

Kruskal's Algorithm for Minimum Spanning Forest

Maximilian P.L. Haslbeck, Peter Lammich, Julian Biendarra

May 26, 2024

Abstract

This Isabelle/HOL formalization defines a greedy algorithm for finding a minimum weight basis on a weighted matroid and proves its correctness. This algorithm is an abstract version of Kruskal's algorithm.

We interpret the abstract algorithm for the cycle matroid (i.e. forests in a graph) and refine it to imperative executable code using an efficient union-find data structure.

Our formalization can be instantiated for different graph representations. We provide instantiations for undirected graphs and symmetric directed graphs.

Contents

1	Minimum Weight Basis	1
1.1	Preparations	1
1.1.1	Weight restricted set	2
1.1.2	The greedy idea	2
1.2	Minimum Weight Basis algorithm	3
1.3	The heart of the argument	4
1.4	The Invariant	4
1.5	Invariant proofs	5
1.6	The refinement lemma	5
2	Kruskal interface	5
2.1	Derived facts	6
2.2	The edge set and forest form the cycle matroid	7
3	Refine Kruskal	8
3.1	Refinement I: cycle check by connectedness	8
3.2	Refinement II: connectedness by PER operation	9

4 Kruskal Implementation	10
4.1 Refinement III: concrete edges	10
4.2 Refinement to Imperative/HOL with Sepref-Tool	12
4.2.1 Refinement IV: given an edge set	12
4.2.2 Synthesis of Kruskal by SepRef	14
5 UGraph - undirected graph with Uprod edges	15
5.1 Edge path	15
5.2 Distinct edge path	17
5.3 Connectivity in undirected Graphs	17
5.4 Forest	18
5.5 uGraph locale	19
6 Kruskal on UGraphs	21
6.1 Interpreting <i>Kruskl-Impl</i> with a UGraph	21
6.2 Kruskal on UGraph from list of concrete edges	22
6.3 Outside the locale	23
6.4 Kruskal with input check	24
6.5 Code export	24
7 Undirected Graphs as symmetric directed graphs	24
7.1 Definition	25
7.2 Helping lemmas	26
7.3 Auxiliary lemmas for graphs	32
8 Kruskal on Symmetric Directed Graph	33
8.1 Interpreting <i>Kruskl-Impl</i>	34
8.2 Showing the equivalence of minimum spanning forest definitions	35
8.3 Outside the locale	35
8.4 Code export	36

1 Minimum Weight Basis

```
theory MinWeightBasis
  imports Refine-Monadic.Refine-Monadic.Matroids.Matroid
begin
```

For a matroid together with a weight function, assigning each element of the carrier set an weight, we construct a greedy algorithm that determines a minimum weight basis.

```
locale weighted-matroid = matroid carrier indep for carrier::'a set and indep +
  fixes weight :: 'a ⇒ 'b::{linorder, ordered-comm-monoid-add}
begin
```

```
definition minBasis where
  minBasis B ≡ basis B ∧ (∀ B'. basis B' → sum weight B ≤ sum weight B')
```

1.1 Preparations

```

fun in-sort-edge where
  in-sort-edge x [] = [x]
  | in-sort-edge x (y#ys) = (if weight x ≤ weight y then x#y#ys else y# in-sort-edge x ys)

lemma [simp]: set (in-sort-edge x L) = insert x (set L) ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-sort-edge: sorted-wrt (λe1 e2. weight e1 ≤ weight e2) L
  ⇒ sorted-wrt (λe1 e2. weight e1 ≤ weight e2) (in-sort-edge x L)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-sort-edge-distinct: x ∉ set L ⇒ distinct L ⇒ distinct (in-sort-edge x L)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-sorted-edge-distinct:
  assumes finite S
  obtains L where distinct L sorted-wrt (λe1 e2. weight e1 ≤ weight e2) L S =
  set L
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation wsorted == sorted-wrt (λe1 e2. weight e1 ≤ weight e2)

lemma sum-list-map-cons:
  sum-list (map weight (y # ys)) = weight y + sum-list (map weight ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-greater:
  assumes len: length F = length F'
  and sum: sum-list (map weight F) > sum-list (map weight F')
  shows ∃ i < length F. weight (F ! i) > weight (F' ! i)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wsorted-nth-mono: assumes wsorted L i ≤ j < length L
  shows weight (L!i) ≤ weight (L!j)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.1.1 Weight restricted set

$\text{limi } T g$ is the set T restricted to elements only with weight strictly smaller than g .

definition $\text{limi } T g == \{e. e \in T \wedge \text{weight } e < g\}$

lemma $\text{limi-subset}: \text{limi } T g \subseteq T$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{limi-mono}: A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{limi } A g \subseteq \text{limi } B g$ ⟨proof⟩

1.1.2 The greedy idea

definition *no-smallest-element-skipped E F*
 $= (\forall e \in \text{carrier} - E. \forall g > \text{weight } e. \text{indep}(\text{insert } e (\text{limi } F g)) \longrightarrow (e \in \text{limi } F g))$

let F be a set of elements $\text{limi } F g$ is F restricted to elements with weight smaller than g let E be a set of elements we want to exclude.

no-smallest-element-skipped E F expresses, that going greedily over $\text{carrier} - E$, every element that did not render the accumulated set dependent, was added to the set F .

lemma *no-smallest-element-skipped-empty[simp]: no-smallest-element-skipped carrier {}*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-smallest-element-skippedD:*
assumes *no-smallest-element-skipped E F e ∈ carrier - E*
 $\text{weight } e < g \text{ (indep}(\text{insert } e (\text{limi } F g)))\text{)}$
shows *e ∈ limi F g*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-smallest-element-skipped-skip:*
assumes *createsCycle: ¬ indep (insert e F)*
and *I: no-smallest-element-skipped (E ∪ {e}) F*
and *sorted: (∀x ∈ F. ∀y ∈ (E ∪ {e}). weight x ≤ weight y)*
shows *no-smallest-element-skipped E F*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-smallest-element-skipped-add:*
assumes *I: no-smallest-element-skipped (E ∪ {e}) F*
shows *no-smallest-element-skipped E (insert e F)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.2 Minimum Weight Basis algorithm

definition *obtain-sorted-carrier ≡ SPEC (λL. wsorted L ∧ set L = carrier)*

abbreviation *empty-basis ≡ {}*

To compute a minimum weight basis one obtains a list of the carrier set sorted ascendingly by the weight function. Then one iterates over the list and adds an elements greedily to the independent set if it does not render the set dependet.

definition *minWeightBasis where*
minWeightBasis ≡ do {
 $l \leftarrow \text{obtain-sorted-carrier};$
ASSERT (set } l = carrier);
 $T \leftarrow \text{nfoldli } l (\lambda \cdot. \text{True})$
(λe T. do {

```

ASSERT (indep  $T \wedge e \in carrier \wedge T \subseteq carrier$ );
if indep (insert  $e T$ ) then
    RETURN (insert  $e T$ )
else
    RETURN  $T$ 
}) empty-basis;
RETURN  $T$ 
}

```

1.3 The heart of the argument

The algorithmic idea above is correct, as an independent set, which is inclusion maximal and has not skipped any smaller element, is a minimum weight basis.

lemma *greedy-approach-leads-to-minBasis*: **assumes** *indep*: *indep* F
and *inclmax*: $\forall e \in carrier - F. \neg \text{indep} (\text{insert } e F)$
and *no-smallest-element-skipped*: $\{\} F$
shows *minBasis* F
(proof)

1.4 The Invariant

The following predicate is invariant during the execution of the minimum weight basis algorithm, and implies that its result is a minimum weight basis.

definition *I-minWeightBasis* **where**
 $I\text{-minWeightBasis} == \lambda(T, E). \text{indep } T$
 $\wedge T \subseteq carrier$
 $\wedge E \subseteq carrier$
 $\wedge (\forall x \in T. \forall y \in E. \text{weight } x \leq \text{weight } y)$
 $\wedge (\forall e \in carrier - E - T. \neg \text{indep} (\text{insert } e T))$
 $\wedge \text{no-smallest-element-skipped } E T$

lemma *I-minWeightBasisD*:
assumes
 $I\text{-minWeightBasis } (T, E)$
shows $\text{indep } T \wedge e. e \in carrier - E - T \implies \neg \text{indep} (\text{insert } e T)$
 $E \subseteq carrier \wedge x. x \in T \implies y \in E \implies \text{weight } x \leq \text{weight } y \quad T \subseteq carrier$
 $\text{no-smallest-element-skipped } E T$
(proof)

lemma *I-minWeightBasisI*:
assumes $\text{indep } T \wedge e. e \in carrier - E - T \implies \neg \text{indep} (\text{insert } e T)$
 $E \subseteq carrier \wedge x. x \in T \implies y \in E \implies \text{weight } x \leq \text{weight } y \quad T \subseteq carrier$
 $\text{no-smallest-element-skipped } E T$
shows *I-minWeightBasis* (T, E)
(proof)

lemma *I-minWeightBasisG*: $I\text{-minWeightBasis}(T, E) \implies \text{no-smallest-element-skipped}$
 $E \in T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *I-minWeightBasis-sorted*: $I\text{-minWeightBasis}(T, E) \implies (\forall x \in T. \forall y \in E. weight x \leq weight y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.5 Invariant proofs

lemma *I-minWeightBasis-empty*: $I\text{-minWeightBasis}(\{\}, carrier)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *I-minWeightBasis-final*: $I\text{-minWeightBasis}(T, \{\}) \implies minBasis T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *indep-aux*:
assumes $e \in E \quad \forall e \in carrier - E - F. \neg indep(insert e F)$
and $x \in carrier - (E - \{e\}) - insert e F$
shows $\neg indep(insert x (insert e F))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *preservation-if*: $wsorted x \implies set x = carrier \implies$
 $x = l1 @ xa \# l2 \implies I\text{-minWeightBasis}(\sigma, set(xa \# l2)) \implies indep \sigma$
 $\implies xa \in carrier \implies indep(insert xa \sigma) \implies I\text{-minWeightBasis}(insert xa \sigma,$
 $set l2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *preservation-else*: $set x = carrier \implies$
 $x = l1 @ xa \# l2 \implies I\text{-minWeightBasis}(\sigma, set(xa \# l2))$
 $\implies indep \sigma \implies \neg indep(insert xa \sigma) \implies I\text{-minWeightBasis}(\sigma, set l2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.6 The refinement lemma

theorem *minWeightBasis-refine*: $(minWeightBasis, SPEC minBasis) \in \langle Id \rangle nres-rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — locale minWeightBasis

end

2 Kruskal interface

theory *Kruskal*
imports *Kruskal-Misc MinWeightBasis*
begin

In order to instantiate Kruskal's algorithm for different graph formalizations we provide an interface consisting of the relevant concepts needed

for the algorithm, but hiding the concrete structure of the graph formalization. We thus enable using both undirected graphs and symmetric directed graphs.

Based on the interface, we show that the set of edges together with the predicate of being cycle free (i.e. a forest) forms the cycle matroid. Together with a weight function on the edges we obtain a *weighted-matroid* and thus an instance of the minimum weight basis algorithm, which is an abstract version of Kruskal.

