{SOME } DN. \text{small-rts } R' \wedge \text{extensional-rts } R' \wedge$
inverse-simulations resid R' UP DN

lemma $R'\text{-prop}$:
shows $\exists UP DN. \text{small-rts } R' \wedge \text{extensional-rts } R' \wedge$
inverse-simulations R R' UP DN
unfolding $R'\text{-def}$
using $\text{small-Obj ex-isomorphic-image-rts}$
someI-ex
 $[\text{of } \lambda R'. \exists UP DN. \text{small-rts } R' \wedge \text{extensional-rts } R' \wedge$
*inverse-simulations R R' UP DN]
by *auto**

sublocale R' : *extensional-rts R'*
using $R'\text{-prop}$ **by** *simp*
sublocale R' : *small-rts R'*
using $R'\text{-prop}$ **by** *simp*

lemma $\text{extensional-rts-}R'$:
shows $\text{extensional-rts } R'$
 \dots

lemma $\text{small-rts-}R'$:
shows $\text{small-rts } R'$
 \dots

sublocale $UP\text{-}DN$: *inverse-simulations R R' UP DN*
using $\text{small-Obj } R'\text{-prop } UP\text{-def } DN\text{-def}$
someI-ex [of $\lambda UP. \exists DN. \text{inverse-simulations resid R' UP DN}$]
someI-ex [of $\lambda DN. \text{inverse-simulations resid R' UP DN}$]
by *auto*

lemma $\text{inverse-simulations-}UP\text{-}DN$:
shows $\text{inverse-simulations resid R' UP DN}$
 \dots

lemma $R'\text{-src-char}$:
shows $R'.\text{src} = DN \circ \text{src} \circ UP$
proof –
have $\bigwedge t. DN (UP (R'.\text{src } t)) = DN (\text{src } (UP t))$
by (*metis H.dom-null R'.con-arr-src(2) R'.ide-src R'.not-arr-null R'.src-def*
 $UP\text{-}DN.F.\text{extensional } UP\text{-}DN.F.\text{preserves-con } UP\text{-}DN.F.\text{preserves-ide}$
 $\text{null-coincidence src-dom } V.\text{src-eqI}$)
moreover **have** $\bigwedge t. DN (UP (R'.\text{src } t)) = R'.\text{src } t$
using $R'.\text{arr-src-iff-arr } R'.\text{src-def } UP\text{-}DN.\text{inv}$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *comp-apply*)
ultimately show *?thesis* by *auto*
qed

lemma *R'-trg-char*:

shows $R'.trg = DN \circ trg \circ UP$

proof –

have $\bigwedge t. DN (UP (R'.trg t)) = DN (trg (UP t))$

by (*metis* *R'.arr-trg-iff-arr* *UP-DN.F.extensional* *UP-DN.F.preserves-trg*
V.null-is-zero(2) *V.trg-def*)

moreover have $\bigwedge t. DN (UP (R'.trg t)) = R'.trg t$

using *R'.arr-trg-iff-arr* *R'.trg-def* *UP-DN.inv*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *R'.src-def* *R'.src-trg comp-apply*)

ultimately show *?thesis* by *auto*

qed

We transport the horizontal composition (\star) to R' via the isomorphisms UP and DN .

abbreviation $hcomp' :: 'A resid$ (**infixr** \star' 53)

where $t \star' u \equiv DN (UP t \star UP u)$

interpretation H' : *Category.partial-magma* $hcomp'$

by *unfold-locales*

(*metis* *H-composable-char* *R'.not-arr-null* *UP-DN.F.extensional*
UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr *UP-DN.G.extensional*)

lemma *H'-null-char*:

shows $H'.null = DN null$

using *arr-coincidence*

by (*metis* *H'.null-is-zero(2)* *R'.not-arr-null* *UP-DN.F.extensional*
hcomp-Null(2) *null-char*)

interpretation H' : *partial-composition* $\langle \lambda t u. DN (hcomp (UP t) (UP u)) \rangle ..$

lemma *H'-ide-char*:

shows $H'.ide t \longleftrightarrow H.ide (UP t)$

proof

have 1: $\bigwedge f. \llbracket arr f; Dom f = Cod (UP t); t \star' t \neq DN null;$
 $\bigwedge t u. (t \star u \neq null) = (arr t \wedge arr u \wedge Dom t = Cod u);$
 $\forall f. (f \star' t \neq DN null \longrightarrow f \star' t = f) \wedge$
 $(t \star' f \neq DN null \longrightarrow t \star' f = f) \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \star UP t = f$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *UP-DN.G.preserves-reflects-arr*
UP-DN.inv'-simp arr-hcomp)

have 2: $\bigwedge f. \llbracket arr f; Dom (UP t) = Cod f; t \star' t \neq DN null;$
 $\bigwedge t u. (t \star u \neq null) = (arr t \wedge arr u \wedge Dom t = Cod u);$
 $\forall f. (f \star' t \neq DN null \longrightarrow f \star' t = f) \wedge$
 $(t \star' f \neq DN null \longrightarrow t \star' f = f) \rrbracket$
 $\implies UP t \star f = f$

```

    by (metis (no-types, lifting) UP-DN.G.preserves-reflects-arr
        UP-DN.inv'-simp arr-hcomp)
  show  $H'.ide\ t \implies obj\ (UP\ t)$ 
    unfolding  $H'.ide-def\ H.ide-def$ 
    using  $H'-null-char\ H-composable-char$ 
    apply auto[1]
      apply (metis UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr)
      apply metis
    using 1 2 by blast+
  show  $obj\ (UP\ t) \implies H'.ide\ t$ 
    unfolding  $H'.ide-def\ H.ide-def$ 
    apply (auto simp add:  $H'-null-char\ H-composable-char$ )[1]
    apply (metis  $H-composable-char\ UP-DN.F.extensional\ UP-DN.inv-simp$ )
    by (metis  $H-composable-char\ UP-DN.F.extensional\ UP-DN.inv-simp$ )
qed

```

lemma H' -domains-char:

shows $H'.domains\ t = DN\ ' H.domains\ (UP\ t)$

proof –

```

  have  $\{a.\ H.ide\ (UP\ a) \wedge t\ \star'\ a \neq DN\ null\} =$ 
     $DN\ '\ \{a.\ H.ide\ a \wedge UP\ t\ \star\ a \neq null\}$ 

```

proof

```

  show  $\{a.\ H.ide\ (UP\ a) \wedge t\ \star'\ a \neq DN\ null\} \subseteq$ 
     $DN\ '\ \{a.\ H.ide\ a \wedge UP\ t\ \star\ a \neq null\}$ 

```

proof

fix a

assume $a: a \in \{a.\ H.ide\ (UP\ a) \wedge t\ \star'\ a \neq DN\ null\}$

have 1: $H.ide\ (UP\ a) \wedge UP\ t\ \star\ UP\ a \neq null$

using a by auto

moreover have $a = DN\ (UP\ a)$

using $a\ 1$

by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) $H-composable-char$

$UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr\ UP-DN.inv\ comp-apply$)

ultimately show $a \in DN\ '\ \{a.\ H.ide\ a \wedge UP\ t\ \star\ a \neq null\}$ by blast

qed

```

  show  $DN\ '\ \{a.\ H.ide\ a \wedge UP\ t\ \star\ a \neq null\} \subseteq$ 
     $\{a.\ H.ide\ (UP\ a) \wedge t\ \star'\ a \neq DN\ null\}$ 

```

proof

fix a

assume $a: a \in DN\ '\ \{a.\ H.ide\ a \wedge UP\ t\ \star\ a \neq null\}$

obtain UPa

where $UPa: a = DN\ UPa \wedge UPa \in \{a.\ H.ide\ a \wedge UP\ t\ \star\ a \neq null\}$

using a by blast

have $UPa = UP\ a$

using $UPa\ H-composable-char\ UP-DN.inv'\ comp-apply$ by auto

thus $a \in \{a.\ H.ide\ (UP\ a) \wedge DN\ (UP\ t\ \star\ UP\ a) \neq DN\ null\}$

using $UPa\ null-coincidence$

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) $H.ext\ UP-DN.G.preserves-reflects-arr$
 $arr-coincidence\ mem-Collect-eq\ V.not-arr-null$)

qed
qed
thus *?thesis*
unfolding $H'.domains-def$ $H.domains-def$
using $H'-ide-char$ $H'-null-char$ $null-coincidence$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma $H'-codomains-char$:
shows $H'.codomains\ t = DN\ \{b.\ H.ide\ b \wedge b\ \star\ UP\ t \neq null\}$
proof –
have $\{b.\ H.ide\ (UP\ b) \wedge b\ \star\ t \neq DN\ null\} =$
 $DN\ \{b.\ H.ide\ b \wedge b\ \star\ UP\ t \neq null\}$
proof
show $\{b.\ H.ide\ (UP\ b) \wedge b\ \star\ t \neq DN\ null\} \subseteq$
 $DN\ \{b.\ H.ide\ b \wedge b\ \star\ UP\ t \neq null\}$
proof
fix b
assume $b: b \in \{b.\ H.ide\ (UP\ b) \wedge b\ \star\ t \neq DN\ null\}$
have $DN\ (UP\ b) \in DN\ \{b.\ H.ide\ b \wedge b\ \star\ UP\ t \neq null\}$
using b **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $DN\ (UP\ b) = b$
using b
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) $H'.ide-def$ $H'-ide-char$
 $H.comp-ide-self\ mem-Collect-eq$)
ultimately **show** $b \in DN\ \{b.\ H.ide\ b \wedge b\ \star\ UP\ t \neq null\}$ **by** *auto*
qed
show $DN\ \{b.\ H.ide\ b \wedge b\ \star\ UP\ t \neq null\} \subseteq$
 $\{b.\ H.ide\ (UP\ b) \wedge b\ \star\ t \neq DN\ null\}$
proof
fix b
assume $b: b \in DN\ \{b.\ H.ide\ b \wedge b\ \star\ UP\ t \neq null\}$
obtain UPb
where $UPb: b = DN\ UPb \wedge UPb \in \{b.\ H.ide\ b \wedge b\ \star\ UP\ t \neq null\}$
using b **by** *blast*
have $UPb = UP\ b$
using UPb $H-composable-char$ $UP-DN.inv'$ *comp-apply* **by** *auto*
thus $b \in \{b.\ H.ide\ (UP\ b) \wedge DN\ (UP\ b\ \star\ UP\ t) \neq DN\ null\}$
using UPb $null-coincidence$ $arr-coincidence$
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) $H.ext$ $UP-DN.G.preserves-reflects-arr$
 $mem-Collect-eq$ $V.not-arr-null$)
qed
qed
thus *?thesis*
unfolding $H'.codomains-def$ $H.codomains-def$
using $H'-ide-char$ $H'-null-char$ $null-coincidence$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma $H'-arr-char$:
shows $H'.arr\ t = H.arr\ (UP\ t)$

unfolding $H'.arr-def$ $H.arr-def$
using $H'-domains-char$ $H'-codomains-char$ **by** *auto*

lemma $H'-seq-char$:
shows $H'.seq\ t\ u \longleftrightarrow H.seq\ (UP\ t)\ (UP\ u)$
by (*simp add: H'-arr-char*)

sublocale H' : *category hcomp'*

proof

show $\bigwedge g\ f. g\ \star'\ f \neq H'.null \implies H'.seq\ g\ f$
using $H'-null-char$ $H'-seq-char$ $UP-DN.G.extensional$ **by** *auto*
show $\bigwedge f. (H'.domains\ f \neq \{\}) = (H'.codomains\ f \neq \{\})$
using $H'-domains-char$ $H'-codomains-char$ $H.has-domain-iff-has-codomain$
by *simp*
show $\bigwedge h\ g\ f. \llbracket H'.seq\ h\ g; H'.seq\ (DN\ (UP\ h\ \star'\ UP\ g))\ f \rrbracket \implies H'.seq\ g\ f$
by (*metis H'-seq-char H.match-1 UP-DN.inv'-simp arr-coincidence*)
show $\bigwedge h\ g\ f. \llbracket H'.seq\ h\ (g\ \star'\ f); H'.seq\ g\ f \rrbracket \implies H'.seq\ h\ g$
using $H'-seq-char$ $H-seq-char$ **by** *auto*
show $\bigwedge g\ f\ h. \llbracket H'.seq\ g\ f; H'.seq\ h\ g \rrbracket \implies H'.seq\ (h\ \star'\ g)\ f$
using $H'-arr-char$ $H-seq-char$ **by** *auto*
show $\bigwedge g\ f\ h. \llbracket H'.seq\ g\ f; H'.seq\ h\ g \rrbracket \implies (h\ \star'\ g)\ \star'\ f = h\ \star'\ g\ \star'\ f$
using $H'-seq-char$ $H.comp-assoc$ $UP-DN.inv'$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma $hcomp'-is-category$:
shows *category hcomp'*

..

lemma $H'-dom-char$:

shows $H'.dom = DN \circ H.dom \circ UP$

proof

fix t
show $H'.dom\ t = (DN \circ H.dom \circ UP)\ t$
proof (*cases arr (UP t)*)
show $\neg\ arr\ (UP\ t) \implies ?thesis$
by (*metis H'.dom-def H'.domains-char H'-arr-char H'-null-char*
 $H.dom-null$ $H-arr-char$ $UP-DN.F.extensional$
 $UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr$ $arr-char$ $comp-def$
 $null-coincidence$)
assume $t: arr\ (UP\ t)$
have $(DN \circ H.dom \circ UP)\ t = DN\ (H.dom\ (UP\ t))$
using t **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = H'.dom\ t$
using t $H'-domains-char$ $H'-arr-char$ $arr-coincidence$ $H.dom-in-domains$
 $H.has-domain-iff-arr$
by (*intro H'.dom-eqI'*) *auto*
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*

qed

qed

lemma H' -cod-char:
shows $H'.cod = DN \circ H.cod \circ UP$
proof
 fix t
 show $H'.cod\ t = (DN \circ H.cod \circ UP)\ t$
 proof (*cases arr (UP t)*)
 show $\neg\ arr\ (UP\ t) \implies ?thesis$
 by (*metis H'.cod-def H'.codomains-char H'-arr-char H'-null-char*
 H.cod-null H-arr-char UP-DN.F.extensional
 UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr arr-char comp-def
 null-coincidence)
 assume $t: arr\ (UP\ t)$
 have $(DN \circ H.cod \circ UP)\ t = DN\ (H.cod\ (UP\ t))$
 using t **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots = H'.cod\ t$
 using t H' -codomains-char H' -arr-char *arr-coincidence*
 H.cod-in-codomains H.has-codomain-iff-arr
 by (*intro H'.cod-eqI'*) *auto*
 finally show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma *null'-coincidence [simp]*:
shows $H'.null = R'.null$
 by (*simp add: H'-null-char UP-DN.G.extensional*)

lemma *arr'-coincidence [simp]*:
shows $H'.arr = R'.arr$
 using H' -arr-char $UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr$ *arr-coincidence* **by** *auto*

lemma H' -hom-char:
shows $H'.hom\ a\ b = DN\ 'H.hom\ (UP\ a)\ (UP\ b)$
proof
 show $H'.hom\ a\ b \subseteq DN\ 'H.hom\ (UP\ a)\ (UP\ b)$
 proof
 fix t
 assume $t: t \in H'.hom\ a\ b$
 have $UP\ t \in H.hom\ (UP\ a)\ (UP\ b)$
 proof
 have $a: V.ide\ (UP\ a)$
 using t *arr'-coincidence H'-ide-char UP-DN.F.preserves-ide*
 by (*metis H'.arr-dom H'.dom-dom H'.ide-char' H'.in-homE*
 H-ide-is-V-ide mem-Collect-eq)
 have $b: V.ide\ (UP\ b)$
 using t *arr'-coincidence H'-ide-char UP-DN.F.preserves-ide*
 by (*metis H'.arr-cod H'.cod-cod H'.ide-char' H'.in-homE*
 H-ide-is-V-ide mem-Collect-eq)
 show $H.in-hom\ (UP\ t)\ (UP\ a)\ (UP\ b)$

```

proof
  show 1:  $H.arr (UP t)$ 
    using  $t$  arr-coincidence arr'-coincidence
       $UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr$ 
    by auto
  show  $H.dom (UP t) = UP a$ 
proof –
  have 2:  $DN (H.dom (UP t)) = a$ 
    using  $t$  1 H'-dom-char by auto
  also have ... =  $DN (UP a)$ 
    using  $t$  a  $UP-DN.inv$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr
      comp-apply V.ide-implies-arr)
  finally have  $DN (H.dom (UP t)) = DN (UP a)$  by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis 1 2 H.arr-dom-iff-arr UP-DN.inv'
      arr-coincidence comp-apply)
qed
show  $H.cod (UP t) = UP b$ 
proof –
  have 2:  $DN (H.cod (UP t)) = b$ 
    using  $t$  b 1 arr-coincidence H'-cod-char by auto
  also have ... =  $DN (UP b)$ 
    using  $t$  b  $UP-DN.inv$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr
      comp-apply V.ide-implies-arr)
  finally have  $DN (H.cod (UP t)) = DN (UP b)$  by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis 1 2 H.arr-cod-iff-arr UP-DN.inv'
      arr-coincidence comp-apply)
qed
qed
moreover have  $DN (UP t) = t$ 
  using  $t$   $UP-DN.inv$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) H'.in-homE arr'-coincidence
    comp-apply mem-Collect-eq)
ultimately show  $t \in DN \text{ ` } H.hom (UP a) (UP b)$ 
  by (simp add: rev-image-eqI)
qed
show  $DN \text{ ` } H.hom (UP a) (UP b) \subseteq H'.hom a b$ 
proof
  fix  $t'$ 
  assume  $t'$ :  $t' \in DN \text{ ` } H.hom (UP a) (UP b)$ 
  obtain  $t$  where  $t$ :  $t \in H.hom (UP a) (UP b) \wedge t' = DN t$ 
    using  $t'$  by blast
  have  $DN t \in H'.hom a b$ 
proof
  show  $H'.in-hom (DN t) a b$ 

```

```

proof
  show  $H'.arr$  (DN  $t$ )
    using  $t$   $H'$ -arr-char arr-coincidence by fastforce
  show  $H'.dom$  (DN  $t$ ) =  $a$ 
    using  $t$   $H'$ -dom-char
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Fun.comp-def  $H'.ide$ -char'
       $H'$ -ide-char  $H.ide$ -dom  $H.in$ -homE  $H$ -arr-char UP-DN.inv
      UP-DN.inv' arr'-coincidence arr-char mem-Collect-eq)
  show  $H'.cod$  (DN  $t$ ) =  $b$ 
    using  $t$   $H'$ -cod-char
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Fun.comp-def  $H'.ide$ -char'
       $H'$ -ide-char  $H.ide$ -cod  $H.in$ -homE  $H$ -arr-char
      UP-DN.inv UP-DN.inv' arr'-coincidence arr-char
      mem-Collect-eq)
  qed
qed
thus  $t' \in H'.hom$   $a$   $b$ 
  using  $t$  by blast
qed
qed

interpretation  $dom'$ : simulation  $R' R' H'.dom$ 
  using  $H'$ -dom-char simulation-comp simulation-dom
    UP-DN.F.simulation-axioms UP-DN.G.simulation-axioms
  by auto

interpretation  $cod'$ : simulation  $R' R' H'.cod$ 
  using  $H'$ -cod-char simulation-comp simulation-cod
    UP-DN.F.simulation-axioms UP-DN.G.simulation-axioms
  by auto

lemma  $R'$ -con-char:
shows  $R'.con$   $t$   $u \iff V.con$  (UP  $t$ ) (UP  $u$ )
  by (metis UP-DN.F.preserves-con UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr
    UP-DN.G.preserves-con UP-DN.inv comp-apply
    residuation.con-implies-arr(1-2) V.residuation-axioms)

sublocale  $R'R'$ : fibered-product-rtss  $R' R' R' H'.dom H'.cod$  ..

sublocale  $H'$ : simulation  $R'R'.resid$   $R'$ 
   $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } R'R'.arr\ t \text{ then } fst\ t \star' \text{ snd } t \text{ else } R'.null \rangle$ 

proof
  show  $\bigwedge t. \neg R'R'.arr\ t \implies$ 
    (if  $R'R'.arr\ t$  then  $fst\ t \star' \text{ snd } t$  else  $R'.null$ ) =  $R'.null$ 
  by auto
  fix  $t\ u$ 
  assume  $tu: R'R'.con\ t\ u$ 
  show 1:  $R'.con$  (if  $R'R'.arr\ t$  then  $fst\ t \star' \text{ snd } t$  else  $R'.null$ )
    (if  $R'R'.arr\ u$  then  $fst\ u \star' \text{ snd } u$  else  $R'.null$ )

```

proof –
have $UP (fst t \star' snd t) = UP (fst t) \star UP (snd t) \wedge$
 $UP (fst u \star' snd u) = UP (fst u) \star UP (snd u)$
using tu *arr-coincidence null-coincidence UP-DN.inv' H.ext*
apply *auto[1]*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) UP-DN.F.extensional*
 $UP-DN.G.preserves-reflects-arr UP-DN.inv'-simp$)
moreover have $UP (fst t) \star UP (snd t) \frown UP (fst u) \star UP (snd u)$
proof –
have $RR.con (UP (fst t), UP (snd t)) (UP (fst u), UP (snd u))$
by (*metis H'.seqI H'-seq-char H.seqE R'R'.arr-char R'R'.con-char*
 $R'R'.residuation-axioms R'-con-char RR.con-char arr'-coincidence$
 $fst-conv residuation.con-implies-arr(1-2) snd-conv tu$)
thus *?thesis*
using $H.preserves-con RR.con-implies-arr(1-2)$ **by force**
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
using tu
by (*simp add: R'R'.con-implies-arr(1) R'R'.con-implies-arr(2)*)
qed
show (*if R'R'.arr (R'R'.resid t u)*
then $fst (R'R'.resid t u) \star' snd (R'R'.resid t u)$
else $R'.null$) =
 $R' (if R'R'.arr t then fst t \star' snd t else R'.null)$
 $(if R'R'.arr u then fst u \star' snd u else R'.null)$
proof –
have $fst (R'R'.resid t u) \star' snd (R'R'.resid t u) =$
 $R' (fst t \star' snd t) (fst u \star' snd u)$
proof –
have $UP (fst (R'R'.resid t u) \star' snd (R'R'.resid t u)) =$
 $UP (R' (fst t \star' snd t) (fst u \star' snd u))$
proof –
have $UP (fst (R'R'.resid t u) \star' snd (R'R'.resid t u)) =$
 $UP (R' (fst t) (fst u) \star' R' (snd t) (snd u))$
using tu $R'R'.con-char R'R'.resid-def$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = UP (R' (fst t) (fst u)) \star UP (R' (snd t) (snd u))$
using tu
by (*metis H.ext UP-DN.inv' arr-coincidence comp-apply*
 $null-coincidence$)
also have $\dots = resid (UP (fst t)) (UP (fst u)) \star$
 $resid (UP (snd t)) (UP (snd u))$
using $R'R'.con-char UP-DN.F.preserves-resid tu$ **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots = (UP (fst t) \star UP (snd t)) \setminus (UP (fst u) \star UP (snd u))$
using tu 1 $H.preserves-resid H.seqE R'.con-implies-arr(1-2)$
 $R'.not-arr-null R'R'.con-char R'-con-char RR.arr-char$
 $RR.arr-resid-iff-con RR.con-char RR.resid-def$
 $UP-DN.G.extensional$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) H'.seqI H'-arr-char H'-seq-char*
 $hpar-arr-resid resid-hcomp(2)$)

```

also have ... =  $UP (fst t \star' snd t) \setminus UP (fst u \star' snd u)$ 
proof -
  have  $UP (fst t \star' snd t) = UP (fst t) \star UP (snd t) \wedge$ 
     $UP (fst u \star' snd u) = UP (fst u) \star UP (snd u)$ 
    using  $H'.seqI R'R'.arr-char R'R'.con-implies-arr(1-2)$  tu by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using  $tu H'.ext UP-DN.inv' arr-coincidence comp-apply$ 
       $null-coincidence$ 
    by auto
  qed
  also have ... =  $UP (R' (fst t \star' snd t) (fst u \star' snd u))$ 
    using  $1 R'R'.con-implies-arr(1) R'R'.con-implies-arr(2)$  tu by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
thus ?thesis
  by ( $metis (no-types, lifting) H'.seqI R'R'.arr-char R'R'.arr-resid$ 
     $UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr UP-DN.inv-simp arr'-coincidence tu$ )
qed
thus ?thesis
  using  $tu 1 H'.preserves-resid$  by auto
qed
qed

```

```

proposition is-locally-small-rts-category:
shows locally-small-rts-category  $R' hcomp'$ 
proof
  show  $H'.null = R'.null$ 
    by ( $simp add: H'-null-char UP-DN.G.extensional$ )
  show  $H'.arr = R'.arr$ 
    using  $H'-arr-char UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr arr-coincidence$  by auto
  show  $\bigwedge t. R'.src (H'.dom t) = H'.dom t$ 
    using  $R'-src-char H'-dom-char R'.arr-src-iff-arr UP-DN.G.extensional$ 
       $UP-DN.G.preserves-reflects-arr$ 
    apply  $auto[1]$ 
    by ( $metis (no-types, lifting) R'.not-arr-null UP-DN.inv'-simp src-dom$ )
  show  $\bigwedge a b. small (H'.hom a b)$ 
    using  $H'-hom-char small-homs$  by simp
qed

```

end

5.2.2 Functoriality

```

locale rts-functor-of-enriched-functor =
  universe arr-type +
  RTS: rts-cat arr-type +
  A: rts-enriched-category arr-type ObjA HomA IdA CompA +
  B: rts-enriched-category arr-type ObjB HomB IdB CompB +
  EF: rts-enriched-functor

```

```

      ObjA HomA IdA CompA ObjB HomB IdB CompB Fo Fa
for ObjA :: 'a set
and HomA :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'A rtscatx.arr
and IdA :: 'a ⇒ 'A rtscatx.arr
and CompA :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'A rtscatx.arr
and ObjB :: 'b set
and HomB :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'A rtscatx.arr
and IdB :: 'b ⇒ 'A rtscatx.arr
and CompB :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'A rtscatx.arr
and Fo :: 'a ⇒ 'b
and Fa :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'A rtscatx.arr
begin

  interpretation A: rts-category-of-enriched-category
    arr-type ObjA HomA IdA CompA
  ..
  interpretation B: rts-category-of-enriched-category
    arr-type ObjB HomB IdB CompB
  ..

  definition F
  where F t ≡ if residuation.arr A.resid t
    then B.MkArr (Fo (A.Dom t)) (Fo (A.Cod t))
      (RTS.Map (Fa (A.Dom t) (A.Cod t)) (A.Trn t))
    else ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null B.resid

  lemma preserves-arr:
  assumes A.H.arr f
  shows B.H.arr (F f)
  proof -
  let ?a = A.Dom f
  let ?b = A.Cod f
  show 1: B.H.arr (F f)
  proof -
  have B.arr (F f)
  unfolding F-def
  using assms A.arr-char B.arr-MkArr A.arr-coincidence
    B.arr-coincidence
  apply (simp, intro B.arr-MkArr)
  apply blast
  apply blast
  using EF.is-local-simulation simulation.preserves-reflects-arr
  by metis
  thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  qed

  sublocale rts-functor A.resid A.hcomp B.resid B.hcomp F
  proof

