

# Lie Groups and Algebras

Richard Schmoetten and Jacques D. Fleuriot

August 1, 2024

## Abstract

Lie Groups are formalised as locales, building on the theory of Smooth Manifolds [1]. We formalise the diffeomorphism group of a manifold, and the action of a Lie group on a manifold. The general linear group is shown to be a Lie group by proving properties of the determinant, and matrix inverses. We also develop a theory of smooth vector fields on a  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$ , defined as smooth maps from the manifold to its tangent bundle  $TM$ . We employ a shortcut that avoids difficulties in defining the tangent bundle as a manifold, but which still leads to vector fields with the properties one would expect. Notably, they are derivations  $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ . We construct *the* Lie algebra of a Lie group as an algebra of left-invariant smooth vector fields. Our main reference for the mathematics of smooth manifolds is Lee's textbook [2], which also contains material on Lie groups and algebras.

## Contents

<b>1 Abstract algebra locales over a field</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Bilinearity, Jacobi identity . . . . .	3
1.2 Unital and associative algebras . . . . .	5
1.3 Lie algebra (locale) . . . . .	7
1.4 Division algebras . . . . .	8
<b>2 Continuity of the determinant (and other maps)</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>3 Component expressions for inverse matrices over fields</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>4 Smoothness of real matrix operations and <math>\det</math></b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 Smoothness of matrix multiplication . . . . .	13
4.2 Smoothness of $\prod$ and $\det$ . . . . .	14
4.3 Smoothness of matrix inversion . . . . .	15
<b>5 Smooth vector fields</b>	<b>17</b>
5.1 (Smooth) vector fields on an (entire) manifold. . . . .	17
5.1.1 Charts for the tangent bundle . . . . .	17

5.1.2	Proofs about <i>apply-chart-TM</i> that mimic the properties of $('a, 'b) \text{ chart}$ . . . . .	18
5.1.3	Differentiability of vector fields . . . . .	21
5.2	Smoothness criterion for a vector field in a single chart. . . . .	24
5.2.1	Connecting the types $'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ (used for <i>smooth-vector-field-local</i> ) and $'a \Rightarrow 'a \times (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real})$ (used for $\lambda\text{charts } k. c\text{-manifold.section-of-TM-on charts } k$ ( <i>manifold.carrier charts</i> )). . . . .	25
5.2.2	Some theorems about smooth vector fields, locally and globally. . . . .	26
5.3	Smooth vector fields as maps $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ . . . . .	32
5.4	Smooth vector fields are derivations . . . . .	32
5.5	Derivations are smooth vector fields . . . . .	33
<b>6</b>	<b>The Lie bracket of smooth vector fields</b>	<b>34</b>
6.1	General lemmas . . . . .	34
6.2	Properties of the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{X}$ . . . . .	35
<b>7</b>	<b>Definition of Lie Groups (as Locales)</b>	<b>37</b>
7.1	Topological groups . . . . .	37
7.2	Lie groups . . . . .	37
7.3	Some lemmas about Lie groups (and other needed results). . . . .	39
<b>8</b>	<b>Morphisms of Lie groups, actions and representations</b>	<b>40</b>
8.1	Morphism of Lie groups. . . . .	40
8.2	Action of a Lie group on a manifold. . . . .	41
8.3	Action of a Lie Group on itself. . . . .	42
8.3.1	The left action. . . . .	42
8.3.2	The right action. . . . .	44
<b>9</b>	<b>Models/Instances</b>	<b>46</b>
9.1	Euclidean Space . . . . .	46
9.1.1	Euclidean Spaces are Lie groups under $(+)$ . . . . .	46
9.2	The real numbers as a Lie group . . . . .	47
<b>10</b>	<b>The Lie algebra of a Lie Group</b>	<b>47</b>
10.1	(Left-)invariant vector fields . . . . .	48
<b>11</b>	<b>Matrix Groups</b>	<b>50</b>
11.1	Entry Type . . . . .	50
11.2	$\text{Mat}(n, F)$ . . . . .	50
11.3	$\text{GL}(n, F)$ . . . . .	51

theory *Algebra-On*

```

imports
HOL-Types-To-Sets.Linear-Algebra-On
Jacobson-Basic-Algebra.Ring-Theory
begin

```

## 1 Abstract algebra locales over a *field*

... with carrier set and some implicit operations (only algebraic multiplication, scaling, and derived constants are not implicit).

For full generality, one could define an algebra as a ring that is also a module (rather than a vector space, i.e. have a (non/commutative) base ring instead of a base field).

### 1.1 Bilinearity, Jacobi identity

```

lemma (in module-hom-on) mem-hom:
assumes x ∈ S1
shows f x ∈ S2
⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale bilinear-on =
vector-space-pair-on V W scaleV scaleW +
vector-space-on X scaleX
for V::'b::ab-group-add set and W::'c::ab-group-add set and X::'d::ab-group-add
set
and scaleV::'a::field⇒'b⇒'b (infixr •V 75)
and scaleW::'a⇒'c⇒'c (infixr •W 75)
and scaleX::'a⇒'d⇒'d (infixr •X 75) +
fixes f::'b⇒'c⇒'d
assumes linearL: w ∈ W ⇒ linear-on V X scaleV scaleX (λv. f v w)
and linearR: v ∈ V ⇒ linear-on W X scaleW scaleX (λw. f v w)
begin

```

```

lemma linearL': [[v ∈ V; w ∈ W]] ⇒ f (a •V v) w = a •X (f v w)
[[v ∈ V; v' ∈ V; w ∈ W]] ⇒ f (v + v') w = (f v w) + (f v' w)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma linearR': [[v ∈ V; w ∈ W]] ⇒ f v (a •W w) = a •X (f v w)
[[v ∈ V; w ∈ W; w' ∈ W]] ⇒ f v (w + w') = (f v w) + (f v w')
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bilinear-zero [simp]:
shows w ∈ W ⇒ f 0 w = 0 v ∈ V ⇒ f v 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bilinear-uminus [simp]:
assumes v: v ∈ V and w: w ∈ W

```

**shows**  $f(-v)w = - (f v w)$   $f v (-w) = - (f v w)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

For bilinear maps, "alternating" means the same as "skew-symmetric", which is the same as "anti-symmetric".

```
locale alternating-bilinear-on = bilinear-on S S S scale scale scale f for S scale f
+
assumes alternating:  $x \in S \Rightarrow f x x = 0$ 
begin
```

```
lemma antisym:
assumes  $x \in S$   $y \in S$ 
shows  $(f x y) + (f y x) = 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma antisym':
assumes  $x \in S$   $y \in S$ 
shows  $(f x y) = - (f y x)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma antisym-uminus:
assumes  $x \in S$   $y \in S$ 
shows  $f(-x)y = f y xf x(-y) = f y x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

**end**

```
abbreviation (input) jacobi-identity-with::'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where jacobi-identity-with zero-add f-add f-mult x y z  $\equiv$ 
zero-add = f-add (f-add (f-mult x (f-mult y z)) (f-mult y (f-mult z x))) (f-mult z (f-mult x y))
```

```
abbreviation (input) jacobi-identity::('a::{monoid-add}  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
 $\Rightarrow$  bool
where jacobi-identity f-mult x y z  $\equiv$  jacobi-identity-with 0 (+) f-mult x y z
```

```
lemma (in module-hom-on) mapsto-zero:  $f 0 = 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in module-hom-on) mapsto-uminus:  $a \in S_1 \Rightarrow f(-a) = - f a$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in module-hom-on) mapsto-closed:  $a \in S_1 \Rightarrow f a \in S_2$ 
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.2 Unital and associative algebras

```

locale algebra-on = bilinear-on S S S scale scale scale amult
  for S
    and scale :: 'a::field  $\Rightarrow$  'b::ab-group-add  $\Rightarrow$  'b (infixr  $\langle *_S \rangle$  75)
    and amult (infixr  $\langle \bullet \rangle$  74) +
  assumes amult-closed [simp]:  $a \in S \Rightarrow b \in S \Rightarrow amult\ a\ b \in S$ 
begin

lemma
  shows distR:  $\llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \Rightarrow (x+y) \bullet z = x \bullet z + y \bullet z$ 
  and distL:  $\llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \Rightarrow z \bullet (x+y) = z \bullet x + z \bullet y$ 
  and scalar-compat :  $\llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \Rightarrow (a *_S x) \bullet (b *_S y) = (a * b) *_S (x \bullet y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma scalar-compat' [simp]:
  shows  $\llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \Rightarrow (a *_S x) \bullet y = a *_S (x \bullet y)$ 
  and  $\llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \Rightarrow x \bullet (a *_S y) = a *_S (x \bullet y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

Sometimes an associative algebra is defined as a ring that is also a module (over a comm. ring), with the module and scalar multiplication being compatible, and the ring and module addition being the same. That definition implies an associative algebra is also unital, i.e. there is a multiplicative identity; in contrast, our definition doesn't. This is in agreement with how a ' $a$ ' needs no identity, and an additional type class typ $>'a::ring-1$  is provided (instead of the terminology of rng vs. ring).

```

locale assoc-algebra-on = algebra-on +
  assumes amult-assoc:  $\llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \Rightarrow (x \bullet y) \bullet z = x \bullet (y \bullet z)$ 

```

```

locale unital-algebra-on = algebra-on +
  fixes a-id
  assumes amult-id [simp]:  $a \bullet a \text{-id} = a$   $a \in S \Rightarrow a \text{-id} \bullet a = a$ 
begin

lemma id-neq-0-iff:  $\exists a \in S. \exists b \in S. a \neq b \longleftrightarrow 0 \neq a \text{-id}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma id-neq-0-if:
  shows  $a \in S \Rightarrow b \in S \Rightarrow a \neq b \Rightarrow 0 \neq a \text{-id}$ 
  and card S  $\geq 2 \Rightarrow 0 \neq a \text{-id}$ 
  and infinite S  $\Rightarrow 0 \neq a \text{-id}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma id-neq-0-implies-elements : ∃ a∈S. ∃ b∈S. a≠b if 0 ≠ a-id
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma id-neq-0-implies-card:
  assumes 0 ≠ a-id
  obtains card S ≥ 2 | infinite S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma id-unique [simp]:
  fixes other-id
  assumes other-id∈S ∧ a. a∈S ⇒ a•other-id=a ∧ other-id•a=a
  shows other-id = a-id
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale assoc-algebra-1-on = assoc-algebra-on + unital-algebra-on +
  assumes id-neq-0 [simp]: a-id ≠ 0 — this is as in the class ring-1, and merely
  assures S has at least two elements
begin

lemma is-ring-1-axioms:
  shows ∧ a b c. a∈S ⇒ b∈S ⇒ c∈S ⇒ a • b • c = a • (b • c)
  and ∧ a. a∈S ⇒ a-id • a = a
  and ∧ a. a∈S ⇒ a • a-id = a
  and ∧ a b c. a∈S ⇒ b∈S ⇒ c∈S ⇒ (a + b) • c = a • c + b • c
  and ∧ a b c. a∈S ⇒ b∈S ⇒ c∈S ⇒ a • (b + c) = a • b + a • c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-unique [simp]:
  assumes a: a∈S a≠0
  and x: x∈S a•x=a-id ∧ x•a=a-id
  and y: y∈S a•y=a-id ∧ y•a=a-id
  shows x = y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-unique':
  assumes a: a∈S a≠0
  and inv-ex: ∃ x∈S. a•x=a-id ∧ x•a=a-id
  shows ∃ !x∈S. a•x=a-id ∧ x•a=a-id
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma algebra-onI [intro]:
  fixes scale :: 'a::field ⇒ 'b::ab-group-add ⇒ 'b (infixr *S 75)
  and amult (infixr • 74)
  assumes vector-space-on S scale

```

**and**  $\text{distR}$ :  $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies (x+y) \bullet z = x \bullet z + y \bullet z$   
**and**  $\text{distL}$ :  $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies z \bullet (x+y) = z \bullet x + z \bullet y$   
**and**  $\text{scalar-compat}$ :  $\bigwedge a x y. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies (a *_S x) \bullet y = a *_S (x \bullet y) \wedge x \bullet (a *_S y) = a *_S (x \bullet y)$   
**and**  $\text{closure}$ :  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies x \bullet y \in S$   
**shows**  $\text{algebra-on } S \text{ scale amult}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in vector-space-on) scalar-compat-iff:**  
**fixes**  $\text{scale-notation}$  (**infixr**  $*_S 75$ )  
**and**  $\text{amult}$  (**infixr**  $\bullet 74$ )  
**defines**  $\text{scale-notation} \equiv \text{scale}$   
**assumes**  $\text{distR}$ :  $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies (x+y) \bullet z = x \bullet z + y \bullet z$   
**and**  $\text{distL}$ :  $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies z \bullet (x+y) = z \bullet x + z \bullet y$   
**shows**  $(\forall a. \forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. (a *_S x) \bullet y = a *_S (x \bullet y) \wedge x \bullet (a *_S y) = a *_S (x \bullet y)) \longleftrightarrow (\forall a b. \forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. (a *_S x) \bullet (b *_S y) = (a * b) *_S (x \bullet y))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in vector-space-on) algebra-onI:**  
**fixes**  $\text{scale-notation}$  (**infixr**  $*_S 75$ )  
**and**  $\text{amult}$  (**infixr**  $\bullet 74$ )  
**defines**  $\text{scale-notation} \equiv \text{scale}$   
**assumes**  $\text{distR}$ :  $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies (x+y) \bullet z = x \bullet z + y \bullet z$   
**and**  $\text{distL}$ :  $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies z \bullet (x+y) = z \bullet x + z \bullet y$   
**and**  $\text{scalar-compat}$ :  $\bigwedge a x y. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies (a *_S x) \bullet y = a *_S (x \bullet y) \wedge x \bullet (a *_S y) = a *_S (x \bullet y)$   
**and**  $\text{closure}$ :  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies x \bullet y \in S$   
**shows**  $\text{algebra-on } S \text{ scale amult}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 1.3 Lie algebra (locale)

List syntax interferes with the standard notation for the Lie bracket, so it can be disabled it here. Instead, we add a delimiter to the notation for Lie brackets, which also helps with unambiguous parsing.

**locale**  $\text{lie-algebra} = \text{algebra-on } \mathfrak{g} \text{ scale lie-bracket} + \text{alternating-bilinear-on } \mathfrak{g} \text{ scale lie-bracket}$   
**for**  $\mathfrak{g}$   
**and**  $\text{scale} :: 'a::\text{field} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ab-group-add} \Rightarrow 'b$  (**infixr**  $\langle *_S \rangle 75$ )  
**and**  $\text{lie-bracket} :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b$  ( $\langle [-;-] \rangle 74$ )  
**assumes**  $\text{jacobi}$ :  $\llbracket x \in \mathfrak{g}; y \in \mathfrak{g}; z \in \mathfrak{g} \rrbracket \implies 0 = [x;[y;z]] + [y;[z;x]] + [z;[x;y]]$

**lemma (in algebra-on) lie-algebraI:**  
**assumes**  $\text{alternating}$ :  $\forall x \in S. \text{amult } x x = 0$   
**and**  $\text{jacobi}$ :  $\forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. \forall z \in S. \text{jacobi-identity amult } x y z$   
**shows**  $\text{lie-algebra } S \text{ scale amult}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma (in vector-space-on) lie-algebraI:
  fixes lie-bracket :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b ( $\langle [-; -] \rangle$  74)
    and scale-notation (infixr *S 75)
  defines scale-notation  $\equiv$  scale
  assumes distributivity:
     $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies [(x+y); z] = [x; z] + [y; z] \wedge [z; (x+y)] = [z; x] + [z; y]$ 
    and scalar-compatibility:
       $\bigwedge a x y. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies [(a *_S x); y] = a *_S ([x; y]) \wedge [x; (a *_S y)] = a *_S ([x; y])$ 
      and closure:  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies [x; y] \in S$ 
      and alternating:  $\forall x \in S. \text{lie-bracket } x x = 0$ 
      and jacobi:  $\forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. \forall z \in S. \text{jacobi-identity lie-bracket } x y z$ 
  shows lie-algebra S scale lie-bracket
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**context** lie-algebra **begin**

