

MUNTAC: A Verified Certificate Checker for Timed Automata

Simon Wimmer

Abstract

MUNTA is a verified model checker for timed automata. This entry presents MUNTAC, an extension of MUNTA that can check certificates for Büchi and reachability properties generated by other model checkers. This work has been described in detail in a PhD thesis [2] and conference publications [4, 3]. This entry supersedes earlier versions of MUNTAC hosted on GitHub.

Contents

1	Additions to Lars Noschinski’s Graph Library	4
2	Transferring Theorems Between Graph Libraries	5
2.1	Miscellaneous	5
2.2	From <i>Graph_Theory</i> to <i>Graphs</i>	6
2.3	From <i>Graphs</i> to <i>Graph_Theory</i>	7
2.4	Application: Topological Numberings on the SCCs of a Digraph	10
3	Certificates for the Absence of Lassos	11
4	Certification Theorems Based on Subsumption and Simulation Graphs	14
4.1	Misc	14
4.2	Certifying Cycle-Freeness in Graphs	14
4.3	Reachability and Over-approximation	17
4.4	Invariants for Un-reachability	18
4.5	Unused	24
4.6	Instantiating Reachability Compatible Subsumption Graphs	29
4.7	Certifying Cycle-Freeness with Graph Components	30
5	Certificates for (Un)Reachability Properties	32
6	Certificates for Büchi Properties	66
7	Simulations for Buechi Properties	73
7.1	Misc	73
7.2	Backward Simulations	74
7.3	Self Simulation for a Finite Simulation Relation	74
7.4	Combining Finite Simulation with Backward Simulation	75
8	Simulations on Timed Automata	77
8.1	Preliminaries	77
8.2	Time-Abstract Simulation	78
8.3	LU-Simulation	80
8.4	Simulation on Reachability Invariants	82

8.5	Abstraction-Simulation on Reachability Invariants	83
8.6	Instantiation for Abstractions based on Time-Abstraction Simulations	85
8.7	“Sandwiches” of Abstraction-Simulations	86
8.8	Invariants on DBM-based Model Checking	88
8.9	Instantiating the “Sandwich” for Extrapolations on DBMs	92
9	Checker Implementation for Single Automata	97
10	Extracting Certificates From Munta	120
10.1	Turning a map into a list	120
11	A Verified Certificate Checker for the Simple Networks Lanuage	123
12	Assembling the Checker and Generating Code	150
13	Testing Infrastructure	167
14	Build and Test Exported Program With MLton	167
15	Build and Test Exported Program With Poly/ML	169

Introduction

MUNTA is a verified model checker for timed automata. This entry presents MUNTAC, an extension of MUNTA that can check certificates for Büchi and reachability properties generated by other model checkers. This work has been described in detail in a PhD thesis [2] and presented in peer-reviewed conference publications [4, 3]. This entry supersedes earlier versions of MUNTAC hosted on GitHub: <https://github.com/wimmers/munta>.

Usage Theory `Simple_Network_Language_Certificate_Code` (page 150) describes how to obtain executable code for and run MUNTAC from within Isabelle.

This entry also provides a build setup for the MUNTAC executable using the MLton and the Poly/ML compilers for Standard ML. Instructions to build and run executables are given in theory `Munta_Certificate_Compile_MLton` (on page 167) and `Munta_Certificate_Compile_Poly` (on page 169), respectively. The MLton executable has much better performance on a single core, while the Poly/ML executable offers the ability for parallel certificate checking. A number of example model files can be found in the `benchmarks` directory.

This entry also bundles up the unverified model checker MLUNTA¹, which has previously been used to generate test certificates for MUNTAC. The aforementioned build setups also exercise this tool chain by first compiling MLUNTA using MLton and then generating certificates for some of the benchmarks, which are in turn checked by MUNTAC.

MUNTA itself can also produce reachability certificates for MUNTAC, as demonstrated on page 154. Büchi certificates from the artifact² associated with the FORMATS paper [3] remain compatible with MUNTAC. Note that the `-i 4` option must be specified explicitly, for example:

```
muntac -i 4 -m cc4/cc4.muntax -r cc4/cc4.renaming -c cc4/cc4-tchecker-ndfs13.cert
```

¹Git commit 99a3b55ca7f56ebe0bf0e5f8384adf73fa074bad

²<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12620582.v1>

1 Additions to Lars Noschinski's Graph Library

```
theory More_Graph_Theory
  imports Graph_Theory.Graph_Theory
begin
```

```
lemma vwalkE2:
  assumes vwalk p G
  obtains u where p = [u] u ∈ verts G
    | u v es where p = u # v # es (u,v) ∈ arcs_ends G vwalk (v # es) G
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in wf_digraph) reachable_vwalk_conv2:
  u →*G v ↔ (u = v ∧ u ∈ verts G ∨ (∃ vs. vwalk (u # vs @ [v]) G))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
context pre_digraph
begin
```

```
definition
  scc_graph = (|
    verts = sccs_verts,
    arcs = {(x, y). ∃ a ∈ x. ∃ b ∈ y. x ≠ y ∧ x ∈ sccs_verts ∧ y ∈ sccs_verts ∧ a → b},
    tail = fst,
    head = snd
  |)
```

```
interpretation scc_digraph: loopfree_digraph scc_graph
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas scc_digraphI = scc_digraph.loopfree_digraph_axioms
```

```
end
```

```
context wf_digraph
begin
```

```
interpretation scc_digraph: loopfree_digraph scc_graph
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma scc_graph_edgeE:
  assumes ⟨x →scc_graph y⟩ obtains a b where
    a ∈ x b ∈ y a → b x ∈ sccs_verts y ∈ sccs_verts x ≠ y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma same_sccI:
  assumes S ∈ sccs_verts x ∈ S x →* y y →* x
  shows y ∈ S
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma scc_graph_reachable1E:
  assumes ⟨x →+scc_graph y⟩ obtains a b where
```

```

       $x \in sccs\_verts \ y \in sccs\_verts \ x \neq y \ a \in x \ b \in y \ a \rightarrow^+ b$ 
    <proof>

end

locale dag = wf_digraph +
  assumes acyclic:  $x \rightarrow^+ x \implies False$ 

locale fin_dag = fin_digraph + dag

context wf_digraph
begin

interpretation scc_digraph: loopfree_digraph scc_graph
  <proof>

interpretation scc_digraph: dag scc_graph
  <proof>

lemmas scc_digraphI = scc_digraph.dag_axioms

end

context fin_digraph
begin

interpretation scc_digraph: dag scc_graph
  <proof>

interpretation scc_digraph: fin_dag scc_graph
  <proof>

lemmas scc_digraphI = scc_digraph.fin_dag_axioms

end

end

```

2 Transferring Theorems Between Graph Libraries

```

theory Graph_Library_Adaptor
  imports Timed_Automata.Graphs More_Graph_Theory
begin

no_notation funcset (infixr  $\rightarrow$  60)

```

2.1 Miscellaneous

```

lemma (in  $-$ ) pair_in_pair_set_iff:
   $(a, b) \in \{(x, y). P\ x\ y\} \iff P\ a\ b$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.2 From *Graph_Theory* to *Graphs*

context *pre_digraph*
begin

definition $E \equiv \lambda x y. (x, y) \in arcs_ends\ G$

interpretation *Graph_Defs* E $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dominates_iff*:

$u \rightarrow_G v \longleftrightarrow E\ u\ v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reachable1_iff*:

$u \rightarrow^+_G v \longleftrightarrow reaches1\ u\ v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *wf_digraph*
begin

interpretation *Graph_Defs* E $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reachable_iff*:

$u \rightarrow^*_G v \longleftrightarrow reaches\ u\ v$ **if** $u \in verts\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vwalk_iff*:

$vwalk\ (u \# xs)\ G \longleftrightarrow steps\ (u \# xs)$ **if** $u \in verts\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *graph_conv1* = *reachable_iff* *reachable1_iff* *vwalk_iff*

end

context *dag*
begin

sublocale *graph*: *DAG* E

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *topological_numbering*:

fixes S **assumes** *finite* S

shows $\exists f :: _ \Rightarrow nat. inj_on\ f\ S \wedge (\forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. x \rightarrow y \longrightarrow f\ x < f\ y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

sublocale *fin_digraph* $\subseteq$ *graph*: *Finite_Graph* E

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $\text{fin_dag} \subseteq \text{graph}$: $\text{Finite_DAG } E \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 From Graphs to Graph_Theory

context Graph_Defs

begin

definition $G = (\text{verts} = \text{UNIV}, \text{arcs} = \{(a, b). E a b\}, \text{tail} = \text{fst}, \text{head} = \text{snd})$

definition $G_p = (\text{pverts} = \text{UNIV}, \text{parcs} = \{(a, b). E a b\})$

lemma G_pair_conv :
 $\text{with_proj } G_p = G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale digraph : $\text{nomulti_digraph } G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale pdigraph : $\text{pair_wf_digraph } G_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arc_to_ends_eq}[simp]$:
 $\text{arc_to_ends } G = \text{id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs_ends_eq}[simp]$:
 $\text{arcs_ends } G = \{(a, b). E a b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{dominates_iff}[simp]$:
 $u \rightarrow_G v \longleftrightarrow E u v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{verts_eq}[simp]$:
 $\text{verts } G = \text{UNIV}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma reachable_iff :
 $u \rightarrow^*_G v \longleftrightarrow u \rightarrow^* v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma reachable1_iff :
 $u \rightarrow^+_G v \longleftrightarrow u \rightarrow^+ v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma vwalk_iff :
 $\text{vwalk } xs \ G \longleftrightarrow \text{steps } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{graph_conv2} = \text{reachable_iff reachable1_iff vwalk_iff}$

— Transferring a theorem $((?u \rightarrow^*_G ?v) = (\exists p. \text{vpath } p \ G \wedge \text{hd } p = ?u \wedge \text{last } p = ?v))$:

```

lemma reachable_path_conv:
   $u \rightarrow^* v \iff (\exists p. \text{steps } p \wedge \text{distinct } p \wedge \text{hd } p = u \wedge \text{last } p = v)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

context Subgraph_Defs
begin

definition  $G' = (\text{verts} = \text{UNIV}, \text{arcs} = \{(a, b). E' a b\}, \text{tail} = \text{fst}, \text{head} = \text{snd})$ 

definition  $G_p' = (\text{pverts} = \text{UNIV}, \text{parcs} = \{(a, b). E' a b\})$ 

lemma  $G'_{\text{pair\_conv}}$ :
   $\text{with\_proj } G_p' = G'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale  $\text{digraph\_sub}: \text{wf\_digraph } G'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale  $\text{pdigraph\_sub}: \text{pair\_wf\_digraph } G_p'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{verts\_eq}[\text{simp}]$ :
   $\text{verts } G' = \text{UNIV}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

context Subgraph
begin

lemma  $\text{subgraph}$ :
   $\text{subgraph } G' G.G$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{spanning}$ :
   $\text{spanning } G' G.G$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $G'_{\text{eq}}$ :
   $G'.G = G'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas  $\text{subgraph\_convs} = G'.\text{graph\_convs2}[\text{unfolded } G'_{\text{eq}}]$ 

end

context Subgraph_Node_Defs
begin

```

— Node-induced subgraph. Compare with G' .

definition $G_n = (\text{verts} = \{x. V\ x\}, \text{arcs} = \{(a, b). E'\ a\ b\}, \text{tail} = \text{fst}, \text{head} = \text{snd})$

sublocale $\text{digraph_nodes}: \text{wf_digraph } G_n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{verts_eq}[simp]:$

$\text{verts } G_n = \{x. V\ x\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs_eq}[simp]:$

$\text{arcs } G_n = \text{arcs } G'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subgraph_dominatesI}:$

$a \rightarrow_{G_n} b$ **if** $a \rightarrow b \ V\ a\ V\ b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs_ends_eq}:$

$\text{arcs_ends } G_n = \text{arcs_ends } G'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subgraph_nodes}':$

$\text{subgraph } G_n\ G'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subgraph_nodes}:$

$\text{subgraph } G_n\ G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{induced_subgraph}:$

$\text{induced_subgraph } G_n\ G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{reachable1_iff}:$

$u \rightarrow^+_{G_n} v \longleftrightarrow u \rightarrow^+_{G'} v$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{dominates_iff}:$

$u \rightarrow_{G_n} v \longleftrightarrow E'\ u\ v$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subgraph_vwalk_iff}:$

$\text{vwalk } (v \neq vs)\ G_n \longleftrightarrow G'.\text{steps } (v \neq vs)$ **if** $V\ v$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subgraph_reaches_iff}:$

$u \rightarrow^*_{G_n} v \longleftrightarrow G'.\text{reaches } u\ v$ **if** $V\ u$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subgraph_reaches1_iff}:$

$u \rightarrow^+_{G_n} v \longleftrightarrow G'.\text{reaches1 } u\ v$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *Graph_Invariant*
begin

lemma *reachable_iff*:
 $u \rightarrow^*_{G_n} v \longleftrightarrow u \rightarrow^*_G v$ **if** $P\ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reachable1_iff*:
 $u \rightarrow^+_{G_n} v \longleftrightarrow u \rightarrow^+_G v$ **if** $P\ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vwalk_iff*:
 $vwalk\ (u \# vs)\ G_n \longleftrightarrow vwalk\ (u \# vs)\ G$ **if** $P\ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2.4 Application: Topological Numberings on the SCCs of a Digraph

context *fin_digraph*
begin

interpretation *scc_digraph*: *fin_dag scc_graph*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $scc_num \equiv SOME\ f :: (_ \Rightarrow nat).$
 $inj_on\ f\ sccs_verts \wedge (\forall x \in sccs_verts. \forall y \in sccs_verts. x \rightarrow_{scc_graph} y \longrightarrow f\ x < f\ y)$

lemma
shows *scc_num_inj*: $inj_on\ scc_num\ sccs_verts$ (**is** *?thesis1*)
and *scc_num_topological*:
 $\forall x \in sccs_verts. \forall y \in sccs_verts. x \rightarrow_{scc_graph} y \longrightarrow scc_num\ x < scc_num\ y$ (**is** *?thesis2*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *Finite_Graph*
begin

interpretation *Graph_Invariant*
where $E = E$ **and** $P = \lambda x. x \in vertices$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *digraph_nodes*: *fin_digraph G_n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $is_max_scc\ S \equiv$
 $S \subseteq vertices \wedge S \neq \{\}$ $\wedge (\forall u \in S. \forall v \in S. u \rightarrow^* v) \wedge (\forall u \in S. \forall v. v \notin S \longrightarrow \neg u \rightarrow^* v \vee \neg v \rightarrow^* u)$

lemma *is_max_scc_iff*:
 $is_max_scc\ S \longleftrightarrow S \in digraph_nodes.sccs_verts$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max_sccI*:
assumes $a \in vertices$
obtains A **where** $is_max_scc\ A\ a \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_max_scc_disjoint*:
assumes $is_max_scc\ V\ is_max_scc\ W\ V \neq W$
shows $V \cap W = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $edge\ V\ W \equiv \exists a \in V. \exists b \in W. V \neq W \wedge E\ a\ b$

definition
 $scc_num \equiv SOME\ f :: (_ \Rightarrow nat).$
 $inj_on\ f\ \{V. is_max_scc\ V\} \wedge (\forall V. \forall W. is_max_scc\ V \wedge is_max_scc\ W \wedge edge\ V\ W \longrightarrow f\ V < f\ W)$

interpretation *scc_digraph*: $fin_dag\ digraph_nodes.scc_graph$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *edge_iff*:
 $edge\ x\ y \longleftrightarrow x \rightarrow digraph_nodes.scc_graph\ y$
if $x \in digraph_nodes.sccs_verts\ y \in digraph_nodes.sccs_verts$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
shows $scc_num_inj: inj_on\ scc_num\ \{V. is_max_scc\ V\}$ **(is ?thesis1)**
and $scc_num_topological:$
 $\forall V. \forall W. is_max_scc\ V \wedge is_max_scc\ W \wedge edge\ V\ W \longrightarrow scc_num\ V < scc_num\ W$ **(is ?thesis2)**
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

3 Certificates for the Absence of Lassos

theory *Lasso_Freeness_Certificates_Complete*
imports *Main HOL-Library.Countable Graph_Library_Adaptor*
begin

This theory gives two valid ways of generating a certificate that proves that the given graph does not contain an accepting lasso. It is not directly used by the MUNTAC formalization but summarizes the idea of how to get from certificates for unreachability to certificates for the absence of Büeche properties.

locale *Contract_Downward* =

```

fixes  $E :: 'a :: \text{countable} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and  $F :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
assumes  $f\_topo: E\ a\ b \implies \text{if } F\ a\ \text{then } f\ a > f\ b\ \text{else } f\ a \geq f\ b$ 
begin

```

```

lemma  $f\_downward$ :
  assumes  $E\ a\ b$ 
  shows  $f\ a \geq f\ b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $f\_strict$ :
  assumes  $E\ a\ b\ F\ a$ 
  shows  $f\ a > f\ b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

We do not even need this property any more.

```

lemma  $no\_trivial\_lasso$ :
  assumes  $F\ a\ E\ a\ a$ 
  shows  $False$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $reaches\_f\_mono$ :
  assumes  $E^{**}\ a\ b$ 
  shows  $f\ a \geq f\ b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $no\_accepting\_cycle$ :
  assumes  $E^{++}\ x\ x$ 
  shows  $\neg F\ x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  including  $graph\_automation\_aggressive\ \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

sublocale  $Graph\_Defs\ \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $run\_f\_mono$ :
  assumes  $run\ (x\ \#\#\ xs)\ c \geq f\ x$ 
  shows  $pred\_stream\ (\lambda a. c \geq f\ a)\ xs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $no\_Buechi\_run$ :
  assumes  $run\ xs\ infs\ F\ xs$ 
  shows  $False$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

end

```

```

context
  fixes  $E :: 'a :: \text{countable} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and  $F :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
begin

```

```

context
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $f\_topo: E\ a\ b \implies \text{if } F\ a\ \text{then } f\ a < f\ b\ \text{else } f\ a \leq f\ b$ 
begin

```

lemma *f_forward*:

assumes $E\ a\ b$

shows $f\ a \leq f\ b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *f_strict*:

assumes $E\ a\ b\ F\ a$

shows $f\ a < f\ b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

We do not even need this property any more.

lemma *no_trivial_lasso*:

assumes $F\ a\ E\ a\ a$

shows *False*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reaches_f_mono*:

assumes $E^{**}\ a\ b$

shows $f\ a \leq f\ b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no_accepting_cycle*:

assumes $E^{++}\ x\ x$

shows $\neg F\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

including *graph_automation_aggressive* $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context

assumes *no_accepting_cycle*: $E^{++}\ x\ x \implies \neg F\ x$

begin

definition

reach $x \equiv \text{card } \{y. F\ y \wedge E^{**}\ x\ y\}$

context

assumes *finite*: $\text{finite } \{y. E^{**}\ x\ y\}$

begin

lemma *reach_mono*:

assumes $E\ x\ y$

shows $\text{reach } x \geq \text{reach } y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reach_strict*:

assumes $E\ x\ y\ F\ x$

shows $\text{reach } x > \text{reach } y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

```

end

context Finite_Graph
begin

context
  assumes no_accepting_cycle:  $E^{++} x x \implies \neg F x$ 
begin

private definition
   $f x \equiv scc\_num (THE V. is\_max\_scc V \wedge x \in V)$ 

lemma f_topo:
  assumes  $E a b$ 
  shows if  $F a$  then  $f a < f b$  else  $f a \leq f b$ 
  <proof>

end

end

end

end

```

4 Certification Theorems Based on Subsumption and Simulation Graphs

```

theory Simulation_Graphs_Certification
  imports Munta_Base.Subsumption_Graphs Timed_Automata.Simulation_Graphs HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
begin

```

4.1 Misc

```

lemma finite_ImageI:
  assumes  $finite\ S\ \forall a \in S. finite\ \{b. (a, b) \in R\}$ 
  shows  $finite\ (R\ ``\ S)$ 
  <proof>

lemma (in Graph_Defs) steps_two_iff[simp]:
   $steps\ [a, b] \longleftrightarrow E\ a\ b$ 
  <proof>

lemma (in Graph_Defs) steps_Cons_two_iff:
   $steps\ (a\ \# b\ \# as) \longleftrightarrow E\ a\ b \wedge steps\ (b\ \# as)$ 
  <proof>

```

4.2 Certifying Cycle-Freeness in Graphs

```

locale Contract1 =
  fixes  $A\ B :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$  and  $G :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$ 
begin

definition  $E\ a\ b \equiv A\ a\ b \wedge G\ a \vee \neg G\ a \wedge B\ a\ b \wedge G\ b$ 

```

definition $E' a b \equiv G a \wedge G b \wedge (A a b \vee (\exists c. A a c \wedge \neg G c \wedge B c b))$

sublocale E : *Graph_Defs* E $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale E' : *Graph_Defs* E' $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *steps_contract*:

assumes $E.steps (a \# xs @ [b]) G a G b$

shows $\exists as. E'.steps (a \# as @ [b])$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $E'_G[dest, intro]$:

assumes $E' x y$

shows $G x G y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma E'_steps_G :

assumes $E'.steps (x \# xs) xs \neq []$

shows $G x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $E_E'_cycle$:

assumes $E^{++} x x G x$

shows $E'^{++} x x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

including *graph_automation* $\langle proof \rangle$

This can be proved by rotating the cycle if $\neg G x$

lemma

assumes $E^{**} s x E^{++} x x G s$

shows $E'^{**} s x \wedge E'^{++} x x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

including *graph_automation* $\langle proof \rangle$

including *graph_automation* $\langle proof \rangle$

Certifying cycle-freeness with a topological ordering of graph components

context

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow nat$ **and** $F :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$

assumes $f_topo1: \forall a b. G a \wedge A a b \wedge G b \longrightarrow (if F a then f a < f b else f a \leq f b)$

and $f_topo2:$

$\forall a b c. G a \wedge A a b \wedge \neg G b \wedge G c \wedge B b c \longrightarrow (if F a then f a < f c else f a \leq f c)$

begin

lemma $f_forward$:

assumes $E' a b$

shows $f a \leq f b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma f_strict :

assumes $E' a b F a$

shows $f a < f b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

We do not even need this property any more.

lemma $no_trivial_lasso$:

```

    assumes  $F\ a\ G\ a\ E'\ a\ a$ 
    shows  $False$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reaches_f_mono:
  assumes  $E'^{**}\ a\ b$ 
  shows  $f\ a \leq f\ b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem no_accepting_cycle:
  assumes  $E'^{++}\ x\ x$ 
  shows  $\neg F\ x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  including graph_automation_aggressive  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

locale Contract =
  fixes  $E :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ 
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow nat$  and  $F :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$ 
  assumes f_topo:
     $\forall a\ b. E\ a\ b \longrightarrow (if\ F\ a\ then\ f\ a < f\ b\ else\ f\ a \leq f\ b)$ 
begin

sublocale E: Graph_Defs E  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma f_forward:
  assumes  $E\ a\ b$ 
  shows  $f\ a \leq f\ b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma f_strict:
  assumes  $E\ a\ b\ F\ a$ 
  shows  $f\ a < f\ b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

We do not even need this property any more.

lemma no_trivial_lasso:
  assumes  $F\ a\ G\ a\ E\ a\ a$ 
  shows  $False$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reaches_f_mono:
  assumes  $E^{**}\ a\ b$ 
  shows  $f\ a \leq f\ b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem no_accepting_cycle:
  assumes  $E^{++}\ x\ x$ 
  shows  $\neg F\ x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  including graph_automation_aggressive  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

locale *Contract2* =
 fixes $A\ B :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $G :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **and** $F :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 assumes f_topo :
 $\forall a\ b\ c. G\ a \wedge A\ a\ b \wedge G\ c \wedge B\ b\ c \longrightarrow (\text{if } F\ a \text{ then } f\ a < f\ c \text{ else } f\ a \leq f\ c)$
begin

definition $E\ a\ c \equiv \exists b. G\ a \wedge G\ c \wedge A\ a\ b \wedge B\ b\ c$

sublocale *Contract* $E\ f\ F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *no_accepting_cycle*:
 assumes $E^{++}\ x\ x$
 shows $\neg F\ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

4.3 Reachability and Over-approximation

context
 fixes $E :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $P :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{less_eq} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\preceq 50$) **and** less (**infix** $\prec 50$)
 assumes *preorder*: *class.preorder* $\text{less_eq}\ \text{less}$
 assumes *mono*: $a \preceq b \Longrightarrow E\ a\ a' \Longrightarrow P\ a \Longrightarrow P\ b \Longrightarrow \exists b'. E\ b\ b' \wedge a' \preceq b'$
 assumes *invariant*: $P\ a \Longrightarrow E\ a\ a' \Longrightarrow P\ b$
begin

interpretation *preorder* $\text{less_eq}\ \text{less}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *Simulation_Invariant*
 $E\ \lambda x\ y. \exists z. z \preceq y \wedge E\ x\ z \wedge P\ z (\preceq)\ P\ P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context
 fixes $F :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ — Final states
 assumes $F_mono[\text{intro}]$: $F\ a \Longrightarrow a \preceq b \Longrightarrow F\ b$
begin

corollary *reachability_correct*:
 $\nexists s'. A.\text{reaches}\ a\ s' \wedge F\ s' \text{ if } \nexists s'. B.\text{reaches}\ b\ s' \wedge F\ s' \wedge a \preceq b\ P\ a\ P\ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

context *Simulation_Invariant*

begin

context

fixes $F :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $F' :: 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ — Final states

assumes $F_mono[intro]: F\ a \Longrightarrow a \sim b \Longrightarrow F'\ b$

begin

corollary *reachability_correct*:

$\nexists\ s'. A.\text{reaches}\ a\ s' \wedge F\ s'$ **if** $\nexists\ s'. B.\text{reaches}\ b\ s' \wedge F'\ s' \wedge a \sim b$ $PA\ a\ PB\ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

4.4 Invariants for Un-reachability

locale *Unreachability_Invariant0* = *Subsumption_Graph_Pre_Defs* + *preorder less_eq less* +

fixes $S :: 'a\ \text{set}$ **and** $SE :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

assumes *mono*:

$s \preceq s' \Longrightarrow s \rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \exists\ t'. t \preceq t' \wedge s' \rightarrow t'$

assumes *S_E_subsumed*: $s \in S \Longrightarrow s \rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \exists\ t' \in S. SE\ t\ t'$

assumes *subsumptions_subsume*: $SE\ s\ t \Longrightarrow s \preceq t$

begin

definition *E'* **where**

$E'\ s\ t \equiv \exists\ s'. E\ s\ s' \wedge SE\ s'\ t \wedge t \in S$

sublocale *G*: *Graph_Defs* *E'* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *Simulation_Invariant* *E* *E'* $(\preceq)$ $\lambda s. \text{True}$ $\lambda x. x \in S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context

fixes $s_0\ s_0'$

assumes $s_0 \preceq s_0'\ s_0' \in S$

begin

lemma *run_subsumed*:

assumes *run* $(s_0 \#\# xs)$

obtains *ys* **where** $G.\text{run}\ (s_0' \#\# ys)\ \text{stream_all2}\ (\preceq)\ xs\ ys\ \text{pred_stream}\ (\lambda x. x \in S)\ ys$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context

fixes $F :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ — Final states

assumes $F_mono[intro]: \text{reaches}\ s_0\ a \Longrightarrow F\ a \Longrightarrow a \preceq b \Longrightarrow b \in S \Longrightarrow F\ b$

begin

corollary *final_unreachable*:

$\nexists\ s'. \text{reaches}\ s_0\ s' \wedge F\ s'$ **if** $\forall\ s' \in S. \neg F\ s'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *buechi_run_lasso*:

assumes *finite* S *run* $(s_0 \#\# xs)\ \text{alw}\ (\text{ev}\ (\text{holds}\ F))\ (s_0 \#\# xs)$

obtains s where $G.reaches\ s_0'\ s\ G.reaches1\ s\ s\ F\ s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

end

locale *Unreachability_Invariant1* = *Subsumption_Graph_Pre_Defs* + *preorder less_eq less* +
fixes I **and** $SE :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **and** P

assumes *mono*:

$s \preceq s' \implies s \rightarrow t \implies P\ s \implies P\ s' \implies \exists\ t'.\ t \preceq t' \wedge s' \rightarrow t'$

assumes *S_E_subsumed*: $I\ s \implies s \rightarrow t \implies \exists\ t'.\ I\ t' \wedge SE\ t\ t'$

assumes *subsumptions_subsume*: $SE\ s\ t \implies s \preceq t$

assumes *I_P[intro]*: $I\ s \implies P\ s$

assumes *P_invariant*: $P\ s \implies s \rightarrow s' \implies P\ s'$

begin

context

assumes *subsumes_P*: $\bigwedge s\ s'.\ s \preceq s' \implies P\ s'$

begin

interpretation *E*: *Unreachability_Invariant0*

where $E = \lambda x\ y.\ E\ x\ y \wedge P\ y$

and $S = \{s.\ I\ s\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

Alternative for defining the simulating transition system. Does not need the invariant at the tip of the subsumption but requires us to use *Simulation* instead of *Simulation_Invariant*.

definition E' **where**

$E'\ s\ t \equiv \exists s'.\ E\ s\ s' \wedge SE\ s'\ t \wedge I\ t$

sublocale G : *Graph_Defs* E' $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *Simulation_Invariant* $E\ E' (\preceq)\ P\ I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes $s_0\ s_0'$

assumes $s_0 \preceq s_0'\ P\ s_0\ I\ s_0'$

begin

lemma *run_subsumed*:

assumes *run* ($s_0\ \#\#\ xs$)

obtains ys **where** $G.run\ (s_0'\ \#\#\ ys)\ stream_all2\ (\preceq)\ xs\ ys\ pred_stream\ I\ ys$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes $F :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$ — Final states

assumes *F_mono[intro]*: $P\ a \implies F\ a \implies a \preceq b \implies P\ b \implies F\ b$

begin

corollary *final_unreachable*:

$\nexists s'. \text{reaches } s_0 \ s' \wedge F \ s' \text{ if } \forall s'. I \ s' \longrightarrow \neg F \ s'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *buechi_run_lasso*:

assumes *finite* $\{s. I \ s\}$ **run** $(s_0 \ \#\# \ xs) \text{ alw } (ev \ (holds \ F)) \ (s_0 \ \#\# \ xs)$
obtains s **where** $G.\text{reaches } s_0' \ s \ G.\text{reaches1 } s \ s \ F \ s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

end

locale *Unreachability_Invariant* = *Subsumption_Graph_Pre_Defs* + *preorder less_eq less* +

fixes s_0

fixes $S :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and** $SE :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

assumes *mono*:

$s \preceq s' \Longrightarrow s \rightarrow t \Longrightarrow s \in S \vee \text{reaches } s_0 \ s \Longrightarrow s' \in S \vee \text{reaches } s_0 \ s'$
 $\Longrightarrow \exists t'. t \preceq t' \wedge s' \rightarrow t'$

assumes *S_E_subsumed*: $s \in S \Longrightarrow s \rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \exists t' \in S. SE \ t \ t'$

assumes *subsumptions_subsume*: $SE \ s \ t \Longrightarrow s \preceq t$

begin

interpretation *Unreachability_Invariant1*

where $P = \lambda s. s \in S \vee \text{reaches } s_0 \ s$

and $I = \lambda s. s \in S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reachable_S_subsumed*:

$\exists s'. s' \in S \wedge s \preceq s' \text{ if } s' \in S \ s_0 \preceq s' \text{ reaches } s_0 \ s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *E'* **where**

$E' \ s \ t \equiv \exists s'. E \ s \ s' \wedge SE \ s' \ t \wedge t \in S$

sublocale $G: \text{Graph_Defs } E' \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context

fixes s_0'

assumes $s_0 \preceq s_0' \ s_0' \in S$

begin

interpretation *Simulation_Invariant* $E \ E' (\preceq) \lambda s. \text{reaches } s_0 \ s \ \lambda x. x \in S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *run_subsumed*:

assumes *run* $(s_0 \ \#\# \ xs)$

obtains ys **where** $G.\text{run } (s_0' \ \#\# \ ys) \text{ stream_all2 } (\preceq) \ xs \ ys \text{ pred_stream } (\lambda x. x \in S) \ ys$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

context
  fixes  $F :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  — Final states
  assumes  $F\_mono[intro]: \text{reaches } s_0 \ a \Longrightarrow F \ a \Longrightarrow a \preceq b \Longrightarrow b \in S \Longrightarrow F \ b$ 
begin

corollary final_unreachable:
   $\nexists s'. \text{reaches } s_0 \ s' \wedge F \ s' \text{ if } \forall s' \in S. \neg F \ s'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma buechi_run_lasso:
  assumes  $\text{finite } S \text{ run } (s_0 \ \#\# \ xs) \text{ alw } (ev \ (\text{holds } F)) \ (s_0 \ \#\# \ xs)$ 
  obtains  $s$  where  $G.\text{reaches } s_0' \ s \ G.\text{reaches1 } s \ s \ F \ s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

end

context Unreachability_Invariant1
begin

interpretation inv: Graph_Invariant
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context
  fixes  $s_0 :: 'a$ 
  assumes  $P \ s_0$ 
begin

interpretation E: Unreachability_Invariant
  where  $S = \{s. I \ s\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

locale Unreachability_Invariant_Contract1 =
  Unreachability_Invariant1 +
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  and  $F :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  assumes  $f\_topo$ :
     $I \ a \Longrightarrow E \ a \ b \Longrightarrow SE \ b \ c \Longrightarrow (\text{if } F \ a \text{ then } f \ a < f \ c \text{ else } f \ a \leq f \ c)$ 
  assumes finite_invariant:  $\text{finite } \{s. I \ s\}$ 
  assumes  $F\_mono[intro]: P \ a \Longrightarrow F \ a \Longrightarrow a \preceq b \Longrightarrow P \ b \Longrightarrow F \ b$ 
begin

interpretation c: Contract E'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
   $E1 \equiv \lambda a \ c. \exists b. I \ a \wedge E \ a \ b \wedge SE \ b \ c$ 

```

interpretation *c*: *Contract E1*

<proof>

lemma *no_buechi_run*:

assumes [*intro, simp*]: $P\ s_0\ I\ s_0'\ s_0 \preceq s_0'$

assumes *Graph_Defs.run E (s₀ ## xs) alw (ev (holds F)) (s₀ ## xs)*

shows *False*

<proof>

end

locale *Reachability_Invariant_paired_pre_defs* =

ord less_eq less **for** *less_eq* :: $'s \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\preceq$ 50) **and** *less* (**infix** $\prec$ 50) +

fixes *E* :: $('l \times 's) \Rightarrow ('l \times 's) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

locale *Reachability_Invariant_paired_defs* =

Reachability_Invariant_paired_pre_defs **where** *E* = *E* **for** *E* :: $('l \times 's) \Rightarrow ('l \times 's) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ +

fixes *M* :: $'l \Rightarrow 's\ \text{set}$

and *L* :: $'l\ \text{set}$

begin

definition *covered* $\equiv \lambda\ (l, s). \exists\ s' \in M\ l.\ s \prec s'$

definition *RE* = $(\lambda(l, s)\ \text{ab}.\ s \in M\ l \wedge \neg\ \text{covered}\ (l, s) \wedge E\ (l, s)\ \text{ab})$

end

locale *Unreachability_Invariant_paired_pre_defs* =

Reachability_Invariant_paired_pre_defs __ *E* +

preorder less_eq less **for** *E* :: $('l \times 's) \Rightarrow _ +$

fixes *P* :: $('l \times 's) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

locale *Unreachability_Invariant_paired_defs* =

Unreachability_Invariant_paired_pre_defs **where** *E* = *E* +

Reachability_Invariant_paired_defs **where** *E* = *E*

for *E* :: $('l \times 's) \Rightarrow _$

locale *Unreachability_Invariant_paired_pre* =

Unreachability_Invariant_paired_pre_defs +

assumes *mono*:

$s \preceq s' \implies E\ (l, s)\ (l', t) \implies P\ (l, s) \implies P\ (l, s') \implies \exists\ t'.\ t \preceq t' \wedge E\ (l, s')\ (l', t')$

assumes *P_invariant*: $P\ (l, s) \implies E\ (l, s)\ (l', s') \implies P\ (l', s')$

locale *Unreachability_Invariant_paired* =

Unreachability_Invariant_paired_pre **where** *E* = *E* **and** *P* = *P* +

Unreachability_Invariant_paired_defs **where** *M* = *M* **and** *L* = *L* **and** *E* = *E* **and** *P* = *P*

for *M* :: $'l \Rightarrow 's\ \text{set}$ **and** *L* :: $'l\ \text{set}$ **and** *E* :: $'l \times 's \Rightarrow _$ **and** *P* :: $'l \times 's \Rightarrow _ +$

fixes *l₀* :: $'l$ **and** *s₀* :: $'s$

fixes *SE* :: $'l \times 's \Rightarrow 'l \times 's \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

assumes *subsumption_edges_subsume*: $SE\ (l, s)\ (l', s') \implies l' = l \wedge s \preceq s'$

assumes *M_invariant*: $l \in L \implies s \in M\ l \implies P\ (l, s)$

assumes *start*: $l_0 \in L\ \exists\ s' \in M\ l_0.\ s_0 \preceq s'\ P\ (l_0, s_0)$

assumes *closed*:
 $\forall l \in L. \forall s \in M l. \forall l' s'. E(l, s)(l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M l'. SE(l', s')(l', s''))$
begin

interpretation *Graph_Defs* E $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *inv*: *Graph_Invariant* E P
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *unreach1*: *Unreachability_Invariant1*
 $\lambda(l, s)(l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \preceq s' \wedge \lambda(l, s)(l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \prec s' E$
 $\lambda(l, s). l \in L \wedge s \in M l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *Unreachability_Invariant*
 $\lambda(l, s)(l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \preceq s' \wedge \lambda(l, s)(l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \prec s' E(l_0, s_0)$
 $\{(l, s) \mid l s. l \in L \wedge s \in M l\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma E_L :
assumes $l \in L s \in M l E(l, s)(l', s')$
shows $l' \in L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context
fixes F
assumes $F_mono: \bigwedge a b. P a \Longrightarrow F a \Longrightarrow (\lambda(l, s)(l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \preceq s') a b \Longrightarrow P b \Longrightarrow F b$
begin

private definition
 $s' \equiv SOME s. s \in M l_0 \wedge s_0 \preceq s$

private lemma $s'_correct$:
 $s' \in M l_0 \wedge s_0 \preceq s'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** s'_1 :
 $(l_0, s') \in \{(l, s) \mid l s. l \in L \wedge s \in M l\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** s'_2 :
 $(case(l_0, s_0) of (l, s) \Rightarrow \lambda(l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \preceq s')(l_0, s')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *final_unreachable*:
assumes $\forall s' \in \{(l, s) \mid l s. l \in L \wedge s \in M l\}. \neg F s'$
shows $\nexists s'. (l_0, s_0) \rightarrow^* s' \wedge F s'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *buechi_run_lasso*:
assumes *finite* $\{(l, s) \mid l s. l \in L \wedge s \in M l\}$
assumes *run* $((l_0, s_0) \#\# xs) alw (ev(holds F)) ((l_0, s_0) \#\# xs)$
obtains $s_0' l s$ **where**
 $G.reaches(l_0, s_0')(l, s) G.reaches1(l, s)(l, s) F(l, s) s_0' \in M l_0 s_0 \preceq s_0'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

```

fixes f :: 'l × 's ⇒ nat
assumes finite: finite L ∀ l ∈ L. finite (M l)
assumes f_topo: ∧ l s l1 s1 l2 s2.
  l ∈ L ⇒ s ∈ M l ⇒ l2 ∈ L ⇒ s2 ∈ M l2 ⇒ E (l, s) (l1, s1) ⇒ SE (l1, s1) (l2, s2)
⇒
  if F (l, s) then f (l, s) < f (l2, s2) else f (l, s) ≤ f (l2, s2)
begin

```

```

Definition // E // λ (l, s) (l', s') // [l' s' / l s] // M l // E (l, s) (l', s') // SE (l', s') (l'', s'') //
s'' // [l'' s'' / l' s'] // M l' // interpretation c: Contract EA // using f_topo by // standard, auto simp //
E1 // definition no_buechi_run: // assumes Graph_Defs.run E ((l0, s0) ## xs) alw (ev (holds F)) ((l0, s0) ## xs) //
((l0, s0) ## xs) // shows Falseproof // have finite: finite (λ (l, s). l ∈ L ∧ s ∈ M l) // is finite? S // proof //
// have ?S ⊆ L × M L // by auto // also from finite have finite // by auto // finally //
show ?thesis // qed // interpret sim: Simulation E // EA // λ (l, s) (l', s') // l ∈ L ∧ s' ∈ M l' // unfolding E //
def EA // def by standard auto // obtain so' l s' where // so' ⊆ so // so' ∈ M l // G reaches //
(l0, s0) (l, s) // G reaches l' (l', s') // (l, s) F (l', s') // using finite assms by // rule buechi_run // also //
when have c: E reaches l' (l', s') // using E // def G reaches l' reaches // iff // by // rule //
sim.simulation_reaches1 // auto // then have // F (l, s) // by rule c // no // accepting // cycle // from this //
F (l, s) // show ?thesis // qed

```

```

interpretation c: Contract2
  where A = λ (l, s) (l', s'). l ∈ L ∧ s ∈ M l ∧ E (l, s) (l', s')
  and B = SE
  and G = λ (l, s). l ∈ L ∧ s ∈ M l
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma no_buechi_run:
  assumes Graph_Defs.run E ((l0, s0) ## xs) alw (ev (holds F)) ((l0, s0) ## xs)
  shows False
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

end

end

4.5 Unused

```

lemma (in Reachability-Compatible-Subsumption-Graph-Final) no_accepting_cycleI:
  assumes ∄ x. G'.reachable x ∧ x →G+ x ∧ F x
  shows ∄ x. reachable x ∧ x →+ x ∧ F x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale Reachability-Compatible-Subsumption-Graph-Final2_pre =
  Subsumption-Graph-Defs + preorder less_eq less +
  fixes P :: 'a ⇒ bool and G :: 'a ⇒ bool
  assumes mono:
    a ≤ b ⇒ E a a' ⇒ P a ⇒ P b ⇒ ∃ b'. E b b' ∧ a' ≤ b'
  assumes P_invariant: P a ⇒ E a b ⇒ P b
  assumes G_P[intro]: G a ⇒ P a
  assumes subgraph: ∀ s s'. RE s s' ⇒ E s s'
  assumes G_finite: finite {a. G a}

```

and *finitely_branching*: $\bigwedge a. G\ a \implies \text{finite } (\text{Collect } (E\ a))$
assumes *G_start*: $G\ s_0$
fixes *F* :: $'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ — Final states
assumes *F_mono[intro]*: $F\ a \implies a \preceq b \implies F\ b$
assumes *G_anti_symmetric[rule_format]*: $\forall\ a\ b. G\ a \wedge G\ b \wedge a \preceq b \wedge b \preceq a \longrightarrow a = b$
begin

abbreviation *E_mix* $\equiv \lambda\ x\ y. RE\ x\ y \wedge G\ x \wedge G\ y \vee E\ x\ y \wedge G\ x \wedge \neg G\ y \vee \neg G\ x \wedge x \prec y$
 $\wedge G\ y$

sublocale *G_mix*: *Graph_Start_Defs* *E_mix* *s_0* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *P_invariant*: *Graph_Invariant* *E* *P*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *G_invariant*: *Graph_Invariant* *E_mix* *P*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mix_reachable_G[intro]*:
 $P\ x$ **if** *G_mix.reachable* *x*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *P_reachable[intro]*:
 $P\ a$ **if** *reachable* *a*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mix_finite_reachable*: *finite* $\{a. G_mix.reachable\ a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final2* =
Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final2_pre +
assumes *liveness_compatible*:
 $\forall\ a\ a'\ b. P\ a \wedge G\ a' \wedge a \preceq a' \wedge E\ a\ b$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists\ b'. b \preceq b' \wedge a' \rightarrow_G b' \wedge G\ b')$
 $\vee (\exists\ b'\ b''. b \preceq b' \wedge b' \prec b'' \wedge E\ a'\ b' \wedge \neg G\ b' \wedge G\ b'')$

begin

Setup for automation

context
includes *graph_automation*
begin

lemma *subsumption_step*:
 $(\exists\ b'. b \preceq b' \wedge a' \rightarrow_G b' \wedge G\ b') \vee (\exists\ b'\ b''. b \preceq b' \wedge b' \prec b'' \wedge E\ a'\ b' \wedge \neg G\ b' \wedge G\ b'')$
if $P\ a\ E\ a\ b\ G\ a'\ a \preceq a'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subsumption_step'*:
 $\exists\ b'. b \preceq b' \wedge G_mix.reaches1\ a'\ b' \wedge G\ b'$ **if** $P\ a\ E\ a\ b\ G\ a'\ a \preceq a'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
including *graph_automation_aggressive* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *reachability_complete'*:

$\exists s'. s \preceq s' \wedge G_mix.reachable\ s' \wedge G\ s' \text{ if } a \rightarrow^* s\ G_mix.reachable\ a\ G\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *steps_G_mix_steps*:

$\exists ys\ ns. list_all2\ (\preceq)\ xs\ (nth\ ys\ ns) \wedge G_mix.steps\ (b\ \# \ ys) \text{ if }$
 $steps\ (a\ \# \ xs)\ P\ a\ a \preceq b\ G\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cycle_G_mix_cycle''*:

assumes $steps\ (s_0\ \# \ ws\ @\ x\ \# \ xs\ @\ [x])$
shows $\exists x'\ xs'\ ys'. x \preceq x' \wedge G_mix.steps\ (s_0\ \# \ xs'\ @\ x'\ \# \ ys'\ @\ [x'])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cycle_G_mix_cycle'*:

assumes $steps\ (s_0\ \# \ ws\ @\ x\ \# \ xs\ @\ [x])$
shows $\exists y\ ys. x \preceq y \wedge G_mix.steps\ (y\ \# \ ys\ @\ [y]) \wedge G_mix.reachable\ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cycle_G_mix_cycle*:

assumes $reachable\ x\ x \rightarrow^+ x$
shows $\exists y\ ys. x \preceq y \wedge G_mix.reachable\ y \wedge G_mix.reaches1\ y\ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *no_accepting_cycleI*:

assumes $\nexists x. G_mix.reachable\ x \wedge G_mix.reaches1\ x\ x \wedge F\ x$
shows $\nexists x. reachable\ x \wedge x \rightarrow^+ x \wedge F\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

locale *Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final'_pre* =

Subsumption_Graph_Defs + *preorder_less_eq_less* +

fixes $P :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $G :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$

assumes *mono*:

$a \preceq b \implies E\ a\ a' \implies P\ a \implies P\ b \implies \exists b'. E\ b\ b' \wedge a' \preceq b'$

assumes *P_invariant*: $P\ a \implies E\ a\ b \implies P\ b$

assumes *G_P[intro]*: $G\ a \implies P\ a$

assumes *subgraph*: $\forall s\ s'. RE\ s\ s' \longrightarrow E\ s\ s'$

assumes *G_finite*: $finite\ \{a. G\ a\}$

assumes *G_start*: $G\ s_0$

fixes $F :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$ — Final states

assumes *F_mono[intro]*: $F\ a \implies a \preceq b \implies F\ b$

assumes *G_anti_symmetric[rule_format]*: $\forall a\ b. G\ a \wedge G\ b \wedge a \preceq b \wedge b \preceq a \longrightarrow a = b$

begin

abbreviation $E_mix \equiv \lambda x\ y. RE\ x\ y \wedge G\ y \vee x \prec y \wedge G\ y$

sublocale *G_mix*: *Graph_Start_Defs* $E_mix\ s_0\ \langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *P_invariant*: *Graph_Invariant* $E\ P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale $G_invariant$: $Graph_Invariant\ E_mix\ G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mix_reachable_G[intro]$:
 $G\ x\ \text{if}\ G_mix.reachable\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $P_reachable[intro]$:
 $P\ a\ \text{if}\ reachable\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mix_finite_reachable$: $finite\ \{a.\ G_mix.reachable\ a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final'' =$
 $Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final'_pre +$
assumes $liveness_compatible$:
 $\forall a\ a'\ b.\ P\ a \wedge G\ a' \wedge a \preceq a' \wedge E\ a\ b$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists a''\ b'.\ a' \preceq a'' \wedge b \preceq b' \wedge a'' \rightarrow_G b' \wedge G\ a'' \wedge G\ b')$

begin

Setup for automation

context
includes $graph_automation$

begin

lemma $subsumption_step$:
 $\exists a''\ b'.\ a' \preceq a'' \wedge b \preceq b' \wedge a'' \rightarrow_G b' \wedge G\ a'' \wedge G\ b'\ \text{if}$
 $P\ a\ E\ a\ b\ G\ a'\ a \preceq a'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $G_mix_reaches$:
assumes $G\ a\ G\ b\ a \preceq b$
shows $G_mix.reaches\ a\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subsumption_step'$:
 $\exists b'.\ b \preceq b' \wedge G_mix.reaches1\ a'\ b'\ \text{if}\ P\ a\ E\ a\ b\ G\ a'\ a \preceq a'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $reachability_complete'$:
 $\exists s'.\ s \preceq s' \wedge G_mix.reachable\ s' \wedge G\ s'\ \text{if}\ a \rightarrow^* s\ G_mix.reachable\ a\ G\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $steps_G_mix_steps$:
 $\exists ys\ ns.\ list_all2\ (\preceq)\ xs\ (nth\ ys\ ns) \wedge G_mix.steps\ (b\ \# ys)\ \text{if}$
 $steps\ (a\ \# xs)\ P\ a\ a \preceq b\ G\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma cycle_G_mix_cycle'':
  assumes steps ( $s_0 \# ws @ x \# xs @ [x]$ )
  shows  $\exists x' xs' ys'. x \preceq x' \wedge G\_mix.steps (s_0 \# xs' @ x' \# ys' @ [x'])$ 
  <proof>

lemma cycle_G_mix_cycle':
  assumes steps ( $s_0 \# ws @ x \# xs @ [x]$ )
  shows  $\exists y ys. x \preceq y \wedge G\_mix.steps (y \# ys @ [y]) \wedge G\_mix.reachable y$ 
  <proof>

lemma cycle_G_mix_cycle:
  assumes reachable  $x x \rightarrow^+ x$ 
  shows  $\exists y. x \preceq y \wedge G\_mix.reachable y \wedge G\_mix.reaches1 y y$ 
  <proof>

end

end

locale Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final' =
  Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final'_pre +
  assumes liveness_compatible:
     $\forall s. G s \longrightarrow (\forall s'. E s s' \longrightarrow RE s s' \wedge G s') \vee (\exists t. s \prec t \wedge G t)$ 
begin

  Setup for automation

context
  includes graph_automation
begin

lemmas preorder_intros = order_trans less_trans less_imp_le

lemma reachable_has_surrogate:
   $\exists t. G t \wedge s \preceq t \wedge (\forall s'. E t s' \longrightarrow RE t s' \wedge G s')$  if  $G s$ 
  <proof>

lemma subsumption_step:
   $\exists a'' b'. a' \preceq a'' \wedge b \preceq b' \wedge a'' \rightarrow_G b' \wedge G a'' \wedge G b'$  if
   $P a E a b G a' a \preceq a'$ 
  <proof>

end

sublocale Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final''
  <proof>

theorem no_accepting_cycleI:
  assumes  $\nexists x. G\_mix.reachable x \wedge G\_mix.reaches1 x x \wedge F x$ 
  shows  $\nexists x. reachable x \wedge x \rightarrow^+ x \wedge F x$ 
  <proof>

end

