

Verifying a Decision Procedure for Pattern Completeness*

René Thiemann

University of Innsbruck, Austria

Akihisa Yamada

National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology,
Japan

June 3, 2024

Abstract

Pattern completeness is the property that the left-hand sides of a functional program or term rewrite system cover all cases w.r.t. pattern matching. We verify a recent (abstract) decision procedure for pattern completeness that covers the general case, i.e., in particular without the usual restriction of left-linearity. In two refinement steps, we further develop an executable version of that abstract algorithm. On our example suite, this verified implementation is faster than other implementations that are based on alternative (unverified) approaches, including the complement algorithm, tree automata encodings, and even the pattern completeness check of the GHC Haskell compiler.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Pattern Completeness	3
3	A Set-Based Inference System to Decide Pattern Completeness	3
3.1	Definition of Algorithm – Inference Rules	3
3.2	Soundness of the inference rules	6

*This research was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project I 5943.

4	A Multiset-Based Inference System to Decide Pattern Completeness	28
4.1	Definition of the Inference Rules	28
4.2	The evaluation cannot get stuck	30
4.3	Termination	34
4.4	Partial Correctness via Refinement	44
5	Computing Nonempty and Infinite sorts	47
5.1	Deciding the nonemptiness of all sorts under consideration	47
5.2	Deciding infiniteness of a sort	49
6	A List-Based Implementation to Decide Pattern Completeness	55
6.1	Definition of Algorithm	56
6.2	Partial Correctness of the Implementation	59
6.3	Getting the result outside the locale with assumptions	77
7	Pattern-Completeness and Related Properties	79
7.1	Connecting Pattern-Completeness, Strong Quasi-Reducibility and Quasi-Reducibility	85
8	Setup for Experiments	87

1 Introduction

This AFP entry includes the formalization of a decision procedure [4] for pattern completeness. It also contains the setup for running the experiments of that paper, i.e., it contains

- a generator for example term rewrite systems and Haskell programs of varying size,
- a connection to an implementation of the complement algorithm [2] within the ground confluence prover AGCP [1], and
- a tree automata encoder of pattern completeness that is linked with the tree automata library FORT-h [3].

Note that some further glue code is required to run the experiments, which is not included in this submission. Here, we just include the glue code that was defined within Isabelle theories.

2 Pattern Completeness

Pattern-completeness is the question whether in a given program all terms of the form $f(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ are matched by some lhs of the program, where here each c_i is a constructor ground term and f is a defined symbol. This will be represented as a pattern problem of the shape $(f(x_1, \dots, x_n), \text{lhs}_1, \dots, \text{lhs}_n)$ where the x_i will represent arbitrary constructor terms.

3 A Set-Based Inference System to Decide Pattern Completeness

This theory contains an algorithm to decide whether pattern problems are complete. It represents the inference rules of the paper on the set-based level.

On this level we prove partial correctness and preservation of well-formed inputs, but not termination.

```
theory Pattern-Completeness-Set
imports
  First-Order-Terms.Term-More
  Sorted-Terms.Sorted-Contexts
begin
```

3.1 Definition of Algorithm – Inference Rules

We first consider matching problems which are sets of term pairs. Note that in the term pairs the type of variables differ: Each left term has natural numbers (with sorts) as variables, so that it is easy to generate new variables, whereas each right term has arbitrary variables of type $'v$ without any further information. Then pattern problems are sets of matching problems, and we also have sets of pattern problems.

The suffix *-set* is used to indicate that here these problems are modeled via sets.

```
type-synonym (f, 'v, 's)match-problem-set = ((f, nat × 's)term × (f, 'v)term) set
```

```
type-synonym (f, 'v, 's)pat-problem-set = (f, 'v, 's)match-problem-set set
```

```
type-synonym (f, 'v, 's)pats-problem-set = (f, 'v, 's)pat-problem-set set
```

```
abbreviation (input) bottom :: (f, 'v, 's)pats-problem-set where bottom ≡ {{{}}
```

```
definition subst-left :: (f, nat × 's)subst ⇒ ((f, nat × 's)term × (f, 'v)term) ⇒
  ((f, nat × 's)term × (f, 'v)term) where
  subst-left  $\tau = (\lambda(t,r). (t \cdot \tau, r))$ 
```

A function to compute for a variable x all substitution that instantiate x

definition $\tau s :: nat \Rightarrow nat \times 's \Rightarrow ('f, nat \times 's)subst\ set$ **where**
 $\tau s\ n\ x = \{\tau c\ n\ x\ (f, ss) \mid f\ ss.\ f : ss \rightarrow snd\ x\ in\ C\}$

The transformation rules of the paper.

The formal definition contains two deviations from the rules in the paper: first, the instantiate-rule can always be applied; and second there is an identity rule, which will simplify later refinement proofs. Both of the deviations cause non-termination.

The formal inference rules further separate those rules that deliver a bottom-or top-element from the ones that deliver a transformed problem.

inductive $mp\text{-}step :: ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}set \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}set \Rightarrow bool$

(infix \rightarrow_s 50) where

$mp\text{-}decompose: length\ ts = length\ ls \Longrightarrow insert\ (Fun\ f\ ts,\ Fun\ f\ ls)\ mp\ \rightarrow_s\ set\ (zip\ ts\ ls) \cup mp$
 $| mp\text{-}match: x \notin \bigcup (vars\ 'snd\ 'mp) \Longrightarrow insert\ (t,\ Var\ x)\ mp\ \rightarrow_s\ mp$
 $| mp\text{-}identity: mp\ \rightarrow_s\ mp$

inductive $mp\text{-}fail :: ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$mp\text{-}clash: (f, length\ ts) \neq (g, length\ ls) \Longrightarrow mp\text{-}fail\ (insert\ (Fun\ f\ ts,\ Fun\ g\ ls)\ mp)$
 $| mp\text{-}clash': Conflict\text{-}Clash\ s\ t \Longrightarrow mp\text{-}fail\ (\{(s, Var\ x), (t, Var\ x)\} \cup mp)$

inductive $pp\text{-}step :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}set \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}set \Rightarrow bool$

(infix \Rightarrow_s 50) where

$pp\text{-}simp\text{-}mp: mp\ \rightarrow_s\ mp' \Longrightarrow insert\ mp\ pp \Rightarrow_s insert\ mp'\ pp$
 $| pp\text{-}remove\text{-}mp: mp\text{-}fail\ mp \Longrightarrow insert\ mp\ pp \Rightarrow_s pp$

inductive $pp\text{-}success :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$pp\text{-}success\ (insert\ \{\}\ pp)$

inductive $P\text{-}step\text{-}set :: ('f, 'v, 's)pats\text{-}problem\text{-}set \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)pats\text{-}problem\text{-}set \Rightarrow bool$

(infix \ni_s 50) where

$P\text{-}fail: insert\ \{\}\ P \ni_s\ bottom$
 $| P\text{-}simp: pp \ni_s\ pp' \Longrightarrow insert\ pp\ P \ni_s\ insert\ pp'\ P$
 $| P\text{-}remove\text{-}pp: pp\text{-}success\ pp \Longrightarrow insert\ pp\ P \ni_s\ P$
 $| P\text{-}instantiate: tvars\text{-}disj\text{-}pp\ \{n ..< n+m\}\ pp \Longrightarrow x \in tvars\text{-}pp\ pp \Longrightarrow insert\ pp\ P \ni_s\ \{subst\text{-}pat\text{-}problem\text{-}set\ \tau\ pp \mid \tau \in \tau s\ n\ x\} \cup P$
 $| P\text{-}failure': \forall mp \in pp.\ inf\text{-}var\text{-}conflict\ mp \Longrightarrow finite\ pp \Longrightarrow insert\ pp\ P \ni_s\ \{\{\}\}$

Note that in $P\text{-}failure'$ the conflicts have to be simultaneously occurring. If just some matching problem has such a conflict, then this cannot be deleted immediately!

Example-program: $f(x,x) = \dots, f(s(x),y) = \dots, f(x,s(y)) = \dots$ cover all cases of natural numbers, i.e., $f(x1,x2)$, but if one would immediately delete the matching problem of the first lhs because of the resulting *inf-var-conflict* in

$(x1,x),(x2,x)$ then it is no longer complete.

3.2 Soundness of the inference rules

The empty set of variables

definition $EMPTY :: 'v \Rightarrow 's \text{ option where } EMPTY\ x = None$

definition $EMPTYn :: nat \times 's \Rightarrow 's \text{ option where } EMPTYn\ x = None$

A constructor-ground substitution for the fixed set of constructors and set of sorts. Note that variables to instantiate are represented as pairs of (number, sort).

definition $cg\text{-subst} :: ('f, nat \times 's, 'v)gsubst \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$
 $cg\text{-subst}\ \sigma = (\forall x. snd\ x \in S \longrightarrow (\sigma\ x : snd\ x\ \text{in}\ \mathcal{T}(C, EMPTY)))$

A definition of pattern completeness for pattern problems.

definition $match\text{-complete}\text{-wrt} :: ('f, nat \times 's, 'v)gsubst \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-problem}\text{-set}$
 $\Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$
 $match\text{-complete}\text{-wrt}\ \sigma\ mp = (\exists\ \mu. \forall (t, l) \in mp. t \cdot \sigma = l \cdot \mu)$

definition $pat\text{-complete} :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-problem}\text{-set} \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$
 $pat\text{-complete}\ pp = (\forall\ \sigma. cg\text{-subst}\ \sigma \longrightarrow (\exists\ mp \in pp. match\text{-complete}\text{-wrt}\ \sigma\ mp))$

abbreviation $pats\text{-complete}\ P \equiv \forall pp \in P. pat\text{-complete}\ pp$

Well-formed matching and pattern problems: all occurring variables (in left-hand sides of matching problems) have a known sort.

definition $wf\text{-match} :: ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-problem}\text{-set} \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$
 $wf\text{-match}\ mp = (snd\ 'tvars\text{-mp}\ mp \subseteq S)$

definition $wf\text{-pat} :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-problem}\text{-set} \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$
 $wf\text{-pat}\ pp = (\forall mp \in pp. wf\text{-match}\ mp)$

definition $wf\text{-pats} :: ('f, 'v, 's)pats\text{-problem}\text{-set} \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$
 $wf\text{-pats}\ P = (\forall pp \in P. wf\text{-pat}\ pp)$

end

lemma $type\text{-conversion}: t : s\ \text{in}\ \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset) \Longrightarrow t \cdot \sigma : s\ \text{in}\ \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset)$

proof (*induct* $t\ s$ *rule:* *hastype-in-Term-induct*)

case (*Fun* $f\ ss\ \sigma\ s\ \tau$)

then show *?case unfolding eval-term.simps*

by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *Fun-hastype list-all2-map1 list-all2-mono*)

qed *auto*

lemma $ball\text{-insert}\text{-un}\text{-cong}: f\ y = Ball\ zs\ f \Longrightarrow Ball\ (insert\ y\ A)\ f = Ball\ (zs \cup A)\ f$

by *auto*

lemma *bex-insert-cong*: $f y = f z \implies \text{Bex } (\text{insert } y \ A) \ f = \text{Bex } (\text{insert } z \ A) \ f$
by *auto*

lemma *not-bdd-above-natD*:
assumes $\neg \text{bdd-above } (A :: \text{nat set})$
shows $\exists x \in A. x > n$
using *assms* **by** (*meson bdd-above.unfold linorder-le-cases order.strict-iff*)

lemma *list-eq-nth-eq*: $xs = ys \iff \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } ys. xs ! i = ys ! i)$
using *nth-equalityI* **by** *metis*

lemma *subt-size*: $p \in \text{poss } t \implies \text{size } (t \mid - \ p) \leq \text{size } t$
proof (*induct p arbitrary: t*)
case (*Cons i p t*)
thus *?case*
proof (*cases t*)
case (*Fun f ss*)
from *Cons Fun* **have** $i < \text{length } ss$ **and** $\text{sub: } t \mid - (i \# p) = (ss ! i) \mid - p$
and $p \in \text{poss } (ss ! i)$ **by** *auto*
with *Cons(1)[OF this(3)]*
have $\text{size } (t \mid - (i \# p)) \leq \text{size } (ss ! i)$ **by** *auto*
also **have** $\dots \leq \text{size } t$ **using** *i unfolding Fun* **by** (*simp add: termination-simp*)
finally **show** *?thesis* .
qed *auto*
qed *auto*

lemma *conflicts-sym*: $\text{rel-option } (\lambda xs \ ys. \text{set } xs = \text{set } ys) (\text{conflicts } s \ t) (\text{conflicts } t \ s)$ (**is** $\text{rel-option } - (\text{?c } s \ t) -$)
proof (*induct s t rule: conflicts.induct*)
case (*4 f ss g ts*)
define *c* **where** $c = \text{?c}$
show *?case*
proof (*cases (f,length ss) = (g,length ts)*)
case *True*
hence *len*: $\text{length } ss = \text{length } ts$
 $((f, \text{length } ss) = (g, \text{length } ts)) = \text{True}$
 $((g, \text{length } ts) = (f, \text{length } ss)) = \text{True}$ **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **using** *len(1) 4[OF True - refl]*
unfolding *conflicts.simps len(2,3) if-True*
unfolding *option.rel-map c-def[symmetric] set-concat*
proof (*induct ss ts rule: list-induct2, goal-cases*)
case (*2 s ss t ts*)
hence *IH*: $\text{rel-option } (\lambda x \ y. \bigcup (\text{set } ' \ \text{set } x) = \bigcup (\text{set } ' \ \text{set } y)) (\text{those } (\text{map2 } c \ ss \ ts)) (\text{those } (\text{map2 } c \ ts \ ss))$ **by** *auto*
from *2* **have** *st*: $\text{rel-option } (\lambda xs \ ys. \text{set } xs = \text{set } ys) (c \ s \ t) (c \ t \ s)$ **by** *auto*
from *IH st* **show** *?case* **by** (*cases c s t; cases c t s; auto simp: option.rel-map*)
(simp add: option.rel-sel)
qed *simp*

qed *auto*
qed *auto*

lemma *conflicts*: **fixes** $x :: 'v$

shows $\text{Conflict-Clash } s \ t \implies \exists p. p \in \text{poss } s \wedge p \in \text{poss } t \wedge \text{is-Fun } (s \mid -p) \wedge \text{is-Fun } (t \mid -p) \wedge \text{root } (s \mid -p) \neq \text{root } (t \mid -p) \text{ (is } ?B1 \implies ?B2)$

and $\text{Conflict-Var } s \ t \ x \implies$

$\exists p. p \in \text{poss } s \wedge p \in \text{poss } t \wedge s \mid -p \neq t \mid -p \wedge (s \mid -p = \text{Var } x \vee t \mid -p = \text{Var } x) \text{ (is } ?C1 \ x \implies ?C2 \ x)$

and $s \neq t \implies \exists x. \text{Conflict-Clash } s \ t \vee \text{Conflict-Var } s \ t \ x$

and $\text{Conflict-Var } s \ t \ x \implies x \in \text{vars } s \cup \text{vars } t$

and $\text{conflicts } s \ t = \text{Some } [] \longleftrightarrow s = t \text{ (is } ?A)$

proof –

let $?B = ?B1 \longrightarrow ?B2$

let $?C = \lambda x. ?C1 \ x \longrightarrow ?C2 \ x$

{

fix $x :: 'v$

have $(\text{conflicts } s \ t = \text{Some } [] \longrightarrow s = t) \wedge ?B \wedge ?C \ x$

proof (*induction s arbitrary: t*)

case ($\text{Var } y \ t$)

thus $?case \ \text{by} \ (\text{cases } t, \ \text{auto})$

next

case ($\text{Fun } f \ ss \ t$)

show $?case$

proof (*cases t*)

case $t: (\text{Fun } g \ ts)$

show $?thesis$

proof $(\text{cases } (f, \text{length } ss) = (g, \text{length } ts))$

case False

hence $\text{res}: \text{conflicts } (\text{Fun } f \ ss) \ t = \text{None} \ \text{unfolding } t \ \text{by } \text{auto}$

show $?thesis \ \text{unfolding } \text{res} \ \text{unfolding } t \ \text{using } \text{False}$

by (*auto intro!: exI[of - Nil]*)

next

case $f: \text{True}$

let $?s = \text{Fun } f \ ss$

show $?thesis$

proof (*cases those (map2 conflicts ss ts)*)

case None

hence $\text{res}: \text{conflicts } ?s \ t = \text{None} \ \text{unfolding } t \ \text{by } \text{auto}$

from $\text{None}[\text{unfolded } \text{those-eq-None}] \ \text{obtain } i \ \text{where } i: i < \text{length } ss \ i <$

$\text{length } ts \ \text{and}$

$\text{confl}: \text{conflicts } (ss \ ! \ i) \ (ts \ ! \ i) = \text{None}$

using $f \ \text{unfolding } \text{set-conv-nth } \text{set-zip} \ \text{by } \text{auto}$

from $i \ \text{have } ss \ ! \ i \in \text{set } ss \ \text{by } \text{auto}$

from $\text{Fun.IH}[\text{OF } \text{this}, \ \text{of } ts \ ! \ i] \ \text{confl} \ \text{obtain } p$

where $p: p \in \text{poss } (ss \ ! \ i) \wedge p \in \text{poss } (ts \ ! \ i) \wedge \text{is-Fun } (ss \ ! \ i \mid -p) \wedge \text{is-Fun } (ts \ ! \ i \mid -p) \wedge \text{root } (ss \ ! \ i \mid -p) \neq \text{root } (ts \ ! \ i \mid -p)$

by *auto*


```

from  $p$  have  $p: \exists p. p \in \text{poss } ?s \wedge p \in \text{poss } t \wedge \text{is-Fun } (?s \mid - p) \wedge \text{is-Fun}$ 
 $(t \mid - p) \wedge \text{root } (?s \mid - p) \neq \text{root } (t \mid - p)$ 
  by (intro exI[of - i # p], unfold t, insert i f, auto)
  from  $p$  res show  $?thesis$  by auto
next
  case (Some xss)
  hence  $\text{res}: \text{conflicts } ?s \ t = \text{Some } (\text{concat } xss)$  unfolding  $t$  using  $f$  by
auto
  from Some have  $\text{map2}: \text{map2 } \text{conflicts } ss \ ts = \text{map } \text{Some } xss$  by auto
  from  $\text{arg-cong}[OF \ \text{this}, \ \text{of } \text{length}]$  have  $\text{len}: \text{length } xss = \text{length } ss$  using
f by auto
  have  $\text{rec}: i < \text{length } ss \implies \text{conflicts } (ss \ ! \ i) \ (ts \ ! \ i) = \text{Some } (xss \ ! \ i)$  for
i
    using  $\text{arg-cong}[OF \ \text{map2}, \ \text{of } \lambda \ xs. \ xs \ ! \ i]$   $\text{len } f$  by auto
    {
      assume  $x \in \text{set } (\text{the } (\text{conflicts } ?s \ t))$ 
      hence  $x \in \text{set } (\text{concat } xss)$  unfolding  $\text{res}$  by auto
      then obtain  $xs$  where  $xs: xs \in \text{set } xss$  and  $x: x \in \text{set } xs$  by auto
      from  $xs$   $\text{len}$  obtain  $i$  where  $i: i < \text{length } ss$  and  $xs: xs = xss \ ! \ i$  by
      (auto simp: set-conv-nth)
      from  $i$  have  $ss \ ! \ i \in \text{set } ss$  by auto
      from  $\text{Fun.IH}[OF \ \text{this}, \ \text{of } ts \ ! \ i, \ \text{unfolded } \text{rec}[OF \ i, \ \text{folded } xs]]$   $x$ 
      obtain  $p$  where  $p \in \text{poss } (ss \ ! \ i) \wedge p \in \text{poss } (ts \ ! \ i) \wedge ss \ ! \ i \mid - p \neq ts$ 
       $\ ! \ i \mid - p \wedge (ss \ ! \ i \mid - p = \text{Var } x \vee ts \ ! \ i \mid - p = \text{Var } x)$ 
      by auto
      hence  $\exists p. p \in \text{poss } ?s \wedge p \in \text{poss } t \wedge ?s \mid - p \neq t \mid - p \wedge (?s \mid - p =$ 
       $\text{Var } x \vee t \mid - p = \text{Var } x)$ 
      by (intro exI[of - i # p], insert i f, auto simp: t)
    }
  moreover
  {
    assume  $\text{conflicts } ?s \ t = \text{Some } []$ 
    with  $\text{res}$  have  $\text{empty}: \text{concat } xss = []$  by auto
    {
      fix  $i$ 
      assume  $i: i < \text{length } ss$ 
      from  $\text{rec}[OF \ i]$  have  $\text{conflicts } (ss \ ! \ i) \ (ts \ ! \ i) = \text{Some } (xss \ ! \ i)$  .
      moreover from  $\text{empty } i \ \text{len}$  have  $xss \ ! \ i = []$  by auto
      ultimately have  $\text{res}: \text{conflicts } (ss \ ! \ i) \ (ts \ ! \ i) = \text{Some } []$  by simp
      from  $i$  have  $ss \ ! \ i \in \text{set } ss$  by auto
      from  $\text{Fun.IH}[OF \ \text{this}, \ \text{of } ts \ ! \ i, \ \text{unfolded } \text{res}]$  have  $ss \ ! \ i = ts \ ! \ i$  by
      auto
    }
  }
  with  $f$  have  $?s = t$  unfolding  $t$  by (auto intro: nth-equalityI)
  }
  ultimately show  $?thesis$  unfolding  $\text{res}$  by auto
qed
qed
qed auto

```

```

    qed
  } note main = this
from main show B: ?B1  $\implies$  ?B2 and C: ?C1 x  $\implies$  ?C2 x by blast+
show ?A
proof
  assume s = t
  with B have conflicts s t  $\neq$  None by blast
  then obtain xs where res: conflicts s t = Some xs by auto
  show conflicts s t = Some []
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    thus ?thesis using res by auto
  next
    case (Cons x xs)
    with main[of x] res  $\langle$ s = t $\rangle$  show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed (insert main, blast)
{
  assume diff: s  $\neq$  t
  show  $\exists$  x. Conflict-Clash s t  $\vee$  Conflict-Var s t x
  proof (cases conflicts s t)
    case (Some xs)
    with  $\langle$ ?A $\rangle$  diff obtain x where x  $\in$  set xs by (cases xs, auto)
    thus ?thesis unfolding Some by auto
  qed auto
}
assume Conflict-Var s t x
with C obtain p where p  $\in$  poss s p  $\in$  poss t (s |- p = Var x  $\vee$  t |- p = Var
x)
  by blast
  thus x  $\in$  vars s  $\cup$  vars t
  by (metis UnCI subt-at-imp-supteq' subteq-Var-imp-in-vars-term)
qed

declare conflicts.simps[simp del]

lemma conflicts-refl[simp]: conflicts t t = Some []
  using conflicts(5)[of t t] by auto

```

For proving partial correctness we need further properties of the fixed parameters: We assume that m is sufficiently large and that there exists some constructor ground terms. Moreover *inf-sort* really computes whether a sort has terms of arbitrary size. Further all symbols in C must have sorts of S . Finally, Cl should precisely compute the constructors of a sort.

```

locale pattern-completeness-context-with-assms = pattern-completeness-context S
C m Cl inf-sort ty
  for S and C :: ('f, 's)ssig
  and m Cl inf-sort
  and ty :: 'v itself +

```

```

assumes sorts-non-empty:  $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \exists t. t : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY})$ 
and C-sub-S:  $\bigwedge f \text{ ss } s. f : \text{ss} \rightarrow s \text{ in } C \implies \text{insert } s (\text{set ss}) \subseteq S$ 
and m:  $\bigwedge f \text{ ss } s. f : \text{ss} \rightarrow s \text{ in } C \implies \text{length ss} \leq m$ 
and inf-sort-def:  $s \in S \implies \text{inf-sort } s = (\neg \text{bdd-above } (\text{size } ' \{t . t : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}n)\}))$ 
and Cl:  $\bigwedge s. \text{set } (Cl \ s) = \{(f, \text{ss}). f : \text{ss} \rightarrow s \text{ in } C\}$ 
and Cl-len:  $\bigwedge \sigma. \text{Ball } (\text{length } ' \text{snd } ' \text{set } (Cl \ \sigma)) (\lambda a. a \leq m)$ 
begin

```

```

lemmas subst-defs-set =
  subst-pat-problem-set-def
  subst-match-problem-set-def

```

Preservation of well-formedness

```

lemma mp-step-wf:  $mp \rightarrow_s mp' \implies \text{wf-match } mp \implies \text{wf-match } mp'$ 
unfolding wf-match-def tvars-mp-def
proof (induct mp mp' rule: mp-step.induct)
case (mp-decompose f ts ls mp)
then show ?case by (auto dest!: set-zip-leftD)
qed auto

```

```

lemma pp-step-wf:  $pp \Rightarrow_s pp' \implies \text{wf-pat } pp \implies \text{wf-pat } pp'$ 
unfolding wf-pat-def
proof (induct pp pp' rule: pp-step.induct)
case (pp-simp-mp mp mp' pp)
then show ?case using mp-step-wf[of mp mp'] by auto
qed auto

```

```

theorem P-step-set-wf:  $P \Rightarrow_s P' \implies \text{wf-pats } P \implies \text{wf-pats } P'$ 
unfolding wf-pats-def
proof (induct P P' rule: P-step-set.induct)
case (P-simp pp pp' P)
then show ?case using pp-step-wf[of pp pp'] by auto
next
case *: (P-instantiate n p x P)
let ?s = snd x
from * have sS: ?s  $\in S$  and p: wf-pat p unfolding wf-pat-def wf-match-def
  tvars-pp-def by auto
{
  fix  $\tau$ 
  assume tau:  $\tau \in \tau s \ n \ x$ 
  from tau[unfolded  $\tau s$ -def  $\tau c$ -def, simplified]
  obtain f sorts where f:  $f : \text{sorts} \rightarrow \text{snd } x \text{ in } C$  and  $\tau$ :  $\tau = \text{subst } x \ (\text{Fun } f$ 
  (map Var (zip [n.. $n + \text{length } \text{sorts}$ ] sorts))) by auto
  let ?i = length sorts
  let ?xs = zip [n.. $n + \text{length } \text{sorts}$ ] sorts
  from C-sub-S[OF f] have sS: ?s  $\in S$  and xs:  $\text{snd } ' \text{set } ?xs \subseteq S$ 
  unfolding set-conv-nth set-zip by auto
}

```

```

{
  fix mp y
  assume mp: mp ∈ p and y ∈ tvars-mp (subst-left τ ‘ mp)
  then obtain s t where y: y ∈ vars (s · τ) and st: (s,t) ∈ mp
    unfolding tvars-mp-def subst-left-def by auto
  from y have y ∈ vars s ∪ set ?xs unfolding vars-term-subst τ
    by (auto simp: subst-def split: if-splits)
  hence snd y ∈ snd ‘ vars s ∪ snd ‘ set ?xs by auto
  also have ... ⊆ snd ‘ vars s ∪ S using xs by auto
  also have ... ⊆ S using p mp st
    unfolding wf-pat-def wf-match-def tvars-mp-def by force
  finally have snd y ∈ S .
}
hence wf-pat (subst-pat-problem-set τ p)
  unfolding wf-pat-def wf-match-def subst-defs-set by auto
}
with * show ?case by auto
qed (auto simp: wf-pat-def)

```

Soundness requires some preparations

```

lemma cg-exists: ∃ σg. cg-subst σg
proof
  show cg-subst (λ x. SOME t. t : snd x in T(C, EMPTY))
    unfolding cg-subst-def
  proof (intro allI impI, goal-cases)
    case (1 x)
    from someI-ex[OF sorts-non-empty[OF 1]] show ?case by simp
  qed
qed

```

definition $\sigma g :: ('f, nat \times 's, 'v)gsubst$ **where** $\sigma g = (SOME \sigma. cg-subst \sigma)$

lemma $\sigma g: cg-subst \sigma g$ **unfolding** $\sigma g-def$ **using** $cg-exists$ **by** $(metis someI-ex)$

lemma $pat-complete-empty[simp]: pat-complete \{\} = False$
unfolding $pat-complete-def$ **using** σg **by** $auto$

lemma $inf-var-conflictD$: **assumes** $inf-var-conflict mp$
shows $\exists p s t x y.$
 $(s, Var x) \in mp \wedge (t, Var x) \in mp \wedge s \mid -p = Var y \wedge s \mid -p \neq t \mid -p \wedge p \in poss$
 $s \wedge p \in poss t \wedge inf-sort (snd y)$
proof –
from $assms[unfolded inf-var-conflict-def]$
obtain $s t x y$ **where** $(s, Var x) \in mp \wedge (t, Var x) \in mp$ **and** $conf: Conflict-Var$
 $s t y$ **and** $y: inf-sort (snd y)$ **by** $blast$
with $conflicts(2)[OF conf]$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $metis$
qed

lemma $cg-term-vars: t : s$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(C, EMPTYn) \implies vars t = \{\}$

```

proof (induct t s rule: hastype-in-Term-induct)
  case (Var v σ)
  then show ?case by (auto simp: EMPTYn-def)
next
  case (Fun f ss σ s τ)
  then show ?case unfolding term.simps unfolding set-conv-nth list-all2-conv-all-nth
by auto
qed

