

Notes on Gödel's and Scott's Variants of the Ontological Argument (Isabelle/HOL dataset)

Christoph Benzmüller and Dana S. Scott

January 7, 2025

Abstract

Experimental studies with Isabelle/HOL on Kurt Gödel's modal ontological argument and Dana Scott's variant of it are presented. They implicitly answer some questions that the authors have received over the last decade(s). In addition, some new results are reported.

Our contribution is explained in full detail in [2]. This document presents the corresponding Isabelle/HOL dataset (which is only slightly modified to meet AFP requirements).

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Interactive and automated theorem proving	3
2.1	SurjectiveCantor.thy (Figure 2 of [2])	3
3	Mechanization of higher-order modal logic (HOML)	4
3.1	HOMLinHOL.thy (Figure 3 of [2])	4
3.2	TestsHOML.thy (Figure 4 of [2])	5
3.3	ModalFilter.thy (Figure 5 of [2])	6
4	Gödels ontological argument – 1970 manuscript	7
4.1	GoedelVariantHOML1.thy (Figure 6 of [2])	7
4.2	GoedelVariantHOML2.thy (Figure 7 of [2])	8
4.3	GoedelVariantHOML3.thy (Figure 8 of [2])	9
4.4	ThereIsNoEvil1.thy (Figure 10 of [2])	11
4.5	ThereIsNoEvil2.thy (Figure 11 of [2])	11
5	Scotts variant	12
5.1	ScottVariantHOML.thy (Figure 12 of [2])	12
5.2	HOMLinHOLonlyK.thy (slight variation of Figure 3 of [2]) . .	13
5.3	ScottVariantHOMLinK.thy (Figure 13 of [2])	14
5.4	ScottVariantHOMLAndersonQuant.thy (Figure 15 of [2]) . .	15

6 Appendix	16
6.1 GoedelVariantHOML1poss.thy (Figure 16 of [2])	16
6.2 GoedelVariantHOML2poss.thy (Figure 17 of [2])	17
6.3 GoedelVariantHOML3poss.thy (Figure 18 of [2])	18
6.4 ScottVariantHOMLposs.thy (Figure 19 of [2])	20
6.5 EvilDerivable.thy (Figure 20 of [2])	21
7 Further Appendices	22
7.1 GoedelVariantHOML1AndersonQuan.thy	22
7.2 GoedelVariantHOML2AndersonQuan.thy	23
7.3 GoedelVariantHOML3AndersonQuan.thy	25
7.4 HOMLinHOLonlyS4.thy (slight variation of Figure 3 of [2]) .	26
7.5 TestsHOMLinS4.thy	27
7.6 GoedelVariantHOML1inS4.thy	29
7.7 GoedelVariantHOML2inS4.thy	29
7.8 GoedelVariantHOML2possInS4.thy	30
7.9 GoedelVariantHOML3inS4.thy	31
7.10 GoedelVariantHOML3possInS4.thy	32
7.11 ScottVariantHOMLinS4.thy	33
7.12 ScottVariantHOMLpossInS4.thy	34

1 Introduction

The Isabelle/HOL dataset associated with [2] is presented. Compared to previous work on Gödel’s modal ontological argument as published in the Archive of Formal Proofs (AFP) [1, 4, 3], our dataset addresses several relevant and in some cases novel aspects, which are combined here in a single publication, including:

1. For the first time, Gödel’s original manuscript [5] has been formalized as closely as possible.
2. The inconsistency of the postulates in Gödel’s original manuscript is explained in detail.
3. Two different ways of eliminating this inconsistency are presented, one of which is novel.
4. Scott’s variant [6] of Gödel’s original manuscript is presented and compared with Gödel’s original variant.
5. In addition to logics S5 and K, the above variants are also studied for logic S4.

6. The above variants are tested for various combinations of of possibilist and actualist quantifiers for individuals (which has not been done systematically in previous publications).
7. Concepts of evil are examined and the derivability of evil is critically questioned.

The purpose of this AFP publication is essentially twofold. One motivation is to make the data sources associated with [2] available in a sustainable, well-maintained way. The other motivation is to support university education in higher-order modal logic by providing a small dataset for reuse that illustrates a systematically explored philosophical argument, emphasizing in particular different notions of quantification.

Compared to [2], the Isabelle sources presented here have been slightly modified to meet some AFP requirements. This concerns the commenting out of calls to sledgehammer (to reduce computational resources) and some minor reformatting (e.g. insertion of new lines). The formalization code itself remains unchanged.

2 Interactive and automated theorem proving

2.1 SurjectiveCantor.thy (Figure 2 of [2])

The surjective Cantor theorem is used in [2] to illustrate some aspects of interactive and automated theorem proving in Isabelle/HOL as relevant for the paper. To keep the provided data material complete wrt. [2], we include these data sources also here.

```
theory SurjectiveCantor imports Main
begin
```

Surjective Cantor theorem: traditional interactive proof

```
theorem SurjectiveCantor:  $\neg(\exists G. \forall F::'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}. \exists X::'a. G X = F)$ 
  <proof>
```

Avoiding proof by contradiction (Fuenmayor & Benzmüller, 2021)

```
theorem SurjectiveCantor':  $\neg(\exists G. \forall F::'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}. \exists X::'a. G X = F)$ 
  <proof>
```

Surjective Cantor theorem: automated proof by some internal/external theorem provers

```
theorem SurjectiveCantor'':  $\neg(\exists G. \forall F::'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}. \exists X::'a. G X = F)$ 
```

nitpick[*expect=none*] — no counterexample found

— sledgehammer — most internal provers give up

— sledgehammer[remote_leo2 remote_leo3] — proof found: leo provers succeed

<proof>

Surjective Cantor theorem (wrong formalization attempt): the types are crucial

```
theorem SurjectiveCantor'':  $\neg(\exists G. \forall F::'b. \exists X::'a. G X = F)$ 
nitpick — counterexample found for card 'a = 1 and card 'b = 1: G=(λx. (a1 := b1))
nitpick[satisfy, expect=genuine] — model found for card 'a = 1 and card 'b = 2
nitpick[card 'a=2, card 'b=3, expect=none] — no counterexample found
⟨proof⟩
end
```

3 Mechanization of higher-order modal logic (HOML)

3.1 HOMLinHOL.thy (Figure 3 of [2])

Shallow embedding of higher-order modal logic (HOML) in the classical higher-order logic (HOL) of Isabelle/HOL utilizing the logic-pluralistic LogiKEY methodology. Here logic S5 is introduced.

```
theory HOMLinHOL imports Main
begin
```

— Global parameters setting for the model finder nitpick and the parser; unimport for the reader

```
nitpick-params[user-axioms, expect=genuine, show-all, format=2, max-genuine=3]
declare[[[syntax-ambiguity-warning=false]]]
```

— Type i is associated with possible worlds and type e with entities

```
typedecl i — Possible worlds
```

```
typedecl e — Individuals/entities
```

```
type-synonym σ =  $i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  — World-lifted propositions
```

```
type-synonym τ =  $e \Rightarrow \sigma$  — Modal properties
```

```
consts R:: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (-r-) — Accessibility relation between worlds
```

```
axiomatization where
```

```
Rrefl:  $\forall x. xrx$  and
```

```
Rsymm:  $\forall x y. xry \longrightarrow yrx$  and
```

```
Rtrans:  $\forall x y z. xry \wedge yrz \longrightarrow xrz$ 
```

