

More Operations on Lazy Lists

Andrei Popescu Jamie Wright

June 5, 2024

Abstract

We formalize some operations and reasoning infrastructure on lazy (coinductive) lists. The operations include: building a lazy list from a function on naturals and an extended natural indicating the intended domain, take-until and drop-until (which are variations of take-while and drop-while), splitting a lazy list into a lazy list of lists with cut points being those elements that satisfy a predicate, and filtermap. The reasoning infrastructure includes: a variation of the corecursion combinator, multi-step (list-based) coinduction for lazy-list equality, and a criterion for the filtermapped equality of two lazy lists.

Contents

1 Filtermap for Lazy Lists	1
1.1 Preliminaries	1
1.2 Filtermap	2
2 Some Operations on Lazy Lists	4
2.1 Preliminaries	4
2.2 More properties of operators from the Coinductive library . . .	4
2.3 A convenient adaptation of the lazy-list corecursor	6
2.4 Multi-step coinduction for llist equality	6
2.5 Interval lazy lists	6
2.6 Function builders for lazy lists	7
2.7 The butlast (reverse tail) operation	7
2.8 Consecutive-elements sublists	9
2.9 Take-until and drop-until	10
2.10 Splitting a lazy list according to the points where a predicate is satisfied	12
2.11 The split remainder	13
2.12 The first index for which a predicate holds (if any)	14
2.13 The first index for which the list in a lazy-list of lists is non- empty	14

3 Filtermap for Lazy Lists	15
3.1 Lazy lists filtermap	15
3.2 Coinductive criterion for filtermap equality	18
3.3 A concrete instantiation of the criterion	20

1 Filtermap for Lazy Lists

```
theory List-Filtermap
  imports Main
begin
```

This theory defines the filtermap operator for lazy lists, proves its basic properties, and proves coinductive criteria for the equality of two filtermapped lazy lists.

1.1 Preliminaries

```
hide-const filtermap
```

```
abbreviation never :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool where never U ≡ list-all (λ a. ¬ U a)
```

```
lemma never-list-ex: never pred xs ↔ ¬ list-ex pred xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
abbreviation Rcons (infix ### 70) where xs ### x ≡ xs @ [x]
```

```
lemma two-singl-Rcons: [a,b] = [a] ### b ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma length-gt-1-Cons-snoc:
  assumes length ys > 1
  obtains x1 xs x2 where ys = x1 # xs ### x2
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma right-cons-left[simp]: i < length as ⇒ (as ### a)!i = as!i
  ⟨proof⟩
```

1.2 Filtermap

```
definition filtermap :: ('b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'a list where
  filtermap pred func xs ≡ map func (filter pred xs)
```

```
lemma filtermap-Nil[simp]:
```

```

filtermap pred func [] = []
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-Cons-not[simp]:
¬ pred x ==> filtermap pred func (x # xs) = filtermap pred func xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-Cons[simp]:
pred x ==> filtermap pred func (x # xs) = func x # filtermap pred func xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-append: filtermap pred func (xs @ xs1) = filtermap pred func xs
@ filtermap pred func xs1
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-Nil-list-ex: filtermap pred func xs = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  ¬ list-ex pred xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-Nil-never: filtermap pred func xs = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  never pred xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-filtermap: length (filtermap pred func xs)  $\leq$  length xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-list-all[simp]: filtermap pred func xs = map func xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  list-all
pred xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-eq-Cons:
assumes filtermap pred func xs = a # al1
shows  $\exists$  x xs2 xs1.
xs = xs2 @ [x] @ xs1  $\wedge$  never pred xs2  $\wedge$  pred x  $\wedge$  func x = a  $\wedge$  filtermap pred
func xs1 = al1
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-eq-append:
assumes filtermap pred func xs = al1 @ al2
shows  $\exists$  xs1 xs2. xs = xs1 @ xs2  $\wedge$  filtermap pred func xs1 = al1  $\wedge$  filtermap
pred func xs2 = al2
⟨proof⟩

lemma holds-filtermap-RCons[simp]:
pred x ==> filtermap pred func (xs ## x) = filtermap pred func xs ## func x
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-holds-filtermap-RCons[simp]:
¬ pred x ==> filtermap pred func (xs ## x) = filtermap pred func xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filtermap-eq-RCons:
assumes filtermap pred func xs = al1 ## a
shows  $\exists x \in xs1 \in xs2.$ 
       $xs = xs1 @ [x] @ xs2 \wedge \text{never pred } xs2 \wedge \text{pred } x \wedge \text{func } x = a \wedge \text{filtermap pred}$ 
       $\text{func } xs1 = al1$ 
      ⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-eq-Cons-RCons:
assumes filtermap pred func xs = a # al1 ## b
shows  $\exists xsa \in xa \in xs1 \in xb \in xsb.$ 
       $xs = xsa @ [xa] @ xs1 @ [xb] @ xsb \wedge$ 
       $\text{never pred } xsa \wedge$ 
       $\text{pred } xa \wedge \text{func } xa = a \wedge$ 
       $\text{filtermap pred func } xs1 = al1 \wedge$ 
       $\text{pred } xb \wedge \text{func } xb = b \wedge$ 
       $\text{never pred } xsb$ 
      ⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-Nil-never:  $[] = \text{filter pred } xs \implies \text{never pred } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma never-Nil-filter:  $\text{never pred } xs \longleftrightarrow [] = \text{filter pred } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-filtermap:
set (filtermap pred func xs)  $\subseteq \{\text{func } x \mid x \in \text{set } xs \wedge \text{pred } x\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

2 Some Operations on Lazy Lists