```

lemma type-conversion1: $t : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTYn}) \implies t \cdot \sigma' : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY})$

unfolding EMPTYn-def EMPTY-def **by** (rule type-conversion)

lemma type-conversion2: $t : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}) \implies t \cdot \sigma' : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTYn})$

unfolding EMPTYn-def EMPTY-def **by** (rule type-conversion)

lemma term-of-sort: **assumes** $s \in S$

shows $\exists t. t : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTYn})$

proof –

from $\sigma g[\text{unfolded } cg\text{-subst-def}] \text{ assms}$

have $\exists t. t : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY})$ **by** force

with type-conversion2[of - s]

show ?thesis **by** auto

qed

Main partial correctness theorems on well-formed problems: the transformation rules do not change the semantics of a problem

lemma mp-step-pcorrect: $mp \rightarrow_s mp' \implies \text{match-complete-wrt } \sigma \text{ } mp = \text{match-complete-wrt } \sigma \text{ } mp'$

proof (induct mp mp' rule: mp-step.induct)

case *: (mp-decompose f ts ls mp)

show ?case **unfolding** match-complete-wrt-def

apply (rule ex-cong1)

apply (rule ball-insert-un-cong)

apply (unfold split) **using** * **by** (auto simp add: set-zip list-eq-nth-eq)

next

case *: (mp-match x mp t)

show ?case **unfolding** match-complete-wrt-def

proof

assume $\exists \mu. \forall (ti, li) \in mp. ti \cdot \sigma = li \cdot \mu$

then obtain μ **where** $eq: \bigwedge ti \ li. (ti, li) \in mp \implies ti \cdot \sigma = li \cdot \mu$ **by** auto

let $?\mu = \mu(x := t \cdot \sigma)$

have $(ti, li) \in mp \implies ti \cdot \sigma = li \cdot ?\mu$ **for** $ti \ li$ **using** * $eq[\text{of } ti \ li]$

by (auto intro!: term-subst-eq)

thus $\exists \mu. \forall (ti, li) \in insert(t, Var x) \ mp. ti \cdot \sigma = li \cdot \mu$ **by** (intro exI[of - ?μ], auto)

qed auto

qed auto

lemma *mp-fail-pcorrect*: $mp\text{-fail } mp \implies \neg match\text{-complete-wrt } \sigma \text{ } mp$
proof (*induct mp rule: mp-fail.induct*)
 case *: (*mp-clash f ts g ls mp*)
 {
 assume $length \text{ } ts \neq length \text{ } ls$
 hence $(map \ (\lambda t. t \cdot \mu) \text{ } ls = map \ (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma) \text{ } ts) = False$ **for** $\sigma :: ('f, nat \times 's, 'a)gsubst$ **and** μ
 by (*metis length-map*)
 } **note** $len = this$
from * **show** ?*case* **unfolding** *match-complete-wrt-def*
by (*auto simp: len*)
next
 case *: (*mp-clash' s t x mp*)
from *conflicts(1)[OF *(1)]*
obtain *po* **where** *: $po \in poss \text{ } s \text{ } po \in poss \text{ } t \text{ } is\text{-Fun } (s \text{ } |- \text{ } po) \text{ } is\text{-Fun } (t \text{ } |- \text{ } po) \text{ } root$
 $(s \text{ } |- \text{ } po) \neq root \text{ } (t \text{ } |- \text{ } po)$
by *auto*
show ?*case*
proof
assume $match\text{-complete-wrt } \sigma \text{ } (\{(s, Var \text{ } x), (t, Var \text{ } x)\} \cup mp)$
from *this[unfolded match-complete-wrt-def]*
have $s \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \sigma$ **by** *auto*
hence $root \text{ } (s \cdot \sigma \text{ } |- \text{ } po) = root \text{ } (t \cdot \sigma \text{ } |- \text{ } po)$ **by** *auto*
also have $root \text{ } (s \cdot \sigma \text{ } |- \text{ } po) = root \text{ } (s \text{ } |- \text{ } po \cdot \sigma)$ **using** * **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = root \text{ } (s \text{ } |- \text{ } po)$ **using** * **by** (*cases s |- po, auto*)
also have $root \text{ } (t \cdot \sigma \text{ } |- \text{ } po) = root \text{ } (t \text{ } |- \text{ } po \cdot \sigma)$ **using** * **by** (*cases t |- po, auto*)
also have $\dots = root \text{ } (t \text{ } |- \text{ } po)$ **using** * **by** (*cases t |- po, auto*)
finally show *False* **using** * **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *pp-step-pcorrect*: $pp \Rightarrow_s pp' \implies pat\text{-complete } pp = pat\text{-complete } pp'$
proof (*induct pp pp' rule: pp-step.induct*)
 case (*pp-simp-mp mp mp' pp*)
then show ?*case* **using** *mp-step-pcorrect[of mp mp']* **unfolding** *pat-complete-def*
by *auto*
next
 case (*pp-remove-mp mp pp*)
then show ?*case* **using** *mp-fail-pcorrect[of mp]* **unfolding** *pat-complete-def* **by**
auto
qed

lemma *pp-success-pcorrect*: $pp\text{-success } pp \implies pat\text{-complete } pp$
by (*induct pp rule: pp-success.induct, auto simp: pat-complete-def match-complete-wrt-def*)

theorem *P-step-set-pcorrect*: $P \Rightarrow_s P' \implies wf\text{-pats } P \implies$

```

  pats-complete P  $\longleftrightarrow$  pats-complete P'
proof (induct P P' rule: P-step-set.induct)
  case (P-fail P)
  then show ?case by (auto simp: pat-complete-def)
next
  case (P-simp pp pp' P)
  then show ?case using pp-step-pcorrect[of pp pp'] by auto
next
  case (P-remove-pp pp P)
  then show ?case using pp-success-pcorrect[of pp] by auto
next
  case *: (P-instantiate n pp x P)
  note def = pat-complete-def[unfolded match-complete-wrt-def]
  show ?case
  proof (rule ball-insert-un-cong, standard)
    assume complete: pats-complete {subst-pat-problem-set  $\tau$  pp |.  $\tau \in \tau s n x$ }
    show pat-complete pp unfolding def
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix  $\sigma :: ('f, nat \times 's, 'v)gsubst$ 

      from * have wf-pat pp unfolding wf-pats-def by auto
      with *(2) have  $x$ : snd  $x \in S$  unfolding tvars-pp-def tvars-mp-def wf-pat-def
      wf-match-def by force

      assume cg: cg-subst  $\sigma$ 
      from this[unfolded cg-subst-def]  $x$ 
      have  $\sigma x$  : snd  $x$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C, EMPTY)$  by blast
      then obtain  $f$   $ts$   $\sigma s$  where  $f$ :  $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \textit{snd } x$  in  $C$ 
        and  $args$ :  $ts ;_1 \sigma s$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C, EMPTY)$ 
        and  $\sigma x$ :  $\sigma x = \textit{Fun } f$   $ts$ 
        by (induct, auto simp: EMPTY-def)
      from  $f$  have  $f$ :  $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \textit{snd } x$  in  $C$ 
        by (meson hastype-in-ssig-def)
      let ? $l$  = length  $ts$ 
      from  $args$  have  $len$ : length  $\sigma s$  = ? $l$ 
        by (simp add: list-all2-lengthD)
      have  $l$ : ? $l \leq m$  using  $m[OF f]$   $len$  by auto
      define  $\sigma'$  where  $\sigma' = (\lambda ys. \textit{let } y = \textit{fst } ys \textit{ in if } n \leq y \wedge y < n + ?l \wedge \sigma s !$ 
         $(y - n) = \textit{snd } ys \textit{ then } ts ! (y - n) \textit{ else } \sigma ys)$ 
      have cg: cg-subst  $\sigma'$  unfolding cg-subst-def
      proof (intro allI impI)
        fix  $ys :: nat \times 's$ 
        assume  $ysS$ : snd  $ys \in S$ 
        show  $\sigma' ys$  : snd  $ys$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C, EMPTY)$ 
        proof (cases  $\sigma' ys = \sigma ys$ )
          case True
            thus ?thesis using cg ysS unfolding cg-subst-def by metis
          next
            case False

```

```

    obtain  $y$   $s$  where  $ys: ys = (y,s)$  by force
    with  $False$  have  $y: y - n < ?l \ n \leq y \ y < n + ?l$  and  $arg: \sigma s ! (y - n)$ 
=  $s$  and  $\sigma': \sigma' ys = ts ! (y - n)$ 
    unfolding  $\sigma'$ -def  $Let$ -def by (auto split: if-splits)
    show ?thesis unfolding  $\sigma'$  unfolding  $ys$  snd-conv arg[symmetric] using
 $y(1)$  len args
    by (metis list-all2-nthD)
  qed
  qed
  define  $\tau$  where  $\tau = subst\ x\ (Fun\ f\ (map\ Var\ (zip\ [n..<n + ?l]\ \sigma s)))$ 
  from  $f$  have  $\tau \in \tau s\ n\ x$  unfolding  $\tau s$ -def  $\tau$ -def  $\tau c$ -def using len[symmetric]
  by auto
  hence pat-complete (subst-pat-problem-set  $\tau$  pp) using complete by auto
  from this[unfolded def, rule-format, OF cg]
  obtain  $tl\ \mu$  where  $tl: tl \in subst\ pat\ problem\ set\ \tau\ pp$ 
    and  $match: \bigwedge\ ti\ li. (ti, li) \in tl \implies ti \cdot \sigma' = li \cdot \mu$  by force
  from tl[unfolded subst-defs-set subst-left-def set-map]
  obtain  $tl'$  where  $tl': tl' \in pp$  and  $tl: tl = \{(t' \cdot \tau, l) \mid (t', l) \in tl'\}$  by auto
  show  $\exists tl \in pp. \exists \mu. \forall (ti, li) \in tl. ti \cdot \sigma = li \cdot \mu$ 
  proof (intro bexI[OF - tl'] exI[of -  $\mu$ ], clarify)
    fix  $ti\ li$ 
    assume  $tli: (ti, li) \in tl'$ 
    hence  $tlit: (ti \cdot \tau, li) \in tl$  unfolding  $tl$  by force
    from  $match$ [OF this] have  $match: ti \cdot \tau \cdot \sigma' = li \cdot \mu$  by auto
    from  $*(1)$ [unfolded tvars-disj-pp-def, rule-format, OF  $tl'$   $tli$ ]
    have  $vti: fst\ 'vars\ term\ ti \cap \{n..<n + m\} = \{\}$  by auto
    have  $ti \cdot \sigma = ti \cdot (\tau \circ_s \sigma')$ 
    proof (rule term-subst-eq, unfold subst-compose-def)
      fix  $y$ 
      assume  $y \in vars\ term\ ti$ 
      with  $vti$  have  $y: fst\ y \notin \{n..<n + m\}$  by auto
      show  $\sigma\ y = \tau\ y \cdot \sigma'$ 
      proof (cases  $y = x$ )
        case False
          hence  $\tau\ y \cdot \sigma' = \sigma'\ y$  unfolding  $\tau$ -def subst-def by auto
          also have  $\dots = \sigma\ y$ 
            unfolding  $\sigma'$ -def using  $y\ l$  by auto
          finally show ?thesis by simp
        case True
          show ?thesis unfolding True  $\tau$ -def subst-simps  $\sigma x$  eval-term.simps
            map-map o-def term.simps
            by (intro conjI refl nth-equalityI, auto simp: len  $\sigma'$ -def)
      qed
    qed
    also have  $\dots = li \cdot \mu$  using  $match$  by simp
    finally show  $ti \cdot \sigma = li \cdot \mu$  by blast
  qed
  qed

```



```

    next
      case True
      show ?thesis unfolding True  $\tau$ 
      by (simp add: o-def  $\sigma'$ -def)
    qed
  qed
  finally have  $ti \cdot \tau \cdot \sigma = li \cdot \mu$  by auto
}
thus  $\exists tl \in \text{subst-pat-problem-set } \tau \text{ pp}. \exists \mu. \forall (ti, li) \in tl. ti \cdot \sigma = li \cdot \mu$ 
  by (intro beXI[OF - tlm], auto)
qed
}
thus pats-complete {subst-pat-problem-set  $\tau$  pp |.  $\tau \in \tau s n x$ } by auto
qed
next
case *: (P-failure' pp P)
{
  assume pp: pat-complete pp
  with *(3) have wf: wf-pat pp by (auto simp: wf-pats-def)
  define confl' :: ('f, nat  $\times$  's) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, nat  $\times$  's)term  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\times$  's  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where confl' = ( $\lambda$  sp tp y.
    sp = Var y  $\wedge$  inf-sort (snd y)  $\wedge$  sp  $\neq$  tp)
  define P1 where P1 = ( $\lambda$  mp s t x y p. mp  $\in$  pp  $\longrightarrow$  (s, Var x)  $\in$  mp  $\wedge$  (t,
  Var x)  $\in$  mp  $\wedge$  p  $\in$  poss s  $\wedge$  p  $\in$  poss t  $\wedge$  confl' (s |- p) (t |- p) y)
  {
    fix mp
    assume mp  $\in$  pp
    hence inf-var-conflict mp using * by auto
    from inf-var-conflictD[OF this]
    have  $\exists s t x y p. P1 \text{ mp } s t x y p$  unfolding P1-def confl'-def by force
  }
  hence  $\forall mp. \exists s t x y p. P1 \text{ mp } s t x y p$  unfolding P1-def by blast
  from choice[OF this] obtain s where  $\forall mp. \exists t x y p. P1 \text{ mp } (s \text{ mp}) t x y p$ 
  by blast
  from choice[OF this] obtain t where  $\forall mp. \exists x y p. P1 \text{ mp } (s \text{ mp}) (t \text{ mp}) x$ 
  y p by blast
  from choice[OF this] obtain x where  $\forall mp. \exists y p. P1 \text{ mp } (s \text{ mp}) (t \text{ mp}) (x$ 
  mp) y p by blast
  from choice[OF this] obtain y where  $\forall mp. \exists p. P1 \text{ mp } (s \text{ mp}) (t \text{ mp}) (x$ 
  mp) (y mp) p by blast
  from choice[OF this] obtain p where  $\forall mp. P1 \text{ mp } (s \text{ mp}) (t \text{ mp}) (x \text{ mp}) (y$ 
  mp) (p mp) by blast
  note P1 = this[unfolded P1-def, rule-format]
  from *(2) have finite (y ' pp) by blast
  from ex-bij-betw-finite-nat[OF this] obtain index and n :: nat where
    bij: bij-betw index (y ' pp) {.. $n$ }
    by (auto simp add: atLeast0LessThan)
  define var-ind :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\times$  's  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    var-ind i x = (x  $\in$  y ' pp  $\wedge$  index x  $\in$  {.. $n$ } - {.. $i$ }) for i x

```

```

have [simp]: var-ind n x = False for x
unfolding var-ind-def by auto
define cg-subst-ind :: nat => ('f, nat × 's)subst => bool where
  cg-subst-ind i σ = (∀ x. (var-ind i x → σ x = Var x)
    ∧ (¬ var-ind i x → (vars-term (σ x) = {}) ∧ (snd x ∈ S → σ x : snd
x in T(C,EMPTYn)))) for i σ
define confl :: nat => ('f, nat × 's)term => ('f, nat × 's)term => bool where
  confl = (λ i sp tp.
    (case (sp,tp) of (Var x, Var y) => x ≠ y ∧ var-ind i x ∧ var-ind i y
    | (Var x, Fun -) => var-ind i x
    | (Fun -, Var x) => var-ind i x
    | (Fun f ss, Fun g ts) => (f,length ss) ≠ (g,length ts)))
have confl-n: confl n s t => ∃ f g ss ts. s = Fun f ss ∧ t = Fun g ts ∧ (f,length
ss) ≠ (g,length ts) for s t
by (cases s; cases t; auto simp: confl-def)
{
  fix i
  assume i ≤ n
  hence ∃ σ. cg-subst-ind i σ ∧ (∀ mp ∈ pp. ∃ p. p ∈ poss (s mp · σ) ∧ p ∈
poss (t mp · σ) ∧ confl i (s mp · σ |- p) (t mp · σ |- p))
  proof (induction i)
  case 0
    define σ where σ x = (if var-ind 0 x then Var x else if snd x ∈ S then
map-vars undefined (σg x) else Fun undefined []) for x
    {
      fix x :: nat × 's
      define t where t = σg x
      define s where s = snd x
      assume snd x ∈ S
      hence σg x : snd x in T(C,EMPTY) using σg unfolding cg-subst-def
by blast
      hence map-vars undefined (σg x) : snd x in T(C,EMPTYn) (is ?m : - in
-)
      unfolding t-def[symmetric] s-def[symmetric]
      proof (induct t s rule: hastype-in-Term-induct)
      case (Var v σ)
        then show ?case by (auto simp: EMPTY-def)
      next
      case (Fun f ss σs τ)
        then show ?case unfolding term.simps
        by (smt (verit, best) Fun-hastype list-all2-map1 list-all2-mono)
      qed
    }
  from this cg-term-vars[OF this] have σ: cg-subst-ind 0 σ unfolding
cg-subst-ind-def σ-def by auto
  show ?case
  proof (rule exI, rule conjI[OF σ], intro ballI exI conjI)
    fix mp
    assume mp: mp ∈ pp

```

```

note  $P1 = P1[OF\ this]$ 
from  $mp$  have  $mem: y\ mp \in y\ 'pp$  by auto
with  $bij$  have  $y: index\ (y\ mp) \in \{..<n\}$  by (metis\ bij-betw-apply)
hence  $y0: var-ind\ 0\ (y\ mp)$  using  $mem$  unfolding  $var-ind-def$  by auto
show  $p\ mp \in poss\ (s\ mp \cdot \sigma)$  using  $P1$  by auto
show  $p\ mp \in poss\ (t\ mp \cdot \sigma)$  using  $P1$  by auto
let  $?t = t\ mp \ |- \ p\ mp$ 
define  $c$  where  $c = confl\ 0\ (s\ mp \cdot \sigma \ |- \ p\ mp)\ (t\ mp \cdot \sigma \ |- \ p\ mp)$ 
have  $c = confl\ 0\ (s\ mp \ |- \ p\ mp \cdot \sigma)\ (?t \cdot \sigma)$ 
using  $P1$  unfolding  $c-def$  by auto
also have  $s: s\ mp \ |- \ p\ mp = Var\ (y\ mp)$  using  $P1$  unfolding  $confl'-def$ 
by auto
also have  $\dots \cdot \sigma = Var\ (y\ mp)$  using  $y0$  unfolding  $\sigma-def$  by auto
also have  $confl\ 0\ (Var\ (y\ mp))\ (?t \cdot \sigma)$ 
proof (cases\ ?t \cdot \sigma)
  case Fun
    thus  $?thesis$  using  $y0$  unfolding  $confl-def$  by auto
  next
    case ( $Var\ z$ )
      then obtain  $u$  where  $t: ?t = Var\ u$  and  $ssig: \sigma\ u = Var\ z$ 
        by (cases\ ?t, auto)
      from  $P1[unfolded\ s]$  have  $confl'\ (Var\ (y\ mp))\ ?t\ (y\ mp)$  by auto
      from  $this[unfolded\ confl'-def\ t]$  have  $uy: y\ mp \neq u$  by auto
      show  $?thesis$ 
      proof (cases\ var-ind\ 0\ u)
        case True
          with  $y0\ uy$  show  $?thesis$  unfolding  $t\ \sigma-def\ confl-def$  by auto
        next
          case False
            with  $ssig[unfolded\ \sigma-def]$  have  $uS: snd\ u \in S$  and  $contra: map-vars$ 
            undefined  $(\sigma\ g\ u) = Var\ z$ 
            by (auto\ split: if-splits)
            from  $\sigma g[unfolded\ cg-subst-def, rule-format, OF\ uS]$   $contra$ 
            have False by (cases\ \sigma\ g\ u, auto\ simp: EMPTY-def)
            thus  $?thesis\ ..$ 
          qed
        qed
      finally show  $confl\ 0\ (s\ mp \cdot \sigma \ |- \ p\ mp)\ (t\ mp \cdot \sigma \ |- \ p\ mp)$  unfolding
       $c-def$  .
    qed
  next
    case ( $Suc\ i$ )
      then obtain  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma: cg-subst-ind\ i\ \sigma$  and  $confl: (\forall\ mp \in pp. \exists\ p. p \in$ 
       $poss\ (s\ mp \cdot \sigma) \wedge p \in poss\ (t\ mp \cdot \sigma) \wedge confl\ i\ (s\ mp \cdot \sigma \ |- \ p)\ (t\ mp \cdot \sigma \ |- \ p))$ 
      by auto
      from  $Suc$  have  $i \in \{..<n\}$  and  $i: i < n$  by auto
      with  $bij$  obtain  $z$  where  $z: z \in y\ 'pp$   $index\ z = i$  unfolding  $bij-betw-def$ 
by (metis\ imageE)
      {

```

```

    from z obtain mp where mp ∈ pp and index (y mp) = i and z = y mp
  by auto
    with P1[OF this(1), unfolded confl'-def] have inf: inf-sort (snd z)
      and *: p mp ∈ poss (s mp) s mp |- p mp = Var z (s mp, Var (x mp)) ∈
mp
      by auto
    from *(1,2) have z ∈ vars (s mp) using vars-term-subt-at by fastforce
    with *(3) have z ∈ tvars-mp mp unfolding tvars-mp-def by force
    with ⟨mp ∈ pp⟩ wf have snd z ∈ S unfolding wf-pat-def wf-match-def
  by auto
  from not-bdd-above-natD[OF inf[unfolded inf-sort-def[OF this]]] term-of-sort[OF
this]
    have ∧ n. ∃ t. t : snd z in  $\mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}n) \wedge n < \text{size } t$  by auto
    } note z-inf = this

  define all-st where all-st = (λ mp. s mp · σ) ‘ pp ∪ (λ mp. t mp · σ) ‘ pp
  have fin-all-st: finite all-st unfolding all-st-def using *(2) by simp
  define d :: nat where d = Suc (Max (size ‘ all-st))
  from z-inf[of d]
    obtain u where u : u : snd z in  $\mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}n)$  and du: d ≤ size u by
auto
  have vars-u: vars u = {} by (rule cg-term-vars[OF u])

  define σ' where σ' x = (if x = z then u else σ x) for x
  have σ'-def': σ' x = (if x ∈ y ‘ pp ∧ index x = i then u else σ x) for x
    unfolding σ'-def by (rule if-cong, insert bij z, auto simp: bij-betw-def
inj-on-def)
  have var-ind-conv: var-ind i x = (x = z ∨ var-ind (Suc i) x) for x
  proof
    assume x = z ∨ var-ind (Suc i) x
    thus var-ind i x using z i unfolding var-ind-def by auto
  next
    assume var-ind i x
  hence x: x ∈ y ‘ pp index x ∈ {.. $n$ } - {.. $i$ } unfolding var-ind-def by
auto
    with i have index x = i ∨ index x ∈ {.. $n$ } - {.. $\text{Suc } i$ } by auto
    thus x = z ∨ var-ind (Suc i) x
    proof
      assume index x = i
      with x(1) z bij have x = z by (auto simp: bij-betw-def inj-on-def)
      thus ?thesis by auto
    qed (insert x, auto simp: var-ind-def)
  qed
  have [simp]: var-ind i z unfolding var-ind-conv by auto
  have [simp]: var-ind (Suc i) z = False unfolding var-ind-def using z by
auto
  have σz[simp]: σ z = Var z using σ[unfolded cg-subst-ind-def, rule-format,
of z] by auto
  have σ'-upd: σ' = σ(z := u) unfolding σ'-def by (intro ext, auto)

```

```

have  $\sigma'$ -comp:  $\sigma' = \sigma \circ_s \text{Var}(z := u)$  unfolding subst-compose-def  $\sigma'$ -upd
proof (intro ext)
  fix  $x$ 
  show  $(\sigma(z := u)) x = \sigma x \cdot \text{Var}(z := u)$ 
  proof (cases x = z)
    case False
    hence  $\sigma x \cdot (\text{Var}(z := u)) = \sigma x \cdot \text{Var}$ 
    proof (intro term-subst-eq)
      fix  $y$ 
      assume  $y: y \in \text{vars}(\sigma x)$ 
      show  $(\text{Var}(z := u)) y = \text{Var } y$ 
      proof (cases var-ind i x)
        case True
        with  $\sigma$ [unfolded cg-subst-ind-def, rule-format, of x]
        have  $\sigma x = \text{Var } x$  by auto
        with False  $y$  show ?thesis by auto
      next
      case False
      with  $\sigma$ [unfolded cg-subst-ind-def, rule-format, of x]
      have  $\text{vars}(\sigma x) = \{\}$  by auto
      with  $y$  show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed simp
qed
have  $\sigma'$ : cg-subst-ind (Suc i)  $\sigma'$  unfolding cg-subst-ind-def
proof (intro allI conjI impI)
  fix  $x$ 
  assume var-ind (Suc i)  $x$ 
  hence var-ind i x and diff: index x  $\neq$  i unfolding var-ind-def by auto
  hence  $\sigma x = \text{Var } x$  using  $\sigma$ [unfolded cg-subst-ind-def] by blast
  thus  $\sigma' x = \text{Var } x$  unfolding  $\sigma'$ -def' using diff by auto
next
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $\neg \text{var-ind}(\text{Suc } i) x$  and  $\text{snd } x \in S$ 
  thus  $\sigma' x : \text{snd } x \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}n)$ 
  using  $\sigma$ [unfolded cg-subst-ind-def, rule-format, of x]  $u$ 
  unfolding  $\sigma'$ -def var-ind-conv by auto
next
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $\neg \text{var-ind}(\text{Suc } i) x$ 
  hence  $x = z \vee \neg \text{var-ind } i x$  unfolding var-ind-conv by auto
  thus  $\text{vars}(\sigma' x) = \{\}$  unfolding  $\sigma'$ -upd using  $\sigma$ [unfolded cg-subst-ind-def, rule-format, of x] vars-u by auto
  qed
show ?case
proof (intro exI[of -  $\sigma'$ ] conjI  $\sigma'$  ballI)
  fix  $mp$ 

```

```

assume  $mp: mp \in pp$ 
define  $s'$  where  $s' = s \cdot mp \cdot \sigma$ 
define  $t'$  where  $t' = t \cdot mp \cdot \sigma$ 
from  $confl[rule-format, OF mp]$ 
obtain  $p$  where  $p: p \in poss\ s' \ p \in poss\ t'$  and  $confl: confl\ i\ (s' \mid -\ p)\ (t'$ 
 $\mid -\ p)$  by  $(auto\ simp: s'-def\ t'-def)$ 
{
  fix  $s' t' :: (f, nat \times 's)$  term and  $p\ f\ ss\ x$ 
  assume  $*$ :  $(s' \mid -\ p, t' \mid -\ p) = (Fun\ f\ ss, Var\ x)\ var-ind\ i\ x$  and  $p: p \in$ 
 $poss\ s' \ p \in poss\ t'$ 
  and  $range-all-st: s' \in all-st$ 
  hence  $s': s' \cdot Var(z := u) \mid -\ p = Fun\ f\ ss \cdot Var(z := u)$  (is - = ?s)
  and  $t': t' \cdot Var(z := u) \mid -\ p = (if\ x = z\ then\ u\ else\ Var\ x)$  using  $p$  by
 $auto$ 
  from  $range-all-st[unfolded\ all-st-def]$ 
  have  $range\sigma: \exists\ S. s' = S \cdot \sigma$  by  $auto$ 
  define  $s$  where  $s = ?s$ 
  have  $\exists\ p. p \in poss\ (s' \cdot Var(z := u)) \wedge p \in poss\ (t' \cdot Var(z := u)) \wedge$ 
 $confl\ (Suc\ i)\ (s' \cdot Var(z := u) \mid -\ p)\ (t' \cdot Var(z := u) \mid -\ p)$ 
  proof  $(cases\ x = z)$ 
  case  $False$ 
  thus  $?thesis$  using  $*\ p$  unfolding  $s' t'$  by  $(intro\ exI[of -\ p], auto\ simp:$ 
 $confl-def\ var-ind-conv)$ 
  next
  case  $True$ 
  hence  $t': t' \cdot Var(z := u) \mid -\ p = u$  unfolding  $t'$  by  $auto$ 
  have  $\exists\ p'. p' \in poss\ u \wedge p' \in poss\ s \wedge confl\ (Suc\ i)\ (s \mid -\ p')\ (u \mid -\ p')$ 
  proof  $(cases\ \exists\ x. x \in vars\ s \wedge var-ind\ (Suc\ i)\ x)$ 
  case  $True$ 
  then obtain  $x$  where  $xs: x \in vars\ s$  and  $x: var-ind\ (Suc\ i)\ x$  by
 $auto$ 
  from  $xs$  obtain  $p'$  where  $p': p' \in poss\ s$  and  $sp: s \mid -\ p' = Var\ x$  by
 $(metis\ vars-term-poss-subt-at)$ 
  from  $p' sp\ vars-u$  show  $?thesis$ 
  proof  $(induct\ u\ arbitrary: p' s)$ 
  case  $(Fun\ f\ us\ p' s)$ 
  show  $?case$ 
  proof  $(cases\ s)$ 
  case  $(Var\ y)$ 
  with  $Fun$  have  $s: s = Var\ x$  by  $auto$ 
  with  $x$  show  $?thesis$  by  $(intro\ exI[of -\ Nil], auto\ simp: confl-def)$ 
  next
  case  $s: (Fun\ g\ ss)$ 
  with  $Fun$  obtain  $j\ p$  where  $p: p' = j \# p \ j < length\ ss \ p \in poss$ 
 $(ss ! j)\ (ss ! j) \mid -\ p = Var\ x$  by  $auto$ 
  show  $?thesis$ 
  proof  $(cases\ (f, length\ us) = (g, length\ ss))$ 
  case  $False$ 
  thus  $?thesis$  by  $(intro\ exI[of -\ Nil], auto\ simp: s\ confl-def)$ 

```

```

next
  case True
  with p have j: j < length us by auto
  hence usj: us ! j ∈ set us by auto
  with Fun have vars (us ! j) = {} by auto
  from Fun(1)[OF usj p(3,4) this] obtain p' where
    p' ∈ poss (us ! j) ∧ p' ∈ poss (ss ! j) ∧ confl (Suc i) (ss ! j |-
p') (us ! j |- p') by auto
  thus ?thesis using j p by (intro exI[of - j # p'], auto simp: s)
  qed
qed
qed auto
next
case False
from * have fss: Fun f ss = s' |- p by auto
from rangeσ obtain S where sS: s' = S · σ by auto
from p have vars (s' |- p) ⊆ vars s' by (metis vars-term-subst-at)
also have ... = (⋃ y∈vars S. vars (σ y)) unfolding sS by (simp
add: vars-term-subst)
also have ... ⊆ (⋃ y∈vars S. Collect (var-ind i))
proof -
{
  fix x y
  assume x ∈ vars (σ y)
  hence var-ind i x
    using σ[unfolded cg-subst-ind-def, rule-format, of y] by auto
}
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
finally have sub: vars (s' |- p) ⊆ Collect (var-ind i) by blast
have vars s = vars (s' |- p · Var(z := u)) unfolding s-def s' fss by
auto
also have ... = ⋃ (vars ' Var(z := u) ' vars (s' |- p)) by (simp add:
vars-term-subst)
also have ... ⊆ ⋃ (vars ' Var(z := u) ' Collect (var-ind i)) using
sub by auto
also have ... ⊆ Collect (var-ind (Suc i))
  by (auto simp: vars-u var-ind-conv)
finally have vars-s: vars s = {} using False by auto

{
  assume s = u
  from this[unfolded s-def fss]
  have eq: s' |- p · Var(z := u) = u by auto
  have False
  proof (cases z ∈ vars (s' |- p))
  case True
  have diff: s' |- p ≠ Var z using * by auto
  from True obtain C where id: s' |- p = C ⟨ Var z ⟩

```



```

    by (metis ctxt-supt-id vars-term-poss-subt-at)
  with diff have diff:  $C \neq \text{Hole}$  by (cases C, auto)
  from eq[unfolded id, simplified] diff
  obtain C where  $C \langle u \rangle = u$  and  $C \neq \text{Hole}$  by (cases C; force)
  from arg-cong[OF this(1), of size] this(2) show False
    by (simp add: less-not-refl2 size-ne-ctxt)
next
case False
have size:  $\text{size } s' \in \text{size } \text{'all-st}$  using range-all-st by auto
from False have  $s' \mid\!-\! p \cdot \text{Var}(z := u) = s' \mid\!-\! p \cdot \text{Var}$ 
  by (intro term-subst-eq, auto)
with eq have eq:  $s' \mid\!-\! p = u$  by auto
hence  $\text{size } u = \text{size } (s' \mid\!-\! p)$  by auto
also have  $\dots \leq \text{size } s'$  using p(1)
  by (rule subt-size)
also have  $\dots \leq \text{Max } (\text{size } \text{'all-st})$ 
  using size fin-all-st by simp
also have  $\dots < d$  unfolding d-def by simp
also have  $\dots \leq \text{size } u$  using du .
finally show False by simp
qed
}
hence  $s \neq u$  by auto
with vars-s vars-u
show ?thesis
proof (induct s arbitrary: u)
case s: (Fun f ss u)
then obtain g us where  $u = \text{Fun } g \text{ us}$  by (cases u, auto)
show ?case
proof (cases (f,length ss) = (g,length us))
case False
thus ?thesis unfolding u by (intro exI[of - Nil], auto simp:
confl-def)
next
case True
with s(4)[unfolded u] have  $\exists j < \text{length } us. ss ! j \neq us ! j$ 
  by (auto simp: list-eq-nth-eq)
then obtain j where  $j: j < \text{length } us$  and  $\text{diff}: ss ! j \neq us ! j$ 
by auto
from j True have mem:  $ss ! j \in \text{set } ss$   $us ! j \in \text{set } us$  by auto
with s(2-) u have vars (ss ! j) = {} vars (us ! j) = {} by auto
from s(1)[OF mem(1) this diff] obtain p' where
   $p' \in \text{poss } (us ! j) \wedge p' \in \text{poss } (ss ! j) \wedge \text{confl } (\text{Suc } i) (ss ! j \mid\!-\! p')$ 
( $us ! j \mid\!-\! p'$ )
  by blast
thus ?thesis unfolding u using True j by (intro exI[of - j # p'],
auto)
qed
qed auto

