

# Formalization of Timely Dataflow’s Progress Tracking Protocol

Matthias Brun, Sára Decova, Andrea Lattuada, and Dmitriy Traytel

May 26, 2024

## Abstract

Large-scale stream processing systems often follow the dataflow paradigm, which enforces a program structure that exposes a high degree of parallelism. The Timely Dataflow distributed system supports expressive cyclic dataflows for which it offers low-latency data- and pipeline-parallel stream processing. To achieve high expressiveness and performance, Timely Dataflow uses an intricate distributed protocol for tracking the computation’s progress. We formalize this progress tracking protocol and verify its safety. Our formalization is described in detail in the forthcoming ITP’21 paper [3].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Auxiliary Lemmas</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	General . . . . .	3
2.2	Sums . . . . .	4
2.3	Partial Orders . . . . .	4
2.4	Multisets . . . . .	4
2.5	Signed Multisets . . . . .	4
2.5.1	Image of a Signed Multiset . . . . .	7
2.6	Streams . . . . .	9
2.7	Notation . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Clocks Protocol</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Exchange Protocol</b>	<b>18</b>
4.1	Specification . . . . .	18
4.2	Auxiliary Lemmas . . . . .	22
4.2.1	Transition lemmas . . . . .	24
4.2.2	Facts about <i>justified</i> ’ness . . . . .	27
4.2.3	Facts about <i>justified-with</i> ’ness . . . . .	28

4.3	Invariants . . . . .	30
4.3.1	InvRecordCount . . . . .	30
4.3.2	InvCapsNonneg and InvRecordsNonneg . . . . .	30
4.3.3	Resulting lemmas . . . . .	31
4.3.4	SafeRecordsMono . . . . .	32
4.3.5	InvJustifiedII and InvJustifiedGII . . . . .	32
4.3.6	InvTempJustified . . . . .	34
4.3.7	InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos . . . . .	34
4.3.8	SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec . . . . .	35
4.3.9	InvGlobNonposEqVacant . . . . .	35
4.3.10	InvInfoJustifiedWithII and InvInfoJustifiedWithGII . . . . .	36
4.3.11	SafeGlobMono and InvMsgInGlob . . . . .	37
<b>5</b>	<b>Antichains</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Multigraphs with Partially Ordered Weights</b>	<b>42</b>
6.1	Paths . . . . .	43
6.2	Path Weights . . . . .	44
<b>7</b>	<b>Local Progress Propagation</b>	<b>47</b>
7.1	Specification . . . . .	47
7.2	Auxiliary . . . . .	50
7.3	Invariants . . . . .	51
7.3.1	Invariant: <i>inv-imps-work-sum</i> . . . . .	51
7.3.2	Invariant: <i>inv-imp-plus-work-nonneg</i> . . . . .	52
7.3.3	Invariant: <i>inv-implications-nonneg</i> . . . . .	52
7.4	Proof of Safety . . . . .	55
7.5	A Better (More Invariant) Safety . . . . .	57
7.6	Implied Frontier . . . . .	58
<b>8</b>	<b>Combined Progress Tracking Protocol</b>	<b>61</b>
8.1	Could-result-in Relation . . . . .	61
8.2	Specification . . . . .	61
8.2.1	Configuration . . . . .	61
8.2.2	Initial state and state transitions . . . . .	62
8.3	Auxiliary Lemmas . . . . .	65
8.3.1	Auxiliary Lemmas for CM Conversion . . . . .	65
8.4	Exchange is a Subsystem of Tracker . . . . .	68
8.5	Definitions . . . . .	68
8.6	Propagate is a Subsystem of Tracker . . . . .	69
8.6.1	CM Conditions . . . . .	69
8.6.2	Propagate Safety and InvGlobPointstampsEq . . . . .	69
8.6.3	Propagate is a Subsystem . . . . .	73
8.7	Safety Proofs . . . . .	73

## 1 Introduction

The dataflow programming model represents a program as a directed graph of interconnected operators that perform per-tuple data transformations. A message (an incoming datum) arrives at an input (a root of the dataflow) and flows along the graph’s edges into operators. Each operator takes the message, processes it, and emits any resulting derived messages.

In a dataflow system, all messages are associated with a timestamp, and operator instances need to know up-to-date (timestamp) *frontiers*—lower bounds on what timestamps may still appear as their inputs. When informed that all data for a range of timestamps has been delivered, an operator instance can complete the computation on input data for that range of timestamps, produce the resulting output, and retire those timestamps.

A *progress tracking mechanism* is a core component of the dataflow system. It receives information on outstanding timestamps from operator instances, exchanges this information with other system workers (cores, nodes) and disseminates up-to-date approximations of the frontiers to all operator instances. This AFP entry formally models and proves the safety of the progress tracking protocol of *Timely Dataflow* [1, 4], a dataflow programming model and a state-of-the-art streaming, data-parallel, distributed data processor. Specifically, we prove that the progress tracking protocol computes frontiers that always constitute safe lower bounds on what timestamps may still appear on the operator inputs. The formalization is described in detail in the forthcoming ITP’21 paper [3].

The ITP paper [3] closely follows this formalization’s structure. In particular, the paper’s presentation is split into four main sections each of which is present in the formalization (each in a separate theory file):

Algorithm/protocol	Section in this proof document	Section in [3]	Theory file
Abadi et al. [2]’s clocks protocol	Section 3	Section 3	Exchange_Abadi
Exchange protocol	Section 4	Section 4	Exchange
Local propagation algorithm	Section 7	Section 5	Propagate
Combined protocol	Section 8	Section 6	Combined

## 2 Auxiliary Lemmas

**unbundle** *multiset.lifting*

### 2.1 General

**lemma** *sum-list-hd-tl*:

```

fixes xs :: (- :: group-add) list
shows xs ≠ []  $\implies$  sum-list (tl xs) = (- hd xs) + sum-list xs
⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.2 Sums

**lemma** Sum-eq-pick-changed-elem:

```

assumes finite M
    and  $m \in M$   $f m = g m + \Delta$ 
    and  $\bigwedge n. n \neq m \wedge n \in M \implies f n = g n$ 
shows  $(\sum_{x \in M} f x) = (\sum_{x \in M} g x) + \Delta$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** sum-pos-ex-elem-pos:  $(0::int) < (\sum_{m \in M} f m) \implies \exists m \in M. 0 < f m$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-if-distrib-add: finite A  $\implies b \in A \implies (\sum_{a \in A. if a=b then X b + Y a else X a}) = (\sum_{a \in A. X a}) + Y b$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.3 Partial Orders

**lemma** (in order) order-finite-set-exists-foundation:

```

fixes t :: 'a
assumes finite M
    and  $t \in M$ 
shows  $\exists s \in M. s \leq t \wedge (\forall u \in M. \neg u < s)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** order-finite-set-obtain-foundation:

```

fixes t :: - :: order
assumes finite M
    and  $t \in M$ 
obtains s where  $s \in M$   $s \leq t \forall u \in M. \neg u < s$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.4 Multisets

**lemma** finite-nonzero-count: finite {t. count M t > 0}

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-count[simp]: finite {t. count M t > i}
⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.5 Signed Multisets

**lemma** zcount-zmset-of-nonneg[simp]:  $0 \leq zcount(zmset-of M) t$

**lemma** finite-zcount-pos[simp]: finite {t. zcount M t > 0}

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-zcount-neg*[simp]:  $\text{finite } \{t. \text{zcount } M t < 0\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-zcount-in-zmset*:  $0 < \text{zcount } M x \implies x \in \#_z M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmset-elem-nonneg*:  $x \in \#_z M \implies (\forall x. x \in \#_z M \implies 0 \leq \text{zcount } M x)$   
 $\implies 0 < \text{zcount } M x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-le-sum-single*:  $0 \leq \text{zcount } (\sum x \in M. \{\#f x\}_z) t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mem-zmset-of*[simp]:  $x \in \#_z \text{ zmset-of } M \longleftrightarrow x \in \# M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-neg-minus*:  $\text{mset-neg } (\text{abs-zmultiset } (Mp, Mn)) = Mn - Mp$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-pos-minus*:  $\text{mset-pos } (\text{abs-zmultiset } (Mp, Mn)) = Mp - Mn$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-neg-sum-set*:  $(\forall m. m \in M \implies \text{mset-neg } (f m) = \{\#\}) \implies \text{mset-neg } (\sum m \in M. f m) = \{\#\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-neg-empty-iff*:  $\text{mset-neg } M = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall t. 0 \leq \text{zcount } M t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-neg-zcount-nonneg*:  $\text{mset-neg } M = \{\#\} \implies 0 \leq \text{zcount } M t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-zmset-conv-pos-neg-disj*:  $x \in \#_z M \longleftrightarrow x \in \# \text{ mset-pos } M \vee x \in \# \text{ mset-neg } M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-zmset-notin-mset-pos*[simp]:  $x \in \#_z M \implies x \notin \# \text{ mset-pos } M \implies x \in \# \text{ mset-neg } M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-zmset-notin-mset-neg*[simp]:  $x \in \#_z M \implies x \notin \# \text{ mset-neg } M \implies x \in \# \text{ mset-pos } M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-mset-pos-in-zmset*:  $x \in \# \text{ mset-pos } M \implies x \in \#_z M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-mset-neg-in-zmset*:  $x \in \#_{\text{mset-neg}} M \implies x \in \#_z M$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *set-zmset-eq-set-mset-union*:  $\text{set-zmset } M = \text{set-mset}(\text{mset-pos } M) \cup \text{set-mset}(\text{mset-neg } M)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *member-mset-pos-iff-zcount*:  $x \in \#_{\text{mset-pos}} M \longleftrightarrow 0 < \text{zcount } M x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *member-mset-neg-iff-zcount*:  $x \in \#_{\text{mset-neg}} M \longleftrightarrow \text{zcount } M x < 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mset-pos-mset-neg-disjoint[simp]*:  $\text{set-mset}(\text{mset-pos } \Delta) \cap \text{set-mset}(\text{mset-neg } \Delta) = \{\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zcount-sum*:  $\text{zcount}(\sum M \in MM. f M) t = (\sum M \in MM. \text{zcount}(f M) t)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zcount-filter-invariant*:  $\text{zcount}\{\# t' \in \#_z M. t' = t \#\} t = \text{zcount } M t$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *in-filter-zmset-in-zmset[simp]*:  $x \in \#_z \text{filter-zmset } P M \implies x \in \#_z M$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *pos-filter-zmset-pos-zmset[simp]*:  $0 < \text{zcount}(\text{filter-zmset } P M) x \implies 0 < \text{zcount } M x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *neg-filter-zmset-neg-zmset[simp]*:  $0 > \text{zcount}(\text{filter-zmset } P M) x \implies 0 > \text{zcount } M x$   
*(proof)*

**lift-definition** *update-zmultiset* ::  $'t \text{zmultipset} \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow 't \text{zmultipset}$  **is**  
 $\lambda(A,B) T D. (\text{if } D > 0 \text{ then } (A + \text{replicate-mset}(\text{nat } D) T, B)$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{else } (A, B + \text{replicate-mset}(\text{nat } (-D)) T))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zcount-update-zmultiset*:  $\text{zcount}(\text{update-zmultiset } M t n) t' = \text{zcount } M t'$   
 $+ (\text{if } t = t' \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in order)** *order-zmset-exists-foundation*:  
**fixes**  $t :: 'a$   
**assumes**  $0 < \text{zcount } M t$   
**shows**  $\exists s. s \leq t \wedge 0 < \text{zcount } M s \wedge (\forall u. 0 < \text{zcount } M u \longrightarrow u < s)$   
*(proof)*

```

lemma (in order) order-zmset-exists-foundation':
  fixes t :: 'a
  assumes 0 < zcount M t
  shows ∃ s. s ≤ t ∧ 0 < zcount M s ∧ (∀ u < s. zcount M u ≤ 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in order) order-zmset-exists-foundation-neg:
  fixes t :: 'a
  assumes zcount M t < 0
  shows ∃ s. s ≤ t ∧ zcount M s < 0 ∧ (∀ u. zcount M u < 0 → u < s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in order) order-zmset-exists-foundation-neg':
  fixes t :: 'a
  assumes zcount M t < 0
  shows ∃ s. s ≤ t ∧ zcount M s < 0 ∧ (∀ u < s. 0 ≤ zcount M u)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in order) elem-order-zmset-exists-foundation:
  fixes x :: 'a
  assumes x ∈ #z M
  shows ∃ s ∈ #z M. s ≤ x ∧ (∀ u ∈ #z M. u < s)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 2.5.1 Image of a Signed Multiset

**lift-definition** image-zmset :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a zmset ⇒ 'b zmset **is**  
 $\lambda f (M, N). (\text{image-mset } f M, \text{image-mset } f N)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**syntax** (ASCII)  
 $-comprehension\text{-zmset} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \text{ zmset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmset} ((\{\#/. - : \#z\}))$   
**syntax**  
 $-comprehension\text{-zmset} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \text{ zmset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmset} ((\{\#/. - \in \#z\}))$   
**translations**  
 $\{\#e. x \in \#z M\#} \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST image-zmset } (\lambda x. e) M$

**lemma** image-zmset-empty[simp]: image-zmset f {#}z = {#}z  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** image-zmset-single[simp]: image-zmset f {#x#}z = {#f x#}z  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** image-zmset-union[simp]: image-zmset f (M + N) = image-zmset f M +  
 image-zmset f N  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *image-zmset-Diff*[simp]:  $\text{image-zmset } f (A - B) = \text{image-zmset } f A - \text{image-zmset } f B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-neg-image-zmset*:  $\text{mset-neg } M = \{\#\} \implies \text{mset-neg } (\text{image-zmset } f M) = \{\#\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nonneg-zcount-image-zmset*[simp]:  $(\bigwedge t. 0 \leq \text{zcount } M t) \implies 0 \leq \text{zcount } (\text{image-zmset } f M) t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *image-zmset-add-zmset*[simp]:  $\text{image-zmset } f (\text{add-zmset } t M) = \text{add-zmset } (f t) (\text{image-zmset } f M)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-zcount-image-zmset*[simp]:  $(\bigwedge t. 0 \leq \text{zcount } M t) \implies 0 < \text{zcount } M t$   
 $\implies 0 < \text{zcount } (\text{image-zmset } f M) (f t)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-zmset-transfer*[transfer-rule]:  
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{pcr-zmultiset } (=)) (\text{rel-set } (=)))$   
 $(\lambda(M_p, M_n). \text{set-mset } M_p \cup \text{set-mset } M_n - \{x. \text{count } M_p x = \text{count } M_n x\})$   
 $\text{set-zmset}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zcount-image-zmset*:  
 $\text{zcount } (\text{image-zmset } f M) x = (\sum y \in f -` \{x\} \cap \text{set-zmset } M. \text{zcount } M y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmset-empty-image-zmset-empty*:  $(\bigwedge t. \text{zcount } M t = 0) \implies \text{zcount } (\text{image-zmset } f M) t = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *in-image-zmset-in-zmset*:  $t \in \#_z \text{image-zmset } f M \implies \exists t. t \in \#_z M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zcount-image-zmset-zero*:  $(\bigwedge m. m \in \#_z M \implies f m \neq x) \implies x \notin \#_z \text{image-zmset } f M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *image-zmset-pre*:  $t \in \#_z \text{image-zmset } f M \implies \exists m. m \in \#_z M \wedge f m = t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-image-zmset-obtain-pre*:  
 $(\bigwedge t. 0 \leq \text{zcount } M t) \implies 0 < \text{zcount } (\text{image-zmset } f M) t \implies \exists m. 0 < \text{zcount } M m \wedge f m = t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.6 Streams

```

definition relates :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a stream ⇒ bool where
  relates φ s = φ (shd s) (shd (stl s))

lemma relatesD[dest]: relates P s ⇒ P (shd s) (shd (stl s))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-relatesD[dest]: alw (relates P) s ⇒ P (shd s) (shd (stl s))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma relatesI[intro]: P (shd s) (shd (stl s)) ⇒ relates P s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-holds-smap-conv-comp: alw (holds P) (smap f s) = alw (λs. (P o f)
  (shd s)) s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-relates: alw (relates P) s ↔ P (shd s) (shd (stl s)) ∧ alw (relates P)
  (stl s)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.7 Notation

```

no-notation AND (infix aand 60)
no-notation OR (infix or 60)
no-notation IMPL (infix imp 60)

notation AND (infixr aand 70)
notation OR (infixr or 65)
notation IMPL (infixr imp 60)

```

**lifting-update** multiset.lifting  
**lifting-forget** multiset.lifting

## 3 Clocks Protocol

```

type-synonym 't count-vec = 't multiset
type-synonym 't delta-vec = 't zmultiset

definition vacant-upto :: 't delta-vec ⇒ 't :: order ⇒ bool where
  vacant-upto a t = (forall s. s ≤ t → zcount a s = 0)

abbreviation nonpos-upto :: 't delta-vec ⇒ 't :: order ⇒ bool where
  nonpos-upto a t ≡ ∀ s. s ≤ t → zcount a s ≤ 0

definition supported-strong :: 't delta-vec ⇒ 't :: order ⇒ bool where

```

*supported-strong*  $a t = (\exists s. s < t \wedge \text{zcount } a s < 0 \wedge \text{nonpos-upto } a s)$

**definition** *supported* :: ' $t$  delta-vec  $\Rightarrow$  ' $t$  :: order  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $\text{supported } a t = (\exists s. s < t \wedge \text{zcount } a s < 0)$

**definition** *upright* :: ' $t$  :: order delta-vec  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $\text{upright } a = (\forall t. \text{zcount } a t > 0 \longrightarrow \text{supported } a t)$

**lemma** *upright-alt*:  $\text{upright } a \longleftrightarrow (\forall t. \text{zcount } a t > 0 \longrightarrow \text{supported-strong } a t)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *beta-upright* :: ' $t$  :: order delta-vec  $\Rightarrow$  ' $t$  :: order delta-vec  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $\text{beta-upright } va vb = (\forall t. \text{zcount } va t > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists s. s < t \wedge (\text{zcount } va s < 0 \vee \text{zcount } vb s < 0)))$

**lemma** *beta-upright-alt*:  
 $\text{beta-upright } va vb = (\forall t. \text{zcount } va t > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists s. s < t \wedge (\text{zcount } va s < 0 \vee \text{zcount } vb s < 0) \wedge \text{nonpos-upto } va s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**record** (' $p$ , ' $t$ ) configuration =  
 $c\text{-records} :: 't$  delta-vec  
 $c\text{-temp} :: 'p \Rightarrow 't$  delta-vec  
 $c\text{-msg} :: 'p \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 't$  delta-vec list  
 $c\text{-glob} :: 'p \Rightarrow 't$  delta-vec

**type-synonym** (' $p$ , ' $t$ ) computation = (' $p$ , ' $t$ ) configuration stream

**definition** *init-config* :: (' $p$  :: finite, ' $t$  :: order) configuration  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $\text{init-config } c =$   
 $((\forall p. c\text{-temp } c p = \{\#\}_z) \wedge$   
 $(\forall p1 p2. c\text{-msg } c p1 p2 = []) \wedge$   
 $(\forall p. c\text{-glob } c p = c\text{-records } c) \wedge$   
 $(\forall t. 0 \leq \text{zcount } (c\text{-records } c) t))$

**definition** *next-performop'* :: (' $p$ , ' $t$  :: order) configuration  $\Rightarrow$  (' $p$ , ' $t$ ) configuration  
 $\Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 't$  count-vec  $\Rightarrow$  ' $t$  count-vec  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $\text{next-performop}' c0 c1 p c r =$   
 $(\text{let } \Delta = \text{zmset-of } r - \text{zmset-of } c \text{ in}$   
 $(\forall t. \text{int } (\text{count } c t) \leq \text{zcount } (c\text{-records } c0) t)$   
 $\wedge \text{upright } \Delta$   
 $\wedge c1 = c0(\text{c-records} := c\text{-records } c0 + \Delta,$   
 $c\text{-temp} := (c\text{-temp } c0)(p := c\text{-temp } c0 p + \Delta))$