```

theory LazyList-Operations
imports Coinductive.Coinductive-List List-Filtermap
begin

```

This theory defines some operations for lazy lists, and proves their basic properties.

2.1 Preliminaries

```

lemma enat-ls-minius-1:  $\text{enat } i < j - 1 \implies \text{enat } i < j$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation LNil-abbr ([[]]) where LNil-abbr ≡ LNil

```

abbreviation *LCons-abbr* (**infixr** § 65) **where** $x \$ xs \equiv LCons\ x\ xs$

abbreviation *lnever* :: $('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a llist \Rightarrow bool$ **where** $lnever\ U \equiv llist-all\ (\lambda\ a.\ \neg\ U\ a)$

syntax

— llist Enumeration
-llist :: args $\Rightarrow 'a llist$ $(([[-]))$

translations

$[[x, xs]] == x \$ [[xs]]$
 $[[x]] == x \$ []$

declare *llist-of-eq-LNil-conv*[simp]

declare *lmap-eq-LNil*[simp]

declare *llength-ltl*[simp]

2.2 More properties of operators from the Coinductive library

lemma *lnth-lconcat*:

assumes $i < llength (lconcat\ xss)$
shows $\exists j < llength\ xss. \exists k < llength\ (lnth\ xss\ j). lnth\ (lconcat\ xss)\ i = lnth\ (lnth\ xss\ j)\ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnth-0-lset*: $xs \neq [] \implies lnth\ xs\ 0 \in lset\ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lconcat-eq-LNil-iff*: $lconcat\ xss = [] \longleftrightarrow (\forall xs \in lset\ xss. xs = [])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llast-last-llist-of*: $lfinite\ xs \implies llast\ xs = last\ (list-of\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lappend-llist-of-inj*:
 $length\ xs = length\ ys \implies$
 $lappend\ (llist-of\ xs)\ as = lappend\ (llist-of\ ys)\ bs \longleftrightarrow xs = ys \wedge as = bs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all-lnth*: $llist-all\ P\ xs = (\forall n < llength\ xs. P\ (lnth\ xs\ n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-eq-cong*:
assumes $llength\ xs = llength\ ys \wedge i. i < llength\ xs \implies lnth\ xs\ i = lnth\ ys\ i$
shows $xs = ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-cases*: $\text{llength } xs = \infty \vee (\exists ys. xs = \text{llist-of } ys)$
(proof)

lemma *llist-all-lappend*: $\text{lfinite } xs \implies$
 $\text{llist-all pred}(\text{lappend } xs \ ys) \longleftrightarrow \text{llist-all pred } xs \wedge \text{llist-all pred } ys$
(proof)

lemma *llist-all-lappend-llist-of*:
 $\text{llist-all pred}(\text{lappend}(\text{llist-of } xs) \ ys) \longleftrightarrow \text{list-all pred } xs \wedge \text{llist-all pred } ys$
(proof)

lemma *llist-all-conduct*:
 $X \ xs \implies$
 $(\bigwedge xs. X \ xs \implies \neg \text{lnull } xs \implies P(\text{lhd } xs) \wedge (X(\text{ltl } xs) \vee \text{llist-all } P(\text{ltl } xs))) \implies$
 $\text{llist-all } P \ xs$
(proof)

lemma *lfilter-lappend-llist-of*:
 $\text{lfilter } P(\text{lappend}(\text{llist-of } xs) \ ys) = \text{lappend}(\text{llist-of}(\text{filter } P \ xs))(\text{lfilter } P \ ys)$
(proof)

lemma *ldrop-Suc*: $n < \text{llength } xs \implies \text{ldrop}(\text{enat } n) \ xs = \text{LCons}(\text{lnth } xs \ n)(\text{ldrop}(\text{enat } (\text{Suc } n)) \ xs)$
(proof)

lemma *lappend-ltake-lnth-ldrop*: $n < \text{llength } xs \implies$
 $\text{lappend}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) \ xs)(\text{LCons}(\text{lnth } xs \ n)(\text{ldrop}(\text{enat } (\text{Suc } n)) \ xs)) = xs$
(proof)

lemma *ltake-eq-LNil*: $\text{ltake } i \ tr = [] \longleftrightarrow i = 0 \vee tr = []$
(proof)

lemma *ex-llength-infty*:
 $\exists a. \text{llength } a = \infty \wedge \text{lhd } a = 0$
(proof)

lemma *repeat-not-Nil[simp]*: $\text{repeat } a \neq []$
(proof)

2.3 A convenient adaptation of the lazy-list corecursor

definition *ccorec-llist* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ llist})$
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ llist}$
where *ccorec-llist isn h ec e t* \equiv
 $\text{corec-llist isn}(\lambda a. \text{if } \text{ec } a \text{ then } \text{lhd } (e \ a) \text{ else } h \ a) \text{ ec } (\lambda a. \text{case } e \ a \text{ of } b \ \$ \ a' \Rightarrow a') \ t$

lemma *llist-ccorec-LNil*: $\text{isn } a \implies \text{ccorec-llist isn } h \text{ ec } e \ t \ a = []$
(proof)

lemma *llist-ccorec-LCons*:
 $\neg lnull(e a) \implies \neg isn a \implies$
 $ccorec\text{-}llist\ isn\ h\ ec\ e\ t\ a = (\text{if } ec\ a \text{ then } e\ a \text{ else } h\ a \$ ccorec\text{-}llist\ isn\ h\ ec\ e\ t\ (t\ a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *llist-ccorec* = *llist-ccorec-LNil* *llist-ccorec-LCons*

2.4 Multi-step coinduction for llist equality

In this principle, the coinductive step can consume any non-empty list, not just single elements.

proposition *llist-lappend-coind*:
assumes $P: P\ lxs\ lxs'$
and *lappend*:
 $\wedge lxs\ lxs'. P\ lxs\ lxs' \implies$
