

A Verified Reduction Algorithm from MLSSmf to MLSS

Yiran Duan, Lukas Stevens

February 6, 2026

Abstract

Multi-level syllogistic with monotone functions (**MLSSmf**) is a sub-language of set theory introduced by [Cantone et al. \[1\]](#), involving set-to-set functions and their monotonicity, additivity, and multiplicativity. It is an extension of *multi-level syllogistic with singleton* (**MLSS**), which involves the predicates membership, set equality, set inclusion, and the operators union, intersection, set difference, and singleton.

In this work we formalize the reduction algorithm from **MLSSmf** to **MLSS**, and verify the correctness proof originally presented by [Cantone et al. \[1\]](#). Combined with the verified decision procedure for **MLSS** formalized by [Stevens \[2\]](#), this yields a verified decision procedure for **MLSSmf**.

```

theory MLSSmf-to-MLSS-Complexity
  imports MLSSmf-to-MLSS
begin

definition sizem :: ('v, 'f) MLSSmf-clause ⇒ nat where
  sizem C ≡ card (set C)

lemma (in normalized-MLSSmf-clause) card-V-upper-bound:
  card V ≤ 3 * sizem C
  unfolding V-def
  using norm-C
proof (induction C)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (2 ls l)
  from ⟨norm-literal l⟩ have card (varsm l) ≤ 3
    by (cases l rule: norm-literal.cases) (auto simp: card-insert-if)
  with 2 show ?case
  proof (cases l ∈ set ls)
    case True
    then have varsm l ⊆ varsm ls by blast
    moreover
    have varsm (l # ls) = varsm l ∪ varsm ls by auto
    ultimately
    have varsm (l # ls) = varsm ls by blast
    then have card (varsm (l # ls)) = card (varsm ls) by argo
    moreover
    from True have sizem (l # ls) = sizem ls
      unfolding sizem-def
      by (simp add: insert-absorb)
    ultimately
    show ?thesis using 2.IH by argo
  next
  case False
  have varsm (l # ls) = varsm l ∪ varsm ls by auto
  then have card (varsm (l # ls)) ≤ card (varsm l) + card (varsm ls)
    by (simp add: card-Un-le)
  with ⟨card (varsm l) ≤ 3⟩ 2.IH
  have card (varsm (l # ls)) ≤ 3 * (Suc (sizem ls))
    by simp
  moreover
  from False have sizem (l # ls) = Suc (sizem ls)
    unfolding sizem-def by simp
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by argo
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in normalized-MLSSmf-clause) card-F-upper-bound:
  card F ≤ 2 * sizem C
  unfolding F-def
  using norm-C
proof (induction C)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (2 ls l)
  from ⟨norm-literal l⟩ have card (funsm l) ≤ 2
    by (cases l rule: norm-literal.cases) (auto simp: card-insert-if)
  with 2 show ?case
  proof (cases l ∈ set ls)
    case True
    then have funsm l ⊆ funsm ls by blast
    moreover
    have funsm (l # ls) = funsm l ∪ funsm ls by auto
    ultimately
    have funsm (l # ls) = funsm ls by blast
    then have card (funsm (l # ls)) = card (funsm ls) by argo
    moreover
    from True have sizem (l # ls) = sizem ls
      unfolding sizem-def
      by (simp add: insert-absorb)
    ultimately
    show ?thesis using 2.IH by argo
  next
  case False
  have funsm (l # ls) = funsm l ∪ funsm ls by auto
  then have card (funsm (l # ls)) ≤ card (funsm l) + card (funsm ls)
    by (simp add: card-Un-le)
  with ⟨card (funsm l) ≤ 2⟩ 2.IH
  have card (funsm (l # ls)) ≤ 2 * (Suc (sizem ls))
    by simp
  moreover
  from False have sizem (l # ls) = Suc (sizem ls)
    unfolding sizem-def by simp
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by argo
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in normalized-MLSSmf-clause) size-restriction-on-InterOfVars:
  card (restriction-on-InterOfVars vs) ≤ 2 * length vs
proof (induction vs rule: restriction-on-InterOfVars.induct)
  case (3 x v vs)
  have length zs > length ys ⇒ InterOfVarsAux zs ∉ ∪ (vars ‘ restriction-on-InterOfVars
ys)
    for y ys zs

```

by (*induction ys rule: restriction-on-InterOfVars.induct*) *auto*
then have $\text{InterOfVarsAux } (x \# v \# vs) \notin \bigcup (\text{vars } \text{'restriction-on-InterOfVars } (v \# vs))$
by force
then have $\text{Var } (\text{InterOfVarsAux } (x \# v \# vs)) =_s \text{Var } (\text{Solo } x) -_s \text{Var } (\text{InterOfVars } (v \# vs)) \notin \text{restriction-on-InterOfVars } (v \# vs)$
 $\text{Var } (\text{InterOfVars } (x \# v \# vs)) =_s \text{Var } (\text{Solo } x) -_s \text{Var } (\text{InterOfVarsAux } (x \# v \# vs)) \notin \text{restriction-on-InterOfVars } (v \# vs)$
by auto
then have $\text{card } (\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars } (x \# v \# vs)) = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{card } (\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars } (v \# vs))))$
using *restriction-on-InterOfVar-finite* **by force**
with *3.IH* **show** *?case* **by simp**
qed simp+

lemma (*in normalized-MLSSmf-clause*) *size-restriction-on-UnionOfVars:*
 $\text{card } (\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars } vs) \leq \text{Suc } (\text{length } vs)$
apply (*induction vs rule: restriction-on-UnionOfVars.induct*)
apply simp
by (*simp add: card-insert-if restriction-on-UnionOfVar-finite*)

theorem (*in normalized-MLSSmf-clause*) *size-introduce-v:*
 $\text{card } \text{introduce-v} \leq (3 * \text{card } V + 2) * (2 \wedge \text{card } V)$

proof –

have $\text{card } (\text{restriction-on-v } \text{' } P^+ V) \leq \text{card } (P^+ V)$
using *P-plus-finite card-image-le* **by blast**
then have *1:* $\text{card } (\text{restriction-on-v } \text{' } P^+ V) \leq \text{card } (\text{Pow } V)$
by simp

have $\text{card } ((\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \alpha) \leq 2 * \text{card } V$ **for** α

proof –

have $\text{length } (\text{var-set-to-list } \alpha) \leq \text{length } V\text{-list}$ **by simp**
then have $\text{length } (\text{var-set-to-list } \alpha) \leq \text{card } V$
unfolding *V-list-def*
by (*metis V-list-def distinct-V-list distinct-card set-V-list*)
with *size-restriction-on-InterOfVars[of var-set-to-list α]*
have $\text{card } (\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars } (\text{var-set-to-list } \alpha)) \leq 2 * \text{card } V$
by linarith
then show *?thesis* **by fastforce**

qed

then have $(\sum \alpha \in P^+ V. \text{card } ((\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \alpha)) \leq 2 * \text{card } V * \text{card } (P^+ V)$

by (*smt (verit) card-eq-sum nat-mult-1-right sum-distrib-left sum-mono*)

moreover

from *card-UN-le[where ?I = $P^+ V$ and ?A = $\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}$]*

have $\text{card } (\bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{' } P^+ V)) \leq (\sum \alpha \in P^+ V. \text{card } ((\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \alpha))$
using *P-plus-finite finite-V* **by blast**

ultimately
have $\text{card} (\bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' } P^+ V)) \leq 2 * \text{card} V * \text{card} (P^+ V)$
by *linarith*
also have $\dots \leq 2 * \text{card} V * \text{card} (Pow V)$ **by** *simp*
finally have $2: \text{card} (\bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' } P^+ V)) \leq 2 * \text{card} V * \text{card} (Pow V)$
by *blast*

have $\text{card} ((\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \alpha) \leq \text{Suc} (\text{card} V)$ **for** α
proof –
have $\text{length} (\text{var-set-to-list } \alpha) \leq \text{length } V\text{-list}$ **by** *simp*
then have $\text{length} (\text{var-set-to-list } \alpha) \leq \text{card } V$
unfolding *V-list-def*
by (*metis V-list-def distinct-V-list distinct-card set-V-list*)
with *size-restriction-on-UnionOfVars[of var-set-to-list α]*
have $\text{card} (\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars } (\text{var-set-to-list } \alpha)) \leq \text{Suc} (\text{card } V)$
by *linarith*
then show *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed
then have $(\sum \alpha \in Pow V. \text{card} ((\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \alpha)) \leq \text{Suc} (\text{card} V) * \text{card} (Pow V)$
by (*smt (verit) card-eq-sum nat-mult-1-right sum-distrib-left sum-mono*)
moreover
from *card-UN-le* [**where** $?I = Pow V$ **and** $?A = \text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}$]
have $\text{card} (\bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' } Pow V)) \leq (\sum \alpha \in Pow V. \text{card} ((\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \alpha))$
using *finite-V* **by** *blast*
ultimately
have $3: \text{card} (\bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' } Pow V)) \leq \text{Suc} (\text{card} V) * \text{card} (Pow V)$
by *linarith*

let $?atoms = \text{restriction-on-v } \text{ ' } P^+ V \cup \bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' } P^+ V) \cup \bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' } Pow V)$
from *restriction-on-InterOfVar-finite restriction-on-UnionOfVar-finite*
have *finite ?atoms* **using** *finite-V* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{card } \text{introduce-v} \leq \text{card } ?atoms$
unfolding *introduce-v-def*
using *card-image-le* **by** *meson*
also have $\dots \leq \text{card} (\text{restriction-on-v } \text{ ' } P^+ V) + \text{card} (\bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' } P^+ V)) + \text{card} (\bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' } Pow V))$
using *finite-V* **by** (*auto intro!: order.trans[OF card-UN-le]*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{card} (Pow V) + \text{card} (\bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-InterOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' } P^+ V)) +$

$\text{card} (\bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' Pow } V))$
using 1 by *linarith*
also have $\dots \leq \text{card} (\text{Pow } V) + 2 * \text{card } V * \text{card} (\text{Pow } V) +$
 $\text{card} (\bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' Pow } V))$
using 2 by *linarith*
also have $\dots \leq \text{card} (\text{Pow } V) + 2 * \text{card } V * \text{card} (\text{Pow } V) + \text{Suc} (\text{card } V) * \text{card} (\text{Pow } V)$
using 3 by *linarith*
also have $\dots = (1 + 2 * \text{card } V + \text{Suc} (\text{card } V)) * \text{card} (\text{Pow } V)$
by *algebra*
also have $\dots = (3 * \text{card } V + 2) * \text{card} (\text{Pow } V)$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = (3 * \text{card } V + 2) * (2 \wedge \text{card } V)$
using *card-Pow finite-V* **by** *fastforce*
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma (**in** *normalized-MLSSmf-clause*) *size-restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions*:
 $\text{card} (\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s) \leq \text{Suc} (\text{length } \alpha s)$
apply (*induction* αs *rule: restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions.induct*)
apply *simp+*
by (*metis add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(2) card.infinite card-insert-if finite-insert le-SucI plus-1-eq-Suc*)

lemma (**in** *normalized-MLSSmf-clause*) *length-all-V-set-lists*:
 $\text{length all-V-set-lists} = 2 \wedge \text{card} (P^+ V)$
unfolding *all-V-set-lists-def*
using *length-subseqs set-V-set-list distinct-V-set-list distinct-card*
by *force*

lemma (**in** *normalized-MLSSmf-clause*) *length-F-list*:
 $\text{length } F\text{-list} = \text{card } F$
unfolding *F-list-def F-def*
by (*auto simp add: length-remdups-card-conv*)

lemma (**in** *normalized-MLSSmf-clause*) *size-introduce-UnionOfVennRegions*:
 $\text{card introduce-UnionOfVennRegions} \leq \text{Suc} (2 \wedge \text{card } V) * 2 \wedge 2 \wedge \text{card } V$
proof –
have *1: (restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions αs) $\leq \text{Suc} (2 \wedge \text{card } V)$
if $\alpha s \in \text{set all-V-set-lists}$ **for** αs
proof –
from that have $\text{length } \alpha s \leq \text{length } V\text{-set-list}$
unfolding *all-V-set-lists-def*
using *length-subseq-le* **by** *blast*
then have $\text{length } \alpha s \leq \text{card} (P^+ V)$
by (*metis distinct-V-set-list distinct-card set-V-set-list*)
then have $\text{length } \alpha s \leq 2 \wedge \text{card } V$
using *card-Pow finite-V* **by** *fastforce*
with *size-restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions[of αs]**

have $\text{card}(\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s) \leq \text{Suc } (2 \wedge \text{card } V)$
by *linarith*
then show *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

from *length-all-V-set-lists* **have** $\text{card}(\text{set all-V-set-lists}) = 2 \wedge \text{card}(P^+ V)$
using *distinct-card distinct-all-V-set-lists* **by** *metis*
also have $\dots \leq 2 \wedge \text{card}(Pow V)$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = 2 \wedge 2 \wedge \text{card } V$
using *finite-V* **by** (*simp add: card-Pow*)
finally have $2: \text{card}(\text{set all-V-set-lists}) \leq 2 \wedge 2 \wedge \text{card } V.$

let $?atoms = \bigcup (\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } \langle \text{set all-V-set-lists} \rangle)$
from *AT-inj* **have** *inj-on AT ?atoms*
using *inj-on-def* **by** *force*
from 1 **have** $(\sum \alpha s \in \text{set all-V-set-lists}. \text{card}(\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s)) \leq$
 $\text{Suc } (2 \wedge \text{card } V) * (\text{card}(\text{set all-V-set-lists}))$
using *Sum-le-times* **where** $?s = \text{set all-V-set-lists}$
and $?f = \lambda \alpha s. \text{card}(\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s)]$
by *blast*
with 2 **have** $(\sum \alpha s \in \text{set all-V-set-lists}. \text{card}(\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s)) \leq$
 $\text{Suc } (2 \wedge \text{card } V) * 2 \wedge 2 \wedge \text{card } V$
by (*meson Suc-mult-le-cancel1 le-trans*)
moreover
from *card-UN-le* **where** $?I = \text{set all-V-set-lists}$ **and** $?A = \text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions}$
have $\text{card } ?atoms \leq (\sum \alpha s \in \text{set all-V-set-lists}. \text{card}(\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s))$
by *blast*
ultimately
have $\text{card } ?atoms \leq \text{Suc } (2 \wedge \text{card } V) * 2 \wedge 2 \wedge \text{card } V$
by *linarith*
moreover
from *introduce-UnionOfVennRegions-normalized*
have *finite introduce-UnionOfVennRegions*
unfolding *normalized-MLSS-clause-def* **by** *blast*
then have *finite ?atoms*
using *finite-image-iff <inj-on AT ?atoms>*
unfolding *introduce-UnionOfVennRegions-def* **by** *blast*
ultimately
show *?thesis*
unfolding *introduce-UnionOfVennRegions-def*
using *card-image* **where** $?f = AT$ **and** $?A = ?atoms$
using *<inj-on AT ?atoms>*
by *presburger*
qed

lemma (in *normalized-MLSSmf-clause*) *length-choices-from-lists*:

$\forall choice \in set (choices-from-lists\ xss). length\ choice = length\ xss$
by *(induction xss) auto*

lemma *(in normalized-MLSSmf-clause) size-introduce-w:*

$\forall clause \in introduce-w. card\ clause \leq 2 \wedge (2 * 2 \wedge card\ V) * card\ F$

proof

let $?xss = map (\lambda(l, m, f). restriction-on-FunOfUnionOfVennRegions\ l\ m\ f)$
(List.product all-V-set-lists (List.product all-V-set-lists F-list))

fix *clause* **assume** *clause* $\in introduce-w$

then obtain *choice* **where** *choice*: $choice \in set (choices-from-lists\ ?xss)$ *clause*
 $= set\ choice$

unfolding *introduce-w-def* **by** *auto*

then have $card\ clause \leq length\ choice$

using *card-length* **by** *blast*

also have $length\ choice = length\ ?xss$

using *choice length-choices-from-lists* **by** *blast*

also have $... = length (List.product\ all-V-set-lists (List.product\ all-V-set-lists\ F-list))$

by *simp*

also have $... = length\ all-V-set-lists * length\ all-V-set-lists * length\ F-list$

using *length-product* **by** *auto*

also have $... = 2 \wedge card (P^+ V) * 2 \wedge card (P^+ V) * card\ F$

using *length-all-V-set-lists length-F-list* **by** *presburger*

also have $... = 2 \wedge (2 * (card (P^+ V))) * card\ F$

by *(simp add: mult-2 power-add)*

also have $... \leq 2 \wedge (2 * (card (Pow\ V))) * card\ F$

by *simp*

also have $... = 2 \wedge (2 * 2 \wedge card\ V) * card\ F$

using *card-Pow* **by** *auto*

finally show $card\ clause \leq 2 \wedge (2 * 2 \wedge card\ V) * card\ F .$

qed

lemma *(in normalized-MLSSmf-clause) card-P-P-V-ge-1:*

$card (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)) \geq 1$

proof –

have $Pow (P^+ V) \neq \{\}$ **by** *blast*

then have $Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V) \neq \{\}$ **by** *blast*

moreover

from *finite-V P-plus-finite* **have** $finite (Pow (P^+ V))$ **by** *blast*

then have $finite (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$ **by** *blast*

ultimately

have $card (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)) > 0$ **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* **by** *linarith*

qed

lemma *(in normalized-MLSSmf-clause) size-reduce-norm-literal:*

assumes *norm-literal lt*

shows $card (reduce-literal\ lt) \leq 2 * card (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$

using *assms*

proof (*cases lt rule: norm-literal.cases*)

case (*inc f*)

let $?l = \lambda(l, m). AT (Var w_{fm} =_s Var w_{fm} \sqcup_s Var w_{fl})$

from *inc* **have** $reduce\text{-}literal\ lt \subseteq ?l \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$

by *force*

then **have** $card (reduce\text{-}literal\ lt) \leq card (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$

by (*meson finite-SigmaI finite-V pow-of-p-Plus-finite surj-card-le*)

also **have** $\dots \leq 2 * card (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$ **by** *linarith*

finally **show** *?thesis* .

