

Formalizing MLTL in Isabelle/HOL

Katherine Kosaian Zili Wang Elizabeth Sloan

February 6, 2026

Abstract

We build on the Isabelle/HOL formalization of Mission-time Linear Temporal Logic (MLTL) to formalize a formula progression algorithm for MLTL formulas [1], a key algorithm in the FPROGG tool [2] for generating MLTL benchmarks. The formula progression algorithm takes a MLTL formula and steps through a given trace to partially evaluate a logically equivalent simpler formula at each step, ultimately checking whether or not the trace satisfies the original formula. Our formalization is executable and we export it to code in SML.

Contents

1	MLTL formula progression	1
1.1	Algorithm	2
1.2	Proofs	3
1.2.1	Empty Trace Semantics of MLTL	3
1.2.2	Well-definedness Properties	3
1.2.3	Theorem 1	3
1.2.4	Theorem 2	4
1.2.5	Theorem 3	5
1.3	Formula Progression Examples	7
1.4	Code Export	8

1 MLTL formula progression

theory *MLTL-Formula-Progression*

imports *Mission-Time-LTL.MLTL-Properties*

begin

1.1 Algorithm

fun *weight-operators*:: 'a mltl \Rightarrow nat **where**
weight-operators True_m = 1
| *weight-operators* False_m = 1
| *weight-operators* (Prop_m (p)) = 1
| *weight-operators* (F1 And_m F2) = *weight-operators* F1 + *weight-operators* F2
+ 1
| *weight-operators* (F1 Or_m F2) = *weight-operators* F1 + *weight-operators* F2
+ 1
| *weight-operators* (Not_m F) = 1 + *weight-operators* F
| *weight-operators* (F1 U_m [a,b] F2) = *weight-operators* F1 + *weight-operators*
F2 + 1 + a + b
| *weight-operators* (F1 R_m [a,b] F2) = 10 + *weight-operators* F1 + *weight-operators*
F2 + 1 + a + b
| *weight-operators* (G_m [a,b] F) = 10 + *weight-operators* F + a + b
| *weight-operators* (F_m [a,b] F) = 1 + *weight-operators* F + a + b

function *formula-progression-len1*:: 'a mltl \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a mltl **where**
formula-progression-len1 True_m tr-entry = True_m
| *formula-progression-len1* False_m tr-entry = False_m
| *formula-progression-len1* (Prop_m (p)) tr-entry = (if p \in tr-entry then True_m
else False_m)
| *formula-progression-len1* (Not_m F) tr-entry = Not_m (*formula-progression-len1*
F tr-entry)
| *formula-progression-len1* (F1 And_m F2) tr-entry = (*formula-progression-len1*
F1 tr-entry) And_m (*formula-progression-len1* F2 tr-entry)
| *formula-progression-len1* (F1 Or_m F2) tr-entry = (*formula-progression-len1* F1
tr-entry) Or_m (*formula-progression-len1* F2 tr-entry)
| *formula-progression-len1* (F1 U_m [a,b] F2) tr-entry =
(if (0 < a \wedge a \leq b) then (F1 U_m [(a-1), (b-1)] F2)
else (if (0 = a \wedge a < b) then ((*formula-progression-len1* F2 tr-entry) Or_m
((*formula-progression-len1* F1 tr-entry) And_m (F1 U_m [0, (b-1)] F2)))
else (*formula-progression-len1* F2 tr-entry)))
| *formula-progression-len1* (F1 R_m [a,b] F2) tr-entry = Not_m (*formula-progression-len1*
((Not_m F1) U_m [a,b] (Not_m F2)) tr-entry)
| *formula-progression-len1* (G_m [a,b] F) tr-entry = Not_m (*formula-progression-len1*
(F_m [a,b] (Not_m F)) tr-entry)
| *formula-progression-len1* (F_m [a,b] F) tr-entry =
(if 0 < a \wedge a \leq b then (F_m [(a-1), (b-1)] F)
else if (0 = a \wedge a < b) then ((*formula-progression-len1* F tr-entry) Or_m (F_m
[0, (b-1)] F))
else (*formula-progression-len1* F tr-entry))
⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩

Note that formula progression needs to be defined when the length of the trace is 0. In this case, we define it to just return the original formula.

