Rabin's Closest Pair of Points Algorithm

Emin Karayel Zixuan Fan

September 23, 2024

Abstract

This entry formalizes Rabin's randomized algorithm for the closest pair of points problem with expected linear running time. Remarkable is that the best-known deterministic algorithms have super-linear running times. Hence this algorithm is one of the first known examples of randomized algorithms that outperform deterministic algorithms.

The formalization also introduces a probabilistic time monad, which builds on the existing deterministic time monad.

Contents

1	Introduction		1
	1.1	Preliminary Algorithms in the Time Monad	3
	1.2	Probabilistic Time Monad	4
	1.3	Randomized Closest Points Algorithm	7
2	Correctness		8
3	Growth of Close Points		17
4	Spe	ed	25

1 Introduction

This entry formalizes Rabin's randomized closest points algorithm [6], with expected linear run-time.

Given a sequence of points in euclidean space, the algorithm finds the pair of points with the smallest distance between them.

Remarkable is that the best known deterministic algorithm for this problem has running time $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ for n points [1, Section 1]. Some of them have been formalized in Isabelle by Rau and Nipkow [7, 8].

The algorithm starts by choosing a grid-distance d, and storing the points in a square-grid whose cells have that side-length.

Then it traverses the points, computing the distance of each with the points in the same (or neighboring) cells in the square grid. (Two cells are considered neighboring, if they share an edge or a vertex.)

The fundamental dilemma of the algorithm is the correct choice of d. If it is too small, then it could happen that the two closest points of the sequence are not in neighboring cells. This means d must be chosen larger or equal to the closest-point distance of the sequence. On the other hand, if d is chosen too large, it may cause too many points ending up in the same cell, which increases the running time.

The original algorithm by Rabin, chooses d by sampling $n^{2/3}$ points and using the minimum distance of those points. This can be computed using recursion (or a sub-quadratic deterministic algorithm.)

An improvement to the algorithm, has been observed in a blog-post by Richard Lipton [5]. Instead of obtaining a sub-sample of the points in the first step to chose d, he observes that it is possible to sample n independent point pairs and computing the minimum distance of the pairs. The refined algorithm is considerably simpler, avoiding the need for recursion. Similarly, the running time proof is simpler. (This entry formalizes this later version.) In either case, the algorithm always returns the correct result with expected linear running time.

Note that, as far as I can tell, the proof of this new version has not been published. As such this entry contains an informal proof for the results in each section.

Something that should be noted is that we assume a hypothetical data structure for the square-grid, i.e., a mapping from a pair of integers identifying the cell to the points located in the cell, that can be initialized in time $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and access time proportional to the count of points in the cell (or $\mathcal{O}(1)$ if the cell is empty.) A naive implementation of such a data structure would however have unbounded intialization time, if some points are really far apart.

The above was a discussion point that was raised by Fortune and Hopcroft [3]. Later Dietzfelbinger [2] resolved the issue by providing a concrete implementation of the data structure using a hash table, with a hash function chosen randomly from a pair-wise independent family, to guarantee the presumed costs of the hypothetical data structure in expectation. However, for the sake of simplicity and consistency with Rabin's paper, we omit this implementation detail, and pretend the hypothetical data structure exists.

Note also that, even with the hash table, it would not be possible to implement the algorithm in linear time in Isabelle directly as it requires random-access arrays.

The following introduces a few primitive algorithms for the time monad, which will be followed by the construction of the probabilistic time monad,

which is necessary for the verification of the expected running time. After which the algorithm will be formalized. Its properties will be verified in the following sections.

Related Work: Closely related is a recursive meshing based approach developed by Khuller and Matias [4] in 1995. Banyassady and Mulzer have given a new analysis of the expected running time [1] of Rabin's algorithm in 2007. However, this work follows Rabin's original paper.

theory Randomized-Closest-Pair

Root-Balanced-Tree. Time-Monad

 $remove1-tm \ x \ [] = 1 \ return \ []$

HOL-Probability.Probability-Mass-Function

imports

```
Karatsuba. Main-TM
   Closest-Pair-Points.Common
begin
hide-const (open) Giry-Monad.return
       Preliminary Algorithms in the Time Monad
1.1
Time Monad version of min-list.
fun min-list-tm :: 'a::ord \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
 min-list-tm (x \# y \# zs) = 1
     r \leftarrow min\text{-}list\text{-}tm \ (y\#zs);
     Time-Monad.return (min x r)
   min-list-tm (x\#[]) = 1 Time-Monad.return x |
   min-list-tm [] = 1 undefined
lemma val-min-list: xs \neq [] \implies val \ (min-list-tm \ xs) = min-list \ xs
 by (induction xs rule:induct-list012) auto
lemma time-min-list: xs \neq [] \implies time (min-list-tm \ xs) = length \ xs
 by (induction xs rule:induct-list012) (simp-all)
Time Monad version of remove 1.
fun remove1-tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ tm
  where
   remove1-tm \ x \ (y\#ys) = 1 \ (
     if x = y then
       return ys
       remove1-tm \ x \ ys \gg (\lambda r. \ return \ (y\#r))
```

```
lemma val-remove1: val (remove1-tm x ys) = remove1 x ys
by (induction ys) simp+
lemma time-remove1: time (remove1-tm x ys) \leq 1 + length ys
by (induction ys) (simp-all)
```

The following is a substitute for accounting for operations, where it was not possible to do directly. One reason for this is that we abstract away the data structure of the grid (an infinite 2D-table), which properly implemented, would required the use of a hash table and 2-independent hash functions. A second reason is that we need to transfer the resource usage in the bind operation of the probabilistic time monad (See below in the definition bind-tpmf).

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ custom\text{-}tick :: nat \Rightarrow unit \ tm \\ \mathbf{where} \\ custom\text{-}tick \ (Suc \ n) = 1 \ custom\text{-}tick \ n \ | \\ custom\text{-}tick \ 0 = return \ () \end{array}
```

lemma time-custom-tick: time (custom-tick n) = n by (induction n) auto

1.2 Probabilistic Time Monad

The following defines the probabilistic time monad using the type 'a~tm~pmf, i.e., the algorithm returns a probability space of pairs of values and time-consumptions.

Note that the alternative type 'a~pmf~tm, i.e., a constant time consumption with a value-distribution does not work since the running time may depend on random choices.

```
type-synonym 'a tpmf = 'a \ tm \ pmf

definition bind\text{-}tpmf :: 'a \ tpmf \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ tpmf) \Rightarrow 'b \ tpmf

where bind\text{-}tpmf \ m \ f =
do \ \{ \\ x \leftarrow m; \\ r \leftarrow f \ (val \ x); \\ return\text{-}pmf \ (custom\text{-}tick \ (time \ x) \gg (\lambda\text{-}. \ r)) \\ \}
definition return\text{-}tpmf :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ tpmf
```

The following allows the lifting of a deterministic algorithm in the time monad into the probabilistic time monad.

```
definition lift-tm :: 'a \ tm \Rightarrow 'a \ tpmf
where lift-tm \ x = return-pmf \ x
```

where return-tpmf x = return-pmf (return x)

The following allows the lifting of a randomized algorithm into the probabilisite time monad. Note this should only be done, for primitive cases, as it requires accounting of the time usage.

```
definition lift-pmf :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ pmf \Rightarrow 'a \ tpmf
 where lift-pmf k m = map-pmf (\lambda x. custom-tick k \gg (\lambda-. return x)) m
adhoc-overloading Monad-Syntax.bind bind-tpmf
lemma val-bind-tpmf:
 map-pmf\ val\ (bind-tpmf\ m\ f) = map-pmf\ val\ m \gg (\lambda x.\ map-pmf\ val\ (f\ x))
 (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 have map-pmf val (bind-tpmf m f) = m \gg (\lambda x. f (val x) \gg (\lambda x. return-pmf
(val\ x)))
   unfolding bind-tpmf-def map-bind-pmf by simp
 also have ... = ?R unfolding bind-map-pmf by (simp \ add: map-pmf-def)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma val-return-tpmf:
 map-pmf\ val\ (return-tpmf\ x) = return-pmf\ x
 unfolding return-tpmf-def by simp
lemma val-lift-tpmf: map-pmf val (lift-pmf k x) = x
 unfolding lift-pmf-def val-bind-tpmf map-pmf-comp by simp
lemma val-lift-tm:
 map-pmf\ val\ (lift-tm\ x) = return-pmf\ (val\ x)
 unfolding lift-tm-def by simp
lemmas \ val-tpmf-simps = val-bind-tpmf \ val-lift-tpmf \ val-return-tpmf \ val-lift-tm
lemma time-return-tpmf: map-pmf time (return-tpmf x) = return-pmf \theta
 unfolding return-tpmf-def by simp
lemma time-lift-pmf: map-pmf time (lift-pmf x p) = return-pmf x
 unfolding lift-pmf-def map-pmf-comp by (simp add: time-custom-tick)
lemma time-bind-tpmf: map-pmf time (bind-tpmf m f) =
 do \{
   x \leftarrow m;
   y \leftarrow f (val \ x);
   return-pmf (time\ x + time\ y)
 unfolding bind-tpmf-def map-bind-pmf by (simp add:time-custom-tick)
lemma bind-return-tm: bind-tm (Time-Monad.return x) f = f x
 by (simp add:tm-simps tm.case-eq-if)
```

```
lemma bind-return-tpmf: bind-tpmf (return-tpmf x) f = (f x)
 unfolding bind-tpmf-def return-tpmf-def
 by (simp add:bind-return-pmf bind-return-tm bind-return-pmf')
Version of replicate-pmf for the probabilistic time monad.
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{replicate-tpmf} \ :: \ \mathit{nat} \ \Rightarrow \ 'a \ \mathit{tpmf} \ \Rightarrow \ 'a \ \mathit{list} \ \mathit{tpmf}
  where
   replicate-tpmf \ 0 \ p = return-tpmf \ [] \ |
   replicate-tpmf (Suc n) p =
     do \{
       x \leftarrow p;
       y \leftarrow \textit{replicate-tpmf n } p;
       return-tpmf (x\#y)
\mathbf{lemma}\ time\text{-}replicate\text{-}tpmf\text{:}
 time p))
proof (induction \ n)
 case 0 thus ?case by (simp add:time-return-tpmf)
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 have map-pmf time (replicate-tpmf (Suc n) p) =
   p \gg (\lambda x. \ replicate-tpmf \ n \ p \gg (\lambda y. \ return-pmf \ (time \ x + time \ y)))
   by (simp add: time-bind-tpmf return-tpmf-def)
    (simp add: bind-tpmf-def bind-assoc-pmf bind-return-pmf time-custom-tick)
 also have ... = map-pmf \ time \ p \gg 
   (\lambda x. \ map-pmf \ time \ (replicate-tpmf \ n \ p) \gg (\lambda y. \ return-pmf \ (x + y)))
   unfolding map-pmf-def by (simp add:bind-assoc-pmf bind-return-pmf)
 also have ... = map-pmf time p \gg (\lambda x. replicate-pmf n (map-pmf time p) \gg
   (\lambda y. return-pmf (x + sum-list y)))
   by (subst Suc) (metis (no-types, lifting) bind-map-pmf bind-pmf-cong)
 also have ... = map-pmf sum-list (replicate-pmf (Suc n) (map-pmf time p))
   by (simp add:map-bind-pmf)
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma val-replicate-tpmf:
  map-pmf\ val\ (replicate-tpmf\ n\ x) = replicate-pmf\ n\ (map-pmf\ val\ x)
 by (induction \ n) (simp-all \ add:val-tpmf-simps)
lemma set-val-replicate-tpmf:
 assumes xs \in set\text{-}pmf \ (replicate\text{-}tpmf \ n \ p)
 shows length (val\ xs) = n\ set\ (val\ xs) \subseteq val\ `set-pmf\ p
proof -
 have val\ xs \in set\text{-}pmf\ (map\text{-}pmf\ val\ (replicate\text{-}tpmf\ n\ p)) using assms by simp
 thus length (val \ xs) = n \ set \ (val \ xs) \subseteq val \ `set-pmf \ p
   unfolding val-replicate-tpmf set-replicate-pmf by auto
```

```
lemma replicate-return-pmf[simp]: replicate-pmf n (return-pmf x) = return-pmf (replicate n x) by (induction n) (simp-all add:bind-return-pmf)
```

1.3 Randomized Closest Points Algorithm

Using the above we can express the randomized closests points algorithm in the probabilistic time monad.