next

case (*dec f*)

let $?l = \lambda(l, m). AT (Var w_{fl} =_s Var w_{fl} \sqcup_s Var w_{fm})$

from *dec* **have** $reduce\text{-}literal\ lt \subseteq ?l \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$

by *force*

then **have** $card (reduce\text{-}literal\ lt) \leq card (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$

by (*meson finite-SigmaI finite-V pow-of-p-Plus-finite surj-card-le*)

also **have** $\dots \leq 2 * card (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$ **by** *linarith*

finally **show** *?thesis* .

next

case (*add f*)

let $?l = \lambda(l, m). AT (Var w_{fl} \cup m =_s Var w_{fl} \sqcup_s Var w_{fm})$

from *add* **have** $reduce\text{-}literal\ lt \subseteq ?l \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$

by *force*

then **have** $card (reduce\text{-}literal\ lt) \leq card (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$

by (*meson finite-SigmaI finite-V pow-of-p-Plus-finite surj-card-le*)

also **have** $\dots \leq 2 * card (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$ **by** *linarith*

finally **show** *?thesis* .

next

case (*mul f*)

let $?l1 = \lambda(l, m). AT (Var (InterOfWAux f l m) =_s Var w_{fl} -_s Var w_{fm})$

let $?l2 = \lambda(l, m). AT (Var w_{fl \cap m} =_s Var w_{fl} -_s Var (InterOfWAux f l m))$

from *mul* **have** $reduce\text{-}literal\ lt \subseteq ?l1 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)) \cup ?l2 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$

by *force*

moreover

have $?l1 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)) \cap ?l2 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)) = \{\}$

by *fastforce*

moreover

from *finite-V P-plus-finite* **have** *finite* $(Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$

by *auto*

then **have** *finite* $(?l1 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)))$ *finite* $(?l2 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)))$

by *blast+*

ultimately

have $card (reduce\text{-}literal\ lt) \leq card (?l1 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))) + card (?l2 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)))$

using *card-Un-disjoint* [**where** $?A = ?l1 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$ **and** $?B = ?l2 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$]

using *card-mono*[**where** $?A = \text{reduce-literal } lt$ **and** $?B = ?l1 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)) \cup ?l2 \text{ ' } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$]
by *fastforce*
also have $\dots \leq \text{card } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)) + \text{card } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$
using *card-image-le*[**where** $?A = Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)$]
using $\langle \text{finite } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V)) \rangle$ *add-mono* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = 2 * \text{card } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$ **by** *linarith*
finally show *?thesis* .
next
case (*le f g*)
let $?l = \lambda l. AT (Var w_{gl} =_s Var w_{gl} \sqcup_s Var w_{fl})$
from *le* **have** $\text{reduce-literal } lt \subseteq ?l \text{ ' } Pow (P^+ V)$
by *force*
then have $\text{card } (\text{reduce-literal } lt) \leq \text{card } (Pow (P^+ V))$
by (*simp add: finite-V surj-card-le*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{card } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$
by (*simp add: finite-V surj-card-le*)
also have $\dots \leq 2 * \text{card } (Pow (P^+ V) \times Pow (P^+ V))$
by *linarith*
finally show *?thesis* .
next
case (*eq x y*)
then have $\text{card } (\text{reduce-literal } lt) = 1$ **by** *simp*
with *card-P-P-V-ge-1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *linarith*
next
case (*eq-empty x n*)
then have $\text{card } (\text{reduce-literal } lt) = 1$ **by** *simp*
with *card-P-P-V-ge-1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *linarith*
next
case (*neq x y*)
then have $\text{card } (\text{reduce-literal } lt) = 1$ **by** *simp*
with *card-P-P-V-ge-1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *linarith*
next
case (*union x y z*)
then have $\text{card } (\text{reduce-literal } lt) = 1$ **by** *simp*
with *card-P-P-V-ge-1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *linarith*
next
case (*diff x y z*)
then have $\text{card } (\text{reduce-literal } lt) = 1$ **by** *simp*
with *card-P-P-V-ge-1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *linarith*
next
case (*single x y*)
then have $\text{card } (\text{reduce-literal } lt) = 1$ **by** *simp*
with *card-P-P-V-ge-1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *linarith*
next
case (*app x f y*)
then have $\text{card } (\text{reduce-literal } lt) = 1$ **by** *simp*
with *card-P-P-V-ge-1* **show** *?thesis* **by** *linarith*

qed

lemma (in *normalized-MLSSmf-clause*) *size-reduce-clause*:

$$\text{card reduce-clause} \leq 2^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } (2 * 2^{\wedge} \text{card } V)) * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}$$

proof –

have $\text{card } (P^+ V) \leq 2^{\wedge} \text{card } V$

using *card-Pow[of V] finite-V by simp*

from *card-UN-le*

have $\text{card reduce-clause} \leq (\sum_{lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C}} \text{card } (\text{reduce-literal } lt))$

using *reduce-clause-finite*

unfolding *reduce-clause-def*

by *blast*

also have $\dots \leq 2 * \text{card } (\text{Pow } (P^+ V) \times \text{Pow } (P^+ V)) * \text{card } (\text{set } \mathcal{C})$

using *size-reduce-norm-literal norm-C literal-in-norm-clause-is-norm*

using *Sum-le-times* [where $?s = \text{set } \mathcal{C}$ and $?f = \lambda lt. \text{card } (\text{reduce-literal } lt)$

and $?n = 2 * \text{card } (\text{Pow } (P^+ V) \times \text{Pow } (P^+ V))]$

by *blast*

also have $\dots = 2 * \text{card } (\text{Pow } (P^+ V)) * \text{card } (\text{Pow } (P^+ V)) * \text{card } (\text{set } \mathcal{C})$

using *card-cartesian-product by auto*

also have $\dots = 2 * 2^{\wedge} (\text{card } (P^+ V)) * 2^{\wedge} (\text{card } (P^+ V)) * \text{card } (\text{set } \mathcal{C})$

using *card-Pow[of P+ V] finite-V P-plus-finite by fastforce*

also have $\dots \leq 2 * 2^{\wedge} (2^{\wedge} \text{card } V) * 2^{\wedge} (2^{\wedge} \text{card } V) * \text{card } (\text{set } \mathcal{C})$

using $\langle \text{card } (P^+ V) \leq 2^{\wedge} \text{card } V \rangle$

using *power-increasing-iff* [where $?b = 2$ and $?x = \text{card } (P^+ V)$ and $?y = 2^{\wedge} \text{card } V$]

by (*simp add: mult-le-mono*)

also have $\dots = 2^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } (2 * 2^{\wedge} \text{card } V)) * \text{card } (\text{set } \mathcal{C})$

by (*simp add: power2-eq-square power-even-eq*)

also have $\dots = 2^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } (2 * 2^{\wedge} \text{card } V)) * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}$

unfolding *size_m-def by blast*

finally show *?thesis .*

qed

theorem (in *normalized-MLSSmf-clause*) *size-reduced-dnf*:

$\forall \text{ clause} \in \text{reduced-dnf}. \text{card clause} \leq$

$$2^{\wedge} (2 * 2^{\wedge} (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C})) * (2 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}) +$$

$$(3 * (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}) + 2) * (2^{\wedge} (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C})) +$$

$$\text{Suc } (2^{\wedge} (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C})) * 2^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge} (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}) +$$

$$2^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } (2 * 2^{\wedge} (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}))) * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}$$

proof –

let $?upper\text{-bound} = 2^{\wedge} (2 * 2^{\wedge} (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C})) * (2 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}) +$

$$(3 * (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}) + 2) * (2^{\wedge} (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C})) +$$

$$\text{Suc } (2^{\wedge} (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C})) * 2^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge} (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}) +$$

$$2^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } (2 * 2^{\wedge} (3 * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}))) * \text{size}_m \mathcal{C}$$

{**fix** *clause* **assume** $\text{clause} \in \text{reduced-dnf}$

then obtain *fms* **where** $\text{fms} \in \text{introduce-w}$

and $\text{clause} = \text{fms} \cup \text{introduce-v} \cup \text{introduce-UnionOfVennRegions}$

$\cup \text{reduce-clause}$

unfolding *reduced-dnf-def by blast*

```

then have card clause ≤ card fms + card introduce-v + card introduce-UnionOfVennRegions
+ card reduce-clause
  by (auto intro!: order.trans[OF card-Un-le])
  also have ... ≤ 2 ^ (2 * 2 ^ card V) * card F + card introduce-v + card
introduce-UnionOfVennRegions + card reduce-clause
  using size-introduce-w ⟨fms ∈ introduce-w⟩ by fastforce
  also have ... ≤ 2 ^ (2 * 2 ^ card V) * card F + (3 * card V + 2) * (2 ^ card
V) + card introduce-UnionOfVennRegions + card reduce-clause
  using size-introduce-v by simp
  also have ... ≤ 2 ^ (2 * 2 ^ card V) * card F + (3 * card V + 2) * (2 ^ card
V) + Suc (2 ^ card V) * 2 ^ 2 ^ card V + card reduce-clause
  using size-introduce-UnionOfVennRegions by simp
  also have ... ≤ 2 ^ (2 * 2 ^ card V) * card F + (3 * card V + 2) * (2 ^ card
V) + Suc (2 ^ card V) * 2 ^ 2 ^ card V + 2 ^ (Suc (2 * 2 ^ card V)) * sizem C
  using size-reduce-clause by simp
  also have ... ≤ ?upper-bound
  using card-V-upper-bound card-F-upper-bound
  by (metis Suc-le-mono add-le-mono add-le-mono1 mult-le-mono mult-le-mono1
mult-le-mono2 one-le-numeral power-increasing)
  finally have card clause ≤ ?upper-bound .
}
then show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

end

theory MLSSmf-to-MLSS-Soundness

imports MLSSmf-to-MLSS MLSSmf-Semantics Proper-Venn-Regions MLSSmf-HF-Extras
begin

locale satisfiable-normalized-MLSSmf-clause =
 normalized-MLSSmf-clause C **for** C :: ('v, 'f) MLSSmf-clause +
fixes M_v :: 'v ⇒ hf
and M_f :: 'f ⇒ hf ⇒ hf
assumes model-for-C: I_{cl} M_v M_f C
begin

interpretation proper-Venn-regions V M_v
using finite-V **by** unfold-locales

function M :: ('v, 'f) Composite ⇒ hf **where**
 M (Solo x) = M_v x
 | M (v_α) = proper-Venn-region α
 | M (UnionOfVennRegions xss) = ⋃ HF ((M ◦ VennRegion) ‘ set xss)
 | M (w_f) = (M_f f) (M (UnionOfVennRegions (var-set-set-to-var-set-list l)))
 | M (UnionOfVars xs) = ⋃ HF (M_v ‘ set xs)
 | M (InterOfVars xs) = ⋂ HF (M_v ‘ set xs)
 | M (MemAux x) = HF {M_v x}
 | M (InterOfWAux f l m) = M w_f - M w_{fm}
 | M (InterOfVarsAux xs) = M_v (hd xs) - M (InterOfVars (tl xs))

```

  by pat-completeness auto
termination
  apply (relation measure ( $\lambda$ comp. case comp of
    InterOfVarsAux -  $\Rightarrow$  Suc 0
    | UnionOfVennRegions -  $\Rightarrow$  Suc 0
    | w..  $\Rightarrow$  Suc (Suc 0)
    | InterOfWAux - - -  $\Rightarrow$  Suc (Suc (Suc 0))
    | -  $\Rightarrow$  0))
    apply auto
  done