```

lemma jacobi-alt:
  assumes x: x  $\in$  g and y: y  $\in$  g and z: z  $\in$  g
  shows [x;[y;z]] = [[x;y];z] + [y;[x;z]]
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** lie-subalgebra:

```

  assumes h: h  $\subseteq$  g m1.subspace h and closed:  $\bigwedge x y. x \in h \implies y \in h \implies \text{lie-bracket } x y \in h$ 
  shows lie-algebra h scale lie-bracket
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**end**

## 1.4 Division algebras

```

abbreviation (in algebra-on) is-left-divisor x a b  $\equiv$  x  $\in$  S  $\wedge$  a = amult x b
abbreviation (in algebra-on) is-right-divisor x a b  $\equiv$  x  $\in$  S  $\wedge$  a = amult b x

```

```

locale div-algebra-on = algebra-on +
  fixes divL::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
    and divR::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  assumes divL:  $\llbracket a \in S; b \in S; b \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-left-divisor } (\text{divL } a b) a b$ 
     $\llbracket a \in S; b \in S; b \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-left-divisor } y a b \implies y = (\text{divL } a b)$ 
    and divR:  $\llbracket a \in S; b \in S; b \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-right-divisor } (\text{divR } a b) a b$ 
     $\llbracket a \in S; b \in S; b \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-right-divisor } y a b \implies y = (\text{divR } a b)$ 
begin

```

In terms of the vocabulary of division rings, the expression  $a = \text{divL } a b$  means that  $\text{divL } a b$  is a left divisor of  $a$ , and conversely that  $a$  is a

right multiple of  $\text{divL } a \ b$ .

For  $b = (0::'c)$ , the divisors still exist as members of the correct type (necessarily), but they have no properties. Similarly for correctly-typed input outside the algebra.

**lemma** [*simp*]:

**assumes**  $a \in S \ b \in S \ b \neq 0$

**shows**  $\text{divL}' : \text{divL } a \ b \in S \ (\text{divL } a \ b) \bullet b = a \ \forall y \in S. \ a = y \bullet b \longrightarrow y = \text{divL } a \ b$

**and**  $\text{divR}' : \text{divR } a \ b \in S \ b \bullet (\text{divR } a \ b) = a \ \forall y \in S. \ a = b \bullet y \longrightarrow y = \text{divR } a \ b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** (*in algebra-on*) *div-algebra-onI*:

**assumes**  $\forall a \in S. \ \forall b \in S. \ b \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists! x \in S. \ a = b \bullet x) \wedge (\exists! y \in S. \ a = y \bullet b)$

**shows**  $\text{div-algebra-on } S \text{ scale amult } (\lambda a \ b. \ \text{THE } y. \ y \in S \wedge a = y \bullet b) (\lambda a \ b. \ \text{THE } x. \ x \in S \wedge a = b \bullet x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (*in assoc-algebra-1-on*) *div-algebra-onI'*:

**fixes**  $\text{ainv } \text{adivL } \text{adivR}$

**defines**  $\text{ainv } a \equiv (\text{THE } x. \ x \in S \wedge a \text{-id} = x \bullet a \wedge a \text{-id} = a \bullet x)$

**and**  $\text{adivL } b \ a \equiv b \bullet (\text{ainv } a)$

**and**  $\text{adivR } b \ a \equiv (\text{ainv } a) \bullet b$

**assumes**  $\forall a \in S. \ a \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists x \in S. \ a \text{-id} = x \bullet a \wedge a \text{-id} = a \bullet x)$

**shows**  $\text{div-algebra-on } S \text{ scale amult } \text{adivL } \text{adivR}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (*in assoc-algebra-on*) *div-algebra-on-imp-inverse*:

**assumes**  $\text{div-algebra-on } S \text{ scale amult } \text{divL } \text{divR} \ \text{card } S \geq 2 \vee \text{infinite } S$

**shows**  $\exists a \text{-id} \in S. (\forall a \in S. \ a \bullet a \text{-id} = a \wedge a \text{-id} \bullet a = a) \wedge (\forall a \in S. \ a \neq 0 \longrightarrow \text{divL } a \text{-id } a = \text{divR } a \text{-id } a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (*in assoc-algebra-on*) *assoc-div-algebra-on-iff*:

**assumes**  $\text{card } S \geq 2 \vee \text{infinite } S$

**shows**  $(\exists \text{divL } \text{divR}. \ \text{div-algebra-on } S \text{ scale amult } \text{divL } \text{divR}) \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists \text{id}. \ \text{unital-algebra-on } S \text{ scale amult } \text{id} \wedge (\forall a \in S. \ a \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists x \in S. \ a \bullet x = id$

$\wedge x \bullet a = id)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**locale** *assoc-div-algebra-on* =

*assoc-algebra-1-on*  $S$  scale amult  $a \text{-id} +$

*div-algebra-on*  $S$  scale amult  $\lambda a \ b. \ \text{amult } a \ (a \text{-inv } b) \ \lambda a \ b. \ \text{amult } (a \text{-inv } b) \ a$

for  $S$

**and**  $\text{scale} :: 'a::\text{field} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ab-group-add} \Rightarrow 'b \ (\text{infixr } \langle *_S \rangle \ 75)$

**and**  $\text{amult} :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ (\text{infixr } \langle \bullet \rangle \ 74)$

```

and a-id :: 'b(<1>)
and a-inv :: 'b⇒'b
begin

  The definition assoc-div-algebra-on is justified by  $2 \leq \text{card } S \vee \text{infinite}$ 
 $S \implies (\exists \text{divL divR. div-algebra-on } S (*_S) (\bullet) \text{divL divR}) = (\exists \text{id. unital-algebra-on } S (*_S) (\bullet) \text{id} \wedge (\forall a \in S. a \neq (0::'b)) \longrightarrow (\exists x \in S. a \bullet x = id \wedge x \bullet a = id))$ ) above: If we have an associative algebra already, the only way it can be a division algebra is to be unital as well. Since now left and right divisors can be defined through multiplicative inverses, we take only the inverse as a locale parameter, and construct the divisors. The only case we miss here (due to the requirement  $\mathbf{1} \neq (0::'b)$ ) is the trivial algebra, which contains only the zero element (which acts as identity as well). This is for compatibility with the standard Isabelle/HOL type classes, which are subclasses of zero-neq-one.

```

```

abbreviation (input) divL :: 'b⇒'b⇒'b
  where divL a b ≡ amult a (a-inv b)

abbreviation (input) divR :: 'b⇒'b⇒'b
  where divR a b ≡ amult (a-inv b) a

lemma div-self-eq-id:
  assumes a∈S a≠0
  shows divL a a = a-id
    and divR a a = a-id
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale finite-dimensional-assoc-div-algebra-on =
  assoc-div-algebra-on S scale amult a-id a-inv +
  finite-dimensional-vector-space-on S scale basis
  for S :: <'b::ab-group-add set>
    and scale :: <'a::field ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b> (infixr *> 75)
    and amult :: <'b⇒'b⇒'b> (infixr •> 74)
    and a-id :: <'b> (<1>)
    and a-inv :: <'b⇒'b>
    and basis :: <'b set>

lemma (in assoc-div-algebra-on) finite-dimensional-assoc-div-algebra-onI [intro]:
  fixes basis :: 'b set
  assumes finite-Basis: finite basis
  and independent-Basis: ¬ m1.independent basis
  and span-Basis: m1.span basis = S
  and basis-subset: basis ⊆ S
  shows finite-dimensional-assoc-div-algebra-on S scale amult a-id a-inv basis

```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
theory Linear-Algebra-More
imports
  HOL-Analysis.Analysis
  Smooth-Manifolds.Smooth
  Transfer-Cayley-Hamilton
begin
```

## 2 Continuity of the determinant (and other maps)

```
lemma continuous-on-proj: continuous-on s fst continuous-on s snd
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma continuous-on-plus:
  fixes s::('a × 'a::topological-monoid-add) set
  shows continuous-on s (λ(x,y). x+y)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma continuous-on-times:
  fixes s::('a × 'a::real-normed-algebra) set
  shows continuous-on s (λ(x,y). x*y)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma continuous-on-times':
  fixes s::('a × 'a::topological-monoid-mult) set
  shows continuous-on s (λ(x,y). x*y)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Only functions between *real-normed-vector* spaces can be *bounded-linear*...

```
lemma continuous-on-nth-of-vec:
  fixes s::('a::real-normed-field,'n::finite)vec set
  shows continuous-on s (λx. x $ n)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma bounded-linear-mat-ijth[intro]: bounded-linear (λx. x $ i $ j)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma continuous-on-ijth-of-mat:
  fixes s::('a::real-normed-field,'n::finite)square-matrix set
  shows continuous-on s (λx. x $ i $ j)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma continuous-on-det:
  fixes s::('a::real-normed-field,'n::finite)square-matrix set
  shows continuous-on s det
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma invertible-inv-ex:
  fixes a::'a::semiring-1^n^n
  assumes invertible a
  shows (matrix-inv a)**a = mat 1 a** (matrix-inv a) = mat 1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

A similar result to the below already exists for fields, see e.g. *invertible-left-inverse*. This is more general, as it applies to any semiring (with 1).

```
lemma invertible-matrix-inv:
  fixes a::'a::semiring-1^n^n
  assumes invertible a
  shows invertible (matrix-inv a)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

### 3 Component expressions for inverse matrices over fields

```
lemma inv-adj-det-field-component:
  fixes i j::n::finite and A A'::'a::field^n^n
  defines invA: A' ≡ map-matrix (λx. x / (det A)) (adjugate A)
  assumes invertible A
  shows (A**A')$i$j = (if i=j then 1 else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inverse-adjugate-det-2:
  fixes A::'a::field^n^n
  assumes invertible A
  shows matrix-inv A = map-matrix (λx. x / (det A)) (adjugate A)
  (is matrix-inv A = ?A')
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inverse-adjugate-det:
  fixes A::'a::field^n^n
  assumes invertible A
  shows matrix-inv A = (1 / (det A)) *s (adjugate A)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma transpose-component: (transpose A) $i$j = A$j$i
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma matrix-inverse-component:
  fixes A::'a::field^n^n and i j::n::finite
  assumes invertible A
  shows (matrix-inv A)$i$j = det (χ k l. if k = j ∧ l = i then 1 else if k = j ∨ l = i then 0 else A $ k $ l) / (det A)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma matrix-adjugate-component:
  fixes A::'a::fieldn and i j::'n::finite
  assumes invertible A
  shows (adjugate A)$i$j = det (χ k l. if k = j ∧ l = i then 1 else if k = j ∨ l = i then 0 else A $ k $ l)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 4 Smoothness of real matrix operations and $\det$

### 4.1 Smoothness of matrix multiplication

```

lemma smooth-on-ijth-of-mat:
  fixes s::('a::real-normed-field,'n::finite)square-matrix set
  shows smooth-on s (λx. x $ i $ j)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Notice the following result holds only for matrices over the real numbers. (Try removing the type annotations: Isabelle automatically casts to the indicated type anyway.) This is because only real inner product spaces are defined: thus whatever "base field" a matrix is defined over, is implicitly assumed to also be a real inner product space (as is possible, for example, for  $\mathbb{C}$  with the normal inner product of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), and the inner product is built on top of the existing one to return a *real* result.

