

Perron's Formula

Manuel Eberl

June 26, 2026

Abstract

This entry provides a proof of *Perron's Formula*, which states that for a Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ with abscissa of convergence σ_c we have for any c, z, x with $c > 0$, $x > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) > \sigma_c - c$:

$$\sum'_{n \leq x} a_n n^{-z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} f(z+w) x^s w \frac{dw}{w}$$

Additionally, various explicit bounds for the remainder (i.e. when using some finite value of T instead of the limit) are shown.

As an interesting nontrivial auxiliary result, asymptotic bounds for a Dirichlet series near $\pm i\infty$ are also included, namely that if $a \in [0, 1)$ then $f(\sigma + it) \in o(t^{1-a})$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, uniformly for all $\sigma \geq \sigma_c + a + \varepsilon$.

The proofs mainly follow Tenenbaum's *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory* [1] and Titchmarsh's *Theory of Functions* [2].

Contents

1	Auxiliary material	3
1.1	General analysis	3
1.2	Complex analysis	6
1.3	Dirichlet series	7
2	Bounding Dirichlet series at $\pm i\infty$	8
3	Perron's formula	10
3.1	Definitions	10
3.2	The integral $\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} a^z/z dz$	11
3.3	The textbook version	12
3.4	The first effective version	13
3.5	The second effective version	14

