

Proof Terms for Term Rewriting

Christina Kirk (Kohl)

University of Innsbruck, Austria

April 13, 2025

Abstract

Proof terms are first-order terms that represent reductions in term rewriting. They were initially introduced in [6] and [5, Chapter 8] by van Oostrom and de Vrijer to study equivalences of reductions in left-linear rewrite systems. This entry formalizes proof terms for multi-steps in first-order term rewrite systems. We define simple proof terms (i.e., without a composition operator) and establish the correspondence to multi-steps: each proof term represents a multi-step with the same source and target, and every multi-step can be expressed as a proof term. The formalization moreover includes operations on proof terms, such as residuals, join, and deletion and a method for labeling proof term sources to identify overlaps between two proof terms.

This formalization is part of the *Isabelle Formalization of Rewriting* `lsaFoR` and is an essential component of several formalized confluence and commutation results involving multi-steps [2, 3, 4, 1].

Contents

1	Preliminaries	2
1.1	Utilities for Lists	2
1.1.1	Lists of <i>option</i>	4
1.2	Results About Linear Terms	4
1.3	Results About Substitutions and Contexts	5
1.3.1	Utilities for <i>mk-subst</i>	7
1.4	Matching Terms	7
1.4.1	Matching of Linear Terms	8
2	Proof Terms	9
2.1	Definitions	9
2.2	Frequently Used Locales/Contexts	11
2.3	Proof Term Predicates	12
2.4	'Normal' Terms vs. Proof Terms	14

2.5	Substitutions	15
2.6	Contexts	16
2.7	Source and Target	17
2.8	Additional Results	19
2.9	Proof Terms Represent Multi-Steps	20
3	Operations on Proof Terms	20
3.1	Residuals	21
3.2	Join	25
3.2.1	N-Fold Join	28
3.3	Deletion	30
3.4	Computations With Single Redexes	30
4	Orthogonal Proof Terms	34
5	Labels and Overlaps	35
5.1	Labeled Proof Terms	36
5.2	Measuring Overlap	44
5.3	Collecting Overlapping Positions	45
6	Redex Patterns	47

1 Preliminaries

```

theory Proof-Term-Utils
imports
  First-Order-Terms.Matching
  First-Order-Rewriting.Term-Impl
begin

```

1.1 Utilities for Lists

```

lemma obtain-list-with-property:
  assumes  $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \exists a. P a x$ 
  shows  $\exists as. \text{length } as = \text{length } xs \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } xs. P (as!i) (xs!i))$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma card-Union-Sum:
  assumes is-partition (map f [0.. $\text{length } xs$ ])
  and  $\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{finite } (f i)$ 
  shows  $\text{card } (\bigcup i < \text{length } xs. f i) = (\sum i < \text{length } xs. \text{card } (f i))$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma sum-sum-concat:  $(\sum i < \text{length } xs. \sum x \leftarrow f (xs!i). g x) = (\sum x \leftarrow \text{concat } (\text{map } f xs). g x)$ 
  <proof>

```

lemma *concat-map2-zip*:

assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$

and $\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{length } (xs!i) = \text{length } (ys!i)$

shows $\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ys) = \text{zip } (\text{concat } xs) \ (\text{concat } ys)$

<proof>

lemma *sum-list-less*:

assumes $\text{less}: i < j$

and $i'j': i' < \text{length } xs \ j' < \text{length } xs$

and $j'': j'' < \text{length } (xs!j')$

and $\text{sums}: i = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{length } (\text{take } i' \ xs)) + i'' \ j = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{length } (\text{take } j' \ xs)) + j''$

shows $i' \leq j'$

<proof>

lemma *zip-symm*: $(x, y) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ ys) \implies (y, x) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } ys \ xs)$

<proof>

lemma *sum-list-elem*:

$(\sum x \leftarrow [y]. f \ x) = f \ y$

<proof>

lemma *sum-list-zero*:

assumes $\forall i < \text{length } xs. f \ (xs!i) = 0$

shows $(\sum x \leftarrow xs. f \ x) = 0$

<proof>

lemma *distinct-is-partition*:

assumes $\text{distinct } (\text{concat } ts)$

shows $\text{is-partition } (\text{map } \text{set } ts)$

<proof>

lemma *filter-ex-index*:

assumes $x = \text{filter } f \ xs \ ! \ i \ i < \text{length } (\text{filter } f \ xs)$

shows $\exists j. j < \text{length } xs \wedge x = xs!j$

<proof>

lemma *filter-index-neq'*:

assumes $i < j \ j < \text{length } (\text{filter } f \ xs)$

shows $\exists i' \ j'. i' < \text{length } xs \wedge j' < \text{length } xs \wedge i' < j' \wedge xs \ ! \ i' = (\text{filter } f \ xs) \ ! \ i \wedge xs \ ! \ j' = (\text{filter } f \ xs) \ ! \ j$

<proof>

lemma *filter-index-neq*:

assumes $i \neq j \ i < \text{length } (\text{filter } f \ xs) \ j < \text{length } (\text{filter } f \ xs)$

shows $\exists i' \ j'. i' < \text{length } xs \wedge j' < \text{length } xs \wedge i' \neq j' \wedge xs \ ! \ i' = (\text{filter } f \ xs) \ ! \ i \wedge xs \ ! \ j' = (\text{filter } f \ xs) \ ! \ j$

<proof>

lemma *nth-drop-equal*:

assumes $length\ xs = length\ ys$

and $\forall j < length\ xs. j \geq i \longrightarrow xs!j = ys!j$

shows $drop\ i\ xs = drop\ i\ ys$

<proof>

lemma *union-take-drop-list*:

assumes $i < length\ xs$

shows $(set\ (take\ i\ xs)) \cup (set\ (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs)) = \{xs!j \mid j. j < length\ xs \wedge j \neq i\}$

<proof>

lemma *list-tl-eq*:

assumes $length\ xs = length\ ys\ xs \neq []$

and $\forall i < length\ xs. i > 0 \longrightarrow xs!i = ys!i$

shows $tl\ xs = tl\ ys$

<proof>

1.1.1 Lists of option

lemma *length-those*:

assumes $those\ xs = Some\ ys$

shows $length\ xs = length\ ys$

<proof>

lemma *those-not-none-x*: $those\ xs = Some\ ys \implies x \in set\ xs \implies x \neq None$

<proof>

lemma *those-not-none-xs*: $list\ all\ (\lambda x. x \neq None)\ xs \implies those\ xs \neq None$

<proof>

lemma *those-some*:

assumes $length\ xs = length\ ys\ \forall i < length\ xs. xs!i = Some\ (ys!i)$

shows $those\ xs = Some\ ys$

<proof>

lemma *those-some2*:

assumes $those\ xs = Some\ ys$

shows $\forall i < length\ xs. xs!i = Some\ (ys!i)$

<proof>

lemma *exists-some-list*:

assumes $\forall i < length\ xs. (\exists y. xs!i = Some\ y)$

shows $\exists ys. (\forall i < length\ xs. xs!i = Some\ (ys!i)) \wedge length\ ys = length\ xs$

<proof>

1.2 Results About Linear Terms

lemma *linear-term-var-vars-term-list*:

assumes *linear-term* t

shows $\text{vars-term-list } t = \text{vars-distinct } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linear-term-unique-vars*:
assumes *linear-term* s
and $p \in \text{poss } s$ **and** $s|_p = \text{Var } x$
and $q \in \text{poss } s$ **and** $s|_q = \text{Var } x$
shows $p = q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linear-term-ctxt*:
assumes *linear-term* t
and $p \in \text{poss } t$
shows $\text{vars-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ t) \cap \text{vars-term } (t|_p) = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linear-term-obtain-subst*:
assumes *linear-term* $(\text{Fun } f \ ts)$ **and** $l: \text{length } ts = \text{length } ss$
and *substs*: $\forall i < \text{length } ts. (\exists \sigma. ts!i \cdot \sigma = ss!i)$
shows $\exists \sigma. \text{Fun } f \ ts \cdot \sigma = \text{Fun } f \ ss$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linear-ctxt-of-pos-term*:
assumes *linear-term* t **and** *lin-s:linear-term* s **and** $p:p \in \text{poss } t$
and $\text{vars-term } t \cap \text{vars-term } s = \{\}$
shows *linear-term* $(\text{replace-at } t \ p \ s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-vars*:
assumes $\bigwedge p \ q \ x \ y. p \neq q \implies p \in \text{poss } t \implies q \in \text{poss } t \implies t|_p = \text{Var } x \implies$
 $t|_q = \text{Var } y \implies x \neq y$
shows *distinct* $(\text{vars-term-list } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-vars-linear-term*:
assumes *distinct* $(\text{vars-term-list } t)$
shows *linear-term* t
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-vars-eq-linear*: *linear-term* $t = \text{distinct } (\text{vars-term-list } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3 Results About Substitutions and Contexts

lemma *ctxt-apply-term-subst*:
assumes *linear-term* t **and** $i < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } t)$
and $p = (\text{var-poss-list } t)!i$
shows $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (t \cdot \sigma))\langle s \rangle = t \cdot \sigma((\text{vars-term-list } t)!i := s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ctxt-subst-apply*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } t$ **and** $t|-p = \text{Var } x$
and *linear-term* t
shows $((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ t) \cdot_c \sigma) \langle s \rangle = t \cdot \sigma(x := s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ctxt-of-pos-term-hole-subst*:

assumes *linear-term* t
and $i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } t)$ **and** $p = \text{var-poss-list } t ! i$
and $\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. x \neq \text{vars-term-list } t ! i \longrightarrow \sigma x = \tau x$
shows $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (t \cdot \sigma) = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (t \cdot \tau)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ctxt-apply-ctxt-apply*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } t$
shows $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } (p@q) ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ t) \langle s \rangle)) \langle u \rangle = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ t) \langle (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ s) \langle u \rangle \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replace-at-append-subst*:

assumes *linear-term* t
and $p \in \text{poss } t|-p = \text{Var } x$
shows $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } (p@q) (t \cdot \sigma)) \langle s \rangle = t \cdot \sigma(x := (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ (\sigma x)) \langle s \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replace-at-fun-poss-not-below*:

assumes $\neg p \leq_p q$
and $p \in \text{poss } t$ **and** $q \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{replace-at } t \ p \ s)$
shows $q \in \text{fun-poss } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *substitution-subterm-at*:

assumes $\forall j < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } l). \sigma (\text{vars-term-list } l ! j) = s |- (\text{var-poss-list } l ! j)$
and $\exists \tau. l \cdot \tau = s$
shows $l \cdot \sigma = s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vars-map-vars-term*:

$\text{map } f \ (\text{vars-term-list } t) = \text{vars-term-list } (\text{map-vars-term } f \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ctxt-apply-subt-at*:

assumes $q \in \text{poss } s$
shows $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (s|-q)) \langle t \rangle = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } (q@p) \ s) \langle t \rangle |- q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.1 Utilities for *mk-subst*

We consider the special case of applying *mk-subst* when the variables involved form a partition.

lemma *mk-subst-same*:

assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ts$ *distinct* xs
shows $\text{map } (\text{mk-subst } f \ (\text{zip } xs \ ts)) \ xs = ts$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map2-zip*: $\text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ys))) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{concat } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mk-subst-partition*:

fixes $xs :: 'a \ \text{list}$
assumes $l : \text{length } xs = \text{length } ss$
and *part-is-partition* $(\text{map } \text{set } xs)$
shows $\forall i < \text{length } xs. \forall x \in \text{set } (xs!i). (\text{mk-subst } f \ (\text{zip } (xs!i) \ (ss!i))) \ x =$
 $(\text{mk-subst } f \ (\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ss))) \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The following lemma is used later to show that $A = (\text{to-pterms } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \sigma$ implies $A = (\text{to-pterms } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha$ for some suitable As .

lemma *subst-imp-mk-subst*:

assumes $s = t \cdot \sigma$
shows $\exists ss. t \cdot \sigma = t \cdot (\text{mk-subst } \text{Var } (\text{zip } (\text{vars-distinct } t) \ ss)) \wedge \text{length } ss =$
 $\text{length } (\text{vars-distinct } t) \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } ss. \sigma \ (\text{vars-distinct } t!i) = ss!i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mk-subst-rename*:

assumes $\text{length } (\text{vars-distinct } t) = \text{length } xs$ **and** *inj* f
shows $t \cdot (\text{mk-subst } \text{Var } (\text{zip } (\text{vars-distinct } t) \ xs)) = (\text{map-vars-term } f \ t) \cdot$
 $(\text{mk-subst } \text{Var } (\text{zip } (\text{vars-distinct } (\text{map-vars-term } f \ t)) \ xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.4 Matching Terms

The goal is showing that $\text{match } (t \cdot \sigma) \ t = \text{Some } \sigma$ whenever the domain of σ is a subset of the variables in t . For that we need some helper lemmas.