**abbreviation** *next-performop* **where**  
 $\text{next-performop } s \equiv (\exists p (c :: 't :: \text{order count-vec}) (r :: 't count-vec). \text{next-performop}' (shd s) (shd (stl s)) p c r)$

```

definition next-sendupd' where
  next-sendupd' c0 c1 p tt =
    (let  $\gamma = \{\#t \in \#_z c\text{-temp } c0 p. t \in tt\#\}$  in
       $\gamma \neq 0$ 
       $\wedge upright(c\text{-temp } c0 p - \gamma)$ 
       $\wedge c1 = c0(\{c\text{-msg} := (c\text{-msg } c0)(p := \lambda q. c\text{-msg } c0 p q @ [\gamma]),$ 
       $c\text{-temp} := (c\text{-temp } c0)(p := c\text{-temp } c0 p - \gamma)\})$ 
    )

abbreviation next-sendupd where
  next-sendupd s  $\equiv (\exists p tt. next\text{-sendupd}'(shd s) (shd(stl s)) p tt)$ 

definition next-recvupd' where
  next-recvupd' c0 c1 p q =
    ( $c\text{-msg } c0 p q \neq []$ 
      $\wedge c1 = c0(\{c\text{-msg} := (c\text{-msg } c0)(p := (c\text{-msg } c0 p)(q := tl(c\text{-msg } c0 p q))),$ 
      $c\text{-glob} := (c\text{-glob } c0)(q := c\text{-glob } c0 q + hd(c\text{-msg } c0 p q))\})$ 
    )

abbreviation next-recvupd where
  next-recvupd s  $\equiv (\exists p q. next\text{-recvupd}'(shd s) (shd(stl s)) p q)$ 

definition next where
  next s  $= (next\text{-performop } s \vee next\text{-sendupd } s \vee next\text{-recvupd } s \vee (shd(stl s) = shd s))$ 

definition spec :: ('p :: finite, 't :: order) computation  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  spec s  $= (holds init\text{-config } s \wedge alw next s)$ 

abbreviation GlobVacantUpto where
  GlobVacantUpto c q t  $\equiv vacant\text{-upto}(c\text{-glob } c q) t$ 

abbreviation NrecVacantUpto where
  NrecVacantUpto c t  $\equiv vacant\text{-upto}(c\text{-records } c) t$ 

definition SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec :: ('p :: finite, 't :: order) computation  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec s =
    (let c = shd s in  $\forall t q. GlobVacantUpto c q t \longrightarrow alw(holds(\lambda c. NrecVacantUpto c t)) s$ )

definition SafeStickyNrecVacantUpto :: ('p :: finite, 't :: order) computation  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  SafeStickyNrecVacantUpto s =
    (let c = shd s in  $\forall t. NrecVacantUpto c t \longrightarrow alw(holds(\lambda c. NrecVacantUpto c t)) s$ )

definition InvGlobVacantUptoImpliesNrec :: ('p :: finite, 't :: order) configuration

```

```

 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
   $\text{InvGlobVacantUptoImpliesNrec } c =$ 
     $(\forall t q. \text{vacant-upto} (\text{c-glob } c q) t \longrightarrow \text{vacant-upto} (\text{c-records } c) t)$ 

definition  $\text{InvTempUpright}$  where
   $\text{InvTempUpright } c = (\forall p. \text{upright} (\text{c-temp } c p))$ 

lemma  $\text{init-InvTempUpright}: \text{init-config } c \implies \text{InvTempUpright } c$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{upright-obtain-support}:$ 
  assumes  $\text{upright } a$ 
  and  $\text{zcount } a t > 0$ 
  obtains  $s$  where  $s < t$   $\text{zcount } a s < 0$   $\text{nonpos-upto } a s$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{upright-vec-add}:$ 
  assumes  $\text{upright } v1$ 
  and  $\text{upright } v2$ 
  shows  $\text{upright} (v1 + v2)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{next-InvTempUpright}: \text{holds InvTempUpright } s \implies \text{next } s \implies \text{nxt} (\text{holds InvTempUpright}) s$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{alw-InvTempUpright}: \text{spec } s \implies \text{alw} (\text{holds InvTempUpright}) s$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition  $\text{IncomingInfo}$  where
   $\text{IncomingInfo } c k p q = (\text{sum-list} (\text{drop } k (\text{c-msg } c p q)) + \text{c-temp } c p)$ 

definition  $\text{InvIncomingInfoUpright}$  where
   $\text{InvIncomingInfoUpright } c = (\forall k p q. \text{upright} (\text{IncomingInfo } c k p q))$ 

lemma  $\text{upright-0}: \text{upright } 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{init-InvIncomingInfoUpright}: \text{init-config } c \implies \text{InvIncomingInfoUpright } c$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{next-InvIncomingInfoUpright}: \text{holds InvIncomingInfoUpright } s \implies \text{next } s$ 
   $\implies \text{nxt} (\text{holds InvIncomingInfoUpright}) s$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{alw-InvIncomingInfoUpright}: \text{spec } s \implies \text{alw} (\text{holds InvIncomingInfoUpright}) s$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**definition**  $\text{GlobalIncomingInfo} :: ('p :: \text{finite}, 't) \text{ configuration} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 't \text{ delta-vec where}$   
 $\text{GlobalIncomingInfo } c \ k \ p \ q = (\sum p' \in \text{UNIV}. \text{IncomingInfo } c \ (\text{if } p' = p \text{ then } k \text{ else } 0) \ p' \ q)$

**abbreviation**  $\text{GlobalIncomingInfoAt}$  **where**  
 $\text{GlobalIncomingInfoAt } c \ q \equiv \text{GlobalIncomingInfo } c \ 0 \ q \ q$

**definition**  $\text{InvGlobalRecordCount}$  **where**  
 $\text{InvGlobalRecordCount } c = (\forall q. \text{c-records } c = \text{GlobalIncomingInfoAt } c \ q + \text{c-glob } c \ q)$

**lemma**  $\text{init-InvGlobalRecordCount}: \text{holds init-config } s \implies \text{holds InvGlobalRecordCount } s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{if-eq-same}: (\text{if } a = b \text{ then } f b \text{ else } f a) = f a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{next-InvGlobalRecordCount}: \text{holds InvGlobalRecordCount } s \implies \text{next } s \implies \text{nxt } (\text{holds InvGlobalRecordCount}) \ s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{alw-InvGlobalRecordCount}: \text{spec } s \implies \text{alw } (\text{holds InvGlobalRecordCount}) \ s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{InvGlobalIncomingInfoUpright}$  **where**  
 $\text{InvGlobalIncomingInfoUpright } c = (\forall k \ p \ q. \text{upright } (\text{GlobalIncomingInfo } c \ k \ p \ q))$

**lemma**  $\text{upright-sum-upright}: \text{finite } X \implies \forall x. \text{upright } (A \ x) \implies \text{upright } (\sum x \in X. A \ x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{InvIncomingInfoUpright-imp-InvGlobalIncomingInfoUpright}: \text{holds InvIncomingInfoUpright } s \implies \text{holds InvGlobalIncomingInfoUpright } s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{alw-InvGlobalIncomingInfoUpright}: \text{spec } s \implies \text{alw } (\text{holds InvGlobalIncomingInfoUpright}) \ s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**abbreviation**  $\text{nrec-pos}$  **where**  
 $\text{nrec-pos } c \equiv \forall t. \text{zcount } (\text{c-records } c) \ t \geq 0$

**lemma**  $\text{init-nrec-pos}: \text{holds init-config } s \implies \text{holds nrec-pos } s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-nrec-pos*: *holds nrec-pos s*  $\implies$  *next s*  $\implies$  *nxt (holds nrec-pos) s*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-nrec-pos*: *spec s*  $\implies$  *alw (holds nrec-pos) s*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-performop-vacant*:  
*vacant-upto (c-records (shd s)) t*  $\implies$  *next-performop s*  $\implies$  *vacant-upto (c-records (shd (stl s))) t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-sendupd-vacant*:  
*vacant-upto (c-records (shd s)) t*  $\implies$  *next-sendupd s*  $\implies$  *vacant-upto (c-records (shd (stl s))) t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-recvupd-vacant*:  
*vacant-upto (c-records (shd s)) t*  $\implies$  *next-recvupd s*  $\implies$  *vacant-upto (c-records (shd (stl s))) t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *spec-imp-SafeStickyNrecVacantUpto-aux*: *alw next s*  $\implies$  *alw SafeStickyNrecVacantUpto s*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *spec-imp-SafeStickyNrecVacantUpto*: *spec s*  $\implies$  *alw SafeStickyNrecVacantUpto s*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inv-imp-InvGlobVacantUptoImpliesNrec*:  
**assumes** *holds InvGlobalIncomingInfoUpright s*  
**assumes** *holds InvGlobalRecordCount s*  
**assumes** *holds nrec-pos s*  
**shows** *holds InvGlobVacantUptoImpliesNrec s*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *spec-imp-inv1*: *spec s*  $\implies$  *alw (holds InvGlobVacantUptoImpliesNrec) s*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *safe2-inv1-imp-safe*: *SafeStickyNrecVacantUpto s*  $\implies$  *holds InvGlobVacantUptoImpliesNrec s*  $\implies$  *SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec s*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *spec-imp-safe*: *spec s*  $\implies$  *alw SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec s*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma beta-upright-0: beta-upright 0 vb
  ⟨proof⟩

definition PositiveImplies where
  PositiveImplies v w = (⟨t. zcount v t > 0 ⟩ → zcount w t > 0)

lemma betaupright-PositiveImplies: upright (va + vb) ⇒ PositiveImplies va (va
+ vb) ⇒ beta-upright va vb
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma betaupright-obtain-support:
  assumes beta-upright va vb
  zcount va t > 0
  obtains s where s < t zcount va s < 0 ∨ zcount vb s < 0 nonpos-upto va s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma betaupright-upright-vut:
  assumes beta-upright va vb
  and upright vb
  and vacant-upto (va + vb) t
  shows vacant-upto va t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma beta-upright-add:
  assumes upright vb
  and upright vc
  and beta-upright va vb
  shows beta-upright va (vb + vc)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition InfoAt where
  InfoAt c k p q = (if 0 ≤ k ∧ k < length (c-msg c p q) then (c-msg c p q) ! k else
  0)

definition InvInfoAtBetaUpright where
  InvInfoAtBetaUpright c = (⟨k p q. beta-upright (InfoAt c k p q) (IncomingInfo c
  (k+1) p q))⟩

lemma init-InvInfoAtBetaUpright: init-config c ⇒ InvInfoAtBetaUpright c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma next-inv[consumes 1, case-names next-performop next-sendupd next-revupd
stutter]:
  assumes next s
  and next-performop s ⇒ P
  and next-sendupd s ⇒ P

```

```

and      next-recvupd s  $\implies$  P
and      shd (stl s) = shd s  $\implies$  P
shows   P
{proof}

```

```

lemma next-InvInfoAtBetaUpright:
assumes a1: next s
and      a2: InvInfoAtBetaUpright (shd s)
and      a3: InvIncomingInfoUpright (shd s)
and      a4: InvTempUpright (shd s)
shows   InvInfoAtBetaUpright (shd (stl s))
{proof}

```

```

lemma alw-InvInfoAtBetaUpright-aux: alw (holds InvTempUpright) s  $\implies$  alw (holds InvIncomingInfoUpright) s  $\implies$  holds InvInfoAtBetaUpright s  $\implies$  alw next s  $\implies$  alw (holds InvInfoAtBetaUpright) s
{proof}

```

```

lemma alw-InvInfoAtBetaUpright: spec s  $\implies$  alw (holds InvInfoAtBetaUpright) s
{proof}

```

```

definition InvGlobalInfoAtBetaUpright where
InvGlobalInfoAtBetaUpright c =  $(\forall k p q. \text{beta-upright}(\text{InfoAt } c k p q) (\text{GlobalIncomingInfo } c (k+1) p q))$ 

```

```

lemma finite-induct-select [consumes 1, case-names empty select]:
assumes finite S
and      empty: P {}
and      select:  $\bigwedge T. \text{finite } T \implies T \subset S \implies P T \implies \exists s \in S - T. P (\text{insert } s T)$ 
shows   P S
{proof}

```

```

lemma predicate-sum-decompose:
fixes f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b :: ab-group-add)
assumes finite X
and      x  $\in$  X
and      A (f x)
and       $\forall Z. B (\text{sum } f Z)$ 
and       $\bigwedge x Z. A (f x) \implies B (\text{sum } f Z) \implies A (f x + \text{sum } f Z)$ 
and       $\bigwedge x Z. B (f x) \implies A (\text{sum } f Z) \implies A (f x + \text{sum } f Z)$ 
shows   A ( $\sum x \in X. f x$ )
{proof}

```

```

lemma invs-imp-InvGlobalInfoAtBetaUpright:
assumes holds InvInfoAtBetaUpright s
and      holds InvGlobalIncomingInfoUpright s
and      holds InvIncomingInfoUpright s
shows   holds InvGlobalInfoAtBetaUpright s

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-InvGlobalInfoAtBetaUpright*: *spec s*  $\implies$  *alw (holds InvGlobalInfoAtBetaUpright) s*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *SafeStickyGlobVacantUpto* :: (*'p :: finite, 't :: order*) *computation*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool where*

*SafeStickyGlobVacantUpto s* =  $(\forall q t. \text{GlobVacantUpto}(\text{shd } s) q t \longrightarrow \text{alw}(\text{holds}(\lambda c. \text{GlobVacantUpto } c q t)) s)$

**lemma** *gvut1*:

*GlobVacantUpto(shd s) q t*  $\implies$  *next-performop s*  $\implies$  *GlobVacantUpto(shd(stl s)) q t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gvut2*:

*GlobVacantUpto(shd s) q t*  $\implies$  *next-sendupd s*  $\implies$  *GlobVacantUpto(shd(stl s)) q t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gvut3*:

**assumes**

*gvu: GlobVacantUpto(shd s) q t and*  
*igvuin: InvGlobVacantUptoImpliesNrec(shd s) and*  
*igrc: InvGlobalRecordCount(shd s) and*  
*igiiu: InvGlobalIncomingInfoUpright(shd s) and*  
*igiabu: InvGlobalInfoAtBetaUpright(shd s) and*  
*next: next-recvupd s*

**shows** *GlobVacantUpto(shd(stl s)) q t*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *spec-imp-SafeStickyGlobVacantUpto-aux*:

**assumes**

*alw(holds(\lambda c. InvGlobVacantUptoImpliesNrec c)) s and*  
*alw(holds(\lambda c. InvGlobalRecordCount c)) s and*  
*alw(holds(\lambda c. InvGlobalIncomingInfoUpright c)) s and*  
*alw(holds(\lambda c. InvGlobalInfoAtBetaUpright c)) s and*  
*alw next s*

**shows** *alw SafeStickyGlobVacantUpto s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *spec-imp-SafeStickyGlobVacantUpto*: *spec s*  $\implies$  *alw SafeStickyGlobVacantUpto s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *SafeGlobMono* **where**

*SafeGlobMono c0 c1* =  $(\forall p t. \text{GlobVacantUpto } c0 p t \longrightarrow \text{GlobVacantUpto } c1 p t)$

**lemma** *alw-SafeGlobMono*: *spec s*  $\implies$  *alw (relates SafeGlobMono) s*  
*{proof}*

## 4 Exchange Protocol

### 4.1 Specification