```
type-synonym point = real^2

record grid =

g-dist :: real

g-lookup :: int * int <math>\Rightarrow point \ list \ tm

definition to-grid :: real <math>\Rightarrow point \Rightarrow int * int

where to-grid \ d \ x = (|x \$ 1/d|, |x \$ 2/d|)
```

This represents the grid data-structure mentioned before. We assume the build time is linear to the number of points stored and the access time is at least $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and proportional to the number of points in the cell. (In practice this would be implemented using hash functions.)

```
definition build-grid :: point list \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow grid tm where
  build-grid xs d =
  do \{
    -\leftarrow custom\text{-}tick (length xs);
    return (
      q-dist = d,
     g-lookup = (\lambda q. map-tm return (filter (<math>\lambda x. to-grid d x = q) xs))
    }
definition sample-distance :: point list \Rightarrow real tpmf where
  sample-distance ps = do \{
    i \leftarrow lift\text{-pmf 1 (pmf-of-set } \{i. \text{ fst } i < snd \ i \land snd \ i < length \ ps\});
    return-tpmf (dist (ps! (fst i)) (ps! (snd i)))
lemma val-sample-distance:
  map-pmf\ val\ (sample-distance\ ps) = map-pmf\ (\lambda i.\ dist\ (ps\ !\ (fst\ i))\ (ps\ !\ (snd
  (pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \ \{i.\ fst\ i < snd\ i \land snd\ i < length\ ps\})
 unfolding sample-distance-def by (simp add:val-tpmf-simps) (simp add:map-pmf-def)
definition first-phase :: point \ list \Rightarrow real \ tpmf \ \mathbf{where}
  first-phase ps = do {
    ds \leftarrow replicate-tpmf (length ps) (sample-distance ps);
```

```
lift-tm (min-list-tm ds) } definition lookup-neighborhood :: grid \Rightarrow point \Rightarrow point list tm where lookup-neighborhood grid p = do \{ d \leftarrow tick (g-dist grid); q \leftarrow tick (to-grid d p); cs \leftarrow map-tm (\lambda x. tick (x + q)) [(0,0),(0,1),(1,-1),(1,0),(1,1)]; map-tm (g-lookup grid) cs > concat-tm > remove1-tm p }
```

This function collects all points in the cell of the given point and those from the neighboring cells. Here it is relevant to note that only half of the neighboring cells are taken. This is because of symmetry, i.e., if point p is north-east of point q, then q is south-west of point q. Since all points are being traversed it is enough to restrict the neighbor set.

```
definition calc-dists-neighborhood :: grid \Rightarrow point \Rightarrow real \ list \ tm
  where calc-dists-neighborhood grid p =
      ns \leftarrow lookup\text{-}neighborhood\ grid\ p;
      map\text{-}tm \ (tick \circ dist \ p) \ ns
definition second-phase :: real \Rightarrow point \ list \Rightarrow real \ tm where
  second-phase d ps = do {
    grid \leftarrow build\text{-}grid ps d;
    ns \leftarrow map\text{-}tm \ (calc\text{-}dists\text{-}neighborhood \ grid) \ ps;
    concat-tm \ ns \gg min-list-tm
definition closest-pair :: point \ list \Rightarrow real \ tpmf where
  closest-pair ps = do {
    d \leftarrow \textit{first-phase ps};
    \textit{if } d = \textit{0 then}
      lift-tm (tick 0)
      lift-tm (second-phase d ps)
end
```

2 Correctness

This section verifies that the algorithm always returns the correct result. Because the algorithm checks every pair of points in the same or in neighboring cells. It is enough to establish that the grid distance is at least the distance of the closest pair.

theory Randomized-Closest-Pair-Correct

The latter is true by construction, because the grid distance is chosen as a minimum of actually occurring point distances.

```
imports Randomized-Closest-Pair
begin
definition min-dist :: ('a::metric-space) list \Rightarrow real
where min-dist xs = Min \{dist \ x \ y|x \ y. \ \{\# \ x, \ y\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ xs\}
```

For a list with length at least two, the result is the minimum distance between the points of any two elements of the list. This means that min-dist xs = 0, if and only if the same point occurs twice in the list.

Note that this means, we won't assume the distinctness of the input list, and show the correctness of the algorithm in the above sense.

```
lemma image-conv-2: \{f \ x \ y | x \ y. \ p \ x \ y\} = (case-prod \ f) '\{(x,y). \ p \ x \ y\} by auto lemma min-dist-set-fin: finite \{dist \ x \ y | x \ y. \ \{\#x, \ y\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ xs\} proof — have a:finite (set xs \times set \ xs) by simp have x \in \# \ mset \ xs \land y \in \# \ mset \ xs if \{\#x, \ y\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ xs for x \ y using that by (meson insert-union-subset-iff mset-subset-eq-insertD) thus ?thesis unfolding image-conv-2 by (intro finite-imageI finite-subset[OF-a]) auto qed
```

```
lemma min-dist-ne: length xs \geq 2 \longleftrightarrow \{dist \ x \ y | x \ y. \ \{\# \ x,y\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ xs\} \neq \emptyset
\{\} (\mathbf{is} ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)
proof
  assume ?L
  then obtain xh1 xh2 xt where xs:xs=xh1\#xh2\#xt by (metis Suc-le-length-iff
  hence \{\#xh1, xh2\#\} \subseteq \# mset xs unfolding xs by simp
  thus ?R by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume ?R
  then obtain x y where xy: \{\#x,y\#\} \subseteq \# mset xs by auto
  have 2 \leq size \{\#x, y\#\} by simp
  also have ... \leq size (mset xs) by (intro size-mset-mono xy)
  finally have 2 \le size \ (mset \ xs) by simp
  thus ?L by simp
qed
lemmas min-dist-neI = iffD1[OF min-dist-ne]
```

lemma min-dist-nonneg: assumes $length \ xs \ge 2$ shows min-dist $xs \ge 0$

```
min-dist-ne]) auto
lemma min-dist-pos-iff:
  assumes length xs > 2
  shows distinct xs \longleftrightarrow 0 < min\text{-}dist \ xs
proof -
  have \neg(distinct\ xs) \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ x.\ count\ (mset\ xs)\ x \neq of\text{-}bool\ (x \in set\ xs))
    unfolding of-bool-def distinct-count-atmost-1 by fastforce
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. \ count \ (mset \ xs) \ x \notin \{0,1\})
    using count-mset-0-iff by (intro ex-cong1) simp
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. \ count \ (mset \ xs) \ x \ge count \ \{\#x, \ x\#\} \ x)
  by (intro ex-cong1) (simp add:numeral-eq-Suc Suc-le-eq dual-order.strict-iff-order)
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. \{\#x, x\#\} \subseteq \# mset xs) by (intro\ ex\text{-}cong1) (simp\ add:
subseteq-mset-def)
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow 0 \in \{ dist \ x \ y \ | x \ y. \ \{ \#x, \ y\# \} \subseteq \# \ mset \ xs \} \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow min-dist xs = 0 (is ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)
  proof
    assume ?L
  hence min-dist xs \leq \theta unfolding min-dist-def by (intro Min-le min-dist-set-fin)
    thus min-dist \ xs = 0 \ using \ min-dist-nonneg[OF \ assms] by auto
  next
    assume ?R
    thus 0 \in \{dist \ x \ y \ | x \ y. \ \{\#x, \ y\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ xs\}
       unfolding min-dist-def using Min-in[OF min-dist-set-fin min-dist-neI]OF
assms]] by simp
  finally have \neg(distinct \ xs) \longleftrightarrow min\text{-}dist \ xs = 0 \ \text{by } simp
  thus ?thesis using min-dist-nonneg[OF assms] by auto
qed
lemma multiset-filter-mono-2:
  assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in set\text{-}mset \ xs \Longrightarrow P \ x \Longrightarrow Q \ x
  shows filter-mset P xs \subseteq \# filter-mset Q xs (is ?L \subseteq \# ?R)
proof -
 have ?L = filter\text{-mset}(\lambda x. Qx \land Px) \text{ } xs \text{ } using \text{ } assms \text{ } by \text{ } (intro filter\text{-mset-conq})
auto
  also have \dots = filter\text{-}mset\ P\ (filter\text{-}mset\ Q\ xs) by (simp\ add:filter\text{-}filter\text{-}mset)
  also have ... \subseteq \# ?R by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma filter-mset-disj:
  filter-mset (\lambda x. p \ x \lor q \ x) \ xs = filter-mset \ (\lambda x. p \ x \land \neg q \ x) \ xs + filter-mset \ q \ xs
  by (induction xs) auto
lemma size-filter-mset-decompose:
  assumes finite T
  shows size (filter-mset (\lambda x. f x \in T) xs) = (\sum t \in T. size (filter-mset (\lambda x. f x)))
```

unfolding min-dist-def by (intro Min.boundedI min-dist-set-fin assms iffD1 [OF

```
= t) xs)
 using assms
proof (induction T)
 case empty thus ?case by simp
  case (insert x F) thus ?case by (simp add:filter-mset-disj) metis
qed
lemma size-filter-mset-decompose':
 size (filter-mset (\lambda x. f x \in T) xs) = sum'(\lambda t. size (filter-mset (\lambda x. f x = t) xs))
 (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 let ?T = f 'set-mset xs \cap T
 have ?L = size (filter-mset (\lambda x. f x \in ?T) xs)
   by (intro arg-cong[where f=size] filter-mset-cong) auto
 also have ... = (\sum t \in ?T. size (filter-mset (\lambda x. f x = t) xs))
   by (intro size-filter-mset-decompose) auto
 also have ... = sum'(\lambda t. \ size \ (filter-mset \ (\lambda x. \ f \ x = t) \ xs)) \ ?T
   by (intro sum.eq-sum[symmetric]) auto
 also have ... = ?R by (intro sum.mono-neutral-left') auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma filter-product:
 filter (\lambda x. \ P \ (fst \ x) \land Q \ (snd \ x)) \ (List.product \ xs \ ys) = List.product \ (filter \ P \ xs)
(filter Q ys)
proof (induction xs)
 case Nil thus ?case by simp
 case (Cons xh xt) thus ?case by (simp add:filter-map comp-def)
qed
lemma floor-diff-bound: |\lfloor x \rfloor - \lfloor y \rfloor| \le \lceil |x - (y::real)| \rceil by linarith
lemma power2-strict-mono:
 fixes x y :: 'a :: linordered-idom
 assumes |x| < |y|
 shows x^2 < y^2
 using assms unfolding power2-eq-square
 by (metis abs-mult-less abs-mult-self-eq)
definition grid ps d = (g-dist = d, g-lookup = (\lambda q. map-tm return (filter (\lambda x.
lemma build-grid-val: val (build-grid ps d) = grid ps d
 unfolding build-grid-def grid-def by simp
```