— Logical connectives (operating on truth-sets)

```
abbreviation Mbot::σ ( $\perp$ ) where  $\perp \equiv \lambda w. \text{False}$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mtop::σ ( $\top$ ) where  $\top \equiv \lambda w. \text{True}$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mneg:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\neg$ - [52]53) where  $\neg\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \neg(\varphi w)$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mand:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixl  $\wedge$  50) where  $\varphi \wedge \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \wedge \psi w$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mor:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixl  $\vee$  49) where  $\varphi \vee \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \vee \psi w$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mimp:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixr  $\supset$  48) where  $\varphi \supset \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longrightarrow \psi w$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mequiv:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixl  $\leftrightarrow$  47) where  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longleftrightarrow \psi w$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mbox:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\Box$ - [54]55) where  $\Box\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \forall v. w \mathbf{r} v \longrightarrow \varphi v$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mdia:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\Diamond$ - [54]55) where  $\Diamond\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \exists v. w \mathbf{r} v \wedge \varphi v$ 
```

```

abbreviation Mprimeq::'a⇒'a⇒σ (=-) where  $x=y \equiv \lambda w. x=y$ 
abbreviation Mprimneg::'a⇒'a⇒σ (-≠-) where  $x \neq y \equiv \lambda w. x \neq y$ 
abbreviation Mnegpred::τ⇒τ (¬-) where  $\sim\Phi \equiv \lambda x. \lambda w. \neg\Phi x w$ 
abbreviation Mconpred::τ⇒τ⇒τ (infixl . 50) where  $\Phi.\Psi \equiv \lambda x. \lambda w. \Phi x w \wedge \Psi x w$ 
abbreviation Mexclor::σ⇒σ⇒σ (infixl ∨e 49) where  $\varphi \vee^e \psi \equiv (\varphi \vee \psi) \wedge \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$ 

— Possibilist quantifiers (polymorphic)
abbreviation Mallposs::('a⇒σ)⇒σ (forall) where  $\forall \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \forall x. \Phi x w$ 
abbreviation Mallpossb (binder ∀ [8]9) where  $\forall x. \varphi(x) \equiv \forall \varphi$ 
abbreviation Mexp::('a⇒σ)⇒σ (exists) where  $\exists \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \exists x. \Phi x w$ 
abbreviation Mexpb (binder ∃ [8]9) where  $\exists x. \varphi(x) \equiv \exists \varphi$ 

— Actualist quantifiers (for individuals/entities)
consts existsAt::e⇒σ (@-)
abbreviation Mallact::(e⇒σ)⇒σ (forallE) where  $\forall^E \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \forall x. x @ w \longrightarrow \Phi x w$ 
abbreviation Mallactb (binder ∀E [8]9) where  $\forall^E x. \varphi(x) \equiv \forall^E \varphi$ 
abbreviation Mexist::(e⇒σ)⇒σ (existsE) where  $\exists^E \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \exists x. x @ w \wedge \Phi x w$ 
abbreviation Mexistb (binder ∃E [8]9) where  $\exists^E x. \varphi(x) \equiv \exists^E \varphi$ 

— Leibniz equality (polymorphic)
abbreviation Mleibeq::'a⇒'a⇒σ (≡) where  $x \equiv y \equiv \forall P. P x \supset P y$ 

— Meta-logical predicate for global validity
abbreviation Mvalid::σ⇒bool ([ ]) where  $[\psi] \equiv \forall w. \psi w$ 

end

```

3.2 TestsHOML.thy (Figure 4 of [2])

Tests and verifications of properties for the embedding of HOML (S5) in HOL.

```

theory TestsHOML imports HOMLinHOL
begin

```

```

— Test for S5 modal logic
lemma axM:  $\lfloor \Box \varphi \supset \varphi \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma axD:  $\lfloor \Box \varphi \supset \Diamond \varphi \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma axB:  $\lfloor \varphi \supset \Box \Diamond \varphi \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ax4:  $\lfloor \Box \varphi \supset \Box \Box \varphi \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ax5:  $\lfloor \Diamond \varphi \supset \Box \Diamond \varphi \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma BarcanAct:  $\lfloor (\forall^E x. \Box(\varphi x)) \supset \Box(\forall^E x. (\varphi x)) \rfloor$ 
  nitpick[expect=genuine]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ConvBarcanAct:  $\lfloor \Box(\forall^E x. (\varphi x)) \supset (\forall^E x. \Box(\varphi x)) \rfloor$ 
  nitpick[expect=genuine]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma BarcanPoss:  $\lfloor (\forall x. \Box(\varphi x)) \supset \Box(\forall x. \varphi x) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ConvBarcanPoss:  $\lfloor \Box(\forall x. (\varphi x)) \supset (\forall x. \Box(\varphi x)) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma Hilbert-A1:  $\lfloor A \supset (B \supset A) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Hilbert-A2:  $\lfloor (A \supset (B \supset C)) \supset ((A \supset B) \supset (A \supset C)) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma Hilbert-MP: assumes  $\lfloor A \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor A \supset B \rfloor$  shows  $\lfloor B \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma Quant-1: assumes  $\lfloor A \rfloor$  shows  $\lfloor \forall x::'a. A \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ExImPossibilist1:  $\lfloor \exists x::e. x = x \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ExImPossibilist2:  $\lfloor \exists x::e. x \equiv x \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ExImPossibilist3:  $\lfloor \exists x::e. x = t \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ExImPossibilist4:  $\lfloor \exists x::'a. x \equiv t::'a \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ExImPossibilist:  $\lfloor \exists x::'a. \top \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma Quant-2: assumes  $\lfloor A \rfloor$  shows  $\lfloor \forall^E x::e. A \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ExImActualist1:  $\lfloor \exists^E x::e. x = x \rfloor$ 
nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ExImActualist2:  $\lfloor \exists^E x::e. x \equiv x \rfloor$ 
nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ExImActualist3:  $\lfloor \exists^E x::e. x = t \rfloor$ 
nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ExImActualist:  $\lfloor \exists^E x::e. \top \rfloor$ 
nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EqRefl:  $\lfloor x = x \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EqSym:  $\lfloor (x = y) \leftrightarrow (y = x) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EqTrans:  $\lfloor ((x = y) \wedge (y = z)) \supset (x = z) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EQCong:  $\lfloor (x = y) \supset ((\varphi x) = (\varphi y)) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EQFuncExt:  $\lfloor (\varphi = \psi) \supset (\forall x. ((\varphi x) = (\psi x))) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EQBoolExt1:  $\lfloor (\varphi = \psi) \supset (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EQBoolExt2:  $\lfloor (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \supset (\varphi = \psi) \rfloor$ 
nitpick[card=2]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EQBoolExt3:  $\lfloor (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \rfloor \longrightarrow \lfloor (\varphi = \psi) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EqPrimLeib:  $\lfloor (x = y) \leftrightarrow (x \equiv y) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma Comprehension1:  $\lfloor \exists \varphi. \forall x. (\varphi x) \leftrightarrow A \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma Comprehension2:  $\lfloor \exists \varphi. \forall x. (\varphi x) \leftrightarrow (A x) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma Comprehension3:  $\lfloor \exists \varphi. \forall x y. (\varphi x y) \leftrightarrow (A x y) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ModalCollapse:  $\lfloor \forall \varphi. \varphi \supset \square \varphi \rfloor$ 
nitpick[card=2,expect=genuine]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma TruePropertyAndSelfIdentity:  $\lfloor (\lambda x::e. \top) = (\lambda x. x = x) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EmptyPropertyAndSelfDifference:  $\lfloor (\lambda x::e. \perp) = (\lambda x. x \neq x) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EmptyProperty2:  $\lfloor \exists x. \varphi x \rfloor \longrightarrow \lfloor \varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EmptyProperty3:  $\lfloor \exists^E x. \varphi x \rfloor \longrightarrow \lfloor \varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EmptyProperty4:  $\lfloor \varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp) \rfloor \longrightarrow \lfloor \exists x. \varphi x \rfloor$ 
nitpick[expect=genuine]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma EmptyProperty5:  $\lfloor \varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp) \rfloor \longrightarrow \lfloor \exists^E x. \varphi x \rfloor$ 
nitpick[expect=genuine]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

3.3 ModalFilter.thy (Figure 5 of [2])

Set filter and ultrafilter formalized for our modal logic setting.

```

theory ModalFilter imports HOMLinHOL
begin

```

```

type-synonym  $\tau = e \Rightarrow \sigma$ 
abbreviation  $Element::\tau \Rightarrow (\tau \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infix ∈ 90) where  $\varphi \in S \equiv S \varphi$ 
abbreviation  $EmptySet::\tau$  ( $\emptyset$ ) where  $\emptyset \equiv \lambda x. \perp$ 
abbreviation  $UniversalSet::\tau$  ( $U$ ) where  $U \equiv \lambda x. \top$ 
abbreviation  $Subset::\tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infix ⊆ 80)
  where  $\varphi \subseteq \psi \equiv \forall x. ((\varphi x) \supset (\psi x))$ 
abbreviation  $SubsetE::\tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infix ⊆E 80)
  where  $\varphi \subseteq^E \psi \equiv \forall^E x. ((\varphi x) \supset (\psi x))$ 
abbreviation  $Intersection::\tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau$  (infix ∩ 91)
  where  $\varphi \cap \psi \equiv \lambda x. ((\varphi x) \wedge (\psi x))$ 
abbreviation  $Inverse::\tau \Rightarrow \tau$  (⁻¹)
  where  $\psi^{-1} \equiv \lambda x. \neg(\psi x)$ 
abbreviation  $Filter \Phi \equiv U \in \Phi \wedge \neg(\emptyset \in \Phi) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall \varphi \psi. \varphi \in \Phi \wedge \varphi \subseteq^E \psi \supset \psi \in \Phi) \wedge (\forall \varphi \psi. \varphi \in \Phi \wedge \psi \in \Phi \supset \varphi \cap \psi \in \Phi)$ 
abbreviation  $UFilter \Phi \equiv Filter \Phi \wedge (\forall \varphi. \varphi \in \Phi \vee (\psi^{-1} \varphi) \in \Phi)$ 
abbreviation  $FilterP \Phi \equiv U \in \Phi \wedge \neg(\emptyset \in \Phi) \wedge (\forall \varphi \psi. \varphi \in \Phi \wedge \varphi \subseteq \psi \supset \psi \in \Phi) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall \varphi \psi. \varphi \in \Phi \wedge \psi \in \Phi \supset \varphi \cap \psi \in \Phi)$ 
abbreviation  $UFilterP \Phi \equiv FilterP \Phi \wedge (\forall \varphi. \varphi \in \Phi \vee (\psi^{-1} \varphi) \in \Phi)$ 

```

end

4 Gödels ontological argument – 1970 manuscript

4.1 GoedelVariantHOML1.thy (Figure 6 of [2])

Gödels axioms and definitions, as presented in the 1970 manuscript, are inconsistent. Actualist quantifiers (avoiding existential import) are used for quantification over entities, otherwise possibilist quantifiers are used.