```
record ('p, 't) configuration =
  c-temp :: 'p  $\Rightarrow$  't zmultiset
  c-msg :: 'p  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  't zmultiset list
  c-glob :: 'p  $\Rightarrow$  't zmultiset
  c-caps :: 'p  $\Rightarrow$  't zmultiset
  c-data-msg :: ('p  $\times$  't) multiset
```

Description of the configuration: *c-msg c p q* global, all progress messages currently in-flight from p to q *c-data-msg c* global, capabilities carried by in-flight data messages *c-temp c p* local, aggregated progress updates of worker p that haven't been sent yet *c-glob c p* local, worker p's conservative approximation of all capabilities in the system *c-caps c p* local, worker p's capabilities

global = state of the whole system to which no worker has access  
local = state that is kept locally by each worker and which it can access

**type-synonym** ('p, 't) computation = ('p, 't) configuration stream

**context** *order begin*

**abbreviation** timestamps *M*  $\equiv$  {# *t*. (*x,t*)  $\in$  #z *M* #}

**definition** vacant-upto :: 'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*vacant-upto a t*  $\equiv$  ( $\forall s$ . *s*  $\leq$  *t*  $\longrightarrow$  zcount *a s* = 0)

**definition** nonpos-upto :: 'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*nonpos-upto a t*  $\equiv$  ( $\forall s$ . *s*  $\leq$  *t*  $\longrightarrow$  zcount *a s*  $\leq$  0)

**definition** supported :: 'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*supported a t*  $\equiv$  ( $\exists s$ . *s*  $<$  *t*  $\wedge$  zcount *a s* < 0)

**definition** supported-strong :: 'a zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*supported-strong a t*  $\equiv$  ( $\exists s$ . *s*  $<$  *t*  $\wedge$  zcount *a s* < 0  $\wedge$  nonpos-upto *a s*)

**definition** justified **where**  
*justified C M*  $=$  ( $\forall t$ . 0 < zcount *M t*  $\longrightarrow$  supported *M t*  $\vee$  ( $\exists t' < t$ . 0 < zcount *C t'*)  $\vee$  zcount *M t* < zcount *C t*)

**lemma** justified-alt:

*justified C M*  $=$  ( $\forall t$ . 0 < zcount *M t*  $\longrightarrow$  supported-strong *M t*  $\vee$  ( $\exists t' < t$ . 0 < zcount *C t'*)  $\vee$  zcount *M t* < zcount *C t*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *justified-with* **where**

*justified-with*  $C M N =$

$$(\forall t. 0 < zcount M t \longrightarrow (\exists s < t. (zcount M s < 0 \vee zcount N s < 0)) \vee (\exists s < t. 0 < zcount C s) \vee zcount (M+N) t < zcount C t)$$

**lemma** *justified-with-alt*: *justified-with*  $C M N =$

$(\forall t. 0 < zcount M t \longrightarrow$

$$(\exists s < t. (zcount M s < 0 \vee zcount N s < 0) \wedge (\forall s' < s. zcount M s' \leq 0)) \vee (\exists s < t. 0 < zcount C s) \vee zcount (M+N) t < zcount C t)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *PositiveImplies* **where**

*PositiveImplies*  $v w \equiv \forall t. zcount v t > 0 \longrightarrow zcount w t > 0$

— A worker can mint capabilities greater or equal to any owned capability

**definition** *minting-self* **where**

*minting-self*  $C M = (\forall t \in \#M. \exists t' \leq t. 0 < zcount C t')$

— Sending messages mints a capability at a strictly greater pointstamp

**definition** *minting-msg* **where**

*minting-msg*  $C M = (\forall (p,t) \in \#M. \exists t' < t. 0 < zcount C t')$

**definition** *records* **where**

*records*  $c = (\sum p \in UNIV. c\text{-caps } c p) + \text{timestamps} (\text{zmset-of } (c\text{-data-msg } c))$

**definition** *InfoAt* **where**

*InfoAt*  $c k p q = (\text{if } 0 \leq k \wedge k < \text{length } (c\text{-msg } c p q) \text{ then } (c\text{-msg } c p q) ! k \text{ else } \{\#\}_z)$

**definition** *IncomingInfo* ::  $('p, 'a)$  *configuration*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*  $\Rightarrow$   $'p \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'a$  *zmultiset* **where**

*IncomingInfo*  $c k p q \equiv \text{sum-list} (\text{drop } k (c\text{-msg } c p q)) + c\text{-temp } c p$

**definition** *GlobalIncomingInfo* ::  $('p :: \text{finite}, 'a)$  *configuration*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*  $\Rightarrow$   $'p \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'a$  *zmultiset* **where**

*GlobalIncomingInfo*  $c k p q \equiv \sum p' \in UNIV. \text{IncomingInfo } c (\text{if } p' = p \text{ then } k \text{ else } 0) p' q$

**abbreviation** *GlobalIncomingInfoAt* **where**

*GlobalIncomingInfoAt*  $c q \equiv \text{GlobalIncomingInfo } c 0 q q$

**definition** *init-config* ::  $('p :: \text{finite}, 'a)$  *configuration*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**

*init-config*  $c \equiv$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall p. \ c\text{-temp } c \ p = \{\#\}_z) \wedge \\
& (\forall p1 \ p2. \ c\text{-msg } c \ p1 \ p2 = []) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{— Capabilities have non-negative multiplicities} \\
& (\forall p \ t. \ 0 \leq zcount(c\text{-caps } c \ p) \ t) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{— The pointstamps in glob are exactly those in } records \\
& (\forall p. \ c\text{-glob } c \ p = records \ c) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{— All capabilities are being tracked} \\
& c\text{-data-msg } c = \{\#\}
\end{aligned}$$

**definition**  $next\text{-recvcap}' :: ('p :: finite, 'a) configuration \Rightarrow ('p, 'a) configuration$   
 $\Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $next\text{-recvcap}' c0 \ c1 \ p \ t = ($   
 $(p, t) \in \# c\text{-data-msg } c0$   
 $\wedge c1 = c0 \parallel c\text{-caps} := (c\text{-caps } c0)(p := c\text{-caps } c0 \ p + \{\#t\#\}_z),$   
 $c\text{-data-msg} := c\text{-data-msg } c0 - \{(p, t)\}\parallel)$

**abbreviation**  $next\text{-recvcap}$  **where**  
 $next\text{-recvcap } c0 \ c1 \equiv \exists p \ t. \ next\text{-recvcap}' c0 \ c1 \ p \ t$

Can minting of capabilities be described as a refinement of the Abadi model?  
Short answer: No, not in general. Long answer: Could slightly modify Abadi model, such that a capability always comes with a multiplicity  $2^{64}$  (or similar, could be parametrized over arbitrarily large constant). In that case minting new capabilities can be described as an upright change, dropping one of the capabilities, to make the change upright. This only works as long as no capability is required more than the constant number of times.  
Issues: - Not fully general, due to the arbitrary constant - Not clear whether refinement proofs would be easier than simply altering the model to support the operations

Rationale for the condition on  $c\text{-caps } c0 \ p$ : In Abadi, the operation  $next\text{-performop}'$  has the premise  $\forall t. \ int(count \Delta neg \ t) \leq zcount(records \ c0) \ t$ , (records corresponds to the global field  $nrec$  in that model) which means the processor performing the transition must verify that this condition is met. Since  $records \ c$  is "global" state, which no processor can know, an implementation of this protocol has to include some other protocol or reasoning for when it is safe to do this transition.

Naively using a processor's  $c\text{-glob } c \ p$  to approximate  $records \ c$  and justify transitions can cause a race condition, where a processor drops a pointstamp, e.g.,  $\Delta neg = \{\#t\#\}$ , after which  $zcount(records \ c) \ t = 0$  but other processors might still use the pointstamp to justify the creation of pointstamps that violate the safety property.

Instead we model ownership of pointstamps, calling "owned pointstamps" **capabilities**, which are tracked in  $c\text{-caps } c$ . In place of  $nrec$  we define  $records \ c$ , which is the sum of all capabilities, as well as  $c\text{-data-msg } c$ , which contains

the capabilities carried by data messages. Since  $\forall p \ t. \ zcount(c\text{-}caps \ c \ p) \leq zcount(records \ c) \ t$ , our condition  $\forall t. \ int(count \ \Delta neg \ t) \leq zcount(c\text{-}caps \ c0 \ p) \ t$  implies the one on *nrec* in Abadi's model.

Conditions in *performop*:

The *performop* transition takes three msets of pointstamps,  $\Delta neg$ ,  $\Delta mint-msg$ , and  $\Delta mint-self$ .  $\Delta neg$  contains dropped capabilities (a subset of *c-caps*).  $\Delta mint-msg$  contains pairs  $(p, t)$ , where a data message is sent (i.e. capability added to the pool), creating a capability at  $t$ , owned by  $p$ .  $\Delta mint-self$  contains pointstamps minted and owned by worker  $p$ .

$\Delta neg$  in combination with  $\Delta mint-msg$  also allows any upright updates to be made as in the Abadi model, meaning this definition allows strictly more behaviors.

The  $\Delta mint-msg \neq \{\#\} \vee zmset-of \Delta mint-self - zmset-of \Delta neg \neq \{\#\}_z$  condition ensures that no-ops aren't possible. However, it's still possible that the combined  $\Delta$  is empty. E.g. a processor has capabilities 1 and 2, uses cap 1 to send a message, minting capability 2. Simultaneously it drops a capability 2 (for unrelated reasons), cancelling out the overall change but shifting a capability to the pool, possibly with a different owner than itself.

**definition** *next-performop'* :: ('p::finite, 'a) configuration  $\Rightarrow$  ('p, 'a) configuration  
 $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  ('p  $\times$  'a) multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*next-performop'*  $c0 \ c1 \ p \ \Delta neg \ \Delta mint-msg \ \Delta mint-self =$

—  $\Delta pos$  contains all positive changes,  $\Delta$  the combined positive and negative changes

(let  $\Delta pos = timestamps(zmset-of \ \Delta mint-msg) + zmset-of \ \Delta mint-self;$   
 $\Delta = \Delta pos - zmset-of \ \Delta neg$   
*in*  
 $(\Delta mint-msg \neq \{\#\} \vee zmset-of \ \Delta mint-self - zmset-of \ \Delta neg \neq \{\#\}_z)$   
 $\wedge (\forall t. \ int(count \ \Delta neg \ t) \leq zcount(c\text{-}caps \ c0 \ p) \ t)$   
 — Pointstamps added in  $\Delta mint-self$  are minted at  $p$   
 $\wedge minting-self(c\text{-}caps \ c0 \ p) \ \Delta mint-self$   
 — Pointstamps added in  $\Delta mint-msg$  correspond to sent data messages  
 $\wedge minting-msg(c\text{-}caps \ c0 \ p) \ \Delta mint-msg$   
 — Worker immediately knows about dropped and minted capabilities  
 $\wedge c1 = c0 \parallel c\text{-}caps := (c\text{-}caps \ c0)(p := c\text{-}caps \ c0 \ p + zmset-of \ \Delta mint-self - zmset-of \ \Delta neg),$

— Sending a data message creates a capability, once that message arrives. This is modelled as a pool of capabilities that may (will) appear at processors at some point.

$c\text{-}data-msg := c\text{-}data-msg \ c0 + \Delta mint-msg,$   
 $c\text{-}temp := (c\text{-}temp \ c0)(p := c\text{-}temp \ c0 \ p + \Delta))$

**abbreviation** *next-performop* **where**

*next-performop*  $c0 \ c1 \equiv (\exists p \ \Delta neg \ \Delta mint-msg \ \Delta mint-self. \ next-performop' \ c0 \ c1$   
 $p \ \Delta neg \ \Delta mint-msg \ \Delta mint-self)$

**definition** *next-sendupd'* :: ('p::finite, 'a) configuration  $\Rightarrow$  ('p, 'a) configuration  $\Rightarrow$

```

'p ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool where
  next-sendupd' c0 c1 p tt =
    (let  $\gamma = \{\#t \in \#_z c\text{-temp } c0 p. t \in tt\#\}$  in
       $\gamma \neq 0$ 
       $\wedge \text{justified } (c\text{-caps } c0 p) (c\text{-temp } c0 p - \gamma)$ 
       $\wedge c1 = c0(\langle c\text{-msg} := (c\text{-msg } c0)(p := \lambda q. c\text{-msg } c0 p q @ [\gamma]),$ 
       $c\text{-temp} := (c\text{-temp } c0)(p := c\text{-temp } c0 p - \gamma)\rangle)$ 

```

**abbreviation** *next-sendupd* **where**  
 $\text{next-sendupd } c0 c1 \equiv (\exists p tt. \text{next-sendupd}' c0 c1 p tt)$

**definition** *next-recvupd'* :: ('*p*::finite, '*a*) configuration ⇒ ('*p*, '*a*) configuration ⇒ '*p* ⇒ '*p* ⇒ bool **where**  
 $\text{next-recvupd}' c0 c1 p q \equiv$   
 $c\text{-msg } c0 p q \neq []$   
 $\wedge c1 = c0(\langle c\text{-msg} := (c\text{-msg } c0)(p := (c\text{-msg } c0 p)(q := tl (c\text{-msg } c0 p q))),$   
 $c\text{-glob} := (c\text{-glob } c0)(q := c\text{-glob } c0 q + hd (c\text{-msg } c0 p q))\rangle)$

**abbreviation** *next-recvupd* **where**  
 $\text{next-recvupd } c0 c1 \equiv (\exists p q. \text{next-recvupd}' c0 c1 p q)$

**definition** *next'* **where**  
 $\text{next}' c0 c1 = (\text{next-performop } c0 c1 \vee \text{next-sendupd } c0 c1 \vee \text{next-recvupd } c0 c1$   
 $\vee \text{next-recvcap } c0 c1 \vee c1 = c0)$

**abbreviation** *next* **where**  
 $\text{next } s \equiv \text{next}' (\text{shd } s) (\text{shd } (\text{stl } s))$

**definition** *spec* :: ('*p*::finite, '*a*) computation ⇒ bool **where**  
 $\text{spec } s \equiv \text{holds init-config } s \wedge \text{alw next } s$

**abbreviation** *GlobVacantUpto* **where**  
 $\text{GlobVacantUpto } c q t \equiv \text{vacant-upto } (c\text{-glob } c q) t$

**abbreviation** *GlobNonposUpto* **where**  
 $\text{GlobNonposUpto } c q t \equiv \text{nonpos-upto } (c\text{-glob } c q) t$

**abbreviation** *RecordsVacantUpto* **where**  
 $\text{RecordsVacantUpto } c t \equiv \text{vacant-upto } (\text{records } c) t$

**definition** *SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec* :: ('*p*::finite, '*a*) computation ⇒ bool **where**  
 $\text{SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec } s =$   
 $(\text{let } c = \text{shd } s \text{ in } \forall t q. \text{GlobVacantUpto } c q t \longrightarrow \text{alw } (\text{holds } (\lambda c. \text{RecordsVacantUpto } c t)) s)$

## 4.2 Auxiliary Lemmas

**lemma** *finite-induct-select* [consumes 1, case-names empty select]:

```

assumes finite S
and empty: P {}
and select:  $\bigwedge T. \text{finite } T \implies T \subset S \implies P T \implies \exists s \in S - T. P (\text{insert } s T)$ 
shows P S
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-induct-decompose-sum:
fixes f :: 'c ⇒ ('b :: comm-monoid-add)
assumes finite X
and x ∈ X
and A (f x)
and ∀ Z. B (sum f Z)
and  $\bigwedge x Z. A (f x) \implies B (\text{sum } f Z) \implies A (f x + \text{sum } f Z)$ 
and  $\bigwedge x Z. B (f x) \implies A (\text{sum } f Z) \implies A (f x + \text{sum } f Z)$ 
shows A ( $\sum_{x \in X} f x$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma minting-msg-add-records: minting-msg C1 M ⇒ ∀ t. 0 ≤ zcount C2 t ⇒
minting-msg (C1+C2) M
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-less: (a::int) < c ⇒ b ≤ 0 ⇒ a + b < c
⟨proof⟩

lemma disj3-split: P ∨ Q ∨ R ⇒ (P ⇒ thesis) ⇒ (¬ P ∧ Q ⇒ thesis) ⇒
(¬ P ⇒ ¬ Q ⇒ R ⇒ thesis) ⇒ thesis
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-zmset-conclude-predicate: 0 < zcount {# x ∈ #z M. P x #} x ⇒ 0
< zcount M x ⇒ P x
⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-holds2: alw (holds P) ss = (P (shd ss) ∧ alw (holds P) (stl ss))
⟨proof⟩

lemma zmset-of-remove1-mset: x ∈ # M ⇒ zmset-of (remove1-mset x M) =
zmset-of M - {#x#}z
⟨proof⟩

lemma timestamps-zmset-of-pair-image[simp]: timestamps (zmset-of {# (c,t). t
∈ # M #}) = zmset-of M
⟨proof⟩

lemma timestamps-image-zmset-fst[simp]: timestamps {# (f x, t). (x, t) ∈ #z M
#} = timestamps M
⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-invariant-to-spec:
assumes ( $\bigwedge c. \text{init-config } c \implies P c$ )

```

**and**  $(\bigwedge s. \text{holds } P s \implies \text{next } s \implies \text{nxt}(\text{holds } P) s)$   
**shows**  $\text{spec } s \implies \text{alw}(\text{holds } P) s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *timestamps-sum-distrib[simp]*:  $(\sum p \in A. \text{timestamps}(f p)) = \text{timestamps}(\sum p \in A. f p)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *timestamps-zmset-of[simp]*:  $\text{timestamps}(\text{zmset-of } M) = \text{zmset-of}\{\# t. (p, t) \in \# M\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vacant-up-to-add*:  $\text{vacant-up-to } a t \implies \text{vacant-up-to } b t \implies \text{vacant-up-to } (a+b) t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nonpos-up-to-add*:  $\text{nonpos-up-to } a t \implies \text{nonpos-up-to } b t \implies \text{nonpos-up-to } (a+b) t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nonzero-lt-gtD*:  $(n :: \text{linorder}) \neq 0 \implies 0 < n \vee n < 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-lt-diff*:  $(0 :: \text{int}) < a - b \implies b \geq 0 \implies 0 < a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-lt-add-disj*:  $0 < (a :: \text{int}) + b \implies 0 \leq a \implies 0 \leq b \implies 0 < a \vee 0 < b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 4.2.1 Transition lemmas

**lemma** *next-performopD*:  
**assumes** *next-performop' c0 c1 p Δneg Δmint-msg Δmint-self*  
**shows**  
 $\Delta\text{mint-msg} \neq \{\#\} \vee \text{zmset-of } \Delta\text{mint-self} - \text{zmset-of } \Delta\text{neg} \neq \{\#\}_z$   
 $\forall t. \text{int}(\text{count } \Delta\text{neg } t) \leq \text{zcount}(\text{c-caps } c0 p) t$   
 $\text{minting-self } (\text{c-caps } c0 p) \Delta\text{mint-self}$   
 $\text{minting-msg } (\text{c-caps } c0 p) \Delta\text{mint-msg}$   
 $\text{c-temp } c1 = (\text{c-temp } c0)(p := \text{c-temp } c0 p + (\text{timestamps}(\text{zmset-of } \Delta\text{mint-msg}))$   
 $+ \text{zmset-of } \Delta\text{mint-self} - \text{zmset-of } \Delta\text{neg}))$   
 $\text{c-msg } c1 = \text{c-msg } c0$   
 $\text{c-glob } c1 = \text{c-glob } c0$   
 $\text{c-data-msg } c1 = \text{c-data-msg } c0 + \Delta\text{mint-msg}$   
 $\text{c-caps } c1 = (\text{c-caps } c0)(p := \text{c-caps } c0 p + (\text{zmset-of } \Delta\text{mint-self} - \text{zmset-of } \Delta\text{neg}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *next-performop-complexD*:  
**assumes** *next-performop' c0 c1 p Δneg Δmint-msg Δmint-self*

**shows**

records  $c1 = records\ c0 + (timestamps\ (zmset-of\ \Delta mint-msg) + zmset-of\ \Delta mint-self - zmset-of\ \Delta neg)$

$GlobalIncomingInfoAt\ c1\ q = GlobalIncomingInfoAt\ c0\ q + (timestamps\ (zmset-of\ \Delta mint-msg) + zmset-of\ \Delta mint-self - zmset-of\ \Delta neg)$

$IncomingInfo\ c1\ k\ p'\ q = (if\ p' = p$

$then\ IncomingInfo\ c0\ k\ p'\ q + (timestamps\ (zmset-of\ \Delta mint-msg) + zmset-of\ \Delta mint-self - zmset-of\ \Delta neg)$

$else\ IncomingInfo\ c0\ k\ p'\ q)$

$\forall t' < t. zcount\ (c-caps\ c0\ p)\ t' = 0 \implies zcount\ (timestamps\ (zmset-of\ \Delta mint-msg))$

$t = 0$

$InfoAt\ c1\ k\ p'\ q = InfoAt\ c0\ k\ p'\ q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-sendupdD*:

**assumes** *next-sendupd'*  $c0\ c1\ p\ tt$

**shows**

$\{\#t \in \#_z c-temp\ c0\ p. t \in tt\# \} \neq \{\# \}_z$

$justified\ (c-caps\ c0\ p) (c-temp\ c0\ p - \{\#t \in \#_z c-temp\ c0\ p. t \in tt\# \})$

$c-temp\ c1\ p' = (if\ p' = p\ then\ c-temp\ c0\ p - \{\#t \in \#_z c-temp\ c0\ p. t \in tt\# \}$

$else\ c-temp\ c0\ p')$

$c-msg\ c1 = (\lambda p'. q. if\ p' = p\ then\ c-msg\ c0\ p\ q @ [\{\#t \in \#_z c-temp\ c0\ p. t \in tt\# \}] \ else\ c-msg\ c0\ p'\ q)$

$c-glob\ c1 = c-glob\ c0$

$c-caps\ c1 = c-caps\ c0$

$c-data-msg\ c1 = c-data-msg\ c0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-sendupd-complexD*:

**assumes** *next-sendupd'*  $c0\ c1\ p\ tt$

**shows**

$records\ c1 = records\ c0$

$IncomingInfo\ c1\ 0 = IncomingInfo\ c0\ 0$

$IncomingInfo\ c1\ k\ p'\ q = (if\ p' = p \wedge length\ (c-msg\ c0\ p\ q) < k$

$then\ IncomingInfo\ c0\ k\ p'\ q - \{\#t \in \#_z c-temp\ c0\ p'. t \in tt\# \}$

$else\ IncomingInfo\ c0\ k\ p'\ q)$

$k \leq length\ (c-msg\ c0\ p\ q) \implies IncomingInfo\ c1\ k\ p'\ q = IncomingInfo\ c0\ k\ p'\ q$

$length\ (c-msg\ c0\ p\ q) < k \implies$

$IncomingInfo\ c1\ k\ p'\ q = (if\ p' = p$

$then\ IncomingInfo\ c0\ k\ p'\ q - \{\#t \in \#_z c-temp\ c0\ p'. t \in tt\# \}$

$else\ IncomingInfo\ c0\ k\ p'\ q)$

$GlobalIncomingInfoAt\ c1\ q = GlobalIncomingInfoAt\ c0\ q$

$InfoAt\ c1\ k\ p'\ q = (if\ p' = p \wedge k = length\ (c-msg\ c0\ p\ q) \ then\ \{\#t \in \#_z c-temp\ c0\ p'. t \in tt\# \} \ else\ InfoAt\ c0\ k\ p'\ q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-recvupdD*:

```

assumes next-recvupd' c0 c1 p q
shows
  c-msg c0 p q ≠ []
  c-temp c1 = c-temp c0
  c-msg c1 = (λp' q'. if p' = p ∧ q' = q then tl (c-msg c0 p q) else c-msg c0 p' q')
  c-glob c1 = (c-glob c0)(q := c-glob c0 q + hd (c-msg c0 p q))
  c-caps c1 = c-caps c0
  c-data-msg c1 = c-data-msg c0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma next-recvupd-complexD:
assumes next-recvupd' c0 c1 p q
shows
  records c1 = records c0
  IncomingInfo c1 0 p' q' = (if p' = p ∧ q' = q then IncomingInfo c0 0 p' q' –
    hd (c-msg c0 p q) else IncomingInfo c0 0 p' q')
  IncomingInfo c1 k p' q' = (if p' = p ∧ q' = q
    then IncomingInfo c0 (k+1) p' q'
    else IncomingInfo c0 k p' q')
  GlobalIncomingInfoAt c1 q' = (if q' = q then GlobalIncomingInfoAt c0 q' –
    hd (c-msg c0 p q) else GlobalIncomingInfoAt c0 q')
  InfoAt c1 k p q = InfoAt c0 (k+1) p q
  InfoAt c1 k p' q' = (if p' = p ∧ q' = q then InfoAt c0 (k+1) p q else InfoAt c0
    k p' q')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma next-recvcapD:
assumes next-recvcap' c0 c1 p t
shows
  (p,t) ∈ # c-data-msg c0
  c-temp c1 = c-temp c0
  c-msg c1 = c-msg c0
  c-glob c1 = c-glob c0
  c-caps c1 = (c-caps c0)(p := c-caps c0 p + {#t#}z)
  c-data-msg c1 = c-data-msg c0 – {#(p,t)#}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma next-recvcap-complexD:
assumes next-recvcap' c0 c1 p t
shows
  records c1 = records c0
  IncomingInfo c1 = IncomingInfo c0
  GlobalIncomingInfo c1 = GlobalIncomingInfo c0
  InfoAt c1 k p' q = InfoAt c0 k p' q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ex-next-recvupd:
assumes c-msg c0 p q ≠ []
shows ∃ c1. next-recvupd' c0 c1 p q

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.2.2 Facts about *justified*'ness

**lemma** *justified-empty*[simp]: *justified*  $\{\#\}_z \{\#\}_z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

It's sufficient to show *justified* for least pointstamps in M.

