

Work Bounds for Strongly Joinable Balanced Binary Search Trees

Marco Haucke

September 24, 2026

Abstract

This entry formalises the complexity analysis of set operations on strongly joinable trees as defined by Blelloch et al. [4]. It is proved that union, intersection, and difference run in time $O(m \log(n/m + 1))$ for input sets of size m and n where $m \leq n$.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Real Analysis Prerequisites	4
2.1	Logarithm estimates	4
2.2	Monotonicity of $x \cdot \log_2(1 + n/x)$	5
2.3	Geometric and arithmetic-geometric series	5
2.4	Jensen's inequality for finite sets	7
3	Balanced Binary Trees	7
3.1	Balance Predicate	8
3.2	Relationship between tree rank and height	9
3.3	Relationship between tree rank and size	9
3.4	Layers and rank-roots	9
3.5	Size bound on layer and root nodes	10
3.6	Balanced schemes	12
4	Strongly Joinable Trees	13
4.1	Work model for <i>join</i>	15
4.2	Work bounds of helper functions	16
4.2.1	<i>split</i>	16
4.2.2	<i>split_min</i>	19
4.2.3	<i>join2</i>	21

5	Layered analysis of split-work	22
5.1	Layered decomposition of <i>split_work</i>	22
5.1.1	Bounding <i>parts_in_layer</i> via rank roots	24
5.2	Split-work as a layered double sum	26
5.3	Inner sum analysis	27
5.4	Closed-form work bound	28
5.5	Unified closed form	29
6	Generic work-bound theorem	30
6.1	Asymptotic form	31
7	Union	33
7.1	Bounding join-work	34
7.2	Final bound	35
8	Intersection	36
8.1	Bounding join-work	37
8.2	Final bound	38
9	Difference	40
9.1	Bounding join-work	41
9.2	Final bound	41
10	Strongly Joinable AVL Trees	43
10.1	Join for AVL Trees	43
10.2	Proof of Correctness	43
10.2.1	Set Preservation	44
10.2.2	BST Preservation	44
10.2.3	Preservation of AVL Predicate	44
10.3	Proof of Strongly Joinable Properties	45
10.3.1	Monotonicity Rule	45
10.3.2	Submodularity Rule	45
10.3.3	Balancing Rule	46
10.3.4	Cost Rule	46
10.4	Instantiation of <i>StronglyJoinable</i>	47
10.5	Concrete constants for AVL	47
11	Strongly Joinable Red-Black Trees	49
11.1	Red-black trees with stored black height	49
11.1.1	Invariants	50
11.1.2	Rebalancing	50
11.2	Join for Red-Black Trees	51
11.3	Proof of Correctness	52
11.3.1	Colour and height invariants	52
11.3.2	Set and search-tree properties	52

11.3.3	Size	53
11.4	Proof of Strongly Joinable Properties	53
11.4.1	Balancing Rule	53
11.4.2	Monotonicity and Submodularity Rules	54
11.4.3	Cost Rule	55
11.5	Instantiation of <i>StronglyJoinable</i>	56
11.6	Concrete constants for red-black trees	56

A Appendix

57

1 Introduction

Binary search trees are one of the most fundamental data structures in computer science. Together with their traversals, they can be used to efficiently implement algorithms for managing ordered data. Balanced variants such as AVL trees [3], red-black trees [7] or weight-balanced trees [13] keep the height logarithmic in the size by maintaining a structural invariant, which enables simple and efficient implementations of pointwise operations. Operations that combine two trees are a different matter. Given two sets of sizes $m \leq n$, inserting the elements of the smaller set one by one takes time $\Theta(m \log n)$, and flattening both trees to lists, merging them and rebuilding a tree takes $O(m + n)$. Neither is satisfactory across the whole range of m and n .

The *join-based* approach, proposed by Adams [1], improves on this. Here, union, intersection and difference are all expressed through a single function `join l k r` that concatenates (i.e. “joins”) two trees l and r and a key k into one balanced tree.

Blelloch, Ferizovic and Sun [4] put Adams’ ideas on a general footing. They characterise a balancing scheme by a real-valued *rank* function together with a small set of rules relating rank, tree size, the shape and cost of `join`, and call schemes obeying these rules *strongly joinable*. For every strongly joinable scheme they show that union, intersection and difference of trees with sizes $m \leq n$ take $O(m \log(\frac{n}{m} + 1))$ work, which is optimal in the comparison model [8]. Further, they prove that AVL trees, red-black trees and weight-balanced trees are strongly joinable.

This topic is not merely of theoretical interest. Adams’ original code lives on in the SML/NJ Library [15] and in MIT/GNU Scheme [2], and the same join-based algorithms underlie the `Set` modules of OCaml’s standard library [11] as well as Jane Street’s *Base* [9], the immutable `TreeSet` of Scala [6], and the `Data.Set` implementation of Haskell’s *containers* library [10]. Sun et al.’s PAM library [16, 17] shows the bound paying off in practice.

On the Isabelle side, the join-based approach is the subject of *Functional*

Data Structures and Algorithms [14, Chapter 10] and contained inside the `Set2_Join` locale in `HOL-Data_Structures`. Given a `join` that satisfies its functional specification, union, intersection and difference are defined generically and proven correct.

Verified counterparts exist in other proof assistants. The Rocq standard library’s `MSetAVL` [19] is a port of OCaml’s `Set` module, HOL4’s `balanced_map` [18], which underlies the CakeML basis library, is a port of *containers*’ `Data.Map`, and Breitner et al. [5] verify *containers*’ `Data.Set` itself in Coq. All three establish functional correctness only. On the cost side, Li, Grodin and Harper [12] verify a precise bound for `join` on red-black trees in the *calf* framework, but leave the cost of the set operations to future work.

To the author’s knowledge, this entry contains the first mechanised proof of the bound. It extends `Set2_Join` with a locale `StronglyJoinable` that adds the rank-based balance predicate and the rules of Blelloch et al., and proves within it that the running-time functions of union, intersection and difference are in $O(m \log(\frac{n}{m} + 1))$ for input trees of sizes $m \leq n$.

Running times are measured by step-counting functions [14, Section 1.5] generated by the `time_fun` command. As proof of concept, the locale is instantiated with the AVL trees of `HOL-Data_Structures`, as well as red-black trees mostly as defined in `Set2_Join_RBT`.

2 Real Analysis Prerequisites

This first part collects the real-analysis groundwork for the complexity analysis of the joinable-tree operations, independent of trees and algorithms. It provides

- elementary logarithm estimates
- strict monotonicity of $x \cdot \log_2(1 + n/x)$
- closed forms and bounds for geometric and arithmetic-geometric series
- a finite, uniformly weighted instance of Jensen’s inequality

```
theory Analytical
imports
  HOL-Analysis.Convex
begin
```

2.1 Logarithm estimates

lemma *log_split*:

assumes $a \geq 0 \ b \geq 0$

shows $\log 2 (a * b + 1) \leq \log 2 (a + 1) + \log 2 (b + 1)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *log_2powr_plus_1_le*:
fixes $x :: real$
assumes $x \geq 0$
shows $\log 2 (2^{\text{powr } x} + 1) \leq 1 + x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2 Monotonicity of $x \cdot \log_2(1 + n/x)$

corollary *ln_diff_less*: $0 < x \implies 0 < y \implies x \neq y \implies \ln x - \ln y < (x - y) / y$
for
 $x :: real$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ln_mono_1*:
fixes $n :: real$
assumes $n_pos: n > 0$
defines $f \equiv (\lambda x::real. x * \ln (1 + n/x))$
shows *strict_mono_on* $\{0 <.. \}$ f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *log_mono_1*:
fixes $n :: real$
assumes $n_pos: n > 0$
defines $f \equiv (\lambda x::real. x * \log 2 (1 + n/x))$
shows *strict_mono_on* $\{0 <.. \}$ f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *xlog_mono*:
fixes $n \ x \ z :: real$
assumes $n > 0 \ 0 < x \leq z$
shows $x * \log 2 (n / x + 1) \leq z * \log 2 (n / z + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *xlog_term_mono*:
fixes $n \ x \ z \ k \ c :: real$
assumes $0 < x \leq z \ 0 < n \ 0 \leq k \ 0 \leq c$
shows $x * (k + c * \log 2 (n / x + 1)) \leq z * (k + c * \log 2 (n / z + 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3 Geometric and arithmetic-geometric series

The following lemmas derive the limit $\sum_k k c^k = \frac{c}{(1-c)^2}$ together with summability for $|c| < 1$.

lemma *arith_geometric_sums*:
fixes $z :: real$
assumes $z: norm \ z < 1$
shows $(\lambda n. \text{of_nat } n * z^{\wedge} n) \text{ sums } (z / (1 - z)^2)$

⟨proof⟩

corollary *arith_geometric_summable*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$

assumes $\text{norm } c < 1$

shows $\text{summable } (\lambda n. n * c^n)$

⟨proof⟩

The analysis eventually instantiates the series with the geometric ratio $q(c_u) = 2^{-1/c_u}$, which lies strictly between 0 and 1 for $c_u > 0$. The constant definitions $S_1(c_u)$ and $S_2(c_u)$ bound the geometric and arithmetic-geometric series in this ratio over any finite index set.

lemma *powr_split_nat*:

fixes $a :: \text{real}$ **and** $cu :: \text{real}$ **and** $i :: \text{nat}$

assumes $a > 0$

shows $a \text{ powr } (\text{real } i / cu) = (a \text{ powr } (1 / cu)) ^ i$

⟨proof⟩

definition *geom_ratio* **where** $\text{geom_ratio } cu = 1 / (2 \text{ powr } (1 / cu))$

lemma *geom_ratio_range*:

fixes $cu :: \text{real}$

assumes $cu_pos: cu > 0$

shows $0 < \text{geom_ratio } cu \wedge \text{geom_ratio } cu < 1$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *inv_powr_geom_ratio*:

fixes $cu :: \text{real}$ **and** $i :: \text{nat}$

assumes $cu > 0$

shows $1 / (2 \text{ powr } (\text{real } i / cu)) = \text{geom_ratio } cu ^ i$

⟨proof⟩

definition *S1* **where** $S1 \text{ } cu = (2 \text{ powr } (1 / cu)) / ((2 \text{ powr } (1 / cu)) - 1)$

definition *S2* **where** $S2 \text{ } cu = (\text{geom_ratio } cu) / (1 - \text{geom_ratio } cu)^2$

lemma *geom_ratio_sum_le*:

fixes $cu :: \text{real}$

assumes $cu > 0$ *finite A*

shows $(\sum i \in A. (\text{geom_ratio } cu) ^ i) \leq S1 \text{ } cu$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *geom_ratio_arith_sum_le*:

assumes $cu > 0$ *finite A*

shows $(\sum i \in A. \text{real } i * (\text{geom_ratio } cu) ^ i) \leq S2 \text{ } cu$

⟨proof⟩

Both instantiations below use $c_u = 2$, for which the series constants have closed forms in $\sqrt{2}$.

lemma *sqrt2_bounds*: $\text{sqrt } 2 * \text{sqrt } 2 = 2 \text{ } 1 < \text{sqrt } 2 \text{ sqrt } 2 \leq 1.415$

<proof>

lemma *S1_two*: $S1\ 2 = 2 + \text{sqrt}\ 2$

<proof>

lemma *S2_two*: $S2\ 2 = 4 + 3 * \text{sqrt}\ 2$

<proof>

2.4 Jensen's inequality for finite sets

Jensen's inequality relates the value of a concave function at a weighted average to the average of its values. The below is a special case of *concave_on_sum*.

corollary *jensen_finite*:

fixes $S :: 'b\ set$

defines $a \equiv (\lambda i :: 'b. 1 / \text{card}\ S)$

assumes $\text{finite}\ S\ S \neq \{\}$

and $\text{concave_on}\ D\ f$

and $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies x\ i \in D$

shows $f\ ((1 / \text{card}\ S) *_R (\sum_{i \in S} x\ i))$
 $\geq (1 / \text{card}\ S) * (\sum_{i \in S} f\ (x\ i))$

<proof>

corollary *jensen_list*:

assumes $xs \neq []$

and $\text{concave_on}\ C\ f$

and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set}\ xs \implies x \in C$

shows $f\ ((1 / \text{length}\ xs) *_R \text{sum_list}\ xs)$
 $\geq (1 / \text{length}\ xs) * \text{sum_list}\ (\text{map}\ f\ xs)$

<proof>

end

3 Balanced Binary Trees

theory *BalancedBinary*

imports

HOL-Library.Tree

Complex_Main

begin

BalancedShape captures the *shape* of a balancing scheme i.e. a rank function together with the constants c_l and c_u . The *balanced* predicate is defined relative to these, the locale then captures the emergent properties of the scheme as defined by [4]. Here, $c_l > 0$ is demanded explicitly. With $c_l = 0$ the rank would never have to shrink towards the leaves, and the [4] itself divides by c_l in its Property 7. The actual guarantee that a scheme

keeps its trees balanced is added by the *BalancedTree* locale further below, which connects an invariant to *balanced*.

```
locale BalancedShape =
fixes rank :: ('a * 'b) tree  $\Rightarrow$  real
fixes cl cu :: real
assumes c_vals:  $c_l > 0 \wedge c_l \leq 1 \wedge c_u \geq 1$ 
assumes rule_empty: rank Leaf = 0
begin
```

```
lemma c_l_pos:  $0 < c_l$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
lemma c_l_le_one:  $c_l \leq 1$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
lemma c_u_ge_one:  $1 \leq c_u$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
corollary c_l_nonneg:  $0 \leq c_l$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
corollary c_u_pos:  $0 < c_u$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
corollary c_u_nonneg:  $0 \leq c_u$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

3.1 Balance Predicate

The central balance predicate is defined as a recursive function over the structure of the tree. For each node it ensures that left and right subtrees of any given parent node differ only by the balancing constants c_l and c_u in terms of *rank* relative to it.

```
fun balanced :: ('a * 'b) tree  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  balanced Leaf = True |
  balanced (Node l a r) =
    ( $\max$  (rank l) (rank r) +  $c_l \leq \text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ a \ r) \wedge$ 
      $\min$  (rank l) (rank r) +  $c_u \geq \text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ a \ r) \wedge$ 
     balanced l  $\wedge$  balanced r)
```

It follows immediately that the ranks of the left/right subtrees of a parent node can differ at most by a constant, namely $c_\delta = c_u - c_l$ [4, Property 4].

definition $c_\delta = c_u - c_l$

```
lemma dmax_balanced (Node l a r)  $\Longrightarrow$   $|\text{rank } l - \text{rank } r| \leq c_\delta$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

lemma *balanced_children_explD*:
assumes *balanced (Node l a r)*
shows $\text{rank } l \leq \text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ a \ r) - c_l$
and $\text{rank } r \leq \text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ a \ r) - c_l$
and $\text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ a \ r) - c_u \leq \text{rank } l$
and $\text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ a \ r) - c_u \leq \text{rank } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.2 Relationship between tree rank and height

Rank is bounded below by $c_l \cdot \text{height } t$ which is the direction of [4, Property 1] needed for the analysis.

lemma *rank_lower_height:balanced* $t \implies c_l * \text{height } t \leq \text{rank } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *rank_lower_height_inverse:balanced* $t \implies \text{height } t \leq \text{rank } t / c_l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Which also implies *rank* is never negative.

corollary *rank_pos:balanced* $t \implies \text{rank } t \geq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The upper bound for *rank* holds as well and is added for sake of completeness.

lemma *rank_upper_height:balanced* $t \implies \text{rank } t \leq c_u * \text{height } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3 Relationship between tree rank and size

Size grows exponentially in rank [4, Property 2].

lemma *pow_shift*:
assumes $c_u \neq 0$
shows $2 * 2^{\text{powr } ((r - c_u) / c_u)} = 2^{\text{powr } (r / c_u)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size1_ge_powr_rank*:
 $\text{balanced } t \implies \text{size1 } t \geq 2^{\text{powr } (\text{rank } t / c_u)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *rank_le_cu_log_size1*:
assumes *balanced* t
shows $\text{rank } t \leq c_u * \log 2 (\text{size1 } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.4 Layers and rank-roots

Layer i of a tree collects all nodes whose rank falls into $[i, i + 1)$ i.e. its rank lies in the unit band $[i, i + 1)$ [4, Definition 3]. In essence, *layer* discretizes

the real valued *rank* into finite layers, enabling the summation arguments used in the asymptotic analysis.

abbreviation *in_band* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *real* $\Rightarrow$ *bool* **where**

in_band *i* *x* $\equiv$ *real* *i* $\leq$ *x* $\wedge$ *x* $<$ *real* *i* + 1

fun *layer* :: ('a * 'b) *tree* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ (('a * 'b) *tree*) *list* **where**

layer *Leaf* _ = [] |

layer (*Node* *l* *x* *r*) *i* =

((if *in_band* *i* (*rank* (*Node* *l* *x* *r*))) then [(*Node* *l* *x* *r*)] else []) @

layer *l* *i*

@ *layer* *r* *i*)

lemma *layer_empty_if_rank_lt*:

assumes *Bt*: *balanced* *t*

assumes *lt*: *rank* *t* $<$ *i*

shows *layer* *t* *i* = []

<proof>

Where *layer* recurses the entire tree, *rank_roots* stops at the first node whose rank falls into layer *i*, which is called the *rank root* of that layer [4, Definition 4]. A layer then decomposes as the concatenation of sub-layers rooted at these nodes (cf. [4, Definition 5]).

fun *rank_roots* :: ('a * 'b) *tree* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ (('a * 'b) *tree*) *list* **where**

rank_roots *Leaf* _ = [] |

rank_roots (*Node* *l* *x* *r*) *i* =

((if *in_band* *i* (*rank* (*Node* *l* *x* *r*))) then [(*Node* *l* *x* *r*)] else

rank_roots *l* *i*

@ *rank_roots* *r* *i*)

lemma *rank_rank_roots*: $r \in \text{set}(\text{rank_roots } t \ i) \implies \text{in_band } i \ (\text{rank } r)$

<proof>

Balance is inherited.

lemma *balanced_rank_roots*: $\llbracket \text{balanced } t; r \in \text{set}(\text{rank_roots } t \ i) \rrbracket \implies \text{balanced } r$

<proof>

lemma *layer_eq_concat_rank_roots*:

layer *T* *i* = *concat* (*map* ($\lambda r. \text{layer } r \ i$) (*rank_roots* *T* *i*))

<proof>

3.5 Size bound on layer and root nodes

The work bounds for union, intersection and difference will ultimately be obtained by decomposing the total work into layers and bounding each layer's contribution separately. Two main ingredients make this work:

- Across layers, the number of rank roots decays geometrically i.e. layer *i* has at most *size1* $t/2^{i/c_u}$ rank roots. This sharpens [4, Lemma 1], where the exponent is $i/(1 + c_u)$.

