

A formalized programming language with speculative execution

Jamie Wright

August 25, 2024

Abstract

We present the formalization of a programming language whose operational semantics allows for the speculative execution of its statements. This type of semantics is relevant for discussing transient execution security vulnerabilities such as Spectre and Meltdown. An instantiation of Relative Security to this language is provided along with proofs of security and insecurity of selected programs from the Spectre benchmark.

Contents

1	A Simple Imperative Language	2
1.1	Arithmetic and Boolean Expressions	3
1.2	Commmands	3
1.3	Stores, States and Configurations	4
1.4	Evaluation of arithmetic and boolean expressions	5
2	Basic Semantics	6
2.1	Well-formed programs	6
2.2	Basic Semantics of Commands	7
2.3	State Transitions	9
	2.3.1 Simplification Rules	10
	2.3.2 Elimination Rules	13
2.4	Read locations	15
3	Normal Semantics	16
3.1	State Transitions	17
3.2	Elimination Rules	18
4	Misprediction and Speculative Semantics	19
4.1	Misprediction Oracle	19
4.2	Mispredicting Step	19

4.2.1	State Transitions	20
4.3	Speculative Semantics	21
4.3.1	State Transitions	24
4.3.2	Elimination Rules	28
5	Relative Security instantiation - Common Aspects	28
6	Relative Security Instance: Secret Memory	34
7	Relative Security Instance: Secret Memory Input	37
8	Disproof of Relative Security for fun1	41
8.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	42
8.2	Proof	52
8.2.1	Concrete leak	52
8.2.2	Auxillary lemmas for disproof	57
8.2.3	Disproof of fun1	57
9	Proof of Relative Security for fun2	63
9.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	63
9.2	Proof	74
10	Proof of Relative Security for fun3	96
10.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	96
10.2	Proof	108
11	Proof of Relative Security for fun4	137
11.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	137
11.2	Proof	150
12	Proof of Relative Security for fun5	193
12.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	193
12.2	Proof	211
13	Proof of Relative Security for fun6	249
13.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	250
13.2	Proof	270

1 A Simple Imperative Language

```
theory Language-Syntax imports Language-Prelims Relative-Security.Trivial begin
```

A Simple Imperative Language with arrays, inputs and outputs, and speculation fences, based off the syntax for IMP in Concrete Semantics [3]

Scalar variables are defined as strings, and so are the array variables

type-synonym *vname* = *string*

type-synonym *avname* = *string*

Since the Spectre benchmark examples reason about integer variables, we define our set of values to be integers

type-synonym *val* = *int*

We define our set of locations to be integers

type-synonym *loc* = *nat*

1.1 Arithmetic and Boolean Expressions

Arithmetic expressions can either be literals, variables or array variables (array variable name, index), or some operation on these. The arithmetic operators we capture in an expression are addition and multiplication. For boolean expressions we capture negation and conjunction, and the arithmetic comparison operator "less than" where equality of two arithmetic terms is later defined in terms of these constructors

datatype *aexp* = *N int* | *V vname* | *VA avname aexp* | *Plus aexp aexp* | *Times aexp aexp* |

Ite bexp aexp aexp | *Fun aexp aexp*

and *bexp* = *Bc bool* | *Not bexp* | *And bexp bexp* | *Less aexp aexp*

To enable reasoning about more subtle Spectre-like examples require the existence of trusted and untrusted I/O channels

datatype *trustStat* = *Trusted (T)* | *Untrusted (U)*

consts *func* :: *aexp* × *aexp* ⇒ *val*

A little syntax magic to write larger states compactly:

definition *null-state* (<>) **where**

null-state ≡ λ*x*. 0

syntax

-*State* :: *updbinds* => '*a*' (<->)

translations

-*State ms* == -*Update* <> *ms*

-*State (-updbinds b bs)* <= -*Update* (-*State b*) *bs*

1.2 Commmands

The language defined by this grammar capture standard basic mechanisms for manipulating scalar and array variables, and (un)conditional jumps, using Jump and IfJump, as control structures. It is also an I/O interactive language, accepting inputs on various input channels and producing outputs on various output channels. Most of the commands are standard, however there

is an inclusion of Fences and Masking commands which are non-standard. The "Fence" command models the lfence instruction which prevents further speculative execution and is crucial in capturing key Spectre benchmark examples. The Mask command models Speculative Load Hardening (SLH), which masks variable values with respect to a given condition, contextually it can protect against leaks by masking values during misspeculation. It can be read as "M var I b T exp1 E exp2 == IF b THEN var = exp1 ELSE var = exp2"

```
datatype (discs-sels) com =
  | Start
  | Skip

  | getInput trustStat vname ((Input -/ -) [0, 61] 61)
  | Output trustStat aexp ((Output -/ -) [0, 61] 61)
  | Fence
  | Mask vname bexp aexp aexp (M -/ I -/ T -/ E - [1000, 61, 61, 61] 61)
  | Jump nat
  | Assign vname aexp (- ::= - [1000, 61] 61)
  | ArrAssign avname aexp aexp (- [-] ::= - [1000, 61] 61)
  | IfJump bexp nat nat ((IfJump -/ -/ -) [0, 0, 61] 61)
```

A predicate which determines whether or not a memory read occurs in an arithmetic expression

```
fun isReadMemory :: aexp ⇒ bool where
isReadMemory (N n) = False |
isReadMemory (V x) = False |
isReadMemory (VA a i) = True |
isReadMemory (Plus a1 a2) = (isReadMemory a1 ∨ isReadMemory a2) |
isReadMemory (Times a1 a2) = (isReadMemory a1 ∨ isReadMemory a2)
```

1.3 Stores, States and Configurations

Defining a variable store, array variable store and a heap. The variable store is as standard, mapping variable names to values. The array variable store maps array name, to a base address in the and the size of the array. The heap maps memory locations to values

```
datatype vstore = Vstore (vstore:vname ⇒ val)
datatype avstore = Avstore (avstore:avname ⇒ loc * nat)
datatype heap = Heap (hheap:loc ⇒ val)
```

A given value of an element in an array is assigned in the heap at location "array base+index". For example if the array "a1" has array base = 0, then the value a1[3] can be found at memory location 3 in the heap

```
definition array-base :: avname ⇒ avstore ⇒ loc where
array-base arr avst ≡ case avst of (Avstore as) ⇒ fst (as arr)
```

definition *array-bound* :: *avname* \Rightarrow *avstore* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
array-bound *arr avst* \equiv *case avst of (Avstore as) \Rightarrow snd (as arr)*

definition *array-loc* :: *avname* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *avstore* \Rightarrow *loc* **where**
array-loc *arr i avst* \equiv *array-base arr avst + i*

lemma *array-locBase*: *array-base arr avst = array-loc arr 0 avst*
by (*simp add: array-loc-def*)

A state consists of: (command, variable store, heap, next free location in the heap).

datatype *state* = *State* (*getVstore*: *vstore*) (*getAvstore*: *avstore*) (*getHeap*: *heap*)
(*getFree*: *nat*)

fun *getHheap* **where** *getHheap* (*State vst avst h p*) = *hheap h*

A configuration for the normal semantics consists of: (command, state, the set of read memory locations so far).

type-synonym *pcounter* = *nat*

datatype *config* = *Config* (*pcOf*: *pcounter*) (*stateOf*: *state*)

fun *vstoreOf* **where** *vstoreOf* (*Config pc s*) = *vstore (getVstore s)*
fun *avstoreOf* **where** *avstoreOf* (*Config pc s*) = *avstore (getAvstore s)*
fun *heapOf* **where** *heapOf* (*Config pc s*) = *getHeap s*
fun *freeOf* **where** *freeOf* (*Config pc s*) = *getFree s*
fun *hheapOf* **where** *hheapOf* (*Config pc s*) = *getHheap s*

1.4 Evaluation of arithmetic and boolean expressions

A standard recursive function which evaluates a given expression

fun *aval* :: *aexp* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *val*
and *bval* :: *bexp* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
aval (*N n*) *s* = *n*
|
aval (*V x*) *s* = *vstore (getVstore s) x*
|
aval (*VA a i*) *s* = *getHheap s (array-loc a (nat(aval i s)) (getAvstore s))*
|
aval (*Plus a1 a2*) *s* = *aval a1 s + aval a2 s*
|
aval (*Times a1 a2*) *s* = *aval a1 s * aval a2 s*
|
aval (*Ite b a1 a2*) *s* = (*if bval b s then aval a1 s else aval a2 s*)
|
aval (*Fun x y*) *s* = *func (x, y)*

```

|
bval (Bc v) s = v
|
bval (Not b) s = (¬ bval b s)
|
bval (And b1 b2) s = (bval b1 s ∧ bval b2 s)
|
bval (Less a1 a2) s = (aval a1 s < aval a2 s)