**lemma** *justified-leastI*:

**assumes**  $\forall t. 0 < zcount M t \rightarrow (\forall t' < t. zcount M t' \leq 0) \rightarrow supported-strong M t \vee (\exists t' < t. 0 < zcount C t') \vee (zcount M t < zcount C t)$   
**shows** *justified*  $C M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-add*:

**assumes** *justified*  $C1 M1$   
**and** *justified*  $C2 M2$   
**and**  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C1 t$   
**and**  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C2 t$   
**shows** *justified*  $(C1 + C2) (M1 + M2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-sum*:

**assumes**  $\forall p \in P. justified (f p) (g p)$   
**and**  $\forall p \in P. \forall t. 0 \leq zcount (f p) t$   
**shows** *justified*  $(\sum p \in P. f p) (\sum p \in P. g p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-add-records*:

**assumes** *justified*  $C M$   
**and**  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C' t$   
**shows** *justified*  $(C + C') M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-add-zmset-records*:

**assumes** *justified*  $C M$   
**shows** *justified*  $(add-zmset t C) M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-diff*:

**assumes** *justified*  $C M$   
**and**  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C t$   
**and**  $\forall t. count \Delta t \leq zcount C t$   
**shows** *justified*  $(C - zmset-of \Delta) (M - zmset-of \Delta)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-add-msg-delta*:

**assumes** *justified*  $C M$   
**and** *minting-msg*  $C \Delta$

**and**  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C t$   
**shows** *justified*  $C (M + timestamps (zmset-of \Delta))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-add-same*:  
**assumes** *justified*  $C M$   
**and** *minting-self*  $C \Delta$   
**and**  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C t$   
**shows** *justified*  $(C + zmset-of \Delta) (M + zmset-of \Delta)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.2.3 Facts about *justified-with'*ness

**lemma** *justified-with-add-records*:  
**assumes** *justified-with*  $C1 M N$   
**and**  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C2 t$   
**shows** *justified-with*  $(C1+C2) M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-with-leastI*:  
**assumes**  
 $(\forall t. 0 < zcount M t \longrightarrow (\forall t' < t. zcount M t' \leq 0)) \longrightarrow$   
 $(\exists s < t. (zcount M s < 0 \vee zcount N s < 0) \wedge (\forall s' < s. zcount M s' \leq 0)) \vee$   
 $(\exists s < t. 0 < zcount C s) \vee$   
 $zcount (M+N) t < zcount C t)$   
**shows** *justified-with*  $C M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-with-add*:  
**assumes** *justified-with*  $C1 M N1$   
**and** *justified*  $C1 N1$   
**and** *justified*  $C2 N2$   
**and**  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C1 t$   
**and**  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C2 t$   
**shows** *justified-with*  $(C1+C2) M (N1+N2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-with-sum'*:  
**assumes** *finite*  $X X \neq \{\}$   
**and**  $\forall x \in X. justified-with (C x) M (N x)$   
**and**  $\forall x \in X. justified (C x) (N x)$   
**and**  $\forall x \in X. \forall t. 0 \leq zcount (C x) t$   
**shows** *justified-with*  $(\sum x \in X. C x) M (\sum x \in X. N x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *justified-with-sum*:  
**assumes** *finite*  $X X \neq \{\}$   
**and**  $x \in X$   
**and** *justified-with*  $(C x) M (N x)$

```

and  $\forall x \in X. justified(C x) (N x)$ 
and  $\forall x \in X. \forall t. 0 \leq zcount(C x) t$ 
shows justified-with  $(\sum x \in X. C x) M (\sum x \in X. N x)$ 
{proof}

lemma justified-with-add-same:
assumes justified-with  $C M N$ 
and  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C t$ 
shows justified-with  $(C + zmset-of \Delta) M (N + zmset-of \Delta)$ 
{proof}

lemma justified-with-add-msg-delta:
assumes justified-with  $C M N$ 
and minting-msg  $C \Delta$ 
and  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C t$ 
shows justified-with  $C M (N + timestamps(zmset-of \Delta))$ 
{proof}

lemma justified-with-diff:
assumes justified-with  $C M N$ 
and  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount C t$ 
and  $\forall t. count \Delta t \leq zcount C t$ 
and justified  $C N$ 
shows justified-with  $(C - zmset-of \Delta) M (N - zmset-of \Delta)$ 
{proof}

lemma PositiveImplies-justified-with:
assumes justified  $C (M+N)$ 
and PositiveImplies  $M (M+N)$ 
shows justified-with  $C M N$ 
{proof}

lemma justified-with-add-zmset[simp]:
assumes justified-with  $C M N$ 
shows justified-with  $(add-zmset c C) M N$ 
{proof}

lemma next-performop'-preserves-justified-with:
assumes justified-with  $(c\text{-caps } c0 p) M N$ 
and next-performop'  $c0 c1 p \Delta neg \Delta mint-msg \Delta mint-self$ 
and  $\forall t. 0 \leq zcount(c\text{-caps } c0 p) t$ 
and justified  $(c\text{-caps } c0 p) N$ 
shows justified-with  $(c\text{-caps } c0 p + zmset-of \Delta mint-self - zmset-of \Delta neg) M$ 
 $(N + zmset-of \Delta mint-self + timestamps(zmset-of \Delta mint-msg) - zmset-of \Delta neg)$ 
{proof}

```

## 4.3 Invariants

### 4.3.1 InvRecordCount

InvRecordCount states that for every processor, its local approximation  $c\text{-glob } c q$  and the sum of all incoming progress updates  $GlobalIncomingInfoAt c q$  together are equal to the sum of all capabilities in the system.

**definition** *InvRecordCount* **where**

*InvRecordCount*  $c \equiv \forall q. records c = GlobalIncomingInfoAt c q + c\text{-glob } c q$

**lemma** *init-config-implies-InvRecordCount*:  $init\text{-config } c \implies InvRecordCount c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *performop-preserves-InvRecordCount*:  
**assumes** *InvRecordCount*  $c0$   
**and**  $next\text{-performop}' c0 c1 p \Delta neg \Delta mint-msg \Delta mint-self$   
**shows** *InvRecordCount*  $c1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sendupd-preserves-InvRecordCount*:  
**assumes** *InvRecordCount*  $c0$   
**and**  $next\text{-sendupd}' c0 c1 p tt$   
**shows** *InvRecordCount*  $c1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *recvupd-preserves-InvRecordCount*:  
**assumes** *InvRecordCount*  $c0$   
**and**  $next\text{-recvupd}' c0 c1 p q$   
**shows** *InvRecordCount*  $c1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *recvcap-preserves-InvRecordCount*:  
**assumes** *InvRecordCount*  $c0$   
**and**  $next\text{-recvcap}' c0 c1 p t$   
**shows** *InvRecordCount*  $c1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-preserves-InvRecordCount*:  $InvRecordCount c0 \implies next' c0 c1 \implies InvRecordCount c1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-InvRecordCount*:  $spec s \implies alw (holds InvRecordCount) s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 4.3.2 InvCapsNonneg and InvRecordsNonneg

InvCapsNonneg states that elements in a processor's  $c\text{-caps } c p$  always have non-negative cardinality. InvRecordsNonneg lifts this result to  $records c$

**definition** *InvCapsNonneg* ::  $(p :: finite, 'a) configuration \Rightarrow bool$  **where**

*InvCapsNonneg*  $c = (\forall p t. 0 \leq zcount(c\text{-}caps\ c\ p)\ t)$

**definition** *InvRecordsNonneg* **where**

*InvRecordsNonneg*  $c = (\forall t. 0 \leq zcount(records\ c)\ t)$

**lemma** *init-config-implies-InvCapsNonneg*:  $\text{init-config}\ c \implies \text{InvCapsNonneg}\ c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *performop-preserves-InvCapsNonneg*:

**assumes** *InvCapsNonneg*  $c0$   
**and** *next-performop'*  $c0\ c1\ p\ \Delta_m\ \Delta_{p1}\ \Delta_{p2}$   
**shows** *InvCapsNonneg*  $c1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sendupd-performs-InvCapsNonneg*:

**assumes** *InvCapsNonneg*  $c0$   
**and** *next-sendupd'*  $c0\ c1\ p\ tt$   
**shows** *InvCapsNonneg*  $c1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *recvupd-preserves-InvCapsNonneg*:

**assumes** *InvCapsNonneg*  $c0$   
**and** *next-recvupd'*  $c0\ c1\ p\ q$   
**shows** *InvCapsNonneg*  $c1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *recvcap-preserves-InvCapsNonneg*:

**assumes** *InvCapsNonneg*  $c0$   
**and** *next-recvcap'*  $c0\ c1\ p\ t$   
**shows** *InvCapsNonneg*  $c1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-preserves-InvCapsNonneg*:  $\text{holds}\ InvCapsNonneg\ s \implies \text{next}\ s \implies \text{nxt}\ (\text{holds}\ InvCapsNonneg)\ s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-InvCapsNonneg*:  $\text{spec}\ s \implies \text{alw}\ (\text{holds}\ InvCapsNonneg)\ s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-InvRecordsNonneg*:  $\text{spec}\ s \implies \text{alw}\ (\text{holds}\ InvRecordsNonneg)\ s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.3.3 Resulting lemmas

**lemma** *pos-caps-pos-records*:

**assumes** *InvCapsNonneg*  $c$   
**shows**  $0 < zcount(c\text{-}caps\ c\ p)\ x \implies 0 < zcount(records\ c)\ x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.3.4 SafeRecordsMono

The records in the system are monotonic, i.e. once  $records\ c$  contains no records up to some timestamp  $t$ , then it will stay that way forever.

```

definition SafeRecordsMono :: ('p :: finite, 'a) computation ⇒ bool where
  SafeRecordsMono s = ( ∀ t. RecordsVacantUpto (shd s) t → alw (holds (λc.
    RecordsVacantUpto c t)) s)

lemma performop-preserves-RecordsVacantUpto:
  assumes RecordsVacantUpto c0 t
  and next-performop' c0 c1 p Δneg Δmint-msg Δmint-self
  and InvRecordsNonneg c1
  and InvCapsNonneg c0
  shows RecordsVacantUpto c1 t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma next'-preserves-RecordsVacantUpto:
  fixes c0 :: ('p::finite, 'a) configuration
  shows InvCapsNonneg c0 ⇒ InvRecordsNonneg c1 ⇒ RecordsVacantUpto c0
  t ⇒ next' c0 c1 ⇒ RecordsVacantUpto c1 t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-next-implies-alw-SafeRecordsMono:
  alw next s ⇒ alw (holds InvCapsNonneg) s ⇒ alw (holds InvRecordsNonneg)
  s ⇒ alw SafeRecordsMono s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-SafeRecordsMono: spec s ⇒ alw SafeRecordsMono s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 4.3.5 InvJustifiedII and InvJustifiedGII

These two invariants state that any net-positive change in the sum of incoming progress updates is "justified" by one of several statements being true.

```

definition InvJustifiedII where
  InvJustifiedII c = ( ∀ k p q. justified (c-caps c p) (IncomingInfo c k p q))

```

```

definition InvJustifiedGII where
  InvJustifiedGII c = ( ∀ k p q. justified (records c) (GlobalIncomingInfo c k p q))

```

Given some zmset  $M$  justified wrt to  $caps\ c0\ p$ , after a performop  $M + \Delta$  is justified wrt to  $c\text{-}caps\ c1\ p$ . This lemma captures the identical argument used for preservation of InvTempJustified and InvJustifiedII.

```

lemma next-performop'-preserves-justified:
  assumes justified (c-caps c0 p) M
  and next-performop' c0 c1 p Δneg Δmint-msg Δmint-self
  and InvCapsNonneg c0

```

```

shows justified (c-caps c1 p) (M + (timestamps (zmset-of Δmint-msg) +
zmset-of Δmint-self - zmset-of Δneg))
⟨proof⟩

lemma InvJustifiedII-implies-InvJustifiedGII:
assumes InvJustifiedII c
and InvCapsNonneg c
shows InvJustifiedGII c
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-config-implies-InvJustifiedII: init-config c  $\implies$  InvJustifiedII c
⟨proof⟩

lemma performop-preserves-InvJustifiedII:
assumes InvJustifiedII c0
and next-performop' c0 c1 p Δneg Δmint-msg Δmint-self
and InvCapsNonneg c0
shows InvJustifiedII c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma sendupd-preserves-InvJustifiedII:
assumes InvJustifiedII c0
and next-sendupd' c0 c1 p tt
shows InvJustifiedII c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma recvupd-preserves-InvJustifiedII:
assumes InvJustifiedII c0
and next-recvupd' c0 c1 p q
shows InvJustifiedII c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma recvcap-preserves-InvJustifiedII:
assumes InvJustifiedII c0
and next-reccvcap' c0 c1 p t
shows InvJustifiedII c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma next'-preserves-InvJustifiedII:
InvCapsNonneg c0  $\implies$  InvJustifiedII c0  $\implies$  next' c0 c1  $\implies$  InvJustifiedII c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-InvJustifiedII: spec s  $\implies$  alw (holds InvJustifiedII) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-InvJustifiedGII: spec s  $\implies$  alw (holds InvJustifiedGII) s
⟨proof⟩

```

#### 4.3.6 InvTempJustified

```

definition InvTempJustified where
  InvTempJustified c = ( $\forall p.$  justified (c-caps c p) (c-temp c p))

lemma init-config-implies-InvTempJustified: init-config c  $\implies$  InvTempJustified c
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma recvcap-preserves-InvTempJustified:
  assumes InvTempJustified c0
  and next-recvcap' c0 c1 p t
  shows InvTempJustified c1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma recvupd-preserves-InvTempJustified:
  assumes InvTempJustified c0
  and next-recvupd' c0 c1 p t
  shows InvTempJustified c1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sendupd-preserves-InvTempJustified:
  assumes InvTempJustified c0
  and next-sendupd' c0 c1 p tt
  shows InvTempJustified c1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma performop-preserves-InvTempJustified:
  assumes InvTempJustified c0
  and next-performop' c0 c1 p Δneg Δmint-msg Δmint-self
  and InvCapsNonneg c0
  shows InvTempJustified c1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma next'-preserves-InvTempJustified:
  InvCapsNonneg c0  $\implies$  InvTempJustified c0  $\implies$  next' c0 c1  $\implies$  InvTempJustified c1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma alw-InvTempJustified: spec s  $\implies$  alw (holds InvTempJustified) s
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

#### 4.3.7 InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos

InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos states that each processor's *c-glob*  $c q$  is a conservative approximation of *records*  $c$ .

```

definition InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos :: ('p :: finite, 'a) configuration  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos c = ( $\forall t q.$  nonpos-upto (c-glob c q) t  $\longrightarrow$  nonpos-upto (records c) t)

```

```

definition InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant :: ('p :: finite, 'a) configuration ⇒ bool
where
  InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant c = (forall t q. GlobVacantUpto c q t → RecordsVacantUpto c t)

lemma invs-imp-InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos:
  assumes InvJustifiedGII c
  and InvRecordCount c
  and InvRecordsNonneg c
  shows InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant is the one proved in the Abadi paper. We prove InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos, which implies this.

```

lemma invs-imp-InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant:
  assumes InvJustifiedGII c
  and InvRecordCount c
  and InvRecordsNonneg c
  shows InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma alw-InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos: spec s ⇒ alw (holds InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos) s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma alw-InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant: spec s ⇒ alw (holds InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant) s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 4.3.8 SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec

This is the main safety property proved in the Abadi paper.

```

lemma invs-imp-SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec:
  SafeRecordsMono s ⇒ holds InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant s ⇒ SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma alw-SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec:
  spec s ⇒ alw SafeGlobVacantUptoImpliesStickyNrec s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 4.3.9 InvGlobNonposEqVacant

The least pointstamps in glob are always positive, i.e. *nonpos-upto* and *vacant-upto* on glob are equivalent.

```

definition InvGlobNonposEqVacant where

```

*InvGlobNonposEqVacant*  $c = (\forall q t. \text{GlobVacantUpto } c q t = \text{GlobNonposUpto } c q t)$

```
lemma invs-imp-InvGlobNonposEqVacant:
assumes InvRecordCount c
and InvJustifiedGII c
and InvRecordsNonneg c
shows InvGlobNonposEqVacant c
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma alw-InvGlobNonposEqVacant: spec s ==> alw (holds InvGlobNonposEqVacant) s
⟨proof⟩
```

#### 4.3.10 InvInfoJustifiedWithII and InvInfoJustifiedWithGII

```
definition InvInfoJustifiedWithII where
InvInfoJustifiedWithII c = (λ k p q. justified-with (c-caps c p) (InfoAt c k p q)
(IncomingInfo c (k+1) p q))
```

```
definition InvInfoJustifiedWithGII where
InvInfoJustifiedWithGII c = (λ k p q. justified-with (records c) (InfoAt c k p q)
(GlobalIncomingInfo c (k+1) p q))
```

```
lemma init-config-implies-InvInfoJustifiedWithII: init-config c ==> InvInfoJustifiedWithII c
⟨proof⟩
```

This proof relies heavily on the addition properties summarized in the lemma  
 $\llbracket \text{justified-with} (c\text{-caps } ?c0.0 ?p) ?M ?N; \text{next-performop}' ?c0.0 ?c1.0 ?p ?Δneg ?Δmint-msg ?Δmint-self; \forall t. 0 \leq zcount (c\text{-caps } ?c0.0 ?p) t; \text{justified} (c\text{-caps } ?c0.0 ?p) ?N \rrbracket \implies \text{justified-with} (c\text{-caps } ?c0.0 ?p + zmset-of ?Δmint-self - zmset-of ?Δneg) ?M (?N + zmset-of ?Δmint-self + timestamps (zmset-of ?Δmint-msg) - zmset-of ?Δneg)$

```
lemma performop-preserves-InvInfoJustifiedWithII:
assumes InvInfoJustifiedWithII c0
and next-performop' c0 c1 p' Δneg Δmint-msg Δmint-self
and InvJustifiedII c0
and InvCapsNonneg c0
shows InvInfoJustifiedWithII c1
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sendupd-preserves-InvInfoJustifiedWithII:
assumes InvInfoJustifiedWithII c0
and next-sendupd' c0 c1 p' tt
and InvTempJustified c0
shows InvInfoJustifiedWithII c1
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma recvupd-preserves-InvInfoJustifiedWithII:
  assumes InvInfoJustifiedWithII c0
    and next-recvupd' c0 c1 p q
  shows InvInfoJustifiedWithII c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma recvcap-preserves-InvInfoJustifiedWithII:
  assumes InvInfoJustifiedWithII c0
    and next-recvcap' c0 c1 p t
  shows InvInfoJustifiedWithII c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma invs-imp-InvInfoJustifiedWithGII:
  assumes InvInfoJustifiedWithII c
    and InvJustifiedII c
    and InvCapsNonneg c
  shows InvInfoJustifiedWithGII c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma next'-preserves-InvInfoJustifiedWithII:
  assumes InvInfoJustifiedWithII c0
    and next' c0 c1
    and InvCapsNonneg c0
    and InvJustifiedII c0
    and InvTempJustified c0
  shows InvInfoJustifiedWithII c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-InvInfoJustifiedWithII: spec s ==> alw (holds InvInfoJustifiedWithII)
s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-InvInfoJustifiedWithGII: spec s ==> alw (holds InvInfoJustifiedWithGII)
s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 4.3.11 SafeGlobMono and InvMsgInGlob

The records in glob are monotonic. This implies the corollary InvMsgInGlob; No incoming message carries a timestamp change that would cause glob to regress.

```

definition SafeGlobMono where
  SafeGlobMono c0 c1 = ( $\forall p t. \text{GlobVacantUpto } c0 p t \longrightarrow \text{GlobVacantUpto } c1 p t$ )

definition InvMsgInGlob where
  InvMsgInGlob c = ( $\forall p q t. c\text{-msg } c p q \neq [] \longrightarrow t \in \#_z \text{hd } (c\text{-msg } c p q) \longrightarrow (\exists t' \leq t. 0 < \text{zcount } (c\text{-glob } c q) t')$ )