```

locale *Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final1* =
Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final'_pre +
assumes *reachable_has_surrogate*:
 $\forall s. G\ s \longrightarrow (\exists t. G\ t \wedge s \preceq t \wedge (\forall s'. E\ t\ s' \longrightarrow RE\ t\ s' \wedge G\ s'))$
begin

Setup for automation

context
includes *graph_automation*
begin

lemmas *preorder_intros* = *order_trans less_trans less_imp_le*

lemma *subsumption_step*:
 $\exists a''\ b'.\ a' \preceq a'' \wedge b \preceq b' \wedge a'' \rightarrow_G b' \wedge G\ a'' \wedge G\ b' \text{ if }$
 $P\ a\ E\ a\ b\ G\ a'\ a \preceq a'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

sublocale *Reachability_Compatible_Subsumption_Graph_Final''*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *no_accepting_cycleI*:
assumes $\nexists x. G_mix.reachable\ x \wedge G_mix.reaches1\ x\ x \wedge F\ x$
shows $\nexists x. reachable\ x \wedge x \rightarrow^+ x \wedge F\ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

4.6 Instantiating Reachability Compatible Subsumption Graphs

locale *Reachability_Invariant_paired* =
Reachability_Invariant_paired_defs **where** $M = M +$
preorder: *preorder less_eq less* **for** $M :: 'l \Rightarrow 's\ set +$
fixes $l_0 :: 'l$ **and** $s_0 :: 's$
assumes $E_T: \forall l\ s\ l'\ s'. E\ (l, s)\ (l', s') \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. (l', f) \in T\ l \wedge s' = f\ s)$
assumes *mono*:
 $s \preceq s' \implies E\ (l, s)\ (l', t) \implies E^{**}\ (l_0, s_0)\ (l, s) \implies E^{**}\ (l_0, s_0)\ (l, s')$
 $\implies \exists t'. t \preceq t' \wedge E\ (l, s')\ (l', t')$
assumes *finitely_branching*: *finite* (*Collect* ($E\ (a, b)$))
assumes *start*: $l_0 \in L\ s_0 \in S\ s_0 \in M(l_0)$
assumes *closed*:
 $\forall l \in L. \forall (l', f) \in T\ l. l' \in L \wedge (\forall s \in M\ l. (\exists s' \in M\ l'. f\ s \prec s') \vee f\ s \in M\ l')$
assumes *reachable*:
 $\forall l \in L. \forall s \in M\ l. RE^{**}\ (l_0, s_0)\ (l, s)$
assumes *finite*:
 $finite\ L\ \forall l \in L. finite\ (M\ l)$
begin

lemma *invariant*:
 $((\exists s' \in M\ l. s \prec s') \vee s \in M\ l) \wedge l \in L \text{ if } RE^{**}\ (l_0, s_0)\ (l, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *Reachability-Compatible-Subsumption-Graph-View*

$\lambda (l, s) (l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \preceq s' \wedge (l, s) (l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \prec s'$

$E (l_0, s_0) RE$

$\lambda (l, s) (l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \prec s' \text{ covered}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context

assumes *no_subsumption_cycle*: $G'.reachable\ x \implies x \rightarrow_{G^+}' x \implies x \rightarrow_{G^+} x$

fixes $F :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow bool$ — Final states

assumes $F_mono[intro]$: $F (l, a) \implies a \preceq b \implies F (l, b)$

begin

interpretation *Liveness-Compatible-Subsumption-Graph*

$\lambda (l, s) (l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \preceq s' \wedge (l, s) (l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \prec s'$

$E (l_0, s_0) RE F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$\nexists x. reachable\ x \wedge F\ x \wedge x \rightarrow^+ x$ **if** $\nexists x. G'.reachable\ x \wedge F\ x \wedge x \rightarrow_{G^+}' x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no_reachable_cycleI*:

$\nexists x. reachable\ x \wedge F\ x \wedge x \rightarrow^+ x$ **if** $\nexists x. G'.reachable\ x \wedge F\ x \wedge x \rightarrow_{G^+}' x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

We can certify this by accepting a set of components and checking that:

- there are no cycles in the component graph (including subsumption edges)
- no non-trivial component contains a final state
- no component contains an internal subsumption edge

end

end

4.7 Certifying Cycle-Freeness with Graph Components

context

fixes $E1\ E2 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$

fixes $S :: 'a\ set\ set$

fixes $s_0 :: 'a$ **and** $a_0 :: 'a\ set$

assumes *start*: $s_0 \in a_0\ a_0 \in S$

assumes *closed*:

$\forall a \in S. \forall x \in a. \forall y. E1\ x\ y \longrightarrow (\exists b \in S. y \in b)$

$\forall a \in S. \forall x \in a. \forall y. E2\ x\ y \longrightarrow (\exists b \in S. y \in b)$

assumes *finite*: $\forall a \in S. finite\ a$

begin

definition $E \equiv \lambda x\ y. E1\ x\ y \vee E2\ x\ y$

definition $C \equiv \lambda a\ b. \exists x \in a. \exists y \in b. E\ x\ y \wedge b \in S$

interpretation $E1$: $Graph_Start_Defs\ E1\ s_0\ \langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $E2$: $Graph_Start_Defs\ E2\ s_0\ \langle proof \rangle$

interpretation E : $Graph_Start_Defs\ E\ s_0\ \langle proof \rangle$

interpretation C : $Graph_Start_Defs\ C\ a_0\ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma E_closed :

$\forall a \in S. \forall x \in a. \forall y. E\ x\ y \longrightarrow (\exists b \in S. y \in b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $E_invariant$: $Graph_Invariant\ E\ \lambda x. \exists a \in S. x \in a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $E1_invariant$: $Graph_Invariant\ E1\ \lambda x. \exists a \in S. x \in a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $E2_invariant$: $Graph_Invariant\ E2\ \lambda x. \exists a \in S. x \in a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $C_invariant$: $Graph_Invariant\ C\ \lambda a. a \in S \wedge a \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $Simulation_Invariant\ E\ C\ (\in)\ \lambda x. \exists a \in S. x \in a \wedge a \in S \wedge a \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $Subgraph\ E\ E1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

assumes $no_internal_E2$: $\forall a \in S. \forall x \in a. \forall y \in a. \neg E2\ x\ y$

and $no_component_cycle$: $\forall a \in S. \nexists b. (C.reachable\ a \wedge C\ a\ b \wedge C.reaches\ b\ a \wedge a \neq b)$

begin

lemma $E_C_reaches1$:

$C.reaches1\ a\ b$ **if** $E.reaches1\ x\ y\ x \in a\ a \in S\ y \in b\ b \in S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $certify_no_E1_cycle$:

assumes $E.reachable\ x\ E.reaches1\ x\ x$

shows $E1.reaches1\ x\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $certify_no_accepting_cycle$:

assumes

$\forall a \in S. card\ a > 1 \longrightarrow (\forall x \in a. \neg F\ x)$

$\forall a \in S. \forall x. a = \{x\} \longrightarrow (\neg F\ x \vee \neg E1\ x\ x)$

assumes $E.reachable\ x\ E1.reaches1\ x\ x$

shows $\neg F\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

including $graph_automation_aggressive\ \langle proof \rangle$

end

end

end

5 Certificates for (Un)Reachability Properties

theory *Unreachability_Misc*

imports

Simulation_Graphs_Certification

Worklist_Algorithms.Leadsto_Impl

Munta_Base.Printing

Difference_Bound_Matrices.DBM_Imperative_Loops

Munta_Base.Trace_Timing

begin

Misc theorem (in $-$) *arg_max_nat_lemma2*:

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow nat$

assumes $P\ k$

and *finite* (*Collect* P)

shows $P\ (arg_max\ f\ P) \wedge (\forall y. P\ y \longrightarrow f\ y \leq f\ (arg_max\ f\ P))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Misc heap lemma *hoare_triple_success*:

assumes $\langle P \rangle\ c\ \langle Q \rangle$ **and** $(h, as) \models P$

shows *success* $c\ h$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *run_return*: $run\ (return\ x)\ (Some\ h)\ (Some\ h)\ x$ **for** h

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *return_hD*:

assumes $\langle Q\ x \rangle\ return\ x\ \langle PP \rangle$

shows $Q\ x \Longrightarrow_A PP\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *run_heap* :: $'a\ Heap \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$run_heap\ h = fst\ (the\ (execute\ h\ Heap.empty))$

code_printing constant *run_heap* $\rightarrow (SML)\ (fn\ f \Rightarrow f\ ())\ _$

code_printing constant *run_heap* $\rightarrow (OCaml)\ (fun\ f \rightarrow f\ ())\ _$

definition *run_map_heap* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b\ Heap) \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'b\ list$ **where**

$run_map_heap\ f\ xs = map\ (run_heap\ o\ f)\ xs$

lemma *hoare_triple_executeD*:

assumes $\langle emp \rangle\ c\ \langle \lambda r. \uparrow(P\ r) \rangle_t$

shows $P\ (fst\ (the\ (execute\ c\ h)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hoare_triple_run_heapD*:

assumes $\langle emp \rangle\ c\ \langle \lambda r. \uparrow(P\ r) \rangle_t$

shows $P\ (run_heap\ c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list_all2_conjI*:

assumes $list_all2\ P\ as\ bs\ list_all2\ Q\ as\ bs$
shows $list_all2\ (\lambda a\ b.\ P\ a\ b \wedge Q\ a\ b)\ as\ bs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hoare_triple_run_map_heapD*:
assumes $list_all\ (\lambda x.\ <emp>\ c\ x\ <\lambda r.\ \uparrow(P\ x\ r)>_t)\ xs$
shows $list_all2\ P\ xs\ (run_map_heap\ c\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hoare_triple_run_map_heapD'*:
assumes $list_all2\ (\lambda x\ xi.\ <emp>\ c\ xi\ <\lambda r.\ \uparrow(P\ x\ r)>_t)\ xs\ xsi$
shows $list_all2\ P\ xs\ (run_map_heap\ c\ xsi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $parallel_fold_map = Heap_Monad.fold_map$

Misc nres lemma *no_fail_RES_bindI*:
assumes $\bigwedge x.\ x \in S \implies nofail\ (f\ x)$
shows $nofail\ (RES\ S \gg f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nfoldli_ub_RES_rule*:
assumes $\bigwedge x\ s.\ x \in set\ xs \implies s \in S \implies f\ x\ s \leq RES\ S\ s \in S$
shows $nfoldli\ xs\ c\ f\ s \leq RES\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nfoldli_ub_rule*:
assumes $\bigwedge x\ s.\ x \in set\ xs \implies inres\ ub\ s \implies f\ x\ s \leq ub\ inres\ ub\ s$
shows $nfoldli\ xs\ c\ f\ s \leq ub$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nfoldli_nofail_rule*:
assumes $\bigwedge x\ s.\ x \in set\ xs \implies inres\ ub\ s \implies f\ x\ s \leq ub\ inres\ ub\ s\ nofail\ ub$
shows $nofail\ (nfoldli\ xs\ c\ f\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SUCCEED_lt_RES_iff[simp]*:
 $SUCCEED < RES\ S \longleftrightarrow S \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SUCCEED_lt_RETURN[intro, simp]*:
 $SUCCEED < RETURN\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SUCCEED_lt_FAIL[intro, simp]*:
 $SUCCEED < FAIL$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind_RES_gt_SUCCEED_I*:
assumes $\bigwedge s.\ f\ s > SUCCEED\ S \neq \{\}$
shows $do\ \{x \leftarrow RES\ S; f\ x\} > SUCCEED$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Monadic *list_all* and *list_ex* definition

$$\text{monadic_list_all } P \text{ } xs \equiv \text{nfoldli } xs \text{ id } (\lambda x _. P \text{ } x) \text{ True}$$

Debug version

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{monadic_list_all_fail } P \text{ } xs \equiv \\ \text{nfoldli } xs \text{ } (\lambda x. x = \text{None}) \text{ } (\lambda x _. \text{do } \{ b \leftarrow P \text{ } x; \text{RETURN } (\text{if } b \text{ then None else Some } x) \}) \\ \text{None} \end{aligned}$$
lemma *monadic_list_all_fail_alt_def*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{monadic_list_all_fail } P \text{ } xs = \\ \text{nfoldli } xs \text{ } (\lambda x. x = \text{None}) \text{ } (\lambda x _. \text{do } \{ \\ b \leftarrow P \text{ } (\text{COPY } x); \text{if } b \text{ then RETURN None else RETURN (Some } x) \}) \text{ None} \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$
definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{monadic_list_all_fail' } P \text{ } xs \equiv \\ \text{nfoldli } xs \text{ } (\lambda x. x = \text{None}) \text{ } (\lambda x _. \text{do } \{ \\ r \leftarrow P \text{ } x; \text{RETURN } (\text{case } r \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \text{Some } r) \}) \\ \text{None} \end{aligned}$$
lemma *monadic_list_all_fail'_alt_def*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{monadic_list_all_fail' } P \text{ } xs = \\ \text{nfoldli } xs \text{ } (\lambda x. x = \text{None}) \text{ } (\lambda x _. \text{do } \{ \\ r \leftarrow P \text{ } x; \text{case } r \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{RETURN None} \mid \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \text{RETURN (Some } r) \}) \\ \text{None} \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$
lemma *monadic_list_all_fail_monadic_list_all_fail'*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{monadic_list_all_fail } P \text{ } xs = \\ \text{monadic_list_all_fail' } (\lambda x. \text{do } \{ b \leftarrow P \text{ } x; \text{RETURN } (\text{if } b \text{ then None else Some } x) \}) \text{ } xs \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$
lemma *monadic_list_all_rule*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } \bigwedge x. Pi \text{ } x \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda r. r = P \text{ } x) \\ \text{shows } \text{monadic_list_all } Pi \text{ } xs \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda r. r \longleftrightarrow \text{list_all } P \text{ } xs) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$
definition

$$\text{monadic_list_ex } P \text{ } xs \equiv \text{nfoldli } xs \text{ Not } (\lambda x _. P \text{ } x) \text{ False}$$
lemma *monadic_list_ex_rule*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } \bigwedge x. Pi \text{ } x \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda r. r = P \text{ } x) \\ \text{shows } \text{monadic_list_ex } Pi \text{ } xs \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda r. r \longleftrightarrow \text{list_ex } P \text{ } xs) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$
lemma *monadic_list_ex_empty[simp]*:

$$\text{monadic_list_ex } P \text{ } [] = \text{RETURN False} \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$$
lemma *monadic_list_all_empty[simp]*:

$$\text{monadic_list_all } P \text{ } [] = \text{RETURN True} \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *monadic_list_all_False*: *monadic_list_all* ($\lambda x. \text{RETURN } \text{False}$) *xs* = *RETURN* (*xs* = [])
 <proof>

lemma *monadic_list_all_RETURN*:
monadic_list_all ($\lambda x. \text{RETURN } (P \ x)$) *xs* = *RETURN* (*list_all* *P xs*)
 <proof>

lemma *monadic_list_ex_RETURN*:
monadic_list_ex ($\lambda x. \text{RETURN } (P \ x)$) *xs* = *RETURN* (*list_ex* *P xs*)
 <proof>

lemma *monadic_list_ex_RETURN_mono*:
assumes *set xs* = *set ys*
shows *monadic_list_ex* ($\lambda s. \text{RETURN } (P \ s)$) *xs* ≤ *monadic_list_ex* ($\lambda s. \text{RETURN } (P \ s)$) *ys*
 <proof>

lemma *monadic_list_ex_nofailI*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{nofail } (f \ x)$
shows *nofail* (*monadic_list_ex* *f xs*)
 <proof>

lemma *monadic_list_all_nofailI*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{nofail } (f \ x)$
shows *nofail* (*monadic_list_all* *f xs*)
 <proof>

context
fixes *xs* **and** *g* :: *_* ⇒ *bool nres*
assumes *g_gt_SUCCEED*: $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies g \ x > \text{SUCCEED}$
begin

private lemma *nfoldli_gt_SUCCEED*: *nfoldli xs c* ($\lambda x _. g \ x$) *a* > *SUCCEED* **for** *a c*
 <proof>

lemma *monadic_list_all_gt_SUCCEED*:
monadic_list_all g xs > *SUCCEED*
 <proof>

lemma *monadic_list_ex_gt_SUCCEED*:
monadic_list_ex g xs > *SUCCEED*
 <proof>

end

lemma *monadic_list_ex_is_RETURN*:
 $\exists r. \text{monadic_list_ex } (\lambda x. \text{RETURN } (P \ x)) \ xs = \text{RETURN } r$
 <proof>

lemma *monadic_list_all_list_ex_is_RETURN*:
 $\exists r. \text{monadic_list_all } (\lambda x. \text{monadic_list_ex } (\lambda y. \text{RETURN } (P \ x \ y))) \ ys \ xs = \text{RETURN } r$
 <proof>

lemma *monadic_list_all_mono*[*refine_mono*]:

$monadic_list_all\ P\ xs \leq monadic_list_all\ Q\ xs$ **if** $\forall\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \leq Q\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $monadic_list_ex_mono[refine_mono]$:
 $monadic_list_ex\ P\ xs \leq monadic_list_ex\ Q\ xs$ **if** $\forall\ x \in set\ xs.\ P\ x \leq Q\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Abstract nres algorithm context $Reachability_Invariant_paired_defs$
begin

context
fixes $P :: ('l \times 's) \Rightarrow bool$
begin

definition $check_prop \equiv$
 $do\ \{$
 $\quad xs \leftarrow SPEC\ (\lambda xs.\ set\ xs = PR_CONST\ L);$
 $\quad monadic_list_all\ (\lambda l.\ do\ \{$
 $\quad\quad xs \leftarrow SPEC\ (\lambda xs.\ set\ xs = PR_CONST\ M\ l);$
 $\quad\quad monadic_list_all\ (\lambda s.\ RETURN\ (PR_CONST\ P\ (l,\ s)))\ xs$
 $\quad\quad \}$
 $\quad\quad \})\ xs$
 $\}$

lemma $check_prop_correct$:
 $check_prop \leq SPEC\ (\lambda r.\ r \longleftrightarrow (\forall l \in L.\ \forall s \in M\ l.\ P\ (l,\ s)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

locale $Reachability_Impl_base =$
 $Unreachability_Invariant_paired_pre_defs$ **where** $E = E$ **for** $E :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow _ +$
fixes $succs :: 'l \Rightarrow 's\ set \Rightarrow ('l \times 's\ set)\ list$
assumes $succs_correct$:
 $\bigwedge l.\ \forall s \in xs.\ P\ (l,\ s)$
 $\implies \{(l',\ s') \mid l' \in succs\ l\ xs.\ (l',\ s') \in set\ (succs\ l\ xs) \wedge s' \in succs\ l\ xs\}$
 $= (\bigcup s \in xs.\ Collect\ (E\ (l,\ s)))$

locale $Reachability_Impl_invariant =$
 $Reachability_Impl_base$ **where** $E = E +$
 $Unreachability_Invariant_paired_defs$ **where** $E = E$ **for** $E :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow _$
begin

definition $check_invariant\ L' \equiv$
 $do\ \{$
 $\quad monadic_list_all\ (\lambda l.\$
 $\quad\quad do\ \{$
 $\quad\quad\quad let\ as = M\ l;$
 $\quad\quad\quad let\ succs = succs\ l\ as;$
 $\quad\quad\quad monadic_list_all\ (\lambda(l',\ xs).\$

```

do {
  xs ← SPEC (λxs'. set xs' = xs);
  if xs = [] then RETURN True else do {
    b1 ← RETURN (l' ∈ L);
    ys ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = M l');
    b2 ← monadic_list_all (λx.
      monadic_list_ex (λy. RETURN (x ≤ y)) ys
    ) xs;
    RETURN (b1 ∧ b2)
  }
}
) succs
}
) L'
}

```

definition

$check_invariant_spec\ L' \equiv$
 $\forall l \in L'. \forall s \in M\ l. \forall l' s'. E\ (l, s)\ (l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M\ l'. s' \preceq s'')$

definition

$check_invariant_spec_pre\ L' \equiv$
 $\forall l \in set\ L'. \forall (l', ys) \in set\ (succs\ l\ (M\ l)). \forall s' \in ys. l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M\ l'. s' \preceq s'')$

lemma $check_invariant_correct_pre$:

$check_invariant\ L' \leq RETURN\ (check_invariant_spec_pre\ L')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes L'

assumes pre : $\forall l \in L. \forall s \in M\ l. P\ (l, s)\ set\ L' \subseteq L$

begin

lemma $check_invariant_spec_pre_eq_check_invariant_spec$:

$check_invariant_spec_pre\ L' = check_invariant_spec\ (set\ L')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $check_invariant_correct_strong$:

$check_invariant\ L' \leq RETURN\ (check_invariant_spec\ (set\ L'))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $check_invariant_correct$:

$check_invariant\ L' \leq SPEC\ (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow check_invariant_spec\ (set\ L'))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

locale $Reachability_Impl_base2 =$

$Reachability_Impl_base$ **where** $E = E +$

$Unreachability_Invariant_paired_pre_defs$ **where** $E = E$

for $E :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow _ +$
fixes P' **and** F
assumes $P_P: \bigwedge l\ s. P' (l, s) \Longrightarrow P (l, s)$
assumes $F_mono: \bigwedge a\ b. P\ a \Longrightarrow F\ a \Longrightarrow (\lambda(l, s). (l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \preceq s')\ a\ b \Longrightarrow P\ b \Longrightarrow F\ b$

~~**locale** *Reachability_Impl_base2* **where** $E = E +$ *Unreachability_Invariant* *paired_pre*
~~**where** $E = E$ **for** $E :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow _ +$ **fixes** P' **and** F **assumes** $P_P: \bigwedge l\ s. P' (l, s) \Longrightarrow P (l, s)$~~
~~**assumes** $F_mono: \bigwedge a\ b. P\ a \Longrightarrow F\ a \Longrightarrow (\lambda(l, s). (l', s'). l' = l \wedge s \preceq s')\ a\ b \Longrightarrow P\ b \Longrightarrow F\ b$~~~~

~~**locale** *Reachability_Impl_pre* **where** $E = E +$ *Reachability_Impl_base2*
~~**where** $E = E$ **for** $E :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow _ +$ **begin**~~~~

locale *Reachability_Impl_pre* =
Reachability_Impl_invariant **where** $E = E +$
Reachability_Impl_base2 **where** $E = E$ **for** $E :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow _ +$
begin

definition

$check_final \equiv do \{$
 $l \leftarrow SPEC (\lambda xs. set\ xs = L);$
 $monadic_list_all (\lambda l. do \{$
 $xs \leftarrow SPEC (\lambda xs. set\ xs = M\ l);$
 $monadic_list_all (\lambda s.$
 $RETURN (\neg F (l, s))$
 $)\ xs$
 $\}$
 $)\ l$
 $\}$

definition

$check_final_spec = (\forall s' \in \{(l, s) \mid l \in L \wedge s \in M\ l\}. \neg F\ s')$

lemma *check_final_correct*:

$check_final \leq SPEC (\lambda r. r \longleftrightarrow check_final_spec)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *check_final_nofail*:

$nofail\ check_final$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$check_init\ l_0\ s_0 \equiv do \{$
 $b1 \leftarrow RETURN (l_0 \in L);$
 $b2 \leftarrow RETURN (P' (l_0, s_0));$
 $xs \leftarrow SPEC (\lambda xs. set\ xs = M\ l_0);$
 $b3 \leftarrow monadic_list_ex (\lambda s. RETURN (s_0 \preceq s))\ xs;$
 $RETURN (b1 \wedge b2 \wedge b3)$
 $\}$

definition *check_all_pre_alt_def*:

$check_all_pre\ l_0\ s_0 \equiv do \{$
 $b1 \leftarrow check_init\ l_0\ s_0;$

```

    b2 ← check_prop P';
    RETURN (b1 ∧ b2)
  }

```

lemma *check_all_pre_def*:

```

  check_all_pre l0 s0 = do {
    b1 ← RETURN (l0 ∈ L);
    b2 ← RETURN (P' (l0, s0));
    xs ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = M l0);
    b3 ← monadic_list_ex (λs. RETURN (s0 ⪯ s)) xs;
    b4 ← check_prop P';
    RETURN (b1 ∧ b2 ∧ b3 ∧ b4)
  }
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

  check_init_spec l0 s0 ≡ l0 ∈ L ∧ (∃ s' ∈ M l0. s0 ⪯ s') ∧ P' (l0, s0)

```

definition

```

  check_all_pre_spec l0 s0 ≡
    (∀ l ∈ L. ∀ s ∈ M l. P' (l, s)) ∧ l0 ∈ L ∧ (∃ s' ∈ M l0. s0 ⪯ s') ∧ P' (l0, s0)

```

lemma *check_all_pre_correct*:

```

  check_all_pre l0 s0 ≤ RETURN (check_all_pre_spec l0 s0)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *check_init_correct*:

```

  check_init l0 s0 ≤ RETURN (check_init_spec l0 s0)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

locale *Reachability_Impl_pre_start* =

```

  Reachability_Impl_pre where E = E for E :: 'l × 's ⇒ _ +
  fixes l0 :: 'l and s0 :: 's

```

begin

definition

```

  check_all ≡ do {
    b ← check_all_pre l0 s0;
    if b then SPEC (λr. r → check_invariant_spec L) else RETURN False
  }

```

definition

```

  certify_unreachable = do {
    b1 ← check_all;
    b2 ← check_final;
    RETURN (b1 ∧ b2)
  }

```

lemma *certify_unreachable_alt_def*:

```

  certify_unreachable = do {
    b1 ← check_all_pre l0 s0;

```

```

    b2 ← SPEC (λr. r → check_invariant_spec L);
    b3 ← check_final;
    RETURN (b1 ∧ b2 ∧ b3)
  }
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition

$check_all_spec \equiv check_all_pre_spec\ l_0\ s_0 \wedge check_invariant_spec\ L$

lemma *check_all_correct*:

$check_all \leq SPEC\ (\lambda r. r \rightarrow check_all_spec)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

locale *Reachability_Impl_correct* =

Reachability_Impl_pre_start **where** $E = E +$
Unreachability_Invariant_paired_pre **where** $E = E$ **for** $E :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow _$
begin

lemma *Unreachability_Invariant_pairedI*[*rule_format*]:

$check_all_spec$
 $\rightarrow Unreachability_Invariant_paired\ (\preceq)\ (\prec)\ M\ L\ E\ P\ l_0\ s_0\ (\lambda(l, u)\ (l', u').\ l' = l \wedge u \preceq u')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *check_all_correct'*:

$check_all \leq SPEC\ (\lambda r. r \rightarrow$
 $Unreachability_Invariant_paired\ (\preceq)\ (\prec)\ M\ L\ E\ P\ l_0\ s_0\ (\lambda(l, u)\ (l', u').\ l' = l \wedge u \preceq u'))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *certify_unreachableI*:

$check_all_spec \wedge check_final_spec \rightarrow (\nexists s'. E^{**}\ (l_0, s_0)\ s' \wedge F\ s')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *certify_unreachable_correct*:

$certify_unreachable \leq SPEC\ (\lambda r. r \rightarrow check_all_spec \wedge check_final_spec)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *certify_unreachable_correct'*:

$certify_unreachable \leq SPEC\ (\lambda r. r \rightarrow (\nexists s'. E^{**}\ (l_0, s_0)\ s' \wedge F\ s'))$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

locale *Buechi_Impl_invariant* =

Reachability_Impl_base **where** $E = E$ **for** $E :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow _ +$
fixes $L :: 'l\ set$ **and** $M :: 'l \Rightarrow ('s \times nat)\ set$
begin

definition *check_invariant_buechi* $R\ L' \equiv$

monadic_list_all ($\lambda l.$
 $do\ \{$

```

let as = M l;
as ← SPEC (λxs'. set xs' = as);
monadic_list_all (λ(x, i). do {
  let succs = succs l {x};
  monadic_list_all (λ(l', xs). do {
    xs ← SPEC (λxs'. set xs' = xs);
    b1 ← RETURN (l' ∈ L);
    if xs = [] then RETURN True else do {
      ys ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = M l');
      b2 ← monadic_list_all (λy.
        monadic_list_ex (λ(z, j). RETURN (R l l' i j x y z)) ys
      ) xs;
      RETURN (b1 ∧ b2)
    }
  }) succs
}) as
}) L'

```

definition

$check_invariant_buechi_spec\ R\ L' \equiv$
 $\forall l \in L'. \forall (s, i) \in M\ l. \forall l' s'.$
 $E\ (l, s)\ (l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists (s'', j) \in M\ l'. R\ l\ l'\ i\ j\ s\ s'\ s'')$

lemma *check_invariant_buechi_correct*:

$check_invariant_buechi\ R\ L' \leq SPEC\ (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow check_invariant_buechi_spec\ R\ (set\ L'))$
(is $_ \leq ?rhs$)
if *assms*: $\forall l \in L. \forall (s, _) \in M\ l. P\ (l, s)\ set\ L' \subseteq L$
<proof>

end

locale *Buechi_Impl_pre* =

Buechi_Impl_invariant **where** $M = M +$
Reachability_Impl_base2 **for** $M :: 'l \Rightarrow ('s \times nat)\ set +$
assumes *finite*: $finite\ L\ \forall l \in L. finite\ (M\ l)$

begin

definition

$buechi_prop\ l\ l'\ i\ j\ s\ s'\ s'' \equiv l' \in L \wedge s' \preceq s'' \wedge$
 $(if\ F\ (l, s)\ then\ i < j\ else\ i \leq j)$

Old alternative definition. Slightly easier to work with but subsumptions are not deterministic.

definition

$has_SE \equiv \lambda s\ l. \exists s'\ j. s \preceq s' \wedge (s', j) \in M\ l$

definition

$SE \equiv \lambda(l, s)\ (l', s').\ l' = l \wedge l \in L \wedge has_SE\ s\ l \wedge$
 $(\exists j. (s', j) = arg_max\ (\lambda(s, i). i)\ (\lambda(s', j). s \preceq s' \wedge (s', j) \in M\ l))$

lemma

assumes $SE\ (l, s)\ (l', s')$
shows $SE_same_loc: l' = l$ **and** $SE_subsumes: s \preceq s'$
and $SE_is_arg_max: \exists j. is_arg_max\ (\lambda(s, i). i)\ (\lambda(s', j). s \preceq s' \wedge (s', j) \in M\ l)\ (s', j)$

(**is** $\exists j. is_arg_max \ ?f \ ?P \ (s', j)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SE_deterministic*:

assumes $\bigwedge s. s1 \preceq s \longleftrightarrow s2 \preceq s$
assumes $SE \ (l, s1) \ (l', s1') \ SE \ (l, s2) \ (l', s2')$
shows $s2' = s1'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SE_I*:

assumes $(s'', j) \in M \ l' \ buechi_prop \ l \ l' \ i \ j \ s \ s' \ s''$
shows $\exists (s'', j) \in M \ l'. SE \ (l', s') \ (l', s'')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$check_all_pre_spec1 \ inits \equiv$
 $(\forall l \in L. \forall s \in fst \ 'M \ l. P' \ (l, s)) \wedge$
 $(\forall (l_0, s_0) \in inits. l_0 \in L \wedge (\exists (s', _) \in M \ l_0. s_0 \preceq s') \wedge P' \ (l_0, s_0))$

definition

$check_buechi \ inits \equiv do \{$
 $b \leftarrow SPEC \ (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow check_all_pre_spec1 \ inits);$
 $if \ b \ then \ do \{$
 $\quad ASSERT \ (check_all_pre_spec1 \ inits);$
 $\quad SPEC \ (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow check_invariant_buechi_spec \ (buechi_prop) \ L)$
 $\} \ else \ RETURN \ False$
 $\}$

definition

$check_buechi_spec \ inits \equiv$
 $check_all_pre_spec1 \ inits$
 $\wedge (\forall l \in L. \forall (s, i) \in M \ l. \forall l' \ s'. E \ (l, s) \ (l', s')$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists (s'', j) \in M \ l'. buechi_prop \ l \ l' \ i \ j \ s \ s' \ s''))$

definition

$check_buechi_spec' \ inits \equiv$
 $(\forall (l_0, s_0) \in inits. Unreachability_Invariant_paired \ (\preceq) \ (\prec) \ (\lambda l. fst \ 'M \ l) \ L \ E \ P \ l_0 \ s_0 \ SE)$
 $\wedge (\forall l \in L. \forall (s, i) \in M \ l. \forall l' \ s'. E \ (l, s) \ (l', s')$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists (s'', j) \in M \ l'. buechi_prop \ l \ l' \ i \ j \ s \ s' \ s''))$

lemma *check_buechi_correct*:

$check_buechi \ inits \leq SPEC \ (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow check_buechi_spec \ inits)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale *Buechi_Impl_correct* =

Buechi_Impl_pre **where** $M = M$ **and** $E = E+$
Unreachability_Invariant_paired_pre **where** $E = E$ **for** E **and** $M :: 'l \Rightarrow ('s \times nat) \ set$
begin

lemma *check_buechi_correct'*:

$check_buechi \ inits \leq SPEC \ (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow check_buechi_spec' \ inits)$

⟨proof⟩

definition f_topo **where**

$f_topo \equiv \lambda(l, s). \text{Max } \{i. (s, i) \in M\ l\}$

lemma

assumes $l \in L \ (s, i) \in M\ l$

shows $f_in: (s, f_topo\ (l, s)) \in M\ l$ **(is ?P1)**

and $f_ge: \forall j. (s, j) \in M\ l \longrightarrow j \leq f_topo\ (l, s)$ **(is ?P2)**

⟨proof⟩

lemma f_topo :

fixes $l :: \langle 'l \rangle$ **and** $s :: \langle 's \rangle$ **and** $l1 :: \langle 'l \rangle$ **and** $s1 :: \langle 's \rangle$ **and** $l2 :: \langle 'l \rangle$ **and** $s2 :: \langle 's \rangle$

assumes

$check_buechi_spec' \text{ inits}$

$\langle l \in L \rangle$ **and**

$\langle s \in fst\ 'M\ l \rangle$ **and**

$\langle l2 \in L \rangle$ **and**

$\langle s2 \in fst\ 'M\ l2 \rangle$ **and**

$\langle E\ (l, s)\ (l1, s1) \rangle$ **and**

$\langle SE\ (l1, s1)\ (l2, s2) \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{if } F\ (l, s) \text{ then } f_topo\ (l, s) < f_topo\ (l2, s2) \text{ else } f_topo\ (l, s) \leq f_topo\ (l2, s2) \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma no_buechi_run :

assumes $check: check_buechi_spec' \text{ inits}$

assumes $accepting_run$:

$(l_0, s_0) \in \text{inits } Graph_Defs.run\ E\ ((l_0, s_0) \#\# xs) \text{ alw } (ev\ (holds\ F))\ ((l_0, s_0) \#\# xs)$

shows $False$

⟨proof⟩

end

locale $Reachability_Impl_common =$

$Reachability_Impl_pre$ **where** $less_eq = less_eq$ **and** $M = \lambda x. \text{case } M\ x \text{ of } None \Rightarrow \{\} \mid Some\ S \Rightarrow S$

for $less_eq :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool$ **(infix $\preceq$ 50)** **and** $M :: 'k \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set option} +$

assumes $L_finite: \text{finite } L$

and $M_ran_finite: \forall S \in \text{ran } M. \text{finite } S$

and $succs_finite: \forall l\ S. \forall (l', S') \in \text{set } (succs\ l\ S). \text{finite } S \longrightarrow \text{finite } S'$

and $succs_empty: \bigwedge l. succs\ l\ \{\} = []$

begin

lemma M_listD :

assumes $M\ l = Some\ S$

shows $\exists xs. \text{set } xs = S$

⟨proof⟩

lemma L_listD :

shows $\exists xs. \text{set } xs = L$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *check_prop_gt_SUCCEED*:
check_prop P' > SUCCEED
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

```

check_final' L' M' = do {
  l ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = L');
  monadic_list_all (λl. do {
    let S = op_map_lookup l M';
    case S of None ⇒ RETURN True | Some S ⇒ do {
      xs ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = S);
      monadic_list_all (λs.
        RETURN (¬ PR_CONST F (l, s))
      ) xs
    }
  }
) l
}

```

lemma *check_final_alt_def*:
check_final' L M = check_final
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *check_prop' where*

```

check_prop' L' M' = do {
  l ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = L');
  monadic_list_all (λl. do {
    let S = op_map_lookup l M';
    case S of None ⇒ RETURN True | Some S ⇒ do {
      xs ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = S);
      r ← monadic_list_all (λs.
        RETURN (PR_CONST P' (l, s))
      ) xs;
      RETURN r
    }
  }
) l
}

```

lemma *check_prop_alt_def*:
check_prop' L M = check_prop P'
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *check_prop'_alt_def*:

```

check_prop' L' M' = do {
  l ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = L');
  monadic_list_all (λl. do {
    let (S, M) = op_map_extract l M';
    case S of None ⇒ RETURN True | Some S ⇒ do {
      xs ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = S);
      r ← monadic_list_all (λs.
        RETURN (PR_CONST P' (l, s))
      ) xs;
      RETURN r
    }
  }

```

```

    }
  }
) l
}
⟨proof⟩

end

locale Certification_Impl_base = Reachability_Impl_base2 where less = less
for less :: 's ⇒ 's ⇒ bool (infix < 50) +
fixes A :: 's ⇒ ('si :: heap) ⇒ assn
  and K :: 'k ⇒ ('ki :: {hashable,heap}) ⇒ assn
  and Fi and keyi and Pi and copyi and Lei and succsi
assumes [sepref_fr_rules]: (keyi, RETURN o PR_CONST fst) ∈ (prod_assn K A)k →a K
assumes copyi[sepref_fr_rules]: (copyi, RETURN o COPY) ∈ Ak →a A
assumes Pi_P'[sepref_fr_rules]: (Pi, RETURN o PR_CONST P') ∈ (prod_assn K A)k →a
bool_assn
assumes Fi_F[sepref_fr_rules]: (Fi, RETURN o PR_CONST F) ∈ (prod_assn K A)d →a
bool_assn
assumes succsi[sepref_fr_rules]:
  (uncurry succsi, uncurry (RETURN oo PR_CONST succs))
  ∈ Kk *a (lso_assn A)d →a list_assn (K ×a lso_assn A)
assumes Lei[sepref_fr_rules]:
  (uncurry Lei, uncurry (RETURN oo PR_CONST less_eq)) ∈ Ak *a Ak →a bool_assn
assumes pure_K: is_pure K
assumes left_unique_K: IS_LEFT_UNIQUE (the_pure K)
assumes right_unique_K: IS_RIGHT_UNIQUE (the_pure K)

locale Reachability_Impl =
  Reachability_Impl_common where M = M +
  Certification_Impl_base where K = K and A = A +
  Reachability_Impl_correct where M = λx. case M x of None ⇒ {} | Some S ⇒ S
for M :: 'k ⇒ 'a set option
and K :: 'k ⇒ 'ki :: {hashable,heap} ⇒ assn and A :: 'a ⇒ 'ai :: heap ⇒ assn +
fixes l0i :: 'ki Heap and s0i :: 'ai Heap
assumes l0i_l0[sepref_fr_rules]:
  (uncurry0 l0i, uncurry0 (RETURN (PR_CONST l0))) ∈ unit_assnk →a K
assumes s0i_s0[sepref_fr_rules]:
  (uncurry0 s0i, uncurry0 (RETURN (PR_CONST s0))) ∈ unit_assnk →a A

definition
  print_check s b = println (s + STR ": " + (if b then STR "passed" else STR "failed"))

definition
  PRINT_CHECK = RETURN oo print_check

lemma [sepref_import_param]:
  (print_check, print_check) ∈ Id → Id → Id
  ⟨proof⟩

sepref_definition print_check_impl is

```

$uncurry\ PRINT_CHECK :: id_assn^k *_a id_assn^k \rightarrow_a id_assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sepref_register $PRINT_CHECK$

lemmas $[sepref_fr_rules] = print_check_impl.refine$

Misc implementation **sepref_decl_op** $map_lookup_copy: \lambda k\ (m :: _ \rightarrow _). (m\ k,\ m)$
 $:: K \rightarrow \langle K, V \rangle map_rel \rightarrow \langle V \rangle option_rel \times_r \langle K, V \rangle map_rel$
where $single_valued\ K\ single_valued\ (K^{-1})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$heap_map\ copy\ xs \equiv do\ \{$
 $\quad xs \leftarrow imp_nfoldli\ xs\ (\lambda _. return\ True)\ (\lambda x\ xs.\ do\ \{x \leftarrow copy\ x;\ return\ (x\ \# \ xs)\})\ \square;$
 $\quad return\ (rev\ xs)$
 $\}$

definition

$monadic_map\ copy\ xs \equiv do\ \{$
 $\quad xs \leftarrow monadic_nfoldli\ xs\ (\lambda _. RETURN\ True)\ (\lambda x\ xs.\ do\ \{x \leftarrow copy\ x;\ RETURN\ (x\ \# \ xs)\})\ \square;$
 $\quad RETURN\ (rev\ xs)$
 $\}$

context

begin

private lemma $monadic_nfoldli_rev:$

$monadic_nfoldli\ x\ (\lambda _. RETURN\ True)\ (\lambda x\ xs.\ RETURN\ (x\ \# \ xs))\ \square \leq SPEC\ (\lambda r.\ r = rev\ x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** $frame2:$

$hn_ctxt\ (list_assn\ A)\ x\ xi * hn_invalid\ (list_assn\ A)\ \square\ \square * hn_ctxt\ (list_assn\ A)\ xa\ x'$
 $\Rightarrow_t hn_ctxt\ (list_assn\ A)\ x\ xi * hn_ctxt\ (list_assn\ A)\ xa\ x'$

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** $frame3:$

$hn_ctxt\ (list_assn\ A)\ x\ xi * hn_invalid\ (list_assn\ A)\ \square\ \square$
 $\Rightarrow_t hn_ctxt\ (list_assn\ A)\ x\ xi * hn_ctxt\ (pure\ UNIV)\ xa\ x'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $list_rev_aux: list_assn\ A\ a\ c \Rightarrow_A list_assn\ A\ (rev\ a)\ (rev\ c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $copy_list_refine:$

assumes

$copy: (copy,\ RETURN \circ COPY) \in A^k \rightarrow_a A$

shows

hn_refine

$(hn_ctxt\ (list_assn\ A)\ x\ xi)$

$(heap_map\ copy\ \$\ xi)$

$(hn_ctxt\ (list_assn\ A)\ x\ xi)$

$(list_assn\ A)$

$(monadic_map\ (RETURN \circ COPY)\ \$\ x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *monadic_map_refine'*:

(*heap_map copy*, *monadic_map* (*RETURN* *o* *COPY*)) $\in$ (*list_assn* *A*)^{*k*} $\rightarrow_a$ *list_assn* *A*
if (*copy*, *RETURN* *o* *COPY*) $\in$ *A*^{*k*} $\rightarrow_a$ *A*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *copy_list_COPY*:

monadic_map (*RETURN* *o* *COPY*) = *RETURN* *o* *COPY* (**is** ?*l* = ?*r*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *copy_list_lso_assn_refine*:

(*heap_map copy*, *RETURN* *o* *COPY*) $\in$ (*lso_assn* *A*)^{*k*} $\rightarrow_a$ *lso_assn* *A*
if (*copy*, *RETURN* *o* *COPY*) $\in$ *A*^{*k*} $\rightarrow_a$ *A*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

theory *Unreachability_Certification*

imports

Simulation_Graphs_Certification
Worklist_Algorithms.Leadsto_Impl
Munta_Base.Printing
Difference_Bound_Matrices.DBM_Imperative_Loops
Munta_Base.Trace_Timing
Unreachability_Misc

begin

context

fixes *K* :: 'k $\Rightarrow$ ('ki :: {*heap*}) $\Rightarrow$ *assn*
assumes *pure_K*: *is_pure* *K*
assumes *left_unique_K*: *IS_LEFT_UNIQUE* (*the_pure* *K*)
assumes *right_unique_K*: *IS_RIGHT_UNIQUE* (*the_pure* *K*)

begin

lemma *pure_equality_impl*:

(*uncurry* (*return* *oo* (=)), *uncurry* (*RETURN* *oo* (=))) $\in$ (*K*^{*k*} *_{*a*} *K*^{*k*}) $\rightarrow_a$ *bool_assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

is_member *x* *L* $\equiv$ **do** {
 xs $\leftarrow$ *SPEC* ($\lambda xs.$ *set* *xs* = *L*);
 monadic_list_ex ($\lambda y.$ *RETURN* (*y* = *x*)) *xs*
}

lemma *is_member_refine*:

is_member *x* *L* $\leq$ *mop_set_member* *x* *L*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is_member_correct*:

(*uncurry is_member*, *uncurry* (*RETURN* *oo* *op_set_member*)) $\in$ *Id* $\times_r$ *Id* $\rightarrow$ (*bool_rel*)*nres_rel*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas [*sepref_fr_rules*] = *lso_id_hnr*