1 Auxiliary material

```
theory Perron_Prerequisites
  imports "Dirichlet_Series.Dirichlet_Series_Analysis"
begin
```

1.1 General analysis

```
lemma at_infinity_conv_filtercomap_norm_at_top: "at_infinity = filtercomap
norm at_top"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma at_infinity_conv_filtercomap_abs_at_top:
  "at_infinity = filtercomap (abs :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real) at_top"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma tendsto_dist_sandwich:
  assumes "eventually ( $\lambda n. \text{dist } (f \ n) \ c \leq g \ n) \ F"$ 
  assumes " $(g \longrightarrow 0) \ F"$ "
  shows " $(f \longrightarrow c) \ F"$ "
  <proof>
```

```
lemma summation_by_parts:
  fixes  $f \ g :: \text{"nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{comm\_ring\_1}"$ 
  assumes " $m \leq n$ "
  shows " $(\sum_{k=m..n} f \ k * (g \ (\text{Suc } k) - g \ k)) =$ 
 $f \ (\text{Suc } n) * g \ (\text{Suc } n) - f \ m * g \ m - (\sum_{k=m..n} g \ (\text{Suc } k) *$ 
 $(f \ (\text{Suc } k) - f \ k))"$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma bounded_normE:
  assumes "bounded A"
  obtains C where " $C > c$ " " $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{norm } x < C$ "
  <proof>
```

```
lemma norm_suminf_le':
  fixes  $f :: \text{"nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{banach}"$ 
  assumes " $\bigwedge n. \text{norm } (f \ n) \leq g \ n$ " " $g \ \text{sums } A$ "
  shows " $\text{norm } (\text{suminf } f) \leq A$ "
  <proof>
```

```
proposition swap_uniform_limit':
  assumes  $f: \text{"}\forall_F \ n \ \text{in } F. (f \ n \longrightarrow g \ n) \ G"$ 
  assumes  $g: \text{"}(g \longrightarrow 1) \ F"$ 
  assumes  $uc: \text{"uniform\_limit } S \ f \ h \ F"$ 
  assumes  $ev: \text{"}\forall_F \ x \ \text{in } G. x \in S"$ 
  assumes " $\neg \text{trivial\_limit } F$ "
  shows " $(h \longrightarrow 1) \ G$ "
  <proof>
```

```

lemma uniform_limit_compose':
  assumes "uniform_limit A f g F" and "h ' B ⊆ A"
  shows "uniform_limit B (λn x. f n (h x)) (λx. g (h x)) F"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma integrable_stretch_real:
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ 'b::real_normed_vector"
  assumes "f integrable_on {a..b}" and "m ≠ 0"
  shows "(λx. f (m * x)) integrable_on ((λx. x / m) ' {a..b})"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma integrable_stretch_real_iff:
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ 'b::real_normed_vector"
  assumes "m ≠ 0"
  shows "(λx. f (m * x)) integrable_on ((λx. x / m) ' {a..b}) ⟷ f
  integrable_on {a..b}"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma integral_stretch_real:
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ 'b::real_normed_vector"
  assumes "m ≠ 0"
  shows "integral ((λx. x / m) ' {a..b}) (λx. f (m * x)) = (1 / |m|)
  *R integral {a..b} f"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cbox_shift: "(+) c ' cbox a b = cbox (a + c) (b + c)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cbox_shift': "(λx. x + c) ' cbox a b = cbox (a + c) (b + c)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cbox_shift'': "(λx. x - c) ' cbox a b = cbox (a - c) (b - c)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_integral_shift_cbox:
  fixes f :: "'a :: euclidean_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_vector"
  assumes "(f has_integral I) (cbox a b)"
  shows "((λx. f (x + c)) has_integral I) (cbox (a - c) (b - c))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma integrable_shift_cbox:
  fixes f :: "'a :: euclidean_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_vector"
  assumes "f integrable_on cbox a b"
  shows "(λx. f (x + c)) integrable_on (cbox (a - c) (b - c))"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma integrable_shift_cbox_iff:
  fixes f :: "'a :: euclidean_space  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: real_normed_vector"
  shows "( $\lambda x. f (x + c)$ ) integrable_on (cbox (a - c) (b - c))  $\longleftrightarrow$  f
  integrable_on cbox a b"
  <proof>

lemma integral_shift_cbox:
  fixes f :: "'a :: euclidean_space  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: real_normed_vector"
  shows "integral (cbox (a - c) (b - c)) ( $\lambda x. f (x + c)$ ) = integral
  (cbox a b) f"
  <proof>

lemma has_integral_shift_real_ivl:
  fixes f :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: real_normed_vector"
  assumes "(f has_integral I) {a..b}"
  shows "(( $\lambda x. f (x + c)$ ) has_integral I) {a-c..b-c}"
  <proof>

lemma integrable_shift_real_ivl:
  fixes f :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: real_normed_vector"
  assumes "f integrable_on {a..b}"
  shows "( $\lambda x. f (x + c)$ ) integrable_on {a-c..b-c}"
  <proof>

lemma integrable_shift_real_ivl_iff:
  fixes f :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: real_normed_vector"
  shows "( $\lambda x. f (x + c)$ ) integrable_on {a-c..b-c}  $\longleftrightarrow$  f integrable_on
  {a..b}"
  <proof>

lemma integral_shift_real_ivl:
  fixes f :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: real_normed_vector"
  shows "integral {a-c..b-c} ( $\lambda x. f (x + c)$ ) = integral {a..b} f"
  <proof>

lemma Union_atLeastAtMost_real_of_nat:
  assumes "a < b"
  shows "( $\bigcup_{n \in \{a..<b\}} \{real\ n..real\ (n + 1)\}$ ) = {real a..real b}"
  <proof>

lemma nat_sum_has_integral_floor:
  fixes f :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: banach"
  shows "(( $\lambda x. f (nat \lfloor x \rfloor)$ ) has_integral sum f {m..<n}) {real m..real
  n}"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma nat_sum_has_integral_ceiling:
  fixes f :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: banach"
  shows " $((\lambda x. f (nat \lceil x \rceil)) \text{ has\_integral sum } f \{m<..n\}) \{real m..real n\}$ "
  <proof>