```

```

lemma not-InvMsgInGlob-imp-not-SafeGlobMono:
  assumes  $\neg \text{InvMsgInGlob } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvGlobNonposEqVacant } c0$ 
  shows  $\exists c1. \text{next-recvupd } c0 c1 \wedge \neg \text{SafeGlobMono } c0 c1$ 
  (proof)

lemma GII-eq-GIA:  $\text{GlobalIncomingInfo } c 1 p q = (\text{if } c\text{-msg } c p q = [] \text{ then}$ 
 $\text{GlobalIncomingInfoAt } c q \text{ else } \text{GlobalIncomingInfoAt } c q - \text{hd } (c\text{-msg } c p q))$ 
  (proof)

lemma recvupd-preserves-GlobVacantUpto:
  assumes  $\text{GlobVacantUpto } c0 q t$ 
    and  $\text{next-recvupd}' c0 c1 p q$ 
    and  $\text{InvInfoJustifiedWithGII } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvGlobNonposEqVacant } c1$ 
    and  $\text{InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvRecordCount } c0$ 
  shows  $\text{GlobVacantUpto } c1 q t$ 
  (proof)

lemma recvupd-imp-SafeGlobMono:
  assumes  $\text{next-recvupd}' c0 c1 p q$ 
    and  $\text{InvInfoJustifiedWithGII } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvGlobNonposEqVacant } c1$ 
    and  $\text{InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvRecordCount } c0$ 
  shows  $\text{SafeGlobMono } c0 c1$ 
  (proof)

lemma next'-imp-SafeGlobMono:
  assumes  $\text{next}' c0 c1$ 
    and  $\text{InvInfoJustifiedWithGII } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvGlobNonposEqVacant } c1$ 
    and  $\text{InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvRecordCount } c0$ 
  shows  $\text{SafeGlobMono } c0 c1$ 
  (proof)

lemma invs-imp-InvMsgInGlob:
  fixes  $c0 :: ('p::finite, 'a) \text{ configuration}$ 
  assumes  $\text{InvInfoJustifiedWithGII } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvGlobNonposEqVacant } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvRecordCount } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvJustifiedII } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvCapsNonneg } c0$ 
    and  $\text{InvRecordsNonneg } c0$ 
  shows  $\text{InvMsgInGlob } c0$ 
  (proof)

```

```

lemma alw-SafeGlobMono: spec s  $\implies$  alw (relates SafeGlobMono) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-InvMsgInGlob: spec s  $\implies$  alw (holds InvMsgInGlob) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma SafeGlobMono-preserves-vacant:
assumes  $\forall t' \leq t. \text{zcount}(\text{c-glob } c0 q) t' = 0$ 
and  $(\lambda c0 c1. \text{SafeGlobMono } c0 c1)^{**} c0 c1$ 
shows  $\forall t' \leq t. \text{zcount}(\text{c-glob } c1 q) t' = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-all-imp-rel:  $r^{**} x y \implies \forall a b. r a b \longrightarrow r' a b \implies r'^{**} x y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-rel-and-invar:  $r^{**} x y \implies Q x \implies \forall a b. Q a \wedge r a b \longrightarrow P a b$ 
 $\wedge Q b \implies (\lambda x y. P x y \wedge Q y)^{**} x y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-invar-conclude-last:  $(\lambda x y. P x y \wedge Q y)^{**} x y \implies Q x \implies Q y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma InvCapsNonneg-imp-InvRecordsNonneg: InvCapsNonneg c  $\implies$  InvRecordNonneg c
⟨proof⟩

lemma invs-imp-msg-in-glob:
fixes c :: ('p::finite, 'a) configuration
assumes M ∈ set (c-msg c p q)
and  $t \in \#_z M$ 
and InvGlobNonposEqVacant c
and InvJustifiedII c
and InvInfoJustifiedWithII c
and InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant c
and InvRecordCount c
and InvCapsNonneg c
and InvMsgInGlob c
shows  $\exists t' \leq t. 0 < \text{zcount}(\text{c-glob } c q) t'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-msg-glob: spec s  $\implies$ 
alw (holds  $(\lambda c. \forall p q t. (\exists M \in \text{set } (c\text{-msg } c p q). t \in \#_z M) \longrightarrow (\exists t' \leq t. 0 < \text{zcount}(\text{c-glob } c q) t')))$  s
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 5 Antichains

```

definition incomparable where
  incomparable A = ( $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. x \neq y \longrightarrow \neg x < y \wedge \neg y < x$ )

lemma incomparable-empty[simp, intro]: incomparable {}
  ⟨proof⟩

typedef (overloaded) 'a :: order antichain =
  {A :: 'a set. finite A  $\wedge$  incomparable A}
morphisms set-antichain antichain
  ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-antichain

lift-definition member-antichain :: 'a :: order  $\Rightarrow$  'a antichain  $\Rightarrow$  bool ((-/  $\in_A$  -)
  [51, 51] 50) is Set.member ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation not-member-antichain :: 'a :: order  $\Rightarrow$  'a antichain  $\Rightarrow$  bool ((-/  $\notin_A$  -
  -) [51, 51] 50) where
   $x \notin_A A \equiv \neg x \in_A A$ 

lift-definition empty-antichain :: 'a :: order antichain ({})A is {} ⟨proof⟩

lemma mem-antichain-nonempty[simp]: s  $\in_A$  A  $\implies$  A  $\neq$  {}A
  ⟨proof⟩

definition minimal-antichain A = {x  $\in$  A.  $\neg(\exists y \in A. y < x)$ }

lemma in-minimal-antichain: x  $\in$  minimal-antichain A  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\in$  A  $\wedge$   $\neg(\exists y \in A.$ 
   $y < x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-antichain-minimal-antichain[simp]: finite M  $\implies$  x  $\in_A$  antichain (minimal-antichain
  M)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\in$  minimal-antichain M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma incomparable-minimal-antichain[simp]: incomparable (minimal-antichain
  A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-minimal-antichain[simp]: finite A  $\implies$  finite (minimal-antichain A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-set-antichain[simp, intro]: finite (set-antichain A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minimal-antichain-subset: minimal-antichain A  $\subseteq$  A

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** *frontier* :: '*t* :: order zmultiset  $\Rightarrow$  '*t* antichain **is**  
 $\lambda M.$  minimal-antichain {*t*. zcount *M* *t* > 0}  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** member-frontier-pos-zmset: *t*  $\in_A$  frontier *M*  $\Rightarrow$  0 < zcount *M* *t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** frontier-comparable-False[simp]: *x*  $\in_A$  frontier *M*  $\Rightarrow$  *y*  $\in_A$  frontier *M*  $\Rightarrow$   
*x* < *y*  $\Rightarrow$  False  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** minimal-antichain-idempotent[simp]: minimal-antichain (minimal-antichain *A*) = minimal-antichain *A*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**instantiation** antichain :: (order) minus **begin**  
**lift-definition** minus-antichain :: '*a* antichain  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* antichain  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* antichain **is**  
(-)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
**instance**  $\langle proof \rangle$   
**end**

**instantiation** antichain :: (order) plus **begin**  
**lift-definition** plus-antichain :: '*a* antichain  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* antichain  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* antichain **is**  $\lambda M$   
*N*. minimal-antichain (*M*  $\cup$  *N*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
**instance**  $\langle proof \rangle$   
**end**

**lemma** antichain-add-commute: (*M* :: '*a* :: order antichain) + *N* = *N* + *M*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** filter-antichain :: ('*a* :: order  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* antichain  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* antichain  
**is** Set.filter  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**syntax** (ASCII)  
-ACCollect :: pttrn  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* :: order antichain  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* antichain ((1{- :*A* -./-}))

**syntax**

-ACCollect :: pttrn  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* :: order antichain  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* antichain ((1{- :*A* -./-}))

**translations**

{*x*  $\in_A$  *M*. *P*} == CONST filter-antichain ( $\lambda x.$  *P*) *M*

```

declare empty-antichain.rep-eq[simp]

lemma minimal-antichain-empty[simp]: minimal-antichain {} = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minimal-antichain-singleton[simp]: minimal-antichain {x::- ::order} = {x}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minimal-antichain-nonempty:
  finite A  $\implies$  (t::-::order)  $\in$  A  $\implies$  minimal-antichain A  $\neq$  {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minimal-antichain-member:
  finite A  $\implies$  (t::-::order)  $\in$  A  $\implies$   $\exists t'. t' \in \text{minimal-antichain } A \wedge t' \leq t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minimal-antichain-union: minimal-antichain ((A::(- :: order) set)  $\cup$  B)  $\subseteq$ 
  minimal-antichain (minimal-antichain A  $\cup$  minimal-antichain B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ac-Diff-iff: c  $\in_A$  A - B  $\longleftrightarrow$  c  $\in_A$  A  $\wedge$  c  $\notin_A$  B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ac-DiffD2: c  $\in_A$  A - B  $\implies$  c  $\in_A$  B  $\implies$  P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ac-notin-Diff:  $\neg x \in_A A - B \implies \neg x \in_A A \vee x \in_A B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ac-eq-iff: A = B  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x. x \in_A A \longleftrightarrow x \in_A B$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma antichain-obtain-foundation:
  assumes t  $\in_A M$ 
  obtains s where s  $\in_A M \wedge s \leq t \wedge (\forall u. u \in_A M \longrightarrow \neg u < s)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-antichain1[simp]: x  $\in$  set-antichain X  $\implies$  x  $\in_A X$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-antichain2[simp]: x  $\in_A X \implies x \in$  set-antichain X
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 6 Multigraphs with Partially Ordered Weights

**abbreviation** (input) FROM where  
 $FROM \equiv \lambda(s, l, t). s$

```

abbreviation (input) LBL where  

LBL  $\equiv \lambda(s, l, t). l$ 

abbreviation (input) TO where  

TO  $\equiv \lambda(s, l, t). t$ 

notation subseq (infix  $\preceq$  50)

locale graph =  

  fixes weights :: 'vtx :: finite  $\Rightarrow$  'vtx  $\Rightarrow$  'lbl :: {order, monoid-add} antichain  

  assumes zero-le[simp]:  $0 \leq (s :: 'lbl)$   

  and plus-mono:  $(s1 :: 'lbl) \leq s2 \Rightarrow s3 \leq s4 \Rightarrow s1 + s3 \leq s2 + s4$   

  and summary-self: weights loc loc =  $\{\}_A$   

begin

lemma le-plus:  $(s :: 'lbl) \leq s + s' (s' :: 'lbl) \leq s + s'$   

   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

## 6.1 Paths

```

inductive path :: 'vtx  $\Rightarrow$  'vtx  $\Rightarrow$  ('vtx  $\times$  'lbl  $\times$  'vtx) list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  

  path0:  $l1 = l2 \Rightarrow path\ l1\ l2\ []$   

  | path: path l1 l2 xs  $\Rightarrow$  lbl  $\in_A$  weights l2 l3  $\Rightarrow$  path l1 l3 (xs @ [(l2, lbl, l3)])  

  

inductive-cases path0E: path l1 l2 []  

inductive-cases path-AppendE: path l1 l3 (xs @ [(l2, s, l2')])  

  

lemma path-trans: path l1 l2 xs  $\Rightarrow$  path l2 l3 ys  $\Rightarrow$  path l1 l3 (xs @ ys)  

   $\langle proof \rangle$   

  

lemma path-take-from: path l1 l2 xs  $\Rightarrow$   $m < length\ xs \Rightarrow FROM\ (xs ! m) = l2'$   

 $\Rightarrow path\ l1\ l2'\ (take\ m\ xs)$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$   

  

lemma path-take-to: path l1 l2 xs  $\Rightarrow$   $m < length\ xs \Rightarrow TO\ (xs ! m) = l2' \Rightarrow$   

 $path\ l1\ l2'\ (take\ (m+1)\ xs)$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$   

  

lemma path-determines-loc: path l1 l2 xs  $\Rightarrow$  path l1 l3 xs  $\Rightarrow$  l2 = l3  

 $\langle proof \rangle$   

  

lemma path-first-loc: path loc loc' xs  $\Rightarrow$  xs  $\neq [] \Rightarrow FROM\ (xs ! 0) = loc$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$   

  

lemma path-to-eq-from: path loc1 loc2 xs  $\Rightarrow$   $i + 1 < length\ xs \Rightarrow FROM\ (xs ! (i+1)) = TO\ (xs ! i)$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$   

  

lemma path-singleton[intro, simp]:  $s \in_A weights\ l1\ l2 \Rightarrow path\ l1\ l2\ [(l1, s, l2)]$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *path-appendE*:  $path\ l1\ l3\ (xs @ ys) \implies \exists l2. path\ l2\ l3\ ys \wedge path\ l1\ l2\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *path-replace-prefix*:

$path\ l1\ l3\ (xs @ zs) \implies path\ l1\ l2\ ys \implies path\ l1\ l2\ xs \implies path\ l1\ l3\ (ys @ zs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *drop-subseq*:  $n \leq length\ xs \implies drop\ n\ xs \preceq xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *take-subseq[simp, intro]*:  $take\ n\ xs \preceq xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-take-subseq[simp, intro]*:  $map\ f\ (take\ n\ xs) \preceq map\ f\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *path-distinct*:

$path\ l1\ l2\ xs \implies \exists xs'. distinct\ xs' \wedge path\ l1\ l2\ xs' \wedge map\ LBL\ xs' \preceq map\ LBL\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *path-edge*:  $(l1', lbl, l2') \in set\ xs \implies path\ l1\ l2\ xs \implies lbl \in_A weights\ l1'\ l2'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 6.2 Path Weights

**abbreviation** *sum-weights* ::  $'lbl\ list \Rightarrow 'lbl$  **where**

$sum-weights\ xs \equiv foldr\ (+)\ xs\ 0$

**abbreviation** *sum-path-weights*  $xs \equiv sum-weights\ (map\ LBL\ xs)$

**definition** *path-weightp*  $l1\ l2\ s \equiv (\exists xs. path\ l1\ l2\ xs \wedge s = sum-path-weights\ xs)$

**lemma** *sum-not-less-zero[simp, dest]*:  $(s::'lbl) < 0 \implies False$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-le-zero[simp]*:  $(s::'lbl) \leq 0 \longleftrightarrow s = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-le-zeroD[dest]*:  $(x::'lbl) \leq 0 \implies x = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *foldr-plus-mono*:  $(n::'lbl) \leq m \implies foldr\ (+)\ xs\ n \leq foldr\ (+)\ xs\ m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-weights-append*:

$sum-weights\ (ys @ xs) = sum-weights\ ys + sum-weights\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-summary-prepend-le*:  $\text{sum-path-weights } ys \leq \text{sum-path-weights } xs \implies \text{sum-path-weights } (zs @ ys) \leq \text{sum-path-weights } (zs @ xs)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sum-summary-append-le*:  $\text{sum-path-weights } ys \leq \text{sum-path-weights } xs \implies \text{sum-path-weights } (ys @ zs) \leq \text{sum-path-weights } (xs @ zs)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *foldr-plus-zero-le*:  $\text{foldr } (+) \ xs \ (0 :: 'lbl) \leq \text{foldr } (+) \ xs \ a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *subseq-sum-weights-le*:  
**assumes**  $xs \preceq ys$   
**shows**  $\text{sum-weights } xs \leq \text{sum-weights } ys$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *subseq-sum-path-weights-le*:  
 $\text{map } LBL \ xs \preceq \text{map } LBL \ ys \implies \text{sum-path-weights } xs \leq \text{sum-path-weights } ys$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sum-path-weights-take-le*[simp, intro]:  $\text{sum-path-weights } (\text{take } i \ xs) \leq \text{sum-path-weights } xs$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sum-weights-append-singleton*:  
 $\text{sum-weights } (xs @ [x]) = \text{sum-weights } xs + x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sum-path-weights-append-singleton*:  
 $\text{sum-path-weights } (xs @ [(l, x, l')]) = \text{sum-path-weights } xs + x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *path-weightp-ex-path*:  
 $\text{path-weightp } l1 \ l2 \ s \implies \exists \ xs.$   
 $(\text{let } s' = \text{sum-path-weights } xs \text{ in } s' \leq s \wedge \text{path-weightp } l1 \ l2 \ s' \wedge \text{distinct } xs \wedge$   
 $(\forall (l1, s, l2) \in \text{set } xs. \ s \in_A \text{weights } l1 \ l2))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *finite-set-summaries*:  
 $\text{finite } ((\lambda((l1, l2), s). (l1, s, l2)) ` (\text{Sigma UNIV } (\lambda(l1, l2). \text{set-antichain } (\text{weights } l1 \ l2))))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *finite-summaries*:  $\text{finite } \{xs. \text{distinct } xs \wedge (\forall (l1, s, l2) \in \text{set } xs. \ s \in_A \text{weights } l1 \ l2)\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *finite-minimal-antichain-path-weightp*:  
 $\text{finite } (\text{minimal-antichain } \{x. \text{path-weightp } l1 \ l2 \ x\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** *path-weight* :: '*vtx*  $\Rightarrow$  '*vtx*  $\Rightarrow$  '*lbl* *antichain*  
is  $\lambda l1\ l2.\ minimal\text{-}antichain\ \{x.\ path\text{-}weightp\ l1\ l2\ x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *reachable*  $l1\ l2 \equiv path\text{-}weight\ l1\ l2 \neq \{\}_A$

**lemma** *in-path-weight*:  $s \in_A path\text{-}weight\ loc1\ loc2 \longleftrightarrow s \in minimal\text{-}antichain\ \{s.\ path\text{-}weightp\ loc1\ loc2\ s\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *path-weight-refl*[simp]:  $0 \in_A path\text{-}weight\ loc\ loc$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-in-minimal-antichain*[simp]:  $(0::'lbl) \in S \implies 0 \in minimal\text{-}antichain\ S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *path-weightp-distinct*  $l1\ l2\ s \equiv (\exists xs.\ distinct\ xs \wedge path\ l1\ l2\ xs \wedge s = sum\text{-}path\text{-}weights\ xs)$

**lemma** *minimal-antichain-path-weightp-distinct*:  
 $minimal\text{-}antichain\ \{xs.\ path\text{-}weightp\ l1\ l2\ xs\} = minimal\text{-}antichain\ \{xs.\ path\text{-}weightp\text{-}distinct\ l1\ l2\ xs\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-path-weightp-distinct*[simp, intro]: *finite* { $xs.$  *path-weightp-distinct*  $l1\ l2\ xs\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *path-weightp-distinct-nonempty*:  
 $\{xs.\ path\text{-}weightp\ l1\ l2\ xs\} \neq \{} \longleftrightarrow \{xs.\ path\text{-}weightp\text{-}distinct\ l1\ l2\ xs\} \neq \{$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *path-weightp-distinct-member*:  
 $s \in \{s.\ path\text{-}weightp\ l1\ l2\ s\} \implies \exists u.\ u \in \{s.\ path\text{-}weightp\text{-}distinct\ l1\ l2\ s\} \wedge u \leq s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *minimal-antichain-path-weightp-member*:  
 $s \in \{xs.\ path\text{-}weightp\ l1\ l2\ xs\} \implies \exists u.\ u \in minimal\text{-}antichain\ \{xs.\ path\text{-}weightp\ l1\ l2\ xs\} \wedge u \leq s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *path-path-weight*: *path*  $l1\ l2\ xs \implies \exists s.\ s \in_A path\text{-}weight\ l1\ l2 \wedge s \leq sum\text{-}path\text{-}weights\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma path-weight-conv-path:
   $s \in_A \text{path-weight } l1 l2 \implies \exists xs. \text{path } l1 l2 xs \wedge s = \text{sum-path-weights } xs \wedge (\forall ys.$ 
 $\text{path } l1 l2 ys \longrightarrow \neg \text{sum-path-weights } ys < \text{sum-path-weights } xs)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation optimal-path loc1 loc2 xs ≡ path loc1 loc2 xs ∧
  ( $\forall ys. \text{path } loc1 loc2 ys \longrightarrow \neg \text{sum-path-weights } ys < \text{sum-path-weights } xs$ )

lemma path-weight-path:  $s \in_A \text{path-weight } loc1 loc2 \implies$ 
  ( $\bigwedge xs. \text{optimal-path } loc1 loc2 xs \implies \text{distinct } xs \implies \text{sum-path-weights } xs = s \implies$ 
   $P) \implies P$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma path-weight-elem-trans:
   $s \in_A \text{path-weight } l1 l2 \implies s' \in_A \text{path-weight } l2 l3 \implies \exists u. u \in_A \text{path-weight } l1$ 
 $l3 \wedge u \leq s + s'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 7 Local Progress Propagation

### 7.1 Specification

```

record (overloaded) ('loc, 't) configuration =
  c-work :: 'loc ⇒ 't zmultiset
  c-pts :: 'loc ⇒ 't zmultiset
  c-imp :: 'loc ⇒ 't zmultiset

type-synonym ('loc, 't) computation = ('loc, 't) configuration stream

locale dataflow-topology = flow?: graph summary
  for summary :: 'loc ⇒ 'loc :: finite ⇒ 'sum :: {order, monoid-add} antichain +
  fixes results-in :: 't :: order ⇒ 'sum ⇒ 't
  assumes results-in-zero: results-in t 0 = t
  and results-in-mono-raw:  $t1 \leq t2 \implies s1 \leq s2 \implies \text{results-in } t1 s1 \leq \text{results-in } t2 s2$ 
  and followed-by-summary: results-in (results-in t s1) s2 = results-in t (s1 + s2)
  and no-zero-cycle: path loc loc xs ⇒ xs ≠ [] ⇒ s = sum-path-weights xs ⇒
  t < results-in t s
  begin

lemma results-in-mono:
   $t1 \leq t2 \implies \text{results-in } t1 s \leq \text{results-in } t2 s$ 
   $s1 \leq s2 \implies \text{results-in } t s1 \leq \text{results-in } t s2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation path-summary ≡ path-weight
abbreviation followed-by :: 'sum ⇒ 'sum ⇒ 'sum where
    followed-by ≡ plus

definition safe :: ('loc, 't) configuration ⇒ bool where
    safe c ≡ ∀ loc1 loc2 t s. zcount (c-pts c loc1) t > 0 ∧ s ∈A path-summary loc1
    loc2
        → (∃ t' ≤ results-in t s. t' ∈A frontier (c-imp c loc2))

```

Implications are always non-negative.