- *Within* a layer, the number of nodes belonging to each rank root is bounded by the constant $C = 2^{\lceil 1/c_l \rceil} - 1$. This absorbs intra-layer overhead into a constant factor [4, Property 7]

Both facts are established in this subsection and are consumed separately by the main theory, as the decay bound on rank roots and the constant bound per rank root. The combined geometric layer bound closing this subsection is not needed downstream, but is still shown to make it clear that it is an emergent property of balanced trees. First, each rank root in layer i has rank at least i , so the exponential size lower bound applies.

corollary *rank_roots_size_lower*:

assumes *balanced t*

shows $r \in \text{set } (\text{rank_roots } t \ i) \implies \text{size1 } r \geq 2^{\text{powr } (i / c_u)}$

<proof>

Further, the combined size of all rank roots in a layer never exceeds the size of the whole tree.

lemma *rank_roots_size_sum*:

$\text{sum_list } (\text{map size1 } (\text{rank_roots } t \ i)) \leq \text{size1 } t$

<proof>

Combining both gives the desired bound on the number of rank roots.

lemma *rank_roots_count_pwr*:

assumes *balanced t*

shows $\text{length } (\text{rank_roots } t \ i) * 2^{\text{powr } (i / c_u)} \leq \text{size1 } t$

<proof>

Restated via division.

corollary *rank_roots_count_pwr_le*:

assumes *balanced t*

shows $\text{length } (\text{rank_roots } t \ i) \leq \text{size1 } t / (2^{\text{powr } (i / c_u)})$

<proof>

A balanced tree whose rank overshoots layer i by at most d has at most $2^{\lceil \frac{d}{c_l} \rceil} - 1$ nodes in that layer. The budget d shrinks by c_l at every level, giving the exponential bound. The parameter d is generalized beyond the natural choice $d = 1$ because the induction step requires applying the lemma to children with a reduced budget.

lemma *layer_len_budget*:

fixes $d :: \text{real}$

assumes *balanced t*

assumes $\text{rank } t < \text{real } i + d$

assumes $0 \leq d$

shows $\text{length } (\text{layer } t \ i) \leq 2^{\lceil \text{nat } (\text{ceiling } (d / c_l)) \rceil} - 1$

<proof>

Instantiating with $d = 1$ begets the actual constant.

definition $C :: \text{nat}$ **where** $C = 2^{\lceil \text{nat } (\text{ceiling } (1 / c_l)) \rceil} - 1$

lemma C_pos : $\text{real } C \geq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary layer_bound_const :
assumes $\text{balanced } t$ $\text{rank } t < \text{real } i + 1$
shows $\text{length } (\text{layer } t \ i) \leq C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thus the total number of nodes in layer i is at most C times the number of rank roots.

lemma $\text{length_concat_map_le_sum}$:
 $\text{length } (\text{concat } (\text{map } f \ xs)) \leq \text{sum_list } (\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{length } (f \ x)) \ xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{layer_bound_via_rank_roots}$:
assumes BT : $\text{balanced } T$
shows $\text{length } (\text{layer } T \ i)$
 $\leq C * \text{length } (\text{rank_roots } T \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Together, this yields the fully combined geometric layer bound.

corollary $\text{layer_bound_geometric}$:
assumes $\text{balanced } t$
shows $(\text{length } (\text{layer } t \ i)) \leq C * (\text{size1 } t / (2^{\text{powr } (i / c_u)}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

3.6 Balanced schemes

A *BalancedTree* is a *BalancedShape* together with an invariant that implies balance. This records the balancing rule of [4].

locale $\text{BalancedTree} = \text{BalancedShape rank } c_l \ c_u$
for $\text{rank} :: ('a \times 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
and $c_l \ c_u :: \text{real}$
 $+$
fixes $\text{inv} :: ('a \times 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
assumes rule_bal : $\text{inv } t \Longrightarrow \text{balanced } t$
begin

declare rule_bal [*intro*]

corollary rank_pos [*simp*]: $\text{inv } t \Longrightarrow 0 \leq \text{rank } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $dmax'$: $inv (Node\ l\ a\ r) \implies |rank\ l - rank\ r| \leq c_\delta$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary $rank_le_cu_log_size1'$: $inv\ t \implies rank\ t \leq c_u * \log 2\ (size1\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary $rank_lower_height_inverse'$: $inv\ t \implies height\ t \leq rank\ t / c_l$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary $inv_children_explD$:
 assumes $inv (Node\ l\ a\ r)$
 shows $rank\ l \leq rank (Node\ l\ a\ r) - c_l$
 and $rank\ r \leq rank (Node\ l\ a\ r) - c_l$
 and $rank (Node\ l\ a\ r) - c_u \leq rank\ l$
 and $rank (Node\ l\ a\ r) - c_u \leq rank\ r$
 ⟨proof⟩
 end

end

4 Strongly Joinable Trees

theory *StronglyJoinable*

imports

Analytical

BalancedBinary

HOL-Data_Structures.Set2_Join

HOL-Library.Time_Commands

HOL-Library.Landau_Symbols

HOL-Library.Going_To_Filter

begin

The *StronglyJoinable* locale combines the functional correctness setting of *Set2_Join* with the balancing scheme of *BalancedTree* and adds the remaining strongly joinable rules of [4]:

- *rule_mono*: the rank of a join dominates the ranks of both arguments
- *rule_sub*: replacing the subtrees of a node by trees whose rank exceeds the original by at most x yields a join whose rank exceeds the rank of the original node by at most x
- *rule_cost*: the cost of a join is linear in the rank difference of its arguments

The submodularity rule *rule_sub* deviates from the definition in [4]. The reason is support for flag-free *join* implementations of the type fixed by

Set2_Join and the price is a larger constant in the lower bound on the rank of union. See the appendix for details.

Finally, the added sanity rule *join_size* demands that *join* is not degenerate i.e. it adds exactly the pivot element and nothing else. Under *bst* preconditions this is already implied by functional correctness, but stating it directly avoids threading the *bst* assumption through the entire work analysis. It trivially holds for any correct implementation of *join*.

Note that for *rule_cost* there is no guarantee that *T_join* is the actual timing function corresponding to *join*. The analysis is therefore stated relative to the function supplied by an instantiation.

The final bounds are stated in terms of the smaller size *m* and the larger size *n* of the two inputs.

abbreviation *size_min* :: 'a tree $\Rightarrow$ 'a tree $\Rightarrow$ real **where**

size_min ta tb $\equiv$ real (min (size1 ta) (size1 tb))

abbreviation *size_max* :: 'a tree $\Rightarrow$ 'a tree $\Rightarrow$ real **where**

size_max ta tb $\equiv$ real (max (size1 ta) (size1 tb))

locale *StronglyJoinable* =

Set2_Join join inv +

BalancedTree rank *c_l* *c_u* inv

for rank :: ('a::linorder * 'b) tree $\Rightarrow$ real

and *c_l* *c_u* :: real

and join :: ('a*'b) tree $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ ('a*'b) tree $\Rightarrow$ ('a*'b) tree

and inv :: ('a*'b) tree $\Rightarrow$ bool

+

fixes *T_join* :: ('a*'b) tree $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ ('a*'b) tree $\Rightarrow$ nat

and *k₀* *k₁* :: real

assumes *rule_mono*:

$\llbracket \text{inv } l; \text{inv } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{max } (\text{rank } l) (\text{rank } r) \leq \text{rank } (\text{join } l \ a \ r)$

assumes *rule_sub*:

$\llbracket \text{rank } l' \leq \text{rank } l + x; \text{rank } r' \leq \text{rank } r + x;$

inv *l'*; *inv* *r'*; *inv* (Node *l* (*a*,*b*) *r*) $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\text{rank } (\text{join } l' \ a \ r') \leq \text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ (a,b) \ r) + x$

assumes *join_size*: $\llbracket \text{inv } l; \text{inv } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{size } (\text{join } l \ a \ r) = \text{size } l + \text{size } r + 1$

assumes *rule_cost*:

$\llbracket \text{inv } l; \text{inv } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{real } (T_join \ l \ a \ r) \leq k_0 + k_1 * |\text{rank } l - \text{rank } r|$

assumes *k₀_nonneg*: $0 \leq k_0$

assumes *k₁_nonneg*: $0 \leq k_1$

begin

lemma *split_invL[dest]*: $\llbracket \text{split } x \ t = (l,b,r); \text{inv } t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{inv } l$
 <proof>

lemma *split_invR[dest]*: $\llbracket \text{split } x \ t = (l,b,r); \text{inv } t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{inv } r$
 <proof>

lemma *split_invL_sym[dest]*: $\llbracket (l,b,r) = \text{split } x \ t; \text{inv } t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{inv } l$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *split_invR_sym*[*dest*]: $\llbracket (l, b, r) = \text{split } x \ t; \text{inv } t \rrbracket \implies \text{inv } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *obtain_inv*[*elim*]:
assumes *inv* (Node *l* (*x*, *y*) *r*)
obtains *inv l inv r*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The decreasing side of the submodularity rule of [4] is the instance $x = 0$.

lemma *rule_sub_dec*:
 $\llbracket \text{rank } l' \leq \text{rank } l; \text{rank } r' \leq \text{rank } r; \text{inv } l'; \text{inv } r'; \text{inv } (\text{Node } l \ (a, b) \ r) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{rank } (\text{join } l' \ a \ r') \leq \text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ (a, b) \ r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Since *join* can build a tree of any size out of the empty tree, the invariant holds for a singleton node with any pivot. Applying *rule_sub* to such a node bounds the rank increase of any join by a constant [4, Property 6].

lemma *inv_singleton*: $\exists b. \text{inv } (\text{Node } \text{Leaf } (a, b) \ \text{Leaf})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *join_rank_upper*:
assumes *inv l inv r*
shows $\text{rank } (\text{join } l \ a \ r) \leq \max (\text{rank } l) (\text{rank } r) + c_u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.1 Work model for *join*

All following work analyses are carried out against the idealized work measures in which every *join* is charged exactly $W_join \ l \ a \ r$. Through *rule_cost* every generated time function is bounded by a constant multiple of its work-model counterpart. It would be possible to use T_join directly, but the actual bounds will be calculated via real analysis, rather than just summation in *nat*. This way the constants do not need to be dragged around and conversions between *nat* and *real* happen exactly once.

definition $W_join :: ('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $W_join \ l \ a \ r = |\text{rank } l - \text{rank } r|$

definition $K_T :: \text{real}$ **where**
 $K_T = 1 + 2 * k_0 + k_1$

lemma *K_T_ge_1*: $1 \leq K_T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *K_T_ge_k1*: $k_1 \leq K_T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $K_T_ge_1_plus_k0$: $1 + k_0 \leq K_T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $W_join_nonneg[simp]$: $0 \leq W_join\ l\ a\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rule_cost_W$:
 $\llbracket inv\ l; inv\ r \rrbracket \implies real\ (T_join\ l\ a\ r) \leq k_0 + k_1 * W_join\ l\ a\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.2 Work bounds of helper functions

4.2.1 split

First, show that *split* can be decomposed into two functions, computing the left and right subtree respectively.

fun *splitl* :: $('a * 'b)\ tree \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a * 'b)\ tree$ **where**
splitl Leaf $x = Leaf\ |$
splitl (Node $l\ (a, _) \ r$) $x = (case\ (cmp\ x\ a)\ of$
 $LT \Rightarrow splitl\ l\ x\ |$
 $EQ \Rightarrow l\ |$
 $GT \Rightarrow join\ l\ a\ (splitl\ r\ x))$

fun *splitr* :: $('a * 'b)\ tree \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a * 'b)\ tree$ **where**
splitr Leaf $x = Leaf\ |$
splitr (Node $l\ (a, _) \ r$) $x = (case\ (cmp\ x\ a)\ of$
 $LT \Rightarrow join\ (splitr\ l\ x)\ a\ r\ |$
 $EQ \Rightarrow r\ |$
 $GT \Rightarrow splitr\ r\ x)$

lemma *splitl_eq_l*: $split\ x\ t = (l, b, r) \implies splitl\ t\ x = l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *splitr_eq_r*: $split\ x\ t = (l, b, r) \implies splitr\ t\ x = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *splitl_fst*: $splitl\ t\ x = fst\ (split\ x\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *splitr_snd*: $splitr\ t\ x = snd\ (snd\ (split\ x\ t))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *inv_splitl*: $inv\ t \implies inv\ (splitl\ t\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *inv_splitr*: $inv\ t \implies inv\ (splitr\ t\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The submodularity rule guarantees that the rank of either result trees

can never exceed the rank of the original input tree.

lemma *splitl_rank*: $\text{inv } t \implies \text{rank } t \geq \text{rank } (\text{splitl } t \ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *splitr_rank*: $\text{inv } t \implies \text{rank } t \geq \text{rank } (\text{splitr } t \ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *split_rank*: $\llbracket \text{split } x \ t = (l, b, r); \text{inv } t \rrbracket \implies \text{rank } l \leq \text{rank } t \wedge \text{rank } r \leq \text{rank } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

At most one of the two results of a split can retain the full rank of the input. Splitting at the root returns the two subtrees, and otherwise the recursion descends into one subtree, whose share of the result is bounded by that subtree's rank.

lemma *split_rank_min*:
assumes $\text{inv } t \ t \neq \text{Leaf } \text{split } x \ t = (l, b, r)$
shows $\min (\text{rank } l) (\text{rank } r) \leq \text{rank } t - c_l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Furthermore, since *split* can only partition keys, the combined sizes of the results never exceed the size of the input.

lemma *split_size_sum*:
 $\llbracket (l, b, r) = \text{split } x \ t; \text{inv } t \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inv } l \wedge \text{inv } r \wedge \text{size } t = \text{size } l + \text{size } r + (\text{if } b \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *split_size*: $\llbracket (l, b, r) = \text{split } x \ t; \text{inv } t \rrbracket \implies \text{size } l + \text{size } r \leq \text{size } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

And exactly one element is removed if the split key was contained in the original tree.

lemma *split_size_true*:
 $\llbracket (l, \text{True}, r) = \text{split } x \ t; \text{inv } t \rrbracket \implies \text{size } l + \text{size } r = \text{size } t - 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The work of *split* is also partitioned by the work of computing the left/right result.