 $lxs = lxs' \vee$
 $(\exists ys\ llxs\ llxs'. ys \neq [] \wedge$
 $lxs = lappend\ (llist\text{-}of\ ys)\ llxs \wedge lxs' = lappend\ (llist\text{-}of\ ys)\ llxs' \wedge$
 $P\ llxs\ llxs')$
shows $lxs = lxs'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5 Interval lazy lists

The list of all naturals between a natural and an extended-natural

primcorec *betw* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *enat* \Rightarrow *nat llist* **where**
 $\text{betw } i\ n = (\text{if } i \geq n \text{ then } LNil \text{ else } i \$ \text{betw } (\text{Suc } i)\ n)$

lemma *betw-more-simps*:
 $\neg n \leq i \implies \text{betw } i\ n = i \$ \text{betw } (\text{Suc } i)\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lhd-betw*: $i < n \implies \text{lhd } (\text{betw } i\ n) = i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-lfinite-betw-infty*: $\neg \text{lfinite } (\text{betw } i\ \infty)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llength-betw-infty[simp]*: $\text{llength } (\text{betw } i\ \infty) = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llength-betw*: $\text{llength } (\text{betw } i\ n) = n - i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-betw-not-infty*: $n < \infty \implies \text{lfinite } (\text{betw } i\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-betw-enat*: *lfinite (betw i (enat n))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnth-betw*: *enat j < n - i* \implies *lnth (betw i n) j = i + j*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.6 Function builders for lazy lists

Building an llist from a function, more precisely from its values between 0 and a given extended natural n

definition *build n f* \equiv *lmap f (betw 0 n)*

lemma *llength-build[simp]*: *llength (build n f) = n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnth-build[simp]*: *i < n* \implies *lnth (build n f) i = f i*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *build-lnth[simp]*: *build (llength xs) (lnth xs) = xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *build-eq-LNil[simp]*: *build n f = []* \longleftrightarrow *n = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.7 The butlast (reverse tail) operation

definition *lbutlast :: 'a llist \Rightarrow 'a llist where*
lbutlast sl \equiv *if lfinite sl then llist-of (butlast (list-of sl)) else sl*

lemma *llength-lbutlast-lfinite[simp]*:
sl \neq [] \implies *lfinite sl* \implies *llength (lbutlast sl) = llength sl - 1*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llength-lbutlast-not-lfinite[simp]*:
 \neg lfinite sl \implies *llength (lbutlast sl) = ∞*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbutlast-LNil[simp]*:
lbutlast [] = []
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbutlast-singl[simp]*:
lbutlast [[s]] = []
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbutlast-lfinite[simp]*:
lfinite sl \implies *lbutlast sl = llist-of (butlast (list-of sl))*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbutlast-Cons*[simp]: $tr \neq [] \implies lbutlast (s \$ tr) = s \$ lbutlast tr$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-of-butlast*: $llist-of (butlast xs) = lbutlast (llist-of xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lprefix-lbutlast*: $lprefix xs ys \implies lprefix (lbutlast xs) (lbutlast ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbutlast-lappend*:
assumes $(ys::'a llist) \neq []$ shows $lbutlast (lappend xs ys) = lappend xs (lbutlast ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbutlast-llist-of*: $lbutlast (llist-of xs) = llist-of (butlast xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *butlast-list-of*: $lfinite xs \implies butlast (list-of xs) = list-of (lbutlast xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *butlast-length-le1*[simp]: $llength xs \leq Suc 0 \implies lbutlast xs = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llength-lbutlast*[simp]: $llength (lbutlast tr) = llength tr - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnth-lbutlast*: $i < llength xs - 1 \implies lnth (lbutlast xs) i = lnth xs i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.8 Consecutive-elements sublists

definition *lsublist* $xs\ ys \equiv \exists us\ vs.\ lfinite\ us \wedge ys = lappend\ us\ (lappend\ xs\ vs)$

lemma *lsublist-refl*: $lsublist xs\ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lsublist-trans*:
assumes *lsublist* $xs\ ys$ and *lsublist* $ys\ zs$ shows *lsublist* $xs\ zs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnth-lconcat-lsublist*:
assumes $xs\::xs = lconcat (lmap\ llist-of\ xss)$ and $i < llength\ xss$
shows *lsublist* $(llist-of (lnth\ xss\ i))\ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnth-lconcat-lsublist2*:
assumes $xs\::xs = lconcat (lmap\ llist-of\ xss)$ and $Suc\ i < llength\ xss$