```

lemma matrix-matrix-mul-component-real:
  fixes A::realkn
  and B::realmk
  shows A**B = (χ i j. inner (row i A) (column j B))
  and A**B = (χ i j. inner (A$i) (transpose B$j))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma matrix-inner-sum:
  shows x · y = (∑ i∈UNIV. ∑ j∈UNIV. (x$i·y$j))
  and x · y = (∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (x$i·y$j))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma matrix-norm-sum-sqrs:
  shows norm x = sqrt(∑ i∈UNIV. ∑ j∈UNIV. (norm (x$i))2)
  and norm x = sqrt(∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (norm (x$i))2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma norm-transpose:
  shows norm x = norm (transpose x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma matrix-norm-inner:
  fixes x::realnm
  shows norm x = sqrt(∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (x\$i\$j)•(x\$i\$j))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-norm-row:
  shows norm x = sqrt(∑ i∈UNIV. (norm (row i x))2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-norm-column:
  shows norm x = sqrt(∑ j∈UNIV. (norm (column j x))2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-mul-indexed: (A**B)ij = (∑ k∈UNIV. A  $\$$  i  $\$$  k * B  $\$$  k  $\$$  j)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma norm-matrix-mult-ineq:
  fixes A :: realln
  and B :: realml
  shows norm (A ** B) ≤ norm A * norm B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bounded-bilinear-matrix-mult: bounded-bilinear ((**)
  :: reallm ⇒ realnl ⇒ realnm)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma smooth-on-matrix-mult:
  fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector ⇒ (realnm)
  assumes k-smooth-on S f k-smooth-on S g open S
  shows k-smooth-on S (λx. f x ** g x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 4.2 Smoothness of $\prod$ and $\det$

```

lemma higher-differentiable-on-prod:
  fixes f:: - ⇒ - ⇒ 'c:{real-normed-algebra, comm-monoid-mult}
  assumes ∏i. i ∈ F ⇒ finite F ⇒ higher-differentiable-on S (f i) n open S
  shows higher-differentiable-on S (λx. ∏i∈F. f i x) n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth-on-prod:
  fixes f:: - ⇒ - ⇒ 'c:{real-normed-algebra, comm-monoid-mult}

```

```

assumes ( $\bigwedge i. i \in F \implies \text{finite } F \implies k\text{-smooth-on } S (f i)$ ) open  $S$ 
shows  $k\text{-smooth-on } S (\lambda x. \prod i \in F. f i x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma smooth-on-det:
fixes  $s::('a::real-normed-field, 'n::finite) \text{square-matrix set}$ 
assumes open  $s$ 
shows  $k\text{-smooth-on } s \det$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

### 4.3 Smoothness of matrix inversion

```

lemma invertible-mat-1: invertible (mat 1)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma continuous-on-vec:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. \text{continuous-on } S (\lambda x. f x \$ i)$ 
shows continuous-on  $S f$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma frechet-derivative-eucl:
fixes  $f::'a::\text{euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{real-normed-vector}$ 
assumes  $f$  differentiable at  $x$ 
shows frechet-derivative  $f$  (at  $x$ ) =
 $(\lambda v. \sum i \in \text{Basis}. (v \cdot i) *_R \text{frechet-derivative } f \text{ (at } x) i)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

TODO! This should maybe be changed in *Finite-Cartesian-Product.norm-le-l1-cart*. That result only works for  $\text{real}^n$ , this one should work for all ' $a:\text{real-normed-vector}$ '.

```

lemma norm-le-l1-cart': norm  $x \leq \text{sum}(\lambda i. \text{norm} (x\$i))$  UNIV
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bounded-linear-vec-nth-fun:
fixes  $f::'a::\text{real-normed-vector} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{real-normed-vector}^m$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. \text{bounded-linear} (\lambda x. (f x)\$i)$ 
shows bounded-linear  $f$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma has-derivative-vec-lambda [derivative-intros]:
fixes  $f::'a::\text{real-normed-vector} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{real-normed-vector}^m$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. ((\lambda x. (f x)\$i) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x. (f' x)\$i)) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$ 
shows  $(f \text{ has-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma has-derivative-vec-lambda-2:
fixes  $f::'a::\text{real-normed-vector} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{real-normed-vector}^m$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. ((\lambda x. (f x)\$i) \text{ has-derivative } (f' i)) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$ 
shows  $(f \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x. \chi i. f' i x)) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma differentiable-componentwise:
  fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector $^m$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. (\lambda x. f x \$ i)$  differentiable (at x within s)
  shows f differentiable (at x within s)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma frechet-derivative-vec:
  fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector $^m$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. (\lambda x. f x \$ i)$  differentiable (at x)
  shows frechet-derivative f (at x) =  $(\lambda v. \chi i. (frechet-derivative (\lambda x. f x \$ i) (at x) v))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma higher-differentiable-on-vec:
  fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector $^m$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. \text{higher-differentiable-on } S (\lambda x. (f x) \$ i) n$ 
    and open S
  shows higher-differentiable-on S f n
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma smooth-on-vec:
  fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector $^m$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. k\text{-smooth-on } S (\lambda x. (f x) \$ i) \text{ open } S$ 
  shows k-smooth-on S f
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma smooth-on-mat:
  fixes f::('a::real-normed-vector)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b::real-normed-vector $^k$  $^l$ )
  assumes  $\bigwedge i j. k\text{-smooth-on } S (\lambda x. (f x) \$ i \$ j) \text{ open } S$ 
  shows k-smooth-on S f
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

This type constraint is annoying. The *euclidean-space* is inherited from *higher-differentiable-on-compose*, where it is marked as: ‘TODO: can we get around this restriction?’. Notice this type constraint is exactly *real-normed-eucl* as defined in *Classical-Groups*.

```

lemma smooth-on-matrix-inv-component:
  fixes S::('a::{euclidean-space,real-normed-field}) $^n$  $^n$  set
  assumes  $\forall A \in S. \text{invertible } A \text{ open } S$ 
  shows k-smooth-on S  $(\lambda A. (\text{matrix-inv } A) \$ i \$ j)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma fin-sum-over-delta:
  fixes f::'n::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a::semiring-1
  shows  $(\sum (i::'n::finite) \in UNIV. ((if i=j then 1 else 0) * f i)) = f j$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma matrix-is-linear-map:
  fixes A::('a::{real-algebra-1,comm-semiring-1})^m^n — again, real-based entries
  only...
  shows linear ((v) A) ∧ matrix ((v) A) = A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth-on-matrix-inv:
  assumes ∀ A. A ∈ S → invertible A open S
  shows k-smooth-on S (matrix-inv:'a::{euclidean-space,real-normed-field})^n^n
  ⇒ 'a^n^n
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 5 Smooth vector fields

```

theory Smooth-Vector-Fields
imports
  More-Manifolds
begin

```

Type synonyms for use later: these already follow our later split between defining “charts” for the tangent bundle as a product, and talking about vector fields as maps  $p \mapsto v \in T_p M$  as well as sections of the tangent bundle  $M \rightarrow TM$ .

```

type-synonym 'a tangent-bundle = 'a × (('a⇒real)⇒real)
type-synonym 'a vector-field = 'a ⇒ (('a⇒real)⇒real)

```

### 5.1 (Smooth) vector fields on an (entire) manifold.

Since we only get an isomorphism between tangent vectors and directional derivatives in the smooth case of  $k = \infty$ , we create a locale for infinitely smooth manifolds.

```
locale smooth-manifold = c-manifold charts ∞ for charts
```

```
context c-manifold begin
```

#### 5.1.1 Charts for the tangent bundle

```

definition in-TM :: 'a ⇒ (('a⇒real)⇒real) ⇒ bool
  where in-TM p v ≡ p ∈ carrier ∧ v ∈ tangent-space p

abbreviation TM ≡ {(p,v). in-TM p v}

lemma in-TM-E [elim]:

```

**assumes** *in-TM p v*  
**shows** *v ∈ tangent-space p p∈carrier*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *TM-PairE [elim]:*  
**assumes** *(p,v) ∈ TM*  
**shows** *v ∈ tangent-space p p∈carrier*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *TM-E [elim]:*  
**assumes** *x ∈ TM*  
**shows** *snd x ∈ tangent-space (fst x) fst x ∈ carrier*  
*⟨proof⟩*

We can construct a chart for *tangent-space p* given a chart around *p*. Notice the appearance of *charts* in the definition, which specifies that we're charting the set *tangent-space p*, not *c-manifold.tangent-space (charts-submanifold c) ∞ p*.

**definition** *apply-chart-TM :: ('a,'b)chart ⇒ 'a tangent-bundle ⇒ 'b × 'b*  
**where** *apply-chart-TM c ≡ λ(p,v). (c p , c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts ∞ c p v)*

**definition** *inv-chart-TM :: ('a,'b)chart ⇒ ('b × 'b) ⇒ 'a × (('a ⇒ real) ⇒ real)*  
**where** *inv-chart-TM c ≡ λ((p:'b),(v:'b)). (inv-chart c p , c-manifold-point.coordinate-vector charts ∞ c (inv-chart c p) v)*

**definition** *domain-TM :: ('a,'b) chart ⇒ ('a × (('a ⇒ real) ⇒ real)) set*  
**where** *domain-TM c ≡ {(p, v). p ∈ domain c ∧ v ∈ tangent-space p}*

**definition** *codomain-TM :: ('a,'b) chart ⇒ ('b×'b) set*  
**where** *codomain-TM c ≡ {(p, v). p ∈ codomain c}*

**definition** *restrict-chart-TM S c ≡ apply-chart-TM (restrict-chart S c)*  
**definition** *restrict-domain-TM S c ≡ domain-TM (restrict-chart S c)*  
**definition** *restrict-codomain-TM S c ≡ codomain-TM (restrict-chart S c)*  
**definition** *restrict-inv-chart-TM S c ≡ inv-chart-TM (restrict-chart S c)*

### 5.1.2 Proofs about *apply-chart-TM* that mimic the properties of *('a, 'b) chart*.

**lemma** *domain-TM:*  
**assumes** *c ∈ atlas*  
**shows** *domain-TM c ⊆ TM*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *codomain-TM-alt: codomain-TM c = codomain c × (UNIV :: 'b set)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *open-codomain-TM:*

```

assumes  $c \in \text{atlas}$ 
shows  $\text{open}(\text{codomain-TM } c)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

context smooth-manifold begin

lemma apply-chart-TM-inverse [simp]:
assumes  $c: c \in \text{atlas}$ 
shows  $\bigwedge p v. (p,v) \in \text{domain-TM } c \implies \text{inv-chart-TM } c (\text{apply-chart-TM } c (p,v)) = (p,v)$ 
and  $\bigwedge x u. (x,u) \in \text{codomain-TM } c \implies \text{apply-chart-TM } c (\text{inv-chart-TM } c (x,u)) = (x,u)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma image-domain-TM-eq:
assumes  $c \in \text{atlas}$ 
shows  $\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ \text{domain-TM } c = \text{codomain-TM } c$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inv-image-codomain-TM-eq:
assumes  $c \in \text{atlas}$ 
shows  $\text{inv-chart-TM } c \circ \text{codomain-TM } c = \text{domain-TM } c$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in c-manifold) restrict-domain-TM-intersection:
shows  $\text{restrict-domain-TM}(\text{domain } c1 \cap \text{domain } c2) c1 = \text{domain-TM } c1 \cap \text{domain-TM } c2$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in c-manifold) restrict-domain-TM-intersection':
shows  $\text{restrict-domain-TM}(\text{domain } c1 \cap \text{domain } c2) c2 = \text{domain-TM } c1 \cap \text{domain-TM } c2$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in c-manifold) restrict-domain-TM:
assumes  $\text{open } S \subseteq \text{domain } c$ 
shows  $\text{restrict-domain-TM } S c = \{(p, v). p \in S \wedge v \in \text{tangent-space } p\}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma image-restrict-domain-TM-eq:
assumes  $c \in \text{atlas}$ 
shows  $\text{restrict-chart-TM } S c \circ \text{restrict-domain-TM } S c = \text{restrict-codomain-TM }$ 