```

1.2 Complex analysis

```

lemma analytic_imp_contour_integrable:
  "f analytic_on path_image g  $\implies$  valid_path g  $\implies$  f contour_integrable_on g"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma contour_integral_rectpath:
  assumes "f analytic_on path_image (rectpath a b)"
  shows "contour_integral (rectpath a b) f =
    contour_integral (linepath a (Complex (Re b) (Im a))) f +
    contour_integral (linepath (Complex (Re b) (Im a)) b) f +
    contour_integral (linepath b (Complex (Re a) (Im b))) f +
    contour_integral (linepath (Complex (Re a) (Im b)) a) f"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma contour_integral_bound_linepath':
  "[[f contour_integrable_on (linepath a b);
    0  $\leq$  B;  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{closed\_segment } a \ b \implies \text{norm}(f \ x) \leq B$ ; c = norm
(b - a)]]
 $\implies$  norm(contour_integral (linepath a b) f)  $\leq$  B * c"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma contour_integral_linepath_same_Im:
  assumes "Im z = T" "Im z' = T" "Re z = a" "Re z' = b" "a < b"
  shows "contour_integral (linepath z z') f =
    integral {a..b} ( $\lambda x. f (\text{Complex } x \ T)$ )"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma contour_integral_linepath_same_Re:
  assumes "Re z = c" "Re z' = c" "Im z = a" "Im z' = b" "a < b"
  shows "contour_integral (linepath z z') f =
    i * integral {a..b} ( $\lambda x. f (\text{Complex } c \ x)$ )"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma continuous_on_Complex [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
  shows "continuous_on A ( $\lambda x. \text{Complex } (f \ x) \ (g \ x)$ )"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma contour_integral_primitive':
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies (f \text{ has\_field\_derivative } f' \ x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } S)$ "

```

```

S)"
  and "valid_path g" "path_image g  $\subseteq$  S" "pathfinish g = b" "pathstart
g = a"
  shows "(f' has_contour_integral (f b - f a)) g"
  <proof>

lemma fds_converges_0_imp_summable_fds_nth:
  assumes "fds_converges f 0"
  shows "summable (fds_nth f)"
  <proof>

lemma contour_integral_rmul: "contour_integral g ( $\lambda$ x. f x * c) = contour_integral
g f * c"
  <proof>

lemma contour_integral_lmul: "contour_integral g ( $\lambda$ x. c * f x) = c *
contour_integral g f"
  <proof>

lemma contour_integral_divide: "contour_integral g ( $\lambda$ x. f x / c) = contour_integral
g f / c"
  <proof>

lemma uniform_limit_contour_integral_linepath:
  assumes u: "uniform_limit (path_image (linepath a b)) f g F"
  assumes c: " $\bigwedge$ n. continuous_on (path_image (linepath a b)) (f n)"
  assumes [simp]: "F  $\neq$  bot"
  obtains I J where
    " $\bigwedge$ n. (f n has_contour_integral I n) (linepath a b)"
    "(g has_contour_integral J) (linepath a b)"
    "(I  $\longrightarrow$  J) F"
  <proof>

lemma contour_integral_sums_linepath:
  assumes u: "uniform_limit (closed_segment a b) ( $\lambda$ N w.  $\sum$ n<N. f n w)
g sequentially"
  assumes c: " $\bigwedge$ n. continuous_on (closed_segment a b) (f n)"
  obtains J where
    "(g has_contour_integral J) (linepath a b)"
    "( $\lambda$ n. contour_integral (linepath a b) (f n)) sums J"
  <proof>

```

1.3 Dirichlet series

```

lemma uniform_limit_eval_fds:
  fixes f :: "'a :: dirichlet_series fds"
  assumes "compact B" " $\bigwedge$ z. z  $\in$  B  $\implies$  conv_abscissa f < ereal (z  $\cdot$  1)"

