

Quantum Fourier Transform

Pablo Manrique

February 21, 2025

Abstract

This work presents a formalization of the Quantum Fourier Transform, a fundamental component of Shor's factoring algorithm, with proofs of its correctness and unitarity. The proof is carried out by induction, relying on the algorithm's recursive definition. This formalization builds upon the *Isabelle Marries Dirac* quantum computing library, developed by A. Bordg, H. Lachnitt, and Y. He.

Contents

1	Some useful lemmas	2
2	The operator R_k	3
3	The SWAP gate:	3
3.1	Downwards SWAP cascade	4
3.2	Upwards SWAP cascade	4
4	Reversing qubits	4
5	Controlled operations	5
6	Quantum Fourier Transform Circuit	6
6.1	QFT definition	6
6.2	QFT circuit	7
7	QFT circuit correctness	7
7.1	QFT with qubits reordering correctness	9
8	Unitarity	11
9	Acknowledgements	13

theory *QFT*

```

imports
  Isabelle-Marries-Dirac.Deutsch
begin

```

1 Some useful lemmas

```

lemma gate-carrier-mat[simp]:
  assumes gate n U
  shows U ∈ carrier-mat (2^n) (2^n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma state-carrier-mat[simp]:
  assumes state n ψ
  shows ψ ∈ carrier-mat (2^n) 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma state-basis-carrier-mat[simp]:
  |state-basis n j⟩ ∈ carrier-mat (2^n) 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma left-tensor-id[simp]:
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
  shows (1_m 1) ⊗ A = A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma right-tensor-id[simp]:
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
  shows A ⊗ (1_m 1) = A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tensor-carrier-mat[simp]:
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat ra ca
  and B ∈ carrier-mat rb cb
  shows A ⊗ B ∈ carrier-mat (ra*rb) (ca*cb)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma smult-tensor[simp]:
  assumes dim-col A > 0 and dim-col B > 0
  shows (a ·_m A) ⊗ (b ·_m B) = (a*b) ·_m (A ⊗ B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma smult-tensor1[simp]:
  assumes dim-col A > 0 and dim-col B > 0
  shows a ·_m (A ⊗ B) = (a ·_m A) ⊗ B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-list:
  set [m..] = {m..}

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sumof2*:
 $(\sum k < (2::nat). f k) = f 0 + f 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sumof4*:
 $(\sum k < (4::nat). f k) = f 0 + f 1 + f 2 + f 3$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2 The operator R_k

definition *R*:: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex Matrix.mat* **where**
 $R k = mat-of-cols-list 2 [[1, 0], [0, exp(2*pi*i/2^k)]]$

3 The SWAP gate:

definition *SWAP*:: *complex Matrix.mat* **where**
 $SWAP \equiv Matrix.mat 4 4 (\lambda(i,j). if i=0 \wedge j=0 then 1 else$
 $if i=1 \wedge j=2 then 1 else$
 $if i=2 \wedge j=1 then 1 else$
 $if i=3 \wedge j=3 then 1 else 0)$

lemma *SWAP-index*:
 $SWAP \$\$ (0,0) = 1 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (0,1) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (0,2) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (0,3) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (1,0) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (1,1) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (1,2) = 1 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (1,3) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (2,0) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (2,1) = 1 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (2,2) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (2,3) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (3,0) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (3,1) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (3,2) = 0 \wedge$
 $SWAP \$\$ (3,3) = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SWAP-nrows*:
dim-row SWAP = 4
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SWAP-ncols*:

dim-col SWAP = 4
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SWAP-carrier-mat*[simp]:
SWAP ∈ *carrier-mat* 4 4
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The SWAP gate indeed swaps the states of two qubits (it is not necessary to assume unitarity)

lemma *SWAP-tensor*:
assumes *u* ∈ *carrier-mat* 2 1
and *v* ∈ *carrier-mat* 2 1
shows *SWAP* * (*u* \otimes *v*) = *v* \otimes *u*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.1 Downwards SWAP cascade

```
fun SWAP-down:: nat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat where
  SWAP-down 0 = 1m 1
  | SWAP-down (Suc 0) = 1m 2
  | SWAP-down (Suc (Suc 0)) = SWAP
  | SWAP-down (Suc (Suc n)) = ((1m (2~n))  $\otimes$  SWAP) * ((SWAP-down (Suc n))
     $\otimes$  (1m 2))

lemma SWAP-down-carrier-mat[simp]:
  shows SWAP-down n ∈ carrier-mat (2~n) (2~n) (is ?P n)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

