

The Incomplete Gamma Function

Manuel Eberl

July 8, 2026

Abstract

This entry provides two special functions, the lower and upper incomplete gamma functions. Similarly to the “complete” gamma function $\Gamma(s)$, which is defined as $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1}e^{-t} dt$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ and by analytic continuation elsewhere, these are defined as $\gamma(s, z) = \int_0^z t^{s-1}e^{-t} dt$ and $\Gamma(s, z) = \int_z^\infty t^{s-1}e^{-t} dt$, respectively, for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ and analytically continued to the entire complex plane.

$\gamma(s, z)$ is constructed using the regularised hypergeometric series and $\Gamma(s, z)$ via its contour integral representation. Various results are provided, including:

- holomorphicity, continuity, limits, and derivatives
- series and integral representations
- shift identities such as $\Gamma(s + 1, z) = s\Gamma(s, z) + z^s e^{-z}$
- the identity $\gamma(s, z) + \Gamma(s, z) = \Gamma(s)$
- the fact that $\Gamma(s, z) \rightarrow \Gamma(s)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ within a certain region
- closed forms for $\Gamma(n, z)$ and $\gamma(n, z)$, where n is a positive integer
- the connection to the error function via $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}, z) = \sqrt{\pi} \cdot \operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{z})$

Contents

1	A “safe” power operator for real and complex numbers	3
2	Auxiliary material on filters and dominated convergence	7
2.1	Linear orders with unbounded sequences	7
2.2	Countably generated filters	9
2.3	Sequential filters	11
2.4	Set-wise monotone convergence	13
3	Integral forms of the Beta function	15
4	A proof method for computing derivatives	17

5	Auxiliary material	17
5.1	Miscellaneous preliminary material	20
5.2	Preliminary facts about the Gamma and Digamma function .	21
6	The Incomplete Gamma Function	22
6.1	Construction of the auxiliary entire function	23
6.2	The regularised lower Gamma function	24
6.3	The lower incomplete Gamma function	27
6.4	The upper incomplete Gamma function	30
6.5	Identities	35
6.6	Derivative of $\gamma(s, z)$	36
6.7	Special values	36

1 A “safe” power operator for real and complex numbers

```
theory Safe_Power
  imports "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis"
begin
```

Isabelle/HOL currently has three different power operators:

- (\wedge) , denoted x^n , where n is a natural number and x is an element of a multiplicatively written monoid (*monoid_mult*).
- $(powi)$, denoted $x \text{ powi } n$, where n is an integer and x is an element of a multiplicatively written monoid with some kind of inverse operation (typically a *division_ring*).
- $(powr)$, denoted $x \text{ powr } y$, where x and y are complete normed real algebra with a 1 and some kind of natural logarithm. In practice, the only reasonable examples of such a structure are probably *real* and *complex*. It is defined as $x \text{ powr } y = \exp (\ln x * y)$, except when $x = 0$, in which case it returns 0 by convention.

All three of these operators are required, since none of them is a generalisation of another. For example, the (\wedge) operator is the most restrictive in what the right argument can be, but it is the most general in terms of what the left argument can be.

For the most part, the three operators agree in all the cases where they are simultaneously defined, but there are some caveats.

First of all, note that different conventions apply for 0^0 : We have $x^n = 1$ and $x \text{ powr } n = 1$ for any x , including for $x = 0$, whereas $0 \text{ powr } y = 0$ for any y , including $y = 0$.

Second, for negative real x , the $(powr)$ operator inherits somewhat strange behaviour from the real-valued \ln operator, which in Isabelle/HOL is defined symmetrically, i.e. $\ln (- x) = \ln x$, so we also have $(- x) \text{ powr } y = x \text{ powr } y$ for real x and y . This means that while $(- 1)^3 = - 1$ as expected, we have $(- 1) \text{ powr } 3 = 1$. It is therefore better to consider $x \text{ powr } y$ to be undefined for negative real x .

In the following, we will define a “safe” version of the $(powr)$ operator that coincides with (\wedge) and $(powi)$ whenever applicable.

First, we define the inverse of the canonical ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ using the choice operator, i.e. for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, the operator *the_int* gives us an integer n such that $x = n = \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{n \text{ times}}$. For $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the operator’s behaviour is unspecified.

definition `the_int` :: "'a :: ring_char_0 ⇒ int" **where**
`"the_int x = (THE n. x = of_int n)"`

lemma `the_int_of_int [simp]`: `"the_int (of_int n :: 'a :: ring_char_0) = n"`
`<proof>`

lemma `the_int_eqI`: `"of_int n = x ⇒ the_int x = n"`
`<proof>`

lemma `the_int_0 [simp]`: `"the_int 0 = 0"`
`<proof>`

lemma `the_int_1 [simp]`: `"the_int 1 = 1"`
`<proof>`

lemma `the_int_of_nat [simp]`: `"the_int (of_nat n) = int n"`
`<proof>`

lemma `the_int_numeral [simp]`: `"the_int (numeral n) = numeral n"`
`<proof>`

lemma `the_int_uminus [simp]`: `"x ∈ ℤ ⇒ the_int (-x) = -the_int x"`
`<proof>`

lemma `of_int_the_int`: `"x ∈ ℤ ⇒ of_int (the_int x) = x"`
`<proof>`

lemma `the_int_add`: `"x ∈ ℤ ⇒ y ∈ ℤ ⇒ the_int (x + y) = the_int x + the_int y"`
`<proof>`

lemma `the_int_diff`: `"x ∈ ℤ ⇒ y ∈ ℤ ⇒ the_int (x - y) = the_int x - the_int y"`
`<proof>`

lemma `the_int_mult`: `"x ∈ ℤ ⇒ y ∈ ℤ ⇒ the_int (x * y) = the_int x * the_int y"`
`<proof>`

lemma `the_int_power`: `"x ∈ ℤ ⇒ the_int (x ^ n) = the_int x ^ n"`
`<proof>`

Now we simply define the `powr'` operator as a version of the `(powr)` operator that falls back to the `(powi)` operator whenever possible.

definition `powr'` :: "'a :: {division_ring, ln} ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a" (**infixr** `<powr'>` 80) **where**
`"x powr' y = (if y ∈ ℤ then x powi (the_int y) else x powr y)"`

lemma *powr'_powr*: " $y \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies x \text{ powr}' y = x \text{ powr } y$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *powr'_0_left [simp]*: " $y \neq 0 \implies 0 \text{ powr}' y = 0$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *powr'_0_left_if*: " $0 \text{ powr}' y = (\text{if } y = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *powr'_of_int [simp]*: " $x \text{ powr}' \text{ of_int } n = x \text{ powi } n$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *powr'_of_nat [simp]*: " $x \text{ powr}' \text{ of_nat } n = x ^ n$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *powr'_0 [simp]*: " $x \text{ powr}' 0 = 1$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *powr'_1 [simp]*: " $x \text{ powr}' 1 = x$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *powr'_numeral [simp]*: " $x \text{ powr}' \text{ numeral } n = x ^ \text{ numeral } n$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

If x is positive or if x is non-negative and y is positive, the safe power operator and the normal power operator agree..

lemma *powr'_real*: " $x \geq 0 \implies x \neq 0 \vee y > 0 \implies x \text{ powr}' y = x \text{ powr } (y :: \text{real})$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *powr'_real_pos*: " $x > 0 \implies x \text{ powr}' y = x \text{ powr } (y :: \text{real})$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

For complex inputs, the two operators always agree except in the case of 0^0 .

lemma *powr'_complex*: " $x \neq 0 \vee y \neq 0 \implies x \text{ powr}' y = x \text{ powr } (y :: \text{complex})$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *powr'_complex_of_real*:
 " $x \geq 0 \vee y \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \text{complex_of_real } x \text{ powr}' \text{ of_real } y = (\text{of_real } (x \text{ powr}' y))$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *powr'_Reals_eq*: " $\llbracket x \in \mathbb{R}; y \in \mathbb{R}; \text{Re } x \geq 0 \rrbracket \implies x \text{ powr}' y = \text{of_real } (\text{Re } x \text{ powr}' \text{Re } y)$ "
 ⟨*proof*⟩

For this reason, the (*powr'*) operator is holomorphic in both inputs except for a branch cut along the non-positive reals.