```

```

  shows "uniform_limit B (λN z. ∑ n≤N. fds_nth f n / nat_power n z)
(eval_fds f) sequentially"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uniform_limit_eval_fds':
  fixes f :: "'a :: dirichlet_series fds"
  assumes "compact B" "∧z. z ∈ B ⇒ conv_abscissa f < ereal (z · 1)"
  shows "uniform_limit B (λN z. ∑ n<N. fds_nth f n / nat_power n z)
(eval_fds f) sequentially"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma conv_abscissa_shift [simp]:
  "conv_abscissa (fds_shift c f) = conv_abscissa (f :: 'a :: dirichlet_series
fds) + c · 1"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma abs_conv_abscissa_fds_zeta [simp]:
  "abs_conv_abscissa (fds_zeta :: 'a :: dirichlet_series fds) = 1"
⟨proof⟩

```

end

2 Bounding Dirichlet series at $\pm i\infty$

```

theory Dirichlet_Series_At_I_Infinity_Bound
  imports "Dirichlet_Series.Dirichlet_Series_Analysis" Perron_Prerequisites
begin

```

This lemma corresponds to 9.11 (2) in Titchmarsh's Theory of Functions. It bounds the difference of two successive terms in the Dirichlet series expansion of the Riemann ζ function.

```

lemma dist_consec_nat_powr_complex_le:
  assumes "n > 0" and "Re s ≠ 0"
  shows "norm (of_nat n powr (-s) - of_nat (Suc n) powr (-s)) ≤
norm s / Re s * (real n powr (-Re s) - real (Suc n) powr
(-Re s))"
⟨proof⟩

```

For any $c > 0$, the real-valued function $f(x) = \frac{c^x - 1}{x}$ is differentiable everywhere, with a removable singularity at $x = 0$. If $c = 1$ it is the constant zero function; otherwise it is strictly increasing.

```

definition fds_at_ii_infinity_bound :: "real ⇒ real ⇒ real" where
  "fds_at_ii_infinity_bound c x = (if x = 0 then ln c else ((c powr x
- 1) / x))"

```

```

lemma fds_at_ii_infinity_bound_1_left [simp]: "fds_at_ii_infinity_bound
1 = (λx. 0)"

```

<proof>

definition `fds_at_ii_infinity_bound_deriv` :: "real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real" where
"fds_at_ii_infinity_bound_deriv c x =
 (if x = 0 then ln c ^ 2 / 2 else (1 + c powr x * (x * ln c - 1))
/ x ^ 2)"

lemma `fds_at_ii_infinity_bound_deriv_pos`:
 assumes "c > 0" "c \neq 1"
 shows "fds_at_ii_infinity_bound_deriv c x > 0"
<proof>

lemma `has_field_derivative_fds_at_ii_infinity_bound`:
 assumes "c > 0"
 defines "f \equiv fds_at_ii_infinity_bound c"
 defines "f' \equiv fds_at_ii_infinity_bound_deriv c"
 shows "(f has_field_derivative f' x) (at x within A)"
<proof>

lemma `strict_mono_fds_at_ii_infinity_bound`:
 assumes "c > 0" "c \neq 1"
 defines "f \equiv fds_at_ii_infinity_bound c"
 defines "f' \equiv fds_at_ii_infinity_bound_deriv c"
 shows "strict_mono f"
<proof>

lemma `mono_fds_at_ii_infinity_bound`:
 assumes "c > 0"
 shows "mono (fds_at_ii_infinity_bound c)"
<proof>

In the rest of this section, we will derive Theorem 9.33 in Titchmarsh's Theory of Functions, which bounds the value of a Dirichlet series as the imaginary part of its argument tends to $\pm\infty$.

lemma `eval_fds_bigo_Im_going_to_infinity_aux1`:
 fixes f :: "complex fds"
 assumes "fds_converges f 0" and c: "0 < c" "c < 1"
 defines "fltr \equiv Im_going_to at_infinity within {s. Re s \geq c}"
 shows "eval_fds f \in O[fltr](λ s. of_real (|Im s| powr (1 - c)))"
<proof>

lemma `eval_fds_bigo_Im_going_to_infinity_aux2`:
 fixes f :: "complex fds"
 assumes "fds_converges f s" and c: "Re s < c" "c < 1 + Re s"
 defines "fltr \equiv Im_going_to at_infinity within {s. Re s \geq c}"
 shows "eval_fds f \in O[fltr](λ w. of_real (|Im w| powr (1 - c + Re s)))"
<proof>