3.2 Upwards SWAP cascade

```
fun SWAP-up:: nat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat where
  SWAP-up 0 = 1m 1
  | SWAP-up (Suc 0) = 1m 2
  | SWAP-up (Suc (Suc 0)) = SWAP
  | SWAP-up (Suc (Suc n)) = (SWAP  $\otimes$  (1m (2~n))) * ((1m 2)  $\otimes$  (SWAP-up
    (Suc n)))

lemma SWAP-up-carrier-mat[simp]:
  shows SWAP-up n ∈ carrier-mat (2~n) (2~n) (is ?P n)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

4 Reversing qubits

In order to reverse the order of n qubits, we iteratively swap opposite qubits (swap 0th and (n-1)th qubits, 1st and (n-2)th qubits, and so on).

```
fun reverse-qubits:: nat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat where
  reverse-qubits 0 = 1m 1
  | reverse-qubits (Suc 0) = (1m 2)
```

```

| reverse-qubits (Suc (Suc 0)) = SWAP
| reverse-qubits (Suc n) = ((reverse-qubits n)  $\otimes$  (1m 2)) * (SWAP-down (Suc n))

```

```

lemma reverse-qubits-carrier-mat[simp]:
  (reverse-qubits n) ∈ carrier-mat (2n) (2n)
⟨proof⟩

```

5 Controlled operations

The two-qubit gate control2 performs a controlled U operation on the first qubit with the second qubit as control

```

definition control2:: complex Matrix.mat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat where
  control2 U ≡ mat-of-cols-list 4 [[1, 0, 0, 0],
                                     [0, U$(0,0), 0, U$(1,0)],
                                     [0, 0, 1, 0],
                                     [0, U$(0,1), 0, U$(1,1)]]

```

```

lemma control2-carrier-mat[simp]:
  shows control2 U ∈ carrier-mat 4 4
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma control2-zero:
  assumes dim-row v = 2 and dim-col v = 1
  shows control2 U * (v  $\otimes$  |zero⟩) = v  $\otimes$  |zero⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vtensorone-index[simp]:
  assumes dim-row v = 2 and dim-col v = 1
  shows (v  $\otimes$  |one⟩) $$ (0,0) = 0 \wedge
        (v  $\otimes$  |one⟩) $$ (1,0) = v $$ (0,0) \wedge
        (v  $\otimes$  |one⟩) $$ (2,0) = 0 \wedge
        (v  $\otimes$  |one⟩) $$ (3,0) = v $$ (1,0)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma control2-one:
  assumes dim-row v = 2 and dim-col v = 1 and dim-row U = 2 and dim-col
  U = 2
  shows control2 U * (v  $\otimes$  |one⟩) = (U*v)  $\otimes$  |one⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

Given a single qubit gate U, control n U creates a quantum n-qubit gate that performs a controlled-U operation on the first qubit using the last qubit as control.

```

fun control:: nat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat where

```

```

control 0 U = 1m 1
| control (Suc 0) U = 1m 2
| control (Suc (Suc 0)) U = control2 U
| control (Suc (Suc n)) U =
  ((1m 2)  $\otimes$  SWAP-down (Suc n)) * (control2 U  $\otimes$  (1m (2n))) * ((1m 2)  $\otimes$ 
SWAP-up (Suc n))

```

lemma control-carrier-mat[simp]:
shows control n U ∈ carrier-mat (2ⁿ) (2ⁿ)
⟨proof⟩

6 Quantum Fourier Transform Circuit

6.1 QFT definition

The function `kron` is the generalization of the Kronecker product to a finite number of qubits

```

fun kron:: (nat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat) ⇒ nat list ⇒ complex Matrix.mat where
  kron f [] = 1m 1
  | kron f (x#xs) = (f x)  $\otimes$  (kron f xs)

```

lemma kron-carrier-mat[simp]:
assumes $\forall m. \dim\text{-row}(f m) = 2 \wedge \dim\text{-col}(f m) = 1$
shows kron f xs ∈ carrier-mat (2^(length xs)) 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma kron-cons-right:
shows kron f (xs@[x]) = kron f xs \otimes f x
⟨proof⟩

We define the QFT product representation

```

definition QFT-product-representation:: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat where
  QFT-product-representation j n ≡ 1/(sqrt (2n)) ·m
    (kron (λ(l:nat). |zero⟩ + exp (2*i*pi*j/(2l)) ·m
  |one⟩)
    (map nat [1..n]))