lemma *decompose-fst*:

assumes $\text{decompose } (\text{Fun } f \ ss) \ t = \text{Some } us$
shows $\text{map } \text{fst } us = ss$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decompose-vars-term*:

assumes $\text{decompose } (\text{Fun } f \ ss) \ t = \text{Some } us$
shows $\text{vars-term } (\text{Fun } f \ ss) = (\bigcup (a, b) \in \text{set } us. \text{vars-term } a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match-term-list-domain*:

assumes *match-term-list* $P \sigma = \text{Some } \tau$

shows $\forall x. x \notin (\bigcup (a, b) \in \text{set } P. \text{vars-term } a) \wedge \sigma x = \text{None} \longrightarrow \tau x = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match-subst-domain*:

assumes *match* $a b = \text{Some } \sigma$

shows *subst-domain* $\sigma \subseteq \text{vars-term } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match-trivial*:

assumes *subst-domain* $\sigma \subseteq \text{vars-term } t$

shows *match* $(t \cdot \sigma) t = \text{Some } \sigma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

1.4.1 Matching of Linear Terms

theory *Linear-Matching*

imports *Proof-Term-Utils*

begin

For a linear term the matching substitution can simply be computed with the following definition.

definition *match-substs* $:: ('f, 'v) \text{ term} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ term} \Rightarrow ('v \times ('f, 'v) \text{ term}) \text{ list}$
where *match-substs* $t s = (\text{zip } (\text{vars-term-list } t) (\text{map } (\lambda p. s|-p) (\text{var-poss-list } t)))$

lemma *mk-subst-partition-special*:

assumes *length* $ss = \text{length } ts$

and *is-partition* $(\text{map } \text{vars-term } ts)$

shows $\forall i < \text{length } ts. (ts!i) \cdot (\text{mk-subst } f (\text{zip } (\text{vars-term-list } (ts!i)) (ss!i))) =$
 $(ts!i) \cdot (\text{mk-subst } f (\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } (\text{map } \text{vars-term-list } ts) ss)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match-substs-Fun*:

assumes *length* $ts = \text{length } ss$

shows *match-substs* $(\text{Fun } f ts) (\text{Fun } g ss) = \text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } (\text{map } \text{vars-term-list } ts) (\text{map2 } (\lambda t s. \text{map } (|-) s) (\text{var-poss-list } t) ts) ss))$

(is *match-substs* $(\text{Fun } f ts) (\text{Fun } g ss) = \text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } ?xs ?terms)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

If all function symbols in term t coincide with function symbols in term s , then t matches s .

lemma *fun-poss-eq-imp-matches*:

fixes $s t :: ('f, 'v) \text{ term}$

assumes *linear-term* t **and** $\forall p \in \text{poss } t. \forall f ts. t|-p = \text{Fun } f ts \longrightarrow (\exists ss. \text{length } ts = \text{length } ss \wedge s|-p = \text{Fun } f ss)$

shows $t \cdot (\text{mk-subst Var } (\text{match-substs } t \ s)) = s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2 Proof Terms

theory *Proof-Terms*

imports

First-Order-Terms.Matching

First-Order-Rewriting.Multistep

Proof-Term-Utils

begin

2.1 Definitions

A rewrite rule consists of a pair of terms representing its left-hand side and right-hand side. We associate a rule symbol with each rewrite rule.

datatype $(f, 'v)$ *prule* =
Rule (*lhs*: $(f, 'v)$ *term*) (*rhs*: $(f, 'v)$ *term*) ($- \rightarrow -$ [51, 51] 52)

Translate between *prule* defined here and *rule* as defined in IsaFoR.

abbreviation *to-rule* :: $(f, 'v)$ *prule* \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ *rule*
where *to-rule* $r \equiv (\text{lhs } r, \text{rhs } r)$

Proof terms are terms built from variables, function symbols, and rules.

type-synonym

$(f, 'v)$ *pterm* = $((f, 'v)$ *prule* + $f, 'v)$ *term*

type-synonym

$(f, 'v)$ *pterm-ctxt* = $((f, 'v)$ *prule* + $f, 'v)$ *ctxt*

We provides an easier notation for proof terms (avoiding *Inl* and *Inr*).

abbreviation *Prule* :: $(f, 'v)$ *prule* \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ *pterm list* \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ *pterm*
where *Prule* α *As* $\equiv \text{Fun } (\text{Inl } \alpha) \text{ As}$

abbreviation *Pfun* :: $f \Rightarrow$ $(f, 'v)$ *pterm list* \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ *pterm*
where *Pfun* f *As* $\equiv \text{Fun } (\text{Inr } f) \text{ As}$

Also for contexts.

abbreviation *Crule* :: $(f, 'v)$ *prule* \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ *pterm list* \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ *pterm-ctxt* \Rightarrow
 $(f, 'v)$ *pterm list* \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ *pterm-ctxt*

where *Crule* α *As1* *C* *As2* $\equiv \text{More } (\text{Inl } \alpha) \text{ As1 } C \text{ As2}$

abbreviation *Cfun* :: $f \Rightarrow$ $(f, 'v)$ *pterm list* \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ *pterm-ctxt* \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$
pterm list \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ *pterm-ctxt*

where *Cfun* f *As1* *C* *As2* $\equiv \text{More } (\text{Inr } f) \text{ As1 } C \text{ As2}$

Case analysis on proof terms.

lemma *pterm-cases* [*case-names* *Var Pfun Prule*, *cases type*: *pterm*]:

$(\bigwedge x. A = \text{Var } x \implies P) \implies (\bigwedge f \text{ As}. A = \text{Pfun } f \text{ As} \implies P) \implies (\bigwedge \alpha \text{ As}. A = \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As} \implies P) \implies P$
 <proof>

Induction scheme for proof terms.

lemma

fixes $P :: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
assumes $\bigwedge x. P (\text{Var } x)$
and $\bigwedge f \text{ As}. (\bigwedge a. a \in \text{set As} \implies P a) \implies P (\text{Pfun } f \text{ As})$
and $\bigwedge \alpha \text{ As}. (\bigwedge a. a \in \text{set As} \implies P a) \implies P (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As})$
shows $\text{pterm-induct} [\text{case-names Var Pfun Prule, induct type: pterm}]: P A$
 <proof>

Induction scheme for contexts of proof terms.

lemma

fixes $P :: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm-ctxt} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
assumes $P \square$
and $\bigwedge f \text{ ss1 } C \text{ ss2}. P C \implies P (\text{Cfun } f \text{ ss1 } C \text{ ss2})$
and $\bigwedge \alpha \text{ ss1 } C \text{ ss2}. P C \implies P (\text{Crule } \alpha \text{ ss1 } C \text{ ss2})$
shows $\text{pterm-ctxt-induct} [\text{case-names Hole Cfun Crule, induct type: pterm-ctxt}]:$
 $P C$
 <proof>

Obtain the distinct variables occurring on the left-hand side of a rule in the order they appear.

abbreviation $\text{var-rule} :: ('f, 'v) \text{prule} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ list}$ **where** $\text{var-rule } \alpha \equiv \text{vars-distinct} (\text{lhs } \alpha)$

abbreviation $\text{lhs-subst} :: ('g, 'v) \text{term list} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{prule} \Rightarrow ('g, 'v) \text{subst}$ ($\langle _ \rangle$ - $[0,99]$)
where $\text{lhs-subst } \text{As } \alpha \equiv \text{mk-subst Var } (\text{zip } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \text{ As})$

A proof term using only function symbols and variables is an empty step.

fun $\text{is-empty-step} :: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-empty-step } (\text{Var } x) = \text{True}$
 $|\ \text{is-empty-step } (\text{Pfun } f \text{ As}) = \text{list-all is-empty-step As}$
 $|\ \text{is-empty-step } (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As}) = \text{False}$

fun $\text{is-Prule} :: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-Prule } (\text{Prule } -) = \text{True}$
 $|\ \text{is-Prule } - = \text{False}$

Source and target

fun $\text{source} :: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{term}$ **where**
 $\text{source } (\text{Var } x) = \text{Var } x$
 $|\ \text{source } (\text{Pfun } f \text{ As}) = \text{Fun } f (\text{map source As})$
 $|\ \text{source } (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As}) = \text{lhs } \alpha \cdot \langle \text{map source As} \rangle_\alpha$

```

fun target :: ('f, 'v) pterm ⇒ ('f, 'v) term where
  target (Var x) = Var x
| target (Pfun f As) = Fun f (map target As)
| target (Prule α As) = rhs α · ⟨map target As⟩α

```

Source also works for proof term contexts in left-linear TRSs.

```

fun source-ctxt :: ('f, 'v) pterm-ctxt ⇒ ('f, 'v) ctxt where
  source-ctxt □ = □
| source-ctxt (Cfun f As1 C As2) = More f (map source As1) (source-ctxt C) (map
source As2)
| source-ctxt (Crule α As1 C As2) =
  (let ctxt-pos = (var-poss-list (lhs α))!(length As1);
    placeholder = Var ((vars-term-list (lhs α)) ! (length As1)) in
  ctxt-of-pos-term (ctxt-pos) (lhs α · ⟨map source (As1 @ ((placeholder # As2))))α)
○c (source-ctxt C)

```

abbreviation *co-initial* A B ≡ (source A = source B)

Transform simple terms to proof terms.

```

fun to-pterm :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm where
  to-pterm (Var x) = Var x
| to-pterm (Fun f ts) = Pfun f (map to-pterm ts)

```

Also for contexts.

```

fun to-pterm-ctxt :: ('f, 'v) ctxt ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm-ctxt where
  to-pterm-ctxt □ = □
| to-pterm-ctxt (More f ss1 C ss2) = Cfun f (map to-pterm ss1) (to-pterm-ctxt C)
(map to-pterm ss2)

```

2.2 Frequently Used Locales/Contexts

Often certain properties about proof terms only hold when the underlying TRS does not contain variable left-hand sides and/or variables on the right are a subset of the variables on the left and/or the TRS is left-linear.

```

locale left-lin =
  fixes R :: ('f, 'v) trs
  assumes left-lin:left-linear-trs R

```

```

locale no-var-lhs =
  fixes R :: ('f, 'v) trs
  assumes no-var-lhs:Ball R (λ(l, r). is-Fun l)

```

```

locale var-rhs-subset-lhs =
  fixes R :: ('f, 'v) trs
  assumes varcond:Ball R (λ(l, r). vars-term r ⊆ vars-term l)

```

```

locale wf-trs = no-var-lhs + var-rhs-subset-lhs
locale left-lin-no-var-lhs = left-lin + no-var-lhs

```

locale *left-lin-wf-trs* = *left-lin* + *wf-trs*

context *wf-trs*

begin

lemma *wf-trs-alt*:

shows *Trs.wf-trs* *R*

<proof>

end

context *left-lin*

begin

lemma *length-var-rule*:

assumes *to-rule* $\alpha \in R$

shows $\text{length}(\text{var-rule } \alpha) = \text{length}(\text{vars-term-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha))$

<proof>

end

2.3 Proof Term Predicates

The number of arguments of a well-defined proof term over a TRS *R* using a rule symbol α corresponds to the number of variables in *lhs* α . Also the rewrite rule for α must belong to the TRS *R*.

inductive-set *wf-pterm* :: $('f, 'v) \text{ trs} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ pterm set}$

for *R* **where**

[simp]: $\text{Var } x \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

[intro]: $\forall t \in \text{set } ts. t \in \text{wf-pterm } R \Longrightarrow \text{Pfun } f \text{ } ts \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

[intro]: $(\text{lhs } \alpha, \text{rhs } \alpha) \in R \Longrightarrow \text{length } As = \text{length}(\text{var-rule } \alpha) \Longrightarrow$

$\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R \Longrightarrow \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

inductive-set *wf-pterm-ctxt* :: $('f, 'v) \text{ trs} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ pterm-ctxt set}$

for *R* **where**

[simp]: $\square \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$

[intro]: $\forall s \in (\text{set } ss1) \cup (\text{set } ss2). s \in \text{wf-pterm } R \Longrightarrow C \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R \Longrightarrow$

$\text{Cfun } f \text{ } ss1 \text{ } C \text{ } ss2 \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$

[intro]: $(\text{lhs } \alpha, \text{rhs } \alpha) \in R \Longrightarrow (\text{length } ss1) + (\text{length } ss2) + 1 = \text{length}(\text{var-rule } \alpha) \Longrightarrow$

$\forall s \in (\text{set } ss1) \cup (\text{set } ss2). s \in \text{wf-pterm } R \Longrightarrow C \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R \Longrightarrow$

$\text{Crule } \alpha \text{ } ss1 \text{ } C \text{ } ss2 \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$

lemma *fun-well-arg**[intro]*:

assumes $\text{Fun } f \text{ } As \in \text{wf-pterm } R \ a \in \text{set } As$

shows $a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

<proof>

lemma *trs-well-ctxt-arg**[intro]*:

assumes $\text{More } f \text{ } ss1 \text{ } C \text{ } ss2 \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R \ s \in (\text{set } ss1) \cup (\text{set } ss2)$

shows $s \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

<proof>

lemma *trs-well-ctxt-C[intro]*:
assumes $\text{More } f \text{ ss1 } C \text{ ss2} \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$
shows $C \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *no-var-lhs*
begin

lemma *lhs-is-Fun*:
assumes $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ Bs} \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\text{is-Fun } (\text{lhs } \alpha)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

lemma *lhs-subst-var-well-def*:
assumes $\forall i < \text{length } \text{As}. \text{As}[i] \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $(\langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha) x \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lhs-subst-well-def*:
assumes $\forall i < \text{length } \text{As}. \text{As}[i] \in \text{wf-pterm } R \text{ } B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $B \cdot (\langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subt-at-is-wf-pterm*:
assumes $p \in \text{poss } A$ **and** $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $A|_p \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ctxt-of-pos-term-well*:
assumes $p \in \text{poss } A$ **and** $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \text{ } A \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Every normal term is a well-defined proof term.