```

locale Kruskal-interface =
  fixes E :: 'edge set
    and V :: 'a set
    and vertices :: 'edge  $\Rightarrow$  'a set
    and joins :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'edge  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    and forest :: 'edge set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    and connected :: 'edge set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a*'a) set
    and weight :: 'edge  $\Rightarrow$  'b:{linorder, ordered-comm-monoid-add}
  assumes
    finiteE[simp]: finite E
    and forest-subE: forest E'  $\Longrightarrow$  E'  $\subseteq$  E
    and forest-empty: forest {}
    and forest-mono: forest X  $\Longrightarrow$  Y  $\subseteq$  X  $\Longrightarrow$  forest Y
    and connected-same: (u,v)  $\in$  connected {}  $\longleftrightarrow$  u=v  $\wedge$  v  $\in$  V
    and findaugmenting-aux: E1  $\subseteq$  E  $\Longrightarrow$  E2  $\subseteq$  E  $\Longrightarrow$  (u,v)  $\in$  connected E1  $\Longrightarrow$ 
      (u,v)  $\notin$  connected E2
       $\Longrightarrow$   $\exists$  a b e. (a,b)  $\notin$  connected E2  $\wedge$  e  $\in$  E1  $\wedge$  joins a b e
    and augment-forest: forest F  $\Longrightarrow$  e  $\in$  E-F  $\Longrightarrow$  joins u v e
       $\Longrightarrow$  forest (insert e F)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (u,v)  $\notin$  connected F
    and equiv: F  $\subseteq$  E  $\Longrightarrow$  equiv V (connected F)
    and connected-in: F  $\subseteq$  E  $\Longrightarrow$  connected F  $\subseteq$  V  $\times$  V
    and insert-reachable: x  $\in$  V  $\Longrightarrow$  y  $\in$  V  $\Longrightarrow$  F  $\subseteq$  E  $\Longrightarrow$  e  $\in$  E  $\Longrightarrow$  joins x y e
       $\Longrightarrow$  connected (insert e F) = per-union (connected F) x y
    and exhaust:  $\bigwedge$ x. x  $\in$  E  $\Longrightarrow$   $\exists$  a b. joins a b x
    and vertices-constr:  $\bigwedge$ a b e. joins a b e  $\Longrightarrow$  {a,b}  $\subseteq$  vertices e
    and joins-sym:  $\bigwedge$ a b e. joins a b e = joins b a e
    and selfloop-no-forest:  $\bigwedge$ e. e  $\in$  E  $\Longrightarrow$  joins a a e  $\Longrightarrow$   $\sim$ forest (insert e F)
    and finite-vertices:  $\bigwedge$ e. e  $\in$  E  $\Longrightarrow$  finite (vertices e)

    and edgesinvertices:  $\bigcup$ ( vertices ` E)  $\subseteq$  V
    and finiteV[simp]: finite V
    and joins-connected: joins a b e  $\Longrightarrow$  T  $\subseteq$  E  $\Longrightarrow$  e  $\in$  T  $\Longrightarrow$  (a,b)  $\in$  connected T

begin

```

2.1 Derived facts

```

lemma joins-in-V: joins a b e  $\Longrightarrow$  e  $\in$  E  $\Longrightarrow$  a  $\in$  V  $\wedge$  b  $\in$  V
  {proof}

```

lemma *finiteE-finiteV*: $\text{finite } E \implies \text{finite } V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *E-inV*: $\bigwedge e. e \in E \implies \text{vertices } e \subseteq V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{CC } E' x = (\text{connected } E') `` \{x\}$

lemma *sameCC-reachable*: $E' \subseteq E \implies u \in V \implies v \in V \implies \text{CC } E' u = \text{CC } E' v$
 $\longleftrightarrow (u, v) \in \text{connected } E'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{CCs } E' = \text{quotient } V (\text{connected } E')$

lemma *quotient V Id* = $\{\{v\} | v. v \in V\}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CCs-empty*: $\text{CCs } \{\} = \{\{v\} | v. v \in V\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CCs-empty-card*: $\text{card } (\text{CCs } \{\}) = \text{card } V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CCs-imageCC*: $\text{CCs } F = (\text{CC } F) `` V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *union-eqclass-decreases-components*:
assumes $\text{CC } F x \neq \text{CC } F y \wedge e \notin F \wedge x \in V \wedge y \in V \wedge F \subseteq E \wedge e \in E \wedge \text{joins } x \ y \ e$
shows $\text{Suc } (\text{card } (\text{CCs } (\text{insert } e F))) = \text{card } (\text{CCs } F)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forest-CCs*: **assumes** *forest E'* **shows** $\text{card } (\text{CCs } E') + \text{card } E' = \text{card } V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pigeonhole-CCs*:
assumes *finiteV: finite V* **and** *cardlt: card (CCs E1) < card (CCs E2)*
shows $(\exists u v. u \in V \wedge v \in V \wedge \text{CC } E1 u = \text{CC } E1 v \wedge \text{CC } E2 u \neq \text{CC } E2 v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 The edge set and forest form the cycle matroid

theorem **assumes** *f1: forest E1*
and *f2: forest E2*
and *c: card E1 > card E2*
shows *augment: $\exists e \in E1 - E2. \text{forest } (\text{insert } e E2)$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *weighted-matroid E forest weight*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
end — locale Kruskal-interface
```

```
end
```

3 Refine Kruskal

```
theory Kruskal-Refine
imports Kruskal SeprefUF
begin
```

3.1 Refinement I: cycle check by connectedness

As a first refinement step, the check for introduction of a cycle when adding an edge e can be replaced by checking whether the edge's endpoints are already connected. By this we can shift from an edge-centric perspective to a vertex-centric perspective.

```
context Kruskal-interface
begin
```

```
abbreviation empty-forest ≡ { }
```

```
abbreviation a-endpoints e ≡ SPEC ( $\lambda(a,b).$  joins a b e )
```

```
definition kruskal0
```

```
where kruskal0 ≡ do {
   $l \leftarrow \text{obtain-sorted-carrier};$ 
  spanning-forest  $\leftarrow \text{nfoldli } l (\lambda\_. \text{ True})$ 
  ( $\lambda e T.$  do {
    ASSERT ( $e \in E$ );
     $(a,b) \leftarrow \text{a-endpoints } e;$ 
    ASSERT ( $\text{joins a b e} \wedge \text{forest } T \wedge e \in E \wedge T \subseteq E$ );
    if  $\neg (a,b) \in \text{connected } T$  then
      do {
        ASSERT ( $e \notin T$ );
        RETURN (insert e T)
      }
    else
      RETURN  $T$ 
  })
   $\}) \text{ empty-forest;}$ 
  RETURN spanning-forest
}
```

```
lemma if-subst: (if indep (insert e T) then
  RETURN (insert e T)
  else
  RETURN  $T$ )
```

```

= (if  $e \notin T \wedge \text{indep } (\text{insert } e T)$  then
    RETURN  $(\text{insert } e T)$ 
  else
    RETURN  $T$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{kruskal0-refine}: (\text{kruskal0}, \text{minWeightBasis}) \in \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Refinement II: connectedness by PER operation

Connectedness in the subgraph spanned by a set of edges is a partial equivalence relation and can be represented in a disjoint sets. This data structure is maintained while executing Kruskal's algorithm and can be used to efficiently check for connectedness (*per-compare*).