```
lemma lookup-neighborhood:
  mset\ (val\ (lookup-neighborhood\ (grid\ ps\ d)\ p)) =
  filter-mset (\lambda x. to-grid d x - to-grid d p \in \{(0,0),(0,1),(1,-1),(1,0),(1,1)\})
(mset \ ps) - \{\#p\#\}
proof -
 define ls where ls = [(0::int,0::int),(0,1),(1,-1),(1,0),(1,1)]
 define g where g = grid ps d
 define cs where cs = map((+)(to\text{-}qrid(q\text{-}dist q)p))([(\theta,\theta),(\theta,1),(1,-1),(1,\theta),(1,1)])
 have distinct-ls: distinct ls unfolding ls-def by (simp add: upto.simps)
 have mset (concat (map (\lambda x. val (g-lookup g (x + to-grid (g-dist g) p))) ls)) =
   mset\ (concat\ (map\ (\lambda x.\ filter\ (\lambda q.\ to-grid\ d\ q\ -\ to-grid\ d\ p\ =\ x)\ ps)\ ls))
   by (simp add:grid-def filter-eq-val-filter-tm cs-def comp-def algebra-simps ls-def
q-def)
  also have ... = \{ \# \ q \in \# \ mset \ ps. \ to-grid \ d \ q - to-grid \ d \ p \in set \ ls \ \# \}
   using distinct-ls by (induction ls) (simp-all add:filter-mset-disj, metis)
 also have ... = \{\#x \in \# \text{ mset ps. to-grid } dx - \text{to-grid } dp \in \{(0,0),(0,1),(1,-1),(1,0),(1,1)\} \#\}
   unfolding ls-def by simp
  finally have a:
   mset\ (concat\ (map\ (\lambda x.\ val\ (g-lookup\ g\ (x+to-grid\ (g-dist\ g)\ p)))\ ls)) =
  \{\#x \in \# \text{ mset ps. to-grid } dx - \text{ to-grid } dp \in \{(0,0),(0,1),(1,-1),(1,0),(1,1)\}\#\}
by simp
 thus ?thesis
   unfolding g-def[symmetric] lookup-neighborhood-def ls-def[symmetric]
   by (simp add:val-remove1 comp-def)
qed
lemma fin-nat-pairs: finite \{(i, j). i < j \land j < (n::nat)\}
 by (rule finite-subset[where B=\{...< n\}\times\{...< n\}]) auto
lemma mset-list-subset:
 assumes distinct ys set ys \subseteq \{..< length \ xs\}
 shows mset (map ((!) xs) ys) \subseteq \# mset xs (is ?L \subseteq \# ?R)
 have mset\ ys \subseteq \#\ mset\ [0..< length\ xs]\ using\ assms
  by (metis finite-lessThan mset-set-set mset-set-upto-eq-mset-upto subset-imp-msubset-mset-set)
 hence image-mset ((!) xs) (mset ys) \subseteq \# image-mset ((!) xs) (mset ([0..<length
xs]))
   by (intro image-mset-subseteq-mono)
 moreover have image-mset ((!) xs) (mset ([0..< length xs])) = mset xs by (metis
map-nth \ mset-map)
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma sample-distance:
 assumes length ps > 2
 shows AE d in map-pmf val (sample-distance ps). min-dist ps \leq d
```

```
proof -
 let ?S = \{i. \ fst \ i < snd \ i \wedge snd \ i < length \ ps\}
 let ?p = pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set ?S
 have (0,1) \in ?S using assms by auto
 hence a:finite ?S ?S \neq \{\}
   using fin-nat-pairs [where n=length ps] by (auto simp:case-prod-beta')
 have min-dist ps \leq dist (ps ! (fst x)) (ps ! (snd x)) if x \in ?S for x
 proof -
   have mset (map ((!) ps) [fst x, snd x]) <math>\subseteq \# mset ps
     using that by (intro mset-list-subset) auto
   hence \{\#ps \mid fst \ x, \ ps \mid snd \ x\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ ps \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
   hence (\lambda(x, y). \ dist \ x \ y) \ (ps! \ (fst \ x), \ ps! \ (snd \ x)) \in \{dist \ x \ y \ | x \ y. \ \{\#x, \ y\#\}\}
\subseteq \# mset ps \}
     unfolding image-conv-2 by (intro imageI) simp
   thus ?thesis unfolding min-dist-def by (intro Min-le min-dist-set-fin) simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  using a unfolding sample-distance-def map-pmf-def [symmetric] val-tpmf-simps
   by (intro\ AE-pmfI)\ (auto)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma first-phase:
 assumes length ps \geq 2
 shows AE d in map-pmf val (first-phase ps). min-dist ps \leq d
 have min-dist ps < val \ (min-list-tm \ ds)
  if ds-range: set ds \subseteq set-pmf (map-pmf val (sample-distance ps)) and length ds=length
ps for ds
 proof -
   have ds-ne: ds \neq [] using assms\ that(2) by auto
   have min-dist ps \leq a if a \in set ds for a
   proof -
    have a \in set\text{-pmf} (map-pmf val (sample-distance ps)) using ds-range that by
auto
   thus ?thesis using sample-distance[OF assms] by (auto simp add: AE-measure-pmf-iff)
   hence min-dist ps \leq Min \ (set \ ds) \ using \ ds-ne \ by \ (intro \ Min.bounded I) \ auto
   also have ... = min-list ds unfolding min-list-Min[OF ds-ne] by simp
   also have ... = val (min-list-tm \ ds) by (intro \ val-min-list[symmetric] \ ds-ne)
   finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis
   unfolding first-phase-def val-tpmf-simps val-replicate-tpmf
   by (intro AE-pmfI) (auto simp:set-replicate-pmf)
qed
```

```
definition grid-lex-ord :: int * int \Rightarrow int * int \Rightarrow bool
  where grid-lex-ord x y = (fst \ x < fst \ y \lor (fst \ x = fst \ y \land snd \ x \le snd \ y))
lemma grid-lex-order-antisym: grid-lex-ord x y \lor grid-lex-ord y x
  unfolding grid-lex-ord-def by auto
lemma grid-dist:
  fixes p \ q :: point
  assumes d > 0
  shows |\lfloor p \ \$ \ k/d \rfloor - \lfloor q \ \$ \ k/d \rfloor| \le \lceil dist \ p \ q/d \rceil
  have |p\$k - q\$k| = sqrt ((p\$k - q\$k)^2) by simp
  also have ... = sqrt (\sum j \in UNIV. of\text{-}bool(j=k)*(p\$j - q\$j)^2) by simp
  also have ... \leq dist \ p \ q \ unfolding \ dist-vec-def \ L2-set-def
   by (intro real-sqrt-le-mono sum-mono) (auto simp:dist-real-def)
  finally have |p\$k - q\$k| \le dist \ p \ q \ \text{by } simp
 hence 0:|p\$k|/d-q\$k|/d| \le dist \ p \ q \ /d \ using \ assms \ by \ (simp \ add:field-simps)
  have ||p\$k/d| - |q\$k/d|| \le \lceil |p\$k|/d - q\$k|/d| \rceil by (intro floor-diff-bound)
  also have ... \leq \lceil dist \ p \ q/d \rceil by (intro ceiling-mono \theta)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma grid-dist-2:
  fixes p \ q :: point
  assumes d > 0
  assumes \lceil dist \ p \ q/d \rceil \leq s
  shows to-grid d p - to-grid d q \in \{-s...s\} \times \{-s...s\}
proof -
  have f (to-grid d p) - f (to-grid d q) \in {-s..s} if f = fst \lor f = snd for f
  proof -
   have |f(to\text{-}grid\ d\ p) - f(to\text{-}grid\ d\ q)| \le \lceil dist\ p\ q/d \rceil
     using that grid-dist[OF assms(1)] unfolding to-grid-def by auto
   also have ... \le s by (intro\ assms(2))
   finally have |f(to\text{-}grid\ d\ p) - f(to\text{-}grid\ d\ q)| \le s\ \text{by } simp
   thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis by (simp add:mem-Times-iff)
lemma grid-dist-3:
  fixes p \ q :: point
  assumes d > \theta
  assumes \lceil dist \ q \ p/d \rceil \le 1 \ grid-lex-ord \ (to-grid \ d \ p) \ (to-grid \ d \ q)
 shows to-grid d q - to-grid d p \in \{(0,0),(0,1),(1,-1),(1,0),(1,1)\}
proof -
  have a:\{-1..1\} = \{-1,0,1::int\} by auto
 let ?r = to\text{-}grid\ d\ q - to\text{-}grid\ d\ p
 have ?r \in \{-1..1\} \times \{-1..1\} by (intro grid-dist-2 assms(1-2))
```

```
moreover have ?r \notin \{(-1,0),(-1,-1),(-1,1),(0,-1)\} using assms(3)
   unfolding grid-lex-ord-def insert-iff de-Morgan-disj
   by (intro conjI notI) (simp-all add:algebra-simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding a by simp
qed
lemma second-phase-aux:
  assumes d > 0 min-dist ps \le d length ps \ge 2
 obtains u v where
   min-dist\ ps=\ dist\ u\ v
   \{\#u, v\#\} \subseteq \# mset ps
   grid-lex-ord (to-grid d u) (to-grid d v)
   u \in set \ ps \ v \in set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ u))
proof -
 have \exists u \ v. \ min\text{-}dist \ ps = dist \ u \ v \land \{\#u, v\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ ps
     unfolding min-dist-def using Min-in[OF min-dist-set-fin min-dist-neI]OF
assms(3)]] by auto
 then obtain u v where uv:
   min\text{-}dist\ ps = dist\ u\ v\ \{\#u,\ v\#\} \subseteq \#\ mset\ ps
   grid-lex-ord (to-grid d u) (to-grid d v)
  using add-mset-commute dist-commute grid-lex-order-antisym by (metis (no-types,
lifting))
 have u-range: u \in set\ ps\ using\ uv(2)\ set\text{-mset-mono}\ by\ fastforce
 have to-grid d \ v - to-grid d \ u \in \{(0,0),(0,1),(1,-1),(1,0),(1,1)\}
   using assms(1,2) uv(1,3) by (intro grid-dist-3) (simp-all add:dist-commute)
 hence v \in \# mset (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) u))
    using uv(2) unfolding lookup-neighborhood by (simp add: in-diff-count in-
sert-subset-eq-iff)
 thus ?thesis using that u-range uv by simp
qed
lemma second-phase:
 assumes d > 0 min-dist ps \le d length ps \ge 2
 shows val (second-phase d ps) = min-dist ps (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 let ?g = grid ps d
 have \exists u \ v. \ min\text{-}dist \ ps = dist \ u \ v \land \{\#u, \ v\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ ps
     unfolding min-dist-def using Min-in[OF min-dist-set-fin min-dist-neI[OF
assms(3)]] by auto
  then obtain u v where uv:
   min\text{-}dist\ ps = dist\ u\ v\ \{\#u,\ v\#\} \subseteq \#\ mset\ ps
   grid-lex-ord (to-grid d u) (to-grid d v)
```