```

theory GoedelVariantHOML1 imports HOMLinHOL
begin

```

```

consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ ) (P)

```

```

axiomatization where Ax1: [ $P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)$ ]

```

```

axiomatization where Ax2a: [ $P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi$ ]

```

```

definition God (G) where G x ≡  $\forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 

```

```

abbreviation PropertyInclusion (- $\supset_N$ -) where  $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$ 

```

```

definition Essence (- $\text{Ess.}$ -) where  $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$ 

```

```

axiomatization where Ax2b: [ $P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi$ ]

```

```

lemma Ax2b': [ $\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)$ ] ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem Th1: [ $G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x$ ] ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition NecExist (E) where E x ≡ ∀φ. φ Ess. x ⊃ □(∃Ex. φ x)

axiomatization where Ax3: [P E]

theorem Th2: [G x ⊃ □(∃Ey. G y)] ⟨proof⟩

theorem Th3: [◊(∃Ex. G x) ⊃ □(∃Ey. G y)]
  — sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found
  ⟨proof⟩

axiomatization where Ax4: [P φ ∧ (φ ⊃N ψ) ⊃ P ψ]

lemma True nitpick[satisfy,expect=unknown] ⟨proof⟩

lemma EmptyEssL: [(\λy.⊥) Ess. x] ⟨proof⟩

theorem Inconsistency: False
  — sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax3 Ax4 EmptyEssL NecExist_def) — Proof found
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4.2 GoedelVariantHOML2.thy (Figure 7 of [2])

After an appropriate modification of the definition of essence in Gödels 1970 ontological proof, the inconsistency revealed in Figure 6 is avoided, and the argument can be successfully verified in modal logic S5 (indeed, as shown, only symmetry of the accessibility relation is actually needed). Actualist quantifiers (avoiding existential import) are used for quantification over entities, otherwise possibilist quantifiers are used.

```

theory GoedelVariantHOML2 imports HOMLinHOL ModalFilter
begin

consts PositiveProperty::(e⇒σ)⇒σ (P)

axiomatization where Ax1: [P φ ∧ P ψ ⊃ P (φ . ψ)]

axiomatization where Ax2a: [P φ ∨e P ∼φ]

definition God (G) where G x ≡ ∀φ. P φ ⊃ φ x

abbreviation PropertyInclusion (-⊃N-) where φ ⊃N ψ ≡ □(∀Ey. φ y ⊃ ψ y)

definition Essence (-Ess.-) where φ Ess. x ≡ φ x ∧ (∀ψ. ψ x ⊃ (φ ⊃N ψ))

axiomatization where Ax2b: [P φ ⊃ □ P φ]

```

lemma $Ax2b': [\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)] \langle proof \rangle$

theorem $Th1: [G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x] \langle proof \rangle$

definition $\text{NecExist } (E) \text{ where } E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where $Ax3: [P E]$

theorem $Th2: [G x \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)] \langle proof \rangle$

theorem $Th3: [\diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$
— sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

axiomatization where $Ax4: [P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

lemma $True \text{ nitpick}[satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)]] \langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{PosProps } \Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$
abbreviation $\text{ConjOfPropsFrom } \varphi \Phi \equiv \square(\forall^E z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$
axiomatization where $Ax1Gen: [(\text{PosProps } \Phi \wedge \text{ConjOfPropsFrom } \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

lemma $L: [P G] \langle proof \rangle$

theorem $Th4: [\diamond(\exists^E x. G x)] \langle proof \rangle$

theorem $Th5: [\square(\exists^E x. G x)] \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $MC: [\varphi \supset \square\varphi]$
— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax2b Th5 God_def Rsymm) — Proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{PosProps}: [P (\lambda x. \top) \wedge P (\lambda x. x = x)] \langle proof \rangle$
lemma $\text{NegProps}: [\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)] \langle proof \rangle$
lemma $\text{UniqueEss1}: [\varphi \text{Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)] \langle proof \rangle$
lemma $\text{UniqueEss2}: [\varphi \text{Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\varphi \equiv \psi)] \text{ nitpick}[card i=1] \langle proof \rangle$
lemma $\text{UniqueEss3}: [\varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)] \langle proof \rangle$
lemma $\text{Monotheism}: [G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y] \langle proof \rangle$
lemma $\text{Filter}: [Filter P] \langle proof \rangle$
lemma $\text{UltraFilter}: [UFilter P] \langle proof \rangle$
lemma $True \text{ nitpick}[satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)]] \langle proof \rangle$

end

4.3 GoedelVariantHOML3.thy (Figure 8 of [2])

After an appropriate modification of the notion of necessary property inclusion in Gödels 1970 ontological proof, the inconsistency revealed in Figure

6 is avoided, and the argument can be successfully verified in modal logic S5 (indeed, as shown, only symmetry of the accessibility relation is actually needed). Actualist quantifiers (avoiding existential import) are used for quantification over entities, otherwise possibilist quantifiers are used.

theory GoedelVariantHOML3 imports HOMLinHOL ModalFilter
begin

consts PositiveProperty:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (P)

axiomatization where Ax1: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P(\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

axiomatization where Ax2a: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition God (G) where $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation PropertyInclusion (- \supset_N -) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square(\varphi \neq (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge (\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

definition Essence (- Ess. -) **where** $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where Ax2b: $[P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$

lemma Ax2b': $[\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)] \langle proof \rangle$

theorem Th1: $[G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x] \langle proof \rangle$

definition NecExist (E) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where Ax3: $[P E]$

theorem Th2: $[G x \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)] \langle proof \rangle$

theorem Th3: $[\diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$

— sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found

$\langle proof \rangle$

axiomatization where Ax4: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval= $[P(\lambda x.\perp)]$] $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation PosProps $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation ConjOfPropsFrom $\varphi \Phi \equiv \square(\forall^E z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where Ax1Gen: $[(\text{PosProps } \Phi \wedge \text{ConjOfPropsFrom } \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

lemma L: $[P G] \langle proof \rangle$

theorem Th4: $[\diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$

— sledgehammer[timeout=200](Ax2a L Ax1Gen) $\langle proof \rangle$

```

axiomatization where Th4:  $\lfloor \Diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \rfloor$ 
theorem Th5:  $\lfloor \Box(\exists^E x. G x) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma MC:  $\lfloor \varphi \supset \Box\varphi \rfloor$ 
— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax2b Th5 God_def Rsymm) — Proof found
⟨proof⟩

lemma PosProps:  $\lfloor P(\lambda x. \top) \wedge P(\lambda x. x = x) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma NegProps:  $\lfloor \neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma UniqueEss1:  $\lfloor \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma UniqueEss2:  $\lfloor \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\varphi \equiv \psi) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma UniqueEss3:  $\lfloor \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma Monotheism:  $\lfloor G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma Filter:  $\lfloor \text{Filter } P \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma UltraFilter:  $\lfloor \text{UFilter } P \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval= $\lfloor P(\lambda x. \top) \rfloor$ ] ⟨proof⟩

```

end

4.4 ThereIsNoEvil1.thy (Figure 10 of [2])

Importing Gödels modified axioms from Figure 7 we can prove that necessarily there exists no entity that possesses all non-positive (=negative) properties.

```

theory ThereIsNoEvil1 imports GoedelVariantHOML2
begin

```

```

definition Evil (Evil) where Evil x ≡ ∀ φ. ¬ P φ ⊃ φ x

```

```

theorem NecNoEvil:  $\lfloor \Box(\neg(\exists^E x. \text{ Evil } x)) \rfloor$ 
— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax4 Evil_def) — Proof found
⟨proof⟩

```

end

4.5 ThereIsNoEvil2.thy (Figure 11 of [2])

Importing Gödels modified axioms from Figure 8 we can prove that necessarily there exists no entity that possesses all non-positive (=negative) properties.

```

theory ThereIsNoEvil2 imports GoedelVariantHOML3
begin

```

```

definition Evil (Evil) where Evil x ≡ ∀ φ. ¬ P φ ⊃ φ x
theorem NecNoEvil:  $\lfloor \Box(\neg(\exists^E x. \text{ Evil } x)) \rfloor$ 
— sledgehammer(Ax1Gen Ax2a Evil_def) ⟨proof⟩