lemma soundness-restriction-on-InterOfVars:
  assumes set xs  $\in$  P+ V
  shows  $\forall a \in$  restriction-on-InterOfVars xs.  $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} a$ 
proof (induction xs rule: restriction-on-InterOfVars.induct)
  case (2 x)
  {fix a assume a  $\in$  restriction-on-InterOfVars [x]
   then have a = Var (InterOfVars [x]) =s Var (Solo x) by simp
   then have  $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} a$  by (simp add: HInter-singleton)
  }
  then show ?case by blast
next
  case (3 y x xs)
  {fix a assume a  $\in$  restriction-on-InterOfVars (y # x # xs) - restriction-on-InterOfVars
  (x # xs)
   then consider a = Var (InterOfVarsAux (y # x # xs)) =s Var (Solo y) -s
  Var (InterOfVars (x # xs))
   | a = Var (InterOfVars (y # x # xs)) =s Var (Solo y) -s Var
  (InterOfVarsAux (y # x # xs))
   by fastforce
   then have  $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} a$ 
   proof (cases)
   case 1
   then show ?thesis by simp
   next
   case 2
   have  $\sqcap HF$  (insert (Mv y) (insert (Mv x) (Mv ' set xs))) =
      $\sqcap$  (HF ((insert (Mv x) (Mv ' set xs)))  $\triangleleft$  Mv y)
   using HF-insert-hinsert by auto
   also have ... = Mv y  $\sqcap$   $\sqcap HF$  (insert (Mv x) (Mv ' set xs))
   by (simp add: HF-nonempty)
   also have ... = Mv y - (Mv y -  $\sqcap HF$  (insert (Mv x) (Mv ' set xs)))
   by blast
   finally show ?thesis using 2 by simp
   qed
  }
  with 3.IH show ?case by blast
qed simp

```

lemma *soundness-restriction-on-UnionOfVars*:
assumes $set\ xs \in Pow\ V$
shows $\forall a \in restriction-on-UnionOfVars\ xs. I_{sa}\ \mathcal{M}\ a$
proof (*induction xs rule: restriction-on-UnionOfVars.induct*)
case 1
then show *?case by auto*
next
case (2 $x\ xs$)
{fix a assume $a \in restriction-on-UnionOfVars\ (x\ \#)\ xs - restriction-on-UnionOfVars\ xs$
then have $a = Var\ (UnionOfVars\ (x\ \#)\ xs) =_s Var\ (Solo\ x) \sqcup_s Var\ (UnionOfVars\ xs)$
by *fastforce*
have $\sqcup HF\ (insert\ (M_v\ x)\ (M_v\ 'set\ xs)) = \sqcup (HF\ (M_v\ 'set\ xs) \triangleleft M_v\ x)$
by (*simp add: HF-insert-hinsert*)
also have $\dots = M_v\ x \sqcup \sqcup HF\ (M_v\ 'set\ xs)$ **by** *auto*
finally have $I_{sa}\ \mathcal{M}\ a$
using a **by** *simp*
}
with 2.*IH* **show** *?case by blast*
qed

lemma *soundness-introduce-v*:
 $\forall fml \in introduce-v. interp\ I_{sa}\ \mathcal{M}\ fml$
proof –
{fix $\alpha \in P^+\ V$
have $\mathcal{M}\ v_\alpha = \sqcap HF\ (M_v\ ' \alpha) - \sqcup HF\ (M_v\ ' (V - \alpha))$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = \sqcap HF\ ((\mathcal{M} \circ Solo)\ ' \alpha) - \sqcup HF\ ((\mathcal{M} \circ Solo)\ ' (V - \alpha))$
by *simp*
finally have $I_{sa}\ \mathcal{M}\ (restriction-on-v\ \alpha)$
apply (*simp add: set-V-list*)
using $\langle \alpha \in P^+\ V \rangle$
by (*metis Int-def inf.absorb2 mem-P-plus-subset set-diff-eq*)
}
then have $\forall \alpha \in P^+\ V. interp\ I_{sa}\ \mathcal{M}\ (AT\ (restriction-on-v\ \alpha))$
by *simp*
moreover
from *soundness-restriction-on-InterOfVars*
have $\forall a \in (restriction-on-InterOfVars \circ var-set-to-list)\ \alpha. I_{sa}\ \mathcal{M}\ a$ **if** $\alpha \in P^+\ V$ **for** α
by (*metis comp-apply mem-P-plus-subset set-var-set-to-list that*)
then have $\forall lt \in AT\ ' \bigcup ((restriction-on-InterOfVars \circ var-set-to-list)\ ' P^+\ V).$
 $interp\ I_{sa}\ \mathcal{M}\ lt$
by *fastforce*
moreover
from *soundness-restriction-on-UnionOfVars*
have $\forall a \in (restriction-on-UnionOfVars \circ var-set-to-list)\ \alpha. I_{sa}\ \mathcal{M}\ a$ **if** $\alpha \in Pow\ V$ **for** α

by (metis Pow-iff comp-apply set-var-set-to-list that)
 then have $\forall lt \in AT \text{ ' } \bigcup ((\text{restriction-on-UnionOfVars} \circ \text{var-set-to-list}) \text{ ' } Pow$
 $V)$. interp $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} lt$
 by fastforce
 ultimately
 show ?thesis
 unfolding introduce-v-def by blast
 qed

lemma soundness-restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions:
 assumes set $\alpha s \in Pow (Pow V)$
 shows $\forall a \in \text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s$. $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} a$
proof (induction αs rule: restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (2 $\alpha \alpha s$)
 {fix a assume $a \in \text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } (\alpha \# \alpha s)$ – restric-
 tion-on-UnionOfVennRegions αs
 then have $a: a = \text{Var } (\text{UnionOfVennRegions } (\alpha \# \alpha s)) =_s \text{Var } v_\alpha \sqcup_s \text{Var}$
 $(\text{UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s)$
 by fastforce
 have $\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) \text{ ' } \text{set } (\alpha \# \alpha s)) = \bigsqcup HF (\text{insert } (\mathcal{M} v_\alpha) ((\mathcal{M}$
 $\circ \text{VennRegion}) \text{ ' } \text{set } \alpha s))$
 by simp
 also have $\dots = \bigsqcup (HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) \text{ ' } \text{set } \alpha s) \triangleleft \mathcal{M} v_\alpha)$
 by (simp add: HF-insert-hinsert)
 also have $\dots = \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcup \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) \text{ ' } \text{set } \alpha s)$
 by blast
 finally have $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} a$ using a by simp
 }
 with 2.IH show ?case by blast
 qed

lemma soundness-introduce-UnionOfVennRegions:
 $\forall lt \in \text{introduce-UnionOfVennRegions}$. interp $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} lt$
proof
 fix lt assume $lt \in \text{introduce-UnionOfVennRegions}$
 then obtain αs where $\alpha s \in \text{set all-V-set-lists } lt \in AT \text{ ' } \text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions}$
 αs
 unfolding introduce-UnionOfVennRegions-def by blast
 with soundness-restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions
 show interp $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} lt$
 using set-all-V-set-lists by fastforce
 qed

lemma soundness-restriction-on-FunOfUnionOfVennRegions:
 assumes $l'-l: l' = \text{var-set-set-to-var-set-list } l$
 and $m'-m: m' = \text{var-set-set-to-var-set-list } m$

shows $\exists lt \in \text{set } (\text{restriction-on-FunOfUnionOfVennRegions } l' m' f). \text{interp } I_{sa} \mathcal{M} lt$
proof (*cases* $\mathcal{M} (\text{UnionOfVennRegions } l') = \mathcal{M} (\text{UnionOfVennRegions } m')$)
 case *True*
 then have $\mathcal{M} w_{fl} = \mathcal{M} w_{fm}$
 using *l'-l m'-m by auto*
 then have $\text{interp } I_{sa} \mathcal{M} (AT (\text{Var } w_{fset} l' =_s \text{Var } w_{fset} m'))$
 using *l'-l m'-m by auto*
 then show *?thesis by simp*
next
 case *False*
 then have $\text{interp } I_{sa} \mathcal{M} (AF (\text{Var } (\text{UnionOfVennRegions } l') =_s \text{Var } (\text{UnionOfVennRegions } m')))$
 by *fastforce*
 then show *?thesis by simp*
qed

lemma *soundness-introduce-w:*

$\exists \text{clause} \in \text{introduce-w}. \forall lt \in \text{clause}. \text{interp } I_{sa} \mathcal{M} lt$

proof –

let $?f = \lambda lts. \text{if } \text{interp } I_{sa} \mathcal{M} (lts ! 0) \text{ then } lts ! 0 \text{ else } lts ! 1$
let $?g = \lambda(l, m, f). \text{restriction-on-FunOfUnionOfVennRegions } l m f$
let $?xs = \text{List.product all-V-set-lists } (List.product \text{all-V-set-lists } F\text{-list})$
have $\forall (l', m', f) \in \text{set } ?xs. ?f (?g (l', m', f)) \in \text{set } (?g (l', m', f))$
 by *fastforce*
with *valid-choice[where ?f = ?f and ?g = ?g and ?xs = ?xs]*
have $\text{map } ?f (\text{map } ?g ?xs) \in \text{set } (\text{choices-from-lists } (\text{map } ?g ?xs))$
 by *fast*
then have $\text{set } (\text{map } ?f (\text{map } ?g ?xs)) \in \text{introduce-w}$
 unfolding *introduce-w-def*
 using *mem-set-map[where ?x = map ?f (map ?g ?xs) and ?f = set]*
 by *blast*
moreover
have $\{x \in \text{set } V\text{-set-list}. x \in \text{set } l'\} = \text{set } l' \text{ if } l' \in \text{set all-V-set-lists for } l'$
 using *that set-V-set-list set-all-V-set-lists by auto*
then have $\text{interp } I_{sa} \mathcal{M} (?f (\text{restriction-on-FunOfUnionOfVennRegions } l' m' f))$
 if $l' \in \text{set all-V-set-lists } m' \in \text{set all-V-set-lists for } l' m' f$
 using *that by auto*
then have $\forall lt \in \text{set } (\text{map } ?f (\text{map } ?g ?xs)). \text{interp } I_{sa} \mathcal{M} lt$
 by *force*
 ultimately
 show *?thesis by blast*
qed

lemma *soundness-reduce-literal:*

assumes $lt \in \text{set } C$

shows $\forall fml \in \text{reduce-literal } lt. \text{interp } I_{sa} \mathcal{M} fml$

proof –

from *norm-C* $\langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle$ **have** *norm-literal* *lt* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
proof (*cases rule: norm-literal.cases*)
 case (*inc f*)
 show *?thesis*
 proof
 fix *fml* **assume** *fml* \in *reduce-literal* *lt*
 then have *fml* \in *reduce-literal* (AT_m (*inc*(*f*)))
 using *inc* **by** *blast*
 then obtain *l m* **where** *lm*: $l \subseteq P^+ \quad V \ m \subseteq P^+ \quad V \ l \subseteq m$
 and *fml*: $fml = AT$ ($Var \ w_{fm} =_s \ Var \ w_{fl} \sqcup_s \ Var \ w_{fl}$)
 by *auto*
 from *model-for-C* $\langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle$ *inc* **have** $I_a \ M_v \ M_f$ (*inc*(*f*)) **by** *fastforce*
 then have $\forall s \ t. \ s \leq t \longrightarrow (M_f \ f) \ s \leq (M_f \ f) \ t$ **by** *simp*
 moreover
 from *lm* **have** $\sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'l) \leq \sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'm)$
 by (*metis HUnion-proper-Venn-region-inter M.simps(2) comp-apply image-cong inf.absorb-iff2*)
 ultimately
 have $M_f \ f (\sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'l)) \leq M_f \ f (\sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'm))$
 by *blast*
 then have $M_f \ f (\sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'm)) =$
 $M_f \ f (\sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'm)) \sqcup M_f \ f (\sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'l))$
 by *blast*
 with *fml lm* **show** *interp* $I_{sa} \ \mathcal{M} \ fml$
 by (*auto simp only: interp.simps I_{sa}.simps I_{st}.simps M.simps set-var-set-set-to-var-set-list*)
 qed
 next
 case (*dec f*)
 show *?thesis*
 proof
 fix *fml* **assume** *fml* \in *reduce-literal* *lt*
 then have *fml* \in *reduce-literal* (AT_m (*dec*(*f*)))
 using *dec* **by** *blast*
 then obtain *l m* **where** *lm*: $l \subseteq P^+ \quad V \ m \subseteq P^+ \quad V \ l \subseteq m$
 and *fml*: $fml = AT$ ($Var \ w_{fl} =_s \ Var \ w_{fl} \sqcup_s \ Var \ w_{fm}$)
 by *auto*
 from *model-for-C* $\langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle$ *dec* **have** $I_a \ M_v \ M_f$ (*dec*(*f*)) **by** *fastforce*
 then have $\forall s \ t. \ s \leq t \longrightarrow (M_f \ f) \ t \leq (M_f \ f) \ s$ **by** *simp*
 moreover
 from *lm* **have** $\sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'l) \leq \sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'm)$
 by (*metis HUnion-proper-Venn-region-inter M.simps(2) comp-apply image-cong inf.absorb-iff2*)
 ultimately
 have $M_f \ f (\sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'm)) \leq M_f \ f (\sqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \ 'l))$

```

VennRegion) ‘ l))
  by blast
  then have  $M_f f (\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) ‘ l)) =$ 
     $M_f f (\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) ‘ l)) \sqcup M_f f (\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ$ 
VennRegion) ‘ m))
  by blast
  with fml lm show interp  $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} fml$ 
  by (auto simp only: interp.simps  $I_{sa}$ .simps  $I_{st}$ .simps  $\mathcal{M}$ .simps set-var-set-set-to-var-set-list)
qed
next
case (add f)
show ?thesis
proof
  fix fml assume fml  $\in$  reduce-literal lt
  then have fml  $\in$  reduce-literal ( $AT_m$  (add(f)))
  using add by blast
  then obtain l m where  $lm: l \subseteq P^+ \vee m \subseteq P^+ \vee$ 
    and fml:  $fml = AT (Var w_{l \cup m} =_s Var w_l \sqcup_s Var w_m)$ 
  by auto
  from model-for- $\mathcal{C}$   $\langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle$  add have  $I_a M_v M_f$  (add(f)) by fastforce
  then have  $\forall s t. (M_f f) (s \sqcup t) = (M_f f) s \sqcup (M_f f) t$  by simp
  moreover
  have  $\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) ‘ (l \cup m)) = \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) ‘ l)$ 
 $\sqcup \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) ‘ m)$ 
  using HUnion-proper-Venn-region-union  $\mathcal{M}$ .simps(2) lm(1) lm(2) by auto
  ultimately
  have  $M_f f (\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) ‘ (l \cup m))) =$ 
     $M_f f (\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) ‘ l)) \sqcup M_f f (\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion})$ 
‘ m))
  by auto
  with fml lm show interp  $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} fml$ 
  using set-var-set-set-to-var-set-list
  apply (simp only: interp.simps  $I_{sa}$ .simps  $I_{st}$ .simps  $\mathcal{M}$ .simps)
  by (metis le-sup-iff)
qed
next
case (mul f)
with model-for- $\mathcal{C}$   $\langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle$  have  $I_a M_v M_f$  (mul(f)) by fastforce
then have f-mul:  $\forall s t. (M_f f) (s \sqcap t) = (M_f f) s \sqcap (M_f f) t$  by simp
have InterOfWAux:  $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} (Var (InterOfWAux f l m) =_s Var w_l -_s Var w_m)$ 
for l m
  by auto
  {fix l m assume  $l \subseteq P^+ \vee m \subseteq P^+ \vee$ 
  then have  $\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) ‘ (l \cap m)) = \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion})$ 
‘ l)  $\sqcap \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) ‘ m)$ 
  using HUnion-proper-Venn-region-inter by force
  then have  $\mathcal{M} (UnionOfVennRegions (var-set-set-to-var-set-list (l \cap m))) =$ 
     $\mathcal{M} (UnionOfVennRegions (var-set-set-to-var-set-list l)) \sqcap$ 
     $\mathcal{M} (UnionOfVennRegions (var-set-set-to-var-set-list m))$ 

```

```

    using set-var-set-set-to-var-set-list ⟨l ⊆ P+ V⟩ ⟨m ⊆ P+ V⟩
    by (metis M.simps(3) inf.absorb-iff2 inf-left-commute)
  with f-mul have M wfl∩m = M wfl ∩ M wfm
    by auto
  moreover
  from InterOfWAux have M (InterOfWAux f l m) = M wfl - M wfm
    by simp
  ultimately
  have M wfl∩m = M wfl - M (InterOfWAux f l m)
    by auto
  then have Isa M (Var wfl∩m =s Var wfl -s Var (InterOfWAux f l m))
    by auto
}
with InterOfWAux show ?thesis
  using mul by auto
next
case (le f g)
show ?thesis
proof
  fix fml assume fml ∈ reduce-literal lt
  then have fml ∈ reduce-literal (ATm (f ≼m g))
    using le by blast
  then obtain l where l: l ⊆ P+ V
    and fml: fml = AT (Var wgl =s Var wgl ⊔s Var wfl)
    by auto
  from model-for-C ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ le have Ia Mv Mf (f ≼m g) by fastforce
  then have ∀ s. (Mf f) s ≤ (Mf g) s by simp
  then have Mf f (⊔ HF ((M ∘ VennRegion) ‘ l)) ≤ Mf g (⊔ HF ((M ∘
VennRegion) ‘ l))
    by auto
  with fml l show interp Isa M fml
    using set-var-set-set-to-var-set-list
    by (auto simp only: interp.simps Isa.simps Ist.simps M.simps)
qed
next
case (eq-empty x n)
with ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ model-for-C have Mv x = 0 by auto
show ?thesis
proof
  fix fml assume fml ∈ reduce-literal lt
  with eq-empty have fml = AT (Var (Solo x) =s ∅ n)
    by simp
  with ⟨Mv x = 0⟩ show interp Isa M fml by auto
qed
next
case (eq x y)
with ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ model-for-C have Mv x = Mv y by auto
show ?thesis
proof

```