```

We also define the reverse version of the QFT product representation, which is the output state of the QFT circuit alone

```

definition reverse-QFT-product-representation:: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat
where
  reverse-QFT-product-representation j n ≡ 1/(sqrt (2n)) ·m
    (kron (λ(l:nat). |zero⟩ + exp (2*i*pi*j/(2l)) ·m
  |one⟩)
    (map nat (rev [1..n])))

```

6.2 QFT circuit

The recursive function controlled_rotations computes the controlled- R_k gates subcircuit of the QFT circuit at each stage (i.e. for each qubit).

```
fun controlled-rotations:: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex Matrix.mat where
  controlled-rotations 0 =  $1_m$  1
  | controlled-rotations (Suc 0) =  $1_m$  2
  | controlled-rotations (Suc n) = (control (Suc n) (R (Suc n))) *
    ((controlled-rotations n)  $\otimes$  ( $1_m$  2))
```

```
lemma controlled-rotations-carrier-mat[simp]:
  controlled-rotations n  $\in$  carrier-mat ( $2^n$ ) ( $2^n$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

The recursive function QFT computes the Quantum Fourier Transform circuit.

```
fun QFT:: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex Matrix.mat where
  QFT 0 =  $1_m$  1
  | QFT (Suc 0) = H
  | QFT (Suc n) = (( $1_m$  2)  $\otimes$  (QFT n)) * (controlled-rotations (Suc n)) * (H  $\otimes$ 
    (( $1_m$  ( $2^n$ ))))
```

```
lemma QFT-carrier-mat[simp]:
  QFT n  $\in$  carrier-mat ( $2^n$ ) ( $2^n$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

ordered_QFT reverses the order of the qubits at the end of the QFT circuit

```
definition ordered-QFT:: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex Matrix.mat where
  ordered-QFT n  $\equiv$  (reverse-qubits n) * (QFT n)
```

7 QFT circuit correctness

Some useful lemmas:

```
lemma state-basis-dec:
  assumes  $j < 2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil}$ 
  shows  $|state\text{-basis } 1 (j \text{ div } 2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil})\rangle \otimes |state\text{-basis } n (j \text{ mod } 2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil})\rangle = |state\text{-basis } (Suc n) j\rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma state-basis-dec':
   $\forall j. j < 2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil} \rightarrow$ 
   $|state\text{-basis } n (j \text{ div } 2)\rangle \otimes |state\text{-basis } 1 (j \text{ mod } 2)\rangle = |state\text{-basis } (Suc n) j\rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Action of the H gate in the circuit

lemma *H-on-first-qubit*:

assumes $j < 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } n \rceil}$

shows $((H \otimes ((1_m (2^{\lceil n \rceil})))) * |\text{state-basis } (\text{Suc } n) j\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2} \cdot_m (|\text{zero}\rangle + \exp(2\pi i \cdot \text{complex-of-nat } (j \text{ div } 2^{\lceil n \rceil})/2) \cdot_m |\text{one}\rangle)$

$\otimes |\text{state-basis } n (j \bmod 2^{\lceil n \rceil})\rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Action of the R gate in the circuit

lemma *R-action*:

assumes $j < 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } n \rceil}$ **and** $j \bmod 2 = 1$

shows $(R (\text{Suc } n)) * (|\text{zero}\rangle + \exp(2\pi i \cdot \text{complex-of-nat } (j \text{ div } 2) / 2^{\lceil n \rceil}) \cdot_m |\text{one}\rangle) = |\text{zero}\rangle + \exp(2\pi i \cdot \text{complex-of-nat } j / 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } n \rceil}) \cdot_m |\text{one}\rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Action of the SWAP cascades in the circuit

lemma *SWAP-up-action*:

$\forall j. j < 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rceil} \longrightarrow$

$\text{SWAP-up } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) * (|\text{state-basis } (\text{Suc } n) (j \text{ div } 2)\rangle \otimes |\text{state-basis } 1 (j \bmod 2)\rangle) = |\text{state-basis } 1 (j \bmod 2)\rangle \otimes |\text{state-basis } (\text{Suc } n) (j \text{ div } 2)\rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SWAP-down-action*:

$\forall j. j < 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rceil} \longrightarrow$

$\text{SWAP-down } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) * (|\text{state-basis } 1 (j \bmod 2)\rangle \otimes |\text{state-basis } (\text{Suc } n) (j \text{ div } 2)\rangle) = |\text{state-basis } (\text{Suc } n) (j \text{ div } 2)\rangle \otimes |\text{state-basis } 1 (j \bmod 2)\rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Action of the controlled-R gates in the circuit

lemma *controlR-action*:

assumes $j < 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rceil}$

shows $(\text{control } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) (R (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)))) * ((|\text{zero}\rangle + \exp(2\pi i \cdot \text{complex-of-nat } (j \text{ div } 2) / 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } n \rceil}) \cdot_m |\text{one}\rangle) \otimes |\text{state-basis } n ((j \bmod 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } n \rceil}) \text{ div } 2)\rangle \otimes |\text{state-basis } 1 (j \bmod 2)\rangle) = (|\text{zero}\rangle + \exp(2\pi i \cdot \text{complex-of-nat } j / 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rceil}) \cdot_m |\text{one}\rangle) \otimes |\text{state-basis } n ((j \bmod 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } n \rceil}) \text{ div } 2)\rangle \otimes |\text{state-basis } 1 (j \bmod 2)\rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Action of the controlled rotations subcircuit

lemma *controlled-rotations-ind*:

$\forall j. j < 2^{\lceil \text{Suc } n \rceil} \longrightarrow$

$\text{controlled-rotations } (\text{Suc } n) * ((|\text{zero}\rangle + \exp(2\pi i \cdot \text{complex-of-nat } (j \text{ div } 2^{\lceil n \rceil})/2) \cdot_m |\text{one}\rangle) \otimes |\text{state-basis } n (j \bmod 2^{\lceil n \rceil})\rangle) =$