```



```

show  $\bigwedge f. \neg A.H.arr\ f \implies F\ f = B.H.null$ 
  using F-def A.arr-coincidence B.null-coincidence by simp
show 1:  $\bigwedge f. A.H.arr\ f \implies B.H.arr\ (F\ f)$ 
  using preserves-arr by simp
fix f
assume f: A.H.arr f
have 0: A.arr (A.MkArr (A.Dom f) (A.Dom f)
  (RTS.Map (IdA (A.Dom f)) RTS.One.the-arr))
  using f A.arr-char A.arr-coincidence A.Id-in-hom RTS.Map-ide
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) A.H-dom-char A.dom.preserves-reflects-arr)
have 1: B.arr (B.MkArr (Fo (A.Dom f)) (Fo (A.Cod f))
  (RTS.Map (Fa (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)) (A.Trn f)))
  using f 1 F-def B.H-dom-char B.arr-char B.null-char B.arr-coincidence
  B.null-coincidence
  by (intro B.arr-MkArr) auto
have 2: A.arr (A.MkArr (A.Cod f) (A.Cod f)
  (RTS.Map (IdA (A.Cod f)) RTS.One.the-arr))
  using f A.arr-char A.arr-coincidence A.H.ideD(1)
  A.Id-yields-horiz-ide
  by force
show B.H.dom (F f) = F (A.H.dom f)
proof (intro B.arr-eqI)
  show B.H.dom (F f)  $\neq$  B.null
    using f 1 F-def B.H-dom-char B.null-char by auto
  show F (A.H.dom f)  $\neq$  B.null
    using f 0 F-def A.H-dom-char B.null-char by auto
  show B.Dom (B.H.dom (F f)) = B.Dom (F (A.H.dom f))
    using f 0 1 F-def A.H-dom-char B.H-dom-char by simp
  show B.Cod (B.H.dom (F f)) = B.Cod (F (A.H.dom f))
    using f 0 1 F-def A.H-dom-char B.H-dom-char by simp
  show B.Trn (B.H.dom (F f)) = B.Trn (F (A.H.dom f))
proof -
  have B.Trn (F (A.H.dom f)) =
    RTS.Map (Fa (A.Dom f) (A.Dom f))
      (RTS.Map (IdA (A.Dom f)) RTS.One.the-arr)
    using f 0 F-def A.H-dom-char B.H-dom-char EF.preserves-Id
    RTS.Map-comp
    by auto
  also have ... = RTS.Map (Fa (A.Dom f) (A.Dom f)) · IdA (A.Dom f))
    RTS.One.the-arr
    using f RTS.Map-comp A.Id-in-hom
    EF.preserves-Hom [of A.Dom f A.Dom f]
    EF.preserves-Obj [of A.Dom f] comp-apply
    apply auto[1]
    using A.arr-char [of f] by fastforce
  also have ... = RTS.Map (IdB (Fo (A.Dom f))) RTS.One.the-arr
    using f 0 1 EF.preserves-Id A.arr-char by simp
  also have ... = B.Trn (B.H.dom (F f))
    using f 0 1 F-def by (simp add: B.H-dom-simp B.H-cod-simp)

```

```

    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
show B.H.cod (F f) = F (A.H.cod f)
proof (intro B.arr-eqI)
  show B.H.cod (F f) ≠ B.null
    using f 1 F-def B.H-cod-char B.null-char by auto
  show F (A.H.cod f) ≠ B.null
    using f 2 F-def A.H-cod-char B.null-char by auto
  show B.Dom (B.H.cod (F f)) = B.Dom (F (A.H.cod f))
    using f 2 F-def A.H-cod-char B.H-cod-char B.null-char
      B.cod.extensional ⟨B.H.cod (F f) ≠ B.null⟩
    by fastforce
  show B.Cod (B.H.cod (F f)) = B.Cod (F (A.H.cod f))
    using f 2 F-def A.H-cod-char B.H-cod-char B.null-char
      B.cod.extensional ⟨B.H.cod (F f) ≠ B.null⟩
    by fastforce
  show B.Trn (B.H.cod (F f)) = B.Trn (F (A.H.cod f))
proof -
  have B.Trn (F (A.H.cod f)) =
    RTS.Map (Fa (A.Cod f) (A.Cod f))
      (RTS.Map (IdA (A.Cod f)) RTS.One.the-arr)
    using f 2 F-def A.H-cod-char B.H-cod-char EF.preserves-Id
      RTS.Map-comp
    by auto
  also have ... =
    RTS.Map (Fa (A.Cod f) (A.Cod f) · IdA (A.Cod f))
      RTS.One.the-arr
    using f 0 1 2 A.Id-in-hom
      EF.preserves-Hom [of A.Cod f A.Cod f]
      EF.preserves-Obj [of A.Cod f] comp-apply RTS.Map-comp
    apply auto[1]
    using A.arr-char [of f] by fastforce
  also have ... = RTS.Map (IdB (Fo (A.Cod f))) RTS.One.the-arr
    using f 0 1 EF.preserves-Id A.arr-char by simp
  also have ... = B.Trn (B.H.cod (F f))
    using f 0 1 F-def by (auto simp add: B.H-dom-simp B.H-cod-simp)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
next
fix f g
assume fg: A.H.seq g f
show F (A.hcomp g f) = B.hcomp (F g) (F f)
proof (intro B.arr-eqI)
  show F (A.hcomp g f) ≠ B.null
    using fg F-def B.null-char by auto
  have 2: B.Dom (F g) = B.Cod (F f)
    using fg preserves-arr F-def A.H-seq-char by auto

```

```

show  $\exists$ :  $B.hcomp (F g) (F f) \neq B.null$ 
  using  $fg$  2 preserves-arr  $B.null-char$   $B.arr-hcomp$  [of  $F g F f$ ]
     $A.arr-coincidence$   $B.arr-coincidence$   $A.H-seq-char$ 
     $B.V.not-arr-null$ 
  by auto
show  $B.Dom (F (A.hcomp g f)) = B.Dom (B.hcomp (F g) (F f))$ 
  using  $fg$   $F-def$   $\exists$   $A.H-seq-char$   $B.H-composable-char$  by auto
show  $B.Cod (F (A.hcomp g f)) = B.Cod (B.hcomp (F g) (F f))$ 
  using  $fg$   $F-def$   $\exists$   $A.H-seq-char$   $B.H-composable-char$  by auto
show  $B.Trn (F (A.hcomp g f)) = B.Trn (B.hcomp (F g) (F f))$ 
proof –
  have  $B.Trn (F (A.hcomp g f)) =$ 
     $RTS.Map (F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod g))$ 
     $(RTS.Map (Comp_A (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$ 
       $(RTS.Pack (Hom_A (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$ 
         $(Hom_A (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$ 
         $(A.Trn g, A.Trn f)))$ 
    using  $fg$   $F-def$   $A.H-seq-char$  by auto
  also have  $... = (RTS.Map (F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod g)) \circ$ 
     $RTS.Map (Comp_A (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)))$ 
     $(RTS.Pack$ 
       $(Hom_A (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$ 
       $(Hom_A (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$ 
       $(A.Trn g, A.Trn f))$ 
    using  $fg$   $A.H-seq-char$   $A.Comp-in-hom$   $EF.preserves-Hom$ 
     $RTS.Map-comp$ 
    by auto
  also have  $... = RTS.Map (F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod g) \cdot$ 
     $Comp_A (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$ 
     $(RTS.Pack (Hom_A (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$ 
       $(Hom_A (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$ 
       $(A.Trn g, A.Trn f))$ 
    using  $fg$   $A.H-seq-char$   $comp-apply$   $A.Comp-in-hom$   $EF.preserves-Hom$ 
     $RTS.Map-comp$ 
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $A.arr-char$   $RTS.seqI'$   $comp-apply$ )
  also have  $... = RTS.Map$ 
     $(Comp_B (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g)) \cdot$ 
       $(F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g) \otimes F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)))$ 
     $(RTS.Pack$ 
       $(Hom_A (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$ 
       $(Hom_A (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$ 
       $(A.Trn g, A.Trn f))$ 
    using  $fg$   $A.H-seq-char$   $A.arr-char$   $EF.preserves-Comp$  by auto
  also have  $... = (RTS.Map$ 
     $(Comp_B (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g))) \circ$ 
     $RTS.Map$ 
     $(F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g) \otimes F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)))$ 
     $(RTS.Pack$ 

```

$(Hom_A (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$
 $(Hom_A (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$
 $(A.Trn g, A.Trn f))$

proof –

have $RTS.seq$

$(Comp_B (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g)))$
 $(F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g) \otimes F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$

using $fg B.Comp-in-hom EF.preserves-Obj$

by $(metis A.Comp-in-hom A.H.seqE A.H-arr-char EF.preserves-Comp$
 $EF.preserves-Hom RTS.arrI RTS.seqI' RTS.tensor-agreement)$

thus $?thesis$

using $RTS.Map-comp$

$[of Comp_B (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g))$
 $F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g) \otimes F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)]$

by $argo$

qed

also have $... = RTS.Map$

$(Comp_B (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g)))$
 $(RTS.Map$
 $(F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g) \otimes F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$
 $(RTS.Pack$
 $(Hom_A (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$
 $(Hom_A (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$
 $(A.Trn g, A.Trn f)))$

by $simp$

also have $... = RTS.Map$

$(Comp_B (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g)))$
 $((RTS.Pack$
 $(RTS.cod (F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)))$
 $(RTS.cod (F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))) \circ$
 $product-simulation.map$
 $(A.HOM_{EC} (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$
 $(A.HOM_{EC} (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$
 $(RTS.Map (F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)))$
 $(RTS.Map (F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))) \circ$
 $RTS.Unpack$
 $(RTS.dom (F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)))$
 $(RTS.dom (F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))))$
 $(RTS.Pack$
 $(Hom_A (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$
 $(Hom_A (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$
 $(A.Trn g, A.Trn f)))$

using $fg A.H-seq-char EF.preserves-Hom RTS.Map-prod$

by $(metis (no-types, lifting) A.arr-char RTS.in-homE)$

also have $... = RTS.Map$

$(Comp_B (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g)))$
 $(RTS.Pack$
 $(Hom_B (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g)))$
 $(Hom_B (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f)))$

```

      (product-simulation.map
       (A.HOMEC (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))
       (A.HOMEC (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))
       (RTS.Map (Fa (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)))
       (RTS.Map (Fa (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)))
       (RTS.Unpack
        (HomA (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))
        (HomA (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))
        (RTS.Pack
         (HomA (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))
         (HomA (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))
         (A.Trn g, A.Trn f))))))
proof –
have RTS.dom (Fa (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)) =
  HomA (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)
using fg A.H-seq-char A.arr-char
  EF.preserves-Hom [of A.Dom f A.Cod f]
by auto
moreover have RTS.cod (Fa (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)) =
  HomB (Fo (A.Dom f)) (Fo (A.Cod f))
using fg A.H-seq-char A.arr-char
  EF.preserves-Hom [of A.Dom f A.Cod f]
by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
using fg A.H-seq-char A.arr-char
  EF.preserves-Hom [of A.Cod f A.Cod g]
  EF.preserves-Hom [of A.Dom f A.Cod f]
by auto
qed
also have ... = RTS.Map
  (CompB (Fo (A.Dom f)) (Fo (A.Cod f)) (Fo (A.Cod g)))
  (RTS.Pack
   (HomB (Fo (A.Cod f)) (Fo (A.Cod g)))
   (HomB (Fo (A.Dom f)) (Fo (A.Cod f))))
  (product-simulation.map
   (A.HOMEC (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))
   (A.HOMEC (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))
   (RTS.Map (Fa (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)))
   (RTS.Map (Fa (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)))
   (A.Trn g, A.Trn f)))
proof –
interpret HOM: extensional-rts ⟨A.HOMEC (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)⟩
using fg A.H-seq-char A.arr-char A.ide-Hom
by (metis (no-types, lifting) EF.preserves-Hom RTS.arrD(1)
    RTS.in-homE)
interpret HOM': extensional-rts ⟨A.HOMEC (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)⟩
using fg A.H-seq-char A.arr-char A.ide-Hom
by (metis (no-types, lifting) EF.preserves-Hom RTS.arrD(1)
    RTS.in-homE)

```

```

interpret  $HOMxHOM'$ : product-rts
  ⟨ $A.HOM_{EC} (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)$ ⟩
  ⟨ $A.HOM_{EC} (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)$ ⟩
..
show ?thesis
  using  $A.H-arr-char A.H-seq-char fg$  by force
qed
also have ... =  $RTS.Map$ 
  ( $Comp_B (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g))$ )
  ( $RTS.Pack$ 
    ( $Hom_B (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g))$ )
    ( $Hom_B (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f))$ )
    ( $RTS.Map (F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)) (A.Trn g)$ ),
     $RTS.Map (F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)) (A.Trn f)$ )
proof -
interpret  $F$ : simulation
  ⟨ $A.HOM_{EC} (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)$ ⟩
  ⟨ $B.HOM_{EC} (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g))$ ⟩
  ⟨ $RTS.Map (F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$ ⟩
  using  $fg A.H-seq-char A.arr-char EF.preserves-Hom$ 
  by (meson EF.is-local-simulation)
interpret  $F'$ : simulation
  ⟨ $A.HOM_{EC} (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)$ ⟩
  ⟨ $B.HOM_{EC} (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f))$ ⟩
  ⟨ $RTS.Map (F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$ ⟩
  using  $fg A.H-seq-char A.arr-char EF.preserves-Hom$ 
  by (meson EF.is-local-simulation)
interpret  $FxF'$ : product-simulation
  ⟨ $A.HOM_{EC} (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g)$ ⟩
  ⟨ $A.HOM_{EC} (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f)$ ⟩
  ⟨ $B.HOM_{EC} (F_o (A.Cod f)) (F_o (A.Cod g))$ ⟩
  ⟨ $B.HOM_{EC} (F_o (A.Dom f)) (F_o (A.Cod f))$ ⟩
  ⟨ $RTS.Map (F_a (A.Cod f) (A.Cod g))$ ⟩
  ⟨ $RTS.Map (F_a (A.Dom f) (A.Cod f))$ ⟩
..
show ?thesis
  by (metis FxF'.map-simp fg A.H-seq-char A.arr-char)
qed
also have ... =  $B.Trn (B.hcomp (F g) (F f))$ 
  using  $fg \exists F-def A.H-seq-char B.Trn-hcomp B.H-composable-char$ 
  by force
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
next
show  $\bigwedge t. \neg A.arr t \implies F t = B.null$ 
  unfolding  $F-def$  by simp
show  $\bigwedge t u. A.V.con t u \implies B.V.con (F t) (F u)$ 
  unfolding  $F-def$ 

```

```

using A.V.con-implies-arr B.con-char EF.preserves-Obj
      EF.preserves-Hom A.arr-char A.con-char
apply auto[1]
apply (intro B.ConI conjI)
      apply auto[11]
by (metis A.ConE EF.is-local-simulation
      simulation.preserves-reflects-arr simulation.preserves-con)+
show  $\bigwedge t u. A.V.con\ t\ u \implies F\ (A.resid\ t\ u) = B.resid\ (F\ t)\ (F\ u)$ 
unfolding F-def
using A.V.con-implies-arr B.con-char EF.preserves-Obj EF.preserves-Hom
      A.arr-char B.null-char A.con-implies-Par
apply auto[1]
      apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) A.ConE A.resid-ne-Null-imp-Con
      EF.is-local-simulation simulation.preserves-resid)
      apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) F-def
       $\langle \bigwedge u\ t. A.V.con\ t\ u \implies B.V.con\ (F\ t)\ (F\ u) \rangle$ )
      apply (meson A.V.arr-resid)
using A.V.arr-resid by force
qed

```

```

lemma is-rts-functor:
shows rts-functor A.resid A.hcomp B.resid B.hcomp F
..

```

end

5.3 RTS-Categories induce RTS-Enriched Categories

Here we show that an RTS-category induces a corresponding RTS-enriched category. In order to perform this construction, we will need to have a universe to use as the arrow type of the base category **RTS**. In order to avoid introducing a fixed universe, at this point we assume one is given as a parameter.

```

locale enriched-category-of-rts-category =
  universe arr-type +
  locally-small-rts-category resid hcomp
for arr-type :: 'A itself
and resid :: 'A resid (infix \ 70)
and hcomp :: 'A comp (infixr * 53)
begin

  sublocale RTS: rtscat arr-type ..

```

```

no-notation V.comp      (infixr · 55)
no-notation H.in-hom   (« - : - → - »)
no-notation RTS.prod   (infixr ⊗ 51)

```

notation *RTS.in-hom* ($\langle\langle - : - \rightarrow - \rangle\rangle$)
notation *RTS.CMC.tensor* (**infixr** \otimes 51)
notation *RTS.CMC.unity* (**1**)
notation *RTS.CMC.assoc* ($\mathbf{a}[-, -, -]$)
notation *RTS.CMC.lunit* ($\mathbf{l}[-]$)
notation *RTS.CMC.runit* ($\mathbf{r}[-]$)

abbreviation *Obj*
where *Obj* \equiv *Collect H.ide*

definition *Hom*
where *Hom a b* \equiv
if a \in *Obj* \wedge *b* \in *Obj* *then* *RTS.mkide (HOM a b)* *else* *RTS.null*

definition *Id*
where *Id a* \equiv
RTS.mkarr RTS.One.resid (RTS.Rts (Hom a a))
(λt . if RTS.One.arr t
then a
else ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null (HOM a a))

definition *Comp*
where *Comp a b c* \equiv
RTS.mkarr
(RTS.Rts (Hom b c \otimes Hom a b))
(RTS.Rts (Hom a c))
(λt . (λx . fst x \star snd x) (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t))

lemma *ide-Hom* [*intro, simp*]:
assumes *a* \in *Obj* **and** *b* \in *Obj*
shows *RTS.ide (Hom a b)*

proof –
interpret *Hom*: *sub-rts resid* $\langle\lambda t$. *H.in-hom t a b* \rangle
using *assms sub-rts-HOM* **by** *blast*
interpret *Hom*: *extensional-rts Hom.resid*
using *Hom.preserves-extensional-rts V.extensional-rts-axioms* **by** *blast*
interpret *Hom*: *small-rts Hom.resid*
using *assms Hom.arr-char small-homs*
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*metis Collect-cong mem-Collect-eq*)
show *RTS.ide (Hom a b)*
unfolding *Hom-def*
using *assms RTS.ide-mkide Hom.rts-axioms Hom.small-rts-axioms*
Hom.extensional-rts-axioms
by *auto*
qed

lemma
assumes $a \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $b \in \text{Obj}$
shows *HOM-null-char: ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null*
 $(\text{RTS.Rts } (\text{Hom } a \ b)) =$
 null
and *HOM-arr-char:*
 $\text{residuation.arr } (\text{RTS.Rts } (\text{Hom } a \ b)) \ t \longleftrightarrow H.\text{in-hom } t \ a \ b$
proof –
interpret *Hom: sub-rts resid* $\langle \lambda t. H.\text{in-hom } t \ a \ b \rangle$
using *assms sub-rts-HOM by blast*
show *ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null*
 $(\text{RTS.Rts } (\text{Hom } a \ b)) =$
 null
using *assms Hom-def RTS.bij-mkide(3) Hom.null-char by auto*
show $\text{residuation.arr } (\text{RTS.Rts } (\text{Hom } a \ b)) \ t \longleftrightarrow H.\text{in-hom } t \ a \ b$
unfolding *Hom-def*
using *assms arr-coincidence Hom.arr-char RTS.bij-mkide(3) by simp*
qed

lemma *Id-in-hom [intro]:*
assumes $a \in \text{Obj}$
shows $\langle \text{Id } a : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \text{Hom } a \ a \rangle$
proof –
interpret *Hom: sub-rts resid* $\langle \lambda t. H.\text{in-hom } t \ a \ a \rangle$
using *assms sub-rts-HOM by blast*
interpret *Hom: extensional-rts Hom.resid*
using *Hom.preserves-extensional-rts V.extensional-rts-axioms by blast*
interpret *Hom: small-rts Hom.resid*
using *assms Hom.arr-char small-homs*
apply *unfold-locales*
by *(metis Collect-cong mem-Collect-eq)*
interpret *I: simulation RTS.One.resid* $\langle \text{Hom.resid} \rangle$
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } \text{RTS.One.arr } t \text{ then } a \ \text{else } \text{Hom.null} \rangle$
proof
show $\bigwedge t. \neg \text{RTS.One.arr } t \implies$
 $(\text{if } \text{RTS.One.arr } t \text{ then } a \ \text{else } \text{Hom.null}) = \text{Hom.null}$
by *simp*
show $1: \bigwedge t \ u. \text{RTS.One.con } t \ u \implies$
 $\text{Hom.con } (\text{if } \text{RTS.One.arr } t \text{ then } a \ \text{else } \text{Hom.null})$
 $(\text{if } \text{RTS.One.arr } u \text{ then } a \ \text{else } \text{Hom.null})$
using *H.ide-in-hom Hom.arr-char RTS.One.con-implies-arr(1-2) assms*
by *auto*
show $\bigwedge t \ u. \text{RTS.One.con } t \ u \implies$
 $(\text{if } \text{RTS.One.arr } (t \ \backslash_1 \ u) \text{ then } a \ \text{else } \text{Hom.null}) =$
 Hom.resid
 $(\text{if } \text{RTS.One.arr } t \text{ then } a \ \text{else } \text{Hom.null})$
 $(\text{if } \text{RTS.One.arr } u \text{ then } a \ \text{else } \text{Hom.null})$
using *H.ide-in-hom Hom.resid-def RTS.One.con-implies-arr(1-2)*

```

      V.resid-arr-ide assms obj-implies-sta
    by force
  qed
  show «Id a : 1 → Hom a a»
  proof
    show 1: RTS.arr (Id a)
    proof (unfold Id-def, intro RTS.arr-mkarr)
      show extensional-rts RTS.One.resid ∧ small-rts RTS.One.resid
      using RTS.One.is-extensional-rts RTS.One.small-rts-axioms by auto
      show extensional-rts (RTS.Rts (Hom a a)) ∧
      small-rts (RTS.Rts (Hom a a))
      using assms by auto
      show simulation (λ1) (RTS.Rts (Hom a a))
      (λt. if RTS.One.arr t then a else Hom.null)
      unfolding Hom-def
      using assms RTS.bij-mkide(3) I.simulation-axioms by auto
    qed
  show RTS.dom (Id a) = 1
  using 1 Id-def by (simp add: RTS.unity-agreement)
  show RTS.cod (Id a) = Hom a a
  using 1 Id-def assms by force
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma Id-simps [simp]:
  assumes a ∈ Obj
  shows RTS.arr (Id a)
  and RTS.dom (Id a) = 1
  and RTS.cod (Id a) = Hom a a
  using assms Id-in-hom RTS.unity-agreement by auto

```

```

lemma Comp-in-hom [intro, simp]:
  assumes a ∈ Obj and b ∈ Obj and c ∈ Obj
  shows «Comp a b c : Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b → Hom a c»
  proof (unfold Comp-def, intro RTS.in-homI)
    show 0: RTS.arr (RTS.mkarr
      (RTS.Rts (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b))
      (RTS.Rts (Hom a c))
      (λt. fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) ★
        snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)))
    proof (intro RTS.arr-mkarr)
      show extensional-rts (RTS.Rts (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b)) ∧
      small-rts (RTS.Rts (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b))
      using assms by auto
      show extensional-rts (RTS.Rts (Hom a c)) ∧
      small-rts (RTS.Rts (Hom a c))
      using assms by auto
      show simulation (RTS.Rts (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b)) (RTS.Rts (Hom a c))
      (λt. fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) ★
        snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) ★)
    qed
  qed

```

```

      snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t))
proof –
  interpret ac: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a c)⟩
    using assms by auto
  interpret bc: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b c)⟩
    using assms by simp
  interpret ab: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
    using assms by simp
  interpret HOM-ab: sub-rts resid ⟨λt. H.in-hom t a b⟩
    using assms sub-rts-HOM by blast
  interpret HOM-bc: sub-rts resid ⟨λt. H.in-hom t b c⟩
    using assms sub-rts-HOM by blast
  interpret HOM-ac: sub-rts resid ⟨λt. H.in-hom t a c⟩
    using assms sub-rts-HOM by blast
  interpret bcxab: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b)⟩
    using assms by auto
  interpret bcXab: product-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b c)⟩ ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
  ..
  interpret U: simulation
    ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b)⟩ bcXab.resid
    ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)⟩
  using assms RTS.simulation-Unpack by simp
show ?thesis
proof
  show ∧t. ¬ bcxab.arr t ⇒
    fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) ★
    snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) =
    ac.null
  using assms H.null-is-zero(2) HOM-null-char U.simulation-axioms
    simulation.extensional
  by fastforce
fix t u
assume tu: bcxab.con t u
have 1: HOM-ab.con = ab.con ∧ HOM-bc.con = bc.con ∧
  HOM-ac.con = ac.con
  using assms Hom-def arr-coincidence null-coincidence
    RTS.bij-mkide(3)
  by auto
have 2: H.in-hom (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)) b c ∧
  H.in-hom (snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)) a b ∧
  H.in-hom (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)) b c ∧
  H.in-hom (snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)) a b
  using assms tu bcxab.con-implies-arr Hom-def
    U.preserves-reflects-arr bcXab.arr-char HOM-ab.arr-char
    HOM-bc.arr-char RTS.bij-mkide(3)
  by auto
hence 3: H.in-hom (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) ★
  snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t))
  a c ∧