```

qed
then obtain p' **where** $p': p' \in \text{poss } u \ p' \in \text{poss } s$ **and** $\text{confl: confl (Suc } i) (s \mid - p') (u \mid - p')$ **by** *auto*
have $s'': s' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u) \mid - (p \ @ \ p')$ **=** $s \mid - p'$ **unfolding** *s-def s'[symmetric]* **using** $p \ p'$ **by** *auto*
have $t'': t' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u) \mid - (p \ @ \ p')$ **=** $u \mid - p'$ **using** $t' \ p \ p'$ **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*intro exI[of - p @ p'], unfold s'' t'', intro conjI confl*)
have $p \in \text{poss } (s' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u))$ **using** p **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $p' \in \text{poss } ((s' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u)) \mid - p)$ **using** $s' \ p' \ p$ **unfolding** *s-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately show $p \ @ \ p' \in \text{poss } (s' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u))$ **by** *simp*
have $p \in \text{poss } (t' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u))$ **using** p **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $p' \in \text{poss } ((t' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u)) \mid - p)$ **using** $t' \ p' \ p$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show $p \ @ \ p' \in \text{poss } (t' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u))$ **by** *simp*
qed
qed
} note $\text{main} = \text{this}$
consider (*FF*) $f \ g \ ss \ ts$ **where** $(s' \mid - p, t' \mid - p) = (\text{Fun } f \ ss, \text{Fun } g \ ts)$
 $(f, \text{length } ss) \neq (g, \text{length } ts)$
 \mid (*FV*) $f \ ss \ x$ **where** $(s' \mid - p, t' \mid - p) = (\text{Fun } f \ ss, \text{Var } x)$ *var-ind i x*
 \mid (*VF*) $f \ ss \ x$ **where** $(s' \mid - p, t' \mid - p) = (\text{Var } x, \text{Fun } f \ ss)$ *var-ind i x*
 \mid (*VV*) $x \ x'$ **where** $(s' \mid - p, t' \mid - p) = (\text{Var } x, \text{Var } x')$ $x \neq x'$ *var-ind i x*
var-ind i x'
using *confl* **by** (*auto simp: confl-def split: term.splits*)
hence $\exists p. p \in \text{poss } (s' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u)) \wedge p \in \text{poss } (t' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u)) \wedge$
 $\text{confl (Suc } i) (s' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u) \mid - p) (t' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u) \mid - p)$
proof *cases*
case (*FF*) $f \ g \ ss \ ts$
thus *?thesis* **using** p **by** (*intro exI[of - p], auto simp: confl-def*)
next
case (*FV*) $f \ ss \ x$
have $s' \in \text{all-st}$ **unfolding** *s'-def* **using** *mp all-st-def* **by** *auto*
from *main[OF FV p this]* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case (*VF*) $f \ ss \ x$
have $t': t' \in \text{all-st}$ **unfolding** *t'-def* **using** *mp all-st-def* **by** *auto*
from *VF* **have** $(t' \mid - p, s' \mid - p) = (\text{Fun } f \ ss, \text{Var } x)$ *var-ind i x* **by** *auto*
from *main[OF this p(2,1) t']*
obtain p **where** $p \in \text{poss } (t' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u)) \ p \in \text{poss } (s' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u))$
 $\text{confl (Suc } i) (t' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u) \mid - p) (s' \cdot \text{Var}(z := u) \mid - p)$
by *auto*
thus *?thesis* **by** (*intro exI[of - p], auto simp: confl-def split: term.splits*)
next
case (*VV*) $x \ x'$
thus *?thesis* **using** $p \ \text{vars-}u$ **by** (*intro exI[of - p], cases u, auto simp: confl-def var-ind-conv*)
qed

```

      thus  $\exists p. p \in \text{poss } (s \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma') \wedge p \in \text{poss } (t \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma') \wedge \text{confl } (\text{Suc } i) (s \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma' \mid\!-\! p) (t \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma' \mid\!-\! p)$ 
      unfolding  $\sigma'$ -comp subst-subst-compose  $s'$ -def  $t'$ -def by auto
    qed
  qed
}
from this[of n]
obtain  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma: \text{cg-subst-ind } n \ \sigma$  and  $\text{confl}: \bigwedge \text{ mp}. \text{ mp} \in \text{pp} \implies \exists p. p \in \text{poss } (s \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma) \wedge p \in \text{poss } (t \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma) \wedge \text{confl } n (s \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma \mid\!-\! p) (t \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma \mid\!-\! p)$ 
  by blast
define  $\sigma' :: ('f, \text{nat} \times 's, 'v)\text{gsubst}$  where  $\sigma' x = \text{Var undefined for } x$ 
let  $?\sigma = \sigma \circ_s \sigma'$ 
have  $\text{cg-subst } ?\sigma$  unfolding  $\text{cg-subst-def subst-compose-def}$ 
proof (intro allI impI)
  fix  $x :: \text{nat} \times 's$ 
  assume  $\text{snd } x \in S$ 
  with  $\sigma[\text{unfolded } \text{cg-subst-ind-def}, \text{rule-format}, \text{of } x]$ 
  have  $\sigma x : \text{snd } x \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}n)$  by auto
  thus  $\sigma x \cdot \sigma' : \text{snd } x \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY})$  by (rule type-conversion1)
qed
from pp[unfolded pat-complete-def match-complete-wrt-def, rule-format, OF this]
obtain  $\text{mp } \mu$  where  $\text{mp}: \text{ mp} \in \text{pp}$  and  $\text{match}: \bigwedge \text{ ti } \text{ li}. (\text{ti}, \text{li}) \in \text{mp} \implies \text{ti} \cdot ?\sigma = \text{li} \cdot \mu$  by force
from P1[OF this(1)]
have  $(s \text{ mp}, \text{Var } (x \text{ mp})) \in \text{mp} (t \text{ mp}, \text{Var } (x \text{ mp})) \in \text{mp}$  by auto
from match[OF this(1)] match[OF this(2)] have  $\text{ident}: s \text{ mp} \cdot ?\sigma = t \text{ mp} \cdot ?\sigma$ 
by auto
from confl[OF mp] obtain  $p$ 
  where  $p: p \in \text{poss } (s \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma) \wedge p \in \text{poss } (t \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma)$  and  $\text{confl}: \text{confl } n (s \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma \mid\!-\! p) (t \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma \mid\!-\! p)$ 
  by auto
let  $?s = s \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma$  let  $?t = t \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma$ 
from confl-n[OF confl] obtain  $f \ g \ \text{ss} \ \text{ts}$  where
   $\text{confl}: ?s \mid\!-\! p = \text{Fun } f \ \text{ss} \ ?t \mid\!-\! p = \text{Fun } g \ \text{ts}$  and  $\text{diff}: (f, \text{length } \text{ss}) \neq (g, \text{length } \text{ts})$  by auto
define  $s'$  where  $s' = s \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma$ 
define  $t'$  where  $t' = t \text{ mp} \cdot \sigma$ 
from confl  $p \ \text{ident}$ 
have False
  unfolding subst-subst-compose  $s'$ -def[symmetric]  $t'$ -def[symmetric]
proof (induction  $p$  arbitrary:  $s' \ t'$ )
  case Nil
  then show ?case using diff by (auto simp: list-eq-nth-eq)
next
  case (Cons  $i \ p \ s \ t$ )
  from Cons obtain  $h1 \ us1$  where  $s: s = \text{Fun } h1 \ us1$  by (cases  $s$ , auto)
  from Cons obtain  $h2 \ us2$  where  $t: t = \text{Fun } h2 \ us2$  by (cases  $t$ , auto)
  from Cons(2,4)[unfolded  $s$ ] have  $si: (us1 ! i) \mid\!-\! p = \text{Fun } f \ \text{ss} \ p \in \text{poss } (us1$ 

```

```

! i) and i1: i < length us1 by auto
    from Cons(3,5)[unfolded t] have ti: (us2 ! i) |- p = Fun g ts p ∈ poss (us2
! i) and i2: i < length us2 by auto
    from Cons(6)[unfolded s t] i1 i2 have us1 ! i · σ' = us2 ! i · σ' by (auto
simp: list-eq-nth-eq)
    from Cons.IH[OF si(1) ti(1) si(2) ti(2) this]
    show False .
qed
}
thus ?case by auto
qed
end
end

```

4 A Multiset-Based Inference System to Decide Pattern Completeness

```

theory Pattern-Completeness-Multiset
imports
  Pattern-Completeness-Set
  LP-Duality.Minimum-Maximum
  Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-List
  First-Order-Terms.Term-Pair-Multiset
begin

```

4.1 Definition of the Inference Rules

We next switch to a multiset based implementation of the inference rules. At this level, termination is proven and further, that the evaluation cannot get stuck. The inference rules closely mimic the ones in the paper, though there is one additional inference rule for getting rid of duplicates (which are automatically removed when working on sets).

```

type-synonym ('f,'v,'s)match-problem-mset = (('f,nat × 's)term × ('f,'v)term)
multiset

```

```

type-synonym ('f,'v,'s)pat-problem-mset = ('f,'v,'s)match-problem-mset multiset

```

```

type-synonym ('f,'v,'s)pats-problem-mset = ('f,'v,'s)pat-problem-mset multiset

```

```

abbreviation mp-mset :: ('f,'v,'s)match-problem-mset ⇒ ('f,'v,'s)match-problem-set

```

```

  where mp-mset ≡ set-mset

```

```

abbreviation pat-mset :: ('f,'v,'s)pat-problem-mset ⇒ ('f,'v,'s)pat-problem-set

```

```

  where pat-mset ≡ image mp-mset o set-mset

```

```

abbreviation pats-mset :: ('f,'v,'s)pats-problem-mset ⇒ ('f,'v,'s)pats-problem-set

```

where $pat\text{-}mset \equiv \text{image } pat\text{-}mset \text{ o } set\text{-}mset$

abbreviation ($input$) $bottom\text{-}mset :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}mset$ **where** $bottom\text{-}mset \equiv \{\# \{\#\} \#\}$

context $pattern\text{-}completeness\text{-}context$
begin

A terminating version of (\Rightarrow_s) working on multisets that also treats the transformation on a more modular basis.

definition $subst\text{-}match\text{-}problem\text{-}mset :: ('f, nat \times 's)subst \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}mset$ **where**
 $subst\text{-}match\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \tau = \text{image}\text{-}mset (\text{subst}\text{-}left \tau)$

definition $subst\text{-}pat\text{-}problem\text{-}mset :: ('f, nat \times 's)subst \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}mset$ **where**
 $subst\text{-}pat\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \tau = \text{image}\text{-}mset (\text{subst}\text{-}match\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \tau)$

definition $\tau\text{-}s\text{-}list :: nat \Rightarrow nat \times 's \Rightarrow ('f, nat \times 's)subst \text{ list}$ **where**
 $\tau\text{-}s\text{-}list \ n \ x = \text{map } (\tau\text{ c } n \ x) (\text{Cl } (\text{snd } x))$

inductive $mp\text{-}step\text{-}mset :: ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \rightarrow_m 50) **where**
 $match\text{-}decompose: (f, \text{length } ts) = (g, \text{length } ls) \Rightarrow \text{add}\text{-}mset (\text{Fun } f \ ts, \text{Fun } g \ ls) \ mp \rightarrow_m \ mp + \text{mset } (\text{zip } ts \ ls)$
 $| \text{match}\text{-}match: x \notin \bigcup (\text{vars } ' \ \text{snd } ' \ \text{set}\text{-}mset \ mp) \Rightarrow \text{add}\text{-}mset (t, \text{Var } x) \ mp \rightarrow_m \ mp$
 $| \text{match}\text{-}duplicate: \text{add}\text{-}mset \ \text{pair } (\text{add}\text{-}mset \ \text{pair } \ mp) \rightarrow_m \ \text{add}\text{-}mset \ \text{pair } \ mp$

inductive $match\text{-}fail :: ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $match\text{-}clash: (f, \text{length } ts) \neq (g, \text{length } ls) \Rightarrow \text{match}\text{-}fail (\text{add}\text{-}mset (\text{Fun } f \ ts, \text{Fun } g \ ls) \ mp)$
 $| \text{match}\text{-}clash': \text{Conflict}\text{-}Clash \ s \ t \Rightarrow \text{match}\text{-}fail (\text{add}\text{-}mset (s, \text{Var } x) (\text{add}\text{-}mset (t, \text{Var } x) \ mp))$

inductive $pp\text{-}step\text{-}mset :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
(**infix** \Rightarrow_m 50) **where**
 $pat\text{-}remove\text{-}pp: \text{add}\text{-}mset \ \{\#\} \ pp \Rightarrow_m \ \{\#\}$
 $| \text{pat}\text{-}simp\text{-}mp: \text{mp}\text{-}step\text{-}mset \ mp \ mp' \Rightarrow \text{add}\text{-}mset \ mp \ pp \Rightarrow_m \ \{\#\} (\text{add}\text{-}mset \ mp' \ pp) \ \#\}$
 $| \text{pat}\text{-}remove\text{-}mp: \text{match}\text{-}fail \ mp \Rightarrow \text{add}\text{-}mset \ mp \ pp \Rightarrow_m \ \{\#\} \ pp \ \#\}$
 $| \text{pat}\text{-}instantiate: \text{tvars}\text{-}disj\text{-}pp \ \{n \ .. < \ n+m\} (\text{pat}\text{-}mset (\text{add}\text{-}mset \ mp \ pp)) \Rightarrow (\text{Var } x, l) \in \text{mp}\text{-}mset \ mp \wedge \text{is}\text{-}Fun \ l \vee (s, \text{Var } y) \in \text{mp}\text{-}mset \ mp \wedge (t, \text{Var } y) \in \text{mp}\text{-}mset \ mp \wedge \text{Conflict}\text{-}Var \ s \ t \ x \wedge \neg \text{inf}\text{-}sort (\text{snd } x) \Rightarrow \text{add}\text{-}mset \ mp \ pp \Rightarrow_m \ \text{mset } (\text{map } (\lambda \ \tau. \ \text{subst}\text{-}pat\text{-}problem\text{-}mset \ \tau (\text{add}\text{-}mset \ mp \ pp)) (\tau\text{-}s\text{-}list \ n \ x))$

inductive *pat-fail* :: (*f,v,s*)*pat-problem-mset* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
pat-failure': *Ball* (*pat-mset pp*) *inf-var-conflict* \Rightarrow *pat-fail pp*
| *pat-empty*: *pat-fail* {#}

inductive *P-step-mset* :: (*f,v,s*)*pat-problem-mset* \Rightarrow (*f,v,s*)*pat-problem-mset*
 \Rightarrow *bool*

(**infix** \Rightarrow_m 50)**where**
P-failure: *pat-fail pp* \Rightarrow *add-mset pp P* \neq *bottom-mset* \Rightarrow *add-mset pp P* \Rightarrow_m
bottom-mset
| *P-simp-pp*: *pp* \Rightarrow_m *pp'* \Rightarrow *add-mset pp P* \Rightarrow_m *pp' + P*

The relation (encoded as predicate) is finally wrapped in a set

definition *P-step* :: ((*f,v,s*)*pat-problem-mset* \times (*f,v,s*)*pat-problem-mset*)*set*
(\Rightarrow) **where**
 $\Rightarrow = \{(P,P'). P \Rightarrow_m P'\}$

4.2 The evaluation cannot get stuck

lemmas *subst-defs* =
subst-pat-problem-mset-def
subst-pat-problem-set-def
subst-match-problem-mset-def
subst-match-problem-set-def

lemma *pat-mset-fresh-vars*:
 $\exists n. \text{tvars-disj-pp } \{n..<n + m\} (\text{pat-mset } p)$

proof –

define *p'* **where** *p' = pat-mset p*
define *V* **where** *V = fst ' \bigcup (vars ' (fst ' \bigcup *p'*))*
have *finite V* **unfolding** *V-def p'-def* **by** *auto*
define *n* **where** *n = Suc (Max V)*
{
 fix *mp t l*
 assume *mp* \in *p' (t,l) \in mp*
 hence *sub: fst ' vars t \subseteq V* **unfolding** *V-def* **by** *force*
 {
 fix *x*
 assume *x \in fst ' vars t*
 with *sub* **have** *x \in V* **by** *auto*
 with $\langle \text{finite } V \rangle$ **have** *x \leq Max V* **by** *simp*
 also **have** $\dots < n$ **unfolding** *n-def* **by** *simp*
 finally **have** *x < n* .
 }
 hence *fst ' vars t \cap {n..<n + m} = {}* **by** *force*
 }
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *tvars-disj-pp-def p'-def[symmetric]*
 by (*intro exI[of - n] ballI, force*)
qed

```

lemma pat-fail-or-trans:
  pat-fail p  $\vee$  ( $\exists$  ps. p  $\Rightarrow_m$  ps)
proof (cases p = {#})
  case True
    with pat-empty show ?thesis by auto
  next
  case pne: False
    from pat-mset-fresh-vars obtain n where fresh: tvars-disj-pp {n.. $n + m$ }
    (pat-mset p) by blast
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases {#}  $\in\#$  p)
      case True
        then obtain p' where p = add-mset {#} p' by (rule mset-add)
        with pat-remove-pp show ?thesis by auto
      next
      case empty-p: False
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases  $\exists$  mp s t. mp  $\in\#$  p  $\wedge$  (s,t)  $\in\#$  mp  $\wedge$  is-Fun t)
          case True
            then obtain mp s t where mp: mp  $\in\#$  p and (s,t)  $\in\#$  mp and is-Fun t by
            auto
            then obtain g ts where mem: (s, Fun g ts)  $\in\#$  mp by (cases t, auto)
            from mp obtain p' where p: p = add-mset mp p' by (rule mset-add)
            from mem obtain mp' where mp: mp = add-mset (s, Fun g ts) mp' by (rule
            mset-add)
            show ?thesis
            proof (cases s)
              case s: (Fun f ss)
                from pat-simp-mp[OF match-decompose, of f ss] pat-remove-mp[OF match-clash,
                of f ss]
                show ?thesis unfolding p mp s by blast
              next
              case (Var x)
                from Var mem obtain l where (Var x, l)  $\in\#$  mp  $\wedge$  is-Fun l by auto
                from pat-instantiate[OF fresh[unfolded p] disjI1[OF this]]
                show ?thesis unfolding p by auto
            qed
          next
          case False
            hence rhs-vars:  $\bigwedge$  mp s l. mp  $\in\#$  p  $\implies$  (s,l)  $\in\#$  mp  $\implies$  is-Var l by auto
            let ?single-var = ( $\exists$  mp t x. add-mset (t, Var x) mp  $\in\#$  p  $\wedge$  x  $\notin$   $\bigcup$  (vars '
            snd ' set-mset mp))
            let ?duplicate = ( $\exists$  mp pair. add-mset pair (add-mset pair mp)  $\in\#$  p)
            show ?thesis
            proof (cases ?single-var  $\vee$  ?duplicate)
              case True
                thus ?thesis
              proof

```

```

    assume ?single-var
    then obtain mp t x where mp: add-mset (t, Var x) mp ∈# p and x: x ∉
    ∪ (vars 'snd 'set-mset mp)
      by auto
      from mp obtain p' where p = add-mset (add-mset (t, Var x) mp) p' by
      (rule mset-add)
      with pat-simp-mp[OF match-match[OF x]] show ?thesis by auto
    next
      assume ?duplicate
      then obtain mp pair where add-mset pair (add-mset pair mp) ∈# p (is
      ?dup ∈# p) by auto
      from mset-add[OF this] obtain p' where
        p: p = add-mset ?dup p' .
      from pat-simp-mp[OF match-duplicate[of pair]] show ?thesis unfolding
      p by auto
    qed
  next
    case False
    hence ndup: ¬ ?duplicate and nsvar: ¬ ?single-var by auto
    {
      fix mp
      assume mpp: mp ∈# p
      with empty-p have mp-e: mp ≠ {#} by auto
      obtain s l where sl: (s,l) ∈# mp using mp-e by auto
      from rhs-vars[OF mpp sl] sl obtain x where sx: (s, Var x) ∈# mp by
      (cases l, auto)
      from mpp obtain p' where p: p = add-mset mp p' by (rule mset-add)
      from sx obtain mp' where mp: mp = add-mset (s, Var x) mp' by (rule
      mset-add)
      from nsvar[simplified, rule-format, OF mpp[unfolded mp]]
      obtain t l where (t,l) ∈# mp' and x ∈ vars (snd (t,l)) by force
      with rhs-vars[OF mpp, of t l] have tx: (t, Var x) ∈# mp' unfolding mp
      by auto
      then obtain mp'' where mp': mp' = add-mset (t, Var x) mp'' by (rule
      mset-add)
      from ndup[simplified, rule-format] mpp have s ≠ t unfolding mp mp' by
      auto
      hence ∃ s t x mp'. mp = add-mset (s, Var x) (add-mset (t, Var x) mp')
      ∧ s ≠ t unfolding mp mp' by auto
      } note two = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ∃ mp s t x y. add-mset (s, Var x) (add-mset (t, Var x) mp)
    ∈# p ∧ Conflict-Var s t y ∧ ¬ inf-sort (snd y))
      case True
      then obtain mp s t x y where
        mp: add-mset (s, Var x) (add-mset (t, Var x) mp) ∈# p (is ?mp ∈# -)
      and conf: Conflict-Var s t y and y: ¬ inf-sort (snd y)
      by blast
      from conflicts(4)[OF conf] have y ∈ vars s ∪ vars t by auto

```



```

with mp have  $y \in \text{tvars-mp} (\text{mp-mset } ?mp)$  unfolding tvars-mp-def by
auto
from mp obtain  $p'$  where  $p: p = \text{add-mset } ?mp \ p'$  by (rule mset-add)
let  $?mp = \text{add-mset} (s, \text{Var } x) (\text{add-mset} (t, \text{Var } x) \text{ mp})$ 
from pat-instantiate[OF - disjI2, of  $n \ ?mp \ p' \ s \ t \ y$ , folded p, OF fresh]
show  $?thesis$  using  $y \ \text{conf}$  by auto
next
case no-non-inf: False
show  $?thesis$ 
proof (cases  $\exists \ \text{mp} \ s \ t \ x. \ \text{add-mset} (s, \text{Var } x) (\text{add-mset} (t, \text{Var } x) \ \text{mp})$ 
 $\in\# \ p \wedge \ \text{Conflict-Clash} \ s \ t$ )
case True
then obtain  $\text{mp} \ s \ t \ x$  where
 $\text{mp}: \text{add-mset} (s, \text{Var } x) (\text{add-mset} (t, \text{Var } x) \ \text{mp}) \in\# \ p$  (is  $?mp \in\#$ 
-) and  $\text{conf}: \text{Conflict-Clash} \ s \ t$ 
by blast
from pat-remove-mp[OF match-clash'[OF conf, of  $x \ \text{mp}$ ]]
show  $?thesis$  using mset-add[OF mp] by metis
next
case no-clash: False
show  $?thesis$ 
proof (intro disjI1 pat-failure' ballI)
fix mp
assume  $\text{mp} \in \text{pat-mset } p$ 
then obtain  $\text{mp}'$  where  $\text{mp}': \text{mp}' \in\# \ p$  and  $\text{mp}: \text{mp} = \text{mp-mset } \text{mp}'$ 
by auto
from two[OF mp']
obtain  $s \ t \ x \ \text{mp}''$ 
where  $\text{mp}'': \text{mp}' = \text{add-mset} (s, \text{Var } x) (\text{add-mset} (t, \text{Var } x) \ \text{mp}'')$ 
and  $\text{diff}: s \neq t$  by auto
from conflicts(3)[OF diff] obtain  $y$  where  $\text{Conflict-Clash} \ s \ t \vee$ 
 $\text{Conflict-Var} \ s \ t \ y$  by auto
with no-clash  $\text{mp}'' \ \text{mp}'$  have  $\text{conf}: \text{Conflict-Var} \ s \ t \ y$  by force
with no-non-inf  $\text{mp}'$ [unfolded mp''] have  $\text{inf}: \text{inf-sort} (\text{snd } y)$  by blast
show  $\text{inf-var-conflict } \text{mp}$  unfolding  $\text{inf-var-conflict-def } \text{mp} \ \text{mp}''$ 
apply (rule exI[of - s], rule exI[of - t])
apply (intro exI[of - x] exI[of - y])
using insert inf conf by auto
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

Pattern problems just have two normal forms: empty set (solvable) or bottom (not solvable)

theorem *P-step-NF*:

```

assumes  $NF: P \in NF \Rightarrow$ 
shows  $P \in \{\#\}, \text{bottom-mset}\}$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $nNF: P \notin \{\#\}, \text{bottom-mset}\}$ 
  from  $NF$  have  $NF: \neg (\exists Q. P \Rightarrow_m Q)$  unfolding  $P\text{-step-def}$  by blast
  from  $nNF$  obtain  $p P'$  where  $P: P = \text{add-mset } p P'$ 
    using multiset-cases by auto
  from pat-fail-or-trans
  obtain  $ps$  where  $\text{pat-fail } p \vee p \Rightarrow_m ps$  by auto
  with  $P\text{-simp-pp}[of\ p\ ps]$   $NF$ 
  have  $\text{pat-fail } p$  unfolding  $P$  by auto
  from  $P\text{-failure}[OF\ this,\ of\ P',\ folded\ P]$   $nNF\ NF$  show False by auto
qed
end

```

4.3 Termination

A measure to count the number of function symbols of the first argument that don't occur in the second argument

```

fun fun-diff ::  $(f, 'v)\text{term} \Rightarrow (f, 'w)\text{term} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
  fun-diff  $l (\text{Var } x) = \text{num-funs } l$ 
| fun-diff  $(\text{Fun } g\ ls) (\text{Fun } f\ ts) = (\text{if } f = g \wedge \text{length } ts = \text{length } ls \text{ then}$ 
   $\text{sum-list } (\text{map2 } \text{fun-diff } ls\ ts) \text{ else } 0)$ 
| fun-diff  $l\ t = 0$ 

```

```

lemma fun-diff-Var[simp]:  $\text{fun-diff } (\text{Var } x)\ t = 0$ 
by (cases t, auto)

```

```

lemma add-many-mult:  $(\bigwedge y. y \in\# N \Longrightarrow (y, x) \in R) \Longrightarrow (N + M, \text{add-mset } x\ M) \in \text{mult } R$ 
by (metis add.commute add-mset-add-single multi-member-last multi-self-add-other-not-self one-step-implies-mult)

```

```

lemma fun-diff-num-funs:  $\text{fun-diff } l\ t \leq \text{num-funs } l$ 

```

```

proof (induct l t rule: fun-diff.induct)
  case  $(2\ f\ ls\ g\ ts)$ 
  show ?case
  proof (cases f = g \wedge length ts = length ls)
    case True
    have  $\text{sum-list } (\text{map2 } \text{fun-diff } ls\ ts) \leq \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{num-funs } ls)$ 
      by (intro sum-list-mono2, insert True 2, (force simp: set-zip)+)
    with  $2$  show ?thesis by auto
  qed auto
qed auto

```

```

lemma fun-diff-subst:  $\text{fun-diff } l\ (t \cdot \sigma) \leq \text{fun-diff } l\ t$ 

```

```

proof (induct l arbitrary: t)
  case  $l: (\text{Fun } f\ ls)$ 
  show ?case

```

```

proof (cases t)
  case t: (Fun g ts)
    show ?thesis unfolding t using l by (auto intro: sum-list-mono2)
  next
    case t: (Var x)
      show ?thesis unfolding t using fun-diff-num-funs[of Fun f ls] by auto
    qed
qed auto

```

```

lemma fun-diff-num-funs-lt: assumes t': t' = Fun c cs
  and is-Fun l
shows fun-diff l t' < num-funs l
proof -
  from assms obtain g ls where l: l = Fun g ls by (cases l, auto)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases c = g ∧ length cs = length ls)
    case False
      thus ?thesis unfolding t' l by auto
    next
      case True
        have sum-list (map2 fun-diff ls cs) ≤ sum-list (map num-funs ls)
          apply (rule sum-list-mono2; (intro impI)?)
          subgoal using True by auto
          subgoal for i using True by (auto intro: fun-diff-num-funs)
          done
        thus ?thesis unfolding t' l using True by auto
      qed
    qed

```

```

lemma sum-union-le-nat: sum (f :: 'a ⇒ nat) (A ∪ B) ≤ sum f A + sum f B
  by (metis finite-Un le-iff-add sum.infinite sum.union-inter zero-le)

```

```

lemma sum-le-sum-list-nat: sum f (set xs) ≤ (sum-list (map f xs) :: nat)
proof (induct xs)
  case (Cons x xs)
    thus ?case
      by (cases x ∈ set xs, auto simp: insert-absorb)
    qed auto

```

```

lemma bdd-above-has-Maximum-nat: bdd-above (A :: nat set) ⇒ A ≠ {} ⇒
has-Maximum A
  unfolding has-Maximum-def
  by (meson Max-ge Max-in bdd-above-nat)

```