```

sepref_definition is_member_impl is
  uncurry is_member ::  $K^k *_a (lso\_assn\ K)^k \rightarrow_a bool\_assn$ 
  <proof>

lemmas op_set_member_lso_hnr = is_member_impl.refine[FCOMP is_member_correct]

end

```

Concrete Implementations **context** *Reachability_Impl*
begin

```

definition
  check_invariant' L' M' ≡ do {
    monadic_list_all ( $\lambda l.$ 
      do {
        case op_map_lookup l M' of None ⇒ RETURN True | Some xs ⇒ do {
          let succs = PR_CONST succs l xs;
          monadic_list_all ( $\lambda(l', xs).$  do {
            xs ← SPEC ( $\lambda xs'. set\ xs' = xs$ );
            if xs = [] then RETURN True
            else do {
              case op_map_lookup l' M' of None ⇒ RETURN False | Some ys ⇒ do {
                ys ← SPEC ( $\lambda xs. set\ xs = ys$ );
                monadic_list_all ( $\lambda x'.$ 
                  monadic_list_ex ( $\lambda y. RETURN (PR_CONST less\_eq\ x'\ y)$ ) ys
                ) xs
              ) ys
            }
          }
        } succs
      } L'
    )
  }

```

```

lemma succs_listD:
  assumes  $(l', S') \in set\ (succs\ l\ S)$  finite S
  shows  $\exists\ xs. set\ xs = S'$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma check_invariant'_refine:
  check_invariant' L_part M ≤ check_invariant L_part if  $L = dom\ M$  set  $L\_part \subseteq L$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma check_invariant'_no_fail:
  nofail (check_invariant' L' M')
  <proof>

```

```

lemma check_invariant'_correct_pre:
  check_invariant' L_part M ≤ RETURN (check_invariant_spec_pre L_part)
  if  $L = dom\ M$  set  $L\_part \subseteq L$ 
  <proof>

```

definition *check_invariant_spec_pre1* **where**

check_invariant_spec_pre1 $L' M' \equiv$
 $\forall l \in \text{set } L'. \forall (l', ys) \in \text{set } (\text{succs } l (M' l)). \forall s' \in ys. (\exists s'' \in M' l'. s' \preceq s'')$

lemma *check_invariant'_correct_pre1*:
check_invariant' L' M'
 $\leq \text{RETURN } (\text{check_invariant_spec_pre1 } L' (\lambda l. \text{case } M' l \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some } S \Rightarrow S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*safe_constraint_rules*] = *pure_K left_unique_K right_unique_K*

lemmas [*sepref_fr_rules*] = *lso_id_hnr*

sepref_register

PR_CONST L list_of_set PR_CONST P' PR_CONST F PR_CONST succs PR_CONST
less_eq
PR_CONST M :: ('k, 'b set) i_map

lemma [*sepref_import_param*]: $(id, id) \in (Id :: (bool \times bool) \text{ set}) \rightarrow Id$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sepref_definition *check_prop_impl_wrong* **is**
 $\text{uncurry } \text{check_prop}' :: (lso_assn \ K)^k *_a (hm.hms_assn' \ K \ (lso_assn \ A))^k \rightarrow_a id_assn$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sepref_decl_impl *map_lookup*:
 $\text{copy_list_lso_assn_refine}[OF \ \text{copyi}, \ \text{THEN } hms_hm.hms_lookup_hnr]$
uses *op_map_lookup.fref*[**where** $V = Id$] $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *table_assn* $\equiv hm.hms_assn' \ K \ (lso_assn \ A)$

sepref_thm *check_prop_impl* **is**
 $\text{uncurry } (PR_CONST \ \text{check_prop}') :: (lso_assn \ K)^k *_a \text{table_assn}^k \rightarrow_a id_assn$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete_definition (**in** $-$) *check_prop_impl*
uses *Reachability_Impl.check_prop_impl.refine_raw* **is** $(\text{uncurry } ?f, _) \in -$

sepref_thm *check_final_impl* **is**
 $\text{uncurry } (PR_CONST \ \text{check_final}') :: (lso_assn \ K)^k *_a \text{table_assn}^k \rightarrow_a id_assn$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete_definition (**in** $-$) *check_final_impl*
uses *Reachability_Impl.check_final_impl.refine_raw* **is** $(\text{uncurry } ?f, _) \in -$

lemma *K_equality*[*sepref_fr_rules*]:
 $(\text{uncurry } (\text{return } oo \ (=)), \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN } oo \ (=))) \in (K^k *_a K^k) \rightarrow_a bool_assn$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sepref_definition *is_K_eq_impl* **is**
 $\text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN } oo \ (=)) :: (K^k *_a K^k) \rightarrow_a bool_assn$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [sepref_fr_rules] = op_set_member_lso_hnr[OF pure_K left_unique_K right_unique_K]

sepref_definition *check_invariant_impl* is

uncurry (PR_CONST *check_invariant'*) :: (list_assn K)^k *_a table_assn^k →_a id_assn
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *check_invariant_impl_ht*:

<table_assn M bi * list_assn K a ai>
 check_invariant_impl ai bi
 <λr. table_assn M bi * list_assn K a ai * ↑(r → check_invariant_spec (set a))>_t
 if nofail (check_invariant' a M) L = dom M set a ⊆ L ∀ l ∈ L. ∀ s ∈ the (M l). P (l, s)
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

check_all_pre' L' M' ≡ do {
 b1 ← RETURN (PR_CONST l₀ ∈ L);
 b2 ← RETURN (PR_CONST P' (PR_CONST l₀, PR_CONST s₀));
 let S = op_map_lookup (PR_CONST l₀) M';
 case S of None ⇒ RETURN False | Some S ⇒ do {
 xs ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = S);
 b3 ← monadic_list_ex (λs. RETURN (PR_CONST less_eq (PR_CONST s₀) s)) xs;
 b4 ← PR_CONST check_prop' L' M';
 PRINT_CHECK STR "Start state is in state list" b1;
 PRINT_CHECK STR "Start state fulfills property" b2;
 PRINT_CHECK STR "Start state is subsumed" b3;
 PRINT_CHECK STR "Check property" b4;
 RETURN (b1 ∧ b2 ∧ b3 ∧ b4)
 }
}

definition

check_all' L' M' ≡ do {
 b ← check_all_pre' L' M';
 if b
 then do {
 r ← SPEC (λr. r → check_invariant_spec L');
 PRINT_CHECK STR "State set invariant check" r;
 RETURN r
 }
 else RETURN False
}

definition *check_init'* where

check_init' S ≡
 case S of None ⇒ RETURN False | Some S ⇒ do {
 xs ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = S);
 monadic_list_ex (λs. RETURN (PR_CONST less_eq (PR_CONST s₀) s)) xs
 }
}

lemma *check_all_pre'_refine*:
 $check_all_pre' L M \leq check_all_pre l_0 s_0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *check_all'_refine*:
 $check_all' L M \leq check_all$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sepref_register

$PR_CONST check_invariant' :: 'k list \Rightarrow ('k, 'b set) i_map \Rightarrow bool nres$
 $PR_CONST check_all_pre' :: 'k set \Rightarrow ('k, 'b set) i_map \Rightarrow bool nres$

$PR_CONST check_prop' :: 'k set \Rightarrow ('k, 'b set) i_map \Rightarrow bool nres$
 $PR_CONST check_all' :: 'k set \Rightarrow ('k, 'b set) i_map \Rightarrow bool nres$
 $PR_CONST check_final' :: 'k set \Rightarrow ('k, 'b set) i_map \Rightarrow bool nres$
 $PR_CONST check_init$
 $PR_CONST l_0 PR_CONST s_0$

sepref_definition *check_init_impl* **is**

$check_init' :: (option_assn (lso_assn A))^d \rightarrow_a bool_assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$L_member L' \equiv PR_CONST l_0 \in L'$

sepref_thm *L_member_impl* **is**

$RETURN o PR_CONST L_member :: (lso_assn K)^k \rightarrow_a id_assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *L_member_fold*:

$PR_CONST l_0 \in L' \equiv PR_CONST L_member L'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$lookup (M' :: 'k \Rightarrow 'a set option) = op_map_lookup (PR_CONST l_0) M'$

lemma *lookup_fold*:

$op_map_lookup (PR_CONST l_0) = PR_CONST lookup$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sepref_register *L_member lookup* :: $('k, 'b set) i_map \Rightarrow 'b set option$

definition *check2* **where**

$check2 b = PR_CONST check_init' (PR_CONST lookup b)$

lemma *check2_fold*:

$PR_CONST check_init' (PR_CONST lookup b) = PR_CONST check2 b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sepref_register *check2* :: $('k, 'b set) i_map \Rightarrow bool nres$

definition *check1* **where**

check1 = *PR_CONST* *P'* (*PR_CONST* *l*₀, *PR_CONST* *s*₀)

lemma *check1_fold*:

PR_CONST check1 = *PR_CONST P'* (*PR_CONST l*₀, *PR_CONST s*₀)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sepref_register *check1*

sepref_thm *check1_impl* **is**

uncurry0 (*RETURN* (*PR_CONST check1*)) :: *id_assn*^{*k*} →_{*a*} *id_assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sepref_thm *check2_impl* **is**

PR_CONST check2 :: *table_assn*^{*k*} →_{*a*} *id_assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas [*sepref_fr_rules*] =

L_member_impl.refine_raw
check1_impl.refine_raw
check2_impl.refine_raw
check_prop_impl.refine_raw

context

fixes *splitter* :: '*k* list ⇒ '*k* list list **and** *splitteri* :: '*ki* list ⇒ '*ki* list list

assumes *full_split*: set *xs* = (⋃ *xs* ∈ set (*splitter xs*). set *xs*)

and *same_split*:

$\bigwedge L \text{ Li. list_asn (list_asn } K) (\text{splitter } L) (\text{splitteri } Li) = \text{list_asn } K \text{ } L \text{ } Li$

begin

definition

check_invariant_all_impl *L' M'* ≡ do {
 bs ← *parallel_fold_map* (λ*L*. *check_invariant_impl* *L M'*) (*splitteri L'*);
 return (*list_all id bs*)
}

definition

split_spec ≡ λ*L M*. *RETURN* (*list_all* (λ*x*. *RETURN True* ≤ *check_invariant' x M*) (*splitter L*))

lemma *check_invariant_all_impl_refine*:

(*uncurry check_invariant_all_impl*, *uncurry* (*PR_CONST split_spec*))
 ∈ (*list_assn K*)^{*k*} *_{*a*} *table_assn*^{*k*} →_{*a*} *bool_assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sepref_definition *check_all_pre_impl* **is**

uncurry (*PR_CONST check_all_pre'*) :: (*lso_assn K*)^{*k*} *_{*a*} *table_assn*^{*k*} →_{*a*} *id_assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *check_all_pre_impl_ht*:

```

<table_assn b bi * lso_assn K a ai>
  check_all_pre_impl ai bi
< $\lambda r. \text{table\_assn } b \text{ bi} * \text{lso\_assn } K \text{ a ai} * \uparrow (\text{RETURN } r \leq \text{check\_all\_pre}' a b)$ >t
if nofail (check_all_pre' a b)
<proof>

```

definition

```

check_all'' L' M'  $\equiv$  do {
  b  $\leftarrow$  PR_CONST check_all_pre' L' M';
  if b
  then do {
    xs  $\leftarrow$  SPEC ( $\lambda xs. \text{set } xs = L'$ );
    r  $\leftarrow$  PR_CONST split_spec xs M';
    PRINT_CHECK STR "State set invariant check" r;
    RETURN r
  }
  else RETURN False
}

```

sepref_register split_spec :: 'k list $\Rightarrow$ (('k, 'b set) i_map) $\Rightarrow$ bool nres

lemmas [sepref_fr_rules] =

```

  check_all_pre_impl.refine_raw
  check_invariant_all_impl.refine

```

sepref_thm check_all_impl **is**

```

  uncurry (PR_CONST check_all'') :: (lso_assn K)k *a table_assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  id_assn
<proof>

```

lemma split_spec_correct:

```

  split_spec L' M  $\leq$  SPEC ( $\lambda r. r \longrightarrow \text{check\_invariant\_spec\_pre } L'$ )

```

if assms: dom M = L set L' $\subseteq$ L

<proof>

lemma

assumes dom M = L

shows check_all''_refine1: check_all'' L M $\leq$ check_all (is ?A)

and check_all''_refine2: check_all'' L M $\leq$ check_all' L M (is ?B)

<proof>

sepref_thm check_all_impl **is**

```

  uncurry (PR_CONST check_all') :: (lso_assn K)k *a table_assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  id_assn
<proof>

```

sepref_thm check_all_impl **is**

```

  uncurry (PR_CONST check_all') :: (lso_assn K)k *a table_assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  id_assn
<proof>

```

lemma *certify_unreachable_alt_def*:

```

  certify_unreachable  $\equiv$  do {
    b1  $\leftarrow$  PR_CONST check_all;
    b2  $\leftarrow$  PR_CONST check_final;
    RETURN (b1  $\wedge$  b2)
  }
  <proof>

```

definition *certify_unreachable'* **where**

```

  certify_unreachable' L' M'  $\equiv$  do {
    START_TIMER ();
    b1  $\leftarrow$  PR_CONST check_all'' L' M';
    SAVE_TIME STR "Time for state space invariant check";
    START_TIMER ();
    b2  $\leftarrow$  PR_CONST check_final' L' M';
    SAVE_TIME STR "Time to check final state predicate";
    PRINT_CHECK STR "All check: " b1;
    PRINT_CHECK STR "Target property check: " b2;
    RETURN (b1  $\wedge$  b2)
  }

```

lemma *certify_unreachable'_refine*:

```

  certify_unreachable' L M  $\leq$  certify_unreachable if L = dom M
  <proof>

```

sepref_register

```

  PR_CONST check_all'' :: 'k set  $\Rightarrow$  (('k, 'b set) i_map)  $\Rightarrow$  bool nres
  PR_CONST check_final

```

lemmas [*sepref_fr_rules*] =

```

  check_all_impl.refine_raw
  check_final_impl.refine_raw

```

sepref_thm *certify_unreachable_impl'* **is**

```

  uncurry (PR_CONST certify_unreachable') :: (lso_assn K)k *a table_assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  id_assn
  <proof>

```

lemma *certify_unreachable_correct'*:

```

  (uncurry0 (certify_unreachable' L M), uncurry0 (SPEC ( $\lambda r. r \longrightarrow (\nexists s'. E^{**} (l_0, s_0) s' \wedge F s')$ )))
   $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  <bool_rel>nres_rel if L = dom M
  <proof>

```

lemmas *certify_unreachable_impl'_refine* =

```

  certify_unreachable_impl'.refine_raw[
    unfolded is_member_impl_def[OF pure_K left_unique_K right_unique_K]
    check_invariant_all_impl_def check_invariant_impl_def
  ]

```

definition *certify_unreachable_new* **where**

```

  certify_unreachable_new L_list M_table  $\equiv$  let
    _ = start_timer ();

```

```

b1 = run_heap (do {M' ← M_table; check_all_pre_impl L_list M'});
_ = save_time STR "Time for checking basic preconditions";
_ = start_timer ();
xs = run_map_heap (λLi. do {M' ← M_table; check_invariant_impl Li M'}) (splitteri L_list);
b2 = list_all id xs;
_ = save_time STR "Time for state space invariant check";
_ = print_check STR "State set invariant check" b2;
_ = start_timer ();
b3 = run_heap (do {M' ← M_table; check_final_impl Fi copyi L_list M'});
_ = save_time STR "Time to check final state predicate";
_ = print_check STR "All check: " (b1 ∧ b2);
_ = print_check STR "Target property check: " b3
in b1 ∧ b2 ∧ b3

lemmas certify_unreachable_new_alt_def =
  certify_unreachable_new_def[unfolded
    check_invariant_impl_def
    check_all_pre_impl_def
    is_member_impl_def[OF pure_K left_unique_K right_unique_K]
  ]

end

concrete_definition (in _) check_all_impl
  uses Reachability_Impl.check_all_impl.refine_raw is (uncurry ?f,_) ∈ _

concrete_definition (in _) certify_unreachable_impl_inner
  uses Reachability_Impl.certify_unreachable_impl'_refine is (uncurry ?f,_) ∈ _

lemmas certify_unreachable'_impl_hnr =
  certify_unreachable_impl_inner.refine[OF Reachability_Impl_axioms]

concrete_definition (in _) certify_unreachable_impl2
  uses Reachability_Impl.certify_unreachable_new_alt_def

context
  fixes L_list and M_table
  assumes L_impl[sepref_fr_rules]:
    (uncurry0 (return L_list), uncurry0 (RETURN (PR_CONST L))) ∈ id_assnk →a lso_assn
  K
  assumes M_impl[sepref_fr_rules]:
    (uncurry0 M_table, uncurry0 (RETURN (PR_CONST M))) ∈ id_assnk →a hm.hms_assn'
  K (lso_assn A)
  fixes splitter :: 'k list ⇒ 'k list list and splitteri :: 'ki list ⇒ 'ki list list
  assumes full_split: set xs = (⋃ xs ∈ set (splitter xs). set xs)
  and same_split:
    ∧L Li. list_assn (list_assn K) (splitter L) (splitteri Li) = list_assn K L Li
begin

lemmas [sepref_fr_rules] = certify_unreachable'_impl_hnr[OF full_split same_split]

sepref_register

```

```

certify_unreachable' splitter :: 'k set  $\Rightarrow$  ('k, 'b set) i_map  $\Rightarrow$  bool nres

sempref_thm certify_unreachable_impl is
  uncurry0 (PR_CONST (certify_unreachable' splitter) (PR_CONST L) (PR_CONST M))
  :: id_assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  id_assn
  <proof>

lemmas certify_unreachable_impl_refine =
  certify_unreachable_impl.refine_raw[
    unfolded PR_CONST_def is_member_impl_def[OF pure_K left_unique_K right_unique_K],
    FCOMP certify_unreachable_correct'[OF full_split same_split]
  ]

lemma certify_unreachable_new_correct:
  assumes dom M = L
  shows certify_unreachable_new splitter L_list M_table  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\nexists$  s'. E** (l0, s0) s'  $\wedge$  F s')
  <proof>

lemmas certify_unreachable_impl2_refine =
  certify_unreachable_new_correct[
    unfolded certify_unreachable_impl2_refine[OF Reachability_Impl_axioms full_split same_split]
  ]

end

concrete_definition (in -) certify_unreachable_impl
  uses Reachability_Impl.certify_unreachable_impl_refine is (uncurry0 ?f,_) $\in$ _

Debugging definition (in -)
  mk_st_string s1 s2  $\equiv$  STR "<" + s1 + STR ", " + s2 + STR ">"

lemma [sempref_import_param]: (mk_st_string, mk_st_string)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id
  <proof>

definition (in -)
  PRINTLN = RETURN o println

lemma (in -) [sempref_import_param]:
  (println, println)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id
  <proof>

sempref_definition (in -) print_line_impl is
  PRINTLN :: id_assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  id_assn
  <proof>

sempref_register (in -) PRINTLN

lemmas [sempref_fr_rules] = print_line_impl.refine

context
  fixes show_loc :: 'k  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal nres and show_dbm :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal nres
  and show_dbm_impl and show_loc_impl

```

```

assumes show_dbm_impl: (show_dbm_impl, show_dbm) ∈ Ad →a id_assn
assumes show_loc_impl: (show_loc_impl, show_loc) ∈ Kd →a id_assn
begin

lemma [sepref_fr_rules]: (show_dbm_impl, PR_CONST show_dbm) ∈ Ad →a id_assn
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma [sepref_fr_rules]: (show_loc_impl, PR_CONST show_loc) ∈ Kd →a id_assn
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  show_st ≡ λ (l, M). do {
    s1 ← PR_CONST show_loc l;
    s2 ← PR_CONST show_dbm M;
    RETURN (mk_st_string s1 s2)
  }

sepref_register PR_CONST show_st PR_CONST show_loc PR_CONST show_dbm

sepref_thm show_st_impl is
  PR_CONST show_st :: (K ×a A)d →a id_assn
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas [sepref_fr_rules] = show_st_impl.refine_raw

definition check_prop_fail where
  check_prop_fail L' M' = do {
    l ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = L');
    r ← monadic_list_all_fail' (λl. do {
      let S = op_map_lookup l M';
      case S of None ⇒ RETURN None | Some S ⇒ do {
        xs ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = S);
        r ← monadic_list_all_fail (λs.
          RETURN (PR_CONST P' (l, s))
        ) xs;
        RETURN (case r of None ⇒ None | Some r ⇒ Some (l, r))
      }
    } l;
    case r of None ⇒ RETURN None |
      Some (l, M) ⇒ do {
        s ← PR_CONST show_st (l, COPY M);
        PRINTLN (STR "Prop failed for: ");
        PRINTLN s;
        RETURN (Some (l, M))
      }
  }

sepref_thm check_prop_fail_impl is
  uncurry check_prop_fail :: (lso_assn K)k *a table_assnd →a option_assn (K ×a A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

definition

```

check_invariant_fail L' M' ≡ do {
  l ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = L');
  monadic_list_all_fail' (λl.
do {
  case op_map_lookup l M' of None ⇒ RETURN None | Some as ⇒ do {
    let succs = PR_CONST succs l as;
    monadic_list_all_fail' (λ(l', xs). do {
      xs ← SPEC (λxs'. set xs' = xs);
      if xs = [] then RETURN None
      else do {
        b1 ← RETURN (l' ∈ L'); — XXX Optimize this
        if b1 then do {
          case op_map_lookup l' M' of None ⇒ RETURN (Some (Inl (Inr (l, l', xs)))) | Some ys
⇒ do {
            ys ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = ys);
            b2 ← monadic_list_all_fail (λx'.
              monadic_list_ex (λy. RETURN (PR_CONST less_eq x' y)) ys
            ) xs;
            case b2 of None ⇒ RETURN None | Some M ⇒ do {
              case op_map_lookup l M' of
                None ⇒ RETURN (Some (Inl (Inr (l, l', ys)))) — never used
              | Some as ⇒ do {
                as ← SPEC (λxs'. set xs' = as);
                RETURN (Some (Inr (l, as, l', M, ys)))
              }
            }
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
  else RETURN (Some (Inl (Inl (l, l', xs))))
}
}) succs
}
}
}
) l
}

```

sempref_thm *check_invariant_fail_impl* is

```

uncurry check_invariant_fail
:: (lso_assn K)k *a table_assnk →a
  option_assn ((K ×a K ×a list_assn A +a K ×a K ×a list_assn A) +a K ×a list_assn A
×a K ×a A ×a list_assn A)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemmas *check_invariant_fail_impl_refine* = *check_invariant_fail_impl.refine_raw*[
 unfolded is_member_impl_def[OF pure_K left_unique_K right_unique_K]
]

end

concrete_definition (in —) *check_prop_fail_impl*

uses *Reachability_Impl.check_prop_fail_impl.refine_raw* is (uncurry ?f,_) ∈ _

```

concrete_definition (in -) check_invariant_fail_impl
  uses Reachability_Impl.check_invariant_fail_impl_refine is (uncurry ?f,_)∈_

export_code
  certify_unreachable_impl certify_unreachable_impl2 check_prop_fail_impl check_invariant_fail_impl
in SML module_name Test

end
theory Unreachability_Certification2
  imports
    Unreachability_Misc
    Munta_Base.Abstract_Term
    HOL-Library.Parallel
  begin

  hide_const (open) list_set_rel

  lemma monadic_list_all_mono:
    monadic_list_all P xs ≤ monadic_list_all Q ys if list_all2 ( $\lambda x y. P x \leq Q y$ ) xs ys
    <proof>

  lemma monadic_list_all_mono':
    monadic_list_all P xs ≤ monadic_list_all Q ys
    if  $(xs, ys) \in \langle R \rangle list\_rel \wedge x y. (x, y) \in R \implies P x \leq Q y$ 
    <proof>

  lemma monadic_list_ex_mono:
    monadic_list_ex P xs ≤ monadic_list_ex Q ys if list_all2 ( $\lambda x y. P x \leq Q y$ ) xs ys
    <proof>

  lemma monadic_list_ex_mono':
    monadic_list_ex P xs ≤ monadic_list_ex Q ys
    if  $(xs, ys) \in \langle R \rangle list\_rel \wedge x y. (x, y) \in R \implies P x \leq Q y$ 
    <proof>

  lemma monadic_list_all_rule':
    assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in set\ xs \implies Pi\ x \leq SPEC\ (\lambda r. r \longleftrightarrow P\ x)$ 
    shows monadic_list_all Pi xs ≤ SPEC ( $\lambda r. r \longleftrightarrow list\_all\ P\ xs$ )
    <proof>

  lemma case_prod_mono:
     $(case\ x\ of\ (a, b) \Rightarrow f\ a\ b) \leq (case\ y\ of\ (a, b) \Rightarrow g\ a\ b)$ 
    if  $(x, y) \in K \times_r A \wedge ai\ bi\ a\ b. (ai, a) \in K \implies (bi, b) \in A \implies f\ ai\ bi \leq g\ a\ b$  for  $x\ y\ f\ g$ 
    <proof>

  definition list_set_rel where [to_relAPP]:
    list_set_rel R  $\equiv \langle R \rangle list\_rel\ O\ \{(xs, S). set\ xs = S\}$ 

  lemma list_set_relE:
    assumes  $(xs, zs) \in \langle R \rangle list\_set\_rel$ 
    obtains ys where  $(xs, ys) \in \langle R \rangle list\_rel$  set ys = zs
    <proof>

```

lemma *list_set_rel_Nil*[simp, intro]:
 $([], \{\}) \in \langle Id \rangle list_set_rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *specify_right*:
 $c \leq SPEC\ P \ggg c' \text{ if } P\ x\ c \leq c'\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *res_right*:
 $c \leq RES\ S \ggg c' \text{ if } x \in S\ c \leq c'\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nres_relD*:
 $c \leq \Downarrow R\ a \text{ if } (c, a) \in \langle R \rangle nres_rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list_rel_setE1*:
assumes $x \in set\ xs\ (xs, ys) \in \langle R \rangle list_rel$
obtains y **where** $y \in set\ ys\ (x, y) \in R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list_rel_setE2*:
assumes $y \in set\ ys\ (xs, ys) \in \langle R \rangle list_rel$
obtains x **where** $x \in set\ xs\ (x, y) \in R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list_of_set_impl*[autoref_rules]:
 $(\lambda xs. RETURN\ xs, list_of_set) \in \langle R \rangle list_set_rel \rightarrow \langle \langle R \rangle list_rel \rangle nres_rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case_option_mono*:
 $(case\ x\ of\ None \Rightarrow a \mid Some\ x' \Rightarrow f\ x', case\ y\ of\ None \Rightarrow b \mid Some\ x' \Rightarrow g\ x') \in R$
if $(x, y) \in \langle S \rangle option_rel\ (a, b) \in R\ (f, g) \in S \rightarrow R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *case_option_mono'* =
 $case_option_mono[\text{where } R = \langle R \rangle nres_rel \text{ for } R, THEN\ nres_relD, THEN\ refine_IdD]$

lemma *bind_mono*:
assumes $m \leq \Downarrow R\ m'$
and $\bigwedge x\ y. (x, y) \in R \implies f\ x \leq f'\ y$
shows $Refine_Basic.bind\ m\ f \leq m' \ggg f'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list_all_split*:
assumes $set\ xs = (\bigcup xs \in set\ split. set\ xs)$
shows $list_all\ P\ xs = list_all\ id\ (map\ (list_all\ P)\ split)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list_all_default_split*:
 $list_all\ P\ xs = list_all\ id\ (map\ P\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

locale Reachability_Impl_pure_base =
  Reachability_Impl_base where less_eq = less_eq
  for less_eq :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\preceq$  50) +
  fixes get_succs and K and A and L and Li and lei
    and Li_split :: 'ki list list
  assumes K_right_unique: single_valued K
  assumes K_left_unique: single_valued ( $K^{-1}$ )
  assumes Li_L: (Li, L)  $\in$   $\langle K \rangle$ list_set_rel
  assumes lei_less_eq: (lei, less_eq)  $\in$  A  $\rightarrow$  A  $\rightarrow$  bool_rel
  assumes get_succs_succs[param]:
    (get_succs, succs)  $\in$  K  $\rightarrow$   $\langle A \rangle$ list_set_rel  $\rightarrow$   $\langle K \times_r \langle A \rangle$ list_set_rel  $\rangle$ list_rel
  assumes full_split: set Li = ( $\bigcup$  xs  $\in$  set Li_split. set xs)
begin

lemma lei_refine[refine_mono]:
   $\langle \text{RETURN } (lei\ a\ b) \leq \text{RETURN } (a' \preceq b') \rangle$  if  $\langle (a, a') \in A \rangle \langle (b, b') \in A \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition list_all_split ::  $\_ \Rightarrow$  'ki list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where [simp]:
  list_all_split = list_all

definition monadic_list_all_split ::  $\_ \Rightarrow$  'ki list  $\Rightarrow$  bool nres where [simp]:
  monadic_list_all_split = monadic_list_all

lemmas pure_unfolds =
  monadic_list_all_RETURN[where 'a = 'ki, folded monadic_list_all_split_def list_all_split_def]
  monadic_list_ex_RETURN monadic_list_all_RETURN monadic_list_ex_RETURN
  nres_monad1 option.case_distrib[where h = RETURN, symmetric]
  if_distrib[where f = RETURN, symmetric] prod.case_distrib[where h = RETURN, symmetric]

lemma list_all_split:
  list_all_split Q Li = list_all id (Parallel.map (list_all Q) Li_split)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale Reachability_Impl_pure_invariant =
  Reachability_Impl_invariant where M = M +
  Reachability_Impl_pure_base where Li_split = Li_split
  for M :: 'k  $\Rightarrow$  'a set and Li_split :: 'ki list list

locale Reachability_Impl_pure_base2 =
  Reachability_Impl_pure_base where less_eq = less_eq and Li_split = Li_split +
  Reachability_Impl_base2 where less_eq = less_eq
  for less_eq :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\preceq$  50) and Li_split :: 'ki list list +
  fixes Pi and Fi
  assumes Pi_P[refine.param]: (Pi, P')  $\in$  K  $\times_r$  A  $\rightarrow$  bool_rel
  assumes Fi_F[refine]: (Fi, F)  $\in$  K  $\times_r$  A  $\rightarrow$  bool_rel

  locale/Reachability_Impl_pure_base == Reachability_Impl_pure_invariant where less_eq == less_eq
  and M == M and Li_split == Li_split + Reachability_Impl_base2 where less_eq == less_eq and M
  == M for less_eq :: 'a == 'a == bool (infix  $\preceq$  50) and M :: 'k == 'a set and Li_split :: 'ki list

```

```

fixes P and E assumes P refine param: (P, P) ∈ K × K / A / bool_rel assumes
E refine: (E, E) ∈ K × K / A / bool_rel

```

```

locale Reachability_Impl_pure =
  Reachability_Impl_common where M = M +
  Reachability_Impl_pure_base2 +
  Reachability_Impl_pre_start where M = λx. case M x of None ⇒ {} | Some S ⇒ S
for M :: 'k ⇒ 'a set option +
fixes Mi l0 i s0 i
assumes Mi_M[param]: (Mi, M) ∈ K → ⟨⟨A⟩list_set_rel⟩option_rel
assumes l0 i l0 [refine,param]: (l0 i, l0) ∈ K
and s0 i s0 [refine,param,refine_mono]: (s0 i, s0) ∈ A
begin

```

```

Refinement definition check_invariant1 L' ≡
do {
  monadic_list_all_split (λl.
    case Mi l of
      None ⇒ RETURN True
    | Some as ⇒ do {
      let succs = get_succs l as;
      monadic_list_all (λ(l', xs).
        do {
          if xs = [] then RETURN True
          else do {
            case Mi l' of
              None ⇒ RETURN False
            | Some ys ⇒ monadic_list_all (λx.
              monadic_list_ex (λy. RETURN (lei x y)) ys
            ) xs
          }
        }
      ) succs
    }
  ) L'
}

```

```

lemma Mi_M_None_iff[simp]:
  M l = None ⟷ Mi li = None if (li, l) ∈ K
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma check_invariant1_refine[refine]:
  check_invariant1 L1 ≤ check_invariant L'
if (L1, L') ∈ ⟨K⟩list_rel L = dom M set L' ⊆ L
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition check_prop1 where
  check_prop1 L' M' = do {
    l ← RETURN L';
    monadic_list_all (λl. do {
      let S = op_map_lookup l M';

```

```

    case S of None  $\Rightarrow$  RETURN True | Some S  $\Rightarrow$  do {
      xs  $\leftarrow$  RETURN S;
      r  $\leftarrow$  monadic_list_all ( $\lambda s.$ 
        RETURN (Pi (l, s))
      ) xs;
      RETURN r
    }
  }
}
) l
}

```

lemma *check_prop1_refine*:

$(\text{check_prop1}, \text{check_prop}') \in \langle K \rangle \text{list_set_rel} \rightarrow (K \rightarrow \langle \langle A \rangle \text{list_set_rel} \rangle \text{option_rel}) \rightarrow \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{nres_rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*refine*]:

$(\text{Mi } l_0 i \neq \text{None}, l_0 \in L) \in \text{bool_rel}$ **if** $L = \text{dom } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*refine*]:

$(\text{Pi } (l_0 i, s_0 i), P' (l_0, s_0)) \in \text{bool_rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

```

check_all_pre1  $\equiv$  do {
  b1  $\leftarrow$  RETURN (Mi l0 i  $\neq$  None);
  b2  $\leftarrow$  RETURN (Pi (l0 i, s0 i));
  case Mi l0 i of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  RETURN False
  | Some xs  $\Rightarrow$  do {
    b3  $\leftarrow$  monadic_list_ex ( $\lambda s.$  RETURN (lei s0 i s)) xs;
    b4  $\leftarrow$  check_prop1 Li Mi;
    RETURN (b1  $\wedge$  b2  $\wedge$  b3  $\wedge$  b4)
  }
}

```

lemma *check_all_pre1_refine*[*refine*]:

$(\text{check_all_pre1}, \text{check_all_pre } l_0 s_0) \in \langle \text{bool_rel} \rangle \text{nres_rel}$ **if** $L = \text{dom } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

```

check_all1  $\equiv$  do {
  b  $\leftarrow$  check_all_pre1;
  if b
  then do {
    r  $\leftarrow$  check_invariant1 Li;
    PRINT_CHECK STR "State set invariant check" r;
    RETURN r
  }
  else RETURN False
}

```

lemma *check_all1_correct*[*refine*]:

$check_all1 \leq SPEC (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow check_all_pre_spec\ l_0\ s_0 \wedge check_invariant_spec\ L)$ **if** $L = dom\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

```
check_final1 L' = do {
  monadic_list_all (\lambda l. do {
    case op_map_lookup l Mi of None => RETURN True | Some xs => do {
      monadic_list_all (\lambda s.
        RETURN (\neg PR_CONST Fi (l, s))
      ) xs
    }
  }
) L'
}
```

lemma *check_final1_refine*:

$check_final1\ Li \leq check_final'\ L\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

```
certify_unreachable1 = do {
  b1 <- check_all1;
  b2 <- check_final1 Li;
  RETURN (b1 & b2)
}
```

lemma *certify_unreachable1_correct*:

$certify_unreachable1 \leq SPEC (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow check_all_spec \wedge check_final_spec)$ **if** $L = dom\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Synthesizing a pure program via rewriting **lemma** *check_final1_alt_def*:

```
check_final1 L' = RETURN (list_all_split
  (\lambda l. case op_map_lookup l Mi of None => True | Some xs => list_all (\lambda s. \neg Fi (l, s)) xs) L')
\langle proof \rangle
```

concrete_definition *check_final_impl*

uses *check_final1_alt_def* **is** $_ = RETURN\ ?f$

schematic_goal *check_prop1_alt_def*:

$check_prop1\ L'\ M' \equiv RETURN\ ?f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

concrete_definition *check_prop1_impl* **uses** *check_prop1_alt_def* **is** $_ \equiv RETURN\ ?f$

schematic_goal *check_all_pre1_alt_def*:

$check_all_pre1 \equiv RETURN\ ?f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

concrete_definition *check_all_pre1_impl* **uses** *check_all_pre1_alt_def* **is** $_ \equiv RETURN\ ?f$

schematic_goal *check_invariant1_alt_def*:

$check_invariant1\ Li \equiv RETURN\ ?f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete_definition *check_invariant_impl* **uses** *check_invariant1_alt_def* **is** $_ \equiv \text{RETURN } ?f$

schematic_goal *certify_unreachable1_alt_def*:
certify_unreachable1 $\equiv \text{RETURN } ?f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete_definition *certify_unreachable_impl_pure1*
uses *certify_unreachable1_alt_def* **is** $_ \equiv \text{RETURN } ?f$

This is where we add parallel execution:

schematic_goal *certify_unreachable_impl_pure1_alt_def*:
certify_unreachable_impl_pure1 $\equiv ?f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete_definition (**in** $_$) *certify_unreachable_impl_pure*
uses *Reachability_Impl_pure.certify_unreachable_impl_pure1_alt_def* **is** $_ \equiv ?f$

sublocale *correct: Reachability_Impl_correct* **where**
 $M = \lambda x. \text{case } M \text{ } x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some } S \Rightarrow \text{abs_s } 'S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *Reachability_Impl_pure_correct* =
Reachability_Impl_pure **where** $M = M +$
Reachability_Impl_correct **where** $M = \lambda x. \text{case } M \text{ } x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some } S \Rightarrow S$ **for** M
begin

theorem *certify_unreachable_impl_pure_correct*:
certify_unreachable_impl_pure *get_succs* $Li \text{ lei } Li_split \text{ Pi } Fi \text{ Mi } l_0 i \text{ } s_0 i$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists s'. E^{**} (l_0, s_0) \text{ } s' \wedge F \text{ } s')$
if $L = \text{dom } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale Reachability_Impl_simulation = Reachability_Impl_pure where E = E / Unreachability_Invariant / proved / b
where E = E / and less_eq = less_eq' and less = less' / and P = P' / for E :: 'N / 's = 'N / 's
 $\equiv \text{bool} / \text{and } E :: 'N / 's = 'N / 's \equiv \text{bool} / \text{and } E :: 'N / 's = 'N / 's \equiv \text{bool} / \text{and } E :: 'N / 's = 'N / 's \equiv \text{bool} / \text{and}$
 $\text{less} :: 's = 's \equiv \text{bool} / \text{infix } \leq 50 / \text{and less_eq} :: 's = 's \equiv \text{bool} / \text{infix } \leq 50 / \text{and}$
 $\text{and } P :: 'N / 's = \text{bool} / \text{and abs } N :: 'N = 'N / \text{and abs } s :: 's = 's / \text{and } P :: 'N / 's =$
 $\equiv \text{bool} / \text{and abs } N :: 'N = 'N / \text{and abs } s :: 's = 's / \text{and } P :: 'N / 's = \text{bool} / \text{begin sublocale}$
 $\text{correct: Reachability_Impl_correct where } M = \lambda x. \text{case } M \text{ } x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some } S \Rightarrow \text{abs } s$
 $\text{' } S / \text{and } E = \lambda (N, s) \text{ } (N, s) / \text{True} / \text{and } P = P' / \text{and } P = P' / \text{and less_eq} = \text{less_eq} / \text{and}$
 $\text{less} = \text{less} / \text{and succs} = \lambda (N, S) \text{ } (N, \text{abs } s) \text{ } (S) \text{ } (\text{succs } N \text{ } s \text{ } s \in S) / \text{and } P$
 $= P' / \text{and } s_0 = \text{abs } s \text{ } s_0 / \text{apply standard / subgoal for is } N / \text{apply auto simp succs empty}$
 $\text{pop lemma certify_unreachable1_correct: certify_unreachable1 } \leq \text{SPEC } \lambda x \text{ } r \text{ } (\exists s'. E^{**} /$
 $\text{abs } N \text{ } l_0 \text{ } \text{abs } s \text{ } s_0) \text{ } s' \wedge F \text{ } s' / \text{if } L = \text{dom } M \text{ } \text{proof} / \text{note check_final / refine unfolded}$
 $\text{check_final all def} / \text{also note check_final_correct / finally have refined_check_final } Li \leq \text{SPEC}$
 $\lambda x \text{ } r \text{ } \text{check_final_spec} / \text{show thesis / unfolding certify_unreachable1_def / by refine pop}$

~~Not/correct/certify/unreachbleI/THEN/mg/simp/qedend~~

6 Certificates for Büchi Properties

```

locale Buechi_Impl_pure =
  Buechi_Impl_pre where M =  $\lambda x$ . case M x of None  $\Rightarrow$  {} | Some S  $\Rightarrow$  S +
  Reachability_Impl_pure_base2
for M :: 'k  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  nat) set option +
fixes Mi :: 'ki  $\Rightarrow$  ('ai  $\times$  nat) list option
assumes Mi_M[param]: (Mi, M)  $\in K \rightarrow \langle A \times_r Id \rangle list\_set\_rel \rangle option\_rel$ 
assumes F_mono:
   $\bigwedge a b. F a \implies P a \implies (\lambda(l, s) (l', s'). l' = l \wedge less\_eq s s') a b \implies P b \implies F b$ 
fixes inits :: ('k  $\times$  'a) set and initsi :: ('ki  $\times$  'ai) list
assumes initsi_inits[param]: (initsi, inits)  $\in \langle K \times_r A \rangle list\_set\_rel$ 
begin

```

```

Refinement definition check_invariant_buechi' L'  $\equiv$ 
  monadic_list_all_split ( $\lambda l$ .
    case Mi l of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  RETURN True
    | Some as  $\Rightarrow$  do {
      monadic_list_all ( $\lambda(x, i)$ . do {
        let succs = get_succs l [x];
        let is_accepting = Fi (l, x);
        let cmp = (if is_accepting then ( $\lambda j. i < j$ ) else ( $\lambda j. i \leq j$ ));
        monadic_list_all ( $\lambda(l', xs)$ .
          if xs = [] then
            RETURN True
          else
            case Mi l' of
              None  $\Rightarrow$  RETURN False
            | Some ys  $\Rightarrow$ 
              monadic_list_all ( $\lambda y$ .
                monadic_list_ex ( $\lambda(z, j)$ . RETURN (lei y z  $\wedge$  cmp j)) ys
              ) xs
            ) succs
        } ) as
    } ) L'

```

```

lemma Mi_M_None_iff[simp]:
  M l = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  Mi li = None if (li, l)  $\in K$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma (in -) list_set_rel_singletonI[param]:
  assumes (ai, a)  $\in A$ 
  shows ([ai], {a})  $\in \langle A \rangle list\_set\_rel$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma check_invariant1_refine[refine]:
  check_invariant_buechi' L1  $\leq$  check_invariant_buechi buechi_prop L'
  if (L1, L')  $\in \langle K \rangle list\_rel$  L = dom M set L'  $\subseteq$  L
  <proof>

```

definition *check_prop1* where

```

check_prop1 L' M' = do {
  l ← RETURN L';
  monadic_list_all (λl. do {
    let S = op_map_lookup l M';
    case S of None ⇒ RETURN True | Some S ⇒ do {
      xs ← RETURN S;
      r ← monadic_list_all (λ(s, _).
        RETURN (Pi (l, s))
      ) xs;
      RETURN r
    }
  }
) l
}

```

definition

```

check_init1 l0i s0i ≡ do {
  b1 ← RETURN (Mi l0i ≠ None);
  b2 ← RETURN (Pi (l0i, s0i));
  case Mi l0i of
    None ⇒ RETURN False
  | Some xs ⇒ do {
    b3 ← monadic_list_ex (λ(s, _). RETURN (lei s0i s)) xs;
    RETURN (b1 ∧ b2 ∧ b3)
  }
}

```

definition *check_prop'* where

```

check_prop' L' M' = do {
  l ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = L');
  monadic_list_all (λl. do {
    let S = op_map_lookup l M';
    case S of None ⇒ RETURN True | Some S ⇒ do {
      xs ← SPEC (λxs. set xs = S);
      r ← monadic_list_all (λ(s, _).
        RETURN (PR_CONST P' (l, s))
      ) xs;
      RETURN r
    }
  }
) l
}

```

definition

```

check_all_pre1 ≡ do {
  b1 ← monadic_list_all (λ(l0, s0). check_init1 l0 s0) initsi;
  b2 ← check_prop1 Li Mi;
  RETURN (b1 ∧ b2)
}

```

lemma *check_prop1_refine*:

```

(check_prop1, check_prop')
∈ ⟨K⟩list_set_rel → (K → ⟨⟨A ×r Id⟩list_set_rel⟩option_rel) → ⟨Id⟩nres_rel

```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *reachability*:

Reachability_Impl_pre **where** $M = \text{image fst } o \ (\lambda x. \text{case } M \ x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some } S \Rightarrow S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *check_prop_gt_SUCCEED*:

reachability.check_prop $P' > \text{SUCCEED}$ **if** $L = \text{dom } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *check_prop_alt_def*:

check_prop' $L \ M = \text{reachability.check_prop } P'$ **if** $L = \text{dom } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *check_init1_refine*:

$(\text{check_init1}, \text{reachability.check_init}) \in K \rightarrow A \rightarrow \langle \text{bool_rel} \rangle \text{nres_rel}$ **if** $L = \text{dom } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *check_all_pre1_correct[refine]*:

check_all_pre1 $\leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow \text{check_all_pre_spec1 } \text{inits})$ **if** $L = \text{dom } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