```

$S c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inv-image-restrict-codomain-TM-eq*:  
  **assumes**  $c \in \text{atlas}$   
  **shows**  $\text{restrict-inv-chart-TM } S c \circ \text{restrict-codomain-TM } S c = \text{restrict-domain-TM } S c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *codomain-restrict-chart-TM[simp]*:  
  **assumes**  $c \in \text{atlas open } S$   
  **shows**  $\text{restrict-codomain-TM } S c = \text{codomain-TM } c \cap \text{inv-chart-TM } c - \{(p, v) \mid p \in S \wedge v \in \text{tangent-space } p\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (*in c-manifold*) *image-subset-TM-eq [simp]*:  
  **assumes**  $S \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c$   
  **shows**  $\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ S \subseteq \text{codomain-TM } c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (*in c-manifold*) *image-subset-restrict-TM-eq [simp]*:  
  **assumes**  $T \subseteq \text{restrict-domain-TM } S c$   
  **shows**  $\text{restrict-chart-TM } S c \circ T \subseteq \text{restrict-codomain-TM } S c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-chart-domain-Int*:  
  **assumes**  $c1 \in \text{atlas}$   
  **shows**  $\text{apply-chart-TM } c1 \circ (\text{domain-TM } c1 \cap \text{domain-TM } c2) = \text{restrict-chart-TM } (\text{domain } c1 \cap \text{domain } c2) \circ (\text{restrict-domain-TM } (\text{domain } c1 \cap \text{domain } c2) \circ c1)$   
  (**is**  $\langle ?TM\text{-dom-Int} = ?\text{restr-TM-dom} \rangle$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *open-intersection-TM*:  
  **assumes**  $c1 \in \text{atlas}$   
  **shows**  $\text{open } (\text{apply-chart-TM } c1 \circ (\text{domain-TM } c1 \cap \text{domain-TM } c2))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *apply-restrict-chart-TM*:  
  **assumes**  $c: c \in \text{atlas}$  **and**  $S: \text{open } S \subseteq \text{domain } c$   $x \in \text{restrict-domain-TM } S c$   
  **shows**  $\text{apply-chart-TM } c x = \text{restrict-chart-TM } S c x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inverse-restrict-chart-TM*:

```

assumes c:  $c \in \text{atlas}$  and S:  $\text{open } S$   $S \subseteq \text{domain } c$   $x \in \text{restrict-codomain-TM } S$ 
c
shows  $\text{inv-chart-TM } c x = \text{restrict-inv-chart-TM } S c x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in  $c\text{-manifold-point}$ )  $d\kappa\text{-inv-directional-derivative-eq}:$ 
assumes  $k = \infty$ 
shows  $d\kappa^{-1}(\text{directional-derivative } k (\psi p) x) = \text{restrict0}(\text{diffeo-}\psi.\text{dest.diff-fun-space})$ 
 $(\lambda f. \text{frechet-derivative } f (\text{at } (\psi p)) x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth-on-compat-charts-TM:
assumes  $c1 \in \text{atlas}$   $c2 \in \text{atlas}$ 
shows smooth-on ( $c1`(\text{domain } c1 \cap \text{domain } c2) \times \text{UNIV}$ )
 $(\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative } ((\lambda y. (\text{restrict-chart } (\text{domain } c1 \cap \text{domain } c2) c2) y \cdot$ 
 $i) \circ \text{inv-chart } (\text{restrict-chart } (\text{domain } c1 \cap \text{domain } c2) c1)) (\text{at } (\text{fst } x)) (\text{snd } x))$ 
(is ⟨smooth-on ?D  $(\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative } ((\lambda y. ?r2 y \cdot i) \circ ?r1i) (\text{at } (\text{fst } x))$ 
 $(\text{snd } x))$ )
⟨proof⟩
lemma atlas-TM:
assumes  $c1 \in \text{atlas}$   $c2 \in \text{atlas}$ 
shows smooth-on ( $(\text{apply-chart-TM } c1) ` (\text{domain-TM } c1 \cap \text{domain-TM } c2)$ )
 $((\text{apply-chart-TM } c2) \circ (\text{inv-chart-TM } c1))$ 
(is ⟨smooth-on (?c1 ` (?dom1 ∩ ?dom2)) ((?c2) ∘ (?i1))⟩)
⟨proof⟩

lemma atlas-TM':
assumes  $c1 \in \text{atlas}$   $c2 \in \text{atlas}$ 
shows smooth-on ( $(\text{apply-chart-TM } c2) ` (\text{domain-TM } c1 \cap \text{domain-TM } c2)$ )
 $((\text{apply-chart-TM } c1) \circ (\text{inv-chart-TM } c2))$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 5.1.3 Differentiability of vector fields

context  $c\text{-manifold}$  begin

abbreviation  $k\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM-at-in} :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)\text{chart} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a$   
 $\text{tangent-bundle}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where  $k\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM-at-in } k' x c X \equiv x \in \text{domain } c \wedge X` \text{domain } c \subseteq$   
 $\text{domain-TM } c \wedge k'\text{-smooth-on } (\text{codomain } c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c)$

— Compare this definition to  $\text{diff-axioms } ?k ?charts1.0 ?charts2.0 ?f \equiv \forall x. x \in$   
 $\text{manifold.carrier } ?charts1.0 \longrightarrow (\exists c1 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas} ?charts1.0 ?k. \exists c2 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas}$

?charts2.0 ?k.  $x \in \text{domain } c1 \wedge ?f \circ \text{domain } c1 \subseteq \text{domain } c2 \wedge ?k\text{-smooth-on}(\text{codomain } c1) (\text{apply-chart } c2 \circ ?f \circ \text{inv-chart } c1)$ ). It's the same, except the charts for TM aren't of type ('a, 'b) chart.

**definition**  $k\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM}$  ( $\langle\!\langle -\text{diff}'\text{-from}'M'\text{-to}'TM \rangle\!\rangle [1000]$ )  
**where**  $\text{diff-from-M-to-TM-def: } k'\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM } X \equiv \forall x. x \in \text{carrier} \longrightarrow (\exists c \in \text{atlas}. k\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM-at-in } k' x c X)$

**abbreviation**  $\text{continuous-from-M-to-TM} \equiv 0\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM}$

**abbreviation** (in smooth-manifold)  $\text{smooth-from-M-to-TM} \equiv k\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM}$   
 $\infty$

**lemma**  $\text{diff-from-M-to-TM-E:}$

**assumes**  $k'\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM } X x \in \text{carrier}$   
**obtains**  $c$  **where**  $c \in \text{atlas } x \in \text{domain } c X \circ \text{domain } c \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c k'\text{-smooth-on}(\text{codomain } c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c)$   
 $\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{continuous-from-M-to-TM-D:}$

**assumes**  $\text{continuous-from-M-to-TM } X x \in \text{carrier}$   
**obtains**  $c$  **where**  $c \in \text{atlas } x \in \text{domain } c X \circ \text{domain } c \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c \text{ continuous-on}(\text{codomain } c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c)$   
 $\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**definition**  $\text{section-of-TM-def: section-of-TM-on } S X \equiv \forall p \in S. (X p) \in \text{TM} \wedge \text{fst}(X p) = p$

**abbreviation**  $\text{section-of-TM} \equiv \text{section-of-TM-on carrier}$

**lemma**  $\text{section-of-TM-subset:}$

**assumes**  $\text{section-of-TM-on } S X T \subseteq S$   
**shows**  $\text{section-of-TM-on } T X$   
 $\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{section-domain-TM:}$

**assumes**  $\text{section-of-TM-on } (\text{domain } c) X$   
**shows**  $X \circ \text{domain } c \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c$   
 $\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{section-domain-TM':}$

**assumes**  $\text{section-of-TM } X c \in \text{atlas}$   
**shows**  $X \circ \text{domain } c \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c$   
 $\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{section-vimage-domain-TM:}$

**assumes**  $\text{section-of-TM } X c \in \text{atlas}$   
**shows**  $\text{carrier} \cap X \circ \text{domain-TM } c = \text{domain } c$   
 $\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**end**

**context** smooth-manifold **begin**

Show that a smooth/differentiable vector field is smooth in any chart. This would be  $\llbracket \text{diff } ?k \ ?charts1.0 \ ?charts2.0 \ ?f; ?d1.0 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas} \ ?charts1.0 \ ?k; ?d2.0 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas} \ ?charts2.0 \ ?k \rrbracket \implies ?k\text{-smooth-on}(\text{codomain } ?d1.0 \cap \text{inv-chart } ?d1.0 -`(\text{manifold.carrier } ?charts1.0 \cap ?f -` \text{domain } ?d2.0)) (\text{apply-chart } ?d2.0 \circ ?f \circ \text{inv-chart } ?d1.0)$  if we could write  $TM$  as a  $c\text{-manifold}$ ; it relies on the compatibility of charts for  $TM$  given in  $\llbracket \text{smooth-manifold } ?charts; ?c1.0 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas} \ ?charts \infty; ?c2.0 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas} \ ?charts \infty \rrbracket \implies \text{smooth-on}(\text{c-manifold.apply-chart-TM} \ ?charts \ ?c1.0 `(\text{c-manifold.domain-TM} \ ?charts \infty ?c1.0 \cap \text{c-manifold.domain-TM} \ ?charts \infty ?c2.0)) (\text{c-manifold.apply-chart-TM} \ ?charts \ ?c2.0 \circ \text{c-manifold.inv-chart-TM} \ ?charts \ ?c1.0)$ .

**lemma** diff-from-M-to-TM-chartsD:

**assumes**  $X: k\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM } k' X \text{ section-of-TM } X$  **and**  $c: c \in \text{atlas}$   
**shows**  $k'\text{-smooth-on}(\text{codomain } c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** smooth-section-of-TM  $X \equiv \text{section-of-TM } X \wedge \text{smooth-from-M-to-TM } X$

**abbreviation** set-of-smooth-sections-of-TM ( $\mathfrak{X}$ )

**where** set-of-smooth-sections-of-TM  $\equiv \{X. \text{smooth-section-of-TM } X\}$

**lemma** in $\mathfrak{X}$ -E:

**assumes**  $X \in \mathfrak{X} p \in \text{carrier}$   
**shows**  $(\exists c \in \text{atlas}. p \in \text{domain } c \wedge X ` \text{domain } c \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c \wedge \text{smooth-on}(\text{codomain } c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c))$   
**and**  $\text{snd}(X p) \in \text{tangent-space } p$   
**and**  $\text{fst}(X p) = p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** in $\mathfrak{X}$ -chartsD:

**assumes**  $X \in \mathfrak{X} c \in \text{atlas}$   
**shows**  $\text{smooth-on}(\text{codomain } c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

A vector field is smooth if it is smooth as a map  $M \rightarrow TM$ . As a shortcut, we define a smooth vector field as one that is smooth in the chart - this avoids problems with defining a  $('a \times (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}), 'b)$  chart. We also introduce a duality of predicates with strongly related meaning: this allows us to consider vector fields as either maps  $'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ , i.e. mapping a point to a vector; or maps  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \times (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real})$ ,

i.e. sections of  $TM$  properly speaking.

**context** *c-manifold* **begin**

**definition** *rough-vector-field* :: 'a vector-field  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**where** *rough-vector-field*  $X \equiv$  extensional0 carrier  $X \wedge (\forall p \in \text{carrier}. X p \in \text{tangent-space } p)$

**lemma** *rough-vector-fieldE* [elim]:  
**assumes** *rough-vector-field*  $X$   
**shows**  $\bigwedge p. X p \in \text{tangent-space } p$  extensional0 carrier  $X$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *rough-vector-field-subset*:  
**assumes** *rough-vector-field*  $X T \subseteq \text{carrier}$   
**shows** *rough-vector-field* (*restrict0*  $T X$ )  
*{proof}*

**end**

**abbreviation** (*input*) *vec-field-apply-fun* :: 'a vector-field  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  
(*infix*  $\circ\circ 100$ )  
**where** *vec-field-apply-fun*  $X f \equiv \lambda p. X p f$

**lemma** (in *c-manifold*) *vec-field-apply-fun-cong*:  
**assumes**  $X$ : *rough-vector-field*  $X$  **and**  $U$ : open  $U U \subseteq \text{carrier} \forall x \in U. f x = g x$   
**and**  $f: f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$  **and**  $g: g \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   
**shows**  $\forall p \in U. X p f = X p g$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** (in *c-manifold*) *ext0-vec-field-apply-fun*:  
**assumes**  $X$ : *rough-vector-field*  $X$   
**shows** extensional0 diff-fun-space (*vec-field-apply-fun*  $X$ )  
*{proof}*

## 5.2 Smoothness criterion for a vector field in a single chart.

A smooth vector field is one that is infinitely differentiable when expanded in the charting Euclidean space using  $\llbracket c\text{-manifold-point } ?charts ?k ?\psi ?p; ?v \in c\text{-manifold.tangent-space } ?charts ?k ?p; ?k = \infty \rrbracket \implies ?v = (\sum i \in \text{Basis. } c\text{-manifold-point.component-function } ?charts ?k ?\psi ?p ?v i *_R c\text{-manifold-point.coordinate-vector } ?charts ?k ?\psi ?p i)$ . This should be the chart that makes each tangent space into a manifold anyway, but the type constraints are tricky to satisfy.

Since tangent spaces at the same point differ between a manifold and a submanifold, it's important to note that the differentiability condition can be relaxed to only apply to a subset, but the tangent bundle is always the

disjoint union of tangent spaces of the *entire* manifold, which implies the chart function for the tangent space is defined in the entire manifold, not a submanifold.

```
locale smooth-vector-field-local = c-manifold-local charts ∞ ψ for charts ψ +
  fixes X
  assumes vector-field: ∀ p ∈ domain ψ. X p ∈ tangent-space p
    and smooth-in-chart: diff-fun ∞ (charts-submanifold (domain ψ)) (λp. (c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun
  charts ∞ ψ p) (X p))
begin
lemma rough-vector-field: rough-vector-field (restrict0 (domain ψ) X)
  ⟨proof⟩
end
```

**5.2.1 Connecting the types** ' $a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ ' (**used for** *smooth-vector-field-local*)  
**and** ' $a \Rightarrow 'a \times (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real})$ ' (**used for**  $\lambda \text{charts } k. \text{c-manifold.section-of-TM-on charts } k (\text{manifold.carrier charts})$ ).

```
context c-manifold begin
```

```
lemma fst-apply-chart-TM-id [simp]: (fst ∘ (apply-chart-TM ψ ∘ X ∘ inv-chart
ψ)) x = x
  if section-of-TM-on (domain ψ) X ψ ∈ atlas x ∈ codomain ψ for x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

The justification for the definition of *smooth-vector-field-local* is the lemma below, connecting it to the smoothness requirement used to define the set of smooth sections  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

```
lemma apply-chart-TM-chartX:
  fixes X :: ('a ⇒ 'a × (('a ⇒ real) ⇒ real)) and c :: ('a, 'b) chart and chart-X
  :: 'a ⇒ 'b
  defines chart-X ≡ λp. (c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts ∞ c p) (snd
(X p))
  assumes k: k=∞ and X: section-of-TM-on (domain c) X and c: c ∈ atlas
  shows smooth-on (codomain c) (apply-chart-TM c ∘ X ∘ inv-chart c) ←→ diff-fun
  ∞ (charts-submanifold (domain c)) chart-X
  (is ⟨?smooth-in-chart-TM c X ←→ ?diff-domain c chart-X⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
context smooth-vector-field-local begin
```

```
definition chart-X ≡ λp. (c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts ∞ ψ p) (X
p)
```

```
lemma smooth-in-chart-X [simp]: diff-fun ∞ (charts-submanifold (domain ψ))
chart-X
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma apply-chart-TM-chart-X:
  smooth-on (codomain  $\psi$ ) (apply-chart-TM  $\psi$  o ( $\lambda p.$  ( $p$ ,  $X p$ )) o inv-chart  $\psi$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  diff-fun  $\infty$  (charts-submanifold (domain  $\psi$ )) chart-X
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

### 5.2.2 Some theorems about smooth vector fields, locally and globally.

**context**  $c\text{-manifold-local}$  **begin**

It is often convenient to keep a stronger handle on which chart we're (locally) working in. Since the first component of the *apply-chart-TM* is just the identity, we can safely omit it for a lot of our reasoning about smoothness in a chart (see  $\llbracket \text{section-of-TM-on} (\text{domain } ?\psi) ?X; ?\psi \in \text{atlas}; ?x \in \text{codomain } ?\psi \rrbracket \implies (\text{fst} \circ (\text{apply-chart-TM } ?\psi \circ ?X \circ \text{inv-chart } ?\psi)) ?x = ?x$  and  $\llbracket k = \infty; \text{section-of-TM-on} (\text{domain } ?c) ?X; ?c \in \text{atlas} \rrbracket \implies \text{smooth-on} (\text{codomain } ?c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } ?c \circ ?X \circ \text{inv-chart } ?c) = \text{diff-fun } \infty (\text{charts-submanifold} (\text{domain } ?c)) (\lambda p. c\text{-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun} \text{charts } \infty ?c p (\text{snd} (?X p)))$ ).

```

definition vector-field-component :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  real
  where vector-field-component  $X i \equiv \lambda p.$  ( $c\text{-manifold-point.component-function}$ 
  charts  $k \psi p$ ) ( $X p$ )  $i$ 
definition coordinate-vector-field :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  real)
  where coordinate-vector-field  $i p \equiv c\text{-manifold-point.coordinate-vector charts } k \psi$ 
   $p i$ 

```

Eqn. 8.2, page 175, Lee 2012

```

lemma vector-field-local-representation:
  assumes  $k: k = \infty$  and  $X: \text{rough-vector-field } X$  and  $p: p \in \text{domain } \psi$ 
  shows  $X p = (\sum_{i \in \text{Basis.}} (\text{vector-field-component } X i p) *_R (\text{coordinate-vector-field}$ 
 $i p))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition local-coord-at :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  real
  where local-coord-at  $q i \equiv \text{restrict0} (\text{domain } \psi) (\lambda y::'a. (\psi y - \psi q) \cdot i)$ 

```