fun *W_split* :: $('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $W_split \text{ Leaf } x = 1 \mid$
 $W_split (\text{Node } l \ (a, _) \ r) \ x = (\text{case } (\text{cmp } x \ a) \text{ of}$
 $LT \Rightarrow \text{let } (l1, _, l2) = \text{split } x \ l \text{ in } 1 + W_join \ l2 \ a \ r + W_split \ l \ x \mid$
 $EQ \Rightarrow 1 \mid$
 $GT \Rightarrow \text{let } (r1, _, r2) = \text{split } x \ r \text{ in } 1 + W_join \ l \ a \ r1 + W_split \ r \ x)$

fun *W_splitl* :: $('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $W_splitl \text{ Leaf } x = 1 \mid$
 $W_splitl (\text{Node } l \ (a, _) \ r) \ x = (\text{case } (\text{cmp } x \ a) \text{ of}$

$LT \Rightarrow W_splitl\ l\ x \mid$
 $EQ \Rightarrow 1 \mid$
 $GT \Rightarrow 1 + W_join\ l\ a\ (splitl\ r\ x) + W_splitl\ r\ x$

fun $W_splitr :: ('a * 'b)\ tree \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $W_splitr\ Leaf\ x = 1 \mid$
 $W_splitr\ (Node\ l\ (a, _) \ r)\ x = (case\ (cmp\ x\ a)\ of$
 $LT \Rightarrow 1 + W_join\ (splitr\ l\ x)\ a\ r + W_splitr\ l\ x \mid$
 $EQ \Rightarrow 1 \mid$
 $GT \Rightarrow W_splitr\ r\ x)$

lemma $W_split_lr: W_split\ t\ x \leq W_splitl\ t\ x + W_splitr\ t\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The bound on W_splitl is proved directly by induction, without the intermediary sum used in [4]. The key observation is an inverse relationship between the cost of a single join and the cost accumulated along the recursion path. At each recursive call, W_splitl computes $splitl\ r\ x$ and then joins the result with l . Writing $r' = splitl\ r\ x$:

- If $rank\ r'$ is small (extreme: $rank\ r' = 0$), the join $W_join\ l\ a\ r'$ is expensive (up to $rank\ l$), but by the monotonicity rule no expensive joins can have occurred along the path, so the accumulated cost is low
- If $rank\ r'$ is large (extreme: $rank\ r' = rank\ r$), the join cost decreases, while the accumulated path cost may be higher

This tradeoff is captured by an induction hypothesis which introduces $rank\ (splitl\ t\ x)$ to absorb the credit left by cheap joins.

definition $c_1 = 2 * c_\delta + 1$

lemma $c1_pos: c_1 > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $W_splitl_bounded:$
assumes $inv\ t$
shows $W_splitl\ t\ x \leq 1 + c_1 * height\ t + rank\ (splitl\ t\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The right side is mirrored.

lemma $W_splitr_bounded:$
assumes $inv\ t$
shows $W_splitr\ t\ x \leq 1 + c_1 * height\ t + rank\ (splitr\ t\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Combining both yields the work bound of $split$.

definition K_split **where**
 $K_split = (4 * c_u - 2 * c_l + 2) / c_l$

lemma K_split_pos : $K_split > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $K_split_ge_1$: $K_split \geq 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $W_split_bounded$:
assumes $inv\ t$
shows $W_split\ t\ x \leq 2 + K_split * rank\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Finally the generated T_split is bounded by its work-function equivalent.

time_fun cmp

time_fun $split\ equations\ split.simps$

lemma $W_split_ge_1$: $1 \leq W_split\ t\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rule_cost_step$:
assumes $inv\ l\ inv\ r$ **and** $real\ t \leq (1 + k_0 + k_1) * w$
shows $1 + (real\ t + real\ (T_join\ l\ a\ r)) \leq (1 + k_0 + k_1) * (1 + W_join\ l\ a\ r + w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $T_split_bridge_strong$:
 $inv\ t \implies real\ (T_split\ x\ t) \leq (1 + k_0 + k_1) * W_split\ t\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary T_split_bridge :
assumes $inv\ t$
shows $real\ (T_split\ x\ t) \leq K_T * W_split\ t\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.2.2 $split_min$

$split_min$ removes the minimum element from a tree. The rank of the resulting tree stays close to the original i.e. it can decrease but not drop by more than c_u .

lemma $split_min_rank$:
assumes $inv\ t\ split_min\ t = (m, t')\ t \neq Leaf$
shows $rank\ t \geq rank\ t'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $split_min_rank_min$:
assumes $inv\ t\ split_min\ t = (m, t')\ t \neq Leaf$
shows $rank\ t \leq rank\ t' + c_u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

As per functional correctness, *split_min* always removes exactly one element.

lemma *split_min_sum*:

$\llbracket \text{split_min } t = (m, t'); \text{ inv } t; t \neq \text{Leaf} \rrbracket \implies \text{inv } t' \wedge \text{size } t = \text{size } t' + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *split_min_size*:

assumes $\text{inv } t \text{ split_min } t = (m, t') \ t \neq \text{Leaf}$

shows $\text{size } t' = \text{size } t - 1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The work of *split_min* follows the same inductive pattern as *W_splitl*.

fun *W_split_min* :: $('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**

W_split_min (Node *l* (*a*, $_$) *r*) =

(if *l* = Leaf then 1 else let $(m, l') = \text{split_min } l$ in $1 + \text{W_split_min } l + \text{W_join } l' \ a \ r$)

lemma *W_split_min_bounded*:

assumes $\text{inv } t \text{ split_min } t = (m, t') \ t \neq \text{Leaf}$

shows $\text{W_split_min } t \leq 1 + c_1 * \text{height } t + \text{rank } t'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *K_min* :: real **where** $K_min = 1 + c_1 / c_l$

lemma *K_min_nonneg*: $0 \leq K_min$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *W_split_min_rank*:

assumes $\text{inv } t \text{ split_min } t = (m, t') \ t \neq \text{Leaf}$

shows $\text{W_split_min } t \leq 1 + K_min * \text{rank } t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *W_split_min_ge_1*: $t \neq \text{Leaf} \implies 1 \leq \text{W_split_min } t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

T_split_min is then bounded by *W_split_min*.

time_fun *split_min* **equations** *split_min.simps*

lemma *rule_cost_step_min*:

assumes $\text{inv } l \text{ inv } r$ **and** $\text{real } t \leq (1 + k_0 + k_1) * w$

shows $1 + (\text{real } t + \text{real } (T_join \ l \ a \ r)) \leq (1 + k_0 + k_1) * (1 + w + \text{W_join } l \ a \ r)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *T_split_min_bridge_strong*:

$\llbracket \text{inv } t; t \neq \text{Leaf} \rrbracket \implies \text{real } (T_split_min \ t) \leq (1 + k_0 + k_1) * \text{W_split_min } t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2.3 *join2*

join2 joins two trees without a pivot element by extracting the minimum of the right tree. Its rank is close to the maximum of its inputs, analogous to the monotonicity rule.

lemma *join2_split_min*: $\llbracket \text{split_min } r = (m, r'); r \neq \text{Leaf} \rrbracket \implies \text{join2 } l \ r = \text{join } l \ m \ r'$
 <proof>

lemma *rule_mono_join2*:
 assumes *inv l inv r*
 shows $\max (\text{rank } l) (\text{rank } r) \leq \text{rank } (\text{join2 } l \ r) + c_u$
 <proof>

Size is again defined by functional correctness.

lemma *join2_size*:
 assumes *inv l inv r*
 shows $\text{size } (\text{join2 } l \ r) = \text{size } l + \text{size } r$
 <proof>

The work of *join2* is bounded by a join plus a linear term in the rank of the right tree, accounting for the cost of extracting the minimum.

definition *W_join2* :: $('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned} W_join2 \ l \ r &= (\text{if } r = \text{Leaf} \text{ then } 1 \\ &\quad \text{else let } (m, r') = \text{split_min } r \text{ in } W_join \ l \ m \ r' + W_split_min \ r) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *W_join2_split_min*:
 $\llbracket \text{split_min } r = (m, r'); r \neq \text{Leaf} \rrbracket \implies$

$$W_join2 \ l \ r = W_join \ l \ m \ r' + W_split_min \ r$$

 <proof>

lemma *W_join2_bounded*:
 assumes *inv l inv r*
 shows $W_join2 \ l \ r \leq W_join \ l \ a \ r + 1 + c_u + K_min * \text{rank } r$
 <proof>

T_join2 is again bounded by *W_join2*.

time_fun *join2* **equations** *join2_def*

lemma *rule_cost_step_join2*:
 assumes *inv l inv r* **and** $\text{real } t \leq (1 + k_0 + k_1) * w$ **and** $1 \leq w$
 shows $\text{real } t + \text{real } (T_join \ l \ a \ r) \leq K_T * (W_join \ l \ a \ r + w)$
 <proof>

lemma *T_join2_bridge*:
 assumes *inv l inv r*
 shows $\text{real } (T_join2 \ l \ r) \leq K_T * W_join2 \ l \ r$
 <proof>

5 Layered analysis of split-work

The set operations *union*, *inter* and *diff* share one recursion pattern. At each step the root key of one tree acts as a pivot at which the other tree is split. Following [4], the tree supplying the pivots is called the pivot tree and the tree being split the decomposed tree. In *Set2_Join*, union and intersection split *t2* by the keys of *t1*, so the pivot tree is *t1* and the decomposed tree is *t2*; for difference the roles are swapped. A subtree resulting from a split is referred to as a part of the decomposed tree. *split_work* records exactly the split cost incurred along this shared recursion. The goal of this section is obtaining a closed-form bound on *split_work*, and this bound is in essence already the work bound of the set operations. By *W_split_bounded* each individual split costs linearly in the rank of the part *t* it splits, i.e. logarithmically in its size. What the analysis will show is that paying this logarithmic charge once per part already sums to the optimal $O(m \log(n/m + 1))$. This will be done by grouping the parts into unit-rank layers according to the pivot tree that produced them and using the fact that the number of rank roots per layer decays geometrically (c.f. *rank_roots_count_powr_le*), making the total collapse into a geometric series. This corresponds to the exact analytical chain in [4, Theorem 11]. For the final work bounds of each set function it will be shown that the work performed by *join* along the way follows the same cost model.

```
fun split_work :: ('a * 'b) tree  $\Rightarrow$  ('a * 'b) tree  $\Rightarrow$  real where
split_work t1 t2 =
  (if t1 = Leaf then 0
   else if t2 = Leaf then 0
   else case t1 of Node l1 (a,_) r1  $\Rightarrow$ 
    (let (l2, _, r2) = split a t2
     in W_split t2 a
      + split_work l1 l2
      + split_work r1 r2))

declare split_work.simps[simp del]
```

5.1 Layered decomposition of *split_work*

To enable layered analysis, this section shows that *split_work* can be rewritten as a double sum over all layers, summing work of individual layers. First *split_parts* is defined, which collects all parts of the decomposed tree along the recursive path.

```
fun split_parts :: (('a::linorder) * 'b) tree  $\Rightarrow$  ('a * 'b) tree  $\Rightarrow$  ('a * 'b) tree list
where
split_parts t1 t2 =
  (if t1 = Leaf then []
   else if t2 = Leaf then []
   else case t1 of
```

```

Node l1 (a,_) r1 ⇒
  let (l2, _, r2) = split a t2
  in t2 # (split_parts l1 l2 @ split_parts r1 r2))

```

declare *split_parts.simps* [*simp del*]

Which enables bounding *split_work* by a direct sum, which already plugs in bounds for *W_split*.

lemma *split_work_le_part_sum*:

assumes *inv t2*

shows $\text{split_work } t1 \ t2 \leq (\sum t \leftarrow (\text{split_parts } t1 \ t2). \ 2 + K_{\text{split}} * \text{rank } t)$

<proof>

All parts conform to *inv*, since *split* preserves it.

lemma *split_parts_inv*:

assumes *inv t2*

shows $t \in \text{set } (\text{split_parts } t1 \ t2) \implies \text{inv } t$

<proof>

Next, *parts_in_layer* collects the parts belonging to specific layers of the pivot tree *t1*.

fun *parts_in_layer* :: $((\text{'a}::\text{linorder}) * \text{'b}) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow (\text{'a} * \text{'b}) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{'a} * \text{'b}) \text{ tree list}$ **where**

parts_in_layer *t1 t2 i* =

(if *t1* = *Leaf* then []

else if *t2* = *Leaf* then []

else case *t1* of

Node *l1* (*a*,_) *r1* ⇒

let (*l2*, _, *r2*) = *split* *a* *t2*

in ((if *in_band* *i* (*rank* *t1*) then [*t2*] else [])

@ *parts_in_layer* *l1* *l2* *i*

@ *parts_in_layer* *r1* *r2* *i*))

declare *parts_in_layer.simps*[*simp del*]

When the rank of the pivot tree *t1* is below layer *i*, no parts can be collected in that layer. This is because the layer condition $\text{real } i \leq \text{rank } t1$ fails at the root, and by balance, all children have even smaller rank.

lemma *parts_in_layer_empty_if_rank_lt*:

assumes *balanced* *t1* *rank* *t1* < *i*

shows *parts_in_layer* *t1 t2 i* = []

<proof>

Layers above the rank of *t1* contribute nothing, so extending the summation range is harmless.

lemma *sum_parts_in_layer_pad*:

assumes *balanced* *t1* *nat* $\lceil \text{rank } t1 \rceil \leq N$

shows $(\sum i \leq N. \sum t \leftarrow \text{parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. f \ t)$

$$= (\sum i \leq \text{nat } \lceil \text{rank } t1 \rceil. \sum t \leftarrow \text{parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. f \ t)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A band condition $\text{real } j \leq x \wedge x < \text{real } j + 1$ holds for exactly one index j (i.e. the floor of x). Summing an indicator over any range covering it therefore collects its value exactly once.

lemma *sum_band_single*:
fixes $x :: \text{real}$
assumes $0 \leq x$ **and** $\text{nat } \lfloor x \rfloor \leq R$
shows $(\sum j \leq R. (\text{if } \text{in_band } j \ x \text{ then } c \text{ else } 0)) = c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This now begets the central layering identity. Summing any weight over parts layer by layer yields the same value as summing over *split_parts* directly.

lemma *sum_parts_as_layers*:
fixes $f :: ('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes *balanced t1*
shows $(\sum t \leftarrow \text{split_parts } t1 \ t2. f \ t) = (\sum i \leq \text{nat } \lceil \text{rank } t1 \rceil. \sum t \leftarrow \text{parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. f \ t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.1.1 Bounding *parts_in_layer* via rank roots

With the layering identity in place, one piece is still missing. Per layer, *parts_in_layer* accounts for the cost accurately but has no usable structure. Its entries are nested fragments of each other, so neither their number nor their total size can be nicely argued about. The coarsening *rank_root_parts_in_layer* walks down *t1* only until a node whose rank falls in the band $[i, i+1)$ (i.e. it is a rank root) records the current part and stops.

fun *rank_root_parts_in_layer* :: $((a::\text{linorder}) * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ tree list}$ **where**
rank_root_parts_in_layer $t1 \ t2 \ i =$
 $(\text{if } t1 = \text{Leaf} \text{ then } []$
 $\text{else if } t2 = \text{Leaf} \text{ then } []$
 $\text{else case } t1 \text{ of}$
 $\text{Node } l1 \ (a, _) \ r1 \Rightarrow$
 $\text{let } (l2, _, r2) = \text{split } a \ t2$
 $\text{in } (\text{if } \text{in_band } i \ (\text{rank } t1) \text{ then } [t2] \text{ else}$
 $\text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } l1 \ l2 \ i$
 $\ @ \ \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } r1 \ r2 \ i))$

declare *rank_root_parts_in_layer.simps*[*simp del*]

All such rank root parts in a layer preserve the invariant.

lemma *root_parts_inv*:

assumes $inv\ t2$
shows $t \in set\ (rank_root_parts_in_layer\ t1\ t2\ i) \implies inv\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Moreover, none of them is empty as the recursion stops as soon as the decomposed tree runs out.

lemma $root_parts_nonempty$:
 $t \in set\ (rank_root_parts_in_layer\ t1\ t2\ i) \implies t \neq Leaf$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The combined size of all rank root parts in a single layer cannot exceed the size of the decomposed tree $t2$ [4, Lemma 10].

lemma $sizes_root_layer$:
assumes $inv\ t2$
shows $(\sum t \leftarrow rank_root_parts_in_layer\ t1\ t2\ i. size\ t) \leq size\ t2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The number of rank root parts per layer is bounded directly by the number of rank roots of the pivot tree.