lemma *to-pterm-wf-pterm[simp]*: $\text{to-pterm } t \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-pterm-trs-ctxt*:
assumes $p \in \text{poss } (\text{to-pterm } s)$
shows $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \text{ } (\text{to-pterm } s) \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-wf-pterm-ctxt*:
shows $\text{to-pterm-ctxt } C \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ctxt-wf-pterm*:
assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $p \in \text{poss } A$
and $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ A)(B) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4 'Normal' Terms vs. Proof Terms

lemma *to-pterm-empty: is-empty-step* $(\text{to-pterm } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Variables remain unchanged.

lemma *vars-to-pterm: vars-term-list* $(\text{to-pterm } t) = \text{vars-term-list } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poss-list-to-pterm: poss-list* $t = \text{poss-list } (\text{to-pterm } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p-in-poss-to-pterm:*
assumes $p \in \text{poss } t$
shows $p \in \text{poss } (\text{to-pterm } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *var-poss-to-pterm: var-poss* $t = \text{var-poss } (\text{to-pterm } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *var-poss-list-to-pterm: var-poss-list* $(\text{to-pterm } t) = \text{var-poss-list } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

to-pterm distributes over application of substitution.

lemma *to-pterm-subst:*
 $\text{to-pterm } (t \cdot \sigma) = (\text{to-pterm } t) \cdot (\text{to-pterm } \circ \sigma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

to-pterm distributes over context.

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-of-pos-apply-term:*
assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{to-pterm } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ s) \langle t \rangle) = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{to-pterm } s)) \langle \text{to-pterm } t \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Linear terms become linear proof terms.

lemma *to-pterm-linear:*
assumes *linear-term* t
shows *linear-term* $(\text{to-pterm } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lhs-subst-trivial:*
shows $\text{match } (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \langle As \rangle_\alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-apply-term:*

$to\text{-}pterm\ C \langle t \rangle = (to\text{-}pterm\text{-}ctat\ C) \langle to\text{-}pterm\ t \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5 Substitutions

lemma *fun-mk-subst[simp]*:

assumes $\forall x. f (Var\ x) = Var\ x$

shows $f \circ (mk\text{-}subst\ Var\ (zip\ vs\ ts)) = mk\text{-}subst\ Var\ (zip\ vs\ (map\ f\ ts))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-lhs-subst-var-rule*:

assumes $length\ ts = length\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha)$

shows $map\ (\langle ts \rangle_\alpha)\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha) = ts$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *match-lhs-subst*:

assumes $match\ B\ (to\text{-}pterm\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma$

shows $\exists Bs. length\ Bs = length\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha) \wedge$

$B = (to\text{-}pterm\ (lhs\ \alpha)) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$

$(\forall x \in set\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha). \sigma\ x = (\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha)\ x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-subst-wf-pterm*:

assumes $A \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$

and $\forall x \in vars\text{-}term\ A. \sigma\ x \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$

shows $A \cdot \sigma \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-well-def*:

assumes $B \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R\ A \cdot \sigma = B\ x \in vars\text{-}term\ A$

shows $\sigma\ x \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm*:

assumes $to\text{-}pterm\ (lhs\ \alpha) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$ **and** $length\ As = length\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha)$

shows $\forall a \in set\ As. a \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *match-well-def*:

assumes $B \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R\ match\ B\ A = Some\ \sigma$

shows $\forall i < length\ (vars\text{-}distinct\ A). \sigma\ ((vars\text{-}distinct\ A)\ !\ i) \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-imp-well-def*:

assumes $A \cdot \sigma \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$

shows $A \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lhs-subst-var-i*:

assumes $x = (\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i$ **and** $i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ **and** $i < \text{length } As$

shows $\langle As \rangle_\alpha x = As!i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lhs-subst-not-var-i*:

assumes $\neg(\exists i < \text{length } As. i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \wedge x = (\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i)$

shows $\langle As \rangle_\alpha x = \text{Var } x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lhs-subst-upd*:

assumes $\text{length } ss1 < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$

shows $\langle (ss1 @ t \# ss2)_\alpha \rangle ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!(\text{length } ss1) := s) = \langle ss1 @ s \# ss2 \rangle_\alpha$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-lhs-subst*:

assumes $l:\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) = \text{length } As$

shows $(\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha \cdot \sigma = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle \text{map } (\lambda a. a \cdot \sigma) As \rangle_\alpha$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *var-rule-pos-subst*:

assumes $i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ $\text{length } ss = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$

and $p \in \text{poss } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \text{ Var } ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i) = (\text{lhs } \alpha)|_p$

shows $\text{lhs } \alpha \cdot \langle ss \rangle_\alpha \mid (p@q) = (ss!i)\mid_q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lhs-subst-var-rule*:

assumes $\text{vars-term } t \subseteq \text{vars-term } (\text{lhs } \alpha)$

shows $t \cdot \langle \text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \rangle_\alpha = t \cdot \sigma$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.6 Contexts

lemma *match-lhs-context*:

assumes $i < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } t) \wedge p = (\text{var-poss-list } t)!i$

and *linear-term* t

and $\text{match } (((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (t \cdot \sigma))\langle B \rangle) t = \text{Some } \tau$

shows $\text{map } \tau (\text{vars-term-list } t) = (\text{map } \sigma (\text{vars-term-list } t))[i := B]$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ctxt-lhs-subst*:

assumes $i:i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))$ **and** $l:\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$

and $p1:p1 = \text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha) ! i$ **and** $lin:\text{linear-term } (\text{lhs } \alpha)$

and $p2 \in \text{poss } (As!i)$

shows $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } (p1 @ p2) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha))\langle A \rangle =$

$(\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle \text{take } i As @ (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p2 (As!i))\langle A \rangle \# \text{drop}$

$(\text{Suc } i) As \rangle_\alpha$

<proof>

lemma *ctxt-rule-obtain-pos*:

assumes $iq:i\#q \in \text{poss} (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As)$
and $p\text{-pos}:p \in \text{poss} (\text{source} (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As))$
and $\text{ctxt}:\text{source-ctxt} (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term} (i\#q) (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As)) = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term}$
 $p (\text{source} (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As))$
and $\text{lin}:\text{linear-term} (\text{lhs } \alpha)$
and $l:\text{length } As = \text{length} (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
shows $\exists p1 p2. p = p1 @ p2 \wedge p1 = \text{var-poss-list} (\text{lhs } \alpha)!i \wedge p2 \in \text{poss} (\text{source}$
 $(As!i))$
<proof>

2.7 Source and Target

lemma *source-empty-step*:

assumes *is-empty-step* t
shows $\text{to-pterm} (\text{source } t) = t$
<proof>

lemma *empty-coinitial*:

shows $\text{co-initial } A \ t \implies \text{is-empty-step } t \implies \text{to-pterm} (\text{source } A) = t$
<proof>

lemma *source-to-pterm[simp]*: $\text{source} (\text{to-pterm } t) = t$
<proof>

lemma *target-to-pterm[simp]*: $\text{target} (\text{to-pterm } t) = t$
<proof>

lemma *vars-term-source*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\text{vars-term } A = \text{vars-term} (\text{source } A)$
<proof>

context *var-rhs-subset-lhs*

begin

lemma *vars-term-target*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\text{vars-term} (\text{target } A) \subseteq \text{vars-term } A$
<proof>

end

lemma *linear-source-imp-linear-pterm*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ *linear-term* $(\text{source } A)$
shows *linear-term* A
<proof>

context *var-rhs-subset-lhs*

begin
lemma *target-apply-subst*:
 assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
 shows $\text{target } (A \cdot \sigma) = (\text{target } A) \cdot (\text{target } \circ \sigma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

context *var-rhs-subset-lhs*
begin
lemma *tgt-subst-simp*:
assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
 shows $\text{target } (A \cdot \sigma) = \text{target } ((\text{to-pterm } (\text{target } A)) \cdot \sigma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

lemma *target-empty-apply-subst*:
 assumes *is-empty-step* t
 shows $\text{target } (t \cdot \sigma) = (\text{target } t) \cdot (\text{target } \circ \sigma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *source-ctxt-comp:source-ctxt* $(C1 \circ_c C2) = \text{source-ctxt } C1 \circ_c \text{source-ctxt } C2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *context-source*: $\text{source } (A \langle B \rangle) = \text{source } (A \langle \text{to-pterm } (\text{source } B) \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *context-target*: $\text{target } (A \langle B \rangle) = \text{target } (A \langle \text{to-pterm } (\text{target } B) \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *source-to-pterm-ctxt*:
 $\text{source } ((\text{to-pterm-ctxt } C) \langle A \rangle) = C \langle \text{source } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *target-to-pterm-ctxt*:
 $\text{target } ((\text{to-pterm-ctxt } C) \langle A \rangle) = C \langle \text{target } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *source-ctxt-to-pterm*:
 assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$
 shows $\text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (\text{to-pterm } s)) = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-at-pos*:
 assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$
 shows $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (\text{to-pterm } s) = \text{to-pterm-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-hole-pos*: $\text{hole-pos } C = \text{hole-pos } (\text{to-pterm-ctxt } C)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *source-to-pterm-ctxt'*:

assumes $q \in \text{poss } s$

shows $\text{source-ctxt } (to\text{-pterm-ctxt } (ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } q \ s)) = ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } q \ s$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-comp*: $to\text{-pterm-ctxt } (C \circ_c D) = to\text{-pterm-ctxt } C \circ_c to\text{-pterm-ctxt } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *source-apply-subst*:

assumes $A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$

shows $\text{source } (A \cdot \sigma) = (\text{source } A) \cdot (\text{source } \circ \sigma)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ctxt-of-pos-term-at-var-subst*:

assumes *linear-term* t

and $p \in \text{poss } t$ **and** $t|_p = \text{Var } x$

and $\forall y \in \text{vars-term } t. y \neq x \longrightarrow \tau \ y = \sigma \ y$

shows $ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } p \ (t \cdot \tau) = ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } p \ (t \cdot \sigma)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *left-lin*

begin

lemma *source-ctxt-apply-subst*:

assumes $C \in wf\text{-pterm-ctxt } R$

shows $\text{source-ctxt } (C \cdot_c \sigma) = (\text{source-ctxt } C) \cdot_c (\text{source } \circ \sigma)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Needs left-linearity to avoid multihole contexts.

lemma *source-ctxt-apply-term*:

assumes $C \in wf\text{-pterm-ctxt } R$

shows $\text{source } (C(A)) = (\text{source-ctxt } C)(\text{source } A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *rewrite-tgt*:

assumes $rstep:(t,v) \in (rstep \ R)^*$

shows $(target \ (C \ \langle (to\text{-pterm } t) \cdot \sigma \rangle), target \ (C \ \langle (to\text{-pterm } v) \cdot \sigma \rangle)) \in (rstep \ R)^*$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.8 Additional Results

lemma *length-args-well-Prule*:

assumes $Prule \ \alpha \ As \in wf\text{-pterm } R \ Prule \ \alpha \ Bs \in wf\text{-pterm } S$

shows $\text{length } As = \text{length } Bs$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *co-initial-Var*:
assumes *co-initial* (Var x) B
shows $B = \text{Var } x \vee (\exists \alpha \ b' \ y. B = \text{Prule } \alpha \ b' \wedge \text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Var } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *source-poss*:
assumes $p: p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } (\text{Pfun } f \ As))$ **and** $iq: i\#q \in \text{poss } (\text{Pfun } f \ As)$
and $\text{ctxt: source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } (i\#q) (\text{Pfun } f \ As)) = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p$
 $(\text{source } (\text{Pfun } f \ As))$
shows $\exists p'. p = i\#p' \wedge p' \in \text{poss } (\text{source } (As!i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *simple-pterm-match*:
assumes $\text{source } A = t \cdot \sigma$
and *linear-term* t
and $A \cdot \tau 1 = \text{to-pterm } t \cdot \tau 2$
shows *matches* A (*to-pterm* t)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.9 Proof Terms Represent Multi-Steps

context *var-rhs-subset-lhs*
begin
lemma *mstep-to-pterm*:
assumes $(s, t) \in \text{mstep } R$
shows $\exists A. A \in \text{wf-pterm } R \wedge \text{source } A = s \wedge \text{target } A = t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

lemma *pterm-to-mstep*:
assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $(\text{source } A, \text{target } A) \in \text{mstep } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *co-init-prule*:
assumes *co-initial* (*Prule* α As) (*Prule* α Bs)
and $\text{Prule } \alpha \ As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $\text{Prule } \alpha \ Bs \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\forall i < \text{length } As. \text{co-initial } (As!i) (Bs!i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3 Operations on Proof Terms