```

$H.in-hom (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u))$
 $a c$

using *assms arr-coincidence by blast*

have 4: $V.con (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t))$
 $(fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)) \wedge$
 $V.con (snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t))$
 $(snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u))$

using *tu 1 2 3 bcXab.con-char HOM-bc.con-char HOM-ab.con-char*
U.preserves-con

by *auto*

hence 5: $VV.con$
 $(RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)$
 $(RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)$

using *2 3 bcXab.con-char VV.con-char Hom-def arr-coincidence*
null-coincidence

by *fast*

hence 6: $fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) \frown$
 $fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)$

using *H.preserves-con VV.con-implies-arr by auto*

thus *ac.con*
 $(fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t))$
 $(fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u))$

using *1 3 HOM-ac.con-char by simp*

show $fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)$
 $(RTS.Rts (Hom b c \otimes Hom a b) t u)) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)$
 $(RTS.Rts (Hom b c \otimes Hom a b) t u)) =$
 $RTS.Rts (Hom a c)$
 $(fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t))$
 $(fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u))$

proof –

have $RTS.Rts (Hom a c)$
 $(fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t))$
 $(fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)) =$
 $(fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)) \setminus$
 $(fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u) \star$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u))$

using *assms 3 6 Hom-def HOM-ac.resid-def RTS.bij-mkide(3)*

by *simp*

```

also have ... = fst (VV.resid
  (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)
  (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)) ★
  snd (VV.resid
    (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)
    (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u))
using 5 VV.con-implies-arr H.preserves-resid by simp
also have ... = fst (bcXab.resid
  (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)
  (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)) ★
  snd (bcXab.resid
    (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)
    (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u))

proof –
have RTS.Rts (Hom b c)
  (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t))
  (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)) =
  fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) \
  fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)
using 2 Hom-def RTS.bij-mkide(3)
  HOM-bc.resid-def
  [of fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)
  fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)]
apply auto[1]
by auto
moreover have RTS.Rts (Hom a b)
  (snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t))
  (snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)) =
  snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t) \
  snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)
using 2 Hom-def RTS.bij-mkide(3)
  HOM-ab.resid-def
  [of snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) t)
  snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) u)]
apply auto[1]
by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
using tu 2 4 bcXab.resid-def bcXab.con-char VV.resid-def
  U.preserves-con
apply auto[1]
by fastforce+
qed
also have ... = fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)
  (RTS.Rts (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b) t u)) ★
  snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)
  (RTS.Rts (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b) t u))
using tu U.preserves-resid by simp
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

qed
qed
qed
show RTS.dom
  (RTS.mkarr (RTS.Rts (Hom b c  $\otimes$  Hom a b)) (RTS.Rts (Hom a c))
    ( $\lambda t. \text{fst } (\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } b \ c) \ (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ t) \star$ 
       $\text{snd } (\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } b \ c) \ (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ t))) =$ 
    Hom b c  $\otimes$  Hom a b
  using assms 0 by auto
show RTS.cod
  (RTS.mkarr (RTS.Rts (Hom b c  $\otimes$  Hom a b)) (RTS.Rts (Hom a c))
    ( $\lambda t. \text{fst } (\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } b \ c) \ (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ t) \star$ 
       $\text{snd } (\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } b \ c) \ (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ t))) =$ 
    Hom a c
  using assms 0 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma Comp-simps [simp]:
assumes a  $\in$  Obj and b  $\in$  Obj and c  $\in$  Obj
shows RTS.arr (Comp a b c)
and RTS.dom (Comp a b c) = Hom b c  $\otimes$  Hom a b
and RTS.cod (Comp a b c) = Hom a c
  using assms Comp-in-hom RTS.in-homE by auto

```

```

lemma Map-Comp-Pack:
assumes a  $\in$  Obj and b  $\in$  Obj and c  $\in$  Obj
and residuation.arr
  (product-rts.resid (RTS.Rts (Hom b c)) (RTS.Rts (Hom a b))) x
shows RTS.Map (Comp a b c) (RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x) =
  fst x  $\star$  snd x
  using assms Comp-def RTS.bij-mkarr(3) by simp

```

```

sublocale rts-enriched-category arr-type Obj Hom Id Comp
proof

```

```

  show  $\bigwedge a \ b. \llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \implies \text{RTS.ide } (\text{Hom } a \ b)$ 
    by blast
  show  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Obj} \implies \llbracket \text{Id } a : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \text{Hom } a \ a \rrbracket$ 
    using Id-in-hom RTS.unity-agreement by auto
  show  $\bigwedge a \ b \ c. \llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj}; c \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \implies$ 
     $\llbracket \text{Comp } a \ b \ c : \text{Hom } b \ c \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b \rightarrow \text{Hom } a \ c \rrbracket$ 
    using Comp-in-hom by auto

```

```

fix a b
assume a: a  $\in$  Obj and b: b  $\in$  Obj
interpret ab: extensional-rts  $\langle \text{RTS.Rts } (\text{Hom } a \ b) \rangle$ 
  using a b by simp
interpret aa: extensional-rts  $\langle \text{RTS.Rts } (\text{Hom } a \ a) \rangle$ 
  using a by simp
interpret bb: extensional-rts  $\langle \text{RTS.Rts } (\text{Hom } b \ b) \rangle$ 

```

```

using b by simp
interpret abXaa: product-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩ ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a a)⟩ ..
interpret bbXab: product-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b b)⟩ ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩ ..
interpret ab: simulation ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩ ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (Hom a b)⟩
using a b ide-Hom RTS.arrD
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTS.ide-char)
interpret I: simulation RTS.One.resid ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a a)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (Id a)⟩
using a ide-Hom Id-in-hom RTS.ide-char
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTS.Rts-one RTS.arrD(3) RTS.in-homE
    RTS.unity-agreement)
interpret Ib: simulation RTS.One.resid ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b b)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (Id b)⟩
using b ide-Hom Id-in-hom RTS.ide-char
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTS.Rts-one RTS.arrD(3) RTS.in-homE
    RTS.unity-agreement)
interpret abXI: product-simulation
    ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩ RTS.One.resid
    ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩ ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a a)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (Hom a b)⟩ ⟨RTS.Map (Id a)⟩
..
interpret IXab: product-simulation
    RTS.One.resid ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b b)⟩ ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (Id b)⟩ ⟨RTS.Map (Hom a b)⟩
..
interpret abxone: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b ⊗ 1)⟩
using a b by auto
interpret onexab: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (1 ⊗ Hom a b)⟩
using a b by auto
interpret PU-abXI: inverse-simulations
    ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b ⊗ 1)⟩ ⟨abXI.A1xA0.resid⟩
    ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom a b) 1⟩ ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1⟩
using a b RTS.ide-one
    RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of Hom a b 1]
by simp
interpret PU-IXab: inverse-simulations
    ⟨RTS.Rts (1 ⊗ Hom a b)⟩ ⟨IXab.A1xA0.resid⟩
    ⟨RTS.Pack 1 (Hom a b)⟩ ⟨RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b)⟩
using a b RTS.ide-one
    RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of 1 Hom a b]
by simp
interpret PU-abXaa: inverse-simulations
    ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b ⊗ Hom a a)⟩ abXaa.resid
    ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom a b) (Hom a a)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) (Hom a a)⟩
using a b RTS.ide-one
    RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of Hom a b Hom a a]

```

```

by simp
interpret PU-bbXab: inverse-simulations
  ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b b ⊗ Hom a b)⟩ bbXab.resid
  ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom b b) (Hom a b)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom b b) (Hom a b)⟩
using a b RTS.ide-one
  RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack [of Hom b b Hom a b]
by simp

show Comp a a b · (Hom a b ⊗ Id a) = r[Hom a b]
proof (intro RTS.arr-eqI)
  show 1: RTS.par (Comp a a b · (Hom a b ⊗ Id a)) r[Hom a b]
    using a b Id-in-hom by fastforce+
  show RTS.Map (Comp a a b · (Hom a b ⊗ Id a)) = RTS.Map r[Hom a b]
proof –
  have RTS.Map (Comp a a b · (Hom a b ⊗ Id a)) =
    RTS.Map (Comp a a b) ∘ RTS.Map (Hom a b ⊗ Id a)
  using a b 1 Comp-in-hom Id-in-hom RTS.Map-comp by blast
  also have ... = (λt. fst ((RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) (Hom a a)) ∘
    RTS.Pack (Hom a b) (Hom a a)) ∘
    abXI.map ∘
    RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1) t)
    *
    snd ((RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) (Hom a a)) ∘
    RTS.Pack (Hom a b) (Hom a a)) ∘
    abXI.map ∘
    RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1) t)
  using a b ide-Hom Id-in-hom Comp-in-hom Comp-def RTS.Map-prod
    RTS.Map-mkarr RTS.tensor-agreement RTS.bij-mkarr(3)
    RTS.unity-agreement
  by auto
  also have ... = (λt. fst ((I abXaa.resid ∘ abXI.map ∘
    RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1) t) *
    snd ((I abXaa.resid ∘ abXI.map ∘
    RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1) t))
  using PU-abXaa.inv by auto
  also have ... = RTS.Map r[Hom a b]
proof
  fix t
  show fst ((I abXaa.resid ∘ abXI.map ∘
    RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1) t) *
    snd ((I abXaa.resid ∘ abXI.map ∘
    RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1) t) =
    RTS.Map r[Hom a b] t
proof (cases abxone.arr t)
  show ¬ abxone.arr t ⇒ ?thesis
proof –
  assume t: ¬ abxone.arr t
  have fst ((I abXaa.resid ∘ abXI.map ∘

```



```

      RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1 t) ★
    snd ((I abXaa.resid ∘ abXI.map ∘
      RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1 t) =
    null
using a b t 1 PU-abXI.G.extensional abXI.extensional
      abXI.A1xA0.P1.extensional H.null-is-zero(2)
      HOM-null-char null-coincidence
by simp
also have ... = RTS.Map r[Hom a b] t
proof –
interpret R: simulation ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b ⊗ 1)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩ ⟨RTS.Map r[Hom a b]⟩
using a b 1 ide-Hom RTS.arrD(3)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTS.CMC.cod-runit
      RTS.CMC.dom-runit)
show ?thesis
      using a b t R.extensional HOM-null-char by simp
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
assume t: abxone.arr t
have (I abXaa.resid ∘ abXI.map ∘ RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1) t =
  (RTS.Map r[Hom a b] t, a)
proof –
have (I abXaa.resid ∘ abXI.map ∘ RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1) t =
  I abXaa.resid (abXI.map (RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1 t))
by auto
also have ... = abXI.map (RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1 t)
using a b t abXI.preserves-reflects-arr
      PU-abXI.G.preserves-reflects-arr
by simp
also have ... = (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1 t),
      RTS.Map (Id a)
      (snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1 t)))
using a b t PU-abXI.G.preserves-reflects-arr
      RTS.Map-ide
      abXI.map-simp [of fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1 t)
      snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1 t)]
by auto
also have ... = (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom a b) 1 t), a)
unfolding Id-def
using a b t PU-abXI.G.preserves-reflects-arr
      Id-def RTS.bij-mkarr(3) RTS.ide-one
      RTS.One.is-extensional-rts RTS.One.small-rts-axioms
by auto
also have ... = (RTS.Map r[Hom a b] t, a)
using a b t RTS.runit-agreement RTS.Map-runit
      abXI.A1xA0.P1-def RTS.unity-agreement
      PU-abXI.G.preserves-reflects-arr

```

```

    by auto
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  moreover have  $H.in-hom (RTS.Map \ulcorner[Hom\ a\ b] t) a\ b$ 
    using  $a\ b\ t\ RTS.Map-runit\ PU-abXI.G.preserves-reflects-arr$ 
       $HOM-arr-char$ 
       $[of\ a\ b\ (abXI.A1xA0.P_1 \circ RTS.Unpack (Hom\ a\ b)\ \mathbf{1})\ t]$ 
       $RTS.runit-agreement\ RTS.unity-agreement$ 
    by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using  $a\ b\ H.comp-arr-dom$  by auto
  qed
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
show  $Comp\ a\ b\ b \cdot (Id\ b \otimes Hom\ a\ b) = l[Hom\ a\ b]$ 
proof (intro  $RTS.arr-eqI$ )
  show  $1: RTS.par (Comp\ a\ b\ b \cdot (Id\ b \otimes Hom\ a\ b))\ l[Hom\ a\ b]$ 
    using  $a\ b\ Id-in-hom\ [of\ b]$  by auto
  show  $RTS.Map (Comp\ a\ b\ b \cdot (Id\ b \otimes Hom\ a\ b)) = RTS.Map\ l[Hom\ a\ b]$ 
  proof -
    have  $RTS.Map (Comp\ a\ b\ b \cdot (Id\ b \otimes Hom\ a\ b)) =$ 
       $RTS.Map (Comp\ a\ b\ b) \circ RTS.Map (Id\ b \otimes Hom\ a\ b)$ 
      using  $a\ b\ 1\ Comp-in-hom\ Id-in-hom\ RTS.Map-comp$  by blast
    also have  $\dots = (\lambda t. fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom\ b\ b) (Hom\ a\ b)\ t) \star$ 
       $snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom\ b\ b) (Hom\ a\ b)\ t)) \circ$ 
       $(RTS.Pack (Hom\ b\ b) (Hom\ a\ b) \circ$ 
       $IXab.map \circ$ 
       $RTS.Unpack\ \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ a\ b))$ 
      using  $a\ b\ ide-Hom\ Id-in-hom\ Comp-in-hom\ [of\ a\ b\ b]$ 
       $Comp-def\ RTS.Map-prod\ RTS.Map-mkarr\ RTS.tensor-agreement$ 
       $RTS.unity-agreement$ 
    by auto
    also have  $\dots = (\lambda t. fst ((RTS.Unpack (Hom\ b\ b) (Hom\ a\ b) \circ$ 
       $RTS.Pack (Hom\ b\ b) (Hom\ a\ b) \circ$ 
       $IXab.map \circ$ 
       $RTS.Unpack\ \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ a\ b))\ t)$ 
       $\star$ 
       $snd ((RTS.Unpack (Hom\ b\ b) (Hom\ a\ b) \circ$ 
       $RTS.Pack (Hom\ b\ b) (Hom\ a\ b) \circ$ 
       $IXab.map \circ$ 
       $RTS.Unpack\ \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ a\ b))\ t))$ 
      by auto
    also have  $\dots = (\lambda t. fst ((I\ bbXab.resid \circ IXab.map \circ$ 
       $RTS.Unpack\ \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ a\ b))\ t) \star$ 
       $snd ((I\ bbXab.resid \circ IXab.map \circ$ 
       $RTS.Unpack\ \mathbf{1}\ (Hom\ a\ b))\ t))$ 

```

```

using PU-bbXab.inv by auto
also have ... = RTS.Map l[Hom a b]
proof
  fix t
  show fst ((I bbXab.resid ◦ IXab.map ◦
             RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b)) t) ★
        snd ((I bbXab.resid ◦ IXab.map ◦
             RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b)) t) =
        RTS.Map l[Hom a b] t
proof (cases onexab.arr t)
  show ¬ onexab.arr t ⇒ ?thesis
  proof -
    assume t: ¬ onexab.arr t
    have fst ((I bbXab.resid ◦ IXab.map ◦ RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b)) t) ★
          snd ((I bbXab.resid ◦ IXab.map ◦ RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b)) t) =
          null
    using a b t 1 PU-IXab.G.extensional IXab.extensional
          IXab.A1xA0.P0.extensional HOM-null-char
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis H.null-is-zero(2) null-coincidence)+
    also have ... = RTS.Map l[Hom a b] t
  proof -
    interpret L: simulation
      ⟨RTS.Rts (1 ⊗ Hom a b)⟩ ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
      ⟨RTS.Map l[Hom a b]⟩
    using a b t 1 RTS.arrD(3) [of l[Hom a b]] by force
    show ?thesis
    using t L.extensional HOM-null-char a b by force
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
assume t: onexab.arr t
have (I bbXab.resid ◦ IXab.map ◦ RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b)) t =
      (b, RTS.Map l[Hom a b] t)
proof -
  have (I bbXab.resid ◦ IXab.map ◦ RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b)) t =
        I bbXab.resid (IXab.map (RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b) t))
    by auto
  also have ... = IXab.map (RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b) t)
using a b t IXab.preserves-reflects-arr PU-IXab.G.preserves-reflects-arr
  by simp
  also have ... = (RTS.Map (Id b) (fst (RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b) t)),
                  snd (RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b) t))
    using a b t PU-IXab.G.preserves-reflects-arr RTS.Map-ide
          IXab.map-simp
          [of fst (RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b) t)
           snd (RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b) t)]
    by auto
  also have ... = (b, snd (RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b) t))

```

```

unfolding Id-def
using a b t PU-IXab.G.preserves-reflects-arr Id-def
      RTS.One.is-extensional-rts
      RTS.One.small-rts-axioms RTS.bij-mkarr(3)
by auto
also have ... = (b, RTS.Map l[Hom a b] t)
using a b t RTS.lunit-agreement RTS.Map-lunit
      IXab.A1xA0.P0-def RTS.unity-agreement
      PU-IXab.G.preserves-reflects-arr
by auto
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have H.in-hom (RTS.Map l[Hom a b] t) a b
using a b t RTS.Map-lunit
      RTS.lunit-agreement RTS.unity-agreement
      PU-IXab.G.preserves-reflects-arr
      HOM-arr-char
      [of a b (IXab.A1xA0.P0 ∘ RTS.Unpack 1 (Hom a b)) t]
by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
using a b H.comp-cod-arr by auto
qed
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
next
show  $\bigwedge a b c d. [a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj}; c \in \text{Obj}; d \in \text{Obj}]$ 
       $\implies \text{Comp } a b d \cdot (\text{Comp } b c d \otimes \text{Hom } a b) =$ 
       $\text{Comp } a c d \cdot (\text{Hom } c d \otimes \text{Comp } a b c) \cdot$ 
       $a[\text{Hom } c d, \text{Hom } b c, \text{Hom } a b]$ 
proof –
fix a b c d
assume a: a ∈ Obj and b: b ∈ Obj and c: c ∈ Obj and d: d ∈ Obj
interpret ab: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
      using a b by fastforce
interpret bc: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b c)⟩
      using b c by fastforce
interpret cd: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom c d)⟩
      using c d by fastforce
interpret ac: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a c)⟩
      using a c by fastforce
interpret bd: extensional-rts ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b d)⟩
      using b d by fastforce
interpret bcrab: extensional-rts
       $\langle \text{RTS.Rts } (\text{RTS.dom } (\text{Hom } b c \otimes \text{Hom } a b)) \rangle$ 
using a b c by auto
interpret cdxabc: rts ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c))⟩
      using b c d ide-Hom RTS.ide-char RTS.arrD extensional-rts-def

```

by (*metis RTS.CMC.tensor-preserves-ide*)
interpret *cdxabc-x-ab*:
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{RTS.dom} ((\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } b \ c) \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b)) \rangle$
using *a b c d extensional-rts-def* **by** *fastforce*
interpret *cd-X-bcxab*: *product-rts*
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } c \ d) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{RTS.dom} (\text{Hom } b \ c \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b)) \rangle$
..
interpret *cdxabc-X-ab*: *product-rts*
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{RTS.dom} (\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } b \ c)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } a \ b) \rangle$
..
interpret *bcXab*: *product-rts* $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } b \ c) \rangle$ $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } a \ b) \rangle$ **..**
interpret *cdXbc*: *product-rts* $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } c \ d) \rangle$ $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } b \ c) \rangle$ **..**
interpret *cd-X-bcXab*: *product-rts* $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } c \ d) \rangle$ *bcXab.resid* **..**
interpret *cdXbc-X-ab*: *product-rts* *cdXbc.resid* $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } a \ b) \rangle$ **..**
interpret *Icd*: *simulation* $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } c \ d) \rangle$ $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } c \ d) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Map} (\text{Hom } c \ d) \rangle$
by (*metis RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ide-char c d ide-Hom*)
interpret *Iab*: *simulation* $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } a \ b) \rangle$ $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } a \ b) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Map} (\text{Hom } a \ b) \rangle$
by (*metis RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ideD(1-3) a b ide-Hom*)
interpret *Cabc*: *simulation*
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{RTS.dom} (\text{Hom } b \ c \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } a \ c) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Map} (\text{Comp } a \ b \ c) \rangle$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Comp-in-hom*
RTS.CMC.tensor-preserves-ide RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ideD(2)
RTS.in-homE a b c ide-Hom)
interpret *Cbcd*: *simulation*
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{RTS.dom} (\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } b \ c)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } b \ d) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Map} (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d) \rangle$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Comp-in-hom*
RTS.CMC.tensor-preserves-ide RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ideD(2)
RTS.in-homE b c d ide-Hom)
interpret *Cabd*: *simulation*
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{RTS.dom} (\text{Hom } b \ d \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } a \ d) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Map} (\text{Comp } a \ b \ d) \rangle$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Comp-in-hom*
RTS.CMC.tensor-preserves-ide RTS.arrD(3) RTS.ideD(2)
RTS.in-homE a b d ide-Hom)
interpret *IcdXCabc*: *product-simulation*
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } c \ d) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{RTS.dom} (\text{Hom } b \ c \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } c \ d) \rangle$ $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom } a \ c) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{RTS.Map} (\text{Hom } c \ d) \rangle$ $\langle \text{RTS.Map} (\text{Comp } a \ b \ c) \rangle$
..

```

interpret CbcdXIab: product-simulation
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c))⟩
  ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b d)⟩ ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Map (Comp b c d)⟩ ⟨RTS.Map (Hom a b)⟩

..
interpret PU-bcXab: inverse-simulations
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b))⟩
  bcXab.resid
  ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)⟩
  using a b c RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by simp
interpret PU-bdXab: inverse-simulations
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom b d ⊗ Hom a b))⟩
  CbcdXIab.B1xB0.resid
  ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom b d) (Hom a b)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom b d) (Hom a b)⟩
  using a b c d RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by simp
interpret PU-cdXac: inverse-simulations
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom c d ⊗ Hom a c))⟩
  IcdXCabc.B1xB0.resid
  ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom c d) (Hom a c)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom c d) (Hom a c)⟩
  using a c d RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by simp
interpret PU-cdXbc: inverse-simulations
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c))⟩
  cdXbc.resid
  ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom c d) (Hom b c)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom c d) (Hom b c)⟩
  using b c d RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by simp
interpret PU-cd-X-bcxab: inverse-simulations
  ⟨RTS.Rts
    (RTS.dom (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b))⟩
  cd-X-bcxab.resid
  ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom c d) (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom c d) (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b)⟩
  using a b c d RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by simp
interpret PU-cdxbc-X-ab: inverse-simulations
  ⟨RTS.Rts
    (RTS.dom ((Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) ⊗ Hom a b))⟩
  cdxbc-X-ab.resid
  ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b)⟩
  using a b c d RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by simp
interpret Ucdxbc-X-Iab: product-simulation
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c))⟩
  ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
  cdXbc.resid ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom c d) (Hom b c)⟩

```

```

      ⟨RTS.Map (Hom a b)⟩
..
interpret Icd-X-Pbcxab: product-simulation
      ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom c d)⟩ bcXab.resid
      ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom c d)⟩
      ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b))⟩
      ⟨RTS.Map (Hom c d)⟩ ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)⟩
..
show Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ Hom a b) =
      Comp a c d · (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c) · a[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b]
proof (intro RTS.arr-eqI)
  show 1: RTS.par (Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ Hom a b))
      (Comp a c d · (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c) ·
        a[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b])
  using a b c d by auto
show RTS.Map (Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ Hom a b)) =
      RTS.Map (Comp a c d · (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c) ·
        a[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b])
proof
  fix x
  show RTS.Map (Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ Hom a b)) x =
      RTS.Map (Comp a c d · (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c) ·
        a[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b]) x
  proof (cases cdabc-x-ab.arr x)
  assume x: ¬ cdabc-x-ab.arr x
  show ?thesis
  proof –
  interpret L: simulation
      ⟨RTS.Dom ((Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) ⊗ Hom a b)⟩
      ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a d)⟩
      ⟨RTS.Map (Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ Hom a b))⟩
  using a b c d 1
      RTS.arrD(3) [of Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ Hom a b)]
  by auto
  interpret R: simulation
      ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom ((Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) ⊗ Hom a b))⟩
      ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a d)⟩
      ⟨RTS.Map (Comp a c d · (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c) ·
        a[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b])⟩
  using a b c d 1
      RTS.arrD(3)
      [of Comp a c d · (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c) ·
        a[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b]]
  by auto
  show ?thesis
  using x L.extensional R.extensional by simp
qed
next
assume x: cdabc-x-ab.arr x

```

```

let ?w = RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x
let ?x = RTS.Unpack (Hom c d) (Hom b c) (fst ?w)
let ?y = snd ?w
have fst-x: cd.arr (fst ?x)
  using cdXbc.arr-char cdxbc-X-ab.arr-char x by blast
have snd-x: bc.arr (snd ?x)
  using cdXbc.arr-char cdxbc-X-ab.arr-char x by blast
have snd-w: ab.arr (snd ?w)
  using cdxbc-X-ab.arr-char x by blast
show ?thesis
proof -
  have RTS.Map (Comp a b d · (Comp b c d ⊗ Hom a b)) x =
    RTS.Map (Comp a b d) (RTS.Map (Comp b c d ⊗ Hom a b) x)
  using a b c d RTS.Map-comp by fastforce
  also have ... = RTS.Map (Comp a b d)
    (RTS.Pack (Hom b d) (Hom a b)
      (CbcdXIab.map
        (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)))
  using RTS.Map-prod a b c d by auto
  also have ... = (λx. fst x ★ snd x)
    (CbcdXIab.map
      (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))
  using a b d x CbcdXIab.preserves-reflects-arr
    PU-cdxbc-X-ab.G.preserves-reflects-arr
    Map-Comp-Pack
    [of a b d CbcdXIab.map
      (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)]
  by blast
  also have ... = (λx. fst x ★ snd x)
    (RTS.Map (Comp b c d)
      (fst
        (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)),
      snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))
  using a b x RTS.Map-ide cdxbc-X-ab.arr-char
    CbcdXIab.map-simp
    [of fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)
      snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)]
    PU-cdxbc-X-ab.G.preserves-reflects-arr [of x]
  by auto
  also have ... = (λx. fst x ★ snd x)
    (RTS.Map (Comp b c d)
      (I (RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c)))
        (fst
          (RTS.Unpack
            (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)),
        snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)))
  using x cdxbc-X-ab.arr-char comp-apply
    PU-cdxbc-X-ab.G.preserves-reflects-arr [of x]
  by simp