lemma *holomorphic_on_powr'* [*holomorphic_intros*]:

assumes "f holomorphic_on A" "g holomorphic_on A" " $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows " $(\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } g x)$ holomorphic_on A"
 <proof>

lemma analytic_on_powr' [analytic_intros]:
 assumes "f analytic_on A" "g analytic_on A" " $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows " $(\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } g x)$ analytic_on A"
 <proof>

lemma has_field_derivative_powr_complex [derivative_intros]:
 assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at x within A)"
 assumes "(g has_field_derivative g') (at x within A)"
 assumes "f x \notin ($\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$:: complex set)"
 shows " $((\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } g x) \text{ has_field_derivative } (f x \text{ powr } g x * (f' / f x * g x + g' * \ln (f x))))$ (at x within A)"
 <proof>

lemma has_field_derivative_powr'_complex [derivative_intros]:
 assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at x within A)"
 assumes "(g has_field_derivative g') (at x within A)"
 assumes "f x \notin ($\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$:: complex set)"
 shows " $((\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } g x) \text{ has_field_derivative } (f x \text{ powr } g x * (f' / f x * g x + g' * \ln (f x))))$ (at x within A)"
 <proof>

lemma has_field_derivative_powr'_Ints:
 assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at x within A)"
 assumes " $c \in (\mathbb{Z} :: 'a :: \{\text{real_normed_field}, \text{ln}\} \text{ set})$ " " $c \in \mathbb{N} \vee f x \neq 0$ "
 shows " $((\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } c) \text{ has_field_derivative } (c * f x \text{ powr } (c-1) * f'))$ (at x within A)"
 <proof>

lemma continuous_on_powr'_complex [continuous_intros]:
 assumes " $A \subseteq \{z. \text{Re } (f z) \geq 0 \vee \text{Im } (f z) \neq 0\}$ "
 assumes " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies f z = 0 \implies \text{Re } (g z) > 0$ "
 assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
 shows "continuous_on A $(\lambda z. f z \text{ powr } g z)$ "
 <proof>

lemma tendsto_powr'_complex [tendsto_intros]:
 fixes f g :: " $_ \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ "
 assumes " $a \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \vee (a = 0 \wedge \text{Re } b > 0)$ " and " $(f \longrightarrow a) F$ " " $(g \longrightarrow$

```

b) F"
  shows  "((λz. f z powr' g z) → a powr' b) F"
⟨proof⟩

lemma ln_at_0': "filterlim (ln :: real ⇒ real) at_bot (at 0)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_powr_real [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes f g :: "_ ⇒ real"
  assumes "(f → a) F" "(g → b) F"
  assumes "a = 0 → b > 0"
  shows  "((λz. f z powr g z) → a powr b) F"
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [tendsto_intros del] = tendsto_powr tendsto_powr'

lemma tendsto_powr'_real [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes f g :: "_ ⇒ real"
  assumes "(f → a) F" "(g → b) F"
  assumes "a > 0 ∨ (a = 0 ∧ b > 0)"
  shows  "((λz. f z powr' g z) → a powr' b) F"
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_powr'_complex [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous F f" "continuous F g"
  assumes "Re (f (netlimit F)) ≥ 0 ∨ Im (f (netlimit F)) ≠ 0"
  assumes "f (netlimit F) = 0 → Re (g (netlimit F)) > 0"
  shows  "continuous F (λz. f z powr' g z :: complex)"
⟨proof⟩

end



## 2 Auxiliary material on filters and dominated convergence



theory More_Dominated_Convergence
  imports "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis" "HOL-Library.Going_To_Filter"
begin



### 2.1 Linear orders with unbounded sequences



We define type classes for linear orders that contain sequences that “tend to infinity”. These are also known as “countably cofinite”.

class seq_at_top = linorder +
  assumes seq_at_top_aux: "∃f::nat ⇒ 'a. ∀x. eventually (λn. f n ≥ x) sequentially"

```

```
lemma seq_at_top: "∃ f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: seq_at_top. filterlim f at_top sequentially"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma seq_at_topI [intro?]:
  "filterlim (f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: linorder) at_top sequentially ⇒ OFCLASS('a,
  seq_at_top_class)"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
class seq_at_bot = linorder +
  assumes seq_at_bot_aux: "∃ f :: nat ⇒ 'a. ∀ x. eventually (λ n. f n ≤
  x) sequentially"
```

```
lemma seq_at_bot: "∃ f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: seq_at_bot. filterlim f at_bot sequentially"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma seq_at_botI [intro?]:
  "filterlim (f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: linorder) at_bot sequentially ⇒ OFCLASS('a,
  seq_at_bot_class)"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

An archimedean field is countably cofinite, i.e. there exist sequences that tend to $\pm\infty$.

```
context archimedean_field
begin
```

```
lemma eventually_of_nat_ge: "eventually (λ n. of_nat n ≥ x) sequentially"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
subclass seq_at_top
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
subclass seq_at_bot
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

Complete linear orders are obviously countably cofinite, since even the singleton set $\{\text{top}\}$ is cofinite.

```
context complete_linorder
begin
```

```
subclass seq_at_top
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
subclass seq_at_bot
  ⟨proof⟩
```

end

```
instance nat :: seq_at_bot  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance nat :: seq_at_top  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance int :: seq_at_top  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance int :: seq_at_bot  
⟨proof⟩
```

2.2 Countably generated filters

For convenience, we show that if we have a countably generated filter, we can assume w.l.o.g. that the sequence of sets generating it is decreasing.

```
lemma countably_generated_filterI_decseq:  
  assumes "antimono_on UNIV B" " $\bigwedge P. \text{eventually } P F \longleftrightarrow (\exists i::\text{nat}. \forall x \in B$   
i. P x)"  
  shows "countably_generated_filter F"  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma countably_generated_filter_iff_decseq:  
  "countably_generated_filter F  $\longleftrightarrow$   
  ( $\exists B. \text{antimono\_on UNIV } B \wedge (\forall P. \text{eventually } P F \longleftrightarrow (\exists i::\text{nat}. \forall x \in B$   
i. P x)))"  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma countably_generated_filter_altdef:  
  "countably_generated_filter F  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists U. \text{countable } U \wedge F = (\text{INF } X \in U.$   
principal X))"  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Countably generated filters are sequential, i.e. if any sequence that tends to the filter is eventually contained in some set, then that set is in the filter.

```
lemma countably_generated_filter_sequential:  
  assumes "countably_generated_filter F"  
  assumes " $(\bigwedge f. \text{filterlim } f F \text{ sequentially} \implies \text{eventually } (\lambda n. P (f$   
n)) sequentially)"  
  shows "eventually P F"  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

named_theorems countably_generated_filter_intros

lemma countably_generated_filter_top [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  "countably_generated_filter top_class.top"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma countably_generated_filter_bot [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  "countably_generated_filter bot_class.bot"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma countably_generated_filter_principal [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  "countably_generated_filter (principal A)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma countably_based_filtermap [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  assumes "countably_generated_filter F"
  shows "countably_generated_filter (filtermap f F)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma countably_based_filtercomap [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  assumes "countably_generated_filter F"
  shows "countably_generated_filter (filtercomap f F)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma countably_generated_filter_inf [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  assumes "countably_generated_filter F" "countably_generated_filter
  G"
  shows "countably_generated_filter (inf F G)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma countably_generated_filter_sup [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  assumes "countably_generated_filter F" "countably_generated_filter
  G"
  shows "countably_generated_filter (sup F G)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma countably_generated_filter_prod [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  assumes "countably_generated_filter F" "countably_generated_filter
  G"
  shows "countably_generated_filter (F ×F G)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma countably_generated_filter_Inf [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  assumes "countable F" "∧G. G ∈ F ⇒ countably_generated_filter G"
  shows "countably_generated_filter (Inf F)"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma countably_generated_filter_Sup_finite [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  assumes "finite F" "\G. G \in F \implies countably_generated_filter G"
  shows "countably_generated_filter (Sup F)"
  <proof>

lemma countably_generated_filter_going_to [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  assumes "countably_generated_filter F"
  shows "countably_generated_filter (f going_to F within A)"
  <proof>

lemma countably_generated_filter_at_top [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  "countably_generated_filter (at_top :: 'a :: seq_at_top filter)"
  <proof>

lemma countably_generated_filter_at_top [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  "countably_generated_filter (at_top :: 'a :: {second_countable_topology, linorder_topology}
  filter)"
  <proof>

lemma countably_generated_filter_at_bot [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  "countably_generated_filter (at_bot :: 'a :: seq_at_bot filter)"
  <proof>

lemma countably_generated_filter_nhds [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  "countably_generated_filter (nhds (x :: 'a :: first_countable_topology))"
  <proof>

lemma countably_generated_filter_at_within [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  "countably_generated_filter (at (x :: 'a :: first_countable_topology)
  within A)"
  <proof>

lemma at_infinity_eq_filtercomap: "at_infinity = filtercomap norm at_top"
  <proof>

lemma countably_generated_filter_at_infinity [countably_generated_filter_intros]:
  "countably_generated_filter at_infinity"
  <proof>

lemmas [countably_generated_filter_intros] = countably_generated_uniformity

```

2.3 Sequential filters

We call a filter *sequential* if it can be “approached” by sequences in the sense that if a property holds eventually on all sequences that approach the filter, then it also holds eventually for the filter.

Importantly, any countably generated filter is sequential.