The operations residual, deletion, and join on proof terms all fulfill $A \star (\text{source } A) = A$ which implies several useful results.

locale *op-proof-term = left-lin-no-var-lhs +*
fixes $f :: ((\ 'a, \ 'b) \ \text{prule} + \ 'a, \ 'b) \ \text{Term.term} \Rightarrow ((\ 'a, \ 'b) \ \text{prule} + \ 'a, \ 'b) \ \text{Term.term}$
 $\Rightarrow ((\ 'a, \ 'b) \ \text{prule} + \ 'a, \ 'b) \ \text{Term.term option}$

```

assumes f-src:  $A \in wf\text{-pterm } R \implies f A (to\text{-pterm } (source\ A)) = Some\ A$ 
and f-pfun:  $f (Pfun\ g\ As)(Pfun\ g\ Bs) = (if\ length\ As = length\ Bs\ then$ 
   $(case\ those\ (map2\ f\ As\ Bs)\ of$ 
     $Some\ xs \Rightarrow Some\ (Pfun\ g\ xs)$ 
     $| None \Rightarrow None)\ else\ None)$ 
and f-prule:  $f (Prule\ \alpha\ As) (Pfun\ g\ Bs) = (case\ match\ (Pfun\ g\ Bs)\ (to\text{-pterm}$ 
 $(lhs\ \alpha))\ of$ 
   $None \Rightarrow None$ 
   $| Some\ \sigma \Rightarrow$ 
   $(case\ those\ (map2\ f\ As\ (map\ \sigma\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha)))\ of$ 
     $Some\ xs \Rightarrow Some\ (Prule\ \alpha\ xs)$ 
     $| None \Rightarrow None)$ 

```

begin

notation

```

f (('(*^)) and
f ((- * -) [51, 51] 50)

```

lemma *apply-f-ctxt*:

```

assumes  $C \in wf\text{-pterm-ctxt } R$ 
and  $A \star B = Some\ D$ 
shows  $C\langle A \rangle \star (to\text{-pterm-ctxt } (source\text{-ctxt } C))\langle B \rangle = Some\ (C\langle D \rangle)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

end

theory *Residual-Join-Deletion*

imports

```

Proof-Terms
Linear-Matching

```

begin

3.1 Residuals

Auxiliary lemma in preparation of termination simp rule.

lemma *match-vars-term-size*:

```

assumes  $match\ s\ t = Some\ \sigma$ 
and  $x \in vars\text{-term } t$ 
shows  $size\ (\sigma\ x) \leq size\ s$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma [*termination-simp*]:

```

assumes  $match\ (Fun\ f\ ss)\ (to\text{-pterm } l) = Some\ \sigma$ 
and  $*: (s, t) \in set\ (zip\ (map\ \sigma\ (vars\text{-distinct } l))\ ts)$ 
shows  $size\ s \leq Suc\ (size\text{-list } size\ ss)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Additional simp rule because we allow variable left-hand sides of rewrite rules at this point. Then $Var\ x / \alpha$ and $\alpha / Var\ x$ are also possible when evaluating residuals. This might become important when we want to introduce the error rule for residuals of composed proof terms.

lemma *[termination-simp]*:

assumes $match\ (Var\ x)\ (to\text{-}pterm\ l) = Some\ \sigma$
and $(a, b) \in set\ (zip\ (map\ \sigma\ (vars\text{-}distinct\ l))\ ts)$
shows $size\ a = 1$
<proof>

fun *residual* :: $(f, 'v)\ pterm \Rightarrow (f, 'v)\ pterm \Rightarrow (f, 'v)\ pterm\ option$ (**infixr** *re* 70)

where

$Var\ x\ re\ Var\ y =$
(if $x = y$ *then* $Some\ (Var\ x)$ *else* $None$ *)*
| $Pfun\ f\ As\ re\ Pfun\ g\ Bs =$
(if $(f = g \wedge length\ As = length\ Bs)$ *then*
(case $those\ (map2\ residual\ As\ Bs)$ *of*
 $Some\ xs \Rightarrow Some\ (Pfun\ f\ xs)$
| $None \Rightarrow None$ *)*
else $None$ *)*
| $Prule\ \alpha\ As\ re\ Prule\ \beta\ Bs =$
(if $\alpha = \beta$ *then*
(case $those\ (map2\ residual\ As\ Bs)$ *of*
 $Some\ xs \Rightarrow Some\ ((to\text{-}pterm\ (rhs\ \alpha)) \cdot \langle xs \rangle_\alpha)$
| $None \Rightarrow None$ *)*
else $None$ *)*
| $Prule\ \alpha\ As\ re\ B =$
(case $match\ B\ (to\text{-}pterm\ (lhs\ \alpha))$ *of*
 $None \Rightarrow None$
| $Some\ \sigma \Rightarrow$
(case $those\ (map2\ residual\ As\ (map\ \sigma\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha)))$ *of*
 $Some\ xs \Rightarrow Some\ (Prule\ \alpha\ xs)$
| $None \Rightarrow None$ *)*
| $A\ re\ Prule\ \alpha\ Bs =$
(case $match\ A\ (to\text{-}pterm\ (lhs\ \alpha))$ *of*
 $None \Rightarrow None$
| $Some\ \sigma \Rightarrow$
(case $those\ (map2\ residual\ (map\ \sigma\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha))\ Bs)$ *of*
 $Some\ xs \Rightarrow Some\ ((to\text{-}pterm\ (rhs\ \alpha)) \cdot \langle xs \rangle_\alpha)$
| $None \Rightarrow None$ *)*
| $A\ re\ B = None$

Since the interesting proofs about residuals always follow the same pattern of induction on the definition, we introduce the following 6 lemmas corresponding to the step cases.

lemma *residual-fun-fun*:

assumes $(Pfun\ f\ As)\ re\ (Pfun\ g\ Bs) = Some\ C$

shows $f = g \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \wedge$
 $(\exists Cs. C = \text{Pfun } f \text{ } Cs \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \text{ re } Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma residual-rule-rule:

assumes $(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) \text{ re } (\text{Prule } \beta \text{ } Bs) = \text{Some } C$
 $(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
 $(\text{Prule } \beta \text{ } Bs) \in \text{wf-pterm } S$
shows $\alpha = \beta \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \wedge$
 $(\exists Cs. C = \text{to-pterm } (rhs \ \alpha) \cdot \langle Cs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \text{ re } Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma residual-rule-var:

assumes $(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) \text{ re } (\text{Var } x) = \text{Some } C$
 $(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\exists \sigma. \text{match } (\text{Var } x) (\text{to-pterm } (lhs \ \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists Cs. C = \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } Cs \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \text{ re } (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha \ ! \ i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma residual-rule-fun:

assumes $(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) \text{ re } (\text{Pfun } f \text{ } Bs) = \text{Some } C$
 $(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\exists \sigma. \text{match } (\text{Pfun } f \text{ } Bs) (\text{to-pterm } (lhs \ \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists Cs. C = \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } Cs \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \text{ re } (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha \ ! \ i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma residual-var-rule:

assumes $(\text{Var } x) \text{ re } (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) = \text{Some } C$
 $(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\exists \sigma. \text{match } (\text{Var } x) (\text{to-pterm } (lhs \ \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists Cs. C = (\text{to-pterm } (rhs \ \alpha)) \cdot \langle Cs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha \ ! \ i) \text{ re } As!i) = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma residual-fun-rule:

assumes $(\text{Pfun } f \text{ } Bs) \text{ re } (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) = \text{Some } C$
 $(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\exists \sigma. \text{match } (\text{Pfun } f \text{ } Bs) (\text{to-pterm } (lhs \ \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists Cs. C = (\text{to-pterm } (rhs \ \alpha)) \cdot \langle Cs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$

$(\forall i < \text{length } As. (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i)) \text{ re } As!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i))$
 ⟨proof⟩

$t / A = \text{tgt}(A)$

lemma *res-empty1*:

assumes *is-empty-step* t *co-initial* A $t A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $t \text{ re } A = \text{Some } (\text{to-pterm } (\text{target } A))$

⟨proof⟩

$A / t = A$

lemma *res-empty2*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $A \text{ re } (\text{to-pterm } (\text{source } A)) = \text{Some } A$

⟨proof⟩

$A / A = \text{tgt}(A)$

lemma *res-same*: $A \text{ re } A = \text{Some } (\text{to-pterm } (\text{target } A))$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *residual-src-tgt*:

assumes $A \text{ re } B = \text{Some } C$ $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ $B \in \text{wf-pterm } S$

shows *source* $C = \text{target } B$

⟨proof⟩

The following two lemmas are used inside the induction proof for the result $\text{tgt}(A / B) = \text{tgt}(B / A)$. Defining them here, outside the main proof makes them reusable for the symmetric cases of the proof.

lemma *tgt-tgt-rule-var*:

assumes $\bigwedge \sigma a b c d. \text{match } (\text{Var } v) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \implies$

$(a,b) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) \implies$

$a \text{ re } b = \text{Some } c \implies b \text{ re } a = \text{Some } d \implies a \in \text{wf-pterm } R \implies b \in$

$\text{wf-pterm } S \implies$

target $c = \text{target } d$

Prule α $As \text{ re } \text{Var } v = \text{Some } C$

$\text{Var } v \text{ re } \text{Prule } \alpha$ $As = \text{Some } D$

Prule α $As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows *target* $C = \text{target } D$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *tgt-tgt-rule-fun*:

assumes $\bigwedge \sigma a b c d. \text{match } (\text{Pfun } f$ $Bs) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \implies$

$(a,b) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) \implies$

$a \text{ re } b = \text{Some } c \implies b \text{ re } a = \text{Some } d \implies a \in \text{wf-pterm } R \implies b \in$

$\text{wf-pterm } S \implies$

target $c = \text{target } d$

Prule α $As \text{ re } \text{Pfun } f$ $Bs = \text{Some } C$

$\text{Pfun } f$ $Bs \text{ re } \text{Prule } \alpha$ $As = \text{Some } D$

Prule α $As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

Pfun f Bs ∈ wf-pterm S
shows *target C = target D*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *residual-tgt-tgt*:
assumes *A re B = Some C B re A = Some D A ∈ wf-pterm R B ∈ wf-pterm S*
shows *target C = target D*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rule-residual-lhs*:
assumes *args:those (map2 (re) As Bs) = Some Cs*
and *is-Fun:is-Fun (lhs α) and l:length Bs = length (var-rule α)*
shows *Prule α As re (to-pterm (lhs α) · ⟨Bs⟩_α) = Some (Prule α Cs)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *residual-well-defined*:
assumes *A ∈ wf-pterm R B ∈ wf-pterm S A re B = Some C*
shows *C ∈ wf-pterm R*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

no-notation *sup (infixl □ 65)*

3.2 Join

fun *join* :: (*f, 'v*) *pterm* ⇒ (*f, 'v*) *pterm* ⇒ (*f, 'v*) *pterm option* (**infixr** □ 70)
where
Var x □ *Var y* =
 (*if x = y then Some (Var x) else None*)
 | *Pfun f As* □ *Pfun g Bs* =
 (*if (f = g ∧ length As = length Bs) then*
 (*case those (map2 (□) As Bs) of*
 Some xs ⇒ Some (Pfun f xs)
 | *None ⇒ None*)
 else *None*)
 | *Prule α As* □ *Prule β Bs* =
 (*if α = β then*
 (*case those (map2 (□) As Bs) of*
 Some xs ⇒ Some (Prule α xs)
 | *None ⇒ None*)
 else *None*)
 | *Prule α As* □ *B* =
 (*case match B (to-pterm (lhs α)) of*
 None ⇒ None
 | *Some σ ⇒*
 (*case those (map2 (□) As (map σ (var-rule α))) of*
 Some xs ⇒ Some (Prule α xs)
 | *None ⇒ None*)
 | *A* □ *Prule α Bs* =
 (*case match A (to-pterm (lhs α)) of*

$None \Rightarrow None$
| $Some \sigma \Rightarrow$
 (case those (map2 (\sqcup) (map σ (var-rule α)) Bs) of
 Some xs $\Rightarrow Some (Prule \alpha xs)$
 | $None \Rightarrow None$)
| $A \sqcup B = None$

lemma *join-sym*: $A \sqcup B = B \sqcup A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *join-with-source*:
 assumes $A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
 shows $A \sqcup to\text{-pterm (source } A) = Some A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *no-var-lhs*
begin

lemma *join-subst*:
assumes $B \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ **and** $\forall x \in vars\text{-term } B. \varrho x \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
 and $\forall x \in vars\text{-term } B. source (\varrho x) = \sigma x$
 shows $(B \cdot (to\text{-pterm } \circ \sigma)) \sqcup ((to\text{-pterm (source } B)) \cdot \varrho) = Some (B \cdot \varrho)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *join-same*:
 shows $A \sqcup A = Some A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Analogous to residuals there are 6 lemmas corresponding to the step cases in induction proofs for joins.

lemma *join-fun-fun*:
 assumes $(Pfun f As) \sqcup (Pfun g Bs) = Some C$
 shows $f = g \wedge length As = length Bs \wedge$
 $(\exists Cs. C = Pfun f Cs \wedge$
 $length Cs = length As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < length As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = Some (Cs!i)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *join-rule-rule*:
 assumes $(Prule \alpha As) \sqcup (Prule \beta Bs) = Some C$
 $(Prule \alpha As) \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
 $(Prule \beta Bs) \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
 shows $\alpha = \beta \wedge length As = length Bs \wedge$
 $(\exists Cs. C = Prule \alpha Cs \wedge$
 $length Cs = length As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < length As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = Some (Cs!i)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *join-rule-var*:

assumes $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \sqcup (Var\ x) = Some\ C$
 $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \in wf\text{-pterm}\ R$

shows $\exists\sigma. match\ (Var\ x)\ (to\text{-pterm}\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists\ Cs. C = Prule\ \alpha\ Cs \wedge$
 $length\ Cs = length\ As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < length\ As. As!i \sqcup (\sigma\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha\ !\ i)) = Some\ (Cs!i)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *join-rule-fun*:

assumes $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \sqcup (Pfun\ f\ Bs) = Some\ C$
 $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \in wf\text{-pterm}\ R$

shows $\exists\sigma. match\ (Pfun\ f\ Bs)\ (to\text{-pterm}\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists\ Cs. C = Prule\ \alpha\ Cs \wedge$
 $length\ Cs = length\ As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < length\ As. As!i \sqcup (\sigma\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha\ !\ i)) = Some\ (Cs!i)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *join-wf-pterm*:

assumes $A \sqcup B = Some\ C$
and $A \in wf\text{-pterm}\ R$ **and** $B \in wf\text{-pterm}\ R$
shows $C \in wf\text{-pterm}\ R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *source-join*:

assumes $A \sqcup B = Some\ C$
and $A \in wf\text{-pterm}\ R$ **and** $B \in wf\text{-pterm}\ R$
shows *co-initial* $A\ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *join-pterm-subst-Some*:

fixes $A\ B :: ('f, 'v)\ pterm$
assumes $(A \cdot \sigma) \sqcup (A \cdot \tau) = Some\ B$
shows $\exists\varrho. (\forall x \in vars\text{-term}\ A. \sigma\ x \sqcup \tau\ x = Some\ (\varrho\ x)) \wedge B = A \cdot \varrho \wedge match$
 $B\ A = Some\ \varrho$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *join-pterm-subst-None*:

fixes $A :: ('f, 'v)\ pterm$
assumes $(A \cdot \sigma) \sqcup (A \cdot \tau) = None$
shows $\exists x \in vars\text{-term}\ A. \sigma\ x \sqcup \tau\ x = None$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *mk-subst-from-list* :: $('v \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)\ term)\ list \Rightarrow ('v \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)\ term)\ \mathbf{where}$

$mk\text{-subst-from-list}\ [] = Var$

$| mk\text{-subst-from-list}\ (\sigma \# \sigma s) = (\lambda x. case\ \sigma\ x\ of$

$Var\ x \Rightarrow mk\text{-subst-from-list}\ \sigma s\ x$

$| t \Rightarrow t)$

lemma *join-is-Fun*:

assumes *join*: $A \sqcup B = \text{Some } (\text{Pfun } f \text{ } Cs)$

shows $\exists As. A = \text{Pfun } f \text{ } As \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Cs$

<proof>

lemma *join-obtain-subst*:

assumes *join*: $A \sqcup B = \text{Some } (\text{to-pterm } t \cdot \sigma)$ **and** *linear-term* t

shows $(\text{to-pterm } t) \cdot \text{mk-subst } \text{Var } (\text{match-substs } (\text{to-pterm } t) \text{ } A) = A$

<proof>

lemma *join-pterm-linear-subst*:

assumes *join*: $A \sqcup B = \text{Some } (\text{to-pterm } t \cdot \sigma)$ **and** *lin*:*linear-term* t

shows $\exists \sigma_A \sigma_B. A = (\text{to-pterm } t \cdot \sigma_A) \wedge B = (\text{to-pterm } t \cdot \sigma_B) \wedge (\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. \sigma_A x \sqcup \sigma_B x = \text{Some } (\sigma x))$

<proof>

context *no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *join-rule-lhs*:

assumes *wf*:*Prule* α $As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** *args*: $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i \neq \text{None}$ **and** *l*:*length* $Bs = \text{length } As$

shows $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As \sqcup (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) \neq \text{None}$

<proof>

end

3.2.1 N-Fold Join

We define a function to recursively join a list of n proof terms. Since each individual join produces a $((f, 'v) \text{prule} + f, 'v) \text{Term.term option}$ we first introduce the following helper function.

fun *join-opt* :: $(f, 'v) \text{pterm} \Rightarrow (f, 'v) \text{pterm option} \Rightarrow (f, 'v) \text{pterm option}$

where

join-opt A $(\text{Some } B) = A \sqcup B$

| *join-opt* - - = *None*

fun *join-list* :: $(f, 'v) \text{pterm list} \Rightarrow (f, 'v) \text{pterm option } (\llbracket \rrbracket)$

where

join-list $\llbracket \rrbracket = \text{None}$

| *join-list* $(A \# \llbracket \rrbracket) = \text{Some } A$

| *join-list* $(A \# As) = \text{join-opt } A \text{ } (\text{join-list } As)$

context *left-lin-no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *join-var-rule*:

assumes *to-rule* $\alpha \in R$

shows $\text{Var } x \sqcup \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As = \text{None}$

<proof>

lemma *var-join*:

assumes $\text{Var } x \sqcup B = \text{Some } C$ **and** $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $B = \text{Var } x \wedge C = \text{Var } x$

<proof>

lemma *fun-join*:

assumes $\text{Pfun } f \text{ As } \sqcup B = \text{Some } C$

shows $(\exists g \text{ Bs. } B = \text{Pfun } g \text{ Bs}) \vee (\exists \alpha \text{ Bs. } B = \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ Bs})$

<proof>

lemma *rule-join*:

assumes $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As } \sqcup B = \text{Some } C$ **and** $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As} \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $(\exists g \text{ Bs. } B = \text{Pfun } g \text{ Bs}) \vee (\exists \beta \text{ Bs. } B = \text{Prule } \beta \text{ Bs})$

<proof>

Associativity of join is currently not used in any proofs. But it is still a valuable result, hence included here.

lemma *join-opt-assoc*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ $C \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $\text{join-opt } A (B \sqcup C) = \text{join-opt } C (A \sqcup B)$

<proof>

Preparation for well-definedness result for \sqcup .

lemma *join-triple-defined*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ $C \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

and $A \sqcup B \neq \text{None}$ $B \sqcup C \neq \text{None}$ $A \sqcup C \neq \text{None}$

shows $\text{join-opt } A (B \sqcup C) \neq \text{None}$

<proof>

lemma *join-list-defined*:

assumes $\forall a1 \ a2. a1 \in \text{set } As \wedge a2 \in \text{set } As \longrightarrow a1 \sqcup a2 \neq \text{None}$

and $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $As \neq []$

shows $\exists D. \text{join-list } As = \text{Some } D \wedge D \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

<proof>