```

lemma local-coord-diff-fun:
  assumes  $k: k = \infty$  and  $q: q \in \text{domain } \psi$ 
  shows local-coord-at  $q i \in \text{sub-}\psi.\text{sub.diff-fun-space}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** vector-apply-coord-at:

```

fixes  $x_\psi$  defines [simp]:  $x_\psi \equiv \text{local-coord-at}$ 
assumes  $q: q \in \text{domain } \psi$  and  $p: p \in \text{domain } \psi$  and  $X: X \in \text{tangent-space } q$  and
 $k: k = \infty$ 
shows  $(d\iota^{-1} q) X (x_\psi p i) = (d\iota^{-1} q) X (x_\psi q i)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

**end**

**context**  $c\text{-manifold}$  **begin**

**abbreviation** (input)  $\text{real-linear-on } S1 S2 \equiv \text{linear-on } S1 S2 \text{ scaleR scaleR}$

— Sometimes we want to apply a vector field meaningfully to a function that is in the  $c\text{-manifold}.diff\text{-fun\text{-}space}$  of a submanifold (e.g. a single chart). For this to make sense, the function has to be in the correct space, and the submanifold's carrier set has to be open.

**definition**  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at} :: ('a \text{ vector-field}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$   
**where**  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f U q = \text{restrict0 } (\text{tangent-space } q)$   
*(the-inv-into*  
 $(c\text{-manifold.tangent-space } (\text{charts-submanifold } U) k q)$   
 $(\text{diff.push-forward } k (\text{charts-submanifold } U) \text{ charts } (\lambda x. x)))$   
 $(X q) f$

**abbreviation**  $\text{vec-field-restr} :: ('a \text{ vector-field}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ vector-field})$   
**where**  $\text{vec-field-restr } X U q f \equiv \text{restrict0 } U (\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f U) q$   
**notation**  $\text{vec-field-restr} (\langle -|- \rangle [60,60])$

**lemma** (in  $\text{smooth-manifold}$ )  $\text{vec-field-restr}: (X \upharpoonright U) p \in c\text{-manifold.tangent-space}$   
 $(\text{charts-submanifold } U) \infty p$   
**if**  $\text{open } U$   $U \subseteq \text{carrier rough-vector-field } X$  **for**  $U X$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-alt}'$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{open } U$   $q \in U f \in c\text{-manifold.diff-fun-space } (\text{charts-submanifold } U) k$   
 $\text{rough-vector-field } X$   
**shows**  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f U q = (\text{the-inv-into } (c\text{-manifold.tangent-space } (\text{charts-submanifold } U) k q) (\text{diff.push-forward } k (\text{charts-submanifold } U) \text{ charts } (\lambda x. x))) (X q) f$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-alt}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{open } U$   $q \in U f \in c\text{-manifold.diff-fun-space } (\text{charts-submanifold } U) k$   
 $\text{rough-vector-field } X$   
**shows**  $\text{vec-field-restr } X U q f = (\text{the-inv-into } (c\text{-manifold.tangent-space } (\text{charts-submanifold } U) k q) (\text{diff.push-forward } k (\text{charts-submanifold } U) \text{ charts } (\lambda x. x))) (X q) f$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma (in submanifold) vec-field-apply-fun-sub:**  
**assumes**  $q \in \text{carrier}$   $q \in S$   $f \in \text{sub.diff-fun-space}$   $\text{rough-vector-field } X$   
**shows**  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f (S \cap \text{carrier}) q = (\text{the-inv-into} (\text{sub.tangent-space } q) \text{ inclusion.push-forward}) (X q) f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-open[simp]}$ :  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f' U p = X p$   
 $f$   
**if**  $U: p \in U \text{ open}$   $U \subseteq \text{carrier}$   
**and**  $f: f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   $f' \in c\text{-manifold.diff-fun-space} (\text{charts-submanifold } U)$   $k \forall x \in U. f x = f' x$   
**and**  $X: \text{rough-vector-field } X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{open-imp-submanifold}$ :  $\text{submanifold charts } k S \text{ if open } S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas**  $\text{charts-submanifold} = \text{submanifold.charts-submanifold[OF open-imp-submanifold]}$

**lemma**  $\text{charts-submanifold-Int}$ :  
 $\text{manifold.charts-submanifold} (\text{charts-submanifold } U) N = \text{charts-submanifold} (N \cap U)$   
**if**  $\text{open } N \text{ open } U$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-restrict0[simp]}$ :  
 $\text{vec-field-restr } X U p f = \text{vec-field-restr } X N p (\text{restrict0 } N f)$   
**if**  $U: \text{open } U \subseteq \text{carrier}$  **and**  $N: p \in N N \subseteq U \text{ open } N$   
**and**  $f: f \in c\text{-manifold.diff-fun-space} (\text{charts-submanifold } U) k$   
**and**  $X: \text{rough-vector-field } X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in submanifold) vec-field-apply-fun-in-open[simp]**:  
 $\text{vec-field-restr } X S p f' = X p f$   
**if**  $S: S \subseteq \text{carrier}$   
**and**  $N: \text{open } N N \subseteq S p \in N$   
**and**  $f: f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   $f' \in \text{sub.diff-fun-space} \forall x \in N. f x = f' x$   
**and**  $X: \text{rough-vector-field } X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in smooth-manifold) vec-field-apply-fun-in-restrict0'**:  
 $\text{restrict0 } U (Xf) = X \upharpoonright U'' (\text{restrict0 } U f)$   
**if**  $U: \text{open } U \subseteq \text{carrier}$  **and**  $f: f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$  **and**  $X: \text{rough-vector-field } X$   
**for**  $U X f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma (in submanifold) vec-field-apply-fun-in-open['simp]:
  vec-field-restr X S p f' = X p f
  if S: p ∈ S S ⊆ carrier
    and f: f ∈ diff-fun-space f' ∈ sub.diff-fun-space ∀ x∈S. f x = f' x
    and X: rough-vector-field X
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in c-manifold) vec-field-apply-fun-in-chart['simp]:
  vec-field-apply-fun-in-at X f (domain c) p = X p f
  if p: p ∈ domain c and c: c ∈ atlas
    and f: f ∈ diff-fun-space f ∈ c-manifold.diff-fun-space (charts-submanifold
  (domain c)) k
    and X: rough-vector-field X
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

context c-manifold-local begin

lemma vec-field-apply-fun-eq-component:
  fixes  $x_\psi$  defines [simp]:  $x_\psi \equiv \text{local-coord-at}$ 
  assumes q: q ∈ domain  $\psi$  and p: p ∈ domain  $\psi$  and X: rough-vector-field X and
  k: k = ∞
  shows vec-field-apply-fun-in-at X ( $x_\psi$  q i) (domain  $\psi$ ) q = vector-field-component
  X i q
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Prop 8.1, page 175, Lee 2012. The main difference is that our vector field  $X$  here is only a map  $M \rightarrow \text{snd}'TM$ , not a section  $M \rightarrow TM$  properly speaking. See also  $\llbracket k = \infty; \text{section-of-}TM\text{-on } (\text{domain } ?c) ?X; ?c \in \text{atlas} \rrbracket \implies \text{smooth-on } (\text{codomain } ?c) (\text{apply-chart-}TM ?c \circ ?X \circ \text{inv-chart } ?c) = \text{diff-fun } \infty (\text{charts-submanifold } (\text{domain } ?c)) (\lambda p. \text{c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts } \infty ?c p (\text{snd } (?X p)))$ .

```

lemma vector-field-smooth-local-iff:
  assumes k: k = ∞ and X: ∀ p ∈ domain  $\psi$ . X p ∈ tangent-space p
  shows smooth-vector-field-local charts  $\psi$  X  $\longleftrightarrow$  (∀ i ∈ Basis. diff-fun-on (domain
   $\psi$ ) (vector-field-component X i))
  (is ↵?smooth-vf X  $\longleftrightarrow$  (∀ i ∈ Basis. ?diff-component X i))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```

lemma (in smooth-vector-field-local) diff-component':
  fixes i :: 'b
  assumes i ∈ Basis
  shows diff-fun-on (domain ψ) (vector-field-component X i)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**context** smooth-manifold **begin**

Prop. 8.8 in Lee 2012.

Do we want extensional0 vector fields? It would make the usual simplification for writing addition and scaling by real numbers. So  $\mathfrak{X}$  could be a vector space under (+) and scaleR? Maybe a double problem: \*  $\theta$  is ill-defined when 'a is not of the sort zero. \* Also I think the function  $\theta$  always assigns zero, i.e. for a pair it returns the constant  $(0,0)$ . We would want the zero vector field to be  $p \mapsto (p, 0)$  instead.

We will need to use locales anyway if we also want to talk about  $\mathfrak{X}$  as a module over *diff-fun-space*, since that is a set already. - Actually, probably not true, because *extensional0* works out quite neatly.

A predicate analogous to *smooth-vector-field-local*, but for the entire manifold.

```

definition smooth-vector-field :: 'a vector-field ⇒ bool
  where smooth-vector-field X ≡ rough-vector-field X ∧ smooth-from-M-to-TM
    (λp. (p, X p))

```

```

lemma smooth-vector-field-alt:
  smooth-vector-field X ≡ (λp. (p, X p)) ∈  $\mathfrak{X}$  ∧ extensional0 carrier X
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma smooth-vector-field X ≡ (∀ p ∈ carrier. X p ∈ tangent-space p) ∧
  smooth-from-M-to-TM (λp. (p, X p)) ∧
  extensional0 carrier X
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma smooth-vector-fieldE [elim]:
  assumes smooth-vector-field X
  shows ∀p. X p ∈ tangent-space p extensional0 carrier X rough-vector-field X
  smooth-from-M-to-TM (λp. (p, X p))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma smooth-vector-field-imp-local:
  assumes smooth-vector-field X ψ ∈ atlas
  shows smooth-vector-field-local charts ψ X
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma smooth-vector-field-imp-local':
  fixes X ψ Xψ defines Xψ ≡ restrict0 (domain ψ) X

```

**assumes** smooth-vector-field  $X \psi \in \text{atlas}$   
**shows** smooth-vector-field-local charts  $\psi X_\psi$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** smooth-vector-field-if-local:  
**assumes**  $\forall p \in \text{carrier}. \exists c \in \text{atlas}. p \in \text{domain } c \wedge \text{smooth-vector-field-local charts } c X \text{ extensional0 carrier } X$   
**shows** smooth-vector-field  $X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** smooth-vector-field-iff-local:  
**assumes** extensional0 carrier  $X$   
**shows**  $(\forall c \in \text{atlas}. \text{smooth-vector-field-local charts } c X) \longleftrightarrow \text{smooth-vector-field } X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma** (in smooth-manifold) smooth-vector-field-local:  
**assumes**  $c \in \text{atlas} \forall p \in \text{domain } c. X p \in \text{tangent-space } p$   
**shows** smooth-vector-field-local charts  $c X \longleftrightarrow$   
 $\text{smooth-on}(\text{codomain } c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ (\lambda p. (p, X p)) \circ \text{inv-chart } c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in  $c$ -manifold) diff-fun-deriv-chart':  
**fixes**  $i::'b$   
**assumes**  $c:c \in \text{atlas}$  and  $f:\text{diff-fun-on}(\text{domain } c) f$  and  $k: k > 0$   
**shows** diff-fun  $(k-1)$  (charts-submanifold (domain  $c$ ))  $(\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative} (f \circ \text{inv-chart } c) (\text{at } (c x)) i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** diff-fun-deriv-chart:  
**fixes**  $i::'b$   
**assumes**  $c:c \in \text{atlas}$  and  $f:\text{diff-fun-on}(\text{domain } c) f$   
**shows** diff-fun  $\infty$  (charts-submanifold (domain  $c$ ))  $(\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative} (f \circ \text{inv-chart } c) (\text{at } (c x)) i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in  $c$ -manifolds) diff-localI2: diff  $k$  charts1 charts2  $f$   
**if**  $\forall x \in \text{src}.\text{carrier}. (\exists U. \text{diff } k (\text{src.charts-submanifold } U) \text{ charts2 } f \wedge \text{open } U \wedge x \in U)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 5.3 Smooth vector fields as maps $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ .

Proposition 8.14 in Lee 2012.

```

lemma vector-field-smooth-iff:
  assumes X: rough-vector-field X
  shows smooth-vector-field X  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ .  $(X'' f) \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ )
    (is  $\langle ?LHS \longleftrightarrow ?RHS1 \rangle$ )
  and smooth-vector-field X  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall U f$ . open U  $\wedge$  U  $\subseteq$  carrier  $\wedge$   $f \in (c\text{-manifold}.\text{diff-fun-space}$ 
    (charts-submanifold U)  $\infty$ )  $\longrightarrow$ 
                                diff-fun  $\infty$  (charts-submanifold U)
  (vec-field-apply-fun-in-at X f U))
    (is  $\langle ?LHS \longleftrightarrow ?RHS2 \rangle$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma vector-field-smooth-iff':
  fixes C-inf
  defines  $\bigwedge U$ . C-inf U  $\equiv$  c-manifold.diff-fun-space (charts-submanifold U)  $\infty$ 
  assumes X: rough-vector-field X
  shows smooth-vector-field X  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ .  $(X'' f) \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ )
    and smooth-vector-field X  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall U f$ . open U  $\wedge$  U  $\subseteq$  carrier  $\wedge$   $f \in C\text{-inf } U$ 
   $\longrightarrow$ 
                                diff-fun-on U ( $X|U'' f$ ))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma smooth-vf-diff-fun-space:
  assumes X: smooth-vector-field X
  and f:  $f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ 
  shows  $Xf \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**end**

### 5.4 Smooth vector fields are derivations

**context** *c-manifold begin*

— Generalising *is-derivation* (which might have been called *is-derivation-at*) over the carrier set. Relative to that definition, we also add a condition on the codomain.