```
and u-range: u \in set ps
   and v-range: v \in set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ u))
   using second-phase-aux[OF assms] by auto
 hence a: val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) u) \neq [] by auto
 have \exists x \in set \ ps. \ min-dist \ ps \in dist \ x \ `set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d)
x))
   using v-range uv(1) by (intro bexI[where x=u] u-range) simp
 hence b: Min([]x \in set \ ps. \ dist \ x \ `set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ x)))
\leq min\text{-}dist\ ps
   by (intro Min.coboundedI finite-UN-I) simp-all
 have \{\# x, y\#\} \subseteq \# mset ps
   if x \in set \ ps \ y \in set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ x)) for x \ y
 proof -
   have y \in \# mset (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) x)) using that by simp
    moreover have mset (val\ (lookup-neighborhood\ (grid\ ps\ d)\ x)) \subseteq \# mset\ ps
- \{ \#x \# \}
     using that(1) unfolding lookup-neighborhood subset-eq-diff-conv by simp
   ultimately have y \in \# mset \ ps - \{\#x\#\} by (metis \ mset\text{-}subset\text{-}eqD)
   moreover have x \in \# mset \ ps \ using \ that(1) by simp
   ultimately show \{\#x, y\#\}\subseteq \# mset ps by (simp\ add:\ insert\text{-subset-eq-iff})
  qed
  hence c: min-dist ps \leq Min (\bigcup x \in set \ ps. \ dist \ x \ `set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood)
(grid\ ps\ d)\ x)))
  unfolding min-dist-def using a u-range by (intro Min-antimono min-dist-set-fin)
auto
 have ?L = val \ (min-list-tm \ (concat \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ map \ (dist \ x) \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood))))
(q(x))(ps)
  unfolding second-phase-def by (simp add:calc-dists-neighborhood-def build-grid-val)
 also have ... = min-list (concat (map (\lambda x. map (dist x) (val (lookup-neighborhood
(g(x))(ps)
   using assms(3) a u-range by (intro val-min-list) auto
 also have ... = Min (\bigcup x \in set \ ps. \ dist \ x \ `set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ ?q \ x)))
   using a u-range by (subst min-list-Min) auto
 also have ... = min-dist ps using b c by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
Main result of this section:
theorem closest-pair-correct:
 assumes length ps \geq 2
  shows AE r in map-pmf val (closest-pair ps). r = min-dist ps
  define fp where fp = map-pmf val (first-phase ps)
```

```
have r = min\text{-}dist ps if
   d \in fp
   r = (if \ d = 0 \ then \ 0 \ else \ val \ (second-phase \ d \ ps)) for r \ d
   have d-ge: d \ge min-dist ps
    \mathbf{using}\ that (1)\ first-phase [\mathit{OF}\ assms]\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{AE-measure-pmf-iff}\ \mathit{fp-def}\ [\mathit{symmetric}]
by simp
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases d > \theta)
     case True
     thus ?thesis using second-phase[OF True d-ge assms] that(2)
       by (simp\ add:\ AE\text{-}measure\text{-}pmf\text{-}iff)
   next
     {f case}\ {\it False}
     hence d = 0 min-dist ps = 0 using d-qe min-dist-nonneq[OF assms] by auto
     then show ?thesis using that(2) by auto
   qed
  qed
 thus ?thesis unfolding closest-pair-def val-tpmf-simps fp-def [symmetric] if-distrib
   by (intro AE-pmfI) (auto simp:if-distrib)
\mathbf{qed}
end
```

3 Growth of Close Points

This section verifies a result similar to (but more general than) Lemma 2 by Rabin [6]. Let N(d) denote the number of pairs from the point sequence p_1, \ldots, p_n , with distance less than d:

$$N(d) := |\{(i, j) | d(p_i, p_i) < d \land 1 \le i, j \le n\}|$$

Obviously, N(d) is monotone. It is possible to show that the growth of N(d) is bounded.

In particular:

$$N(ad) \le (2a\sqrt{2} + 3)^2 N(d)$$

for all a > 0, d > 0. As far as we can tell the proof below is new.

Proof: Consider a 2D-grid with size $\alpha := \frac{d}{\sqrt{2}}$ and let us denote by G(x,y) the number of points that fall in the cell $(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, i.e.:

$$G(x,y) := \left| \left\{ i \middle| \left\lfloor \frac{p_{i,1}}{\alpha} \right\rfloor = x \land \left\lfloor \frac{p_{i,2}}{\alpha} \right\rfloor = x \right\} \right|,$$

where $p_{i,1}$ (resp. $p_{i,2}$) denote the first (resp. second) component of point p. Let also $s := \lceil a\sqrt{2} \rceil$.

Then we can observe that

$$\begin{split} N(ad) & \leq \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=-s}^{s} \sum_{j=-s}^{s} G(x,y) G(x+i,y+j) \\ & = \sum_{i=-s}^{s} \sum_{j=-s}^{s} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} G(x,y) G(x+i,y+j) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=-s}^{s} \sum_{j=-s}^{s} \left(\left(\sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} G(x,y)^{2} \right) \left(\sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} G(x+i,y+j)^{2} \right) \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=-s}^{s} \sum_{j=-s}^{s} \left(\left(\sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} G(x,y)^{2} \right) \left(\sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} G(x,y)^{2} \right) \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq (2s+1)^{2} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} G(x,y)^{2} \\ & \leq (2a\sqrt{(2)}+3)^{2} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} G(x,y)^{2} \\ & \leq (2a\sqrt{(2)}+3)^{2} N(d) \end{split}$$

The first inequality follows from the fact that if two points are ad close, their x-coordinates and y-coordinates will differ by at most ad. I.e. their grid coordinates will differ at most by s. This means the pair will be accounted for in the right hand side of the inequality.

The third inequality is an application of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. The last inequality follows from the fact that the largest possible distance of two points in the same grid cell is d.

```
theory Randomized\text{-}Closest\text{-}Pair\text{-}Growth imports HOL-Library.Sublist Randomized\text{-}Closest\text{-}Pair\text{-}Correct begin lemma inj\text{-}translate: fixes a b :: int shows inj (\lambda x. (fst <math>x + a, snd \ x + b)) proof - have \theta:(\lambda x. (fst \ x + a, snd \ x + b)) = (\lambda x. \ x + (a,b)) by auto show ?thesis unfolding \theta by simp qed lemma of\text{-}nat\text{-}sum': (of\text{-}nat \ (sum' \ f \ S) :: ('a :: \{semiring\text{-}char\text{-}\theta\})) = sum' \ (\lambda x. \ of\text{-}nat \ (f \ x)) \ S unfolding sum.G\text{-}def by simp
```