PRINT_CHECK' $s \ b \equiv \text{RETURN } (\text{let } b = b; x = \text{print_check } s \ b \text{ in } b)$

definition

certify_no_buechi_run $\equiv \text{do } \{$
 $b \leftarrow \text{check_all_pre1};$
 if b
 then do $\{$
 $r \leftarrow \text{check_invariant_buechi'} \ Li;$
 $\text{PRINT_CHECK'} \ \text{STR } \text{"State space invariant check"} \ r$
 $\}$
 else RETURN False
 $\}$

lemma *check_all1_refine*:

certify_no_buechi_run $\leq \text{check_buechi } \text{inits}$ **if** $L = \text{dom } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Synthesizing a pure program via rewriting *schematic_goal check_prop1_alt_def*:

check_prop1 $L' \ M' \equiv \text{RETURN } ?f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete_definition *check_prop_impl* **uses** *check_prop1_alt_def* **is** $_ \equiv \text{RETURN } ?f$

lemma *check_all_pre1_printing*:

check_all_pre1 $\equiv \text{do } \{$
 $b1 \leftarrow \text{monadic_list_all } (\lambda(l_0, s_0). \text{check_init1 } l_0 \ s_0) \ \text{initsi};$
 $b1 \leftarrow \text{PRINT_CHECK'} \ \text{STR } \text{"Initial state check"} \ b1;$
 $b2 \leftarrow \text{check_prop1 } Li \ Mi;$
 $b2 \leftarrow \text{PRINT_CHECK'} \ \text{STR } \text{"State set preconditions check"} \ b2;$
 $\text{RETURN } (b1 \wedge b2)$
 $\}$

```

}
⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal check_all_pre1_alt_def:
  check_all_pre1  $\equiv$  RETURN ?f
⟨proof⟩

concrete_definition check_all_pre_impl uses check_all_pre1_alt_def is _  $\equiv$  RETURN ?f

schematic_goal check_invariant_buechi'_alt_def:
  check_invariant_buechi' Li  $\equiv$  RETURN ?f
⟨proof⟩

lemma PRINT_CHECK_unfold:
  PRINT_CHECK s x = RETURN (print_check s x)
⟨proof⟩

concrete_definition check_invariant_buechi_impl
uses check_invariant_buechi'_alt_def is _  $\equiv$  RETURN ?f

schematic_goal certify_no_buechi_run_alt_def:
  certify_no_buechi_run  $\equiv$  RETURN ?f
⟨proof⟩

concrete_definition certify_no_buechi_run_pure1
uses certify_no_buechi_run_alt_def is _  $\equiv$  RETURN ?f

This is where we add parallel execution:

schematic_goal certify_no_buechi_run_pure1_alt_def:
  certify_no_buechi_run_pure1  $\equiv$  ?f
⟨proof⟩

concrete_definition (in -) certify_no_buechi_run_pure
uses Buechi_Impl_pure.certify_no_buechi_run_pure1_alt_def is _  $\equiv$  ?f

end

locale Buechi_Impl_pure_correct =
  Buechi_Impl_pure where M = M +
  Buechi_Impl_correct where M =  $\lambda x.$  case M x of None  $\Rightarrow$  {} | Some S  $\Rightarrow$  S for M
begin

theorem certify_no_buechi_run_correct:
  certify_no_buechi_run  $\leq$  SPEC ( $\lambda r.$  r  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\nexists$  xs l0 s0.
    (l0, s0)  $\in$  inits  $\wedge$  Graph_Defs.run E ((l0, s0) ## xs)  $\wedge$  alw (ev (holds F)) ((l0, s0) ## xs)))
  if L = dom M
⟨proof⟩

theorem certify_no_buechi_run_impl_pure_correct:
  certify_no_buechi_run_pure get_succs Li lei Li_split Pi Fi Mi initsi  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\nexists$  xs l0 s0.
    (l0, s0)  $\in$  inits  $\wedge$  Graph_Defs.run E ((l0, s0) ## xs)  $\wedge$  alw (ev (holds F)) ((l0, s0) ## xs))
  if L = dom M
⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale Reachability_Impl_imp_to_pure_base = Certification_Impl_base
  where  $K = K$  and  $A = A$ 
  for  $K :: 'k \Rightarrow ('ki :: \{hashable, heap\}) \Rightarrow assn$  and  $A :: 's \Rightarrow ('si :: heap) \Rightarrow assn$ 
  +
  fixes  $to\_state :: 's1 \Rightarrow 'si$  Heap and  $from\_state :: 'si \Rightarrow 's1$  Heap
    and  $to\_loc :: 'k1 \Rightarrow 'ki$  and  $from\_loc :: 'ki \Rightarrow 'k1$ 
  fixes  $lei$ 
  fixes  $K\_rel$  and  $A\_rel$ 
  fixes  $L\_list :: 'ki$  list and  $Li :: 'k1$  list and  $L :: 'k$  set and  $L' :: 'k$  list
  fixes  $Li\_split :: 'k1$  list list
  assumes  $Li$ :  $(L\_list, L') \in \langle the\_pure\ K \rangle list\_rel$   $(Li, L') \in \langle K\_rel \rangle list\_rel$  set  $L' = L$ 
  assumes  $to\_state\_ht$ :  $(s1, s) \in A\_rel \implies \langle emp \rangle to\_state\ s1 \langle \lambda si. A\ s\ si \rangle$ 
  assumes  $from\_state\_ht$ :  $\langle A\ s\ si \rangle from\_state\ si \langle \lambda s'. \uparrow((s', s) \in A\_rel) \rangle_t$ 
  assumes  $from\_loc$ :  $(li, l) \in the\_pure\ K \implies (from\_loc\ li, l) \in K\_rel$ 
  assumes  $to\_loc$ :  $(l1, l) \in K\_rel \implies (to\_loc\ l1, l) \in the\_pure\ K$ 
  assumes  $K\_rel$ :  $single\_valued\ K\_rel\ single\_valued\ (K\_rel^{-1})$ 
  assumes  $lei\_less\_eq$ :  $(lei, (\preceq)) \in A\_rel \rightarrow A\_rel \rightarrow bool\_rel$ 
  assumes  $full\_split$ :  $set\ Li = (\bigcup xs \in set\ Li\_split. set\ xs)$ 
begin

definition
   $get\_succs\ l\ xs \equiv$ 
    do {
       $let\ li = to\_loc\ l;$ 
       $xi \leftarrow Heap\_Monad.fold\_map\ to\_state\ xs;$ 
       $r \leftarrow succsi\ li\ xi;$ 
       $Heap\_Monad.fold\_map$ 
         $(\lambda(li, xi). do\ \{xs \leftarrow Heap\_Monad.fold\_map\ from\_state\ xi; return\ (from\_loc\ li, xs)\})\ r$ 
    }

lemma  $get\_succs$ :
   $(run\_heap\ oo\ get\_succs, succs)$ 
   $\in K\_rel \rightarrow \langle A\_rel \rangle list\_set\_rel \rightarrow \langle K\_rel \times_r \langle A\_rel \rangle list\_set\_rel \rangle list\_rel$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
   $to\_pair \equiv \lambda(l, s). do\ \{s \leftarrow to\_state\ s; return\ (to\_loc\ l, s)\}$ 

lemma  $to\_pair\_ht$ :
   $\langle emp \rangle to\_pair\ a1 \langle \lambda ai. (K \times_a A)\ a\ ai \rangle$  if  $(a1, a) \in K\_rel \times_r A\_rel$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale  $pure$ :
   $Reachability\_Impl\_pure\_base2$ 
  where
     $get\_succs = run\_heap\ oo\ get\_succs$  and
     $K = K\_rel$  and
     $A = A\_rel$  and
     $lei = lei$  and
     $Pi = \lambda a. run\_heap\ (do\ \{a \leftarrow to\_pair\ a; Pi\ a\})$  and
     $Fi = \lambda a. run\_heap\ (do\ \{a \leftarrow to\_pair\ a; Fi\ a\})$ 

```

```

do / # / run / heap / (do / {s / # / s0 i; / from / state / s})
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

locale Reachability_Impl_imp_to_pure = Reachability_Impl where
   $l_0 = l_0$  and  $s_0 = s_0$  and  $M = M$  and  $K = K$  and  $A = A$ 
  + Reachability_Impl_imp_to_pure_base
where  $K\_rel = K\_rel$  and  $A\_rel = A\_rel$  and  $K = K$  and  $A = A$ 
for  $l_0 :: 'k$  and  $s_0 :: 'a$ 
and  $K :: 'k \Rightarrow ('k :: \{hashable, heap\}) \Rightarrow assn$  and  $A :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a_i :: heap) \Rightarrow assn$ 
and  $M$  and  $K\_rel :: ('k_1 \times 'k)$  set and  $A\_rel :: ('a_1 \times 'a)$  set +
fixes  $M_i :: 'k_1 \Rightarrow 'a_1$  list option
assumes  $M_i\_M: (M_i, M) \in K\_rel \rightarrow \langle \langle A\_rel \rangle list\_set\_rel \rangle option\_rel$ 
begin

```

```

sublocale pure:
  Reachability_Impl_pure
where
   $M = M$  and
   $M_i = M_i$  and
   $get\_succs = run\_heap \circ get\_succs$  and
   $K = K\_rel$  and
   $A = A\_rel$  and
   $lei = lei$  and
   $P_i = \lambda a. run\_heap (do \{a \leftarrow to\_pair\ a; P_i\ a\})$  and
   $F_i = \lambda a. run\_heap (do \{a \leftarrow to\_pair\ a; F_i\ a\})$  and
   $l_0 i = from\_loc (run\_heap\ l_0 i)$  and
   $s_0 i = run\_heap (do \{s \leftarrow s_0 i; from\_state\ s\})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

locale Reachability_Impl_imp_to_pure_correct =
  Reachability_Impl_imp_to_pure where  $M = M$ 
  + Reachability_Impl_correct where  $M = \lambda x. case\ M\ x\ of\ None \Rightarrow \{\} \mid Some\ S \Rightarrow S$ 
for  $M$ 
begin

```

```

sublocale pure:
  Reachability_Impl_pure_correct
where
   $M = M$  and
   $M_i = M_i$  and
   $get\_succs = run\_heap \circ get\_succs$  and
   $K = K\_rel$  and
   $A = A\_rel$  and
   $lei = lei$  and
   $P_i = \lambda a. run\_heap (do \{a \leftarrow to\_pair\ a; P_i\ a\})$  and
   $F_i = \lambda a. run\_heap (do \{a \leftarrow to\_pair\ a; F_i\ a\})$  and
   $l_0 i = from\_loc (run\_heap\ l_0 i)$  and
   $s_0 i = run\_heap (do \{s \leftarrow s_0 i; from\_state\ s\})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

locale *Buechi_Impl_imp_to_pure* = *Buechi_Impl_pre* **where**
 $M = \lambda x. \text{ case } M \ x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some } S \Rightarrow S$
 $+ \text{Reachability_Impl_imp_to_pure_base}$
where $K_rel = K_rel$ **and** $A_rel = A_rel$
for $M :: 'k \Rightarrow ('a \times \text{nat}) \text{ set option}$
and $K_rel :: ('ki \times 'k) \text{ set}$ **and** $A_rel :: ('ai \times 'a) \text{ set} +$
fixes *inits initsi*
assumes *initsi inits*: $(\text{uncurry0 initsi}, \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN } (\text{PR_CONST inits})))$
 $\in \text{unit_assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{list_assn } (K \times_a A)$
fixes *Mi* :: $'ki \Rightarrow ('ai \times \text{nat}) \text{ list option}$
assumes *Mi_M*: $(Mi, M) \in K_rel \rightarrow \langle \langle A_rel \times_r Id \rangle \text{list_set_rel} \rangle \text{option_rel}$
begin

lemma (**in** $-$) *list_all2_flip*:
 $\text{list_all2 } P \ xs \ ys \text{ if } \text{list_all2 } Q \ ys \ xs \ (\bigwedge x \ y. Q \ y \ x \implies P \ x \ y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *pure*:
Buechi_Impl_pure
where
 $M = M$ **and**
 $Mi = Mi$ **and**
 $\text{get_succs} = \text{run_heap} \text{ oo } \text{get_succs}$ **and**
 $K = K_rel$ **and**
 $A = A_rel$ **and**
 $lei = lei$ **and**
 $Pi = \lambda a. \text{run_heap } (\text{do } \{a \leftarrow \text{to_pair } a; Pi \ a\})$ **and**
 $Fi = \lambda a. \text{run_heap } (\text{do } \{a \leftarrow \text{to_pair } a; Fi \ a\})$ **and**
 $\text{inits} = \text{set inits}$ **and**
 ~~$\text{initsi} = \text{run_heap } (\text{do } \{xs \leftarrow \text{initsi}; \text{Heap_Monad.fold_map } (\lambda(l, s). \text{do } \{s \leftarrow \text{from_state } s; \text{return } (\text{from_loc } l, s)\}) \ xs\})$~~
 $\text{initsi} =$
 $\text{run_heap } (\text{do } \{$
 $\quad xs \leftarrow \text{initsi};$
 $\quad \text{Heap_Monad.fold_map } (\lambda(l, s). \text{do } \{s \leftarrow \text{from_state } s; \text{return } (\text{from_loc } l, s)\}) \ xs\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *Buechi_Impl_imp_to_pure_correct* =
Buechi_Impl_imp_to_pure **where** $M = M +$
Buechi_Impl_correct **where** $M = \lambda x. \text{ case } M \ x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some } S \Rightarrow S$
for M
begin

sublocale *pure*:
Buechi_Impl_pure_correct
where
 $M = M$ **and**
 $Mi = Mi$ **and**
 $\text{get_succs} = \text{run_heap} \text{ oo } \text{get_succs}$ **and**
 $K = K_rel$ **and**
 $A = A_rel$ **and**

```

    lei = lei and
    Pi =  $\lambda a.$  run_heap (do {a  $\leftarrow$  to_pair a; Pi a}) and
    Fi =  $\lambda a.$  run_heap (do {a  $\leftarrow$  to_pair a; Fi a}) and
    inits = set inits and
initsi = map ( $\lambda (l, s).$  (from_loc l, from_state s)) (run_heap initsi)
    initsi =
      run_heap (do {
        xs  $\leftarrow$  initsi;
        Heap_Monad.fold_map ( $\lambda (l, s).$  do {s  $\leftarrow$  from_state s; return (from_loc l, s)}) xs})
  <proof>

end

end

```

7 Simulations for Buechi Properties

```

theory Simulation_Graphs2
  imports Timed_Automata.Simulation_Graphs HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
begin

```

This theory essentially formalizes the concepts from Guangyuan Li's FORMATS 2009 paper "Checking Timed Büchi Automata Emptiness Using LU-Abstractions" [1]. However, instead of formalizing this directly for the notions of timed Büchi automata, time-abstract simulations, and zone graphs with abstractions, we use general notions of simulation graphs with certain properties.

7.1 Misc

```

lemma map_eq_append_conv:
  (map f xs = ys @ zs) = ( $\exists$  as bs. xs = as @ bs  $\wedge$  map f as = ys  $\wedge$  map f bs = zs)
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Cons_subseq_iff:
  subseq (x # xs) ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  as bs. ys = as @ x # bs  $\wedge$  subseq xs bs)
  <proof>

```

```

lemma append_subseq_iff:
  subseq (as @ bs) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  ys zs. xs = ys @ zs  $\wedge$  subseq as ys  $\wedge$  subseq bs zs)
  <proof>

```

```

context Graph_Defs
begin

```

```

lemma steps_append_singleE:
  assumes steps (xs @ [x])
  obtains xs = [] | ys y where xs = ys @ [y] steps xs y  $\rightarrow$  x
  <proof>

```

```

lemma steps_alt_induct2[consumes 1, case_names Single Snoc]:
  assumes
    steps (a # xs @ [b]) ( $\bigwedge b.$  E a b  $\implies$  P a [] b)
     $\bigwedge y$  x xs. E y x  $\implies$  steps (a # xs @ [y])  $\implies$  P a xs y  $\implies$  P a (xs @ [y]) x
  shows P a xs b

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *steps_singleI*:
steps $[a, b]$ **if** $a \rightarrow b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.2 Backward Simulations

locale *Backward_Simulation* = *Simulation* **where** $A = E$ **and** $B = E$ **and** $sim = sim$
for $E :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **and** sim (**infix** $\preceq 60$) +
fixes $G :: 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool$
assumes *simulation*: $b \in B \implies G\ A\ B \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b'. a \rightarrow b' \wedge b \preceq b'$
and *refl*[*intro*, *simp*]: $a \preceq a$ **and** *trans*: *transp* ($\preceq$)
begin

lemmas $A_simulation_steps = simulation_steps$

sublocale *Graph_Defs* G $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $sim_transD[intro] = transpD[OF\ trans]$

lemma *backward_simulation_reaches*:
 $\exists a \in A. \exists b'. E^{**}\ a\ b' \wedge b \preceq b'$ **if** $G^{**}\ A\ B\ b \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backward_simulation_steps*:
 $\exists a \in A. \exists as\ b'. A.steps\ (a\ \# \ as\ @\ [b']) \wedge b \preceq b'$ **if** $steps\ (A\ \# \ As\ @\ [B])\ b \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backward_simulation_reaches1*:
 $\exists a \in A. \exists b'. E^{++}\ a\ b' \wedge b \preceq b'$ **if** $G^{++}\ A\ B\ b \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Corresponds to lemma 8 of [1].

lemma *steps_repeat*:
assumes $steps\ (A\ \# \ As\ @\ [A])\ a \in A\ \forall a \in A. P\ a\ \forall x\ y. x \preceq y \wedge x \in A \wedge P\ x \longrightarrow P\ y$
obtains $x\ y\ as\ xs$ **where**
 $subseq\ as\ xs\ list_all\ P\ as\ A.steps\ (x\ \# \ xs\ @\ [y])\ length\ as = n\ a \preceq y\ x \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.3 Self Simulation for a Finite Simulation Relation

This section makes the following abstractions:

- The timed automata semantics correspond to the transition system $\rightarrow (E)$.
- The finite time-abstract bisimulation $\equiv_M$ from the classic region construction corresponds to the simulation $\preceq$.

locale *Self_Simulation* =

Simulation_Invariant **where** $A = E$ **and** $B = E$ **and** $sim = sim$ **and** $PA = P$ **and** $PB = P$
for $E :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **and** sim (**infix** $\preceq 60$) **and** $P +$
assumes $refl$: $reflp (\preceq)$ **and** $trans$: $transp (\preceq)$
begin

lemma sim_refl [*intro*, *simp*]:
 $x \preceq x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas sim_transD [*intro*] = $transpD$ [*OF trans*]

Corresponds to lemma 3 of [1].

lemma $pre_cycle_infinite_cycle$:
assumes $A.steps (x \# xs @ [y]) x \preceq y P x P y$
obtains w **where**
 $A.run (x \#\# w) stream_all2 (\preceq) (cycle (x \# xs)) (x \#\# w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $Self_Simulation_Finite =$
 $Simulation_Invariant$ **where** $A = E$ **and** $B = E$ **and** $sim = sim$ **and** $PA = P$ **and** $PB = P$
for $E :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **and** sim (**infix** $\preceq 60$) **and** $P +$
assumes $equiv_sim$: $equivp (\preceq)$ **and** $finite_quotient$: $finite (UNIV // \{(x, y). x \preceq y\})$
begin

sublocale $Self_Simulation$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Roughly corresponds to lemmas 9, 10, and 11 of [1].

lemma $steps_cycle_run$:
assumes $A.steps (x \# xs) subseq\ as\ xs P x length\ as > card (UNIV // \{(x, y). x \preceq y\})$
 $\forall x \in set\ as. \varphi x \forall x \in set\ as. \forall y. x \preceq y \wedge \varphi x \longrightarrow \varphi y$
obtains w **where** $A.run (x \#\# w) infs\ \varphi\ w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.4 Combining Finite Simulation with Backward Simulation

Here, $\preceq$ is any time-abstract simulation $\preceq$, and $\preceq'$ corresponds to $\equiv_M$.

locale $Backward_Double_Simulation = Backward_Simulation$ **where** $E = E$ **and** $sim = sim +$
 $finite$: $Self_Simulation_Finite$ **where** $E = E$ **and** $sim = sim'$
for $E :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **and** sim (**infix** $\preceq 60$) **and** sim' (**infix** $\preceq'' 60$)
begin

Corresponds to lemma 12 of [1].

lemma $cycle_Buechi_run$:
assumes $steps (A \# As @ [A]) a \in A \forall a \in A. P a \forall a \in A. \varphi a$
 $\forall x y. x \preceq y \wedge x \in A \wedge \varphi x \longrightarrow \varphi y \forall x y. x \preceq' y \wedge \varphi x \longrightarrow \varphi y$
obtains $x\ xs$ **where** $A.run (x \#\# xs) infs\ \varphi\ xs\ x \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma (in *Simulation_Invariant*) *simulation_run'*:
 assumes $A.run\ (x \#\#\ xs)\ x \sim y\ PA\ x\ PB\ y$
 shows $\exists\ ys.\ B.run\ (y \#\#\ ys) \wedge stream_all2\ (\lambda a\ b.\ a \sim b \wedge PA\ a \wedge PB\ b)\ xs\ ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *Graph_Invariant_Start*
begin

lemma *reachable_reaches_equiv*: $G'.reaches\ x\ y \longleftrightarrow x \rightarrow^* y$ **if** *reachable* *x* **for** *x y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reachable_reaches1_equiv*: $G'.reaches1\ x\ y \longleftrightarrow x \rightarrow^+ y$ **if** *reachable* *x* **for** *x y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reachable_steps_equiv*:
 $G'.steps\ (x \#\ xs) \longleftrightarrow steps\ (x \#\ xs)$ **if** *reachable* *x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reachable_run_equiv*:
 $G'.run\ (x \#\# xs) \longleftrightarrow run\ (x \#\# xs)$ **if** *reachable* *x*
 — This proof is bit clumsy due to the name clash for *invariant_run*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *invariant_subgraph_equivs* =
reachable_reaches_equiv reachable_reaches1_equiv reachable_steps_equiv reachable_run_equiv

end

Adding the assumption that the abstracted zone graph is finite and complete.

locale *Backward_Double_Simulation_Complete* =
A: Graph_Defs E + G: Graph_Defs G + G_inv: Graph_Defs $\lambda x\ y.\ G\ x\ y \wedge Q\ x \wedge Q\ y$ +
backward: Backward_Double_Simulation where $E = E$ and $G = \lambda x\ y.\ G\ x\ y \wedge Q\ x \wedge Q\ y$ +
complete: Simulation_Invariant where $A = E$ and $B = G$ and $PA = P$ and $PB = Q$ and
sim = ($\in$) +
Finite_Graph where $E = G$ and $x_0 = a_0$ +
Graph_Invariant where $E = G$ and $P = Q$
for $E :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $G :: 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool$ **and** a_0 **and** $Q +$
assumes $Q_P: Q\ a \implies \forall x \in a.\ P\ x$ **and** $a_0_invariant: Q\ a_0$
begin

sublocale $G: Graph_Invariant_Start$ **where** $E = G$ **and** $P = Q$ **and** $s_0 = a_0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *G_invariant_subgraph_equivs* =
G.invariant_subgraph_equivs[unfolded complete.PB_invariant.E'_def]

Corresponds to theorem 1 of [1].

theorem *Buechi_run_lasso_iff*:
assumes
 $\forall x\ y.\ x \preceq' y \wedge \varphi\ x \longrightarrow \varphi\ y$
 $\forall x\ y.\ x \preceq y \wedge \varphi\ x \longrightarrow \varphi\ y$

```

   $\forall x y A. G.reaches\ a_0\ A \wedge x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge \varphi\ x \longrightarrow \varphi\ y$ 
shows
   $(\exists x_0\ xs. x_0 \in a_0 \wedge A.run\ (x_0\ \#\#\ xs) \wedge \inf\ \varphi\ (x_0\ \#\#\ xs))$ 
 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists as\ a\ bs. G.steps\ (a_0\ \#\ as\ @\ a\ \#\ bs\ @\ [a]) \wedge (\forall x \in a. \varphi\ x) \wedge a \neq \{\})$ 
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
<proof>

end

end

```

8 Simulations on Timed Automata

```

theory TA_Simulation
imports
  Timed_Automata.Timed_Automata
  Timed_Automata.Normalized_Zone_Semantics
  Timed_Automata.Simulation_Graphs_TA
  HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
  Simulation_Graphs2
  Munta_Base.Normalized_Zone_Semantics_Impl_Semantic_Refinement
  HOL-ex.Sketch_and_Explore
begin

```

This theory essentially formalizes the concepts from Guangyuan Li's FORMATS 2009 paper "Checking Timed Büchi Automata Emptiness Using LU-Abstractions" [1].

```

no_notation dbm_le ( $\_ \preceq \_$  [51, 51] 50)

```

8.1 Preliminaries

```

lemma
  step_z_state_setI1:  $l \in state\_set\ A$  and
  step_z_state_setI2:  $l' \in state\_set\ A$  if  $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma step_trans_z'_sound:
   $A \vdash' \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \forall u' \in Z'. \exists u \in Z. \exists d. A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^t \langle l', u' \rangle$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma step_trans_z'_exact_strong:
  assumes  $A \vdash' \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l', Z' \rangle$ 
  shows  $Z' = \{u'. \exists u \in Z. A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^t \langle l', u' \rangle\}$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma step_a_step_trans_iff:
   $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow_a \langle l', u' \rangle \longleftrightarrow (\exists g\ r. A \vdash_t \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow_{(g,a,r)} \langle l', u' \rangle)$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma step_trans'_step_trans_iff:
   $(\exists t. A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^t \langle l', u' \rangle) \longleftrightarrow A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$ 
<proof>

```

8.2 Time-Abstract Simulation

locale *Time_Abstract_Simulation* =
fixes $A :: ('a, 'c, 't :: \text{time}, 'l) \text{ ta}$
fixes $\text{sim} :: 'l \times ('c \Rightarrow 't :: \text{time}) \Rightarrow 'l \times ('c \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\preceq 60$)
assumes *sim*:
 $\bigwedge l l' l_1 u u' u_1 t. (l, u) \preceq (l', u') \implies A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^t \langle l_1, u_1 \rangle$
 $\implies \exists u_1'. A \vdash' \langle l', u' \rangle \rightarrow^t \langle l_1, u_1' \rangle \wedge (l_1, u_1) \preceq (l_1, u_1')$
assumes *refl*: $\bigwedge u. u \preceq u$ **and** *trans*: $\bigwedge u v w. u \preceq v \implies v \preceq w \implies u \preceq w$
begin

lemma *simE*:
assumes $(l, u) \preceq (l', u') \ A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^t \langle l_1, u_1 \rangle$
obtains u_1' **where** $A \vdash' \langle l', u' \rangle \rightarrow^t \langle l_1, u_1' \rangle \ (l_1, u_1) \preceq (l_1, u_1')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{abs} :: 'l \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ zone} \ (\alpha _ _ [71, 71] \ 71)$ **where**
 $\alpha \ l \ W = \{v. \exists v' \in W. (l, v) \preceq (l, v')\}$

lemma *simulation_mono*:
assumes $\alpha \ l \ Z \subseteq \alpha \ l \ Z' \ A \vdash' \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l_1, Z_1 \rangle \ A \vdash' \langle l, Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l_1, Z_1' \rangle$
shows $\alpha \ l_1 \ Z_1 \subseteq \alpha \ l_1 \ Z_1'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *simulation*:
assumes $\alpha \ l \ Z = \alpha \ l \ Z' \ A \vdash' \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l', Z_1 \rangle \ A \vdash' \langle l, Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l', Z_1' \rangle$
shows $\alpha \ l' \ Z_1 = \alpha \ l' \ Z_1'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *simulation'*:
assumes $\alpha \ l \ Z = \alpha \ l \ Z' \ A \vdash' \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l', Z_1 \rangle$
shows $\exists Z_1'. A \vdash' \langle l, Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l', Z_1' \rangle \wedge \alpha \ l' \ Z_1 = \alpha \ l' \ Z_1'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs_involutive*:
 $\alpha \ l \ (\alpha \ l \ Z) = \alpha \ l \ Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs_widens*:
 $Z \subseteq \alpha \ l \ Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This is Lemma 4 from the paper “Better Abstractions for Timed Automata” (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.3705>)

corollary *transition_compatibility*:
assumes $A \vdash' \langle l, \alpha \ l \ Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l', W \rangle \ A \vdash' \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l', Z' \rangle$
shows $\alpha \ l' \ W = \alpha \ l' \ Z'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *step_abs* ::
 $('a, 'c, 't, 'l) \text{ ta} \Rightarrow 'l \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'l \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(_ \vdash _ _ \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha(_)} _ _ [61, 61, 61] \ 61)$
where
step_alpha:

$$\begin{aligned}
& A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\tau} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{|a} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \\
& \implies A \vdash \langle l, \alpha \ l \ Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha(a)} \langle l'', \alpha \ l'' \ Z'' \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

interpretation *sim1*: *Simulation where*

$A = \lambda(l, u) \ (l', u'). \ A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$ **and**
 $B = \lambda(l, Z) \ (l', Z'). \ \exists a. \ A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha(a)} \langle l', Z' \rangle$ **and**
 $sim = \lambda(l, u) \ (l', Z). \ l' = l \wedge u \in Z \wedge \alpha \ l \ Z = Z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *sim2*: *Simulation where*

$A = \lambda(l, u) \ (l', u'). \ A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$ **and**
 $B = \lambda(l, Z) \ (l', Z'). \ \exists a. \ A \vdash \langle l, \alpha \ l \ Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha(a)} \langle l', \alpha \ l' \ Z' \rangle$ **and**
 $sim = \lambda(l, u) \ (l', Z). \ l' = l \wedge u \in Z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *self_simulation*: *Self_Simulation where*

$E = \lambda(l, u) \ (l', u'). \ A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$ **and** $P = \lambda_. \ True$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *Regions_TA*

begin

definition *sim_regions* (**infix** $\equiv_M$ 60) **where**

$sim_regions \equiv \lambda(l, u) \ (l', u').$
 $(l' = l \wedge l \in state_set \ A \wedge (\exists R \in \mathcal{R} \ l. \ u \in R \wedge u' \in R))$
 $\vee (l \notin state_set \ A \vee u \notin V) \wedge (l' \notin state_set \ A \vee u' \notin V)$

abbreviation

$valid \equiv \lambda(l, u). \ l \in state_set \ A \wedge u \in V$

lemma *R_I*:

assumes $l \in state_set \ A \ u \in V$
shows $\exists R \in \mathcal{R} \ l. \ u \in R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *regions_finite*:

$finite \ (\mathcal{R} \ l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid_iff*:

$valid \ (l, u) \longleftrightarrow valid \ (l', u') \text{ if } (l, u) \equiv_M (l', u')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *refl*:

$(l, u) \equiv_M (l, u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sym*:

$(l, u) \equiv_M (l', u') \longleftrightarrow (l', u') \equiv_M (l, u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans*:

$(l, u) \equiv_M (l'', u'')$ **if** $(l, u) \equiv_M (l', u')$ $(l', u') \equiv_M (l'', u'')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equiv*:

equivp $(\equiv_M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *same_loc*:

$l' = l$ **if** $(l, u) \equiv_M (l', u')$ *valid* (l, u)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *regions_simI*:

$(l, u) \equiv_M (l, u')$ **if** $l \in \text{state_set } A$ $R \in \mathcal{R}$ $l \ u \in R$ $u' \in R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *regions_simD*:

$u' \in R$ **if** $l \in \text{state_set } A$ $R \in \mathcal{R}$ $l \ u \in R$ $(l, u) \equiv_M (l', u')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite_quotient*:

finite $(UNIV \ /\ \{(x, y). x \equiv_M y\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *region_self_simulation*: *Self_Simulation* **where**

$E = \lambda(l, u) (l', u'). A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$ **and** $\text{sim} = (\equiv_M)$ **and** $P = \text{valid}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

8.3 LU-Simulation

definition

$\text{constraints_of } A \ l = \bigcup (\text{set 'insert (inv_of } A \ l) \{g. \exists a \ r \ l'. (l, g, a, r, l') \in \text{trans_of } A\})$

definition

$\text{is_lower } A \ L \equiv$
 $\forall l. \forall ac \in \text{constraints_of } A \ l. \text{ case } ac \text{ of}$
 $\quad GT \ c \ x \Rightarrow L \ l \ c \geq x \mid$
 $\quad GE \ c \ x \Rightarrow L \ l \ c \geq x \mid$
 $\quad EQ \ c \ x \Rightarrow L \ l \ c \geq x \mid$
 $\quad _ \Rightarrow \text{True}$

definition

$\text{is_upper } A \ U \equiv$
 $\forall l. \forall ac \in \text{constraints_of } A \ l. \text{ case } ac \text{ of}$
 $\quad LT \ c \ x \Rightarrow U \ l \ c \geq x \mid$
 $\quad LE \ c \ x \Rightarrow U \ l \ c \geq x \mid$
 $\quad EQ \ c \ x \Rightarrow U \ l \ c \geq x \mid$
 $\quad _ \Rightarrow \text{True}$

definition

$\text{is_locally_consistent } A \ k \equiv$

$\forall (l, g, a, r, l') \in \text{trans_of } A. \forall x \in \text{clk_set } A - \text{set } r. k \ l \ x \geq k \ l' \ x$

lemma *is_locally_consistentD*:

assumes *is_locally_consistent* $A \ k \ A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g,a,r} l_1$

shows $\forall x \in \text{clk_set } A - \text{set } r. k \ l \ x \geq k \ l_1 \ x$

<proof>

locale *TA_LU* =

fixes $A :: ('a, 'c, 't :: \text{time}, 'l) \text{ ta}$

fixes $L :: 'l \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 't \text{ and } U :: 'l \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 't$

assumes *is_lower*: *is_lower* $A \ L$ **and** *is_upper*: *is_upper* $A \ U$

and *locally_consistent*: *is_locally_consistent* $A \ L$ *is_locally_consistent* $A \ U$

begin

definition *sim* :: $'l \times ('c \Rightarrow 't :: \text{time}) \Rightarrow 'l \times ('c \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\preceq 60$) **where**

sim $\equiv \lambda(l, v) (l', v').$

$l' = l \wedge (\forall x \in \text{clk_set } A. (v' \ x < v \ x \longrightarrow v' \ x > L \ l \ x) \wedge (v' \ x > v \ x \longrightarrow v \ x > U \ l \ x))$

lemma *simE*:

assumes $(l, v) \preceq (l', v') \ x \in \text{clk_set } A$

obtains $l' = l \ v \ x = v' \ x$

| $l' = l \ v \ x > v' \ x \ v' \ x > L \ l \ x$

| $l' = l \ v \ x < v' \ x \ v \ x > U \ l \ x$

<proof>

lemma *sim_locD*:

$l' = l$ **if** $(l, v) \preceq (l', v')$

<proof>

lemma *sim_nonneg*:

$u \ x \geq 0$ **if** $(l, u) \preceq (l', u') \ u' \ x \geq 0 \ x \in \text{clk_set } A \ U \ l \ x \geq 0$

<proof>

lemma *sim_time_shift*:

$(l, v \oplus d) \preceq (l', v' \oplus d)$ **if** $(l, v) \preceq (l', v') \ d \geq 0$

<proof>

lemma *constraints_of_clk_set*:

assumes $g \in \text{constraints_of } A \ l$

shows

$g = LT \ c \ x \Longrightarrow c \in \text{clk_set } A$

$g = LE \ c \ x \Longrightarrow c \in \text{clk_set } A$

$g = EQ \ c \ x \Longrightarrow c \in \text{clk_set } A$

$g = GE \ c \ x \Longrightarrow c \in \text{clk_set } A$

$g = GT \ c \ x \Longrightarrow c \in \text{clk_set } A$

<proof>

lemma *constraint_simulation*:

assumes $g \in \text{constraints_of } A \ l \ (l, v) \preceq (l', v') \ v \vdash_a g$

shows $v' \vdash_a g$

<proof>

lemma *inv_simulation*:

assumes $v \vdash \text{inv_of } A \ l \ (l, v) \preceq (l', v')$

shows $v' \vdash \text{inv_of } A \ l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *guard_simulation*:

assumes $A \vdash l \longrightarrow^{g,a,r} l_1 \ v \vdash g \ (l, v) \preceq (l', v')$
shows $v' \vdash g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sim_delay*:

assumes $(l, v) \preceq (l', v') \ d \geq 0$
shows $(l, v \oplus d) \preceq (l', v' \oplus d)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clock_set_iff*:

$([r \rightarrow 0]v) \ c = (\text{if } c \in \text{set } r \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } v \ c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sim_reset*:

assumes $A \vdash l \longrightarrow^{g,a,r} l_1 \ v \vdash g \ (l, v) \preceq (l', v')$
shows $(l_1, [r \rightarrow 0]v) \preceq (l_1, [r \rightarrow 0]v')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step_t_simulation*:

$(l, u) \preceq (l', u') \implies A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^d \langle l_1, u_1 \rangle$
 $\implies \exists u_1'. A \vdash \langle l_1, u' \rangle \rightarrow^d \langle l_1, u_1' \rangle \wedge (l_1, u_1) \preceq (l_1, u_1')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step_a_simulation*:

$(l, u) \preceq (l', u') \implies A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow_a \langle l_1, u_1 \rangle$
 $\implies \exists u_1'. A \vdash \langle l, u' \rangle \rightarrow_a \langle l_1, u_1' \rangle \wedge (l_1, u_1) \preceq (l_1, u_1')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step_trans_simulation*:

$(l, u) \preceq (l', u') \implies A \vdash_t \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow_t \langle l_1, u_1 \rangle$
 $\implies \exists u_1'. A \vdash_t \langle l, u' \rangle \rightarrow_t \langle l_1, u_1' \rangle \wedge (l_1, u_1) \preceq (l_1, u_1')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *Time_Abstract_Simulation* $A \ \text{sim}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

8.4 Simulation on Reachability Invariants

locale *Invariant_Simulation* =

fixes $L :: 'l \ \text{set}$ **and** $M :: 'l \Rightarrow 's \ \text{set}$
and $SE \ E \ SE' \ E' \ \text{sim} :: ('l \times 's) \Rightarrow ('l \times 's) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
assumes SE_SE' :

$\bigwedge l \ l' \ x \ y \ x'. \ \text{sim} \ (l, x) \ (l, x') \implies SE \ (l, x) \ (l', y)$
 $\implies \exists y'. SE' \ (l, x') \ (l', y') \wedge \text{sim} \ (l', y) \ (l', y')$

assumes $SE' \ SE$:

$\bigwedge l \ l' \ x \ y \ x' \ y'. \ \text{sim} \ (l, x) \ (l, x') \implies \text{sim} \ (l', y) \ (l', y') \implies SE' \ (l, x') \ (l', y')$
 $\implies SE \ (l, x) \ (l', y)$

and $E' \ E$:

$$\bigwedge l \ l' \ a \ a' \ b'. \ sim \ (l, a) \ (l, a') \implies E' \ (l, a') \ (l', b')$$

$$\implies (\exists b. E \ (l, a) \ (l', b) \wedge sim \ (l', b) \ (l', b'))$$

begin

definition

$M' \equiv \lambda l. \{x'. \exists x \in M \ l. \ sim \ (l, x) \ (l, x')\}$

lemma *invariant_simulation*:

assumes

$\forall l \in L. \forall s \in M \ l. \forall l' \ s'. E \ (l, s) \ (l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M \ l'. SE \ (l', s') \ (l', s''))$

shows

$\forall l \in L. \forall s \in M' \ l. \forall l' \ s'. E' \ (l, s) \ (l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M' \ l'. SE' \ (l', s') \ (l', s''))$

<proof>

interpretation *Simulation* **where**

$A = E'$ **and**

$B = E$ **and**

$sim = \lambda(l, s) \ (l', s'). \ l' = l \wedge sim \ (l, s') \ (l', s)$

<proof>

context

fixes $f :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow nat$

begin

definition

$f' \equiv \lambda(l, s). \ Max \ (\{f \ (l, s') \mid s'. \ sim \ (l, s') \ (l, s) \wedge s' \in M \ l\})$

context

assumes *finite*: $finite \ L \ \forall \ l \in L. \ finite \ (M \ l)$

assumes *f_topo*: $\bigwedge l \ s \ l1 \ s1 \ l2 \ s2. \ l \in L \implies s \in M \ l \implies l2 \in L \implies s2 \in M \ l2 \implies E \ (l, s) \ (l1, s1) \implies SE \ (l1, s1) \ (l2, s2) \implies f \ (l, s) \leq f \ (l2, s2)$

begin

lemma *topo_simulation*: $\bigwedge l \ s \ l1 \ s1 \ l2 \ s2. \ l \in L \implies s \in M' \ l \implies l2 \in L \implies s2 \in M' \ l2 \implies E' \ (l, s) \ (l1, s1) \implies SE' \ (l1, s1) \ (l2, s2) \implies f' \ (l, s) \leq f' \ (l2, s2)$

<proof>

end

end

end

8.5 Abstraction-Simulation on Reachability Invariants

locale *Abstraction_Simulation* =

fixes $L :: 'l \ set$ **and** $M :: 'l \Rightarrow 's \ set$

and $SE \ E \ SE' :: ('l \times 's) \Rightarrow ('l \times 's) \Rightarrow bool$

and $\alpha :: 'l \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's$

assumes SE_SE' : $\bigwedge l \ l' \ x \ y. SE \ (l, x) \ (l', \alpha \ l' \ y) \implies SE' \ (l, \alpha \ l \ x) \ (l', \alpha \ l' \ y)$

assumes $SE_SE: \bigwedge l\ l' x\ y. SE' (l, \alpha\ l\ x) (l', \alpha\ l'\ y) \implies SE (l, x) (l', \alpha\ l'\ y)$
and simulation:
 $\bigwedge l\ l' a\ a' b.$
 $\alpha\ l\ a = \alpha\ l\ a' \implies E (l, a) (l', b) \implies (\exists b'. E (l, a') (l', b') \wedge \alpha\ l'\ b = \alpha\ l'\ b')$
begin

definition

$M' \equiv \lambda l. \alpha\ l\ ' M\ l$

inductive E' **where**

$E (l, s) (l', s') \implies E' (l, \alpha\ l\ s) (l', \alpha\ l'\ s')$

sublocale sim : *Invariant_Simulation* **where**

$sim = \lambda(l, x) (l', y). l' = l \wedge y = \alpha\ l\ x$ **and**
 $SE = \lambda(l, x) (l', y). SE (l, x) (l', \alpha\ l'\ y)$ **and**
 $SE' = \lambda(l, x) (l', y). SE' (l, x) (l', y)$ **and**
 $E = E$ **and**
 $E' = E'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $sim2$: *Simulation* **where**

$sim = \lambda(l, x) (l', y). l' = l \wedge y = \alpha\ l\ x$ **and**
 $A = E$ **and**
 $B = E'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $bisim$: *Bisimulation* **where**

$sim = \lambda(l, x) (l', y). l' = l \wedge y = \alpha\ l\ x$ **and**
 $A = E$ **and**
 $B = E'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $simulationE$:

assumes $\alpha\ l\ a = \alpha\ l\ a' E (l, a) (l', b)$
obtains b' **where** $E (l, a') (l', b') \alpha\ l'\ b = \alpha\ l'\ b'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma M'_eq :

$sim.M' = M'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $invariant_simulation$:

assumes
 $\forall l \in L. \forall s \in M\ l. \forall l' s'. E (l, s) (l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M\ l'. SE (l', s') (l', \alpha\ l'\ s''))$
shows
 $\forall l \in L. \forall s \in M' l. \forall l' s'. E' (l, s) (l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M' l'. SE' (l', s') (l', \alpha\ l'\ s''))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma — Alternative proof of: $\forall l \in L. \forall s \in M\ l. \forall l' s'. (l, s) \rightarrow (l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M\ l'. SE (l', s') (l', \alpha\ l'\ s'')) \implies \forall l \in L. \forall s \in M' l. \forall l' s'. E' (l, s) (l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M' l'. SE' (l', s') (l', \alpha\ l'\ s''))$

assumes
 $\forall l \in L. \forall s \in M\ l. \forall l' s'. E (l, s) (l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M\ l'. SE (l', s') (l', \alpha\ l'\ s''))$

shows
 $\forall l \in L. \forall s \in M' l. \forall l' s'. E' (l, s) (l', s') \longrightarrow l' \in L \wedge (\exists s'' \in M' l'. SE' (l', s') (l', s''))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context
fixes $f :: 'l \times 's \Rightarrow nat$
assumes $finite: finite\ L \ \forall\ l \in L. finite\ (M\ l)$
assumes $f_topo: \bigwedge l\ s\ l1\ s1\ l2\ s2.$
 $l \in L \Longrightarrow s \in M\ l \Longrightarrow l2 \in L \Longrightarrow s2 \in M\ l2 \Longrightarrow E\ (l, s)\ (l1, s1) \Longrightarrow SE\ (l1, s1)\ (l2, \alpha\ l2\ s2)$
 $\Longrightarrow f\ (l, s) \leq f\ (l2, s2)$
begin

definition
 $f' \equiv \lambda(l, s). Max\ (\{f\ (l, s') \mid s'. \alpha\ l\ s' = s \wedge s' \in M\ l\})$

lemma f'_eq :
 $sim.f'\ f = f'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $topo_simulation$: $\bigwedge l\ s\ l1\ s1\ l2\ s2.$
 $l \in L \Longrightarrow s \in M' l \Longrightarrow l2 \in L \Longrightarrow s2 \in M' l2 \Longrightarrow E' (l, s) (l1, s1) \Longrightarrow SE' (l1, s1) (l2, s2)$
 $\Longrightarrow$
 $f' (l, s) \leq f' (l2, s2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

8.6 Instantiation for Abstractions based on Time-Abstraction Simulations

context $Time_Abstract_Simulation$
begin

context
fixes $SE :: ('l \times ('c, 't)\ zone) \Rightarrow ('l \times ('c, 't)\ zone) \Rightarrow bool$
assumes $SE_subsumption: \bigwedge l\ l'\ Z\ Z'. SE\ (l, Z)\ (l', Z') \Longrightarrow l' = l \wedge Z \subseteq \alpha\ l'\ Z'$
and SE_determ :
 $\bigwedge l\ l'\ Z\ Z'\ W. SE\ (l, Z)\ (l', Z') \Longrightarrow \alpha\ l\ Z = \alpha\ l\ W \Longrightarrow SE\ (l, W)\ (l', Z')$
begin

lemma $step_z'_step_trans_z'_iff$:
 $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \longleftrightarrow (\exists t. A \vdash' \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^t \langle l', Z' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $Abstraction_Simulation$ **where**
 $SE = \lambda(l, Z)\ (l', Z'). \exists W. Z' = \alpha\ l\ W \wedge SE\ (l, Z)\ (l', W)$ **and**
 $E = \lambda(l, Z)\ (l', Z'). A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle$ **and**
 $SE' = \lambda(l, Z)\ (l', Z'). \exists W\ W'. Z = \alpha\ l\ W \wedge Z' = \alpha\ l'\ W' \wedge SE\ (l, W)\ (l', W')$ **and**
 $\alpha = abs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *inv: Invariant_Simulation* **where**

$SE = \lambda(l, Z) (l', Z'). \exists W. l' = l \wedge \alpha \ l \ Z' = \alpha \ l \ W \wedge SE \ (l, Z) \ (l', W)$ **and**

$E = \lambda(l, Z) (l', Z'). A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle$ **and** $E' = E'$ **and**

$SE' = \lambda(l, Z) (l', Z'). \exists W \ W'. l' = l \wedge Z = \alpha \ l \ W \wedge Z' = \alpha \ l' \ W' \wedge SE \ (l, W) \ (l', W')$ **and**

$sim = \lambda(l, Z) (l', Z'). l' = l \wedge Z' = \alpha \ l \ Z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

8.7 “Sandwiches” of Abstraction-Simulations

locale *Time_Abstract_Simulation_Sandwich* =

Regions_TA **where** $A = A +$

Time_Abstract_Simulation **where** $A = A$ **for** $A :: ('a, 'c, real, 'l) \ ta +$

assumes $sim_V: (l, u) \preceq (l', u') \implies u' \in V \implies u \in V$

fixes $I \ \beta$

assumes $I_invariant: I \ Z \implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies I \ Z'$

assumes $\beta_ \alpha: I \ Z \implies Z \subseteq V \implies l \in state_set \ A \implies \beta \ l \ Z \subseteq \alpha \ l \ Z$

and $\beta_ widens: I \ Z \implies Z \subseteq V \implies l \in state_set \ A \implies Z \subseteq \beta \ l \ Z$

and $\beta_ I: I \ Z \implies Z \subseteq V \implies l \in state_set \ A \implies I \ (\beta \ l \ Z)$

and $finite_abstraction: finite \ \{\beta \ l \ Z \mid l \ Z. I \ Z \wedge Z \subseteq V \wedge l \in state_set \ A\}$

fixes $l_0 :: 'l$ **and** $Z_0 :: ('c, real) \ zone$

assumes $l_0_state_set: l_0 \in state_set \ A$ **and** $Z_0_V: Z_0 \subseteq V$ **and** $Z_0_I: I \ Z_0$

begin

inductive *step_beta* ::

$('a, 'c, real, 'l) \ ta \Rightarrow 'l \Rightarrow ('c, real) \ zone \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'l \Rightarrow ('c, real) \ zone \Rightarrow bool$

$(_ \vdash _, _) \rightsquigarrow_{\beta(_)} _, _ [61,61,61] \ 61)$

where

step_beta:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\tau} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{|a} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle$

$\implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta(a)} \langle l'', \beta \ l'' \ Z'' \rangle$

no_notation *step_z_beta* $(_ \vdash _, _) \rightsquigarrow_{\beta(_)} _, _ [61,61,61,61] \ 61)$

no_notation *step_z_alpha* $(_ \vdash _, _) \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha(_)} _, _ [61,61,61] \ 61)$

lemma *step_beta_alt_def*:

$(\exists a. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta(a)} \langle l', W \rangle) \longleftrightarrow (\exists Z'. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \wedge W = \beta \ l' \ Z')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *step_betaE*:

assumes $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta(a)} \langle l', W \rangle$

obtains Z' **where** $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \ W = \beta \ l' \ Z'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$loc_is \ l \ s \equiv \forall (l', _) \in s. l' = l$

lemma α_V :

$\alpha\ l\ Z \subseteq V$ **if** $Z \subseteq V$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma β_V :

$\beta\ l\ Z \subseteq V$ **if** $Z \subseteq V \wedge I\ Z\ l \in state_set\ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step_z'_V$:

$Z' \subseteq V$ **if** $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle\ Z \subseteq V$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Corresponds to lemma 6 of [1].

lemma $backward_simulation$:

assumes

$b \in S' \ loc_is\ l\ S \ loc_is\ l'\ S' \ A \vdash \langle l, R_of\ S \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta(a)} \langle l', R_of\ S' \rangle$

$I\ (R_of\ S) \ R_of\ S \subseteq V$

shows $\exists a \in S. \exists b'. (case\ a\ of\ (l, u) \Rightarrow \lambda(l', u'). A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle) \ b' \wedge b \preceq b'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step'_step_beta$:

assumes

$(l, u) \in a' \ A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle \ loc_is\ l\ l' \ a' \ R_of\ a' \subseteq V \wedge I\ (R_of\ a')$

shows

$\exists b'. (\exists a \ l\ l'. \ loc_is\ l\ a' \wedge \ loc_is\ l'\ b' \wedge a' \neq \{\} \wedge b' \neq \{\} \wedge$