```

An arithmetic equivalence of two terms as a boolean expression

definition $Eq :: aexp \Rightarrow aexp \Rightarrow bexp$ **where**
 $Eq\ a1\ a2 \equiv And\ (Not\ (Less\ a1\ a2))\ (Not\ (Less\ a2\ a1))$

lemma $Eq\text{-}verif: bval\ (Eq\ a1\ a2)\ s \longleftrightarrow aval\ a1\ s = aval\ a2\ s$
apply *standard*
unfolding $Eq\text{-}def$ **by** *simp+*

fun $outOf :: com \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow val$ **where**
 $outOf\ c\ s = (case\ c\ of\ Output\ T\ aexp \Rightarrow aval\ aexp\ s \mid - \Rightarrow undefined)$

end

2 Basic Semantics

theory *Step-Basic*
imports *Language-Syntax*
begin

This theory introduces a standard semantics for the commands defined

2.1 Well-formed programs

A well-formed program is a nonempty list of commands where the head of the list is the "Start" command

type-synonym $prog = com\ list$

locale $Prog =$
fixes $prog :: prog$
assumes
 $wf\text{-}prog: prog \neq [] \wedge hd\ prog = Start$
begin

This is the program counter signifying the end of the program:

definition $endPC \equiv length\ prog$

And some sanity checks for a well formed program...

lemma *lenth-prog-gt-0*: $length\ prog > 0$
using *wf-prog* **by** *auto*

lemma *lenth-prog-not-0*: $length\ prog \neq 0$
using *wf-prog* **by** *auto*

lemma *endPC-gt-0*: $endPC > 0$
unfolding *endPC-def* **using** *lenth-prog-gt-0* **by** *blast*

lemma *endPC-not-0*: $endPC \neq 0$
unfolding *endPC-def* **using** *lenth-prog-not-0* **by** *blast*

lemma *hd-prog-Start*: $hd\ prog = Start$
using *wf-prog* **by** *auto*

lemma *prog-0*: $prog ! 0 = Start$
by (*metis hd-conv-nth wf-prog*)

2.2 Basic Semantics of Commands

The basic small step semantics of the language, parameterised by a fixed program. The semantics operate on input streams and memories which are consumed and updated while the program counter moves through the list of commands. This emulates standard (and expected) execution of the commands defined. Since no speculation is captured in this basic semantics, the Fence command the same as SKIP

inductive

stepB :: $config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow B$ 55)

where

Seq-Start-Skip-Fence:

$pc < endPC \Longrightarrow prog!pc \in \{Start, Skip, Fence\} \Longrightarrow$
 $(Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow B (Config\ (Suc\ pc)\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

|

Assign:

$pc < endPC \Longrightarrow prog!pc = (x ::= a) \Longrightarrow$
 $s = State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p \Longrightarrow$
 $(Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
 $\rightarrow B$
 $(Config\ (Suc\ pc)\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(x := aval\ a\ s))))\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

|

ArrAssign:

$pc < endPC \Longrightarrow prog!pc = (arr[index] ::= a) \Longrightarrow$
 $v = aval\ index\ s \Longrightarrow w = aval\ a\ s \Longrightarrow$
 $0 \leq v \Longrightarrow v < int\ (array-bound\ arr\ avst) \Longrightarrow$
 $l = array-loc\ arr\ (nat\ v)\ avst \Longrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& s = \text{State } vst \text{ avst } (\text{Heap } h) \text{ } p \\
& \implies \\
& (\text{Config } pc \text{ } s, \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& \rightarrow B \\
& (\text{Config } (\text{Suc } pc) (\text{State } vst \text{ avst } (\text{Heap } (h(l := w))) \text{ } p), \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& | \\
& \text{getTrustedInput:} \\
& pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} = \text{Input } T \text{ } x \implies \\
& (\text{Config } pc (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \text{ avst } h \text{ } p), \text{ } LCons \text{ } i \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& \rightarrow B \\
& (\text{Config } (\text{Suc } pc) (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } (vs(x := i))) \text{ avst } h \text{ } p), \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& | \\
& \text{getUntrustedInput:} \\
& pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} = \text{Input } U \text{ } x \implies \\
& (\text{Config } pc (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \text{ avst } h \text{ } p), \text{ } ibT, \text{ } LCons \text{ } i \text{ } ibUT) \\
& \rightarrow B \\
& (\text{Config } (\text{Suc } pc) (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } (vs(x := i))) \text{ avst } h \text{ } p), \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& | \\
& \text{Output:} \\
& pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} = \text{Output } t \text{ } aexp \implies \\
& (\text{Config } pc \text{ } s, \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& \rightarrow B \\
& (\text{Config } (\text{Suc } pc) \text{ } s, \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& | \\
& \text{Jump:} \\
& pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} = \text{Jump } pc1 \implies \\
& (\text{Config } pc \text{ } s, \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \rightarrow B (\text{Config } pc1 \text{ } s, \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& | \\
& \text{IfTrue:} \\
& pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} = \text{IfJump } b \text{ } pc1 \text{ } pc2 \implies \\
& \text{bval } b \text{ } s \implies \\
& (\text{Config } pc \text{ } s, \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \rightarrow B (\text{Config } pc1 \text{ } s, \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& | \\
& \text{IfFalse:} \\
& pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} = \text{IfJump } b \text{ } pc1 \text{ } pc2 \implies \\
& \neg \text{bval } b \text{ } s \implies \\
& (\text{Config } pc \text{ } s, \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \rightarrow B (\text{Config } pc2 \text{ } s, \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& | \\
& \text{MaskTrue:} \\
& pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} = (\text{M } x \text{ } I \text{ } b \text{ } T \text{ } a1 \text{ } E \text{ } a2) \implies \\
& \text{bval } b \text{ } s \implies \\
& s = \text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \text{ avst } h \text{ } p \implies \\
& (\text{Config } pc \text{ } s, \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& \rightarrow B \\
& (\text{Config } (\text{Suc } pc) (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } (vs(x := \text{aval } a1 \text{ } s))) \text{ avst } h \text{ } p), \text{ } ibT, \text{ } ibUT) \\
& | \\
& \text{MaskFalse:} \\
& pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} = (\text{M } x \text{ } I \text{ } b \text{ } T \text{ } a1 \text{ } E \text{ } a2) \implies \\
& \neg \text{bval } b \text{ } s \implies
\end{aligned}$$


```

s = State (Vstore vs) avst h p ==>
(Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)
->B
(Config (Suc pc) (State (Vstore (vs(x := aval a2 s)))) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)

```

lemmas *stepB-induct* = *stepB.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

abbreviation

```

stepsB :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒ bool (infix
->B* 55)
where x ->B* y == star stepB x y

```

declare *stepB.intros*[*simp,intro*]

2.3 State Transitions

Useful lemmas regarding valid transitions of the semantics along with conditions for termination (*finalB*)

definition *finalB* = *final stepB*

lemmas *finalB-defs* = *final-def finalB-def*

lemma *finalB-iff-avx*:

```

pc < endPC ∧
(∀ x i a. prog!pc = (x[i] ::= a) → aval i s ≥ 0 ∧
  aval i s < int (array-bound x (getAvstore s))) ∧
(∀ y. prog!pc = Input T y → ibT ≠ LNil) ∧
(∀ y. prog!pc = Input U y → ibUT ≠ LNil)
↔
(∃ cfg'. (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT) ->B cfg')

```

apply (*cases s*) **subgoal for** *vst avst h p*

apply(*cases vst*) **apply**(*cases h*) **subgoal for** *vs hh* **apply** *clarsimp*

apply (*cases prog!pc*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*)

subgoal for *t* **apply**(*cases t*)

subgoal by(*cases ibT, auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*)

subgoal by(*cases ibUT, auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*) .

subgoal for *t* **apply**(*cases t*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*) .