```

locale sequential_filter =
  fixes F :: "'a filter"
  assumes approachable:
    "( $\bigwedge f. \text{filterlim } f \ F \text{ sequentially} \implies \text{eventually } (\lambda n. P (f \ n)) \text{ sequentially})$ 
 $\implies \text{eventually } P \ F$ "
begin

lemma filterlim_sequentially_imp_filterlim:
  assumes " $\bigwedge X. \text{filterlim } X \ F \text{ sequentially} \implies \text{filterlim } (\lambda n. f \ (X \ n))$ 
  G sequentially"
  shows "filterlim f G F"
  <proof>

end

lemma sequential_filtermap:
  assumes "sequential_filter F"
  shows "sequential_filter (filtermap (g :: 'a  $\implies$  'b) F)"
  <proof>

lemma countably_generated_filter_imp_sequential_filter:
  assumes "countably_generated_filter F"
  shows "sequential_filter F"
  <proof>

interpretation bot: sequential_filter "bot_class.bot"
  <proof>

interpretation top: sequential_filter "top_class.top"
  <proof>

interpretation principal: sequential_filter "principal A"
  <proof>

interpretation nhds: sequential_filter "nhds (x :: 'a :: first_countable_topology)"
  <proof>

interpretation at_within: sequential_filter
  "at (x :: 'a :: first_countable_topology) within A"
  <proof>

interpretation at_top: sequential_filter "at_top :: 'a :: seq_at_top filter"
  <proof>

interpretation at_bot: sequential_filter "at_bot :: 'a :: seq_at_bot filter"
  <proof>

```

interpretation at_infinity: sequential_filter at_infinity
 ⟨proof⟩

2.4 Set-wise monotone convergence

We now introduce the notion of a family of sets $A(x)$ converging to another set B “from the inside” as $x \rightarrow F$, in the sense that eventually $A(x) \subseteq B$ as $x \rightarrow F$ and, for every y , eventually $y \in A(x) \longleftrightarrow y \in B$ as $x \rightarrow F$.

That is, $A(x)$ converges to B pointwise from within B in the discrete topology. Typical examples include that e.g. $A(x) = [l(x), r(x)]$ converges to (a, b) if $l(x) \rightarrow a^+$ and $r(x) \rightarrow b^-$, or $A(x) = [l(x), r(x)]$ converges to \mathbb{R} if $l(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ and $r(x) \rightarrow \infty$.

definition tendsto_set :: "'b measure \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b set) \Rightarrow 'b set \Rightarrow 'a filter \Rightarrow bool" **where**
 "tendsto_set M A B F \longleftrightarrow
 ($\exists C. C \in \text{null_sets } M \wedge (\forall x. x \notin C \longrightarrow \text{eventually } (\lambda y. x \in A y \longleftrightarrow x \in B) F)$)"

named_theorems tendsto_set_intros

lemma tendsto_set_null_sets_transfer:
 assumes "tendsto_set M f A F" "sym_diff A B \in null_sets M"
 shows "tendsto_set M f B F"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_set_cong:
 assumes "null_sets M = null_sets N" "eventually ($\lambda x. f x = g x$) F"
 "sym_diff A B \in null_sets M" "F = F'"
 shows "tendsto_set M f A F \longleftrightarrow tendsto_set N g B F'"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_set_Icc_Icc [tendsto_set_intros]:
 fixes a b :: "'a :: linorder_topology"
 assumes lim: "filterlim l (nhds a) F" "filterlim r (nhds b) F"
 assumes "{a, b} \in null_sets M"
 shows "tendsto_set M ($\lambda x. \{l x..r x\}$) {a..b} F"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_set_Icc_Ici [tendsto_set_intros]:
 fixes a :: "'a :: linorder_topology"
 assumes lim: "filterlim l (nhds a) F" "filterlim r at_top F"
 assumes "{a} \in null_sets M"
 shows "tendsto_set M ($\lambda x. \{l x..r x\}$) {a..} F"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_set_Icc_Iic [tendsto_set_intros]:
 fixes b :: "'a :: linorder_topology"
 assumes lim: "filterlim l at_bot F" "filterlim r (nhds b) F"

```

assumes "{b} ∈ null_sets M"
shows "tendsto_set M (λx. {l x..r x}) {..b} F"
⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_set_Icc_UNIV [tendsto_set_intros]:
  fixes l r :: "_ ⇒ 'a :: linorder_topology"
  assumes lim: "filterlim l at_bot F" "filterlim r at_top F"
  shows "tendsto_set M (λx. {l x..r x}) UNIV F"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_set_Ici_Ici [tendsto_set_intros]:
  fixes a :: "'a :: linorder_topology"
  assumes lim: "filterlim l (nhds a) F"
  assumes "{a} ∈ null_sets M"
  shows "tendsto_set M (λx. {l x..}) {a..} F"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_set_Iic_Iic [tendsto_set_intros]:
  fixes b :: "'a :: linorder_topology"
  assumes lim: "filterlim r (nhds b) F"
  assumes "{b} ∈ null_sets M"
  shows "tendsto_set M (λx. {..r x}) {..b} F"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_set_Ici_UNIV [tendsto_set_intros]:
  fixes l :: "_ ⇒ 'a :: linorder_topology"
  assumes lim: "filterlim l at_bot F"
  shows "tendsto_set M (λx. {l x..}) UNIV F"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_set_Iic_UNIV [tendsto_set_intros]:
  fixes r :: "_ ⇒ 'a :: linorder_topology"
  assumes lim: "filterlim r at_top F"
  shows "tendsto_set M (λx. {..r x}) UNIV F"
  ⟨proof⟩

The next version of the lemma is slightly stronger in the sense that we can
also show  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} \int_0^x = \int_0^a$  (i.e. the endpoint is also included).

lemma (in sequential_filter) filterlim_set_lebesgue_integral_set:
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ 'b::{banach, second_countable_topology}"
  assumes integrable: "set_integrable M B f"
  assumes measurable: "set_borel_measurable M A f" "eventually (λx. set_borel_measurable
M (X x) f) F"
  assumes X: "tendsto_set M X A F" "eventually (λx. X x ∈ sets M) F"
  assumes subset: "eventually (λx. X x ⊆ B) F"
  shows "((λx. set_lebesgue_integral M (X x) f) → set_lebesgue_integral
M A f) F"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

3 Integral forms of the Beta function

theory More_Beta

imports "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis" More_Dominated_Convergence
begin

lemma Gamma_legendre_duplication_real:

fixes z :: real
assumes "z $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ " "z + 1/2 $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ "
shows "Gamma z * Gamma (z + 1/2) = 2^{powr (1 - 2 * z)} * sqrt pi * Gamma (2*z)"
<proof>

lemma Gamma_int_plus_half:

"Gamma (real n + 1 / 2) = sqrt pi * fact (2 * n) / (4^{~ n} * fact n)"
<proof>

lemma has_field_derivative_complex_powr_right:

"w $\neq 0 \implies ((\lambda z. w \text{ powr } z) \text{ has_field_derivative } \text{Ln } w * w \text{ powr } z) \text{ (at } z \text{ within } A)"$ "
<proof>

lemmas has_field_derivative_complex_powr_right' =

has_field_derivative_complex_powr_right[THEN DERIV_chain2]

lemma uniform_limit_set_lebesgue_integral:

fixes f :: "'a \Rightarrow 'b :: euclidean_space \Rightarrow 'c :: {banach, second_countable_topology}"
assumes "set_integrable lborel X' g"
assumes [measurable]: "X' \in sets borel"
assumes [measurable]: " $\bigwedge y. y \in Y \implies \text{set_borel_measurable borel } X'$ "
(f y)"
assumes " $\bigwedge y. y \in Y \implies (\text{AE } t \in X' \text{ in lborel. norm } (f \ y \ t) \leq g \ t)"$ "
assumes "eventually ($\lambda x. X \ x \in \text{sets borel} \wedge X \ x \subseteq X'$) F"
assumes "filterlim ($\lambda x. \text{set_lebesgue_integral lborel } (X \ x) \ g$)
(nhds (set_lebesgue_integral lborel X' g)) F"
shows "uniform_limit Y
($\lambda x \ y. \text{set_lebesgue_integral lborel } (X \ x) \ (f \ y)$)
($\lambda y. \text{set_lebesgue_integral lborel } X' \ (f \ y)$) F"
<proof>

lemma Beta_nonneg_real:

assumes "a > 0" "b > 0"
shows "Beta a (b::real) ≥ 0"
 ⟨proof⟩

The Beta function is given by the following integral:

$$B(a, b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$$

lemma has_integral_Beta_complex:
assumes a: "Re a > 0" and b: "Re b > 0"
shows "((λt. of_real t powr (a - 1) * of_real (1 - t) powr (b - 1))
 has_integral Beta a b) {0<..<1}"
and "(λt. of_real t powr (a - 1) * of_real (1 - t) powr (b - 1))
 absolutely_integrable_on {0<..<1}"
 ⟨proof⟩

By change of variables, we can also derive the following integral:

$$\frac{1}{2}B(a, b) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{2a-1} t \cos^{2b-1} t dt$$

lemma has_integral_Beta_sin_cos_complex:
assumes "Re a > 0" "Re b > 0"
shows "(λt. of_real (sin t) powr (2*a-1) * of_real (cos t) powr (2*b-1))
 absolutely_integrable_on {0..pi/2}" (is "?thesis1")
and "((λt. of_real (sin t) powr (2*a-1) * of_real (cos t) powr (2*b-1))
 has_integral (Beta a b / 2)) {0..pi/2}" (is "?thesis2")
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_integral_Beta_sin_cos_real:
assumes "a > 0" "b > 0"
shows "((λt. sin t powr (2*a-1) * cos t powr (2*b-1)) has_integral
 (Beta a b / 2)) {0..pi/2}"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma sin_power_integral_0_pi_half:
 "((λt. sin t ^ n) has_integral (Beta ((real n + 1) / 2) (1/2)) / 2)
 {0..pi/2}"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma cos_power_integral_0_pi_half:
 "((λt. cos t ^ n) has_integral (Beta (1/2) ((real n + 1) / 2)) / 2)
 {0..pi/2}"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma sin_power_even_integral_0_pi_half_real:
 "((λt. sin t ^ (2*n)) has_integral (pi / 2 * fact (2 * n) / (fact n
 ^ 2 * 4 ^ n)) {0..pi/2}"

<proof>

```
lemma cos_power_even_integral_0_pi_half_real:  
  "((λt. cos t ^ (2*n)) has_integral (pi / 2 * fact (2 * n) / (fact n  
  ^ 2 * 4 ^ n)) {0..pi/2})"
```