```

context pattern-completeness-context-with-assms
begin

```

```

lemma τs-list: set (τs-list n x) = τs n x

```

unfolding $\tau s\text{-list-def}$ $\tau s\text{-def}$ **using** Cl **by** $auto$

abbreviation $(input)$ $sum\text{-ms} :: ('a \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $sum\text{-ms } f\ ms \equiv sum\text{-mset } (image\text{-mset } f\ ms)$

definition $meas\text{-diff} :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-problem-mset} \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $meas\text{-diff} = sum\text{-ms } (sum\text{-ms } (\lambda (t, l). fun\text{-diff } l\ t))$

definition $max\text{-size} :: 's \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $max\text{-size } s = (if\ s \in S \wedge \neg inf\text{-sort } s\ then\ Maximum\ (size\ '\{t. t : s\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, EMPTYn)\})$
 $else\ 0)$

definition $meas\text{-finvars} :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-problem-mset} \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $meas\text{-finvars} = sum\text{-ms } (\lambda mp. sum\ (max\text{-size } o\ snd)\ (tvars\text{-mp } (mp\text{-mset } mp)))$

definition $meas\text{-symbols} :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-problem-mset} \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $meas\text{-symbols} = sum\text{-ms } size\text{-mset}$

definition $meas\text{-setsize} :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-problem-mset} \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $meas\text{-setsize } p = sum\text{-ms } (sum\text{-ms } (\lambda -. 1))\ p + size\ p$

definition $rel\text{-pat} :: ((f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-problem-mset} \times (f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-problem-mset})set\ (\prec)$
where
 $(\prec) = inv\text{-image } (\{(x, y). x < y\} <*\text{lex}*\> \{(x, y). x < y\} <*\text{lex}*\> \{(x, y). x < y\} <*\text{lex}*\> \{(x, y). x < y\})$
 $(\lambda mp. (meas\text{-diff } mp, meas\text{-finvars } mp, meas\text{-symbols } mp, meas\text{-setsize } mp))$

abbreviation $gt\text{-rel-pat}$ (**infix** \succ 50) **where**
 $pp \succ pp' \equiv (pp', pp) \in \prec$

definition $rel\text{-pats} :: ((f, 'v, 's)pats\text{-problem-mset} \times (f, 'v, 's)pats\text{-problem-mset})set\ (\prec\text{mul})$ **where**
 $\prec\text{mul} = mult\ (\prec)$

abbreviation $gt\text{-rel-pats}$ (**infix** $\succ\text{mul}$ 50) **where**
 $P \succ\text{mul } P' \equiv (P', P) \in \prec\text{mul}$

lemma $wf\text{-rel-pat}$: $wf\ \prec$
unfolding $rel\text{-pat-def}$
by $(intro\ wf\text{-inv-image } wf\text{-lex-prod } wf\text{-less})$

lemma $wf\text{-rel-pats}$: $wf\ \prec\text{mul}$
unfolding $rel\text{-pats-def}$
by $(intro\ wf\text{-inv-image } wf\text{-mult } wf\text{-rel-pat})$

lemma $tvars\text{-mp-fin}$:
 $finite\ (tvars\text{-mp } (mp\text{-mset } mp))$
unfolding $tvars\text{-mp-def}$ **by** $auto$

lemmas *meas-def* = *meas-finvars-def meas-diff-def meas-symbols-def meas-setsize-def*

lemma *tvars-mp-mono*: $mp \subseteq\# mp' \implies \text{tvars-mp } (mp\text{-mset } mp) \subseteq \text{tvars-mp } (mp\text{-mset } mp')$

unfolding *tvars-mp-def*

by (*intro image-mono subset-refl set-mset-mono UN-mono*)

lemma *meas-finvars-mono*: **assumes** $\text{tvars-mp } (mp\text{-mset } mp) \subseteq \text{tvars-mp } (mp\text{-mset } mp')$

shows $\text{meas-finvars } \{\#mp\#\} \leq \text{meas-finvars } \{\#mp'\#\}$

using *tvars-mp-fin[of mp'] assms*

unfolding *meas-def* **by** (*auto intro: sum-mono2*)

lemma *rel-mp-sub*: $\{\# \text{ add-mset } p \text{ } mp\#\} \succ \{\# mp \#\}$

proof –

let $?mp' = \text{add-mset } p \text{ } mp$

have $mp \subseteq\# ?mp'$ **by** *auto*

from *meas-finvars-mono[OF tvar-mp-mono[OF this]]*

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *meas-def rel-pat-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *rel-mp-mp-step-mset*:

assumes $mp \rightarrow_m mp'$

shows $\{\#mp\#\} \succ \{\#mp'\#\}$

using *assms*

proof *cases*

case $*$: (*match-decompose f ts g ls mp'*)

have $\text{meas-finvars } \{\#mp'\#\} \leq \text{meas-finvars } \{\#mp\#\}$

proof (*rule meas-finvars-mono*)

show $\text{tvars-mp } (mp\text{-mset } mp') \subseteq \text{tvars-mp } (mp\text{-mset } mp)$

unfolding *tvars-mp-def* *** using** $*(3)$ **by** (*auto simp: set-zip set-conv-nth*)

qed

moreover

have *id*: (*case case x of (x, y) \Rightarrow (y, x) of (t, l) \Rightarrow f t l = (case x of (a,b) \Rightarrow f b a)* **for**

$x :: ('f, 'v) \text{Term.term} \times ('f, \text{nat} \times 's) \text{Term.term}$ **and** $f :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

by (*cases x, auto*)

have $\text{meas-diff } \{\#mp'\#\} \leq \text{meas-diff } \{\#mp\#\}$

unfolding *meas-def* *** using** $*(3)$

by (*auto simp: sum-mset-sum-list[symmetric] zip-commute[of ts ls] image-mset.compositionality o-def id*)

moreover **have** $\text{meas-symbols } \{\#mp'\#\} < \text{meas-symbols } \{\#mp\#\}$

unfolding *meas-def* *** using** $*(3)$ *size-mset-Fun-less[of ts ls g g]*

by (*auto simp: sum-mset-sum-list*)

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *rel-pat-def* **by** *auto*

next

case $*$: (*match-match x t*)

show *?thesis* **unfolding** $*$

by (rule rel-mp-sub)

next

case *: (match-duplicate pair mp)

show ?thesis unfolding *

by (rule rel-mp-sub)

qed

lemma *sum-ms-image*: $sum\text{-}ms\ f\ (image\text{-}mset\ g\ ms) = sum\text{-}ms\ (f\ o\ g)\ ms$

by (simp add: multiset.map-comp)

lemma *meas-diff-subst-le*: $meas\text{-}diff\ (subst\text{-}pat\text{-}problem\text{-}mset\ \tau\ p) \leq meas\text{-}diff\ p$

unfolding meas-def subst-match-problem-set-def subst-defs subst-left-def

unfolding sum-ms-image o-def

apply (rule sum-mset-mono, rule sum-mset-mono)

apply clarify

unfolding map-prod-def split id-apply

by (rule fun-diff-subst)

lemma *meas-sub*: **assumes** $sub: p' \subseteq\# p$

shows $meas\text{-}diff\ p' \leq meas\text{-}diff\ p$

$meas\text{-}finvars\ p' \leq meas\text{-}finvars\ p$

$meas\text{-}symbols\ p' \leq meas\text{-}symbols\ p$

proof –

from *sub* **obtain** p'' **where** $p: p = p' + p''$ **by** (metis subset-mset.less-eqE)

show $meas\text{-}diff\ p' \leq meas\text{-}diff\ p$ $meas\text{-}finvars\ p' \leq meas\text{-}finvars\ p$ $meas\text{-}symbols\ p' \leq meas\text{-}symbols\ p$

unfolding meas-def *p* **by** auto

qed

lemma *meas-sub-rel-pat*: **assumes** $sub: p' \subset\# p$

shows $p \succ p'$

proof –

from *sub* **obtain** $x\ p''$ **where** $p: p = add\text{-}mset\ x\ p' + p''$

by (metis multi-nonempty-split subset-mset.lessE union-mset-add-mset-left union-mset-add-mset-right)

hence $lt: meas\text{-}setsize\ p' < meas\text{-}setsize\ p$ **unfolding** meas-def **by** auto

from *sub* **have** $p' \subseteq\# p$ **by** auto

from *lt* *meas-sub*[OF *this*]

show ?thesis **unfolding** rel-pat-def **by** auto

qed

lemma *max-size-term-of-sort*: **assumes** $sS: s \in S$ **and** $inf: \neg\ inf\text{-}sort\ s$

shows $\exists\ t. t : s\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, EMPTYn) \wedge max\text{-}size\ s = size\ t \wedge (\forall\ t'. t' : s\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, EMPTYn) \longrightarrow size\ t' \leq size\ t)$

proof –

let $?set = \lambda\ s. size\ \{t. t : s\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, EMPTYn)\}$

have $m: max\text{-}size\ s = Maximum\ (?set\ s)$ **unfolding** o-def max-size-def **using** *inf* *sS* **by** auto

from *inf*[unfolded inf-sort-def[OF *sS*]] **have** bdd-above (?set *s*) **by** auto

moreover from *sorts-non-empty*[*OF sS*] *type-conversion2* **have** $?set\ s \neq \{\}$ **by**
auto
ultimately have *has-Maximum* ($?set\ s$) **by** (*rule bdd-above-has-Maximum-nat*)
from *has-MaximumD*[*OF this, folded m*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *max-size-max*: **assumes** *sS*: $s \in S$
and *inf*: $\neg\ inf\text{-}sort\ s$
and *sort*: $t : s\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, EMPTYn)$
shows $size\ t \leq\ max\text{-}size\ s$
using *max-size-term-of-sort*[*OF sS inf*] *sort* **by** *auto*

lemma *finite-sort-size*: **assumes** *c*: $c : map\ snd\ vs \rightarrow s\ in\ C$
and *inf*: $\neg\ inf\text{-}sort\ s$
shows $sum\ (max\text{-}size\ o\ snd)\ (set\ vs) < max\text{-}size\ s$
proof –
from *c* **have** *vsS*: $insert\ s\ (set\ (map\ snd\ vs)) \subseteq S$ **using** *C-sub-S*
by (*metis (mono-tags)*)
hence *sS*: $s \in S$ **by** *auto*
let $?m = max\text{-}size\ s$
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases* $\exists\ v \in set\ vs.\ inf\text{-}sort\ (snd\ v)$)
case *True*
{
fix *v*
assume $v \in set\ vs$
with *vsS* **have** $v : snd\ v \in S$ **by** *auto*
note *term-of-sort*[*OF this*]
}
hence $\forall\ v.\ \exists\ t.\ v \in set\ vs \longrightarrow t : snd\ v\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, EMPTYn)$ **by** *auto*
from *choice*[*OF this*] **obtain** *t* **where**
 $t : \bigwedge\ v.\ v \in set\ vs \implies t\ v : snd\ v\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, EMPTYn)$ **by** *blast*
from *True vsS* **obtain** *vl* **where** $vl : vl \in set\ vs$ **and** *vlS*: $snd\ vl \in S$ **and** *inf-vl*:
inf-sort (snd vl) **by** *auto*
from *not-bdd-above-natD*[*OF inf-vl[unfolded inf-sort-def[OF vlS]]*, *of ?m*] *t*[*OF*
vl]
obtain *tl* **where**
 $tl : tl : snd\ vl\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, EMPTYn)$ **and** *large*: $?m \leq\ size\ tl$ **by** *fastforce*
let $?t = Fun\ c\ (map\ (\lambda\ v.\ if\ v = vl\ then\ tl\ else\ t\ v)\ vs)$
have $?t : s\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, EMPTYn)$
by (*intro Fun-hastypeI*[*OF c*] *list-all2-map-map*, *insert tl t*, *auto*)
from *max-size-max*[*OF sS inf this*]
have *False* **using** *large split-list*[*OF vl*] **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis ..*
next
case *False*
{
fix *v*
assume $v : v \in set\ vs$

with *False* **have** *inf*: \neg *inf-sort* (*snd v*) **by** *auto*
from *vsS v* **have** *snd v* $\in S$ **by** *auto*
from *max-size-term-of-sort*[*OF this inf*]
have $\exists t. t : \text{snd } v \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}n) \wedge \text{size } t = \text{max-size } (\text{snd } v)$ **by** *auto*
}
hence $\forall v. \exists t. v \in \text{set } vs \longrightarrow t : \text{snd } v \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}n) \wedge \text{size } t = \text{max-size } (\text{snd } v)$ **by** *auto*
from *choice*[*OF this*] **obtain** *t* **where**
 $t : v \in \text{set } vs \implies t v : \text{snd } v \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}n) \wedge \text{size } (t v) = \text{max-size } (\text{snd } v)$
for *v* **by** *blast*
let *?t* = *Fun c* (*map t vs*)
have *?t* : *s* **in** $\mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}n)$
by (*intro Fun-hastypeI*[*OF c*] *list-all2-map-map*, *insert t*, *auto*)
from *max-size-max*[*OF sS inf this*]
have *size ?t* \leq *max-size s* .

have *sum* (*max-size* \circ *snd*) (*set vs*) = *sum* (*size* \circ *t*) (*set vs*)
by (*rule sum.cong*[*OF refl*], *unfold o-def*, *insert t*, *auto*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{sum-list } (\text{map } (\text{size } \circ t) \text{ vs})$
by (*rule sum-le-sum-list-nat*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{size-list } (\text{size } \circ t) \text{ vs}$ **by** (*induct vs*, *auto*)
also have $\dots < \text{size } ?t$ **by** *simp*
also have $\dots \leq \text{max-size } s$ **by** *fact*
finally show *?thesis* .
qed
qed

lemma *rel-pp-step-mset*:

assumes $p \Rightarrow_m ps$

and $p' \in \# ps$

shows $p \succ p'$

using *assms*

proof *induct*

case *: (*pat-simp-mp mp mp' p*)

hence $p' : p' = \text{add-mset } mp' p$ **by** *auto*

from *rel-mp-mp-step-mset*[*OF *(1)*]

show *?case* **unfolding** p' *rel-pat-def meas-def* **by** *auto*

next

case (*pat-remove-mp mp p*)

hence $p' : p' = p$ **by** *auto*

show *?case* **unfolding** p'

by (*rule meas-sub-rel-pat*, *auto*)

next

case *: (*pat-instantiate n mp p x l s y t*)

from *(2) **have** $\exists s t. (s, t) \in \# mp \wedge (s = \text{Var } x \wedge \text{is-Fun } t \vee (x \in \text{vars } s \wedge \neg \text{inf-sort } (\text{snd } x)))$

proof

assume *: $(s, \text{Var } y) \in \# mp \wedge (t, \text{Var } y) \in \# mp \wedge \text{Conflict-Var } s t x \wedge \neg \text{inf-sort } (\text{snd } x)$

hence *Conflict-Var s t x* **and** \neg *inf-sort (snd x)* **by** *auto*
from *conflicts(4)[OF this(1)] this(2) **
show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed *auto*
then obtain *s t* **where** *st: (s,t) ∈ # mp* **and** *choice: s = Var x ∧ is-Fun t ∨ x*
 \in *vars s ∧ ¬ inf-sort (snd x)*
by *auto*
let *?p = add-mset mp p*
let *?s = snd x*
from **(3) τs-list*
obtain τ **where** $\tau: \tau \in \tau s n x$ **and** *p': p' = subst-pat-problem-mset τ ?p* **by** *auto*

let *?tau-mset = subst-pat-problem-mset τ*
let *?tau = subst-match-problem-mset τ*
from τ [*unfolded τs-def τc-def List.maps-def*]
obtain *c sorts* **where** *c: c : sorts → ?s in C* **and** *tau: τ = subst x (Fun c (map*
 $\text{Var (zip [n..<n + length sorts] sorts)))$
by *auto*
with *C-sub-S* **have** *sS: ?s ∈ S* **and** *sorts: set sorts ⊆ S* **by** *auto*
define *vs* **where** *vs = zip [n..<n + length sorts] sorts*
have $\tau: \tau = \text{subst } x \text{ (Fun } c \text{ (map Var vs))}$ **unfolding** *tau vs-def* **by** *auto*
have *snd ' vars (τ y) ⊆ insert (snd y) S* **for** *y*
using *sorts unfolding tau* **by** (*auto simp: subst-def set-zip set-conv-nth*)
hence *vars-sort: (a,b) ∈ vars (τ y) ⇒ b ∈ insert (snd y) S* **for** *a b y* **by** *fastforce*

from *st* **obtain** *mp'* **where** *mp: mp = add-mset (s,t) mp'* **by** (*rule mset-add*)
from *choice* **have** *?p > ?tau-mset ?p*
proof
assume *s = Var x ∧ is-Fun t*
then obtain *f ts* **where** *s: s = Var x* **and** *t: t = Fun f ts* **by** (*cases t, auto*)
have *meas-diff (?tau-mset ?p) =*
 $\text{meas-diff (?tau-mset (add-mset mp' p)) + fun-diff t (s \cdot \tau)}$
unfolding *meas-def subst-defs subst-left-def mp* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots \leq \text{meas-diff (add-mset mp' p) + fun-diff t (\tau x)}$ **using** *meas-diff-subst-le[of*
 $\tau]$ *s* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots < \text{meas-diff (add-mset mp' p) + fun-diff t s}$
proof (*rule add-strict-left-mono*)
have *fun-diff t (τ x) < num-funs t*
unfolding *tau subst-simps fun-diff.simps*
by (*rule fun-diff-num-funs-lt[OF refl], auto simp: t*)
thus *fun-diff t (τ x) < fun-diff t s* **by** (*auto simp: s t*)
qed
also have $\dots = \text{meas-diff ?p}$ **unfolding** *mp meas-def* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **unfolding** *rel-pat-def* **by** *auto*
next
assume $x \in \text{vars } s \wedge \neg \text{inf-sort (snd } x)$
hence $x: x \in \text{vars } s$ **and** *inf: ¬ inf-sort (snd x)* **by** *auto*
from *meas-diff-subst-le[of τ]*
have *fd: meas-diff p' ≤ meas-diff ?p* **unfolding** *p'* .

```

have meas-finvars (?tau-mset ?p) = meas-finvars (?tau-mset {#mp#}) +
meas-finvars (?tau-mset p)
unfolding subst-defs meas-def by auto
also have ... < meas-finvars {#mp#} + meas-finvars p
proof (rule add-less-le-mono)
have vars- $\tau$ -var: vars ( $\tau$  y) = (if x = y then set vs else {y}) for y unfolding
 $\tau$  subst-def by auto
have vars- $\tau$ : vars (t  $\cdot$   $\tau$ ) = vars t - {x}  $\cup$  (if x  $\in$  vars t then set vs else {})
for t
unfolding vars-term-subst image-comp o-def vars- $\tau$ -var by auto
have tvars-mp-subst: tvars-mp (mp-mset (?tau mp)) =
tvars-mp (mp-mset mp) - {x}  $\cup$  (if x  $\in$  tvars-mp (mp-mset mp) then set
vs else {}) for mp
unfolding subst-defs subst-left-def tvars-mp-def
by (auto simp:vars- $\tau$  split: if-splits prod.split)
have id1: meas-finvars (?tau-mset {#mp#}) = ( $\sum$  x $\in$  tvars-mp (mp-mset
(?tau mp)). max-size (snd x)) for mp
unfolding meas-def subst-defs by auto
have id2: meas-finvars {#mp#} = ( $\sum$  x $\in$ tvars-mp (mp-mset mp). max-size
(snd x)) for mp
unfolding meas-def subst-defs by simp
have eq: x  $\notin$  tvars-mp (mp-mset mp)  $\implies$  meas-finvars (?tau-mset {# mp
#}) = meas-finvars {#mp#} for mp
unfolding id1 id2 by (rule sum.cong[OF - refl], auto simp: tvars-mp-subst)
{
fix mp

assume xmp: x  $\in$  tvars-mp (mp-mset mp)
let ?mp = (mp-mset mp)
have fin: finite (tvars-mp ?mp) by (rule tvars-mp-fin)
define Mp where Mp = tvars-mp ?mp - {x}
from xmp have 1: tvars-mp (mp-mset (?tau mp)) = set vs  $\cup$  Mp
unfolding tvars-mp-subst Mp-def by auto
from xmp have 2: tvars-mp ?mp = insert x Mp and xMp: x  $\notin$  Mp unfolding
Mp-def by auto
from fin have fin: finite Mp unfolding Mp-def by auto
have meas-finvars (?tau-mset {# mp #}) = sum (max-size  $\circ$  snd) (set vs
 $\cup$  Mp) (is - = sum ?size -)
unfolding id1 id2 using 1 by auto
also have ...  $\leq$  sum ?size (set vs) + sum ?size Mp by (rule sum-union-le-nat)
also have ... < ?size x + sum ?size Mp
proof -
have sS: ?s  $\in$  S by fact
have sorts: sorts = map snd vs unfolding vs-def by (intro nth-equalityI,
auto)
have sum ?size (set vs) < ?size x
using finite-sort-size[OF c[unfolded sorts] inf] by auto
thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

    also have ... = meas-finvars {#mp#} unfolding id2 2 using fin xMp by
  auto
    finally have meas-finvars (?tau-mset {# mp #}) < meas-finvars {#mp#}
  .
} note less = this
  have le: meas-finvars (?tau-mset {# mp #}) ≤ meas-finvars {#mp#} for
mp
  using eq[of mp] less[of mp] by linarith

show meas-finvars (?tau-mset {#mp#}) < meas-finvars {#mp#} using x
  by (intro less, unfold mp, force simp: tvars-mp-def)

show meas-finvars (?tau-mset p) ≤ meas-finvars p
  unfolding subst-pat-problem-mset-def meas-finvars-def sum-ms-image o-def
  apply (rule sum-mset-mono)
  subgoal for mp using le[of mp] unfolding meas-finvars-def o-def subst-defs
by auto
  done
qed
  also have ... = meas-finvars ?p unfolding p' meas-def by simp
  finally show ?thesis using fd unfolding rel-pat-def p' by auto
qed
  thus ?case unfolding p' .
next
  case *: (pat-remove-pp p)
  thus ?case by (intro meas-sub-rel-pat, auto)
qed

finally: the transformation is terminating w.r.t. ( $\succ$  mul)

lemma rel-P-trans:
  assumes  $P \Rightarrow_m P'$ 
  shows  $P \succ_{mul} P'$ 
  using assms
proof induct
  case *: (P-failure p P)
  from * have  $p \neq \{\#\} \vee p = \{\#\} \wedge P \neq \{\#\}$  by auto
  thus ?case
  proof
    assume  $p \neq \{\#\}$ 
    then obtain mp p' where  $p = \text{add-mset } mp \ p'$  by (cases p, auto)
    have  $p \succ \{\#\}$  unfolding p by (intro meas-sub-rel-pat, auto)
    thus ?thesis unfolding rel-pats-def using
      one-step-implies-mult[of add-mset p P {#\#\#} - {\#}]
    by auto
  next
    assume *:  $p = \{\#\} \wedge P \neq \{\#\}$  then obtain p' P' where  $p = \{\#\}$  and
    P:  $P = \text{add-mset } p' \ P'$  by (cases P, auto)
    show ?thesis unfolding P p unfolding rel-pats-def
    by (simp add: subset-implies-mult)

```

```

qed
next
case *: (P-simp-pp p ps P)
from rel-pp-step-mset[OF *]
show ?case unfolding rel-pats-def by (metis add-many-mult)
qed

```

termination of the multiset based implementation

```

theorem SN-P-step: SN  $\Rightarrow$ 
proof -
have sub:  $\Rightarrow \subseteq \prec \text{mul}^{\wedge -1}$ 
using rel-P-trans unfolding P-step-def by auto
show ?thesis
apply (rule SN-subset[OF - sub])
using wf-rel-pats by (simp add: wf-imp-SN)
qed

```

4.4 Partial Correctness via Refinement

Obtain partial correctness via a simulation property, that the multiset-based implementation is a refinement of the set-based implementation.

```

lemma mp-step-cong: mp1  $\rightarrow_s$  mp2  $\Longrightarrow$  mp1 = mp1'  $\Longrightarrow$  mp2 = mp2'  $\Longrightarrow$  mp1'
 $\rightarrow_s$  mp2' by auto

```

```

lemma mp-step-mset-mp-trans: mp  $\rightarrow_m$  mp'  $\Longrightarrow$  mp-mset mp  $\rightarrow_s$  mp-mset mp'

```

```

proof (induct mp mp' rule: mp-step-mset.induct)
case *: (match-decompose f ts g ls mp)
show ?case by (rule mp-step-cong[OF mp-decompose], insert *, auto)
next
case *: (match-match x mp t)
show ?case by (rule mp-step-cong[OF mp-match], insert *, auto)
next
case (match-duplicate pair mp)
show ?case by (rule mp-step-cong[OF mp-identity], auto)
qed

```

```

lemma mp-fail-cong: mp-fail mp  $\Longrightarrow$  mp = mp'  $\Longrightarrow$  mp-fail mp' by auto

```

```

lemma match-fail-mp-fail: match-fail mp  $\Longrightarrow$  mp-fail (mp-mset mp)

```

```

proof (induct mp rule: match-fail.induct)
case *: (match-clash f ts g ls mp)
show ?case by (rule mp-fail-cong[OF mp-clash], insert *, auto)
next
case *: (match-clash' s t x mp)
show ?case by (rule mp-fail-cong[OF mp-clash'], insert *, auto)
qed

```

```

lemma P-step-set-cong: P  $\Rightarrow_s$  Q  $\Longrightarrow$  P = P'  $\Longrightarrow$  Q = Q'  $\Longrightarrow$  P'  $\Rightarrow_s$  Q' by auto

```

```

lemma P-step-mset-imp-set: assumes  $P \Rightarrow_m Q$ 
  shows  $\text{pats-mset } P \Rightarrow_s \text{pats-mset } Q$ 
  using assms
proof (induct)
  case *: (P-failure  $p$   $P$ )
  let  $?P = \text{insert } (\text{pat-mset } p) (\text{pats-mset } P)$ 
  from *(1)
  have  $?P \Rightarrow_s \text{bottom}$ 
  proof induct
    case (pat-failure'  $p$ )
    from P-failure'[OF this]
    show  $?case$  by auto
  next
    case pat-empty
    show  $?case$  using P-fail by auto
  qed
  thus  $?case$  by auto
next
  case *: (P-simp-pp  $p$   $ps$   $P$ )
  note conv = o-def image-mset-union image-empty image-mset-add-mset Un-empty-left
    set-mset-add-mset-insert set-mset-union image-Un image-insert set-mset-empty
    set-mset-mset set-image-mset
    set-map image-comp insert-is-Un[symmetric]
  define  $P'$  where  $P' = \{\text{mp-mset } ' \text{set-mset } x \mid x \in \text{set-mset } P\}$ 
  from *(1)
  have  $\text{insert } (\text{pat-mset } p) (\text{pats-mset } P) \Rightarrow_s \text{pats-mset } ps \cup \text{pats-mset } P$ 
  unfolding conv P'-def[symmetric]
  proof induction
    case (pat-remove-pp  $p$ )
    show  $?case$  unfolding conv
    by (intro P-remove-pp pp-success.intros)
  next
    case *: (pat-simp-mp  $mp$   $mp'$   $p$ )
    from P-simp[OF pp-simp-mp [OF mp-step-mset-mp-trans [OF *]]]
    show  $?case$  by auto
  next
    case *: (pat-remove-mp  $mp$   $p$ )
    from P-simp[OF pp-remove-mp [OF match-fail-mp-fail [OF *]]]
    show  $?case$  by simp
  next
    case *: (pat-instantiate  $n$   $mp$   $p$   $x$   $l$   $s$   $y$   $t$ )
    from *(2) have  $x \in \text{tvars-mp } (\text{mp-mset } mp)$ 
    using conflicts(4)[of s t x] unfolding tvars-mp-def
    by (auto intro!:term.set-intros(3))
    hence  $x: x \in \text{tvars-pp } (\text{pat-mset } (\text{add-mset } mp$   $p))$  unfolding tvars-pp-def
    using *(2) by auto
    show  $?case$  unfolding conv  $\tau$ s-list
    apply (rule P-step-set-cong [OF P-instantiate [OF *(1) x]])

```

by (unfold conv subst-defs set-map image-comp, auto)
 qed
 thus ?case unfolding conv .
 qed

lemma *P-step-pp-trans*: **assumes** $(P, Q) \in \Rightarrow$
shows $\text{pats-mset } P \Rightarrow_s \text{pats-mset } Q$
by (rule *P-step-mset-imp-set*, insert *assms*, unfold *P-step-def*, auto)

theorem *P-step-pcorrect*: **assumes** $\text{wf: wf-pats (pats-mset } P)$ **and** $\text{step: } (P, Q) \in$
P-step
shows $\text{wf-pats (pats-mset } Q) \wedge (\text{pats-complete (pats-mset } P) = \text{pats-complete (pats-mset } Q))$
proof –
note $\text{step} = \text{P-step-pp-trans}[OF \text{ step}]$
from *P-step-set-pcorrect*[*OF step*] *P-step-set-wf*[*OF step*] *wf*
show ?thesis **by** auto
 qed

corollary *P-steps-pcorrect*: **assumes** $\text{wf: wf-pats (pats-mset } P)$
and $\text{step: } (P, Q) \in \Rightarrow^*$
shows $\text{wf-pats (pats-mset } Q) \wedge (\text{pats-complete (pats-mset } P) \longleftrightarrow \text{pats-complete (pats-mset } Q))$
using *step* **by** induct (insert *wf P-step-pcorrect*, auto)

Gather all results for the multiset-based implementation: decision procedure on well-formed inputs (termination was proven before)

theorem *P-step*:
assumes $\text{wf: wf-pats (pats-mset } P)$ **and** $\text{NF: } (P, Q) \in \Rightarrow^!$
shows $Q = \{\#\} \wedge \text{pats-complete (pats-mset } P)$ — either the result is and input P is complete
 $\vee Q = \text{bottom-mset} \wedge \neg \text{pats-complete (pats-mset } P)$ — or the result = bot and P is not complete
proof –
from *NF* **have** $\text{steps: } (P, Q) \in \Rightarrow^*$ **and** $\text{NF: } Q \in \text{NF}$ *P-step* **by** auto
from *P-steps-pcorrect*[*OF wf steps*]
have $\text{wf: wf-pats (pats-mset } Q)$ **and**
 $\text{sound: pats-complete (pats-mset } P) = \text{pats-complete (pats-mset } Q)$
by blast+
from *P-step-NF*[*OF NF*] **have** $Q \in \{\{\#\}, \text{bottom-mset}\}$.
thus ?thesis **unfolding** *sound* **by** auto
 qed

end
 end

5 Computing Nonempty and Infinite sorts

This theory provides two algorithms, which both take a description of a set of sorts with their constructors. The first algorithm computes the set of sorts that are nonempty, i.e., those sorts that are inhabited by ground terms; and the second algorithm computes the set of sorts that are infinite, i.e., where one can build arbitrary large ground terms.

theory *Compute-Nonempty-Infinite-Sorts*

imports

Sorted-Terms.Sorted-Terms

LP-Duality.Minimum-Maximum

Matrix.Utility

begin

5.1 Deciding the nonemptiness of all sorts under consideration

function *compute-nonempty-main* :: $'\tau$ set $\Rightarrow ((f \times '\tau$ list) $\times '\tau$) list $\Rightarrow '\tau$ set
where

compute-nonempty-main ne ls = (let rem-ls = filter ($\lambda f. \text{snd } f \notin \text{ne}$) ls in
case partition ($\lambda ((-, \text{args}), -). \text{set } \text{args} \subseteq \text{ne}$) rem-ls of
(new, rem) \Rightarrow if new = [] then ne else *compute-nonempty-main* (ne \cup set
(map snd new)) rem)
by pat-completeness auto

termination

proof (relation measure (length o snd), goal-cases)

case (2 ne ls rem-ls new rem)

have length new + length rem = length rem-ls

using 2(2) sum-length-filter-compl[of - rem-ls] by (auto simp: o-def)

with 2(3) have length rem < length rem-ls by (cases new, auto)

also have ... \leq length ls using 2(1) by auto

finally show ?case by simp

qed simp

declare *compute-nonempty-main.simps*[simp del]

definition *compute-nonempty-sorts* :: $((f \times '\tau$ list) $\times '\tau$) list $\Rightarrow '\tau$ set **where**
compute-nonempty-sorts Cs = *compute-nonempty-main* {} Cs

lemma *compute-nonempty-sorts*:

assumes distinct (map fst Cs)

and map-of Cs = C

shows *compute-nonempty-sorts* Cs = $\{\tau. \exists t :: (f, v)\text{term}. t : \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset)\}$ (is -
= ?NE)

proof -

let ?TC = $\mathcal{T}(C, (\emptyset :: 'v \Rightarrow -))$

have ne \subseteq ?NE \Longrightarrow set ls \subseteq set Cs \Longrightarrow snd ' (set Cs - set ls) \subseteq ne \Longrightarrow

```

    compute-nonempty-main ne ls = ?NE for ne ls
proof (induct ne ls rule: compute-nonempty-main.induct)
  case (1 ne ls)
  note ne = 1(2)
  define rem-ls where rem-ls = filter (λ f. snd f ∉ ne) ls
  have rem-ls: set rem-ls ⊆ set Cs
    snd ' (set Cs - set rem-ls) ⊆ ne
  using 1(2-) by (auto simp: rem-ls-def)
  obtain new rem where part: partition (λ((f, args), target). set args ⊆ ne)
rem-ls = (new,rem) by force
  have [simp]: compute-nonempty-main ne ls = (if new = [] then ne else compute-nonempty-main (ne ∪ set (map snd new)) rem)
  unfolding compute-nonempty-main.simps[of ne ls] Let-def rem-ls-def[symmetric]
part by auto
  have new: set (map snd new) ⊆ ?NE
  proof
    fix τ
    assume τ ∈ set (map snd new)
    then obtain f args where ((f,args),τ) ∈ set rem-ls and args: set args ⊆ ne
using part by auto
    with rem-ls have ((f,args),τ) ∈ set Cs by auto
    with assms have C (f,args) = Some τ by auto
    hence fC: f : args → τ in C by (simp add: hastype-in-ssig-def)
    from args ne have ∀ tau. ∃ t. tau ∈ set args → t : tau in ?TC by auto
    from choice[OF this] obtain ts where ∧ tau. tau ∈ set args ⇒ ts tau : tau
in ?TC by auto
    hence Fun f (map ts args) : τ in ?TC
    apply (intro Fun-hastypeI[OF fC])
    by (simp add: list-all2-conv-all-nth)
    thus τ ∈ ?NE by auto
  qed
  show ?case
  proof (cases new = [])
    case False
    note IH = 1(1)[OF rem-ls-def part[symmetric] False]
    have compute-nonempty-main ne ls = compute-nonempty-main (ne ∪ set
(map snd new)) rem using False by simp
    also have ... = ?NE
    proof (rule IH)
      show ne ∪ set (map snd new) ⊆ ?NE using new ne by auto
      show set rem ⊆ set Cs using rem-ls part by auto
      show snd ' (set Cs - set rem) ⊆ ne ∪ set (map snd new)
    proof
      fix τ
      assume τ ∈ snd ' (set Cs - set rem)
      then obtain f args where in-ls: ((f,args),τ) ∈ set Cs and nrem: ((f,args),τ)
∉ set rem by force
      thus τ ∈ ne ∪ set (map snd new) using new part rem-ls by force
    qed
  
```