```
( |zero> + exp(2*i*pi*j/(2^(Suc n))) ·_m |one>) ⊗ |state-basis n (j mod 2^n)>
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma controlled-rotations-on-first-qubit:

```
assumes j < 2 ^ Suc n
shows controlled-rotations (Suc n) *
  (1/sqrt 2 ·_m ( |zero> + exp(2*i*pi*(complex-of-nat (j div 2^n))/2) ·_m |one>)
⊗ |state-basis n (j mod 2^n)) =
  (1/sqrt 2 ·_m (( |zero> + exp(2*i*pi*j/(2^(Suc n))) ·_m |one>)) ⊗ |state-basis
n (j mod 2^n))
⟨proof⟩
```

More useful lemmas:

```
lemma exp-j:
assumes l < Suc n
shows exp (2*i*pi*j/(2^l)) = exp (2*i*pi*(j mod 2^n)/(2^l))
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma kron-list-fun[simp]:

```
∀ x. List.member xs x → f x = g x ⇒ kron f xs = kron g xs
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma member-rev:

```
shows List.member (rev xs) x = List.member xs x
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma kron-j:

```
shows kron (λ(l:nat). |zero> + exp (2*i*pi*j/(2^l)) ·_m |one>) (map nat (rev
[1..n])) =
  kron (λ(l:nat). |zero> + exp (2*i*pi*(complex-of-nat (j mod 2^n))/(2^l)))
·_m |one>
  (map nat (rev [1..n]))
⟨proof⟩
```

We proof that the QFT circuit is correct:

theorem QFT-is-correct:

```
shows ∀ j. j < 2^n → (QFT n) * |state-basis n j> = reverse-QFT-product-representation
j n
⟨proof⟩
```

7.1 QFT with qubits reordering correctness

lemma SWAP-down-kron:

assumes $\forall m. \text{dim-row}(f m) = 2 \wedge \text{dim-col}(f m) = 1$
shows $\text{SWAP-down}(\text{length}(x\#xs)) * \text{kron } f(x\#xs) = \text{kron } f \text{ xs} \otimes f x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{SWAP-down-kron-map-rev}:$
assumes $\forall m. \text{dim-row}(f m) = 2 \wedge \text{dim-col}(f m) = 1$
shows $(\text{SWAP-down}(\text{Suc } k)) *$
 $\text{kron } f(\text{map nat}(\text{rev}[1..\text{int}(\text{Suc } k)])) =$
 $(\text{kron } f(\text{map nat}(\text{rev}[1..\text{int } k]))) \otimes (f(\text{Suc } k))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{reverse-qubits-kron}:$
assumes $\forall m. \text{dim-row}(f m) = 2 \wedge \text{dim-col}(f m) = 1$
shows $(\text{reverse-qubits } n) * (\text{kron } f(\text{map nat}(\text{rev}[1..n]))) = \text{kron } f(\text{map nat}[1..n])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-rep-fun}:$
assumes $f = (\lambda(l:\text{nat}). |\text{zero}\rangle + \exp(2*\text{i}*pi*j/(2^l)) \cdot_m |\text{one}\rangle)$
shows $\forall m. \text{dim-row}(f m) = 2 \wedge \text{dim-col}(f m) = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{rev-upto}:$
assumes $n1 \leq n2$
shows $\text{rev}[n1..n2] = n2 \# \text{rev}[n1..(n2-1)]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{dim-row-kron}:$
shows $\text{dim-row}(\text{kron } f \text{ xs}) = (\prod x \leftarrow \text{xs}. \text{dim-row}(f x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{dim-col-kron}:$
shows $\text{dim-col}(\text{kron } f \text{ xs}) = (\prod x \leftarrow \text{xs}. \text{dim-col}(f x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-2-n}:$
 $(\prod x \leftarrow \text{map nat}(\text{rev}[1..\text{int } n]). 2) = 2^n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-2-n-b}:$
 $(\prod x \leftarrow \text{map nat}[1..\text{int } n]. 2) = 2^n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-1-n}:$
 $(\prod x \leftarrow \text{map nat}(\text{rev}[1..\text{int } n]). 1) = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma prod-1-n-b:
  ( $\prod x \leftarrow \text{map} \text{ nat } [1.. \text{int} n]. \text{ Suc } 0\right) = \text{Suc } 0
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma reverse-qubits-product-representation:
  reverse-qubits n * reverse-QFT-product-representation j n = QFT-product-representation
  j n
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$ 
```