```

shows lsublist (llist-of (append (lnth xss i) (lnth xss (Suc i)))) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-lconcat-lconcat-lsublist:
assumes xs: xs = lappend (lconcat (lmap llist-of xss)) ys and i < llength xss
shows lsublist (llist-of (lnth xss i)) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-lconcat-lconcat-lsublist2:
assumes xs: xs = lappend (lconcat (lmap llist-of xss)) ys and Suc i < llength xss
shows lsublist (llist-of (append (lnth xss i) (lnth xss (Suc i)))) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma su-lset-lconcat-llist-of:
assumes xs ∈ lset xss
shows set xs ⊆ lset (lconcat (lmap llist-of xss))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsublist-lnth-lconcat: i < llength tr1s  $\implies$  lsublist (llist-of (lnth tr1s i))
(lconcat (lmap llist-of tr1s))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsublist-lset:
lsublist xs ys  $\implies$  lset xs ⊆ lset ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsublist-LNil:
lsublist xs ys  $\implies$  ys = LNil  $\implies$  xs = LNil
⟨proof⟩

```

2.9 Take-until and drop-until

```

definition ltakeUntil :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
ltakeUntil pred xs  $\equiv$ 
list-of (lappend (ltakeWhile ( $\lambda x. \neg$  pred x) xs) [[lhd (ldropWhile ( $\lambda x. \neg$  pred x) xs)]])

```

```

definition ldropUntil :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist where
ldropUntil pred xs  $\equiv$  ltl (ldropWhile ( $\lambda x. \neg$  pred x) xs)

```

```

lemma lappend-ltakeUntil-ldropUntil:
 $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x \implies lappend (\text{llist-of} (\text{ltakeUntil} \text{ pred } xs)) (\text{ldropUntil} \text{ pred } xs)$ 
 $= xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ltakeUntil-not-Nil:
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$ 

```

```

shows ltakeUntil pred xs ≠ []
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-ex-butlast:
assumes ∃ x∈lset xs. pred x y ∈ set (butlast (ltakeUntil pred xs))
shows ¬ pred y
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-never-butlast:
assumes ∃ x∈lset xs. pred x
shows never pred (butlast (ltakeUntil pred xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-last:
assumes ∃ x∈lset xs. pred x
shows pred (last (ltakeUntil pred xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-last-butlast:
assumes ∃ x∈lset xs. pred x
shows ltakeUntil pred xs = append (butlast (ltakeUntil pred xs)) [last (ltakeUntil pred xs)]
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-LCons1[simp]: ∃ x∈lset xs. pred x ==> ¬ pred x ==> ltakeUntil
pred (LCons x xs) = x # ltakeUntil pred xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropUntil-LCons1[simp]: ∃ x∈lset xs. pred x ==> ¬ pred x ==>
ldropUntil pred (LCons x xs) = ldropUntil pred xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-LCons2[simp]: ∃ x∈lset xs. pred x ==> pred x ==> ltakeUntil pred
(LCons x xs) = [x]
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropUntil-LCons2[simp]: ∃ x∈lset xs. pred x ==> pred x ==> ldropUntil
pred (LCons x xs) = xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-tl1[simp]:
∃ x∈lset xs. pred x ==> ¬ pred (lhd xs) ==> ltakeUntil pred (tl (ltakeUntil pred xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropUntil-tl1[simp]:
∃ x∈lset xs. pred x ==> ¬ pred (lhd xs) ==> ldropUntil pred (tl (ltakeUntil pred xs)) = ldropUntil
pred xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ltakeUntil-tl2[simp]:
 $xs \neq [] \implies \text{pred}(\text{lhd } xs) \implies \text{tl}(\text{ltakeUntil } \text{pred } xs) = []$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropUntil-tl2[simp]:
 $xs \neq [] \implies \text{pred}(\text{lhd } xs) \implies \text{ldropUntil } \text{pred } xs = \text{ltl } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma LCons-lfilter-ldropUntil:  $y \$ ys = \text{lfilter } \text{pred } xs \implies ys = \text{lfilter } \text{pred } (\text{ldropUntil } \text{pred } xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-ltakeUntil-ge-0:
assumes  $\exists x \in \text{lset } xs. \text{pred } x$ 
shows  $\text{length}(\text{ltakeUntil } \text{pred } xs) > 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-ltakeUntil-eq-1:
assumes  $\exists x \in \text{lset } xs. \text{pred } x$ 
shows  $\text{length}(\text{ltakeUntil } \text{pred } xs) = \text{Suc } 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{pred}(\text{lhd } xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-ltakeUntil-Suc:
assumes  $\exists x \in \text{lset } xs. \text{pred } x \rightarrow \text{pred}(\text{lhd } xs)$ 
shows  $\text{length}(\text{ltakeUntil } \text{pred } xs) = \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{ltakeUntil } \text{pred } (\text{ltl } xs)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.10 Splitting a lazy list according to the points where a predicate is satisfied

```

primcorec lsplit :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ 'a list llist where
  lsplit pred xs =
    (if ( $\exists x \in \text{lset } xs. \text{pred } x$ )
     then LCons (ltakeUntil pred xs) (lsplit pred (ldropUntil pred xs))
     else [])

