

Residuated Transition Systems

Eugene W. Stark

Department of Computer Science
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, New York 11794 USA

June 17, 2024

Abstract

A *residuated transition system* (RTS) is a transition system that is equipped with a certain partial binary operation, called *residuation*, on transitions. Using the residuation operation, one can express nuances, such as a distinction between nondeterministic and concurrent choice, as well as partial commutativity relationships between transitions, which are not captured by ordinary transition systems. A version of residuated transition systems was introduced by the author in [10], where they were called “concurrent transition systems” in view of the original motivation for their definition from the study of concurrency. In the first part of the present article, we give a formal development that generalizes and subsumes the original presentation. We give an axiomatic definition of residuated transition systems that assumes only a single partial binary operation as given structure. From the axioms, we derive notions of “arrow” (transition), “source”, “target”, “identity”, as well as “composition” and “join” of transitions; thereby recovering structure that in the previous work was assumed as given. We formalize and generalize the result, that residuation extends from transitions to transition paths, and we systematically develop the properties of this extension. A significant generalization made in the present work is the identification of a general notion of congruence on RTS’s, along with an associated quotient construction.

In the second part of this article, we use the RTS framework to formalize several results in the theory of reduction in Church’s λ -calculus. Using a de Bruijn indexed syntax in which terms represent parallel reduction steps, we define residuation on terms and show that it satisfies the axioms for an RTS. An application of the results on paths from the first part of the article allows us to prove the classical Church-Rosser Theorem with little additional effort. We then use residuation to define the notion of “development” and we prove the Finite Developments Theorem, that every development is finite, formalizing and adapting to de Bruijn indices a proof by de Vrijer. We also use residuation to define the notion of a “standard reduction path”, and we prove the Standardization Theorem: that every reduction path is congruent to a standard one. As a corollary of the Standardization Theorem, we obtain the Leftmost Reduction Theorem: that leftmost reduction is a normalizing strategy.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Residuated Transition Systems	8
2.1	Basic Definitions and Properties	8
2.1.1	Partial Magmas	8
2.1.2	Residuation	9
2.1.3	Residuated Transition System	11
2.1.4	Weakly Extensional RTS	19
2.1.5	Extensional RTS	23
2.1.6	Composites of Transitions	23
2.1.7	Joins of Transitions	27
2.1.8	Joins and Composites in a Weakly Extensional RTS	29
2.1.9	Joins and Composites in an Extensional RTS	30
2.1.10	Confluence	45
2.2	Simulations	45
2.2.1	Identity Simulation	46
2.2.2	Composite of Simulations	47
2.2.3	Simulations into a Weakly Extensional RTS	47
2.2.4	Simulations into an Extensional RTS	48
2.2.5	Simulations between Extensional RTS's	48
2.2.6	Transformations	49
2.3	Normal Sub-RTS's and Congruence	50
2.3.1	Normal Sub-RTS's	50
2.3.2	Semi-Congruence	52
2.3.3	Congruence	55
2.3.4	Congruence Classes	61
2.3.5	Coherent Normal Sub-RTS's	63
2.3.6	Quotient by Coherent Normal Sub-RTS	70
2.3.7	Identities form a Coherent Normal Sub-RTS	85
2.4	Paths	86
2.4.1	Residuation on Paths	90
2.4.2	Inclusion Map	126
2.4.3	Composites of Paths	126

2.4.4	Paths in a Weakly Extensional RTS	134
2.4.5	Paths in a Confluent RTS	138
2.4.6	Simulations Lift to Paths	139
2.4.7	Normal Sub-RTS's Lift to Paths	141
2.5	Composite Completion	159
2.5.1	Inclusion Map	167
2.5.2	Composite Completion of an Extensional RTS	169
2.5.3	Freeness of Composite Completion	170
2.6	Constructions on RTS's	179
2.6.1	Products of RTS's	179
2.6.2	Sub-RTS's	186
3	The Lambda Calculus	191
3.1	Syntax	192
3.1.1	Some Orderings for Induction	193
3.1.2	Arrows and Identities	194
3.1.3	Raising Indices	195
3.1.4	Substitution	198
3.2	Lambda-Calculus as an RTS	201
3.2.1	Residuation	201
3.2.2	Source and Target	203
3.2.3	Residuation and Substitution	209
3.2.4	Residuation Determines an RTS	211
3.2.5	Simulations from Syntactic Constructors	222
3.2.6	Reduction and Conversion	225
3.2.7	The Church-Rosser Theorem	227
3.2.8	Normalization	230
3.3	Reduction Paths	231
3.3.1	Sources and Targets	231
3.3.2	Mapping Constructors over Paths	233
3.3.3	Decomposition of 'App Paths'	239
3.3.4	Miscellaneous	248
3.4	Developments	250
3.4.1	Finiteness of Developments	256
3.4.2	Complete Developments	273
3.5	Reduction Strategies	275
3.5.1	Parallel Reduction	277
3.5.2	Head Reduction	284
3.5.3	Leftmost Reduction	296
3.6	Standard Reductions	301
3.6.1	Standard Reduction Paths	302
3.6.2	Standard Developments	318
3.6.3	Standardization	329

Chapter 1

Introduction

A *transition system* is a graph used to represent the dynamics of a computational process. It consists simply of nodes, called *states*, and edges, called *transitions*. Paths through a transition system correspond to possible computations. A *residuated transition system* is a transition system that is equipped with a partial binary operation, called *residuation*, on transitions, subject to certain axioms. Among other things, these axioms imply that if residuation is defined for transitions t and u , then t and u must be *coinitial*; that is, they must have a common source state. If the residuation is defined for coinitial transitions t and u , then we regard transitions t and u as *consistent*, otherwise they are *in conflict*. The residuation $t \setminus u$ of t along u can be thought of as what remains of transition t after the portion that it has in common with u has been cancelled.

A version of residuated transition systems was introduced in [10], where I called them “concurrent transition systems”, because my motivation for the definition was to be able to have a way of representing information about concurrency and nondeterministic choice. Indeed, transitions that are in conflict can be thought of as representing a nondeterministic choice between steps that cannot occur in a single computation, whereas consistent transitions represent steps that can so occur and are therefore in some sense concurrent with each other. Whereas performing a product construction on ordinary transition system results in a transition system that records no information about commutativity of concurrent steps, with residuated transition systems the residuation operation makes it possible to represent such information.

In [10], concurrent transition systems were defined in terms of graphs, consisting of states, transitions, and a pair of functions that assign to each transition a *source* (or domain) state and a *target* (or codomain) state. In addition, the presence of transitions that are *identities* for the residuation was assumed. Identity transitions had the same source and target state, and they could be thought of as representing empty computational steps. The key axiom for concurrent transition systems is the “cube axiom”, which is a parallel moves property stating that the same result is achieved when transporting a transition by residuation along the two paths from the base to the apex of a “commuting diamond”. Using the residuation operation and the associated cube axiom, it becomes possible to define notions of “join” and “composition” of transitions. The residuation also

induces a notion of congruence of transitions; namely, transitions t and u are congruent whenever they are cointial and both $t \setminus u$ and $u \setminus t$ are identities. In [10], the basic definition of concurrent transition system included an axiom, called “extensionality”, which states that the congruence relation is trivial (*i.e.* coincides with equality). An advantage of the extensionality axiom is that, in its presence, joins and composites of transitions are uniquely defined when they exist. It was shown in [10] that a concurrent transition system could always be quotiented by congruence to achieve extensionality.

A focus of the basic theory developed in [10] was to show that the residuation operation \setminus on individual transitions extended in a natural way to a residuation operation \setminus^* on paths, so that a concurrent transition system could be completed to one having a composite for each “composable” pair of transitions. The construction involved quotienting by the congruence on paths obtained by declaring paths T and U to be congruent if they are cointial and both $T \setminus^* U$ and $U \setminus^* T$ are paths consisting only of identities. Besides collapsing paths of identities, this congruence reflects permutation relations induced by the residuation. In particular, if t and u are consistent, then the paths $t(u \setminus t)$ and $u(t \setminus u)$ are congruent.

Imposing the extensionality requirement as part of the basic definition of concurrent transition systems does not end up being particularly desirable, since natural examples of situations where there is a residuation on transitions (such as on reductions in the λ -calculus) often do not naturally satisfy the extensionality condition and can only be made to do so if a quotient construction is applied. Also, the treatment of identity transitions and quotienting in [10] was not entirely satisfactory. The definition of “strong congruence” given there was somewhat awkward and basically existed to capture the specific congruence that was induced on paths by the underlying residuation. It was clear that a more general quotient construction ought to be possible than the one used in [10], but it was not clear what the right general definition ought to be.

In the present article we revisit the notion of transition systems equipped with a residuation operation, with the idea of developing a more general theory that does not require the assumption of extensionality as part of the basic axioms, and of clarifying the general notion of congruence that applies to such structures. We use the term “residuated transition systems” to refer to the more general structures defined here, as the name is perhaps more suggestive of what the theory is about and it does not seem to limit the interpretation of the residuation operation only to settings that have something to do with concurrency.

Rather than starting out by assuming source, target, and identities as basic structure, here we develop residuated transition systems purely as a theory about a partial binary operation (residuation) that is subject to certain axioms. The axioms will allow us to introduce sources, targets, and identities as defined notions, and we will be able to recover the properties of this additional structure that in [10] were taken as axiomatic. This idea of defining residuated transition systems purely in terms of a partial binary operation of residuation is similar to the approach taken in [11], where we formalized categories purely in terms of a partial binary operation of composition.

This article comprises two parts. In the first part, we give the definition of residuated transition systems and systematically develop the basic theory. We show how sources,

composites, and identities can be defined in terms of the residuation operation. We also show how residuation can be used to define the notions of join and composite of transitions, as well as the simple notion of congruence that relates transitions t and u whenever both $t \setminus u$ and $u \setminus t$ are identities. We then present a much more general notion of congruence, based a definition of “coherent normal sub-RTS”, which abstracts the properties enjoyed by the sub-RTS of identity transitions. After defining this general notion of congruence, we show that it admits a quotient construction, which yields a quotient RTS having the extensionality property. After studying congruences and quotients, we consider paths in an RTS, represented as nonempty lists of transitions whose sources and targets match up in the expected “domino fashion”. We show that the residuation operation of an RTS lifts to a residuation on its paths, yielding an “RTS of paths” in which composites of paths are given by list concatenation. The collection of paths that consist entirely of identity transitions is then shown to form a coherent normal sub-RTS of the RTS of paths. The associated congruence on paths can be seen as “permutation congruence”: the least congruence respecting composition that relates the two-element lists $[t, t \setminus u]$ and $[u, u \setminus t]$ whenever t and u are consistent, and that relates $[t, b]$ and $[t]$ whenever b is an identity transition that is a target of t . Quotienting by the associated congruence results in a free “composite completion” of the original RTS. The composite completion has a composite for each pair of “composable” transitions, and it will in general exhibit nontrivial equations between composites, as a result of the congruence induced on paths by the underlying residuation. In summary, the first part of this article can be seen as a significant generalization and more satisfactory development of the results originally presented in [10].

The second part of this article applies the formal framework developed in the first part to prove various results about reduction in Church’s λ -calculus. Although many of these results have had machine-checked proofs given by other authors (*e.g.* the basic formalization of residuation in the λ -calculus given by Huet [7]), the presentation here develops a number of such results in a single formal framework: that of residuated transition systems. For the presentation of the λ -calculus given here we employ (as was also done in [7]) the device of de Bruijn indices [4], in order to avoid having to treat the issue of α -convertibility. The terms in our syntax represent reductions in which multiple redexes are contracted in parallel; this is done to deal with the well-known fact that contractions of single redexes are not preserved by residuation, in general. We treat only β -reduction here; leaving the extension to the $\beta\eta$ -calculus for future work. We define residuation on terms essentially as is done in [7] and we develop a similar series of lemmas concerning residuation, substitution, and de Bruijn indices, culminating in Lévy’s “Cube Lemma” [8], which is the key property needed to show that a residuated transition system is obtained. In this residuated transition system, the identities correspond to the usual λ -terms, and transitions correspond to parallel reductions, represented by λ -terms with “marked redexes”. The source of a transition is obtained by erasing the markings on the redexes; the target is obtained by contracting all the marked redexes.

Once having obtained an RTS whose transitions represent parallel reductions, we exploit the general results proved in the first part of this article to extend the residuation to sequences of reductions. It is then possible to prove the Church-Rosser Theorem

with very little additional effort. After that, we turn our attention to the notion of a “development”, which is a reduction sequence in which the only redexes contracted are those that are residuals of redexes in some originally marked set. We give a formal proof of the Finite Developments Theorem ([9, 6]), which states that all developments are finite. The proof here follows the one by de Vrijer [5], with the difference that here we are using de Bruijn indices, whereas de Vrijer used a classical λ -calculus syntax. The modifications of de Vrijer’s proof required for de Bruijn indices were not entirely straightforward to find. We then proceed to define the notion of “standard reduction path”, which is a reduction sequence that in some sense contracts redexes in a left-to-right fashion, perhaps with some jumps. We give a formal proof of the Standardization Theorem ([3]), stated in the strong form which asserts that every reduction is permutation congruent to a standard reduction. The proof presented here proceeds by stating and proving correct the definition of a recursive function that transforms a given path of parallel reductions into a standard reduction path, using a technique roughly analogous to insertion sort. Finally, as a corollary of the Standardization Theorem, we prove the Leftmost Reduction Theorem, which is the well-known result that the leftmost (or normal-order) reduction strategy is normalizing.

Chapter 2

Residuated Transition Systems

```
theory ResiduatedTransitionSystem
imports Main
begin
```

2.1 Basic Definitions and Properties

2.1.1 Partial Magmas

A *partial magma* consists simply of a partial binary operation. We represent the partiality by assuming the existence of a unique value *null* that behaves as a zero for the operation.

```
locale partial-magma =
fixes OP :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
assumes ex-un-null: ∃!n. ∀t. OP n t = n ∧ OP t n = n
begin
```

```
definition null :: 'a
where null = (THE n. ∀t. OP n t = n ∧ OP t n = n)
```

```
lemma null-eqI:
assumes ⋀t. OP n t = n ∧ OP t n = n
shows n = null
using assms null-def ex-un-null the1-equality [of λn. ∀t. OP n t = n ∧ OP t n = n]
by auto
```

```
lemma null-is-zero [simp]:
shows OP null t = null and OP t null = null
using null-def ex-un-null theI' [of λn. ∀t. OP n t = n ∧ OP t n = n]
by auto
```

```
end
```

2.1.2 Residuation

A *residuation* is a partial magma subject to three axioms. The first, *con-sym-ax*, states that the domain of a residuation is symmetric. The second, *con-imp-arr-resid*, constrains the results of residuation either to be *null*, which indicates inconsistency, or something that is self-consistent, which we will define below to be an “arrow”. The “cube axiom”, *cube-ax*, states that if v can be transported by residuation around one side of the “commuting square” formed by t and $u \setminus t$, then it can also be transported around the other side, formed by u and $t \setminus u$, with the same result.

type-synonym $'a \text{ resid} = 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$

locale *residuation* = *partial-magma resid*

for *resid* :: $'a \text{ resid}$ (**infix** \setminus 70) +

assumes *con-sym-ax*: $t \setminus u \neq \text{null} \Longrightarrow u \setminus t \neq \text{null}$

and *con-imp-arr-resid*: $t \setminus u \neq \text{null} \Longrightarrow (t \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) \neq \text{null}$

and *cube-ax*: $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \neq \text{null} \Longrightarrow (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

begin

The axiom *cube-ax* is equivalent to the following unconditional form. The locale assumptions use the weaker form to avoid having to treat the case $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = \text{null}$ specially for every interpretation.

lemma *cube*:

shows $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

using *cube-ax* **by** *metis*

We regard t and u as *consistent* if the residuation $t \setminus u$ is defined. It is convenient to make this a definition, with associated notation.

definition *con* (**infix** \frown 50)

where $t \frown u \equiv t \setminus u \neq \text{null}$

lemma *conI* [*intro*]:

assumes $t \setminus u \neq \text{null}$

shows $t \frown u$

using *assms con-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *conE* [*elim*]:

assumes $t \frown u$

and $t \setminus u \neq \text{null} \Longrightarrow T$

shows T

using *assms con-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *con-sym*:

assumes $t \frown u$

shows $u \frown t$

using *assms con-def con-sym-ax* **by** *blast*

We call t an *arrow* if it is self-consistent.

definition *arr*

where $arr\ t \equiv t \frown t$

lemma $arrI$ [*intro*]:
assumes $t \frown t$
shows $arr\ t$
using $assms\ arr-def$ **by** $simp$

lemma $arrE$ [*elim*]:
assumes $arr\ t$
and $t \frown t \implies T$
shows T
using $assms\ arr-def$ **by** $simp$

lemma $not-arr-null$ [*simp*]:
shows $\neg arr\ null$
by ($auto\ simp\ add:\ con-def$)

lemma $con-implies-arr$:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $arr\ t$ **and** $arr\ u$
using $assms$
by ($metis\ arrI\ con-def\ con-imp-arr-resid\ cube\ null-is-zero(2)$) $+$

lemma $arr-resid$ [*simp*]:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $arr\ (t \setminus u)$
using $assms\ con-imp-arr-resid$ **by** $blast$

lemma $arr-resid-iff-con$:
shows $arr\ (t \setminus u) \iff t \frown u$
by $auto$

lemma $con-arr-self$ [*simp*]:
assumes $arr\ f$
shows $f \frown f$
using $assms\ arrE$ **by** $auto$

lemma $not-con-null$ [*simp*]:
shows $con\ null\ t = False$ **and** $con\ t\ null = False$
by $auto$

The residuation of an arrow along itself is the *canonical target* of the arrow.

definition trg
where $trg\ t \equiv t \setminus t$

lemma $resid-arr-self$:
shows $t \setminus t = trg\ t$
using $trg-def$ **by** $auto$

An *identity* is an arrow that is its own target.

definition *ide*
where $ide\ a \equiv a \frown a \wedge a \setminus a = a$

lemma *ideI* [*intro*]:
assumes $a \frown a$ **and** $a \setminus a = a$
shows $ide\ a$
using *assms ide-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ideE* [*elim*]:
assumes $ide\ a$
and $\llbracket a \frown a; a \setminus a = a \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
using *assms ide-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *ide-implies-arr* [*simp*]:
assumes $ide\ a$
shows $arr\ a$
using *assms* **by** *blast*

lemma *not-ide-null* [*simp*]:
shows $ide\ null = False$
by *auto*

end

2.1.3 Residuated Transition System

A *residuated transition system* consists of a residuation subject to additional axioms that concern the relationship between identities and residuation. These axioms make it possible to sensibly associate with each arrow certain nonempty sets of identities called the *sources* and *targets* of the arrow. Axiom *ide-trg* states that the canonical target $trg\ t$ of an arrow t is an identity. Axiom *resid-arr-ide* states that identities are right units for residuation, when it is defined. Axiom *resid-ide-arr* states that the residuation of an identity along an arrow is again an identity, assuming that the residuation is defined. Axiom *con-imp-coinitial-ax* states that if arrows t and u are consistent, then there is an identity that is consistent with both of them (*i.e.* they have a common source). Axiom *con-target* states that an identity of the form $t \setminus u$ (which may be regarded as a “target” of u) is consistent with any other arrow $v \setminus u$ obtained by residuation along u . We note that replacing the premise $ide\ (t \setminus u)$ in this axiom by either $arr\ (t \setminus u)$ or $t \frown u$ would result in a strictly stronger statement.

locale *rts = residuation* +
assumes *ide-trg* [*simp*]: $arr\ t \implies ide\ (trg\ t)$
and *resid-arr-ide*: $\llbracket ide\ a; t \frown a \rrbracket \implies t \setminus a = t$
and *resid-ide-arr* [*simp*]: $\llbracket ide\ a; a \frown t \rrbracket \implies ide\ (a \setminus t)$
and *con-imp-coinitial-ax*: $t \frown u \implies \exists a. ide\ a \wedge a \frown t \wedge a \frown u$
and *con-target*: $\llbracket ide\ (t \setminus u); u \frown v \rrbracket \implies t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u$
begin

We define the *sources* of an arrow t to be the identities that are consistent with t .

definition *sources*

where $sources\ t = \{a. ide\ a \wedge t \frown a\}$

We define the *targets* of an arrow t to be the identities that are consistent with the canonical target $trg\ t$.

definition *targets*

where $targets\ t = \{b. ide\ b \wedge trg\ t \frown b\}$

lemma *in-sourcesI* [*intro, simp*]:

assumes $ide\ a$ **and** $t \frown a$

shows $a \in sources\ t$

using *assms sources-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *in-sourcesE* [*elim*]:

assumes $a \in sources\ t$

and $\llbracket ide\ a; t \frown a \rrbracket \implies T$

shows T

using *assms sources-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *in-targetsI* [*intro, simp*]:

assumes $ide\ b$ **and** $trg\ t \frown b$

shows $b \in targets\ t$

using *assms targets-def resid-arr-self* **by** *simp*

lemma *in-targetsE* [*elim*]:

assumes $b \in targets\ t$

and $\llbracket ide\ b; trg\ t \frown b \rrbracket \implies T$

shows T

using *assms targets-def resid-arr-self* **by** *force*

lemma *trg-in-targets*:

assumes $arr\ t$

shows $trg\ t \in targets\ t$

using *assms*

by (*meson ideE ide-trg in-targetsI*)

lemma *source-is-ide*:

assumes $a \in sources\ t$

shows $ide\ a$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

lemma *target-is-ide*:

assumes $a \in targets\ t$

shows $ide\ a$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

Consistent arrows have a common source.

lemma *con-imp-common-source*:

assumes $t \frown u$
shows $\text{sources } t \cap \text{sources } u \neq \{\}$
using *assms*
by (*meson disjoint-iff in-sourcesI con-imp-coinitial-ax con-sym*)

Arrows are characterized by the property of having a nonempty set of sources, or equivalently, by that of having a nonempty set of targets.

lemma *arr-iff-has-source*:
shows $\text{arr } t \iff \text{sources } t \neq \{\}$
using *con-imp-common-source con-implies-arr(1) sources-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *arr-iff-has-target*:
shows $\text{arr } t \iff \text{targets } t \neq \{\}$
using *trg-def trg-in-targets* **by** *fastforce*

The residuation of a source of an arrow along that arrow gives a target of the same arrow. However, it is *not* true that every target of an arrow t is of the form $u \setminus t$ for some u with $t \frown u$.

lemma *resid-source-in-targets*:
assumes $a \in \text{sources } t$
shows $a \setminus t \in \text{targets } t$
by (*metis arr-resid assms con-target con-sym resid-arr-ide ide-trg in-sourcesE resid-ide-arr in-targetsI resid-arr-self*)

Residuation along an identity reflects identities.

lemma *ide-backward-stable*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide (t \ a)*
shows *ide t*
by (*metis assms ideE resid-arr-ide arr-resid-iff-con*)

lemma *resid-reflects-con*:
assumes $t \frown v$ **and** $u \frown v$ **and** $t \setminus v \frown u \setminus v$
shows $t \frown u$
using *assms cube*
by (*elim conE*) *auto*

lemma *con-transitive-on-ide*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b* **and** *ide c*
shows $[[a \frown b; b \frown c]] \implies a \frown c$
using *assms*
by (*metis resid-arr-ide con-target con-sym*)

lemma *sources-are-con*:
assumes $a \in \text{sources } t$ **and** $a' \in \text{sources } t$
shows $a \frown a'$
using *assms*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD con-target con-sym resid-ide-arr sources-def resid-reflects-con*)

lemma *sources-con-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{sources } t$ **and** *ide* a' **and** $a \frown a'$
shows $a' \in \text{sources } t$
using *assms*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) con-target con-sym resid-arr-ide mem-Collect-eq sources-def*)

lemma *sources-eqI*:
assumes $\text{sources } t \cap \text{sources } t' \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{sources } t = \text{sources } t'$
using *assms sources-def sources-are-con sources-con-closed* **by** *blast*

lemma *targets-are-con*:
assumes $b \in \text{targets } t$ **and** $b' \in \text{targets } t$
shows $b \frown b'$
using *assms sources-are-con sources-def targets-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *targets-con-closed*:
assumes $b \in \text{targets } t$ **and** *ide* b' **and** $b \frown b'$
shows $b' \in \text{targets } t$
using *assms sources-con-closed sources-def targets-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *targets-eqI*:
assumes $\text{targets } t \cap \text{targets } t' \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{targets } t = \text{targets } t'$
using *assms targets-def targets-are-con targets-con-closed* **by** *blast*

Arrows are *coinitial* if they have a common source, and *coterminal* if they have a common target.

definition *coinitial*
where *coinitial* $t u \equiv \text{sources } t \cap \text{sources } u \neq \{\}$

definition *coterminal*
where *coterminal* $t u \equiv \text{targets } t \cap \text{targets } u \neq \{\}$

lemma *coinitialI* [*intro*]:
assumes *arr* t **and** $\text{sources } t = \text{sources } u$
shows *coinitial* $t u$
using *assms coinitial-def arr-iff-has-source* **by** *simp*

lemma *coinitialE* [*elim*]:
assumes *coinitial* $t u$
and $\llbracket \text{arr } t; \text{arr } u; \text{sources } t = \text{sources } u \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
using *assms coinitial-def sources-eqI arr-iff-has-source* **by** *auto*

lemma *con-imp-coinitial*:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows *coinitial* $t u$

using *assms*
by (*simp add: coinitial-def con-imp-common-source*)

lemma *coinitial-iff*:
shows $\text{coinitial } t \ t' \longleftrightarrow \text{arr } t \wedge \text{arr } t' \wedge \text{sources } t = \text{sources } t'$
by (*metis arr-iff-has-source coinitial-def inf-idem sources-eqI*)

lemma *coterminal-iff*:
shows $\text{coterminal } t \ t' \longleftrightarrow \text{arr } t \wedge \text{arr } t' \wedge \text{targets } t = \text{targets } t'$
by (*metis arr-iff-has-target coterminal-def inf-idem targets-eqI*)

lemma *coterminal-iff-con-trg*:
shows $\text{coterminal } t \ u \longleftrightarrow \text{trg } t \frown \text{trg } u$
by (*metis coinitial-iff con-imp-coinitial coterminal-iff in-targetsE trg-in-targets resid-arr-self arr-resid-iff-con sources-def targets-def*)

lemma *coterminalI* [*intro*]:
assumes *arr t and targets t = targets u*
shows *coterminal t u*
using *assms coterminal-iff arr-iff-has-target by auto*

lemma *coterminalE* [*elim*]:
assumes *coterminal t u*
and $\llbracket \text{arr } t; \text{arr } u; \text{targets } t = \text{targets } u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow T$
shows *T*
using *assms coterminal-iff by auto*

lemma *sources-resid* [*simp*]:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $\text{sources } (t \setminus u) = \text{targets } u$
unfolding *targets-def trg-def*
using *assms conI conE*
by (*metis con-imp-arr-resid assms coinitial-iff con-imp-coinitial cube ex-un-null sources-def*)

lemma *targets-resid-sym*:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $\text{targets } (t \setminus u) = \text{targets } (u \setminus t)$
using *assms*
apply (*intro targets-eqI*)
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) assms cube inf-idem arr-iff-has-target arr-def arr-resid-iff-con sources-resid*)

Arrows *t* and *u* are *sequential* if the set of targets of *t* equals the set of sources of *u*.

definition *seq*
where $\text{seq } t \ u \equiv \text{arr } t \wedge \text{arr } u \wedge \text{targets } t = \text{sources } u$

lemma *seqI* [*intro*]:
shows $\llbracket \text{arr } t; \text{targets } t = \text{sources } u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{seq } t \ u$

and $\llbracket \text{arr } u; \text{targets } t = \text{sources } u \rrbracket \implies \text{seq } t \ u$
using *seq-def arr-iff-has-source arr-iff-has-target* **by** *metis+*

lemma *seqE [elim]*:
assumes *seq t u*
and $\llbracket \text{arr } t; \text{arr } u; \text{targets } t = \text{sources } u \rrbracket \implies T$
shows *T*
using *assms seq-def* **by** *blast*

Congruence of Transitions

Residuation induces a preorder \lesssim on transitions, defined by $t \lesssim u$ if and only if $t \setminus u$ is an identity.

abbreviation *prfx* (**infix** \lesssim 50)
where $t \lesssim u \equiv \text{ide } (t \setminus u)$

lemma *prfxE*:
assumes $t \lesssim u$
and $\text{ide } (t \setminus u) \implies T$
shows *T*
using *assms* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *prfx-implies-con*:
assumes $t \lesssim u$
shows $t \frown u$
using *assms arr-resid-iff-con* **by** *blast*

lemma *prfx-reflexive*:
assumes *arr t*
shows $t \lesssim t$
by (*simp add: assms resid-arr-self*)

lemma *prfx-transitive [trans]*:
assumes $t \lesssim u$ **and** $u \lesssim v$
shows $t \lesssim v$
using *assms con-target resid-ide-arr ide-backward-stable cube conI*
by *metis*

lemma *source-is-prfx*:
assumes $a \in \text{sources } t$
shows $a \lesssim t$
using *assms resid-source-in-targets* **by** *blast*

The equivalence \sim associated with \lesssim is substitutive with respect to residuation.

abbreviation *cong* (**infix** \sim 50)
where $t \sim u \equiv t \lesssim u \wedge u \lesssim t$

lemma *congE*:
assumes $t \sim u$

and $\llbracket t \frown u; \text{ide } (t \setminus u); \text{ide } (u \setminus t) \rrbracket \implies T$
 shows T
 using *assms prfx-implies-con* by *blast*

lemma *cong-reflexive*:
 assumes *arr t*
 shows $t \sim t$
 using *assms prfx-reflexive* by *simp*

lemma *cong-symmetric*:
 assumes $t \sim u$
 shows $u \sim t$
 using *assms* by *simp*

lemma *cong-transitive* [*trans*]:
 assumes $t \sim u$ and $u \sim v$
 shows $t \sim v$
 using *assms prfx-transitive* by *auto*

lemma *cong-subst-left*:
 assumes $t \sim t'$ and $t \frown u$
 shows $t' \frown u$ and $t \setminus u \sim t' \setminus u$
 apply (*meson assms con-sym con-target prfx-implies-con resid-reflects-con*)
 by (*metis assms con-sym con-target cube prfx-implies-con resid-ide-arr resid-reflects-con*)

lemma *cong-subst-right*:
 assumes $u \sim u'$ and $t \frown u$
 shows $t \frown u'$ and $t \setminus u \sim t \setminus u'$
 proof –
 have 1: $t \frown u' \wedge t \setminus u' \frown u \setminus u' \wedge$
 $(t \setminus u) \setminus (u' \setminus u) = (t \setminus u') \setminus (u \setminus u')$
 using *assms cube con-sym con-target cong-subst-left(1)* by *meson*
 show $t \frown u'$
 using 1 by *simp*
 show $t \setminus u \sim t \setminus u'$
 by (*metis 1 arr-resid-iff-con assms(1) cong-reflexive resid-arr-ide*)
 qed

lemma *cong-implies-coinitial*:
 assumes $u \sim u'$
 shows *coinitial u u'*
 using *assms con-imp-coinitial prfx-implies-con* by *simp*

lemma *cong-implies-coterminal*:
 assumes $u \sim u'$
 shows *coterminal u u'*
 using *assms*
 by (*metis con-implies-arr(1) coterminalI ideE prfx-implies-con sources-resid targets-resid-sym*)

lemma *ide-imp-con-iff-cong*:
assumes *ide t and ide u*
shows $t \frown u \iff t \sim u$
using *assms*
by (*metis con-sym resid-ide-arr prfx-implies-con*)

lemma *sources-are-cong*:
assumes $a \in \text{sources } t$ **and** $a' \in \text{sources } t$
shows $a \sim a'$
using *assms sources-are-con*
by (*metis CollectD ide-imp-con-iff-cong sources-def*)

lemma *sources-cong-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{sources } t$ **and** $a \sim a'$
shows $a' \in \text{sources } t$
using *assms sources-def*
by (*meson in-sourcesE in-sourcesI cong-subst-right(1) ide-backward-stable*)

lemma *targets-are-cong*:
assumes $b \in \text{targets } t$ **and** $b' \in \text{targets } t$
shows $b \sim b'$
using *assms(1-2) sources-are-cong sources-def targets-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *targets-cong-closed*:
assumes $b \in \text{targets } t$ **and** $b \sim b'$
shows $b' \in \text{targets } t$
using *assms targets-def sources-cong-closed sources-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *targets-char*:
shows $\text{targets } t = \{b. \text{arr } t \wedge t \setminus t \sim b\}$
unfolding *targets-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) con-def con-implies-arr(2) con-sym cong-reflexive ide-def resid-arr-ide trg-def*)

lemma *coinitial-ide-are-cong*:
assumes *ide a and ide a' and coinitial a a'*
shows $a \sim a'$
using *assms coinitial-def*
by (*metis ideE in-sourcesI coinitialE sources-are-cong*)

lemma *cong-respects-seq*:
assumes $\text{seq } t \ u$ **and** $\text{cong } t \ t'$ **and** $\text{cong } u \ u'$
shows $\text{seq } t' \ u'$
by (*metis assms coterminalE rts.coinitialE rts.cong-implies-coinitial rts.cong-implies-coterminal rts-axioms seqE seqI*)

end

2.1.4 Weakly Extensional RTS

A *weakly extensional* RTS is an RTS that satisfies the additional condition that identity arrows have trivial congruence classes. This axiom has a number of useful consequences, including that each arrow has a unique source and target.

locale *weakly-extensional-rts* = *rts* +
assumes *weak-extensionality*: $\llbracket t \sim u; \text{ide } t; \text{ide } u \rrbracket \implies t = u$
begin

lemma *con-ide-are-eq*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide a'* **and** $a \frown a'$
shows $a = a'$
using *assms ide-imp-con-iff-cong weak-extensionality* **by** *blast*

lemma *coinitial-ide-are-eq*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide a'* **and** *coinitial a a'*
shows $a = a'$
using *assms coinitial-def con-ide-are-eq* **by** *blast*

lemma *arr-has-un-source*:
assumes *arr t*
shows $\exists! a. a \in \text{sources } t$
using *assms*
by (*meson arr-iff-has-source con-ide-are-eq ex-in-conv in-sourcesE sources-are-con*)

lemma *arr-has-un-target*:
assumes *arr t*
shows $\exists! b. b \in \text{targets } t$
using *assms*
by (*metis arrE arr-has-un-source arr-resid sources-resid*)

definition *src*
where $\text{src } t \equiv \text{if } \text{arr } t \text{ then } \text{THE } a. a \in \text{sources } t \text{ else } \text{null}$

lemma *src-in-sources*:
assumes *arr t*
shows $\text{src } t \in \text{sources } t$
using *assms src-def arr-has-un-source*
the1I2 [of $\lambda a. a \in \text{sources } t \lambda a. a \in \text{sources } t$]
by *simp*

lemma *src-eqI*:
assumes *ide a* **and** $a \frown t$
shows $\text{src } t = a$
using *assms src-in-sources*
by (*metis arr-has-un-source resid-arr-ide in-sourcesI arr-resid-iff-con con-sym*)

lemma *sources-char*:
shows $\text{sources } t = \{a. \text{arr } t \wedge \text{src } t = a\}$

using *src-in-sources arr-has-un-source arr-iff-has-source* **by** *auto*

lemma *targets-char_{WE}*:
shows $targets\ t = \{b.\ arr\ t \wedge\ trg\ t = b\}$
using *trg-in-targets arr-has-un-target arr-iff-has-target* **by** *auto*

lemma *arr-src-iff-arr*:
shows $arr\ (src\ t) \longleftrightarrow arr\ t$
by (*metis arrI conE null-is-zero(2) sources-are-con arrE src-def src-in-sources*)

lemma *arr-trg-iff-arr*:
shows $arr\ (trg\ t) \longleftrightarrow arr\ t$
by (*metis arrI arrE arr-resid-iff-con resid-arr-self*)

lemma *arr-src-if-arr* [*simp*]:
assumes $arr\ t$
shows $arr\ (src\ t)$
using *assms arr-src-iff-arr* **by** *blast*

lemma *arr-trg-if-arr* [*simp*]:
assumes $arr\ t$
shows $arr\ (trg\ t)$
using *assms arr-trg-iff-arr* **by** *blast*

lemma *con-imp-eq-src*:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $src\ t = src\ u$
using *assms*
by (*metis con-imp-coinitial-ax src-eqI*)

lemma *src-resid* [*simp*]:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $src\ (t \setminus u) = trg\ u$
using *assms*
by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con con-implies-arr(2) arr-has-un-source trg-in-targets sources-resid src-in-sources*)

lemma *apex-sym*:
shows $trg\ (t \setminus u) = trg\ (u \setminus t)$
by (*metis arr-has-un-target con-sym-ax residuation.arr-resid-iff-con residuation.conI residuation-axioms targets-resid-sym trg-in-targets*)

lemma *apex-arr-prfx*:
assumes $prfx\ t\ u$
shows $trg\ (u \setminus t) = trg\ u$
and $trg\ (t \setminus u) = trg\ u$
using *assms*
apply (*metis apex-sym arr-resid-iff-con ideE src-resid*)
by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con assms ideE src-resid*)

lemma $seqI_{WE}$ [*intro, simp*]:
shows $\llbracket arr\ t;\ trg\ t =\ src\ u \rrbracket \implies seq\ t\ u$
and $\llbracket arr\ u; trg\ t = src\ u \rrbracket \implies seq\ t\ u$
by (*metis arrE arr-src-iff-arr arr-trg-iff-arr in-sourcesE rts.resid-arr-ide*
rts-axioms seqI(1) sources-resid src-in-sources trg-def)⁺

lemma $seqE_{WE}$ [*elim*]:
assumes $seq\ t\ u$
and $\llbracket arr\ u; arr\ t; trg\ t = src\ u \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
using *assms*
by (*metis arr-has-un-source seq-def src-in-sources trg-in-targets*)

lemma $coinitial-iff_{WE}$:
shows $coinitial\ t\ u \iff arr\ t \wedge arr\ u \wedge src\ t = src\ u$
by (*metis arr-has-un-source coinitial-def coinitial-iff disjoint-iff-not-equal*
src-in-sources)

lemma $coterminal-iff_{WE}$:
shows $coterminal\ t\ u \iff arr\ t \wedge arr\ u \wedge trg\ t = trg\ u$
by (*metis arr-has-un-target coterminal-iff-con-trg coterminal-iff trg-in-targets*)

lemma $coinitialI_{WE}$ [*intro*]:
assumes $arr\ t$ **and** $src\ t = src\ u$
shows $coinitial\ t\ u$
using *assms coinitial-iff_{WE}* **by** (*metis arr-src-iff-arr*)

lemma $coinitialE_{WE}$ [*elim*]:
assumes $coinitial\ t\ u$
and $\llbracket arr\ t; arr\ u; src\ t = src\ u \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
using *assms coinitial-iff_{WE}* **by** *blast*

lemma $coterminalI_{WE}$ [*intro*]:
assumes $arr\ t$ **and** $trg\ t = trg\ u$
shows $coterminal\ t\ u$
using *assms coterminal-iff_{WE}* **by** (*metis arr-trg-iff-arr*)

lemma $coterminalE_{WE}$ [*elim*]:
assumes $coterminal\ t\ u$
and $\llbracket arr\ t; arr\ u; trg\ t = trg\ u \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
using *assms coterminal-iff_{WE}* **by** *blast*

lemma $ide-src$ [*simp*]:
assumes $arr\ t$
shows $ide\ (src\ t)$
using *assms*

by (*metis arrE con-imp-coinitial-ax src-eqI*)

lemma *src-ide* [*simp*]:
assumes *ide a*
shows *src a = a*
using *arrI assms src-eqI* **by** *blast*

lemma *trg-ide* [*simp*]:
assumes *ide a*
shows *trg a = a*
using *assms resid-arr-self* **by** *auto*

lemma *ide-iff-src-self*:
assumes *arr a*
shows *ide a \longleftrightarrow src a = a*
using *assms* **by** (*metis ide-src src-ide*)

lemma *ide-iff-trg-self*:
assumes *arr a*
shows *ide a \longleftrightarrow trg a = a*
using *assms ide-def resid-arr-self ide-trg* **by** *metis*

lemma *src-src* [*simp*]:
shows *src (src t) = src t*
using *ide-src src-def src-ide* **by** *auto*

lemma *trg-trg* [*simp*]:
shows *trg (trg t) = trg t*
by (*metis con-def con-implies-arr(2) cong-reflexive ide-def null-is-zero(2) resid-arr-self*)

lemma *src-trg* [*simp*]:
shows *src (trg t) = trg t*
by (*metis con-def not-arr-null src-def src-resid trg-def*)

lemma *trg-src* [*simp*]:
shows *trg (src t) = src t*
by (*metis ide-src null-is-zero(2) resid-arr-self src-def trg-ide*)

lemma *resid-ide*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *coinitial a t*
shows *t \ a = t* **and** *a \ t = trg t*
using *assms resid-arr-ide* **apply** *blast*
using *assms*
by (*metis con-def con-sym-ax ideE in-sourcesE in-sourcesI resid-ide-arr coinitialE src-ide src-resid*)

lemma *con-arr-src* [*simp*]:
assumes *arr f*
shows *f \frown src f* **and** *src f \frown f*

using *assms src-in-sources con-sym* **by** *blast+*

lemma *resid-src-arr* [*simp*]:
assumes *arr f*
shows $src\ f \setminus f = trg\ f$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: con-imp-coinitial resid-ide(2)*)

lemma *resid-arr-src* [*simp*]:
assumes *arr f*
shows $f \setminus src\ f = f$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: resid-arr-ide*)

end

2.1.5 Extensional RTS

An *extensional* RTS is an RTS in which all arrows have trivial congruence classes; that is, congruent arrows are equal.

locale *extensional-rts* = *rts* +
assumes *extensional: t ~ u ==> t = u*
begin

sublocale *weakly-extensional-rts*
using *extensional*
by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma *cong-char*:
shows $t \sim u \iff arr\ t \wedge t = u$
by (*metis arrI cong-reflexive prfx-implies-con extensional*)

end

2.1.6 Composites of Transitions

Residuation can be used to define a notion of composite of transitions. Composites are not unique, but they are unique up to congruence.

context *rts*
begin

definition *composite-of*
where $composite-of\ u\ t\ v \equiv u \lesssim v \wedge v \setminus u \sim t$

lemma *composite-ofI* [*intro*]:
assumes $u \lesssim v$ **and** $v \setminus u \sim t$
shows $composite-of\ u\ t\ v$
using *assms composite-of-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *composite-ofE* [*elim*]:
assumes *composite-of u t v*
and $\llbracket u \lesssim v; v \setminus u \sim t \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
using *assms composite-of-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *arr-composite-of*:
assumes *composite-of u t v*
shows *arr v*
using *assms*
by (*meson composite-of-def con-implies-arr(2) prfx-implies-con*)

lemma *composite-of-unq-upto-cong*:
assumes *composite-of u t v* **and** *composite-of u t v'*
shows $v \sim v'$
using *assms cube ide-backward-stable prfx-transitive*
by (*elim composite-ofE*) *metis*

lemma *composite-of-ide-arr*:
assumes *ide a*
shows *composite-of a t t* \longleftrightarrow $t \frown a$
using *assms*
by (*metis composite-of-def con-implies-arr(1) con-sym resid-arr-ide resid-ide-arr prfx-implies-con prfx-reflexive*)

lemma *composite-of-arr-ide*:
assumes *ide b*
shows *composite-of t b t* \longleftrightarrow $t \setminus t \frown b$
using *assms*
by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con composite-of-def ide-imp-con-iff-cong con-implies-arr(1) prfx-implies-con prfx-reflexive*)

lemma *composite-of-source-arr*:
assumes *arr t* **and** $a \in \text{sources } t$
shows *composite-of a t t*
using *assms composite-of-ide-arr sources-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *composite-of-arr-target*:
assumes *arr t* **and** $b \in \text{targets } t$
shows *composite-of t b t*
by (*metis arrE assms composite-of-arr-ide in-sourcesE sources-resid*)

lemma *composite-of-ide-self*:
assumes *ide a*
shows *composite-of a a a*
using *assms composite-of-ide-arr* **by** *blast*

lemma *con-prfx-composite-of*:

assumes *composite-of t u w*
shows $t \frown w$ **and** $w \frown v \implies t \frown v$
using *assms apply force*
using *assms composite-of-def con-target prfx-implies-con*
resid-reflects-con con-sym
by *meson*

lemma *sources-composite-of:*
assumes *composite-of u t v*
shows *sources v = sources u*
using *assms*
by (*meson arr-resid-iff-con composite-of-def con-imp-coinitial cong-implies-coinitial*
coinitial-iff)

lemma *targets-composite-of:*
assumes *composite-of u t v*
shows *targets v = targets t*
proof –
have *targets t = targets (v \ u)*
using *assms composite-of-def*
by (*meson cong-implies-coterminal coterminal-iff*)
also have $\dots = \text{targets } (u \setminus v)$
using *assms targets-resid-sym con-prfx-composite-of* **by** *metis*
also have $\dots = \text{targets } v$
using *assms composite-of-def*
by (*metis prfx-implies-con sources-resid ideE*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *resid-composite-of:*
assumes *composite-of t u w* **and** $w \frown v$
shows $v \setminus t \frown w \setminus t$
and $v \setminus t \frown u$
and $v \setminus w \sim (v \setminus t) \setminus u$
and *composite-of (t \ v) (u \ (v \ t)) (w \ v)*
proof –
show *0: v \ t \frown w \ t*
using *assms con-def*
by (*metis con-target composite-ofE conE con-sym cube*)
show *1: v \ w \sim (v \ t) \setminus u*
proof –
have $v \setminus w = (v \setminus w) \setminus (t \setminus w)$
using *assms composite-of-def*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) con-target con-sym resid-arr-ide*)
also have $\dots = (v \setminus t) \setminus (w \setminus t)$
using *assms cube* **by** *metis*
also have $\dots \sim (v \setminus t) \setminus u$
using *assms 0 cong-subst-right(2) [of w \ t u v \ t]* **by** *blast*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed
show $2: v \setminus t \frown u$
using *assms 1* **by** *force*
show *composite-of* $(t \setminus v) (u \setminus (v \setminus t)) (w \setminus v)$
proof (*unfold composite-of-def, intro conjI*)
show $t \setminus v \lesssim w \setminus v$
using *assms cube con-target composite-of-def resid-ide-arr* **by** *metis*
show $(w \setminus v) \setminus (t \setminus v) \lesssim u \setminus (v \setminus t)$
by (*metis assms(1) 2 composite-ofE con-sym cong-subst-left(2) cube*)
thus $u \setminus (v \setminus t) \lesssim (w \setminus v) \setminus (t \setminus v)$
using *assms*
by (*metis composite-of-def con-implies-arr(2) cong-subst-left(2)*
prfx-implies-con arr-resid-iff-con cube)
qed
qed

lemma *con-composite-of-iff*:
assumes *composite-of t u v*
shows $w \frown v \longleftrightarrow w \setminus t \frown u$
by (*meson arr-resid-iff-con assms composite-ofE con-def con-implies-arr(1)*
con-sym-ax cong-subst-right(1) resid-composite-of(2) resid-reflects-con)

definition *composable*
where *composable t u* $\equiv \exists v. \text{composite-of } t \ u \ v$

lemma *composableD* [*dest*]:
assumes *composable t u*
shows *arr t and arr u and targets t = sources u*
using *assms arr-composite-of arr-iff-has-source composable-def sources-composite-of*
arr-composite-of arr-iff-has-target composable-def targets-composite-of
apply *auto[2]*
by (*metis assms composable-def composite-ofE con-prfx-composite-of(1) con-sym*
cong-implies-coinitial coinitial-iff sources-resid)

lemma *composable-imp-seq*:
assumes *composable t u*
shows *seq t u*
using *assms* **by** *blast*

lemma *bounded-imp-con*:
assumes *composite-of t u v and composite-of t' u' v*
shows *con t t'*
by (*meson assms composite-of-def con-prfx-composite-of prfx-implies-con*
arr-resid-iff-con con-implies-arr(2))

lemma *composite-of-cancel-left*:
assumes *composite-of t u v and composite-of t u' v*
shows $u \sim u'$
using *assms composite-of-def cong-transitive* **by** *blast*

end

RTS with Composites

locale *rts-with-composites* = *rts* +
assumes *has-composites*: $\text{seq } t \ u \implies \text{composable } t \ u$
begin

lemma *composable-iff-seq*:
shows $\text{composable } g \ f \longleftrightarrow \text{seq } g \ f$
using *composable-imp-seq has-composites* **by** *blast*

lemma *composableI* [*intro*]:
assumes $\text{seq } g \ f$
shows $\text{composable } g \ f$
using *assms composable-iff-seq* **by** *auto*

lemma *composableE* [*elim*]:
assumes $\text{composable } g \ f$ **and** $\text{seq } g \ f \implies T$
shows T
using *assms composable-iff-seq* **by** *blast*

lemma *obtains-composite-of*:
assumes $\text{seq } g \ f$
obtains h **where** $\text{composite-of } g \ f \ h$
using *assms has-composites composable-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *diamond-commutes-upto-cong*:
assumes $\text{composite-of } t \ (u \setminus t) \ v$ **and** $\text{composite-of } u \ (t \setminus u) \ v'$
shows $v \sim v'$
using *assms cube ide-backward-stable prfx-transitive*
by (*elim composite-ofE*) *metis*

end

2.1.7 Joins of Transitions

context *rts*
begin

Transition v is a *join* of u and v when v is the diagonal of the square formed by u , v , and their residuals. As was the case for composites, joins in an RTS are not unique, but they are unique up to congruence.

definition *join-of*
where $\text{join-of } t \ u \ v \equiv \text{composite-of } t \ (u \setminus t) \ v \wedge \text{composite-of } u \ (t \setminus u) \ v$

lemma *join-ofI* [*intro*]:
assumes $\text{composite-of } t \ (u \setminus t) \ v$ **and** $\text{composite-of } u \ (t \setminus u) \ v$

shows *join-of t u v*
using *assms join-of-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *join-ofE [elim]*:
assumes *join-of t u v*
and $\llbracket \text{composite-of } t \ (u \setminus t) \ v; \text{ composite-of } u \ (t \setminus u) \ v \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
using *assms join-of-def* **by** *simp*

definition *joinable*
where *joinable t u* $\equiv \exists v. \text{ join-of } t \ u \ v$

lemma *joinable-implies-con*:
assumes *joinable t u*
shows $t \frown u$
by (*meson assms bounded-imp-con join-of-def joinable-def*)

lemma *joinable-implies-coinitial*:
assumes *joinable t u*
shows *coinitial t u*
using *assms*
by (*simp add: con-imp-coinitial joinable-implies-con*)

lemma *join-of-un-upto-cong*:
assumes *join-of t u v* **and** *join-of t u v'*
shows $v \sim v'$
using *assms join-of-def composite-of-unq-upto-cong* **by** *auto*

lemma *join-of-symmetric*:
assumes *join-of t u v*
shows *join-of u t v*
using *assms join-of-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *join-of-arr-self*:
assumes *arr t*
shows *join-of t t t*
by (*meson assms composite-of-arr-ide ideE join-of-def prfx-reflexive*)

lemma *join-of-arr-src*:
assumes *arr t* **and** $a \in \text{sources } t$
shows *join-of a t t* **and** *join-of t a t*
proof –
show *join-of a t t*
by (*meson assms composite-of-arr-target composite-of-def composite-of-source-arr join-of-def prfx-transitive resid-source-in-targets*)
thus *join-of t a t*
using *join-of-symmetric* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *sources-join-of*:
assumes *join-of t u v*
shows *sources t = sources v and sources u = sources v*
using *assms join-of-def sources-composite-of* **by** *blast+*

lemma *targets-join-of*:
assumes *join-of t u v*
shows *targets (t \ u) = targets v and targets (u \ t) = targets v*
using *assms join-of-def targets-composite-of* **by** *blast+*

lemma *join-of-resid*:
assumes *join-of t u w and con v w*
shows *join-of (t \ v) (u \ v) (w \ v)*
using *assms con-sym cube join-of-def resid-composite-of(4)* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *con-with-join-of-iff*:
assumes *join-of t u w*
shows $u \frown v \wedge v \setminus u \frown t \setminus u \implies w \frown v$
and $w \frown v \implies t \frown v \wedge v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t$
proof –
have *: $t \frown v \wedge v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t \iff u \frown v \wedge v \setminus u \frown t \setminus u$
by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con con-implies-arr(1) con-sym cube*)
show $u \frown v \wedge v \setminus u \frown t \setminus u \implies w \frown v$
by (*meson assms con-composite-of-iff con-sym join-of-def*)
show $w \frown v \implies t \frown v \wedge v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t$
by (*meson assms con-prfx-composite-of join-of-def resid-composite-of(2)*)
qed

end

RTS with Joins

locale *rts-with-joins* = *rts* +
assumes *has-joins: t \ u \implies joinable t u*

2.1.8 Joins and Composites in a Weakly Extensional RTS

context *weakly-extensional-rts*
begin

lemma *src-composite-of*:
assumes *composite-of u t v*
shows $src v = src u$
using *assms*
by (*metis con-imp-eq-src con-prfx-composite-of(1)*)

lemma *trg-composite-of*:
assumes *composite-of u t v*
shows $trg v = trg t$
by (*metis arr-composite-of arr-has-un-target arr-iff-has-target assms*)

targets-composite-of trg-in-targets)

lemma *src-join-of*:
assumes *join-of t u v*
shows $\text{src } t = \text{src } v$ **and** $\text{src } u = \text{src } v$
by (*metis assms join-ofE src-composite-of*)+

lemma *trg-join-of*:
assumes *join-of t u v*
shows $\text{trg } (t \setminus u) = \text{trg } v$ **and** $\text{trg } (u \setminus t) = \text{trg } v$
by (*metis assms join-of-def trg-composite-of*)+

end

2.1.9 Joins and Composites in an Extensional RTS

context *extensional-rts*
begin

lemma *composite-of-unique*:
assumes *composite-of t u v* **and** *composite-of t u v'*
shows $v = v'$
using *assms composite-of-unq-upto-cong extensional* **by** *fastforce*

Here we define composition of transitions. Note that we compose transitions in diagram order, rather than in the order used for function composition. This may eventually lead to confusion, but here (unlike in the case of a category) transitions are typically not functions, so we don't have the constraint of having to conform to the order of function application and composition, and diagram order seems more natural.

definition *comp* (**infixr** · 55)
where $t \cdot u \equiv$ *if composable t u then THE v. composite-of t u v else null*

lemma *comp-is-composite-of*:
shows *composable t u* \implies *composite-of t u (t · u)*
and *composite-of t u v* \implies $t \cdot u = v$
proof –
show *composable t u* \implies *composite-of t u (t · u)*
using *comp-def composite-of-unique the1I2 [of composite-of t u composite-of t u]*
composable-def
by *metis*
thus *composite-of t u v* \implies $t \cdot u = v$
using *composite-of-unique composable-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *comp-null [simp]*:
shows $\text{null} \cdot t = \text{null}$ **and** $t \cdot \text{null} = \text{null}$
by (*meson composableD not-arr-null comp-def*)+

lemma *composable-iff-arr-comp*:

shows $\text{composable } t \ u \longleftrightarrow \text{arr } (t \cdot u)$
by (*metis arr-composite-of comp-is-composite-of(2) composable-def comp-def not-arr-null*)

lemma *composable-iff-comp-not-null*:
shows $\text{composable } t \ u \longleftrightarrow t \cdot u \neq \text{null}$
by (*metis composable-iff-arr-comp comp-def not-arr-null*)

lemma *comp-src-arr* [*simp*]:
assumes $\text{arr } t$ **and** $\text{src } t = a$
shows $a \cdot t = t$
using *assms comp-is-composite-of(2) composite-of-source-arr src-in-sources* **by** *blast*

lemma *comp-arr-trg* [*simp*]:
assumes $\text{arr } t$ **and** $\text{trg } t = b$
shows $t \cdot b = t$
using *assms comp-is-composite-of(2) composite-of-arr-target trg-in-targets* **by** *blast*

lemma *comp-ide-self*:
assumes *ide a*
shows $a \cdot a = a$
using *assms comp-is-composite-of(2) composite-of-ide-self* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *arr-comp* [*intro, simp*]:
assumes $\text{composable } t \ u$
shows $\text{arr } (t \cdot u)$
using *assms composable-iff-arr-comp* **by** *blast*

lemma *trg-comp* [*simp*]:
assumes $\text{composable } t \ u$
shows $\text{trg } (t \cdot u) = \text{trg } u$
by (*metis arr-has-un-target assms comp-is-composite-of(2) composable-def composable-imp-seq arr-iff-has-target seq-def targets-composite-of trg-in-targets*)

lemma *src-comp* [*simp*]:
assumes $\text{composable } t \ u$
shows $\text{src } (t \cdot u) = \text{src } t$
using *assms comp-is-composite-of arr-iff-has-source sources-composite-of src-def composable-def*
by *auto*

lemma *con-comp-iff*:
shows $w \frown t \cdot u \longleftrightarrow \text{composable } t \ u \wedge w \setminus t \frown u$
by (*meson comp-is-composite-of(1) composable-iff-arr-comp con-composite-of-iff con-implies-arr(2)*)

lemma *con-compI* [*intro*]:
assumes $\text{composable } t \ u$ **and** $w \setminus t \frown u$
shows $w \frown t \cdot u$ **and** $t \cdot u \frown w$
using *assms con-comp-iff con-sym* **by** *blast+*

lemma *resid-comp*:
assumes $t \cdot u \frown w$
shows $w \setminus (t \cdot u) = (w \setminus t) \setminus u$
and $(t \cdot u) \setminus w = (t \setminus w) \cdot (u \setminus (w \setminus t))$
proof –
 have 1: *composable* $t \ u$
 using *assms composable-iff-comp-not-null* **by** *force*
 show $w \setminus (t \cdot u) = (w \setminus t) \setminus u$
 using 1
 by (*meson assms cong-char composable-def resid-composite-of(3) comp-is-composite-of(1)*)
 show $(t \cdot u) \setminus w = (t \setminus w) \cdot (u \setminus (w \setminus t))$
 using *assms 1 composable-def comp-is-composite-of(2) resid-composite-of*
 by *metis*
qed

lemma *prfx-decomp*:
assumes $t \lesssim u$
shows $t \cdot (u \setminus t) = u$
 by (*meson assms arr-resid-iff-con comp-is-composite-of(2) composite-of-def con-sym cong-reflexive prfx-implies-con*)

lemma *prfx-comp*:
assumes *arr* u **and** $t \cdot v = u$
shows $t \lesssim u$
 by (*metis assms comp-is-composite-of(2) composable-def composable-iff-arr-comp composite-of-def*)

lemma *comp-eqI*:
assumes $t \lesssim v$ **and** $u = v \setminus t$
shows $t \cdot u = v$
 by (*metis assms prfx-decomp*)

lemma *comp-assoc*:
assumes *composable* $(t \cdot u) \ v$
shows $t \cdot (u \cdot v) = (t \cdot u) \cdot v$
proof –
 have 1: $t \lesssim (t \cdot u) \cdot v$
 by (*meson assms composable-iff-arr-comp composableD prfx-comp prfx-transitive*)
 moreover **have** $((t \cdot u) \cdot v) \setminus t = u \cdot v$
 proof –
 have $((t \cdot u) \cdot v) \setminus t = ((t \cdot u) \setminus t) \cdot (v \setminus (t \setminus (t \cdot u)))$
 by (*meson assms calculation con-sym prfx-implies-con resid-comp(2)*)
 also **have** $\dots = u \cdot v$
 proof –
 have 2: $(t \cdot u) \setminus t = u$
 by (*metis assms comp-is-composite-of(2) composable-def composable-iff-arr-comp composable-imp-seq composite-of-def extensional seqE*)

moreover have $v \setminus (t \setminus (t \cdot u)) = v$
using *assms*
by (*meson 1 con-comp-iff con-sym composable-imp-seq resid-arr-ide*
prfx-implies-con prfx-comp seqE)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
ultimately show $t \cdot (u \cdot v) = (t \cdot u) \cdot v$
by (*metis comp-eqI*)
qed

We note the following assymetry: *composable* $(t \cdot u) v \implies$ *composable* $u v$ is true, but *composable* $t (u \cdot v) \implies$ *composable* $t u$ is not.

lemma *comp-cancel-left*:
assumes *arr* $(t \cdot u)$ **and** $t \cdot u = t \cdot v$
shows $u = v$
using *assms*
by (*metis composable-def composable-iff-arr-comp composite-of-cancel-left extensional*
comp-is-composite-of(2))

lemma *comp-resid-prfx* [*simp*]:
assumes *arr* $(t \cdot u)$
shows $(t \cdot u) \setminus t = u$
using *assms*
by (*metis comp-cancel-left comp-eqI prfx-comp*)

lemma *bounded-imp-conE*:
assumes $t \cdot u \sim t' \cdot u'$
shows $t \frown t'$
by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con assms con-comp-iff con-implies-arr(2) prfx-implies-con*
con-sym)

lemma *join-of-unique*:
assumes *join-of* $t u v$ **and** *join-of* $t u v'$
shows $v = v'$
using *assms join-of-def composite-of-unique* **by** *blast*

definition *join* (**infix** \sqcup 52)
where $t \sqcup u \equiv$ *if joinable* $t u$ *then THE* v . *join-of* $t u v$ *else null*

lemma *join-is-join-of*:
assumes *joinable* $t u$
shows *join-of* $t u (t \sqcup u)$
using *assms joinable-def join-def join-of-unique the1I2* [*of join-of* $t u$ *join-of* $t u$]
by *force*

lemma *joinable-iff-arr-join*:
shows *joinable* $t u \iff$ *arr* $(t \sqcup u)$

by (*metis cong-char join-is-join-of join-of-un-upto-cong not-arr-null join-def*)

lemma *joinable-iff-join-not-null*:

shows $joinable\ t\ u \iff t \sqcup u \neq null$

by (*metis join-def joinable-iff-arr-join not-arr-null*)

lemma *join-sym*:

shows $t \sqcup u = u \sqcup t$

by (*metis extensional-rts.join-def extensional-rts.join-of-unique extensional-rts-axioms join-is-join-of join-of-symmetric joinable-def*)

lemma *src-join*:

assumes *joinable t u*

shows $src\ (t \sqcup u) = src\ t$

using *assms*

by (*metis con-imp-eq-src con-prfx-composite-of(1) join-is-join-of join-of-def*)

lemma *trg-join*:

assumes *joinable t u*

shows $trg\ (t \sqcup u) = trg\ (t \setminus u)$

using *assms*

by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con join-is-join-of joinable-iff-arr-join joinable-implies-con in-targetsE src-eqI targets-join-of(1) trg-in-targets*)

lemma *resid-join_E [simp]*:

assumes *joinable t u* and $v \frown t \sqcup u$

shows $v \setminus (t \sqcup u) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

and $v \setminus (t \sqcup u) = (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)$

and $(t \sqcup u) \setminus v = (t \setminus v) \sqcup (u \setminus v)$

proof –

show 1: $v \setminus (t \sqcup u) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

by (*meson assms con-sym join-of-def resid-composite-of(3) extensional join-is-join-of*)

show $v \setminus (t \sqcup u) = (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)$

by (*metis 1 cube*)

show $(t \sqcup u) \setminus v = (t \setminus v) \sqcup (u \setminus v)$

using *assms joinable-def join-of-resid join-is-join-of extensional*

by (*meson join-of-unique*)

qed

lemma *join-eqI*:

assumes $t \lesssim v$ and $u \lesssim v$ and $v \setminus u = t \setminus u$ and $v \setminus t = u \setminus t$

shows $t \sqcup u = v$

using *assms composite-of-def cube ideE join-of-def joinable-def join-of-unique join-is-join-of trg-def*

by *metis*

lemma *comp-join*:

assumes *joinable (t · u) (t · u')*

shows *composable t (u · u')*

and $t \cdot (u \sqcup u') = t \cdot u \sqcup t \cdot u'$
proof –
have $t \lesssim t \cdot u \sqcup t \cdot u'$
using *assms*
by (*metis composable-def composite-of-def join-of-def join-is-join-of*
joinable-implies-con prfx-transitive comp-is-composite-of(2) con-comp-iff)
moreover have $(t \cdot u \sqcup t \cdot u') \setminus t = u \sqcup u'$
by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con assms calculation comp-resid-prfx con-implies-arr(2)*
joinable-implies-con resid-join_E(3) con-implies-arr(1) ide-implies-arr)
ultimately show $t \cdot (u \sqcup u') = t \cdot u \sqcup t \cdot u'$
by (*metis comp-eqI*)
thus composable $t (u \sqcup u')$
by (*metis assms joinable-iff-join-not-null comp-def*)
qed

lemma *join-src*:
assumes *arr t*
shows $\text{src } t \sqcup t = t$
using *assms joinable-def join-of-arr-src join-is-join-of join-of-unique src-in-sources*
by *meson*

lemma *join-arr-self*:
assumes *arr t*
shows $t \sqcup t = t$
using *assms joinable-def join-of-arr-self join-is-join-of join-of-unique* **by** *blast*

lemma *arr-prfx-join-self*:
assumes *joinable t u*
shows $t \lesssim t \sqcup u$
using *assms*
by (*meson composite-of-def join-is-join-of join-of-def*)

lemma *con-prfx*:
shows $\llbracket t \frown u; v \lesssim u \rrbracket \implies t \frown v$
and $\llbracket t \frown u; v \lesssim t \rrbracket \implies v \frown u$
apply (*metis arr-resid con-arr-src(1) ide-iff-src-self prfx-implies-con resid-reflects-con*
src-resid)
by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con comp-eqI con-comp-iff con-implies-arr(1) con-sym*)

lemma *join-prfx*:
assumes $t \lesssim u$
shows $t \sqcup u = u$ **and** $u \sqcup t = u$
proof –
show $t \sqcup u = u$
using *assms*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) join-eqI ide-iff-src-self ide-implies-arr resid-arr-self*
prfx-implies-con src-resid)
thus $u \sqcup t = u$
by (*metis join-sym*)

qed

lemma *con-with-join-if* [*intro, simp*]:

assumes *joinable t u* and $u \frown v$ and $v \setminus u \frown t \setminus u$

shows $t \sqcup u \frown v$

and $v \frown t \sqcup u$

proof –

show $t \sqcup u \frown v$

using *assms con-with-join-of-iff* [*of t u join t u v*] *join-is-join-of* by *simp*

thus $v \frown t \sqcup u$

using *assms con-sym* by *blast*

qed

lemma *join-assocE*:

assumes *arr* $((t \sqcup u) \sqcup v)$ and *arr* $(t \sqcup (u \sqcup v))$

shows $(t \sqcup u) \sqcup v = t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)$

proof (*intro join-eqI*)

have *tu*: *joinable t u*

by (*metis arr-src-iff-arr assms(1) joinable-iff-arr-join src-join*)

have *uv*: *joinable u v*

by (*metis assms(2) joinable-iff-arr-join joinable-iff-join-not-null joinable-implies-con not-con-null(2)*)

have *tu-v*: *joinable (t \sqcup u) v*

by (*simp add: assms(1) joinable-iff-arr-join*)

have *t-uv*: *joinable t (u \sqcup v)*

by (*simp add: assms(2) joinable-iff-arr-join*)

show 0 : $t \sqcup u \lesssim t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)$

proof –

have $(t \sqcup u) \setminus (t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)) = ((u \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)) \setminus ((v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t))$

proof –

have $(t \sqcup u) \setminus (t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)) = ((t \sqcup u) \setminus t) \setminus ((u \sqcup v) \setminus t)$

by (*metis t-uv tu arr-prfx-join-self conI con-with-join-if(2) join-sym joinable-iff-join-not-null not-ide-null resid-joinE(2)*)

also have $\dots = (t \setminus t \sqcup u \setminus t) \setminus ((u \sqcup v) \setminus t)$

by (*simp add: tu con-sym joinable-implies-con*)

also have $\dots = (t \setminus t \sqcup u \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t \sqcup v \setminus t)$

by (*simp add: t-uv uv joinable-implies-con*)

also have $\dots = (u \setminus t) \setminus \text{join } (u \setminus t) (v \setminus t)$

by (*metis tu con-implies-arr(1) cong-subst-left(2) cube join-eqI join-sym joinable-iff-join-not-null joinable-implies-con prfx-reflexive trg-def trg-join*)

also have $\dots = ((u \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)) \setminus ((v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t))$

proof –

have 1 : *joinable (u \setminus t) (v \setminus t)*

by (*metis t-uv uv con-sym joinable-iff-join-not-null joinable-implies-con resid-joinE(3) conE*)

moreover have $u \setminus t \frown u \setminus t \sqcup v \setminus t$

using *arr-prfx-join-self 1 prfx-implies-con* by *blast*

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *resid-joinE(2)* [*of u \setminus t v \setminus t u \setminus t*] by *blast*

qed
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have *ide* ...
by (*metis tu-v tu arr-resid-iff-con con-sym cube joinable-implies-con prfx-reflexive resid-join_E(2)*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
show $1: v \lesssim t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)$
by (*metis arr-prfx-join-self join-sym joinable-iff-join-not-null prfx-transitive t-uv uv*)
show $(t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)) \setminus v = (t \sqcup u) \setminus v$
proof –
have $(t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)) \setminus v = t \setminus v \sqcup (u \sqcup v) \setminus v$
by (*metis 1 assms(2) join-def not-arr-null resid-join_E(3) prfx-implies-con*)
also have $\dots = t \setminus v \sqcup (u \setminus v \sqcup v \setminus v)$
by (*metis 1 conE conI con-sym join-def resid-join_E(1) resid-join_E(3) null-is-zero(2) prfx-implies-con*)
also have $\dots = t \setminus v \sqcup u \setminus v$
by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con con-sym cube cong-char join-prfx(2) joinable-implies-con uv*)
also have $\dots = (t \sqcup u) \setminus v$
by (*metis 0 1 con-implies-arr(1) con-prfx(1) joinable-iff-arr-join resid-join_E(3) prfx-implies-con*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
show $(t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)) \setminus (t \sqcup u) = v \setminus (t \sqcup u)$
proof –
have $2: (t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)) \setminus (t \sqcup u) = t \setminus (t \sqcup u) \sqcup (u \sqcup v) \setminus (t \sqcup u)$
by (*metis 0 assms(2) join-def not-arr-null resid-join_E(3) prfx-implies-con*)
also have $3: \dots = (t \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \sqcup (u \sqcup v) \setminus (t \sqcup u)$
by (*metis tu arr-prfx-join-self prfx-implies-con resid-join_E(2)*)
also have $4: \dots = (u \sqcup v) \setminus (t \sqcup u)$
proof –
have $(t \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = \text{src} ((u \sqcup v) \setminus (t \sqcup u))$
using *src-resid trg-join*
by (*metis (full-types) t-uv tu 0 arr-resid-iff-con con-implies-arr(1) con-sym cube prfx-implies-con resid-join_E(1) trg-def*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis tu arr-prfx-join-self conE join-src prfx-implies-con resid-join_E(2) src-def*)
qed
also have $\dots = u \setminus (t \sqcup u) \sqcup v \setminus (t \sqcup u)$
by (*metis 0 2 3 4 uv conI con-sym-ax not-ide-null resid-join_E(3)*)
also have $\dots = (u \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) \sqcup v \setminus (t \sqcup u)$
by (*metis tu arr-prfx-join-self join-sym joinable-iff-join-not-null prfx-implies-con resid-join_E(1)*)
also have $\dots = v \setminus (t \sqcup u)$
proof –
have $(u \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) = \text{src} (v \setminus (t \sqcup u))$
by (*metis tu-v tu con-sym cube joinable-implies-con src-resid trg-def trg-join apex-sym*)

thus *?thesis*
using *tu-v arr-resid-iff-con con-sym join-src joinable-implies-con*
by *presburger*
qed
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *join-prfx-monotone*:

assumes $t \lesssim u$ **and** $u \sqcup v \frown t \sqcup v$

shows $t \sqcup v \lesssim u \sqcup v$

proof –

have $(t \sqcup v) \setminus (u \sqcup v) = (t \setminus u) \setminus (v \setminus u)$

proof –

have $(t \sqcup v) \setminus (u \sqcup v) = t \setminus (u \sqcup v) \sqcup v \setminus (u \sqcup v)$

using *assms join-sym resid-join_E(3) [of t v join u v] joinable-iff-join-not-null*

by *fastforce*

also have $\dots = (t \setminus u) \setminus (v \setminus u) \sqcup (v \setminus u) \setminus (v \setminus u)$

by (*metis (full-types) assms(2) conE conI joinable-iff-join-not-null null-is-zero(1) resid-join_E(1–2) con-sym-ax*)

also have $\dots = (t \setminus u) \setminus (v \setminus u) \sqcup \text{trg } (v \setminus u)$

using *trg-def* **by** *fastforce*

also have $\dots = (t \setminus u) \setminus (v \setminus u) \sqcup \text{src } ((t \setminus u) \setminus (v \setminus u))$

by (*metis assms(1–2) con-implies-arr(1) con-target joinable-iff-arr-join joinable-implies-con src-resid*)

also have $\dots = (t \setminus u) \setminus (v \setminus u)$

by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con assms(2) con-implies-arr(1) con-sym join-def join-src join-sym not-arr-null resid-join_E(2)*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

moreover have *ide ...*

by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con assms(1–2) calculation con-sym resid-ide-arr*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *presburger*

qed

lemma *join-eqI'*:

assumes $t \lesssim v$ **and** $u \lesssim v$ **and** $v \setminus u = t \setminus u$ **and** $v \setminus t = u \setminus t$

shows $v = t \sqcup u$

using *assms composite-of-def cube ideE join-of-def joinable-def join-of-unique join-is-join-of trg-def*

by *metis*

We note that it is not the case that the existence of either of $t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)$ or $(t \sqcup u) \sqcup v$ implies that of the other. For example, if $(t \sqcup u) \sqcup v \neq \text{null}$, then it is not necessarily the case that $u \sqcup v \neq \text{null}$.

end

Extensional RTS with Joins

locale *extensional-rts-with-joins* =
rts-with-joins +
extensional-rts
begin

lemma *joinable-iff-con* [*iff*]:
shows *joinable t u* \longleftrightarrow $t \frown u$
by (*meson has-joins joinable-implies-con*)

lemma *joinableE* [*elim*]:
assumes *joinable t u* **and** $t \frown u \implies T$
shows T
using *assms joinable-iff-con* **by** *blast*

lemma *src-join_{EJ}* [*simp*]:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $\text{src } (t \sqcup u) = \text{src } t$
using *assms*
by (*meson has-joins src-join*)

lemma *trg-join_{EJ}*:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $\text{trg } (t \sqcup u) = \text{trg } (t \setminus u)$
using *assms*
by (*meson has-joins trg-join*)

lemma *resid-join_{EJ}* [*simp*]:
assumes $t \frown u$ **and** $v \frown t \sqcup u$
shows $v \setminus (t \sqcup u) = (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)$
and $(t \sqcup u) \setminus v = (t \setminus v) \sqcup (u \setminus v)$
using *assms has-joins resid-join_E [of t u v]* **by** *blast+*

lemma *join-assoc*:
shows $t \sqcup (u \sqcup v) = (t \sqcup u) \sqcup v$
proof –
have *: $\bigwedge t u v. \text{con } (t \sqcup u) v \implies t \sqcup (u \sqcup v) = (t \sqcup u) \sqcup v$
proof –
fix $t u v$
assume $1: \text{con } (t \sqcup u) v$
have *vt-ut*: $v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t$
using 1
by (*metis con-with-join-of-iff(2) join-def join-is-join-of not-con-null(1)*)
have *tv-uv*: $t \setminus v \frown u \setminus v$
using *vt-ut cube con-sym*
by (*metis arr-resid-iff-con*)
have $2: (t \sqcup u) \sqcup v = (t \cdot (u \setminus t)) \cdot (v \setminus (t \cdot (u \setminus t)))$
using 1
by (*metis comp-is-composite-of(2) con-implies-arr(1) has-joins join-is-join-of*)

$join\text{-of-def}\ joinable\text{-iff-arr-join}$
also have $\dots = t \cdot ((u \setminus t) \cdot (v \setminus (t \cdot (u \setminus t))))$
using 1
by (*metis calculation has-joins joinable-iff-join-not-null comp-assoc comp-def*)
also have $\dots = t \cdot ((u \setminus t) \cdot ((v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)))$
using 1
by (*metis 2 comp-null(2) con-compI(2) con-comp-iff has-joins resid-comp(1) conI joinable-iff-join-not-null*)
also have $\dots = t \cdot ((v \setminus t) \sqcup (u \setminus t))$
by (*metis vt-ut comp-is-composite-of(2) has-joins join-of-def join-is-join-of*)
also have $\dots = t \cdot ((u \setminus t) \sqcup (v \setminus t))$
using *join-sym* **by** *metis*
also have $\dots = t \cdot ((u \sqcup v) \setminus t)$
by (*metis tv-uv vt-ut con-implies-arr(2) con-sym con-with-join-of-iff(1) has-joins join-is-join-of arr-resid-iff-con resid-join_E(3)*)
also have $\dots = t \sqcup (u \sqcup v)$
by (*metis comp-is-composite-of(2) comp-null(2) conI has-joins join-is-join-of join-of-def joinable-iff-join-not-null*)
finally show $t \sqcup (u \sqcup v) = (t \sqcup u) \sqcup v$
by *simp*
qed
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis (full-types) has-joins joinable-iff-join-not-null joinable-implies-con con-sym*)
qed

lemma *join-is-lub*:

assumes $t \lesssim v$ **and** $u \lesssim v$

shows $t \sqcup u \lesssim v$

proof –

have $(t \sqcup u) \setminus v = (t \setminus v) \sqcup (u \setminus v)$

using *assms resid-join_E(3) [of t u v]*

by (*metis arr-prfx-join-self con-target con-sym join-assoc joinable-iff-con joinable-iff-join-not-null prfx-implies-con resid-reflects-con*)

also have $\dots = \text{trg } v \sqcup \text{trg } v$

using *assms*

by (*metis ideE prfx-implies-con src-resid trg-ide*)

also have $\dots = \text{trg } v$

by (*metis assms(2) ide-iff-src-self ide-implies-arr join-arr-self prfx-implies-con src-resid*)

finally have $(t \sqcup u) \setminus v = \text{trg } v$ **by** *blast*

moreover have *ide (trg v)*

using *assms*

by (*metis con-implies-arr(2) prfx-implies-con cong-char trg-def*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

end

Extensional RTS with Composites

If an extensional RTS is assumed to have composites for all composable pairs of transitions, then the “semantic” property of transitions being composable can be replaced by the “syntactic” property of transitions being sequential. This results in simpler statements of a number of properties.

```

locale extensional-rts-with-composites =
  rts-with-composites +
  extensional-rts
begin

lemma seq-implies-arr-comp:
assumes seq t u
shows arr (t · u)
  using assms
  by (meson composable-iff-arr-comp composable-iff-seq)

lemma arr-compEC [intro, simp]:
assumes arr t and arr u and trg t = src u
shows arr (t · u)
  using assms
  by (simp add: seq-implies-arr-comp)

lemma arr-compEEC [elim]:
assumes arr (t · u)
and  $\llbracket \text{arr } t; \text{arr } u; \text{trg } t = \text{src } u \rrbracket \implies T$ 
shows T
  using assms composable-iff-arr-comp composable-iff-seq by blast

lemma trg-compEC [simp]:
assumes seq t u
shows trg (t · u) = trg u
  by (meson assms has-composites trg-comp)

lemma src-compEC [simp]:
assumes seq t u
shows src (t · u) = src t
  using assms src-comp has-composites by simp

lemma con-comp-iffEC [simp]:
shows  $w \frown t \cdot u \longleftrightarrow \text{seq } t \ u \wedge u \frown w \setminus t$ 
and  $t \cdot u \frown w \longleftrightarrow \text{seq } t \ u \wedge u \frown w \setminus t$ 
  using composable-iff-seq con-comp-iff con-sym by meson+

lemma comp-assocEC:
shows  $t \cdot (u \cdot v) = (t \cdot u) \cdot v$ 
  apply (cases seq t u)
  apply (metis arr-comp comp-assoc comp-def not-arr-null arr-compEEC arr-compEC seq-implies-arr-comp trg-compEC)

```

by (metis comp-def composable-iff-arr-comp seqI_{WE}(1) src-comp arr-comp_{EC})

lemma diamond-commutes:

shows $t \cdot (u \setminus t) = u \cdot (t \setminus u)$

proof (cases $t \frown u$)

show $\neg t \frown u \implies ?thesis$

by (metis comp-null(2) conI con-sym)

assume con: $t \frown u$

have $(t \cdot (u \setminus t)) \setminus u = (t \setminus u) \cdot ((u \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t))$

using con

by (metis (no-types, lifting) arr-resid-iff-con con-compI(2) con-implies-arr(1) resid-comp(2) con-imp-arr-resid con-sym comp-def arr-comp_{EC} src-resid conI)

moreover have $u \lesssim t \cdot (u \setminus t)$

by (metis arr-resid-iff-con calculation con cong-reflexive comp-arr-trg resid-arr-self resid-comp(1) apex-sym)

ultimately show ?thesis

by (metis comp-eqI con comp-arr-trg resid-arr-self arr-resid apex-sym)

qed

lemma mediating-transition:

assumes $t \cdot v = u \cdot w$

shows $v \setminus (u \setminus t) = w \setminus (t \setminus u)$

proof (cases seq $t v$)

assume 1: seq $t v$

hence 2: arr $(u \cdot w)$

using assms **by** (metis arr-comp_{EC} seq_{WE})

have 3: $v \setminus (u \setminus t) = ((t \cdot v) \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)$

by (metis 2 assms comp-resid-prfx)

also have $\dots = (t \cdot v) \setminus (t \cdot (u \setminus t))$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 assms con-comp-iff_{EC}(2) con-imp-eq-src con-implies-arr(2) con-sym comp-resid-prfx prfx-comp resid-comp(1) arr-comp_{EC} arr-comp_{EC} prfx-implies-con)

also have $\dots = (u \cdot w) \setminus (u \cdot (t \setminus u))$

using assms diamond-commutes **by** presburger

also have $\dots = ((u \cdot w) \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

by (metis 3 assms calculation cube)

also have $\dots = w \setminus (t \setminus u)$

using 2 **by** simp

finally show ?thesis **by** blast

next

assume 1: \neg seq $t v$

have $v \setminus (u \setminus t) = \text{null}$

using 1

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) arr-resid-iff-con coinital-iff_{WE} con-imp-coinital seqI_{WE}(2) src-resid conI)

also have $\dots = w \setminus (t \setminus u)$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 arr-comp_{EC} assms composable-imp-seq con-imp-eq-src con-implies-arr(1) con-implies-arr(2) comp-def not-arr-null conI src-resid)

finally show ?thesis **by** blast

qed

lemma *induced-arrow*:

assumes $seq\ t\ u$ **and** $t \cdot u = t' \cdot u'$

shows $(t' \setminus t) \cdot (u \setminus (t' \setminus t)) = u$

and $(t \setminus t') \cdot (u \setminus (t' \setminus t)) = u'$

and $(t' \setminus t) \cdot v = u \implies v = u \setminus (t' \setminus t)$

apply (*metis* *assms* *comp-eqI* *arr-comp* E_{EC} *prfx-comp* *resid-comp*(1) *arr-resid-iff-con*
seq-implies-arr-comp)

apply (*metis* *assms* *comp-resid-prfx* *arr-comp* E_{EC} *resid-comp*(2) *arr-resid-iff-con*
seq-implies-arr-comp)

by (*metis* *assms*(1) *comp-resid-prfx* *seq-def*)

If an extensional RTS has composites, then it automatically has joins.

sublocale *extensional-rts-with-joins*

proof

fix $t\ u$

assume *con*: $t \frown u$

have 1 : *con* u ($t \cdot (u \setminus t)$)

using *con-compI*(1) [*of* $t\ u \setminus t\ u$]

by (*metis* *con* *con-implies-arr*(1) *con-sym* *diamond-commutes* *prfx-implies-con* *arr-resid*
prfx-comp *src-resid* *arr-comp* E_{EC})

have $t \sqcup u = t \cdot (u \setminus t)$

proof (*intro* *join-eqI*)

show $t \lesssim t \cdot (u \setminus t)$

by (*metis* 1 *composable-def* *comp-is-composite-of*(2) *composite-of-def* *con-comp-iff*)

moreover **show** 2 : $u \lesssim t \cdot (u \setminus t)$

using 1 *arr-resid* *con* *con-sym* *prfx-reflexive* *resid-comp*(1) **by** *metis*

moreover **show** $(t \cdot (u \setminus t)) \setminus u = t \setminus u$

using 1 *diamond-commutes* *induced-arrow*(2) *resid-comp*(2) **by** *force*

ultimately **show** $(t \cdot (u \setminus t)) \setminus t = u \setminus t$

by (*metis* *con-comp-iff* E_{EC} (1) *con-sym* *prfx-implies-con* *resid-comp*(2) *induced-arrow*(1))

qed

thus *joinable* $t\ u$

by (*metis* 1 *con-implies-arr*(2) *joinable-iff-join-not-null* *not-arr-null*)

qed

lemma *comp-join* E_{EC} :

assumes *composable* $t\ u$ **and** *joinable* $u\ u'$

shows *composable* t ($u \sqcup u'$)

and $t \cdot (u \sqcup u') = t \cdot u \sqcup t \cdot u'$

proof –

have 1 : $u \sqcup u' = u \cdot (u' \setminus u) \wedge u \sqcup u' = u' \cdot (u \setminus u')$

using *assms* *joinable-implies-con* *diamond-commutes*

by (*metis* *comp-is-composite-of*(2) *join-is-join-of* *join-ofE*)

show 2 : *composable* t ($u \sqcup u'$)

using *assms* 1 *composable-iff-req* *arr-comp* *src-join* *arr-comp* E_{EC} *joinable-iff-arr-join*
seqI $_{WE}$ (1)

by *metis*

have $con (t \cdot u) (t \cdot u')$
using $1\ 2\ arr\text{-}comp\ arr\text{-}comp_{EC}\ assms(2)\ comp\text{-}assoc_{EC}\ comp\text{-}resid\text{-}prfx$
 $con\text{-}comp\text{-}iff\ joinable\text{-}implies\text{-}con\ comp\text{-}def\ not\text{-}arr\text{-}null$
by *metis*
thus $t \cdot (u \sqcup u') = t \cdot u \sqcup t \cdot u'$
using $assms\ comp\text{-}join(2)\ joinable\text{-}iff\text{-}con$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *join-expansion*:

assumes $t \frown u$
shows $t \sqcup u = t \cdot (u \setminus t)$ **and** $seq\ t\ (u \setminus t)$
proof –
show $t \sqcup u = t \cdot (u \setminus t)$
by (*metis assms comp-is-composite-of(2) has-joins join-is-join-of join-of-def*)
thus $seq\ t\ (u \setminus t)$
by (*meson assms composable-def composable-iff-seq has-joins join-is-join-of join-of-def*)
qed

lemma *join3-expansion*:

assumes $t \frown u$ **and** $t \frown v$ **and** $u \frown v$
shows $(t \sqcup u) \sqcup v = (t \cdot (u \setminus t)) \cdot ((v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t))$
proof (*cases v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t*)
show $\neg v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t \implies ?thesis$
by (*metis assms(1) comp-null(2) join-expansion(1) joinable-implies-con*
 $resid-comp(1) join-def conI$)
assume $1: v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t$
have $(t \sqcup u) \sqcup v = (t \sqcup u) \cdot (v \setminus (t \sqcup u))$
by (*metis comp-null(1) diamond-commutes ex-un-null join-expansion(1)*
 $joinable-implies-con\ null-is-zero(2)\ join-def\ conI$)
also have $\dots = (t \cdot (u \setminus t)) \cdot (v \setminus (t \sqcup u))$
using *join-expansion [of t u] assms(1) by presburger*
also have $\dots = (t \cdot (u \setminus t)) \cdot ((v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u))$
using $assms\ 1\ join\text{-}of\text{-}resid(1)\ [of\ t\ u\ v]\ cube\ [of\ v\ t\ u]$
by (*metis con-compI(2) con-implies-arr(2) join-expansion(1) not-arr-null resid-comp(1)*
 $con-sym\ comp-def\ src-resid\ arr-comp_{EC}$)
also have $\dots = (t \cdot (u \setminus t)) \cdot ((v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t))$
by (*metis cube*)
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *resid-common-prefix*:

assumes $t \cdot u \frown t \cdot v$
shows $(t \cdot u) \setminus (t \cdot v) = u \setminus v$
using *assms*
by (*metis con-comp-iff con-sym con-comp-iff_{EC}(2) con-implies-arr(2) induced-arrow(1)*
 $resid-comp(1)\ resid-comp(2)\ residuation.arr-resid-iff-con\ residuation-axioms$)

lemma *join-comp*:

assumes $t \cdot u \frown v$

```

shows  $(t \cdot u) \sqcup v = t \cdot (v \setminus t) \cdot (u \setminus (v \setminus t))$ 
using assms
by (metis comp-assocEC diamond-commutes join-expansion(1) resid-comp(1))

end

```

2.1.10 Confluence

An RTS is *confluent* if every cointial pair of transitions is consistent.

```

locale confluent-rts = rts +
assumes confluence: cointial  $t\ u \implies con\ t\ u$ 

```

2.2 Simulations

Simulations are morphisms of residuated transition systems. They are assumed to preserve consistency and residuation.

```

locale simulation =
  A: rts A +
  B: rts B
for A :: 'a resid    (infix  $\setminus_A$  70)
and B :: 'b resid    (infix  $\setminus_B$  70)
and F :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b +
assumes extensional:  $\neg A.arr\ t \implies F\ t = B.null$ 
and preserves-con [simp]:  $A.con\ t\ u \implies B.con\ (F\ t)\ (F\ u)$ 
and preserves-resid [simp]:  $A.con\ t\ u \implies F\ (t \setminus_A\ u) = F\ t \setminus_B\ F\ u$ 
begin

```

```

notation A.con      (infix  $\frown_A$  50)
notation A.prfx    (infix  $\lesssim_A$  50)
notation A.cong    (infix  $\sim_A$  50)

```

```

notation B.con      (infix  $\frown_B$  50)
notation B.prfx    (infix  $\lesssim_B$  50)
notation B.cong    (infix  $\sim_B$  50)

```

```

lemma preserves-reflects-arr [iff]:
shows  $B.arr\ (F\ t) \longleftrightarrow A.arr\ t$ 
by (metis A.arr-def B.con-implies-arr(2) B.not-arr-null extensional preserves-con)

```

```

lemma preserves-ide [simp]:
assumes A.ide a
shows  $B.ide\ (F\ a)$ 
by (metis A.ideE assms preserves-con preserves-resid B.ideI)

```

```

lemma preserves-sources:
shows  $F\ ` A.sources\ t \subseteq B.sources\ (F\ t)$ 
using A.sources-def B.sources-def preserves-con preserves-ide by auto

```

lemma *preserves-targets*:
shows $F \cdot A.\text{targets } t \subseteq B.\text{targets } (F t)$
by (*metis* $A.\text{arr}E B.\text{arr}E A.\text{sources-resid } B.\text{sources-resid equals0D image-subset-iff } A.\text{arr-iff-has-target preserves-reflects-arr preserves-resid preserves-sources}$)

lemma *preserves-trg* [*simp*]:
assumes $A.\text{arr } t$
shows $B.\text{trg } (F t) = F (A.\text{trg } t)$
using *assms* $A.\text{trg-def } B.\text{trg-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma *preserves-composites*:
assumes $A.\text{composite-of } t u v$
shows $B.\text{composite-of } (F t) (F u) (F v)$
using *assms*
by (*metis* $A.\text{composite-of}E A.\text{prfx-implies-con } B.\text{composite-of-def preserves-ide preserves-resid } A.\text{con-sym}$)

lemma *preserves-joins*:
assumes $A.\text{join-of } t u v$
shows $B.\text{join-of } (F t) (F u) (F v)$
using *assms* $A.\text{join-of-def } B.\text{join-of-def } A.\text{joinable-def}$
by (*metis* $A.\text{joinable-implies-con preserves-composites preserves-resid}$)

lemma *preserves-prfx*:
assumes $t \lesssim_A u$
shows $F t \lesssim_B F u$
using *assms*
by (*metis* $A.\text{prfx-implies-con preserves-ide preserves-resid}$)

lemma *preserves-cong*:
assumes $t \sim_A u$
shows $F t \sim_B F u$
using *assms* *preserves-prfx* **by** *simp*

end

2.2.1 Identity Simulation

locale *identity-simulation* =
rts
begin

abbreviation *map*
where $\text{map} \equiv \lambda t. \text{if arr } t \text{ then } t \text{ else null}$

sublocale *simulation resid resid map*
using *con-implies-arr con-sym arr-resid-iff-con*
by *unfold-locales auto*

end

2.2.2 Composite of Simulations

```
lemma simulation-comp [intro]:  
  assumes simulation A B F and simulation B C G  
  shows simulation A C (G o F)  
  proof -  
    interpret F: simulation A B F using assms(1) by auto  
    interpret G: simulation B C G using assms(2) by auto  
    show simulation A C (G o F)  
      using F.extensional G.extensional by unfold-locales auto  
  qed
```

```
locale composite-simulation =  
  F: simulation A B F +  
  G: simulation B C G  
for A :: 'a resid  
and B :: 'b resid  
and C :: 'c resid  
and F :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  
and G :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c  
begin  
  
  abbreviation map  
  where map  $\equiv$  G o F  
  
  sublocale simulation A C map  
    using F.simulation-axioms G.simulation-axioms by blast  
  
  lemma is-simulation:  
  shows simulation A C map  
    using F.simulation-axioms G.simulation-axioms by blast  
  
end
```

2.2.3 Simulations into a Weakly Extensional RTS

```
locale simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts =  
  simulation +  
  B: weakly-extensional-rts B  
begin  
  
  lemma preserves-src [simp]:  
  shows  $a \in A.sources\ t \implies B.src\ (F\ t) = F\ a$   
    by (metis equals0D image-subset-iff B.arr-iff-has-source  
      preserves-sources B.arr-has-un-source B.src-in-sources)  
  
  lemma preserves-trg [simp]:  
  shows  $b \in A.targets\ t \implies B.trg\ (F\ t) = F\ b$ 
```


by (*metis equals0D image-subset-iff B.arr-iff-has-target
preserves-targets B.arr-has-un-target B.trg-in-targets*)

end

2.2.4 Simulations into an Extensional RTS

locale *simulation-to-extensional-rts* =
simulation +
B: extensional-rts B

begin

notation *B.comp* (**infixr** \cdot_B 55)

notation *B.join* (**infix** \sqcup_B 52)

lemma *preserves-comp*:

assumes *A.composite-of t u v*

shows $F v = F t \cdot_B F u$

using *assms*

by (*metis preserves-composites B.comp-is-composite-of(2)*)

lemma *preserves-join*:

assumes *A.join-of t u v*

shows $F v = F t \sqcup_B F u$

using *assms preserves-joins*

by (*meson B.join-is-join-of B.join-of-unique B.joinable-def*)

end

2.2.5 Simulations between Extensional RTS's

locale *simulation-between-extensional-rts* =
simulation-to-extensional-rts +
A: extensional-rts A

begin

notation *A.comp* (**infixr** \cdot_A 55)

notation *A.join* (**infix** \sqcup_A 52)

lemma *preserves-src* [*simp*]:

shows $B.src (F t) = F (A.src t)$

by (*metis A.arr-src-iff-arr A.src-in-sources extensional image-subset-iff
preserves-reflects-arr preserves-sources B.arr-has-un-source B.src-def
B.src-in-sources*)

lemma *preserves-trg* [*simp*]:

shows $B.trg (F t) = F (A.trg t)$

by (*metis A.arr-trg-iff-arr A.residuation-axioms A.trg-def B.null-is-zero(2) B.trg-def
extensional preserves-resid residuation.arrE*)

lemma *preserves-comp*:
assumes *A.composable t u*
shows $F (t \cdot_A u) = F t \cdot_B F u$
using *assms*
by (*metis A.arr-comp A.comp-resid-prfx A.composableD(2) A.not-arr-null*
A.prfx-comp A.residuation-axioms B.comp-eqI preserves-prfx preserves-resid
residuation.conI)

lemma *preserves-join*:
assumes *A.joinable t u*
shows $F (t \sqcup_A u) = F t \sqcup_B F u$
using *assms*
by (*meson A.join-is-join-of B.joinable-def preserves-joins B.join-is-join-of*
B.join-of-unique)

end

2.2.6 Transformations

A *transformation* is a morphism of simulations, analogously to how a natural transformation is a morphism of functors, except the normal commutativity condition for that “naturality squares” is replaced by the requirement that the arrows at the apex of such a square are given by residuation of the arrows at the base. If the codomain RTS is extensional, then this condition implies the commutativity of the square with respect to composition, as would be the case for a natural transformation between functors.

The proper way to define a transformation when the domain and codomain are general RTS’s is not yet clear to me. However, if the domain and codomain are weakly extensional, then we have unique sources and targets, so there is no problem. The definition below is limited to that case. I do not make any attempt here to develop facts about transformations. My main reason for including this definition here is so that in the subsequent application to the λ -calculus, I can exhibit β -reduction as an example of a transformation.

locale *transformation* =
A: weakly-extensional-rtts A +
B: weakly-extensional-rtts B +
F: simulation A B F +
G: simulation A B G
for *A :: 'a resid* (**infix** \setminus_A *70*)
and *B :: 'b resid* (**infix** \setminus_B *70*)
and *F :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b*
and *G :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b*
and *$\tau :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b +$*
assumes *extensional: $\neg A.arr f \Longrightarrow \tau f = B.null$*
and *preserves-src: $A.ide f \Longrightarrow B.src (\tau f) = F f$*
and *preserves-trg: $A.ide f \Longrightarrow B.trg (\tau f) = G f$*
and *naturality1-ax: $A.arr f \Longrightarrow \tau (A.src f) \setminus_B F f = \tau (A.trg f)$*
and *naturality2-ax: $A.arr f \Longrightarrow F f \setminus_B \tau (A.src f) = G f$*

and *naturality3*: $A.arr\ f \implies B.join-of\ (\tau\ (A.src\ f))\ (F\ f)\ (\tau\ f)$
begin

notation $A.con$ (**infix** $\frown_A\ 50$)
notation $A.prfx$ (**infix** $\lesssim_A\ 50$)

notation $B.con$ (**infix** $\frown_B\ 50$)
notation $B.prfx$ (**infix** $\lesssim_B\ 50$)

lemma *naturality1*:

shows $\tau\ (A.src\ f) \setminus_B\ F\ f = \tau\ (A.trg\ f)$

by (*metis* $A.arr-trg-iff-arr\ B.null-is-zero(2)\ F.extensional\ transformation.extensional\ transformation.naturality1-ax\ transformation-axioms$)

lemma *naturality2*:

shows $F\ f \setminus_B\ \tau\ (A.src\ f) = G\ f$

by (*metis* $A.weakly-extensional-rtts-axioms\ B.null-is-zero(2)\ G.extensional\ extensional\ naturality2-ax\ weakly-extensional-rtts.arr-src-iff-arr$)

end

2.3 Normal Sub-RTS's and Congruence

We now develop a general quotient construction on an RTS. We define a *normal sub-RTS* of an RTS to be a collection of transitions \mathfrak{N} having certain “local” closure properties. A normal sub-RTS induces an equivalence relation \approx_0 , which we call *semi-congruence*, by defining $t \approx_0 u$ to hold exactly when $t \setminus u$ and $u \setminus t$ are both in \mathfrak{N} . This relation generalizes the relation \sim defined for an arbitrary RTS, in the sense that \sim is obtained when \mathfrak{N} consists of all and only the identity transitions. However, in general the relation \approx_0 is fully substitutive only in the left argument position of residuation; for the right argument position, a somewhat weaker property is satisfied. We then coarsen \approx_0 to a relation \approx , by defining $t \approx u$ to hold exactly when t and u can be transported by residuation along transitions in \mathfrak{N} to a common source, in such a way that the residuals are related by \approx_0 . To obtain full substitutivity of \approx with respect to residuation, we need to impose an additional condition on \mathfrak{N} . This condition, which we call *coherence*, states that transporting a transition t along parallel transitions u and v in \mathfrak{N} always yields residuals $t \setminus u$ and $u \setminus t$ that are related by \approx_0 . We show that, under the assumption of coherence, the relation \approx is fully substitutive, and the quotient of the original RTS by this relation is an extensional RTS which has the \mathfrak{N} -connected components of the original RTS as identities. Although the coherence property has a somewhat *ad hoc* feel to it, we show that, in the context of the other conditions assumed for \mathfrak{N} , coherence is in fact equivalent to substitutivity for \approx .

2.3.1 Normal Sub-RTS's

locale *normal-sub-rtts* =

R: *rts* +
fixes $\mathfrak{N} :: 'a \text{ set}$
assumes *elements-are-arr*: $t \in \mathfrak{N} \implies R.\text{arr } t$
and *ide-closed*: $R.\text{ide } a \implies a \in \mathfrak{N}$
and *forward-stable*: $\llbracket u \in \mathfrak{N}; R.\text{coinitial } t \ u \rrbracket \implies u \setminus t \in \mathfrak{N}$
and *backward-stable*: $\llbracket u \in \mathfrak{N}; t \setminus u \in \mathfrak{N} \rrbracket \implies t \in \mathfrak{N}$
and *composite-closed-left*: $\llbracket u \in \mathfrak{N}; R.\text{seq } u \ t \rrbracket \implies \exists v. R.\text{composite-of } u \ t \ v$
and *composite-closed-right*: $\llbracket u \in \mathfrak{N}; R.\text{seq } t \ u \rrbracket \implies \exists v. R.\text{composite-of } t \ u \ v$
begin

lemma *prfx-closed*:
assumes $u \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $R.\text{prfx } t \ u$
shows $t \in \mathfrak{N}$
using *assms backward-stable ide-closed by blast*

lemma *composite-closed*:
assumes $t \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $u \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $R.\text{composite-of } t \ u \ v$
shows $v \in \mathfrak{N}$
using *assms backward-stable R.composite-of-def prfx-closed by blast*

lemma *factor-closed*:
assumes $R.\text{composite-of } t \ u \ v$ **and** $v \in \mathfrak{N}$
shows $t \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $u \in \mathfrak{N}$
apply (*metis assms R.composite-of-def prfx-closed*)
by (*meson assms R.composite-of-def R.con-imp-coinitial forward-stable prfx-closed R.prfx-implies-con*)

lemma *resid-along-elem-preserves-con*:
assumes $t \frown t'$ **and** $R.\text{coinitial } t \ u$ **and** $u \in \mathfrak{N}$
shows $t \setminus u \frown t' \setminus u$
proof –
have $R.\text{coinitial } (t \setminus t') \ (u \setminus t')$
by (*metis assms R.arr-resid-iff-con R.coinitialI R.con-imp-common-source forward-stable elements-are-arr R.con-implies-arr(2) R.sources-resid R.sources-eqI*)
hence $t \setminus t' \frown u \setminus t'$
by (*metis assms(3) R.coinitial-iff R.con-imp-coinitial R.con-sym elements-are-arr forward-stable R.arr-resid-iff-con*)
thus *?thesis*
using *assms R.cube forward-stable by fastforce*
qed

end

Normal Sub-RTS's of an Extensional RTS with Composites

locale *normal-in-extensional-rts-with-composites* =
R: *extensional-rts* +
R: *rts-with-composites* +
normal-sub-rts

begin

lemma *factor-closed*_{EC}:

assumes $t \cdot u \in \mathfrak{N}$

shows $t \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $u \in \mathfrak{N}$

using *assms factor-closed*

by (*metis R.arrE R.composable-def R.comp-is-composite-of(2) R.con-comp-iff elements-are-arr*)+

lemma *comp-in-normal-iff*:

shows $t \cdot u \in \mathfrak{N} \longleftrightarrow t \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.seq\ t\ u$

by (*metis R.comp-is-composite-of(2) composite-closed elements-are-arr*

factor-closed(1-2) R.composable-def R.has-composites R.rts-with-composites-axioms

R.extensional-rts-axioms extensional-rts-with-composites.arr-compE_{EC}

extensional-rts-with-composites-def R.seqI_{WE}(1))

end

2.3.2 Semi-Congruence

context *normal-sub-rts*

begin

We will refer to the elements of \mathfrak{N} as *normal transitions*. Generalizing identity transitions to normal transitions in the definition of congruence, we obtain the notion of *semi-congruence* of transitions with respect to a normal sub-RTS.

abbreviation *Cong*₀ (**infix** \approx_0 50)

where $t \approx_0 t' \equiv t \setminus t' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t' \setminus t \in \mathfrak{N}$

lemma *Cong*₀-*reflexive*:

assumes *R.arr* t

shows $t \approx_0 t$

using *assms R.cong-reflexive ide-closed* **by** *simp*

lemma *Cong*₀-*symmetric*:

assumes $t \approx_0 t'$

shows $t' \approx_0 t$

using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *Cong*₀-*transitive* [*trans*]:

assumes $t \approx_0 t'$ **and** $t' \approx_0 t''$

shows $t \approx_0 t''$

by (*metis (full-types) R.arr-resid-iff-con assms backward-stable forward-stable elements-are-arr R.coinitialI R.cube R.sources-resid*)

lemma *Cong*₀-*imp-con*:

assumes $t \approx_0 t'$

shows *R.con* $t\ t'$

using *assms R.arr-resid-iff-con elements-are-arr* **by** *blast*

lemma *Cong₀-imp-coinitial:*

assumes $t \approx_0 t'$

shows $R.sources\ t = R.sources\ t'$

using *assms* **by** (*meson Cong₀-imp-con R.coinitial-iff R.con-imp-coinitial*)

Semi-congruence is preserved and reflected by residuation along normal transitions.

lemma *Resid-along-normal-preserves-Cong₀:*

assumes $t \approx_0 t'$ **and** $u \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u$

shows $t \setminus u \approx_0 t' \setminus u$

by (*metis Cong₀-imp-coinitial R.arr-resid-iff-con R.coinitialI R.coinitial-def R.cube R.sources-resid assms elements-are-arr forward-stable*)

lemma *Resid-along-normal-reflects-Cong₀:*

assumes $t \setminus u \approx_0 t' \setminus u$ **and** $u \in \mathfrak{N}$

shows $t \approx_0 t'$

using *assms*

by (*metis backward-stable R.con-imp-coinitial R.cube R.null-is-zero(2) forward-stable R.conI*)

Semi-congruence is substitutive for the left-hand argument of residuation.

lemma *Cong₀-subst-left:*

assumes $t \approx_0 t'$ **and** $t \frown u$

shows $t' \frown u$ **and** $t \setminus u \approx_0 t' \setminus u$

proof –

have $1: t \frown u \wedge t \frown t' \wedge u \setminus t \frown t' \setminus t$

using *assms*

by (*metis Resid-along-normal-preserves-Cong₀ Cong₀-imp-con Cong₀-reflexive R.con-sym R.null-is-zero(2) R.arr-resid-iff-con R.sources-resid R.conI*)

hence $2: t' \frown u \wedge u \setminus t \frown t' \setminus t \wedge$

$(t \setminus u) \setminus (t' \setminus u) = (t \setminus t') \setminus (u \setminus t') \wedge$

$(t' \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) = (t' \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)$

by (*meson R.con-sym R.cube R.resid-reflects-con*)

show $t' \frown u$

using 2 **by** *simp*

show $t \setminus u \approx_0 t' \setminus u$

using *assms 1 2*

by (*metis R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-imp-coinitial R.cube forward-stable*)

qed

Semi-congruence is not exactly substitutive for residuation on the right. Instead, the following weaker property is satisfied. Obtaining exact substitutivity on the right is the motivation for defining a coarser notion of congruence below.

lemma *Cong₀-subst-right:*

assumes $u \approx_0 u'$ **and** $t \frown u$

shows $t \frown u'$ **and** $(t \setminus u) \setminus (u' \setminus u) \approx_0 (t \setminus u') \setminus (u \setminus u')$

using *assms*

apply (*meson Cong₀-subst-left(1) R.con-sym*)

using *assms*

by (*metis R.sources-resid Cong₀-imp-con Cong₀-reflexive Resid-along-normal-preserves-Cong₀ R.arr-resid-iff-con residuation.cube R.residuation-axioms*)

lemma *Cong₀-subst-Con*:

assumes $t \approx_0 t'$ **and** $u \approx_0 u'$

shows $t \frown u \longleftrightarrow t' \frown u'$

using *assms*

by (*meson Cong₀-subst-left(1) Cong₀-subst-right(1)*)

lemma *Cong₀-cancel-left*:

assumes $R.composite-of\ t\ u\ v$ **and** $R.composite-of\ t\ u'\ v'$ **and** $v \approx_0 v'$

shows $u \approx_0 u'$

proof –

have $u \approx_0 v \setminus t$

using *assms(1) ide-closed by blast*

also have $v \setminus t \approx_0 v' \setminus t$

by (*meson assms(1,3) Cong₀-subst-left(2) R.composite-of-def R.con-sym R.prfx-implies-con*)

also have $v' \setminus t \approx_0 u'$

using *assms(2) ide-closed by blast*

finally show *?thesis by auto*

qed

lemma *Cong₀-iff*:

shows $t \approx_0 t' \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists u\ u'\ v\ v'.\ u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v \approx_0 v' \wedge$

$R.composite-of\ t\ u\ v \wedge R.composite-of\ t'\ u'\ v')$

proof (*intro iffI*)

show $\exists u\ u'\ v\ v'.\ u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v \approx_0 v' \wedge$

$R.composite-of\ t\ u\ v \wedge R.composite-of\ t'\ u'\ v'$

$\implies t \approx_0 t'$

by (*meson Cong₀-transitive R.composite-of-def ide-closed prfx-closed*)

show $t \approx_0 t' \implies \exists u\ u'\ v\ v'.\ u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v \approx_0 v' \wedge$

$R.composite-of\ t\ u\ v \wedge R.composite-of\ t'\ u'\ v'$

by (*metis Cong₀-imp-con Cong₀-transitive R.composite-of-def R.prfx-reflexive*

$R.arrI\ R.ideE$)

qed

lemma *diamond-commutes-upto-Cong₀*:

assumes $t \frown u$ **and** $R.composite-of\ t\ (u \setminus t)\ v$ **and** $R.composite-of\ u\ (t \setminus u)\ v'$

shows $v \approx_0 v'$

proof –

have $v \setminus v \approx_0 v' \setminus v \wedge v' \setminus v' \approx_0 v \setminus v'$

proof –

have $1: (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \approx_0 (v' \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

using *assms(2–3) R.cube [of v t u]*

by (*metis R.con-target R.composite-ofE R.ide-imp-con-iff-cong ide-closed*

$R.conI$)

have $2: v \setminus v \approx_0 v' \setminus v$

proof –

```

have  $v \setminus v \approx_0 (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)$ 
  using assms R.composite-of-def ide-closed
  by (meson R.composite-of-unq-upto-cong R.prfx-implies-con R.resid-composite-of(3))
also have  $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \approx_0 (v' \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$ 
  using 1 by simp
also have  $(v' \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) \approx_0 (v' \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)$ 
  by (metis 1 Cong0-transitive R.cube)
also have  $(v' \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \approx_0 v' \setminus v$ 
  using assms R.composite-of-def ide-closed
  by (metis 1 R.conI R.con-sym-ax R.cube R.null-is-zero(2) R.resid-composite-of(3))
finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
moreover have  $v' \setminus v' \approx_0 v \setminus v'$ 
proof –
  have  $v' \setminus v' \approx_0 (v' \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$ 
    using assms R.composite-of-def ide-closed
    by (meson R.composite-of-unq-upto-cong R.prfx-implies-con R.resid-composite-of(3))
  also have  $(v' \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) \approx_0 (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)$ 
    using 1 by simp
  also have  $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \approx_0 (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$ 
    using R.cube [of v t u] ide-closed
    by (metis Cong0-reflexive R.arr-resid-iff-con assms(2) R.composite-of-def
      R.prfx-implies-con)
  also have  $(v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) \approx_0 v \setminus v'$ 
    using assms R.composite-of-def ide-closed
    by (metis 2 R.conI elements-are-arr R.not-arr-null R.null-is-zero(2)
      R.resid-composite-of(3))
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
thus ?thesis
  by (metis assms(2–3) R.composite-of-unq-upto-cong R.resid-arr-ide Cong0-imp-con)
qed

```

2.3.3 Congruence

We use semi-congruence to define a coarser relation as follows.

definition *Cong* (*infix* \approx 50)
where $Cong\ t\ t' \equiv \exists u\ u'.\ u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t \setminus u \approx_0 t' \setminus u'$

lemma *CongI* [*intro*]:
assumes $u \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $u' \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $t \setminus u \approx_0 t' \setminus u'$
shows $Cong\ t\ t'$
using *assms Cong-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *CongE* [*elim*]:
assumes $t \approx t'$
obtains $u\ u'$

where $u \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $u' \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $t \setminus u \approx_0 t' \setminus u'$
 using *assms Cong-def* by *auto*

lemma *Cong-imp-arr*:

assumes $t \approx t'$

shows $R.arr\ t$ and $R.arr\ t'$

using *assms Cong-def*

by (*meson R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-implies-arr(2) R.con-sym elements-are-arr*)+

lemma *Cong-reflexive*:

assumes $R.arr\ t$

shows $t \approx t$

by (*metis CongI Cong₀-reflexive assms R.con-imp-coinitial-ax ide-closed
 R.resid-arr-ide R.arrE R.con-sym*)

lemma *Cong-symmetric*:

assumes $t \approx t'$

shows $t' \approx t$

using *assms Cong-def* by *auto*

The existence of composites of normal transitions is used in the following.

lemma *Cong-transitive [trans]*:

assumes $t \approx t''$ and $t'' \approx t'$

shows $t \approx t'$

proof –

obtain $u\ u''$ **where** uu'' : $u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u'' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t \setminus u \approx_0 t'' \setminus u''$

using *assms Cong-def* by *blast*

obtain $v'\ v''$ **where** $v'v''$: $v' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v'' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t'' \setminus v'' \approx_0 t' \setminus v'$

using *assms Cong-def* by *blast*

let $?w = (t \setminus u) \setminus (v'' \setminus u'')$

let $?w' = (t' \setminus v') \setminus (u'' \setminus v'')$

let $?w'' = (t'' \setminus v'') \setminus (u'' \setminus v'')$

have w'' : $?w'' = (t'' \setminus u'') \setminus (v'' \setminus u'')$

by (*metis R.cube*)

have $u''v''$: $R.coinitial\ u''\ v''$

by (*metis (full-types) R.coinitial-iff elements-are-arr R.con-imp-coinitial
 R.arr-resid-iff-con uu'' v'v''*)

hence $v''u''$: $R.coinitial\ v''\ u''$

by (*meson R.con-imp-coinitial elements-are-arr forward-stable R.arr-resid-iff-con v'v''*)

have 1: $?w \setminus ?w'' \in \mathfrak{N}$

proof –

have $(v'' \setminus u'') \setminus (t'' \setminus u'') \in \mathfrak{N}$

by (*metis Cong₀-transitive R.con-imp-coinitial forward-stable Cong₀-imp-con
 resid-along-elem-preserves-con R.arrI R.arr-resid-iff-con u''v'' uu'' v'v''*)

thus $?thesis$

by (*metis Cong₀-subst-left(2) R.con-sym R.null-is-zero(1) uu'' w'' R.conI*)

qed

have 2: $?w'' \setminus ?w \in \mathfrak{N}$

by (*metis 1 Cong₀-subst-left(2) uu'' w'' R.conI*)

have $3: R.seq\ u\ (v'' \setminus u'')$
by (*metis (full-types) 2 Cong₀-imp-coinitial R.sources-resid*
Cong₀-imp-con R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-implies-arr(2) R.seqI(1) uu'' R.conI)
have $4: R.seq\ v'\ (u'' \setminus v'')$
by (*metis 1 Cong₀-imp-coinitial Cong₀-imp-con R.arr-resid-iff-con*
R.con-implies-arr(2) R.seq-def R.sources-resid v'v'' R.conI)
obtain x **where** $x: R.composite-of\ u\ (v'' \setminus u'')$ x
using 3 *composite-closed-left uu''* **by** *blast*
obtain x' **where** $x': R.composite-of\ v'\ (u'' \setminus v'')$ x'
using 4 *composite-closed-left v'v''* **by** *presburger*
have $?w \approx_0\ ?w'$
proof –
have $?w \approx_0\ ?w'' \wedge ?w' \approx_0\ ?w''$
using $1\ 2$
by (*metis Cong₀-subst-left(2) R.null-is-zero(2) v'v'' R.conI*)
thus *?thesis*
using *Cong₀-transitive* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover **have** $x \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge ?w \approx_0\ t \setminus x$
apply (*intro conjI*)
apply (*meson composite-closed forward-stable u''v'' uu'' v'v'' x*)
apply (*metis (full-types) R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-implies-arr(2) R.con-sym*
ide-closed forward-stable R.composite-of-def R.resid-composite-of(3)
Cong₀-subst-right(1) prfx-closed u''v'' uu'' v'v'' x R.conI)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 1 R.con-composite-of-iff ide-closed*
R.resid-composite-of(3) R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-implies-arr(1) R.con-sym x R.conI)
moreover **have** $x' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge ?w' \approx_0\ t' \setminus x'$
apply (*intro conjI*)
apply (*meson composite-closed forward-stable uu'' v''u'' v'v'' x'*)
apply (*metis (full-types) Cong₀-subst-right(1) R.composite-ofE R.con-sym*
ide-closed forward-stable R.con-imp-coinitial prfx-closed
R.resid-composite-of(3) R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-implies-arr(1) uu'' v'v'' x' R.conI)
by (*metis (full-types) Cong₀-subst-left(1) R.composite-ofE R.con-sym ide-closed*
forward-stable R.con-imp-coinitial prfx-closed R.resid-composite-of(3)
R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-implies-arr(1) uu'' v'v'' x' R.conI)
ultimately show $t \approx t'$
using *Cong-def Cong₀-transitive* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *Cong-closure-props:*

shows $t \approx u \implies u \approx t$

and $\llbracket t \approx u; u \approx v \rrbracket \implies t \approx v$

and $t \approx_0\ u \implies t \approx u$

and $\llbracket u \in \mathfrak{N}; R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u \rrbracket \implies t \approx t \setminus u$

proof –

show $t \approx u \implies u \approx t$

using *Cong-symmetric* **by** *blast*

show $\llbracket t \approx u; u \approx v \rrbracket \implies t \approx v$

using *Cong-transitive* **by** *blast*

show $t \approx_0 u \implies t \approx u$
by (*metis* *Cong₀-subst-left(2)* *Cong-def* *Cong-reflexive* *R.con-implies-arr(1)*
R.null-is-zero(2) *R.conI*)
show $\llbracket u \in \mathfrak{N}; R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u \rrbracket \implies t \approx t \setminus u$
proof –
assume $u: u \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** *coinitial*: $R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u$
obtain a **where** $a: a \in R.targets\ u$
by (*meson* *elements-are-arr* *empty-subsetI* *R.arr-iff-has-target* *subsetI* *subset-antisym* u)
have $t \setminus u \approx_0 (t \setminus u) \setminus a$
proof –
have $R.arr\ t$
using *R.arr-iff-has-source* *coinitial* *elements-are-arr* u **by** *presburger*
thus *?thesis*
by (*meson* $u\ a$ *R.arr-resid-iff-con* *coinitial* *ide-closed* *forward-stable*
elements-are-arr *R.coinitial-iff* *R.composite-of-arr-target* *R.resid-composite-of(3)*)
qed
thus *?thesis*
using *Cong-def*
by (*metis* a *R.composite-of-arr-target* *elements-are-arr* *factor-closed(2)* u)
qed
qed

lemma *Cong₀-implies-Cong*:
assumes $t \approx_0 t'$
shows $t \approx t'$
using *assms* *Cong-closure-props(3)* **by** *simp*

lemma *in-sources-respects-Cong*:
assumes $t \approx t'$ **and** $a \in R.sources\ t$ **and** $a' \in R.sources\ t'$
shows $a \approx a'$
proof –
obtain $u\ u'$ **where** $uu': u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t \setminus u \approx_0 t' \setminus u'$
using *assms* *Cong-def* **by** *blast*
show $a \approx a'$
proof
show $u \in \mathfrak{N}$
using uu' **by** *simp*
show $u' \in \mathfrak{N}$
using uu' **by** *simp*
show $a \setminus u \approx_0 a' \setminus u'$
proof –
have $a \setminus u \in R.targets\ u$
by (*metis* *Cong₀-imp-con* *R.arr-resid-iff-con* *assms(2)* *R.con-imp-common-source*
R.con-implies-arr(1) *R.resid-source-in-targets* *R.sources-eqI* uu')
moreover **have** $a' \setminus u' \in R.targets\ u'$
by (*metis* *Cong₀-imp-con* *R.arr-resid-iff-con* *assms(3)* *R.con-imp-common-source*
R.resid-source-in-targets *R.con-implies-arr(1)* *R.sources-eqI* uu')
moreover **have** $R.targets\ u = R.targets\ u'$
by (*metis* *Cong₀-imp-coinitial* *Cong₀-imp-con* *R.arr-resid-iff-con*

$R.con\text{-implies-arr}(1) R.sources\text{-resid } uu'$
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *ide-closed R.targets-are-cong* **by** *presburger*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *in-targets-respects-Cong*:
assumes $t \approx t'$ **and** $b \in R.targets\ t$ **and** $b' \in R.targets\ t'$
shows $b \approx b'$
proof –
obtain $u\ u'$ **where** uu' : $u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t \setminus u \approx_0 t' \setminus u'$
using *assms Cong-def* **by** *blast*
have seq : $R.seq\ (u \setminus t)\ ((t' \setminus u') \setminus (t \setminus u)) \wedge R.seq\ (u' \setminus t')\ ((t \setminus u) \setminus (t' \setminus u'))$
by (*metis R.arr-iff-has-source R.arr-iff-has-target R.conI elements-are-arr R.not-arr-null R.seqI(2) R.sources-resid R.targets-resid-sym uu'*)
obtain v **where** v : $R.composite\text{-of}\ (u \setminus t)\ ((t' \setminus u') \setminus (t \setminus u))\ v$
using *seq composite-closed-right uu'* **by** *presburger*
obtain v' **where** v' : $R.composite\text{-of}\ (u' \setminus t')\ ((t \setminus u) \setminus (t' \setminus u'))\ v'$
using *seq composite-closed-right uu'* **by** *presburger*
show $b \approx b'$
proof
show $v\text{-in-}\mathfrak{N}$: $v \in \mathfrak{N}$
by (*metis composite-closed R.con-imp-coinitial R.con-implies-arr(1) forward-stable R.composite-of-def R.prfx-implies-con R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-sym uu' v*)
show $v'\text{-in-}\mathfrak{N}$: $v' \in \mathfrak{N}$
by (*metis backward-stable R.composite-of-def R.con-imp-coinitial forward-stable R.null-is-zero(2) prfx-closed uu' v' R.conI*)
show $b \setminus v \approx_0 b' \setminus v'$
using *assms uu' v v'*
by (*metis R.arr-resid-iff-con ide-closed R.seq-def R.sources-resid R.targets-resid-sym R.resid-source-in-targets seq R.sources-composite-of R.targets-are-cong R.targets-composite-of*)
qed
qed

lemma *sources-are-Cong*:
assumes $a \in R.sources\ t$ **and** $a' \in R.sources\ t'$
shows $a \approx a'$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ide-closed R.sources-are-cong Cong-closure-props(3)*)

lemma *targets-are-Cong*:
assumes $b \in R.targets\ t$ **and** $b' \in R.targets\ t'$
shows $b \approx b'$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ide-closed R.targets-are-cong Cong-closure-props(3)*)

It is *not* the case that sources and targets are \approx -closed; *i.e.* $t \approx t' \implies sources\ t = sources\ t'$ and $t \approx t' \implies targets\ t = targets\ t'$ do not hold, in general.

lemma *Resid-along-normal-preserves-reflects-con:*

assumes $u \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u$

shows $t \setminus u \frown t' \setminus u \longleftrightarrow t \frown t'$

by (*metis* $R.arr-resid-iff-con$ $assms\ R.con-implies-arr(1-2)$ $elements-are-arr$ $R.coinitial-iff$
 $R.resid-reflects-con$ $resid-along-elem-preserves-con$)

We can alternatively characterize \approx as the least symmetric and transitive relation on transitions that extends \approx_0 and has the property of being preserved by residuation along transitions in \mathfrak{N} .

inductive $Cong'$

where $\bigwedge t\ u. Cong'\ t\ u \implies Cong'\ u\ t$

| $\bigwedge t\ u\ v. \llbracket Cong'\ t\ u; Cong'\ u\ v \rrbracket \implies Cong'\ t\ v$

| $\bigwedge t\ u. t \approx_0\ u \implies Cong'\ t\ u$

| $\bigwedge t\ u. \llbracket R.arr\ t; u \in \mathfrak{N}; R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u \rrbracket \implies Cong'\ t\ (t \setminus u)$

lemma $Cong'-if:$

shows $\llbracket u \in \mathfrak{N}; u' \in \mathfrak{N}; t \setminus u \approx_0\ t' \setminus u' \rrbracket \implies Cong'\ t\ t'$

proof –

assume $u: u \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $u': u' \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $1: t \setminus u \approx_0\ t' \setminus u'$

show $Cong'\ t\ t'$

using $u\ u'\ 1$

by (*metis* ($no-types$, $lifting$) $Cong'.simps$ $Cong_0-imp-con$ $R.arr-resid-iff-con$
 $R.coinitial-iff$ $R.con-imp-coinitial$)

qed

lemma $Cong-char:$

shows $Cong\ t\ t' \longleftrightarrow Cong'\ t\ t'$

proof –

have $Cong\ t\ t' \implies Cong'\ t\ t'$

using $Cong-def$ $Cong'-if$ **by** $blast$

moreover have $Cong'\ t\ t' \implies Cong\ t\ t'$

apply (*induction rule:* $Cong'.induct$)

using $Cong-symmetric$ **apply** $simp$

using $Cong-transitive$ **apply** $simp$

using $Cong-closure-props(3)$ **apply** $simp$

using $Cong-closure-props(4)$ **by** $simp$

ultimately show $?thesis$

using $Cong-def$ **by** $blast$

qed

lemma $normal-is-Cong-closed:$

assumes $t \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $t \approx t'$

shows $t' \in \mathfrak{N}$

using $assms$

by (*metis* ($full-types$) $CongE$ $R.con-imp-coinitial$ $forward-stable$
 $R.null-is-zero(2)$ $backward-stable$ $R.conI$)

2.3.4 Congruence Classes

Here we develop some notions relating to the congruence classes of \approx .

definition *Cong-class* ($\{\!\{-\}\!\}$)
where *Cong-class* $t \equiv \{t'. t \approx t'\}$

definition *is-Cong-class*
where *is-Cong-class* $\mathcal{T} \equiv \exists t. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{T} = \{\!\{-t\}\!\}$

definition *Cong-class-rep*
where *Cong-class-rep* $\mathcal{T} \equiv \text{SOME } t. t \in \mathcal{T}$

lemma *Cong-class-is-nonempty*:
assumes *is-Cong-class* \mathcal{T}
shows $\mathcal{T} \neq \{\}$
using *assms is-Cong-class-def Cong-class-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *rep-in-Cong-class*:
assumes *is-Cong-class* \mathcal{T}
shows *Cong-class-rep* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$
using *assms is-Cong-class-def Cong-class-rep-def someI-ex* [of $\lambda t. t \in \mathcal{T}$]
by *metis*

lemma *arr-in-Cong-class*:
assumes $R.\text{arr } t$
shows $t \in \{\!\{-t\}\!\}$
using *assms Cong-class-def Cong-reflexive* **by** *simp*

lemma *is-Cong-classI*:
assumes $R.\text{arr } t$
shows *is-Cong-class* $\{\!\{-t\}\!\}$
using *assms Cong-class-def is-Cong-class-def Cong-reflexive* **by** *blast*

lemma *is-Cong-classI'* [*intro*]:
assumes $\mathcal{T} \neq \{\}$
and $\bigwedge t t'. \llbracket t \in \mathcal{T}; t' \in \mathcal{T} \rrbracket \implies t \approx t'$
and $\bigwedge t t'. \llbracket t \in \mathcal{T}; t' \approx t \rrbracket \implies t' \in \mathcal{T}$
shows *is-Cong-class* \mathcal{T}
proof –
obtain t **where** $t: t \in \mathcal{T}$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
have $\mathcal{T} = \{\!\{-t\}\!\}$
unfolding *Cong-class-def*
using *assms(2-3) t* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using *is-Cong-class-def t* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *Cong-class-memb-is-arr*:

assumes *is-Cong-class* \mathcal{T} **and** $t \in \mathcal{T}$
shows $R.arr\ t$
using *assms Cong-class-def is-Cong-class-def Cong-imp-arr(2)* **by** *force*

lemma *Cong-class-membs-are-Cong*:
assumes *is-Cong-class* \mathcal{T} **and** $t \in \mathcal{T}$ **and** $t' \in \mathcal{T}$
shows $Cong\ t\ t'$
using *assms Cong-class-def is-Cong-class-def*
by (*metis CollectD Cong-closure-props(2) Cong-symmetric*)

lemma *Cong-class-eqI*:
assumes $t \approx t'$
shows $\{t\} = \{t'\}$
using *assms Cong-class-def*
by (*metis (full-types) Collect-cong Cong'.intros(1-2) Cong-char*)

lemma *Cong-class-eqI'*:
assumes *is-Cong-class* \mathcal{T} **and** *is-Cong-class* \mathcal{U} **and** $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \{\}$
shows $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U}$
using *assms is-Cong-class-def Cong-class-eqI Cong-class-membs-are-Cong Int-emptyI*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)

lemma *is-Cong-classE* [*elim*]:
assumes *is-Cong-class* \mathcal{T}
and $\llbracket \mathcal{T} \neq \{\} \rrbracket$; $\bigwedge t\ t'. \llbracket t \in \mathcal{T}; t' \in \mathcal{T} \rrbracket \implies t \approx t'$; $\bigwedge t\ t'. \llbracket t \in \mathcal{T}; t' \approx t \rrbracket \implies t' \in \mathcal{T} \implies T$
shows T
proof –
have $\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{T} \neq \{\}$
using *assms Cong-class-is-nonempty* **by** *simp*
moreover **have** $1: \bigwedge t\ t'. \llbracket t \in \mathcal{T}; t' \in \mathcal{T} \rrbracket \implies t \approx t'$
using *assms Cong-class-membs-are-Cong* **by** *metis*
moreover **have** $\bigwedge t\ t'. \llbracket t \in \mathcal{T}; t' \approx t \rrbracket \implies t' \in \mathcal{T}$
using *assms Cong-class-def*
by (*metis 1 Cong-class-eqI Cong-imp-arr(1) is-Cong-class-def arr-in-Cong-class*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *assms* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *Cong-class-rep* [*simp*]:
assumes *is-Cong-class* \mathcal{T}
shows $\{Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}\} = \mathcal{T}$
by (*metis Cong-class-membs-are-Cong Cong-class-eqI assms is-Cong-class-def rep-in-Cong-class*)

lemma *Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep*:
assumes *is-Cong-class* \mathcal{T} **and** $t \in \mathcal{T}$
shows $Cong\ t\ (Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T})$
using *assms Cong-class-membs-are-Cong rep-in-Cong-class* **by** *simp*

lemma *composite-of-normal-arr*:

shows $\llbracket R.arr\ t; u \in \mathfrak{N}; R.composite-of\ u\ t\ t' \rrbracket \implies t' \approx t$
by (*meson Cong'.intros(3) Cong-char R.composite-of-def R.con-implies-arr(2)*
ide-closed R.prfx-implies-con Cong-closure-props(2,4) R.sources-composite-of)

lemma *composite-of-arr-normal*:

shows $\llbracket arr\ t; u \in \mathfrak{N}; R.composite-of\ t\ u\ t' \rrbracket \implies t' \approx_0 t$
by (*meson Cong-closure-props(3) R.composite-of-def ide-closed prfx-closed*)

end

2.3.5 Coherent Normal Sub-RTS's

A *coherent* normal sub-RTS is one that satisfies a parallel moves property with respect to arbitrary transitions. The congruence \approx induced by a coherent normal sub-RTS is fully substitutive with respect to consistency and residuation, and in fact coherence is equivalent to substitutivity in this context.

locale *coherent-normal-sub-rts = normal-sub-rts +*

assumes *coherent*: $\llbracket R.arr\ t; u \in \mathfrak{N}; u' \in \mathfrak{N}; R.sources\ u = R.sources\ u';$
 $R.targets\ u = R.targets\ u'; R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u \rrbracket$
 $\implies t \setminus u \approx_0 t \setminus u'$

context *normal-sub-rts*

begin

The above “parallel moves” formulation of coherence is equivalent to the following formulation, which involves “opposing spans”.

lemma *coherent-iff*:

shows $(\forall t\ u\ u'. R.arr\ t \wedge u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u \wedge$
 $R.sources\ u = R.sources\ u' \wedge R.targets\ u = R.targets\ u'$
 $\longrightarrow t \setminus u \approx_0 t \setminus u')$

\iff

$(\forall t\ t'\ v\ v'\ w\ w'. v \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge$
 $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w \wedge R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w' \wedge$
 $R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w' \wedge t \setminus v \approx_0 t' \setminus v'$
 $\longrightarrow t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w')$

proof

assume *1*: $\forall t\ t'\ v\ v'\ w\ w'. v \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge$
 $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w \wedge R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w' \wedge$
 $R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w' \wedge t \setminus v \approx_0 t' \setminus v'$
 $\longrightarrow t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$

show $\forall t\ u\ u'. R.arr\ t \wedge u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u \wedge$
 $R.sources\ u = R.sources\ u' \wedge R.targets\ u = R.targets\ u'$
 $\longrightarrow t \setminus u \approx_0 t \setminus u'$

proof (*intro allI impI, elim conjE*)

fix $t\ u\ u'$

assume $t: R.arr\ t$ **and** $u: u \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $u': u' \in \mathfrak{N}$

and tu : $R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u$ **and** $sources$: $R.sources\ u = R.sources\ u'$
and $targets$: $R.targets\ u = R.targets\ u'$
show $t \setminus u \approx_0 t \setminus u'$
by (*metis 1 Cong₀-reflexive Resid-along-normal-preserves-Cong₀ sources t targets tu u u'*)

qed
next
assume 1: $\forall t\ u\ u'. R.arr\ t \wedge u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u \wedge R.sources\ u = R.sources\ u' \wedge R.targets\ u = R.targets\ u'$
 $\longrightarrow t \setminus u \approx_0 t \setminus u'$

show $\forall t\ t'\ v\ v'\ w\ w'. v \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w \wedge R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w' \wedge R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w' \wedge t \setminus v \approx_0 t' \setminus v'$
 $\longrightarrow t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$

proof (*intro allI impI, elim conjE*)
fix $t\ t'\ v\ v'\ w\ w'$
assume v : $v \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** v' : $v' \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** w : $w \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** w' : $w' \in \mathfrak{N}$
and vw : $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w$ **and** $v'w'$: $R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w'$
and ww' : $R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w'$
and $tv'tv'$: $(t \setminus v) \setminus (t' \setminus v') \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $t'v'tv$: $(t' \setminus v') \setminus (t \setminus v) \in \mathfrak{N}$
show $t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$
proof –
have \exists : $R.sources\ t = R.sources\ v \wedge R.sources\ t' = R.sources\ v'$
using $R.con-imp-coinitial$
by (*meson Cong₀-imp-con tv'tv' t'v'tv R.coinitial-iff R.arr-resid-iff-con*)
have \exists : $t \setminus w \approx t' \setminus w'$
using $Cong-closure-props$
by (*metis tv'tv' t'v'tv \exists vw v'w' v v' w w'*)
obtain $z\ z'$ **where** zz' : $z \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge z' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge (t \setminus w) \setminus z \approx_0 (t' \setminus w') \setminus z'$
using \exists **by** *auto*
have $(t \setminus w) \setminus z \approx_0 (t' \setminus w') \setminus z'$
proof –
have $R.coinitial\ ((t \setminus w) \setminus z)\ ((t \setminus w') \setminus z')$
proof –
have $R.targets\ z = R.targets\ z'$
using $ww'\ zz'$
by (*metis Cong₀-imp-coinitial Cong₀-imp-con R.con-sym-ax R.null-is-zero(2) R.sources-resid R.conI*)
moreover **have** $R.sources\ ((t \setminus w) \setminus z) = R.targets\ z$
using $ww'\ zz'$
by (*metis R.con-def R.not-arr-null R.null-is-zero(2) R.sources-resid elements-are-arr*)
moreover **have** $R.sources\ ((t \setminus w') \setminus z') = R.targets\ z'$
using $ww'\ zz'$
by (*metis Cong-closure-props(4) Cong-imp-arr(2) R.arr-resid-iff-con R.coinitial-iff R.con-imp-coinitial R.rts-axioms rts.sources-resid*)
ultimately **show** *?thesis*
using $ww'\ zz'$

```

    apply (intro R.coinitialI)
    apply auto
    by (meson R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-implies-arr(2) elements-are-arr)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  apply (intro conjI)
  by (metis 1 R.coinitial-iff R.con-imp-coinitial R.arr-resid-iff-con
      R.sources-resid zz')+
  qed
  hence  $(t \setminus w) \setminus z' \approx_0 (t' \setminus w') \setminus z'$ 
  using zz' Cong0-transitive Cong0-symmetric by blast
  thus ?thesis
  using zz' Resid-along-normal-reflects-Cong0 by metis
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

end

```

context coherent-normal-sub-rts
begin

```

The proof of the substitutivity of \approx with respect to residuation only uses coherence in the “opposing spans” form.