lemma *join-list-wf-pterm*:

assumes $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

and $\text{join-list } As = \text{Some } B$

shows $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

<proof>

lemma *source-join-list*:

assumes $\text{join-list } As = \text{Some } B$ **and** $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{set } As \implies \text{source } A = \text{source } B$

<proof>

end

3.3 Deletion

fun *deletion* :: ('f, 'v) pterm ⇒ ('f,'v) pterm ⇒ ('f,'v) pterm option (**infixr** $-_p$ 70)

where

Var $x \ -_p \ Var \ y =$
 (*if* $x = y$ *then* *Some* (*Var* x) *else* *None*)
| *Pfun* $f \ As \ -_p \ Pfun \ g \ Bs =$
 (*if* ($f = g \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs$) *then*
 (*case* *those* (*map2* ($-_p$) $As \ Bs$) *of*
 Some $xs \Rightarrow \text{Some } (Pfun \ f \ xs)$
 | *None* $\Rightarrow \text{None}$)
 else *None*)
| *Prule* $\alpha \ As \ -_p \ Prule \ \beta \ Bs =$
 (*if* $\alpha = \beta$ *then*
 (*case* *those* (*map2* ($-_p$) $As \ Bs$) *of*
 Some $xs \Rightarrow \text{Some } ((\text{to-ptesterm } (lhs \ \alpha)) \cdot \langle xs \rangle_\alpha)$
 | *None* $\Rightarrow \text{None}$)
 else *None*)
| *Prule* $\alpha \ As \ -_p \ B =$
 (*case* *match* $B \ (\text{to-ptesterm } (lhs \ \alpha))$ *of*
 None $\Rightarrow \text{None}$
 | *Some* $\sigma \Rightarrow$
 (*case* *those* (*map2* ($-_p$) $As \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha))$) *of*
 Some $xs \Rightarrow \text{Some } (Prule \ \alpha \ xs)$
 | *None* $\Rightarrow \text{None}$)
| $A \ -_p \ B = \text{None}$

lemma *del-empty*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-ptesterm } R$

shows $A \ -_p \ (\text{to-ptesterm } (\text{source } A)) = \text{Some } A$

<proof>

context *no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *deletion-source*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-ptesterm } R \ B \in \text{wf-ptesterm } R$

and $A \ -_p \ B = \text{Some } C$

shows *source* $C = \text{source } A$

<proof>

end

3.4 Computations With Single Redexes

When a proof term contains only a single rule symbol, we say it is a **single redex*.

definition *ll-single-redex* :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ pos ⇒ ('f, 'v) prule ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm

where *ll-single-redex* $s \ p \ \alpha = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{to-ptesterm } s)) \langle Prule \ \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-ptesterm } \circ \ (\lambda pi. \ s |-(p@pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \ \alpha))) \rangle$

The *ll* in *ll-single-redex* stands for **left-linear*, since this definition only makes sense for left-linear rules.

lemma *source-single-redex*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{source } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha) = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ s) \langle (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map } (\lambda pi. s|-(p@pi)) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \rangle \rangle_\alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *target-single-redex*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{target } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha) = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ s) \langle (\text{rhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map } (\lambda pi. s|-(p@pi)) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \rangle \rangle_\alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-redex-rstep*:

assumes $\text{to-rule } \alpha \in R \ p \in \text{poss } s$
shows $(\text{source } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha), \text{target } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha)) \in \text{rstep } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-redex-neq*:

assumes $(\alpha, p) \neq (\beta, q) \ p \in \text{poss } s \ q \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha \neq \text{ll-single-redex } s \ q \ \beta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *left-lin-wf-trs*

begin

lemma *rstep-exists-single-redex*:

assumes $(s, t) \in \text{rstep } R$
shows $\exists A \ p \ \alpha. A = (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha) \wedge \text{source } A = s \wedge \text{target } A = t \wedge \text{to-rule } \alpha \in R \wedge p \in \text{poss } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *single-redex-wf-pterm*:

assumes $\text{to-rule } \alpha \in R$ **and** $\text{lin:linear-term } (\text{lhs } \alpha)$
and $p: p \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Interaction of a single redex Δ , contained in A with the proof term A .

locale *single-redex = left-lin-no-var-lhs +*

fixes $A \ \Delta \ p \ q \ \alpha$

assumes $a\text{-well}: A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

and $p: p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } A)$ **and** $q: q \in \text{poss } A$

and $pq: \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{source } A) = \text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A)$

and $\text{delta}: \Delta = \text{ll-single-redex } (\text{source } A) \ p \ \alpha$

and $aq: A|-q = \text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\lambda i. A|-(q@[i])) \ [0..\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)])$

begin

interpretation *residual-op:op-proof-term R residual*
<proof>

interpretation *deletion-op:op-proof-term R deletion*
<proof>

abbreviation $As \equiv (\text{map } (\lambda i. A|-(q@[i])) [0..<\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)])$

lemma *length-as:length As = length (var-rule α)*
<proof>

lemma *as-well: $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$*
<proof>

lemma *a:A = (ctxt-of-pos-term q A)<Prule α As>*
<proof>

lemma *rule-in-TRS: to-rule $\alpha \in R$*
<proof>

lemma *lin-lhs:linear-term (lhs α)*
<proof>

lemma *source-at-pq:source (A|-q) = (source A)|-p*
<proof>

lemma *single-redex-pterm:*
shows $\Delta = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (\text{to-pterm } (\text{source } A)))<Prule \alpha (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \text{source}) As)>$
<proof>

lemma *delta-trs-wf-pterm:*
shows $\Delta \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
<proof>

lemma *source-delta: source $\Delta = \text{source } A$*
<proof>

lemma *residual:*
shows $A \text{ re } \Delta = \text{Some } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q A)<(\text{to-pterm } (\text{rhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha)$
<proof>

lemma *residual-well:*
the (A re Δ) $\in \text{wf-pterm } R$
<proof>

lemma *target-residual:target (the (A re Δ)) = target A*
<proof>

lemma *deletion*:

shows $A \text{ } \text{-}_p \Delta = \text{Some } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A) \langle (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha \rangle)$
<proof>

lemma *deletion-well*:

shows *the* $(A \text{ } \text{-}_p \Delta) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
<proof>

end

locale *single-redex'* = *left-lin-wf-trs* +

fixes $A \ \Delta \ p \ q \ \alpha \ \sigma$

assumes *a-well*: $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** *rule-in-TRS*: *to-rule* $\alpha \in R$

and $p: p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } A)$ **and** $q: q \in \text{poss } A$

and $pq: \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{source } A) = \text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A)$

and $\text{delta}: \Delta = \text{ll-single-redex } (\text{source } A) \ p \ \alpha$

and $aq: A|_q = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \sigma$

begin

interpretation *residual-op*: *op-proof-term* R *residual* *<proof>*

lemma *a*: $A = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A) \langle (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \sigma \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *lin-lhs*: *linear-term* $(\text{lhs } \alpha)$
<proof>

lemma *is-fun-lhs*: *is-Fun* $(\text{lhs } \alpha)$
<proof>

abbreviation $\text{As} \equiv \text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$

lemma *lhs-subst*: $(\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \sigma = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha$
<proof>

lemma *rhs-subst*: $(\text{to-pterm } (\text{rhs } \alpha)) \cdot \sigma = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{rhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha$
<proof>

lemma *as-well*: $\forall i < \text{length } \text{As}. \text{As}[i] \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
<proof>

lemma *source-at-pq*: $\text{source } (A|_q) = (\text{source } A)|_p$
<proof>

lemma *single-redex-pterm*:

shows $\Delta = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{to-pterm } (\text{source } A))) \langle \text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \text{source}) \ \text{As}) \rangle$

<proof>

lemma *residual*:
shows $A \text{ re } \Delta = \text{Some } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{rhs } \alpha)) \cdot \sigma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

4 Orthogonal Proof Terms

theory *Orthogonal-PT*
imports
Residual-Join-Deletion
begin

inductive *orthogonal*: $(f, 'v) \text{ pterm} \Rightarrow (f, 'v) \text{ pterm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** \perp_p 50)
where
 $\text{Var } x \perp_p \text{ Var } x$
 $| \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \Longrightarrow \forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \perp_p Bs!i \Longrightarrow \text{Fun } f \ As \perp_p \text{ Fun } f \ Bs$
 $| \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \Longrightarrow \forall (a,b) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } As \ Bs). a \perp_p b \Longrightarrow (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As) \perp_p (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha$
 $| \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \Longrightarrow \forall (a,b) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } As \ Bs). a \perp_p b \Longrightarrow (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha \perp_p (\text{Prule } \alpha \ Bs)$
lemmas *orthogonal.intros*[*intro*]

lemma *orth-symp*: $\text{symp } (\perp_p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equal-imp-orthogonal*:
shows $A \perp_p A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *source-orthogonal*:
assumes $\text{source } A = t$
shows $A \perp_p \text{to-pterm } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *orth-imp-co-initial*:
assumes $A \perp_p B$
shows $\text{co-initial } A \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

If two proof terms are orthogonal then residual and join are well-defined.

lemma *orth-imp-residual-defined*:
assumes $\text{varcond} : \bigwedge l \ r. (l, r) \in R \Longrightarrow \text{is-Fun } l \ \bigwedge l \ r. (l, r) \in S \Longrightarrow \text{is-Fun } l$
and $A \perp_p B$
and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $B \in \text{wf-pterm } S$

shows $A \text{ re } B \neq \text{None}$
<proof>

lemma *orth-imp-join-defined*:
assumes $\text{varcond}:\bigwedge l r. (l, r) \in R \implies \text{is-Fun } l$
and $A \perp_p B$
and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $A \sqcup B \neq \text{None}$
<proof>

context *no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *orth-imp-residual-defined*:
assumes $A \perp_p B$ **and** $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $A \text{ re } B \neq \text{None}$
<proof>

lemma *orth-imp-join-defined*:
assumes $A \perp_p B$ **and** $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $A \sqcup B \neq \text{None}$
<proof>

lemma *orthogonal-ctxt*:
assumes $C\langle A \rangle \perp_p C\langle B \rangle$ $C \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$
shows $A \perp_p B$
<proof>

end

context *left-lin-no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *orthogonal-subst*:
assumes $A \cdot \sigma \perp_p B \cdot \sigma$ $\text{source } A = \text{source } B$
and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $A \perp_p B$
<proof>

end

end

5 Labels and Overlaps

theory *Labels-and-Overlaps*

imports

Orthogonal-PT

Well-Quasi-Orders.Almost-Full-Relations

begin

5.1 Labeled Proof Terms

The idea is to label function symbols in the source of a proof term that are affected by a rule symbol α with α and the distance from the root to α . Therefore, a label is a pair consisting of a rule symbol and a natural number, or it can be *None*. A labeled term is a term, where each function symbol additionally has a label associated with it.

type-synonym

$(f, 'v)$ label = $((f, 'v)$ prule \times nat) option

type-synonym

$(f, 'v)$ term-lab = $(f \times (f, 'v)$ label, $'v)$ term

fun label-term :: $(f, 'v)$ prule \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ term \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ term-lab

where

label-term α i (Var x) = Var x

| label-term α i (Fun f ts) = Fun (f , Some (α , i)) (map (label-term α ($i+1$)) ts)

abbreviation labeled-lhs :: $(f, 'v)$ prule \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ term-lab

where labeled-lhs $\alpha \equiv$ label-term α 0 (lhs α)

fun labeled-source :: $(f, 'v)$ pterm \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ term-lab

where

labeled-source (Var x) = Var x

| labeled-source (Pfun f As) = Fun (f , None) (map labeled-source As)

| labeled-source (Prule α As) = (labeled-lhs α) \cdot \langle map labeled-source As \rangle_{α}

fun term-lab-to-term :: $(f, 'v)$ term-lab \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ term

where

term-lab-to-term (Var x) = Var x

| term-lab-to-term (Fun f ts) = Fun (fst f) (map term-lab-to-term ts)

fun term-to-term-lab :: $(f, 'v)$ term \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ term-lab

where

term-to-term-lab (Var x) = Var x

| term-to-term-lab (Fun f ts) = Fun (f , None) (map term-to-term-lab ts)

fun get-label :: $(f, 'v)$ term-lab \Rightarrow $(f, 'v)$ label

where

get-label (Var x) = None

| get-label (Fun f ts) = snd f

fun labelposs :: $(f, 'v)$ term-lab \Rightarrow pos set

where

labelposs (Var x) = {}

| labelposs (Fun (f , None) ts) = $(\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{labelposs } (ts ! i)\})$

| labelposs (Fun (f , Some l) ts) = $\{\square\} \cup (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{labelposs } (ts ! i)\})$

($ts ! i$)}

abbreviation $possL :: ('f, 'v) pterm \Rightarrow pos\ set$
where $possL\ A \equiv\ labelposs\ (labeled-source\ A)$

lemma $labelposs-term-to-term-lab$: $labelposs\ (term-to-term-lab\ t) = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $term-lab-to-term-lab[simp]$: $term-lab-to-term\ (term-to-term-lab\ t) = t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $term-lab-to-term-subt-at$:
assumes $p \in poss\ t$
shows $term-lab-to-term\ t\ |-p = term-lab-to-term\ (t|-p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $vars-term-labeled-lhs$: $vars-term\ (label-term\ \alpha\ i\ t) = vars-term\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $vars-term-list-labeled-lhs$: $vars-term-list\ (label-term\ \alpha\ i\ t) = vars-term-list\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $var-poss-list-labeled-lhs$: $var-poss-list\ (label-term\ \alpha\ i\ t) = var-poss-list\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $var-labeled-lhs[simp]$: $vars-distinct\ (label-term\ \alpha\ i\ t) = vars-distinct\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $labelposs-subt-at$:
assumes $q \in poss\ t\ p \in labelposs\ (t|-q)$
shows $q@p \in labelposs\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $var-label-term$:
assumes $p \in poss\ t$ **and** $t|-p = Var\ x$
shows $label-term\ \alpha\ n\ t\ |-p = Var\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $get-label-label-term$:
assumes $p \in fun-poss\ t$
shows $get-label\ (label-term\ \alpha\ n\ t|-p) = Some\ (\alpha,\ n + size\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $linear-label-term$:
assumes $linear-term\ t$
shows $linear-term\ (label-term\ \alpha\ n\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *var-term-lab-to-term*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } t$ **and** $t|-p = \text{Var } x$

shows $\text{term-lab-to-term } t |-p = \text{Var } x$

<proof>

lemma *poss-term-lab-to-term[simp]*: $\text{poss } t = \text{poss } (\text{term-lab-to-term } t)$

<proof>

lemma *fun-poss-term-lab-to-term[simp]*: $\text{fun-poss } t = \text{fun-poss } (\text{term-lab-to-term } t)$

<proof>

lemma *vars-term-list-term-lab-to-term*: $\text{vars-term-list } t = \text{vars-term-list } (\text{term-lab-to-term } t)$

<proof>

lemma *vars-term-list-term-to-term-lab*: $\text{vars-term-list } (\text{term-to-term-lab } t) = \text{vars-term-list } t$

<proof>

lemma *linear-term-to-term-lab*:

assumes *linear-term* t

shows *linear-term* $(\text{term-to-term-lab } t)$

<proof>

lemma *var-poss-list-term-lab-to-term*: $\text{var-poss-list } t = \text{var-poss-list } (\text{term-lab-to-term } t)$

<proof>

lemma *label-poss-labeled-lhs*:

assumes $p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{label-term } \alpha n t)$

shows $p \in \text{labelposs } (\text{label-term } \alpha n t)$

<proof>

lemma *labeled-var*:

assumes *source* $A = \text{Var } x$

shows *labeled-source* $A = \text{Var } x$

<proof>

lemma *labelposs-subs-fun-poss*: $\text{labelposs } t \subseteq \text{fun-poss } t$

<proof>

lemma *labelposs-subs-poss[simp]*: $\text{labelposs } t \subseteq \text{poss } t$

<proof>

lemma *get-label-imp-labelposs*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } t$ **and** $\text{get-label } (t|-p) \neq \text{None}$

shows $p \in \text{labelposs } t$

<proof>

lemma *labelposs-obtain-label*:

assumes $p \in \text{labelposs } t$

shows $\exists \alpha m. \text{get-label } (t|-p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, m)$

<proof>

lemma *possL-obtain-label*:

assumes $p \in \text{possL } A$

shows $\exists \alpha m. \text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, m)$

<proof>