```

declare lsplit.ctr[simp]

```

lemma infinite-split[simp]:
 $\text{infinite } \{x \in \text{lset } xs. \text{pred } x\} \implies \text{lsplit } \text{pred } xs = \text{LCons}(\text{ltakeUntil } \text{pred } xs)(\text{lsplit } \text{pred } (\text{ldropUntil } \text{pred } xs))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lconcat-lsplit-not-lfinite:
 $\neg \text{lfinite } (\text{lfilter } \text{pred } xs) \implies xs = \text{lconcat } (\text{lmap } \text{llist-of } (\text{lsplit } \text{pred } xs))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma lfinite-lsplit:

```

assumes lfinite (lfilter pred xs)
shows lfinite (lsplit pred xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lconcat-lsplit-lfinite:
assumes lfinite (lfilter pred xs)
shows ∃ ys. xs = lappend (lconcat (lmap llist-of (lsplit pred xs))) ys ∧ (∀ y∈lset ys. ¬ pred y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lconcat-lsplit:
∃ ys. xs = lappend (lconcat (lmap llist-of (lsplit pred xs))) ys ∧ (∀ y∈lset ys. ¬ pred y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsublist-lsplit:
assumes i < llength (lsplit pred xs)
shows lsublist (llist-of (lnth (lsplit pred xs) i)) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsublist-lsplit2:
assumes Suc i < llength (lsplit pred xs)
shows lsublist (llist-of (append (lnth (lsplit pred xs) i) (lnth (lsplit pred xs) (Suc i)))) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsplit-main:
llist-all (λzs. zs ≠ [] ∧ list-all (λz. ¬ pred z) (butlast zs) ∧ pred (last zs))
(lsplit pred xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsplit-main-lset:
assumes ys ∈ lset (lsplit pred xs)
shows ys ≠ [] ∧
list-all (λz. ¬ pred z) (butlast ys) ∧
pred (last ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsplit-main-lnth:
assumes i < llength (lsplit pred xs)
shows lnth (lsplit pred xs) i ≠ [] ∧
list-all (λz. ¬ pred z) (butlast (lnth (lsplit pred xs) i)) ∧
pred (last (lnth (lsplit pred xs) i))
⟨proof⟩

lemma hd-lhd-lsplit: ∃ x∈lset xs. pred x ⇒ hd (lhd (lsplit pred xs)) = lhd xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lprefix-lsplit:

```

```

assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$ 
shows  $lprefix(llist-of(lhd(lsplit \text{pred } xs))) xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lprefix-lsplit-lbutlast:
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$ 
shows  $lprefix(llist-of(\text{butlast}(lhd(lsplit \text{pred } xs)))) (\text{butlast } xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-lset-lsplit:
assumes  $ys \in lset(lsplit \text{pred } xs)$ 
shows  $\text{set } ys \subseteq lset xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-lnth-lsplit:
assumes  $i < llengt(h(lsplit \text{pred } xs))$ 
shows  $\text{set } (\text{lnth}(lsplit \text{pred } xs) i) \subseteq lset xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.11 The split remainder

definition *lsplitRemainder* $\text{pred } xs \equiv \text{SOME } ys. xs = lappend(lconcat(lmap llist-of(lsplit \text{pred } xs))) ys \wedge (\forall y \in lset ys. \neg \text{pred } y)$

```

lemma lsplitRemainder:
 $xs = lappend(lconcat(lmap llist-of(lsplit \text{pred } xs))) (lsplitRemainder \text{pred } xs) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall y \in lset(lsplitRemainder \text{pred } xs). \neg \text{pred } y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemmas *lsplit-lsplitRemainder* = *lsplitRemainder*[THEN conjunct1]
lemmas *lset-lsplitRemainder* = *lsplitRemainder*[THEN conjunct2, rule-format]

2.12 The first index for which a predicate holds (if any)

definition *firstHolds* **where**
 $\text{firstHolds } \text{pred } xs \equiv \text{length}(ltakeUntil \text{pred } xs) - 1$