```

qed
finally show ?thesis .
next
case True
have compute-nonempty-main ne ls = ne using True by simp
also have ... = ?NE
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg$  ?thesis
  with ne obtain  $\tau$  t where counter:  $t : \tau$  in ?TC  $\tau \notin$  ne by auto
  thus False
proof (induct t  $\tau$ )
  case (Fun f ts  $\tau$  s  $\tau$ )
  from Fun(1) have C (f, $\tau$ s) = Some  $\tau$  by (simp add: hastype-in-ssig-def)
  with assms(2) have mem: ((f, $\tau$ s), $\tau$ )  $\in$  set Cs by (meson map-of-SomeD)
  from Fun(3) have  $\tau$ s: set  $\tau$ s  $\subseteq$  ne by (induct, auto)
  from rem-ls mem Fun(4) have ((f, $\tau$ s), $\tau$ )  $\in$  set rem-ls by auto
  with  $\tau$ s have ((f, $\tau$ s), $\tau$ )  $\in$  set new using part by auto
  with True show ?case by auto
qed auto
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
from this[of {} Cs] show ?thesis unfolding compute-nonempty-sorts-def by
auto
qed

```

definition *decide-nonempty-sorts* :: $'t$ list \Rightarrow $((f \times 't$ list) \times 't)list \Rightarrow 't option
where

decide-nonempty-sorts τ s Cs = (let ne = compute-nonempty-sorts Cs in
find ($\lambda \tau. \tau \notin$ ne) τ s)

lemma *decide-nonempty-sorts*:

assumes *distinct* (map fst Cs)

and *map-of* Cs = C

shows *decide-nonempty-sorts* τ s Cs = None \Longrightarrow $\forall \tau \in$ set τ s. $\exists t :: (f, 'v)$ term. $t : \tau$ in $\mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset)$

decide-nonempty-sorts τ s Cs = Some $\tau \Longrightarrow \tau \in$ set τ s $\wedge \neg (\exists t :: (f, 'v)$ term. $t : \tau$ in $\mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset)$)

unfolding *decide-nonempty-sorts-def* *Let-def* compute-nonempty-sorts[OF *assms*,
where ?'v = 'v]

find-None-iff *find-Some-iff* by auto

5.2 Deciding infiniteness of a sort

We provide an algorithm, that given a list of sorts with constructors, computes the set of those sorts that are infinite. Here a sort is defined as infinite iff there is no upper bound on the size of the ground terms of that sort.

function *compute-inf-main* :: $'\tau$ set \Rightarrow $(f \times ('\tau$ list)list) list \Rightarrow $'\tau$ set **where**

```

compute-inf-main m-inf ls = (
  let (fin, ls') =
    partition (λ (τ,fs). ∀ τs ∈ set (map snd fs). ∀ τ ∈ set τs. τ ∉ m-inf) ls
  in if fin = [] then m-inf else compute-inf-main (m-inf - set (map fst fin)) ls')
by pat-completeness auto

```

termination

```

proof (relation measure (length o snd), goal-cases)
  case (2 m-inf ls pair fin ls')
  have length fin + length ls' = length ls
  using 2 sum-length-filter-compl[of - ls] by (auto simp: o-def)
  with 2(3) have length ls' < length ls by (cases fin, auto)
  thus ?case by auto
qed simp

```

```

lemma compute-inf-main: fixes E :: 'v → 't and C :: ('f, 't)ssig
  assumes E: E = ∅
  and C-Cs: C = map-of Cs'
  and Cs': set Cs' = set (concat (map ((λ (τ, fs). map (λ f. (f, τ)) fs) Cs))
  and arg-types-inhabitet: ∀ f τs τ τ'. f : τs → τ in C → τ' ∈ set τs → (∃ t.
t : τ' in T(C, E))
  and dist: distinct (map fst Cs) distinct (map fst Cs')
  and inhabitet: ∀ τ fs. (τ, fs) ∈ set Cs → set fs ≠ {}
  and ∀ τ. τ ∉ m-inf → bdd-above (size ' {t. t : τ in T(C, E)})
  and set ls ⊆ set Cs
  and fst ' (set Cs - set ls) ∩ m-inf = {}
  and m-inf ⊆ fst ' set ls
shows compute-inf-main m-inf ls = {τ. ¬ bdd-above (size ' {t. t : τ in T(C, E)})}

```

```

using assms(8-)

```

```

proof (induct m-inf ls rule: compute-inf-main.induct)
  case (1 m-inf ls)
  let ?fin = λ τ. bdd-above (size ' {t. t : τ in T(C, E)})
  define crit where crit = (λ (τ :: 't, fs :: ('f × 't list) list). ∀ τs ∈ set (map snd
fs). ∀ τ ∈ set τs. τ ∉ m-inf)
  define S where S τ' = size ' {t. t : τ' in T(C, E)} for τ'
  define M where M τ' = Maximum (S τ') for τ'
  define M' where M' σs = sum-list (map M σs) + (1 + length σs) for σs
  define L where L = [ σs . (τ, cs) <- Cs, (f, σs) <- cs ]
  define N where N = max-list (map M' L)
  obtain fin ls' where part: partition crit ls = (fin, ls') by force
  {
    fix τ cs
    assume inCs: (τ, cs) ∈ set Cs
    have nonempty: ∃ t. t : τ in T(C, E)
    proof -
      from inhabitet[rule-format, OF inCs] obtain f σs where (f, σs) ∈ set cs by
(cases cs, auto)
      with inCs have ((f, σs), τ) ∈ set Cs' unfolding Cs' by auto
    }

```

```

    hence  $fC: f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$  in  $C$  using  $dist(2)$  unfolding  $C-Cs$ 
    by ( $meson$   $hastype-in-ssig-def$   $map-of-is-SomeI$ )
    hence  $\forall \sigma. \exists t. \sigma \in set \sigma s \rightarrow t : \sigma$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$  using  $arg-types-inhabitet[rule-format,$ 
of  $f \sigma s \tau]$  by  $auto$ 
    from  $choice[OF this]$  obtain  $t$  where  $\sigma \in set \sigma s \implies t \sigma : \sigma$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$  for
 $\sigma$  by  $auto$ 
    hence  $Fun f (map t \sigma s) : \tau$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$  using  $list-all2-conv-all-nth$ 
    apply ( $intro$   $Fun-hastypeI[OF fC]$ ) by ( $simp$   $add: list-all2-conv-all-nth$ )
    then show  $?thesis$  by  $auto$ 
  qed
} note  $inhabited = this$ 
{
  fix  $\tau$ 
  assume  $asm: \tau \in fst \text{ ' set fin}$ 
  hence  $?fin \tau$ 
  proof( $cases \tau \in m-inf$ )
  case  $True$ 
  then obtain  $fs$  where  $taufs:(\tau, fs) \in set fin$  using  $asm$  by  $auto$ 
  {
    fix  $\tau'$  and  $t$  and  $args$ 
    assume  $*: \tau' \in set args$   $args \in snd \text{ ' set fs } t : \tau'$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$ 
    from  $*$  have  $\tau' \notin m-inf$  using  $taufs$  unfolding  $compute-inf-main.simps[of$ 
of  $m-inf]$ 
    using  $crit-def$  part by  $fastforce$ 
    hence  $?fin \tau'$  using  $crit-def$  part 1(2) by  $auto$ 
    hence  $hM: bdd-above (S \tau')$  unfolding  $S-def$  .
    from  $*(3)$  have  $size t \in S \tau'$  unfolding  $S-def$  by  $auto$ 
    from  $this$   $hM$  have  $size t \leq M \tau'$  unfolding  $M-def$  by ( $metis$   $bdd-above-Maximum-nat$ )
  } note  $arg-type-bounds = this$ 
  {
    fix  $t$ 
    assume  $t: t : \tau$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$ 
    then obtain  $f ts$  where  $tF: t = Fun f ts$  unfolding  $E$  by ( $induct, auto$ )
    from  $t[unfolded tF Fun-hastype]$ 
    obtain  $\sigma s$  where  $f: f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$  in  $C$  and  $args: ts :_i \sigma s$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$  by  $auto$ 
    from  $part[simplified] asm 1(3)$  obtain  $cs$  where  $inCs: (\tau, cs) \in set Cs$  and
of  $crit: crit (\tau, cs)$  by  $auto$ 
    {
      from  $f[unfolded hastype-in-ssig-def C-Cs]$ 
      have  $map-of Cs' (f, \sigma s) = Some \tau$  by  $auto$ 
      hence  $((f, \sigma s), \tau) \in set Cs'$  by ( $metis$   $map-of-SomeD$ )
      from  $this[unfolded Cs', simplified]$  obtain  $cs'$  where  $2: (\tau, cs') \in set Cs$ 
    }
  }
and  $mem: (f, \sigma s) \in set cs'$  by  $auto$ 
  from  $inCs 2$  dist have  $cs' = cs$  by ( $metis$   $eq-key-imp-eq-value$ )
  with  $mem$  have  $mem: (f, \sigma s) \in set cs$  by  $auto$ 
} note  $mem = this$ 
from  $mem$   $inCs$  have  $inL: \sigma s \in set L$  unfolding  $L-def$  by  $force$ 
{
  fix  $\sigma$   $ti$ 

```

```

    assume  $\sigma \in \text{set } \sigma s$  and  $ti: ti : \sigma$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$ 
    with mem crit have  $\sigma \notin m\text{-inf}$  unfolding crit-def by auto
    hence  $?fin \sigma$  using 1(2) by auto
    hence  $hM: bdd\text{-above } (S \sigma)$  unfolding S-def .
    from  $ti$  have  $size \ ti \in S \ \sigma$  unfolding S-def by auto
    from this hM have  $size \ ti \leq M \ \sigma$  unfolding M-def by (metis bdd-above-Maximum-nat)
  } note arg-bound = this
  have  $len: length \ \sigma s = length \ ts$  using args by (auto simp: list-all2-conv-all-nth)
    have  $size \ t = sum\text{-list } (map \ size \ ts) + (1 + length \ ts)$  unfolding tF by
  (simp add: size-list-conv-sum-list)
    also have  $\dots \leq sum\text{-list } (map \ M \ \sigma s) + (1 + length \ ts)$  unfolding tF args
    proof -
      have  $id1: map \ size \ ts = map \ (\lambda \ i. \ size \ (ts \ ! \ i)) \ [0 \ ..< \ length \ ts]$  by (intro
  nth-equalityI, auto)
      have  $id2: map \ M \ \sigma s = map \ (\lambda \ i. \ M \ (\sigma s \ ! \ i)) \ [0 \ ..< \ length \ ts]$  using len
  by (intro nth-equalityI, auto)
      have  $sum\text{-list } (map \ size \ ts) \leq sum\text{-list } (map \ M \ \sigma s)$  unfolding id1 id2
      apply (rule sum-list-mono) using arg-bound args
      by (auto, simp add: list-all2-conv-all-nth)
      thus  $?thesis$  by auto
    qed
    also have  $\dots = sum\text{-list } (map \ M \ \sigma s) + (1 + length \ \sigma s)$  using args
  unfolding M-def using list-all2-lengthD by auto
    also have  $\dots = M' \ \sigma s$  unfolding M'-def by auto
    also have  $\dots \leq max\text{-list } (map \ M' \ L)$ 
      by (rule max-list, insert inL, auto)
    also have  $\dots = N$  unfolding N-def ..
    finally have  $size \ t \leq N$  .
  }
  hence  $\bigwedge s. s \in S \ \tau \implies s \leq N$  unfolding S-def by auto
  hence finite ( $S \ \tau$ )
    using finite-nat-set-iff-bounded-le by auto
  moreover
  have nonempty:  $\exists t. t : \tau$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$ 
  proof -
    from part[simplified] asm 1(3) obtain cs where inCs:  $(\tau, cs) \in \text{set } Cs$  by
  auto
    thus  $?thesis$  using inhabited by auto
  qed
  hence  $S \ \tau \neq \{\}$  unfolding S-def by auto
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  unfolding S-def[symmetric] by (metis Max-ge
  bdd-above-def)
  next
  case False
    then show  $?thesis$  using 1(2) by simp
  qed
  } note fin = this
  show  $?case$ 
  proof (cases fin = [])

```

```

case False
hence compute-inf-main m-inf ls = compute-inf-main (m-inf - set (map fst
fin)) ls'
  unfolding compute-inf-main.simps[of m-inf] part[unfolded crit-def] by auto
  also have  $\dots = \{\tau. \neg ?fin \tau\}$ 
  proof (rule 1(1)[OF refl part[unfolded crit-def, symmetric] False])
    show  $set\ ls' \subseteq set\ Cs$  using 1(3) part by auto
    show  $fst\ '(set\ Cs - set\ ls') \cap (m-inf - set\ (map\ fst\ fin)) = \{\}$  using 1(3-4)
  part by force
    show  $\forall \tau. \tau \notin m-inf - set\ (map\ fst\ fin) \longrightarrow ?fin\ \tau$  using 1(2) fin by force
    show  $m-inf - set\ (map\ fst\ fin) \subseteq fst\ '\ set\ ls'$  using 1(5) part by force
  qed
finally show ?thesis .
next
case True
hence compute-inf-main m-inf ls = m-inf
  unfolding compute-inf-main.simps[of m-inf] part[unfolded crit-def] by auto
  also have  $\dots = \{\tau. \neg ?fin \tau\}$ 
  proof
    show  $\{\tau. \neg ?fin \tau\} \subseteq m-inf$  using fin 1(2) by auto
    {
      fix  $\tau$ 
      assume  $\tau \in m-inf$ 
      with 1(5) obtain cs where mem: ( $\tau, cs$ )  $\in$  set ls by auto
      from part True have ls': ls' = ls by (induct ls arbitrary: ls', auto)
      from partition-P[OF part, unfolded ls']
      have  $\bigwedge e. e \in set\ ls \implies \neg\ crit\ e$  by auto
      from this[OF mem, unfolded crit-def split]
      obtain  $c\ \tau s\ \tau'$  where  $*$ :  $(c, \tau s) \in set\ cs\ \tau' \in set\ \tau s\ \tau' \in m-inf$  by auto
      from mem 1(2-) have  $(\tau, cs) \in set\ Cs$  by auto
      with  $*$  have  $((c, \tau s), \tau) \in set\ Cs'$  unfolding Cs' by force
      with dist(2) have map-of Cs' ((c,  $\tau s$ )) = Some  $\tau$  by simp
      from this[folded C-Cs] have  $c: c: \tau s \rightarrow \tau$  in C unfolding hastype-in-ssig-def
    }
    from arg-types-inhabitet this have  $\forall \sigma. \exists t. \sigma \in set\ \tau s \longrightarrow t: \sigma$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C, E)$ 
  by auto
    from choice[OF this] obtain  $t$  where  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in set\ \tau s \implies t\ \sigma: \sigma$  in
 $\mathcal{T}(C, E)$  by auto
    hence list: map t  $\tau s$  :l  $\tau s$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C, E)$  by (simp add: list-all2-conv-all-nth)
    with  $c$  have Fun c (map t  $\tau s$ ):  $\tau$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C, E)$  by (intro Fun-hastypeI)
    with  $*$   $c$  list have  $\exists c\ \tau s\ \tau' ts. Fun\ c\ ts: \tau$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C, E) \wedge ts :l \tau s$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C, E)$ 
 $\wedge c: \tau s \rightarrow \tau$  in C  $\wedge \tau' \in set\ \tau s \wedge \tau' \in m-inf$ 
      by blast
    } note m-invD = this
    {
      fix  $n :: nat$ 
      have  $\tau \in m-inf \implies \exists t. t: \tau$  in  $\mathcal{T}(C, E) \wedge size\ t \geq n$  for  $\tau$ 
      proof (induct n arbitrary:  $\tau$ )
        case (0  $\tau$ )

```

from $m\text{-invD}[OF\ 0]$ **show** $?case$ **by** $blast$
next
case $(Suc\ n\ \tau)$
from $m\text{-invD}[OF\ Suc(2)]$ **obtain** $c\ \tau_s\ \tau'\ ts$
where $*$: $ts :_1\ \tau_s$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$ $c : \tau_s \rightarrow \tau$ **in** C $\tau' \in set\ \tau_s$ $\tau' \in m\text{-inf}$
by $auto$
from $*(1)[unfolding\ list\ all2\ conv\ all\ nth]$ $*(3)[unfolding\ set\ conv\ nth]$
obtain i **where** $i : i < length\ \tau_s$ **and** $tsi : ts ! i : \tau'$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$ **and** $len : length\ ts = length\ \tau_s$ **by** $auto$
from $Suc(1)[OF\ *(4)]$ **obtain** t **where** $t : \tau'$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$ **and** $ns : n \leq size\ t$ **by** $auto$
define ts' **where** $ts' = ts[i := t]$
have $ts' :_1\ \tau_s$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$ **using** $list\ all2\ conv\ all\ nth$ **unfolding** $ts'\text{-def}$
by $(metis\ *(1)\ tsi\ has\ same\ type\ i\ list\ all2\ update\ cong\ list\ update\ same\ conv\ t(1))$
hence $** : Fun\ c\ ts' : \tau$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(C,E)$ **apply** $(intro\ Fun\ hastypeI[OF\ *(2)])$
by $fastforce$
have $t \in set\ ts'$ **unfolding** $ts'\text{-def}$ **using** t
by $(simp\ add : i\ len\ set\ update\ memI)$
hence $size\ (Fun\ c\ ts') \geq Suc\ n$ **using** $*$
by $(simp\ add : size\ list\ estimation'\ ns)$
thus $?case$ **using** $**$ **by** $blast$
qed
} **note** $main = this$
show $m\text{-inf} \subseteq \{\tau. \neg ?fin\ \tau\}$
proof $(standard, standard)$
fix τ
assume $asm : \tau \in m\text{-inf}$
have $\exists t. t : \tau$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(C,E) \wedge n < size\ t$ **for** n **using** $main[OF\ asm, of\ Suc\ n]$ **by** $auto$
thus $\neg ?fin\ \tau$
by $(metis\ bdd\ above\ Maximum\ nat\ imageI\ mem\ Collect\ eq\ order.\ strict\ iff)$
qed
qed
finally **show** $?thesis$.
qed
qed

definition $compute\text{-inf}\text{-sorts} :: (('f \times 't\ list) \times 't)\ list \Rightarrow 't\ set$ **where**
 $compute\text{-inf}\text{-sorts}\ Cs = (let$
 $Cs' = map\ (\lambda\ \tau. (\tau, map\ fst\ (filter\ (\lambda f. snd\ f = \tau)\ Cs)))\ (remdups\ (map\ snd\ Cs))$
 $in\ compute\text{-inf}\text{-main}\ (set\ (map\ fst\ Cs'))\ Cs')$

lemma $compute\text{-inf}\text{-sorts}$:
fixes $E :: 'v \rightarrow 't$ **and** $C :: ('f, 't)\ ssig$
assumes $E : E = \emptyset$
and $C\text{-Cs} : C = map\ of\ Cs$
and $arg\text{-types}\text{-inhabitet} : \forall f\ \tau_s\ \tau\ \tau'. f : \tau_s \rightarrow \tau$ **in** $C \longrightarrow \tau' \in set\ \tau_s \longrightarrow (\exists t.$

```

t : τ' in T(C,E)
and dist: distinct (map fst Cs)
shows compute-inf-sorts Cs = {τ. ¬ bdd-above (size ' {t. t : τ in T(C,E)})}
proof -
  define taus where taus = remdups (map snd Cs)
  define Cs' where Cs' = map (λ τ. (τ, map fst (filter(λf. snd f = τ) Cs))) taus
  have compute-inf-sorts Cs = compute-inf-main (set (map fst Cs')) Cs'
    unfolding compute-inf-sorts-def taus-def Cs'-def Let-def by auto
  also have ... = {τ. ¬ bdd-above (size ' {t. t : τ in T(C,E)})}
  proof (rule compute-inf-main[OF E C-Cs - arg-types-inhabitet - dist - - sub-
set-refl])
    have distinct taus unfolding taus-def by auto
    thus distinct (map fst Cs') unfolding Cs'-def map-map o-def fst-conv by auto
    show set Cs = set (concat (map (λ(τ, fs). map (λf. (f, τ)) fs) Cs'))
      unfolding Cs'-def taus-def by force
    show ∀τ fs. (τ, fs) ∈ set Cs' → set fs ≠ {}
      unfolding Cs'-def taus-def by (force simp: filter-empty-conv)
    show fst ' (set Cs' - set Cs') ∩ set (map fst Cs') = {} by auto
    show set (map fst Cs') ⊆ fst ' set Cs' by auto
    show ∀τ. τ ∉ set (map fst Cs') → bdd-above (size ' {t. t : τ in T(C,E)})
      proof (intro allI impI)
        fix τ
        assume τ ∉ set (map fst Cs')
        hence τ ∉ snd ' set Cs unfolding Cs'-def taus-def by auto
        hence diff: C f ≠ Some τ for f unfolding C-Cs
          by (metis Some-eq-map-of-iff dist imageI snd-conv)
        {
          fix t
          assume t : τ in T(C,E)
          hence False using diff unfolding E
          proof induct
            case (Fun f ss σs τ)
            from Fun(1,4) show False unfolding hastype-in-ssig-def by auto
            qed auto
          }
        hence id: {t. t : τ in T(C,E)} = {} by auto
        show bdd-above (size ' {t. t : τ in T(C,E)}) unfolding id by auto
      qed
    qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
end

```

6 A List-Based Implementation to Decide Pattern Completeness

```

theory Pattern-Completeness-List
imports

```

begin

6.1 Definition of Algorithm

We refine the non-deterministic multiset based implementation to a deterministic one which uses lists as underlying data-structure. For matching problems we distinguish several different shapes.

type-synonym $(\text{'a}, \text{'b})\text{alist} = (\text{'a} \times \text{'b})\text{list}$

type-synonym $(\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-list} = ((\text{'f}, \text{nat} \times \text{'s})\text{term} \times (\text{'f}, \text{'v})\text{term})\text{list}$ — mp with arbitrary pairs

type-synonym $(\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-lx} = ((\text{nat} \times \text{'s}) \times (\text{'f}, \text{'v})\text{term})\text{list}$ — mp where left components are variable

type-synonym $(\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-rx} = (\text{'v}, (\text{'f}, \text{nat} \times \text{'s})\text{term list})\text{alist} \times \text{bool}$ — mp where right components are variables

type-synonym $(\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-lr} = (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-lx} \times (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-rx}$ — a partitioned mp

type-synonym $(\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{pat-problem-list} = (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-list list}$

type-synonym $(\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{pat-problem-lr} = (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-lr list}$

type-synonym $(\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{pats-problem-list} = (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{pat-problem-list list}$

type-synonym $(\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{pat-problem-set-impl} = ((\text{'f}, \text{nat} \times \text{'s})\text{term} \times (\text{'f}, \text{'v})\text{term})\text{list list}$

abbreviation $\text{mp-list} :: (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-list} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-mset}$

where $\text{mp-list} \equiv \text{mset}$

abbreviation $\text{mp-lx} :: (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-lx} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-list}$

where $\text{mp-lx} \equiv \text{map} (\text{map-prod Var id})$

definition $\text{mp-rx} :: (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-rx} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-mset}$

where $\text{mp-rx mp} = \text{mset} (\text{List.maps} (\lambda (x, ts). \text{map} (\lambda t. (t, \text{Var } x)) ts) (\text{fst mp}))$

definition $\text{mp-rx-list} :: (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-rx} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-list}$

where $\text{mp-rx-list mp} = \text{List.maps} (\lambda (x, ts). \text{map} (\lambda t. (t, \text{Var } x)) ts) (\text{fst mp})$

definition $\text{mp-lr} :: (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-lr} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-mset}$

where $\text{mp-lr pair} = (\text{case pair of } (\text{lx}, \text{rx}) \Rightarrow \text{mp-list} (\text{mp-lx lx}) + \text{mp-rx rx})$

definition $\text{mp-lr-list} :: (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-lr} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{match-problem-list}$

where $\text{mp-lr-list pair} = (\text{case pair of } (\text{lx}, \text{rx}) \Rightarrow \text{mp-lx lx} @ \text{mp-rx-list rx})$

definition $\text{pat-lr} :: (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{pat-problem-lr} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{pat-problem-mset}$

where $\text{pat-lr ps} = \text{mset} (\text{map mp-lr ps})$

definition $\text{pat-mset-list} :: (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{pat-problem-list} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}, \text{'s})\text{pat-problem-mset}$

where $pat\text{-}mset\text{-}list\ ps = mset\ (map\ mp\text{-}list\ ps)$

definition $pat\text{-}list :: ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}list \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}set$
where $pat\text{-}list\ ps = set\ ' set\ ps$

abbreviation $pats\text{-}mset\text{-}list :: ('f, 'v, 's)pats\text{-}problem\text{-}list \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)pats\text{-}problem\text{-}mset$

where $pats\text{-}mset\text{-}list \equiv mset\ o\ map\ pat\text{-}mset\text{-}list$

definition $subst\text{-}match\text{-}problem\text{-}list :: ('f, nat \times 's)subst \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}list$
 $\Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}list$ **where**
 $subst\text{-}match\text{-}problem\text{-}list\ \tau = map\ (subst\text{-}left\ \tau)$

definition $subst\text{-}pat\text{-}problem\text{-}list :: ('f, nat \times 's)subst \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}list$
 $\Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)pat\text{-}problem\text{-}list$ **where**
 $subst\text{-}pat\text{-}problem\text{-}list\ \tau = map\ (subst\text{-}match\text{-}problem\text{-}list\ \tau)$

definition $match\text{-}var\text{-}impl :: ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}lr \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}lr$
where

$match\text{-}var\text{-}impl\ mp = (case\ mp\ of\ (xl, (rx, b)) \Rightarrow$
 $let\ xs = remdups\ (List.maps\ (vars\text{-}term\text{-}list\ o\ snd)\ xl)$
 $in\ (xl, (filter\ (\lambda\ (x, ts).\ tl\ ts \neq [] \vee x \in set\ xs)\ rx), b))$

definition $find\text{-}var :: ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}lr\ list \Rightarrow -$ **where** $find\text{-}var\ p = (case$
 $concat\ (map\ (\lambda\ (lx, -).\ lx)\ p)\ of$
 $(x, t)\ \# - \Rightarrow x$
 $| [] \Rightarrow let\ (-, rx, b) = hd\ (filter\ (Not\ o\ snd\ o\ snd)\ p)$
 $in\ case\ hd\ rx\ of\ (x, s\ \# t\ \# -) \Rightarrow hd\ (the\ (conflicts\ s\ t))$

definition $empty\text{-}lr :: ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}lr \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $empty\text{-}lr\ mp = (case\ mp\ of\ (lx, rx, -) \Rightarrow lx = [] \wedge rx = [])$

context $pattern\text{-}completeness\text{-}context$
begin

insert an element into the part of the mp that stores pairs of form (t,x) for variables x. Internally this is represented as maps (assoc lists) from x to terms t1,t2,... so that linear terms are easily identifiable. Duplicates will be removed and clashes will be immediately be detected and result in None.

definition $insert\text{-}rx :: ('f, nat \times 's)term \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}rx \Rightarrow$
 $('f, 'v, 's)match\text{-}problem\text{-}rx\ option$ **where**
 $insert\text{-}rx\ t\ x\ rxb = (case\ rxb\ of\ (rx, b) \Rightarrow (case\ map\text{-}of\ rx\ x\ of$
 $None \Rightarrow Some\ (((x, [t])\ \# rx, b))$
 $| Some\ ts \Rightarrow (case\ those\ (map\ (conflicts\ t)\ ts)$
 $of\ None \Rightarrow None\ \text{--- clash}$
 $| Some\ cs \Rightarrow if\ [] \in set\ cs\ then\ Some\ rxb\ \text{--- empty conflict means (t,x) was}$
 $already\ part\ of\ rxb$
 $else\ Some\ ((AList.update\ x\ (t\ \# ts)\ rx, b \vee (\exists\ y \in set\ (concat\ cs). inf\text{-}sort$
 $(snd\ y))))$

)))

lemma *size-zip*[*termination-simp*]: $\text{length } ts = \text{length } ls \implies \text{size-list } (\lambda p. \text{size } (\text{snd } p)) (\text{zip } ts \text{ } ls)$
 $< \text{Suc } (\text{size-list } \text{size } ls)$
by (*induct ts ls rule: list-induct2, auto*)

Decomposition applies decomposition, duplicate and clash rule to classify all remaining problems as being of kind $(x, f(l_1, \dots, l_n))$ or (t, x) .

fun *decomp-impl* :: $(f, 'v, 's)\text{match-problem-list} \Rightarrow (f, 'v, 's)\text{match-problem-lr option}$
where
decomp-impl [] = *Some* ([], ([], *False*))
| *decomp-impl* ((*Fun* *f* *ts*, *Fun* *g* *ls*) # *mp*) = (*if* (*f*, *length* *ts*) = (*g*, *length* *ls*) *then* *decomp-impl* (*zip* *ts* *ls* @ *mp*) *else None*)
| *decomp-impl* ((*Var* *x*, *Fun* *g* *ls*) # *mp*) = (*case decomp-impl mp of Some* (*lx*, *rx*) \Rightarrow *Some* ((*x*, *Fun* *g* *ls*) # *lx*, *rx*) | *None* \Rightarrow *None*)
| *decomp-impl* ((*t*, *Var* *y*) # *mp*) = (*case decomp-impl mp of Some* (*lx*, *rx*) \Rightarrow (*case insert-rx t y rx of Some* *rx'* \Rightarrow *Some* (*lx*, *rx'*) | *None* \Rightarrow *None*) | *None* \Rightarrow *None*)

definition *match-steps-impl* :: $(f, 'v, 's)\text{match-problem-list} \Rightarrow (f, 'v, 's)\text{match-problem-lr option}$ **where**
match-steps-impl mp = *map-option match-var-impl* (*decomp-impl mp*)

fun *pat-inner-impl* :: $(f, 'v, 's)\text{pat-problem-list} \Rightarrow (f, 'v, 's)\text{pat-problem-lr} \Rightarrow (f, 'v, 's)\text{pat-problem-lr option}$ **where**
pat-inner-impl [] *pd* = *Some pd*
| *pat-inner-impl* (*mp* # *p*) *pd* = (*case match-steps-impl mp of None* \Rightarrow *pat-inner-impl p pd* | *Some mp'* \Rightarrow *if empty-lr mp'* *then None* *else pat-inner-impl p (mp' # pd)*)

definition *pat-impl* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (f, 'v, 's)\text{pat-problem-list} \Rightarrow (f, 'v, 's)\text{pat-problem-list list option}$ **where**
pat-impl *n p* = (*case pat-inner-impl p [] of None* \Rightarrow *Some []* | *Some p'* \Rightarrow (*if* ($\forall mp \in \text{set } p'. \text{snd } (\text{snd } mp)$) *then None* — detected inf-var-conflict (or empty mp) *else let* *p'l* = *map mp-lr-list p'*; *x* = *find-var p'* *in Some* (*map* ($\lambda \tau. \text{subst-pat-problem-list } \tau \text{ } p'l$) (*ts-list n x*))))

partial-function (*tailrec*) *pats-impl* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (f, 'v, 's)\text{pats-problem-list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
pats-impl *n ps* = (*case ps of []* \Rightarrow *True* | *p # ps1* \Rightarrow (*case pat-impl n p of None* \Rightarrow *False* | *Some ps2* \Rightarrow *pats-impl* (*n + m*) (*ps2 @ ps1*)))

definition *pat-complete-impl* :: ('f,'v,'s) *pats-problem-list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
pat-complete-impl ps = (let
 $n = \text{Suc} (\text{max-list} (\text{List.maps} (\text{map fst o vars-term-list o fst}) (\text{concat} (\text{concat ps}))))$
in *pats-impl n ps*)
end

lemmas *pat-complete-impl-code* =
pattern-completeness-context.pat-complete-impl-def
pattern-completeness-context.pats-impl.simps
pattern-completeness-context.pat-impl-def
pattern-completeness-context. τ s-list-def
pattern-completeness-context.insert-rx-def
pattern-completeness-context.decomp-impl.simps
pattern-completeness-context.match-steps-impl-def
pattern-completeness-context.pat-inner-impl.simps

declare *pat-complete-impl-code*[*code*]

6.2 Partial Correctness of the Implementation

We prove that the list-based implementation is a refinement of the multiset-based one.

lemma *mset-concat-union*:
 $\text{mset} (\text{concat } xs) = \sum \# (\text{mset} (\text{map mset } xs))$
by (*induct xs, auto simp: union-commute*)

lemma *in-map-mset*[*intro*]:
 $a \in\# A \Longrightarrow f a \in\# \text{image-mset } f A$
unfolding *in-image-mset* **by** *simp*

lemma *mset-update*: $\text{map-of } xs \ x = \text{Some } y \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{mset} (\text{AList.update } x \ z \ xs) = (\text{mset } xs - \{\# (x,y) \# \}) + \{\# (x,z) \# \}$
by (*induction xs, auto*)

lemma *set-update*: $\text{map-of } xs \ x = \text{Some } y \Longrightarrow \text{distinct} (\text{map fst } xs) \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{set} (\text{AList.update } x \ z \ xs) = \text{insert } (x,z) (\text{set } xs - \{(x,y)\})$
by (*induction xs, auto*)

context *pattern-completeness-context-with-assms*
begin

Various well-formed predicates for intermediate results

definition *wf-ts* :: ('f, *nat* \times 's) *term list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $\text{wf-ts } ts = (ts \neq [] \wedge \text{distinct } ts \wedge (\forall j < \text{length } ts. \forall i < j. \text{conflicts } (ts ! i) (ts ! j) \neq \text{None}))$

definition $wf-ts2 :: ('f, nat \times 's) \text{ term list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $wf-ts2 \ ts = (\text{length } ts \geq 2 \wedge \text{distinct } ts \wedge (\forall j < \text{length } ts. \forall i < j. \text{conflicts } (ts ! i) (ts ! j) \neq \text{None}))$

definition $wf-lx :: ('f, 'v, 's) \text{ match-problem-lx} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $wf-lx \ lx = (\text{Ball } (\text{snd } ' \text{ set } lx) \text{ is-Fun})$

definition $wf-rx :: ('f, 'v, 's) \text{ match-problem-rx} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $wf-rx \ rx = (\text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{fst } rx)) \wedge (\text{Ball } (\text{snd } ' \text{ set } (\text{fst } rx)) \text{ wf-ts}) \wedge \text{snd } rx = \text{inf-var-conflict } (\text{set-mset } (\text{mp-rx } rx)))$

definition $wf-rx2 :: ('f, 'v, 's) \text{ match-problem-rx} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $wf-rx2 \ rx = (\text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{fst } rx)) \wedge (\text{Ball } (\text{snd } ' \text{ set } (\text{fst } rx)) \text{ wf-ts2}) \wedge \text{snd } rx = \text{inf-var-conflict } (\text{set-mset } (\text{mp-rx } rx)))$

definition $wf-lr :: ('f, 'v, 's) \text{ match-problem-lr} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $wf-lr \ \text{pair} = (\text{case pair of } (lx, rx) \Rightarrow wf-lx \ lx \wedge wf-rx \ rx)$

definition $wf-lr2 :: ('f, 'v, 's) \text{ match-problem-lr} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $wf-lr2 \ \text{pair} = (\text{case pair of } (lx, rx) \Rightarrow wf-lx \ lx \wedge (\text{if } lx = [] \text{ then } wf-rx2 \ rx \text{ else } wf-rx \ rx))$

definition $wf-pat-lr :: ('f, 'v, 's) \text{ pat-problem-lr} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $wf-pat-lr \ mps = (\text{Ball } (\text{set } mps) (\lambda \text{ mp. } wf-lr2 \ \text{mp} \wedge \neg \text{empty-lr } \text{mp}))$

lemma mp-step-mset-cong :
assumes $(\rightarrow_m)^{**} \text{ mp } \text{ mp}'$
shows $(\text{add-mset } (\text{add-mset } \text{mp } p) P, \text{add-mset } (\text{add-mset } \text{mp}' p) P) \in \Rightarrow^*$
using assms
proof induct
case $(\text{step } \text{mp}' \ \text{mp}'')$
from $P\text{-simp-pp}[OF \ \text{pat-simp-mp}[OF \ \text{step}(2), \text{of } p], \text{of } P]$
have $(\text{add-mset } (\text{add-mset } \text{mp}' p) P, \text{add-mset } (\text{add-mset } \text{mp}'' p) P) \in P\text{-step}$
unfolding $P\text{-step-def}$ **by** auto
with $\text{step}(3)$
show $? \text{case}$ **by** simp
qed auto

lemma mp-step-mset-vars : **assumes** $\text{mp} \rightarrow_m \text{ mp}'$
shows $\text{tvars-mp } (\text{mp-mset } \text{mp}) \supseteq \text{tvars-mp } (\text{mp-mset } \text{mp}')$
using assms **by** $\text{induct } (\text{auto } \text{simp: } \text{tvars-mp-def } \text{set-zip})$

lemma $\text{mp-step-mset-steps-vars}$: **assumes** $(\rightarrow_m)^{**} \text{ mp } \text{ mp}'$
shows $\text{tvars-mp } (\text{mp-mset } \text{mp}) \supseteq \text{tvars-mp } (\text{mp-mset } \text{mp}')$
using assms **by** $(\text{induct, insert } \text{mp-step-mset-vars, auto})$

Continue with properties of the sub-algorithms

lemma insert-rx : **assumes** $\text{res: insert-rx } t \ x \ \text{rx} \ b = \text{res}$