```

lemma coherent':
  assumes  $v \in \mathfrak{N}$  and  $v' \in \mathfrak{N}$  and  $w \in \mathfrak{N}$  and  $w' \in \mathfrak{N}$ 
  and  $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w$  and  $R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w'$ 
  and  $R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w'$  and  $t \setminus v \approx_0 t' \setminus v'$ 
  shows  $t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$ 
  proof
    show  $(t \setminus w) \setminus (t' \setminus w') \in \mathfrak{N}$ 
    using assms coherent coherent-iff by meson
    show  $(t' \setminus w') \setminus (t \setminus w) \in \mathfrak{N}$ 
    using assms coherent coherent-iff by meson
  qed

```

The relation \approx is substitutive with respect to both arguments of residuation.

```

lemma Cong-subst:
  assumes  $t \approx t'$  and  $u \approx u'$  and  $t \frown u$  and  $R.sources\ t' = R.sources\ u'$ 
  shows  $t' \frown u'$  and  $t \setminus u \approx t' \setminus u'$ 
  proof -
    obtain  $v\ v'$  where  $vv'$ :  $v \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t \setminus v \approx_0 t' \setminus v'$ 
    using assms by auto
    obtain  $w\ w'$  where  $ww'$ :  $w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u \setminus w \approx_0 u' \setminus w'$ 
    using assms by auto
    let  $?x = t \setminus v$  and  $?x' = t' \setminus v'$ 
    let  $?y = u \setminus w$  and  $?y' = u' \setminus w'$ 
    have  $xx'$ :  $?x \approx_0 ?x'$ 
    using assms  $vv'$  by blast
  qed

```

have $yy': ?y \approx_0 ?y'$
using $assms\ ww'$ **by** $blast$
have $1: t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$
proof –
have $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w$
by ($metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ Congo_imp_con\ R.arr_resid_iff_con\ assms(3)\ R.con_imp_common_source\ R.con_implies_arr(2)\ R.sources_eqI\ ww'\ xx'$)
moreover have $R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w'$
by ($metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ assms(4)\ R.coinitial_iff\ R.con_imp_coinitial\ Congo_imp_con\ R.arr_resid_iff_con\ ww'\ xx'$)
moreover have $R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w'$
by ($metis\ Congo_implies_Cong\ Congo_imp_coinitial\ Cong_imp_arr(1)\ R.arr_resid_iff_con\ R.sources_resid\ ww'$)
ultimately show $?thesis$
using $assms\ vv'\ ww'$
by ($intro\ coherent'\ [of\ v\ v'\ w\ w'\ t]\ auto$)
qed
have $2: t' \setminus w' \frown u' \setminus w'$
using $assms\ 1\ ww'$
by ($metis\ Congo_subst_left(1)\ Congo_subst_right(1)\ Resid_along_normal_preserves_reflects_con\ R.arr_resid_iff_con\ R.coinitial_iff\ R.con_imp_coinitial\ elements_are_arr$)
thus $3: t' \frown u'$
using $ww'\ R.cube$ **by** $force$
have $t \setminus u \approx ((t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u)) \setminus (?y' \setminus ?y)$
proof –
have $t \setminus u \approx (t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u)$
by ($metis\ Cong_closure_props(4)\ assms(3)\ R.con_imp_coinitial\ elements_are_arr\ forward_stable\ R.arr_resid_iff_con\ R.con_implies_arr(1)\ R.sources_resid\ ww'$)
also have $\dots \approx ((t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u)) \setminus (?y' \setminus ?y)$
by ($metis\ Congo_imp_con\ Cong_closure_props(4)\ Cong_imp_arr(2)\ R.arr_resid_iff_con\ calculation\ R.con_implies_arr(2)\ R.targets_resid_sym\ R.sources_resid\ ww'$)
finally show $?thesis$ **by** $simp$
qed
also have $\dots \approx (((t \setminus w) \setminus ?y) \setminus (?y' \setminus ?y))$
using ww'
by ($metis\ Cong_imp_arr(2)\ Cong_reflexive\ calculation\ R.cube$)
also have $\dots \approx (((t' \setminus w') \setminus ?y) \setminus (?y' \setminus ?y))$
using $1\ Congo_subst_left(2)\ [of\ t \setminus w\ (t' \setminus w')\ ?y]$
 $Congo_subst_left(2)\ [of\ (t \setminus w) \setminus ?y\ (t' \setminus w') \setminus ?y\ ?y' \setminus ?y]$
by ($meson\ 2\ Congo_implies_Cong\ Congo_subst_Con\ Cong_imp_arr(2)\ R.arr_resid_iff_con\ calculation\ ww'$)
also have $\dots \approx ((t' \setminus w') \setminus ?y') \setminus (?y \setminus ?y')$
using $2\ Congo_implies_Cong\ Congo_subst_right(2)\ ww'$ **by** $presburger$
also have $4: \dots \approx (t' \setminus u') \setminus (w' \setminus u')$
using $2\ ww'$
by ($metis\ Congo_imp_con\ Cong_closure_props(4)\ Cong_symmetric\ R.cube\ R.sources_resid$)
also have $\dots \approx t' \setminus u'$

using $ww' 3 4$
by (*metis Cong-closure-props(4) Cong-imp-arr(2) Cong-symmetric R.con-imp-coinitial*
R.con-implies-arr(2) forward-stable R.sources-resid R.arr-resid-iff-con)
finally show $t \setminus u \approx t' \setminus u'$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *Cong-subst-con*:
assumes $R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u$ **and** $R.sources\ t' = R.sources\ u'$ **and** $t \approx t'$ **and** $u \approx u'$
shows $t \frown u \longleftrightarrow t' \frown u'$
using *assms* **by** (*meson Cong-subst(1) Cong-symmetric*)

lemma *Cong₀-composite-of-arr-normal*:
assumes $R.composite-of\ t\ u\ t'$ **and** $u \in \mathfrak{N}$
shows $t' \approx_0 t$
using *assms backward-stable R.composite-of-def ide-closed* **by** *blast*

lemma *Cong-composite-of-normal-arr*:
assumes $R.composite-of\ u\ t\ t'$ **and** $u \in \mathfrak{N}$
shows $t' \approx t$
using *assms*
by (*meson Cong-closure-props(2-4) R.arr-composite-of ide-closed R.composite-of-def*
R.sources-composite-of)

end

context *normal-sub-rts*
begin

Coherence is not an arbitrary property: here we show that substitutivity of congruence in residuation is equivalent to the “opposing spans” form of coherence.

lemma *Cong-subst-iff-coherent'*:
shows $(\forall t\ t'\ u\ u'.\ t \approx t' \wedge u \approx u' \wedge t \frown u \wedge R.sources\ t' = R.sources\ u'$
 $\longrightarrow t' \frown u' \wedge t \setminus u \approx t' \setminus u')$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall t\ t'\ v\ v'\ w\ w'.\ v \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge$
 $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w \wedge R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w' \wedge$
 $R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w' \wedge t \setminus v \approx_0 t' \setminus v'$
 $\longrightarrow t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w')$

proof

assume $1: \forall t\ t'\ u\ u'.\ t \approx t' \wedge u \approx u' \wedge t \frown u \wedge R.sources\ t' = R.sources\ u'$
 $\longrightarrow t' \frown u' \wedge t \setminus u \approx t' \setminus u'$
show $\forall t\ t'\ v\ v'\ w\ w'.\ v \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge$
 $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w \wedge R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w' \wedge$
 $R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w' \wedge t \setminus v \approx_0 t' \setminus v'$
 $\longrightarrow t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$

proof (*intro allI impI, elim conjE*)

fix $t\ t'\ v\ v'\ w\ w'$

assume $v: v \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $v': v' \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $w: w \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $w': w' \in \mathfrak{N}$
and $sources-vw: R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w$

and *sources-v'w'*: $R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w'$
and *targets-ww'*: $R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w'$
and *tt'*: $(t \setminus v) \setminus (t' \setminus v') \in \mathfrak{N}$ **and** *t't'*: $(t' \setminus v') \setminus (t \setminus v) \in \mathfrak{N}$
show $t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$
proof –
 have *2*: $\bigwedge t\ t'\ u\ u'. \llbracket t \approx t'; u \approx u'; t \frown u; R.sources\ t' = R.sources\ u \rrbracket$
 $\implies t' \frown u' \wedge t \setminus u \approx t' \setminus u'$
 using *1* **by** *blast*
 have *3*: $t \setminus w \approx t \setminus v \wedge t' \setminus w' \approx t' \setminus v'$
 by (*metis tt' t't sources-vw sources-v'w' Cong0-subst-right(2) Cong-closure-props(4)*
 Cong-def R.arr-resid-iff-con Cong-closure-props(3) Cong-imp-arr(1)
 normal-is-Cong-closed v w v' w')
 have $(t \setminus w) \setminus (t' \setminus w') \approx (t \setminus v) \setminus (t' \setminus v')$
 using *2* [*of t \setminus w t \setminus v t' \setminus w' t' \setminus v'*] *3*
 by (*metis tt' t't targets-ww' 1 Cong0-imp-con Cong-imp-arr(1) Cong-symmetric*
 R.arr-resid-iff-con R.sources-resid)
 moreover have $(t' \setminus w') \setminus (t \setminus w) \approx (t' \setminus v') \setminus (t \setminus v)$
 using *2 3*
 by (*metis tt' t't targets-ww' Cong0-imp-con Cong-symmetric*
 Cong-imp-arr(1) R.arr-resid-iff-con R.sources-resid)
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 by (*meson tt' t't normal-is-Cong-closed Cong-symmetric*)
qed
qed
next
assume *1*: $\forall t\ t'\ v\ v'\ w\ w'. v \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge$
 $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w \wedge R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w' \wedge$
 $R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w' \wedge t \setminus v \approx_0 t' \setminus v'$
 $\implies t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$
show $\forall t\ t'\ u\ u'. t \approx t' \wedge u \approx u' \wedge t \frown u \wedge R.sources\ t' = R.sources\ u'$
 $\implies t' \frown u' \wedge t \setminus u \approx t' \setminus u'$
proof (*intro allI impI, elim conjE, intro conjI*)
 have ***: $\bigwedge t\ t'\ v\ v'\ w\ w'. \llbracket v \in \mathfrak{N}; v' \in \mathfrak{N}; w \in \mathfrak{N}; w' \in \mathfrak{N};$
 $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w; R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w';$
 $R.targets\ v = R.targets\ v'; R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w';$
 $t \setminus v \approx_0 t' \setminus v' \rrbracket$
 $\implies t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$
 using *1* **by** *metis*
 fix $t\ t'\ u\ u'$
 assume *tt'*: $t \approx t'$ **and** *uu'*: $u \approx u'$ **and** *con*: $t \frown u$
 and *t'u'*: $R.sources\ t' = R.sources\ u'$
 obtain $v\ v'$ **where** *vv'*: $v \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t \setminus v \approx_0 t' \setminus v'$
 using *tt'* **by** *auto*
 obtain $w\ w'$ **where** *ww'*: $w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u \setminus w \approx_0 u' \setminus w'$
 using *uu'* **by** *auto*
 let $?x = t \setminus v$ **and** $?x' = t' \setminus v'$
 let $?y = u \setminus w$ **and** $?y' = u' \setminus w'$
 have xx' : $?x \approx_0 ?x'$
 using *tt' vv'* **by** *blast*

have $yy': ?y \approx_0 ?y'$
using $uu' ww'$ **by** *blast*
have $1: t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$
proof –
have $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w \wedge R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w'$
proof
show $R.sources\ v' = R.sources\ w'$
using $Cong_0\text{-imp-con}\ R.arr\text{-resid-iff-con}\ R.coinitial\text{-iff}\ R.con\text{-imp-coinitial}$
 $t'u' vv' ww'$
by *metis*
show $R.sources\ v = R.sources\ w$
by (*metis con elements-are-arr R.not-arr-null R.null-is-zero(2) R.conI*
 $R.con\text{-imp-common-source}\ rts.sources\text{-eqI}\ R.rts\text{-axioms}\ vv'\ ww'$)
qed
moreover have $R.targets\ v = R.targets\ v' \wedge R.targets\ w = R.targets\ w'$
by (*metis Congo-imp-coinitial Congo-imp-con R.arr-resid-iff-con*
 $R.con\text{-implies-arr}(2)\ R.sources\text{-resid}\ vv'\ ww'$)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using $vv' ww' xx'$
by (*intro * [of v v' w w' t t'] auto*)
qed
have $2: t' \setminus w' \frown u' \setminus w'$
using $1\ tt'\ ww'$
by (*meson Cong₀-imp-con Cong₀-subst-Con R.arr-resid-iff-con con R.con-imp-coinitial*
 $R.con\text{-implies-arr}(2)\ resid\text{-along-elem-preserves-con}$)
thus $3: t' \frown u'$
using $ww' R.cube$ **by** *force*
have $t \setminus u \approx (t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u)$
by (*metis Cong-closure-props(4) R.arr-resid-iff-con con R.con-imp-coinitial*
 $elements\text{-are-arr}\ forward\text{-stable}\ R.con\text{-implies-arr}(2)\ R.sources\text{-resid}\ ww'$)
also have $(t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u) \approx ((t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u)) \setminus (?y' \setminus ?y)$
using yy'
by (*metis Cong₀-imp-con Cong-closure-props(4) Cong-imp-arr(2)*
 $R.arr\text{-resid-iff-con}\ calculation\ R.con\text{-implies-arr}(2)\ R.sources\text{-resid}\ R.targets\text{-resid-sym}$)
also have $\dots \approx (((t \setminus w) \setminus ?y) \setminus (?y' \setminus ?y))$
using ww'
by (*metis Cong-imp-arr(2) Cong-reflexive calculation R.cube*)
also have $\dots \approx (((t' \setminus w') \setminus ?y) \setminus (?y' \setminus ?y))$
proof –
have $((t \setminus w) \setminus ?y) \setminus (?y' \setminus ?y) \approx_0 ((t' \setminus w') \setminus ?y) \setminus (?y' \setminus ?y)$
using $1\ 2\ Cong_0\text{-subst-left}(2)$
by (*meson Cong₀-subst-Con calculation Cong-imp-arr(2) R.arr-resid-iff-con ww'*)
thus *?thesis*
using $Cong_0\text{-implies-Cong}$ **by** *presburger*
qed
also have $\dots \approx ((t' \setminus w') \setminus ?y') \setminus (?y \setminus ?y')$
by (*meson 2 Cong₀-implies-Cong Cong₀-subst-right(2) ww'*)
also have $4: \dots \approx (t' \setminus u') \setminus (w' \setminus u')$
using $2\ ww'$

```

    by (metis Cong0-imp-con Cong-closure-props(4) Cong-symmetric R.cube R.sources-resid)
  also have ...  $\approx t' \setminus u'$ 
    using ww' 2 3 4
    by (metis Cong'.intros(1) Cong'.intros(4) Cong-char Cong-imp-arr(2)
        R.arr-resid-iff-con forward-stable R.con-imp-coinitial R.sources-resid
        R.con-implies-arr(2))
  finally show  $t \setminus u \approx t' \setminus u'$  by simp
qed
qed

end

```

2.3.6 Quotient by Coherent Normal Sub-RTS

We now define the quotient of an RTS by a coherent normal sub-RTS and show that it is an extensional RTS.

```

locale quotient-by-coherent-normal =
  R: rts +
  N: coherent-normal-sub-rts
begin

```

definition *Resid* (infix $\{\setminus\}$ 70)

where $\mathcal{T} \{\setminus\} \mathcal{U} \equiv$

```

  if N.is-Cong-class  $\mathcal{T} \wedge$  N.is-Cong-class  $\mathcal{U} \wedge (\exists t u. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge u \in \mathcal{U} \wedge t \frown u)$ 
  then N.Cong-class
    (fst (SOME tu. fst tu  $\in \mathcal{T} \wedge$  snd tu  $\in \mathcal{U} \wedge$  fst tu  $\frown$  snd tu) \
      snd (SOME tu. fst tu  $\in \mathcal{T} \wedge$  snd tu  $\in \mathcal{U} \wedge$  fst tu  $\frown$  snd tu))
  else {}

```

sublocale *partial-magma Resid*

```

using N.Cong-class-is-nonempty Resid-def
by unfold-locales metis

```

lemma *is-partial-magma:*

shows *partial-magma Resid*

..

lemma *null-char:*

shows *null = {}*

```

using N.Cong-class-is-nonempty Resid-def
by (metis null-is-zero(2))

```

lemma *Resid-by-members:*

assumes *N.is-Cong-class* \mathcal{T} **and** *N.is-Cong-class* \mathcal{U} **and** $t \in \mathcal{T}$ **and** $u \in \mathcal{U}$ **and** $t \frown u$

shows $\mathcal{T} \{\setminus\} \mathcal{U} = \{t \setminus u\}$

```

using assms Resid-def someI-ex [of  $\lambda tu. \text{fst } tu \in \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{snd } tu \in \mathcal{U} \wedge \text{fst } tu \frown \text{snd } tu$ ]
apply simp

```

```

by (meson N.Cong-class-membs-are-Cong N.Cong-class-eqI N.Cong-subst(2)
      R.coinitial-iff R.con-imp-coinitial)

```

abbreviation *Con* (infix $\{\frown\}$ 50)

where $\mathcal{T} \{\frown\} \mathcal{U} \equiv \mathcal{T} \{\backslash\} \mathcal{U} \neq \{\}$

lemma *Con-char*:

shows $\mathcal{T} \{\frown\} \mathcal{U} \longleftrightarrow$

$N.is-Cong-class \mathcal{T} \wedge N.is-Cong-class \mathcal{U} \wedge (\exists t u. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge u \in \mathcal{U} \wedge t \frown u)$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *N.Cong-class-is-nonempty* *N.is-Cong-classI*
Resid-def *Resid-by-members* *R.arr-resid-iff-con*)

lemma *Con-sym*:

assumes *Con* $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{U}$

shows *Con* $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{T}$

using *assms* *Con-char* *R.con-sym* **by** *meson*

lemma *is-Cong-class-Resid*:

assumes $\mathcal{T} \{\frown\} \mathcal{U}$

shows *N.is-Cong-class* ($\mathcal{T} \{\backslash\} \mathcal{U}$)

using *assms* *Con-char* *Resid-by-members* *R.arr-resid-iff-con* *N.is-Cong-classI* **by** *auto*

lemma *Con-witnesses*:

assumes $\mathcal{T} \{\frown\} \mathcal{U}$ **and** $t \in \mathcal{T}$ **and** $u \in \mathcal{U}$

shows $\exists v w. v \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t \backslash v \frown u \backslash w$

proof –

have 1: $N.is-Cong-class \mathcal{T} \wedge N.is-Cong-class \mathcal{U} \wedge (\exists t u. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge u \in \mathcal{U} \wedge t \frown u)$

using *assms* *Con-char* **by** *simp*

obtain $t' u'$ **where** $t'u'$: $t' \in \mathcal{T} \wedge u' \in \mathcal{U} \wedge t' \frown u'$

using 1 **by** *auto*

have 2: $t' \approx t \wedge u' \approx u$

using *assms* 1 $t'u'$ *N.Cong-class-membs-are-Cong* **by** *auto*

obtain $v v'$ **where** vv' : $v \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge v' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t' \backslash v \approx_0 t \backslash v'$

using 2 **by** *auto*

obtain $w w'$ **where** ww' : $w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u' \backslash w \approx_0 u \backslash w'$

using 2 **by** *auto*

have 3: $w \frown v$

by (*metis* *R.arr-resid-iff-con* *R.con-def* *R.con-imp-coinitial* *R.ex-un-null*

N.elements-are-arr *R.null-is-zero*(2) *N.resid-along-elem-preserves-con* $t'u' vv' ww'$)

have *R.seq* $v (w \backslash v)$

by (*simp* *add*: *N.elements-are-arr* *R.seq-def* 3 vv')

obtain x **where** x : *R.composite-of* $v (w \backslash v)$ x

using *N.composite-closed-left* $\langle R.seq v (w \backslash v) \rangle vv'$ **by** *blast*

obtain x' **where** x' : *R.composite-of* $v' (w \backslash v)$ x'

using $x vv'$ *N.composite-closed-left*

by (*metis* *N.Cong₀-implies-Cong* *N.Cong₀-imp-coinitial* *N.Cong-imp-arr*(1)

R.composable-def *R.composable-imp-seq* *R.con-implies-arr*(2)

R.seq-def *R.sources-resid* *R.arr-resid-iff-con*)

have *: $t' \backslash x \approx_0 t \backslash x'$

by (*metis* *N.coherent'* *N.composite-closed* *N.forward-stable* *R.con-imp-coinitial*

R.targets-composite-of 3 *R.con-sym* *R.sources-composite-of* $vv' ww' x x'$)

obtain y **where** $y: R.composite-of\ w\ (v \setminus w)\ y$
using $x\ vv'\ ww'$
by (*metis* $R.arr-resid-iff-con\ R.composable-def\ R.composable-imp-seq$
 $R.con-imp-coinitial\ R.seq-def\ R.sources-resid\ N.elements-are-arr$
 $N.forward-stable\ N.composite-closed-left$)
obtain y' **where** $y': R.composite-of\ w'\ (v \setminus w)\ y'$
using $y\ ww'$
by (*metis* $N.Cong_0-imp-coinitial\ N.Cong-closure-props(3)\ N.Cong-imp-arr(1)$
 $R.composable-def\ R.composable-imp-seq\ R.con-implies-arr(2)\ R.seq-def$
 $R.sources-resid\ N.composite-closed-left\ R.arr-resid-iff-con$)
have $**$: $u' \setminus y \approx_0 u \setminus y'$
by (*metis* $N.composite-closed\ N.forward-stable\ R.con-imp-coinitial\ R.targets-composite-of$
 $\langle w \frown v \rangle\ N.coherent'\ R.sources-composite-of\ vv'\ ww'\ y\ y'$)
have \downarrow : $x \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge y \in \mathfrak{N}$
using $x\ y\ vv'\ ww'\ * \ **$
by (*metis* $3\ N.composite-closed\ N.forward-stable\ R.con-imp-coinitial\ R.con-sym$)
have $t \setminus x' \frown u \setminus y'$
proof –
have $t \setminus x' \approx_0 t' \setminus x$
using $*$ **by** *simp*
moreover **have** $t' \setminus x \frown u' \setminus y$
proof –
have $t' \setminus x \frown u' \setminus x$
using $t'u'\ vv'\ ww'\ \downarrow \ * \ *$
by (*metis* $N.Resid-along-normal-preserves-reflects-con\ N.elements-are-arr$
 $R.coinitial-iff\ R.con-imp-coinitial\ R.arr-resid-iff-con$)
moreover **have** $u' \setminus x \approx_0 u' \setminus y$
using $ww'\ x\ y$
by (*metis* $\downarrow\ N.Cong_0-imp-coinitial\ N.Cong_0-imp-con\ N.Cong_0-transitive$
 $N.coherent'\ N.factor-closed(2)\ R.sources-composite-of$
 $R.targets-composite-of\ R.targets-resid-sym$)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using $N.Cong_0-subst-right$ **by** *blast*
qed
moreover **have** $u' \setminus y \approx_0 u \setminus y'$
using $**\ R.con-sym$ **by** *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using $N.Cong_0-subst-Con$ **by** *auto*
qed
moreover **have** $x' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge y' \in \mathfrak{N}$
using $x'\ y'\ vv'\ ww'$
by (*metis* $N.Cong-composite-of-normal-arr\ N.Cong-imp-arr(2)\ N.composite-closed$
 $R.con-imp-coinitial\ N.forward-stable\ R.arr-resid-iff-con$)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

abbreviation Arr
where $Arr\ \mathcal{T} \equiv Con\ \mathcal{T}\ \mathcal{T}$

lemma *Arr-Resid*:

assumes *Con* \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U}

shows *Arr* (\mathcal{T} $\{\!\|\$ \mathcal{U})

by (*metis* *Con-char* *N.Cong-class-memb-is-arr* *R.arrE* *N.rep-in-Cong-class*
assms is-Cong-class-Resid)

lemma *Cube*:

assumes *Con* (\mathcal{V} $\{\!\|\$ \mathcal{T}) (\mathcal{U} $\{\!\|\$ \mathcal{T})

shows (\mathcal{V} $\{\!\|\$ \mathcal{T}) $\{\!\|\$ (\mathcal{U} $\{\!\|\$ \mathcal{T}) = (\mathcal{V} $\{\!\|\$ \mathcal{U}) $\{\!\|\$ (\mathcal{T} $\{\!\|\$ \mathcal{U})

proof –

obtain t u **where** tu : $t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge u \in \mathcal{U} \wedge t \frown u \wedge \mathcal{T} \{\!\|\ \mathcal{U} = \{t \setminus u\}$

using *assms*

by (*metis* *Con-char* *N.Cong-class-is-nonempty* *R.con-sym* *Resid-by-members*)

obtain t' v **where** $t'v$: $t' \in \mathcal{T} \wedge v \in \mathcal{V} \wedge t' \frown v \wedge \mathcal{T} \{\!\|\ \mathcal{V} = \{t' \setminus v\}$

using *assms*

by (*metis* *Con-char* *N.Cong-class-is-nonempty* *Resid-by-members* *Con-sym*)

have tt' : $t \approx t'$

using *assms*

by (*metis* *N.Cong-class-memb-are-Cong* *N.Cong-class-is-nonempty* *Resid-def* $t'v$ tu)

obtain w w' **where** ww' : $w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$

using tu $t'v$ tt' **by** *auto*

have 1 : $\mathcal{U} \{\!\|\ \mathcal{T} = \{u \setminus t\} \wedge \mathcal{V} \{\!\|\ \mathcal{T} = \{v \setminus t'\}$

by (*metis* *Con-char* *N.Cong-class-is-nonempty* *R.con-sym* *Resid-by-members* *assms* $t'v$ tu)

obtain x x' **where** xx' : $x \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge x' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge (u \setminus t) \setminus x \frown (v \setminus t') \setminus x'$

using 1 *Con-witnesses* [*of* $\mathcal{U} \{\!\|\ \mathcal{T}$ $\mathcal{V} \{\!\|\ \mathcal{T}$ $u \setminus t$ $v \setminus t'$]

by (*metis* *N.arr-in-Cong-class* *R.con-sym* $t'v$ tu *assms* *Con-sym* *R.arr-resid-iff-con*)

have $R.seq$ t x

by (*metis* *R.arr-resid-iff-con* *R.coinitial-iff* *R.con-imp-coinitial* *R.seqI*(2)

$R.sources-resid$ xx')

have $R.seq$ t' x'

by (*metis* *R.arr-resid-iff-con* *R.sources-resid* *R.coinitialE* *R.con-imp-coinitial*

$R.seqI$ (2) xx')

obtain tx **where** tx : $R.composite-of$ t x tx

using xx' $\langle R.seq$ t $x \rangle$ *N.composite-closed-right* [*of* x t] *R.composable-def* **by** *auto*

obtain $t'x'$ **where** $t'x'$: $R.composite-of$ t' x' $t'x'$

using xx' $\langle R.seq$ t' $x' \rangle$ *N.composite-closed-right* [*of* x' t'] *R.composable-def* **by** *auto*

let $?tx-w = tx \setminus w$ **and** $?t'x'-w' = t'x' \setminus w'$

let $?w-tx = (w \setminus t) \setminus x$ **and** $?w'-t'x' = (w' \setminus t') \setminus x'$

let $?u-tx = (u \setminus t) \setminus x$ **and** $?v-t'x' = (v \setminus t') \setminus x'$

let $?u-w = u \setminus w$ **and** $?v-w' = v \setminus w'$

let $?w-u = w \setminus u$ **and** $?w'-v = w' \setminus v$

have $w-tx-in-\mathfrak{N}$: $?w-tx \in \mathfrak{N}$

using tx ww' xx' *R.con-composite-of-iff* [*of* t x tx w]

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *N.Cong₀-composite-of-arr-normal* *N.Cong₀-subst-left*(1)
N.forward-stable *R.null-is-zero*(2) *R.con-imp-coinitial* *R.conI* *R.con-sym*)

have $w'-t'x'-in-\mathfrak{N}$: $?w'-t'x' \in \mathfrak{N}$

using $t'x'$ ww' xx' *R.con-composite-of-iff* [*of* t' x' $t'x'$ w']

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *N.Cong₀-composite-of-arr-normal* *N.Cong₀-subst-left*(1)
R.con-sym *N.forward-stable* *R.null-is-zero*(2) *R.con-imp-coinitial* *R.conI*)

have 2: $?tx-w \approx_0 ?t'x'-w'$
proof –
have $?tx-w \approx_0 t \setminus w$
using $t'x' tx ww' xx' N.Cong_0\text{-composite-of-arr-normal [of } t x tx] N.Cong_0\text{-subst-left}(2)$
by $(metis N.Cong_0\text{-transitive } R.conI)$
also have $t \setminus w \approx_0 t' \setminus w'$
using ww' **by** *blast*
also have $t' \setminus w' \approx_0 ?t'x'-w'$
using $t'x' tx ww' xx' N.Cong_0\text{-composite-of-arr-normal [of } t' x' t'x'] N.Cong_0\text{-subst-left}(2)$
by $(metis N.Cong_0\text{-transitive } R.conI)$
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
obtain z **where** $z: R.composite-of ?tx-w (?t'x'-w' \setminus ?tx-w) z$
by $(metis 2 R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-implies-arr(2) N.elements-are-arr N.composite-closed-right R.seqI(1) R.sources-resid)$
obtain z' **where** $z': R.composite-of ?t'x'-w' (?tx-w \setminus ?t'x'-w') z'$
by $(metis 2 R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-implies-arr(2) N.elements-are-arr N.composite-closed-right R.seqI(1) R.sources-resid)$
have 3: $z \approx_0 z'$
using 2 $N.diamond-commutes-upto-Cong_0 N.Cong_0\text{-imp-con } z z'$ **by** *blast*
have $R.targets z = R.targets z'$
by $(metis R.targets-resid-sym z z' R.targets-composite-of R.conI)$
have $Con-z-uw: z \frown ?u-w$
proof –
have $?tx-w \frown ?u-w$
by $(meson 3 N.Cong_0\text{-composite-of-arr-normal } N.Cong_0\text{-subst-left}(1) R.bounded-imp-con R.con-implies-arr(1) R.con-imp-coinitial N.resid-along-elem-preserves-con tu tx ww' xx' z z' R.arr-resid-iff-con)$
thus *?thesis*
using 2 $N.Cong_0\text{-composite-of-arr-normal } N.Cong_0\text{-subst-left}(1) z$ **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $Con-z'-vw': z' \frown ?v-w'$
proof –
have $?t'x'-w' \frown ?v-w'$
by $(meson 3 N.Cong_0\text{-composite-of-arr-normal } N.Cong_0\text{-subst-left}(1) R.bounded-imp-con t'v t'x' ww' xx' z z' R.con-imp-coinitial N.resid-along-elem-preserves-con R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-implies-arr(1))$
thus *?thesis*
by $(meson 2 N.Cong_0\text{-composite-of-arr-normal } N.Cong_0\text{-subst-left}(1) z')$
qed
moreover have $Con-z-vw': z \frown ?v-w'$
using 3 $Con-z'-vw' N.Cong_0\text{-subst-left}(1)$ **by** *blast*
moreover have *: $?u-w \setminus z \frown ?v-w' \setminus z$
proof –
obtain y **where** $y: R.composite-of (w \setminus tx) (?t'x'-w' \setminus ?tx-w) y$
by $(metis 2 R.arr-resid-iff-con R.composable-def R.composable-imp-seq R.con-imp-coinitial N.elements-are-arr N.composite-closed-right R.seq-def R.targets-resid-sym ww' z N.forward-stable)$
obtain y' **where** $y': R.composite-of (w' \setminus t'x') (?tx-w \setminus ?t'x'-w') y'$

by (*metis 2 R.arr-resid-iff-con R.composable-def R.composable-imp-seq*
R.con-imp-coinitial N.elements-are-arr N.composite-closed-right
R.targets-resid-sym ww' z' R.seq-def N.forward-stable)
have *y-comp*: *R.composite-of* ($w \setminus tx$) ($(t'x' \setminus w') \setminus (tx \setminus w)$) *y*
using *y* **by** *simp*
have *y-in-normal*: $y \in \mathfrak{N}$
by (*metis 2 Con-z-uw R.arr-iff-has-source R.arr-resid-iff-con N.composite-closed*
R.con-imp-coinitial R.con-implies-arr(1) N.forward-stable
R.sources-composite-of ww' y-comp z)
have *y-coinitial*: *R.coinitial* *y* ($u \setminus tx$)
using *y* *R.arr-composite-of* *R.sources-composite-of*
apply (*intro R.coinitialI*)
apply *auto*
apply (*metis N.Cong₀-composite-of-arr-normal N.Cong₀-subst-right(1)*
R.composite-of-cancel-left R.con-sym R.not-ide-null R.null-is-zero(2)
R.sources-resid R.conI tu tx xx'))
by (*metis R.arr-iff-has-source R.not-arr-null R.sources-resid empty-iff R.conI*)
have *y-con*: $y \frown u \setminus tx$
using *y-in-normal y-coinitial*
by (*metis R.coinitial-iff N.elements-are-arr N.forward-stable*
R.arr-resid-iff-con)
have *A*: $?u-w \setminus z \sim (u \setminus tx) \setminus y$
proof –
have $(u \setminus tx) \setminus y \sim ((u \setminus tx) \setminus (w \setminus tx)) \setminus (?t'x'-w' \setminus ?tx-w)$
using *y-comp y-con*
R.resid-composite-of(3) [of w \setminus tx ?t'x'-w' \setminus ?tx-w y u \setminus tx]
by *simp*
also have $((u \setminus tx) \setminus (w \setminus tx)) \setminus (?t'x'-w' \setminus ?tx-w) \sim ?u-w \setminus z$
by (*metis Con-z-uw R.resid-composite-of(3) z R.cube*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
have *y'-comp*: *R.composite-of* ($w' \setminus t'x'$) ($?tx-w \setminus ?t'x'-w'$) *y'*
using *y'* **by** *simp*
have *y'-in-normal*: $y' \in \mathfrak{N}$
by (*metis 2 Con-z'-vw' R.arr-iff-has-source R.arr-resid-iff-con*
N.composite-closed R.con-imp-coinitial R.con-implies-arr(1)
N.forward-stable R.sources-composite-of ww' y'-comp z')
have *y'-coinitial*: *R.coinitial* *y'* ($v \setminus t'x'$)
using *y'* *R.coinitial-def*
by (*metis Con-z'-vw' R.arr-resid-iff-con R.composite-ofE R.con-imp-coinitial*
R.con-implies-arr(1) R.cube R.prfx-implies-con R.resid-composite-of(1)
R.sources-resid z')
have *y'-con*: $y' \frown v \setminus t'x'$
using *y'-in-normal y'-coinitial*
by (*metis R.coinitial-iff N.elements-are-arr N.forward-stable*
R.arr-resid-iff-con)
have *B*: $?v-w' \setminus z' \sim (v \setminus t'x') \setminus y'$
proof –
have $(v \setminus t'x') \setminus y' \sim ((v \setminus t'x') \setminus (w' \setminus t'x')) \setminus (?tx-w \setminus ?t'x'-w')$

using y' -comp y' -con
 $R.resid-composite-of(\mathcal{B})$ [of $w' \setminus t'x' \ ?tx-w \setminus ?t'x'-w' y' v \setminus t'x'$]
by *blast*
also have $((v \setminus t'x') \setminus (w' \setminus t'x')) \setminus (?tx-w \setminus ?t'x'-w') \sim ?v-w' \setminus z'$
by (*metis* $Con-z'-vw'$ $R.cube$ $R.resid-composite-of(\mathcal{B})$ z')
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed
have $C: u \setminus tx \frown v \setminus t'x'$
using tx $t'x'$ xx' $R.con-sym$ $R.cong-subst-right(1)$ $R.resid-composite-of(\mathcal{B})$
by (*meson* $R.coinitial-iff$ $R.arr-resid-iff-con$ y' -*coinitial* y -*coinitial*)
have $D: y \approx_0 y'$
proof –
have $y \approx_0 w \setminus tx$
using 2 $N.Cong_0-composite-of-arr-normal$ y -comp **by** *blast*
also have $w \setminus tx \approx_0 w' \setminus t'x'$
proof –
have $w \setminus tx \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \setminus t'x' \in \mathfrak{N}$
using $N.factor-closed(1)$ y -comp y -in-normal y' -comp y' -in-normal **by** *blast*
moreover have $R.coinitial$ $(w \setminus tx)$ $(w' \setminus t'x')$
by (*metis* C $R.coinitial-def$ $R.con-implies-arr(2)$ $N.elements-are-arr$
 $R.sources-resid$ *calculation* $R.con-imp-coinitial$ $R.arr-resid-iff-con$ $y-con$)
ultimately show $?thesis$
by (*meson* $R.arr-resid-iff-con$ $R.con-imp-coinitial$ $N.forward-stable$
 $N.elements-are-arr$)
qed
also have $w' \setminus t'x' \approx_0 y'$
using 2 $N.Cong_0-composite-of-arr-normal$ y' -comp **by** *blast*
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed
have $par-y-y': R.sources\ y = R.sources\ y' \wedge R.targets\ y = R.targets\ y'$
using D $N.Cong_0-imp-coinitial$ $R.targets-composite-of\ y'-comp\ y-comp\ z\ z'$
 $\langle R.targets\ z = R.targets\ z' \rangle$
by *presburger*
have $E: (u \setminus tx) \setminus y \frown (v \setminus t'x') \setminus y'$
proof –
have $(u \setminus tx) \setminus y \frown (v \setminus t'x') \setminus y$
using C $N.Resid-along-normal-preserves-reflects-con$ $R.coinitial-iff$
 y -*coinitial* y -in-normal
by *presburger*
moreover have $(v \setminus t'x') \setminus y \approx_0 (v \setminus t'x') \setminus y'$
using $par-y-y'$ $N.coherent$ $R.coinitial-iff$ y' -*coinitial* y' -in-normal y -in-normal
by *presburger*
ultimately show $?thesis$
using $N.Cong_0-subst-right(1)$ **by** *blast*
qed
hence $?u-w \setminus z \frown ?v-w' \setminus z'$
proof –
have $(u \setminus tx) \setminus y \sim ?u-w \setminus z$
using A **by** *simp*

moreover have $(u \setminus tx) \setminus y \frown (v \setminus t'x') \setminus y'$
using E **by** *blast*
moreover have $(v \setminus t'x') \setminus y' \sim ?v-w' \setminus z'$
using B $R.cong\text{-symmetric}$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $R.sources ((u \setminus w) \setminus z) = R.sources ((v \setminus w') \setminus z')$
by (*simp add: Con-z'-vw' Con-z-uw R.con-sym <R.targets z = R.targets z'>*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*meson N.Cong₀-subst-Con N.ide-closed*)
qed
moreover have $?v-w' \setminus z' \approx ?v-w' \setminus z$
by (*meson 3 Con-z-vw' N.CongI N.Cong₀-subst-right(2) R.con-sym*)
moreover have $R.sources ((v \setminus w') \setminus z) = R.sources ((u \setminus w) \setminus z)$
by (*metis R.con-implies-arr(1) R.sources-resid calculation(1) calculation(2)*
 $N.Cong\text{-imp-arr}(2)$ $R.arr\text{-resid-iff-con}$)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis N.Cong-reflexive N.Cong-subst(1) R.con-implies-arr(1)*)
qed
ultimately have $**$: $?v-w' \setminus z \frown ?u-w \setminus z \wedge$
 $(?v-w' \setminus z) \setminus (?u-w \setminus z) = (?v-w' \setminus ?u-w) \setminus (z \setminus ?u-w)$
by (*meson R.con-sym R.cube*)
have $Cong\text{-t-z}$: $t \approx z$
by (*metis 2 N.Cong₀-composite-of-arr-normal N.Cong-closure-props(2-3)*
 $N.Cong\text{-closure-props}(4)$ $N.Cong\text{-imp-arr}(2)$ $R.coinitial\text{-iff}$ $R.con\text{-imp-coinitial}$
 tx ww' xx' z $R.arr\text{-resid-iff-con}$)
have $Cong\text{-u-uw}$: $u \approx ?u-w$
by (*meson Con-z-uw N.Cong-closure-props(4) R.coinitial-iff R.con-imp-coinitial*
 ww' $R.arr\text{-resid-iff-con}$)
have $Cong\text{-v-vw'}$: $v \approx ?v-w'$
by (*meson Con-z-vw' N.Cong-closure-props(4) R.coinitial-iff ww' R.con-imp-coinitial*
 $R.arr\text{-resid-iff-con}$)
have \mathcal{T} : $N.is\text{-Cong-class } \mathcal{T} \wedge z \in \mathcal{T}$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Cong-t-z N.Cong-class-eqI N.Cong-class-is-nonempty*
 $N.Cong\text{-class-memb-Cong-rep}$ $N.Cong\text{-class-rep}$ $N.Cong\text{-imp-arr}(2)$ $N.arr\text{-in-Cong-class}$
 tu $assms$ $Con\text{-char}$)
have \mathcal{U} : $N.is\text{-Cong-class } \mathcal{U} \wedge ?u-w \in \mathcal{U}$
by (*metis Con-char Con-z-uw Cong-u-uw Int-iff N.Cong-class-eqI' N.Cong-class-eqI*
 $N.arr\text{-in-Cong-class}$ $R.con\text{-implies-arr}(2)$ $N.is\text{-Cong-classI}$ tu $assms$ $empty\text{-iff}$)
have \mathcal{V} : $N.is\text{-Cong-class } \mathcal{V} \wedge ?v-w' \in \mathcal{V}$
by (*metis Con-char Con-z-vw' Cong-v-vw' Int-iff N.Cong-class-eqI' N.Cong-class-eqI*
 $N.arr\text{-in-Cong-class}$ $R.con\text{-implies-arr}(2)$ $N.is\text{-Cong-classI}$ $t'v$ $assms$ $empty\text{-iff}$)
show $(\mathcal{V} \setminus \setminus \mathcal{T}) \setminus \setminus (\mathcal{U} \setminus \setminus \mathcal{T}) = (\mathcal{V} \setminus \setminus \mathcal{U}) \setminus \setminus (\mathcal{T} \setminus \setminus \mathcal{U})$
proof –
have $(\mathcal{V} \setminus \setminus \mathcal{T}) \setminus \setminus (\mathcal{U} \setminus \setminus \mathcal{T}) = \setminus \setminus (?v-w' \setminus z) \setminus \setminus (?u-w \setminus z)$
using \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{V} $*$ $Resid\text{-by-members}$
by (*metis ** Con-char N.arr-in-Cong-class R.arr-resid-iff-con assms R.con-implies-arr(2)*)
moreover have $(\mathcal{V} \setminus \setminus \mathcal{U}) \setminus \setminus (\mathcal{T} \setminus \setminus \mathcal{U}) = \setminus \setminus (?v-w' \setminus ?u-w) \setminus \setminus (z \setminus ?u-w)$
using $Resid\text{-by-members}$ [of \mathcal{V} \mathcal{U} $?v-w'$ $?u-w$] $Resid\text{-by-members}$ [of \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U} z $?u-w$]
 $Resid\text{-by-members}$ [of \mathcal{V} $\setminus \setminus \mathcal{U}$ \mathcal{T} $\setminus \setminus \mathcal{U}$ $?v-w' \setminus ?u-w$ $z \setminus ?u-w$]
by (*metis \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{V} $*$ $*$ N.arr-in-Cong-class R.con-implies-arr(2) N.is-Cong-classI*)

$R.resid-reflects-con\ R.arr-resid-iff-con)$
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *** by simp*
qed
qed

sublocale *residuation Resid*
using *null-char Con-sym Arr-Resid Cube*
by *unfold-locales metis+*

lemma *is-residuation:*
shows *residuation Resid*
..

lemma *arr-char:*
shows $arr\ \mathcal{T} \longleftrightarrow N.is-Cong-class\ \mathcal{T}$
by (*metis N.is-Cong-class-def arrI not-arr-null null-char N.Cong-class-memb-is-arr Con-char R.arrE arrE arr-resid conI*)

lemma *ide-char:*
shows $ide\ \mathcal{U} \longleftrightarrow arr\ \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \cap \mathfrak{N} \neq \{\}$
proof
show $ide\ \mathcal{U} \implies arr\ \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \cap \mathfrak{N} \neq \{\}$
apply (*elim ideE*)
by (*metis Con-char N.Cong₀-reflexive Resid-by-members disjoint-iff null-char N.arr-in-Cong-class R.arrE R.arr-resid arr-resid conE*)
show $arr\ \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \cap \mathfrak{N} \neq \{\} \implies ide\ \mathcal{U}$
proof –
assume $\mathcal{U}: arr\ \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \cap \mathfrak{N} \neq \{\}$
obtain u **where** $u: R.arr\ u \wedge u \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathfrak{N}$
using $\mathcal{U}\ arr-char$
by (*metis IntI N.Cong-class-memb-is-arr disjoint-iff*)
show *?thesis*
by (*metis IntD1 IntD2 N.Cong-class-eqI N.Cong-closure-props(4) N.arr-in-Cong-class N.is-Cong-classI Resid-by-members $\mathcal{U}\ arrE\ arr-char\ disjoint-iff\ ideI\ N.Cong-class-eqI'\ R.arrE\ u$*)
qed
qed

lemma *ide-char':*
shows $ide\ \mathcal{A} \longleftrightarrow arr\ \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$
by (*metis Int-absorb2 Int-emptyI N.Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep N.Cong-closure-props(1) ide-char not-arr-null null-char N.normal-is-Cong-closed arr-char subsetI*)

lemma *con-char_{QCN}:*
shows $con\ \mathcal{T}\ \mathcal{U} \longleftrightarrow$
 $N.is-Cong-class\ \mathcal{T} \wedge N.is-Cong-class\ \mathcal{U} \wedge (\exists t\ u. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge u \in \mathcal{U} \wedge t \frown u)$
by (*metis Con-char conE conI null-char*)

lemma *con-imp-coinitial-members-are-con*:

assumes *con* $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{U}$ **and** $t \in \mathcal{T}$ **and** $u \in \mathcal{U}$ **and** $R.sources\ t = R.sources\ u$
shows $t \frown u$

by (*meson* *assms* $N.Cong-subst(1)$ $N.is-Cong-classE$ *con-char* $_{QCN}$)

sublocale *rts Resid*

proof

show $1: \bigwedge \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}. \llbracket ide\ \mathcal{A};\ con\ \mathcal{T}\ \mathcal{A} \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{T} \{\!\!\}\ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}$

proof –

fix $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}$

assume \mathcal{A} : *ide* \mathcal{A} **and** *con*: *con* $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{A}$

obtain $t\ a$ **where** ta : $t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge a \in \mathcal{A} \wedge R.con\ t\ a \wedge \mathcal{T} \{\!\!\}\ \mathcal{A} = \{t \setminus a\}$

using *con* *con-char* $_{QCN}$ *Resid-by-members* **by** *auto*

have $a \in \mathfrak{N}$

using $\mathcal{A}\ ta$ *ide-char'* **by** *auto*

hence $t \setminus a \approx t$

by (*meson* $N.Cong-closure-props(4)$ $N.Cong-symmetric$ $R.coinitialE$ $R.con-imp-coinitial\ ta$)

thus $\mathcal{T} \{\!\!\}\ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}$

using ta

by (*metis* $N.Cong-class-eqI$ $N.Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep$ $N.Cong-class-rep\ con\ con-char_{QCN}$)

qed

show $\bigwedge \mathcal{T}. arr\ \mathcal{T} \implies ide\ (trg\ \mathcal{T})$

by (*metis* $N.Cong_0-reflexive$ *Resid-by-members disjoint-iff ide-char* $N.Cong-class-memb-is-arr$ $N.arr-in-Cong-class$ $N.is-Cong-class-def\ arr-char$ $R.arrE$ $R.arr-resid\ resid-arr-self$)

show $\bigwedge \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}. \llbracket ide\ \mathcal{A};\ con\ \mathcal{A}\ \mathcal{T} \rrbracket \implies ide\ (\mathcal{A} \{\!\!\}\ \mathcal{T})$

by (*metis* $1\ arrE\ arr-resid\ con-sym\ ideE\ ideI\ cube$)

show $\bigwedge \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U}. con\ \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U} \implies \exists \mathcal{A}. ide\ \mathcal{A} \wedge con\ \mathcal{A}\ \mathcal{T} \wedge con\ \mathcal{A}\ \mathcal{U}$

proof –

fix $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{U}$

assume $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}$: *con* $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{U}$

obtain $t\ u$ **where** tu : $\mathcal{T} = \{t\} \wedge \mathcal{U} = \{u\} \wedge t \frown u$

using $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}$ *con-char* $_{QCN}$ *arr-char*

by (*metis* $N.Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep$ $N.Cong-class-eqI$ $N.Cong-class-rep$)

obtain a **where** a : $a \in R.sources\ t$

using $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}\ tu$ $R.con-implies-arr(1)$ $R.arr-iff-has-source$ **by** *blast*

have $ide\ \{a\} \wedge con\ \{a\}\ \mathcal{T} \wedge con\ \{a\}\ \mathcal{U}$

proof (*intro conjI*)

have 2 : $a \in \mathfrak{N}$

using $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}\ tu\ a$ *arr-char* $N.ide-closed$ $R.sources-def$ **by** *force*

show 3 : $ide\ \{a\}$

using $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}\ tu\ 2\ a$ *ide-char* *arr-char* *con-char* $_{QCN}$

by (*metis* $IntI$ $N.arr-in-Cong-class$ $N.is-Cong-classI$ *empty-iff* $N.elements-are-arr$)

show $con\ \{a\}\ \mathcal{T}$

using $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}\ tu\ 2\ 3\ a$ *ide-char* *arr-char* *con-char* $_{QCN}$

by (*metis* $N.arr-in-Cong-class$ $R.composite-of-source-arr$

$R.composite-of-def$ $R.prfx-implies-con$ $R.con-implies-arr(1)$)

show $\text{con } \{\!| a \!\} \mathcal{U}$
using \mathcal{TU} *tu a ide-char arr-char con-char_{QCN}*
by (*metis N.arr-in-Cong-class R.composite-of-source-arr R.con-prfx-composite-of N.is-Cong-classI R.con-implies-arr(1) R.con-implies-arr(2)*)
qed
thus $\exists A. \text{ide } A \wedge \text{con } A \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{con } A \mathcal{U}$ **by** *auto*
qed
show $\bigwedge \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{V}. [\text{ide } (\mathcal{T} \{\!| \setminus \!\} \mathcal{U}); \text{con } \mathcal{U} \mathcal{V}] \implies \text{con } (\mathcal{T} \{\!| \setminus \!\} \mathcal{U}) (\mathcal{V} \{\!| \setminus \!\} \mathcal{U})$
proof –
fix $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{V}$
assume \mathcal{TU} : *ide* $(\mathcal{T} \{\!| \setminus \!\} \mathcal{U})$
assume \mathcal{UV} : *con* $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{V}$
obtain $t u$ **where** tu : $t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge u \in \mathcal{U} \wedge t \frown u \wedge \mathcal{T} \{\!| \setminus \!\} \mathcal{U} = \{\!| t \setminus u \!\}$
using \mathcal{TU}
by (*meson Resid-by-members ide-implies-arr quotient-by-coherent-normal.con-char_{QCN} quotient-by-coherent-normal-axioms arr-resid-iff-con*)
obtain $v u'$ **where** vu' : $v \in \mathcal{V} \wedge u' \in \mathcal{U} \wedge v \frown u' \wedge \mathcal{V} \{\!| \setminus \!\} \mathcal{U} = \{\!| v \setminus u' \!\}$
by (*meson R.con-sym Resid-by-members UV con-char_{QCN}*)
have 1 : $u \approx u'$
using \mathcal{UV} *tu vu'*
by (*meson N.Cong-class-membs-are-Cong con-char_{QCN}*)
obtain $w w'$ **where** ww' : $w \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge u \setminus w \approx_0 u' \setminus w'$
using 1 **by** *auto*
have 2 : $((t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u)) \setminus ((u' \setminus w') \setminus (u \setminus w)) \frown ((v \setminus u') \setminus (w' \setminus u')) \setminus ((u \setminus w) \setminus (u' \setminus w'))$
proof –
have $((t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u)) \setminus ((u' \setminus w') \setminus (u \setminus w)) \in \mathfrak{N}$
proof –
have $t \setminus u \in \mathfrak{N}$
using *tu N.arr-in-Cong-class R.arr-resid-iff-con TU ide-char'* **by** *blast*
hence $(t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u) \in \mathfrak{N}$
by (*metis N.Cong-closure-props(4) N.forward-stable R.null-is-zero(2) R.con-imp-coinitial R.sources-resid N.Cong-imp-arr(2) R.arr-resid-iff-con tu ww' R.conI*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis N.Cong-closure-props(4) N.normal-is-Cong-closed R.sources-resid R.targets-resid-sym N.elements-are-arr R.arr-resid-iff-con ww' R.conI*)
qed
moreover **have** $R.sources (((t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u)) \setminus ((u' \setminus w') \setminus (u \setminus w))) = R.sources (((v \setminus u') \setminus (w' \setminus u')) \setminus ((u \setminus w) \setminus (u' \setminus w')))$
proof –
have $R.sources (((t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u)) \setminus ((u' \setminus w') \setminus (u \setminus w))) = R.targets ((u' \setminus w') \setminus (u \setminus w))$
using *R.arr-resid-iff-con N.elements-are-arr R.sources-resid calculation* **by** *blast*
also **have** $\dots = R.targets ((u \setminus w) \setminus (u' \setminus w'))$
by (*metis R.targets-resid-sym R.conI*)
also **have** $\dots = R.sources (((v \setminus u') \setminus (w' \setminus u')) \setminus ((u \setminus w) \setminus (u' \setminus w')))$
using *R.arr-resid-iff-con N.elements-are-arr R.sources-resid*
by (*metis N.Cong-closure-props(4) N.Cong-imp-arr(2) R.con-implies-arr(1)*)

$R.con\text{-}imp\text{-}coinitial$ $N.forward\text{-}stable$ $R.targets\text{-}resid\text{-}sym$ $vu' ww'$)
finally show *?thesis by simp*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) $N.Cong_0\text{-}imp\text{-}con$ $N.Cong\text{-}closure\text{-}props(4)$
 $N.Cong\text{-}imp\text{-}arr(2)$ $R.arr\text{-}resid\text{-}iff\text{-}con$ $R.con\text{-}imp\text{-}coinitial$ $N.forward\text{-}stable$
 $R.null\text{-}is\text{-}zero(2)$ $R.conI$)
qed
moreover have $t \setminus u \approx ((t \setminus u) \setminus (w \setminus u)) \setminus ((u' \setminus w') \setminus (u \setminus w))$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) $N.Cong\text{-}closure\text{-}props(4)$ $N.Cong\text{-}transitive$
 $N.forward\text{-}stable$ $R.arr\text{-}resid\text{-}iff\text{-}con$ $R.con\text{-}imp\text{-}coinitial$ $R.rts\text{-}axioms$ *calculation*
 $rts.coinitial\text{-}iff$ ww')
moreover have $v \setminus u' \approx ((v \setminus u') \setminus (w' \setminus u')) \setminus ((u \setminus w) \setminus (u' \setminus w'))$
proof –
have $w' \setminus u' \in \mathfrak{N}$
by (*meson* $R.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr(2)$ $R.con\text{-}imp\text{-}coinitial$ $N.forward\text{-}stable$
 $ww' N.Cong_0\text{-}imp\text{-}con$ $R.arr\text{-}resid\text{-}iff\text{-}con$)
moreover have $(u \setminus w) \setminus (u' \setminus w') \in \mathfrak{N}$
using ww' **by** *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*meson* $2 N.Cong\text{-}closure\text{-}props(2)$ $N.Cong\text{-}closure\text{-}props(4)$ $R.arr\text{-}resid\text{-}iff\text{-}con$
 $R.coinitial\text{-}iff$ $R.con\text{-}imp\text{-}coinitial$)
qed
ultimately show $con (\mathcal{T} \{\!\!\}\ \mathcal{U}) (\mathcal{V} \{\!\!\}\ \mathcal{U})$
using $con\text{-}char_{QC_N}$ $N.Cong\text{-}class\text{-}def$ $N.is\text{-}Cong\text{-}classI$ $tu vu' R.arr\text{-}resid\text{-}iff\text{-}con$
by *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *is-rts*:
shows rts *Resid*
..

sublocale *extensional-rts Resid*
proof
fix $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{U}$
assume $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}$: $cong \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U}$
show $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U}$
proof –
obtain $t u$ **where** tu : $\mathcal{T} = \{\!\!\}t \setminus \setminus \mathcal{U} = \{\!\!\}u \setminus \setminus \mathcal{U} \wedge t \frown u$
by (*metis* $Con\text{-}char$ $N.Cong\text{-}class\text{-}eqI$ $N.Cong\text{-}class\text{-}memb\text{-}Cong\text{-}rep$ $N.Cong\text{-}class\text{-}rep$
 $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}$ *ide-char not-arr-null null-char*)
have $t \approx_0 u$
proof
show $t \setminus u \in \mathfrak{N}$
using $tu \mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}$ *Resid-by-members* [*of* $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{U} t u$]
by (*metis* (*full-types*) $N.arr\text{-}in\text{-}Cong\text{-}class$ $R.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr(1-2)$
 $N.is\text{-}Cong\text{-}classI$ *ide-char'* $R.arr\text{-}resid\text{-}iff\text{-}con$ *subset-iff*)
show $u \setminus t \in \mathfrak{N}$

```

    using tu  $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}$  Resid-by-members [of  $\mathcal{U}$   $\mathcal{T}$   $u$   $t$ ]  $R.con\text{-}sym$ 
    by (metis (full-types)  $N.arr\text{-}in\text{-}Cong\text{-}class$   $R.con\text{-}implies\text{-}arr(1-2)$ 
         $N.is\text{-}Cong\text{-}classI$   $ide\text{-}char'$   $R.arr\text{-}resid\text{-}iff\text{-}con$   $subset\text{-}iff$ )
qed
hence  $t \approx u$ 
    using  $N.Cong_0\text{-}implies\text{-}Cong$  by simp
thus  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U}$ 
    by (simp add:  $N.Cong\text{-}class\text{-}eqI$   $tu$ )
qed
qed

theorem is-extensional-rts:
shows extensional-rts Resid
..

lemma sources-charQCN:
shows sources  $\mathcal{T} = \{a. arr \mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{A} = \{a. \exists t a'. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge a' \in R.sources\ t \wedge a' \approx a\}\}$ 
proof -
let  $?A = \{a. \exists t a'. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge a' \in R.sources\ t \wedge a' \approx a\}$ 
have 1:  $arr \mathcal{T} \implies ide\ ?A$ 
proof (unfold  $ide\text{-}char'$ , intro  $conjI$ )
assume  $\mathcal{T}: arr \mathcal{T}$ 
show  $?A \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ 
    using  $N.ide\text{-}closed$   $N.normal\text{-}is\text{-}Cong\text{-}closed$  by blast
show  $arr\ ?A$ 
proof -
have  $N.is\text{-}Cong\text{-}class\ ?A$ 
proof
show  $?A \neq \{\}$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $Collect\text{-}empty\text{-}eq$   $N.Cong\text{-}class\text{-}def$   $N.Cong\text{-}imp\text{-}arr(1)$ 
         $N.is\text{-}Cong\text{-}class\text{-}def$   $N.sources\text{-}are\text{-}Cong$   $R.arr\text{-}iff\text{-}has\text{-}source$   $R.sources\text{-}def$ 
         $\mathcal{T}\ arr\text{-}char\ mem\text{-}Collect\text{-}eq$ )
show  $\bigwedge a a'. \llbracket a \in ?A; a' \approx a \rrbracket \implies a' \in ?A$ 
    using  $N.Cong\text{-}transitive$  by blast
show  $\bigwedge a a'. \llbracket a \in ?A; a' \in ?A \rrbracket \implies a \approx a'$ 
proof -
fix  $a a'$ 
assume  $a: a \in ?A$  and  $a': a' \in ?A$ 
obtain  $t b$  where  $b: t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge b \in R.sources\ t \wedge b \approx a$ 
    using  $a$  by blast
obtain  $t' b'$  where  $b': t' \in \mathcal{T} \wedge b' \in R.sources\ t' \wedge b' \approx a'$ 
    using  $a'$  by blast
have  $b \approx b'$ 
    using  $\mathcal{T}\ arr\text{-}char$   $b\ b'$ 
    by (meson  $IntD1$   $N.Cong\text{-}class\text{-}membs\text{-}are\text{-}Cong$   $N.in\text{-}sources\text{-}respects\text{-}Cong$ )
thus  $a \approx a'$ 
    by (meson  $N.Cong\text{-}symmetric$   $N.Cong\text{-}transitive$   $b\ b'$ )
qed
qed
qed

```

```

    thus ?thesis
      using arr-char by auto
    qed
  qed
  moreover have arr  $\mathcal{T} \implies \text{con } \mathcal{T} \text{ ?}\mathcal{A}$ 
  proof -
    assume  $\mathcal{T}$ : arr  $\mathcal{T}$ 
    obtain  $t$   $a$  where  $a$ :  $t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge a \in R.\text{sources } t$ 
      using  $\mathcal{T}$  arr-char
      by (metis N.Cong-class-is-nonempty R.arr-iff-has-source empty-subsetI
          N.Cong-class-memb-is-arr subsetI subset-antisym)
    have  $t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge a \in \{a. \exists t a'. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge a' \in R.\text{sources } t \wedge a' \approx a\} \wedge t \frown a$ 
      using a.N.Cong-reflexive R.sources-def R.con-implies-arr(2) by fast
    thus ?thesis
      using  $\mathcal{T}$  1 arr-char con-charQCN [of  $\mathcal{T}$  ? $\mathcal{A}$ ] by auto
  qed
  ultimately have arr  $\mathcal{T} \implies \text{?}\mathcal{A} \in \text{sources } \mathcal{T}$ 
    using sources-def by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using 1 ide-char sources-char by auto
  qed

lemma targets-charQCN:
shows targets  $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathcal{B}. \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{T} \{\!\!\}\ \mathcal{T}\}$ 
proof -
  have targets  $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathcal{B}. \text{ide } \mathcal{B} \wedge \text{con } (\mathcal{T} \{\!\!\}\ \mathcal{T}) \mathcal{B}\}$ 
    by (simp add: targets-def trg-def)
  also have ... =  $\{\mathcal{B}. \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{ide } \mathcal{B} \wedge (\exists t u. t \in \mathcal{T} \{\!\!\}\ \mathcal{T} \wedge u \in \mathcal{B} \wedge t \frown u)\}$ 
    using arr-resid-iff-con con-charQCN arr-char arr-def by auto
  also have ... =  $\{\mathcal{B}. \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{ide } \mathcal{B} \wedge$ 
     $(\exists t t' b u. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge t' \in \mathcal{T} \wedge t \frown t' \wedge b \in \{t \setminus t'\} \wedge u \in \mathcal{B} \wedge b \frown u)\}$ 
  apply auto
  apply (metis (full-types) Resid-by-members cong-char not-ide-null null-char Con-char)
  by (metis Resid-by-members arr-char)
  also have ... =  $\{\mathcal{B}. \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{ide } \mathcal{B} \wedge$ 
     $(\exists t t' b. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge t' \in \mathcal{T} \wedge t \frown t' \wedge b \in \{t \setminus t'\} \wedge b \in \mathcal{B})\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge \mathcal{B} t t' b. [\text{arr } \mathcal{T}; \text{ide } \mathcal{B}; t \in \mathcal{T}; t' \in \mathcal{T}; t \frown t'; b \in \{t \setminus t'\}]$ 
       $\implies (\exists u. u \in \mathcal{B} \wedge b \frown u) \iff b \in \mathcal{B}$ 
    proof -
      fix  $\mathcal{B} t t' b$ 
      assume  $\mathcal{T}$ : arr  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ : ide  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $t$ :  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $t'$ :  $t' \in \mathcal{T}$ 
        and  $tt'$ :  $t \frown t'$  and  $b$ :  $b \in \{t \setminus t'\}$ 
      have 0:  $b \in \mathfrak{N}$ 
        by (metis Resid-by-members  $\mathcal{T}$   $b$  ide-char' ide-trg arr-char subsetD  $t$   $t'$  trg-def  $tt'$ )
      show  $(\exists u. u \in \mathcal{B} \wedge b \frown u) \iff b \in \mathcal{B}$ 
        using 0
        by (meson N.Cong-closure-props(3) N.forward-stable N.elements-are-arr
             $\mathcal{B}$  arr-char R.con-imp-coinitial N.is-Cong-classE ide-char' R.arrE)
    proof -

```

R.con-sym subsetD)

qed
thus *?thesis*
 using *ide-char arr-char*
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)

qed
also have ... = $\{\mathcal{B}. \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{ide } \mathcal{B} \wedge (\exists t t'. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge t' \in \mathcal{T} \wedge t \frown t' \wedge \{t \setminus t'\} \subseteq \mathcal{B})\}$
proof –
 have $\bigwedge \mathcal{B} t t' b. \llbracket \text{arr } \mathcal{T}; \text{ide } \mathcal{B}; t \in \mathcal{T}; t' \in \mathcal{T}; t \frown t' \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\exists b. b \in \{t \setminus t'\} \wedge b \in \mathcal{B}) \iff \{t \setminus t'\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$
 using *ide-char arr-char*
 apply (*intro iffI*)
 apply (*metis IntI N.Cong-class-eqI' R.arr-resid-iff-con N.is-Cong-classI empty-iff*
 set-eq-subset)
 by (*meson N.arr-in-Cong-class R.arr-resid-iff-con subsetD*)
thus *?thesis*
 using *ide-char arr-char*
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)

qed
also have ... = $\{\mathcal{B}. \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{ide } \mathcal{B} \wedge \mathcal{T} \{\setminus\} \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}\}$
 using *arr-char ide-char Resid-by-members [of $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}$]*
 by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) arrE con-char_{QCN}*)
also have ... = $\{\mathcal{B}. \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{T} \{\setminus\} \mathcal{T}\}$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) arr-has-un-target calculation con-ide-are-eq*
 cong-reflexive mem-Collect-eq targets-def trg-def)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *src-char_{QCN}*:
shows $\text{src } \mathcal{T} = \{a. \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge (\exists t a'. t \in \mathcal{T} \wedge a' \in R.\text{sources } t \wedge a' \approx a)\}$
 using *sources-char_{QCN} [of \mathcal{T}]*
 by (*simp add: null-char src-def*)

lemma *trg-char_{QCN}*:
shows $\text{trg } \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \{\setminus\} \mathcal{T}$
 unfolding *trg-def* **by** *blast*

Quotient Map

abbreviation *quot*
where *quot* $t \equiv \{t\}$

sublocale *quot: simulation resid Resid quot*

proof

show $\bigwedge t. \neg R.\text{arr } t \implies \{t\} = \text{null}$

using *N.Cong-class-def N.Cong-imp-arr(1) null-char* **by** *force*

show $\bigwedge t u. t \frown u \implies \text{con } \{t\} \{u\}$

by (*meson N.arr-in-Cong-class N.is-Cong-classI R.con-implies-arr(1-2) con-char_{QCN}*)

show $\bigwedge t u. t \frown u \implies \{t \setminus u\} = \{t\} \{\setminus\} \{u\}$

by (*metis N.arr-in-Cong-class N.is-Cong-classI R.con-implies-arr(1-2) Resid-by-members*)
qed

lemma *quotient-is-simulation*:
shows *simulation resid Resid quot*
..

end

2.3.7 Identities form a Coherent Normal Sub-RTS

We now show that the collection of identities of an RTS form a coherent normal sub-RTS, and that the associated congruence \approx coincides with \sim . Thus, every RTS can be factored by the relation \sim to obtain an extensional RTS. Although we could have shown that fact much earlier, we have delayed proving it so that we could simply obtain it as a special case of our general quotient result without redundant work.

context *rts*
begin

interpretation *normal-sub-rts resid* \langle *Collect ide* \rangle

proof

show $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{Collect ide} \implies \text{arr } t$

by *blast*

show 1: $\bigwedge a. \text{ide } a \implies a \in \text{Collect ide}$

by *blast*

show $\bigwedge u t. \llbracket u \in \text{Collect ide}; \text{coinitial } t \ u \rrbracket \implies u \setminus t \in \text{Collect ide}$

by (*metis 1 CollectD arr-def coinitial-iff*
con-sym in-sourcesE in-sourcesI resid-ide-arr)

show $\bigwedge u t. \llbracket u \in \text{Collect ide}; t \setminus u \in \text{Collect ide} \rrbracket \implies t \in \text{Collect ide}$

using *ide-backward-stable* by *blast*

show $\bigwedge u t. \llbracket u \in \text{Collect ide}; \text{seq } u \ t \rrbracket \implies \exists v. \text{composite-of } u \ t \ v$

by (*metis composite-of-source-arr ide-def in-sourcesI mem-Collect-eq seq-def*
resid-source-in-targets)

show $\bigwedge u t. \llbracket u \in \text{Collect ide}; \text{seq } t \ u \rrbracket \implies \exists v. \text{composite-of } t \ u \ v$

by (*metis arrE composite-of-arr-target in-sourcesI seqE mem-Collect-eq*)

qed

lemma *identities-form-normal-sub-rts*:
shows *normal-sub-rts resid* (*Collect ide*)
..

interpretation *coherent-normal-sub-rts resid* \langle *Collect ide* \rangle

apply *unfold-locales*

by (*metis CollectD Cong₀-reflexive Cong-closure-props(4) Cong-imp-arr(2)*
arr-resid-iff-con resid-arr-ide)

lemma *identities-form-coherent-normal-sub-rts:*
shows *coherent-normal-sub-rts resid (Collect ide)*

..

lemma *Cong-iff-cong:*
shows *Cong t u \longleftrightarrow t \sim u*
by (*metis CollectD Cong-def ide-closed resid-arr-ide*
Cong-closure-props(3) Cong-imp-arr(2) arr-resid-iff-con)

end

2.4 Paths

A *path* in an RTS is a nonempty list of arrows such that the set of targets of each arrow suitably matches the set of sources of its successor. The residuation on the given RTS extends inductively to a residuation on paths, so that paths also form an RTS. The append operation on lists yields a composite for each pair of compatible paths.

locale *paths-in-rts =*
R: rts
begin

fun *Srcs*
where *Srcs [] = {}*
| Srcs [t] = R.sources t
| Srcs (t # T) = R.sources t

fun *Trgs*
where *Trgs [] = {}*
| Trgs [t] = R.targets t
| Trgs (t # T) = Trgs T

fun *Arr*
where *Arr [] = False*
| Arr [t] = R.arr t
| Arr (t # T) = (R.arr t \wedge Arr T \wedge R.targets t \subseteq Srcs T)

fun *Ide*
where *Ide [] = False*
| Ide [t] = R.ide t
| Ide (t # T) = (R.ide t \wedge Ide T \wedge R.targets t \subseteq Srcs T)

lemma *set-Arr-subset-arr:*
shows *Arr T \implies set T \subseteq Collect R.arr*
apply (*induct T*)
apply *auto*
using *Arr.elims(2)*
apply *blast*
by (*metis Arr.simps(3) Ball-Collect list.set-cases*)

lemma *Arr-imp-arr-hd* [*simp*]:
assumes *Arr T*
shows *R.arr (hd T)*
using *assms*
by (*metis Arr.simps(1) CollectD hd-in-set set-Arr-subset-arr subset-code(1)*)

lemma *Arr-imp-arr-last* [*simp*]:
assumes *Arr T*
shows *R.arr (last T)*
using *assms*
by (*metis Arr.simps(1) CollectD in-mono last-in-set set-Arr-subset-arr*)

lemma *Arr-imp-Arr-tl* [*simp*]:
assumes *Arr T* **and** *tl T ≠ []*
shows *Arr (tl T)*
using *assms*
by (*metis Arr.simps(3) list.exhaust-sel list.sel(2)*)

lemma *set-Ide-subset-ide*:
shows *Ide T ⇒ set T ⊆ Collect R.ide*
apply (*induct T*)
apply *auto*
using *Ide.elims(2)*
apply *blast*
by (*metis Ide.simps(3) Ball-Collect list.set-cases*)

lemma *Ide-imp-Ide-hd* [*simp*]:
assumes *Ide T*
shows *R.ide (hd T)*
using *assms*
by (*metis Ide.simps(1) CollectD hd-in-set set-Ide-subset-ide subset-code(1)*)

lemma *Ide-imp-Ide-last* [*simp*]:
assumes *Ide T*
shows *R.ide (last T)*
using *assms*
by (*metis Ide.simps(1) CollectD in-mono last-in-set set-Ide-subset-ide*)

lemma *Ide-imp-Ide-tl* [*simp*]:
assumes *Ide T* **and** *tl T ≠ []*
shows *Ide (tl T)*
using *assms*
by (*metis Ide.simps(3) list.exhaust-sel list.sel(2)*)

lemma *Ide-implies-Arr*:
shows *Ide T ⇒ Arr T*
apply (*induct T*)
apply *simp*

using *Ide.elims(2)* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *const-ide-is-Ide*:
shows $[[T \neq []; R.ide\ (hd\ T); set\ T \subseteq \{hd\ T\}]] \implies Ide\ T$
apply (*induct T*)
apply *auto*
by (*metis Ide.simps(2-3) R.ideE R.sources-resid Srcs.simps(2-3) empty-iff insert-iff list.exhaust-sel list.set-sel(1) order-refl subset-singletonD*)

lemma *Ide-char*:
shows $Ide\ T \longleftrightarrow Arr\ T \wedge set\ T \subseteq Collect\ R.ide$
apply (*induct T*)
apply *auto[1]*
by (*metis Arr.simps(3) Ide.simps(2-3) Ide-implies-Arr empty-subsetI insert-subset list.simps(15) mem-Collect-eq neq-Nil-conv set-empty*)

lemma *IdeI [intro]*:
assumes *Arr T and set T \subseteq Collect R.ide*
shows *Ide T*
using *assms Ide-char by force*

lemma *Arr-has-Src*:
shows $Arr\ T \implies Srcs\ T \neq \{\}$
apply (*cases T*)
apply *simp*
by (*metis R.arr-iff-has-source Srcs.elims Arr.elims(2) list.distinct(1) list.sel(1)*)

lemma *Arr-has-Trg*:
shows $Arr\ T \implies Trgs\ T \neq \{\}$
using *R.arr-iff-has-target*
apply (*induct T*)
apply *simp*
by (*metis Arr.simps(2) Arr.simps(3) Trgs.simps(2-3) list.exhaust-sel*)

lemma *Srcs-are-ide*:
shows $Srcs\ T \subseteq Collect\ R.ide$
apply (*cases T*)
apply *simp*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Srcs.elims list.distinct(1) mem-Collect-eq R.sources-def subsetI*)

lemma *Trgs-are-ide*:
shows $Trgs\ T \subseteq Collect\ R.ide$
apply (*induct T*)
apply *simp*
by (*metis R.arr-iff-has-target R.sources-resid Srcs.simps(2) Trgs.simps(2-3) Srcs-are-ide empty-subsetI list.exhaust R.arrE*)

lemma *Srcs-are-con*:

assumes $a \in \text{Srcs } T$ **and** $a' \in \text{Srcs } T$
shows $a \frown a'$
using *assms*
by (*metis Srcs.elims empty-iff R.sources-are-con*)

lemma *Srcs-con-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{Srcs } T$ **and** $R.\text{ide } a'$ **and** $a \frown a'$
shows $a' \in \text{Srcs } T$
using *assms R.sources-con-closed*
apply (*cases T, auto*)
by (*metis Srcs.simps(2-3) neq-Nil-conv*)

lemma *Srcs-eqI*:
assumes $\text{Srcs } T \cap \text{Srcs } T' \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } T'$
using *assms R.sources-eqI*
apply (*cases T; cases T'*)
apply *auto*
apply (*metis IntI Srcs.simps(2-3) empty-iff neq-Nil-conv*)
by (*metis Srcs.simps(2-3) assms neq-Nil-conv*)

lemma *Trgs-are-con*:
shows $\llbracket b \in \text{Trgs } T; b' \in \text{Trgs } T \rrbracket \implies b \frown b'$
apply (*induct T*)
apply *auto*
by (*metis R.targets-are-con Trgs.simps(2-3) list.exhaust-sel*)

lemma *Trgs-con-closed*:
shows $\llbracket b \in \text{Trgs } T; R.\text{ide } b'; b \frown b' \rrbracket \implies b' \in \text{Trgs } T$
apply (*induct T*)
apply *auto*
by (*metis R.targets-con-closed Trgs.simps(2-3) neq-Nil-conv*)

lemma *Trgs-eqI*:
assumes $\text{Trgs } T \cap \text{Trgs } T' \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{Trgs } T = \text{Trgs } T'$
using *assms Trgs-are-ide Trgs-are-con Trgs-con-closed* **by** *blast*

lemma *Srcs-simpP*:
assumes $\text{Arr } T$
shows $\text{Srcs } T = R.\text{sources } (\text{hd } T)$
using *assms*
by (*metis Arr-has-Src Srcs.simps(1) Srcs.simps(2) Srcs.simps(3) list.exhaust-sel*)

lemma *Trgs-simpP*:
shows $\text{Arr } T \implies \text{Trgs } T = R.\text{targets } (\text{last } T)$
apply (*induct T*)
apply *simp*
by (*metis Arr.simps(3) Trgs.simps(2) Trgs.simps(3) last-ConsL last-ConsR neq-Nil-conv*)

2.4.1 Residuation on Paths

It was more difficult than I thought to get a correct formal definition for residuation on paths and to prove things from it. Straightforward attempts to write a single recursive definition ran into problems with being able to prove termination, as well as getting the cases correct so that the domain of definition was symmetric. Eventually I found the definition below, which simplifies the termination proof to some extent through the use of two auxiliary functions, and which has a symmetric form that makes symmetry easier to prove. However, there was still some difficulty in proving the recursive expansions with respect to cons and append that I needed.

The following defines residuation of a single transition along a path, yielding a transition.

```
fun Resid1x (infix  $^1 \setminus^*$   $\gamma 0$ )
where  $t \ ^1 \setminus^* \ [] = R.null$ 
      |  $t \ ^1 \setminus^* [u] = t \setminus u$ 
      |  $t \ ^1 \setminus^* (u \# U) = (t \setminus u) \ ^1 \setminus^* U$ 
```

Next, we have residuation of a path along a single transition, yielding a path.

```
fun Residx1 (infix  $^* \setminus^1$   $\gamma 0$ )
where  $[] \ ^* \setminus^1 u = []$ 
      |  $[t] \ ^* \setminus^1 u = (if\ t \ \frown\ u\ then\ [t \setminus u]\ else\ [])$ 
      |  $(t \# T) \ ^* \setminus^1 u =$ 
         $(if\ t \ \frown\ u \wedge T \ ^* \setminus^1 (u \setminus t) \neq []\ then\ (t \setminus u) \# T \ ^* \setminus^1 (u \setminus t)\ else\ [])$ 
```

Finally, residuation of a path along a path, yielding a path.

```
function (sequential) Resid (infix  $^* \setminus^*$   $\gamma 0$ )
where  $[] \ ^* \setminus^* - = []$ 
      |  $- \ ^* \setminus^* [] = []$ 
      |  $[t] \ ^* \setminus^* [u] = (if\ t \ \frown\ u\ then\ [t \setminus u]\ else\ [])$ 
      |  $[t] \ ^* \setminus^* (u \# U) =$ 
         $(if\ t \ \frown\ u \wedge (t \setminus u) \ ^1 \setminus^* U \neq R.null\ then\ [(t \setminus u) \ ^1 \setminus^* U]\ else\ [])$ 
      |  $(t \# T) \ ^* \setminus^* [u] =$ 
         $(if\ t \ \frown\ u \wedge T \ ^* \setminus^1 (u \setminus t) \neq []\ then\ (t \setminus u) \# (T \ ^* \setminus^1 (u \setminus t))\ else\ [])$ 
      |  $(t \# T) \ ^* \setminus^* (u \# U) =$ 
         $(if\ t \ \frown\ u \wedge (t \setminus u) \ ^1 \setminus^* U \neq R.null \wedge$ 
           $(T \ ^* \setminus^1 (u \setminus t)) \ ^* \setminus^* (U \ ^* \setminus^1 (t \setminus u)) \neq []$ 
           $then\ (t \setminus u) \ ^1 \setminus^* U \# (T \ ^* \setminus^1 (u \setminus t)) \ ^* \setminus^* (U \ ^* \setminus^1 (t \setminus u))$ 
           $else\ [])$ 
by pat-completeness auto
```

Residuation of a path along a single transition is length non-increasing. Actually, it is length-preserving, except in case the path and the transition are not consistent. We will show that later, but for now this is what we need to establish termination for (\setminus).

```
lemma length-Residx1:
shows  $length\ (T \ ^* \setminus^1 u) \leq length\ T$ 
proof (induct  $T$  arbitrary:  $u$ )
  show  $\bigwedge u. length\ ([] \ ^* \setminus^1 u) \leq length\ []$ 
```

```

    by simp
  fix t T u
  assume ind:  $\bigwedge u. \text{length } (T * \setminus^1 u) \leq \text{length } T$ 
  show  $\text{length } ((t \# T) * \setminus^1 u) \leq \text{length } (t \# T)$ 
    using ind
    by (cases T, cases t  $\frown$  u, cases T *  $\setminus^1$  (u  $\setminus$  t)) auto
qed

```

termination *Resid*

```

proof (relation measure ( $\lambda(T, U). \text{length } T + \text{length } U$ ))
  show wf (measure ( $\lambda(T, U). \text{length } T + \text{length } U$ ))
    by simp
  fix t t' T u U
  have  $\text{length } ((t' \# T) * \setminus^1 (u \setminus t)) + \text{length } (U * \setminus^1 (t \setminus u))$ 
    <  $\text{length } (t \# t' \# T) + \text{length } (u \# U)$ 
    using length-Resid1
    by (metis add-less-le-mono impossible-Cons le-neq-implies-less list.size(4) trans-le-add1)
  thus 1: (((t' # T) *  $\setminus^1$  (u  $\setminus$  t), U *  $\setminus^1$  (t  $\setminus$  u)), t # t' # T, u # U)
     $\in$  measure ( $\lambda(T, U). \text{length } T + \text{length } U$ )
    by simp
  show (((t' # T) *  $\setminus^1$  (u  $\setminus$  t), U *  $\setminus^1$  (t  $\setminus$  u)), t # t' # T, u # U)
     $\in$  measure ( $\lambda(T, U). \text{length } T + \text{length } U$ )
    using 1 length-Resid1 by blast
  have  $\text{length } (T * \setminus^1 (u \setminus t)) + \text{length } (U * \setminus^1 (t \setminus u)) \leq \text{length } T + \text{length } U$ 
    using length-Resid1 by (simp add: add-mono)
  thus 2: ((T *  $\setminus^1$  (u  $\setminus$  t), U *  $\setminus^1$  (t  $\setminus$  u)), t # T, u # U)
     $\in$  measure ( $\lambda(T, U). \text{length } T + \text{length } U$ )
    by simp
  show ((T *  $\setminus^1$  (u  $\setminus$  t), U *  $\setminus^1$  (t  $\setminus$  u)), t # T, u # U)
     $\in$  measure ( $\lambda(T, U). \text{length } T + \text{length } U$ )
    using 2 length-Resid1 by blast
qed

```

lemma *Resid1x-null*:

```

shows  $R.\text{null } \setminus^1 * T = R.\text{null}$ 
  apply (induct T)
  apply auto
  by (metis R.null-is-zero(1) Resid1x.simps(2-3) list.exhaust)

```

lemma *Resid1x-ide*:

```

shows  $\llbracket R.\text{ide } a; a \setminus^1 * T \neq R.\text{null} \rrbracket \implies R.\text{ide } (a \setminus^1 * T)$ 
proof (induct T arbitrary: a)
  show  $\bigwedge a. a \setminus^1 * [] \neq R.\text{null} \implies R.\text{ide } (a \setminus^1 * [])$ 
    by simp
  fix a t T
  assume a:  $R.\text{ide } a$ 
  assume ind:  $\bigwedge a. \llbracket R.\text{ide } a; a \setminus^1 * T \neq R.\text{null} \rrbracket \implies R.\text{ide } (a \setminus^1 * T)$ 
  assume con:  $a \setminus^1 * (t \# T) \neq R.\text{null}$ 
  have 1:  $a \frown t$ 

```

```

using con
by (metis R.con-def Resid1x.simps(2-3) Resid1x-null list.exhaust)
show R.ide (a1\* (t # T))
using a 1 con ind R.resid-ide-arr
by (metis Resid1x.simps(2-3) list.exhaust)
qed

```

```

abbreviation Con (infix *∧* 50)
where T *∧* U ≡ T *1\* U ≠ []

```

```

lemma Con-sym1:
shows T *1\* u ≠ [] ↔ u1\* T ≠ R.null
proof (induct T arbitrary: u)
show ∧u. [] *1\* u ≠ [] ↔ u1\* [] ≠ R.null
by simp
show ∧t T u. (∧u. T *1\* u ≠ [] ↔ u1\* T ≠ R.null)
⇒ (t # T) *1\* u ≠ [] ↔ u1\* (t # T) ≠ R.null
proof -
fix t T u
assume ind: ∧u. T *1\* u ≠ [] ↔ u1\* T ≠ R.null
show (t # T) *1\* u ≠ [] ↔ u1\* (t # T) ≠ R.null
proof
show (t # T) *1\* u ≠ [] ⇒ u1\* (t # T) ≠ R.null
by (metis R.con-sym Resid1x.simps(2-3) Resid1x.simps(2-3)
ind neq-Nil-conv R.conE)
show u1\* (t # T) ≠ R.null ⇒ (t # T) *1\* u ≠ []
using ind R.con-sym
apply (cases T)
apply auto
by (metis R.conI Resid1x-null)
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Con-sym-ind:
shows length T + length U ≤ n ⇒ T *∧* U ↔ U *∧* T
proof (induct n arbitrary: T U)
show ∧T U. length T + length U ≤ 0 ⇒ T *∧* U ↔ U *∧* T
by simp
fix n and T U :: 'a list
assume ind: ∧T U. length T + length U ≤ n ⇒ T *∧* U ↔ U *∧* T
assume 1: length T + length U ≤ Suc n
show T *∧* U ↔ U *∧* T
using R.con-sym Con-sym1
apply (cases T; cases U)
apply auto[3]
proof -
fix t u T' U'

```

```

assume  $T: T = t \# T'$  and  $U: U = u \# U'$ 
show  $T \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow U \frown^* T$ 
proof (cases  $T' = []$ )
  show  $T' = [] \implies T \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow U \frown^* T$ 
    using  $T U$  Con-sym1 R.con-sym
    by (cases  $U'$ ) auto
  show  $T' \neq [] \implies T \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow U \frown^* T$ 
proof (cases  $U' = []$ )
  show  $[[T' \neq []; U' = []]] \implies T \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow U \frown^* T$ 
    using  $T U$  R.con-sym Con-sym1
    by (cases  $T'$ ) auto
  show  $[[T' \neq []; U' \neq []]] \implies T \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow U \frown^* T$ 
proof -
  assume  $T': T' \neq []$  and  $U': U' \neq []$ 
  have  $2: \text{length } (U' \setminus^1 (t \setminus u)) + \text{length } (T' \setminus^1 (u \setminus t)) \leq n$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{length } (U' \setminus^1 (t \setminus u)) + \text{length } (T' \setminus^1 (u \setminus t))$ 
     $\leq \text{length } U' + \text{length } T'$ 
    by (simp add: add-le-mono length-Residx1)
  also have  $\dots \leq \text{length } T' + \text{length } U'$ 
    using  $T'$  add.commute not-less-eq-eq by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq n$ 
    using  $1 T U$  by simp
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show  $T \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow U \frown^* T$ 
proof
  assume Con:  $T \frown^* U$ 
  have  $3: t \frown u \wedge T' \setminus^1 (u \setminus t) \neq [] \wedge (t \setminus u) \setminus^1 U' \neq R.null \wedge$ 
     $(T' \setminus^1 (u \setminus t)) \setminus^* (U' \setminus^1 (t \setminus u)) \neq []$ 
    using Con T U T' U' Con-sym1
    apply (cases  $T'$ , cases  $U'$ )
    apply simp-all
    by (metis Resid.simps(1) Resid.simps(6) neq-Nil-conv)
  hence  $u \frown t \wedge U' \setminus^1 (t \setminus u) \neq [] \wedge (u \setminus t) \setminus^1 T' \neq R.null$ 
    using  $T' U' R.con-sym Con-sym1$  by simp
  moreover have  $(U' \setminus^1 (t \setminus u)) \setminus^* (T' \setminus^1 (u \setminus t)) \neq []$ 
    using  $2 3$  ind by simp
  ultimately show  $U \frown^* T$ 
    using  $T U T' U'$ 
    by (cases  $T'$ ; cases  $U'$ ) auto
next
  assume Con:  $U \frown^* T$ 
  have  $3: u \frown t \wedge U' \setminus^1 (t \setminus u) \neq [] \wedge (u \setminus t) \setminus^1 T' \neq R.null \wedge$ 
     $(U' \setminus^1 (t \setminus u)) \setminus^* (T' \setminus^1 (u \setminus t)) \neq []$ 
    using Con T U T' U' Con-sym1
    apply (cases  $T'$ ; cases  $U'$ )
    apply auto
    apply argo

```

by force
 hence $t \frown u \wedge T' * \setminus^1 (u \setminus t) \neq [] \wedge (t \setminus u) \setminus^1 * U' \neq R.null$
 using $T' U' R.con-sym Con-sym1$ by simp
 moreover have $(T' * \setminus^1 (u \setminus t)) * \setminus * (U' * \setminus^1 (t \setminus u)) \neq []$
 using 2 3 ind by simp
 ultimately show $T * \frown * U$
 using $T U T' U'$
 by (cases T' ; cases U') auto
 qed
 qed
 qed
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *Con-sym*:
shows $T * \frown * U \longleftrightarrow U * \frown * T$
 using *Con-sym-ind* by blast

lemma *Resid1-as-Resid*:
shows $T * \setminus^1 u = T * \setminus * [u]$
proof (induct T)
 show $[] * \setminus^1 u = [] * \setminus * [u]$ by simp
 fix $t T$
 assume ind: $T * \setminus^1 u = T * \setminus * [u]$
 show $(t \# T) * \setminus^1 u = (t \# T) * \setminus * [u]$
 by (cases T) auto
 qed

lemma *Resid1-as-Resid'*:
shows $t \setminus^1 * U = (if [t] * \setminus * U \neq [] then hd ([t] * \setminus * U) else R.null)$
proof (induct U)
 show $t \setminus^1 * [] = (if [t] * \setminus * [] \neq [] then hd ([t] * \setminus * []) else R.null)$ by simp
 fix $u U$
 assume ind: $t \setminus^1 * U = (if [t] * \setminus * U \neq [] then hd ([t] * \setminus * U) else R.null)$
 show $t \setminus^1 * (u \# U) = (if [t] * \setminus * (u \# U) \neq [] then hd ([t] * \setminus * (u \# U)) else R.null)$
 using *Resid1-null*
 by (cases U) auto
 qed

The following recursive expansion for consistency of paths is an intermediate result that is not yet quite in the form we really want.

lemma *Con-rec*:
shows $[t] * \frown * [u] \longleftrightarrow t \frown u$
and $T \neq [] \implies t \# T * \frown * [u] \longleftrightarrow t \frown u \wedge T * \frown * [u \setminus t]$
and $U \neq [] \implies [t] * \frown * (u \# U) \longleftrightarrow t \frown u \wedge [t \setminus u] * \frown * U$
and $[T \neq []; U \neq []] \implies$
 $t \# T * \frown * u \# U \longleftrightarrow t \frown u \wedge T * \frown * [u \setminus t] \wedge [t \setminus u] * \frown * U \wedge$
 $T * \setminus * [u \setminus t] * \frown * U * \setminus * [t \setminus u]$

proof –
show $[t] * \frown^* [u] \longleftrightarrow t \frown u$
by *simp*
show $T \neq [] \implies t \# T * \frown^* [u] \longleftrightarrow t \frown u \wedge T * \frown^* [u \setminus t]$
using *Resid1-as-Resid*
by (*cases T*) *auto*
show $U \neq [] \implies [t] * \frown^* (u \# U) \longleftrightarrow t \frown u \wedge [t \setminus u] * \frown^* U$
using *Resid1x-as-Resid' Con-sym Con-sym1 Resid1x.simps(3) Resid1-as-Resid*
by (*cases U*) *auto*
show $\llbracket T \neq []; U \neq [] \rrbracket \implies$
 $t \# T * \frown^* u \# U \longleftrightarrow t \frown u \wedge T * \frown^* [u \setminus t] \wedge [t \setminus u] * \frown^* U \wedge$
 $T * \setminus^* [u \setminus t] * \frown^* U * \setminus^* [t \setminus u]$
using *Resid1-as-Resid Resid1x-as-Resid' Con-sym1 Con-sym R.con-sym*
by (*cases T; cases U*) *auto*
qed

This version is a more appealing form of the previously proved fact *Resid1x-as-Resid'*.

lemma *Resid1x-as-Resid*:
assumes $[t] * \setminus^* U \neq []$
shows $[t] * \setminus^* U = [t^1 \setminus^* U]$
using *assms Con-rec(2,4)*
apply (*cases U; cases tl U*)
apply *auto*
by *argo+*

The following is an intermediate version of a recursive expansion for residuation, to be improved subsequently.

lemma *Resid-rec*:
shows [*simp*]: $[t] * \frown^* [u] \implies [t] * \setminus^* [u] = [t \setminus u]$
and $\llbracket T \neq []; t \# T * \frown^* [u] \rrbracket \implies (t \# T) * \setminus^* [u] = (t \setminus u) \# (T * \setminus^* [u \setminus t])$
and $\llbracket U \neq []; Con [t] (u \# U) \rrbracket \implies [t] * \setminus^* (u \# U) = [t \setminus u] * \setminus^* U$
and $\llbracket T \neq []; U \neq []; Con (t \# T) (u \# U) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(t \# T) * \setminus^* (u \# U) = ([t \setminus u] * \setminus^* U) @ ((T * \setminus^* [u \setminus t]) * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^* [t \setminus u]))$
proof –
show $[t] * \frown^* [u] \implies Resid [t] [u] = [t \setminus u]$
by (*meson Resid.simps(3)*)
show $\llbracket T \neq []; t \# T * \frown^* [u] \rrbracket \implies (t \# T) * \setminus^* [u] = (t \setminus u) \# (T * \setminus^* [u \setminus t])$
using *Resid1-as-Resid*
by (*metis Resid1x.simps(3) list.exhaust-sel*)
show 1: $\llbracket U \neq []; [t] * \frown^* u \# U \rrbracket \implies [t] * \setminus^* (u \# U) = [t \setminus u] * \setminus^* U$
by (*metis Con-rec(3) Resid1x.simps(3) Resid1x-as-Resid list.exhaust*)
show $\llbracket T \neq []; U \neq []; t \# T * \frown^* u \# U \rrbracket \implies$
 $(t \# T) * \setminus^* (u \# U) = ([t \setminus u] * \setminus^* U) @ ((T * \setminus^* [u \setminus t]) * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^* [t \setminus u]))$
proof –
assume $T: T \neq []$ **and** $U: U \neq []$ **and** $Con: Con (t \# T) (u \# U)$
have $tu: t \frown u$
using *Con Con-rec by metis*
have $(t \# T) * \setminus^* (u \# U) = ((t \setminus u)^1 \setminus^* U) \# ((T * \setminus^1 [u \setminus t]) * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^1 [t \setminus u]))$
using *T U Con tu*

by (cases T ; cases U) auto
 also have ... = $([t \setminus u] \text{*\}\text{*} U) @ ((T \text{*\}\text{*} [u \setminus t]) \text{*\}\text{*} (U \text{*\}\text{*} [t \setminus u]))$
 using $T U Con tu Con-rec(4) Resid1x-as-Resid Resid1-as-Resid$ by force
 finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 qed

For consistent paths, residuation is length-preserving.

lemma *length-Resid-ind*:
shows $\llbracket length\ T + length\ U \leq n; T \text{*\}\text{*} U \rrbracket \implies length\ (T \text{*\}\text{*} U) = length\ T$
apply (induct n arbitrary: $T U$)
apply simp
proof –
fix $n T U$
assume $ind: \bigwedge T U. \llbracket length\ T + length\ U \leq n; T \text{*\}\text{*} U \rrbracket \implies length\ (T \text{*\}\text{*} U) = length\ T$
assume $Con: T \text{*\}\text{*} U$
assume $len: length\ T + length\ U \leq Suc\ n$
show $length\ (T \text{*\}\text{*} U) = length\ T$
using $Con len ind Resid1x-as-Resid length-Cons Con-rec(2) Resid-rec(2)$
apply (cases T ; cases U)
apply auto
apply (cases $tl\ T = []$; cases $tl\ U = []$)
apply auto
apply metis
apply fastforce
proof –
fix $t T' u U'$
assume $T: T = t \# T'$ and $U: U = u \# U'$
assume $T': T' \neq []$ and $U': U' \neq []$
show $length\ ((t \# T') \text{*\}\text{*} (u \# U')) = Suc\ (length\ T')$
using $Con Con-rec(4) Con-sym Resid-rec(4) T T' U U' ind len$ by auto
 qed
 qed

lemma *length-Resid*:
assumes $T \text{*\}\text{*} U$
shows $length\ (T \text{*\}\text{*} U) = length\ T$
using *assms length-Resid-ind* by auto

lemma *Con-initial-left*:
shows $t \# T \text{*\}\text{*} U \implies [t] \text{*\}\text{*} U$
apply (induct U)
apply simp
by (metis $Con-rec(1-4)$)

lemma *Con-initial-right*:
shows $T \text{*\}\text{*} u \# U \implies T \text{*\}\text{*} [u]$
apply (induct T)

apply simp
by (*metis Con-rec(1-4)*)

lemma *Resid-cons-ind*:

shows $\llbracket T \neq []; U \neq []; \text{length } T + \text{length } U \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\forall t. t \# T^* \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow [t]^* \frown^* U \wedge T^* \frown^* U^* \setminus^* [t]) \wedge$
 $(\forall u. T^* \frown^* u \# U \longleftrightarrow T^* \frown^* [u] \wedge T^* \setminus^* [u]^* \frown^* U) \wedge$
 $(\forall t. t \# T^* \frown^* U \longrightarrow (t \# T)^* \setminus^* U = [t]^* \setminus^* U @ T^* \setminus^* (U^* \setminus^* [t])) \wedge$
 $(\forall u. T^* \frown^* u \# U \longrightarrow T^* \setminus^* (u \# U) = (T^* \setminus^* [u])^* \setminus^* U)$

proof (*induct n arbitrary: T U*)

show $\bigwedge T U. \llbracket T \neq []; U \neq []; \text{length } T + \text{length } U \leq 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\forall t. t \# T^* \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow [t]^* \frown^* U \wedge T^* \frown^* U^* \setminus^* [t]) \wedge$
 $(\forall u. T^* \frown^* u \# U \longleftrightarrow T^* \frown^* [u] \wedge T^* \setminus^* [u]^* \frown^* U) \wedge$
 $(\forall t. t \# T^* \frown^* U \longrightarrow (t \# T)^* \setminus^* U = [t]^* \setminus^* U @ T^* \setminus^* (U^* \setminus^* [t])) \wedge$
 $(\forall u. T^* \frown^* u \# U \longrightarrow T^* \setminus^* (u \# U) = (T^* \setminus^* [u])^* \setminus^* U)$

by simp

fix n and T U :: 'a list

assume ind: $\bigwedge T U. \llbracket T \neq []; U \neq []; \text{length } T + \text{length } U \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\forall t. t \# T^* \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow [t]^* \frown^* U \wedge T^* \frown^* U^* \setminus^* [t]) \wedge$
 $(\forall u. T^* \frown^* u \# U \longleftrightarrow T^* \frown^* [u] \wedge T^* \setminus^* [u]^* \frown^* U) \wedge$
 $(\forall t. t \# T^* \frown^* U \longrightarrow (t \# T)^* \setminus^* U = [t]^* \setminus^* U @ T^* \setminus^* (U^* \setminus^* [t])) \wedge$
 $(\forall u. T^* \frown^* u \# U \longrightarrow T^* \setminus^* (u \# U) = (T^* \setminus^* [u])^* \setminus^* U)$

assume T: T ≠ [] and U: U ≠ []

assume len: $\text{length } T + \text{length } U \leq \text{Suc } n$

show $(\forall t. t \# T^* \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow [t]^* \frown^* U \wedge T^* \frown^* U^* \setminus^* [t]) \wedge$
 $(\forall u. T^* \frown^* u \# U \longleftrightarrow T^* \frown^* [u] \wedge T^* \setminus^* [u]^* \frown^* U) \wedge$
 $(\forall t. t \# T^* \frown^* U \longrightarrow (t \# T)^* \setminus^* U = [t]^* \setminus^* U @ T^* \setminus^* (U^* \setminus^* [t])) \wedge$
 $(\forall u. T^* \frown^* u \# U \longrightarrow T^* \setminus^* (u \# U) = (T^* \setminus^* [u])^* \setminus^* U)$

proof (*intro allI conjI iffI impI*)

fix t

show 1: $t \# T^* \frown^* U \implies (t \# T)^* \setminus^* U = [t]^* \setminus^* U @ T^* \setminus^* (U^* \setminus^* [t])$

proof (*cases U*)

show $U = [] \implies ?thesis$

using U by simp

fix u U'

assume U: $U = u \# U'$

assume Con: $t \# T^* \frown^* U$

show *?thesis*

proof (*cases U' = []*)

show $U' = [] \implies ?thesis$

using T U Con R.con-sym Con-rec(2) Resid-rec(2) by auto

assume U': $U' \neq []$

have $(t \# T)^* \setminus^* U = [t \setminus u]^* \setminus^* U' @ (T^* \setminus^* [u \setminus t])^* \setminus^* (U'^* \setminus^* [t \setminus u])$

using T U U' Con Resid-rec(4) by fastforce

also have 1: $\dots = [t]^* \setminus^* U @ (T^* \setminus^* [u \setminus t])^* \setminus^* (U'^* \setminus^* [t \setminus u])$

using T U U' Con Con-rec(3-4) Resid-rec(3) by auto

also have $\dots = [t]^* \setminus^* U @ T^* \setminus^* ((u \setminus t) \# (U'^* \setminus^* [t \setminus u]))$

proof –

have $T^* \setminus^* ((u \setminus t) \# (U'^* \setminus^* [t \setminus u])) = (T^* \setminus^* [u \setminus t])^* \setminus^* (U'^* \setminus^* [t \setminus u])$

```

    using T U U' ind [of T U' *\* [t \ u]] Con Con-rec(4) Con-sym len length-Resid
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
also have ... = [t] *\* U @ T *\* (U *\* [t])
  using T U U' 1 Con Con-rec(4) Con-sym1 Resid1-as-Resid
  Resid1x-as-Resid Resid-rec(2) Con-sym Con-initial-left
  by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
show t # T *\* U  $\implies$  [t] *\* U
  by (simp add: Con-initial-left)
show t # T *\* U  $\implies$  T *\* (U *\* [t])
  by (metis 1 Suc-inject T append-Nil2 length-0-conv length-Cons length-Resid)
show [t] *\* U  $\wedge$  T *\* U *\* [t]  $\implies$  t # T *\* U
proof (cases U)
  show  $\llbracket [t] *\* U \wedge T *\* U *\* [t]; U = [] \rrbracket \implies t \# T *\* U$ 
    using U by simp
  fix u U'
  assume U: U = u # U'
  assume Con: [t] *\* U  $\wedge$  T *\* U *\* [t]
  show t # T *\* U
  proof (cases U' = [])
    show U' = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
      using T U Con
      by (metis Con-rec(2) Resid.simps(3) R.con-sym)
    assume U': U'  $\neq$  []
    show ?thesis
    proof -
      have t  $\frown$  u
        using T U U' Con Con-rec(3) by blast
      moreover have T *\* [u \ t]
        using T U U' Con Con-initial-right Con-sym1 Resid1-as-Resid
        Resid1x-as-Resid Resid-rec(2)
        by (metis Con-sym)
      moreover have [t \ u] *\* U'
        using T U U' Con Resid-rec(3) by force
      moreover have T *\* [u \ t] *\* U' *\* [t \ u]
        by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Con Con-sym Resid-rec(2) Suc-le-mono
            T U U' add-Suc-right calculation(3) ind len length-Cons length-Resid)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using T U U' Con-rec(4) by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
qed
next
fix u
show 1: T *\* u # U  $\implies$  T *\* (u # U) = (T *\* [u]) *\* U

```

```

proof (cases T)
  show 2:  $\llbracket T \text{ } \frown \text{ } u \# U; T = [] \rrbracket \implies T \text{ } \backslash \text{ } (u \# U) = (T \text{ } \backslash \text{ } [u]) \text{ } \backslash \text{ } U$ 
    using T by simp
  fix t T'
  assume T: T = t # T'
  assume Con: T \frown u # U
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases T' = [])
    show T' = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
    using T U Con Con-rec(3) Resid1x-as-Resid Resid-rec(3) by force
    assume T': T'  $\neq$  []
    have T \backslash (u # U) = [t \ \ u] \backslash U @ (T' \backslash [u \ \ t]) \backslash (U \backslash [t \ \ u])
      using T U T' Con Resid-rec(4) [of T' U t u] by simp
    also have ... = ((t \ u) # (T' \backslash [u \ \ t])) \backslash U
    proof -
      have length (T' \backslash [u \ \ t]) + length U  $\leq$  n
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) Con Con-rec(4) One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-leI
          T T' U add-Suc le-less-trans len length-Resid lessI list.size(4)
          not-le)
      thus ?thesis
      using ind [of T' \backslash [u \ \ t] U] Con Con-rec(4) T T' U by auto
    qed
    also have ... = (T \backslash [u]) \backslash U
      using T U T' Con Con-rec(2,4) Resid-rec(2) by force
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
show T \frown u # U  $\implies$  T \frown [u]
  using 1 by force
show T \frown u # U  $\implies$  T \backslash [u] \frown U
  using 1 by fastforce
show T \frown [u]  $\wedge$  T \backslash [u] \frown U  $\implies$  T \frown u # U
proof (cases T)
  show  $\llbracket T \text{ } \frown \text{ } [u] \wedge T \text{ } \backslash \text{ } [u] \text{ } \frown \text{ } U; T = [] \rrbracket \implies T \text{ } \frown \text{ } u \# U$ 
    using T by simp
  fix t T'
  assume T: T = t # T'
  assume Con: T \frown [u]  $\wedge$  T \backslash [u] \frown U
  show Con T (u # U)
  proof (cases T' = [])
    show T' = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
    using Con T U Con-rec(1,3) by auto
    assume T': T'  $\neq$  []
    have t \frown u
      using Con T U T' Con-rec(2) by blast
    moreover have 2: T' \frown [u \ \ t]
      using Con T U T' Con-rec(2) by blast
    moreover have [t \ \ u] \frown U
      using Con T U T'
  
```

by (*metis Con-initial-left Resid-rec(2)*)
moreover have $T' * \setminus^* [u \setminus t] * \frown^* U * \setminus^* [t \setminus u]$
proof –
 have $0: \text{length } (U * \setminus^* [t \setminus u]) = \text{length } U$
 using *Con T U T' length-Resid Con-sym calculation(3)* **by blast**
 hence $1: \text{length } T' + \text{length } (U * \setminus^* [t \setminus u]) \leq n$
 using *Con T U T' len length-Resid Con-sym* **by simp**
 have $\text{length } ((T * \setminus^* [u]) * \setminus^* U) =$
 $\text{length } ([t \setminus u] * \setminus^* U) + \text{length } ((T' * \setminus^* [u \setminus t]) * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^* [t \setminus u]))$
proof –
 have $(T * \setminus^* [u]) * \setminus^* U =$
 $[t \setminus u] * \setminus^* U @ (T' * \setminus^* [u \setminus t]) * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^* [t \setminus u])$
 by (*metis 0 1 2 Con Resid-rec(2) T T' U ind length-Resid*)
 thus *?thesis*
 using *Con T U T' length-Resid* **by simp**
qed
moreover have $\text{length } ((T * \setminus^* [u]) * \setminus^* U) = \text{length } T$
 using *Con T U T' length-Resid* **by metis**
moreover have $\text{length } ([t \setminus u] * \setminus^* U) \leq 1$
 using *Con T U T' Resid1x-as-Resid*
 by (*metis One-nat-def length-Cons list.size(3) order-refl zero-le*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *Con T U T' length-Resid* **by auto**
qed
ultimately show $T * \frown^* u \# U$
 using *T Con-rec(4) [of T' U t u]* **by fastforce**
qed
qed
qed
qed

The following are the final versions of recursive expansion for consistency and residuation on paths. These are what I really wanted the original definitions to look like, but if this is tried, then *Con* and *Resid* end up having to be mutually recursive, expressing the definitions so that they are single-valued becomes an issue, and proving termination is more problematic.

lemma *Con-cons*:

assumes $T \neq []$ **and** $U \neq []$
shows $t \# T * \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow [t] * \frown^* U \wedge T * \frown^* U * \setminus^* [t]$
and $T * \frown^* u \# U \longleftrightarrow T * \frown^* [u] \wedge T * \setminus^* [u] * \frown^* U$
 using *assms Resid-cons-ind [of T U]* **by blast+**

lemma *Con-consI [intro, simp]*:

shows $[T \neq []; U \neq []; [t] * \frown^* U; T * \frown^* U * \setminus^* [t]] \implies t \# T * \frown^* U$
and $[T \neq []; U \neq []; T * \frown^* [u]; T * \setminus^* [u] * \frown^* U] \implies T * \frown^* u \# U$
 using *Con-cons* **by auto**

lemma *Resid-cons*:

assumes $U \neq []$
shows $t \# T \frown^* U \implies (t \# T) \backslash^* U = ([t] \backslash^* U) @ (T \backslash^* (U \backslash^* [t]))$
and $T \frown^* u \# U \implies T \backslash^* (u \# U) = (T \backslash^* [u]) \backslash^* U$
using *assms Resid-cons-ind [of T U] Resid.simps(1)*
by *blast+*

The following expansion of residuation with respect to the first argument is stated in terms of the more primitive cons, rather than list append, but as a result \backslash^* has to be used.

lemma *Resid-cons'*:
assumes $T \neq []$
shows $t \# T \frown^* U \implies (t \# T) \backslash^* U = (t \backslash^* U) \# (T \backslash^* (U \backslash^* [t]))$
using *assms*
by (*metis Con-sym Resid.simps(1) Resid1x-as-Resid Resid-cons(1)*
append-Cons append-Nil)

lemma *Srcs-Resid-Arr-single*:
assumes $T \frown^* [u]$
shows $Srcs (T \backslash^* [u]) = R.targets u$
proof (*cases T*)
show $T = [] \implies Srcs (T \backslash^* [u]) = R.targets u$
using *assms* **by** *simp*
fix $t T'$
assume $T: T = t \# T'$
show $Srcs (T \backslash^* [u]) = R.targets u$
proof (*cases T' = []*)
show $T' = [] \implies ?thesis$
using *assms T R.sources-resid* **by** *auto*
assume $T': T' \neq []$
have $Srcs (T \backslash^* [u]) = Srcs ((t \# T') \backslash^* [u])$
using T **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = Srcs ((t \backslash u) \# (T' \backslash^* ([u] \backslash^* T')))$
using *assms T*
by (*metis Resid-rec(2) Srcs.elims T' list.distinct(1) list.sel(1)*)
also have $\dots = R.sources (t \backslash u)$
using *Srcs.elims* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = R.targets u$
using *assms Con-rec(2) T T' R.sources-resid* **by** *force*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *Srcs-Resid-single-Arr*:
shows $[u] \frown^* T \implies Srcs ([u] \backslash^* T) = Trgs T$
proof (*induct T arbitrary: u*)
show $\bigwedge u. [u] \frown^* [] \implies Srcs ([u] \backslash^* []) = Trgs []$
by *simp*
fix $t u T$
assume *ind*: $\bigwedge u. [u] \frown^* T \implies Srcs ([u] \backslash^* T) = Trgs T$

assume $Con: [u] * \frown * t \# T$
show $Srcs ([u] * \backslash * (t \# T)) = Trgs (t \# T)$
proof (*cases* $T = []$)
show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$
using $Con Srcs-Resid-Arr-single Trgs.simps(2)$ **by** *presburger*
assume $T: T \neq []$
have $Srcs ([u] * \backslash * (t \# T)) = Srcs ([u \setminus t] * \backslash * T)$
using $Con Resid-rec(3) T$ **by** *force*
also have $\dots = Trgs T$
using $Con ind Con-rec(3) T$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = Trgs (t \# T)$
by (*metis* $T Trgs.elims Trgs.simps(3)$)
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *Trgs-Resid-sym-Arr-single*:

shows $T * \frown * [u] \implies Trgs (T * \backslash * [u]) = Trgs ([u] * \backslash * T)$

proof (*induct* T *arbitrary*: u)

show $\bigwedge u. [] * \frown * [u] \implies Trgs ([] * \backslash * [u]) = Trgs ([u] * \backslash * [])$

by *simp*

fix $t u T$

assume $ind: \bigwedge u. T * \frown * [u] \implies Trgs (T * \backslash * [u]) = Trgs ([u] * \backslash * T)$

assume $Con: t \# T * \frown * [u]$

show $Trgs ((t \# T) * \backslash * [u]) = Trgs ([u] * \backslash * (t \# T))$

proof (*cases* $T = []$)

show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$

using $R.targets-resid-sym$

by (*simp add: R.con-sym*)

assume $T: T \neq []$

show $?thesis$

proof –

have $Trgs ((t \# T) * \backslash * [u]) = Trgs ((t \setminus u) \# (T * \backslash * [u \setminus t]))$

using $Con Resid-rec(2) T$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = Trgs (T * \backslash * [u \setminus t])$

using $T Con Con-rec(2)$ [*of* $T t u$]

by (*metis* $Trgs.elims Trgs.simps(3)$)

also have $\dots = Trgs ([u \setminus t] * \backslash * T)$

using $T Con ind Con-sym$ **by** *metis*

also have $\dots = Trgs ([u] * \backslash * (t \# T))$

using $T Con Con-sym Resid-rec(3)$ **by** *presburger*

finally show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*

qed

qed

qed

lemma *Srcs-Resid [simp]*:

shows $T * \frown * U \implies Srcs (T * \backslash * U) = Trgs U$

proof (*induct* U *arbitrary*: T)

show $\bigwedge T. T \text{ }^* \frown^* [] \implies \text{Srcs } (T \text{ }^* \backslash^* []) = \text{Trgs } []$
using *Con-sym Resid.simps(1)* **by** *blast*
fix $u \ U \ T$
assume $\text{ind}: \bigwedge T. T \text{ }^* \frown^* U \implies \text{Srcs } (T \text{ }^* \backslash^* U) = \text{Trgs } U$
assume $\text{Con}: T \text{ }^* \frown^* u \# U$
show $\text{Srcs } (T \text{ }^* \backslash^* (u \# U)) = \text{Trgs } (u \# U)$
by (*metis Con Resid-cons(2) Srcs-Resid-Arr-single Trgs.simps(2-3) ind list.exhaust-sel*)
qed

lemma *Trgs-Resid-sym [simp]*:
shows $T \text{ }^* \frown^* U \implies \text{Trgs } (T \text{ }^* \backslash^* U) = \text{Trgs } (U \text{ }^* \backslash^* T)$
proof (*induct U arbitrary: T*)
show $\bigwedge T. T \text{ }^* \frown^* [] \implies \text{Trgs } (T \text{ }^* \backslash^* []) = \text{Trgs } ([] \text{ }^* \backslash^* T)$
by (*meson Con-sym Resid.simps(1)*)
fix $u \ U \ T$
assume $\text{ind}: \bigwedge T. T \text{ }^* \frown^* U \implies \text{Trgs } (T \text{ }^* \backslash^* U) = \text{Trgs } (U \text{ }^* \backslash^* T)$
assume $\text{Con}: T \text{ }^* \frown^* u \# U$
show $\text{Trgs } (T \text{ }^* \backslash^* (u \# U)) = \text{Trgs } ((u \# U) \text{ }^* \backslash^* T)$
proof (*cases U = []*)
show $U = [] \implies ?thesis$
using *Con Trgs-Resid-sym-Arr-single* **by** *blast*
assume $U: U \neq []$
show *?thesis*
proof –
have $\text{Trgs } (T \text{ }^* \backslash^* (u \# U)) = \text{Trgs } ((T \text{ }^* \backslash^* [u]) \text{ }^* \backslash^* U)$
using U **by** (*metis Con Resid-cons(2)*)
also have $\dots = \text{Trgs } (U \text{ }^* \backslash^* (T \text{ }^* \backslash^* [u]))$
using U **Con** **by** (*metis Con-sym ind*)
also have $\dots = \text{Trgs } ((u \# U) \text{ }^* \backslash^* T)$
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Con-cons(1) Con-sym Resid.simps(1) Resid-cons' Trgs.simps(3) U neq-Nil-conv*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *img-Resid-Srcs*:
shows $\text{Arr } T \implies (\lambda a. [a] \text{ }^* \backslash^* T) \text{ }^* \text{Srcs } T \subseteq (\lambda b. [b]) \text{ }^* \text{Trgs } T$
proof (*induct T*)
show $\text{Arr } [] \implies (\lambda a. [a] \text{ }^* \backslash^* []) \text{ }^* \text{Srcs } [] \subseteq (\lambda b. [b]) \text{ }^* \text{Trgs } []$
by *simp*
fix $t :: 'a$ **and** $T :: 'a \text{ list}$
assume $tT: \text{Arr } (t \# T)$
assume $\text{ind}: \text{Arr } T \implies (\lambda a. [a] \text{ }^* \backslash^* T) \text{ }^* \text{Srcs } T \subseteq (\lambda b. [b]) \text{ }^* \text{Trgs } T$
show $(\lambda a. [a] \text{ }^* \backslash^* (t \# T)) \text{ }^* \text{Srcs } (t \# T) \subseteq (\lambda b. [b]) \text{ }^* \text{Trgs } (t \# T)$
proof
fix B
assume $B: B \in (\lambda a. [a] \text{ }^* \backslash^* (t \# T)) \text{ }^* \text{Srcs } (t \# T)$


```

show  $B \in (\lambda b. [b]) \text{ ' } Trgs (t \# T)$ 
proof (cases  $T = []$ )
  assume  $T: T = []$ 
  obtain  $a$  where  $a: a \in R.sources\ t \wedge [a \setminus t] = B$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $B\ R.composite-of-source-arr\ R.con-prfx-composite-of(1)$ 
       $Resid-rec(1)\ Srcs.simps(2)\ T\ Arr.simps(2)\ Con-rec(1)\ imageE\ tT$ )
  have  $a \setminus t \in Trgs (t \# T)$ 
    using  $tT\ T\ a$ 
    by (simp add: R.resid-source-in-targets)
  thus ?thesis
    using  $B\ a\ image-iff$  by fastforce
  next
  assume  $T: T \neq []$ 
  obtain  $a$  where  $a: a \in R.sources\ t \wedge [a]^{**} (t \# T) = B$ 
    using  $tT\ T\ B\ Srcs.elims$  by blast
  have  $[a \setminus t]^{**} T = B$ 
    using  $tT\ T\ B\ a$ 
    by (metis  $Con-rec(3)\ R.arrI\ R.resid-source-in-targets\ R.targets-are-cong$ 
       $Resid-rec(3)\ R.arr-resid-iff-con\ R.ide-implies-arr$ )
  moreover have  $a \setminus t \in Srcs\ T$ 
    using  $a\ tT$ 
    by (metis  $Arr.simps(3)\ R.resid-source-in-targets\ T\ neq-Nil-conv\ subsetD$ )
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using  $T\ tT\ ind$ 
    by (metis  $Trgs.simps(3)\ Arr.simps(3)\ image-iff\ list.exhaust-sel\ subsetD$ )
  qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *Resid-Arr-Src*:

shows $\llbracket Arr\ T; a \in Srcs\ T \rrbracket \implies T^{**} [a] = T$

proof (*induct* T *arbitrary: a*)

show $\bigwedge a. \llbracket Arr\ []; a \in Srcs\ [] \rrbracket \implies []^{**} [a] = []$

by *simp*

fix $a\ t\ T$

assume $ind: \bigwedge a. \llbracket Arr\ T; a \in Srcs\ T \rrbracket \implies T^{**} [a] = T$

assume $Arr: Arr (t \# T)$

assume $a: a \in Srcs (t \# T)$

show $(t \# T)^{**} [a] = t \# T$

proof (*cases* $T = []$)

show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$

using $a\ R.resid-arr-ide\ R.sources-def$ **by** *auto*

assume $T: T \neq []$

show $(t \# T)^{**} [a] = t \# T$

proof –

have $1: R.arr\ t \wedge Arr\ T \wedge R.targets\ t \subseteq Srcs\ T$

using $Arr\ T$

by (*metis* $Arr.elims(2)\ list.sel(1)\ list.sel(3)$)

have $2: t \# T^{**} [a]$

```

    using T a Arr Con-rec(2)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) img-Resid-Srcs Con-sym imageE image-subset-iff
        list.distinct(1))
    have (t # T) * \ * [a] = (t \ a) # (T * \ * [a \ t])
    using 2 T Resid-rec(2) by simp
    moreover have t \ a = t
    using Arr a R.sources-def
    by (metis 2 CollectD Con-rec(2) T Srcs-are-ide in-mono R.resid-arr-ide)
    moreover have T * \ * [a \ t] = T
    by (metis 1 2 R.in-sourcesI R.resid-source-in-targets Srcs-are-ide T a
        Con-rec(2) in-mono ind mem-Collect-eq)
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Con-single-ide-ind:
shows R.ide a  $\implies$  [a] *  $\frown$  * T  $\longleftrightarrow$  Arr T  $\wedge$  a  $\in$  Srcs T
proof (induct T arbitrary: a)
  show  $\bigwedge a. [a] * \frown * [] \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } [] \wedge a \in \text{Srcs } []$ 
  by simp
  fix a t T
  assume ind:  $\bigwedge a. R.\text{ide } a \implies [a] * \frown * T \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } T \wedge a \in \text{Srcs } T$ 
  assume a: R.ide a
  show [a] *  $\frown$  * (t # T)  $\longleftrightarrow$  Arr (t # T)  $\wedge$  a  $\in$  Srcs (t # T)
  proof (cases T = [])
    show T = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
    using a Con-sym
    by (metis Arr.simps(2) Resid-Arr-Src Srcs.simps(2) R.arr-iff-has-source
        Con-rec(1) empty-iff R.in-sourcesI list.distinct(1))
    assume T: T  $\neq$  []
    have 1: [a] *  $\frown$  * (t # T)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a  $\frown$  t  $\wedge$  [a \ t] *  $\frown$  * T
    using a T Con-cons(2) [of [a] T t] by simp
    also have 2: ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  a  $\frown$  t  $\wedge$  Arr T  $\wedge$  a \ t  $\in$  Srcs T
    using a T ind R.resid-ide-arr by blast
    also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  Arr (t # T)  $\wedge$  a  $\in$  Srcs (t # T)
    using a T Con-sym R.con-sym Resid-Arr-Src R.con-implies-arr Srcs-are-ide
    apply (cases T)
    apply simp
    by (metis Arr.simps(3) R.resid-arr-ide R.targets-resid-sym Srcs.simps(3)
        Srcs-Resid-Arr-single calculation dual-order.eq-iff list.distinct(1)
        R.in-sourcesI)
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma Con-single-ide-iff:
assumes R.ide a
shows [a] *  $\frown$  * T  $\longleftrightarrow$  Arr T  $\wedge$  a  $\in$  Srcs T

```

using *assms Con-single-ide-ind* **by** *simp*

lemma *Con-single-ideI* [*intro*]:

assumes *R.ide a* **and** *Arr T* **and** $a \in \text{Srcs } T$

shows $[a] \text{ }^* \frown^* T$ **and** $T \text{ }^* \frown^* [a]$

using *assms Con-single-ide-iff Con-sym* **by** *auto*

lemma *Resid-single-ide*:

assumes *R.ide a* **and** $[a] \text{ }^* \frown^* T$

shows $[a] \text{ }^* \backslash^* T \in (\lambda b. [b]) \text{ } \text{' Trgs } T$ **and** [*simp*]: $T \text{ }^* \backslash^* [a] = T$

using *assms Con-single-ide-ind img-Resid-Srcs Resid-Arr-Src Con-sym*
by *blast+*

lemma *Resid-Arr-Ide-ind*:

shows $\llbracket \text{Ide } A; T \text{ }^* \frown^* A \rrbracket \implies T \text{ }^* \backslash^* A = T$

proof (*induct A*)

show $\llbracket \text{Ide } []; T \text{ }^* \frown^* [] \rrbracket \implies T \text{ }^* \backslash^* [] = T$

by *simp*

fix $a A$

assume *ind*: $\llbracket \text{Ide } A; T \text{ }^* \frown^* A \rrbracket \implies T \text{ }^* \backslash^* A = T$

assume *Ide*: $\text{Ide } (a \# A)$

assume *Con*: $T \text{ }^* \frown^* a \# A$

show $T \text{ }^* \backslash^* (a \# A) = T$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Con Con-initial-left Con-sym Ide Ide.elims(2)*
Resid-cons(2) Resid-single-ide(2) ind list.inject)

qed

lemma *Resid-Ide-Arr-ind*:

shows $\llbracket \text{Ide } A; A \text{ }^* \frown^* T \rrbracket \implies \text{Ide } (A \text{ }^* \backslash^* T)$

proof (*induct A*)

show $\llbracket \text{Ide } []; [] \text{ }^* \frown^* T \rrbracket \implies \text{Ide } ([] \text{ }^* \backslash^* T)$

by *simp*

fix $a A$

assume *ind*: $\llbracket \text{Ide } A; A \text{ }^* \frown^* T \rrbracket \implies \text{Ide } (A \text{ }^* \backslash^* T)$

assume *Ide*: $\text{Ide } (a \# A)$

assume *Con*: $a \# A \text{ }^* \frown^* T$

have T : *Arr T*

using *Con Ide Con-single-ide-ind Con-initial-left Ide.elims(2)*

by *blast*

show $\text{Ide } ((a \# A) \text{ }^* \backslash^* T)$

proof (*cases A = []*)

show $A = [] \implies \text{?thesis}$

by (*metis Con Con-sym1 Ide Ide.simps(2) Resid1x-as-Resid Resid1x-ide*
Residx1-as-Resid Con-sym)

assume A : $A \neq []$

show *?thesis*

proof –

have $\text{Ide } ([a] \text{ }^* \backslash^* T)$

by (*metis Con Con-initial-left Con-sym Con-sym1 Ide Ide.simps(3)*)

*Resid1x-as-Resid Residx1-as-Resid Ide.simps(2) Resid1x-ide
list.exhaust-sel)*
moreover have $\text{Trgs } ([a] \text{ }^* \setminus^* T) \subseteq \text{Srcs } (A \text{ }^* \setminus^* T)$
using $A \ T \ \text{Ide} \ \text{Con}$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Con-sym Ide.elims(2) Ide.simps(2) Resid-Arr-Ide-ind
Srcs-Resid Trgs-Resid-sym Con-cons(2) dual-order.eq-iff list.inject)*
moreover have $\text{Ide } (A \text{ }^* \setminus^* (T \text{ }^* \setminus^* [a]))$
by (*metis A Con Con-cons(1) Con-sym Ide Ide.simps(3) Resid-Arr-Ide-ind
Resid-single-ide(2) ind list.exhaust-sel)*
moreover have $\text{Ide } ((a \ \# \ A) \text{ }^* \setminus^* T) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{Ide } ([a] \text{ }^* \setminus^* T) \wedge \text{Ide } (A \text{ }^* \setminus^* (T \text{ }^* \setminus^* [a])) \wedge$
 $\text{Trgs } ([a] \text{ }^* \setminus^* T) \subseteq \text{Srcs } (A \text{ }^* \setminus^* T)$
using *calculation(1-3)*
by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Con Ide Ide.simps(3) Resid1x-as-Resid Resid-cons'
Trgs.simps(2) Con-single-ide-iff Ide.simps(2) Ide-implies-Arr Resid-Arr-Src
list.exhaust-sel)*
ultimately show *?thesis by blast*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *Resid-Ide:*

assumes $\text{Ide } A$ **and** $A \text{ }^* \frown^* T$
shows $T \text{ }^* \setminus^* A = T$ **and** $\text{Ide } (A \text{ }^* \setminus^* T)$
using *assms Resid-Ide-Arr-ind Resid-Arr-Ide-ind Con-sym by auto*

lemma *Con-Ide-iff:*

shows $\text{Ide } A \implies A \text{ }^* \frown^* T \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } A$

proof (*induct A*)

show $\text{Ide } [] \implies [] \text{ }^* \frown^* T \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } []$

by *simp*

fix $a \ A$

assume *ind: Ide A $\implies A \text{ }^* \frown^* T \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } A$*

assume $\text{Ide } (a \ \# \ A)$

show $a \ \# \ A \text{ }^* \frown^* T \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } (a \ \# \ A)$

proof (*cases A = []*)

show $A = [] \implies ?thesis$

using *Con-single-ide-ind Ide*

by (*metis Arr.simps(2) Con-sym Ide.simps(2) Ide-implies-Arr R.arrE*

Resid-Arr-Src Srcs.simps(2) Srcs-Resid R.in-sourcesI)

assume $A: A \neq []$

have $a \ \# \ A \text{ }^* \frown^* T \longleftrightarrow [a] \text{ }^* \frown^* T \wedge A \text{ }^* \frown^* T \text{ }^* \setminus^* [a]$

using $A \ \text{Ide} \ \text{Con-cons}(1)$ [*of A T a*] **by** *fastforce*

also have $1: \dots \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } T \wedge a \in \text{Srcs } T$

by (*metis A Arr-has-Src Con-single-ide-ind Ide Ide.elims(2) Resid-Arr-Src*

Srcs-Resid-Arr-single Con-sym Srcs-eqI ind inf.absorb-iff2 list.inject)

also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } (a \ \# \ A)$

by (*metis A 1 Con-sym Ide Ide.simps(3) R.ideE*

R.sources-resid Resid-Arr-Src Srcs.simps(3) Srcs-Resid-Arr-single

list.exhaust-sel R.in-sourcesI
finally show $a \# A \text{ }^* \frown^* T \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } (a \# A)$
by *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *Con-IdeI*:
assumes *Ide A* **and** *Arr T* **and** *Srcs T = Srcs A*
shows $A \text{ }^* \frown^* T$ **and** $T \text{ }^* \frown^* A$
using *assms Con-Ide-iff Con-sym* **by** *auto*

lemma *Con-Arr-self*:
shows $\text{Arr } T \implies T \text{ }^* \frown^* T$
proof (*induct T*)
show $\text{Arr } [] \implies [] \text{ }^* \frown^* []$
by *simp*
fix $t T$
assume *ind*: $\text{Arr } T \implies T \text{ }^* \frown^* T$
assume *Arr*: $\text{Arr } (t \# T)$
show $t \# T \text{ }^* \frown^* t \# T$
proof (*cases T = []*)
show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$
using *Arr R.arrE* **by** *simp*
assume *T*: $T \neq []$
have $t \frown t \wedge T \text{ }^* \frown^* [t \setminus t] \wedge [t \setminus t] \text{ }^* \frown^* T \wedge T \text{ }^* \setminus^* [t \setminus t] \text{ }^* \frown^* T \text{ }^* \setminus^* [t \setminus t]$
proof –
have $t \frown t$
using *Arr Arr.elims(1)* **by** *auto*
moreover have $T \text{ }^* \frown^* [t \setminus t]$
proof –
have *Ide* $[t \setminus t]$
by (*simp add: R.arr-def R.prfx-reflexive calculation*)
moreover have $\text{Srcs } [t \setminus t] = \text{Srcs } T$
by (*metis Arr Arr.simps(2) Arr-has-Trg R.arrE R.sources-resid Srcs.simps(2) Srcs-eqI T Trgs.simps(2) Arr.simps(3) inf.absorb-iff2 list.exhaust*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis Arr Con-sym T Arr.simps(3) Con-Ide-iff neq-Nil-conv*)
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis Con-single-ide-ind Con-sym R.prfx-reflexive Resid-single-ide(2) ind R.con-implies-arr(1)*)
qed
thus *?thesis*
using *Con-rec(4)* [*of T T t*] **by** *force*
qed
qed

lemma *Resid-Arr-self*:
shows $\text{Arr } T \implies \text{Ide } (T \text{ }^* \setminus^* T)$

```

proof (induct T)
  show Arr []  $\implies$  Ide ([]  $\ast \ast$  [])
    by simp
  fix t T
  assume ind: Arr T  $\implies$  Ide (T  $\ast \ast$  T)
  assume Arr: Arr (t # T)
  show Ide ((t # T)  $\ast \ast$  (t # T))
  proof (cases T = [])
    show T = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
      using Arr R.prfx-reflexive by auto
    assume T: T  $\neq$  []
    have 1: (t # T)  $\ast \ast$  (t # T) = t  $\setminus$  (t # T) # T  $\ast \ast$  ((t # T)  $\ast \ast$  [t])
      using Arr T Resid-cons' [of T t t # T] Con-Arr-self by presburger
    also have ... = (t \ t)  $\setminus$  T # T  $\ast \ast$  (t  $\setminus$  [t] # T  $\ast \ast$  ([t]  $\ast \ast$  [t]))
      using Arr T Resid-cons' [of T t [t]]
      by (metis Con-initial-right Resid1x.simps(3) calculation neq-Nil-conv)
    also have ... = (t \ t)  $\setminus$  T # (T  $\ast \ast$  ([t]  $\ast \ast$  [t]))  $\ast \ast$  (T  $\ast \ast$  ([t]  $\ast \ast$  [t]))
      by (metis 1 Resid1x.simps(2) Resid1x.simps(2) Resid1-as-Resid T calculation
        Con-cons(1) Con-rec(4) Resid-cons(2) list.distinct(1) list.inject)
    finally have 2: (t # T)  $\ast \ast$  (t # T) =
      (t \ t)  $\setminus$  T # (T  $\ast \ast$  ([t]  $\ast \ast$  [t]))  $\ast \ast$  (T  $\ast \ast$  ([t]  $\ast \ast$  [t]))
      by blast
    moreover have Ide ...
  proof -
    have R.ide ((t \ t)  $\setminus$  T)
      using Arr T
      by (metis Con-initial-right Con-rec(2) Con-sym1 R.con-implies-arr(1)
        Resid1x-ide Con-Arr-self Resid1-as-Resid R.prfx-reflexive)
    moreover have Ide ((T  $\ast \ast$  ([t]  $\ast \ast$  [t]))  $\ast \ast$  (T  $\ast \ast$  ([t]  $\ast \ast$  [t])))
      using Arr T
      by (metis Con-Arr-self Con-rec(4) Resid-single-ide(2) Con-single-ide-ind
        Resid.simps(3) ind R.prfx-reflexive R.con-implies-arr(2))
    moreover have R.targets ((t \ t)  $\setminus$  T)  $\subseteq$ 
      Srcs ((T  $\ast \ast$  ([t]  $\ast \ast$  [t]))  $\ast \ast$  (T  $\ast \ast$  ([t]  $\ast \ast$  [t])))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 2 Con-cons(1) Resid1-as-Resid T Trgs.simps(2)
        Trgs-Resid-sym Srcs-Resid dual-order.eq-iff list.discI list.inject)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using Arr T
      by (metis Ide.simps(1,3) list.exhaust-sel)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Con-imp-eq-Srcs:
assumes T  $\ast \ast$  U
shows Srcs T = Srcs U
proof (cases T)
  show T = []  $\implies$  ?thesis