```

    fix fml assume fml ∈ reduce-literal lt
    with eq have fml = AT (Var (Solo x) =s Var (Solo y))
      by simp
    with ⟨Mv x = Mv y⟩ show interp Isa M fml by auto
  qed
next
case (neq x y)
with ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ model-for-C have Mv x ≠ Mv y by auto
show ?thesis
proof
  fix fml assume fml ∈ reduce-literal lt
  with neq have fml = AF (Var (Solo x) =s Var (Solo y))
    by simp
  with ⟨Mv x ≠ Mv y⟩ show interp Isa M fml by auto
  qed
next
case (union x y z)
with ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ model-for-C have Mv x = Mv y ⊔ Mv z by fastforce
then have interp Isa M (AT (Var (Solo x) =s Var (Solo y) ⊔s Var (Solo z)))
by simp
with union show ?thesis by auto
next
case (diff x y z)
with ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ model-for-C have Mv x = Mv y − Mv z by fastforce
then have interp Isa M (AT (Var (Solo x) =s Var (Solo y) −s Var (Solo z)))
by simp
with diff show ?thesis by auto
next
case (single x y)
with ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ model-for-C have Mv x = HF {Mv y} by fastforce
then have interp Isa M (AT (Var (Solo x) =s Single (Var (Solo y)))) by
simp
with single show ?thesis by auto
next
case (app x f y)
with ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ model-for-C
have Mv x = (Mf f) (Mv y) by fastforce
moreover
from app ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ have y ∈ V
  unfolding V-def by force
with variable-as-composition-of-proper-Venn-regions
have Mv y = ⊔ HF (proper-Venn-region ‘ L V y)
  by presburger
then have Mv y = ⊔ HF ((M ∘ VennRegion) ‘ L V y)
  by simp
ultimately
have M (Solo x) = M wfL V y
  using M.simps set-var-set-set-to-var-set-list L-subset-P-plus
  by metis

```

with app show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

lemma soundness-reduce-cl:
 $\forall fml \in \text{reduce-clause. interp } I_{sa} \mathcal{M} fml$
 unfolding reduce-clause-def
 using soundness-reduce-literal
 by fastforce

lemma \mathcal{M} -is-model-for-reduced-dnf: is-model-for-reduced-dnf \mathcal{M}
 unfolding is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def
 unfolding reduced-dnf-def
 using soundness-introduce-v soundness-introduce-w soundness-introduce-UnionOfVennRegions
 soundness-reduce-cl
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-iff imageI)

end

lemma MLSSmf-to-MLSS-soundness:
 assumes \mathcal{C} -norm: norm-clause \mathcal{C}
 and \mathcal{C} -has-model: $\exists M_v M_f. I_{cl} M_v M_f \mathcal{C}$
 shows $\exists M. \text{normalized-MLSSmf-clause.is-model-for-reduced-dnf } \mathcal{C} M$
 proof –
 from \mathcal{C} -has-model obtain $M_v M_f$ where $I_{cl} M_v M_f \mathcal{C}$ by blast
 with \mathcal{C} -norm
 interpret satisfiable-normalized-MLSSmf-clause $\mathcal{C} M_v M_f$
 by unfold-locales
 from \mathcal{M} -is-model-for-reduced-dnf show ?thesis by auto
 qed

end

theory Reduced-MLSS-Formula-Singleton-Model-Property
 imports Syntactic-Description Place-Realisation MLSSmf-to-MLSS
 begin

locale satisfiable-normalized-MLSS-clause-with-vars-for-proper-Venn-regions =
 satisfiable-normalized-MLSS-clause $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{A}$ for $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{A} +$

fixes $U :: 'a \text{ set}$

— The collection of variables representing the proper Venn regions of the
 "original" variable set of the MLSSmf clause

assumes U -subset- V : $U \subseteq V$

and no-overlap-within- U : $\llbracket u_1 \in U; u_2 \in U; u_1 \neq u_2 \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{A} u_1 \sqcap \mathcal{A} u_2 = 0$

and U -collect-places-neg: $AF (\text{Var } x =_s \text{Var } y) \in \mathcal{C} \implies$

$\exists L M. L \subseteq U \wedge M \subseteq U \wedge \mathcal{A} x = \bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} ' L) \wedge \mathcal{A} y = \bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} ' M)$

and U -collect-places-single: $AT (\text{Var } x =_s \text{Single } (\text{Var } y)) \in \mathcal{C} \implies$

$\exists L M. L \subseteq U \wedge M \subseteq U \wedge \mathcal{A} x = \bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} ' L) \wedge \mathcal{A} y = \bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} ' M)$

begin

interpretation \mathfrak{B} : *adequate-place-framework* \mathcal{C} *PI* *at_p*
 using *syntactic-description-is-adequate* by *blast*

lemma *fact-1*:

assumes $u_1 \in U$
and $u_2 \in U$
and $u_1 \neq u_2$
and $\pi \in PI$
shows $\neg (\pi u_1 \wedge \pi u_2)$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $\neg \neg (\pi u_1 \wedge \pi u_2)$
then have $\pi u_1 \pi u_2$ by *blast+*
from $\langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ **obtain** σ **where** $\sigma \in \Sigma \pi = \pi_\sigma$ by *auto*
then have $\sigma \neq 0$ by *fastforce*
from $\langle \pi = \pi_\sigma \rangle \langle \pi u_1 \rangle \langle \pi u_2 \rangle$ **have** $\sigma \leq \mathcal{A} u_1 \sigma \leq \mathcal{A} u_2$ by *simp+*
with $\langle \sigma \neq 0 \rangle$ **have** $\mathcal{A} u_1 \sqcap \mathcal{A} u_2 \neq 0$ by *blast*
with *no-overlap-within-U* **show** *False*
using $\langle u_1 \in U \rangle \langle u_2 \in U \rangle \langle u_1 \neq u_2 \rangle$ by *blast*
qed

fun *place-eq* :: $('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
place-eq $\pi_1 \pi_2 \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in V. \pi_1 x = \pi_2 x)$

fun *place-sim* :: $('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ (*infixl* ~ 50) **where**
place-sim $\pi_1 \pi_2 \longleftrightarrow \text{place-eq } \pi_1 \pi_2 \vee (\exists u \in U. \pi_1 u \wedge \pi_2 u)$

abbreviation *rel-place-sim* $\equiv \{(\pi_1, \pi_2) \in PI \times PI. \pi_1 \sim \pi_2\}$

lemma *place-sim-rel-equiv-on-PI*: *equiv PI rel-place-sim*

proof (*rule equivI*)
have *rel-place-sim* $\subseteq PI \times PI$ by *blast*
moreover
have $(\pi, \pi) \in \text{rel-place-sim}$ **if** $\pi \in PI$ **for** π
using *that* by *fastforce*
ultimately
show *refl-on PI rel-place-sim* using *refl-onI* by *blast*

show *sym rel-place-sim*

proof (*rule symI*)
fix $\pi_1 \pi_2$ **assume** $(\pi_1, \pi_2) \in \text{rel-place-sim}$
then have $\pi_1 \in PI \pi_2 \in PI \pi_1 \sim \pi_2$ by *blast+*
then show $(\pi_2, \pi_1) \in \text{rel-place-sim}$ by *auto*
qed

show *trans rel-place-sim*

proof (*rule transI*)
fix $\pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3$
assume $(\pi_1, \pi_2) \in \text{rel-place-sim} (\pi_2, \pi_3) \in \text{rel-place-sim}$
then have $\pi_1 \in PI \pi_2 \in PI \pi_3 \in PI \pi_1 \sim \pi_2 \pi_2 \sim \pi_3$ by *blast+*

then consider $place\text{-}eq\ \pi_1\ \pi_2 \wedge place\text{-}eq\ \pi_2\ \pi_3 \mid place\text{-}eq\ \pi_1\ \pi_2 \wedge (\exists u \in U.$
 $\pi_2\ u \wedge \pi_3\ u)$
 $\mid (\exists u \in U. \pi_1\ u \wedge \pi_2\ u) \wedge place\text{-}eq\ \pi_2\ \pi_3 \mid (\exists u \in U. \pi_1\ u \wedge \pi_2\ u) \wedge (\exists u \in$
 $U. \pi_2\ u \wedge \pi_3\ u)$
by auto
then have $\pi_1 \sim \pi_3$
proof (*cases*)
case 1
then have $place\text{-}eq\ \pi_1\ \pi_3$ **by auto**
then show *?thesis* **by auto**
next
case 2
then obtain u **where** $u \in U$ $\pi_2\ u\ \pi_3\ u$ **by blast**
with $U\text{-subset-}V$ **have** $u \in V$ **by blast**
with 2 **have** $\pi_1\ u \longleftrightarrow \pi_2\ u$ **by force**
with $\langle \pi_2\ u \rangle$ **have** $\pi_1\ u$ **by blast**
with $\langle u \in U \rangle \langle \pi_3\ u \rangle$
show *?thesis* **by auto**
next
case 3
then obtain u **where** $u \in U$ $\pi_1\ u\ \pi_2\ u$ **by blast**
with $U\text{-subset-}V$ **have** $u \in V$ **by blast**
with 3 **have** $\pi_2\ u \longleftrightarrow \pi_3\ u$ **by force**
with $\langle \pi_2\ u \rangle$ **have** $\pi_3\ u$ **by blast**
with $\langle u \in U \rangle \langle \pi_1\ u \rangle$
show *?thesis* **by auto**
next
case 4
then obtain $u_1\ u_2$ **where** $u_1 \in U$ $\pi_1\ u_1\ \pi_2\ u_1$ **and** $u_2 \in U$ $\pi_2\ u_2\ \pi_3\ u_2$
by blast
with *fact-1* **have** $u_1 = u_2$
using $\langle \pi_2 \in PI \rangle$ **by blast**
with $\langle \pi_3\ u_2 \rangle$ **have** $\pi_3\ u_1$ **by blast**
with $\langle \pi_1\ u_1 \rangle \langle u_1 \in U \rangle$ **show** *?thesis*
by auto
qed
with $\langle \pi_1 \in PI \rangle \langle \pi_2 \in PI \rangle \langle \pi_3 \in PI \rangle$
show $(\pi_1, \pi_3) \in rel\text{-}place\text{-}sim$ **by blast**
qed
qed auto

lemma *refl-sim*:
assumes $a \in PI$
and $b \in PI$
and $a \sim b$
shows $b \sim a$
using *assms* **by auto**

lemma *trans-sim*:

assumes $a \in PI$
and $b \in PI$
and $c \in PI$
and $a \sim b$
and $b \sim c$
shows $a \sim c$
proof –
from *assms* **have** $(a, b) \in \text{rel-place-sim}$ $(b, c) \in \text{rel-place-sim}$
by *blast+*
with *place-sim-rel-equiv-on-PI* **have** $(a, c) \in \text{rel-place-sim}$
using *equivE transE*
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG)*)
then show $a \sim c$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *fact-2*:

assumes $x \in V$
and *exL*: $\exists L \subseteq U. \mathcal{A} x = \bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} \text{ ' } L)$
and $\pi_1 \in PI$
and $\pi_2 \in PI$
and $\pi_1 \sim \pi_2$
shows $\pi_1 x \longleftrightarrow \pi_2 x$
proof (*cases place-eq* $\pi_1 \pi_2$)
case *True*
with $\langle x \in V \rangle$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *force*
next
case *False*
with $\langle \pi_1 \sim \pi_2 \rangle$ **obtain** u **where** $u \in U$ $\pi_1 u \pi_2 u$ **by** *auto*
from *exL* **obtain** L **where** $L \subseteq U$ $\mathcal{A} x = \bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} \text{ ' } L)$ **by** *blast*
from $\langle L \subseteq U \rangle$ *U-subset-V finite-V* **have** *finite L*
by (*simp add: finite-subset*)

have $\pi x \longleftrightarrow u \in L$ **if** $\pi u \pi \in PI$ **for** π

proof –

from $\langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ **obtain** σ **where** $\pi = \pi_\sigma$ $\sigma \in \Sigma$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle \pi u \rangle$ **have** $\sigma \leq \mathcal{A} u$
using $\langle u \in U \rangle$ *U-subset-V* **by** *auto*
have $\sigma \leq \mathcal{A} x \longleftrightarrow u \in L$
proof (*standard*)
assume $\sigma \leq \mathcal{A} x$
{assume $u \notin L$
then have $\forall v \in L. v \neq u$ **by** *blast*
with *no-overlap-within-U* **have** $\forall v \in L. \mathcal{A} v \sqcap \mathcal{A} u = 0$
using $\langle L \subseteq U \rangle$ $\langle u \in U \rangle$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle \sigma \leq \mathcal{A} u \rangle$ **have** $\forall v \in L. \mathcal{A} v \sqcap \sigma = 0$ **by** *blast*
then have $\bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} \text{ ' } L) \sqcap \sigma = 0$
using *finite-V U-subset-V* $\langle L \subseteq U \rangle$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle \mathcal{A} x = \bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} \text{ ' } L) \rangle$ **have** $\mathcal{A} x \sqcap \sigma = 0$ **by** *argo*
with $\langle \sigma \leq \mathcal{A} x \rangle$ **have** *False*

```

    using ⟨σ ∈ Σ⟩ mem-Σ-not-empty by blast
  }
  then show u ∈ L by blast
next
  assume u ∈ L
  with ⟨σ ≤ A u⟩ have σ ≤ ⋃ HF (A ‘ L)
    using ⟨finite L⟩ by force
  with ⟨A x = ⋃ HF (A ‘ L)⟩ show σ ≤ A x by simp
qed
  with ⟨π = πσ⟩ show π x ↔ u ∈ L
    using ⟨x ∈ V⟩ associated-place.simps by blast
qed
  with ⟨π₁ ∈ PI⟩ ⟨π₁ u⟩ ⟨π₂ ∈ PI⟩ ⟨π₂ u⟩
  have π₁ x ↔ u ∈ L π₂ x ↔ u ∈ L by blast+
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

lemma *U-collect-places-single'*: $y \in W \implies \exists L. L \subseteq U \wedge A y = \bigcup HF (A ‘ L)$
 using *U-collect-places-single*
 by (*meson memW-E*)

definition *PI'* :: ('a ⇒ bool) set where
PI' ≡ (λπs. SOME π. π ∈ πs) ‘ (PI // rel-place-sim)

definition *rep* :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) where
rep π = (SOME π'. π' ∈ rel-place-sim “ {π})

lemma *range-rep*:
 assumes π ∈ PI
 shows rep π ∈ PI'
 using *assms*
 unfolding *PI'-def rep-def*
 using *quotientI*[where ?x = π and ?A = PI and ?r = rel-place-sim]
 by blast

lemma *PI'-eq-image-of-rep-on-PI*: $PI' = rep ‘ PI$
proof (*standard; standard*)
 fix π assume π ∈ PI'
 then obtain πs where πs ∈ PI // rel-place-sim π = (SOME π. π ∈ πs)
 unfolding *PI'-def* by blast
 then obtain π₀ where πs = rel-place-sim “ {π₀} π₀ ∈ PI
 using *quotientE*[where ?A = PI and ?r = rel-place-sim and ?X = πs]
 by blast
 with ⟨π = (SOME π. π ∈ πs)⟩ have π = rep π₀
 unfolding *rep-def* by blast
 with ⟨π₀ ∈ PI⟩ show π ∈ rep ‘ PI by blast
next
 fix π assume π ∈ rep ‘ PI
 then obtain π₀ where π₀ ∈ PI π = rep π₀ by blast

then show $\pi \in PI'$ using *range-rep* by *blast*
qed

lemma *rep-sim*:

assumes $\pi \in PI$
shows $\pi \sim \text{rep } \pi$
and $\text{rep } \pi \sim \pi$

proof –

from $\langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ have $\pi \in \text{rel-place-sim } \{ \pi \}$ by *fastforce*
then obtain π' where $\pi' = \text{rep } \pi$ by *blast*
with *someI*[of $\lambda x. x \in \text{rel-place-sim } \{ \pi \}$] have $\pi' \in \text{rel-place-sim } \{ \pi \}$
using $\langle \pi \in \text{rel-place-sim } \{ \pi \} \rangle$
unfolding *rep-def* by *fast*
with $\langle \pi' = \text{rep } \pi \rangle$ show $\pi \sim \text{rep } \pi$ by *fast*
with *place-sim-rel-equiv-on-PI* show $\text{rep } \pi \sim \pi$
by (*metis* (*full-types*) *place-eq.simps* *place-sim.elims*(1))

qed

lemma *PI'-subset-PI*: $PI' \subseteq PI$

unfolding *PI'-def*
using *equiv-Eps-preserves place-sim-rel-equiv-on-PI* by *blast*

lemma *sim-self*:

assumes $\pi \in PI'$
and $\pi' \in PI'$
and $\pi \sim \pi'$
shows $\pi' = \pi$

proof –

from $\langle \pi \sim \pi' \rangle$ have $(\pi, \pi') \in \text{rel-place-sim}$
using $\langle \pi \in PI' \rangle \langle \pi' \in PI' \rangle$ *PI'-subset-PI* by *blast*
from $\langle \pi \in PI' \rangle$ obtain πs where $\pi s \in PI // \text{rel-place-sim } \pi = (\text{SOME } \pi. \pi \in \pi s)$
unfolding *PI'-def* by *blast*
then have $\pi \in \pi s$
using *equiv-Eps-in place-sim-rel-equiv-on-PI* by *blast*
from $\langle \pi' \in PI' \rangle$ obtain $\pi s'$ where $\pi s' \in PI // \text{rel-place-sim } \pi' = (\text{SOME } \pi. \pi \in \pi s')$
unfolding *PI'-def* by *blast*
then have $\pi' \in \pi s'$
using *equiv-Eps-in place-sim-rel-equiv-on-PI* by *blast*
from *place-sim-rel-equiv-on-PI* $\langle \pi s \in PI // \text{rel-place-sim} \rangle \langle \pi s' \in PI // \text{rel-place-sim} \rangle$
 $\langle \pi \in \pi s \rangle \langle \pi' \in \pi s' \rangle \langle (\pi, \pi') \in \text{rel-place-sim} \rangle$
have $\pi s = \pi s'$
using *quotient-eqI*[where $?A = PI$ and $?r = \text{rel-place-sim}$ and $?x = \pi$ and $?X = \pi s$ and $?y = \pi'$ and $?Y = \pi s'$]
by *fast*
with $\langle \pi = (\text{SOME } \pi. \pi \in \pi s) \rangle \langle \pi' = (\text{SOME } \pi. \pi \in \pi s') \rangle$ show $\pi' = \pi$
by *auto*

qed

fun $at_p\text{-}f' :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool})$ **where**