lemma $length_root_parts_le_rank_roots$:
 $length\ (rank_root_parts_in_layer\ t1\ t2\ i) \leq length\ (rank_roots\ t1\ i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Each part collected by $parts_in_layer$ is bounded by the rank of the decomposed tree.

lemma $part_rank_le_snd$:
 $t \in set\ (parts_in_layer\ t1\ t2\ i) \implies inv\ t2 \implies rank\ t \leq rank\ t2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Therefore, at a rank root $t1$ of layer i , the number of parts in $parts_in_layer\ t1\ t2\ i$ is bounded by the constant C i.e. the layer of $t1$ has at most C nodes (c.f. $layer_bound_const$).

lemma $length_parts_in_layer_le_C$:
assumes $balanced\ t1\ in_band\ i\ (rank\ t1)$
shows $length\ (parts_in_layer\ t1\ t2\ i) \leq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Consequently, at a rank root the whole weighted part sum is charged against a single part. There are at most C parts, each of rank at most $rank\ t2$.

lemma $sum_parts_band_le_C$:
assumes $balanced\ t1\ inv\ t2\ in_band\ i\ (rank\ t1)$
and $k > 0\ c > 0$
shows $(\sum t \leftarrow parts_in_layer\ t1\ t2\ i. k + c * rank\ t) \leq real\ C * (k + c * rank\ t2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thus the coarsening loses only a constant factor. Below a rank root, $t1$ has at most C further nodes in the layer ($layer_bound_const$), and every

part collected there is a further split of the recorded part, hence of no larger rank. Per layer, the weighted part sum is therefore at most C times the root-part sum.

lemma *parts_rank_le_C_root_parts_rank*:

assumes $\text{inv } t1 \text{ inv } t2 \ k > 0 \ c > 0$

shows $(\sum t \leftarrow \text{parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. \ k + c * \text{rank } t)$

$\leq \text{real } C * (\sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. \ k + c * \text{rank } t)$

<proof>

Combining the layering identity with the per-layer approximation yields the layered root-part sum.

corollary *sum_parts_le_C_root*:

assumes $\text{inv } t1 \text{ inv } t2 \ k > 0 \ c > 0$

shows $(\sum t \leftarrow \text{split_parts } t1 \ t2. \ k + c * \text{rank } t)$

$\leq \text{real } C * (\sum i \leq \text{nat } \lceil \text{rank } t1 \rceil.$

$\sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. \ k + c * \text{rank } t)$

<proof>

5.2 Split-work as a layered double sum

With the above in place *split_work* can be rewritten into the target double-sum.

corollary *split_work_le_C_root_parts*:

assumes $\text{inv } t1 \text{ inv } t2$

shows $\text{split_work } t1 \ t2$

$\leq \text{real } C * K_{\text{split}} * (\sum i \leq \text{nat } \lceil \text{rank } t1 \rceil.$

$\sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. \ 2 + \text{rank } t)$

<proof>

Only non-empty layers contribute.

definition $L \text{ where } L \ t1 \ t2 = \{i. \ i \leq \text{nat } \lceil \text{rank } t1 \rceil \wedge \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i \neq []\}$

corollary L_finite : $\text{finite } (L \ t1 \ t2)$

<proof>

lemma *sum_layers_nonempty*:

$(\sum i \leq \text{nat } \lceil \text{rank } t1 \rceil. \sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. \ f \ t) =$

$(\sum i \in L \ t1 \ t2. \sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. \ f \ t)$

<proof>

corollary *split_work_le_C_root_parts_L*:

assumes $\text{inv } t1 \text{ inv } t2$

shows $\text{split_work } t1 \ t2$

$\leq \text{real } C * K_{\text{split}} * (\sum i \in L \ t1 \ t2.$

$\sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. \ 2 + \text{rank } t)$

<proof>

5.3 Inner sum analysis

In this subsection a closed form of the inner sum is obtained. s denotes the number of rank root parts in a specific layer which inherits the geometric decay of the rank roots.

definition s **where** $s \ t1 \ t2 \ i = \text{length}(\text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i)$

lemma $s_le_geometric$:

assumes $inv \ t1$

shows $real \ (s \ t1 \ t2 \ i) \leq size1 \ t1 \ / \ 2 \ powr \ (i \ / \ c_u)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Independently of the layer, the number of root parts is also bounded by the size of the decomposed tree itself. Since every recorded part is non-empty, $sizes_root_layer$ leaves room for at most $size \ t2$ of them.

lemma s_le_size :

assumes $inv \ t2$

shows $s \ t1 \ t2 \ i \leq size \ t2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Replace rank with $c_u * \log 2 \ (real \ (size1 \ t))$ using $rank_le_cu_log_size1$.

lemma $inner_sum_log_bound$:

assumes $inv \ t2$

shows $(\sum t \leftarrow rank_root_parts_in_layer \ t1 \ t2 \ i. \ rank \ t)$

$\leq c_u * (\sum t \leftarrow rank_root_parts_in_layer \ t1 \ t2 \ i. \ log \ 2 \ (size1 \ t))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $inner_sum_log_bound_size$:

assumes $inv \ t2$

shows $(\sum t \leftarrow rank_root_parts_in_layer \ t1 \ t2 \ i. \ rank \ t)$

$\leq c_u * (\sum t \leftarrow rank_root_parts_in_layer \ t1 \ t2 \ i. \ log \ 2 \ (size \ t + 1))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Since the inner sum can now be written as a sum of logs, Jensen's inequality for concave functions on finite sets (c.f. $jensen_finite$) pulls the log out of the sum, replacing the sum of logs with a single log of the average. This is key because the size of an individual part in a layer cannot be known, but their combined size is bounded - the concavity of $\log$ makes the average the right quantity to work with.

lemma $bound_sum_logs$:

assumes $rank_root_parts_in_layer \ t1 \ t2 \ i \neq []$

shows $(\sum t \leftarrow rank_root_parts_in_layer \ t1 \ t2 \ i. \ log \ 2 \ (real \ (size \ t + 1)))$

$\leq (s \ t1 \ t2 \ i) * \log 2 \ ((\sum t \leftarrow rank_root_parts_in_layer \ t1 \ t2 \ i. \ size \ t + 1) / (s \ t1 \ t2 \ i))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Combining the above with the size bound $sizes_root_layer$ enables simplification of the log.

lemma *layer_step_1*:
assumes *inv t2 s t1 t2 i > 0*
shows $(s \ t1 \ t2 \ i) * \log 2 \ ((\sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. \text{size } t + 1) / (s \ t1 \ t2 \ i))$
 $\leq (s \ t1 \ t2 \ i) * \log 2 \ (\text{size } t2 / (s \ t1 \ t2 \ i) + 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Combining the three steps above, the whole contribution of a layer is bounded by a term in the part count *s* alone.

lemma *layer_term_le_s*:
assumes *inv t2 i ∈ L t1 t2 0 ≤ c*
shows $(\sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. k + c * \text{rank } t)$
 $\leq (s \ t1 \ t2 \ i) * (k + c * c_u * \log 2 \ (\text{size } t2 / (s \ t1 \ t2 \ i) + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

From strict monotonicity of $x \log_2 (1 + \frac{n}{x})$ (c.f. *xlog_term_mono*) *s* can be substituted with its geometric upper bound.

lemma *layer_term_le_geometric*:
assumes *inv t1 inv t2 size t2 > 0 i ∈ L t1 t2 and k0: 0 ≤ k and c0: 0 ≤ c*
shows $(\sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. k + c * \text{rank } t)$
 $\leq (\text{size1 } t1 / 2^{\text{powr } (i / c_u)}) * (k + c * c_u * \log 2 \ (\text{size } t2 / (\text{size1 } t1 / 2^{\text{powr } (i / c_u)}) + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4 Closed-form work bound

Having obtained a closed form for the inner sum, the rest of the proof proceeds purely analytically. All previous steps are combined to show that each layer's contribution is bounded by a term involving $\text{size1 } t1 / 2^{i/c_u}$ (the geometric decay) and a logarithmic factor. The sum index *i* only appears as an exponent in the denominator, which is key for obtaining the final closed form via geometric series.

corollary *dsum_le_sum_logs*:
assumes *inv t1 inv t2 size t2 > 0 and k0: 0 ≤ k and c0: 0 ≤ c*
shows $(\sum i \in L \ t1 \ t2. \sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. k + c * \text{rank } t)$
 $\leq (\sum i \in L \ t1 \ t2. (\text{size1 } t1 / 2^{\text{powr } (i / c_u)}) * (k + c * c_u * \log 2 \ (\text{size } t2 / (\text{size1 } t1 / 2^{\text{powr } (i / c_u)}) + 1)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The sum from *dsum_le_sum_logs* has summands of the form $z_i \cdot (k + c \cdot c_u \log_2(n/z_i + 1))$ with $z_i = m/2^{i/c_u}$. After rewriting z_i in terms of the geometric ratio $1/2^{1/c_u}$, the log is split and linearized pointwise, and the sum falls apart into geometric and arithmetic-geometric series, bounded by *S*₁ and *S*₂ respectively.

lemma *final_sum_decomposed_ge_pivot*:
assumes *sz2: size t2 > 0 and k0: 0 ≤ k and c0: 0 ≤ c*

shows $(\sum i \in L \ t1 \ t2.$
 $(size1 \ t1 \ / \ 2 \ powr \ (i \ / \ c_u)) * (k + c * c_u * \log 2 \ (size \ t2 \ / \ (size1 \ t1 \ / \ 2 \ powr \ (i \ / \ c_u)) + 1)))$
 $\leq size1 \ t1 * (k * S1 \ c_u + c * c_u * S1 \ c_u + c * S2 \ c_u)$
 $+ c * c_u * S1 \ c_u * size1 \ t1 * \log 2 \ (size \ t2 \ / \ size1 \ t1 + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The bound of *final_sum_decomposed_ge_pivot* is tight only while the decomposed tree *t2* is at least as large as the pivot tree *t1*. Otherwise the geometric bound $m/2^{i/c_u}$ on the layer counts exceeds $n = size \ t_2$ in the upper layers and the linear term degenerates to $O(m)$. This is the second case in the proof of [4, Theorem 11] - the layers are cut at the threshold $\theta = c_u \log_2(m/n)$, where the two bounds on *s* coincide.

- Layers $i \leq \theta$ are bounded via *s_le_size*. Each contributes at most $n(k + c \ c_u)$ and there are at most $\theta + 1$ of them.
- Layers $i > \theta$ are bounded geometrically as before, but re-indexed relative to the first such layer $i_0 = \lfloor \theta \rfloor + 1$. There the geometric bound has already decayed to *n*, so the sum becomes a geometric series in *n*.

lemma *final_sum_decomposed_le_pivot*:
assumes *inv t1 inv t2 size t2 > 0 size t2 ≤ size1 t1*
and *k0: 0 ≤ k and c0: 0 ≤ c*
shows $(\sum i \in L \ t1 \ t2. \sum t \leftarrow rank_root_parts_in_layer \ t1 \ t2 \ i. k + c * rank \ t)$
 $\leq size \ t2 * ((k + c * c_u) * (1 + S1 \ c_u) + c * S1 \ c_u + c * S2 \ c_u)$
 $+ (k + c * c_u) * c_u * size \ t2 * \log 2 \ (size1 \ t1 \ / \ size \ t2 + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.5 Unified closed form

The two closed forms combine into a single bound of the shape $A \cdot m + B \cdot m \log_2(n/m+1)$ in the smaller size *m* and the larger size *n*. For $m = size1 \ t_1$ the first form applies, and its logarithm only grows when *size t2* is replaced by *size1 t2*. For $m = size1 \ t_2$ the second form applies, and *xlog_mono* lets *size t2* grow to *size1 t2* in both factors at once. The constants are the pointwise maxima of the two cases.

lemma *S1_nonneg: 0 ≤ S1 c_u and S2_nonneg: 0 ≤ S2 c_u*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *bound_mn :: real ⇒ real ⇒ ('a*'b) tree ⇒ ('a*'b) tree ⇒ real* **where**
 $bound_mn \ k \ c \ ta \ tb \equiv$
 $size_min \ ta \ tb * ((k + c * c_u) * (1 + S1 \ c_u) + c * S1 \ c_u + c * S2 \ c_u)$
 $+ c_u * (k + c * c_u + c * S1 \ c_u) * size_min \ ta \ tb$
 $* \log 2 \ (size_max \ ta \ tb \ / \ size_min \ ta \ tb + 1)$

lemma *final_sum_bound_mn*:

assumes $\text{inv } t1 \text{ inv } t2 \text{ size } t2 > 0$ **and** $k0: 0 \leq k$ **and** $c0: 0 \leq c$
shows $(\sum i \in L \ t1 \ t2. \sum t \leftarrow \text{rank_root_parts_in_layer } t1 \ t2 \ i. k + c * \text{rank } t)$
 $\leq \text{bound_mn } k \ c \ t1 \ t2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Putting all of the above together yields the final theorem [4, Theorem 11].

theorem $\text{split_work_bound_exact}$:
assumes $\text{inv } t1 \text{ inv } t2 \text{ size } t2 > 0$
shows $\text{split_work } t1 \ t2 \leq \text{real } C * K_split * \text{bound_mn } 2 \ 1 \ t1 \ t2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6 Generic work-bound theorem

The three operations union, intersection and difference share one analytical chain. Writing (ta, tb) for the role pair - $(t1, t2)$ for union and intersection, $(t2, t1)$ for difference - each operation only has to provide two facts:

- the join-work bound $jw \leq (\sum t \leftarrow \text{split_parts } ta \ tb. k + c * \text{rank } t)$
- a decomposition $W \leq jw + \text{split_work } ta \ tb$

The former implies that the join-work is asymptotically no more than the split-work [4, Theorem 10]. The operation-specific theorems are mere instantiations of this proof chain. First, observe that the argument for split_work is really an argument about summing split_parts .

corollary part_sum_le_mn :
assumes $\text{inv } ta \text{ inv } tb \text{ size } tb > 0$
assumes $kc: 0 < k \ 0 < c$
shows $(\sum t \leftarrow \text{split_parts } ta \ tb. k + c * \text{rank } t) \leq \text{real } C * \text{bound_mn } k \ c \ ta \ tb$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The arguments k, c of the packaged constants mirror the shape of the join-work bound. The shifts by $1 + 2 * K_split$ resp. K_split account for the split-work and for the one unit of work charged per call.

definition $K_lin :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $K_lin \ k \ c = \text{real } C * ((k + 1 + 2 * K_split + (c + K_split) * c_u) * (1 + S1 \ c_u)$
 $+ (c + K_split) * S1 \ c_u + (c + K_split) * S2 \ c_u)$

definition $K_log :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $K_log \ k \ c = \text{real } C * c_u * (k + 1 + 2 * K_split + (c + K_split) * c_u + (c + K_split) * S1 \ c_u)$

lemma $K_lin_nonneg: 0 \leq k \implies 0 \leq c \implies 0 \leq K_lin \ k \ c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $K_log_nonneg: 0 \leq k \implies 0 \leq c \implies 0 \leq K_log \ k \ c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem $W_bound_generic$:

fixes $W\ jw\ k\ c :: \text{real}$

assumes $invs$: $inv\ ta\ inv\ tb\ size\ tb > 0$

assumes $decomp$: $W \leq jw + split_work\ ta\ tb$

assumes jw_piv : $jw \leq (\sum t \leftarrow split_parts\ ta\ tb.\ k + c * rank\ t)$

assumes k_pos : $0 < k$ **and** c_pos : $0 < c$

shows $W \leq real\ C * bound_mn\ (k + 2 * K_split)\ (c + K_split)\ ta\ tb$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The concrete work functions charge one unit per call, base cases included. Since every inner call records exactly one part, this overhead amounts to $1 + length\ (split_parts\ ta\ tb)$ and is folded into the part sum by shifting k by one.

lemma $sum_list_parts_shift$:

$(\sum t \leftarrow xs.\ (k + 1) + c * rank\ t) = length\ xs + (\sum t \leftarrow xs.\ k + c * rank\ t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $W_bound_generic'$:

fixes $W\ jw\ k\ c :: \text{real}$

assumes $invs$: $inv\ ta\ inv\ tb$

assumes $decomp$: $W \leq 1 + length\ (split_parts\ ta\ tb) + jw + split_work\ ta\ tb$

assumes jw_piv : $jw \leq (\sum t \leftarrow split_parts\ ta\ tb.\ k + c * rank\ t)$

assumes k_pos : $0 < k$ **and** c_pos : $0 < c$

shows $W \leq 1 + K_lin\ k\ c * size_min\ ta\ tb$

$+ K_log\ k\ c * (size_min\ ta\ tb * log\ 2\ (size_max\ ta\ tb / size_min\ ta\ tb + 1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.1 Asymptotic form