```

definition is-derivation-on ::  $(('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real})) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  is-derivation-on D  $\equiv$  real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space D  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ .  $\forall g \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ .  $D(f*g) = f*(D g) +$ 
      $g*(D f)$ )  $\wedge$ 
    D ‘ diff-fun-space  $\subseteq$  diff-fun-space

```

```

lemma vec-field-linear-on:
  assumes X: rough-vector-field X
    and b: b1 ∈ diff-fun-space b2 ∈ diff-fun-space
  shows X''(b1+b2) = (Xb1 + Xb2) X''(r *R b1) = (r *R(Xb1))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma linear-on-vec-field:
  assumes rough-vector-field X
  shows real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space (⟨⟩) X
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma product-rule-vf:
  assumes X: rough-vector-field X
    and f ∈ diff-fun-space g ∈ diff-fun-space
  shows X''(f*g) = f * (X'' g) + g * (X'' f)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```
context smooth-manifold begin
```

```

lemma vector-field-is-derivation:
  assumes X: smooth-vector-field X
  shows is-derivation-on (λf. Xf)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 5.5 Derivations are smooth vector fields

```

lemma extensional-derivation-is-smooth-vector-field:
  fixes D :: ('a⇒real) ⇒ ('a⇒real) and X :: 'a⇒('a⇒real) ⇒ real
  defines [simp]: X ≡ λp. λf. D f p
  assumes der-D: is-derivation-on D
    and ext-X: extensional0 carrier X
    and ext-D: extensional0 diff-fun-space D
  shows smooth-vector-field X
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma extensional-derivation-is-smooth-vector-field':
  fixes D :: ('a⇒real) ⇒ ('a⇒real)
  assumes der-D: is-derivation-on D
    and ext-X: extensional0 carrier (λp f. D f p)
    and ext-D: extensional0 diff-fun-space D
  obtains X where smooth-vector-field X and ∀f∈diff-fun-space. D f = Xf
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem smooth-vector-field-iff-derivation:
  fixes extensional-derivation defines ∧D. extensional-derivation D ≡
    is-derivation-on D ∧ extensional0 carrier (λp f. D f p) ∧ extensional0 diff-fun-space

```

```

 $D$ 
shows smooth-vector-field  $X \Rightarrow$  extensional-derivation  $(\lambda f. X'' f)$ 
and extensional-derivation  $D \Rightarrow$  smooth-vector-field  $(\lambda p f. D f p)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

## 6 The Lie bracket of smooth vector fields

```

theory Manifold-Lie-Bracket
imports
  Smooth-Vector-Fields
  Algebra-On
begin

definition lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields :: 'a vector-field  $\Rightarrow$  'a vector-field  $\Rightarrow$  'a vector-field
  where lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields  $X Y \equiv \lambda p::'a. \lambda f::'a \Rightarrow real. X p (Y'' f) - Y p (X'' f)$ 

notation lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields ( $\langle [-; -] \rangle$  [65,65])

lemma lie-bracket-def:  $[X; Y] p f = X p (Yf) - Y p (Xf)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context c-manifold begin

6.1 General lemmas

lemma is-derivation-uminus: is-derivation  $(-x) p$  if  $x$ : is-derivation  $x p$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is-derivation-minus: is-derivation  $(x - y) p$ 
if  $x$ : is-derivation  $x p$  and  $y$ : is-derivation  $y p$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma diff-fun-space-minus:  $f - g \in$  diff-fun-space
if  $f \in$  diff-fun-space  $g \in$  diff-fun-space
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rough-vector-field-add:
assumes rough-vector-field  $X$  rough-vector-field  $Y$ 
shows rough-vector-field  $(X + Y)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation (input) scaleR-vf  $\equiv$  scaleR :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a vector-field  $\Rightarrow$  'a vector-field

```

```
lemma scaleR-vf: scaleR-vf = ( $\lambda r\ X\ p\ f.\ r * X\ p\ f$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma rough-vector-field-scaleR:  
  assumes rough-vector-field X  
  shows rough-vector-field (scaleR-vf a X)  
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

## 6.2 Properties of the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{X}$

```
lemma lie-bracket-antisym:  $[X; Y] = -[Y; X]$   
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ext0-lie-bracket:  
  shows extensional0 carrier X  $\Rightarrow$  extensional0 carrier Y  $\Rightarrow$  extensional0 carrier  
   $[X; Y]$   
  and rough-vector-field X  $\Rightarrow$  rough-vector-field Y  $\Rightarrow$  extensional0 diff-fun-space  
  (vec-field-apply-fun  $[X; Y]$ )  
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
context smooth-manifold begin
```

A nice computational proof that I try to keep close-ish to Lee's original pen-and-paper [?, p. 186].

```
lemma product-rule-lie-bracket:  
  assumes X: smooth-vector-field X  
  and Y: smooth-vector-field Y  
  and diff-funs:  $f \in$  diff-fun-space  $g \in$  diff-fun-space  
  shows  $[X; Y]''(f * g) = f * [X; Y]''g + g * [X; Y]''f$   
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lie-bracket-is-derivation-on:  
  assumes X: smooth-vector-field X  
  and Y: smooth-vector-field Y  
  shows is-derivation-on ( $\lambda f.\ [X; Y]''f$ )  
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

This is Lee's [?, Lemma 8.25].

```
lemma lie-bracket-closed:  
  assumes X: smooth-vector-field X  
  and Y: smooth-vector-field Y  
  shows smooth-vector-field  $[X; Y]$   
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma
  assumes  $X$ : smooth-vector-field  $X$ 
  and  $Y$ : smooth-vector-field  $Y$ 
  and  $Z$ : smooth-vector-field  $Z$ 
  shows lie-bracket-add-left:  $[X+Y;Z] = [X;Z] + [Y;Z]$ 
  and lie-bracket-add-right:  $[X;Y+Z] = ([X;Y] + [X;Z])$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma
  assumes  $X$ : smooth-vector-field  $X$ 
  and  $Y$ : smooth-vector-field  $Y$ 
  shows lie-bracket-scale-left:  $[scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ X; Y] = scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ [X; Y]$ 
  and lie-bracket-scale-right:  $[X; scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ Y] = scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ [X; Y]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas lie-bracket-bilinear-simps [simp] = lie-bracket-scale-left
                                               lie-bracket-scale-right
                                               lie-bracket-add-left
                                               lie-bracket-add-right

```

```

lemma (in module-hom-on) diff:
   $b1 \in S1 \implies b2 \in S1 \implies f(b1 - b2) = f b1 - f b2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lie-bracket-jacobi:  $[X; [Y;Z]] + [Y;[Z;X]] + [Z;[X;Y]] = 0$ 
  if  $X$ : smooth-vector-field  $X$ 
  and  $Y$ : smooth-vector-field  $Y$ 
  and  $Z$ : smooth-vector-field  $Z$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition SVF ≡ { $X$ . smooth-vector-field  $X$ }

```

```

lemma lie-algebra-of-smooth-vector-fields: lie-algebra SVF scaleR\text{-}vf lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

```

end

```

```

theory Lie-Group

```

```

imports
  HOL-Analysis.Analysis

```

*HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach*  
*More-Manifolds*

begin

## 7 Definition of Lie Groups (as Locales)

Some abbreviations for easier reading first. A binary operation is colloquially said continuous/smooth/differentiable on a manifold  $M$  if it is so on the product manifold  $M^2$ . We fix the types of the binary operations in two of the definitions below, as the target space is made explicit only in the third (the one using  $\text{diff } \infty$ ).

```
abbreviation (input) continuous-on-product-manifold charts (binop::'a⇒'a⇒'a:{second-countable-topology,t2-
≡
continuous-on (c-manifold-prod.carrier charts charts) (λ(a,b). binop a b)
abbreviation (input) smooth-on-product-manifold charts (binop::'a⇒'a⇒'a:{second-countable-topology,real-n-
≡
smooth-on (c-manifold-prod.carrier charts charts) (λ(a,b). binop a b)
abbreviation (input) diff-on-product-manifold charts binop ≡
diff ∞ (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts charts charts) charts (λ(a,b). binop a b)
```

### 7.1 Topological groups

A group with a topology, such that the group operations are continuous.

```
locale topological-group =
manifold charts + group-on-with carrier tms tms-one dvsn invs
for charts::('a:{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'e:euclidean-space) chart set
and tms tms-one dvsn invs +
assumes cts-mult: continuous-on-product-manifold charts tms
and cts-inv: continuous-on carrier invs
```

### 7.2 Lie groups

A Lie group is a group on a set, but instead of a carrier set, we specify a set of charts, which imply the carrier set as a (smooth) manifold  $M$ . Internally, we consider the product manifold, to define smoothness of multiplication  $M \times M \rightarrow M$ . It may be overkill to keep inverse and division separate, considering *group-on-with* includes an axiom to relate the two, but this is how it's done in other Isabelle theories, so I'll keep it. It gives some extra flexibility, and an intro lemma using the more traditional group parameters (an operation, and an identity) and axioms is already provided in  $\llbracket \forall a \in ?G. \forall b \in ?G. ?mult a b \in ?G; \forall a \in ?G. \forall b \in ?G. \forall c \in ?G. ?mult (?mult a b) c = ?mult a (?mult b c); ?e \in ?G \wedge (\forall a \in ?G. ?mult ?e a = a \wedge ?mult a ?e = a); \forall x \in ?G. \exists y. y \in ?G \wedge ?mult x y = ?e \wedge ?mult y x = ?e \rrbracket \implies \text{group-on-with} ?G ?mult ?e (\lambda x z. ?mult x (\text{THE } y. y \in ?G \wedge ?mult z y = ?e \wedge ?mult y z = ?e)) (\lambda x. \text{THE } y. y \in ?G \wedge ?mult x y = ?e \wedge ?mult y x = ?e)$ .

```

locale lie-group =
  c-manifold charts  $\infty$  + group-on-with carrier tms tms-one dvsn invs
  for charts::('a:{t2-space,second-countable-topology}', 'e::euclidean-space) chart set
  and tms tms-one dvsn invs +
  assumes smooth-mult: diff-on-product-manifold charts tms
  and smooth-inv: diff  $\infty$  charts charts invs

```

We can make a shortened locale for Lie groups where the inversion and division are implied. This does *not* say anything about the implementation of inversion or division outside the carrier set. See also *grp-on*.

```

locale lie-grp =
  c-manifold charts  $\infty$  + grp-on carrier tms one
  for charts::('a:{t2-space,second-countable-topology}', 'e::euclidean-space) chart set
  and tms one +
  — multiplication and inversion are smooth
  assumes smooth-mult: diff-on-product-manifold charts tms
  and smooth-inv: diff  $\infty$  charts charts invs
begin

lemma is-lie-group: lie-group charts tms one mns invs
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale lie-group charts tms one mns invs
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma lie-group-imp-lie-grp:
  assumes lie-group charts pls one any-mns any-invs
  shows lie-grp charts pls one
  ⟨proof⟩

```

We give a few intro rules for the *lie-group* predicate, as well as an Eisbach method for further breaking down the proof of smoothness of the multiplication and inversion maps. This should lead to fairly organised proofs that some structure is a *lie-group*. In general, I would prefer *group-manifold-imp-lie-group2* to *group-manifold-imp-lie-group*.

```

lemma group-manifold-imp-lie-group [intro]:
  assumes is-manifold: c-manifold c  $\infty$ 
  and is-group: group-on-with ( $\bigcup$ (domain 'c)) tms tms-1 dvsn invs
  and smooth-mult: diff  $\infty$  (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts c c) c ( $\lambda(a,b)$ . tms a b)
  and smooth-inv: diff  $\infty$  c c invs
  shows lie-group c tms tms-1 dvsn invs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma group-manifold-imp-lie-group2 [intro]:
  assumes is-manifold: c-manifold c  $\infty$ 
  and is-group: group-on-with ( $\bigcup$ (domain 'c)) tms tms-1 dvsn invs

```

```

and smooth-mult: diff-axioms  $\infty$  (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts c c) c ( $\lambda(a,b).$ 
tms a b)
and smooth-inv: diff-axioms  $\infty$  c c invs
shows lie-group c tms tms-1 dvsn invs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lie-grpI [intro]:
fixes tms tms-1 c
defines invs  $\equiv$  grp-on.invs ( $\bigcup$  (domain ‘ c)) tms tms-1
assumes is-manifold: c-manifold c  $\infty$ 
and is-group: grp-on ( $\bigcup$  (domain ‘ c)) tms tms-1
and smooth-mult: diff-axioms  $\infty$  (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts c c) c ( $\lambda(a,b).$ 
tms a b)
and smooth-inv: diff-axioms  $\infty$  c c invs
shows lie-grp c tms tms-1
⟨proof⟩

```

A small method to unfold the axioms of differentiability of group operations. Allows for succinct goals to be stated while quickly unfolding to a useful level of technicality.

```

method unfold-diff-axioms = (
  unfold diff-axioms-def,
  rule allI,
  rule impI,
  (rule bexI)+,
  (rule conjI),
  rule-tac[2] conjI
)

```

### 7.3 Some lemmas about Lie groups (and other needed results).

```
context lie-group begin
```

```

lemma obtain-chart-cover:
assumes S  $\subseteq$  carrier
obtains C where  $\forall c \in C. c \in \text{atlas} \forall s \in S. \exists c \in C. s \in \text{domain } c$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma open-covered-by-charts:
assumes S  $\subseteq$  carrier open S
obtains C where  $\forall c \in C. c \in \text{atlas} S = \bigcup \{\text{domain } c \mid c \in C\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lie-prod: c-manifold-prod  $\infty$  charts charts
⟨proof⟩

```

```

interpretation lie-prod: c-manifold-prod  $\infty$  charts charts
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma continuous-on-tms:
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier}$ 
  shows continuous-on carrier  $(\lambda y. \text{tms } x \ y)$ 
    and continuous-on carrier  $(\lambda y. \text{tms } y \ x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diff-tms:
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier}$ 
  shows  $\text{diff} \propto \text{charts charts } (\lambda y. \text{tms } x \ y)$ 
    and  $\text{diff} \propto \text{charts charts } (\lambda y. \text{tms } y \ x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diff-tms-invs:
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier}$ 
  shows  $\text{diff} \propto \text{charts charts } (\lambda y. \text{tms } (\text{invs } x) \ y)$ 
    and  $\text{diff} \propto \text{charts charts } (\lambda y. \text{tms } y \ (\text{invs } x))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diff-tms-invs':
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier}$ 
  shows  $\text{diff} \propto \text{charts charts } (\lambda y. \text{tms } x \ (\text{invs } y))$ 
    and  $\text{diff} \propto \text{charts charts } (\lambda y. \text{tms } (\text{invs } y) \ x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

## 8 Morphisms of Lie groups, actions and representations

### 8.1 Morphism of Lie groups.

```

locale lie-group-pair =
  L1: lie-group c1 t1 i1 d1 m1 +
  L2: lie-group c2 t2 i2 d2 m2
  for c1 :: ('a::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'b::euclidean-space) chart set
  and c2 :: ('c::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'd::euclidean-space) chart
  set
  and t1 t2 and i1 i2 and d1 d2 and m1 m2

locale lie-group-morphism-with =
  lie-group-pair c1 c2 t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2 +
  diff  $\propto$  c1 c2 f +
  group-hom-betw L1.carrier L2.carrier t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2 f
  for c1 :: ('a::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'b::euclidean-space) chart set
  and c2 :: ('c::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'd::euclidean-space) chart
  set
  and t1 t2 and i1 i2 and d1 d2 and m1 m2 and f

```

```

lemma (in lie-group-pair) lie-group-morphismI:
  assumes diff  $\infty$  c1 c2 f
    and group-hom:  $\forall x \in L1.\text{carrier}$ .  $\forall y \in L1.\text{carrier}$ .  $f(t1 x y) = t2(f x)(f y)$ 
    and closure:  $\forall x \in L1.\text{carrier}$ .  $f x \in L2.\text{carrier}$ 
  shows lie-group-morphism-with c1 c2 t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2 f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in lie-group) lie-group-morphismI:
  assumes lie-group c2 t2 i2 d2 m2
    and diff  $\infty$  charts c2 f
    and group-hom:  $\forall x \in \text{carrier}$ .  $\forall y \in \text{carrier}$ .  $f(tms x y) = t2(f x)(f y)$ 
    and closure:  $\forall x \in \text{carrier}$ .  $f x \in (\text{manifold}.\text{carrier } c2)$ 
  shows lie-group-morphism-with charts c2 tms t2 tms-one i2 dvsn d2 invs m2 f
  ⟨proof⟩

locale lie-group-isomorphism =
  lie-group-pair c1 c2 t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2 +
  diffeomorphism  $\infty$  c1 c2 ff' +
  group-hom-btw L1.carrier L2.carrier t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2 f
  for c1 :: ('a::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'b::euclidean-space) chart set
    and c2 :: ('c::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'd::euclidean-space) chart set
      and t1 t2 and i1 i2 and d1 d2 and m1 m2 and ff'

```

## 8.2 Action of a Lie group on a manifold.

**abbreviation** (input) diff-action-map g-charts m-charts action ≡  
 $diff \infty (c\text{-manifold}\text{-prod.prod-charts } g\text{-charts } m\text{-charts}) m\text{-charts } action$

A Lie group action is a homomorphism from the Lie group to the automorphism group of a space, here a manifold, which is differentiable (smooth). I take here the more explicit definition given in Kirillov's lecture notes (2008; page 12), and derive the more abstract version later (after showing  $c\text{-manifold}.Diff$  is not just a group, but a Lie group).

Take care: there are now two manifolds, of which the Lie group is the primary one as far as namespace is concerned. Everything pertaining to the manifold acted upon is accessed with qualified syntax. This disappears for Lie groups acting on themselves.