<proof>

Lastly, we derive the following integral:

$$B(a, b) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{a-1}}{(1+t)^{a+b}} dt$$

```
lemma has_integral_Beta_0_infinity_complex:  
  assumes "Re a > 0" "Re b > 0"  
  shows "(λt. of_real t powr (a - 1) / of_real (1 + t) powr (a + b))  
    absolutely_integrable_on {0<..}" (is "?thesis1")  
  and "(λt. of_real t powr (a - 1) / of_real (1 + t) powr (a + b))  
    has_integral (Beta a b) {0<..}" (is "?thesis2")
```

<proof>

```
lemma has_integral_Beta_0_infinity_real:  
  assumes "a > 0" "b > (0::real)"  
  shows "(λt. t powr (a - 1) / (1 + t) powr (a + b)) has_integral (Beta  
  a b) {0<..}"
```

<proof>

end

4 A proof method for computing derivatives

```
theory Derivative_Method  
  imports Complex_Main  
begin
```

<ML>

end

5 Auxiliary material

```
theory Incomplete_Gamma_Lemma_Bucket  
  imports  
    "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis"  
    Safe_Power  
    More_Dominated_Convergence  
    Derivative_Method
```

begin

lemma *sgn_sqrt [simp]*: "sgn (sqrt x) = sgn x"
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *countably_generated_imp_convergent_sequence*:
 assumes "countably_generated_filter F" "F ≠ bot"
 obtains X where "filterlim X F sequentially"
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *sequential_filter*) *dominated_convergence'*:
 fixes f :: "'a ⇒ 'n::euclidean_space ⇒ 'm::euclidean_space"
 assumes f: "eventually (λk. (f k) integrable_on S) F" and h: "h integrable_on S"
 and le: "eventually (λk. ∀x∈S. norm (f k x) ≤ h x) F"
 and conv: "∧x. x ∈ S ⇒ ((λk. f k x) → g x) F"
 shows "(λk. integral S (f k)) → integral S g) F"
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dominated_convergence_countably_generated_filter*:
 fixes f :: "'a ⇒ 'n::euclidean_space ⇒ 'm::euclidean_space"
 assumes cg: "countably_generated_filter F"
 assumes f: "eventually (λk. (f k) integrable_on S) F" and h: "h integrable_on S"
 and le: "eventually (λk. ∀x∈S. norm (f k x) ≤ h x) F"
 and conv: "∧x. x ∈ S ⇒ ((λk. f k x) → g x) F"
 shows "F ≠ bot ⇒ g integrable_on S" "(λk. integral S (f k)) → integral S g) F"
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nonpos_Reals_real_eq*: " $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} = \{..(0::real)\}$ "
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *has_integral_complex_of_real_iff*:
 " $(\lambda x. \text{of_real } (f x) :: \text{complex}) \text{ has_integral } (\text{of_real } I) A \iff (f \text{ has_integral } I) A$ "
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *integrable_on_complex_of_real_iff*:
 " $(\lambda x. \text{of_real } (f x) :: \text{complex}) \text{ integrable_on } A \iff f \text{ integrable_on } A$ "

<proof>

lemma *integral_complex_of_real*:

"integral A ($\lambda x.$ complex_of_real (f x)) = of_real (integral A f)"

<proof>

lemma *countable_nonpos_Ints* [intro]: "countable $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ "

<proof>

lemma

fixes f :: "_ \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow 'a :: {banach, second_countable_topology}"

assumes integrable: " $\bigwedge i.$ set_integrable M A (f i)"

and summable: "AE x \in A in M. summable ($\lambda i.$ norm (f i x))"

and sums: "summable ($\lambda i.$ ($\int x \in A.$ norm (f i x) ∂M))"

shows set_integrable_suminf: "set_integrable M A ($\lambda x.$ ($\sum i.$ f i x))"

and sums_set_integral: " $(\lambda i.$ set_lebesgue_integral M A (f i)) sums
($\int x \in A.$ ($\sum i.$ f i x) ∂M)"

and set_integral_suminf: " $(\int x \in A.$ ($\sum i.$ f i x) ∂M) = ($\sum i.$ set_lebesgue_integral
M A (f i))"

and summable_set_integral: "summable ($\lambda i.$ set_lebesgue_integral M
A (f i))"

<proof>

lemma *leibniz_rule_field_derivative_real*:

fixes f :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach} \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow 'a"

assumes fx: " $\bigwedge x t.$ x \in U \implies t \in {a..b} \implies (($\lambda x.$ f x t) has_field_derivative
fx x t) (at x within U)"

assumes integrable_f2: " $\bigwedge x.$ x \in U \implies (f x) integrable_on {a..b}"

assumes cont_fx: "continuous_on (U \times {a..b}) ($\lambda(x, t).$ fx x t)"

assumes U: "x0 \in U" "convex U"

shows " $((\lambda x.$ integral {a..b} (f x)) has_field_derivative integral {a..b}
(fx x0)) (at x0 within U)"

<proof>

lemma *convex_minkowski_sum_ball*:

fixes A :: "'a :: euclidean_space set"

assumes "convex A"

shows "convex ($\bigcup x \in A.$ ball x r)"

<proof>

lemma *convex_minkowski_sum_cball*:

fixes A :: "'a :: euclidean_space set"

assumes "convex A"

shows "convex ($\bigcup x \in A.$ cball x r)"

<proof>

```
lemma continuous_on_linepath [continuous_intros]:  
  assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g" "continuous_on A h"  
  shows "continuous_on A ( $\lambda x. \text{linepath } (f \ x) \ (g \ x) \ (h \ x)$ )"  
<proof>
```

```
lemma contour_integral_linepath_has_field_derivative:  
  assumes A: "open A" "a  $\in$  A" "z  $\in$  A" "closed_segment a z  $\subseteq$  A"  
  assumes holo: "f holomorphic_on A"  
  shows " $((\lambda z. \text{contour\_integral } (\text{linepath } a \ z) \ f) \text{ has\_field\_derivative } f \ z) \text{ (at } z \text{ within } B)$ "  
<proof>
```

```
lemma set_integrable_bigo:  
  fixes f g :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {banach, real_normed_field, second_countable_topology}"  
  assumes "f  $\in$   $O(\lambda x. g \ x)$ " and "set_integrable lborel {a..} g"  
  assumes " $\bigwedge b. b \geq a \implies \text{set\_integrable lborel } \{a..<b\} \ f$ "  
  assumes [measurable]: "set_borel_measurable borel {a..} f"  
  shows "set_integrable lborel {a..} f"  
<proof>
```

5.1 Miscellaneous preliminary material

```
lemma uniform_limit_analytic_at:  
  assumes "uniform_limit A f g F"  
  assumes "eventually ( $\lambda x. f \ x \text{ holomorphic\_on } A$ ) F"  
  assumes "z  $\in$  A" "open A" "F  $\neq$  bot"  
  shows "g analytic_on {z}"  
<proof>
```

```
lemma uniform_limit_holomorphic:  
  assumes "uniform_limit A f g F"  
  assumes "eventually ( $\lambda x. f \ x \text{ holomorphic\_on } A$ ) F"  
  assumes "open A" "F  $\neq$  bot"  
  shows "g holomorphic_on A"  
<proof>
```

```
lemma Re_euler_mascheroni [simp]: "Re euler_mascheroni = euler_mascheroni"  
  and Im_euler_mascheroni [simp]: "Im euler_mascheroni = 0"  
<proof>
```

```
lemma has_real_derivative_imp_has_vector_derivative [derivative_intros]:  
  assumes "(f has_real_derivative f') (at x)"
```

```

shows "(f has_vector_derivative f') (at x)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma DERIV_real_sqrt_generic':
  assumes "x ≠ 0"
  shows "(sqrt has_field_derivative (sgn x * inverse (sqrt x) / 2)) (at
x within A)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma DERIV_real_root_generic':
  assumes "x ≠ 0"
  shows "(root n has_real_derivative (inverse (real n * (sgn x * root
n x) ^ (n - Suc 0)))) (at x within A)"
  ⟨proof⟩

declare
  DERIV_real_sqrt_generic'[THEN DERIV_chain2, derivative_intros del]
  DERIV_real_root_generic'[THEN DERIV_chain2, derivative_intros del]
  DERIV_real_sqrt_generic'[THEN DERIV_chain2, derivative_intros]
  DERIV_real_root_generic'[THEN DERIV_chain2, derivative_intros]

lemmas [derivative_intros] = has_vector_derivative_real_field

lemma DERIV_complex_norm [derivative_intros]:
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ complex"
  assumes "f x ≠ 0" and [derivative_intros]: "(f has_vector_derivative
D') (at x)"
  shows "((λx. norm (f x)) has_field_derivative ((D' · f x) / norm (f
x))) (at x)"
  ⟨proof⟩



## 5.2 Preliminary facts about the Gamma and Digamma function



lemma cnj_Digamma:
  assumes [simp]: "s ≠ 0"
  shows "cnj (Digamma s) = Digamma (cnj s)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cnj_Polygamma:
  assumes [simp]: "s ≠ 0"
  shows "cnj (Polygamma n s) = Polygamma n (cnj s)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_rGamma_Im_mono:

```

```

    assumes "Re z1 = Re z2" "|Im z1| ≤ |Im z2|"
    shows "norm (rGamma z1) ≤ norm (rGamma z2)"
  <proof>

lemma Re_Digamma_Im_mono:
  assumes "Re z1 = Re z2" "Re z1 > 0" "|Im z1| ≤ |Im z2|"
  shows "Re (Digamma z1) ≤ Re (Digamma z2)"
  <proof>

lemma Digamma_Re_le_Re_Digamma:
  assumes "Re z > 0"
  shows "Digamma (Re z) ≤ Re (Digamma z)"
  <proof>

lemma Re_Digamma_nonneg:
  assumes "Re z > 0" "Digamma (Re z) ≥ 0"
  shows "Re (Digamma z) ≥ 0"
  <proof>

lemma norm_Gamma_Re_mono:
  assumes "Im z1 = Im z2" "Re z1 ≤ Re z2" "Re z1 > 0" "Digamma (Re z1)
  ≥ 0"
  shows "norm (Gamma z1) ≤ norm (Gamma z2)"
  <proof>

lemma norm_Gamma_Im_mono:
  assumes "Re z1 = Re z2" "|Im z1| ≤ |Im z2|" "z1 ∈ ℤ≤0 → z2 ∈ ℤ≤0"
  shows "norm (Gamma z1) ≥ norm (Gamma z2)"
  <proof>

lemma norm_Gamma_bound:
  assumes "Re z ∉ ℤ≤0"
  shows "norm (Gamma z) ≤ |Gamma (Re z)|"
  <proof>

lemma norm_Gamma_bound':
  assumes "Re z > 0"
  shows "norm (Gamma z) ≤ Gamma (Re z)"
  <proof>

end

```

6 The Incomplete Gamma Function

```

theory Incomplete_Gamma
imports
  "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis" "HOL-Library.Going_To_Filter"
  Generalized_Hypergeometric_Series.Generalized_Hypergeometric_Series
  Safe_Power More_Beta More_Dominated_Convergence

```

Derivative_Method Incomplete_Gamma_Lemma_Bucket
begin

6.1 Construction of the auxiliary entire function

For an overview of the functions we will define, see §8.2 of the NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions [1].

We first use the regularised hypergeometric series to define a version of the regularised lower gamma function that is entire in both variables and therefore particularly pleasant to handle. The NIST DLMF calls this function $\gamma^*(s, z)$.

definition *pre_Gamma_rincl* :: "'a :: Gamma \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a" **where**
pre_Gamma_rincl s z = exp (-z) * reg_hypergeo_F [1] [1+s] z"

lemma *pre_Gamma_rincl_complex_of_real*:
pre_Gamma_rincl (complex_of_real s) (of_real z) = of_real (pre_Gamma_rincl s z)"
 <proof>

lemma *pre_Gamma_rincl_0_left* [simp]: "pre_Gamma_rincl 0 z = 1"
 <proof>

lemma *pre_Gamma_rincl_0_right* [simp]: "pre_Gamma_rincl s 0 = rGamma (s + 1)"
 <proof>

lemma *pre_Gamma_rincl_1_left*:
 assumes [simp]: "z \neq 0"
 shows "pre_Gamma_rincl 1 z = (1 - exp (-z)) / z"
 <proof>

lemma *analytic_pre_Gamma_rincl* [analytic_intros]:
 assumes [analytic_intros]: "s analytic_on X" "z analytic_on X"
 shows "(λ x. pre_Gamma_rincl (s x) (z x)) analytic_on X"
 <proof>

lemma *continuous_on_pre_Gamma_rincl* [continuous_intros]:
 fixes s z :: "_ \Rightarrow 'a :: {Gamma, heine_borel}"
 assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on X s" "continuous_on X z"
 shows "continuous_on X (λ x. pre_Gamma_rincl (s x) (z x))"
 <proof>

lemma *tendsto_pre_Gamma_rincl* [tendsto_intros]:
 fixes s z :: "'a :: {Gamma, heine_borel}"
 assumes "(f \longrightarrow s) F" "(g \longrightarrow z) F"
 shows "((λ x. pre_Gamma_rincl (f x) (g x)) \longrightarrow pre_Gamma_rincl s z) F"
 <proof>

```

lemma continuous_pre_Gamma_rincl [continuous_intros]:
  fixes s z :: "_  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {Gamma, heine_borel}"
  assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous (at x within A) s" "continuous
(at x within A) z"
  shows "continuous (at x within A) ( $\lambda$ x. pre_Gamma_rincl (s x) (z x))"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma sums_pre_Gamma_rincl:
  " $(\lambda$ n. exp (-z) * rGamma (s + of_nat n + 1) * z ^ n) sums (pre_Gamma_rincl
s z)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma erf_conv_pre_Gamma_rincl_complex:
  "erf (z :: complex) = z * pre_Gamma_rincl (1 / 2) (z2)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma erf_conv_pre_Gamma_rincl_real:
  "erf (z :: real) = z * pre_Gamma_rincl (1 / 2) (z2)"
  <proof>

```

6.2 The regularised lower Gamma function

We now add the factor z^s , which contributes a branch cut, to obtain the regularised lower gamma function $P(s, z)$ (again using the NIST DLMF notation).

This is essentially $\gamma(s, z)/\Gamma(s)$, but with the benefit that it is defined even when $\gamma(s, z)$ and $\Gamma(s)$ are not (i.e. when s is a non-negative integer).

definition `Gamma_rincl` :: "'a :: {Gamma, ln} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a" **where**
`"Gamma_rincl s z = z powr' s * pre_Gamma_rincl s z"`

```

lemma Gamma_rincl_0_left [simp]: "Gamma_rincl 0 z = 1"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_rincl_0_right [simp]: "s  $\neq$  0  $\implies$  Gamma_rincl s 0 = 0"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_rincl_1_left: "Gamma_rincl 1 z = 1 - exp (-z)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_rincl_complex_of_real:
  assumes "s  $\notin$   $\mathbb{Z} \implies 0 \leq z$ "
  shows "Gamma_rincl (complex_of_real s) (of_real z) = of_real (Gamma_rincl
s z)"
  <proof>

```

$P(s, z)$ is holomorphic in s and z apart from a branch cut along the negative

real axis for z . Alternatively, if s is a fixed integer, $P(s, z)$ is entire in z if $s \geq 0$ and meromorphic with a pole at $z = 0$ if $s < 0$.

```
lemma analytic_Gamma_rincl [analytic_intros]:
  assumes [analytic_intros]: "s analytic_on X" "z analytic_on X"
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies z \cdot x \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows " $(\lambda x. \text{Gamma\_rincl } (s \ x) \ (z \ x)) \text{ analytic\_on } X$ "
  <proof>
```

```
lemma analytic_Gamma_rincl':
  assumes [analytic_intros]: "f analytic_on X"
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies s \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} - \{0\}) \implies f \ x \neq 0$ "
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies s \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies f \ x \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows " $(\lambda x. \text{Gamma\_rincl } s \ (f \ x)) \text{ analytic\_on } X$ "
  <proof>
```

$P(s, z)$ is continuous away from the nonpositive reals. It is additionally also continuous at $z = 0$ if $\text{Re}(s) > 0$.

```
lemma continuous_on_Gamma_rincl_complex [continuous_intros]:
  fixes x z :: "'a :: topological_space  $\Rightarrow$  complex"
  assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on X s" "continuous_on X z"
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies \text{Re } (z \ x) \geq 0 \vee \text{Im } (z \ x) \neq 0$ "
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies z \cdot x = 0 \implies \text{Re } (s \ x) > 0$ "
  shows "continuous_on X  $(\lambda x. \text{Gamma\_rincl } (s \ x) \ (z \ x))$ "
  <proof>
```

```
lemma continuous_on_Gamma_rincl_real [continuous_intros]:
  fixes x z :: "'a :: topological_space  $\Rightarrow$  real"
  assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on X s" "continuous_on X z"
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies z \cdot x \geq 0$ "
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies z \cdot x = 0 \implies s \cdot x > 0$ "
  shows "continuous_on X  $(\lambda x. \text{Gamma\_rincl } (s \ x) \ (z \ x))$ "
  <proof>
```

```
lemma tendsto_Gamma_rincl_complex [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes s z :: complex
  assumes "(f  $\longrightarrow$  s) F" "(g  $\longrightarrow$  z) F" "z  $\notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \vee (z = 0 \wedge \text{Re } s > 0)$ "
  shows " $((\lambda x. \text{Gamma\_rincl } (f \ x) \ (g \ x)) \longrightarrow \text{Gamma\_rincl } s \ z) F$ "
  <proof>
```

```
lemma tendsto_Gamma_rincl_real [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes s z :: real
  assumes "(f  $\longrightarrow$  s) F" "(g  $\longrightarrow$  z) F" "z > 0  $\vee (z = 0 \wedge s > 0)$ "
  shows " $((\lambda x. \text{Gamma\_rincl } (f \ x) \ (g \ x)) \longrightarrow \text{Gamma\_rincl } s \ z) F$ "
  <proof>
```

```
lemma continuous_Gamma_rincl_complex [continuous_intros]:
  fixes s z :: "_  $\Rightarrow$  complex"
```

```

  assumes "continuous (at x within A) s" "continuous (at x within A)
z"
  assumes "z x  $\notin$   $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$   $\vee$  (z x = 0  $\wedge$  Re (s x) > 0)"
  shows "continuous (at x within A) ( $\lambda$ x. Gamma_rincl (s x) (z x))"
<proof>

```

```

lemma continuous_Gamma_rincl_real [continuous_intros]:
  fixes s z :: "_  $\Rightarrow$  real"
  assumes "continuous (at x within A) s" "continuous (at x within A)
z"
  assumes "z x > 0  $\vee$  (z x = 0  $\wedge$  s x > 0)"
  shows "continuous (at x within A) ( $\lambda$ x. Gamma_rincl (s x) (z x))"
<proof>