$A \vdash \langle l, R_of\ a' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta a} \langle l', R_of\ b' \rangle) \wedge (l', u') \in b'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $beta_step\ where$

$beta_step \equiv \lambda s\ s'. \exists a \ l\ l'. \ loc_is\ l\ s \wedge \ loc_is\ l'\ s' \wedge s \neq \{\} \wedge s' \neq \{\} \wedge$

$A \vdash \langle l, R_of\ s \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta(a)} \langle l', R_of\ s' \rangle$

lemma $beta_step_inv$:

assumes $beta_step\ a\ b \ \exists l \in state_set\ A. \ loc_is\ l\ a \wedge R_of\ a \subseteq V \wedge I\ (R_of\ a)$

shows $\exists l \in state_set\ A. \ loc_is\ l\ b \wedge R_of\ b \subseteq V \wedge I\ (R_of\ b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $from_R_R_of$:

assumes $loc_is\ l\ S$

shows $from_R\ l\ (R_of\ S) = S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $backward_simulation$: *Backward_Double_Simulation_Complete* **where**

$E = \lambda(l, u) \ (l', u'). A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$ **and**

$G = beta_step$ **and**

$sim' = (\equiv_M)$ **and**

$P = valid$ **and**

$Q = \lambda s. \exists l \in state_set\ A. \ loc_is\ l\ s \wedge R_of\ s \subseteq V \wedge I\ (R_of\ s)$ **and**

$a_0 = from_R\ l_0\ Z_0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

8.8 Invariants on DBM-based Model Checking

context *Regions*

begin

inductive *step_z_dbm'* ::

$(\text{'a}, \text{'c}, \text{'t}, \text{'s}) \text{ta} \Rightarrow \text{'s} \Rightarrow \text{'t} :: \{\text{linordered_cancel_ab_monoid_add, uminus}\} \text{DBM}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'s} \Rightarrow \text{'t} \text{DBM} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(_ \vdash'' \langle _, _ \rangle \rightsquigarrow _ \langle _, _ \rangle [61, 61, 61] \ 61) \text{ for } A \text{ l } D \text{ a } l'' D''$

where

$A \vdash' \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_a \langle l'', D'' \rangle$ **if** $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v, n, \tau} \langle l', D' \rangle$ $A \vdash \langle l', D' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v, n, \downarrow a} \langle l'', D'' \rangle$

lemmas *step_z_dbm'_def* = *step_z_dbm'.simps*

inductive *step_impl'* ::

$(\text{'a}, \text{nat}, \text{'t} :: \text{linordered_ab_group_add}, \text{'s}) \text{ta} \Rightarrow \text{'s} \Rightarrow \text{'t} \text{DBM}'$
 $\Rightarrow \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'s} \Rightarrow \text{'t} \text{DBM}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(_ \vdash_I'' \langle _, _ \rangle \rightsquigarrow _ \langle _, _ \rangle [61, 61, 61] \ 61) \text{ for } A \text{ l } D \text{ a } l'' D''$

where

$A \vdash_I' \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_a \langle l'', D'' \rangle$ **if** $A \vdash_I \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{n, \tau} \langle l', D' \rangle$ $A \vdash_I \langle l', D' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{n, \downarrow a} \langle l'', D'' \rangle$

lemmas *step_impl'_def* = *step_impl'.simps*

end

definition

$\text{dbm_nonneg } n \ M \equiv \forall i \leq n. i > 0 \longrightarrow M \ 0 \ i \leq 0$

named_theorems *dbm_nonneg*

lemma *dbm_nonneg_And*[*dbm_nonneg*]:

assumes *dbm_nonneg* *n* *M* *dbm_nonneg* *n* *M'*

shows *dbm_nonneg* *n* (*And* *M* *M'*)

<proof>

lemma *dbm_nonneg_abstra*[*dbm_nonneg*]:

assumes *dbm_nonneg* *n* *M*

shows *dbm_nonneg* *n* (*abstra* *ac* *M* *v*)

<proof>

lemma *dbm_nonneg_abstr*[*dbm_nonneg*]:

assumes *dbm_nonneg* *n* *M*

shows *dbm_nonneg* *n* (*abstr* *g* *M* *v*)

<proof>

lemma *dbm_nonneg_up*[*dbm_nonneg*]:

dbm_nonneg *n* (*up* *M*) **if** *dbm_nonneg* *n* *M*

<proof>

lemma *dbm_nonneg_reset*[*dbm_nonneg*]:

fixes *M* :: *'t* :: *time* *DBM*

assumes *dbm_nonneg* *n* *M* *x* > 0

shows *dbm_nonneg* *n* (*reset* *M* *n* *x* 0)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_nonneg_reset'*[*dbm_nonneg*]:
 fixes $M :: 't :: time\ DBM$
 assumes $dbm_nonneg\ n\ M\ \forall c \in set\ r.\ v\ c > 0$
 shows $dbm_nonneg\ n\ (reset'\ M\ n\ r\ v\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_nonneg_fw_upd*[*dbm_nonneg*]:
 $dbm_nonneg\ n\ (fw_upd\ M\ k'\ i\ j)\ \text{if}\ dbm_nonneg\ n\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_nonneg_fwi*[*dbm_nonneg*]:
 $dbm_nonneg\ n\ (fwi\ M\ n\ k'\ i\ j)\ \text{if}\ dbm_nonneg\ n\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_nonneg_fw*[*dbm_nonneg*]:
 $dbm_nonneg\ n\ (fw\ M\ n\ k)\ \text{if}\ dbm_nonneg\ n\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_nonneg_FW*[*dbm_nonneg*]:
 $dbm_nonneg\ n\ (FW\ M\ n)\ \text{if}\ dbm_nonneg\ n\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $empty_dbm \equiv \lambda_ _.\ Lt\ 0$

lemma *neg_diag_zero_empty_dbmI*:
 assumes $M\ 0\ 0 < 0$
 shows $[M]_{v,n} = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *empty_dbm_empty_zone*:
 $[empty_dbm]_{v,n} = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *canonical_empty_dbm*:
 $canonical\ empty_dbm\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_int_empty_dbm*:
 $dbm_int\ empty_dbm\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_nonneg_empty_dbm*:
 $dbm_nonneg\ n\ empty_dbm$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*simp*] = *any_le_inf*

lemma *DBM_val_boundedD1*:
 assumes $u \vdash_{v,n} M\ v\ c \leq n$
 shows $Le\ (-\ u\ c) \leq M\ 0\ (v\ c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DBM_val_boundedD2*:

assumes $u \vdash_{v,n} M \ v \ c \leq n$
shows $Le \ (u \ c) \leq M \ (v \ c) \ 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DBM_val_boundedD3*:

assumes $u \vdash_{v,n} M \ v \ c1 \leq n \ v \ c2 \leq n$
shows $Le \ (u \ c1 - u \ c2) \leq M \ (v \ c1) \ (v \ c2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_default_And*:

assumes $dbm_default \ M \ n \ dbm_default \ M' \ n$
shows $dbm_default \ (And \ M \ M') \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_default_abstra*:

assumes $dbm_default \ M \ n \ constraint_pair \ ac = (x, m) \ v \ x \leq n$
shows $dbm_default \ (abstra \ ac \ M \ v) \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_default_abstr*:

assumes $dbm_default \ M \ n \ \forall (x, m) \in collect_clock_pairs \ g. \ v \ x \leq n$
shows $dbm_default \ (abstr \ g \ M \ v) \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_entry_dense*:

fixes $a \ b :: 't :: time \ DBMEntry$
assumes $a + b \geq 0 \ b > 0$
obtains x **where** $x > 0 \ b \geq Le \ x \ Le \ (-x) \leq a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
include *no_library_syntax*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *canonical_diag*:

fixes $M :: 't :: time \ DBM$
assumes $canonical \ M \ n \ i \leq n$
shows $M \ i \ i \geq Lt \ 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *canonical_diag_nonnegI*:

fixes $M :: 't :: time \ DBM$
assumes $canonical \ M \ n \ \forall i \leq n. \ M \ i \ i \neq Lt \ 0$
shows $\forall i \leq n. \ M \ i \ i \geq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *Regions_common*

begin

lemma *canonical_non_emptyI*:

assumes $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$
shows $canonical \ (FW \ M \ n) \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$canonical_dbm\ M \equiv canonical\ M\ n \wedge dbm_nonneg\ n\ M \wedge dbm_int\ M\ n$

abbreviation

$vabstr'\ (Z :: ('c, t)\ zone)\ M \equiv Z = [M]_{v,n} \wedge canonical_dbm\ M$

lemma *V_structuralI*:

assumes $dbm_nonneg\ n\ M$

shows $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *canonical_dbm_valid*:

$valid_dbm\ M$ **if** $canonical_dbm\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm_nonnegI*:

assumes $canonical\ M\ n\ [M]_{v,n} \subseteq V\ \forall i \leq n. M\ i\ i \neq Lt\ 0$

shows $dbm_nonneg\ n\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vabstr'_V*:

obtains M **where** $vabstr'\ V\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *canonical_dbm_empty_dbm*:

$canonical_dbm\ empty_dbm$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vabstr'_empty_dbm*:

$vabstr'\ \{\}\ empty_dbm$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vabstr'I*:

assumes $dbm_int\ M'\ n\ Z' \subseteq V\ Z' = [M']_{v,n}$

obtains M' **where** $vabstr'\ Z'\ M'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *Regions_TA*

begin

The following does not hold:

lemma

fixes $M :: real\ DBM$

assumes $canonical\ M\ n$

and $\forall i \leq n. M\ 0\ i \leq 0$

shows $\forall i \leq n. 0 \leq M\ i\ i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *global_clock_numbering*:

$global_clock_numbering\ A\ v\ n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *step_z'_bisim_step_z_dbm'*: *Bisimulation*

$\lambda(l, Z) (l', Z'). A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle$

$\lambda(l, M) (l', M'). \exists a. A \vdash' \langle l, M \rangle \rightsquigarrow_a \langle l', M' \rangle$

$\lambda(l, Z) (l', M). l' = l \wedge Z = [M]_{v,n}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step_z_dbm_preserves_int*:

$\text{dbm_int } M' n \text{ if } A \vdash \langle l, M \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n,a} \langle l', M' \rangle \text{ dbm_int } M n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step_z_dbm'_preserves_int*:

$\text{dbm_int } M' n \text{ if } A \vdash' \langle l, M \rangle \rightsquigarrow_a \langle l', M' \rangle \text{ dbm_int } M n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step_z'_vabstr'*:

$\exists M. \text{vabstr}' Z' M \text{ if } \exists M. \text{vabstr}' Z M A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

8.9 Instantiating the “Sandwich” for Extrapolations on DBMs

locale *TA_Extrapolation* =

Regions_TA **where** $A = A +$

Time_Abstract_Simulation **where** $A = A$ **for** $A :: ('a, 'c, \text{real}, 'l) \text{ ta} +$

assumes *simulation_nonneg*: $u' \in V \implies (l, u) \preceq (l', u') \implies u \in V$

fixes *extra* :: $'l \Rightarrow \text{real DBM} \Rightarrow \text{real DBM}$ **and** l_0 **and** M_0

assumes *extra_widens*: $\text{vabstr}' Z M \implies Z \subseteq [\text{extra } l M]_{v,n}$

and *extra_alpha*: $\text{vabstr}' Z M \implies [\text{extra } l M]_{v,n} \subseteq \alpha l Z$

and *extra_finite*: $\text{finite } \{\text{extra } l M \mid M. \text{canonical_dbm } M\}$

and *extra_int*: $\text{dbm_int } M n \implies \text{dbm_int } (\text{extra } l M) n$

assumes *l0_state_set*: $l_0 \in \text{state_set } A$ **and** M_0_V : $[M_0]_{v,n} \subseteq V$ **and** M_0_int : $\text{dbm_int } M_0$

n

begin

definition *apx* **where**

$\text{apx } l Z \equiv \text{let } M = (\text{SOME } M. \text{canonical_dbm } M \wedge Z = [M]_{v,n}) \text{ in } [\text{extra } l M]_{v,n}$

lemma *apx_widens*:

$[M]_{v,n} \subseteq \text{apx } l ([M]_{v,n}) \text{ if } \text{canonical_dbm } M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *apx_abs*:

$\text{apx } l ([M]_{v,n}) \subseteq \alpha l ([M]_{v,n}) \text{ if } \text{canonical_dbm } M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma α_V :

$\alpha l Z \subseteq V \text{ if } Z \subseteq V$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *apx_V*:

$\text{apx } l ([M]_{v,n}) \subseteq V \text{ if } \text{canonical_dbm } M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *apx_empty*:

apx $l \ \{\} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *apx_ex*:

assumes *canonical_dbm* M
shows $\exists M'. \text{apx } l \ ([M]_{v,n}) = [\text{extra } l \ M]_{v,n} \wedge \text{canonical_dbm } M'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vabstr'_apx*:

assumes *vabstr'* $Z \ M \ Z \subseteq V$
obtains M **where** *vabstr'* (*apx* $l \ Z$) M
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *apx_finite*:

finite $\{\text{apx } l \ Z \mid l \ Z. \exists M. \text{vabstr}' \ Z \ M \wedge l \in \text{state_set } A\}$ (**is finite** ? S)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *Time_Abstract_Simulation_Sandwich*

where $\beta = \text{apx}$ **and** $I = \lambda Z. \exists M. \text{vabstr}' \ Z \ M$ **and** $Z_0 = [M_0]_{v,n}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory *Normalized_Zone_Semantics_Certification*

imports *Munta_Base.Normalized_Zone_Semantics_Impl_Semantic_Refinement*
begin

no_notation *TA_Start_Defs.step_impl'* ($\langle _, _ \rangle \rightsquigarrow _ \langle _, _ \rangle$ [*61,61,61*] *61*)

context *TA_Start_Defs*

begin

definition

E_precise_op $l \ r \ g \ l' \ M \equiv$
 let
 $M' = \text{FW}' (\text{abstr_upd } (\text{inv_of } A \ l) (\text{up_canonical_upd } M \ n)) \ n;$
 $M'' = \text{FW}' (\text{abstr_upd } (\text{inv_of } A \ l') (\text{reset'_upd } (\text{FW}' (\text{abstr_upd } g \ M') \ n) \ n \ r \ 0)) \ n$
 $\text{in } M''$

definition

E_precise_op' $l \ r \ g \ l' \ M \equiv$
 let
 $M1 = \text{abstr_repair } (\text{inv_of } A \ l) (\text{up_canonical_upd } M \ n);$
 $M2 = \text{filter_diag } (\lambda M. \text{abstr_repair } g \ M) \ M1;$
 $M3 = \text{filter_diag } (\lambda M. \text{abstr_repair } (\text{inv_of } A \ l') (\text{reset'_upd } M \ n \ r \ 0)) \ M2$
 $\text{in } M3$

lemma *E_precise_op'_alt_def*:

E_precise_op' $l \ r \ g \ l' \ M \equiv$
 let
 $M' = \text{abstr_repair } (\text{inv_of } A \ l) (\text{up_canonical_upd } M \ n);$
 $f1 = \lambda M. \text{abstr_repair } g \ M;$

$f2 = \lambda M. \text{abstr_repair } (\text{inv_of } A \ l') \ (\text{reset_upd } M \ n \ r \ 0)$
 $\text{in filter_diag } (\text{filter_diag } f2 \ o \ f1) \ M'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

no_notation $\text{step_impl}' (\langle _, _ \rangle \rightsquigarrow _ \langle _, _ \rangle [61, 61, 61] \ 61)$

abbreviation $\text{step_impl_precise} (\langle _, _ \rangle \rightsquigarrow _ \langle _, _ \rangle [61, 61, 61] \ 61)$

where

$\langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_a \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \equiv \exists \ l' \ Z'.$
 $A \vdash_I \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{n, \tau} \langle l', Z' \rangle \wedge A \vdash_I \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{n, \uparrow a} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle$

definition $E_precise \equiv (\lambda(l, Z) \ (l'', Z''). \exists a. \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_a \langle l'', Z'' \rangle)$

end

locale $E_Precise_Bisim = E_From_Op +$

assumes $op_bisim:$

$A \vdash l \longrightarrow^{g, a, r} l' \implies wf_dbm \ M \implies f \ l \ r \ g \ l' \ M \simeq E_precise_op \ l \ r \ g \ l' \ M$

and $op_wf:$

$A \vdash l \longrightarrow^{g, a, r} l' \implies wf_dbm \ M \implies wf_dbm \ (f \ l \ r \ g \ l' \ M)$

begin

lemma $E_precise_E_op:$

$E_precise = (\lambda(l, M) \ (l', M''). \exists g \ a \ r. A \vdash l \longrightarrow^{g, a, r} l' \wedge M''' = E_precise_op \ l \ r \ g \ l' \ M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $E_E_from_op_step:$

$\exists c. E_from_op \ a \ c \wedge b \sim c \text{ if } E_precise \ a \ b \ wf_state \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $E_from_op_E_step:$

$\exists c. E_precise \ a \ c \wedge b \sim c \text{ if } E_from_op \ a \ b \ wf_state \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $E_from_op_wf_state:$

$wf_state \ b \text{ if } wf_state \ a \ E_from_op \ a \ b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $E_precise_wf_dbm[intro]:$

$wf_dbm \ D' \text{ if } E_precise \ (l, D) \ (l', D') \ wf_dbm \ D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $E_precise_wf_state:$

$wf_state \ a \implies E_precise \ a \ b \implies wf_state \ b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem $E_from_op_reachability_check:$

$(\exists \ l' \ D'. E_precise^{**} \ a_0 \ (l', D') \wedge F_rel \ (l', D'))$

$\longleftrightarrow (\exists l' D'. E_from_op^{**} a_0 (l', D') \wedge F_rel (l', D'))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *E_from_op_mono*:
assumes $E_from_op (l, D) (l', D')$
and $wf_dbm D wf_dbm M$
and $[curry (conv_M D)]_{v,n} \subseteq [curry (conv_M M)]_{v,n}$
shows $\exists M'. E_from_op (l, M) (l', M') \wedge [curry (conv_M D)]_{v,n} \subseteq [curry (conv_M M')]_{v,n}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *E_from_op_mono'*:
assumes $E_from_op (l, D) (l', D')$
and $wf_dbm D wf_dbm M$
and $dbm_subset n D M$
shows $\exists M'. E_from_op (l, M) (l', M') \wedge dbm_subset n D' M'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *E_equiv*:
 $\exists b'. E_precise b b' \wedge a' \sim b' \text{ if } E_precise a a' a \sim b wf_state a wf_state b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *E_from_op_bisim*:
Bisimulation_Invariant $E_precise E_from_op (\sim) wf_state wf_state$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *E_from_op_bisim_empty*:
Bisimulation_Invariant
 $(\lambda(l, M) (l', M'). E_precise (l, M) (l', M') \wedge \neg check_diag n M')$
 $(\lambda(l, M) (l', M'). E_from_op (l, M) (l', M') \wedge \neg check_diag n M')$
 $(\sim) wf_state wf_state$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

definition *step_z_dbm'* ::
 $('a, 'c, 't, 's) ta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 't :: \{linordered_cancel_ab_monoid_add, uminus\} DBM$
 $\Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 't DBM \Rightarrow bool$
 $(_ \vdash'' \langle _, _ \rangle \rightsquigarrow _, _ \langle _, _ \rangle [61, 61, 61, 61] 61) \text{ where}$
 $A \vdash' \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n,a} \langle l'', D'' \rangle \equiv$
 $\exists l' D'. A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n,\tau} \langle l', D' \rangle \wedge A \vdash \langle l', D' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n,1a} \langle l'', D'' \rangle$

context *TA_Start*
begin

lemma *E_precise_op' bisim*:
 $E_precise_op' l r g l' M \simeq E_precise_op l r g l' M \text{ if } A \vdash l \longrightarrow^{g,a,r} l' wf_dbm M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *step_z'_step_z_dbm' equiv*:
Bisimulation_Invariant
 $(\lambda(l, D) (l', D'). \exists a. step_z' (conv_A A) l D l' D')$

$(\lambda(l, D) (l', D'). \exists a. \text{step_z_dbm}'(\text{conv_A } A) l D v n a l' D')$
 $(\lambda(l, Z) (l', M). l = l' \wedge [M]_{v,n} = Z)$
 $(\lambda(l, Z). \text{True})$
 $(\lambda(l, y). \text{True})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step_z_dbm'_step_impl_precise_equiv*:

Bisimulation_Invariant

$(\lambda(l, D) (l', D'). \exists a. \text{step_z_dbm}'(\text{conv_A } A) l D v n a l' D')$
 $(\lambda(l, D) (l', D'). \exists a. \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_a \langle l', D' \rangle)$
 $(\lambda(l, M) (l', D). l = l' \wedge [\text{curry}(\text{conv_M } D)]_{v,n} = [M]_{v,n})$
 $(\lambda(l, y). \text{valid_dbm } y)$
 wf_state

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *E_precise_op'_wf*:

assumes $A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g,a,r} l' \text{ wf_dbm } M$

shows $\text{wf_dbm } (E_precise_op' l r g l' M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *E_precise_op'*: *E_Precise_Bisim* — — — *E_precise_op'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step_z_dbm'_final_bisim*:

Bisimulation_Invariant

$(\lambda(l, D) (l', D'). \exists a. \text{step_z_dbm}'(\text{conv_A } A) l D v n a l' D')$
 $E_precise_op'. E_from_op$
 $(\lambda(l, M) (l', D'). l' = l \wedge [\text{curry}(\text{conv_M } D')]_{v,n} = [M]_{v,n})$
 $(\lambda(l, y). \text{valid_dbm } y) \text{ wf_state}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *E_Precise_Bisim*

begin

theorem *step_z_dbm'_mono*:

assumes $\text{conv_A } A \vdash' \langle l, M \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n,a} \langle l', M' \rangle$ **and** $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq [D]_{v,n}$

shows $\exists D'. \text{conv_A } A \vdash' \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n,a} \langle l', D' \rangle \wedge [M']_{v,n} \subseteq [D']_{v,n}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation

Bisimulation_Invariant

$(\lambda(l, D) (l', D'). \exists a. \text{step_z_dbm}'(\text{conv_A } A) l D v n a l' D')$
 E_from_op
 $\lambda(l, M) (l', D'). l' = l \wedge [\text{curry}(\text{conv_M } D')]_{v,n} = [M]_{v,n}$
 $\lambda(l, y). \text{valid_dbm } y$
 wf_state
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *step_z_dbm'_E_from_op_bisim* = *Bisimulation_Invariant_axioms*

definition

$E_from_op_empty \equiv \lambda(l, D) (l', D'). E_from_op(l, D) (l', D') \wedge \neg \text{check_diag } n D'$

interpretation *bisim_empty*:

Bisimulation Invariant

$\lambda(l, M) (l', M'). \exists a. \text{step_z_dbm}' (\text{conv_A } A) l M v n a l' M' \wedge [M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$

$\lambda(l, D) (l', D'). E_from_op (l, D) (l', D') \wedge \neg \text{check_diag } n D'$

$\lambda(l, M) (l', D'). l' = l \wedge [\text{curry } (\text{conv_M } D')]_{v,n} = [M]_{v,n}$

$\lambda(l, y). \text{valid_dbm } y$

wf_state

<proof>

lemmas *step_z_dbm'_E_from_op_bisim_empty* =

bisim_empty.Bisimulation_Invariant_axioms[folded *E_from_op_empty_def*]

lemma *E_from_op_mono*:

assumes *E_from_op* (*l*, *D*) (*l'*, *D'*)

and *wf_dbm* *D* *wf_dbm* *M*

and $[\text{curry } (\text{conv_M } D)]_{v,n} \subseteq [\text{curry } (\text{conv_M } M)]_{v,n}$

shows $\exists M'. E_from_op (l, M) (l', M') \wedge [\text{curry } (\text{conv_M } D')]_{v,n} \subseteq [\text{curry } (\text{conv_M } M')]_{v,n}$

<proof>

lemma *E_from_op_mono'*:

assumes *E_from_op* (*l*, *D*) (*l'*, *D'*)

and *wf_dbm* *D* *wf_dbm* *M*

and *dbm_subset* *n* *D* *M*

shows $\exists M'. E_from_op (l, M) (l', M') \wedge \text{dbm_subset } n D' M'$

<proof>

lemma *E_from_op_empty_mono'*:

assumes *E_from_op_empty* (*l*, *D*) (*l'*, *D'*)

and *wf_dbm* *D* *wf_dbm* *M*

and *dbm_subset* *n* *D* *M*

shows $\exists M'. E_from_op_empty (l, M) (l', M') \wedge \text{dbm_subset } n D' M'$

<proof>

end

end

9 Checker Implementation for Single Automata

theory *Normalized_Zone_Semantics_Certification_Impl*

imports

Munta_Base.Normalized_Zone_Semantics_Impl_Refine

Normalized_Zone_Semantics_Certification

Collections.Refine_Dflt_ICF

Unreachability_Certification2

Unreachability_Certification

HOL-Library.IArray

Munta_Model_Checker.Deadlock_Impl

Munta_Base.More_Methods

HOL-Library.Rewrite

begin

Misc lemma (in *Graph_Defs*) *run_first_reaches*:

pred_stream (*reaches* *x*) *xs* **if** *run* (*x* *##* *xs*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Graph_Start_Defs*) *run_reachable*:

pred_stream *reachable* *xs* **if** *run* (*s*₀ *##* *xs*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pred_stream_stream_all2_combine*:

assumes *pred_stream* *P* *xs* *stream_all2* *Q* *xs* *ys* $\bigwedge x y. P\ x \implies Q\ x\ y \implies R\ x\ y$
shows *stream_all2* *R* *xs* *ys*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *stream_all2_pred_stream_combine*:

assumes *stream_all2* *Q* *xs* *ys* *pred_stream* *P* *ys* $\bigwedge x y. Q\ x\ y \implies P\ y \implies R\ x\ y$
shows *stream_all2* *R* *xs* *ys*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map_set_rel*:

assumes *list_all* *P* *xs* $(f, g) \in \{(xs, ys). xs = ys \wedge P\ xs\} \rightarrow B$
shows $(\text{map } f\ xs, g\ \text{' set } xs) \in \langle B \rangle \text{list_set_rel}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context *TA_Start*

begin

lemma *V_I*:

assumes $\forall i \in \{1..<Suc\ n\}. M\ 0\ i \leq 0$
shows $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *Simulation_Composition*:

fixes *A* *B* *C*
assumes
 Simulation *A* *B* *sim1* *Simulation* *B* *C* *sim2* $\bigwedge a\ c. (\exists b. \text{sim1}\ a\ b \wedge \text{sim2}\ b\ c) \longleftrightarrow \text{sim}\ a\ c$
shows *Simulation* *A* *C* *sim*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *fold_generalize_start*:

assumes $\bigwedge a. P\ a \implies Q\ (\text{fold } g\ xs\ a)\ P\ a$
shows $Q\ (\text{fold } g\ xs\ a)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

set_of_list *xs* = *SPEC* ($\lambda S. \text{set } xs = S$)

lemma *set_of_list_hnr*:

$(\text{return } o\ id, \text{set_of_list}) \in (\text{list_asn } A)^d \rightarrow_a \text{lso_asn } A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *set_of_list_alt_def*:

set_of_list = RETURN o *set*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *set_of_list_hnr'* = *set_of_list_hnr*[*unfolded set_of_list_alt_def*]

lemmas *amtx_copy_hnr* = *amtx_copy_hnr*[*unfolded op_mtx_copy_def*, *folded COPY_def*[*abs_def*]]

lemma *lso_op_set_is_empty_hnr*[*sepref_fr_rules*]:
 (return o (λxs. xs = []), RETURN o *op_set_is_empty*) ∈ (*lso_assn AA*)^k →_a *bool_assn*
 ⟨proof⟩

context
 fixes *n* :: nat
begin

qualified definition
dbm_tab M ≡ λ (i, j). if *i* ≤ *n* ∧ *j* ≤ *n* then *M* ! ((*n* + 1) * *i* + *j*) else 0

private lemma
shows *mtx_nonzero_dbm_tab_1*: (a, b) ∈ *mtx_nonzero* (*dbm_tab M*) ⇒ a < Suc *n*
and *mtx_nonzero_dbm_tab_2*: (a, b) ∈ *mtx_nonzero* (*dbm_tab M*) ⇒ b < Suc *n*
 ⟨proof⟩

definition
list_to_dbm M = *op_amtx_new* (Suc *n*) (Suc *n*) (*dbm_tab M*)

lemma [*sepref_fr_rules*]:
 (return o *dbm_tab*, RETURN o *PR_CONST dbm_tab*) ∈ *id_assn*^k →_a pure (*nat_rel* ×_r *nat_rel* → Id)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas [*sepref_opt_simps*] = *dbm_tab_def*

sepref_register *dbm_tab*

sepref_definition *list_to_dbm_impl*
is RETURN o *PR_CONST list_to_dbm* :: *id_assn*^k →_a *mtx_assn n*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *the_pure_Id*:
the_pure (λa c. ↑ (c = a)) = Id
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *of_list_list_to_dbm*:
 (Array.of_list, (RETURN ∘ PR_CONST) *list_to_dbm*)
 ∈ [λa. length a = Suc *n* * Suc *n*]_a *id_assn*^k → *mtx_assn n*
 ⟨proof⟩

end

definition
array_freeze a = do {xs ← Array.freeze a; Heap_Monad.return (IArray xs)}

definition

$array_unfreeze\ a = Array.of_list\ (IArray.list_of\ a)$

definition

$iarray_mtx_rel\ n\ m\ c\ a \equiv$
 $IArray.length\ a = n * m$
 $\wedge (\forall i < n. \forall j < m. c\ (i, j) = IArray.sub\ a\ (i * m + j))$
 $\wedge (\forall i\ j. i \geq n \vee j \geq m \longrightarrow c\ (i, j) = 0)$

lemma $iarray_mtx_rel\ is_amtx$:

$x \mapsto_a IArray.list_of\ a \implies_A IICF_Array_Matrix.is_amtx\ n\ m\ c\ x$ **if** $iarray_mtx_rel\ n\ m\ c\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $array_unfreeze_ht$:

$\langle emp \rangle\ array_unfreeze\ a\ \langle amtx_assn\ n\ m\ id_assn\ c \rangle$ **if** $iarray_mtx_rel\ n\ m\ c\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $array_freeze_ht$:

$\langle amtx_assn\ n\ m\ id_assn\ c\ a \rangle\ array_freeze\ a\ \langle \lambda a. \uparrow(iarray_mtx_rel\ n\ m\ c\ a) \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

datatype $('a, 'b) frozen_hm =$

$Frozen_Hash_Map\ (array_of_hm: ('a, 'b) list_map\ iarray)\ (size_of_hm: nat)$

definition $diff_array_freeze :: 'a\ array \Rightarrow 'a\ iarray$ **where**

$diff_array_freeze\ a = IArray\ (list_of_array\ a)$

definition hm_freeze **where**

$hm_freeze \equiv \lambda hm. case\ hm\ of\ Impl_Array_Hash_Map.HashMap\ a\ _$
 $\Rightarrow Frozen_Hash_Map\ (diff_array_freeze\ a)\ (array_length\ a)$

definition $frozen_hm_lookup$ **where**

$frozen_hm_lookup \equiv \lambda key\ hm.$
 $case\ hm\ of\ Frozen_Hash_Map\ a\ n \Rightarrow$
 let
 $code = bounded_hashcode_nat\ n\ key;$
 $bucket = IArray.sub\ a\ code$
 in
 $list_map_lookup\ (=)\ key\ bucket$

lemma $list_of_array_nth$:

$list_of_array\ a\ !\ n = array_get\ a\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frozen_hm_lookup_hm_freeze$:

$frozen_hm_lookup\ k\ (hm_freeze\ m) = Impl_Array_Hash_Map.ahm_lookup\ (=)\ bounded_hashcode_nat$
 $k\ m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes $M :: ('a :: hashable * 'b) list$

begin

definition $map_of_list = fold (\lambda(k, v) a. a(k \mapsto v)) M Map.empty$

lemma $M_id_refine[autoref_rules]:$

$(M, M) \in \langle Id \times_r Id \rangle list_rel$

$\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal $M_impl:$

$(?M :: ?'c, map_of_list ::_r \langle Id, Id \rangle dflt_ahm_rel) \in ?R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

concrete_definition $hashmap_of_list$ uses M_impl

definition

$frozen_hm_of_list \equiv hm_freeze hashmap_of_list$

theorem $hashmap_of_list_lookup:$

$(\lambda k. Impl_Array_Hash_Map.ahm_lookup (=) bounded_hashcode_nat k hashmap_of_list, map_of_list) \in Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle option_rel$ (**is** $(\lambda k. ?f k hashmap_of_list, _) \in ?R$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $frozen_hm_of_list_lookup:$

$(\lambda k. frozen_hm_lookup k frozen_hm_of_list, map_of_list) \in Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle option_rel$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

definition

$array_all2\ n\ P\ as\ bs \equiv \forall i < n. P\ (IArray.sub\ as\ i)\ (IArray.sub\ bs\ i)$

lemma $iarray_mtx_relD:$

assumes $iarray_mtx_rel\ n\ m\ M\ a\ i < n\ j < m$

shows $M\ (i, j) = IArray.sub\ a\ (i * m + j)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $array_all2_iff_pointwise_cmp:$

assumes $iarray_mtx_rel\ (Suc\ n)\ (Suc\ n)\ M\ a\ iarray_mtx_rel\ (Suc\ n)\ (Suc\ n)\ M'\ b$

shows $array_all2\ (Suc\ n * Suc\ n)\ P\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow pointwise_cmp\ P\ n\ (curry\ M)\ (curry\ M')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context TA_Impl

begin

interpretation $DBM_Impl\ n\ \langle proof \rangle$

sepref_definition $E_precise_op'_impl$ **is**

$uncurry4\ (\lambda\ l\ r. RETURN\ ooo\ E_precise_op'\ l\ r) :: op_impl_assn$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

```

locale TA_Impl_Precise =
  TA_Impl _ _ _ l0 _ _ _ l0i
+ op_precise: E_Precise_Bisim _ l0 for l0 :: 's and l0i :: 'si:: {hashable,heap} +
fixes op_impl and states_mem_impl
assumes op_impl: (uncurry4 op_impl, uncurry4 (λ l r. RETURN ooo PR_CONST f l r)) ∈
op_impl_assn
and states_mem_impl: (states_mem_impl, (λ l. l ∈ states')) ∈ loc_rel → bool_rel

```

```

locale Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise =
  TA_Impl_Precise _ show_state A
for show_state :: 'si:: {hashable,heap} ⇒ string and A :: ('a, nat, int, 's) ta+
fixes F :: 's × (nat × nat ⇒ int DBMEntry) ⇒ bool and F' and F1 and F_impl
assumes F_mono: ∧ a b.
  (λ(l, M). l ∈ states' ∧ wf_dbm M) a ⇒ F a ⇒
  (λ(l, s) (l', s'). l' = l ∧ dbm_subset n s s') a b ⇒ (λ(l, M). l ∈ states' ∧ wf_dbm M) b
  ⇒ F b
and F_F1: ∧ l D Z. op_precise.E_from_op_empty** (l0, init_dbm) (l, D)
  ⇒ dbm.zone_of (curry (conv_M D)) = Z ⇒ F (l, D) = F1 (l, Z)
and F'_F1: ∧ l u Z. u ∈ Z ⇒ F' (l, u) ⇒ F1 (l, Z)
and F_impl: (F_impl, RETURN o PR_CONST F) ∈ state_assntd →a bool_assn

```

```

context TA_Impl_Precise
begin

```

```

lemma E_precise_E_op:
  E_precise = (λ(l, M) (l', M''). ∃ g a r. A ⊢ l →g,a,r l' ∧ M''' = E_precise_op l r g l' M)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition succs_precise where
  succs_precise ≡ λ l S.
    if S = {} then []
    else rev [
      (l', {D' | D' D. D ∈ S ∧ D' = f l r g l' D ∧ ¬ check_diag n D'}) . (g,a,r,l') ← trans_fun l]

```

```

definition succs_precise_inner where
  succs_precise_inner l r g l' S ≡ do {
    xs ← SPEC (λ xs. set xs = S);
    p ← nfoldli xs (λ_. True) (λ D xs.
      do {let D' = PR_CONST f l r g l' D; if check_diag n D' then RETURN xs else RETURN
        (D' # xs)}
    ) [];
    S' ← SPEC (λ S. set p = S);
    RETURN S'
  }

```

```

definition succs_precise' where
  succs_precise' ≡ λ l S. if S = {} then RETURN [] else do {
    nfoldli (trans_fun l) (λ_. True) (λ (g,a,r,l') xs.
      do {
        S' ← PR_CONST succs_precise_inner l r g l' (COPY S);
        RETURN ((l', S') # xs)
      }
    ) []
  }

```

}

lemma *succs_precise_inner_rule*:

succs_precise_inner *l r g l' S*
 $\leq \text{RETURN } \{D' \mid D' D. D \in S \wedge D' = f \ l \ r \ g \ l' \ D \wedge \neg \text{check_diag } n \ D'\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succs_precise'_refine*:

succs_precise' l S $\leq \text{RETURN } (\text{succs_precise } l \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succs_precise'_correct*:

$(\text{uncurry } \text{succs_precise'}, \text{uncurry } (\text{RETURN } \circ \text{PR_CONST succs_precise})) \in \text{Id} \times_r \text{Id} \rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{nres_rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sempref_register *PR_CONST f* ::

$'s \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow (\text{nat}, \text{int}) \text{ acconstraint list} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry } i_mtx \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry}$
 i_mtx

lemma *aux3*:

$ID \ D \ x'a \ \text{TYPE}(\text{nat} \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry}) = ID \ D \ x'a \ \text{TYPE}(\text{int DBMEntry } i_mtx)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*sempref_fr_rules*] =

set_of_list_hnr Leadsto_Impl.lso_id_hnr
op_impl

interpretation *DBM_Impl n* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sempref_definition *succs_precise_inner_impl* is

uncurry4 (*PR_CONST succs_precise_inner*)
 $:: \text{location_assn}^k *_a (\text{list_assn clock_assn})^k *_a$
 $(\text{list_assn } (\text{acconstraint_assn clock_assn int_assn}))^k *_a$
 $\text{location_assn}^k *_a (\text{lso_assn mtx_assn})^d$
 $\rightarrow_a \text{lso_assn mtx_assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sempref_register *succs_precise_inner*

lemmas [*sempref_fr_rules*] = *succs_precise_inner_impl.refine*

lemmas [*sempref_fr_rules*] = *copy_list_lso_assn_refine[OF amtx_copy_hnr]*

sempref_definition *succs_precise'_impl* is

uncurry succs_precise'
 $:: \text{location_assn}^k *_a (\text{lso_assn mtx_assn})^d$
 $\rightarrow_a \text{list_assn } (\text{prod_assn location_assn } (\text{lso_assn mtx_assn}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *succs_precise_impl_refine* = *succs_precise'_impl.refine[FCOMP succs_precise'_correct]*

lemma *succs_precise_finite*:

$\forall l\ S. \forall (l', S') \in \text{set } (\text{succs_precise } l\ S). \text{finite } S \longrightarrow \text{finite } S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{wf_dbm}'\ D \equiv (\text{canonical}'\ D \vee \text{check_diag } n\ D) \wedge$
 $(\text{list_all } (\lambda i. D\ (i, i) \leq 0)\ [0..<n+1]) \wedge \text{list_all } (\lambda i. D\ (0, i) \leq 0)\ [0..<n+1]$

theorem $\text{wf_dbm}'_ \text{wf_dbm}$:

fixes $D :: \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry}$

assumes $\text{wf_dbm}'\ D$

shows $\text{wf_dbm}\ D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{canonical}'_ \text{compute}$:

$\text{canonical}'\ M =$
 $\text{list_all } (\lambda i.$
 $\text{list_all } (\lambda j.$
 $\text{list_all } (\lambda k.$
 $M\ (i, k) \leq M\ (i, j) + M\ (j, k)$
 $)[0..<n+1])\ [0..<n+1])\ [0..<n+1]$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sepref_definition $\text{canonical}'_ \text{impl}$ **is**

$\text{RETURN } o\ \text{PR_CONST } \text{canonical}' :: \text{mtx_assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool_assn}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sepref_thm $\text{wf_dbm}'_ \text{impl}$ **is**

$\text{RETURN } o\ \text{PR_CONST } \text{wf_dbm}' :: \text{mtx_assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool_assn}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{states_mem } l \equiv l \in \text{states}'$

definition

$P \equiv \lambda\ (l, M). \text{PR_CONST } \text{states_mem } l \wedge \text{wf_dbm}'\ M$

lemma $P_ \text{correct}$:

$l \in \text{states}' \wedge \text{wf_dbm}\ M \text{ if } P\ (l, M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $[\text{sepref_import_param}] = \text{states_mem_impl}[\text{folded } \text{states_mem_def}]$

sepref_register states_mem

sepref_register $\text{wf_dbm}' :: 'c\ \text{DBMEntry } i_ \text{mtx} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

lemmas $[\text{sepref_fr_rules}] =$

$\text{wf_dbm}'_ \text{impl.refine_raw}$

sepref_definition P_impl is
 $RETURN \circ PR_CONST\ P :: (prod_assn\ (pure\ loc_rel)\ mtx_assn)^k \rightarrow_a\ bool_assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma P_impl_refine :
 $(P_impl, (RETURN \circ PR_CONST)\ P) \in (location_assn \times_a\ mtx_assn)^k \rightarrow_a\ bool_assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $E_from_op_states$:
 $l' \in states' \text{ if } op_precise.E_from_op\ (l, M)\ (l', M')\ l \in states'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $[safe_constraint_rules] = location_assn_constraints$

end

context $Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise$
begin

context
fixes $L_list :: 'si\ list$ **and** P_loc
assumes $state_impl_abstract: \bigwedge li. P_loc\ li \implies \exists l. (li, l) \in loc_rel$
assumes $P_loc: list_all\ (\lambda x. P_loc\ x \wedge states_mem_impl\ x)\ L_list$
begin

definition
 $L \equiv map\ (\lambda li. SOME\ l. (li, l) \in loc_rel)\ L_list$

lemma $mem_states'I$:
 $l \in states' \text{ if } states_mem_impl\ li\ (li, l) \in loc_rel \text{ for } l\ li$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma L_list_rel :
 $(L_list, L) \in \langle loc_rel \rangle list_rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma L_list_hnr :
 $(uncurry0\ (return\ L_list),\ uncurry0\ (RETURN\ (PR_CONST\ (set\ L))))$
 $\in unit_assn^k \rightarrow_a\ lso_assn\ location_assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sepref_register $list_to_dbm\ n$

lemmas $[sepref_fr_rules] = of_list_list_to_dbm[of\ n]$

sepref_register set

lemmas $[sepref_fr_rules] = set_of_list_hnr'$

lemmas $step_z_dbm_complete = step_z_dbm_complete[OF\ global_clock_numbering']$

interpretation A :

Simulation

$\lambda (l, u) (l', u'). \text{conv_A } A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$
 $\lambda (l, Z) (l', Z'). \exists a. \text{conv_A } A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \wedge Z' \neq \{\}$
 $\lambda (l, u) (l', Z). l' = l \wedge u \in Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation B:

Simulation

$\lambda (l, Z) (l', Z'). \exists a. \text{conv_A } A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \wedge Z' \neq \{\}$
 $\lambda (l, M) (l', M'). \exists a. \text{conv_A } A \vdash' \langle l, M \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n,a} \langle l', M' \rangle \wedge [M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$
 $\lambda (l, Z) (l', M). l' = l \wedge Z = [M]_{v,n}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation

Simulation

$\lambda (l, u) (l', u'). \text{conv_A } A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$
 $\lambda (l, M) (l', M'). \exists a. \text{step_z_dbm}' (\text{conv_A } A) l M v n a l' M' \wedge [M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$
 $\lambda (l, u) (l', M). l' = l \wedge u \in [M]_{v,n}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma op_precise_buechi_run_correct:

assumes

$(\nexists xs.$
 $\text{Graph_Defs.run op_precise.E_from_op_empty } ((l_0', \text{init_dbm}) \#\# xs)$
 $\wedge \text{alw } (ev (\text{holds } F)) ((l_0', \text{init_dbm}) \#\# xs))$

and $F_F1: \bigwedge l D Z. \text{op_precise.E_from_op_empty}^{**} (l_0', \text{init_dbm}) (l, D)$
 $\implies \text{dbm.zone_of } (\text{curry } (\text{conv_M } D)) = Z \implies F (l, D) = F1 (l, Z)$

shows

$\nexists u xs. (\forall c \leq n. u c = 0)$
 $\wedge \text{Graph_Defs.run } (\lambda (l, u) (l', u'). \text{conv_A } A \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle) ((l_0', u) \#\# xs)$
 $\wedge \text{alw } (ev (\text{holds } F')) ((l_0', u) \#\# xs)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma op_precise_unreachable_correct:

assumes $\nexists s'. \text{op_precise.E_from_op_empty}^{**} (l_0, \text{init_dbm}) s' \wedge F s'$

shows $\nexists u l' u'. (\forall c \leq n. u c = 0) \wedge \text{conv_A } A \vdash' \langle l_0, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle \wedge F' (l', u')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma op_precise_unreachable_correct':

$(\text{uncurry0 } (\text{SPEC } (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow$
 $(\nexists s'. \text{op_precise.E_from_op_empty}^{**} (l_0, \text{init_dbm}) s' \wedge F s'))),$
 $\text{uncurry0 } (\text{SPEC } (\lambda r. r \longrightarrow$
 $(\nexists u l' u'. (\forall c \leq n. u c = 0) \wedge \text{conv_A } A \vdash' \langle l_0, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle \wedge F' (l', u')))))$
 $\in \text{Id} \rightarrow \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{nres_rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma IArray_list_to_dbm_rel[param]:

$(\text{IArray, list_to_dbm } n)$

$\in \{(xs, ys). xs = ys \wedge \text{length } xs = \text{Suc } n * \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \{(a, b). \text{iarray_mtx_rel } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{Suc } n)$
 $b a\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IArray_list_to_dbm_rel'*:
 (map IArray xs, list_to_dbm n ' set xs)
 $\in \langle \{(a, b). \text{iarray_mtx_rel } (Suc\ n) (Suc\ n) \ b\ a\} \rangle \text{list_set_rel}$
if list_all ($\lambda xs. \text{length } xs = Suc\ n * Suc\ n$) xs
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context
fixes $M_list :: ('si \times 'v \text{ list}) \text{ list}$ **and** $g :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v1$ **and** $h :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v2$
and $P :: 'v \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $R :: ('v2 \times 'v1) \text{ set}$
begin

definition
 $M_list1 \equiv \text{map } (\lambda(li, xs). (\text{SOME } l. (li, l) \in \text{loc_rel}, xs)) \ M_list$

definition
 $M1 = \text{fold } (\lambda p \ M.$
 let
 $s = \text{fst } p; xs = \text{snd } p;$
 $xs = \text{rev } (\text{map } g \ xs);$
 $S = \text{set } xs \text{ in } \text{fun_upd } M \ s \ (\text{Some } S)$
 $) \ (PR_CONST \ M_list1) \ \text{HICF_Map.op_map_empty}$

definition
 $M1i = \text{hashmap_of_list } (\text{map } (\lambda(k, dbms). (k, \text{map } h \ dbms)) \ M_list)$

context
assumes $M_list_covered: \text{fst ' set } M_list \subseteq \text{set } L_list$
and $M_P: \text{list_all } (\lambda(l, xs). \text{list_all } P \ xs) \ M_list$
assumes $g_h_param: (h, g) \in \{(x, y). x = y \wedge P \ x\} \rightarrow R$
begin

lemma $M1_finite$:
 $\forall S \in \text{ran } M1. \text{finite } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma P_loc1 :
 list_all
 $(\lambda(l, xs). P_loc \ l \wedge \text{states_mem_impl } l \wedge \text{list_all } P \ xs) \ M_list$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma M_list_rel1 :
 $(M_list, M_list1) \in \langle \text{location_rel} \times_r \langle \text{br id } P \rangle \text{list_rel} \rangle \text{list_rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma dom_M_eq1_aux :
 $\text{dom } (\text{fold } (\lambda p \ M.$
 $\text{let } s = \text{fst } p; xs = \text{snd } p;$
 $xs = \text{rev } (\text{map } g \ xs); S = \text{set } xs \text{ in } \text{fun_upd } M \ s \ (\text{Some } S)$
 $) \ xs \ m) = \text{dom } m \cup \text{fst ' set } xs \ \textbf{for } xs \ m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma dom_M_eq1:
  dom M1 = fst ' set M_list1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma L_dom_M_eqI1:
  assumes fst ' set M_list = set L_list
  shows set L = dom M1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map_of_M_list_M_rel1:
  (map_of_list (map (λ(k, dbms). (k, map h dbms)) M_list), M1)
  ∈ location_rel → ⟨⟨R⟩list_set_rel⟩option_rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mi_M1:
  (λk. Impl_Array_Hash_Map.ahm_lookup (=) bounded_hashcode_nat k M1i, M1)
  ∈ location_rel → ⟨⟨R⟩list_set_rel⟩option_rel
  (is (?f, M1) ∈ ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

context
  fixes M_list :: ('si × int DBMEntry list list) list
  assumes M_list_covered: fst ' set M_list ⊆ set L_list
  and M_dbm_len: list_all (λ(l, xs). list_all (λM. length M = Suc n * Suc n) xs) M_list
begin

lemmas M_assms = M_list_covered M_dbm_len IArray_list_to_dbm_rel

definition
  M_list' ≡ M_list1 M_list

definition
  M = fold (λp M.
    let s = fst p; xs = snd p; xs = rev (map (list_to_dbm n) xs); S = set xs in fun_upd M s
    (Some S)
  ) (PR_CONST M_list') IICF_Map.op_map_empty

lemma M_alt_def:
  M = M1 TYPE(int DBMEntry list) M_list (list_to_dbm n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma M_finite:
  ∀ S ∈ ran M. finite S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas M_list_rel = M_list_rel1[OF M_assms, folded M_list'_def]

lemma M_list_hnr[seprel_fr_rules]:

```

$(\text{uncurry0 } (\text{return } M_list), \text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN } (PR_CONST M_list')))$
 $\in id_assn^k \rightarrow_a list_assn ($
 $location_assn \times_a list_assn (pure (b_rel Id (\lambda xs. length xs = Suc n * Suc n))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sepref_register $PR_CONST M_list'$

interpretation $DBM_Impl\ n\ \langle proof \rangle$

sepref_definition $M_table\ is$

$\text{uncurry0 } (\text{RETURN } M) :: unit_assn^k \rightarrow_a hm.hms_assn' location_assn (lso_assn mtx_assn)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $dom_M_eq = dom_M_eq1[OF\ M_assms, folded\ M_alt_def\ M_list'_def]$

interpretation

$Reachability_Impl$
where $A = mtx_assn$
and $F = F$
and $l_0i = return\ l_0i$
and $s_0 = init_dbm$
and $s_0i = init_dbm_impl$
and $succs = succs_precise$
and $succsi = succs_precise'_impl$
and $less = \lambda x\ y. dbm_subset\ n\ x\ y \wedge \neg dbm_subset\ n\ y\ x$
and $less_eq = dbm_subset\ n$
and $Lei = dbm_subset_impl\ n$
and $E = op_precise.E_from_op_empty$
and $Fi = F_impl$
and $K = location_assn$
and $keyi = return\ o\ fst$
and $copyi = amtx_copy$
and $P = \lambda(l, M). l \in states' \wedge wf_dbm\ M$
and $P' = P$
and $Pi = P_impl$
and $L = set\ L$
and $M = M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $reachability_impl = Reachability_Impl_axioms$

definition

$Mi = hashmap_of_list (map (\lambda(k, dbms). (k, map\ IArray\ dbms))\ M_list)$

lemma $Mi_alt_def:$

$Mi = M1i\ TYPE(int\ DBMEntry\ list)\ M_list\ IArray$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $map_of_M_list_M_rel = map_of_M_list_M_rel1[OF\ M_assms, folded\ M_alt_def]$

lemmas $Mi_M = Mi_M1[OF\ M_assms, folded\ M_alt_def\ Mi_alt_def]$

lemmas $L_dom_M_eqI = L_dom_M_eqI1[OF\ M_assms, folded\ M_alt_def]$

```

context
  fixes Li_split :: 'si list list
  assumes full_split: set L_list = ( $\bigcup$  xs  $\in$  set Li_split. set xs)
begin

interpretation Reachability_Impl_imp_to_pure_correct
  where A = mtx_assn
    and F = F
    and l0i = return l0i
    and s0 = init_dbm
    and s0i = init_dbm_impl
    and succs = succs_precise
    and succsi = succs_precise'_impl
    and less =  $\lambda x y. \text{dbm\_subset } n \ x \ y \wedge \neg \text{dbm\_subset } n \ y \ x$ 
    and less_eq = dbm_subset n
    and Lei = dbm_subset_impl n
    and lei =  $\lambda as \ bs. (\exists i \leq n. \text{IArray.sub } as \ (i + i * n + i) < Le \ 0) \vee \text{array\_all2 } (Suc \ n * Suc \ n) \ (\leq) \ as \ bs$ 
    and E = op_precise.E_from_op_empty
    and Fi = F_impl
    and K = location_assn
    and keyi = return o fst
    and copyi = amtx_copy
    and P =  $\lambda(l, M). l \in \text{states}' \wedge \text{wf\_dbm } M$ 
    and P' = P
    and Pi = P_impl
    and L = set L
    and M = M
    and to_loc = id
    and from_loc = id
    and L_list = L_list
    and K_rel = location_rel
    and L' = L
    and Li = L_list
    and to_state = array_unfreeze
    and from_state = array_freeze
    and A_rel =  $\{(a, b). \text{iarray\_mtx\_rel } (Suc \ n) \ (Suc \ n) \ b \ a\}$ 
    and Mi =  $\lambda k. \text{Impl\_Array\_Hash\_Map.ahm\_lookup } (=) \ \text{bounded\_hashcode\_nat } k \ Mi$ 
  <proof>

concrete_definition certify_unreachable_pure
  uses pure.certify_unreachable_impl_pure_correct[unfolded to_pair_def get_succs_def] is ?f
   $\longrightarrow$  _

lemma certify_unreachable_pure_refine:
  assumes fst ' set M_list = set L_list certify_unreachable_pure
  shows  $\nexists u \ l' \ u'. (\forall c \leq n. u \ c = 0) \wedge \text{conv\_A } A \vdash' \langle l_0, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle \wedge F' (l', u')$ 
  <proof>

end

context
  fixes splitter :: 's list  $\Rightarrow$  's list list and splitteri :: 'si list  $\Rightarrow$  'si list list
  assumes full_split: set xs = ( $\bigcup$  xs  $\in$  set (splitter xs). set xs)

```

and *same_split*:
 $\bigwedge L \text{ } Li.$
 $list_assn (list_assn \text{ } location_assn) (splitter \text{ } L) (splitteri \text{ } Li) = list_assn \text{ } location_assn \text{ } L \text{ } Li$
begin

lemmas *certify_unreachable_impl_hnr* =
certify_unreachable_impl.refine[
OF Reachability_Impl_axioms L_list_hnr, unfolded PR_CONST_def, OF M_table.refine,
OF full_split same_split,
FCOMP op_precise_unreachable_correct'
]

definition

unreachability_checker $\equiv$
let
Fi = *F_impl*;
Pi = *P_impl*;
copyi = *amtx_copy*;
Lei = *dbm_subset_impl n*;
l₀i = *Heap_Monad.return l₀i*;
s₀i = *init_dbm_impl*;
succsi = *succs_precise'_impl*;
M_table = *M_table*
in
certify_unreachable_impl Fi Pi copyi Lei succsi l₀i s₀i L_list M_table splitteri

lemma *unreachability_checker_alt_def*:

unreachability_checker $\equiv$
let
Fi = *F_impl*;
Pi = *P_impl*;
copyi = *amtx_copy*;
Lei = *dbm_subset_impl n*;
l₀i = *Heap_Monad.return l₀i*;
s₀i = *init_dbm_impl*;
succsi = *succs_precise'_impl*
in do {
M_table $\leftarrow$ *M_table*;
certify_unreachable_impl_inner Fi Pi copyi Lei succsi l₀i s₀i splitteri L_list M_table
 }
 <proof>

lemmas *unreachability_checker_hnr* =

certify_unreachable_impl_hnr[folded *unreachability_checker_def*[unfolded *Let_def*]]

lemmas *unreachability_checker_alt_def'* = *unreachability_checker_alt_def*[unfolded *M_table_def*]

definition

unreachability_checker2 $\equiv$
let
Fi = *F_impl*;
Pi = *P_impl*;
copyi = *amtx_copy*;
Lei = *dbm_subset_impl n*;

```

    l0i = Heap_Monad.return l0i;
    s0i = init_dbm_impl;
    succsi = succs_precise'_impl;
    M_table = M_table
  in
    certify_unreachable_impl2 Fi Pi copyi Lei succsi l0i s0i splitteri L_list M_table

lemmas unreachable_checker2_refine = certify_unreachable_impl2_refine[
  of L_list M_table splitter splitteri,
  OF L_list_hnr _ full_split same_split L_dom_M_eqI[symmetric],
  unfolded PR_CONST_def, OF M_table.refine,
  folded unreachable_checker2_def[unfolded Let_def],
  THEN mp, THEN op_precise_unreachable_correct
]

end

end

context
  fixes M_list :: ('si × (int DBMEntry list × nat) list) list
  assumes M_list_covered: fst 'set M_list ⊆ set L_list
    and M_dbm_len: list_all (λ(l, xs). list_all (λ(M, _). length M = Suc n * Suc n) xs) M_list
begin

lemma conversions_param:
  (λ(M, i). (IArray M, i), λ(M, i). (list_to_dbm n M, i))
  ∈ {(x, y). x = y ∧ (λ(M, _). length M = Suc n * Suc n) x} →
  {(a, b). iarray_mtx_rel (Suc n) (Suc n) b a} ×r Id
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas M2_assms =
  M_list_covered
  M_dbm_len
  conversions_param

definition
  M_list2 ≡ map (λ(li, xs). (SOME l. (li, l) ∈ loc_rel, xs)) M_list

definition
  M2 = fold (λp M.
    let
      s = fst p; xs = snd p;
      xs = rev (map (λ(M, i). (list_to_dbm n M, i)) xs);
      S = set xs in fun_upd M s (Some S)
    ) (PR_CONST M_list2) IICF_Map.op_map_empty

lemma M_list2_alt_def:
  M_list2 = M_list1 M_list
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma M2_alt_def:
  M2 = M1 TYPE(int DBMEntry list × nat) M_list (λ(M, i). (list_to_dbm n M, i))

```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $M2_finite = M1_finite[OF\ M2_assms, folded\ M2_alt_def]$

lemmas $L_dom_M_eqI2 = L_dom_M_eqI1[OF\ M2_assms, folded\ M2_alt_def\ M_list2_alt_def]$

definition

$M2i = \text{hashmap_of_list } (\text{map } (\lambda(k, dbms). (k, \text{map } (\lambda(M, i). (IArray\ M, i))\ dbms))\ M_list)$

lemma $M2i_alt_def$:

$M2i = M1i\ TYPE(int\ DBMEntry\ list \times nat)\ M_list\ (\lambda(M, i). (IArray\ M, i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{map_of_M_list_M_rel2} = \text{map_of_M_list_M_rel1}[OF\ M2_assms, folded\ M2_alt_def]$

lemmas $Mi_M2 = Mi_M1[OF\ M2_assms, folded\ M2i_alt_def\ M2_alt_def]$

interpretation

$Buechi_Impl_pre$

where $F = F$

and $\text{succs} = \text{succs_precise}$

and $\text{less} = \lambda\ x\ y. \text{dbm_subset}\ n\ x\ y \wedge \neg \text{dbm_subset}\ n\ y\ x$

and $\text{less_eq} = \text{dbm_subset}\ n$

and $E = \text{op_precise}.E_from_op_empty$

and $P = \lambda(l, M). l \in \text{states}' \wedge \text{wf_dbm}\ M$

and $P' = P$

and $L = \text{set}\ L$

and $M = \lambda x. \text{case}\ M2\ x\ \text{of}\ None \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some}\ S \Rightarrow S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context

fixes $Li_split :: 'si\ list\ list$

assumes $\text{full_split}: \text{set}\ L_list = (\bigcup xs \in \text{set}\ Li_split. \text{set}\ xs)$

fixes $\text{init_locsi} :: 'si\ list$ **and** $\text{init_locs} :: 's\ set$

assumes $\text{init_locs_in_states}: \text{init_locs} \subseteq \text{states}'$

assumes initsi_inits :

$(\text{init_locsi}, \text{init_locs}) \in \langle \text{loc_rel} \rangle \text{list_set_rel}$

begin

definition

$\text{init_locs1} = (\text{SOME}\ xs. \text{set}\ xs = \text{init_locs} \wedge (\text{init_locsi}, xs) \in \langle \text{loc_rel} \rangle \text{list_rel})$

lemma init_locs1 :

$\text{set}\ \text{init_locs1} = \text{init_locs} \wedge (\text{init_locsi}, \text{init_locs1}) \in \langle \text{loc_rel} \rangle \text{list_rel}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{init_locsi_init_locs1}$:

$(\text{init_locsi}, \text{init_locs1}) \in \langle \text{location_rel} \rangle \text{list_rel}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $[\text{sepref_fr_rules}]$:

$(\text{uncurry0}\ (\text{return}\ li), \text{uncurry0}\ (\text{RETURN}\ (PR_CONST\ l)))$

$\in \text{unit_assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{location_assn}\ \text{if}\ (li, l) \in \text{loc_rel}\ l \in \text{states}'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init_locs1_refine*[*sepref_fr_rules*]:
(*uncurry0* (*return init_locs1*), *uncurry0* (*RETURN* (*PR_CONST init_locs1*)))
 $\in \text{unit_assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{list_assn location_assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

inits = *map* ($\lambda l. (l, \text{init_dbm})$) *init_locs1*

interpretation *DBM_Impl* *n* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sepref_register *init_locs1*

sepref_definition *initsi*

is *uncurry0* (*RETURN* (*PR_CONST inits*))
 $:: \text{unit_assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{list_assn} (\text{prod_assn location_assn mtx_assn})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *Buechi_Impl_imp_to_pure_correct*

where *A* = *mtx_assn*
and *F* = *F*
and *succs* = *succs_precise*
and *succsi* = *succs_precise'_impl*
and *less* = $\lambda x y. \text{dbm_subset } n \ x \ y \wedge \neg \text{dbm_subset } n \ y \ x$
and *less_eq* = *dbm_subset* *n*
and *Lei* = *dbm_subset_impl* *n*
and *lei* = $\lambda as \ bs. (\exists i \leq n. \text{IArray.sub } as \ (i + i * n + i) < \text{Le } 0) \vee \text{array_all2 } (\text{Suc } n * \text{Suc } n) \ (\leq) \ as \ bs$
and *E* = *op_precise.E_from_op_empty*
and *Fi* = *F_impl*
and *K* = *location_assn*
and *keyi* = *return o fst*
and *copyi* = *amtx_copy*
and *P* = $\lambda(l, M). l \in \text{states}' \wedge \text{wf_dbm } M$
and *P'* = *P*
and *Pi* = *P_impl*
and *L* = *set L*
and *M* = *M2*
and *to_loc* = *id*
and *from_loc* = *id*
and *L_list* = *L_list*
and *K_rel* = *location_rel*
and *L'* = *L*
and *Li* = *L_list*
and *to_state* = *array_unfreeze*
and *from_state* = *array_freeze*
and *A_rel* = $\{(a, b). \text{iarray_mtx_rel } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Suc } n) \ b \ a\}$
and *Mi* = $\lambda k. \text{Impl_Array_Hash_Map.ahm_lookup } (=) \ \text{bounded_hashcode_nat } k \ M2i$
and *inits* = *inits*
and *initsi* = *initsi*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete_definition *certify_no_buechi_run_pure*

uses pure.certify_no_buechi_run_impl_pure_correct[unfolded to_pair_def get_succs_def]
 is ?f $\rightarrow$ _

lemma certify_no_buechi_run_pure_refine:

assumes fst 'set M_list = set L_list certify_no_buechi_run_pure

and F_F1: $\bigwedge l_0 \ l \ D \ Z. l_0 \in \text{init_locs} \implies \text{op_precise.E_from_op_empty}^{**} (l_0, \text{init_dbm}) (l, D)$

$\implies \text{dbm.zone_of} (\text{curry} (\text{conv_M } D)) = Z \implies F (l, D) = F1 (l, Z)$

shows $\nexists l_0 \ u \ xs. l_0 \in \text{init_locs} \wedge (\forall c \leq n. u \ c = 0) \wedge \text{run} ((l_0, u) \#\# xs) \wedge \text{alw} (\text{ev} (\text{holds } F'))$
 $((l_0, u) \#\# xs)$

<proof>

end

end

end

end

context TA_Impl_Precise

begin

lemma (in TA_Impl) dbm_subset_correct:

assumes wf_dbm D and wf_dbm M

shows $[\text{curry} (\text{conv_M } D)]_{v,n} \subseteq [\text{curry} (\text{conv_M } M)]_{v,n} \longleftrightarrow \text{dbm_subset } n \ D \ M$

<proof>

lemma empty_steps_states':

$l' \in \text{states}' \text{ if } \text{op_precise.E_from_op_empty}^{**} (l, D) (l', D') \ l \in \text{states}'$

<proof>

interpretation deadlock: Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise **where**

$F = \lambda(l, D). \neg (\text{check_deadlock_dbm } l \ D)$ and

$F1 = \lambda(l, Z). \neg (TA.\text{check_deadlock } l \ Z)$ and

$F' = \text{deadlocked}$ and

$F_impl = \lambda(l, M). \text{do } \{r \leftarrow \text{check_deadlock_impl } l \ M; \text{return } (\neg r)\}$

<proof>

lemma deadlock_unreachability_checker2_hnr:

fixes P_loc :: 'si $\Rightarrow$ bool

and L_list :: 'si list

and M_list :: ('si $\times$ int DBMEntry list list) list

fixes splitter :: 's list $\Rightarrow$'s list list and splitteri :: 'si list $\Rightarrow$ 'si list list

assumes $\bigwedge li. P_loc \ li \implies \exists l. (li, l) \in \text{loc_rel}$

and list_all $(\lambda x. P_loc \ x \wedge \text{states_mem_impl } x) \ L_list$

and list_all $(\lambda(l, xs). \text{list_all } (\lambda M. \text{length } M = \text{Suc } n * \text{Suc } n) \ xs) \ M_list$

and fst 'set M_list = set L_list

assumes full_split: $\bigwedge xs. \text{set } xs = (\bigcup xs \in \text{set } (\text{splitter } xs). \text{set } xs)$

and same_split:

$\bigwedge L \ Li.$

$\text{list_assn } (\text{list_assn location_assn}) (\text{splitter } L) (\text{splitteri } Li) = \text{list_assn location_assn } L \ Li$

shows

deadlock.unreachability_checker2 L_list M_list splitteri
 $\longrightarrow (\forall u. (\forall c \leq n. u \ c = 0) \longrightarrow \neg \text{deadlock } (l_0, u))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *deadlock_unreachability_checker3_hnr:*

fixes *P_loc* :: 'si $\Rightarrow$ bool
and *L_list* :: 'si list
and *M_list* :: ('si $\times$ int DBMEntry list list) list
fixes *Li_split* :: 'si list list
assumes $\bigwedge li. P_loc \ li \Longrightarrow \exists l. (li, l) \in loc_rel$
and *list_all* ($\lambda x. P_loc \ x \wedge states_mem_impl \ x$) *L_list*
and *list_all* ($\lambda(l, xs). list_all (\lambda M. length \ M = Suc \ n * Suc \ n) \ xs$) *M_list*
and *fst* ' set *M_list* = set *L_list*
assumes *full_split*: set *L_list* = $(\bigcup xs \in set \ Li_split. set \ xs)$
shows
deadlock.certify_unreachable_pure L_list M_list Li_split
 $\longrightarrow (\forall u. (\forall c \leq n. u \ c = 0) \longrightarrow \neg \text{deadlock } (l_0, u))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *deadlock_unreachability_checker2_def* = *deadlock.unreachability_checker2_def*

lemmas *deadlock_unreachability_checker_alt_def* = *deadlock.unreachability_checker_alt_def*

lemmas *deadlock_unreachability_checker3_def* = *deadlock.certify_unreachable_pure_def*

lemma *deadlock_unreachability_checker_hnr:*

fixes *P_loc* :: 'si $\Rightarrow$ bool
and *L_list* :: 'si list
and *M_list* :: ('si $\times$ int DBMEntry list list) list
fixes *splitter* :: 's list $\Rightarrow$'s list list **and** *splitteri* :: 'si list $\Rightarrow$ 'si list list
assumes $\bigwedge li. P_loc \ li \Longrightarrow \exists l. (li, l) \in loc_rel$
and *list_all* ($\lambda x. P_loc \ x \wedge states_mem_impl \ x$) *L_list*
and *fst* ' set *M_list* $\subseteq$ set *L_list*
and *list_all* ($\lambda(l, xs). list_all (\lambda M. length \ M = Suc \ n * Suc \ n) \ xs$) *M_list*
and set (*deadlock.L L_list*) = dom (*deadlock.M M_list*)
assumes *full_split*: $\bigwedge xs. set \ xs = (\bigcup xs \in set \ (splitter \ xs). set \ xs)$
and *same_split*:
 $\bigwedge L \ Li.$
 $list_assn \ (list_assn \ location_assn) \ (splitter \ L) \ (splitteri \ Li) = list_assn \ location_assn \ L \ Li$
shows
 $(uncurry0$
 $(Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise.unreachability_checker \ n \ trans_impl \ l_0 \ i \ op_impl$
 $states_mem_impl \ (\lambda(l, M). check_deadlock_impl \ l \ M \gg (\lambda r. return \ (\neg \ r))) \ L_list$
 $M_list \ splitteri),$
 $uncurry0$
 $(SPEC$
 $(\lambda r. r \longrightarrow (\forall u. (\forall c \leq n. u \ c = 0) \longrightarrow \neg \text{deadlock } (l_0, u))))$
 $\in unit_assn^k \rightarrow_a bool_assn$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

concrete_definition (in $-$) *unreachability_checker*

```

uses Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise.unreachability_checker_alt_def

end
theory Normalized_Zone_Semantics_Certification_Impl2
  imports
    Normalized_Zone_Semantics_Certification_Impl
    Unreachability_Certification
  begin

  context Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise
  begin

  context
    fixes L_list :: 'si list and P_loc and M_list :: ('si × int DBMEntry list list) list
    assumes state_impl_abstract:  $\bigwedge li. P\_loc\ li \implies \exists l. (li, l) \in loc\_rel$ 
    assumes P_loc: list_all ( $\lambda x. P\_loc\ x \wedge states\_mem\_impl\ x$ ) L_list
    assumes M_list_covered: fst ' set M_list  $\subseteq$  set L_list
      and M_dbm_len: list_all ( $\lambda(l, xs). list\_all\ (\lambda M. length\ M = Suc\ n * Suc\ n)\ xs$ ) M_list
  begin

  lemmas table_axioms = state_impl_abstract P_loc M_list_covered M_dbm_len

  context
    fixes splitter :: 's list  $\Rightarrow$  's list list and splitteri :: 'si list  $\Rightarrow$  'si list list
    assumes full_split: set xs =  $\bigcup xs \in set\ (splitter\ xs). set\ xs$ 
      and same_split:
         $\bigwedge L\ Li.$ 
        list_assn (list_assn location_assn) (splitter L) (splitteri Li) = list_assn location_assn L Li
  begin

  lemma certify_unreachable_impl_hnr:
    assumes set (L L_list) = dom (M M_list)
    shows
      (uncurry0
        (certify_unreachable_impl F_impl P_impl amtx_copy (dbm_subset_impl n) succs_precise'_impl
          (return l_0 i) init_dbm_impl L_list (M_table M_list) splitteri),
        uncurry0 (SPEC ( $\lambda r. r \longrightarrow$ 
          ( $\nexists u\ l'\ u'. (\forall c \leq n. u\ c = 0) \wedge conv\_A\ A \vdash' \langle l_0, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u \rangle \wedge F' (l', u')$ ))))
       $\in unit\_assn^k \rightarrow_a bool\_assn$ 
      <proof>

  definition
    unreachability_checker'  $\equiv$ 
    let
      Fi = F_impl;
      Pi = P_impl;
      copyi = amtx_copy;
      Lei = dbm_subset_impl n;
      l_0 i = Heap_Monad.return l_0 i;
      s_0 i = init_dbm_impl;
      succsi = succs_precise'_impl;
      M_table = M_table M_list
    in

```

certify_unreachable_impl Fi Pi copyi Lei succsi l₀i s₀i L_list M_table splitteri

lemma *unreachability_checker_alt_def:*

unreachability_checker' ≡
let
Fi = F_impl;
Pi = P_impl;
copyi = amtx_copy;
Lei = dbm_subset_impl n;
l₀i = Heap_Monad.return l₀i;
s₀i = init_dbm_impl;
succsi = succs_precise'_impl
in do {
M_table ← M_table M_list;
certify_unreachable_impl_inner Fi Pi copyi Lei succsi l₀i s₀i splitteri L_list M_table
}
⟨proof⟩

lemmas *unreachability_checker_hnr =*

certify_unreachable_impl_hnr[folded unreachability_checker'_def[unfolded Let_def]]

lemmas *unreachability_checker_alt_def' = unreachability_checker_alt_def[unfolded M_table_def]*

definition

unreachability_checker2' ≡
let
Fi = F_impl;
Pi = P_impl;
copyi = amtx_copy;
Lei = dbm_subset_impl n;
l₀i = Heap_Monad.return l₀i;
s₀i = init_dbm_impl;
succsi = succs_precise'_impl;
M_table = M_table M_list
in
certify_unreachable_impl2 Fi Pi copyi Lei succsi l₀i s₀i splitteri L_list M_table

lemma *unreachability_checker2_refine:*

assumes *fst ' set M_list = set L_list unreachability_checker2 L_list M_list splitteri*
shows $\nexists u\ l'\ u'. (\forall c \leq n. u\ c = 0) \wedge \text{conv_A}\ A \vdash' \langle l_0, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle \wedge F' (l', u')$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *unreachability_checker2'_is_unreachability_checker2:*

unreachability_checker2' = unreachability_checker2 L_list M_list splitteri
⟨proof⟩

end

end

end

context *TA_Impl_Precise*
begin

lemma (in *TA_Impl*) *dbm_subset_correct*:
 assumes *wf_dbm D* and *wf_dbm M*
 shows $[\text{curry } (\text{conv_M } D)]_{v,n} \subseteq [\text{curry } (\text{conv_M } M)]_{v,n} \longleftrightarrow \text{dbm_subset } n \ D \ M$
<proof>

lemma *empty_steps_states'*:
 $l' \in \text{states}' \text{ if } \text{op_precise.E_from_op_empty}^{**} (l, D) (l', D') \ l \in \text{states}'$
<proof>

interpretation *deadlock: Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise* **where**
 $F = \lambda(l, D). \neg (\text{check_deadlock_dbm } l \ D)$ and
 $F1 = \lambda(l, Z). \neg (\text{TA.check_deadlock } l \ Z)$ and
 $F' = \text{deadlocked}$ and
 $F_impl = \lambda(l, M). \text{ do } \{r \leftarrow \text{check_deadlock_impl } l \ M; \text{ return } (\neg r)\}$
<proof>

lemma *deadlock_unreachability_checker2_hnr*:
 fixes *P_loc* :: '*si* $\Rightarrow$ bool'
 and *L_list* :: '*si* list'
 and *M_list* :: ('*si* $\times$ int DBMEntry list list) list
 fixes *splitter* :: '*s* list $\Rightarrow$ '*s* list list' and *splitteri* :: '*si* list $\Rightarrow$ '*si* list list'
 assumes $\bigwedge li. P_loc \ li \Longrightarrow \exists l. (li, l) \in \text{loc_rel}$
 and *list_all* ($\lambda x. P_loc \ x \wedge \text{states_mem_impl } x$) *L_list*
 and *list_all* ($\lambda(l, xs). \text{list_all } (\lambda M. \text{length } M = \text{Suc } n * \text{Suc } n) \ xs$) *M_list*
 and *fst* 'set *M_list* = set *L_list*
 assumes *full_split*: $\bigwedge xs. \text{set } xs = (\bigcup xs \in \text{set } (\text{splitter } xs). \text{set } xs)$
 and *same_split*:
 $\bigwedge L \ Li. \text{list_assn } (\text{list_assn } \text{location_assn}) (\text{splitter } L) (\text{splitteri } Li) = \text{list_assn } \text{location_assn } L \ Li$
 shows
 $\text{deadlock.unreachability_checker2 } L_list \ M_list \ \text{splitteri}$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall u. (\forall c \leq n. u \ c = 0) \longrightarrow \neg \text{deadlock } (l_0, u))$
<proof>

lemma *deadlock_unreachability_checker3_hnr*:
 fixes *P_loc* :: '*si* $\Rightarrow$ bool'
 and *L_list* :: '*si* list'
 and *M_list* :: ('*si* $\times$ int DBMEntry list list) list
 fixes *Li_split* :: '*si* list list'
 assumes $\bigwedge li. P_loc \ li \Longrightarrow \exists l. (li, l) \in \text{loc_rel}$
 and *list_all* ($\lambda x. P_loc \ x \wedge \text{states_mem_impl } x$) *L_list*
 and *list_all* ($\lambda(l, xs). \text{list_all } (\lambda M. \text{length } M = \text{Suc } n * \text{Suc } n) \ xs$) *M_list*
 and *fst* 'set *M_list* = set *L_list*
 assumes *full_split*: $\text{set } L_list = (\bigcup xs \in \text{set } Li_split. \text{set } xs)$
 shows
 $\text{deadlock.certify_unreachable_pure } L_list \ M_list \ Li_split$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall u. (\forall c \leq n. u \ c = 0) \longrightarrow \neg \text{deadlock } (l_0, u))$
<proof>

lemmas *deadlock_unreachability_checker2_def* = *deadlock.unreachability_checker2_def*

lemmas *deadlock_unreachability_checker_alt_def* = *deadlock.unreachability_checker_alt_def*

lemmas *deadlock_unreachability_checker3_def* = *deadlock.certify_unreachable_pure_def*

lemma *deadlock_unreachability_checker_hnr*:
fixes *P_loc* :: 'si $\Rightarrow$ bool
and *L_list* :: 'si list
and *M_list* :: ('si $\times$ int DBMEntry list list) list
fixes *splitter* :: 's list $\Rightarrow$'s list list **and** *splitteri* :: 'si list $\Rightarrow$ 'si list list
assumes $\bigwedge li. P_loc\ li \implies \exists l. (li, l) \in loc_rel$
and *list_all* ($\lambda x. P_loc\ x \wedge states_mem_impl\ x$) *L_list*
and *fst* ' set *M_list* $\subseteq$ set *L_list*
and *list_all* ($\lambda(l, xs). list_all\ (\lambda M. length\ M = Suc\ n * Suc\ n)\ xs$) *M_list*
and set (*deadlock.L L_list*) = dom (*deadlock.M M_list*)
assumes *full_split*: $\bigwedge xs. set\ xs = (\bigcup xs \in set\ (splitter\ xs). set\ xs)$
and *same_split*:
 $\bigwedge L\ Li.$
list_assn (*list_assn location_assn*) (*splitter L*) (*splitteri Li*) = *list_assn location_assn L Li*
shows
(*uncurry0*
(*Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise.unreachability_checker'* *n trans_impl l₀ i op_impl*
states_mem_impl ($\lambda(l, M). check_deadlock_impl\ l\ M \gg (\lambda r. return\ (\neg r))$) *L_list*
M_list splitteri),
uncurry0
(*SPEC*
($\lambda r. r \longrightarrow (\forall u. (\forall c \leq n. u\ c = 0) \longrightarrow \neg deadlock\ (l_0, u))))$)
 $\in unit_assn^k \rightarrow_a bool_assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

concrete_definition (**in** $-$) *unreachability_checker*
uses *Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise.unreachability_checker_alt_def*

end

10 Extracting Certificates From Munta

theory *Extract_Certificate*
imports
Worklist_Algorithms.Unified_PW_Impl
Worklist_Algorithms.Next_Key
Difference_Bound_Matrices.DBM_Operations_Impl_Refine
Worklist_Algorithms.Leadsto_Impl
begin

10.1 Turning a map into a list

definition *list_of_map* **where**

list_of_map m $\equiv$ do
 $\{$
 $(xs, m) \leftarrow WHILEIT$

```

    (λ (xs, m'). finite (dom m') ∧ m = m' ++ map_of xs ∧ dom m' ∩ dom (map_of xs) =
  {}))
    (λ (_, m). Map.empty ≠ m)
    (λ (xs, m). do
      {
        k ← next_key m;
        let (x, m) = op_map_extract k m;
        ASSERT (x ≠ None);
        RETURN ((k, the x) # xs, m)
      }
    )
    ([], m);
  RETURN xs
}

```

context
begin

private definition

ran_of_map_var = (inv_image (measure (card o dom)) (λ (a, b). b))

private lemma *wf_ran_of_map_var*:

wf_ran_of_map_var

⟨proof⟩ **lemma** *insert_restrict_ran*:

insert v (ran (m |' (- {k}))) = ran m **if** *m k = Some v*

⟨proof⟩ **lemma** 1:

(m |' (- {x}))(x ↦ y) = m **if** *m x = Some y*

⟨proof⟩ **lemma** 2:

(m1 ++ m2)(x ↦ y) = m1(x ↦ y) ++ m2 **if** *x ∉ dom m2*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *list_of_map_correct*[refine]:

list_of_map m ≤ SPEC (λ r. map_of r = m) **if** *finite (dom m)*

⟨proof⟩

end — End of private context for auxiliary facts and definitions

context

fixes *K* :: *_* ⇒ *_* :: {hashable, heap} ⇒ *assn*

assumes *is_pure_K*[safe_constraint_rules]: *is_pure K*

and *left_unique_K*[safe_constraint_rules]: *IS_LEFT_UNIQUE (the_pure K)*

and *right_unique_K*[safe_constraint_rules]: *IS_RIGHT_UNIQUE (the_pure K)*

notes [*sepref_fr_rules*] = *hm_it_next_key_next_key''*[*OF is_pure_K*]

begin

sepref_definition *list_of_map_impl* **is**

list_of_map :: (*hm.hms_assn'* *K A*)^d →_a (*list_assn* (*K* ×_a *A*))

⟨proof⟩

end

lemmas *list_of_map_impl_code*[code] =

list_of_map_impl_def[of pure Id, simplified, OF Sepref_Constraints.safe_constraint_rules(41)]

```

context
  notes [sepref_fr_rules] = hm_it_next_key_next_key'[folded hm.hms_assn'_id_hms_assn]
begin

sepref_definition list_of_map_impl' is
  list_of_map :: (hm.hms_assn A)d →a (list_assn (id_assn ×a A))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context Worklist_Map2_Impl
begin

definition extract_certificate :: _ nres where
  extract_certificate = do {
    (_, passed) ← pw_algo_map2;
    list_of_map passed
  }

context
begin

private definition
  pw_algo_map2_copy = pw_algo_map2

sepref_register pw_algo_map2_copy

lemma pw_algo_map2_copy_fold:
  PR_CONST pw_algo_map2_copy = pw_algo_map2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas [sepref_fr_rules] =
  pw_algo_map2_impl.refine_raw[folded pw_algo_map2_copy_fold]
  list_of_map_impl.refine[OF pure_K left_unique_K right_unique_K]

sepref_thm extract_certificate_impl is
  uncurry0 extract_certificate :: unit_assnk →a (list_assn (K ×a lso_assn A))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

concrete_definition extract_certificate_impl
  uses Worklist_Map2_Impl.extract_certificate_impl.refine_raw

end

theory Simple_Network_Language_Certificate
  imports Extract_Certificate Munta_Model_Checker.Simple_Network_Language_Model_Checking
begin

context Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state
begin

```

definition

```

state_space ≡
let
  succsi = impl.succs_impl;
  a0i = impl.a0_impl;
  Fi = impl.F_impl;
  Lei = impl.subsumes_impl;
  emptyi = impl.emptyness_check_impl;
  keyi = return o fst;
  copyi = impl.state_copy_impl;
  trace = impl.tracei
in extract_certificate_impl succsi a0i Fi Lei emptyi keyi copyi trace

```

schematic_goal state_space_alt_def:

```

state_space ≡ ?impl
⟨proof⟩

```

end**concrete_definition** state_space

```

uses Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.state_space_alt_def

```

end

11 A Verified Certificate Checker for the Simple Networks Language

theory Simple_Network_Language_Certificate_Checking**imports**

```

  Extract_Certificate
  Normalized_Zone_Semantics_Certification_Impl
  Munta_Model_Checker.Simple_Network_Language_Export_Code
  Munta_Base.Trace_Timing

```

begin

```

unbundle no_library_syntax

```

```

notation fun_rel_syn (infixr → 60)

```

```

no_notation Omega_Words_Fun.build (infixr ⟨##⟩ 65)

```

```

no_notation Assertions.models (infix |= 50)

```

Misc lemma list_set_rel_singleton_iff:

```

([a], {b}) ∈ ⟨R⟩list_set_rel ⟷ (a, b) ∈ R
⟨proof⟩

```

definition (in Graph_Defs)

```

alw_ev ϕ x ≡ ∀ xs. run (x ## xs) ⟶ alw (ev (holds ϕ)) (x ## xs)

```

lemma (in Graph_Defs) alw_ev_to_ctl_star:

```

alw_ev ϕ x ⟷ models_state (All (G (F (State (PropS ϕ))))) x
⟨proof⟩

```

context *Simple_Network_Rename_Formula*
begin

lemma *buechi_models_state_compatible*:

assumes $\Phi = \text{formula.EX } \varphi$

shows

Graph_Defs.models_state

$(\lambda (L, s, u) (L', s', u'). \text{rename.renum.sem} \vdash \langle L, s, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle L', s', u' \rangle)$

$(\text{map_state_formula } (\lambda \varphi (L, s, _). \text{check_sexp } (\text{map_sexp } (\lambda p. \text{renum_states } p) \text{ renum_vars id } \varphi) L (\text{the } o \ s))$

$(\text{All } (G (F (State (PropS \varphi))))))$

$(\text{All } (G (F (State (PropS \varphi))))))$

a_0'

$\longleftrightarrow \text{Graph_Defs.models_state}$

$(\lambda (L, s, u) (L', s', u'). \text{sem} \vdash \langle L, s, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle L', s', u' \rangle)$

$(\text{map_state_formula } (\lambda \varphi (L, s, _). \text{check_sexp } \varphi L (\text{the } o \ s)) (\text{All } (G (F (State (PropS$

$\varphi))))))$

$a_0 (\text{is Graph_Defs.models_state } _ ?\varphi _ \longleftrightarrow _)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *buechi_compatible*:

assumes $\Phi = \text{formula.EX } \varphi$

shows

Graph_Defs.alw_ev

$(\lambda (L, s, u) (L', s', u'). \text{rename.renum.sem} \vdash \langle L, s, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle L', s', u' \rangle)$

$(\lambda (L, s, _). \text{check_sexp } (\text{map_sexp } (\lambda p. \text{renum_states } p) \text{ renum_vars id } \varphi) L (\text{the } o \ s)) a_0'$

$\longleftrightarrow \text{Graph_Defs.alw_ev}$

$(\lambda (L, s, u) (L', s', u'). \text{sem} \vdash \langle L, s, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle L', s', u' \rangle)$

$(\lambda (L, s, _). \text{check_sexp } \varphi L (\text{the } o \ s)) a_0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *buechi_compatible'* =

buechi_compatible[*unfolded_rename_N_eq_sem*, *folded_N_eq_sem*, *unfolded_a0_def* *a0'_def* Φ'_def]

end

lemma *stream_all2_flip*:

assumes *stream_all2* *R* *xs* *ys*

shows *stream_all2* $(\lambda y \ x. R \ x \ y)$ *ys* *xs*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Bisimulation_Invariant*) *alw_ev_iff*:

fixes $\varphi :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $\psi :: 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

assumes *compatible*: $\bigwedge a \ b. A_B.\text{equiv}' \ a \ b \implies \varphi \ a \longleftrightarrow \psi \ b$

and that: $A_B.\text{equiv}' \ a \ b$

shows $A.\text{alw_ev } \varphi \ a \longleftrightarrow B.\text{alw_ev } \psi \ b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Splitters context

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **and** $\text{width} :: \text{nat}$

begin

fun *split_size* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list list}$ **where**

$split_size_acc [] = [acc]$
 $| split_size\ n\ acc\ (x \# xs) =$
 $(let\ k = f\ x\ in\ if\ n < width\ then\ split_size\ (n + k)\ (x \# acc)\ xs\ else\ acc \# split_size\ k\ [x]\ xs)$

lemma *split_size_full_split*:

$(\bigcup x \in set\ (split_size\ n\ acc\ xs). set\ x) = set\ xs \cup set\ acc$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

definition *split_eq_width* :: $nat \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list\ list$ **where**

$split_eq_width\ n \equiv split_size\ (\lambda_.\ 1 :: nat)\ n\ 0 []$

lemma *list_all2_split_size_1*:

assumes $list_all2\ R\ xs\ ys\ list_all2\ R\ acc\ acc'$

shows $list_all2\ (list_all2\ R)$

$(split_size\ (\lambda_.\ 1 :: nat)\ k\ n\ acc\ xs)\ (split_size\ (\lambda_.\ 1 :: nat)\ k\ n\ acc'\ ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

fun *zip2* **where**

$zip2\ []\ [] = []$

$| zip2\ []\ xs = []$

$| zip2\ ys\ [] = []$

$| zip2\ (x \# xs)\ (y \# ys) = (x, y) \# zip2\ xs\ ys$

lemma *length_hd_split_size_mono*:

$length\ (hd\ (split_size\ f\ k\ n\ acc\ xs)) \geq length\ acc$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split_size_non_empty[simp]*:

$split_size\ f\ k\ n\ acc\ xs = [] \longleftrightarrow False$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list_all2_split_size_2*:

assumes $length\ acc = length\ acc'$

shows

$list_all2\ (list_all2\ R)$

$(split_size\ (\lambda_.\ 1 :: nat)\ k\ n\ acc\ xs)\ (split_size\ (\lambda_.\ 1 :: nat)\ k\ n\ acc'\ ys)$

$\longleftrightarrow list_all2\ R\ xs\ ys \wedge list_all2\ R\ acc\ acc'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list_all2_split_eq_width*:

shows $list_all2\ R\ xs\ ys \longleftrightarrow list_all2\ (list_all2\ R)\ (split_eq_width\ k\ xs)\ (split_eq_width\ k\ ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length_split_size_1*:

$sum_list\ (map\ length\ (split_size\ (\lambda_.\ 1 :: nat)\ k\ n\ acc\ xs)) = length\ xs + length\ acc$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length_sum_list*:

$sum_list\ (map\ length\ (split_eq_width\ k\ xs)) = length\ xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *split_k* :: $nat \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list\ list$ **where**

$split_k\ k\ xs \equiv let$
 $\quad width = length\ xs\ div\ k;$
 $\quad width = (if\ length\ xs\ mod\ k = 0\ then\ width\ else\ width + 1)$
 $in\ split_eq_width\ width\ xs$

lemma $length_hd_split_size$:
 $length\ (hd\ (split_size\ (\lambda_.\ 1 :: nat)\ k\ n\ acc\ xs))$
 $= (if\ n + length\ xs < k\ then\ n + length\ xs\ else\ max\ k\ n)\ \mathbf{if}\ length\ acc = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $length_hd_split_eq_width$:
 $length\ (hd\ (split_eq_width\ width\ xs)) = (if\ length\ xs < width\ then\ length\ xs\ else\ width)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $list_all2_split_k$:
 $list_all2\ R\ xs\ ys \longleftrightarrow list_all2\ (list_all2\ R)\ (split_k\ k\ xs)\ (split_k\ k\ ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $split_k' :: nat \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b\ list)\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list\ list\ \mathbf{where}$
 $\quad split_k'\ k\ xs \equiv let$
 $\quad\quad width = sum_list\ (map\ (length\ o\ snd)\ xs)\ div\ k;$
 $\quad\quad width = (if\ length\ xs\ mod\ k = 0\ then\ width\ else\ width + 1)$
 $\quad in\ map\ (map\ fst)\ (split_size\ (length\ o\ snd)\ width\ 0\ []\ xs)$

lemma $split_eq_width_full_split$:
 $set\ xs = (\bigcup x \in set\ (split_eq_width\ n\ xs). set\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $split_k_full_split$:
 $set\ xs = (\bigcup x \in set\ (split_k\ n\ xs). set\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $split_k'_full_split$:
 $fst\ ' set\ xs = (\bigcup x \in set\ (split_k'\ n\ xs). set\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(in\ Graph_Defs)\ Ex_ev_reaches$:
 $\exists\ y. x \rightarrow^* y \wedge \varphi\ y\ \mathbf{if}\ Ex_ev\ \varphi\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
 $\mathbf{including}\ graph_automation\ \langle proof \rangle$

More debugging auxiliaries

concrete_definition $(in\ -)\ M_table$
 $\mathbf{uses}\ Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise.M_table_def$

definition
 $check_nonneg\ n\ M \equiv imp_for\ 0\ (n + 1)\ Heap_Monad.return$
 $(\lambda xc\ \sigma. mtx_get\ (Suc\ n)\ M\ (0, xc) \gg (\lambda x'e. Heap_Monad.return\ (x'e \leq Le\ 0)))\ True$

definition
 $check_diag_nonpos\ n\ M \equiv imp_for\ 0\ (n + 1)\ Heap_Monad.return$
 $(\lambda xc\ \sigma. mtx_get\ (Suc\ n)\ M\ (xc, xc) \gg (\lambda x'd. Heap_Monad.return\ (x'd \leq Le\ 0)))\ True$

Complete DBM printing

context

```

fixes n :: nat
fixes show_clock :: nat ⇒ string
  and show_num :: 'a :: {linordered_ab_group_add,heap} ⇒ string
notes [id_rules] = itypeI[of n TYPE (nat)]
  and [sepref_import_param] = IdI[of n]
begin

```

definition

```

make_string' e i j ≡
  let
    i = (if i > 0 then show_clock i else "0");
    j = (if j > 0 then show_clock j else "0")
  in
    case e of
      DBMEntry.Le a ⇒ i @ " - " @ j @ " ≤ " @ show_num a
    | DBMEntry.Lt a ⇒ i @ " - " @ j @ " < " @ show_num a
    | _ ⇒ i @ " - " @ j @ " < inf"

```

definition

```

dbm_list_to_string' xs ≡
  (concat o intersperse " " o rev o snd o snd) $ fold (λe (i, j, acc).
    let
      s = make_string' e i j;
      j = (j + 1) mod (n + 1);
      i = (if j = 0 then i + 1 else i)
    in (i, j, s # acc)
  ) xs (0, 0, [])

```

lemma [*sepref_import_param*]:

```

(dbm_list_to_string', PR_CONST dbm_list_to_string') ∈ ⟨Id⟩list_rel → ⟨Id⟩list_rel
⟨proof⟩

```

definition *show_dbm'* **where**

```

show_dbm' M ≡ PR_CONST dbm_list_to_string' (dbm_to_list n M)

```

sepref_register *PR_CONST dbm_list_to_string'*

lemmas [*sepref_fr_rules*] = *dbm_to_list_impl.refine*

sepref_definition *show_dbm_impl_all* **is**

```

Refine_Basic.RETURN o show_dbm' :: (mtx_assn n)k →a list_assn id_assn
⟨proof⟩

```

end

definition

```

abstr_repair_impl m ≡
  λai. imp_nfoldli ai (λσ. Heap_Monad.return True)
    (λai bi. abstra_upd_impl m ai bi ≫ (λx'. repair_pair_impl m x' 0 (constraint_clk ai)))

```

definition *E_op_impl* ::