```

```

    using assms by simp
  fix t T'
  assume T:  $T = t \# T'$ 
  show  $\text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } U$ 
  proof (cases U)
    show  $U = [] \implies ?thesis$ 
      using assms T by simp
    fix u U'
    assume U:  $U = u \# U'$ 
    show  $\text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } U$ 
      by (metis Con-initial-right Con-rec(1) Con-sym R.con-imp-common-source
          Srcs.simps(2-3) Srcs-eqI T Trgs.cases U assms)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma Arr-iff-Con-self:
shows  $\text{Arr } T \longleftrightarrow T^* \frown^* T$ 
proof (induct T)
  show  $\text{Arr } [] \longleftrightarrow []^* \frown^* []$ 
    by simp
  fix t T
  assume ind:  $\text{Arr } T \longleftrightarrow T^* \frown^* T$ 
  show  $\text{Arr } (t \# T) \longleftrightarrow t \# T^* \frown^* t \# T$ 
  proof (cases  $T = []$ )
    show  $T = [] \implies ?thesis$ 
      by auto
    assume T:  $T \neq []$ 
    show  $?thesis$ 
  proof
    show  $\text{Arr } (t \# T) \implies t \# T^* \frown^* t \# T$ 
      using Con-Arr-self by simp
    show  $t \# T^* \frown^* t \# T \implies \text{Arr } (t \# T)$ 
  proof -
    assume Con:  $t \# T^* \frown^* t \# T$ 
    have R.arr t
      using T Con Con-rec(4) [of T T t t] by blast
    moreover have Arr T
      using T Con Con-rec(4) [of T T t t] ind R.arrI
      by (meson R.prfx-reflexive Con-single-ide-ind)
    moreover have R.targets t  $\subseteq \text{Srcs } T$ 
      using T Con
      by (metis Con-cons(2) Con-imp-eq-Srcs Trgs.simps(2)
          Srcs-Resid list.distinct(1) subsetI)
    ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
      by (cases T) auto
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
qed

```

lemma *Arr-Resid-single*:
shows $T^* \frown^* [u] \implies \text{Arr} (T^* \backslash^* [u])$
proof (*induct* T *arbitrary*: u)
 show $\bigwedge u. []^* \frown^* [u] \implies \text{Arr} ([]^* \backslash^* [u])$
 by *simp*
fix $t\ u\ T$
assume *ind*: $\bigwedge u. T^* \frown^* [u] \implies \text{Arr} (T^* \backslash^* [u])$
assume *Con*: $t \# T^* \frown^* [u]$
show $\text{Arr} ((t \# T)^* \backslash^* [u])$
proof (*cases* $T = []$)
 show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$
 using *Con Arr-iff-Con-self R.con-imp-arr-resid Con-rec(1)* **by** *fastforce*
 assume $T: T \neq []$
 have $\text{Arr} ((t \# T)^* \backslash^* [u]) \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr} ((t \setminus u) \# (T^* \backslash^* [u \setminus t]))$
 using *Con T Resid-rec(2)* **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R.\text{arr} (t \setminus u) \wedge \text{Arr} (T^* \backslash^* [u \setminus t]) \wedge$
 $R.\text{targets} (t \setminus u) \subseteq \text{Srcs} (T^* \backslash^* [u \setminus t])$
 using *Con T*
 by (*metis Arr.simps(3) Con-rec(2) neg-Nil-conv*)
 also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R.\text{con } t\ u \wedge \text{Arr} (T^* \backslash^* [u \setminus t])$
 using *Con T*
 by (*metis Srcs-Resid-Arr-single Con-rec(2) R.arr-resid-iff-con subsetI*
 $R.\text{targets-resid-sym}$)
 also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
 using *Con ind T Con-rec(2)* **by** *blast*
 finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *Con-imp-Arr-Resid*:
shows $T^* \frown^* U \implies \text{Arr} (T^* \backslash^* U)$
proof (*induct* U *arbitrary*: T)
 show $\bigwedge T. T^* \frown^* [] \implies \text{Arr} (T^* \backslash^* [])$
 by (*meson Con-sym Resid.simps(1)*)
 fix $u\ U\ T$
 assume *ind*: $\bigwedge T. T^* \frown^* U \implies \text{Arr} (T^* \backslash^* U)$
 assume *Con*: $T^* \frown^* u \# U$
 show $\text{Arr} (T^* \backslash^* (u \# U))$
 by (*metis Arr-Resid-single Con Resid-cons(2) ind*)
qed

lemma *Cube-ind*:
shows $\llbracket T^* \frown^* U; V^* \frown^* T; \text{length } T + \text{length } U + \text{length } V \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $(V^* \backslash^* T^* \frown^* U^* \backslash^* T \longleftrightarrow V^* \backslash^* U^* \frown^* T^* \backslash^* U) \wedge$
 $(V^* \backslash^* T^* \frown^* U^* \backslash^* T \longrightarrow$
 $(V^* \backslash^* T)^* \backslash^* (U^* \backslash^* T) = (V^* \backslash^* U)^* \backslash^* (T^* \backslash^* U))$
proof (*induct* n *arbitrary*: $T\ U\ V$)
 show $\bigwedge T\ U\ V. \llbracket T^* \frown^* U; V^* \frown^* T; \text{length } T + \text{length } U + \text{length } V \leq 0 \rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (V^* \setminus^* T^* \frown^* U^* \setminus^* T \longleftrightarrow V^* \setminus^* U^* \frown^* T^* \setminus^* U) \wedge \\
& (V^* \setminus^* T^* \frown^* U^* \setminus^* T \longrightarrow \\
& \quad (V^* \setminus^* T)^* \setminus^* (U^* \setminus^* T) = (V^* \setminus^* U)^* \setminus^* (T^* \setminus^* U))
\end{aligned}$$

by simp
fix n and T U V :: 'a list
assume Con-TU: T^* \frown^* U and Con-VT: V^* \frown^* T
have T: T ≠ []
using Con-TU by auto
have U: U ≠ []
using Con-TU Con-sym Resid.simps(1) by blast
have V: V ≠ []
using Con-VT by auto
assume len: length T + length U + length V ≤ Suc n
assume ind: $\bigwedge T U V. \llbracket T^* \frown^* U; V^* \frown^* T; \text{length } T + \text{length } U + \text{length } V \leq n \rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (V^* \setminus^* T^* \frown^* U^* \setminus^* T \longleftrightarrow V^* \setminus^* U^* \frown^* T^* \setminus^* U) \wedge \\
& (V^* \setminus^* T^* \frown^* U^* \setminus^* T \longrightarrow \\
& \quad (V^* \setminus^* T)^* \setminus^* (U^* \setminus^* T) = (V^* \setminus^* U)^* \setminus^* (T^* \setminus^* U))
\end{aligned}$$
show (V^* \setminus^* T^* \frown^* U^* \setminus^* T \longleftrightarrow V^* \setminus^* U^* \frown^* T^* \setminus^* U) ∧
(V^* \setminus^* T^* \frown^* U^* \setminus^* T \longrightarrow (V^* \setminus^* T)^* \setminus^* (U^* \setminus^* T) = (V^* \setminus^* U)^* \setminus^* (T^* \setminus^* U))
proof (cases V)
show V = [] ⇒ ?thesis
using V by simp

fix v V'
assume V: V = v # V'
show ?thesis
proof (cases U)
show U = [] ⇒ ?thesis
using U by simp
fix u U'
assume U: U = u # U'
show ?thesis
proof (cases T)
show T = [] ⇒ ?thesis
using T by simp
fix t T'
assume T: T = t # T'
show ?thesis
proof (cases V' = [], cases U' = [], cases T' = [])
show $\llbracket V' = []; U' = []; T' = [] \rrbracket \implies ?thesis$
using T U V R.cube Con-TU Resid.simps(2-3) R.arr-resid-iff-con
R.con-implies-arr Con-sym
by metis
assume T': T' ≠ [] and V': V' = [] and U': U' = []
have 1: U^* \frown^* [t]
using T Con-TU Con-cons(2) Con-sym Resid.simps(2) by metis
have 2: V^* \frown^* [t]
using V Con-VT Con-initial-right T by blast
show ?thesis

proof (*intro conjI impI*)
have β : $\text{length } [t] + \text{length } U + \text{length } V \leq n$
using $T \ T' \ \text{le-Suc-eq} \ \text{len}$ **by** *fastforce*
show $*$: $V \ * \ * \ T \ * \ \frown \ * \ U \ * \ * \ T \ \longleftrightarrow \ V \ * \ * \ U \ * \ \frown \ * \ T \ * \ * \ U$
proof –
have $V \ * \ * \ T \ * \ \frown \ * \ U \ * \ * \ T \ \longleftrightarrow \ (V \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ T' \ * \ \frown \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ T'$
using $\text{Con-TU} \ \text{Con-VT} \ \text{Con-sym} \ \text{Resid-cons}(2) \ T \ T'$ **by** *force*
also have $\dots \ \longleftrightarrow \ V \ * \ * \ [t] \ * \ \frown \ * \ U \ * \ * \ [t] \ \wedge$
 $(V \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ \frown \ * \ T' \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t])$
proof (*intro iffI conjI*)
show $(V \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ T' \ * \ \frown \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ T' \ \Longrightarrow \ V \ * \ * \ [t] \ * \ \frown \ * \ U \ * \ * \ [t]$
using $T \ U \ V \ T' \ U' \ V' \ 1 \ \text{ind} \ [\text{of } T'] \ \text{len} \ \text{Con-TU} \ \text{Con-rec}(2) \ \text{Resid-rec}(1)$
 $\text{Resid.simps}(1) \ \text{length-Cons} \ \text{Suc-le-mono} \ \text{add-Suc}$
by (*metis (no-types)*)
show $(V \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ T' \ * \ \frown \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ T' \ \Longrightarrow$
 $(V \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ \frown \ * \ T' \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t])$
using $T \ U \ V \ T' \ U' \ V'$
by (*metis Con-sym Resid.simps(1) Resid-rec(1) Suc-le-mono ind len*
 $\text{length-Cons} \ \text{list.size}(3-4)$)
show $V \ * \ * \ [t] \ * \ \frown \ * \ U \ * \ * \ [t] \ \wedge$
 $(V \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ \frown \ * \ T' \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t]) \ \Longrightarrow$
 $(V \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ T' \ * \ \frown \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ T'$
using $T \ U \ V \ T' \ U' \ V' \ 1 \ \text{ind} \ \text{len} \ \text{Con-TU} \ \text{Con-VT} \ \text{Con-rec}(1-3)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def Resid-rec(1) Suc-le-mono*
 $\text{add.commute} \ \text{list.size}(3) \ \text{list.size}(4) \ \text{plus-1-eq-Suc}$)
qed
also have $\dots \ \longleftrightarrow \ (V \ * \ * \ U) \ * \ * \ ([t] \ * \ * \ U) \ * \ \frown \ * \ T' \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t])$
by (*metis 2 3 Con-sym ind Resid.simps(1)*)
also have $\dots \ \longleftrightarrow \ V \ * \ * \ U \ * \ \frown \ * \ T \ * \ * \ U$
using $\text{Con-rec}(2) \ [\text{of } T' \ t]$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 1 Con-TU Con-cons(2) Resid.simps(1)*
 $\text{Resid.simps}(3) \ \text{Resid-rec}(2) \ T \ T' \ U \ U'$)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
assume Con : $V \ * \ * \ T \ * \ \frown \ * \ U \ * \ * \ T$
show $(V \ * \ * \ T) \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ T) = (V \ * \ * \ U) \ * \ * \ (T \ * \ * \ U)$
proof –
have $(V \ * \ * \ T) \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ T) = ((V \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ T') \ * \ * \ ((U \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ T')$
using $\text{Con-TU} \ \text{Con-VT} \ \text{Con-sym} \ \text{Resid-cons}(2) \ T \ T'$ **by** *force*
also have $\dots = ((V \ * \ * \ [t]) \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t])) \ * \ * \ (T' \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t]))$
using $T \ U \ V \ T' \ U' \ V' \ 1 \ \text{Con} \ \text{ind} \ [\text{of } T' \ \text{Resid } U \ [t] \ \text{Resid } V \ [t]]$
by (*metis One-nat-def add.commute calculation len length-0-conv length-Resid*
 $\text{list.size}(4) \ \text{nat-add-left-cancel-le} \ \text{Con-sym} \ \text{plus-1-eq-Suc}$)
also have $\dots = ((V \ * \ * \ U) \ * \ * \ ([t] \ * \ * \ U)) \ * \ * \ (T' \ * \ * \ (U \ * \ * \ [t]))$
by (*metis 1 2 3 Con-sym ind*)
also have $\dots = (V \ * \ * \ U) \ * \ * \ (T \ * \ * \ U)$
using $T \ U \ T' \ U' \ \text{Con} \ *$
by (*metis Con-sym Resid-rec(1-2) Resid.simps(1) Resid-cons(2)*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

```

qed
qed
next
assume U': U' ≠ [] and V': V' = []
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI impI)
  show *: V * \ * T * ^ * U * \ * T ↔ V * \ * U * ^ * T * \ * U
  proof (cases T' = [])
    assume T': T' = []
    show ?thesis
    proof -
      have V * \ * T * ^ * U * \ * T ↔ V * \ * [t] * ^ * (u \ t) # (U' * \ * [t \ u])
        using Con-TU Con-sym Resid-rec(2) T T' U U' by auto
      also have ... ↔ (V * \ * [t]) * \ * [u \ t] * ^ * U' * \ * [t \ u]
        by (metis Con-TU Con-cons(2) Con-rec(3) Con-sym Resid.simps(1) T U U')
      also have ... ↔ (V * \ * [u]) * \ * [t \ u] * ^ * U' * \ * [t \ u]
        using T U V V' R.cube-ax
      apply simp
      by (metis R.con-implies-arr(1) R.not-arr-null R.con-def)
    also have ... ↔ (V * \ * [u]) * \ * U' * ^ * [t \ u] * \ * U'
    proof -
      have length [t \ u] + length U' + length (V * \ * [u]) ≤ n
        using T U V V' len by force
      thus ?thesis
        by (metis Con-sym Resid.simps(1) add commute ind)
    qed
  also have ... ↔ V * \ * U * ^ * T * \ * U
    by (metis Con-TU Resid-cons(2) Resid-rec(3) T T' U U' Con-cons(2)
        length-Resid length-0-conv)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
assume T': T' ≠ []
show ?thesis
proof -
  have V * \ * T * ^ * U * \ * T ↔ (V * \ * [t]) * \ * T' * ^ * ((U * \ * [t]) * \ * T')
    using Con-TU Con-VT Con-sym Resid-cons(2) T T' by force
  also have ... ↔ (V * \ * [t]) * \ * (U * \ * [t]) * ^ * T' * \ * (U * \ * [t])
  proof -
    have length T' + length (U * \ * [t]) + length (V * \ * [t]) ≤ n
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Con-TU Con-VT Con-initial-right Con-sym
          One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 T ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1)
          add-le-imp-le-left len length-Resid list.size(4) plus-1-eq-Suc)
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis Con-TU Con-VT Con-cons(1) Con-cons(2) T T' U V ind list.discI)
  qed
  also have ... ↔ (V * \ * U) * \ * ([t] * \ * U) * ^ * T' * \ * (U * \ * [t])
  proof -
    have length [t] + length U + length V ≤ n

```

```

    using T T' le-Suc-eq len by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis Con-TU Con-VT Con-initial-left Con-initial-right T ind)
qed
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow V * \setminus * U * \frown * T * \setminus * U$ 
  by (metis Con-cons(2) Con-sym Resid.simps(1) Resid1x-as-Resid
      Resid1-as-Resid Resid-cons' T T')
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show  $V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T \implies$ 
   $(V * \setminus * T) * \setminus * (U * \setminus * T) = (V * \setminus * U) * \setminus * (T * \setminus * U)$ 
proof -
  assume Con:  $V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases T' = [])
    assume T': T' = []
    show ?thesis
  proof -
    have 1:  $(V * \setminus * T) * \setminus * (U * \setminus * T) =$ 
       $(V * \setminus * T) * \setminus * ((u \setminus t) \# (U' * \setminus * [t \setminus u]))$ 
      using Con-TU Con-sym Resid-rec(2) T T' U U' by force
    also have ... =  $((V * \setminus * [t]) * \setminus * [u \setminus t]) * \setminus * (U' * \setminus * [t \setminus u])$ 
      by (metis Con Con-TU Con-rec(2) Con-sym Resid-cons(2) T T' U U'
          calculation)
    also have ... =  $((V * \setminus * [u]) * \setminus * [t \setminus u]) * \setminus * (U' * \setminus * [t \setminus u])$ 
      by (metis * Con Con-rec(3) R.cube Resid.simps(1,3) T T' U V V'
          calculation R.conI R.conE)
    also have ... =  $((V * \setminus * [u]) * \setminus * U') * \setminus * ([t \setminus u] * \setminus * U')$ 
  proof -
    have  $\text{length } [t \setminus u] + \text{length } (U' * \setminus * [t \setminus u]) + \text{length } (V * \setminus * [u]) \leq n$ 
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Nat.le-diff-conv2 One-nat-def T U V V'
          add commute add-diff-cancel-left' add-leD2 len length-Cons
          length-Resid list.size(3) plus-1-eq-Suc)
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis Con-sym add commute Resid.simps(1) ind length-Resid)
  qed
  also have ... =  $(V * \setminus * U) * \setminus * (T * \setminus * U)$ 
    by (metis Con-TU Con-cons(2) Resid-cons(2) T T' U U'
        Resid-rec(3) length-0-conv length-Resid)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
assume T': T'  $\neq$  []
show ?thesis
proof -
  have  $(V * \setminus * T) * \setminus * (U * \setminus * T) =$ 
     $((V * \setminus * T) * \setminus * ([u] * \setminus * T)) * \setminus * (U' * \setminus * (T * \setminus * [u]))$ 
    by (metis Con Con-TU Resid.simps(2) Resid1x-as-Resid U U')

```

Con-cons(2) Con-sym Resid-cons' Resid-cons(2)

also have ... = $((V \text{ * } [u]) \text{ * } (T \text{ * } [u])) \text{ * } (U' \text{ * } (T \text{ * } [u]))$

proof –

have $\text{length } T + \text{length } [u] + \text{length } V \leq n$

using *U U' antisym-conv len not-less-eq-eq* **by** *fastforce*

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis Con-TU Con-VT Con-initial-right U ind*)

qed

also have ... = $((V \text{ * } [u]) \text{ * } U') \text{ * } ((T \text{ * } [u]) \text{ * } U')$

proof –

have $\text{length } (T \text{ * } [u]) + \text{length } U' + \text{length } (V \text{ * } [u]) \leq n$

using *Con-TU Con-initial-right U V V' len length-Resid* **by** *force*

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis Con Con-TU Con-cons(2) U U' calculation ind length-0-conv length-Resid*)

qed

also have ... = $(V \text{ * } U) \text{ * } (T \text{ * } U)$

by (*metis * Con Con-TU Resid-cons(2) U U' length-Resid length-0-conv*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

qed

qed

qed

next

assume $V': V' \neq []$

show *?thesis*

proof (*cases U' = []*)

assume $U': U' = []$

show *?thesis*

proof (*cases T' = []*)

assume $T': T' = []$

show *?thesis*

proof (*intro conjI impI*)

show $V \text{ * } T \text{ * } U \text{ * } T \longleftrightarrow V \text{ * } U \text{ * } T \text{ * } U$

proof –

have $V \text{ * } T \text{ * } U \text{ * } T \longleftrightarrow (v \setminus t) \# (V' \text{ * } [t \setminus v]) \text{ * } [u \setminus t]$

using *Con-TU Con-VT Con-sym Resid-rec(1-2) T T' U U' V V'*

by *metis*

also have ... $\longleftrightarrow [v \setminus t] \text{ * } [u \setminus t] \wedge V' \text{ * } [t \setminus v] \text{ * } [u \setminus v] \text{ * } [t \setminus v]$

proof –

have $V' \text{ * } [t \setminus v]$

using *T T' V V' Con-VT Con-rec(2)* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

using *R.con-def R.con-sym R.cube*

*Con-rec(2) [of V' * [t \setminus v] v \setminus t u \setminus t]*

by *auto*

qed

also have ... $\longleftrightarrow [v \setminus t] \text{ * } [u \setminus t] \wedge$

$V' * \setminus * [u \setminus v] * \frown * [t \setminus v] * \setminus * [u \setminus v]$

proof –
have $\text{length } [t \setminus v] + \text{length } [u \setminus v] + \text{length } V' \leq n$
using $T \ U \ V \ \text{len}$ **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis Con-imp-Arr-Resid Arr-has-Src Con-VT T T' Trgs.simps(1)*
Trgs-Resid-sym V V' Con-rec(2) Srcs-Resid ind)

qed
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow [v \setminus t] * \frown * [u \setminus t] \wedge$
 $V' * \setminus * [u \setminus v] * \frown * [t \setminus u] * \setminus * [v \setminus u]$
by (*simp add: R.con-def R.cube*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow V * \setminus * U * \frown * T * \setminus * U$

proof
assume $1: V * \setminus * U * \frown * T * \setminus * U$
have $tu-vu: t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 1 T T' U U' V V' Con-rec(3)*
Resid-rec(1-2) Con-sym length-Resid length-0-conv)
have $vt-ut: v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t$
using 1
by (*metis R.con-def R.con-sym R.cube tu-vu*)
show $[v \setminus t] * \frown * [u \setminus t] \wedge V' * \setminus * [u \setminus v] * \frown * [t \setminus u] * \setminus * [v \setminus u]$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 1 Con-TU Con-cons(1) Con-rec(1-2)*
Resid-rec(1) T T' U U' V V' Resid-rec(2) length-Resid
length-0-conv vt-ut)

next
assume $1: [v \setminus t] * \frown * [u \setminus t] \wedge$
 $V' * \setminus * [u \setminus v] * \frown * [t \setminus u] * \setminus * [v \setminus u]$
have $tu-vu: t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u \wedge v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t$
by (*metis 1 Con-sym Resid.simps(1) Residx1.simps(2)*
Residx1-as-Resid)
have $tu: t \frown u$
using *Con-TU Con-rec(1) T T' U U'* **by** *blast*
show $V * \setminus * U * \frown * T * \setminus * U$
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) 1 Con-rec(2) Con-sym*
R.con-implies-arr(2) Resid.simps(1,3) T T' U U' V V'
Resid-rec(2) R.arr-resid-iff-con)

qed
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed
show $V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T \implies$
 $(V * \setminus * T) * \setminus * (U * \setminus * T) = (V * \setminus * U) * \setminus * (T * \setminus * U)$

proof –
assume *Con: V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T*
have $(V * \setminus * T) * \setminus * (U * \setminus * T) = ((v \setminus t) \# (V' * \setminus * [t \setminus v])) * \setminus * [u \setminus t]$
using *Con-TU Con-VT Con-sym Resid-rec(1-2) T T' U U' V V'* **by** *metis*
also have $1: \dots = ((v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)) \#$
 $(V' * \setminus * [t \setminus v]) * \setminus * ([u \setminus v] * \setminus * [t \setminus v])$
apply *simp*
by (*metis Con Con-VT Con-rec(2) R.conE R.conI R.con-sym R.cube*)

$Resid-rec(2) T T' V V' calculation(1)$
also have ... = $((v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)) \#$
 $(V' * \setminus * [u \setminus v]) * \setminus * ([t \setminus v] * \setminus * [u \setminus v])$
proof –
have $length [t \setminus v] + length [u \setminus v] + length V' \leq n$
using $T U V len$ **by** *fastforce*
moreover have $u \setminus v \frown t \setminus v$
by (*metis 1 Con-VT Con-rec(2) R.con-sym-ax T T' V V' list.discI*
 $R.conE R.conI R.cube$)
moreover have $t \setminus v \frown u \setminus v$
using $R.con-sym calculation(2)$ **by** *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis Con-VT Con-rec(2) T T' V V' Con-rec(1) ind*)
qed
also have ... = $((v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)) \#$
 $((V' * \setminus * [u \setminus v]) * \setminus * ([t \setminus u] * \setminus * [v \setminus u]))$
using $R.cube$ **by** *fastforce*
also have ... = $((v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)) \#$
 $((V' * \setminus * [u \setminus v]) * \setminus * ([t \setminus u] * \setminus * [v \setminus u]))$
by (*metis R.cube*)
also have ... = $(V * \setminus * U) * \setminus * (T * \setminus * U)$
proof –
have $(V * \setminus * U) * \setminus * (T * \setminus * U) = ((v \setminus u) \# ((V' * \setminus * [u \setminus v]))) * \setminus * [t \setminus u]$
using $T T' U U' V Resid-cons(1)$ [*of [u] v V'*]
by (*metis * Con Con-TU Resid.simps(1) Resid-rec(1) Resid-rec(2)*)
also have ... = $((v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)) \#$
 $((V' * \setminus * [u \setminus v]) * \setminus * ([t \setminus u] * \setminus * [v \setminus u]))$
by (*metis * Con Con-initial-left calculation Con-sym Resid.simps(1)*
 $Resid-rec(1-2)$)
finally show *?thesis by simp*
qed
finally show *?thesis by simp*
qed
qed
next
assume $T': T' \neq []$
show *?thesis*
proof (*intro conjI impI*)
show *: $V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T \longleftrightarrow V * \setminus * U * \frown * T * \setminus * U$
proof –
have $V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T \longleftrightarrow (V * \setminus * [t]) * \setminus * T' * \frown * [u \setminus t] * \setminus * T'$
using $Con-TU Con-VT Con-sym Resid-cons(2) Resid-rec(3) T T' U U'$
by *force*
also have ... $\longleftrightarrow (V * \setminus * [t]) * \setminus * [u \setminus t] * \frown * T' * \setminus * [u \setminus t]$
proof –
have $length [u \setminus t] + length T' + length (V * \setminus * [t]) \leq n$
using $Con-VT Con-initial-right T U length-Resid len$ **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis Con-TU Con-VT Con-rec(2) T T' U V add commute Con-cons(2)*)

ind list.discI)

qed

also have ... $\longleftrightarrow (V * \setminus * [u]) * \setminus * [t \setminus u] * \frown * T' * \setminus * [u \setminus t]$

proof –

have $\text{length } [t] + \text{length } [u] + \text{length } V \leq n$

using $T \ T' \ U \ \text{le-Suc-eq len}$ **by** *fastforce*

hence $(V * \setminus * [t]) * \setminus * ([u] * \setminus * [t]) = (V * \setminus * [u]) * \setminus * ([t] * \setminus * [u])$

using *ind [of [t] [u] V]*

by $(\text{metis } \text{Con-TU } \text{Con-VT } \text{Con-initial-left } \text{Con-initial-right } T \ U)$

thus *?thesis*

by $(\text{metis } (\text{full-types}) \ \text{Con-TU } \text{Con-initial-left } \text{Con-sym } \text{Resid-rec}(1) \ T \ U)$

qed

also have ... $\longleftrightarrow V * \setminus * U * \frown * T * \setminus * U$

by $(\text{metis } \text{Con-TU } \text{Con-cons}(2) \ \text{Con-rec}(2) \ \text{Resid.simps}(1) \ \text{Resid-rec}(2) \ T \ T' \ U \ U')$

T \ T' \ U \ U')

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

show $V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T \implies (V * \setminus * T) * \setminus * (U * \setminus * T) = (V * \setminus * U) * \setminus * (T * \setminus * U)$

proof –

assume $\text{Con}: V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T$

have $(V * \setminus * T) * \setminus * (U * \setminus * T) = ((V * \setminus * [t]) * \setminus * T') * \setminus * ([u \setminus t] * \setminus * T')$

using $\text{Con-TU } \text{Con-VT } \text{Con-sym } \text{Resid-cons}(2) \ \text{Resid-rec}(3) \ T \ T' \ U \ U'$

by *force*

also have ... $= ((V * \setminus * [t]) * \setminus * [u \setminus t]) * \setminus * (T' * \setminus * [u \setminus t])$

proof –

have $\text{length } [u \setminus t] + \text{length } T' + \text{length } (\text{Resid } V \ [t]) \leq n$

using $\text{Con-VT } \text{Con-initial-right } T \ U \ \text{length-Resid len}$ **by** *fastforce*

thus *?thesis*

by $(\text{metis } \text{Con-TU } \text{Con-VT } \text{Con-cons}(2) \ \text{Con-rec}(2) \ T \ T' \ U \ V \ \text{add commute } \text{ind list.discI})$

qed

also have ... $= ((V * \setminus * [u]) * \setminus * [t \setminus u]) * \setminus * (T' * \setminus * [u \setminus t])$

proof –

have $\text{length } [t] + \text{length } [u] + \text{length } V \leq n$

using $T \ T' \ U \ \text{le-Suc-eq len}$ **by** *fastforce*

thus *?thesis*

using *ind [of [t] [u] V]*

by $(\text{metis } \text{Con-TU } \text{Con-VT } \text{Con-initial-left } \text{Con-sym } \text{Resid-rec}(1) \ T \ U)$

qed

also have ... $= (V * \setminus * U) * \setminus * (T * \setminus * U)$

using $\text{Con } \text{Con-TU } \text{Con-rec}(2) \ \text{Resid-cons}(2) \ \text{Resid-rec}(2) \ T \ T' \ U \ U'$

by *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

qed

next

assume $U': U' \neq []$

show *?thesis*
proof (*cases* $T' = []$)
assume $T': T' = []$
show *?thesis*
proof (*intro conjI impI*)
show $*$: $V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T \longleftrightarrow V * \setminus * U * \frown * T * \setminus * U$
proof –
have $V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T \longleftrightarrow V * \setminus * [t] * \frown * (u \setminus t) \# (U' * \setminus * [t \setminus u])$
using $T U V T' U' V' \text{ Con-TU Con-VT Con-sym Resid-rec(2)}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow V * \setminus * [t] * \frown * [u \setminus t] \wedge$
 $(V * \setminus * [t]) * \setminus * [u \setminus t] * \frown * U' * \setminus * [t \setminus u]$
by (*metis Con-TU Con-VT Con-cons(2) Con-initial-right*
Con-rec(2) Con-sym T U U')
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow V * \setminus * [t] * \frown * [u \setminus t] \wedge$
 $(V * \setminus * [u]) * \setminus * [t \setminus u] * \frown * U' * \setminus * [t \setminus u]$
proof –
have $\text{length } [u] + \text{length } [t] + \text{length } V \leq n$
using $T U V T' U' V' \text{ len not-less-eq-eq order-trans}$ **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using *ind [of [t] [u] V]*
by (*metis Con-TU Con-VT Con-initial-right Resid-rec(1) T U*
Con-sym length-Cons)
qed
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow V * \setminus * [u] * \frown * [t \setminus u] \wedge$
 $(V * \setminus * [u]) * \setminus * [t \setminus u] * \frown * U' * \setminus * [t \setminus u]$
proof –
have $\text{length } [t] + \text{length } [u] + \text{length } V \leq n$
using $T U V T' U' V' \text{ len antisym-conv not-less-eq-eq}$ **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using *ind [of [t]]*
by (*metis (full-types) Con-TU Con-VT Con-initial-right Con-sym*
Resid-rec(1) T U)
qed
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (V * \setminus * [u]) * \setminus * U' * \frown * [t \setminus u] * \setminus * U'$
proof –
have $\text{length } [t \setminus u] + \text{length } U' + \text{length } (V * \setminus * [u]) \leq n$
by (*metis T T' U add.assoc add.right-neutral add-leD1*
add-le-cancel-left length-Resid len length-Cons list.size(3)
plus-1-eq-Suc)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Con-sym Resid.simps(1)*
add.commute ind)
qed
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow V * \setminus * U * \frown * T * \setminus * U$
by (*metis Con-TU Resid-cons(2) Resid-rec(3) T T' U U'*
Con-cons(2) length-Resid length-0-conv)
finally show *?thesis by blast*
qed
show $V * \setminus * T * \frown * U * \setminus * T \implies$

$(V * \setminus T) * \setminus (U * \setminus T) = (V * \setminus U) * \setminus (T * \setminus U)$
proof –
assume *Con*: $V * \setminus T \frown U * \setminus T$
have $(V * \setminus T) * \setminus (U * \setminus T) =$
 $(V * \setminus [t]) * \setminus ((u \setminus t) \# (U' * \setminus [t \setminus u]))$
using *Con-TU Con-sym Resid-rec(2) T T' U U'* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = ((V * \setminus [t]) * \setminus [u \setminus t]) * \setminus (U' * \setminus [t \setminus u])$
by (*metis Con Con-TU Con-rec(2) Con-sym T T' U U' calculation*
Resid-cons(2))
also have $\dots = ((V * \setminus [u]) * \setminus [t \setminus u]) * \setminus (U' * \setminus [t \setminus u])$
proof –
have $\text{length } [t] + \text{length } [u] + \text{length } V \leq n$
using *T U U' le-Suc-eq len* **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using *T U Con-TU Con-VT Con-sym ind [of [t] [u] V]*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Con-initial-right Resid.simps(3)*)
qed
also have $\dots = ((V * \setminus [u]) * \setminus U') * \setminus ([t \setminus u] * \setminus U')$
proof –
have $\text{length } [t \setminus u] + \text{length } U' + \text{length } (V * \setminus [u]) \leq n$
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) T T' U add.left-commute*
add.right-neutral add-leD2 add-le-cancel-left len length-Cons
length-Resid list.size(3) plus-1-eq-Suc)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis Con Con-TU Con-rec(3) T T' U U' calculation*
ind length-0-conv length-Resid)
qed
also have $\dots = (V * \setminus U) * \setminus (T * \setminus U)$
by (*metis * Con Con-TU Resid-rec(3) T T' U U' Resid-cons(2)*
length-Resid length-0-conv)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
next
assume $T': T' \neq []$
show *?thesis*
proof (*intro conjI impI*)
have 1: $U \frown [t]$
using *T Con-TU*
by (*metis Con-cons(2) Con-sym Resid.simps(2)*)
have 2: $V \frown [t]$
using *V Con-VT Con-initial-right T* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\text{length } T' + \text{length } (U * \setminus [t]) + \text{length } (V * \setminus [t]) \leq n$
using 1 2 *T len length-Resid* **by** *force*
have 4: $\text{length } [t] + \text{length } U + \text{length } V \leq n$
using *T T' len antisym-conv not-less-eq-eq* **by** *fastforce*
show *: $V * \setminus T \frown U * \setminus T \longleftrightarrow V * \setminus U \frown T * \setminus U$
proof –
have $V * \setminus T \frown U * \setminus T \longleftrightarrow (V * \setminus [t]) * \setminus T' \frown (U * \setminus [t]) * \setminus T'$

using *Con-TU Con-VT Con-sym Resid-cons(2) T T'* **by force**
also have ... $\longleftrightarrow (V * \setminus [t]) * \setminus (U * \setminus [t]) * \frown T' * \setminus (U * \setminus [t])$
by (*metis 3 Con-TU Con-VT Con-cons(1) Con-cons(2) T T' U V ind list.discI*)
also have ... $\longleftrightarrow (V * \setminus U) * \setminus ([t] * \setminus U) * \frown T' * \setminus (U * \setminus [t])$
by (*metis 1 2 4 Con-sym ind*)
also have ... $\longleftrightarrow V * \setminus U * \frown \text{hd} ([t] * \setminus U) \# T' * \setminus (U * \setminus [t])$
by (*metis 1 Con-TU Con-cons(1) Con-cons(2) Resid.simps(1) Resid1x-as-Resid T T' list.sel(1)*)
also have ... $\longleftrightarrow V * \setminus U * \frown T * \setminus U$
using *1 Resid-cons' [of T' t U] Con-TU T T' Resid1x-as-Resid Con-sym*
by force
finally show *?thesis by simp*
qed
show $(V * \setminus T) * \setminus (U * \setminus T) = (V * \setminus U) * \setminus (T * \setminus U)$
proof –
have $(V * \setminus T) * \setminus (U * \setminus T) =$
 $((V * \setminus [t]) * \setminus T') * \setminus ((U * \setminus [t]) * \setminus T')$
using *Con-TU Con-VT Con-sym Resid-cons(2) T T'* **by force**
also have ... $= ((V * \setminus [t]) * \setminus (U * \setminus [t])) * \setminus (T' * \setminus (U * \setminus [t]))$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 3 Con-TU Con-VT T T' U V Con-cons(1) Con-cons(2) ind list.simps(3)*)
also have ... $= ((V * \setminus U) * \setminus ([t] * \setminus U)) * \setminus (T' * \setminus (U * \setminus [t]))$
by (*metis 1 2 4 Con-sym ind*)
also have ... $= (V * \setminus U) * \setminus ((t \# T') * \setminus U)$
by (*metis * Con-TU Con-cons(1) Resid1x-as-Resid Resid-cons' T T' U calculation Resid-cons(2) list.distinct(1)*)
also have ... $= (V * \setminus U) * \setminus (T * \setminus U)$
using *T by fastforce*
finally show *?thesis by simp*
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma *Cube*:

shows $T * \setminus U * \frown V * \setminus U \longleftrightarrow T * \setminus V * \frown U * \setminus V$

and $T * \setminus U * \frown V * \setminus U \Longrightarrow (T * \setminus U) * \setminus (V * \setminus U) = (T * \setminus V) * \setminus (U * \setminus V)$

proof –

show $T * \setminus U * \frown V * \setminus U \longleftrightarrow T * \setminus V * \frown U * \setminus V$

using *Cube-ind by (metis Con-sym Resid.simps(1) le-add2)*

show $T * \setminus U * \frown V * \setminus U \Longrightarrow (T * \setminus U) * \setminus (V * \setminus U) = (T * \setminus V) * \setminus (U * \setminus V)$

using *Cube-ind by (metis Con-sym Resid.simps(1) order-refl)*

qed

lemma *Con-implies-Arr*:

assumes $T \ast \frown \ast U$

shows *Arr T and Arr U*

using *assms Con-sym*

by (*metis Con-imp-Arr-Resid Arr-iff-Con-self Cube(1) Resid.simps(1)*)⁺

sublocale *partial-magma Resid*

by (*unfold-locales, metis Resid.simps(1) Con-sym*)

lemma *is-partial-magma*:

shows *partial-magma Resid*

..

lemma *null-char*:

shows $null = []$

by (*metis null-is-zero(2) Resid.simps(1)*)

sublocale *residuation Resid*

using *null-char Con-sym Arr-iff-Con-self Con-imp-Arr-Resid Cube null-is-zero(2)*

by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma *is-residuation*:

shows *residuation Resid*

..

lemma *arr-char*:

shows $arr\ T \longleftrightarrow Arr\ T$

using *null-char Arr-iff-Con-self* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *arrI_P* [*intro*]:

assumes *Arr T*

shows *arr T*

using *assms arr-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *ide-char*:

shows $ide\ T \longleftrightarrow Ide\ T$

by (*metis Con-Arr-self Ide-implies-Arr Resid-Arr-Ide-ind Resid-Arr-self arr-char ide-def arr-def*)

lemma *con-char*:

shows $con\ T\ U \longleftrightarrow Con\ T\ U$

using *null-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *conI_P* [*intro*]:

assumes *Con T U*

shows *con T U*

using *assms con-char* **by** *auto*

sublocale *rts Resid*

proof

show $\bigwedge A T. \llbracket \text{ide } A; \text{con } T A \rrbracket \implies T^* \backslash^* A = T$
 using *Resid-Arr-Ide-ind ide-char null-char* **by** *auto*
show $\bigwedge T. \text{arr } T \implies \text{ide } (\text{trg } T)$
 by (*metis arr-char Resid-Arr-self ide-char resid-arr-self*)
show $\bigwedge A T. \llbracket \text{ide } A; \text{con } A T \rrbracket \implies \text{ide } (A^* \backslash^* T)$
 by (*simp add: Resid-Ide-Arr-ind con-char ide-char*)
show $\bigwedge T U. \text{con } T U \implies \exists A. \text{ide } A \wedge \text{con } A T \wedge \text{con } A U$
proof –
 fix $T U$
 assume $TU: \text{con } T U$
 have $1: \text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } U$
 using TU *Con-imp-eq-Srcs con-char* **by** *force*
 obtain a **where** $a: a \in \text{Srcs } T \cap \text{Srcs } U$
 using 1
 by (*metis Int-absorb Int-emptyI TU arr-char Arr-has-Src con-implies-arr(1)*)
 show $\exists A. \text{ide } A \wedge \text{con } A T \wedge \text{con } A U$
 using a 1
 by (*metis (full-types) Ball-Collect Con-single-ide-ind Ide.simps(2) Int-absorb TU Srcs-are-ide arr-char con-char con-implies-arr(1-2) ide-char*)
qed
show $\bigwedge T U V. \llbracket \text{ide } (\text{Resid } T U); \text{con } U V \rrbracket \implies \text{con } (T^* \backslash^* U) (V^* \backslash^* U)$
 using *null-char ide-char*
 by (*metis Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-Ide-iff Srcs-Resid con-char con-sym arr-resid-iff-con ide-implies-arr*)

qed

theorem *is-rts:*

shows *rts Resid*

..

notation *cong* (**infix** $^* \sim^*$ 50)

notation *prfx* (**infix** $^* \lesssim^*$ 50)

lemma *sources-char_P:*

shows $\text{sources } T = \{A. \text{Ide } A \wedge \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Srcs } A = \text{Srcs } T\}$

using *Con-Ide-iff Con-sym con-char ide-char sources-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *sources-cons:*

shows $\text{Arr } (t \# T) \implies \text{sources } (t \# T) = \text{sources } [t]$

apply (*induct T*)

apply *simp*

using *sources-char_P* **by** *auto*

lemma *targets-char_P:*

shows $\text{targets } T = \{B. \text{Ide } B \wedge \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Srcs } B = \text{Trgs } T\}$

unfolding *targets-def*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *trg-def* *Arr.simps(1)* *Ide-implies-Arr* *Resid-Arr-self* *arr-char* *Con-Ide-iff* *Srcs-Resid* *con-char* *ide-char* *con-implies-arr(1)*)

lemma *seq-char'*:

shows $seq\ T\ U \iff Arr\ T \wedge Arr\ U \wedge Trgs\ T \cap Srcs\ U \neq \{\}$

proof

show $seq\ T\ U \implies Arr\ T \wedge Arr\ U \wedge Trgs\ T \cap Srcs\ U \neq \{\}$

unfolding *seq-def*

using *Arr-has-Trg* *arr-char* *Con-Arr-self* *sources-char_P* *trg-def* *trg-in-targets*

by *fastforce*

assume $1: Arr\ T \wedge Arr\ U \wedge Trgs\ T \cap Srcs\ U \neq \{\}$

have $targets\ T = sources\ U$

proof –

obtain a **where** $a: R.ide\ a \wedge a \in Trgs\ T \wedge a \in Srcs\ U$

using 1 *Trgs-are-ide* **by** *blast*

have $Trgs\ [a] = Trgs\ T$

using $a\ 1$

by (*metis* *Con-single-ide-ind* *Con-sym* *Resid-Arr-Src* *Srcs-Resid* *Trgs-eqI*)

moreover **have** $Srcs\ [a] = Srcs\ U$

using $a\ 1$ *Con-single-ide-ind* *Con-imp-eq-Srcs* **by** *blast*

moreover **have** $Trgs\ [a] = Srcs\ [a]$

using a

by (*metis* *R.residuation-axioms* *R.sources-resid* *Srcs.simps(2)* *Trgs.simps(2)* *residuation.ideE*)

ultimately **show** *?thesis*

using 1 *sources-char_P* *targets-char_P* **by** *auto*

qed

thus $seq\ T\ U$

using 1 **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *seq-char*:

shows $seq\ T\ U \iff Arr\ T \wedge Arr\ U \wedge Trgs\ T = Srcs\ U$

by (*metis* *Int-absorb* *Srcs-Resid* *Arr-has-Src* *Arr-iff-Con-self* *Srcs-eqI* *seq-char'*)

lemma *seqI_P* [*intro*]:

assumes $Arr\ T$ **and** $Arr\ U$ **and** $Trgs\ T \cap Srcs\ U \neq \{\}$

shows $seq\ T\ U$

using *assms* *seq-char'* **by** *auto*

lemma *Ide-imp-sources-eq-targets*:

assumes $Ide\ T$

shows $sources\ T = targets\ T$

using *assms*

by (*metis* *Resid-Arr-Ide-ind* *arr-iff-has-source* *arr-iff-has-target* *con-char* *arr-def* *sources-resid*)

2.4.2 Inclusion Map

Inclusion of an RTS to the RTS of its paths.

abbreviation *incl*

where *incl* $\equiv \lambda t. \text{if } R.\text{arr } t \text{ then } [t] \text{ else null}$

lemma *incl-is-simulation*:

shows *simulation resid Resid incl*

using *R.con-implies-arr(1-2) con-char R.arr-resid-iff-con null-char*

by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma *incl-is-injective*:

shows *inj-on incl (Collect R.arr)*

by *(intro inj-onI) simp*

lemma *reflects-con*:

assumes *incl t * \frown * incl u*

shows *t \frown u*

using *assms*

by *(metis (full-types) Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(1-2) Con-rec(1) null-char)*

end

2.4.3 Composites of Paths

The RTS of paths has composites, given by the append operation on lists.

context *paths-in-rts*

begin

lemma *Srcs-append [simp]*:

assumes *T \neq []*

shows *Srcs (T @ U) = Srcs T*

by *(metis Nil-is-append-conv Srcs.simps(2) Srcs.simps(3) assms hd-append list.exhaust-sel)*

lemma *Trgs-append [simp]*:

shows *U \neq [] \implies Trgs (T @ U) = Trgs U*

proof *(induct T)*

show *U \neq [] \implies Trgs ([] @ U) = Trgs U*

by *auto*

show $\bigwedge t T. \llbracket U \neq [] \implies \text{Trgs } (T @ U) = \text{Trgs } U; U \neq [] \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Trgs } ((t \# T) @ U) = \text{Trgs } U$

by *(metis Nil-is-append-conv Trgs.simps(3) append-Cons list.exhaust)*

qed

lemma *seq-implies-Trgs-eq-Srcs*:

shows $\llbracket \text{Arr } T; \text{Arr } U; \text{Trgs } T \subseteq \text{Srcs } U \rrbracket \implies \text{Trgs } T = \text{Srcs } U$

by *(metis inf.orderE Arr-has-Trg seqI_P seq-char)*

lemma *Arr-append-iff_P*:

shows $\llbracket T \neq []; U \neq [] \rrbracket \implies \text{Arr } (T @ U) \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Arr } U \wedge \text{Trgs } T \subseteq \text{Srcs } U$
proof (*induct T arbitrary: U*)
show $\bigwedge U. \llbracket [] \neq []; U \neq [] \rrbracket \implies \text{Arr } ([] @ U) = (\text{Arr } [] \wedge \text{Arr } U \wedge \text{Trgs } [] \subseteq \text{Srcs } U)$
by simp
fix $t T$ **and** $U :: 'a \text{ list}$
assume $\text{ind}: \bigwedge U. \llbracket T \neq []; U \neq [] \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Arr } (T @ U) = (\text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Arr } U \wedge \text{Trgs } T \subseteq \text{Srcs } U)$
assume $U: U \neq []$
show $\text{Arr } ((t \# T) @ U) \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } (t \# T) \wedge \text{Arr } U \wedge \text{Trgs } (t \# T) \subseteq \text{Srcs } U$
proof (*cases T = []*)
show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$
using $\text{Arr.elims}(1) U$ **by auto**
assume $T: T \neq []$
have $\text{Arr } ((t \# T) @ U) \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } (t \# (T @ U))$
by simp
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R.\text{arr } t \wedge \text{Arr } (T @ U) \wedge R.\text{targets } t \subseteq \text{Srcs } (T @ U)$
using $T U$
by (*metis Arr.simps(3) Nil-is-append-conv neq-Nil-conv*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow R.\text{arr } t \wedge \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Arr } U \wedge \text{Trgs } T \subseteq \text{Srcs } U \wedge R.\text{targets } t \subseteq \text{Srcs } T$
using $T U \text{ ind}$ **by auto**
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } (t \# T) \wedge \text{Arr } U \wedge \text{Trgs } (t \# T) \subseteq \text{Srcs } U$
using $T U$
by (*metis Arr.simps(3) Trgs.simps(3) neq-Nil-conv*)
finally show $?thesis$ **by auto**
qed
qed

lemma Arr-consI_P [*intro, simp*]:
assumes $R.\text{arr } t$ **and** $\text{Arr } U$ **and** $R.\text{targets } t \subseteq \text{Srcs } U$
shows $\text{Arr } (t \# U)$
using $\text{assms Arr.elims}(3)$ **by blast**

lemma Arr-appendI_P [*intro, simp*]:
assumes $\text{Arr } T$ **and** $\text{Arr } U$ **and** $\text{Trgs } T \subseteq \text{Srcs } U$
shows $\text{Arr } (T @ U)$
using assms
by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Arr-append-iff_P*)

lemma Arr-appendE_P [*elim*]:
assumes $\text{Arr } (T @ U)$ **and** $T \neq []$ **and** $U \neq []$
and $\llbracket \text{Arr } T; \text{Arr } U; \text{Trgs } T = \text{Srcs } U \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$
shows thesis
using $\text{assms Arr-append-iff}_P \text{ seq-implies-Trgs-eq-Srcs}$ **by force**

lemma Ide-append-iff_P :
shows $\llbracket T \neq []; U \neq [] \rrbracket \implies \text{Ide } (T @ U) \longleftrightarrow \text{Ide } T \wedge \text{Ide } U \wedge \text{Trgs } T \subseteq \text{Srcs } U$
using Ide-char **by auto**

lemma Ide-appendI_P [*intro, simp*]:

assumes *Ide T and Ide U and Trgs T* \subseteq *Srcs U*

shows *Ide (T @ U)*

using *assms*

by (*metis Ide.simps(1) Ide-append-iffP*)

lemma *Resid-append-ind:*

shows $\llbracket T \neq []; U \neq []; V \neq [] \rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & (V @ T^* \smallfrown^* U \longleftrightarrow V^* \smallfrown^* U \wedge T^* \smallfrown^* U^* \backslash^* V) \wedge \\ & (T^* \smallfrown^* V @ U \longleftrightarrow T^* \smallfrown^* V \wedge T^* \backslash^* V^* \smallfrown^* U) \wedge \\ & (V @ T^* \smallfrown^* U \longrightarrow (V @ T)^* \backslash^* U = V^* \backslash^* U @ T^* \backslash^* (U^* \backslash^* V)) \wedge \\ & (T^* \smallfrown^* V @ U \longrightarrow T^* \backslash^* (V @ U) = (T^* \backslash^* V)^* \backslash^* U) \end{aligned}$$

proof (*induct V arbitrary: T U*)

show $\bigwedge T U. \llbracket T \neq []; U \neq []; [] \neq [] \rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\llbracket @ T^* \smallfrown^* U \longleftrightarrow \llbracket \smallfrown^* U \wedge T^* \smallfrown^* U^* \backslash^* \rrbracket) \wedge \\ & (T^* \smallfrown^* \llbracket @ U \longleftrightarrow T^* \smallfrown^* \llbracket \wedge T^* \backslash^* \llbracket \smallfrown^* U) \wedge \\ & (\llbracket @ T^* \smallfrown^* U \longrightarrow (\llbracket @ T)^* \backslash^* U = \llbracket \backslash^* U @ T^* \backslash^* (U^* \backslash^* \llbracket)) \wedge \\ & (T^* \smallfrown^* \llbracket @ U \longrightarrow T^* \backslash^* (\llbracket @ U) = (T^* \backslash^* \llbracket)^* \backslash^* U) \end{aligned}$$

by *simp*

fix *v :: 'a and T U V :: 'a list*

assume *ind: $\bigwedge T U. \llbracket T \neq []; U \neq []; V \neq [] \rrbracket \implies$*

$$\begin{aligned} & (V @ T^* \smallfrown^* U \longleftrightarrow V^* \smallfrown^* U \wedge T^* \smallfrown^* U^* \backslash^* V) \wedge \\ & (T^* \smallfrown^* V @ U \longleftrightarrow T^* \smallfrown^* V \wedge T^* \backslash^* V^* \smallfrown^* U) \wedge \\ & (V @ T^* \smallfrown^* U \longrightarrow (V @ T)^* \backslash^* U = V^* \backslash^* U @ T^* \backslash^* (U^* \backslash^* V)) \wedge \\ & (T^* \smallfrown^* V @ U \longrightarrow T^* \backslash^* (V @ U) = (T^* \backslash^* V)^* \backslash^* U) \end{aligned}$$

assume *T: T $\neq []$ and U: U $\neq []$*

show $((v \# V) @ T^* \smallfrown^* U \longleftrightarrow (v \# V)^* \smallfrown^* U \wedge T^* \smallfrown^* U^* \backslash^* (v \# V)) \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned} & (T^* \smallfrown^* (v \# V) @ U \longleftrightarrow T^* \smallfrown^* (v \# V) \wedge T^* \backslash^* (v \# V)^* \smallfrown^* U) \wedge \\ & ((v \# V) @ T^* \smallfrown^* U \longrightarrow \\ & ((v \# V) @ T)^* \backslash^* U = (v \# V)^* \backslash^* U @ T^* \backslash^* (U^* \backslash^* (v \# V))) \wedge \\ & (T^* \smallfrown^* (v \# V) @ U \longrightarrow T^* \backslash^* ((v \# V) @ U) = (T^* \backslash^* (v \# V))^* \backslash^* U) \end{aligned}$$

proof (*intro conjI iffI impI*)

show *1: (v # V) @ T^* \smallfrown^* U \implies*

$$((v \# V) @ T)^* \backslash^* U = (v \# V)^* \backslash^* U @ T^* \backslash^* (U^* \backslash^* (v \# V))$$

proof (*cases V = []*)

show *V = [] $\implies (v \# V) @ T^* \smallfrown^* U \implies ?thesis$*

using *T U Resid-cons(1) U by auto*

assume *V: V $\neq []$*

assume *Con: (v # V) @ T^* \smallfrown^* U*

have $((v \# V) @ T)^* \backslash^* U = (v \# (V @ T))^* \backslash^* U$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = [v]^* \backslash^* U @ (V @ T)^* \backslash^* (U^* \backslash^* [v])$

using *T U Con Resid-cons by simp*

also have $\dots = [v]^* \backslash^* U @ V^* \backslash^* (U^* \backslash^* [v]) @ T^* \backslash^* ((U^* \backslash^* [v])^* \backslash^* V)$

using *T U V Con ind Resid-cons*

by (*metis Con-sym Cons-eq-appendI append-is-Nil-conv Con-cons(1)*)

also have $\dots = (v \# V)^* \backslash^* U @ T^* \backslash^* (U^* \backslash^* (v \# V))$

using *ind[of T]*

by (*metis Con Con-cons(2) Cons-eq-appendI Resid-cons(1) Resid-cons(2) T U V append.assoc append-is-Nil-conv Con-sym*)

finally show *?thesis by simp*
qed
show $2: T^* \frown^* (v \# V) @ U \implies T^* \backslash^* ((v \# V) @ U) = (T^* \backslash^* (v \# V)) \backslash^* U$
proof (*cases* $V = []$)
show $V = [] \implies T^* \frown^* (v \# V) @ U \implies ?thesis$
using *Resid-cons(2) T U by auto*
assume $V: V \neq []$
assume *Con: $T^* \frown^* (v \# V) @ U$*
have $T^* \backslash^* ((v \# V) @ U) = T^* \backslash^* (v \# (V @ U))$
by *simp*
also have $1: \dots = (T^* \backslash^* [v]) \backslash^* (V @ U)$
using *V Con Resid-cons(2) T by force*
also have $\dots = ((T^* \backslash^* [v]) \backslash^* V) \backslash^* U$
using *T U V 1 Con ind*
by (*metis Con-initial-right Cons-eq-appendI*)
also have $\dots = (T^* \backslash^* (v \# V)) \backslash^* U$
using *T V Con*
by (*metis Con-cons(2) Con-initial-right Cons-eq-appendI Resid-cons(2)*)
finally show *?thesis by blast*
qed
show $(v \# V) @ T^* \frown^* U \implies v \# V^* \frown^* U$
by (*metis 1 Con-sym Resid.simps(1) append-Nil*)
show $(v \# V) @ T^* \frown^* U \implies T^* \frown^* U \backslash^* (v \# V)$
using *T U Con-sym*
by (*metis 1 Con-initial-right Resid-cons(1-2) append.simps(2) ind self-append-conv*)
show $T^* \frown^* (v \# V) @ U \implies T^* \frown^* v \# V$
using 2 **by** *fastforce*
show $T^* \frown^* (v \# V) @ U \implies T^* \backslash^* (v \# V) \frown^* U$
using 2 **by** *fastforce*
show $T^* \frown^* v \# V \wedge T^* \backslash^* (v \# V) \frown^* U \implies T^* \frown^* (v \# V) @ U$
proof –
assume *Con: $T^* \frown^* v \# V \wedge T^* \backslash^* (v \# V) \frown^* U$*
have $T^* \frown^* (v \# V) @ U \longleftrightarrow T^* \frown^* v \# (V @ U)$
by *simp*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow T^* \frown^* [v] \wedge T^* \backslash^* [v] \frown^* V @ U$
using *T U Con-cons(2) by simp*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow T^* \backslash^* [v] \frown^* V @ U$
by *fastforce*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow True$
using *Con ind*
by (*metis Con-cons(2) Resid-cons(2) T U self-append-conv2*)
finally show *?thesis by blast*
qed
show $v \# V^* \frown^* U \wedge T^* \frown^* U \backslash^* (v \# V) \implies (v \# V) @ T^* \frown^* U$
proof –
assume *Con: $v \# V^* \frown^* U \wedge T^* \frown^* U \backslash^* (v \# V)$*
have $(v \# V) @ T^* \frown^* U \longleftrightarrow v \# (V @ T^* \frown^* U)$
by *simp*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow [v] \frown^* U \wedge V @ T^* \frown^* U \backslash^* [v]$

using $T\ U\ \text{Con-cons}(1)$ by *simp*
 also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow V @ T^* \frown^* U^* \backslash^* [v]$
 by (*metis Con Con-cons(1) U*)
 also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
 using *Con ind*
 by (*metis Con-cons(1) Con-sym Resid-cons(2) T U append-self-conv2*)
 finally show *?thesis* by *blast*
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *Con-append*:

assumes $T \neq []$ and $U \neq []$ and $V \neq []$
 shows $T @ U^* \frown^* V \longleftrightarrow T^* \frown^* V \wedge U^* \frown^* V^* \backslash^* T$
 and $T^* \frown^* U @ V \longleftrightarrow T^* \frown^* U \wedge T^* \backslash^* U^* \frown^* V$
 using *assms Resid-append-ind* by *blast+*

lemma *Con-appendI* [*intro*]:

shows $\llbracket T^* \frown^* V; U^* \frown^* V^* \backslash^* T \rrbracket \Longrightarrow T @ U^* \frown^* V$
 and $\llbracket T^* \frown^* U; T^* \backslash^* U^* \frown^* V \rrbracket \Longrightarrow T^* \frown^* U @ V$
 by (*metis Con-append(1) Con-sym Resid.simps(1)*)⁺

lemma *Resid-append* [*intro, simp*]:

shows $\llbracket T \neq []; T @ U^* \frown^* V \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (T @ U)^* \backslash^* V = (T^* \backslash^* V) @ (U^* \backslash^* (V^* \backslash^* T))$
 and $\llbracket U \neq []; V \neq []; T^* \frown^* U @ V \rrbracket \Longrightarrow T^* \backslash^* (U @ V) = (T^* \backslash^* U)^* \backslash^* V$
 using *Resid-append-ind*
 apply (*metis Con-sym Resid.simps(1) append-self-conv*)
 using *Resid-append-ind*
 by (*metis Resid.simps(1)*)

lemma *Resid-append2* [*simp*]:

assumes $T \neq []$ and $U \neq []$ and $V \neq []$ and $W \neq []$
 and $T @ U^* \frown^* V @ W$
 shows $(T @ U)^* \backslash^* (V @ W) =$
 $(T^* \backslash^* V)^* \backslash^* W @ (U^* \backslash^* (V^* \backslash^* T))^* \backslash^* (W^* \backslash^* (T^* \backslash^* V))$
 using *assms Resid-append*
 by (*metis Con-append(1-2) append-is-Nil-conv*)

lemma *append-is-composite-of*:

assumes *seq T U*
 shows *composite-of T U (T @ U)*
 unfolding *composite-of-def*
 using *assms*
 apply (*intro conjI*)
 apply (*metis Arr.simps(1) Resid-Arr-self Resid-Ide-Arr-ind Arr-appendI_P*
Resid-append-ind ide-char order-refl seq-char)
 apply (*metis Arr.simps(1) Arr-appendI_P Con-Arr-self Resid-Arr-self Resid-append-ind*
ide-char seq-char order-refl)
 by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Con-Arr-self Con-append(1) Resid-Arr-self Arr-appendI_P*)

Ide-append-iff_P Resid-append(1) ide-char seq-char order-refl)

sublocale *rts-with-composites Resid*
using *append-is-composite-of composable-def* **by** *unfold-locales blast*

theorem *is-rts-with-composites:*
shows *rts-with-composites Resid*

..

lemma *arr-append [intro, simp]:*
assumes *seq T U*
shows *arr (T @ U)*
using *assms arrI_P seq-char* **by** *simp*

lemma *arr-append-imp-seq:*
assumes *T ≠ [] and U ≠ [] and arr (T @ U)*
shows *seq T U*
using *assms arr-char seq-char Arr-append-iff_P seq-implies-Trgs-eq-Srcs* **by** *simp*

lemma *sources-append [simp]:*
assumes *seq T U*
shows *sources (T @ U) = sources T*
using *assms*
by (*meson append-is-composite-of sources-composite-of*)

lemma *targets-append [simp]:*
assumes *seq T U*
shows *targets (T @ U) = targets U*
using *assms*
by (*meson append-is-composite-of targets-composite-of*)

lemma *cong-respects-seq_P:*
assumes *seq T U and T *~* T' and U *~* U'*
shows *seq T' U'*
by (*meson assms cong-respects-seq*)

lemma *cong-append [intro]:*
assumes *seq T U and T *~* T' and U *~* U'*
shows *T @ U *~* T' @ U'*

proof

have *1: $\bigwedge T U T' U'. \llbracket \text{seq } T U; T *~* T'; U *~* U' \rrbracket \implies \text{seq } T' U'$*
using *assms cong-respects-seq_P* **by** *simp*

have *2: $\bigwedge T U T' U'. \llbracket \text{seq } T U; T *~* T'; U *~* U' \rrbracket \implies T @ U *~* T' @ U'$*

proof –

fix *T U T' U'*

assume *TU: seq T U and TT': T *~* T' and UU': U *~* U'*

have *T'U': seq T' U'*

using *TU TT' UU' cong-respects-seq_P* **by** *simp*

have \exists : $Ide (T * \setminus T') \wedge Ide (T' * \setminus T) \wedge Ide (U * \setminus U') \wedge Ide (U' * \setminus U)$
using $TU TT' UU'$ *ide-char* **by** *blast*
have $(T @ U) * \setminus (T' @ U) =$
 $((T * \setminus T') * \setminus U') @ U * \setminus ((T' * \setminus T) @ U' * \setminus (T * \setminus T'))$
proof –
have \exists : $T \neq [] \wedge U \neq [] \wedge T' \neq [] \wedge U' \neq []$
using $TU TT' UU'$ *Arr.simps(1)* *seq-char ide-char* **by** *auto*
moreover have $(T @ U) * \setminus (T' @ U) \neq []$
proof (*intro Con-appendI*)
show $T * \setminus T' \neq []$
using \exists **by** *force*
show $(T * \setminus T') * \setminus U' \neq []$
using $\exists T'U' \langle T * \setminus T' \neq [] \rangle$ *Con-Ide-iff seq-char* **by** *fastforce*
show $U * \setminus ((T' @ U) * \setminus T) \neq []$
proof –
have $U * \setminus ((T' @ U) * \setminus T) = U * \setminus ((T' * \setminus T) @ U' * \setminus (T * \setminus T'))$
by (*metis Con-appendI(1) Resid-append(1) \langle T * \setminus T' \neq [] \rangle* *calculation Con-sym*)
also have $\dots = (U * \setminus (T' * \setminus T)) * \setminus (U' * \setminus (T * \setminus T'))$
by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Con-append(2) Resid-append(2) \langle T * \setminus T' \neq [] \rangle* *Con-implies-Arr(1) Con-sym*)
also have $\dots = U * \setminus U'$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) \exists Ide.simps(1) Resid-Ide(1) Srcs-Resid TU*
 $\langle T * \setminus T' \neq [] \rangle$ *Con-Ide-iff seq-char*)
finally show *?thesis*
using $\exists UU'$ **by** *force*
qed
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *Resid-append2* [*of T U T' U*] *seq-char*
by (*metis Con-append(2) Con-sym Resid-append(2) Resid.simps(1)*)
qed
moreover have *Ide ...*
proof
have \exists : $Ide (T * \setminus T') \wedge Ide (T' * \setminus T) \wedge Ide (U * \setminus U') \wedge Ide (U' * \setminus U)$
using $TU TT' UU'$ *ide-char* **by** *blast*
show \exists : $Ide ((T * \setminus T') * \setminus U')$
using $TU T'U' TT' UU'$ *1 \exists*
by (*metis (full-types) Srcs-Resid Con-Ide-iff Resid-Ide-Arr-ind seq-char*)
show \exists : $Ide (U * \setminus ((T' * \setminus T) @ U' * \setminus (T * \setminus T')))$
proof –
have $U * \setminus (T' * \setminus T) = U$
by (*metis (full-types) \exists TT' TU Con-Ide-iff Resid-Ide(1) Srcs-Resid*
con-char seq-char prfx-implies-con)
moreover have $U' * \setminus (T * \setminus T') = U'$
by (*metis \exists \exists Ide.simps(1) Resid-Ide(1)*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis \exists \exists Arr.simps(1) Con-append(2) Ide.simps(1) Resid-append(2)*
TU Con-sym seq-char)

qed
show $\text{Trgs } ((T^* \setminus T')^* \setminus U') \subseteq \text{Srcs } (U^* \setminus (T'^* \setminus T @ U'^* \setminus (T^* \setminus T')))$
by (*metis 4 5 Arr-append-iff_P Ide.simps(1) Nil-is-append-conv*
calculation Con-imp-Arr-Resid)
qed
ultimately show $T @ U^* \lesssim^* T' @ U'$
using *ide-char* **by** *presburger*
qed
show $T @ U^* \lesssim^* T' @ U'$
using *assms 2* **by** *simp*
show $T' @ U'^* \lesssim^* T @ U$
using *assms 1 2 cong-symmetric* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *cong-cons* [*intro*]:
assumes *seq* $[t] U$ **and** $t \sim t'$ **and** $U^* \sim^* U'$
shows $t \# U^* \sim^* t' \# U'$
using *assms cong-append* [*of* $[t] U [t'] U'$]
by (*simp add: R.prfx-implies-con ide-char*)

lemma *cong-append-ideI* [*intro*]:
assumes *seq* $T U$
shows *ide* $T \implies T @ U^* \sim^* U$ **and** *ide* $U \implies T @ U^* \sim^* T$
and *ide* $T \implies U^* \sim^* T @ U$ **and** *ide* $U \implies T^* \sim^* T @ U$
proof –
show *1: ide* $T \implies T @ U^* \sim^* U$
using *assms*
by (*metis append-is-composite-of composite-ofE resid-arr-ide prfx-implies-con*
con-sym)
show *2: ide* $U \implies T @ U^* \sim^* T$
by (*meson assms append-is-composite-of composite-ofE ide-backward-stable*)
show *ide* $T \implies U^* \sim^* T @ U$
using *1 cong-symmetric* **by** *auto*
show *ide* $U \implies T^* \sim^* T @ U$
using *2 cong-symmetric* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *cong-cons-ideI* [*intro*]:
assumes *seq* $[t] U$ **and** *R.ide* t
shows $t \# U^* \sim^* U$ **and** $U^* \sim^* t \# U$
using *assms cong-append-ideI* [*of* $[t] U$]
by (*auto simp add: ide-char*)

lemma *prfx-decomp*:
assumes $[t] \lesssim^* [u]$
shows $[t] @ [u \setminus t] \sim^* [u]$
proof

show *1: [u] \lesssim^* [t] @ [u \setminus t]*

```

using assms
by (metis Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-rec(3) Resid.simps(3) Resid-rec(3) R.con-sym
      append.left-neutral append-Cons arr-char cong-reflexive list.distinct(1))
show  $[t] @ [u \setminus t] \stackrel{*}{\lesssim} [u]$ 
proof –
  have  $([t] @ [u \setminus t]) \stackrel{*}{\setminus} [u] = ([t] \stackrel{*}{\setminus} [u]) @ ([u \setminus t] \stackrel{*}{\setminus} [u \setminus t])$ 
    using assms
    by (metis Arr-Resid-single Con-Arr-self Con-appendI(1) Con-sym Resid-append(1)
          Resid-rec(1) con-char list.discI prfx-implies-con)
  moreover have Ide ...
    using assms
    by (metis 1 Con-sym append-Nil2 arr-append-imp-seq calculation cong-append-ideI(4)
          ide-backward-stable Con-implies-Arr(2) Resid-Arr-self con-char ide-char
          prfx-implies-con arr-resid-iff-con)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using ide-char by presburger
qed
qed

lemma composite-of-single-single:
assumes R.composite-of t u v
shows composite-of [t] [u] ([t] @ [u])
proof
  show  $[t] \stackrel{*}{\lesssim} [t] @ [u]$ 
  proof –
    have  $[t] \stackrel{*}{\setminus} ([t] @ [u]) = ([t] \stackrel{*}{\setminus} [t]) \stackrel{*}{\setminus} [u]$ 
      using assms by auto
    moreover have Ide ...
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Con-implies-Arr(2) R.bounded-imp-con
            R.con-composite-of-iff R.con-prfx-composite-of(1) assms resid-ide-arr
            Con-rec(1) Resid.simps(3) Resid-Arr-self con-char ide-char)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using ide-char by presburger
  qed
show  $([t] @ [u]) \stackrel{*}{\setminus} [t] \stackrel{*}{\sim} [u]$ 
  using assms
  by (metis <prfx [t] ([t] @ [u])> append-is-composite-of arr-append-imp-seq
        composite-ofE con-def not-Cons-self2 Con-implies-Arr(2) arr-char null-char
        prfx-implies-con)
qed
end

```

2.4.4 Paths in a Weakly Extensional RTS

```

locale paths-in-weakly-extensional-rts =
  R: weakly-extensional-rts +
  paths-in-rts
begin

```

lemma *ex-un-Src*:
assumes *Arr T*
shows $\exists! a. a \in \text{Srcs } T$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: R.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms Srcs-simp_P R.arr-has-un-source*)

fun *Src*
where *Src T = R.src (hd T)*

lemma *Srcs-simp_{PWE}*:
assumes *Arr T*
shows $\text{Srcs } T = \{\text{Src } T\}$
proof –
have [*R.src (hd T) ∈ sources T*]
by (*metis Arr-imp-arr-hd Con-single-ide-ind Ide.simps(2) Srcs-simp_P assms*
con-char ide-char in-sourcesI con-sym R.ide-src R.src-in-sources)
hence *R.src (hd T) ∈ Srcs T*
using *assms*
by (*metis Srcs.elims Arr-has-Src list.sel(1) R.arr-iff-has-source R.src-in-sources*)
thus *?thesis*
using *assms ex-un-Src by auto*

qed

lemma *ex-un-Trg*:
assumes *Arr T*
shows $\exists! b. b \in \text{Trgs } T$
using *assms*
apply (*induct T*)
apply *auto[1]*
by (*metis Con-Arr-self Ide-implies-Arr Resid-Arr-self Srcs-Resid ex-un-Src*)

fun *Trg*
where *Trg [] = R.null*
| *Trg [t] = R.trg t*
| *Trg (t # T) = Trg T*

lemma *Trg-simp [simp]*:
shows $T \neq [] \implies \text{Trg } T = \text{R.trg } (\text{last } T)$
apply (*induct T*)
apply *auto*
by (*metis Trg.simps(3) list.exhaust-sel*)

lemma *Trgs-simp_{PWE} [simp]*:
assumes *Arr T*
shows $\text{Trgs } T = \{\text{Trg } T\}$
using *assms*
by (*metis Arr-imp-arr-last Con-Arr-self Con-imp-Arr-Resid R.trg-in-targets*
Srcs.simps(1) Srcs-Resid Srcs-simp_{PWE} Trg-simp insertE insert-absorb insert-not-empty)

Trgs-simp_P)

lemma *Src-resid* [*simp*]:

assumes $T \frown^* U$

shows $\text{Src } (T \backslash^* U) = \text{Trg } U$

using *assms Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-implies-Arr(2) Srcs-Resid Srcs-simp_{PWE}* **by force**

lemma *Trg-resid-sym*:

assumes $T \frown^* U$

shows $\text{Trg } (T \backslash^* U) = \text{Trg } (U \backslash^* T)$

using *assms Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-sym Trgs-Resid-sym* **by auto**

lemma *Src-append* [*simp*]:

assumes *seq* $T U$

shows $\text{Src } (T @ U) = \text{Src } T$

using *assms*

by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Src.simps hd-append seq-char*)

lemma *Trg-append* [*simp*]:

assumes *seq* $T U$

shows $\text{Trg } (T @ U) = \text{Trg } U$

using *assms*

by (*metis Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(1) Trg-simp append-is-Nil-conv ide-char ide-trg last-appendR seqE trg-def*)

lemma *Arr-append-iff_{PWE}*:

assumes $T \neq []$ **and** $U \neq []$

shows $\text{Arr } (T @ U) \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Arr } U \wedge \text{Trg } T = \text{Src } U$

using *assms Arr-appendE_P Srcs-simp_{PWE}* **by auto**

lemma *Arr-consI_{PWE}* [*intro, simp*]:

assumes $R.\text{arr } t$ **and** $\text{Arr } U$ **and** $R.\text{trg } t = \text{Src } U$

shows $\text{Arr } (t \# U)$

using *assms*

by (*metis Arr.simps(2) Srcs-simp_{PWE} Trg.simps(2) Trgs.simps(2) Trgs-simp_{PWE} dual-order.eq-iff Arr-consI_P*)

lemma *Arr-consE* [*elim*]:

assumes $\text{Arr } (t \# U)$

and $[R.\text{arr } t; U \neq [] \implies \text{Arr } U; U \neq [] \implies R.\text{trg } t = \text{Src } U] \implies \textit{thesis}$

shows *thesis*

using *assms*

by (*metis Arr-append-iff_{PWE} Trg.simps(2) append-Cons append-Nil list.distinct(1) Arr.simps(2)*)

lemma *Arr-appendI_{PWE}* [*intro, simp*]:

assumes $\text{Arr } T$ **and** $\text{Arr } U$ **and** $\text{Trg } T = \text{Src } U$

shows $\text{Arr } (T @ U)$

using *assms*

by (*metis* *Arr.simps(1)* *Arr-append-iff_{PWE}*)

lemma *Arr-appendE_{PWE}* [*elim*]:
assumes *Arr* (*T* @ *U*) and *T* ≠ [] and *U* ≠ []
and [*Arr* *T*; *Arr* *U*; *Trg* *T* = *Src* *U*] ⇒ *thesis*
shows *thesis*
using *assms* *Arr-append-iff_{PWE}* *seq-implies-Trgs-eq-Srcs* by *force*

lemma *Ide-append-iff_{PWE}*:
assumes *T* ≠ [] and *U* ≠ []
shows *Ide* (*T* @ *U*) ⇔ *Ide* *T* ∧ *Ide* *U* ∧ *Trg* *T* = *Src* *U*
using *assms* *Ide-char*
apply (*intro iffI*)
by *force auto*

lemma *Ide-appendI_{PWE}* [*intro, simp*]:
assumes *Ide* *T* and *Ide* *U* and *Trg* *T* = *Src* *U*
shows *Ide* (*T* @ *U*)
using *assms*
by (*metis* *Ide.simps(1)* *Ide-append-iff_{PWE}*)

lemma *Ide-appendE* [*elim*]:
assumes *Ide* (*T* @ *U*) and *T* ≠ [] and *U* ≠ []
and [*Ide* *T*; *Ide* *U*; *Trg* *T* = *Src* *U*] ⇒ *thesis*
shows *thesis*
using *assms* *Ide-append-iff_{PWE}* by *metis*

lemma *Ide-consI* [*intro, simp*]:
assumes *R.ide* *t* and *Ide* *U* and *R.trg* *t* = *Src* *U*
shows *Ide* (*t* # *U*)
using *assms*
by (*simp add: Ide-char*)

lemma *Ide-consE* [*elim*]:
assumes *Ide* (*t* # *U*)
and [*R.ide* *t*; *U* ≠ [] ⇒ *Ide* *U*; *U* ≠ [] ⇒ *R.trg* *t* = *Src* *U*] ⇒ *thesis*
shows *thesis*
using *assms*
by (*metis* *Con-rec(4)* *Ide.simps(2)* *Ide-imp-Ide-hd* *Ide-imp-Ide-tl* *R.trg-def* *R.trg-ide*
Resid-Arr-Ide-ind *Trg.simps(2)* *ide-char* *list.sel(1)* *list.sel(3)* *list.simps(3)*
Src-resid ide-def)

lemma *Ide-imp-Src-eq-Trg*:
assumes *Ide* *T*
shows *Src* *T* = *Trg* *T*
using *assms*
by (*metis* *Ide.simps(1)* *Src-resid ide-char ide-def*)

end

2.4.5 Paths in a Confluent RTS

Here we show that confluence of an RTS extends to confluence of the RTS of its paths.

```

locale paths-in-confluent-rts =
  paths-in-rts +
  R: confluent-rts
begin

  lemma confluence-single:
  assumes  $\bigwedge t u. R.\text{coinitial } t u \implies t \frown u$ 
  shows  $\llbracket R.\text{arr } t; \text{Arr } U; R.\text{sources } t = \text{Srcs } U \rrbracket \implies [t] * \frown^* U$ 
  proof (induct U arbitrary: t)
    show  $\bigwedge t. \llbracket R.\text{arr } t; \text{Arr } []; R.\text{sources } t = \text{Srcs } [] \rrbracket \implies [t] * \frown^* []$ 
      by simp
    fix t u U
    assume ind:  $\bigwedge t. \llbracket R.\text{arr } t; \text{Arr } U; R.\text{sources } t = \text{Srcs } U \rrbracket \implies [t] * \frown^* U$ 
    assume t: R.arr t
    assume uU: Arr (u # U)
    assume coinitial: R.sources t = Srcs (u # U)
    hence 1: R.coinitial t u
      using t uU
    by (metis Arr.simps(2) Con-implies-Arr(1) Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-initial-left
      Srcs.simps(2) Con-Arr-self R.coinitial-iff)
    show  $[t] * \frown^* u \# U$ 
    proof (cases U = [])
      show U = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
        using assms t uU coinitial R.coinitial-iff by fastforce
      assume U: U  $\neq$  []
      show ?thesis
        proof -
          have 2: Arr [t \ u]  $\wedge$  Arr U  $\wedge$  Srcs [t \ u] = Srcs U
            using assms 1 t uU U R.arr-resid-iff-con
            apply (intro conjI)
            apply simp
            apply (metis Con-Arr-self Con-implies-Arr(2) Resid-cons(2))
          by (metis (full-types) Con-cons(2) Srcs.simps(2) Srcs-Resid Trgs.simps(2)
            Con-Arr-self Con-imp-eq-Srcs list.simps(3) R.sources-resid)
          have  $[t] * \frown^* u \# U \longleftrightarrow t \frown u \wedge [t \ u] * \frown^* U$ 
            using U Con-rec(3) [of U t u] by simp
          also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
            using assms t uU U 1 2 ind by force
          finally show ?thesis by blast
        qed
      qed
    qed

```

```

lemma confluence-ind:
shows  $\llbracket \text{Arr } T; \text{Arr } U; \text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } U \rrbracket \implies T * \frown^* U$ 
proof (induct T arbitrary: U)

```

```

show  $\bigwedge U. \llbracket \text{Arr } \square; \text{Arr } U; \text{Srcs } \square = \text{Srcs } U \rrbracket \implies \square \text{ }^* \frown^* U$ 
  by simp
fix  $t T U$ 
assume ind:  $\bigwedge U. \llbracket \text{Arr } T; \text{Arr } U; \text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } U \rrbracket \implies T \text{ }^* \frown^* U$ 
assume  $tT: \text{Arr } (t \# T)$ 
assume  $U: \text{Arr } U$ 
assume coinitial:  $\text{Srcs } (t \# T) = \text{Srcs } U$ 
show  $t \# T \text{ }^* \frown^* U$ 
proof (cases  $T = \square$ )
  show  $T = \square \implies ?thesis$ 
    using  $U \text{ } tT \text{ } coinitial \text{ } confluence\text{-}single \text{ } [of \text{ } t \text{ } U] \text{ } R.\text{confluence}$  by simp
  assume  $T: T \neq \square$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof –
    have  $1: [t] \text{ }^* \frown^* U$ 
      using  $tT \text{ } U \text{ } coinitial \text{ } R.\text{confluence}$ 
      by (metis  $R.\text{arr}\text{-}def \text{ } Srcs.\text{sims}(2) \text{ } T \text{ } Con\text{-}Arr\text{-}self \text{ } Con\text{-}imp\text{-}eq\text{-}Srcs$ 
         $Con\text{-}initial\text{-}right \text{ } Con\text{-}rec(4) \text{ } confluence\text{-}single$ )
    moreover have  $T \text{ }^* \frown^* U \text{ }^* \setminus^* [t]$ 
      using  $1 \text{ } tT \text{ } U \text{ } T \text{ } coinitial \text{ } ind \text{ } [of \text{ } U \text{ }^* \setminus^* [t]]$ 
      by (metis (full-types)  $Con\text{-}imp\text{-}Arr\text{-}Resid \text{ } Arr\text{-}iff\text{-}Con\text{-}self \text{ } Con\text{-}implies\text{-}Arr(2)$ 
         $Con\text{-}imp\text{-}eq\text{-}Srcs \text{ } Con\text{-}sym \text{ } R.\text{sources}\text{-}resid \text{ } Srcs.\text{sims}(2) \text{ } Srcs\text{-}Resid$ 
         $Trgs.\text{sims}(2) \text{ } Con\text{-}rec(4)$ )
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using  $Con\text{-}cons(1) \text{ } [of \text{ } T \text{ } U \text{ } t] \text{ } \text{by}$  fastforce
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma confluenceP:
assumes coinitial  $T U$ 
shows con  $T U$ 
  using assms confluence-ind sources-charP coinitial-def con-char by auto

```

```

sublocale confluent-rts Resid
  apply (unfold-locales)
  using confluenceP by simp

```

```

lemma is-confluent-rts:
shows confluent-rts Resid
  ..

```

end

2.4.6 Simulations Lift to Paths

In this section we show that a simulation from RTS A to RTS B determines a simulation from the RTS of paths in A to the RTS of paths in B . In other words, the path-RTS construction is functorial with respect to simulation.

context *simulation*
begin

interpretation P_A : *paths-in-rts A*

..

interpretation P_B : *paths-in-rts B*

..

lemma *map-Resid-single*:

shows $P_A.con\ T\ [u] \implies map\ F\ (P_A.Resid\ T\ [u]) = P_B.Resid\ (map\ F\ T)\ [F\ u]$

apply (*induct T arbitrary: u*)

apply *simp*

proof –

fix $t\ u\ T$

assume $ind: \bigwedge u. P_A.con\ T\ [u] \implies map\ F\ (P_A.Resid\ T\ [u]) = P_B.Resid\ (map\ F\ T)\ [F\ u]$

assume $1: P_A.con\ (t\ \# \ T)\ [u]$

show $map\ F\ (P_A.Resid\ (t\ \# \ T)\ [u]) = P_B.Resid\ (map\ F\ (t\ \# \ T))\ [F\ u]$

proof (*cases T = []*)

show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$

using $1\ P_A.null-char$ **by** *fastforce*

assume $T: T \neq []$

show *?thesis*

using $T\ 1\ ind\ P_A.con-def\ P_A.null-char\ P_A.Con-rec(2)\ P_A.Resid-rec(2)\ P_B.Con-rec(2)\ P_B.Resid-rec(2)$

apply *simp*

by (*metis A.con-sym Nil-is-map-conv preserves-con preserves-resid*)

qed

qed

lemma *map-Resid*:

shows $P_A.con\ T\ U \implies map\ F\ (P_A.Resid\ T\ U) = P_B.Resid\ (map\ F\ T)\ (map\ F\ U)$

apply (*induct U arbitrary: T*)

using $P_A.Resid.simps(1)\ P_A.con-char\ P_A.con-sym$

apply *blast*

proof –

fix $u\ U\ T$

assume $ind: \bigwedge T. P_A.con\ T\ U \implies$

$map\ F\ (P_A.Resid\ T\ U) = P_B.Resid\ (map\ F\ T)\ (map\ F\ U)$

assume $1: P_A.con\ T\ (u\ \# \ U)$

show $map\ F\ (P_A.Resid\ T\ (u\ \# \ U)) = P_B.Resid\ (map\ F\ T)\ (map\ F\ (u\ \# \ U))$

proof (*cases U = []*)

show $U = [] \implies ?thesis$

using $1\ map-Resid-single$ **by** *force*

assume $U: U \neq []$

have $P_B.Resid\ (map\ F\ T)\ (map\ F\ (u\ \# \ U)) =$

$P_B.Resid\ (P_B.Resid\ (map\ F\ T)\ [F\ u])\ (map\ F\ U)$

using $U\ 1\ P_B.Resid-cons(2)$

apply *simp*

by (*metis P_B.Arr.simps(1) P_B.Con-consI(2) P_B.Con-implies-Arr(1) list.map-disc-iff*)

also have $\dots = \text{map } F (P_A.\text{Resid } (P_A.\text{Resid } T [u]) U)$
using $U \ 1 \ \text{ind}$
by (*metis* $P_A.\text{Con-initial-right } P_A.\text{Resid-cons}(2) P_A.\text{con-char map-Resid-single}$)
also have $\dots = \text{map } F (P_A.\text{Resid } T (u \# U))$
using $1 P_A.\text{Resid-cons}(2) P_A.\text{con-char } U$ **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *preserves-paths*:

shows $P_A.\text{Arr } T \implies P_B.\text{Arr } (\text{map } F T)$
by (*metis* $P_A.\text{Con-Arr-self } P_A.\text{conIP } P_B.\text{Arr-iff-Con-self map-Resid map-is-Nil-conv}$)

interpretation *Fx*: *simulation* $P_A.\text{Resid } P_B.\text{Resid } \langle \lambda T. \text{if } P_A.\text{Arr } T \text{ then map } F T \text{ else } [] \rangle$

proof

let $?Fx = \lambda T. \text{if } P_A.\text{Arr } T \text{ then map } F T \text{ else } []$
show $\bigwedge T. \neg P_A.\text{arr } T \implies ?Fx T = P_B.\text{null}$
by (*simp add*: $P_A.\text{arr-char } P_B.\text{null-char}$)
show $\bigwedge T U. P_A.\text{con } T U \implies P_B.\text{con } (?Fx T) (?Fx U)$
using $P_A.\text{Con-implies-Arr}(1) P_A.\text{Con-implies-Arr}(2) P_A.\text{con-char map-Resid}$ **by** *fastforce*
show $\bigwedge T U. P_A.\text{con } T U \implies ?Fx (P_A.\text{Resid } T U) = P_B.\text{Resid } (?Fx T) (?Fx U)$
by (*simp add*: $P_A.\text{Con-imp-Arr-Resid } P_A.\text{Con-implies-Arr}(1) P_A.\text{Con-implies-Arr}(2)$
 $P_A.\text{con-char map-Resid}$)

qed

lemma *lifts-to-paths*:

shows *simulation* $P_A.\text{Resid } P_B.\text{Resid } (\lambda T. \text{if } P_A.\text{Arr } T \text{ then map } F T \text{ else } [])$

..

end

2.4.7 Normal Sub-RTS's Lift to Paths

Here we show that a normal sub-RTS N of an RTS R lifts to a normal sub-RTS of the RTS of paths in N , and that it is coherent if N is.

locale *paths-in-rts-with-normal* =

R : *rts* +

N : *normal-sub-rts* +

paths-in-rts

begin

We define a “normal path” to be a path that consists entirely of normal transitions. We show that the collection of all normal paths is a normal sub-RTS of the RTS of paths.

definition *NPath*

where $NPath T \equiv (\text{Arr } T \wedge \text{set } T \subseteq \mathfrak{N})$

lemma *Ide-implies-NPath*:

assumes *Ide* T

shows $NPath T$

```

using assms
by (metis Ball-Collect NPath-def Ide-implies-Arr N.ide-closed set-Ide-subset-ide subsetI)

lemma NPath-implies-Arr:
assumes NPath T
shows Arr T
using assms NPath-def by simp

lemma NPath-append:
assumes  $T \neq []$  and  $U \neq []$ 
shows  $NPath (T @ U) \longleftrightarrow NPath T \wedge NPath U \wedge Trgs T \subseteq Srcs U$ 
using assms NPath-def by auto

lemma NPath-appendI [intro, simp]:
assumes NPath T and NPath U and  $Trgs T \subseteq Srcs U$ 
shows  $NPath (T @ U)$ 
using assms NPath-def by simp

lemma NPath-Resid-single-Arr:
shows  $\llbracket t \in \mathfrak{N}; Arr U; R.sources\ t = Srcs\ U \rrbracket \implies NPath (Resid [t] U)$ 
proof (induct U arbitrary: t)
  show  $\bigwedge t. \llbracket t \in \mathfrak{N}; Arr []; R.sources\ t = Srcs\ [] \rrbracket \implies NPath (Resid [t] [])$ 
    by simp
  fix  $t\ u\ U$ 
  assume ind:  $\bigwedge t. \llbracket t \in \mathfrak{N}; Arr U; R.sources\ t = Srcs\ U \rrbracket \implies NPath (Resid [t] U)$ 
  assume  $t: t \in \mathfrak{N}$ 
  assume  $uU: Arr (u \# U)$ 
  assume src:  $R.sources\ t = Srcs (u \# U)$ 
  show  $NPath (Resid [t] (u \# U))$ 
  proof (cases U = [])
    show  $U = [] \implies ?thesis$ 
      using NPath-def t src
      apply simp
      by (metis Arr.simps(2) R.arr-resid-iff-con R.coinitialI N.forward-stable N.elements-are-arr uU)
    assume  $U: U \neq []$ 
    show ?thesis
    proof –
      have  $NPath (Resid [t] (u \# U)) \longleftrightarrow NPath (Resid [t \setminus u] U)$ 
        using  $t\ U\ uU\ src$ 
      by (metis Arr.simps(2) Con-implies-Arr(1) Resid-rec(3) Con-rec(3) R.arr-resid-iff-con)
      also have  $\dots \longleftrightarrow True$ 
      proof –
        have  $t \setminus u \in \mathfrak{N}$ 
          using  $t\ U\ uU\ src\ N.forward-stable$  [of t u]
          by (metis Con-Arr-self Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-initial-left Srcs.simps(2) inf.idem Arr-has-Src R.coinitial-def)
        moreover have  $Arr U$ 

```

```

    using U uU
    by (metis Arr.simps(3) neq-Nil-conv)
  moreover have R.sources (t \ u) = Srcs U
    using t uU src
    by (metis Con-Arr-self Srcs.simps(2) U calculation(1) Con-imp-eq-Srcs
        Con-rec(4) N.elements-are-arr R.sources-resid R.arr-resid-iff-con)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using ind [of t \ u] by simp
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *NPath-Resid-Arr-single*:

shows $\llbracket \text{NPath } T; R.\text{arr } u; \text{Srcs } T = R.\text{sources } u \rrbracket \implies \text{NPath } (\text{Resid } T [u])$

proof (*induct T arbitrary: u*)

show $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{NPath } []; R.\text{arr } u; \text{Srcs } [] = R.\text{sources } u \rrbracket \implies \text{NPath } (\text{Resid } [] [u])$

by *simp*

fix *t u T*

assume *ind*: $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{NPath } T; R.\text{arr } u; \text{Srcs } T = R.\text{sources } u \rrbracket \implies \text{NPath } (\text{Resid } T [u])$

assume *tT*: $\text{NPath } (t \# T)$

assume *u*: $R.\text{arr } u$

assume *src*: $\text{Srcs } (t \# T) = R.\text{sources } u$

show $\text{NPath } (\text{Resid } (t \# T) [u])$

proof (*cases T = []*)

show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$

using *tT u src NPath-def*

by (*metis Arr.simps(2) NPath-Resid-single-Arr Srcs.simps(2) list.set-intros(1) subsetD*)

assume *T*: $T \neq []$

have *R.coinitial u t*

by (*metis R.coinitialI Srcs.simps(3) T list.exhaust-sel src u*)

hence *con*: $t \frown u$

using *tT T u src R.con-sym NPath-def*

by (*metis N.forward-stable N.elements-are-arr R.not-arr-null list.set-intros(1) R.conI subsetD*)

have *1*: $\text{NPath } (\text{Resid } (t \# T) [u]) \longleftrightarrow \text{NPath } ((t \setminus u) \# \text{Resid } T [u \setminus t])$

proof –

have *t # T *[^]* [u]*

proof –

have *2*: $[t] *^{\frown} [u]$

by (*simp add: Con-rec(1) con*)

moreover **have** $T *^{\frown} \text{Resid } [u] [t]$

proof –

have *NPath T*

using *tT T NPath-def*

by (*metis NPath-append append-Cons append-Nil*)

moreover **have** *3*: $R.\text{arr } (u \setminus t)$

using *con* **by** (*meson R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-sym*)


```

moreover have  $Srcs\ T = R.sources\ (u \setminus t)$ 
using  $tT\ T\ u\ src\ con$ 
by ( $metis\ 3\ Arr\ iff\ Con\ self\ Con\ cons(2)\ Con\ imp\ eq\ Srcs$ 
 $R.sources\ resid\ Srcs\ Resid\ Trgs.simps(2)\ NPath\ implies\ Arr\ list.discI$ 
 $R.arr\ resid\ iff\ con$ )
ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
using  $2\ ind\ [of\ u \setminus t]\ NPath\ def\ by\ auto$ 
qed
ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
using  $tT\ T\ u\ src\ Con\ cons(1)\ [of\ T\ [u]\ t]\ by\ simp$ 
qed
thus  $?thesis$ 
using  $tT\ T\ u\ src\ Resid\ cons(1)\ [of\ T\ t\ [u]]\ Resid\ rec(2)\ by\ presburger$ 
qed
also have  $\dots \longleftrightarrow True$ 
proof –
have  $2: t \setminus u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.arr\ (u \setminus t)$ 
using  $tT\ u\ src\ con\ NPath\ def$ 
by ( $meson\ R.arr\ resid\ iff\ con\ R.con\ sym\ N.forward\ stable\ \langle R.coinitial\ u\ t \rangle$ 
 $list.set\ intros(1)\ subsetD$ )
moreover have  $3: NPath\ (T\ *\setminus*\ [u \setminus t])$ 
using  $tT\ ind\ [of\ u \setminus t]\ NPath\ def$ 
by ( $metis\ Con\ Arr\ self\ Con\ imp\ eq\ Srcs\ Con\ rec(4)\ R.arr\ resid\ iff\ con$ 
 $R.sources\ resid\ Srcs.simps(2)\ T\ calculation\ insert\ subset\ list.exhaust$ 
 $list.simps(15)\ Arr.simps(3)$ )
moreover have  $R.targets\ (t \setminus u) \subseteq Srcs\ (Resid\ T\ [u \setminus t])$ 
using  $tT\ T\ u\ src\ NPath\ def$ 
by ( $metis\ 3\ Arr.simps(1)\ R.targets\ resid\ sym\ Srcs\ Resid\ Arr\ single\ con\ subset\ refl$ )
ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
using  $NPath\ def$ 
by ( $metis\ Arr\ consI_P\ N.elements\ are\ arr\ insert\ subset\ list.simps(15)$ )
qed
finally show  $?thesis\ by\ blast$ 
qed
qed

```

```

lemma  $NPath\ Resid\ [simp]:$ 
shows  $\llbracket NPath\ T; Arr\ U; Srcs\ T = Srcs\ U \rrbracket \implies NPath\ (T\ *\setminus*\ U)$ 
proof ( $induct\ T\ arbitrary: U$ )
show  $\bigwedge U. \llbracket NPath\ []; Arr\ U; Srcs\ [] = Srcs\ U \rrbracket \implies NPath\ ([]\ *\setminus*\ U)$ 
by  $simp$ 
fix  $t\ T\ U$ 
assume  $ind: \bigwedge U. \llbracket NPath\ T; Arr\ U; Srcs\ T = Srcs\ U \rrbracket \implies NPath\ (T\ *\setminus*\ U)$ 
assume  $tT: NPath\ (t\ \# T)$ 
assume  $U: Arr\ U$ 
assume  $Coinitial: Srcs\ (t\ \# T) = Srcs\ U$ 
show  $NPath\ ((t\ \# T)\ *\setminus*\ U)$ 
proof ( $cases\ T = []$ )
show  $T = [] \implies ?thesis$ 

```

```

using  $tT$   $U$  Coinitial NPath-Resid-single-Arr [of  $t$   $U$ ] NPath-def by force
assume  $T$ :  $T \neq []$ 
have  $0$ :  $NPath ((t \# T) * \setminus^* U) \longleftrightarrow NPath ([t] * \setminus^* U @ T * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^* [t]))$ 
proof –
  have  $U \neq []$ 
  using  $U$  by auto
  moreover have  $(t \# T) * \frown^* U$ 
  proof –
    have  $t \in \mathfrak{N}$ 
    using  $tT$  NPath-def by auto
    moreover have  $R.sources\ t = Srcs\ U$ 
    using Coinitial
    by (metis Srcs.elims U list.sel(1) Arr-has-Src)
    ultimately have  $1$ :  $[t] * \frown^* U$ 
    using  $U$  NPath-Resid-single-Arr [of  $t$   $U$ ] NPath-def by auto
    moreover have  $T * \frown^* (U * \setminus^* [t])$ 
    proof –
      have  $Srcs\ T = Srcs\ (U * \setminus^* [t])$ 
      using  $tT$   $U$  Coinitial 1
      by (metis Con-Arr-self Con-cons(2) Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-sym Srcs-Resid-Arr-single
         $T$  list.discI NPath-implies-Arr)
      hence  $NPath (T * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^* [t]))$ 
      using  $tT$   $U$  Coinitial 1 Con-sym ind [of Resid U [t]] NPath-def
      by (metis Con-imp-Arr-Resid Srcs.elims T insert-subset list.simps(15)
         $Arr.simps(3)$ )
      thus ?thesis
      using NPath-def by auto
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using Con-cons(1) [of  $T$   $U$   $t$ ] by fastforce
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using  $tT$   $U$   $T$  Coinitial Resid-cons(1) by auto
qed
also have  $\dots \longleftrightarrow True$ 
proof (intro iffI, simp-all)
  have  $1$ :  $NPath ([t] * \setminus^* U)$ 
  by (metis Coinitial NPath-Resid-single-Arr Srcs-simpP U insert-subset
     $list.sel(1) list.simps(15) NPath-def\ tT$ )
  moreover have  $2$ :  $NPath (T * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^* [t]))$ 
  by (metis 0 Arr.simps(1) Con-cons(1) Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-implies-Arr(1-2)
     $NPath-def\ T\ append-Nil2\ calculation\ ind\ insert-subset\ list.simps(15)\ tT$ )
  moreover have  $Trgs ([t] * \setminus^* U) \subseteq Srcs (T * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^* [t]))$ 
  by (metis Arr.simps(1) NPath-def Srcs-Resid Trgs-Resid-sym calculation(2)
     $dual-order.refl$ )
  ultimately show  $NPath ([t] * \setminus^* U @ T * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^* [t]))$ 
  using NPath-append [of  $T * \setminus^* (U * \setminus^* [t])$   $[t] * \setminus^* U$ ] by fastforce
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast

```

qed
qed

lemma *Backward-stable-single*:
shows $\llbracket \text{NPath } U; \text{NPath } ([t] \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } U) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{NPath } [t]$
proof (*induct* U *arbitrary*: t)
 show $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \text{NPath } []; \text{NPath } ([t] \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } []) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{NPath } [t]$
 using *NPath-def* **by** *simp*
fix $t \ u \ U$
 assume *ind*: $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \text{NPath } U; \text{NPath } ([t] \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } U) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{NPath } [t]$
 assume $uU: \text{NPath } (u \# U)$
 assume *resid*: $\text{NPath } ([t] \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } (u \# U))$
 show $\text{NPath } [t]$
 using uU *ind* *NPath-def*
 by (*metis* *Arr.simps(1)* *Arr.simps(2)* *Con-implies-Arr(2)* *N.backward-stable*
 N.elements-are-arr *Resid-rec(1)* *Resid-rec(3)* *insert-subset* *list.simps(15)* *resid*)
qed

lemma *Backward-stable*:
shows $\llbracket \text{NPath } U; \text{NPath } (T \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } U) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{NPath } T$
proof (*induct* T *arbitrary*: U)
 show $\bigwedge U. \llbracket \text{NPath } U; \text{NPath } ([] \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } U) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{NPath } []$
 by *simp*
fix $t \ T \ U$
 assume *ind*: $\bigwedge U. \llbracket \text{NPath } U; \text{NPath } (T \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } U) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{NPath } T$
 assume $U: \text{NPath } U$
 assume *resid*: $\text{NPath } ((t \# T) \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } U)$
 show $\text{NPath } (t \# T)$
proof (*cases* $T = []$)
 show $T = [] \Longrightarrow ?thesis$
 using U *resid* *Backward-stable-single* **by** *blast*
 assume $T: T \neq []$
 have $1: \text{NPath } ([t] \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } U) \wedge \text{NPath } (T \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } (U \text{ * } \backslash \text{ * } [t]))$
 using $T \ U$ *NPath-append* *resid* *NPath-def*
 by (*metis* *Arr.simps(1)* *Con-cons(1)* *Resid-cons(1)*)
 have $2: t \in \mathfrak{N}$
 using $1 \ U$ *Backward-stable-single* *NPath-def* **by** *simp*
 moreover **have** $\text{NPath } T$
 using $1 \ U$ *resid* *ind*
 by (*metis* 2 *Arr.simps(2)* *Con-imp-eq-Srcs* *NPath-Resid* *N.elements-are-arr*)
 moreover **have** $R.targets \ t \subseteq Srcs \ T$
 using *resid* 1 *Con-imp-eq-Srcs* *Con-sym* *Srcs-Resid-Arr-single* *NPath-def*
 by (*metis* *Arr.simps(1)* *dual-order.eq-iff*)
 ultimately **show** $?thesis$
 using *NPath-def*
 by (*simp* *add*: *N.elements-are-arr*)
qed
qed

sublocale *normal-sub-rts Resid* \langle Collect NPath \rangle
using *Ide-implies-NPath NPath-implies-Arr arr-char ide-char coinitial-def*
sources-char_P append-is-composite-of
apply *unfold-locales*
apply *auto*
using *Backward-stable*
by *metis+*

theorem *normal-extends-to-paths:*
shows *normal-sub-rts Resid* (Collect NPath)
..

lemma *Resid-NPath-preserves-reflects-Con:*
assumes *NPath U and Srcs T = Srcs U*
shows $T \text{ ** } U \text{ } \frown \text{ } T' \text{ ** } U \longleftrightarrow T \text{ } \frown \text{ } T'$
using *assms NPath-def NPath-Resid con-char con-imp-coinitial resid-along-elem-preserves-con*
Con-implies-Arr(2) Con-sym Cube(1)
by (*metis Arr.simps(1) mem-Collect-eq*)

notation *Cong₀* (**infix** \approx^*_0 50)
notation *Cong* (**infix** \approx^* 50)

lemma *Cong₀-cancel-left_{CS}:*
assumes $T @ U \approx^*_0 T @ U'$ **and** $T \neq []$ **and** $U \neq []$ **and** $U' \neq []$
shows $U \approx^*_0 U'$
using *assms Cong₀-cancel-left [of T U T @ U U' T @ U'] Cong₀-reflexive*
append-is-composite-of
by (*metis Cong₀-implies-Cong Cong-imp-arr(1) arr-append-imp-seq*)

lemma *Srcs-respects-Cong:*
assumes $T \approx^* T'$ **and** $a \in \text{Srcs } T$ **and** $a' \in \text{Srcs } T'$
shows $[a] \approx^* [a']$
proof –

obtain $U U'$ **where** UU' : *NPath U* \wedge *NPath U'* \wedge $T \text{ ** } U \approx^*_0 T' \text{ ** } U'$
using *assms(1)* **by** *blast*

show *?thesis*

proof

show $U \in \text{Collect NPath}$

using UU' **by** *simp*

show $U' \in \text{Collect NPath}$

using UU' **by** *simp*

show $[a] \text{ ** } U \approx^*_0 [a'] \text{ ** } U'$

proof –

have *NPath* ($[a] \text{ ** } U$) \wedge *NPath* ($[a'] \text{ ** } U'$)

by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-implies-Arr(1) Con-single-ide-ind*

NPath-implies-Arr N.ide-closed R.in-sourcesE Srcs.simps(2) Srcs-simp_P

UU' *assms(2–3) elements-are-arr not-arr-null null-char NPath-Resid-single-Arr*)

thus *?thesis*

using UU'
 by (metis *Con-imp-eq-Srcs Cong₀-imp-con NPath-Resid Srcs-Resid*
con-char NPath-implies-Arr mem-Collect-eq arr-resid-iff-con con-implies-arr(2))
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *Trgs-respects-Cong*:

assumes $T \approx^* T'$ and $b \in \text{Trgs } T$ and $b' \in \text{Trgs } T'$

shows $[b] \approx^* [b']$

proof –

have $[b] \in \text{targets } T \wedge [b'] \in \text{targets } T'$

proof –

have 1: $\text{Ide } [b] \wedge \text{Ide } [b']$

using *assms*

by (metis *Ball-Collect Trgs-are-ide Ide.simps(2)*)

moreover have $\text{Srcs } [b] = \text{Trgs } T$

using *assms*

by (metis 1 *Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-imp-eq-Srcs Cong-imp-arr(1) Ide.simps(2)*
Srcs-Resid Con-single-ide-ind con-char arrE)

moreover have $\text{Srcs } [b'] = \text{Trgs } T'$

using *assms*

by (metis *Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-imp-eq-Srcs Cong-imp-arr(2) Ide.simps(2)*
Srcs-Resid 1 Con-single-ide-ind con-char arrE)

ultimately show ?thesis

unfolding *targets-char_P*

using *assms Cong-imp-arr(2) arr-char* by blast

qed

thus ?thesis

using *assms targets-char in-targets-respects-Cong* [of $T T' [b] [b']$] by simp

qed

lemma *Cong₀-append-resid-NPath*:

assumes $NPath (T \text{ ** } U)$

shows $Cong_0 (T @ (U \text{ ** } T)) U$

proof (intro *conjI*)

show 0: $(T @ U \text{ ** } T) \text{ ** } U \in \text{Collect } NPath$

proof –

have 1: $(T @ U \text{ ** } T) \text{ ** } U = T \text{ ** } U @ (U \text{ ** } T) \text{ ** } (U \text{ ** } T)$

by (metis *Arr.simps(1) NPath-implies-Arr assms Con-append(1) Con-implies-Arr(2)*
Con-sym Resid-append(1) con-imp-arr-resid null-char)

moreover have $NPath \dots$

using *assms*

by (metis 1 *Arr-append-iff_P NPath-append NPath-implies-Arr Ide-implies-NPath*
Nil-is-append-conv Resid-Arr-self arr-char con-char arr-resid-iff-con
self-append-conv)

ultimately show ?thesis by simp

qed

show $U \text{ ** } (T @ U \text{ ** } T) \in \text{Collect } NPath$

```

using assms 0
by (metis Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(2) Cong0-reflexive Resid-append(2)
      append.right-neutral arr-char Con-sym)
qed

end

locale paths-in-rts-with-coherent-normal =
  R: rts +
  N: coherent-normal-sub-rts +
  paths-in-rts
begin

  sublocale paths-in-rts-with-normal resid  $\mathfrak{N}$  ..

  notation Cong0 (infix  $\approx^*_0$  50)
  notation Cong (infix  $\approx^*$  50)

  Since composites of normal transitions are assumed to exist, normal paths can be
  “folded” by composition down to single transitions.

  lemma NPath-folding:
  shows NPath U  $\implies \exists u. u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ u = Srcs\ U \wedge R.targets\ u = Trgs\ U \wedge$ 
    ( $\forall t. con\ [t]\ U \longrightarrow [t]\ *\backslash*\ U \approx^*_0 [t \setminus u]$ )

  proof (induct U)
  show NPath []  $\implies \exists u. u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ u = Srcs\ [] \wedge R.targets\ u = Trgs\ [] \wedge$ 
    ( $\forall t. con\ [t]\ [] \longrightarrow [t]\ *\backslash*\ [] \approx^*_0 [t \setminus u]$ )
    using NPath-def by auto
  fix v U
  assume ind: NPath U  $\implies \exists u. u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ u = Srcs\ U \wedge R.targets\ u = Trgs\ U \wedge$ 
    ( $\forall t. con\ [t]\ U \longrightarrow [t]\ *\backslash*\ U \approx^*_0 [t \setminus u]$ )
  assume vU: NPath (v # U)
  show  $\exists vU. vU \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ vU = Srcs\ (v \# U) \wedge R.targets\ vU = Trgs\ (v \# U) \wedge$ 
    ( $\forall t. con\ [t]\ (v \# U) \longrightarrow [t]\ *\backslash*\ (v \# U) \approx^*_0 [t \setminus vU]$ )
  proof (cases U = [])
  show  $U = [] \implies \exists vU. vU \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ vU = Srcs\ (v \# U) \wedge$ 
     $R.targets\ vU = Trgs\ (v \# U) \wedge$ 
    ( $\forall t. con\ [t]\ (v \# U) \longrightarrow [t]\ *\backslash*\ (v \# U) \approx^*_0 [t \setminus vU]$ )
    using vU Resid-rec(1) con-char
    by (metis Cong0-reflexive NPath-def Srcs.simps(2) Trgs.simps(2) arr-resid-iff-con
      insert-subset list.simps(15))
  assume  $U \neq []$ 
  hence U: NPath U
    using vU by (metis NPath-append append-Cons append-Nil)
  obtain u where  $u: u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ u = Srcs\ U \wedge R.targets\ u = Trgs\ U \wedge$ 
    ( $\forall t. con\ [t]\ U \longrightarrow [t]\ *\backslash*\ U \approx^*_0 [t \setminus u]$ )
    using U ind by blast
  have seq: R.seq v u
  proof
  show R.arr u

```

```

    by (simp add: N.elements-are-arr u)
  show R.targets v = R.sources u
    by (metis (full-types) NPath-implies-Arr R.sources-resid Srcs.simps(2) ⟨U ≠ []⟩
        Con-Arr-self Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-initial-right Con-rec(2) u vU)
qed
obtain vu where vu: R.composite-of v u vu
  using N.composite-closed-right seq u by presburger
have vu ∈ ℑ ∧ R.sources vu = Srcs (v # U) ∧ R.targets vu = Trgs (v # U) ∧
  (∀t. con [t] (v # U) → [t] * \ * (v # U) ≈*0 [t \ vu])
proof (intro conjI allI)
  show vu ∈ ℑ
    by (meson NPath-def N.composite-closed list.set-intros(1) subsetD u vU vu)
  show R.sources vu = Srcs (v # U)
    by (metis Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-initial-right NPath-implies-Arr
        R.sources-composite-of Srcs.simps(2) Arr-iff-Con-self vU vu)
  show R.targets vu = Trgs (v # U)
    by (metis R.targets-composite-of Trgs.simps(3) ⟨U ≠ []⟩ list.exhaust-sel u vu)
  fix t
  show con [t] (v # U) → [t] * \ * (v # U) ≈*0 [t \ vu]
  proof (intro impI)
    assume t: con [t] (v # U)
    have 1: [t] * \ * (v # U) = [t \ v] * \ * U
      using t Resid-rec(3) ⟨U ≠ []⟩ con-char by force
    also have ... ≈*0 [(t \ v) \ u]
      using 1 t u by force
    also have [(t \ v) \ u] ≈*0 [t \ vu]
    proof -
      have (t \ v) \ u ~ t \ vu
        using vu R.resid-composite-of
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) N.Cong0-composite-of-arr-normal N.Cong0-subst-right(1)
          ⟨U ≠ []⟩ Con-rec(3) con-char R.con-sym t u)
      thus ?thesis
        using Ide.simps(2) R.prfx-implies-con Resid.simps(3) ide-char ide-closed
        by presburger
    qed
    finally show [t] * \ * (v # U) ≈*0 [t \ vu] by blast
  qed
qed
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

Coherence for single transitions extends inductively to paths.

lemma *Coherent-single*:

```

assumes R.arr t and NPath U and NPath U'
and R.sources t = Srcs U and Srcs U = Srcs U' and Trgs U = Trgs U'
shows [t] * \ * U ≈*0 [t] * \ * U'
proof -
  have 1: con [t] U ∧ con [t] U'

```

using *assms*
by (*metis* *Arr.simps(1-2)* *Arr-iff-Con-self Resid-NPath-preserves-reflects-Con*
Srcs.simps(2) *con-char*)
obtain u **where** $u: u \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ u = Srcs\ U \wedge R.targets\ u = Trgs\ U \wedge$
 $(\forall t. con\ [t]\ U \longrightarrow [t]\ *\backslash*\ U \approx^*_0 [t \setminus u])$
using *assms* *NPath-folding* **by** *metis*
obtain u' **where** $u': u' \in \mathfrak{N} \wedge R.sources\ u' = Srcs\ U' \wedge R.targets\ u' = Trgs\ U' \wedge$
 $(\forall t. con\ [t]\ U' \longrightarrow [t]\ *\backslash*\ U' \approx^*_0 [t \setminus u'])$
using *assms* *NPath-folding* **by** *metis*
have $[t]\ *\backslash*\ U \approx^*_0 [t \setminus u]$
using $u\ 1$ **by** *blast*
also have $[t \setminus u] \approx^*_0 [t \setminus u']$
using *assms(1,4-6)* *N.Cong0-imp-con* *N.coherent* $u\ u'$ *NPath-def* **by** *simp*
also have $[t \setminus u'] \approx^*_0 [t]\ *\backslash*\ U'$
using $u'\ 1$ **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *Coherent*:

shows $\llbracket Arr\ T; NPath\ U; NPath\ U'; Srcs\ T = Srcs\ U;$
 $Srcs\ U = Srcs\ U'; Trgs\ U = Trgs\ U' \rrbracket$
 $\implies T\ *\backslash*\ U \approx^*_0 T\ *\backslash*\ U'$

proof (*induct* T *arbitrary*: $U\ U'$)

show $\bigwedge U\ U'. \llbracket Arr\ []; NPath\ U; NPath\ U'; Srcs\ [] = Srcs\ U;$
 $Srcs\ U = Srcs\ U'; Trgs\ U = Trgs\ U' \rrbracket$
 $\implies []\ *\backslash*\ U \approx^*_0 []\ *\backslash*\ U'$

by (*simp* *add*: *arr-char*)

fix $t\ T\ U\ U'$

assume $tT: Arr\ (t \# T)$ **and** $U: NPath\ U$ **and** $U': NPath\ U'$
and $Srcs1: Srcs\ (t \# T) = Srcs\ U$ **and** $Srcs2: Srcs\ U = Srcs\ U'$
and $Trgs: Trgs\ U = Trgs\ U'$

and $ind: \bigwedge U\ U'. \llbracket Arr\ T; NPath\ U; NPath\ U'; Srcs\ T = Srcs\ U;$
 $Srcs\ U = Srcs\ U'; Trgs\ U = Trgs\ U' \rrbracket$
 $\implies T\ *\backslash*\ U \approx^*_0 T\ *\backslash*\ U'$

have $t: R.arr\ t$

using tT **by** (*metis* *Arr.simps(2)* *Con-Arr-self* *Con-rec(4)* *R.arrI*)

show $(t \# T)\ *\backslash*\ U \approx^*_0 (t \# T)\ *\backslash*\ U'$

proof (*cases* $T = []$)

show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$

by (*metis* *Srcs.simps(2)* *Srcs1* *Srcs2* *Trgs\ U\ U'* *Coherent-single* *Arr.simps(2)* tT)

assume $T: T \neq []$

let $?t = [t]\ *\backslash*\ U$ **and** $?t' = [t]\ *\backslash*\ U'$

let $?T = T\ *\backslash*\ (U\ *\backslash*\ [t])$

let $?T' = T\ *\backslash*\ (U'\ *\backslash*\ [t])$

have $0: (t \# T)\ *\backslash*\ U = ?t\ @\ ?T \wedge (t \# T)\ *\backslash*\ U' = ?t'\ @\ ?T'$

using $tT\ U\ U'\ Srcs1\ Srcs2$

by (*metis* *Arr-has-Src* *Arr-iff-Con-self* *Resid-cons(1)* *Srcs.simps(1)*
Resid-NPath-preserves-reflects-Con)

have $1: ?t \approx^*_0 ?t'$

by (*metis Srcs1 Srcs2 Srcs-simpP Trgs U U' list.sel(1) Coherent-single t tT*)
have $A: ?T * \backslash * (?t' * \backslash * ?t) = T * \backslash * ((U * \backslash * [t]) @ (?t' * \backslash * ?t))$
 using 1 *Arr.simps(1) Con-append(2) Con-sym Resid-append(2) Con-implies-Arr(1) NPath-def*
 by (*metis arr-char elements-are-arr*)
have $B: ?T' * \backslash * (?t * \backslash * ?t') = T' * \backslash * ((U' * \backslash * [t]) @ (?t * \backslash * ?t'))$
 by (*metis 1 Con-appendI(2) Con-sym Resid.simps(1) Resid-append(2) elements-are-arr not-arr-null null-char*)
have $E: ?T * \backslash * (?t' * \backslash * ?t) \approx^*_0 ?T' * \backslash * (?t * \backslash * ?t')$
proof –
 have *Arr T*
 using *Arr.elims(1) T tT by blast*
moreover have *NPath (U * \backslash * [t] @ ([t] * \backslash * U') * \backslash * ([t] * \backslash * U))*
 using 1 *U t tT Srcs1 Srcs-simpP*
 apply (*intro NPath-appendI*)
 apply *auto*
 by (*metis Arr.simps(1) NPath-def Srcs-Resid Trgs-Resid-sym*)
moreover have *NPath (U' * \backslash * [t] @ ([t] * \backslash * U) * \backslash * ([t] * \backslash * U'))*
 using *t U' 1 Con-imp-eq-Srcs Trgs-Resid-sym*
 apply (*intro NPath-appendI*)
 apply *auto*
 apply (*metis Arr.simps(2) NPath-Resid Resid.simps(1)*)
 by (*metis Arr.simps(1) NPath-def Srcs-Resid*)
moreover have $\text{Srcs } T = \text{Srcs } (U * \backslash * [t] @ ([t] * \backslash * U') * \backslash * ([t] * \backslash * U))$
 using *A B*
 by (*metis (full-types) 0 1 Arr-has-Src Con-cons(1) Con-implies-Arr(1) Srcs.simps(1) Srcs-append T elements-are-arr not-arr-null null-char Con-imp-eq-Srcs*)
moreover have $\text{Srcs } (U * \backslash * [t] @ ([t] * \backslash * U') * \backslash * ([t] * \backslash * U)) = \text{Srcs } (U' * \backslash * [t] @ ([t] * \backslash * U) * \backslash * ([t] * \backslash * U'))$
 by (*metis 1 Con-implies-Arr(2) Con-sym Cong0-imp-con Srcs-Resid Srcs-append arr-char con-char arr-resid-iff-con*)
moreover have $\text{Trgs } (U * \backslash * [t] @ ([t] * \backslash * U') * \backslash * ([t] * \backslash * U)) = \text{Trgs } (U' * \backslash * [t] @ ([t] * \backslash * U) * \backslash * ([t] * \backslash * U'))$
 using 1 *Cong0-imp-con con-char by force*
ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *A B ind [of (U * \backslash * [t] @ (?t' * \backslash * ?t) (U' * \backslash * [t] @ (?t * \backslash * ?t'))]*
 by *simp*
qed
have $C: \text{NPath } ((?T * \backslash * (?t' * \backslash * ?t)) * \backslash * (?T' * \backslash * (?t * \backslash * ?t')))$
 using *E by blast*
have $D: \text{NPath } ((?T' * \backslash * (?t * \backslash * ?t')) * \backslash * (?T * \backslash * (?t' * \backslash * ?t)))$
 using *E by blast*
show *?thesis*
proof
have $2: ((t \# T) * \backslash * U) * \backslash * ((t \# T) * \backslash * U') = ((?t * \backslash * ?t') * \backslash * ?T') @ ((?T * \backslash * (?t' * \backslash * ?t)) * \backslash * (?T' * \backslash * (?t * \backslash * ?t')))$
proof –
have $((t \# T) * \backslash * U) * \backslash * ((t \# T) * \backslash * U') = (?t @ ?T) * \backslash * (?t' @ ?T')$

using 0 **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = ((?t @ ?T) * \setminus * ?t') * \setminus * ?T'$
using $tT T U U' Srcs1 Srcs2 0$
by (*metis Con-appendI(2) Con-cons(1) Con-sym Resid.simps(1) Resid-append(2)*)
also have $\dots = ((?t * \setminus * ?t') @ (?T * \setminus * (?t' * \setminus * ?t))) * \setminus * ?T'$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Arr.simps(1) Con-appendI(1) Con-implies-Arr(1) D NPath-def Resid-append(1) null-is-zero(2)*)
also have $\dots = ((?t * \setminus * ?t') * \setminus * ?T') @$
 $((?T * \setminus * (?t' * \setminus * ?t)) * \setminus * (?T' * \setminus * (?t * \setminus * ?t')))$
proof –
have $?t * \setminus * ?t' @ ?T * \setminus * (?t' * \setminus * ?t) * \frown * ?T'$
using $C D E Con-sym$
by (*metis Con-append(2) Cong₀-imp-con con-char arr-resid-iff-con con-implies-arr(2)*)
thus *?thesis*
using *Resid-append(1)*
by (*metis Con-sym append.right-neutral Resid.simps(1)*)
qed
finally show *?thesis by simp*
qed
moreover have $3: NPath \dots$
proof –
have $NPath ((?t * \setminus * ?t') * \setminus * ?T')$
using $0 1 E$
by (*metis Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-imp-eq-Srcs NPath-Resid Resid.simps(1) ex-un-null mem-Collect-eq*)
moreover have $Trgs ((?t * \setminus * ?t') * \setminus * ?T') =$
 $Srcs ((?T * \setminus * (?t' * \setminus * ?t)) * \setminus * (?T' * \setminus * (?t * \setminus * ?t')))$
using C
by (*metis NPath-implies-Arr Srcs.simps(1) Srcs-Resid Trgs-Resid-sym Arr-has-Src*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using C **by** *blast*
qed
ultimately show $((t \# T) * \setminus * U) * \setminus * ((t \# T) * \setminus * U') \in Collect NPath$
by *simp*

have $4: ((t \# T) * \setminus * U') * \setminus * ((t \# T) * \setminus * U) =$
 $((?t' * \setminus * ?t) * \setminus * ?T) @ ((?T' * \setminus * (?t * \setminus * ?t')) * \setminus * (?T * \setminus * (?t' * \setminus * ?t)))$
by (*metis 0 2 3 Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(1) Con-sym D NPath-def Resid-append2*)
moreover have $NPath \dots$
proof –
have $NPath ((?t' * \setminus * ?t) * \setminus * ?T)$
by (*metis 1 CollectD Cong₀-imp-con E con-imp-coinitial forward-stable arr-resid-iff-con con-implies-arr(2)*)
moreover have $NPath ((?T' * \setminus * (?t * \setminus * ?t')) * \setminus * (?T * \setminus * (?t' * \setminus * ?t)))$
using $U U' 1 D ind Coherent-single [of t U' U]$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $Trgs ((?t' * \setminus * ?t) * \setminus * ?T) =$
 $Srcs ((?T' * \setminus * (?t * \setminus * ?t')) * \setminus * (?T * \setminus * (?t' * \setminus * ?t)))$

```

    by (metis Arr.simps(1) NPath-def Srcs-Resid Trgs-Resid-sym calculation(2))
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
ultimately show ((t # T) ** U) ** ((t # T) ** U) ∈ Collect NPath
  by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

sublocale *rts-with-composites Resid*
using *is-rts-with-composites* **by** *simp*

sublocale *coherent-normal-sub-rts Resid* ‹*Collect NPath*›
proof
fix *T U U'*
assume *T: arr T and U: U ∈ Collect NPath and U': U' ∈ Collect NPath*
assume *sources-UU': sources U = sources U' and targets-UU': targets U = targets U'*
and *TU: sources T = sources U*
have *Srcs T = Srcs U*
using *TU sources-char_P T arr-iff-has-source* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** *Srcs U = Srcs U'*
by (metis *Con-imp-eq-Srcs T TU con-char con-imp-coinitial-ax con-sym in-sourcesE in-sourcesI arr-def sources-UU'*)
moreover **have** *Trgs U = Trgs U'*
using *U U' targets-UU' targets-char*
by (metis (full-types) *arr-iff-has-target composable-def composable-iff-seq composite-of-arr-target elements-are-arr equals0I seq-char*)
ultimately show *T ** U ≈^{*}₀ T ** U'*
using *T U U' Coherent [of T U U'] arr-char* **by** *blast*
qed

theorem *coherent-normal-extends-to-paths:*
shows *coherent-normal-sub-rts Resid (Collect NPath)*
..

lemma *Cong₀-append-Arr-NPath:*
assumes *T ≠ [] and Arr (T @ U) and NPath U*
shows *Cong₀ (T @ U) T*
using *assms*
by (metis *Arr.simps(1) Arr-appendE_P NPath-implies-Arr append-is-composite-of arrI_P arr-append-imp-seq composite-of-arr-normal mem-Collect-eq*)

lemma *Cong-append-NPath-Arr:*
assumes *T ≠ [] and Arr (U @ T) and NPath U*
shows *U @ T ≈^{*} T*
using *assms*
by (metis (full-types) *Arr.simps(1) Con-Arr-self Con-append(2) Con-implies-Arr(2) Con-imp-eq-Srcs composite-of-normal-arr Srcs-Resid append-is-composite-of arr-char NPath-implies-Arr mem-Collect-eq seq-char*)

Permutation Congruence

Here we show that $*\sim*$ coincides with “permutation congruence”: the least congruence respecting composition that relates $[t, u \setminus t]$ and $[u, t \setminus u]$ whenever $t \frown u$ and that relates $T @ [b]$ and T whenever b is an identity such that $seq T [b]$.

inductive *PCong*

where $Arr T \implies PCong T T$

| $PCong T U \implies PCong U T$

| $\llbracket PCong T U; PCong U V \rrbracket \implies PCong T V$

| $\llbracket seq T U; PCong T T'; PCong U U \rrbracket \implies PCong (T @ U) (T' @ U)$

| $\llbracket seq T [b]; R.ide b \rrbracket \implies PCong (T @ [b]) T$

| $t \frown u \implies PCong [t, u \setminus t] [u, t \setminus u]$

lemmas *PCong.intros(3)* [*trans*]

lemma *PCong-append-Ide*:

shows $\llbracket seq T B; Ide B \rrbracket \implies PCong (T @ B) T$

proof (*induct B*)

show $\llbracket seq T []; Ide [] \rrbracket \implies PCong (T @ []) T$

by *auto*

fix $b B T$

assume *ind*: $\llbracket seq T B; Ide B \rrbracket \implies PCong (T @ B) T$

assume *seq*: $seq T (b \# B)$

assume *Ide*: $Ide (b \# B)$

have $T @ (b \# B) = (T @ [b]) @ B$

by *simp*

also have $PCong \dots (T @ B)$

apply (*cases B = []*)

using *Ide PCong.intros(5) seq apply force*

using *seq Ide PCong.intros(4)* [*of T @ [b] B T B*]

by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Ide-imp-Ide-hd PCong.intros(1) PCong.intros(5) append-is-Nil-conv arr-append arr-append-imp-seq arr-char calculation list.distinct(1) list.sel(1) seq-char*)

also have $PCong (T @ B) T$

proof (*cases B = []*)

show $B = [] \implies ?thesis$

using *PCong.intros(1) seq seq-char by force*

assume $B: B \neq []$

have $seq T B$

using $B seq Ide$

by (*metis Con-imp-eq-Srcs Ide-imp-Ide-hd Trgs-append <T @ b # B = (T @ [b]) @ B> append-is-Nil-conv arr-append arr-append-imp-seq arr-char cong-cons-ideI(2)*)

list.distinct(1) list.sel(1) not-arr-null null-char seq-char ide-implies-arr)

thus *?thesis*

using *seq Ide ind*

by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Ide.elims(3) Ide.simps(3) seq-char*)

qed

finally show $PCong (T @ (b \# B)) T$ **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *PCong-imp-Cong*:
shows $PCong\ T\ U \implies T\ *\sim*\ U$
proof (*induct rule: PCong.induct*)
show $\bigwedge T. Arr\ T \implies T\ *\sim*\ T$
using *cong-reflexive by blast*
show $\bigwedge T\ U. [PCong\ T\ U; T\ *\sim*\ U] \implies U\ *\sim*\ T$
by *blast*
show $\bigwedge T\ U\ V. [PCong\ T\ U; T\ *\sim*\ U; PCong\ U\ V; U\ *\sim*\ V] \implies T\ *\sim*\ V$
using *cong-transitive by blast*
show $\bigwedge T\ U\ U'\ T'. [seq\ T\ U; PCong\ T\ T'; T\ *\sim*\ T'; PCong\ U\ U'; U\ *\sim*\ U']$
 $\implies T\ @\ U\ *\sim*\ T'\ @\ U'$
using *cong-append by simp*
show $\bigwedge T\ b. [seq\ T\ [b]; R.ide\ b] \implies T\ @\ [b]\ *\sim*\ T$
using *cong-append-ideI(4) ide-char by force*
show $\bigwedge t\ u. t \frown u \implies [t, u \setminus t]\ *\sim*\ [u, t \setminus u]$
proof –
have $\bigwedge t\ u. t \frown u \implies [t, u \setminus t]\ *\lesssim*\ [u, t \setminus u]$
proof –
fix $t\ u$
assume $con: t \frown u$
have $([t]\ @\ [u \setminus t])\ *\setminus*\ ([u]\ @\ [t \setminus u]) =$
 $[(t \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u), ((u \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t)) \setminus ((t \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u))]$
using *con Resid-append2 [of [t] [u \setminus t] [u] [t \setminus u]]*
apply *simp*
by (*metis R.arr-resid-iff-con R.con-target R.conE R.con-sym*
R.prex-implies-con R.prex-reflexive R.cube)
moreover **have** *Ide ...*
using *con*
by (*metis Arr.simps(2) Arr.simps(3) Ide.simps(2) Ide.simps(3) R.arr-resid-iff-con*
R.con-sym R.resid-ide-arr R.prex-reflexive calculation Con-imp-Arr-Resid)
ultimately **show** $[t, u \setminus t]\ *\lesssim*\ [u, t \setminus u]$
using *ide-char by auto*
qed
thus $\bigwedge t\ u. t \frown u \implies [t, u \setminus t]\ *\sim*\ [u, t \setminus u]$
using *R.con-sym by blast*
qed
qed

lemma *PCong-permute-single*:
shows $[t]\ *\frown*\ U \implies PCong\ ([t]\ @\ (U\ *\setminus*\ [t]))\ (U\ @\ ([t]\ *\setminus*\ U))$
proof (*induct U arbitrary: t*)
show $\bigwedge t. [t]\ *\frown*\ [] \implies PCong\ ([t]\ @\ []\ *\setminus*\ [t])\ ([]\ @\ [t]\ *\setminus*\ [])$
by *auto*
fix $t\ u\ U$
assume $ind: \bigwedge t. [t]\ *\setminus*\ U \neq [] \implies PCong\ ([t]\ @\ (U\ *\setminus*\ [t]))\ (U\ @\ ([t]\ *\setminus*\ U))$
assume $con: [t]\ *\frown*\ u \# U$
show $PCong\ ([t]\ @\ (u \# U)\ *\setminus*\ [t])\ ((u \# U)\ @\ [t]\ *\setminus*\ (u \# U))$
proof (*cases U = []*)

show $U = [] \implies ?thesis$
by (*metis PCong.intros(6) Resid.simps(3) append-Cons append-eq-append-conv2*
append-self-conv con-char con-def con con-sym-ax)
assume $U: U \neq []$
show $PCong ([t] @ ((u \# U) \text{*\}* [t])) ((u \# U) @ ([t] \text{*\}* (u \# U)))$
proof –
have $[t] @ ((u \# U) \text{*\}* [t]) = [t] @ ([u \setminus t] @ (U \text{*\}* [t \setminus u]))$
using *Con-sym Resid-rec(2) U con by auto*
also have $\dots = ([t] @ [u \setminus t]) @ (U \text{*\}* [t \setminus u])$
by *auto*
also have $PCong \dots (([u] @ [t \setminus u]) @ (U \text{*\}* [t \setminus u]))$
proof –
have $PCong ([t] @ [u \setminus t]) ([u] @ [t \setminus u])$
using *con*
by (*simp add: Con-rec(3) PCong.intros(6) U*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis Arr-Resid-single Con-implies-Arr(1) Con-rec(2) Con-sym*
PCong.intros(1,4) Srcs-Resid U append-is-Nil-conv append-is-composite-of
arr-append-imp-seq arr-char calculation composite-of-unq-upto-cong
con not-arr-null null-char ide-implies-arr seq-char)
qed
also have $([u] @ [t \setminus u]) @ (U \text{*\}* [t \setminus u]) = [u] @ ([t \setminus u] @ (U \text{*\}* [t \setminus u]))$
by *simp*
also have $PCong \dots ([u] @ (U @ ([t \setminus u] \text{*\}* U)))$
proof –
have $PCong ([t \setminus u] @ (U \text{*\}* [t \setminus u])) (U @ ([t \setminus u] \text{*\}* U))$
using *ind*
by (*metis Resid-rec(3) U con*)
moreover have $seq [u] ([t \setminus u] @ U \text{*\}* [t \setminus u])$
proof
show $Arr [u]$
using *Con-implies-Arr(2) Con-initial-right con by blast*
show $Arr ([t \setminus u] @ U \text{*\}* [t \setminus u])$
using *Con-implies-Arr(1) U con Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-rec(3) Con-sym*
by *fastforce*
show $Trgs [u] \cap Srcs ([t \setminus u] @ U \text{*\}* [t \setminus u]) \neq \{\}$
by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Arr.simps(2) Arr-has-Trg Con-implies-Arr(1)*
Int-absorb R.arr-resid-iff-con R.sources-resid Resid-rec(3)
Srcs.simps(2) Srcs-append Trgs.simps(2) U <Arr [u]> con)
qed
moreover have $PCong [u] [u]$
using *PCong.intros(1) calculation(2) seq-char by force*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using U *arr-append arr-char con seq-char*
 $PCong.intros(4) [of [u] [t \setminus u] @ (U \text{*\}* [t \setminus u])$
 $[u] U @ ([t \setminus u] \text{*\}* U)]$
by *blast*
qed
also have $([u] @ (U @ ([t \setminus u] \text{*\}* U))) = ((u \# U) @ [t] \text{*\}* (u \# U))$

by (*metis Resid-rec(3) U append-Cons append-Nil con*)
 finally show *?thesis* by *blast*
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *PCong-permute*:

shows $T * \frown * U \implies PCong (T @ (U * \backslash * T)) (U @ (T * \backslash * U))$

proof (*induct T arbitrary: U*)

show $\bigwedge U. [] * \backslash * U \neq [] \implies PCong ([] @ U * \backslash * []) (U @ [] * \backslash * U)$

by *simp*

fix $t T U$

assume *ind*: $\bigwedge U. T * \frown * U \implies PCong (T @ (U * \backslash * T)) (U @ (T * \backslash * U))$

assume *con*: $t \# T * \frown * U$

show $PCong ((t \# T) @ (U * \backslash * (t \# T))) (U @ ((t \# T) * \backslash * U))$

proof (*cases T = []*)

assume *T*: $T = []$

have $(t \# T) @ (U * \backslash * (t \# T)) = [t] @ (U * \backslash * [t])$

using *con T* by *simp*

also have $PCong \dots (U @ ([t] * \backslash * U))$

using *PCong-permute-single T con* by *blast*

finally show *?thesis*

using *T* by *fastforce*

next

assume *T*: $T \neq []$

have $(t \# T) @ (U * \backslash * (t \# T)) = [t] @ (T @ (U * \backslash * (t \# T)))$

by *simp*

also have $PCong \dots ([t] @ (U * \backslash * [t]) @ (T * \backslash * (U * \backslash * [t])))$

using *ind [of U * \backslash * [t]]*

by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-implies-Arr(2) Con-sym*)

*PCong.intros(1,4) Resid-cons(2) Srcs-Resid T arr-append arr-append-imp-seq
calculation con not-arr-null null-char seq-char*)

also have $[t] @ (U * \backslash * [t]) @ (T * \backslash * (U * \backslash * [t])) =$
 $([t] @ (U * \backslash * [t])) @ (T * \backslash * (U * \backslash * [t]))$

by *simp*

also have $PCong (([t] @ (U * \backslash * [t])) @ (T * \backslash * (U * \backslash * [t])))$

$((U @ ([t] * \backslash * U)) @ (T * \backslash * (U * \backslash * [t])))$

by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Con-cons(1) Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-implies-Arr(2)*)

*PCong.intros(1,4) PCong-permute-single Srcs-Resid T Trgs-append arr-append
arr-char con seq-char*)

also have $(U @ ([t] * \backslash * U)) @ (T * \backslash * (U * \backslash * [t])) = U @ ((t \# T) * \backslash * U)$

by (*metis Resid.simps(2) Resid-cons(1) append.assoc con*)

finally show *?thesis* by *blast*

qed

qed

lemma *Cong-imp-PCong*:

assumes $T * \sim * U$

shows $PCong T U$

```

proof –
  have PCong  $T (T @ (U * \setminus * T))$ 
    using assms PCong.intros(2) PCong-append-Ide
    by (metis Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) Srcs-Resid ide-char Con-imp-Arr-Resid
      seq-char)
  also have PCong  $(T @ (U * \setminus * T)) (U @ (T * \setminus * U))$ 
    using PCong-permute assms con-char prfx-implies-con by presburger
  also have PCong  $(U @ (T * \setminus * U)) U$ 
    using assms PCong-append-Ide
    by (metis Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-implies-Arr(1) Srcs-Resid arr-resid-iff-con
      ide-implies-arr con-char ide-char seq-char)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Cong-iff-PCong:
shows  $T * \sim * U \longleftrightarrow PCong\ T\ U$ 
  using PCong-imp-Cong Cong-imp-PCong by blast

```

end

2.5 Composite Completion

The RTS of paths in an RTS factors via the coherent normal sub-RTS of identity paths into an extensional RTS with composites, which can be regarded as a “composite completion” of the original RTS.