$at_p\text{-}f' w = \text{rep } (at_p\text{-}f w)$

definition $at_p' = \{(y, at_p\text{-}f' y) \mid y. y \in W\}$

declare $at_p'\text{-}def$ [*simp*]

lemma $range\text{-}at_p\text{-}f'$:

assumes $w \in W$

shows $at_p\text{-}f' w \in PI'$

proof –

from $\langle w \in W \rangle$ $range\text{-}at_p\text{-}f$ **have** $at_p\text{-}f w \in PI$ **by** *blast*

then have $rel\text{-}place\text{-}sim$ “ $\{at_p\text{-}f w\} \in PI // rel\text{-}place\text{-}sim$

using *quotientI* **by** *fast*

then show *?thesis unfolding* $PI'\text{-}def$

apply (*simp only: at_p\text{-}f'.simps rep\text{-}def*)

by (*smt (verit, best) Eps\text{-}cong at_p\text{-}f'.elims image\text{-}insert insert\text{-}iff mk\text{-}disjoint\text{-}insert*)

qed

lemma $rep\text{-}at$:

assumes $\pi \in PI$

and $(y, \pi) \in at_p$

shows $(y, rep \pi) \in at_p'$

proof –

from $\langle (y, \pi) \in at_p \rangle$ **have** $at_p\text{-}f y = \pi$ **by** *auto*

from $\langle (y, \pi) \in at_p \rangle$ **have** $y \in W$ **by** *auto*

with $W\text{-subset}\text{-}V$ **have** $y \in V$ **by** *fast*

from $\langle (y, \pi) \in at_p \rangle$ **obtain** x **where** $AT (Var x =_s Single (Var y)) \in \mathcal{C} x \in V$

using *memW\text{-}E* **by** *fastforce*

with $U\text{-collect}\text{-}places\text{-}single$ **have** $\exists L. L \subseteq U \wedge \mathcal{A} x = \bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} \text{ ' } L)$ **by** *meson*

with $fact\text{-}2$ **have** $\pi_1 x \longleftrightarrow \pi_2 x$ **if** $\pi_1 \sim \pi_2$ $\pi_1 \in PI$ $\pi_2 \in PI$ **for** $\pi_1 \pi_2$

using $\langle x \in V \rangle$ **that** **by** *blast*

with $rep\text{-}sim$ **have** $(rep \pi) x \longleftrightarrow \pi x$

using $PI'\text{-}subset\text{-}PI$ $\langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ $range\text{-}rep$ **by** *blast*

from $\mathfrak{B}.C5\text{-}1$ [**where** $?x = x$ **and** $?y = y$] **have** $\pi x \forall \pi' \in PI. \pi' \neq \pi \longrightarrow \neg \pi' x$

using $\langle AT (Var x =_s Single (Var y)) \in \mathcal{C} \rangle$ $\langle (y, \pi) \in at_p \rangle$ **by** *fastforce+*

from $\langle \pi x \rangle$ $\langle (rep \pi) x \longleftrightarrow \pi x \rangle$ **have** $(rep \pi) x$ **by** *blast*

with $\langle \forall \pi' \in PI. \pi' \neq \pi \longrightarrow \neg \pi' x \rangle$ **have** $rep \pi = \pi$

using $range\text{-}rep$ $PI'\text{-}subset\text{-}PI$ $\langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ **by** *blast*

then have $at_p\text{-}f' y = rep \pi$

using $\langle at_p\text{-}f y = \pi \rangle$ **by** (*simp only: at_p\text{-}f'.simps*)

then show $(y, rep \pi) \in at_p'$

using $\langle y \in W \rangle$

by (*metis (mono\text{-}tags, lifting) at_p'\text{-}def mem\text{-}Collect\text{-}eq*)

qed

interpretation \mathfrak{B}' : *adequate\text{-}place\text{-}framework* \mathcal{C} PI' at_p'

```

proof –
  from  $PI'$ -subset- $PI$   $\mathfrak{B}$ . $PI'$ -subset-places- $V$ 
  have  $PI'$ -subset-places- $V$ :  $PI' \subseteq \text{places } V$  by blast

  have  $\text{dom-at}_p'$ :  $\text{Domain } \text{at}_p' = W$  by auto
  have  $\text{range-at}_p'$ :  $\text{Range } \text{at}_p' \subseteq PI'$ 
  proof –
    {fix  $y$   $lt$  assume  $lt \in \mathcal{C}$   $y \in \text{singleton-vars } lt$ 
     then have  $\text{rep } (\text{at}_p\text{-}f\ y) \in PI'$ 
     using  $\text{range-at}_p\text{-}f[\text{of } y]$   $\text{range-rep}[\text{of } \text{at}_p\text{-}f\ y]$ 
     by blast
    }
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed

from  $\mathfrak{B}$ . $\text{single-valued-at}_p$ 
have  $\text{single-valued-at}_p'$ :  $\text{single-valued } \text{at}_p'$ 
  unfolding  $\text{single-valued-def } \text{at}_p'\text{-def}$ 
  apply (simp only: at_p-f'.simps)
  by blast

from  $PI'$ -subset- $PI$  have  $\text{place-membership } \mathcal{C} PI' \subseteq \text{place-membership } \mathcal{C} PI$  by
auto
with  $\mathfrak{B}$ . $\text{membership-irreflexive}$  have  $\text{membership-irreflexive}$ :
   $(\pi, \pi) \notin \text{place-membership } \mathcal{C} PI'$  for  $\pi$ 
  by blast

from  $PI'$ -subset- $PI$  have  $\text{subgraph: subgraph } (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI') (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI)$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{verts } (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI') = PI'$  by simp
  moreover
  have  $\text{verts } (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI) = PI$  by simp
  ultimately
  have  $\text{verts: } \text{verts } (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI') \subseteq \text{verts } (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI)$ 
  using  $PI'$ -subset- $PI$  by presburger

  have  $\text{arcs } (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI') = \text{place-membership } \mathcal{C} PI'$  by simp
  moreover
  have  $\text{arcs } (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI) = \text{place-membership } \mathcal{C} PI$  by simp
  moreover
  have  $\text{place-membership } \mathcal{C} PI' \subseteq \text{place-membership } \mathcal{C} PI$ 
  using  $PI'$ -subset- $PI$  by auto
  ultimately
  have  $\text{arcs: } \text{arcs } (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI') \subseteq \text{arcs } (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI)$  by
blast

  have  $\text{compatible } (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI) (\text{place-mem-graph } \mathcal{C} PI')$ 
  unfolding  $\text{compatible-def}$  by simp

```

with *verts arcs* **show** *subgraph (place-mem-graph C PI') (place-mem-graph C PI)*
unfolding *subgraph-def*
using *place-mem-graph-wf-digraph*
by *blast*
qed

from $\mathfrak{B}.C6$ **have** $\nexists c. \text{pre-digraph.cycle (place-mem-graph C PI) } c$
using *dag.acyclic* **by** *blast*
then **have** $\nexists c. \text{pre-digraph.cycle (place-mem-graph C PI') } c$
using *subgraph wf-digraph.subgraph-cycle* **by** *blast*
then **have** $C6: \text{dag (place-mem-graph C PI')}$
using $\langle \text{dag (place-mem-graph C PI)} \rangle \text{dag-axioms-def dag-def digraph.digraph-subgraph}$
subgraph
by *blast*

from $\mathfrak{B}.C1-1$ $PI'-\text{subset-PI}$
have $C1-1: \exists n. AT (Var x =_s \emptyset n) \in \mathcal{C} \implies \forall \pi \in PI'. \neg \pi x$ **for** x
by *fast*

from $\mathfrak{B}.C1-2$ $PI'-\text{subset-PI}$
have $C1-2: AT (Var x =_s Var y) \in \mathcal{C} \implies \forall \pi \in PI'. \pi x \longleftrightarrow \pi y$ **for** $x y$
by *fast*

from $\mathfrak{B}.C2$ $PI'-\text{subset-PI}$
have $C2: AT (Var x =_s Var y \sqcup_s Var z) \in \mathcal{C} \implies \forall \pi \in PI'. \pi x \longleftrightarrow \pi y \vee \pi z$
for $x y z$
by *fast*

from $\mathfrak{B}.C3$ $PI'-\text{subset-PI}$
have $C3: AT (Var x =_s Var y -_s Var z) \in \mathcal{C} \implies \forall \pi \in PI'. \pi x \longleftrightarrow \pi y \wedge \neg \pi z$ **for** $x y z$
by *fast*

have $C4: AF (Var x =_s Var y) \in \mathcal{C} \implies \exists \pi \in PI'. \pi x \longleftrightarrow \neg \pi y$ **for** $x y$
proof –
assume *neg: AF (Var x =_s Var y) ∈ C*
with $\mathfrak{B}.C4$ **obtain** π **where** $\pi \in PI \pi x \longleftrightarrow \neg \pi y$ **by** *blast*
from *neg* **have** $x \in V y \in V$ **by** *fastforce+*
from *neg U-collect-places-neg* **[where** $?x = x$ **and** $?y = y$ **] fact-2** *[of x]*
have $sim-\pi-x: \pi_1 x = \pi_2 x$ **if** $\pi_1 \in PI \pi_2 \in PI \pi_1 \sim \pi_2$ **for** $\pi_1 \pi_2$
using *that* $\langle x \in V \rangle$ **by** *blast*
from *neg U-collect-places-neg* **[where** $?x = x$ **and** $?y = y$ **] fact-2** *[of y]*
have $sim-\pi-y: \pi_1 y = \pi_2 y$ **if** $\pi_1 \in PI \pi_2 \in PI \pi_1 \sim \pi_2$ **for** $\pi_1 \pi_2$
using *that* $\langle y \in V \rangle$ **by** *blast*
from $\langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ **have** $rep \pi \in PI'$ **using** *range-rep* **by** *blast*
then **have** $rep \pi \in PI$ **using** $PI'-\text{subset-PI}$ **by** *blast*

from *rep-sim sim-π-x* **have** $(rep \pi) x \longleftrightarrow \pi x$

using $\langle \text{rep } \pi \in PI \rangle \langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ by *blast*
 moreover
 from *rep-sim sim- π -y* have $\pi y \longleftrightarrow (\text{rep } \pi) y$
 using $\langle \text{rep } \pi \in PI \rangle \langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ by *blast*
 ultimately
 have $(\text{rep } \pi) x \longleftrightarrow \neg (\text{rep } \pi) y$
 using $\langle \pi x \longleftrightarrow \neg \pi y \rangle$ by *blast*
 with $\langle \text{rep } \pi \in PI' \rangle$ show *?thesis* by *blast*
 qed

have *C5-1*: $\exists \pi. (y, \pi) \in \text{at}_p' \wedge \pi x \wedge (\forall \pi' \in PI'. \pi' \neq \pi \longrightarrow \neg \pi' x)$
 if $AT (Var x =_s Single (Var y)) \in \mathcal{C}$ for $x y$

proof –

from *that* have $y \in W x \in V y \in V$ by *fastforce+*
 from *that* $\mathfrak{B}.C5-1$ [where *?y = y* and *?x = x*]
 obtain π where $\pi: (y, \pi) \in \text{at}_p \pi x \forall \pi' \in PI. \pi' \neq \pi \longrightarrow \neg \pi' x$
 by *blast*
 with $\mathfrak{B}.range\text{-at}_p$ have $\pi \in PI$ by *fast*
 then have $\text{rep } \pi \in PI'$ using *range-rep* by *blast*
 from *rep-sim* have $\text{rep } \pi \sim \pi$ using $\langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ by *fast*
 with *U-collect-places-single* $\langle \pi x \rangle$ *fact-2* have $(\text{rep } \pi) x$
 using $\langle x \in V \rangle \langle \pi \in PI \rangle \langle \text{rep } \pi \in PI' \rangle$ *PI'-subset-PI that*
 by *blast*
 with π have $\text{rep } \pi = \pi$
 using $\langle \text{rep } \pi \in PI' \rangle$ *PI'-subset-PI* by *blast*
 with π show *?thesis*
 using $\langle \text{rep } \pi \in PI' \rangle$ *PI'-subset-PI*
 by (*metis rep-at subset-iff*)
 qed

have *C5-2*: $\forall \pi \in PI'. \pi y \longleftrightarrow \pi z$ if $y \in W z \in W$ and *at'-eq*: $\exists \pi. (y, \pi) \in \text{at}_p' \wedge (z, \pi) \in \text{at}_p'$ for $y z$

proof

fix π assume $\pi \in PI'$
 from *at'-eq* obtain π' where $\pi': \text{at}_p\text{-f}' y = \pi' \text{at}_p\text{-f}' z = \pi'$
 by (*simp only: at_p'-def*) *fast*
 with *range-at_p-f'* $\langle y \in W \rangle$ have $\pi' \in PI'$ by *blast*
 from π' have $\text{at}_p\text{-f}' y \sim \text{at}_p\text{-f}' z$
 apply (*simp only: at_p-f'.simps place-sim.simps place-eq.simps*)
 by *blast*
 moreover
 from *rep-sim* have $\text{at}_p\text{-f}' y \sim \text{at}_p\text{-f} y$
 using $\text{at}_p\text{-f}'.simps \text{range-at}_p\text{-f} \text{that}(1)$ by *presburger*
 moreover
 from *rep-sim* have $\text{at}_p\text{-f}' z \sim \text{at}_p\text{-f} z$
 using $\text{at}_p\text{-f}'.simps \text{range-at}_p\text{-f} \text{that}(2)$ by *presburger*
 ultimately
 have $\text{at}_p\text{-f} y \sim \text{at}_p\text{-f} z$
 using *trans-sim* [of $\text{at}_p\text{-f} y \text{at}_p\text{-f}' y \text{at}_p\text{-f}' z$]

using *trans-sim*[of $at_p\text{-}f\ y\ at_p\text{-}f'\ z\ at_p\text{-}f\ z$]
 using *refl-sim*[of $at_p\text{-}f'\ y\ at_p\text{-}f\ y$]
 using *range-at_p-f*[of y] *range-at_p-f*[of z] *range-at_p-f'* *PI'-subset-PI* that(1-2)
 by (*meson subset-iff*)
then consider $at_p\text{-}f\ y = at_p\text{-}f\ z \mid \exists u \in U. at_p\text{-}f\ y\ u \wedge at_p\text{-}f\ z\ u$
 by *force*
then show $\pi\ y \longleftrightarrow \pi\ z$
proof (*cases*)
 case 1
then have $\exists \pi. (y, \pi) \in at_p \wedge (z, \pi) \in at_p$
 using *at_p-def* $\langle y \in W \rangle \langle z \in W \rangle$ by *blast*
 with $\mathfrak{B}.C5\text{-}2$ **have** $\forall \pi \in PI. \pi\ y \longleftrightarrow \pi\ z$
 using $\langle y \in W \rangle \langle z \in W \rangle$ by *presburger*
 with $\langle \pi \in PI' \rangle$ *PI'-subset-PI* **show** $\pi\ y \longleftrightarrow \pi\ z$
 by *fast*
 next
 case 2
then obtain u **where** $u \in U\ at_p\text{-}f\ y\ u\ at_p\text{-}f\ z\ u$ by *blast*
then have $\mathcal{A}\ y \in \mathcal{A}\ u\ \mathcal{A}\ z \in \mathcal{A}\ u$
 by (*simp add: less-eq-hf-def*)
from $\langle y \in W \rangle$ **obtain** x_1 **where** $x_1\text{-single-}y: AT\ (Var\ x_1 =_s\ Single\ (Var\ y))$
 $\in \mathcal{C}$
 using *memW-E* by *blast*
 with *A-sat-C* **have** $\mathcal{A}\ x_1 = HF\ \{\mathcal{A}\ y\}$ by *fastforce*
then have $\mathcal{A}\ y \in \mathcal{A}\ x_1$ by *simp*
from $x_1\text{-single-}y$ *U-collect-places-single* **obtain** L **where** $L \subseteq U\ \mathcal{A}\ x_1 = \bigsqcup HF$
 ($\mathcal{A}\ 'L$)
 by *meson*
with $\langle \mathcal{A}\ y \in \mathcal{A}\ x_1 \rangle$ **obtain** u' **where** $u' \in L\ \mathcal{A}\ y \in \mathcal{A}\ u'$ by *auto*
from $\langle \mathcal{A}\ x_1 = \bigsqcup HF\ (\mathcal{A}\ 'L) \rangle \langle u' \in L \rangle$ **have** $\mathcal{A}\ u' \leq \mathcal{A}\ x_1$
 using $\langle \mathcal{A}\ y \in \mathcal{A}\ x_1 \rangle$ by *auto*
with $\langle \mathcal{A}\ x_1 = HF\ \{\mathcal{A}\ y\} \rangle \langle \mathcal{A}\ y \in \mathcal{A}\ u' \rangle$ **have** $\mathcal{A}\ u' = HF\ \{\mathcal{A}\ y\}$ by *auto*
with $\langle \mathcal{A}\ y \in \mathcal{A}\ u \rangle \langle u \in U \rangle \langle u' \in L \rangle \langle L \subseteq U \rangle$ *no-overlap-within-U*
have $u' = u$ by *fastforce*
with $\langle \mathcal{A}\ u' = HF\ \{\mathcal{A}\ y\} \rangle \langle \mathcal{A}\ z \in \mathcal{A}\ u \rangle$ **have** $\mathcal{A}\ y = \mathcal{A}\ z$ by *simp*
with *realise-same-implies-eq-under-all- π* [of $y\ z\ \pi$] **show** *?thesis*
 using $\langle y \in W \rangle \langle z \in W \rangle$ *W-subset-V* $\langle \pi \in PI' \rangle$ *PI'-subset-PI* by *blast*
qed
qed
have *C5-3*: $\exists \pi. (y, \pi) \in at_p' \wedge (y', \pi) \in at_p'$
if $y \in W\ y' \in W\ \forall \pi' \in PI'. \pi'\ y' \longleftrightarrow \pi'\ y$ **for** $y'\ y$
proof –
from $\langle \forall \pi' \in PI'. \pi'\ y' \longleftrightarrow \pi'\ y \rangle$ **have** $\forall \pi \in PI. rep\ \pi\ y' \longleftrightarrow rep\ \pi\ y$
 by (*metis range-rep*)
{fix π **assume** $\pi \in PI$
with $\langle \forall \pi' \in PI'. \pi'\ y' \longleftrightarrow \pi'\ y \rangle$ **have** $rep\ \pi\ y' \longleftrightarrow rep\ \pi\ y$
 using *range-rep* by *fast*
from $\langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ *PI'-subset-PI* *range-rep* **have** $rep\ \pi \in PI$ by *blast*

```

from  $U\text{-collect-places-single}'[of\ y']\ fact\text{-}2[of\ y'\ rep\ \pi\ \pi]\ rep\text{-}sim[of\ \pi]$ 
have  $rep\ \pi\ y' \longleftrightarrow \pi\ y'$ 
  using  $\langle y' \in W \rangle\ W\text{-subset-}V\ \langle \pi \in PI \rangle\ \langle rep\ \pi \in PI \rangle$ 
  by blast
from  $U\text{-collect-places-single}'[of\ y]\ fact\text{-}2[of\ y\ rep\ \pi\ \pi]\ rep\text{-}sim[of\ \pi]$ 
have  $rep\ \pi\ y \longleftrightarrow \pi\ y$ 
  using  $\langle y \in W \rangle\ W\text{-subset-}V\ \langle \pi \in PI \rangle\ \langle rep\ \pi \in PI \rangle$ 
  by blast
from  $\langle rep\ \pi\ y' \longleftrightarrow rep\ \pi\ y \rangle\ \langle rep\ \pi\ y' \longleftrightarrow \pi\ y' \rangle\ \langle rep\ \pi\ y \longleftrightarrow \pi\ y \rangle$ 
have  $\pi\ y \longleftrightarrow \pi\ y'$  by blast
}
with  $\mathfrak{B}.C5\text{-}3$  obtain  $\pi$  where  $(y, \pi) \in at_p\ (y', \pi) \in at_p$ 
  using  $\langle y \in W \rangle\ \langle y' \in W \rangle$  by blast
then have  $(y, rep\ \pi) \in at_p'\ (y', rep\ \pi) \in at_p'$ 
  by  $(meson\ Range\text{-}iff\ \mathfrak{B}.range\text{-}at_p\ rep\text{-}at\ subset\text{-}iff)+$ 
then show ?thesis by fast
qed

have  $\pi = \pi_{HF}\ \{0\}$  if  $\pi \in Range\ at_p' - Range\ (place\text{-}membership\ C\ PI')$  for  $\pi$ 
proof –
  from that obtain  $y$  where  $(y, \pi) \in at_p'$  by blast
  then have  $y \in W\ \pi \in PI'$ 
    using  $dom\text{-}at_p'\ range\text{-}at_p'$  by blast+
  from  $\langle (y, \pi) \in at_p' \rangle$  have  $\pi = rep\ (at_p\text{-}f\ y)$  by simp
  from  $\langle y \in W \rangle$  obtain  $x$  where  $lt\text{-}in\text{-}C: AT\ (Var\ x =_s\ Single\ (Var\ y)) \in C$ 
    using  $memW\text{-}E$  by blast
  with  $\mathcal{A}\text{-}sat\text{-}C$  have  $\mathcal{A}\ x = HF\ \{\mathcal{A}\ y\}$  by fastforce
  then have  $\sigma_y \leq \mathcal{A}\ x$  by simp
  with  $lt\text{-}in\text{-}C$  have  $at_p\text{-}f\ y\ x$  by force
  with  $\langle \pi = rep\ (at_p\text{-}f\ y) \rangle\ fact\text{-}2[of\ x]\ rep\text{-}sim[of\ at_p\text{-}f\ y]\ U\text{-collect-places-single}[of\ x\ y]$ 
  have  $\pi\ x$ 
    using  $lt\text{-}in\text{-}C\ \langle \pi \in PI' \rangle\ PI'\text{-subset-}PI\ \langle y \in W \rangle$ 
  by  $(smt\ (verit,\ best)\ \mathfrak{B}.PI\text{-subset-places-}V\ places\text{-}domain\ range\text{-}at_p\text{-}f\ rev\text{-}contra\text{-}hsubsetD)$ 

have  $\forall \pi \in PI. \neg \pi\ y$ 
proof  $(rule\ ccontr)$ 
  assume  $\neg (\forall \pi \in PI. \neg \pi\ y)$ 
  then obtain  $\pi'$  where  $\pi' \in PI\ \pi'\ y$  by blast
  with  $U\text{-collect-places-single}'[of\ y]\ fact\text{-}2[of\ y\ rep\ \pi'\ \pi']\ rep\text{-}sim[of\ \pi']$ 
  have  $rep\ \pi'\ y$ 
    using  $\langle y \in W \rangle\ PI'\text{-subset-}PI\ W\text{-subset-}V\ range\text{-}rep$  by blast
  with  $\langle AT\ (Var\ x =_s\ Single\ (Var\ y)) \in C \rangle\ \langle \pi\ x \rangle$ 
  have  $(rep\ \pi', \pi) \in place\text{-}membership\ C\ PI'$ 
    using  $\langle \pi \in PI' \rangle\ \langle \pi' \in PI \rangle\ range\text{-}rep$ 
    by  $(simp\ only: place\text{-}membership.simps)$  blast
  then have  $\pi \in Range\ (place\text{-}membership\ C\ PI')$  by blast
  with that show False by blast
qed