The closed-form bound above has the shape $1 + O(m) + O(m \log_2(n/m + 1))$. Since $1 \leq m \leq n$, the logarithm is at least $\log_2 2 = 1$, so both the constant and the linear part are absorbed and each operation runs in $O(m \log_2(n/m + 1))$.

lemma $K_lin_log_pos$:

assumes $0 \leq k\ 0 \leq c$

shows $0 < 1 + K_lin\ k\ c + K_log\ k\ c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $mlog_ge$:

fixes $ta\ tb :: ('a * 'b)\ tree$

shows $1 \leq size_min\ ta\ tb * log\ 2\ (size_max\ ta\ tb / size_min\ ta\ tb + 1)$

and $size_min\ ta\ tb \leq size_min\ ta\ tb * log\ 2\ (size_max\ ta\ tb / size_min\ ta\ tb + 1)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary W_bound_absorb :

fixes $W\ jw\ k\ c :: real$

assumes $invs$: $inv\ ta\ inv\ tb$

assumes $decomp$: $W \leq 1 + length\ (split_parts\ ta\ tb) + jw + split_work\ ta\ tb$

assumes jw_piv : $jw \leq (\sum t \leftarrow split_parts\ ta\ tb. k + c * rank\ t)$

assumes k_pos : $0 < k$ **and** c_pos : $0 < c$

shows $W \leq (1 + K_lin\ k\ c + K_log\ k\ c)$

$* (size_min\ ta\ tb * \log 2\ (size_max\ ta\ tb / size_min\ ta\ tb + 1))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The formal argument uses the machinery defined in *HOL-Library.Going_To_Filter*. Intuitively, the filter defined below is generated by a collection of regions of trees i.e. for every threshold N the region A_N contains all invariant trees of size at least N . The regions are obviously nested i.e. $A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots$.

definition $tree_filter :: ('a \times 'b)\ tree\ filter$ **where**

$tree_filter = size\ going_to\ at_top\ within\ \{t. inv\ t\}$

The connection to Big-O notation is the notion of *eventually*. A predicate P holds eventually in the filter iff P holds on some region A_N , i.e. iff there is a threshold N such that P holds for *every* invariant tree of size at least N . In other words, for all sufficiently large valid inputs.

lemma $eventually_tree_filter$: $eventually\ (\lambda t. inv\ t \wedge 1 \leq size\ t)\ tree_filter$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The argument could already proceed here, but there is one pitfall. Nothing so far guarantees that the regions A_N are non-empty. Suppose some A_N were empty. Then *every* predicate, including $\lambda t. False$, would hold on all elements of A_N , as there are none. Since *eventually* only requires one witness region, every statement whatsoever would then hold eventually and the filter collapses to the degenerate filter *bot*. Every asymptotic statement over it becomes vacuously true. Note that such statements would still be provable, they would merely carry no information. The following lemma constructs, for every N , an invariant tree of size exactly N , hence a member of A_N .

lemma $exists_inv_tree_of_size$: $\exists t. inv\ t \wedge size\ t = N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Properness is now immediate.

lemma $tree_filter_proper$: $tree_filter \neq bot$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Because the work functions operate on pairs of trees, the product filter is used to extend $tree_filter$ to a filter on pairs. As the bound is symmetric in the two sizes, no restriction on their order is needed.

definition $tree_pair_filter :: ((('a \times 'b)\ tree) \times ('a \times 'b)\ tree)\ filter$ **where**

$tree_pair_filter = tree_filter \times_F tree_filter$

corollary $tree_pair_filter_proper$: $tree_pair_filter \neq bot$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *eventually_tree_pair_filter*:

eventually $(\lambda x. (\lambda t. \text{inv } t \wedge 1 \leq \text{size } t) (\text{fst } x) \wedge (\lambda t. \text{inv } t \wedge 1 \leq \text{size } t) (\text{snd } x))$
 $(\text{tree_filter} \times_F \text{tree_filter})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Finally, the Big-O bound can be stated.

theorem *W_bound_bigo_generic*:

fixes $W \text{ jw} :: ('a \times 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
and $k \ c :: \text{real}$
assumes $W_nonneg: \bigwedge ta \ tb. 0 \leq W \text{ ta } tb$
assumes $decomp: \bigwedge ta \ tb. \llbracket \text{inv } ta; \text{inv } tb \rrbracket$
 $\implies W \text{ ta } tb \leq 1 + \text{length } (\text{split_parts } ta \ tb) + \text{jw } ta \ tb + \text{split_work } ta \ tb$
assumes $\text{jw_piv}: \bigwedge ta \ tb. \llbracket \text{inv } ta; \text{inv } tb \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{jw } ta \ tb \leq (\sum t \leftarrow \text{split_parts } ta \ tb. k + c * \text{rank } t)$
assumes $k_pos: 0 < k$ **and** $c_pos: 0 < c$
shows $(\lambda(ta, tb). W \text{ ta } tb) \in O[\text{tree_pair_filter}](\lambda(ta, tb). \text{size_min } ta \ tb * \log 2 (\text{size_max } ta \ tb / \text{size_min } ta \ tb + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Which also begets the canonical form phrased in terms of the natural logarithm.

corollary *W_bound_bigo_generic_ln*:

fixes $W \text{ jw} :: ('a \times 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
and $k \ c :: \text{real}$
assumes $W_nonneg: \bigwedge ta \ tb. 0 \leq W \text{ ta } tb$
assumes $decomp: \bigwedge ta \ tb. \llbracket \text{inv } ta; \text{inv } tb \rrbracket$
 $\implies W \text{ ta } tb \leq 1 + \text{length } (\text{split_parts } ta \ tb) + \text{jw } ta \ tb + \text{split_work } ta \ tb$
assumes $\text{jw_piv}: \bigwedge ta \ tb. \llbracket \text{inv } ta; \text{inv } tb \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{jw } ta \ tb \leq (\sum t \leftarrow \text{split_parts } ta \ tb. k + c * \text{rank } t)$
assumes $k_pos: 0 < k$ **and** $c_pos: 0 < c$
shows $(\lambda(ta, tb). W \text{ ta } tb) \in O[\text{tree_pair_filter}](\lambda(ta, tb). \text{size_min } ta \ tb * \ln (\text{size_max } ta \ tb / \text{size_min } ta \ tb + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7 Union

Start by defining the work function and show it decomposes as split- and join-work.

fun $W_union :: ('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**

$W_union \ t1 \ t2 =$
 $(\text{if } t1 = \text{Leaf} \text{ then } 1$
 $\text{else if } t2 = \text{Leaf} \text{ then } 1$
 $\text{else case } t1 \text{ of Node } l1 \ (a, _) \ r1 \Rightarrow$
 $\text{(let } (l2, _, r2) = \text{split } a \ t2;$
 $\text{ } l' = \text{union } l1 \ l2;$
 $\text{ } r' = \text{union } r1 \ r2$

```

    in 1
      + W_split t2 a
      + W_union l1 l2
      + W_union r1 r2
      + W_join l' a r')

fun join_work_union :: ('a*'b)tree  $\Rightarrow$  ('a*'b)tree  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  join_work_union t1 t2 =
    (if t1 = Leaf then 0 else
     if t2 = Leaf then 0 else
     case t1 of Node l1 (a, _) r1  $\Rightarrow$ 
       let (l2, r2) = split a t2;
           l' = union l1 l2; r' = union r1 r2
       in 1 + W_join l' a r' + join_work_union l1 l2 + join_work_union r1 r2)

declare W_union.simps[simp del]
declare join_work_union.simps[simp del]

lemma W_union_work_bound:
  assumes inv t1 inv t2
  shows W_union t1 t2
     $\leq 1 + \text{length } (\text{split\_parts } t1 \ t2) + \text{join\_work\_union } t1 \ t2 + \text{split\_work } t1$ 
    t2
  <proof>

```

7.1 Bounding join-work

To instantiate the generic work bound, the join-work needs to fit the cost model. First, show that *union* produces results whose rank is bounded by the ranks of the input trees. The upper bound is a consequence of *rule_sub*. The lower bound combines balance, monotonicity and *split_rank_min*.

lemma union_rank_upper: $\llbracket \text{inv } t1; \text{inv } t2 \rrbracket \implies \text{rank } (\text{union } t1 \ t2) \leq \text{rank } t1 + \text{rank } t2$
 <proof>

lemma union_rank_lower: $\llbracket \text{inv } t1; \text{inv } t2 \rrbracket \implies \text{rank } t1 \leq \text{rank } (\text{union } t1 \ t2) + (c_u / c_l) * \text{rank } t2$
 <proof>

With the two results above, the join-work of every step is bounded by a constant plus a constant multiple of the rank of the tree being split. The constants are named after the generic bound they feed into.

abbreviation KJ_union $\equiv (1 + c_\delta)$
abbreviation KJc_union $\equiv (1 + c_u / c_l)$

lemma KJ_union_pos: $0 < 1 + c_\delta$
 <proof>

lemma *KJc_union_pos*: $0 < 1 + c_u / c_l$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *join_work_union'* :: $(a*b)tree \Rightarrow (a*b)tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**
join_work_union' t1 t2 =
 (if t1 = Leaf then 0 else
 if t2 = Leaf then 0 else
 case t1 of Node l1 (a, _) r1 $\Rightarrow$
 let (l2, r2) = split a t2
 in 1 + c_δ + *KJc_union* * rank t2 + *join_work_union'* l1 l2 +
join_work_union' r1 r2)

lemma *join_work_bounded*:
 assumes *inv* t1 *inv* t2
 shows *join_work_union* t1 t2 $\leq$ *join_work_union'* t1 t2
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *join_work_union'_as_sum*:
join_work_union' t1 t2 = $(\sum t \leftarrow \text{split_parts } t1 \ t2. KJ_union + KJc_union * \text{rank } t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary *join_work_union_le_sum_rank*:
 assumes *inv* t1 *inv* t2
 shows *join_work_union* t1 t2 $\leq (\sum t \leftarrow \text{split_parts } t1 \ t2. KJ_union + KJc_union * \text{rank } t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

7.2 Final bound

The step of the set operations charges its unit of work against the final join, absorbing the constants of *rule_cost_W* into *K_T*. This form is shared by the union and intersection bridges.

lemma *rule_cost_K_T*:
 assumes *inv* l *inv* r
 shows $1 + real (T_join \ l \ a \ r) \leq K_T + K_T * W_join \ l \ a \ r$
 ⟨proof⟩

Writing out the *union* specific induction step enables complete automation.

lemma *rule_cost_step_union*:
 assumes *inv* l *inv* r
 and $real \ t_s \leq K_T * w_s \ real \ t_l \leq K_T * w_l \ real \ t_r \leq K_T * w_r$
 shows $1 + (real \ t_s + (real \ t_l + (real \ t_r + real \ (T_join \ l \ a \ r))))$
 $\leq K_T * (1 + w_s + w_l + w_r + W_join \ l \ a \ r)$
 ⟨proof⟩

time_fun *union equations union.simps*
declare *T_union.simps*[simp del]

lemma *T_union_bridge*:

$\llbracket \text{inv } t1; \text{inv } t2 \rrbracket \implies \text{real } (T_union\ t1\ t2) \leq K_T * W_union\ t1\ t2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The generic work bounds can be instantiated directly.

theorem *W_union_bound*:

assumes *inv t1 inv t2*
shows $W_union\ t1\ t2$
 $\leq 1 + K_lin\ KJ_union\ KJc_union * size_min\ t1\ t2$
 $+ K_log\ KJ_union\ KJc_union * (size_min\ t1\ t2 * \log 2\ (size_max\ t1\ t2$
 $/\ size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *T_union_bound*:

assumes *inv t1 inv t2*
shows $real\ (T_union\ t1\ t2)$
 $\leq K_T + (K_T * K_lin\ KJ_union\ KJc_union) * size_min\ t1\ t2$
 $+ (K_T * K_log\ KJ_union\ KJc_union) * (size_min\ t1\ t2 * \log 2$
 $(size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The generic asymptotic bound requires the work functions to be non-negative.

lemma *W_split_nonneg*: $0 \leq W_split\ t\ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *W_union_nonneg*: $0 \leq W_union\ t1\ t2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *W_union_bigo*:

$(\lambda(t1, t2). W_union\ t1\ t2) \in O[\text{tree_pair_filter}](\lambda(t1, t2).$
 $size_min\ t1\ t2 * \ln (size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The time bound follows from transitivity of Big-O.

corollary *T_union_bigo*:

$(\lambda(t1, t2). real\ (T_union\ t1\ t2)) \in O[\text{tree_pair_filter}](\lambda(t1, t2).$
 $size_min\ t1\ t2 * \ln (size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8 Intersection

Start by defining the work function and show it decomposes into the split- and join-work.

fun *W_inter* :: $('a * 'b)\ tree \Rightarrow ('a * 'b)\ tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $W_inter\ t1\ t2 =$
 $(if\ t1 = Leaf\ then\ 1$

```

else if t2 = Leaf then 1
else case t1 of Node l1 (a,_) r1 =>
  (let (l2, b, r2) = split a t2;
    l' = inter l1 l2;
    r' = inter r1 r2
  in 1
    + W_split t2 a
    + (if b then W_join l' a r' else W_join2 l' r')
    + W_inter l1 l2
    + W_inter r1 r2
  ))

fun join_work_inter :: ('a*'b)tree => ('a*'b)tree => real where
join_work_inter t1 t2 =
  (if t1 = Leaf then 0 else
   if t2 = Leaf then 0 else
   case t1 of Node l1 (a, _) r1 =>
    let (l2, b, r2) = split a t2;
        l' = inter l1 l2; r' = inter r1 r2
    in 1 + (if b then W_join l' a r' else W_join2 l' r') + join_work_inter l1 l2 +
    join_work_inter r1 r2)

```

```

declare W_inter.simps[simp del]
declare join_work_inter.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma W_inter_work_bound:
  assumes inv t1 inv t2
  shows W_inter t1 t2
    ≤ 1 + length (split_parts t1 t2) + join_work_inter t1 t2 + split_work t1 t2
  <proof>

```

8.1 Bounding join-work

The rank-based argument for *local.union* does not carry over, as there is no submodularity rule for *join2*. By nature of the operation, however, the size of the resulting tree can be bounded directly.

```

lemma inter_size_bound:
  assumes inv t1 inv t2
  shows size (inter t1 t2) ≤ size t2
  <proof>

```

By balance, *size* can be exchanged for *rank* to arrive at a shape required for the generic work bound. This pattern is re-used by difference.

```

lemma log_size1_le_rank_ratio:
  assumes inv t
  shows c_u * log 2 (size1 t) ≤ (c_u / c_l) * rank t + c_u
  <proof>

```

```

corollary inter_rank_le_log_size:

```

```

assumes inv t1 inv t2
shows  $\text{rank } (\text{inter } t1 \ t2) \leq c_u * \log 2 \ (\text{size1 } t2)$ 
<proof>

```

The join work can thus be bounded.

```

fun join_work_inter' :: ('a*'b)tree  $\Rightarrow$  ('a*'b)tree  $\Rightarrow$  real where
join_work_inter' t1 t2 =
  (if t1 = Leaf then 0 else
   if t2 = Leaf then 0 else
   case t1 of Node l1 (a, _) r1  $\Rightarrow$ 
    let (l2, _, r2) = split a t2;
    l' = inter l1 l2; r' = inter r1 r2
    in 1 + W_join l' a r' + 1 + c_u + K_min * rank r'
    + join_work_inter' l1 l2 + join_work_inter' r1 r2)

```

```

declare join_work_inter'.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma join_work_inter_le_inter':
  assumes inv t1 inv t2
  shows  $\text{join\_work\_inter } t1 \ t2 \leq \text{join\_work\_inter}' \ t1 \ t2$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma cu_log_size1_mono:
  fixes s t :: ('a * 'b) tree
  assumes  $\text{size } s \leq \text{size } t$ 
  shows  $c_u * \log 2 \ (\text{size1 } s) \leq c_u * \log 2 \ (\text{size1 } t)$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma join_work_inter'_as_sum:
  assumes inv t1 inv t2
  shows  $\text{join\_work\_inter}' \ t1 \ t2$ 
     $\leq (\sum t \leftarrow \text{split\_parts } t1 \ t2.$ 
       $2 + c_u + (2 + K\_min) * c_u * \log 2 \ (\text{size1 } t))$ 
<proof>