Finally, we proof the correctness of the algorithm

```

theorem ordered-QFT-is-correct:
  assumes  $j < 2^n$ 
  shows (ordered-QFT n) * |state-basis n j⟩ = QFT-product-representation j n
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

8 Unitarity

Although unitarity is not required to proof QFT's correctness, in this section we will prove it, i.e., QFT and ordered_QFT functions create quantum gates and QFT product representation is a quantum state.

```

lemma state-basis-is-state:
  assumes  $j < n$ 
  shows state n |state-basis n j⟩
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma R-dagger-mat:
  shows  $(R k)^\dagger = \text{Matrix.mat } 2 \ 2 \ (\lambda(i,j). \text{ if } i \neq j \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\text{if } i=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } \exp(-2*pi*i/2^k)))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma R-is-gate:
  shows gate 1 (R n)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma SWAP-dagger-mat:
  shows  $\text{SWAP}^\dagger = \text{SWAP}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma SWAP-inv:
  shows  $\text{SWAP} * (\text{SWAP}^\dagger) = 1_m$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma SWAP-inv':
  shows  $(\text{SWAP}^\dagger) * \text{SWAP} = 1_m$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma SWAP-is-gate:

```

shows gate 2 SWAP
(proof)

lemma control2-inv:
 assumes gate 1 U
 shows (control2 U) * ((control2 U) †) = 1_m 4
(proof)

lemma control2-inv':
 assumes gate 1 U
 shows (control2 U) † * (control2 U) = 1_m 4
(proof)

lemma control2-is-gate:
 assumes gate 1 U
 shows gate 2 (control2 U)
(proof)

lemma SWAP-down-is-gate:
 shows gate n (SWAP-down n)
(proof)

lemma SWAP-up-is-gate:
 shows gate n (SWAP-up n)
(proof)

lemma control-is-gate:
 assumes gate 1 U
 shows gate n (control n U)
(proof)

lemma controlled-rotations-is-gate:
 shows gate n (controlled-rotations n)
(proof)

theorem QFT-is-gate:
 shows gate n (QFT n)
(proof)

corollary QFT-is-unitary:
 shows unitary (QFT n)
(proof)

corollary reverse-product-rep-is-state:
 assumes j < 2ⁿ
 shows state n (reverse-QFT-product-representation j n)
(proof)

```

lemma reverse-qubits-is-gate:
  shows gate n (reverse-qubits n)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem ordered-QFT-is-gate:
  shows gate n (ordered-QFT n)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ordered-QFT-is-unitary:
  shows unitary (ordered-QFT n)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary product-rep-is-state:
  assumes j < 2^n
  shows state n (QFT-product-representation j n)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

9 Acknowledgements

This work was conducted as part of my MSc Thesis [2] under the supervision of Prof. Francisco Jesús Martín Mateos, without whose advise and assistance its completion would not have been possible.

References

- [1] A. Bordg, H. Lachnitt, and Y. He. Isabelle Marries Dirac: a Library for Quantum Computation and Quantum Information. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, November 2020. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Isabelle_Marries_Dirac.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] P. Manrique. Computación Cuántica: formalización y demostración en Isabelle. Master's thesis, Universidad de Sevilla, 2024.
- [3] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [4] Y. Peng, K. Hietala, R. Tao, L. Li, R. Rand, M. Hicks, and X. Wu. A Formally Certified End-to-End Implementation of Shor's Factorization Algorithm, 2022.