lemma *labeled-source-apply-subst*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $\text{labeled-source } (A \cdot \sigma) = (\text{labeled-source } A) \cdot (\text{labeled-source } \circ \sigma)$

<proof>

lemma *labelposs-apply-subst*:

$\text{labelposs } (s \cdot \sigma) = \text{labelposs } s \cup \{p@q \mid p \ q \ x. p \in \text{var-poss } s \wedge s|-p = \text{Var } x \wedge q \in \text{labelposs } (\sigma \ x)\}$

<proof>

lemma *possL-apply-subst*:

assumes $A \cdot \sigma \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $\text{possL } (A \cdot \sigma) = \text{possL } A \cup \{p@q \mid p \ q \ x. p \in \text{var-poss } (\text{labeled-source } A) \wedge (\text{labeled-source } A)|-p = \text{Var } x \wedge q \in \text{possL } (\sigma \ x)\}$

<proof>

lemma *label-term-to-term[simp]*: $\text{term-lab-to-term } (\text{label-term } \alpha \ n \ t) = t$

<proof>

lemma *fun-poss-label-term*: $p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{label-term } \beta \ n \ t) \longleftrightarrow p \in \text{fun-poss } t$

<proof>

lemma *term-lab-to-term-subst*: $\text{term-lab-to-term } (t \cdot \sigma) = \text{term-lab-to-term } t \cdot (\text{term-lab-to-term } \circ \sigma)$

<proof>

lemma *labeled-source-to-term[simp]*: $\text{term-lab-to-term } (\text{labeled-source } A) = \text{source } A$

<proof>

lemma *possL-subset-poss-source*: $\text{possL } A \subseteq \text{poss } (\text{source } A)$

<proof>

lemma *labeled-source-pos*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$ **and** $\text{term-lab-to-term } t = s$

shows $\text{term-lab-to-term } (t|-p) = s|-p$

<proof>

lemma *get-label-at-fun-poss-subst*:
assumes $p \in \text{fun-poss } t$
shows $\text{get-label } ((t \cdot \sigma)|-p) = \text{get-label } (t|-p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *labeled-source-simple-pterm:possL* $(\text{to-pterm } t) = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *label-term-increase*:
assumes $s = (\text{label-term } \alpha \ n \ t) \cdot \sigma$ **and** $p \in \text{fun-poss } t$
shows $\text{get-label } (s|-p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, n + \text{length } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The number attached to a labeled function symbol cannot exceed the depth of that function symbol.

lemma *label-term-max-value*:
assumes $p \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$ **and** $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, n)$
and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $n \leq \text{length } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The labels decrease when moving up towards the root from a labeled function symbol.

lemma *label-decrease*:
assumes $p@q \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$
and $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-(p@q)) = \text{Some } (\alpha, \text{length } q + n)$
and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

If a function symbol is labeled with (α, n) , then the function symbol n positions above it is labeled with $(\alpha, 0)$.

lemma *obtain-label-root*:
assumes $p \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$
and $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, n)$
and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-(\text{take } (\text{length } p - n) \ p)) = \text{Some } (\alpha, 0) \wedge$
 $\text{take } (\text{length } p - n) \ p \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *label-ctxt-apply-term*:
assumes $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } A \ |- \ p) = l \ q \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ (\text{to-pterm } s)) \ \langle A \rangle) \ |- \ (q@p)) = l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-redex-at-p-label*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$ **and** $\text{is-Fun } (\text{lhs } \alpha)$
shows $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha) \ |{-}p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, \ 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Whenever a function symbol at position p has label $(\alpha, \ 0)$ or no label in $\text{labeled-source } A$, then we know that there exists a position q in A such that $A \ |{-} q = \alpha \ As$ for appropriate As . Moreover, taking the source of the context at position q must be the same as first computing the source of A and then taking the context at p .

context left-lin

begin

lemma $\text{poss-labeled-source}$:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$
and $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A) \ |{-}p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, \ 0)$
and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\exists q \in \text{poss } A. \ \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{source } A) = \text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A) \wedge$
 $A \ |{-} q = \text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\lambda i. \ A \ |{-} (q@[i])) \ [0..<\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)])]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{poss-labeled-source-None}$:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$
and $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A) \ |{-}p) = \text{None}$
and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\exists q \in \text{poss } A. \ \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{source } A) = \text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

If we know that some part of a term does not contain labels (i.e., is coming from a simple proof term t) then we know that the label originates below some variable position of t .

lemma $\text{labeled-source-to-pterm-subst}$:

assumes $p\text{-pos}:p \in \text{possL } (\text{to-pterm } t \cdot \sigma)$ **and** $\text{well}:\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. \ \sigma \ x \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\exists p1 \ p2 \ x \ \gamma. \ p1 \in \text{poss } t \wedge t \ |{-} p1 = \text{Var } x \wedge p1 @ p2 \leq_p p$
 $\wedge p2 \in \text{possL } (\sigma \ x) \wedge \text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (\sigma \ x)) \ |{-}p2) = \text{Some } (\gamma, \ 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma labelposs-subst :

assumes $p \in \text{labelposs } (t \cdot \sigma)$
shows $p \in \text{labelposs } t \vee (\exists p1 \ p2 \ x. \ p = p1 @ p2 \wedge p1 \in \text{poss } t \wedge t \ |{-} p1 = \text{Var } x \wedge p2 \in \text{labelposs } (\sigma \ x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{set-labelposs-subst}$:

$\text{labelposs } (t \cdot \sigma) = \text{labelposs } t \cup (\bigcup i < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } t). \ \{(\text{var-poss-list } t!i) @ q \mid q. \ q \in \text{labelposs } (\sigma \ (\text{vars-term-list } t \ ! \ i))\})$ **(is ?ps = ?qs)**

<proof>

The labeled positions in a proof term $Prule\ \alpha\ As$ are the function positions of $lhs\ \alpha$ together with all labeled positions in the arguments As .

lemma *possL-rule*:

assumes $length\ As = length\ (var-rule\ \alpha)\ linear-term\ (lhs\ \alpha)$

shows $possL\ (Prule\ \alpha\ As) = fun-poss\ (lhs\ \alpha) \cup (\bigcup i < (length\ As). \{(var-poss-list\ (lhs\ \alpha)!i)\}@q \mid q. q \in possL(As!i)\})$

<proof>

lemma *labelposs-subs-fun-poss-source*:

assumes $p \in possL\ A$

shows $p \in fun-poss\ (source\ A)$

<proof>

The labeled source of a context (obtained from some proof term A) applied to some proof term B is the labeled source of the context applied to the labeled source of the proof term B .

context *left-lin*

begin

lemma *label-source-ctxt*:

assumes $A \in wf-pterm\ R$

and $ctxt-of-pos-term\ p\ (source\ A) = source-ctxt\ (ctxt-of-pos-term\ p'\ A)$

and $p \in poss\ (source\ A)$ **and** $p' \in poss\ A$

shows $labeled-source\ (ctxt-of-pos-term\ p'\ A)(B) = (ctxt-of-pos-term\ p\ (labeled-source\ A))\langle labeled-source\ B \rangle$

<proof>

end

lemma *labeled-ctxt-above*:

assumes $p \in poss\ A$ **and** $r \in poss\ A$ **and** $\neg p \leq_p r$

shows $get-label\ ((ctxt-of-pos-term\ p\ A)\langle labeled-source\ B \rangle \mid-r) = get-label\ (A \mid-r)$

<proof>

The labeled positions of a context (obtained from some proof term A) applied to some proof term B are the labeled positions of the context together with the labeled positions of the proof term B .

context *left-lin*

begin

lemma *label-ctxt*:

assumes $A \in wf-pterm\ R$

and $ctxt-of-pos-term\ p\ (source\ A) = source-ctxt\ (ctxt-of-pos-term\ p'\ A)$

and $p \in poss\ (source\ A)$ **and** $p' \in poss\ A$

shows $possL\ (ctxt-of-pos-term\ p'\ A)(B) = \{q. q \in possL\ A \wedge \neg p \leq_p q\} \cup \{p@q \mid q. q \in possL\ B\}$

<proof>

lemma *single-redex-possL*:

assumes *to-rule* $\alpha \in R$ $p \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{possL } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha) = \{p \ @ \ q \mid q \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{lhs } \alpha)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *labeled-poss-in-lhs*:

assumes $p\text{-pos}: p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As))$ **and** $\text{well}: \text{Prule } \alpha \ As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

and $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As))|-p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, \text{length } p)$ *is-Fun* $(\text{lhs } \alpha)$

shows $p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{lhs } \alpha)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *left-lin-no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *get-label-Prule*:

assumes $\text{Prule } \alpha \ As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As))$ **and**
 $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As) \ |- \ p) = \text{Some } (\beta, 0)$

shows $(p = [] \wedge \alpha = \beta) \vee$
 $(\exists \ p1 \ p2 \ i. \ p = p1 @ p2 \wedge i < \text{length } As \wedge \text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)!i = p1 \wedge$
 $p2 \in \text{poss } (\text{source } (As!i)) \wedge \text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } (As!i) \ |- \ p2) = \text{Some}$
 $(\beta, 0))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

If the labeled source of a proof term A has the shape $t \cdot \sigma$ where all function symbols in t are unlabeled, then A matches t with some substitution τ .

context *no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *pterm-source-substitution*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

and $\text{source } A = t \cdot \sigma$ **and** *linear-term* t

and $\forall p \in \text{fun-poss } t. \ p \notin \text{possL } A$

shows $A = (\text{to-pterm } t) \cdot (\text{mk-subst } \text{Var } (\text{match-substs } (\text{to-pterm } t) \ A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unlabeled-source-to-pterm*:

assumes $\text{labeled-source } A = s \cdot \tau$

and *linear-term* s **and** $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

and $\text{labelposs } s = \{\}$

shows $\exists As. \ A = \text{to-pterm } (\text{term-lab-to-term } s) \cdot (\text{mk-subst } \text{Var } (\text{zip } (\text{vars-term-list } s) \ As)) \wedge \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } s) = \text{length } As$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *labels-intersect-label-term*:

assumes $\text{term-lab-to-term } A = t \cdot (\text{term-lab-to-term } \circ \ \sigma)$

and *linear-term t*
and *labelposs A \cap labelposs ((label-term α n t) \cdot σ) = {}*
shows \exists *As. A = term-to-term-lab t \cdot (mk-subst Var (zip (vars-term-list t) As)) \wedge*
length As = length (vars-term-list t)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *labeled-wf-pterm-rule-in-TRS:*
assumes *A \in wf-pterm R and p \in poss (labeled-source A)*
and *get-label (labeled-source A |- p) = Some (α , n)*
shows *to-rule $\alpha \in R$*
 \langle proof \rangle

context *no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *unlabeled-above-p:*

assumes *A \in wf-pterm R*

and *p \in poss (source A)*

and \forall *r. r $<_p$ p \longrightarrow r \notin possL A*

shows *p \in poss A \wedge labeled-source A|-p = labeled-source (A|-p)*

\langle proof \rangle

end

lemma *(in single-redex) labeled-source-at-pq:labeled-source (A|-q) = (labeled-source A)|-p*
 \langle proof \rangle

context *left-lin*

begin

lemma *single-redex-label:*

assumes $\Delta = ll$ -single-redex *s p α p \in poss s q \in poss (source Δ) to-rule $\alpha \in R$*

and *get-label (labeled-source Δ |-q) = Some (β , n)*

shows $\alpha = \beta \wedge (\exists$ *q'. q = p@q' \wedge length q' = n \wedge q' \in fun-poss (lhs α))*

\langle proof \rangle

end

5.2 Measuring Overlap

abbreviation *measure-ov :: ('f, 'v) pterm \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) pterm \Rightarrow nat*

where *measure-ov A B \equiv card ((possL A) \cap (possL B))*

lemma *finite-labelposs: finite (labelposs A)*

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *finite-possL: finite (possL A)*

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *measure-ov-symm: measure-ov A B = measure-ov B A*

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *measure-lhs-subst*:

assumes $l:\text{length } As = \text{length } Bs$

shows $\text{card } ((\text{labelposs } ((\text{label-term } \alpha \ j \ t) \cdot \langle \text{map labeled-source } As \rangle_\alpha)) \cap (\text{labelposs } (\text{labeled-source } (\text{to-pterm } t) \cdot \langle \text{map labeled-source } Bs \rangle_\alpha)))$
 $= (\sum x \leftarrow \text{vars-term-list } t. \text{measure-ov } ((\langle As \rangle_\alpha) \ x) ((\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) \ x))$

<proof>

lemma *measure-lhs-args-zero*:

assumes $l:\text{length } As = \text{length } Bs$

and empty: $\forall i < \text{length } As. \text{measure-ov } (As!i) (Bs!i) = 0$

shows $\text{measure-ov } (Prule \ \alpha \ As) ((\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) = 0$

<proof>

lemma *measure-zero-subt-at*:

assumes $\text{term-lab-to-term } A = \text{term-lab-to-term } B$

and $\text{labelposs } A \cap \text{labelposs } B = \{\}$

and $p \in \text{poss } A$

shows $\text{labelposs } (A|-p) \cap \text{labelposs } (B|-p) = \{\}$

<proof>

lemma *empty-step-imp-measure-zero*:

assumes $\text{is-empty-step } A$

shows $\text{measure-ov } A \ B = 0$

<proof>

lemma *measure-ov-to-pterm*:

shows $\text{measure-ov } A \ (\text{to-pterm } t) = 0$

<proof>

lemma *measure-zero-imp-orthogonal*:

assumes $R:\text{left-lin-no-var-lhs } R$ **and** $S:\text{left-lin-no-var-lhs } S$

and $\text{co-initial } A \ B \ A \in \text{wf-pterm } R \ B \in \text{wf-pterm } S$

and $\text{measure-ov } A \ B = 0$

shows $A \perp_p B$

<proof>

5.3 Collecting Overlapping Positions

abbreviation $\text{overlaps-pos} :: ('f, 'v) \text{ term-lab} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ term-lab} \Rightarrow (\text{pos} \times \text{pos}) \text{ set}$

where $\text{overlaps-pos } A \ B \equiv \text{Set.filter } (\lambda(p,q). \text{get-label } (A|-p) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{get-label } (B|-q) \neq \text{None} \wedge$

$\text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (A|-p))) = 0 \wedge \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (B|-q))) = 0 \wedge$

$(p <_p q \wedge \text{get-label } (A|-q) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (A|-q))) = \text{fst}$

$(\text{the } (\text{get-label } (A|-p))) \wedge \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (A|-q))) = \text{length } (\text{the } (\text{remove-prefix } p \ q))) \vee$

$(q \leq_p p \wedge \text{get-label } (B|-p) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (B|-q))) = \text{fst}$

$(\text{the } (\text{get-label } (B|-p))) \wedge \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (B|-p))) = \text{length } (\text{the } (\text{remove-prefix } q \ p))))))$

(*fun-poss A × fun-poss B*)

lemma *overlaps-pos-symmetric*:

assumes $(p,q) \in \text{overlaps-pos } A \ B$
shows $(q,p) \in \text{overlaps-pos } B \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *overlaps-pos-intro*:

assumes $q@q' \in \text{fun-poss } A$ **and** $q \in \text{fun-poss } B$
and $\text{get-label } (A|- (q@q')) = \text{Some } (\gamma, 0)$
and $\text{get-label } (B|-q) = \text{Some } (\beta, 0)$
and $\text{get-label } (B|- (q@q')) = \text{Some } (\beta, \text{length } q')$
shows $(q@q', q) \in \text{overlaps-pos } A \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Define the partial order on overlaps

definition *less-eq-overlap* :: $\text{pos} \times \text{pos} \Rightarrow \text{pos} \times \text{pos} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \leq_o 50)
where $p \leq_o q \iff (\text{fst } p \leq_p \text{fst } q) \wedge (\text{snd } p \leq_p \text{snd } q)$

definition *less-overlap* :: $\text{pos} \times \text{pos} \Rightarrow \text{pos} \times \text{pos} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $<_o$ 50)
where $p <_o q \iff p \leq_o q \wedge p \neq q$

interpretation *order-overlaps*: *order less-eq-overlap less-overlap*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *overlaps-pos-finite*: *finite (overlaps-pos A B)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *labeled-sources-imp-measure-not-zero*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss (labeled-source } A)$ $p \in \text{poss (labeled-source } B)$
and $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } B)|-p) \neq \text{None}$
shows $\text{measure-ov } A \ B > 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *measure-zero-imp-empty-overlaps*:

assumes $\text{measure-ov } A \ B = 0$ **and** *co-init:co-initial A B*
shows $\text{overlaps-pos (labeled-source } A) \ (\text{labeled-source } B) = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *empty-overlaps-imp-measure-zero*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $B \in \text{wf-pterm } S$
and $\text{overlaps-pos (labeled-source } A) \ (\text{labeled-source } B) = \{\}$
shows $\text{measure-ov } A \ B = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *obtain-overlap*:

assumes $p \in \text{possL } A$ $p \in \text{possL } B$
and $\text{get-label (labeled-source } A|-p) = \text{Some } (\gamma, n)$