```


also have ... = $(\lambda x. \text{fst } x \star \text{snd } x)$
 $(\text{RTS.Map } (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d)$
 $((\text{RTS.Pack } (\text{Hom } c \ d) (\text{Hom } b \ c) \circ$
 $\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } c \ d) (\text{Hom } b \ c))$
 $(\text{fst}$
 $(\text{RTS.Unpack}$
 $(\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } b \ c) (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ x))),$
 $\text{snd } (\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } b \ c) (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ x))$
using *PU-cdXbc.inv'* **by** *simp*
also have ... = $(\lambda x. \text{fst } x \star \text{snd } x)$
 $(\text{RTS.Map } (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d)$
 $(\text{RTS.Pack } (\text{Hom } c \ d) (\text{Hom } b \ c)$
 $(\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } c \ d) (\text{Hom } b \ c))$
 $(\text{fst}$
 $(\text{RTS.Unpack}$
 $(\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } b \ c) (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ x))),$
 $\text{snd } (\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } b \ c) (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ x))$
by *auto*
also have ... = $(\lambda x. (\text{fst } (\text{fst } x) \star \text{snd } (\text{fst } x)) \star \text{snd } x)$
 $((\lambda y. (\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } c \ d) (\text{Hom } b \ c) (\text{fst } y),$
 $\text{snd } y))$
 $(\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } b \ c) (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ x))$
using *b c d x PU-cdabc-X-ab.G.preserves-reflects-arr* [of *x*]
cdabc-X-ab.arr-char
[of *RTS.Unpack* (*Hom c d* \otimes *Hom b c*) (*Hom a b*) *x*]
Map-Comp-Pack
[of *b c d*
 $\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } c \ d) (\text{Hom } b \ c)$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } b \ c) (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ x))]$
by *fastforce*
finally have *LHS: RTS.Map*
 $(\text{Comp } a \ b \ d \cdot (\text{Comp } b \ c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b)) \ x =$
 $(\lambda x. (\text{fst } (\text{fst } x) \star \text{snd } (\text{fst } x)) \star \text{snd } x)$
 $((\lambda y. (\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } c \ d) (\text{Hom } b \ c) (\text{fst } y),$
 $\text{snd } y))$
 $(\text{RTS.Unpack } (\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Hom } b \ c) (\text{Hom } a \ b) \ x))$
by *blast*
have *RTS.Map* (*Comp a c d* \cdot (*Hom c d* \otimes *Comp a b c*) \cdot
 $\text{a}[\text{Hom } c \ d, \text{Hom } b \ c, \text{Hom } a \ b]) \ x =$
 $\text{RTS.Map } (\text{Comp } a \ c \ d)$
 $(\text{RTS.Map } (\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ c)$
 $(\text{RTS.Map } \text{a}[\text{Hom } c \ d, \text{Hom } b \ c, \text{Hom } a \ b] \ x))$
using *a b c d RTS.Map-comp* **by** *fastforce*
also have ... = *RTS.Map* (*Comp a c d*)
 $(\text{RTS.Map } (\text{Hom } c \ d \otimes \text{Comp } a \ b \ c)$
 $(\text{RTS.Pack } (\text{Hom } c \ d) (\text{Hom } b \ c \otimes \text{Hom } a \ b)$
 $(\text{product-simulation.map}$
 $(\text{RTS.Rts } (\text{Hom } c \ d)) \ \text{bcXab.resid}$

```

(I (RTS.Rts (Hom c d)))
(RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b))
(ASSOC.map (RTS.Rts (Hom c d))
  (RTS.Rts (Hom b c))
  (RTS.Rts (Hom a b))
  ((product-simulation.map
    (RTS.Rts (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c))
    (RTS.Rts (Hom a b))
    (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d) (Hom b c))
    (I (RTS.Rts (Hom a b)))
    (RTS.Unpack
      (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c)
      (Hom a b) x))))))
using a b c d RTS.tensor-agreement RTS.Map-assoc
by (auto simp add: RTS.comp-arr-ide)
also have ... = RTS.Map (Comp a c d)
  (RTS.Map (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c)
    (RTS.Pack (Hom c d) (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b)
      (product-simulation.map
        (RTS.Rts (Hom c d)) bcXab.resid
        (I (RTS.Rts (Hom c d)))
        (RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b))
        (ASSOC.map (RTS.Rts (Hom c d))
          (RTS.Rts (Hom b c))
          (RTS.Rts (Hom a b))
          (((λx. (RTS.Unpack
            (Hom c d) (Hom b c) (fst x),
            RTS.Map (Hom a b) (snd x)))
            (RTS.Unpack
              (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c)
              (Hom a b) x)))))))
using a b c d x RTS.Map-ide
  PU-cdabc-X-ab.G.preserves-reflects-arr [of x]
  cdabc-X-ab.arr-char
  Ucdabc-X-Iab.map-simp
  [of fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)
   snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)]
by simp
also have ... = RTS.Map (Comp a c d)
  (RTS.Map (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c)
    (RTS.Pack (Hom c d) (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b)
      (product-simulation.map
        (RTS.Rts (Hom c d)) bcXab.resid
        (I (RTS.Rts (Hom c d)))
        (RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b))
        (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d) (Hom b c)
          (fst
            (RTS.Unpack
              (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))),

```

```

      snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d) (Hom b c)
        (fst
          (RTS.Unpack
            (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))),
      snd
        (RTS.Unpack
          (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))))
proof –
interpret A: ASSOC ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom c d)⟩ ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b c)⟩
  ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom a b)⟩
..
show ?thesis
using a b c d x cdxbc-X-ab.arr-char cdXbc.arr-char
  PU-cdxbc-X-ab.G.preserves-reflects-arr
  PU-cdXbc.G.preserves-reflects-arr
  A.map-eq RTS.Map-ide
by auto
qed
also have ... = RTS.Map (Comp a c d)
  (RTS.Map (Hom c d ⊗ Comp a b c)
    (RTS.Pack (Hom c d) (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b)
      (fst ?x,
        RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)
          (snd ?x, snd ?w))))
using c d x RTS.Map-ide Icd-X-Pbcxab.map-simp
  cdxbc-X-ab.arr-char
  PU-cdxbc-X-ab.G.preserves-reflects-arr [of x]
  PU-cdXbc.G.preserves-reflects-arr
  [of fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d ⊗ Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)]
by auto
also have ... = RTS.Map (Comp a c d)
  (RTS.Pack (Hom c d) (Hom a c)
    (IcdXCabc.map
      ((RTS.Unpack
        (Hom c d) (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b) ∘
        RTS.Pack (Hom c d) (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b))
      (fst ?x,
        RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)
          (snd ?x, snd ?w))))))
using a b c d x RTS.Map-prod by auto
also have ... = RTS.Map (Comp a c d)
  (RTS.Pack (Hom c d) (Hom a c)
    (IcdXCabc.map
      (fst ?x,
        RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)
          (snd ?x, snd ?w))))))
proof –
have cd-X-bcxab.arr
  (fst ?x, RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) (snd ?x, snd ?w))

```

```

    using fst-x snd-x snd-w PU-bcXab.F.preserves-reflects-arr
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using PU-cd-X-bcxab.inv by auto
qed
also have ... = RTS.Map (Comp a c d)
  (RTS.Pack (Hom c d) (Hom a c)
    (RTS.Map (Hom c d) (fst ?x),
    RTS.Map (Comp a b c)
    (RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)
    (snd ?x, snd ?w))))
  using a b c d x fst-x snd-x snd-w
    IcdXCabc.map-simp
    [of fst ?x
    RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) (snd ?x, snd ?w)]
  by fastforce
also have ... = fst ?x  $\star$  (snd ?x  $\star$  snd ?w)
proof -
  have ac.arr (snd ?x  $\star$  snd ?w)
    using a b c snd-w snd-x HOM-arr-char arr-coincidence
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using a b c d fst-x RTS.Map-ide snd-x snd-w Map-Comp-Pack
    by auto
qed
also have ... = ( $\lambda x$ . (fst (fst x)  $\star$  snd (fst x))  $\star$  snd x)
  (( $\lambda y$ . (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d) (Hom b c) (fst y),
  snd y))
  (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d  $\otimes$  Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))
  using H.comp-assoc by simp
finally have RHS: RTS.Map
  (Comp a c d  $\cdot$  (Hom c d  $\otimes$  Comp a b c)  $\cdot$ 
  a[Hom c d, Hom b c, Hom a b]) x =
  ( $\lambda x$ . (fst (fst x)  $\star$  snd (fst x))  $\star$  snd x)
  (( $\lambda y$ . (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d) (Hom b c) (fst y),
  snd y))
  (RTS.Unpack (Hom c d  $\otimes$  Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))
  by blast
show ?thesis using LHS RHS by auto
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

proposition *is-rts-enriched-category*:
shows *rts-enriched-category Obj Hom Id Comp*

..

```

lemma HOM-agreement:
assumes H.ide a and H.ide b
shows  $HOM_{EC} a b = HOM a b$ 
using assms Hom-def RTS.bij-mkide(3) by auto

end

```

5.3.1 Functoriality

If we are to construct an enriched functor from a given RTS-functor F , then we need a base category **RTS** that is large enough to provide objects for all the required hom-RTS's. So the arrow type of this category will need to embed the arrow types of both the domain A and the codomain B RTS of the given RTS-functor F . Here I have assumed that both of these arrow types are in fact the same type $'A$ and in addition that $'A$ is a universe, so that it supports the construction of the cartesian closed base category **RTS**. At the cost of having to deal with coercions, we could more generally just assume injections from the arrow types of A and B into a common universe $'C$, but we haven't bothered to do that.

```

locale enriched-functor-of-rts-functor =
  universe arr-type +
  RTS: rtscat arr-type +
  A: locally-small-rts-category residA compA +
  B: locally-small-rts-category residB compB +
  F: rts-functor residA compA residB compB F
for arr-type ::  $'A$  itself
and residA ::  $'A$  resid (infix  $\backslash_A$  70)
and compA ::  $'A$  comp (infixr  $\star_A$  53)
and residB ::  $'A$  resid (infix  $\backslash_B$  70)
and compB ::  $'A$  comp (infixr  $\star_B$  53)
and  $F$  ::  $'A \Rightarrow 'A$ 
begin

```

```

interpretation A: enriched-category-of-rts-category arr-type residA compA ..
interpretation B: enriched-category-of-rts-category arr-type residB compB ..

```

```

definition  $F_o$ 
where  $F_o a \equiv$  if  $A.H.ide a$  then  $F a$  else  $B.null$ 

```

```

definition  $F_a$ 
where  $F_a a b \equiv$  if  $A.H.ide a \wedge A.H.ide b$ 
  then  $RTS.mkarr (A.HOM_{EC} a b) (B.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b))$ 
  ( $\lambda t.$  if residuation.arr  $(A.HOM_{EC} a b) t$ 
    then  $F t$ 
    else  $ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null$ )

```

($B.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)$)
else RTS.null

lemma *sub-rts-resid-eq*:
assumes $a \in A.Obj$ **and** $b \in A.Obj$
shows $sub\text{-}rts.resid\ resid_A (\lambda t. A.H.in\text{-}hom\ t\ a\ b) = A.HOM_{EC}\ a\ b$
and $sub\text{-}rts.resid\ resid_B (\lambda t. B.H.in\text{-}hom\ t\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)) =$
 $B.HOM_{EC}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$
proof –
have $1: \bigwedge a. a \in Collect\ A.H.ide \implies F_o\ a \in Collect\ B.H.ide$
unfolding $F_o\text{-}def$ **by** *simp*
interpret $DOM: sub\text{-}rts\ resid_A \langle \lambda t. A.H.in\text{-}hom\ t\ a\ b \rangle$
using *assms* $A.sub\text{-}rts\text{-}HOM$ **by** *metis*
interpret $COD: sub\text{-}rts\ resid_B \langle \lambda t. B.H.in\text{-}hom\ t\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b) \rangle$
using *assms* $1\ B.sub\text{-}rts\text{-}HOM$ **by** *metis*
show $DOM.resid = A.HOM_{EC}\ a\ b$
using *assms* $DOM.resid\text{-}def\ A.Hom\text{-}def\ RTS.bij\text{-}mkide(3)$ **by** *simp*
show $COD.resid = B.HOM_{EC}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$
using *assms* $1\ B.Hom\text{-}def\ COD.resid\text{-}def\ RTS.bij\text{-}mkide(3)$ **by** *auto*
qed

sublocale *rts-enriched-functor*
 $\langle Collect\ A.H.ide \rangle\ A.Hom\ A.Id\ A.Comp$
 $\langle Collect\ B.H.ide \rangle\ B.Hom\ B.Id\ B.Comp$
 $F_o\ F_a$

proof
show $1: \bigwedge a. a \in Collect\ A.H.ide \implies F_o\ a \in Collect\ B.H.ide$
unfolding $F_o\text{-}def$ **by** *simp*
show $\bigwedge a\ b. a \notin Collect\ A.H.ide \vee b \notin Collect\ A.H.ide \implies F_a\ a\ b = RTS.null$
unfolding $F_a\text{-}def$ **by** *auto*
show $2: \bigwedge a\ b. \llbracket a \in Collect\ A.H.ide; b \in Collect\ A.H.ide \rrbracket \implies$
 $\langle F_a\ a\ b : A.Hom\ a\ b \rightarrow B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b) \rangle$

proof –
fix $a\ b$
assume $a: a \in Collect\ A.H.ide$ **and** $b: b \in Collect\ A.H.ide$
interpret $DOM: sub\text{-}rts\ resid_A \langle \lambda t. A.H.in\text{-}hom\ t\ a\ b \rangle$
using $a\ b\ A.sub\text{-}rts\text{-}HOM$ **by** *metis*
interpret $COD: sub\text{-}rts\ resid_B \langle \lambda t. B.H.in\text{-}hom\ t\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b) \rangle$
using $a\ b\ 1\ B.sub\text{-}rts\text{-}HOM$ **by** *metis*
interpret $F_a\text{-}ab: simulation\ DOM.resid\ COD.resid$
 $\langle \lambda t. if\ DOM.arr\ t\ then\ F\ t\ else\ COD.null \rangle$

proof
show $\bigwedge t. \neg\ DOM.arr\ t \implies$
 $(if\ DOM.arr\ t\ then\ F\ t\ else\ COD.null) = COD.null$
by *simp*
show $\bigwedge t\ u. DOM.con\ t\ u \implies$
 $COD.con\ (if\ DOM.arr\ t\ then\ F\ t\ else\ COD.null)$
 $(if\ DOM.arr\ u\ then\ F\ u\ else\ COD.null)$
using $COD.con\text{-}char\ DOM.arr\text{-}char\ DOM.con\text{-}char\ F_o\text{-}def\ a\ b$ **by** *auto*

```

show  $\bigwedge t u. \text{DOM.con } t u \implies$ 
  (if  $\text{DOM.arr } (\text{DOM.resid } t u)$  then  $F (\text{DOM.resid } t u)$ 
   else  $\text{COD.null}$ ) =
   $\text{COD.resid } (\text{if } \text{DOM.arr } t$  then  $F t$  else  $\text{COD.null}$ )
  (if  $\text{DOM.arr } u$  then  $F u$  else  $\text{COD.null}$ )
using  $a b 1 F_o\text{-def } \text{COD.con-char } \text{DOM.arr-char } \text{DOM.con-char}$ 
   $\text{DOM.resid-def } \text{COD.resid-def } \text{DOM.resid-closed}$ 
by auto
qed
show  $\langle F_a a b : A.\text{Hom } a b \rightarrow B.\text{Hom } (F_o a) (F_o b) \rangle$ 
proof
  have  $2: \text{residuation.arr } (A.\text{HOM}_{EC} a b) = \text{DOM.arr}$ 
  using  $a b \text{DOM.arr-char } A.\text{Hom-def } \text{RTS.bij-mkide}(3)$  by simp
  have  $3: \text{ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null}$ 
  (  $B.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)$  ) =
   $\text{COD.null}$ 
  using  $a b 1 \text{COD.null-char } B.\text{Hom-def } \text{RTS.bij-mkide}(3)$  by auto
  show  $4: \text{RTS.arr } (F_a a b)$ 
  proof (intro  $\text{RTS.arr}_{RTSC}$ )
  show  $\text{extensional-rts } \text{DOM.resid} \wedge \text{small-rts } \text{DOM.resid}$ 
  using  $a b A.V.\text{extensional-rts-axioms } \text{DOM.preserves-extensional-rts}$ 
   $\text{sub-rts-resid-eq}$ 
  by auto
  show  $\text{extensional-rts } \text{COD.resid} \wedge \text{small-rts } \text{COD.resid}$ 
  using  $a b 1 B.V.\text{extensional-rts-axioms } \text{COD.preserves-extensional-rts}$ 
   $\text{sub-rts-resid-eq}(2)$ 
  by auto
  have (  $\lambda t. \text{if } \text{DOM.arr } t$  then  $F t$ 
  else  $\text{ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null}$ 
  (  $B.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)$  ) ) =
  (  $\lambda t. \text{if } \text{DOM.arr } t$  then  $F t$  else  $\text{COD.null}$  )
  using  $a b 3 \text{sub-rts-resid-eq } F_a\text{-def } \text{RTS.Map-mkarr}$ 
  by auto
  thus  $F_a a b \in \text{RTS.mkarr } \text{DOM.resid } \text{COD.resid}$  ‘
   $\text{Collect } (\text{simulation } \text{DOM.resid } \text{COD.resid})$ 
  unfolding  $F_a\text{-def}$ 
  using  $a b 1 3 \text{sub-rts-resid-eq } F_a\text{-ab.simulation-axioms}$ 
   $\text{RTS.Map-mkarr}$ 
  by auto
qed
show  $\text{RTS.dom } (F_a a b) = A.\text{Hom } a b$ 
using  $4 F_a\text{-def}$  by fastforce
show  $\text{RTS.cod } (F_a a b) = B.\text{Hom } (F_o a) (F_o b)$ 
using  $4 F_o\text{-def } F_a\text{-def}$  by fastforce
qed
qed
show  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Collect } A.H.\text{ide} \implies F_a a a \cdot A.\text{Id } a = B.\text{Id } (F_o a)$ 
proof –
  fix  $a$ 

```

```

assume  $a$ :  $a \in \text{Collect } A.H.\text{ide}$ 
interpret  $HOM_A$ :  $\text{sub-rts resid}_A \langle \lambda t. A.H.\text{in-hom } t \ a \ \rangle$ 
  using  $a$   $A.\text{sub-rts-HOM}$  by  $\text{metis}$ 
interpret  $HOM_B$ :  $\text{sub-rts resid}_B \langle \lambda t. B.H.\text{in-hom } t \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ a) \ \rangle$ 
  using  $a$   $1$   $B.\text{sub-rts-HOM}$  by  $\text{metis}$ 
have  $\beta$ :  $RTS.\text{arr} \ (F_a \ a \ a \cdot A.Id \ a)$ 
  using  $a$   $2$   $A.\text{arr-coincidence}$   $A.Id\text{-in-hom}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
have  $\beta$ :  $F_a \ a \ a \cdot A.Id \ a =$ 
   $RTS.\text{mkarr} \ (A.HOM_{EC} \ a \ a) \ (B.HOM_{EC} \ (F \ a) \ (F \ a))$ 
   $(\lambda t. \text{if } HOM_A.\text{arr } t \ \text{then } F \ t \ \text{else } HOM_B.\text{null}) \cdot$ 
   $RTS.\text{mkarr} \ RTS.One.\text{resid} \ (A.HOM_{EC} \ a \ a)$ 
   $(\lambda t. \text{if } RTS.One.\text{arr } t \ \text{then } a \ \text{else } HOM_A.\text{null})$ 
proof –
  have  $A.HOM_{EC} \ a \ a = HOM_A.\text{resid}$ 
    using  $a$   $HOM_A.\text{resid-def}$   $A.Hom\text{-def}$   $RTS.\text{bij-mkide}(\beta)$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  moreover have  $B.HOM_{EC} \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ a) = HOM_B.\text{resid}$ 
    using  $a$   $1$   $HOM_B.\text{resid-def}$   $B.Hom\text{-def}$   $[of \ F_o \ a \ F_o \ a]$   $RTS.\text{bij-mkide}(\beta)$ 
    by  $\text{simp}$ 
  ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
    unfolding  $F_o\text{-def}$   $F_a\text{-def}$   $A.Id\text{-def}$   $B.Id\text{-def}$ 
    using  $a$   $A.\text{arr-coincidence}$   $A.Hom\text{-def}$   $B.Hom\text{-def}$ 
    apply  $\text{auto}[1]$ 
    using  $F_o\text{-def}$   $\langle B.HOM_{EC} \ (F_o \ a) \ (F_o \ a) = HOM_B.\text{resid} \ \rangle$  by  $\text{presburger}$ 
qed
also have  $\dots = RTS.\text{mkarr} \ RTS.One.\text{resid} \ (B.HOM_{EC} \ (F \ a) \ (F \ a))$ 
   $((\lambda t. \text{if } HOM_A.\text{arr } t \ \text{then } F \ t \ \text{else } HOM_B.\text{null}) \circ$ 
   $(\lambda t. \text{if } RTS.One.\text{arr } t \ \text{then } a \ \text{else } HOM_A.\text{null}))$ 
  using  $a$   $1$   $3$   $4$   $RTS.\text{comp-mkarr}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
also have  $\dots = RTS.\text{mkarr} \ RTS.One.\text{resid} \ (B.HOM_{EC} \ (F \ a) \ (F \ a))$ 
   $(\lambda t. \text{if } RTS.One.\text{arr } t \ \text{then } F \ a \ \text{else } HOM_B.\text{null})$ 
  (is  $?LHS = ?RHS)$ 
proof ( $\text{intro}$   $RTS.\text{arr-eqI}$ )
  interpret  $HOM\text{-}F_a\text{-}F_a$ :  $\text{hom-rts arr-type } B.Obj \ B.Hom \ B.Id \ B.Comp$ 
   $\langle F \ a \ \rangle \ \langle F \ a \ \rangle$ 
  using  $a$  by  $\text{unfold-locales auto}$ 
have  $\beta$ :  $\text{simulation } RTS.One.\text{resid} \ (B.HOM_{EC} \ (F \ a) \ (F \ a))$ 
   $(\lambda t. \text{if } RTS.One.\text{arr } t \ \text{then } F \ a \ \text{else } HOM_B.\text{null})$ 
proof –
  have  $(\lambda t. \text{if } RTS.One.\text{arr } t \ \text{then } F \ a$ 
   $\text{else } ResiduatedTransitionSystem.\text{partial-magma.null}$ 
   $(B.HOM \ (F \ a) \ (F \ a))) =$ 
   $(\lambda t. \text{if } RTS.One.\text{arr } t \ \text{then } F \ a \ \text{else } HOM_B.\text{null})$ 
  using  $F_o\text{-def}$   $a$  by  $\text{fastforce}$ 
thus  $?thesis$ 
  using  $a$   $RTS.\text{bij-mkide}(\beta)$   $B.Id\text{-in-hom}$   $[of \ F \ a]$ 
   $RTS.\text{arrD}(\beta)$   $[of \ B.Id \ (F \ a)]$ 
   $B.Id\text{-def}$   $RTS.Map\text{-mkarr}$ 
  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed

```



```

have 6: extensional-rts (B.HOMEC (F a) (F a))
  using a by force
have 7: small-rts (B.HOMEC (F a) (F a))
  using a by force
have 8: RTS.arr ?LHS
  using 3 calculation by auto
have 9: RTS.arr ?RHS
  using 5 6 7 RTS.One.extensional-rts-axioms
  RTS.One.small-rts-axioms
  by auto
show RTS.par ?LHS ?RHS
  using 8 9 by auto
show RTS.Map ?LHS = RTS.Map ?RHS
proof
  fix x
  show RTS.Map ?LHS x = RTS.Map ?RHS x
  using a 8 9 RTS.Map-mkarr HOMA.arr-char HOMA.null-char
  A.H.ide-in-hom
  by auto
qed
qed
also have ... = B.Id (Fo a)
  unfolding Fo-def B.Id-def
  using a by auto
finally show Fa a a · A.Id a = B.Id (Fo a) by blast
qed
show  $\bigwedge a b c. \llbracket a \in A.Obj; b \in A.Obj; c \in A.Obj \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies B.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b) =$ 
   $F_a a c \cdot A.Comp a b c$ 
proof –
  fix a b c
  assume a: a ∈ Collect A.H.ide and b: b ∈ Collect A.H.ide
  and c: c ∈ Collect A.H.ide
  interpret HOMA-ab: sub-rts residA ⟨λt. A.H.in-hom t a b⟩
  using a b A.sub-rts-HOM by metis
  interpret HOMA-ac: sub-rts residA ⟨λt. A.H.in-hom t a c⟩
  using a c A.sub-rts-HOM by metis
  interpret HOMA-bc: sub-rts residA ⟨λt. A.H.in-hom t b c⟩
  using b c A.sub-rts-HOM by metis
  interpret HOMB-ab: sub-rts residB ⟨λt. B.H.in-hom t (F_o a) (F_o b)⟩
  using a b 1 B.sub-rts-HOM by metis
  interpret HOMB-ac: sub-rts residB ⟨λt. B.H.in-hom t (F_o a) (F_o c)⟩
  using a c 1 B.sub-rts-HOM by metis
  interpret HOMB-bc: sub-rts residB ⟨λt. B.H.in-hom t (F_o b) (F_o c)⟩
  using b c 1 B.sub-rts-HOM by metis
  interpret Fa-bc: simulation HOMA-bc.resid HOMB-bc.resid
  ⟨RTS.Map (F_a b c)⟩
  using b c 1 2 [of b c] A.Hom-def B.Hom-def RTS.bij-mkide(3)
  RTS.arrD(3) [of F_a b c]

```

```

by auto
interpret Fa-ab: simulation HOMA-ab.resid HOMB-ab.resid
  ⟨RTS.Map (Fa a b)⟩
using a b 1 2 [of a b] A.Hom-def B.Hom-def RTS.bij-mkide(3)
  RTS.arrD(3) [of Fa a b]
by auto
interpret Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab: product-simulation
  HOMA-bc.resid HOMA-ab.resid
  HOMB-bc.resid HOMB-ab.resid
  ⟨RTS.Map (Fa b c)⟩ ⟨RTS.Map (Fa a b)⟩
..