```

end

5 Scotts variant

5.1 ScottVariantHOML.thy (Figure 12 of [2])

Verification of Scotts variant of Gödels ontological argument. Actualist quantifiers (avoiding existential import) are used for quantification over entities, otherwise possibilist quantifiers are used.

```
theory ScottVariantHOML imports HOMLinHOL ModalFilter
begin
```

```
consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ ) (P)
```

```
axiomatization where A1: [ $\neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim \varphi$ ]
```

```
axiomatization where A2: [ $P \varphi \wedge \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi$ ]
```

```
theorem T1: [ $P \varphi \supset \diamond(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition God (G) where G x ≡  $\forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 
```

```
axiomatization where A3: [ $P G$ ]
```

```
theorem Coro: [ $\diamond(\exists^E x. G x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
```

```
axiomatization where A4: [ $P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi$ ]
```

```
definition Ess (-Ess.-) where φ Ess. x ≡  $\varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$ 
```

```
theorem T2: [ $G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x$ ] ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition NecExist (NE) where NE x ≡  $\forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$ 
```

```
axiomatization where A5: [ $P \text{NE}$ ]
```

```
lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval=[ $P (\lambda x. \top)$ ]] ⟨proof⟩
```

```
theorem T3: [ $\square(\exists^E x. G x)$ ]
```

— sledgehammer(A5 Coro God_def NecExist_def Rsymm T2) — Proof found
⟨proof⟩

```
lemma MC: [ $\varphi \supset \square \varphi$ ]
```

— sledgehammer(A1 A4 God_def Rsymm T3) — Proof found
⟨proof⟩

```
lemma PosProps: [ $P (\lambda x. \top) \wedge P (\lambda x. x = x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma NegProps: [ $\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss1: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss2: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\varphi \equiv \psi)$ ] nitpick[card i=1] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss3: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Monotheism: [ $G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Filter: [ $\text{Filter } P$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UltraFilter: [ $\text{UFilter } P$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval=| $P(\lambda x. \perp)$ |] ⟨proof⟩

```

end

5.2 HOMLinHOLonlyK.thy (slight variation of Figure 3 of [2])

Shallow embedding of higher-order modal logic (HOML) in the classical higher-order logic (HOL) of Isabelle/HOL utilizing the LogiKEy methodology. Here logic K is introduced.

```
theory HOMLinHOLonlyK imports Main
begin
```

— Global parameters setting for the model finder nitpick and the parser; unimport for the reader

```
nitpick-params[user-axioms,expect=genuine,show-all,format=2,max-genuine=3]
declare[[syntax-ambiguity-warning=false]]
```

— Type i is associated with possible worlds and type e with entities

```
typedecl i — Possible worlds
```

```
typedecl e — Individuals/entities
```

```
type-synonym  $\sigma = i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  — World-lifted propositions
```

```
type-synonym  $\tau = e \Rightarrow \sigma$  — modal properties
```

```
consts R::: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (-r-) — Accessibility relation between worlds
```

— Logical connectives (operating on truth-sets)

```
abbreviation Mbot:: $\sigma$  ( $\perp$ ) where  $\perp \equiv \lambda w. \text{False}$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mtop:: $\sigma$  ( $\top$ ) where  $\top \equiv \lambda w. \text{True}$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mneg:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\neg$  [52]53) where  $\neg\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \neg(\varphi w)$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mand:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixl  $\wedge$  50) where  $\varphi \wedge \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \wedge \psi w$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mor:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixl  $\vee$  49) where  $\varphi \vee \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \vee \psi w$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mimpt:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixr  $\supset$  48) where  $\varphi \supset \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \rightarrow \psi w$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mequiv:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixl  $\leftrightarrow$  47) where  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longleftrightarrow \psi w$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mbox:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\Box$  [54]55) where  $\Box \varphi \equiv \lambda w. \forall v. w \mathbf{r} v \rightarrow \varphi v$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mdia:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\Diamond$  [54]55) where  $\Diamond \varphi \equiv \lambda w. \exists v. w \mathbf{r} v \wedge \varphi v$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mprimeq:: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $=_-$ ) where  $x = y \equiv \lambda w. x = y$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mprimneg:: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\neq_-$ ) where  $x \neq y \equiv \lambda w. x \neq y$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mnegpred:: $\tau \Rightarrow \tau$  ( $\sim_-$ ) where  $\sim \Phi \equiv \lambda x. \lambda w. \neg \Phi x w$ 
```

```
abbreviation Mconpred:: $\tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau$  (infixl . 50) where  $\Phi . \Psi \equiv \lambda x. \lambda w. \Phi x w \wedge \Psi$ 
```

$x w$
abbreviation $Mexclor::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infixl** $\vee^e 49$) **where** $\varphi \vee^e \psi \equiv (\varphi \vee \psi) \wedge \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$
 — Possibilist quantifiers (polymorphic)
abbreviation $Mallposs::('a \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (\forall)$ **where** $\forall \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \forall x. \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mallpossb$ (**binder** $\forall [8]9$) **where** $\forall x. \varphi(x) \equiv \forall \varphi$
abbreviation $Mexposs::('a \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (\exists)$ **where** $\exists \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \exists x. \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mexpossb$ (**binder** $\exists [8]9$) **where** $\exists x. \varphi(x) \equiv \exists \varphi$
 — Actualist quantifiers (for individuals/entities)
consts $existsAt::e \Rightarrow \sigma (-@-)$
abbreviation $Mallact::(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (\forall^E)$ **where** $\forall^E \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \forall x. x @ w \longrightarrow \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mallactb$ (**binder** $\forall^E [8]9$) **where** $\forall^E x. \varphi(x) \equiv \forall^E \varphi$
abbreviation $Mexact::(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (\exists^E)$ **where** $\exists^E \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \exists x. x @ w \wedge \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mexactb$ (**binder** $\exists^E [8]9$) **where** $\exists^E x. \varphi(x) \equiv \exists^E \varphi$
 — Leibniz equality (polymorphic)
abbreviation $Mleibeq::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \sigma (-\equiv-)$ **where** $x \equiv y \equiv \forall P. P x \supset P y$
 — Meta-logical predicate for global validity
abbreviation $Mvalid::\sigma \Rightarrow bool ([\cdot])$ **where** $[\psi] \equiv \forall w. \psi w$

end

5.3 ScottVariantHOMLinK.thy (Figure 13 of [2])

Scotts variant of Gödels argument fails for base logic K (but only it the last step).

```
theory ScottVariantHOMLinK imports HOMLinHOLonlyK
begin
```

```

consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (P)$   

axiomatization where A1:  $[\neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim \varphi]$   

axiomatization where A2:  $[P \varphi \wedge \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi]$   

theorem T1:  $[P \varphi \supset \Diamond(\exists^E x. \varphi x)] \langle proof \rangle$   

definition God (G) where G x  $\equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$   

axiomatization where A3:  $[P G]$   

theorem Coro:  $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$  nitpick [satisfy, eval=G]  $\langle proof \rangle$   

axiomatization where A4:  $[P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$   

definition Ess (-Ess.-) where  $\varphi$  Ess. x  $\equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$ 
```

$y))$

theorem $T2: [G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{NecExist } (NE) \text{ where } NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where $A5: [P NE]$

lemma $\text{True nitpick}[\text{satisfy}, \text{card}=1, \text{eval}=[P (\lambda x. \top)]] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem $T3: [\square(\exists^E x. G x)] \text{ nitpick}[\text{card } e=1, \text{ card } i=2, \text{ eval}=G] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $MC: [\varphi \supset \square\varphi] \text{ nitpick}[\text{card } e=1, \text{ card } i=2, \text{ eval}=G] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5.4 ScottVariantHOMLAndersonQuant.thy (Figure 15 of [2])

Verification of Scotts variant of Gödels argument with a mixed use of actutilist and possibilist quantifiers for entities; cf. Footnote 20 in [2].

theory $\text{ScottVariantHOMLAndersonQuant}$ **imports** $\text{HOMLinHOL ModalFilter}$
begin

consts $\text{PositiveProperty}::(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (P)$

axiomatization where $A1: [\neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim\varphi]$

axiomatization where $A2: [P \varphi \wedge \square(\forall y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi]$

theorem $T1: [P \varphi \supset \diamond(\exists x. \varphi x)] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{God } (G) \text{ where } G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

axiomatization where $A3: [P G]$

theorem $\text{Coro: } [\diamond(\exists x. G x)] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

axiomatization where $A4: [P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$

definition $\text{Ess } (-\text{Ess}-) \text{ where } \varphi \text{Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \square(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

theorem $T2: [G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{NecExist } (NE) \text{ where } NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where $A5: [P NE]$

lemma $\text{True nitpick}[\text{satisfy}, \text{card}=1, \text{eval}=[P (\lambda x. \top)]] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

theorem T3:  $\lfloor \square(\exists^E x. G x) \rfloor$ 
  — sledgehammer(A5 Coro God_def NecExist_def Rsymm T2) — Proof found
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma MC:  $\lfloor \varphi \supset \square\varphi \rfloor$ 
  — sledgehammer(A1 A4 God_def Rsymm T3) — Proof found
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma PosProps:  $\lfloor P(\lambda x. \top) \wedge P(\lambda x. x = x) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma NegProps:  $\lfloor \neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss1:  $\lfloor \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss2:  $\lfloor \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\varphi = \psi) \rfloor$  nitpick[card i=2] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss3:  $\lfloor \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma Monotheism:  $\lfloor G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma Filter:  $\lfloor \text{Filter } P \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma UltraFilter:  $\lfloor \text{UltraFilter } P \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval=⟨P (λx.⊥)⟩] ⟨proof⟩

end

```

6 Appendix

6.1 GoedelVariantHOML1poss.thy (Figure 16 of [2])

Gödels axioms and definitions, as presented in the 1970 manuscript, are inconsistent. In contrast to Figure 6 we here use only possibilist quantifiers and still derive falsity.