```

have $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{L} \ V \ y. \text{proper-Venn-region } \alpha = 0$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $\neg (\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{L} \ V \ y. \text{proper-Venn-region } \alpha = 0)$
then obtain α **where** $\alpha: \alpha \in \mathcal{L} \ V \ y \text{ proper-Venn-region } \alpha \neq 0$ **by** *blast*
then have $y \in \alpha \ \alpha \in P^+ \ V$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle \text{proper-Venn-region } \alpha \neq 0 \rangle$ **have** $\text{proper-Venn-region } \alpha \leq \mathcal{A} \ y$
using *proper-Venn-region-subset-variable-iff*
by (*meson mem-P-plus-subset subset-iff*)
then have $\pi_{\text{proper-Venn-region } \alpha} \ y$
using *W-subset-V* $\langle y \in W \rangle$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle \forall \pi \in PI. \neg \pi \ y \rangle$ **show** *False*
using α **by** *auto*
qed
then have $\sqcup HF (\text{proper-Venn-region } \mathcal{L} \ V \ y) = 0$
by *fastforce*
with *variable-as-composition-of-proper-Venn-regions*[*of y*]
have $\mathcal{A} \ y = 0$
using $\langle y \in W \rangle$ *W-subset-V* **by** *auto*
with $\langle \mathcal{A} \ x = HF \ \{\mathcal{A} \ y\} \rangle$ **have** $\mathcal{A} \ x = HF \ \{0\}$ **by** *argo*

from $\langle \pi \in PI \rangle$ *PI'-subset-PI* **obtain** σ **where** $\sigma \in \Sigma \ \pi = \pi_\sigma$
by (*metis PI-def image-iff in-mono*)
with $\langle \pi \ x \rangle$ **have** $\sigma \neq 0 \ \sigma \leq \mathcal{A} \ x$ **by** *simp+*
with $\langle \mathcal{A} \ x = HF \ \{0\} \rangle$ **have** $\sigma = HF \ \{0\}$ **by** *fastforce*
with $\langle \pi = \pi_\sigma \rangle$ **show** $\pi = \pi_{HF \ \{0\}}$ **by** *blast*
qed
then have *C7*:
 $\llbracket \pi_1 \in \text{Range at}_{p'} - \text{Range (place-membership } \mathcal{C} \ PI')$;
 $\pi_2 \in \text{Range at}_{p'} - \text{Range (place-membership } \mathcal{C} \ PI') \rrbracket \implies \pi_1 = \pi_2$ **for** $\pi_1 \ \pi_2$
by *blast*

from *PI'-subset-places-V dom-at_{p'} range-at_{p'} single-valued-at_{p'}*
membership-irreflexive C6
C1-1 C1-2 C2 C3 C4 C5-1 C5-2 C5-3 C7
show *adequate-place-framework* $\mathcal{C} \ PI' \ at_{p'}$
apply *intro-locales*
unfolding *adequate-place-framework-axioms-def*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *singleton-model-for-normalized-reduced-literals*:
 $\exists \mathcal{M}. \forall lt \in \mathcal{C}. \text{interp } I_{sa} \ \mathcal{M} \ lt \wedge (\forall u \in U. \text{hcard } (\mathcal{M} \ u) \leq 1)$
proof –
from \mathfrak{B}' .*finite-PI* **have** *finite* $(PI' - \text{Range at}_{p'})$ **by** *blast*
with *u-exists*[*of PI' - Range at_{p'} card PI'*] **obtain** u **where**
 $\llbracket \pi_1 \in PI' - \text{Range at}_{p'}; \pi_2 \in PI' - \text{Range at}_{p'}; \pi_1 \neq \pi_2 \rrbracket \implies u \ \pi_1 \neq u \ \pi_2$
 $\pi \in PI' - \text{Range at}_{p'} \implies \text{hcard } (u \ \pi) \geq \text{card } PI'$
for $\pi_1 \ \pi_2 \ \pi$
by *blast*

then have *place-realization* \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u$
by *unfold-locales blast+*

{fix x **assume** $x \in U$
then have $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ **if** $\pi_1 x \pi_2 x \pi_1 \in PI'$ $\pi_2 \in PI'$ **for** $\pi_1 \pi_2$
using *sim-self* **that by** *auto*
then consider $\{\pi \in PI'. \pi x\} = \{\}$ **|** $(\exists \pi. \{\pi \in PI'. \pi x\} = \{\pi\})$
by *blast*
then have *hcard* (*place-realization*. \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u x$) ≤ 1
proof (*cases*)
case 1
then have *place-realization*. \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u x = 0$
using \langle *place-realization* \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u$ \rangle *place-realization*. \mathcal{M} .*simps*
by *fastforce*
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case 2
then obtain π **where** $\{\pi \in PI'. \pi x\} = \{\pi\}$ $\pi \in PI'$ **by** *auto*
then have *place-realization*. \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u x = \bigsqcup HF$ (*place-realization*.*place-realise*
 \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u$ $\{ \pi \}$)
using \langle *place-realization* \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u$ \rangle *place-realization*. \mathcal{M} .*simps*
by *fastforce*
also have $\dots = \bigsqcup HF$ $\{$ *place-realization*.*place-realise* \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u \pi$ $\}$
by *simp*
finally have *place-realization*. \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u x = \bigsqcup HF$ $\{$ *place-realization*.*place-realise*
 \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u \pi$ $\}$.
moreover
from *place-realization*.*place-realise-singleton*[*of* \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u$]
have *hcard* (*place-realization*.*place-realise* \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u \pi$) = 1
using \langle *place-realization* \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u$ \rangle $\langle \pi \in PI' \rangle$ **by** *blast*
then obtain c **where** *place-realization*.*place-realise* \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u \pi = HF$ $\{c\}$
using *hcard-1E*[*of* *place-realization*.*place-realise* \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u \pi$]
by *fastforce*
ultimately
have *place-realization*. \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u x = \bigsqcup HF$ $\{HF$ $\{c\}\}$
by *presburger*
also have $\dots = HF$ $\{c\}$ **by** *fastforce*
also have *hcard* $\dots = 1$
by (*simp add: hcard-def*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *linarith*
qed
}
moreover
from *place-realization*. \mathcal{M} -*sat-C*
have $\forall lt \in \mathcal{C}. \text{interp } I_{sa}$ (*place-realization*. \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u$) lt
using \langle *place-realization* \mathcal{C} PI' $at_p' u$ \rangle **by** *fastforce*
ultimately
show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

end

theorem *singleton-model-for-reduced-MLSS-clause:*

assumes *norm-C: normalized-MLSSmf-clause C*

and *V: $V = \text{vars}_m C$*

and *A-model: normalized-MLSSmf-clause.is-model-for-reduced-dnf C A*

shows $\exists \mathcal{M}. \text{normalized-MLSSmf-clause.is-model-for-reduced-dnf } C \ \mathcal{M} \wedge$
 $(\forall \alpha \in P^+ \ V. \text{hcard } (\mathcal{M} \ v_\alpha) \leq 1)$

proof –

from *norm-C* **interpret** *normalized-MLSSmf-clause C* **by** *blast*

interpret *proper-Venn-regions V A o Solo*

using *V* **by** *unfold-locales blast*

from *A-model* **have** $\forall fm \in \text{introduce-}v. \text{interp } I_{sa} \ \mathcal{A} \ fm$

unfolding *is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def reduced-dnf-def*

by *blast*

with *eval-v* **have** *A-v: $\forall \alpha \in P^+ \ V. \ \mathcal{A} \ v_\alpha = \text{proper-Venn-region } \alpha$*

using *V V-def proper-Venn-region.simps* **by** *auto*

from *A-model* **have** $\forall lt \in \text{introduce-UnionOfVennRegions}. \text{interp } I_{sa} \ \mathcal{A} \ lt$

unfolding *is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def reduced-dnf-def* **by** *blast*

then **have** $\forall a \in \text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s. I_{sa} \ \mathcal{A} \ a$

if $\alpha s \in \text{set all-V-set-lists}$ **for** αs

unfolding *introduce-UnionOfVennRegions-def*

using *that* **by** *simp*

with *eval-UnionOfVennRegions* **have** *A-UnionOfVennRegions:*

$\mathcal{A} \ (\text{UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s) = \bigsqcup HF \ (\mathcal{A} \ \text{VennRegion } \ \text{set } \alpha s)$

if $\alpha s \in \text{set all-V-set-lists}$ **for** αs

using *that* **by** *(simp add: Sup.SUP-image)*

have *Solo-variable-as-composition-of-v:*

$\exists L \subseteq \{v_\alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in P^+ \ V\}. \ \mathcal{A} \ z = \bigsqcup HF \ (\mathcal{A} \ L)$ **if** $\exists z' \in V. z = \text{Solo } z'$ **for** z

proof –

from *that* **obtain** z' **where** $z' \in V \ z = \text{Solo } z'$ **by** *blast*

then **have** $\text{VennRegion } \ \mathcal{L} \ V \ z' \subseteq \{v_\alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in P^+ \ V\}$ **by** *fastforce*

moreover

from *A-v* **have** $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{L} \ V \ z'. \ \mathcal{A} \ v_\alpha = \text{proper-Venn-region } \alpha$

using *L-subset-P-plus finite-V* **by** *fast*

then **have** $\bigsqcup HF \ (\mathcal{A} \ (\text{VennRegion } \ \mathcal{L} \ V \ z')) = \bigsqcup HF \ (\text{proper-Venn-region } \ \mathcal{L} \ V \ z')$

using *HUnion-eq*[**where** $?S = \mathcal{L} \ V \ z'$ **and** $?f = \mathcal{A} \circ \text{VennRegion}$ **and** $?g = \text{proper-Venn-region}$]

by *(simp add: image-comp)*

moreover

from *variable-as-composition-of-proper-Venn-regions*

have $(\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Solo}) \ z' = \bigsqcup HF \ (\text{proper-Venn-region } \ \mathcal{L} \ V \ z')$

using $\langle z' \in V \rangle$ **by** *presburger*

with $\langle z = \text{Solo } z' \rangle$ **have** $\mathcal{A} \ z = \bigsqcup HF \ (\text{proper-Venn-region } \ \mathcal{L} \ V \ z')$ **by** *simp*

ultimately
have *VennRegion* ' $\mathcal{L} V z' \subseteq \{v_\alpha \mid \alpha \in P^+ V\} \wedge \mathcal{A} z = \sqcup HF (\mathcal{A} \text{ 'VennRegion}$
' $\mathcal{L} V z')$ '
by *simp*
then show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

from *A-model* **obtain** *clause* **where** *clause*:
clause \in *reduced-dnf* $\forall lt \in$ *clause*. *interp* $I_{s_a} \mathcal{A} lt$
unfolding *is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def* **by** *blast*
with *reduced-dnf-normalized* **have** *normalized-MLSS-clause* *clause* **by** *blast*
with *clause*
have *satisfiable-normalized-MLSS-clause-with-vars-for-proper-Venn-regions* *clause*
 $\mathcal{A} \{v_\alpha \mid \alpha \in P^+ V\}$
proof (*unfold-locales, goal-cases*)
case 1
then show *?case*
using *normalized-MLSS-clause.norm-C* **by** *blast*
next
case 2
then show *?case*
by (*simp add: normalized-MLSS-clause.finite-C*)
next
case 3
then show *?case*
by (*simp add: finite-vars-fm normalized-MLSS-clause.finite-C*)
next
case 4
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case 5
from $\langle clause \in reduced-dnf \rangle$ *normalized-clause-contains-all-v-alpha*
have $\forall \alpha \in P^+ V. v_\alpha \in \bigcup (vars \text{ ' clause})$
using *V V-def* **by** *simp*
then show *?case* **by** *blast*
next
case (*6 x y*)
then obtain $\alpha \beta$ **where** $\alpha \beta: \alpha \in P^+ V \beta \in P^+ V x = v_\alpha y = v_\beta$
by *blast*
with $\langle x \neq y \rangle$ **have** $\alpha \neq \beta$ **by** *blast*

from $\alpha \beta$ **have** $\alpha \subseteq V \beta \subseteq V$ **by** *auto*