```

locale composite-completion =
  R: rts
begin

```

```

  interpretation N: coherent-normal-sub-rts resid  $\langle Collect\ R.ide \rangle$ 
    using R.rts-axioms R.identities-form-coherent-normal-sub-rts by auto
  sublocale P: paths-in-rts-with-coherent-normal resid  $\langle Collect\ R.ide \rangle$  ..
  sublocale quotient-by-coherent-normal P.Resid  $\langle Collect\ P.NPath \rangle$  ..

```

```

notation P.Resid (infix  $* \setminus *$  70)
notation P.Con (infix  $* \frown *$  50)
notation P.Cong (infix  $* \approx *$  50)
notation P.Cong0 (infix  $* \approx_0 *$  50)
notation P.Cong-class ( $\{\!-\!\}$ )

```

```

notation Resid (infix  $\{\!*\!\setminus\!\}$  70)
notation con (infix  $\{\!*\!\frown\!\}$  50)
notation prfx (infix  $\{\!*\!\lesssim\!\}$  50)

```

```

lemma NPath-char:
shows  $P.NPath\ T \longleftrightarrow P.Ide\ T$ 
  using P.NPath-def P.Ide-implies-NPath by blast

```


lemma *Cong-eq-Cong₀*:
shows $T \approx^* T' \longleftrightarrow T \approx_0^* T'$
by (*metis* *P.Cong-iff-cong* *P.ide-char* *P.ide-closed* *CollectD* *Collect-cong* *NPath-char*)

lemma *Srcs-respects-Cong*:
assumes $T \approx^* T'$
shows $P.Srcs\ T = P.Srcs\ T'$
using *assms*
by (*meson* *P.Con-imp-eq-Srcs* *P.Cong₀-imp-con* *P.con-char* *Cong-eq-Cong₀*)

lemma *sources-respects-Cong*:
assumes $T \approx^* T'$
shows $P.sources\ T = P.sources\ T'$
using *assms*
by (*meson* *P.Cong₀-imp-coinitial* *Cong-eq-Cong₀*)

lemma *Trgs-respects-Cong*:
assumes $T \approx^* T'$
shows $P.Trgs\ T = P.Trgs\ T'$
proof –
have $P.Trgs\ T = P.Trgs\ (T @ (T' \approx^* T))$
using *assms* *NPath-char* *P.Arr.simps(1)* *P.Con-imp-Arr-Resid* *P.Con-sym* *P.Cong-def* *P.Con-Arr-self* *P.Con-implies-Arr(2)* *P.Resid-Ide(1)* *P.Srcs-Resid* *P.Trgs-append*
by (*metis* *P.Cong₀-imp-con* *P.con-char* *CollectD*)
also have $\dots = P.Trgs\ (T' @ (T \approx^* T'))$
using *P.Cong₀-imp-con* *P.con-char* *Cong-eq-Cong₀* *assms* **by force**
also have $\dots = P.Trgs\ T'$
using *assms* *NPath-char* *P.Arr.simps(1)* *P.Con-imp-Arr-Resid* *P.Con-sym* *P.Cong-def* *P.Con-Arr-self* *P.Con-implies-Arr(2)* *P.Resid-Ide(1)* *P.Srcs-Resid* *P.Trgs-append*
by (*metis* *P.Cong₀-imp-con* *P.con-char* *CollectD*)
finally show *?thesis* **by blast**
qed

lemma *targets-respects-Cong*:
assumes $T \approx^* T'$
shows $P.targets\ T = P.targets\ T'$
using *assms* *P.Cong-imp-arr(1)* *P.Cong-imp-arr(2)* *P.arr-iff-has-target* *P.targets-char_P* *Trgs-respects-Cong*
by force

lemma *ide-char_{CC}*:
shows $ide\ \mathcal{T} \longleftrightarrow arr\ \mathcal{T} \wedge (\forall T. T \in \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow P.Ide\ T)$
using *NPath-char* *ide-char'* **by blast**

lemma *con-char_{CC}*:
shows $\mathcal{T} \uparrow^* \frown^* \mathcal{U} \longleftrightarrow arr\ \mathcal{T} \wedge arr\ \mathcal{U} \wedge P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T} \frown^* P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{U}$

proof

show $\text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{arr } \mathcal{U} \wedge P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T} \text{ }^* \frown^* P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{U} \implies \mathcal{T} \{^* \frown^*\} \mathcal{U}$
using $\text{arr-char } P.\text{con-char}$
by ($\text{meson } P.\text{rep-in-Cong-class con-char}_{QCN}$)
show $\mathcal{T} \{^* \frown^*\} \mathcal{U} \implies \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{arr } \mathcal{U} \wedge P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T} \text{ }^* \frown^* P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{U}$
proof –
assume $\text{con}: \mathcal{T} \{^* \frown^*\} \mathcal{U}$
have $1: \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{arr } \mathcal{U}$
using $\text{con coinitial-iff con-imp-coinitial}$ **by** blast
moreover have $P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T} \text{ }^* \frown^* P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{U}$
proof –
obtain $T U$ **where** $TU: T \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \in \mathcal{U} \wedge P.\text{Con } T U$
using con Resid-def
by ($\text{meson } P.\text{con-char con-char}_{QCN}$)
have $T \text{ }^* \approx^* P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T} \wedge U \text{ }^* \approx^* P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{U}$
using $TU 1$ **by** ($\text{meson } P.\text{Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep arr-char}$)
thus $?thesis$
using $TU P.\text{Cong-subst}(1)$ [of $T P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T} U P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{U}$]
by ($\text{metis } P.\text{coinitial-iff } P.\text{con-char } P.\text{con-imp-coinitial sources-respects-Cong}$)
qed
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** simp
qed
qed

lemma $\text{con-char}_{CC}'$:

shows $\mathcal{T} \{^* \frown^*\} \mathcal{U} \iff \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{arr } \mathcal{U} \wedge (\forall T U. T \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \in \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow T \text{ }^* \frown^* U)$

proof

show $\text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{arr } \mathcal{U} \wedge (\forall T U. T \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \in \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow T \text{ }^* \frown^* U) \implies \mathcal{T} \{^* \frown^*\} \mathcal{U}$
using con-char_{CC}
by ($\text{simp add: } P.\text{rep-in-Cong-class arr-char}$)
show $\mathcal{T} \{^* \frown^*\} \mathcal{U} \implies \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge \text{arr } \mathcal{U} \wedge (\forall T U. T \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \in \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow T \text{ }^* \frown^* U)$
proof ($\text{intro conjI allI impI}$)
assume $1: \mathcal{T} \{^* \frown^*\} \mathcal{U}$
show $\text{arr } \mathcal{T}$
using $1 \text{ con-implies-arr}$ **by** simp
show $\text{arr } \mathcal{U}$
using $1 \text{ con-implies-arr}$ **by** simp
fix $T U$
assume $2: T \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \in \mathcal{U}$
show $T \text{ }^* \frown^* U$
using $1 2 P.\text{Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep}$
by ($\text{meson } P.\text{Cong}_0\text{-subst-Con } P.\text{con-char Cong-eq-Cong}_0 \text{ arr-char con-char}_{CC}$)
qed
qed

lemma resid-char :

shows $\mathcal{T} \{^* \setminus^*\} \mathcal{U} =$

($\text{if } \mathcal{T} \{^* \frown^*\} \mathcal{U}$ then $\{P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T} \text{ }^* \setminus^* P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{U}\}$ else $\{\}$)

by ($\text{metis } P.\text{con-char } P.\text{rep-in-Cong-class Resid-by-members arr-char arr-resid-iff-con}$)

con-char_{CC} is-Cong-class-Resid)

lemma *src-char'*:

shows $\text{src } \mathcal{T} = \{A. \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge P.\text{Ide } A \wedge P.\text{Srcs } (P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T}) = P.\text{Srcs } A\}$

proof (*cases arr* \mathcal{T})

show $\neg \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \implies ?thesis$

by (*simp add: null-char src-def*)

assume $\mathcal{T}: \text{arr } \mathcal{T}$

have $1: \exists A. P.\text{Ide } A \wedge P.\text{Srcs } (P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T}) = P.\text{Srcs } A$

by (*metis P.Arr.simps(1) P.Con-imp-eq-Srcs P.Cong₀-imp-con
P.Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep P.Cong-def P.con-char P.rep-in-Cong-class
CollectD \mathcal{T} NPath-char P.Con-implies-Arr(1) arr-char*)

let $?A = \text{SOME } A. P.\text{Ide } A \wedge P.\text{Srcs } (P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T}) = P.\text{Srcs } A$

have $A: P.\text{Ide } ?A \wedge P.\text{Srcs } (P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T}) = P.\text{Srcs } ?A$

using 1 *someI-ex [of $\lambda A. P.\text{Ide } A \wedge P.\text{Srcs } (P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T}) = P.\text{Srcs } A]$ by simp*

have $a: \text{arr } \{\{?A\}\}$

using A *P.ide-char P.is-Cong-classI arr-char by blast*

have $\text{ide-a}: \text{ide } \{\{?A\}\}$

using a A *P.Cong-class-def P.normal-is-Cong-closed NPath-char ide-char_{CC} by auto*

have $\text{sources } \mathcal{T} = \{\{?A\}\}$

proof –

have $\mathcal{T} \{\{^* \frown^*\} \{\{?A\}\}$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) A P.Con-Ide-iff P.Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep
P.Cong-imp-arr(1) P.arr-char P.arr-in-Cong-class P.ide-char
P.ide-implies-arr P.rep-in-Cong-class Con-char a \mathcal{T} P.con-char
null-char arr-char P.con-sym conI*)

hence $\{\{?A\}\} \in \text{sources } \mathcal{T}$

using *ide-a in-sourcesI by simp*

thus *?thesis*

using *sources-char by auto*

qed

moreover **have** $\{\{?A\}\} = \{A. P.\text{Ide } A \wedge P.\text{Srcs } (P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T}) = P.\text{Srcs } A\}$

proof

show $\{A. P.\text{Ide } A \wedge P.\text{Srcs } (P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T}) = P.\text{Srcs } A\} \subseteq \{\{?A\}\}$

using A *P.Cong-class-def P.Cong-closure-props(3) P.Ide-implies-Arr
P.ide-closed P.ide-char*

by *fastforce*

show $\{\{?A\}\} \subseteq \{A. P.\text{Ide } A \wedge P.\text{Srcs } (P.\text{Cong-class-rep } \mathcal{T}) = P.\text{Srcs } A\}$

using a A *P.Cong-class-def Srcs-respects-Cong ide-a ide-char_{CC} by blast*

qed

ultimately **show** *?thesis*

using \mathcal{T} *src-in-sources sources-char by auto*

qed

lemma *src-char*:

shows $\text{src } \mathcal{T} = \{A. \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \wedge P.\text{Ide } A \wedge (\forall T. T \in \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow P.\text{Srcs } T = P.\text{Srcs } A)\}$

proof (*cases arr* \mathcal{T})

show $\neg \text{arr } \mathcal{T} \implies ?thesis$

by (*simp add: null-char src-def*)

```

assume  $\mathcal{T}$ : arr  $\mathcal{T}$ 
have  $\bigwedge T. T \in \mathcal{T} \implies P.Srcs\ T = P.Srcs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T})$ 
  using  $\mathcal{T}$  P.Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep Srcs-respects-Cong arr-char by auto
thus ?thesis
  using  $\mathcal{T}$  src-char' P.is-Cong-class-def arr-char by force
qed

lemma trg-char':
shows  $trg\ \mathcal{T} = \{B. arr\ \mathcal{T} \wedge P.Ide\ B \wedge P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs\ B\}$ 
proof (cases arr  $\mathcal{T}$ )
  show  $\neg arr\ \mathcal{T} \implies ?thesis$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-empty-eq arrI resid-arr-self resid-char)
  assume  $\mathcal{T}$ : arr  $\mathcal{T}$ 
  have  $1: \exists B. P.Ide\ B \wedge P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs\ B$ 
    by (metis P.Con-implies-Arr(2) P.Resid-Arr-self P.Srcs-Resid  $\mathcal{T}$  con-charCC arrE)
  define  $B$  where  $B = (SOME\ B. P.Ide\ B \wedge P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs\ B)$ 
  have  $B: P.Ide\ B \wedge P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs\ B$ 
    unfolding B-def
    using  $1$  someI-ex [of  $\lambda B. P.Ide\ B \wedge P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs\ B$ ] by simp
  hence  $2: P.Ide\ B \wedge P.Con\ (P.Resid\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T})\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}))\ B$ 
    using  $\mathcal{T}$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) P.Con-Ide-iff P.Ide-implies-Arr P.Resid-Arr-self P.Srcs-Resid arrE P.Con-implies-Arr(2) con-charCC)
  have  $b: arr\ \{\!|B|\!\}$ 
    by (simp add: 2 P.ide-char P.is-Cong-classI arr-char)
  have ide-b: ide  $\{\!|B|\!\}$ 
    by (meson 2 P.arr-in-Cong-class P.ide-char P.ide-closed b disjoint-iff ide-char P.ide-implies-arr)
  have targets  $\mathcal{T} = \{\!|B|\!\}$ 
proof –
  have cong  $(\mathcal{T}\ \{\!|\ * \ * |\!\})\ \{\!|B|\!\}$ 
proof –
  have  $\mathcal{T}\ \{\!|\ * \ * |\!\} = \{\!|B|\!\}$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 P.Cong-class-eqI P.Cong-closure-props(3) P.Resid-Arr-Ide-ind P.Resid-Ide(1) NPath-char  $\mathcal{T}$  con-charCC resid-char P.Con-implies-Arr(2) P.Resid-Arr-self mem-Collect-eq)
  thus ?thesis
    using  $b$  cong-reflexive by presburger
qed
thus ?thesis
  using  $\mathcal{T}$  targets-charQCN [of  $\mathcal{T}$ ] cong-char by auto
qed
moreover have  $\{\!|B|\!\} = \{B. P.Ide\ B \wedge P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs\ B\}$ 
proof
  show  $\{B. P.Ide\ B \wedge P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs\ B\} \subseteq \{\!|B|\!\}$ 
    using  $B$  P.Cong-class-def P.Cong-closure-props(3) P.Ide-implies-Arr P.ide-closed P.ide-char
    by force
  show  $\{\!|B|\!\} \subseteq \{B. P.Ide\ B \wedge P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs\ B\}$ 

```

```

proof –
  have  $\bigwedge B'. P.Cong\ B'\ B \implies P.Ide\ B' \wedge P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs\ B'$ 
    using  $B\ NPath-char\ P.normal-is-Cong-closed\ Srcs-respects-Cong$ 
    by  $(metis\ P.Cong-closure-props(1)\ mem-Collect-eq)$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using  $P.Cong-class-def$  by blast
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using  $\mathcal{T}\ trg-in-targets\ targets-char$  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma trg-char:
shows  $trg\ \mathcal{T} = \{B. arr\ \mathcal{T} \wedge P.Ide\ B \wedge (\forall T. T \in \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow P.Trgs\ T = P.Srcs\ B)\}$ 
proof  $(cases\ arr\ \mathcal{T})$ 
  show  $\neg arr\ \mathcal{T} \implies ?thesis$ 
    using  $trg-char'$  by presburger
  assume  $\mathcal{T}: arr\ \mathcal{T}$ 
  have  $\bigwedge T. T \in \mathcal{T} \implies P.Trgs\ T = P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T})$ 
    using  $\mathcal{T}$ 
    by  $(metis\ P.Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep\ Trgs-respects-Cong\ arr-char)$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using  $\mathcal{T}\ trg-char'\ P.is-Cong-class-def\ arr-char$  by force
qed

```

```

lemma is-extensional-rts-with-composites:
shows extensional-rts-with-composites Resid
proof
  fix  $\mathcal{T}\ \mathcal{U}$ 
  assume  $seq: seq\ \mathcal{T}\ \mathcal{U}$ 
  obtain  $T$  where  $T: \mathcal{T} = \{\!\{T\}\!\}$ 
    using  $seq\ P.Cong-class-rep\ arr-char\ seq-def$  by blast
  obtain  $U$  where  $U: \mathcal{U} = \{\!\{U\}\!\}$ 
    using  $seq\ P.Cong-class-rep\ arr-char\ seq-def$  by blast
  have  $1: P.Arr\ T \wedge P.Arr\ U$ 
    using  $seq\ T\ U\ P.Con-implies-Arr(2)\ P.Cong_0-subst-right(1)\ P.Cong-class-def$ 
     $P.con-char\ seq-def$ 
    by  $(metis\ Collect-empty-eq\ P.Cong-imp-arr(1)\ P.arr-char\ P.rep-in-Cong-class$ 
     $empty-iff\ arr-char)$ 
  have  $2: P.Trgs\ T = P.Srcs\ U$ 
proof –
  have  $targets\ \mathcal{T} = sources\ \mathcal{U}$ 
    using  $seq\ seq-def\ sources-char\ targets-char_{WE}$  by force
  hence  $3: trg\ \mathcal{T} = src\ \mathcal{U}$ 
    using  $seq\ arr-has-un-source\ arr-has-un-target$ 
    by  $(metis\ seq-def\ src-in-sources\ trg-in-targets)$ 
  hence  $\{B. P.Ide\ B \wedge P.Trgs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs\ B\} =$ 
     $\{A. P.Ide\ A \wedge P.Srcs\ (P.Cong-class-rep\ \mathcal{U}) = P.Srcs\ A\}$ 
    using  $seq\ seq-def\ src-char'\ [of\ \mathcal{U}]\ trg-char'\ [of\ \mathcal{T}]$  by force

```

hence $P.Trgs (P.Cong-class-rep \mathcal{T}) = P.Srcs (P.Cong-class-rep \mathcal{U})$
using *seq seq-def arr-char*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) 3 P.Cong-class-is-nonempty Collect-empty-eq arr-src-iff-arr mem-Collect-eq trg-char'*)
thus *?thesis*
using *seq seq-def arr-char T U P.Srcs-respects-Cong P.Trgs-respects-Cong P.Cong-class-memb-Cong-rep P.Cong-symmetric*
by (*metis 1 P.arr-char P.arr-in-Cong-class Srcs-respects-Cong Trgs-respects-Cong*)
qed
have $P.Arr (T @ U)$
using *1 2 by simp*
moreover have $P.Ide (T * \setminus * (T @ U))$
by (*metis 1 P.Con-append(2) P.Con-sym P.Resid-Arr-self P.Resid-Ide-Arr-ind P.Resid-append(2) P.Trgs.simps(1) calculation P.Arr-has-Trg*)
moreover have $(T @ U) * \setminus * T \approx^* U$
by (*metis 1 P.Arr.simps(1) P.Con-sym P.Cong₀-append-resid-NPath P.Cong₀-cancel-left_{C.S} P.Ide.simps(1) calculation(2) Cong-eq-Cong₀ NPath-char*)
ultimately have *composite-of* $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{U} \{T @ U\}$
proof (*unfold composite-of-def, intro conjI*)
show *prfx* $\mathcal{T} (P.Cong-class (T @ U))$
proof –
have *ide* $(\mathcal{T} \{ * \setminus * \} \{T @ U\})$
proof (*unfold ide-char, intro conjI*)
have $\exists: T * \setminus * (T @ U) \in \mathcal{T} \{ * \setminus * \} \{T @ U\}$
proof –
have $\mathcal{T} \{ * \setminus * \} \{T @ U\} = \{T * \setminus * (T @ U)\}$
by (*metis 1 P.Ide.simps(1) P.arr-char P.arr-in-Cong-class P.con-char P.is-Cong-classI Resid-by-members T ⟨P.Arr (T @ U)⟩ ⟨P.Ide (T * \setminus * (T @ U))⟩*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: P.arr-in-Cong-class P.elements-are-arr NPath-char ⟨P.Ide (T * \setminus * (T @ U))⟩*)
qed
show *arr* $(\mathcal{T} \{ * \setminus * \} \{T @ U\})$
using \exists *arr-char is-Cong-class-Resid by blast*
show $\mathcal{T} \{ * \setminus * \} \{T @ U\} \cap Collect P.NPath \neq \{\}$
using \exists *P.ide-closed P.ide-char ⟨P.Ide (T * \setminus * (T @ U))⟩ by blast*
qed
thus *?thesis by blast*
qed
show $\{T @ U\} \{ * \setminus * \} \mathcal{T} \{ * \lesssim * \} \mathcal{U}$
proof –
have $\exists: ((T @ U) * \setminus * T) * \setminus * U \in (\{T @ U\} \{ * \setminus * \} \mathcal{T}) \{ * \setminus * \} \mathcal{U}$
proof –
have $(\{T @ U\} \{ * \setminus * \} \mathcal{T}) \{ * \setminus * \} \mathcal{U} = \{((T @ U) * \setminus * T) * \setminus * U\}$
proof –
have $\{T @ U\} \{ * \setminus * \} \mathcal{T} = \{(T @ U) * \setminus * T\}$
by (*metis 1 P.Cong-imp-arr(1) P.arr-char P.arr-in-Cong-class P.is-Cong-classI T ⟨P.Arr (T @ U)⟩ ⟨(T @ U) * \setminus * T \approx^* U⟩*)

Resid-by-members P.arr-resid-iff-con)

moreover

have $\{(T @ U) * \setminus T\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{U} = \{((T @ U) * \setminus T) * \setminus U\}$
by (*metis 1 P.Cong-class-eqI P.Cong-imp-arr(1) P.arr-char*
P.arr-in-Cong-class P.con-char P.is-Cong-classI arr-char arrE U
 $\langle (T @ U) * \setminus T * \approx U \rangle$ *con-char_{CC'} Resid-by-members*)

ultimately show *?thesis by auto*

qed

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis 1 P.Arr.simps(1) P.Cong₀-reflexive P.Resid-append(2) P.arr-char*
P.arr-in-Cong-class P.elements-are-arr $\langle P.Arr (T @ U) \rangle$)

qed

have $\{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T} \{*\lesssim\} \mathcal{U}$

proof (*unfold ide-char, intro conjI*)

show *arr* ($\{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{U}$)

using *3 arr-char is-Cong-class-Resid by blast*

show $\{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{U} \cap \text{Collect } P.NPath \neq \{\}$

by (*metis 1 3 P.Arr.simps(1) P.Resid-append(2) P.con-char*
IntI $\langle P.Arr (T @ U) \rangle$ *NPath-char P.Resid-Arr-self P.arr-char empty-iff*
mem-Collect-eq P.arrE)

qed

thus *?thesis by blast*

qed

show $\mathcal{U} \{*\lesssim\} \{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T}$

proof (*unfold ide-char, intro conjI*)

have $\exists: U * \setminus ((T @ U) * \setminus T) \in \mathcal{U} \{*\setminus\} (\{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T})$

proof –

have $\mathcal{U} \{*\setminus\} (\{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T}) = \{U * \setminus ((T @ U) * \setminus T)\}$

proof –

have $\{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T} = \{(T @ U) * \setminus T\}$

by (*metis 1 P.Con-sym P.Ide.simps(1) P.arr-char P.arr-in-Cong-class*
P.con-char P.is-Cong-classI Resid-by-members T $\langle P.Arr (T @ U) \rangle$
 $\langle P.Ide (T * \setminus (T @ U)) \rangle$)

moreover have $\mathcal{U} \{*\setminus\} (\{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T}) = \{U * \setminus ((T @ U) * \setminus T)\}$

by (*metis 1 P.Cong-class-eqI P.Cong-imp-arr(1) P.arr-char*
P.arr-in-Cong-class P.con-char P.is-Cong-classI prfx-implies-con
 $U \langle (T @ U) * \setminus T * \approx U \rangle$ $\langle \{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T} \{*\lesssim\} \mathcal{U} \rangle$
calculation con-char_{CC'} Resid-by-members)

ultimately show *?thesis by blast*

qed

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis 1 P.Arr.simps(1) P.Resid-append-ind P.arr-in-Cong-class*
P.con-char $\langle P.Arr (T @ U) \rangle$ *P.Con-Arr-self P.arr-resid-iff-con*)

qed

show *arr* ($\mathcal{U} \{*\setminus\} (\{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T})$)

by (*metis 3 arr-resid-iff-con empty-iff resid-char*)

show $\mathcal{U} \{*\setminus\} (\{T @ U\} \{*\setminus\} \mathcal{T}) \cap \text{Collect } P.NPath \neq \{\}$

by (*metis 1 3 P.Arr.simps(1) P.Cong₀-append-resid-NPath P.Cong₀-cancel-left_{CS}*
P.Cong-imp-arr(1) P.arr-char NPath-char IntI $\langle (T @ U) * \setminus T * \approx U \rangle$)

```

      ⟨P.Ide (T *\* (T @ U))⟩ empty-iff)
    qed
  qed
  thus composable T U
    using composable-def by auto
  qed

  sublocale extensional-rts-with-composites Resid
    using is-extensional-rts-with-composites by simp

```

2.5.1 Inclusion Map

```

abbreviation incl
where incl t ≡ ⟦[t]⟧

```

The inclusion into the composite completion preserves consistency and residuation.

lemma *incl-preserves-con*:

assumes $t \frown u$

shows $\llbracket [t] \rrbracket \llbracket [* \frown *] \rrbracket \llbracket [u] \rrbracket$

using *assms*

by (*meson* P.Con-rec(1) P.arr-in-Cong-class P.con-char P.is-Cong-classI
con-char_{QCN} P.con-implies-arr(1–2))

lemma *incl-preserves-resid*:

shows $\llbracket [t \setminus u] \rrbracket = \llbracket [t] \rrbracket \llbracket [* \setminus *] \rrbracket \llbracket [u] \rrbracket$

proof (*cases* $t \frown u$)

show $t \frown u \implies ?thesis$

proof –

assume 1: $t \frown u$

have P.is-Cong-class $\llbracket [t] \rrbracket \wedge$ P.is-Cong-class $\llbracket [u] \rrbracket$

using 1 con-char_{QCN} incl-preserves-con **by** *presburger*

moreover have $[t] \in \llbracket [t] \rrbracket \wedge [u] \in \llbracket [u] \rrbracket$

using 1

by (*meson* P.Con-rec(1) P.arr-in-Cong-class P.con-char

P.Con-implies-Arr(2) P.arr-char P.con-implies-arr(1))

moreover have P.con $[t] [u]$

using 1 **by** (*simp* *add*: P.con-char)

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *Resid-by-members* [of $\llbracket [t] \rrbracket \llbracket [u] \rrbracket [t] [u]$]

by (*simp* *add*: 1)

qed

assume 1: $\neg t \frown u$

have $\llbracket [t \setminus u] \rrbracket = \{\}$

using 1 *R.arrI*

by (*metis* *Collect-empty-eq* P.Con-Arr-self P.Con-rec(1)

P.Cong-class-def P.Cong-imp-arr(1) P.arr-char *R.arr-resid-iff-con*)

also have $\dots = \llbracket [t] \rrbracket \llbracket [* \setminus *] \rrbracket \llbracket [u] \rrbracket$

by (*metis* (*full-types*) 1 *Con-char* *CollectD* P.Con-rec(1) P.Cong-class-def

P.Cong-imp-arr(1) P.arr-in-Cong-class con-char_{CC'} null-char conI)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *incl-reflects-con*:

assumes $\{\{t\}\} \{\{*\} \wedge *\}$ $\{\{u\}\}$

shows $t \wedge u$

by (*metis* *P.Con-rec(1)* *P.Cong-class-def* *P.Cong-imp-arr(1)* *P.arr-in-Cong-class*
CollectD *assms* *con-char_{CC'}* *con-char_{QCN}*)

The inclusion map is a simulation.

sublocale *incl: simulation resid Resid incl*

proof

show $\bigwedge t. \neg R.arr\ t \implies incl\ t = null$

by (*metis* *Collect-empty-eq* *P.Cong-class-def* *P.Cong-imp-arr(1)* *P.Ide.simps(2)*
P.Resid-rec(1) *P.cong-reflexive* *P.elements-are-arr* *P.ide-char* *P.ide-closed*
P.not-arr-null *P.null-char* *R.prfx-implies-con* *null-char* *R.con-implies-arr(1)*)

show $\bigwedge t\ u. t \wedge u \implies incl\ t \{\{*\} \wedge *\} incl\ u$

using *incl-preserves-con* **by** *blast*

show $\bigwedge t\ u. t \wedge u \implies incl\ (t \setminus u) = incl\ t \{\{*\} \setminus *\} incl\ u$

using *incl-preserves-resid* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *inclusion-is-simulation*:

shows *simulation resid Resid incl*

..

lemma *incl-preserves-arr*:

assumes *R.arr a*

shows *arr* $\{\{a\}\}$

using *assms* *incl-preserves-con* **by** *auto*

lemma *incl-preserves-ide*:

assumes *R.ide a*

shows *ide* $\{\{a\}\}$

by (*metis* *assms* *incl-preserves-con* *incl-preserves-resid* *R.ide-def* *ide-def*)

lemma *cong-iff-eq-incl*:

assumes *R.arr t* **and** *R.arr u*

shows $\{\{t\}\} = \{\{u\}\} \longleftrightarrow t \sim u$

proof

show $\{\{t\}\} = \{\{u\}\} \implies t \sim u$

by (*metis* *P.Con-rec(1)* *P.Ide.simps(2)* *P.Resid.simps(3)* *P.arr-in-Cong-class*
P.con-char *R.arr-def* *R.cong-reflexive* *assms(1)* *ide-char_{CC}*
incl-preserves-con *incl-preserves-ide* *incl-preserves-resid* *incl-reflects-con*
P.arr-resid-iff-con)

show $t \sim u \implies \{\{t\}\} = \{\{u\}\}$

using *assms*

by (*metis* *incl-preserves-resid* *extensional* *incl-preserves-ide*)

qed

The inclusion is surjective on identities.

```

lemma img-incl-ide:
shows incl ' (Collect R.ide) = Collect ide
proof
  show incl ' Collect R.ide ⊆ Collect ide
    by (simp add: image-subset-iff)
  show Collect ide ⊆ incl ' Collect R.ide
proof
  fix  $\mathcal{A}$ 
  assume  $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{A} \in \text{Collect ide}$ 
  obtain  $A$  where  $A: A \in \mathcal{A}$ 
    using  $\mathcal{A}$  ide-char by blast
  have  $P.NPath A$ 
    by (metis A Ball-Collect A ide-char' mem-Collect-eq)
  obtain  $a$  where  $a: a \in P.Srcs A$ 
    using  $\langle P.NPath A \rangle$ 
    by (meson P.NPath-implies-Arr equalsOI P.Arr-has-Src)
  have  $P.Cong_0 A [a]$ 
proof –
  have  $P.Ide [a]$ 
    by (metis NPath-char P.Con-Arr-self P.Ide.simps(2) P.NPath-implies-Arr
      P.Resid-Ide(1) P.Srcs.elims R.in-sourcesE \langle P.NPath A \rangle a)
  thus ?thesis
    using  $a A$ 
    by (metis P.Ide.simps(2) P.ide-char P.ide-closed \langle P.NPath A \rangle NPath-char
      P.Con-single-ide-iff P.Ide-implies-Arr P.Resid-Arr-Ide-ind P.Resid-Arr-Src)
  qed
  have  $\mathcal{A} = \{\{a\}\}$ 
by (metis A P.Cong_0-imp-con P.Cong_0-implies-Cong P.Cong_0-transitive P.Cong-class-eqI
  P.ide-char P.resid-arr-ide Resid-by-members \mathcal{A} \langle A *_{\approx_0} [a] \rangle \langle P.NPath A \rangle arr-char
  NPath-char ideE ide-implies-arr mem-Collect-eq)
  thus  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{incl ' Collect R.ide}$ 
    using  $NPath-char P.Ide.simps(2) P.backward-stable \langle A *_{\approx_0} [a] \rangle \langle P.NPath A \rangle$  by blast
  qed
qed
end

```

2.5.2 Composite Completion of an Extensional RTS

```

locale composite-completion-of-extensional-rts =
   $R: \text{extensional-rts} +$ 
  composite-completion
begin

```

```

  sublocale  $P: \text{paths-in-weakly-extensional-rts resid ..}$ 

```

```

  notation comp (infixr  $\{\{*\}\}$  55)

```

When applied to an extensional RTS, the composite completion construction does not identify any states that are distinct in the original RTS.

```

lemma incl-injective-on-ide:
shows inj-on incl (Collect R.ide)
  using R.extensional cong-iff-eq-incl
  by (intro inj-onI) auto

```

When applied to an extensional RTS, the composite completion construction is a bijection between the states of the original RTS and the states of its completion.

```

lemma incl-bijective-on-ide:
shows bij-betw incl (Collect R.ide) (Collect ide)
  using incl-injective-on-ide img-incl-ide bij-betw-def by blast

```

end

2.5.3 Freeness of Composite Completion

In this section we show that the composite completion construction is free: any simulation from RTS A to an extensional RTS with composites B extends uniquely to a simulation on the composite completion of A .

```

locale extension-of-simulation =
  A: paths-in-rts resid_A +
  B: extensional-rts-with-composites resid_B +
  F: simulation resid_A resid_B F
for resid_A :: 'a resid (infix  $\setminus_A$  70)
and resid_B :: 'b resid (infix  $\setminus_B$  70)
and F :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
begin

  notation A.Resid (infix  $\setminus_A^*$  70)
  notation A.Resid1x (infix  $\setminus_A^1$  70)
  notation A.Residx1 (infix  $\setminus_A^1$  70)
  notation A.Con (infix  $\frown_A^*$  70)
  notation B.comp (infixr  $\cdot_B$  55)
  notation B.con (infix  $\frown_B$  50)

  fun map
  where map [] = B.null
    | map [t] = F t
    | map (t # T) = (if A.arr (t # T) then F t  $\cdot_B$  map T else B.null)

  lemma map-o-incl-eq:
  shows map (A.incl t) = F t
    by (simp add: A.null-char F.extensional)

  lemma extensional:
  shows  $\neg A.arr T \Longrightarrow map T = B.null$ 
    using F.extensional A.arr-char
    by (metis A.Arr.simps(2) map.elims)

  lemma preserves-comp:

```

shows $\llbracket T \neq []; U \neq []; A.\text{Arr} (T @ U) \rrbracket \implies \text{map} (T @ U) = \text{map} T \cdot_B \text{map} U$
proof (*induct* T arbitrary: U)
show $\bigwedge U. [] \neq [] \implies \text{map} ([] @ U) = \text{map} [] \cdot_B \text{map} U$
by *simp*
fix t and $T U :: 'a \text{ list}$
assume *ind*: $\bigwedge U. \llbracket T \neq []; U \neq []; A.\text{Arr} (T @ U) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{map} (T @ U) = \text{map} T \cdot_B \text{map} U$
assume $U: U \neq []$
assume *Arr*: $A.\text{Arr} ((t \# T) @ U)$
hence 1: $A.\text{Arr} (t \# (T @ U))$
by *simp*
have 2: $A.\text{Arr} (t \# T)$
by (*metis* $A.\text{Con-Arr-self}$ $A.\text{Con-append}(1)$ $A.\text{Con-implies-Arr}(1)$ $\text{Arr } U$ *append-is-Nil-conv* *list.distinct*(1))
show $\text{map} ((t \# T) @ U) = B.\text{comp} (\text{map} (t \# T)) (\text{map} U)$
proof (*cases* $T = []$)
show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$
by (*metis* (*full-types*) 1 $A.\text{arr-char } U$ *append-Cons* *append-Nil* *list.exhaust* *map.simps*(2) *map.simps*(3))
assume $T: T \neq []$
have $\text{map} ((t \# T) @ U) = \text{map} (t \# (T @ U))$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = F t \cdot_B \text{map} (T @ U)$
using T 1
by (*metis* $A.\text{arr-char}$ *Nil-is-append-conv* *list.exhaust* *map.simps*(3))
also have $\dots = F t \cdot_B (\text{map} T \cdot_B \text{map} U)$
using *ind*
by (*metis* 1 $A.\text{Con-Arr-self}$ $A.\text{Con-implies-Arr}(1)$ $A.\text{Con-rec}(4)$ $T U$ *append-is-Nil-conv*)
also have $\dots = (F t \cdot_B \text{map} T) \cdot_B \text{map} U$
using $B.\text{comp-assoc}_{EC}$ **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = \text{map} (t \# T) \cdot_B \text{map} U$
using T 2
by (*metis* $A.\text{arr-char}$ *list.exhaust* *map.simps*(3))
finally show $\text{map} ((t \# T) @ U) = \text{map} (t \# T) \cdot_B \text{map} U$ **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *preserves-arr-ind*:
shows $\llbracket A.\text{arr } T; a \in A.\text{Srcs } T \rrbracket \implies B.\text{arr} (\text{map } T) \wedge B.\text{src} (\text{map } T) = F a$
proof (*induct* T arbitrary: a)
show $\bigwedge a. \llbracket A.\text{arr } []; a \in A.\text{Srcs } [] \rrbracket \implies B.\text{arr} (\text{map } []) \wedge B.\text{src} (\text{map } []) = F a$
using $A.\text{arr-char}$ **by** *simp*
fix $a t T$
assume $a: a \in A.\text{Srcs} (t \# T)$
assume $tT: A.\text{arr} (t \# T)$
assume *ind*: $\bigwedge a. \llbracket A.\text{arr } T; a \in A.\text{Srcs } T \rrbracket \implies B.\text{arr} (\text{map } T) \wedge B.\text{src} (\text{map } T) = F a$
have 1: $a \in A.R.\text{sources } t$
using $a tT$ $A.\text{Con-imp-eq-Srcs}$ $A.\text{Con-initial-right}$ $A.\text{Srcs.simps}(2)$ $A.\text{con-char}$
by *blast*

show $B.arr (map (t \# T)) \wedge B.src (map (t \# T)) = F a$
proof (*cases* $T = []$)
show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$
by (*metis* 1 *A.Arr.simps*(2) *A.arr-char* *B.arr-has-un-source* *B.src-in-sources*
F.preserves-reflects-arr *F.preserves-sources* *image-subset-iff* *map.simps*(2) *tT*)
assume $T: T \neq []$
obtain a' **where** $a': a' \in A.R.targets\ t$
using *tT* 1 *A.R.resid-source-in-targets* **by** *auto*
have $2: a' \in A.Srcs\ T$
using $a'\ tT$
by (*metis* *A.Con-Arr-self* *A.R.sources-resid* *A.Srcs.simps*(2) *A.arr-char* *T*
A.Con-imp-eq-Srcs *A.Con-rec*(4))
have $B.arr (map (t \# T)) \longleftrightarrow B.arr (F\ t \cdot_B\ map\ T)$
using *tT* *T* **by** (*metis* *map.simps*(3) *neq-Nil-conv*)
also have $2: \dots \longleftrightarrow True$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) 2 *A.arr-char* *B.arr-comp_{EC}* *B.arr-has-un-target*
B.trg-in-targets *F.preserves-reflects-arr* *F.preserves-targets* *T* a'
A.Arr.elims(2) *image-subset-iff* *ind* *list.sel*(1) *list.sel*(3) *tT*)
finally have $B.arr (map (t \# T))$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $B.src (map (t \# T)) = F a$
proof –
have $B.src (map (t \# T)) = B.src (F\ t \cdot_B\ map\ T)$
using *tT* *T* **by** (*metis* *map.simps*(3) *neq-Nil-conv*)
also have $\dots = B.src (F\ t)$
using 2 *B.con-comp-iff* **by** *force*
also have $\dots = F a$
by (*meson* 1 *B.weakly-extensional-rts-axioms* *F.simulation-axioms*
simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts.preserves-src
simulation-to-weakly-extensional-rts-def)
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*
qed
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *preserves-arr*:
shows $A.arr\ T \implies B.arr (map\ T)$
using *preserves-arr-ind* *A.arr-char* *A.Arr-has-Src* **by** *blast*

lemma *preserves-src*:
assumes $A.arr\ T$ **and** $a \in A.Srcs\ T$
shows $B.src (map\ T) = F a$
using *assms* *preserves-arr-ind* **by** *simp*

lemma *preserves-trg*:
shows $\llbracket A.arr\ T; b \in A.Trgs\ T \rrbracket \implies B.trg (map\ T) = F b$
proof (*induct* T)
show $\llbracket A.arr\ []; b \in A.Trgs\ [] \rrbracket \implies B.trg (map\ []) = F b$
by *simp*

```

fix  $t T$ 
assume  $tT: A.arr (t \# T)$ 
assume  $b: b \in A.Trgs (t \# T)$ 
assume  $ind: \llbracket A.arr T; b \in A.Trgs T \rrbracket \implies B.trg (map T) = F b$ 
show  $B.trg (map (t \# T)) = F b$ 
proof (cases  $T = []$ )
  show  $T = [] \implies ?thesis$ 
    using  $tT b$ 
    by (metis  $A.Trgs.simps(2)$   $B.arr-has-un-target$   $B.trg-in-targets$   $F.preserves-targets$ 
       $preserves-arr$   $image-subset-iff$   $map.simps(2)$ )
  assume  $T: T \neq []$ 
  have  $1: B.trg (map (t \# T)) = B.trg (F t \cdot_B map T)$ 
    using  $tT T b$ 
    by (metis  $map.simps(3)$   $neq-Nil-conv$ )
  also have  $\dots = B.trg (map T)$ 
    by (metis  $B.arr-trg-iff-arr$   $B.composable-iff-arr-comp$   $B.trg-comp$  calculation
       $preserves-arr$   $tT$ )
  also have  $\dots = F b$ 
    using  $tT b ind$ 
    by (metis  $A.Trgs.simps(3)$   $T$   $A.Arr.simps(3)$   $A.arr-char$  list.exhaust)
  finally show  $?thesis$  by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma preserves-Resid1x-ind:
shows  $t^1 \setminus_A^* U \neq A.R.null \implies F t \frown_B map U \wedge F (t^1 \setminus_A^* U) = F t \setminus_B map U$ 
proof (induct  $U$  arbitrary:  $t$ )
  show  $\bigwedge t. t^1 \setminus_A^* [] \neq A.R.null \implies F t \frown_B map [] \wedge F (t^1 \setminus_A^* []) = F t \setminus_B map []$ 
    by simp
  fix  $t u U$ 
  assume  $uU: t^1 \setminus_A^* (u \# U) \neq A.R.null$ 
  assume  $ind: \bigwedge t. t^1 \setminus_A^* U \neq A.R.null$ 
     $\implies F t \frown_B map U \wedge F (t^1 \setminus_A^* U) = F t \setminus_B map U$ 
show  $F t \frown_B map (u \# U) \wedge F (t^1 \setminus_A^* (u \# U)) = F t \setminus_B map (u \# U)$ 
proof
  show  $1: F t \frown_B map (u \# U)$ 
  proof (cases  $U = []$ )
    show  $U = [] \implies ?thesis$ 
      using  $A.Resid1x.simps(2)$   $map.simps(2)$   $F.preserves-con$   $uU$  by fastforce
    assume  $U: U \neq []$ 
    have  $3: [t]^* \setminus_A^* [u] \neq [] \wedge ([t]^* \setminus_A^* [u])^* \setminus_A^* U \neq []$ 
      using  $A.Con-cons(2)$  [of  $[t]$   $U$   $u$ ]
      by (meson  $A.Resid1x-as-Resid'$   $U$  not-Cons-self2  $uU$ )
    hence  $2: F t \frown_B F u \wedge F t \setminus_B F u \frown_B map U$ 
      by (metis  $A.Con-rec(1)$   $A.Con-sym$   $A.Con-sym1$   $A.Resid1x-as-Resid$   $A.Resid-rec(1)$ 
         $F.preserves-con$   $F.preserves-resid$  ind)
    moreover have  $B.seq (F u) (map U)$ 
      by (metis  $B.coinitial-iff_{WE}$   $B.con-imp-coinitial$   $B.seqI_{WE}(1)$   $B.src-resid$  calculation)
    ultimately have  $F t \frown_B map ([u] @ U)$ 

```

```

    using B.con-comp-iffEC(1) [of F t F u map U] B.con-sym preserves-comp
  by (metis 3 A.Con-cons(2) A.Con-implies-Arr(2)
      append.left-neutral append-Cons map.simps(2) not-Cons-self2)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
show F (t 1\A* (u # U)) = F t \B map (u # U)
proof (cases U = [])
  show U = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
    using A.Resid1x.simps(2) F.preserves-resid map.simps(2) uU by fastforce
  assume U: U  $\neq$  []
  have F (t 1\A* (u # U)) = F ((t \A u) 1\A* U)
    using A.Resid1x-as-Resid' A.Resid-rec(3) U uU by metis
  also have ... = F (t \A u) \B map U
    using uU U ind A.Con-rec(3) A.Resid1x-as-Resid [of t \A u U]
    by (metis A.Resid1x.simps(3) list.exhaust)
  also have ... = (F t \B F u) \B map U
    using uU U
    by (metis A.Resid1x-as-Resid' F.preserves-resid A.Con-rec(3))
  also have ... = F t \B (F u \cdotB map U)
    by (metis B.comp-null(2) B.composable-iff-comp-not-null B.con-compI(2) B.conI
        B.con-sym-ax B.mediating-transition B.null-is-zero(2) B.resid-comp(1))
  also have ... = F t \B map (u # U)
    by (metis A.Resid1x-as-Resid' A.con-char U map.simps(3) neq-Nil-conv
        A.con-implies-arr(2) uU)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma preserves-Resid1-ind:

```

shows U * \A1 t  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  map U  $\frown$ B F t  $\wedge$  map (U * \A1 t) = map U \B F t
proof (induct U arbitrary: t)
  show  $\bigwedge t. [] * \langle \langle \rangle \rangle$  \A1 t  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  map []  $\frown$ B F t  $\wedge$  map ([] * \A1 t) = map [] \B F t
    by simp
  fix t u U
  assume ind:  $\bigwedge t. U * \langle \langle \rangle \rangle$  \A1 t  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  map U  $\frown$ B F t  $\wedge$  map (U * \A1 t) = map U \B F t
  assume uU: (u # U) * \A1 t  $\neq$  []
  show map (u # U)  $\frown$ B F t  $\wedge$  map ((u # U) * \A1 t) = map (u # U) \B F t
  proof (cases U = [])
    show U = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
      using A.Resid1x.simps(2) F.preserves-con F.preserves-resid map.simps(2) uU
      by presburger
    assume U: U  $\neq$  []
    show ?thesis
    proof
      show map (u # U)  $\frown$ B F t
        using uU U A.Con-sym1 B.con-sym preserves-Resid1-ind by blast
      show map ((u # U) * \A1 t) = map (u # U) \B F t
      proof -

```

have $\text{map } ((u \# U) * \backslash_A^1 t) = \text{map } ((u \backslash_A t) \# U * \backslash_A^1 (t \backslash_A u))$
using $uU U A.\text{Resid}x1\text{-as-Resid } A.\text{Resid-rec}(2)$ **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = F (u \backslash_A t) \cdot_B \text{map } (U * \backslash_A^1 (t \backslash_A u))$
by (*metis* $A.\text{Resid}x1\text{-as-Resid } A.\text{arr-char } U A.\text{Con-imp-Arr-Resid}$
 $A.\text{Con-rec}(2) A.\text{Resid-rec}(2) \text{list.exhaust map.simps}(3) uU$)
also have $\dots = F (u \backslash_A t) \cdot_B \text{map } U \backslash_B F (t \backslash_A u)$
using $uU U \text{ind } A.\text{Con-rec}(2) A.\text{Resid}x1\text{-as-Resid}$ **by** *force*
also have $\dots = (F u \backslash_B F t) \cdot_B \text{map } U \backslash_B (F t \backslash_B F u)$
using $uU U$
by (*metis* $A.\text{Con-initial-right } A.\text{Con-rec}(1) A.\text{Con-sym1 } A.\text{Resid}1x\text{-as-Resid}'$
 $A.\text{Resid}x1\text{-as-Resid } F.\text{preserves-resid}$)
also have $\dots = (F u \cdot_B \text{map } U) \backslash_B F t$
by (*metis* $B.\text{comp-null}(2) B.\text{composable-iff-comp-not-null } B.\text{con-compI}(2) B.\text{con-sym}$
 $B.\text{mediating-transition } B.\text{null-is-zero}(2) B.\text{resid-comp}(2) B.\text{con-def}$)
also have $\dots = \text{map } (u \# U) \backslash_B F t$
by (*metis* $A.\text{Con-implies-Arr}(2) A.\text{Con-sym } A.\text{Resid}x1\text{-as-Resid } U$
 $A.\text{arr-char map.simps}(3) \text{neg-Nil-conv } uU$)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma *preserves-resid-ind*:

shows $A.\text{con } T U \implies \text{map } T \frown_B \text{map } U \wedge \text{map } (T * \backslash_A^* U) = \text{map } T \backslash_B \text{map } U$
proof (*induct* T *arbitrary*: U)
show $\bigwedge U. A.\text{con } [] U \implies \text{map } [] \frown_B \text{map } U \wedge \text{map } ([] * \backslash_A^* U) = \text{map } [] \backslash_B \text{map } U$
using $A.\text{con-char } A.\text{Resid.simps}(1)$ **by** *blast*
fix $t T U$
assume tT : $A.\text{con } (t \# T) U$
assume ind : $\bigwedge U. A.\text{con } T U \implies$
 $\text{map } T \frown_B \text{map } U \wedge \text{map } (T * \backslash_A^* U) = \text{map } T \backslash_B \text{map } U$
show $\text{map } (t \# T) \frown_B \text{map } U \wedge \text{map } ((t \# T) * \backslash_A^* U) = \text{map } (t \# T) \backslash_B \text{map } U$
proof (*cases* $T = []$)
assume T : $T = []$
show *?thesis*
using $T tT$
apply *simp*
by (*metis* $A.\text{Resid}1x\text{-as-Resid } A.\text{Resid}x1\text{-as-Resid } A.\text{con-char}$
 $A.\text{Con-sym } A.\text{Con-sym1 map.simps}(2) \text{preserves-Resid}1x\text{-ind}$)
next
assume T : $T \neq []$
have 1 : $\text{map } (t \# T) = F t \cdot_B \text{map } T$
using $tT T$
by (*metis* $A.\text{con-implies-arr}(1) \text{list.exhaust map.simps}(3)$)
show *?thesis*
proof
show 2 : $B.\text{con } (\text{map } (t \# T)) (\text{map } U)$
using $T tT$

by (*metis* 1 *A.Con-cons*(1) *A.Residx1-as-Resid* *A.con-char* *A.not-arr-null*
A.null-char *B.composable-iff-comp-not-null* *B.con-compI*(2) *B.con-sym*
B.not-arr-null preserves-arr ind preserves-Residx1-ind *A.con-implies-arr*(1–2))
show $\text{map } ((t \# T) * \setminus_A^* U) = \text{map } (t \# T) \setminus_B \text{map } U$
proof –
have $\text{map } ((t \# T) * \setminus_A^* U) = \text{map } ((([t] * \setminus_A^* U) @ (T * \setminus_A^* (U * \setminus_A^* [t])))$
by (*metis* *A.Resid.simps*(1) *A.Resid-cons*(1) *A.con-char* *A.ex-un-null* *tT*)
also have $\dots = \text{map } ([t] * \setminus_A^* U) \cdot_B \text{map } (T * \setminus_A^* (U * \setminus_A^* [t]))$
by (*metis* *A.Arr.simps*(1) *A.Con-imp-Arr-Resid* *A.Con-implies-Arr*(2) *A.Con-sym*
A.Resid-cons(1–2) *A.con-char* *T preserves-comp* *tT*)
also have $\dots = (\text{map } [t] \setminus_B \text{map } U) \cdot_B \text{map } (T * \setminus_A^* (U * \setminus_A^* [t]))$
by (*metis* *A.Con-initial-right* *A.Con-sym* *A.Residx1-as-Resid*
A.Residx1-as-Resid *A.con-char* *A.Con-sym1* *map.simps*(2)
preserves-Residx1-ind *tT*)
also have $\dots = (\text{map } [t] \setminus_B \text{map } U) \cdot_B (\text{map } T \setminus_B \text{map } (U * \setminus_A^* [t]))$
using *tT T ind*
by (*metis* *A.Con-cons*(1) *A.Con-sym* *A.Resid.simps*(1) *A.con-char*)
also have $\dots = (\text{map } [t] \setminus_B \text{map } U) \cdot_B (\text{map } T \setminus_B (\text{map } U \setminus_B \text{map } [t]))$
using *tT T*
by (*metis* *A.Con-cons*(1) *A.Con-sym* *A.Resid.simps*(2) *A.Residx1-as-Resid*
A.con-char *map.simps*(2) *preserves-Residx1-ind*)
also have $\dots = (F t \setminus_B \text{map } U) \cdot_B (\text{map } T \setminus_B (\text{map } U \setminus_B F t))$
using *tT T* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{map } (t \# T) \setminus_B \text{map } U$
using 1 2 *B.resid-comp*(2) **by** *presburger*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma *preserves-con*:
assumes *A.con* *T U*
shows $\text{map } T \frown_B \text{map } U$
using *assms preserves-resid-ind* **by** *simp*

lemma *preserves-resid*:
assumes *A.con* *T U*
shows $\text{map } (T * \setminus_A^* U) = \text{map } T \setminus_B \text{map } U$
using *assms preserves-resid-ind* **by** *simp*

sublocale *simulation* *A.Resid* *resid_B* *map*
using *A.con-char preserves-con preserves-resid extensional*
by *unfold-locales auto*

sublocale *simulation-to-extensional-rts* *A.Resid* *resid_B* *map* ..

lemma *is-universal*:
assumes *rts-with-composites* *resid_B* **and** *simulation* *resid_A* *resid_B* *F*

```

shows  $\exists! F'. \text{simulation } A.\text{Resid } \text{resid}_B F' \wedge F' \circ A.\text{incl} = F$ 
proof
  interpret  $B: \text{rts-with-composites } \text{resid}_B$ 
    using assms by auto
  interpret  $F: \text{simulation } \text{resid}_A \text{resid}_B F$ 
    using assms by auto
  show  $\text{simulation } A.\text{Resid } \text{resid}_B \text{map} \wedge \text{map} \circ A.\text{incl} = F$ 
    using map-o-incl-eq simulation-axioms by auto
  show  $\bigwedge F'. \text{simulation } A.\text{Resid } \text{resid}_B F' \wedge F' \circ A.\text{incl} = F \implies F' = \text{map}$ 
  proof
    fix  $F' T$ 
    assume  $F': \text{simulation } A.\text{Resid } \text{resid}_B F' \wedge F' \circ A.\text{incl} = F$ 
    interpret  $F': \text{simulation } A.\text{Resid } \text{resid}_B F'$ 
      using  $F'$  by simp
    show  $F' T = \text{map } T$ 
    proof (induct  $T$ )
      show  $F' [] = \text{map } []$ 
        by (simp add: A.arr-char F'.extensional)
      fix  $t T$ 
      assume ind:  $F' T = \text{map } T$ 
      show  $F' (t \# T) = \text{map } (t \# T)$ 
      proof (cases  $A.\text{Arr } (t \# T)$ )
        show  $\neg A.\text{Arr } (t \# T) \implies ?thesis$ 
          by (simp add: A.arr-char F'.extensional extensional)
        assume  $tT: A.\text{Arr } (t \# T)$ 
        show  $?thesis$ 
        proof (cases  $T = []$ )
          show  $2: T = [] \implies ?thesis$ 
            using  $F' tT$  by auto
          assume  $T: T \neq []$ 
          have  $F' (t \# T) = F' [t] \cdot_B \text{map } T$ 
          proof -
            have  $F' (t \# T) = F' ([t] @ T)$ 
              by simp
            also have  $\dots = F' [t] \cdot_B F' T$ 
            proof -
              have  $A.\text{composite-of } [t] T ([t] @ T)$ 
                using  $T tT$ 
                by (metis (full-types) A.Arr.simps(2) A.Con-Arr-self
                  A.append-is-composite-of A.Con-implies-Arr(1) A.Con-imp-eq-Srcs
                  A.Con-rec(4) A.Resid-rec(1) A.Srcs-Resid A.seq-char A.R.arrI)
              thus  $?thesis$ 
                using  $F'.\text{preserves-composites [of } [t] T [t] @ T] B.\text{comp-is-composite-of}$ 
                by auto
            qed
          also have  $\dots = F' [t] \cdot_B \text{map } T$ 
            using  $T \text{ind}$  by simp
          finally show  $?thesis$  by simp
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

    also have ... = (F' o A.incl) t ·B map T
      using tT
    by (simp add: A.arr-char A.null-char F'.extensional)
    also have ... = F t ·B map T
      using F' by simp
    also have ... = map (t # T)
      using T tT
    by (metis A.arr-char list.exhaust map.simps(3))
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
end

lemma composite-completion-of-rts:
  assumes rts A
  shows  $\exists (C :: 'a \text{ list resid}) I. \text{rts-with-composites } C \wedge \text{simulation } A C I \wedge$ 
     $(\forall B (J :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c). \text{extensional-rts-with-composites } B \wedge \text{simulation } A B J$ 
       $\longrightarrow (\exists ! J'. \text{simulation } C B J' \wedge J' o I = J))$ 
  proof (intro exI conjI)
    interpret A: rts A
      using assms by auto
    interpret PA: paths-in-rts A ..
    show rts-with-composites PA.Resid
      using PA.rts-with-composites-axioms by simp
    show simulation A PA.Resid PA.incl
      using PA.incl-is-simulation by simp
    show  $\forall B (J :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c). \text{extensional-rts-with-composites } B \wedge \text{simulation } A B J$ 
       $\longrightarrow (\exists ! J'. \text{simulation } P_A.\text{Resid } B J' \wedge J' o P_A.\text{incl} = J)$ 
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix B :: 'c resid and J
      assume 1: extensional-rts-with-composites B  $\wedge$  simulation A B J
      interpret B: extensional-rts-with-composites B
        using 1 by simp
      interpret J: simulation A B J
        using 1 by simp
      interpret J: extension-of-simulation A B J
        ..
      have simulation PA.Resid B J.map
        using J.simulation-axioms by simp
      moreover have J.map o PA.incl = J
        using J.map-o-incl-eq by auto
      moreover have  $\bigwedge J'. \text{simulation } P_A.\text{Resid } B J' \wedge J' o P_A.\text{incl} = J \implies J' = J.\text{map}$ 
        using 1 B.rts-with-composites-axioms J.is-universal J.simulation-axioms
          calculation(2)
    end
  end

```

by *blast*
ultimately show $\exists !J'. \text{simulation } P_A.\text{Resid } B \ J' \wedge J' \circ P_A.\text{incl} = J$ by *auto*
qed
qed

2.6 Constructions on RTS's

2.6.1 Products of RTS's

locale *product-rts* =
 A: *rts* *A* +
 B: *rts* *B*
for *A* :: '*a* resid (infix \setminus_A 70)
and *B* :: '*b* resid (infix \setminus_B 70)
begin

 notation *A*.*con* (infix \frown_A 50)
 notation *A*.*prfx* (infix \lesssim_A 50)
 notation *A*.*cong* (infix \sim_A 50)

 notation *B*.*con* (infix \frown_B 50)
 notation *B*.*prfx* (infix \lesssim_B 50)
 notation *B*.*cong* (infix \sim_B 50)

 type-synonym ('*c*, '*d*) *arr* = '*c* * '*d*

 abbreviation (*input*) *Null* :: ('*a*, '*b*) *arr*
 where *Null* \equiv (*A*.*null*, *B*.*null*)

 definition *resid* :: ('*a*, '*b*) *arr* \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*b*) *arr* \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*b*) *arr*
 where *resid* *t u* = (if *fst t* \frown_A *fst u* \wedge *snd t* \frown_B *snd u*
 then (*fst t* \setminus_A *fst u*, *snd t* \setminus_B *snd u*)
 else *Null*)

 notation *resid* (infix \setminus 70)

 sublocale *partial-magma resid*
 by *unfold-locales*
 (*metis* *A*.*con*-*implies-arr*(1-2) *A*.*not-arr-null* *fst-conv* *resid-def*)

 lemma *is-partial-magma*:
 shows *partial-magma resid*
 ..

 lemma *null-char* [*simp*]:
 shows *null* = *Null*
 by (*metis* *B*.*null-is-zero*(1) *B*.*residuation-axioms* *ex-un-null* *null-is-zero*(1)
 resid-def *residuation.conE* *snd-conv*)

sublocale *residuation resid*

proof

show $\bigwedge t u. t \setminus u \neq \text{null} \implies u \setminus t \neq \text{null}$

by (*metis* *A.con-def* *A.con-sym* *null-char* *prod.inject* *resid-def* *B.con-sym*)

show $\bigwedge t u. t \setminus u \neq \text{null} \implies (t \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) \neq \text{null}$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *A.arrE* *B.con-def* *B.con-imp-arr-resid* *fst-conv* *null-char* *resid-def* *A.arr-resid* *snd-conv*)

show $\bigwedge v t u. (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \neq \text{null} \implies (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

proof –

fix *t u v*

assume *1*: $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \neq \text{null}$

have $(fst\ v \setminus_A\ fst\ t) \setminus_A\ (fst\ u \setminus_A\ fst\ t) \neq A.\text{null}$

by (*metis* *1* *A.not-arr-null* *fst-conv* *null-char* *null-is-zero(1-2)* *resid-def* *A.arr-resid*)

moreover have $(snd\ v \setminus_B\ snd\ t) \setminus_B\ (snd\ u \setminus_B\ snd\ t) \neq B.\text{null}$

by (*metis* *1* *B.not-arr-null* *snd-conv* *null-char* *null-is-zero(1-2)* *resid-def* *B.arr-resid*)

ultimately show $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

using *resid-def* *null-char* *A.con-def* *B.con-def* *A.cube* *B.cube*

apply *simp*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *A.conI* *A.con-sym-ax* *A.resid-reflects-con* *B.con-sym-ax* *B.null-is-zero(1)*)

qed

qed

lemma *is-residuation*:

shows *residuation resid*

..

notation *con* (infix \frown 50)

lemma *arr-char* [*iff*]:

shows $arr\ t \iff A.arr\ (fst\ t) \wedge B.arr\ (snd\ t)$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *A.arr-def* *B.arr-def* *B.conE* *null-char* *resid-def* *residuation.arr-def* *residuation.con-def* *residuation-axioms* *snd-eqD*)

lemma *ide-char* [*iff*]:

shows $ide\ t \iff A.ide\ (fst\ t) \wedge B.ide\ (snd\ t)$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *A.residuation-axioms* *B.residuation-axioms* *arr-char* *arr-def* *fst-conv* *null-char* *prod.collapse* *resid-def* *residuation.conE* *residuation.ide-def* *residuation.ide-implies-arr* *residuation-axioms* *snd-conv*)

lemma *con-char* [*iff*]:

shows $t \frown u \iff fst\ t \frown_A\ fst\ u \wedge snd\ t \frown_B\ snd\ u$

by (*simp* *add*: *B.residuation-axioms* *con-def* *resid-def* *residuation.con-def*)

lemma *trg-char*:

shows $trg\ t = (\text{if } arr\ t \text{ then } (A.trg\ (fst\ t), B.trg\ (snd\ t)) \text{ else } Null)$

using *A.trg-def* *B.trg-def* *resid-def* *trg-def* **by** *auto*

sublocale *rts resid*

proof

show $\bigwedge t. \text{arr } t \implies \text{ide } (\text{trg } t)$

by (*simp add: trg-char*)

show $1: \bigwedge a t. \llbracket \text{ide } a; t \frown a \rrbracket \implies t \setminus a = t$

by (*simp add: A.resid-arr-ide B.resid-arr-ide resid-def*)

thus $\bigwedge a t. \llbracket \text{ide } a; a \frown t \rrbracket \implies \text{ide } (a \setminus t)$

using *arr-resid cube*

apply (*elim ideE, intro ideI*)

apply *auto*

by (*metis 1 conI con-sym-ax ideI null-is-zero(2)*)

show $\bigwedge t u. t \frown u \implies \exists a. \text{ide } a \wedge a \frown t \wedge a \frown u$

proof –

fix *t u*

assume *tu: t \frown u*

obtain *a1* **where** *a1: a1 \in A.sources (fst t) \cap A.sources (fst u)*

by (*meson A.con-imp-common-source all-not-in-conv con-char tu*)

obtain *a2* **where** *a2: a2 \in B.sources (snd t) \cap B.sources (snd u)*

by (*meson B.con-imp-common-source all-not-in-conv con-char tu*)

have $\text{ide } (a1, a2) \wedge (a1, a2) \frown t \wedge (a1, a2) \frown u$

using *a1 a2 ide-char con-char*

by (*metis A.con-imp-common-source A.in-sourcesE A.sources-eqI*

B.con-imp-common-source B.in-sourcesE B.sources-eqI con-sym

fst-conv inf-idem snd-conv tu)

thus $\exists a. \text{ide } a \wedge a \frown t \wedge a \frown u$ **by** *blast*

qed

show $\bigwedge t u v. \llbracket \text{ide } (t \setminus u); u \frown v \rrbracket \implies t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u$

proof –

fix *t u v*

assume *tu: ide (t \setminus u)*

assume *uv: u \frown v*

have $A.\text{ide } (\text{fst } t \setminus_A \text{fst } u) \wedge B.\text{ide } (\text{snd } t \setminus_B \text{snd } u)$

using *tu ide-char*

by (*metis conI con-char fst-eqD ide-implies-arr not-arr-null resid-def snd-conv*)

moreover **have** $\text{fst } u \frown_A \text{fst } v \wedge \text{snd } u \frown_B \text{snd } v$

using *uv con-char* **by** *blast*

ultimately **show** $t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u$

by (*simp add: A.con-target A.con-sym A.prfx-implies-con*

B.con-target B.con-sym B.prfx-implies-con resid-def)

qed

qed

lemma *is-rts:*

shows *rts resid*

..

notation *prfx* (infix \lesssim 50)

notation *cong* (infix \sim 50)

lemma *sources-char*:

shows $\text{sources } t = A.\text{sources } (fst\ t) \times B.\text{sources } (snd\ t)$
by *force*

lemma *targets-char*:

shows $\text{targets } t = A.\text{targets } (fst\ t) \times B.\text{targets } (snd\ t)$

proof

show $\text{targets } t \subseteq A.\text{targets } (fst\ t) \times B.\text{targets } (snd\ t)$

using *targets-def ide-char con-char resid-def trg-char trg-def* **by** *auto*

show $A.\text{targets } (fst\ t) \times B.\text{targets } (snd\ t) \subseteq \text{targets } t$

proof

fix *a*

assume *a*: $a \in A.\text{targets } (fst\ t) \times B.\text{targets } (snd\ t)$

show $a \in \text{targets } t$

proof

show *ide a*

using *a ide-char* **by** *auto*

show $\text{trg } t \frown a$

using *a trg-char con-char* [*of trg t a*]

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) SigmaE arr-char con-char con-implies-arr(1)*

fst-conv A.in-targetsE B.in-targetsE A.arr-resid-iff-con B.arr-resid-iff-con

A.trg-def B.trg-def snd-conv)

qed

qed

qed

lemma *prfx-char*:

shows $t \lesssim u \iff fst\ t \lesssim_A fst\ u \wedge snd\ t \lesssim_B snd\ u$

using *A.prfx-implies-con B.prfx-implies-con resid-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *cong-char*:

shows $t \sim u \iff fst\ t \sim_A fst\ u \wedge snd\ t \sim_B snd\ u$

using *prfx-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *join-of-char*:

shows $\text{join-of } t\ u\ v \iff A.\text{join-of } (fst\ t)\ (fst\ u)\ (fst\ v) \wedge B.\text{join-of } (snd\ t)\ (snd\ u)\ (snd\ v)$

and $\text{joinable } t\ u \iff A.\text{joinable } (fst\ t)\ (fst\ u) \wedge B.\text{joinable } (snd\ t)\ (snd\ u)$

proof –

show $\bigwedge v. \text{join-of } t\ u\ v \iff$

$A.\text{join-of } (fst\ t)\ (fst\ u)\ (fst\ v) \wedge B.\text{join-of } (snd\ t)\ (snd\ u)\ (snd\ v)$

proof

fix *v*

show $\text{join-of } t\ u\ v \implies$

$A.\text{join-of } (fst\ t)\ (fst\ u)\ (fst\ v) \wedge B.\text{join-of } (snd\ t)\ (snd\ u)\ (snd\ v)$

proof –

assume *1*: $\text{join-of } t\ u\ v$

have *2*: $t \frown u \wedge t \frown v \wedge u \frown v \wedge u \frown t \wedge v \frown t \wedge v \frown u$

by (*meson 1 bounded-imp-con con-prfx-composite-of(1) join-ofE con-sym*)

```

show A.join-of (fst t) (fst u) (fst v)  $\wedge$  B.join-of (snd t) (snd u) (snd v)
using 1 2 prfx-char resid-def
by (elim conjE join-ofE composite-ofE congE conE,
      intro conjI A.join-ofI B.join-ofI A.composite-ofI B.composite-ofI)
    auto
qed
show A.join-of (fst t) (fst u) (fst v)  $\wedge$  B.join-of (snd t) (snd u) (snd v)
       $\implies$  join-of t u v
using cong-char resid-def
by (elim conjE A.join-ofE B.join-ofE A.composite-ofE B.composite-ofE,
      intro join-ofI composite-ofI)
    auto
qed
thus joinable t u  $\iff$  A.joinable (fst t) (fst u)  $\wedge$  B.joinable (snd t) (snd u)
using joinable-def A.joinable-def B.joinable-def by simp
qed

```

end

locale product-of-weakly-extensional-rts =

A: weakly-extensional-rts A +

B: weakly-extensional-rts B +

product-rts

begin

sublocale weakly-extensional-rts resid

proof

show $\bigwedge t u. \llbracket t \sim u; \text{ide } t; \text{ide } u \rrbracket \implies t = u$

by (metis cong-char ide-char prod.exhaust-sel A.weak-extensionality B.weak-extensionality)

qed

lemma is-weakly-extensional-rts:

shows weakly-extensional-rts resid

..

lemma src-char:

shows src t = (if arr t then (A.src (fst t), B.src (snd t)) else null)

proof (cases arr t)

show \neg arr t \implies ?thesis

using src-def **by** presburger

assume t: arr t

show ?thesis

using t con-char arr-char

by (intro src-eqI) auto

qed

end

locale product-of-extensional-rts =


```

A: extensional-rts A +
B: extensional-rts B +
product-of-weakly-extensional-rts
begin

sublocale extensional-rts resid
proof
  show  $\bigwedge t u. t \sim u \implies t = u$ 
  by (metis A.extensional B.extensional cong-char prod.collapse)
qed

lemma is-extensional-rts:
shows extensional-rts resid
..

end

```

Product Simulations

```

locale product-simulation =
  A1: rts A1 +
  A0: rts A0 +
  B1: rts B1 +
  B0: rts B0 +
  A1xA0: product-rts A1 A0 +
  B1xB0: product-rts B1 B0 +
  F1: simulation A1 B1 F1 +
  F0: simulation A0 B0 F0
for A1 :: 'a1 resid (infix \ $\backslash_{A1}$  70)
and A0 :: 'a0 resid (infix \ $\backslash_{A0}$  70)
and B1 :: 'b1 resid (infix \ $\backslash_{B1}$  70)
and B0 :: 'b0 resid (infix \ $\backslash_{B0}$  70)
and F1 :: 'a1  $\Rightarrow$  'b1
and F0 :: 'a0  $\Rightarrow$  'b0
begin

definition map
where map = ( $\lambda a.$  if A1xA0.arr a then (F1 (fst a), F0 (snd a))
             else (F1 A1.null, F0 A0.null))

lemma map-simp [simp]:
assumes A1.arr a1 and A0.arr a0
shows map (a1, a0) = (F1 a1, F0 a0)
  using assms map-def by auto

sublocale simulation A1xA0.resid B1xB0.resid map
proof
  show  $\bigwedge t. \neg A1xA0.arr t \implies map t = B1xB0.null$ 
  using map-def F1.extensional F0.extensional by auto

```

```

show  $\bigwedge t u. A1xA0.con\ t\ u \implies B1xB0.con\ (map\ t)\ (map\ u)$ 
  using A1xA0.con-char B1xB0.con-char A1.con-implies-arr A0.con-implies-arr by auto
show  $\bigwedge t u. A1xA0.con\ t\ u \implies map\ (A1xA0.resid\ t\ u) = B1xB0.resid\ (map\ t)\ (map\ u)$ 
  using A1xA0.resid-def B1xB0.resid-def A1.con-implies-arr A0.con-implies-arr
  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma is-simulation:
shows simulation A1xA0.resid B1xB0.resid map
  ..

```

end

Binary Simulations

```

locale binary-simulation =
  A1: rts A1 +
  A0: rts A0 +
  A: product-rts A1 A0 +
  B: rts B +
  simulation A.resid B F
for A1 :: 'a1 resid (infix \ $\setminus_{A1}$  70)
and A0 :: 'a0 resid (infix \ $\setminus_{A0}$  70)
and B :: 'b resid (infix \ $\setminus_B$  70)
and F :: 'a1 * 'a0  $\Rightarrow$  'b
begin

```

```

lemma fixing-ide-gives-simulation-1:
assumes A1.ide a1
shows simulation A0 B ( $\lambda t0. F (a1, t0)$ )

```

proof

```

  show  $\bigwedge t0. \neg A0.arr\ t0 \implies F (a1, t0) = B.null$ 
    using assms extensional A.arr-char by simp
  show  $\bigwedge t0 u0. A0.con\ t0\ u0 \implies B.con\ (F (a1, t0))\ (F (a1, u0))$ 
    using assms A.con-char preserves-con by auto
  show  $\bigwedge t0 u0. A0.con\ t0\ u0 \implies F (a1, t0 \setminus_{A0} u0) = F (a1, t0) \setminus_B F (a1, u0)$ 
    using assms A.con-char A.resid-def preserves-resid
    by (metis A1.ideE fst-conv snd-conv)

```

qed

```

lemma fixing-ide-gives-simulation-0:
assumes A0.ide a0
shows simulation A1 B ( $\lambda t1. F (t1, a0)$ )

```

proof

```

  show  $\bigwedge t1. \neg A1.arr\ t1 \implies F (t1, a0) = B.null$ 
    using assms extensional A.arr-char by simp
  show  $\bigwedge t1 u1. A1.con\ t1\ u1 \implies B.con\ (F (t1, a0))\ (F (u1, a0))$ 
    using assms A.con-char preserves-con by auto
  show  $\bigwedge t1 u1. A1.con\ t1\ u1 \implies F (t1 \setminus_{A1} u1, a0) = F (t1, a0) \setminus_B F (u1, a0)$ 

```

```

    using assms A.con-char A.resid-def preserves-resid
    by (metis A0.ideE fst-conv snd-conv)
qed

```

end

2.6.2 Sub-RTS's

```

locale sub-rts =
  R: rts R
  for R :: 'a resid    (infix \ $\setminus_R$  70)
  and Arr :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
  assumes inclusion: Arr t  $\Longrightarrow$  R.arr t
  and sources-closed: Arr t  $\Longrightarrow$  R.sources t  $\subseteq$  Collect Arr
  and resid-closed:  $\llbracket$ Arr t; Arr u; R.con t u $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$  Arr (t  $\setminus_R$  u)
  begin

  notation R.con    (infix  $\frown_R$  50)
  notation R.prfx   (infix  $\lesssim_R$  50)
  notation R.cong    (infix  $\sim_R$  50)

  definition resid (infix  $\setminus$  70)
  where t  $\setminus$  u  $\equiv$  (if Arr t  $\wedge$  Arr u  $\wedge$  t  $\frown_R$  u then t  $\setminus_R$  u else R.null)

  sublocale partial-magma resid
  by unfold-locales
     (metis R.ex-un-null R.null-is-zero(2) resid-def)

  lemma is-partial-magma:
  shows partial-magma resid
  ..

  lemma null-char [simp]:
  shows null = R.null
  by (metis R.null-is-zero(1) ex-un-null null-is-zero(1) resid-def)

  sublocale residuation resid
  proof
  show  $\bigwedge t u. t \setminus u \neq \text{null} \Longrightarrow u \setminus t \neq \text{null}$ 
  by (metis R.con-sym R.con-sym-ax null-char resid-def)
  show  $\bigwedge t u. t \setminus u \neq \text{null} \Longrightarrow (t \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u) \neq \text{null}$ 
  by (metis R.arrE R.arr-resid R.not-arr-null null-char resid-closed resid-def)
  show  $\bigwedge v t u. (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) \neq \text{null} \Longrightarrow (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$ 
  by (metis R.cube R.ex-un-null R.null-is-zero(1) R.residuation-axioms null-is-zero(2)
      resid-closed resid-def residuation.conE residuation.conI)
  qed

  lemma is-residuation:
  shows residuation resid

```

..

notation *con* (infix \frown 50)

lemma *arr-char* [iff]:

shows $arr\ t \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t$

proof

show $arr\ t \implies Arr\ t$

by (*metis arrE conE null-char resid-def*)

show $Arr\ t \implies arr\ t$

by (*metis R.arrE R.conE conI con-implies-arr(2) inclusion null-char resid-def*)

qed

lemma *ide-char* [iff]:

shows $ide\ t \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t \wedge R.ide\ t$

by (*metis R.ide-def arrE arr-char conE ide-def null-char resid-def*)

lemma *con-char* [iff]:

shows $t \frown u \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge t \frown_R u$

using *con-def resid-def by auto*

lemma *trg-char*:

shows $trg\ t = (if\ arr\ t\ then\ R.trg\ t\ else\ null)$

using *R.trg-def arr-def resid-def trg-def by force*

sublocale *rts resid*

proof

show $\bigwedge t. arr\ t \implies ide\ (trg\ t)$

by (*metis R.ide-trg arrE arr-char arr-resid ide-char inclusion trg-char trg-def*)

show $\bigwedge a\ t. \llbracket ide\ a; t \frown a \rrbracket \implies t \setminus a = t$

by (*simp add: R.resid-arr-ide resid-def*)

show $\bigwedge a\ t. \llbracket ide\ a; a \frown t \rrbracket \implies ide\ (a \setminus t)$

by (*metis R.resid-ide-arr arr-resid-iff-con arr-char con-char ide-char resid-def*)

show $\bigwedge t\ u. t \frown u \implies \exists a. ide\ a \wedge a \frown t \wedge a \frown u$

by (*metis (full-types) R.con-imp-coinitial-ax R.con-sym R.in-sourcesI con-char ide-char in-mono mem-Collect-eq sources-closed*)

show $\bigwedge t\ u\ v. \llbracket ide\ (t \setminus u); u \frown v \rrbracket \implies t \setminus u \frown v \setminus u$

by (*metis R.con-target arr-resid-iff-con con-char con-sym ide-char ide-implies-arr resid-closed resid-def*)

qed

lemma *is-rts*:

shows *rts resid*

..

notation *prfx* (infix \lesssim 50)

notation *cong* (infix \sim 50)

lemma *sources-char*_{SRTS}:

shows $sources\ t = \{a. Arr\ t \wedge a \in R.sources\ t\}$
using *sources-closed* **by** *auto*

lemma *targets-char_{SRTS}*:

shows $targets\ t = \{b. Arr\ t \wedge b \in R.targets\ t\}$

proof

show $targets\ t \subseteq \{b. Arr\ t \wedge b \in R.targets\ t\}$

proof

fix b

assume $b: b \in targets\ t$

show $b \in \{b. Arr\ t \wedge b \in R.targets\ t\}$

proof

have $Arr\ t$

using *arr-iff-has-target* **by** *force*

moreover have $Arr\ b$

using b **by** *blast*

moreover have $b \in R.targets\ t$

by (*metis* *R.in-targetsI* *b* *calculation(1)* *con-char in-targetsE*
arr-char ide-char trg-char)

ultimately show $Arr\ t \wedge b \in R.targets\ t$ **by** *blast*

qed

qed

show $\{b. Arr\ t \wedge b \in R.targets\ t\} \subseteq targets\ t$

proof

fix b

assume $b: b \in \{b. Arr\ t \wedge b \in R.targets\ t\}$

show $b \in targets\ t$

proof (*intro in-targetsI*)

show *ide* b

using b

by (*metis* *R.arrE ide-char inclusion mem-Collect-eq R.sources-resid*
R.target-is-ide resid-closed sources-closed subset-eq)

show $trg\ t \frown b$

using b

using $\langle ide\ b \rangle$ *ide-trg trg-char* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

qed

lemma *prfx-char_{SRTS}*:

shows $t \lesssim u \iff Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge t \lesssim_R u$

by (*metis* *R.prfx-implies-con con-char ide-char prfx-implies-con resid-closed resid-def*)

lemma *cong-char_{SRTS}*:

shows $t \sim u \iff Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge t \sim_R u$

using *prfx-char_{SRTS}* **by** *force*

lemma *inclusion-is-simulation*:

shows *simulation resid* R ($\lambda t. if\ arr\ t\ then\ t\ else\ null$)

```

using resid-closed resid-def
by unfold-locales auto

interpretation PR: paths-in-rts R
..
interpretation P: paths-in-rts resid
..

lemma path-reflection:
shows  $\llbracket P_R.Arr\ T; set\ T \subseteq Collect\ Arr \rrbracket \implies P.Arr\ T$ 
  apply (induct T)
  apply simp
proof -
  fix t T
  assume ind:  $\llbracket P_R.Arr\ T; set\ T \subseteq Collect\ Arr \rrbracket \implies P.Arr\ T$ 
  assume tT: PR.Arr (t # T)
  assume set: set (t # T)  $\subseteq Collect\ Arr$ 
  have 1: R.arr t
    using tT
    by (metis PR.Arr-imp-arr-hd list.sel(1))
  show P.Arr (t # T)
proof (cases T = [])
  show T = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
    using 1 set by simp
  assume T: T  $\neq$  []
  show ?thesis
proof
  show arr t
    using 1 arr-char set by simp
  show P.Arr T
    using T tT PR.Arr-imp-Arr-til
    by (metis ind insert-subset list.sel(3) list.simps(15) set)
  show targets t  $\subseteq P.Srcs\ T$ 
proof -
  have targets t  $\subseteq R.targets\ t$ 
    using targets-charSRTS by blast
  also have ...  $\subseteq R.sources\ (hd\ T)$ 
    using T tT
    by (metis PR.Arr.simps(3) PR.Srcs-simpP list.collapse)
  also have ...  $\subseteq P.Srcs\ T$ 
    using P.Arr-imp-arr-hd P.Srcs-simpP  $\langle P.Arr\ T \rangle$  sources-charSRTS by force
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed
end

```

```

locale sub-weakly-extensional-rts =
  sub-rts +
  R: weakly-extensional-rts R
begin

  sublocale weakly-extensional-rts resid
    apply unfold-locales
    using R.weak-extensionality cong-charSRTS
    by blast

  lemma is-weakly-extensional-rts:
  shows weakly-extensional-rts resid
    ..

  lemma src-char:
  shows src t = (if arr t then R.src t else null)
  proof (cases arr t)
    show  $\neg \text{arr } t \implies ?thesis$ 
    by (simp add: src-def)
    assume t: arr t
    show ?thesis
  proof (intro src-eqI)
    show ide (if arr t then R.src t else null)
    using t sources-closed inclusion R.src-in-sources
    by (metis (full-types) CollectD R.ide-src arr-char in-mono ide-char)
    show con (if arr t then R.src t else null) t
    using t con-char
    by (metis (full-types) R.con-sym R.in-sourcesE R.src-in-sources
       $\langle \text{ide (if arr t then R.src t else null)} \rangle \text{arr-char ide-char inclusion}$ )
  qed
qed

end

```

Here we justify the terminology “normal sub-RTS”, which was introduced earlier, by showing that a normal sub-RTS really is a sub-RTS.

```

lemma (in normal-sub-rts) is-sub-rts:
shows sub-rts resid ( $\lambda t. t \in \mathfrak{R}$ )
  using elements-are-arr ide-closed
  apply unfold-locales
  apply auto[2]
  by (meson R.con-imp-coinitial R.con-sym forward-stable)

```

end

Chapter 3

The Lambda Calculus

In this second part of the article, we apply the residuated transition system framework developed in the first part to the theory of reductions in Church’s λ -calculus. The underlying idea is to exhibit λ -terms as states (identities) of an RTS, with reduction steps as non-identity transitions. We represent both states and transitions in a unified, variable-free syntax based on de Bruijn indices. A difficulty one faces in regarding the λ -calculus as an RTS is that “elementary reductions”, in which just one redex is contracted, are not preserved by residuation: an elementary reduction can have zero or more residuals along another elementary reduction. However, “parallel reductions”, which permit the contraction of multiple redexes existing in a term to be contracted in a single step, are preserved by residuation. For this reason, in our syntax each term represents a parallel reduction of zero or more redexes; a parallel reduction of zero redexes representing an identity. We have syntactic constructors for variables, λ -abstractions, and applications. An additional constructor represents a β -redex that has been marked for contraction. This is a slightly different approach than that taken by other authors (*e.g.* [1] or [7]), in which it is the application constructor that is marked to indicate a redex to be contracted, but it seems more natural in the present setting in which a single syntax is used to represent both terms and reductions.

Once the syntax has been defined, we define the residuation operation and prove that it satisfies the conditions for a weakly extensional RTS. In this RTS, the source of a term is obtained by “erasing” the markings on redexes, leaving an identity term. The target of a term is the contractum of the parallel reduction it represents. As the definition of residuation involves the use of substitution, a necessary prerequisite is to develop the theory of substitution using de Bruijn indices. In addition, various properties concerning the commutation of residuation and substitution have to be proved. This part of the work has benefited greatly from previous work of Huet [7], in which the theory of residuation was formalized in the proof assistant Coq. In particular, it was very helpful to have already available known-correct statements of various lemmas regarding indices, substitution, and residuation. The development of the theory culminates in the proof of Lévy’s “Cube Lemma” [8], which is the key axiom in the definition of RTS.

Once reductions in the λ -calculus have been cast as transitions of an RTS, we are

able to take advantage of generic results already proved for RTS's; in particular, the construction of the RTS of paths, which represent reduction sequences. Very little additional effort is required at this point to prove the Church-Rosser Theorem. Then, after proving a series of miscellaneous lemmas about reduction paths, we turn to the study of developments. A development of a term is a reduction path from that term in which the only redexes that are contracted are those that are residuals of redexes in the original term. We prove the Finite Developments Theorem: all developments are finite. The proof given here follows that given by de Vrijer [5], except that here we make the adaptations necessary for a syntax based on de Bruijn indices, rather than the classical named-variable syntax used by de Vrijer. Using the Finite Developments Theorem, we define a function that takes a term and constructs a “complete development” of that term, which is a development in which no residuals of original redexes remain to be contracted.

We then turn our attention to “standard reduction paths”, which are reduction paths in which redexes are contracted in a left-to-right order, perhaps with some skips. After giving a definition of standard reduction paths, we define a function that takes a term and constructs a complete development that is also standard. Using this function as a base case, we then define a function that takes an arbitrary parallel reduction path and transforms it into a standard reduction path that is congruent to the given path. The algorithm used is roughly analogous to insertion sort. We use this function to prove strong form of the Standardization Theorem: every reduction path is congruent to a standard reduction path. As a corollary of the Standardization Theorem, we prove the Leftmost Reduction Theorem: leftmost reduction is a normalizing reduction strategy.

It should be noted that, in this article, we consider only the $\lambda\beta$ -calculus. In the early stages of this work, I made an exploratory attempt to incorporate η -reduction as well, but after encountering some unanticipated difficulties I decided not to attempt that extension until the β -only case had been well-developed.

```
theory LambdaCalculus
imports Main ResiduatedTransitionSystem
begin
```

3.1 Syntax

```
locale lambda-calculus
begin
```

The syntax of terms has constructors *Var* for variables, *Lam* for λ -abstraction, and *App* for application. In addition, there is a constructor *Beta* which is used to represent a β -redex that has been marked for contraction. The idea is that a term *Beta t u* represents a marked version of the term *App (Lam t) u*. Finally, there is a constructor *Nil* which is used to represent the null element required for the residuation operation.

```
datatype (discs-sels) lambda =
  Nil
| Var nat
| Lam lambda
| App lambda lambda
```

| *Beta lambda lambda*

The following notation renders $Beta\ t\ u$ as a “marked” version of $App\ (Lam\ t)\ u$, even though the former is a single constructor, whereas the latter contains two constructors.

notation Nil ($\#$)
notation Var ($\langle\!-\!\rangle$)
notation Lam ($\lambda[-]$)
notation App (**infixl** \circ 55)
notation $Beta$ ($(\lambda[-]\ \bullet\ -)$ [55, 56] 55)

The following function computes the set of free variables of a term. Note that since variables are represented by numeric indices, this is a set of numbers.

```
fun FV
where FV  $\#$  = {}
  | FV  $\langle i \rangle$  = {i}
  | FV  $\lambda[t]$  = ( $\lambda n. n - 1$ ) ‘ (FV  $t - \{0\}$ )
  | FV ( $t \circ u$ ) = FV  $t \cup FV\ u$ 
  | FV ( $\lambda[t]\ \bullet\ u$ ) = ( $\lambda n. n - 1$ ) ‘ (FV  $t - \{0\}$ )  $\cup FV\ u$ 
```

3.1.1 Some Orderings for Induction

We will need to do some simultaneous inductions on pairs and triples of subterms of given terms. We prove the well-foundedness of the associated relations using the following size measure.

```
fun size :: lambda  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where size  $\#$  = 0
  | size  $\langle - \rangle$  = 1
  | size  $\lambda[t]$  = size  $t + 1$ 
  | size ( $t \circ u$ ) = size  $t + size\ u + 1$ 
  | size ( $\lambda[t]\ \bullet\ u$ ) = (size  $t + 1$ ) + size  $u + 1$ 
```

lemma *wf-if-img-lt*:

fixes $r :: ('a * 'a)\ set$ **and** $f :: 'a \Rightarrow nat$

assumes $\bigwedge x\ y. (x, y) \in r \Longrightarrow f\ x < f\ y$

shows *wf* r

using *assms*

by (*metis in-measure wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain wf-measure*)

inductive *subterm*

where $\bigwedge t. subterm\ t\ \lambda[t]$

| $\bigwedge t\ u. subterm\ t\ (t \circ u)$

| $\bigwedge t\ u. subterm\ u\ (t \circ u)$

| $\bigwedge t\ u. subterm\ t\ (\lambda[t]\ \bullet\ u)$

| $\bigwedge t\ u. subterm\ u\ (\lambda[t]\ \bullet\ u)$

| $\bigwedge t\ u\ v. [subterm\ t\ u; subterm\ u\ v] \Longrightarrow subterm\ t\ v$

lemma *subterm-implies-smaller*:

shows $subterm\ t\ u \Longrightarrow size\ t < size\ u$

by (*induct rule: subterm.induct*) *auto*

abbreviation *subterm-rel*

where *subterm-rel* $\equiv \{(t, u). \text{subterm } t \ u\}$

lemma *wf-subterm-rel:*

shows *wf subterm-rel*

using *subterm-implies-smaller wf-if-img-lt*

by (*metis case-prod-conv mem-Collect-eq*)

abbreviation *subterm-pair-rel*

where *subterm-pair-rel* $\equiv \{((t1, t2), u1, u2). \text{subterm } t1 \ u1 \ \wedge \ \text{subterm } t2 \ u2\}$

lemma *wf-subterm-pair-rel:*

shows *wf subterm-pair-rel*

using *subterm-implies-smaller*

wf-if-img-lt [of subterm-pair-rel $\lambda(t1, t2). \text{max (size } t1) \ (size \ t2)$]

by *fastforce*

abbreviation *subterm-triple-rel*

where *subterm-triple-rel* \equiv

$\{((t1, t2, t3), u1, u2, u3). \text{subterm } t1 \ u1 \ \wedge \ \text{subterm } t2 \ u2 \ \wedge \ \text{subterm } t3 \ u3\}$

lemma *wf-subterm-triple-rel:*

shows *wf subterm-triple-rel*

using *subterm-implies-smaller*

wf-if-img-lt [of subterm-triple-rel

$\lambda(t1, t2, t3). \text{max (max (size } t1) \ (size \ t2)) \ (size \ t3)]$

by *fastforce*

lemma *subterm-lemmas:*

shows *subterm t $\lambda[t]$*

and *subterm t ($\lambda[t] \circ u$) \wedge subterm u ($\lambda[t] \circ u$)*

and *subterm t ($t \circ u$) \wedge subterm u ($t \circ u$)*

and *subterm t ($\lambda[t] \bullet u$) \wedge subterm u ($\lambda[t] \bullet u$)*

by (*metis subterm.simps*)⁺

3.1.2 Arrows and Identities

Here we define some special classes of terms. An “arrow” is a term that contains no occurrences of *Nil*. An “identity” is an arrow that contains no occurrences of *Beta*. It will be important for the commutation of substitution and residuation later on that substitution not be used in a way that could create any marked redexes; for example, we don’t want the substitution of *Lam* (*Var* *0*) for *Var* *0* in an application *App* (*Var* *0*) (*Var* *0*) to create a new “marked” redex. The use of the separate constructor *Beta* for marked redexes automatically avoids this.

fun *Arr*

where *Arr* $\# = \text{False}$

```

| Arr «-» = True
| Arr λ[t] = Arr t
| Arr (t ∘ u) = (Arr t ∧ Arr u)
| Arr (λ[t] • u) = (Arr t ∧ Arr u)

```

lemma *Arr-not-Nil*:
assumes *Arr t*
shows $t \neq \#$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

```

fun Ide
where Ide # = False
| Ide «-» = True
| Ide λ[t] = Ide t
| Ide (t ∘ u) = (Ide t ∧ Ide u)
| Ide (λ[t] • u) = False

```

lemma *Ide-implies-Arr*:
shows $Ide\ t \implies Arr\ t$
by (*induct t*) *auto*

lemma *ArrE [elim]*:
assumes *Arr t*
and $\bigwedge i. t = \langle i \rangle \implies T$
and $\bigwedge u. t = \lambda[u] \implies T$
and $\bigwedge u\ v. t = u \circ v \implies T$
and $\bigwedge u\ v. t = \lambda[u] \bullet v \implies T$
shows T
using *assms*
by (*cases t*) *auto*

3.1.3 Raising Indices

For substitution, we need to be able to raise the indices of all free variables in a subterm by a specified amount. To do this recursively, we need to keep track of the depth of nesting of λ 's and only raise the indices of variables that are already greater than or equal to that depth, as these are the variables that are free in the current context. This leads to defining a function *Raise* that has two arguments: the depth threshold d and the increment n to be added to indices above that threshold.

```

fun Raise
where Raise - - # = #
| Raise d n «i» = (if  $i \geq d$  then « $i+n$ » else « $i$ »)
| Raise d n λ[t] = λ[Raise (Suc d) n t]
| Raise d n (t ∘ u) = Raise d n t ∘ Raise d n u
| Raise d n (λ[t] • u) = λ[Raise (Suc d) n t] • Raise d n u

```

Ultimately, the definition of substitution will only directly involve the function that raises all indices of variables that are free in the outermost context; in a term, so we introduce an abbreviation for this special case.

abbreviation *raise*
where *raise* == *Raise 0*

lemma *size-Raise*:
shows $\bigwedge d. \text{size } (\text{Raise } d \ n \ t) = \text{size } t$
by (*induct t*) *auto*

lemma *Raise-not-Nil*:
assumes $t \neq \#$
shows $\text{Raise } d \ n \ t \neq \#$
using *assms*
by (*cases t*) *auto*

lemma *FV-Raise*:
shows $FV (\text{Raise } d \ n \ t) = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \geq d \text{ then } x + n \text{ else } x) \text{ ' } FV \ t$
apply (*induct t arbitrary: d n*)
apply *auto[3]*
apply *force*
apply *force*
apply *force*
apply *force*
apply *force*

proof –
fix $t \ u \ d \ n$
assume *ind1*: $\bigwedge d \ n. FV (\text{Raise } d \ n \ t) = (\lambda x. \text{if } d \leq x \text{ then } x + n \text{ else } x) \text{ ' } FV \ t$
assume *ind2*: $\bigwedge d \ n. FV (\text{Raise } d \ n \ u) = (\lambda x. \text{if } d \leq x \text{ then } x + n \text{ else } x) \text{ ' } FV \ u$
have $FV (\text{Raise } d \ n \ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)) =$
 $(\lambda x. x - \text{Suc } 0) \text{ ' } ((\lambda x. x + n) \text{ ' } ($
 $(FV \ t \cap \{x. \text{Suc } d \leq x\}) \cup FV \ t \cap \{x. \neg \text{Suc } d \leq x\} - \{0\}) \cup$
 $((\lambda x. x + n) \text{ ' } (FV \ u \cap \{x. d \leq x\}) \cup FV \ u \cap \{x. \neg d \leq x\}))$
using *ind1 ind2* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = (\lambda x. \text{if } d \leq x \text{ then } x + n \text{ else } x) \text{ ' } FV (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$
by *auto force+*
finally show $FV (\text{Raise } d \ n \ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)) =$
 $(\lambda x. \text{if } d \leq x \text{ then } x + n \text{ else } x) \text{ ' } FV (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$
by *blast*

qed

lemma *Arr-Raise*:
shows $\text{Arr } t \longleftrightarrow \text{Arr } (\text{Raise } d \ n \ t)$
using *FV-Raise*
by (*induct t arbitrary: d n*) *auto*

lemma *Ide-Raise*:
shows $\text{Ide } t \longleftrightarrow \text{Ide } (\text{Raise } d \ n \ t)$
by (*induct t arbitrary: d n*) *auto*

lemma *Raise-0*:
shows $\text{Raise } d \ 0 \ t = t$

by (induct t arbitrary: d) auto

lemma *Raise-Suc*:

shows $\text{Raise } d (\text{Suc } n) t = \text{Raise } d 1 (\text{Raise } d n t)$

by (induct t arbitrary: d n) auto

lemma *Raise-Var*:

shows $\text{Raise } d n \langle i \rangle = \langle \text{if } i < d \text{ then } i \text{ else } i + n \rangle$

by auto

The following development of the properties of raising indices, substitution, and residuation has benefited greatly from the previous work by Huet [7]. In particular, it was very helpful to have correct statements of various lemmas available, rather than having to reconstruct them.

lemma *Raise-plus*:

shows $\text{Raise } d (m + n) t = \text{Raise } (d + m) n (\text{Raise } d m t)$

by (induct t arbitrary: d m n) auto

lemma *Raise-plus'*:

shows $\llbracket d' \leq d + n; d \leq d' \rrbracket \implies \text{Raise } d (m + n) t = \text{Raise } d' m (\text{Raise } d n t)$

by (induct t arbitrary: n m d d') auto

lemma *Raise-Raise*:

shows $i \leq n \implies \text{Raise } i p (\text{Raise } n k t) = \text{Raise } (p + n) k (\text{Raise } i p t)$

by (induct t arbitrary: i k n p) auto

lemma *raise-plus*:

shows $d \leq n \implies \text{raise } (m + n) t = \text{Raise } d m (\text{raise } n t)$

using *Raise-plus'* by auto

lemma *raise-Raise*:

shows $\text{raise } p (\text{Raise } n k t) = \text{Raise } (p + n) k (\text{raise } p t)$

by (simp add: *Raise-Raise*)

lemma *Raise-inj*:

shows $\text{Raise } d n t = \text{Raise } d n u \implies t = u$

proof (induct t arbitrary: d n u)

show $\bigwedge d n u. \text{Raise } d n \# = \text{Raise } d n u \implies \# = u$

by (metis *Raise.simps(1)* *Raise-not-Nil*)

show $\bigwedge x d n. \text{Raise } d n \langle x \rangle = \text{Raise } d n u \implies \langle x \rangle = u$ for u

using *Raise-Var*

apply (cases u, auto)

by (metis *add-lessD1* *add-right-imp-eq*)

show $\bigwedge t d n. \llbracket \bigwedge d n u'. \text{Raise } d n t = \text{Raise } d n u' \rrbracket \implies t = u'$;

$\text{Raise } d n \lambda[t] = \text{Raise } d n u$

$\implies \lambda[t] = u$

for u

apply (cases u, auto)

by (metis *lambda.distinct(9)*)

```

show  $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ d\ n. \llbracket \bigwedge d\ n\ u'. \text{Raise } d\ n\ t1 = \text{Raise } d\ n\ u' \implies t1 = u';$ 
 $\bigwedge d\ n\ u'. \text{Raise } d\ n\ t2 = \text{Raise } d\ n\ u' \implies t2 = u';$ 
 $\text{Raise } d\ n\ (t1 \circ t2) = \text{Raise } d\ n\ u \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies t1 \circ t2 = u$ 

for  $u$ 
apply (cases  $u$ , auto)
by (metis lambda.distinct(11))
show  $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ d\ n. \llbracket \bigwedge d\ n\ u'. \text{Raise } d\ n\ t1 = \text{Raise } d\ n\ u' \implies t1 = u';$ 
 $\bigwedge d\ n\ u'. \text{Raise } d\ n\ t2 = \text{Raise } d\ n\ u' \implies t2 = u';$ 
 $\text{Raise } d\ n\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) = \text{Raise } d\ n\ u \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 = u$ 

for  $u$ 
apply (cases  $u$ , auto)
by (metis lambda.distinct(13))
qed

```

3.1.4 Substitution

Following [7], we now define a generalized substitution operation with adjustment of indices. The ultimate goal is to define the result of contraction of a marked redex $Beta\ t\ u$ to be $subst\ u\ t$. However, to be able to give a proper recursive definition of $subst$, we need to introduce a parameter n to keep track of the depth of nesting of Lam 's as we descend into the term t . So, instead of $subst\ u\ t$ simply substituting u for occurrences of $Var\ 0$, $Subst\ n\ u\ t$ will be substituting for occurrences of $Var\ n$, and the term u will have the indices of its free variables raised by n before replacing $Var\ n$. In addition, any variables in t that have indices greater than n will have these indices lowered by one, to account for the outermost Lam that is being removed by the contraction. We can then define $subst\ u\ t$ to be $Subst\ 0\ u\ t$.

```

fun Subst
where Subst - -  $\# = \#$ 
| Subst  $n\ v\ \langle i \rangle = (\text{if } n < i \text{ then } \langle i-1 \rangle \text{ else if } n = i \text{ then raise } n\ v \text{ else } \langle i \rangle)$ 
| Subst  $n\ v\ \lambda[t] = \lambda[\text{Subst } (Suc\ n)\ v\ t]$ 
| Subst  $n\ v\ (t \circ u) = \text{Subst } n\ v\ t \circ \text{Subst } n\ v\ u$ 
| Subst  $n\ v\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) = \lambda[\text{Subst } (Suc\ n)\ v\ t] \bullet \text{Subst } n\ v\ u$ 

```

```

abbreviation subst
where subst  $\equiv \text{Subst } 0$ 

```

```

lemma Subst-Nil:
shows Subst  $n\ v\ \# = \#$ 
by (cases  $v = \#$ ) auto

```

```

lemma Subst-not-Nil:
assumes  $v \neq \#$  and  $t \neq \#$ 
shows  $t \neq \# \implies \text{Subst } n\ v\ t \neq \#$ 
using assms Raise-not-Nil
by (induct  $t$ ) auto

```

The following expression summarizes how the set of free variables of a term $Subst\ d\ u\ t$, obtained by substituting u into t at depth d , relates to the sets of free variables of t and u . This expression is not used in the subsequent formal development, but it has been left here as an aid to understanding.

abbreviation FVS

where $FVS\ d\ v\ t \equiv (FV\ t \cap \{x. x < d\}) \cup$
 $(\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' } \{x. x > d \wedge x \in FV\ t\} \cup$
 $(\lambda x. x + d) \text{ ' } \{x. d \in FV\ t \wedge x \in FV\ v\}$

lemma $FV\text{-}Subst$:

shows $FV\ (Subst\ d\ v\ t) = FVS\ d\ v\ t$

proof (*induct t arbitrary: d v*)

have $\bigwedge d\ t\ v. (\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' } (FVS\ (Suc\ d)\ v\ t - \{0\}) = FVS\ d\ v\ \lambda[t]$

proof –

fix $d\ t\ v$

have $FVS\ d\ v\ \lambda[t] =$

$(\lambda x. x - Suc\ 0) \text{ ' } (FV\ t - \{0\}) \cap \{x. x < d\} \cup$
 $(\lambda x. x - Suc\ 0) \text{ ' } \{x. d < x \wedge x \in (\lambda x. x - Suc\ 0) \text{ ' } (FV\ t - \{0\})\} \cup$
 $(\lambda x. x + d) \text{ ' } \{x. d \in (\lambda x. x - Suc\ 0) \text{ ' } (FV\ t - \{0\}) \wedge x \in FV\ v\}$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = (\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' } (FVS\ (Suc\ d)\ v\ t - \{0\})$

by *auto force+*

finally show $(\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' } (FVS\ (Suc\ d)\ v\ t - \{0\}) = FVS\ d\ v\ \lambda[t]$

by *metis*

qed

thus $\bigwedge d\ t\ v. (\bigwedge d\ v. FV\ (Subst\ d\ v\ t) = FVS\ d\ v\ t)$
 $\implies FV\ (Subst\ d\ v\ \lambda[t]) = FVS\ d\ v\ \lambda[t]$

by *simp*

have $\bigwedge t\ u\ v\ d. (\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' } (FVS\ (Suc\ d)\ v\ t - \{0\}) \cup FVS\ d\ v\ u = FVS\ d\ v\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$

proof –

fix $t\ u\ v\ d$

have $FVS\ d\ v\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) =$

$((\lambda x. x - Suc\ 0) \text{ ' } (FV\ t - \{0\}) \cup FV\ u) \cap \{x. x < d\} \cup$
 $(\lambda x. x - Suc\ 0) \text{ ' } \{x. d < x \wedge (x \in (\lambda x. x - Suc\ 0) \text{ ' } (FV\ t - \{0\}) \vee x \in FV\ u)\} \cup$
 $(\lambda x. x + d) \text{ ' } \{x. (d \in (\lambda x. x - Suc\ 0) \text{ ' } (FV\ t - \{0\}) \vee d \in FV\ u) \wedge x \in FV\ v\}$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = (\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' } (FVS\ (Suc\ d)\ v\ t - \{0\}) \cup FVS\ d\ v\ u$

by *force*

finally show $(\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' } (FVS\ (Suc\ d)\ v\ t - \{0\}) \cup FVS\ d\ v\ u = FVS\ d\ v\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$

by *metis*

qed

thus $\bigwedge t\ u\ v\ d. [\bigwedge d\ v. FV\ (Subst\ d\ v\ t) = FVS\ d\ v\ t;$
 $\bigwedge d\ v. FV\ (Subst\ d\ v\ u) = FVS\ d\ v\ u]$
 $\implies FV\ (Subst\ d\ v\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)) = FVS\ d\ v\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$

by *simp*

qed (*auto simp add: FV-Raise*)

lemma $Arr\text{-}Subst$:

assumes $Arr\ v$

shows $Arr\ t \implies Arr\ (Subst\ n\ v\ t)$
using *assms Arr-Raise FV-Subst*
by (*induct t arbitrary: n*) *auto*

lemma *vacuous-Subst*:
shows $\llbracket Arr\ v; i \notin FV\ t \rrbracket \implies Raise\ i\ 1\ (Subst\ i\ v\ t) = t$
apply (*induct t arbitrary: i v, auto*)
by *force+*

lemma *Ide-Subst-iff*:
shows $Ide\ (Subst\ n\ v\ t) \iff Ide\ t \wedge (n \in FV\ t \longrightarrow Ide\ v)$
using *Ide-Raise vacuous-Subst*
apply (*induct t arbitrary: n*)
apply *auto[5]*
apply *fastforce*
by (*metis Diff-empty Diff-insert0 One-nat-def diff-Suc-1 image-iff insertE insert-Diff nat.distinct(1)*)

lemma *Ide-Subst*:
shows $\llbracket Ide\ t; Ide\ v \rrbracket \implies Ide\ (Subst\ n\ v\ t)$
using *Ide-Raise*
by (*induct t arbitrary: n*) *auto*

lemma *Raise-Subst*:
shows $Raise\ (p + n)\ k\ (Subst\ p\ v\ t) = Subst\ p\ (Raise\ n\ k\ v)\ (Raise\ (Suc\ (p + n))\ k\ t)$
using *raise-Raise*
apply (*induct t arbitrary: v k n p, auto*)
by (*metis add-Suc*)**+**

lemma *Raise-Subst'*:
assumes $t \neq \#\$
shows $\llbracket v \neq \#\; k \leq n \rrbracket \implies Raise\ k\ p\ (Subst\ n\ v\ t) = Subst\ (p + n)\ v\ (Raise\ k\ p\ t)$
using *assms raise-plus*
apply (*induct t arbitrary: v k n p, auto*)
apply (*metis Raise.simps(1) Subst-Nil Suc-le-mono add-Suc-right*)
apply *fastforce*
apply *fastforce*
apply (*metis Raise.simps(1) Subst-Nil Suc-le-mono add-Suc-right*)
by *fastforce*

lemma *Raise-subst*:
shows $Raise\ n\ k\ (subst\ v\ t) = subst\ (Raise\ n\ k\ v)\ (Raise\ (Suc\ n)\ k\ t)$
using *Raise-0*
apply (*induct t arbitrary: v k n, auto*)
by (*metis One-nat-def Raise-Subst plus-1-eq-Suc*)**+**

lemma *raise-Subst*:
assumes $t \neq \#\$
shows $v \neq \#\ \implies raise\ p\ (Subst\ n\ v\ t) = Subst\ (p + n)\ v\ (raise\ p\ t)$

using *assms Raise-plus raise-Raise Raise-Subst'*
apply (*induct t arbitrary: v n p*)
by (*meson zero-le*)⁺

lemma *Subst-Raise:*

shows $\llbracket v \neq \#; d \leq m; m \leq n + d \rrbracket \implies \text{Subst } m \ v \ (\text{Raise } d \ (\text{Suc } n) \ t) = \text{Raise } d \ n \ t$
by (*induct t arbitrary: v m n d*) *auto*

lemma *Subst-raise:*

shows $\llbracket v \neq \#; m \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{Subst } m \ v \ (\text{raise } (\text{Suc } n) \ t) = \text{raise } n \ t$
using *Subst-Raise*
by (*induct t arbitrary: v m n*) *auto*

lemma *Subst-Subst:*

shows $\llbracket v \neq \#; w \neq \# \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Subst } (m + n) \ w \ (\text{Subst } m \ v \ t) = \text{Subst } m \ (\text{Subst } n \ w \ v) \ (\text{Subst } (\text{Suc } (m + n)) \ w \ t)$
using *Raise-0 raise-Subst Subst-not-Nil Subst-raise*
apply (*induct t arbitrary: v w m n, auto*)
by (*metis add-Suc*)⁺

The Substitution Lemma, as given by Huet [7].

lemma *substitution-lemma:*

shows $\llbracket v \neq \#; w \neq \# \rrbracket \implies \text{Subst } n \ v \ (\text{subst } w \ t) = \text{subst } (\text{Subst } n \ v \ w) \ (\text{Subst } (\text{Suc } n) \ v \ t)$
by (*metis Subst-Subst add-0*)

3.2 Lambda-Calculus as an RTS

3.2.1 Residuation

We now define residuation on terms. Residuation is an operation which, when defined for terms t and u , produces terms $t \setminus u$ and $u \setminus t$ that represent, respectively, what remains of the reductions of t after performing the reductions in u , and what remains of the reductions of u after performing the reductions in t .

The definition ensures that, if residuation is defined for two terms, then those terms in must be arrows that are *coinitial* (*i.e.* they are the same after erasing marks on redexes). The residual $t \setminus u$ then has marked redexes at positions corresponding to redexes that were originally marked in t and that were not contracted by any of the reductions of u .