```

Exchanging the log for the rank via *log_size1_le_rank_ratio* puts the sum directly into the shape $k + c * \text{rank } t$ accepted by the generic bound.

```

abbreviation KJk_inter  $\equiv 2 + c_u + (2 + K\_min) * c_u$ 
abbreviation KJc_inter  $\equiv (2 + K\_min) * c_u / c_l$ 

```

```

lemma join_work_inter_le_sum_rank:
  assumes inv t1 inv t2
  shows  $\text{join\_work\_inter } t1 \ t2$ 
     $\leq (\sum t \leftarrow \text{split\_parts } t1 \ t2. \text{KJk\_inter} + \text{KJc\_inter} * \text{rank } t)$ 
<proof>

```

8.2 Final bound

The remaining is analogous to the instantiation for *union*.

lemma *rule_cost_step_inter*:
assumes *inv l inv r*
and *real t_s ≤ K_T * w_s real t_l ≤ K_T * w_l real t_r ≤ K_T * w_r*
shows *1 + (real t_s + (real t_l + (real t_r + real (T_join l a r))))*
 $\leq K_T * (1 + w_s + W_join\ l\ a\ r + w_l + w_r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rule_cost_step_inter2*:
assumes *inv l inv r*
and *real t_s ≤ K_T * w_s real t_l ≤ K_T * w_l real t_r ≤ K_T * w_r*
shows *1 + (real t_s + (real t_l + (real t_r + real (T_join2 l r))))*
 $\leq K_T * (1 + w_s + W_join2\ l\ r + w_l + w_r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

time_fun *inter* **equations** *inter.simps*
declare *T_inter.simps[simp del]*

lemma *T_inter_bridge*:
 $\llbracket inv\ t1; inv\ t2 \rrbracket \implies real\ (T_inter\ t1\ t2) \leq K_T * W_inter\ t1\ t2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *KJk_inter_pos*: $0 < KJk_inter$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *KJc_inter_pos*: $0 < KJc_inter$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *W_inter_bound*:
assumes *inv t1 inv t2*
shows *W_inter t1 t2*
 $\leq 1 + K_lin\ KJk_inter\ KJc_inter * size_min\ t1\ t2$
 $+ K_log\ KJk_inter\ KJc_inter$
 $* (size_min\ t1\ t2 * \log\ 2\ (size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *T_inter_bound*:
assumes *inv t1 inv t2*
shows *real (T_inter t1 t2)*
 $\leq K_T + (K_T * K_lin\ KJk_inter\ KJc_inter) * size_min\ t1\ t2$
 $+ (K_T * K_log\ KJk_inter\ KJc_inter)$
 $* (size_min\ t1\ t2 * \log\ 2\ (size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *W_split_min_nonneg*: $t \neq Leaf \implies 0 \leq W_split_min\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *W_join2_nonneg*: $0 \leq W_join2\ l\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma W_inter_nonneg : $0 \leq W_inter\ t1\ t2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem W_inter_bigo :
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). W_inter\ t1\ t2) \in O[tree_pair_filter](\lambda(t1, t2). \\ size_min\ t1\ t2 * \ln (size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary T_inter_bigo :
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). real\ (T_inter\ t1\ t2)) \in O[tree_pair_filter](\lambda(t1, t2). \\ size_min\ t1\ t2 * \ln (size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9 Difference

Difference decomposes $t1$ instead of $t2$. Otherwise the proof proceeds in an identical manner.

fun $W_diff :: ('a * 'b)\ tree \Rightarrow ('a * 'b)\ tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $W_diff\ t1\ t2 =$
 $(if\ t1 = Leaf\ then\ 1$
 $\quad else\ if\ t2 = Leaf\ then\ 1$
 $\quad else\ case\ t2\ of\ Node\ l2\ (a, _) \ r2 \Rightarrow$
 $\quad (let\ (l1, _, r1) = split\ a\ t1;$
 $\quad \quad l' = diff\ l1\ l2; r' = diff\ r1\ r2$
 $\quad \quad in\ 1$
 $\quad \quad +\ W_split\ t1\ a$
 $\quad \quad +\ W_join2\ l'\ r'$
 $\quad \quad +\ W_diff\ l1\ l2$
 $\quad \quad +\ W_diff\ r1\ r2))$

fun $join_work_diff :: ('a * 'b)\ tree \Rightarrow ('a * 'b)\ tree \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $join_work_diff\ t1\ t2 =$
 $(if\ t1 = Leaf\ then\ 0\ else$
 $\quad if\ t2 = Leaf\ then\ 0\ else$
 $\quad case\ t2\ of\ Node\ l2\ (a, _) \ r2 \Rightarrow$
 $\quad let\ (l1, _, r1) = split\ a\ t1;$
 $\quad \quad l' = diff\ l1\ l2; r' = diff\ r1\ r2$
 $\quad \quad in\ 1 + W_join2\ l'\ r' + join_work_diff\ l1\ l2 + join_work_diff\ r1\ r2)$

declare $W_diff.simps[simp\ del]$
declare $join_work_diff.simps[simp\ del]$

lemma $W_diff_work_bound$:
assumes $inv\ t1\ inv\ t2$
shows $W_diff\ t1\ t2$
 $\leq 1 + length\ (split_parts\ t2\ t1) + join_work_diff\ t1\ t2 + split_work\ t2\ t1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.1 Bounding join-work

lemma *diff_size_bound*:
 assumes *inv t1 inv t2*
 shows $\text{size } (\text{diff } t1 \ t2) \leq \text{size } t1$
<proof>

lemma *diff_rank_le_log_size*:
 assumes *inv t1 inv t2*
 shows $\text{rank } (\text{diff } t1 \ t2) \leq c_u * \log 2 (\text{size1 } t1)$
<proof>

fun *join_work_diff'* :: $(\text{'a*'}b)\text{tree} \Rightarrow (\text{'a*'}b)\text{tree} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
join_work_diff' t1 t2 =
(if t1 = Leaf then 0 else
if t2 = Leaf then 0 else
case t2 of Node l2 (a, _) r2 $\Rightarrow$
let (l1, _, r1) = split a t1;
l' = diff l1 l2; r' = diff r1 r2
*in 1 + W_join l' a r' + 1 + c_u + K_min * rank r'*
+ join_work_diff' l1 l2 + join_work_diff' r1 r2)

declare *join_work_diff'.simps[simp del]*

lemma *join_work_diff_le_diff'*:
 assumes *inv t1 inv t2*
 shows $\text{join_work_diff } t1 \ t2 \leq \text{join_work_diff'} \ t1 \ t2$
<proof>

lemma *join_work_diff'_as_sum*:
 assumes *inv t1 inv t2*
 shows $\text{join_work_diff'} \ t1 \ t2$
 $\leq (\sum t \leftarrow \text{split_parts } t2 \ t1.$
 $2 + c_u + (2 + K_min) * c_u * \log 2 (\text{size1 } t))$
<proof>

abbreviation $KJk_diff \equiv 2 + c_u + (2 + K_min) * c_u$

abbreviation $KJc_diff \equiv (2 + K_min) * c_u / c_l$

lemma *join_work_diff_le_sum_rank*:
 assumes *inv t1 inv t2*
 shows $\text{join_work_diff } t1 \ t2$
 $\leq (\sum t \leftarrow \text{split_parts } t2 \ t1. KJk_diff + KJc_diff * \text{rank } t)$
<proof>

9.2 Final bound

time_fun *diff equations diff.simps*
declare *T_diff.simps[simp del]*

lemma T_diff_bridge :
 $\llbracket inv\ t1; inv\ t2 \rrbracket \implies real\ (T_diff\ t1\ t2) \leq K_T * W_diff\ t1\ t2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma KJk_diff_pos : $0 < KJk_diff$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma KJc_diff_pos : $0 < KJc_diff$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem W_diff_bound :
assumes $inv\ t1\ inv\ t2$
shows $W_diff\ t1\ t2$
 $\leq 1 + K_lin\ KJk_diff\ KJc_diff * size_min\ t1\ t2$
 $+ K_log\ KJk_diff\ KJc_diff$
 $* (size_min\ t1\ t2 * \log\ 2\ (size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary T_diff_bound :
assumes $inv\ t1\ inv\ t2$
shows $real\ (T_diff\ t1\ t2)$
 $\leq K_T + (K_T * K_lin\ KJk_diff\ KJc_diff) * size_min\ t1\ t2$
 $+ (K_T * K_log\ KJk_diff\ KJc_diff)$
 $* (size_min\ t1\ t2 * \log\ 2\ (size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma W_diff_nonneg : $0 \leq W_diff\ t1\ t2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

As both the product filter and the bounding function are symmetric, the result is transported along when swapping the terms.

lemma $filterlim_swap_tree_pair$:
 $filterlim\ prod.swap\ tree_pair_filter\ tree_pair_filter$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem W_diff_bigo :
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). W_diff\ t1\ t2) \in O[tree_pair_filter](\lambda(t1, t2).$
 $size_min\ t1\ t2 * \ln\ (size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary T_diff_bigo :
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). real\ (T_diff\ t1\ t2)) \in O[tree_pair_filter](\lambda(t1, t2).$
 $size_min\ t1\ t2 * \ln\ (size_max\ t1\ t2 / size_min\ t1\ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

10 Strongly Joinable AVL Trees

```

theory StronglyJoinableAVL
imports
  StronglyJoinable
  HOL-Data_Structures.AVL_Set
begin

```

As a proof of concept, the *StronglyJoinable* framework is instantiated for the AVL trees of the standard library, with the height as rank and balance constants $c_l = 1$ and $c_u = 2$. Instantiating the abstract results then yields fully numeric running-time bounds for union, intersection and difference on AVL trees.

10.1 Join for AVL Trees

Following [4], *join* walks down the spine of the taller tree until it reaches a subtree whose height is within one of the smaller tree, attaches the smaller tree together with the pivot there, and rebalances on the way back up with the standard AVL rotations (*balL*, *balR*).

```

fun joinR where
joinR L a R = (case L of Node l (k,_) r ⇒
  if ht r ≤ ht R + 1
  then balR l k (node r a R)
  else balR l k (joinR r a R))

```

```

declare joinR.simps[simp del]

```

```

fun joinL where
joinL L a R = (case R of Node l (k,_) r ⇒
  if ht l ≤ ht L + 1
  then balL (node L a l) k r
  else balL (joinL L a l) k r)

```

```

declare joinL.simps[simp del]

```

```

fun join where
join l a r =
  (if ht l > ht r + 1 then joinR l a r
  else if ht r > ht l + 1 then joinL l a r
  else node l a r)

```

10.2 Proof of Correctness

The *Set2_Join* interpretation requires the set semantics of *join*, preservation of the search-tree order, and preservation of the AVL invariant.

10.2.1 Set Preservation

lemma *balR_set:set_tree* (*balR l a r*) = *set_tree*
 $l \cup \{a\} \cup \text{set_tree } r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *joinR_set:ht* $l > \text{ht } r + 1 \implies \text{set_tree } (\text{joinR } l \ a \ r) = \text{set_tree}$
 $l \cup \{a\} \cup \text{set_tree } r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *balL_set:set_tree* (*balL l a r*) = *set_tree*
 $l \cup \{a\} \cup \text{set_tree } r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *joinL_set:ht* $r > \text{ht } l + 1 \implies \text{set_tree } (\text{joinL } l \ a \ r) = \text{set_tree}$
 $l \cup \{a\} \cup \text{set_tree } r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *set_join:set_tree* (*join l a r*) = *set_tree* $l \cup \{a\} \cup \text{set_tree } r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

10.2.2 BST Preservation

lemma *balR_bst*: $\llbracket \text{bst } l; \text{bst } r; \forall x \in \text{set_tree } l. x < a; \forall y \in \text{set_tree } r. a < y \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{bst } (\text{balR } l \ a \ r)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *joinR_bst*: $\llbracket \text{ht } l > \text{ht } r + 1; \text{bst } l; \text{bst } r; \forall x \in \text{set_tree } l. x < a; \forall y \in \text{set_tree } r. a < y \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{bst } (\text{joinR } l \ a \ r)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *balL_bst*: $\llbracket \text{bst } l; \text{bst } r; \forall x \in \text{set_tree } l. x < a; \forall y \in \text{set_tree } r. a < y \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{bst } (\text{balL } l \ a \ r)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *joinL_bst*: $\llbracket \text{ht } r > \text{ht } l + 1; \text{bst } l; \text{bst } r; \forall x \in \text{set_tree } l. x < a; \forall y \in \text{set_tree } r. a < y \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{bst } (\text{joinL } l \ a \ r)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *bst_join:bst* (*Node l (a, b) r*) $\implies \text{bst } (\text{join } l \ a \ r)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

10.2.3 Preservation of AVL Predicate

lemma *avl_joinR_height*: $\llbracket \text{avl } l; \text{avl } r; \text{height } l > \text{height } r + 1 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{avl } (\text{joinR } l \ a \ r) \wedge \text{height } (\text{joinR } l \ a \ r) \in \{\text{height } l, \text{height } l + 1\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *avl_joinL_height*: $\llbracket \text{avl } l; \text{avl } r; \text{height } r > \text{height } l + 1 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{avl } (\text{joinL } l \ a \ r) \wedge \text{height } (\text{joinL } l \ a \ r) \in \{\text{height } r, \text{height } r + 1\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *inv_join*: $\llbracket \text{avl } l; \text{avl } r \rrbracket \implies \text{avl } (\text{join } l \ a \ r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

To finish the proof of functional correctness, instantiate the *Set2_Join* locale.

interpretation *tree_ht*: *Set2_Join*
where *join* = *join* **and** *inv* = *avl*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.3 Proof of Strongly Joinable Properties

The additional obligations of *StronglyJoinable* are discharged with *height* as rank. The central fact is *join_height* below i.e. a join has the height of its taller argument or one more. Monotonicity and the submodularity rule are elementary consequences. The balance predicate holds for AVL trees with $c_l = 1$ and $c_u = 2$.

10.3.1 Monotonicity Rule

corollary *join_height*:
assumes *avl l* $\wedge$ *avl r*
shows $\text{height } (\text{join } l \ a \ r) \in \{\max (\text{height } l) (\text{height } r), \max (\text{height } l) (\text{height } r) + 1\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *rule_mono*: $\llbracket \text{avl } l; \text{avl } r \rrbracket \implies \max (\text{height } l) (\text{height } r) \leq \text{height } (\text{join } l \ a \ r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.3.2 Submodularity Rule

A join adds at most one to the larger input height, while a node adds exactly one to the larger child height. Hence, whatever the replacement subtrees are, the join can exceed the original node by no more than the replacements exceed the original subtrees. This also covers the mixed case where one subtree shrinks.

lemma *rule_sub*:
assumes $\text{real } (\text{height } l') \leq \text{real } (\text{height } l) + x$ $\text{real } (\text{height } r') \leq \text{real } (\text{height } r)$
 $+ x$
assumes *avl l'* *avl r'*
shows $\text{real } (\text{height } (\text{join } l' \ a \ r')) \leq \text{real } (\text{height } (\text{Node } l \ (a, b) \ r)) + x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.3.3 Balancing Rule

interpretation *tree_ht*: *BalancedShape*
where *rank* = *height* **and** $c_l = 1$ **and** $c_u = 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rule_bal*: $\text{avl } t \implies \text{tree_ht.balanced } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *tree_ht*: *BalancedTree*
where *rank* = *height* **and** $c_l = 1$ **and** $c_u = 2$ **and** *inv* = *avl*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.3.4 Cost Rule

time_fun *ht*
time_fun *node*
time_fun *balL*
time_fun *balR*

lemma *T_ht_0[simp]*: $T_ht\ t = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *T_node_0[simp]*: $T_node\ l\ a\ r = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *T_balL_0[simp]*: $T_balL\ l\ a\ r = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *T_balR_0[simp]*: $T_balR\ l\ a\ r = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

time_fun *joinR*
time_fun *joinL*
time_fun *join*

declare *T_joinR.simps[simp del]*
declare *T_joinL.simps[simp del]*

lemma *T_joinR*: $\llbracket \text{avl } l; \text{avl } r; \text{ht } l > \text{ht } r + 1 \rrbracket \implies T_joinR\ l\ a\ r \leq 1 + \text{height } l - \text{height } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *T_joinL*: $\llbracket \text{avl } l; \text{avl } r; \text{ht } r > \text{ht } l + 1 \rrbracket \implies T_joinL\ l\ a\ r \leq 1 + \text{height } r - \text{height } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *rule_cost*:
assumes $\text{avl } l \wedge \text{avl } r$
shows $T_join\ l\ a\ r \leq 1 + \text{nat}(\text{abs}(\text{int}(\text{height } l) - \text{int}(\text{height } r)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