Now, the final theorem in its full generality: Let f be a Dirichlet series

with abscissa of convergence σ_c . Then for any reals a, c with $a \in [0, 1)$ and $c - a > \sigma_c$ we have $f(\sigma + it) \in o(t^{1-a})$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ uniformly for $\sigma \geq c$.

```

theorem eval_fds_smallo_Im_going_to_infinity:
  fixes f :: "complex fds" and c :: real
  assumes c: "ereal (c - a) > conv_abscissa f" and a: "a ∈ {0..<1}"
  defines "fltr ≡ Im going_to at_infinity within {s. Re s ≥ c}"
  shows "eval_fds f ∈ o[fltr](λw. of_real (|Im w| powr (1 - a)))"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

3 Perron's formula

```

theory Perrons_Formula
imports
  "Dirichlet_Series.Dirichlet_Series_Analysis"
  Perron_Prerequisites
  Dirichlet_Series_At_I_Infinity_Bound
begin

```

```

lemma (in comm_monoid_set) union_disjoint':
  assumes "A ∪ B = C" "A ∩ B = {}" "finite C"
  shows "F g C = f (F g A) (F g B)"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma infsum_Un_disjoint':
  fixes f :: "'a ⇒ 'b::{topological_comm_monoid_add, t2_space}"
  assumes "f summable_on A" "f summable_on B" "A ∩ B = {}" "C = A ∪ B"
  shows <infsum f C = infsum f A + infsum f B>
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.1 Definitions

```

definition sum_upto' :: "(nat ⇒ 'a :: real_vector) ⇒ real ⇒ 'a" where
  "sum_upto' f x = sum_upto (λi. (if real i = x then (1/2) else 1) *R f i) x"

```

```

definition perron_indicator :: "real ⇒ 'a :: field" where
  "perron_indicator a = (if a > 1 then 1 else if a = 1 then 1/2 else 0)"

```

```

lemma perron_indicator_simps [simp]:
  "a > 1 ⇒ perron_indicator a = 1"
  "perron_indicator 1 = 1 / 2"
  "a < 1 ⇒ perron_indicator a = 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 The integral $\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} a^z/z dz$

The following integral is a key important in Perron's formula: If $a, c > 0$ then:

$$\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{a^s}{s} ds = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \in (0,1) \\ i\pi & \text{if } a = 1 \\ 2i\pi & \text{if } a > 1 \end{cases}$$

Note that this integral is *not* absolutely convergent (i.e. it does not exist in the sense of a Lebesgue integral) but only in the sense of a Cauchy principal value around the singularity at ∞ .

context

```
fixes L :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  complex"
defines "L  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda$ c T. linepath (Complex c (-T)) (Complex c T))"
```

begin

```
definition perron_aux_integral :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  complex" where
  "perron_aux_integral a c T = contour_integral (L c T) ( $\lambda$ z. of_real a
  powr z / z)"
```

```
definition perron_remainder :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real" where
  "perron_remainder a c T = a powr c / (pi * T) * (if a = 1 then c else
  1 / |ln a|)"
```