```

definition inv-implications-nonneg where
    inv-implications-nonneg c = (∀ loc t. zcount (c-imp c loc) t ≥ 0)

```

```

abbreviation unchanged f c0 c1 ≡ f c1 = f c0

```

```

abbreviation zmset-frontier where
    zmset-frontier M ≡ zmset-of (mset-set (set-antichain (frontier M)))

```

```

definition init-config where
    init-config c ≡ ∀ loc.
        c-imp c loc = {#}z ∧
        c-work c loc = zmset-frontier (c-pts c loc)

```

```

definition after-summary :: 't zmultiset ⇒ 'sum antichain ⇒ 't zmultiset where
    after-summary M S ≡ (∑ s ∈ set-antichain S. image-zmset (λt. results-in t s)
    M)

```

```

abbreviation frontier-changes :: 't zmultiset ⇒ 't zmultiset ⇒ 't zmultiset where
    frontier-changes M N ≡ zmset-frontier M - zmset-frontier N

```

```

definition next-change-multiplicity' :: ('loc, 't) configuration ⇒ ('loc, 't) configuration ⇒ 'loc ⇒ 't ⇒ int ⇒ bool where
    next-change-multiplicity' c0 c1 loc t n ≡
        — n is the non-zero change in pointstamps at loc for timestamp t
        n ≠ 0 ∧
        — change can only happen at timestamps not in advance of implication-frontier
        (exists t'. t' ∈A frontier (c-imp c0 loc) ∧ t' ≤ t) ∧
            — at loc, t is added to pointstamps n times
        c1 = c0 || c-pts := (c-pts c0)(loc := update-zmultiset (c-pts c0 loc) t n),
            — worklist at loc is adjusted by frontier changes
            c-work := (c-work c0)(loc := c-work c0 loc +
                frontier-changes (update-zmultiset (c-pts c0 loc) t n) (c-pts c0 loc)) ||

```

```

abbreviation next-change-multiplicity :: ('loc, 't) configuration ⇒ ('loc, 't) configuration ⇒ bool where
    next-change-multiplicity c0 c1 ≡ ∃ loc t n. next-change-multiplicity' c0 c1 loc t n

```

**lemma** cm-unchanged-worklist:

**assumes** *next-change-multiplicity'*  $c0\ c1\ loc\ t\ n$   
**and**  $loc' \neq loc$   
**shows**  $c\text{-work } c1\ loc' = c\text{-work } c0\ loc'$   
*(proof)*

**definition** *next-propagate'* ::  $('loc,\ 't)\ configuration \Rightarrow ('loc,\ 't)\ configuration \Rightarrow 'loc \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{next-propagate}'\ c0\ c1\ loc\ t \equiv$   
 —  $t$  is a least timestamp of all worklist entries  
 $(t \in \#_z c\text{-work } c0\ loc \wedge$   
 $(\forall t' loc'. t' \in \#_z c\text{-work } c0\ loc' \rightarrow \neg t' < t) \wedge$   
 $c1 = c0(\{c\text{-imp} := (c\text{-imp } c0)(loc := c\text{-imp } c0\ loc + \{\#t' \in \#_z c\text{-work } c0\ loc.$   
 $t' = t\#\}),$   
 $c\text{-work} := (\lambda loc'.$   
 — worklist entries for  $t$  are removed from  $loc$ 's worklist  
 $\text{if } loc' = loc \text{ then } \{\#t' \in \#_z c\text{-work } c0\ loc'. t' \neq t\#\}$   
 — worklists at other locations change by the  $loc$ 's frontier change  
 after adding summaries  
 $\text{else } c\text{-work } c0\ loc'$   
 $+ \text{after-summary}$   
 $(\text{frontier-changes } (c\text{-imp } c0\ loc + \{\#t' \in \#_z c\text{-work } c0\ loc.$   
 $loc.\ t' = t\#\}) (c\text{-imp } c0\ loc))$   
 $(\text{summary } loc\ loc'))\()$

**abbreviation** *next-propagate* ::  $('loc,\ 't :: \text{order}) configuration \Rightarrow ('loc,\ 't)\ configuration \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{next-propagate}\ c0\ c1 \equiv \exists loc\ t.\ \text{next-propagate}'\ c0\ c1\ loc\ t$

**definition** *next'* **where**  
 $\text{next}'\ c0\ c1 = (\text{next-propagate } c0\ c1 \vee \text{next-change-multiplicity } c0\ c1 \vee c1 = c0)$

**abbreviation** *next* **where**  
 $\text{next } s \equiv \text{next}'(shd\ s)(shd(stl\ s))$

**abbreviation** *cm-valid* **where**  
 $\text{cm-valid} \equiv \text{nxt } (\lambda s.\ \text{next-change-multiplicity } (shd\ s)(shd(stl\ s))) \text{ impl}$   
 $(\lambda s.\ \text{next-change-multiplicity } (shd\ s)(shd(stl\ s))) \text{ or } \text{nxt } (\text{holds } (\lambda c.$   
 $(\forall l.\ c\text{-work } c\ l = \{\#\}_z)))$

**definition** *spec* ::  $('loc,\ 't :: \text{order}) computation \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{spec} \equiv \text{holds init-config and alw next}$

**lemma** *next'-inv*[consumes 1, case-names *next-change-multiplicity* *next-propagate* *next-finish-init*]:  
**assumes**  $\text{next}'\ c0\ c1\ P\ c0$   
**and**  $\bigwedge loc\ t\ n.\ P\ c0 \implies \text{next-change-multiplicity}'\ c0\ c1\ loc\ t\ n \implies P\ c1$   
**and**  $\bigwedge loc\ t.\ P\ c0 \implies \text{next-propagate}'\ c0\ c1\ loc\ t \implies P\ c1$   
**shows**  $P\ c1$   
*(proof)*

## 7.2 Auxiliary

**lemma** *next-change-multiplicity'-unique*:  
**assumes**  $n \neq 0$   
**and**  $\exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier } (\text{c-imp } c \text{ loc}) \wedge t' \leq t$   
**shows**  $\exists !c'. \text{next-change-multiplicity}' c c' \text{ loc } t n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *frontier-change-zmset-frontier*:  
**assumes**  $t \in_A \text{frontier } M1 - \text{frontier } M0$   
**shows**  $\text{zcount}(\text{zmset-frontier } M1) t = 1 \wedge \text{zcount}(\text{zmset-frontier } M0) t = 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *frontier-empty[simp]*:  $\text{frontier } \{\#\}_z = \{\}_A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zmset-frontier-empty[simp]*:  $\text{zmset-frontier } \{\#\}_z = \{\#\}_z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *after-summary-empty[simp]*:  $\text{after-summary } \{\#\}_z S = \{\#\}_z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *after-summary-empty-summary[simp]*:  $\text{after-summary } M \{\}_A = \{\#\}_z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-frontier-diff*:  
**assumes**  $t \in_A \text{frontier } M - \text{frontier } N$   
**shows**  $\text{zcount}(\text{frontier-changes } M N) t = 1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-frontier-diff'*:  
**assumes**  $t \in_A \text{frontier } N - \text{frontier } M$   
**shows**  $\text{zcount}(\text{frontier-changes } M N) t = -1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *not-mem-frontier-diff*:  
**assumes**  $t \notin_A \text{frontier } M - \text{frontier } N$   
**and**  $t \notin_A \text{frontier } N - \text{frontier } M$   
**shows**  $\text{zcount}(\text{frontier-changes } M N) t = 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mset-neg-after-summary*:  $\text{mset-neg } M = \{\#\} \implies \text{mset-neg } (\text{after-summary } M S) = \{\#\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *next-p-frontier-change*:  
**assumes** *next-propagate' c0 c1 loc t*  
**and** *summary loc loc'  $\neq \{\}_A$*   
**shows**  $c\text{-work } c1 \text{ loc}' =$   
 $c\text{-work } c0 \text{ loc}'$   
 $+ \text{after-summary}$

$(frontier\text{-}changes (c\text{-}imp c1 loc) (c\text{-}imp c0 loc))$   
 $(summary loc loc')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *after-summary-union*:  $after\text{-}summary (M + N) S = after\text{-}summary M S + after\text{-}summary N S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 7.3 Invariants

#### 7.3.1 Invariant: *inv-imps-work-sum*

**abbreviation** *union-frontiers* ::  $('loc, 't) configuration \Rightarrow 'loc \Rightarrow 't zmultiset$  **where**  
 $union\text{-}frontiers c loc \equiv (\sum loc' \in UNIV. after\text{-}summary (zmset\text{-}frontier (c\text{-}imp c loc')) (summary loc' loc))$

— Implications + worklist is equal to the frontiers of pointstamps and all preceding nodes (after accounting for summaries).

**definition** *inv-imps-work-sum* ::  $('loc, 't) configuration \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $inv\text{-}imps\text{-}work\text{-}sum c \equiv \forall loc. c\text{-}imp c loc + c\text{-}work c loc = zmset\text{-}frontier (c\text{-}pts c loc) + union\text{-}frontiers c loc$

— Version with zcount is easier to reason with

**definition** *inv-imps-work-sum-zcount* ::  $('loc, 't) configuration \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $inv\text{-}imps\text{-}work\text{-}sum\text{-}zcount c \equiv \forall loc t. zcount (c\text{-}imp c loc + c\text{-}work c loc) t = zcount (zmset\text{-}frontier (c\text{-}pts c loc) + union\text{-}frontiers c loc) t$

**lemma** *inv-imps-work-sum-zcount*:  $inv\text{-}imps\text{-}work\text{-}sum c \longleftrightarrow inv\text{-}imps\text{-}work\text{-}sum\text{-}zcount c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *union-frontiers-nonneg*:  $0 \leq zcount (union\text{-}frontiers c loc) t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-p-union-frontier-change*:  
**assumes** *next-propagate'*  $c0 c1 loc t$   
**and**  $summary loc loc' \neq \{\} A$   
**shows**  $union\text{-}frontiers c1 loc' = union\text{-}frontiers c0 loc' + after\text{-}summary (frontier\text{-}changes (c\text{-}imp c1 loc) (c\text{-}imp c0 loc)) (summary loc loc')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *init-imp-inv-imps-work-sum*:  $init\text{-}config c \implies inv\text{-}imps\text{-}work\text{-}sum c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cm-preserves-inv-imps-work-sum*:

```

assumes next-change-multiplicity' c0 c1 loc t n
and inv-imps-work-sum c0
shows inv-imps-work-sum c1
⟨proof⟩
lemma p-preserves-inv-imps-work-sum:
assumes next-propagate' c0 c1 loc t
and inv-imps-work-sum c0
shows inv-imps-work-sum c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma next-preserves-inv-imps-work-sum:
assumes next s
and holds inv-imps-work-sum s
shows nxt (holds inv-imps-work-sum) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma spec-imp-iiws: spec s  $\implies$  alw (holds inv-imps-work-sum) s
⟨proof⟩

```

### 7.3.2 Invariant: *inv-imp-plus-work-nonneg*

There is never an update in the worklist that could cause implications to become negative.

**definition** *inv-imp-plus-work-nonneg* **where**  
 $inv\text{-}imp\text{-}plus\text{-}work\text{-}nonneg c \equiv \forall loc t. 0 \leq zcount(c\text{-}imp c loc) t + zcount(c\text{-}work c loc) t}$

```

lemma iiws-imp-iipwn:
assumes inv-imps-work-sum c
shows inv-imp-plus-work-nonneg c
⟨proof⟩

lemma spec-imp-iipwn: spec s  $\implies$  alw (holds inv-imp-plus-work-nonneg) s
⟨proof⟩

```

### 7.3.3 Invariant: *inv-implications-nonneg*

```

lemma init-imp-inv-implications-nonneg:
assumes init-config c
shows inv-implications-nonneg c
⟨proof⟩

lemma cm-preserves-inv-implications-nonneg:
assumes next-change-multiplicity' c0 c1 loc t n
and inv-implications-nonneg c0
shows inv-implications-nonneg c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma p-preserves-inv-implications-nonneg:

```

```

assumes next-propagate' c0 c1 loc t
and     inv-implications-nonneg c0
and     inv-imp-plus-work-nonneg c0
shows   inv-implications-nonneg c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma next-preserves-inv-implications-nonneg:
assumes next s
and     holds inv-implications-nonneg s
and     holds inv-imp-plus-work-nonneg s
shows   nxt (holds inv-implications-nonneg) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma alw-inv-implications-nonneg: spec s ==> alw (holds inv-implications-nonneg)
s
⟨proof⟩

lemma after-summary-Diff: after-summary (M - N) S = after-summary M S - after-summary N S
⟨proof⟩

lemma mem-zmset-frontier: x ∈ #z zmset-frontier M <=> x ∈A frontier M
⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-frontier-elem:
assumes 0 < zcount M t
obtains u where u ∈A frontier M u ≤ t
⟨proof⟩

lemma frontier-unionD: t ∈A frontier (M+N) ==> 0 < zcount M t ∨ 0 < zcount N t
⟨proof⟩

lemma ps-frontier-in-imps-wl:
assumes inv-imps-work-sum c
and     0 < zcount (zmset-frontier (c-pts c loc)) t
shows   0 < zcount (c-imp c loc + c-work c loc) t
⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-elem-frontier:
assumes 0 < zcount M t
obtains s where s ≤ t ∧ s ∈A frontier M
⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-elem-zmset-frontier:
assumes 0 < zcount M t
obtains s where s ≤ t ∧ 0 < zcount (zmset-frontier M) s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ps-in-imps-wl:
  assumes inv-imps-work-sum c
    and 0 < zcount (c-pts c loc) t
  obtains s where s ≤ t ∧ 0 < zcount (c-imp c loc + c-work c loc) s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-le-after-summary-single[simp]: 0 ≤ zcount (after-summary {#t#}z S) x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma one-le-zcount-after-summary: s ∈A S ⇒ 1 ≤ zcount (after-summary {#t#}z S) (results-in t s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-lt-zcount-after-summary: s ∈A S ⇒ 0 < zcount (after-summary {#t#}z S) (results-in t s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-zcount-after-summary:
  (¬t. 0 ≤ zcount M t) ⇒ 0 < zcount M t ⇒ s ∈A S ⇒ 0 < zcount (after-summary M S) (results-in t s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma after-summary-nonneg: (¬t. 0 ≤ zcount M t) ⇒ 0 ≤ zcount (after-summary M S) t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma after-summary-zmset-of-nonneg[simp, intro]: 0 ≤ zcount (after-summary (zmset-of M) S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-zcount-union-frontiers:
  zcount (after-summary (zmset-frontier (c-imp c l1)) (summary l1 l2)) (results-in t s)
  ≤ zcount (union-frontiers c l2) (results-in t s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma after-summary-Sum-fun: finite MM ⇒ after-summary (∑ M∈MM. f M)
  A = (∑ M∈MM. after-summary (f M) A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma after-summary-obtain-pre:
  assumes ¬t. 0 ≤ zcount M t
    and 0 < zcount (after-summary M S) t
  obtains t' s where 0 < zcount M t' results-in t' s = t s ∈A S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma empty-antichain[dest]: x ∈A antichain {} ⇒ False
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition impWitnessPath where
  impWitnessPath c loc1 loc2 xs t = (
    path loc1 loc2 xs ∧
    distinct xs ∧
    (∃ t'. t' ∈A frontier (c-imp c loc1) ∧ t = results-in t' (sum-path-weights xs) ∧
     (∀ k < length xs. (∃ t. t ∈A frontier (c-imp c (TO (xs ! k))) ∧ t = results-in t'
      (sum-path-weights (take (k+1) xs)))))

lemma impWitnessPathEx:
  assumes t ∈A frontier (c-imp c loc2)
  shows (∃ loc1 xs. impWitnessPath c loc1 loc2 xs t)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition longestImpWitnessPath where
  longestImpWitnessPath c loc1 loc2 xs t = (
    impWitnessPath c loc1 loc2 xs t ∧
    (∀ loc' xs'. impWitnessPath c loc' loc2 xs' t → length (xs') ≤ length (xs)))

lemma finite-edges: finite {(loc1,s,loc2). s ∈A summary loc1 loc2}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma longestImpWitnessPathEx:
  assumes t ∈A frontier (c-imp c loc2)
  shows (∃ loc1 xs. longestImpWitnessPath c loc1 loc2 xs t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma path-first-loc: path l1 l2 xs ⇒ xs ≠ [] ⇒ xs ! 0 = (l1',s,l2') ⇒ l1 = l1'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma find-witness-from-frontier:
  assumes t ∈A frontier (c-imp c loc2)
  and inv-imps-work-sum c
  shows ∃ t' loc1 xs. (path loc1 loc2 xs ∧ t = results-in t' (sum-path-weights xs) ∧
    (t' ∈A frontier (c-pts c loc1) ∨ 0 > zcount (c-work c loc1) t'))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma implication-implies-pointstamp:
  assumes t ∈A frontier (c-imp c loc)
  and inv-imps-work-sum c
  shows ∃ t' loc' s. s ∈A path-summary loc' loc ∧ t ≥ results-in t' s ∧
    (t' ∈A frontier (c-pts c loc') ∨ 0 > zcount (c-work c loc') t')
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 7.4 Proof of Safety

```

lemma results-in-sum-path-weights-append:
  results-in t (sum-path-weights (xs @ [(loc2, s, loc3)])) = results-in (results-in t
  (sum-path-weights xs)) s

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**context**

fixes  $c :: ('loc, 't) configuration$   
**begin**

**inductive** loc-imps-fw **where**

$loc\text{-}imps\text{-}fw loc loc (c\text{-}imp c loc) [] |$   
 $loc\text{-}imps\text{-}fw loc1 loc2 M xs \Rightarrow s \in_A summary loc2 loc3 \Rightarrow distinct (xs @ [(loc2, s, loc3)]) \Rightarrow$   
 $loc\text{-}imps\text{-}fw loc1 loc3 (\{\# results\text{-}in t s. t \in \#_z M \#\} + c\text{-}imp c loc3) (xs @ [(loc2, s, loc3)])$

**end**

**lemma** loc-imps-fw-conv-path: loc-imps-fw  $c$  loc1 loc2  $M$   $xs \Rightarrow path loc1 loc2 xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** path-conv-loc-imps-fw: path loc1 loc2  $xs \Rightarrow distinct xs \Rightarrow \exists M. loc\text{-}imps\text{-}fw c loc1 loc2 M xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** path-summary-conv-loc-imps-fw:

$s \in_A path\text{-}summary loc1 loc2 \Rightarrow \exists M xs. loc\text{-}imps\text{-}fw c loc1 loc2 M xs \wedge$   
 $sum\text{-}path\text{-}weights xs = s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** image-zmset-id[simp]:  $\{\#x. x \in \#_z M \#\} = M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** sum-pos: finite  $M \Rightarrow \forall x \in M. 0 \leq f x \Rightarrow y \in M \Rightarrow 0 < (f y :: ordered-comm-monoid-add)$   
 $\Rightarrow 0 < (\sum_{x \in M} f x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** loc-imps-fw-M-in-implications:

**assumes** loc-imps-fw  $c$  loc1 loc2  $M$   $xs$   
**and** inv-imps-work-sum  $c$   
**and** inv-implications-nonneg  $c$   
**and**  $\bigwedge loc. c\text{-}work c loc = \{\#\}_z$   
**and**  $0 < zcount M t$   
**shows**  $\exists s. s \leq t \wedge s \in_A frontier (c\text{-}imp c loc2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** loc-imps-fw-M-nonneg[simp]:  
**assumes** loc-imps-fw  $c$  loc1 loc2  $M$   $xs$   
**and** inv-implications-nonneg  $c$   
**shows**  $0 \leq zcount M t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma loc-imps-fw-implication-in-M:
  assumes inv-imps-work-sum c
    and inv-implications-nonneg c
    and loc-imps-fw c loc1 loc2 M xs
    and 0 < zcount (c-imp c loc1) t
  shows 0 < zcount M (results-in t (sum-path-weights xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition impl-safe :: ('loc, 't) configuration ⇒ bool where
  impl-safe c ≡ ∀ loc1 loc2 t s. zcount (c-imp c loc1) t > 0 ∧ s ∈A path-summary
  loc1 loc2
    → (∃ t'. t' ∈A frontier (c-imp c loc2) ∧ t' ≤ results-in t s)

lemma impl-safe:
  assumes inv-imps-work-sum c
    and inv-implications-nonneg c
    and ⋀ loc. c-work c loc = {#}z
  shows impl-safe c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cm-preserves-impl-safe:
  assumes impl-safe c0
    and next-change-multiplicity' c0 c1 loc t n
  shows impl-safe c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cm-preserves-safe:
  assumes safe c0
    and impl-safe c0
    and next-change-multiplicity' c0 c1 loc t n
  shows safe c1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 7.5 A Better (More Invariant) Safety