```
lemma sum'-nonneg:
  fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{ordered\text{-}comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add\}
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in S \Longrightarrow f \ x \ge 0
  shows sum' f S \geq 0
proof -
  have 0 \le sum f \{x \in S. f x \ne 0\} using assms by (intro sum-nonneg) auto
  thus ?thesis unfolding sum. G-def by simp
qed
lemma sum'-mono:
  fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{ordered\text{-}comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add\}
  assumes \bigwedge x. x \in S \Longrightarrow f x \leq g x
 assumes finite \{x \in S. \ f \ x \neq 0\}
 assumes finite \{x \in S. \ g \ x \neq 0\}
 shows sum' f S \leq sum' g S (is ?L \leq ?R)
proof -
 let ?S = \{i \in S. \ f \ i \neq 0\} \cup \{i \in S. \ g \ i \neq 0\}
 have ?L = sum' f ?S by (intro sum.mono-neutral-right') auto
  also have ... = (\sum i \in ?S. fi) using assms by (intro sum.eq-sum) auto
  also have ... \leq (\sum i \in ?S. \ g \ i) using assms by (intro sum-mono) auto
 also have ... = sum' g ?S using assms by (intro\ sum.eq-sum[symmetric]) auto
  also have ... = ?R by (intro sum.mono-neutral-left') auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma cauchy-schwarz':
 assumes finite \{i \in S. f i \neq 0\}
 assumes finite \{i \in S. g \ i \neq 0\}
 shows sum'(\lambda i. f i * g i) S \leq sqrt(sum'(\lambda i. f i^2) S) * sqrt(sum'(\lambda i. g i^2)
   (is ?L \leq ?R)
proof -
 let ?S = \{i \in S. \ f \ i \neq 0\} \cup \{i \in S. \ g \ i \neq 0\}
 have ?L = sum' (\lambda i. f i * g i) ?S by (intro\ sum.mono-neutral-right') auto
 also have ... = (\sum i \in ?S. \ f \ i * g \ i) using assms by (intro sum.eq-sum) auto also have ... \leq (\sum i \in ?S. \ |f \ i| * |g \ i|) by (intro sum-mono) (metis abs-ge-self
abs-mult)
  also have ... \leq L2-set f ?S * L2-set g ?S by (rule L2-set-mult-ineq)
  also have ... = sqrt (sum' (\lambda i. f i^2) ?S) * sqrt (sum' (\lambda i. g i^2) ?S)
   unfolding L2-set-def using assms sum.eq-sum by simp
 also have \dots = ?R
    by (intro arg-cong2[where f = (\lambda x \ y. \ sqrt \ x * sqrt \ y)] sum.mono-neutral-left')
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

```
context comm-monoid-set
begin
lemma reindex-bij-betw':
 assumes bij-betw h S T
 shows G(\lambda x. g(h x)) S = G g T
proof -
 have h ` \{x \in S. \ g \ (h \ x) \neq \mathbf{1}\} = \{x \in T. \ g \ x \neq \mathbf{1}\}
   using bij-betw-imp-surj-on[OF assms] by auto
 hence \theta: bij-betw h \{x \in S. g (h x) \neq 1\} \{x \in T. g x \neq 1\}
   by (intro bij-betw-subset[OF assms]) auto
 hence finite \{x \in S. \ g\ (h\ x) \neq \mathbf{1}\} = finite\ \{x \in T. \ g\ x \neq \mathbf{1}\}
   using bij-betw-finite by auto
 thus ?thesis unfolding G-def using reindex-bij-betw[OF \theta] by simp
qed
end
definition close-point-size xs d = length (filter (\lambda(p,q), dist p q < d)) (List.product
xs \ xs))
lemma grid-dist-upper:
 fixes p \ q :: point
 assumes d > 0
 shows dist p \neq sqrt (\sum i \in UNIV.(d*(|\lfloor p\$i/d \rfloor - \lfloor q\$i/d \rfloor | +1))^2)
   (is ?L < ?R)
proof -
 have a:|x-y| < |d * real-of-int (||x/d| - |y/d|| + 1)| for x y :: real
 proof -
   have |x - y| = d * |x/d - y/d|
     using assms by (simp add: abs-mult-pos' right-diff-distrib)
   also have ... < d * real-of-int (|\lfloor x/d \rfloor - \lfloor y/d \rfloor| + 1)
     \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{intro}\ \mathit{mult-strict-left-mono}\ \mathit{assms})\ \mathit{linarith}
   also have ... = |d * real - of - int(||x/d| - |y/d|| + 1)|
     using assms by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
  have ?L = sqrt (\sum i \in UNIV. (p \$ i - q \$ i)^2)
   unfolding dist-vec-def dist-real-def L2-set-def by simp
 also have \dots < ?R
   using assms by (intro real-sqrt-less-mono sum-strict-mono power2-strict-mono
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma grid-dist-upperI:
 fixes p \ q :: point
 fixes d :: real
```

```
assumes d > 0
  assumes \bigwedge k. |\lfloor p\$k/d \rfloor - \lfloor q\$k/d \rfloor| \le s
  shows dist p \neq d * (s+1) * sqrt 2
proof -
  have s-ge-\theta: s \ge \theta using assms(2)[where k=\theta] by simp
  have dist p \neq sqrt (\sum i \in UNIV. (d*(\lfloor p\$i/d \rfloor - \lfloor q\$i/d \rfloor + 1))^2)
   by (intro grid-dist-upper assms)
  also have ... \leq sqrt \ (\sum i \in (UNIV::2 \ set). \ (d*(s+1))^2)
   using assms
     by (intro real-sqrt-le-mono sum-mono power-mono mult-left-mono iffD2[OF]
of-int-le-iff]) auto
  also have ... = sqrt (2 * (d*(s+1))^2) by simp
  also have ... = sqrt \ 2 * sqrt \ ((d*(s+1))^2) by (simp \ add:real-sqrt-mult)
  also have ... = sqrt \ 2 * (d * (s+1)) using assms \ s-ge-\theta by simp
 also have ... = d * (s+1) * sqrt 2 by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma close-point-approx-upper:
  fixes xs :: point list
  \mathbf{fixes}\ G::int\times int\Rightarrow real
  assumes d > \theta e > \theta
  defines s \equiv \lceil d / e \rceil
 defines G \equiv (\lambda x. \ real \ (length \ (filter \ (\lambda p. \ to\text{-}grid \ e \ p = x) \ xs)))
 shows close-point-size xs \ d \le (\sum i \in \{-s..s\} \times \{-s..s\}. \ sum' \ (\lambda x. \ G \ x * G \ (x+i))
UNIV)
   (is ?L \leq ?R)
proof -
 \mathbf{let} \ ?f = to\text{-}grid \ e
 let ?pairs = mset (List.product xs xs)
  define T where T = \{-s...s\} \times \{-s...s\}
  have s \geq 1 unfolding s-def using assms by simp
  hence s-ge-\theta: s \ge \theta by simp
 have 0: finite T unfolding T-def by simp
  have a: size \{ \#p \in \# ?pairs. ?f (fst p) - ?f (snd p) = i \# \} = sum' (\lambda x. G x * Pairs) \}
G(x+i)) UNIV
    (is ?L1 = ?R1) for i
  proof -
   have ?L1 = size \{ \#p \in \# ?pairs. (?f (fst p), ?f (snd p)) \in \{(x,y). \ x - y = i\} \}
#}
     by simp
   also have ... = sum'(\lambda q. size \{ \# p \in \# ?pairs. (?f (fst p), ?f (snd p)) = q \# \}
\{(x,y), x-y=i\}
     unfolding size-filter-mset-decompose' by simp
    also have ... = sum' (\lambda q. size {# p \in \# ?pairs. (?f (fst p), ?f (snd p)) =
```

```
(q+i,q) \# \}) UNIV
   by (intro arg-cong[where f=real] sum.reindex-bij-betw'[symmetric] bij-betwI[where
g=snd
       auto
   also have ... =
     sum' (\lambda q. length (filter (\lambda p. ?f (fst p) = q+i \wedge ?f (snd p) = q) (List.product
xs \ xs))) \ UNIV
     by (simp flip: size-mset mset-filter conj-commute)
   also have ... = sum'(\lambda x. G(x+i) * Gx) UNIV
     by (subst filter-product)
       (simp add: G-def build-grid-def of-nat-sum' case-prod-beta' prod-eq-iff)
   finally show ?thesis by (simp add:algebra-simps)
 qed
 have b: f(?fp) - f(?fq) \in \{-s..s\} if f = fst \lor f = snd \ dist \ p \ q < d \ for \ p \ q \ f
 proof -
   have |f(?fp) - f(?fq)| \le \lceil dist \ p \ q/e \rceil
       using grid-dist[OF \ assms(2), \ where \ p=p \ and \ q=q] \ that(1) \ unfolding
to-grid-def by auto
   also have \dots \leq s
     unfolding s-def using that(2) assms(1,2)
     by (simp add: ceiling-mono divide-le-cancel)
   finally have |f(?fp) - f(?fq)| \le s by simp
   thus ?thesis using s-ge-0 by auto
  qed
 have c: ?f p - ?f q \in T if dist p q < d for p q
   unfolding T-def using b[OF - that] unfolding mem-Times-iff by simp
 have ?L = size (filter-mset (\lambda(p,q), dist p q < d) ?pairs)
   unfolding close-point-size-def by (metis mset-filter size-mset)
  also have ... \leq size (filter-mset (\lambda p. ?f (fst p) - ?f (snd p) \in T) ?pairs)
   using c by (intro size-mset-mono of-nat-mono multiset-filter-mono-2) auto
  also have ... = (\sum i \in T. \text{ size (filter-mset } (\lambda p. ?f (fst p) - ?f (snd p) = i))
?pairs))
   by (intro size-filter-mset-decompose arg-cong[where f=of-nat] 0)
 also have ... = (\sum i \in T. sum' (\lambda x. G x * G (x+i)) UNIV)
   unfolding of-nat-sum by (intro sum.cong a refl)
 also have ... = ?R unfolding T-def by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma close-point-approx-lower:
 fixes xs :: point list
 \mathbf{fixes}\ G::int\times int\Rightarrow real
 fixes d :: real
 assumes d > 0
 defines G \equiv (\lambda x. \ real \ (length \ (filter \ (\lambda p. \ to\text{-}grid \ d \ p = x) \ xs)))
 shows sum'(\lambda x. G x ^2) UNIV \leq close-point-size xs (d * sqrt 2)
```

```
(is ?L \leq ?R)
proof -
 let ?f = to\text{-}grid\ d
 let ?pairs = mset (List.product xs xs)
 have ?L = sum' (\lambda x. length (filter (\lambda p. ?f p = x) xs)^2) UNIV
  unfolding build-grid-def G-def by (simp add:of-nat-sum' prod-eq-iff case-prod-beta')
 also have ... = sum'(\lambda x. length(List.product (filter(\lambda p. ?f p=x)xs)) (filter(\lambda p. ?f
p=x(xs)))UNIV
   unfolding length-product by (simp add:power2-eq-square)
 also have ... = sum'(\lambda x. length (filter(\lambda p. ?f(fst p)=x \land ?f(snd p)=x)(List.product
xs \ xs))) \ UNIV
   by (subst filter-product) simp
 also have ... = sum'(\lambda x. \ size \ \{\# \ p \in \# \ ?pairs. \ ?f \ (fst \ p) = x \land ?f \ (snd \ p) = x
#}) UNIV
   by (intro arg-cong2[where f=sum'] arg-cong[where f=real] refl ext)
    (metis (no-types, lifting) mset-filter size-mset)
 also have ... = sum' (\lambda x. size \{ \# p \in \# \} \# pe\# ?pairs. ?f(fst p) = ?f(snd p) \# \}.
?f(fst p)=x \#  UNIV
   unfolding filter-filter-mset
  by (intro sum.cong' arg-cong[where f=real] arg-cong[where f=size] filter-mset-cong)
auto
  also have ... = size \{ \# p \in \# \} \# p \in \# ?pairs. ?f (fst p) = ?f (snd p) \# \}. ?f
(fst \ p) \in UNIV \ \# \}
   by (intro arg-cong[where f=real] size-filter-mset-decompose'[symmetric])
 also have ... \leq size \{\#\ p \in \#\ ?pairs.\ ?f\ (fst\ p) = ?f\ (snd\ p)\ \#\} by simp
 also have ... = size \{ \# p \in \# ?pairs. \forall k. | fst p \$ k/d | = | snd p \$ k/d | \# \}
   unfolding to-grid-def prod.inject
    by (intro arg-cong[where f=size] arg-cong[where f=of-nat] filter-mset-cong
refl)
    (metis (full-types) exhaust-2 one-neq-zero)
 also have ... \leq size \ \{\#\ p \in \#\ ?pairs.\ dist\ (fst\ p)\ (snd\ p) < d*\ of-int\ (\theta+1)*
sqrt 2 #}
  by (intro of-nat-mono size-mset-mono multiset-filter-mono-2 grid-dist-upperI[OF]
assms(1)]) simp
  also have \dots = ?R unfolding close-point-size-def
   by (simp add:case-prod-beta') (metis (no-types, lifting) mset-filter size-mset)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma build-grid-finite:
 assumes inj f
 shows finite \{x. \text{ filter } (\lambda p. \text{ to-grid } d p = f x) \text{ } xs \neq []\}
proof -
 have 0:finite (to-grid d 'set xs) by (intro finite-imageI) auto
 have finite \{x. \text{ filter } (\lambda p. \text{ to-grid } d p = x) \text{ } xs \neq []\}
   unfolding filter-empty-conv by (intro\ finite-subset[OF - 0])\ blast
 hence finite (f - \{x. \text{ filter } (\lambda p. \text{ to-grid } d p = x) \text{ } xs \neq []\}) by (intro \text{ finite-vimage } I)
assms)
```

```
thus ?thesis by (simp add:vimage-def)
Main result of this section:
lemma growth-lemma:
 fixes xs :: point list
 assumes a > 0 d > 0
 shows close-point-size xs (a*d) \le (2*sqrt\ 2*a+3)^2*close-point-size\ xs
   (is ?L \le ?R)
proof -
 let ?s = [a * sqrt 2]
 let ?G = (\lambda x. \ real \ (length \ (filter \ (\lambda p. \ to-grid \ (d/sqrt \ 2) \ p = x) \ xs)))
 let ?I = \{-?s..?s\} \times \{-?s..?s\}
 have ?s \ge 1 using assms by auto
 hence s-ge-\theta: ?s \ge \theta by simp
 have a: ?s = [a * d / (d / sqrt 2)] using assms by simp
 have ?L \leq (\sum i \in \{-?s..?s\} \times \{-?s..?s\}. sum' (\lambda x. ?G x * ?G (x+i)) UNIV)
   using assms unfolding a by (intro close-point-approx-upper) auto
 also have ... \leq (\sum i \in ?I. \ sqrt \ (sum' \ (\lambda x. \ ?G \ x^2) \ UNIV) * sqrt \ (sum' \ (\lambda x. \ ?G
(x+i)^2 UNIV)
  by (intro sum-mono cauchy-schwarz') (auto intro: inj-translate build-grid-finite)
 also have ... = (\sum i \in ?I. \ sqrt \ (sum' \ (\lambda x. ?G \ x^2) \ UNIV) * sqrt \ (sum' \ (\lambda x. ?G
x^2 UNIV))
   by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(\lambda x \ y. \ sqrt \ x * sqrt \ y)] sum.cong refl
       sum.reindex-bij-betw' bij-plus-right)
  also have ... = (\sum i \in ?I. |sum'(\lambda x. ?G x^2) UNIV|) by simp
 also have ... = (2* ?s + 1)^2 * |sum'(\lambda x. ?G x^2) UNIV|
   using s-ge-0 by (auto simp: power2-eq-square)
  also have ... = (2* ?s + 1)^2 * sum' (\lambda x. ?G x^2) UNIV
   by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(*)] refl abs-of-nonneg sum'-nonneg) auto
 also have ... \leq (2*?s+1)^2 * real (close-point-size xs ((d/sqrt 2)* sqrt 2))
   using assms by (intro mult-left-mono close-point-approx-lower) auto
 also have ... = (2 * of\text{-}int ?s+1)^2 * real (close\text{-}point\text{-}size xs d) by simp
 also have ... <(2*(a*sqrt 2+1)+1)^2*real (close-point-size xs d)
   using s-ge-0 by (intro mult-right-mono power-mono add-mono mult-left-mono)
auto
 also have \dots = ?R by (auto simp:algebra-simps)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
end
```

4 Speed

In this section, we verify that the running time of the algorithm is linear with respect to the length of the point sequence p_1, \ldots, p_n .