This definition has also benefited from the presentation in [7].

fun *resid* (**infix** \setminus 70)

where $\llbracket i \rrbracket \setminus \llbracket i' \rrbracket = (\text{if } i = i' \text{ then } \llbracket i \rrbracket \text{ else } \#)$
 $\lambda[t] \setminus \lambda[t'] = (\text{if } t \setminus t' = \# \text{ then } \# \text{ else } \lambda[t \setminus t'])$
 $(t \circ u) \setminus (t' \circ u') = (\text{if } t \setminus t' = \# \vee u \setminus u' = \# \text{ then } \# \text{ else } (t \setminus t') \circ (u \setminus u'))$
 $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) \setminus (\lambda[t'] \bullet u') = (\text{if } t \setminus t' = \# \vee u \setminus u' = \# \text{ then } \# \text{ else } \text{subst } (u \setminus u') \ (t \setminus t'))$
 $(\lambda[t] \circ u) \setminus (\lambda[t'] \bullet u') = (\text{if } t \setminus t' = \# \vee u \setminus u' = \# \text{ then } \# \text{ else } \text{subst } (u \setminus u') \ (t \setminus t'))$
 $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) \setminus (\lambda[t'] \circ u') = (\text{if } t \setminus t' = \# \vee u \setminus u' = \# \text{ then } \# \text{ else } \lambda[t \setminus t'] \bullet (u \setminus u'))$
 $\text{resid } - \ - = \#$

Terms t and u are *consistent* if residuation is defined for them.

abbreviation *Con* (**infix** \frown 50)
where *Con* $t \frown u \equiv \text{resid } t \frown u \neq \#$

lemma *ConE* [*elim*]:

assumes $t \frown t'$
and $\bigwedge i. \llbracket t = \langle i \rangle; t' = \langle i \rangle; \text{resid } t \frown t' = \langle i \rangle \rrbracket \implies T$
and $\bigwedge u \ u'. \llbracket t = \lambda[u]; t' = \lambda[u']; u \frown u'; t \setminus t' = \lambda[u \setminus u'] \rrbracket \implies T$
and $\bigwedge u \ v \ u' \ v'. \llbracket t = u \circ v; t' = u' \circ v'; u \frown u'; v \frown v';$
 $t \setminus t' = (u \setminus u') \circ (v \setminus v') \rrbracket \implies T$
and $\bigwedge u \ v \ u' \ v'. \llbracket t = \lambda[u] \bullet v; t' = \lambda[u'] \bullet v'; u \frown u'; v \frown v';$
 $t \setminus t' = \text{subst } (v \setminus v') (u \setminus u') \rrbracket \implies T$
and $\bigwedge u \ v \ u' \ v'. \llbracket t = \lambda[u] \circ v; t' = \text{Beta } u' \ v'; u \frown u'; v \frown v';$
 $t \setminus t' = \text{subst } (v \setminus v') (u \setminus u') \rrbracket \implies T$
and $\bigwedge u \ v \ u' \ v'. \llbracket t = \lambda[u] \bullet v; t' = \lambda[u'] \circ v'; u \frown u'; v \frown v';$
 $t \setminus t' = \lambda[u \setminus u'] \bullet (v \setminus v') \rrbracket \implies T$

shows T

using *assms*
apply (*cases* t ; *cases* t')
apply *simp-all*
apply *metis*
apply *metis*
apply *metis*
apply (*cases un-App1* t , *simp-all*)
apply *metis*
apply (*cases un-App1* t' , *simp-all*)
apply *metis*
by *metis*

A term can only be consistent with another if both terms are “arrows”.

lemma *Con-implies-Arr1*:

shows $t \frown u \implies \text{Arr } t$

proof (*induct* t *arbitrary*: u)

fix $u \ v \ t'$
assume *ind1*: $\bigwedge u'. u \frown u' \implies \text{Arr } u$
assume *ind2*: $\bigwedge v'. v \frown v' \implies \text{Arr } v$
show $u \circ v \frown t' \implies \text{Arr } (u \circ v)$
using *ind1 ind2*
apply (*cases* t' , *simp-all*)
apply *metis*
apply (*cases* u , *simp-all*)
by (*metis lambda.distinct*(3) *resid.simps*(2))
show $\lambda[u] \bullet v \frown t' \implies \text{Arr } (\lambda[u] \bullet v)$
using *ind1 ind2*
apply (*cases* t' , *simp-all*)
apply (*cases un-App1* t' , *simp-all*)
by *metis+*

qed *auto*

lemma *Con-implies-Arr2*:

```

shows  $t \frown u \implies \text{Arr } u$ 
proof (induct u arbitrary: t)
  fix  $u' v' t$ 
  assume  $\text{ind1}: \bigwedge u. u \frown u' \implies \text{Arr } u'$ 
  assume  $\text{ind2}: \bigwedge v. v \frown v' \implies \text{Arr } v'$ 
  show  $t \frown u' \circ v' \implies \text{Arr } (u' \circ v')$ 
  using  $\text{ind1 ind2}$ 
  apply (cases t, simp-all)
  apply metis
  apply (cases u', simp-all)
  by (metis lambda.distinct(3) resid.simps(2))
  show  $t \frown (\lambda[u\uparrow] \bullet v') \implies \text{Arr } (\lambda[u\uparrow] \bullet v')$ 
  using  $\text{ind1 ind2}$ 
  apply (cases t, simp-all)
  apply (cases un-App1 t, simp-all)
  by metis+
qed auto

```

```

lemma ConD:
shows  $t \circ u \frown t' \circ u' \implies t \frown t' \wedge u \frown u'$ 
and  $\lambda[v] \bullet u \frown \lambda[v'] \bullet u' \implies \lambda[v] \frown \lambda[v'] \wedge u \frown u'$ 
and  $\lambda[v] \bullet u \frown t' \circ u' \implies \lambda[v] \frown t' \wedge u \frown u'$ 
and  $t \circ u \frown \lambda[v'] \bullet u' \implies t \frown \lambda[v'] \wedge u \frown u'$ 
by auto

```

Residuation on consistent terms preserves arrows.

```

lemma Arr-resid:
shows  $t \frown u \implies \text{Arr } (t \setminus u)$ 
proof (induct t arbitrary: u)
  fix  $t1 t2 u$ 
  assume  $\text{ind1}: \bigwedge u. t1 \frown u \implies \text{Arr } (t1 \setminus u)$ 
  assume  $\text{ind2}: \bigwedge u. t2 \frown u \implies \text{Arr } (t2 \setminus u)$ 
  show  $t1 \circ t2 \frown u \implies \text{Arr } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)$ 
  using  $\text{ind1 ind2 Arr-Subst}$ 
  apply (cases u, auto)
  apply (cases t1, auto)
  by (metis Arr.simps(3) ConD(2) resid.simps(2) resid.simps(4))
  show  $\lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \frown u \implies \text{Arr } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u)$ 
  using  $\text{ind1 ind2 Arr-Subst}$ 
  by (cases u) auto
qed auto

```

3.2.2 Source and Target

Here we give syntactic versions of the *source* and *target* of a term. These will later be shown to agree (on arrows) with the versions derived from the residuation. The underlying idea here is that a term stands for a reduction sequence in which all marked redexes (corresponding to instances of the constructor *Beta*) are contracted in a bottom-up fashion. A term without any marked redexes stands for an empty reduction sequence;

such terms will be shown to be the identities derived from the residuation. The source of term is the identity obtained by erasing all markings; that is, by replacing all subterms of the form $Beta\ t\ u$ by $App\ (Lam\ t)\ u$. The target of a term is the identity that is the result of contracting all the marked redexes.

```

fun Src
where Src  $\# = \#$ 
  | Src  $\langle\langle i \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle i \rangle\rangle$ 
  | Src  $\lambda[t] = \lambda[Src\ t]$ 
  | Src  $(t \circ u) = Src\ t \circ Src\ u$ 
  | Src  $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) = \lambda[Src\ t] \circ Src\ u$ 

```

```

fun Trg
where Trg  $\langle\langle i \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle i \rangle\rangle$ 
  | Trg  $\lambda[t] = \lambda[Trg\ t]$ 
  | Trg  $(t \circ u) = Trg\ t \circ Trg\ u$ 
  | Trg  $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) = subst\ (Trg\ u)\ (Trg\ t)$ 
  | Trg  $- = \#$ 

```

lemma *Ide-Src*:
shows $Arr\ t \implies Ide\ (Src\ t)$
by $(induct\ t)\ auto$

lemma *Ide-iff-Src-self*:
assumes $Arr\ t$
shows $Ide\ t \longleftrightarrow Src\ t = t$
using $assms\ Ide-Src$
by $(induct\ t)\ auto$

lemma *Arr-Src [simp]*:
assumes $Arr\ t$
shows $Arr\ (Src\ t)$
using $assms\ Ide-Src\ Ide-implies-Arr$ **by** *blast*

lemma *Con-Src*:
shows $\llbracket size\ t + size\ u \leq n; t \frown u \rrbracket \implies Src\ t \frown Src\ u$
by $(induct\ n\ arbitrary:\ t\ u)\ auto$

lemma *Src-eq-iff*:
shows $Src\ \langle\langle i \rangle\rangle = Src\ \langle\langle i' \rangle\rangle \longleftrightarrow i = i'$
and $Src\ (t \circ u) = Src\ (t' \circ u') \longleftrightarrow Src\ t = Src\ t' \wedge Src\ u = Src\ u'$
and $Src\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) = Src\ (\lambda[t'] \bullet u') \longleftrightarrow Src\ t = Src\ t' \wedge Src\ u = Src\ u'$
and $Src\ (\lambda[t] \circ u) = Src\ (\lambda[t'] \bullet u') \longleftrightarrow Src\ t = Src\ t' \wedge Src\ u = Src\ u'$
by *auto*

lemma *Src-Raise*:
shows $Src\ (Raise\ d\ n\ t) = Raise\ d\ n\ (Src\ t)$
by $(induct\ t\ arbitrary:\ d)\ auto$

lemma *Src-Subst [simp]*:

shows $\llbracket \text{Arr } t; \text{Arr } u \rrbracket \implies \text{Src } (\text{Subst } d \ t \ u) = \text{Subst } d \ (\text{Src } t) \ (\text{Src } u)$
using *Src-Raise*
by (*induct u arbitrary: d X*) *auto*

lemma *Ide-Trg*:
shows $\text{Arr } t \implies \text{Ide } (\text{Trg } t)$
using *Ide-Subst*
by (*induct t*) *auto*

lemma *Ide-iff-Trg-self*:
shows $\text{Arr } t \implies \text{Ide } t \longleftrightarrow \text{Trg } t = t$
apply (*induct t*)
apply *auto*
by (*metis Ide.simps(5) Ide-Subst Ide-Trg*)**+**

lemma *Arr-Trg [simp]*:
assumes $\text{Arr } X$
shows $\text{Arr } (\text{Trg } X)$
using *assms Ide-Trg Ide-implies-Arr* **by** *blast*

lemma *Src-Src [simp]*:
assumes $\text{Arr } t$
shows $\text{Src } (\text{Src } t) = \text{Src } t$
using *assms Ide-Src Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr* **by** *blast*

lemma *Trg-Src [simp]*:
assumes $\text{Arr } t$
shows $\text{Trg } (\text{Src } t) = \text{Src } t$
using *assms Ide-Src Ide-iff-Trg-self Ide-implies-Arr* **by** *blast*

lemma *Trg-Trg [simp]*:
assumes $\text{Arr } t$
shows $\text{Trg } (\text{Trg } t) = \text{Trg } t$
using *assms Ide-Trg Ide-iff-Trg-self Ide-implies-Arr* **by** *blast*

lemma *Src-Trg [simp]*:
assumes $\text{Arr } t$
shows $\text{Src } (\text{Trg } t) = \text{Trg } t$
using *assms Ide-Trg Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr* **by** *blast*

Two terms are syntactically *coinitial* if they are arrows with the same source; that is, they represent two reductions from the same starting term.

abbreviation *Coinitial*
where $\text{Coinitial } t \ u \equiv \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Arr } u \wedge \text{Src } t = \text{Src } u$

We now show that terms are consistent if and only if they are coinitial.

lemma *Coinitial-cases*:
assumes $\text{Arr } t$ **and** $\text{Arr } t'$ **and** $\text{Src } t = \text{Src } t'$
shows $(t = \# \wedge t' = \#) \vee$

$(\exists x. t = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \wedge t' = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle) \vee$
 $(\exists u u'. t = \lambda[u] \wedge t' = \lambda[u']) \vee$
 $(\exists u v u' v'. t = u \circ v \wedge t' = u' \circ v') \vee$
 $(\exists u v u' v'. t = \lambda[u] \bullet v \wedge t' = \lambda[u'] \bullet v') \vee$
 $(\exists u v u' v'. t = \lambda[u] \circ v \wedge t' = \lambda[u'] \circ v') \vee$
 $(\exists u v u' v'. t = \lambda[u] \bullet v \wedge t' = \lambda[u'] \circ v')$

using *assms*
by (*cases t; cases t'*) *auto*

lemma *Con-implies-Coinitial-ind*:
shows $\llbracket \text{size } t + \text{size } u \leq n; t \frown u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Coinitial } t \ u$
using *Con-implies-Arr1 Con-implies-Arr2*
by (*induct n arbitrary: t u*) *auto*

lemma *Coinitial-implies-Con-ind*:
shows $\llbracket \text{size } (\text{Src } t) \leq n; \text{Coinitial } t \ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow t \frown u$
proof (*induct n arbitrary: t u*)
show $\bigwedge t \ u. \llbracket \text{size } (\text{Src } t) \leq 0; \text{Coinitial } t \ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow t \frown u$
by *auto*
fix *n t u*
assume *Coinitial: Coinitial t u*
assume *n: size (Src t) ≤ Suc n*
assume *ind: $\bigwedge t \ u. \llbracket \text{size } (\text{Src } t) \leq n; \text{Coinitial } t \ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow t \frown u$*
show $t \frown u$
using *n ind Coinitial Coinitial-cases [of t u] Subst-not-Nil* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Coinitial-iff-Con*:
shows $\text{Coinitial } t \ u \longleftrightarrow t \frown u$
using *Coinitial-implies-Con-ind Con-implies-Coinitial-ind* **by** *blast*

lemma *Coinitial-Raise-Raise*:
shows $\text{Coinitial } t \ u \Longrightarrow \text{Coinitial } (\text{Raise } d \ n \ t) (\text{Raise } d \ n \ u)$
using *Arr-Raise Src-Raise*
apply (*induct t arbitrary: d n u, auto*)
by (*metis Raise.simps(3-4)*)

lemma *Con-sym*:
shows $t \frown u \longleftrightarrow u \frown t$
by (*metis Coinitial-iff-Con*)

lemma *ConI [intro, simp]*:
assumes *Arr t and Arr u and Src t = Src u*
shows $\text{Con } t \ u$
using *assms Coinitial-iff-Con* **by** *blast*

lemma *Con-Arr-Src [simp]*:
assumes *Arr t*
shows $t \frown \text{Src } t \ \text{and} \ \text{Src } t \frown t$

using *assms*
by (*auto simp add: Ide-Src Ide-implies-Arr*)

lemma *resid-Arr-self*:
shows $\text{Arr } t \implies t \setminus t = \text{Trg } t$
by (*induct t*) *auto*

The following result is not used in the formal development that follows, but it requires some proof and might eventually be useful.

lemma *finite-branching*:
shows $\text{Ide } a \implies \text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a\}$
proof (*induct a*)
show $\text{Ide } \# \implies \text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = \#\}$
by *simp*
fix *x*
have $\bigwedge t. \text{Src } t = \langle x \rangle \longleftrightarrow t = \langle x \rangle$
using *Src.elims* **by** *blast*
thus $\text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = \langle x \rangle\}$
by *simp*
next
fix *a*
assume *a*: $\text{Ide } \lambda[a]$
assume *ind*: $\text{Ide } a \implies \text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a\}$
have $\{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = \lambda[a]\} = \text{Lam } \langle \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a\}$
using *Coinitial-cases* **by** *fastforce*
thus $\text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = \lambda[a]\}$
using *a ind* **by** *simp*
next
fix *a1 a2*
assume *ind1*: $\text{Ide } a1 \implies \text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a1\}$
assume *ind2*: $\text{Ide } a2 \implies \text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a2\}$
assume *a*: $\text{Ide } (\lambda[a1] \bullet a2)$
show $\text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = \lambda[a1] \bullet a2\}$
using *a ind1 ind2* **by** *simp*
next
fix *a1 a2*
assume *ind1*: $\text{Ide } a1 \implies \text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a1\}$
assume *ind2*: $\text{Ide } a2 \implies \text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a2\}$
assume *a*: $\text{Ide } (a1 \circ a2)$
have $\{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a1 \circ a2\} =$
 $(\{t. \text{is-App } t\} \cap (\{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } (\text{un-App1 } t) = a1 \wedge \text{Src } (\text{un-App2 } t) = a2\})) \cup$
 $(\{t. \text{is-Beta } t \wedge \text{is-Lam } a1\} \cap$
 $(\{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{is-Lam } a1 \wedge \text{Src } (\text{un-Beta1 } t) = \text{un-Lam } a1 \wedge \text{Src } (\text{un-Beta2 } t) = a2\}))$
by *fastforce*
have $\{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a1 \circ a2\} =$
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). t1 \circ t2) \langle (\{t1. \text{Arr } t1 \wedge \text{Src } t1 = a1\} \times \{t2. \text{Arr } t2 \wedge \text{Src } t2 = a2\}) \cup$
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). \lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \langle$
 $(\{t1t2. \text{is-Lam } a1\} \cap$
 $\{t1. \text{Arr } t1 \wedge \text{Src } t1 = \text{un-Lam } a1\} \times \{t2. \text{Arr } t2 \wedge \text{Src } t2 = a2\})$

proof
show $(\lambda(t1, t2). t1 \circ t2) \text{ ‘ } (\{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = a1\} \times \{t2. Arr\ t2 \wedge Src\ t2 = a2\}) \cup$
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). \lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \text{ ‘}$
 $(\{t1t2. is-Lam\ a1\} \cap$
 $\{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = un-Lam\ a1\} \times \{t2. Arr\ t2 \wedge Src\ t2 = a2\})$
 $\subseteq \{t. Arr\ t \wedge Src\ t = a1 \circ a2\}$
by auto
show $\{t. Arr\ t \wedge Src\ t = a1 \circ a2\}$
 $\subseteq (\lambda(t1, t2). t1 \circ t2) \text{ ‘}$
 $(\{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = a1\} \times \{t2. Arr\ t2 \wedge Src\ t2 = a2\}) \cup$
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). \lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \text{ ‘}$
 $(\{t1t2. is-Lam\ a1\} \cap$
 $\{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = un-Lam\ a1\} \times \{t2. Arr\ t2 \wedge Src\ t2 = a2\})$
proof
fix t
assume $t \in \{t. Arr\ t \wedge Src\ t = a1 \circ a2\}$
have $is-App\ t \vee is-Beta\ t$
using t **by auto**
moreover have $is-App\ t \implies t \in (\lambda(t1, t2). t1 \circ t2) \text{ ‘}$
 $(\{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = a1\} \times \{t2. Arr\ t2 \wedge Src\ t2 = a2\})$
using t *image-iff is-App-def* **by fastforce**
moreover have $is-Beta\ t \implies$
 $t \in (\lambda(t1, t2). \lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \text{ ‘}$
 $(\{t1t2. is-Lam\ a1\} \cap$
 $\{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = un-Lam\ a1\} \times \{t2. Arr\ t2 \wedge Src\ t2 = a2\})$
using t *is-Beta-def* **by fastforce**
ultimately show $t \in (\lambda(t1, t2). t1 \circ t2) \text{ ‘}$
 $(\{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = a1\} \times \{t2. Arr\ t2 \wedge Src\ t2 = a2\}) \cup$
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). \lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \text{ ‘}$
 $(\{t1t2. is-Lam\ a1\} \cap$
 $\{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = un-Lam\ a1\} \times \{t2. Arr\ t2 \wedge Src\ t2 = a2\})$
by blast
qed
qed
moreover have $finite\ (\{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = a1\} \times \{t2. Arr\ t2 \wedge Src\ t2 = a2\})$
using a *ind1 ind2 Ide.simps(4)* **by blast**
moreover have $is-Lam\ a1 \implies$
 $finite\ (\{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = un-Lam\ a1\} \times \{t2. Arr\ t2 \wedge Src\ t2 = a2\})$
proof –
assume $a1: is-Lam\ a1$
have $Ide\ (un-Lam\ a1)$
using $a\ a1$ *is-Lam-def* **by force**
have $Lam\ \text{‘ } \{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = un-Lam\ a1\} = \{t. Arr\ t \wedge Src\ t = a1\}$
proof
show $Lam\ \text{‘ } \{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = un-Lam\ a1\} \subseteq \{t. Arr\ t \wedge Src\ t = a1\}$
using $a1$ **by fastforce**
show $\{t. Arr\ t \wedge Src\ t = a1\} \subseteq Lam\ \text{‘ } \{t1. Arr\ t1 \wedge Src\ t1 = un-Lam\ a1\}$
proof
fix t

```

assume  $t \in \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a1\}$ 
have  $\text{is-Lam } t$ 
  using  $a1$  t by auto
hence  $\text{un-Lam } t \in \{t1. \text{Arr } t1 \wedge \text{Src } t1 = \text{un-Lam } a1\}$ 
  using  $\text{is-Lam-def } t$  by force
thus  $t \in \text{Lam } \langle \{t1. \text{Arr } t1 \wedge \text{Src } t1 = \text{un-Lam } a1\}$ 
  by (metis  $\langle \text{is-Lam } t \rangle \text{lambda.collapse}(2) \text{rev-image-eqI}$ )
qed
qed
moreover have  $\text{inj Lam}$ 
  using  $\text{inj-on-def}$  by blast
ultimately have  $\text{finite } \{t1. \text{Arr } t1 \wedge \text{Src } t1 = \text{un-Lam } a1\}$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ide.simps(4) a finite-imageD ind1 injD inj-onI)
moreover have  $\text{finite } \{t2. \text{Arr } t2 \wedge \text{Src } t2 = a2\}$ 
  using Ide.simps(4) a ind2 by blast
ultimately
show  $\text{finite } (\{t1. \text{Arr } t1 \wedge \text{Src } t1 = \text{un-Lam } a1\} \times \{t2. \text{Arr } t2 \wedge \text{Src } t2 = a2\})$ 
  by blast
qed
ultimately show  $\text{finite } \{t. \text{Arr } t \wedge \text{Src } t = a1 \circ a2\}$ 
  using  $a$  ind1 ind2 by simp
qed

```

3.2.3 Residuation and Substitution

We now develop a series of lemmas that involve the interaction of residuation and substitution.

lemma *Raise-resid*:

shows $t \frown u \implies \text{Raise } k \ n \ (t \setminus u) = \text{Raise } k \ n \ t \setminus \text{Raise } k \ n \ u$

proof –

let $?P = \lambda(t, u). \forall k \ n. t \frown u \longrightarrow \text{Raise } k \ n \ (t \setminus u) = \text{Raise } k \ n \ t \setminus \text{Raise } k \ n \ u$

have $\bigwedge t \ u.$

$\forall t' \ u'. ((t', u'), (t, u)) \in \text{subterm-pair-rel} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall k \ n. t' \frown u' \longrightarrow$

$\text{Raise } k \ n \ (t' \setminus u') = \text{Raise } k \ n \ t' \setminus \text{Raise } k \ n \ u') \implies$

$(\bigwedge k \ n. t \frown u \implies \text{Raise } k \ n \ (t \setminus u) = \text{Raise } k \ n \ t \setminus \text{Raise } k \ n \ u)$

using *subterm-lemmas Coinitial-iff-Con Coinitial-Raise-Raise Raise-subst* **by** *auto*

thus $t \frown u \implies \text{Raise } k \ n \ (t \setminus u) = \text{Raise } k \ n \ t \setminus \text{Raise } k \ n \ u$

using *wf-subterm-pair-rel wf-induct [of subterm-pair-rel ?P]* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *Con-Raise*:

shows $t \frown u \implies \text{Raise } d \ n \ t \frown \text{Raise } d \ n \ u$

by (*metis* *Raise-not-Nil Raise-resid*)

The following is Huet’s Commutation Theorem [7]: “substitution commutes with residuation”.

lemma *resid-Subst*:

assumes $t \frown t'$ **and** $u \frown u'$
shows $\text{Subst } n \ t \ u \setminus \text{Subst } n \ t' \ u' = \text{Subst } n \ (t \setminus t') \ (u \setminus u')$
proof –
let $?P = \lambda(u, u'). \forall n \ t \ t'. \ t \frown t' \wedge u \frown u' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{Subst } n \ t \ u \setminus \text{Subst } n \ t' \ u' = \text{Subst } n \ (t \setminus t') \ (u \setminus u')$
have $\bigwedge u \ u'. \forall w \ w'. ((w, w'), (u, u')) \in \text{subterm-pair-rel} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall n \ v \ v'. v \frown v' \wedge w \frown w' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{Subst } n \ v \ w \setminus \text{Subst } n \ v' \ w' = \text{Subst } n \ (v \setminus v') \ (w \setminus w')) \implies$
 $\forall n \ t \ t'. \ t \frown t' \wedge u \frown u' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{Subst } n \ t \ u \setminus \text{Subst } n \ t' \ u' = \text{Subst } n \ (t \setminus t') \ (u \setminus u')$
using *subterm-lemmas Raise-resid Subst-not-Nil Con-Raise Raise-subst substitution-lemma*
by *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms wf-subterm-pair-rel wf-induct [of subterm-pair-rel ?P] by auto*
qed

lemma *Trg-Subst [simp]*:
shows $\llbracket \text{Arr } t; \text{Arr } u \rrbracket \implies \text{Trg} (\text{Subst } d \ t \ u) = \text{Subst } d \ (\text{Trg } t) \ (\text{Trg } u)$
by (*metis Arr-Subst Arr-Trg Arr-not-Nil resid-Arr-self resid-Subst*)

lemma *Src-resid*:
shows $t \frown u \implies \text{Src} (t \setminus u) = \text{Trg } u$
proof (*induct u arbitrary: t, auto simp add: Arr-resid*)
fix $t \ t1'$
show $\bigwedge t2'. \llbracket \bigwedge t1. \ t1 \frown t1' \implies \text{Src} (t1 \setminus t1') = \text{Trg } t1';$
 $\bigwedge t2. \ t2 \frown t2' \implies \text{Src} (t2 \setminus t2') = \text{Trg } t2';$
 $t \frown t1' \circ t2' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Src} (t \setminus (t1' \circ t2')) = \text{Trg } t1' \circ \text{Trg } t2'$
apply (*cases t; cases t1'*)
apply *auto*
by (*metis Src.simps(3) lambda.distinct(3) lambda.sel(2) resid.simps(2)*)
qed

lemma *Coinitial-resid-resid*:
assumes $t \frown v$ **and** $u \frown v$
shows $\text{Coinitial} (t \setminus v) \ (u \setminus v)$
using *assms Src-resid Arr-resid Coinitial-iff-Con by presburger*

lemma *Con-implies-is-Lam-iff-is-Lam*:
assumes $t \frown u$
shows $\text{is-Lam } t \longleftrightarrow \text{is-Lam } u$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *Con-implies-Coinitial3*:
assumes $t \setminus v \frown u \setminus v$
shows $\text{Coinitial } v \ u$ **and** $\text{Coinitial } v \ t$ **and** $\text{Coinitial } u \ t$
using *assms*
by (*metis Coinitial-iff-Con resid.simps(7)*)**+**

We can now prove Lévy’s “Cube Lemma” [8], which is the key axiom for a residuated

transition system.

lemma *Cube*:

shows $v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t \implies (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

proof –

let $?P = \lambda(t, u, v). v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t \longrightarrow (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

have $\bigwedge t u v.$

$\forall t' u' v'.$

$((t', u', v'), (t, u, v)) \in \text{subterm-triple-rel} \longrightarrow ?P(t', u', v') \implies$
 $v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t \longrightarrow (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

proof –

fix $t u v$

assume $\text{ind}: \forall t' u' v'.$

$((t', u', v'), (t, u, v)) \in \text{subterm-triple-rel} \longrightarrow ?P(t', u', v')$

show $v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t \longrightarrow (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

proof (*intro impI*)

assume $\text{con}: v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t$

have $\text{Con } v t$

using con **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{Con } u t$

using con **by** *auto*

ultimately show $(v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

using *subterm-lemmas ind Coinitial-iff-Con Coinitial-resid-resid resid-Subst*

apply (*elim ConE [of v t] ConE [of u t]*)

apply *simp-all*

apply *metis*

apply *metis*

apply (*cases un-App1 t; cases un-App1 v, simp-all*)

apply *metis*

apply *metis*

apply *metis*

apply *metis*

apply *metis*

apply (*cases un-App1 u, simp-all*)

apply *metis*

by *metis*

qed

qed

hence $?P(t, u, v)$

using *wf-subterm-triple-rel wf-induct [of subterm-triple-rel ?P]* **by** *blast*

thus $v \setminus t \frown u \setminus t \implies (v \setminus t) \setminus (u \setminus t) = (v \setminus u) \setminus (t \setminus u)$

by *simp*

qed

3.2.4 Residuation Determines an RTS

We are now in a position to verify that the residuation operation that we have defined satisfies the axioms for a residuated transition system, and that various notions which we have defined syntactically above (*e.g.* arrow, source, target) agree with the versions derived abstractly from residuation.

sublocale *partial-magma resid*
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*metis Arr.simps(1) Coinitial-iff-Con*)

lemma *null-char [simp]*:
shows $null = \#$
using *null-def*
by (*metis null-is-zero(2) resid.simps(7)*)

sublocale *residuation resid*
using *null-char Arr-resid Coinitial-iff-Con Cube*
apply (*unfold-locales, auto*)
by *metis+*

notation *resid* (**infix** \setminus 70)

lemma *resid-is-residuation*:
shows *residuation resid*
..

lemma *arr-char [iff]*:
shows $arr\ t \longleftrightarrow Arr\ t$
using *Coinitial-iff-Con arr-def con-def null-char* **by** *auto*

lemma *ide-char [iff]*:
shows $ide\ t \longleftrightarrow Ide\ t$
by (*metis Ide-iff-Trg-self Ide-implies-Arr arr-char arr-resid-iff-con ide-def resid-Arr-self*)

lemma *resid-Arr-Ide*:
shows $\llbracket Ide\ a; Coinitial\ t\ a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow t \setminus a = t$
using *Ide-iff-Src-self*
by (*induct t arbitrary: a, auto*)

lemma *resid-Ide-Arr*:
shows $\llbracket Ide\ a; Coinitial\ a\ t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Ide\ (a \setminus t)$
by (*metis Coinitial-resid-resid ConI Ide-iff-Trg-self cube resid-Arr-Ide resid-Arr-self*)

lemma *resid-Arr-Src [simp]*:
assumes *Arr t*
shows $t \setminus Src\ t = t$
using *assms Ide-Src*
by (*simp add: Ide-implies-Arr resid-Arr-Ide*)

lemma *resid-Src-Arr [simp]*:
assumes *Arr t*
shows $Src\ t \setminus t = Trg\ t$
using *assms*

by (metis (full-types) Con-Arr-Src(2) Con-implies-Arr1 Src-Src Src-resid cube
resid-Arr-Src resid-Arr-self)

sublocale *rts resid*

proof

show $\bigwedge a t. \llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ con } t \ a \rrbracket \implies t \setminus a = t$
 using *ide-char resid-Arr-Ide*
 by (metis *Coinitial-iff-Con con-def null-char*)
 show $\bigwedge t. \text{arr } t \implies \text{ide } (\text{trg } t)$
 by (*simp add: Ide-Trg resid-Arr-self trg-def*)
 show $\bigwedge a t. \llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ con } a \ t \rrbracket \implies \text{ide } (\text{resid } a \ t)$
 using *ide-char null-char resid-Ide-Arr Coinitial-iff-Con con-def* by *force*
 show $\bigwedge t u. \text{con } t \ u \implies \exists a. \text{ide } a \ \wedge \ \text{con } a \ t \ \wedge \ \text{con } a \ u$
 by (metis *Coinitial-iff-Con Ide-Src Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr con-def*
ide-char null-char)
 show $\bigwedge t u v. \llbracket \text{ide } (\text{resid } t \ u); \text{ con } u \ v \rrbracket \implies \text{con } (\text{resid } t \ u) \ (\text{resid } v \ u)$
 by (metis *Coinitial-resid-resid ide-char not-arr-null null-char resid-Ide-Arr*
con-def con-sym ide-implies-arr)

qed

lemma *is-rts*:

shows *rts resid*

..

lemma *sources-char_Λ*:

shows *sources t = (if Arr t then {Src t} else {})*

proof (*cases Arr t*)

show $\neg \text{Arr } t \implies ?thesis$
 using *arr-char arr-iff-has-source* by *auto*
 assume *t: Arr t*
 have $1: \{\text{Src } t\} \subseteq \text{sources } t$
 using *t Ide-Src* by *force*
 moreover have *sources t* $\subseteq \{\text{Src } t\}$
 by (metis *Coinitial-iff-Con Ide-iff-Src-self ide-char in-sourcesE null-char*
con-def singleton-iff subsetI)
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *t* by *auto*

qed

lemma *sources-simp [simp]*:

assumes *Arr t*

shows *sources t = {Src t}*

using *assms sources-char_Λ* by *auto*

lemma *sources-simps [simp]*:

shows *sources ‡ = {}*

and *sources «x» = {«x»}*

and *arr t* $\implies \text{sources } \lambda[t] = \{\lambda[\text{Src } t]\}$

and $\llbracket \text{arr } t; \text{ arr } u \rrbracket \implies \text{sources } (t \circ u) = \{\text{Src } t \circ \text{Src } u\}$

and $\llbracket arr\ t; arr\ u \rrbracket \implies sources\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) = \{\lambda[Src\ t] \circ Src\ u\}$
using *sources-char $_{\Lambda}$* **by** *auto*

lemma *targets-char $_{\Lambda}$* :
shows *targets* $t = (if\ Arr\ t\ then\ \{Trg\ t\}\ else\ \{\})$
proof (*cases* *Arr* t)
show $\neg Arr\ t \implies ?thesis$
by (*meson* *arr-char* *arr-iff-has-target*)
assume $t: Arr\ t$
have $1: \{Trg\ t\} \subseteq targets\ t$
using *t resid-Arr-self* *trg-def* *trg-in-targets* **by** *force*
moreover **have** *targets* $t \subseteq \{Trg\ t\}$
by (*metis* $1\ Ide-iff-Src-self\ arr-char\ ide-char\ ide-implies-arr$
in-targetsE *insert-subset* *prfx-implies-con* *resid-Arr-self*
sources-resid *sources-simp* t)
ultimately **show** $?thesis$
using t **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *targets-simp* [*simp*]:
assumes *Arr* t
shows *targets* $t = \{Trg\ t\}$
using *assms* *targets-char $_{\Lambda}$* **by** *auto*

lemma *targets-simps* [*simp*]:
shows *targets* $\# = \{\}$
and *targets* $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle = \{\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle\}$
and $arr\ t \implies targets\ \lambda[t] = \{\lambda[Trg\ t]\}$
and $\llbracket arr\ t; arr\ u \rrbracket \implies targets\ (t \circ u) = \{Trg\ t \circ Trg\ u\}$
and $\llbracket arr\ t; arr\ u \rrbracket \implies targets\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) = \{subst\ (Trg\ u)\ (Trg\ t)\}$
using *targets-char $_{\Lambda}$* **by** *auto*

lemma *seq-char*:
shows $seq\ t\ u \iff Arr\ t \wedge Arr\ u \wedge Trg\ t = Src\ u$
using *seq-def* *arr-char* *sources-char $_{\Lambda}$* *targets-char $_{\Lambda}$* **by** *force*

lemma *seqI $_{\Lambda}$* [*intro*, *simp*]:
assumes *Arr* t **and** *Arr* u **and** $Trg\ t = Src\ u$
shows $seq\ t\ u$
using *assms* *seq-char* **by** *simp*

lemma *seqE $_{\Lambda}$* [*elim*]:
assumes $seq\ t\ u$
and $\llbracket Arr\ t; Arr\ u; Trg\ t = Src\ u \rrbracket \implies T$
shows T
using *assms* *seq-char* **by** *blast*

The following classifies the ways that transitions can be sequential. It is useful for later proofs by case analysis.

lemma *seq-cases*:

assumes *seq t u*

shows $(is-Var\ t \wedge is-Var\ u) \vee$
 $(is-Lam\ t \wedge is-Lam\ u) \vee$
 $(is-App\ t \wedge is-App\ u) \vee$
 $(is-App\ t \wedge is-Beta\ u \wedge is-Lam\ (un-App1\ t)) \vee$
 $(is-App\ t \wedge is-Beta\ u \wedge is-Beta\ (un-App1\ t)) \vee$
 $is-Beta\ t$

using *assms seq-char*

by (*cases t; cases u*) *auto*

sublocale *confluent-rts resid*

by (*unfold-locales*) *fastforce*

lemma *is-confluent-rts*:

shows *confluent-rts resid*

..

lemma *con-char [iff]*:

shows $con\ t\ u \longleftrightarrow Con\ t\ u$

by *fastforce*

lemma *coinitial-char [iff]*:

shows $coinitial\ t\ u \longleftrightarrow Coinitial\ t\ u$

by *fastforce*

lemma *sources-Raise*:

assumes *Arr t*

shows $sources\ (Raise\ d\ n\ t) = \{Raise\ d\ n\ (Src\ t)\}$

using *assms*

by (*simp add: Coinitial-Raise-Raise Src-Raise*)

lemma *targets-Raise*:

assumes *Arr t*

shows $targets\ (Raise\ d\ n\ t) = \{Raise\ d\ n\ (Trg\ t)\}$

using *assms*

by (*metis Arr-Raise ConI Raise-resid resid-Arr-self targets-char_Λ*)

lemma *sources-subst [simp]*:

assumes *Arr t and Arr u*

shows $sources\ (subst\ t\ u) = \{subst\ (Src\ t)\ (Src\ u)\}$

using *assms sources-char_Λ Arr-Subst arr-char by simp*

lemma *targets-subst [simp]*:

assumes *Arr t and Arr u*

shows $targets\ (subst\ t\ u) = \{subst\ (Trg\ t)\ (Trg\ u)\}$

using *assms targets-char_Λ Arr-Subst arr-char by simp*

notation *prfx* (**infix** \lesssim 50)

notation *cong* (**infix** \sim 50)

lemma *prfx-char* [*iff*]:
shows $t \lesssim u \longleftrightarrow \text{Ide } (t \setminus u)$
using *ide-char* **by** *simp*

lemma *prfx-Var-iff*:
shows $u \lesssim \langle\langle i \rangle\rangle \longleftrightarrow u = \langle\langle i \rangle\rangle$
by (*metis* *Arr.simps*(2) *Coinitial-iff-Con* *Ide.simps*(1) *Ide-iff-Src-self* *Src.simps*(2)
ide-char *resid-Arr-Ide*)

lemma *prfx-Lam-iff*:
shows $u \lesssim \text{Lam } t \longleftrightarrow \text{is-Lam } u \wedge \text{un-Lam } u \lesssim t$
using *ide-char* *Arr-not-Nil* *Con-implies-is-Lam-iff-is-Lam* *Ide-implies-Arr* *is-Lam-def*
by *fastforce*

lemma *prfx-App-iff*:
shows $u \lesssim t1 \circ t2 \longleftrightarrow \text{is-App } u \wedge \text{un-App1 } u \lesssim t1 \wedge \text{un-App2 } u \lesssim t2$
using *ide-char*
by (*cases* *u*; *cases* *t1*) *auto*

lemma *prfx-Beta-iff*:
shows $u \lesssim \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{is-App } u \wedge \text{un-App1 } u \lesssim \lambda[t1] \wedge \text{un-App2 } u \frown t2 \wedge$
 $(0 \in \text{FV } (\text{un-Lam } (\text{un-App1 } u) \setminus t1) \longrightarrow \text{un-App2 } u \lesssim t2)) \vee$
 $(\text{is-Beta } u \wedge \text{un-Beta1 } u \lesssim t1 \wedge \text{un-Beta2 } u \frown t2 \wedge$
 $(0 \in \text{FV } (\text{un-Beta1 } u \setminus t1) \longrightarrow \text{un-Beta2 } u \lesssim t2))$
using *ide-char* *Ide-Subst-iff*
by (*cases* *u*; *cases* *un-App1* *u*) *auto*

lemma *cong-Ide-are-eq*:
assumes $t \sim u$ **and** *Ide* *t* **and** *Ide* *u*
shows $t = u$
using *assms*
by (*metis* *Coinitial-iff-Con* *Ide-iff-Src-self* *con-char* *prfx-implies-con*)

lemma *eq-Ide-are-cong*:
assumes $t = u$ **and** *Ide* *t*
shows $t \sim u$
using *assms* *Ide-implies-Arr* *resid-Ide-Arr* **by** *blast*

sublocale *weakly-extensional-rts* *resid*
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*metis* *Coinitial-iff-Con* *Ide-iff-Src-self* *Ide-implies-Arr* *ide-char* *ide-def*)

lemma *is-weakly-extensional-rts*:
shows *weakly-extensional-rts* *resid*
..

lemma *src-char* [*simp*]:
shows $\text{src } t = (\text{if } \text{Arr } t \text{ then } \text{Src } t \text{ else } \#)$
using *src-def* **by** *force*

lemma *trg-char* [*simp*]:
shows $\text{trg } t = (\text{if } \text{Arr } t \text{ then } \text{Trg } t \text{ else } \#)$
by (*metis Coinitial-iff-Con resid-Arr-self trg-def*)

We “almost” have an extensional RTS. The case that fails is $\lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \sim u \implies \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 = u$. This is because $t1$ might ignore its argument, so that $\text{subst } t2 \ t1 = \text{subst } t2' \ t1$, with both sides being identities, even if $t2 \neq t2'$.

The following gives a concrete example of such a situation.

abbreviation *non-extensional-ex1*
where $\text{non-extensional-ex1} \equiv \lambda[\lambda[\langle 0 \rangle] \circ \lambda[\langle 0 \rangle]] \bullet (\lambda[\langle 0 \rangle] \bullet \lambda[\langle 0 \rangle])$

abbreviation *non-extensional-ex2*
where $\text{non-extensional-ex2} \equiv \lambda[\lambda[\langle 0 \rangle] \circ \lambda[\langle 0 \rangle]] \bullet (\lambda[\langle 0 \rangle] \circ \lambda[\langle 0 \rangle])$

lemma *non-extensional*:
shows $\lambda[\langle 1 \rangle] \bullet \text{non-extensional-ex1} \sim \lambda[\langle 1 \rangle] \bullet \text{non-extensional-ex2}$
and $\lambda[\langle 1 \rangle] \bullet \text{non-extensional-ex1} \neq \lambda[\langle 1 \rangle] \bullet \text{non-extensional-ex2}$
by *auto*

The following gives an example of two terms that are both coinitial and coterminial, but which are not congruent.

abbreviation *cong-nontrivial-ex1*
where $\text{cong-nontrivial-ex1} \equiv \lambda[\langle 0 \rangle \circ \langle 0 \rangle] \circ \lambda[\langle 0 \rangle \circ \langle 0 \rangle] \circ (\lambda[\langle 0 \rangle \circ \langle 0 \rangle] \bullet \lambda[\langle 0 \rangle \circ \langle 0 \rangle])$

abbreviation *cong-nontrivial-ex2*
where $\text{cong-nontrivial-ex2} \equiv \lambda[\langle 0 \rangle \circ \langle 0 \rangle] \bullet \lambda[\langle 0 \rangle \circ \langle 0 \rangle] \circ (\lambda[\langle 0 \rangle \circ \langle 0 \rangle] \circ \lambda[\langle 0 \rangle \circ \langle 0 \rangle])$

lemma *cong-nontrivial*:
shows *coinitial cong-nontrivial-ex1 cong-nontrivial-ex2*
and *coterminial cong-nontrivial-ex1 cong-nontrivial-ex2*
and $\neg \text{cong } \text{cong-nontrivial-ex1 } \text{cong-nontrivial-ex2}$
by *auto*

Every two coinitial transitions have a join, obtained structurally by unioning the sets of marked redexes.

fun *Join* (**infix** \sqcup 52)
where $\langle x \rangle \sqcup \langle x' \rangle = (\text{if } x = x' \text{ then } \langle x \rangle \text{ else } \#)$
 $\lambda[t] \sqcup \lambda[t'] = \lambda[t \sqcup t']$
 $\lambda[t] \circ u \sqcup \lambda[t'] \bullet u' = \lambda[(t \sqcup t')] \bullet (u \sqcup u')$
 $\lambda[t] \bullet u \sqcup \lambda[t'] \circ u' = \lambda[(t \sqcup t')] \bullet (u \sqcup u')$
 $t \circ u \sqcup t' \circ u' = (t \sqcup t') \circ (u \sqcup u')$
 $\lambda[t] \bullet u \sqcup \lambda[t'] \bullet u' = \lambda[(t \sqcup t')] \bullet (u \sqcup u')$
 $- \sqcup - = \#$

lemma *Join-sym*:
shows $t \sqcup u = u \sqcup t$
using *Join.induct* [of $\lambda t u. t \sqcup u = u \sqcup t$] **by** *auto*

lemma *Src-Join*:
shows $\text{Coinitial } t \ u \implies \text{Src } (t \sqcup u) = \text{Src } t$
proof (*induct t arbitrary: u*)
show $\bigwedge u. \text{Coinitial } \# \ u \implies \text{Src } (\# \sqcup u) = \text{Src } \#$
by *simp*
show $\bigwedge x u. \text{Coinitial } \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \ u \implies \text{Src } (\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \sqcup u) = \text{Src } \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$
by *auto*
fix $t \ u$
assume $\text{ind}: \bigwedge u. \text{Coinitial } t \ u \implies \text{Src } (t \sqcup u) = \text{Src } t$
assume $\text{tu}: \text{Coinitial } \lambda[t] \ u$
show $\text{Src } (\lambda[t] \sqcup u) = \text{Src } \lambda[t]$
using tu ind
by (*cases u*) *auto*
next
fix $t1 \ t2 \ u$
assume $\text{ind1}: \bigwedge u1. \text{Coinitial } t1 \ u1 \implies \text{Src } (t1 \sqcup u1) = \text{Src } t1$
assume $\text{ind2}: \bigwedge u2. \text{Coinitial } t2 \ u2 \implies \text{Src } (t2 \sqcup u2) = \text{Src } t2$
assume $\text{tu}: \text{Coinitial } (t1 \circ t2) \ u$
show $\text{Src } (t1 \circ t2 \sqcup u) = \text{Src } (t1 \circ t2)$
using tu ind1 ind2
apply (*cases u, simp-all*)
apply (*cases t1, simp-all*)
by (*metis Arr.simps(3) Join.simps(2) Src.simps(3) lambda.sel(2)*)
next
fix $t1 \ t2 \ u$
assume $\text{ind1}: \bigwedge u1. \text{Coinitial } t1 \ u1 \implies \text{Src } (t1 \sqcup u1) = \text{Src } t1$
assume $\text{ind2}: \bigwedge u2. \text{Coinitial } t2 \ u2 \implies \text{Src } (t2 \sqcup u2) = \text{Src } t2$
assume $\text{tu}: \text{Coinitial } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \ u$
show $\text{Src } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \sqcup u) = \text{Src } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
using tu ind1 ind2
apply (*cases u, simp-all*)
by (*cases un-App1 u*) *auto*

qed

lemma *resid-Join*:
shows $\text{Coinitial } t \ u \implies (t \sqcup u) \setminus u = t \setminus u$
proof (*induct t arbitrary: u*)
show $\bigwedge u. \text{Coinitial } \# \ u \implies (\# \sqcup u) \setminus u = \# \setminus u$
by *auto*
show $\bigwedge x u. \text{Coinitial } \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \ u \implies (\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \sqcup u) \setminus u = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \setminus u$
by *auto*
fix $t \ u$
assume $\text{ind}: \bigwedge u. \text{Coinitial } t \ u \implies (t \sqcup u) \setminus u = t \setminus u$
assume $\text{tu}: \text{Coinitial } \lambda[t] \ u$

```

show  $(\lambda[t] \sqcup u) \setminus u = \lambda[t] \setminus u$ 
  using tu ind
  by (cases u) auto
next
fix t1 t2 u
assume ind1:  $\bigwedge u1. \text{Coinitial } t1 \ u1 \implies (t1 \sqcup u1) \setminus u1 = t1 \setminus u1$ 
assume ind2:  $\bigwedge u2. \text{Coinitial } t2 \ u2 \implies (t2 \sqcup u2) \setminus u2 = t2 \setminus u2$ 
assume tu: Coinitial  $(t1 \circ t2) \ u$ 
show  $(t1 \circ t2 \sqcup u) \setminus u = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
  using tu ind1 ind2 Coinitial-iff-Con
  apply (cases u, simp-all)
proof -
  fix u1 u2
  assume u:  $u = \lambda[u1] \bullet u2$ 
  have t2u2:  $t2 \frown u2$ 
    using Arr-not-Nil Arr-resid tu u by simp
  have t1u1: Coinitial  $(\text{un-Lam } t1 \sqcup u1) \ u1$ 
  proof -
    have Arr  $(\text{un-Lam } t1 \sqcup u1)$ 
      by (metis Arr.simps(3-5) Coinitial-iff-Con Con-implies-is-Lam-iff-is-Lam
        Join.simps(2) Src.simps(3-5) ind1 lambda.collapse(2) lambda.disc(8)
        lambda.sel(3) tu u)
    thus ?thesis
      using Src-Join
      by (metis Arr.simps(3-5) Coinitial-iff-Con Con-implies-is-Lam-iff-is-Lam
        Src.simps(3-5) lambda.collapse(2) lambda.disc(8) lambda.sel(2-3) tu u)
  qed
  have un-Lam  $t1 \frown u1$ 
    using t1u1
    by (metis Coinitial-iff-Con Con-implies-is-Lam-iff-is-Lam ConD(4) lambda.collapse(2)
      lambda.disc(8) resid.simps(2) tu u)
  thus  $(t1 \circ t2 \sqcup \lambda[u1] \bullet u2) \setminus (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2)$ 
    using u tu t1u1 t2u2 ind1 ind2
    apply (cases t1, auto)
  proof -
    fix v
    assume v:  $t1 = \lambda[v]$ 
    show subst  $(t2 \setminus u2) ((v \sqcup u1) \setminus u1) = \text{subst } (t2 \setminus u2) (v \setminus u1)$ 
    proof -
      have subst  $(t2 \setminus u2) ((v \sqcup u1) \setminus u1) = (t1 \circ t2 \sqcup \lambda[u1] \bullet u2) \setminus (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2)$ 
        by (simp add: Coinitial-iff-Con ind2 t2u2 v)
      also have  $\dots = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2)$ 
      proof -
        have  $(t1 \circ t2 \sqcup \lambda[u1] \bullet u2) \setminus (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2) =$ 
           $(\lambda[(v \sqcup u1)] \bullet (t2 \sqcup u2)) \setminus (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2)$ 
          using v by simp
        also have  $\dots = \text{subst } (t2 \setminus u2) ((v \sqcup u1) \setminus u1)$ 
          by (simp add: Coinitial-iff-Con ind2 t2u2)
        also have  $\dots = \text{subst } (t2 \setminus u2) (v \setminus u1)$ 

```

```

proof –
  have  $(t1 \sqcup \lambda[u1]) \setminus \lambda[u1] = t1 \setminus \lambda[u1]$ 
    using  $u \ tu \ ind1$  by  $simp$ 
  thus  $?thesis$ 
    using  $\langle un-Lam \ t1 \setminus u1 \neq \# \rangle \ t1u1 \ v$  by  $force$ 
qed
also have  $\dots = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2)$ 
  using  $tu \ u \ v$  by  $force$ 
finally show  $?thesis$  by  $blast$ 
qed
also have  $\dots = subst \ (t2 \setminus u2) \ (v \setminus u1)$ 
  by  $(simp \ add: \ t2u2 \ v)$ 
finally show  $?thesis$  by  $auto$ 
qed
qed
next
fix  $t1 \ t2 \ u$ 
assume  $ind1: \bigwedge u1. \ Coinitial \ t1 \ u1 \implies (t1 \sqcup u1) \setminus u1 = t1 \setminus u1$ 
assume  $ind2: \bigwedge u2. \ Coinitial \ t2 \ u2 \implies (t2 \sqcup u2) \setminus u2 = t2 \setminus u2$ 
assume  $tu: \ Coinitial \ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \ u$ 
show  $(\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \sqcup u \setminus u = (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u$ 
  using  $tu \ ind1 \ ind2 \ Coinitial-iff-Con$ 
  apply  $(cases \ u, \ simp-all)$ 
proof –
  fix  $u1 \ u2$ 
  assume  $u: u = u1 \circ u2$ 
  show  $(\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \sqcup u1 \circ u2 \setminus (u1 \circ u2) = (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus (u1 \circ u2)$ 
    using  $ind1 \ ind2 \ tu \ u$ 
    by  $(cases \ u1) \ auto$ 
qed
qed

lemma  $prfx-Join$ :
shows  $Coinitial \ t \ u \implies u \lesssim t \sqcup u$ 
proof  $(induct \ t \ arbitrary: \ u)$ 
  show  $\bigwedge u. \ Coinitial \ \# \ u \implies u \lesssim \# \sqcup u$ 
    by  $simp$ 
  show  $\bigwedge x \ u. \ Coinitial \ \langle x \rangle \ u \implies u \lesssim \langle x \rangle \sqcup u$ 
    by  $auto$ 
  fix  $t \ u$ 
  assume  $ind: \bigwedge u. \ Coinitial \ t \ u \implies u \lesssim t \sqcup u$ 
  assume  $tu: \ Coinitial \ \lambda[t] \ u$ 
  show  $u \lesssim \lambda[t] \sqcup u$ 
    using  $tu \ ind$ 
    apply  $(cases \ u, \ auto)$ 
    by  $force$ 
next
fix  $t1 \ t2 \ u$ 

```

```

assume  $ind1: \bigwedge u1. \text{Coinitial } t1 \ u1 \implies u1 \lesssim t1 \sqcup u1$ 
assume  $ind2: \bigwedge u2. \text{Coinitial } t2 \ u2 \implies u2 \lesssim t2 \sqcup u2$ 
assume  $tu: \text{Coinitial } (t1 \circ t2) \ u$ 
show  $u \lesssim t1 \circ t2 \sqcup u$ 
  using  $tu \ ind1 \ ind2 \ \text{Coinitial-iff-Con}$ 
  apply ( $\text{cases } u, \text{simp-all}$ )
  apply ( $\text{metis Ide.simps}(1)$ )
proof –
  fix  $u1 \ u2$ 
  assume  $u: u = \lambda[u1] \bullet u2$ 
  assume  $1: \text{Arr } t1 \wedge \text{Arr } t2 \wedge \text{Arr } u1 \wedge \text{Arr } u2 \wedge \text{Src } t1 = \lambda[\text{Src } u1] \wedge \text{Src } t2 = \text{Src } u2$ 
  have  $2: u1 \frown \text{un-Lam } t1 \sqcup u1$ 
    by ( $\text{metis } 1 \ \text{Coinitial-iff-Con} \ \text{Con-implies-is-Lam-iff-is-Lam} \ \text{Con-Arr-Src}(2)$ )
     $\text{lambda.collapse}(2) \ \text{lambda.disc}(8) \ \text{resid.simps}(2) \ \text{resid-Join}$ 
  have  $3: u2 \frown t2 \sqcup u2$ 
    by ( $\text{metis } 1 \ \text{conE} \ ind2 \ \text{null-char} \ \text{prfx-implies-con}$ )
  show  $\text{Ide } ((\lambda[u1] \bullet u2) \setminus (t1 \circ t2 \sqcup \lambda[u1] \bullet u2))$ 
  using  $u \ tu \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ ind1 \ ind2$ 
  apply ( $\text{cases } t1, \text{simp-all}$ )
by ( $\text{metis Arr.simps}(3) \ \text{Ide.simps}(3) \ \text{Ide-Subst Join.simps}(2) \ \text{Src.simps}(3) \ \text{resid.simps}(2)$ )
qed
next
fix  $t1 \ t2 \ u$ 
assume  $ind1: \bigwedge u1. \text{Coinitial } t1 \ u1 \implies u1 \lesssim t1 \sqcup u1$ 
assume  $ind2: \bigwedge u2. \text{Coinitial } t2 \ u2 \implies u2 \lesssim t2 \sqcup u2$ 
assume  $tu: \text{Coinitial } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \ u$ 
show  $u \lesssim (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \sqcup u$ 
  using  $tu \ ind1 \ ind2 \ \text{Coinitial-iff-Con}$ 
  apply ( $\text{cases } u, \text{simp-all}$ )
  apply ( $\text{cases } \text{un-App1 } u, \text{simp-all}$ )
  by ( $\text{metis Ide.simps}(1) \ \text{Ide-Subst}+$ )
qed

```

lemma *Ide-resid-Join*:
shows $\text{Coinitial } t \ u \implies \text{Ide } (u \setminus (t \sqcup u))$
using *ide-char prfx-Join* **by** *blast*

lemma *join-of-Join*:
assumes $\text{Coinitial } t \ u$
shows $\text{join-of } t \ u \ (t \sqcup u)$
proof ($\text{unfold } \text{join-of-def} \ \text{composite-of-def}, \text{intro } \text{conjI}$)
show $t \lesssim t \sqcup u$
using $\text{assms} \ \text{Join-sym} \ \text{prfx-Join} \ [\text{of } u \ t]$ **by** *simp*
show $u \lesssim t \sqcup u$
using $\text{assms} \ \text{Ide-resid-Join} \ \text{ide-char}$ **by** *simp*
show $(t \sqcup u) \setminus t \lesssim u \setminus t$
by ($\text{metis } \langle \text{prfx } u \ (\text{Join } t \ u) \rangle \ \text{arr-char} \ \text{assms} \ \text{cong-subst-right}(2) \ \text{prfx-implies-con}$
 $\text{prfx-reflexive} \ \text{resid-Join} \ \text{con-sym} \ \text{cube}$)
show $u \setminus t \lesssim (t \sqcup u) \setminus t$

```

  by (metis Coinitial-resid-resid ⟨prfx t (Join t u)⟩ ⟨prfx u (Join t u)⟩ conE ide-char
      null-char prfx-implies-con resid-Ide-Arr cube)
show (t ⊔ u) \ u ≲ t \ u
  using ⟨(t ⊔ u) \ t ≲ u \ t⟩ cube by auto
show t \ u ≲ (t ⊔ u) \ u
  by (metis ⟨(t ⊔ u) \ t ≲ u \ t⟩ assms cube resid-Join)
qed

```

```

sublocale rts-with-joins resid
  using join-of-Join
  apply unfold-locales
  by (metis Coinitial-iff-Con conE joinable-def null-char)

```

```

lemma is-rts-with-joins:
shows rts-with-joins resid
..

```

3.2.5 Simulations from Syntactic Constructors

Here we show that the syntactic constructors *Lam* and *App*, as well as the substitution operation *subst*, determine simulations. In addition, we show that *Beta* determines a transformation from $App \circ (Lam \times Id)$ to *subst*.

```

abbreviation Lamext
where Lamext t ≡ if arr t then λ[t] else ‡

```

```

lemma Lam-is-simulation:
shows simulation resid resid Lamext
  using Arr-resid Coinitial-iff-Con
  by unfold-locales auto

```

```

interpretation Lam: simulation resid resid Lamext
  using Lam-is-simulation by simp

```

```

interpretation ΛxΛ: product-of-weakly-extensional-rts resid resid
..

```

```

abbreviation Appext
where Appext t ≡ if ΛxΛ.arr t then fst t ∘ snd t else ‡

```

```

lemma App-is-binary-simulation:
shows binary-simulation resid resid resid Appext
proof

```

```

  show  $\bigwedge t. \neg \Lambda x \Lambda . arr t \implies App_{ext} t = null$ 
  by auto

```

```

  show  $\bigwedge t u. \Lambda x \Lambda . con t u \implies con (App_{ext} t) (App_{ext} u)$ 
  using  $\Lambda x \Lambda . con\text{-char}$  Coinitial-iff-Con by auto

```

```

  show  $\bigwedge t u. \Lambda x \Lambda . con t u \implies App_{ext} (\Lambda x \Lambda . resid t u) = App_{ext} t \setminus App_{ext} u$ 
  using  $\Lambda x \Lambda . arr\text{-char}$   $\Lambda x \Lambda . resid\text{-def}$ 
  apply simp

```

by (metis Arr-resid Con-implies-Arr1 Con-implies-Arr2)
qed

interpretation App: binary-simulation resid resid App_{ext}
using App-is-binary-simulation by simp

abbreviation subst_{ext}
where subst_{ext} $\equiv \lambda t.$ if $\Lambda x \Lambda. \text{arr } t$ then $\text{subst } (\text{snd } t)$ (fst t) else $\#$

lemma subst-is-binary-simulation:
shows binary-simulation resid resid resid subst_{ext}
proof

show $\bigwedge t. \neg \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{arr } t \implies \text{subst}_{\text{ext}} t = \text{null}$
by auto
show $\bigwedge t u. \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{con } t u \implies \text{con } (\text{subst}_{\text{ext}} t) (\text{subst}_{\text{ext}} u)$
using $\Lambda x \Lambda. \text{con-char con-char Subst-not-Nil resid-Subst } \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{coinitialE}$
 $\Lambda x \Lambda. \text{con-imp-coinitial}$
apply simp
by metis
show $\bigwedge t u. \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{con } t u \implies \text{subst}_{\text{ext}} (\Lambda x \Lambda. \text{resid } t u) = \text{subst}_{\text{ext}} t \setminus \text{subst}_{\text{ext}} u$
using $\Lambda x \Lambda. \text{arr-char } \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{resid-def}$
apply simp
by (metis Arr-resid Con-implies-Arr1 Con-implies-Arr2 resid-Subst)

qed

interpretation subst: binary-simulation resid resid resid subst_{ext}
using subst-is-binary-simulation by simp

interpretation Id: identity-simulation resid

..

interpretation Lam-Id: product-simulation resid resid resid resid Lam_{ext} Id.map

..

interpretation App-o-Lam-Id: composite-simulation $\Lambda x \Lambda. \text{resid } \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{resid resid Lam-Id.map}$
App_{ext}

..

abbreviation Beta_{ext}
where Beta_{ext} $t \equiv$ if $\Lambda x \Lambda. \text{arr } t$ then $\lambda[\text{fst } t] \bullet \text{snd } t$ else $\#$

lemma Beta-is-transformation:
shows transformation $\Lambda x \Lambda. \text{resid resid App-o-Lam-Id.map subst}_{\text{ext}} \text{Beta}_{\text{ext}}$
proof

show $\bigwedge f. \neg \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{arr } f \implies \text{Beta}_{\text{ext}} f = \text{null}$
by simp
show $\bigwedge f. \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{ide } f \implies \text{src } (\text{Beta}_{\text{ext}} f) = \text{App-o-Lam-Id.map } f$
using $\Lambda x \Lambda. \text{src-char } \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{src-ide Lam-Id.map-def}$ by force
show $\bigwedge f. \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{ide } f \implies \text{trg } (\text{Beta}_{\text{ext}} f) = \text{subst}_{\text{ext}} f$
using $\Lambda x \Lambda. \text{trg-char } \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{trg-ide}$ by force
show $\bigwedge f. \Lambda x \Lambda. \text{arr } f \implies$


```

      Beta_ext (ΛxΛ.src f) \ App-o-Lam-Id.map f = Beta_ext (ΛxΛ.trg f)
    using ΛxΛ.src-char ΛxΛ.trg-char Arr-Trg Arr-not-Nil Lam-Id.map-def by simp
  show ∧f. ΛxΛ.arr f ⇒ App-o-Lam-Id.map f \ Beta_ext (ΛxΛ.src f) = subst_ext f
    using ΛxΛ.src-char ΛxΛ.trg-char Lam-Id.map-def by auto
  show ∧f. ΛxΛ.arr f ⇒ join-of (Beta_ext (ΛxΛ.src f)) (App-o-Lam-Id.map f) (Beta_ext f)
  proof -
    fix f
    assume f: ΛxΛ.arr f
    show join-of (Beta_ext (ΛxΛ.src f)) (App-o-Lam-Id.map f) (Beta_ext f)
    proof (intro join-ofI composite-ofI)
      show App-o-Lam-Id.map f ≲ Beta_ext f
        using f Lam-Id.map-def Ide-Subst arr-char prfx-char prfx-reflexive by auto
      show Beta_ext f \ Beta_ext (ΛxΛ.src f) ~ App-o-Lam-Id.map f \ Beta_ext (ΛxΛ.src f)
        using f Lam-Id.map-def ΛxΛ.src-char trg-char trg-def
        apply auto
        by (metis Arr-Subst Ide-Trg)
      show 1: Beta_ext f \ App-o-Lam-Id.map f ~ Beta_ext (ΛxΛ.src f) \ App-o-Lam-Id.map f
        using f Lam-Id.map-def Ide-Subst ΛxΛ.src-char Ide-Trg Arr-resid Coinitial-iff-Con
          resid-Arr-self
        apply simp
        by metis
      show Beta_ext (ΛxΛ.src f) ≲ Beta_ext f
        using f 1 Lam-Id.map-def Ide-Subst ΛxΛ.src-char resid-Arr-self by auto
    qed
  qed
  qed

```

The next two results are used to show that mapping App over lists of transitions preserves paths.

```

lemma App-is-simulation1:
  assumes ide a
  shows simulation resid resid (λt. if arr t then t o a else ‡)
  proof -
    have (λt. if ΛxΛ.arr (t, a) then fst (t, a) o snd (t, a) else ‡) =
      (λt. if arr t then t o a else ‡)
    using assms ide-implies-arr by force
  thus ?thesis
    using assms App.fixing-ide-gives-simulation-0 [of a] by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma App-is-simulation2:
  assumes ide a
  shows simulation resid resid (λt. if arr t then a o t else ‡)
  proof -
    have (λt. if ΛxΛ.arr (a, t) then fst (a, t) o snd (a, t) else ‡) =
      (λt. if arr t then a o t else ‡)
    using assms ide-implies-arr by force
  thus ?thesis
    using assms App.fixing-ide-gives-simulation-1 [of a] by auto
  qed

```

qed

3.2.6 Reduction and Conversion

Here we define the usual relations of reduction and conversion. Reduction is the least transitive relation that relates a to b if there exists an arrow t having a as its source and b as its target. Conversion is the least transitive relation that relates a to b if there exists an arrow t in either direction between a and b .

inductive *red*
where $Arr\ t \implies red\ (Src\ t)\ (Trg\ t)$
| $[[red\ a\ b; red\ b\ c]] \implies red\ a\ c$

inductive *cnv*
where $Arr\ t \implies cnv\ (Src\ t)\ (Trg\ t)$
| $Arr\ t \implies cnv\ (Trg\ t)\ (Src\ t)$
| $[[cnv\ a\ b; cnv\ b\ c]] \implies cnv\ a\ c$

lemma *cnv-refl*:
assumes *Ide a*
shows $cnv\ a\ a$
using *assms*
by (*metis Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr cnv.simps*)

lemma *cnv-sym*:
shows $cnv\ a\ b \implies cnv\ b\ a$
apply (*induct rule: cnv.induct*)
using *cnv.intros(1-2)*
apply *auto[2]*
using *cnv.intros(3)* **by** *blast*

lemma *red-imp-cnv*:
shows $red\ a\ b \implies cnv\ a\ b$
using *cnv.intros(1,3) red.inducts* **by** *blast*

end

We now define a locale that extends the residuation operation defined above to paths, using general results that have already been shown for paths in an RTS. In particular, we are taking advantage of the general proof of the Cube Lemma for residuation on paths.

Our immediate goal is to prove the Church-Rosser theorem, so we first prove a lemma that connects the reduction relation to paths. Later, we will prove many more facts in this locale, thereby developing a general framework for reasoning about reduction paths in the λ -calculus.

locale *reduction-paths* =
 Λ : *lambda-calculus*
begin

 sublocale Λ : *rts* Λ .*resid*

by (*simp add: $\Lambda.is\text{-rts-with-joins}$ $rts\text{-with-joins.}axioms(1)$*)
sublocale *paths-in-weakly-extensional-rts* $\Lambda.resid$
 ..
sublocale *paths-in-confluent-rts* $\Lambda.resid$
 using *confluent-rts.}axioms(1)* $\Lambda.is\text{-confluent-rts}$ *paths-in-rts-def*
 paths-in-confluent-rts.intro
 by *blast*

notation $\Lambda.resid$ (**infix** \setminus 70)
notation $\Lambda.con$ (**infix** \frown 50)
notation $\Lambda.prfx$ (**infix** \lesssim 50)
notation $\Lambda.cong$ (**infix** \sim 50)

notation *Resid* (**infix** $^*\setminus^*$ 70)
notation *Resid1x* (**infix** $^1\setminus^*$ 70)
notation *Residx1* (**infix** $^*\setminus^1$ 70)
notation *con* (**infix** $^*\frown^*$ 50)
notation *prfx* (**infix** $^*\lesssim^*$ 50)
notation *cong* (**infix** $^*\sim^*$ 50)

lemma *red-iff*:
shows $\Lambda.red\ a\ b \iff (\exists T. Arr\ T \wedge Src\ T = a \wedge Trg\ T = b)$
proof
show $\Lambda.red\ a\ b \implies \exists T. Arr\ T \wedge Src\ T = a \wedge Trg\ T = b$
proof (*induct rule: $\Lambda.red.induct$*)
show $\bigwedge t. \Lambda.Arr\ t \implies \exists T. Arr\ T \wedge Src\ T = \Lambda.Src\ t \wedge Trg\ T = \Lambda.Trg\ t$
 by (*metis* *Arr.simps(2)* *Srcs.simps(2)* *Srcs-simp_{PWE}* *Trg.simps(2)* $\Lambda.trg\text{-def}$
 $\Lambda.arr\text{-char}$ $\Lambda.resid\text{-Arr-self}$ $\Lambda.sources\text{-char}_\Lambda$ *singleton-insert-inj-eq'*)
show $\bigwedge a\ b\ c. [\exists T. Arr\ T \wedge Src\ T = a \wedge Trg\ T = b;$
 $\exists T. Arr\ T \wedge Src\ T = b \wedge Trg\ T = c]$
 $\implies \exists T. Arr\ T \wedge Src\ T = a \wedge Trg\ T = c$
 by (*metis* *Arr.simps(1)* *Arr-append_{PWE}* *Srcs-append* *Srcs-simp_{PWE}* *Trgs-append*
 Trgs-simp_{PWE} *singleton-insert-inj-eq'*)

qed
show $\exists T. Arr\ T \wedge Src\ T = a \wedge Trg\ T = b \implies \Lambda.red\ a\ b$
proof –
have $Arr\ T \implies \Lambda.red\ (Src\ T)\ (Trg\ T)$ **for** T
proof (*induct* T)
show $Arr\ [] \implies \Lambda.red\ (Src\ [])\ (Trg\ [])$
 by *auto*
fix $t\ T$
assume *ind*: $Arr\ T \implies \Lambda.red\ (Src\ T)\ (Trg\ T)$
assume *Arr*: $Arr\ (t\ \#\ T)$
show $\Lambda.red\ (Src\ (t\ \#\ T))\ (Trg\ (t\ \#\ T))$
proof (*cases* $T = []$)
show $T = [] \implies ?thesis$
 using *Arr arr-char* $\Lambda.red.intros(1)$ **by** *simp*
assume $T: T \neq []$
have $\Lambda.red\ (Src\ (t\ \#\ T))\ (\Lambda.Trg\ t)$

```

    apply simp
    by (meson Arr Arr.simps(2) Con-Arr-self Con-implies-Arr(1) Con-initial-left
         $\Lambda$ .arr-char  $\Lambda$ .red.intros(1))
    moreover have  $\Lambda$ .Trg t = Src T
    using Arr
    by (metis Arr.elims(2) Srcs-simpPWE T  $\Lambda$ .arr-iff-has-target insert-subset
         $\Lambda$ .targets-char $_{\Lambda}$  list.sel(1) list.sel(3) singleton-iff)
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using ind
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Arr Con-Arr-self Con-implies-Arr(2)
        Resid-cons(2) T Trg.simps(3)  $\Lambda$ .red.intros(2) neq-Nil-conv)
  qed
  qed
  thus  $\exists T. \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Src } T = a \wedge \text{Trg } T = b \implies \Lambda.\text{red } a b$ 
  by blast
  qed
  qed
end

```

3.2.7 The Church-Rosser Theorem

```

context lambda-calculus
begin

```

interpretation Λx : *reduction-paths* .

theorem *church-rosser*:

shows $\text{cnv } a b \implies \exists c. \text{red } a c \wedge \text{red } b c$

proof (*induct rule: cnv.induct*)

show $\bigwedge t. \text{Arr } t \implies \exists c. \text{red } (\text{Src } t) c \wedge \text{red } (\text{Trg } t) c$

by (*metis Ide-Trg Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-iff-Trg-self Ide-implies-Arr red.intros(1)*)

thus $\bigwedge t. \text{Arr } t \implies \exists c. \text{red } (\text{Trg } t) c \wedge \text{red } (\text{Src } t) c$

by *auto*

show $\bigwedge a b c. [\text{cnv } a b; \text{cnv } b c; \exists x. \text{red } a x \wedge \text{red } b x; \exists y. \text{red } b y \wedge \text{red } c y]$
 $\implies \exists z. \text{red } a z \wedge \text{red } c z$

proof –

fix $a b c$

assume *ind1*: $\exists x. \text{red } a x \wedge \text{red } b x$ **and** *ind2*: $\exists y. \text{red } b y \wedge \text{red } c y$

obtain x **where** x : $\text{red } a x \wedge \text{red } b x$

using *ind1* **by** *blast*

obtain y **where** y : $\text{red } b y \wedge \text{red } c y$

using *ind2* **by** *blast*

obtain $T1 U1$ **where** 1 : $\Lambda x. \text{Arr } T1 \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Arr } U1 \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Src } T1 = a \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Src } U1 = b \wedge$
 $\Lambda x. \text{Trgs } T1 = \Lambda x. \text{Trgs } U1$

using x $\Lambda x. \text{red-iff [of } a x]$ $\Lambda x. \text{red-iff [of } b x]$ **by** *fastforce*

obtain $T2 U2$ **where** 2 : $\Lambda x. \text{Arr } T2 \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Arr } U2 \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Src } T2 = b \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Src } U2 = c \wedge$
 $\Lambda x. \text{Trgs } T2 = \Lambda x. \text{Trgs } U2$

using y $\Lambda x. \text{red-iff [of } b y]$ $\Lambda x. \text{red-iff [of } c y]$ **by** *fastforce*

show $\exists e. \text{red } a \ e \wedge \text{red } c \ e$
proof –
let $?T = T1 \ @ \ (\Lambda x. \text{Resid } T2 \ U1)$ **and** $?U = U2 \ @ \ (\Lambda x. \text{Resid } U1 \ T2)$
have $?3: \Lambda x. \text{Arr } ?T \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Arr } ?U \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Src } ?T = a \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Src } ?U = c$
using 1 2
by (*metis* $\Lambda x. \text{Arr-append}_{PWE} \ \Lambda x. \text{Arr-has-Trg} \ \Lambda x. \text{Con-imp-Arr-Resid} \ \Lambda x. \text{Src-append} \ \Lambda x. \text{Src-resid} \ \Lambda x. \text{Srcs-simp}_{PWE} \ \Lambda x. \text{Trgs.simps}(1) \ \Lambda x. \text{Trgs-simp}_{PWE} \ \Lambda x. \text{arrI}_P \ \Lambda x. \text{arr-append-imp-seq} \ \Lambda x. \text{confluence-ind} \ \text{singleton-insert-inj-eq}'$)
moreover have $\Lambda x. \text{Trgs } ?T = \Lambda x. \text{Trgs } ?U$
using 1 2 3 $\Lambda x. \text{Srcs-simp}_{PWE} \ \Lambda x. \text{Trgs-Resid-sym} \ \Lambda x. \text{Trgs-append} \ \Lambda x. \text{confluence-ind}$
by *presburger*
ultimately have $\exists T \ U. \Lambda x. \text{Arr } T \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Arr } U \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Src } T = a \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Src } U = c \wedge \Lambda x. \text{Trgs } T = \Lambda x. \text{Trgs } U$
by *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using $\Lambda x. \text{red-iff} \ \Lambda x. \text{Arr-has-Trg}$ **by** *fastforce*
qed
qed
qed

corollary *weak-diamond*:
assumes $\text{red } a \ b$ **and** $\text{red } a \ b'$
obtains c **where** $\text{red } b \ c$ **and** $\text{red } b' \ c$
proof –
have $\text{cnv } b \ b'$
using *assms*
by (*metis* $\text{cnv.intros}(1,3) \ \text{cnv-sym} \ \text{red.induct}$)
thus *?thesis*
using *that church-rosser* **by** *blast*
qed

As a consequence of the Church-Rosser Theorem, the collection of all reduction paths forms a coherent normal sub-RTS of the RTS of reduction paths, and on identities the congruence induced by this normal sub-RTS coincides with convertibility. The quotient of the λ -calculus RTS by this congruence is then obviously discrete: the only transitions are identities.

interpretation *Red: normal-sub-rts* $\Lambda x. \text{Resid} \ \langle \text{Collect } \Lambda x. \text{Arr} \rangle$
proof
show $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{Collect } \Lambda x. \text{Arr} \implies \Lambda x. \text{arr } t$
by *blast*
show $\bigwedge a. \Lambda x. \text{ide } a \implies a \in \text{Collect } \Lambda x. \text{Arr}$
using $\Lambda x. \text{Ide-char} \ \Lambda x. \text{ide-char}$ **by** *blast*
show $\bigwedge u \ t. \llbracket u \in \text{Collect } \Lambda x. \text{Arr}; \Lambda x. \text{coinitial } t \ u \rrbracket \implies \Lambda x. \text{Resid } u \ t \in \text{Collect } \Lambda x. \text{Arr}$
by (*metis* $\Lambda x. \text{Con-imp-Arr-Resid} \ \Lambda x. \text{Resid.simps}(1) \ \Lambda x. \text{con-sym} \ \Lambda x. \text{confluence}_P \ \Lambda x. \text{ide-def} \ \langle \bigwedge a. \Lambda x. \text{ide } a \implies a \in \text{Collect } \Lambda x. \text{Arr} \rangle \ \text{mem-Collect-eq} \ \Lambda x. \text{arr-resid-iff-con}$)
show $\bigwedge u \ t. \llbracket u \in \text{Collect } \Lambda x. \text{Arr}; \Lambda x. \text{Resid } t \ u \in \text{Collect } \Lambda x. \text{Arr} \rrbracket \implies t \in \text{Collect } \Lambda x. \text{Arr}$
by (*metis* $\Lambda x. \text{Arr.simps}(1) \ \Lambda x. \text{Con-implies-Arr}(1) \ \text{mem-Collect-eq}$)
show $\bigwedge u \ t. \llbracket u \in \text{Collect } \Lambda x. \text{Arr}; \Lambda x. \text{seq } u \ t \rrbracket \implies \exists v. \Lambda x. \text{composite-of } u \ t \ v$
by (*meson* $\Lambda x. \text{obtains-composite-of}$)

show $\bigwedge u t. [u \in \text{Collect } \Lambda x.\text{Arr}; \Lambda x.\text{seq } t u] \implies \exists v. \Lambda x.\text{composite-of } t u v$
by (*meson* $\Lambda x.\text{obtains-composite-of}$)
qed

interpretation *Red*: *coherent-normal-sub-rts* $\Lambda x.\text{Resid} \langle \text{Collect } \Lambda x.\text{Arr} \rangle$
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*metis* *Red.Cong-closure-props*(4) *Red.Cong-imp-arr*(2) $\Lambda x.\text{Con-imp-Arr-Resid}$
 $\Lambda x.\text{arr-resid-iff-con}$ $\Lambda x.\text{con-char}$ $\Lambda x.\text{sources-resid}$ *mem-Collect-eq*)

lemma *cnv-iff-Cong*:

assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*

shows *cnv a b* \longleftrightarrow *Red.Cong* [a] [b]

proof

assume 1: *Red.Cong* [a] [b]

obtain *U V*

where *UV*: $\Lambda x.\text{Arr } U \wedge \Lambda x.\text{Arr } V \wedge \text{Red.Cong}_0 (\Lambda x.\text{Resid } [a] U) (\Lambda x.\text{Resid } [b] V)$

using 1 *Red.Cong-def* [of [a] [b]] **by** *blast*

have *red a* ($\Lambda x.\text{Trg } U$) \wedge *red b* ($\Lambda x.\text{Trg } V$)

by (*metis* *UV* $\Lambda x.\text{Arr.simps}$ (1) $\Lambda x.\text{Con-implies-Arr}$ (1) $\Lambda x.\text{Resid-single-ide}$ (2) $\Lambda x.\text{Src-resid}$
 $\Lambda x.\text{Trg.simps}$ (2) *assms*(1-2) *mem-Collect-eq* *reduction-paths.red-iff* *trg-ide*)

moreover **have** $\Lambda x.\text{Trg } U = \Lambda x.\text{Trg } V$

using *UV*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Red.Cong₀-imp-con* $\Lambda x.\text{Arr.simps}$ (1) $\Lambda x.\text{Con-Arr-self}$
 $\Lambda x.\text{Con-implies-Arr}$ (1) $\Lambda x.\text{Resid-single-ide}$ (2) $\Lambda x.\text{Src-resid}$ $\Lambda x.\text{cube}$ $\Lambda x.\text{ide-def}$
 $\Lambda x.\text{resid-arr-ide}$ *assms*(1) *mem-Collect-eq*)

ultimately show *cnv a b*

by (*metis* *cnv-sym* *cnv.intros*(3) *red-imp-cn*)

next

assume 1: *cnv a b*

obtain *c* **where** *c*: *red a c* \wedge *red b c*

using 1 *church-rosser* **by** *blast*

obtain *U* **where** *U*: $\Lambda x.\text{Arr } U \wedge \Lambda x.\text{Src } U = a \wedge \Lambda x.\text{Trg } U = c$

using *c* $\Lambda x.\text{red-iff}$ **by** *blast*

obtain *V* **where** *V*: $\Lambda x.\text{Arr } V \wedge \Lambda x.\text{Src } V = b \wedge \Lambda x.\text{Trg } V = c$

using *c* $\Lambda x.\text{red-iff}$ **by** *blast*

have $\Lambda x.\text{Resid1x } a U = c \wedge \Lambda x.\text{Resid1x } b V = c$

by (*metis* *U V* $\Lambda x.\text{Con-single-ide-ind}$ $\Lambda x.\text{Ide.simps}$ (2) $\Lambda x.\text{Resid1x-as-Resid}$
 $\Lambda x.\text{Resid-Ide-Arr-ind}$ $\Lambda x.\text{Resid-single-ide}$ (2) $\Lambda x.\text{Srcs-simp}_{PWE}$ $\Lambda x.\text{Trg.simps}$ (2)
 $\Lambda x.\text{Trg-resid-sym}$ $\Lambda x.\text{ex-un-Src}$ *assms*(1-2) *singletonD* *trg-ide*)

hence *Red.Cong₀* ($\Lambda x.\text{Resid } [a] U$) ($\Lambda x.\text{Resid } [b] V$)

by (*metis* *Red.Cong₀-reflexive* *U V* $\Lambda x.\text{Con-single-ideI}$ (1) $\Lambda x.\text{Resid1x-as-Resid}$
 $\Lambda x.\text{Srcs-simp}_{PWE}$ $\Lambda x.\text{arr-resid}$ $\Lambda x.\text{con-char}$ *assms*(1-2) *empty-set*
list.set-intros(1) *list.simps*(15))

thus *Red.Cong* [a] [b]

using *U V* *Red.Cong-def* [of [a] [b]] **by** *blast*

qed

interpretation Λq : *quotient-by-coherent-normal* $\Lambda x.\text{Resid} \langle \text{Collect } \Lambda x.\text{Arr} \rangle$

..

lemma *quotient-by-cnv-is-discrete*:
shows $\Lambda q.arr\ t \longleftrightarrow \Lambda q.ide\ t$
by (*metis Red.Cong-class-memb-is-arr* $\Lambda q.arr-char\ \Lambda q.ide-char'$ $\Lambda x.arr-char$
mem-Collect-eq subsetI)

3.2.8 Normalization

A *normal form* is an identity that is not the source of any non-identity arrow.

definition *NF*
where $NF\ a \equiv Ide\ a \wedge (\forall t. Arr\ t \wedge Src\ t = a \longrightarrow Ide\ t)$

lemma (*in reduction-paths*) *path-from-NF-is-Ide*:
assumes $\Lambda.NF\ a$
shows $\llbracket Arr\ U; Src\ U = a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Ide\ U$
proof (*induct U, simp*)
fix $u\ U$
assume *ind*: $\llbracket Arr\ U; Src\ U = a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Ide\ U$
assume uU : $Arr\ (u \# U)$ **and** a : $Src\ (u \# U) = a$
have $\Lambda.Ide\ u$
using *assms a* $\Lambda.NF-def\ uU$ **by** *force*
thus $Ide\ (u \# U)$
using $a\ uU\ ind$
by (*metis Arr-consE Con-Arr-self Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-initial-right Ide.simps(2)*
Ide-consI Srcs.simps(2) Srcs-simpPWE $\Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self\ \Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr$
 $\Lambda.sources-char_{\Lambda}\ \Lambda.trg-ide\ \Lambda.ide-char$
singleton-insert-inj-eq)

qed

lemma *NF-reduct-is-trivial*:
assumes $NF\ a$ **and** $red\ a\ b$
shows $a = b$
proof –
interpret Λx : *reduction-paths* .
have $\bigwedge U. \llbracket \Lambda x.Arr\ U; a \in \Lambda x.Srcs\ U \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Lambda x.Ide\ U$
using *assms* $\Lambda x.path-from-NF-is-Ide$
by (*simp add:* $\Lambda x.Srcs-simpPWE$)
thus *?thesis*
using *assms* $\Lambda x.red-iff$
by (*metis* $\Lambda x.Con-Arr-self\ \Lambda x.Resid-Arr-Ide-ind\ \Lambda x.Src-resid\ \Lambda x.path-from-NF-is-Ide$)

qed

lemma *NF-unique*:
assumes $red\ t\ u$ **and** $red\ t\ u'$ **and** $NF\ u$ **and** $NF\ u'$
shows $u = u'$
using *assms weak-diamond NF-reduct-is-trivial* **by** *metis*

A term is *normalizable* if it is an identity that is reducible to a normal form.

definition *normalizable*

where *normalizable* $a \equiv \text{Ide } a \wedge (\exists b. \text{red } a \ b \wedge \text{NF } b)$

end

3.3 Reduction Paths

In this section we develop further facts about reduction paths for the λ -calculus.

context *reduction-paths*

begin

3.3.1 Sources and Targets

lemma *Srcs-simp $_{\Lambda P}$* :

shows $\text{Arr } t \implies \text{Srcs } t = \{\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } t)\}$

by (*metis* *Arr-has-Src Srcs.elims list.sel(1) Λ .sources-char $_{\Lambda}$*)

lemma *Trgs-simp $_{\Lambda P}$* :

shows $\text{Arr } t \implies \text{Trgs } t = \{\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } t)\}$

by (*metis* *Arr.simps(1) Arr-has-Trg Trgs.simps(2) Trgs-append
append-butlast-last-id not-Cons-self2 Λ .targets-char $_{\Lambda}$*)

lemma *sources-single-Src [simp]*:

assumes $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t$

shows $\text{sources } [\Lambda.\text{Src } t] = \text{sources } [t]$

using *assms*

by (*metis* *Λ .Con-Arr-Src(1) Λ .Ide-Src Ide.simps(2) Resid.simps(3) con-char ideE
ide-char sources-resid Λ .con-char Λ .ide-char list.discI Λ .resid-Arr-Src*)

lemma *targets-single-Trg [simp]*:

assumes $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t$

shows $\text{targets } [\Lambda.\text{Trg } t] = \text{targets } [t]$

using *assms*

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *Resid.simps(3) conI $_P$ Λ .Arr-Trg Λ .arr-char Λ .resid-Arr-Src
 Λ .resid-Src-Arr Λ .arr-resid-iff-con targets-resid-sym*)

lemma *sources-single-Trg [simp]*:

assumes $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t$

shows $\text{sources } [\Lambda.\text{Trg } t] = \text{targets } [t]$

using *assms*

by (*metis* *Λ .Ide-Trg Ide.simps(2) ideE ide-char sources-resid Λ .ide-char
targets-single-Trg*)

lemma *targets-single-Src [simp]*:

assumes $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t$

shows $\text{targets } [\Lambda.\text{Src } t] = \text{sources } [t]$

using *assms*

by (*metis* *Λ .Arr-Src Λ .Trg-Src sources-single-Src sources-single-Trg*)

lemma *single- Src -hd-in-sources*:

assumes $\text{Arr } T$

shows $[\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T)] \in \text{sources } T$

using *assms*

by (*metis* $\text{Arr.simps}(1)$ Arr-has-Src $\text{Ide.simps}(2)$ Resid-Arr-Src Srcs-simp_P
 $\Lambda.\text{source-is-ide}$ conI_P empty-set ide-char in-sourcesI $\Lambda.\text{sources-char}_\Lambda$
 $\text{list.set-intros}(1)$ $\text{list.simps}(15)$)

lemma *single- Trg -last-in-targets*:

assumes $\text{Arr } T$

shows $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T)] \in \text{targets } T$

using *assms* targets-char_P Arr-imp-arr-last $\text{Trgs-simp}_{\Lambda P}$ $\Lambda.\text{Ide-Trg}$ **by** *fastforce*

lemma *in-sources-iff*:

assumes $\text{Arr } T$

shows $A \in \text{sources } T \longleftrightarrow A \text{ }^* \sim^* [\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T)]$

using *assms*

by (*meson* $\text{single-Src-hd-in-sources}$ sources-are-cong $\text{sources-cong-closed}$)

lemma *in-targets-iff*:

assumes $\text{Arr } T$

shows $B \in \text{targets } T \longleftrightarrow B \text{ }^* \sim^* [\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T)]$

using *assms*

by (*meson* $\text{single-Trg-last-in-targets}$ targets-are-cong $\text{targets-cong-closed}$)

lemma *seq-imp-cong-Trg-last-Src-hd*:

assumes $\text{seq } T U$

shows $\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T) \sim \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)$

using *assms* Arr-imp-arr-hd Arr-imp-arr-last Srcs-simp_{PWE} Trgs-simp_{PWE}
 $\Lambda.\text{cong-reflexive}$ seq-char

by (*metis* $\text{Srcs-simp}_{\Lambda P}$ $\text{Trgs-simp}_{\Lambda P}$ $\Lambda.\text{Arr-Trg}$ $\Lambda.\text{arr-char}$ singleton-inject)

lemma $\text{sources-char}_{\Lambda P}$:

shows $\text{sources } T = \{A. \text{Arr } T \wedge A \text{ }^* \sim^* [\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T)]\}$

using in-sources-iff arr-char sources-char_P **by** *auto*

lemma $\text{targets-char}_{\Lambda P}$:

shows $\text{targets } T = \{B. \text{Arr } T \wedge B \text{ }^* \sim^* [\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T)]\}$

using in-targets-iff arr-char targets-char **by** *auto*

lemma Src-hd-eqI :

assumes $T \text{ }^* \sim^* U$

shows $\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T) = \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)$

using *assms*

by (*metis* Con-imp-eq-Srcs $\text{Con-implies-Arr}(1)$ $\text{Ide.simps}(1)$ $\text{Srcs-simp}_{\Lambda P}$ ide-char
 $\text{singleton-insert-inj-eq}'$)

lemma Trg-last-eqI :

assumes $T \text{ }^* \sim^* U$

shows $\Lambda.Trg (last\ T) = \Lambda.Trg (last\ U)$

proof –

have $1: [\Lambda.Trg (last\ T)] \in targets\ T \wedge [\Lambda.Trg (last\ U)] \in targets\ U$

using *assms*

by (*metis Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) ide-char single-Trg-last-in-targets*)

have $\Lambda.cong (\Lambda.Trg (last\ T)) (\Lambda.Trg (last\ U))$

by (*metis 1 Ide.simps(2) Resid.simps(3) assms con-char cong-implies-coterminal coterminal-iff ide-char prfx-implies-con targets-are-cong*)

moreover have $\Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.Trg (last\ T)) \wedge \Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.Trg (last\ U))$

using *1 Ide.simps(2) ide-char* **by** *blast*

ultimately show *?thesis*

using $\Lambda.weak-extensionality$ **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI*:

assumes *seq T U*

shows $\Lambda.Trg (last\ T) = \Lambda.Src (hd\ U)$

using *assms Arr-imp-arr-hd Arr-imp-arr-last $\Lambda.Ide-Src$ $\Lambda.weak-extensionality$ $\Lambda.Ide-Trg$ seq-char seq-imp-cong-Trg-last-Src-hd*

by *force*

lemma *seqI _{ΛP} [intro]*:

assumes *Arr T and Arr U and $\Lambda.Trg (last\ T) = \Lambda.Src (hd\ U)$*

shows *seq T U*

by (*metis assms Arr-imp-arr-last Srcs-simp _{ΛP} $\Lambda.arr-char$ $\Lambda.targets-char_{\Lambda}$ Trgs-simp _{P} seq-char*)

lemma *conI _{ΛP} [intro]*:

assumes *arr T and arr U and $\Lambda.Src (hd\ T) = \Lambda.Src (hd\ U)$*

shows $T \text{ * } \frown \text{ * } U$

using *assms*

by (*simp add: Srcs-simp _{ΛP} arr-char con-char confluence-ind*)

3.3.2 Mapping Constructors over Paths

lemma *Arr-map-Lam*:

assumes *Arr T*

shows *Arr (map $\Lambda.Lam\ T$)*

proof –

interpret *Lam: simulation $\Lambda.resid\ \Lambda.resid\ \langle \lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.arr\ t \text{ then } \lambda[t] \text{ else } \sharp \rangle$*

using $\Lambda.Lam-is-simulation$ **by** *simp*

interpret *simulation Resid Resid*

$\langle \lambda T. \text{if } Arr\ T \text{ then } map (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.arr\ t \text{ then } \lambda[t] \text{ else } \sharp) T \text{ else } [] \rangle$

using *assms Lam.lifts-to-paths* **by** *blast*

have *map ($\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.arr\ t \text{ then } \lambda[t] \text{ else } \sharp) T = map\ \Lambda.Lam\ T$*

using *assms set-Arr-subset-arr* **by** *fastforce*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms preserves-reflects-arr [of T] arr-char*

by (*simp add: $\langle map (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.arr\ t \text{ then } \lambda[t] \text{ else } \sharp) T = map\ \Lambda.Lam\ T \rangle$*)

qed

lemma *Arr-map-App1*:

assumes Λ .Ide b **and** Arr T

shows Arr (map ($\lambda t. t \circ b$) T)

proof –

interpret *App1*: simulation Λ .resid Λ .resid $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } t \text{ then } t \circ b \text{ else } \# \rangle$

using *assms* Λ .App-is-simulation1 [of b] **by** *simp*

interpret simulation *Resid* *Resid*

$\langle \lambda T. \text{if } \text{Arr } T \text{ then } \text{map } (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } t \text{ then } t \circ b \text{ else } \#) T \text{ else } [] \rangle$

using *assms* *App1*.lifts-to-paths **by** *blast*

have map ($\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } t \text{ then } t \circ b \text{ else } \#$) $T = \text{map } (\lambda t. t \circ b) T$

using *assms* *set-Arr-subset-arr* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms* *preserves-reflects-arr arr-char*

by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))

qed

lemma *Arr-map-App2*:

assumes Λ .Ide a **and** Arr T

shows Arr (map (Λ .App a) T)

proof –

interpret *App2*: simulation Λ .resid Λ .resid $\langle \lambda u. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } u \text{ then } a \circ u \text{ else } \# \rangle$

using *assms* Λ .App-is-simulation2 **by** *simp*

interpret simulation *Resid* *Resid*

$\langle \lambda T. \text{if } \text{Arr } T \text{ then } \text{map } (\lambda u. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } u \text{ then } a \circ u \text{ else } \#) T \text{ else } [] \rangle$

using *assms* *App2*.lifts-to-paths **by** *blast*

have map ($\lambda u. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } u \text{ then } a \circ u \text{ else } \#$) $T = \text{map } (\lambda u. a \circ u) T$

using *assms* *set-Arr-subset-arr* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms* *preserves-reflects-arr arr-char*

by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))

qed

interpretation Λ_{Lam} : sub-rts Λ .resid $\langle \lambda t. \Lambda.\text{Arr } t \wedge \Lambda.\text{is-Lam } t \rangle$

proof

show $\bigwedge t. \Lambda.\text{Arr } t \wedge \Lambda.\text{is-Lam } t \implies \Lambda.\text{arr } t$

by *blast*

show $\bigwedge t. \Lambda.\text{Arr } t \wedge \Lambda.\text{is-Lam } t \implies \Lambda.\text{sources } t \subseteq \{t. \Lambda.\text{Arr } t \wedge \Lambda.\text{is-Lam } t\}$

by *auto*

show $[[\Lambda.\text{Arr } t \wedge \Lambda.\text{is-Lam } t; \Lambda.\text{Arr } u \wedge \Lambda.\text{is-Lam } u; \Lambda.\text{con } t u]]$

$\implies \Lambda.\text{Arr } (t \setminus u) \wedge \Lambda.\text{is-Lam } (t \setminus u)$

for $t u$

apply (*cases* t ; *cases* u)

apply *simp-all*

using Λ .Coinitial-resid-resid

by *presburger*

qed

interpretation *un-Lam: simulation* $\Lambda_{Lam}.resid \ \Lambda.resid$
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{Lam}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-Lam \ t \ \text{else } \# \rangle$

proof

let $?un-Lam = \lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{Lam}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-Lam \ t \ \text{else } \#$
show $\bigwedge t. \neg \Lambda_{Lam}.arr \ t \implies ?un-Lam \ t = \Lambda.null$
by *auto*
show $\bigwedge t \ u. \Lambda_{Lam}.con \ t \ u \implies \Lambda.con \ (?un-Lam \ t) \ (?un-Lam \ u)$
by *auto*
show $\bigwedge t \ u. \Lambda_{Lam}.con \ t \ u \implies ?un-Lam \ (\Lambda_{Lam}.resid \ t \ u) = ?un-Lam \ t \ \setminus \ ?un-Lam \ u$
using $\Lambda_{Lam}.resid-closed \ \Lambda_{Lam}.resid-def$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *Arr-map-un-Lam:*

assumes *Arr T and set T* $\subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.is-Lam$

shows *Arr (map $\Lambda.un-Lam \ T$)*

proof –

have $\text{map } (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{Lam}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-Lam \ t \ \text{else } \#) \ T = \text{map } \Lambda.un-Lam \ T$
using *assms set-Arr-subset-arr* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) $\Lambda_{Lam}.path-reflection \ \Lambda.arr-char \ mem-Collect-eq \ set-Arr-subset-arr \ subset-code(1) \ un-Lam.preserves-paths$*)

qed

interpretation Λ_{App} : *sub-rts* $\Lambda.resid \ \langle \lambda t. \Lambda.Arr \ t \ \wedge \ \Lambda.is-App \ t \rangle$

proof

show $\bigwedge t. \Lambda.Arr \ t \ \wedge \ \Lambda.is-App \ t \implies \Lambda.arr \ t$
by *blast*
show $\bigwedge t. \Lambda.Arr \ t \ \wedge \ \Lambda.is-App \ t \implies \Lambda.sources \ t \subseteq \{t. \Lambda.Arr \ t \ \wedge \ \Lambda.is-App \ t\}$
by *auto*
show $\llbracket \Lambda.Arr \ t \ \wedge \ \Lambda.is-App \ t; \ \Lambda.Arr \ u \ \wedge \ \Lambda.is-App \ u; \ \Lambda.con \ t \ u \rrbracket$
 $\implies \Lambda.Arr \ (t \ \setminus \ u) \ \wedge \ \Lambda.is-App \ (t \ \setminus \ u)$
for $t \ u$
using $\Lambda.Arr-resid$
by (*cases t; cases u*) *auto*

qed

interpretation *un-App1: simulation* $\Lambda_{App}.resid \ \Lambda.resid$

$\langle \lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App1 \ t \ \text{else } \# \rangle$

proof

let $?un-App1 = \lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App1 \ t \ \text{else } \#$
show $\bigwedge t. \neg \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \implies ?un-App1 \ t = \Lambda.null$
by *auto*
show $\bigwedge t \ u. \Lambda_{App}.con \ t \ u \implies \Lambda.con \ (?un-App1 \ t) \ (?un-App1 \ u)$
by *auto*
show $\Lambda_{App}.con \ t \ u \implies ?un-App1 \ (\Lambda_{App}.resid \ t \ u) = ?un-App1 \ t \ \setminus \ ?un-App1 \ u$
for $t \ u$
using $\Lambda_{App}.resid-def \ \Lambda.Arr-resid$
by (*cases t; cases u*) *auto*

qed

interpretation *un-App2: simulation* $\Lambda_{App}.resid \Lambda.resid$
 $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App2 \ t \ \text{else } \# \rangle$

proof

let $?un-App2 = \lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App2 \ t \ \text{else } \#$
show $\bigwedge t. \neg \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \implies ?un-App2 \ t = \Lambda.null$
by *auto*
show $\bigwedge t \ u. \Lambda_{App}.con \ t \ u \implies \Lambda.con \ (?un-App2 \ t) \ (?un-App2 \ u)$
by *auto*
show $\Lambda_{App}.con \ t \ u \implies ?un-App2 \ (\Lambda_{App}.resid \ t \ u) = ?un-App2 \ t \ \setminus \ ?un-App2 \ u$
for $t \ u$
using $\Lambda_{App}.resid-def \ \Lambda.Arr-resid$
by (*cases t; cases u*) *auto*

qed

lemma *Arr-map-un-App1:*

assumes *Arr T and set* $T \subseteq Collect \ \Lambda.is-App$

shows *Arr* ($map \ \Lambda.un-App1 \ T$)

proof –

interpret $P_{App}: paths-in-rts \ \Lambda_{App}.resid$

..

interpret *un-App1: simulation* $P_{App}.Resid \ Resid$

$\langle \lambda T. \text{if } P_{App}.Arr \ T \ \text{then}$
 $map \ (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App1 \ t \ \text{else } \#) \ T$
 $\text{else } [] \rangle$

using *un-App1.lifts-to-paths* by *simp*

have 1: $map \ (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App1 \ t \ \text{else } \#) \ T = map \ \Lambda.un-App1 \ T$

using *assms set-Arr-subset-arr* by *auto*

have 2: $P_{App}.Arr \ T$

using *assms set-Arr-subset-arr* $\Lambda_{App}.path-reflection$ [of T] by *blast*

hence *arr* (*if* $P_{App}.Arr \ T$ *then* $map \ (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App1 \ t \ \text{else } \#) \ T$ *else* $[]$)

using *un-App1.preserves-reflects-arr* [of T] by *blast*

hence *Arr* (*if* $P_{App}.Arr \ T$ *then* $map \ (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App1 \ t \ \text{else } \#) \ T$ *else* $[]$)

using *arr-char* by *auto*

hence *Arr* (*if* $P_{App}.Arr \ T$ *then* $map \ \Lambda.un-App1 \ T$ *else* $[]$)

using 1 by *metis*

thus *?thesis*

using 2 by *simp*

qed

lemma *Arr-map-un-App2:*

assumes *Arr T and set* $T \subseteq Collect \ \Lambda.is-App$

shows *Arr* ($map \ \Lambda.un-App2 \ T$)

proof –

interpret $P_{App}: paths-in-rts \ \Lambda_{App}.resid$

..

interpret *un-App2: simulation* $P_{App}.Resid \ Resid$

$\langle \lambda T. \text{if } P_{App}.Arr \ T \ \text{then}$

$$\text{map } (\lambda t. \text{ if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App2 \ t \ \text{else } \#) \ T$$

$$\text{else } [] \rangle$$

using *un-App2.lifts-to-paths* **by** *simp*
have 1: $\text{map } (\lambda t. \text{ if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App2 \ t \ \text{else } \#) \ T = \text{map } \Lambda.un-App2 \ T$
using *assms set-Arr-subset-arr* **by** *auto*
have 2: $P_{App}.Arr \ T$
using *assms set-Arr-subset-arr* $\Lambda_{App}.path-reflection$ [of T] **by** *blast*
hence *arr* (if $P_{App}.Arr \ T$ then $\text{map } (\lambda t. \text{ if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App2 \ t \ \text{else } \#) \ T$ else $[]$)
using *un-App2.preserves-reflects-arr* [of T] **by** *blast*
hence *Arr* (if $P_{App}.Arr \ T$ then $\text{map } (\lambda t. \text{ if } \Lambda_{App}.arr \ t \ \text{then } \Lambda.un-App2 \ t \ \text{else } \#) \ T$ else $[]$)
using *arr-char* **by** *blast*
hence *Arr* (if $P_{App}.Arr \ T$ then $\text{map } \Lambda.un-App2 \ T$ else $[]$)
using 1 **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis*
using 2 **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *map-App-map-un-App1*:
shows $[[Arr \ U; \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.is-App; \Lambda.Ide \ b; \Lambda.un-App2 \ ' \ \text{set } U \subseteq \{b\}] \implies$
 $\text{map } (\lambda t. \Lambda.App \ t \ b) \ (\text{map } \Lambda.un-App1 \ U) = U$
by (*induct U*) *auto*

lemma *map-App-map-un-App2*:
shows $[[Arr \ U; \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.is-App; \Lambda.Ide \ a; \Lambda.un-App1 \ ' \ \text{set } U \subseteq \{a\}] \implies$
 $\text{map } (\Lambda.App \ a) \ (\text{map } \Lambda.un-App2 \ U) = U$
by (*induct U*) *auto*

lemma *map-Lam-Resid*:
assumes *coinitial T U*
shows $\text{map } \Lambda.Lam \ (T \ * \setminus \ * \ U) = \text{map } \Lambda.Lam \ T \ * \setminus \ * \ \text{map } \Lambda.Lam \ U$
proof –

interpret *Lam*: *simulation* $\Lambda.resid \ \Lambda.resid \ \langle \lambda t. \text{ if } \Lambda.arr \ t \ \text{then } \lambda[t] \ \text{else } \# \rangle$
using $\Lambda.Lam-is-simulation$ **by** *simp*
interpret *Lamx*: *simulation* *Resid* *Resid*
 $\langle \lambda T. \text{ if } Arr \ T \ \text{then}$
 $\text{map } (\lambda t. \text{ if } \Lambda.arr \ t \ \text{then } \lambda[t] \ \text{else } \#) \ T$
 $\text{else } [] \rangle$
using *Lam.lifts-to-paths* **by** *simp*
have $\bigwedge T. Arr \ T \implies \text{map } (\lambda t. \text{ if } \Lambda.arr \ t \ \text{then } \lambda[t] \ \text{else } \#) \ T = \text{map } \Lambda.Lam \ T$
using *set-Arr-subset-arr* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $Arr \ (T \ * \setminus \ * \ U)$
using *assms confluence_P Con-imp-Arr-Resid con-char* **by** *force*
moreover **have** $T \ * \frown \ * \ U$
using *assms confluence* **by** *simp*
moreover **have** $Arr \ T \wedge Arr \ U$
using *assms arr-char* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *assms Lamx.preserves-resid* [of $T \ U$] **by** *presburger*
qed

lemma *map-App1-Resid*:

assumes $\Lambda.$ *Ide* x **and** *coinitial* T U

shows $\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } x) (T \text{ }^* \setminus^* U) = \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } x) T \text{ }^* \setminus^* \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } x) U$

proof –

interpret *App*: *simulation* $\Lambda.\text{resid}$ $\Lambda.\text{resid}$ $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } t \text{ then } x \circ t \text{ else } \sharp \rangle$

using *assms* $\Lambda.\text{App-is-simulation2}$ **by** *simp*

interpret *Appx*: *simulation* *Resid* *Resid*

$\langle \lambda T. \text{if } \text{Arr } T \text{ then } \text{map } (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } t \text{ then } x \circ t \text{ else } \sharp) T \text{ else } [] \rangle$

using *App.lifts-to-paths* **by** *simp*

have $\bigwedge T. \text{Arr } T \implies \text{map } (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } t \text{ then } x \circ t \text{ else } \sharp) T = \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } x) T$

using *set-Arr-subset-arr* **by** *auto*

moreover **have** $\text{Arr } (T \text{ }^* \setminus^* U)$

using *assms* *confluence_P* *Con-imp-Arr-Resid* *con-char* **by** *force*

moreover **have** $T \text{ }^* \frown^* U$

using *assms* *confluence* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $\text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Arr } U$

using *assms* *arr-char* **by** *auto*

ultimately **show** *?thesis*

using *assms* *Appx.preserves-resid* [of T U] **by** *presburger*

qed

lemma *map-App2-Resid*:

assumes $\Lambda.$ *Ide* x **and** *coinitial* T U

shows $\text{map } (\lambda t. t \circ x) (T \text{ }^* \setminus^* U) = \text{map } (\lambda t. t \circ x) T \text{ }^* \setminus^* \text{map } (\lambda t. t \circ x) U$

proof –

interpret *App*: *simulation* $\Lambda.\text{resid}$ $\Lambda.\text{resid}$ $\langle \lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } t \text{ then } t \circ x \text{ else } \sharp \rangle$

using *assms* $\Lambda.\text{App-is-simulation1}$ **by** *simp*

interpret *Appx*: *simulation* *Resid* *Resid*

$\langle \lambda T. \text{if } \text{Arr } T \text{ then } \text{map } (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } t \text{ then } t \circ x \text{ else } \sharp) T \text{ else } [] \rangle$

using *App.lifts-to-paths* **by** *simp*

have $\bigwedge T. \text{Arr } T \implies \text{map } (\lambda t. \text{if } \Lambda.\text{arr } t \text{ then } t \circ x \text{ else } \sharp) T = \text{map } (\lambda t. t \circ x) T$

using *set-Arr-subset-arr* **by** *auto*

moreover **have** $\text{Arr } (T \text{ }^* \setminus^* U)$

using *assms* *confluence_P* *Con-imp-Arr-Resid* *con-char* **by** *force*

moreover **have** $T \text{ }^* \frown^* U$

using *assms* *confluence* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $\text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Arr } U$

using *assms* *arr-char* **by** *auto*

ultimately **show** *?thesis*

using *assms* *Appx.preserves-resid* [of T U] **by** *presburger*

qed

lemma *cong-map-Lam*:

shows $T \text{ }^* \sim^* U \implies \text{map } \Lambda.\text{Lam } T \text{ }^* \sim^* \text{map } \Lambda.\text{Lam } U$

apply (*induct* U *arbitrary*: T)

apply (*simp* *add*: *ide-char*)

by (*metis* *map-Lam-Resid* *cong-implies-coinitial* *cong-reflexive* *ideE*

map-is-Nil-conv *Con-imp-Arr-Resid* *arr-char*)

lemma *cong-map-App1*:
shows $[\Lambda. Ide\ x; T\ *\sim* U] \implies map\ (\Lambda.App\ x)\ T\ *\sim* map\ (\Lambda.App\ x)\ U$
apply (*induct U arbitrary: x T*)
apply (*simp add: ide-char*)
apply (*intro conjI*)
by (*metis Nil-is-map-conv arr-resid-iff-con con-char con-imp-coinitial*
cong-reflexive ideE map-App1-Resid)+

lemma *cong-map-App2*:
shows $[\Lambda. Ide\ x; T\ *\sim* U] \implies map\ (\lambda X. X\ \circ\ x)\ T\ *\sim* map\ (\lambda X. X\ \circ\ x)\ U$
apply (*induct U arbitrary: x T*)
apply (*simp add: ide-char*)
apply (*intro conjI*)
by (*metis Nil-is-map-conv arr-resid-iff-con con-char cong-implies-coinitial*
cong-reflexive ide-def arr-char ideE map-App2-Resid)+

3.3.3 Decomposition of ‘App Paths’

The following series of results is aimed at showing that a reduction path, all of whose transitions have *App* as their top-level constructor, can be factored up to congruence into a reduction path in which only the “rator” components are reduced, followed by a reduction path in which only the “rand” components are reduced.

lemma *orthogonal-App-single-single*:
assumes $\Lambda. Arr\ t$ **and** $\Lambda. Arr\ u$
shows $[\Lambda. Src\ t\ \circ\ u]\ *\backslash*\ [t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Src\ u] = [\Lambda. Trg\ t\ \circ\ u]$
and $[t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Src\ u]\ *\backslash*\ [\Lambda. Src\ t\ \circ\ u] = [t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Trg\ u]$
using *assms arr-char $\Lambda. Arr-not-Nil$ by auto*

lemma *orthogonal-App-single-Arr*:
shows $[\Lambda. Arr\ [t]; Arr\ U] \implies$
 $map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Src\ t))\ U\ *\backslash*\ [t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Src\ (hd\ U)] = map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Trg\ t))\ U\ \wedge$
 $[t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Src\ (hd\ U)]\ *\backslash*\ map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Src\ t))\ U = [t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Trg\ (last\ U)]$
proof (*induct U arbitrary: t*)
show $\bigwedge t. [\Lambda. Arr\ [t]; Arr\ []] \implies$
 $map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Src\ t))\ []\ *\backslash*\ [t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Src\ (hd\ [])] = map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Trg\ t))\ []\ \wedge$
 $[t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Src\ (hd\ [])]\ *\backslash*\ map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Src\ t))\ [] = [t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Trg\ (last\ [])]$
by *fastforce*
fix $t\ u\ U$
assume *ind*: $\bigwedge t. [\Lambda. Arr\ [t]; Arr\ U] \implies$
 $map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Src\ t))\ U\ *\backslash*\ [t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Src\ (hd\ U)] =$
 $map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Trg\ t))\ U\ \wedge$
 $[t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Src\ (hd\ U)]\ *\backslash*\ map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Src\ t))\ U = [t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Trg\ (last\ U)]$
assume $t: Arr\ [t]$
assume $uU: Arr\ (u\ \# U)$
show $map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Src\ t))\ (u\ \# U)\ *\backslash*\ [t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Src\ (hd\ (u\ \# U))] =$
 $map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Trg\ t))\ (u\ \# U)\ \wedge$
 $[t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Src\ (hd\ (u\ \# U))]\ *\backslash*\ map\ (\Lambda.App\ (\Lambda. Src\ t))\ (u\ \# U) =$
 $[t\ \circ\ \Lambda. Trg\ (last\ (u\ \# U))]$


```

proof (cases U = [])
  show U = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
    using t uU orthogonal-App-single-single by simp
  assume U: U  $\neq$  []
  have 2: coinital ([ $\Lambda$ .Src t  $\circ$  u] @ map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U) [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src u]
  proof
    show 3: arr ([ $\Lambda$ .Src t  $\circ$  u] @ map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U)
      using t uU
      by (metis Arr-iff-Con-self Arr-map-App2 Con-rec(1) append-Cons append-Nil arr-char
         $\Lambda$ .Con-implies-Arr2  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Src  $\Lambda$ .con-char list.simps(9))
    show sources ([ $\Lambda$ .Src t  $\circ$  u] @ map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U) = sources [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src u]
    proof -
      have seq [ $\Lambda$ .Src t  $\circ$  u] (map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U)
        using U 3 arr-append-imp-seq by force
      thus ?thesis
        using sources-append [of [ $\Lambda$ .Src t  $\circ$  u] map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U]
          sources-single-Src [of  $\Lambda$ .Src t  $\circ$  u]
          sources-single-Src [of t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src u]
        using arr-char t
        by (simp add: seq-char)
    qed
  qed
  show ?thesis
  proof
    show 4: map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) (u # U)  $\*\ \*$  [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (u # U))] =
      map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Trg t)) (u # U)
    proof -
      have map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) (u # U)  $\*\ \*$  [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (u # U))] =
        ([ $\Lambda$ .Src t  $\circ$  u] @ map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U)  $\*\ \*$  [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src u]
      by simp
      also have ... = [ $\Lambda$ .Src t  $\circ$  u]  $\*\ \*$  [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src u] @
        map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U  $\*\ \*$  ([t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src u]  $\*\ \*$  [ $\Lambda$ .Src t  $\circ$  u])
      by (meson 2 Resid-append(1) con-char confluence not-Cons-self2)
      also have ... = [ $\Lambda$ .Trg t  $\circ$  u] @ map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U  $\*\ \*$  [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Trg u]
      using t  $\Lambda$ .Arr-not-Nil
      by (metis Arr-imp-arr-hd  $\Lambda$ .arr-char list.sel(1) orthogonal-App-single-single(1)
        orthogonal-App-single-single(2) uU)
      also have ... = [ $\Lambda$ .Trg t  $\circ$  u] @ map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Trg t)) U
    proof -
      have  $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U) =  $\Lambda$ .Trg u
      using U uU Arr.elims(2) Srcs-simp $\Lambda$ P by force
      thus ?thesis
      using t uU ind Arr.elims(2) by fastforce
    qed
    also have ... = map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Trg t)) (u # U)
      by auto
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  show [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (u # U))]  $\*\ \*$  map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) (u # U) =

```

$[t \circ \Lambda.Trg (last (u \# U))]$
proof –
have $[t \circ \Lambda.Src (hd (u \# U))] * \setminus * map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src t)) (u \# U) =$
 $[t \circ \Lambda.Src (hd (u \# U))] * \setminus * [\Lambda.Src t \circ u] * \setminus * map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src t)) U$
by $(metis U \ 4 \ Con-sym \ Resid-cons(2) \ list.distinct(1) \ list.simps(9) \ map-is-Nil-conv)$
also have $... = [t \circ \Lambda.Trg u] * \setminus * map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src t)) U$
by $(metis Arr-imp-arr-hd \ lambda-calculus.arr-char \ list.sel(1) \ orthogonal-App-single-single(2) \ t \ uU)$
also have $... = [t \circ \Lambda.Trg (last (u \# U))]$
by $(metis 2 \ t \ uU \ Con-Arr-self \ Con-cons(1) \ Con-implies-Arr(1) \ Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI \ arr-append-imp-seq \ coinitalE \ ind \ \Lambda.Src.simps(4) \ \Lambda.Trg.simps(3) \ \Lambda.lambda.inject(3) \ last.simps \ list.distinct(1) \ list.map-sel(1) \ map-is-Nil-conv)$
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma *orthogonal-App-Arr-Arr*:

shows $\llbracket Arr \ T; \ Arr \ U \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src (hd \ T))) U * \setminus * map (\lambda X. \ \Lambda.App \ X \ (\Lambda.Src (hd \ U))) T =$
 $map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Trg (last \ T))) U \wedge$
 $map (\lambda X. \ X \circ \Lambda.Src (hd \ U)) T * \setminus * map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src (hd \ T))) U =$
 $map (\lambda X. \ X \circ \Lambda.Trg (last \ U)) T$

proof $(induct \ T \ arbitrary: \ U)$

show $\bigwedge U. \llbracket Arr \ \square; \ Arr \ U \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src (hd \ \square))) U * \setminus * map (\lambda X. \ X \circ \Lambda.Src (hd \ U)) \square =$
 $map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Trg (last \ \square))) U \wedge$
 $map (\lambda X. \ X \circ \Lambda.Src (hd \ U)) \square * \setminus * map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src (hd \ \square))) U =$
 $map (\lambda X. \ X \circ \Lambda.Trg (last \ U)) \square$

by *simp*

fix $t \ T \ U$

assume $ind: \bigwedge U. \llbracket Arr \ T; \ Arr \ U \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src (hd \ T))) U * \setminus *$
 $map (\lambda X. \ \Lambda.App \ X \ (\Lambda.Src (hd \ U))) T =$
 $map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Trg (last \ T))) U \wedge$
 $map (\lambda X. \ X \circ \Lambda.Src (hd \ U)) T * \setminus * map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src (hd \ T))) U =$
 $map (\lambda X. \ X \circ \Lambda.Trg (last \ U)) T$

assume $tT: Arr (t \# T)$

assume $U: Arr \ U$

show $map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src (hd (t \# T)))) U * \setminus * map (\lambda X. \ X \circ \Lambda.Src (hd \ U)) (t \# T) =$
 $map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Trg (last (t \# T)))) U \wedge$
 $map (\lambda X. \ X \circ \Lambda.Src (hd \ U)) (t \# T) * \setminus * map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Src (hd (t \# T)))) U =$
 $map (\lambda X. \ X \circ \Lambda.Trg (last \ U)) (t \# T)$

proof $(cases \ T = \square)$

show $T = \square \Longrightarrow ?thesis$

using $tT \ U$

by $(simp \ add: \ orthogonal-App-single-Arr)$

assume $T: T \neq \square$

```

have 1: Arr T
  using T tT Arr-imp-Arr-tl by fastforce
have 2:  $\Lambda$ .Src (hd T) =  $\Lambda$ .Trg t
  using tT T Arr.elims(2) Srcs-simp $\Lambda$ P by force
show ?thesis
proof
show 3: map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (t # T)))) U  $\backslash^*$ 
  map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) (t # T) =
  map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Trg (last (t # T)))) U
proof -
have map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (t # T)))) U  $\backslash^*$  map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) (t # T)
=
  map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U  $\backslash^*$ 
  ([ $\Lambda$ .App t ( $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U))]) @ map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) T
  using tT U by simp
also have ... = (map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U  $\backslash^*$  [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)])  $\backslash^*$ 
  map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) T
  using tT U Resid-append(2)
  by (metis Con-appendI(2) Resid.simps(1) T map-is-Nil-conv not-Cons-self2)
also have ... = map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Trg t)) U  $\backslash^*$  map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) T
  using tT U orthogonal-App-single-Arr Arr-imp-arr-hd by fastforce
also have ... = map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Trg (last (t # T)))) U
  using tT U 1 2 ind by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) (t # T)  $\backslash^*$ 
  map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (t # T)))) U =
  map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Trg (last U)) (t # T)
proof -
have map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) (t # T)  $\backslash^*$ 
  map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (t # T)))) U =
  ([t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)]) @ map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) T  $\backslash^*$ 
  map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U
  using tT U by simp
also have ... = ([t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)])  $\backslash^*$  map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U @
  (map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) T  $\backslash^*$ 
  (map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U  $\backslash^*$  [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)]))
  using tT U 3 Con-sym
  Resid-append(1)
  [of [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)] map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) T
  map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Src t)) U]
  by fastforce
also have ... = [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Trg (last U)] @
  map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src (hd U)) T  $\backslash^*$  map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Trg t)) U
  using tT U Arr-imp-arr-hd orthogonal-App-single-Arr by fastforce
also have ... = [t  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Trg (last U)] @ map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Trg (last U)) T
  using tT U 1 2 ind by presburger
also have ... = map ( $\lambda X$ . X  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Trg (last U)) (t # T)
  by simp

```

finally show *?thesis by blast*
 qed
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *orthogonal-App-cong*:

assumes *Arr T and Arr U*

shows $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T @ \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U \sim^* \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U @ \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T$

proof

have 1: $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T)$
 using *assms Arr-imp-arr-hd Arr-map-App1 $\Lambda.\text{Ide-Src}$ by force*
 have 2: $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U)$
 using *assms Arr-imp-arr-last Arr-map-App2 $\Lambda.\text{Ide-Trg}$ by force*
 have 3: $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U)$
 using *assms Arr-imp-arr-hd Arr-map-App2 $\Lambda.\text{Ide-Src}$ by force*
 have 4: $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T)$
 using *assms Arr-imp-arr-last Arr-map-App1 $\Lambda.\text{Ide-Trg}$ by force*
 have 5: $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T @ \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U)$
 using *assms*
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 1 2 Arr.simps(2) Arr-has-Src Arr-imp-arr-last Srcs.simps(1) Srcs-Resid-Arr-single Trgs-simpP arr-append arr-char last-map orthogonal-App-single-Arr seq-char*)
 have 6: $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U @ \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T)$
 using *assms*
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 3 4 Arr.simps(2) Arr-has-Src Arr-imp-arr-hd Srcs.simps(1) Srcs.simps(2) Srcs-Resid Srcs-simpP arr-append arr-char hd-map orthogonal-App-single-Arr seq-char*)
 have 7: $\text{Con } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T @ \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U) (\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U @ \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T)$
 using *assms orthogonal-App-Arr-Arr [of T U]*
 by (*metis 1 2 5 6 Con-imp-eq-Srcs Resid.simps(1) Srcs-append confluence-ind*)
 have 8: $\text{Con } (\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U @ \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T) (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T @ \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U)$
 using *7 Con-sym by simp*
 show $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T @ \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U \lesssim^* \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U @ \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T$

proof –

have $(\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T @ \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U) \sim^* (\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U @ \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T) = \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T \sim^* \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T @ (\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U) \sim^* \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U \sim^* (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T) \sim^* \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T$
 using *assms 7 orthogonal-App-Arr-Arr Resid-append2 [of map $(\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T$ map $(\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U$ map $(\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U$ map $(\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T]$*

by *fastforce*
moreover have *Ide ...*
using *assms 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Resid-Arr-self*
by (*metis Arr-append-iff_P Con-Arr-self Con-imp-Arr-Resid Ide-appendI_P*
Resid-Ide-Arr-ind append-Nil2 calculation)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *ide-char* **by** *presburger*
qed
show $\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U @ \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T \stackrel{*}{\lesssim} \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T @ \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U$
proof –
have $\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U \stackrel{*}{\setminus} \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T = \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U$
by (*simp add: assms orthogonal-App-Arr-Arr*)
have $(\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U @ \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T) \stackrel{*}{\setminus} (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T @ \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U) = (\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U) \stackrel{*}{\setminus} \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U @ (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T \stackrel{*}{\setminus} \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T) \stackrel{*}{\setminus} (\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U) \stackrel{*}{\setminus} \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U$
using *assms 8 orthogonal-App-Arr-Arr [of T U]*
Resid-append2
 $[\text{of map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } T))) U \text{ map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } U)) T \text{ map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)) T \text{ map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } T))) U]$
by *fastforce*
moreover have *Ide ...*
using *assms 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 Resid-Arr-self Arr-append-iff_P Con-sym*
by (*metis Con-Arr-self Con-imp-Arr-Resid Ide-appendI_P Resid-Ide-Arr-ind append-Nil2 calculation*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *ide-char* **by** *presburger*
qed
qed

We arrive at the final objective of this section: factorization, up to congruence, of a path whose transitions all have *App* as the top-level constructor, into the composite of a path that reduces only the “rators” and a path that reduces only the “rands”.

lemma *map-App-decomp*:

shows $[[\text{Arr } U; \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}]] \implies \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (\text{hd } U))) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U) @ \text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ X) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } U) \stackrel{*}{\sim} U$

proof (*induct U*)

show $\text{Arr } [] \implies \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (\text{hd } []))) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } []) @ \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } [])))) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } []) \stackrel{*}{\sim} []$

by *simp*

fix *u U*

assume *ind*: $[[\text{Arr } U; \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}]] \implies \text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.\text{App } X (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (\text{hd } U)))) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U) @$

$$\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda. \text{Trg } (\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ X) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } U) \text{ }^* \sim^* U$$

assume $uU: \text{Arr } (u \# U)$
assume $\text{set}: \text{set } (u \# U) \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda. \text{is-App}$
have $u: \Lambda. \text{Arr } u \wedge \Lambda. \text{is-App } u$
using $\text{set set-Arr-subset-arr } uU$ **by** fastforce
show $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } (\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (\text{hd } (u \# U)))) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } (u \# U)) \text{ } @$
 $\text{map } (\Lambda. \text{App } (\Lambda. \text{Trg } (\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U)))) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U))) \text{ }^* \sim^*$
 $u \# U$
proof $(\text{cases } U = [])$
assume $U: U = []$
show $?thesis$
using $u \ U \ \Lambda. \text{Con-sym } \Lambda. \text{Ide-iff-Src-self } \Lambda. \text{resid-Arr-self } \Lambda. \text{resid-Src-Arr}$
 $\Lambda. \text{resid-Arr-Src } \Lambda. \text{Src-resid } \Lambda. \text{Arr-resid ide-char } \Lambda. \text{Arr-not-Nil}$
by $(\text{cases } u, \text{simp-all})$
next
assume $U: U \neq []$
have $1: \text{Arr } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)$
using $U \ \text{set Arr-map-un-App1 } uU$
by $(\text{metis Arr-imp-Arr-tl list.distinct(1) list.map-disc-iff list.map-sel(2) list.sel(3)})$
have $2: \text{Arr } [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u]$
using $U \ uU \ \text{set}$
by $(\text{metis Arr.simps(2) Arr-imp-arr-hd Arr-map-un-App2 hd-map list.discI list.sel(1)})$
have $3: \Lambda. \text{Arr } (\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } u) \wedge \Lambda. \text{Arr } (\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u)$
using $uU \ \text{set}$
by $(\text{metis Arr-imp-arr-hd Arr-map-un-App1 Arr-map-un-App2 } \Lambda. \text{arr-char}$
 $\text{list.distinct(1) list.map-sel(1) list.sel(1)})$
have $4: \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } (\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u)) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U) \text{ } @$
 $[\Lambda. \text{Trg } (\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u] \text{ }^* \sim^*$
 $[\Lambda. \text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)) \circ \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u] \text{ } @$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Trg } (\text{last } [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u])) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)$
proof $-$
have $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } (\text{hd } [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u])) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U) =$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } (\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u)) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)$
using $U \ uU \ \text{set}$ **by** simp
moreover **have** $\text{map } (\Lambda. \text{App } (\Lambda. \text{Trg } (\text{last } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)))) [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u] =$
 $[\Lambda. \text{Trg } (\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u]$
by $(\text{simp add: } U \ \text{last-map})$
moreover **have** $\text{map } (\Lambda. \text{App } (\Lambda. \text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)))) [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u] =$
 $[\Lambda. \text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)) \circ \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u]$
by simp
moreover **have** $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Trg } (\text{last } [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u])) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U) =$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Trg } (\text{last } [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u])) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)$
using $U \ uU \ \text{set}$ **by** blast
ultimately show $?thesis$
using $U \ uU \ \text{set last-map hd-map } 1 \ 2 \ 3$
 $\text{orthogonal-App-cong [of map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U \ [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u]]$
by presburger
qed

```

have 5:  $\Lambda.$ Arr ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $u \circ \Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ ))
  by (simp add: 3)
have 6: Arr (map ( $\lambda X.$   $\Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (last  $U$ ))  $\circ X$ ) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $U$ ))
  by (metis 1 Arr-imp-arr-last Arr-map-App2 Arr-map-un-App2 Con-implies-Arr(2)
    Ide.simps(1) Resid-Arr-self Resid-cons(2)  $U$  insert-subset
     $\Lambda.$ Ide-Trg  $\Lambda.$ arr-char last-map list.simps(15) set  $uU$ )
have 7:  $\Lambda.$ Arr ( $\Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (last  $U$ )))
  by (metis 4 Arr.simps(2) Arr-append-iffP Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1)
     $U$  ide-char  $\Lambda.$ Arr.simps(4)  $\Lambda.$ arr-char list.map-disc-iff not-Cons-self2)
have 8:  $\Lambda.$ Src (hd (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $U$ )) =  $\Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $u$ )
proof –
  have  $\Lambda.$ Src (hd  $U$ ) =  $\Lambda.$ Trg  $u$ 
    using  $u$   $uU$   $U$  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using  $u$   $uU$   $U$  set
    apply (cases  $u$ ; cases hd  $U$ )
      apply (simp-all add: list.map-sel(1))
    using list.set-sel(1)
    by fastforce
qed
have 9:  $\Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2 (hd  $U$ )) =  $\Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ )
proof –
  have  $\Lambda.$ Src (hd  $U$ ) =  $\Lambda.$ Trg  $u$ 
    using  $u$   $uU$   $U$  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using  $u$   $uU$   $U$  set
    apply (cases  $u$ ; cases hd  $U$ )
      apply simp-all
    by (metis lambda-calculus.lambda.disc(15) list.set-sel(1) mem-Collect-eq
    subset-code(1))
qed
have map ( $\lambda X.$   $X \circ \Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2 (hd ( $u \# U$ )))) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u \# U$ )) @
  map (( $\circ$ ) ( $\Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (last ( $u \# U$ )))) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App2 ( $u \# U$ ))) =
  [ $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $u \circ \Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ )] @
  (map ( $\lambda X.$   $X \circ \Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ ))
    (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $U$ ) @ [ $\Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (last  $U$ ))  $\circ \Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ ]) @
  map (( $\circ$ ) ( $\Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (last  $U$ )))) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $U$ )
  using  $uU$   $U$  by simp
also have 12: cong ... ([ $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $u \circ \Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ ]) @
  ([ $\Lambda.$ Src (hd (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $U$ ))  $\circ \Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ ] @
  map ( $\lambda X.$   $X \circ \Lambda.$ Trg (last [ $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ ])) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $U$ )) @
  map (( $\circ$ ) ( $\Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (last  $U$ )))) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $U$ ))
proof (intro cong-append [of [ $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $u \circ \Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ )]
  cong-append where  $U = \text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (last  $U$ ))  $\circ X$ )
  (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $U$ )]
  show [ $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $u \circ \Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ )] *~* [ $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $u \circ \Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $u$ )]
    using 5 arr-char cong-reflexive Arr.simps(2)  $\Lambda.$ arr-char by presburger
  show map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (last  $U$ ))  $\circ X$ ) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $U$ ) *~*
    map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (last  $U$ ))  $\circ X$ ) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App2  $U$ )

```

using 6 *cong-reflexive* **by** *auto*
show $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U) \text{ @}$
 $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u] \text{ *~*}$
 $[\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)) \circ \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u] \text{ @}$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } [\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u])) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)$
using 4 **by** *simp*
show 10: $\text{seq } [\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)]$
 $((\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U) \text{ @}$
 $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u]) \text{ @}$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ X) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } U))$
proof
show $\text{Arr } [\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)]$
using 5 *Arr.simps(2)* **by** *blast*
show $\text{Arr } ((\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U) \text{ @}$
 $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u]) \text{ @}$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ X) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } U))$
proof (*intro Arr-appendI_{PWE}*)
show $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U))$
using 1 3 *Arr-map-App1 lambda-calculus.Ide-Src* **by** *blast*
show $\text{Arr } [\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u]$
by (*simp add: 3 7*)
show $\text{Trg } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)) =$
 $\text{Src } [\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u]$
by (*metis 4 Arr-appendE_{PWE} Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1) U ide-char*
list.map-disc-iff not-Cons-self2)
show $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ X) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } U))$
using 6 **by** *simp*
show $\text{Trg } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U) \text{ @}$
 $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u]) =$
 $\text{Src } (\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ X) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } U))$
using *U uU set 1 3 6 7 9 Srcs-simp_{PWE} Arr-imp-arr-hd Arr-imp-arr-last*
apply *auto*
by (*metis Nil-is-map-conv hd-map \Lambda.Src.simps(4) \Lambda.Src-Trg \Lambda.Trg-Trg*
last-map list.map-comp)
qed
show $\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } [\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)]) =$
 $\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } ((\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U) \text{ @}$
 $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u]) \text{ @}$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ X) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } U)))$
using 8 9
by (*simp add: 3 U hd-map*)
qed
show $\text{seq } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U) \text{ @}$
 $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u])$
 $(\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)) \circ X) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } U))$
by (*metis Nil-is-map-conv U 10 append-is-Nil-conv arr-append-imp-seq seqE*)
qed
also have 11: $[\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u)] \text{ @}$
 $([\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)) \circ \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u] \text{ @}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Trg } (\text{last } [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u])) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U) \text{ @} \\ & \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda. \text{Trg } (\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)))) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } U) = \\ & ([\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } u \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } (\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u)] \text{ @} \\ & [\Lambda. \text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)) \circ \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u] \text{ @} \\ & \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Trg } (\text{last } [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u])) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U) \text{ @} \\ & \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda. \text{Trg } (\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)))) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } U) \end{aligned}$$

by simp
also have $\text{cong } \dots ([u] \text{ @ } U)$
proof (*intro cong-append*)
show $\text{seq } ([\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } u \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } (\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u)] \text{ @} \\ [\Lambda. \text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)) \circ \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u] \\ (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Trg } (\text{last } [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u])) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U) \text{ @} \\ \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda. \text{Trg } (\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)))) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } U))$
by (*metis 5 11 12 U Arr.simps(1-2) Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1) Nil-is-map-conv*
append-is-Nil-conv arr-append-imp-seq arr-char ide-char \Lambda.arr-char)
show $[\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } u \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } (\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u)] \text{ @} \\ [\Lambda. \text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U)) \circ \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u] \text{ *}\sim\text{*}$
 $[u]$
proof –
have $[\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } u \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } (\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u)] \text{ @} \\ [\Lambda. \text{Trg } (\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } u) \circ \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u] \text{ *}\sim\text{*}$
 $[u]$
using $u \ uU \ U \ \Lambda. \text{Arr-Trg} \ \Lambda. \text{Arr-not-Nil} \ \Lambda. \text{resid-Arr-self}$
apply (*cases u*)
apply auto
by force+
thus *?thesis using 8 by simp*
qed
show $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Trg } (\text{last } [\Lambda. \text{un-App2 } u])) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App1 } U) \text{ @} \\ \text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda. \text{Trg } (\Lambda. \text{un-App1 } (\text{last } U)))) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } U) \text{ *}\sim\text{*}$
 U
using *ind set 9*
apply simp
using $U \ uU$ **by blast**
qed
also have $[u] \text{ @ } U = u \# U$
by simp
finally show *?thesis by blast*
qed
qed

3.3.4 Miscellaneous

lemma *Resid-parallel:*

assumes *cong t t'* **and** *coinitial t u*

shows $u \text{ *}\backslash\text{* } t = u \text{ *}\backslash\text{* } t'$

proof –

have $u \text{ *}\backslash\text{* } t = (u \text{ *}\backslash\text{* } t) \text{ *}\backslash\text{* } (t' \text{ *}\backslash\text{* } t)$

using *assms*

by (*metis con-target conI_P con-sym resid-arr-ide*)
also have ... = ($u \text{ ** } t'$) ** ($t \text{ ** } t'$)
using *cube by auto*
also have ... = $u \text{ ** } t'$
using *assms*
by (*metis con-target conI_P con-sym resid-arr-ide*)
finally show *?thesis by blast*
qed

lemma *set-Ide-subset-single-hd*:
shows $Ide\ T \implies set\ T \subseteq \{hd\ T\}$
apply (*induct T, auto*)
using $\Lambda.coinitial-ide-are-cong$
by (*metis Arr-imp-arr-hd Ide-consE Ide-imp-Ide-hd Ide-implies-Arr Srcs-simp_{PWE} Srcs-simp_{AP}*
 $\Lambda.trg-ide\ equals0D\ \Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self\ \Lambda.arr-char\ \Lambda.ide-char\ set-empty\ singletonD$
 $subset-code(1)$)

A single parallel reduction with *Beta* as the top-level operator factors, up to congruence, either as a path in which the top-level redex is contracted first, or as a path in which the top-level redex is contracted last.

lemma *Beta-decomp*:
assumes $\Lambda.Arr\ t$ **and** $\Lambda.Arr\ u$
shows $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u] @ [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t] \text{ ** } [\lambda[t] \bullet u]$
and $[\lambda[t] \circ u] @ [\lambda[\Lambda.Trig\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Trig\ u] \text{ ** } [\lambda[t] \bullet u]$
using *assms* $\Lambda.Arr-not-Nil\ \Lambda.Subst-not-Nil\ ide-char\ \Lambda.Ide-Subst\ \Lambda.Ide-Trig$
 $\Lambda.Arr-Subst\ \Lambda.resid-Arr-self$
by *auto*

If a reduction path follows an initial reduction whose top-level constructor is *Lam*, then all the terms in the path have *Lam* as their top-level constructor.

lemma *seq-Lam-Arr-implies*:
shows $\llbracket seq\ [t]\ U; \Lambda.is-Lam\ t \rrbracket \implies set\ U \subseteq Collect\ \Lambda.is-Lam$
proof (*induct U arbitrary: t*)
show $\bigwedge t. \llbracket seq\ [t]\ []; \Lambda.is-Lam\ t \rrbracket \implies set\ [] \subseteq Collect\ \Lambda.is-Lam$
by *simp*
fix $u\ U\ t$
assume *ind*: $\bigwedge t. \llbracket seq\ [t]\ U; \Lambda.is-Lam\ t \rrbracket \implies set\ U \subseteq Collect\ \Lambda.is-Lam$
assume $uU: seq\ [t]\ (u \# U)$
assume $t: \Lambda.is-Lam\ t$
show $set\ (u \# U) \subseteq Collect\ \Lambda.is-Lam$
proof –
have $\Lambda.is-Lam\ u$
by (*metis Trig-last-Src-hd-eqI* $\Lambda.Src.simps(1-2,4-5)$ $\Lambda.Trig.simps(2)$ $\Lambda.is-App-def$
 $\Lambda.is-Beta-def\ \Lambda.is-Lam-def\ \Lambda.is-Var-def\ \Lambda.lambda.disc(9)\ \Lambda.lambda.exhaust-disc$
 $last-ConsL\ list.sel(1)\ t\ uU$)
moreover have $set\ U \subseteq Collect\ \Lambda.is-Lam$
proof (*cases* $U = []$)
show $U = [] \implies ?thesis$
by *simp*

```

assume  $U: U \neq []$ 
have  $seq [u] U$ 
  by (metis  $U$  append-Cons arr-append-imp-seq not-Cons-self2 self-append-conv2
     $seqE uU$ )
thus ?thesis
  using ind calculation by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

lemma seq-map-un-Lam:
assumes  $seq [\lambda[t]] U$ 
shows  $seq [t] (map \Lambda.un-Lam U)$ 
proof –
  have  $Arr (\lambda[t] \# U)$ 
    using assms
    by (simp add: seq-char)
  hence  $Arr (map \Lambda.un-Lam (\lambda[t] \# U)) \wedge Arr U$ 
    using seq-Lam-Arr-implies
    by (metis  $Arr-map-un-Lam \langle seq [\lambda[t]] U \rangle \Lambda.lambda.discI(2)$  mem-Collect-eq
      seq-char set-ConsD subset-code(1))
  hence  $Arr (\Lambda.un-Lam \lambda[t] \# map \Lambda.un-Lam U) \wedge Arr U$ 
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using seq-char
    by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $Arr.simps(1)$  Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-implies-Arr(2)
      Con-initial-right Resid-rec(1) Resid-rec(3) Srcs-Resid \Lambda.lambda.sel(2)
      map-is-Nil-conv confluence-ind)
qed

end

```

3.4 Developments

A *development* is a reduction path from a term in which at each step exactly one redex is contracted, and the only redexes that are contracted are those that are residuals of redexes present in the original term. That is, no redexes are contracted that were newly created as a result of the previous reductions. The main theorem about developments is the Finite Developments Theorem, which states that all developments are finite. A proof of this theorem was published by Hindley [6], who attributes the result to Schroer [9]. Other proofs were published subsequently. Here we follow the paper by de Vrijer [5], which may in some sense be considered the definitive work because de Vrijer’s proof gives an exact bound on the number of steps in a development. Since de Vrijer used a classical, named-variable representation of λ -terms, for the formalization given in the present article it was necessary to find the correct way to adapt de Vrijer’s proof to the de Bruijn index representation of terms. I found this to be a somewhat delicate matter

and to my knowledge it has not been done previously.

context *lambda-calculus*
begin

We define an *elementary reduction* defined to be a term with exactly one marked redex. These correspond to the most basic computational steps.

```
fun elementary-reduction
where elementary-reduction  $\# \longleftrightarrow$  False
  | elementary-reduction («»)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  | elementary-reduction  $\lambda[t] \longleftrightarrow$  elementary-reduction t
  | elementary-reduction (t o u)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    (elementary-reduction t  $\wedge$  Ide u)  $\vee$  (Ide t  $\wedge$  elementary-reduction u)
  | elementary-reduction ( $\lambda[t] \bullet u$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  Ide t  $\wedge$  Ide u
```

It is tempting to imagine that elementary reductions would be atoms with respect to the preorder \lesssim , but this is not necessarily the case. For example, suppose $t = \lambda[\langle 1 \rangle] \bullet (\lambda[\langle 0 \rangle] \circ \langle 0 \rangle)$ and $u = \lambda[\langle 1 \rangle] \bullet (\lambda[\langle 0 \rangle] \bullet \langle 0 \rangle)$. Then t is an elementary reduction, $u \lesssim t$ (in fact $u \sim t$) but u is not an identity, nor is it elementary.

```
lemma elementary-reduction-is-arr:
shows elementary-reduction t  $\implies$  arr t
  using Ide-implies-Arr arr-char
  by (induct t) auto
```

```
lemma elementary-reduction-not-ide:
shows elementary-reduction t  $\implies$   $\neg$  ide t
  using ide-char
  by (induct t) auto
```

```
lemma elementary-reduction-Raise-iff:
shows  $\bigwedge d n.$  elementary-reduction (Raise d n t)  $\longleftrightarrow$  elementary-reduction t
  using Ide-Raise
  by (induct t) auto
```

```
lemma elementary-reduction-Lam-iff:
shows is-Lam t  $\implies$  elementary-reduction t  $\longleftrightarrow$  elementary-reduction (un-Lam t)
  by (metis elementary-reduction.simps(3) lambda.collapse(2))
```

```
lemma elementary-reduction-App-iff:
shows is-App t  $\implies$  elementary-reduction t  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (elementary-reduction (un-App1 t)  $\wedge$  ide (un-App2 t))  $\vee$ 
  (ide (un-App1 t)  $\wedge$  elementary-reduction (un-App2 t))
  using ide-char
  by (metis elementary-reduction.simps(4) lambda.collapse(3))
```

```
lemma elementary-reduction-Beta-iff:
shows is-Beta t  $\implies$  elementary-reduction t  $\longleftrightarrow$  ide (un-Beta1 t)  $\wedge$  ide (un-Beta2 t)
  using ide-char
  by (metis elementary-reduction.simps(5) lambda.collapse(4))
```

lemma *cong-elementary-reductions-are-equal*:
shows $\llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } t; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; t \sim u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow t = u$
proof (*induct t arbitrary: u*)
show $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } \sharp; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; \sharp \sim u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \sharp = u$
by *simp*
show $\bigwedge x u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } \langle x \rangle; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; \langle x \rangle \sim u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \langle x \rangle = u$
by *simp*
show $\bigwedge t u. \llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } t; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; t \sim u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow t = u;$
 $\text{elementary-reduction } \lambda[t]; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; \lambda[t] \sim u \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \lambda[t] = u$
by (*metis elementary-reduction-Lam-iff lambda.collapse(2) lambda.inject(2) prfx-Lam-iff*)
show $\bigwedge t1 t2. \llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } t1; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; t1 \sim u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow t1 = u;$
 $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } t2; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; t2 \sim u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow t2 = u;$
 $\text{elementary-reduction } (t1 \circ t2); \text{ elementary-reduction } u; t1 \circ t2 \sim u \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow t1 \circ t2 = u$
for *u*
using *prfx-App-iff*
apply (*cases u*)
apply *auto[3]*
apply (*metis elementary-reduction-App-iff ide-backward-stable lambda.sel(3-4)*
weak-extensionality)
by *auto*
show $\bigwedge t1 t2. \llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } t1; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; t1 \sim u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow t1 = u;$
 $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } t2; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; t2 \sim u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow t2 = u;$
 $\text{elementary-reduction } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2); \text{ elementary-reduction } u; \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \sim u \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 = u$
for *u*
using *prfx-App-iff*
apply (*cases u, simp-all*)
by (*metis (full-types) Coinitial-iff-Con Ide-iff-Src-self Ide.simps(1)*)
qed

An *elementary reduction path* is a path in which each step is an elementary reduction. It will be convenient to regard the empty list as an elementary reduction path, even though it is not actually a path according to our previous definition of that notion.

definition (*in reduction-paths*) *elementary-reduction-path*

where *elementary-reduction-path* $T \longleftrightarrow$

$(T = [] \vee \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{set } T \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction})$

In the formal definition of “development” given below, we represent a set of redexes simply by a term, in which the occurrences of *Beta* correspond to the redexes in the set. To express the idea that an elementary reduction u is a member of the set of redexes represented by term t , it is not adequate to say $u \lesssim t$. To see this, consider the developments of a term of the form $\lambda[t1] \bullet t2$. Intuitively, such developments should consist of a (possibly empty) initial segment containing only transitions of the form $t1 \circ t2$, followed by a transition of the form $\lambda[u1'] \bullet u2'$, followed by a development of the residual of the original $\lambda[t1] \bullet t2$ after what has come so far. The requirement $u \lesssim \lambda[t1] \bullet t2$ is not a strong enough constraint on the transitions in the initial segment,

because $\lambda[u1] \bullet u2 \lesssim \lambda[t1] \bullet t2$ can hold for $t2$ and $u2$ coinital, but otherwise without any particular relationship between their sets of marked redexes. In particular, this can occur when $u2$ and $t2$ occur as subterms that can be deleted by the contraction of an outer redex. So we need to introduce a notion of containment between terms that is stronger and more “syntactic” than \lesssim . The notion “subsumed by” defined below serves this purpose. Term u is subsumed by term t if both terms are arrows with exactly the same form except that t may contain $\lambda[t1] \bullet t2$ (a marked redex) in places where u contains $\lambda[t1] \circ t2$.

```

fun subs (infix  $\sqsubseteq$  50)
where  $\llbracket i \rrbracket \sqsubseteq \llbracket i' \rrbracket \longleftrightarrow i = i'$ 
  |  $\lambda[t] \sqsubseteq \lambda[t'] \longleftrightarrow t \sqsubseteq t'$ 
  |  $t \circ u \sqsubseteq t' \circ u' \longleftrightarrow t \sqsubseteq t' \wedge u \sqsubseteq u'$ 
  |  $\lambda[t] \circ u \sqsubseteq \lambda[t'] \bullet u' \longleftrightarrow t \sqsubseteq t' \wedge u \sqsubseteq u'$ 
  |  $\lambda[t] \bullet u \sqsubseteq \lambda[t'] \bullet u' \longleftrightarrow t \sqsubseteq t' \wedge u \sqsubseteq u'$ 
  |  $- \sqsubseteq - \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 

```

lemma *subs-implies-prfx*:

shows $t \sqsubseteq u \implies t \lesssim u$

apply (*induct t arbitrary: u*)

apply *auto[1]*

using *subs.elims(2)*

apply *fastforce*

proof –

show $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \bigwedge u. t \sqsubseteq u \implies t \lesssim u; \lambda[t] \sqsubseteq u \rrbracket \implies \lambda[t] \lesssim u$ **for** u

by (*cases u, auto*) *fastforce*

show $\bigwedge t2. \llbracket \bigwedge u1. t1 \sqsubseteq u1 \implies t1 \lesssim u1;$

$\bigwedge u2. t2 \sqsubseteq u2 \implies t2 \lesssim u2;$

$t1 \circ t2 \sqsubseteq u \rrbracket$

$\implies t1 \circ t2 \lesssim u$ **for** $t1 u$

apply (*cases t1; cases u*)

apply *simp-all*

apply *fastforce+*

apply (*metis Ide-Subst con-char lambda.sel(2) subs.simps(2) prfx-Lam-iff prfx-char prfx-implies-con*)

by *fastforce+*

show $\bigwedge t1 t2. \llbracket \bigwedge u1. t1 \sqsubseteq u1 \implies t1 \lesssim u1;$

$\bigwedge u2. t2 \sqsubseteq u2 \implies t2 \lesssim u2;$

$\lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \sqsubseteq u \rrbracket$

$\implies \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \lesssim u$ **for** u

using *Ide-Subst*

apply (*cases u, simp-all*)

by (*metis Ide.simps(1)*)

qed

The following is an example showing that two terms can be related by \lesssim without being related by \sqsubseteq .

lemma *subs-example*:

shows $\lambda[\llbracket 1 \rrbracket] \bullet (\lambda[\llbracket 0 \rrbracket] \bullet \llbracket 0 \rrbracket) \lesssim \lambda[\llbracket 1 \rrbracket] \bullet (\lambda[\llbracket 0 \rrbracket] \circ \llbracket 0 \rrbracket) = \text{True}$

and $\lambda[\langle 1 \rangle] \bullet (\lambda[\langle 0 \rangle] \bullet \langle 0 \rangle) \sqsubseteq \lambda[\langle 1 \rangle] \bullet (\lambda[\langle 0 \rangle] \circ \langle 0 \rangle) = \text{False}$
by *auto*

lemma *subs-Ide*:
shows $[\text{ide } u; \text{Src } t = \text{Src } u] \implies u \sqsubseteq t$
using *Ide-Src Ide-implies-Arr Ide-iff-Src-self*
by (*induct t arbitrary: u, simp-all*) *force+*

lemma *subs-App*:
shows $u \sqsubseteq t1 \circ t2 \iff \text{is-App } u \wedge \text{un-App1 } u \sqsubseteq t1 \wedge \text{un-App2 } u \sqsubseteq t2$
by (*metis lambda.collapse(3) prfx-App-iff subs.simps(3) subs-implies-prfx*)

end

context *reduction-paths*
begin

We now formally define a *development* of t to be an elementary reduction path U that is cointial with $[t]$ and is such that each transition u in U is subsumed by the residual of t along the prefix of U coming before u . Stated another way, each transition in U corresponds to the contraction of a single redex that is the residual of a redex originally marked in t .

fun *development*
where *development* $t [] \iff \Lambda.\text{Arr } t$
| *development* $t (u \# U) \iff$
 $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } u \wedge u \sqsubseteq t \wedge \text{development } (t \setminus u) U$

lemma *development-imp-Arr*:
assumes *development* $t U$
shows $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t$
using *assms*
by (*metis* $\Lambda.\text{Con-implies-Arr2 } \Lambda.\text{Ide.simps}(1) \Lambda.\text{ide-char } \Lambda.\text{subs-implies-prfx}$
development.elims}(2))

lemma *development-Ide*:
shows $\Lambda.\text{Ide } t \implies \text{development } t U \iff U = []$
using $\Lambda.\text{Ide-implies-Arr}$
apply (*induct U arbitrary: t*)
apply *auto*
by (*meson* $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-not-ide } \Lambda.\text{ide-backward-stable } \Lambda.\text{ide-char}$
 $\Lambda.\text{subs-implies-prfx}$)

lemma *development-implies*:
shows $\text{development } t U \implies \text{elementary-reduction-path } U \wedge (U \neq [] \longrightarrow U \stackrel{*}{\lesssim} [t])$
apply (*induct U arbitrary: t*)
using *elementary-reduction-path-def*
apply *simp*
proof –
fix $t u U$

```

assume ind:  $\bigwedge t. \text{development } t \ U \implies$ 
            $\text{elementary-reduction-path } U \wedge (U \neq [] \longrightarrow U \text{ *}\lesssim^* [t])$ 
show  $\text{development } t \ (u \# U) \implies$ 
            $\text{elementary-reduction-path } (u \# U) \wedge (u \# U \neq [] \longrightarrow u \# U \text{ *}\lesssim^* [t])$ 
proof (cases  $U = []$ )
  assume  $uU: \text{development } t \ (u \# U)$ 
  show  $U = [] \implies ?thesis$ 
    using  $uU \ \Lambda.\text{subs-implies-prfx} \ \text{ide-char} \ \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-is-arr}$ 
            $\text{elementary-reduction-path-def} \ \text{prfx-implies-con}$ 
    by force
  assume  $U: U \neq []$ 
  have  $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } u \wedge u \sqsubseteq t \wedge \text{development } (t \setminus u) \ U$ 
    using  $U \ uU \ \text{development.elims}(1)$  by blast
  hence  $1: \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } u \wedge \text{elementary-reduction-path } U \wedge u \sqsubseteq t \wedge$ 
            $(U \neq [] \longrightarrow U \text{ *}\lesssim^* [t \setminus u])$ 
    using  $U \ uU \ \text{ind}$  by auto
  show ?thesis
proof (unfold  $\text{elementary-reduction-path-def}$ , intro  $\text{conjI}$ )
  show  $u \# U = [] \vee \text{Arr } (u \# U) \wedge \text{set } (u \# U) \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction}$ 
    using  $U \ 1$ 
    by (metis  $\text{Con-implies-Arr}(1)$   $\text{Con-rec}(2)$  con-char  $\text{prfx-implies-con}$ 
            $\text{elementary-reduction-path-def}$   $\text{insert-subset}$   $\text{list.simps}(15)$   $\text{mem-Collect-eq}$ 
            $\Lambda.\text{prfx-implies-con}$   $\Lambda.\text{subs-implies-prfx}$ )
  show  $u \# U \neq [] \longrightarrow u \# U \text{ *}\lesssim^* [t]$ 
proof –
  have  $u \# U \text{ *}\lesssim^* [t] \longleftrightarrow \text{ide } ([u \setminus t] \ @ \ U \text{ *}\setminus^* [t \setminus u])$ 
    using  $1 \ U \ \text{Con-rec}(2) \ \text{Resid-rec}(2) \ \text{con-char} \ \text{prfx-implies-con}$ 
            $\Lambda.\text{prfx-implies-con} \ \Lambda.\text{subs-implies-prfx}$ 
    by simp
  also have  $\dots \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$ 
    using  $U \ 1 \ \text{ide-char} \ \text{Ide-append-iff}_{PWE} \ [\text{of } [u \setminus t] \ U \text{ *}\setminus^* [t \setminus u]]$ 
    by (metis  $\text{Ide.simps}(2)$   $\text{Ide-appendI}_{PWE}$   $\text{Src-resid}$   $\text{Trg.simps}(2)$ 
            $\Lambda.\text{apex-sym}$  con-char  $\Lambda.\text{subs-implies-prfx}$   $\text{prfx-implies-con}$ )
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

The converse of the previous result does not hold, because there could be a stage i at which $u_i \lesssim t_i$, but t_i deletes the redex contracted in u_i , so there is nothing forcing that redex to have been originally marked in t . So U being a development of t is a stronger property than U just being an elementary reduction path such that $U \text{ *}\lesssim^* [t]$.

lemma *development-append*:

```

shows  $[\text{development } t \ U; \text{development } (t \ ^1\setminus^* U) \ V] \implies \text{development } t \ (U \ @ \ V)$ 
  using  $\text{development-imp-Arr}$  null-char
  apply (induct  $U$  arbitrary:  $t \ V$ )
  apply auto
  by (metis  $\text{Resid1x.simps}(2-3)$   $\text{append-Nil}$   $\text{neq-Nil-conv}$ )

```



```

lemma development-map-Lam:
shows development  $t$   $T \implies$  development  $\lambda[t]$  (map  $\Lambda$ .Lam  $T$ )
  using  $\Lambda$ .Arr-not-Nil development-imp-Arr
  by (induct  $T$  arbitrary:  $t$ ) auto

lemma development-map-App-1:
shows  $\llbracket$ development  $t$   $T$ ;  $\Lambda$ .Arr  $u$  $\rrbracket \implies$  development  $(t \circ u)$  (map  $(\lambda x. x \circ \Lambda$ .Src  $u)$   $T$ )
  apply (induct  $T$  arbitrary:  $t$ )
  apply (simp add:  $\Lambda$ .Ide-implies-Arr)
proof –
  fix  $t$   $T$   $t'$ 
  assume ind:  $\bigwedge t. \llbracket$ development  $t$   $T$ ;  $\Lambda$ .Arr  $u$  $\rrbracket$ 
     $\implies$  development  $(t \circ u)$  (map  $(\lambda x. x \circ \Lambda$ .Src  $u)$   $T$ )
  assume  $t'T$ : development  $t$   $(t' \# T)$ 
  assume  $u$ :  $\Lambda$ .Arr  $u$ 
  show development  $(t \circ u)$  (map  $(\lambda x. x \circ \Lambda$ .Src  $u)$   $(t' \# T)$ )
    using  $u$   $t'T$  ind
    apply simp
    using  $\Lambda$ .Arr-not-Nil  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Src development-imp-Arr  $\Lambda$ .subs-Ide by force
qed

lemma development-map-App-2:
shows  $\llbracket$  $\Lambda$ .Arr  $t$ ; development  $u$   $U$  $\rrbracket \implies$  development  $(t \circ u)$  (map  $(\lambda x. \Lambda$ .App  $(\Lambda$ .Src  $t)$   $x$ )
   $U$ )
  apply (induct  $U$  arbitrary:  $u$ )
  apply (simp add:  $\Lambda$ .Ide-implies-Arr)
proof –
  fix  $u$   $U$   $u'$ 
  assume ind:  $\bigwedge u. \llbracket$  $\Lambda$ .Arr  $t$ ; development  $u$   $U$  $\rrbracket$ 
     $\implies$  development  $(t \circ u)$  (map  $(\Lambda$ .App  $(\Lambda$ .Src  $t))$   $U$ )
  assume  $u'U$ : development  $u$   $(u' \# U)$ 
  assume  $t$ :  $\Lambda$ .Arr  $t$ 
  show development  $(t \circ u)$  (map  $(\Lambda$ .App  $(\Lambda$ .Src  $t))$   $(u' \# U)$ )
    using  $t$   $u'U$  ind
    apply simp
    by (metis  $\Lambda$ .Coinitial-iff-Con  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Src  $\Lambda$ .Ide-iff-Src-self  $\Lambda$ .Ide-implies-Arr
      development-imp-Arr  $\Lambda$ .ide-char  $\Lambda$ .resid-Arr-Ide  $\Lambda$ .subs-Ide)
qed

```

3.4.1 Finiteness of Developments

A term t has the finite developments property if there exists a finite value that bounds the length of all developments of t . The goal of this section is to prove the Finite Developments Theorem: every term has the finite developments property.