```

theory GoedelVariantHOML1poss imports HOMLinHOL
begin

consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ ) (P)

axiomatization where Ax1:  $\lfloor P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P(\varphi \cdot \psi) \rfloor$ 
axiomatization where Ax2a:  $\lfloor P \varphi \vee^e P \sim\varphi \rfloor$ 
definition God (G) where G x ≡ ∀φ. P φ ⊃ φ x
abbreviation PropertyInclusion (- $\supset_N$ -) where φ  $\supset_N$  ψ ≡  $\square(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$ 
definition Essence (- $\text{-}Ess\text{-}$ ) where φ Ess. x ≡ ∀ψ. ψ x ⊃ (φ  $\supset_N$  ψ)
axiomatization where Ax2b:  $\lfloor P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi \rfloor$ 
lemma Ax2b':  $\lfloor \neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
theorem Th1:  $\lfloor G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition NecExist (E) where E x ≡ ∀φ. φ Ess. x ⊃ □(∃x. φ x)

axiomatization where Ax3: [P E]

theorem Th2: [G x ⊃ □(∃y. G y)] ⟨proof⟩

theorem Th3: [◊(∃x. G x) ⊃ □(∃y. G y)]
  — sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found
  ⟨proof⟩

axiomatization where Ax4: [P φ ∧ (φ ⊃N ψ) ⊃ P ψ]

lemma True nitpick[satisfy,expect=unknown] ⟨proof⟩

lemma EmptyEssL: [(λy.⊥) Ess. x] ⟨proof⟩

theorem Inconsistency: False
  — sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax3 Ax4 EmptyEssL NecExist_def) — Proof found
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

6.2 GoedelVariantHOML2poss.thy (Figure 17 of [2])

After an appropriate modification of the definition of essence, the inconsistency revealed in Figure 16 is avoided, and the argument can be successfully verified in modal logic S5 (indeed, as shown, only the modal schema B is actually needed). In contrast to Figure 7 we here use only possibilist quantifiers to obtain these results.

```

theory GoedelVariantHOML2poss imports HOMLinHOL ModalFilter
begin

consts PositiveProperty::(e⇒σ)⇒σ (P)

axiomatization where Ax1: [P φ ∧ P ψ ⊃ P (φ ∙ ψ)]

axiomatization where Ax2a: [P φ ∨e P ∼φ]

definition God (G) where G x ≡ ∀φ. P φ ⊃ φ x

abbreviation PropertyInclusion (-⊃N-) where φ ⊃N ψ ≡ □(∀y::e. φ y ⊃ ψ y)

definition Essence (-Ess.-) where φ Ess. x ≡ φ x ∧ (∀ψ. ψ x ⊃ (φ ⊃N ψ))

axiomatization where Ax2b: [P φ ⊃ □ P φ]

lemma Ax2b': [¬P φ ⊃ □(¬P φ)] ⟨proof⟩

```

theorem *Th1*: $\lfloor G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $\lfloor P E \rfloor$

theorem *Th2*: $\lfloor G x \supset \square(\exists y. G y) \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *Th3*: $\lfloor \diamond(\exists x. G x) \supset \square(\exists y. G y) \rfloor$
— sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $\lfloor P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi \rfloor$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (λx. ⊥)]*] $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$
abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \square(\forall z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$
axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $\lfloor (\text{PosProps } \Phi \wedge \text{ConjOfPropsFrom } \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi \rfloor$

lemma *L*: $\lfloor P G \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *Th4*: $\lfloor \diamond(\exists x. G x) \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *Th5*: $\lfloor \square(\exists x. G x) \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *MC*: $\lfloor \varphi \supset \square \varphi \rfloor$
— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax2b Th5 God_def Rsymm) — Proof found
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *PosProps*: $\lfloor P(\lambda x. \top) \wedge P(\lambda x. x = x) \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *NegProps*: $\lfloor \neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x) \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *UniqueEss1*: $\lfloor \varphi \text{Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y) \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *UniqueEss2*: $\lfloor \varphi \text{Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\varphi \equiv \psi) \rfloor \text{nitpick}[card i=2] \langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *UniqueEss3*: $\lfloor \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x) \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *Monotheism*: $\lfloor G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *Filter*: $\lfloor \text{FilterP } P \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *UltraFilter*: $\lfloor \text{UltraFilterP } P \rfloor \langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (λx. ⊥)]*] $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

6.3 GoedelVariantHOML3poss.thy (Figure 18 of [2])

After an appropriate modification of the definition of necessary property implication, the inconsistency shown in Figure 16 is avoided, and the argument can be successfully verified. As shown here, this still holds when using

possibilist quantifiers only.

```

theory GoedelVariantHOML3poss imports HOMLinHOL ModalFilter
begin

consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ )  $(P)$ 

axiomatization where Ax1:  $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P(\varphi \cdot \psi)]$ 

axiomatization where Ax2a:  $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$ 

definition God (G) where G x  $\equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 

abbreviation PropertyInclusion (- $\supset_N$ -) where  $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square(\varphi \neq (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge (\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$ 

definition Essence (-Ess.-) where  $\varphi$  Ess. x  $\equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$ 

axiomatization where Ax2b:  $[P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$ 

lemma Ax2b':  $[\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)]$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem Th1:  $[G x \supset G$  Ess. x]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition NecExist (E) where E x  $\equiv \forall \varphi. (\varphi$  Ess. x)  $\supset \square(\exists x. \varphi x)$ 

axiomatization where Ax3:  $[P E]$ 

theorem Th2:  $[G x \supset \square(\exists y. G y)]$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem Th3:  $[\diamond(\exists x. G x) \supset \square(\exists y. G y)]$ 
— sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

axiomatization where Ax4:  $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$ 

lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval= $[P(\lambda x.\perp)]$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation PosProps  $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$ 
abbreviation ConjOfPropsFrom  $\varphi$   $\Phi \equiv \square(\forall z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$ 
axiomatization where Ax1Gen:  $[(\text{PosProps } \Phi \wedge \text{ConjOfPropsFrom } \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$ 

lemma L:  $[P G]$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem Th4:  $[\diamond(\exists x. G x)]$ 
— sledgehammer[timeout=200](Ax2a L Ax1Gen)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

axiomatization where Th4:  $[\diamond(\exists x. G x)]$ 
```

```

theorem Th5: [ $\square(\exists x. G x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩

lemma MC: [ $\varphi \supset \square\varphi$ ]
— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax2b Th5 God_def Rsymm) — Proof found
⟨proof⟩

lemma PosProps: [ $P(\lambda x.\top) \wedge P(\lambda x. x = x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma NegProps: [ $\neg P(\lambda x.\perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss1: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss2: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\varphi \equiv \psi)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss3: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Monotheism: [ $G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Filter: [ $\text{FilterP } P$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UltraFilter: [ $\text{UFilterP } P$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval= $[P(\lambda x.\top)]$ ] ⟨proof⟩

end

```

6.4 ScottVariantHOMLposs.thy (Figure 19 of [2])

Scotts variant of Gödels ontological proof is still valid when using possibilist quantifiers only.

```

theory ScottVariantHOMLposs imports HOMLinHOL ModalFilter
begin

```

```

consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ ) ( $P$ )
axiomatization where A1: [ $\neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim \varphi$ ]
axiomatization where A2: [ $P \varphi \wedge \square(\forall y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi$ ]
theorem T1: [ $P \varphi \supset \diamond(\exists x. \varphi x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
definition God (G) where  $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 
axiomatization where A3: [ $P G$ ]
theorem Coro: [ $\diamond(\exists x. G x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
axiomatization where A4: [ $P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi$ ]
definition Ess (-Ess.-) where  $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \square(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$ 
theorem T2: [ $G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x$ ] ⟨proof⟩
definition NecExist (NE) where  $NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists x. \varphi x)$ 
axiomatization where A5: [ $P NE$ ]