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepB.cases, blast*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepB.cases,meson IfFalse IfTrue*) . . .

lemma *finalB-iff*:
finalB (*Config pc s, ibT, ibUT*)
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(pc \geq \text{endPC} \vee$
 $(\exists x \ i \ a. \text{prog!pc} = (x[i] ::= a) \wedge$
 $(\neg \text{aval } i \ s \geq 0 \vee \neg \text{aval } i \ s < \text{int } (\text{array-bound } x \ (\text{getAvstore } s)))) \vee$
 $(\exists y. \text{prog!pc} = \text{Input } T \ y \wedge \text{ibT} = \text{LNil}) \vee$
 $(\exists y. \text{prog!pc} = \text{Input } U \ y \wedge \text{ibUT} = \text{LNil})$
using *finalB-iff-aux*[of *pc s ibT ibUT*] **unfolding** *finalB-def final-def*
using *verit-comp-simplify1* (3) **by** *blast*

lemma *stepB-determ*:
 $\text{cfg-ib} \rightarrow_B \text{cfg-ib}' \implies \text{cfg-ib} \rightarrow_B \text{cfg-ib}'' \implies \text{cfg-ib}'' = \text{cfg-ib}'$
apply(*induction arbitrary: cfg-ib'' rule: stepB.induct*)
by (*auto elim: stepB.cases*)

definition *nextB* :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist*
where
 $\text{nextB } \text{cfg-ib} \equiv \text{SOME } \text{cfg}'\text{-ib}' . \text{cfg-ib} \rightarrow_B \text{cfg}'\text{-ib}'$

lemma *nextB-stepB*: $\neg \text{finalB } \text{cfg-ib} \implies \text{cfg-ib} \rightarrow_B (\text{nextB } \text{cfg-ib})$
unfolding *nextB-def* **apply**(*rule someI-ex*)
unfolding *finalB-def final-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *stepB-nextB*: $\text{cfg-ib} \rightarrow_B \text{cfg}'\text{-ib}' \implies \text{cfg}'\text{-ib}' = \text{nextB } \text{cfg-ib}$
unfolding *nextB-def* **apply**(*rule sym*) **apply**(*rule some-equality*)
using *stepB-determ* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-iff-stepB*: $\neg \text{finalB } \text{cfg-ib} \implies \text{nextB } \text{cfg-ib} = \text{cfg}'\text{-ib}' \longleftrightarrow \text{cfg-ib} \rightarrow_B \text{cfg}'\text{-ib}'$
using *nextB-stepB stepB-nextB* **by** *blast*

lemma *stepB-iff-nextB*: $\text{cfg-ib} \rightarrow_B \text{cfg}'\text{-ib}' \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{finalB } \text{cfg-ib} \wedge \text{nextB } \text{cfg-ib} = \text{cfg}'\text{-ib}'$
by (*metis finalB-def final-def stepB-nextB*)

2.3.1 Simplification Rules

Sufficient conditions for a given command to "execute" transit to the next state

lemma *nextB-Start-Skip-Fence[simp]*:
 $pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} \in \{\text{Start}, \text{Skip}, \text{Fence}\} \implies$
 $\text{nextB } (\text{Config } pc \ s, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) = (\text{Config } (\text{Suc } pc) \ s, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT})$
by(*intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym] stepB.intros*)

lemma *nextB-Assign[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = (x ::= a) \implies$
 $s = State (Vstore vs) avst h p \implies$
 $nextB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)$
 $=$
 $(Config (Suc pc) (State (Vstore (vs(x := aval a s)))) avst h p),$
 $ibT, ibUT)$
by(intro *stepB-nextB[THEN sym]* *stepB.intros*)

lemma *nextB-ArrAssign[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = (arr[index] ::= a) \implies$
 $ls' = readLocs a vst avst (Heap h) \implies$
 $v = aval index s \implies w = aval a s \implies$
 $0 \leq v \implies v < int (array-bound arr avst) \implies$
 $l = array-loc arr (nat v) avst \implies$
 $s = State vst avst (Heap h) p$
 \implies
 $nextB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)$
 $=$
 $(Config (Suc pc) (State vst avst (Heap (h(l := w)))) p), ibT, ibUT)$
by(intro *stepB-nextB[THEN sym]* *stepB.intros*)

lemma *nextB-getTrustedInput[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = (Input T x) \implies$
 $nextB (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), LCons i ibT, ibUT)$
 $=$
 $(Config (Suc pc) (State (Vstore (vs(x := i)))) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)$
by(intro *stepB-nextB[THEN sym]* *stepB.intros*)

lemma *nextB-getUntrustedInput[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = (Input U x) \implies$
 $nextB (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, LCons i ibUT)$
 $=$
 $(Config (Suc pc) (State (Vstore (vs(x := i)))) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)$
by(intro *stepB-nextB[THEN sym]* *stepB.intros*)

lemma *nextB-getTrustedInput'[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = Input T x \implies$
 $ibT \neq LNil \implies$
 $nextB (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)$
 $=$
 $(Config (Suc pc) (State (Vstore (vs(x := lhd ibT)))) avst h p), ltl ibT, ibUT)$
by(cases *ibT*, *auto*)

lemma *nextB-getUntrustedInput'[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = Input U x \implies$
 $ibUT \neq LNil \implies$
 $nextB (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)$
 $=$

(*Config (Suc pc) (State (Vstore (vs(x := lhd ibUT))) avst h p), ibT, ltl ibUT*)
by(*cases ibUT, auto*)

lemma *nextB-Output[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = Output\ t\ aexp \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
 $=$
 $(Config\ (Suc\ pc)\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(*intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym] stepB.intros*)

lemma *nextB-Jump[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = Jump\ pc1 \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ pc1\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(*intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym] stepB.intros, simp-all+*)

lemma *nextB-IfTrue[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \implies$
 $bval\ b\ s \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ pc1\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(*intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym] stepB.intros*)

lemma *nextB-IfFalse[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \implies$
 $\neg\ bval\ b\ s \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ pc2\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(*intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym] stepB.intros*)

lemma *nextB-MaskTrue[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = (M\ x\ I\ b\ T\ a1\ E\ a2) \implies$
 $bval\ b\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p) \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ (Suc\ pc)\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(x := aval\ a1\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p))))\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(*intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym]*)
using *MaskTrue by simp*

lemma *nextB-MaskFalse[simp]*:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = (M\ x\ I\ b\ T\ a1\ E\ a2) \implies$
 $\neg\ bval\ b\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p) \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ (Suc\ pc)\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(x := aval\ a2\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p))))\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(*intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym]*)
using *MaskFalse by simp*

lemma *finalB-endPC*: $pcOf\ cfg = endPC \implies finalB\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$

by (*metis finalB-iff config.collapse le-eq-less-or-eq*)

lemma *stepB-endPC*: $pcOf\ cf g = endPC \implies \neg (cf g, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cf g', ibT', ibUT')$
 by (*simp add: stepB-iff-nextB finalB-endPC*)

lemma *stepB-imp-le-endPC*: **assumes** $(cf g, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cf g', ibT', ibUT')$
shows $pcOf\ cf g < endPC$
using *assms* **by**(*cases rule: stepB.cases, simp-all*)

lemma *stepB-0*: $(Config\ 0\ s, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (Config\ 1\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
using *prog-0* **by** (*simp add: endPC-gt-0*)

2.3.2 Elimination Rules

In the unwinding proofs of relative security it is often the case that two traces will progress in lockstep, when doing so we wish to preserve/update invariants of the current state. The following are some useful elimination rules to help simplify reasoning

lemma *stepB-Seq-Start-Skip-FenceE*:
assumes $\langle (cf g, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cf g', ibT', ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cf g = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle cf g' = (Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc \in \{Start, Skip, Fence\} \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = vs \wedge ibT = ibT' \wedge ibUT = ibUT' \wedge$
 $pc' = Suc\ pc \wedge avst' = avst \wedge h' = h \wedge$
 $p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases (cf g, ibT, ibUT) (cf g', ibT', ibUT')* *rule: stepB.cases*)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-AssignE*:
assumes $\langle (cf g, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cf g', ibT', ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cf g = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle cf g' = (Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc = (x ::= a) \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = (vs(x := aval\ a\ (stateOf\ cf g))) \wedge$
 $ibT = ibT' \wedge ibUT = ibUT' \wedge pc' = Suc\ pc \wedge$
 $avst' = avst \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases (cf g, ibT, ibUT) (cf g', ibT', ibUT')* *rule: stepB.cases*)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-getTrustedInputE*:
assumes $\langle (cf g, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cf g', ibT', ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cf g = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle cf g' = (Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc = Input\ T\ x \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = (vs(x := lhd\ ibT)) \wedge$

$$ibT' = ltl\ ibT \wedge ibUT = ibUT' \wedge pc' = Suc\ pc \wedge$$

$$avst' = avst \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p$$
using *assms* **apply** (cases (cfg, ibT, ibUT) (cfg', ibT',ibUT') rule: stepB.cases)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-getUntrustedInputE*:

assumes $\langle (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cfg', ibT',ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cfg = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg' = (Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc = Input\ U\ x \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = (vs(x := lhd\ ibUT)) \wedge$
 $ibT' = ibT \wedge ibUT' = ltl\ ibUT \wedge pc' = Suc\ pc \wedge$
 $avst' = avst \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (cases (cfg, ibT, ibUT) (cfg', ibT',ibUT') rule: stepB.cases)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-OutputE*:

assumes $\langle (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cfg', ibT',ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cfg = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg' = (Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc = Output\ t\ aexp \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = vs \wedge ibT' = ibT \wedge ibUT' = ibUT \wedge$
 $pc' = Suc\ pc \wedge avst' = avst \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (cases (cfg, ibT, ibUT) (cfg', ibT',ibUT') rule: stepB.cases)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-JumpE*:

assumes $\langle (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cfg', ibT',ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cfg = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg' = (Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc = Jump\ pc1 \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = vs \wedge ibT' = ibT \wedge ibUT' = ibUT \wedge$
 $pc' = pc1 \wedge avst' = avst \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (cases (cfg, ibT, ibUT) (cfg', ibT',ibUT') rule: stepB.cases)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-IfTrueE*:

assumes $\langle (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cfg', ibT',ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cfg = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg' = (Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \rangle$ **and** $\langle bval\ b\ (stateOf\ cfg) \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = vs \wedge ibT' = ibT \wedge ibUT' = ibUT \wedge$
 $pc' = pc1 \wedge avst' = avst \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (cases (cfg, ibT, ibUT) (cfg', ibT',ibUT') rule: stepB.cases)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-IfFalseE*:

assumes $\langle (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cfg', ibT',ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cfg = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$

```

    and ⟨cfg' = (Config pc' (State (Vstore vs') avst' h' p'))⟩
    and ⟨prog!pc = IfJump b pc1 pc2⟩ and ⟨¬bval b (stateOf cfg)⟩
  shows ⟨vs' = vs ∧ ibT' = ibT ∧ ibUT' = ibUT ∧
        pc' = pc2 ∧ avst' = avst ∧ h' = h ∧ p' = p⟩
  using assms apply (cases (cfg, ibT, ibUT) (cfg', ibT', ibUT') rule: stepB.cases)
  by auto

```

end

2.4 Read locations

For modeling Spectre-like vulnerabilities, we record memory reads (as in [1]), i.e., accessed for reading during the execution. We let $\text{readLocs}(\text{pc}, \text{u})$ be the (possibly empty) set of locations that are read when executing the current command c - computed from all sub-expressions of the form $a[e]$. i.e. array reads. For example, if c is the assignment $"x = a [b[3]]"$, then readLocs returns two locations: counting from 0, the 3rd location of b and the $b[3]$ 'th location of a .

```

fun readLocsA :: aexp ⇒ state ⇒ loc set and
readLocsB :: bexp ⇒ state ⇒ loc set where
readLocsA (N n) s = {}
|
readLocsA (V x) s = {}
|
readLocsA (VA arr index) s =
  insert (array-loc arr (nat (aval index s)) (getAvstore s))
    (readLocsA index s)
|
readLocsA (Plus a1 a2) s = readLocsA a1 s ∪ readLocsA a2 s
|
readLocsA (Times a1 a2) s = readLocsA a1 s ∪ readLocsA a2 s
|
readLocsA (Ite b a1 a2) s = readLocsB b s ∪ readLocsA a1 s ∪ readLocsA a2 s
|
readLocsA (Fun a b) s = {}
|
readLocsB (Bc c) s = {}
|
readLocsB (Not b) s = readLocsB b s
|
readLocsB (And b1 b2) s = readLocsB b1 s ∪ readLocsB b2 s
|
readLocsB (Less a1 a2) s = readLocsA a1 s ∪ readLocsA a2 s

fun readLocsC :: com ⇒ state ⇒ loc set where
readLocsC (x ::= a) s = readLocsA a s
|

```

```

readLocsC (arr[index] ::= a) s = readLocsA a s
|
readLocsC (Output t a) s = readLocsA a s
|
readLocsC (IfJump b n1 n2) s = readLocsB b s
|
readLocsC (M x I b T a1 E a2) s = readLocsB b s ∪ (if (bval b s) then readLocsA
a1 s
                                     else readLocsA a2 s)
|
readLocsC - - = {}

```

```

context Prog
begin

```

```

definition readLocs cfg ≡ readLocsC (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg)

```

```

end

```

```

end

```

3 Normal Semantics

This theory augments the basic semantics to include a set of read locations which is a simple representation of a cache

The normal semantics is defined by a single rule which involves the basic semantics, extended to accumulate the read locations, which accounts for cache side-channels

```

theory Step-Normal
imports Step-Basic
begin

```

```

context Prog
begin

```

```

fun stepN :: config × val llist × val llist × loc set ⇒ config × val llist × val llist
× loc set ⇒ bool (infix →N 55)

```

```

where

```

```

(cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) →N (cfg', ibT', ibUT', ls') =
((cfg, ibT, ibUT) →B (cfg', ibT', ibUT')) ∧ ls' = ls ∪ readLocs cfg)

```

```

abbreviation

```

```

stepsN :: config × val llist × val llist × loc set ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ×
loc set ⇒ bool (infix →N* 55)

```


where $x \rightarrow N^* y \iff \text{star stepN } x \ y$

definition $\text{finalN} = \text{final stepN}$
lemmas $\text{finalN-defs} = \text{final-def finalN-def}$

lemma $\text{finalN-iff-finalB}[\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{finalN } (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) \longleftrightarrow \text{finalB } (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$
unfolding $\text{finalN-def finalB-def final-def}$ **by** *auto*

3.1 State Transitions

fun $\text{nextN} :: \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{loc set} \Rightarrow \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{loc set}$ **where**
 $\text{nextN } (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) = (\text{case nextB } (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \text{ of } (cfg', ibT', ibUT') \Rightarrow (cfg', ibT', ibUT', ls \cup \text{readLocs } cfg))$

lemma $\text{nextN-stepN}: \neg \text{finalN } cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls \implies cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls \rightarrow N (\text{nextN } cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls)$
apply $(\text{cases } cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls)$
using $\text{Prog.stepB-nextB Prog-axioms finalN-def final-def nextN.simps old.prod.case stepN.elims}(2)$
by *force*

lemma $\text{stepN-nextN}: cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls \rightarrow N cfg'\text{-}ib'\text{-}ls' \implies cfg'\text{-}ib'\text{-}ls' = \text{nextN } cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls$
apply $(\text{cases } cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls)$ **apply** $(\text{cases } cfg'\text{-}ib'\text{-}ls')$
using $\text{Prog.stepB-nextB Prog-axioms}$ **by** *auto*

lemma nextN-iff-stepN :
 $\neg \text{finalN } cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls \implies \text{nextN } cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls = cfg'\text{-}ib'\text{-}ls' \longleftrightarrow cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls \rightarrow N cfg'\text{-}ib'\text{-}ls'$
using $\text{nextN-stepN stepN-nextN}$ **by** *blast*

lemma $\text{stepN-iff-nextN}: cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls \rightarrow N cfg'\text{-}ib'\text{-}ls' \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{finalN } cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls \wedge \text{nextN } cfg\text{-}ib\text{-}ls = cfg'\text{-}ib'\text{-}ls'$
by $(\text{metis finalN-def final-def stepN-nextN})$

lemma $\text{finalN-endPC}: \text{pcOf } cfg = \text{endPC} \implies \text{finalN } (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$
by $(\text{metis finalN-iff-finalB finalB-endPC old.prod.exhaust})$

lemma $\text{stepN-endPC}: \text{pcOf } cfg = \text{endPC} \implies \neg (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow N (cfg', ibT', ibUT')$
by $(\text{simp add: finalN-endPC stepN-iff-nextN})$

lemma $\text{stebN-0}: (\text{Config } 0 \ s, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow N (\text{Config } 1 \ s, ibT, ibUT, ls)$
using $\text{prog-0 One-nat-def stebB-0}$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: readLocs-def})$

lemma *finalB-eq-finalN:finalB* ($cfg, ibT, ibUT$) \longleftrightarrow ($\forall ls. finalN (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls)$)

unfolding *finalN-defs finalB-def*
apply *standard by auto*

3.2 Elimination Rules

lemma *stepN-Assign2E*:

assumes $\langle cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1 \rangle \rightarrow N \langle cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1', ls1' \rangle$
and $\langle cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2 \rangle \rightarrow N \langle cfg2', ibT2', ibUT2', ls2' \rangle$
and $\langle cfg1 = (Config\ pc1\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs1)\ avst1\ h1\ p1)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg1' = (Config\ pc1'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs1')\ avst1'\ h1'\ p1')) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg2 = (Config\ pc2\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs2)\ avst2\ h2\ p2)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg2' = (Config\ pc2'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs2')\ avst2'\ h2'\ p2')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc1 = (x ::= a) \rangle$ **and** $\langle pcOf\ cfg1 = pcOf\ cfg2 \rangle$
shows $\langle vs1' = (vs1(x := aval\ a\ (stateOf\ cfg1))) \rangle \wedge ibT1 = ibT1' \wedge ibUT1 = ibUT1' \wedge$
 $vs2' = (vs2(x := aval\ a\ (stateOf\ cfg2))) \wedge ibT2 = ibT2' \wedge ibUT2 = ibUT2' \wedge$
 $pc1' = Suc\ pc1 \wedge pc2' = Suc\ pc2 \wedge ls2' = ls2 \cup readLocs\ cfg2 \wedge$
 $avst1' = avst1 \wedge avst2' = avst2 \wedge ls1' = ls1 \cup readLocs\ cfg1 \rangle$
using *assms apply clarsimp*
apply (*drule stepB-AssignE[of - - - - - pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1 pc1' vs1' avst1' h1' p1' x a], clarify+*)
apply (*drule stepB-AssignE[of - - - - - pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2 pc2' vs2' avst2' h2' p2' x a], clarify+*)
by *auto*

lemma *stepN-Seq-Start-Skip-Fence2E*:

assumes $\langle cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1 \rangle \rightarrow N \langle cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1', ls1' \rangle$
and $\langle cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2 \rangle \rightarrow N \langle cfg2', ibT2', ibUT2', ls2' \rangle$
and $\langle cfg1 = (Config\ pc1\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs1)\ avst1\ h1\ p1)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg1' = (Config\ pc1'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs1')\ avst1'\ h1'\ p1')) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg2 = (Config\ pc2\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs2)\ avst2\ h2\ p2)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg2' = (Config\ pc2'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs2')\ avst2'\ h2'\ p2')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc1 \in \{Start, Skip, Fence\} \rangle$ **and** $\langle pcOf\ cfg1 = pcOf\ cfg2 \rangle$
shows $\langle vs1' = vs1 \wedge vs2' = vs2 \wedge$
 $pc1' = Suc\ pc1 \wedge pc2' = Suc\ pc2 \wedge$
 $avst1' = avst1 \wedge avst2' = avst2 \wedge$
 $ls2' = ls2 \wedge ls1' = ls1 \rangle$
using *assms apply clarsimp*
apply (*drule stepB-Seq-Start-Skip-FenceE[of - - - - - pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1 pc1' vs1' avst1' h1' p1'], clarify+*)
apply (*drule stepB-Seq-Start-Skip-FenceE[of - - - - - pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2 pc2' vs2' avst2' h2' p2'], clarify+*)
by (*auto simp add: readLocs-def*)

end

end

4 Misprediction and Speculative Semantics

This theory formalizes an optimized speculative semantics, which allows for a characterization of the Spectre vulnerability, this work is inspired and based off the speculative semantics introduced by Cheang et al. [1]

```
theory Step-Spec
imports Step-Basic
begin
```

4.1 Misprediction Oracle

The speculative semantics is parameterised by a misprediction oracle. This consists of a predictor state:

```
typedecl predState
```

Along with predicates "mispred" (which decides when a misprediction occurs), "resolve" (which decides for when a speculation is resolved)

Both depend on the predictor state (which evolves via the update function) and the program counters of nested speculation

```
locale Prog-Mispred =
  Prog prog
for prog :: com list
  +
fixes mispred :: predState  $\Rightarrow$  pcounter list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and resolve :: predState  $\Rightarrow$  pcounter list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and update :: predState  $\Rightarrow$  pcounter list  $\Rightarrow$  predState
begin
```

4.2 Mispredicting Step

stepM simply goes the other way than stepB at branches

```
inductive
stepM :: config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\Rightarrow$  config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix
 $\rightarrow M$  55)
where
  IfTrue[intro]:
  pc < endPC  $\Longrightarrow$  prog!pc = IfJump b pc1 pc2  $\Longrightarrow$ 
    bval b s  $\Longrightarrow$ 
    (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)  $\rightarrow M$  (Config pc2 s, ibT, ibUT)
  |
  IfFalse[intro]:
```

$pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \implies$
 $\neg bval\ b\ s \implies$
 $(Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow M (Config\ pc1\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

4.2.1 State Transitions

definition $finalM = final\ stepM$

lemma $finalM\text{-iff}\text{-aux}$:

$pc < endPC \wedge is\text{-IfJump}\ (prog!pc)$

\longleftrightarrow

$(\exists\ cfg'. (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow M\ cfg')$

apply $(cases\ s)$ **subgoal for** $vst\ avst\ h\ p$ **apply** $clarsimp$

apply $(cases\ prog!pc)$

subgoal by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases)$

subgoal by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases)$

subgoal by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases)$

subgoal by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases)$

subgoal by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases)$

subgoal by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases)$

subgoal by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases)$

subgoal by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases)$

subgoal by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases)$

subgoal by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases, meson\ IfFalse\ IfTrue) \dots$

lemma $finalM\text{-iff}$:

$finalM\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ vst\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

\longleftrightarrow

$(pc \geq endPC \vee \neg is\text{-IfJump}\ (prog!pc))$

using $finalM\text{-iff}\text{-aux}$ **unfolding** $finalM\text{-def}\ final\text{-def}$

by $(metis\ linorder\text{-not}\text{-less})$

lemma $finalB\text{-imp}\text{-finalM}$:

$finalB\ (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \implies finalM\ (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

apply $(cases\ cfg)$ **subgoal for** $pc\ s$ **apply** $(cases\ s)$

subgoal for $vst\ avst\ h\ p$ **apply** $clarsimp$ **unfolding** $finalB\text{-iff}\ finalM\text{-iff}$ **by** $auto$

\dots

lemma $not\text{-finalM}\text{-imp}\text{-not}\text{-finalB}$:

$\neg finalM\ (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \implies \neg finalB\ (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

using $finalB\text{-imp}\text{-finalM}$ **by** $blast$

lemma $stepM\text{-determ}$:

$cfg\text{-ib} \rightarrow M\ cfg\text{-ib}' \implies cfg\text{-ib} \rightarrow M\ cfg\text{-ib}'' \implies cfg\text{-ib}'' = cfg\text{-ib}'$

apply $(induction\ arbitrary:\ cfg\text{-ib}''\ rule:\ stepM.induct)$

by $(auto\ elim:\ stepM.cases)$

definition $nextM :: config \times val\ list \times val\ list \Rightarrow config \times val\ list \times val\ list$
where

$nextM\ cfg\text{-}ib \equiv SOME\ cfg'\text{-}ib'.\ cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M\ cfg'\text{-}ib'$

lemma $nextM\text{-}stepM: \neg\ finalM\ cfg\text{-}ib \Longrightarrow\ cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M\ (nextM\ cfg\text{-}ib)$

unfolding $nextM\text{-}def$ **apply**($rule\ someI\text{-}ex$)

unfolding $finalM\text{-}def\ final\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $stepM\text{-}nextM: cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M\ cfg'\text{-}ib' \Longrightarrow\ cfg'\text{-}ib' = nextM\ cfg\text{-}ib$

unfolding $nextM\text{-}def$ **apply**($rule\ sym$) **apply**($rule\ some\text{-}equality$)

using $stepM\text{-}determ$ **by** $auto$

lemma $nextM\text{-}iff\text{-}stepM: \neg\ finalM\ cfg\text{-}ib \Longrightarrow\ nextM\ cfg\text{-}ib = cfg'\text{-}ib' \iff cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M\ cfg'\text{-}ib'$

using $nextM\text{-}stepM\ stepM\text{-}nextM$ **by** $blast$

lemma $stepM\text{-}iff\text{-}nextM: cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M\ cfg'\text{-}ib' \iff \neg\ finalM\ cfg\text{-}ib \wedge nextM\ cfg\text{-}ib = cfg'\text{-}ib'$

by ($metis\ finalM\text{-}def\ final\text{-}def\ stepM\text{-}nextM$)

lemma $nextM\text{-}IfTrue[simp]:$

$pc < endPC \Longrightarrow prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \Longrightarrow$

$\neg\ bval\ b\ s \Longrightarrow$

$nextM\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ pc1\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

by($intro\ stepM\text{-}nextM[THEN\ sym]\ stepM.intros$)

lemma $nextM\text{-}IfFalse[simp]:$

$pc < endPC \Longrightarrow prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \Longrightarrow$