```

locale lie-group-action =
  lie-group charts tms tms-one dvsn invs + M: c-manifold m-charts k
  for charts::('a::{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'e::euclidean-space) chart set
    and tms tms-one dvsn invs
    and m-charts::('b::{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'f::euclidean-space) chart set
      and k +
      fixes action (⟨ $\varrho$ ⟩)
      assumes act-diff:  $g \in carrier \implies (\varrho g) \in M.Diff$ 
      and act-one:  $\varrho \text{ tms-one} = M.Diff\text{-id}$ 

```

**and** *act-hom*:  $f \in G \implies g \in G \implies \varrho(tms f g) = M.\text{Diff-comp}(\varrho f)(\varrho g)$   
**and** *act-diff-prod*: *diff-action-map charts m-charts* ( $\lambda(g,m).$  the  $((\varrho g) m)$ )

After proving Diff is a group, some of these axioms can be replaced.

```
locale lie-group-action' =
  lie-group charts tms tms-one dvsn invs +
  M: c-manifold m-charts k +
  A: group-hom-btw carrier M.Diff tms M.Diff-comp tms-one M.Diff-id dvsn
  M.Diff-comp-inv invs M.Diff-inv  $\varrho$ 
  for charts::('a:{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'e:euclidean-space) chart set
  and tms tms-one dvsn invs
  and m-charts::('b:{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'f:euclidean-space) chart
  set and k
  and  $\varrho :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b \rightarrow 'b)$  +
  assumes diff-action-map: diff-action-map charts m-charts ( $\lambda(g,m).$  the  $((\varrho g) m))$ 
```

### 8.3 Action of a Lie Group on itself.

context lie-group begin

abbreviation (input) left-self-action :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ ' ( $\langle \mathcal{L} \rightarrow [91] \rangle$ )  
**where** left-self-action  $g g' \equiv tms g g'$

abbreviation left-action :: ' $a \Rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'a)$ '  
**where** left-action  $g \equiv (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{carrier} \text{ then Some (left-self-action } g x) \text{ else None})$

abbreviation (input) right-self-action :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ ' ( $\langle \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [91] \rangle$ )  
**where** right-self-action  $g g' \equiv tms g' (invs g)$

abbreviation right-action :: ' $a \Rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'a)$ '  
**where** right-action  $g \equiv (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{carrier} \text{ then Some (right-self-action } g x) \text{ else None})$

abbreviation (input) adjoint-self-action :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ '  
**where** adjoint-self-action  $g g' \equiv tms g (tms g' (invs g))$

#### 8.3.1 The left action.

lemma L-action-in:  $(\text{left-self-action } g g') \in \text{carrier}$  **if**  $g \in \text{carrier}$   $g' \in \text{carrier}$   
 **$\langle proof \rangle$**

lemma the-left-action:  $\text{left-self-action } x y = \text{the (left-action } x y)$  **if**  $y \in \text{carrier}$   
 **$\langle proof \rangle$**

lemma L-action-invs:  $(\text{left-self-action } (\text{invs } x) \circ \text{left-self-action } x) y = y$   
 $(\text{left-self-action } x \circ \text{left-self-action } (\text{invs } x)) y = y$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $y \in \text{carrier}$   
 **$\langle proof \rangle$**

**lemma** *L-homeomorphism*: homeomorphism carrier carrier ( $\mathcal{L} x$ ) ( $\mathcal{L} (\text{invs } x)$ ) **if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *L-homeomorphism'*: homeomorphism carrier carrier ( $\mathcal{L} (\text{invs } x)$ ) ( $\mathcal{L} x$ )  
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *L-homeomorphism-chart*: homeomorphism (domain  $c$ ) ( $\mathcal{L} x` \text{domain } c$ ) ( $\mathcal{L} x$ ) ( $\mathcal{L} (\text{invs } x)$ )  
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *L-homeomorphism-chart'*: homeomorphism ( $\mathcal{L} x` \text{domain } c$ ) (domain  $c$ )  
( $\mathcal{L} (\text{invs } x)$ ) ( $\mathcal{L} x$ )  
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *L-open-map*:  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{carrier}$  open  $S$   $S \subseteq \text{carrier}$   
**shows** open ( $\mathcal{L} x` S$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lift-definition** *L-chart* :: ' $a \Rightarrow ('a,'e)$ ' chart  $\Rightarrow ('a,'e)$  chart  
**is**  $\lambda x. \lambda(d,d',f,f'). \text{if } x \in \text{carrier} \wedge d \subseteq \text{carrier} \text{ then } (\mathcal{L} x` d, d', f \circ \mathcal{L} (\text{invs } x), \mathcal{L} x \circ f') \text{ else } (\{\}, \{\}, f, f')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *L-chart-apply-chart[simp]*: apply-chart (*L-chart*  $x$   $c$ ) = apply-chart  $c \circ \mathcal{L} (\text{invs } x)$   
**and** *L-chart-inv-chart[simp]*: inv-chart (*L-chart*  $x$   $c$ ) =  $\mathcal{L} x \circ \text{inv-chart } c$   
**and** *domain-L-chart[simp]*: domain (*L-chart*  $x$   $c$ ) =  $\mathcal{L} x` \text{domain } c$   
**and** *codomain-L-chart[simp]*: codomain (*L-chart*  $x$   $c$ ) = codomain  $c$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *L-chart-apply-chart'[simp]*: apply-chart (*L-chart*  $x$   $c$ ) = apply-chart  $c \circ \mathcal{L} (\text{invs } x)$   
**and** *L-chart-inv-chart'[simp]*: inv-chart (*L-chart*  $x$   $c$ ) =  $\mathcal{L} x \circ \text{inv-chart } c$   
**and** *domain-L-chart'[simp]*: domain (*L-chart*  $x$   $c$ ) =  $\mathcal{L} x` \text{domain } c$   
**and** *codomain-L-chart'[simp]*: codomain (*L-chart*  $x$   $c$ ) = codomain  $c$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$  domain  $c \subseteq \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *smooth-compat-L-chart*:  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   $c' \in \text{atlas}$   
**shows**  $\infty\text{-smooth-compat } (\text{L-chart } x \text{ } c) \text{ } c'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *L-chart-compat*:  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   
**shows**  $\infty\text{-smooth-compat } c (\text{L-chart } x \ c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *L-chart-in-atlas*:  $\text{L-chart } x \ c \in \text{atlas}$  **if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *left-action-automorphic*:  $c\text{-automorphism } \infty \text{ charts } (\mathcal{L} \ x) (\mathcal{L} (\text{invs } x))$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *left-action-in-Diff*:  $\text{left-action } x \in \text{Diff}$  **if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-the-L*:  $\text{diff } \infty (\text{c-manifold-prod.prod-charts charts charts}) \text{ charts } (\lambda(g, m). \text{the } (\text{left-action } g m))$   
 $(\text{is } \text{diff } \infty \text{ ?prod-charts charts ?L})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *left-action*:  $\text{lie-group-action}' \text{ charts tms tms-one dvsn invs charts } \infty \text{ left-action}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**sublocale** *left-action*:  $\text{lie-group-action}' \text{ charts tms tms-one dvsn invs charts } \infty \text{ left-action}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 8.3.2 The right action.

**lemma** *R-action-in*:  $(\text{right-self-action } g g') \in \text{carrier}$  **if**  $g \in \text{carrier}$   $g' \in \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *the-right-action*:  $\text{right-self-action } x y = \text{the } (\text{right-action } x y)$  **if**  $y \in \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *R-action-invs*:  $(\text{right-self-action } (\text{invs } x) \circ \text{right-self-action } x) y = y$   
 $(\text{right-self-action } x \circ \text{right-self-action } (\text{invs } x)) y = y$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $y \in \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *R-homeomorphism*:  $\text{homeomorphism carrier carrier } (\mathcal{R} \ x) (\mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x))$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *R-homeomorphism'*:  $\text{homeomorphism carrier carrier } (\mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x)) (\mathcal{R} \ x)$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *R-homeomorphism-chart*:  $\text{homeomorphism } (\text{domain } c) (\mathcal{R} \ x \cdot \text{domain } c)$

$(\mathcal{R} x) (\mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x))$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $R\text{-homeomorphism-chart}'$ :  $\text{homeomorphism } (\mathcal{R} x \cdot \text{domain } c) (\text{domain } c)$   
 $(\mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x)) (\mathcal{R} x)$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $R\text{-open-map}$ :  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $\text{open } S$   $S \subseteq \text{carrier}$   
**shows**  $\text{open } (\mathcal{R} x \cdot S)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lift-definition**  $R\text{-chart} :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'e) \text{ chart} \Rightarrow ('a, 'e) \text{ chart}$   
**is**  $\lambda x. \lambda(d, d', f, f'). \text{if } x \in \text{carrier} \wedge d \subseteq \text{carrier} \text{ then } (\mathcal{R} x \cdot d, d', f \circ \mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x), \mathcal{R} x \circ f') \text{ else } (\{\}, \{\}, f, f')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $R\text{-chart-apply-chart}[simp]$ :  $\text{apply-chart } (R\text{-chart } x \ c) = \text{apply-chart } c \circ \mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x)$   
**and**  $R\text{-chart-inv-chart}[simp]$ :  $\text{inv-chart } (R\text{-chart } x \ c) = \mathcal{R} x \circ \text{inv-chart } c$   
**and**  $\text{domain-}R\text{-chart}[simp]$ :  $\text{domain } (R\text{-chart } x \ c) = \mathcal{R} x \cdot \text{domain } c$   
**and**  $\text{codomain-}R\text{-chart}[simp]$ :  $\text{codomain } (R\text{-chart } x \ c) = \text{codomain } c$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $R\text{-chart-apply-chart}'[simp]$ :  $\text{apply-chart } (R\text{-chart } x \ c) = \text{apply-chart } c \circ \mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x)$   
**and**  $R\text{-chart-inv-chart}'[simp]$ :  $\text{inv-chart } (R\text{-chart } x \ c) = \mathcal{R} x \circ \text{inv-chart } c$   
**and**  $\text{domain-}R\text{-chart}'[simp]$ :  $\text{domain } (R\text{-chart } x \ c) = \mathcal{R} x \cdot \text{domain } c$   
**and**  $\text{codomain-}R\text{-chart}'[simp]$ :  $\text{codomain } (R\text{-chart } x \ c) = \text{codomain } c$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $\text{domain } c \subseteq \text{carrier}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{smooth-compat-}R\text{-chart}$ :  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   $c' \in \text{atlas}$   
**shows**  $\infty\text{-smooth-compat } (R\text{-chart } x \ c) \ c'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $R\text{-chart-compat}$ :  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   
**shows**  $\infty\text{-smooth-compat } c (R\text{-chart } x \ c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $R\text{-chart-in-atlas}$ :  $R\text{-chart } x \ c \in \text{atlas}$  **if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{right-action-automorphic}$ :  $c\text{-automorphism } \infty \text{ charts } (\mathcal{R} x) (\mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x))$

```

if  $x \in carrier$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma right-action-in-Diff: right-action  $x \in Diff$  if  $x \in carrier$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 9 Models/Instances

### 9.1 Euclidean Space

Euclidean spaces are dealt with at the start of the section “Differentiable Functions” in *Smooth-Manifolds.Differentiable-Manifold*. Therefore, this section is really just a “trivial” exercise to get used to things.

#### 9.1.1 Euclidean Spaces are Lie groups under (+).

**locale** euclidean-lie-group-add

**begin**

**abbreviation**  $C$

**where**  $C \equiv manifold-eucl.carrier$

**abbreviation**  $C\text{-prod}$

**where**  $C\text{-prod} \equiv manifold.carrier prod-charts-eucl$

**lemma** eucl-is-group: group-on-with  $C$  (+) 0 (−) uminus  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** prod-domain-codomain: domain prod-chart-eucl =  $C \times C$   $C \times C = C\text{-prod}$   
codomain prod-chart-eucl =  $C \times C$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** smooth-on-add-const: smooth-on  $C$  ( $\lambda a. a+b$ )  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** smooth-binop-diff:  
**fixes** tms::'a⇒'a⇒'a::euclidean-space  
**assumes** smooth-on  $C\text{-prod}$  ( $\lambda(a,b). tms a b$ )  
**shows** diff ∞ prod-charts-eucl charts-eucl ( $\lambda(x, y). tms x y$ )  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** smooth-unop-diff:  
**fixes** invs::'a⇒'a::euclidean-space  
**assumes** smooth-on  $C$  invs  
**shows** diff ∞ charts-eucl charts-eucl invs  
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma eucl-smooth-group-imp-lie-group:
  assumes is-group: group-on-with C tms tms-1 dvsn invs
    and smooth-mult: smooth-on C-prod (λ(a,b). tms a b)
    and smooth-inv: smooth-on C invs
  shows lie-group charts-eucl tms tms-1 dvsn invs
  ⟨proof⟩

  Any Euclidean space is a Lie group under addition.

theorem lie-group-eucl: lie-group charts-eucl (+) 0 (-) uminus
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation lie-group-eucl: lie-group charts-eucl (+) 0 (-) uminus
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 9.2 The real numbers as a Lie group

```

lift-definition chart-real::(real, real) chart is
  (UNIV, UNIV, λx. x, λx. x)
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation charts-real ≡ {chart-real}

lemma chart-real-is-eucl: charts-eucl = charts-real chart-eucl = chart-real
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem lie-group-real: lie-group charts-real (+) 0 (-) uminus
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 10 The Lie algebra of a Lie Group

```

theory Lie-Algebra
  imports
    Lie-Group
    Manifold-Lie-Bracket
    Smooth-Manifolds.Cotangent-Space
  begin

```

```

sublocale lie-group ⊆ smooth-manifold ⟨proof⟩

locale lie-algebra-morphism =
  src: lie-algebra S1 scale1 bracket1 +
  dest: lie-algebra S2 scale2 bracket2 +

```

```

linear-on S1 S2 scale1 scale2 f
for S1 S2
  and scale1::'a::field => 'b => 'b::ab-group-add and scale2::'a::field => 'c =>
  'c::ab-group-add
  and bracket1 and bracket2
  and f +
assumes bracket-hom:  $\bigwedge X Y. X \in S1 \implies Y \in S1 \implies f(\text{bracket1 } X Y) =$ 
 $\text{bracket2 } (f X) (f Y)$ 

```

Multiple isomorphic Lie algebras can be referred to as “the” Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of a given Lie group  $G$ . One Lie algebra is already guaranteed to exist for any Lie group by virtue of *smooth-manifold* ?charts  $\implies$  lie-algebra (*smooth-manifold.SVF* ?charts) (\*<sub>R</sub>) lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields. We give an isomorphism between the subalgebra of *left-invariant* (smooth) vector fields and the tangent space at identity, and take the latter to be “the” Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**context** lie-group **begin**

Some notation, for simplicity: the Lie group (or here, its carrier) is  $G$ , and the tangent space at the identity (the Lie algebra) is  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

```

notation carrier ( $\langle G \rangle$ )
definition tangent-space-at-identity ( $\langle \mathfrak{g} \rangle$ )
  where tangent-space-at-identity = tangent-space tms-one

```

## 10.1 (Left-)invariant vector fields

A vector field  $X$  is invariant under some  $k$ -smooth map  $F$  if the vector assigned to a point  $F(p)$  by  $X$  is the same as the vector assigned by (the push-forward under)  $F$  to the vector  $X(p)$ . Essentially,  $F$  and  $X$  “commute”.