fun *formula-progression*:: 'a mltl \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'a mltl
where *formula-progression* F tr =

(if length tr = 0 then F
 else (if length tr = 1 then (formula-progression-len1 F (tr!0))
 else (formula-progression (formula-progression-len1 F (tr ! 0)) (drop 1 tr))))

value take 2 ([0::nat, 1, 2, 3]::nat list)
value drop 2 ([0::nat, 1, 2, 3]::nat list)

lemma formula-progression-alt:
 formula-progression F xs = fold ($\lambda x F.$ formula-progression-len1 F x) xs F
 <proof>

1.2 Proofs

1.2.1 Empty Trace Semantics of MTLT

lemma semantics-global:
shows $\square \models_m (G_m [0,1] \varphi)$
 <proof>

lemma semantics-future:
shows $\square \models_m (Not_m (F_m [0,1] (Not_m \varphi)))$
 <proof>

1.2.2 Well-definedness Properties

lemma formula-progression-well-definedness-preserved-len1:
assumes intervals-welldef φ
shows intervals-welldef (formula-progression-len1 $\varphi \pi$)
 <proof>

lemma formula-progression-well-definedness-preserved:
assumes intervals-welldef φ
shows intervals-welldef (formula-progression $\varphi \pi$)
 <proof>

1.2.3 Theorem 1

Helper lemma for Theorem 1

lemma formula-progression-identity:
fixes $\varphi::'a$ mttl
fixes $k::nat$
assumes $k < length \pi$
shows formula-progression (formula-progression φ (take k π)) [$\pi ! k$]
 = formula-progression φ (take (k+1) π)
 <proof>

Theorem 1

theorem formula-progression-decomposition:
fixes $\varphi::'a$ mttl

assumes $k \geq 1$
assumes $k \leq \text{length } \pi$
shows *formula-progression* (*formula-progression* φ (*take* k π)) (*drop* k π)
 $=$ *formula-progression* φ π
 \langle *proof* \rangle

1.2.4 Theorem 2

Base case for Theorem 2

lemma *satisfiability-preservation-len1*:
fixes $\varphi::'a$ *mttl*
assumes $1 < \text{length } \pi$
assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
shows *semantics-mttl* (*drop* 1 π) (*formula-progression-len1* φ ($\pi ! 0$))
 \longleftrightarrow *semantics-mttl* π φ
 \langle *proof* \rangle

Theorem 2

theorem *satisfiability-preservation*:
fixes $\varphi::'a$ *mttl*
assumes $k \geq 1$
assumes $k < \text{length } \pi$
assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
shows *semantics-mttl* (*drop* k π) (*formula-progression* φ (*take* k π))
 \longleftrightarrow *semantics-mttl* π φ
 \langle *proof* \rangle

Counter example to Theorem 2 showing how the theorem can fail if the trace length condition is removed. **lemma** *theorem2-cesa*:

fixes $\varphi::\text{nat}$ *mttl*
assumes $k = 1$
assumes $\pi = [\{1::\text{nat}\}]$
assumes $\varphi = G_m [0,3] (\text{Prop-mttl } (1::\text{nat}))$
assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
shows (*drop* k π) \models_m (*formula-progression* φ (*take* k π)) = *True*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

value (*take* 1 $[\{1::\text{nat}\}]$)
value *formula-progression* ($G_m [0,3] (\text{Prop-mttl } (1::\text{nat}))$) (*take* 1 $[\{1::\text{nat}\}]$)

lemma *theorem2-cesb*:
fixes $\varphi::\text{nat}$ *mttl*
assumes $\pi = [\{1::\text{nat}\}]$
assumes $\varphi = G_m [0,1] (\text{Prop-mttl } (1::\text{nat}))$
assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
shows *semantics-mttl* π $\varphi = \text{False}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

1.2.5 Theorem 3

Setup: Properties of Computation Length lemma *complen-geq-1*:

shows *complen-mltl* $\varphi \geq 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This is a key property that makes the base case of Theorem 3 work: Constraining the computation length of the formula means that the formula progression is either globally true or false. This is a very strong structural property that lets us use the inductive hypotheses in, e.g., the Or case and the Not case of the base case of Theorem 3.