```

and wf: wf-rx rxb
and mp: mp = (ls, rxb)
shows res = Some rx'  $\implies$  ( $\rightarrow_m$ )** (add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-lr mp + M)) (mp-lr
(ls, rx') + M)  $\wedge$  wf-rx rx'
  res = None  $\implies$  match-fail (add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-lr mp + M))
proof -
obtain rx b where rxb: rxb = (rx, b) by force
note [simp] = List.maps-def
note res = res[unfolded insert-rx-def]
{
  assume *: res = None
  with res rxb obtain ts where look: map-of rx x = Some ts by (auto split:
option.splits)
  with res[unfolded look Let-def rxb split] * obtain t' where t': t'  $\in$  set ts and
clash: Conflict-Clash t t'
  by (auto split: if-splits option.splits)
  from map-of-SomeD[OF look] t' have (t', Var x)  $\in$ # mp-rx rxb
  unfolding mp-rx-def rxb by auto
  hence (t', Var x)  $\in$ # mp-lr mp + M unfolding mp mp-lr-def by auto
  then obtain mp' where mp: mp-lr mp + M = add-mset (t', Var x) mp' by
(rule mset-add)
  show match-fail (add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-lr mp + M)) unfolding mp
  by (rule match-clash'[OF clash])
}
{
  assume res = Some rx'
  note res = res[unfolded this rxb split]
  show mp-step-mset  $\hat{=}$ ** (add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-lr mp + M)) (mp-lr (ls, rx') +
M)  $\wedge$  wf-rx rx'
  proof (cases map-of rx x)
  case look: None
  from res[unfolded this]
  have rx': rx' = ((x, [t]) # rx, b) by auto
  have id: mp-rx rx' = add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-rx rxb)
  using look unfolding mp-rx-def mset-concat-union mset-map rx' o-def rxb
  by auto
  have [simp]: (x, t)  $\notin$  set rx for t using look
  using weak-map-of-SomeI by force
  have inf-var-conflict (mp-mset (mp-rx ((x, [t]) # rx, b))) = inf-var-conflict
(mp-mset (mp-rx (rx, b)))
  unfolding mp-rx-def fst-conv inf-var-conflict-def
  by (intro ex-cong1, auto)
  hence wf: wf-rx rx' using wf look unfolding wf-rx-def rx' rxb by (auto simp:
wf-ts-def)
  show ?thesis unfolding mp mp-lr-def split id
  using wf unfolding rx' by auto
next
  case look: (Some ts)
  from map-of-SomeD[OF look] have mem: (x, ts)  $\in$  set rx by auto

```

```

note res = res[unfolded look option.simps Let-def]
from res obtain cs where those: those (map (conflicts t) ts) = Some cs by
(auto split: option.splits)
note res = res[unfolded those option.simps]
from arg-cong[OF those[unfolded those-eq-Some], of set] have confl: conflicts
t ' set ts = Some ' set cs by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases [] ∈ set cs)
  case True
    with res have rx': rx' = rxb by (auto split: if-splits simp: mp rxb those)
    from True confl obtain t' where t' ∈ set ts and conflicts t t' = Some []
by force
  hence t: t ∈ set ts using conflicts(5)[of t t'] by auto
  hence (t, Var x) ∈# mp-rx rxb unfolding mp-rx-def rxb using mem by
auto
  hence (t, Var x) ∈# mp-lr mp + M unfolding mp mp-lr-def by auto
  then obtain sub where id: mp-lr mp + M = add-mset (t, Var x) sub by
(rule mset-add)
  show ?thesis unfolding id rx' mp[symmetric] using match-duplicate[of (t,
Var x) sub] wf by auto
  next
  case False
    with res have rx': rx' = (AList.update x (t # ts) rx, b ∨ (∃ y ∈ set (concat
cs). inf-sort (snd y))) by (auto split: if-splits)
    from split-list[OF mem] obtain rx1 rx2 where rx: rx = rx1 @ (x,ts) #
rx2 by auto
    have id: mp-rx rx' = add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-rx rxb)
    unfolding rx' mp-rx-def rxb by (simp add: mset-update[OF look] mset-concat-union,
auto simp: rx)
    from wf[unfolded wf-rx-def] rx rxb have ts: wf-ts ts and b: b = inf-var-conflict
(mp-mset (mp-rx rxb)) by auto
    from False confl conflicts(5)[of t t] have t: t ∉ set ts by force
    from confl have None ∉ set (map (conflicts t) ts) by auto
    with ts t have ts': wf-ts (t # ts) unfolding wf-ts-def
    apply clarsimp
    subgoal for j i by (cases j, force, cases i; force simp: set-conv-nth)
    done
    have b: (b ∨ (∃ y ∈ set (concat cs). inf-sort (snd y))) = inf-var-conflict
(mp-mset (add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-rx rxb))) (is - = ?ivc)
    proof (standard, elim disjE bexE)
    show b ⇒ ?ivc unfolding b inf-var-conflict-def by force
    {
      fix y
      assume y: y ∈ set (concat cs) and inf: inf-sort (snd y)
      from y confl obtain t' ys where t': t' ∈ set ts and c: conflicts t t' =
Some ys and y: y ∈ set ys unfolding set-concat
      by (smt (verit, del-insts) UnionE image-iff)
      have y: Conflict-Var t t' y using c y by auto
      from mem t' have (t', Var x) ∈# mp-rx rxb unfolding rxb mp-rx-def

```

```

by auto
  thus ?ivc unfolding inf-var-conflict-def using inf y by fastforce
}
assume ?ivc
from this[unfolded inf-var-conflict-def]
obtain s1 s2 x' y
  where ic: (s1, Var x') ∈# add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-rx rxb) ∧ (s2, Var
x') ∈# add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-rx rxb) ∧ Conflict-Var s1 s2 y ∧ inf-sort (snd y)
  by blast
  show b ∨ (∃ y ∈ set (concat cs). inf-sort (snd y))
  proof (cases (s1, Var x') ∈# mp-rx rxb ∧ (s2, Var x') ∈# mp-rx rxb)
    case True
      with ic have b unfolding b inf-var-conflict-def by blast
      thus ?thesis ..
    next
      case False
      with ic have (s1, Var x') = (t, Var x) ∨ (s2, Var x') = (t, Var x) by auto
      hence ∃ s y. (s, Var x) ∈# add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-rx rxb) ∧ Conflict-Var
t s y ∧ inf-sort (snd y)
      proof
        assume (s1, Var x') = (t, Var x)
        thus ?thesis using ic by blast
      next
        assume *: (s2, Var x') = (t, Var x)
        with ic have Conflict-Var s1 t y by auto
        hence Conflict-Var t s1 y using conflicts-sym[of s1 t] by (cases conflicts
s1 t; cases conflicts t s1, auto)
        with ic * show ?thesis by blast
      qed
      then obtain s y where sx: (s, Var x) ∈# add-mset (t, Var x) (mp-rx
rxb) and y: Conflict-Var t s y and inf: inf-sort (snd y)
      by blast
      from wf have dist: distinct (map fst rx) unfolding wf-rx-def rxb by
auto
      from y have s ≠ t by auto
      with sx have (s, Var x) ∈# mp-rx rxb by auto
      hence s ∈ set ts unfolding mp-rx-def rxb using mem eq-key-imp-eq-value[OF
dist] by auto
      with y confl have y ∈ set (concat cs) by (cases conflicts t s; force)
      with inf show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  qed
  have wf: wf-rx rx' using wf ts' unfolding wf-rx-def id unfolding rx' rxb
snd-conv b by (auto simp: distinct-update set-update[OF look])
  show ?thesis using wf id unfolding mp by (auto simp: mp-lr-def)
  qed
  qed
}
qed

```

```

lemma decomp-impl: decomp-impl mp = res  $\impl$ 
  (res = Some mp'  $\impl$   $(\rightarrow_m)^{**}$  (mp-list mp + M) (mp-lr mp' + M)  $\wedge$  wf-lr mp')
 $\wedge$  (res = None  $\impl$   $(\exists mp'. (\rightarrow_m)^{**}$  (mp-list mp + M) mp' \wedge match-fail mp'))
proof (induct mp arbitrary: res M mp' rule: decomp-impl.induct)
  case 1
    thus ?case by (auto simp: mp-lr-def mp-rx-def List.maps-def wf-lr-def wf-lx-def
wf-rx-def inf-var-conflict-def)
  next
    case (2 f ts g ls mp res M mp')
    have id: mp-list ((Fun f ts, Fun g ls) # mp) + M = add-mset (Fun f ts, Fun g
ls) (mp-list mp + M)
    by auto
    show ?case
    proof (cases (f,length ts) = (g,length ls))
      case False
        with 2(2-) have res: res = None by auto
        from match-clash[OF False, of (mp-list mp + M), folded id]
        show ?thesis unfolding res by blast
      next
        case True
        have id2: mp-list (zip ts ls @ mp) + M = mp-list mp + M + mp-list (zip ts
ls)
        by auto
        from True 2(2-) have res: decomp-impl (zip ts ls @ mp) = res by auto
        note IH = 2(1)[OF True this, of mp' M]
        note step = match-decompose[OF True, of mp-list mp + M, folded id id2]
        from IH step show ?thesis by (meson converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp)
    qed
  next
    case (3 x g ls mp res M mp')
    note res = 3(2)[unfolded decomp-impl.simps]
    show ?case
    proof (cases decomp-impl mp)
      case None
        from 3(1)[OF None, of mp' add-mset (Var x, Fun g ls) M] None res show
?thesis by auto
      next
        case (Some mpx)
        then obtain lx rx where decomp: decomp-impl mp = Some (lx,rx) by (cases
mpx, auto)
        from res[unfolded decomp option.simps split] have res: res = Some ( (x, Fun
g ls) # lx, rx) by auto
        from 3(1)[OF decomp, of (lx, rx) add-mset (Var x, Fun g ls) M] res
        show ?thesis by (auto simp: mp-lr-def wf-lr-def wf-lx-def)
    qed
  next
    case (4 t y mp res M mp')
    note res = 4(2)[unfolded decomp-impl.simps]

```



```

show ?case
proof (cases decomp-impl mp)
  case None
    from 4(1)[OF None, of mp' add-mset (t, Var y) M] None res show ?thesis
by auto
  next
    case (Some mpx)
    then obtain lx rx where decomp: decomp-impl mp = Some (lx,rx) by (cases
mpx, auto)
    note res = res[unfolded decomp option.simps split]
    from 4(1)[OF decomp, of ( lx, rx) add-mset (t, Var y) M]
    have IH:  $(\rightarrow_m)^{**}$  (mp-list ((t, Var y) # mp) + M) (mp-lr ( lx, rx) + add-mset
(t, Var y) M)
      wf-lr ( lx, rx) by auto
    from IH have wf-rx: wf-rx rx unfolding wf-lr-def by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases insert-rx t y rx)
      case None
        with res have res: res = None by auto
        from insert-rx(2)[OF None wf-rx refl refl, of lx M]
          IH res show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case (Some rx')
        with res have res: res = Some ( lx, rx') by auto
        from insert-rx(1)[OF Some wf-rx refl refl, of lx M]
          have wf-rx: wf-rx rx'
            and steps:  $(\rightarrow_m)^{**}$  (mp-lr ( lx, rx) + add-mset (t, Var y) M) (mp-lr ( lx,
rx') + M)
              by auto
            from IH(1) steps
            have steps:  $(\rightarrow_m)^{**}$  (mp-list ((t, Var y) # mp) + M) (mp-lr ( lx, rx') + M)
by auto
          from wf-rx IH(2-) have wf: wf-lr ( lx, rx')
            unfolding wf-lr-def by auto
          from res wf steps show ?thesis by auto
        qed
      qed
    qed

```

```

lemma match-var-impl: assumes wf: wf-lr mp
shows  $(\rightarrow_m)^{**}$  (mp-lr mp) (mp-lr (match-var-impl mp))
  and wf-lr2 (match-var-impl mp)
proof -
  note [simp] = List.maps-def
  let ?mp' = match-var-impl mp
  from assms obtain xl rx b where mp3: mp = (xl,(rx,b)) by (cases mp, auto)
  define xs where xs = remdups (List.maps (vars-term-list o snd) xl)
  have xs: xl = []  $\implies$  xs = [] unfolding xs-def by auto
  define f where f =  $(\lambda (x,ts :: (f, nat \times 's)\text{term list}). tl\ ts \neq [] \vee x \in \text{set } xs)$ 

```

```

define mp' where mp' = mp-rx (filter f rx, b) + mp-list (mp-lx xl)
define deleted where deleted = mp-rx (filter (Not o f) rx, b)
have mp': mp-lr ?mp' = mp' ?mp' = (xl, (filter f rx,b))
  unfolding mp3 mp'-def match-var-impl-def split xs-def f-def mp-lr-def by auto
have mp-rx (rx,b) = mp-rx (filter f rx, b) + mp-rx (filter (Not o f) rx, b)
  unfolding mp-rx-def List.maps-def by (induct rx, auto)
hence mp: mp-lr mp = deleted + mp' unfolding mp3 mp-lr-def mp'-def deleted-def
by auto
have inf-var-conflict (mp-mset (mp-rx (filter f rx, b))) = inf-var-conflict (mp-mset
(mp-rx (rx, b))) (is ?ivcf = ?ivc)
proof
  show ?ivcf  $\implies$  ?ivc unfolding inf-var-conflict-def mp-rx-def fst-conv List.maps-def
by force
  assume ?ivc
  from this[unfolded inf-var-conflict-def]
  obtain s t x y where s: (s, Var x)  $\in\#$  mp-rx (rx, b) and t: (t, Var x)  $\in\#$ 
mp-rx (rx, b) and c: Conflict-Var s t y and inf: inf-sort (snd y)
    by blast
    from c conflicts(5)[of s t] have st: s  $\neq$  t by auto
    from s[unfolded mp-rx-def List.maps-def]
    obtain ss where xss: (x,ss)  $\in$  set rx and s: s  $\in$  set ss by auto
    from t[unfolded mp-rx-def List.maps-def]
    obtain ts where xts: (x,ts)  $\in$  set rx and t: t  $\in$  set ts by auto
    from wf[unfolded mp3 wf-lr-def wf-rx-def] have distinct (map fst rx) by auto
    from eq-key-imp-eq-value[OF this xss xts] have t: t  $\in$  set ss by auto
    with s st have f (x,ss) unfolding f-def by (cases ss; cases tl ss; auto)
    hence (x, ss)  $\in$  set (filter f rx) using xss by auto
    with s t have (s, Var x)  $\in\#$  mp-rx (filter f rx, b) (t, Var x)  $\in\#$  mp-rx (filter
f rx, b)
      unfolding mp-rx-def List.maps-def by auto
      with c inf
      show ?ivcf unfolding inf-var-conflict-def by blast
qed
also have ... = b using wf unfolding mp3 wf-lr-def wf-rx-def by auto
finally have ivcf: ?ivcf = b .
show wf-lr2 (match-var-impl mp)
proof (cases xl = [])
  case False
    from ivcf False wf[unfolded mp3] show ?thesis
    unfolding mp' wf-lr2-def wf-lr-def split wf-rx-def by (auto simp: distinct-map-filter)
  next
  case True
    with xs have xs = [] by auto
    with True wf[unfolded mp3]
    show ?thesis
      unfolding wf-lr2-def mp' split wf-rx2-def wf-rx-def ivcf
      unfolding mp' wf-lr2-def wf-lr-def split wf-rx-def wf-rx2-def wf-ts-def wf-ts2-def
f-def
      apply (clarsimp simp: distinct-map-filter)

```

```

    subgoal for  $x$   $ts$  by (cases  $ts$ ; cases  $tl$   $ts$ ; force)
  done
qed
{
  fix  $xt$   $t$ 
  assume  $del: (t, xt) \in \#$  deleted
  from this[unfolded deleted-def mp-rx-def, simplified]
  obtain  $x$   $ts$  where  $mem: (x,ts) \in set$   $rx$  and  $nf: \neg f(x, ts)$  and  $t: t \in set$   $ts$ 
and  $xt: xt = Var$   $x$  by force
  note  $del = del$ [unfolded  $xt$ ]
  from  $nf$ [unfolded  $f$ -def split]  $t$  have  $xxs: x \notin set$   $xs$  and  $ts: ts = [t]$  by (cases
 $ts$ ; cases  $tl$   $ts$ , auto)+
  from split-list[OF  $mem$ [unfolded  $ts$ ]] obtain  $rx1$   $rx2$  where  $rx: rx = rx1$  @
 $(x,[t]) \# rx2$  by auto
  from  $wf$ [unfolded  $wf$ -lr-def  $mp3$ ] have  $wf: wf$ - $rx(rx,b)$  by auto
  hence distinct (map fst  $rx$ ) unfolding  $wf$ - $rx$ -def by auto
  with  $rx$  have  $xxr: x \notin fst$  ' set  $rx1 \cup fst$  ' set  $rx2$  by auto
  define  $mp''$  where  $mp'' = mp$ - $rx$  (filter (Not  $\circ f$ ) ( $rx1$  @  $rx2$ ),  $b$ )
  have  $eq: deleted = add$ -mset ( $t$ , Var  $x$ )  $mp''$ 
  unfolding deleted-def  $mp''$ -def  $rx$   $mp$ - $rx$ -def List.maps-def mset-concat-union
using  $nf$   $ts$  by auto
  have  $\exists x$   $mp''$ .  $xt = Var$   $x \wedge deleted = add$ -mset ( $t$ , Var  $x$ )  $mp'' \wedge x \notin \bigcup$  (vars
'  $snd$  ' ( $mp$ -mset  $mp'' \cup mp$ -mset  $mp'$ ))
  proof (intro  $exI$   $conjI$ , rule  $xt$ , rule  $eq$ , intro  $notI$ )
    assume  $x \in \bigcup$  (vars '  $snd$  ' ( $mp$ -mset  $mp'' \cup mp$ -mset  $mp'$ ))
    then obtain  $s$   $t'$  where  $st: (s,t') \in mp$ -mset ( $mp' + mp''$ ) and  $xt: x \in vars$ 
 $t'$  by force
    from  $xxr$  have  $(s,t') \notin mp$ -mset  $mp''$  using  $xt$  unfolding  $mp''$ -def  $mp$ - $rx$ -def
by force
    with  $st$  have  $(s,t') \in mp$ -mset  $mp'$  by auto
    with  $xxs$  have  $(s, t') \in \#$   $mp$ - $rx$  (filter  $f$   $rx$ ,  $b$ ) using  $xt$  unfolding  $xs$ -def
 $mp'$ -def  $mp$ - $rx$ -def
    by auto
    with  $xt$   $nf$  show False unfolding  $mp$ - $rx$ -def  $f$ -def split  $ts$  list.sel
    by auto (metis Un-iff  $\langle \neg (tl$   $ts \neq [] \vee x \in set$   $xs) \rangle$  fst-conv image-eqI
prod.inject  $rx$  set-ConsD set-append  $ts$   $xxr$ )
  qed
} note  $lin$ -vars = this
show  $(\rightarrow_m)^{**}$  ( $mp$ -lr  $mp$ ) ( $mp$ -lr (match-var-impl  $mp$ )) unfolding  $mp$   $mp'(1)$ 
using  $lin$ -vars
proof (induct deleted)
  case (add pair deleted)
  obtain  $t$   $xt$  where  $pair: pair = (t,xt)$  by force
  hence  $(t,xt) \in \#$  add-mset  $pair$  deleted by auto
  from add(2)[OF this]  $pair$ 
  obtain  $x$  where  $add$ -mset  $pair$  deleted +  $mp' = add$ -mset ( $t$ , Var  $x$ ) (deleted
+  $mp'$ )
  and  $x: x \notin \bigcup$  (vars '  $snd$  ' ( $mp$ -mset (deleted +  $mp'$ )))
  and  $pair: pair = (t, Var$   $x$ )

```

by *auto*
from *match-match*[*OF this*(2), *of t*, *folded this*(1)]
have one: *add-mset pair deleted + mp' →_m (deleted + mp')* .
have two: $(\rightarrow_m)^{**} (deleted + mp') mp'$
proof (*rule add*(1), *goal-cases*)
case (1 *s yt*)
hence $(s, yt) \in \#$ *add-mset pair deleted by auto*
from *add*(2)[*OF this*]
obtain *y mp'' where yt: yt = Var y add-mset pair deleted = add-mset (s,*
Var y) mp''
y $\notin \bigcup (vars \text{ ' } snd \text{ ' } (mp\text{-mset } mp'' \cup mp\text{-mset } mp'))$
by *auto*
from 1[*unfolded yt*] **have** $y \in \bigcup (vars \text{ ' } snd \text{ ' } (mp\text{-mset } (deleted + mp')))$
by *force*
with *x* **have** $x \neq y$ **by** *auto*
with *pair yt* **have** $pair \neq (s, Var y)$ **by** *auto*
with *yt*(2) **have** *del: deleted = add-mset (s, Var y) (mp'' - {#pair#})*
by (*meson add-eq-conv-diff*)
show ?*case*
by (*intro exI conjI, rule yt, rule del, rule contra-subsetD*[*OF - yt*(3)])
(*intro UN-mono, auto dest: in-diffD*)
qed
from one two show ?*case by auto*
qed *auto*
qed

lemma *match-steps-impl: assumes match-steps-impl mp = res*
shows $res = Some\ mp' \implies (\rightarrow_m)^{**} (mp\text{-list } mp) (mp\text{-lr } mp') \wedge wf\text{-lr2 } mp'$
and $res = None \implies \exists mp'. (\rightarrow_m)^{**} (mp\text{-list } mp) mp' \wedge match\text{-fail } mp'$
proof (*atomize* (*full*), *goal-cases*)
case 1
obtain *res' where decomp: decomp-impl mp = res' by auto*
note $res = asms[unfolded\ match\text{-steps-impl-def } decomp]$
note $decomp = decomp\text{-impl}[OF\ decomp, of - \{ \# \}, unfolded\ empty\text{-neutral}]$
show ?*case*
proof (*cases res'*)
case *None*
with *decomp res show* ?*thesis by auto*
next
case (*Some mp''*)
with *decomp*[*of mp''*]
have *steps: (→_m)^{**} (mp-list mp) (mp-lr mp'')* **and** *wf: wf-lr mp'' by auto*
from *res*[*unfolded Some*] **have** $res: res = Some (match\text{-var-impl } mp'')$ **by** *auto*
from *match-var-impl*[*OF wf*] *steps res show* ?*thesis by auto*
qed
qed

lemma *pat-inner-impl: assumes pat-inner-impl p pd = res*
and *wf-pat-lr pd*

and $tvars\text{-}pp\ (pat\text{-}mset\ (pat\text{-}mset\text{-}list\ p + pat\text{-}lr\ pd)) \subseteq V$
shows $res = None \implies (add\text{-}mset\ (pat\text{-}mset\text{-}list\ p + pat\text{-}lr\ pd)\ P, P) \in \Rightarrow^+$
and $res = Some\ p' \implies (add\text{-}mset\ (pat\text{-}mset\text{-}list\ p + pat\text{-}lr\ pd)\ P, add\text{-}mset\ (pat\text{-}lr\ p')\ P) \in \Rightarrow^*$
 $\wedge wf\text{-}pat\text{-}lr\ p' \wedge tvars\text{-}pp\ (pat\text{-}mset\ (pat\text{-}lr\ p')) \subseteq V$
proof (*atomize(full), insert assms, induct p arbitrary: pd res p'*)
case *Nil*
then show *?case* **by** (*auto simp: wf-pat-lr-def pat-mset-list-def pat-lr-def*)
next
case (*Cons mp p pd res p'*)
let $?p = pat\text{-}mset\text{-}list\ p + pat\text{-}lr\ pd$
have $id: pat\text{-}mset\text{-}list\ (mp \# p) + pat\text{-}lr\ pd = add\text{-}mset\ (mp\text{-}list\ mp)\ ?p$ **unfolding** *pat-mset-list-def* **by** *auto*
show *?case*
proof (*cases match-steps-impl mp*)
case (*Some mp'*)
from *match-steps-impl(1)[OF Some refl]*
have $steps: (\rightarrow_m)^{**}\ (mp\text{-}list\ mp)\ (mp\text{-}lr\ mp')$ **and** $wf: wf\text{-}lr2\ mp'$ **by** *auto*
have $id2: pat\text{-}mset\text{-}list\ p + pat\text{-}lr\ (mp' \# pd) = add\text{-}mset\ (mp\text{-}lr\ mp')\ ?p$
unfolding *pat-lr-def* **by** *auto*
from *mp-step-mset-steps-vars[OF steps] Cons(4)*
have $vars: tvars\text{-}pp\ (pat\text{-}mset\ (pat\text{-}mset\text{-}list\ p + pat\text{-}lr\ (mp' \# pd))) \subseteq V$
unfolding *id2* **by** (*auto simp: tvars-pp-def pat-mset-list-def*)
note $steps = mp\text{-}step\text{-}mset\text{-}cong[OF\ steps, of\ ?p\ P, folded\ id]$
note $res = Cons(2)[unfolded\ pat\text{-}inner\text{-}impl.\text{simps}\ Some\ option.\text{simps}]$
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases empty-lr mp'*)
case *False*
with *Cons(3) wf* **have** $wf: wf\text{-}pat\text{-}lr\ (mp' \# pd)$ **unfolding** *wf-pat-lr-def* **by** *auto*
from *res False* **have** $pat\text{-}inner\text{-}impl\ p\ (mp' \# pd) = res$ **by** *auto*
from *Cons(1)[OF this wf, of p', OF vars, unfolded id2] steps*
show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *True*
with wf **have** $id3: mp\text{-}lr\ mp' = \{\#\}$ **unfolding** *wf-lr2-def empty-lr-def* **by** (*cases mp', auto simp: mp-lr-def mp-rx-def List.maps-def*)
from *True res* **have** $res: res = None$ **by** *auto*
have $(add\text{-}mset\ (add\text{-}mset\ (mp\text{-}lr\ mp')\ ?p)\ P, P) \in P\text{-}step$
unfolding *id3 P-step-def* **using** *P-simp-pp[OF pat-remove-pp[of ?p], of P]*
by *auto*
with $res\ steps$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case *None*
from *match-steps-impl(2)[OF None refl]* **obtain** mp' **where**
 $(\rightarrow_m)^{**}\ (mp\text{-}list\ mp)\ mp'$ **and** $fail: match\text{-}fail\ mp'$ **by** *auto*
note $steps = mp\text{-}step\text{-}mset\text{-}cong[OF\ this(1), of\ ?p\ P, folded\ id]$
from *P-simp-pp[OF pat-remove-mp[OF fail, of ?p], of P]*

```

have (add-mset (add-mset mp' ?p) P, add-mset ?p P) ∈ P-step
unfolding P-step-def by auto
with steps have steps: (add-mset (pat-mset-list (mp # p) + pat-lr pd) P,
add-mset ?p P) ∈ P-step∧* by auto
note res = Cons(2)[unfolded pat-inner-impl.simps None option.simps]
have vars: tvars-pp (pat-mset (pat-mset-list p + pat-lr pd)) ⊆ V
using Cons(4) unfolding tvars-pp-def pat-mset-list-def by auto
from Cons(1)[OF res Cons(3), of p', OF vars] steps
show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma pat-mset-list: pat-mset (pat-mset-list p) = pat-list p
unfolding pat-list-def pat-mset-list-def by (auto simp: image-comp)

```

Main simulation lemma for a single *pat-impl* step.