```
lemma perron_remainder_1: "perron_remainder 1 c T = c / (pi * T)"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma perron_remainder_not_1: "a  $\neq$  1  $\implies$  perron_remainder a c T = a
  powr c / (pi * T * |ln a|)"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma perron_aux_integral_1:
  assumes c: "c > 0"
  shows "perron_aux_integral 1 c T = 2 * of_real (arctan (T / c)) *
  i"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma perron_aux_integral_bound:
  fixes a c T :: real
  assumes a: "a > 0" and c: "c > 0" and T: "T > 0"
  defines "I  $\equiv$  1 / (2 * pi * i) * perron_aux_integral a c T"
  shows "dist I (perron_indicator a)  $\leq$  perron_remainder a c T"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma perron_aux_integral_bound':
  fixes a c T :: real
  assumes a: "a > 0" and c: "c > 0" and T: "T > 0"
  shows "dist (perron_aux_integral a c T) (2 * pi * i * perron_indicator
```

a) \leq $2 * \text{pi} * \text{perron_remainder } a \ c \ T$

<proof>

lemma *perron_aux_integral_bound2:*

fixes *a c T :: real*

assumes *a: "a > 0" and c: "c > 0" and T: "T > 0"*

shows *"dist (perron_aux_integral a c T) (2 * pi * i * perron_indicator a) \leq*

*2 * (arctan (T / c) + |ln a| * T + pi) * a powr c"*

<proof>

lemma *perron_aux_integral:*

fixes *a c :: real*

assumes *"a > 0" "c > 0"*

shows *"(perron_aux_integral a c \longrightarrow 2 * pi * i * perron_indicator a) at_top"*

<proof>

3.3 The textbook version

The textbook version of Perron's formula says the following: Consider a Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ whose abscissa of convergence is σ_c . Then, for any c, z, x with $c > 0, x > 0, \text{Re}(z) > \sigma_c - c$ we have:

$$\sum'_{n \leq x} a_n n^{-z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} f(z+s) x^s \frac{ds}{s}$$

Note that the integral on the right-hand side must be interpreted as a Cauchy principal value around the singularity at ∞ and the \sum' on the left-hand side means that if x is an positive integer then the last summand $a(x)$ must be multiplied with $\frac{1}{2}$.

lemma *perron_asymp_aux1:*

fixes *b x :: real*

assumes *c: "c > 0" "ereal c > abs_conv_abscissa f"*

assumes *x: "x > 0"*

shows *"((λT . contour_integral (L c T) (λs . eval_fds f s * of_real x powr s / s))*

*\longrightarrow 2 * pi * i * sum_upto' (fds_nth f) x) at_top"*

<proof>

lemma *perron_asymp_aux2:*

fixes *c x :: real*

assumes *c: "c > 0" "ereal c > conv_abscissa f"*

assumes *x: "x > 0"*

shows *"((λT . contour_integral (L c T) (λs . eval_fds f s * of_real x powr s / s))*

⟨proof⟩ $\longrightarrow 2 * \text{pi} * i * \text{sum_upto}' (\text{fds_nth } f) x) \text{ at_top}$

theorem perron_asymp:

fixes $\text{fds} :: \text{"complex fds"}$ and $z :: \text{complex}$ and $c \times T :: \text{real}$
 assumes $c: "c > 0"$ "ereal $(\text{Re } z + c) > \text{conv_abscissa } f$ " and $x: "x > 0"$
 shows $"((\lambda T. \text{contour_integral } (L \ c \ T) (\lambda s. \text{eval_fds } f (z + s) * \text{of_real } x \text{ powr } s / s))$
 $\longrightarrow 2 * \text{pi} * i * \text{sum_upto}' (\lambda n. \text{fds_nth } f \ n / \text{of_nat } n$
 $\text{powr } z) x) \text{ at_top}"$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.4 The first effective version

As a more quantitative version of Perron's formula, we get for any $T, x > 0$ and $c > \max(0, \sigma_a)$:

$$\left| 2\pi i \sum'_{n \leq x} a_n n^{-z} - \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} f(z+s) x^s \frac{ds}{s} \right| \leq C x^c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n| n^{-c}}{1 + T |\log(x/n)|}$$

where $C = 4(\arctan(T/c) + \pi + 1)$.

Note that although C is not a constant we have $C \leq 6\pi + 4$ for all T, c .

definition perron_bound :: "complex fds \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real"
where

"perron_bound $f \ c \ x \ T = (\sum n. \text{norm } (\text{fds_nth } f \ n) / (n \text{ powr } c * (1 + T * |\ln (x / n)|)))"$

lemma perron_bound_fds_shift [simp]:

"perron_bound $(\text{fds_shift } s \ f) \ c \ x \ T = \text{perron_bound } f \ (c - \text{Re } s) \ x \ T"$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma sums_perron_bound:

fixes $\text{fds} :: \text{"complex fds"}$ and $c \times T :: \text{real}$
 assumes $c: \text{"ereal } c > \text{abs_conv_abscissa } f$ " and $T: "T > 0"$ and $x: "x > 0"$
 shows $"(\lambda n. \text{norm } (\text{fds_nth } f \ n) / (n \text{ powr } c * (1 + T * |\ln (x / n)|)))$
 $\text{sums perron_bound } f \ c \ x \ T"$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma perron_bound_nonneg:

fixes $\text{fds} :: \text{"complex fds"}$ and $c \times T :: \text{real}$
 assumes $c: \text{"ereal } c > \text{abs_conv_abscissa } f$ " and $T: "T > 0"$ and $x: "x > 0"$
 shows $"\text{perron_bound } f \ c \ x \ T \geq 0"$
 ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma perron_effective_strong_aux:
  fixes fds :: "complex fds" and c x T :: real
  assumes c: "c > 0" "ereal c > abs_conv_abcissa f" and T: "T > 0" and
  x: "x > 0"
  defines "A  $\equiv$  contour_integral (L c T) ( $\lambda$ s. eval_fds f s * of_real x
  powr s / s)"
  defines "B  $\equiv$  2 * pi * i * sum_upto' (fds_nth f) x"
  shows "dist A B  $\leq$  4 * (arctan (T / c) + pi + 1) * x powr c * perron_bound
  f c x T"
  <proof>