interpret HOM-bc: extensional-rts ⟨A.HOMEC b c⟩
  using b c by simp
interpret HOM-ab: extensional-rts ⟨A.HOMEC a b⟩
  using a b by simp
interpret HOM-ac: extensional-rts ⟨A.HOMEC a c⟩
  using a c by simp
interpret HOM-bc-x-HOM-ab: product-rts
  ⟨A.HOMEC b c⟩ ⟨A.HOMEC a b⟩
..
interpret B-HOM-bc: extensional-rts ⟨B.HOMEC (Fo b) (Fo c)⟩
  using b c 1 by simp
interpret B-HOM-ab: extensional-rts ⟨B.HOMEC (Fo a) (Fo b)⟩
  using a b 1 by simp
interpret B-HOM-bc-x-B-HOM-ab: product-rts
  ⟨B.HOMEC (Fo b) (Fo c)⟩
  ⟨B.HOMEC (Fo a) (Fo b)⟩
..
interpret U: simulation
  ⟨RTS.Rts (A.Hom b c ⊗ A.Hom a b)⟩
  ⟨HOM-bc-x-HOM-ab.resid⟩
  ⟨RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b)⟩
  using a b c RTS.simulation-Unpack by simp

show B.Comp (Fo a) (Fo b) (Fo c) · (Fa b c ⊗ Fa a b) =
  Fa a c · A.Comp a b c
proof (intro RTS.arr-eqI)
  show 3: RTS.par
    (B.Comp (Fo a) (Fo b) (Fo c) · (Fa b c ⊗ Fa a b))
    (Fa a c · A.Comp a b c)
proof (intro conjI)
  show RTS.seq (B.Comp (Fo a) (Fo b) (Fo c)) (Fa b c ⊗ Fa a b)
  using a b c 1 2 [of b c] 2 [of a b]
    RTS.prod-simps [of Fa b c Fa a b]
  apply (intro RTS.seqI)
  apply auto[1]
  by fastforce+
  show RTS.seq (Fa a c) (A.Comp a b c)

```

using $a\ b\ c\ 1\ 2\ A.\text{Comp-in-hom}$ **by** *blast*
show $RTS.dom\ (B.\text{Comp}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)) \cdot (F_a\ b\ c \otimes F_a\ a\ b) =$
 $RTS.dom\ (F_a\ a\ c \cdot A.\text{Comp}\ a\ b\ c)$
using $a\ b\ c\ 1\ 2\ [of\ b\ c]\ 2\ [of\ a\ b]\ 2\ [of\ a\ c]$ **by** *fastforce*
show $RTS.cod\ (B.\text{Comp}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)) \cdot (F_a\ b\ c \otimes F_a\ a\ b) =$
 $RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ c \cdot A.\text{Comp}\ a\ b\ c)$
using $a\ b\ c\ 1\ 2\ [of\ b\ c]\ 2\ [of\ a\ b]\ 2\ [of\ a\ c]$ **by** *fastforce*
qed
show $RTS.Map\ (B.\text{Comp}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)) \cdot (F_a\ b\ c \otimes F_a\ a\ b) =$
 $RTS.Map\ (F_a\ a\ c \cdot A.\text{Comp}\ a\ b\ c)$
proof –
have $RTS.Map\ (B.\text{Comp}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)) \cdot (F_a\ b\ c \otimes F_a\ a\ b) =$
 $RTS.Map\ (B.\text{Comp}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)) \circ$
 $RTS.Map\ (F_a\ b\ c \otimes F_a\ a\ b)$
using $a\ b\ c\ 1\ 2\ [of\ b\ c]\ 2\ [of\ a\ b]\ 2\ [of\ a\ c]\ 3\ RTS.Map-comp$
by *auto*
also have $\dots = (\lambda t. fst$
 $(RTS.Unpack$
 $(B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c))$
 $(B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b))\ t) \star_B$
 snd
 $(RTS.Unpack$
 $(B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c))$
 $(B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b))\ t)) \circ$
 $(RTS.Pack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b))) \circ$
 $F_a-bc-x-F_a-ab.map \circ$
 $RTS.Unpack$
 $(RTS.dom\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ a\ b)))$
proof –
have $RTS.Map\ (B.\text{Comp}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)) =$
 $(\lambda t. fst\ (RTS.Unpack$
 $(B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c))\ (B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b))\ t) \star_B$
 $snd\ (RTS.Unpack$
 $(B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c))\ (B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b))\ t))$
using $a\ b\ c\ 1\ 2\ B.\text{Comp-def}\ RTS.Map-mkarr$
 $B.\text{Comp-in-hom}\ [of\ F_o\ a\ F_o\ b\ F_o\ c]$
by *auto*
moreover have $RTS.Map\ (F_a\ b\ c \otimes F_a\ a\ b) =$
 $RTS.Pack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b)) \circ$
 $F_a-bc-x-F_a-ab.map \circ$
 $RTS.Unpack\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ a\ b))$
proof –
have $RTS.Rts\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ b\ c)) = HOM_{A-bc}.resid$
using $b\ c\ 2\ [of\ b\ c]\ sub-rts-resid-eq$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $RTS.Rts\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ a\ b)) = HOM_{A-ab}.resid$
using $a\ b\ 2\ [of\ a\ b]\ sub-rts-resid-eq$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have *product-simulation.map*
 $(RTS.Rts\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ b\ c)))\ (RTS.Rts\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ a\ b)))$
 $(RTS.Map\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.Map\ (F_a\ a\ b)) =$

```

       $F_a\text{-bc-x-}F_a\text{-ab.map}$ 
using  $a\ b\ c\ 1\ 2$  [of  $b\ c$ ] 2 [of  $a\ b$ ]  $F_a\text{-def RTS.dom-mkarr}$  by auto
thus ?thesis
using  $a\ b\ c\ 1\ 2$  [of  $b\ c$ ] 2 [of  $a\ b$ ]  $A.Hom\text{-def } B.Hom\text{-def}$ 
       $RTS.in\text{-homE RTS.Map-prod}$  [of  $F_a\ b\ c\ F_a\ a\ b$ ]
by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by force
qed
also have ... = ( $\lambda t.$  fst
      ( $RTS.Unpack$ 
        ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)$ ) ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$ )
        ( $RTS.Pack$ 
          ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)$ )
          ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$ )
          ( $F_a\text{-bc-x-}F_a\text{-ab.map}$ 
            ( $RTS.Unpack$ 
              ( $A.Hom\ b\ c$ ) ( $A.Hom\ a\ b$ )  $t$ ))))))  $\star_B$ 
      snd
      ( $RTS.Unpack$ 
        ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)$ ) ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$ )
        ( $RTS.Pack$ 
          ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)$ )
          ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$ )
          ( $F_a\text{-bc-x-}F_a\text{-ab.map}$ 
            ( $RTS.Unpack$ 
              ( $A.Hom\ b\ c$ ) ( $A.Hom\ a\ b$ )  $t$ ))))))
using  $a\ b\ c\ 2$  [of  $a\ b$ ] 2 [of  $b\ c$ ] by fastforce
also have ... = ( $\lambda t.$  fst ( $F_a\text{-bc-x-}F_a\text{-ab.map}$ 
      ( $RTS.Unpack\ (A.Hom\ b\ c)\ (A.Hom\ a\ b)\ t$ ))  $\star_B$ 
      snd ( $F_a\text{-bc-x-}F_a\text{-ab.map}$ 
      ( $RTS.Unpack\ (A.Hom\ b\ c)\ (A.Hom\ a\ b)\ t$ ))
proof
fix  $t$ 
interpret  $PU$ : inverse-simulations
       $\langle RTS.Rts$ 
        ( $RTS.dom$ 
          ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c) \otimes B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$ ))  $\rangle$ 
       $\langle product\text{-rts.resid}$ 
        ( $B.HOM_{EC}\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)$ ) ( $B.HOM_{EC}\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$ ),
       $\langle RTS.Pack$ 
        ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)$ ) ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$ )  $\rangle$ 
       $\langle RTS.Unpack$ 
        ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)$ ) ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$ ),
using  $a\ b\ c\ 1$   $RTS.inverse\text{-simulations-Pack-Unpack}$  by simp
show fst
      ( $RTS.Unpack$ 
        ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)$ ) ( $B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)$ )
        ( $RTS.Pack\ (B.Hom\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c))\ (B.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b))$ )

```

```

      (Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab.map
       (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t))) ★B
    snd (RTS.Unpack
         (B.Hom (Fo b) (Fo c)) (B.Hom (Fo a) (Fo b))
         (RTS.Pack
          (B.Hom (Fo b) (Fo c)) (B.Hom (Fo a) (Fo b))
          (Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab.map
           (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)))) =
fst
  (Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab.map (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t))
★B
  snd
    (Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab.map (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t))
proof (cases U.A.arr t)
show ¬ U.A.arr t ⇒ ?thesis
using a b c sub-rts-resid-eq
        Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab.extensional PU.F.extensional PU.G.extensional
        U.extensional Fa-bc.extensional Fa-ab.extensional
        PU.A.not-arr-null B-HOM-bc-x-B-HOM-ab.not-arr-null
by auto
assume t: U.A.arr t
show ?thesis
using a b c t 1 RTS.Unpack-Pack Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab.preserves-reflects-arr
        U.preserves-reflects-arr sub-rts-resid-eq
by auto
qed
qed
also have ... =
  (λt. F (fst (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)) ★B
   F (snd (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)))
proof
fix t
show fst
  (Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab.map
   (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)) ★B
  snd
  (Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab.map
   (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)) =
  F (fst (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)) ★B
  F (snd (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t))
proof (cases U.A.arr t)
show ¬ U.A.arr t ⇒ ?thesis
using a b c U.extensional Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab.extensional F.extensional
        HOMA-bc.null-char HOMA-ab.null-char HOMB-bc.null-char
        HOMB-ab.null-char Fa-bc.extensional Fa-ab.extensional
        sub-rts-resid-eq HOMA-bc.not-arr-null HOMA-ab.not-arr-null
by auto
assume t: U.A.arr t
show ?thesis

```

```

using a b c t 1 Fa-bc-x-Fa-ab.map-def U.preserves-reflects-arr
sub-rts-resid-eq B-HOM-ab.extensional-rts-axioms
B-HOM-bc.extensional-rts-axioms Fa-def
HOM-ab.extensional-rts-axioms HOM-bc.extensional-rts-axioms
RTS.bij-mkarr(3)
by auto
qed
qed
also have ... = (λt. F (fst (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t) ★A
  snd (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)))
proof
fix t
show F (fst (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)) ★B
  F (snd (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)) =
  F (fst (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t) ★A
    snd (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t))
proof (cases U.A.arr t)
show ¬ U.A.arr t ⇒ ?thesis
using a b c U.extensional F.extensional A.HOM-null-char
A.H.extensional B.H.extensional
A.H.null-is-zero(2) A.null-coincidence
B.H.null-is-zero(2) B.null-coincidence
by auto
assume t: U.A.arr t
have A.H.seq (fst (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t))
  (snd (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t))
using A.HOM-arr-char HOM-bc-x-HOM-ab.arr-char a b c t by blast
thus ?thesis
using a b c t U.preserves-reflects-arr F.preserves-comp
by auto
qed
qed
also have ... = (λt. if HOM-ac.arr t then F t
  else ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null
    (B.HOMEC (Fo a) (Fo c))) ◦
  (λt. fst (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t) ★A
    snd (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t))
proof
fix t
show F (fst (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t) ★A
  snd (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)) =
  ((λt. if HOM-ac.arr t
    then F t
    else ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null
      (B.HOMEC (Fo a) (Fo c))) ◦
  (λt. fst (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t) ★A
    snd (RTS.Unpack (A.Hom b c) (A.Hom a b) t)))
  t
proof (cases U.A.arr t)

```

```

show  $\neg U.A.arr\ t \implies ?thesis$ 
  using  $a\ b\ c\ U.extensional\ F.extensional\ A.HOM-null-char$ 
     $A.H.null-is-zero(2)\ A.null-coincidence\ sub-rts-resid-eq$ 
     $HOM_B-ac.null-char$ 
  by auto
assume  $t: U.A.arr\ t$ 
have  $HOM-ac.arr\ (fst\ (RTS.Unpack\ (A.Hom\ b\ c)\ (A.Hom\ a\ b)\ t)\ \star_A$ 
     $snd\ (RTS.Unpack\ (A.Hom\ b\ c)\ (A.Hom\ a\ b)\ t))$ 
by (meson  $A.H.comp-in-homI\ A.HOM-arr-char\ HOM-bc-x-HOM-ab.arr-char$ 
   $U.preserves-reflects-arr\ a\ b\ c\ t$ )
thus  $?thesis$ 
  using  $a\ b\ c\ t\ sub-rts-resid-eq\ F.preserves-reflects-arr$ 
  by auto
qed
qed
also have  $\dots = RTS.Map\ (F_a\ a\ c) \circ RTS.Map\ (A.Comp\ a\ b\ c)$ 
  using  $a\ b\ c\ F_a-def\ [of\ a\ c]\ A.Comp-def\ [of\ a\ b\ c]\ sub-rts-resid-eq$ 
  apply simp
  using  $1\ RTS.bij-mkarr(3)$  by force
also have  $\dots = RTS.Map\ (F_a\ a\ c \cdot A.Comp\ a\ b\ c)$ 
  using  $RTS.Map-comp$ 
  by (simp  $add: \langle RTS.par$ 
     $(B.Comp\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b)\ (F_o\ c)) \cdot (F_a\ b\ c \otimes F_a\ a\ b))$ 
     $(F_a\ a\ c \cdot A.Comp\ a\ b\ c) \rangle$ )
finally show  $?thesis$  by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed
end

```

5.4 Equivalence of RTS-Enriched Categories and RTS-Categories

We now extend to an equivalence the correspondence between categories enriched in **RTS** and RTS-categories.

5.4.1 RTS-Category to Enriched Category to RTS-Category

```

context enriched-category-of-rts-category
begin

```

```

  interpretation  $RC: rts-category-of-enriched-category\ arr-type$ 
     $Obj\ Hom\ Id\ Comp\ ..$ 

```

```

  no-notation  $RTS.prod$     (infixr  $\otimes$  51)

```

interpretation *Trn*: simulation *RC.resid resid*
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } RC.\text{arr } t \text{ then } RC.\text{Trn } t \text{ else } \text{null} \rangle$

proof
let $?Trn = \lambda t. \text{if } RC.\text{arr } t \text{ then } RC.\text{Trn } t \text{ else } \text{null}$
show $\bigwedge t. \neg RC.\text{arr } t \implies ?Trn t = \text{null}$
by *simp*
fix $t u$
assume $tu: RC.V.\text{con } t u$
interpret *Hom*: sub-rts resid $\langle \lambda v. H.\text{in-hom } v (RC.\text{Dom } u) (RC.\text{Cod } u) \rangle$
using *sub-rts-HOM* **by** *auto*
interpret *HOM*: hom-rts arr-type *Obj Hom Id Comp*
 $\langle RC.\text{Dom } u \rangle \langle RC.\text{Cod } u \rangle$
using tu *RC.con-char RC.arr-char RC.V.con-implies-arr*
by *unfold-locales blast+*
show *con*: $?Trn t \frown ?Trn u$
proof –
have $HOM.\text{con } (RC.\text{Trn } t) (RC.\text{Trn } u) \longleftrightarrow$
 $Hom.\text{con } (RC.\text{Trn } t) (RC.\text{Trn } u)$
using tu *Hom.con-char Hom-def RC.con-char RTS.bij-mkide(3)* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using tu *Hom.con-char RC.con-char RC.Con-def* **by** *auto*
qed
show $?Trn (RC.\text{resid } t u) = ?Trn t \setminus ?Trn u$
proof –
have $HOM_{EC} (RC.\text{Dom } t) (RC.\text{Cod } t) (RC.\text{Trn } t) (RC.\text{Trn } u) =$
 $RC.\text{Trn } t \setminus RC.\text{Trn } u$
using tu *con RC.con-char Hom.con-char Hom-def Hom.resid-def RC.arr-char*
 $RTS.bij-mkide(3)$
by *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using tu *con* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

interpretation *MkArr*: simulation resid *RC.resid*
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } \text{arr } t \text{ then } RC.\text{MkArr } (H.\text{dom } t) (H.\text{cod } t) t$
 $\text{else } RC.\text{null} \rangle$

proof
let $?MkArr = \lambda t. \text{if } \text{arr } t \text{ then } RC.\text{MkArr } (H.\text{dom } t) (H.\text{cod } t) t \text{ else } RC.\text{null}$
show $\bigwedge t. \neg \text{arr } t \implies ?MkArr t = RC.\text{null}$
by *simp*
fix $t u$
assume $tu: t \frown u$
interpret *Hom*: sub-rts resid $\langle \lambda t. H.\text{in-hom } t (H.\text{dom } u) (H.\text{cod } u) \rangle$
using tu *sub-rts-HOM [of H.dom u H.cod u] arr-coincidence V.con-implies-arr*
by *auto*
show *con*: $RC.V.\text{con } (?MkArr t) (?MkArr u)$
using tu *V.con-implies-arr arr-coincidence RC.con-char HOM-arr-char*
 $\text{con-implies-hpar Hom.con-char H.in-homI HOM-agreement}$

by (*unfold RC.con-char*) *auto*
show $?MkArr (t \setminus u) = RC.resid (?MkArr t) (?MkArr u)$
using *tu con arr-coincidence con-implies-hpar RC.con-char HOM-arr-char*
con-implies-hpar Hom.con-char H.in-homI HOM-agreement Hom.resid-def
apply *auto[1]*
apply (*metis VV.F.preserves-trg dom-trg V.trg-def*)
by (*metis VV.G.preserves-trg cod-trg V.trg-def*)
qed

interpretation *Trn-MkArr: inverse-simulations resid RC.resid*
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } RC.arr \ t \ \text{then } RC.Trn \ t \ \text{else } null \rangle$
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } arr \ t \ \text{then } RC.MkArr \ (H.dom \ t) \ (H.cod \ t) \ t$
 $\ \text{else } RC.null \rangle$

proof
let $?Trn = \lambda t. \text{if } RC.arr \ t \ \text{then } RC.Trn \ t \ \text{else } null$
let $?MkArr = \lambda t. \text{if } arr \ t \ \text{then } RC.MkArr \ (H.dom \ t) \ (H.cod \ t) \ t \ \text{else } RC.null$
show $?MkArr \circ ?Trn = I \ RC.resid$
proof
fix *t*
show $(?MkArr \circ ?Trn) \ t = I \ RC.resid \ t$
apply *auto[1]*
by (*metis RC.Cod.simps(1) RC.Dom.simps(1) RC.Trn.simps(1)*
RC.arr.simps(2) RC.arr-char RC.arr-eqI RC.null-char H.in-homE
HOM-arr-char)
qed
show $?Trn \circ ?MkArr = I \ resid$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *inverse-simulations-Trn-MkArr:*
shows *inverse-simulations resid RC.resid*
 $(\lambda t. \text{if } RC.arr \ t \ \text{then } RC.Trn \ t \ \text{else } null)$
 $(\lambda t. \text{if } arr \ t \ \text{then } RC.MkArr \ (H.dom \ t) \ (H.cod \ t) \ t \ \text{else } RC.null)$
..

interpretation *Trn: functor RC.hcomp hcomp*
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } RC.arr \ t \ \text{then } RC.Trn \ t \ \text{else } null \rangle$

proof
let $?Trn = \lambda t. \text{if } RC.arr \ t \ \text{then } RC.Trn \ t \ \text{else } null$
show $\bigwedge f. \neg RC.H.arr \ f \implies ?Trn \ f = H.null$
using *null-coincidence RC.arr-coincidence* **by** *auto*
show $1: \bigwedge f. RC.H.arr \ f \implies H.arr \ (?Trn \ f)$
using *RC.arr-coincidence arr-coincidence null-coincidence Trn.extensional*
by (*metis Trn.preserves-reflects-arr*)
show $\bigwedge f. RC.H.arr \ f \implies H.dom \ (?Trn \ f) = ?Trn \ (RC.H.dom \ f)$
proof –
fix *t*
assume *t: RC.H.arr t*
have $2: RC.arr \ (RC.MkArr \ (RC.Dom \ t) \ (RC.Dom \ t) \ (RC.Dom \ t))$
using *t RC.arr-coincidence RC.arr-char HOM-arr-char H.ide-in-hom*

```

    by auto
  show  $H.dom (?Trn t) = ?Trn (RC.H.dom t)$ 
  proof (intro H.dom-eqI)
    show  $\exists: H.ide (?Trn (RC.H.dom t))$ 
      using  $t$  2 RC.arr-coincidence Id-def RC.arr-char
            RTS.One.arr-char RTS.One.is-extensional-rts
            RTS.One.small-rts-axioms RTS.bij-mkarr( $\exists$ )
    by (auto simp add: RC.H-dom-simp RC.H-cod-simp)
  show  $H.seq (?Trn t) (?Trn (RC.H.dom t))$ 
    by (metis  $\exists$  H.ide-char H.seqI RC.Dom.simps(1) RC.H-dom-simp
        RC.arr-coincidence Trn.preserves-reflects-arr
        Trn-MkArr.inv-simp arr-coincidence t)
  qed
  qed
  show  $\bigwedge f. RC.H.arr f \implies H.cod (?Trn f) = ?Trn (RC.H.cod f)$ 
  proof -
    fix t
    assume  $t: RC.H.arr t$ 
    have  $2: RC.arr (RC.MkArr (RC.Cod t) (RC.Cod t) (RC.Cod t))$ 
      using  $t$  RC.arr-coincidence RC.arr-char HOM-arr-char H.ide-in-hom
    by auto
  show  $H.cod (?Trn t) = ?Trn (RC.H.cod t)$ 
  proof (intro H.cod-eqI)
    show  $\exists: H.ide (?Trn (RC.H.cod t))$ 
      using  $t$  2 RC.arr-coincidence Id-def RC.arr-char
            RTS.One.arr-char RTS.One.is-extensional-rts
            RTS.One.small-rts-axioms RTS.bij-mkarr( $\exists$ )
    by (auto simp add: RC.H-dom-simp RC.H-cod-simp)
  show  $H.seq (?Trn (RC.H.cod t)) (?Trn t)$ 
    by (metis 1  $\exists$  H.ide-char H.seqI RC.Cod.simps(1)
        RC.H-cod-simp Trn.preserves-reflects-arr
        Trn-MkArr.inv-simp arr-coincidence t)
  qed
  qed
  fix  $f g$ 
  assume  $fg: RC.H.seq g f$ 
  interpret DOM: simulation
     $\langle HOM_{EC} (RC.Dom f) (RC.Cod f) \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (Hom (RC.Dom f) (RC.Cod f))) \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Map (Hom (RC.Dom f) (RC.Cod f)) \rangle$ 
  using  $fg$  ide-Hom RTS.ide-char RTS.arrD
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) RC.H.seqE RC.H-arr-char)
  interpret COD: simulation  $\langle HOM_{EC} (RC.Cod f) (RC.Cod g) \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (Hom (RC.Cod f) (RC.Cod g))) \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Map (Hom (RC.Cod f) (RC.Cod g)) \rangle$ 
  using  $fg$  ide-Hom RTS.ide-char RTS.arrD
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) RC.H.seqE RC.H-arr-char)
  interpret CODxDOM: product-rts
     $\langle HOM_{EC} (RC.Cod f) (RC.Cod g) \rangle$ 

```

$\langle \text{HOM}_{EC} (RC.Dom f) (RC.Cod f) \rangle$

..

interpret *PU: inverse-simulations*

$\langle \text{RTS.Rts}$
 $(\text{RTS.dom}$
 $(\text{Hom} (RC.Cod f) (RC.Cod g) \otimes$
 $\text{Hom} (RC.Dom f) (RC.Cod f))) \rangle$

CODxDOM.resid

$\langle \text{RTS.Pack}$
 $(\text{Hom} (RC.Cod f) (RC.Cod g))$
 $(\text{Hom} (RC.Dom f) (RC.Cod f)) \rangle$

$\langle \text{RTS.Unpack}$
 $(\text{Hom} (RC.Cod f) (RC.Cod g))$
 $(\text{Hom} (RC.Dom f) (RC.Cod f)) \rangle$

using *fg RC.H-seq-char RC.arr-char*
RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack

by *simp*

have *4: COD.A.arr (RC.Trn g) \wedge DOM.A.arr (RC.Trn f)*

using *fg RC.arr-coincidence RC.H-arr-char*

by *(elim RC.H.seqE) (auto simp add: RC.H-dom-simp RC.H-cod-simp)*

have *RTS.Unpack (Hom (RC.Cod f) (RC.Cod g)) (Hom (RC.Dom f) (RC.Cod f))*
(RTS.Pack (Hom (RC.Cod f) (RC.Cod g)) (Hom (RC.Dom f) (RC.Cod f)))
(RC.Trn g, RC.Trn f) =
(RC.Trn g, RC.Trn f)

using *PU.inv 4 by auto*

moreover have *RC.arr*
(RC.MkArr (RC.Dom f) (RC.Cod g) (RC.Trn g \star RC.Trn f))

by *(metis (no-types, lifting) 4 RC.H.seqE RC.H-arr-char RC.arr-MkArr*
H.comp-in-homI HOM-arr-char fg)

ultimately show *?Trn (RC.hcomp g f) = hcomp (?Trn g) (?Trn f)*

using *fg RC.hcomp-def Comp-def PU.inv RC.arr-coincidence RTS.Map-mkarr*

apply *auto[1]*

using *RC.arr-char RTS.bij-mkarr(3) by force*

qed

interpretation *MkArr: functor hcomp RC.hcomp*
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if arr } t \text{ then } RC.MkArr (H.dom t) (H.cod t) t$
 $\text{else } RC.null \rangle$

proof

let *?MkArr = $\lambda t. \text{if arr } t \text{ then } RC.MkArr (H.dom t) (H.cod t) t$*
else RC.null

show $\bigwedge f. \neg H.arr f \implies ?MkArr f = RC.H.null$

using *arr-coincidence RC.null-coincidence by auto*

show $\bigwedge f. H.arr f \implies RC.H.arr (?MkArr f)$

using *arr-coincidence RC.arr-coincidence*

by *(metis MkArr.preserves-reflects-arr)*

have *1: $\bigwedge f. H.arr f \implies RC.arr (RC.MkArr (H.dom f) (H.cod f) f)$*

```

using MkArr.preserves-reflects-arr arr-coincidence H.in-homI HOM-arr-char
  by (intro RC.arr-MkArr) auto
thus  $\bigwedge f. H.arr\ f \implies RC.H.dom\ (?MkArr\ f) = ?MkArr\ (H.dom\ f)$ 
  using RC.H-dom-char Id-def RTS.One.arr-char RTS.One.is-extensional-rts
    RTS.One.small-rts-axioms RTS.bij-mkarr(3)
  by auto
show  $\bigwedge f. H.arr\ f \implies RC.H.cod\ (?MkArr\ f) = ?MkArr\ (H.cod\ f)$ 
  using 1 RC.H-cod-char Id-def RTS.One.arr-char RTS.One.is-extensional-rts
    RTS.One.small-rts-axioms RTS.bij-mkarr(3)
  by auto
show  $\bigwedge g\ f. H.seq\ g\ f \implies$ 
   $?MkArr\ (g \star f) = RC.hcomp\ (?MkArr\ g)\ (?MkArr\ f)$ 
proof –
  fix f g
  assume fg: H.seq g f
  interpret COD: extensional-rts  $\langle RTS.Rts\ (Hom\ (H.cod\ f)\ (H.cod\ g)) \rangle$ 
    using fg ide-Hom [of H.cod f H.cod g] arr-coincidence
    by (metis H.ide-cod H.seqE RTS.ideDRTSC(1) mem-Collect-eq)
  interpret DOM: extensional-rts  $\langle RTS.Rts\ (Hom\ (H.dom\ f)\ (H.cod\ f)) \rangle$ 
    using fg ide-Hom [of H.dom f H.cod f] arr-coincidence
    by (metis H.ide-dom H.seqE RTS.ideDRTSC(1) mem-Collect-eq)
  interpret CODxDOM: product-rts
     $\langle RTS.Rts\ (Hom\ (H.cod\ f)\ (H.cod\ g)) \rangle$ 
     $\langle RTS.Rts\ (Hom\ (H.dom\ f)\ (H.cod\ f)) \rangle$ 
  ..
  show  $?MkArr\ (g \star f) = RC.hcomp\ (?MkArr\ g)\ (?MkArr\ f)$ 
proof –
  have  $RC.hcomp\ (?MkArr\ g)\ (?MkArr\ f) =$ 
   $RC.MkArr\ (dom\ f)\ (cod\ g)$ 
   $(RTS.Map\ (Comp\ (dom\ f)\ (cod\ f)\ (cod\ g))$ 
   $(RTS.Pack$ 
   $(Hom\ (cod\ f)\ (cod\ g))\ (Hom\ (dom\ f)\ (cod\ f))\ (g,\ f)))$ 
  using fg RC.hcomp-def [of ?MkArr g ?MkArr f] H.seqE by auto
  also have  $... = RC.MkArr\ (dom\ f)\ (cod\ g)\ (g \star f)$ 
  by (metis 1 CODxDOM.arr-char H.seqE RC.Cod.simps(1)
  RC.Dom.simps(1) RC.Trn.simps(1) RC.arr-char Map-Comp-Pack
  fg fst-conv snd-conv)
  also have  $... = ?MkArr\ (g \star f)$ 
  using fg by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed

interpretation Trn-MkArr: inverse-functors hcomp RC.hcomp
   $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } RC.arr\ t \text{ then } RC.Trn\ t \text{ else null} \rangle$ 
   $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } arr\ t$ 
   $\text{then } RC.MkArr\ (H.dom\ t)\ (H.cod\ t)\ t$ 
   $\text{else } RC.null \rangle$ 

```

```

proof
  let ?Trn =  $\lambda t$ . if RC.arr t then RC.Trn t else null
  let ?MkArr =  $\lambda t$ . if arr t
    then RC.MkArr (H.dom t) (H.cod t) t
    else RC.null
  show ?MkArr  $\circ$  ?Trn = RC.H.map
    by (auto simp add: RC.H.map-def Trn-MkArr.inv)
  show (?Trn  $\circ$  ?MkArr) = H.map
    using arr-coincidence null-coincidence H.is-extensional by auto
qed

```

```

lemma inverse-functors-Trn-MkArr:
shows inverse-functors hcomp RC.hcomp
  ( $\lambda t$ . if RC.arr t then RC.Trn t else null)
  ( $\lambda t$ . if arr t then RC.MkArr (H.dom t) (H.cod t) t else RC.null)
  ..

```

```

proposition induces-rts-category-isomorphism:
shows rts-category-isomorphism resid hcomp RC.resid RC.hcomp
  ( $\lambda t$ . if arr t then RC.MkArr (H.dom t) (H.cod t) t else RC.null)
  using Trn-MkArr.inverse-functors-axioms
  Trn-MkArr.inverse-simulations-axioms
  by unfold-locales auto

```

end

5.4.2 Enriched Category to RTS-Category to Enriched Category

```

context rts-category-of-small-enriched-category
begin

```

As it is easy to get lost in the types and definitions, we begin with a road map of the construction to be performed. We are given a small RTS-enriched category $(Obj, Hom, Id, Comp)$ with objects at type $'O$ and as base category the category **RTS** with arrow type $'A$ *rtscat.arr*. From this, we constructed a “global RTS” R by stitching together all of the RTS’s underlying the hom-objects. We then reduced the type of R by taking its image under an injective map on arrows, to obtain an isomorphic RTS R' at arrow type $'A$. The smallness assumption was used for this. Next, we will extend R' to a locally small RTS-category R'' (new name is used to avoid name clashes within sublocales) by equipping it with the horizontal composition (\star') derived from the composition of the originally given enriched category. From R'' we then construct an RTS-enriched category $(R''.Obj\ R''.Hom\ R''.Id\ R''.Comp)$.