```

definition FD
  where FD  $t \equiv \exists n. \forall U. \textit{development } t \ U \implies \textit{length } U \leq n$ 
end

```

In [6], Hindley proceeds by using structural induction to establish a bound on the length of a development of a term. The only case that poses any difficulty is the case of a β -redex, which is $\lambda[t] \bullet u$ in the notation used here. He notes that there is an easy bound on the length of a development of a special form in which all the contractions of residuals of t occur before the contraction of the top-level redex. The development first takes $\lambda[t] \bullet u$ to $\lambda[t'] \bullet u'$, then to $\text{subst } u' t'$, then continues with independent developments of u' . The number of independent developments of u' is given by the number of free occurrences of $\text{Var } \theta$ in t' . As there can be only finitely many such t' , we can use the maximum number of free occurrences of $\text{Var } \theta$ over all such t' to bound the steps in the independent developments of u' .

In the general case, the problem is that reductions of residuals of t can increase the number of free occurrences of $\text{Var } \theta$, so we can't readily count them at any particular stage. Hindley shows that developments in which there are reductions of residuals of t that occur after the contraction of the top-level redex are equivalent to reductions of the special form, by a transformation with a bounded increase in length. This can be considered as a weak form of standardization for developments.

A later paper by de Vrijer [5] obtains an explicit function for the exact number of steps in a development of maximal length. His proof is very straightforward and amenable to formalization, and it is what we follow here. The main issue for us is that de Vrijer uses a classical representation of λ -terms, with variable names and α -equivalence, whereas here we are using de Bruijn indices. This means that we have to discover the correct modification of de Vrijer's definitions to apply to the present situation.

context *lambda-calculus*
begin

Our first definition is that of the “multiplicity” of a free variable in a term. This is a count of the maximum number of times a variable could occur free in a term reachable in a development. The main issue in adjusting to de Bruijn indices is that the same variable will have different indices depending on the depth at which it occurs in the term. So, we need to keep track of how the indices of variables change as we move through the term. Our modified definitions adjust the parameter to the multiplicity function on each recursive call, to account for the contextual depth (*i.e.* the number of binders on a path from the root of the term).

The definition of this function is readily understandable, except perhaps for the *Beta* case. The multiplicity $\text{mtp } x (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$ has to be at least as large as $\text{mtp } x (\lambda[t] \circ u)$, to account for developments in which the top-level redex is not contracted. However, if the top-level redex $\lambda[t] \bullet u$ is contracted, then the contractum is $\text{subst } u t$, so the multiplicity has to be at least as large as $\text{mtp } x (\text{subst } u t)$. This leads to the relation:

$$\text{mtp } x (\lambda[t] \bullet u) = \max (\text{mtp } x (\lambda[t] \circ u)) (\text{mtp } x (\text{subst } u t))$$

This is not directly suitable for use in a definition of the function mtp , because proving the termination is problematic. Instead, we have to guess the correct expression for $\text{mtp } x (\text{subst } u t)$ and use that.

Now, each variable x in $\text{subst } u t$ other than the variable θ that is substituted for still has all the occurrences that it does in $\lambda[t]$. In addition, the variable being substituted

for (which has index 0 in the outermost context of t) will in general have multiple free occurrences in t , with a total multiplicity given by $mtp\ 0\ t$. The substitution operation replaces each free occurrence by u , which has the effect of multiplying the multiplicity of a variable x in t by a factor of $mtp\ 0\ t$. These considerations lead to the following:

$$mtp\ x\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) = max\ (mtp\ x\ \lambda[t] + mtp\ x\ u)\ (mtp\ x\ \lambda[t] + mtp\ x\ u * mtp\ 0\ t)$$

However, we can simplify this to:

$$mtp\ x\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) = mtp\ x\ \lambda[t] + mtp\ x\ u * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ t)$$

and replace the $mtp\ x\ \lambda[t]$ by $mtp\ (Suc\ x)\ t$ to simplify the ordering necessary for the termination proof and allow it to be done automatically.

The final result is perhaps about the first thing one would think to write down, but there are possible ways to go wrong and it is of course still necessary to discover the proper form required for the various induction proofs. I followed a long path of rather more complicated-looking definitions, until I eventually managed to find the proper inductive forms for all the lemmas and eventually arrive back at this definition.

```

fun mtp :: nat ⇒ lambda ⇒ nat
where mtp x ‡ = 0
  | mtp x ‹z› = (if z = x then 1 else 0)
  | mtp x λ[t] = mtp (Suc x) t
  | mtp x (t ◦ u) = mtp x t + mtp x u
  | mtp x (λ[t] • u) = mtp (Suc x) t + mtp x u * max 1 (mtp 0 t)

```

The multiplicity function generalizes the free variable predicate. This is not actually used, but is included for explanatory purposes.

```

lemma mtp-gt-0-iff-in-FV:
shows mtp x t > 0 ⟷ x ∈ FV t
proof (induct t arbitrary: x)
  show ∧x. 0 < mtp x ‡ ⟷ x ∈ FV ‡
    by simp
  show ∧x z. 0 < mtp x ‹z› ⟷ x ∈ FV ‹z›
    by auto
  show Lam: ∧t x. (∧x. 0 < mtp x t ⟷ x ∈ FV t)
    ⇒ 0 < mtp x λ[t] ⟷ x ∈ FV λ[t]
proof –
  fix t and x :: nat
  assume ind: ∧x. 0 < mtp x t ⟷ x ∈ FV t
  show 0 < mtp x λ[t] ⟷ x ∈ FV λ[t]
    using ind
    apply auto
    apply (metis Diff-iff One-nat-def diff-Suc-1 empty-iff imageI insert-iff
      nat.distinct(1))
    by (metis Suc-pred neq0-conv)
qed
show ∧t u x.
  ⟦∧x. 0 < mtp x t ⟷ x ∈ FV t;

```

```

       $\bigwedge x. 0 < mtp\ x\ u \longleftrightarrow x \in FV\ u]$ 
       $\implies 0 < mtp\ x\ (t \circ u) \longleftrightarrow x \in FV\ (t \circ u)$ 
    by simp
  show  $\bigwedge t\ u\ x.$ 
     $\llbracket \bigwedge x. 0 < mtp\ x\ t \longleftrightarrow x \in FV\ t;$ 
     $\bigwedge x. 0 < mtp\ x\ u \longleftrightarrow x \in FV\ u]$ 
     $\implies 0 < mtp\ x\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) \longleftrightarrow x \in FV\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$ 
  proof -
    fix  $t\ u$  and  $x :: nat$ 
    assume  $ind1: \bigwedge x. 0 < mtp\ x\ t \longleftrightarrow x \in FV\ t$ 
    assume  $ind2: \bigwedge x. 0 < mtp\ x\ u \longleftrightarrow x \in FV\ u$ 
    show  $0 < mtp\ x\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) \longleftrightarrow x \in FV\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$ 
      using  $ind1\ ind2$ 
      apply simp
      by force
    qed
  qed

```

We now establish a fact about commutation of multiplicity and Raise that will be needed subsequently.

lemma *mtpE-eq-Raise*:

shows $x < d \implies mtp\ x\ (Raise\ d\ k\ t) = mtp\ x\ t$
by (*induct t arbitrary: x k d*) *auto*

lemma *mtp-Raise-ind*:

shows $\llbracket l \leq d; size\ t \leq s \rrbracket \implies mtp\ (x + d + k)\ (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp\ (x + d)\ t$

proof (*induct s arbitrary: d x k l t*)

show $\bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ t \leq 0 \rrbracket \implies mtp\ (x + d + k)\ (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp\ (x + d)\ t$
for t

by (*cases t*) *auto*

show $\bigwedge s\ d\ x\ k\ l.$

$\llbracket \bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ t. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ t \leq s \rrbracket \implies mtp\ (x + d + k)\ (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp\ (x + d)\ t;$
 $l \leq d; size\ t \leq Suc\ s \rrbracket$
 $\implies mtp\ (x + d + k)\ (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp\ (x + d)\ t$

for t

proof (*cases t*)

show $\bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ s. t = \# \implies mtp\ (x + d + k)\ (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp\ (x + d)\ t$

by *simp*

show $\bigwedge z\ d\ x\ k\ l\ s. \llbracket l \leq d; t = \langle z \rangle \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp\ (x + d + k)\ (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp\ (x + d)\ t$

by *simp*

show $\bigwedge u\ d\ x\ k\ l\ s. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ t \leq Suc\ s; t = \lambda[u];$

$(\bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ u. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ u \leq s \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp\ (x + d + k)\ (Raise\ l\ k\ u) = mtp\ (x + d)\ u \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp\ (x + d + k)\ (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp\ (x + d)\ t$

proof -

fix $u\ d\ x\ s$ and $k\ l :: nat$

assume $l: l \leq d$ and $s: size\ t \leq Suc\ s$ and $t: t = \lambda[u]$

assume $ind: \bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ u. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ u \leq s \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ u) = mtp (x + d) u$

show $mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp (x + d) t$

proof –

have $mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp (Suc\ (x + d + k)) (Raise\ (Suc\ l)\ k\ u)$

using t **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = mtp (x + Suc\ d) u$

proof –

have $size\ u \leq s$

using $t\ s$ **by** *force*

thus *?thesis*

using $l\ s\ ind$ [of $Suc\ l\ Suc\ d$] **by** *simp*

qed

also have $\dots = mtp (x + d) t$

using t **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

qed

show $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ d\ x\ k\ l\ s.$

$\llbracket \bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ t1. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ t1 \leq s \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t1) = mtp (x + d) t1;$

$\bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ t2. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ t2 \leq s \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t2) = mtp (x + d) t2;$

$l \leq d; size\ t \leq Suc\ s; t = t1 \circ t2 \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp (x + d) t$

proof –

fix $t1\ t2\ s$

assume $s: size\ t \leq Suc\ s$ **and** $t: t = t1 \circ t2$

have $size\ t1 \leq s \wedge size\ t2 \leq s$

using $s\ t$ **by** *auto*

thus $\bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l.$

$\llbracket \bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ t1. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ t1 \leq s \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t1) = mtp (x + d) t1;$

$\bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ t2. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ t2 \leq s \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t2) = mtp (x + d) t2;$

$l \leq d; size\ t \leq Suc\ s; t = t1 \circ t2 \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp (x + d) t$

by *simp*

qed

show $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ d\ x\ k\ l\ s.$

$\llbracket \bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ t1. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ t1 \leq s \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t1) = mtp (x + d) t1;$

$\bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ t2. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ t2 \leq s \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t2) = mtp (x + d) t2;$

$l \leq d; size\ t \leq Suc\ s; t = \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp (x + d) t$

proof –

fix $t1\ t2\ d\ x\ s$ **and** $k\ l :: nat$

assume $l: l \leq d$ **and** $s: size\ t \leq Suc\ s$ **and** $t: t = \lambda[t1] \bullet t2$

assume $ind: \bigwedge d\ x\ k\ l\ N. \llbracket l \leq d; size\ N \leq s \rrbracket$

$\implies mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ N) = mtp (x + d) N$

show $mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp (x + d) t$
proof –
 have $1: size\ t1 \leq s \wedge size\ t2 \leq s$
 using $s\ t$ **by** *auto*
 have $mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t) =$
 $mtp (Suc\ (x + d + k)) (Raise\ (Suc\ l)\ k\ t1) +$
 $mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t2) * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ (Raise\ (Suc\ l)\ k\ t1))$
 using $t\ l$ **by** *simp*
 also have $... = mtp (Suc\ (x + d + k)) (Raise\ (Suc\ l)\ k\ t1) +$
 $mtp (x + d) t2 * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ (Raise\ (Suc\ l)\ k\ t1))$
 using $l\ 1\ ind$ **by** *auto*
 also have $... = mtp (x + Suc\ d) t1 + mtp (x + d) t2 * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ t1)$
 proof –
 have $mtp (x + Suc\ d + k) (Raise\ (Suc\ l)\ k\ t1) = mtp (x + Suc\ d) t1$
 using $l\ 1\ ind$ [*of Suc l Suc d t1*] **by** *simp*
 moreover have $mtp\ 0\ (Raise\ (Suc\ l)\ k\ t1) = mtp\ 0\ t1$

 using $l\ 1\ ind$ [*of Suc l Suc d t1 k*] *mtpE-eq-Raise* **by** *simp*
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 by *simp*
 qed
 also have $... = mtp (x + d) t$
 using t **by** *auto*
 finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma *mtp-Raise*:
assumes $l \leq d$
shows $mtp (x + d + k) (Raise\ l\ k\ t) = mtp (x + d) t$
 using *assms mtp-Raise-ind* **by** *blast*

lemma *mtp-Raise'*:
shows $mtp\ l\ (Raise\ l\ (Suc\ k)\ t) = 0$
 by (*induct t arbitrary: k l*) *auto*

lemma *mtp-raise*:
shows $mtp (x + Suc\ d) (raise\ d\ t) = mtp (Suc\ x) t$
 by (*metis Suc-eq-plus1 add.assoc le-add2 le-add-same-cancel2 mtp-Raise plus-1-eq-Suc*)

lemma *mtp-Subst-cancel*:
shows $mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ t) = mtp\ k\ t$
proof (*induct t arbitrary: k d*)
 show $\bigwedge k\ d. mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ \#) = mtp\ k\ \#$
 by *simp*
 show $\bigwedge k\ z\ d. mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ \langle z \rangle) = mtp\ k\ \langle z \rangle$

```

using mtp-Raise'
apply auto
by (metis add-Suc-right add-Suc-shift order-refl raise-plus)
show  $\bigwedge t k d. (\bigwedge k d. mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ t) = mtp\ k\ t)$ 
       $\implies mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ \lambda[t]) = mtp\ k\ \lambda[t]$ 
by (metis Subst.simps(3) add-Suc-right mtp.simps(3))
show  $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ k\ d.$ 
       $\llbracket \bigwedge k d. mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ t1) = mtp\ k\ t1;$ 
       $\bigwedge k d. mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ t2) = mtp\ k\ t2 \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ (t1\ \circ\ t2)) = mtp\ k\ (t1\ \circ\ t2)$ 
by auto
show  $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ k\ d.$ 
       $\llbracket \bigwedge k d. mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ t1) = mtp\ k\ t1;$ 
       $\bigwedge k d. mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ t2) = mtp\ k\ t2 \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies mtp\ k\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d + k)\ u\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)) = mtp\ k\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$ 
using mtp-Raise'
apply auto
by (metis Nat.add-0-right add-Suc-right)
qed

```

lemma *mtp₀-Subst-cancel*:
shows $mtp\ 0\ (Subst\ (Suc\ d)\ u\ t) = mtp\ 0\ t$
using *mtp-Subst-cancel* [of 0] **by** *simp*

We can now (!) prove the desired generalization of de Vrijer's formula for the commutation of multiplicity and substitution. This is the main lemma whose form is difficult to find. To get this right, the proper relationships have to exist between the various depth parameters to *Subst* and the arguments to *mtp*.

```

lemma mtp-Subst':
shows  $mtp\ (x + Suc\ d)\ (Subst\ d\ u\ t) = mtp\ (x + Suc\ (Suc\ d))\ t + mtp\ (Suc\ x)\ u * mtp\ d\ t$ 
proof (induct t arbitrary: d x u)
show  $\bigwedge d x u. mtp\ (x + Suc\ d)\ (Subst\ d\ u\ \#) =$ 
       $mtp\ (x + Suc\ (Suc\ d))\ \# + mtp\ (Suc\ x)\ u * mtp\ d\ \#$ 
by simp
show  $\bigwedge z d x u. mtp\ (x + Suc\ d)\ (Subst\ d\ u\ \langle z \rangle) =$ 
       $mtp\ (x + Suc\ (Suc\ d))\ \langle z \rangle + mtp\ (Suc\ x)\ u * mtp\ d\ \langle z \rangle$ 
using mtp-raise by auto
show  $\bigwedge t d x u.$ 
       $(\bigwedge d x u. mtp\ (x + Suc\ d)\ (Subst\ d\ u\ t) =$ 
       $mtp\ (x + Suc\ (Suc\ d))\ t + mtp\ (Suc\ x)\ u * mtp\ d\ t)$ 
       $\implies mtp\ (x + Suc\ d)\ (Subst\ d\ u\ \lambda[t]) =$ 
       $mtp\ (x + Suc\ (Suc\ d))\ \lambda[t] + mtp\ (Suc\ x)\ u * mtp\ d\ \lambda[t]$ 
proof –
fix t u d x
assume ind:  $\bigwedge d x N. mtp\ (x + Suc\ d)\ (Subst\ d\ N\ t) =$ 
       $mtp\ (x + Suc\ (Suc\ d))\ t + mtp\ (Suc\ x)\ N * mtp\ d\ t$ 
have  $mtp\ (x + Suc\ d)\ (Subst\ d\ u\ \lambda[t]) =$ 
       $mtp\ (Suc\ x + Suc\ (Suc\ d))\ t +$ 
       $mtp\ (x + Suc\ (Suc\ d))\ (raise\ (Suc\ d)\ u) * mtp\ (Suc\ d)\ t$ 

```

using *ind mtp-raise add-Suc-shift*
by (*metis Subst.simps(3) add-Suc-right mtp.simps(3)*)
also have ... = $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) \lambda[t] + mtp (Suc x) u * mtp d \lambda[t]$
using *Raise-Suc*
by (*metis add-Suc-right add-Suc-shift mtp.simps(3) mtp-raise*)
finally show $mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d u \lambda[t]) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) \lambda[t] + mtp (Suc x) u * mtp d \lambda[t]$
by *blast*
qed
show $\bigwedge t1 t2 u d x.$
 $\llbracket \bigwedge d x u. mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d u t1) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) t1 + mtp (Suc x) u * mtp d t1;$
 $\bigwedge d x u. mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d u t2) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) t2 + mtp (Suc x) u * mtp d t2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d u (t1 \circ t2)) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) (t1 \circ t2) + mtp (Suc x) u * mtp d (t1 \circ t2)$
by (*simp add: add-mult-distrib2*)
show $\bigwedge t1 t2 u d x.$
 $\llbracket \bigwedge d x N. mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d N t1) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) t1 + mtp (Suc x) N * mtp d t1;$
 $\bigwedge d x N. mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d N t2) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) t2 + mtp (Suc x) N * mtp d t2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d u (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) + mtp (Suc x) u * mtp d (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
proof –
fix $t1 t2 u d x$
assume *ind1*: $\bigwedge d x N. mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d N t1) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) t1 + mtp (Suc x) N * mtp d t1$
assume *ind2*: $\bigwedge d x N. mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d N t2) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) t2 + mtp (Suc x) N * mtp d t2$
show $mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d u (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) + mtp (Suc x) u * mtp d (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
proof –
let $?A = mtp (Suc x + Suc (Suc d)) t1$
let $?B = mtp (Suc x + Suc d) t2$
let $?M1 = mtp (Suc d) t1$
let $?M2 = mtp d t2$
let $?M1_0 = mtp 0 (Subst (Suc d) u t1)$
let $?M1_0' = mtp 0 t1$
let $?N = mtp (Suc x) u$
have $mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d u (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)) =$
 $mtp (x + Suc d) (\lambda[Subst (Suc d) u t1] \bullet Subst d u t2)$
by *simp*
also have ... = $mtp (x + Suc (Suc d)) (Subst (Suc d) u t1) +$
 $mtp (x + Suc d) (Subst d u t2) *$
 $max 1 (mtp 0 (Subst (Suc d) u t1))$
by *simp*
also have ... = $(?A + ?N * ?M1) + (?B + ?N * ?M2) * max 1 ?M1_0$
using *ind1 ind2 add-Suc-shift by presburger*

also have ... = ?A + ?N * ?M1 + ?B * max 1 ?M1₀ + ?N * ?M2 * max 1 ?M1₀
by algebra
also have ... = ?A + ?B * max 1 ?M1₀' + ?N * ?M1 + ?N * ?M2 * max 1 ?M1₀'
proof –
have ?M1₀ = ?M1₀'

using mtp₀-Subst-cancel **by blast**
thus ?thesis **by auto**
qed
also have ... = ?A + ?B * max 1 ?M1₀' + ?N * (?M1 + ?M2 * max 1 ?M1₀')
by algebra
also have ... = mtp (Suc x + Suc d) (λ[t1] • t2) + mtp (Suc x) u * mtp d (λ[t1] • t2)
by simp
finally show ?thesis **by simp**
qed
qed
qed

The following lemma provides expansions that apply when the parameter to *mtp* is 0, as opposed to the previous lemma, which only applies for parameters greater than 0.

lemma mtp-Subst:
shows mtp k (Subst k u t) = mtp (Suc k) t + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k t
proof (induct t arbitrary: u k)
show ∧ u k. mtp k (Subst k u ‡) = mtp (Suc k) ‡ + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k ‡
by simp
show ∧ x u k. mtp k (Subst k u «x») =
mtp (Suc k) «x» + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k «x»
by auto
show ∧ t u k. (∧ u k. mtp k (Subst k u t) = mtp (Suc k) t + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k t)
⇒ mtp k (Subst k u λ[t]) =
mtp (Suc k) λ[t] + mtp k (Raise 0 k u) * mtp k λ[t]
using mtp-Raise [of 0]
apply auto
by (metis add.left-neutral)
show ∧ t1 t2 u k.
[[∧ u k. mtp k (Subst k u t1) = mtp (Suc k) t1 + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k t1;
∧ u k. mtp k (Subst k u t2) = mtp (Suc k) t2 + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k t2]]
⇒ mtp k (Subst k u (t1 ◦ t2)) =
mtp (Suc k) (t1 ◦ t2) + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k (t1 ◦ t2)
by (auto simp add: distrib-left)
show ∧ t1 t2 u k.
[[∧ u k. mtp k (Subst k u t1) = mtp (Suc k) t1 + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k t1;
∧ u k. mtp k (Subst k u t2) = mtp (Suc k) t2 + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k t2]]
⇒ mtp k (Subst k u (λ[t1] • t2)) =
mtp (Suc k) (λ[t1] • t2) + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k (λ[t1] • t2)
proof –
fix t1 t2 u k
assume ind1: ∧ u k. mtp k (Subst k u t1) =
mtp (Suc k) t1 + mtp k (raise k u) * mtp k t1

```

assume ind2:  $\bigwedge u k. mtp\ k\ (Subst\ k\ u\ t2) =$ 
            $mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t2 + mtp\ k\ (raise\ k\ u) * mtp\ k\ t2$ 
show  $mtp\ k\ (Subst\ k\ u\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)) =$ 
        $mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) + mtp\ k\ (raise\ k\ u) * mtp\ k\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$ 
proof -
  have  $mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ (Raise\ 0\ (Suc\ k)\ u) * mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t1 +$ 
        $(mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t2 + mtp\ k\ (Raise\ 0\ k\ u) * mtp\ k\ t2) * max\ (Suc\ 0)\ (mtp\ 0\ t1) =$ 
        $mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t2 * max\ (Suc\ 0)\ (mtp\ 0\ t1) +$ 
        $mtp\ k\ (Raise\ 0\ k\ u) * (mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t1 + mtp\ k\ t2 * max\ (Suc\ 0)\ (mtp\ 0\ t1))$ 
  proof -
  have  $mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ (Raise\ 0\ (Suc\ k)\ u) * mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t1 +$ 
        $(mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t2 + mtp\ k\ (Raise\ 0\ k\ u) * mtp\ k\ t2) * max\ (Suc\ 0)\ (mtp\ 0\ t1) =$ 
        $mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t2 * max\ (Suc\ 0)\ (mtp\ 0\ t1) +$ 
        $mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ (Raise\ 0\ (Suc\ k)\ u) * mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t1 +$ 
        $mtp\ k\ (Raise\ 0\ k\ u) * mtp\ k\ t2 * max\ (Suc\ 0)\ (mtp\ 0\ t1)$ 
  by algebra
  also have ... =  $mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t2 * max\ (Suc\ 0)\ (mtp\ 0\ t1) +$ 
        $mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ (Raise\ 0\ (Suc\ k)\ u) * mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t1 +$ 
        $mtp\ 0\ u * mtp\ k\ t2 * max\ (Suc\ 0)\ (mtp\ 0\ t1)$ 
  using mtp-Raise [of 0 0 0 k u] by auto
  also have ... =  $mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t2 * max\ (Suc\ 0)\ (mtp\ 0\ t1) +$ 
        $mtp\ k\ (Raise\ 0\ k\ u) *$ 
        $(mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t1 + mtp\ k\ t2 * max\ (Suc\ 0)\ (mtp\ 0\ t1))$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1)
       ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult-ac(1) add-mult-distrib2 le-add1 mtp-Raise
       plus-nat.add-0)
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
thus ?thesis
  using ind1 ind2 mtp0-Subst-cancel by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *mtp0-subst-le*:

shows $mtp\ 0\ (subst\ u\ t) \leq mtp\ 1\ t + mtp\ 0\ u * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ t)$

proof (*cases t*)

show $t = \# \implies mtp\ 0\ (subst\ u\ t) \leq mtp\ 1\ t + mtp\ 0\ u * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ t)$

by *auto*

show $\bigwedge z. t = \langle z \rangle \implies mtp\ 0\ (subst\ u\ t) \leq mtp\ 1\ t + mtp\ 0\ u * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ t)$

using *Raise-0* **by** *force*

show $\bigwedge P. t = \lambda[P] \implies mtp\ 0\ (subst\ u\ t) \leq mtp\ 1\ t + mtp\ 0\ u * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ t)$

using *mtp-Subst [of 0 u t] Raise-0* **by** *force*

show $\bigwedge t1\ t2. t = t1 \circ t2 \implies mtp\ 0\ (subst\ u\ t) \leq mtp\ 1\ t + mtp\ 0\ u * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ t)$

using *mtp-Subst Raise-0 add-mult-distrib2 nat-mult-max-right* **by** *auto*

show $\bigwedge t1\ t2. t = \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \implies mtp\ 0\ (subst\ u\ t) \leq mtp\ 1\ t + mtp\ 0\ u * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ t)$

using *mtp-Subst Raise-0*

by (*metis Nat.add-0-right dual-order.eq-iff max-def mult.commute mult-zero-left*
not-less-eq-eq plus-1-eq-Suc trans-le-add1)

qed

lemma *elementary-reduction-nonincreases-mtp*:

shows $\llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (\text{resid } t \ u) \leq \text{mtp } x \ t$

proof (*induct t arbitrary: u x*)

show $\bigwedge u \ x. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq \sharp \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (\text{resid } \sharp \ u) \leq \text{mtp } x \ \sharp$

by *simp*

show $\bigwedge x \ u \ i. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq \langle i \rangle \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (\text{resid } \langle i \rangle \ u) \leq \text{mtp } x \ \langle i \rangle$

by (*meson Ide.simps(2) elementary-reduction-not-ide ide-backward-stable ide-char subs-implies-prfx*)

fix *u*

show $\bigwedge t \ x. \llbracket \bigwedge u \ x. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (\text{resid } t \ u) \leq \text{mtp } x \ t;$

$\text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq \lambda[t] \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (\lambda[t] \setminus u) \leq \text{mtp } x \ \lambda[t]$

by (*cases u*) *auto*

show $\bigwedge t1 \ t2 \ x.$

$\llbracket \bigwedge u \ x. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (\text{resid } t1 \ u) \leq \text{mtp } x \ t1;$

$\bigwedge u \ x. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (\text{resid } t2 \ u) \leq \text{mtp } x \ t2;$

$\text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t1 \circ t2 \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (\text{resid } (t1 \circ t2) \ u) \leq \text{mtp } x \ (t1 \circ t2)$

apply (*cases u*)

apply *auto*

apply (*metis Coinitial-iff-Con add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(3) resid-Arr-Ide*)

by (*metis Coinitial-iff-Con add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(2) resid-Arr-Ide*)

show $\bigwedge t1 \ t2 \ x.$

$\llbracket \bigwedge u1 \ x. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u1; u1 \sqsubseteq t1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (\text{resid } t1 \ u1) \leq \text{mtp } x \ t1;$

$\bigwedge u2 \ x. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u2; u2 \sqsubseteq t2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (\text{resid } t2 \ u2) \leq \text{mtp } x \ t2;$

$\text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) \leq \text{mtp } x (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$

proof –

fix *t1 t2 x*

assume *ind1*: $\bigwedge u1 \ x. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u1; u1 \sqsubseteq t1 \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (t1 \setminus u1) \leq \text{mtp } x \ t1$

assume *ind2*: $\bigwedge u2 \ x. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u2; u2 \sqsubseteq t2 \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x (t2 \setminus u2) \leq \text{mtp } x \ t2$

assume *u*: *elementary-reduction u*

assume *subs*: $u \sqsubseteq \lambda[t1] \bullet t2$

have *1*: *is-App u* \vee *is-Beta u*

using *subs* **by** (*metis prfx-Beta-iff subs-implies-prfx*)

have *is-App u* $\Longrightarrow \text{mtp } x ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) \leq \text{mtp } x (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$

proof –

assume *2*: *is-App u*

obtain *u1 u2* **where** *u1u2*: $u = \lambda[u1] \circ u2$

using *2 u*

by (*metis ConD(3) Con-implies-is-Lam-iff-is-Lam Con-sym con-def is-App-def is-Lam-def lambda.disc(8) null-char prfx-implies-con subs subs-implies-prfx*)

have $\text{mtp } x ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) = \text{mtp } x (\lambda[t1 \setminus u1] \bullet (t2 \setminus u2))$

using $u1u2$ *subs*
by (*metis Con-sym Ide.simps(1) ide-char resid.simps(6) subs-implies-prfx*)
also have $\dots = mtp (Suc\ x) (resid\ t1\ u1) +$
 $mtp\ x (resid\ t2\ u2) * max\ 1 (mtp\ 0 (resid\ t1\ u1))$
by *simp*
also have $\dots \leq mtp (Suc\ x) t1 + mtp\ x (resid\ t2\ u2) * max\ 1 (mtp\ 0 (resid\ t1\ u1))$
using $u1u2\ ind1$ [*of u1 Suc x*] *con-sym ide-char resid-arr-ide prfx-implies-con*
subs subs-implies-prfx u
by *force*
also have $\dots \leq mtp (Suc\ x) t1 + mtp\ x\ t2 * max\ 1 (mtp\ 0 (resid\ t1\ u1))$
using $u1u2\ ind2$ [*of u2 x*]
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Con-implies-Coinitial-ind add-left-mono*
dual-order.eq-iff elementary-reduction.simps(4) lambda.disc(11)
mult-le-cancel2 prfx-App-iff resid.simps(31) resid-Arr-Ide subs subs.simps(4)
subs-implies-prfx u)
also have $\dots \leq mtp (Suc\ x) t1 + mtp\ x\ t2 * max\ 1 (mtp\ 0\ t1)$
using $ind1$ [*of u1 0*]
by (*metis Con-implies-Coinitial-ind Ide.simps(3) elementary-reduction.simps(3)*
elementary-reduction.simps(4) lambda.disc(11) max.mono mult-le-mono
nat-add-left-cancel-le nat-le-linear prfx-App-iff resid.simps(31) resid-Arr-Ide
subs subs.simps(4) subs-implies-prfx u u1u2)
also have $\dots = mtp\ x (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
by *auto*
finally show $mtp\ x ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) \leq mtp\ x (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$ **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $is\ Beta\ u \implies mtp\ x ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) \leq mtp\ x (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
proof –
assume $2: is\ Beta\ u$
obtain $u1\ u2$ **where** $u1u2: u = \lambda[u1] \bullet u2$
using $2\ u\ is\ Beta\ def$ **by** *auto*
have $mtp\ x ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) = mtp\ x (subst\ (t2 \setminus u2)\ (t1 \setminus u1))$
using $u1u2\ subs$
by (*metis con-def con-sym null-char prfx-implies-con resid.simps(4) subs-implies-prfx*)
also have $\dots \leq mtp (Suc\ x) (resid\ t1\ u1) +$
 $mtp\ x (resid\ t2\ u2) * max\ 1 (mtp\ 0 (resid\ t1\ u1))$
apply (*cases x = 0*)
using $mtp0\ subst\ le\ Raise\ 0\ mtp\ Subst'$ [*of x - 1 0 resid t2 u2 resid t1 u1*]
by *auto*
also have $\dots \leq mtp (Suc\ x) t1 + mtp\ x\ t2 * max\ 1 (mtp\ 0\ t1)$
using $ind1\ ind2$
apply *simp*
by (*metis Coinitial-iff-Con Ide.simps(1) dual-order.eq-iff elementary-reduction.simps(5)*
ide-char resid.simps(4) resid-Arr-Ide subs subs-implies-prfx u u1u2)
also have $\dots = mtp\ x (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
by *simp*
finally show $mtp\ x ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) \leq mtp\ x (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$ **by** *blast*
qed
ultimately show $mtp\ x ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) \leq mtp\ x (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
using 1 **by** *blast*

qed
qed

Next we define the “height” of a term. This counts the number of steps in a development of maximal length of the given term.

```

fun hgt
where hgt ‡ = 0
      | hgt «-» = 0
      | hgt λ[t] = hgt t
      | hgt (t ◦ u) = hgt t + hgt u
      | hgt (λ[t] • u) = Suc (hgt t + hgt u * max 1 (mtp 0 t))

```

lemma *hgt-resid-ide*:

```

shows [[ide u; u ⊆ t]] ⇒ hgt (resid t u) ≤ hgt t
by (metis con-sym eq-imp-le resid-arr-ide prfx-implies-con subs-implies-prfx)

```

lemma *hgt-Raise*:

```

shows hgt (Raise l k t) = hgt t
using mtpE-eq-Raise
by (induct t arbitrary: l k) auto

```

lemma *hgt-Subst*:

```

shows Arr u ⇒ hgt (Subst k u t) = hgt t + hgt u * mtp k t
proof (induct t arbitrary: u k)
  show ∧ u k. Arr u ⇒ hgt (Subst k u ‡) = hgt ‡ + hgt u * mtp k ‡
    by simp
  show ∧ x u k. Arr u ⇒ hgt (Subst k u «x») = hgt «x» + hgt u * mtp k «x»
    using hgt-Raise by auto
  show ∧ t u k. [[∧ u k. Arr u ⇒ hgt (Subst k u t) = hgt t + hgt u * mtp k t; Arr u]]
    ⇒ hgt (Subst k u λ[t]) = hgt λ[t] + hgt u * mtp k λ[t]
    by auto
  show ∧ t1 t2 u k.
    [[∧ u k. Arr u ⇒ hgt (Subst k u t1) = hgt t1 + hgt u * mtp k t1;
    ∧ u k. Arr u ⇒ hgt (Subst k u t2) = hgt t2 + hgt u * mtp k t2; Arr u]]
    ⇒ hgt (Subst k u (t1 ◦ t2)) = hgt (t1 ◦ t2) + hgt u * mtp k (t1 ◦ t2)
    by (simp add: distrib-left)
  show ∧ t1 t2 u k.
    [[∧ u k. Arr u ⇒ hgt (Subst k u t1) = hgt t1 + hgt u * mtp k t1;
    ∧ u k. Arr u ⇒ hgt (Subst k u t2) = hgt t2 + hgt u * mtp k t2; Arr u]]
    ⇒ hgt (Subst k u (λ[t1] • t2)) = hgt (λ[t1] • t2) + hgt u * mtp k (λ[t1] • t2)
proof –
  fix t1 t2 u k
  assume ind1: ∧ u k. Arr u ⇒ hgt (Subst k u t1) = hgt t1 + hgt u * mtp k t1
  assume ind2: ∧ u k. Arr u ⇒ hgt (Subst k u t2) = hgt t2 + hgt u * mtp k t2
  assume u: Arr u
  show hgt (Subst k u (λ[t1] • t2)) = hgt (λ[t1] • t2) + hgt u * mtp k (λ[t1] • t2)
proof –
  have hgt (Subst k u (λ[t1] • t2)) =
    Suc (hgt (Subst (Suc k) u t1) +

```

$hgt (Subst\ k\ u\ t2) * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ (Subst\ (Suc\ k)\ u\ t1))$
by *simp*
also have ... = $Suc\ ((hgt\ t1 + hgt\ u * mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t1) +$
 $(hgt\ t2 + hgt\ u * mtp\ k\ t2) * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ (Subst\ (Suc\ k)\ u\ t1)))$
using *u ind1 [of u Suc k] ind2 [of u k]* **by** *simp*
also have ... = $Suc\ (hgt\ t1 + hgt\ t2 * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ (Subst\ (Suc\ k)\ u\ t1)) +$
 $hgt\ u * mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t1) +$
 $hgt\ u * mtp\ k\ t2 * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ (Subst\ (Suc\ k)\ u\ t1))$
using *comm-semiring-class.distrib* **by** *force*
also have ... = $Suc\ (hgt\ t1 + hgt\ t2 * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ (Subst\ (Suc\ k)\ u\ t1)) +$
 $hgt\ u * (mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t1 +$
 $mtp\ k\ t2 * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ (Subst\ (Suc\ k)\ u\ t1)))$
by (*simp add: distrib-left*)
also have ... = $Suc\ (hgt\ t1 + hgt\ t2 * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ t1) +$
 $hgt\ u * (mtp\ (Suc\ k)\ t1 +$
 $mtp\ k\ t2 * max\ 1\ (mtp\ 0\ t1)))$
proof –
have $mtp\ 0\ (Subst\ (Suc\ k)\ u\ t1) = mtp\ 0\ t1$
using *mtp0-Subst-cancel* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
also have ... = $hgt\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) + hgt\ u * mtp\ k\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *elementary-reduction-decreases-hgt:*

shows $\llbracket elementary\ reduction\ u; u \sqsubseteq t \rrbracket \implies hgt\ (t \setminus u) < hgt\ t$

proof (*induct t arbitrary: u*)

show $\bigwedge u. \llbracket elementary\ reduction\ u; u \sqsubseteq \#\rrbracket \implies hgt\ (\# \setminus u) < hgt\ \#$
by *simp*

show $\bigwedge u\ x. \llbracket elementary\ reduction\ u; u \sqsubseteq \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \rrbracket \implies hgt\ (\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \setminus u) < hgt\ \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$
using *Ide.simps(2) elementary-reduction-not-ide ide-backward-stable ide-char*
subs-implies-prfx

by *blast*

show $\bigwedge t\ u. \llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket elementary\ reduction\ u; u \sqsubseteq t \rrbracket \implies hgt\ (t \setminus u) < hgt\ t;$
 $elementary\ reduction\ u; u \sqsubseteq \lambda[t] \rrbracket$
 $\implies hgt\ (\lambda[t] \setminus u) < hgt\ \lambda[t]$

proof –

fix *t u*

assume *ind*: $\bigwedge u. \llbracket elementary\ reduction\ u; u \sqsubseteq t \rrbracket \implies hgt\ (t \setminus u) < hgt\ t$

assume *u*: *elementary-reduction u*

assume *subs*: $u \sqsubseteq \lambda[t]$

show $hgt\ (\lambda[t] \setminus u) < hgt\ \lambda[t]$

using *u subs ind*

apply (*cases u*)

apply *simp-all*

by *fastforce*
qed
show $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ u.$
 $\llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t1 \rrbracket \implies \text{hgt } (t1 \setminus u) < \text{hgt } t1;$
 $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t2 \rrbracket \implies \text{hgt } (t2 \setminus u) < \text{hgt } t2;$
 $\text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t1 \circ t2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{hgt } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u) < \text{hgt } (t1 \circ t2)$
proof –
fix $t1\ t2\ u$
assume $ind1: \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t1 \rrbracket \implies \text{hgt } (t1 \setminus u) < \text{hgt } t1$
assume $ind2: \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t2 \rrbracket \implies \text{hgt } (t2 \setminus u) < \text{hgt } t2$
assume $u: \text{elementary-reduction } u$
assume $subs: u \sqsubseteq t1 \circ t2$
show $\text{hgt } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u) < \text{hgt } (t1 \circ t2)$
using $u\ subs\ ind1\ ind2$
apply (*cases* u)
apply *simp-all*
by (*metis add-le-less-mono add-less-le-mono hgt-resid-ide ide-char not-less0 zero-less-iff-neq-zero*)
qed
show $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ u.$
 $\llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t1 \rrbracket \implies \text{hgt } (t1 \setminus u) < \text{hgt } t1;$
 $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t2 \rrbracket \implies \text{hgt } (t2 \setminus u) < \text{hgt } t2;$
 $\text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{hgt } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) < \text{hgt } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
proof –
fix $t1\ t2\ u$
assume $ind1: \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t1 \rrbracket \implies \text{hgt } (t1 \setminus u) < \text{hgt } t1$
assume $ind2: \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } u; u \sqsubseteq t2 \rrbracket \implies \text{hgt } (t2 \setminus u) < \text{hgt } t2$
assume $u: \text{elementary-reduction } u$
assume $subs: u \sqsubseteq \lambda[t1] \bullet t2$
have $is\text{-App } u \vee is\text{-Beta } u$
using $subs$ **by** (*metis prfx-Beta-iff subs-implies-prfx*)
moreover **have** $is\text{-App } u \implies \text{hgt } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) < \text{hgt } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
proof –
fix $u1\ u2$
assume $0: is\text{-App } u$
obtain $u1\ u1'\ u2$ **where** $1: u = u1 \circ u2 \wedge u1 = \lambda[u1']$
using $u\ 0$
by (*metis ConD(3) Con-implies-is-Lam-iff-is-Lam Con-sym con-def is-App-def is-Lam-def null-char prfx-implies-con subs subs-implies-prfx*)
have $\text{hgt } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) = \text{hgt } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus (u1 \circ u2))$
using 1 **by** *simp*
also **have** $\dots = \text{hgt } (\lambda[t1 \setminus u1'] \bullet t2 \setminus u2)$
by (*metis 1 Con-sym Ide.simps(1) ide-char resid.simps(6) subs subs-implies-prfx*)
also **have** $\dots = \text{Suc } (\text{hgt } (t1 \setminus u1') + \text{hgt } (t2 \setminus u2) * \text{max } (\text{Suc } 0) (\text{mtp } 0\ (t1 \setminus u1')))$
by *auto*
also **have** $\dots < \text{hgt } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
proof –

have *elementary-reduction* (*un-App1* *u*) \wedge *ide* (*un-App2* *u*) \vee
ide (*un-App1* *u*) \wedge *elementary-reduction* (*un-App2* *u*)
using *u* 1 *elementary-reduction-App-iff* [of *u*] **by** *simp*
moreover have *elementary-reduction* (*un-App1* *u*) \wedge *ide* (*un-App2* *u*) \implies ?thesis
proof –
assume 2: *elementary-reduction* (*un-App1* *u*) \wedge *ide* (*un-App2* *u*)
have *elementary-reduction* *u1'* \wedge *ide* (*un-App2* *u*)
using 1 2 *u* *elementary-reduction-Lam-iff* **by** *force*
moreover have *mtp* 0 (*t1* \setminus *u1'*) \leq *mtp* 0 *t1*
using 1 *calculation* *elementary-reduction-nonincreases-mtp* *subs*
subs.simps(4)
by *blast*
moreover have *mtp* 0 (*t2* \setminus *u2*) \leq *mtp* 0 *t2*
using 1 *hgt-resid-ide* [of *u2* *t2*]
by (*metis* *calculation(1)* *con-sym* *eq-refl* *resid-arr-ide* *lambda.sel(4)*)
prfx-implies-con *subs* *subs.simps(4)* *subs-implies-prfx*)
ultimately show ?thesis
using 1 2 *ind1* [of *u1'*] *hgt-resid-ide*
apply *simp*
by (*metis* 1 *Suc-le-mono* \langle *mtp* 0 (*t1* \setminus *u1'*) \leq *mtp* 0 *t1* \rangle *add-less-le-mono*
le-add1 *le-add-same-cancel1* *max.mono* *mult-le-mono* *subs* *subs.simps(4)*)
qed
moreover have *ide* (*un-App1* *u*) \wedge *elementary-reduction* (*un-App2* *u*) \implies ?thesis
proof –
assume 2: *ide* (*un-App1* *u*) \wedge *elementary-reduction* (*un-App2* *u*)
have *ide* (*un-App1* *u*) \wedge *elementary-reduction* *u2*
using 1 2 *u* *elementary-reduction-Lam-iff* **by** *force*
moreover have *mtp* 0 (*t1* \setminus *u1'*) \leq *mtp* 0 *t1*
using 1 *hgt-resid-ide* [of *u1'* *t1*]
by (*metis* *Ide.simps(3)* *calculation* *con-sym* *eq-refl* *ide-char* *resid-arr-ide*
lambda.sel(3) *prfx-implies-con* *subs* *subs.simps(4)* *subs-implies-prfx*)
moreover have *mtp* 0 (*t2* \setminus *u2*) \leq *mtp* 0 *t2*
using 1 *elementary-reduction-nonincreases-mtp* *subs* *calculation(1)* *subs.simps(4)*
by *blast*
ultimately show ?thesis
using 1 2 *ind2* [of *u2*]
apply *simp*
by (*metis* *Coinitial-iff-Con* *Ide-iff-Src-self* *Nat.add-0-right* *add-le-less-mono*
ide-char *Ide.simps(1)* *subs.simps(4)* *le-add1* *max-nat.neutr-eq-iff*
mult-less-cancel2 *nat.distinct(1)* *neq0-conv* *resid-Arr-Src* *subs*
subs-implies-prfx)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis **by** *blast*
qed
also have ... = *Suc* (*hgt* *t1* + *hgt* *t2* * *max* 1 (*mtp* 0 *t1*))
by *simp*
also have ... = *hgt* (λ [*t1*] \bullet *t2*)
by *simp*
finally show *hgt* ($(\lambda$ [*t1*] \bullet *t2*) \setminus *u*) < *hgt* (λ [*t1*] \bullet *t2*)


```

    by blast
  qed
  moreover have is-Beta  $u \implies \text{hgt } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) < \text{hgt } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$ 
  proof -
    fix  $u1\ u2$ 
    assume  $0: \text{is-Beta } u$ 
    obtain  $u1\ u2$  where  $1: u = \lambda[u1] \bullet u2$ 
      using  $u\ 0$  by (metis lambda.collapse(4))
    have  $\text{hgt } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) = \text{hgt } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2))$ 
      using  $1$  by simp
    also have  $\dots = \text{hgt } (\text{subst } (\text{resid } t2\ u2) (\text{resid } t1\ u1))$ 
      by (metis 1 con-def con-sym null-char prfx-implies-con resid.simps(4))
        subs subs-implies-prfx
    also have  $\dots = \text{hgt } (\text{resid } t1\ u1) + \text{hgt } (\text{resid } t2\ u2) * \text{mtp } 0 (\text{resid } t1\ u1)$ 
    proof -
      have Arr (resid  $t2\ u2$ )
        by (metis 1 Coinitial-resid-resid Con-sym Ide.simps(1) ide-char resid.simps(4))
          subs subs-implies-prfx
      thus ?thesis
        using hgt-Subst [of resid t2 u2 0 resid t1 u1] by simp
    qed
    also have  $\dots < \text{hgt } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$ 
    proof -
      have ide  $u1 \wedge \text{ide } u2$ 
        using  $u\ 1$  elementary-reduction-Beta-iff [of u] by auto
      thus ?thesis
        using  $1$  hgt-resid-ide
        by (metis add-le-mono con-sym hgt.simps(5) resid-arr-ide less-Suc-eq-le)
          max.cobounded2 nat-mult-max-right prfx-implies-con subs subs.simps(5)
          subs-implies-prfx
    qed
    finally show  $\text{hgt } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) < \text{hgt } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$ 
      by blast
    qed
  ultimately show  $\text{hgt } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u) < \text{hgt } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$  by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

end

context *reduction-paths*

begin

lemma *length-devel-le-hgt*:

shows *development* $t\ U \implies \text{length } U \leq \Lambda.\text{hgt } t$

using $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-decreases-hgt}$

by (*induct U arbitrary: t, auto, fastforce*)

We finally arrive at the main result of this section: the Finite Developments Theorem.

theorem *finite-developments*:
shows $FD\ t$
using *length-devel-le-hgt [of t] FD-def by auto*

3.4.2 Complete Developments

A *complete development* is a development in which there are no residuals of originally marked redexes left to contract.

definition *complete-development*
where $complete-development\ t\ U \equiv development\ t\ U \wedge (\Lambda.Ide\ t \vee [t] \text{*\lesssim*}\ U)$

lemma *complete-development-Ide-iff*:
shows $complete-development\ t\ U \implies \Lambda.Ide\ t \longleftrightarrow U = []$
using *complete-development-def development-Ide Ide.simps(1) ide-char*
by (*induct t*) *auto*

lemma *complete-development-cons*:
assumes $complete-development\ t\ (u \# U)$
shows $complete-development\ (t \setminus u)\ U$
using *assms complete-development-def*
by (*metis Ide.simps(1) Ide.simps(2) Resid-rec(1) Resid-rec(3)*
complete-development-Ide-iff ide-char development.simps(2)
 $\Lambda.ide-char\ list.simps(3)$)

lemma *complete-development-cong*:
shows $[complete-development\ t\ U; \neg \Lambda.Ide\ t] \implies [t] \text{*\sim*}\ U$
using *complete-development-def development-implies*
by (*induct U*) *auto*

lemma *complete-developments-cong*:
assumes $\neg \Lambda.Ide\ t$ **and** $complete-development\ t\ U$ **and** $complete-development\ t\ V$
shows $U \text{*\sim*}\ V$
using *assms complete-development-cong [of t] cong-symmetric cong-transitive*
by *blast*

lemma *Trgs-complete-development*:
shows $[complete-development\ t\ U; \neg \Lambda.Ide\ t] \implies Trgs\ U = \{\Lambda.Trg\ t\}$
using *complete-development-cong Ide.simps(1) Srcs-Resid Trgs.simps(2)*
Trgs-Resid-sym ide-char complete-development-def development-imp-Arr $\Lambda.targets-char_\Lambda$
apply *simp*
by (*metis Srcs-Resid Trgs.simps(2) con-char ide-def*)

Now that we know all developments are finite, it is easy to construct a complete development by an iterative process that at each stage contracts one of the remaining marked redexes at each stage. It is also possible to construct a complete development by structural induction without using the finite developments property, but it is more work to prove the correctness.

fun (*in lambda-calculus*) *bottom-up-redex*

where *bottom-up-redex* $\# = \#$
| *bottom-up-redex* $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$
| *bottom-up-redex* $\lambda[M] = \lambda[\text{bottom-up-redex } M]$
| *bottom-up-redex* $(M \circ N) =$
 (*if* $\neg \text{Ide } M$ *then* *bottom-up-redex* $M \circ \text{Src } N$ *else* $M \circ \text{bottom-up-redex } N$)
| *bottom-up-redex* $(\lambda[M] \bullet N) =$
 (*if* $\neg \text{Ide } M$ *then* $\lambda[\text{bottom-up-redex } M] \circ \text{Src } N$
 else if $\neg \text{Ide } N$ *then* $\lambda[M] \circ \text{bottom-up-redex } N$
 else $\lambda[M] \bullet N$)

lemma (*in lambda-calculus*) *elementary-reduction-bottom-up-redex*:
shows $\llbracket \text{Arr } t; \neg \text{Ide } t \rrbracket \implies \text{elementary-reduction } (\text{bottom-up-redex } t)$
using *Ide-Src*
by (*induct t*) *auto*

lemma (*in lambda-calculus*) *subs-bottom-up-redex*:
shows $\text{Arr } t \implies \text{bottom-up-redex } t \sqsubseteq t$
apply (*induct t*)
 apply *auto*[3]
 apply (*metis* *Arr.simps(4)* *Ide.simps(4)* *Ide-Src* *Ide-iff-Src-self* *Ide-implies-Arr*
 bottom-up-redex.simps(4) *ide-char lambda.disc(14)* *lambda.sel(3)* *lambda.sel(4)*
 subs-App *subs-Ide*)
by (*metis* *Arr.simps(5)* *Ide-Src* *Ide-iff-Src-self* *Ide-implies-Arr* *bottom-up-redex.simps(5)*
 ide-char *subs.simps(4)* *subs.simps(5)* *subs-Ide*)

function (*sequential*) *bottom-up-development*
where *bottom-up-development* $t =$
 (*if* $\neg \Lambda.\text{Arr } t \vee \Lambda.\text{Ide } t$ *then* \square)
 else $\Lambda.\text{bottom-up-redex } t \# (\text{bottom-up-development } (t \setminus \Lambda.\text{bottom-up-redex } t))$
by *pat-completeness auto*

termination *bottom-up-development*
using $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-decreases-hgt}$ $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-bottom-up-redex}$
 $\Lambda.\text{subs-bottom-up-redex}$
by (*relation measure* $\Lambda.\text{hgt}$) *auto*

lemma *complete-development-bottom-up-development-ind*:
shows $\llbracket \Lambda.\text{Arr } t; \text{length } (\text{bottom-up-development } t) \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{complete-development } t (\text{bottom-up-development } t)$
proof (*induct n arbitrary: t*)
 show $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \Lambda.\text{Arr } t; \text{length } (\text{bottom-up-development } t) \leq 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{complete-development } t (\text{bottom-up-development } t)$
 using *complete-development-def* *development-Ide* **by** *auto*
 show $\bigwedge n t. \llbracket \bigwedge t. \llbracket \Lambda.\text{Arr } t; \text{length } (\text{bottom-up-development } t) \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{complete-development } t (\text{bottom-up-development } t);$
 $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t; \text{length } (\text{bottom-up-development } t) \leq \text{Suc } n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{complete-development } t (\text{bottom-up-development } t)$
proof –
 fix $n t$

```

assume  $t: \Lambda.Arr\ t$ 
assume  $n: length\ (bottom-up-development\ t) \leq Suc\ n$ 
assume  $ind: \bigwedge t. [\Lambda.Arr\ t; length\ (bottom-up-development\ t) \leq n]$ 
            $\implies complete-development\ t\ (bottom-up-development\ t)$ 
show  $complete-development\ t\ (bottom-up-development\ t)$ 
proof  $(cases\ bottom-up-development\ t)$ 
  show  $bottom-up-development\ t = [] \implies ?thesis$ 
    using  $ind\ t\ by\ force$ 
  fix  $u\ U$ 
  assume  $uU: bottom-up-development\ t = u \# U$ 
  have  $1: \Lambda.elementary-reduction\ u \wedge u \sqsubseteq t$ 
    using  $t\ uU$ 
    by  $(metis\ bottom-up-development.simps\ \Lambda.elementary-reduction-bottom-up-redex$ 
       $list.inject\ list.simps(3)\ \Lambda.subs-bottom-up-redex)$ 
  moreover have  $complete-development\ (\Lambda.resid\ t\ u)\ U$ 
    using  $1\ ind$ 
    by  $(metis\ Suc-le-length-iff\ \Lambda.arr-char\ \Lambda.arr-resid-iff-con\ bottom-up-development.simps$ 
       $list.discI\ list.inject\ n\ not-less-eq-eq\ \Lambda.prfx-implies-con$ 
       $\Lambda.con-sym\ \Lambda.subs-implies-prfx\ uU)$ 
  ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
    by  $(metis\ Con-sym\ Ide.simps(2)\ Resid-rec(1)\ Resid-rec(3)$ 
       $complete-development-Ide-iff\ complete-development-def\ ide-char$ 
       $development.simps(2)\ development-implies\ \Lambda.ide-char\ list.simps(3)\ uU)$ 
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma  $complete-development-bottom-up-development:$ 
assumes  $\Lambda.Arr\ t$ 
shows  $complete-development\ t\ (bottom-up-development\ t)$ 
  using  $assms\ complete-development-bottom-up-development-ind\ by\ blast$ 

```

end

3.5 Reduction Strategies

```
context  $lambda-calculus$ 
```

```
begin
```

A *reduction strategy* is a function taking an identity term to an arrow having that identity as its source.

```
definition  $reduction-strategy$ 
```

```
where  $reduction-strategy\ f \longleftrightarrow (\forall t. Ide\ t \longrightarrow Coinitial\ (f\ t)\ t)$ 
```

The following defines the iterated application of a reduction strategy to an identity term.

```
fun  $reduce$ 
```

```
where  $reduce\ f\ a\ 0 = a$ 
```

```
  |  $reduce\ f\ a\ (Suc\ n) = reduce\ f\ (Trg\ (f\ a))\ n$ 
```

lemma *red-reduce*:
assumes *reduction-strategy f*
shows $Ide\ a \implies red\ a\ (reduce\ f\ a\ n)$
apply (*induct n arbitrary: a, auto*)
apply (*metis Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-iff-Trg-self Ide-implies-Arr red.simps*)
by (*metis Ide-Trg Ide-iff-Src-self assms red.intros(1) red.intros(2) reduction-strategy-def*)

A reduction strategy is *normalizing* if iterated application of it to a normalizable term eventually yields a normal form.

definition *normalizing-strategy*
where *normalizing-strategy f* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall a.\ normalizable\ a \longrightarrow (\exists n.\ NF\ (reduce\ f\ a\ n)))$

end

context *reduction-paths*

begin

The following function constructs the reduction path that results by iterating the application of a reduction strategy to a term.

fun *apply-strategy*
where *apply-strategy f a 0* = []
| *apply-strategy f a (Suc n)* = $f\ a\ \# apply-strategy\ f\ (\Lambda.Trg\ (f\ a))\ n$

lemma *apply-strategy-gives-path-ind*:
assumes $\Lambda.reduction-strategy\ f$
shows $[\Lambda.Ide\ a;\ n > 0] \implies Arr\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ n) \wedge$
 $length\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ n) = n \wedge$
 $Src\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ n) = a \wedge$
 $Trg\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ n) = \Lambda.reduce\ f\ a\ n$

proof (*induct n arbitrary: a, simp*)
fix $n\ a$
assume *ind*: $\bigwedge a.\ [\Lambda.Ide\ a;\ 0 < n] \implies Arr\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ n) \wedge$
 $length\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ n) = n \wedge$
 $Src\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ n) = a \wedge$
 $Trg\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ n) = \Lambda.reduce\ f\ a\ n$

assume $a:\ \Lambda.Ide\ a$
show $Arr\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ (Suc\ n)) \wedge$
 $length\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ (Suc\ n)) = Suc\ n \wedge$
 $Src\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ (Suc\ n)) = a \wedge$
 $Trg\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ (Suc\ n)) = \Lambda.reduce\ f\ a\ (Suc\ n)$

proof (*intro conjI*)
have $1:\ \Lambda.Arr\ (f\ a) \wedge \Lambda.Src\ (f\ a) = a$
using *assms a* $\Lambda.reduction-strategy-def$
by (*metis* $\Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self$)
show $Arr\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ (Suc\ n))$
using $1\ Arr.elims(3)\ ind\ \Lambda.targets-char_\Lambda\ \Lambda.Ide-Trg$ **by** *fastforce*
show $Src\ (apply-strategy\ f\ a\ (Suc\ n)) = a$
by (*simp add: 1*)

```

show length (apply-strategy f a (Suc n)) = Suc n
  by (metis 1  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Trg One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 ind list.size(3) list.size(4)
      neq0-conv apply-strategy.simps(1) apply-strategy.simps(2))
show Trg (apply-strategy f a (Suc n)) =  $\Lambda$ .reduce f a (Suc n)
proof (cases apply-strategy f ( $\Lambda$ .Trg (f a)) n = [])
  show apply-strategy f ( $\Lambda$ .Trg (f a)) n = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
    using a 1 ind [of  $\Lambda$ .Trg (f a)]  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Trg  $\Lambda$ .targets-char $_{\Lambda}$  by force
  assume 2: apply-strategy f ( $\Lambda$ .Trg (f a)) n  $\neq$  []
  have Trg (apply-strategy f a (Suc n)) = Trg (apply-strategy f ( $\Lambda$ .Trg (f a)) n)
    using a 1 ind [of  $\Lambda$ .Trg (f a)]
    by (simp add: 2)
  also have ... =  $\Lambda$ .reduce f a (Suc n)
    using 1 2  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Trg ind [of  $\Lambda$ .Trg (f a)] by fastforce
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *apply-strategy-gives-path*:
assumes Λ .reduction-strategy f **and** Λ .Ide a **and** $n > 0$
shows Arr (apply-strategy f a n)
and length (apply-strategy f a n) = n
and Src (apply-strategy f a n) = a
and Trg (apply-strategy f a n) = Λ .reduce f a n
using *assms apply-strategy-gives-path-ind* **by** auto

lemma *reduce-eq-Trg-apply-strategy*:
assumes Λ .reduction-strategy S **and** Λ .Ide a
shows $n > 0 \implies \Lambda$.reduce S a n = Trg (apply-strategy S a n)
using *assms*
apply (induct n)
apply simp-all
by (metis Arr.simps(1) Trg-simp apply-strategy-gives-path-ind Λ .Ide-Trg
 Λ .reduce.simps(1) Λ .reduction-strategy-def Λ .trg-char neq0-conv
apply-strategy.simps(1))

end

3.5.1 Parallel Reduction

context *lambda-calculus*
begin

Parallel reduction is the strategy that contracts all available redexes at each step.

```

fun parallel-strategy
where parallel-strategy «i» = «i»
  | parallel-strategy  $\lambda$ [t] =  $\lambda$ [parallel-strategy t]
  | parallel-strategy ( $\lambda$ [t]  $\circ$  u) =  $\lambda$ [parallel-strategy t]  $\bullet$  parallel-strategy u
  | parallel-strategy (t  $\circ$  u) = parallel-strategy t  $\circ$  parallel-strategy u
  | parallel-strategy ( $\lambda$ [t]  $\bullet$  u) =  $\lambda$ [parallel-strategy t]  $\bullet$  parallel-strategy u

```

| *parallel-strategy* $\# = \#$

lemma *parallel-strategy-is-reduction-strategy*:

shows *reduction-strategy parallel-strategy*

proof (*unfold reduction-strategy-def, intro allI impI*)

fix *t*

show *Ide t \implies Cinitial (parallel-strategy t) t*

using *Ide-implies-Arr*

apply (*induct t, auto*)

by *force+*

qed

lemma *parallel-strategy-Src-eq*:

shows *Arr t \implies parallel-strategy (Src t) = parallel-strategy t*

by (*induct t*) *auto*

lemma *subs-parallel-strategy-Src*:

shows *Arr t \implies t \sqsubseteq parallel-strategy (Src t)*

by (*induct t*) *auto*

end

context *reduction-paths*

begin

Parallel reduction is a universal strategy in the sense that every reduction path is $^*\lesssim^*$ -below the path generated by the parallel reduction strategy.

lemma *parallel-strategy-is-universal*:

shows $\llbracket n > 0; n \leq \text{length } U; \text{Arr } U \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{take } n \ U \ ^*\lesssim^* \text{apply-strategy } \Lambda.\text{parallel-strategy } (\text{Src } U) \ n$

proof (*induct n arbitrary: U, simp*)

fix *n a* **and** *U :: Λ .lambda list*

assume *n: Suc n \leq length U*

assume *U: Arr U*

assume *ind: $\bigwedge U. \llbracket 0 < n; n \leq \text{length } U; \text{Arr } U \rrbracket$*

$\implies \text{take } n \ U \ ^*\lesssim^* \text{apply-strategy } \Lambda.\text{parallel-strategy } (\text{Src } U) \ n$

have *1: take (Suc n) U = hd U # take n (tl U)*

by (*metis U Arr.simps(1) take-Suc*)

have *2: hd U \sqsubseteq Λ .parallel-strategy (Src U)*

by (*metis Arr-imp-arr-hd Con-single-ideI(2) Resid-Arr-Src Src-resid Srcs-simp $_{\Lambda P}$ Trg.simps(2) U Λ .source-is-ide Λ .trg-ide empty-set Λ .arr-char Λ .sources-char $_{\Lambda}$ Λ .subs-parallel-strategy-Src list.set-intros(1) list.simps(15)*)

show *take (Suc n) U $^*\lesssim^*$ apply-strategy Λ .parallel-strategy (Src U) (Suc n)*

proof (*cases apply-strategy Λ .parallel-strategy (Src U) (Suc n)*)

show *apply-strategy Λ .parallel-strategy (Src U) (Suc n) = [] \implies*

take (Suc n) U $^\lesssim^*$ apply-strategy Λ .parallel-strategy (Src U) (Suc n)*

by *simp*

fix *v V*

assume *3: apply-strategy Λ .parallel-strategy (Src U) (Suc n) = v # V*

```

show take (Suc n) U * $\lesssim$ * apply-strategy  $\Lambda$ .parallel-strategy (Src U) (Suc n)
proof (cases V = [])
  show V = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
    using 1 2 3 ind ide-char
    by (metis Suc-inject Ide.simps(2) Resid.simps(3) list.discI list.inject
         $\Lambda$ .prfx-implies-con apply-strategy.elims  $\Lambda$ .subs-implies-prfx take0)
  assume V: V  $\neq$  []
  have 4: Arr (v # V)
    using 3 apply-strategy-gives-path(1)
  by (metis Arr-imp-arr-hd Srcs-simpPWE Srcs-simp $\Lambda P$  U  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Src  $\Lambda$ .arr-iff-has-target
         $\Lambda$ .parallel-strategy-is-reduction-strategy  $\Lambda$ .targets-char $\Lambda$  singleton-insert-inj-eq'
        zero-less-Suc)
  have 5: Arr (hd U # take n (tl U))
    by (metis 1 U Arr-append-iffP id-take-nth-drop list.discI not-less take-all-iff)
  have 6: Srcs (hd U # take n (tl U)) = Srcs (v # V)
    by (metis 2 3  $\Lambda$ .Coinitial-iff-Con  $\Lambda$ .Ide.simps(1) Srcs.simps(2) Srcs.simps(3)
         $\Lambda$ .ide-char list.exhaust-sel list.inject apply-strategy.simps(2)  $\Lambda$ .sources-char $\Lambda$ 
         $\Lambda$ .subs-implies-prfx)
  have take (Suc n) U * $\setminus$ * apply-strategy  $\Lambda$ .parallel-strategy (Src U) (Suc n) =
    [hd U \ v] * $\setminus$ * V @ (take n (tl U) * $\setminus$ * [v \ hd U]) * $\setminus$ * (V * $\setminus$ * [hd U \ v])
    using U V 1 3 4 5 6
  by (metis Resid.simps(1) Resid-cons(1) Resid-rec(3-4) confluence-ind)
moreover have Ide ...
proof
  have 7: v =  $\Lambda$ .parallel-strategy (Src U)  $\wedge$ 
    V = apply-strategy  $\Lambda$ .parallel-strategy (Src U \ v) n
    using 3  $\Lambda$ .subs-implies-prfx  $\Lambda$ .subs-parallel-strategy-Src
    apply simp
  by (metis (full-types)  $\Lambda$ .Coinitial-iff-Con  $\Lambda$ .Ide.simps(1)  $\Lambda$ .Trg.simps(5)
         $\Lambda$ .parallel-strategy.simps(9)  $\Lambda$ .resid-Src-Arr)
  show 8: Ide ([hd U \ v] * $\setminus$ * V)
    by (metis 2 4 5 6 7 V Con-initial-left Ide.simps(2)
        confluence-ind Con-rec(3) Resid-Ide-Arr-ind  $\Lambda$ .subs-implies-prfx)
  show 9: Ide ((take n (tl U) * $\setminus$ * [v \ hd U]) * $\setminus$ * (V * $\setminus$ * [hd U \ v]))
  proof –
    have 10:  $\Lambda$ .Ide (hd U \ v)
      using 2 7  $\Lambda$ .ide-char  $\Lambda$ .subs-implies-prfx by presburger
    have 11: V = apply-strategy  $\Lambda$ .parallel-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Trg v) n
      using 3 by auto
    have (take n (tl U) * $\setminus$ * [v \ hd U]) * $\setminus$ * (V * $\setminus$ * [hd U \ v]) =
      (take n (tl U) * $\setminus$ * [v \ hd U]) * $\setminus$ *
        apply-strategy  $\Lambda$ .parallel-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Trg v) n
      by (metis 8 10 11 Ide.simps(1) Resid-single-ide(2)  $\Lambda$ .prfx-char)
    moreover have Ide ...
  proof –
    have Ide (take n (take n (tl U) * $\setminus$ * [v \ hd U]) * $\setminus$ *
      apply-strategy  $\Lambda$ .parallel-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Trg v) n)
  proof –
    have 0 < n

```



```

proof –
  have  $\text{length } V = n$ 
    using apply-strategy-gives-path
    by (metis 10 11 V  $\Lambda$ .Coinitial-iff-Con  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Trg  $\Lambda$ .Arr-not-Nil
       $\Lambda$ .Ide-implies-Arr  $\Lambda$ .parallel-strategy-is-reduction-strategy neq0-conv
      apply-strategy.simps(1))
    thus ?thesis
    using  $V$  by blast
qed
moreover have  $n \leq \text{length } (\text{take } n \text{ (tl } U) \text{ }^*\backslash^* [v \setminus \text{hd } U])$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{length } (\text{take } n \text{ (tl } U)) = n$ 
    using  $n$  by force
    thus ?thesis
    using  $n$   $U$  length-Resid [of take n (tl U) [v \setminus hd U]]
    by (metis 4 5 6 Arr.simps(1) Con-cons(2) Con-rec(2)
      confluence-ind dual-order.eq-iff)
qed
moreover have  $\Lambda$ .Trg  $v = \text{Src } (\text{take } n \text{ (tl } U) \text{ }^*\backslash^* [v \setminus \text{hd } U])$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{Src } (\text{take } n \text{ (tl } U) \text{ }^*\backslash^* [v \setminus \text{hd } U]) = \text{Trg } [v \setminus \text{hd } U]$ 
    by (metis Src-resid calculation(1–2) linorder-not-less list.size(3))
  also have  $\dots = \Lambda$ .Trg  $v$ 
    by (metis 10 Trg.simps(2)  $\Lambda$ .Arr-not-Nil  $\Lambda$ .apex-sym  $\Lambda$ .trg-ide
       $\Lambda$ .Ide-iff-Src-self  $\Lambda$ .Ide-implies-Arr  $\Lambda$ .Src-resid  $\Lambda$ .prfx-char)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using ind [of Resid (take n (tl U)) [ $\Lambda$ .resid v (hd U)]] ide-char
  by (metis Con-imp-Arr-Resid le-zero-eq less-not-refl list.size(3))
qed
moreover have  $\text{take } n \text{ (take } n \text{ (tl } U) \text{ }^*\backslash^* [v \setminus \text{hd } U]) =$ 
   $\text{take } n \text{ (tl } U) \text{ }^*\backslash^* [v \setminus \text{hd } U]$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{Arr } (\text{take } n \text{ (tl } U) \text{ }^*\backslash^* [v \setminus \text{hd } U])$ 
    by (metis Con-imp-Arr-Resid Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) calculation
      take-Nil)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis 1 Arr.simps(1) length-Resid dual-order.eq-iff length-Cons
      length-take min.absorb2 n old.nat.inject take-all)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
show  $\text{Trg } ([\text{hd } U \setminus v] \text{ }^*\backslash^* V) =$ 
 $\text{Src } ((\text{take } n \text{ (tl } U) \text{ }^*\backslash^* [v \setminus \text{hd } U]) \text{ }^*\backslash^* (V \text{ }^*\backslash^* [\text{hd } U \setminus v]))$ 
by (metis 9 Ide.simps(1) Src-resid Trg-resid-sym)
qed

```

```

    ultimately show ?thesis
      using ide-char by presburger
    qed
  qed
end

context lambda-calculus
begin

  Parallel reduction is a normalizing strategy.

  lemma parallel-strategy-is-normalizing:
  shows normalizing-strategy parallel-strategy
  proof -
    interpret  $\Lambda x$ : reduction-paths .

    have  $\bigwedge a$ . normalizable  $a \implies \exists n$ . NF (reduce parallel-strategy  $a$   $n$ )
    proof -
      fix  $a$ 
      assume 1: normalizable  $a$ 
      obtain  $U$   $b$  where  $U: \Lambda x$ .Arr  $U \wedge \Lambda x$ .Src  $U = a \wedge \Lambda x$ .Trg  $U = b \wedge$  NF  $b$ 
        using 1 normalizable-def  $\Lambda x$ .red-iff by blast
      have 2:  $\bigwedge n$ .  $[0 < n; n \leq \text{length } U]$ 
         $\implies \Lambda x$ .Ide  $(\Lambda x$ .Resid (take  $n$   $U$ )  $(\Lambda x$ .apply-strategy parallel-strategy  $a$   $n$ ))
        using  $U$   $\Lambda x$ .parallel-strategy-is-universal  $\Lambda x$ .ide-char by blast
      let ?PR =  $\Lambda x$ .apply-strategy parallel-strategy  $a$  (length  $U$ )
      have  $\Lambda x$ .Trg ?PR =  $b$ 
      proof -
        have 3:  $\Lambda x$ .Ide  $(\Lambda x$ .Resid  $U$  ?PR)
          using  $U$  2 [of length  $U$ ] by force
        have  $\Lambda x$ .Trg  $(\Lambda x$ .Resid ?PR  $U$ ) =  $b$ 
          by (metis 3 NF-reduct-is-trivial  $U$   $\Lambda x$ .Con-imp-Arr-Resid  $\Lambda x$ .Con-sym  $\Lambda x$ .Ide.simps(1)
             $\Lambda x$ .Src-resid reduction-paths.red-iff)
        thus ?thesis
          by (metis 3  $\Lambda x$ .Con-Arr-self  $\Lambda x$ .Ide-implies-Arr  $\Lambda x$ .Resid-Arr-Ide-ind
             $\Lambda x$ .Src-resid  $\Lambda x$ .Trg-resid-sym)
      qed
      hence reduce parallel-strategy  $a$  (length  $U$ ) =  $b$ 
        using 1  $U$ 
        by (metis  $\Lambda x$ .Arr.simps(1) length-greater-0-conv normalizable-def
           $\Lambda x$ .apply-strategy-gives-path(4) parallel-strategy-is-reduction-strategy)
      thus  $\exists n$ . NF (reduce parallel-strategy  $a$   $n$ )
        using  $U$  by blast
    qed
  thus ?thesis
    using normalizing-strategy-def by blast
end

```

An alternative characterization of a normal form is a term on which the parallel

reduction strategy yields an identity.

abbreviation *has-redex*

where $\text{has-redex } t \equiv \text{Arr } t \wedge \neg \text{Ide } (\text{parallel-strategy } t)$

lemma *NF-iff-has-no-redex*:

shows $\text{Arr } t \implies \text{NF } t \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } t$

proof (*induct t*)

show $\text{Arr } \# \implies \text{NF } \# \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } \#$

using *NF-def* **by** *simp*

show $\bigwedge x. \text{Arr } \langle x \rangle \implies \text{NF } \langle x \rangle \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } \langle x \rangle$

using *NF-def* **by** *force*

show $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \text{Arr } t \implies \text{NF } t \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } t; \text{Arr } \lambda[t] \rrbracket \implies \text{NF } \lambda[t] \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } \lambda[t]$

proof –

fix *t*

assume *ind*: $\text{Arr } t \implies \text{NF } t \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } t$

assume *t*: $\text{Arr } \lambda[t]$

show $\text{NF } \lambda[t] \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } \lambda[t]$

proof

show $\text{NF } \lambda[t] \implies \neg \text{has-redex } \lambda[t]$

using *t ind*

by (*metis NF-def Arr.simps(3) Ide.simps(3) Src.simps(3) parallel-strategy.simps(2)*)

show $\neg \text{has-redex } \lambda[t] \implies \text{NF } \lambda[t]$

using *t ind*

by (*metis NF-def ide-backward-stable ide-char parallel-strategy-Src-eq subs-implies-prfx subs-parallel-strategy-Src*)

qed

qed

show $\bigwedge t1\ t2. \llbracket \text{Arr } t1 \implies \text{NF } t1 \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } t1;$

$\text{Arr } t2 \implies \text{NF } t2 \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } t2;$

$\text{Arr } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{NF } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$

using *NF-def Ide.simps(5) parallel-strategy.simps(8)* **by** *presburger*

show $\bigwedge t1\ t2. \llbracket \text{Arr } t1 \implies \text{NF } t1 \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } t1;$

$\text{Arr } t2 \implies \text{NF } t2 \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } t2;$

$\text{Arr } (t1 \circ t2) \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{NF } (t1 \circ t2) \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } (t1 \circ t2)$

proof –

fix *t1 t2*

assume *ind1*: $\text{Arr } t1 \implies \text{NF } t1 \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } t1$

assume *ind2*: $\text{Arr } t2 \implies \text{NF } t2 \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } t2$

assume *t*: $\text{Arr } (t1 \circ t2)$

show $\text{NF } (t1 \circ t2) \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has-redex } (t1 \circ t2)$

using *t ind1 ind2 NF-def*

apply (*intro iffI*)

apply (*metis Ide-iff-Src-self parallel-strategy-is-reduction-strategy reduction-strategy-def*)

apply (*cases t1*)

apply *simp-all*

apply (*metis Ide-iff-Src-self ide-char parallel-strategy.simps(1,5)*)

parallel-strategy-is-reduction-strategy reduction-strategy-def resid-Arr-Src
subs-implies-prfx subs-parallel-strategy-Src)
by (*metis Ide-iff-Src-self ide-char ind1 Arr.simps(4) parallel-strategy.simps(6)*
parallel-strategy-is-reduction-strategy reduction-strategy-def resid-Arr-Src
subs-implies-prfx subs-parallel-strategy-Src)

qed

qed

lemma (*in lambda-calculus*) *not-NF-elim*:
assumes $\neg NF\ t$ **and** *Ide t*
obtains *u* **where** *coinitial t u* $\wedge \neg Ide\ u$
using *assms NF-def* **by** *auto*

lemma (*in lambda-calculus*) *NF-Lam-iff*:
shows $NF\ \lambda[t] \longleftrightarrow NF\ t$
using *NF-def*
by (*metis Ide-implies-Arr NF-iff-has-no-redex Ide.simps(3) parallel-strategy.simps(2)*)

lemma (*in lambda-calculus*) *NF-App-iff*:
shows $NF\ (t1\ \circ\ t2) \longleftrightarrow \neg is-Lam\ t1 \wedge NF\ t1 \wedge NF\ t2$
proof –
have $\neg NF\ (t1\ \circ\ t2) \implies is-Lam\ t1 \vee \neg NF\ t1 \vee \neg NF\ t2$
apply (*cases is-Lam t1*)
apply *simp-all*
apply (*cases t1*)
apply *simp-all*
using *NF-def Ide.simps(1)* **apply** *presburger*
apply (*metis Ide-implies-Arr NF-def NF-iff-has-no-redex Ide.simps(4)*
parallel-strategy.simps(5))
apply (*metis Ide-implies-Arr NF-def NF-iff-has-no-redex Ide.simps(4)*
parallel-strategy.simps(6))
using *NF-def Ide.simps(5)* **by** *presburger*
moreover **have** $is-Lam\ t1 \vee \neg NF\ t1 \vee \neg NF\ t2 \implies \neg NF\ (t1\ \circ\ t2)$
proof –
have $is-Lam\ t1 \implies \neg NF\ (t1\ \circ\ t2)$
by (*metis Ide-implies-Arr NF-def NF-iff-has-no-redex Ide.simps(5) lambda.collapse(2)*
parallel-strategy.simps(3,8))
moreover **have** $\neg NF\ t1 \implies \neg NF\ (t1\ \circ\ t2)$
using *NF-def Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr*
apply *auto*
by (*metis (full-types) Arr.simps(4) Ide.simps(4) Src.simps(4)*)
moreover **have** $\neg NF\ t2 \implies \neg NF\ (t1\ \circ\ t2)$
using *NF-def Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr*
apply *auto*
by (*metis (full-types) Arr.simps(4) Ide.simps(4) Src.simps(4)*)
ultimately show $is-Lam\ t1 \vee \neg NF\ t1 \vee \neg NF\ t2 \implies \neg NF\ (t1\ \circ\ t2)$
by *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

3.5.2 Head Reduction

Head reduction is the strategy that only contracts a redex at the “head” position, which is found at the end of the “left spine” of applications, and does nothing if there is no such redex.

The following function applies to an arbitrary arrow t , and it marks the redex at the head position, if any, otherwise it yields $Src\ t$.

```
fun head-strategy
where head-strategy «i» = «i»
      | head-strategy λ[t] = λ[head-strategy t]
      | head-strategy (λ[t] ◦ u) = λ[Src t] • Src u
      | head-strategy (t ◦ u) = head-strategy t ◦ Src u
      | head-strategy (λ[t] • u) = λ[Src t] • Src u
      | head-strategy ‡ = ‡
```

lemma Arr-head-strategy:

shows Arr $t \implies$ Arr (head-strategy t)

apply (induct t)

apply auto

proof –

fix $t\ u$

assume ind : Arr (head-strategy t)

assume t : Arr t **and** u : Arr u

show Arr (head-strategy ($t \circ u$))

using $t\ u\ ind$

by (cases t) auto

qed

lemma Src-head-strategy:

shows Arr $t \implies$ Src (head-strategy t) = Src t

apply (induct t)

apply auto

proof –

fix $t\ u$

assume ind : Src (head-strategy t) = Src t

assume t : Arr t **and** u : Arr u

have Src (head-strategy ($t \circ u$)) = Src (head-strategy $t \circ Src\ u$)

using $t\ ind$

by (cases t) auto

also have ... = Src $t \circ Src\ u$

using $t\ u\ ind$ **by** auto

finally show Src (head-strategy ($t \circ u$)) = Src $t \circ Src\ u$ **by** simp

qed

lemma Con-head-strategy:

shows Arr $t \implies$ Con t (head-strategy t)

```

apply (induct t)
  apply auto
  apply (simp add: Arr-head-strategy Src-head-strategy)
  using Arr-Subst Arr-not-Nil by auto

lemma head-strategy-Src:
shows Arr t  $\implies$  head-strategy (Src t) = head-strategy t
  apply (induct t)
  apply auto
  using Arr.elims(2) by fastforce

lemma head-strategy-is-elementary:
shows  $\llbracket$ Arr t;  $\neg$  Ide (head-strategy t) $\rrbracket \implies$  elementary-reduction (head-strategy t)
  using Ide-Src
  apply (induct t)
  apply auto
proof -
  fix t1 t2
  assume t1: Arr t1 and t2: Arr t2
  assume t:  $\neg$  Ide (head-strategy (t1  $\circ$  t2))
  assume 1:  $\neg$  Ide (head-strategy t1)  $\implies$  elementary-reduction (head-strategy t1)
  assume 2:  $\neg$  Ide (head-strategy t2)  $\implies$  elementary-reduction (head-strategy t2)
  show elementary-reduction (head-strategy (t1  $\circ$  t2))
    using t t1 t2 1 2 Ide-Src Ide-implies-Arr
    by (cases t1) auto
qed

lemma head-strategy-is-reduction-strategy:
shows reduction-strategy head-strategy
proof (unfold reduction-strategy-def, intro allI impI)
  fix t
  show Ide t  $\implies$  Coinitial (head-strategy t) t
  proof (induct t)
    show Ide  $\# \implies$  Coinitial (head-strategy  $\#$ )  $\#$ 
      by simp
    show  $\bigwedge x. \text{Ide } \langle x \rangle \implies \text{Coinitial (head-strategy } \langle x \rangle) \langle x \rangle$ 
      by simp
    show  $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \text{Ide } t \implies \text{Coinitial (head-strategy } t) t; \text{Ide } \lambda[t] \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{Coinitial (head-strategy } \lambda[t]) \lambda[t]$ 
      by simp
  fix t1 t2
  assume ind1: Ide t1  $\implies$  Coinitial (head-strategy t1) t1
  assume ind2: Ide t2  $\implies$  Coinitial (head-strategy t2) t2
  assume t: Ide (t1  $\circ$  t2)
  show Coinitial (head-strategy (t1  $\circ$  t2)) (t1  $\circ$  t2)
    using t ind1 Ide-implies-Arr Ide-iff-Src-self
    by (cases t1) simp-all
  next
  fix t1 t2

```

```

assume ind1: Ide t1  $\implies$  Coinitial (head-strategy t1) t1
assume ind2: Ide t2  $\implies$  Coinitial (head-strategy t2) t2
assume t: Ide ( $\lambda[t1] \bullet t2$ )
show Coinitial (head-strategy ( $\lambda[t1] \bullet t2$ )) ( $\lambda[t1] \bullet t2$ )
  using t by auto
qed
qed

```

The following function tests whether a term is an elementary reduction of the head redex.

```

fun is-head-reduction
where is-head-reduction «-»  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  | is-head-reduction  $\lambda[t]$   $\longleftrightarrow$  is-head-reduction t
  | is-head-reduction ( $\lambda[-] \circ -$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  | is-head-reduction (t  $\circ$  u)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-head-reduction t  $\wedge$  Ide u
  | is-head-reduction ( $\lambda[t] \bullet u$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  Ide t  $\wedge$  Ide u
  | is-head-reduction  $\#$   $\longleftrightarrow$  False

```

lemma is-head-reduction-char:

```

shows is-head-reduction t  $\longleftrightarrow$  elementary-reduction t  $\wedge$  head-strategy (Src t) = t
apply (induct t)
apply simp-all

```

proof –

```

fix t1 t2
assume ind: is-head-reduction t1  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  elementary-reduction t1  $\wedge$  head-strategy (Src t1) = t1
show is-head-reduction (t1  $\circ$  t2)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (elementary-reduction t1  $\wedge$  Ide t2  $\vee$  Ide t1  $\wedge$  elementary-reduction t2)  $\wedge$ 
  head-strategy (Src t1  $\circ$  Src t2) = t1  $\circ$  t2
using ind Ide-implies-Arr Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-Src elementary-reduction-not-ide
  ide-char
apply (cases t1)
apply simp-all
apply (metis Ide-Src arr-char elementary-reduction-is-arr)
apply (metis Ide-Src arr-char elementary-reduction-is-arr)
by metis
next
fix t1 t2
show Ide t1  $\wedge$  Ide t2  $\longleftrightarrow$  Ide t1  $\wedge$  Ide t2  $\wedge$  Src (Src t1) = t1  $\wedge$  Src (Src t2) = t2
by (metis Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr)
qed

```

lemma is-head-reductionI:

```

assumes Arr t and elementary-reduction t and head-strategy (Src t) = t
shows is-head-reduction t
using assms is-head-reduction-char by blast

```

The following function tests whether a redex in the head position of a term is marked.

```

fun contains-head-reduction

```

where *contains-head-reduction* «-» \longleftrightarrow *False*
| *contains-head-reduction* $\lambda[t]$ \longleftrightarrow *contains-head-reduction* *t*
| *contains-head-reduction* ($\lambda[-] \circ -$) \longleftrightarrow *False*
| *contains-head-reduction* (*t* \circ *u*) \longleftrightarrow *contains-head-reduction* *t* \wedge *Arr* *u*
| *contains-head-reduction* ($\lambda[t] \bullet u$) \longleftrightarrow *Arr* *t* \wedge *Arr* *u*
| *contains-head-reduction* \sharp \longleftrightarrow *False*

lemma *is-head-reduction-imp-contains-head-reduction*:
shows *is-head-reduction* *t* \implies *contains-head-reduction* *t*
using *Ide-implies-Arr*
apply (*induct* *t*)
apply *auto*

proof –
fix *t1* *t2*
assume *ind1*: *is-head-reduction* *t1* \implies *contains-head-reduction* *t1*
assume *ind2*: *is-head-reduction* *t2* \implies *contains-head-reduction* *t2*
assume *t*: *is-head-reduction* (*t1* \circ *t2*)
show *contains-head-reduction* (*t1* \circ *t2*)
using *t* *ind1* *ind2* *Ide-implies-Arr*
by (*cases* *t1*) *auto*

qed

An *internal reduction* is one that does not contract any redex at the head position.

fun *is-internal-reduction*
where *is-internal-reduction* «-» \longleftrightarrow *True*
| *is-internal-reduction* $\lambda[t]$ \longleftrightarrow *is-internal-reduction* *t*
| *is-internal-reduction* ($\lambda[t] \circ u$) \longleftrightarrow *Arr* *t* \wedge *Arr* *u*
| *is-internal-reduction* (*t* \circ *u*) \longleftrightarrow *is-internal-reduction* *t* \wedge *Arr* *u*
| *is-internal-reduction* ($\lambda[-] \bullet -$) \longleftrightarrow *False*
| *is-internal-reduction* \sharp \longleftrightarrow *False*

lemma *is-internal-reduction-iff*:
shows *is-internal-reduction* *t* \longleftrightarrow *Arr* *t* \wedge \neg *contains-head-reduction* *t*
apply (*induct* *t*)
apply *simp-all*

proof –
fix *t1* *t2*
assume *ind1*: *is-internal-reduction* *t1* \longleftrightarrow *Arr* *t1* \wedge \neg *contains-head-reduction* *t1*
assume *ind2*: *is-internal-reduction* *t2* \longleftrightarrow *Arr* *t2* \wedge \neg *contains-head-reduction* *t2*
show *is-internal-reduction* (*t1* \circ *t2*) \longleftrightarrow
Arr *t1* \wedge *Arr* *t2* \wedge \neg *contains-head-reduction* (*t1* \circ *t2*)
using *ind1* *ind2*
apply (*cases* *t1*)
apply *simp-all*
by *blast*

qed

Head reduction steps are either \lesssim -prefixes of, or are preserved by, residuation along arbitrary reductions.

lemma *is-head-reduction-resid*:

shows $\llbracket \text{is-head-reduction } t; \text{Arr } u; \text{Src } t = \text{Src } u \rrbracket \implies t \lesssim u \vee \text{is-head-reduction } (t \setminus u)$
proof (*induct t arbitrary: u*)
show $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{is-head-reduction } \sharp; \text{Arr } u; \text{Src } \sharp = \text{Src } u \rrbracket$
 $\implies \sharp \lesssim u \vee \text{is-head-reduction } (\sharp \setminus u)$
by auto
show $\bigwedge x u. \llbracket \text{is-head-reduction } \langle x \rangle; \text{Arr } u; \text{Src } \langle x \rangle = \text{Src } u \rrbracket$
 $\implies \langle x \rangle \lesssim u \vee \text{is-head-reduction } (\langle x \rangle \setminus u)$
by auto
fix $t u$
assume $\text{ind}: \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{is-head-reduction } t; \text{Arr } u; \text{Src } t = \text{Src } u \rrbracket$
 $\implies t \lesssim u \vee \text{is-head-reduction } (t \setminus u)$
assume $t: \text{is-head-reduction } \lambda[t]$
assume $u: \text{Arr } u$
assume $tu: \text{Src } \lambda[t] = \text{Src } u$
have $1: \text{Arr } t$
by (*metis Arr-head-strategy head-strategy-Src is-head-reduction-char Arr.simps(3) t tu u*)
show $\lambda[t] \lesssim u \vee \text{is-head-reduction } (\lambda[t] \setminus u)$
using $t u tu 1 \text{ ind}$
by (*cases u*) **auto**
next
fix $t1 t2 u$
assume $\text{ind1}: \bigwedge u1. \llbracket \text{is-head-reduction } t1; \text{Arr } u1; \text{Src } t1 = \text{Src } u1 \rrbracket$
 $\implies t1 \lesssim u1 \vee \text{is-head-reduction } (t1 \setminus u1)$
assume $\text{ind2}: \bigwedge u2. \llbracket \text{is-head-reduction } t2; \text{Arr } u2; \text{Src } t2 = \text{Src } u2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies t2 \lesssim u2 \vee \text{is-head-reduction } (t2 \setminus u2)$
assume $t: \text{is-head-reduction } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$
assume $u: \text{Arr } u$
assume $tu: \text{Src } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) = \text{Src } u$
show $\lambda[t1] \bullet t2 \lesssim u \vee \text{is-head-reduction } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u)$
using $t u tu \text{ ind1 ind2 Coinitial-iff-Con Ide-implies-Arr ide-char resid-Ide-Arr Ide-Subst}$
by (*cases u; cases un-App1 u*) **auto**
next
fix $t1 t2 u$
assume $\text{ind1}: \bigwedge u1. \llbracket \text{is-head-reduction } t1; \text{Arr } u1; \text{Src } t1 = \text{Src } u1 \rrbracket$
 $\implies t1 \lesssim u1 \vee \text{is-head-reduction } (t1 \setminus u1)$
assume $\text{ind2}: \bigwedge u2. \llbracket \text{is-head-reduction } t2; \text{Arr } u2; \text{Src } t2 = \text{Src } u2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies t2 \lesssim u2 \vee \text{is-head-reduction } (t2 \setminus u2)$
assume $t: \text{is-head-reduction } (t1 \circ t2)$
assume $u: \text{Arr } u$
assume $tu: \text{Src } (t1 \circ t2) = \text{Src } u$
have $\text{Arr } (t1 \circ t2)$
using *is-head-reduction-char elementary-reduction-is-arr t* **by blast**
hence $t1: \text{Arr } t1$ **and** $t2: \text{Arr } t2$
by auto
have $0: \neg \text{is-Lam } t1$
using $t \text{ is-Lam-def}$ **by fastforce**
have $1: \text{is-head-reduction } t1$
using $t t1$ **by force**
show $t1 \circ t2 \lesssim u \vee \text{is-head-reduction } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)$

```

proof –
  have  $\neg \text{Ide } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u) \implies \text{is-head-reduction } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)$ 
  proof (intro is-head-reductionI)
    assume  $2: \neg \text{Ide } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)$ 
    have  $3: \text{is-App } u \implies \neg \text{Ide } (t1 \setminus \text{un-App1 } u) \vee \neg \text{Ide } (t2 \setminus \text{un-App2 } u)$ 
    by (metis 2 ide-char lambda.collapse(3) lambda.discI(3) lambda.sel(3-4) prfx-App-iff)
    have  $4: \text{is-Beta } u \implies \neg \text{Ide } (t1 \setminus \text{un-Beta1 } u) \vee \neg \text{Ide } (t2 \setminus \text{un-Beta2 } u)$ 
    using  $u \text{ tu } 2$ 
    by (metis 0 ConI Con-implies-is-Lam-iff-is-Lam <Arr (t1 o t2)>
      ConD(4) lambda.collapse(4) lambda.disc(8))
  show  $5: \text{Arr } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)$ 
    using Arr-resid <Arr (t1 o t2)> tu u by auto
  show  $\text{head-strategy } (\text{Src } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
  proof (cases u)
    show  $u = \# \implies \text{head-strategy } (\text{Src } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
    by simp
    show  $\bigwedge x. u = \langle x \rangle \implies \text{head-strategy } (\text{Src } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
    by auto
    show  $\bigwedge v. u = \lambda[v] \implies \text{head-strategy } (\text{Src } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
    by simp
  show  $\bigwedge u1 \ u2. u = \lambda[u1] \bullet u2 \implies \text{head-strategy } (\text{Src } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
    by (metis 0 5 Arr-not-Nil ConD(4) Con-implies-is-Lam-iff-is-Lam lambda.disc(8))
  show  $\bigwedge u1 \ u2. u = \text{App } u1 \ u2 \implies \text{head-strategy } (\text{Src } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
  proof –
    fix  $u1 \ u2$ 
    assume  $u1u2: u = u1 \circ u2$ 
    have  $\text{head-strategy } (\text{Src } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)) =$ 
       $\text{head-strategy } (\text{Src } (t1 \setminus u1) \circ \text{Src } (t2 \setminus u2))$ 
    using  $u \ u1u2 \ \text{tu } t1 \ t2 \ \text{Coinitial-iff-Con}$  by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{head-strategy } (\text{Trg } u1 \circ \text{Trg } u2)$ 
    using  $5 \ u1u2 \ \text{Src-resid}$ 
    by (metis Arr-not-Nil ConD(1))
    also have  $\dots = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
  proof (cases Trg u1)
    show  $\text{Trg } u1 = \# \implies \text{head-strategy } (\text{Trg } u1 \circ \text{Trg } u2) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
    using Arr-not-Nil u u1u2 by force
    show  $\bigwedge x. \text{Trg } u1 = \langle x \rangle \implies \text{head-strategy } (\text{Trg } u1 \circ \text{Trg } u2) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
    using  $\text{tu } t \ u \ t1 \ t2 \ u1u2 \ \text{Arr-not-Nil Ide-iff-Src-self}$ 
    by (cases u1; cases t1) auto
    show  $\bigwedge v. \text{Trg } u1 = \lambda[v] \implies \text{head-strategy } (\text{Trg } u1 \circ \text{Trg } u2) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
    using  $\text{tu } t \ u \ t1 \ t2 \ u1u2 \ \text{Arr-not-Nil Ide-iff-Src-self}$ 
    apply (cases u1; cases t1)
      apply auto
    by (metis 2 5 Src-resid Trg.simps(3-4) resid.simps(3-4) resid-Src-Arr)
  show  $\bigwedge u11 \ u12. \text{Trg } u1 = u11 \circ u12$ 
     $\implies \text{head-strategy } (\text{Trg } u1 \circ \text{Trg } u2) = (t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ 
  proof –
    fix  $u11 \ u12$ 
    assume  $u1: \text{Trg } u1 = u11 \circ u12$ 

```

```

show head-strategy (Trg u1 ◦ Trg u2) = (t1 ◦ t2) \ u
proof (cases Trg u1)
  show Trg u1 = ‡ ⇒ ?thesis
    using u1 by simp
  show ∧x. Trg u1 = «x» ⇒ ?thesis
    apply simp
    using u1 by force
  show ∧v. Trg u1 = λ[v] ⇒ ?thesis
    using u1 by simp
  show ∧u11 u12. Trg u1 = u11 ◦ u12 ⇒ ?thesis
    using t u tu u1u2 1 2 ind1 elementary-reduction-not-ide
      is-head-reduction-char Src-resid Ide-iff-Src-self
      ⟨Arr (t1 ◦ t2)⟩ Coinitial-iff-Con
    by fastforce
  show ∧u11 u12. Trg u1 = λ[u11] • u12 ⇒ ?thesis
    using u1 by simp
qed
qed
show ∧u11 u12. Trg u1 = λ[u11] • u12 ⇒ ?thesis
  using u1u2 u Ide-Trg by fastforce
qed
finally show head-strategy (Src ((t1 ◦ t2) \ u)) = (t1 ◦ t2) \ u
  by simp
qed
qed
thus elementary-reduction ((t1 ◦ t2) \ u)
  by (metis 2 5 Ide-Src Ide-implies-Arr head-strategy-is-elementary)
qed
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

Internal reductions are closed under residuation.

lemma *is-internal-reduction-resid*:

shows $\llbracket \text{is-internal-reduction } t; \text{is-internal-reduction } u; \text{Src } t = \text{Src } u \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{is-internal-reduction } (t \setminus u)$

apply (*induct* t *arbitrary*: u)

apply *auto*

apply (*metis* *Con-implies-Arr2 con-char weak-extensionality Arr.simps(2) Src.simps(2)*
parallel-strategy.simps(1) prfx-implies-con resid-Arr-Src subs-Ide
subs-implies-prfx subs-parallel-strategy-Src)

proof –

fix t u

assume *ind*: $\llbracket \text{is-internal-reduction } u; \text{Src } t = \text{Src } u \rrbracket \implies \text{is-internal-reduction } (t \setminus u)$

assume t: *is-internal-reduction* t

assume u: *is-internal-reduction* u

assume tu: $\lambda[\text{Src } t] = \text{Src } u$

show *is-internal-reduction* ($\lambda[t] \setminus u$)

using t u tu *ind*

```

  apply (cases u)
  by auto fastforce
next
fix t1 t2 u
assume ind1:  $\bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-internal-reduction } t1; is\text{-internal-reduction } u; Src\ t1 = Src\ u \rrbracket$ 
            $\implies is\text{-internal-reduction } (t1 \setminus u)$ 
assume t: is-internal-reduction (t1  $\circ$  t2)
assume u: is-internal-reduction u
assume tu: Src t1  $\circ$  Src t2 = Src u
show is-internal-reduction ((t1  $\circ$  t2)  $\setminus$  u)
  using t u tu ind1 Coinitial-resid-resid Coinitial-iff-Con Arr-Src
  is-internal-reduction-iff
  apply auto
  apply (metis Arr.simps(4) Src.simps(4))
proof -
  assume t1: Arr t1 and t2: Arr t2 and u: Arr u
  assume tu: Src t1  $\circ$  Src t2 = Src u
  assume 1:  $\neg$  contains-head-reduction u
  assume 2:  $\neg$  contains-head-reduction (t1  $\circ$  t2)
  assume 3: contains-head-reduction ((t1  $\circ$  t2)  $\setminus$  u)
  show False
    using t1 t2 u tu 1 2 3 is-internal-reduction-iff
    apply (cases u)
    apply simp-all
    apply (cases t1; cases un-App1 u)
    apply simp-all
    by (metis Coinitial-iff-Con ind1 Arr.simps(4) Src.simps(4) resid.simps(3))
qed
qed

```

A head reduction is preserved by residuation along an internal reduction, so a head reduction can only be canceled by a transition that contains a head reduction.

```

lemma is-head-reduction-resid':
shows  $\llbracket is\text{-head-reduction } t; is\text{-internal-reduction } u; Src\ t = Src\ u \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies is\text{-head-reduction } (t \setminus u)$ 
proof (induct t arbitrary: u)
  show  $\bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-head-reduction } \#; is\text{-internal-reduction } u; Src\ \# = Src\ u \rrbracket$ 
         $\implies is\text{-head-reduction } (\# \setminus u)$ 
    by simp
  show  $\bigwedge x\ u. \llbracket is\text{-head-reduction } \langle x \rangle; is\text{-internal-reduction } u; Src\ \langle x \rangle = Src\ u \rrbracket$ 
         $\implies is\text{-head-reduction } (\langle x \rangle \setminus u)$ 
    by simp
  show  $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-head-reduction } t; is\text{-internal-reduction } u; Src\ t = Src\ u \rrbracket$ 
         $\implies is\text{-head-reduction } (t \setminus u);$ 
         $is\text{-head-reduction } \lambda[t]; is\text{-internal-reduction } u; Src\ \lambda[t] = Src\ u \rrbracket$ 
         $\implies is\text{-head-reduction } (\lambda[t] \setminus u)$ 
    for u
    by (cases u, simp-all) fastforce
fix t1 t2 u

```

```

assume  $ind1: \bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-head-reduction } t1; is\text{-internal-reduction } u; Src\ t1 = Src\ u \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies is\text{-head-reduction } (t1 \setminus u)$ 
assume  $t: is\text{-head-reduction } (t1 \circ t2)$ 
assume  $u: is\text{-internal-reduction } u$ 
assume  $tu: Src\ (t1 \circ t2) = Src\ u$ 
show  $is\text{-head-reduction } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)$ 
  using  $t\ u\ tu\ ind1$ 
  apply  $(cases\ u)$ 
    apply  $simp\text{-all}$ 
proof  $(intro\ conjI\ impI)$ 
  fix  $u1\ u2$ 
  assume  $u1u2: u = u1 \circ u2$ 
  show  $1: Con\ t1\ u1$ 
    using  $Coinitial\text{-iff-Con } tu\ u1u2\ ide\text{-char}$ 
    by  $(metis\ ConD(1)\ Ide.simps(1)\ is\text{-head-reduction.simps}(9)\ is\text{-head-reduction-resid}$ 
       $is\text{-internal-reduction.simps}(9)\ is\text{-internal-reduction-resid } t\ u)$ 
  show  $Con\ t2\ u2$ 
    using  $Coinitial\text{-iff-Con } tu\ u1u2\ ide\text{-char}$ 
    by  $(metis\ ConD(1)\ Ide.simps(1)\ is\text{-head-reduction.simps}(9)\ is\text{-head-reduction-resid}$ 
       $is\text{-internal-reduction.simps}(9)\ is\text{-internal-reduction-resid } t\ u)$ 
  show  $is\text{-head-reduction } (t1 \setminus u1 \circ t2 \setminus u2)$ 
    using  $t\ u\ u1u2\ 1\ Coinitial\text{-iff-Con } \langle Con\ t2\ u2 \rangle\ ide\text{-char } ind1\ resid\text{-Ide-Arr}$ 
    apply  $(cases\ t1; simp\text{-all}; cases\ u1; simp\text{-all}; cases\ un\text{-App1 } u1)$ 
    apply  $auto$ 
    by  $(metis\ 1\ ind1\ is\text{-internal-reduction.simps}(6)\ resid.simps(3))$ 
qed
next
fix  $t1\ t2\ u$ 
assume  $ind1: \bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-head-reduction } t1; is\text{-internal-reduction } u; Src\ t1 = Src\ u \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies is\text{-head-reduction } (t1 \setminus u)$ 
assume  $t: is\text{-head-reduction } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)$ 
assume  $u: is\text{-internal-reduction } u$ 
assume  $tu: Src\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) = Src\ u$ 
show  $is\text{-head-reduction } ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u)$ 
  using  $t\ u\ tu\ ind1$ 
  apply  $(cases\ u)$ 
    apply  $simp\text{-all}$ 
    by  $(metis\ Con\text{-implies-Arr1 } is\text{-head-reduction-resid } is\text{-internal-reduction.simps}(9)$ 
       $is\text{-internal-reduction-resid } lambda.disc(15)\ prfx\text{-App-iff } t\ tu)$ 
qed

```

The following function differs from *head-strategy* in that it only selects an already-marked redex, whereas *head-strategy* marks the redex at the head position.

```

fun  $head\text{-redex}$ 
where  $head\text{-redex } \# = \#$ 
  |  $head\text{-redex } \langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle$ 
  |  $head\text{-redex } \lambda[t] = \lambda[head\text{-redex } t]$ 
  |  $head\text{-redex } (\lambda[t] \circ u) = \lambda[Src\ t] \circ Src\ u$ 
  |  $head\text{-redex } (t \circ u) = head\text{-redex } t \circ Src\ u$ 

```

| $head-redex (\lambda[t] \bullet u) = (\lambda[Src\ t] \bullet Src\ u)$

lemma *elementary-reduction-head-redex*:

shows $\llbracket Arr\ t; \neg\ Ide\ (head-redex\ t) \rrbracket \implies elementary-reduction\ (head-redex\ t)$

using *Ide-Src*

apply (*induct t*)

apply *auto*

proof –

show $\bigwedge t2. \llbracket \neg\ Ide\ (head-redex\ t1) \implies elementary-reduction\ (head-redex\ t1);$

$\neg\ Ide\ (head-redex\ (t1\ \circ\ t2));$

$\bigwedge t. Arr\ t \implies Ide\ (Src\ t); Arr\ t1; Arr\ t2 \rrbracket$

$\implies elementary-reduction\ (head-redex\ (t1\ \circ\ t2))$

for *t1*

using *Ide-Src*

by (*cases t1*) *auto*

qed

lemma *subs-head-redex*:

shows $Arr\ t \implies head-redex\ t \sqsubseteq t$

using *Ide-Src subs-Ide*

apply (*induct t*)

apply *simp-all*

proof –

show $\bigwedge t2. \llbracket head-redex\ t1 \sqsubseteq t1; head-redex\ t2 \sqsubseteq t2;$

$Arr\ t1 \wedge Arr\ t2; \bigwedge t. Arr\ t \implies Ide\ (Src\ t);$

$\bigwedge u\ t. \llbracket Ide\ u; Src\ t = Src\ u \rrbracket \implies u \sqsubseteq t \rrbracket$

$\implies head-redex\ (t1\ \circ\ t2) \sqsubseteq t1\ \circ\ t2$

for *t1*

using *Ide-Src subs-Ide*

by (*cases t1*) *auto*

qed

lemma *contains-head-reduction-iff*:

shows $contains-head-reduction\ t \iff Arr\ t \wedge \neg\ Ide\ (head-redex\ t)$

apply (*induct t*)

apply *simp-all*

proof –

show $\bigwedge t2. contains-head-reduction\ t1 = (Arr\ t1 \wedge \neg\ Ide\ (head-redex\ t1))$

$\implies contains-head-reduction\ (t1\ \circ\ t2) =$

$(Arr\ t1 \wedge Arr\ t2 \wedge \neg\ Ide\ (head-redex\ (t1\ \circ\ t2)))$

for *t1*

using *Ide-Src*

by (*cases t1*) *auto*

qed

lemma *head-redex-is-head-reduction*:

shows $\llbracket Arr\ t; contains-head-reduction\ t \rrbracket \implies is-head-reduction\ (head-redex\ t)$

using *Ide-Src*

apply (*induct t*)

```

    apply simp-all
  proof -
    show  $\bigwedge t2. \llbracket \text{contains-head-reduction } t1 \implies \text{is-head-reduction } (\text{head-redex } t1);$ 
       $\text{Arr } t1 \wedge \text{Arr } t2;$ 
       $\text{contains-head-reduction } (t1 \circ t2); \bigwedge t. \text{Arr } t \implies \text{Ide } (\text{Src } t) \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{is-head-reduction } (\text{head-redex } (t1 \circ t2))$ 
    for t1
    using Ide-Src contains-head-reduction-iff subs-implies-prfx
    by (cases t1) auto
  qed

lemma Arr-head-redex:
  assumes Arr t
  shows Arr (head-redex t)
    using assms Ide-implies-Arr elementary-reduction-head-redex elementary-reduction-is-arr
    by blast

lemma Src-head-redex:
  assumes Arr t
  shows Src (head-redex t) = Src t
    using assms
    by (metis Coinitial-iff-Con Ide.simps(1) ide-char subs-head-redex subs-implies-prfx)

lemma Con-Arr-head-redex:
  assumes Arr t
  shows Con t (head-redex t)
    using assms
    by (metis Con-sym Ide.simps(1) ide-char subs-head-redex subs-implies-prfx)

lemma is-head-reduction-if:
  shows  $\llbracket \text{contains-head-reduction } u; \text{elementary-reduction } u \rrbracket \implies \text{is-head-reduction } u$ 
    apply (induct u)
    apply auto
    using contains-head-reduction.elims(2)
    apply fastforce
  proof -
    fix u1 u2
    assume u1: Ide u1
    assume u2: elementary-reduction u2
    assume 1: contains-head-reduction (u1  $\circ$  u2)
    have False
      using u1 u2 1
      apply (cases u1)
      apply auto
      by (metis Arr-head-redex Ide-iff-Src-self Src-head-redex contains-head-reduction-iff
        ide-char resid-Arr-Src subs-head-redex subs-implies-prfx u1)
    thus is-head-reduction (u1  $\circ$  u2)
      by blast
  qed

```

lemma (in *reduction-paths*) *head-redex-decomp*:
assumes $\Lambda.Arr\ t$
shows $[\Lambda.head-redex\ t] @ [t \setminus \Lambda.head-redex\ t]^{*\sim*} [t]$
using *assms prfx-decomp* $\Lambda.subs-head-redex$ $\Lambda.subs-implies-prfx$
by (*metis Ide.simps(2)* *Resid.simps(3)* $\Lambda.prfx-implies-con\ ide-char$)

An internal reduction cannot create a new head redex.

lemma *internal-reduction-preserves-no-head-redex*:
shows $[[is-internal-reduction\ u; Ide\ (head-strategy\ (Src\ u))]]$
 $\implies Ide\ (head-strategy\ (Trg\ u))$
apply (*induct u*)
apply *simp-all*
proof –
fix $u1\ u2$
assume $ind1: [[is-internal-reduction\ u1; Ide\ (head-strategy\ (Src\ u1))]]$
 $\implies Ide\ (head-strategy\ (Trg\ u1))$
assume $ind2: [[is-internal-reduction\ u2; Ide\ (head-strategy\ (Src\ u2))]]$
 $\implies Ide\ (head-strategy\ (Trg\ u2))$
assume $u: is-internal-reduction\ (u1\ \circ\ u2)$
assume $1: Ide\ (head-strategy\ (Src\ u1\ \circ\ Src\ u2))$
show $Ide\ (head-strategy\ (Trg\ u1\ \circ\ Trg\ u2))$
using $u\ 1\ ind1\ ind2\ Ide-Src\ Ide-Trg\ Ide-implies-Arr$
by (*cases u1*) *auto*
qed

lemma *head-reduction-unique*:
shows $[[is-head-reduction\ t; is-head-reduction\ u; coinital\ t\ u]] \implies t = u$
by (*metis Coinitial-iff-Con con-def confluence is-head-reduction-char null-char*)

Residuation along internal reductions preserves head reductions.

lemma *resid-head-strategy-internal*:
shows $is-internal-reduction\ u \implies head-strategy\ (Src\ u) \setminus u = head-strategy\ (Trg\ u)$
using *internal-reduction-preserves-no-head-redex* *Arr-head-strategy* *Ide-iff-Src-self*
 $Src-head-strategy$ *Src-resid* *head-strategy-is-elementary* *is-head-reduction-char*
 $is-head-reduction-resid'$ *is-internal-reduction-iff*
apply (*cases u*)
apply *simp-all*
apply (*metis head-strategy-Src resid-Src-Arr*)
apply (*metis head-strategy-Src Arr.simps(4) Src.simps(4) Trg.simps(3) resid-Src-Arr*)
by *blast*

An internal reduction followed by a head reduction can be expressed as a join of the internal reduction with a head reduction.

lemma *resid-head-strategy-Src*:
assumes $is-internal-reduction\ t$ **and** $is-head-reduction\ u$
and $seq\ t\ u$
shows $head-strategy\ (Src\ t) \setminus t = u$
and $composite-of\ t\ u\ (Join\ (head-strategy\ (Src\ t))\ t)$


```

proof –
  show 1: head-strategy (Src t) \ t = u
    using assms internal-reduction-preserves-no-head-redex resid-head-strategy-internal
      elementary-reduction-not-ide ide-char is-head-reduction-char seq-char
    by force
  show composite-of t u (Join (head-strategy (Src t)) t)
    using assms( $\beta$ ) 1 Arr-head-strategy Src-head-strategy join-of-Join join-of-def seq-char
    by force
qed

```

lemma *App-Var-contains-no-head-reduction*:
shows \neg *contains-head-reduction* ($\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \circ u$)
by *simp*

lemma *hgt-resid-App-head-redex*:
assumes *Arr* ($t \circ u$) **and** \neg *Ide* (*head-redex* ($t \circ u$))
shows *hgt* ($(t \circ u) \setminus$ *head-redex* ($t \circ u$)) < *hgt* ($t \circ u$)
using *assms* *contains-head-reduction-iff* *elementary-reduction-decreases-hgt*
elementary-reduction-head-redex *subs-head-redex*
by *blast*

3.5.3 Leftmost Reduction

Leftmost (or normal-order) reduction is the strategy that produces an elementary reduction path by contracting the leftmost redex at each step. It agrees with head reduction as long as there is a head redex, otherwise it continues on with the next subterm to the right.