```

definition  $\text{corresponding-union-find} :: 'a \text{ per} \Rightarrow \text{edge set} \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$ 
 $\text{corresponding-union-find } uf \ T \equiv (\forall a \in V. \forall b \in V. \text{per-compare } uf \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow ((a,b) \in \text{connected } T))$ 

```

```

definition  $\text{uf-graph-invar } uf \ T$ 
 $\equiv \text{case } uf \cdot T \text{ of } (uf, T) \Rightarrow \text{corresponding-union-find } uf \ T \wedge \text{Domain } uf = V$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{uf-graph-invarD}: \text{uf-graph-invar } (uf, T) \implies \text{corresponding-union-find } uf \ T$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition  $\text{uf-graph-rel} \equiv \text{br snd uf-graph-invar}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{uf-graph-relsndD}: ((a,b),c) \in \text{uf-graph-rel} \implies b=c$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{uf-graph-relID}: ((a,b),c) \in \text{uf-graph-rel} \implies b=c \wedge \text{uf-graph-invar } (a,b)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition  $\text{kruskal1}$ 
where  $\text{kruskal1} \equiv \text{do } \{$ 
   $l \leftarrow \text{obtain-sorted-carrier};$ 
  let  $\text{initial-union-find} = \text{per-init } V;$ 
   $(\text{per}, \text{spanning-forest}) \leftarrow \text{nfoldli } l (\lambda \_. \text{True})$ 
   $(\lambda e (uf, T). \text{ do } \{$ 
    ASSERT  $(e \in E);$ 
     $(a,b) \leftarrow \text{a-endpoints } e;$ 
    ASSERT  $(a \in V \wedge b \in V \wedge a \in \text{Domain } uf \wedge b \in \text{Domain } uf \wedge T \subseteq E);$ 
    if  $\neg \text{per-compare } uf \ a \ b$  then
      do  $\{$ 
        let  $uf = \text{per-union } uf \ a \ b;$ 
        ASSERT  $(e \notin T);$ 
        RETURN  $(uf, \text{insert } e T)$ 
      }
    }
}

```

```

    else
      RETURN (uf, T)
  }) (initial-union-find, empty-forest);
  RETURN spanning-forest
}

lemma corresponding-union-find-empty:
  shows corresponding-union-find (per-init V) empty-forest
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma empty-forest-refine: ((per-init V, empty-forest), empty-forest) ∈ uf-graph-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uf-graph-invar-preserve:
  assumes uf-graph-invar (uf, T) a ∈ V b ∈ V
    joins a b e e ∈ E T ⊆ E
  shows uf-graph-invar (per-union uf a b, insert e T)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem kruskal1-refine: (kruskal1, kruskal0) ∈ ⟨Id⟩ nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

4 Kruskal Implementation

```

theory Kruskal-Impl
imports Kruskal-Refine Refine-Imperative-HOL.IICF
begin

```

4.1 Refinement III: concrete edges

Given a concrete representation of edges and their endpoints as a pair, we refine Kruskal's algorithm to work on these concrete edges.

```

locale Kruskal-concrete = Kruskal-interface E V vertices joins forest connected
weight
  for E V vertices joins forest connected and weight :: 'edge ⇒ int +
fixes
  α :: 'cedge ⇒ 'edge
  and endpoints :: 'cedge ⇒ ('a*'a) nres
assumes
  endpoints-refine: α xi = x ==> endpoints xi ≤ ⇤ Id (a-endpoints x)
begin

```

definition *wsorted'* **where** *wsorted'* == *sorted-wrt* ($\lambda x y. weight(\alpha x) \leq weight(\alpha y)$)

lemma *wsorted-map α [simp]: wsorted' s ==> wsorted (map α s)*
<proof>

definition *obtain-sorted-carrier' == SPEC* ($\lambda L. wsorted' L \wedge \alpha`set L = E$)

abbreviation *concrete-edge-rel :: ('edge × 'edge) set* **where**
concrete-edge-rel ≡ br α ($\lambda_. True$)

lemma *obtain-sorted-carrier'-refine:*
(obtain-sorted-carrier', obtain-sorted-carrier) ∈ ⟨⟨concrete-edge-rel⟩⟩list-rel⟩nres-rel
<proof>

definition *kruskal2*
where *kruskal2 ≡ do {*
l ← obtain-sorted-carrier';
let initial-union-find = per-init V;
(per, spanning-forest) ← nfoldli l ($\lambda_. True$)
($\lambda ce (uf, T)$. do {
ASSERT ($\alpha ce \in E$);
(a,b) ← endpoints ce;
ASSERT ($a \in V \wedge b \in V \wedge a \in Domain uf \wedge b \in Domain uf$);
if $\neg per-compare uf a b$ then
do {
let uf = per-union uf a b;
ASSERT ($ce \notin set T$);
RETURN (uf, $T @ [ce]$)
}
else
RETURN (uf, T)
)} (initial-union-find, []);
RETURN spanning-forest
}

lemma *lst-graph-rel-empty[simp]: ([] , {}) ∈ ⟨⟨concrete-edge-rel⟩⟩list-set-rel*
<proof>

lemma *loop-initial-rel:*
((per-init V, []), per-init V, {}) ∈ Id ×_r ⟨⟨concrete-edge-rel⟩⟩list-set-rel
<proof>

lemma *concrete-edge-rel-list-set-rel:*
(a, b) ∈ ⟨⟨concrete-edge-rel⟩⟩list-set-rel ==> $\alpha`set a = b$
<proof>

theorem *kruskal2-refine: (kruskal2, kruskal1) ∈ ⟨⟨concrete-edge-rel⟩⟩list-set-rel⟩nres-rel*
<proof>

end

4.2 Refinement to Imperative/HOL with Sepref-Tool

Given implementations for the operations of getting a list of concrete edges and getting the endpoints of a concrete edge we synthesize Kruskal in Imperative/HOL.

```

locale Kruskal-Impl = Kruskal-concrete E V vertices joins forest connected weight
α endpoints
for E V vertices joins forest connected and weight :: 'edge ⇒ int
and α and endpoints :: nat × int × nat ⇒ (nat × nat) nres
+
fixes getEdges :: (nat × int × nat) list nres
and getEdges-impl :: (nat × int × nat) list Heap
and superE :: (nat × int × nat) set
and endpoints-impl :: (nat × int × nat) ⇒ (nat × nat) Heap
assumes
  getEdges-refine: getEdges ≤ SPEC (λL. α ` set L = E
    ∧ (∀(a,wv,b)∈set L. weight (α (a,wv,b)) = wv) ∧ set L ⊆
superE)
and
  getEdges-impl: (uncurry0 getEdges-impl, uncurry0 getEdges)
    ∈ unit-assnk →a list-assn (nat-assn ×a int-assn ×a nat-assn)
and
  max-node-is-Max-V: E = α ` set la ⇒ max-node la = Max (insert 0 V)
and
  endpoints-impl: ( endpoints-impl, endpoints)
    ∈ (nat-assn ×a int-assn ×a nat-assn)k →a (nat-assn ×a nat-assn)
begin

lemma this-loc: Kruskal-Impl E V vertices joins forest connected weight
α endpoints getEdges getEdges-impl superE endpoints-impl ⟨proof⟩

```

4.2.1 Refinement IV: given an edge set

We now assume to have an implementation of the operation to obtain a list of the edges of a graph. By sorting this list we refine *obtain-sorted-carrier'*.

```

definition obtain-sorted-carrier'' = do {
  l ← SPEC (λL. α ` set L = E
    ∧ (∀(a,wv,b)∈set L. weight (α (a,wv,b)) = wv) ∧ set L ⊆
superE);
  SPEC (λL. sorted-wrt edges-less-eq L ∧ set L = set l)
}

lemma wsorted'-sorted-wrt-edges-less-eq:
assumes ∀(a,wv,b)∈set s. weight (α (a,wv,b)) = wv
sorted-wrt edges-less-eq s