lemma *complen-bounded-by-1*:

assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
assumes $1 \geq \text{complen-mltl } \varphi$
shows $(\forall \xi. \xi \models_m (\text{formula-progression-len1 } \varphi \ \pi)) \vee$
 $(\forall \xi. \neg (\xi \models_m (\text{formula-progression-len1 } \varphi \ \pi)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complen-temporal-props*:

shows $(\text{complen-mltl } (F_m [a,b] \varphi) = 1 \implies (b = 0))$
 $(\text{complen-mltl } (G_m [a,b] \varphi) = 1 \implies (b = 0))$
 $(\text{complen-mltl } (\varphi 1 U_m [a,b] \varphi 2) = 1 \implies (b = 0))$
 $(\text{complen-mltl } (\varphi 1 R_m [a,b] \varphi 2) = 1 \implies (b = 0))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complen-one-implies-one-base*:

assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
assumes *complen-mltl* $\varphi = 1$
shows *complen-mltl* $(\text{formula-progression-len1 } \varphi \ k) = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complen-one-implies-one*:

assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
assumes *complen-mltl* $\varphi = 1$
shows *complen-mltl* $(\text{formula-progression } \varphi \ \pi) = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *formula-progression-decreases-complen-base*:

assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
shows *complen-mltl* $\varphi = 1 \vee \text{complen-mltl } (\text{formula-progression-len1 } \varphi \ k) \leq$
complen-mltl $\varphi - 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Key helper lemma — relates computation length and formula progression. Intuitively, the formula progression usually decreases the computation length.

lemma *formula-progression-decreases-complen*:

assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
shows *complen-mltl* $\varphi = 1 \vee \text{complen-mltl } (\text{formula-progression } \varphi \ \pi) = 1 \vee$

complen-mltl (formula-progression $\varphi \pi$) \leq *complen-mltl* $\varphi - (\text{length } \pi)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Base case lemma *formula-progression-correctness-len1-helper*:

fixes $\varphi::'a \text{ mttl}$
assumes $\text{length } \pi = 1$
assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
assumes $\text{length } \pi \geq \text{complen-mltl } \varphi$
shows (*semantic-equiv* (*formula-progression-len1* $\varphi (\pi ! 0)$) *True-mltl*) \longleftrightarrow *semantics-mltl* $[\pi!0] \varphi$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *formula-progression-correctness-len1*:

fixes $\varphi::'a \text{ mttl}$
assumes $\text{length } \pi = 1$
assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
assumes $\text{length } \pi \geq \text{complen-mltl } \varphi$
shows (*formula-progression* $\varphi \pi \equiv_m \text{True}_m$) $\longleftrightarrow \pi \models_m \varphi$
 ⟨proof⟩

Top-Level Result and Corollary theorem *formula-progression-correctness*:

fixes $\varphi::'a \text{ mttl}$
assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
assumes $\text{length } \pi \geq \text{complen-mltl } \varphi$
shows (*formula-progression* $\varphi \pi \equiv_m \text{True}_m$) $\longleftrightarrow \pi \models_m \varphi$
 ⟨proof⟩

Adds the crucial assumption that the length of the trace is greater than or equal to the computation length of the formula.

corollary *formula-progression-append*:

fixes $\varphi::'a \text{ mttl}$
assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
assumes $\pi \models_m \varphi$
assumes $\text{length } \pi \geq \text{complen-mltl } \varphi$
shows $(\pi @ \zeta) \models_m \varphi$
 ⟨proof⟩

Converse of Corollary and Combined Statement Alternate statement of the formula progression correctness lemma that asserts formula progression on a trace of length one is semantically equivalent to *False* mtl when the formula is not satisfied

lemma *formula-progression-correctness-len1-helper-alt*:

fixes $\varphi::'a \text{ mttl}$
assumes $\text{length } \pi = 1$
assumes *intervals-welldef* φ
assumes $\text{length } \pi \geq \text{complen-mltl } \varphi$
shows (*formula-progression-len1* $\varphi (\pi ! 0)$) $\equiv_m \text{False}_m$ $\longleftrightarrow \neg ([\pi!0] \models_m \varphi)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Alternate statement of the formula-progression-correctness lemma with False in the case that the semantics are not satisfied.