10.4 Instantiation of *StronglyJoinable*

By functional correctness, every join adds exactly one element.

lemma *balR_size:size* (*balR l a r*) = *size l* + *size r* + 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *balL_size:size* (*balL l a r*) = *size l* + *size r* + 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *joinR_size:ht l > ht r + 1 $\implies$ size (joinR l a r) = size l + size r + 1*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *joinL_size:ht r > ht l + 1 $\implies$ size (joinL l a r) = size l + size r + 1*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *join_size:size* (*join l a r*) = *size l* + *size r* + 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

All obligations of *StronglyJoinable* are now in place.

interpretation *tree_ht: StronglyJoinable*

where *join* = *join* **and** *inv* = *avl*

and *rank* = *height* **and** *c_l* = 1 **and** *c_u* = 2

and *T_join* = *T_join* **and** *k₀* = 1 **and** *k₁* = 1

$\langle proof \rangle$

10.5 Concrete constants for AVL

All abstract constants are evaluated for the AVL instantiation.

lemma *avl_c_delta: tree_ht.c_δ = 1*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *avl_C: tree_ht.C = 1*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *avl_K_split: tree_ht.K_split = 8*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *avl_K_T: tree_ht.K_T = 4*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *avl_K_min: tree_ht.K_min = 4*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *avl_K_lin_union: tree_ht.K_T * tree_ht.K_lin 2 3 $\leq$ 1238*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *avl_K_log_union*: $\text{tree_ht.K_T} * \text{tree_ht.K_log } 2 \ 3 \leq 629$
 <proof>

lemma *avl_K_lin_inter*: $\text{tree_ht.K_T} * \text{tree_ht.K_lin } 16 \ 12 \leq 2222$
 <proof>

lemma *avl_K_log_inter*: $\text{tree_ht.K_T} * \text{tree_ht.K_log } 16 \ 12 \leq 1131$
 <proof>

This yields fully numeric running-time bounds for the three set operations on AVL trees.

corollary *avl_T_union_linlog*:
assumes *avl t1 avl t2*
shows $\text{real } (\text{tree_ht.T_union } t1 \ t2)$
 $\leq 4 + 1238 * \text{size_min } t1 \ t2$
 $+ 629 * (\text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \log 2 (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 <proof>

corollary *avl_T_inter_linlog*:
assumes *avl t1 avl t2*
shows $\text{real } (\text{tree_ht.T_inter } t1 \ t2)$
 $\leq 4 + 2222 * \text{size_min } t1 \ t2$
 $+ 1131 * (\text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \log 2 (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 <proof>

corollary *avl_T_diff_linlog*:
assumes *avl t1 avl t2*
shows $\text{real } (\text{tree_ht.T_diff } t1 \ t2)$
 $\leq 4 + 2222 * \text{size_min } t1 \ t2$
 $+ 1131 * (\text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \log 2 (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 <proof>

The asymptotic statements are inherited through the interpretation. On pairs of growing AVL trees of sizes $m \leq n$, in either argument order, all three operations run in $O(m \ln(n/m + 1))$.

corollary *avl_T_union_bigo*:
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). \text{real } (\text{tree_ht.T_union } t1 \ t2)) \in O[\text{tree_ht.tree_pair_filter}](\lambda(t1, t2). \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \ln (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 <proof>

corollary *avl_T_inter_bigo*:
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). \text{real } (\text{tree_ht.T_inter } t1 \ t2)) \in O[\text{tree_ht.tree_pair_filter}](\lambda(t1, t2). \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \ln (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 <proof>

corollary *avl_T_diff_bigo*:
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). \text{real } (\text{tree_ht.T_diff } t1 \ t2)) \in O[\text{tree_ht.tree_pair_filter}](\lambda(t1, t2). \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \ln (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 <proof>

end

11 Strongly Joinable Red-Black Trees

```

theory StronglyJoinableRBT
imports
  StronglyJoinable
begin

```

The second instantiation of *StronglyJoinable* covers red-black trees. The *join* of [4] stores the black height in every node and reads it in constant time, so the metadata field of the trees holds the colour together with the black height. The library implementation in *HOL-Data_Structures.Set2_Join_RBT* recomputes the black height on every step instead, which would charge *join* a cost linear in the ranks of its inputs rather than in their difference. Its rebalancing steps are, however, taken over unchanged.

Two further deviations from the library concern the colour of the root. The library *join* paints the root black unconditionally and creates a black node whenever the two inputs have equal black height. Following the paper, the root is painted black only when it is red with a red child, and two black inputs of equal black height are combined by a red node. Both are necessary for the rank of a join to exceed the larger input rank by at most one.

11.1 Red-black trees with stored black height

```

datatype color = Red | Black

```

```

type_synonym 'a rbt = ('a * (color * nat)) tree

```

Both the colour and the black height are read from the node.

```

fun col :: 'a rbt  $\Rightarrow$  color where
  col Leaf = Black |
  col (Node _ (_, (c, _)) _) = c

```

```

fun bh :: 'a rbt  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  bh Leaf = 0 |
  bh (Node _ (_, (_, h)) _) = h

```

```

fun R :: 'a rbt  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a rbt  $\Rightarrow$  'a rbt where
  R l a r = Node l (a, (Red, bh l)) r

```

```

fun B :: 'a rbt  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a rbt  $\Rightarrow$  'a rbt where
  B l a r = Node l (a, (Black, bh l + 1)) r

```

```

lemma neq_Black[simp]: (c  $\neq$  Black) = (c = Red)

```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neq_Red[simp]*: $(c \neq \text{Red}) = (c = \text{Black})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.1.1.1 Invariants

fun *invc* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ bool **where**
invc Leaf = True |
invc (Node l (_, (c, _)) r) = ((c = Red $\longrightarrow$ col l = Black $\wedge$ col r = Black) $\wedge$ invc l $\wedge$ invc r)

The weaker colour invariant allows a red root with a red child.

fun *invc2* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ bool **where**
invc2 Leaf = True |
invc2 (Node l _ r) = (invc l $\wedge$ invc r)

fun *invh* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ bool **where**
invh Leaf = True |
invh (Node l (_, (c, h)) r) =
 (bh l = bh r $\wedge$ h = (if c = Black then bh l + 1 else bh l) $\wedge$ invh l $\wedge$ invh r)

abbreviation *rbt* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ bool **where**
rbt t $\equiv$ invc t $\wedge$ invh t

lemma *invc2I*: invc t $\implies$ invc2 t
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.1.1.2 Rebalancing

The rotations *baliL* and *baliR* of the library, restated for the stored black heights.

fun *baliL* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt **where**
baliL (Node (Node t1 (a, (Red, _)) t2) (b, (Red, _)) t3) c t4 = R (B t1 a t2) b
 (B t3 c t4) |
baliL (Node t1 (a, (Red, _)) (Node t2 (b, (Red, _)) t3)) c t4 = R (B t1 a t2) b
 (B t3 c t4) |
baliL t1 a t2 = B t1 a t2

fun *baliR* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt **where**
baliR t1 a (Node t2 (b, (Red, _)) (Node t3 (c, (Red, _)) t4)) = R (B t1 a t2) b
 (B t3 c t4) |
baliR t1 a (Node (Node t2 (b, (Red, _)) t3) (c, (Red, _)) t4) = R (B t1 a t2) b
 (B t3 c t4) |
baliR t1 a t2 = B t1 a t2

lemma *inv_baliL*:
 $\llbracket \text{invh } l; \text{invh } r; \text{invc2 } l; \text{invc } r; \text{bh } l = \text{bh } r \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{invc } (\text{baliL } l \ a \ r) \wedge \text{invh } (\text{baliL } l \ a \ r) \wedge \text{bh } (\text{baliL } l \ a \ r) = \text{bh } l + 1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv_baliR*:

$\llbracket \text{invh } l; \text{invh } r; \text{invc } l; \text{invc2 } r; \text{bh } l = \text{bh } r \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{invc } (\text{baliR } l \ a \ r) \wedge \text{invh } (\text{baliR } l \ a \ r) \wedge \text{bh } (\text{baliR } l \ a \ r) = \text{bh } l + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set_baliL*: $\text{set_tree } (\text{baliL } l \ a \ r) = \text{set_tree } l \cup \{a\} \cup \text{set_tree } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set_baliR*: $\text{set_tree } (\text{baliR } l \ a \ r) = \text{set_tree } l \cup \{a\} \cup \text{set_tree } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bst_baliL*:

$\llbracket \text{bst } l; \text{bst } r; \forall x \in \text{set_tree } l. x < a; \forall x \in \text{set_tree } r. a < x \rrbracket \implies \text{bst } (\text{baliL } l \ a \ r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bst_baliR*:

$\llbracket \text{bst } l; \text{bst } r; \forall x \in \text{set_tree } l. x < a; \forall x \in \text{set_tree } r. a < x \rrbracket \implies \text{bst } (\text{baliR } l \ a \ r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size_baliL*: $\text{size } (\text{baliL } l \ a \ r) = \text{size } l + \text{size } r + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size_baliR*: $\text{size } (\text{baliR } l \ a \ r) = \text{size } l + \text{size } r + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.2 Join for Red-Black Trees

fun *joinL* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt **where**

joinL *l* *x* *r* =
 (if $\text{bh } r \leq \text{bh } l$ then $R \ l \ x \ r$
 else case *r* of Node *l'* (*x'*, (*c*, $_$)) *r'* $\Rightarrow$
 (if *c* = Black then *baliL* (*joinL* *l* *x* *l'*) *x'* *r'* else $R \ (\text{joinL } l \ x \ l') \ x' \ r'$))

fun *joinR* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt **where**

joinR *l* *x* *r* =
 (if $\text{bh } l \leq \text{bh } r$ then $R \ l \ x \ r$
 else case *l* of Node *l'* (*x'*, (*c*, $_$)) *r'* $\Rightarrow$
 (if *c* = Black then *baliR* *l'* *x'* (*joinR* *r'* *x* *r*) else $R \ l' \ x' \ (\text{joinR } r' \ x \ r)$))

declare *joinL.simps*[*simp del*]

declare *joinR.simps*[*simp del*]

fun *blacken* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt **where**

blacken (Node *l* (*a*, (*Red*, *h*)) *r*) =
 (if $\text{col } l = \text{Red} \vee \text{col } r = \text{Red}$ then $B \ l \ a \ r$ else Node *l* (*a*, (*Red*, *h*)) *r*) |
blacken *t* = *t*

fun *join* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt **where**
join *l x r* =
 (if *bh* *r* < *bh* *l* then *blacken* (*joinR* *l x r*)
 else if *bh* *l* < *bh* *r* then *blacken* (*joinL* *l x r*)
 else if *col* *l* = *Black* $\wedge$ *col* *r* = *Black* then *R* *l x r* else *B* *l x r*)

11.3 Proof of Correctness

11.3.1 Colour and height invariants

lemma *inv_joinL*:

$\llbracket \text{inv } l; \text{inv } r; \text{invh } l; \text{invh } r; \text{bh } l \leq \text{bh } r \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inv2 } (\text{joinL } l \ x \ r) \wedge (\text{bh } l \neq \text{bh } r \wedge \text{col } r = \text{Black} \longrightarrow \text{inv } (\text{joinL } l \ x \ r))$
 $\wedge \text{invh } (\text{joinL } l \ x \ r) \wedge \text{bh } (\text{joinL } l \ x \ r) = \text{bh } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv_joinR*:

$\llbracket \text{inv } l; \text{inv } r; \text{invh } l; \text{invh } r; \text{bh } r \leq \text{bh } l \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inv2 } (\text{joinR } l \ x \ r) \wedge (\text{bh } l \neq \text{bh } r \wedge \text{col } l = \text{Black} \longrightarrow \text{inv } (\text{joinR } l \ x \ r))$
 $\wedge \text{invh } (\text{joinR } l \ x \ r) \wedge \text{bh } (\text{joinR } l \ x \ r) = \text{bh } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A red root is passed upwards unchanged.

lemma *col_joinL*: $\llbracket \text{bh } l < \text{bh } r; \text{col } r = \text{Red} \rrbracket \implies \text{col } (\text{joinL } l \ x \ r) = \text{Red}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *col_joinR*: $\llbracket \text{bh } r < \text{bh } l; \text{col } l = \text{Red} \rrbracket \implies \text{col } (\text{joinR } l \ x \ r) = \text{Red}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv_blacken*: $\llbracket \text{inv2 } t; \text{invh } t \rrbracket \implies \text{inv } (\text{blacken } t) \wedge \text{invh } (\text{blacken } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *blacken_id*: $\text{inv } t \implies \text{blacken } t = t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv_join*:

assumes *rbt* *l* *rbt* *r*
shows *rbt* (*join* *l x r*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.3.2 Set and search-tree properties

lemma *set_joinL*: $\text{set_tree } (\text{joinL } l \ x \ r) = \text{set_tree } l \cup \{x\} \cup \text{set_tree } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set_joinR*: $\text{set_tree } (\text{joinR } l \ x \ r) = \text{set_tree } l \cup \{x\} \cup \text{set_tree } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set_blacken*: $\text{set_tree } (\text{blacken } t) = \text{set_tree } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set_join*: *set_tree* (*join* *l* *x* *r*) = *set_tree* *l* $\cup$ {*x*} $\cup$ *set_tree* *r*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bst_joinL*: *bst* (*Node* *l* (*a*, *n*) *r*) $\implies$ *bst* (*joinL* *l* *a* *r*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bst_joinR*: *bst* (*Node* *l* (*a*, *n*) *r*) $\implies$ *bst* (*joinR* *l* *a* *r*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bst_blacken*: *bst* (*blacken* *t*) = *bst* *t*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bst_join*: *bst* (*Node* *l* (*a*, *n*) *r*) $\implies$ *bst* (*join* *l* *a* *r*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

11.3.3 Size

lemma *size_joinL*: *size* (*joinL* *l* *x* *r*) = *size* *l* + *size* *r* + 1
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *size_joinR*: *size* (*joinR* *l* *x* *r*) = *size* *l* + *size* *r* + 1
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *size_blacken*: *size* (*blacken* *t*) = *size* *t*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *join_size*: *size* (*join* *l* *x* *r*) = *size* *l* + *size* *r* + 1
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Functional correctness is established by instantiating *Set2_Join*.

interpretation *tree_rb*: *Set2_Join*
where *join* = *join* **and** *inv* = *rbt*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

11.4 Proof of Strongly Joinable Properties

definition *rk* :: 'a *rbt* $\Rightarrow$ nat **where**
rk *t* = 2 * *bh* *t* + (if *col* *t* = *Red* then 1 else 0)

lemma *rk_Leaf[simp]*: *rk* *Leaf* = 0
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rk_Node[simp]*: *rk* (*Node* *l* (*a*, (*c*, *h*)) *r*) = 2 * *h* + (if *c* = *Red* then 1 else 0)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