```

```

lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval= $\lfloor P (\lambda x. \perp) \rfloor$ ] <proof>

theorem T3:  $\lfloor \square(\exists x. G x) \rfloor$ 
— sledgehammer(A5 Coro God_def NecExist_def Rsymm T2) — Proof found
<proof>

lemma MC:  $\lfloor \varphi \supset \square\varphi \rfloor$ 
— sledgehammer(A1 A4 God_def Rsymm T3) — Proof found
<proof>

lemma PosProps:  $\lfloor P (\lambda x. \top) \wedge P (\lambda x. x = x) \rfloor$  <proof>
lemma NegProps:  $\lfloor \neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x) \rfloor$  <proof>
lemma UniqueEss1:  $\lfloor \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y) \rfloor$  <proof>
lemma UniqueEss2:  $\lfloor \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\varphi \equiv \psi) \rfloor$  nitpick[card=2] <proof>
lemma UniqueEss3:  $\lfloor \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x) \rfloor$  <proof>
lemma Monotheism:  $\lfloor G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y \rfloor$  <proof>
lemma Filter:  $\lfloor \text{FilterP } P \rfloor$  <proof>
lemma UltraFilter:  $\lfloor \text{UFilterP } P \rfloor$  <proof>
lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval= $\lfloor P (\lambda x. \perp) \rfloor$ ] <proof>

```

end

6.5 EvilDerivable.thy (Figure 20 of [2])

The necessary existence of an Evil-like entity proved from (controversially) modified assumptions. By rejecting Gödels assumptions and instead postulating corresponding negative versions of them, as shown in the Figure 20, the necessary existence of Evil becomes derivable. The non-positive properties of this Evil-like entity are however identical to the positive properties of Gödels God-like entity.

```

theory EvilDerivable imports HOMLinHOL ModalFilter
begin

```

```

consts PositiveProperty:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (P)$ 

```

```

definition Evil (Evil) where Evil  $x \equiv \forall \varphi. \neg P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 

```

```

definition Essence (-Ess.-) where  $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$ 

```

```

definition NecExist (E) where  $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$ 

```

```

axiomatization where A1:  $\lfloor \neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim \varphi \rfloor$ 

```

```

axiomatization where A2:  $\lfloor \neg P \varphi \wedge \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset \neg P \psi \rfloor$ 

```

```

axiomatization where A4:  $\lfloor \neg P \text{ Evil} \rfloor$ 

```

```

axiomatization where A3:  $\lfloor \neg P \varphi \supset \square (\neg P \varphi) \rfloor$ 

axiomatization where A5:  $\lfloor \neg P E \rfloor$ 

lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card i=1,eval= $\lfloor P (\lambda x. \perp) \rfloor$ ,eval= $\lfloor P (\lambda x. \top) \rfloor$ ] ⟨proof⟩

theorem T1:  $\lfloor \neg P \varphi \supset \diamond (\exists^E x. \varphi x) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩

theorem T2:  $\lfloor \diamond (\exists^E x. Evil x) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩

theorem T3:  $\lfloor Evil x \supset Evil Ess. x \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩

theorem T4:  $\lfloor \diamond (\exists^E x. Evil x) \supset \square (\exists^E y. Evil y) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩

theorem T5:  $\lfloor \square (\exists^E x. Evil x) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma MC:  $\lfloor \varphi \supset \square \varphi \rfloor$ 
— sledgehammer(A1 A3 T5 Evil_def Rsymm) ⟨proof⟩

lemma PosProps:  $\lfloor P (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge P (\lambda x. x \neq x) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma NegProps:  $\lfloor \neg P (\lambda x. \top) \wedge \neg P (\lambda x. x = x) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss1:  $\lfloor \varphi Ess. x \wedge \psi Ess. x \supset \square (\forall^E y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss2:  $\lfloor \varphi Ess. x \wedge \psi Ess. x \supset \square (\varphi = \psi) \rfloor$  nitpick[card i=2] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Monoevilism:  $\lfloor Evil x \wedge Evil y \supset x \equiv y \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma Filter:  $\lfloor Filter (\lambda \varphi. \neg P \varphi) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma UltraFilter:  $\lfloor UFilter (\lambda \varphi. \neg P \varphi) \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

7 Further Appendices

7.1 GoedelVariantHOML1AndersonQuan.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML1, but now for a mixed use of actualist and possibilist quantifiers for entities; cf. Footnote 20 in [2].

```

theory GoedelVariantHOML1AndersonQuant imports HOMLinHOL
begin

```

```

consts PositiveProperty:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (P)$ 

axiomatization where Ax1:  $\lfloor P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi) \rfloor$ 

axiomatization where Ax2a:  $\lfloor P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi \rfloor$ 

definition God (G) where G x  $\equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 

abbreviation PropertyInclusion (- $\supset_N$ -) where  $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square (\forall y :: e. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$ 

```

definition *Essence* (-*Ess.*-) **where** φ *Ess.* $x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)]$ *(proof)*

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x]$ *(proof)*

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$ *(proof)*

theorem *Th3*: $[\diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$

— sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found
(proof)

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, expect=unknown*] *(proof)*

lemma *EmptyEssL*: $[(\lambda y. \perp) \text{Ess. } x]$ *(proof)*

theorem *Inconsistency: False*

— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax3 Ax4 EmptyEssL NecExist_def) — Proof found
(proof)

end

7.2 GoedelVariantHOML2AndersonQuan.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML2, but now for a mixed use of actualist and possibilist quantifiers for entities; cf. Footnote 20 in [2].

theory *GoedelVariantHOML2AndersonQuant* **imports** *HOMLinHOL ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*::($e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$) (P)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* (- \supset_N -) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$

definition *Essence* (-*Ess.*-) **where** φ *Ess.* $x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi))$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** *E* $x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th3*: $[\diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$

— sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (λx.⊥)]*] $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \square(\forall z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $[(\text{PosProps } \Phi \wedge \text{ConjOfPropsFrom } \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

lemma *L*: $[P G]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th4*: $[\diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th5*: $[\square(\exists^E x. G x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \square \varphi]$

— sledgehammer(*Ax2a Ax2b Th5 God_def Rsymm*) — proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PosProps*: $[P(\lambda x. \top) \wedge P(\lambda x. x = x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NegProps*: $[\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss1*: $[\varphi \text{Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss2*: $[\varphi \text{Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\varphi \equiv \psi)]$ **nitpick**[*card i=2*] $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss3*: $[\varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Monotheism*: $[G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Filter*: $[F \text{ilter } P]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UltraFilter*: $[U \text{filter } P]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (λx.⊥)]*] $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.3 GoedelVariantHOML3AndersonQuan.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML3, but now for a mixed use of actualist and possibilist quantifiers for entities; cf. Footnote 20 in [2].

```
theory GoedelVariantHOML3AndersonQuant imports HOMLinHOL ModalFilter
begin
```

```
consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ ) $(P)$ 
```

```
axiomatization where Ax1:  $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P(\varphi \cdot \psi)]$ 
```

```
axiomatization where Ax2a:  $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$ 
```

```
definition God (G) where G x  $\equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 
```

```
abbreviation PropertyInclusion (- $\supset_N$ -) where  $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square(\varphi \neq (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge (\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$ 
```

```
definition Essence (- $\text{Ess.}$ -) where  $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$ 
```

```
axiomatization where Ax2b:  $[P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$ 
```

```
lemma Ax2b':  $[\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)]$  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
theorem Th1:  $[G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x]$  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition NecExist (E) where E x  $\equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$ 
```

```
axiomatization where Ax3:  $[P E]$ 
```

```
theorem Th2:  $[G x \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
theorem Th3:  $[\diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$ 
```

— sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found
⟨proof⟩

```
axiomatization where Ax4:  $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$ 
```

```
lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval= $[P(\lambda x.\perp)]$ ] ⟨proof⟩
```

```
abbreviation PosProps  $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$ 
```

```
abbreviation ConjOfPropsFrom  $\varphi \Phi \equiv \square(\forall^E z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$ 
```

```
axiomatization where Ax1Gen:  $[(\text{PosProps } \Phi \wedge \text{ConjOfPropsFrom } \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$ 
```

```
lemma L:  $[P G]$  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
theorem Th4:  $[\diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$ 
```

— sledgehammer[timeout=200](Ax2a L Ax1Gen) ⟨proof⟩

```

axiomatization where Th4: [ $\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x)$ ]

theorem Th5: [ $\Box(\exists^E x. G x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩

lemma MC: [ $\varphi \supset \Box\varphi$ ]
— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax2b Th5 God_def Rsymm) — Proof found
⟨proof⟩

lemma PosProps: [ $P(\lambda x. \top) \wedge P(\lambda x. x = x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma NegProps: [ $\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss1: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss2: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\varphi \equiv \psi)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UniqueEss3: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Monotheism: [ $G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Filter: [ $\text{Filter } P$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma UltraFilter: [ $\text{UltraFilter } P$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval= $P(\lambda x. \top)$ ] ⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.4 HOMLinHOLonlyS4.thy (slight variation of Figure 3 of [2])