```

```

shows wsorted' s
⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-sorted-carrier''-refine:
  (obtain-sorted-carrier'', obtain-sorted-carrier') ∈ ⟨Id⟩nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

definition obtain-sorted-carrier''' =
  do {
    l ← getEdges;
    RETURN (quicksort-by-rel edges-less-eq [] l, max-node l)
  }

definition add-size-rel = br fst (λ(l,n). n= Max (insert 0 V))

lemma obtain-sorted-carrier'''-refine:
  (obtain-sorted-carrier''', obtain-sorted-carrier'') ∈ ⟨add-size-rel⟩nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas osc-refine = obtain-sorted-carrier'''-refine[FCOMP obtain-sorted-carrier''-refine,
  to-foparam, simplified]

definition kruskal3 :: (nat × int × nat) list nres
where kruskal3 ≡ do {
  (sl,mn) ← obtain-sorted-carrier'''';
  let initial-union-find = per-init' (mn + 1);
  (per, spanning-forest) ← nfoldli sl (λ-. True)
  (λce (uf, T). do {
    ASSERT (α ce ∈ E);
    (a,b) ← endpoints ce;
    ASSERT (a ∈ Domain uf ∧ b ∈ Domain uf);
    if ¬ per-compare uf a b then
      do {
        let uf = per-union uf a b;
        ASSERT (ce ∉ set T);
        RETURN (uf, T@[ce])
      }
    else
      RETURN (uf, T)
  }) (initial-union-find, []);
  RETURN spanning-forest
}

lemma endpoints-spec: endpoints ce ≤ SPEC (λ-. True)
⟨proof⟩

lemma kruskal3-subset:
shows kruskal3 ≤n SPEC (λT. distinct T ∧ set T ⊆ superE )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition per-supset-rel :: ('a per × 'a per) set where
  per-supset-rel
    ≡ {(p1,p2). p1 ⊆ Domain p2 × Domain p2 = p2 ∧ p1 – (Domain p2 ×
  Domain p2) ⊆ Id}

lemma per-supset-rel-dom: (p1, p2) ∈ per-supset-rel ⇒ Domain p1 ⊇ Domain
p2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma per-supset-compare:
  (p1, p2) ∈ per-supset-rel ⇒ x1 ∈ Domain p2 ⇒ x2 ∈ Domain p2
  ⇒ per-compare p1 x1 x2 ↔ per-compare p2 x1 x2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma per-supset-union: (p1, p2) ∈ per-supset-rel ⇒ x1 ∈ Domain p2 ⇒
x2 ∈ Domain p2 ⇒
  (per-union p1 x1 x2, per-union p2 x1 x2) ∈ per-supset-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma per-initN-refine: (per-init' (Max (insert 0 V) + 1), per-init V) ∈ per-supset-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem kruskal3-refine: (kruskal3, kruskal2) ∈ ⟨Id⟩ nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.2.2 Synthesis of Kruskal by SepRef

```

lemma [sepref-import-param]: (sort-edges, sort-edges) ∈ ⟨Id ×r Id ×r Id⟩ list-rel → ⟨Id ×r Id ×r Id⟩ list-rel
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (max-node, max-node) ∈ ⟨Id ×r Id ×r Id⟩ list-rel →
nat-rel ⟨proof⟩

sepref-register getEdges :: (nat × int × nat) list nres
sepref-register endpoints :: (nat × int × nat) ⇒ (nat*nat) nres

declare getEdges-impl [sepref-fr-rules]
declare endpoints-impl [sepref-fr-rules]

schematic-goal kruskal-impl:
  (uncurry0 ?c, uncurry0 kruskal3 ) ∈ (unit-assn)k →a list-assn (nat-assn ×a
int-assn ×a nat-assn)
  ⟨proof⟩

concrete-definition (in –) kruskal uses Kruskal-Impl.kruskal-impl
prepare-code-thms (in –) kruskal-def
lemmas kruskal-refine = kruskal.refine[OF this-loc]

```

```

abbreviation MSF == minBasis
abbreviation SpanningForest == basis
lemmas SpanningForest-def = basis-def
lemmas MSF-def = minBasis-def

lemmas kruskal3-ref-spec- = kruskal3-refine[FCOMP kruskal2-refine, FCOMP kruskal1-refine,
FCOMP kruskal0-refine,
FCOMP minWeightBasis-refine]

lemma kruskal3-ref-spec':
  (uncurry0 kruskal3, uncurry0 (SPEC (λr. MSF (α ` set r)))) ∈ unit-rel →f (Id) nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma kruskal3-ref-spec:
  (uncurry0 kruskal3,
   uncurry0 (SPEC (λr. distinct r ∧ set r ⊆ superE ∧ MSF (α ` set r))))
  ∈ unit-rel →f (Id) nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma [fcomp-norm-simps]: list-assn (nat-assn ×a int-assn ×a nat-assn) =
id-assn
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas kruskal-ref-spec = kruskal-refine[FCOMP kruskal3-ref-spec]

```

The final correctness lemma for Kruskal's algorithm.

```

lemma kruskal-correct-forest:
  shows <emp> kruskal getEdges-impl endpoints-impl ()
    <λr. ↑( distinct r ∧ set r ⊆ superE ∧ MSF (set (map α r)))>t
  ⟨proof⟩

end — locale Kruskal-Impl

```

end

5 UGraph - undirected graph with Uprod edges

```

theory UGraph
imports
  Automatic-Refinement.Misc
  Collections.Partial-Equivalence-Relation
  HOL-Library.Uprod
begin

```

5.1 Edge path

```

fun epath :: 'a uprod set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a uprod) list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  epath E u [] v = (u = v)
  | epath E u (x#xs) v  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  w. u $\neq$ w  $\wedge$  Upair u w = x  $\wedge$  epath E w xs v)  $\wedge$  x $\in$ E

lemma [simp,intro!]: epath E u [] u  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma epath-subset-E: epath E u p v  $\Longrightarrow$  set p  $\subseteq$  E
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma path-append-conv[simp]: epath E u (p@q) v  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  w. epath E u p w  $\wedge$  epath E w q v)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma epath-rev[simp]: epath E y (rev p) x = epath E x p y
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma epath E x p y  $\Longrightarrow$   $\exists$  p. epath E y p x
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma epath-mono: E  $\subseteq$  E'  $\Longrightarrow$  epath E u p v  $\Longrightarrow$  epath E' u p v
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma epath-restrict: set p  $\subseteq$  I  $\Longrightarrow$  epath E u p v  $\Longrightarrow$  epath (E ∩ I) u p v
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma assumes A $\subseteq$ A'  $\sim$  epath A u p v epath A' u p v
shows epath-diff-edge: ( $\exists$  e. e $\in$ set p  $-$  A)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma epath-restrict': epath (insert e E) u p v  $\Longrightarrow$  e $\notin$ set p  $\Longrightarrow$  epath E u p v
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma epath-not-direct:
  assumes ep: epath E u p v and unv: u  $\neq$  v
  and edge-notin: Upair u v  $\notin$  E
  shows length p  $\geq$  2
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma epath-decompose:
  assumes e: epath G v p v'
  and elem : Upair a b  $\in$  set p
  shows  $\exists$  u u' p' p''. u  $\in$  {a, b}  $\wedge$  u'  $\in$  {a, b}  $\wedge$  epath G v p' u  $\wedge$  epath G u' p'' v'  $\wedge$ 
    length p' < length p  $\wedge$  length p'' < length p
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma epath-decompose':
  assumes e: epath G v p v'
  and elem :Upair a b ∈ set p
  shows ∃ u u' p' p''. Upair a b = Upair u u' ∧ epath G v p' u ∧ epath G u' p''  

v' ∧
  length p' < length p ∧ length p'' < length p
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma epath-split-distinct:
  assumes epath G v p v'
  assumes Upair a b ∈ set p
  shows (∃ p' p'' u u'.
    epath G v p' u ∧ epath G u' p'' v' ∧
    length p' < length p ∧ length p'' < length p ∧
    (u ∈ {a, b} ∧ u' ∈ {a, b}) ∧
    Upair a b ∉ set p' ∧ Upair a b ∉ set p'')
⟨proof⟩

```

5.2 Distinct edge path

definition depath E u dp v ≡ epath E u dp v ∧ distinct dp

```

lemma epath-to-depath: set p ⊆ I ⇒ epath E u p v ⇒ ∃ dp. depath E u dp v ∧
set dp ⊆ I
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma epath-to-depath': epath E u p v ⇒ ∃ dp. depath E u dp v
⟨proof⟩

```

definition decycle E u p == epath E u p u ∧ length p > 2 ∧ distinct p

5.3 Connectivity in undirected Graphs

definition uconnected E ≡ {(u,v). ∃ p. epath E u p v}

```

lemma uconnectedempty: uconnected {} = {(a,a)|a. True}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uconnected-refl: refl (uconnected E)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uconnected-sym: sym (uconnected E)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uconnected-trans: trans (uconnected E)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uconnected-symI: (u,v) ∈ uconnected E ⇒ (v,u) ∈ uconnected E
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *equiv UNIV (uconnected E)*
(proof)

lemma *uconnected-refcl: (uconnected E)* = (uconnected E)≡*
(proof)

lemma *uconnected-transcl: (uconnected E)* = uconnected E*
(proof)

lemma *uconnected-mono: A ⊆ A' ⇒ uconnected A ⊆ uconnected A'*
(proof)

lemma *findaugmenting-edge: assumes epath E1 u p v
 and ¬(∃ p. epath E2 u p v)
 shows ∃ a b. (a,b) ∈ uconnected E2 ∧ Upair a b ∈ E2 ∧ Upair a b ∈ E1*
(proof)