```

fun leftmost-strategy
where leftmost-strategy  $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ 
  | leftmost-strategy  $\lambda[t] = \lambda[\textit{leftmost-strategy } t]$ 
  | leftmost-strategy ( $\lambda[t] \circ u$ ) =  $\lambda[t] \bullet u$ 
  | leftmost-strategy ( $t \circ u$ ) =
    (if  $\neg$  Ide (leftmost-strategy t)
     then leftmost-strategy  $t \circ u$ 
     else  $t \circ$  leftmost-strategy u)
  | leftmost-strategy ( $\lambda[t] \bullet u$ ) =  $\lambda[t] \bullet u$ 
  | leftmost-strategy  $\# = \#$ 

```

definition *is-leftmost-reduction*
where *is-leftmost-reduction* *t* \iff *elementary-reduction* *t* \wedge *leftmost-strategy* (Src *t*) = *t*

lemma *leftmost-strategy-is-reduction-strategy*:
shows *reduction-strategy* *leftmost-strategy*
proof (*unfold* *reduction-strategy-def*, *intro* *allI* *impI*)
fix *t*
show *Ide* *t* \implies *Coinitial* (*leftmost-strategy* *t*) *t*
proof (*induct* *t*, *auto*)
show $\bigwedge t2. \llbracket \textit{Arr} (\textit{leftmost-strategy } t1); \textit{Arr} (\textit{leftmost-strategy } t2);$

```

      Ide t1; Ide t2;
      Arr t1; Src (leftmost-strategy t1) = Src t1;
      Arr t2; Src (leftmost-strategy t2) = Src t2]]
      ==> Arr (leftmost-strategy (t1 o t2))
    for t1
  by (cases t1) auto
qed
qed

lemma elementary-reduction-leftmost-strategy:
shows Ide t ==> elementary-reduction (leftmost-strategy t) ∨ Ide (leftmost-strategy t)
  apply (induct t)
  apply simp-all
proof -
  fix t1 t2
  show [[elementary-reduction (leftmost-strategy t1) ∨ Ide (leftmost-strategy t1);
        elementary-reduction (leftmost-strategy t2) ∨ Ide (leftmost-strategy t2);
        Ide t1 ∧ Ide t2]]
    ==> elementary-reduction (leftmost-strategy (t1 o t2)) ∨
        Ide (leftmost-strategy (t1 o t2))
  by (cases t1) auto
qed

lemma (in lambda-calculus) leftmost-strategy-selects-head-reduction:
shows is-head-reduction t ==> t = leftmost-strategy (Src t)
proof (induct t)
  show ∧ t1 t2. [[is-head-reduction t1 ==> t1 = leftmost-strategy (Src t1);
                  is-head-reduction (t1 o t2)]]
    ==> t1 o t2 = leftmost-strategy (Src (t1 o t2))
proof -
  fix t1 t2
  assume ind1: is-head-reduction t1 ==> t1 = leftmost-strategy (Src t1)
  assume t: is-head-reduction (t1 o t2)
  show t1 o t2 = leftmost-strategy (Src (t1 o t2))
    using t ind1
  apply (cases t1)
  apply simp-all
  apply (cases Src t1)
  apply simp-all
  using ind1
  apply force
  using ind1
  apply force
  using ind1
  apply force
  apply (metis Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr elementary-reduction-not-ide
    ide-char ind1 is-head-reduction-char)
  using ind1
  apply force

```

by (metis Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr)
 qed
 show $\bigwedge t1\ t2. \llbracket is-head-reduction\ t1 \implies t1 = leftmost-strategy\ (Src\ t1);$
 $is-head-reduction\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \lambda[t1] \bullet t2 = leftmost-strategy\ (Src\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2))$
 by (metis Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr Src.simps(5)
 is-head-reduction.simps(8) leftmost-strategy.simps(3))
 qed auto

lemma has-redex-iff-not-Ide-leftmost-strategy:
shows $Arr\ t \implies has-redex\ t \longleftrightarrow \neg Ide\ (leftmost-strategy\ (Src\ t))$
 apply (induct t)
 apply simp-all
proof -
 fix t1 t2
 assume ind1: $Ide\ (parallel-strategy\ t1) \longleftrightarrow Ide\ (leftmost-strategy\ (Src\ t1))$
 assume ind2: $Ide\ (parallel-strategy\ t2) \longleftrightarrow Ide\ (leftmost-strategy\ (Src\ t2))$
 assume t: $Arr\ t1 \wedge Arr\ t2$
show $Ide\ (parallel-strategy\ (t1 \circ t2)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $Ide\ (leftmost-strategy\ (Src\ t1 \circ Src\ t2))$
 using t ind1 ind2 Ide-Src Ide-iff-Src-self
 by (cases t1) auto
 qed

lemma leftmost-reduction-preservation:
shows $\llbracket is-leftmost-reduction\ t; elementary-reduction\ u; \neg is-leftmost-reduction\ u;$
 $coinitial\ t\ u \rrbracket \implies is-leftmost-reduction\ (t \setminus u)$
proof (induct t arbitrary: u)
 show $\bigwedge u. coinitial\ \#\ u \implies is-leftmost-reduction\ (\#\ \setminus u)$
 by simp
 show $\bigwedge x\ u. is-leftmost-reduction\ \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \implies is-leftmost-reduction\ (\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle \setminus u)$
 by (simp add: is-leftmost-reduction-def)
 fix t u
show $\llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket is-leftmost-reduction\ t; elementary-reduction\ u;$
 $\neg is-leftmost-reduction\ u; coinitial\ t\ u \rrbracket \implies is-leftmost-reduction\ (t \setminus u);$
 $is-leftmost-reduction\ (Lam\ t); elementary-reduction\ u;$
 $\neg is-leftmost-reduction\ u; coinitial\ \lambda[t]\ u \rrbracket$
 $\implies is-leftmost-reduction\ (\lambda[t] \setminus u)$
 using is-leftmost-reduction-def
 by (cases u) auto
next
 fix t1 t2 u
show $\llbracket is-leftmost-reduction\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2); elementary-reduction\ u; \neg is-leftmost-reduction\ u;$
 $coinitial\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)\ u \rrbracket$
 $\implies is-leftmost-reduction\ ((\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \setminus u)$
 using is-leftmost-reduction-def Src-resid Ide-Trg Ide-iff-Src-self Arr-Trg Arr-not-Nil
 apply (cases u)
 apply simp-all
 by (cases un-App1 u) auto

```

assume ind1:  $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{is-leftmost-reduction } t1; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; \neg \text{is-leftmost-reduction } u; \text{ coinitial } t1 \ u \rrbracket$ 
            $\implies \text{is-leftmost-reduction } (t1 \setminus u)$ 
assume ind2:  $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{is-leftmost-reduction } t2; \text{ elementary-reduction } u; \neg \text{is-leftmost-reduction } u; \text{ coinitial } t2 \ u \rrbracket$ 
            $\implies \text{is-leftmost-reduction } (t2 \setminus u)$ 
assume 1: is-leftmost-reduction ( $t1 \circ t2$ )
assume 2: elementary-reduction u
assume 3:  $\neg \text{is-leftmost-reduction } u$ 
assume 4: coinitial ( $t1 \circ t2$ ) u
show is-leftmost-reduction ( $(t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ )
  using 1 2 3 4 ind1 ind2 is-leftmost-reduction-def Src-resid
  apply (cases u)
    apply auto[3]
proof –
  show  $\bigwedge u1 \ u2. u = \lambda[u1] \bullet u2 \implies \text{is-leftmost-reduction } ((t1 \circ t2) \setminus u)$ 
    by (metis 2 3 is-leftmost-reduction-def elementary-reduction.simps(5)
        is-head-reduction.simps(8) leftmost-strategy-selects-head-reduction)
  fix u1 u2
  assume u:  $u = u1 \circ u2$ 
  show is-leftmost-reduction ( $(t1 \circ t2) \setminus u$ )
    using u 1 2 3 4 ind1 ind2 is-leftmost-reduction-def Src-resid Ide-Trg
        elementary-reduction-not-ide
    apply (cases u)
      apply simp-all
    apply (cases u1)
      apply simp-all
      apply auto[1]
    using Ide-iff-Src-self
    apply simp-all
proof –
  fix u11 u12
  assume u:  $u = u11 \circ u12 \circ u2$ 
  assume u1:  $u1 = u11 \circ u12$ 
  have A: (elementary-reduction t1  $\wedge$  Src u2 = t2  $\vee$ 
           Src u11  $\circ$  Src u12 = t1  $\wedge$  elementary-reduction t2)  $\wedge$ 
           (if  $\neg$  Ide (leftmost-strategy (Src u11  $\circ$  Src u12)))
           then leftmost-strategy (Src u11  $\circ$  Src u12)  $\circ$  Src u2
           else Src u11  $\circ$  Src u12  $\circ$  leftmost-strategy (Src u2)) =  $t1 \circ t2$ 
    using 1 4 Ide-iff-Src-self is-leftmost-reduction-def u by auto
  have B: (elementary-reduction u11  $\wedge$  Src u12 = u12  $\vee$ 
           Src u11 = u11  $\wedge$  elementary-reduction u12)  $\wedge$  Src u2 = u2  $\vee$ 
           Src u11 = u11  $\wedge$  Src u12 = u12  $\wedge$  elementary-reduction u2
    using 2 4 Ide-iff-Src-self u by force
  have C:  $t1 = u11 \circ u12 \implies t2 \neq u2$ 
    using 1 3 u by fastforce
  have D: Arr t1  $\wedge$  Arr t2  $\wedge$  Arr u11  $\wedge$  Arr u12  $\wedge$  Arr u2  $\wedge$ 
           Src t1 = Src u11  $\circ$  Src u12  $\wedge$  Src t2 = Src u2
    using 4 u by force

```

have $E: \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } t1 \wedge \text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Src } u) = t1; \text{elementary-reduction } u; t1 \neq u; \text{Arr } u \wedge \text{Src } u11 \circ \text{Src } u12 = \text{Src } u \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{elementary-reduction } (t1 \setminus u) \wedge \text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Trg } u) = t1 \setminus u$
using $D \text{ Src-resid ind1 is-leftmost-reduction-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $F: \bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{elementary-reduction } t2 \wedge \text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Src } u) = t2; \text{elementary-reduction } u; t2 \neq u; \text{Arr } u \wedge \text{Src } u2 = \text{Src } u \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{elementary-reduction } (t2 \setminus u) \wedge \text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Trg } u) = t2 \setminus u$
using $D \text{ Src-resid ind2 is-leftmost-reduction-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $G: \bigwedge t. \text{elementary-reduction } t \implies \neg \text{Ide } t$
using *elementary-reduction-not-ide ide-char* **by** *blast*
have $H: \text{elementary-reduction } (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge \text{Ide } (t2 \setminus u2) \vee \text{Ide } (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge \text{elementary-reduction } (t2 \setminus u2)$
proof (*cases Ide (t2 \setminus u2)*)
assume $1: \text{Ide } (t2 \setminus u2)$
hence *elementary-reduction (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12))*
by (*metis A B C D E F G Ide-Src Arr.simps(4) Src.simps(4) elementary-reduction.simps(4) lambda.inject(3) resid-Arr-Src*)
thus *?thesis*
using 1 **by** *auto*
next
assume $1: \neg \text{Ide } (t2 \setminus u2)$
hence *Ide (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge elementary-reduction (t2 \setminus u2)*
apply (*intro conjI*)
apply (*metis 1 A D Ide-Src Arr.simps(4) Src.simps(4) resid-Ide-Arr*)
by (*metis A B C D F Ide-iff-Src-self lambda.inject(3) resid-Arr-Src resid-Ide-Arr*)
thus *?thesis by simp*
qed
show ($\neg \text{Ide } (\text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Trg } u11 \circ \text{Trg } u12)) \longrightarrow (\text{elementary-reduction } (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge \text{Ide } (t2 \setminus u2) \vee \text{Ide } (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge \text{elementary-reduction } (t2 \setminus u2)) \wedge \text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Trg } u11 \circ \text{Trg } u12) = t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12) \wedge \text{Trg } u2 = t2 \setminus u2) \wedge (\text{Ide } (\text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Trg } u11 \circ \text{Trg } u12)) \longrightarrow (\text{elementary-reduction } (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge \text{Ide } (t2 \setminus u2) \vee \text{Ide } (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge \text{elementary-reduction } (t2 \setminus u2)) \wedge \text{Trg } u11 \circ \text{Trg } u12 = t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12) \wedge \text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Trg } u2) = t2 \setminus u2)$)
proof (*intro conjI impI*)
show $H: \text{elementary-reduction } (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge \text{Ide } (t2 \setminus u2) \vee \text{Ide } (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge \text{elementary-reduction } (t2 \setminus u2)$
by *fact*
show $H: \text{elementary-reduction } (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge \text{Ide } (t2 \setminus u2) \vee \text{Ide } (t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)) \wedge \text{elementary-reduction } (t2 \setminus u2)$
by *fact*
assume $K: \neg \text{Ide } (\text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Trg } u11 \circ \text{Trg } u12))$

```

show  $J$ :  $\text{Trg } u2 = t2 \setminus u2$ 
  using  $A B D G K$  has-redex-iff-not-Ide-leftmost-strategy
     $NF\text{-def } NF\text{-iff-has-no-redex } NF\text{-App-iff resid-Arr-Src resid-Src-Arr}$ 
  by (metis lambda.inject(3))
show leftmost-strategy ( $\text{Trg } u11 \circ \text{Trg } u12$ ) =  $t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)$ 
  using  $2 A B C D E G H J u$  Ide-Trg Src-Src
    has-redex-iff-not-Ide-leftmost-strategy resid-Arr-Ide resid-Src-Arr
  by (metis Arr.simps(4) Ide.simps(4) Src.simps(4) Trg.simps(3)
    elementary-reduction.simps(4) lambda.inject(3))
next
assume  $K$ : Ide (leftmost-strategy ( $\text{Trg } u11 \circ \text{Trg } u12$ ))
show  $I$ :  $\text{Trg } u11 \circ \text{Trg } u12 = t1 \setminus (u11 \circ u12)$ 
  using  $2 A D E K u$  Coinitial-resid-resid ConI resid-Arr-self resid-Ide-Arr
    resid-Arr-Ide Ide-iff-Src-self Src-resid
  apply (cases Ide (leftmost-strategy ( $\text{Src } u11 \circ \text{Src } u12$ )))
  apply simp
  using lambda-calculus.Con-Arr-Src(2)
  apply force
  apply simp
  using  $u1 G H$  Coinitial-iff-Con
  apply (cases elementary-reduction u11;
    cases elementary-reduction u12)
  apply simp-all
  apply metis
  apply (metis Src.simps(4) Trg.simps(3) elementary-reduction.simps(1,4))
  apply (metis Src.simps(4) Trg.simps(3) elementary-reduction.simps(1,4))
  by (metis Trg-Src)
show leftmost-strategy ( $\text{Trg } u2$ ) =  $t2 \setminus u2$ 
  using  $2 A C D F G H I u$  Ide-Trg Ide-iff-Src-self NF-def NF-iff-has-no-redex
    has-redex-iff-not-Ide-leftmost-strategy resid-Ide-Arr
  by (metis Arr.simps(4) Src.simps(4) Trg.simps(3) elementary-reduction.simps(4)
    lambda.inject(3))
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
end

```

3.6 Standard Reductions

In this section, we define the notion of a *standard reduction*, which is an elementary reduction path that performs reductions from left to right, possibly skipping some redexes that could be contracted. Once a redex has been skipped, neither that redex nor any redex to its left will subsequently be contracted. We then define and prove correct a function that transforms an arbitrary elementary reduction path into a congruent standard reduction path. Using this function, we prove the Standardization Theorem, which says that every elementary reduction path is congruent to a standard reduction

path. We then show that a standard reduction path that reaches a normal form is in fact a leftmost reduction path. From this fact and the Standardization Theorem we prove the Leftmost Reduction Theorem: leftmost reduction is a normalizing strategy.

The Standardization Theorem was first proved by Curry and Feys [3], with subsequent proofs given by a number of authors. Formalized proofs have also been given; a recent one (using Agda) is presented in [2], with references to earlier work. The version of the theorem that we formalize here is a “strong” version, which asserts the existence of a standard reduction path congruent to a given elementary reduction path. At the core of the proof is a function that directly transforms a given reduction path into a standard one, using an algorithm roughly analogous to insertion sort. The Finite Development Theorem is used in the proof of termination. The proof of correctness is long, due to the number of cases that have to be considered, but the use of a proof assistant makes this manageable.

3.6.1 Standard Reduction Paths

‘Standardly Sequential’ Reductions

We first need to define the notion of a “standard reduction”. In contrast to what is typically done by other authors, we define this notion by direct comparison of adjacent terms in an elementary reduction path, rather than by using devices such as a numbering of subterms from left to right.

The following function decides when two terms t and u are elementary reductions that are “standardly sequential”. This means that t and u are sequential, but in addition no marked redex in u is the residual of an (unmarked) redex “to the left of” any marked redex in t . Some care is required to make sure that the recursive definition captures what we intend. Most of the clauses are readily understandable. One clause that perhaps could use some explanation is the one for $sseq ((\lambda[t] \bullet u) \circ v) w$. Referring to the previously proved fact *seq-cases*, which classifies the way in which two terms t and u can be sequential, we see that one case that must be covered is when t has the form $\lambda[t] \bullet v) \circ w$ and the top-level constructor of u is *Beta*. In this case, it is the reduction of t that creates the top-level redex contracted in u , so it is impossible for u to be a residual of a redex that already exists in *Src* t .

context *lambda-calculus*

begin

fun *sseq*

where *sseq* - $\# = False$

| *sseq* «-» «-» = *False*

| *sseq* $\lambda[t] \lambda[t'] = sseq\ t\ t'$

| *sseq* $(t \circ u) (t' \circ u') =$

$((sseq\ t\ t' \wedge Ide\ u \wedge u = u') \vee$

$(Ide\ t \wedge t = t' \wedge sseq\ u\ u') \vee$

$(elementary-reduction\ t \wedge Trg\ t = t' \wedge$

$(u = Src\ u' \wedge elementary-reduction\ u'))$)

```

| sseq ( $\lambda[t] \circ u$ ) ( $\lambda[t'] \bullet u'$ ) = False
| sseq ( $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) \circ v$ )  $w$  =
  (Ide t  $\wedge$  Ide u  $\wedge$  Ide v  $\wedge$  elementary-reduction w  $\wedge$  seq ( $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) \circ v$ )  $w$ )
| sseq ( $\lambda[t] \bullet u$ )  $v$  = (Ide t  $\wedge$  Ide u  $\wedge$  elementary-reduction v  $\wedge$  seq ( $\lambda[t] \bullet u$ )  $v$ )
| sseq - - = False

```

lemma *sseq-imp-seq*:

shows $sseq\ t\ u \implies seq\ t\ u$

proof (*induct t arbitrary: u*)

show $\bigwedge u. sseq\ \#\ u \implies seq\ \#\ u$

using *sseq.elims(1)* **by** *blast*

fix u

show $\bigwedge x. sseq\ \langle\!\langle x \rangle\!\rangle\ u \implies seq\ \langle\!\langle x \rangle\!\rangle\ u$

using *sseq.elims(1)* **by** *blast*

show $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \bigwedge u. sseq\ t\ u \implies seq\ t\ u; sseq\ \lambda[t]\ u \rrbracket \implies seq\ \lambda[t]\ u$

using *seq-char* **by** (*cases u*) *auto*

show $\bigwedge t1\ t2. \llbracket \bigwedge u. sseq\ t1\ u \implies seq\ t1\ u; \bigwedge u. sseq\ t2\ u \implies seq\ t2\ u; sseq\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)\ u \rrbracket$

$\implies seq\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)\ u$

using *seq-char Ide-implies-Arr*

by (*cases u*) *auto*

fix $t1\ t2$

show $\llbracket \bigwedge u. sseq\ t1\ u \implies seq\ t1\ u; \bigwedge u. sseq\ t2\ u \implies seq\ t2\ u; sseq\ (t1 \circ t2)\ u \rrbracket$

$\implies seq\ (t1 \circ t2)\ u$

proof –

assume *ind1*: $\bigwedge u. sseq\ t1\ u \implies seq\ t1\ u$

assume *ind2*: $\bigwedge u. sseq\ t2\ u \implies seq\ t2\ u$

assume *1*: $sseq\ (t1 \circ t2)\ u$

show *?thesis*

using *1 ind1 ind2 seq-char arr-char elementary-reduction-is-arr*

Ide-Src Ide-Trg Ide-implies-Arr Coinitial-iff-Con resid-Arr-self

apply (*cases u, simp-all*)

apply (*cases t1, simp-all*)

apply (*cases t1, simp-all*)

apply (*cases Ide t1; cases Ide t2*)

apply *simp-all*

apply (*metis Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-iff-Trg-self*)

apply (*metis Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-iff-Trg-self*)

apply (*metis Ide-iff-Trg-self Src-Trg*)

by (*cases t1*) *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1*:

shows $sseq\ t\ u \implies elementary-reduction\ t$

proof (*induct u arbitrary: t*)

show $\bigwedge t. sseq\ t\ \#\ \implies elementary-reduction\ t$

by *simp*

show $\bigwedge x\ t. sseq\ t\ \langle\!\langle x \rangle\!\rangle \implies elementary-reduction\ t$


```

using elementary-reduction.simps(2) sseq.elims(1) by blast
show  $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ u \implies \text{elementary-reduction } t; \text{sseq } t \ \lambda[u] \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{elementary-reduction } t$  for  $t$ 
using seq-cases sseq-imp-seq
apply (cases  $t$ , simp-all)
by force
show  $\bigwedge u1 \ u2. \llbracket \bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ u1 \implies \text{elementary-reduction } t;$ 
       $\bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ u2 \implies \text{elementary-reduction } t;$ 
       $\text{sseq } t \ (u1 \circ u2) \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{elementary-reduction } t$  for  $t$ 
using seq-cases sseq-imp-seq Ide-Src elementary-reduction-is-arr
apply (cases  $t$ , simp-all)
by blast
show  $\bigwedge u1 \ u2.$ 
   $\llbracket \bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ u1 \implies \text{elementary-reduction } t; \bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ u2 \implies \text{elementary-reduction } t;$ 
   $\text{sseq } t \ (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{elementary-reduction } t$  for  $t$ 
using seq-cases sseq-imp-seq
apply (cases  $t$ , simp-all)
by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2:
shows  $\text{sseq } t \ u \implies \text{elementary-reduction } u$ 
proof (induct  $u$  arbitrary:  $t$ )
  show  $\bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ \# \implies \text{elementary-reduction } \#$ 
    by simp
  show  $\bigwedge x \ t. \text{sseq } t \ \langle x \rangle \implies \text{elementary-reduction } \langle x \rangle$ 
    using elementary-reduction.simps(2) sseq.elims(1) by blast
  show  $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ u \implies \text{elementary-reduction } u; \text{sseq } t \ \lambda[u] \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{elementary-reduction } \lambda[u]$  for  $t$ 
    using seq-cases sseq-imp-seq
    apply (cases  $t$ , simp-all)
    by force
  show  $\bigwedge u1 \ u2. \llbracket \bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ u1 \implies \text{elementary-reduction } u1;$ 
       $\bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ u2 \implies \text{elementary-reduction } u2;$ 
       $\text{sseq } t \ (u1 \circ u2) \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{elementary-reduction } (u1 \circ u2)$  for  $t$ 
    using seq-cases sseq-imp-seq Ide-Trg elementary-reduction-is-arr
    by (cases  $t$ ) auto
  show  $\bigwedge u1 \ u2. \llbracket \bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ u1 \implies \text{elementary-reduction } u1;$ 
       $\bigwedge t. \text{sseq } t \ u2 \implies \text{elementary-reduction } u2;$ 
       $\text{sseq } t \ (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2) \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{elementary-reduction } (\lambda[u1] \bullet u2)$  for  $t$ 
    using seq-cases sseq-imp-seq
    apply (cases  $t$ , simp-all)
    by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *sseq-Beta*:
shows $sseq (\lambda[t] \bullet u) v \longleftrightarrow Ide\ t \wedge Ide\ u \wedge elementary\text{-}reduction\ v \wedge seq (\lambda[t] \bullet u) v$
by (*cases v*) *auto*

lemma *sseq-BetaI* [*intro*]:
assumes *Ide t* **and** *Ide u* **and** *elementary-reduction v* **and** $seq (\lambda[t] \bullet u) v$
shows $sseq (\lambda[t] \bullet u) v$
using *assms sseq-Beta* **by** *simp*

A head reduction is standardly sequential with any elementary reduction that can be performed after it.

lemma *sseq-head-reductionI*:
shows $\llbracket is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ t; elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ t\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ t\ u$
proof (*induct t arbitrary: u*)
show $\bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ \#\; elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ \#\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ \#\ u$
by *simp*
show $\bigwedge x\ u. \llbracket is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle; elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle\ u$
by *auto*
show $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ t; elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ t\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ t\ u; \\ is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ \lambda[t]; elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ \lambda[t]\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ \lambda[t]\ u\ \mathbf{for}\ u$
by (*cases u*) *auto*
show $\bigwedge t2. \llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ t1; elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ t1\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ t1\ u; \\ \bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ t2; elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ t2\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ t2\ u; \\ is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ (t1 \circ t2); elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ (t1 \circ t2)\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ (t1 \circ t2)\ u\ \mathbf{for}\ t1\ u$
using *seq-char*
apply (*cases u*)
apply *simp-all*
apply (*metis ArrE Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-iff-Trg-self App-Var-contains-no-head-reduction is-head-reduction-char is-head-reduction-imp-contains-head-reduction is-head-reduction.simps(3,6-7)*)
by (*cases t1*) *auto*
show $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ u. \llbracket \bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ t1; elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ t1\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ t1\ u; \\ \bigwedge u. \llbracket is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ t2; elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ t2\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ t2\ u; \\ is\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2); elementary\text{-}reduction\ u; seq\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)\ u \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sseq\ (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)\ u$
by *auto*
qed

Once a head reduction is skipped in an application, then all terms that follow it in a standard reduction path are also applications that do not contain head reductions.

lemma *sseq-preserves-App-and-no-head-reduction*:
shows $\llbracket sseq\ t\ u; is\text{-}App\ t \wedge \neg\ contains\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow is\text{-}App\ u \wedge \neg\ contains\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ u$
apply (*induct t arbitrary: u*)
apply *simp-all*
proof –
fix *t1 t2 u*

```

assume ind1:  $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{sseq } t1 \text{ } u; \text{ is-App } t1 \wedge \neg \text{ contains-head-reduction } t1 \rrbracket$ 
            $\implies \text{ is-App } u \wedge \neg \text{ contains-head-reduction } u$ 
assume ind2:  $\bigwedge u. \llbracket \text{sseq } t2 \text{ } u; \text{ is-App } t2 \wedge \neg \text{ contains-head-reduction } t2 \rrbracket$ 
            $\implies \text{ is-App } u \wedge \neg \text{ contains-head-reduction } u$ 
assume sseq:  $\text{sseq } (t1 \circ t2) \text{ } u$ 
assume t:  $\neg \text{ contains-head-reduction } (t1 \circ t2)$ 
have u:  $\neg \text{ is-Beta } u$ 
  using sseq t sseq-imp-seq seq-cases
  by (cases t1; cases u) auto
have 1:  $\text{ is-App } u$ 
  using u sseq sseq-imp-seq
  apply (cases u)
    apply simp-all
  by fastforce+
moreover have  $\neg \text{ contains-head-reduction } u$ 
proof (cases u)
  show  $\bigwedge v. u = \lambda[v] \implies \neg \text{ contains-head-reduction } u$ 
    using 1 by auto
  show  $\bigwedge v \ w. u = \lambda[v] \bullet w \implies \neg \text{ contains-head-reduction } u$ 
    using u by auto
  fix u1 u2
  assume u:  $u = u1 \circ u2$ 
  have 1:  $(\text{sseq } t1 \text{ } u1 \wedge \text{Ide } t2 \wedge t2 = u2) \vee (\text{Ide } t1 \wedge t1 = u1 \wedge \text{sseq } t2 \text{ } u2) \vee$ 
            $(\text{elementary-reduction } t1 \wedge u1 = \text{Trg } t1 \wedge t2 = \text{Src } u2 \wedge \text{elementary-reduction } u2)$ 
    using sseq u by force
  moreover have  $\text{Ide } t1 \wedge t1 = u1 \wedge \text{sseq } t2 \text{ } u2 \implies ?thesis$ 
    using Ide-implies-Arr ide-char sseq-imp-seq t u by fastforce
  moreover have  $\text{elementary-reduction } t1 \wedge u1 = \text{Trg } t1 \wedge t2 = \text{Src } u2 \wedge$ 
            $\text{elementary-reduction } u2$ 
            $\implies ?thesis$ 
proof –
  assume 2:  $\text{elementary-reduction } t1 \wedge u1 = \text{Trg } t1 \wedge t2 = \text{Src } u2 \wedge$ 
            $\text{elementary-reduction } u2$ 
  have  $\text{contains-head-reduction } u \implies \text{contains-head-reduction } u1$ 
    using u
    apply simp
    using contains-head-reduction.elims(2) by fastforce
  hence  $\text{contains-head-reduction } u \implies \neg \text{Ide } u1$ 
    using contains-head-reduction-iff
    by (metis Coinitial-iff-Con Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr ide-char resid-Arr-Src
        subs-head-redex subs-implies-prfx)
  thus ?thesis
    using 2
    by (metis Arr.simps(4) Ide-Trg seq-char sseq sseq-imp-seq)
qed
moreover have  $\text{sseq } t1 \text{ } u1 \wedge \text{Ide } t2 \wedge t2 = u2 \implies ?thesis$ 
  using t u ind1 [of u1] Ide-implies-Arr sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1
  apply (cases t1, simp-all)
  using elementary-reduction.simps(1)

```

```

    apply blast
  using elementary-reduction.simps(2)
  apply blast
  using contains-head-reduction.elims(2)
  apply fastforce
  apply (metis contains-head-reduction.simps(6) is-App-def)
  using sseq-Beta by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed auto
ultimately show is-App u  $\wedge$   $\neg$  contains-head-reduction u
  by blast
qed

```

end

Standard Reduction Paths

```

context reduction-paths
begin

```

A *standard reduction path* is an elementary reduction path in which successive reductions are standardly sequential.

```

fun Std
where Std [] = True
  | Std [t] =  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction t
  | Std (t # U) = ( $\Lambda$ .sseq t (hd U)  $\wedge$  Std U)

lemma Std-consE [elim]:
assumes Std (t # U)
and [ $\Lambda$ .Arr t; U  $\neq$  []  $\implies$   $\Lambda$ .sseq t (hd U); Std U]  $\implies$  thesis
shows thesis
  using assms
  by (metis  $\Lambda$ .arr-char  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-is-arr  $\Lambda$ .seq-char  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-seq
    list.exhaust-sel list.sel(1) Std.simps(1-3))

```

```

lemma Std-imp-Arr [simp]:
shows [ $\Lambda$ .Std T; T  $\neq$  []]  $\implies$  Arr T
proof (induct T)
  show []  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  Arr []
  by simp
  fix t U
  assume ind: [ $\Lambda$ .Std U; U  $\neq$  []]  $\implies$  Arr U
  assume tU: Std (t # U)
  show Arr (t # U)
  proof (cases U = [])
    show U = []  $\implies$  Arr (t # U)
    using  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-is-arr tU  $\Lambda$ .Ide-implies-Arr Std.simps(2) Arr.simps(2)
    by blast
  assume U: U  $\neq$  []

```

```

show Arr (t # U)
proof -
  have  $\Lambda.sseq$  t (hd U)
    using tU U
    by (metis list.exhaust-sel reduction-paths.Std.simps(3))
  thus ?thesis
    using U ind  $\Lambda.sseq$ -imp-seq
    apply auto
    using reduction-paths.Std.elims(3) tU
    by fastforce
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Std-imp-sseq-last-hd:
shows  $\llbracket Std (T @ U); T \neq []; U \neq [] \rrbracket \implies \Lambda.sseq (last T) (hd U)$ 
  apply (induct T arbitrary: U)
  apply simp-all
  by (metis Std.elims(3) Std.simps(3) append-self-conv2 neq-Nil-conv)

```

```

lemma Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction:
shows Std U  $\implies set U \subseteq Collect \Lambda.elementary$ -reduction
  apply (induct U)
  apply auto
  by (metis Std.simps(2) Std.simps(3) neq-Nil-conv  $\Lambda.sseq$ -imp-elementary-reduction1)

```

```

lemma Std-map-Lam:
shows Std T  $\implies Std (map \Lambda.Lam T)$ 
proof (induct T)
  show Std []  $\implies Std (map \Lambda.Lam [])$ 
    by simp
  fix t U
  assume ind: Std U  $\implies Std (map \Lambda.Lam U)$ 
  assume tU: Std (t # U)
  have Std (map  $\Lambda.Lam$  (t # U))  $\longleftrightarrow Std (\lambda[t] \# map \Lambda.Lam U)$ 
    by auto
  also have ... = True
    apply (cases U = [])
    apply simp-all
    using Arr.simps(3) Std.simps(2) arr-char tU
    apply presburger
  proof -
    assume U: U  $\neq []$ 
    have Std ( $\lambda[t] \# map \Lambda.Lam U$ )  $\longleftrightarrow \Lambda.sseq \lambda[t] \lambda[hd U] \wedge Std (map \Lambda.Lam U)$ 
      using U
      by (metis Nil-is-map-conv Std.simps(3) hd-map list.exhaust-sel)
    also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow \Lambda.sseq t (hd U) \wedge Std (map \Lambda.Lam U)$ 
      by auto
    also have ... = True

```

```

    using ind tU U
    by (metis Std.simps(3) list.exhaust-sel)
    finally show Std ( $\lambda[t] \# \text{map } \Lambda.Lam U$ ) by blast
qed
finally show Std ( $\text{map } \Lambda.Lam (t \# U)$ ) by blast
qed

```

lemma *Std-map-App1*:

shows $\llbracket \Lambda.Ide b; Std T \rrbracket \implies Std (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ b) T)$

proof (*induct T*)

show $\llbracket \Lambda.Ide b; Std [] \rrbracket \implies Std (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ b) [])$

by *simp*

fix $t U$

assume $ind: \llbracket \Lambda.Ide b; Std U \rrbracket \implies Std (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ b) U)$

assume $b: \Lambda.Ide b$

assume $tU: Std (t \# U)$

show $Std (\text{map } (\lambda v. v \circ b) (t \# U))$

proof (*cases U = []*)

show $U = [] \implies ?thesis$

using *Ide-implies-Arr b $\Lambda.arr-char tU$ by force*

assume $U: U \neq []$

have $Std (\text{map } (\lambda v. v \circ b) (t \# U)) = Std ((t \circ b) \# \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ b) U)$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = (\Lambda.sseq (t \circ b) (hd U \circ b) \wedge Std (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ b) U))$

using *U reduction-paths.Std.simps(3) hd-map*

by (*metis Nil-is-map-conv neq-Nil-conv*)

also have $\dots = True$

using *b tU U ind*

by (*metis Std.simps(3) list.exhaust-sel $\Lambda.sseq.simps(4)$*)

finally show $Std (\text{map } (\lambda v. v \circ b) (t \# U))$ **by** *blast*

qed

qed

lemma *Std-map-App2*:

shows $\llbracket \Lambda.Ide a; Std T \rrbracket \implies Std (\text{map } (\lambda u. a \circ u) T)$

proof (*induct T*)

show $\llbracket \Lambda.Ide a; Std [] \rrbracket \implies Std (\text{map } (\lambda u. a \circ u) [])$

by *simp*

fix $t U$

assume $ind: \llbracket \Lambda.Ide a; Std U \rrbracket \implies Std (\text{map } (\lambda u. a \circ u) U)$

assume $a: \Lambda.Ide a$

assume $tU: Std (t \# U)$

show $Std (\text{map } (\lambda u. a \circ u) (t \# U))$

proof (*cases U = []*)

show $U = [] \implies ?thesis$

using *a tU by force*

assume $U: U \neq []$

have $Std (\text{map } (\lambda u. a \circ u) (t \# U)) = Std ((a \circ t) \# \text{map } (\lambda u. a \circ u) U)$

by *simp*

```

also have ... = ( $\Lambda.sseq$  ( $a \circ t$ ) ( $a \circ hd$   $U$ )  $\wedge$   $Std$  ( $map$  ( $\lambda u. a \circ u$ )  $U$ ))
  using  $U$ 
  by ( $metis$   $Nil-is-map-conv$   $Std.simps(3)$   $hd-map$   $list.exhaust-sel$ )
also have ... =  $True$ 
  using  $a$   $tU$   $U$   $ind$ 
  by ( $metis$   $Std.simps(3)$   $list.exhaust-sel$   $\Lambda.sseq.simps(4)$ )
finally show  $Std$  ( $map$  ( $\lambda u. a \circ u$ ) ( $t \# U$ )) by  $blast$ 
qed
qed

```

lemma $Std-map-un-Lam$:

```

shows  $\llbracket Std$   $T$ ;  $set$   $T \subseteq Collect$   $\Lambda.is-Lam$   $\rrbracket \implies Std$  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-Lam$   $T$ )
proof ( $induct$   $T$ )
  show  $\llbracket Std$   $\llbracket$ ;  $set$   $\llbracket \subseteq Collect$   $\Lambda.is-Lam$   $\rrbracket \implies Std$  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-Lam$   $\llbracket$ )
    by  $simp$ 
  fix  $t$   $T$ 
  assume  $ind$ :  $\llbracket Std$   $T$ ;  $set$   $T \subseteq Collect$   $\Lambda.is-Lam$   $\rrbracket \implies Std$  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-Lam$   $T$ )
  assume  $tT$ :  $Std$  ( $t \# T$ )
  assume  $1$ :  $set$  ( $t \# T$ )  $\subseteq Collect$   $\Lambda.is-Lam$ 
  show  $Std$  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-Lam$  ( $t \# T$ ))
  proof ( $cases$   $T = \llbracket$ )
    show  $T = \llbracket \implies Std$  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-Lam$  ( $t \# T$ ))
    by ( $metis$   $1$   $Std.simps(2)$   $\Lambda.elementary-reduction.simps(3)$   $\Lambda.lambda.collapse(2)$ 
       $list.set-intros(1)$   $list.simps(8)$   $list.simps(9)$   $mem-Collect-eq$   $subset-code(1)$   $tT$ )
    assume  $T$ :  $T \neq \llbracket$ 
    show  $Std$  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-Lam$  ( $t \# T$ ))
      using  $T$   $tT$   $1$   $ind$   $Std.simps(3)$  [ $of$   $\Lambda.un-Lam$   $t$   $\Lambda.un-Lam$  ( $hd$   $T$ )  $map$   $\Lambda.un-Lam$  ( $tl$   $T$ )]
      by ( $metis$   $\Lambda.lambda.collapse(2)$   $\Lambda.sseq.simps(3)$   $list.exhaust-sel$   $list.sel(1)$ 
         $list.set-intros(1)$   $map-eq-Cons-conv$   $mem-Collect-eq$   $reduction-paths.Std.simps(3)$ 
         $set-subset-Cons$   $subset-code(1)$ )
  qed
qed

```

lemma $Std-append-single$:

```

shows  $\llbracket Std$   $T$ ;  $T \neq \llbracket$ ;  $\Lambda.sseq$  ( $last$   $T$ )  $u$   $\rrbracket \implies Std$  ( $T @ [u]$ )
proof ( $induct$   $T$ )
  show  $\llbracket Std$   $\llbracket$ ;  $\llbracket \neq \llbracket$ ;  $\Lambda.sseq$  ( $last$   $\llbracket$ )  $u$   $\rrbracket \implies Std$  ( $\llbracket @ [u]$ )
    by  $blast$ 
  fix  $t$   $T$ 
  assume  $ind$ :  $\llbracket Std$   $T$ ;  $T \neq \llbracket$ ;  $\Lambda.sseq$  ( $last$   $T$ )  $u$   $\rrbracket \implies Std$  ( $T @ [u]$ )
  assume  $tT$ :  $Std$  ( $t \# T$ )
  assume  $sseq$ :  $\Lambda.sseq$  ( $last$  ( $t \# T$ ))  $u$ 
  have  $Std$  ( $t \# (T @ [u])$ )
    using  $\Lambda.sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2$   $sseq$   $ind$   $tT$ 
    apply ( $cases$   $T = \llbracket$ )
    apply  $simp$ 
  by ( $metis$   $append-Cons$   $last-ConsR$   $list.sel(1)$   $neq-Nil-conv$   $reduction-paths.Std.simps(3)$ )
thus  $Std$  ( $(t \# T) @ [u]$ ) by  $simp$ 
qed

```

lemma *Std-append*:
shows $\llbracket \text{Std } T; \text{Std } U; T = [] \vee U = [] \vee \Lambda.\text{sseq } (\text{last } T) (\text{hd } U) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Std } (T @ U)$
proof (*induct U arbitrary: T*)
show $\bigwedge T. \llbracket \text{Std } T; \text{Std } []; T = [] \vee [] = [] \vee \Lambda.\text{sseq } (\text{last } T) (\text{hd } []) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Std } (T @ [])$
by *simp*
fix $u T U$
assume $\text{ind}: \bigwedge T. \llbracket \text{Std } T; \text{Std } U; T = [] \vee U = [] \vee \Lambda.\text{sseq } (\text{last } T) (\text{hd } U) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Std } (T @ U)$
assume $T: \text{Std } T$
assume $uU: \text{Std } (u \# U)$
have $U: \text{Std } U$
using $uU \text{Std.elims}(3)$ **by** *fastforce*
assume $\text{seq}: T = [] \vee u \# U = [] \vee \Lambda.\text{sseq } (\text{last } T) (\text{hd } (u \# U))$
show $\text{Std } (T @ (u \# U))$
by (*metis Std-append-single T U append.assoc append.left-neutral append-Cons ind last-snoc list.distinct(1) list.exhaust-sel list.sel(1) Std.simps(3) seq uU*)
qed

Projections of Standard ‘App Paths’

Given a standard reduction path, all of whose transitions have `App` as their top-level constructor, we can apply *un-App1* or *un-App2* to each transition to project the path onto paths formed from the “rator” and the “rand” of each application. These projected paths are not standard, since the projection operation will introduce identities, in general. However, in this section we show that if we remove the identities, then in fact we do obtain standard reduction paths.

abbreviation *notIde*
where $\text{notIde} \equiv \lambda u. \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } u$

lemma *filter-notIde-Ide*:
shows $\text{Ide } U \Longrightarrow \text{filter notIde } U = []$
by (*induct U auto*)

lemma *cong-filter-notIde*:
shows $\llbracket \text{Arr } U; \neg \text{Ide } U \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{filter notIde } U \text{ *~* } U$
proof (*induct U*)
show $\llbracket \text{Arr } []; \neg \text{Ide } [] \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{filter notIde } [] \text{ *~* } []$
by *simp*
fix $u U$
assume $\text{ind}: \llbracket \text{Arr } U; \neg \text{Ide } U \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{filter notIde } U \text{ *~* } U$
assume $\text{Arr}: \text{Arr } (u \# U)$
assume $1: \neg \text{Ide } (u \# U)$
show $\text{filter notIde } (u \# U) \text{ *~* } (u \# U)$
proof (*cases $\Lambda.\text{Ide } u$*)
assume $u: \Lambda.\text{Ide } u$
have $U: \text{Arr } U \wedge \neg \text{Ide } U$
using $\text{Arr } u 1 \text{Ide.elims}(3)$ **by** *fastforce*


```

have filter notIde (u # U) = filter notIde U
  using u by simp
also have ... *~* U
  using U ind by blast
also have U *~* [u] @ U
  using u
  by (metis (full-types) Arr Arr-has-Src Cons-eq-append-conv Ide.elims(3) Ide.simps(2)
      Srcs.simps(1) U arrIP arr-append-imp-seq cong-append-ideI(3) ide-char
      Λ.ide-char not-Cons-self2)
also have [u] @ U = u # U
  by simp
finally show ?thesis by blast
next
assume u: ¬ Λ.Ide u
show ?thesis
proof (cases Ide U)
  assume U: Ide U
  have filter notIde (u # U) = [u]
    using u U filter-notIde-Ide by simp
  moreover have [u] *~* [u] @ U
    using u U cong-append-ideI(4) [of [u] U]
    by (metis Arr Con-Arr-self Cons-eq-appendI Resid-Ide(1) arr-append-imp-seq
        arr-char ide-char ide-implies-arr neq-Nil-conv self-append-conv2)
  moreover have [u] @ U = u # U
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
next
assume U: ¬ Ide U
have filter notIde (u # U) = [u] @ filter notIde U
  using u U Arr by simp
also have ... *~* [u] @ U
proof (cases U = [])
  show U = [] ⇒ ?thesis
    by (metis Arr arr-char cong-reflexive append-Nil2 filter.simps(1))
  assume 1: U ≠ []
  have seq [u] (filter notIde U)
    by (metis (full-types) 1 Arr Arr.simps(2-3) Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-implies-Arr(1)
        Ide.elims(3) Ide.simps(1) Trgs.simps(2) U ide-char ind seq-char
        seq-implies-Trgs-eq-Srcs)
  thus ?thesis
    using u U Arr ind cong-append [of [u] filter notIde U [u] U]
    by (meson 1 Arr-consE cong-reflexive seqE)
qed
also have [u] @ U = u # U
  by simp
finally show ?thesis by argo
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Std-filter-map-un-App1:
shows  $\llbracket \text{Std } U; \text{ set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App} \rrbracket \implies \text{Std } (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U))$ 
proof (induct U)
  show  $\llbracket \text{Std } []; \text{ set } [] \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App} \rrbracket \implies \text{Std } (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } []))$ 
    by simp
  fix u U
  assume ind:  $\llbracket \text{Std } U; \text{ set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App} \rrbracket \implies \text{Std } (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U))$ 
  assume 1:  $\text{Std } (u \# U)$ 
  assume 2:  $\text{set } (u \# U) \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}$ 
  show  $\text{Std } (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)))$ 
    using 1 2 ind
    apply (cases u)
      apply simp-all
  proof –
    fix u1 u2
    assume uU:  $\text{Std } ((u1 \circ u2) \# U)$ 
    assume set:  $\text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}$ 
    assume ind:  $\text{Std } U \implies \text{Std } (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U))$ 
    assume u:  $u = u1 \circ u2$ 
    show  $(\neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } u1 \longrightarrow \text{Std } (u1 \# \text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U))) \wedge$ 
       $(\Lambda.\text{Ide } u1 \longrightarrow \text{Std } (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)))$ 
    proof (intro conjI impI)
      assume u1:  $\Lambda.\text{Ide } u1$ 
      show  $\text{Std } (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U))$ 
        by (metis 1 Std.simps(1) Std.simps(3) ind neq-Nil-conv)
      next
      assume u1:  $\neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } u1$ 
      show  $\text{Std } (u1 \# \text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U))$ 
      proof (cases Ide (map  $\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U$ ))
        show  $\text{Ide } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U) \implies ?thesis$ 
        proof –
          assume U:  $\text{Ide } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)$ 
          have  $\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U) = []$ 
            by (metis U Ide-char filter-False  $\Lambda.\text{ide-char}$  mem-Collect-eq subsetD)
          thus ?thesis
            by (metis Std.elims(1) Std.simps(2)  $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction.simps(4)}$  list.discI list.sel(1)  $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1}$  u1 uU)
        qed
      assume U:  $\neg \text{Ide } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)$ 
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases  $U = []$ )
        show  $U = [] \implies ?thesis$ 
          using 1 u u1 by fastforce
        assume  $U \neq []$ 
        hence  $U \neq [] \wedge \neg \text{Ide } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)$ 
          using U by simp
        have  $\Lambda.\text{sseq } u1$  (hd (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U$ )))

```

```

proof –
  have  $\bigwedge u1\ u2. \llbracket \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}; \neg \text{Ide } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U); U \neq [];$ 
     $\text{Std } ((u1 \circ u2) \# U); \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } u1 \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \Lambda.\text{sseq } u1\ (\text{hd } (\text{filter } \text{notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)))$ 

  for  $U$ 
  apply (induct  $U$ )
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
proof –
  fix  $u\ U\ u1\ u2$ 
  assume ind:  $\bigwedge u1\ u2. \llbracket \neg \text{Ide } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U); U \neq [];$ 
     $\text{Std } ((u1 \circ u2) \# U); \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } u1 \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \Lambda.\text{sseq } u1\ (\text{hd } (\text{filter } \text{notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)))$ 

  assume 1:  $\Lambda.\text{is-App } u \wedge \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}$ 
  assume 2:  $\neg \text{Ide } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u \# \text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)$ 
  assume 3:  $\Lambda.\text{sseq } (u1 \circ u2)\ u \wedge \text{Std } (u \# U)$ 
  show  $\neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u) \implies \Lambda.\text{sseq } u1\ (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u)$ 
  by (metis 1 3  $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$   $\Lambda.\text{Ide-Trg } \Lambda.\text{lambda.collapse}(3)$   $\Lambda.\text{seq-char}$ 
     $\Lambda.\text{sseq.simps}(4)$   $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-imp-imp}$ )
  assume 4:  $\neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } u1$ 
  assume 5:  $\Lambda.\text{Ide } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u)$ 
  have u1:  $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } u1$ 
  using 3 4  $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction.simps}(4)$   $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1}$ 
  by blast
  have 6:  $\text{Arr } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u \# \text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)$ 
  using 1 3  $\text{Std-imp-Arr } \text{Arr-map-un-App1 } [\text{of } u \# U]$  by auto
  have 7:  $\text{Arr } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)$ 
  using 1 2 3 5 6  $\text{Arr-map-un-App1 } \text{Std-imp-Arr } \Lambda.\text{ide-char}$  by fastforce
  have 8:  $\neg \text{Ide } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)$ 
  using 2 5 6  $\text{set-Ide-subset-ide}$  by fastforce
  have 9:  $\Lambda.\text{seq } u\ (\text{hd } U)$ 
  by (metis 3 7  $\text{Std.simps}(3)$   $\text{Arr.simps}(1)$   $\text{list.collapse}$   $\text{list.simps}(8)$ 
     $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-imp-imp}$ )
  show  $\Lambda.\text{sseq } u1\ (\text{hd } (\text{filter } \text{notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } U)))$ 
proof –
  have  $\Lambda.\text{sseq } (u1 \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u))\ (\text{hd } U)$ 
  proof (cases  $\Lambda.\text{Ide } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{hd } U))$ )
  assume 10:  $\Lambda.\text{Ide } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{hd } U))$ 
  hence  $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (\text{hd } U))$ 
  by (metis (full-types) 1 3 7  $\text{Std.elims}(2)$   $\text{Arr.simps}(1)$ 
     $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-App-iff}$   $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-not-ide}$ 
     $\Lambda.\text{ide-char}$   $\text{list.sel}(2)$   $\text{list.sel}(3)$   $\text{list.set-sel}(1)$   $\text{list.simps}(8)$ 
     $\text{mem-Collect-eq } \Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2}$  subsetD)
  moreover have  $\Lambda.\text{Trg } u1 = \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{hd } U)$ 
  proof –
  have  $\Lambda.\text{Trg } u1 = \Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u)$ 
  by (metis 1 3 5  $\Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Src-self}$   $\Lambda.\text{Ide-implies-Arr}$   $\Lambda.\text{Trg-Src}$ 
     $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-not-ide}$   $\Lambda.\text{ide-char}$   $\Lambda.\text{lambda.collapse}(3)$ 
     $\Lambda.\text{sseq.simps}(4)$   $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2}$ )

```

also have ... = $\Lambda.Trq (\Lambda.un-App1 u)$
by (*metis* 5 $\Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self$ $\Lambda.Ide-iff-Trg-self$
 $\Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr$)
also have ... = $\Lambda.un-App1 (hd U)$
using 1 3 5 7 $\Lambda.Ide-iff-Trg-self$
by (*metis* 9 10 $Arr.simps(1)$ $lambda-calculus.Ide-iff-Src-self$
 $\Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr$ $\Lambda.Src-Src$ $\Lambda.Src-eq-iff(2)$ $\Lambda.Trq.simps(3)$
 $\Lambda.lambda.collapse(3)$ $\Lambda.seqE_{\Lambda}$ $list.set-sel(1)$ $list.simps(8)$
 $mem-Collect-eq$ $subsetD$)
finally show ?thesis **by** *argo*
qed
moreover have $\Lambda.Trq (\Lambda.un-App2 u) = \Lambda.Src (\Lambda.un-App2 (hd U))$
by (*metis* 1 7 9 $Arr.simps(1)$ $hd-in-set$ $\Lambda.Src.simps(4)$ $\Lambda.Src-Src$
 $\Lambda.Trq.simps(3)$ $\Lambda.lambda.collapse(3)$ $\Lambda.lambda.sel(4)$
 $\Lambda.seq-char$ $list.simps(8)$ $mem-Collect-eq$ $subset-code(1)$)
ultimately show ?thesis
using $\Lambda.sseq.simps(4)$
by (*metis* 1 7 $u1$ $Arr.simps(1)$ $hd-in-set$ $\Lambda.lambda.collapse(3)$
 $list.simps(8)$ $mem-Collect-eq$ $subsetD$)
next
assume 10: $\neg \Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.un-App1 (hd U))$
have *False*
proof –
have $\Lambda.elementary-reduction (\Lambda.un-App2 u)$
using 1 3 5 $\Lambda.elementary-reduction-App-iff$
 $\Lambda.elementary-reduction-not-ide$ $\Lambda.sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2$
by *blast*
moreover have $\Lambda.sseq u (hd U)$
by (*metis* 3 7 $Std.simps(3)$ $Arr.simps(1)$
 $hd-Cons-tl$ $list.simps(8)$)
moreover have $\Lambda.elementary-reduction (\Lambda.un-App1 (hd U))$
by (*metis* 1 7 10 $Nil-is-map-conv$ $Arr.simps(1)$
 $calculation(2)$ $\Lambda.elementary-reduction-App-iff$ $hd-in-set$ $\Lambda.ide-char$
 $mem-Collect-eq$ $\Lambda.sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2$ $subset-iff$)
ultimately show ?thesis
using $\Lambda.sseq.simps(4)$
by (*metis* 1 5 7 $Arr.simps(1)$ $\Lambda.elementary-reduction-not-ide$
 $hd-in-set$ $\Lambda.ide-char$ $\Lambda.lambda.collapse(3)$ $list.simps(8)$
 $mem-Collect-eq$ $subset-iff$)
qed
thus ?thesis **by** *argo*
qed
hence $Std ((u1 \circ \Lambda.Trq (\Lambda.un-App2 u)) \# U)$
by (*metis* 3 7 $Std.simps(3)$ $Arr.simps(1)$ $list.exhaust-sel$ $list.simps(8)$)
thus ?thesis
using *ind*
by (*metis* 7 8 $u1$ $Arr.simps(1)$ $\Lambda.elementary-reduction-not-ide$ $\Lambda.ide-char$
 $list.simps(8)$)
qed

```

    qed
    thus ?thesis
      using U set u1 uU by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis 1 Std.simps(2-3) ‹U ≠ []› ind list.exhaust-sel list.sel(1)
        ‹Λ.sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1›)
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

lemma Std-filter-map-un-App2:
shows ‹‹Std U; set U ⊆ Collect ‹Λ.is-App›› ⇒ Std (filter notIde (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U))›
proof (induct U)
  show ‹‹Std []; set [] ⊆ Collect ‹Λ.is-App›› ⇒ Std (filter notIde (map ‹Λ.un-App2› []))›
    by simp
  fix u U
  assume ind: ‹‹Std U; set U ⊆ Collect ‹Λ.is-App›› ⇒ Std (filter notIde (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U))›
  assume 1: Std (u # U)
  assume 2: set (u # U) ⊆ Collect ‹Λ.is-App›
  show Std (filter notIde (map ‹Λ.un-App2› (u # U)))
    using 1 2 ind
    apply (cases u)
    apply simp-all
  proof -
    fix u1 u2
    assume uU: Std ((u1 o u2) # U)
    assume set: set U ⊆ Collect ‹Λ.is-App›
    assume ind: Std U ⇒ Std (filter notIde (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U))
    assume u: u = u1 o u2
    show (¬ ‹Λ.Ide u2 → Std (u2 # filter notIde (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U))›) ∧
      (‹Λ.Ide u2 → Std (filter notIde (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U))›)
    proof (intro conjI impI)
      assume u2: ‹Λ.Ide u2›
      show Std (filter notIde (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U))
        by (metis 1 Std.simps(1) Std.simps(3) ind neq-Nil-conv)
      next
      assume u2: ¬ ‹Λ.Ide u2›
      show Std (u2 # filter notIde (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U))
      proof (cases ‹Ide (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U)›)
        show ‹Ide (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U)› ⇒ ?thesis
      proof -
        assume U: ‹Ide (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U)›
        have filter notIde (map ‹Λ.un-App2› U) = []
          by (metis U Ide-char filter-False ‹Λ.ide-char mem-Collect-eq subsetD›)
        thus ?thesis
          by (metis Std.elims(1) Std.simps(2) ‹Λ.elementary-reduction.simps(4)› list.discI)
      qed
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

      list.sel(1)  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1 u2 uU)
qed
assume U:  $\neg$  Ide (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 U)
show ?thesis
proof (cases U = [])
  show U = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
    using 1 u u2 by fastforce
  assume U  $\neq$  []
  hence U: U  $\neq$  []  $\wedge$   $\neg$  Ide (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 U)
    using U by simp
  have  $\Lambda$ .sseq u2 (hd (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 U)))
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge$ u1 u2.  $\llbracket$ set U  $\subseteq$  Collect  $\Lambda$ .is-App;  $\neg$  Ide (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 U); U  $\neq$  [];
      Std ((u1  $\circ$  u2)  $\#$  U);  $\neg$   $\Lambda$ .Ide u2 $\rrbracket$ 
       $\implies$   $\Lambda$ .sseq u2 (hd (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 U)))
    for U
    apply (induct U)
    apply simp-all
    apply (intro conjI impI)
  proof -
    fix u U u1 u2
    assume ind:  $\bigwedge$ u1 u2.  $\llbracket$  $\neg$  Ide (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 U); U  $\neq$  [];
      Std ((u1  $\circ$  u2)  $\#$  U);  $\neg$   $\Lambda$ .Ide u2 $\rrbracket$ 
       $\implies$   $\Lambda$ .sseq u2 (hd (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 U)))
    assume 1:  $\Lambda$ .is-App u  $\wedge$  set U  $\subseteq$  Collect  $\Lambda$ .is-App
    assume 2:  $\neg$  Ide ( $\Lambda$ .un-App2 u  $\#$  map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 U)
    assume 3:  $\Lambda$ .sseq (u1  $\circ$  u2) u  $\wedge$  Std (u  $\#$  U)
    assume 4:  $\neg$   $\Lambda$ .Ide u2
    show  $\neg$   $\Lambda$ .Ide ( $\Lambda$ .un-App2 u)  $\implies$   $\Lambda$ .sseq u2 ( $\Lambda$ .un-App2 u)
      by (metis 1 3 4  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction.simps(4)
         $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-not-ide  $\Lambda$ .ide-char  $\Lambda$ .lambda.collapse(3)
         $\Lambda$ .sseq.simps(4)  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1)
    assume 5:  $\Lambda$ .Ide ( $\Lambda$ .un-App2 u)
    have False
      by (metis 1 3 4 5  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-not-ide  $\Lambda$ .ide-char
         $\Lambda$ .lambda.collapse(3)  $\Lambda$ .sseq.simps(4)  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2)
    thus  $\Lambda$ .sseq u2 (hd (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 U))) by argo
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using U set u2 uU by blast
qed
thus ?thesis
  by (metis 1 Std.simps(2) Std.simps(3)  $\langle$ U  $\neq$  [] $\rangle$  ind list.exhaust-sel list.sel(1)
     $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

If the first step in a standard reduction path contracts a redex that is not at the head position, then all subsequent terms have *App* as their top-level operator.

lemma *seq-App-Std-implies*:
shows $\llbracket \text{Std } (t \# U); \Lambda.\text{is-App } t \wedge \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction } t \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}$
proof (*induct U arbitrary: t*)
show $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \text{Std } [t]; \Lambda.\text{is-App } t \wedge \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction } t \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{set } [] \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}$
by *simp*
fix *t u U*
assume *ind*: $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \text{Std } (t \# U); \Lambda.\text{is-App } t \wedge \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction } t \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}$
assume *Std*: $\text{Std } (t \# u \# U)$
assume *t*: $\Lambda.\text{is-App } t \wedge \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction } t$
have *U*: $\text{set } (u \# U) \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction}$
using *Std Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction by fastforce*
have *u*: $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } u$
using *U by simp*
have $\text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction}$
using *U by simp*
show $\text{set } (u \# U) \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}$
proof (*cases U = []*)
show $U = [] \implies ?thesis$
by (*metis Std empty-set empty-subsetI insert-subset*
 $\Lambda.\text{sseq-preserves-App-and-no-head-reduction list.sel(1) list.simps(15)$
 $\text{mem-Collect-eq reduction-paths.Std.simps(3) } t$)
assume *U*: $U \neq []$
have $\Lambda.\text{sseq } t u$
using *Std by auto*
hence $\Lambda.\text{is-App } u \wedge \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } u \wedge \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction } u$
using *t u U $\Lambda.\text{sseq-preserves-App-and-no-head-reduction [of t u]$*
 $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-not-ide}$
by *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using *Std ind [of u] $\langle \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction} \rangle$ by simp*
qed
qed

3.6.2 Standard Developments

The following function takes a term *t* (representing a parallel reduction) and produces a standard reduction path that is a complete development of *t* and is thus congruent to $[t]$. The proof of termination makes use of the Finite Development Theorem.

function (*sequential*) *standard-development*
where *standard-development* $\# = []$
 $| \text{standard-development } \llcorner = []$
 $| \text{standard-development } \lambda[t] = \text{map } \Lambda.\text{Lam } (\text{standard-development } t)$
 $| \text{standard-development } (t \circ u) =$

(if $\Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \Lambda.Arr\ u$ then
 map $(\lambda v. v \circ \Lambda.Src\ u)$ (standard-development t) @
 map $(\lambda v. \Lambda.Trig\ t \circ v)$ (standard-development u)
 else \square)
 | standard-development $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) =$
 (if $\Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \Lambda.Arr\ u$ then
 $(\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u) \#$ standard-development $(\Lambda.subst\ u\ t)$
 else \square)
 by pat-completeness auto

abbreviation (in lambda-calculus) *stddev-term-rel*
where *stddev-term-rel* \equiv *mlex-prod hgt subterm-rel*

lemma (in lambda-calculus) *subst-lt-Beta*:

assumes *Arr t* and *Arr u*

shows $(subst\ u\ t, \lambda[t] \bullet u) \in stddev-term-rel$

proof –

have $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) \setminus (\lambda[Src\ t] \bullet Src\ u) = subst\ u\ t$
 using *assms*
 by (*metis Arr-not-Nil Ide-Src Ide-iff-Src-self Ide-implies-Arr resid.simps(4)*
 resid-Arr-Ide)

moreover have *elementary-reduction* $(\lambda[Src\ t] \bullet Src\ u)$

by (*simp add: assms Ide-Src*)

moreover have $\lambda[Src\ t] \bullet Src\ u \sqsubseteq \lambda[t] \bullet u$

by (*metis assms Arr.simps(5) head-redex.simps(9) subs-head-redex*)

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *assms elementary-reduction-decreases-hgt [of $\lambda[Src\ t] \bullet Src\ u\ \lambda[t] \bullet u$]*
 by (*metis mlex-less*)

qed

termination *standard-development*

proof (*relation $\Lambda.stddev-term-rel$*)

show *wf $\Lambda.stddev-term-rel$*

using *$\Lambda.wf-subterm-rel\ wf-mlex$* **by** *blast*

show $\bigwedge t. (t, \lambda[t]) \in \Lambda.stddev-term-rel$

by (*simp add: $\Lambda.subterm-lemmas(1)$ mlex-prod-def*)

show $\bigwedge t\ u. (t, t \circ u) \in \Lambda.stddev-term-rel$

using *$\Lambda.subterm-lemmas(3)$*

by (*metis antisym-conv1 $\Lambda.hgt.simps(4)$ le-add1 mem-Collect-eq mlex-iff old.prod.case*)

show $\bigwedge t\ u. (u, t \circ u) \in \Lambda.stddev-term-rel$

using *$\Lambda.subterm-lemmas(3)$* **by** (*simp add: mlex-leq*)

show $\bigwedge t\ u. \Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \Lambda.Arr\ u \implies (\Lambda.subst\ u\ t, \lambda[t] \bullet u) \in \Lambda.stddev-term-rel$

using *$\Lambda.subst-lt-Beta$* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *Ide-iff-standard-development-empty*:

shows $\Lambda.Arr\ t \implies \Lambda.Ide\ t \longleftrightarrow standard-development\ t = \square$

by (*induct t*) auto

lemma *set-standard-development*:
shows $\Lambda.Arr\ t \longrightarrow set\ (standard-development\ t) \subseteq Collect\ \Lambda.elementary-reduction$
apply (*rule standard-development.induct*)
using $\Lambda.Ide-Src\ \Lambda.Ide-Trg\ \Lambda.Arr-Subst$ **by** *auto*

lemma *cong-standard-development*:
shows $\Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ t \longrightarrow standard-development\ t\ *\sim*\ [t]$
proof (*rule standard-development.induct*)
show $\Lambda.Arr\ \#\ \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ \# \longrightarrow standard-development\ \#\ *\sim*\ [\#]$
by *simp*
show $\bigwedge x.\ \Lambda.Arr\ \langle x \rangle \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ \langle x \rangle$
 $\longrightarrow standard-development\ \langle x \rangle *\sim*\ [\langle x \rangle]$
by *simp*
show $\bigwedge t.\ \Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ t \longrightarrow standard-development\ t *\sim*\ [t] \implies$
 $\Lambda.Arr\ \lambda[t] \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ \lambda[t] \longrightarrow standard-development\ \lambda[t] *\sim*\ [\lambda[t]]$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) cong-map-Lam\ \Lambda.Arr.simps(3)\ \Lambda.Ide.simps(3)*
list.simps(8,9)\ standard-development.simps(3))
show $\bigwedge t\ u.\ [\Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \Lambda.Arr\ u$
 $\implies \Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ t \longrightarrow standard-development\ t *\sim*\ [t];$
 $\Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \Lambda.Arr\ u$
 $\implies \Lambda.Arr\ u \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ u \longrightarrow standard-development\ u *\sim*\ [u]]$
 $\implies \Lambda.Arr\ (t \circ u) \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ (t \circ u) \longrightarrow$
 $standard-development\ (t \circ u) *\sim*\ [t \circ u]$

proof
fix $t\ u$
assume *ind1*: $\Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \Lambda.Arr\ u$
 $\implies \Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ t \longrightarrow standard-development\ t *\sim*\ [t]$
assume *ind2*: $\Lambda.Arr\ t \wedge \Lambda.Arr\ u$
 $\implies \Lambda.Arr\ u \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ u \longrightarrow standard-development\ u *\sim*\ [u]$
assume *1*: $\Lambda.Arr\ (t \circ u) \wedge \neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ (t \circ u)$
show $standard-development\ (t \circ u) *\sim*\ [t \circ u]$
proof (*cases standard-development\ t = []*)
show $standard-development\ t = [] \implies ?thesis$
using *1\ ind2\ cong-map-App1\ Ide-iff-standard-development-empty\ \Lambda.Ide-iff-Trg-self*
apply *simp*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)\ list.simps(8,9)*)
assume $t:$ $standard-development\ t \neq []$
show $?thesis$
proof (*cases standard-development\ u = []*)
assume $u:$ $standard-development\ u = []$
have $standard-development\ (t \circ u) = map\ (\lambda X.\ X \circ u)\ (standard-development\ t)$
using $u\ 1\ \Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self\ ide-char\ ind2$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots *\sim*\ map\ (\lambda a.\ a \circ u)\ [t]$
using *cong-map-App2 [of u]*
by (*meson 1\ \Lambda.Arr.simps(4)\ Ide-iff-standard-development-empty\ t\ u\ ind1*)
also have $map\ (\lambda a.\ a \circ u)\ [t] = [t \circ u]$
by *simp*
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
next

assume u : *standard-development* $u \neq []$
have *standard-development* $(t \circ u) =$
 $\quad \text{map } (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u) (\text{standard-development } t) @$
 $\quad \text{map } (\lambda b. \Lambda.\text{Trg } t \circ b) (\text{standard-development } u)$
using 1 **by** *force*
moreover have $\text{map } (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u) (\text{standard-development } t) \text{ *}\sim\text{* } [t \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u]$
proof –
have $\text{map } (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u) (\text{standard-development } t) \text{ *}\sim\text{* } \text{map } (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u) [t]$
using $t \ u \ 1 \ \text{ind1} \ \Lambda.\text{Ide-Src} \ \text{Ide-iff-standard-development-empty} \ \text{cong-map-App2}$
by (*metis* $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$)
also have $\text{map } (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u) [t] = [t \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u]$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $\text{map } (\lambda b. \Lambda.\text{Trg } t \circ b) (\text{standard-development } u) \text{ *}\sim\text{* } [\Lambda.\text{Trg } t \circ u]$
using $t \ u \ 1 \ \text{ind2} \ \Lambda.\text{Ide-Trg} \ \text{Ide-iff-standard-development-empty} \ \text{cong-map-App1}$
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *opaque-lifting*) $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$ *list.simps*(8,9))
moreover have $\text{seq } (\text{map } (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u) (\text{standard-development } t))$
 $\quad (\text{map } (\lambda b. \Lambda.\text{Trg } t \circ b) (\text{standard-development } u))$
proof
show $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u) (\text{standard-development } t))$
by (*metis* *Con-implies-Arr*(1) *Ide.simps*(1) *calculation*(2) *ide-char*)
show $\text{Arr } (\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } t)) (\text{standard-development } u))$
by (*metis* *Con-implies-Arr*(1) *Ide.simps*(1) *calculation*(3) *ide-char*)
show $\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } (\text{map } (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u) (\text{standard-development } t))) =$
 $\quad \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{map } ((\circ) (\Lambda.\text{Trg } t)) (\text{standard-development } u)))$
using 1 *Src-hd-eqI* *Trg-last-eqI* *calculation*(2) *calculation*(3) **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately have $\text{standard-development } (t \circ u) \text{ *}\sim\text{* } [t \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u] @ [\Lambda.\text{Trg } t \circ u]$
using *cong-append* [*of* $\text{map } (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u) (\text{standard-development } t)$
 $\quad \text{map } (\lambda b. \Lambda.\text{Trg } t \circ b) (\text{standard-development } u)$
 $\quad [t \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u] [\Lambda.\text{Trg } t \circ u]$]
by *simp*
moreover have $[t \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } u] @ [\Lambda.\text{Trg } t \circ u] \text{ *}\sim\text{* } [t \circ u]$
using 1 $\Lambda.\text{Ide-Trg} \ \Lambda.\text{resid-Arr-Src} \ \Lambda.\text{resid-Arr-self} \ \Lambda.\text{null-char}$
 $\quad \text{ide-char} \ \Lambda.\text{Arr-not-Nil}$
by *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *cong-transitive* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
qed
show $\bigwedge t \ u. (\Lambda.\text{Arr } t \wedge \Lambda.\text{Arr } u \implies$
 $\quad \Lambda.\text{Arr } (\Lambda.\text{subst } u \ t) \wedge \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } (\Lambda.\text{subst } u \ t)$
 $\quad \implies \text{standard-development } (\Lambda.\text{subst } u \ t) \text{ *}\sim\text{* } [\Lambda.\text{subst } u \ t]) \implies$
 $\quad \Lambda.\text{Arr } (\lambda [t] \bullet u) \wedge \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } (\lambda [t] \bullet u) \implies$
 $\quad \text{standard-development } (\lambda [t] \bullet u) \text{ *}\sim\text{* } [\lambda [t] \bullet u]$
proof
fix $t \ u$

assume 1: $\Lambda.Arr (\lambda[t] \bullet u) \wedge \neg \Lambda.Ide (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$
assume *ind*: $\Lambda.Arr t \wedge \Lambda.Arr u \implies$
 $\Lambda.Arr (\Lambda.subst u t) \wedge \neg \Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.subst u t)$
 $\longrightarrow \text{standard-development } (\Lambda.subst u t) \text{ }^{*\sim*} [\Lambda.subst u t]$
show *standard-development* $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) \text{ }^{*\sim*} [\lambda[t] \bullet u]$
proof (*cases* $\Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.subst u t)$)
assume 2: $\Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.subst u t)$
have *standard-development* $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) = [\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u]$
using 1 2 *Ide-iff-standard-development-empty* [of $\Lambda.subst u t$] $\Lambda.Arr\text{-Subst}$
by *simp*
also have $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u] \text{ }^{*\sim*} [\lambda[t] \bullet u]$
using 1 2 $\Lambda.Ide\text{-Src}$ $\Lambda.Ide\text{-implies-Arr}$ *ide-char* $\Lambda.resid\text{-Arr-Ide}$
apply (*intro conjI*)
apply *simp-all*
apply (*metis* $\Lambda.Ide.simps(1)$ $\Lambda.Ide\text{-Subst-iff}$ $\Lambda.Ide\text{-Trg}$)
by *fastforce*
finally show ?*thesis* **by** *blast*
next
assume 2: $\neg \Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.subst u t)$
have *standard-development* $(\lambda[t] \bullet u) =$
 $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u] @ \text{standard-development } (\Lambda.subst u t)$
using 1 **by** *auto*
also have $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u] @ \text{standard-development } (\Lambda.subst u t) \text{ }^{*\sim*}$
 $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u] @ [\Lambda.subst u t]$
proof (*intro cong-append*)
show *seq* $[\Lambda.Beta (\Lambda.Src t) (\Lambda.Src u)] (\text{standard-development } (\Lambda.subst u t))$
using 1 2 *ind arr-char ide-implies-arr* $\Lambda.Arr\text{-Subst}$ *Con-implies-Arr(1)* *Src-hd-eqI*
apply (*intro seqI_{LP}*)
apply *simp-all*
by (*metis* $\Lambda.Arr.simps(1)$)
show $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u] \text{ }^{*\sim*} [\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u]$
using 1
by (*metis* $\Lambda.Arr.simps(5)$ $\Lambda.Ide\text{-Src}$ $\Lambda.Ide\text{-implies-Arr}$ $\Lambda.Arr.simps(2)$ *Resid-Arr-self*
ide-char $\Lambda.arr\text{-char}$)
show *standard-development* $(\Lambda.subst u t) \text{ }^{*\sim*} [\Lambda.subst u t]$
using 1 2 $\Lambda.Arr\text{-Subst}$ *ind* **by** *simp*
qed
also have $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u] @ [\Lambda.subst u t] \text{ }^{*\sim*} [\lambda[t] \bullet u]$
proof
show $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u] @ [\Lambda.subst u t] \text{ }^{*\lesssim*} [\lambda[t] \bullet u]$
proof –
have $t \setminus \Lambda.Src t \neq \# \wedge u \setminus \Lambda.Src u \neq \#$
by (*metis* 1 $\Lambda.Arr.simps(5)$ $\Lambda.Coinitial\text{-iff-Con}$ $\Lambda.Ide\text{-Src}$ $\Lambda.Ide\text{-iff-Src-self}$
 $\Lambda.Ide\text{-implies-Arr}$)
moreover have $\Lambda.con (\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u) (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$
by (*metis* 1 $\Lambda.head\text{-redex.simps}(9)$ $\Lambda.prfx\text{-implies-con}$ $\Lambda.subs\text{-head-redex}$
 $\Lambda.subs\text{-implies-prfx}$)
ultimately have $([\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u] @ [\Lambda.subst u t]) \text{ }^{*\setminus*} [\lambda[t] \bullet u] =$
 $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src t] \bullet \Lambda.Src u] \text{ }^{*\setminus*} [\lambda[t] \bullet u] @$

$[\Lambda.subst\ u\ t] * \setminus * ([\lambda[t] \bullet u] * \setminus * [\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u])$

using *Resid-append(1)*
 $[of\ [\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u]\ [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t]\ [\lambda[t] \bullet u]]$

apply *simp*
by (*metis* $\Lambda.Arr-Subst\ \Lambda.Coinitial-iff-Con\ \Lambda.Ide-Src\ \Lambda.resid-Arr-Ide$)

also have $\dots = [\Lambda.subst\ (\Lambda.Trg\ u)\ (\Lambda.Trg\ t)] @ ([\Lambda.subst\ u\ t] * \setminus * [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t])$

proof –

have $t \setminus \Lambda.Src\ t \neq \# \wedge u \setminus \Lambda.Src\ u \neq \#$
by (*metis* $1\ \Lambda.Arr.simps(5)\ \Lambda.Coinitial-iff-Con\ \Lambda.Ide-Src\ \Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self\ \Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr$)

moreover have $\Lambda.Src\ t \setminus t \neq \# \wedge \Lambda.Src\ u \setminus u \neq \#$
using $\Lambda.Con-sym\ calculation(1)$ **by** *presburger*

moreover have $\Lambda.con\ (\Lambda.subst\ u\ t)\ (\Lambda.subst\ u\ t)$
by (*meson* $\Lambda.Arr-Subst\ \Lambda.Con-implies-Arr2\ \Lambda.arr-char\ \Lambda.arr-def\ calculation(2)$)

moreover have $\Lambda.con\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)\ (\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u)$
using $\langle \Lambda.con\ (\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u)\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) \rangle\ \Lambda.con-sym$ **by** *blast*

moreover have $\Lambda.con\ (\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u)\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)$
using $\langle \Lambda.con\ (\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u)\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u) \rangle$ **by** *blast*

moreover have $\Lambda.con\ (\Lambda.subst\ u\ t)\ (\Lambda.subst\ (u \setminus \Lambda.Src\ u)\ (t \setminus \Lambda.Src\ t))$
by (*metis* $\Lambda.Coinitial-iff-Con\ \Lambda.Ide-Src\ calculation(1-3)\ \Lambda.resid-Arr-Ide$)

ultimately show *?thesis*
using 1 **by** *auto*

qed

finally have $([\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u] @ [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t]) * \setminus * [\lambda[t] \bullet u] =$
 $[\Lambda.subst\ (\Lambda.Trg\ u)\ (\Lambda.Trg\ t)] @ [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t] * \setminus * [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t]$

by *blast*

moreover have *Ide ...*
by (*metis* $1\ 2\ \Lambda.Arr.simps(5)\ \Lambda.Arr-Subst\ \Lambda.Ide-Subst\ \Lambda.Ide-Trg\ Nil-is-append-conv\ Arr-append-iff_{PWE}\ Con-implies-Arr(2)\ Ide.simps(1-2)\ Ide-appendI_{PWE}\ Resid-Arr-self\ ide-char\ calculation\ \Lambda.ide-char\ ind\ Con-imp-Arr-Resid$)

ultimately show *?thesis*
using *ide-char* **by** *presburger*

qed

show $[\lambda[t] \bullet u] * \lesssim * [\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u] @ [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t]$

proof –

have $[\lambda[t] \bullet u] * \setminus * ([\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u] @ [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t]) =$
 $([\lambda[t] \bullet u] * \setminus * [\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u]) * \setminus * [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t]$

by *fastforce*

also have $\dots = [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t] * \setminus * [\Lambda.subst\ u\ t]$

proof –

have $t \setminus \Lambda.Src\ t \neq \# \wedge u \setminus \Lambda.Src\ u \neq \#$
by (*metis* $1\ \Lambda.Arr.simps(5)\ \Lambda.Coinitial-iff-Con\ \Lambda.Ide-Src\ \Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self\ \Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr$)

moreover have $\Lambda.con\ (\Lambda.subst\ u\ t)\ (\Lambda.subst\ u\ t)$
by (*metis* $1\ \Lambda.Arr.simps(5)\ \Lambda.Arr-Subst\ \Lambda.Coinitial-iff-Con\ \Lambda.con-def\ \Lambda.null-char$)

moreover have $\Lambda.con\ (\lambda[t] \bullet u)\ (\lambda[\Lambda.Src\ t] \bullet \Lambda.Src\ u)$
by (*metis* $1\ \Lambda.Con-sym\ \Lambda.con-def\ \Lambda.head-redex.simps(9)\ \Lambda.null-char$)

$\Lambda.\text{prfx-implies-con } \Lambda.\text{subs-head-redex } \Lambda.\text{subs-implies-prfx}$
moreover have $\Lambda.\text{con } (\Lambda.\text{subst } (u \setminus \Lambda.\text{Src } u) (t \setminus \Lambda.\text{Src } t)) (\Lambda.\text{subst } u t)$
by (*metis* $\Lambda.\text{Coinitial-iff-Con } \Lambda.\text{Ide-Src } \text{calculation}(1) \text{calculation}(2)$
 $\Lambda.\text{resid-Arr-Ide}$)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using $\Lambda.\text{resid-Arr-Ide}$
apply *simp*
by (*metis* $\Lambda.\text{Coinitial-iff-Con } \Lambda.\text{Ide-Src}$)
qed
finally have $[\lambda[t] \bullet u]^{*\setminus*} ([\lambda[\Lambda.\text{Src } t] \bullet \Lambda.\text{Src } u] @ [\Lambda.\text{subst } u t]) =$
 $[\Lambda.\text{subst } u t]^{*\setminus*} [\Lambda.\text{subst } u t]$
by *blast*
moreover have *Ide ...*
by (*metis* $1 \ 2 \ \Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(5) \ \Lambda.\text{Arr-Subst } \text{Con-implies-Arr}(2) \ \text{Resid-Arr-self}$
 $\text{ind } \text{ide-char}$)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *ide-char* **by** *presburger*
qed
qed
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *Src-hd-standard-development:*
assumes $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t$ **and** $\neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } t$
shows $\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{standard-development } t)) = \Lambda.\text{Src } t$
by (*metis* *assms Src-hd-eqI cong-standard-development list.sel(1)*)

lemma *Trg-last-standard-development:*
assumes $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t$ **and** $\neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } t$
shows $\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } (\text{standard-development } t)) = \Lambda.\text{Trg } t$
by (*metis* *assms Trg-last-eqI cong-standard-development last-ConsL*)

lemma *Srcs-standard-development:*
shows $[\Lambda.\text{Arr } t; \text{standard-development } t \neq []]$
 $\implies \text{Srcs } (\text{standard-development } t) = \{\Lambda.\text{Src } t\}$
by (*metis* *Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) Ide-iff-standard-development-empty*
 $\text{Src-hd-standard-development Srcs-simp}_{\Lambda P} \text{cong-standard-development ide-char}$)

lemma *Trgs-standard-development:*
shows $[\Lambda.\text{Arr } t; \text{standard-development } t \neq []]$
 $\implies \text{Trgs } (\text{standard-development } t) = \{\Lambda.\text{Trg } t\}$
by (*metis* *Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1) Ide-iff-standard-development-empty*
 $\text{Trg-last-standard-development Trgs-simp}_{\Lambda P} \text{cong-standard-development ide-char}$)

lemma *development-standard-development:*
shows $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t \implies \text{development } t (\text{standard-development } t)$
apply (*rule standard-development.induct*)

```

    apply blast
    apply simp
    apply (simp add: development-map-Lam)
proof
  fix t1 t2
  assume ind1:  $\Lambda.Arr\ t1 \wedge \Lambda.Arr\ t2$ 
     $\implies \Lambda.Arr\ t1 \longrightarrow development\ t1\ (standard-development\ t1)$ 
  assume ind2:  $\Lambda.Arr\ t1 \wedge \Lambda.Arr\ t2$ 
     $\implies \Lambda.Arr\ t2 \longrightarrow development\ t2\ (standard-development\ t2)$ 
  assume t:  $\Lambda.Arr\ (t1 \circ t2)$ 
  show  $development\ (t1 \circ t2)\ (standard-development\ (t1 \circ t2))$ 
proof (cases  $standard-development\ t1 = []$ )
  show  $standard-development\ t1 = []$ 
     $\implies development\ (t1 \circ t2)\ (standard-development\ (t1 \circ t2))$ 
  using t ind2  $\Lambda.Ide-Src\ \Lambda.Ide-Trg\ \Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self\ \Lambda.Ide-iff-Trg-self$ 
     $Ide-iff-standard-development-empty$ 
     $development-map-App-2$  [of  $\Lambda.Src\ t1\ t2\ standard-development\ t2$ ]
  by fastforce
  assume t1:  $standard-development\ t1 \neq []$ 
  show  $development\ (t1 \circ t2)\ (standard-development\ (t1 \circ t2))$ 
proof (cases  $standard-development\ t2 = []$ )
  assume t2:  $standard-development\ t2 = []$ 
  show ?thesis
    using t t2 ind1  $Ide-iff-standard-development-empty\ development-map-App-1$  by simp
  next
  assume t2:  $standard-development\ t2 \neq []$ 
  have  $development\ (t1 \circ t2)\ (map\ (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2)\ (standard-development\ t1))$ 
    using  $\Lambda.Arr.simps(4)\ development-map-App-1\ ind1\ t$  by presburger
  moreover have  $development\ ((t1 \circ t2)^1 \setminus^*$ 
     $map\ (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2)\ (standard-development\ t1))$ 
     $(map\ (\lambda a. \Lambda.Trg\ t1 \circ a)\ (standard-development\ t2))$ 
proof -
  have  $\Lambda.App\ t1\ t2^1 \setminus^*\ map\ (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2)\ (standard-development\ t1) =$ 
     $\Lambda.Trg\ t1 \circ t2$ 
proof -
  have  $map\ (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2)\ (standard-development\ t1) \sim^* [t1 \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2]$ 
proof -
  have  $map\ (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2)\ (standard-development\ t1) =$ 
     $standard-development\ (t1 \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2)$ 
  by (metis  $\Lambda.Arr.simps(4)\ \Lambda.Ide-Src\ \Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self$ 
     $Ide-iff-standard-development-empty\ \Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr\ Nil-is-map-conv$ 
     $append-Nil2\ standard-development.simps(4)\ t$ )
  also have  $standard-development\ (t1 \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2) \sim^* [t1 \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2]$ 
  by (metis  $\Lambda.Arr.simps(4)\ \Lambda.Ide.simps(4)\ \Lambda.Ide-Src\ \Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr$ 
     $cong-standard-development\ development-Ide\ ind1\ t\ t1$ )
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
hence  $[t1 \circ t2]^* \setminus^*\ map\ (\lambda a. a \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2)\ (standard-development\ t1) =$ 
 $[t1 \circ t2]^* \setminus^* [t1 \circ \Lambda.Src\ t2]$ 

```

```

    by (metis Resid-parallel con-imp-coinitial prfx-implies-con calculation
        development-implies map-is-Nil-conv t1)
  also have [t1 ◦ t2] * \ * [t1 ◦ Λ.Src t2] = [Λ.Trq t1 ◦ t2]
    using t Λ.arr-resid-iff-con Λ.resid-Arr-self
    by simp force
  finally have [t1 ◦ t2] * \ * map (λa. a ◦ Λ.Src t2) (standard-development t1) =
    [Λ.Trq t1 ◦ t2]
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Resid1x-as-Resid')
qed
thus ?thesis
  by (metis ind2 Λ.Arr.simps(4) Λ.Ide-Trq Λ.Ide-iff-Src-self development-map-App-2
      Λ.reduction-strategy-def Λ.head-strategy-is-reduction-strategy t)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using t development-append [of t1 ◦ t2
      map (λa. a ◦ Λ.Src t2) (standard-development t1)
      map (λb. Λ.Trq t1 ◦ b) (standard-development t2)]
    by auto
qed
qed
next
fix t1 t2
assume ind: Λ.Arr t1 ∧ Λ.Arr t2 ⇒
  Λ.Arr (Λ.subst t2 t1)
  → development (Λ.subst t2 t1) (standard-development (Λ.subst t2 t1))
show Λ.Arr (λ[t1] • t2) → development (λ[t1] • t2) (standard-development (λ[t1] • t2))
proof
  assume 1: Λ.Arr (λ[t1] • t2)
  have development (Λ.subst t2 t1) (standard-development (Λ.subst t2 t1))
    using 1 ind by (simp add: Λ.Arr-Subst)
  thus development (λ[t1] • t2) (standard-development (λ[t1] • t2))
    using 1 Λ.Ide-Src Λ.subs-Ide by auto
qed
qed

lemma Std-standard-development:
shows Std (standard-development t)
  apply (rule standard-development.induct)
  apply simp-all
  using Std-map-Lam
  apply blast
proof
fix t u
assume t: Λ.Arr t ∧ Λ.Arr u ⇒ Std (standard-development t)
assume u: Λ.Arr t ∧ Λ.Arr u ⇒ Std (standard-development u)
assume 0: Λ.Arr t ∧ Λ.Arr u
show Std (map (λa. a ◦ Λ.Src u) (standard-development t)) @

```

```

      map (λb. Λ.Trig t ∘ b) (standard-development u))
proof (cases Λ.Ide t)
show Λ.Ide t ⇒ ?thesis
  using 0 Λ.Ide-iff-Trig-self Ide-iff-standard-development-empty u Std-map-App2
  by fastforce
assume 1: ¬ Λ.Ide t
show ?thesis
proof (cases Λ.Ide u)
show Λ.Ide u ⇒ ?thesis
  using t u 0 1 Std-map-App1 [of Λ.Src u standard-development t] Λ.Ide-Src
  by (metis Ide-iff-standard-development-empty append-Nil2 list.simps(8))
assume 2: ¬ Λ.Ide u
show ?thesis
proof (intro Std-append)
show 3: Std (map (λa. a ∘ Λ.Src u) (standard-development t))
  using t 0 Std-map-App1 Λ.Ide-Src by blast
show Std (map (λb. Λ.Trig t ∘ b) (standard-development u))
  using u 0 Std-map-App2 Λ.Ide-Trig by simp
show map (λa. a ∘ Λ.Src u) (standard-development t) = [] ∨
  map (λb. Λ.Trig t ∘ b) (standard-development u) = [] ∨
  Λ.sseq (last (map (λa. a ∘ Λ.Src u) (standard-development t)))
  (hd (map (λb. Λ.Trig t ∘ b) (standard-development u)))
proof –
have Λ.sseq (last (map (λa. a ∘ Λ.Src u) (standard-development t)))
  (hd (map (λb. Λ.Trig t ∘ b) (standard-development u)))
proof –
obtain x where x: last (map (λa. a ∘ Λ.Src u) (standard-development t)) =
  x ∘ Λ.Src u
  using 0 1 Ide-iff-standard-development-empty last-map by auto
obtain y where y: hd (map (λb. Λ.Trig t ∘ b) (standard-development u)) =
  Λ.Trig t ∘ y
  using 0 2 Ide-iff-standard-development-empty list.map-sel(1) by auto
have Λ.elementary-reduction x
proof –
have Λ.elementary-reduction (x ∘ Λ.Src u)
  using x
by (metis 0 1 3 Ide-iff-standard-development-empty Nil-is-map-conv Std.simps(2)
  Std-imp-sseq-last-hd append-butlast-last-id append-self-conv2 list.discI
  list.sel(1) Λ.sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2)
thus ?thesis
  using 0 Λ.Ide-Src Λ.elementary-reduction-not-ide by auto
qed
moreover have Λ.elementary-reduction y
proof –
have Λ.elementary-reduction (Λ.Trig t ∘ y)
  using y
by (metis 0 2 Λ.Ide-Trig Ide-iff-standard-development-empty
  u Std.elims(2) Λ.elementary-reduction.simps(4) list.map-sel(1) list.sel(1)
  Λ.sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1)

```



```

    thus ?thesis
      using 0  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Trg  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-not-ide by auto
    qed
  moreover have  $\Lambda$ .Trg t =  $\Lambda$ .Trg x
    by (metis 0 1 Ide-iff-standard-development-empty Trg-last-standard-development
        x  $\Lambda$ .lambda.inject(3) last-map)
  moreover have  $\Lambda$ .Src u =  $\Lambda$ .Src y
    using y
    by (metis 0 2  $\Lambda$ .Arr-not-Nil  $\Lambda$ .Coinitial-iff-Con
        Ide-iff-standard-development-empty development.elims(2) development-imp-Arr
        development-standard-development  $\Lambda$ .lambda.inject(3) list.map-sel(1)
        list.sel(1))
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using x y by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed
  next
  fix t u
  assume ind:  $\Lambda$ .Arr t  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .Arr u  $\implies$  Std (standard-development ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t))
  show  $\Lambda$ .Arr t  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .Arr u
     $\longrightarrow$  Std (( $\lambda$ [ $\Lambda$ .Src t]  $\bullet$   $\Lambda$ .Src u) # standard-development ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t))
  proof
    assume 1:  $\Lambda$ .Arr t  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .Arr u
    show Std (( $\lambda$ [ $\Lambda$ .Src t]  $\bullet$   $\Lambda$ .Src u) # standard-development ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t))
    proof (cases  $\Lambda$ .Ide ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t))
      show  $\Lambda$ .Ide ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t)
         $\implies$  Std (( $\lambda$ [ $\Lambda$ .Src t]  $\bullet$   $\Lambda$ .Src u) # standard-development ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t))
        using 1  $\Lambda$ .Arr-Subst  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Src Ide-iff-standard-development-empty by simp
      assume 2:  $\neg$   $\Lambda$ .Ide ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t)
      show Std (( $\lambda$ [ $\Lambda$ .Src t]  $\bullet$   $\Lambda$ .Src u) # standard-development ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t))
      proof -
        have  $\Lambda$ .sseq ( $\lambda$ [ $\Lambda$ .Src t]  $\bullet$   $\Lambda$ .Src u) (hd (standard-development ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t)))
        proof -
          have  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction (hd (standard-development ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t)))
          using ind
          by (metis 1 2  $\Lambda$ .Arr-Subst Ide-iff-standard-development-empty
              Std.elims(2) list.sel(1)  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1)
          moreover have  $\Lambda$ .seq ( $\lambda$ [ $\Lambda$ .Src t]  $\bullet$   $\Lambda$ .Src u)
            (hd (standard-development ( $\Lambda$ .subst u t)))
          using 1 2 Src-hd-standard-development calculation  $\Lambda$ .Arr.simps(5)
             $\Lambda$ .Arr-Src  $\Lambda$ .Arr-Subst  $\Lambda$ .Src-Subst  $\Lambda$ .Trg.simps(4)  $\Lambda$ .Trg-Src  $\Lambda$ .arr-char
             $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-is-arr  $\Lambda$ .seq-char
          by presburger
        ultimately show ?thesis
          using 1  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Src  $\Lambda$ .sseq-Beta by auto
      end
    end
  end

```

```

    qed
  moreover have Std (standard-development ( $\Lambda.subst\ u\ t$ ))
    using 1 ind by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis 1 2  $\Lambda.Arr-Subst\ Ide-iff-standard-development-empty\ Std.simps(3)$ 
        list.collapse)
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

3.6.3 Standardization

In this section, we define and prove correct a function that takes an arbitrary reduction path and produces a standard reduction path congruent to it. The method is roughly analogous to insertion sort: given a path, recursively standardize the tail and then “insert” the head into to the result. A complication is that in general the head may be a parallel reduction instead of an elementary reduction, and in any case elementary reductions are not preserved under residuation so we need to be able to handle the parallel reductions that arise from permuting elementary reductions. In general, this means that parallel reduction steps have to be decomposed into factors, and then each factor has to be inserted at its proper position. Another issue is that reductions don’t all happen at the top level of a term, so we need to be able to descend recursively into terms during the insertion procedure. The key idea here is: in a standard reduction, once a step has occurred that is not a head reduction, then all subsequent terms will have *App* as their top-level constructor. So, once we have passed a step that is not a head reduction, we can recursively descend into the subsequent applications and treat the “rator” and the “rand” parts independently.

The following function performs the core insertion part of the standardization algorithm. It assumes that it is given an arbitrary parallel reduction t and an already-standard reduction path U , and it inserts t into U , producing a standard reduction path that is congruent to $t \# U$. A somewhat elaborate case analysis is required to determine whether t needs to be factored and whether part of it might need to be permuted with the head of U . The recursion is complicated by the need to make sure that the second argument U is always a standard reduction path. This is so that it is possible to decide when the rest of the steps will be applications and it is therefore possible to recurse into them. This constrains what recursive calls we can make, since we are not able to make a recursive call in which an identity has been prepended to U . Also, if $t \# U$ consists completely of identities, then its standardization is the empty list $[],$ which is not a path and cannot be congruent to $t \# U$. So in order to be able to apply the induction hypotheses in the correctness proof, we need to make sure that we don’t make recursive calls when U itself would consist entirely of identities. Finally, when we descend through an application, the step t and the path U are projected to their “rator” and “rand” components, which are treated separately and the results concatenated. However, the projection operations can introduce identities and therefore do not preserve elementary

reductions. To handle this, we need to filter out identities after projection but before the recursive call.

Ensuring termination also involves some care: we make recursive calls in which the length of the second argument is increased, but the “height” of the first argument is decreased. So we use a lexicographic order that makes the height of the first argument more significant and the length of the second argument secondary. The base cases either discard paths that consist entirely of identities, or else they expand a single parallel reduction t into a standard development.

```

function (sequential) stdz-insert
where stdz-insert t [] = standard-development t
  | stdz-insert «-» U = stdz-insert (hd U) (tl U)
  | stdz-insert λ[t] U =
    (if Λ.Ide t then
      stdz-insert (hd U) (tl U)
    else
      map Λ.Lam (stdz-insert t (map Λ.un-Lam U)))
  | stdz-insert (λ[t] ◦ u) ((λ[-] • -) # U) = stdz-insert (λ[t] • u) U
  | stdz-insert (t ◦ u) U =
    (if Λ.Ide (t ◦ u) then
      stdz-insert (hd U) (tl U)
    else if Λ.seq (t ◦ u) (hd U) then
      if Λ.contains-head-reduction (t ◦ u) then
        if Λ.Ide ((t ◦ u) \ Λ.head-redex (t ◦ u)) then
          Λ.head-redex (t ◦ u) # stdz-insert (hd U) (tl U)
        else
          Λ.head-redex (t ◦ u) # stdz-insert ((t ◦ u) \ Λ.head-redex (t ◦ u)) U
      else if Λ.contains-head-reduction (hd U) then
        if Λ.Ide ((t ◦ u) \ Λ.head-strategy (t ◦ u)) then
          stdz-insert (Λ.head-strategy (t ◦ u)) (tl U)
        else
          Λ.head-strategy (t ◦ u) # stdz-insert ((t ◦ u) \ Λ.head-strategy (t ◦ u)) (tl U)
    else
      map (λa. a ◦ Λ.Src u)
        (stdz-insert t (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 U))) @
      map (λb. Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last U)) ◦ b)
        (stdz-insert u (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 U)))
    else [])
  | stdz-insert (λ[t] • u) U =
    (if Λ.Arr t ∧ Λ.Arr u then
      (λ[Λ.Src t] • Λ.Src u) # stdz-insert (Λ.subst u t) U
    else [])
  | stdz-insert - - = []
by pat-completeness auto

```

```

fun standardize
where standardize [] = []

```

| $standardize\ U = stdz\text{-}insert\ (hd\ U)\ (standardize\ (tl\ U))$

abbreviation $stdzins\text{-}rel$

where $stdzins\text{-}rel \equiv mlex\text{-}prod\ (length\ o\ snd)\ (inv\text{-}image\ (mlex\text{-}prod\ \Lambda.hgt\ \Lambda.subterm\text{-}rel\ fst))$

termination $stdz\text{-}insert$

using $\Lambda.subterm.intros(2-3)\ \Lambda.hgt\text{-}Subst\ less\text{-}Suc\text{-}eq\text{-}le\ \Lambda.elementary\text{-}reduction\text{-}decreases\text{-}hgt\ \Lambda.elementary\text{-}reduction\text{-}head\text{-}redex\ \Lambda.contains\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\text{-}iff\ \Lambda.elementary\text{-}reduction\text{-}is\text{-}arr\ \Lambda.Src\text{-}head\text{-}redex\ \Lambda.App\text{-}Var\text{-}contains\text{-}no\text{-}head\text{-}reduction\ \Lambda.hgt\text{-}resid\text{-}App\text{-}head\text{-}redex\ \Lambda.seq\text{-}char$
apply $(relation\ stdzins\text{-}rel)$
apply $(auto\ simp\ add:\ wf\text{-}mlex\ \Lambda.wf\text{-}subterm\text{-}rel\ mlex\text{-}iff\ mlex\text{-}less\ \Lambda.subterm\text{-}lemmas(1))$
by $(meson\ dual\text{-}order.eq\text{-}iff\ length\text{-}filter\text{-}le\ not\text{-}less\text{-}eq\text{-}eq)+$

lemma $stdz\text{-}insert\text{-}Ide:$

shows $Ide\ (t\ \#\ U) \implies stdz\text{-}insert\ t\ U = []$

proof $(induct\ U\ arbitrary:\ t)$

show $\bigwedge t. Ide\ [t] \implies stdz\text{-}insert\ t\ [] = []$

by $(metis\ Ide\text{-}iff\text{-}standard\text{-}development\text{-}empty\ \Lambda.Ide\text{-}implies\text{-}Arr\ Ide.simps(2)\ \Lambda.ide\text{-}char\ stdz\text{-}insert.simps(1))$

show $\bigwedge U. [\bigwedge t. Ide\ (t\ \#\ U) \implies stdz\text{-}insert\ t\ U = [];\ Ide\ (t\ \#\ u\ \#\ U)] \implies stdz\text{-}insert\ t\ (u\ \#\ U) = []$

for $t\ u$

using $\Lambda.ide\text{-}char$

apply $(cases\ t;\ cases\ u)$

apply $simp\text{-}all$

by $fastforce$

qed

lemma $stdz\text{-}insert\text{-}Ide\text{-}Std:$

shows $[\Lambda.Ide\ u;\ seq\ [u]\ U;\ Std\ U] \implies stdz\text{-}insert\ u\ U = stdz\text{-}insert\ (hd\ U)\ (tl\ U)$

proof $(induct\ U\ arbitrary:\ u)$

show $\bigwedge u. [\Lambda.Ide\ u;\ seq\ [u]\ [];\ Std\ []] \implies stdz\text{-}insert\ u\ [] = stdz\text{-}insert\ (hd\ [])\ (tl\ [])$

by $(simp\ add:\ seq\text{-}char)$

fix $u\ v\ U$

assume $u:\ \Lambda.Ide\ u$

assume $seq:\ seq\ [u]\ (v\ \#\ U)$

assume $Std:\ Std\ (v\ \#\ U)$

assume $ind:\ \bigwedge u. [\Lambda.Ide\ u;\ seq\ [u]\ U;\ Std\ U]$

$\implies stdz\text{-}insert\ u\ U = stdz\text{-}insert\ (hd\ U)\ (tl\ U)$

show $stdz\text{-}insert\ u\ (v\ \#\ U) = stdz\text{-}insert\ (hd\ (v\ \#\ U))\ (tl\ (v\ \#\ U))$

using $u\ ind\ stdz\text{-}insert\text{-}Ide\ Ide\text{-}implies\text{-}Arr$

apply $(cases\ u;\ cases\ v)$

apply $simp\text{-}all$

proof $-$

fix $x\ y\ a\ b$

assume $xy:\ \Lambda.Ide\ x \wedge \Lambda.Ide\ y$

assume $u':\ u = x\ o\ y$

```

assume v': v = λ[a] • b
have ab: Λ.Ide a ∧ Λ.Ide b
  using Std ⟨v = λ[a] • b⟩ Std.elims(2) Λ.sseq-Beta
  by (metis Std-consE Λ.elementary-reduction.simps(5) Std.simps(2))
have x = λ[a] ∧ y = b
  using xy ab u u' v' seq seq-char
  by (metis Λ.Ide-iff-Src-self Λ.Ide-iff-Trg-self Λ.Ide-implies-Arr Λ.Src.simps(5)
    Srcs-simpΛP Trgs.simps(2) Λ.lambda.inject(3) list.sel(1) singleton-insert-inj-eq
    Λ.targets-charΛ)
thus stdz-insert (x ◦ y) ((λ[a] • b) # U) = stdz-insert (λ[a] • b) U
  using u u' stdz-insert.simps(4) by presburger
qed
qed

```

Insertion of a term with *Beta* as its top-level constructor always leaves such a term at the head of the result. Stated another way, *Beta* at the top-level must always come first in a standard reduction path.

```

lemma stdz-insert-Beta-ind:
shows [[Λ.hgt t + length U ≤ n; Λ.is-Beta t; seq [t] U]]
  ⇒ Λ.is-Beta (hd (stdz-insert t U))
proof (induct n arbitrary: t U)
  show ∧t U. [[Λ.hgt t + length U ≤ 0; Λ.is-Beta t; seq [t] U]]
    ⇒ Λ.is-Beta (hd (stdz-insert t U))
    using Arr.simps(1) seq-char by blast
fix n t U
assume ind: ∧t U. [[Λ.hgt t + length U ≤ n; Λ.is-Beta t; seq [t] U]]
  ⇒ Λ.is-Beta (hd (stdz-insert t U))
assume seq: seq [t] U
assume n: Λ.hgt t + length U ≤ Suc n
assume t: Λ.is-Beta t
show Λ.is-Beta (hd (stdz-insert t U))
  using t seq seq-char
  by (cases U; cases t; cases hd U) auto
qed

```

```

lemma stdz-insert-Beta:
assumes Λ.is-Beta t and seq [t] U
shows Λ.is-Beta (hd (stdz-insert t U))
  using assms stdz-insert-Beta-ind by blast

```

This is the correctness lemma for insertion: Given a term t and standard reduction path U sequential with it, the result of insertion is a standard reduction path which is congruent to $t \# U$ unless $t \# U$ consists entirely of identities.

The proof is very long. Its structure parallels that of the definition of the function *stdz-insert*. For really understanding the details, I strongly suggest viewing the proof in Isabelle/JEdit and using the code folding feature to unfold the proof a little bit at a time.

```

lemma stdz-insert-correctness:

```

```

shows seq [t] U ∧ Std U →
  Std (stdz-insert t U) ∧ (¬ Ide (t # U) → cong (stdz-insert t U) (t # U))
  (is ?P t U)
proof (rule stdz-insert.induct [of ?P])
  show ∧t. ?P t []
    using seq-char by simp
  show ∧u U. ?P # (u # U)
    using seq-char not-arr-null null-char by auto
  show ∧x u U. ?P (hd (u # U)) (tl (u # U)) ⇒ ?P «x» (u # U)
proof –
  fix x u U
  assume ind: ?P (hd (u # U)) (tl (u # U))
  show ?P «x» (u # U)
proof (intro impI, elim conjE, intro conjI)
  assume seq: seq [«x»] (u # U)
  assume Std: Std (u # U)
  have 1: stdz-insert «x» (u # U) = stdz-insert u U
    by simp
  have 2: U ≠ [] ⇒ seq [u] U
    using Std Std-imp-Arr
    by (simp add: arrIP arr-append-imp-seq)
  show Std (stdz-insert «x» (u # U))
    using ind
    by (metis 1 2 Std Std-standard-development list.exhaust-sel list.sel(1) list.sel(3)
      reduction-paths.Std.simps(3) reduction-paths.stdz-insert.simps(1))
  show ¬ Ide («x» # u # U) → stdz-insert «x» (u # U) *~* «x» # u # U
proof (cases U = [])
  show U = [] ⇒ ?thesis
    using cong-standard-development cong-cons-ideI(1)
    apply simp
    by (metis Arr.simps(1–2) Arr-iff-Con-self Con-rec(3) Λ.in-sourcesI con-char
      cong-transitive ideE Λ.Ide.simps(2) Λ.arr-char Λ.ide-char seq)
  assume U: U ≠ []
  show ?thesis
    using 1 2 ind seq seq-char cong-cons-ideI(1)
    apply simp
    by (metis Std Std-consE U Λ.Arr.simps(2) Λ.Ide.simps(2) Λ.targets-simps(2)
      prfx-transitive)
  qed
qed
qed
show ∧M u U. [Λ.Ide M ⇒ ?P (hd (u # U)) (tl (u # U));
  ¬ Λ.Ide M ⇒ ?P M (map Λ.un-Lam (u # U))]
  ⇒ ?P λ[M] (u # U)
proof –
  fix M u U
  assume ind1: Λ.Ide M ⇒ ?P (hd (u # U)) (tl (u # U))
  assume ind2: ¬ Λ.Ide M ⇒ ?P M (map Λ.un-Lam (u # U))
  show ?P λ[M] (u # U)

```

```

proof (intro impI, elim conjE)
  assume seq: seq [λ[M]] (u # U)
  assume Std: Std (u # U)
  have u: Λ.is-Lam u
    using seq
    by (metis insert-subset Λ.lambda.disc(8) list.simps(15) mem-Collect-eq
      seq-Lam-Arr-implies)
  have U: set U ⊆ Collect Λ.is-Lam
    using u seq
    by (metis insert-subset Λ.lambda.disc(8) list.simps(15) seq-Lam-Arr-implies)
  show Std (stdz-insert λ[M] (u # U)) ∧
    (¬ Ide (λ[M] # u # U) → stdz-insert λ[M] (u # U) *~* λ[M] # u # U)
proof (cases Λ.Ide M)
  assume M: Λ.Ide M
  have 1: stdz-insert λ[M] (u # U) = stdz-insert u U
    using M by simp
  show ?thesis
proof (cases Ide (u # U))
  show Ide (u # U) ⇒ ?thesis
    using 1 Std-standard-development Ide-iff-standard-development-empty
    by (metis Ide-imp-Ide-hd Std Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction
      Λ.elementary-reduction-not-ide list.sel(1) list.set-intros(1)
      mem-Collect-eq subset-code(1))
  assume 2: ¬ Ide (u # U)
  show ?thesis
proof (cases U = [])
  assume 3: U = []
  have 4: standard-development u *~* [λ[M]] @ [u]
    using M 2 3 seq ide-char cong-standard-development [of u]
      cong-append-ideI(1) [of [λ[M]] [u]]
    by (metis Arr-imp-arr-hd Ide.simps(2) Std Std-imp-Arr cong-transitive
      Λ.Ide.simps(3) Λ.arr-char Λ.ide-char list.sel(1) not-Cons-self2)
  show ?thesis
    using 1 3 4 Std-standard-development by force
  next
  assume 3: U ≠ []
  have stdz-insert λ[M] (u # U) = stdz-insert u U
    using M 3 by simp
  have 5: Λ.Arr u ∧ ¬ Λ.Ide u
    by (meson 3 Std Std-consE Λ.elementary-reduction-not-ide Λ.ide-char
      Λ.sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1)
  have 4: standard-development u @ U *~* ([λ[M]] @ [u]) @ U
proof (intro cong-append seqIΛP)
  show Arr (standard-development u)
using 5 Std-standard-development Std-imp-Arr Ide-iff-standard-development-empty
  by force
  show Arr U
    using Std 3 by auto
  show Λ.Trq (last (standard-development u)) = Λ.Src (hd U)

```

```

    by (metis 3 5 Std Std-consE Trg-last-standard-development  $\Lambda$ .seq-char
         $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-seq)
  show standard-development  $u \sim^* [\lambda[M]] @ [u]$ 
    using M 5 Std Std-imp-Arr cong-standard-development [of  $u$ ]
        cong-append-ideI(3) [of  $[\lambda[M]] [u]$ ]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Arr.simps(2) Ide.simps(2) arr-char ide-char
         $\Lambda$ .Ide.simps(3)  $\Lambda$ .arr-char  $\Lambda$ .ide-char prfx-transitive seq seq-def
        sources-cons)
  show  $U \sim^* U$ 
    by (simp add:  $\langle \text{Arr } U \rangle$  arr-char prfx-reflexive)
qed
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
  show Std (stdz-insert  $\lambda[M]$  ( $u \# U$ ))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 3 M Std Std-consE append-Cons
        append-eq-append-conv2 append-self-conv arr-append-imp-seq ind1
        list.sel(1) list.sel(3) not-Cons-self2 seq seq-def)
  show  $\neg \text{Ide } (\lambda[M] \# u \# U) \longrightarrow \text{stdz-insert } \lambda[M] (u \# U) \sim^* \lambda[M] \# u \# U$ 
  proof
    have seq [ $u$ ]  $U \wedge \text{Std } U$ 
      using 2 3 Std
    by (metis Cons-eq-appendI Std-consE arr-append-imp-seq neg-Nil-conv
        self-append-conv2 seq seqE)
    thus stdz-insert  $\lambda[M]$  ( $u \# U$ )  $\sim^* \lambda[M] \# u \# U$ 
      using M 1 2 3 4 ind1 cong-cons-ideI(1) [of  $\lambda[M]$   $u \# U$ ]
      apply simp
      by (meson cong-transitive seq)
  qed
qed
qed
qed
next
assume M:  $\neg \Lambda$ .Ide M
have 1: stdz-insert  $\lambda[M]$  ( $u \# U$ ) =
    map  $\Lambda$ .Lam (stdz-insert M ( $\Lambda$ .un-Lam  $u \# \text{map } \Lambda$ .un-Lam  $U$ ))
  using M by simp
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
  show Std (stdz-insert  $\lambda[M]$  ( $u \# U$ ))
    by (metis 1 M Std Std-map-Lam Std-map-un-Lam ind2  $\Lambda$ .lambda.disc(8)
        list.simps(9) seq seq-Lam-Arr-implies seq-map-un-Lam)
  show  $\neg \text{Ide } (\lambda[M] \# u \# U) \longrightarrow \text{stdz-insert } \lambda[M] (u \# U) \sim^* \lambda[M] \# u \# U$ 
  proof
    have map  $\Lambda$ .Lam (stdz-insert M ( $\Lambda$ .un-Lam  $u \# \text{map } \Lambda$ .un-Lam  $U$ ))  $\sim^*$ 
         $\lambda[M] \# u \# U$ 
    proof -
      have map  $\Lambda$ .Lam (stdz-insert M ( $\Lambda$ .un-Lam  $u \# \text{map } \Lambda$ .un-Lam  $U$ ))  $\sim^*$ 
          map  $\Lambda$ .Lam (M  $\# \Lambda$ .un-Lam  $u \# \text{map } \Lambda$ .un-Lam  $U$ )
      by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) Ide-imp-Ide-hd M Std Std-map-un-Lam

```



```

      cong-map-Lam ind2  $\Lambda$ .ide-char  $\Lambda$ .lambda.discI(2)
      list.sel(1) list.simps(9) seq seq-Lam-Arr-implies seq-map-un-Lam)
    thus ?thesis
      using u U
      by (simp add: map-idI subset-code(1))
    qed
  thus stdz-insert  $\lambda[M]$  (u # U) *~*  $\lambda[M]$  # u # U
    using 1 by presburger
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  show  $\bigwedge M N A B U. ?P (\lambda[M] \bullet N) U \implies ?P (\lambda[M] \circ N) ((\lambda[A] \bullet B) \# U)$ 
  proof -
    fix M N A B U
    assume ind: ?P ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) U
    show ?P ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)
    proof (intro impI, elim conjE)
      assume seq: seq [ $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ] (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)
      assume Std: Std (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)
      have MN:  $\Lambda$ .Arr M  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .Arr N
        using seq
        by (simp add: seq-char)
      have AB:  $\Lambda$ .Trg M = A  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .Trg N = B
    proof -
      have 1:  $\Lambda$ .Ide A  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .Ide B
        using Std
        by (metis Std.simps(2) Std.simps(3)  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction.simps(5)
            list.exhaust-sel  $\Lambda$ .sseq-Beta)
      moreover have Trgs [ $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ] = Srcs [ $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ]
        using 1 seq seq-char
        by (simp add:  $\Lambda$ .Ide-implies-Arr Srcs-simp $_{\Lambda P}$ )
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (metis  $\Lambda$ .Ide-iff-Src-self  $\Lambda$ .Ide-implies-Arr  $\Lambda$ .Src.simps(5) Srcs-simp $_{\Lambda P}$ 
             $\Lambda$ .Trg.simps(2-3) Trgs-simp $_{\Lambda P}$   $\Lambda$ .lambda.inject(2)  $\Lambda$ .lambda.sel(3-4)
            last.simps list.sel(1) seq-char seq the-elem-eq)
    qed
  qed
  have 1: stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U) = stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) U
    by auto
  show Std (stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U))  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\neg$  Ide (( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)  $\longrightarrow$ 
      stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U) *~* ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)
  proof (cases U = [])
    assume U: U = []
    have 1: stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U) =
      standard-development ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ )
      using U by simp
    show ?thesis

```

```

proof (intro conjI)
  show Std (stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U))
    using 1 Std-standard-development by presburger
  show  $\neg$  Ide (( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)  $\longrightarrow$ 
    stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)  $\sim^*$  ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U
  proof (intro impI)
    assume 2:  $\neg$  Ide (( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)
    have stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U) =
      ( $\lambda[\Lambda.\text{Src } M] \bullet \Lambda.\text{Src } N$ ) # standard-development ( $\Lambda.\text{subst } N M$ )
    using 1 MN by simp
    also have ...  $\sim^*$  [ $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ]
    using MN AB cong-standard-development
    by (metis 1 calculation  $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(5)$   $\Lambda.\text{Ide.simps}(5)$ )
    also have [ $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ]  $\sim^*$  ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U
    using AB MN U Beta-decomp(2) [of M N] by simp
    finally show stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)  $\sim^*$ 
      ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U
    by blast
  qed
qed
next
assume U: U  $\neq$  []
have 1: stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U) = stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) U
  using U by simp
have 2: seq [ $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ] U
  using MN AB U Std  $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-seq}$ 
  apply (intro seqI $_{\Lambda P}$ )
  apply auto
  by fastforce
have 3: Std U
  using Std by fastforce
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
  show Std (stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U))
    using 2 3 ind by simp
  show  $\neg$  Ide (( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)  $\longrightarrow$ 
    stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)  $\sim^*$  ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U
  proof
    have stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)  $\sim^*$  [ $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ] @ U
    by (metis 1 2 3  $\Lambda.\text{Ide.simps}(5)$  U Ide.simps(3) append.left-neutral
      append-Cons  $\Lambda.\text{ide-char ind list.exhaust}$ )
    also have [ $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ] @ U  $\sim^*$  ([ $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ] @ [ $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ]) @ U
    using MN AB Beta-decomp
    by (meson 2 cong-append cong-reflexive seqE)
    also have ([ $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ] @ [ $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ]) @ U = ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U
    by simp
    finally show stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) (( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U)  $\sim^*$ 
      ( $\lambda[M] \circ N$ ) # ( $\lambda[A] \bullet B$ ) # U
    by argo

```

```

    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
show  $\bigwedge M N u U. (\Lambda.Arr M \wedge \Lambda.Arr N \implies ?P (\Lambda.subst N M) (u \# U))$ 
     $\implies ?P (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U)$ 
proof -
  fix  $M N u U$ 
  assume  $ind: \Lambda.Arr M \wedge \Lambda.Arr N \implies ?P (\Lambda.subst N M) (u \# U)$ 
  show  $?P (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U)$ 
  proof (intro impI, elim conjE)
    assume  $seq: seq [\lambda[M] \bullet N] (u \# U)$ 
    assume  $Std: Std (u \# U)$ 
    have  $MN: \Lambda.Arr M \wedge \Lambda.Arr N$ 
      using  $seq seq-char$  by simp
    show  $Std (stdz-insert (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U)) \wedge$ 
       $(\neg Ide (\Lambda.Beta M N \# u \# U) \longrightarrow$ 
         $cong (stdz-insert (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U)) ((\lambda[M] \bullet N) \# u \# U))$ 
  proof (cases  $\Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.subst N M)$ )
    assume  $1: \Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.subst N M)$ 
    have  $2: \neg Ide (u \# U)$ 
      using  $Std Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction \Lambda.elementary-reduction-not-ide$ 
      by force
    have  $3: stdz-insert (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U) = (\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N) \# stdz-insert u U$ 
      using  $MN 1 seq seq-char Std stdz-insert-Ide-Std [of \Lambda.subst N M u \# U]$ 
       $\Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr$ 
      by (cases  $U = []$ ) auto
    show  $?thesis$ 
  proof (cases  $U = []$ )
    assume  $U: U = []$ 
    have  $3: stdz-insert (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U) =$ 
       $(\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N) \# standard-development u$ 
      using  $2 3 U$  by force
    have  $4: \Lambda.seq (\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N) (hd (standard-development u))$ 
  proof
    show  $\Lambda.Arr (\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N)$ 
      using  $MN$  by simp
    show  $\Lambda.Arr (hd (standard-development u))$ 
      by (metis  $2 Arr-imp-arr-hd Ide.simps(2) Ide-iff-standard-development-empty$ 
         $Std Std-consE Std-imp-Arr Std-standard-development U \Lambda.arr-char$ 
         $\Lambda.ide-char$ )
    show  $\Lambda.Trq (\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N) = \Lambda.Src (hd (standard-development u))$ 
      by (metis  $1 2 Ide.simps(2) MN Src-hd-standard-development Std Std-consE$ 
         $Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI U \Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self \Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr \Lambda.Src-Subst$ 
         $\Lambda.Trq.simps(4) \Lambda.Trq-Src \Lambda.Trq-Subst \Lambda.ide-char last-ConsL list.sel(1) seq$ )
  qed
  show  $?thesis$ 
  proof (intro conjI)

```

show Std ($stdz\text{-insert } (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U)$)
proof –
have $\Lambda.sseq$ ($\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N$) (hd ($standard\text{-development } u$))
using MN 2 4 U $\Lambda.Ide\text{-Src}$
apply ($intro$ $\Lambda.sseq\text{-BetaI}$)
apply $auto$
by ($metis$ $Ide.simps(1)$ $Resid.simps(2)$ Std $Std\text{-consE}$
 $Std\text{-standard-development}$ $cong\text{-standard-development}$ $hd\text{-Cons-tl}$ $ide\text{-char}$
 $\Lambda.sseq\text{-imp-elementary-reduction1}$ $Std.simps(2)$)
thus $?thesis$
by ($metis$ 3 $Std.simps(2-3)$ $Std\text{-standard-development}$ $hd\text{-Cons-tl}$
 $\Lambda.sseq\text{-imp-elementary-reduction1}$)
qed
show $\neg Ide$ ($(\lambda[M] \bullet N) \# u \# U$)
 $\rightarrow stdz\text{-insert } (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U) \text{ *~* } (\lambda[M] \bullet N) \# u \# U$
proof
have $stdz\text{-insert } (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U) =$
 $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N] @ standard\text{-development } u$
using 3 **by** $simp$
also have 5: $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N] @ standard\text{-development } u \text{ *~* }$
 $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N] @ [u]$
proof ($intro$ $cong\text{-append}$)
show seq $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N]$ ($standard\text{-development } u$)
by ($metis$ 2 3 $Ide.simps(2)$ $Ide\text{-iff-standard-development-empty}$
 Std $Std\text{-consE}$ $Std\text{-imp-Arr}$ U $\langle Std$ ($stdz\text{-insert } (\Lambda.Beta M N) (u \# U) \rangle$
 $arr\text{-append-imp-seq}$ $arr\text{-char}$ $calculation$ $\Lambda.ide\text{-char}$ $neg\text{-Nil-conv}$)
thus $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N] \text{ *~* } [\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N]$
using $cong\text{-reflexive}$ **by** $blast$
show $standard\text{-development } u \text{ *~* } [u]$
by ($metis$ 2 $Arr.simps(2)$ $Ide.simps(2)$ Std $Std\text{-imp-Arr}$ U
 $cong\text{-standard-development}$ $\Lambda.arr\text{-char}$ $\Lambda.ide\text{-char}$ $not\text{-Cons-self2}$)
qed
also have $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N] @ [u] \text{ *~* }$
 $([\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N] @ [\Lambda.subst N M]) @ [u]$
proof ($intro$ $cong\text{-append}$)
show seq $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N]$ $[u]$
by ($metis$ 5 $Con\text{-implies-Arr}(1)$ $Ide.simps(1)$ $arr\text{-append-imp-seq}$
 $arr\text{-char}$ $ide\text{-char}$ $not\text{-Cons-self2}$)
show $[\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N] \text{ *~* } [\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N] @ [\Lambda.subst N M]$
by ($metis$ ($full\text{-types}$) 1 MN $Ide\text{-iff-standard-development-empty}$
 $cong\text{-standard-development}$ $cong\text{-transitive}$ $\Lambda.Arr.simps(5)$ $\Lambda.Arr\text{-Subst}$
 $\Lambda.Ide.simps(5)$ $Beta\text{-decomp}(1)$ $standard\text{-development.simps}(5)$)
show $[u] \text{ *~* } [u]$
using $Resid\text{-Arr-self}$ Std $Std\text{-imp-Arr}$ U $ide\text{-char}$ **by** $blast$
qed
also have $([\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N] @ [\Lambda.subst N M]) @ [u] \text{ *~* } [\lambda[M] \bullet N] @ [u]$
by ($metis$ $Beta\text{-decomp}(1)$ MN U $Resid\text{-Arr-self}$ $cong\text{-append}$
 $ide\text{-char}$ $seq\text{-char}$ seq)
also have $[\lambda[M] \bullet N] @ [u] = (\lambda[M] \bullet N) \# u \# U$

```

    using U by simp
    finally show stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) ( $u \# U$ )  $\sim^*$  ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ )  $\# u \# U$ 
    by blast
  qed
next
assume U:  $U \neq []$ 
have 4: seq [u] U
  by (simp add: Std U arrIP arr-append-imp-seq)
have 5: Std U
  using Std by auto
have 6: Std (stdz-insert u U)  $\wedge$ 
  set (stdz-insert u U)  $\subseteq$  {a.  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction a}  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\neg$  Ide ( $u \# U$ )  $\longrightarrow$ 
  cong (stdz-insert u U) ( $u \# U$ ))
proof -
  have seq [ $\Lambda$ .subst N M] ( $u \# U$ )  $\wedge$  Std ( $u \# U$ )
  using MN Std Std-imp-Arr  $\Lambda$ .Arr-Subst
  apply (intro conjI seqI $_{\Lambda P}$ )
  apply simp-all
  by (metis Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI  $\Lambda$ .Trg.simps(4) last-ConsL list.sel(1) seq)
thus ?thesis
  using MN 1 2 3 4 5 ind Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction
  stdz-insert-Ide-Std
  apply simp
  by (meson cong-cons-ideI(1) cong-transitive lambda-calculus.ide-char)
qed
have 7:  $\Lambda$ .seq ( $\lambda[\Lambda$ .Src M]  $\bullet$   $\Lambda$ .Src N) (hd (stdz-insert u U))
  using MN 1 2 6 Arr-imp-arr-hd Con-implies-Arr(2) ide-char  $\Lambda$ .arr-char
  Ide-iff-standard-development-empty Src-hd-eqI Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI
  Trg-last-standard-development  $\Lambda$ .Ide-implies-Arr seq
  apply (intro  $\Lambda$ .seqI $_{\Lambda}$ )
  apply simp
  apply (metis Ide.simps(1))
by (metis  $\Lambda$ .Arr.simps(5)  $\Lambda$ .Ide.simps(5) last.simps standard-development.simps(5))
have 8: seq [ $\lambda[\Lambda$ .Src M]  $\bullet$   $\Lambda$ .Src N] (stdz-insert u U)
  by (metis 2 6 7 seqI $_{\Lambda P}$  Arr.simps(2) Con-implies-Arr(2)
  Ide.simps(1) ide-char last.simps  $\Lambda$ .seqE  $\Lambda$ .seq-char)
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
  show Std (stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) ( $u \# U$ ))
  proof -
    have  $\Lambda$ .sseq ( $\lambda[\Lambda$ .Src M]  $\bullet$   $\Lambda$ .Src N) (hd (stdz-insert u U))
    by (metis MN 2 6 7  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Src Std.elims(2) Ide.simps(1)
    Resid.simps(2) ide-char list.sel(1)  $\Lambda$ .sseq-BetaI
     $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis 2 3 6 Std.simps(3) Resid.simps(1) con-char prfx-implies-con
  list.exhaust-sel)

```

qed
show $\neg \text{Ide } ((\lambda[M] \bullet N) \# u \# U)$
 $\longrightarrow \text{stdz-insert } (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U) \sim^* (\lambda[M] \bullet N) \# u \# U$
proof
have $\text{stdz-insert } (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U) = [\lambda[\Lambda.\text{Src } M] \bullet \Lambda.\text{Src } N] @ \text{stdz-insert } u \# U$
using 3 **by** *simp*
also have $\dots \sim^* [\lambda[\Lambda.\text{Src } M] \bullet \Lambda.\text{Src } N] @ u \# U$
using MN 2 3 6 8 *cong-append*
by (*meson cong-reflexive seqE*)
also have $[\lambda[\Lambda.\text{Src } M] \bullet \Lambda.\text{Src } N] @ u \# U \sim^*$
 $([\lambda[\Lambda.\text{Src } M] \bullet \Lambda.\text{Src } N] @ [\Lambda.\text{subst } N M]) @ u \# U$
using MN 1 2 6 8 *Beta-decomp(1) Std Src-hd-eqI Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI*
 $\Lambda.\text{Arr-Subst } \Lambda.\text{ide-char ide-char}$
apply (*intro cong-append cong-append-ideI seqI_{\Lambda P}*)
apply *auto*[2]
apply *metis*
apply *auto*[4]
by (*metis cong-transitive*)
also have $([\lambda[\Lambda.\text{Src } M] \bullet \Lambda.\text{Src } N] @ [\Lambda.\text{subst } N M]) @ u \# U \sim^*$
 $[\lambda[M] \bullet N] @ u \# U$
by (*meson MN 2 6 Beta-decomp(1) cong-append prfx-transitive seq*)
also have $[\lambda[M] \bullet N] @ u \# U = (\lambda[M] \bullet N) \# u \# U$
by *simp*
finally show $\text{stdz-insert } (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U) \sim^* (\lambda[M] \bullet N) \# u \# U$
by *simp*
qed
qed
qed
next
assume 1: $\neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } (\Lambda.\text{subst } N M)$
have 2: $\text{stdz-insert } (\lambda[M] \bullet N) (u \# U) =$
 $(\lambda[\Lambda.\text{Src } M] \bullet \Lambda.\text{Src } N) \# \text{stdz-insert } (\Lambda.\text{subst } N M) (u \# U)$
using 1 MN **by** *simp*
have 3: $\text{seq } [\Lambda.\text{subst } N M] (u \# U)$
using $\Lambda.\text{Arr-Subst } MN \text{ seq-char seq}$ **by** *force*
have 4: $\text{Std } (\text{stdz-insert } (\Lambda.\text{subst } N M) (u \# U)) \wedge$
 $\text{set } (\text{stdz-insert } (\Lambda.\text{subst } N M) (u \# U)) \subseteq \{a. \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } a\} \wedge$
 $\text{stdz-insert } (\Lambda.\text{Subst } 0 N M) (u \# U) \sim^* \Lambda.\text{subst } N M \# u \# U$
using 1 3 *Std ind MN Ide.simps(3) \Lambda.ide-char*
 $\text{Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction}$
by *presburger*
have 5: $\Lambda.\text{seq } (\lambda[\Lambda.\text{Src } M] \bullet \Lambda.\text{Src } N) (\text{hd } (\text{stdz-insert } (\Lambda.\text{subst } N M) (u \# U)))$
using MN 4
apply (*intro \Lambda.seqI_{\Lambda}*)
apply *simp*
apply (*metis Arr-imp-arr-hd Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) ide-char \Lambda.arr-char*)
using *Src-hd-eqI*
by *force*
show ?thesis

```

proof (intro conjI)
  show Std (stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) (u # U))
  proof –
    have  $\Lambda.sseq$  ( $\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N$ ) (hd (stdz-insert ( $\Lambda.subst N M$ ) (u # U)))
      using 5
    by (metis 4 MN  $\Lambda.Ide-Src$  Std.elims(2) Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(2)
      ide-char list.sel(1)  $\Lambda.sseq$ -BetaI  $\Lambda.sseq$ -imp-elementary-reduction1)
    thus ?thesis
    by (metis 2 4 Std.simps(3) Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(2)
      Ide.simps(1) ide-char list.exhaust-sel)
  qed
show  $\neg$  Ide (( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) # u # U)
   $\longrightarrow$  stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) (u # U)  $\sim^*$  ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) # u # U
proof
  have stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) (u # U) =
    [ $\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N$ ] @ stdz-insert ( $\Lambda.subst N M$ ) (u # U)
  using 2 by simp
  also have ...  $\sim^*$  [ $\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N$ ] @  $\Lambda.subst N M$  # u # U
  proof (intro cong-append)
    show seq [ $\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N$ ] (stdz-insert ( $\Lambda.subst N M$ ) (u # U))
      by (metis 4 5 Arr.simps(2) Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) ide-char
         $\Lambda.arr$ -char  $\Lambda.seq$ -char last-ConsL seqI $_{\Lambda P}$ )
    show [ $\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N$ ]  $\sim^*$  [ $\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N$ ]
      by (meson MN cong-transitive  $\Lambda.Arr$ -Src Beta-decomp(1))
    show stdz-insert ( $\Lambda.subst N M$ ) (u # U)  $\sim^*$   $\Lambda.subst N M$  # u # U
      using 4 by fastforce
  qed
  also have [ $\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N$ ] @  $\Lambda.subst N M$  # u # U =
    ([ $\lambda[\Lambda.Src M] \bullet \Lambda.Src N$ ] @ [ $\Lambda.subst N M$ ]) @ u # U
  by simp
  also have ...  $\sim^*$  [ $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ] @ u # U
  by (meson Beta-decomp(1) MN cong-append cong-reflexive seqE seq)
  also have [ $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ] @ u # U = ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) # u # U
  by simp
  finally show stdz-insert ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) (u # U)  $\sim^*$  ( $\lambda[M] \bullet N$ ) # u # U
  by blast
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

Because of the way the function package processes the pattern matching in the definition of *stdz-insert*, it produces eight separate subgoals for the remainder of the proof, even though these subgoals are all simple consequences of a single, more general fact. We first prove this fact, then use it to discharge the eight subgoals.