Shallow embedding of higher-order modal logic (HOML) in the classical higher-order logic (HOL) of Isabelle/HOL utilizing the LogiKEy methodology. Here logic S4 is introduced.

```

theory HOMLinHOLonlyS4 imports Main
begin

```

— Global parameters setting for the model finder nitpick and the parser; unimport for the reader
nitpick-params[user-axioms,expect=genuine,show-all,format=2,max-genuine=3]
declare[[syntax-ambiguity-warning=false]]

— Type i is associated with possible worlds and type e with entities:

```

typedecl i — Possible worlds
typedecl e — Individuals/entities
type-synonym  $\sigma = i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  — World-lifted propositions
type-synonym  $\tau = e \Rightarrow \sigma$  — modal properties

```

```

consts R::i $\Rightarrow$ i $\Rightarrow$ bool (-r-) — Accessibility relation between worlds
axiomatization where

```

```

Rrefl:  $\forall x. xrx$  and
Rtrans:  $\forall x y z. xry \wedge yrz \longrightarrow xrz$ 

```

— Logical connectives (operating on truth-sets)
abbreviation Mbot:: σ (\perp) **where** $\perp \equiv \lambda w. \text{False}$

```

abbreviation Mtop:: $\sigma$  ( $\top$ ) where  $\top \equiv \lambda w. \text{True}$ 
abbreviation Mneg:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\neg$ - [52]53) where  $\neg\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \neg(\varphi w)$ 
abbreviation Mand:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixl  $\wedge$  50) where  $\varphi \wedge \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \wedge \psi w$ 
abbreviation Mor:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixl  $\vee$  49) where  $\varphi \vee \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \vee \psi w$ 
abbreviation Mimp:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixr  $\supset$  48) where  $\varphi \supset \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longrightarrow \psi w$ 
abbreviation Mequiv:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixl  $\leftrightarrow$  47) where  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longleftrightarrow \psi w$ 
abbreviation Mbox:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\Box$ - [54]55) where  $\Box\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \forall v. w \mathbf{r} v \longrightarrow \varphi v$ 
abbreviation Mdia:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\Diamond$ - [54]55) where  $\Diamond\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \exists v. w \mathbf{r} v \wedge \varphi v$ 
abbreviation Mprimeq::' $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $=_-$ ) where  $x=y \equiv \lambda w. x=y$ 
abbreviation Mprimneg::' $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\neq_-$ ) where  $x \neq y \equiv \lambda w. x \neq y$ 
abbreviation Mnegpred:: $\tau \Rightarrow \tau$  ( $\sim_-$ ) where  $\sim\Phi \equiv \lambda x. \lambda w. \neg\Phi x w$ 
abbreviation Mconpred:: $\tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau$  (infixl . 50) where  $\Phi.\Psi \equiv \lambda x. \lambda w. \Phi x w \wedge \Psi x w$ 
abbreviation Mexclor:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (infixl  $\vee^e$  49) where  $\varphi \vee^e \psi \equiv (\varphi \vee \psi) \wedge \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$ 

```

— Possibilist quantifiers (polymorphic)

```

abbreviation Mallposs::(' $a \Rightarrow \sigma$ ') $\Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\forall$ ) where  $\forall \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \forall x. \Phi x w$ 
abbreviation Mallpossb (binder  $\forall$  [8]9) where  $\forall x. \varphi(x) \equiv \forall \varphi$ 
abbreviation Mexiposs::(' $a \Rightarrow \sigma$ ') $\Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\exists$ ) where  $\exists \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \exists x. \Phi x w$ 
abbreviation Mexipossb (binder  $\exists$  [8]9) where  $\exists x. \varphi(x) \equiv \exists \varphi$ 

```

— Actualist quantifiers (for individuals/entities)

consts *existsAt*:: $e \Rightarrow \sigma$ ($\text{-}@-$)

```

abbreviation Mallact::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma$ ) $\Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\forall^E$ ) where  $\forall^E \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \forall x. x @ w \longrightarrow \Phi x w$ 
abbreviation Mallactb (binder  $\forall^E$  [8]9) where  $\forall^E x. \varphi(x) \equiv \forall^E \varphi$ 
abbreviation Mexiact::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma$ ) $\Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\exists^E$ ) where  $\exists^E \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \exists x. x @ w \wedge \Phi x w$ 
abbreviation Mexiactb (binder  $\exists^E$  [8]9) where  $\exists^E x. \varphi(x) \equiv \exists^E \varphi$ 

```

— Leibniz equality (polymorphic)

```

abbreviation Mleibeq::' $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\text{-}\equiv-$ ) where  $x \equiv y \equiv \forall P. P x \supset P y$ 

```

— Meta-logical predicate for global validity

```

abbreviation Mvalid:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  ( $\lfloor - \rfloor$ ) where  $\lfloor \psi \rfloor \equiv \forall w. \psi w$ 

```

end

7.5 TestsHOMLinS4.thy

Tests and verifications of properties for the embedding of HOML (S4) in HOL.

```

theory TestsHOMLinS4 imports HOMLinHOLonlyS4
begin

```

— Test for S5 modal logic

```

lemma axM:  $\lfloor \Box\varphi \supset \varphi \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma axD:  $\lfloor \Box\varphi \supset \Diamond\varphi \rfloor$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma axB:  $\lfloor \varphi \supset \Box\Diamond\varphi \rfloor$ 
nitpick[expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ax4: [ $\Box\varphi \supset \Box\Box\varphi$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ax5: [ $\Diamond\varphi \supset \Box\Diamond\varphi$ ]
nitpick[expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩
lemma BarcanAct: [ $(\forall^E x.\Box(\varphi x)) \supset \Box(\forall^E x.(\varphi x))$ ] 
nitpick[expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ConvBarcanAct: [ $\Box(\forall^E x.(\varphi x)) \supset (\forall^E x.\Box(\varphi x))$ ] 
nitpick[expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩
lemma BarcanPoss: [ $(\forall x.\Box(\varphi x)) \supset \Box(\forall x. \varphi x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ConvBarcanPoss: [ $\Box(\forall x.(\varphi x)) \supset (\forall x.\Box(\varphi x))$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Hilbert-A1: [ $A \supset (B \supset A)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Hilbert-A2: [ $(A \supset (B \supset C)) \supset ((A \supset B) \supset (A \supset C))$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Hilbert-MP: assumes [A] and [A  $\supset$  B] shows [B] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Quant-1: assumes [A] shows [ $\forall x::'a. A$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ExImPossibilist1: [ $\exists x::e. x = x$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ExImPossibilist2: [ $\exists x::e. x \equiv x$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ExImPossibilist3: [ $\exists x::e. x = t$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ExImPossibilist4: [ $\exists x::'a. x \equiv t::'a$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ExImPossibilist: [ $\exists x::'a. \top$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Quant-2: assumes [A] shows [ $\forall^E x::e. A$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ExImActualist1: [ $\exists^E x::e. x = x$ ]
nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ExImActualist2: [ $\exists^E x::e. x \equiv x$ ]
nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ExImActualist3: [ $\exists^E x::e. x = t$ ]
nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ExImActualist: [ $\exists^E x::e. \top$ ]
nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EqRefl: [ $x = x$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EqSym: [ $(x = y) \leftrightarrow (y = x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EqTrans: [ $((x = y) \wedge (y = z)) \supset (x = z)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EQCong: [ $(x = y) \supset ((\varphi x) = (\varphi y))$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EQFuncExt: [ $(\varphi = \psi) \supset (\forall x. ((\varphi x) = (\psi x)))$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EQBoolExt1: [ $(\varphi = \psi) \supset (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EQBoolExt2: [ $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \supset (\varphi = \psi)$ ]
nitpick[card=2] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EQBoolExt3: [ $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \longrightarrow (\varphi = \psi)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EqPrimLeib: [ $(x = y) \leftrightarrow (x \equiv y)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Comprehension1: [ $\exists \varphi. \forall x. (\varphi x) \leftrightarrow A$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Comprehension2: [ $\exists \varphi. \forall x. (\varphi x) \leftrightarrow (A x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma Comprehension3: [ $\exists \varphi. \forall x y. (\varphi x y) \leftrightarrow (A x y)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ModalCollapse: [ $\forall \varphi. \varphi \supset \Box\varphi$ ]
nitpick[card=2,expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩
lemma TruePropertyAndSelfIdentity: [ $(\lambda x::e. \top) = (\lambda x. x = x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EmptyPropertyAndSelfDifference: [ $(\lambda x::e. \perp) = (\lambda x. x \neq x)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EmptyProperty2: [ $\exists x. \varphi x \longrightarrow [\varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp)]$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EmptyProperty3: [ $\exists^E x. \varphi x \longrightarrow [\varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp)]$ ] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EmptyProperty4: [ $\varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp) \longrightarrow [\exists x. \varphi x]$ ]
nitpick[expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩
lemma EmptyProperty5: [ $\varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp) \longrightarrow [\exists^E x. \varphi x]$ ]

```

```
nitpick[expect=genuine] ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

7.6 GoedelVariantHOML1inS4.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML1, but now in logic S4.