11.4.1 Balancing Rule

lemma *rk_children*:

assumes $r_{bt} \text{ (Node } l \text{ (} a, (c, h) \text{)) } r$
shows $\max (rk \ l) (rk \ r) + 1 \leq rk \text{ (Node } l \text{ (} a, (c, h) \text{)) } r$
and $rk \text{ (Node } l \text{ (} a, (c, h) \text{)) } r \leq \min (rk \ l) (rk \ r) + 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $tree_rb$: *BalancedShape*
where $rank = \lambda t. \text{ real } (rk \ t)$ **and** $c_l = 1$ **and** $c_u = 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rule_bal$: $r_{bt} \ t \implies tree_rb.balanced \ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $tree_rb$: *BalancedTree*
where $rank = \lambda t. \text{ real } (rk \ t)$ **and** $c_l = 1$ **and** $c_u = 2$ **and** $inv = r_{bt}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.4.2 Monotonicity and Submodularity Rules

lemma $rk_blacken$:
assumes $invh \ t$
shows $rk \ t \leq rk \text{ (blacken } t) \text{ and } rk \text{ (blacken } t) \leq 2 * bh \ t + 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rk_joinR :
assumes $r_{bt} \ l \ r_{bt} \ r \ bh \ r < bh \ l$
shows $\max (rk \ l) (rk \ r) \leq rk \text{ (blacken } (joinR \ l \ x \ r))$
and $rk \text{ (blacken } (joinR \ l \ x \ r)) \leq \max (rk \ l) (rk \ r) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rk_joinL :
assumes $r_{bt} \ l \ r_{bt} \ r \ bh \ l < bh \ r$
shows $\max (rk \ l) (rk \ r) \leq rk \text{ (blacken } (joinL \ l \ x \ r))$
and $rk \text{ (blacken } (joinL \ l \ x \ r)) \leq \max (rk \ l) (rk \ r) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rk_join :
assumes $r_{bt} \ l \ r_{bt} \ r$
shows $\max (rk \ l) (rk \ r) \leq rk \text{ (join } l \ x \ r) \wedge rk \text{ (join } l \ x \ r) \leq \max (rk \ l) (rk \ r) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $rk_join_lower = rk_join[THEN \ conjunct1]$
and $rk_join_upper = rk_join[THEN \ conjunct2]$

corollary $rule_mono$:
 $\llbracket r_{bt} \ l; \ r_{bt} \ r \rrbracket \implies \max (\text{real } (rk \ l)) (\text{real } (rk \ r)) \leq \text{real } (rk \text{ (join } l \ a \ r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rule_sub$:

assumes $\text{real } (rk \ l') \leq \text{real } (rk \ l) + x$ $\text{real } (rk \ r') \leq \text{real } (rk \ r) + x$
assumes $r_{bt} \ l' \ r_{bt} \ r' \ r_{bt} \ (\text{Node } l \ (a, b) \ r)$
shows $\text{real } (rk \ (\text{join } l' \ a \ r')) \leq \text{real } (rk \ (\text{Node } l \ (a, b) \ r)) + x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.4.3 Cost Rule

time_fun col
time_fun bh
time_fun R
time_fun B
time_fun $baliL$
time_fun $baliR$
time_fun $blacken$
time_fun $joinL$
time_fun $joinR$
time_fun $join$

lemma $T_col_0[simp]: T_col \ t = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $T_bh_0[simp]: T_bh \ t = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $T_R_0[simp]: T_R \ l \ a \ r = 0$ **and** $T_B_0[simp]: T_B \ l \ a \ r = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $T_baliL_0[simp]: T_baliL \ l \ a \ r = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $T_baliR_0[simp]: T_baliR \ l \ a \ r = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $T_blacken_0[simp]: T_blacken \ t = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare $T_joinL.simps[simp \ del]$
declare $T_joinR.simps[simp \ del]$

lemma T_joinL :
assumes $inv \ r \ invh \ r \ bh \ l \leq bh \ r$
shows $T_joinL \ l \ x \ r + 2 * bh \ l \leq 2 * bh \ r + 1 + (\text{if } col \ r = Red \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma T_joinR :
assumes $inv \ l \ invh \ l \ bh \ r \leq bh \ l$
shows $T_joinR \ l \ x \ r + 2 * bh \ r \leq 2 * bh \ l + 1 + (\text{if } col \ l = Red \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rule_cost_R*:
 assumes $\text{rbt } l \text{ rbt } r \text{ bh } r < \text{bh } l$
 shows $T_join \ l \ x \ r + rk \ r \leq rk \ l + 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rule_cost_L*:
 assumes $\text{rbt } l \text{ rbt } r \text{ bh } l < \text{bh } r$
 shows $T_join \ l \ x \ r + rk \ l \leq rk \ r + 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rule_cost_EQ*: $\text{bh } l = \text{bh } r \implies T_join \ l \ x \ r = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare $T_join.simps[simp \ del]$

lemma *rule_cost*:
 assumes $\text{rbt } l \text{ rbt } r$
 shows $\text{real } (T_join \ l \ x \ r) \leq 2 + |\text{real } (rk \ l) - \text{real } (rk \ r)|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.5 Instantiation of *StronglyJoinable*

interpretation *tree_rb*: *StronglyJoinable*
where $join = join$ **and** $inv = \text{rbt}$
and $\text{rank} = \lambda t. \text{real } (rk \ t)$ **and** $c_l = 1$ **and** $c_u = 2$
and $T_join = T_join$ **and** $k_0 = 2$ **and** $k_1 = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.6 Concrete constants for red-black trees

lemma *rbt_c_delta*: $\text{tree_rb}.c_\delta = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rbt_C*: $\text{tree_rb}.C = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rbt_K_split*: $\text{tree_rb}.K_split = 8$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rbt_K_T*: $\text{tree_rb}.K_T = 6$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rbt_K_min*: $\text{tree_rb}.K_min = 4$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rbt_K_lin_union*: $\text{tree_rb}.K_T * \text{tree_rb}.K_lin \ 2 \ 3 \leq 1856$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rbt_K_log_union*: $\text{tree_rb}.K_T * \text{tree_rb}.K_log \ 2 \ 3 \leq 943$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rbt_K_lin_inter*: $\text{tree_rb.K_T} * \text{tree_rb.K_lin } 16 \ 12 \leq 3333$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rbt_K_log_inter*: $\text{tree_rb.K_T} * \text{tree_rb.K_log } 16 \ 12 \leq 1696$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *rbt_T_union_linlog*:
assumes *rbt t1 rbt t2*
shows $\text{real } (\text{tree_rb.T_union } t1 \ t2)$
 $\leq 6 + 1856 * \text{size_min } t1 \ t2$
 $+ 943 * (\text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \log 2 (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *rbt_T_inter_linlog*:
assumes *rbt t1 rbt t2*
shows $\text{real } (\text{tree_rb.T_inter } t1 \ t2)$
 $\leq 6 + 3333 * \text{size_min } t1 \ t2$
 $+ 1696 * (\text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \log 2 (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *rbt_T_diff_linlog*:
assumes *rbt t1 rbt t2*
shows $\text{real } (\text{tree_rb.T_diff } t1 \ t2)$
 $\leq 6 + 3333 * \text{size_min } t1 \ t2$
 $+ 1696 * (\text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \log 2 (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *rbt_T_union_bigo*:
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). \text{real } (\text{tree_rb.T_union } t1 \ t2)) \in O[\text{tree_rb.tree_pair_filter}](\lambda(t1, t2). \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \ln (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *rbt_T_inter_bigo*:
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). \text{real } (\text{tree_rb.T_inter } t1 \ t2)) \in O[\text{tree_rb.tree_pair_filter}](\lambda(t1, t2). \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \ln (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *rbt_T_diff_bigo*:
 $(\lambda(t1, t2). \text{real } (\text{tree_rb.T_diff } t1 \ t2)) \in O[\text{tree_rb.tree_pair_filter}](\lambda(t1, t2). \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 * \ln (\text{size_max } t1 \ t2 / \text{size_min } t1 \ t2 + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

A Appendix

theory *Submodularity*
imports

StronglyJoinableRBT
begin

[4] states the submodularity rule in two parts, a decreasing and an increasing side. The locale below states both verbatim as *rule_dec* and *rule_inc*; in particular the increasing side bounds the rank of the join from below as well as from above.

locale *PaperJoinable* =
Set2_Join join inv +
BalancedTree rank c_l c_u inv
for *rank* :: ('a::linorder * 'b) tree $\Rightarrow$ real
and *c_l c_u* :: real
and *join* :: ('a*'b) tree $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ ('a*'b) tree $\Rightarrow$ ('a*'b) tree
and *inv* :: ('a*'b) tree $\Rightarrow$ bool
 +
assumes *join_size*: $\llbracket \text{inv } l; \text{inv } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{size } (\text{join } l \ a \ r) = \text{size } l + \text{size } r + 1$
assumes *rule_dec*:
 $\llbracket \text{rank } l' \leq \text{rank } l; \text{rank } r' \leq \text{rank } r; \text{inv } l'; \text{inv } r'; \text{inv } (\text{Node } l \ (a,b) \ r) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{rank } (\text{join } l' \ a \ r') \leq \text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ (a,b) \ r)$
assumes *rule_inc*:
 $\llbracket \text{rank } l \leq \text{rank } l'; \text{rank } l' \leq \text{rank } l + x; \text{rank } r \leq \text{rank } r'; \text{rank } r' \leq \text{rank } r +$
x;
 $\text{inv } l'; \text{inv } r'; \text{inv } (\text{Node } l \ (a,b) \ r) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ (a,b) \ r) \leq \text{rank } (\text{join } l' \ a \ r') \wedge$
 $\text{rank } (\text{join } l' \ a \ r') \leq \text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ (a,b) \ r) + x$
begin
end

The main locale recovers the decreasing side verbatim and the upper bound of the increasing side.

lemma (in *StronglyJoinable*) *rule_sub_inc_upper*:
 $\llbracket \text{rank } l \leq \text{rank } l'; \text{rank } l' \leq \text{rank } l + x; \text{rank } r \leq \text{rank } r'; \text{rank } r' \leq \text{rank } r + x;$
 $\text{inv } l'; \text{inv } r'; \text{inv } (\text{Node } l \ (a,b) \ r) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{rank } (\text{join } l' \ a \ r') \leq \text{rank } (\text{Node } l \ (a,b) \ r) + x$
 <proof>

The lower bound of the increasing side is not recovered, and it cannot be. Red-black trees are an instance of *StronglyJoinable*, but over red-black trees the two bounds of the increasing rule contradict each other already at a singleton, for any *join* of the type fixed by *Set2_Join*.

Conversely, the rules of [4] do not imply *rule_sub* either, which is stated for arbitrary real *x* and thus also covers mixed and strictly decreasing rank changes. A counterexample can be constructed but is outside the scope of this formalization.

lemma *no_join_satisfies_paper_inc_rule*:
fixes *J* :: 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt $\Rightarrow$ 'a rbt
assumes *inc*:
 $\bigwedge l \ l' \ r \ r' \ a \ b \ x.$

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{real } (rk\ l) \leq \text{real } (rk\ l'); \text{real } (rk\ l') \leq \text{real } (rk\ l) + x; \\ & \text{real } (rk\ r) \leq \text{real } (rk\ r'); \text{real } (rk\ r') \leq \text{real } (rk\ r) + x; \\ & \text{rbt } l'; \text{rbt } r'; \text{rbt } (\text{Node } l\ (a,b)\ r) \rrbracket \implies \\ & \text{real } (rk\ (\text{Node } l\ (a,b)\ r)) \leq \text{real } (rk\ (J\ l'\ a\ r')) \wedge \\ & \text{real } (rk\ (J\ l'\ a\ r')) \leq \text{real } (rk\ (\text{Node } l\ (a,b)\ r)) + x \\ & \text{shows False} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Stated against the locale; no *join* whatsoever makes red-black trees an instance of the rules of [4].

corollary *no_PaperJoinable_rbt*:
fixes $J :: ('a::\text{linorder}) \text{rbt} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{rbt} \Rightarrow 'a \text{rbt}$
shows $\neg \text{PaperJoinable } (\lambda t. \text{real } (rk\ t))\ 1\ 2\ J\ \text{rbt}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The flag of the paper resolves the contradiction. Ported to this setting, the flagged join receives the colour of the node being rebuilt and consults it in the case of equal black heights, where a flag-free *join* has to commit to one colour.

fun $\text{join}_f :: \text{color} \Rightarrow 'a \text{rbt} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{rbt} \Rightarrow 'a \text{rbt}$ **where**
 $\text{join}_f\ c\ l\ x\ r =$
 $(\text{if } bh\ r < bh\ l \text{ then } \text{blacken } (\text{joinR } l\ x\ r)$
 $\text{else if } bh\ l < bh\ r \text{ then } \text{blacken } (\text{joinL } l\ x\ r)$
 $\text{else if } c = \text{Red} \wedge col\ l = \text{Black} \wedge col\ r = \text{Black} \text{ then } R\ l\ x\ r \text{ else } B\ l\ x\ r)$

corollary *join_f_rebuilds*:
assumes $\text{rbt } (\text{Node } l\ (a, (c, h))\ r)$
shows $\text{join}_f\ c\ l\ a\ r = \text{Node } l\ (a, (c, h))\ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

In particular the two anchors of *no_join_satisfies_paper_inc_rule* now constrain two distinct calls, and both are satisfied exactly.

corollary *join_f_resolves_singletons*:
 $\text{join}_f\ \text{Red}\ \text{Leaf } a\ \text{Leaf} = \text{Node } \text{Leaf } (a, (\text{Red}, 0))\ \text{Leaf}$
 $\text{join}_f\ \text{Black}\ \text{Leaf } a\ \text{Leaf} = \text{Node } \text{Leaf } (a, (\text{Black}, \text{Suc } 0))\ \text{Leaf}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The author concedes, that this appendix does not represent a comprehensive argument for stating the submodularity rule(s) one way or another. In particular, it might be possible to define *rk* or the *rbt* variant in a way that resolves the problem at hand, but this direction was not explored thoroughly. Rather, the aim is to provide an argument for why the submodularity rule was modified and why the author considers it sound to have done so.

end

References

- [1] S. Adams. Functional pearls: Efficient sets – a balancing act. *Journal of Functional Programming*, 3(4):553–561, 1993.
- [2] S. Adams. MIT/GNU Scheme release 12.1, runtime: weight-balanced trees (wttree.scm). <https://git.savannah.gnu.org/cgit/mit-scheme.git/tree/src/runtime/wttree.scm?h=release-12.1>, 2023. Code written 1993–1994. Accessed 2026-09-09.
- [3] G. M. Adel’son-Vel’skii and E. M. Landis. An algorithm for the organization of information. *Soviet Mathematics Doklady*, 3:1259–1263, 1962. English translation of Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR 146(2):263–266.
- [4] G. E. Blelloch, D. Ferizovic, and Y. Sun. Joinable parallel balanced binary trees. *ACM Transactions on Parallel Computing*, 9(2):7:1–7:41, 2022.
- [5] J. Breitner, A. Spector-Zabusky, Y. Li, C. Rizkallah, J. Wiegley, and S. Weirich. Ready, set, verify! Applying hs-to-coq to real-world Haskell code (experience report). *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, 2(ICFP):89:1–89:16, 2018.
- [6] EPFL and Lightbend. Scala 2.13.18 standard library: scala.collection.immutable.RedBlackTree. <https://github.com/scala/scala/blob/v2.13.18/src/library/scala/collection/immutable/RedBlackTree.scala>, 2025. Accessed 2026-09-09.
- [7] L. J. Guibas and R. Sedgwick. A dichromatic framework for balanced trees. In *19th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (SFCS 1978)*, pages 8–21. IEEE, 1978.
- [8] F. K. Hwang and S. Lin. A simple algorithm for merging two disjoint linearly ordered sets. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 1(1):31–39, 1972.
- [9] Jane Street. Base, version 0.17.3: module Set. <https://github.com/janestreet/base/blob/v0.17.3/src/set.ml>, 2025. Accessed 2026-09-09.
- [10] D. Leijen et al. containers, version 0.8: module Data.Set.Internal. <https://hackage.haskell.org/package/containers-0.8/docs/src/Data.Set.Internal.html>, 2025. Haskell package. Accessed 2026-09-09.
- [11] X. Leroy et al. The OCaml system, release 5.5.1: standard library module Set. <https://github.com/ocaml/ocaml/blob/5.5.1/stdlib/set.ml>, 2026. Accessed 2026-09-09.
- [12] R. Li, H. Grodin, and R. Harper. A verified cost analysis of joinable red-black trees, 2023. arXiv:2309.11056, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2309.11056>.

- [13] J. Nievergelt and E. M. Reingold. Binary search trees of bounded balance. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 2(1):33–43, 1973.
- [14] T. Nipkow, editor. *Functional Data Structures and Algorithms: A Proof Assistant Approach*. ACM Books, 2025. Online version at <https://fdsa-book.net/>.
- [15] J. Reppy and S. Adams. SML/NJ 2026.2, SML/NJ library: functor BinarySetFn. <https://github.com/smlnj/smlnj/blob/v2026.2/smlnj-lib/Util/binary-set-fn.sml>, 2026. Adapted from Adams’ 1992 implementation. Accessed 2026-09-09.
- [16] Y. Sun, G. E. Blelloch, and D. Ferizovic. PAM: Parallel augmented maps, release V1. <https://github.com/cmuparlay/PAM/tree/V1>, 2021. Accessed 2026-09-16.
- [17] Y. Sun, D. Ferizovic, and G. E. Blelloch. PAM: Parallel augmented maps. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles and Practice of Parallel Programming (PPoPP ’18)*, pages 290–304. ACM, 2018.
- [18] The HOL4 developers. HOL4 trindemossen-2, examples: theory balanced_map. https://github.com/HOL-Theorem-Prover/HOL/blob/trindemossen-2/examples/balanced_bst/balanced_mapScript.sml, 2025. Ported from `Data.Map.Base` of containers (GHC 7.8.3). Accessed 2026-09-09.
- [19] The Rocq Development Team. The rocq standard library, version 9.2.0: module MSetAVL. <https://github.com/rocq-prover/stdlib/blob/V9.2.0/theories/MSets/MSetAVL.v>, 2026. Accessed 2026-09-09.