```

nat ⇒ (nat, int) acconstraint list ⇒ nat list ⇒ _
where
  E_op_impl m l_inv r g l'_inv M ≡
do {
  M1 ← up_canonical_upd_impl m M m;
  M2 ← abstr_repair_impl m l_inv M1;
  is_empty1 ← check_diag_impl m M2;
  M3 ← (if is_empty1 then mtx_set (Suc m) M2 (0, 0) (Lt 0) else abstr_repair_impl m g M2);
  is_empty3 ← check_diag_impl m M3;
  if is_empty3 then
    mtx_set (Suc m) M3 (0, 0) (Lt 0)
  else do {
    M4 ←
      imp_nfoldli r (λσ. Heap_Monad.return True) (λxc σ. reset_canonical_upd_impl m σ m
xc 0) M3;
    abstr_repair_impl m l'_inv M4
  }
}

```

Full Monomorphization of E_op_impl **definition** $min_int :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int$ **where**
 $min_int\ x\ y \equiv if\ x \leq y\ then\ x\ else\ y$

named_theorems int_folds

lemma $min_int_fold[int_folds]$:
 $min = min_int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun $min_int_entry :: int\ DBMEntry \Rightarrow int\ DBMEntry \Rightarrow int\ DBMEntry$ **where**
 $min_int_entry\ (Lt\ x)\ (Lt\ y) = (if\ x \leq y\ then\ Lt\ x\ else\ Lt\ y)$
 $| min_int_entry\ (Lt\ x)\ (Le\ y) = (if\ x \leq y\ then\ Lt\ x\ else\ Le\ y)$
 $| min_int_entry\ (Le\ x)\ (Lt\ y) = (if\ x < y\ then\ Le\ x\ else\ Lt\ y)$
 $| min_int_entry\ (Le\ x)\ (Le\ y) = (if\ x \leq y\ then\ Le\ x\ else\ Le\ y)$
 $| min_int_entry\ \infty\ x = x$
 $| min_int_entry\ x\ \infty = x$

export_code min_int_entry **in** SML

lemma $min_int_entry[int_folds]$:
 $min = min_int_entry$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun $dbm_le_int :: int\ DBMEntry \Rightarrow int\ DBMEntry \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $dbm_le_int\ (Lt\ a)\ (Lt\ b) \longleftrightarrow a \leq b$
 $| dbm_le_int\ (Lt\ a)\ (Le\ b) \longleftrightarrow a \leq b$
 $| dbm_le_int\ (Le\ a)\ (Lt\ b) \longleftrightarrow a < b$
 $| dbm_le_int\ (Le\ a)\ (Le\ b) \longleftrightarrow a \leq b$
 $| dbm_le_int\ _ \infty \longleftrightarrow True$
 $| dbm_le_int\ _ _ \longleftrightarrow False$

lemma $dbm_le_dbm_le_int[int_folds]$:
 $dbm_le = dbm_le_int$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
fun dbm_lt_int :: int DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  dbm_lt_int (Le a) (Le b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a < b |
  dbm_lt_int (Le a) (Lt b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a < b |
  dbm_lt_int (Lt a) (Le b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a  $\leq$  b |
  dbm_lt_int (Lt a) (Lt b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a < b |
  dbm_lt_int  $\infty$  _ = False |
  dbm_lt_int _  $\infty$  = True
```

```
lemma dbm_lt_dbm_lt_int[int_folds]:
  dbm_lt = dbm_lt_int
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
definition [symmetric, int_folds]:
  dbm_lt_0 x  $\equiv$  x < (Le (0 :: int))
```

```
lemmas [int_folds] = dbm_lt_0_def[unfolded DBM.less]
```

```
lemma dbm_lt_0_code_simps [code]:
  dbm_lt_0 (Le x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x < 0
  dbm_lt_0 (Lt x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  0
  dbm_lt_0  $\infty$  = False
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
definition abstra_upd_impl_int
  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat, int) acconstraint  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry Heap.array  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry Heap.array
  where [symmetric, int_folds]:
    abstra_upd_impl_int = abstra_upd_impl
```

```
schematic_goal abstra_upd_impl_int_code[code]:
  abstra_upd_impl_int  $\equiv$  ?i
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
definition fw_upd_impl_int
  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry Heap.array  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry Heap.array Heap
  where [symmetric, int_folds]:
    fw_upd_impl_int = fw_upd_impl
```

```
lemmas [int_folds] = DBM.add dbm_add_int
```

```
schematic_goal fw_upd_impl_int_code [code]:
  fw_upd_impl_int  $\equiv$  ?i
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
definition fwi_impl_int
  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry Heap.array  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry Heap.array Heap
  where [symmetric, int_folds]:
    fwi_impl_int = fwi_impl
```

```
schematic_goal fwi_impl_int_code [code]:
```

fw_impl_int $\equiv ?i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *fw_impl_int*
 $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry Heap.array} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry Heap.array Heap}$
where [*symmetric, int_folds*]:
fw_impl_int = *fw_impl*

schematic_goal *fw_impl_int_code* [*code*]:
fw_impl_int $\equiv ?i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *repair_pair_impl_int*
 $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry Heap.array} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry Heap.array Heap}$
where [*symmetric, int_folds*]:
repair_pair_impl_int $\equiv \text{repair_pair_impl}$

schematic_goal *repair_pair_impl_int_code* [*code*]:
repair_pair_impl_int $\equiv ?i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *abstr_repair_impl_int*
 $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat}, \text{int}) \text{ acconstraint list} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry Heap.array} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry Heap.array Heap}$
where [*symmetric, int_folds*]:
abstr_repair_impl_int = *abstr_repair_impl*

schematic_goal *abstr_repair_impl_int_code* [*code*]:
abstr_repair_impl_int $\equiv ?i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *check_diag_impl_int*
 $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry Heap.array} \Rightarrow \text{bool Heap}$
where [*symmetric, int_folds*]:
check_diag_impl_int = *check_diag_impl*

schematic_goal *check_diag_impl_int_code* [*code*]:
check_diag_impl_int $\equiv ?i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *check_diag_impl'_int*
 $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry Heap.array} \Rightarrow \text{bool Heap}$
where [*symmetric, int_folds*]:
check_diag_impl'_int = *check_diag_impl'*

schematic_goal *check_diag_impl'_int_code* [*code*]:
check_diag_impl'_int $\equiv ?i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *reset_canonical_upd_impl_int*
 $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int DBMEntry Heap.array} \Rightarrow _$
where [*symmetric, int_folds*]:
reset_canonical_upd_impl_int = *reset_canonical_upd_impl*

schematic_goal *reset_canonical_upd_impl_int_code*[code]:
reset_canonical_upd_impl_int $\equiv$?i
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *up_canonical_upd_impl_int*
 :: nat $\Rightarrow$ int DBMEntry Heap.array $\Rightarrow$ __
where [symmetric, int_folds]:
up_canonical_upd_impl_int = *up_canonical_upd_impl*

schematic_goal *up_canonical_upd_impl_int_code*[code]:
up_canonical_upd_impl_int $\equiv$?i
 ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal *E_op_impl_code*[code]:
E_op_impl $\equiv$?i
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *free_impl_int* :: nat $\Rightarrow$ int DBMEntry Heap.array $\Rightarrow$ __
where [symmetric, int_folds]:
free_impl_int = *free_impl*

schematic_goal *free_impl_int_code*[code]:
free_impl_int $\equiv$?i
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *down_impl_int* :: nat $\Rightarrow$ int DBMEntry Heap.array $\Rightarrow$ __
where [symmetric, int_folds]:
down_impl_int = *down_impl*

schematic_goal *down_impl_int_code*[code]:
down_impl_int $\equiv$?i
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *neg_dbm_entry_int* **where**
neg_dbm_entry_int (Le (a :: int)) = Lt (-a) |
neg_dbm_entry_int (Lt a) = Le (-a) |
neg_dbm_entry_int DBMEntry.INF = DBMEntry.INF

lemma *neg_dbm_entry_int_fold* [int_folds]:
neg_dbm_entry = *neg_dbm_entry_int*
 ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal *and_entry_impl_code* [code]:
and_entry_impl $\equiv$?impl
 ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal *and_entry_repair_impl_code* [code]:
and_entry_repair_impl $\equiv$?impl
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *upd_entry_impl_int* :: __ $\Rightarrow$ __ $\Rightarrow$ __ $\Rightarrow$ int DBMEntry Heap.array $\Rightarrow$ __
where [symmetric, int_folds]:
upd_entry_impl_int = *upd_entry_impl*

schematic_goal *upd_entry_impl_int_code* [code]:
 $upd_entry_impl_int \equiv ?i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *upd_entries_impl_code* [code]:
 $upd_entries_impl \equiv ?impl$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *get_entries_impl_int* :: $nat \Rightarrow int \ DBMEntry \ Heap.array \Rightarrow _$
where [symmetric, int_folds]:
 $get_entries_impl_int = get_entries_impl$

schematic_goal *get_entries_impl_int_code*[code]:
 $get_entries_impl_int \equiv ?i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *dbm_minus_canonical_impl_code* [code]:
 $dbm_minus_canonical_impl \equiv ?i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *abstr_upd_impl_int*
:: $nat \Rightarrow (nat, int) \text{ acconstraint } list \Rightarrow int \ DBMEntry \ Heap.array \Rightarrow int \ DBMEntry \ Heap.array \Rightarrow int \ DBMEntry \ Heap.array$
where [symmetric, int_folds]:
 $abstr_upd_impl_int = abstr_upd_impl$

schematic_goal *abstr_upd_impl_int_code*[code]:
 $abstr_upd_impl_int \equiv ?i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *abstr_FW_impl_int* :: $_ \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow int \ DBMEntry \ Heap.array \Rightarrow _$
where [symmetric, int_folds]:
 $abstr_FW_impl_int = abstr_FW_impl$

schematic_goal *abstr_FW_impl_int_code* [code]:
 $abstr_FW_impl_int \equiv ?i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Extracting executable implementations **lemma** *hfkeep_hfdropI*:
assumes $(fi, f) \in A^k \rightarrow_a B$
shows $(fi, f) \in A^d \rightarrow_a B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state* — slow: 70s
begin

sublocale *impl: Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise*
where $trans_fun = trans_from$
and $inv_fun = inv_fun$

and $ceiling = k_impl$

and $A = \text{prod_ta}$
and $l_0 = l_0$
and $l_0 i = l_0 i$

and $n = m$
and $k = k_fun$
and $\text{trans_impl} = \text{trans_impl}$
and $\text{states}' = \text{states}'$
and $\text{loc_rel} = \text{loc_rel}$
and $f = \text{reach.E_precise_op}'$
and $\text{op_impl} = \text{PR_CONST impl.E_precise_op}'_impl$
and $\text{states_mem_impl} = \text{states}'_memi$
and $F = \lambda(l, _). F\ l$
and $F1 = F \circ \text{fst}$
and $F' = F \circ \text{fst}$
and $F_impl = \text{impl.F_impl}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{state_impl_abstract}'$:
assumes $\text{states}'_memi\ li$
shows $\exists l. (li, l) \in \text{loc_rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation $\text{Bisimulation_Invariant}$
 $(\lambda(l, u) (l', u'). \text{conv_A prod_ta} \vdash' \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle)$
 $(\lambda(L, s, u) (L', s', u'). \text{Simple_Network_Language.conv A} \vdash \langle L, s, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle L', s', u' \rangle)$
 $(\lambda((L, s), u) (L', s', u'). L = L' \wedge u = u' \wedge s = s')$
 $(\lambda((L, s), u). \text{conv.all_prop L s})$
 $(\lambda(L, s, u). \text{conv.all_prop L s})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{unreachability_prod}$:
assumes
 $\text{formula} = \text{formula.EX } \varphi$
 $(\nexists u\ l'\ u'. (\forall c \leq m. u\ c = 0) \wedge \text{conv_A prod_ta} \vdash' \langle l_0, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle \wedge \text{PR_CONST F } l')$
shows $\neg \text{Simple_Network_Language.conv A, (L_0, \text{map_of } s_0, \lambda_. 0)} \models \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{no_buechi_run_prod}$:
assumes
 $\text{formula} = \text{formula.EX } \varphi$
 $\neg \text{has_deadlock (Simple_Network_Language.conv A) (L_0, \text{map_of } s_0, \lambda_. 0)}$
 $\nexists u\ xs. (\forall c \leq m. u\ c = 0) \wedge \text{reach.run } ((l_0, u) \#\# xs) \wedge \text{alw (ev (holds (F } \circ \text{fst})) ((l_0, u) \#\# xs))}$
shows $\neg \text{Graph_Defs.alw_ev}$
 $(\lambda (L, s, u) (L', s', u'). \text{Simple_Network_Language.conv A} \vdash \langle L, s, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle L', s', u' \rangle)$
 $(\lambda (L, s, _). \text{check_sexp } \varphi\ L\ (\text{the } o\ s)) (L_0, \text{map_of } s_0, \lambda_. 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma deadlock_prod :
 $\neg \text{reach.deadlock } (l_0, \lambda_. 0)$
 $\longleftrightarrow \neg \text{has_deadlock (Simple_Network_Language.conv A) (L_0, \text{map_of } s_0, \lambda_. 0)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list_assn_split*:

list_assn (*list_assn impl.location_assn*) (*split_k num_split L*) (*split_k num_split Li*) =
list_assn impl.location_assn L Li

<proof>

theorem *unreachability_checker_hnr*:

assumes $\bigwedge li. P_loc\ li \implies states'_memi\ li$
and *list_all* ($\lambda x. P_loc\ x \wedge states'_memi\ x$) *L_list*
and *list_all* ($\lambda(l, y). list_all\ (\lambda M. length\ M = Suc\ m * Suc\ m)\ y$) *M_list*
and *fst* ' *set M_list = set L_list*
and *formula* = *formula.EX* φ

shows (

uncurry0 (*impl.unreachability_checker L_list M_list (split_k num_split)*),
uncurry0 (*SPEC* ($\lambda r. r \longrightarrow$
 $\neg Simple_Network_Language.conv\ A, (L_0, map_of\ s_0, \lambda_.\ 0) \models formula$)))
 $\in unit_assn^k \rightarrow_a bool_assn$

<proof>

theorem *unreachability_checker2_refine*:

assumes $\bigwedge li. P_loc\ li \implies states'_memi\ li$
and *list_all* ($\lambda x. P_loc\ x \wedge states'_memi\ x$) *L_list*
and *list_all* ($\lambda(l, y). list_all\ (\lambda M. length\ M = Suc\ m * Suc\ m)\ y$) *M_list*
and *fst* ' *set M_list = set L_list*
and *formula* = *formula.EX* φ

shows

impl.unreachability_checker2 L_list M_list (split_k num_split) $\longrightarrow$
 $\neg Simple_Network_Language.conv\ A, (L_0, map_of\ s_0, \lambda_.\ 0) \models formula$

<proof>

theorem *unreachability_checker3_refine*:

assumes $\bigwedge li. P_loc\ li \implies states'_memi\ li$
and *list_all* ($\lambda x. P_loc\ x \wedge states'_memi\ x$) *L_list*
and *list_all* ($\lambda(l, y). list_all\ (\lambda M. length\ M = Suc\ m * Suc\ m)\ y$) *M_list*
and *fst* ' *set M_list = set L_list*
and *formula* = *formula.EX* φ

shows

impl.certify_unreachable_pure L_list M_list (split_k' num_split M_list) $\longrightarrow$
 $\neg Simple_Network_Language.conv\ A, (L_0, map_of\ s_0, \lambda_.\ 0) \models formula$

<proof>

lemma *init_conds*: $\{l_0\} \subseteq states'\ ([l_0i], \{l_0\}) \in \langle loc_rel \rangle list_set_rel$

<proof>

theorem *no_buechi_run_checker_refine*:

assumes $\bigwedge li. P_loc\ li \implies states'_memi\ li$
and *list_all* ($\lambda x. P_loc\ x \wedge states'_memi\ x$) *L_list*
and *list_all* ($\lambda(l, y). list_all\ (\lambda(M, _). length\ M = Suc\ m * Suc\ m)\ y$) *M_list*
and *fst* ' *set M_list = set L_list*
and *formula* = *formula.EX* φ
and $\neg has_deadlock\ (Simple_Network_Language.conv\ A)\ (L_0, map_of\ s_0, \lambda_.\ 0)$

shows

impl.certify_no_buechi_run_pure L_list M_list (split_k' num_split M_list) $[l_0i] \longrightarrow$
 $\neg Graph_Defs.alw_ev$
 $(\lambda (L, s, u) (L', s', u'). Simple_Network_Language.conv\ A \vdash \langle L, s, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle L', s', u' \rangle)$

$(\lambda (L, s, _). \text{check_sexp } \varphi L (\text{the } o s)) (L_0, \text{map_of } s_0, \lambda _. 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abstr_repair_impl_refine*:
 $\text{impl.abstr_repair_impl} = \text{abstr_repair_impl } m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *E_op_impl_refine*:
 $\text{impl.E_precise_op_impl } l r g l' M = \text{E_op_impl } m (\text{inv_fun } l) r g (\text{inv_fun } l') M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{succs1 } n_ps \text{ invs} \equiv$
 let
 $\text{inv_fun} = \lambda (L, a). \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda i. \text{invs} !! i !! (L ! i)) [0..<n_ps]);$
 $\text{E_op_impl} = (\lambda l r g l' M. \text{E_op_impl } m (\text{inv_fun } l) r g (\text{inv_fun } l') M)$
 $\text{in } (\lambda L_s M.$
 $\text{if } M = [] \text{ then Heap_Monad.return } []$
 $\text{else imp_nfoldli } (\text{trans_impl } L_s) (\lambda \sigma. \text{Heap_Monad.return True})$
 $(\lambda c \sigma. \text{case } c \text{ of } (g, a1a, r, L_s') \Rightarrow \text{do } \{$
 $M \leftarrow \text{heap_map } \text{amtx_copy } M;$
 $Ms \leftarrow \text{imp_nfoldli } M (\lambda \sigma. \text{Heap_Monad.return True})$
 $(\lambda xb \sigma. \text{do } \{$
 $x'c \leftarrow \text{E_op_impl } L_s r g L_s' xb;$
 $x'e \leftarrow \text{check_diag_impl } m x'c;$
 $\text{Heap_Monad.return } (\text{if } x'e \text{ then } \sigma \text{ else op_list_prepend } x'c \sigma)$
 $\}) [];$
 $\text{Heap_Monad.return } (\text{op_list_prepend } (L_s', Ms) \sigma)$
 $\})$
 $[] \text{ for } n_ps :: \text{nat and invs} :: (\text{nat}, \text{int}) \text{ acconstraint list iarray iarray}$

lemma *succs1_refine*:
 $\text{impl.succs_precise_impl} = \text{succs1 } n_ps \text{ invs2}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

schematic_goal *trans_impl_alt_def*:
 $\text{trans_impl} \equiv ?\text{impl}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

schematic_goal *succs1_alt_def*:
 $\text{succs1} \equiv ?\text{impl}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

schematic_goal *succs_impl_alt_def*:
 $\text{impl.succs_precise_impl} \equiv ?\text{impl}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

concrete_definition (in $-$) *succs_impl*
 $\text{uses Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.succs1_alt_def}$

context *Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state* — slow: 100s
begin

schematic_goal *check_deadlock_impl_alt_def*:
impl.check_deadlock_impl $\equiv$ *?impl*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context
fixes *L_list*
assumes *L_list*: *list_all states'_memi L_list*
begin

private lemma *A*:
list_all ($\lambda x. \text{states}'_{\text{memi}} x \wedge \text{states}'_{\text{memi}} x$) *L_list*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context
fixes *M_list* :: $((\text{nat list} \times \text{int list}) \times \text{int DBMEntry list list}) \text{ list}$
assumes *assms*: *fst* ' *set M_list* $\subseteq$ *set L_list*
list_all ($\lambda(l, y). \text{list_all } (\lambda M. \text{length } M = \text{Suc } m * \text{Suc } m) y$) *M_list*
begin

lemmas *assms* = *L_list assms*

lemma *unreachability_checker_def*:
impl.unreachability_checker L_list M_list (split_k num_split) $\equiv$
let *Fi* = *impl.F_impl*; *Pi* = *impl.P_impl*; *copyi* = *amtx_copy*; *Lei* = *dbm_subset_impl m*;
l₀i = *Heap_Monad.return l₀i*; *s₀i* = *impl.init_dbm_impl*; *succsi* = *impl.succs_precise'_impl*
in do {
let $_ = \text{start_timer } ()$;
M_table $\leftarrow$ *impl.M_table M_list*;
let $_ = \text{save_time STR "Time for loading certificate"}$;
r $\leftarrow$ *certify_unreachable_impl_inner*
Fi Pi copyi Lei succsi l₀i s₀i (split_k num_split) L_list M_table;
Heap_Monad.return r
 $\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

schematic_goal *unreachability_checker_alt_def*:
impl.unreachability_checker L_list M_list (split_k num_split) $\equiv$ *?x*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *no_deadlock_certifier where*
no_deadlock_certifier $\equiv$
Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise.unreachability_checker
m trans_impl l₀i (PR_CONST impl.E_precise_op'_impl)
states'_memi ($\lambda(l, M). \text{impl.check_deadlock_impl } l M \gg (\lambda r. \text{Heap_Monad.return } (\neg r))$)

lemma *no_deadlock_certifier_alt_def1*:
no_deadlock_certifier L_list M_list (split_k num_split) $\equiv$
let
Fi = ($\lambda(l, M). \text{impl.check_deadlock_impl } l M \gg (\lambda r. \text{Heap_Monad.return } (\neg r))$);
Pi = *impl.P_impl*; *copyi* = *amtx_copy*; *Lei* = *dbm_subset_impl m*;
l₀i = *Heap_Monad.return l₀i*; *s₀i* = *impl.init_dbm_impl*;
succsi = *impl.succs_precise'_impl*
in do {

```

let _ = start_timer ();
M_table ← impl.M_table M_list;
let _ = save_time STR "Time for loading certificate";
r ← certify_unreachable_impl_inner
  Fi Pi copyi Lei succsi l0i s0i (split_k num_split) L_list M_table;
Heap_Monad.return r
}
⟨proof⟩

```

schematic_goal no_deadlock_certifier_alt_def:
no_deadlock_certifier L_list M_list (split_k num_split) ≡ ?x
⟨proof⟩

lemmas no_deadlock_certifier_hnr' =
impl.deadlock_unreachability_checker_hnr[folded no_deadlock_certifier_def,
OF state_impl_abstract',
OF _ A assms(2,3) impl.L_dom_M_eqI[OF state_impl_abstract' A assms(2,3)]
split_k_full_split list_assn_split,
unfolded deadlock_prod
]

schematic_goal unreachable_checker2_alt_def:
impl.unreachability_checker2 L_list M_list (split_k num_split) ≡ ?x
⟨proof⟩

definition no_deadlock_certifier2 **where**
no_deadlock_certifier2 ≡
Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise.unreachability_checker2
m trans_impl l₀i (PR_CONST impl.E_precise_op' impl)
states'_memi (λ(l, M). impl.check_deadlock_impl l M ≫ (λr. Heap_Monad.return (¬ r)))

schematic_goal no_deadlock_certifier2_alt_def:
no_deadlock_certifier2 L_list M_list (split_k num_split) ≡ ?x
⟨proof⟩

lemmas no_deadlock_certifier2_refine' =
impl.deadlock_unreachability_checker2_hnr[
folded no_deadlock_certifier2_def,
OF state_impl_abstract' A assms(3) _ split_k_full_split list_assn_split
]

schematic_goal unreachable_checker3_alt_def:
impl.certify_unreachable_pure L_list M_list (split_k' num_split M_list) ≡ ?x
if fst ' set M_list = set L_list
⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal check_deadlock_impl_alt_def2:
impl.check_deadlock_impl ≡ ?impl
⟨proof⟩

definition no_deadlock_certifier3 **where**

```

no_deadlock_certifier3 ≡
Reachability_Problem_Impl_Precise.certify_unreachable_pure
  m trans impl l0i (PR_CONST impl.E_precise_op'_impl)
  states'_memi (λ(l, M). impl.check_deadlock_impl l M ≫ (λr. Heap_Monad.return (¬ r)))

```

definition

```

check_deadlock_fold = (λa. run_heap ((case a of (l, s) ⇒ array_unfreeze s
  ≫ (λs. Heap_Monad.return (id l, s)))
  ≫ (λa. case a of (l, M) ⇒ impl.check_deadlock_impl l M
  ≫ (λr. Heap_Monad.return (¬ r)))))

```

schematic_goal no_deadlock_certifier3_alt_def:

```

no_deadlock_certifier3 L_list M_list (split_k' num_split M_list) ≡ ?x
if fst ' set M_list = set L_list
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma no_deadlock_certifier3_refine':

```

no_deadlock_certifier3 L_list M_list (split_k' num_split M_list)
  → (∀ u. (∀ c ≤ m. u c = 0) → ¬ reach.deadlock (l0, u)) if fst ' set M_list = set L_list
⟨proof⟩

```

end

context

```

fixes M_list :: ((nat list × int list) × (int DBMEntry list × nat) list) list
assumes assms:
  fst ' set M_list ⊆ set L_list
  list_all (λ(l, y). list_all (λ(M, _). length M = Suc m * Suc m) y) M_list
begin

```

schematic_goal no_buechi_run_checker_alt_def:

```

impl.certify_no_buechi_run_pure L_list M_list (split_k' num_split M_list) [l0i] ≡ ?x
if fst ' set M_list = set L_list
⟨proof⟩

```

end

end

theorem no_deadlock_certifier_hnr:

```

assumes list_all states'_memi L_list
  and list_all (λ(l, y). list_all (λM. length M = Suc m * Suc m) y) M_list
  and fst ' set M_list = set L_list
shows (
  uncurry0 (no_deadlock_certifier L_list M_list (split_k num_split)),
  uncurry0 (SPEC (λr. r →
    ¬ has_deadlock (Simple_Network_Language.conv A) (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0))))
  ∈ unit_assnk →a bool_assn
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem no_deadlock_certifier2_refine:

```

assumes list_all states'_memi L_list
  and list_all (λ(l, y). list_all (λM. length M = Suc m * Suc m) y) M_list
  and fst ' set M_list = set L_list

```

```

shows no_deadlock_certifier2 L_list M_list (split_k num_split) →
  ¬ has_deadlock (Simple_Network_Language.conv A) (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0)
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem no_deadlock_certifier3_refine:

```

assumes list_all states'_memi L_list
  and list_all (λ(l, y). list_all (λM. length M = Suc m * Suc m) y) M_list
  and fst 'set M_list = set L_list
shows no_deadlock_certifier3 L_list M_list (split_k' num_split M_list) →
  ¬ has_deadlock (Simple_Network_Language.conv A) (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0)
⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

show_dbm_impl' M ≡ do {
  s ← show_dbm_impl m show_clock show M;
  Heap_Monad.return (String.implode s)
}

```

definition

```

show_state_impl l ≡ do {
  let s = show_state l;
  let s = String.implode s;
  Heap_Monad.return s
}

```

definition

```

trace_table M_table ≡ do {
  M_list' ← list_of_map_impl M_table;
  let _ = println STR "Inverted table";
  Heap_Monad.fold_map (λ (l, xs). do {
    s1 ← show_state_impl l;
    let _ = println (s1 + STR ":'");
    Heap_Monad.fold_map (λM. do {
      s2 ← show_dbm_impl_all m show_clock show M;
      let _ = println (STR " " + String.implode s2);
      Heap_Monad.return ()
    }) xs;
    Heap_Monad.return ()
  }) M_list';
  Heap_Monad.return ()
} for M_table

```

definition

```

check_prop_fail L_list M_list ≡ let
  P_impl = impl.P_impl;
  copy = amtx_copy;
  show_dbm = show_dbm_impl';
  show_state = show_state_impl
in do {
  M_table ← M_table M_list;

```

```

trace_table M_table

```

```

r ← check_prop_fail_impl P_impl copy show_dbm show_state L_list M_table;
case r of None ⇒ Heap_Monad.return () | Some (l, M) ⇒ do {
  let b = states'_memi l;
  let _ = println (if b then STR "State passed" else STR "State failed");
  b ← check_diag_impl m M;
  let _ = println (if b then STR "DBM passed diag" else STR "DBM failed diag");
  b ← check_diag_nonpos m M;
  let _ = println (if b then STR "DBM passed diag nonpos" else STR "DBM failed diag
nonpos");
  b ← check_nonneg m M;
  let _ = println (if b then STR "DBM passed nonneg" else STR "DBM failed nonneg");
  s ← show_dbm_impl_all m show_clock show M;
  let _ = println (STR "DBM: " + String.implode s);
  Heap_Monad.return ()
}
}

```

definition

```

check_deadlock_fail L_list M_list ≡ let
  P_impl = (λ(l, M). impl.check_deadlock_impl l M);
  copy = amtx_copy;
  show_dbm = show_dbm_impl';
  show_state = show_state_impl
in do {
  M_table ← M_table M_list;
  r ← check_prop_fail_impl P_impl copy show_dbm show_state L_list M_table;
  case r of None ⇒ Heap_Monad.return () | Some (l, M) ⇒ do {
    let _ = println (STR "[↔]The following state is deadlocked");
    s ← show_state l;
    let _ = println s;
    s ← show_dbm_impl_all m show_clock show M;
    let _ = println (String.implode s);
    Heap_Monad.return ()
  }
}

```

definition

```

check_invariant_fail ≡ λL_list M_list. let
  copy = amtx_copy;
  succs = impl.succs_precise'_impl;
  Lei = dbm_subset_impl m;
  show_state = show_state_impl;
  show_dbm = show_dbm_impl_all m show_clock show
in do {
  M_table ← M_table M_list;
  r ← check_invariant_fail_impl copy Lei succs L_list M_table;
  case r of None ⇒ Heap_Monad.return ()
| Some (Inl (Inl (l, l', xs))) ⇒ do {
  let _ = println (STR "The successor is not contained in L:");
  s ← show_state l;
  let _ = println (STR " " + s);
  s ← show_state l';

```

```

    let _ = println (STR " " + s);
    Heap_Monad.fold_map (λM. do {
      s ← show_dbm M;
      let _ = println (STR " " + String.implode s);
      Heap_Monad.return ()
    }) xs;
    Heap_Monad.return ()
  }
| Some (Inl (Inr (l, l', xs))) ⇒ do {
  let _ = println (STR "The successor is not empty:");
  s ← show_state l;
  let _ = println (STR " " + s);
  s ← show_state l';
  let _ = println (STR " " + s);
  Heap_Monad.fold_map (λM. do {
    s ← show_dbm M;
    let _ = println (STR " " + String.implode s);
    Heap_Monad.return ()
  }) xs;
  Heap_Monad.return ()
}
| Some (Inr (l, as, l', M, xs)) ⇒ do {
  s1 ← show_state l;
  s2 ← show_state l';
  s3 ← show_dbm M;
  let _ = println (STR "[↔]A successor of the zones for:[↔] " + s1);
  Heap_Monad.fold_map (λM. do {
    s ← show_dbm M;
    let _ = println (STR "[↔]" + String.implode s);
    Heap_Monad.return ()
  }) as;
  let _ = println (STR "[↔]is not subsumed:[↔] " + s2 + STR "[↔]");
  let _ = println (String.implode s3 + STR "[↔]");
  let _ = println (STR "These are the candidate dbms:");
  Heap_Monad.fold_map (λM. do {
    s ← show_dbm M;
    let _ = println (STR "[↔]" + String.implode s);
    Heap_Monad.return ()
  }) xs;
  let _ = println (STR """);
  Heap_Monad.return ()
}
}

```

schematic_goal check_prop_fail_alt_def:

check_prop_fail ≡ ?t
 ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal check_deadlock_fail_alt_def:

check_deadlock_fail ≡ ?t
 ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal check_invariant_fail_alt_def:

```

    check_invariant_fail  $\equiv ?t$ 
    <proof>

end

concrete_definition unreachable_checker uses
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.unreachability_checker_alt_def

concrete_definition no_deadlock_certifier uses
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.no_deadlock_certifier_alt_def

concrete_definition unreachable_checker2 uses
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.unreachability_checker2_alt_def

concrete_definition no_deadlock_certifier2 uses
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.no_deadlock_certifier2_alt_def

concrete_definition unreachable_checker3 uses
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.unreachability_checker3_alt_def

concrete_definition no_deadlock_certifier3 uses
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.no_deadlock_certifier3_alt_def

concrete_definition no_buechi_run_checker uses
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.no_buechi_run_checker_alt_def

concrete_definition check_prop_fail uses
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.check_prop_fail_alt_def

concrete_definition check_deadlock_fail uses
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.check_deadlock_fail_alt_def

concrete_definition check_invariant_fail uses
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state.check_invariant_fail_alt_def

lemma states'_memi_alt_def:
  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.states'_memi broadcast bounds' automata = (
     $\lambda(L, s).$ 
    let
      n_ps = length automata;
      n_vs = Simple_Network_Impl_Defs.n_vs bounds';
      states_i = map (Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.states_i automata) [0..\wedge (\forall i < n\_ps. L ! i \in states\_i ! i) \wedge
      length s = n_vs  $\wedge$  Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.check_boundedi bounds' s
  )

  <proof>

definition
  certificate_checker_pre
    L_list M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L_0 s_0 formula
   $\equiv$ 
  let

```

```

__ = start_timer ();
check1 = Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state
  broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula;
__ = save_time STR "Time to check ceiling";
n_ps = length automata;
n_vs = Simple_Network_Impl_Defs.n_vs bounds';
states_i = map (Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.states_i automata) [0..<n_ps];
__ = start_timer ();
check2 = list_all (λ(L, s). length L = n_ps ∧ (∀ i<n_ps. L ! i ∈ states_i ! i) ∧
  length s = n_vs ∧ Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.check_boundedi bounds' s)
  L_list;
__ = save_time STR "Time to check states";
__ = start_timer ();
n_sq = Suc m * Suc m;
check3 = list_all (λ(l, xs). list_all (λM. length M = n_sq) xs) M_list;
__ = save_time STR "Time to check DBMs";
check4 = (case formula of formula.EX __ ⇒ True | __ ⇒ False)
in check1 ∧ check2 ∧ check3 ∧ check4

```

definition

```

certificate_checker num_split dc
  M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
  //////return//states//////return//vars//////return//clocks
  ≡
  let
    L_list = map fst M_list;
    check = certificate_checker_pre
      L_list M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
      ://////show//t//#//show//clock//////return//clocks//////show//st//#//show//state//////return//states
      //////return//vars
  in if check then
    do {
      r ←
        if dc then
          no_deadlock_certifier
            broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions L0 s0 L_list M_list num_split
        else
          unreachability_checker
            broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions L0 s0 formula L_list M_list
    } num_split;
    Heap_Monad.return (Some r)
  } else Heap_Monad.return None

```

definition

```

certificate_checker2 num_split dc
  M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
  ≡
  let
    L_list = map fst M_list;
    check = certificate_checker_pre
      L_list M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
  in if check then
    if dc then
      no_deadlock_certifier2

```

```

    broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions L0 s0 L_list M_list num_split
  else
    unreachability_checker2
    broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions L0 s0 formula L_list M_list
num_split
  else False

```

definition

```

certificate_checker3 num_split dc
  M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
≡
let
  L_list = map fst M_list;
  check = certificate_checker_pre
    L_list M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
in if check then
  if dc then
    no_deadlock_certifier3
    broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions L0 s0 L_list M_list num_split
  else
    unreachability_checker3
    broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions L0 s0 formula L_list M_list
num_split
  else False

```

definition

```

certificate_checker_dbg num_split dc
  (show_clock :: (nat ⇒ string)) (show_state :: (nat list × int list ⇒ char list))
  M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
≡
let
  _ = start_timer ();
  check1 = Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state
    broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula;
  _ = save_time STR "Time to check ceiling";
  L_list = map fst M_list;
  n_ps = length automata;
  n_vs = Simple_Network_Impl_Defs.n_vs bounds';
  states_i = map (Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.states_i automata) [0..<n_ps];
  _ = start_timer ();
  check2 = list_all (λ(L, s). length L = n_ps ∧ (∀ i<n_ps. L ! i ∈ states_i ! i) ∧
    length s = n_vs ∧ Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.check_boundedi bounds' s
  ) L_list;
  _ = save_time STR "Time to check states";
  check3 = (case formula of formula.EX _ ⇒ True | _ ⇒ False)
in if check1 ∧ check2 ∧ check3 then
do {
  check_prop_fail broadcast bounds' automata m show_clock show_state L_list M_list;
  check_invariant_fail broadcast bounds' automata m
    num_states num_actions show_clock show_state L_list M_list;
  (if dc then
    check_deadlock_fail broadcast bounds' automata m
      num_states num_actions show_clock show_state L_list M_list
  else Heap_Monad.return ());

```

```

    r ← unreachability_checker
    broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions L0 s0 formula L_list M_list
num_split;
    Heap_Monad.return (Some r)
} else Heap_Monad.return None for show_clock show_state

```

definition

```

print_fail s b ≡ if b then () else println (s + STR " precondition check failed!")

```

definition

```

certificate_checker_pre1
  L_list M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
≡
let
  _ = start_timer ();
  check1 = Simple_Network_Impl_nat_ceiling_start_state
    broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula;
  _ = save_time STR "Time to check ceiling";
  n_ps = length automata;
  n_vs = Simple_Network_Impl_Defs.n_vs bounds';
  states_i = map (Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.states_i automata) [0..<n_ps];
  _ = start_timer ();
  check2 = list_all (λ(L, s). length L = n_ps ∧ (∀ i<n_ps. L ! i ∈ states_i ! i) ∧
    length s = n_vs ∧ Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.check_boundedi bounds' s
  ) L_list;
  _ = save_time STR "Time to check states";
  _ = start_timer ();
  n_sq = Suc m * Suc m;
  check3 = list_all (λ(l, xs). list_all (λ(M, _). length M = n_sq) xs) M_list;
  _ = save_time STR "Time to check DBMs";
  check4 = (case formula of formula.EX _ ⇒ True | _ ⇒ False);
  _ = map (λ(a, b). print_fail a b) [
    (STR "Ceiling", check1),
    (STR "States", check2),
    (STR "DBM", check3),
    (STR "Formula", check4)
  ]
in check1 ∧ check2 ∧ check3 ∧ check4

```

definition

```

buechi_certificate_checker num_split
  M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
≡
let
  L_list = map fst M_list;
  check = certificate_checker_pre1
    L_list M_list broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
in if check then
  no_buechi_run_checker
    broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions L0 s0 formula L_list M_list
num_split
else let _ = println STR "Checking Buechi preconditions failed" in False

```

theorem certificate_check:

```

<emp> certificate_checker num_split False
  M_list broadcast bounds automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
  inv_invariant_states inv_invariant_props inv_invariant_clocks
  <λ Some r ⇒ ↑(r → ¬ N broadcast automata bounds, (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0) ⊨ formula)
  | None ⇒ true>t
<proof>

```

theorem certificate_deadlock_check:

```

<emp> certificate_checker num_split True
  M_list broadcast bounds automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
  inv_invariant_states inv_invariant_props inv_invariant_clocks
  <λ Some r ⇒ ↑(r → ¬ has_deadlock (N broadcast automata bounds) (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0))
  | None ⇒ true>t
<proof>

```

theorem certificate_check2:

```

certificate_checker2 num_split False
  M_list broadcast bounds automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
  → ¬ N broadcast automata bounds, (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0) ⊨ formula
<proof>

```

theorem certificate_deadlock_check2:

```

certificate_checker2 num_split True
  M_list broadcast bounds automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
  → ¬ has_deadlock (N broadcast automata bounds) (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0)
<proof>

```

theorem certificate_check3:

```

certificate_checker3 num_split False
  M_list broadcast bounds automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
  → ¬ N broadcast automata bounds, (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0) ⊨ formula
<proof>

```

theorem certificate_deadlock_check3:

```

certificate_checker3 num_split True
  M_list broadcast bounds automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
  → ¬ has_deadlock (N broadcast automata bounds) (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0)
<proof>

```

fun sexp_of_Ex **where**

```

sexp_of_Ex (formula.EX s) = s

```

theorem buechi_certificate_check:

```

buechi_certificate_checker num_split
  M_list broadcast bounds automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
  → ¬ has_deadlock (N broadcast automata bounds) (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0)
  → (∃ φ. formula = formula.EX φ) ∧ ¬ Graph_Defs.alw_ev
    (λ(L, s, u) (L', x, y).
      (N broadcast automata bounds) ⊢ ⟨L, s, u⟩ → ⟨L', x, y⟩)
    (λ(L, s, _). check_sexp (sexp_of_Ex formula) L (the ∘ s))
    (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0)
<proof>

```

definition *rename_check* **where**

```

rename_check num_split dc broadcast bounds' automata k L0 s0 formula
  m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
  in renum_states in renum_vars in renum_clocks
  state_space
≡
do {
  let r = do_rename_mc (
    λ(show_clock :: (nat ⇒ string)) (show_state :: (nat list × int list ⇒ char list)).
    certificate_checker num_split dc state_space)
  dc broadcast bounds' automata k STR "_urge" L0 s0 formula
  m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
  in renum_states in renum_vars in renum_clocks,
  (λ_. " ") (λ_. " ") (λ_. " ");
  case r of Some r ⇒ do {
    r ← r;
    case r of
      None ⇒ Heap_Monad.return Preconds_Unsat
    | Some False ⇒ Heap_Monad.return Unsat
    | Some True ⇒ Heap_Monad.return Sat
  }
  | None ⇒ Heap_Monad.return Renaming_Failed
}

```

definition *rename_check2* **where**

```

rename_check2 num_split dc broadcast bounds' automata k L0 s0 formula
  m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
  state_space
≡
let r = do_rename_mc (
  λ(show_clock :: (nat ⇒ string)) (show_state :: (nat list × int list ⇒ char list)).
  certificate_checker2 num_split dc state_space)
dc broadcast bounds' automata k STR "_urge" L0 s0 formula
m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
(λ_. " ") (λ_. " ") (λ_. " ")
in case r of
  Some False ⇒ Unsat
| Some True ⇒ Sat
| None ⇒ Renaming_Failed

```

definition *rename_check3* **where**

```

rename_check3 num_split dc broadcast bounds' automata k L0 s0 formula
  m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
  state_space
≡
let r = do_rename_mc (
  λ(show_clock :: (nat ⇒ string)) (show_state :: (nat list × int list ⇒ string)).
  certificate_checker3 num_split dc state_space)
dc broadcast bounds' automata k STR "_urge" L0 s0 formula
m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
(λ_. " ") (λ_. " ") (λ_. " ")
in case r of

```

Some False $\Rightarrow$ *Unsat*
 | *Some True* $\Rightarrow$ *Sat*
 | *None* $\Rightarrow$ *Renaming_Failed*

definition *rename_check_dbg* **where**

rename_check_dbg num_split dc broadcast bounds' automata k L_0 s_0 formula
m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
inv_renum_states *inv_renum_vars* *inv_renum_clocks*
state_space
 $\equiv$
 do {
 let r = do_rename_mc (
 λ (show_clock :: (nat $\Rightarrow$ string)) (show_state :: (nat list $\times$ int list $\Rightarrow$ string)).
 certificate_checker_dbg num_split dc show_clock show_state state_space)
 dc broadcast bounds' automata k STR "_urge" L_0 s_0 formula
m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
inv_renum_states *inv_renum_vars* *inv_renum_clocks*;
 case r of *Some* r $\Rightarrow$ do {
 r $\leftarrow$ r;
 case r of
 None $\Rightarrow$ Heap_Monad.return Preconds_Unsat
 | *Some* False $\Rightarrow$ Heap_Monad.return Unsat
 | *Some* True $\Rightarrow$ Heap_Monad.return Sat
 }
 | None $\Rightarrow$ Heap_Monad.return Renaming_Failed
 }

definition *rename_check_buechi* **where**

rename_check_buechi num_split broadcast bounds' automata k L_0 s_0 formula
m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
state_space
 $\equiv$
 let r = do_rename_mc (
 λ (show_clock :: (nat $\Rightarrow$ string)) (show_state :: (nat list $\times$ int list $\Rightarrow$ string)).
 buechi_certificate_checker num_split state_space)
 False broadcast bounds' automata k STR "_urge" L_0 s_0 formula
m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
 (λ _. " ") (λ _. " ") (λ _. " ")
 in case r of
Some False $\Rightarrow$ *Unsat*
 | *Some* True $\Rightarrow$ *Sat*
 | None $\Rightarrow$ *Renaming_Failed*

theorem *certificate_check_rename*:

<emp> *rename_check* num_split False broadcast bounds automata k L_0 s_0 formula
m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
~~*inv_renum_states* *inv_renum_vars* *inv_renum_clocks*~~
state_space
 < λ Sat $\Rightarrow \uparrow$ (
 ($\neg$ N broadcast automata bounds, (L_0 , map_of s_0 , λ _. 0) $\models$ formula))
 | *Renaming_Failed* $\Rightarrow \uparrow$ ($\neg$ Simple_Network_Rename_Formula

```

    broadcast bounds
    renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states STR "_urge"
    s0 L0 automata formula)
  | Unsats ⇒ true
  | Preconds_Unsats ⇒ true
>t (is ?A)
and certificate_check_rename2:
  case rename_check2 num_split False broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
    m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
    state_space
  of
    Sat ⇒ ¬ N broadcast automata bounds, (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0) ⊨ formula
  | Renaming_Failed ⇒ ¬ Simple_Network_Rename_Formula
    broadcast bounds
    renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states STR "_urge"
    s0 L0 automata formula
  | Unsats ⇒ True
  | Preconds_Unsats ⇒ True (is ?B)
and certificate_check_rename3:
  case rename_check3 num_split False broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
    m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
    state_space
  of
    Sat ⇒ ¬ N broadcast automata bounds, (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0) ⊨ formula
  | Renaming_Failed ⇒ ¬ Simple_Network_Rename_Formula
    broadcast bounds
    renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states STR "_urge"
    s0 L0 automata formula
  | Unsats ⇒ True
  | Preconds_Unsats ⇒ True (is ?C)
and buechi_check_rename:
  case rename_check_buechi num_split broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
    m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
    certificate
  of
    Sat ⇒
      ¬ has_deadlock (N broadcast automata bounds) (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0) →
      ¬ Graph_Defs.alw_ev
        (λ(L, s, u) (L', x, y). (N broadcast automata bounds) ⊢ ⟨L, s, u⟩ → ⟨L', x, y⟩)
        (λ(L, s, _). check_serp (serp_of_Ex formula) L (the ∘ s))
        (L0, map_of s0, λ_. 0)
  | Renaming_Failed ⇒ ¬ Simple_Network_Rename_Formula
    broadcast bounds
    renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states STR "_urge"
    s0 L0 automata formula
  | Unsats ⇒ True
  | Preconds_Unsats ⇒ True (is ?D)
⟨proof⟩

theorem certificate_deadlock_check_rename:
  <emp> rename_check num_split True broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
  m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
  in renum_states in renum_vars in renum_clocks
  state_space

```

```

    <λ Sat ⇒ ↑(¬ has_deadlock (N broadcast automata bounds) (L0, map_of s0, λ_ . 0))
    | Renaming_Failed ⇒ ↑(¬ Simple_Network_Rename_Formula
        broadcast bounds renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states STR "_urge" s0
L0
        automata (formula.EX (sexp.not sexp.true)))
    | Unsat ⇒ true
    | Preconds_Unsat ⇒ true
    >t (is ?A)
and certificate_deadlock_check_rename2:
    case rename_check2 num_split True broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
        m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
        state_space
    of
        Sat ⇒ ¬ has_deadlock (N broadcast automata bounds) (L0, map_of s0, λ_ . 0)
    | Renaming_Failed ⇒ ¬ Simple_Network_Rename_Formula
        broadcast bounds renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states STR "_urge" s0
L0
        automata (formula.EX (sexp.not sexp.true))
    | Unsat ⇒ True
    | Preconds_Unsat ⇒ True (is ?B)
and certificate_deadlock_check_rename3:
    case rename_check3 num_split True broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
        m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
        state_space
    of
        Sat ⇒ ¬ has_deadlock (N broadcast automata bounds) (L0, map_of s0, λ_ . 0)
    | Renaming_Failed ⇒ ¬ Simple_Network_Rename_Formula
        broadcast bounds renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states STR "_urge" s0
L0
        automata (formula.EX (sexp.not sexp.true))
    | Unsat ⇒ True
    | Preconds_Unsat ⇒ True (is ?C)
<proof>

lemmas [code] = Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.states_i_def

export_code rename_check rename_check2 rename_check3 rename_check_buechi in SML mod-
ule_name Test

export_code rename_check_dbg in SML module_name Test

end

```

12 Assembling the Checker and Generating Code

We provide some optimized code equations.

Then we assemble the certificate checker:

- A parser is added to parse JSON files
- The resulting JSON data is validated and converted to the simple networks language
- The (binary) certificate is read

- There is an additional “renaming” that maps identifiers between the input and the certificate
 - The certificate, the renaming, and the model are passed to the checker
 - and the output is printed
- Then the code is exported:
- We add some logging utilities (via code printings)
 - Code generation is setup
 - We export this to SML via reflection
 - And test it on a few small examples

```

theory Simple_Network_Language_Certificate_Code
imports
  Simple_Network_Language_Certificate
  Simple_Network_Language_Certificate_Checking
begin

```

Optimized code equations **lemmas** $[code_unfold] = imp_for_imp_for'$

```

definition dbm_subset'_impl'_int
  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry Heap.array  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry Heap.array  $\Rightarrow$  bool Heap
where [symmetric, int_folds]:
  dbm_subset'_impl'_int = dbm_subset'_impl'

```

```

lemma less_eq_dbm_le_int[int_folds]:
  ( $\leq$ ) = dbm_le_int
  <proof>

```

```

schematic_goal dbm_subset'_impl'_int_code[code]:
  dbm_subset'_impl'_int  $\equiv$   $\lambda m$  a b.
  do {
    imp_for 0 ((m + 1) * (m + 1)) Heap_Monad.return
      ( $\lambda i$  _. do {
        x  $\leftarrow$  Array.nth a i; y  $\leftarrow$  Array.nth b i; Heap_Monad.return (dbm_le_int x y)
      })
    True
  }
  <proof>

```

```

definition dbm_subset_impl_int
  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry Heap.array  $\Rightarrow$  int DBMEntry Heap.array  $\Rightarrow$  bool Heap
where [symmetric, int_folds]:
  dbm_subset_impl_int = dbm_subset_impl

```

```

schematic_goal dbm_subset_impl_int_code[code]:
  dbm_subset_impl_int  $\equiv$  ?i
  <proof>

```

lemmas $[code_unfold] = int_folds$

export_code *state_space* in *SML module_name* *Test*

hide_const *Parser_Combinator.return*

hide_const *Error_Monad.return*

definition

show_lit = *String.implode* o *show*

definition *rename_state_space* $\equiv \lambda dc\ ids_to_names\ (broadcast, automata, bounds)\ L_0\ s_0$ formula.