```

lemma firstHolds-eq-0:
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$ 
shows  $\text{firstHolds } \text{pred } xs = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{pred } (lhd xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma firstHolds-eq-0':
assumes  $\neg lnever \text{pred } xs$ 
shows  $\text{firstHolds } \text{pred } xs = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{pred } (lhd xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma firstHolds-Suc:
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x \text{ and } \neg \text{pred } (lhd xs)$ 
shows  $\text{firstHolds } \text{pred } xs = Suc(\text{firstHolds } \text{pred } (lhd xs))$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma firstHolds-Suc':
assumes ¬ lnever pred xs and ¬ pred (lhd xs)
shows firstHolds pred xs = Suc (firstHolds pred (ltl xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma firstHolds-append:
assumes ¬ lnever pred xs and never pred ys
shows firstHolds pred (lappend (llist-of ys) xs) = length ys + firstHolds pred xs
⟨proof⟩
```

2.13 The first index for which the list in a lazy-list of lists is non-empty

```
definition firstNC where
firstNC xss ≡ firstHolds (λxs. xs ≠ []) xss

lemma firstNC-eq-0:
assumes ∃ xs ∈ lset xss. xs ≠ []
shows firstNC xss = 0 ↔ lhd xss ≠ []
⟨proof⟩

lemma firstNC-Suc:
assumes ∃ xs ∈ lset xss. xs ≠ [] and lhd xss = []
shows firstNC xss = Suc (firstNC (ltl xss))
⟨proof⟩

lemma firstNC-LCons-notNil: xs ≠ [] ⇒ firstNC (xs $ xss) = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma firstNC-LCons-Nil:
(∃ ys ∈ lset xss. ys ≠ []) ⇒ xs = [] ⇒ firstNC (xs $ xss) = Suc (firstNC xss)
⟨proof⟩
```

end

3 Filtermap for Lazy Lists

```
theory LazyList-Filtermap
imports LazyList-Operations List-Filtermap
begin
```

This theory defines the filtermap operator for lazy lists, proves its basic properties, and proves a coinductive criterion for the equality of two filtermapped lazy lists.

3.1 Lazy lists filtermap

```

definition lfiltermap ::  

  ('trans ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('trans ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'trans llist ⇒ 'a llist  

where  

  lfiltermap pred func tr ≡ lmap func (lfilter pred tr)

lemmas lfiltermap-lmap-lfilter = lfiltermap-def

lemma lfiltermap-lappend: lfinite tr ⇒ lfiltermap pred func (lappend tr tr1) =  

  lappend (lfiltermap pred func tr) (lfiltermap pred func tr1)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-LNil-never: lfiltermap pred func tr = [] ↔ lnever pred tr  

⟨proof⟩

lemma llength-lfiltermap: llength (lfiltermap pred func tr) ≤ llength tr  

⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-llist-all[simp]: lfinite tr ⇒ lfiltermap pred func tr = lmap func  

tr ↔ llist-all pred tr  

⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-LNil-lnever: [] = lfilter pred xs ⇒ lnever pred xs  

⟨proof⟩

lemma lnever-LNil-lfilter: lnever pred xs ↔ [] = lfilter pred xs  

⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-LNil-lnever': lfilter pred xs = [] ⇒ lnever pred xs  

⟨proof⟩

lemma lnever-LNil-lfilter': lnever pred xs ↔ lfilter pred xs = []  

⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-LCons2-eq:  

  lfiltermap pred func [[x, x']] = lfiltermap pred func [[y, y']]  

  ⇒ lfiltermap pred func (x $ x' $ zs) = lfiltermap pred func (y $ y' $ zs)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-LCons-cong:  

  lfiltermap pred func xs = lfiltermap pred func ys  

  ⇒ lfiltermap pred func (x $ xs) = lfiltermap pred func (x $ ys)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-LCons-eq:  

  lfiltermap pred func xs = lfiltermap pred func ys  

  ⇒ pred x ↔ pred y  

  ⇒ pred x → func x = func y  

  ⇒ lfiltermap pred func (x $ xs) = lfiltermap pred func (y $ ys)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-lfiltermap*:

$lset(lfiltermap pred func xs) \subseteq \{func x \mid x . x \in lset xs \wedge pred x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-lfiltermap-filtermap*:

$lfinite xs \implies lfiltermap pred func xs = llist-of(filtermap pred func (list-of xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfiltermap-llist-of-filtermap*:

$lfiltermap pred func(llist-of xs) = llist-of(filtermap pred func xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filtermap-butlast*: $xs \neq [] \implies$

$\neg pred(last xs) \implies$
 $filtermap pred func xs = filtermap pred func (butlast xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filtermap-butlast'*:

$xs \neq [] \implies pred(last xs) \implies$
 $filtermap pred func xs = filtermap pred func (butlast xs) @ [func(last xs)]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-lfiltermap-butlast*: $xs \neq [] \implies (lfinite xs \implies \neg pred(llast xs)) \implies$

$lfiltermap pred func xs = lfiltermap pred func (lbutlast xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *last-filtermap*: $xs \neq [] \implies pred(last xs) \implies$

$filtermap pred func xs \neq [] \wedge last(filtermap pred func xs) = func(last xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filtermap-ltakeUntil[simp]*:

$\exists x \in lset xs. pred x \implies filtermap pred func (ltakeUntil pred xs) = [func(last(ltakeUntil pred xs))]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *last-ltakeUntil-filtermap[simp]*:

$\exists x \in lset xs. pred x \implies func(last(ltakeUntil pred xs)) = lhd(lfiltermap pred func xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfiltermap-lmap-filtermap-lsplit*:

assumes $lfiltermap pred func xs = lfiltermap pred func ys$
shows $lmap(filtermap pred func)(lsplit pred xs) = lmap(filtermap pred func)(lsplit pred ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lfiltermap-lfinite-lsplit:
assumes lfiltermap pred func xs = lfiltermap pred func ys
shows lfinite (lsplit pred xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lfinite (lsplit pred ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-lsplitRemainder[simp]: lfiltermap pred func (lsplitRemainder pred
xs) = []
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-lconcat-lsplit:
lfiltermap pred func xs =
lfiltermap pred func (lconcat (lmap llist-of (lsplit pred xs)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-lconcat-lfinite': ( $\bigwedge i. i < ll\text{ength } yss \implies l\text{finite} (\ln\text{th } yss i)$ )
 $\implies l\text{filter pred} (l\text{concat } yss) = l\text{concat} (l\text{map} (l\text{filter pred}) yss)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-lconcat-llist-of:
lfilter pred (lconcat (lmap llist-of yss)) = lconcat (lmap (lfilter pred) (lmap llist-of
yss))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-lconcat-lmap-llist-of:
lfiltermap pred func (lconcat (lmap llist-of yss)) =
lconcat (lmap (llist-of o filtermap pred func) yss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-noteq-imp-lsplit:
assumes len: ll\text{ength } (lsplit pred xs) = ll\text{ength } (le\text{plit pred } xs')
and l: lfiltermap pred func xs  $\neq$  lfiltermap pred func xs'
shows  $\exists i. 0 < i < ll\text{ength } (lsplit pred xs).$ 
      filtermap pred func (\ln\text{th } (lsplit pred xs) i)  $\neq$ 
      filtermap pred func (\ln\text{th } (le\text{plit pred } xs') i)
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Coinductive criterion for filtermap equality

We work in a locale that fixes two function-predicate pairs, for performing two instances of filtermap. We will give criteria for when the two filtermap applications to two lazy lists are equal.

```

locale TwoFuncPred =
fixes pred :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool and pred' :: 'a'  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and func :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b and func' :: 'a'  $\Rightarrow$  'b
begin