```

interpretation R'': locally-small-rts-category R' hcomp'
  using is-locally-small-rts-category by blast

```

```

interpretation R'': enriched-category-of-rts-category arr-type R' hcomp'

```

..

Our objective is now to construct a fully faithful RTS-enriched functor (F_o, F_a) , from the originally given RTS-enriched category $(Obj, Hom, Id, Comp)$ to the newly constructed RTS-category $(R''.Obj, R''.Hom, R''.Id, R''.Comp)$. Note that this makes sense, because, due to the type reduction from R' to R'' , we have arranged for the base category of $(R''.Obj, R''.Hom, R''.Id, R''.Comp)$ to be the same category **RTS** as that of the originally given $(Obj, Hom, Id, Comp)$. The object map F_o will take $a \in Obj :: 'O$ set to $DN (MkArr a a (RTS.Map (Id a) one)) \in R''.Obj :: 'A$ set. The arrow map F_a will take each pair (a, b) of elements of Obj to an invertible arrow $\langle F_a a b : Hom a b \rightarrow R''.Hom (F_o a) (F_o b) \rangle$ of **RTS**. This arrow corresponds to the invertible simulation from $HOM_{EC} a b$ to $R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)$ that takes $t \in Hom a b$ to $DN (MkArr a b t) \in R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)$.

abbreviation $F_o :: 'O \Rightarrow 'A$

where $F_o \equiv \lambda a. DN (MkArr a a (RTS.Map (Id a) RTS.One.the-arr))$

abbreviation $F_a :: 'O \Rightarrow 'O \Rightarrow 'A$ *rtscat.arr*

where $F_a \equiv \lambda a b. \text{if } a \in Obj \wedge b \in Obj$

then $RTS.mkarr (HOM_{EC} a b) (R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b))$

$(\lambda t. \text{if } \text{residuation.arr } (HOM_{EC} a b) t$

then $DN (MkArr a b t)$

else $ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null$

$(R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b))$)

else $RTS.null$

lemma *ide-F_o*:

assumes $a \in Obj$

shows $DN (MkArr a a (RTS.Map (Id a) RTS.One.the-arr)) \in Collect H'.ide$

using *H'-ide-char Id-yields-horiz-ide assms obj-implies-sta* **by** *auto*

lemma *bij-F_o*:

shows *bij-betw* F_o Obj $R''.Obj$

proof –

have *bij-betw* $(DN \circ (\lambda A. MkArr A A (RTS.Map (Id A) RTS.One.the-arr)))$

$Obj (Collect H'.ide)$

proof –

have *bij-betw* $DN (Collect H.ide) (Collect H'.ide)$

using *H'-ide-char H.ideD(1) H'.ideD(1)*

by *(intro bij-betwI) auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *bij-betw-Obj-horiz-ide bij-betw-trans* **by** *blast*

qed

moreover

have $DN \circ (\lambda A. MkArr A A (RTS.Map (Id A) RTS.One.the-arr)) = F_o$

by *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma F_a -in-hom [intro, simp]:

assumes $a \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $b \in \text{Obj}$

shows $\langle F_a a b : \text{Hom } a b \rightarrow R''.\text{Hom } (F_o a) (F_o b) \rangle$

proof

show $\text{RTS.arr } (F_a a b)$

proof –

have RTS.arr

$((\text{RTS.mkarr } (\text{HOM}_{EC} a b) (R''.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)))$
 $(\lambda t. \text{if residuation.arr } (\text{HOM}_{EC} a b) t \text{ then DN } (\text{MkArr } a b t)$
 $\text{else ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null}$
 $(R''.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b))))$

proof (intro RTS.arrI_{RTSC})

interpret HOM : *extensional-rts* $\langle \text{HOM}_{EC} a b \rangle$

using *assms* **by** *simp*

interpret HOM' : *extensional-rts* $\langle R''.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b) \rangle$

using *assms* $R''.\text{ide-Hom } \text{RTS.ideD}_{RTSC} \text{RTS.arrD}$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ide-F_o*)

show *extensional-rts* $(\text{HOM}_{EC} a b) \wedge$ *small-rts* $(\text{HOM}_{EC} a b)$

using *assms* **by** *simp*

show *extensional-rts* $(R''.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)) \wedge$

small-rts $(R''.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b))$

using *assms* $R''.\text{ide-Hom } \text{RTS.ideD}_{RTSC} \text{RTS.arrD}$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *ide-F_o*)

To prove the rest we need information about $R''.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)$. Rather than just having it as an abstract RTS, we need to know that it is a sub-RTS of R' , which in turn is isomorphic (via DN) to the “global RTS” R , which has arrows of the form $\text{MkArr } a b t$.

have $*$: $R''.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b) =$

$\text{sub-rts.resid } R' (\lambda t. H'.\text{in-hom } t (F_o a) (F_o b))$

using *assms* $R''.\text{Hom-def [of } F_o a F_o b] \text{ide-F}_o \text{RTS.bij-mkide}(3)$

by *simp*

interpret HOM' -alt: *sub-rts* $R' \langle \lambda t. H'.\text{in-hom } t (F_o a) (F_o b) \rangle$

using *assms* $\text{ide-F}_o R''.\text{sub-rts-HOM}$ **by** *metis*

have $(\lambda t. \text{if } \text{HOM.arr } t \text{ then DN } (\text{MkArr } a b t) \text{ else } \text{HOM}'.\text{null})$

$\in \text{Collect } (\text{simulation } (\text{HOM}_{EC} a b) (R''.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)))$

proof

show *simulation* $(\text{HOM}_{EC} a b) (R''.\text{HOM}_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b))$

$(\lambda t. \text{if } \text{HOM.arr } t \text{ then DN } (\text{MkArr } a b t) \text{ else } \text{HOM}'.\text{null})$

proof

show $\bigwedge t. \neg \text{HOM.arr } t \implies$

$(\text{if } \text{HOM.arr } t \text{ then DN } (\text{MkArr } a b t) \text{ else } \text{HOM}'.\text{null}) =$
 $\text{HOM}'.\text{null}$

by *simp*

fix $t u$

assume tu : $\text{HOM.con } t u$

have 0 : $V.\text{con } (\text{MkArr } a b t) (\text{MkArr } a b u)$

```

    using tu Con-def
  by (simp add: assms(1-2) con-char HOM.con-implies-arr(1-2))
have 1: R'.con (DN (MkArr a b t)) (DN (MkArr a b u))
  using 0 UP-DN.G.preserves-con by simp
have 2: HOM'-alt.con (DN (MkArr a b t)) (DN (MkArr a b u))
proof -
  have H'.in-hom (DN (MkArr a b t)) (Fo a) (Fo b)
  proof -
    have H.arr (UP (DN (MkArr a b t)))
      using 1 R'.con-implies-arr(1) by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using assms
      by (simp add: H'.in-homI H'-cod-char H'-dom-char
        H-cod-char H-dom-char)
  qed
moreover have H'.in-hom (DN (MkArr a b u)) (Fo a) (Fo b)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 H'.in-homE H'.in-homI
    R''.con-implies-hpar calculation)
ultimately show ?thesis
  using 1 HOM'-alt.con-char by blast
qed
show HOM'.con (if HOM.arr t then DN (MkArr a b t) else HOM'.null)
  (if HOM.arr u then DN (MkArr a b u) else HOM'.null)
  using tu * 2 HOM.con-implies-arr by auto
show (if HOM.arr (HOMEC a b t u)
  then DN (MkArr a b (HOMEC a b t u))
  else HOM'.null) =
  R''.HOMEC (Fo a) (Fo b)
  (if HOM.arr t then DN (MkArr a b t) else HOM'.null)
  (if HOM.arr u then DN (MkArr a b u) else HOM'.null)
proof -
  have (if HOM.arr (HOMEC a b t u)
  then DN (MkArr a b (HOMEC a b t u))
  else HOM'.null) =
  HOM'-alt.resid
  (if HOM.arr t then DN (MkArr a b t) else HOM'.null)
  (if HOM.arr u then DN (MkArr a b u) else HOM'.null)
proof -
  have H'.in-hom (DN (MkArr a b t)) (Fo a) (Fo b)
  using assms tu 2 HOM'-alt.con-char by fastforce
moreover have H'.in-hom (DN (MkArr a b u)) (Fo a) (Fo b)
  using assms tu 2 HOM'-alt.con-char by fastforce
moreover have R' (DN (MkArr a b t)) (DN (MkArr a b u)) =
  DN (MkArr a b (HOMEC a b t u))
  by (metis 0 Cod.simps(1) Dom.simps(1) Trn.simps(1)
    UP-DN.G.preserves-resid con-char resid.simps(3))
ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding HOM'-alt.resid-def
  using tu 1 HOM.con-implies-arr UP-DN.inv UP-DN.inv' by auto

```



```

qed
also have ... =  $R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b)$ 
  (if  $HOM.arr t$  then  $DN (MkArr a b t)$  else  $HOM'.null$ )
  (if  $HOM.arr u$  then  $DN (MkArr a b u)$  else  $HOM'.null$ )
  using * by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed
thus  $RTS.mkarr (HOM_{EC} a b) (R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b))$ 
  ( $\lambda t. if HOM.arr t then DN (MkArr a b t) else HOM'.null$ )
   $\in RTS.mkarr (HOM_{EC} a b) (R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b))$  ‘
  Collect (simulation (HOMEC a b) (R''.HOMEC (Fo a) (Fo b)))
  by auto
qed
thus ?thesis
  using assms by simp
qed
show  $RTS.dom (F_a a b) = Hom a b$ 
  using assms(1-2)  $\langle RTS.arr (F_a a b) \rangle$  by auto
show  $RTS.cod (F_a a b) = R''.Hom (F_o a) (F_o b)$ 
  using assms(1-2)  $\langle RTS.arr (F_a a b) \rangle$  ide-Fo by auto
qed

```

lemma F_a -*simps* [*simp*]:
assumes $a \in Obj$ **and** $b \in Obj$
shows $RTS.arr (F_a a b)$
and $RTS.dom (F_a a b) = Hom a b$
and $RTS.cod (F_a a b) = R''.Hom (F_o a) (F_o b)$
using *assms* F_a -*in-hom* **by** *blast+*

lemma *Map-F_a-simp* [*simp*]:
assumes $a \in Obj$ **and** $b \in Obj$ **and** *residuation.arr* ($HOM_{EC} a b$) t
shows $RTS.Map (F_a a b) t = DN (MkArr a b t)$
using *assms* $RTS.bij-mkarr$ (3) *ide-F_o* **by** *force*

interpretation Φ : *rts-enriched-functor*
 $Obj Hom Id Comp R''.Obj R''.Hom R''.Id R''.Comp$
 $F_o F_a$

proof
show $\bigwedge a. a \in Obj \implies F_o a \in R''.Obj$
using *ide-F_o* **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in Obj; b \in Obj \rrbracket \implies$
 $\llbracket F_a a b : Hom a b \rightarrow R''.Hom (F_o a) (F_o b) \rrbracket$
using F_a -*in-hom* **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge a b. a \notin Obj \vee b \notin Obj \implies F_a a b = RTS.null$
by *auto*
show $\bigwedge a. a \in Obj \implies F_a a a \cdot Id a = R''.Id (F_o a)$
proof –

```

fix a
assume a: a ∈ Obj
show Fa a a · Id a = R''.Id (Fo a)
proof (intro RTS.arr-eqI)
  show 1: RTS.par (Fa a a · Id a) (R''.Id (Fo a))
    using a Id-in-hom R''.Id-in-hom Fa-in-hom ide-Fo RTS.in-homE
    apply (intro conjI)
      apply auto[1]
    by fastforce+
  show RTS.Map (Fa a a · Id a) = RTS.Map (R''.Id (Fo a))
proof –
  interpret Map-Id-a: simulation RTS.One.resid ⟨HOMEC a a⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (Id a)⟩
    using a Id-in-hom [of a] RTS.arrD(3) [of Id a] RTS.unity-agreement
    by auto
  interpret Map-Fa-aa: simulation
    ⟨HOMEC a a⟩ ⟨RTS.Rts (R''.Hom (Fo a) (Fo a))⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (Fa a a)⟩
    using a Fa-in-hom [of a a] RTS.arrD(3) by fastforce
  interpret Map-Fa-aa-o-Map-Id-a: simulation
    RTS.One.resid
    ⟨RTS.Rts (R''.Hom (Fo a) (Fo a))⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (Fa a a) ∘ RTS.Map (Id a)⟩
    using simulation-comp Map-Id-a.simulation-axioms
      Map-Fa-aa.simulation-axioms
    by blast
  interpret Map-R''-Id-Fo-a: simulation
    RTS.One.resid
    ⟨RTS.Rts (R''.Hom (Fo a) (Fo a))⟩
    ⟨RTS.Map (R''.Id (Fo a))⟩
    using a
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) R''.Id-in-hom RTS.Rts-one RTS.arrD(3)
      RTS.in-homE RTS.unity-agreement ide-Fo)
  have RTS.Map (Fa a a · Id a) = RTS.Map (Fa a a) ∘ RTS.Map (Id a)
    using 1 RTS.Map-comp by blast
  also have ... = RTS.Map (R''.Id (Fo a))
    using Map-Id-a.preserves-reflects-arr Map-R''-Id-Fo-a.extensional
      R''.Id-def RTS.One.arr-char RTS.One.is-extensional-rts
      RTS.One.small-rts-axioms RTS.bij-mkarr(3) a ide-Fo
    by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
show ∧a b c. [a ∈ Obj; b ∈ Obj; c ∈ Obj] ⇒
  R''.Comp (Fo a) (Fo b) (Fo c) · (Fa b c ⊗ Fa a b) =
  Fa a c · Comp a b c
proof –
  fix a b c

```

assume $a: a \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $b: b \in \text{Obj}$ **and** $c: c \in \text{Obj}$
show $R''.\text{Comp} (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b) =$
 $F_a a c \cdot \text{Comp} a b c$
proof (*intro RTS.arr-eqI*)
show 1: *RTS.par*
 $(R''.\text{Comp} (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b))$
 $(F_a a c \cdot \text{Comp} a b c)$
proof (*intro conjI*)
show 2: *RTS.seq* $(R''.\text{Comp} (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c)) (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)$
using $a b c F_a\text{-in-hom ide-}F_o R''.\text{Comp-in-hom} R''.\text{Hom-def}$ **by** *blast*
show 3: *RTS.seq* $(F_a a c) (\text{Comp} a b c)$
using $a b c F_a\text{-in-hom ide-}F_o R''.\text{Comp-in-hom} R''.\text{Hom-def}$ **by** *blast*
show *RTS.dom* $(R''.\text{Comp} (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) =$
 $\text{RTS.dom} (F_a a c \cdot \text{Comp} a b c)$
proof –
have *RTS.dom* $(R''.\text{Comp} (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b))$
 $=$
 $\text{RTS.dom} (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)$
using $a b c \text{ 2 } R''.\text{Comp-in-hom}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{Hom} b c \otimes \text{Hom} a b$
using $a b c F_a\text{-in-hom}$
by (*meson RTS.CMC.dom-tensor*)
also have $\dots = \text{RTS.dom} (F_a a c \cdot \text{Comp} a b c)$
using $a b c \text{ 3 } \text{Comp-in-hom [of } a b c \text{]}$ **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
show *RTS.cod* $(R''.\text{Comp} (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) =$
 $\text{RTS.cod} (F_a a c \cdot \text{Comp} a b c)$
proof –
have *RTS.cod* $(R''.\text{Comp} (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) =$
 $\text{RTS.cod} (R''.\text{Comp} (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c))$
using $a b c \text{ 2 } F_a\text{-in-hom} R''.\text{Comp-in-hom}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{RTS.cod} (F_a a c \cdot \text{Comp} a b c)$
using $a b c \text{ 3 } \text{ide-}F_o R''.\text{Comp-in-hom}$ **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
show *RTS.Map* $(R''.\text{Comp} (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) =$
 $\text{RTS.Map} (F_a a c \cdot \text{Comp} a b c)$
proof
interpret *Dom-bc: extensional-rts* $\langle \text{HOM}_{EC} b c \rangle$
using $b c$ **by** *simp*
interpret *Dom-ab: extensional-rts* $\langle \text{HOM}_{EC} a b \rangle$
using $a b$ **by** *simp*
interpret *Dom-bc-X-Dom-ab: product-rts* $\langle \text{HOM}_{EC} b c \rangle \langle \text{HOM}_{EC} a b \rangle$
 \dots
interpret *Dom-bcxab: extensional-rts* $\langle \text{RTS.Rts} (\text{Hom} b c \otimes \text{Hom} a b) \rangle$
using $a b c$ **by** *simp*
have $\exists: \text{RTS.ide} (\text{RTS.dom} (F_a b c)) \wedge \text{RTS.ide} (\text{RTS.dom} (F_a a b))$

```

using a b c  $F_a$ -in-hom  $RTS.ide$ -dom by blast
have 4:  $RTS.ide (RTS.cod (F_a b c)) \wedge RTS.ide (RTS.cod (F_a a b))$ 
using a b c  $F_a$ -in-hom  $RTS.ide$ -cod by blast
interpret Cod-bc: extensional-rts  $\langle RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (F_a b c)) \rangle$ 
using 4  $RTS.ide$ -char  $RTS.arrD$   $RTS.arr$ -cod-iff-arr
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
interpret Cod-ab: extensional-rts  $\langle RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (F_a a b)) \rangle$ 
using 4  $RTS.ide$ -char  $RTS.arrD$   $RTS.arr$ -cod-iff-arr
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
interpret Cod-bc-X-Cod-ab: product-rts
       $\langle RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (F_a b c)) \rangle$ 
       $\langle RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (F_a a b)) \rangle$ 
..
interpret  $F_a bc$ : simulation  $\langle HOM_{EC} b c \rangle$ 
       $\langle RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (F_a b c)) \rangle$ 
       $\langle RTS.Map (F_a b c) \rangle$ 
using b c  $F_a$ -in-hom [of b c]  $RTS.arrD(3)$  [of  $F_a b c$ ] by auto
interpret  $F_a ab$ : simulation  $\langle HOM_{EC} a b \rangle$ 
       $\langle RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (F_a a b)) \rangle$ 
       $\langle RTS.Map (F_a a b) \rangle$ 
using a b  $F_a$ -in-hom [of a b]  $RTS.arrD(3)$  [of  $F_a a b$ ] by auto
interpret  $F_a bc$ -X- $F_a ab$ : product-simulation
       $\langle HOM_{EC} b c \rangle \langle HOM_{EC} a b \rangle$ 
       $\langle RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (F_a b c)) \rangle$ 
       $\langle RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (F_a a b)) \rangle$ 
       $\langle RTS.Map (F_a b c) \rangle \langle RTS.Map (F_a a b) \rangle$ 
..
interpret U: simulation
       $\langle RTS.Rts (Hom b c \otimes Hom a b) \rangle$ 
      Dom-bc-X-Dom-ab.resid
       $\langle RTS.Unpack (RTS.dom (F_a b c)) (RTS.dom (F_a a b)) \rangle$ 
proof -
have  $RTS.arr (F_a b c) \wedge RTS.arr (F_a a b)$ 
using a b c  $F_a$ -in-hom by blast
thus simulation
       $(RTS.Rts (Hom b c \otimes Hom a b))$ 
      Dom-bc-X-Dom-ab.resid
       $(RTS.Unpack (RTS.dom (F_a b c)) (RTS.dom (F_a a b)))$ 
using a b c 1  $F_a$ -in-hom
       $RTS.simulation$ -Unpack [of Hom b c Hom a b]
by fastforce
qed
fix x
show  $RTS.Map$ 
       $(R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) x =$ 
       $RTS.Map (F_a a c \cdot Comp a b c) x$ 
proof (cases Dom-bcxab.arr x)
show  $\neg Dom-bcxab.arr x \implies$ 
       $RTS.Map$ 

```

$$(R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) x = \\ RTS.Map (F_a a c \cdot Comp a b c) x$$

proof –

interpret LHS: simulation

$$\langle RTS.Rts (Hom b c \otimes Hom a b) \rangle \\ \langle R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o c) \rangle \\ \langle RTS.Map \\ (R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot \\ (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) \rangle$$

proof –

have $RTS.seq (R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c)) (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)$
using $a b c 1 ide-F_o$ **by force**

moreover have $RTS.Dom$

$$(R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot \\ (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) = \\ RTS.Rts (Hom b c \otimes Hom a b)$$

using $a b c 1 ide-F_o$ $R''.ide-Hom$ $R''.Comp-in-hom$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Comp-in-hom* $RTS.dom-comp$ $RTS.in-homE$)

moreover have $RTS.Cod$

$$(R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot \\ (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) = \\ R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o c)$$

using $a b c 1 ide-F_o$ $R''.ide-Hom$ $R''.Comp-in-hom$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) $R''.Comp-simps(3)$ $RTS.cod-comp$)

ultimately

show simulation

$$(RTS.Rts \\ (Hom b c \otimes Hom a b)) (R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o c)) \\ (RTS.Map \\ (R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)))$$

using $a b c 1 ide-F_o$

$RTS.arrD(3)$

$$[of R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)]$$

by auto

qed

interpret RHS: simulation

$$\langle RTS.Rts (Hom b c \otimes Hom a b) \rangle \\ \langle R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o c) \rangle \\ \langle RTS.Map (F_a a c \cdot Comp a b c) \rangle$$

proof –

have $RTS.seq (F_a a c) (Comp a b c)$

using $a b c 1 ide-F_o$ **by force**

moreover have $RTS.Dom (F_a a c \cdot Comp a b c) =$
 $RTS.Rts (Hom b c \otimes Hom a b)$

using $a b c 1 ide-F_o$ $R''.ide-Hom$ $R''.Comp-in-hom$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Comp-in-hom* $RTS.dom-comp$ $RTS.in-homE$)

moreover have $RTS.Cod (F_a a c \cdot Comp a b c) =$

$R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o c)$
using $a b c 1 \text{ ide-}F_o R''.\text{ide-Hom } R''.\text{Comp-in-hom}$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*) $R''.\text{Comp-simps}(3) \text{ RTS.cod-comp}$
ultimately
show *simulation*
 $(RTS.Rts$
 $(Hom b c \otimes Hom a b)) (R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o c))$
 $(RTS.Map (F_a a c \cdot Comp a b c))$
using $a b c 1 \text{ ide-}F_o$
 $RTS.arrD(3) [of F_a a c \cdot Comp a b c]$
by *auto*
qed
assume $x: \neg Dom\text{-}bcxab.arr x$
show $RTS.Map$
 $(R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) x =$
 $RTS.Map (F_a a c \cdot Comp a b c) x$
using $x \text{ LHS.extensional RHS.extensional by presburger}$
qed
assume $x: Dom\text{-}bcxab.arr x$
have $0: Dom\text{-}bc\text{-}X\text{-}Dom\text{-}ab.arr (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)$
using $a b c x U.\text{preserves-reflects-arr} [of x]$
 $F_a\text{-in-hom} [of b c] F_a\text{-in-hom} [of a b]$
 $Dom\text{-}bc\text{-}X\text{-}Dom\text{-}ab.arr\text{-}char$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*) $RTS.in-homE$

have $RTS.Map$
 $(R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c) \cdot (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b)) x =$
 $RTS.Map$
 $(R''.Comp (F_o a) (F_o b) (F_o c))$
 $(RTS.Map (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b) x)$
using $a b c 1 \text{ ide-}F_o \text{ RTS.Map-comp by auto}$
also have $\dots =$
 $fst (RTS.Unpack$
 $(R''.Hom (F_o b) (F_o c)) (R''.Hom (F_o a) (F_o b))$
 $(RTS.Map (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b) x)) \star'$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack$
 $(R''.Hom (F_o b) (F_o c))$
 $(R''.Hom (F_o a) (F_o b))$
 $(RTS.Map (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b) x))$
using $a b c R''.\text{Comp-def } RTS.bij\text{-mkarr}(3)$
 $\langle \bigwedge a. a \in Obj \implies F_o a \in R''.Obj \rangle$
by *force*
also have $\dots =$
 $fst (RTS.Unpack (RTS.cod (F_a b c)) (RTS.cod (F_a a b))$
 $(RTS.Map (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b) x)) \star'$
 $snd (RTS.Unpack (RTS.cod (F_a b c)) (RTS.cod (F_a a b))$
 $(RTS.Map (F_a b c \otimes F_a a b) x))$
proof –
have $R''.Hom (F_o b) (F_o c) = RTS.cod (F_a b c)$

using $a\ b\ c\ ide\text{-}F_o\ F_a\text{-in-hom}\ R''.Hom\text{-def}$ **by force**
moreover have $R''.Hom\ (F_o\ a)\ (F_o\ b) = RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b)$
using $a\ b\ c\ ide\text{-}F_o\ F_a\text{-in-hom}\ R''.Hom\text{-def}$ **by force**
ultimately show *?thesis* **by argo**
qed
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} &fst \\ & (RTS.Unpack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b))) \\ & ((RTS.Pack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b))) \circ \\ & \quad product\text{-simulation.map} \\ & \quad (HOM_{EC}\ b\ c)\ (HOM_{EC}\ a\ b)) \\ & (RTS.Map\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.Map\ (F_a\ a\ b)) \circ \\ & \quad RTS.Unpack \\ & \quad (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ a\ b)))\ x) \star' \\ &snd \\ & (RTS.Unpack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b))) \\ & ((RTS.Pack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b))) \circ \\ & \quad F_{abc}\text{-}X\text{-}F_{ab}.map \circ \\ & \quad RTS.Unpack \\ & \quad (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ a\ b)))\ x) \end{aligned}$$
proof –
have $RTS.Map\ (F_a\ b\ c \otimes F_a\ a\ b) =$
 $RTS.Pack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b)) \circ$
 $product\text{-simulation.map}$
 $(RTS.Dom\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.Dom\ (F_a\ a\ b))$
 $(RTS.Map\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.Map\ (F_a\ a\ b)) \circ$
 $RTS.Unpack\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.dom\ (F_a\ a\ b))$
using $a\ b\ c\ 4\ RTS.Map\text{-prod}\ [of\ F_a\ b\ c\ F_a\ a\ b]\ F_a\text{-in-hom}$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) $RTS.arr\text{-cod}\text{-iff}\text{-arr}\ RTS.ideD(1)$
 $RTS.tensor\text{-agreement}$)
moreover have $RTS.Dom\ (F_a\ b\ c) = HOM_{EC}\ b\ c \wedge$
 $RTS.Dom\ (F_a\ a\ b) = HOM_{EC}\ a\ b$
using $a\ b\ c\ F_a\text{-in-hom}\ [of\ b\ c]\ F_a\text{-in-hom}\ [of\ a\ b]$ **by auto**
ultimately show *?thesis* **by simp**
qed
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} &fst\ (RTS.Unpack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b))) \\ & (RTS.Pack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b))) \\ & (F_{abc}\text{-}X\text{-}F_{ab}.map \\ & (RTS.Unpack\ (Hom\ b\ c)\ (Hom\ a\ b)\ x))) \star' \\ &snd\ (RTS.Unpack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b))) \\ & (RTS.Pack\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ b\ c))\ (RTS.cod\ (F_a\ a\ b))) \\ & (F_{abc}\text{-}X\text{-}F_{ab}.map \\ & (RTS.Unpack\ (Hom\ b\ c)\ (Hom\ a\ b)\ x))) \end{aligned}$$
using $a\ b\ c\ F_a\text{-in-hom}\ [of\ b\ c]\ F_a\text{-in-hom}\ [of\ a\ b]$ **by fastforce**
also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned} &fst \\ & (F_{abc}\text{-}X\text{-}F_{ab}.map \\ & (RTS.Unpack\ (Hom\ b\ c)\ (Hom\ a\ b)\ x)) \star' \end{aligned}$$