```

have *:  $\bigwedge M N u U.$ 
  [ $\neg$  ( $\Lambda.is$ -Lam  $M \wedge \Lambda.is$ -Beta  $u$ );
   $\Lambda.Ide$  ( $M \circ N$ )  $\implies$  ?P (hd (u # U)) (tl (u # U));

```

```

[[¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N);
  Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd (u # U));
  Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  Λ.Ide (Λ.resid (M ◦ N) (Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)))]
  ⇒ ?P (hd (u # U)) (tl (u # U));
[[¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N);
  Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd (u # U));
  Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  ¬ Λ.Ide (Λ.resid (M ◦ N) (Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)))]
  ⇒ ?P (Λ.resid (M ◦ N) (Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N))) (u # U);
[[¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N);
  Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd (u # U));
  ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  Λ.contains-head-reduction (hd (u # U));
  Λ.Ide (Λ.resid (M ◦ N) (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)))]
  ⇒ ?P (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)) (tl (u # U));
[[¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N);
  Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd (u # U));
  ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  Λ.contains-head-reduction (hd (u # U));
  ¬ Λ.Ide (Λ.resid (M ◦ N) (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)))]
  ⇒ ?P (Λ.resid (M ◦ N) (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N))) (tl (u # U));
[[¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N);
  Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd (u # U));
  ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (hd (u # U)))]
  ⇒ ?P M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)));
[[¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N);
  Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd (u # U));
  ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (hd (u # U)))]
  ⇒ ?P N (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)));
⇒ ?P (M ◦ N) (u # U)

```

proof –

fix $M N u U$

assume $ind1$: $\Lambda.Ide (M \circ N) \implies ?P (hd (u \# U)) (tl (u \# U))$

assume $ind2$: $[[\neg \Lambda.Ide (M \circ N);$
 $\Lambda.seq (M \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\Lambda.contains-head-reduction (M \circ N);$
 $\Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.resid (M \circ N) (\Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N)))]$
 $\implies ?P (hd (u \# U)) (tl (u \# U))$

assume $ind3$: $[[\neg \Lambda.Ide (M \circ N);$
 $\Lambda.seq (M \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\Lambda.contains-head-reduction (M \circ N);$
 $\neg \Lambda.Ide (\Lambda.resid (M \circ N) (\Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N)))]$
 $\implies ?P (\Lambda.resid (M \circ N) (\Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N))) (u \# U)$

assume $ind4$: $[[\neg \Lambda.Ide (M \circ N);$
 $\Lambda.seq (M \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (M \circ N);$


```

       $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd (u # U));
       $\Lambda$ .Ide ( $\Lambda$ .resid (M  $\circ$  N) ( $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N)))
       $\implies$  ?P ( $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N)) (tl (u # U))
assume ind5:  $\llbracket \neg \Lambda$ .Ide (M  $\circ$  N);
       $\Lambda$ .seq (M  $\circ$  N) (hd (u # U));
       $\neg \Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M  $\circ$  N);
       $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd (u # U));
       $\neg \Lambda$ .Ide ( $\Lambda$ .resid (M  $\circ$  N) ( $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N)))
       $\implies$  ?P ( $\Lambda$ .resid (M  $\circ$  N) ( $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N))) (tl (u # U))
assume ind7:  $\llbracket \neg \Lambda$ .Ide (M  $\circ$  N);
       $\Lambda$ .seq (M  $\circ$  N) (hd (u # U));
       $\neg \Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M  $\circ$  N);
       $\neg \Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd (u # U))
       $\implies$  ?P M (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)))
assume ind8:  $\llbracket \neg \Lambda$ .Ide (M  $\circ$  N);
       $\Lambda$ .seq (M  $\circ$  N) (hd (u # U));
       $\neg \Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M  $\circ$  N);
       $\neg \Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd (u # U))
       $\implies$  ?P N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U)))
assume *:  $\neg$  ( $\Lambda$ .is-Lam M  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .is-Beta u)
show ?P (M  $\circ$  N) (u # U)
proof (intro impI, elim conjE)
  assume seq: seq [M  $\circ$  N] (u # U)
  assume Std: Std (u # U)
  have MN:  $\Lambda$ .Arr M  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .Arr N
    using seq-char seq by force
  have u:  $\Lambda$ .Arr u
    using Std
    by (meson Std-imp-Arr Arr.simps(2) Con-Arr-self Con-implies-Arr(1)
      Con-initial-left  $\Lambda$ .arr-char list.simps(3))
  have U  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  Arr U
    using Std Std-imp-Arr Arr.simps(3)
    by (metis Arr.elims(3) list.discI)
  have  $\Lambda$ .is-App u  $\vee$   $\Lambda$ .is-Beta u
    using * seq MN u seq-char  $\Lambda$ .arr-char Srcs-simp $_{\Lambda P}$   $\Lambda$ .targets-char $_{\Lambda}$ 
    by (cases M; cases u) auto
  have **:  $\Lambda$ .seq (M  $\circ$  N) u
    using Srcs-simp $_{\Lambda P}$  seq-char seq  $\Lambda$ .seq-def u by force
  show Std (stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u # U))  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\neg$  Ide ((M  $\circ$  N) # u # U)
       $\longrightarrow$  cong (stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u # U)) ((M  $\circ$  N) # u # U))
proof (cases  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M  $\circ$  N))
  assume 1:  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M  $\circ$  N)
  have MN:  $\Lambda$ .Arr M  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .Arr N  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .Ide M  $\wedge$   $\Lambda$ .Ide N
    using MN 1 by simp
  have 2: stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u # U) = stdz-insert u U
    using MN 1
    by (simp add: Std seq stdz-insert-Ide-Std)
  show ?thesis

```

```

proof (cases U = [])
  assume U: U = []
  have 2: stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) = standard-development u
    using 1 2 U by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro conjI)
    show Std (stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U))
      using 2 Std-standard-development by presburger
    show ¬ Ide ((M ◦ N) # u # U) →
      stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) *~* (M ◦ N) # u # U
      by (metis 1 2 Ide.simps(2) U cong-cons-ideI(1) cong-standard-development
        ide-backward-stable ide-char Λ.ide-char prfx-transitive seq u)
  qed
  next
  assume U: U ≠ []
  have 2: stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) = stdz-insert u U
    using 1 2 U by simp
  have 3: seq [u] U
    by (simp add: Std U arrIP arr-append-imp-seq)
  have 4: Std (stdz-insert u U) ∧
    set (stdz-insert u U) ⊆ {a. Λ.elementary-reduction a} ∧
    (¬ Ide (u # U) → cong (stdz-insert u U) (u # U))
    using MN 3 Std ind1 Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction
    by (metis 1 Std.simps(3) U list.sel(1) list.sel(3) standardize.cases)
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro conjI)
    show Std (stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U))
      by (metis 1 2 3 Std Std.simps(3) U ind1 list.exhaust-sel list.sel(1,3))
    show ¬ Ide ((M ◦ N) # u # U) →
      stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) *~* (M ◦ N) # u # U
  proof
    assume 5: ¬ Ide ((M ◦ N) # u # U)
    have stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) *~* u # U
      using 1 2 4 5 seq-char seq by force
    also have u # U *~* [M ◦ N] @ u # U
      using 1 Ide.simps(2) cong-append-ideI(1) ide-char seq by blast
    also have [M ◦ N] @ (u # U) = (M ◦ N) # u # U
      by simp
    finally show stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) *~* (M ◦ N) # u # U
      by blast
  qed
  qed
  next
  assume 1: ¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N))
    assume 2: Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N)
    show ?thesis

```

```

proof (cases  $\Lambda.Ide ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N))$ )
assume  $\exists: \Lambda.Ide ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N))$ 
have  $4: \neg Ide (u \# U)$ 
  by (metis Std Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction-in-mono
     $\Lambda.elementary-reduction-not-ide$  list.set-intros(1) mem-Collect-eq
    set-Ide-subset-ide)
have  $5: stdz-insert (M \circ N) (u \# U) = \Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N) \# stdz-insert u U$ 
  using MN 1 2 3 4 ** by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases  $U = []$ )
  assume  $U: U = []$ 
  have  $u: \Lambda.Arr u \wedge \neg \Lambda.Ide u$ 
    using 4 U u by force
  have  $5: stdz-insert (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$ 
     $\Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N) \# standard-development u$ 
    using 5 U by simp
  show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
  show Std (stdz-insert (M \circ N) (u \# U))
  proof -
    have  $\Lambda.sseq (\Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N)) (hd (standard-development u))$ 
    proof -
      have  $\Lambda.seq (\Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N)) (hd (standard-development u))$ 
      proof
        show  $\Lambda.Arr (\Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N))$ 
        using MN  $\Lambda.Arr.simps(4)$   $\Lambda.Arr-head-redex$  by presburger
        show  $\Lambda.Arr (hd (standard-development u))$ 
        using Arr-imp-arr-hd Ide-iff-standard-development-empty
          Std-standard-development u
        by force
      show  $\Lambda.Trq (\Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N)) = \Lambda.Src (hd (standard-development u))$ 
      proof -
        have  $\Lambda.Trq (\Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N)) =$ 
           $\Lambda.Trq ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-redex (M \circ N))$ 
        by (metis 3 MN  $\Lambda.Con-Arr-head-redex$   $\Lambda.Src-resid$ 
           $\Lambda.Arr.simps(4)$   $\Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self$   $\Lambda.Ide-iff-Trq-self$ 
           $\Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr$ )
        also have  $\dots = \Lambda.Src u$ 
        using MN
        by (metis Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI Trg-last-eqI head-redex-decomp
           $\Lambda.Arr.simps(4)$  last-ConsL last-appendR list.sel(1)
          not-Cons-self2 seq)
        also have  $\dots = \Lambda.Src (hd (standard-development u))$ 
        using ** 2 3 u MN Src-hd-standard-development [of u] by metis
        finally show ?thesis by blast
      qed
    qed
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis 2 u MN  $\Lambda.Arr.simps(4)$  Ide-iff-standard-development-empty

```

development.simps(2) development-standard-development
Λ.head-redex-is-head-reduction list.exhaust-sel
Λ.sseq-head-reductionI)

qed

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis 5 Ide-iff-standard-development-empty Std.simps(3)*
Std-standard-development list.exhaust u)

qed

show $\neg \text{Ide } ((M \circ N) \# u \# U) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) \text{ }^* \sim^* (M \circ N) \# u \# U$

proof

have $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$
 $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] \text{ @ standard-development } u$

using 5 **by** *simp*

also have ... $^* \sim^* [\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] \text{ @ } [u]$

using *u cong-standard-development [of u] cong-append*

by (*metis 2 5 Ide-iff-standard-development-empty Std-imp-Arr*
 $\langle \text{Std } (\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U)) \rangle$
arr-append-imp-seq arr-char calculation cong-standard-development
cong-transitive Λ.Arr-head-redex Λ.contains-head-reduction-iff
list.distinct(1))

also have $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] \text{ @ } [u] \text{ }^* \sim^*$
 $([\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] \text{ @ } [(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)]) \text{ @ } [u]$

proof –

have $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] \text{ }^* \sim^*$
 $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] \text{ @ } [(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)]$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 1 3 MN Arr-iff-Con-self Ide.simps(2)*
Resid.simps(2) arr-append-imp-seq arr-char cong-append-ideI(4)
cong-transitive head-redex-decomp ide-backward-stable ide-char
 $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4) \Lambda.\text{ide-char not-Cons-self2}$)

thus *?thesis*

using *MN U u seq*

by (*meson cong-append head-redex-decomp Λ.Arr.simps(4) prfx-transitive*)

qed

also have $([\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] \text{ @}$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)]) \text{ @ } [u] \text{ }^* \sim^*$
 $[M \circ N] \text{ @ } [u]$

by (*metis Λ.Arr.simps(4) MN U Resid-Arr-self cong-append ide-char*
seq-char head-redex-decomp seq)

also have $[M \circ N] \text{ @ } [u] = (M \circ N) \# u \# U$

using *U by simp*

finally show $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) \text{ }^* \sim^* (M \circ N) \# u \# U$
by *blast*

qed

qed

next

assume *U: U ≠ []*

have 6: $\text{Std } (\text{stdz-insert } u U) \wedge$
 $\text{set } (\text{stdz-insert } u U) \subseteq \{a. \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } a\} \wedge$

```

      cong (stdz-insert u U) (u # U)
proof –
  have seq [u] U
    by (simp add: Std U arrIP arr-append-imp-seq)
  moreover have Std U
    using Std Std.elims(2) U by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using ind2 ** 1 2 3 4 Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction
    by force
qed
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
  show Std (stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U))
  proof –
    have Λ.sseq (Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)) (hd (stdz-insert u U))
    proof –
      have Λ.seq (Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)) (hd (stdz-insert u U))
      proof
        show Λ.Arr (Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N))
          using MN Λ.Arr-head-redex by force
        show Λ.Arr (hd (stdz-insert u U))
          using 6
          by (metis Arr-imp-arr-hd Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1) ide-char
              Λ.arr-char)
        show Λ.Trig (Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)) = Λ.Src (hd (stdz-insert u U))
        proof –
          have Λ.Trig (Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)) =
            Λ.Trig ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N))
          by (metis 3 Λ.Arr-not-Nil Λ.Ide-iff-Src-self
              Λ.Ide-iff-Trig-self Λ.Ide-implies-Arr Λ.Src-resid)
          also have ... = Λ.Trig (M ◦ N)
            by (metis 1 MN Trig-last-eqI Trig-last-standard-development
                cong-standard-development head-redex-decomp Λ.Arr.simps(4)
                last-snoc)
          also have ... = Λ.Src (hd (stdz-insert u U))
            by (metis ** 6 Src-hd-eqI Λ.seqEΛ list.sel(1))
          finally show ?thesis by blast
        qed
      qed
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis 2 6 MN Λ.Arr.simps(4) Std.elims(1) Ide.simps(1)
          Resid.simps(2) ide-char Λ.head-redex-is-head-reduction
          list.sel(1) Λ.sseq-head-reductionI Λ.sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1)
    qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis 5 6 Std.simps(3) Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(1)
        con-char prfx-implies-con list.exhaust-sel)
  qed
show ¬ Ide ((M ◦ N) # u # U) →

```

$stdz\text{-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) \text{ *}\sim\text{* } (M \circ N) \# u \# U$

proof

have $stdz\text{-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$
 $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] @ stdz\text{-insert } u \ U$

using 5 *by simp*

also have 7: $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] @ stdz\text{-insert } u \ U \text{ *}\sim\text{*}$
 $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] @ u \# U$

using 6 *cong-append [of $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] stdz\text{-insert } u \ U$
 $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] u \# U$]*

by (*metis* 2 5 *Arr.simps(1) Resid.simps(2) Std-imp-Arr*
 $\langle Std (stdz\text{-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U)) \rangle$
arr-append-imp-seq arr-char calculation cong-standard-development
cong-transitive ide-implies-arr $\Lambda.\text{Arr-head-redex}$
 $\Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction-iff list.distinct(1)}$)

also have $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] @ u \# U \text{ *}\sim\text{*}$
 $([\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] @$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)]) @ u \# U$

proof –

have $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] \text{ *}\sim\text{*}$
 $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] @ [(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)]$

by (*metis* 2 3 *head-redex-decomp $\Lambda.\text{Arr-head-redex}$*
 $\Lambda.\text{Con-Arr-head-redex } \Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Src-self } \Lambda.\text{Ide-implies-Arr}$
 $\Lambda.\text{Src-resid } \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction-iff } \Lambda.\text{resid-Arr-self}$
prfx-decomp prfx-transitive)

moreover have $seq [\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] (u \# U)$

by (*metis* 7 *arr-append-imp-seq cong-implies-coterminale coterminaleE*
list.distinct(1))

ultimately show *?thesis*

using 3 *ide-char cong-symmetric cong-append*

by (*meson* 6 *prfx-transitive*)

qed

also have $([\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] @$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)]) @ u \# U \text{ *}\sim\text{*}$
 $[M \circ N] @ u \# U$

by (*meson* 6 *MN $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps(4)$ cong-append prfx-transitive*
head-redex-decomp seq)

also have $[M \circ N] @ (u \# U) = (M \circ N) \# u \# U$

by *simp*

finally show $stdz\text{-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) \text{ *}\sim\text{* } (M \circ N) \# u \# U$

by *blast*

qed

qed

next

assume 3: $\neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N))$

have 4: $stdz\text{-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$
 $\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N) \#$
 $stdz\text{-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)) (u \# U)$

using *MN 1 2 3 ** by auto*

have 5: $Std (stdz\text{-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)) (u \# U)) \wedge$
 $set (stdz\text{-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)) (u \# U))$
 $\subseteq \{a. \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } a\} \wedge$
 $stdz\text{-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)) (u \# U) \sim^*$
 $(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N) \# u \# U$

proof –
have $seq [(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] (u \# U)$
by (*metis* (*full-types*) *MN* *arr-append-imp-seq* *cong-implies-coterminal*
coterminalE *head-redex-decomp* $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$ *not-Cons-self2*
seq seq-def *targets-append*)

thus *?thesis*
using *ind3 1 2 3 ** Std Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction*
by *auto*

qed
show *?thesis*

proof (*intro conjI*)
show $Std (stdz\text{-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U))$

proof –
have $\Lambda.sseq (\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N))$
 $(hd (stdz\text{-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)) (u \# U)))$

proof –
have $\Lambda.seq (\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N))$
 $(hd (stdz\text{-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)) (u \# U)))$
using *MN 5* $\Lambda.\text{Arr-head-redex}$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Arr-imp-arr-hd* *Con-implies-Arr(2)*
Ide.simps(1) *Src-hd-eqI* *ide-char* $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$ $\Lambda.\text{Arr-head-redex}$
 $\Lambda.\text{Con-Arr-head-redex}$ $\Lambda.\text{Src-resid}$ $\Lambda.\text{arr-char}$ $\Lambda.\text{seq-char}$ *list.sel(1)*)

moreover have $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction}$
 $(hd (stdz\text{-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N))$
 $(u \# U)))$

using 5
by (*metis* $\text{Arr.simps}(1)$ *Con-implies-Arr(2)* *Ide.simps(1)* *hd-in-set*
ide-char *mem-Collect-eq* *subset-code(1)*)

ultimately show *?thesis*
using *MN 2* $\Lambda.\text{head-redex-is-head-reduction}$ $\Lambda.sseq\text{-head-reductionI}$
by *simp*

qed
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis* 4 5 *Std.simps(3)* $\text{Arr.simps}(1)$ *Con-implies-Arr(2)*
Ide.simps(1) *ide-char* *list.exhaust-sel*)

qed
show $\neg Ide ((M \circ N) \# u \# U) \longrightarrow$
 $stdz\text{-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) \sim^* (M \circ N) \# u \# U$

proof
have $stdz\text{-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$
 $[\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] @$
 $stdz\text{-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)) (u \# U)$
using 4 **by** *simp*
also have ... $\sim^* [\Lambda.\text{head-redex } (M \circ N)] @$

```

      ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N) # u # U)
proof (intro cong-append)
  show seq [Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)]
    (stdz-insert ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)) (u # U))
  by (metis 4 5 Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(1) Std-imp-Arr
    ‹Std (stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U))› arrIP arr-append-imp-seq
    calculation ide-char list.discI)
  show [Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)] *~* [Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)]
  using MN Λ.cong-reflexive ide-char Λ.Arr-head-redex by force
  show stdz-insert ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)) (u # U) *~* (M ◦ N) \
    Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N) # u # U
  using 5 by fastforce
qed
also have ([Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)] @
  ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N) # u # U)) =
  ([Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)] @
  [(M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)]) @ (u # U)
  by simp
also have ([Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)] @
  [(M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)]) @ u # U *~*
  [M ◦ N] @ u # U
  by (meson ** cong-append cong-reflexive seqE head-redex-decomp
    seq Λ.seq-char)
also have [M ◦ N] @ (u # U) = (M ◦ N) # u # U
  by simp
finally show stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) *~* (M ◦ N) # u # U
  by blast
qed
qed
next
assume 2: ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N)
show ?thesis
proof (cases Λ.contains-head-reduction u)
  assume 3: Λ.contains-head-reduction u
  have B: [Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)] @ [(M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)] *~*
    [M ◦ N] @ [u]
proof –
  have [M ◦ N] @ [u] *~* [Λ.head-strategy (Λ.Src (M ◦ N)) ⊔ M ◦ N]
proof –
  have Λ.is-internal-reduction (M ◦ N)
  using 2 ** Λ.is-internal-reduction-iff by blast
  moreover have Λ.is-head-reduction u
proof –
  have Λ.elementary-reduction u
  by (metis Std lambda-calculus.sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1
    list.discI list.sel(1) reduction-paths.Std.elims(2))
  thus ?thesis
  using Λ.is-head-reduction-if 3 by force

```


qed
moreover have $\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N)) \setminus (M \circ N) = u$
using $\Lambda.resid\text{-}head\text{-}strategy\text{-}Src(1)$ **** calculation(1-2)** **by fastforce**
moreover have $[M \circ N] \text{*\lesssim*} [\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N)) \sqcup M \circ N]$
using MN $\Lambda.prfx\text{-}implies\text{-}con$ $ide\text{-}char$ $\Lambda.Arr\text{-}head\text{-}strategy$
 $\Lambda.Src\text{-}head\text{-}strategy$ $\Lambda.prfx\text{-}Join$
by force
ultimately show ?thesis
using u $\Lambda.Coinitial\text{-}iff\text{-}Con$ $\Lambda.Arr\text{-}not\text{-}Nil$ $\Lambda.resid\text{-}Join$
 $prfx\text{-}decomp$ $[of M \circ N \Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N)) \sqcup M \circ N]$
by simp
qed
also have $[\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N)) \sqcup M \circ N] \text{*\sim*}$
 $[\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N))] @$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N))]$
proof –
have $\exists: \Lambda.composite\text{-}of$
 $(\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N)))$
 $((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N)))$
 $(\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N)) \sqcup M \circ N)$
using $\Lambda.Arr\text{-}head\text{-}strategy MN$ $\Lambda.Src\text{-}head\text{-}strategy$ $\Lambda.join\text{-}of\text{-}Join$
 $\Lambda.join\text{-}of\text{-}def$
by force
hence composite-of
 $[\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N))]$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N))]$
 $[\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N)) \sqcup M \circ N]$
using composite-of-single-single
by $(metis (no\text{-}types, lifting) \Lambda.Con\text{-}sym Ide.simps(2) Resid.simps(3)$
 $composite\text{-}ofI \Lambda.composite\text{-}ofE \Lambda.con\text{-}char ide\text{-}char \Lambda.prfx\text{-}implies\text{-}con)$
hence $[\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N))] @$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N))] \text{*\sim*}$
 $[\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N)) \sqcup M \circ N]$
using $\Lambda.resid\text{-}Join$
by $(meson \exists composite\text{-}of\text{-}single\text{-}single composite\text{-}of\text{-}unq\text{-}upto\text{-}cong)$
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
also have $[\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N))] @$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (\Lambda.Src (M \circ N))] \text{*\sim*}$
 $[\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (M \circ N)] @$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (M \circ N)]$
by $(metis (full\text{-}types) \Lambda.Arr.simps(4) MN prfx\text{-}transitive\ calculation$
 $\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy\text{-}Src)$
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show ?thesis
proof $(cases \Lambda.Ide ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (M \circ N)))$
assume $4: \Lambda.Ide ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (M \circ N))$
have $A: [\Lambda.head\text{-}strategy (M \circ N)] \text{*\sim*}$

```

      [Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)] @ [(M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)]
by (meson 4 B Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(2) arr-append-imp-seq arr-char
      con-char cong-append-ideI(2) ide-char Λ.ide-char not-Cons-self2
      prfx-implies-con)
have 5: ¬ Ide (u # U)
      by (meson 3 Ide-consE Λ.ide-backward-stable Λ.subs-head-redex
      Λ.subs-implies-prfx Λ.contains-head-reduction-iff
      Λ.elementary-reduction-head-redex Λ.elementary-reduction-not-ide)
have 6: stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) =
      stdz-insert (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)) U
using 1 2 3 4 5 * ** ‹Λ.is-App u ∨ Λ.is-Beta u›
apply (cases u)
      apply simp-all
      apply blast
      by (cases M) auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases U = [])
      assume U: U = []
      have u: ¬ Λ.Ide u
      using 5 U by simp
      have 6: stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) =
      standard-development (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N))
      using 6 U by simp
      show ?thesis
      proof (intro conjI)
      show Std (stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U))
      using 6 Std-standard-development by presburger
      show ¬ Ide ((M ◦ N) # u # U) →
      stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) *~* (M ◦ N) # u # U
      proof
      have stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) *~* [Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)]
      using 4 6 cong-standard-development ** 1 2 3 Λ.Arr.simps(4)
      Λ.Arr-head-strategy MN Λ.ide-backward-stable Λ.ide-char
      by metis
      also have [Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)] *~* [M ◦ N] @ [u]
      by (meson A B prfx-transitive)
      also have [M ◦ N] @ [u] = (M ◦ N) # u # U
      using U by auto
      finally show stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U) *~* (M ◦ N) # u # U
      by blast
      qed
      qed
      next
      assume U: U ≠ []
      have 7: seq [Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)] U
      proof
      show Arr [Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)]
      by (meson A Con-implies-Arr(1) con-char prfx-implies-con)
      show Arr U

```

```

    using  $U \langle U \neq [] \implies \text{Arr } U \rangle$  by presburger
  show  $\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } [\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)]) = \Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } U)$ 
  by (metis  $A B \text{ Std Std-consE Trg-last-eqI } U \Lambda.\text{seqE}_\Lambda \Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-seq last-snoc}$ )
qed
have 8:  $\text{Std } (\text{stdz-insert } (\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) U) \wedge$ 
       $\text{set } (\text{stdz-insert } (\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) U)$ 
       $\subseteq \{a. \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } a\} \wedge$ 
       $\text{stdz-insert } (\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) U \text{ *~*}$ 
       $\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N) \# U$ 
proof –
  have Std  $U$ 
    by (metis  $\text{Std Std.simps}(3) U \text{ list.exhaust-sel}$ )
  moreover have  $\neg \text{Ide } (\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N) \# \text{tl } (u \# U))$ 
    using 1 4  $\Lambda.\text{ide-backward-stable}$  by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using ind4 ** 1 2 3 4 7 Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction
    by force
qed
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
  show Std  $(\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U))$ 
    using 6 8 by presburger
  show  $\neg \text{Ide } ((M \circ N) \# u \# U) \longrightarrow$ 
       $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) \text{ *~* } (M \circ N) \# u \# U$ 
  proof
    have  $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$ 
       $\text{stdz-insert } (\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) U$ 
      using 6 by simp
    also have  $\dots \text{ *~* } [\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] @ U$ 
      using 8 by simp
    also have  $[\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] @ U \text{ *~* } ([M \circ N] @ [u]) @ U$ 
      by (meson  $A B U 7 \text{ Resid-Arr-self cong-append ide-char}$ 
      prfx-transitive  $\langle U \neq [] \implies \text{Arr } U \rangle$ )
    also have  $([M \circ N] @ [u]) @ U = (M \circ N) \# u \# U$ 
      by simp
    finally show  $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) \text{ *~* } (M \circ N) \# u \# U$ 
      by blast
  qed
qed
qed
next
assume 4:  $\neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N))$ 
show ?thesis
proof (cases  $U = []$ )
  assume  $U: U = []$ 
  have 5:  $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$ 
       $\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N) \#$ 
       $\text{standard-development } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N))$ 
  using 1 2 3 4  $U \text{ * ** } \langle \Lambda.\text{is-App } u \vee \Lambda.\text{is-Beta } u \rangle$ 

```

```

apply (cases u)
  apply simp-all
  apply blast
apply (cases M)
  apply simp-all
by blast+
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
  show Std (stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u  $\#$  U))
proof –
  have  $\Lambda$ .sseq ( $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N))
    (hd (standard-development
      ((M  $\circ$  N)  $\setminus$   $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N))))
proof –
  have  $\Lambda$ .seq ( $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N))
    (hd (standard-development
      ((M  $\circ$  N)  $\setminus$   $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N))))
  using MN ** 4  $\Lambda$ .Arr-head-strategy Arr-imp-arr-hd
    Ide-iff-standard-development-empty Src-hd-standard-development
    Std-imp-Arr Std-standard-development  $\Lambda$ .Arr-resid
     $\Lambda$ .Src-head-strategy  $\Lambda$ .Src-resid
by force
moreover have  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction
  (hd (standard-development
    ((M  $\circ$  N)  $\setminus$   $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N))))
by (metis 4 Ide-iff-standard-development-empty MN Std-consE
  Std-standard-development hd-Cons-tl  $\Lambda$ .Arr.simps(4)
   $\Lambda$ .Arr-resid  $\Lambda$ .Con-head-strategy
   $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1 Std.simps(2))
ultimately show ?thesis
using  $\Lambda$ .sseq-head-reductionI Std-standard-development
by (metis ** 2 3 Std U  $\Lambda$ .internal-reduction-preserves-no-head-redex
   $\Lambda$ .is-internal-reduction-iff  $\Lambda$ .Src-head-strategy
   $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-not-ide  $\Lambda$ .head-strategy-Src
   $\Lambda$ .head-strategy-is-elementary  $\Lambda$ .ide-char  $\Lambda$ .is-head-reduction-char
   $\Lambda$ .is-head-reduction-if  $\Lambda$ .seqE $\Lambda$  Std.simps(2))
qed
thus ?thesis
by (metis 4 5 MN Ide-iff-standard-development-empty
  Std-standard-development  $\Lambda$ .Arr.simps(4)  $\Lambda$ .Arr-resid
   $\Lambda$ .Con-head-strategy list.exhaust-sel Std.simps(3))
qed
show  $\neg$  Ide ((M  $\circ$  N)  $\#$  u  $\#$  U)  $\longrightarrow$ 
  stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u  $\#$  U)  $\sim^*$  (M  $\circ$  N)  $\#$  u  $\#$  U
proof
have stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u  $\#$  U) =
  [ $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N)] @
  standard-development ((M  $\circ$  N)  $\setminus$   $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M  $\circ$  N))
using 5 by simp

```

also have ... $*\sim^*$ $[\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] \text{ @}$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)]$
proof (*intro cong-append*)
show 6: *seq* $[\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)]$
(standard-development
 $((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)))$
using 4 *Ide-iff-standard-development-empty MN*
 $\langle \text{Std } (\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U)) \rangle$
arr-append-imp-seq arr-char calculation $\Lambda.\text{Arr-head-strategy}$
 $\Lambda.\text{Arr-resid lambda-calculus.Src-head-strategy}$
by *force*
show $[\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] * \sim^* [\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)]$
by (*meson MN 6 cong-reflexive seqE*)
show *standard-development* $((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) * \sim^*$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)]$
using 4 *MN cong-standard-development* $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$
 $\Lambda.\text{Arr-resid } \Lambda.\text{Con-head-strategy}$
by *presburger*
qed
also have $[\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] \text{ @}$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] * \sim^*$
 $[M \circ N] \text{ @ } [u]$
using *B by blast*
also have $[M \circ N] \text{ @ } [u] = (M \circ N) \# u \# U$
using *U by simp*
finally show $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) * \sim^* (M \circ N) \# u \# U$
by *blast*
qed
qed
next
assume $U: U \neq []$
have 5: $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$
 $\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N) \#$
 $\text{stdz-insert } (\Lambda.\text{resid } (M \circ N) (\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N))) U$
using 1 2 3 4 *U * *** $\langle \Lambda.\text{is-App } u \vee \Lambda.\text{is-Beta } u \rangle$
apply (*cases u*)
apply *simp-all*
apply *blast*
apply (*cases M*)
apply *simp-all*
by *blast+*
have 6: $\text{Std } (\text{stdz-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) U) \wedge$
 $\text{set } (\text{stdz-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) U)$
 $\subseteq \{a. \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } a\} \wedge$
 $\text{stdz-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) U * \sim^*$
 $(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N) \# U$
proof –
have *seq* $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] U$
proof

```

show Arr [(M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)]
  by (simp add: MN Λ.Arr-resid Λ.Con-head-strategy)
show Arr U
  using U ⟨U ≠ [] ⟹ Arr U⟩ by blast
show Λ.Trq (last [(M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)]) = Λ.Src (hd U)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) B U Std Std-consE Trg-last-eqI
    Λ.seq-char Λ.sseq-imp-seq last-ConsL last-snoc)
qed
thus ?thesis
  using ind5 Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction
  by (metis ** 1 2 3 4 Std Std.simps(3) Arr-iff-Con-self Ide.simps(3)
    Resid.simps(1) seq-char Λ.ide-char list.exhaust-sel list.sel(1,3))
qed
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
  show Std (stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U))
  proof –
    have Λ.sseq (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N))
      (hd (stdz-insert ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)) U))
  proof –
    have Λ.seq (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N))
      (hd (stdz-insert ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)) U))
  proof
    show Λ.Arr (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N))
      using MN Λ.Arr-head-strategy by force
    show Λ.Arr (hd (stdz-insert ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)) U))
      using 6
      by (metis Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(2) Std-consE hd-Cons-tl ide-char)
    show Λ.Trq (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)) =
      Λ.Src (hd (stdz-insert ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)) U))
      using 6
      by (metis MN Src-hd-eqI Λ.Arr.simps(4) Λ.Con-head-strategy
        Λ.Src-resid list.sel(1))
  qed
moreover have Λ.is-head-reduction (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N))
  using ** 1 2 3 Λ.Src-head-strategy Λ.head-strategy-is-elementary
    Λ.head-strategy-Src Λ.is-head-reduction-char Λ.seq-char
  by (metis Λ.Src-head-redex Λ.contains-head-reduction-iff
    Λ.head-redex-is-head-reduction
    Λ.internal-reduction-preserves-no-head-redex
    Λ.is-internal-reduction-iff)
moreover have Λ.elementary-reduction
  (hd (stdz-insert ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)) U))
  by (metis 6 Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(2) ide-char hd-in-set
    in-mono mem-Collect-eq)
ultimately show ?thesis
  using Λ.sseq-head-reductionI by blast
qed
thus ?thesis

```

by (metis 5 6 Std.simps(3) Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(1)
 con-char prfx-implies-con list.exhaust-sel)

qed

show $\neg \text{Ide } ((M \circ N) \# u \# U) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) \text{ }^* \sim^* (M \circ N) \# u \# U$

proof

have $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$
 $[\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] \text{ } @$
 $\text{stdz-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) U$

using 5 **by** simp

also have 10: $\dots \text{ }^* \sim^* [\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] \text{ } @$
 $((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) \# U$

proof (intro cong-append)

show 10: $\text{seq } [\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)]$
 $(\text{stdz-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) U)$

by (metis 5 6 Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(1) Std-imp-Arr
 $\langle \text{Std } (\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U)) \rangle \text{ arr-append-imp-seq}$
 $\text{arr-char calculation ide-char list.distinct(1)})$

show $[\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] \text{ }^* \sim^* [\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)]$

using MN 10 **cong-reflexive** **by** blast

show $\text{stdz-insert } ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) U \text{ }^* \sim^*$
 $(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N) \# U$

using 6 **by** auto

qed

also have 11: $[\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] \text{ } @$
 $((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)) \# U =$
 $([\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] \text{ } @$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)]) \text{ } @ U$

by simp

also have $\dots \text{ }^* \sim^* (([M \circ N] \text{ } @ [u]) \text{ } @ U)$

proof –

have $\text{seq } ([\Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)] \text{ } @$
 $[(M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy } (M \circ N)]) U$

by (metis U 10 11 append-is-Nil-conv arr-append-imp-seq
 $\text{cong-implies-coterminal coterminalE not-Cons-self2})$

thus ?thesis

using B **cong-append** **cong-reflexive** **by** blast

qed

also have $([M \circ N] \text{ } @ [u]) \text{ } @ U = (M \circ N) \# u \# U$

by simp

finally show $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) \text{ }^* \sim^* (M \circ N) \# u \# U$

by blast

qed

qed

qed

next

assume $\exists: \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction } u$

have $u: \Lambda.\text{Arr } u \wedge \Lambda.\text{is-App } u \wedge \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction } u$

```

using 3 <Λ.is-App u ∨ Λ.is-Beta u> Λ.is-Beta-def u by force
have 5: ¬ Λ.Ide u
by (metis Std Std.simps(2) Std.simps(3) Λ.elementary-reduction-not-ide
    Λ.ide-char neq-Nil-conv Λ.sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1)
show ?thesis
proof -
have 4: stdz-insert (M ∘ N) (u # U) =
    map (λX. Λ.App X (Λ.Src N))
      (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) @
    map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
      (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))))
using MN 1 2 3 5 * ** <Λ.is-App u ∨ Λ.is-Beta u>
apply (cases U = []; cases M; cases u)
      apply simp-all
by blast+
have **: set U ⊆ Collect Λ.is-App
using u 5 Std seq-App-Std-implies by blast
have X: Std (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
by (metis *** Std Std-filter-map-un-App1 insert-subset list.simps(15)
    mem-Collect-eq u)
have Y: Std (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))
by (metis *** u Std Std-filter-map-un-App2 insert-subset list.simps(15)
    mem-Collect-eq)
have A: ¬ Λ.un-App1 ‘ set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.Ide ⇒
    Std (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) ∧
    set (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
    ⊆ {a. Λ.elementary-reduction a} ∧
    stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) *~*
    M # filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
proof -
assume *: ¬ Λ.un-App1 ‘ set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.Ide
have seq [M] (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
proof
show Arr [M]
using MN by simp
show Arr (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) * Std-imp-Arr X empty-filter-conv
    list.set-map mem-Collect-eq subset-code(1))
show Λ.Trq (last [M]) = Λ.Src (hd (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
proof -
have Λ.Trq (last [M]) = Λ.Src (hd (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
using ** u by fastforce
also have ... = Λ.Src (hd (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
proof -
have Arr (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
using u ***
by (metis Arr-map-un-App1 Std Std-imp-Arr insert-subset
    list.simps(15) mem-Collect-eq neq-Nil-conv)
moreover have ¬ Ide (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))

```



```

    by (metis * Collect-cong  $\Lambda$ .ide-char list.set-map set-Ide-subset-ide)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using Src-hd-eqI cong-filter-notIde by blast
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have  $\neg$  Ide (M # filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)))
  using *
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) *** Arr-map-un-App1 Std Std-imp-Arr
    Arr.simps(1) Ide.elims(2) Resid-Arr-Ide-ind ide-char
    seq-char calculation(1) cong-filter-notIde filter-notIde-Ide
    insert-subset list.discI list.sel(3) list.simps(15) mem-Collect-eq u)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis X 1 2 3 ** ind7 Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction
      list.sel(1))
qed
have B:  $\neg$   $\Lambda$ .un-App2 ' set (u # U)  $\subseteq$  Collect  $\Lambda$ .Ide  $\implies$ 
  Std (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))))  $\wedge$ 
  set (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))))
   $\subseteq$  {a.  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction a}  $\wedge$ 
  stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U)))  $\sim^*$ 
  N # filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))
proof -
  assume **:  $\neg$   $\Lambda$ .un-App2 ' set (u # U)  $\subseteq$  Collect  $\Lambda$ .Ide
  have seq [N] (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U)))
  proof
    show Arr [N]
      using MN by simp
    show Arr (filter ( $\lambda$ u.  $\neg$   $\Lambda$ .Ide u) (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U)))
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) ** Std-imp-Arr Y empty-filter-conv
        list.set-map mem-Collect-eq subset-code(1))
  show  $\Lambda$ .Trg (last [N]) =  $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))))
  proof -
    have  $\Lambda$ .Trg (last [N]) =  $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U)))
      by (metis u seq Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI  $\Lambda$ .Src.simps(4)
         $\Lambda$ .Trg.simps(3)  $\Lambda$ .is-App-def  $\Lambda$ .lambda.sel(4) last-ConsL
        list.discI list.map-sel(1) list.sel(1))
    also have ... =  $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))))
  proof -
    have Arr (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))
      using u ***
      by (metis Arr-map-un-App2 Std Std-imp-Arr list.distinct(1)
        mem-Collect-eq set-ConsD subset-code(1))
    moreover have  $\neg$  Ide (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))
      by (metis ** Collect-cong  $\Lambda$ .ide-char list.set-map set-Ide-subset-ide)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using Src-hd-eqI cong-filter-notIde by blast
  qed
qed

```

```

    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
moreover have  $\Lambda.seq (M \circ N) u$ 
  by (metis u Srcs-simp $\Lambda_P$  Arr.simps(2) Trgs.simps(2) seq-char
      list.sel(1) seq  $\Lambda.seqI(1)$   $\Lambda.sources-char_\Lambda$ )
moreover have  $\neg Ide (N \# filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App2 (u \# U)))$ 
  using u *
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) *** Arr-map-un-App2 Std Std-imp-Arr
      Arr.simps(1) Ide.elims(2) Resid-Arr-Ide-ind ide-char
      seq-char calculation(1) cong-filter-notIde filter-notIde-Ide
      insert-subset list.discI list.sel(3) list.simps(15) mem-Collect-eq)
ultimately show ?thesis
  using * 1 2 3 Y ind8 Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction
  by simp
qed
show ?thesis
proof (cases  $\Lambda.un-App1 ' set (u \# U) \subseteq Collect \Lambda.Ide;$ 
      cases  $\Lambda.un-App2 ' set (u \# U) \subseteq Collect \Lambda.Ide$ )
show  $\llbracket \Lambda.un-App1 ' set (u \# U) \subseteq Collect \Lambda.Ide;$ 
       $\Lambda.un-App2 ' set (u \# U) \subseteq Collect \Lambda.Ide \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies ?thesis$ 
proof -
  assume *:  $\Lambda.un-App1 ' set (u \# U) \subseteq Collect \Lambda.Ide$ 
  assume **:  $\Lambda.un-App2 ' set (u \# U) \subseteq Collect \Lambda.Ide$ 
  have False
    using u 5 * ** Ide-iff-standard-development-empty
    by (metis  $\Lambda.Ide.simps(4)$  image-subset-iff  $\Lambda.lambda.collapse(3)$ 
        list.set-intros(1) mem-Collect-eq)
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed
show  $\llbracket \Lambda.un-App1 ' set (u \# U) \subseteq Collect \Lambda.Ide;$ 
       $\neg \Lambda.un-App2 ' set (u \# U) \subseteq Collect \Lambda.Ide \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies ?thesis$ 
proof -
  assume *:  $\Lambda.un-App1 ' set (u \# U) \subseteq Collect \Lambda.Ide$ 
  assume **:  $\neg \Lambda.un-App2 ' set (u \# U) \subseteq Collect \Lambda.Ide$ 
  have 6:  $\Lambda.Trq (\Lambda.un-App1 (last (u \# U))) = \Lambda.Trq M$ 
  proof -
    have  $\Lambda.Trq M = \Lambda.Src (hd (map \Lambda.un-App1 (u \# U)))$ 
    by (metis u seq Trq-last-Src-hd-eqI hd-map  $\Lambda.Src.simps(4)$   $\Lambda.Trq.simps(3)$ 
         $\Lambda.is-App-def$   $\Lambda.lambda.sel(3)$  last-ConsL list.discI list.sel(1))
  also have ... =  $\Lambda.Trq (last (map \Lambda.un-App1 (u \# U)))$ 
  proof -
    have 6:  $Ide (map \Lambda.un-App1 (u \# U))$ 
    using * *** u Std Std-imp-Arr Ide-char ide-char Arr-map-un-App1
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Collect-cong insert-subset
         $\Lambda.ide-char$  list.distinct(1) list.set-map list.simps(15)
        mem-Collect-eq)

```

```

hence  $Src (map \Lambda.un-App1 (u \# U)) = Trg (map \Lambda.un-App1 (u \# U))$ 
using Ide-imp-Src-eq-Trg by blast
thus ?thesis
using 6 Ide-implies-Arr by force
qed
also have  $\dots = \Lambda.Trg (\Lambda.un-App1 (last (u \# U)))$ 
by (simp add: last-map)
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
have filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App1 (u \# U)) = []
using * by (simp add: subset-eq)
hence 4:  $stdz-insert (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$ 
 $map (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N) (standard-development M) @$ 
 $map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Trg (\Lambda.un-App1 (last (u \# U))))$ 
 $(stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App2 (u \# U))))$ 
using u 4 5 * ** Ide-iff-standard-development-empty MN
by simp
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
have Std (map (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N) (standard-development M) @
 $map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Trg (\Lambda.un-App1 (last (u \# U))))$ 
 $(stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App2 (u \# U))))$ 
proof (intro Std-append)
show Std (map (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N) (standard-development M))
using Std-map-App1 Std-standard-development MN \Lambda.Ide-Src
by force
show Std (map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Trg (\Lambda.un-App1 (last (u \# U))))
 $(stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App2 (u \# U))))$ 
using ** B MN 6 Std-map-App2 \Lambda.Ide-Trg by presburger
show  $map (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N) (standard-development M) = [] \vee$ 
 $map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Trg (\Lambda.un-App1 (last (u \# U))))$ 
 $(stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App2 (u \# U)))) = [] \vee$ 
 $\Lambda.sseq (last (map (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N) (standard-development M)))$ 
 $(hd (map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Trg (\Lambda.un-App1 (last (u \# U))))$ 
 $(stdz-insert N (filter notIde$ 
 $(map \Lambda.un-App2 (u \# U))))))$ 
proof (cases \Lambda.Ide M)
show  $\Lambda.Ide M \implies ?thesis$ 
using Ide-iff-standard-development-empty MN by blast
assume  $M: \neg \Lambda.Ide M$ 
have  $\Lambda.sseq (last (map (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N) (standard-development M)))$ 
 $(hd (map (\Lambda.App (\Lambda.Trg (\Lambda.un-App1 (last (u \# U))))$ 
 $(stdz-insert N (filter notIde$ 
 $(map \Lambda.un-App2 (u \# U))))))$ 
proof –
have  $last (map (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N) (standard-development M)) =$ 
 $\Lambda.App (last (standard-development M)) (\Lambda.Src N)$ 
using M
by (simp add: Ide-iff-standard-development-empty MN last-map)

```

```

moreover have hd (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
  (stdz-insert N (filter notIde
    (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))))) =
  Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
  (hd (stdz-insert N (filter notIde
    (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))))
by (metis ** B Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(2) hd-map ide-char)
moreover
have Λ.sseq (Λ.App (last (standard-development M)) (Λ.Src N))
  ...
proof –
have Λ.elementary-reduction (last (standard-development M))
  using M MN Std-standard-development
  Ide-iff-standard-development-empty last-in-set
  mem-Collect-eq set-standard-development subsetD
by metis
moreover have Λ.elementary-reduction
  (hd (stdz-insert N
    (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))))
  using ** B
by (metis Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1)
  ide-char in-mono list.set-sel(1) mem-Collect-eq)
moreover have Λ.Trq (last (standard-development M)) =
  Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))
  using M MN 6 Trq-last-standard-development by presburger
moreover have Λ.Src N =
  Λ.Src (hd (stdz-insert N
    (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))))
by (metis ** B Src-hd-eqI list.sel(1))
ultimately show ?thesis
by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
thus Std (stdz-insert (M ∘ N) (u # U))
  using 4 by simp
show ¬ Ide ((M ∘ N) # u # U) →
  stdz-insert (M ∘ N) (u # U) *~* (M ∘ N) # u # U
proof
show stdz-insert (M ∘ N) (u # U) *~* (M ∘ N) # u # U
proof (cases Λ.Ide M)
assume M: Λ.Ide M
have stdz-insert (M ∘ N) (u # U) =
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
  (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))))
using 4 M MN Ide-iff-standard-development-empty by simp

```

```

also have ... *~* (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))))
                    (N # filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))
proof –
  have Λ.Ide (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
    using M 6 Λ.Ide-Trig Λ.Ide-implies-Arr by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using ** *** B u cong-map-App1 by blast
qed
also have map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))))
            (N # filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))) =
            map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))))
            (filter notIde (N # map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))
    using 1 M by force
also have map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))))
            (filter notIde (N # map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))) *~*
            map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))))
            (N # map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
proof –
  have Arr (N # map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
proof
  show Λ.arr N
    using MN by blast
  show Arr (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
    using *** u Std Arr-map-un-App2
    by (metis Std-imp-Arr insert-subset list.distinct(1)
          list.simps(15) mem-Collect-eq)
  show Λ.trg N = Src (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
    using u ⟨Λ.seq (M ∘ N) u⟩ Λ.seq-char Λ.is-App-def by auto
qed
moreover have ¬ Ide (N # map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
    using 1 M by force
moreover have Λ.Ide (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
    using M 6 Λ.Ide-Trig Λ.Ide-implies-Arr by presburger
ultimately show ?thesis
    using cong-filter-notIde cong-map-App1 by blast
qed
also have map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))))
            (N # map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)) =
            map (Λ.App M) (N # map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
    using M MN ⟨Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))) = Λ.Trig M⟩
            Λ.Ide-iff-Trig-self
    by force
also have ... = (M ∘ N) # map (Λ.App M) (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
    by simp
also have ... = (M ∘ N) # u # U
proof –
  have Arr (u # U)
    using Std Std-imp-Arr by blast
  moreover have set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.is-App

```

```

using *** u by simp
moreover have  $\Lambda.un-App1\ u = M$ 
by (metis * u M seq Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI  $\Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self$ 
 $\Lambda.Ide-iff-Trg-self$   $\Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr$   $\Lambda.Src.simps(4)$ 
 $\Lambda.Trg.simps(3)$   $\Lambda.lambda.collapse(3)$   $\Lambda.lambda.sel(3)$ 
last.simps list.distinct(1) list.sel(1) list.set-intros(1)
list.set-map list.simps(9) mem-Collect-eq standardize.cases
subset-iff)
moreover have  $\Lambda.un-App1\ 'set\ (u\ \#)\ U \subseteq \{M\}$ 
proof –
  have Ide (map  $\Lambda.un-App1\ (u\ \#)\ U$ )
  using * *** Std Std-imp-Arr Arr-map-un-App1
  by (metis Collect-cong Ide-char calculation(1–2)  $\Lambda.ide-char$ 
list.set-map)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis calculation(3) hd-map list.discI list.sel(1)
list.set-map set-Ide-subset-single-hd)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
using M map-App-map-un-App2 by blast
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
next
assume M:  $\neg\ \Lambda.Ide\ M$ 
have stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u  $\#$  U) =
  map ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src\ N$ ) (standard-development M) @
  map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.Trg\ M \circ X$ )
  (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2\ (u\ \#)\ U$ )))
using 4 6 by simp
also have ... *~* [M  $\circ$   $\Lambda.Src\ N$ ] @ [ $\Lambda.Trg\ M \circ N$ ] @
  map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.Trg\ M \circ X$ )
  (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2\ (u\ \#)\ U$ )))
proof (intro cong-append)
show map ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src\ N$ ) (standard-development M) *~*
  [M  $\circ$   $\Lambda.Src\ N$ ]
  using MN M cong-standard-development  $\Lambda.Ide-Src$ 
cong-map-App2 [of  $\Lambda.Src\ N$  standard-development M [M]]
  by simp
show map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.Trg\ M \circ X$ )
  (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2\ (u\ \#)\ U$ ))) *~*
  [ $\Lambda.Trg\ M \circ N$ ] @
  map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.Trg\ M \circ X$ )
  (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2\ (u\ \#)\ U$ )))
proof –
  have map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.Trg\ M \circ X$ )
  (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2\ (u\ \#)\ U$ ))) *~*
  map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.Trg\ M \circ X$ )
  (N  $\#$  filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2\ (u\ \#)\ U$ )))
  using ** B MN cong-map-App1 lambda-calculus.Ide-Trg

```

by *presburger*
also have $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ X)$
 $(N \# \text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U))) =$
 $[\Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ N] @$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ X)$
 $(\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U)))$

by *simp*
finally show *?thesis by blast*

qed
show $\text{seq } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } N) (\text{standard-development } M))$
 $(\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ X)$
 $(\text{stdz-insert } N (\text{filter notIde}$
 $(\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U))))))$

using *MN M ** B cong-standard-development [of M]*
by *(metis Nil-is-append-conv Resid.simps(2) Std-imp-Arr*
 $\langle \text{Std } (\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U)) \rangle \text{arr-append-imp-seq}$
 $\text{arr-char calculation complete-development-Ide-iff}$
 $\text{complete-development-def list.map-disc-iff development.simps(1))$

qed
also have $[M \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } N] @ [\Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ N] @$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ X)$
 $(\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U))) =$
 $([M \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } N] @ [\Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ N]) @$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ X)$
 $(\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U)))$

by *simp*
also have $([M \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } N] @ [\Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ N]) @$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ X)$
 $(\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U))) \sim^*$
 $([M \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } N] @ [\Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ N]) @$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ X) (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U))$

proof *(intro cong-append)*
show $\text{seq } ([M \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } N] @ [\Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ N])$
 $(\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ X)$
 $(\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U))))$

proof
show $\text{Arr } ([M \circ \Lambda. \text{Src } N] @ [\Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ N])$
by *(simp add: MN)*
show *9: Arr* $(\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda. \text{Trg } M \circ X)$
 $(\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U))))$

proof –
have $\text{Arr } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U))$
using **** u Arr-map-un-App2*
by *(metis Std Std-imp-Arr list.distinct(1) mem-Collect-eq*
 $\text{set-ConsD subset-code(1))$

moreover have $\neg \text{Ide } (\text{map } \Lambda. \text{un-App2 } (u \# U))$
using ****
by *(metis Collect-cong \Lambda.ide-char list.set-map*
 $\text{set-Ide-subset-ide})$

```

ultimately show ?thesis
using cong-filter-notIde
by (metis Arr-map-App2 Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1)
    MN ide-char  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Trg)
qed
show  $\Lambda$ .Trg (last ([M  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src N] @ [ $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  N])) =
 $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (map ( $\lambda X$ .  $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  X)
    (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U)))))
proof -
have  $\Lambda$ .Trg (last ([M  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src N] @ [ $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  N])) =
 $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Trg N
using MN by auto
also have ... =  $\Lambda$ .Src u
using Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI seq by force
also have ... =  $\Lambda$ .Src ( $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .un-App2 u)
using MN  $\langle \Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Trg M) ( $\Lambda$ .Trg N) =  $\Lambda$ .Src u  $\rangle$  u by auto
also have 8: ... =  $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src ( $\Lambda$ .un-App2 u)
using MN by simp
also have 7: ... =  $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$ 
 $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (filter notIde
    (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))))
using u 5 list.simps(9) cong-filter-notIde
 $\langle$ filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)) = [] $\rangle$ 
by auto
also have ... =  $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (map ( $\lambda X$ .  $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  X)
    (filter notIde
    (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U)))))

by (metis 7 8 9 Arr.simps(1) hd-map  $\Lambda$ .Src.simps(4)
 $\Lambda$ .lambda.sel(4) list.simps(8))
finally show  $\Lambda$ .Trg (last ([M  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src N] @ [ $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  N])) =
 $\Lambda$ .Src (hd (map ( $\lambda X$ .  $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  X)
    (filter notIde
    (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U)))))

by blast
qed
qed
show seq [M  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src N] [ $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  N]
using MN by force
show [M  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src N]  $\ast \sim \ast$  [M  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src N]
using MN
by (meson head-redex-decomp  $\Lambda$ .Arr.simps(4)  $\Lambda$ .Arr-Src
    prfx-transitive)
show [ $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  N]  $\ast \sim \ast$  [ $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  N]
using MN
by (meson  $\langle$ seq [M  $\circ$   $\Lambda$ .Src N] [ $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  N] $\rangle$  cong-reflexive seqE)
show map ( $\lambda X$ .  $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  X)
    (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U)))  $\ast \sim \ast$ 
    map ( $\lambda X$ .  $\Lambda$ .Trg M  $\circ$  X) (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))

```



```

proof –
  have Arr (map  $\Lambda.un\text{-}App2$  (u # U))
    using *** u Arr-map-un-App2
    by (metis Std Std-imp-Arr list.distinct(1) mem-Collect-eq
      set-ConsD subset-code(1))
  moreover have  $\neg$  Ide (map  $\Lambda.un\text{-}App2$  (u # U))
    using **
    by (metis Collect-cong  $\Lambda.ide\text{-}char$  list.set-map
      set-Ide-subset-ide)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using M MN cong-filter-notIde cong-map-App1  $\Lambda.Ide\text{-}Trg$ 
    by presburger
qed
qed
also have ([M  $\circ$   $\Lambda.Src$  N] @ [ $\Lambda.Trg$  M  $\circ$  N]) @
  map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.Trg$  M  $\circ$  X) (map  $\Lambda.un\text{-}App2$  (u # U)) *~*
  [M  $\circ$  N] @ u # U
proof (intro cong-append)
  show seq ([M  $\circ$   $\Lambda.Src$  N] @ [ $\Lambda.Trg$  M  $\circ$  N])
    (map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.Trg$  M  $\circ$  X) (map  $\Lambda.un\text{-}App2$  (u # U)))
  by (metis Nil-is-append-conv Nil-is-map-conv arr-append-imp-seq
    calculation cong-implies-coterminal coterminalE
    list.distinct(1))
  show [M  $\circ$   $\Lambda.Src$  N] @ [ $\Lambda.Trg$  M  $\circ$  N] *~* [M  $\circ$  N]
    using MN  $\Lambda.resid\text{-}Arr\text{-}self$   $\Lambda.Arr\text{-}not\text{-}Nil$   $\Lambda.Ide\text{-}Trg$  ide-char by simp
  show map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.Trg$  M  $\circ$  X) (map  $\Lambda.un\text{-}App2$  (u # U)) *~* u # U
proof –
  have map ( $\lambda X. \Lambda.Trg$  M  $\circ$  X) (map  $\Lambda.un\text{-}App2$  (u # U)) = u # U
  proof (intro map-App-map-un-App2)
  show Arr (u # U)
    using Std Std-imp-Arr by blast
  show set (u # U)  $\subseteq$  Collect  $\Lambda.is\text{-}App$ 
    using *** u by auto
  show  $\Lambda.Ide$  ( $\Lambda.Trg$  M)
    using MN  $\Lambda.Ide\text{-}Trg$  by blast
  show  $\Lambda.un\text{-}App1$  ‘ set (u # U)  $\subseteq$  { $\Lambda.Trg$  M}
  proof –
  have  $\Lambda.un\text{-}App1$  u =  $\Lambda.Trg$  M
    using * u seq seq-char
  apply (cases u)
  apply simp-all
  by (metis Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI  $\Lambda.Ide\text{-}iff\text{-}Src\text{-}self$ 
     $\Lambda.Src\text{-}Src$   $\Lambda.Src\text{-}Trg$   $\Lambda.Src\text{-}eq\text{-}iff(2)$   $\Lambda.Trg.simps(3)$ 
    last-ConsL list.sel(1) seq u)
  moreover have Ide (map  $\Lambda.un\text{-}App1$  (u # U))
    using * Std Std-imp-Arr Arr-map-un-App1
  by (metis Collect-cong Ide-char
     $\langle Arr$  (u # U) $\rangle$   $\langle set$  (u # U)  $\subseteq Collect$   $\Lambda.is\text{-}App$  $\rangle$ 
     $\Lambda.ide\text{-}char$  list.set-map)

```

```

      ultimately show ?thesis
      using set-Ide-subset-single-hd by force
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: Resid-Arr-self Std ide-char)
  qed
  qed
  also have [M ∘ N] @ u # U = (M ∘ N) # u # U
  by simp
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  show [¬ Λ.un-App1 ‘ set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.Ide;
        Λ.un-App2 ‘ set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.Ide]
    ⇒ ?thesis
  proof -
    assume *: ¬ Λ.un-App1 ‘ set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.Ide
    assume **: Λ.un-App2 ‘ set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.Ide
    have 10: filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)) = []
      using ** by (simp add: subset-eq)
    hence 4: stdz-insert (M ∘ N) (u # U) =
      map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
        (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) @
        map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
          (standard-development N)
    using u 4 5 * ** Ide-iff-standard-development-empty MN
    by simp
    have 6: Λ.Ide (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
      using *** u Std Std-imp-Arr
      by (metis Arr-imp-arr-last in-mono Λ.Arr.simps(4) Λ.Ide-Trig Λ.arr-char
            Λ.lambda.collapse(3) last.simps last-in-set list.discI mem-Collect-eq)
    show ?thesis
    proof (intro conjI)
      show Std (stdz-insert (M ∘ N) (u # U))
      proof -
        have Std (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
          (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) @
          map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
            (standard-development N))
        proof (intro Std-append)
          show Std (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
            (stdz-insert M (filter notIde
              (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))))
          using * A MN Std-map-App1 Λ.Ide-Src by presburger
          show Std (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
            (standard-development N))

```

```

using MN 6 Std-map-App2 Std-standard-development by simp
show map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (stdz-insert M
    (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) = [] ∨
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
    (standard-development N)) = [] ∨
  Λ.sseq (last (map (λX. Λ.App X (Λ.Src N))
    (stdz-insert M
      (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))))
    (hd (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
      (standard-development N))))
proof (cases Λ.Ide N)
show Λ.Ide N ⇒ ?thesis
  using Ide-iff-standard-development-empty MN by blast
assume N: ¬ Λ.Ide N
have Λ.sseq (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (stdz-insert M
    (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))))
  (hd (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
    (standard-development N))))
proof –
  have hd (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
    (standard-development N)) =
    Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
    (hd (standard-development N))
  by (meson Ide-iff-standard-development-empty MN N list.map-sel(1))
  moreover have last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
    (stdz-insert M
      (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))))) =
    Λ.App (last (stdz-insert M
      (filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))))
      (Λ.Src N)
  by (metis * A Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(1) ide-char last-map)
  moreover have Λ.sseq ... (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
    (hd (standard-development N)))
proof –
  have 7: Λ.elementary-reduction
    (last (stdz-insert M (filter notIde
      (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))))
  using * A
  by (metis Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(2) ide-char last-in-set
    mem-Collect-eq subset-iff)
  moreover
  have Λ.elementary-reduction (hd (standard-development N))
  using MN N hd-in-set set-standard-development
    Ide-iff-standard-development-empty
  by blast
  moreover have Λ.Src N = Λ.Src (hd (standard-development N))

```

```

using MN N Src-hd-standard-development by auto
moreover have  $\Lambda.Trg$  (last (stdz-insert M
      (filter notIde
        (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))))) =
       $\Lambda.Trg$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  (last (u # U)))
proof –
have [ $\Lambda.Trg$  (last (stdz-insert M
      (filter notIde
        (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))))] =
      [ $\Lambda.Trg$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  (last (u # U)))]
proof –
have  $\Lambda.Trg$  (last (stdz-insert M
      (filter notIde
        (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))))) =
       $\Lambda.Trg$  (last (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))
proof –
have  $\Lambda.Trg$  (last (stdz-insert M
      (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))))) =
       $\Lambda.Trg$  (last (M # filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))))
using * A Trg-last-eqI by blast
also have ... =  $\Lambda.Trg$  (last ([M] @ filter notIde
      (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))))

by simp
also have ... =  $\Lambda.Trg$  (last (filter notIde
      (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))))
proof –
have seq [M] (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))
proof
show Arr [M]
using MN by simp
show Arr (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))
using * Std-imp-Arr
by (metis (no-types, lifting)
      X empty-filter-conv list.set-map mem-Collect-eq subsetI)
show  $\Lambda.Trg$  (last [M]) =
       $\Lambda.Src$  (hd (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))))
proof –
have  $\Lambda.Trg$  (last [M]) =  $\Lambda.Trg$  M
using MN by simp
also have ... =  $\Lambda.Src$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  u)
by (metis Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI  $\Lambda.Src.simps(4)$ 
       $\Lambda.Trg.simps(3)$   $\Lambda.lambda.collapse(3)$ 
       $\Lambda.lambda.inject(3)$  last-ConsL list.sel(1) seq u)
also have ... =  $\Lambda.Src$  (hd (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))
by auto
also have ... =  $\Lambda.Src$  (hd (filter notIde
      (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))))
using u 5 10 by force
finally show ?thesis by blast

```

```

      qed
    qed
    thus ?thesis by fastforce
  qed
  also have ... =  $\Lambda.Trg$  (last (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))
  proof -
    have filter ( $\lambda u. \neg \Lambda.Ide$  u) (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))  $\sim^*$ 
      map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)
    using * *** u Std Std-imp-Arr Arr-map-un-App1 [of u # U]
      cong-filter-notIde
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) empty-filter-conv
      filter-notIde-Ide list.discI list.set-map
      mem-Collect-eq set-ConsD subset-code(1))
    thus ?thesis
      using cong-implies-coterminal Trg-last-eqI
      by presburger
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: last-map)
  qed
  moreover
  have  $\Lambda.Ide$  ( $\Lambda.Trg$  (last (stdz-insert M
    (filter notIde
      (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))))))
    using 7  $\Lambda.Ide-Trg$   $\Lambda.elementary-reduction-is-arr$  by blast
  moreover have  $\Lambda.Ide$  ( $\Lambda.Trg$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  (last (u # U))))
    using 6 by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using  $\Lambda.sseq.simps(4)$  by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by argo
  qed
  thus ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using 4 by simp
  qed
  show  $\neg Ide$  ((M  $\circ$  N) # u # U)  $\longrightarrow$ 
    stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u # U)  $\sim^*$  (M  $\circ$  N) # u # U
  proof
    show stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u # U)  $\sim^*$  (M  $\circ$  N) # u # U
  proof (cases  $\Lambda.Ide$  N)
    assume N:  $\Lambda.Ide$  N
    have stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u # U) =

```

```

    map (λX. X ∘ N)
      (stdz-insert M (filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
using 4 N MN Ide-iff-standard-development-empty Λ.Ide-iff-Src-self
by force
also have ... *~* map (λX. X ∘ N)
      (M # filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
using * A MN N Λ.Ide-Src cong-map-App2 Λ.Ide-iff-Src-self
by blast
also have map (λX. X ∘ N)
      (M # filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) =
[M ∘ N] @
  map (λX. Λ.App X N)
    (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
by auto
also have [M ∘ N] @
  map (λX. X ∘ N)
    (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) *~*
[M ∘ N] @ map (λX. X ∘ N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
proof (intro cong-append)
show seq [M ∘ N]
  (map (λX. X ∘ N)
    (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
proof
have 20: Arr (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
using *** u Std Arr-map-un-App1
by (metis Std-imp-Arr insert-subset list.discI list.simps(15)
  mem-Collect-eq)
show Arr [M ∘ N]
using MN by auto
show 21: Arr (map (λX. X ∘ N)
  (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
proof –
have Arr (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
using u 20 cong-filter-notIde
by (metis (no-types, lifting) * Std-imp-Arr
  ⟨Std (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))⟩
  empty-filter-conv list.set-map mem-Collect-eq subsetI)
thus ?thesis
using MN N Arr-map-App1 Λ.Ide-Src by presburger
qed
show Λ.Trq (last [M ∘ N]) =
  Λ.Src (hd (map (λX. X ∘ N)
    (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))))
proof –
have Λ.Trq (last [M ∘ N]) = Λ.Trq M ∘ N
using MN N Λ.Ide-iff-Trg-self by simp

```

```

also have ... =  $\Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $u$ )  $\circ$   $N$ 
  using MN  $u$  seq seq-char
  by (metis Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI calculation  $\Lambda.$ Src-Src  $\Lambda.$ Src-Trg
     $\Lambda.$ Src-eq-iff(2)  $\Lambda.$ is-App-def  $\Lambda.$ lambda.sel(3) list.sel(1))
also have ... =  $\Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1  $u$   $\circ$   $N$ )
  using MN  $N$   $\Lambda.$ Ide-iff-Src-self by simp
also have ... =  $\Lambda.$ Src (hd (map ( $\lambda X.$   $X$   $\circ$   $N$ )
    (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u$  #  $U$ ))))
  by simp
also have ... =  $\Lambda.$ Src (hd (map ( $\lambda X.$   $X$   $\circ$   $N$ )
    (filter notIde
      (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u$  #  $U$ ))))))

proof –
  have cong (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u$  #  $U$ ))
    (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u$  #  $U$ )))
  using * 20 21 cong-filter-notIde
  by (metis Arr.simps(1) filter-notIde-Ide map-is-Nil-conv)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(2)
    Src-hd-eqI hd-map ide-char  $\Lambda.$ Src.simps(4)
    list.distinct(1) list.simps(9))
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
show cong [ $M$   $\circ$   $N$ ] [ $M$   $\circ$   $N$ ]
  using MN
  by (meson head-redex-decomp  $\Lambda.$ Arr.simps(4)  $\Lambda.$ Arr-Src
    prfx-transitive)
show map ( $\lambda X.$   $X$   $\circ$   $N$ ) (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u$  #  $U$ )))  $\sim^*$ 
  map ( $\lambda X.$   $X$   $\circ$   $N$ ) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u$  #  $U$ ))
proof –
  have Arr (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u$  #  $U$ ))
  using ***  $u$  Std Arr-map-un-App1
  by (metis Std-imp-Arr insert-subset list.discI list.simps(15)
    mem-Collect-eq)
  moreover have  $\neg$  Ide (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u$  #  $U$ ))
  using *
  by (metis Collect-cong  $\Lambda.$ ide-char list.set-map
    set-Ide-subset-ide)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using ***  $u$  MN  $N$  cong-filter-notIde cong-map-App2
  by (meson  $\Lambda.$ Ide-Src)
  qed
qed
also have [ $M$   $\circ$   $N$ ] @ map ( $\lambda X.$   $X$   $\circ$   $N$ ) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u$  #  $U$ ))  $\sim^*$ 
  [ $M$   $\circ$   $N$ ] @  $u$  #  $U$ 
proof –
  have map ( $\lambda X.$   $X$   $\circ$   $N$ ) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 ( $u$  #  $U$ ))  $\sim^*$   $u$  #  $U$ 

```

```

proof –
  have  $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ N) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)) = u \# U$ 
  proof (intro map-App-map-un-App1)
  show  $\text{Arr } (u \# U)$ 
    using Std Std-imp-Arr by simp
  show  $\text{set } (u \# U) \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App}$ 
    using *** u by auto
  show  $\Lambda.\text{Ide } N$ 
    using N by simp
  show  $\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } \langle \text{set } (u \# U) \subseteq \{N\} \rangle$ 
  proof –
    have  $\Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u) = \Lambda.\text{Trg } N$ 
      using ** seq u seq-char N
    apply (cases u)
    apply simp-all
    by (metis Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI  $\Lambda.\text{Src.simps}(4)$   $\Lambda.\text{Trg.simps}(3)$ 
       $\Lambda.\text{lambda.inject}(3)$  last-ConsL list.sel(1) seq)
    moreover have  $\Lambda.\text{Ide } (\Lambda.\text{un-App2 } u) \wedge \Lambda.\text{Ide } N$ 
      using ** N by simp
    moreover have  $\text{Ide } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (u \# U))$ 
      using ** Std Std-imp-Arr Arr-map-un-App2
    by (metis Collect-cong Ide-char
       $\langle \text{Arr } (u \# U) \rangle \langle \text{set } (u \# U) \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-App} \rangle$ 
       $\Lambda.\text{ide-char list.set-map}$ )
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (metis hd-map  $\Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Src-self}$   $\Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Trg-self}$ 
         $\Lambda.\text{Ide-implies-Arr list.discI list.sel(1)}$ 
        list.set-map set-Ide-subset-single-hd)

  qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Resid-Arr-self Std ide-char)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using MN cong-append
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 cong-standard-development
      cong-transitive  $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$  seq)

  qed
  also have  $[M \circ N] @ (u \# U) = (M \circ N) \# u \# U$ 
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  next
  assume  $N: \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide } N$ 
  have  $\text{stdz-insert } (M \circ N) (u \# U) =$ 
     $\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } N)$ 
     $(\text{stdz-insert } M (\text{filter } \text{notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)))) @$ 
     $\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U))))$ 
     $(\text{standard-development } N)$ 
    using 4 by simp

```



```

also have ... *~* map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
                (M # filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) @
                map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))) [N])
proof (intro cong-append)
show 23: map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
        (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) *~*
        map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
        (M # filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
using * A MN Λ.Ide-Src cong-map-App2 by blast
show 22: map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
        (standard-development N) *~*
        map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))) [N])
using 6 *** u Std Std-imp-Arr MN N cong-standard-development
        cong-map-App1
by presburger
show seq (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
        (stdz-insert M (filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
        (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
        (standard-development N)))
proof –
have seq (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
        (M # filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
        (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))) [N])
proof
show 26: Arr (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
        (M # filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
by (metis 23 Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1) ide-char)
show Arr (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))) [N])
by (meson 22 arr-char con-implies-arr(2) prfx-implies-con)
show Λ.Trq (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
        (M # filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) =
        Λ.Src (hd (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
        [N])))
proof –
have Λ.Trq (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
        (M # map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
        ~
        Λ.Trq (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
        (M # filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))))
proof –
have targets (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
        (M # filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) =
        targets (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)

```

```

(M # map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))
proof –
  have map ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$ )
    (M # filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))) *~*
    map ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$ )
    (M # map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))
proof –
  have map ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$ )
    (M # map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)) =
    map ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$ )
    ([M] @ map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))
  by simp
also have cong ... (map ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$ )
  ([M] @ filter notIde
  (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))))
proof –
  have [M] @ map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U) *~*
    [M] @ filter notIde
    (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))
proof (intro cong-append)
  show cong [M] [M]
  using MN
  by (meson head-redex-decomp prfx-transitive)
show seq [M] (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))
proof
  show Arr [M]
  using MN by simp
  show Arr (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))
  using *** u Std Arr-map-un-App1
  by (metis Std-imp-Arr insert-subset list.discI
    list.simps(15) mem-Collect-eq)
  show  $\Lambda.Trq$  (last [M]) =
     $\Lambda.Src$  (hd (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))
  using MN u seq seq-char Srcs-simp $_{\Lambda P}$  by auto
qed
show cong (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))
  (filter notIde
  (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))
proof –
  have Arr (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))
  by (metis *** Arr-map-un-App1 Std Std-imp-Arr
    insert-subset list.discI list.simps(15)
    mem-Collect-eq u)
  moreover have  $\neg$  Ide (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))
  using * set-Ide-subset-ide by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using cong-filter-notIde by blast
qed
qed

```

```

thus map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
      ([M] @ map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) *~*
      map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
      ([M] @ filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
using MN cong-map-App2 Λ.Ide-Src by presburger
qed
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
thus ?thesis
      using cong-implies-coterminal by blast
qed
moreover have [Λ.Trq (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
      (M # map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))] ∈
      targets (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
      (M # map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 26 calculation mem-Collect-eq
      single-Trg-last-in-targets targets-charΛP)
moreover have [Λ.Trq (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
      (M # filter notIde
      (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))))] ∈
      targets (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
      (M # filter notIde
      (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
      using 26 single-Trg-last-in-targets by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 26 Ide.simps(1-2) Resid-rec(1)
      in-targets-iff ide-char)
qed
moreover have Λ.Ide (Λ.Trq (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
      (M # map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))))
by (metis 6 MN Λ.Ide.simps(4) Λ.Ide-Src Λ.Trq.simps(3)
      Λ.Trq-Src last-ConsR last-map list.distinct(1)
      list.simps(9))
moreover have Λ.Ide (Λ.Trq (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
      (M # filter notIde
      (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))))
      using Λ.ide-backward-stable calculation(1-2) by fast
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 6 MN hd-map
      Λ.Ide-iff-Src-self Λ.Ide-implies-Arr Λ.Src.simps(4)
      Λ.Trq.simps(3) Λ.Trq-Src Λ.cong-Ide-are-eq
      last.simps last-map list.distinct(1) list.map-disc-iff
      list.sel(1))
qed
qed
thus ?thesis
      using 22 23 cong-respects-seqP by presburger
qed
qed

```

```

also have map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (M # filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) @
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))) [N] =
  [M ∘ Λ.Src N] @
  map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) @
  [Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))) N]
by simp
also have 1: [M ∘ Λ.Src N] @
  map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) @
  [Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))) N] *~*
  [M ∘ Λ.Src N] @
  map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) @
  [Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))) N]
proof (intro cong-append)
show [M ∘ Λ.Src N] *~* [M ∘ Λ.Src N]
  using MN
  by (meson head-redex-decomp lambda-calculus.Arr.simps(4)
  lambda-calculus.Arr.Src prfx-transitive)
show 21: map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) *~*
  map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
proof –
  have filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) *~*
  map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)
proof –
  have ¬ Ide (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
  using *
  by (metis Collect-cong Λ.ide-char list.set-map
  set-Ide-subset-ide)
  thus ?thesis
  using *** u Std Std-imp-Arr Arr-map-un-App1
  cong-filter-notIde
  by (metis ‹¬ Ide (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))›
  list.distinct(1) mem-Collect-eq set-ConsD
  subset-code(1))
qed
thus ?thesis
  using MN cong-map-App2 [of Λ.Src N] Λ.Ide-Src by presburger
qed
show [Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))) ∘ N] *~*
  [Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))) ∘ N]
  by (metis 6 Con-implies-Arr(1) MN Λ.Ide-implies-Arr arr-char
  cong-reflexive Λ.Ide-iff-Src-self neq-Nil-conv
  orthogonal-App-single-single(1))
show seq (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
  [Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))) ∘ N]

```

proof
show Arr (map ($\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$)
 $(filter\ notIde$ (map $\Lambda.un-App1$ ($u \# U$))))
by ($metis$ 21 *Con-implies-Arr(2)* *Ide.simps(1)* *ide-char*)
show Arr [$\Lambda.Trig$ ($\Lambda.un-App1$ ($last$ ($u \# U$))) $\circ N$]
by ($metis$ *Con-implies-Arr(2)* *Ide.simps(1)*
 $\langle [\Lambda.Trig$ ($\Lambda.un-App1$ ($last$ ($u \# U$))) $\circ N \rangle * \sim *$
 $[\Lambda.Trig$ ($\Lambda.un-App1$ ($last$ ($u \# U$))) $\circ N \rangle$
ide-char)
show $\Lambda.Trig$ ($last$ (map ($\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$)
 $(filter\ notIde$
 $(map$ $\Lambda.un-App1$ ($u \# U$)))))) =
 $\Lambda.Src$ (hd [$\Lambda.Trig$ ($\Lambda.un-App1$ ($last$ ($u \# U$))) $\circ N$])
by ($metis$ (*no-types*, *lifting*) 6 21 *MN Trig-last-eqI*
 $\Lambda.Ide-iff-Src-self$ $\Lambda.Ide-implies-Arr$ $\Lambda.Src.simps(4)$
 $\Lambda.Trig.simps(3)$ $\Lambda.Trig-Src$ *last-map list.distinct(1)*
list.map-disc-iff list.sel(1))
qed
show seq [$M \circ \Lambda.Src N$]
 $(map$ ($\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$)
 $(filter\ notIde$ (map $\Lambda.un-App1$ ($u \# U$))) @
 $[\Lambda.Trig$ ($\Lambda.un-App1$ ($last$ ($u \# U$))) $\circ N$])
proof
show Arr [$M \circ \Lambda.Src N$]
using MN **by** *simp*
show Arr (map ($\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$)
 $(filter\ notIde$ (map $\Lambda.un-App1$ ($u \# U$))) @
 $[\Lambda.Trig$ ($\Lambda.un-App1$ ($last$ ($u \# U$))) $\circ N$])
apply (*intro Arr-appendI_P*)
apply ($metis$ 21 *Con-implies-Arr(2)* *Ide.simps(1)* *ide-char*)
apply ($metis$ *Con-implies-Arr(1)* *Ide.simps(1)*
 $\langle [\Lambda.Trig$ ($\Lambda.un-App1$ ($last$ ($u \# U$))) $\circ N \rangle * \sim *$
 $[\Lambda.Trig$ ($\Lambda.un-App1$ ($last$ ($u \# U$))) $\circ N \rangle$ *ide-char*)
by ($metis$ (*no-types*, *lifting*) 21 *Arr.simps(1)*
 $Arr-append-iff_P$ *Con-implies-Arr(2)* *Ide.simps(1)*
 $append-is-Nil-conv$ *calculation ide-char not-Cons-self2*)
show $\Lambda.Trig$ ($last$ [$M \circ \Lambda.Src N$]) =
 $\Lambda.Src$ (hd (map ($\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$)
 $(filter\ notIde$
 $(map$ $\Lambda.un-App1$ ($u \# U$))) @
 $[\Lambda.Trig$ ($\Lambda.un-App1$ ($last$ ($u \# U$))) $\circ N$]))
by ($metis$ (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Con-implies-Arr(2)* *Ide.simps(1)*
 $Trig-last-Src-hd-eqI$ $append-is-Nil-conv$ $arr-append-imp-seq$
 $arr-char$ *calculation ide-char not-Cons-self2*)
qed
qed
also have [$M \circ \Lambda.Src N$] @
 map ($\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$)(map $\Lambda.un-App1$ ($u \# U$)) @
 $[\Lambda.Trig$ ($\Lambda.un-App1$ ($last$ ($u \# U$))) $\circ N$] $* \sim *$

```

[M ∘ Λ.Src N] @
[Λ.Trq M ∘ N] @
  map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Trq N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
proof (intro cong-append [of [Λ.App M (Λ.Src N)]])
show seq [M ∘ Λ.Src N]
  (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
    (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) @
    [Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))) ∘ N])
proof
show Arr [M ∘ Λ.Src N]
  using MN by simp
show Arr (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) @
  [Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))) ∘ N])
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 Con-append(2) Con-implies-Arr(2)
  Ide.simps(1) append-is-Nil-conv ide-char not-Cons-self2)
show Λ.Trq (last [M ∘ Λ.Src N]) =
  Λ.Src (hd (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
    (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) @
    [Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))) ∘ N]))
proof –
  have Λ.Trq M = Λ.Src (Λ.un-App1 u)
  using u seq
  by (metis Trq-last-Src-hd-eqI Λ.Src.simps(4) Λ.Trq.simps(3)
  Λ.lambda.collapse(3) Λ.lambda.inject(3) last-ConsL
  list.sel(1))
  thus ?thesis
  using MN by auto
qed
qed
show [M ∘ Λ.Src N] *~* [M ∘ Λ.Src N]
  using MN
  by (metis head-redex-decomp Λ.Arr.simps(4) Λ.Arr-Src
  prfx-transitive)
show map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) @
  [Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))) ∘ N] *~*
  [Λ.Trq M ∘ N] @
  map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Trq N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
proof –
  have map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src (hd [N])) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) @
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (last (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) [N] *~*
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Src (hd (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) [N] @
  map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Trq (last [N])) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
proof –
  have Arr (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
  using Std *** u Arr-map-un-App1
  by (metis Std-imp-Arr insert-subset list.discI list.simps(15)
  mem-Collect-eq)
  moreover have Arr [N]

```

```

    using MN by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using orthogonal-App-cong by blast
qed
moreover
have map (Λ.App (Λ.Src (hd (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) [N] =
  [Λ.Trq M ∘ N]
  by (metis Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI lambda-calculus.Src.simps(4)
    Λ.Trq.simps(3) Λ.lambda.collapse(3) Λ.lambda.sel(3)
    last-ConsL list.sel(1) list.simps(8) list.simps(9) seq u)
moreover have [Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))) ∘ N] =
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (last (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) [N]
  by (simp add: last-map)
ultimately show ?thesis
using last-map by auto
qed
qed
also have [M ∘ Λ.Src N] @
  [Λ.Trq M ∘ N] @
  map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Trq N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) =
  ([M ∘ Λ.Src N] @ [Λ.Trq M ∘ N]) @
  map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Trq N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
  by simp
also have ... *~* [M ∘ N] @ (u # U)
proof (intro cong-append)
  show [M ∘ Λ.Src N] @ [Λ.Trq M ∘ N] *~* [M ∘ N]
  using MN Λ.resid-Arr-self Λ.Arr-not-Nil Λ.Ide-Trq ide-char
  by auto
show 1: map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Trq N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) *~* u # U
proof -
  have map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Trq N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) = u # U
  proof (intro map-App-map-un-App1)
    show Arr (u # U)
    using Std Std-imp-Arr by simp
    show set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.is-App
    using *** u by auto
    show Λ.Ide (Λ.Trq N)
    using MN Λ.Ide-Trq by simp
    show Λ.un-App2 ' set (u # U) ⊆ {Λ.Trq N}
  proof -
    have Λ.Src (Λ.un-App2 u) = Λ.Trq N
    using u seq seq-char
    apply (cases u)
    apply simp-all
    by (metis Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI Λ.Src.simps(4) Λ.Trq.simps(3)
      Λ.lambda.inject(3) last-ConsL list.sel(1) seq)
    moreover have Λ.Ide (Λ.un-App2 u)
    using ** by simp
    moreover have Ide (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))

```

```

using ** Std Std-imp-Arr Arr-map-un-App2
by (metis Collect-cong Ide-char
      ⟨Arr (u # U)⟩ ⟨set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.is-App⟩
      Λ.ide-char list.set-map)
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis Λ.Ide-iff-Src-self Λ.Ide-implies-Arr list.sel(1)
      list.set-map list.simps(9) set-Ide-subset-single-hd
      singleton-insert-inj-eq)
qed
qed
thus ?thesis
by (simp add: Resid-Arr-self Std ide-char)
qed
show seq ([M ◦ Λ.Src N] @ [Λ.Trq M ◦ N])
      (map (λX. X ◦ Λ.Trq N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
proof
show Arr ([M ◦ Λ.Src N] @ [Λ.Trq M ◦ N])
      using MN by simp
show Arr (map (λX. X ◦ Λ.Trq N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))
      using MN Std Std-imp-Arr Arr-map-un-App1 Arr-map-App1
      by (metis 1 Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) ide-char)
show Λ.Trq (last ([M ◦ Λ.Src N] @ [Λ.Trq M ◦ N])) =
      Λ.Src (hd (map (λX. X ◦ Λ.Trq N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
      using MN Std Std-imp-Arr Arr-map-un-App1 Arr-map-App1
      seq seq-char u Srcs-simpΛP by auto
qed
qed
also have [M ◦ N] @ (u # U) = (M ◦ N) # u # U
      by simp
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed
show [¬ Λ.un-App1 ‘ set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.Ide;
      ¬ Λ.un-App2 ‘ set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.Ide]
      ⇒ ?thesis
proof –
assume *: ¬ Λ.un-App1 ‘ set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.Ide
assume **: ¬ Λ.un-App2 ‘ set (u # U) ⊆ Collect Λ.Ide
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI)
show Std (stdz-insert (M ◦ N) (u # U))
proof –
have Std (map (λX. X ◦ Λ.Src N)
      (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) @
      map (Λ.App (Λ.Trq (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
      (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))))))
proof (intro Std-append)

```



```

show Std (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))))
using * A Λ.Ide-Src MN Std-map-App1 by presburger
show Std (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
  (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))))
proof –
  have Λ.Arr (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))
  by (metis *** Λ.Arr.simps(4) Std Std-imp-Arr Arr.simps(2)
    Arr-append-iffP append-butlast-last-id append-self-conv2
    Λ.arr-char Λ.lambda.collapse(3) last.simps last-in-set
    list.discI mem-Collect-eq subset-code(1) u)
  thus ?thesis
  using ** B Λ.Ide-Trig MN Std-map-App2 by presburger
qed
show map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))) = [] ∨
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
  (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))))) = [] ∨
  Λ.sseq (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
    (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))))
    (hd (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
      (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))))
proof –
  have Λ.sseq (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
    (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))))
    (hd (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
      (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))))
proof –
  let ?M = Λ.un-App1 (last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
    (stdz-insert M
      (filter notIde
        (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))))
  let ?M' = Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))
  let ?N = Λ.Src N
  let ?N' = Λ.un-App2
    (hd (map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
      (stdz-insert N
        (filter notIde
          (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))))
  have M: ?M = last (stdz-insert M
    (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))))
  by (metis * A Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(1) ide-char
    Λ.lambda.sel(3) last-map)
  have N': ?N' = hd (stdz-insert N
    (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))))
  by (metis ** B Ide.simps(1) Resid.simps(2) ide-char
    Λ.lambda.sel(4) hd-map)
  have AppMN: last (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
    (stdz-insert M

```

$(\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)))) =$
 $?M \circ ?N$
by (*metis* * *A Ide.simps(1) M Resid.simps(2) ide-char last-map*)
moreover
have 4: $hd (\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U))))))$
 $(\text{stdz-insert } N$
 $(\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (u \# U)))) =$
 $?M' \circ ?N'$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) ** *B Resid.simps(2) con-char*
prfx-implies-con $\Lambda.\text{lambda.collapse}(3)$ $\Lambda.\text{lambda.discI}(3)$
 $\Lambda.\text{lambda.inject}(3)$ *list.map-sel(1)*)
moreover have *MM*: $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } ?M$
by (*metis* * *A Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1)*
M ide-char in-mono last-in-set mem-Collect-eq)
moreover have *NN'*: $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } ?N'$
using ** *B N'*
by (*metis* *Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1)*
ide-char in-mono list.set-sel(1) mem-Collect-eq)
moreover have $\Lambda.\text{Trg } ?M = ?M'$
proof –
have 1: $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } ?M] \sim^* [?M']$
proof –
have $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } ?M] \sim^*$
 $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } (M \# \text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U))))]$
proof –
have *targets* ($\text{stdz-insert } M$
 $(\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)))) =$
 $\text{targets } (M \# \text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)))$
using * *A cong-implies-coterminal* **by** *blast*
moreover
have $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } (M \# \text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U))))]$
 $\in \text{targets } (M \# \text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)))$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) * *A* $\Lambda.\text{Arr-Trg } \Lambda.\text{Ide-Trg}$
Arr.simps(2) Arr-append-iff_P Arr-iff-Con-self
Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1) Ide.simps(2)
Resid-Arr-Ide-ind ide-char append-butlast-last-id
append-self-conv2 $\Lambda.\text{arr-char in-targets-iff}$ $\Lambda.\text{ide-char}$
list.discI)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using * *A M in-targets-iff*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *Con-implies-Arr(1)*
con-char prfx-implies-con in-targets-iff)
qed
also have 2: $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } (M \# \text{filter notIde}$
 $(\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U))))] \sim^*$
 $[\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } (\text{filter notIde}$
 $(\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U))))]$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) * *prfx-transitive*
calculation empty-filter-conv last-ConsR list.set-map)

```

      mem-Collect-eq subsetI)
also have [ $\Lambda$ .Trg (last (filter notIde
      (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U))))] *~*
      [ $\Lambda$ .Trg (last (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)))]
proof –
  have map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U) *~*
    filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U))
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) * *** Arr-map-un-App1
    Std Std-imp-Arr cong-filter-notIde empty-filter-conv
    filter-notIde-Ide insert-subset list.discI list.set-map
    list.simps(15) mem-Collect-eq subsetI u)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis 2 Trg-last-eqI prfx-transitive)
qed
also have [ $\Lambda$ .Trg (last (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)))] = [ $?M$ ]
  by (simp add: last-map)
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
have 3:  $\Lambda$ .Trg ?M =  $\Lambda$ .Trg ?M \ ?M'
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 * A M Con-implies-Arr(2)
    Ide.simps(1) Resid-Arr-Ide-ind Resid-rec(1)
    ide-char target-is-ide in-targets-iff list.inject)
also have ... = ?M'
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 4 Arr.simps(2) Con-implies-Arr(2)
    Ide.simps(1) Ide.simps(2) MM NN' Resid-Arr-Ide-ind
    Resid-rec(1) Src-hd-eqI calculation ide-char
     $\Lambda$ .Ide-iff-Src-self  $\Lambda$ .Src-Trg  $\Lambda$ .arr-char
     $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction.simps(4)
     $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-App-iff  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-is-arr
     $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-not-ide  $\Lambda$ .lambda.discI(3)
     $\Lambda$ .lambda.sel(3) list.sel(1))
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have ?N =  $\Lambda$ .Src ?N'
proof –
  have 1: [ $\Lambda$ .Src ?N'] *~* [ $?N$ ]
proof –
  have sources (stdz-insert N
    (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U)))) =
    sources [N]
  using ** B
  by (metis Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1) coinitialE
    cong-implies-coinitial ide-char sources-cons)
thus ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AppMN ** B  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Src
    MM MN N' NN'  $\Lambda$ .Trg-Src Arr.simps(1) Arr.simps(2)
    Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(2) con-char ideE ide-char
    sources-cons  $\Lambda$ .arr-char in-targets-iff
     $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction.simps(4)  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-App-iff

```

```

       $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-is-arr  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-not-ide
       $\Lambda$ .lambda.disc(14)  $\Lambda$ .lambda.sel(4) last-ConsL list.exhaust-sel
      targets-single- $\text{Src}$ )
    qed
  have  $\Lambda$ .Src ? $N'$  =  $\Lambda$ .Src ? $N' \setminus ?N$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 MN  $\Lambda$ .Coinitial-iff-Con
       $\Lambda$ .Ide- $\text{Src}$  Arr.simps(2) Ide.simps(1) Ide-implies-Arr
      Resid-rec(1) ide-char  $\Lambda$ .not-arr-null  $\Lambda$ .null-char
       $\Lambda$ .resid-Arr-Ide)
  also have ... = ? $N$ 
    by (metis 1 MN  $NN'$  Src-hd-eqI calculation  $\Lambda$ .Src- $\text{Src}$   $\Lambda$ .arr-char
       $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-is-arr list.sel(1))
  finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using u  $\Lambda$ .sseq.simps(4)
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  qed
  thus ?thesis by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using 4 by presburger
  qed
show  $\neg$  Ide (( $M \circ N$ ) # u # U)  $\longrightarrow$ 
  stdz-insert ( $M \circ N$ ) (u # U)  $^* \sim^*$  ( $M \circ N$ ) # u # U
proof
  have stdz-insert ( $M \circ N$ ) (u # U) =
    map ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda$ .Src N)
      (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)))) @
      map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Trg ( $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
      (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))))
    using 4 by simp
  also have ...  $^* \sim^*$  map ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda$ .Src N)
      (M # map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)) @
      map ( $\Lambda$ .App ( $\Lambda$ .Trg ( $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
      (N # map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 (u # U))
proof (intro cong-append)
  have X: stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)))  $^* \sim^*$ 
    M # map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)
  proof -
    have stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)))  $^* \sim^*$ 
      [M] @ filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U))
      using * A by simp
    also have [M] @ filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U))  $^* \sim^*$ 
      [M] @ map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)
  proof -
    have filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U))  $^* \sim^*$ 
      map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 (u # U)

```

```

using * cong-filter-notIde
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) *** Arr-map-un-App1 Std
      Std-imp-Arr empty-filter-conv filter-notIde-Ide insert-subset
      list.discI list.set-map list.simps(15) mem-Collect-eq subsetI u)
moreover have seq [M] (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)))
by (metis * A Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(1) append-Cons
      append-Nil arr-append-imp-seq arr-char calculation
      ide-implies-arr list.discI)
ultimately show ?thesis
using cong-append cong-reflexive by blast
qed
also have [M] @ map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U) =
      M # map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U)
by simp
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
have Y: stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U))) *~*
      N # map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U)
proof –
have 5: stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U))) *~*
      [N] @ filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U))
using ** B by simp
also have [N] @ filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U)) *~*
      [N] @ map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U)
proof –
have filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U)) *~*
      map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U)
using ** cong-filter-notIde
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) *** Arr-map-un-App2 Std
      Std-imp-Arr empty-filter-conv filter-notIde-Ide insert-subset
      list.discI list.set-map list.simps(15) mem-Collect-eq subsetI u)
moreover have seq [N] (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U)))
by (metis 5 Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1)
      arr-append-imp-seq arr-char calculation ide-char not-Cons-self2)
ultimately show ?thesis
using cong-append cong-reflexive by blast
qed
also have [N] @ map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U) =
      N # map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U)
by simp
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
show seq (map ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src N$ )
      (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App1$  (u # U))))
      (map ( $\Lambda.App$  ( $\Lambda.Trig$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  (last (u # U))))
      (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda.un-App2$  (u # U))))
by (metis 4 * ** A B Ide.simps(1) Nil-is-append-conv Nil-is-map-conv
      Resid.simps(1) Std-imp-Arr ‹Std (stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u # U))›
      arr-append-imp-seq arr-char ide-char)

```

```

show map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N)
  (stdz-insert M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)))) *~*
  map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N) (M # map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))
using X cong-map-App2 MN lambda-calculus.Ide-Src by presburger
show map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
  (stdz-insert N (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))) *~*
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
  (N # map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
proof –
  have set U ⊆ Collect Λ.Arr ∩ Collect Λ.is-App
    using *** Std Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction
      Λ.elementary-reduction-is-arr
    by blast
  hence Λ.Ide (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
    by (metis inf.boundedE Λ.Arr.simps(4) Λ.Ide-Trig
      Λ.lambda.collapse(3) last.simps last-in-set mem-Collect-eq
      subset-eq u)
  thus ?thesis
    using Y cong-map-App1 by blast
qed
qed
also have map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N) (M # map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) @
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
  (N # map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)) *~*
  [M ∘ N] @ [u] @ U
proof –
  have (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N) (M # map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) @
    map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
    (N # map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))) =
  ([M ∘ Λ.Src N] @
    map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) @
  ([Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))) ∘ N] @
    map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
    (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)))
  by simp
  also have ... = [M ∘ Λ.Src N] @
  (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) @
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))) [N] @
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
  (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
  by auto
  also have ... *~* [M ∘ Λ.Src N] @
  (map (Λ.App (Λ.Src (Λ.un-App1 u))) [N] @
    map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Trig N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) @
  map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U)))))
  (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
proof –
  have (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Src N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) @

```

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U)))) [N]) @ \\ & \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U)))) \\ & \quad (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (u \# U)) * \sim^* \\ & (\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u))) [N]) @ \\ & \text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Trg } N) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)) @ \\ & \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U)))) \\ & \quad (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (u \# U)) \end{aligned}$$

proof –

have 1: $\text{Arr } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U))$
using u ***
by (*metis Arr-map-un-App1 Std Std-imp-Arr list.discI*
mem-Collect-eq set-ConsD subset-code(1))
have $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.\text{App } X (\Lambda.\text{Src } N)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)) @$
 $\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U)))) [N] * \sim^*$
 $\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } u))) [N] @$
 $\text{map } (\lambda X. \Lambda.\text{App } X (\Lambda.\text{Trg } N)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U))$

proof –

have $\text{Arr } [N]$
using MN **by** *simp*
moreover have $\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U))) =$
 $\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U)))$
by (*simp add: last-map*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using 1 *orthogonal-App-cong [of map Λ.un-App1 (u # U) [N]]*
by *simp*

qed

moreover have $\text{seq } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } N)) (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \#$
 $U)) @$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U)))) [N]) \\ & (\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U)))) \\ & \quad (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (u \# U))) \end{aligned}$$

proof

show $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } N))$
 $(\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)) @$
 $\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U)))) [N])$
by (*metis Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) calculation ide-char*)
show $\text{Arr } (\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U))))$
 $(\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (u \# U)))$
using u ***
by (*metis 1 Arr-imp-arr-last Arr-map-App2 Arr-map-un-App2*
Std Std-imp-Arr Λ.Ide-Trg Λ.arr-char last-map list.discI
mem-Collect-eq set-ConsD subset-code(1))
show $\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\text{last } (\text{map } (\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.\text{Src } N))$
 $(\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U)) @$
 $\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U))))$
 $[N])) =$
 $\Lambda.\text{Src } (\text{hd } (\text{map } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } (\Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\text{last } (u \# U))))$
 $(\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (u \# U))))$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\Lambda.Arr$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$   $u$ )
  using  $u$   $\Lambda.is-App-def$  by force
have 2:  $U \neq [] \implies \Lambda.Arr$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $last$   $U$ ))
  by (metis ***  $Arr-imp-arr-last$   $Arr-map-un-App1$ 
       $\langle U \neq [] \implies Arr\ U \rangle \Lambda.arr-char$   $last-map$ )
have 3:  $\Lambda.Trig\ N = \Lambda.Src$  ( $\Lambda.un-App2$   $u$ )
  by (metis  $Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI$   $\Lambda.Src.simps(4)$   $\Lambda.Trig.simps(3)$ 
       $\Lambda.lambda.collapse(3)$   $\Lambda.lambda.inject(3)$   $last-ConsL$ 
       $list.sel(1)$   $seq\ u$ )
show ?thesis
  using  $u$  ***  $seq\ 1\ 2\ 3$ 
  by (cases  $U = []$ ) auto
qed
qed
moreover have  $map$  ( $\Lambda.App$  ( $\Lambda.Trig$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $last$  ( $u \# U$ ))))
  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-App2$  ( $u \# U$ ))  $* \sim *$ 
   $map$  ( $\Lambda.App$  ( $\Lambda.Trig$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $last$  ( $u \# U$ ))))
  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-App2$  ( $u \# U$ ))
  using  $calculation(2)$   $cong-reflexive$  by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
  using  $cong-append$  by blast
qed
moreover have  $seq$  [ $M \circ \Lambda.Src\ N$ ]
  (( $map$  ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src\ N$ ) ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $u \# U$ ))) @
   $map$  ( $\Lambda.App$  ( $\Lambda.Trig$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $last$  ( $u \# U$ )))))) [ $N$ ] @
   $map$  ( $\Lambda.App$  ( $\Lambda.Trig$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $last$  ( $u \# U$ ))))
  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-App2$  ( $u \# U$ )))
proof
show  $Arr$  [ $M \circ \Lambda.Src\ N$ ]
  using  $MN$  by simp
show  $Arr$  (( $map$  ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src\ N$ ) ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $u \# U$ ))) @
   $map$  ( $\Lambda.App$  ( $\Lambda.Trig$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $last$  ( $u \# U$ )))))) [ $N$ ] @
   $map$  ( $\Lambda.App$  ( $\Lambda.Trig$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $last$  ( $u \# U$ ))))
  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-App2$  ( $u \# U$ )))
  using  $MN$   $u$   $seq$ 
  by (metis  $Con-implies-Arr(1)$   $Ide.simps(1)$   $calculation$   $ide-char$ )
show  $\Lambda.Trig$  ( $last$  [ $M \circ \Lambda.Src\ N$ ]) =
   $\Lambda.Src$  ( $hd$  (( $map$  ( $\lambda X. X \circ \Lambda.Src\ N$ ) ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $u \# U$ ))) @
   $map$  ( $\Lambda.App$  ( $\Lambda.Trig$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $last$  ( $u \# U$ )))))) [ $N$ ] @
   $map$  ( $\Lambda.App$  ( $\Lambda.Trig$  ( $\Lambda.un-App1$  ( $last$  ( $u \# U$ ))))
  ( $map$   $\Lambda.un-App2$  ( $u \# U$ ))))
  using  $MN$   $u$   $seq$   $seq-char$   $Srcs-simp_{\Lambda P}$ 
  by (cases  $u$ ) auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using  $cong-append$ 
  by (meson  $Resid-Arr-self$   $ide-char$   $seq-char$ )
qed
also have [ $M \circ \Lambda.Src\ N$ ] @

```



```

      (map (Λ.App (Λ.Src (Λ.un-App1 u))) [N] @
      map (λX. Λ.App X (Λ.Trig N)) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) @
      map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
      (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)) =
      ([M ∘ Λ.Src N] @ [Λ.Src (Λ.un-App1 u) ∘ N]) @
      (map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Trig N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U))) @
      map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
      (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U))
    by simp
  also have ... *~* ([M ∘ N] @ [u] @ U)
  proof -
    have [M ∘ Λ.Src N] @ [Λ.Src (Λ.un-App1 u) ∘ N] *~* [M ∘ N]
    proof -
      have Λ.Src (Λ.un-App1 u) = Λ.Trig M
      by (metis Trig-last-Src-hd-eqI Λ.Src.simps(4) Λ.Trig.simps(3)
      Λ.lambda.collapse(3) Λ.lambda.inject(3) last.simps
      list.sel(1) seq u)
      thus ?thesis
      using MN u seq seq-char Λ.Arr-not-Nil Λ.resid-Arr-self ide-char
      Λ.Ide-Trig
      by simp
    qed
  moreover have map (λX. X ∘ Λ.Trig N) (map Λ.un-App1 (u # U)) @
    map (Λ.App (Λ.Trig (Λ.un-App1 (last (u # U))))
    (map Λ.un-App2 (u # U)) *~*
    [u] @ U
  proof -
    have Arr ([u] @ U)
    by (simp add: Std)
    moreover have set ([u] @ U) ⊆ Collect Λ.is-App
    using *** u by auto
    moreover have Λ.Src (Λ.un-App2 (hd ([u] @ U))) = Λ.Trig N
    proof -
      have Λ.Ide (Λ.Trig N)
      using MN lambda-calculus.Ide-Trig by presburger
      moreover have Λ.Ide (Λ.Src (Λ.un-App2 (hd ([u] @ U))))
      by (metis Std Std-implies-set-subset-elementary-reduction
      Λ.Ide-Src Λ.arr-iff-has-source Λ.ide-implies-arr
      ‹set ([u] @ U) ⊆ Collect Λ.is-App› append-Cons
      Λ.elementary-reduction-App-iff Λ.elementary-reduction-is-arr
      Λ.sources-char_Λ list.sel(1) list.set-intros(1)
      mem-Collect-eq subset-code(1))
      moreover have Λ.Src (Λ.Trig N) =
      Λ.Src (Λ.Src (Λ.un-App2 (hd ([u] @ U))))
    proof -
      have Λ.Src (Λ.Trig N) = Λ.Trig N
      using MN by simp
      also have ... = Λ.Src (Λ.un-App2 u)
      using u seq seq-char Srcs-simp_ΛP

```

```

      by (cases u) auto
    also have ... =  $\Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2 (hd ([u] @ U))))
    by (metis  $\Lambda.$ Ide-iff-Src-self  $\Lambda.$ Ide-implies-Arr
       $\langle \Lambda.$ Ide ( $\Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App2 (hd ([u] @ U))))  $\rangle$ 
      append-Cons list.sel(1))
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis  $\Lambda.$ Ide-iff-Src-self  $\Lambda.$ Ide-implies-Arr)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using map-App-decomp
    by (metis append-Cons append-Nil)
  qed
  moreover have seq ([M  $\circ$   $\Lambda.$ Src N] @ [ $\Lambda.$ Src ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 u)  $\circ$  N])
    (map ( $\lambda X.$  X  $\circ$   $\Lambda.$ Trg N) (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (u # U))) @
    map ( $\Lambda.$ App ( $\Lambda.$ Trg ( $\Lambda.$ un-App1 (last (u # U))))
      (map  $\Lambda.$ un-App2 (u # U)))
    using calculation(1-2) cong-respects-seqP seq by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using cong-append by presburger
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  also have [M  $\circ$  N] @ [u] @ U = (M  $\circ$  N) # u # U
    by simp
  finally show stdz-insert (M  $\circ$  N) (u # U) *~* (M  $\circ$  N) # u # U
    by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

The eight remaining subgoals are now trivial consequences of fact *. Unfortunately, I haven't found a way to discharge them without having to state each one of them explicitly.

```

show  $\bigwedge N$  u U. [ $\Lambda.$ Ide ( $\# \circ N$ )  $\implies$  ?P (hd (u # U)) (tl (u # U))];
  [ $\neg$   $\Lambda.$ Ide ( $\# \circ N$ );  $\Lambda.$ seq ( $\# \circ N$ ) (hd (u # U))];
   $\Lambda.$ contains-head-reduction ( $\# \circ N$ );
   $\Lambda.$ Ide (( $\# \circ N$ ) \  $\Lambda.$ head-redex ( $\# \circ N$ ))]
   $\implies$  ?P (hd (u # U)) (tl (u # U));
  [ $\neg$   $\Lambda.$ Ide ( $\# \circ N$ );  $\Lambda.$ seq ( $\# \circ N$ ) (hd (u # U))];
   $\Lambda.$ contains-head-reduction ( $\# \circ N$ );

```

$\neg \Lambda.Ide ((\# \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-redex (\# \circ N))$
 $\implies ?P ((\# \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-redex (\# \circ N)) (u \# U);$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.Ide (\# \circ N); \Lambda.seq (\# \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (\# \circ N);$
 $\Lambda.contains-head-reduction (hd (u \# U));$
 $\Lambda.Ide ((\# \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-strategy (\# \circ N)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ?P (\Lambda.head-strategy (\# \circ N)) (tl (u \# U));$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.Ide (\# \circ N); \Lambda.seq (\# \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (\# \circ N);$
 $\Lambda.contains-head-reduction (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.Ide ((\# \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-strategy (\# \circ N)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ?P (\Lambda.resid (\# \circ N) (\Lambda.head-strategy (\# \circ N))) (tl (u \# U));$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.Ide (\# \circ N); \Lambda.seq (\# \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (\# \circ N);$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (hd (u \# U)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ?P \# (filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App1 (u \# U)));$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.Ide (\# \circ N); \Lambda.seq (\# \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (\# \circ N);$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (hd (u \# U)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ?P N (filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App2 (u \# U)))$
 $\implies ?P (\# \circ N) (u \# U)$
using * $\Lambda.lambda.disc(6)$ **by** *presburger*
show $\bigwedge x N u U. \llbracket \Lambda.Ide (\langle x \rangle \circ N) \implies ?P (hd (u \# U)) (tl (u \# U));$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.Ide (\langle x \rangle \circ N); \Lambda.seq (\langle x \rangle \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\Lambda.contains-head-reduction (\langle x \rangle \circ N);$
 $\Lambda.Ide ((\langle x \rangle \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-redex (\langle x \rangle \circ N)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ?P (hd (u \# U)) (tl (u \# U));$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.Ide (\langle x \rangle \circ N); \Lambda.seq (\langle x \rangle \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\Lambda.contains-head-reduction (\langle x \rangle \circ N);$
 $\neg \Lambda.Ide ((\langle x \rangle \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-redex (\langle x \rangle \circ N)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ?P ((\langle x \rangle \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-redex (\langle x \rangle \circ N)) (u \# U);$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.Ide (\langle x \rangle \circ N); \Lambda.seq (\langle x \rangle \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (\langle x \rangle \circ N);$
 $\Lambda.contains-head-reduction (hd (u \# U));$
 $\Lambda.Ide ((\langle x \rangle \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-strategy (\langle x \rangle \circ N)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ?P (\Lambda.head-strategy (\langle x \rangle \circ N)) (tl (u \# U));$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.Ide (\langle x \rangle \circ N); \Lambda.seq (\langle x \rangle \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (\langle x \rangle \circ N);$
 $\Lambda.contains-head-reduction (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.Ide ((\langle x \rangle \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-strategy (\langle x \rangle \circ N)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ?P ((\langle x \rangle \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.head-strategy (\langle x \rangle \circ N)) (tl (u \# U));$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.Ide (\langle x \rangle \circ N); \Lambda.seq (\langle x \rangle \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (\langle x \rangle \circ N);$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (hd (u \# U)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ?P \langle x \rangle (filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App1 (u \# U)));$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.Ide (\langle x \rangle \circ N); \Lambda.seq (\langle x \rangle \circ N) (hd (u \# U));$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (\langle x \rangle \circ N);$
 $\neg \Lambda.contains-head-reduction (hd (u \# U)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ?P N (filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App2 (u \# U)))$

$\implies ?P (\langle x \rangle \circ N) (u \# U)$
using * Λ .lambda.disc(7) **by** presburger
show $\bigwedge M1 M2 N u U. [\Lambda$.Ide $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N) \implies ?P (hd (u \# U)) (tl (u \# U))$;
 $[\neg \Lambda$.Ide $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$; Λ .seq $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N) (hd (u \# U))$;
 Λ .contains-head-reduction $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$;
 Λ .Ide $((M1 \circ M2 \circ N) \setminus \Lambda$.head-redex $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N))$]
 $\implies ?P (hd (u \# U)) (tl (u \# U))$;
 $[\neg \Lambda$.Ide $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$; Λ .seq $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N) (hd (u \# U))$;
 Λ .contains-head-reduction $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.Ide $((M1 \circ M2 \circ N) \setminus \Lambda$.head-redex $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N))$]
 $\implies ?P ((M1 \circ M2 \circ N) \setminus \Lambda$.head-redex $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)) (u \# U)$;
 $[\neg \Lambda$.Ide $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$; Λ .seq $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N) (hd (u \# U))$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.contains-head-reduction $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$;
 Λ .contains-head-reduction $(hd (u \# U))$;
 Λ .Ide $((M1 \circ M2 \circ N) \setminus \Lambda$.head-strategy $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N))$]
 $\implies ?P (\Lambda$.head-strategy $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)) (tl (u \# U))$;
 $[\neg \Lambda$.Ide $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$; Λ .seq $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N) (hd (u \# U))$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.contains-head-reduction $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$;
 Λ .contains-head-reduction $(hd (u \# U))$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.Ide $((M1 \circ M2 \circ N) \setminus \Lambda$.head-strategy $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N))$]
 $\implies ?P ((M1 \circ M2 \circ N) \setminus \Lambda$.head-strategy $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)) (tl (u \# U))$;
 $[\neg \Lambda$.Ide $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$; Λ .seq $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N) (hd (u \# U))$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.contains-head-reduction $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.contains-head-reduction $(hd (u \# U))$]
 $\implies ?P (M1 \circ M2) (filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App1 (u \# U)))$;
 $[\neg \Lambda$.Ide $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$; Λ .seq $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N) (hd (u \# U))$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.contains-head-reduction $(M1 \circ M2 \circ N)$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.contains-head-reduction $(hd (u \# U))$]
 $\implies ?P N (filter notIde (map \Lambda.un-App2 (u \# U)))$]
 $\implies ?P (M1 \circ M2 \circ N) (u \# U)$
using * Λ .lambda.disc(9) **by** presburger
show $\bigwedge M1 M2 N u U. [\Lambda$.Ide $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) \implies ?P (hd (u \# U)) (tl (u \# U))$;
 $[\neg \Lambda$.Ide $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)$; Λ .seq $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) (hd (u \# U))$;
 Λ .contains-head-reduction $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)$;
 Λ .Ide $((\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) \setminus (\Lambda$.head-redex $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)))$]
 $\implies ?P (hd (u \# U)) (tl (u \# U))$;
 $[\neg \Lambda$.Ide $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)$; Λ .seq $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) (hd (u \# U))$;
 Λ .contains-head-reduction $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.Ide $((\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) \setminus (\Lambda$.head-redex $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)))$]
 $\implies ?P (\Lambda$.resid $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) (\Lambda$.head-redex $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)))$
 $(u \# U)$;
 $[\neg \Lambda$.Ide $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)$; Λ .seq $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) (hd (u \# U))$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.contains-head-reduction $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)$;
 Λ .contains-head-reduction $(hd (u \# U))$;
 Λ .Ide $((\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) \setminus \Lambda$.head-strategy $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N))$]
 $\implies ?P (\Lambda$.head-strategy $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)) (tl (u \# U))$;
 $[\neg \Lambda$.Ide $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)$; Λ .seq $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) (hd (u \# U))$;
 $\neg \Lambda$.contains-head-reduction $(\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)$;
 Λ .contains-head-reduction $(hd (u \# U))$];

$$\begin{aligned}
& \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} ((\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy} (\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)) \\
& \implies ?P ((\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy} (\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N)) \\
& \quad (\text{tl } (u \# U)); \\
& \llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} (\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N); \Lambda.\text{seq} (\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) (\text{hd } (u \# U)); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (\text{hd } (u \# U)) \rrbracket \\
& \implies ?P (\lambda[M1] \bullet M2) (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (u \# U))); \\
& \llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} (\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N); \Lambda.\text{seq} (\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) (\text{hd } (u \# U)); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (\text{hd } (u \# U)) \rrbracket \\
& \implies ?P N (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (u \# U))) \\
& \implies ?P (\lambda[M1] \bullet M2 \circ N) (u \# U) \\
& \text{using } * \Lambda.\text{lambda.disc}(10) \text{ by presburger} \\
\text{show } \bigwedge M N U. \llbracket \Lambda.\text{Ide} (M \circ N) \implies ?P (\text{hd } (\# \# U)) (\text{tl } (\# \# U)); \\
& \llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} (M \circ N); \Lambda.\text{seq} (M \circ N) (\text{hd } (\# \# U)); \\
& \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (M \circ N); \\
& \Lambda.\text{Ide} ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex} (M \circ N)) \rrbracket \\
& \implies ?P (\text{hd } (\# \# U)) (\text{tl } (\# \# U)); \\
& \llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} (M \circ N); \Lambda.\text{seq} (M \circ N) (\text{hd } (\# \# U)); \\
& \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (M \circ N); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex} (M \circ N)) \rrbracket \\
& \implies ?P ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex} (M \circ N)) (\# \# U); \\
& \llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} (M \circ N); \Lambda.\text{seq} (M \circ N) (\text{hd } (\# \# U)); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (M \circ N); \\
& \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (\text{hd } (\# \# U)); \\
& \Lambda.\text{Ide} (\Lambda.\text{resid} (M \circ N) (\Lambda.\text{head-strategy} (M \circ N))) \rrbracket \\
& \implies ?P (\Lambda.\text{head-strategy} (M \circ N)) (\text{tl } (\# \# U)); \\
& \llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} (M \circ N); \Lambda.\text{seq} (M \circ N) (\text{hd } (\# \# U)); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (M \circ N); \\
& \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (\text{hd } (\# \# U)); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy} (M \circ N)) \rrbracket \\
& \implies ?P ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-strategy} (M \circ N)) (\text{tl } (\# \# U)); \\
& \llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} (M \circ N); \Lambda.\text{seq} (M \circ N) (\text{hd } (\# \# U)); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (M \circ N); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (\text{hd } (\# \# U)) \rrbracket \\
& \implies ?P M (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App1 } (\# \# U))); \\
& \llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} (M \circ N); \Lambda.\text{seq} (M \circ N) (\text{hd } (\# \# U)); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (M \circ N); \\
& \neg \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (\text{hd } (\# \# U)) \rrbracket \\
& \implies ?P N (\text{filter notIde } (\text{map } \Lambda.\text{un-App2 } (\# \# U))) \\
& \implies ?P (M \circ N) (\# \# U) \\
& \text{using } * \Lambda.\text{lambda.disc}(16) \text{ by presburger} \\
\text{show } \bigwedge M N x U. \llbracket \Lambda.\text{Ide} (M \circ N) \implies ?P (\text{hd } (\langle x \rangle \# U)) (\text{tl } (\langle x \rangle \# U)); \\
& \llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} (M \circ N); \Lambda.\text{seq} (M \circ N) (\text{hd } (\langle x \rangle \# U)); \\
& \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (M \circ N); \\
& \Lambda.\text{Ide} ((M \circ N) \setminus \Lambda.\text{head-redex} (M \circ N)) \rrbracket \\
& \implies ?P (\text{hd } (\langle x \rangle \# U)) (\text{tl } (\langle x \rangle \# U)); \\
& \llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{Ide} (M \circ N); \Lambda.\text{seq} (M \circ N) (\text{hd } (\langle x \rangle \# U)); \\
& \Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction} (M \circ N); \rrbracket
\end{aligned}$$

```

    ¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide ((M ◦ N) \  $\Lambda$ .head-redex (M ◦ N))]]
    ⇒ ?P ((M ◦ N) \  $\Lambda$ .head-redex (M ◦ N)) («x» # U);
  [¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N);  $\Lambda$ .seq (M ◦ N) (hd («x» # U));
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
   $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd («x» # U));
   $\Lambda$ .Ide ((M ◦ N) \  $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M ◦ N))]]
    ⇒ ?P ( $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M ◦ N)) (tl («x» # U));
  [¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N);  $\Lambda$ .seq (M ◦ N) (hd («x» # U));
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
   $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd («x» # U));
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide ((M ◦ N) \  $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M ◦ N))]]
    ⇒ ?P ((M ◦ N) \  $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M ◦ N)) (tl («x» # U));
  [¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N);  $\Lambda$ .seq (M ◦ N) (hd («x» # U));
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd («x» # U))]
    ⇒ ?P M (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 («x» # U)));
  [¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N);  $\Lambda$ .seq (M ◦ N) (hd («x» # U));
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd («x» # U))]
    ⇒ ?P N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 («x» # U))))]
  ⇒ ?P (M ◦ N) («x» # U)
  using *  $\Lambda$ .lambda.disc(17) by presburger
  show  $\bigwedge M N P U$ . [ $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N) ⇒ ?P (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U)) (tl ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
  [¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N);  $\Lambda$ .seq (M ◦ N) (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
   $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
   $\Lambda$ .Ide ((M ◦ N) \  $\Lambda$ .head-redex (M ◦ N))]]
    ⇒ ?P (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U)) (tl ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
  [¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N);  $\Lambda$ .seq (M ◦ N) (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
   $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide ((M ◦ N) \  $\Lambda$ .head-redex (M ◦ N))]]
    ⇒ ?P ((M ◦ N) \  $\Lambda$ .head-redex (M ◦ N)) ( $\lambda$ [P] # U);
  [¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N);  $\Lambda$ .seq (M ◦ N) (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
   $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
   $\Lambda$ .Ide ((M ◦ N) \  $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M ◦ N))]]
    ⇒ ?P ( $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M ◦ N)) (tl ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
  [¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N);  $\Lambda$ .seq (M ◦ N) (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
   $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide ((M ◦ N) \  $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M ◦ N))]]
    ⇒ ?P ( $\Lambda$ .resid (M ◦ N) ( $\Lambda$ .head-strategy (M ◦ N))) (tl ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
  [¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N);  $\Lambda$ .seq (M ◦ N) (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U))]
    ⇒ ?P M (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App1 ( $\lambda$ [P] # U)));
  [¬  $\Lambda$ .Ide (M ◦ N);  $\Lambda$ .seq (M ◦ N) (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U));
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
  ¬  $\Lambda$ .contains-head-reduction (hd ( $\lambda$ [P] # U))]
    ⇒ ?P N (filter notIde (map  $\Lambda$ .un-App2 ( $\lambda$ [P] # U))))]

```

```

    ⇒ ?P (M ◦ N) (λ[P] # U)
  using * Λ.lambda.disc(18) by presburger
  show ∧ M N P1 P2 U. [Λ.Ide (M ◦ N)
    ⇒ ?P (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U)) (tl ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    [¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N); Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
    Λ.Ide ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N))]
    ⇒ ?P (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U)) (tl((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    [¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N); Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
    ¬ Λ.Ide ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N))]
    ⇒ ?P ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-redex (M ◦ N)) ((P1 ◦ P2) # U);
    [¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N); Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
    Λ.contains-head-reduction (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    Λ.Ide ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N))]
    ⇒ ?P (Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)) (tl ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    [¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N); Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
    Λ.contains-head-reduction (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    ¬ Λ.Ide ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N))]
    ⇒ ?P ((M ◦ N) \ Λ.head-strategy (M ◦ N)) (tl ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    [¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N); Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
    ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U))]
    ⇒ ?P M (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App1 ((P1 ◦ P2) # U)));
    [¬ Λ.Ide (M ◦ N); Λ.seq (M ◦ N) (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U));
    ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (M ◦ N);
    ¬ Λ.contains-head-reduction (hd ((P1 ◦ P2) # U))]
    ⇒ ?P N (filter notIde (map Λ.un-App2 ((P1 ◦ P2) # U))))
    ⇒ ?P (M ◦ N) ((P1 ◦ P2) # U)
  using * Λ.lambda.disc(19) by presburger
  qed

```

The Standardization Theorem

Using the function *standardize*, we can now prove the Standardization Theorem. There is still a little bit more work to do, because we have to deal with various cases in which the reduction path to be standardized is empty or consists entirely of identities.

theorem *standardization-theorem*:

shows $Arr\ T \implies Std\ (standardize\ T) \wedge (Ide\ T \longrightarrow standardize\ T = []) \wedge$
 $(\neg\ Ide\ T \longrightarrow cong\ (standardize\ T)\ T)$

proof (*induct* T)

show $Arr\ [] \implies Std\ (standardize\ []) \wedge (Ide\ [] \longrightarrow standardize\ [] = []) \wedge$
 $(\neg\ Ide\ [] \longrightarrow cong\ (standardize\ [])\ [])$

by *simp*

fix $t\ T$

assume *ind*: $Arr\ T \implies Std\ (standardize\ T) \wedge (Ide\ T \longrightarrow standardize\ T = []) \wedge$
 $(\neg\ Ide\ T \longrightarrow cong\ (standardize\ T)\ T)$

```

assume  $tT$ : Arr (t # T)
have  $t$ :  $\Lambda$ .Arr t
  using  $tT$  Arr-imp-arr-hd by force
show Std (standardize (t # T))  $\wedge$  (Ide (t # T)  $\longrightarrow$  standardize (t # T) = [])  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\neg$  Ide (t # T)  $\longrightarrow$  cong (standardize (t # T)) (t # T))
proof (cases T = [])
  show T = []  $\implies$  ?thesis
    using  $tT$  Ide-iff-standard-development-empty Std-standard-development
      cong-standard-development
    by simp
  assume  $0$ : T  $\neq$  []
  hence T: Arr T
    using  $tT$ 
    by (metis Arr-imp-Arr-tl list.sel(3))
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro conjI)
    show Std (standardize (t # T))
    proof -
      have  $1$ :  $\neg$  Ide T  $\implies$  seq [t] (standardize T)
        using  $t$  T ind 0 ide-char Con-implies-Arr(1)
        apply (intro seqI $_{\Lambda P}$ )
        apply simp
        apply (metis Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) ide-char)
        by (metis Src-hd-eqI Trg-last-Src-hd-eqI  $\langle T \neq [] \rangle$  append-Cons arrI $_P$ 
          arr-append-imp-seq list.distinct(1) self-append-conv2  $tT$ )
      show ?thesis
        using T 1 ind Std-standard-development stdz-insert-correctness by auto
    qed
  show Ide (t # T)  $\longrightarrow$  standardize (t # T) = []
    using Ide-consE Ide-iff-standard-development-empty Ide-implies-Arr ind
       $\Lambda$ .Ide-implies-Arr  $\Lambda$ .ide-char
    by (metis list.sel(1,3) standardize.simps(1-2) stdz-insert.simps(1))
  show  $\neg$  Ide (t # T)  $\longrightarrow$  standardize (t # T)  $^{*}\sim^{*}$  t # T
  proof
    assume  $1$ :  $\neg$  Ide (t # T)
    show standardize (t # T)  $^{*}\sim^{*}$  t # T
    proof (cases  $\Lambda$ .Ide t)
      assume  $t$ :  $\Lambda$ .Ide t
      have  $2$ :  $\neg$  Ide T
        using 1  $tT$  by fastforce
      have standardize (t # T) = stdz-insert t (standardize T)
        by simp
      also have ...  $^{*}\sim^{*}$  t # T
      proof -
        have  $3$ : Std (standardize T)  $\wedge$  standardize T  $^{*}\sim^{*}$  T
          using T 2 ind by blast
        have stdz-insert t (standardize T) =
          stdz-insert (hd (standardize T)) (tl (standardize T))
        proof -

```



```

have seq [t] (standardize T)
  using 0 2 tT ind
  by (metis Arr.elims(2) Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1-2)
      Ide-implies-Arr Trgs.simps(2) ide-char  $\Lambda$ .ide-char list.inject
      seq-char seq-implies-Trgs-eq-Srcs t)
thus ?thesis
  using t 3 stdz-insert-Ide-Std by blast
qed
also have ... *~* hd (standardize T) # tl (standardize T)
proof -
  have  $\neg$  Ide (standardize T)
    using 2 3 ide-backward-stable ide-char by blast
  moreover have tl (standardize T)  $\neq$  []  $\implies$ 
    seq [hd (standardize T)] (tl (standardize T))  $\wedge$ 
    Std (tl (standardize T))
    by (metis 3 Std-consE Std-imp-Arr append.left-neutral append-Cons
        arr-append-imp-seq arr-char hd-Cons-tl list.discI tl-Nil)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis 2 Ide.simps(2) Resid.simps(1) Std-consE T cong-standard-development
      ide-char ind  $\Lambda$ .ide-char list.exhaust-sel stdz-insert.simps(1)
      stdz-insert-correctness)
qed
also have hd (standardize T) # tl (standardize T) = standardize T
  by (metis 3 Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1) ide-char
      list.exhaust-sel)
also have standardize T *~* T
  using 3 by simp
also have T *~* t # T
  using 0 t tT arr-append-imp-seq arr-char cong-cons-ideI(2) by simp
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
next
assume t:  $\neg$   $\Lambda$ .Ide t
show ?thesis
proof (cases Ide T)
  assume T: Ide T
  have standardize (t # T) = standard-development t
    using t T Ide-implies-Arr ind by simp
  also have ... *~* [t]
    using t T tT cong-standard-development [of t] by blast
  also have [t] *~* [t] @ T
    using t T tT cong-append-ideI(4) [of [t] T]
    by (simp add: 0 arrIP arr-append-imp-seq ide-char)
  finally show ?thesis by auto
next
assume T:  $\neg$  Ide T
have 1: Std (standardize T)  $\wedge$  standardize T *~* T
  using T  $\langle$ Arr T $\rangle$  ind by blast

```

```

have 2: seq [t] (standardize T)
  by (metis 0 Arr.simps(2) Arr.simps(3) Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-implies-Arr(2)
    Ide.elims(3) Ide.simps(1) T Trgs.simps(2) ide-char ind
    seq-char seq-implies-Trgs-eq-Srcs tT)
have stdz-insert t (standardize T) *~* t # standardize T
  using t 1 2 stdz-insert-correctness [of t standardize T] by blast
also have t # standardize T *~* t # T
  using 1 2
  by (meson Arr.simps(2)  $\Lambda$ .prfx-reflexive cong-cons seq-char)
finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

The Leftmost Reduction Theorem

In this section we prove the Leftmost Reduction Theorem, which states that leftmost reduction is a normalizing strategy.

We first show that if a standard reduction path reaches a normal form, then the path must be the one produced by following the leftmost reduction strategy. This is because, in a standard reduction path, once a leftmost redex is skipped, all subsequent reductions occur “to the right of it”, hence they are all non-leftmost reductions that do not contract the skipped redex, which remains in the leftmost position.

The Leftmost Reduction Theorem then follows from the Standardization Theorem. If a term is normalizable, there is a reduction path from that term to a normal form. By the Standardization Theorem we may as well assume that path is standard. But a standard reduction path to a normal form is the path generated by following the leftmost reduction strategy, hence leftmost reduction reaches a normal form after a finite number of steps.

```

lemma sseq-reflects-leftmost-reduction:
assumes  $\Lambda$ .sseq t u and  $\Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction u
shows  $\Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction t
proof –
have *:  $\bigwedge u. u = \Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src t) \ t  $\implies$   $\neg$   $\Lambda$ .sseq t u for t
proof (induct t)
  show  $\bigwedge u. \neg \Lambda$ .sseq  $\#$  u
    using  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-seq by blast
  show  $\bigwedge x u. \neg \Lambda$ .sseq «x» u
    using  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction.simps(2)  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1 by blast
  show  $\bigwedge t u. [\bigwedge u. u = \Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src t) \ t  $\implies$   $\neg \Lambda$ .sseq t u;
    u =  $\Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src  $\lambda[t]$ ) \  $\lambda[t]$ ]
     $\implies$   $\neg \Lambda$ .sseq  $\lambda[t]$  u
    by auto
  show  $\bigwedge t1 t2 u. [\bigwedge u. u = \Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src t1) \ t1  $\implies$   $\neg \Lambda$ .sseq t1 u;

```

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge u. u = \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2) \setminus t2 \implies \neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } t2 \ u; \\ & u = \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)) \setminus (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \\ & \implies \neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2) \ u \end{aligned}$$

apply simp

by (*metis* $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2}$ $\Lambda.\text{Coinitial-iff-Con}$ $\Lambda.\text{Ide-Src}$ $\Lambda.\text{Ide-Subst}$ $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-not-ide}$ $\Lambda.\text{ide-char}$ $\Lambda.\text{resid-Ide-Arr}$)

show $\wedge t1 \ t2. \llbracket \wedge u. u = \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) \setminus t1 \implies \neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } t1 \ u;$
 $\wedge u. u = \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2) \setminus t2 \implies \neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } t2 \ u;$
 $u = \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2)) \setminus \Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } (\Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2) \ u$ **for** u

apply (*cases* u)

apply simp-all

apply (*metis* $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction.simps}(2)$ $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2}$)

apply (*metis* $\Lambda.\text{Src.simps}(3)$ $\Lambda.\text{Src-resid}$ $\Lambda.\text{Trg.simps}(3)$ $\Lambda.\text{lambda.distinct}(15)$ $\Lambda.\text{lambda.distinct}(3)$)

proof –

show $\wedge t1 \ t2 \ u1 \ u2.$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } t1 \ (\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) \setminus t1);$
 $\neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } t2 \ (\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2) \setminus t2);$
 $\lambda[u1] \bullet u2 = \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) \ (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2)) \setminus \Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2;$
 $u = \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) \ (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2)) \setminus \Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } (\Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2)$
 $(\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) \ (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2)) \setminus \Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2)$

by (*metis* $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1}$ $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(5)$ $\Lambda.\text{Arr-resid}$ $\Lambda.\text{Coinitial-iff-Con}$ $\Lambda.\text{Ide.simps}(5)$ $\Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Src-self}$ $\Lambda.\text{Src.simps}(4)$ $\Lambda.\text{Src-resid}$ $\Lambda.\text{contains-head-reduction.simps}(8)$ $\Lambda.\text{is-head-reduction-if}$ $\Lambda.\text{lambda.discI}(3)$ $\Lambda.\text{lambda.distinct}(7)$ $\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy-selects-head-reduction}$ $\Lambda.\text{resid-Arr-self}$ $\Lambda.\text{sseq-preserves-App-and-no-head-reduction}$)

show $\wedge u1 \ u2.$
 $\llbracket \neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } t1 \ (\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) \setminus t1);$
 $\neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } t2 \ (\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2) \setminus t2);$
 $\Lambda.\text{App } u1 \ u2 = \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) \ (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2)) \setminus \Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2;$
 $u = \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) \ (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2)) \setminus \Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg \Lambda.\text{sseq } (\Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2)$
 $(\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{App } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) \ (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2)) \setminus \Lambda.\text{App } t1 \ t2)$

for $t1 \ t2$

apply (*cases* $\neg \Lambda.\text{Arr } t1$)

apply simp-all

apply (*meson* $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$ $\Lambda.\text{seq-char}$ $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-imp-imp}$)

apply (*cases* $\neg \Lambda.\text{Arr } t2$)

apply simp-all

apply (*meson* $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$ $\Lambda.\text{seq-char}$ $\Lambda.\text{sseq-imp-imp-imp}$)

using $\Lambda.\text{Arr-not-Nil}$

apply (*cases* $t1$)

apply simp-all

using $\Lambda.\text{NF-iff-has-no-redex}$ $\Lambda.\text{has-redex-iff-not-Ide-leftmost-strategy}$ $\Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Src-self}$ $\Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Trg-self}$ $\Lambda.\text{NF-def}$ $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-not-ide}$ $\Lambda.\text{eq-Ide-are-cong}$

```

       $\Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy-is-reduction-strategy  $\Lambda$ .reduction-strategy-def
       $\Lambda$ .resid-Arr-Src
    apply simp
    apply (metis  $\Lambda$ .Arr.simps(4)  $\Lambda$ .Ide.simps(4)  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Trg  $\Lambda$ .Src.simps(4)
       $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction2)
    by (metis  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Trg  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-not-ide  $\Lambda$ .ide-char)
  qed
qed
have  $t \neq \Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src  $t$ )  $\implies$  False
proof -
  assume 1:  $t \neq \Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src  $t$ )
  have 2:  $\neg \Lambda$ .Ide ( $\Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src  $t$ ))
    by (meson assms(1)  $\Lambda$ .NF-def  $\Lambda$ .NF-iff-has-no-redex  $\Lambda$ .arr-char
       $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-is-arr  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-not-ide
       $\Lambda$ .has-redex-iff-not-Ide-leftmost-strategy  $\Lambda$ .ide-char
       $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1)
  have  $\Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction ( $\Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src  $t$ ) \  $t$ )
  proof -
    have  $\Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction ( $\Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src  $t$ ))
      by (metis assms(1) 2  $\Lambda$ .Ide-Src  $\Lambda$ .Ide-iff-Src-self  $\Lambda$ .arr-char
         $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-is-arr  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-leftmost-strategy
         $\Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction-def  $\Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy-is-reduction-strategy
         $\Lambda$ .reduction-strategy-def  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1)
    moreover have 3:  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction  $t$ 
      using assms  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1 by simp
    moreover have  $\neg \Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction  $t$ 
      using 1  $\Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction-def by auto
    moreover have  $\Lambda$ .coinitial ( $\Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src  $t$ )  $t$ )
      using 3  $\Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy-is-reduction-strategy  $\Lambda$ .reduction-strategy-def
         $\Lambda$ .Ide-Src  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-is-arr
      by force
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using 1  $\Lambda$ .leftmost-reduction-preservation by blast
  qed
  moreover have  $\Lambda$ .coinitial ( $\Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src  $t$ ) \  $t$ )  $u$ 
    using assms(1) calculation  $\Lambda$ .Arr-not-Nil  $\Lambda$ .Src-resid  $\Lambda$ .elementary-reduction-is-arr
       $\Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction-def  $\Lambda$ .seq-char  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-seq
    by force
  moreover have  $\bigwedge v. [\Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction  $v$ ;  $\Lambda$ .coinitial  $v$   $u$ ]  $\implies$   $v = u$ 
    by (metis  $\Lambda$ .arr-iff-has-source  $\Lambda$ .arr-resid-iff-con  $\Lambda$ .confluence assms(2)
       $\Lambda$ .Arr-not-Nil  $\Lambda$ .Coinitial-iff-Con  $\Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction-def  $\Lambda$ .sources-char $_{\Lambda}$ )
  ultimately have  $\Lambda$ .leftmost-strategy ( $\Lambda$ .Src  $t$ ) \  $t = u$ 
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1) * by blast
qed
thus ?thesis
  using assms(1)  $\Lambda$ .is-leftmost-reduction-def  $\Lambda$ .sseq-imp-elementary-reduction1 by force
qed

```

lemma *elementary-reduction-to-NF-is-leftmost*:
shows $\llbracket \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } t; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [t]) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t) = t$
proof (*induct t*)
 show $\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } \#) = \#$
 by *simp*
 show $\bigwedge x. \llbracket \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } \langle x \rangle; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [\langle x \rangle]) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } \langle x \rangle) = \langle x \rangle$
 by *auto*
 show $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } t; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [t]) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t) = t;$
 $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } \lambda[t]; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [\lambda[t]])$
 $\Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } \lambda[t]) = \lambda[t]$
 using *lambda-calculus.NF-Lam-iff lambda-calculus.elementary-reduction-is-arr* **by** *force*
 show $\bigwedge t1\ t2. \llbracket \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } t1; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [t1]) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) = t1;$
 $\llbracket \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } t2; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [t2]) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2) = t2;$
 $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2); \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [\lambda[t1] \bullet t2])$
 $\Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\lambda[t1] \bullet t2)) = \lambda[t1] \bullet t2$
 apply *simp*
 by (*metis* $\Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Src-self } \Lambda.\text{Ide-implies-Arr}$)
fix *t1 t2*
assume *ind1*: $\llbracket \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } t1; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [t1]) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t1) = t1$
assume *ind2*: $\llbracket \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } t2; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [t2]) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } t2) = t2$
assume *t*: $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction } (\Lambda.\text{App } t1\ t2)$
have *t1*: $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t1$
 using *t* $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$ $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-is-arr}$ **by** *blast*
have *t2*: $\Lambda.\text{Arr } t2$
 using *t* $\Lambda.\text{Arr.simps}(4)$ $\Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-is-arr}$ **by** *blast*
assume *NF*: $\Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [\Lambda.\text{App } t1\ t2])$
have *1*: $\neg \Lambda.\text{is-Lam } t1$
 using *NF* $\Lambda.\text{NF-def}$
 apply (*cases t1*)
 apply *simp-all*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*) $\Lambda.\text{Ide.simps}(1)$ $\Lambda.\text{NF-App-iff}$ $\Lambda.\text{Trg.simps}(2-3)$ $\Lambda.\text{lambda.discI}(2)$)
have *2*: $\Lambda.\text{NF } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } t1) \wedge \Lambda.\text{NF } (\Lambda.\text{Trg } t2)$
 using *NF t1 t2 1* $\Lambda.\text{NF-App-iff}$ **by** *simp*
show $\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\Lambda.\text{Src } (\Lambda.\text{App } t1\ t2)) = \Lambda.\text{App } t1\ t2$
 using *t t1 t2 1 2 ind1 ind2*
 apply (*cases t1*)
 apply *simp-all*
 apply (*metis* $\Lambda.\text{Ide.simps}(4)$ $\Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Src-self } \Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Trg-self}$
 $\Lambda.\text{NF-iff-has-no-redex } \Lambda.\text{elementary-reduction-not-ide } \Lambda.\text{eq-Ide-are-cong}$
 $\Lambda.\text{has-redex-iff-not-Ide-leftmost-strategy } \Lambda.\text{resid-Arr-Src } t1$)
 using $\Lambda.\text{Ide-iff-Src-self}$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *Std-path-to-NF-is-leftmost*:
shows $\llbracket \text{Std } T; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } T) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{set } T \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction}$
proof –
have $1: \bigwedge t. \llbracket \text{Std } (t \# T); \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } (t \# T)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction } t$ **for** T
proof (*induct* T)
show $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \text{Std } [t]; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } [t]) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction } t$
using *elementary-reduction-to-NF-is-leftmost* $\Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction-def}$ **by** *simp*
fix $t u T$
assume $\text{ind}: \bigwedge t. \llbracket \text{Std } (t \# T); \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } (t \# T)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction } t$
assume $\text{Std}: \text{Std } (t \# u \# T)$
assume $\Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } (t \# u \# T))$
show $\Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction } t$
using $\text{Std } \langle \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } (t \# u \# T)) \rangle$ *ind sseq-reflects-leftmost-reduction* **by** *auto*
qed
show $\llbracket \text{Std } T; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } T) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{set } T \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction}$
proof (*induct* T)
show $2: \text{set } [] \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction}$
by *simp*
fix $t T$
assume $\text{ind}: \llbracket \text{Std } T; \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } T) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{set } T \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction}$
assume $\text{Std}: \text{Std } (t \# T)$ **and** $\text{NF}: \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } (t \# T))$
show $\text{set } (t \# T) \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction}$
by (*metis* $1\ 2\ \text{NF}\ \text{Std}\ \text{Std-consE}\ \text{Trg.elims}\ \text{ind}\ \text{insert-subset}\ \text{list.inject}\ \text{list.simps}(15)$)
mem-Collect-eq
qed
qed

theorem *leftmost-reduction-theorem*:
shows $\Lambda.\text{normalizing-strategy } \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy}$
proof (*unfold* $\Lambda.\text{normalizing-strategy-def}$, *intro* allI impI)
fix a
assume $a: \Lambda.\text{normalizable } a$
show $\exists n. \Lambda.\text{NF } (\Lambda.\text{reduce } \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } a\ n)$
proof (*cases* $\Lambda.\text{NF } a$)
show $\Lambda.\text{NF } a \Longrightarrow ?\text{thesis}$
by (*metis* $\text{lambda-calculus.reduce.simps}(1)$)
assume $1: \neg \Lambda.\text{NF } a$
obtain T **where** $T: \text{Arr } T \wedge \text{Src } T = a \wedge \Lambda.\text{NF } (\text{Trg } T)$
using a $\Lambda.\text{normalizable-def red-iff}$ **by** *auto*
have $2: \neg \text{Ide } T$
using $T\ 1$ *Ide-imp-Src-eq-Trg* **by** *fastforce*
obtain U **where** $U: \text{Std } U \wedge \text{cong } T\ U$
using $T\ 2$ *standardization-theorem* **by** *blast*
have $3: \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction}$
using $1\ U$ *Std-path-to-NF-is-leftmost*
by (*metis* $\text{Con-Arr-self Resid-parallel Src-resid } T\ \text{cong-implies-coinitial}$)
have $\bigwedge U. \llbracket \text{Arr } U; \text{length } U = n; \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $U = \text{apply-strategy } \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Src } U) (\text{length } U)$ **for** n

```

proof (induct n)
  show  $\bigwedge U. \llbracket \text{Arr } U; \text{length } U = 0; \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction} \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies U = \text{apply-strategy } \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Src } U) (\text{length } U)$ 
    by simp
  fix n U
  assume ind:  $\bigwedge U. \llbracket \text{Arr } U; \text{length } U = n; \text{set } U \subseteq \text{Collect } \Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction} \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies U = \text{apply-strategy } \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Src } U) (\text{length } U)$ 
  assume U: Arr U
  assume n: length U = Suc n
  assume set: set U  $\subseteq$  Collect  $\Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction}$ 
  show U = apply-strategy  $\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Src } U) (\text{length } U)$ 
  proof (cases n = 0)
    show n = 0  $\implies$  ?thesis
      using U n 1 set  $\Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction-def}$ 
      by (cases U) auto
    assume 5: n  $\neq$  0
    have 4: hd U =  $\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Src } U)$ 
      using n U set  $\Lambda.\text{is-leftmost-reduction-def}$ 
      by (cases U) auto
    have 6: tl U  $\neq$  []
      using 4 5 n U
      by (metis Suc-length-conv list.sel(3) list.size(3))
    show ?thesis
      using 4 5 6 n U set ind [of tl U]
      apply (cases n)
      apply simp-all
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Arr-consE Nil-tl Nitpick.size-list-simp(2)
        ind [of tl U]  $\Lambda.\text{arr-char } \Lambda.\text{trg-char list.collapse list.set-sel(2)$ 
        old.nat.inject reduction-paths.apply-strategy.simps(2) subset-code(1))
  qed
qed
hence U = apply-strategy  $\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } (\text{Src } U) (\text{length } U)$ 
  by (metis 3 Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) U ide-char)
moreover have Src U = a
  using T U cong-implies-coinitial
  by (metis Con-imp-eq-Srcs Con-implies-Arr(2) Ide.simps(1) Srcs-simpPWE empty-set
    ex-un-Src ide-char list.set-intros(1) list.simps(15))
ultimately have Trg U =  $\Lambda.\text{reduce } \Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy } a (\text{length } U)$ 
  using reduce-eq-Trg-apply-strategy
  by (metis Arr.simps(1) Con-implies-Arr(1) Ide.simps(1) U a ide-char
     $\Lambda.\text{leftmost-strategy-is-reduction-strategy } \Lambda.\text{normalizable-def length-greater-0-conv}$ )
thus ?thesis
  by (metis Ide.simps(1) Ide-imp-Src-eq-Trg Src-resid T Trg-resid-sym U ide-char)
qed
qed
end
end

```

Bibliography

- [1] H. Barendregt. *The Lambda-calculus: Its Syntax and Semantics*. North-Holland, 1984.
- [2] M. Copes. A machine-checked proof of the standardization theorem in lambda calculus using multiple substitution. Master's thesis, Universidad ORT Uruguay, 2018. <https://dspace.ort.edu.uy/bitstream/handle/20.500.11968/3725/Material%20completo.pdf>.
- [3] H. B. Curry and R. Feys. *Combinatory Logic*, volume 1. North-Holland, 1958.
- [4] N. G. de Bruijn. Lambda-calculus notation with nameless dummies, a tool for automatic formula manipulation, with application to the church-rosser theorem. *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)*, 34(5):381–392, 1972.
- [5] R. de Vrijer. A direct proof of the finite developments theorem. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 50(2):339–343, June 1985.
- [6] R. Hindley. Reductions of residuals are finite. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 240:345–361, June 1978.
- [7] G. Huet. Residual theory in λ -calculus: A formal development. *Journal of Functional Programming*, 4(3):371–394, 1994.
- [8] J.-J. Lévy. *Réductions correctes et optimales dans le λ -calcul*. PhD thesis, U. Paris VII, 1978. Thèse d'Etat.
- [9] D. E. Schroer. *The Church-Rosser Theorem*. PhD thesis, Cornell University, 1965.
- [10] E. W. Stark. Concurrent transition systems. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 64:221–269, July 1989.
- [11] E. W. Stark. Category theory with adjunctions and limits. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, June 2016. <http://isa-afp.org/entries/Category3.shtml>, Formal proof development.