```

```

theorem perron_effective_strong:
  fixes fds :: "complex fds" and z :: complex and c x T :: real
  assumes c: "c > 0" "ereal c + ereal (Re z) > abs_conv_abcissa f" and
  T: "T > 0" and x: "x > 0"
  defines "A  $\equiv$  contour_integral (L c T) ( $\lambda$ s. eval_fds f (z + s) * of_real
  x powr s / s)"
  defines "B  $\equiv$  2 * pi * i * sum_upto' ( $\lambda$ n. fds_nth f n / of_nat n powr
  z) x"
  shows "dist A B  $\leq$  4 * (arctan (T / c) + pi + 1) * x powr c * perron_bound
  f (c + Re z) x T"
  <proof>

```

This corresponds to Theorem 2 in §II.2.1 of Tenenbaum's book.

```

corollary perron_effective:
  fixes fds :: "complex fds" and z :: complex and c x T :: real
  assumes c: "c > 0" "ereal c + ereal (Re z) > abs_conv_abcissa f" and
  T: "T > 0" and x: "x > 0"
  defines "A  $\equiv$  contour_integral (L c T) ( $\lambda$ s. eval_fds f (z + s) * of_real
  x powr s / s)"
  defines "B  $\equiv$  2 * pi * i * sum_upto' ( $\lambda$ n. fds_nth f n / of_nat n powr
  z) x"
  defines "C  $\equiv$  6 * pi + 4"
  shows "dist A B  $\leq$  C * x powr c * perron_bound f (c + Re z) x T"
  <proof>

```

3.5 The second effective version

Lastly, we derive Tenenbaum's Corollary 2.1, which he calls the *second* effective Perron formula. We first need a small auxiliary theorem that estimates $|\ln(x/n)|$ in terms of $|x - n|/x$. This is easily derived using the Mean Value Theorem.

```

lemma MVT2':
  assumes "a  $\neq$  b" " $\wedge$ x. x  $\in$  closed_segment a (b :: real)  $\implies$  (f has_field_derivative
  f' x) (at x)"
  shows " $\exists$ z $\in$ open_segment a b. f b - f a = (b - a) * f' z"
  <proof>