```

      snd
      (Fabc-X-Faab.map
       (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))
proof –
have 1: RTS.ide (RTS.cod (Fa b c)) ∧ RTS.ide (RTS.cod (Fa a b))
  using a b c Fa-in-hom RTS.ide-cod by blast
interpret PU: inverse-simulations
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (Fa b c)) ⊗ RTS.cod (Fa a b)⟩
  Cod-bc-X-Cod-ab.resid
  ⟨RTS.Pack (RTS.cod (Fa b c)) (RTS.cod (Fa a b))⟩
  ⟨RTS.Unpack (RTS.cod (Fa b c)) (RTS.cod (Fa a b))⟩
  using a b c 1 Fa-in-hom [of a b] Fa-in-hom [of b c]
  RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack
by fastforce
show ?thesis
proof –
  have Cod-bc-X-Cod-ab.arr
    (Fabc-X-Faab.map
     (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))
  using a b c x U.preserves-reflects-arr
    Fa-in-hom [of b c] Fa-in-hom [of a b]
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
also have ... =
  RTS.Map (Fa b c)
  (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)) ★'
  RTS.Map
  (Fa a b) (snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))
  using 0 Fabc-X-Faab.map-def by auto
also have ... =
  DN (MkArr b c
      (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))) ★'
  DN (MkArr a b
      (snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)))
  using a b c 0 Map-Fa-simp by auto
also have ... =
  DN (MkArr b c (fst (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)) ★
      MkArr a b (snd (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x)))
  using 0 a b c arr-MkArr by force
also have ... =
  DN (MkArr a c
      (RTS.Map (Comp a b c)
       (RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)
        (RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b) x))))
  using 0 a b c x hcomp-def
  by (simp add: arr-MkArr)
also have ... = DN (MkArr a c (RTS.Map (Comp a b c) x))

```



```

proof –
  interpret PU: inverse-simulations
    ⟨RTS.Rts (Hom b c ⊗ Hom a b)⟩
    Dom-bc-X-Dom-ab.resid
    ⟨RTS.Pack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)⟩
    ⟨RTS.Unpack (Hom b c) (Hom a b)⟩
  using a b c RTS.inverse-simulations-Pack-Unpack by simp
  show ?thesis
    using a b c x PU.inv' by simp
qed
also have ... = RTS.Map (Fa a c) (RTS.Map (Comp a b c) x)
  using a b c x Map-Fa-simp R''.HOM-null-char RTS.bij-mkarr(3)
    UP-DN.G.extensional arr-char ide-Fo
  by force
also have ... = (RTS.Map (Fa a c) ∘ RTS.Map (Comp a b c)) x
  by simp
also have ... = RTS.Map (Fa a c · Comp a b c) x
  using a b c x Fa-in-hom [of a c] Comp-in-hom [of a b c]
    RTS.Map-comp by fastforce
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *induces-rts-enriched-functor:*

shows *rts-enriched-functor*

Obj Hom Id Comp R''.Obj R''.Hom R''.Id R''.Comp F_o F_a

..

proposition *induces-fully-faithful-rts-enriched-functor:*

shows *fully-faithful-rts-enriched-functor*

Obj Hom Id Comp R''.Obj R''.Hom R''.Id R''.Comp F_o F_a

proof

show $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in \text{Obj}; b \in \text{Obj} \rrbracket \implies \text{RTS.iso } (F_a a b)$

proof –

fix *a b*

assume *a: a ∈ Obj and b: b ∈ Obj*

have $*$: *R''.HOM_{EC} (F_o a) (F_o b) =*

sub-rts.resid R' (λt. H'.in-hom t (F_o a) (F_o b))

using *a b R''.Hom-def [of F_o a F_o b] ide-F_o RTS.bij-mkide(3)* **by** *auto*

show *RTS.iso (F_a a b)*

proof –

have *invertible-simulation*

(RTS.Rts (RTS.dom (F_a a b))) (RTS.Rts (RTS.cod (F_a a b)))

(RTS.Map (F_a a b))

proof (*unfold invertible-simulation-iff, intro conjI*)

```

interpret  $F_a$ -ab: simulation
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.dom ( $F_a$  a b))⟩
  ⟨RTS.Rts (RTS.cod ( $F_a$  a b))⟩
  ⟨RTS.Map ( $F_a$  a b)⟩
  using a b  $F_a$ -in-hom RTS.arrD by blast
show simulation
  (RTS.Rts (RTS.dom ( $F_a$  a b))) (RTS.Rts (RTS.cod ( $F_a$  a b)))
  (RTS.Map ( $F_a$  a b))
  using  $F_a$ -ab.simulation-axioms by simp
show bij-betw (RTS.Map ( $F_a$  a b))
  (Collect (residuation.arr (RTS.Rts (RTS.dom ( $F_a$  a b))))))
  (Collect (residuation.arr (RTS.Rts (RTS.cod ( $F_a$  a b))))))
proof (intro bij-betwI)
  have Dom: RTS.Rts (RTS.dom ( $F_a$  a b)) =  $HOM_{EC}$  a b
    using a b  $F_a$ -in-hom [of a b] by auto
  have Cod: RTS.Rts (RTS.cod ( $F_a$  a b)) =  $R''$ . $HOM_{EC}$  ( $F_o$  a) ( $F_o$  b)
    using a b  $F_a$ -in-hom [of a b] by auto
  interpret  $DOM'$ -alt: sub-rts  $R'$  ⟨ $\lambda t$ .  $H'$ .in-hom t ( $F_o$  a) ( $F_o$  b)⟩
    using a b ide- $F_o$   $R''$ .sub-rts-HOM by metis
  have Map: RTS.Map ( $F_a$  a b) =
    ( $\lambda t$ . if residuation.arr ( $HOM_{EC}$  a b) t
      then DN (MkArr a b t)
      else ResiduatedTransitionSystem.partial-magma.null
        ( $R''$ . $HOM_{EC}$  ( $F_o$  a) ( $F_o$  b)))
    using RTS.bij-mkarr(3) a b ide- $F_o$  by auto
show RTS.Map ( $F_a$  a b) ∈ Collect  $F_a$ -ab.A.arr → Collect  $F_a$ -ab.B.arr
  using  $F_a$ -ab.preserves-reflects-arr by blast
let ?g =  $\lambda t$ . if  $F_a$ -ab.B.arr t then Trn (UP t) else  $F_a$ -ab.A.null
show g-mapsto: ?g ∈ Collect  $F_a$ -ab.B.arr → Collect  $F_a$ -ab.A.arr
proof
  fix t
  assume t: t ∈ Collect  $F_a$ -ab.B.arr
  have gt: ?g t = Trn (UP t)
    using t by simp
  have arr (UP t)
    using a b t Cod UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr
     $R''$ .HOM-arr-char ide- $F_o$ 
  by fastforce
moreover have Dom (UP t) = a
proof –
  have 1:  $DOM'$ -alt.arr t
    using t * Cod by auto
  have Dom (UP t) = Dom ( $H$ .dom (UP t))
    using t
  by (simp add: H-dom-char UP-DN.F.extensional)
  also have ... = Dom (UP ( $H'$ .dom t))
    using t 1  $H'$ -dom-char UP-DN.inv'-simp [of  $H$ .dom (UP t)]
     $DOM'$ -alt.arr-char  $DOM'$ -alt.inclusion
  by auto

```

```

also have ... = Dom (UP (Fo a))
  using 1 DOM'-alt.arr-char by auto
also have ... = a
  using a Id-yields-horiz-ide
  by (simp add: H-ide-char horizontal-unit-def)
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have Cod (UP t) = b
proof -
  have 1: DOM'-alt.arr t
    using t * Cod by auto
  have Cod (UP t) = Cod (H.cod (UP t))
    using t H-cod-char
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cod.simps(1) cod.extensional
      H-cod-simp UP-DN.F.extensional UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr)
  also have ... = Cod (UP (H'.cod t))
    using t 1 H'-cod-char UP-DN.inv'-simp
      DOM'-alt.arr-char DOM'-alt.inclusion
    by auto
  also have ... = Cod (UP (Fo b))
    using 1 DOM'-alt.arr-char by auto
  also have ... = b
    using b Id-yields-horiz-ide
    by (simp add: H-ide-char horizontal-unit-def)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
ultimately have residuation.arr (HOMEC a b) (Trn (UP t))
  using arr-char by blast
thus ?g t ∈ Collect Fa-ab.A.arr
  using gt Dom by simp
qed
show ∧x. x ∈ Collect Fa-ab.A.arr ⇒ ?g (RTS.Map (Fa a b) x) = x
proof -
  fix x
  assume x: x ∈ Collect Fa-ab.A.arr
  have ?g (RTS.Map (Fa a b) x) = Trn (UP (DN (MkArr a b x)))
    using a b x Fa-ab.preserves-reflects-arr RTS.Map-mkarr
    apply auto[1]
    using Dom Map by force
  also have ... = x
    using Dom a b x arr-MkArr by auto
  finally show ?g (RTS.Map (Fa a b) x) = x by blast
qed
show ∧y. y ∈ Collect Fa-ab.B.arr ⇒ RTS.Map (Fa a b) (?g y) = y
proof -
  fix y
  assume y: y ∈ Collect Fa-ab.B.arr
  have RTS.Map (Fa a b) (?g y) = DN (MkArr a b (Trn (UP y)))
    using a b y * DOM'-alt.null-char Map

```

```

      UP-DN.G.extensional arr-char
    by auto
  also have ... = y
  proof -
    have arr (UP y)
      using Cod R''.HOM-arr-char a b ide-Fo y by fastforce
    moreover have Dom (UP y) = a
    proof -
      have 1: DOM'-alt.arr y
        using y * Cod by auto
      have Dom (UP y) = Dom (H.dom (UP y))
        using y
        by (simp add: H-dom-char UP-DN.F.extensional)
      also have ... = Dom (UP (H'.dom y))
        using y H'-dom-char UP-DN.inv'-simp
        apply auto[1]
        using 1 DOM'-alt.arr-char DOM'-alt.inclusion by force
      also have ... = Dom (UP (Fo a))
        using 1 DOM'-alt.arr-char by auto
      also have ... = a
        using a Id-yields-horiz-ide
        by (simp add: H-ide-char horizontal-unit-def)
      finally show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  moreover have Cod (UP y) = b
  proof -
    have 1: DOM'-alt.arr y
      using y * Cod by auto
    have Cod (UP y) = Cod (H.cod (UP y))
      using y H-cod-char
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cod.simps(1) cod.extensional
        H-cod-simp UP-DN.F.extensional UP-DN.F.preserves-reflects-arr)
    also have ... = Cod (UP (H'.cod y))
      using y H'-cod-char UP-DN.inv'-simp
      apply auto[1]
      using 1 DOM'-alt.arr-char DOM'-alt.inclusion by simp
    also have ... = Cod (UP (Fo b))
      using 1 DOM'-alt.arr-char by auto
    also have ... = b
      using b Id-yields-horiz-ide
      by (simp add: H-ide-char horizontal-unit-def)
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using a b y MkArr-Trn [of UP y] by simp
  qed
  finally show RTS.Map (Fa a b) (?g y) = y by blast
  qed
  qed

```

```

show  $\forall t u. F_a\text{-ab}.B.\text{con} (RTS.\text{Map} (F_a a b) t) (RTS.\text{Map} (F_a a b) u)$ 
       $\longrightarrow F_a\text{-ab}.A.\text{con} t u$ 
proof (intro allI impI)
  fix  $t u$ 
assume  $tu: F_a\text{-ab}.B.\text{con} (RTS.\text{Map} (F_a a b) t) (RTS.\text{Map} (F_a a b) u)$ 
have  $R'.\text{con} (DN (MkArr a b t)) (DN (MkArr a b u))$ 
proof –
  have  $F_a\text{-ab}.B.\text{con} (DN (MkArr a b t)) (DN (MkArr a b u))$ 
proof –
  have  $RTS.\text{Map} (F_a a b) t = DN (MkArr a b t)$ 
using  $a b R''.\text{HOM-null-char} RTS.\text{bij-mkarr}(\mathcal{B}) UP\text{-DN}.G.\text{extensional}$ 
       $\text{arr-char ide-}F_o$ 
  by force
moreover have  $RTS.\text{Map} (F_a a b) u = DN (MkArr a b u)$ 
using  $a b R''.\text{HOM-null-char} RTS.\text{bij-mkarr}(\mathcal{B}) UP\text{-DN}.G.\text{extensional}$ 
       $\text{arr-char ide-}F_o$ 
  by force
ultimately show ?thesis
using  $tu$  by simp
qed
moreover have  $\text{residuation.con} (R''.\text{HOM} (F_o a) (F_o b)) =$ 
       $F_a\text{-ab}.B.\text{con}$ 
using  $a b \text{ide-}F_o F_a\text{-in-hom} [\text{of } a b] R''.\text{Hom-def} RTS.\text{bij-mkide}(\mathcal{B})$ 
by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
using  $a b \text{ide-}F_o R''.\text{sub-rts-HOM}$ 
       $\text{sub-rts.con-char}$ 
       $[\text{of } R' \lambda t. H'.\text{in-hom} t (F_o a) (F_o b)$ 
       $DN (MkArr a b t) DN (MkArr a b u)]$ 
by auto
qed
hence  $V.\text{con} (MkArr a b t) (MkArr a b u)$ 
using  $UP\text{-DN}.G.\text{reflects-con}$  by auto
thus  $F_a\text{-ab}.A.\text{con} t u$ 
using  $a b \text{con-char} F_a\text{-in-hom} [\text{of } a b] \text{Con-def}$  by auto
qed
qed
thus ?thesis
using  $a b F_a\text{-in-hom} RTS.\text{iso-char}$  by blast
qed
qed
qed
end

```

5.5 \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger Determined by its Underlying Category

In this section we show that the category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger is fully determined by its subcategory \mathbf{RTS} comprising the arrows that are identities for the residuation. Specifically, we show that there is an invertible RTS-functor from \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger to the RTS-category obtained from the category \mathbf{RTS} regarded as a category enriched in itself.

context *rtscat*
begin

The following produces a stand-alone instance of the category \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger , independent of the current context. Arrows of \mathbf{RTS}^\dagger have type $'A$ *rtscatx.arr* and they have the form *MkArr* A B F , where A and B have type $'A$ *resid* and F has the type $('A, 'A)$ *exponential-rts.arr* of an arrow of the exponential RTS $[A, B]$.

interpretation *RTSx: rtscatx arr-type ..*

In the current locale context, *comp* is the composition for the ordinary category \mathbf{RTS} . As a cartesian closed category, this category determines a category enriched in itself.

interpretation *enriched-category comp Prod α ι*
⟨Collect ide⟩ exp ECMC.Id ECMC.Comp
using *extends-to-enriched-category by blast*

This self-enriched category determines an RTS-category, using the general construction defined in *rts-category-of-enriched-category*. We will refer to this RTS-category as \mathbf{RC} . Arrows of \mathbf{RC} have type $('A$ *rtscatx.arr*, $'A)$ *RC.arr* and they have the form *RC.MkArr* a b t , where a and b are objects of \mathbf{RTS} and t is an arrow of the hom-RTS HOM_{EC} a b , which has arrow type $'A$.

interpretation *RC: rts-category-of-enriched-category*
arr-type ⟨Collect ide⟩ exp ECMC.Id ECMC.Comp

..

We now define the mapping Φ which we will show to be an RTS-category isomorphism from \mathbf{RC} to \mathbf{RTS} . In order to map an arrow *MkArr* a b t of \mathbf{RC} to an arrow of \mathbf{RTS} , it is necessary to use the invertible simulation *RTS.Func* a b to lift the arrow $t :: 'A$ of HOM_{EC} a b to an arrow *RTS.Func* a b $t :: ('A, 'A)$ *exponential-rts.arr* of the exponential RTS $[RTSx.Rts$ a , *RTSx.Rts* $b]$.

definition $\Phi :: ('A$ *rtscatx.arr*, $'A)$ *RC.arr* \Rightarrow $'A$ *rtscatx.arr*
where Φ $t \equiv$ *if* *RC.arr* t
then *RTSx.MkArr*
(RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom t)) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Cod t))
(Func (RC.Dom t) (RC.Cod t) (RC.Trn t))
else *RTSx.null*

```

lemma  $\Phi$ -simps [simp]:
  assumes  $RC.arr\ t$ 
  shows  $RTSx.arr\ (\Phi\ t)$ 
  and  $RTSx.dom\ (\Phi\ t) = RTSx.mkobj\ (RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Dom\ t))$ 
  and  $RTSx.cod\ (\Phi\ t) = RTSx.mkobj\ (RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Cod\ t))$ 
  proof -
    show 1:  $RTSx.arr\ (\Phi\ t)$ 
      unfolding  $\Phi$ -def Rts-def
      using assms  $RTSx.null-char\ RC.arr-char\ simulation-Func$ 
         $Rts-def\ Func-def\ ideD_{RTSC}$ 
      apply (intro  $RTSx.arrI$ )
      apply auto[3]
      apply simp
      by (metis  $Rts-def\ simulation.preserves-reflects-arr$ )
    show  $RTSx.dom\ (\Phi\ t) = RTSx.mkobj\ (RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Dom\ t))$ 
      using assms 1  $\Phi$ -def  $RC.arr-char\ RTSx.dom-char$  by simp
    show  $RTSx.cod\ (\Phi\ t) = RTSx.mkobj\ (RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Cod\ t))$ 
      using assms 1  $\Phi$ -def  $RC.arr-char\ RTSx.cod-char$  by simp
  qed

lemma  $\Phi$ -in-hom [intro]:
  assumes  $RC.arr\ t$ 
  shows  $RTSx.H.in-hom\ (\Phi\ t)$ 
    ( $RTSx.mkobj$ 
      ( $RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Dom\ t)$ )) ( $RTSx.mkobj\ (RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Cod\ t))$ )
  using assms by auto

interpretation  $\Phi$ : simulation  $RC.resid\ RTSx.resid\ \Phi$ 
proof
  show  $\bigwedge t. \neg RC.arr\ t \implies \Phi\ t = RTSx.null$ 
    using  $\Phi$ -def by auto
  fix  $t\ u$ 
  assume  $tu: RC.V.con\ t\ u$ 
  have  $t: RC.arr\ t$  and  $u: RC.arr\ u$ 
    using  $tu\ RC.V.con-implies-arr$  by auto
  have  $0: RC.Dom\ t = RC.Dom\ u \wedge RC.Cod\ t = RC.Cod\ u$ 
    using  $RC.con-implies-Par(1-2)\ tu$  by blast
  interpret  $Func: simulation\ \langle RC.HOM_{EC}\ (RC.Dom\ t)\ (RC.Cod\ t)\rangle$ 
     $\langle exponential-rts.resid$ 
      ( $RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Dom\ t)$ ) ( $RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Cod\ t)$ ) $\rangle$ 
     $\langle Func\ (RC.Dom\ t)\ (RC.Cod\ t)\rangle$ 
  using  $t\ simulation-Func\ RC.arr-char$ 
  by (simp add:  $Rts-def\ Func-def$ )
  show 1:  $RTSx.V.con\ (\Phi\ t)\ (\Phi\ u)$ 
    using  $tu\ RTSx.con-char\ RC.V.con-implies-arr\ RTSx.arr-char\ \Phi$ -simps(1)
       $RC.con-implies-Par\ \Phi$ -def
    apply auto[1]
    apply metis

```

```

  by (metis Func.preserves-con RC.Con-def RC.con-char)
show  $\Phi (RC.resid\ t\ u) = RTSx.resid\ (\Phi\ t)\ (\Phi\ u)$ 
  using t u tu 1  $\Phi$ -def
  apply simp
  apply (intro conjI)
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Func.preserves-resid
      RC.ConE RC.con-char)
  using RTSx.con-char by auto
qed

```

The following fact is key to showing that Φ is functorial.

```

lemma Func-Trn-obj:
assumes RC.obj a
shows Func (RC.Dom a) (RC.Cod a) (RC.Trn a) =
  exponential-rts.MkIde (I (Rts (RC.Dom a)))
proof -
  have a: ide (RC.Dom a)
    using assms RC.H.ideD(1) RC.H-arr-char by auto

  interpret Dom: extensional-rts  $\langle RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Dom\ a) \rangle$ 
    using assms Rts-def ideDRTSx(1) RC.H.ideD(1) RC.H-arr-char by simp
  interpret I-Dom: identity-simulation  $\langle RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Dom\ a) \rangle$  ..
  interpret Exp0: exponential-rts
     $\langle RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Dom\ a) \rangle\ \langle RTSx.Dom\ (RC.Dom\ a) \rangle$ 
  ..
  interpret DOM: extensional-rts  $\langle RC.HOM_{EC}\ (RC.Dom\ a)\ (RC.Dom\ a) \rangle$ 
    using assms
  by (simp add: RC.H-ide-char RC.arr-char RC.horizontal-unit-def)
  have RC.Trn a = RC.Trn (RC.mkobj (RC.Dom a))
    using assms RC.H-ide-char [of a] RC.arr-char [of a] RC.H-dom-char
    by force
  also have ... = Exp0.Map (RTSx.Trn (ECMC.Id (RC.Dom a))) One.the-arr
proof -
  have RC.mkobj (RC.Dom a) =
    RC.MkArr (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom a)
    (RTSx.Map (ECMC.Id (RC.Dom a)) One.the-arr)
  using Map-def by argo
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = Exp0.Map
  (RTSx.Trn
    (curry CMC.unity (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom a)
      (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom a))))
  One.the-arr
  using ECMC.Id-def by (simp add: curry-def)
also have ... = (Unfunc (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom a)  $\circ$ 
  Currying.Curry
    (Cod  $\iota$ ) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a))
    (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a))

```


$(RTSx.Src (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom a)) \circ$
 $Pack CMC.unity (RC.Dom a))$
 $(RTSx.Trq (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom a)) \circ$
 $Pack CMC.unity (RC.Dom a))$
 $(RTSx.Map (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom a)) \circ$
 $Pack CMC.unity (RC.Dom a)))$
One.the-arr

using *CMC.ide-unity ECMC.Id-def Pack-def RTSx.Map-curry Rts-def*
Unfunc-def a ide-iff-RTS-obj local.curry-def

by force

also have ... = $(Unfunc (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom a) \circ$
Currying.Curry3
 $(Cod \iota) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a))$
 $(RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a))$
 $(product-rts.P_0$
 $(RTSx.Dom RTSx.one) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a))))$
One.the-arr

proof –

have $RTSx.Map (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom a)) \circ$
 $Pack CMC.unity (RC.Dom a) =$
 $product-rts.P_0 (RTSx.Dom RTSx.one) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a))$

proof –

have $RTSx.Map (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom a)) \circ$
 $RTSx.Pack CMC.unity (RC.Dom a) =$
 $RTSx.Map (CMC.pr_0 RTSx.one (RC.Dom a)) \circ$
 $RTSx.Pack CMC.unity (RC.Dom a)$

using *assms CMC.lunit-eq RC.H.ide-char RC.H-arr-char unity-agreement*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting) mem-Collect-eq one-def)*

also have ... = $product-rts.P_0$
 $(RTSx.Dom RTSx.one) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a)) \circ$
 $(Unpack RTSx.one (RC.Dom a)) \circ$
 $Pack CMC.unity (RC.Dom a)$

using *assms RTSx.Map-p₀ RC.H.ideD(1) RC.H-arr-char pr-agreement(1)*
ide-iff-RTS-obj

by *(auto simp add: one-def p₀-def Pack-def Unpack-def)*

also have ... = $product-rts.P_0$
 $(RTSx.Dom RTSx.one) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a)) \circ$
 $I (product-rts.resid$
 $(RTSx.Dom (RTSx.one)) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a)))$

using *assms a one-def RTSx.obj-one ide-iff-RTS-obj ide-one*
 $RTSx.Unpack-o-Pack$

by *(auto simp add: one-def Pack-def Unpack-def)*

also have ... = $product-rts.P_0$
 $(RTSx.Dom RTSx.one) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a))$

using *assms one-def*
comp-simulation-identity
[of product-rts.resid
 $(RTSx.Dom (RTSx.one)) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a))$
 $RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a)$