```

definition (in c-manifold) vector-field-invariant-under :: 'a vector-field => ('a => 'a)
  => bool
    (infix <invariant'-under> 80)
  where X invariant-under F  $\equiv \forall p \in \text{carrier}. \forall f \in \text{diff-fun-space}.$ 
     $X(F p) f = (\text{diff.push-forward } k \text{ charts charts } F)(X p) f$ 

```

— TODO this could be in an instance of *diff* going from a manifold to itself, rather than *diffeomorphism*, i.e. an endomorphism rather than an automorphism.

```

definition (in c-automorphism) invariant :: 'a vector-field => bool
  where invariant X  $\equiv \forall p \in \text{carrier}. \forall g \in \text{src.diff-fun-space}. X(f p) g = \text{push-forward}$ 
 $(X p) g$ 

```

```

lemma (in c-automorphism) invariant-simp: src.vector-field-invariant-under X f
= invariant X
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in c-manifold) vector-field-invariant-underD: X (F p) f = X p (restrict0
carrier (f o F))
  if X invariant-under F diff k charts charts F p ∈ carrier f ∈ diff-fun-space

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *c-manifold*) *vector-field-invariant-underI*: *X invariant-under F*  
  **if** *diff k charts charts F*  $\wedge p$  *f. p*  $\in$  *carrier*  $\implies f \in$  *diff-fun-space*  $\implies X (F p) f =$   
*X p* (*restrict0 carrier (f o F)*)  
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
**notation** *vector-field-invariant-under* (**infix** *<invariant'-under>* 80)  
**abbreviation** *L-invariant X*  $\equiv \forall p \in$  *carrier. X invariant-under (L p)*

**lemma** *L-invariantD [dest]*: *X (tms p q) f = X q (restrict0 G (f o (L p)))*  
  **if** *L-invariant X p*  $\in$  *G q*  $\in$  *G f*  $\in$  *diff-fun-space*  
   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *L-invariantI [intro]*: *L-invariant X*  
  **if**  $\wedge p q f. p \in$  *carrier*  $\implies q \in$  *carrier*  $\implies f \in$  *diff-fun-space*  $\implies X (tms p q) f = X$   
*q (restrict0 carrier (f o (L p)))*  
   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lie-bracket-left-invariant*:  
  **assumes** *L-invariant X smooth-vector-field X*  
  **and** *L-invariant Y smooth-vector-field Y*  
  **shows** *L-invariant [X; Y] smooth-vector-field [X; Y]*  
   $\langle proof \rangle$

In fact, left-invariant smooth vector fields form a Lie subalgebra.

**lemma** *subspace-of-left-invariant-svf*:  
  **fixes**  $\mathfrak{X}_L$  **defines**  $\mathfrak{X}_L \equiv \{X \in SVF. L\text{-invariant } X\}$   
  **shows** *subspace*  $\mathfrak{X}_L$   
   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lie-algebra-of-left-invariant-svf*:  
  **fixes**  $\mathfrak{X}_L$  **defines**  $\mathfrak{X}_L \equiv \{X. \text{smooth-vector-field } X \wedge L\text{-invariant } X\}$   
  **shows** *lie-algebra*  $\mathfrak{X}_L (*_R) (\lambda X Y. [X; Y])$   
   $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**end**

**theory** *Classical-Groups*

**imports**  
*Lie-Group*  
*Linear-Algebra-More*

**begin**

## 11 Matrix Groups

### 11.1 Entry Type

What would be a good type for the entries of our matrices? Ideally, I would be able to talk about matrices over reals  $\mathbb{R}$ , the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , and the quaternionic skew-field  $\mathbb{H}$ . This is hard: only algebras and inner product spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$  are well-supported in Isabelle's Main.

For now, for simplicity, I will work with real matrices only. Alternatively, one could try to characterise the type class containing  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{H}$  only. Below is a first attempt to maintain at least some generality. I give some trivial type instantiations, as a basic check.

However, locales are the way to go, in my opinion.

```
class real-normed-eucl = real-normed-field + euclidean-space
```

```
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ euclidean-space ⟨proof⟩  
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ real-normed-field ⟨proof⟩  
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ topological-space ⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ comm-ring ⟨proof⟩  
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ comm-ring-1 ⟨proof⟩  
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ real-algebra-1 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance vec :: (real-normed-eucl, finite) topological-space ⟨proof⟩  
instance vec :: (real-normed-eucl, finite) euclidean-space ⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance real :: real-normed-eucl ⟨proof⟩  
instance complex :: real-normed-eucl ⟨proof⟩
```

### 11.2 Mat(n, F)

The set of all ' $n$ -vectors over a *topological-space* is a *topological-space*: this is proved in *Finite-Cartesian-Product*. Similar for vectors over a *euclidean-space*. Therefore, a vector of vectors over a topological space (i.e. a matrix) is also a topological space. We can thus define the identity as a chart; this is not superbly useful, but serves as a template for charts for the multiplicative matrix groups later on.

```
lift-definition chart-mat::((a::real-normed-eucl,n::finite)square-matrix,(a,'n)square-matrix)chart  
is (UNIV, UNIV, λm. m, λm. m)  
⟨proof⟩
```

### 11.3 GL(n, F)

We define polymorphic abbreviations for the carrier set of the general linear group as a matrix group over a commutative ring. This group can be considered as the automorphism group on arbitrary modules of non-commutative rings too, but one loses the isomorphism with matrices, and I'm mostly interested in much more specific general linear groups anyway (namely, over real and complex numbers). Using commutative rings (with 1) also means that determinants play nicely.

```
abbreviation in-GL::('a::comm-ring-1,'n::finite)square-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where in-GL  $\equiv$  invertible
abbreviation GL where GL  $\equiv$  Collect in-GL
```

As an example for making the polymorphic *GL* concrete, we specify the general linear group in four real/complex dimensions.

```
abbreviation GLR4::(real,4)square-matrix set where GLR4  $\equiv$  GL
abbreviation GLC4::(complex,4)square-matrix set where GLC4  $\equiv$  GL
```

PROBLEM: the inner product on the LHS is real, not complex, which is why the commented line (involving complex multiplication) cannot work (it only passes type checking because *complex-of-real* is a coercion).

```
lemma
assumes  $x \in GL_{C4}$ 
```

```
shows ((row i x  $\cdot$  row i x)::real) = ( $\sum j \in UNIV. (row i x)^{\$}j \cdot (row i x)^{\$}j$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

We now define the chart that makes GL(n,F) a Lie group. Since a chart is a homeomorphism, we first need to show that GL is an open set. Notice this GL is already restricted to have much more powerful entries, since we require topology (continuity) now.

```
lemma GL-preimage-det: det  $-` (UNIV - \{0::'a::real-normed-eucl\}) = GL$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma open-GL: open (GL::('a::real-normed-eucl,'n::finite)square-matrix set)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lift-definition chart-GL::((('a::real-normed-eucl,'n::finite)square-matrix, ('a,'n)square-matrix)chart
is (GL, GL,  $\lambda m. m$ ,  $\lambda m. m$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lift-definition real-chart-GL::((real,'n::finite)square-matrix, (real,'n)square-matrix)chart
is (GL, GL,  $\lambda m. m$ ,  $\lambda m. m$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma transfer-GL [simp]:
shows domain chart-GL = GL
and codomain chart-GL = GL
and apply-chart chart-GL = ( $\lambda x. x$ )
```

**and** *inv-chart chart-GL* =  $(\lambda x. x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *charts-GL* **where** *charts-GL*  $\equiv \{ chart-GL \}$

**abbreviation** *real-charts-GL* **where** *real-charts-GL*  $\equiv \{ real-chart-GL \}$

**interpretation** *manifold-GL*: *c-manifold charts-GL k*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *prod-chart-GL* ::  $(('a::real-normed-eucl, 'b::finite)square-matrix \times ('a, 'b)square-matrix, ('a, 'b)square-matrix \times ('a, 'b)square-matrix)$  *chart*

**where** *prod-chart-GL*  $\equiv$  *c-manifold-prod.prod-chart chart-GL chart-GL*

**abbreviation** *prod-charts-GL* ::  $(('a::real-normed-eucl, 'b::finite)square-matrix \times ('a, 'b)square-matrix, ('a, 'b)square-matrix \times ('a, 'b)square-matrix)$  *chart set*

**where** *prod-charts-GL*  $\equiv$  *c-manifold-prod.prod-charts charts-GL charts-GL*

**interpretation** *prod-manifold-GL*: *c-manifold-prod k*  
*charts-GL*:: $(('a::real-normed-eucl, 'n::finite)square-matrix, ('a, 'n)square-matrix)$  *chart set*  
*charts-GL*:: $(('a::real-normed-eucl, 'n::finite)square-matrix, ('a, 'n)square-matrix)$  *chart set*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *prod-GL-carrier*  $\equiv$  *manifold.carrier prod-manifold-GL.prod-charts*  
**abbreviation** *prod-GL-atlas*  $\equiv$  *c-manifold.atlas prod-manifold-GL.prod-charts*  $\infty$

**lemma** *transfer-prod-GL* [simp]:  
**shows** *domain prod-chart-GL* = *GL*  $\times$  *GL*  
**and** *codomain prod-chart-GL* = *GL*  $\times$  *GL*  
**and** *apply-chart prod-chart-GL* =  $(\lambda x. x)$   
**and** *inv-chart prod-chart-GL* =  $(\lambda x. x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *manifold-GL-carrier* [simp]: *manifold-GL.carrier* = *GL*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-manifold-GL-carrier* [simp]: *prod-GL-carrier* = *GL*  $\times$  *GL*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following lemma basically just does unfolding and type checking.  
Possibly useful once general results for *charts-GL* need to be specified down to *real-charts-GL*.

**lemma** *real-GL-is-a-GL*:  
**shows** *real-chart-GL* = *chart-GL*  
**and** *real-charts-GL* = *charts-GL*  
**and** *manifold.carrier* (*c-manifold-prod.prod-charts real-charts-GL real-charts-GL*)  
= *prod-GL-carrier*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-closed-on-GL*:

**fixes** *f-mult* ::  $('a, 'b)\text{square-matrix} \times ('a, 'b)\text{square-matrix} \Rightarrow ('a:\text{comm-ring-1}, 'b:\text{finite})\text{square-matrix}$   
**defines** *f-mult*: *f-mult*  $\equiv (\lambda(x, y). x ** y)$   
**shows** *f-mult* ‘  $(GL \times GL) \subseteq GL$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *GL-group-mult-right-div*:

**shows** *group-on-with* (*domain chart-GL*)  $(**)$  (*mat 1*)  $(\lambda m_1 m_2. m_1 ** m_2)$  *matrix-inv*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *smooth-on-proj*: *smooth-on prod-GL-carrier fst smooth-on prod-GL-carrier snd*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-smooth-on-real-GL*:

**fixes** *f-mult* ::  $(real, 'n)\text{square-matrix} \times (real, 'n)\text{square-matrix} \Rightarrow (real, 'n:\text{finite})\text{square-matrix}$   
**defines** *f-mult*: *f-mult*  $\equiv (\lambda(x, y). x ** y)$   
**shows** *smooth-on* ( $GL \times GL$ ) *f-mult*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-smooth-on-GL-expanded*:

**assumes** *x*  $\in$  *prod-GL-carrier*  
**shows** *x*  $\in$  *domain prod-chart-GL*  
**and**  $(\lambda(x, y). x ** y)$  ‘ *domain prod-chart-GL*  $\subseteq$  *domain chart-GL*  
**and** *smooth-on* (*codomain prod-chart-GL*) (*apply-chart chart-GL*  $\circ$   $(\lambda(x, y). x ** y)$   $\circ$  *inv-chart prod-chart-GL*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-smooth-on-real-GL-expanded*:

**fixes** *f-mult* ::  $(real, 'n)\text{square-matrix} \times (real, 'n)\text{square-matrix} \Rightarrow (real, 'n:\text{finite})\text{square-matrix}$   
**and** *x* ::  $(real, 'n)\text{square-matrix} \times (real, 'n)\text{square-matrix}$   
**defines** *f-mult*: *f-mult*  $\equiv (\lambda(x, y). x ** y)$   
**assumes** *x*  $\in$  *prod-GL-carrier*  
**shows** *x*  $\in$  *domain prod-chart-GL*  
**and** *f-mult* ‘ *domain prod-chart-GL*  $\subseteq$  *domain chart-GL*  
**and** *smooth-on* (*codomain prod-chart-GL*) (*apply-chart chart-GL*  $\circ$  *f-mult*  $\circ$  *inv-chart prod-chart-GL*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *real-GL-Lie-group: lie-group real-charts-GL (\*\*)* (*mat 1*) ( $\lambda m_1\ m_2.\ m_1$   
 $\quad\quad\quad**\ (matrix-inv\ m_2))\ matrix-inv$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *real-GL-Lie-grp: lie-grp real-charts-GL (\*\*)* (*mat 1*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## References

- [1] F. Immler and B. Zhan. Smooth manifolds. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, October 2018. [https://isa-afp.org/entries/Smooth\\_Manifolds.html](https://isa-afp.org/entries/Smooth_Manifolds.html), Formal proof development.
- [2] J. M. Lee. *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, volume 218 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, NY, 2012.