lemma *formula-progression-correctness-len1-alt:*

fixes $\varphi::'a\ mttl$

assumes $length\ \pi = 1$

assumes *intervals-welldef* φ

assumes $length\ \pi \geq complen\text{-}mttl\ \varphi$

shows $((\text{formula-progression}\ \varphi\ \pi) \equiv_m\ \text{False}\text{-}mttl) \longleftrightarrow \neg\ \pi \models_m\ \varphi$

<proof>

theorem *formula-progression-correctness-alt:*

fixes $\varphi::'a\ mttl$

assumes *intervals-welldef* φ

assumes $length\ \pi \geq complen\text{-}mttl\ \varphi$

shows $((\text{formula-progression}\ \varphi\ \pi) \equiv_m\ \text{False}\text{-}mttl) \longleftrightarrow \neg\ (\pi \models_m\ \varphi)$

<proof>

lemma *formula-progression-true-or-false:*

fixes $\varphi::'a\ mttl$

assumes *intervals-welldef* φ

assumes $length\ \pi \geq complen\text{-}mttl\ \varphi$

shows $((\text{formula-progression}\ \varphi\ \pi) \equiv_m\ \text{False}_m) \vee$
 $((\text{formula-progression}\ \varphi\ \pi) \equiv_m\ \text{True}_m)$

<proof>

The inverse statement of formula-progression-append lemma

corollary *formula-progression-append-converse:*

fixes $\varphi::'a\ mttl$

assumes *intervals-welldef* φ

assumes $\neg\ \pi \models_m\ \varphi$

assumes $length\ \pi \geq complen\text{-}mttl\ \varphi$

shows $\neg\ (\pi @ \zeta) \models_m\ \varphi$

<proof>

An important property of complen-mttl that says states in the trace after the computation length does not affect the semantic satisfaction of the formula.

corollary *complen-property:*

fixes $\varphi::'a\ mttl$

assumes *intervals-welldef* φ

assumes $length\ \pi \geq complen\text{-}mttl\ \varphi$

shows $\pi \models_m\ \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\forall\ \zeta. (\pi @ \zeta) \models_m\ \varphi)$

<proof>

1.3 Formula Progression Examples

value *formula-progression*

$((G_m\ [0,2]\ (Prop\text{-}mttl\ 0))::nat\ mttl)$

$[\{0::nat\}, \{0\}, \{1\}]$

```
value  $[\{0::nat\}, \{0\}, \{1\}] ! 0$   
value drop 1 ( $[\{0::nat\}, \{0\}, \{1\}]$ )  
value formula-progression-len1 ( $((G_m [0,2] (Prop-mltl 0))::nat mltl) \{0\}$ )
```

```
value formula-progression  
  (formula-progression-len1  
    ( $(G_m [0,2] (Prop-mltl 0))::nat mltl$ )  
     $\{0\}$   
  )  
 $[\{0\}, \{1\}]$ 
```

```
value formula-progression  
  ( $(G_m [0,1] (Prop-mltl 0))::nat mltl$ )  
 $[\{0\}, \{1\}]$ 
```

```
value formula-progression  
  (formula-progression-len1  
    ( $(Global-mltl 0 1 (Prop-mltl 0))::nat mltl$ )  
     $\{0\}$ )  
 $[\{1\}]$ 
```

```
value formula-progression-len1 ( $(G_m [0,1] (Prop-mltl 0))::nat mltl) \{0\}$ 
```

```
value formula-progression  
  ( $(G_m [0,0] (Prop-mltl 0))::nat mltl$ )  
 $[\{1\}]$ 
```

```
value formula-progression-len1  
  ( $(G_m [0,0] (Prop-mltl 0))::nat mltl$ )  
 $\{1\}$ 
```

1.4 Code Export

```
export-code  
formula-progression  
in SML module-name FP  
  
end
```

References

- [1] J. Li and K. Y. Rozier. MLTL benchmark generation via formula progression. In C. Colombo and M. Leucker, editors, *RV*, volume 11237 of

LNCS, pages 426–433. Springer, 2018.

- [2] A. Rosentrater and K. Y. Rozier. FPROGG: A formula progression-based MLTL benchmark generator. To appear; emailed to authors, 2025.