```

lemma pat-impl: assumes pat-impl n p = res
and vars: fst ' tvars-pp (pat-list p) ⊆ {.. $n$ }
shows res = None ⇒ ∃ p'. (add-mset (pat-mset-list p) P, add-mset p' P) ∈
⇒* ∧ pat-fail p'
and res = Some ps ⇒ (add-mset (pat-mset-list p) P, mset (map pat-mset-list
ps) + P) ∈ ⇒+
∧ fst ' tvars-pp (⋃ (pat-list ' set ps)) ⊆ {.. $n+m$ }

```

proof (atomize(full), goal-cases)

```

case 1
have wf: wf-pat-lr [] unfolding wf-pat-lr-def by auto
have fst ' tvars-pp (pat-mset (pat-mset-list p)) ⊆ {.. $n$ }
using vars unfolding pat-mset-list .
hence vars: tvars-pp (pat-mset (pat-mset-list p)) ⊆ {.. $n$ } × UNIV by force
have pat-mset-list p + pat-lr [] = pat-mset-list p unfolding pat-lr-def by auto
note pat-inner = pat-inner-impl[OF refl wf, of p, unfolded this, OF vars]
note res = assms(1)[unfolded pat-impl-def]
show ?case
proof (cases pat-inner-impl p [])
case None
from pat-inner(1)[OF this, of P] res[unfolded None option.simps] vars
show ?thesis by (auto simp: tvars-pp-def)
next
case (Some p')
from pat-inner(2)[OF this, of P]
have steps: (add-mset (pat-mset-list p) P, add-mset (pat-lr p') P) ∈ ⇒* and
wf: wf-pat-lr p'
and varsp': tvars-pp (pat-mset (pat-lr p')) ⊆ {.. $n$ } × UNIV by auto
note res = res[unfolded Some option.simps]
show ?thesis
proof (cases ∀ mp ∈ set p'. snd (snd mp))
case True
with res have res: res = None by auto
have pat-fail (pat-lr p')

```

```

proof (intro pat-failure' ballI)
  fix mps
  assume mps ∈ pat-mset (pat-lr p')
  then obtain mp where mem: mp ∈ set p' and mps: mps = mp-mset (mp-lr
mp) by (auto simp: pat-lr-def)
  obtain lx rx b where mp: mp = (lx,rx,b) by (cases mp, auto)
  from mp mem True have b by auto
  with wf[unfolded wf-pat-lr-def, rule-format, OF mem, unfolded wf-lr2-def
mp split]
  have inf-var-conflict (set-mset (mp-rx (rx,b))) unfolding wf-rx-def wf-rx2-def
by (auto split: if-splits)
  thus inf-var-conflict mps unfolding mps mp-lr-def mp split
  unfolding inf-var-conflict-def by fastforce
qed
with steps res
show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
define p'l where p'l = map mp-lr-list p'
define x where x = find-var p'
define ps where ps = map (λτ. subst-pat-problem-list τ p'l) (τs-list n x)
  have id: pat-lr p' = pat-mset-list p'l unfolding pat-mset-list-def pat-lr-def
p'l-def map-map o-def
  by (intro arg-cong[of - - mset] map-cong refl, auto simp: mp-lr-def mp-lr-list-def
mp-rx-def mp-rx-list-def)
  from False have (∀ mp ∈ set p'. snd (snd mp)) = False by auto
  from res[unfolded this if-False Let-def, folded p'l-def x-def, folded ps-def]
  have res: res = Some ps by auto
  have step: (add-mset (pat-lr p') P, mset (map pat-mset-list ps) + P) ∈ ⇐⇒
  unfolding P-step-def
proof (standard, unfold split, intro P-simp-pp)
  note x = x-def[unfolded find-var-def]
  let ?concat = concat (map (λ (lx,-). lx) p')
  have disj: tvars-disj-pp {n..<n + m} (pat-mset (pat-lr p'))
  using varsp' unfolding tvars-pp-def tvars-disj-pp-def tvars-mp-def by
force
  have subst: map (λτ. subst-pat-problem-mset τ (pat-lr p')) (τs-list n x) =
map pat-mset-list ps
  unfolding id
  unfolding ps-def subst-pat-problem-list-def subst-pat-problem-mset-def
subst-match-problem-mset-def
  subst-match-problem-list-def map-map o-def
by (intro list.map-cong0, auto simp: pat-mset-list-def o-def image-mset.compositionality)
  show pat-lr p' ⇐⇒m mset (map pat-mset-list ps)
proof (cases ?concat)
  case (Cons pair list)
  with x obtain t where concat: ?concat = (x,t) # list by (cases pair,
auto)
  hence (x,t) ∈ set ?concat by auto

```

then obtain mp **where** $mp \in \text{set } p'$ **and** $(x,t) \in \text{set } ((\lambda (lx,-). lx) mp)$
by *auto*
then obtain $lx\ rx$ **where** $mem: (lx,rx) \in \text{set } p'$ **and** $xt: (x,t) \in \text{set } lx$ **by**
auto
from $wf\ mem$ **have** $wf: wf\ lx\ lx$ **unfolding** $wf\ pat\ lr\ def\ wf\ lr2\ def$ **by** *auto*
with xt **have** $t: is\ Fun\ t$ **unfolding** $wf\ lx\ def$ **by** *auto*
from mem **obtain** p'' **where** $pat: pat\ lr\ p' = add\ mset\ (mp\ lr\ (lx,rx))\ p''$
unfolding $pat\ lr\ def$ **by** $simp\ (metis\ in\ map\ mset\ mset\ add\ set\ mset\ mset)$
from xt **have** $xt: (Var\ x,\ t) \in\#\ mp\ lr\ (lx,rx)$ **unfolding** $mp\ lr\ def$ **by**
force
from $pat\ instantiate[OF\ -\ disjI1[OF\ conjI[OF\ xt\ t]]]$, $of\ n\ p''$, $folded\ pat$,
 $OF\ disj]$
show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $subst$.
next
case Nil
let $?fp = filter\ (Not\ \circ\ snd\ \circ\ snd)\ p'$
from $False$ **have** $set\ ?fp \neq \{\}$ **unfolding** $o\ def\ filter\ empty\ conv\ set\ empty$
by *auto*
then obtain $mp\ p''$ **where** $fp: ?fp = mp\ \#\ p''$ **by** $(cases\ ?fp)$ *auto*
obtain $lx\ rx\ b$ **where** $mp: mp = (lx,rx,b)$ **by** $(cases\ mp)$ *auto*
have $mpp: mp \in \text{set } p'$ **using** $arg\ cong[OF\ fp,\ of\ set]$ **by** *auto*
from $mp\ mpp\ Nil$ **have** $lx: lx = []$ **by** *auto*
from fp **have** $(lx,rx,b) \in \text{set } ?fp$ **unfolding** mp **by** *auto*
hence $\neg b$ **unfolding** $o\ def$ **by** *auto*
with $mp\ lx$ **have** $mp: mp = ([],rx,False)$ **by** *auto*
from $wf\ mpp$ **have** $wf: wf\ lr2\ mp$ **and** $ne: \neg empty\ lr\ mp$ **unfolding**
 $wf\ pat\ lr\ def$ **by** *auto*
from $wf[unfolded\ wf\ lr2\ def\ mp\ split]\ mp$
have $wf: wf\ rx2\ (rx,\ False)$ **and** $mp: mp = ([],rx,False)$ **by** *auto*
from $ne[unfolded\ empty\ lr\ def\ mp\ split]$ **obtain** $y\ ts\ rx'$
where $rx: rx = (y,ts) \#\ rx'$ **by** $(cases\ rx,\ auto)$
from $wf[unfolded\ wf\ rx2\ def]$ **have** $ninf: \neg inf\ var\ conflict\ (mp\ mset\ (mp\ rx$
 $(rx,\ False)))$
and $wf: wf\ ts2\ ts$ **unfolding** rx **by** *auto*
from $wf[unfolded\ wf\ ts2\ def]$ **obtain** $s\ t\ ts'$ **where** $ts: ts = s \#\ t \#\ ts'$
and
 $diff: s \neq t$ **and** $conf: conflicts\ s\ t \neq None$
by $(cases\ ts; cases\ tl\ ts,\ auto)$
from $conf$ **obtain** xs **where** $conf: conflicts\ s\ t = Some\ xs$ **by** $(cases$
 $conflicts\ s\ t,\ auto)$
with $conflicts(5)[of\ s\ t]\ diff$ **have** $xs \neq []$ **by** *auto*
with $x[unfolded\ Nil\ list.\ simps\ fp\ list.\ sel\ mp\ split\ Let\ def\ rx\ ts\ conf\ option.\ sel]$
obtain xs' **where** $xs: xs = x \#\ xs'$ **by** $(cases\ xs)$ *auto*
from $conf\ xs$ **have** $confl: Conflict\ Var\ s\ t\ x$ **by** *auto*
from $ts\ rx$ **have** $sty: (s,\ Var\ y) \in\#\ mp\ rx\ (rx,\ False)\ (t,\ Var\ y) \in\#\ mp\ rx$
 $(rx,False)$
by $(auto\ simp: mp\ rx\ def\ List.\ maps\ def)$
with $confl\ ninf$ **have** $\neg inf\ sort\ (snd\ x)$ **unfolding** $inf\ var\ conflict\ def$ **by**
blast


```

    with sty confl rx have main: (s, Var y) ∈# mp-lr mp ∧ (t, Var y) ∈#
mp-lr mp ∧ Conflict-Var s t x ∧ ¬ inf-sort (snd x)
    unfolding mp by (auto simp: mp-lr-def)
    from mpp obtain p'' where pat: pat-lr p' = add-mset (mp-lr mp) p''
    unfolding pat-lr-def by simp (metis in-map-mset mset-add set-mset-mset)
    from pat-instantiate[OF - disjI2[OF main], of n p'', folded pat, OF disj]
    show ?thesis unfolding subst .
qed
qed
have fst ' tvars-pp (⋃ (pat-list ' set ps)) ⊆ {.. $n + m$ }
proof
  fix yn
  assume yn ∈ fst ' tvars-pp (⋃ (pat-list ' set ps))
  then obtain pi y mp where pi: pi ∈ set ps and mp: mp ∈ set pi and y: y
∈ tvars-mp (set mp) and yn: yn = fst y
    unfolding tvars-pp-def pat-list-def by force
  from pi[unfolded ps-def set-map subst-pat-problem-list-def subst-match-problem-list-def,
simplified]
  obtain τ where tau: τ ∈ set (τs-list n x) and pi: pi = map (map (subst-left
τ)) p'l by auto
  from tau[unfolded τs-list-def]
  obtain info where info ∈ set (Cl (snd x)) and tau: τ = τc n x info by
auto
  from Cl-len[of snd x] this(1) have len: length (snd info) ≤ m by force
  from mp[unfolded pi set-map] obtain mp' where mp': mp' ∈ set p'l and
mp: mp = map (subst-left τ) mp' by auto
  from y[unfolded mp tvars-mp-def image-comp o-def set-map]
  obtain pair where *: pair ∈ set mp' y ∈ vars (fst (subst-left τ pair)) by
auto
  obtain s t where pair: pair = (s,t) by force
  from *[unfolded pair] have st: (s,t) ∈ set mp' and y: y ∈ vars (s · τ)
unfolding subst-left-def by auto
  from y[unfolded vars-term-subst, simplified] obtain z where z: z ∈ vars s
and y: y ∈ vars (τ z) by auto
  obtain f ss where info: info = (f,ss) by (cases info, auto)
  with len have len: length ss ≤ m by auto
  define ts :: ('f,-)term list where ts = map Var (zip [n.. $n + \text{length } ss$ ] ss)
  from tau[unfolded τc-def info split] have tau: τ = subst x (Fun f ts)
unfolding ts-def by auto
  have fst ' vars (Fun f ts) ⊆ {.. $n + \text{length } ss$ } unfolding ts-def by (auto
simp: set-zip)
  also have ... ⊆ {.. $n + m$ } using len by auto
  finally have subst: fst ' vars (Fun f ts) ⊆ {.. $n + m$ } by auto
  show yn ∈ {.. $n + m$ }
  proof (cases z = x)
    case True
    with y subst tau yn show ?thesis by auto
  next
  case False

```

```

    hence  $\tau z = \text{Var } z$  unfolding tau by (auto simp: subst-def)
    with y have  $z = y$  by auto
    with z have  $y \in \text{vars } s$  by auto
    with st have  $y \in \text{tvars-mp}$  (set mp') unfolding tvars-mp-def by force
    with mp' have  $y \in \text{tvars-pp}$  (set 'set p'l) unfolding tvars-pp-def by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{tvars-pp}$  (pat-mset (pat-mset-list p'l))
    by (rule arg-cong[of - - tvars-pp], auto simp: pat-mset-list-def image-comp)
    also have  $\dots = \text{tvars-pp}$  (pat-mset (pat-lr p')) unfolding id[symmetric]
by simp
    also have  $\dots \subseteq \{..<n\} \times \text{UNIV}$  using varsp' .
    finally show ?thesis using yn by auto
  qed
  qed
  with step steps res show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

The simulation property for *pats-impl*, proven by induction on the terminating relation of the multiset-implementation.

lemma *pats-impl-P-step*: **assumes** *Ball* (*set ps*) ($\lambda p. \text{fst 'tvars-pp}$ (*pat-list p*) $\subseteq \{..<n\}$)

shows

- if result is True, then one can reach empty set
 $\text{pats-impl } n \text{ ps} \implies (\text{pats-mset-list } ps, \{\#\}) \in \Rightarrow^*$
- if result is False, then one can reach bottom
 $\neg \text{pats-impl } n \text{ ps} \implies (\text{pats-mset-list } ps, \text{bottom-mset}) \in \Rightarrow^*$

proof (*atomize(full)*, *insert assms*, *induct ps arbitrary: n rule: SN-induct[OF SN-inv-image[OF SN-imp-SN-trancl[OF SN-P-step]]*, *of pats-mset-list*)

case (*1 ps n*)

show *?case*

proof (*cases ps*)

case *Nil*

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *pats-impl.simps[of n ps]* **unfolding** *Nil* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Cons p ps1*)

hence *id: pats-mset-list ps = add-mset* (*pat-mset-list p*) (*pats-mset-list ps1*) **by** *auto*

note *res = pats-impl.simps[of n ps, unfolded Cons list.simps, folded Cons]*

from *1(2)[rule-format, of p]* *Cons* **have** *fst 'tvars-pp* (*pat-list p*) $\subseteq \{..<n\}$ **by** *auto*

note *pat-impl = pat-impl[OF refl this]*

show *?thesis*

proof (*cases pat-impl n p*)

case *None*

with *res* **have** *res: pats-impl n ps = False* **by** *auto*

from *pat-impl(1)[OF None, of pats-mset-list ps1, folded id]*

obtain *p'* **where** *steps: (pats-mset-list ps, add-mset p' (pats-mset-list ps1))* $\in \Rightarrow^*$ **and** *fail: pat-fail p'*

```

    by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases add-mset p' (pats-mset-list ps1) = bottom-mset)
    case True
    with res steps show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
    from P-failure[OF fail False]
    have (add-mset p' (pats-mset-list ps1), bottom-mset) ∈ ⇒ unfolding
P-step-def by auto
    with steps res show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
case (Some ps2)
with res have res: pats-impl n ps = pats-impl (n + m) (ps2 @ ps1) by auto
from pat-impl(2)[OF Some, of pats-mset-list ps1, folded id]
have steps: (pats-mset-list ps, mset (map pat-mset-list (ps2 @ ps1))) ∈ ⇒+
  and vars: fst ' tvars-pp (⋃ (pat-list ' set ps2)) ⊆ {..+) pats-mset-list by auto
have vars: ∀ p ∈ set (ps2 @ ps1). fst ' tvars-pp (pat-list p) ⊆ {..proof
  fix p
  assume p ∈ set (ps2 @ ps1)
  hence p ∈ set ps2 ∨ p ∈ set ps1 by auto
  thus fst ' tvars-pp (pat-list p) ⊆ {..proof
    assume p ∈ set ps2
    hence fst ' tvars-pp (pat-list p) ⊆ fst ' tvars-pp (⋃ (pat-list ' set ps2))
      unfolding tvars-pp-def by auto
    with vars show ?thesis by auto
  next
    assume p ∈ set ps1
    hence p ∈ set ps unfolding Cons by auto
    from 1(2)[rule-format, OF this] show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
show ?thesis using 1(1)[OF rel vars] steps unfolding res[symmetric] by
auto
qed
qed
qed

```

Consequence: partial correctness of the list-based implementation on well-formed inputs

theorem *pats-impl*: **assumes** *wf*: $\forall pp \in \text{pat-list ' set } P. \text{wf-pat } pp$
and *n*: $\forall p \in \text{set } P. \text{fst ' tvars-pp (pat-list } p) \subseteq \{..
shows *pats-impl* *n* *P* \longleftrightarrow *pats-complete* (pat-list ' set *P*)
proof –
from *wf* **have** *wf*: *wf-pats* (pat-list ' set *P*) **by** (auto simp: *wf-pats-def*)$

```

have id: pats-mset (pats-mset-list P) = pat-list ' set P unfolding pat-list-def
  by (auto simp: pat-mset-list-def image-comp)
{
  assume pats-impl n P
  from pats-impl-P-step(1)[OF n this]
  have (pats-mset-list P, {#}) ∈ ⇒* by auto
  from P-steps-pcorrect[OF - this, unfolded id, OF wf]
  have pats-complete (pat-list ' set P) by auto
}
moreover
{
  assume ¬ pats-impl n P
  from pats-impl-P-step(2)[OF n this]
  have (pats-mset-list P, {#{#}#}) ∈ ⇒* by auto
  from P-steps-pcorrect[OF - this, unfolded id, OF wf]
  have ¬ pats-complete (pat-list ' set P) by auto
}
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

corollary *pat-complete-impl*:

```

  assumes wf: snd ' ∪ (vars ' fst ' set (concat (concat P))) ⊆ S
  shows pat-complete-impl P ↔ pats-complete (pat-list ' set P)
proof -
  have wf: Ball (pat-list ' set P) wf-pat
    unfolding pat-list-def wf-pat-def wf-match-def tvars-mp-def using wf[unfolded
set-concat image-comp] by force
  let ?l = (List.maps (map fst o vars-term-list o fst) (concat (concat P)))
  define n where n = Suc (max-list ?l)
  have n: ∀ p ∈ set P. fst ' tvars-pp (pat-list p) ⊆ {..proof (intro ballI subsetI)
    fix p x
    assume p ∈ set P and x ∈ fst ' tvars-pp (pat-list p)
    hence x ∈ set ?l unfolding List.maps-def tvars-pp-def tvars-mp-def pat-list-def

    by force
    from max-list[OF this] have x < n unfolding n-def by auto
    thus x ∈ {..by auto
  qed
  have pat-complete-impl P = pats-impl n P
    unfolding pat-complete-impl-def n-def Let-def ..
  from pats-impl[OF wf n, folded this]
  show ?thesis .
qed
end

```

6.3 Getting the result outside the locale with assumptions

We next lift the results for the list-based implementation out of the locale. Here, we use the existing algorithms to decide non-empty sorts *decide-nonempty-sorts* and to compute the infinite sorts *compute-inf-sorts*.

context *pattern-completeness-context*

begin

lemma *pat-complete-impl-wrapper*: **assumes** *C-Cs*: $C = \text{map-of } Cs$

and *dist*: *distinct* (*map fst Cs*)

and *inhabited*: *decide-nonempty-sorts Sl Cs* = *None*

and *S-Sl*: $S = \text{set } Sl$

and *inf-sort*: *inf-sort* = $(\lambda s. s \in \text{compute-inf-sorts } Cs)$

and *C*: $\bigwedge f \sigma s \sigma. ((f, \sigma s), \sigma) \in \text{set } Cs \implies \text{length } \sigma s \leq m \wedge \text{set } (\sigma \# \sigma s) \subseteq S$

and *Cl*: $\bigwedge s. Cl \ s = \text{map fst } (\text{filter } ((=) \ s \ o \ \text{snd}) \ Cs)$

and *P*: $\text{snd } ' \bigcup (\text{vars } ' \text{fst } ' \text{set } (\text{concat } (\text{concat } P))) \subseteq S$

shows *pat-complete-impl P* = *pats-complete (pat-list ' set P)*

proof –

from *decide-nonempty-sorts(1)[OF dist C-Cs[symmetric] inhabited, folded S-Sl]*

have *S*: $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in S \implies \exists t. t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY})$

$\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in S \implies \exists t. t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}_n)$ **unfolding** *EMPTY-def EMP-TYn-def* **by** *auto*

{

fix *f ss s*

assume $f : ss \rightarrow s \text{ in } C$

hence $((f, ss), s) \in \text{set } Cs$ **unfolding** *C-Cs* **by** (*auto dest!: hastype-in-ssigD map-of-SomeD*)

from *C[OF this]* **have** $\text{insert } s (\text{set } ss) \subseteq S \ \text{length } ss \leq m$ **by** *auto*

} **note** *Cons* = *this*

{

fix *f ss s*

assume $(f, ss) \in \text{set } (Cl \ s)$

hence $((f, ss), s) \in \text{set } Cs$ **unfolding** *Cl* **by** *auto*

from *C[OF this]* **have** $\text{length } ss \leq m$ **by** *auto*

}

hence $m : \forall a \in \text{length } ' \ \text{snd } ' \ \text{set } (Cl \ s). a \leq m$ **for** *s* **by** *auto*

have *En*: $\text{EMPTY}_n = \emptyset$ **unfolding** *EMPTYn-def* **by** *auto*

have $\forall f \ ss \ s \ s'. f : ss \rightarrow s \text{ in } C \longrightarrow s' \in \text{set } ss \longrightarrow (\exists t. t : s' \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}_n))$

proof (*intro allI impI*)

fix *f ss s s'*

assume $f : ss \rightarrow s \text{ in } C$ **and** $s' \in \text{set } ss$

hence $s' \in S$ **using** *Cons(1)[of f ss s]* **by** (*auto simp: hastype-in-ssig-def*)

from *S[OF this]* **show** $\exists t. t : s' \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}_n)$ **by** *auto*

qed

from *compute-inf-sorts[OF En C-Cs this dist]* *inf-sort*

have *inf-sort*: *inf-sort* $s = (\neg \text{bdd-above } (\text{size } ' \{t. t : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \text{EMPTY}_n)\}))$ **for** *s* **unfolding** *inf-sort* **by** *auto*

have *Cl*: $\text{set } (Cl \ s) = \{(f, ss). f : ss \rightarrow s \text{ in } C\}$ **for** *s*

unfolding *Cl set-map o-def C-Cs* **using** *dist*

```

  by (force simp: hastype-in-ssig-def)
interpret pattern-completeness-context-with-assms
apply unfold-locales
subgoal by (rule S(1))
subgoal by (rule Cons)
subgoal by (rule Cons)
subgoal by (rule inf-sort)
subgoal by (rule Cl)
subgoal by (rule m)
done
show ?thesis by (rule pat-complete-impl[OF P])
qed
end

```

Next we are also leaving the locale that fixed the common parameters, and chooses suitable values.

extract all sorts from a signature (input and target sorts)

```

definition sorts-of-ssig-list :: (('f × 's list) × 's list) ⇒ 's list where
  sorts-of-ssig-list Cs = remdups (List.maps (λ ((f,ss),s). s # ss) Cs)

```

```

definition decide-pat-complete :: (('f × 's list) × 's list) ⇒ ('f,'v,'s) pats-problem-list
⇒ bool where

```

```

  decide-pat-complete Cs P = (let Sl = sorts-of-ssig-list Cs;
    m = max-list (map (length o snd o fst) Cs);
    Cl = (λ s. map fst (filter ((=) s o snd) Cs));
    IS = compute-inf-sorts Cs
  in pattern-completeness-context.pat-complete-impl m Cl (λ s. s ∈ IS)) P

```

```

abbreviation (input) pat-complete where
  pat-complete ≡ pattern-completeness-context.pat-complete

```

```

abbreviation (input) pats-complete where
  pats-complete ≡ pattern-completeness-context.pats-complete

```

Finally: a pattern completeness decision procedure for arbitrary inputs, assuming sensible inputs

```

theorem decide-pat-complete: assumes C-Cs: C = map-of Cs
and dist: distinct (map fst Cs)
and non-empty-sorts: decide-nonempty-sorts (sorts-of-ssig-list Cs) Cs = None
and S: S = set (sorts-of-ssig-list Cs)
and P: snd ' ⋃ (vars ' fst ' set (concat (concat P))) ⊆ S
shows decide-pat-complete Cs P = pats-complete S C (pat-list ' set P)
unfolding decide-pat-complete-def Let-def
proof (rule pattern-completeness-context.pat-complete-impl-wrapper[OF C-Cs dist
non-empty-sorts S refl - refl P])
  fix f σ s σ
  assume mem: ((f, σ s), σ) ∈ set Cs
  hence length σ s ∈ set (map (length o snd o fst) Cs) by force

```

```

from max-list[OF this] mem
show length  $\sigma s \leq$  max-list (map (length  $\circ$  snd  $\circ$  fst) Cs)  $\wedge$  set ( $\sigma \# \sigma s$ )  $\subseteq$  S
  unfolding S sorts-of-ssig-list-def List.maps-def by force
qed

end

```

7 Pattern-Completeness and Related Properties

We use the core decision procedure for pattern completeness and connect it to other properties like pattern completeness of programs (where the lhss are given), or (strong) quasi-reducibility.

theory Pattern-Completeness

imports

Pattern-Completeness-List

Show.Shows-Literal

Certification-Monads.Check-Monad

begin

A pattern completeness decision procedure for a set of lhss

definition basic-terms :: $('f, 's)ssig \Rightarrow ('f, 's)ssig \Rightarrow ('v \rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)term \text{ set}$
 $(\mathcal{B}'(-, -, '-))$ **where**

$\mathcal{B}(C, D, V) = \{ \text{Fun } f \text{ ts} \mid f \text{ ss } s \text{ ts} . f : \text{ss} \rightarrow s \text{ in } D \wedge \text{ts} ;_l \text{ ss in } \mathcal{T}(C, V) \}$

definition matches :: $('f, 'v)term \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)term \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** matches 50) **where**
 $l \text{ matches } t = (\exists \sigma . t = l \cdot \sigma)$

definition pat-complete-lhss :: $('f, 's)ssig \Rightarrow ('f, 's)ssig \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)term \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where

pat-complete-lhss C D L = $(\forall t \in \mathcal{B}(C, D, \emptyset) . \exists l \in L . l \text{ matches } t)$

definition decide-pat-complete-lhss ::

$((f \times 's \text{ list}) \times 's \text{ list}) \Rightarrow ((f \times 's \text{ list}) \times 's \text{ list}) \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)term \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{showsl} + \text{bool}$ **where**

decide-pat-complete-lhss C D lhss = do {

check (distinct (map fst C)) (showsl-lit (STR "constructor information contains duplicate"));

check (distinct (map fst D)) (showsl-lit (STR "defined symbol information contains duplicate"));

let S = sorts-of-ssig-list C;

check-allm $(\lambda ((f, ss), -) . \text{check-allm } (\lambda s . \text{check } (s \in \text{set } S)$

(showsl-lit (STR "a defined symbol has argument sort that is not known in constructors"))) ss) D;

(case (decide-nonempty-sorts S C) of None \Rightarrow return () | Some s \Rightarrow error (showsl-lit (STR "some sort is empty")));

let pats = [Fun f (map Var (zip [0..\leftarrow D];

let P = [[[(pat, lhs)]. lhs \leftarrow lhss]. pat \leftarrow pats];

```

    return (decide-pat-complete C P)
  }

theorem decide-pat-complete-lhss:
  assumes decide-pat-complete-lhss C D (lhss :: ('f,'v)term list) = return b
  shows b = pat-complete-lhss (map-of C) (map-of D) (set lhss)
proof -
  let ?EMPTY = pattern-completeness-context.EMPTY
  let ?cg-subst = pattern-completeness-context.cg-subst
  let ?C = map-of C
  let ?D = map-of D
  define S where S = sorts-of-ssig-list C
  define pats where pats = map (λ ((f,ss),s). Fun f (map Var (zip [0..define P where P = map (λ pat. map (λ lhs. [(pat,lhs)]) lhss) pats
  let ?match-lhs = λt. ∃ l ∈ set lhss. l matches t
  note ass = assms(1)[unfolded decide-pat-complete-lhss-def, folded S-def,
  unfolded Let-def, folded pats-def, folded P-def, simplified]
  from ass have dec: decide-nonempty-sorts S C = None (is ?e = -) by (cases
  ?e, auto)
  note ass = ass[unfolded dec, simplified]
  from ass have b: b = decide-pat-complete C P and dist: distinct (map fst C)
  distinct (map fst D) by auto
  have b = decide-pat-complete C P by fact
  also have ... = pats-complete (set S) ?C (pat-list ' set P)
  proof (rule decide-pat-complete[OF refl dist(1) dec[unfolded S-def]], unfold S-def[symmetric])
  {
    fix i si f ss s
    assume mem: ((f, ss), s) ∈ set D and isi: (i, si) ∈ set (zip [0..from isi have si: si ∈ set ss by (metis in-set-zipE)
    from mem si ass
    have si ∈ set S by auto
  }
  thus snd ' ∪ (vars ' fst ' set (concat (concat P))) ⊆ set S unfolding P-def
  pats-def by force
  qed simp
  also have pat-list ' set P = { { {(pat,lhs)} | lhs. lhs ∈ set lhss} | pat. pat ∈ set
  pats}
  unfolding pat-list-def P-def by (auto simp: image-comp)
  also have pats-complete (set S) ?C ... ↔
  Ball { pat · σ | pat σ. pat ∈ set pats ∧ ?cg-subst (set S) ?C σ } ?match-lhs (is
  - = Ball ?L -)
  unfolding pattern-completeness-context.pat-complete-def
  pattern-completeness-context.match-complete-wrt-def matches-def
  by auto (smt (verit, best) case-prod-conv mem-Collect-eq singletonI, blast)
  also have ?L = B(?C, ?D, ∅) (is - = ?R)
  proof
  {

```