```

definition worklists-vacant-to :: ('loc, 't) configuration ⇒ 't ⇒ bool where
  worklists-vacant-to c t =
    (∀ loc1 loc2 s t'. s ∈A path-summary loc1 loc2 ∧ t' ∈#z c-work c loc1 → ¬
     results-in t' s ≤ t)

definition inv-safe :: ('loc, 't) configuration ⇒ bool where
  inv-safe c = (∀ loc1 loc2 t s. 0 < zcount (c-pts c loc1) t
    ∧ s ∈A path-summary loc1 loc2
    ∧ worklists-vacant-to c (results-in t s)
    → (exists t' ≤ results-in t s. t' ∈A frontier (c-imp c loc2)))

```

Intuition: Unlike safe, *inv-safe* is an invariant because it only claims the safety property  $t' \in_A \text{frontier}(\text{c-imp } c \text{ loc2})$  for pointstamps that can't be modified by future propagated updates anymore (i.e. there are no upstream

worklist entries which can result in a less or equal pointstamp).

**lemma** *in-frontier-diff*:  $\forall y \in \#_z N. \neg y \leq x \implies x \in_A \text{frontier } (M - N) \longleftrightarrow x \in_A \text{frontier } M$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *worklists-vacant-to-trans*:  
*worklists-vacant-to*  $c t \implies t' \leq t \implies \text{worklists-vacant-to } c t'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *loc-imps-fw-M-in-implications'*:  
**assumes** *loc-imps-fw*  $c \text{ loc1 loc2 } M \text{ xs}$   
**and** *inv-imps-work-sum*  $c$   
**and** *inv-implications-nonneg*  $c$   
**and** *worklists-vacant-to*  $c t$   
**and**  $0 < \text{zcount } M t$   
**shows**  $\exists s \leq t. s \in_A \text{frontier } (\text{c-imp } c \text{ loc2})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inv-safe*:  
**assumes** *inv-imps-work-sum*  $c$   
**and** *inv-implications-nonneg*  $c$   
**shows** *inv-safe*  $c$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *alw-conjI*:  $\text{alw } P s \implies \text{alw } Q s \implies \text{alw } (\lambda s. P s \wedge Q s) s$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *alw-inv-safe*: *spec*  $s \implies \text{alw } (\text{holds inv-safe}) s$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *empty-worklists-vacant-to*:  $\forall \text{loc. } c\text{-work } c \text{ loc} = \{\#\}_z \implies \text{worklists-vacant-to } c t$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inv-safe-safe*:  $(\bigwedge \text{loc. } c\text{-work } c \text{ loc} = \{\#\}_z) \implies \text{inv-safe } c \implies \text{safe } c$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *safe*:  
**assumes** *inv-imps-work-sum*  $c$   
**and** *inv-implications-nonneg*  $c$   
**and**  $\bigwedge \text{loc. } c\text{-work } c \text{ loc} = \{\#\}_z$   
**shows** *safe*  $c$   
*(proof)*

## 7.6 Implied Frontier

**abbreviation** *zmset-pos* **where** *zmset-pos*  $M \equiv \text{zmset-of } (\text{mset-pos } M)$

**definition** *implied-frontier* **where**

*implied-frontier*  $P$   $loc = frontier(\sum loc' \in UNIV. after-summary(zmset-pos(P loc')) (path-summary loc' loc))$

**definition** *implied-frontier-alt* **where**

*implied-frontier-alt*  $c$   $loc = frontier(\sum loc' \in UNIV. after-summary(zmset-frontier(c-pts c loc')) (path-summary loc' loc))$

**lemma** *in-frontier-least*:  $x \in_A frontier M \implies \forall y. 0 < zcount M y \rightarrow \neg y < x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-frontier-trans*:  $0 < zcount M y \implies x \in_A frontier M \implies y \leq x \implies y \in_A frontier M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *implied-frontier-alt-least*:

**assumes**  $b \in_A implied-frontier-alt c loc2$   
**shows**  $\forall loc a' s'. a' \in_A frontier(c-pts c loc) \rightarrow s' \in_A path-summary loc loc2$   
 $\rightarrow \neg results-in a' s' < b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *implied-frontier-alt-in-pointstamps*:

**assumes**  $b \in_A implied-frontier-alt c loc2$   
**obtains**  $a s loc1$  **where**  
 $a \in_A frontier(c-pts c loc1) s \in_A path-summary loc1 loc2 results-in a s = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-implied-frontier-alt-in-implication-frontier*:

**assumes** *inv-imps-work-sum c*  
**and** *inv-implications-nonneg c*  
**and** *worklists-vacant-to c b*  
**and**  $b \in_A implied-frontier-alt c loc2$   
**shows**  $b \in_A frontier(c-imp c loc2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-implication-frontier-in-implied-frontier-alt*:

**assumes** *inv-imps-work-sum c*  
**and** *inv-implications-nonneg c*  
**and** *worklists-vacant-to c b*  
**and**  $b \in_A frontier(c-imp c loc2)$   
**shows**  $b \in_A implied-frontier-alt c loc2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *implication-frontier-iff-implied-frontier-alt-vacant*:

**assumes** *inv-imps-work-sum c*  
**and** *inv-implications-nonneg c*  
**and** *worklists-vacant-to c b*  
**shows**  $b \in_A frontier(c-imp c loc) \leftrightarrow b \in_A implied-frontier-alt c loc$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-propagate-implied-frontier-alt-def*:  
 $\text{next-propagate } c \text{ } c' \implies \text{implied-frontier-alt } c \text{ loc} = \text{implied-frontier-alt } c' \text{ loc}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *implication-frontier-eq-implied-frontier-alt*:  
**assumes** *inv-imps-work-sum*  $c$   
**and** *inv-implications-nonneg*  $c$   
**and**  $\bigwedge \text{loc. } c\text{-work } c \text{ loc} = \{\#\}_z$   
**shows**  $\text{frontier } (c\text{-imp } c \text{ loc}) = \text{implied-frontier-alt } c \text{ loc}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-implication-frontier-eq-implied-frontier-alt-empty*: *spec*  $s \implies$   
 $\text{alw} (\text{holds} (\lambda c. (\forall \text{loc. } c\text{-work } c \text{ loc} = \{\#\}_z) \longrightarrow \text{frontier } (c\text{-imp } c \text{ loc}) = \text{implied-frontier-alt } c \text{ loc})) \text{ s}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-implication-frontier-eq-implied-frontier-alt-vacant*: *spec*  $s \implies$   
 $\text{alw} (\text{holds} (\lambda c. \text{worklists-vacant-to } c \text{ b} \longrightarrow b \in_A \text{frontier } (c\text{-imp } c \text{ loc}) \longleftrightarrow b \in_A \text{implied-frontier-alt } c \text{ loc})) \text{ s}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *antichain-eqI*:  $(\bigwedge b. b \in_A A \longleftrightarrow b \in_A B) \implies A = B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmset-frontier-zmset-pos*:  $\text{zmset-frontier } A \subseteq_{\#_z} \text{zmset-pos } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *image-mset-mono-pos*:  
 $\forall b. 0 \leq \text{zcount } A \text{ b} \implies \forall b. 0 \leq \text{zcount } B \text{ b} \implies A \subseteq_{\#_z} B \implies \text{image-zmset } f \text{ A} \subseteq_{\#_z} \text{image-zmset } f \text{ B}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-mono-subseteq*:  
 $(\bigwedge i. i \in K \implies f i \subseteq_{\#_z} g i) \implies (\sum i \in K. f i) \subseteq_{\#_z} (\sum i \in K. g i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *after-summary-zmset-frontier*:  
 $\text{after-summary } (\text{zmset-frontier } A) \text{ S} \subseteq_{\#_z} \text{after-summary } (\text{zmset-pos } A) \text{ S}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *frontier-eqI*:  $\forall b. 0 \leq \text{zcount } A \text{ b} \implies \forall b. 0 \leq \text{zcount } B \text{ b} \implies$   
 $A \subseteq_{\#_z} B \implies (\bigwedge b. b \in_{\#_z} B \implies \exists a. a \in_{\#_z} A \wedge a \leq b) \implies \text{frontier } A = \text{frontier } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *implied-frontier-implied-frontier-alt*:  $\text{implied-frontier } (c\text{-pts } c) \text{ loc} = \text{implied-frontier-alt } c \text{ loc}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemmas alw-implication-frontier-eq-implied-frontier-vacant =
  alw-implication-frontier-eq-implied-frontier-alt-vacant[folded implied-frontier-implied-frontier-alt]
lemmas implication-frontier-iff-implied-frontier-vacant =
  implication-frontier-iff-implied-frontier-alt-vacant[folded implied-frontier-implied-frontier-alt]

end

```

## 8 Combined Progress Tracking Protocol

```

lemma fold-invar:
  assumes finite M
  and   P z
  and   ∀ z. ∀ x ∈ M. P z → P (f x z)
  and   comp-fun-commute f
  shows  P (Finite-Set.fold f z M)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 8.1 Could-result-in Relation

```
context dataflow-topology begin
```

```

definition cri-less-eq :: ('loc × 't) ⇒ ('loc × 't) ⇒ bool (‐≤p‐ [51,51] 50) where
  cri-less-eq =
    (λ(loc1,t1) (loc2,t2). (∃ s. s ∈ A path-summary loc1 loc2 ∧ results-in t1 s ≤ t2))

```

```

definition cri-less :: ('loc × 't) ⇒ ('loc × 't) ⇒ bool (‐<p‐ [51,51] 50) where
  cri-less x y = (x ≤p y ∧ x ≠ y)

```

```

lemma cri-asym1: x <_p y → ¬ y <_p x
  for x y ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cri-asym2: x <_p y → x ≠ y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

sublocale cri: order cri-less-eq cri-less
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wf-cri: wf {(l, l'). (l, t) <_p (l', t)}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

### 8.2 Specification

#### 8.2.1 Configuration

```
record ('p::finite, 't::order, 'loc) configuration =
```

```

exchange-config :: ('p, ('loc × 't)) Exchange.configuration
prop-config :: 'p ⇒ ('loc, 't) Propagate.configuration
init :: 'p ⇒ bool

type-synonym ('p, 't, 'loc) computation = ('p, 't, 'loc) configuration stream

context dataflow-topology begin

definition the-cm where
  the-cm c loc t n = (THE c'. next-change-multiplicity' c c' loc t n)

the-cm is not commutative in general, only if the necessary conditions hold.
It can be converted to apply-cm for which we prove comp-fun-commute.

definition apply-cm where
  apply-cm c loc t n =
    (let new-pointstamps = (λloc'.
      (if loc' = loc then update-zmultiset (c-pts c loc') t n
       else c-pts c loc')) in
     c (|
       c-pts := new-pointstamps |
       |
       c-work := (λloc'. c-work c loc' + frontier-changes (new-pointstamps loc') (c-pts c loc'))|))

definition cm-all' where
  cm-all' c0 Δ =
    Finite-Set.fold (λ(loc, t) c. apply-cm c loc t (zcount Δ (loc,t))) c0 (set-zmset Δ)

definition cm-all where
  cm-all c0 Δ =
    Finite-Set.fold (λ(loc, t) c. the-cm c loc t (zcount Δ (loc,t))) c0 (set-zmset Δ)

definition propagate-all c0 = while-option (λc. ∃ loc. (c-work c loc) ≠ {#}z)
                                              (λc. SOME c'. ∃ loc t. next-propagate' c c' loc t)
c0

```

### 8.2.2 Initial state and state transitions

```

definition InitConfig :: ('p::finite, 't::order, 'loc) configuration ⇒ bool where
  InitConfig c =
    ((∀ p. init c p = False)
     ∧ cri.init-config (exchange-config c)
     ∧ (∀ p loc t. zcount (c-pts (prop-config c p) loc) t
        = zcount (c-glob (exchange-config c) p) (loc, t))
     ∧ (∀ w. init-config (prop-config c w)))

```

**definition** NextPerformOp' :: ('p::finite, 't::order, 'loc) configuration ⇒ ('p, 't, 'loc) configuration  
 $\Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow ('loc \times 't) multiset \Rightarrow ('p \times ('loc \times 't)) multiset$

```

 $\Rightarrow ('loc \times 't) multiset \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$ 
 $NextPerformOp' c0 c1 p \Delta_{neg} \Delta_{mint-msg} \Delta_{mint-self} = ($ 
 $cri.next-performop' (exchange-config c0) (exchange-config c1) p \Delta_{neg} \Delta_{mint-msg}$ 
 $\Delta_{mint-self}$ 
 $\wedge unchanged prop-config c0 c1$ 
 $\wedge unchanged init c0 c1)$ 

abbreviation NextPerformOp where
 $NextPerformOp c0 c1 \equiv \exists p \Delta_{neg} \Delta_{mint-msg} \Delta_{mint-self}. NextPerformOp' c0$ 
 $c1 p \Delta_{neg} \Delta_{mint-msg} \Delta_{mint-self}$ 

definition NextRecvCap'
 $:: ('p::finite, 't::order, 'loc) configuration \Rightarrow ('p, 't, 'loc) configuration \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow$ 
 $'loc \times 't \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$ 
 $NextRecvCap' c0 c1 p t = ($ 
 $cri.next-reccap' (exchange-config c0) (exchange-config c1) p t$ 
 $\wedge unchanged prop-config c0 c1$ 
 $\wedge unchanged init c0 c1)$ 

abbreviation NextRecvCap where
 $NextRecvCap c0 c1 \equiv \exists p t. NextRecvCap' c0 c1 p t$ 

definition NextSendUpd'  $:: ('p::finite, 't::order, 'loc) configuration \Rightarrow ('p, 't, 'loc)$ 
 $configuration \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow ('loc \times 't) set \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$ 
 $NextSendUpd' c0 c1 p tt = ($ 
 $cri.next-sendupd' (exchange-config c0) (exchange-config c1) p tt$ 
 $\wedge unchanged prop-config c0 c1$ 
 $\wedge unchanged init c0 c1)$ 

abbreviation NextSendUpd where
 $NextSendUpd c0 c1 \equiv \exists p tt. NextSendUpd' c0 c1 p tt$ 

definition NextRecvUpd'  $:: ('p::finite, 't::order, 'loc) configuration \Rightarrow ('p, 't, 'loc)$ 
 $configuration \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$ 
 $NextRecvUpd' c0 c1 p q = ($ 
 $init c0 q — Once init is set we are guaranteed that the CM transitions' premises$ 
 $are satisfied$ 
 $\wedge cri.next-reccvupd' (exchange-config c0) (exchange-config c1) p q$ 
 $\wedge unchanged init c0 c1$ 
 $\wedge (\forall p'. prop-config c1 p' =$ 
 $(if p' = q$ 
 $then cm-all (prop-config c0 q) (hd (c-msg (exchange-config c0) p q))$ 
 $else prop-config c0 p')))$ 

abbreviation NextRecvUpd where
 $NextRecvUpd c0 c1 \equiv \exists p q. NextRecvUpd' c0 c1 p q$ 

```

```

definition NextPropagate' :: ('p::finite, 't::order, 'loc) configuration  $\Rightarrow$  ('p, 't, 'loc)
configuration
 $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
NextPropagate' c0 c1 p = (
  unchanged exchange-config c0 c1
   $\wedge$  init c1 = (init c0)(p := True)
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  p'. Some (prop-config c1 p') =
    (if p' = p
      then propagate-all (prop-config c0 p')
      else Some (prop-config c0 p'))))

abbreviation NextPropagate where
NextPropagate c0 c1  $\equiv$   $\exists$  p. NextPropagate' c0 c1 p

definition Next' where
Next' c0 c1 = (NextPerformOp c0 c1  $\vee$  NextSendUpd c0 c1  $\vee$  NextRecvUpd c0
c1  $\vee$  NextPropagate c0 c1  $\vee$  NextRecvCap c0 c1  $\vee$  c1 = c0)

abbreviation Next where
Next s  $\equiv$  Next' (shd s) (shd (stl s))

definition FullSpec :: ('p :: finite, 't :: order, 'loc) computation  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
FullSpec s = (holds InitConfig s  $\wedge$  alw Next s)

lemma NextPerformOpD:
assumes NextPerformOp' c0 c1 p  $\Delta$ neg  $\Delta$ mint-msg  $\Delta$ mint-self
shows
cri.next-performop' (exchange-config c0) (exchange-config c1) p  $\Delta$ neg  $\Delta$ mint-msg
 $\Delta$ mint-self
  unchanged prop-config c0 c1
  unchanged init c0 c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma NextSendUpdD:
assumes NextSendUpd' c0 c1 p tt
shows
cri.next-sendupd' (exchange-config c0) (exchange-config c1) p tt
  unchanged prop-config c0 c1
  unchanged init c0 c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma NextRecvUpdD:
assumes NextRecvUpd' c0 c1 p q
shows
  init c0 q
  cri.next-recvupd' (exchange-config c0) (exchange-config c1) p q
  unchanged init c0 c1
  ( $\forall$  p'. prop-config c1 p' =
    (if p' = q
      then prop-config c1 p'
      else Some (prop-config c1 p'))))

```

$\text{then } \text{cm-all} (\text{prop-config } c0 q) (\text{hd } (\text{c-msg } (\text{exchange-config } c0) p q))$   
 $\text{else } \text{prop-config } c0 p')$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *NextPropagateD*:  
**assumes** *NextPropagate' c0 c1 p*  
**shows**  
*unchanged exchange-config c0 c1*  
*init c1 = (init c0)(p := True)*  
 $(\forall p'. \text{Some } (\text{prop-config } c1 p') =$   
 $(\text{if } p' = p$   
 $\text{then propagate-all } (\text{prop-config } c0 p')$   
 $\text{else Some } (\text{prop-config } c0 p'))$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *NextRecvCapD*:  
**assumes** *NextRecvCap' c0 c1 p t*  
**shows**  
*cri.next-revcap' (exchange-config c0) (exchange-config c1) p t*  
*unchanged prop-config c0 c1*  
*unchanged init c0 c1*  
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