5.4 Forest

definition *forest E ≡ ∼(∃ u p. decycle E u p)*

lemma *forest-mono: Y ⊆ X ⇒ forest X ⇒ forest Y*
(proof)

lemma *forrest2-E: assumes (u,v) ∈ uconnected E
 and Upair u v ∈ E
 and u ≠ v
 shows ∼ forest (insert (Upair u v) E)*
(proof)

lemma *insert-stays-forest-means-not-connected: assumes forest (insert (Upair u v) E)
 and (Upair u v) ∈ E
 and u ≠ v
 shows ∼ (u,v) ∈ uconnected E*
(proof)

lemma *epath-singleton: epath F a [e] b ⇒ e = Upair a b*
(proof)

lemma *forest-alt1:*
assumes *Upair a b ∈ F forest F ∧ e ∈ F ⇒ proper-uprod e*
shows *(a,b) ∈ uconnected (F - {Upair a b})*
(proof)

```

lemma forest-alt2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge e. e \in F \implies \text{proper-uprod } e$ 
    and  $\bigwedge a b. \text{Upair } a b \in F \implies (a, b) \notin \text{uconnected } (F - \{\text{Upair } a b\})$ 
  shows forest F
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma forest-alt:
  assumes  $\bigwedge e. e \in F \implies \text{proper-uprod } e$ 
  shows forest F  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall a b. \text{Upair } a b \in F \longrightarrow (a, b) \notin \text{uconnected } (F - \{\text{Upair } a b\}))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma augment-forest-overedges:
  assumes  $F \subseteq E$  forest F  $(\text{Upair } u v) \in E$   $(u, v) \notin \text{uconnected } F$ 
    and notsame:  $u \neq v$ 
  shows forest (insert (Upair u v) F)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.5 uGraph locale

```

locale uGraph =
  fixes E :: 'a uprod set
  and w :: 'a uprod  $\Rightarrow 'c::\{\text{linorder}, \text{ordered-comm-monoid-add}\}$ 
  assumes ecard2:  $\bigwedge e. e \in E \implies \text{proper-uprod } e$ 
    and finiteE[simp]: finite E
  begin

```

abbreviation uconnected-on E' V $\equiv \text{uconnected } E' \cap (V \times V)$

abbreviation verts $\equiv \bigcup (\text{set-uprod} ` E)$

lemma set-uprod-nonemptyY[simp]: set-uprod x $\neq \{\}$ ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation uconnectedV E' $\equiv \text{Restr } (\text{uconnected } E') \text{ verts}$

lemma equiv-uconnected-on: equiv V (uconnected-on E' V)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma uconnectedV-refl: $E' \subseteq E \implies \text{refl-on } \text{verts } (\text{uconnectedV } E')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma uconnectedV-trans: trans (uconnectedV E')
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uconnectedV-sym*: *sym* (*uconnectedV E'*)
(proof)

lemma *equiv-vert-uconnected*: *equiv verts* (*uconnectedV E'*)
(proof)

lemma *uconnectedV-tracl*: *(uconnectedV F)* = (uconnectedV F)=*
(proof)

lemma *uconnectedV-cl*: *(uconnectedV F)+ = (uconnectedV F)=*
(proof)

lemma *uconnectedV-Restrcl*: *Restr ((uconnectedV F)*) verts = (uconnectedV F)*
(proof)

lemma *restr-ucon*: *F ⊆ E ⇒ uconnected F = uconnectedV F ∪ Id*
(proof)

lemma *rell*:
assumes $\bigwedge a b. (a,b) \in F \Rightarrow (a,b) \in G$
and $\bigwedge a b. (a,b) \in G \Rightarrow (a,b) \in F$ **shows** $F = G$
(proof)

lemma *in-per-union*: $u \in \{x, y\} \Rightarrow u' \in \{x, y\} \Rightarrow x \in V \Rightarrow y \in V \Rightarrow$
refl-on V R ⇒ part-equiv R ⇒ (u, u') ∈ per-union R x y
(proof)

lemma *uconnectedV-mono*: $(a,b) \in uconnectedV F \Rightarrow F \subseteq F' \Rightarrow (a,b) \in uconnectedV F'$
(proof)

lemma *per-union-subs*: $x \in S \Rightarrow y \in S \Rightarrow R \subseteq S \times S \Rightarrow per-union R x y \subseteq S$
(proof)

lemma *insert-uconnectedV-per*:
assumes $x \neq y$ **and** $inV: x \in verts$ $y \in verts$ **and** $subE: F \subseteq E$
shows $uconnectedV (insert (Upair x y) F) = per-union (uconnectedV F) x y$
(is uconnectedV ?F' = per-union ?uf x y)
(proof)

lemma *epath-filter-selfloop*: *epath (insert (Upair x x) F) a p b ⇒ ∃ p. epath F a p b*
(proof)

```

lemma uconnectedV-insert-selfloop:  $x \in \text{verts} \implies \text{uconnectedV} (\text{insert} (\text{Upair } x \ x) F) = \text{uconnectedV} F$   

(proof)

lemma equiv-selfloop-per-union-id:  $\text{equiv } S F \implies x \in S \implies \text{per-union } F x x = F$   

(proof)

lemma insert-uconnectedV-per-eq:  

assumes inV:  $x \in \text{verts}$  and subE:  $F \subseteq E$   

shows  $\text{uconnectedV} (\text{insert} (\text{Upair } x \ x) F) = \text{per-union} (\text{uconnectedV } F) x x$   

(proof)

lemma insert-uconnectedV-per':  

assumes inV:  $x \in \text{verts}$   $y \in \text{verts}$  and subE:  $F \subseteq E$   

shows  $\text{uconnectedV} (\text{insert} (\text{Upair } x \ y) F) = \text{per-union} (\text{uconnectedV } F) x y$   

(proof)

```

```

definition subforest  $F \equiv \text{forest } F \wedge F \subseteq E$ 

definition spanningForest where  $\text{spanningForest } X \longleftrightarrow \text{subforest } X \wedge (\forall x \in E - X. \neg \text{subforest} (\text{insert } x X))$ 

definition minSpanningForest  $F \equiv \text{spanningForest } F \wedge (\forall F'. \text{spanningForest } F' \longrightarrow \text{sum } w F \leq \text{sum } w F')$ 

end

end

```

6 Kruskal on UGraphs

```

theory UGraph-Impl
imports
  Kruskal-Impl UGraph
begin

```

```

definition  $\alpha = (\lambda(u,w,v). \text{Upair } u v)$ 

```

6.1 Interpreting Kruskal-Impl with a UGraph

```

abbreviation (in uGraph)
  getEdges-SPEC csuper-E
   $\equiv (\text{SPEC } (\lambda L. \text{distinct } (\text{map } \alpha L) \wedge \alpha \text{ ' set } L = E$ 
     $\wedge (\forall (a, wv, b) \in \text{set } L. w (\alpha (a, wv, b)) = wv) \wedge \text{set } L \subseteq \text{csuper-}E))$ 

```

```

locale uGraph-impl = uGraph E w for E :: nat uprod set and w :: nat uprod  $\Rightarrow$ 

```

```

int +
fixes getEdges-impl :: (nat × int × nat) list Heap and csuper-E :: (nat × int ×
nat) set
assumes getEdges-impl:
  (uncurry0 getEdges-impl, uncurry0 (getEdges-SPEC csuper-E))
    ∈ unit-assnk →a list-assn (nat-assn ×a int-assn ×a nat-assn)
begin

```

abbreviation $V \equiv \bigcup (\text{set-uprod} ` E)$

lemma max-node-is-Max-V: $E = \alpha ` \text{set la} \implies \text{max-node la} = \text{Max} (\text{insert } 0 V)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $s: \text{Kruskal-Impl } E \bigcup (\text{set-uprod} ` E) \text{ set-uprod } \lambda u v e. \text{ Upair } u v = e$
 $\text{subforest } \text{uconnectedV } w \alpha \text{ PR-CONST } (\lambda(u,w,v). \text{ RETURN } (u,v))$
 $\text{PR-CONST } (\text{getEdges-SPEC csuper-E})$
 $\text{getEdges-impl csuper-E } (\lambda(u,w,v). \text{ return } (u,v))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma spanningForest-eq-basis: $\text{spanningForest} = s.\text{basis}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma minSpanningForest-eq-minbasis: $\text{minSpanningForest} = s.\text{minBasis}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma kruskal-correct':
 $\langle \text{emp} \rangle \text{ kruskal getEdges-impl } (\lambda(u,w,v). \text{ return } (u,v)) ()$
 $\langle \lambda r. \uparrow (\text{distinct } r \wedge \text{set } r \subseteq \text{csuper-E} \wedge s.\text{MSF} (\text{set } (\text{map } \alpha r))) \rangle_t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma kruskal-correct:
 $\langle \text{emp} \rangle \text{ kruskal getEdges-impl } (\lambda(u,w,v). \text{ return } (u,v)) ()$
 $\langle \lambda r. \uparrow (\text{distinct } r \wedge \text{set } r \subseteq \text{csuper-E} \wedge \text{minSpanningForest} (\text{set } (\text{map } \alpha r))) \rangle_t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

6.2 Kruskal on UGraph from list of concrete edges

definition uGraph-from-list-α-weight $L e = (\text{THE } w. \exists a' b'. \text{ Upair } a' b' = e \wedge (a', w, b') \in \text{set } L)$

abbreviation uGraph-from-list-α-edges $L \equiv \alpha ` \text{set } L$