```
theory GoedelVariantHOML1inS4 imports HOMLinHOLonlyS4
begin
```

```
consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ ) (P)
```

```
axiomatization where Ax1: [ $P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P(\varphi \cdot \psi)$ ]
```

```
axiomatization where Ax2a: [ $P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi$ ]
```

```
definition God (G) where G x ≡  $\forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 
```

```
abbreviation PropertyInclusion (- $\supset_N$ -) where  $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$ 
```

```
definition Essence (- $\text{Ess.}$ -) where  $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$ 
```

```
axiomatization where Ax2b: [ $P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi$ ]
```

```
lemma Ax2b': [ $\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
```

```
theorem Th1: [ $G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x$ ] ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition NecExist (E) where E x ≡  $\forall \varphi. \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$ 
```

```
axiomatization where Ax3: [ $P E$ ]
```

```
theorem Th2: [ $G x \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
```

```
axiomatization where Ax4: [ $P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi$ ]
```

```
theorem Th3: [ $\diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)$ ] ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

7.7 GoedelVariantHOML2inS4.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML2, but now in logic S4, where the proof of theorem Th3 fails.

```
theory GoedelVariantHOML2inS4 imports HOMLinHOLonlyS4
begin
```

```
consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ ) (P)
```

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \square(\forall^E z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $[(\text{PosProps } \Phi \wedge \text{ConjOfPropsFrom } \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** *G x* $\equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($\neg \supset_N$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$

definition *Essence* ($\neg \text{Ess}.$) **where** $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi))$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)]$ *(proof)*

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x]$ *(proof)*

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** *E x* $\equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$ *(proof)*

theorem *Th3*: $[\diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$ — nitpick sledgehammer *(proof)*

end

7.8 GoedelVariantHOML2possInS4.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML2poss, but now in logic S4, where the proof of theorem Th3 fails.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML2possInS4 imports HOMLinHOLonlyS4*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \square(\forall z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

```

axiomatization where Ax1Gen:  $\lfloor (PosProps \Phi \wedge ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi \rfloor$ 

axiomatization where Ax2a:  $\lfloor P \varphi \vee^e P \sim\varphi \rfloor$ 

definition God (G) where G x  $\equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 

abbreviation PropertyInclusion (- $\supset_N$ -) where  $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$ 

definition Essence (-Ess.-) where  $\varphi Ess. x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi))$ 

axiomatization where Ax2b:  $\lfloor P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi \rfloor$ 

lemma Ax2b':  $\lfloor \neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem Th1:  $\lfloor G x \supset G Ess. x \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 

definition NecExist (E) where E x  $\equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi Ess. x \supset \square(\exists x. \varphi x)$ 

axiomatization where Ax3:  $\lfloor P E \rfloor$ 

axiomatization where Ax4:  $\lfloor P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi \rfloor$ 

theorem Th2:  $\lfloor G x \supset \square(\exists y. G y) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem Th3:  $\lfloor \diamond(\exists x. G x) \supset \square(\exists y. G y) \rfloor$  — nitpick sledgehammer  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

7.9 GoedelVariantHOML3inS4.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML3, but now in logic S4, where the proof of theorem Th3 fails.

```

theory GoedelVariantHOML3inS4 imports HOMLinHOLonlyS4
begin

consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ ) (P)

axiomatization where Ax1:  $\lfloor P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi . \psi) \rfloor$ 

abbreviation PosProps  $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$ 
abbreviation ConjOfPropsFrom  $\varphi \Phi \equiv \square(\forall^E z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$ 
axiomatization where Ax1Gen:  $\lfloor (PosProps \Phi \wedge ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi \rfloor$ 

axiomatization where Ax2a:  $\lfloor P \varphi \vee^e P \sim\varphi \rfloor$ 

definition God (G) where G x  $\equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 

```

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* (- \supset_N -) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square(\varphi \neq (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge (\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

definition *Essence* (-*Ess.*-) **where** $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)]$ *(proof)*

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x]$ *(proof)*

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$ *(proof)*

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

theorem *Th3*: $[\diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \square(\exists^E y. G y)]$ — nitpick sledgehammer *(proof)*

end

7.10 GoedelVariantHOML3possInS4.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML3poss, but now in logic S4, where the proof of theorem Th3 fails.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML3possInS4* **imports** *HOMLinHOLonlyS4*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*::($e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$) (*P*)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \square(\forall z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $[(\text{PosProps } \Phi \wedge \text{ConjOfPropsFrom } \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* (- \supset_N -) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \square(\varphi \neq (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge (\forall y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

definition *Essence* (-*Ess.*-) **where** $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \square(\neg P \varphi)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *NecExist (E)* **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. (\varphi \text{Ess. } x) \supset \square(\exists x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \square(\exists y. G y)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th3*: $[\diamond(\exists x. G x) \supset \square(\exists y. G y)]$ — nitpick sledgehammer $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.11 ScottVariantHOMLinS4.thy

theory *ScottVariantHOMLinS4 imports HOMLinHOLonlyS4*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty::(e \Rightarrow σ) \Rightarrow σ (P)*

axiomatization where *A1*: $[\neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim \varphi]$

axiomatization where *A2*: $[P \varphi \wedge \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi]$

theorem *T1*: $[P \varphi \supset \diamond(\exists^E x. \varphi x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *God (G)* **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

axiomatization where *A3*: $[P G]$

theorem *Coro*: $[\diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

axiomatization where *A4*: $[P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi]$

definition *Ess (-Ess-)* **where** $\varphi \text{Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \square(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

theorem *T2*: $[G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *NecExist (NE)* **where** $NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *A5*: $[P NE]$

```

lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval= $\lfloor P (\lambda x. \top) \rfloor$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem T3:  $\lfloor \square(\exists^E x. G x) \rfloor$  nitpick[card e=1, card i=2]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma MC:  $\lfloor \varphi \supset \square\varphi \rfloor$  nitpick[card e=1, card i=2]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

7.12 ScottVariantHOMLpossInS4.thy

```

theory ScottVariantHOMLpossInS4 imports HOMLinHOLonlyS4
begin

consts PositiveProperty::( $e \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ )  $\langle P \rangle$ 

axiomatization where A1:  $\lfloor \neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim \varphi \rfloor$ 

axiomatization where A2:  $\lfloor P \varphi \wedge \square(\forall y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi \rfloor$ 

theorem T1:  $\lfloor P \varphi \supset \diamond(\exists x. \varphi x) \rfloor$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition God (G) where  $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$ 

axiomatization where A3:  $\lfloor P G \rfloor$ 

theorem Coro:  $\lfloor \diamond(\exists x. G x) \rfloor$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

axiomatization where A4:  $\lfloor P \varphi \supset \square P \varphi \rfloor$ 

definition Ess (-Ess.) where  $\varphi$  Ess.  $x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \square(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$ 

theorem T2:  $\lfloor G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x \rfloor$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition NecExist (NE) where  $NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \square(\exists x. \varphi x)$ 

axiomatization where A5:  $\lfloor P NE \rfloor$ 

lemma True nitpick[satisfy,card=1,eval= $\lfloor P (\lambda x. \perp) \rfloor$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem T3:  $\lfloor \square(\exists x. G x) \rfloor$  nitpick[card e=1, card i=2]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma MC:  $\lfloor \varphi \supset \square\varphi \rfloor$  nitpick[card e=1, card i=2]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

References

- [1] C. Benzmüller. Exploring simplified variants of Gödels ontological argument in Isabelle/HOL. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, November 2021. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/SimplifiedOntologicalArgument.html>, Formal proof development.
- [2] C. Benzmüller and D. S. Scott. Notes on Gödel’s and Scott’s Variants of the ontological argument. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 2024. Forthcoming/accepted.
- [3] C. Benzmüller and B. Woltzenlogel Paleo. Gödel’s God in Isabelle/HOL. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, November 2013. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/GoedelGod.html>, Formal proof development.
- [4] D. Fuenmayor and C. Benzmüller. Types, Tableaus and Gödels God in Isabelle/HOL. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2017. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Types_Tableaus_and_Goedels_God.html, Formal proof development.
- [5] K. Gödel. Appendix A. Notes in Kurt Gödel’s Hand. In Sobel [7], pages 144–145.
- [6] D. S. Scott. Appendix B: Notes in Dana Scott’s Hand. In Sobel [7], pages 145–146.
- [7] J. H. Sobel. *Logic and Theism: Arguments for and Against Beliefs in God*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.