Proof: It is easy to see that the first phase and construction of the grid requires time proportional to n. It is also easy to see that the number of point-comparisons is a bound for the number of operations in the second phase. It is also possible to observe that the algorithm never compares a point pair if they are in non-adjacent cells, i.e., if their distance is at least $2d\sqrt{2}$.

This means we need to show that the expectation of $N(2d\sqrt{2})$ is proportional to n when d is chosen according to the algorithm in the first phase. Because of the observation from the last section, i.e., $N(2d\sqrt{2}) \leq 11^2 N(d)$, it is enough to verify that the expectation of N(d) is linear.

Let us consider all pair distances: $d_1 := d(p_1, p_2), d_2 := d(p_1, p_3), \ldots, d_m := d(p_{n-1}, p_n)$ where $m = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

Then we can find a permutation $\sigma: \{1, ..., m\} \to \{1, ..., m\}$, s.t., the distances are ordered, i.e., $d_{\sigma(i)} \leq d_{\sigma(j)}$ if $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$.

The key observation is that $N(d_{\sigma}(i)) \leq i-1$, because N counts the number of point pairs which are closer than $d_{\sigma(i)}$, which can only be those corresponding to $d_{\sigma(1)}, d_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, d_{\sigma(i-1)}$.

On the other hand the algorithm chooses the smallest of n random samples from d_1, \ldots, d_m . So the problem reduces to the computation of the expectation of the smallest element from n random samples from $1, \ldots, m$. The mean of this can be estimated to be $\frac{m+1}{n+1}$ which is in $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

theory Randomized-Closest-Pair-Time

```
imports
```

 $Randomized\hbox{-} Closest\hbox{-} Pair\hbox{-} Growth$

 $Approximate ext{-}Model ext{-}Counting. ApproxMCA nalysis$

 $Distributed ext{-}Distributed ext{-}Distributed ext{-}Distributed ext{-}Distributed ext{-}Balls ext{-}and ext{-}Bins$

lemma time-sample-distance: map-pmf time (sample-distance ps) = return-pmf 1 unfolding sample-distance-def time-bind-tpmf

by (simp add:return-tpmf-def bind-return-pmf) (simp add:map-pmf-def[symmetric] time-lift-pmf)

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{time-first-phase} :
```

```
assumes length ps > 2
```

shows map-pmf time (first-phase ps) = return-pmf (2*length ps) (is ?L = ?R) proof –

let ?m = replicate-tpmf (length ps) (sample-distance ps)

have ps-ne: $ps \neq []$ using assms by auto

```
have ?L = bind\text{-}pmf ?m (\lambda x. lift\text{-}tm (min\text{-}list\text{-}tm (val x)) \gg (\lambda y. return\text{-}pmf)
(time\ x + time\ y)))
      unfolding first-phase-def time-bind-tpmf by simp
   also have ... = bind-pmf ?m (\lambda x. return-pmf (time x + time (min-list-tm (val
x))))
      unfolding lift-tm-def bind-return-pmf by simp
   also have ... = bind-pmf ?m (\lambda x. return-pmf (time x + length (val x)))
      using ps-ne set-val-replicate-tpmf(1) by (intro bind-pmf-cong refl
                 arg\text{-}cong[\mathbf{where}\ f = return\text{-}pmf]\ arg\text{-}cong2[\mathbf{where}\ f = (+)]\ time\text{-}min\text{-}list)
fastforce
   also have ... = bind-pmf?m (\lambda x. return-pmf (time x + length ps))
      using set-val-replicate-tpmf(1)
      by (intro bind-pmf-cong refl arg-cong[where f=return-pmf] arg-cong2[where
f=(+)]) auto
   also have ... = map-pmf (\lambda x. x + length ps) (map-pmf time ?m)
      unfolding map-pmf-def[symmetric] map-pmf-comp by simp
   also have ... = return-pmf (2 * length ps)
    unfolding time-replicate-tpmf time-sample-distance by (simp add:sum-list-replicate)
   finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma time-build-grid: time (build-grid ps d) = length ps
   unfolding build-grid-def by (simp add:time-custom-tick)
lemma time-lookup-neighborhood:
  time\ (lookup-neighborhood\ (grid\ ps\ d)\ p) \leq 39+3*(length(val(lookup-neighborhood\ ps\ d)\ p)
(grid\ ps\ d)\ p)))
   (is ?L \leq ?R)
proof -
   define s where s = [(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, -1), (1, 0), (1::int, 1::int)]
   define t where t = concat (map (\lambda x. filter (\lambda y. to-grid d y = x + to-grid d p))
   define u where u = time (remove1-tm p t)
 have t-eq: length t+length s=(\sum x\leftarrow s. Suc (length (filter (<math>\lambda y. to-grid d y=x+to-grid d y=x+to-
(d p) (ps))
      unfolding t-def by (induction s) auto
  have a:u \leq 1 + length \ t \ unfolding \ u-def \ using \ time-remove1 \ by \ auto
   have ?L = 5+5*length \ s + length \ t + (length \ t + length \ s) + u
      unfolding lookup-neighborhood-def s-def[symmetric] t-eq u-def
      by (simp add:time-map-tm comp-def grid-def sum-list-triv t-def)
   also have ... = 5+6*length \ s + 2*length \ t + u by simp
   also have ... \leq 5+6*length \ s + 2*length \ t + (1+length \ t) using a by simp
   also have ... = 36 + 3*length t unfolding s-def by simp
   also have \dots \leq 36 + 3 * (1 + length (remove1 p t))
      by (intro add-mono mult-left-mono) (auto simp:length-remove1)
   also have ... = 39 + 3*(length (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) p)))
```

```
unfolding lookup-neighborhood-def s-def[symmetric] t-def
   by (simp add:val-remove1 comp-def grid-def)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ time-calc\text{-}dists\text{-}neighborhood:
  time\ (calc\text{-}dists\text{-}neighborhood\ (grid\ ps\ d)\ p) \leq
  40 + 5 * (length (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) p))) (is ?L \le ?R)
proof
 let ?g = grid ps d
 have ?L = 2*(length(val(lookup-neighborhood?gp))) + 1 + time(lookup-neighborhood
   unfolding calc-dists-neighborhood-def by (simp add:time-map-tm sum-list-triv)
 also have ... \leq 2* (length (val (lookup-neighborhood ?g p))) + 1 +
    (39 + 3* (length (val (lookup-neighborhood ?q p))))
   by (intro add-mono mult-right-mono time-lookup-neighborhood) auto
 also have ... = 40 + 5 * (length (val (lookup-neighborhood ?q p))) by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma time-second-phase:
 fixes ps :: point list
 assumes d > 0 min-dist ps \leq d length ps \geq 2
 shows time (second-phase d ps) \leq 2 + 44 * length ps + 7 * close-point-size ps
(2 * sqrt 2 * d)
   (is ?L \leq ?R)
proof -
 define s where s = concat (map (\lambda x. val (calc-dists-neighborhood (val (build-grid
ps \ d)) \ x)) \ ps)
  have len-s: length s = (\sum x \leftarrow ps. \ length \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d)
  unfolding s-def by (simp add:calc-dists-neighborhood-def build-grid-val length-concat
comp-def
 also have ... = (\sum x \leftarrow ps. \ size \ (mset \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ x))))
   by simp
 also have \dots \leq
  (\sum x \leftarrow ps. \ size(\{\#y \in \# \ mset \ ps. \ to-grid \ d \ y-to-grid \ d \ x \in \{(\theta,\theta),(\theta,1),(1,-1),(1,\theta),(1,1)\}\#\}))
   unfolding lookup-neighborhood by (intro sum-list-mono size-mset-mono) simp
 also have . . . \leq (\sum x \leftarrow ps. \ size(\{\#y \in \# \ mset \ ps. \ \forall \ k \in \{1,2\}. \ |\lfloor y\$k/d\rfloor - \lfloor x\$k/d\rfloor| \leq 1)
#}))
  unfolding to-grid-def by (intro sum-list-mono size-mset-mono multiset-filter-mono-2)
 also have ... \leq (\sum x \leftarrow ps. \ size(\{\#y \in \# \ mset \ ps. \ dist \ y \ x < d * real-of-int (1 + e)))
1) * sqrt 2\#\}))
   using exhaust-2
  by (intro sum-list-mono size-mset-mono multiset-filter-mono-2 grid-dist-upper I [OF
assms(1)
     blast
```

```
also have ... = (\sum x \leftarrow ps. \ length \ (filter \ (\lambda y. \ dist \ x \ y < 2 * sqrt \ 2 * d) \ ps))
   by (simp add:dist-commute ac-simps) (metis mset-filter size-mset)
  also have ... = close-point-size ps ((2* <math>sqrt 2)*d)
   unfolding close-point-size-def product-concat-map filter-concat length-concat
   by (simp add:comp-def)
  finally have len-s-bound: length s \leq close-point-size ps (2* sqrt \ 2*d) by simp
  obtain u v where u \in set ps v \in set (val\ (lookup-neighborhood\ (grid\ ps\ d)\ u))
   using second-phase-aux[OF assms] that by metis
  hence False if length s = 0
   using that unfolding len-s sum-list-eq-0-iff by simp
  hence s-ne: s \neq [] by auto
 have ?L = 2 + 4*length ps + (length s + time (min-list-tm s)) +
   (\sum i \leftarrow ps. \ time \ (calc\text{-}dists\text{-}neighborhood \ (val \ (build\text{-}grid\ ps\ d))\ i))
  unfolding second-phase-def by (simp add:time-map-tm s-def[symmetric] time-build-qrid)
  also have ... \leq 2 + 4 * length ps + (length s + time (min-list-tm s)) +
   (\sum i \leftarrow ps. \ 40 + 5* \ length \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ i)))
  unfolding build-grid-val by (intro add-mono sum-list-mono time-calc-dists-neighborhood)
  also have ... = 2 + 44 * length ps + (length s + time (min-list-tm s)) +
   (\sum i \leftarrow ps. \ 5* \ length \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ i)))
   by (simp add:sum-list-addf sum-list-triv)
  also have ... = 2 + 44 * length ps + 7 * (length s)
   unfolding time-min-list[OF s-ne] len-s by (simp add:sum-list-const-mult)
 also have ... \leq 2 + 44* length ps + 7* close-point-size ps (2* sqrt 2*d)
   by (intro add-mono mult-left-mono len-s-bound) auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma mono-close-point-size: mono (close-point-size ps)
 unfolding close-point-size-def by (intro monoI length-filter-P-impl-Q) auto
lemma close-point-size-bound: close-point-size ps x \leq length ps 2
 unfolding close-point-size-def power2-eq-square using length-filter-le length-product
by metis
lemma map-product: map (map-prod f g) (List.product xs ys) = List.product (map
f(xs) (map \ g \ ys)
 unfolding product-concat-map by (simp add:map-concat comp-def)
lemma close-point-size-bound-2:
  close-point-size ps d \leq length \ ps + 2 * card \{(u,v). \ dist \ (ps!u) \ (ps!v) < d \land u < v
\land v < length ps \}
 (is ?L \leq ?R)
proof -
 let ?n = length ps
 let ?h = \lambda x. dist (ps ! fst x) (ps ! snd x) < d
  have e: List.product \ ps \ ps = map \ (map-prod \ ((!)ps) \ ((!) \ ps)) \ (List.product
```