```

locale fromlist = fixes
  L :: (nat × int × nat) list
assumes dist: distinct (map α L) and no-selfloop: ∀ u w v. (u,w,v) ∈ set L → u ≠ v
begin

lemma not-distinct-map: a ∈ set l ⇒ b ∈ set l ⇒ a ≠ b ⇒ α a = α b ⇒ ¬
distinct (map α l)

⟨proof⟩

lemma ii: (a, aa, b) ∈ set L ⇒ uGraph-from-list-α-weight L (Upair a b) = aa
⟨proof⟩

sublocale uGraph-impl α ‘ set L uGraph-from-list-α-weight L return L set L
⟨proof⟩

lemmas kruskal-correct = kruskal-correct

definition (in −) kruskal-algo L = kruskal (return L) (λ(u,w,v). return (u,v)) ()

end

```

6.3 Outside the locale

```

definition uGraph-from-list-invar :: (nat × int × nat) list ⇒ bool where
  uGraph-from-list-invar L = (distinct (map α L) ∧ (∀ p ∈ set L. case p of (u,w,v)
  ⇒ u ≠ v))

lemma uGraph-from-list-invar-conv: uGraph-from-list-invar L = fromlist L
⟨proof⟩

lemma uGraph-from-list-invar-subset:
  uGraph-from-list-invar L ⇒ set L' ⊆ set L ⇒ distinct L' ⇒ uGraph-from-list-invar
L'
⟨proof⟩

lemma uGraph-from-list-α-inj-on: uGraph-from-list-invar E ⇒ inj-on α (set E)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-easier: uGraph-from-list-invar L
  ⇒ set E ⊆ set L
  ⇒ sum (uGraph-from-list-α-weight L) (uGraph-from-list-α-edges E) = sum
(λ(u,w,v). w) (set E)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma corr: uGraph-from-list-invar L  $\implies$ 
<emp> kruskal-algo L
 $\langle \lambda F. \uparrow (\text{uGraph-from-list-invar } F \wedge \text{set } F \subseteq \text{set } L \wedge$ 
 $\quad \text{uGraph.minSpanningForest } (\text{uGraph-from-list-}\alpha\text{-edges } L)$ 
 $\quad (\text{uGraph-from-list-}\alpha\text{-weight } L) (\text{uGraph-from-list-}\alpha\text{-edges } F)) \rangle_t$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uGraph-from-list-invar L  $\implies$ 
<emp> kruskal-algo L
 $\langle \lambda F. \uparrow (\text{uGraph-from-list-invar } F \wedge \text{set } F \subseteq \text{set } L \wedge$ 
 $\quad \text{uGraph.spanningForest } (\text{uGraph-from-list-}\alpha\text{-edges } L) (\text{uGraph-from-list-}\alpha\text{-edges }$ 
 $F)$ 
 $\quad \wedge (\forall F'. \text{uGraph.spanningForest } (\text{uGraph-from-list-}\alpha\text{-edges } L) (\text{uGraph-from-list-}\alpha\text{-edges }$ 
 $F'))$ 
 $\quad \longrightarrow \text{set } F' \subseteq \text{set } L \longrightarrow \text{sum } (\lambda(u,w,v). w) (\text{set } F) \leq \text{sum } (\lambda(u,w,v). w)$ 
 $(\text{set } F')) \rangle_t$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

6.4 Kruskal with input check

```
definition kruskal' L = kruskal (return L) (λ(u,w,v). return (u,v)) ()
```

```
definition kruskal-checked L = (if uGraph-from-list-invar L
 $\quad \text{then do } \{ F \leftarrow \text{kruskal' } L; \text{return } (\text{Some } F) \}$ 
 $\quad \text{else return None})$ 
```

```

lemma <emp> kruskal-checked L <λ
 $\quad \text{Some } F \Rightarrow \uparrow (\text{uGraph-from-list-invar } L \wedge \text{set } F \subseteq \text{set } L$ 
 $\quad \wedge \text{uGraph.minSpanningForest } (\text{uGraph-from-list-}\alpha\text{-edges } L) (\text{uGraph-from-list-}\alpha\text{-weight }$ 
 $L)$ 
 $\quad (\text{uGraph-from-list-}\alpha\text{-edges } F))$ 
 $| \text{None} \Rightarrow \uparrow (\neg \text{uGraph-from-list-invar } L) \rangle_t$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

6.5 Code export

```
export-code uGraph-from-list-invar checking SML-imp
export-code kruskal-checked checking SML-imp
```

```
⟨ML⟩
```

```
end
```

7 Undirected Graphs as symmetric directed graphs

```

theory Graph-Definition
imports
  Dijkstra-Shortest-Path.Graph
  Dijkstra-Shortest-Path.Weight
begin

 7.1 Definition

fun is-path-undir :: ('v, 'w) graph => 'v => ('v,'w) path => 'v => bool where
  is-path-undir G v [] v' <--> v=v' ∧ v'∈nodes G |
  is-path-undir G v ((v1,w,v2)#p) v'
    <--> v=v1 ∧ ((v1,w,v2)∈edges G ∨ (v2,w,v1)∈edges G) ∧ is-path-undir G
  v2 p v'

abbreviation nodes-connected G a b ≡ ∃ p. is-path-undir G a p b

definition degree :: ('v, 'w) graph => 'v => nat where
  degree G v = card {e∈edges G. fst e = v ∨ snd (snd e) = v}

locale forest = valid-graph G
for G :: ('v,'w) graph +
assumes cycle-free:
  ∀(a,w,b)∈E. ¬ nodes-connected (delete-edge a w b G) a b

locale connected-graph = valid-graph G
for G :: ('v,'w) graph +
assumes connected:
  ∀ v∈V. ∀ v'∈V. nodes-connected G v v'

locale tree = forest + connected-graph

locale finite-graph = valid-graph G
for G :: ('v,'w) graph +
assumes finite-E: finite E and
finite-V: finite V

locale finite-weighted-graph = finite-graph G
for G :: ('v,'w::weight) graph

definition subgraph :: ('v, 'w) graph => ('v, 'w) graph => bool where
  subgraph G H ≡ nodes G = nodes H ∧ edges G ⊆ edges H

definition edge-weight :: ('v, 'w) graph => 'w::weight where
  edge-weight G ≡ sum (fst o snd) (edges G)

definition edges-less-eq :: ('a × 'w::weight × 'a) ⇒ ('a × 'w × 'a) ⇒ bool
  where edges-less-eq a b ≡ fst(snd a) ≤ fst(snd b)

```

```

definition maximally-connected :: ('v, 'w) graph  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'w) graph  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  maximally-connected H G  $\equiv \forall v \in \text{nodes } G. \forall v' \in \text{nodes } G.$ 
    (nodes-connected G v v')  $\longrightarrow$  (nodes-connected H v v')

definition spanning-forest :: ('v, 'w) graph  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'w) graph  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  spanning-forest F G  $\equiv \text{forest } F \wedge \text{maximally-connected } F G \wedge \text{subgraph } F G$ 

definition optimal-forest :: ('v, 'w::weight) graph  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'w) graph  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  optimal-forest F G  $\equiv (\forall F' :: ('v, 'w) \text{ graph}.$ 
    spanning-forest F' G  $\longrightarrow$  edge-weight F  $\leq$  edge-weight F')

definition minimum-spanning-forest :: ('v, 'w::weight) graph  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'w) graph  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool where
  minimum-spanning-forest F G  $\equiv \text{spanning-forest } F G \wedge \text{optimal-forest } F G$ 

definition spanning-tree :: ('v, 'w) graph  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'w) graph  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  spanning-tree F G  $\equiv \text{tree } F \wedge \text{subgraph } F G$ 

definition optimal-tree :: ('v, 'w::weight) graph  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'w) graph  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  optimal-tree F G  $\equiv (\forall F' :: ('v, 'w) \text{ graph}.$ 
    spanning-tree F' G  $\longrightarrow$  edge-weight F  $\leq$  edge-weight F')

definition minimum-spanning-tree :: ('v, 'w::weight) graph  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'w) graph  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool where
  minimum-spanning-tree F G  $\equiv \text{spanning-tree } F G \wedge \text{optimal-tree } F G$ 

```

7.2 Helping lemmas