```

Writing $P(s, z)$ as a series:

```

lemma sums_Gamma_rincl:
  "( $\lambda$ n. z powr' s * z ^ n * exp (-z) * rGamma (s + of_nat n + 1)) sums
(Gamma_rincl s z)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma sums_Gamma_rincl_complex:
  assumes "z  $\neq$  0  $\vee$  s  $\notin$   $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows "( $\lambda$ n. z powr (s + of_nat n) * exp (-z) * rGamma (s + of_nat
n + 1 :: complex))
sums (Gamma_rincl s z)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma sums_Gamma_rincl_real:
  assumes "z > 0  $\vee$  s  $\in$   $\mathbb{Z} - \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows "( $\lambda$ n. z powr' (s + of_nat n) * exp (-z) * rGamma (s + of_nat
n + 1 :: real))
sums (Gamma_rincl s z)"
<proof>

```

The recurrence relation for $P(s, z)$:

```

lemma Gamma_rincl_plus1_complex:
  assumes "s  $\neq$  -1"
  shows "Gamma_rincl (s+1) z = Gamma_rincl s z - z powr' s * exp (-z)
* rGamma (s+1 :: complex)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_rincl_plus1_real:
  assumes "z  $\geq$  0  $\vee$  s  $\in$   $\mathbb{Z}$ " "s  $\neq$  -1"
  shows "Gamma_rincl (s+1) z = Gamma_rincl s z - z powr' s * exp (-z)
* rGamma (s+1 :: real)"
<proof>

```

We can now also easily show a closed form for the case where s is a positive integer:

```

lemma Gamma_rincl_of_nat_left_complex:
  fixes z :: complex
  shows "Gamma_rincl (of_nat (Suc n)) z = 1 - exp (-z) * ( $\sum_{k \leq n} z^k / \text{fact } k$ )"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_rincl_of_nat_left_real:
  fixes z :: real
  shows "Gamma_rincl (of_nat (Suc n)) z = 1 - exp (-z) * ( $\sum_{k \leq n} z^k / \text{fact } k$ )"
  <proof>

```

Lastly, when $s = \frac{1}{2}$, the reduced lower incomplete Gamma function is related to the error function (via their hypergeometric representations):

```

lemma erf_conv_Gamma_rincl_real: "erf z = sgn z * Gamma_rincl (1/2) (z^2 :: real)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_rincl_one_half_left_real:
  assumes "z ≥ 0"
  shows "Gamma_rincl (1/2) (z :: real) = erf (sqrt z)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_rincl_one_half_left_complex:
  assumes z: "z ∉ ℝ<=0"
  shows "Gamma_rincl (1/2) (z :: complex) = erf (csqrt z)"
  <proof>

```

6.3 The lower incomplete Gamma function

```

definition Gamma_incl :: "'a :: {Gamma, ln} ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a" where
  "Gamma_incl s z = Gamma s * Gamma_rincl s z"

```

```

lemma Gamma_incl_complex_of_real:
  assumes "s ∉ ℤ ⇒ 0 ≤ z"
  shows "Gamma_incl (complex_of_real s) (of_real z) = of_real (Gamma_incl s z)"
  <proof>

```

The lower incomplete Gamma function $\gamma(s, z)$ is analytic away from $z \leq 0$ and $s \in \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$.

```

lemma analytic_Gamma_incl [analytic_intros]:
  assumes [analytic_intros]: "s analytic_on X" "z analytic_on X"
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies z \ x \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ " " $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies s \ x \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows " $(\lambda x. \text{Gamma\_incl } (s \ x) (z \ x))$  analytic_on X"
  <proof>

```

When s is a positive integer, $\gamma(s, z)$ is entire in z .

```

lemma analytic_Gamma_incl_nonneg_int [analytic_intros]:

```

assumes [analytic_intros]: "z analytic_on X" and "n ≥ 0"
 shows "(λx. Gamma_incl (of_int n) (z x)) analytic_on X"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma analytic_Gamma_incl':
 assumes [analytic_intros]: "f analytic_on X"
 assumes "∧x. x ∈ X ⇒ s ∈ ℤ_{≤0} ⇒ f x ≠ 0"
 assumes "∧x. x ∈ X ⇒ s ∉ ℤ ⇒ f x ∉ ℝ_{≤0}"
 shows "(λx. Gamma_incl s (f x)) analytic_on X"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_on_Gamma_incl_complex [continuous_intros]:
 fixes x z :: "'a :: topological_space ⇒ complex"
 assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on X s" "continuous_on X z"
 assumes "∧x. x ∈ X ⇒ Re (z x) ≥ 0 ∨ Im (z x) ≠ 0"
 assumes "∧x. x ∈ X ⇒ z x = 0 ⇒ Re (s x) > 0"
 assumes "∧x. x ∈ X ⇒ s x ∉ ℤ_{≤0}"
 shows "continuous_on X (λx. Gamma_incl (s x) (z x))"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_on_Gamma_incl_real [continuous_intros]:
 fixes x z :: "'a :: topological_space ⇒ real"
 assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on X s" "continuous_on X z"
 assumes "∧x. x ∈ X ⇒ z x ≥ 0"
 assumes "∧x. x ∈ X ⇒ z x = 0 ⇒ s x > 0"
 assumes "∧x. x ∈ X ⇒ s x ∉ ℤ_{≤0}"
 shows "continuous_on X (λx. Gamma_incl (s x) (z x))"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_Gamma_incl_complex [tendsto_intros]:
 fixes s z :: complex
 assumes "(f ⟶ s) F" "(g ⟶ z) F"
 assumes "Re z ≥ 0 ∨ Im z ≠ 0" "z = 0 ⇒ Re s > 0" "s ∉ ℤ_{≤0}"
 shows "((λx. Gamma_incl (f x) (g x)) ⟶ Gamma_incl s z) F"
thm Gamma_incl_def
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_Gamma_incl_real [tendsto_intros]:
 fixes s z :: real
 assumes "(f ⟶ s) F" "(g ⟶ z) F" "z ≥ 0" "z = 0 ⇒ s > 0" "s
 ∉ ℤ_{≤0}"
 shows "((λx. Gamma_incl (f x) (g x)) ⟶ Gamma_incl s z) F"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_Gamma_incl_complex [continuous_intros]:
 fixes s z :: "_ ⇒ complex"
 assumes "continuous (at x within A) s" "continuous (at x within A)
 z"
 assumes "Re (z x) ≥ 0 ∨ Im (z x) ≠ 0" "z x = 0 ⇒ Re (s x) > 0" "s

$x \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$
shows "continuous (at x within A) ($\lambda x. \text{Gamma_incl } (s \ x) \ (z \ x)$)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_Gamma_incl_real [continuous_intros]:
 fixes s z :: "_ \Rightarrow real"
 assumes "continuous (at x within A) s" "continuous (at x within A) z"
 assumes "z x \geq 0" "z x = 0 \implies s x > 0" "s x $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ "
shows "continuous (at x within A) ($\lambda x. \text{Gamma_incl } (s \ x) \ (z \ x)$)"
 ⟨proof⟩

$\gamma(s, z)$ as a series:

lemma sums_Gamma_incl:
 "($\lambda n. z \text{ powr } s * z ^ n * \exp (-z) * \text{Gamma } s * r\text{Gamma } (s + \text{of_nat } n + 1)$) sums (Gamma_incl s z)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma sums_Gamma_incl_complex:
 "($\lambda n. z \text{ powr } (s + \text{complex_of_nat } n) * \exp (-z) * \text{Gamma } s * r\text{Gamma } (s + \text{of_nat } n + 1)$) sums (Gamma_incl s z)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma sums_Gamma_incl_real_nonneg:
 assumes "z \geq 0"
shows "($\lambda n. z \text{ powr } (s + \text{real } n) * \exp (-z) * \text{Gamma } s * r\text{Gamma } (s + \text{of_nat } n + 1)$) sums (Gamma_incl s z)"
 ⟨proof⟩

The recurrence for $\gamma(s, z)$:

lemma Gamma_incl_plus1_complex:
 assumes "s $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ "
shows "Gamma_incl (s+1) z = s * Gamma_incl s z - z powr s * exp (-z) :: complex"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma Gamma_incl_plus1_real:
 assumes "s $\in \mathbb{Z} \vee z \geq 0$ " "s $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ "
shows "Gamma_incl (s+1) z = s * Gamma_incl s z - z powr s * exp (-z) :: real"
 ⟨proof⟩

For $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, $\Gamma(s, z)$ has a representation as a contour integral.

theorem has_contour_integral_Gamma_incl:
 fixes s z :: complex
 assumes s: "Re s > 0"

```

  shows "(λu. u powr (s-1) * exp (-u)) has_contour_integral Gamma_incl
s z) (linepath 0 z)"

```

<proof>

```

lemma has_integral_Gamma_incl_complex_of_real:

```

```

  assumes s: "Re s > (0::real)"

```

```

  assumes "x ≥ 0"

```

```

  shows "(λt. of_real t powr (s - 1) * of_real (exp (-t)))
has_integral Gamma_incl s (of_real x)) {0..x}"

```

<proof>

```

lemma has_integral_Gamma_incl_complex_of_real':

```

```

  assumes s: "Re s > (0::real)"

```

```

  assumes "x ≤ 0"

```

```

  shows "(λt. of_real t powr (s - 1) * of_real (exp (-t)))
has_integral (-Gamma_incl s (of_real x)) {x..0}"

```

<proof>

```

lemma has_integral_Gamma_incl_real:

```

```

  assumes s: "s > (0::real)"

```

```

  assumes "x ≥ 0"

```

```

  shows "(λt. t powr (s - 1) * exp (-t)) has_integral Gamma_incl s x)
{0..x}"

```

<proof>

6.4 The upper incomplete Gamma function

To make the definition work on as big a domain as possible, we do not define the upper incomplete Gamma function $\Gamma(s, z)$ as $\Gamma(s, z) = \Gamma(s) - \gamma(s, z)$, since there are values of s where the left-hand side exists but the two parts on the right-hand side blow up. Rather, we express $\Gamma(s, z)$ as a contour integral starting at z and going to ∞ . The precise path does not matter much, so for convenience, we go from z straight to 1 (the first auxiliary function below) and then from 1 straight to ∞ .