from *A-model* **have** $\forall fm \in introduce-v. interp I_{s_a} \mathcal{A} fm$
unfolding *is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def reduced-dnf-def* **by** *blast*
with $\alpha \beta eval-v$ **have** $\mathcal{A} x = proper-Venn-region \alpha \mathcal{A} y = proper-Venn-region \beta$
using *V V-def proper-Venn-region.simps* **by** *auto*
with *proper-Venn-region-disjoint* $\langle \alpha \neq \beta \rangle$
show *?case*

```

    using  $\langle \alpha \subseteq V \rangle \langle \beta \subseteq V \rangle$  by presburger
next
  case  $(\exists x y)$ 
  from  $\langle AF (Var x =_s Var y) \in clause \rangle \langle clause \in reduced-dnf \rangle$ 
  consider  $AF (Var x =_s Var y) \in reduce-clause \mid \exists clause \in introduce-w. AF$ 
 $(Var x =_s Var y) \in clause$ 
  unfolding reduced-dnf-def introduce-v-def introduce-UnionOfVennRegions-def
by blast
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
  case 1
  then obtain lt where lt:  $lt \in set C \ AF (Var x =_s Var y) \in reduce-literal \ lt$ 
  unfolding reduce-clause-def by blast
  then obtain a where  $lt = AF_m \ a$ 
  by (cases lt rule: reduce-literal.cases) auto
  from  $\langle lt \in set C \rangle$  norm- $C$  have norm-literal lt by blast
  with  $\langle lt = AF_m \ a \rangle$  norm-literal-neg
  obtain  $x' \ y'$  where lt:  $lt = AF_m (Var_m x' =_m Var_m y')$  by blast
  then have reduce-literal  $lt = \{AF (Var (Solo x') =_s Var (Solo y'))\}$ 
  by simp
  with  $\langle AF (Var x =_s Var y) \in reduce-literal \ lt \rangle$  have  $x = Solo \ x' \ y = Solo \ y'$ 
  by simp+
  from lt  $\langle lt \in set C \rangle$  have  $x' \in V \ y' \in V$ 
  using V by fastforce+

  from Solo-variable-as-composition-of-v show ?thesis
  using  $\langle x = Solo \ x' \rangle \langle y = Solo \ y' \rangle \langle x' \in V \rangle \langle y' \in V \rangle$ 
  by (smt (verit, best))
next
  case 2
  with lt-in-clause-in-introduce-w-E obtain  $l' \ m' \ f$ 
  where l':  $l' \in set \ all-V-set-lists$ 
  and m':  $m' \in set \ all-V-set-lists$ 
  and f:  $f \in set \ F-list$ 
  and  $AF (Var x =_s Var y) \in set (restriction-on-FunOfUnionOfVennRegions$ 
 $l' \ m' \ f)$ 
  by blast
  then have  $AF (Var x =_s Var y) = AF (Var (UnionOfVennRegions \ l') =_s$ 
 $Var (UnionOfVennRegions \ m'))$ 
  by auto
  then have  $x = UnionOfVennRegions \ l' \ y = UnionOfVennRegions \ m'$  by
blast+
  with A-UnionOfVennRegions \ l' \ m'
  have  $\mathcal{A} \ x = \bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} \ ' \ VennRegion \ ' \ set \ l') \ \mathcal{A} \ y = \bigsqcup HF (\mathcal{A} \ ' \ VennRegion \ ' \$ 
 $set \ m')$ 
  by blast+
  moreover
  from l' set-all-V-set-lists have  $set \ l' \subseteq P^+ \ V$ 
  using V V-def by auto

```

```

then have VennRegion ‘ set  $l' \subseteq \{v_\alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in P^+ V\}$ 
  by blast
moreover
from  $m'$  set-all-V-set-lists have set  $m' \subseteq P^+ V$ 
  using V V-def by auto
then have VennRegion ‘ set  $m' \subseteq \{v_\alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in P^+ V\}$ 
  by blast
ultimately
show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
case (8  $x y$ )
then consider  $AT (Var x =_s Single (Var y)) \in introduce-v$ 
  |  $\exists clause \in introduce-w. AT (Var x =_s Single (Var y)) \in clause$ 
  |  $AT (Var x =_s Single (Var y)) \in introduce-UnionOfVennRegions$ 
  |  $AT (Var x =_s Single (Var y)) \in reduce-clause$ 
  unfolding reduced-dnf-def by blast
then show ?case
proof (cases)
  case 1
  have  $Var x =_s Single (Var y) \neq restriction-on-v \alpha$  for  $\alpha$ 
    by simp
  moreover
  have  $Var x =_s Single (Var y) \notin restriction-on-InterOfVars xs$  for  $xs$ 
    by (induction  $xs$  rule: restriction-on-InterOfVars.induct) auto
  then have  $Var x =_s Single (Var y) \notin (restriction-on-InterOfVars \circ var-set-to-list)$ 
for  $\alpha$ 
    by simp
  moreover
  have  $Var x =_s Single (Var y) \notin restriction-on-UnionOfVars xs$  for  $xs$ 
    by (induction  $xs$  rule: restriction-on-UnionOfVars.induct) auto
  then have  $Var x =_s Single (Var y) \notin (restriction-on-UnionOfVars \circ$ 
 $var-set-to-list) \alpha$  for  $\alpha$ 
    by simp
  ultimately
  have  $AT (Var x =_s Single (Var y)) \notin introduce-v$ 
    unfolding introduce-v-def by blast
  with 1 show ?thesis by blast
next
  case 2
  with lt-in-clause-in-introduce-w-E obtain  $l' m' f$ 
  where  $AT (Var x =_s Single (Var y)) \in set (restriction-on-FunOfUnionOfVennRegions$ 
 $l' m' f)$ 
    by blast
  moreover
  have  $AT (Var x =_s Single (Var y)) \notin set (restriction-on-FunOfUnionOfVennRegions$ 
 $l' m' f)$ 
    by simp
  ultimately

```

```

    show ?thesis by blast
  next
  case 3
  have  $\text{Var } x =_s \text{Single } (\text{Var } y) \notin \text{restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions } \alpha s$  for
 $\alpha s$ 
    by (induction  $\alpha s$  rule: restriction-on-UnionOfVennRegions.induct) auto
  then have  $AT (\text{Var } x =_s \text{Single } (\text{Var } y)) \notin \text{introduce-UnionOfVennRegions}$ 
    unfolding introduce-UnionOfVennRegions-def by blast
  with 3 show ?thesis by blast
  next
  case 4
  then obtain  $lt$  where  $lt \in \text{set } C$  and reduce-lt:  $AT (\text{Var } x =_s \text{Single } (\text{Var } y)) \in \text{reduce-literal } lt$ 
    unfolding reduce-clause-def by blast
  with norm-C have norm-literal  $lt$  by blast
  then have  $\exists x' y'. lt = AT_m (\text{Var}_m x' =_m \text{Single}_m (\text{Var}_m y'))$ 
    apply (cases lt rule: norm-literal.cases)
    using reduce-lt by auto
  then obtain  $x' y'$  where lt:  $lt = AT_m (\text{Var}_m x' =_m \text{Single}_m (\text{Var}_m y'))$  by
  blast
  with reduce-lt have  $x = \text{Solo } x' y = \text{Solo } y'$  by simp+
  from  $\langle lt \in \text{set } C \rangle lt$  have  $x' \in V y' \in V$ 
    using  $V$  by fastforce+
  from Solo-variable-as-composition-of-v show ?thesis
    using  $\langle x = \text{Solo } x' \rangle \langle y = \text{Solo } y' \rangle \langle x' \in V \rangle \langle y' \in V \rangle$ 
    by (smt (verit, best))
  qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    using satisfiable-normalized-MLSS-clause-with-vars-for-proper-Venn-regions.singleton-model-for-normalized
    unfolding is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def
    by (smt (verit)  $V V\text{-def clause}(1)$  introduce-v-subset-reduced-fms mem-Collect-eq
subset-iff v- $\alpha$ -in-vars-introduce-v)
  qed
end
theory MLSSmf-to-MLSS-Completeness
  imports MLSSmf-Semantics MLSSmf-to-MLSS MLSSmf-HF-Extras
    Proper-Venn-Regions Reduced-MLSS-Formula-Singleton-Model-Property
begin

locale MLSSmf-to-MLSS-complete =
  normalized-MLSSmf-clause C for  $C :: ('v, 'f)$  MLSSmf-clause +
  fixes  $\mathcal{B} :: ('v, 'f)$  Composite  $\Rightarrow$  hf
  assumes  $\mathcal{B}$ : is-model-for-reduced-dnf  $\mathcal{B}$ 

  fixes  $\Lambda :: \text{hf} \Rightarrow 'v$  set set
  assumes  $\Lambda\text{-subset-}V$ :  $\Lambda x \subseteq P^+ V$ 
    and  $\Lambda\text{-preserves-zero}$ :  $\Lambda 0 = \{\}$ 

```

```

    and  $\Lambda$ -inc:  $a \leq b \implies \Lambda a \subseteq \Lambda b$ 
    and  $\Lambda$ -add:  $\Lambda (a \sqcup b) = \Lambda a \cup \Lambda b$ 
    and  $\Lambda$ -mul:  $\Lambda (a \sqcap b) = \Lambda a \cap \Lambda b$ 
    and  $\Lambda$ -discr:  $l \subseteq P^+ V \implies$ 
         $a = \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{B} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ` } l) \implies a = \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{B} \circ VennRegion)$ 
    `  $(\Lambda a)$ )
begin

fun discretize_v :: (('v, 'f) Composite  $\Rightarrow$  hf)  $\Rightarrow$  ('v  $\Rightarrow$  hf) where
    discretize_v  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \circ Solo$ 

fun discretize_f :: (('v, 'f) Composite  $\Rightarrow$  hf)  $\Rightarrow$  ('f  $\Rightarrow$  hf  $\Rightarrow$  hf) where
    discretize_f  $\mathcal{M} = (\lambda f a. \mathcal{M} w_{f\Lambda} a)$ 

interpretation proper-Venn-regions V discretize_v  $\mathcal{B}$ 
    using finite-V by unfold-locales

lemma all-literal-sat:  $\forall lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C}. I_l (\text{discretize}_v \mathcal{B}) (\text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B}) lt$ 
proof
    fix lt assume lt  $\in$  set  $\mathcal{C}$ 

    from  $\mathcal{B}$  obtain clause where clause  $\in$  reduced-dnf
        and  $\mathcal{B}$ -sat-clause:  $\forall lt \in \text{clause}. \text{interp } I_{sa} \mathcal{B} lt$ 
    unfolding is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def by blast

    from  $\langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle$  have norm-literal lt
        using norm-C by blast
    then show  $I_l (\text{discretize}_v \mathcal{B}) (\text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B}) lt$ 
    proof (cases lt rule: norm-literal.cases)
        case (inc f)
        have  $s \leq t \implies \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f s \leq \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f t$  for  $s t$ 
        proof -
            let ?atom = Var  $w_{f\Lambda} t =_s$  Var  $w_{f\Lambda} t \sqcup_s$  Var  $w_{f\Lambda} s$ 
            assume  $s \leq t$ 
            then have  $\Lambda s \subseteq \Lambda t$  using  $\Lambda$ -inc by simp
            then have ?atom  $\in$  reduce-atom (inc(f))
                using  $\Lambda$ -subset-V
            by (simp add: V-def)
            then have AT ?atom  $\in$  clause
                using  $\langle lt = AT_m (\text{inc}(f)) \rangle \langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle$  clause
            unfolding reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def by fastforce
            with  $\mathcal{B}$ -sat-clause have  $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} ?atom$  by fastforce
            then have  $\mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} t = \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} t \sqcup \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} s$  by simp
            then have  $\mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} s \leq \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} t$ 
                by (simp add: sup.order-iff)
            then show  $\text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f s \leq \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f t$  by simp
        qed
    qed
    then show ?thesis using inc by auto

```

next
case (*dec f*)
have $s \leq t \implies \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f t \leq \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f s$ **for** $s t$
proof –
let $?atom = \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda} s =_s \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda} s \sqcup_s \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda} t$
assume $s \leq t$
then have $\Lambda s \subseteq \Lambda t$ **using** $\Lambda\text{-inc}$ **by** *simp*
then have $?atom \in \text{reduce-atom}(\text{dec}(f))$
using $\Lambda\text{-subset-}V$
by (*simp add: V-def*)
then have $AT ?atom \in \text{clause}$
using $\langle lt = AT_m(\text{dec}(f)) \rangle \langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle \text{ clause}$
unfolding *reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def* **by** *fastforce*
with $\mathcal{B}\text{-sat-clause}$ **have** $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} ?atom$ **by** *fastforce*
then have $\mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} s = \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} s \sqcup \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} t$ **by** *simp*
then have $\mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} t \leq \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} s$
by (*simp add: sup.order-iff*)
then show $\text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f t \leq \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f s$ **by** *simp*
qed
then show *?thesis* **using** *dec* **by** *auto*

next
case (*add f*)
have $\text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f (s \sqcup t) = \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f s \sqcup \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f t$ **for** $s t$
proof –
let $?atom = \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda} (s \sqcup t) =_s \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda} s \sqcup_s \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda} t$
have $?atom \in \text{reduce-atom}(\text{add}(f))$
using $\Lambda\text{-subset-}V \Lambda\text{-add}$
by (*simp add: V-def*)
then have $AT ?atom \in \text{clause}$
using $\langle lt = AT_m(\text{add}(f)) \rangle \langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle \text{ clause}$
unfolding *reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def* **by** *fastforce*
with $\mathcal{B}\text{-sat-clause}$ **have** $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} ?atom$ **by** *fastforce*
then have $\mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} (s \sqcup t) = \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} s \sqcup \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} t$ **by** *simp*
then show $\text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f (s \sqcup t) = \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f s \sqcup \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f t$ **by**
simp
qed
then show *?thesis* **using** *add* **by** *auto*

next
case (*mul f*)
have $\text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f (s \sqcap t) = \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f s \sqcap \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f t$ **for** $s t$
proof –
let $?atom-1 = \text{Var}(\text{InterOfWAux } f (\Lambda s) (\Lambda t)) =_s \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda} s -_s \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda} t$
have $?atom-1 \in \text{reduce-atom}(\text{mul}(f))$
using $\Lambda\text{-subset-}V$
by (*simp add: V-def*)
then have $AT ?atom-1 \in \text{clause}$
using $\langle lt = AT_m(\text{mul}(f)) \rangle \langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle \text{ clause}$

unfolding *reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def* **by** *fastforce*
with *B-sat-clause* **have** $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} \text{ ?atom-1}$ **by** *fastforce*
then have $\mathcal{B} (\text{InterOfWAux } f (\Lambda s) (\Lambda t)) = \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda s} - \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda t}$ **by** *simp*
moreover
let $\text{ ?atom-2} = \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda} (s \sqcap t) =_s \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda s} -_s \text{Var } (\text{InterOfWAux } f (\Lambda s)$
 $(\Lambda t))$
have $\text{ ?atom-2} \in \text{reduce-atom } (\text{mul}(f))$
using *Λ -subset- V Λ -mul*
by (*simp add: V-def*)
then have $AT \text{ ?atom-2} \in \text{clause}$
using $\langle lt = AT_m (\text{mul}(f)) \rangle \langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle \text{ clause}$
unfolding *reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def* **by** *fastforce*
with *B-sat-clause* **have** $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} \text{ ?atom-2}$ **by** *fastforce*
then have $\mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} (s \sqcap t) = \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda s} - \mathcal{B} (\text{InterOfWAux } f (\Lambda s) (\Lambda t))$ **by**
simp
ultimately
have $\mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda} (s \sqcap t) = \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda s} \sqcap \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda t}$ **by** *auto*
then show $\text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f (s \sqcap t) = \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f s \sqcap \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f t$ **by**
simp
qed
then show *?thesis* **using** *mul* **by** *auto*

next
case (*le f g*)
have $\text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f s \leq \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} g s$ **for** s
proof –
let $\text{ ?atom} = \text{Var } w_{g\Lambda s} =_s \text{Var } w_{g\Lambda s} \sqcup_s \text{Var } w_{f\Lambda s}$
have $\text{ ?atom} \in \text{reduce-atom } (f \preceq_m g)$
using *Λ -subset- V*
by (*simp add: V-def*)
then have $AT \text{ ?atom} \in \text{clause}$
using $\langle lt = AT_m (f \preceq_m g) \rangle \langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle \text{ clause}$
unfolding *reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def* **by** *fastforce*
with *B-sat-clause* **have** $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} \text{ ?atom}$ **by** *fastforce*
then have $\mathcal{B} w_{g\Lambda s} = \mathcal{B} w_{g\Lambda s} \sqcup \mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda s}$ **by** *simp*
then have $\mathcal{B} w_{f\Lambda s} \leq \mathcal{B} w_{g\Lambda s}$
by (*simp add: sup.orderI*)
then show $\text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} f s \leq \text{discretize}_f \mathcal{B} g s$ **by** *simp*
qed
then show *?thesis* **using** *le* **by** *auto*

next
case (*eq-empty x n*)
let $\text{ ?lt} = AT (\text{Var } (\text{Solo } x) =_s \emptyset n)$
from *eq-empty* **have** $\text{ ?lt} \in \text{reduce-literal } lt$
using $\langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle$ **by** *simp*
then have $\text{ ?lt} \in \text{clause}$
using $\langle lt \in \text{set } \mathcal{C} \rangle \text{ clause}$
unfolding *reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def* **by** *fastforce*