```

let _ = println (STR "Make renaming") in
do {
  (m, num_states, num_actions, renum_acts, renum_vars, renum_clocks, renum_states,
   inv_renum_states, inv_renum_vars, inv_renum_clocks)
  ← make_renaming broadcast automata bounds;
  let _ = println (STR "Renaming");
  let (broadcast', automata', bounds') = rename_network
    broadcast bounds automata renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states;
  let _ = println (STR "Calculating ceiling");
  let k = Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.local_ceiling broadcast' bounds' automata' m num_states;
  let _ = println (STR "Running model checker");
  let inv_renum_states' = ( $\lambda i.$  ids_to_names i o inv_renum_states i);
  let f = ( $\lambda show\_clock$ 
    show_state broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula.
    state_space broadcast bounds' automata m num_states num_actions k L0 s0 formula
    show_clock show_state ())
  );
  let r = do_rename_mc f dc broadcast bounds automata k STR "__urge" L0 s0 formula
    m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
    inv_renum_states' inv_renum_vars inv_renum_clocks;
  let show_clock = show o inv_renum_clocks;
  let show_state = (show_state ::  $\_ \Rightarrow \_ \Rightarrow \_ \times int\ list \Rightarrow \_$ ) inv_renum_states inv_renum_vars;
  let renamings =
    (m, num_states, num_actions, renum_acts, renum_vars, renum_clocks, renum_states,
     inv_renum_states, inv_renum_vars, inv_renum_clocks
    );
  Result (r, show_clock, show_state, renamings, k)
}

```

definition

```

check_subsumed n xs (i :: int) M  $\equiv$ 
do {
  ( $\_$ , b) ← imp_nfoldli xs ( $\lambda(\_$ , b). return ( $\neg$  b)) ( $\lambda M'$  (j, b).
    if i = j then return (j + 1, b) else do {
      b ← dbm_subset'_impl n M M';
      if b then return (j, True) else return (j + 1, False)
    }
  ) (0, False);
  return b
}

```

definition

```

imp_filter_index P xs = do {
  (_, xs) ← imp_nfoldli xs (λ_. return True) (λx (i :: nat, xs).
    do {
      b ← P i x;
      return (i + 1, if b then (x # xs) else xs)
    }
  ) (0, []);
  return (rev xs)
}

```

definition

```

filter_dbm_list n xs =
  imp_filter_index (λi M. do {b ← check_subsumed n xs i M; return (¬ b)}) xs

```

partial_function (heap) imp_map :: ('a ⇒ 'b Heap) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b list Heap **where**

```

imp_map f xs =
  (if xs = [] then return [] else do {y ← f (hd xs); ys ← imp_map f (tl xs); return (y # ys)})

```

lemma imp_map_simps[code, simp]:

```

imp_map f [] = return []
imp_map f (x # xs) = do {y ← f x; ys ← imp_map f xs; return (y # ys)}
⟨proof⟩

```

definition trace_state **where**

```

trace_state n show_clock show_state ≡
λ (l, M). do {
  let st = show_state l;
  m ← show_dbm_impl n show_clock show M;
  let s = "(" @ st @ ", [" @ m @ "]"");
  let s = String.implode s;
  let _ = println s;
  return ()
}
for show_clock show_state

```

definition

```

show_str = String.implode o show

```

definition parse_convert_run_print **where**

```

parse_convert_run_print dc s ≡
case parse_json s >>= convert of
  Error es ⇒ do {let _ = map println es; return ()}
| Result (ids_to_names, _, broadcast, automata, bounds, formula, L0, s0) ⇒ do {
  let r = rename_state_space dc ids_to_names (broadcast, automata, bounds) L0 s0 formula;
  case r of
    Error es ⇒ do {let _ = map println es; return ()}
  | Result (r, show_clk, show_st, renamings, k) ⇒
    case r of None ⇒ return () | Some r ⇒
    do {
      r ← r;
      let _ = STR "Number of discrete states: " + (length r |> show_str) |> println;
      let _ =
        STR "Size of passed list: " + show_str (sum_list (map (length o snd) r)) |> println;
      let n = Simple_Network_Impl.clk_set' automata |> list_of_set |> length;
    }
}

```

```

    r ← imp_map (λ (a, b). do {
      b ← imp_map (return o snd) b; b ← filter_dbm_list n b; return (a, b)
    }) r;
    let _ = STR "Number of discrete states: " + show_str (length r) |> println;
    let _ = STR "Size of passed list after removing subsumed states: "
      + show_str (sum_list (map (length o snd) r)) |> println;
    let show_dbm = (λM. do {
      s ← show_dbm_impl_all n show_clk show M;
      return ("<" @ s @ ">")
    });
    _ ← imp_map (λ (s, xs).
    do {
      let s = show_st s;
      xs ← imp_map show_dbm xs;
      let _ = s @ ": " @ show xs |> String.implode |> println;
      return ()
    }
    ) r;
    return ()
  }
}

```

⟨ML⟩

code_printing

```

constant Show_State_Defs.tracei →
  (SML) (fn n => fn show_state => fn show_clock => fn typ => fn x => ()) _ _ _
and (OCaml) (fun n show_state show_clock ty x -> ()) _ _ _

```

datatype mode = Impl1 | Impl2 | Impl3 | Buechi | Debug

definition

```

distr xs ≡
let (m, d) =
fold
  (λx (m, d). case m x of None ⇒ (m(x ↦ 1:: nat), x # d) | Some y ⇒ (m(x ↦ (y + 1)), d))
  xs (Map.empty, [])
in map (λx. (x, the (m x))) (sort d)

```

definition split_k'' :: nat ⇒ ('a × 'b list) list ⇒ ('a × 'b list) list list **where**

```

split_k'' k xs ≡ let
  width = sum_list (map (length o snd) xs) div k;
  width = (if length xs mod k = 0 then width else width + 1)
in split_size (length o snd) width 0 [] xs

```

definition

```

print_errors es = do {Heap_Monad.fold_map print_line_impl es; return ()}

```

We can actually let MUNTA produce reachability certificates for MUNTAC. This is what the following code does. A few test cases are run below right in the Isabelle/ML environment.

definition parse_convert_run_check **where**

```

parse_convert_run_check mode num_split dc s ≡
case parse_json s >>= convert of
  Error es ⇒ print_errors es

```

```

| Result (ids_to_names, _, broadcast, automata, bounds, formula, L0, s0) ⇒ do {
  let r = rename_state_space dc ids_to_names (broadcast, automata, bounds) L0 s0 formula;
  case r of
    Error es ⇒ print_errors es
  | Result (r, show_clk, show_st, renamings, k) ⇒
    case r of None ⇒ return () | Some r ⇒ do {
      let t = now ();
      r ← r;
      let t = now () - t;
      print_line_impl
        (STR "Time for model checking + certificate extraction: " + time_to_string t);
      let (m, num_states, num_actions, renum_acts, renum_vars, renum_clocks, renum_states,
          inv_renum_states, inv_renum_vars, inv_renum_clocks
      ) = renamings;
      let _ = start_timer ();
      state_space ← Heap_Monad.fold_map (λ(s, xs).
        do {
          let xs = map snd xs;
          xs ← Heap_Monad.fold_map (dbm_to_list_impl m) xs;
          return (s, xs)
        }
      ) r;
      let _ = save_time STR "Time for converting DBMs in certificate";
      print_line_impl
        (STR "Number of discrete states of state space: " + show_lit (length state_space));
      let _ = STR "Size of passed list: " + show_str (sum_list (map (length o snd) r))
      |> println;
      STR "DBM list length distribution: " + show_str (distr (map (length o snd) state_space))
      |> print_line_impl;
      let split =
        (if mode = Impl3 then split_k" num_split state_space else split_k num_split state_space);
      let split_distr = map (sum_list o map (length o snd)) split;
      STR "Size of passed list distribution after split: " + show_str split_distr
      |> print_line_impl;
      let t = now ();
      check ← case mode of
        Debug ⇒ rename_check_dbg num_split dc broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
          m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
          inv_renum_states inv_renum_vars inv_renum_clocks
          state_space
      | Impl1 ⇒ rename_check num_split dc broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
          m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
          state_space
      | Impl2 ⇒ rename_check2 num_split dc broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
          m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
          state_space |> return
      | Impl3 ⇒ rename_check3 num_split dc broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
          m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
          state_space |> return;
      let t = now () - t;
      print_line_impl (STR "Time for certificate checking: " + time_to_string t);
      case check of
        Renaming_Failed ⇒ print_line_impl (STR "Renaming failed")
      | Preconds_Unsat ⇒ print_line_impl (STR "Preconditions were not met")
    }
}

```

```

    | Sat ⇒ print_line_impl (STR "Certificate was accepted")
    | Unsat ⇒ print_line_impl (STR "Certificate was rejected")
  }
}

```

⟨ML⟩

code_printing

constant *parallel_fold_map* $\rightarrow$
 (SML) $(fn\ f \Rightarrow fn\ xs \Rightarrow fn\ () \Rightarrow Par_List.map\ (fn\ x \Rightarrow f\ x\ ())\ xs)\ _ _$

definition

num_split $\equiv 4 :: nat$

⟨ML⟩

Executing *Heap_Monad.fold_map* in parallel in Isabelle/ML

definition

⟨Test $\equiv Heap_Monad.fold_map\ (\lambda x. do\ \{let\ _ = println\ STR\ "x";\ return\ x\})\ ([1,2,3] :: nat\ list)$ ⟩

⟨ML⟩

Checking external certificates definition

list_of_json_object obj $\equiv$
case obj of
Object m $\Rightarrow Result\ m$
| _ $\Rightarrow Error\ [STR\ "Not\ an\ object"]$

definition

map_of_debug_prefix m $\equiv$
let m = map_of m in
 $(\lambda x.$
case m x of
None $\Rightarrow let\ _ = println\ (STR\ "Key\ error(" + prefix + STR\ ")":\ " + show_lit\ x)\ in\ None$
| Some v $\Rightarrow Some\ v)$ **for** *prefix*

definition

renaming_of_json' opt prefix json $\equiv do\ \{$
vars $\leftarrow list_of_json_object\ json;$
vars $\leftarrow combine_map\ (\lambda\ (a,\ b). do\ \{b \leftarrow of_nat\ b;\ Result\ (String.implode\ a,\ b)\})\ vars;$
let vars = vars @ (case opt of Some x $\Rightarrow [(x,\ fold\ max\ (map\ snd\ vars)\ 0 + 1)]$ | _ $\Rightarrow [])$;
Result (the o map_of_debug_prefix vars, the o map_of_debug_prefix (map prod.swap vars))
 $\}$
for *json prefix*

definition *renaming_of_json* $\equiv renaming_of_json'\ None$

definition

nat_renaming_of_json prefix max_id json $\equiv do\ \{$
vars $\leftarrow list_of_json_object\ json;$
vars $\leftarrow combine_map\ (\lambda(a,\ b). do\ \{$
a $\leftarrow parse\ lx_nat\ (String.implode\ a);$

```

    b ← of_nat b;
    Result (a, b)
  }) vars;
  let ids = fst ' set vars;
  let missing = filter (λi. i ∉ ids) [0..<max_id];
  let m = the o map_of_debug prefix vars;
  let m = extend_domain m missing (length vars);
  Result (m, the o map_of_debug prefix (map prod.swap vars))
}
for json prefix

```

definition *convert_renaming* ::

```

(nat ⇒ nat ⇒ String.literal) ⇒ (String.literal ⇒ nat) ⇒ JSON ⇒ _ where
convert_renaming ids_to_names process_names_to_index json ≡ do {
  json ← of_object json;
  vars ← get json "vars";
  (var_renaming, var_inv) ← renaming_of_json STR "var renaming" vars;
  clocks ← get json "clocks";
  (clock_renaming, clock_inv)
    ← renaming_of_json' (Some STR "_urge") STR "clock renaming" clocks;
  processes ← get json "processes";
  (process_renaming, process_inv) ← renaming_of_json STR "process renaming" processes;
  locations ← get json "locations";
  locations ← list_of_json_object locations; — process name → json
  locations ← combine_map (λ(name, renaming). do {
    let p_num = process_names_to_index (String.implode name);
    assert
      (process_renaming (String.implode name) = p_num)
      (STR "Process renamings do not agree on " + String.implode name);
    let max_id = 1000;
    renaming ← nat_renaming_of_json (STR "process" + show_str p_num) max_id renaming;
  }) locations;
  — location id → nat
  Result (p_num, renaming)
}
) locations;
— process id → location id → nat
let location_renaming = the o map_of_debug STR "location" (map (λ(i, f, _). (i, f)) locations);
let location_inv = the o map_of_debug STR "location inv" (map (λ(i, _, f). (i, f)) locations);
Result (var_renaming, clock_renaming, location_renaming, var_inv, clock_inv, location_inv)
}

for json

```

definition

```

load_renaming dc model renaming ≡
case
do {
  model ← parse json model;
  renaming ← parse json renaming;
  (ids_to_names, process_names_to_index, broadcast, automata, bounds, formula, L0, s0)
    ← convert model;
  convert_renaming ids_to_names process_names_to_index renaming

```

```

}
of
  Error e ⇒ return (Error e)
| Result r ⇒ do {
  let (var_renaming, clock_renaming, location_renaming, __, __, __) = r;
  let __ = map (λp. map (λn. location_renaming p n |> show_lit |> println) [0..<8]) [0..<6];
  return (Result ())
}

```

definition *parse_compute* **where**

```

parse_compute model renaming ≡
do {
  model ← parse_json model;
  (ids_to_names, process_names_to_index, broadcast, automata, bounds, formula, L0, s0)
  ← convert model;
  renaming ← parse_json renaming;
  (var_renaming, clock_renaming, location_renaming,
   inv_renum_vars, inv_renum_clocks, inv_renum_states)
  ← convert_renaming ids_to_names process_names_to_index renaming;
  (m, num_states, num_actions, renum_acts, renum_vars, renum_clocks, renum_states, __,
   __, __)
  ← make_renaming broadcast automata bounds;
  assert (renum_clocks STR "__urge" = m) STR "Computed renaming: __urge is not last clock!";
  let renum_vars = var_renaming;
  let renum_clocks = clock_renaming;
  let renum_states = location_renaming;
  assert (renum_clocks STR "__urge" = m) STR "Given renaming: __urge is not last clock!";
  let __ = println (STR "Renaming");
  let (broadcast', automata', bounds') = rename_network
    broadcast bounds automata renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states;
  let __ = println (STR "Calculating ceiling");
  let k = Simple_Network_Impl_nat_defs.local_ceiling broadcast' bounds' automata' m num_states;
  let urgent_locations = map (λ(_, urgent, __, __). urgent) automata';
  Result (broadcast, bounds, automata, urgent_locations, k, L0, s0, formula,
    m, num_states, num_actions, renum_acts, renum_vars, renum_clocks, renum_states,
    inv_renum_states, inv_renum_vars, inv_renum_clocks)
} for num_split

```

datatype 'l state_space =

```

  Reachable_Set (reach_of: (('l list × int list) × int DBMEntry list list) list)
| Buechi_Set (buechi_of: (('l list × int list) × (int DBMEntry list × nat) list) list)

```

definition *normalize_dbm* :: nat ⇒ int DBMEntry list ⇒ int DBMEntry list **where**

```

normalize_dbm m xs = do {
  dbm ← Array.of_list xs;
  dbm ← fw_impl_int m dbm;
  Array.freeze dbm
} |> run_heap

```

definition *insert_every_nth* :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list **where**

```

insert_every_nth n a xs ≡
fold (λx (i, xs). if i = n then (1, a # x # xs) else (i + 1, x # xs)) xs (1, []) |> snd |> rev

```

```

definition convert_dbm where
  convert_dbm urge m dbm =
    take m dbm @ Le 0 #
    insert_every_nth m DBMEntry.INF (drop m dbm)
    @ (if urge then Le 0 else DBMEntry.INF) # replicate (m - 1) DBMEntry.INF @ [Le 0]
    |> normalize_dbm m

fun convert_state_space :: _ ⇒ _ ⇒ int state_space ⇒ nat state_space where
  convert_state_space m is_urgent (Reachable_Set xs) = Reachable_Set (
    map (λ((locs, vars), dbms).
      ((map nat locs, vars), map (convert_dbm (is_urgent (locs, vars)) m) dbms))
    xs)
  | convert_state_space m is_urgent (Buechi_Set xs) = Buechi_Set (
    map (λ((locs, vars), dbms).
      ((map nat locs, vars), map (λ(dbm, i). (convert_dbm (is_urgent (locs, vars)) m dbm, i))
      dbms))
    xs)

fun len_of_state_space where
  len_of_state_space (Reachable_Set xs) = length xs
  | len_of_state_space (Buechi_Set xs) = length xs

context
  fixes num_clocks :: nat
  fixes inv_renum_states :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat
  and inv_renum_vars :: nat ⇒ String.literal
  and inv_renum_clocks :: nat ⇒ String.literal
begin

private definition show_st where
  show_st = show_state inv_renum_states inv_renum_vars

private definition show_dbm :: int DBMEntry list ⇒ char list where
  show_dbm = dbm_list_to_string num_clocks (show_clock inv_renum_clocks) show

fun show_state_space where
  show_state_space (Reachable_Set xs) =
    map (λ(l, xs).
      map (λx. "(" @ show_st l @ " ", <" @ show_dbm x @ ">)" |> String.implode |> println)
      xs)
    xs
  | show_state_space (Buechi_Set xs) =
    map (λ(l, xs).
      map (λ(x, i). show i @ ": (" @ show_st l @ " ", <" @ show_dbm x @ ">)"
      |> String.implode |> println)
      xs)
    xs

end

definition
  print_sep ≡ λ(). println (String.implode (replicate 100 CHR "–"))

```

definition *parse_convert_check* **where**

```

parse_convert_check mode num_split dc model renaming state_space show_cert ≡
let
  r = parse_compute model renaming
in case r of Error es ⇒ do {let _ = map println es; return ()}
| Result r ⇒ do {
  let (broadcast, bounds, automata, urgent_locations, k, L0, s0, formula,
      m, num_states, num_actions, renum_acts, renum_vars, renum_clocks, renum_states,
      inv_renum_states, inv_renum_vars, inv_renum_clocks) = r;
  let is_urgent = (λ(L, _). list_ex (λ(l, urgent). l ∈ set urgent) (zip L urgent_locations));
  let inv_renum_clocks = (λi. if i = m then STR "_urge" else inv_renum_clocks i);
  let t = now ();
  let state_space = convert_state_space m is_urgent state_space;
  let t = now () - t;
  let _ = println (STR "Time for converting state space: " + time_to_string t);
  let _ = start_timer ();
  let _ = save_time STR "Time for converting DBMs in certificate";
  let _ =
    println (STR "Number of discrete states: " + show_lit (len_of_state_space state_space));
  let _ = do {
    if show_cert then do {
      let _ = print_sep ();
      let _ = println (STR "Certificate");
      let _ = print_sep ();
      let _ = show_state_space m inv_renum_states inv_renum_vars inv_renum_clocks
state_space;
      let _ = print_sep ();
      return ()}
    else return ()
  };
  let t = now ();
  check ← case mode of
    Debug ⇒ rename_check_dbg num_split dc broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
      m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
      inv_renum_states inv_renum_vars inv_renum_clocks
      (reach_of state_space)
  | Impl1 ⇒ rename_check num_split dc broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
      m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
      (reach_of state_space)
  | Impl2 ⇒ rename_check2 num_split dc broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
      m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
      (reach_of state_space) |> return
  | Impl3 ⇒ rename_check3 num_split dc broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
      m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
      (reach_of state_space) |> return
  | Buechi ⇒ rename_check_buechi num_split broadcast bounds automata k L0 s0 formula
      m num_states num_actions renum_acts renum_vars renum_clocks renum_states
      (buechi_of state_space) |> return;
  let t = now () - t;
  let _ = println (STR "Time for certificate checking: " + time_to_string t);
  case check of
    Renaming_Failed ⇒ do {let _ = println STR "Renaming failed"; return ()}
  | Preconds_Unsat ⇒ do {let _ = println STR "Preconditions were not met"; return ()}
  | Sat ⇒ do {let _ = println STR "Certificate was accepted"; return ()}
}

```

```

    | Unsat  $\Rightarrow$  do {let _ = println STR "Certificate was rejected"; return ()}
  }
for num_split and state_space :: int state_space

```

code_printing

```

constant IArray.length'  $\rightarrow$  (SML) (IntInf.fromInt o Vector.length)

```

code_printing

```

constant Parallel.map  $\rightarrow$  (SML) Par'_List.map

```

lemma [code]: run_map_heap f xs = Parallel.map (run_heap o f) xs
 <proof>

code_printing code_module Timing $\rightarrow$ (SML)

```

<
structure Timing : sig
  val start_timer: unit  $\rightarrow$  unit
  val save_time: string  $\rightarrow$  unit
  val get_timings: unit  $\rightarrow$  (string * Time.time) list
  val set_cpu: bool  $\rightarrow$  unit
end = struct

  open Timer;

  val is_cpu = Unsynchronized.ref false;
  fun set_cpu b = is_cpu := b;

  val cpu_timer: cpu_timer option Unsynchronized.ref = Unsynchronized.ref NONE;
  val real_timer: real_timer option Unsynchronized.ref = Unsynchronized.ref NONE;

  val timings = Unsynchronized.ref [];
  fun start_timer () = (
    if !is_cpu then
      cpu_timer := SOME (startCPUTimer ())
    else
      real_timer := SOME (startRealTimer ());
  fun get_elapsed () = (
    if !is_cpu then
      #usr (!cpu_timer |> the |> checkCPUTimer)
    else
      (!real_timer |> the |> checkRealTimer));
  fun save_time s = (timings := ((s, get_elapsed ()) :: !timings));
  fun get_timings () = !timings;
end
>

```

Optimized code printings definition

```

array_freeze' = array_freeze

```

lemma [code]: array_freeze a = array_freeze' a
 <proof>

definition

$array_unfreeze' = array_unfreeze$

lemma *[code]*: $array_unfreeze\ a = array_unfreeze'\ a$
<proof>

definition

$array_copy' = array_copy$

lemma *[code]*: $array_copy\ a = array_copy'\ a$
<proof>

code_printing constant $array_freeze' \rightarrow (SML)\ (fn\ () \Rightarrow Array.vector\ _)$

code_printing constant $array_unfreeze' \rightarrow (SML)\ (fn\ a \Rightarrow fn\ () \Rightarrow Array.tabulate\ (Vector.length\ a,\ fn\ i \Rightarrow Vector.sub\ (a,\ i)))\ _$

code_printing constant $array_copy' \rightarrow (SML)\ (fn\ a \Rightarrow fn\ () \Rightarrow Array.tabulate\ (Array.length\ a,\ fn\ i \Rightarrow Array.sub\ (a,\ i)))\ _$

According to microbenchmarks, these versions are nearly two times faster.

code_printing constant $array_unfreeze' \rightarrow (SML)$

```
(fn a => fn () =>
  if Vector.length a = 0
  then Array.fromList []
  else
    let
      val x = Vector.sub(a, 0)
      val n = Array.array (Vector.length a, x)
      val ' _ = Array.copyVec {src=a,dst=n,di=0}
    in n end
)
```

code_printing constant $array_copy' \rightarrow (SML)$

```
(fn a => fn () =>
  if Array.length a = 0
  then Array.fromList []
  else
    let
      val x = Array.sub(a, 0)
      val n = Array.array (Array.length a, x)
      val ' _ = Array.copy {src=a,dst=n,di=0}
    in n end
)
```

partial_function *(heap)* $imp_for_int_inner ::$

$integer \Rightarrow integer \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool\ Heap) \Rightarrow (integer \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ Heap) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ Heap$ **where**
 $imp_for_int_inner\ i\ u\ c\ f\ s = (if\ i \geq u\ then\ return\ s\ else$
 $do\ \{ctn \leftarrow c\ s;\ if\ ctn\ then\ f\ i\ s \gg imp_for_int_inner\ (i + 1)\ u\ c\ f\ else\ return\ s\})$

lemma $integer_of_nat_le_simp:$

$integer_of_nat\ i \leq integer_of_nat\ u \longleftrightarrow i \leq u$
<proof>

lemma *imp_for_imp_for_int_inner*[code_unfold]:
imp_for i u c f s
 $= \text{imp_for_int_inner } (\text{integer_of_nat } i) (\text{integer_of_nat } u) \text{ c } (\text{f o nat_of_integer}) \text{ s}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

imp_for_int i u c f s $\equiv$
imp_for_int_inner (integer_of_nat i) (integer_of_nat u) c (f o nat_of_integer) s

lemma *imp_for_imp_for_int*[code_unfold]:
imp_for = *imp_for_int*
 ⟨proof⟩

partial_function (*heap*) *imp_for'_int_inner* ::
 $\text{integer} \Rightarrow \text{integer} \Rightarrow (\text{integer} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap}) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap}$ **where**
imp_for'_int_inner i u f s =
 (if $i \geq u$ then return *s* else $f \ i \ s \gg= \text{imp_for'_int_inner } (i + 1) \ u \ f$)

lemma *imp_for'_imp_for_int_inner*:
imp_for' i u f s $\equiv$
imp_for'_int_inner (integer_of_nat i) (integer_of_nat u) (f o nat_of_integer) s
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *imp_for'_int_cong*:
imp_for' l u f a = *imp_for' l u g a*
if $\bigwedge i \ x. \ l \leq i \implies i < u \implies f \ i \ x = g \ i \ x$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

imp_for'_int i u f s $\equiv$
imp_for'_int_inner (integer_of_nat i) (integer_of_nat u) (f o nat_of_integer) s

lemma *imp_for'_imp_for_int*[code_unfold, int_folds]:
imp_for' = *imp_for'_int*
 ⟨proof⟩

code_printing code_module *Iterators* $\rightarrow$ (SML)

⟨
fun imp_for_inner i u c f s =
 let
fun imp_for1 i u f s =
 if $\text{IntInf}.\leq (u, i)$ then $(\text{fn } () \Rightarrow s)$
 else if $c \ s \ ()$ then *imp_for1* $(i + 1) \ u \ f \ (f \ i \ s \ ())$
 else $(\text{fn } () \Rightarrow s)$
 in *imp_for1 i u f s* end;

fun imp_fora_inner i u f s =
 let
fun imp_for1 i u f s =
 if $\text{IntInf}.\leq (u, i)$ then $(\text{fn } () \Rightarrow s)$
 else *imp_for1* $(i + 1) \ u \ f \ (f \ i \ s \ ())$
 in *imp_for1 i u f s* end;

>

code_reserved (SML) *imp_for_inner imp_fora_inner*

definition

nth_integer a n = Array.nth a (nat_of_integer n)

definition

upd_integer n x a = Array.upd (nat_of_integer n) x a

code_printing

constant *upd_integer* $\rightarrow$ (SML) $(fn\ a \Rightarrow fn\ () \Rightarrow (Array.update\ (a,\ IntInf.toInt\ _,\ _);\ a))$

constant *nth_integer* $\rightarrow$ (SML) $(fn\ () \Rightarrow Array.sub\ (_,\ IntInf.toInt\ _))$

definition

*fw_upd_impl_integer n a k i j = do {
 let n = n + 1;
 let i' = i * n + j;
 y $\leftarrow$ nth_integer a (i * n + k);
 z $\leftarrow$ nth_integer a (k * n + j);
 x $\leftarrow$ nth_integer a i';
 let m = dbm_add_int y z;
 if dbm_lt_int m x then upd_integer i' m a else return a
}*

lemma *nat_of_integer_add:*

nat_of_integer i + nat_of_integer j = nat_of_integer (i + j) if i $\geq$ 0 j $\geq$ 0
 <proof>

lemma *nat_of_integer_mult:*

*nat_of_integer i * nat_of_integer j = nat_of_integer (i * j) if i $\geq$ 0 j $\geq$ 0*
 <proof>

lemma *fw_upd_impl_int_fw_upd_impl_integer:*

fw_upd_impl_int (nat_of_integer n) a (nat_of_integer k) (nat_of_integer i) (nat_of_integer j)
 = *fw_upd_impl_integer n a k i j*
if *i $\geq$ 0 j $\geq$ 0 k $\geq$ 0 n $\geq$ 0
 <proof>*

lemma *integer_of_nat_nat_of_integer:*

integer_of_nat (nat_of_integer n) = n if n $\geq$ 0
 <proof>

lemma *integer_of_nat_aux:*

integer_of_nat (nat_of_integer n + 1) = n + 1 if n $\geq$ 0
 <proof>

definition

$fwi_impl_integer\ n\ a\ k = fwi_impl_int\ (nat_of_integer\ n)\ a\ (nat_of_integer\ k)$

lemma *fwi_impl_int_eq1*:

$fwi_impl_int\ n\ a\ k \equiv fwi_impl_integer\ (integer_of_nat\ n)\ a\ (integer_of_nat\ k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

partial_function (*heap*) *imp_for'_int_int* ::

$int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow (int \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ Heap) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ Heap$ **where**
 $imp_for'_int_int\ i\ u\ f\ s =$
 $(if\ i \geq u\ then\ return\ s\ else\ f\ i\ s \gg= imp_for'_int_int\ (i + 1)\ u\ f)$

lemma *int_bounds_up_induct*:

assumes $\bigwedge l. u \leq (l :: int) \implies P\ l\ u$
and $\bigwedge l. P\ (l + 1)\ u \implies l < u \implies P\ l\ u$
shows $P\ l\ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp_for'_int_int_cong*:

$imp_for'_int_int\ l\ u\ f\ a = imp_for'_int_int\ l\ u\ g\ a$
if $\bigwedge i\ x. l \leq i \implies i < u \implies f\ i\ x = g\ i\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *plus_int_int_of_integer_aux*:

$(plus_int\ (int_of_integer\ l)\ 1) = int_of_integer\ (l + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp_for'_int_inner_imp_for'_int_int*:

$imp_for'_int_inner\ l\ u\ f\ a$
 $= imp_for'_int_int\ (int_of_integer\ l)\ (int_of_integer\ u)\ (f\ o\ integer_of_int)\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp_for'_int_inner_cong*:

$imp_for'_int_inner\ l\ u\ f\ a = imp_for'_int_inner\ l\ u\ g\ a$
if $\bigwedge i\ x. l \leq i \implies i < u \implies f\ i\ x = g\ i\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *fwi_impl_int_unfold1*:

$fwi_impl_int\ (nat_of_integer\ n)\ a\ (nat_of_integer\ k) = ?i$ **if** $0 \leq k\ 0 \leq n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *integer_of_nat_add*:

$integer_of_nat\ (x + y) = integer_of_nat\ x + integer_of_nat\ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *fwi_impl_int_code* [code]:

$fwi_impl_int\ n\ a\ k \equiv ?x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

code_printing **code_module** *Integer* $\mapsto (Eval)$

$\langle$
 $structure\ Integer: sig$
 $val\ div_mod: int \rightarrow int \rightarrow int * int$
 $end = struct$

```

    fun div_mod i j = (i div j, i mod j)
  end
}

```

Delete unused code module.

```
code__printing code__module Bits_Integer  $\rightarrow$  (SML)  $\langle \rangle$ 
```

For agreement with SML

```
code__printing
  type__constructor Typerep.typerep  $\rightarrow$  (Eval)
| constant Typerep.Typerep  $\rightarrow$  (Eval)

```

```
lemmas [code] = imp_for'_int_inner.simps imp_for_int_inner.simps
```

We eliminate this module because it uses constants that are only implemented by *IntInf* and not *Int*. When compiling we will use a hack to change *IntInf* with *Int* (for efficiency) and therefore this module would break the hack.

```
code__printing code__module Bit_Shifts  $\rightarrow$  (SML)  $\langle \rangle$ 
```

Using the *Eval* code target instead of *SML* makes it easier to replace *IntInf* with *Int*.

```
export__code parse_convert_check parse_convert_run_print parse_convert_run_check Result
Error
```

```

  nat_of_integer int_of_integer DBMEntry.Le DBMEntry.Lt DBMEntry.INF
  Impl1 Impl2 Impl3 Buechi Debug Reachable_Set Buechi_Set
  E_op_impl

```

```
in Eval module__name Model_Checker file_prefix Certificate
```

```
export__code parse_convert_check parse_convert_run_print parse_convert_run_check Result
Error
```

```

  nat_of_integer int_of_integer DBMEntry.Le DBMEntry.Lt DBMEntry.INF
  Impl1 Impl2 Impl3 Buechi Reachable_Set Buechi_Set
  E_op_impl

```

```
in SML module__name Model_Checker
```

```
code__printing code__module Printing  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell)
```

```

<
import qualified Debug.Trace;

```

```
print s = Debug.Trace.trace s ();
```

```
printM s = Debug.Trace.traceM s;
```

```
>
```

```
code__printing
```

```

constant Printing.print  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) Printing.print _

code_printing
  constant print_line_impl  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) Printing.printM _

code_printing
  type_constructor time  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) Integer
  | constant now  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) Prelude.const 0
  | constant time_to_string  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) Prelude.show _
  | constant  $(-)$  :: time  $\Rightarrow$  time  $\Rightarrow$  time  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell)  $(-)$ 

code_printing
  constant list_of_set'  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) (case _ of Set xs  $\rightarrow$  xs)

export_code parse_convert_check parse_convert_run_print parse_convert_run_check Result
Error
  nat_of_integer int_of_integer DBMEntry.Le DBMEntry.Lt DBMEntry.INF
  Impl1 Impl2 Impl3 Buechi Reachable_Set Buechi_Set
  in Haskell module_name Model_Checker

end

```

13 Testing Infrastructure

```

theory Munta_Certificate_Testing
  imports Main
begin

```

— Produces commands for generating a certificate for a single benchmark with MLUNTA, e.g.
`mluntac-poly -certificate PM_all_5.cert -renaming PM_all_5.renaming -model PM_all_5.muntax`
 checking it with MUNTA, and checking the result: `./check_benchmark.sh`
`muntac -certificate PM_all_5.cert -renaming PM_all_5.renaming -model PM_all_5.muntax`
 $\langle ML \rangle$

```

end

```

14 Build and Test Exported Program With MLton

```

theory Munta_Certificate_Compile_MLton
  imports Simple_Network_Language_Certificate_Code Munta_Certificate_Testing
begin

```

Here is how to compile Munta Certifier with MLton and then run some benchmarks:

```

compile_generated_files code/Certificate.ML (in Simple_Network_Language_Certificate_Code)
external_files
   $\langle$  Unsyncronized.sml  $\rangle$ 
   $\langle$  Writeln.sml  $\rangle$ 

```

```

  <Util.sml>
  <Muntac.sml>
  <Mlton_Main.sml>
  <sequential.sml>
  <muntac.mlb>
  <check_benchmark.sh>
  (in ML)
and
  <HDDI_02.muntax>
  <HDDI_02_broadcast.muntax>
  <HDDI_08_broadcast.muntax>
  <PM_all_1.muntax>
  <PM_all_2.muntax>
  <PM_all_3.muntax>
  <PM_all_4.muntax>
  <PM_all_5.muntax>
  <PM_all_6.muntax>
  <PM_all_urgent.muntax>
  <bridge.muntax>
  <csma_05.muntax>
  <csma_06.muntax>
  <fischer.muntax>
  <fischer_05.muntax>
  <hddi_08.muntax>
  <light_switch.muntax> (in benchmarks)
export_files <muntac> (exe)
where <fn dir =>
  let
    val exec = Generated_Files.execute dir

    val _ = exec <Copy MLunta> (cp -r ' ^ Path.implode mlunta_dir ^ '.)
    val _ = exec <Compile MLunta> cd mlunta && mlton=$ISABELLE_MLTON make build_checker
&& cd ..
    val mlunta_path = mlunta/build/mluntac-mlton

  val _ =
    exec <Copy Isabelle library files>
      (cp ' ^ library_path ^ ' library.ML && cp ' ^ basics_path ^ ' basics.ML)

  val _ =
    exec <Preparation>
      mv code/Certificate.ML Certificate.ML
  val _ =
    exec <Replace int type>
      sed -i -e 's/IntInf/Int/g' Certificate.ML
  val _ =
    exec <set>
      set -x
  val _ =
    — Efficient settings for ARM64 machines
    exec <Compilation>
      (verbatim <$ISABELLE_MLTON $ISABELLE_MLTON_OPTIONS> ^
      — these additional settings have been copied from the AFP entry PAC_Checker
      — const 'MLton.safe false' -verbose 1 -inline 700 -cc-opt -O3 ^

```

```

— this one does not work on ARM64 though
//////codegen/muntac////
— these used to be the defaults for Munta
— default-type int64 ^
— output muntac ^
— mltb-path-var 'MLUNTA_CERT' ^ mlunta_certificate_path ^ ' ^
  muntac.mlb)
val muntac_path = ./muntac;
val muntac_path_dc = ./muntac -dc — For deadlock checking

val _ = writeln Generating certificates.

val _ = exec ⟨Gen HDDI_02⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path HDDI_02)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen HDDI_02_broadcast⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path HDDI_02_broadcast)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen HDDI_08_broadcast⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path HDDI_08_broadcast)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen hddi_08⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path hddi_08)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen PM_all_1⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path PM_all_1)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen PM_all_2⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path PM_all_2)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen PM_all_3⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path PM_all_3)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen PM_all_4⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path PM_all_4)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen PM_all_5⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path PM_all_5)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen csma_05⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path csma_05)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen csma_06⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path csma_06)
val _ = exec ⟨Gen fischer_05⟩ (mk_cert mlunta_path fischer_05)

val _ = writeln Finished generating certificates. Now checking.;

val _ = exec ⟨Test HDDI_02⟩ (check_cert muntac_path HDDI_02)
val _ = exec ⟨Test HDDI_02_broadcast⟩ (check_cert muntac_path HDDI_02_broadcast)
val _ = exec ⟨Test HDDI_08_broadcast⟩ (check_cert muntac_path HDDI_08_broadcast)
val _ = exec ⟨Test hddi_08⟩ (check_cert muntac_path hddi_08)
val _ = exec ⟨Test PM_all_1⟩ (check_cert muntac_path PM_all_1)
val _ = exec ⟨Test PM_all_2⟩ (check_cert muntac_path PM_all_2)
val _ = exec ⟨Test PM_all_3⟩ (check_cert muntac_path PM_all_3)
val _ = exec ⟨Test csma_05⟩ (check_cert muntac_path csma_05)
val _ = exec ⟨Test csma_06⟩ (check_cert muntac_path csma_06)
val _ = exec ⟨Test fischer_05⟩ (check_cert muntac_path fischer_05)
val _ = exec ⟨Test PM_all_4⟩ (check_cert muntac_path PM_all_4)
val _ = exec ⟨Test PM_all_5⟩ (check_cert muntac_path PM_all_5)

val _ = exec ⟨Test deadlock HDDI_02⟩ (check_cert muntac_path_dc HDDI_02)
in () end
end

```

15 Build and Test Exported Program With Poly/ML

```

theory Munta_Certificate_Compile_Poly
  imports Simple_Network_Language_Certificate_Code Munta_Certificate_Testing
begin

```

Mock Compilation Instead of using Poly/ML's *polyc*, we emulate compilation within Isabelle's Poly/ML environment. Below we also show how *polyc* could be used alternatively.

We prepare a few pieces of code that will be passed to Poly/ML for mocking compilation. This will be used for evaluating the code:

$\langle ML \rangle$

Compilation and Testing Here is how to compile Munta Certifier with Poly/ML and then run some benchmarks:

compile_generated_files *code/Certificate.ML* (**in** *Simple_Network_Language_Certificate_Code*)
external_files

$\langle Writeln.sml \rangle$
 $\langle Util.sml \rangle$
 $\langle Muntac.sml \rangle$
 $\langle Mlton_Main.sml \rangle$
 $\langle Unsyncronized.sml \rangle$
 $\langle sequential.sml \rangle$
 $\langle parallel_task_queue.sml \rangle$
 $\langle build_muntac.sml \rangle$
 $\langle check_benchmark.sh \rangle$

(**in** *ML*)

and

$\langle HDDI_02.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle HDDI_02_broadcast.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle HDDI_08_broadcast.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle PM_all_1.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle PM_all_2.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle PM_all_3.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle PM_all_4.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle PM_all_5.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle PM_all_6.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle PM_all_urgent.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle bridge.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle csma_05.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle csma_06.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle fischer.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle fischer_05.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle hddi_08.muntax \rangle$
 $\langle light_switch.muntax \rangle$ (**in** *benchmarks*)

export_files $\langle muntac_poly \rangle$ (*exe*)

where $\langle fn\ dir =>$

let

val mock = true — whether to eval in Poly/ML instead of using *polyc*

val exec = Generated_Files.execute dir

val _ = exec $\langle Copy\ MLunta \rangle$ (*cp -r ' ^ Path.implode mlunta_dir ^ ' .*)

val _ = exec $\langle Compile\ MLunta \rangle$ *cd mlunta && mlton=\$ISABELLE_MLTON make build_checker && cd ..*

val mlunta_path = mlunta/build/mluntac-mlton

val _ =

exec $\langle Copy\ Isabelle\ library\ files \rangle$

(*cp ' ^ library_path ^ ' library.ML && cp ' ^ basics_path ^ ' basics.ML*)

val _ =

```

exec <Preparation>
  mv code/Certificate.ML Certificate.ML
val _ =
  exec <Replace int type>
    sed -i -e 's/IntInf/Int/g' Certificate.ML
val _ =
  exec <set>
    set -x
val _ =
  if mock then
    (mock_compile dir Certificate.ML;
     exec <Compilation> touch muntac_poly)
  else
    exec <Compilation>
      (MLUNTA_CERT= ^ mlunta_certificate_path ^ ^
       verbatim <$ML_HOME> ^
       /polyc -o muntac_poly build_muntac.sml)

val muntac_path = if mock then else ./muntac_poly
val muntac_path_n6 = muntac_path ^ -n 6 — Parallel checking for larger certificates
val muntac_path_dc = muntac_path ^ -dc — For deadlock checking

val _ = writeln Generating certificates.

val _ = exec <Gen HDDI_02> (mk_cert mlunta_path HDDI_02)
val _ = exec <Gen HDDI_02_broadcast> (mk_cert mlunta_path HDDI_02_broadcast)
val _ = exec <Gen HDDI_08_broadcast> (mk_cert mlunta_path HDDI_08_broadcast)
val _ = exec <Gen hddi_08> (mk_cert mlunta_path hddi_08)
val _ = exec <Gen PM_all_1> (mk_cert mlunta_path PM_all_1)
val _ = exec <Gen PM_all_2> (mk_cert mlunta_path PM_all_2)
val _ = exec <Gen PM_all_3> (mk_cert mlunta_path PM_all_3)
val _ = exec <Gen PM_all_4> (mk_cert mlunta_path PM_all_4)
val _ = exec <Gen PM_all_5> (mk_cert mlunta_path PM_all_5)
val _ = exec <Gen csma_05> (mk_cert mlunta_path csma_05)
val _ = exec <Gen csma_06> (mk_cert mlunta_path csma_06)
val _ = exec <Gen fischer_05> (mk_cert mlunta_path fischer_05)

val _ = writeln Finished generating certificates. Now checking.;

fun exec_check_cert title muntac_path name =
  if mock then
    mock_exec_check_cert dir title muntac_path name
  else
    exec title (check_cert muntac_path name)

val _ = exec_check_cert <Test HDDI_02> muntac_path HDDI_02
val _ = exec_check_cert <Test HDDI_02_broadcast> muntac_path HDDI_02_broadcast
val _ = exec_check_cert <Test HDDI_08_broadcast> muntac_path HDDI_08_broadcast
val _ = exec_check_cert <Test PM_all_1> muntac_path PM_all_1
val _ = exec_check_cert <Test PM_all_2> muntac_path PM_all_2
val _ = exec_check_cert <Test PM_all_3> muntac_path PM_all_3
val _ = exec_check_cert <Test csma_05> muntac_path_n6 csma_05
— 30 s on an M1 Mac
val _ = exec_check_cert <Test csma_06> muntac_path_n6 csma_06

```

```

val _ = exec_check_cert <Test fischer_05> muntac_path n6 fischer_05
val _ = exec_check_cert <Test hddi_08> muntac_path hddi_08
val _ = exec_check_cert <Test PM_all_4> muntac_path PM_all_4
— 60 s on an M1 Mac
val _ = exec_check_cert <Test PM_all_5> muntac_path n6 PM_all_5

val _ = exec_check_cert <Test deadlock HDDI_02> muntac_path_dc HDDI_02
in () end

```

end

References

- [1] G. Li. Checking timed büchi automata emptiness using lu-abstractions. In J. Ouaknine and F. W. Vaandrager, editors, *Formal Modeling and Analysis of Timed Systems, 7th International Conference, FORMATS 2009, Budapest, Hungary, September 14-16, 2009. Proceedings*, volume 5813 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 228–242. Springer, 2009.
- [2] S. Wimmer. *Trustworthy Verification of Realtime Systems*. PhD thesis, Technical University of Munich, Germany, 2020.
- [3] S. Wimmer, F. Herbreteau, and J. van de Pol. Certifying emptiness of timed büchi automata. In N. Bertrand and N. Jansen, editors, *Formal Modeling and Analysis of Timed Systems - 18th International Conference, FORMATS 2020, Vienna, Austria, September 1-3, 2020, Proceedings*, volume 12288 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 58–75. Springer, 2020.
- [4] S. Wimmer and J. von Mutius. Verified certification of reachability checking for timed automata. In A. Biere and D. Parker, editors, *Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems - 26th International Conference, TACAS 2020, Held as Part of the European Joint Conferences on Theory and Practice of Software, ETAPS 2020, Dublin, Ireland, April 25-30, 2020, Proceedings, Part I*, volume 12078 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 425–443. Springer, 2020.