```
[0..<?n] [0..<?n]
           unfolding map-product by (simp add:map-nth)
    have ?L = length (filter (\lambda x. dist (ps! fst x) (ps! snd x) < d) (List.product[0..<?n][0..<?n]))
           unfolding close-point-size-def e by (simp add:comp-def case-prod-beta')
     also have ... = card \{x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land snd x < ?n \}
       by (subst distinct-length-filter) (simp-all add:distinct-product Int-def mem-Times-iff)
       also have ... = card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land snd x < ?n \land fst x \neq snd x\} \cup \{x. ?h
x \land fst \ x = snd \ x \land snd \ x < ?n
           by (intro arg-cong[where f=card]) auto
      also have ... \leq card\{x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land snd x < ?n \land fst x \neq snd x\} + card\{x. ?h
x \land fst \ x = snd \ x \land snd \ x < ?n
           by (intro card-Un-le)
      also have ... \leq card\{x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land snd x < ?n \land fst x \neq snd x\} + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x < ?h \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq snd x) + card((\lambda x. ?h x \land fst x \neq
(x,x)) \{k, k < ?n\}
           by (intro add-mono order.refl card-mono finite-imageI) auto
      also have ... \leq card\{x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land snd x < ?n \land fst x \neq snd x\} + ?n
           by (subst card-image) (auto intro:inj-onI)
       also have ... = card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\} \cup \{x. ?h x \land snd x < fst \}
x \land fst \ x < ?n \} + ?n
           by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong[where f=card]) auto
      also have ... \leq (card \{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\} + card <math>\{x. ?h x \land snd x < ?n\})
x < fst \ x \land fst \ x < ?n \}) + ?n
           by (intro add-mono card-Un-le order.refl)
     also have
                \dots = (card\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\} + card (prod.swap'\{x. ?h x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (prod.swap'\{x. ?h x \land snd x \land snd x < ?n\})
x < fst \ x \land fst \ x < ?n\}) + ?n
          by (subst card-image) auto
       also have ... = (card\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\} + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\}) + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x
x < snd \ x \land snd \ x < ?n\})) + ?n
       by (intro arg-cong2 [where f=(+)] arg-cong [where f=card]) (auto simp: dist-commute)
     also have \dots = ?R by (simp\ add:case-prod-beta')
     finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma card-card-estimate:
     fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b :: linorder)
     assumes finite\ S
      shows card \{x \in S. \ a \leq card \ \{y \in S. \ f \ y < f \ x \}\} \leq card \ S - a \ (is ?L \leq ?R)
      define T where T = \{x \in S. \ card \ \{y \in S. \ f \ y < f \ x\} < a\}
     have T-range: T \subseteq S unfolding T-def by auto
     hence fin-T: finite T using assms finite-subset by auto
     have d:a \leq card \ T \lor T = S
      proof (rule ccontr)
           define x where x = arg-min-on f(S-T)
```

```
assume a:\neg(a \leq card \ T \lor T=S)
   hence c:S - T \neq \{\} using T-range by auto
   hence b:x \in S-T using assms unfolding x-def by (intro arg-min-if-finite)
auto
   have False if y \in S - T f y < f x for y
     using arg-min-if-finite[OF - c] that assms unfolding x-def by auto
   hence card \{y \in S. \ f \ y < f \ x\} \le card \ T \ by (intro card-mono fin-T) auto
   also have \dots < a using a by simp
   finally have card \{y \in S. \ f \ y < f \ x\} < a \ \text{by } simp
   thus False using b unfolding T-def by simp
 have ?L = card (S - T) unfolding T-def by (intro arg-cong[where f=card])
 also have \dots = card \ S - card \ T  using fin-T T-range by (intro card-Diff-subset)
 also have \dots \leq card S - a using d by auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
lemma finite-map-pmf:
 assumes finite\ (set\text{-}pmf\ S)
 shows finite (set\text{-}pmf (map\text{-}pmf f S))
 using assms by simp
lemma finite-replicate-pmf:
 assumes finite (set-pmf S)
 shows finite (set-pmf (replicate-pmf n S))
 using assms unfolding set-replicate-pmf lists-eq-set
 by (simp add:finite-lists-length-eq)
lemma power-sum-approx: (\sum k < m. (real \ k) \hat{n}) \le m (n+1)/real (n+1)
proof (induction m)
 case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
 case (Suc\ m)
 have (\sum k < Suc \ m. \ real \ k \widehat{\ } n) = (\sum k < m. \ real \ k \widehat{\ } n) + real \ m \widehat{\ } n by simp
  also have ... \leq real \ m \ \widehat{\ } (n+1) \ / \ real \ (n+1) + real \ m \ \widehat{\ } n by (intro add-mono
Suc order.refl)
 also have ... = (real \ m^{\hat{}}(n+1) + (real \ (m+1) - m) * real \ (n+1) * real \ m^{\hat{}}((n+1) - 1))
/ real (n+1)
   by (simp add:field-simps)
  also have \dots \le (real \ m^n(n+1) + (real \ (m+1)^n(n+1) - real \ m^n(n+1))) \ / \ real
(n+1)
   by (intro divide-right-mono add-mono order.reft power-diff-est-2) simp-all
 also have ... = real (Suc m) (n + 1) / real (n + 1) by simp
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
```

```
lemma exp-close-point-size:
 assumes length ps \geq 2
  shows (\int d. real (close-point-size ps d) \partial(map-pmf val (first-phase ps))) \leq 2*
real (length ps)
   (is ?L < ?R)
proof -
 let ?n = length ps
 define T where T = \{i. \text{ fst } i < \text{snd } i \land \text{snd } i < ?n\}
 let ?I = {..<?n}
 let ?dpmf = map-pmf \ (\lambda i. \ dist \ (ps!fst \ i) \ (ps!snd \ i)) \ (pmf-of-set \ T)
 let ?q = prod\text{-}pmf \{..<?n\} (\lambda\text{-}...?dpmf)
 let ?h = \lambda x. dist (ps ! fst x) (ps ! snd x)
 let ?cps = \lambda d. card \{(u,v). dist (ps!u) (ps!v) < d \land u < v \land v < length ps\}
 let ?m = ?n * (?n - 1) div 2
 have card-T: card T = ?m
 proof -
   have 2 * card T = 2 * card \{(x,y) \in \{... < ?n\} \times \{... < ?n\}. x < y\}
    unfolding T-def by (intro arg-cong[where f=card] arg-cong2[where f=(*)])
  also have ... = card \{..<?n\} * (card \{..<?n\}-1) by (intro\ card\ ordered\ -pairs)
simp
   also have \dots = ?n * (?n-1) by simp
   finally have 2 * card T = ?n * (?n-1) by simp
   thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
 have 2 * 1 \le ?n * (?n-1) using assms by (intro mult-mono) auto
 hence card T > 0 unfolding card-T using assms by (intro div-2-qt-zero) simp
 hence T-fin-ne: finite T T \neq \{\} by (auto simp: card-ge-0-finite)
 have x-neI: x \neq [] if x \in set-pmf (replicate-pmf?n?dpmf) for x
   using that assms by (auto simp:set-replicate-pmf)
 have a:map-pmf\ val\ (first-phase\ ps)=map-pmf\ min-list\ (replicate-pmf\ ?a\ pmf)
   unfolding first-phase-def val-tpmf-simps val-replicate-tpmf val-sample-distance
    T-def[symmetric] map-pmf-def[symmetric] by (intro map-pmf-cong val-min-list
x-neI) auto
 hence b: \{x.\ t < ?cps\ x\} = \{\}\ if t \notin \{... < ?m\}\ for t
 proof -
   have ?cps \ x \le card \ T \ \mathbf{for} \ x
     using T-fin-ne(1) unfolding T-def by (intro card-mono) auto
  moreover have card T \le t using that unfolding card-T by (simp add:not-less)
   ultimately have ?cps \ x \le t for x using order.trans by auto
   thus ?thesis using not-less by auto
  have d: \{y. \ t < ?cps \ (min-list \ (map \ y \ [0... < ?n]))\} = \{... < ?n\} \rightarrow \{y. \ t < ?cps \ y\}
```

```
(is ?L2 = ?R2) for t
   proof (rule Set.set-eqI)
      \mathbf{fix} \ x
      have x \in ?L2 \longleftrightarrow (t < ?cps (min-list (map x [0..<?n]))) by simp
      also have ... \longleftrightarrow (t < ?cps (Min (x ` \{0..<?n\})))
          using assms by (subst min-list-Min) auto
      also have ... \longleftrightarrow (t < Min \ (?cps \ `x \ `\{0..<?n\}))
              using assms by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(<)] mono-Min-commute refl
finite-imageI monoI
                 card-mono\ finite-subset[OF - T-fin-ne(1)]) (auto\ simp: T-def)
      also have ... \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in \{0..<?n\}. \ t < ?cps (x i))
          using assms by (subst Min-gr-iff) auto
      also have ... \longleftrightarrow x \in ?R2 by auto
      finally show x \in ?L2 \longleftrightarrow x \in ?R2 by simp
   qed
  have c: measure (replicate-pmf?n?dpmf) \{x. t < ?cps(min-list x)\} < (real (?m-(t+1))/real t) = (real t) = (replicate-pmf?n?dpmf) = (replicate-pmf?n.dpmf) = (replicate-pmf?n.dpmf) = (replicate-pmf.dpmf) = (replicate-pmf.dpmf.dpmf) = (replicate-pmf.dpmf) = (replicate-pmf.dpmf) = (replicate-pmf.dpmf) = (replicate-pmf.dpmf) = (replicate-pmf.dpmf) = (rep
 ?m)^?n
      (is ?L1 \le ?R1) for t
         have ?L1 = measure(replicate-pmf(length [0..<?n]) ?dpmf) \{x. t < ?cps
(min-list x)
          by simp
    also have ... = measure\ (map-pmf\ (\lambda f.\ map\ f\ [0..<?n])\ (prod-pmf(set[0..<?n])(\lambda-.?dpmf)))
          \{x.\ t < ?cps(min-list\ x)\}
       by (intro arg-cong2[where f = \lambda x. measure (measure-pmf x)] replicate-pmf-Pi-pmf)
      also have ... = measure ?q \{y. \ t < ?cps (min-list (map y [0..<?n]))\}
          by (simp add:atLeast0LessThan)
      also have ... = measure (prod-pmf {..<?n} (\lambda-. ?dpmf)) ({..<?n} \rightarrow {y. t <
 ?cps\ y\})
          unfolding d by simp
      also have ... = measure ?dpmf \{y. \ t < ?cps \ y\}^?n
          by (subst measure-Pi-pmf-Pi) simp-all
      also have ... = measure ?dpmf \{y. t+1 \le ?cps y\}^?n
          by (intro measure-pmf-cong arg-cong2[where f=(\lambda x \ y. \ x^y)] refl) auto
        also have ... \leq measure (pmf-of-set T) {y. t+1 \leq card \{x \in T. ?h x < ?h \}
          unfolding T-def by (auto simp:case-prod-beta' conj-commute)
        also have ... = (real (card \{y \in T. t+1 \leq card \{x \in T. ?h x < ?h y\}\})/real
(card\ T))^{n}
          unfolding measure-pmf-of-set[OF\ T-fin-ne(2,1)] Int-def\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
      also have ... \leq (real (card T - (t+1))/real (card T))^{?} n
               by (intro power-mono divide-right-mono of-nat-mono card-card-estimate
 T-fin-ne) auto
      also have ... = (real (?m - (t+1))/real ?m)^?n
          unfolding card-T by auto
      finally show ?thesis by simp
   qed
```