To make the first definition work for both the *real* and *complex* type, we express the contour integral in a more explicit fashion.

```

definition Gamma_incu_aux1 :: "'a :: {banach, real_inner, real_normed_field,
ln} ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a" where

```

```

  "Gamma_incu_aux1 s z =

```

```

    (if (z + 1 ∈ ℝ≤0 ∧ (z ≠ -1 ∨ s · 1 ≤ -1)) ∧ s ∉ ℤ then 0 else

```

```

      integral {0..1} (λx. (1 + x *R z) powr' s * exp (- (1 + x *R
z))))"

```

```

lemma Gamma_incu_aux1_complex_of_real:

```

```

  "Gamma_incu_aux1 (complex_of_real s) (complex_of_real z) = of_real (Gamma_incu_aux1
s z)"

```

<proof>

```

lemma Gamma_incu_aux1_conv_contour_integral:
  assumes "z ∉ ℝ≤₀ ∨ z = 0 ∧ Re s > 0 ∨ s ∈ ℤ"
  shows "(z-1) * Gamma_incu_aux1 (s-1) (z-1) =
          contour_integral (linepath 1 z) (λu. u powr' (s - 1) * exp
(-u))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma analytic_Gamma_incu_aux1 [analytic_intros]:
  assumes "f analytic_on A" "g analytic_on A" "∧x. x ∈ A ⇒ 1 + g x
∉ ℝ≤₀"
  shows "(λx. Gamma_incu_aux1 (f x) (g x)) analytic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma analytic_Gamma_incu_aux1_nat [analytic_intros]:
  assumes "g analytic_on A"
  shows "(λx. Gamma_incu_aux1 (of_nat n) (g x)) analytic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_on_Gamma_incu_aux1_complex':
  fixes A :: "(complex × complex) set"
  assumes A: "∧s z. (s, z) ∈ A ⇒ (Re z ≥ -1 ∨ Im z ≠ 0) ∧ z ≠ -1"
  shows "continuous_on A (λsz. Gamma_incu_aux1 (fst sz) (snd sz))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_on_Gamma_incu_aux1_complex [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
  assumes A: "∧x. x ∈ A ⇒ (Re (g x) ≥ -1 ∨ Im (g x) ≠ 0) ∧ g x ≠
-1"
  shows "continuous_on A (λx. Gamma_incu_aux1 (f x) (g x :: complex))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_Gamma_incu_aux1_at_neg1_aux:
  defines "A ≡ {(s,z). Re s > -1 ∧ Re z > -1}"
  assumes "Re s > -1"
  shows "((λ(s,z). Gamma_incu_aux1 s z) ⟶ Gamma_incu_aux1 s (-1))
(at (s, -1) within A)"
⟨proof⟩

definition Gamma_incu_aux2 :: "'a :: {banach, real_normed_algebra_1} ⇒
'a" where
  "Gamma_incu_aux2 s = integral {1..} (λt. exp ((s-1) * of_real (ln t)
- of_real t))"

lemma Gamma_incu_aux2_complex_of_real:
  "Gamma_incu_aux2 (complex_of_real s) = of_real (Gamma_incu_aux2 s)"

```

<proof>

```
lemma absolutely_integrable_incomplete_Gamma:
  fixes s :: complex
  assumes r: "r > 0"
  shows "(λt. exp (of_real (-t) - s * of_real (ln t))) absolutely_integrable_on
  {r..}"
<proof>
```

```
lemma analytic_Gamma_incu_aux2_aux:
  fixes r :: real and f :: "complex ⇒ complex"
  assumes r: "r > 0"
  defines "f ≡ (λz. integral {r..} (λt. exp (-of_real t - z * of_real
  (ln t))))"
  shows "f holomorphic_on UNIV"
<proof>
```

```
lemma analytic_Gamma_incu_aux2 [analytic_intros]:
  assumes "f analytic_on A"
  shows "(λx. Gamma_incu_aux2 (f x)) analytic_on A"
<proof>
```

```
lemma continuous_on_Gamma_incu_aux2_complex [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous_on A f"
  shows "continuous_on A (λx. Gamma_incu_aux2 (f x :: complex))"
<proof>
```

```
lemma continuous_Gamma_incu_aux2_complex [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous (at x within A) f"
  shows "continuous (at x within A) (λx. Gamma_incu_aux2 (f x :: complex))"
<proof>
```

Finally, we can define the upper incomplete Gamma function $\Gamma(s, z)$:

```
definition Gamma_incu :: "'a :: {banach, real_inner, real_normed_field,
ln} ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a"
  where "Gamma_incu s z = Gamma_incu_aux2 s - (z - 1) * Gamma_incu_aux1
  (s - 1) (z - 1)"
```

```
lemma Gamma_incu_complex_of_real:
  "Gamma_incu (complex_of_real s) (of_real z) = of_real (Gamma_incu s
  z)"
<proof>
```

In general, $\Gamma(s, z)$ is analytic away from $z \leq 0$ (where it has a branch cut).

```
lemma analytic_Gamma_incu [analytic_intros]:
  assumes "f analytic_on A" "g analytic_on A" "∧x. x ∈ A ⇒ g x ∉
  ℝ≤0"
  shows "(λx. Gamma_incu (f x) (g x)) analytic_on A"
<proof>
```

For s a positive integer, $\Gamma(s, z)$ is entire in z :

```
lemma analytic_Gamma_incu_pos_int [analytic_intros]:
  assumes "g analytic_on A" "n > 0"
  shows "(λx. Gamma_incu (of_int n) (g x)) analytic_on A"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma continuous_on_Gamma_incu_complex [continuous_intros]:
  fixes x z :: "'a :: topological_space ⇒ complex"
  assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on X s" "continuous_on X z"
  assumes "∧x. x ∈ X ⇒ Re (z x) > 0 ∨ Im (z x) ≠ 0"
  shows "continuous_on X (λx. Gamma_incu (s x) (z x))"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma tendsto_Gamma_incu_complex [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes s z :: complex
  assumes "(f ⟶ s) F" "(g ⟶ z) F" "Re z > 0 ∨ Im z ≠ 0"
  shows "((λx. Gamma_incu (f x) (g x)) ⟶ Gamma_incu s z) F"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma tendsto_Gamma_incu_real [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes s z :: real
  assumes "(f ⟶ s) F" "(g ⟶ z) F" "z > 0"
  shows "((λx. Gamma_incu (f x) (g x)) ⟶ Gamma_incu s z) F"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma continuous_Gamma_incu_complex [continuous_intros]:
  fixes s z :: "_ ⇒ complex"
  assumes "continuous (at x within A) s" "continuous (at x within A) z"
  assumes "Re (z x) > 0 ∨ Im (z x) ≠ 0"
  shows "continuous (at x within A) (λx. Gamma_incu (s x) (z x))"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma continuous_Gamma_incu_real [continuous_intros]:
  fixes s z :: "_ ⇒ real"
  assumes "continuous (at x within A) s" "continuous (at x within A) z"
  assumes "z x > 0"
  shows "continuous (at x within A) (λx. Gamma_incu (s x) (z x))"
⟨proof⟩
```

The behaviour at the branch point $z = 0$ is also interesting: when approaching from the right (i.e. $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(z) > 0$, the function $\Gamma(s, z)$ converges as $z \rightarrow 0$. We will later see that it converges to $\Gamma(s)$.

```
lemma continuous_Gamma_incu_0_strong_complex:
  assumes "Re s > 0"
  defines "F ≡ (at (s, 0) within ({s. Re s > 0} × {z. Re z > 0}))"
  shows "continuous F (λ(s, z). Gamma_incu s z)"
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma continuous_Gamma_incu_0_complex:
 assumes "Re s > 0"
 shows "continuous (at 0 within {z. Re z > 0}) (λz. Gamma_incu s z)"
 ⟨proof⟩

It is also straightforward to show that:

$$\frac{d}{dz} \Gamma(s, z) = -z^{s-1} \exp(-z)$$

This is, unsurprisingly, the opposite of the derivative of $\gamma(s, z)$.

lemma has_field_derivative_Gamma_incu_complex:
 assumes z: "s ∈ (ℤ-ℤ_{≤0}) ∨ (z :: complex) ∉ ℝ_{≤0}"
 shows "((λz. Gamma_incu s z) has_field_derivative (-(z powr' (s-1) * exp (-z)))) (at z within A)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_field_derivative_Gamma_incu_complex' [derivative_intros]:
 assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at z within A)" "s ∈ (ℤ-ℤ_{≤0}) ∨ (f z :: complex) ∉ ℝ_{≤0}"
 shows "((λz. Gamma_incu s (f z)) has_field_derivative (-(f z powr' (s-1) * exp (-f z)) * f')) (at z within A)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_field_derivative_Gamma_incu_real:
 assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at x within A)" "s ∈ (ℤ-ℤ_{≤0}) ∨ (f x :: real) > 0"
 shows "((λx. Gamma_incu s (f x)) has_field_derivative (-(f x powr' (s-1) * exp (-f x) * f')) (at x within A)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_integral_Gamma_incu_complex_of_real':
 assumes x: "x > (0 :: real)"
 shows "((λz. exp ((s-1) * of_real (ln z) - complex_of_real z)) has_integral (Gamma_incu s (of_real x))) {x..}"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_integral_Gamma_incu_complex_of_real:
 assumes x: "x > (0 :: real)"
 shows "((λt. complex_of_real t powr (s - 1) * of_real (exp (-t))) has_integral (Gamma_incu s (of_real x))) {x..}"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_integral_Gamma_incu_real:
 fixes x s :: real