```

lemma nodes-delete-edge[simp]:
  nodes (delete-edge v e v' G) = nodes G
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edges-delete-edge[simp]:
  edges (delete-edge v e v' G) = edges G - {(v,e,v')}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subgraph-node:
  assumes subgraph H G
  shows v  $\in$  nodes G  $\longleftrightarrow$  v  $\in$  nodes H
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-add-edge:
  assumes a  $\in$  nodes H
  assumes c  $\in$  nodes H
  assumes (a, w, c)  $\notin$  edges H
  shows delete-edge a w c (add-edge a w c H) = H
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-delete-add-edge:

```

```

assumes (a, b, c) ≠ (x, y, z)
shows delete-edge a b c (add-edge x y z H) = add-edge x y z (delete-edge a b c
H)
⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-delete-edges: delete-edge a b c (delete-edge x y z H)
= delete-edge x y z (delete-edge a b c H)
⟨proof⟩

context valid-graph
begin

lemma valid-subgraph:
assumes subgraph H G
shows valid-graph H
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-path-undir-simps[simp, intro!]:
is-path-undir G v [] v ↔ v ∈ V
is-path-undir G v [(v,w,v')] v' ↔ (v,w,v') ∈ E ∨ (v',w,v) ∈ E
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-path-undir-memb[simp]:
is-path-undir G v p v' ⇒ v ∈ V ∧ v' ∈ V
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-path-undir-memb-edges:
assumes is-path-undir G v p v'
shows ∀(a,w,b) ∈ set p. (a,w,b) ∈ E ∨ (b,w,a) ∈ E
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-path-undir-split:
is-path-undir G v (p1 @ p2) v' ↔ (∃ u. is-path-undir G v p1 u ∧ is-path-undir
G u p2 v')
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-path-undir-split'[simp]:
is-path-undir G v (p1 @ (u,w,u') # p2) v'
↔ is-path-undir G v p1 u ∧ ((u,w,u') ∈ E ∨ (u',w,u) ∈ E) ∧ is-path-undir G
u' p2 v'
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-path-undir-sym:
assumes is-path-undir G v p v'
shows is-path-undir G v' (rev (map (λ(u, w, u'). (u', w, u)) p)) v
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-path-undir-subgraph:
assumes is-path-undir H x p y
assumes subgraph H G

```

shows *is-path-undir G x p y*
(proof)

lemma *no-path-in-empty-graph*:
assumes $E = \{\}$
assumes $p \neq []$
shows $\neg \text{is-path-undir } G v p v$
(proof)

lemma *is-path-undir-split-distinct*:
assumes *is-path-undir G v p v'*
assumes $(a, w, b) \in \text{set } p \vee (b, w, a) \in \text{set } p$
shows $(\exists p' p'' u u').$
 $\text{is-path-undir } G v p' u \wedge \text{is-path-undir } G u' p'' v' \wedge$
 $\text{length } p' < \text{length } p \wedge \text{length } p'' < \text{length } p \wedge$
 $(u \in \{a, b\} \wedge u' \in \{a, b\}) \wedge$
 $(a, w, b) \notin \text{set } p' \wedge (b, w, a) \notin \text{set } p' \wedge$
 $(a, w, b) \notin \text{set } p'' \wedge (b, w, a) \notin \text{set } p''$
(proof)

lemma *add-edge-is-path*:
assumes *is-path-undir G x p y*
shows *is-path-undir (add-edge a b c G) x p y*
(proof)

lemma *add-edge-was-path*:
assumes *is-path-undir (add-edge a b c G) x p y*
assumes $(a, b, c) \notin \text{set } p$
assumes $(c, b, a) \notin \text{set } p$
assumes $a \in V$
assumes $c \in V$
shows *is-path-undir G x p y*
(proof)

lemma *delete-edge-is-path*:
assumes *is-path-undir G x p y*
assumes $(a, b, c) \notin \text{set } p$
assumes $(c, b, a) \notin \text{set } p$
shows *is-path-undir (delete-edge a b c G) x p y*
(proof)

lemma *delete-node-is-path*:
assumes *is-path-undir G x p y*
assumes $x \neq v$
assumes $v \notin \text{fst}'\text{set } p \cup \text{snd}'\text{set } p$
shows *is-path-undir (delete-node v G) x p y*
(proof)

lemma *delete-edge-was-path*:

```

assumes is-path-undir (delete-edge a b c G) x p y
shows is-path-undir G x p y
⟨proof⟩

lemma subset-was-path:
assumes is-path-undir H x p y
assumes edges H ⊆ E
assumes nodes H ⊆ V
shows is-path-undir G x p y
⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-node-was-path:
assumes is-path-undir (delete-node v G) x p y
shows is-path-undir G x p y
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-edge-preserve-subgraph:
assumes subgraph H G
assumes (a, w, b) ∈ E
shows subgraph (add-edge a w b H) G
⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-edge-preserve-subgraph:
assumes subgraph H G
shows subgraph (delete-edge a w b H) G
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-delete-edge:
assumes (a, w, c) ∈ E
shows add-edge a w c (delete-edge a w c G) = G
⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-add-edge-in-path:
assumes is-path-undir (add-edge a w b G) v p v'
assumes (a,w',a') ∈ E ∨ (a',w',a) ∈ E
shows  $\exists p. \text{is-path-undir} (\text{add-edge } a' w'' b G) v p v'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma induce-maximally-connected:
assumes subgraph H G
assumes  $\forall (a,w,b) \in E. \text{nodes-connected } H a b$ 
shows maximally-connected H G
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-edge-maximally-connected:
assumes maximally-connected H G
assumes subgraph H G
assumes (a, w, b) ∈ E
shows maximally-connected (add-edge a w b H) G

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-edge-maximally-connected:
  assumes maximally-connected  $H G$ 
  assumes subgraph  $H G$ 
  assumes  $pab$ : is-path-undir (delete-edge  $a w b H$ )  $a pab b$ 
  shows maximally-connected (delete-edge  $a w b H$ )  $G$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma connected-impl-maximally-connected:
  assumes connected-graph  $H$ 
  assumes subgraph: subgraph  $H G$ 
  shows maximally-connected  $H G$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-edge-is-connected:
  nodes-connected (add-edge  $a b c G$ )  $a c$ 
  nodes-connected (add-edge  $a b c G$ )  $c a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-edges:
  assumes nodes-connected (add-edge  $a w b G$ )  $v v'$ 
  assumes  $a \in V$ 
  assumes  $b \in V$ 
  assumes  $\neg$  nodes-connected  $G v v'$ 
  shows nodes-connected (add-edge  $v w' v' G$ )  $a b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma subgraph-impl-connected:
  assumes connected-graph  $H$ 
  assumes subgraph: subgraph  $H G$ 
  shows connected-graph  $G$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-node-connected:
  assumes  $\forall a \in V - \{v\}. \forall b \in V - \{v\}. \text{nodes-connected } G a b$ 
  assumes  $(v, w, v') \in E \vee (v', w, v) \in E$ 
  assumes  $v \neq v'$ 
  shows  $\forall a \in V. \forall b \in V. \text{nodes-connected } G a b$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

context connected-graph
begin
  lemma maximally-connected-impl-connected:
    assumes maximally-connected  $H G$ 
    assumes subgraph: subgraph  $H G$ 
    shows connected-graph  $H$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

context forest
begin

  lemmas delete-edge-valid' = delete-edge-valid[OF valid-graph-axioms]

  lemma delete-edge-from-path:
    assumes nodes-connected G a b
    assumes subgraph H G
    assumes  $\neg$  nodes-connected H a b
    shows  $\exists (x, w, y) \in E - edges H. (\neg nodes-connected (delete-edge x w y G)$ 
a b)  $\wedge$ 
      (nodes-connected (add-edge a w' b (delete-edge x w y G)) x y)
    {proof}

  lemma forest-add-edge:
    assumes a  $\in V
    assumes b  $\in V
    assumes  $\neg$  nodes-connected G a b
    shows forest (add-edge a w b G)
    {proof}

  lemma forest-subsets:
    assumes valid-graph H
    assumes edges H  $\subseteq E$ 
    assumes nodes H  $\subseteq V$ 
    shows forest H
    {proof}

  lemma subgraph-forest:
    assumes subgraph H G
    shows forest H
    {proof}

  lemma forest-delete-edge: forest (delete-edge a w c G)
    {proof}

  lemma forest-delete-node: forest (delete-node n G)
    {proof}
end

context finite-graph
begin

  lemma finite-subgraphs: finite {T. subgraph T G}
  {proof}$$ 
```

```

end

lemma minimum-spanning-forest-impl-tree:
  assumes minimum-spanning-forest  $F G$ 
  assumes valid-graph  $G$ 
  assumes connected-graph  $F$ 
  shows minimum-spanning-tree  $F G$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma minimum-spanning-forest-impl-tree2:
  assumes minimum-spanning-forest  $F G$ 
  assumes connected-G: connected-graph  $G$ 
  shows minimum-spanning-tree  $F G$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