```
have ennreal ?L = (\int ds. \ real \ (close-point-size \ ps \ (min-list \ ds)) \ \partial replicate-pmf
?n ?dpmf)
   unfolding a by simp
 also have . . . \leq (\int ds. real (?n + 2*?cps (min-list ds)) \partial replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf)
using T-fin-ne
  by (intro integral-mono-AE ennreal-leI AE-pmfI close-point-size-bound-2 of-nat-mono
        integrable-measure-pmf-finite finite-replicate-pmf) auto
 also have ... = ennreal ? n + 2*ennreal (\int ds. real (?cps (min-list ds))) \partial replicate-pmf
?n ?dpmf)
    by (simp add:ennreal-mult' integrable-measure-pmf-finite finite-replicate-pmf
T-fin-ne)
  also have ... = ennreal ?n + 2*\int^+ x. ennreal (real (?cps (min-list x)))
\partial replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf
  by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong2[where f=(*)] finite-replicate-pmf
     nn-integral-eq-integral[symmetric] integrable-measure-pmf-finite) (auto simp: T-fin-ne)
 also have ... = ennreal ?n + 2*\int + x. ennreal-of-enat (?cps(min-list x)) \partial replicate-pmf
?n ?dpmf
   by (intro nn-integral-cong arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong2[where f=(*)]
refl)
     (simp add: ennreal-of-nat-eq-real-of-nat)
 also have ... = ennreal ?n + 2*(\sum t. emeasure (replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf) \{x.
t < ?cps (min-list x) \})
   by (subst nn-integral-enat-function) simp-all
 also have ... = ennreal ?n + 2*(\sum t < ?m. emeasure(replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf) \{x.
t < ?cps (min-list x) \})
    using b by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong2[where f=(*)] sum-
inf-finite) auto
 also have ... = ennreal ?n + 2 * ennreal (\sum t < ?m. measure(replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf) \{x.
t < ?cps(min-list x) \})
   unfolding measure-pmf.emeasure-eq-measure by simp
  also have ... \leq ennreal ?n+2*ennreal (\sum t < ?m. (real (?m - (t+1))/real))
?m)^?n)
    by (intro add-mono order.reft iffD2[OF ennreal-mult-le-mult-iff] ennreal-leI
sum-mono c) auto
 also have ... = ennreal ?n+ennreal (2*(\sum t < ?m. (real (?m - (t+1))^?n/real)))
   using ennreal-mult' by (auto simp:algebra-simps power-divide)
 also have ... = ennreal (real ?n + (2*(\sum t < ?m. (real (?m - (t+1))^?n/real))
   by (intro ennreal-plus[symmetric] mult-nonneg-nonneg sum-nonneg) simp-all
 also have ... = ennreal (real ?n + (2*(\sum t < ?m. (real (?m - (t+1))^?n))/real
   by (simp add:sum-divide-distrib[symmetric])
 also have ... = ennreal (real ?n + (2*(\sum t < ?m. (real t^?n))/real ?m^?n))
  by (intro arg-cong[where f = ennreal] arg-cong2[where f = (+)] arg-cong2[where
f=(*)
        arg\text{-}cong2[\mathbf{where}\ f=(/)]\ refl\ sum.reindex\text{-}bij\text{-}betw\ bij\text{-}betwI[\mathbf{where}\ g=\lambda x.
?m - (x+1)]
```

```
also have ... \leq ennreal (real ?n + (2 * (real ?m^(?n+1)/real (?n+1)))/real
?m^?n)
  by (intro ennreal-leI add-mono divide-right-mono mult-left-mono power-sum-approx)
 also have ... = ennreal (real ?n + (2 * (real ?m^{?}(?n+1)/real ?m^{?}n)/ real (?n
+1)))
   by simp
 also have ... = ennreal (real ?n + ((2 * ?m) / real (?n+1))) by (simp \ add: field-simps)
 also have ... = ennreal (real ?n + (?n*(?n-1)/real (?n+1)))
  by (metis even-mult-iff even-numeral even-two-times-div-two odd-two-times-div-two-nat)
  also have ... = ennreal ((real ?n*(real ?n+1) +real ?n* (real ?n-real 1)) /
real (?n+1)
   using assms by (subst of-nat-diff[symmetric]) (auto simp:field-simps)
 also have ... = ennreal (2*real ?n * real ?n / real (?n+1))
   using assms by (simp add:field-simps)
  also have \dots \le ennreal (2*real ?n * real ?n / real ?n)
  using assms by (intro ennreal-leI mult-right-mono divide-left-mono mult-pos-pos)
  also have ... = ennreal (2*real ?n) by simp
 finally have ennreal ?L \le ennreal (2*real ?n) by simp
 thus ?L \le 2*real ?n by simp
qed
definition time-closest-pair :: real \Rightarrow real
  where time-closest-pair n = 2 + 1740 * n
Main results of this section:
theorem time-closest-pair:
 assumes length ps \geq 2
 shows (\int x. \ real \ (time \ x) \ \partial closest-pair \ ps) \le time-closest-pair \ (length \ ps) \ (is \ ?L
\leq ?R)
proof -
 let ?n = length ps
 let ?cps = close\text{-point-size } ps
 let ?p = map-pmf\ val\ (first-phase\ ps)
 have (0,1) \in \{i. \text{ fst } i < \text{snd } i \wedge \text{snd } i < \text{length } ps\} using assms by auto
 hence a:finite \{i. fst \ i < snd \ i \land snd \ i < length \ ps\} \ \{i. fst \ i < snd \ i \land snd \ i < length
ps\} \neq \{\}
   using fin-nat-pairs [where n=length ps] by (auto simp:case-prod-beta')
 \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{finite} \ (\mathit{set-pmf} \ (\mathit{map-pmf} \ \mathit{val} \ (\mathit{sample-distance} \ \mathit{ps})))
   unfolding sample-distance-def val-tpmf-simps map-pmf-def[symmetric] using
   by (intro finite-map-pmf) auto
 hence int[simp]: integrable (measure-pmf (map-pmf val (first-phase ps))) f for f
:: real \Rightarrow real
```

```
by (metis integrable-measure-pmf-finite finite-replicate-pmf finite-map-pmf)
   have map-pmf time (closest-pair ps) = first-phase ps \gg
      (\lambda x. \ return-pmf \ (if \ val \ x = 0 \ then \ (tick \ 0) \ else \ second-phase \ (val \ x) \ ps) \gg
      (\lambda y. return-pmf (time x + time y)))
      using time-first-phase[OF assms]
        unfolding closest-pair-def time-bind-tpmf lift-tm-def if-distrib if-distribR by
simp
  also have ... = map\text{-}pmf (\lambda x. time x + (if val x = 0 then 1 else time (second-phase))
(val\ x)\ ps)))
       (first-phase ps)
      unfolding bind-return-pmf map-pmf-def by (simp cong:if-cong)
   also have ... = map-pmf (\lambda x. 2*length ps +
       (if val x = 0 then 1 else time (second-phase (val x) ps))) (first-phase ps)
      using time-first-phase [OF assms] unfolding map-pmf-eq-return-pmf-iff
      by (intro map-pmf-cong refl arg-cong2 [where f=(+)]) simp
  also have ... = map\text{-}pmf (\lambda x. 2*length ps + (if x=0 then 1 else time (second-phase))
(x ps)))?
       unfolding map-pmf-comp by simp
   finally have a:map-pmf\ time\ (closest-pair\ ps) =
       map-pmf (\lambda x. 2*length ps + (if x=0 then 1 else time (second-phase x ps))) ?p
by simp
  have (\int x. real (time x) \partial closest-pair ps) = (\int x. real x \partial map-pmf time (closest-pair ps))
ps))
   also have ... = (\int d \cdot 2 * real ?n + (if d=0 then 1 else time (second-phase d))
ps)) \partial ?p)
      unfolding a by simp
   also have ... \leq (\int d \cdot 2 * real ?n + (if d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 7 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?n + 1 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 else 2 + 44 * ?cps ((2 * d \leq 0 then 1 els
sqrt \ 2)*d)) \ \partial ?p)
     using first-phase [OF assms] min-dist-nonneg [OF assms] order.trans unfolding
AE-measure-pmf-iff
      by (intro integral-mono-AE int AE-pmfI of-nat-mono mono-intros
          time-second-phase[OF--assms(1)] refl dual-order.not-eq-order-implies-strict)
auto
   also have ... = (\int d \cdot 2*real ?n + (if d \le 0 then 1 else 2 + 44*real ?n + 7*real (?cps))
((2* sqrt 2)*d))) \partial ?p)
      by (intro integral-cong-AE) simp-all
   also have \dots \leq (\int d \cdot 2 * real ? n +
        (if \ d \le 0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 2+44*real \ ?n+7*((2* \ sqrt \ 2* (2* \ sqrt \ 2)+3)^2* \ real)
(?cps\ d)))\ \partial?p)
      using growth-lemma[where a=2*sqrt\ 2]
      by (intro integral-mono-AE int AE-pmfI mono-intros mult-right-mono) auto
   also have \dots \leq
       (\int d.\ 2 * real\ ?n + (2+44*real\ ?n+7*((2* sqrt\ 2* (2* sqrt\ 2)+3)^2* real)
(?cps\ d)))\ \partial?p)
      by (intro integral-mono-AE int AE-pmfI mono-intros mult-right-mono) simp
```

unfolding first-phase-def val-tpmf-simps val-replicate-tpmf unfolding map-pmf-def[symmetric]

```
also have ... = (\int d. (2+46*real ?n)+847*real (?cps d) \partial ?p) by (simp add:algebra-simps) also have ... = (\int d. 2+46*real ?n \partial ?p)+(\int d. 847*real (?cps d) \partial ?p) by (intro Bochner-Integration.integral-add int) also have ... = (2+46*real ?n)+847*(\int d. real (?cps d) \partial ?p) by (intro arg-cong2[\mathbf{where} f=(+)]) simp-all also have ... \leq (2+46*real ?n)+847*(2*real ?n) by (intro mono-intros mult-left-mono exp-close-point-size assms) simp also have ... = 2+1740*real ?n by simp finally show ?thesis unfolding time-closest-pair-def by simp qed

theorem asymptotic-time-closest-pair: time-closest-pair \in O(\lambda x. x) unfolding time-closest-pair-def by simp
```

References

end

- [1] B. Banyassady and W. Mulzer. A simple analysis of rabins algorithm for finding closest pairs. In *European Workshop on Computational Geometry* (EuroCG), 2007.
- [2] M. Dietzfelbinger, T. Hagerup, J. Katajainen, and M. Penttonen. A reliable randomized algorithm for the closest-pair problem. *Journal of Algorithms*, 25(1):19–51, 1997.
- [3] S. Fortune and J. Hopcroft. A note on rabin's nearest-neighbor algorithm. *Information Processing Letters*, 8(1):20–23, 1979.
- [4] S. Khuller and Y. Matias. A simple randomized sieve algorithm for the closest-pair problem. *Information and Computation*, 118(1):34–37, 1995.
- [5] R. Lipton. Rabin flips a coin. https://rjlipton.com/2009/03/01/rabin-flips-a-coin/, 2009. Accessed: 2024-08-31.
- [6] M. O. Rabin. Probabilistic algorithms. In Algorithms and Complexity: New Directions and Recent Results, pages 21–39, USA, 1976. Academic Press, Inc.
- [7] M. Rau and T. Nipkow. Closest pair of points algorithms. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, January 2020. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Closest_Pair Points.html, Formal proof development.
- [8] M. Rau and T. Nipkow. Verification of closest pair of points algorithms. In N. Peltier and V. Sofronie-Stokkermans, editors, *Automated Reasoning*, pages 341–357, Cham, 2020. Springer International Publishing.