$bval\ b\ s \Longrightarrow$

$nextM\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ pc2\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

by($intro\ stepM\text{-}nextM[THEN\ sym]\ stepM.intros$)

end

4.3 Speculative Semantics

A "speculative" configuration is a quadruple consisting of:

- The predictor's state
- The nonspeculative configuration (at level 0 so to speak)
- The list of speculative configurations (modelling nested speculation, levels 1 to n, from left to right: so the last in this list is at the current speculaton level, n)
- The list of inputs in the input buffer

We think of cfigs as a stack of configurations, one for each speculation level in a nested speculative execution. At level 0 (empty list) we have the configuration for normal, non-speculative execution. At each moment, only the top of the configuration stack, "hd cfigs" is active.

type-synonym $configS = predState \times config \times config\ list \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \times loc\ set$

context *Prog-Mispred*
begin

The speculative semantics is more involved than both the normal and basic semantics, so a short description of each rule is provided:

- **Non_spec_normal**: when we are either not mispredicting or not at a branch and there is no current speculation, i.e. normal execution
- **Nonspec_mispred**: when we are mispredicting and at a branch, speculation occurs down the wrong branch, i.e. branch misprediction
- **Spec_normal**: when we are either not mispredicting or not at a branch BUT there is speculation, i.e. standard speculative execution
- **Spec_mispred**: when we are mispredicting and at a branch, AND also speculating... speculation occurs down the wrong branch, and we go to another speculation level i.e. nested speculative execution
- **Spec_Fence**: when there is current speculation and a Fence is hit, all speculation resolves
- **Spec Resolve**: If the resolve predicate is true, resolution occurs for one speculation level. In contrast to Fences, resolve does not necessarily kill all speculation levels, but allows resolution one level at a time

inductive

$stepS :: configS \Rightarrow configS \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow S$ 55)

where

nonspec-normal:

$cfigs = [] \Rightarrow$

$\neg isIfJump (prog!(pcOf\ cfig)) \vee \neg mispred\ pstate\ [pcOf\ cfig] \Rightarrow$

$pstate' = pstate \Rightarrow$

$\neg finalB (cfig, ibT, ibUT) \Rightarrow (cfig', ibT', ibUT') = nextB (cfig, ibT, ibUT) \Rightarrow$

$cfigs' = [] \Rightarrow$

$ls' = ls \cup readLocs\ cfig$

\Rightarrow

$(pstate, cfig, cfigs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow S (pstate', cfig', cfigs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$

|

nonspec-mispred:

$cfigs = [] \Rightarrow$

$is\text{-IfJump} (prog!(pcOf\ cfg)) \implies mispred\ pstate\ [pcOf\ cfg] \implies$
 $pstate' = update\ pstate\ [pcOf\ cfg] \implies$
 $\neg finalM (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \implies (cfg', ibT', ibUT') = nextB (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \implies$
 $(cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') = nextM (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \implies$
 $cfgs' = [cfg1'] \implies$
 $ls' = ls \cup readLocs\ cfg$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
|
spec-normal:
 $cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\neg resolve\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $\neg is\text{-IfJump} (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs))) \vee \neg mispred\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs)) \neq Fence \implies$
 $pstate' = pstate \implies$
 $\neg is\text{-getInput} (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs))) \implies$
 $\neg is\text{-Output} (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs))) \implies$
 $\neg finalB (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \implies (cfg1', ibT', ibUT') = nextB (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \implies$
 $cfg' = cfg \implies cfgs' = butlast\ cfgs @ [cfg1'] \implies$
 $ls' = ls \cup readLocs (last\ cfgs)$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
|
spec-mispred:
 $cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\neg resolve\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $is\text{-IfJump} (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs))) \implies mispred\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 \implies
 $pstate' = update\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $\neg finalM (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \implies$
 $(lcfg', ibT', ibUT') = nextB (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \implies (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') = nextM (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \implies$
 $cfg' = cfg \implies cfgs' = butlast\ cfgs @ [lcfg'] @ [cfg1'] \implies$
 $ls' = ls \cup readLocs (last\ cfgs)$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
|
spec-Fence:
 $cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\neg resolve\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs)) = Fence \implies$
 $pstate' = pstate \implies cfg' = cfg \implies cfgs' = [] \implies$
 $ibT = ibT' \implies ibUT = ibUT' \implies ls' = ls$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
|
spec-resolve:

$cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $resolve\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $pstate' = update\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $cfg' = cfg \implies cfgs' = butlast\ cfgs \implies$
 $ibT = ibT' \implies ibUT = ibUT' \implies ls' = ls$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$

lemmas $stepS-induct = stepS.induct[split-format(complete)]$

4.3.1 State Transitions

lemma $stepS-nonspec-normal-iff[simp]$:

$cfgs = [] \implies \neg is-IfJump\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg)) \vee \neg mispred\ pstate\ [pcOf\ cfg]$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \iff
 $(pstate' = pstate \wedge \neg finalB\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $(cfg', ibT', ibUT') = nextB\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $cfgs' = [] \wedge ls' = ls \cup readLocs\ cfg)$

apply($subst\ stepS.simps$) **by** $auto$

lemma $stepS-nonspec-normal-iff1[simp]$:

$cfgs = [] \implies \neg is-IfJump\ (prog!pc) \vee \neg mispred\ pstate\ [pc]$
 \implies
 $(pstate, (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)), cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate',$
 $(Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')), cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \iff
 $(pstate' = pstate \wedge \neg finalB\ ((Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)), ibT, ibUT)$
 \wedge
 $((Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')), ibT', ibUT') = nextB\ ((Config\ pc$
 $(State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)), ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $cfgs' = [] \wedge ls' = ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)))$
using $stepS-nonspec-normal-iff\ config.sel(1)$ **by** $presburger$

lemma $stepS-nonspec-mispred-iff[simp]$:

$cfgs = [] \implies is-IfJump\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg)) \implies mispred\ pstate\ [pcOf\ cfg]$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \iff
 $(\exists\ cfg1'\ ibT1'\ ibUT1'.\ pstate' = update\ pstate\ [pcOf\ cfg] \wedge$
 $\neg finalM\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \wedge (cfg', ibT', ibUT') = nextB\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $(cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') = nextM\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $cfgs' = [cfg1\ \hat{]} \wedge ls' = ls \cup readLocs\ cfg)$

apply($subst\ stepS.simps$) **by** $auto$

lemma $stepS-spec-normal-iff[simp]$:

$cfgs \neq [] \implies$

$\neg \text{resolve } pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# \text{map } pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $\neg \text{is-IfJump } (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs))) \vee \neg \text{mispred } pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# \text{map } pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs)) \neq Fence$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists cfg1'. pstate' = pstate \wedge$
 $\quad \neg \text{is-getInput } (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs))) \wedge$
 $\quad \neg \text{is-getInput } (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs))) \wedge \neg \text{is-Output } (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs))))$
 \wedge
 $\quad \neg \text{finalB } (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \wedge (cfg1', ibT', ibUT') = \text{nextB } (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $\quad cfg' = cfg \wedge cfgs' = \text{butlast } cfgs @ [cfg1'] \wedge ls' = ls \cup \text{readLocs } (last\ cfgs)$
apply(subst stepS.simps) **by auto**

lemma *stepS-spec-mispred-iff[simp]*:

$cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\neg \text{resolve } pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# \text{map } pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $\text{is-IfJump } (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs))) \implies \text{mispred } pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# \text{map } pcOf\ cfgs)$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists cfg1' ibT1' ibUT1' lcfg'. pstate' = \text{update } pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# \text{map } pcOf\ cfgs) \wedge$
 $\quad \neg \text{finalM } (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $\quad (lcfg', ibT', ibUT') = \text{nextB } (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $\quad (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') = \text{nextM } (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $\quad cfg' = cfg \wedge cfgs' = \text{butlast } cfgs @ [lcfg'] @ [cfg1'] \wedge ls' = ls \cup \text{readLocs } (last\ cfgs))$
apply(subst stepS.simps) **by auto**

lemma *stepS-spec-Fence-iff[simp]*:

$cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\neg \text{resolve } pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# \text{map } pcOf\ cfgs) \implies$
 $prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs)) = Fence$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(pstate' = pstate \wedge cfg = cfg' \wedge cfgs' = [] \wedge ibT' = ibT \wedge ibUT' = ibUT \wedge ls' = ls)$
apply(subst stepS.simps) **by auto**

lemma *stepS-spec-resolve-iff[simp]*:

$cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\text{resolve } pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# \text{map } pcOf\ cfgs)$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \longleftrightarrow