with \mathcal{B} -sat-clause **have** *interp* $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} ?lt$ **by** *fastforce*
with *eq-empty* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case (*eq* $x y$)
let $?lt = AT (Var (Solo x) =_s Var (Solo y))$
from *eq* **have** $?lt \in reduce\text{-literal } lt$
 using $\langle lt \in set \mathcal{C} \rangle$ **by** *simp*
then **have** $?lt \in clause$
 using $\langle lt \in set \mathcal{C} \rangle$ *clause*
 unfolding *reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def* **by** *fastforce*
with \mathcal{B} -sat-clause **have** *interp* $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} ?lt$ **by** *fastforce*
with *eq* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case (*neq* $x y$)
let $?lt = AF (Var (Solo x) =_s Var (Solo y))$
from *neq* **have** $?lt \in reduce\text{-literal } lt$
 using $\langle lt \in set \mathcal{C} \rangle$ **by** *simp*
then **have** $?lt \in clause$
 using $\langle lt \in set \mathcal{C} \rangle$ *clause*
 unfolding *reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def* **by** *fastforce*
with \mathcal{B} -sat-clause **have** *interp* $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} ?lt$ **by** *fastforce*
with *neq* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case (*union* $x y z$)
let $?lt = AT (Var (Solo x) =_s Var (Solo y) \sqcup_s Var (Solo z))$
from *union* **have** $?lt \in reduce\text{-literal } lt$
 using $\langle lt \in set \mathcal{C} \rangle$ **by** *simp*
then **have** $?lt \in clause$
 using *neq* $\langle lt \in set \mathcal{C} \rangle$ *clause*
 unfolding *reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def* **by** *fastforce*
with \mathcal{B} -sat-clause **have** *interp* $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} ?lt$ **by** *fastforce*
with *union* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case (*diff* $x y z$)
let $?lt = AT (Var (Solo x) =_s Var (Solo y) -_s Var (Solo z))$
from *diff* **have** $?lt \in reduce\text{-literal } lt$
 using $\langle lt \in set \mathcal{C} \rangle$ **by** *simp*
then **have** $?lt \in clause$
 using *neq* $\langle lt \in set \mathcal{C} \rangle$ *clause*
 unfolding *reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def* **by** *fastforce*
with \mathcal{B} -sat-clause **have** *interp* $I_{sa} \mathcal{B} ?lt$ **by** *fastforce*
with *diff* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case (*single* $x y$)

```

let ?lt = AT (Var (Solo x) =s Single (Var (Solo y)))
from single have ?lt ∈ reduce-literal lt
  using ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ by simp
then have ?lt ∈ clause
  using neq ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ clause
  unfolding reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def by fastforce
with B-sat-clause have interp Isa B ?lt by fastforce
with single show ?thesis by simp

next
case (app x f y)
with ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ have f ∈ F unfolding F-def by force
from B-sat-clause clause eval-v
have B-v: (B ∘ VennRegion) α = proper-Venn-region α if α ∈ P+ V for α
  unfolding reduced-dnf-def
  using proper-Venn-region.simps that by force
from B-sat-clause clause eval-w
have B-w:  $\bigsqcup HF ((B \circ VennRegion) \text{ ` } l) = \bigsqcup HF ((B \circ VennRegion) \text{ ` } m) \longrightarrow$ 
 $B \text{ w}_f l = B \text{ w}_f m$ 
  if  $l \subseteq P^+ \ V \ m \subseteq P^+ \ V \ f \in F$  for  $l \ m \ f$ 
  by (meson in-mono introduce-UnionOfVennRegions-subset-reduced-fms intro-
duce-w-subset-reduced-fms that)

from app ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ have y ∈ V using V-def by fastforce
with variable-as-composition-of-proper-Venn-regions
have  $\bigsqcup HF (\text{proper-Venn-region ` } \mathcal{L} \ V \ y) = \text{discretize}_v \ B \ y$  by blast
with  $\Lambda$ -discr  $\mathcal{L}$ -subset-P-plus B-v
have  $\bigsqcup HF ((B \circ VennRegion) \text{ ` } \mathcal{L} \ V \ y) = \bigsqcup HF ((B \circ VennRegion) \text{ ` } \Lambda$ 
(discretizev B y))
  by (smt (verit, best) HUnion-eq subset-eq)
with B-w have B-w-eq:  $B \text{ w}_f \mathcal{L} \ V \ y = B \text{ w}_f \Lambda (\text{discretize}_v \ B \ y)$ 
  using  $\mathcal{L}$ -subset-P-plus  $\Lambda$ -subset-V ⟨f ∈ F⟩ finite-V by meson

let ?lt = AT (Var (Solo x) =s Var wf  $\mathcal{L} \ V \ y$ )
from app have ?lt ∈ reduce-literal lt
  using ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ by simp
then have ?lt ∈ clause
  using neq ⟨lt ∈ set C⟩ clause
  unfolding reduced-dnf-def reduce-clause-def by fastforce
with B-sat-clause have interp Isa B ?lt by fastforce
then have B (Solo x) = B wf  $\mathcal{L} \ V \ y$  by simp
with B-w-eq have B (Solo x) = B wf  $\Lambda (\text{discretize}_v \ B \ y)$  by argo
then have B (Solo x) = (discretizef B f) (discretizev B y) by simp
then have discretizev B x = (discretizef B f) (discretizev B y) by simp
with app show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

lemma C-sat: Icl (discretizev B) (discretizef B) C

```

using *all-literal-sat* by *blast*

end

lemma (in *normalized-MLSSmf-clause*) *MLSSmf-to-MLSS-completeness*:
assumes *is-model-for-reduced-dnf* M
shows $\exists M_v M_f. I_{cl} M_v M_f C$

proof –
from *assms singleton-model-for-reduced-MLSS-clause* **obtain** \mathcal{M} **where**
 \mathcal{M} -*singleton*: $\forall \alpha \in P^+ V. \text{hcard} (\mathcal{M} (v_\alpha)) \leq 1$ **and**
 \mathcal{M} -*model*: *is-model-for-reduced-dnf* \mathcal{M}
using *normalized-MLSSmf-clause-axioms* V -*def* by *blast*
then obtain *clause* **where** *clause* \in *reduced-dnf* $\forall lt \in$ *clause*. *interp* $I_{sa} \mathcal{M} lt$
unfolding *is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def* by *blast*
with *normalized-clause-contains-all-v- α* **have** *v- α -in-vars*:
 $\forall \alpha \in P^+ V. v_\alpha \in \bigcup (\text{vars} \text{ ` } \textit{clause})$
by *blast*

from \mathcal{M} -*singleton* **have** *assigned-set-card-0-or-1*:
 $\forall \alpha \in P^+ V. \text{hcard} (\mathcal{M} (v_\alpha)) = 0 \vee \text{hcard} (\mathcal{M} (v_\alpha)) = 1$
using *antisym-conv2* by *blast*

let $? \Lambda = \lambda a. \{ \alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a \neq 0 \}$

have Λ -*subset-V*: $? \Lambda x \subseteq P^+ V$ **for** x
by *fast*

have Λ -*preserves-zero*: $? \Lambda 0 = \{ \}$ **by** *blast*

have Λ -*inc*: $a \leq b \implies ? \Lambda a \subseteq ? \Lambda b$ **for** $a b$
by (*smt* (*verit*) *Collect-mono hinter-hempty-right inf.absorb-iff1 inf-left-commute*)

have Λ -*add*: $? \Lambda (a \sqcup b) = ? \Lambda a \cup ? \Lambda b$ **for** $a b$
proof (*standard*; *standard*)
fix α **assume** $\alpha: \alpha \in \{ \alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap (a \sqcup b) \neq 0 \}$
then have $\alpha \in P^+ V \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap (a \sqcup b) \neq 0$ **by** *blast+*
then have $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a \neq 0 \vee \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap b \neq 0$
by (*metis hunion-hempty-right inf-sup-distrib1*)
then show $\alpha \in \{ \alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a \neq 0 \} \cup \{ \alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap b \neq 0 \}$
using α by *blast*

next
fix α **assume** $\alpha \in \{ \alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a \neq 0 \} \cup \{ \alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap b \neq 0 \}$
then have $\alpha \in P^+ V \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a \neq 0 \vee \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap b \neq 0$
by *blast+*
then have $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap (a \sqcup b) \neq 0$
by (*metis hinter-hempty-right hunion-hempty-left inf-sup-absorb inf-sup-distrib1*)
then show $\alpha \in \{ \alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap (a \sqcup b) \neq 0 \}$
using $\langle \alpha \in P^+ V \rangle$ by *blast*

qed

have Λ -mul: $? \Lambda (a \sqcap b) = ? \Lambda a \sqcap ? \Lambda b$ for $a b$

proof (standard; standard)

fix α assume $\alpha: \alpha \in \{\alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap (a \sqcap b) \neq 0\}$

then have $\alpha \in P^+ V \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap (a \sqcap b) \neq 0$ by blast+

then have $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a \neq 0 \wedge \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap b \neq 0$

by (metis hinter-hempty-left inf-assoc inf-left-commute)

then show $\alpha \in \{\alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a \neq 0\} \cap \{\alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap b \neq 0\}$

using α by blast

next

fix α assume $\alpha \in \{\alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a \neq 0\} \cap \{\alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap b \neq 0\}$

then have $\alpha \in P^+ V \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a \neq 0 \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap b \neq 0$

by blast+

then have $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha \neq 0$ by force

then have $\text{hcard } (\mathcal{M} v_\alpha) \neq 0$ using hcard-0E by blast

then have $\text{hcard } (\mathcal{M} v_\alpha) = 1$

using $\text{assigned-set-card-0-or-1 } v_\alpha\text{-in-vars } \langle \alpha \in P^+ V \rangle$

by fastforce

then obtain c where $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha = 0 \triangleleft c$

using hcard-1E by blast

moreover

from $\langle \mathcal{M} v_\alpha = 0 \triangleleft c \rangle \langle \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a \neq 0 \rangle$

have $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a = 0 \triangleleft c$ by auto

moreover

from $\langle \mathcal{M} v_\alpha = 0 \triangleleft c \rangle \langle \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap b \neq 0 \rangle$

have $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap b = 0 \triangleleft c$ by auto

ultimately

have $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap (a \sqcap b) = 0 \triangleleft c$

by (simp add: inf-commute inf-left-commute)

then have $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap (a \sqcap b) \neq 0$ by simp

then show $\alpha \in \{\alpha \in P^+ V. \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap (a \sqcap b) \neq 0\}$

using $\langle \alpha \in P^+ V \rangle$ by blast

qed

have $l \subseteq P^+ V \implies$

$a = \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) \text{ ` } l) \implies a \leq \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) \text{ ` } (? \Lambda a))$ for $l a$

proof

fix c assume $l\text{-}a\text{-}c: l \subseteq P^+ V a = \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) \text{ ` } l) c \in a$

then obtain α where $\alpha \in l c \in \mathcal{M} v_\alpha$ by auto

then have $\alpha \in ? \Lambda a$ using $l\text{-}a\text{-}c$ by blast

then have $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha \in (\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) \text{ ` } (? \Lambda a)$ by simp

then have $\mathcal{M} v_\alpha \in HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) \text{ ` } (? \Lambda a))$ by fastforce

with $\langle c \in \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \rangle$ show $c \in \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ \text{VennRegion}) \text{ ` } (? \Lambda a))$ by blast

qed

moreover

have $l \subseteq P^+ V \implies$

$a = \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ' } l) \implies \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ' } (?\Lambda a))$
 $\leq a$ for $l a$

proof –

assume $l \subseteq P^+ V$ **and** $a: a = \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ' } l)$
then have *finite l*
by (*simp add: finite-V finite-subset*)
have $? \Lambda a \subseteq l$

proof
fix α **assume** $\alpha \in ? \Lambda a$
then obtain c **where** $c \in \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \sqcap a$ **by** *blast*
then have $c \in \mathcal{M} v_\alpha c \in a$ **by** *blast+*
then obtain β **where** $\beta \in l c \in \mathcal{M} v_\beta$ **using** a **by** *force*

interpret *proper-Venn-regions V M o Solo*
using *finite-V by unfold-locales*
from $\langle \alpha \in ? \Lambda a \rangle$ **have** $\alpha \in P^+ V$ **by** *auto*
moreover
from $\langle l \subseteq P^+ V \rangle \langle \beta \in l \rangle$ **have** $\beta \in P^+ V$ **by** *auto*
moreover
from $\langle c \in \mathcal{M} v_\alpha \rangle$ **have** $c \in \text{proper-Venn-region } \alpha$
using *eval-v $\langle \alpha \in P^+ V \rangle \mathcal{M}\text{-model}$*
unfolding *is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def reduced-dnf-def*
by *fastforce*
moreover
from $\langle c \in \mathcal{M} v_\beta \rangle$ **have** $c \in \text{proper-Venn-region } \beta$
using *eval-v $\langle \beta \in P^+ V \rangle \mathcal{M}\text{-model}$*
unfolding *is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def reduced-dnf-def*
by *fastforce*
ultimately
have $\alpha = \beta$
using *finite-V proper-Venn-region-strongly-injective* **by** *auto*
with $\langle \beta \in l \rangle$ **show** $\alpha \in l$ **by** *simp*

qed
then have $(\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ' } ? \Lambda a \subseteq (\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ' } l$ **by** *blast*
moreover
from $\langle \text{finite } l \rangle$ **have** *finite* $((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ' } l)$ **by** *blast*
ultimately
have $\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ' } ? \Lambda a) \leq \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ' } l)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) HUnion-hunion finite-subset sup.orderE sup.orderI union-hunion*)
then show $\bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ' } (? \Lambda a)) \leq a$
using a **by** *blast*

qed
ultimately
have $\Lambda\text{-discr}: l \subseteq P^+ V \implies$
 $a = \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion) \text{ ' } l) \implies a = \bigsqcup HF ((\mathcal{M} \circ VennRegion)$
 $\text{ ' } (? \Lambda a))$ **for** $l a$
by (*simp add: inf.absorb-iff1 inf-commute*)

```

interpret  $\Lambda$ -plus: MLSSmf-to-MLSS-complete  $\mathcal{C}$   $\mathcal{M}$  ? $\Lambda$ 
  using assms  $\mathcal{M}$ -singleton  $\mathcal{M}$ -model
     $\Lambda$ -subset- $V$   $\Lambda$ -preserves-zero  $\Lambda$ -inc  $\Lambda$ -add  $\Lambda$ -mul  $\Lambda$ -discr
  by unfold-locales

show ?thesis
  using  $\Lambda$ -plus.C-sat by fast
qed

end
theory MLSSmf-to-MLSS-Correctness
  imports MLSSmf-to-MLSS-Soundness MLSSmf-to-MLSS-Completeness
begin

fun reduce :: ('v, 'f) MLSSmf-clause  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'f) Composite pset-fm set set where
  reduce  $\mathcal{C}$  = normalized-MLSSmf-clause.reduced-dnf  $\mathcal{C}$ 

fun interp-DNF :: (('v, 'f) Composite  $\Rightarrow$  hf)  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'f) Composite pset-fm set set
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  interp-DNF  $\mathcal{M}$  clauses  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  clause  $\in$  clauses.  $\forall$  lt  $\in$  clause. interp  $I_{sa}$   $\mathcal{M}$  lt)

corollary MLSSmf-to-MLSS-correct:
  assumes norm-clause  $\mathcal{C}$ 
  shows ( $\exists$   $M_v$   $M_f$ .  $I_{cl}$   $M_v$   $M_f$   $\mathcal{C}$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$   $\mathcal{M}$ . interp-DNF  $\mathcal{M}$  (reduce  $\mathcal{C}$ ))
proof
  from assms interpret normalized-MLSSmf-clause  $\mathcal{C}$  by unfold-locales
  assume  $\exists$   $M_v$   $M_f$ .  $I_{cl}$   $M_v$   $M_f$   $\mathcal{C}$ 
  with MLSSmf-to-MLSS-soundness obtain  $\mathcal{M}$  where is-model-for-reduced-dnf
 $\mathcal{M}$ 
  using assms by blast
  then have interp-DNF  $\mathcal{M}$  (reduce  $\mathcal{C}$ ) unfolding is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def by
simp
  then show  $\exists$   $\mathcal{M}$ . interp-DNF  $\mathcal{M}$  (reduce  $\mathcal{C}$ ) by blast
next
  from assms interpret normalized-MLSSmf-clause  $\mathcal{C}$  by unfold-locales
  assume  $\exists$   $\mathcal{M}$ . interp-DNF  $\mathcal{M}$  (reduce  $\mathcal{C}$ )
  then obtain  $\mathcal{M}$  where interp-DNF  $\mathcal{M}$  (reduce  $\mathcal{C}$ ) by blast
  then have is-model-for-reduced-dnf  $\mathcal{M}$  unfolding is-model-for-reduced-dnf-def
by simp
  with MLSSmf-to-MLSS-completeness show  $\exists$   $M_v$   $M_f$ .  $I_{cl}$   $M_v$   $M_f$   $\mathcal{C}$  by blast
qed

end

```

References

- [1] Domenico Cantone, Jacob T. Schwartz, and Calogero G. Zarba. A decision procedure for a sublanguage of set theory involving monotone additive and multiplicative functions, ii. the multi-level case. *Le Matematiche; Vol 60, No 1 (2005); 133-162*, 60, 01 2006.
- [2] Lukas Stevens. Mlss decision procedure. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2023. ISSN 2150-914x. https://isa-afp.org/entries/MLSS_Decision_Proc.html, Formal proof development.