## 8.3 Auxiliary Lemmas

### 8.3.1 Auxiliary Lemmas for CM Conversion

**lemma** *apply-cm-is-cm*:  
 $\exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier } (\text{c-imp } c \text{ loc}) \wedge t' \leq t \implies n \neq 0 \implies \text{next-change-multiplicity}'$   
 $c (\text{apply-cm } c \text{ loc } t n) \text{ loc } t n$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *update-zmultiset-commute*:  
 $\text{update-zmultiset } (\text{update-zmultiset } M t' n') t n = \text{update-zmultiset } (\text{update-zmultiset } M t n) t' n'$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *apply-cm-commute*:  $\text{apply-cm } (\text{apply-cm } c \text{ loc } t n) \text{ loc}' t' n' = \text{apply-cm } (\text{apply-cm } c \text{ loc}' t' n') \text{ loc } t n$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *comp-fun-commute-apply-cm[simp]*:  $\text{comp-fun-commute } (\lambda(\text{loc}, t) \text{ c. apply-cm } c \text{ loc } t (f \text{ loc } t))$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *ex-cm-imp-conds*:  
**assumes**  $\exists c'. \text{next-change-multiplicity}' c c' \text{ loc } t n$   
**shows**  $\exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier } (\text{c-imp } c \text{ loc}) \wedge t' \leq t n \neq 0$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *the-cm-eq-apply-cm*:  
**assumes**  $\exists c'. \text{next-change-multiplicity}' c c' \text{ loc } t n$   
**shows**  $\text{the-cm } c \text{ loc } t n = \text{apply-cm } c \text{ loc } t n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *apply-cm-preserves-cond*:  
**assumes**  $\forall (loc, t) \in \text{set-zmset } \Delta. \exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier } (\text{c-imp } c0 \text{ loc}) \wedge t' \leq t$   
**shows**  $\forall (loc, t) \in \text{set-zmset } \Delta. \exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier } (\text{c-imp } (\text{apply-cm } c0 \text{ loc}' t'' n) \text{ loc}) \wedge t' \leq t$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cm-all-eq-cm-all'*:  
**assumes**  $\forall (loc, t) \in \text{set-zmset } \Delta. \exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier } (\text{c-imp } c0 \text{ loc}) \wedge t' \leq t$   
**shows**  $\text{cm-all } c0 \Delta = \text{cm-all}' c0 \Delta$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cm-eq-the-cm*:  
**assumes** *next-change-multiplicity'*  $c c' \text{ loc } t n$   
**shows**  $\text{the-cm } c \text{ loc } t n = c'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zcount-ps-apply-cm*:  
 $\text{zcount } (\text{c-pts } (\text{apply-cm } c \text{ loc } t n) \text{ loc}') t' = \text{zcount } (\text{c-pts } c \text{ loc}') t' + (\text{if } \text{loc} = \text{loc}' \wedge t = t' \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zcount-pointstamps-update*:  $\text{zcount } (\text{c-pts } (c \parallel \text{c-pts} := M)) \text{ loc } x = \text{zcount } (M \text{ loc}) x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nop*:  $\text{loc1} \neq \text{loc2} \vee t1 \neq t2 \longrightarrow$   
 $\text{zcount } (\text{c-pts } (\text{apply-cm } c \text{ loc2 } t2 (\text{zcount } \Delta (\text{loc2}, t2))) \text{ loc1}) t1 =$   
 $\text{zcount } (\text{c-pts } c \text{ loc1}) t1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *fold-nop*:  
 $\text{zcount } (\text{c-pts } (\text{Finite-Set.fold } (\lambda(\text{loc}', t') \text{ c. apply-cm } c \text{ loc}' t') (\text{zcount } \Delta' (\text{loc}', t')))) c$   
 $= \text{zcount } (\text{c-pts } c \text{ loc}) t$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zcount-pointstamps-cm-all'*:  
 $\text{zcount } (\text{c-pts } (\text{cm-all}' c \Delta) \text{ loc}) x$   
 $= \text{zcount } (\text{c-pts } c \text{ loc}) x + \text{zcount } \Delta (\text{loc}, x)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *implications-apply-cm[simp]*:  $\text{c-imp } (\text{apply-cm } c \text{ loc } t n) = \text{c-imp } c$   
*(proof)*

```

lemma implications-cm-all[simp]:
  c-imp (cm-all' c Δ) = c-imp c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-cm-inv-cm-all':
  assumes (Δ c0 c1 loc t n. P c0  $\implies$  next-change-multiplicity' c0 c1 loc t n  $\implies$  P c1)
    and  $\forall (loc, t) \in \#_z \Delta. \exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier} (c\text{-imp } c0 \text{ loc}) \wedge t' \leq t$ 
    and P c0
  shows P (cm-all' c0 Δ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-cm-inv-cm-all:
  assumes Δ c0 c1 loc t n. P c0  $\implies$  next-change-multiplicity' c0 c1 loc t n  $\implies$  P c1
    and  $\forall (loc, t) \in \#_z \Delta. \exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier} (c\text{-imp } c0 \text{ loc}) \wedge t' \leq t$ 
    and P c0
  shows P (cm-all c0 Δ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-min-worklist:
  assumes (a (loc'::(- :: finite))::((t :: order) zmultiset))  $\neq \{\#\}_z$ 
  obtains loc t
  where t  $\in \#_z a$  loc
    and  $\forall t' \text{ loc'}. t' \in \#_z a \text{ loc}' \longrightarrow \neg t' < t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma propagate-pointstamps-eq:
  assumes c-work c loc  $\neq \{\#\}_z$ 
  shows c-pts c = c-pts (SOME c'.  $\exists loc t. \text{next-propagate}' c c' loc t$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma propagate-all-imp-InvGlobPointstampsEq:
  Some c1 = propagate-all c0  $\implies$  c-pts c0 = c-pts c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-next-propagate':
  assumes c-work c loc  $\neq \{\#\}_z$ 
  shows  $\exists c' \text{ loc } t. \text{next-propagate}' c c' \text{ loc } t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-propagate-inv-propagate-all:
  assumes (Δ c0 c1 loc t. P c0  $\implies$  next-propagate' c0 c1 loc t  $\implies$  P c1)
    and P c0
    and propagate-all c0 = Some c1
  shows P c1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 8.4 Exchange is a Subsystem of Tracker

Steps in the Tracker are valid steps in the Exchange protocol.

**lemma** *next-imp-exchange-next*:

*Next' c0 c1*  $\implies$  *cri.next' (exchange-config c0) (exchange-config c1)*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *alw-next-imp-exchange-next*: *alw Next s*  $\implies$  *alw cri.next (smap exchange-config s)*

*{proof}*

Any Tracker trace is a valid Exchange trace

**lemma** *spec-imp-exchange-spec*: *FullSpec s*  $\implies$  *cri.spec (smap exchange-config s)*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *lift-exchange-invariant*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge_s \text{cri.spec } s \implies \text{alw} (\text{holds } P) s$   
**shows** *FullSpec s*  $\implies$  *alw ( $\lambda s. P (\text{exchange-config} (\text{shd } s))$ ) s*  
*{proof}*

Lifted Exchange invariants

**lemmas**

<i>exch-alw-InvCapsNonneg</i>	$= \text{lift-exchange-invariant}[\text{OF cri.alw-InvCapsNonneg,}$
<i>unfolded atomize-imp, simplified, folded atomize-imp]</i>	<b>and</b>
<i>exch-alw-InvRecordCount</i>	$= \text{lift-exchange-invariant}[\text{OF cri.alw-InvRecordCount,}$
<i>simplified atomize-imp, simplified, folded atomize-imp]</i>	<b>and</b>
<i>exch-alw-InvRecordsNonneg</i>	$= \text{lift-exchange-invariant}[\text{OF cri.alw-InvRecordsNonneg,}$
<i>simplified atomize-imp, simplified, folded atomize-imp]</i>	<b>and</b>
<i>exch-alw-InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVacant</i>	$= \text{lift-exchange-invariant}[\text{OF cri.alw-InvGlobVacantImpRecordsVa-}$
<i>simplified atomize-imp, simplified, folded atomize-imp]</i>	<b>and</b>
<i>exch-alw-InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos</i>	$= \text{lift-exchange-invariant}[\text{OF cri.alw-InvGlobNonposImpRecordsN-}$
<i>simplified atomize-imp, simplified, folded atomize-imp]</i>	<b>and</b>
<i>exch-alw-InvJustifiedGII</i>	$= \text{lift-exchange-invariant}[\text{OF cri.alw-InvJustifiedGII,}$
<i>simplified atomize-imp, simplified, folded atomize-imp]</i>	<b>and</b>
<i>exch-alw-InvJustifiedII</i>	$= \text{lift-exchange-invariant}[\text{OF cri.alw-InvJustifiedII,}$
<i>simplified atomize-imp, simplified, folded atomize-imp]</i>	<b>and</b>
<i>exch-alw-InvGlobNonposEqVacant</i>	$= \text{lift-exchange-invariant}[\text{OF cri.alw-InvGlobNonposEqVacant,}$
<i>simplified atomize-imp, simplified, folded atomize-imp]</i>	<b>and</b>
<i>exch-alw-InvMsgInGlob</i>	$= \text{lift-exchange-invariant}[\text{OF cri.alw-InvMsgInGlob,}$
<i>simplified atomize-imp, simplified, folded atomize-imp]</i>	<b>and</b>
<i>exch-alw-InvTempJustified</i>	$= \text{lift-exchange-invariant}[\text{OF cri.alw-InvTempJustified,}$
<i>simplified atomize-imp, simplified, folded atomize-imp]</i>	

## 8.5 Definitions

**definition** *safe-combined* :: ('*p*::finite, '*t*::order, '*loc*) configuration  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*safe-combined c*  $\equiv$   $\forall \text{loc1 loc2 t s p.}$

*zcount (cri.records (exchange-config c)) (loc1, t) > 0 \wedge s \in\_A path-summary*  
*loc1 loc2 \wedge init c p*

$$\longrightarrow (\exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier} (c\text{-imp} (\text{prop-config } c \text{ } p) \text{ } loc2) \wedge t' \leq \text{results-in } t \text{ } s)$$

```

definition safe-combined2 :: ('p::finite, 't::order, 'loc) configuration  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  safe-combined2 c  $\equiv$   $\forall loc1 \ loc2 \ t \ s \ p1 \ p2.$ 
    zcount (c-caps (exchange-config c) p1) (loc1, t) > 0  $\wedge$  s  $\in_A$  path-summary
    loc1 loc2  $\wedge$  init c p2
     $\longrightarrow (\exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier} (c\text{-imp} (\text{prop-config } c \text{ } p2) \text{ } loc2) \wedge t' \leq \text{results-in } t \text{ } s)$ 

definition InvGlobPointstampsEq :: ('p :: finite, 't :: order, 'loc) configuration  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool where
  InvGlobPointstampsEq c = (
    ( $\forall p \ loc \ t. \ zcount (c\text{-pts} (\text{prop-config } c \text{ } p) \text{ } loc) \ t$ 
     = zcount (c-glob (exchange-config c) p) (loc, t)))

lemma safe-combined-implies-safe-combined2:
  assumes cri.InvCapsNonneg (exchange-config c)
  and safe-combined c
  shows safe-combined2 c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 8.6 Propagate is a Subsystem of Tracker

### 8.6.1 CM Conditions

```

definition InvMsgCMConditions where
  InvMsgCMConditions c = ( $\forall p \ q.$ 
    init c q  $\longrightarrow$  c-msg (exchange-config c) p q  $\neq [] \longrightarrow$ 
    ( $\forall (loc, t) \in \#_z (hd (c\text{-msg} (\text{exchange-config } c) \text{ } p \text{ } q)). \exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier} (c\text{-imp} (\text{prop-config } c \text{ } q) \text{ } loc) \wedge t' \leq t$ )

```

Pointstamps in incoming messages all satisfy the CM premise, which is required during NextRecvUpd' steps.

```

lemma msg-is-cm-safe:
  fixes c :: ('p::finite, 't::order, 'loc) configuration
  assumes safe (prop-config c q)
  and InvGlobPointstampsEq c
  and cri.InvMsgInGlob (exchange-config c)
  and c-msg (exchange-config c) p q  $\neq []$ 
  shows  $\forall (loc, t) \in \#_z (hd (c\text{-msg} (\text{exchange-config } c) \text{ } p \text{ } q)). \exists t'. t' \in_A \text{frontier} (c\text{-imp} (\text{prop-config } c \text{ } q) \text{ } loc) \wedge t' \leq t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 8.6.2 Propagate Safety and InvGlobPointstampsEq

To be able to use the *msg-is-cm-safe* lemma at all times and show that Propagate is a subsystem we need to prove that the specification implies Propagate's safe and the *InvGlobPointstampsEq*. Both of these depend on the CM conditions being satisfied during the NextRecvUpd' step and

the safety proof additionally depends on other Propagate invariants, which means that we need to prove all of these jointly.

```

abbreviation prop-invs where
  prop-invs c ≡ inv-implications-nonneg c ∧ inv-imps-work-sum c

abbreviation prop-safe where
  prop-safe c ≡ impl-safe c ∧ safe c

definition inv-init-imp-prop-safe where
  inv-init-imp-prop-safe c = (forall p. init c p → prop-safe (prop-config c p))

lemma NextRecvUpd'-preserves-prop-safe:
  assumes prop-safe (prop-config c0 q)
  and InvGlobPointstampsEq c0
  and cri.InvMsgInGlob (exchange-config c0)
  and NextRecvUpd' c0 c1 p q
  shows prop-safe (prop-config c1 q)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma NextRecvUpd'-preserves-InvGlobPointstampsEq:
  assumes impl-safe (prop-config c0 q) ∧ safe (prop-config c0 q)
  and InvGlobPointstampsEq c0
  and cri.InvMsgInGlob (exchange-config c0)
  and NextRecvUpd' c0 c1 p q
  shows InvGlobPointstampsEq c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma NextPropagate'-causes-safe:
  assumes NextPropagate' c0 c1 p
  and inv-imps-work-sum (prop-config c1 p)
  and inv-implications-nonneg (prop-config c1 p)
  shows safe (prop-config c1 p) impl-safe (prop-config c1 p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma NextPropagate'-preserves-safe:
  assumes NextPropagate' c0 c1 q
  and inv-imps-work-sum (prop-config c1 p)
  and inv-implications-nonneg (prop-config c1 p)
  and safe (prop-config c0 p)
  shows safe (prop-config c1 p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma NextPropagate'-preserves-impl-safe:
  assumes NextPropagate' c0 c1 q
  and inv-imps-work-sum (prop-config c1 p)
  and inv-implications-nonneg (prop-config c1 p)
  and impl-safe (prop-config c0 p)
  shows impl-safe (prop-config c1 p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma NextRecvUpd'-preserves-inv-init-imp-prop-safe:

```

```

assumes cri.InvMsgInGlob (exchange-config c0)
and inv-init-imp-prop-safe c0
and InvGlobPointstampsEq c0
and NextRecvUpd' c0 c1 p q
shows inv-init-imp-prop-safe c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma NextRecvUpd'-preserves-prop-invs:
assumes cri.InvMsgInGlob (exchange-config c0)
and inv-init-imp-prop-safe c0
and ∀ p. prop-invs (prop-config c0 p)
and InvGlobPointstampsEq c0
and NextRecvUpd' c0 c1 p q
shows ∀ p. prop-invs (prop-config c1 p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma NextPropagate'-preserves-prop-invs:
assumes prop-invs (prop-config c0 q)
and NextPropagate' c0 c1 p
shows prop-invs (prop-config c1 q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma NextPropagate'-preserves-inv-init-imp-prop-safe:
assumes prop-invs (prop-config c0 p)
and inv-init-imp-prop-safe c0
and NextPropagate' c0 c1 p
shows inv-init-imp-prop-safe c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma Next'-preserves-invs:
assumes cri.InvMsgInGlob (exchange-config c0)
and inv-init-imp-prop-safe c0
and InvGlobPointstampsEq c0
and Next' c0 c1
and ∀ p. prop-invs (prop-config c0 p)
shows
inv-init-imp-prop-safe c1
∀ p. prop-invs (prop-config c1 p)
InvGlobPointstampsEq c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-imp-InvGlobPointstampsEq: InitConfig c ⇒ InvGlobPointstampsEq c
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-imp-inv-init-imp-prop-safe: InitConfig c ⇒ inv-init-imp-prop-safe c
⟨proof⟩

lemma init-imp-prop-invs: InitConfig c ⇒ ∀ p. prop-invs (prop-config c p)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *all-invs* **where**

*all-invs*  $c \equiv InvGlobPointstampsEq c \wedge inv\text{-}init\text{-}imp\text{-}prop\text{-}safe c \wedge (\forall p. prop\text{-}invs (prop\text{-}config c p))$

**lemma** *alw-Next'-alw-invs*:

**assumes** *holds all-invs s*

**and** *alw (holds ( $\lambda c. cri.InvMsgInGlob (exchange-config c)$ )) s*

**and** *alw Next s*

**shows** *alw (holds all-invs) s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-invs*: *FullSpec s  $\implies$  alw (holds all-invs) s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-InvGlobPointstampsEq*: *FullSpec s  $\implies$  alw (holds InvGlobPointstampsEq)*

*s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-inv-init-imp-prop-safe*: *FullSpec s  $\implies$  alw (holds inv-init-imp-prop-safe)*

*s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-holds-conv-shd*: *alw (holds  $\varphi$ ) s = alw ( $\lambda s. \varphi (shd s)$ ) s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *alw-prop-invs*: *FullSpec s  $\implies$  alw (holds ( $\lambda c. \forall p. prop\text{-}invs (prop\text{-}config c p)$ )) s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nrec-pts-delayed*:

**assumes** *cri.InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos (exchange-config c)*

**and** *zcount (cri.records (exchange-config c)) x > 0*

**shows**  $\exists x'. x' \leq_p x \wedge zcount (c\text{-glob} (exchange-config c) p) x' > 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *help-lemma*:

**assumes**  $0 < zcount (c\text{-pts} (prop\text{-}config c p) loc0) t0$

**and**  $(loc0, t0) \leq_p (loc1, t1)$

**and**  $s2 \in_A path\text{-}summary loc1 loc2$

**and** *safe (prop-config c p)*

**shows**  $\exists t2. (t2 \leq results\text{-}in t1 s2$

$\wedge t2 \in_A frontier (c\text{-imp} (prop\text{-}config c p) loc2))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lift-prop-inv-NextPropagate'*:

**assumes**  $(\bigwedge c0 c1 loc t. P c0 \implies next\text{-}propagate' c0 c1 loc t \implies P c1)$

**shows**  $P (prop\text{-}config c0 p') \implies NextPropagate' c0 c1 p \implies P (prop\text{-}config c1 p')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 8.6.3 Propagate is a Subsystem

```

lemma NextRecvUpd'-next':
  assumes safe (prop-config c0 q)
  and InvGlobPointstampsEq c0
  and cri.InvMsgInGlob (exchange-config c0)
  and NextRecvUpd' c0 c1 p q
  shows next'^++ (prop-config c0 q') (prop-config c1 q')
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma NextPropagate'-next':
  assumes NextPropagate' c0 c1 p
  shows next'^++ (prop-config c0 q) (prop-config c1 q)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma next-imp-propagate-next:
  assumes inv-init-imp-prop-safe c0
  and InvGlobPointstampsEq c0
  and cri.InvMsgInGlob (exchange-config c0)
  shows Next' c0 c1  $\implies$  next'^++ (prop-config c0 p) (prop-config c1 p)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma alw-next-imp-propagate-next:
  assumes alw (holds inv-init-imp-prop-safe) s
  and alw (holds InvGlobPointstampsEq) s
  and alw (holds cri.InvMsgInGlob) (smap exchange-config s)
  and alw Next s
  shows alw (relates (next'^++)) (smap (\lambda s. prop-config s p) s)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Any Tracker trace is a valid Propagate trace (using the transitive closure of next, since tracker may take multiple propagate steps at once).

```

lemma spec-imp-propagate-spec: FullSpec s  $\implies$  (holds init-config aand alw (relates (next'^++))) (smap (\lambda c. prop-config c p) s)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

## 8.7 Safety Proofs

```

lemma safe-satisfied:
  assumes cri.InvGlobNonposImpRecordsNonpos (exchange-config c)
  and inv-init-imp-prop-safe c
  and InvGlobPointstampsEq c
  shows safe-combined c
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma alw-safe-combined: FullSpec s  $\implies$  alw (holds safe-combined) s
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma alw-safe-combined2: FullSpec s  $\implies$  alw (holds safe-combined2) s
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma alw-implication-frontier-eq-implied-frontier:
  FullSpec s  $\implies$ 
    alw (holds ( $\lambda c.$  worklists-vacant-to (prop-config c p) b  $\longrightarrow$ 
      b  $\in_A$  frontier (c-imp (prop-config c p) loc)  $\longleftrightarrow$  b  $\in_A$  implied-frontier (c-pts
      (prop-config c p)) loc)) s
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## References

- [1] Github: Timely dataflow.
- [2] M. Abadi, F. McSherry, D. G. Murray, and T. L. Rodeheffer. Formal analysis of a distributed algorithm for tracking progress. In D. Beyer and M. Boreale, editors, *FMOODS/FORTE 2013*, volume 7892 of *LNCS*, pages 5–19. Springer, 2013.
- [3] M. Brun, S. Decova, A. Lattuada, and D. Traytel. Verified progress tracking for timely dataflow. In L. Cohen and C. Kaliszyk, editors, *12th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving, ITP 2021*, LIPIcs. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021. To appear.
- [4] D. G. Murray, F. McSherry, R. Isaacs, M. Isard, P. Barham, and M. Abadi. Naiad: a timely dataflow system. In M. Kaminsky and M. Dahlin, editors, *SOSP 2013*, pages 439–455. ACM, 2013.