$(pstate' = \text{update } pstate \text{ (pcOf } cfg \# \text{ map pcOf } cfgs) \wedge$
 $cfg' = cfg \wedge cfgs' = \text{butlast } cfgs \wedge ibT' = ibT \wedge ibUT' = ibUT \wedge ls' = ls)$
apply(subst stepS.simps) **by auto**

lemma stepS-cases[cases pred: stepS,

consumes 1,

case-names nonspec-normal nonspec-mispred

spec-normal spec-mispred spec-Fence spec-resolve]:

assumes (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) $\rightarrow S$ (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')

obtains

$cfgs = []$
 $\neg \text{is-IfJump (prog!(pcOf } cfg)) \vee \neg \text{mispred } pstate \text{ [pcOf } cfg]$
 $pstate' = pstate$
 $\neg \text{finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT)}$
 $(cfg', ibT', ibUT') = \text{nextB (cfg, ibT, ibUT)}$
 $cfgs' = []$
 $ls' = ls \cup \text{readLocs } cfg$

$cfgs = []$
 $\text{is-IfJump (prog!(pcOf } cfg)) \text{ mispred } pstate \text{ [pcOf } cfg]$
 $pstate' = \text{update } pstate \text{ [pcOf } cfg]$
 $\neg \text{finalM (cfg, ibT, ibUT)}$
 $(cfg', ibT', ibUT') = \text{nextB (cfg, ibT, ibUT)}$
 $\exists cfg1' \text{ ibT1' ibUT1'}. (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') = \text{nextM (cfg, ibT, ibUT)}$
 $\wedge cfgs' = [cfg1']$
 $ls' = ls \cup \text{readLocs } cfg$

$cfgs \neq []$
 $\neg \text{resolve } pstate \text{ (pcOf } cfg \# \text{ map pcOf } cfgs)$
 $\neg \text{is-IfJump (prog!(pcOf (last } cfgs))) \vee \neg \text{mispred } pstate \text{ (pcOf } cfg \# \text{ map pcOf } cfgs)$
 $\text{prog!(pcOf (last } cfgs)) \neq \text{Fence}$
 $pstate' = pstate$
 $\neg \text{is-getInput (prog!(pcOf (last } cfgs)))$
 $\neg \text{is-Output (prog!(pcOf (last } cfgs)))$
 $cfg' = cfg$
 $ls' = ls \cup \text{readLocs (last } cfgs)$
 $\exists cfg1'. \text{nextB (last } cfgs, ibT, ibUT) = (cfg1', ibT', ibUT')$
 $\wedge cfgs' = \text{butlast } cfgs \text{ @ [cfg1']}$

$cfgs \neq []$
 $\neg \text{resolve } pstate \text{ (pcOf } cfg \# \text{ map pcOf } cfgs)$

$is\text{-}IfJump (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs)))\ mispred\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $pstate' = update\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $\neg\ finalM\ (last\ cfgs,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
 $cfg' = cfg$
 $\exists\ lcfg'\ cfg1'\ ibT1'\ ibUT1'.$
 $nextB\ (last\ cfgs,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (lcfg',ibT',ibUT') \wedge$
 $(cfg1',\ ibT1',\ ibUT1') = nextM\ (last\ cfgs,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \wedge$
 $cfgs' = butlast\ cfgs\ @\ [lcfg']\ @\ [cfg1']$
 $ls' = ls \cup readLocs\ (last\ cfgs)$

|

$cfgs \neq []$
 $\neg\ resolve\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs)) = Fence$
 $pstate' = pstate$
 $cfg' = cfg$
 $cfgs' = []$
 $ibT' = ibT$
 $ibUT' = ibUT$
 $ls' = ls$

|

$cfgs \neq []$
 $resolve\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $pstate' = update\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $cfg' = cfg$
 $cfgs' = butlast\ cfgs$
 $ls' = ls$
 $ibT' = ibT$
 $ibUT' = ibUT$
using *assms* **by** (*cases rule: stepS.cases,metis+*)

lemma *stepS-endPC*: $pcOf\ cfg = endPC \implies \neg (pstate,\ cfg,\ [],\ ibT,\ ibUT,\ ls) \rightarrow S\ ss'$

apply (*cases ss'*)
apply *safe* **apply** (*cases rule: stepS-cases, auto*)
using *finalB-endPC* **apply** *blast*
using *finalB-endPC* **apply** *blast*
using *finalB-endPC* *finalB-imp-finalM* **by** *blast*

abbreviation

$stepsS :: configS \Rightarrow configS \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow S^* 55$)
where $x \rightarrow S^* y \equiv star\ stepS\ x\ y$

definition *finalS* = *final stepS*

lemmas *finalS-defs* = *final-def finalS-def*

lemma *stepS-0*: $(pstate,\ Config\ 0\ s,\ [],\ ibT,\ ibUT,\ ls) \rightarrow S\ (pstate,\ Config\ 1\ s,\ [],\ ibT,\ ibUT,\ ls)$

using *prog-0 apply-apply*(*rule nonspec-normal*)
using *One-nat-def stebB-0 stepB-nextB*
by (*auto simp: readLocs-def finalB-def final-def, meson*)

lemma *stepS-imp-stepB*:(*pstate, cfg, [], ibT,ibUT, ls*) $\rightarrow S$ (*pstate', cfg', cfs', ibT',ibUT', ls'*) \implies (*cfg, ibT,ibUT*) $\rightarrow B$ (*cfg', ibT',ibUT'*)

subgoal premises *s*

using *s apply* (*cases rule: stepS-cases*)

by (*metis finalB-imp-finalM stepB-iff-nextB*) $+$.

4.3.2 Elimination Rules

lemma *stepS-Assign2E*:

assumes $\langle (ps3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3,ibUT3, ls3) \rightarrow S (ps3', cfg3', cfs3', ibT3',ibUT3', ls3') \rangle$

and $\langle (ps4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4,ibUT4, ls4) \rightarrow S (ps4', cfg4', cfs4', ibT4',ibUT4', ls4') \rangle$

and $\langle cfg3 = (Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg3' = (Config\ pc3'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3')\ avst3'\ h3'\ p3')) \rangle$

and $\langle cfg4 = (Config\ pc4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg4' = (Config\ pc4'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4')\ avst4'\ h4'\ p4')) \rangle$

and $\langle cfs3 = [] \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfs4 = [] \rangle$

and $\langle prog!pc3 = (x ::= a) \rangle$ **and** $\langle pcOf\ cfg3 = pcOf\ cfg4 \rangle$

shows $\langle cfs3' = [] \wedge cfs4' = [] \wedge$

$vs3' = (vs3(x := aval\ a\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$

$vs4' = (vs4(x := aval\ a\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$

$pc3' = Suc\ pc3 \wedge pc4' = Suc\ pc4 \wedge ls4' = ls4 \cup readLocs\ cfg4 \wedge$

$avst3' = avst3 \wedge avst4' = avst4 \wedge ls3' = ls3 \cup readLocs\ cfg3 \wedge$

$p3 = p3' \wedge p4 = p4' \rangle$

using *assms apply clarify*

apply-apply(*frule stepS-imp-stepB[of ps3]*)

apply(*frule stepS-imp-stepB[of ps4]*)

apply (*drule stepB-AssignE[of - - - - - pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 pc3' vs3' avst3' h3' p3' x a], clarify+*)

apply (*drule stepB-AssignE[of - - - - - pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 pc4' vs4' avst4' h4' p4'], clarify+*)

by *fastforce+*

end

end

5 Relative Security instantiation - Common Aspects

This theory sets up a generic instantiation infrastructure for all our running examples. For a detailed explanation of each example and it's (dis)proof of