$product\text{-}rts.P_0 (RTSx.Dom\ RTSx.one)$
 $(RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom\ a))]$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Dom.rts-axioms Rts-def extensional-rts-def*
ideD_{RTSC} ide-one product-rts.P₀-is-simulation product-rts.intro)
finally show *?thesis*
unfolding *Pack-def* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $1: RTSx.sta (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom\ a))$
using *assms CMC.arr-lunit RC.H.ideD(1) RC.H.arr-char arr-iff-RTS-sta*
by *force*
moreover have $RTSx.Src (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom\ a)) =$
 $RTSx.Map (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom\ a))$
using *assms 1 RTSx.src-char RTSx.sta-char RTSx.Map-simps(3) RTSx.V.src-ide*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
moreover have $RTSx.Trq (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom\ a)) =$
 $RTSx.Map (CMC.lunit (RC.Dom\ a))$
using *assms 1 RTSx.trg-char RTSx.sta-char RTSx.Map-simps(4) RTSx.V.trg-ide*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
ultimately show *?thesis*
by *force*
qed
also have $\dots = RTSx.Unfunc (RC.Dom\ a) (RC.Dom\ a)$
 $(Exp0.MkIde (I (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom\ a))))$
proof –
interpret *Cod-ι: extensional-rts* $\langle Cod\ \iota \rangle$
using *CMC.ide-unity extensional-rts-def* **by** *simp*
interpret *C: Currying*
 $\langle Cod\ \iota \rangle \langle RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom\ a) \rangle \langle RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom\ a) \rangle$
..
have *Currying.Curry3*
 $(Cod\ \iota) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom\ a)) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom\ a))$
 $(product\text{-}rts.P_0 (RTSx.Dom\ RTSx.one) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom\ a)))$
 $One.the\text{-}arr =$
 $Exp0.MkIde (I (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom\ a)))$
proof –
interpret *P: product-rts* $\langle RTSx.Dom\ one \rangle \langle RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom\ a) \rangle$
using *C.AxB.product-rts-axioms Rts-def unity-agreement* **by** *argo*
have $1: Cod\text{-}\iota.arr = One.arr \wedge Cod\text{-}\iota.src = One.src \wedge Cod\text{-}\iota.trg = One.trg$
by (*simp add: unity-agreement*)
have $Cod\text{-}\iota.arr = One.the\text{-}arr$
by (*simp add: One.arr-char unity-agreement*)
moreover have $(\lambda g. P.P_0 (One.the\text{-}arr, g)) = I\text{-}Dom.map$
using *P.P₀-def One.arr-char P.arr-char Rts-def Rts-one* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using $1\ One.src\text{-}char\ One.trg\text{-}char\ C.Curry\text{-}def$
by (*auto simp add: one-def*)
qed
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *Unfunc-def*

```

    using One.arr-char by auto
qed
finally
have RC.Trn a =
    RTSx.Unfunc (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom a) (Exp0.MkIde I-Dom.map)
    by blast
thus ?thesis
    unfolding Rts-def
    using assms RTSx.Func-Unfunc Unfunc-def Exp0.ide-MkIde
        Exp0.ide-implies-arr I-Dom.simulation-axioms RC.H-ide-char
        RC.horizontal-unit-def a ide-iff-RTS-obj Func-def
    by auto
qed

```

```

lemma obj-Φ-obj:
assumes RC.obj a
shows RTSx.obj (Φ a)
proof -
interpret Dom: extensional-rts ⟨RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a)⟩
    using assms RC.H.ideD(1) RC.H-arr-char Rts-def ideDRTSC by force
interpret I-Dom: identity-simulation ⟨RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a)⟩ ..
interpret Exp0: exponential-rts
    ⟨RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a)⟩ ⟨RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a)⟩ ..
show ?thesis
    unfolding Φ-def
    using assms RC.H.ideD(1) Func-Trn-obj RTSx.mkobj-def Rts-def
        RTSx.obj-mkobj [of RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a)]
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD RC.H.ideD(1) RC.H-arr-char
        RC.H-ide-char RC.horizontal-unit-def Rts-def ideDRTSC)
qed

```

```

interpretation Φ: functor RC.hcomp RTSx.hcomp Φ
proof
fix f
let ?a = RC.Dom f
let ?b = RC.Cod f
let ?A = RTSx.Dom ?a
let ?B = RTSx.Dom ?b
let ?ab = RTSx.exp ?a ?b
show ¬ RC.H.arr f ⇒ Φ f = RTSx.H.null
    using Φ-def by force
show RC.H.arr f ⇒ RTSx.H.arr (Φ f)
    using RC.arr-coincidence RTSx.arr-coincidence Φ.preserves-reflects-arr
    by force
show RC.H.arr f ⇒ RTSx.dom (Φ f) = Φ (RC.dom f)
proof -
assume f: RC.H.arr f
have 1: RC.Dom (RC.dom f) = ?a

```

```

    using f RC.H.ide-dom RC.H-dom-char by simp
  have 2: RC.Cod (RC.dom f) = ?a
    using f RC.H.ide-dom RC.H-dom-char by simp
  have 3: RTSx.dom (Φ f) = RTSx.mkobj ?A
    using f by simp
  have Φ (RC.dom f) = RTSx.mkobj ?A
  proof -
    have Φ (RC.dom f) =
      RTSx.MkArr
        (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom (RC.dom f)))
        (RTSx.Dom (RC.Cod (RC.dom f)))
        (RTSx.Func (RC.Dom (RC.dom f)) (RC.Cod (RC.dom f))
          (RC.Trn (RC.dom f)))
    unfolding Φ-def Func-def
    using f by simp
  also have ... = RTSx.MkArr ?A ?A
    (exponential-rts.MkArr (I ?A) (I ?A) (I ?A))
  proof -
    have RC.obj (RC.dom f)
      using f by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using 1 2 Func-Trn-obj [of RC.dom f]
      unfolding Func-def Rts-def by presburger
  qed
  also have ... = RTSx.mkobj ?A
    unfolding RTSx.mkobj-def
    using f by blast
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  moreover have RTSx.dom (Φ f) = RTSx.mkobj ?A
    using f by simp
  ultimately show RTSx.dom (Φ f) = Φ (RC.dom f)
    by simp
  qed
  show RC.H.arr f ⇒ RTSx.cod (Φ f) = Φ (RC.cod f)
  proof -
    assume f: RC.H.arr f
    have 1: RC.Dom (RC.cod f) = ?b
      using f RC.H.ide-cod RC.H-cod-char by simp
    have 2: RC.Cod (RC.cod f) = ?b
      using f RC.H.ide-cod RC.H-cod-char by simp
    have 3: RTSx.cod (Φ f) = RTSx.mkobj ?B
      using f by simp
    have Φ (RC.cod f) = RTSx.mkobj ?B
  proof -
    have Φ (RC.cod f) =
      RTSx.MkArr
        (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom (RC.cod f)))
        (RTSx.Dom (RC.Cod (RC.cod f)))

```

```

      (RTSx.Func (RC.Dom (RC.cod f)) (RC.Cod (RC.cod f))
        (RC.Trn (RC.cod f)))
    unfolding  $\Phi$ -def Func-def
    using f by simp
  also have ... = RTSx.MkArr ?B ?B
    (exponential-rts.MkArr (I ?B) (I ?B) (I ?B))
  proof -
    have RC.obj (RC.cod f)
      using f by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using 1 2 Func-Trn-obj [of RC.cod f]
      unfolding Func-def Rts-def by presburger
  qed
  also have ... = RTSx.mkobj ?B
    unfolding RTSx.mkobj-def
    using f by blast
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  moreover have RTSx.cod ( $\Phi$  f) = RTSx.mkobj ?B
    using f by simp
  ultimately show RTSx.cod ( $\Phi$  f) =  $\Phi$  (RC.cod f)
    by simp
  qed
  fix g
  let ?c = RC.Cod g
  let ?C = RTSx.Dom ?c
  let ?bc = RTSx.exp ?b ?c
  let ?ac = RTSx.exp ?a ?c
  show RC.H.seq g f  $\implies$   $\Phi$  (RC.hcomp g f) = RTSx.hcomp ( $\Phi$  g) ( $\Phi$  f)
  proof -
    assume seq: RC.H.seq g f
    have 0: RC.H.arr f  $\wedge$  RC.H.arr g  $\wedge$  RC.dom g = RC.cod f
      using seq by blast
    interpret A: extensional-rts ?A
      using seq 0 RC.H-arr-char Rts-def ideDRTSC by fastforce
    interpret B: extensional-rts ?B
      using seq 0 RC.H-arr-char Rts-def ideDRTSC by fastforce
    interpret C: extensional-rts ?C
      using seq 0 RC.H-arr-char Rts-def ideDRTSC by fastforce
    interpret AB: exponential-rts ?A ?B ..
    interpret BC: exponential-rts ?B ?C ..
    interpret AC: exponential-rts ?A ?C ..
    interpret CMP: COMP ?A ?B ?C ..
    interpret ASC: ASSOC BC.resid AB.resid ?A ..
    interpret HOM-ab: extensional-rts  $\langle$ RC.HOMEC ?a ?b $\rangle$ 
      by (meson RC.H-arr-char RC.rts-category-of-enriched-category-axioms
        ideDRTSC(1) ide-Hom rts-category-of-enriched-category.arr-char seq)
    interpret HOM-bc: extensional-rts  $\langle$ RC.HOMEC ?b ?c $\rangle$ 
      by (meson RC.H-arr-char RC.rts-category-of-enriched-category-axioms

```

```

    ideDRTSC(1) ide-Hom rts-category-of-enriched-category.arr-char seq)
interpret HOM-ac: extensional-rts ⟨RC.HOMEC ?a ?c⟩
  by (meson RC.H-seq-char RC.rts-category-of-enriched-category-axioms
    ideDRTSC(1) ide-Hom rts-category-of-enriched-category.arr-char seq)
let ?Func-ab = RTSx.Func ?a ?b
let ?Func-bc = RTSx.Func ?b ?c
let ?Func-ac = RTSx.Func ?a ?c
interpret Func-ab: simulation ⟨RC.HOMEC ?a ?b⟩ AB.resid ?Func-ab
  using 0 simulation-Func
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RC.H-arr-char Rts-def Func-def mem-Collect-eq)
interpret Func-ab: simulation-between-extensional-rts
  ⟨RC.HOMEC ?a ?b⟩ AB.resid ?Func-ab
..
interpret Func-bc: simulation ⟨RC.HOMEC ?b ?c⟩ BC.resid ?Func-bc
  using 0 simulation-Func
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RC.H-arr-char Rts-def Func-def mem-Collect-eq)
interpret Func-bc: simulation-between-extensional-rts
  ⟨RC.HOMEC ?b ?c⟩ BC.resid ?Func-bc
..
interpret Func-ac: simulation ⟨RC.HOMEC ?a ?c⟩ AC.resid ?Func-ac
  using 0 simulation-Func
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RC.H-arr-char Rts-def Func-def mem-Collect-eq)
interpret Func-ac: simulation-between-extensional-rts
  ⟨RC.HOMEC ?a ?c⟩ AC.resid ?Func-ac
..
interpret Comp: Composition arr-type ?a ?b ?c
  using seq RC.arr-char RC.H-seq-char
  by unfold-locales auto
interpret bcXab: product-rts ⟨Comp.EXP ?b ?c⟩ ⟨Comp.EXP ?a ?b⟩ ..
interpret Func-bc-x-Func-ab:
  product-simulation ⟨Comp.EXP ?b ?c⟩ ⟨Comp.EXP ?a ?b⟩
  BC.resid AB.resid ⟨RTSx.Func ?b ?c⟩ ⟨RTSx.Func ?a ?b⟩
..
interpret Eval-BC: RTSConstructions.evaluation-map ?B ?C ..
interpret Eval-AB: RTSConstructions.evaluation-map ?A ?B ..
interpret I-BC: identity-simulation BC.resid ..
interpret I-BC-x-Eval-AB: product-simulation
  BC.resid ASC.BxC.resid BC.resid ?B
  I-BC.map Eval-AB.map
..
have 0: bcXab.arr (RC.Trn g, RC.Trn f)
  using seq bcXab.arr-char RC.H.seqE RC.H-arr-char RC.H-seq-char
  by auto
have 1: CMP.BCxAB.arr
  (RTSx.Func ?b ?c (RC.Trn g), RTSx.Func ?a ?b (RC.Trn f))
  using 0 by auto
have Φ (RC.hcomp g f) =
  RTSx.MkArr
  (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom (RC.hcomp g f)))

```

```

      (RTSx.Dom (RC.Cod (RC.hcomp g f)))
      (RTSx.Func (RC.Dom (RC.hcomp g f)) (RC.Cod (RC.hcomp g f))
        (RC.Trn (RC.hcomp g f)))
    using seq  $\Phi$ -def by (auto simp add: Func-def)
  also have ... =
    RTSx.MkArr ?A ?C
      ((?Func-ac  $\circ$  AC.Map (RTSx.Trn (ECMC.Comp ?a ?b ?c)))
        (RTSx.Pack ?bc ?ab (RC.Trn g, RC.Trn f)))
    unfolding RC.hcomp-def
    using seq RC.H-seq-char
    by (auto simp add: Func-def Pack-def Map-def exp-def)
  also have ... =
    RTSx.MkArr ?A ?C
      (CMP.map
        (Func-bc-x-Func-ab.map
          (RTSx.Unpack ?bc ?ab
            (RTSx.Pack ?bc ?ab (RC.Trn g, RC.Trn f))))))
    using Comp.Func-o-Map-Comp
    by (auto simp add: Func-def Unpack-def Map-def exp-def Rts-def)
  also have ... =
    RTSx.MkArr ?A ?C
      (CMP.map
        (Func-bc-x-Func-ab.map
          (RC.Trn g, RC.Trn f)))
    using RC.H-seq-char RC.arr-char ide-Hom seq RTSx.Unpack-Pack
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 0 Rts-def exp-def ide-iff-RTS-obj)
  also have ... =
    RTSx.MkArr ?A ?C
      (CMP.map
        (RTSx.Func ?b ?c (RC.Trn g), RTSx.Func ?a ?b (RC.Trn f)))
    using 0 Func-bc-x-Func-ab.map-simp by fastforce
  also have ... =
    RTSx.MkArr ?A ?C
      (CMP.Currying.A-BC.MkArr
        (BC.Dom (RTSx.Func ?b ?c (RC.Trn g))  $\circ$ 
          BC.Dom (RTSx.Func ?a ?b (RC.Trn f)))
        (BC.Cod (RTSx.Func ?b ?c (RC.Trn g))  $\circ$ 
          BC.Cod (RTSx.Func ?a ?b (RC.Trn f)))
        (BC.Map (RTSx.Func ?b ?c (RC.Trn g))  $\circ$ 
          BC.Map (RTSx.Func ?a ?b (RC.Trn f))))
    unfolding CMP.Currying.Curry-def
    using 0 CMP.map-eq by simp
  also have ... =
    RTSx.MkArr ?A ?C
      (COMP.map ?A ?B ?C
        (RTSx.Func ?b ?c (RC.Trn g), RTSx.Func ?a ?b (RC.Trn f)))
    unfolding CMP.Currying.Curry-def
    using 0 CMP.map-eq by simp
  also have ... = RTSx.hcomp ( $\Phi$  g) ( $\Phi$  f)

```

```

    unfolding RTSx.hcomp-def
    using seq RC.H-seq-char  $\Phi$ -def  $\Phi$ -simps(1)
    apply (auto simp add: Func-def)[1]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

interpretation  $\Phi$ : rts-functor RC.resid RC.hcomp
               RTSx.resid RTSx.hcomp  $\Phi$ 
..

interpretation  $\Phi$ : fully-faithful-functor RC.hcomp RTSx.hcomp  $\Phi$ 
proof
  fix t u
  assume par: RC.H.par t u
  assume eq:  $\Phi$  t =  $\Phi$  u
  show t = u
  proof (intro RC.arr-eqI)
    show t  $\neq$  RC.null and u  $\neq$  RC.null
    using par by auto
    show 1: RC.Dom t = RC.Dom u and 2: RC.Cod t = RC.Cod u
    using par eq  $\Phi$ -def RC.H-dom-char RC.H-cod-char by auto
    show RC.Trn t = RC.Trn u
    proof -
      have RC.Trn t = RTSx.Unfunc (RC.Dom t) (RC.Cod t)
        (RTSx.Func (RC.Dom t) (RC.Cod t) (RC.Trn t))
        using par RTSx.Unfunc-Func RC.arr-char [of t]
        apply auto[1]
        by (simp add: Rts-def exp-def ide-iff-RTS-obj)
      also have ... = RTSx.Unfunc (RC.Dom u) (RC.Cod u)
        (RTSx.Func (RC.Dom t) (RC.Cod t) (RC.Trn t))
        using 1 2 by auto
      also have ... = RTSx.Unfunc (RC.Dom u) (RC.Cod u)
        (RTSx.Func (RC.Dom u) (RC.Cod u) (RC.Trn u))
        using par eq  $\Phi$ -def
        by (auto simp add: Func-def)
      also have ... = RC.Trn u
        using par RTSx.Unfunc-Func RC.arr-char [of t] RC.arr-char [of u]
        apply auto[1]
        by (simp add: Rts-def exp-def ide-iff-RTS-obj)
      finally show RC.Trn t = RC.Trn u by blast
    qed
  qed
next
fix a b g
assume a: RC.obj a and b: RC.obj b
assume g: RTSx.H.in-hom g ( $\Phi$  a) ( $\Phi$  b)
have 1: RTSx.dom g = RC.Dom a

```



```

by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD RC.H.ide-char' RC.H.arr-char
    RC.H.ide-char RC.horizontal-unit-def RTSx.H.cod-dom RTSx.H.in-homE
    RTSx.bij-mkobj(4)  $\Phi$ -simps(3) a g ide-iff-RTS-obj)
have 2: RTSx.cod g = RC.Dom b
by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD RC.H.ide-char RC.arr-char
    RC.horizontal-unit-def RTSx.Dom.simps(1) RTSx.H.ide-cod RTSx.H.in-homE
    Rts-def  $\Phi$ -def b bij-mkide(4) g ide-iff-RTS-obj)
interpret Dom-a: extensional-rts  $\langle$ RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a) $\rangle$ 
using a  $\Phi$ -def RC.H.ide-char [of a] RC.arr-char [of a] obj- $\Phi$ -obj
by force
interpret Dom-b: extensional-rts  $\langle$ RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom b) $\rangle$ 
using b  $\Phi$ -def RC.H.ide-char [of b] RC.arr-char [of b] obj- $\Phi$ -obj
by force
interpret Exp: exponential-rts
     $\langle$ RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom a) $\rangle$   $\langle$ RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom b) $\rangle$ 
..
interpret Unfunc: simulation
    Exp.resid  $\langle$ RC.HOMEC (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom b) $\rangle$ 
     $\langle$ RTSx.Unfunc (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom b) $\rangle$ 
by (metis 1 2 RTSx.H.arrI RTSx.H.ide-cod RTSx.H.ide-dom
    RTSx.simulation-Unfunc Rts-def exp-def g)
let ?f = RC.MkArr (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom b)
    (RTSx.Unfunc (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom b) (RTSx.Trn g))
have RC.H.in-hom ?f a b  $\wedge$   $\Phi$  ?f = g
proof
show 3: RC.H.in-hom ?f a b
proof
show 4: RC.H.arr ?f
using a b g 1 2
    RTSx.arr-char RC.arr-char Unfunc.preserves-reflects-arr
    RC.arr-MkArr
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RC.H.ideD(1) RC.H.arr-char
    RTSx.Dom-cod RTSx.Dom-dom RTSx.H.in-homE RTSx.arr-coincidenceCR)
show RC.dom ?f = a
using 4 RC.H.ideD(1-2) RC.H-dom-char a by auto
show RC.cod ?f = b
using 4 RC.H.ideD(1-3) RC.H-dom-char RC.H-cod-char b by auto
qed
show  $\Phi$  ?f = g
proof -
have RTSx.Func (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom b)
    (RTSx.Unfunc (RC.Dom a) (RC.Dom b) (RTSx.Trn g)) =
    RTSx.Trn g
using 1 2 RTSx.Func-Unfunc
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTSx.Dom-cod RTSx.Dom-dom RTSx.H.in-homE
    RTSx.arr-char RTSx.arr-coincidenceCR a b g obj- $\Phi$ -obj)
thus ?thesis
unfolding  $\Phi$ -def
using g 1 2 3 RTSx.arr-MkArr RTSx.arr-char [of g]

```

```

    apply (auto simp add: Func-def)[1]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) RTSx.Dom-cod RTSx.Dom-dom RTSx.MkArr-Trn)
qed
qed
thus  $\exists f. RC.H.in-hom f a b \wedge \Phi f = g$  by blast
qed

```

interpretation Φ : full-embedding-functor $RC.hcomp$ $RTSx.hcomp$ Φ

```

proof
  fix f f'
  assume f: RC.H.arr f and f': RC.H.arr f'
  assume eq:  $\Phi f = \Phi f'$ 
  have RC.H.par f f'
    using f f' eq bij-mkide(4) RC.H.cod-char RC.H.dom-char
  apply (auto simp add:  $\Phi$ -def Rts-def)[1]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD RC.H.arr-char f f')+
  thus  $f = f'$ 
  using  $\Phi.is-faithful$  eq by blast
qed

```

interpretation Φ : invertible-functor $RC.hcomp$ $RTSx.hcomp$ Φ

```

proof –
  have Collect RTSx.obj  $\subseteq \Phi$  ' Collect RC.obj
proof
  fix a
  assume a:  $a \in Collect RTSx.obj$ 
  show  $a \in \Phi$  ' Collect RC.obj
proof
  let ?a' = RC.mkobj a
  show a': ?a'  $\in Collect RC.obj$ 
  using a RC.Id-yields-horiz-ide ide-iff-RTS-obj by blast
  show  $a = \Phi ?a'$ 
  using a a' bij-mkide(4)
  apply (auto simp add:  $\Phi$ -def)[1]
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) RC.Cod.simps(1) RC.Dom.simps(1)
    RC.H.ide-char RC.Trn.simps(1) RTSx.Dom.simps(1) RTSx.bij-mkobj(4)
    RTSx.dom-char  $\Phi.as-nat-trans.preserves-dom$ 
     $\Phi.as-nat-trans.preserves-reflects-arr$   $\Phi$ -def)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) RC.H.ide-char RC.arr-coincidence)
qed
qed
thus invertible-functor  $RC.hcomp$   $RTSx.hcomp$   $\Phi$ 
  using  $\Phi.is-invertible-if-surjective-on-objects(1)$  by blast
qed

```

interpretation Φ : invertible-simulation $RC.resid$ $RTSx.resid$ Φ

```

proof –
  have  $\bigwedge t u. RTSx.V.con (\Phi t) (\Phi u) \implies RC.V.con t u$ 
proof –

```

```

fix  $t u$ 
assume  $con: RTSx.V.con (\Phi t) (\Phi u)$ 
have  $1: RTSx.V.con$ 
  ( $RTSx.MkArr (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom t)) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Cod t))$ 
   ( $RTSx.Func (RC.Dom t) (RC.Cod t) (RC.Trn t)$ ))
  ( $RTSx.MkArr (RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom u)) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Cod u))$ 
   ( $RTSx.Func (RC.Dom u) (RC.Cod u) (RC.Trn u)$ ))
  using  $con \Phi-def$ 
  unfolding  $Func-def$ 
  by ( $metis RTSx.V.not-con-null(1) RTSx.V.not-con-null(2)$ )
hence  $residuation.con$ 
  ( $exponential-rts.resid$ 
   ( $RTSx.Dom (RC.Dom t) (RTSx.Dom (RC.Cod t))$ 
    ( $RTSx.Func (RC.Dom t) (RC.Cod t) (RC.Trn t)$ 
     ( $RTSx.Func (RC.Dom u) (RC.Cod u) (RC.Trn u)$ ))
   )
  using  $RTSx.con-char$  by  $force$ 
hence  $residuation.con (RC.HOM_{EC} (RC.Dom t) (RC.Cod t))$ 
  ( $RTSx.Unfunc (RC.Dom t) (RC.Cod t)$ 
   ( $RTSx.Func (RC.Dom t) (RC.Cod t) (RC.Trn t)$ ))
  ( $RTSx.Unfunc (RC.Dom u) (RC.Cod u)$ 
   ( $RTSx.Func (RC.Dom u) (RC.Cod u) (RC.Trn u)$ ))
  using  $con 1 simulation.preserves-con simulation-Unfunc bij-mkide(4)$ 
  by ( $metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD RC.H-arr-char RTSx.Cod.simps(1)$ 
    $RTSx.Dom.simps(1) RTSx.con-char RTSx.con-implies-par Rts-def$ 
    $Unfunc-def \Phi.as-nat-trans.preserves-reflects-arr$ )
hence  $residuation.con (RC.HOM_{EC} (RC.Dom t) (RC.Cod t))$ 
  ( $RC.Trn t$ ) ( $RC.Trn u$ )
  using  $RC.arr-char RTSx.con-char Unfunc-Func$ 
  by ( $metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD RC.H-arr-char RTSx.con-implies-par$ 
    $Unfunc-def \Phi.as-nat-trans.preserves-reflects-arr con Func-def$ )
thus  $RC.V.con t u$ 
  using  $1 con bij-mkide(4) RC.con-char RTSx.con-char RC.arr-char$ 
    $RTSx.con-implies-par RTSx.null-char \Phi.extensional Rts-def bij-mkide(4)$ 
  apply  $auto[1]$ 
  apply ( $intro RC.ConI conjI, auto$ )
  by  $metis+$ 
qed
thus  $invertible-simulation RC.resid RTSx.resid \Phi$ 
  using  $\Phi.is-invertible-simulation-if \Phi.invertible-functor-axioms$  by  $blast$ 
qed

theorem  $rts-category-isomorphism RC.resid RC.hcomp$ 
   $RTSx.resid RTSx.hcomp \Phi$ 
..
end
end

```

Bibliography

- [1] G. M. Kelly. Basic concepts of enriched category theory. *Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories*, 10, 2005. <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/reprints/articles/10/tr10.pdf>.
- [2] E. W. Stark. Category theory with adjunctions and limits. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, June 2016. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/Category3.html>, Formal proof development.
- [3] E. W. Stark. Monoidal categories. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2017. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/MonoidalCategory.html>, Formal proof development.
- [4] E. W. Stark. Residuated transition systems. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, February 2022. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/ResiduatedTransitionSystem.html>, Formal proof development.