```

fix pat and  $\sigma :: ('f, -, 'v)gsubst$ 
assume pat: pat  $\in$  set pats and subst: ?cg-subst (set S) ?C  $\sigma$ 
from pat[unfolded pats-def] obtain f ss s where pat: pat = Fun f (map Var
(zip [0..<length ss] ss))
and inDs: ((f,ss),s)  $\in$  set D by auto
from dist(2) inDs have f: f : ss  $\rightarrow$  s in ?D unfolding hastype-in-ssig-def
by simp
{
  fix i
  assume i: i < length ss
  hence ss ! i  $\in$  set ss by auto
  with inDs ass have ss ! i  $\in$  set S by auto
with subst have  $\sigma$  (i, ss ! i) : ss ! i in  $\mathcal{T}(\text{?C}, \emptyset)$  unfolding pattern-completeness-context.cg-subst-def

  pattern-completeness-context.EMPTY-def by auto
} note ssigma = this
define ts where ts = (map ( $\lambda$  i.  $\sigma$  (i, ss ! i)) [0..<length ss])
have ts: ts :l ss in  $\mathcal{T}(\text{?C}, \emptyset)$  unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth ts-def using
ssigma by auto
have pat: pat  $\cdot$   $\sigma$  = Fun f ts
unfolding pat ts-def by (auto intro: nth-equalityI)
from pat f ts have pat  $\cdot$   $\sigma$   $\in$  ?R unfolding basic-terms-def by auto
}
thus ?L  $\subseteq$  ?R by blast
{
  fix f ss s and ts :: ('f, 'v)term list
  assume f: f : ss  $\rightarrow$  s in ?D and ts: ts :l ss in  $\mathcal{T}(\text{?C}, \emptyset)$ 
from ts have len: length ts = length ss by (metis list-all2-lengthD)
define pat where pat = Fun f (map Var (zip [0..<length ss] ss))
from f have ((f,ss),s)  $\in$  set D unfolding hastype-in-ssig-def by (metis
map-of-SomeD)
hence pat: pat  $\in$  set pats unfolding pat-def pats-def by force
define  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma$  x = (case x of (i,s)  $\Rightarrow$  if i < length ss  $\wedge$  s = ss ! i then
ts ! i else
(SOME t. t : s in  $\mathcal{T}(\text{?C}, \text{?EMPTY})$ )) for x
have id: Fun f ts = pat  $\cdot$   $\sigma$  unfolding pat-def using len
by (auto intro!: nth-equalityI simp:  $\sigma$ -def)
have ssigma: ?cg-subst (set S) ?C  $\sigma$ 
unfolding pattern-completeness-context.cg-subst-def
proof (intro allI impI)
fix x :: nat  $\times$  -
assume snd x  $\in$  set S
then obtain i s where x: x = (i,s) and s: s  $\in$  set S by (cases x, auto)
show  $\sigma$  x : snd x in  $\mathcal{T}(\text{?C}, \text{?EMPTY})$ 
proof (cases i < length ss  $\wedge$  s = ss ! i)
case True
hence id:  $\sigma$  x = ts ! i unfolding x  $\sigma$ -def by auto
from ts True show ?thesis unfolding id unfolding x snd-conv pat-
tern-completeness-context.EMPTY-def

```

```

      by (simp add: list-all2-conv-all-nth)
    next
      case False
      hence id:  $\sigma x = (SOME t. t : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(?C, ?EMPTY))$  unfolding  $x \sigma\text{-def}$ 
    by auto
      from decide-nonempty-sorts(1)[OF dist(1) refl dec] s
      have  $\exists t. t : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(?C, ?EMPTY)$  unfolding pattern-completeness-context.EMPTY-def
    by auto
      from someI-ex[OF this] have  $\sigma x : s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(?C, ?EMPTY)$  unfolding id .
      thus ?thesis unfolding  $x$  by auto
    qed
  qed
  from pat id s sigma
  have Fun f ts  $\in$  ?L by auto
}
thus ?R  $\subseteq$  ?L unfolding basic-terms-def by auto
qed
finally show ?thesis unfolding pat-complete-lhss-def by blast
qed

```

Definition of strong quasi-reducibility and a corresponding decision procedure

definition *strong-quasi-reducible* :: $(f, 's)ssig \Rightarrow (f, 's)ssig \Rightarrow (f, 'v)term \text{ set} \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
strong-quasi-reducible C D L =
 $(\forall t \in \mathcal{B}(C, D, \emptyset). \exists ti \in \text{set } (t \# \text{args } t). \exists l \in L. l \text{ matches } ti)$

definition *term-and-args* :: $f \Rightarrow (f, 'v)term \text{ list} \Rightarrow (f, 'v)term \text{ list}$ **where**
term-and-args f ts = Fun f ts # ts

definition *decide-strong-quasi-reducible* ::
 $((f \times 's \text{ list}) \times 's \text{ list}) \Rightarrow ((f \times 's \text{ list}) \times 's \text{ list}) \Rightarrow (f, 'v)term \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{showsl} + bool$ **where**
decide-strong-quasi-reducible C D lhss = do {
 check (distinct (map fst C)) (showsl-lit (STR "constructor information contains duplicate"));
 check (distinct (map fst D)) (showsl-lit (STR "defined symbol information contains duplicate"));
 let S = sorts-of-ssig-list C;
 check-allm $(\lambda ((f, ss), -). \text{check-allm } (\lambda s. \text{check } (s \in \text{set } S) (\text{showsl-lit } (STR \text{"defined symbol } f \text{ has argument sort } s \text{ that is not known in constructors"}))) ss) D;$
 $(\text{case } (\text{decide-nonempty-sorts } S C) \text{ of } None \Rightarrow \text{return } () \mid \text{Some } s \Rightarrow \text{error } (\text{showsl-lit } (STR \text{"sort } s \text{ is empty"})));$
 let pats = map $(\lambda ((f, ss), s). \text{term-and-args } f (\text{map } \text{Var } (\text{zip } [0..<\text{length } ss] ss)))$
 D;
 let P = map (List.maps $(\lambda pat. \text{map } (\lambda lhs. [(pat, lhs)]) \text{lhss}))$ pats;
 return (decide-pat-complete C P)

```

}

lemma decide-strong-quasi-reducible:
  assumes decide-strong-quasi-reducible C D (lhss :: (f,v)term list) = return b
  shows b = strong-quasi-reducible (map-of C) (map-of D) (set lhss)
proof –
  let ?EMPTY = pattern-completeness-context.EMPTY
  let ?cg-subst = pattern-completeness-context.cg-subst
  let ?C = map-of C
  let ?D = map-of D
  define S where S = sorts-of-ssig-list C
  define pats where pats = map (λ ((f,ss),s). term-and-args f (map Var (zip [0..length ss] ss))) D
  define P where P = map (List.maps (λ pat. map (λ lhs. [(pat,lhs)]) lhss)) pats
  let ?match-lhs = λt. ∃ l ∈ set lhss. l matches t
  note ass = assms(1)[unfolded decide-strong-quasi-reducible-def, folded S-def, folded pats-def,
    unfolded Let-def, folded P-def]
  from ass have dec: decide-nonempty-sorts S C = None (is ?e = -) by (cases ?e, auto)
  note ass = ass[unfolded dec, simplified]
  from ass have b: b = decide-pat-complete C P and dist: distinct (map fst C) distinct (map fst D) by auto
  have b = decide-pat-complete C P by fact
  also have ... = pats-complete (set S) ?C (pat-list ' set P)
  proof (rule decide-pat-complete[OF refl dist(1) dec[unfolded S-def]], unfold S-def[symmetric])
  {
    fix f ss s i si
    assume mem: ((f, ss), s) ∈ set D and isi: (i, si) ∈ set (zip [0..length ss] ss)
    from isi have si: si ∈ set ss by (metis in-set-zipE)
    from mem have si ∈ set S by auto
  }
  thus snd ' ∪ (vars ' fst ' set (concat (concat P))) ⊆ set S unfolding P-def pats-def term-and-args-def List.maps-def
    by fastforce
  qed simp
  also have pat-list ' set P = { { {(pat,lhs)} | lhs pat. pat ∈ set patL ∧ lhs ∈ set lhss} | patL. patL ∈ set pats}
  unfolding pat-list-def P-def List.maps-def by (auto simp: image-comp) force+
  also have pats-complete (set S) ?C ... ↔
    (∀ patL σ. patL ∈ set pats → ?cg-subst (set S) ?C σ → (∃ pat ∈ set patL. ?match-lhs (pat · σ)) (is - ↔ ?L))
  unfolding pattern-completeness-context.pat-complete-def
    pattern-completeness-context.match-complete-wrt-def matches-def
  by auto
  (smt (verit, best) case-prod-conv mem-Collect-eq singletonI,
    metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv singleton-iff)

```

```

also have ?L
   $\longleftrightarrow (\forall f\ ss\ s\ (ts :: ('f, 'v)term\ list). f : ss \rightarrow s\ in\ ?D \longrightarrow ts :_i\ ss\ in\ \mathcal{T}(?C, \emptyset))$ 
 $\longrightarrow$ 
   $(\exists ti \in set\ (term\ and\ args\ f\ ts). ?match\ lhs\ ti) (is\ - = ?R)$ 
proof (standard; intro allI impI)
  fix patL and  $\sigma :: ('f, -, 'v)gsubst$ 
  assume patL: patL  $\in set\ pats$  and subst: ?cg-subst (set S) ?C  $\sigma$  and R: ?R
  from patL[unfolded pats-def] obtain f ss s where patL: patL = term-and-args
f (map Var (zip [0..<length ss] ss))
  and inDs: ((f,ss),s)  $\in set\ D$  by auto
  from dist(2) inDs have f: f : ss  $\rightarrow s$  in ?D unfolding hastype-in-ssig-def by
simp
  {
    fix i
    assume i: i < length ss
    hence ss ! i  $\in set\ ss$  by auto
    with inDs ass have ss ! i  $\in set\ S$  by auto
    with subst have  $\sigma\ (i, ss\ !\ i) : ss\ !\ i$  in  $\mathcal{T}(?C, \emptyset)$ 
    unfolding pattern-completeness-context.cg-subst-def pattern-completeness-context.EMPTY-def
  } by auto
  } note ssigma = this
  define ts where ts = (map  $(\lambda\ i.\ \sigma\ (i, ss\ !\ i))$  [0..<length ss])
  have ts: ts :_i ss in  $\mathcal{T}(?C, \emptyset)$  unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth ts-def using ssigma
by auto
  from R[rule-format, OF f ts] obtain ti where ti: ti  $\in set\ (term\ and\ args\ f\ ts)$ 
and match: ?match-lhs ti by auto
  have map  $(\lambda\ pat.\ pat \cdot \sigma)$  patL = term-and-args f ts unfolding patL term-and-args-def
ts-def
  by (auto intro: nth-equalityI)
  from ti[folded this] match
  show  $\exists pat \in set\ patL. ?match\ lhs\ (pat \cdot \sigma)$  by auto
next
  fix f ss s and ts :: ('f, 'v)term list
  assume f: f : ss  $\rightarrow s$  in ?D and ts: ts :_i ss in  $\mathcal{T}(?C, \emptyset)$  and L: ?L
  from ts have len: length ts = length ss by (metis list-all2-lengthD)
  define patL where patL = term-and-args f (map Var (zip [0..<length ss] ss))
  from f have ((f,ss),s)  $\in set\ D$  unfolding hastype-in-ssig-def by (metis
map-of-SomeD)
  hence patL: patL  $\in set\ pats$  unfolding patL-def pats-def by force
  define  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma\ x = (case\ x\ of\ (i, s) \Rightarrow\ if\ i < length\ ss \wedge s = ss\ !\ i\ then\ ts$ 
! i else
  (SOME t. t : s in  $\mathcal{T}(?C, ?EMPTY)))$  for x
  have ssigma: ?cg-subst (set S) ?C  $\sigma$ 
  unfolding pattern-completeness-context.cg-subst-def
proof (intro allI impI)
  fix x :: nat  $\times$  -
  assume snd x  $\in set\ S$ 
  then obtain i s where x: x = (i, s) and s: s  $\in set\ S$  by (cases x, auto)
  show  $\sigma\ x : snd\ x$  in  $\mathcal{T}(?C, ?EMPTY)$ 

```

```

proof (cases i < length ss ∧ s = ss ! i)
  case True
    hence id: σ x = ts ! i unfolding x σ-def by auto
      from ts True show ?thesis unfolding id unfolding x snd-conv pat-
term-completeness-context.EMPTY-def
      by (simp add: list-all2-conv-all-nth)
  next
    case False
      hence id: σ x = (SOME t. t : s in T(?C, ?EMPTY)) unfolding x σ-def
by auto
      from decide-nonempty-sorts(1)[OF dist(1) refl dec] s
      have ∃ t. t : s in T(?C, ?EMPTY) unfolding pattern-completeness-context.EMPTY-def
by auto
      from someI-ex[OF this] have σ x : s in T(?C, ?EMPTY) unfolding id .
      thus ?thesis unfolding x by auto
    qed
  qed
from L[rule-format, OF patL ssigma]
obtain pat where pat: pat ∈ set patL and match: ?match-lhs (pat · σ) by auto
have id: map (λ pat. pat · σ) patL = term-and-args f ts unfolding patL-def
term-and-args-def using len
by (auto intro!: nth-equalityI simp: σ-def)
show ∃ ti ∈ set (term-and-args f ts). ?match-lhs ti unfolding id[symmetric]
using pat match by auto
qed
also have ... = (∀ t. t ∈ B(?C, ?D, ∅) → (∃ ti ∈ set (t # args t). ?match-lhs
ti))
unfolding basic-terms-def term-and-args-def by force
finally show ?thesis unfolding strong-quasi-reducible-def by blast
qed

```

7.1 Connecting Pattern-Completeness, Strong Quasi-Reducibility and Quasi-Reducibility

definition *quasi-reducible* :: (*f, 's*)ssig ⇒ (*f, 's*)ssig ⇒ (*f, 'v*)term set ⇒ bool
where

quasi-reducible C D L = (∀ t ∈ B(C, D, ∅). ∃ tp ⊆ t. ∃ l ∈ L. l matches tp)

lemma *pat-complete-imp-strong-quasi-reducible*:

pat-complete-lhss C D L ⇒ *strong-quasi-reducible* C D L

unfolding *pat-complete-lhss-def* *strong-quasi-reducible-def* **by** force

lemma *arg-imp-subt*: s ∈ set (args t) ⇒ t ⊇ s

by (cases t, auto)

lemma *strong-quasi-reducible-imp-quasi-reducible*:

strong-quasi-reducible C D L ⇒ *quasi-reducible* C D L

unfolding *strong-quasi-reducible-def* *quasi-reducible-def*

by (force dest: *arg-imp-subt*)

If no root symbol of a left-hand sides is a constructor, then pattern completeness and quasi-reducibility coincide.

lemma *quasi-reducible-iff-pat-complete*: **fixes** $L :: ('f, 'v)term\ set$

assumes $\bigwedge l\ f\ ls\ \tau\ s\ \tau. l \in L \implies l = Fun\ f\ ls \implies \neg f : \tau\ s \rightarrow \tau\ in\ C$

shows $pat\ complete\ lhss\ C\ D\ L \longleftrightarrow quasi\ reducible\ C\ D\ L$

proof (*standard, rule strong-quasi-reducible-imp-quasi-reducible*[*OF pat-complete-imp-strong-quasi-reducible*])

assume $q: quasi\ reducible\ C\ D\ L$

show $pat\ complete\ lhss\ C\ D\ L$

unfolding *pat-complete-lhss-def*

proof

fix $t :: ('f, 'v)term$

assume $t: t \in \mathcal{B}(C, D, \emptyset)$

from q [*unfolded quasi-reducible-def, rule-format, OF this*]

obtain tp **where** $tp: t \triangleright tp$ **and** $match: \exists l \in L. l\ matches\ tp$ **by** *auto*

show $\exists l \in L. l\ matches\ t$

proof (*cases* $t = tp$)

case *True*

thus *?thesis* **using** *match* **by** *auto*

next

case *False*

from t [*unfolded basic-terms-def*] **obtain** $f\ ts\ ss$ **where** $t: t = Fun\ f\ ts$ **and**
 $ts: ts :_1\ ss\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset)$ **by** *auto*

from t *False* tp **obtain** ti **where** $ti: ti \in set\ ts$ **and** $subt: ti \triangleright tp$

by (*meson Fun-supteq*)

from $subt$ **obtain** CC **where** $ctxt: ti = CC\ \langle\ tp\ \rangle$ **by** *auto*

from $ti\ ts$ **obtain** s **where** $ti : s\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset)$ **unfolding** *list-all2-conv-all-nth set-conv-nth* **by** *auto*

from *hastype-context-decompose*[*OF this*[*unfolded ctxt*]] **obtain** s **where** $tp:$
 $tp : s\ in\ \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset)$ **by** *blast*

from $match$ [*unfolded matches-def*] **obtain** $l\ \sigma$ **where** $l: l \in L$ **and** $match: tp$
 $= l \cdot \sigma$ **by** *auto*

show *?thesis*

proof (*cases* l)

case (*Var* x)

with l **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *matches-def* **by** (*auto intro!*: *beXI*[*of - l*])

next

case (*Fun* $f\ ls$)

from tp [*unfolded match this, simplified*] **obtain** ss **where** $f : ss \rightarrow s\ in\ C$

by (*meson Fun-hastype hastype-def hastype-in-ssig-def*)

with *assms*[*OF l Fun, of ss s*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

qed

qed

end

8 Setup for Experiments

theory *Test-Pat-Complete*

imports

Pattern-Completeness

HOL-Library.Code-Abstract-Char

HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral

begin

turn error message into runtime error

definition *pat-complete-alg* :: $((f \times 's \text{ list}) \times 's) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ((f \times 's \text{ list}) \times 's) \text{ list} \Rightarrow (f, v) \text{ term list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
pat-complete-alg *C D lhss* = (
case decide-pat-complete-lhss C D lhss of Inl err \Rightarrow *Code.abort (err (STR ""))*
 $(\lambda -. \text{True})$
 $| \text{Inr res} \Rightarrow \text{res}$)

turn error message into runtime error

definition *strong-quasi-reducible-alg* :: $((f \times 's \text{ list}) \times 's) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ((f \times 's \text{ list}) \times 's) \text{ list} \Rightarrow (f, v) \text{ term list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
strong-quasi-reducible-alg *C D lhss* = (
case decide-strong-quasi-reducible C D lhss of Inl err \Rightarrow *Code.abort (err (STR ""))*
 $(\lambda -. \text{True})$
 $| \text{Inr res} \Rightarrow \text{res}$)

Examples

definition *nat-bool* = [
 (*"zero"*, []), *"nat"*),
 (*"succ"*, [*"nat"*]), *"nat"*),
 (*"true"*, []), *"bool"*),
 (*"false"*, []), *"bool"*)
]

definition *int-bool* = [
 (*"zero"*, []), *"int"*),
 (*"succ"*, [*"int"*]), *"int"*),
 (*"pred"*, [*"int"*]), *"int"*),
 (*"true"*, []), *"bool"*),
 (*"false"*, []), *"bool"*)
]

definition *even-nat* = [
 (*"even"*, [*"nat"*]), *"bool"*)
]

definition *even-int* = [
 (*"even"*, [*"int"*]), *"bool"*)
]

definition *even-lhss* = [
 Fun "even" [Fun "zero" []],
 Fun "even" [Fun "succ" [Fun "zero" []]],
 Fun "even" [Fun "succ" [Fun "succ" [Var "x'"]]]
]

definition *even-lhss-int* = [
 Fun "even" [Fun "zero" []],
 Fun "even" [Fun "succ" [Fun "zero" []]],
 Fun "even" [Fun "succ" [Fun "succ" [Var "x'"]]],
 Fun "even" [Fun "pred" [Fun "zero" []]],
 Fun "even" [Fun "pred" [Fun "pred" [Var "x'"]]],
 Fun "succ" [Fun "pred" [Var "x'"]],
 Fun "pred" [Fun "succ" [Var "x'"]]
]

lemma *decide-pat-complete-wrapper*:

assumes (case *decide-pat-complete-lhss* *C D lhss* of Inr *b* \Rightarrow Some *b* | Inl - \Rightarrow None) = Some *res*
shows *pat-complete-lhss* (map-of *C*) (map-of *D*) (set *lhss*) = *res*
using *decide-pat-complete-lhss*[of *C D lhss*] **assms** **by** (auto split: sum.splits)

lemma *decide-strong-quasi-reducible-wrapper*:

assumes (case *decide-strong-quasi-reducible* *C D lhss* of Inr *b* \Rightarrow Some *b* | Inl - \Rightarrow None) = Some *res*
shows *strong-quasi-reducible* (map-of *C*) (map-of *D*) (set *lhss*) = *res*
using *decide-strong-quasi-reducible*[of *C D lhss*] **assms** **by** (auto split: sum.splits)

lemma *pat-complete-lhss* (map-of nat-bool) (map-of even-nat) (set even-lhss)

apply (subst *decide-pat-complete-wrapper*[of - - - True])
by eval+

lemma \neg *pat-complete-lhss* (map-of int-bool) (map-of even-int) (set even-lhss-int)

apply (subst *decide-pat-complete-wrapper*[of - - - False])
by eval+

lemma *strong-quasi-reducible* (map-of int-bool) (map-of even-int) (set even-lhss-int)

apply (subst *decide-strong-quasi-reducible-wrapper*[of - - - True])
by eval+

definition *non-lin-lhss* = [
 Fun "f" [Var "x", Var "x", Var "y"],
 Fun "f" [Var "x", Var "y", Var "x"],
 Fun "f" [Var "y", Var "x", Var "x"]
]

lemma *pat-complete-lhss* (map-of nat-bool) (map-of [((('f',["bool","bool","bool"]),"bool"))])
 (set non-lin-lhss)
apply (subst decide-pat-complete-wrapper[of - - True])
by eval+

lemma \neg *pat-complete-lhss* (map-of nat-bool) (map-of [((('f',["nat","nat","nat"]),"bool"))])
 (set non-lin-lhss)
apply (subst decide-pat-complete-wrapper[of - - False])
by eval+

definition *testproblem* (c :: nat) n = (let s = String.implode; s = id;

 c1 = even c;
 c2 = even (c div 2);
 c3 = even (c div 4);
 c4 = even (c div 8);
 revo = (if c4 then id else rev);
 nn = [0 ..< n];
 rnn = (if c4 then id nn else rev nn);
 b = s "b"; t = s "tt"; f = s "ff"; g = s "g";
 gg = (λ ts. Fun g (revo ts));
 ff = Fun f [];
 tt = Fun t [];
 C = [(t, [] :: string list), b], ((f, []), b)];
 D = [(g, replicate (2 * n) b), b)];
 x = (λ i :: nat. Var (s "x" @ show i));
 y = (λ i :: nat. Var (s "y" @ show i));
 lhsF = gg (if c1 then List.maps (λ i. [ff, y i]) rnn else (replicate n ff @ map
 y rnn));
 lhsT = (λ b j. gg (if c1 then List.maps (λ i. if i = j then [tt, b] else [x i, y i])
 rnn else
 (map (λ i. if i = j then tt else x i) rnn @ map (λ i. if i = j then b else
 y i) rnn));
 lhsS = (if c2 then List.maps (λ i. [lhsT tt i, lhsT ff i]) nn else List.maps (λ
 b. map (lhsT b) nn) [tt,ff]);
 lhss = (if c3 then [lhsF] @ lhsS else lhsS @ [lhsF])
 in (C, D, lhss))

definition *test-problem* c n perms = (if c < 16 then testproblem c n
 else let (C, D, lhss) = testproblem 0 n;
 (permsRow,permsCol) = perms ! (c - 16);
 permRows = map (λ i. lhss ! i) permsRow;
 pCol = (λ t. case t of Fun g ts => Fun g (map (λ i. ts ! i) permsCol))
 in (C, D, map pCol permRows))

definition *test-problem-integer where*

test-problem-integer c n perms = test-problem (nat-of-integer c) (nat-of-integer
 n) (map (map-prod (map nat-of-integer) (map nat-of-integer)) perms)

fun *term-to-haskell where*

```

term-to-haskell (Var x) = String.implode x
| term-to-haskell (Fun f ts) = (if f = "tt" then STR "TT" else if f = "ff" then
STR "FF" else String.implode f)
+ foldr (λ t r. STR " " + term-to-haskell t + r) ts (STR "'")

```

definition `createHaskellInput` :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ (integer list × integer list) list ⇒ String.literal **where**

```

createHaskellInput c n perms = (case test-problem-integer c n perms
of
(-, -, lhss) ⇒ STR "module Test(g) where [↔][↔]data B = TT | FF[↔][↔]"
+
foldr (λ l s. (term-to-haskell l + STR " = TT[↔]" + s)) lhss (STR "'"))

```

definition `pat-complete-alg-test` :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ (integer list * integer list) list ⇒ bool **where**

```

pat-complete-alg-test c n perms = (case test-problem-integer c n perms of
(C, D, lhss) ⇒ pat-complete-alg C D lhss)

```

definition `show-pat-complete-test` :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ (integer list * integer list) list ⇒ String.literal **where**

```

show-pat-complete-test c n perms = (case test-problem-integer c n perms of (-, -, lhss)
⇒ showsl-lines (STR "empty") lhss (STR "'"))

```

definition `create-agcp-input` :: (String.literal ⇒ 't) ⇒ integer ⇒ integer ⇒ (integer list * integer list) list ⇒ 't list list * 't list list **where**

```

create-agcp-input term C N perms = (let
n = nat-of-integer N;
c = nat-of-integer C;
lhss = (snd o snd) (test-problem-integer C N perms);
tt = (λ t. case t of (Var x) ⇒ term (String.implode ("?" @ x @ ":B"))
| Fun f [] ⇒ term (String.implode f));
pslist = map (λ i. tt (Var ("x" @ show i))) [0..< 2 * n];

patlist = map (λ t. case t of Fun - ps ⇒ map tt ps) lhss
in ([pslist], patlist))

```

connection to AGCP, which is written in SML, and SML-export of verified pattern completeness algorithm

export-code

```

pat-complete-alg-test
show-pat-complete-test
create-agcp-input
pat-complete-alg
strong-quasi-reducible-alg
Var
in SML module-name Pat-Complete

```

tree automata encoding

We assume that there are certain interface-functions from the tree-automata library.

context

fixes $cState :: String.literal \Rightarrow 'state$ — create a state from name
and $cSym :: String.literal \Rightarrow integer \Rightarrow 'sym$ — create a symbol from name and arity
and $cRule :: 'sym \Rightarrow 'state list \Rightarrow 'state \Rightarrow 'rule$ — create a transition-rule
and $cAut :: 'sym list \Rightarrow 'state list \Rightarrow 'state list \Rightarrow 'rule list \Rightarrow 'aut$
— create an automaton given the signature, the list of all states, the list of final states, and the transitions
and $checkSubset :: 'aut \Rightarrow 'aut \Rightarrow bool$ — check language inclusion
begin

we further fix the parameters to generate the example TRSs

context

fixes $c n :: integer$
and $perms :: (integer list \times integer list) list$
begin

definition $tt = cSym (STR "tt") 0$

definition $ff = cSym (STR "ff") 0$

definition $g = cSym (STR "g") (2 * n)$

definition $qt = cState (STR "qt")$

definition $qf = cState (STR "qf")$

definition $qb = cState (STR "qb")$

definition $qfin = cState (STR "qFin")$

definition $tRule = (\lambda q. cRule tt [] q)$

definition $fRule = (\lambda q. cRule ff [] q)$

definition $qbRules = [tRule qb, fRule qb]$

definition $stdRules = qbRules @ [tRule qt, fRule qf]$

definition $leftStates = [qb, qfin]$

definition $rightStates = [qt, qf] @ leftStates$

definition $finStates = [qfin]$

definition $signature = [tt, ff, g]$

fun $argToState$ **where**

$argToState (Var -) = qb$
 $| argToState (Fun s []) = (if s = "tt" then qt else if s = "ff" then qf$
 $else Code.abort (STR "unknown") (\lambda -. qf))$

fun $termToRule$ **where**

$termToRule (Fun - ts) = cRule g (map argToState ts) qfin$

definition $automataLeft = cAut signature leftStates finStates (cRule g (replicate (2 * nat-of-integer n) qb) qfin \# qbRules)$

definition $automataRight = (case test-problem-integer c n perms of$

```

(-,-,lhss) ⇒ cAut signature rightStates finStates (map termToRule lhss @ stdRules))

definition encodeAutomata = (automataLeft, automataRight)

definition patCompleteAutomataTest = (checkSubset automataLeft automataRight)

end
end

definition string-append :: String.literal ⇒ String.literal ⇒ String.literal (infixr
+++ 65) where
  string-append s t = String.implode (String.explode s @ String.explode t)

code-printing constant string-append ↪
  (Haskell) infixr 5 ++

fun paren where
  paren e l r s [] = e
| paren e l r s (x # xs) = l +++ x +++ foldr (λ y r. s +++ y +++ r) xs r

definition showAutomata where showAutomata n c perms = (case encodeAutomata id (λ n a. n)
(λ f qs q. paren f (f +++ STR "(") (STR ")") (STR ",") qs +++ STR " ->"
" +++ q)
(λ sig Q Qfin rls.
  STR "tree-automata has final states: " +++ paren (STR "{" ) (STR "{")
(STR "}") (STR ",") Qfin +++ STR "[↔]"
  +++ STR "and transitions:" ++ paren (STR "" ) (STR "" ) (STR "" )
(STR "[↔]" ) rls +++ STR "[↔][↔]" ) n c perms
of (all,pats) ⇒ STR "decide whether language of first automaton is subset of the
second automaton [↔][↔]"
  +++ STR "first " +++ all +++ STR "[↔]and second " +++ pats)

value showAutomata 4 4 []

value show-pat-complete-test 4 4 []

value createHaskellInput 4 4 []

connection to FORT-h, generation of Haskell-examples, and Haskell tests of
verified pattern completeness algorithm

export-code encodeAutomata
  showAutomata
  patCompleteAutomataTest
  show-pat-complete-test
  pat-complete-alg-test
  createHaskellInput
in Haskell module-name Pat-Test-Generated

```

end

References

- [1] T. Aoto and Y. Toyama. Ground confluence prover based on rewriting induction. In D. Kesner and B. Pientka, editors, *1st International Conference on Formal Structures for Computation and Deduction, FSCD 2016, June 22-26, 2016, Porto, Portugal*, volume 52 of *LIPIcs*, pages 33:1–33:12. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2016.
- [2] A. Lazrek, P. Lescanne, and J. Thiel. Tools for proving inductive equalities, relative completeness, and omega-completeness. *Inf. Comput.*, 84(1):47–70, 1990.
- [3] A. Middeldorp, A. Lochmann, and F. Mitterwallner. First-order theory of rewriting for linear variable-separated rewrite systems: Automation, formalization, certification. *J. Autom. Reason.*, 67(2):14, 2023.
- [4] R. Thiemann and A. Yamada. A verified algorithm for deciding pattern completeness. In J. Rehof, editor, *9th International Conference on Formal Structures for Computation and Deduction, FSCD 2024, July 10-13, 2024, Tallinn, Estonia*, *LIPIcs*. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2024. To appear.