7.3 Auxiliary lemmas for graphs

```

theory Graph-Definition-Aux
imports Graph-Definition SeprefUF
begin

```

```

context valid-graph
begin

```

```

lemma nodes-connected-sym: nodes-connected  $G a b = \text{nodes-connected } G b a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Domain-nodes-connected: Domain  $\{(x, y) \mid x y. \text{nodes-connected } G x y\} = V$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Range-nodes-connected: Range  $\{(x, y) \mid x y. \text{nodes-connected } G x y\} = V$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma nodes-connected-insert-per-union:

```

```

  (nodes-connected (add-edge  $a w b H$ )  $x y$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(x, y) \in \text{per-union } \{(x, y) \mid x y. \text{nodes-connected } H x y\}$   $a b$ 

```

```

  if subgraph  $H G$  and PER: part-equiv  $\{(x, y) \mid x y. \text{nodes-connected } H x y\}$ 

```

```

  and  $V: a \in V b \in V$  for  $x y$ 

```

```

   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma is-path-undir-append: is-path-undir  $G v p1 u \implies \text{is-path-undir } G u p2 w$ 
   $\implies \text{is-path-undir } G v (p1 @ p2) w$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma

```

```

augment-edge:

```

assumes $sg: \text{subgraph } G1 \text{ } G \text{ subgraph } G2 \text{ } G \text{ and}$
 $p: (u, v) \in \{(a, b) \mid a \text{ } b. \text{ nodes-connected } G1 \text{ } a \text{ } b\}$
and $\text{notinE2}: (u, v) \notin \{(a, b) \mid a \text{ } b. \text{ nodes-connected } G2 \text{ } a \text{ } b\}$

shows $\exists a \text{ } b \text{ } e. (a, b) \notin \{(a, b) \mid a \text{ } b. \text{ nodes-connected } G2 \text{ } a \text{ } b\} \wedge e \notin \text{edges } G2 \wedge e \in \text{edges } G1 \wedge (\text{case } e \text{ of } (aa, w, ba) \Rightarrow a=aa \wedge b=ba \vee a=ba \wedge b=aa)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{nodes-connected-refl}: a \in V \implies \text{nodes-connected } G \text{ } a \text{ } a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma assumes $sg: \text{subgraph } H \text{ } G$
shows $\text{connected-VV}: \{(x, y) \mid x \text{ } y. \text{ nodes-connected } H \text{ } x \text{ } y\} \subseteq V \times V$
and $\text{connected-refl}: \text{refl-on } V \{\{(x, y) \mid x \text{ } y. \text{ nodes-connected } H \text{ } x \text{ } y\}$
and $\text{connected-trans}: \text{trans } \{\{(x, y) \mid x \text{ } y. \text{ nodes-connected } H \text{ } x \text{ } y\}$
and $\text{connected-sym}: \text{sym } \{\{(x, y) \mid x \text{ } y. \text{ nodes-connected } H \text{ } x \text{ } y\}$
and $\text{connected-equiv}: \text{equiv } V \{\{(x, y) \mid x \text{ } y. \text{ nodes-connected } H \text{ } x \text{ } y\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{forest-maximally-connected-incl-max1}:$
assumes
 $\text{forest } H$
 $\text{subgraph } H \text{ } G$
shows $(\forall (a, w, b) \in \text{edges } G - \text{edges } H. \neg (\text{forest } (\text{add-edge } a \text{ } w \text{ } b \text{ } H))) \implies \text{maximally-connected } H \text{ } G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{forest-maximally-connected-incl-max2}:$
assumes
 $\text{forest } H$
 $\text{subgraph } H \text{ } G$
shows $\text{maximally-connected } H \text{ } G \implies (\forall (a, w, b) \in E - \text{edges } H. \neg (\text{forest } (\text{add-edge } a \text{ } w \text{ } b \text{ } H)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{forest-maximally-connected-incl-max-conv}:$
assumes
 $\text{forest } H$
 $\text{subgraph } H \text{ } G$
shows $\text{maximally-connected } H \text{ } G = (\forall (a, w, b) \in E - \text{edges } H. \neg (\text{forest } (\text{add-edge } a \text{ } w \text{ } b \text{ } H)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

8 Kruskal on Symmetric Directed Graph

```
theory Graph-Definition-Impl
imports
  Kruskal-Impl Graph-Definition-Aux
begin
```

8.1 Interpreting Kruskal-Impl

```
locale fromlist = fixes
  L :: (nat × int × nat) list
begin
```

```
abbreviation E≡set L
abbreviation V≡fst ` E ∪ (snd ∘ snd) ` E
abbreviation ind (E'::(nat × int × nat) set) ≡ (nodes=V, edges=E')
abbreviation subforest E' ≡ forest (ind E') ∧ subgraph (ind E') (ind E)
```

```
lemma max-node-is-Max-V: E = set la ==> max-node la = Max (insert 0 V)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ind-valid-graph: ⋀E'. E' ⊆ E ==> valid-graph (ind E')
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma vE: valid-graph (ind E) ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ind-valid-graph': ⋀E'. subgraph (ind E') (ind E) ==> valid-graph (ind E')
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma add-edge-ind: (a,w,b) ∈ E ==> add-edge a w b (ind F) = ind (insert (a,w,b) F)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma nodes-connected-ind-sym: F ⊆ E ==> sym { (x, y) | x y. nodes-connected (ind F) x y }
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma nodes-connected-ind-trans: F ⊆ E ==> trans { (x, y) | x y. nodes-connected (ind F) x y }
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma part-equiv-nodes-connected-ind:
F ⊆ E ==> part-equiv { (x, y) | x y. nodes-connected (ind F) x y }
⟨proof⟩
```

```
sublocale s: Kruskal-Impl E V
```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda e. \{fst e, snd (snd e)\} \lambda u v (a,w,b). u=a \wedge v=b \vee u=b \wedge v=a \\
& \text{subforest} \\
& \lambda E'. \{ (a,b) \mid a \text{ } b. \text{ nodes-connected } (ind E') a \text{ } b \} \\
& \lambda(u,w,v). w \text{ id } PR\text{-CONST } (\lambda(u,w,v). RETURN (u,v)) \\
& PR\text{-CONST } (RETURN L) \text{ return } L \text{ set } L (\lambda(u,w,v). return (u,v)) \\
& \langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

8.2 Showing the equivalence of minimum spanning forest definitions

As the definition of the minimum spanning forest from the minWeightBasis algorithm differs from the one of our graph formalization, we now show their equivalence.

lemma *spanning-forest-eq*: $s.\text{SpanningForest } E' = \text{spanning-forest } (\text{ind } E') (\text{ind } E)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *edge-weight-alt*: $\text{edge-weight } G = \text{sum } (\lambda(u,w,v). w) (\text{edges } G)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MSF-eq*: $s.\text{MSF } E' = \text{minimum-spanning-forest } (\text{ind } E') (\text{ind } E)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kruskal-correct*:
 $\langle emp \rangle \text{kruskal } (\text{return } L) (\lambda(u,w,v). \text{return } (u,v)) ()$
 $\langle \lambda F. \uparrow (\text{distinct } F \wedge \text{set } F \subseteq E \wedge \text{minimum-spanning-forest } (\text{ind } (\text{set } F))$
 $(\text{ind } E)) \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition (**in** $-$) *kruskal-algo* $L = \text{kruskal } (\text{return } L) (\lambda(u,w,v). \text{return } (u,v)) ()$

end

8.3 Outside the locale

definition *GD-from-list- α -weight* $L e = (\text{case } e \text{ of } (u,w,v) \Rightarrow w)$
abbreviation *GD-from-list- α -graph* $G L \equiv (\text{nodes}=\text{fst} ` (\text{set } G) \cup (\text{snd} \circ \text{snd}) ` (\text{set } G), \text{edges}=\text{set } L)$

lemma *corr*:
 $\langle emp \rangle \text{kruskal-algo } L$
 $\langle \lambda F. \uparrow (\text{set } F \subseteq \text{set } L \wedge \text{minimum-spanning-forest } (\text{GD-from-list-}\alpha\text{-graph } L F) (\text{GD-from-list-}\alpha\text{-graph } L L)) \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kruskal-correct*: $\langle emp \rangle \text{kruskal-algo } L$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \lambda F. \uparrow (\text{set } F \subseteq \text{set } L \wedge \\
 & \quad \text{spanning-forest } (\text{GD-from-list-}\alpha\text{-graph } L F) (\text{GD-from-list-}\alpha\text{-graph } L L) \\
 & \quad \wedge (\forall F'. \text{spanning-forest } (\text{GD-from-list-}\alpha\text{-graph } L F') (\text{GD-from-list-}\alpha\text{-graph } L \\
 & \quad L) \\
 & \quad \longrightarrow \text{sum } (\lambda(u,w,v). w) (\text{set } F) \leq \text{sum } (\lambda(u,w,v). w) (\text{set } F')) \rangle_t \\
 & \langle \text{proof} \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

8.4 Code export

export-code *kruskal-algo* **checking** *SML-imp*

$\langle ML \rangle$

end