```

    assumes "x > (0::real)"
    shows "(( $\lambda t. t \text{ powr } (s - 1) * \exp (-t)$ ) has_integral Gamma_incu s x)
{x..}"
<proof>

```

6.5 Identities

All the facts we have collected so far now allow us to prove that $\gamma(s, z) + \Gamma(s, z) = \Gamma(s)$ if $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ (where the integral expressions for γ and Γ are valid). Then we use analytic continuation to lift this result to the rest of the domain.

```

lemma Gamma_incl_plus_incu_complex_aux:
  assumes "s  $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ " "s - 1  $\in \mathbb{N} \vee z \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows "Gamma_incl s z + Gamma_incu s z = Gamma (s :: complex)"
<proof>

```

The recurrence for $\Gamma(s, z)$:

```

lemma Gamma_incu_plus1_complex_aux:
  assumes z: "z  $\notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \vee s - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ "
  shows "Gamma_incu (s+1) z = s * Gamma_incu s z + z powr' s * exp (-z
:: complex)"
<proof>

```

```

theorem Gamma_incu_plus1_complex:
  assumes z: "z  $\notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \vee s - 1 \in \mathbb{N} \vee (z = 0 \wedge \text{Re } s > 0)$ "
  shows "Gamma_incu (s+1) z = s * Gamma_incu s z + z powr' s * exp (-z
:: complex)"
<proof>

```

```

hide_fact Gamma_incu_plus1_complex_aux

```

```

lemma Gamma_incu_plus1_real:
  assumes z: "z > 0  $\vee (z = 0 \wedge s > 0)$ "
  shows "Gamma_incu (s+1) z = s * Gamma_incu s z + z powr' s * exp (-z
:: real)"
<proof>

```

```

theorem Gamma_incl_plus_incu_complex:
  assumes "s  $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ " "z  $\notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \vee s - 1 \in \mathbb{N} \vee (z = 0 \wedge \text{Re } s > 0)$ "
  shows "Gamma_incl s z + Gamma_incu s z = Gamma (s :: complex)"
<proof>

```

```

hide_fact Gamma_incl_plus_incu_complex_aux

```

```

lemma Gamma_incl_plus_incu_real:
  assumes "s  $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ " "z > 0  $\vee (z = 0 \wedge s > 0)$ "

```

shows "Gamma_incl s z + Gamma_incu s z = Gamma (s :: real)"
 ⟨proof⟩

6.6 Derivative of $\gamma(s, z)$

Via the relationship with $\Gamma(s)$ and $\Gamma(s, z)$, it is now also straightforward to prove the derivative of $\gamma(s, z)$:

lemma *has_field_derivative_Gamma_incl_complex*:
 fixes s z :: complex
 assumes "s $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ " "s - 1 $\in \mathbb{N} \vee z \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows "((λx . Gamma_incl s x) has_field_derivative (z powr' (s-1) * exp (-z))) (at z within A)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *has_field_derivative_Gamma_incl_complex' [derivative_intros]*:
 fixes f :: "_ \Rightarrow complex"
 assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at x within A)" "s $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ " "s - 1 $\in \mathbb{N} \vee f x \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows "((λx . Gamma_incl s (f x)) has_field_derivative (f x powr' (s-1) * exp (-f x) * f')) (at x within A)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *has_field_derivative_Gamma_incl_real [derivative_intros]*:
 fixes f :: "_ \Rightarrow real"
 assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at x within A)" and s: "s $\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ " and fx: "f x > 0"
 shows "((λx . Gamma_incl s (f x)) has_field_derivative (f x powr (s-1) * exp (-f x) * f')) (at x within A)"
 ⟨proof⟩

6.7 Special values

Lastly, we examine the values of $\Gamma(s, z)$ specifically for $z = 0$, s is a positive integer, $s = \frac{1}{2}$, and $z \rightarrow \infty$.

lemma *Gamma_incl_0_left*: "Gamma_incl 0 z = 0"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Gamma_incl_0_right [simp]*: "s $\neq 0 \implies$ Gamma_incl s 0 = 0"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Gamma_incu_0_right_complex [simp]*: "Re s > 0 \implies Gamma_incu s 0 = Gamma (s :: complex)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Gamma_incu_0_right_real [simp]*: "s > 0 \implies Gamma_incu s 0 = Gamma (s :: real)"
 ⟨proof⟩

The following theorem now summarises the behaviour of $\Gamma(s, z)$ at $z = 0$ and $\text{Re}(s) > 0$: when approaching from direction $\text{Re}(z) > 0$, $\Gamma(s, z) \rightarrow \Gamma(s')$ as $s \rightarrow s'$ and $z \rightarrow 0$.

```

theorem tendsto_Gamma_incu_0_right_complex:
  assumes "(f  $\longrightarrow$  s) F" "(g  $\longrightarrow$  0) F"
  assumes "Re s > 0" "eventually ( $\lambda x$ . Re (g x) > 0) F"
  shows "(( $\lambda x$ . Gamma_incu (f x) (g x))  $\longrightarrow$  Gamma s) F"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_incl_1_left: "Gamma_incl 1 z = 1 - exp (-z)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_incu_1_left_complex: "Gamma_incu 1 (z::complex) = exp (-z)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_incu_1_left_real: "z  $\geq$  0  $\implies$  Gamma_incu 1 (z::real) = exp (-z)"
  <proof>

```

```

theorem Gamma_incl_of_nat_left_complex:
  fixes z :: complex
  shows "Gamma_incl (of_nat (Suc n)) z = fact n * (1 - exp (-z) * ( $\sum_{k \leq n}$ .
z ^ k / fact k))"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_incl_of_nat_left_real:
  fixes z :: real
  shows "Gamma_incl (of_nat (Suc n)) z = fact n * (1 - exp (-z) * ( $\sum_{k \leq n}$ .
z ^ k / fact k))"
  <proof>

```

```

theorem Gamma_incu_of_nat_left_complex:
  fixes z :: complex
  shows "Gamma_incu (of_nat (Suc n)) z = fact n * exp (-z) * ( $\sum_{k \leq n}$ .
z ^ k / fact k)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Gamma_incu_of_nat_left_real:
  fixes z :: real
  shows "Gamma_incu (of_nat (Suc n)) z = fact n * exp (-z) * ( $\sum_{k \leq n}$ .
z ^ k / fact k)"
  <proof>

```

Via the hypergeometric representation, it is easy to see that for $\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, z)$ and $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}, z)$ have representations in terms of $\text{erf}(\sqrt{z})$ and $\text{erfc}(\sqrt{z})$, respectively:

theorem *Gamma_incl_one_half_left_complex*:
 assumes "z = 0 \vee z \notin $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows "Gamma_incl (1/2) (z :: complex) = sqrt pi * erf (csqrt z)"
 <proof>

lemma *Gamma_incl_one_half_left_real*:
 assumes "z \geq 0"
 shows "Gamma_incl (1/2) (z :: real) = sqrt pi * erf (sqrt z)"
 <proof>

lemma *Gamma_incu_one_half_left_complex*:
 assumes "z = 0 \vee z \notin $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows "Gamma_incu (1/2) (z :: complex) = sqrt pi * erfc (csqrt z)"
 <proof>

lemma *Gamma_incu_one_half_left_real*:
 assumes "z \geq 0"
 shows "Gamma_incu (1/2) (z :: real) = sqrt pi * erfc (sqrt z)"
 <proof>

$\Gamma(s, x)$ vanishes as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

lemma *Gamma_incu_at_top_complex*: " $(\lambda z. \text{Gamma_incu } s \text{ (complex_of_real } z)) \longrightarrow 0$ at_top"
 <proof>

lemma *Gamma_incu_at_top_real*: " $(\lambda z. \text{Gamma_incu } s \text{ (z::real)}) \longrightarrow 0$ at_top"
 <proof>

Consequently, $\gamma(s, z) \rightarrow \Gamma(s)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$:

lemma *Gamma_incl_at_top_complex*:
 assumes "s \notin $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows " $(\lambda z. \text{Gamma_incl } s \text{ (complex_of_real } z)) \longrightarrow \text{Gamma } s$ at_top"
 <proof>

lemma *Gamma_incl_at_top_real*:
 assumes "s \notin $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows " $(\lambda z. \text{Gamma_incl } s \text{ (z::real)}) \longrightarrow \text{Gamma } s$ at_top"
 <proof>

end

References

- [1] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions.
<https://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.2.4 of 2025-03-15. F. W. J. Olver,
 A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert,

C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.