```

lemma LCons-eq-lmap-lfilter:

```

assumes  $LCons\ b\ bss = lmap\ func\ (lfilter\ pred\ as)$ 
shows  $\exists as1\ a\ ass.$ 
 $as = lappend\ (llist-of\ as1)\ (LCons\ a\ ass) \wedge$ 
 $never\ pred\ as1 \wedge pred\ a \wedge func\ a = b \wedge$ 
 $bss = lmap\ func\ (lfilter\ pred\ ass)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $LCons\text{-}eq\text{-}lmap\text{-}lfilter'$ :
assumes  $LCons\ b\ bss = lmap\ func'\ (lfilter\ pred'\ as)$ 
shows  $\exists as1\ a\ ass.$ 
 $as = lappend\ (llist-of\ as1)\ (LCons\ a\ ass) \wedge$ 
 $never\ pred'\ as1 \wedge pred'\ a \wedge func'\ a = b \wedge$ 
 $bss = lmap\ func'\ (lfilter\ pred'\ ass)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $lmap\text{-}lfilter\text{-}lappend\text{-}lnever$ :
assumes  $P : P\ lxs\ lxs'$ 
and  $lappend$ :
 $\wedge lxs\ lxs'. P\ lxs\ lxs' \implies$ 
 $lmap\ func\ (lfilter\ pred\ lxs) = lmap\ func'\ (lfilter\ pred'\ lxs') \vee$ 
 $(\exists ys\ llxs\ ys'\ llxs'. ys \neq [] \wedge ys' \neq [] \wedge$ 
 $map\ func\ (filter\ pred\ ys) = map\ func'\ (filter\ pred'\ ys') \wedge$ 
 $lxs = lappend\ (llist-of\ ys)\ llxs \wedge lxs' = lappend\ (llist-of\ ys')\ llxs' \wedge$ 
 $P\ llxs\ llxs')$ 
shows  $lnever\ pred\ lxs = lnever\ pred'\ lxs'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $lmap\text{-}lfilter\text{-}lappend\text{-}makeStronger$ :
assumes  $lappend$ :
 $\wedge lxs\ lxs'. P\ lxs\ lxs' \implies$ 
 $lmap\ func\ (lfilter\ pred\ lxs) = lmap\ func'\ (lfilter\ pred'\ lxs') \vee$ 
 $(\exists ys\ llxs\ ys'\ llxs'. ys \neq [] \wedge ys' \neq [] \wedge$ 
 $map\ func\ (filter\ pred\ ys) = map\ func'\ (filter\ pred'\ ys') \wedge$ 
 $lxs = lappend\ (llist-of\ ys)\ llxs \wedge lxs' = lappend\ (llist-of\ ys')\ llxs' \wedge$ 
 $P\ llxs\ llxs')$ 
and  $P : P\ lxs\ lxs'$ 
shows  $lmap\ func\ (lfilter\ pred\ lxs) = lmap\ func'\ (lfilter\ pred'\ lxs') \vee$ 
 $(\exists ys\ llxs\ ys'\ llxs'. map\ func\ (filter\ pred\ ys) \neq [] \wedge$ 
 $map\ func\ (filter\ pred\ ys) = map\ func'\ (filter\ pred'\ ys') \wedge$ 
 $lxs = lappend\ (llist-of\ ys)\ llxs \wedge lxs' = lappend\ (llist-of\ ys')\ llxs' \wedge$ 
 $P\ llxs\ llxs')$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

proposition *lmap-lfilter-lappend-coind*:
assumes $P: P \text{ lxs lxs}'$
and *lappend*:
 $\wedge \text{lxs lxs}'. P \text{ lxs lxs}' \implies$
 $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}') \vee$
 $(\exists ys \text{ llxs ys}' \text{ llxs}'.$
 $ys \neq [] \wedge ys' \neq [] \wedge$
 $\text{map func} (\text{filter pred ys}) = \text{map func}' (\text{filter pred}' ys') \wedge$
 $\text{lxs} = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}) \text{ llxs} \wedge \text{lxs}' = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}') \text{ llxs}' \wedge$
 $P \text{ llxs llxs}')$
shows $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

proposition *lmap-lfilter-lappend-coind-wf*:
assumes $W: wf W$ **and** $P: P w \text{ lxs lxs}'$
and *lappend*:
 $\wedge w \text{ lxs lxs}'. P w \text{ lxs lxs}' \implies$
 $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}') \vee$
 $(\exists v ys \text{ llxs ys}' \text{ llxs}'.$
 $(ys \neq [] \wedge ys' \neq [] \vee (v, w) \in W) \wedge$
 $\text{map func} (\text{filter pred ys}) = \text{map func}' (\text{filter pred}' ys') \wedge$
 $\text{lxs} = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}) \text{ llxs} \wedge \text{lxs}' = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}') \text{ llxs}' \wedge$
 $P v \text{ llxs llxs}')$
shows $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

proposition *lmap-lfilter-lappend-coind-wf2*:
assumes $W1: wf (W1::'a1 rel)$ **and** $W2: wf (W2::'a2 rel)$
and $P: P w1 w2 \text{ lxs lxs}'$
and *lappend*:
 $\wedge w1 w2 \text{ lxs lxs}'. P w1 w2 \text{ lxs lxs}' \implies$
 $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}') \vee$
 $(\exists v1 v2 ys \text{ llxs ys}' \text{ llxs}'.$
 $((v1, w1) \in W1 \vee ys \neq []) \wedge ((v2, w2) \in W2 \vee ys' \neq []) \wedge$
 $\text{map func} (\text{filter pred ys}) = \text{map func}' (\text{filter pred}' ys') \wedge$
 $\text{lxs} = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}) \text{ llxs} \wedge \text{lxs}' = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}') \text{ llxs}' \wedge$
 $P v1 v2 \text{ llxs llxs}')$
shows $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.3 A concrete instantiation of the criterion

```

coinductive sameFM :: enat  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a' llist  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  LNil:
    sameFM wL wR [] []
  |
  Singl:
    ( $\text{pred } a \longleftrightarrow \text{pred}' a'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\text{pred } a \longrightarrow \text{func } a = \text{func}' a'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  sameFM wL wR [[a]]
    [[a']]
  |
  lappend:
    ( $xs \neq [] \vee vL < wL$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $xs' \neq [] \vee vR < wR$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
     $\text{map func}(\text{filter pred } xs) = \text{map func}'(\text{filter pred}' xs')$   $\Rightarrow$ 
    sameFM vL vR as as'
     $\Rightarrow$  sameFM wL wR (lappend (llist-of xs) as) (lappend (llist-of xs') as')
  |
  lmap-lfilter:
    lmap func (lfilter pred as) = lmap func' (lfilter pred' as')  $\Rightarrow$ 
    sameFM wL wR as as'

proposition sameFM-lmap-lfilter:
assumes sameFM wL wR as as'
shows lmap func (lfilter pred as) = lmap func' (lfilter pred' as')
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```