```

and get-label (labeled-source B|-p) = Some (δ, m)
and n ≤ length p m ≤ length p
and rγ = take (length p - n) p
and rδ = take (length p - m) p
and rδ ≤p rγ
and a-well:A ∈ wf-pterm R and b-well:B ∈ wf-pterm S
shows (rγ, rδ) ∈ overlaps-pos (labeled-source A) (labeled-source B)
⟨proof⟩

```

end

6 Redex Patterns

```

theory Redex-Patterns
imports
  Labels-and-Overlaps
begin

```

Collect all rule symbols of a proof term together with the position in its source where they appear. This is used to split a proof term into a set of single steps, whose union (\sqcup) is the whole proof term again.

The redex patterns are collected in leftmost outermost order.

```

fun redex-patterns :: ('f, 'v) pterm ⇒ (('f, 'v) prule × pos) list
  where
    redex-patterns (Var x) = []
  | redex-patterns (Pfun f ss) = concat (map (λ (i, rps). map (λ (α, p). (α, i#p))
    rps)
    (zip [0 ..< length ss] (map redex-patterns ss)))
  | redex-patterns (Prule α ss) = (α, []) # concat (map (λ (p1, rps). map (λ (α, p2).
    (α, p1@p2)) rps)
    (zip (var-poss-list (lhs α)) (map redex-patterns ss)))

```

interpretation lexord-linorder:

```

  linorder ord.lexordp-eq ((<) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool)
    ord.lexordp ((<) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma lexord-prefix-diff:

```

  assumes (ord.lexordp ((<) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool)) xs ys and ¬ prefix xs ys
  shows (ord.lexordp (<)) (xs@us) (ys@vs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma var-poss-list-sorted: sorted-wrt (ord.lexordp ((<) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool))
  (var-poss-list t)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

context left-lin-no-var-lhs

begin

lemma *redex-patterns-sorted*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $\text{sorted-wrt } (\text{ord.lexordp } (<)) (\text{map snd } (\text{redex-patterns } A))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *distinct-snd-rdp*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $\text{distinct } (\text{map snd } (\text{redex-patterns } A))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-equal*:

assumes $\text{wf}:A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

and $\text{sorted}:\text{sorted-wrt } (\text{ord.lexordp } (<)) (\text{map snd } xs)$ **and** $\text{eq}:\text{set } xs = \text{set}$

$(\text{redex-patterns } A)$

shows $xs = \text{redex-patterns } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-order*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $i < j$ **and** $j < \text{length } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$

and $\text{redex-patterns } A ! i = (\alpha, p1)$ **and** $\text{redex-patterns } A ! j = (\beta, p2)$

shows $\neg p2 \leq_p p1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *left-lin-no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *redex-patterns-label*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A) \iff p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } A) \wedge \text{get-label}$
 $(\text{labeled-source } A \mid - p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, 0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-pattern-rule-symbol*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$

shows $\text{to-rule } \alpha \in R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-subset-possL*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $\text{set } (\text{map snd } (\text{redex-patterns } A)) \subseteq \text{possL } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *redex-poss-empty-imp-empty-step*:

assumes $\text{redex-patterns } A = []$

shows $\text{is-empty-step } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *overlap-imp-redex-poss*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R \ B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

and $\text{measure-ov } A \ B \neq 0$

shows $\text{redex-patterns } A \neq []$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-to-pterm*:

shows $\text{redex-patterns } (\text{to-pterm } s) = []$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-fun*:

assumes $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{Pfun } f \ As))$

shows $\exists i \ p'. \ i < \text{length } As \wedge p = i\#p' \wedge (\alpha, p') \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-rule*:

assumes $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{Prule } \beta \ As))$

shows $p = [] \vee (\exists i \ p'. \ i < \text{length } As \wedge i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \beta)))$

$\wedge p = (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \beta)!i)\@p' \wedge (\alpha, p') \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-fun'*:

assumes $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$ **and** $i:i < \text{length } As$

shows $(\alpha, i\#p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{Pfun } f \ As))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-rule'*:

assumes $(\beta, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$ **and** $i:i < \text{length } As \ i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))$

shows $(\beta, (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha) ! i)\@p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-subst*:

assumes $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } ((\text{to-pterm } t) \cdot \sigma))$

shows $\exists p1 \ p2 \ x. \ p = p1\@p2 \wedge (\alpha, p2) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (\sigma \ x)) \wedge p1 \in \text{var-poss } t \wedge t|-p1 = \text{Var } x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *left-lin-no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *redex-patterns-rule''*:

assumes $\text{rdp}:(\beta, p \ @ \ q) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As))$

and $\text{wf:Prule } \alpha \ As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

and $p:p = \text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)!i$

and $i:i < \text{length } As$

shows $(\beta, q) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-subst'*:

assumes $(\alpha, p2) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (\sigma x))$ **and** $p1:p1 \in \text{poss } t \mid p1 = \text{Var } x$
shows $(\alpha, p1@p2) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } ((\text{to-pterm } t) \cdot \sigma))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-join*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ $A \sqcup B = \text{Some } C$
shows $\text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } C) = \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A) \cup \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-join-list*:

assumes *join-list* $As = \text{Some } A$ **and** $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A) = \bigcup (\text{set } (\text{map } (\text{set } \circ \text{redex-patterns}) As))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-context*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{redex-patterns } ((\text{ctx-of-pos-term } p (\text{to-pterm } s)) \langle A \rangle) = \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, q). (\alpha, p@q)) (\text{redex-patterns } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-prule*:

assumes $l:\text{length } ts = \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))$
shows $\text{redex-patterns } (\text{Prule } \alpha (\text{map } \text{to-pterm } ts)) = [(\alpha, [])]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-single*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$ **and** *to-rule* $\alpha \in R$
shows $\text{redex-patterns } (\text{ll-single-redex } s p \alpha) = [(\alpha, p)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-label-imp-rdp*:

assumes *get-label* $(\text{labeled-source } A \mid p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, 0)$
and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
and $p \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$
shows $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-pattern-proof-term-equality*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
and $\text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A) = \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } B)$
and *source* $A = \text{source } B$
shows $A = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

abbreviation *single-steps* :: ('f, 'v) pterm \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) pterm list
 where *single-steps* A \equiv map (λ (α , p). ll-single-redex (source A) p α) (redex-patterns A)

context *left-lin-wf-trs*
begin

lemma *ll-no-var-lhs: left-lin-no-var-lhs* R
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *single-step-redex-patterns*:
 assumes A \in wf-pterm R $\Delta \in$ set (single-steps A)
 shows \exists p α . $\Delta =$ ll-single-redex (source A) p $\alpha \wedge$ (α , p) \in set (redex-patterns A) \wedge redex-patterns $\Delta = [(\alpha$, p)]
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *single-step-wf*:
 assumes A \in wf-pterm R **and** $\Delta \in$ set (single-steps A)
 shows $\Delta \in$ wf-pterm R
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *source-single-step*:
 assumes Δ : $\Delta \in$ set (single-steps A) **and** wf:A \in wf-pterm R
 shows source $\Delta =$ source A
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *single-redex-single-step*:
 assumes Δ : $\Delta =$ ll-single-redex s p α
 and p:p \in poss s **and** α :to-rule $\alpha \in$ R
 and src:source $\Delta =$ s
 shows single-steps $\Delta = [\Delta]$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *single-step-label-imp-label*:
 assumes Δ : $\Delta \in$ set (single-steps A) **and** q:q \in poss (labeled-source Δ) **and** wf:A \in wf-pterm R
 and lab:get-label (labeled-source Δ |q) = Some l
 shows get-label (labeled-source A |q) = Some l
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *single-steps-measure*:
 assumes Δ 1 : Δ 1 \in set (single-steps A) **and** Δ 2: Δ 2 \in set (single-steps A)
 and wf:A \in wf-pterm R **and** neg: Δ 1 \neq Δ 2
 shows measure-ov Δ 1 Δ 2 = 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *single-steps-orth*:

assumes $\Delta 1:\Delta 1 \in \text{set}(\text{single-steps } A)$ **and** $\Delta 2:\Delta 2 \in \text{set}(\text{single-steps } A)$ **and**
 $wf:A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
shows $\Delta 1 \perp_p \Delta 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *redex-patterns-below*:
assumes $wf:A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
and $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } A)$
and $(\beta, p@q) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } A)$ **and** $q \neq []$
shows $q \notin \text{fun-poss}(\text{lhs } \alpha)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-steps-singleton*:
assumes $A-wf:A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ **and** $\Delta:\text{single-steps } A = [\Delta]$
shows $A = \Delta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

context *left-lin-no-var-lhs*
begin
lemma *measure-ov-imp-single-step-ov*:
assumes $\text{measure-ov } A B \neq 0$ **and** $wf:A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
shows $\exists \Delta \in \text{set}(\text{single-steps } A). \text{measure-ov } \Delta B \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

context *left-lin-no-var-lhs*
begin
lemma *label-single-step*:
assumes $p \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } A)$ $A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
and $\text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } A \mid - p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, n)$
shows $\exists Ai. Ai \in \text{set}(\text{single-steps } A) \wedge \text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } Ai \mid - p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *proof-term-matches*:
assumes $A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ $B \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ *linear-term* A
and $\bigwedge \alpha r. (\alpha, r) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } A) = ((\alpha, r) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } B) \wedge r \in \text{fun-poss}(\text{source } A))$
and $\text{source } A \cdot \sigma = \text{source } B$
shows $A \cdot (\text{mk-subst } \text{Var}(\text{match-substs } A B)) = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

context *left-lin-wf-trs*
begin
lemma *join-single-steps-wf*:
assumes $A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
and $As = \text{filter } f(\text{single-steps } A)$ **and** $As \neq []$

shows $\exists D. \text{join-list } As = \text{Some } D \wedge D \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
<proof>

lemma *single-steps-join-list*:

assumes *join-list* $As = \text{Some } A$ **and** $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $\text{set } (\text{single-steps } A) = \bigcup (\text{set } (\text{map } (\text{set } \circ \text{single-steps}) As))$

<proof>

end

end

References

- [1] C. Kirk and A. Middeldorp. Formalizing simultaneous critical pairs for confluence of left-linear rewrite systems. In *Proc. 14th International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs*, pages 156–170, 2025.
- [2] C. Kohl and A. Middeldorp. A formalization of the development closedness criterion for left-linear term rewrite systems. In *Proc. 12th International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs*, pages 197–210, 2023.
- [3] C. Kohl and A. Middeldorp. Formalizing almost development closed critical pairs (short paper). In *Proc. 14th International Joint Conference on Automated Reasoning*, volume 268 of *LIPICs*, pages 38:1–38:8, 2023.
- [4] C. Kohl and A. Middeldorp. Formalizing confluence and commutation criteria using proof terms. In *Proc. 12th International Workshop on Confluence*, pages 49–54, 2023. Available from <http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/iwc/2023/proceedings.pdf>.
- [5] TeReSe, editor. *Term Rewriting Systems*, volume 55 of *Cambridge Tracts in Theoretical Computer Science*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [6] V. van Oostrom and R. de Vrijer. Four equivalent equivalences of reductions. In *Proc. 2nd International Workshop on Reduction Strategies in Rewriting and Programming*, volume 70(6) of *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 21–61, 2002.