```

lemma perron_effective'_aux:

fixes $x\ b :: \text{real}$ **and** $n :: \text{nat}$

assumes $b: "b \geq 1"$ **and** $x: "x > 0"$ **and** $n: "n > 0"$ $"n \leq b * x"$

shows $"|\ln(x / \text{real } n)| \geq |x - \text{real } n| / (b * x)"$

<proof>

Now, the second effective Perron formula works in the following setting. Consider a Dirichlet series $f(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$ with a finite abscissa of absolute convergence σ_a . Let $x, T \geq 1$ be real numbers and s a complex number with real part $\sigma \leq \sigma_a$. Let c be a real number with $c > \sigma_a - \sigma$.

Let α be a real number such that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n| n^{-c-\sigma} \leq C(c + \sigma - \sigma_a)^{-\alpha}$$

and $B : [1, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a non-decreasing function such that $|a_n| \leq B(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Then we have:

$$\left| \sum'_{n \leq x} a_n n^s - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \frac{f(s+w)x^w}{w} dw \right| \leq$$

$$C_1 C_3^{c+\sigma} B(2x) x^{-\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{x}{T} (4 \ln T + 9) \right) +$$

$$C_2 \frac{x^c}{T(c + \sigma - \sigma_a)^\alpha}$$

for $C_1 = 4(3\pi + 2)$ and $C_2 = 2(3\pi + 2)C / \ln(2)$, and $C_3 = 2$ if $c + \sigma \geq 0$ and $C_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ otherwise.

Note that Tenenbaum's version looks somewhat different since it hides the constants behind "Big-O" notation and also specialises to $c := \sigma_a - \sigma + 1 / \ln x$.

theorem perron_effective':

fixes $c\ x\ T :: \text{real}$ **and** $s :: \text{complex}$

assumes $"\text{abs_conv_abscissa } f = \text{ereal } \sigma_a"$

assumes $C: "(\sum n. \text{norm } (f \text{ ds_nth } f\ n) / \text{real } n \text{ powr } (c + \text{Re } s)) \leq C$

$* (c + \text{Re } s - \sigma_a) \text{ powr } -\alpha"$

assumes $B: " \text{mono_on } \{1.. \} B"$ $" \bigwedge n. \text{norm } (f \text{ ds_nth } f\ n) \leq B\ n"$

assumes $x: "x \geq 1"$ **and** $T: "T \geq 1"$ **and** $s: " \text{Re } s \leq \sigma_a"$

assumes $c: "c > \sigma_a - \text{Re } s"$

defines $"A \equiv (\lambda x. 2 * \text{pi} * i * \text{sum_upto}' (\lambda n. f \text{ ds_nth } f\ n / n \text{ powr } s)$

$x)"$

defines $"I \equiv \text{contour_integral } (L\ c\ T) (\lambda s'. \text{eval_fds } f\ (s + s')) * \text{of_real}$

$x \text{ powr } s' / s'"$

defines $"C1 \equiv 4 * (3 * \text{pi} + 2)"$

defines $"C2 \equiv 2 * (3 * \text{pi} + 2) / \ln 2 * C"$

defines $"C3 \equiv (\text{if } c + \text{Re } s \geq 0 \text{ then } 2 \text{ else } 1/2 :: \text{real})"$

shows "dist (A x) I \leq
 $C1 * C3 \text{ powr } (c + \text{Re } s) * B (2 * x) / x \text{ powr } \text{Re } s * (1 + x$
 $/ T * (4 * \ln T + 9)) +$
 $C2 * x \text{ powr } c * (c + \text{Re } s - \sigma_a) \text{ powr } (-\alpha) / T"$
 <proof>

end

end

References

- [1] G. Tenenbaum. *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [2] E. C. Titchmarsh. *The Theory of Functions*. Oxford University Press, 2nd edition, 1939.