Relative Security see the work by Dongol et al. [2]

```
theory Instance-Common
imports ../IMP/Step-Normal ../IMP/Step-Spec
begin
```

```
no-notation bot ( $\perp$ )
```

```
abbreviation noninform ( $\perp$ ) where  $\perp \equiv \text{undefined}$ 
```

```
declare split-paired-All[simp del]
declare split-paired-Ex[simp del]
```

```
definition noMisSpec where noMisSpec (cfgs::config list)  $\equiv$  (cfgs = [])
lemma noMisSpec-ext[simp]:map x cfgs = map x cfgs'  $\implies$  noMisSpec cfgs  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
noMisSpec cfgs'
by (auto simp: noMisSpec-def)
```

```
definition misSpecL1 where misSpecL1 (cfgs::config list)  $\equiv$  (length cfgs = Suc
0)
lemma misSpecL1-len[simp]:misSpecL1 cfgs  $\longleftrightarrow$  length cfgs = 1 by (simp add:
misSpecL1-def)
```

```
definition misSpecL2 where misSpecL2 (cfgs::config list)  $\equiv$  (length cfgs = 2)
```

```
fun tuple::'a  $\times$  'b  $\times$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\times$  'b
where tuple (a,b,c) = (a,b)
```

```
fun tuple-sel::'a  $\times$  'b  $\times$  'c  $\times$  'd  $\times$  'e  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\times$  'd
where tuple-sel (a,b,c,d,e) = (b,d)
```

```
fun cfgsOf::'a  $\times$  'b  $\times$  'c  $\times$  'd  $\times$  'e  $\Rightarrow$  'c
where cfgsOf (a,b,c,d,e) = c
```

```
fun pstateOf::'a  $\times$  'b  $\times$  'c  $\times$  'd  $\times$  'e  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where pstateOf (a,b,c,d,e) = a
```

```
fun stateOfs::'a  $\times$  'b  $\times$  'c  $\times$  'd  $\times$  'e  $\Rightarrow$  'b
where stateOfs (a,b,c,d,e) = b
```

context *Prog-Mispred*
begin

The "vanilla-semantics" transitions are the normal executions (featuring no speculation):

Vanilla-semantics system model: given by the normal semantics

type-synonym *stateV* = *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set*
fun *validTransV* **where** *validTransV* (*cfg-ib-ls*, *cfg-ib-ls'*) = *cfg-ib-ls* →*N* *cfg-ib-ls'*

Vanilla-semantics observation infrastructure (part of the vanilla-semantics state-wise attacker model):

The attacker observes the output value, the program counter history and the set of accessed locations so far:

type-synonym *obsV* = *val* × *loc set*

The attacker-action is just a value (used as input to the function):

type-synonym *actV* = *val*

The attacker's interaction

fun *isIntV* :: *stateV* ⇒ *bool* **where**
isIntV *ss* = (¬ *finalN* *ss*)

The attacker interacts with the system by passing input to the function and reading the outputs (standard channel) and the accessed locations (side channel)

fun *getIntV* :: *stateV* ⇒ *actV* × *obsV* **where**
getIntV (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) =
 (case *prog!*(*pcOf* *cfg*) of
 | *Input T* - ⇒ (*lhd* *ibT*, ⊥)
 | *Input U* - ⇒ (*lhd* *ibUT*, ⊥)
 | *Output U* - ⇒ (⊥, (*outOf* (*prog!*(*pcOf* *cfg*)) (*stateOf* *cfg*), *ls*))
 | - ⇒ (⊥, ⊥)
)

lemma *validTransV-iff-nextN*: *validTransV* (*s1*, *s2*) = (¬ *finalN* *s1* ∧ *nextN* *s1* = *s2*)
by (*simp* *add*: *stepN-iff-nextN*)⁺

The optimization-enhanced semantics system model: given by the speculative semantics

type-synonym *stateO* = *configS*
fun *validTransO* **where** *validTransO* (*cfgS*, *cfgS'*) = *cfgS* →*S* *cfgS'*

Optimization-enhanced semantics observation infrastructure (part of the optimization-enhanced semantics state-wise attacker model): similar to that of the vanilla semantics, in that the standard-channel inputs and outputs are those produced by the normal execution. However, the side-channel outputs (the sets of read locations) are also collected.

```

type-synonym obsO = val × loc set
type-synonym actO = val
fun isIntO :: stateO ⇒ bool where
  isIntO ss = (¬ finalS ss)
fun getIntO :: stateO ⇒ actO × obsO where
  getIntO (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
    (case (cfgs, prog!(pcOf cfg)) of
      ([], Input T _) ⇒ (lhd ibT, ⊥)
    | ([], Input U _) ⇒ (lhd ibUT, ⊥)
    | ([], Output U _) ⇒
      (⊥, (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls))
    | _ ⇒ (⊥, ⊥)
    )
end

```

```

locale Prog-Mispred-Init =
  Prog-Mispred prog mispred resolve update
for prog :: com list
and mispred :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ bool
and resolve :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ bool
and update :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ predState
  +
fixes initPstate :: predState
  and istate :: state ⇒ bool
  and input :: nat
begin

```

```

fun istateV :: stateV ⇒ bool where
  istateV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) ←→
    pcOf cfg = 0 ∧ istate (stateOf cfg) ∧
    llength ibT = ∞ ∧ llength ibUT = ∞ ∧
    ls = {}

```

```

fun istateO :: stateO ⇒ bool where
  istateO (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) ←→
    pstate = initPstate ∧
    pcOf cfg = 0 ∧ ls = {} ∧
    istate (stateOf cfg) ∧
    cfgs = [] ∧ llength ibT = ∞ ∧ llength ibUT = ∞

```

lemma *istateV-config-imp:*

$istateV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) \implies pcOf\ cfg = 0 \wedge ls = \{\} \wedge ibT \neq LNil$
by *force*

lemma *istateO-config-imp:*

$istateO (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \implies$
 $cfs = [] \wedge pcOf\ cfg = 0 \wedge ls = \{\} \wedge ibT \neq LNil$
unfolding *istateO.simps*
by *auto*

definition *same-var-all* $x\ cfg1\ cfg2\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4 \equiv$

$vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))\ x = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))\ x \wedge$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg2))\ x = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))\ x \wedge$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ x = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))\ x \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfs3. vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3'))\ x = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ x) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfs4. vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4'))\ x = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))\ x)$

definition *same-var* $x\ cfg\ cfg' \equiv$

$vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg))\ x = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg'))\ x$

definition *same-var-val* $x\ (val::int)\ cfg\ cfg' \equiv$

$vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg))\ x = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg'))\ x \wedge$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg))\ x = val$

definition *same-var-o* $ii\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4 \equiv$

$vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ ii = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))\ ii \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfs3. vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3'))\ ii = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ ii) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfs4. vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4'))\ ii = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))\ ii)$

lemma *set-var-shrink:* $\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfs.$

$vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3'))\ var =$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg))\ var$

\implies

$\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ (butlast\ cfs).$

$vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3'))\ var =$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg))\ var$

by (*meson in-set-butlastD*)

lemma *heapSimp*: $(\forall \text{cfg}'' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs}''. \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}') = \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}'')) \wedge \text{cfgs}'' \neq []$
 $\implies \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}') = \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}''))$
by *simp*

lemma *heapSimp2*: $(\forall \text{cfg}'' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs}''. \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}') = \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}'')) \wedge \text{cfgs}'' \neq []$
 $\implies \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}') = \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } (\text{hd } \text{cfgs}''))$
by *simp*

lemma *array-baseSimp*: $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg})) =$
 $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}')) \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{cfg}' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs}. \text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}')) =$
 $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg})))$
 $\wedge \text{cfgs} \neq []$
 \implies
 $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg})) =$
 $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs})))$
by *simp*

lemma *finalB-imp-finalS*: $\text{finalB } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies (\forall \text{pstate } \text{cfgs } \text{ls}. \text{finalS } (\text{pstate},$
 $\text{cfg}, [], \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}))$
unfolding *finalB-def finalS-def final-def* **apply** *clarsimp*
subgoal for $\text{pstate } \text{ls } \text{pstate}' \text{cfg}' \text{cfgs}' \text{ibT } \text{ibUT}' \text{ls}'$
apply(*erule alle[of - (cfg', ibT, ibUT')]*)
subgoal premises *step*
using *step(1)* **apply** (*cases rule: stepS-cases*)
using *finalB-imp-finalM step(2) nextB-stepB* **by** (*simp-all, blast*) . .

lemma *cfgs-Suc-zero[simp]*: $\text{length } \text{cfgs} = \text{Suc } 0 \implies \text{cfgs} = [\text{last } \text{cfgs}]$
by (*metis Suc-length-conv last-ConsL length-0-conv*)

lemma *cfgs-map[simp]*: $\text{length } \text{cfgs} = \text{Suc } 0 \implies \text{map } \text{pcOf } \text{cfgs} = [\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs})]$
apply(*frule cfgs-Suc-zero[of cfgs]*)
apply(*rule ssubst[of map pcOf cfgs map pcOf [last cfgs]]*)
by (*presburger, metis list.simps(8,9)*)

end

end

6 Relative Security Instance: Secret Memory

This theory sets up an instance of Relative Security with the secrets as the initial memories

```
theory Instance-Secret-IMem
imports Instance-Common Relative-Security.Relative-Security
begin
```

```
no-notation bot ( $\perp$ )
type-synonym secret = state
```

```
context Prog-Mispred
begin
```

```
fun corrState :: stateV  $\Rightarrow$  stateO  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
corrState cfgO cfgA = True
```

Since all our programs will have "Start" followed by the rest, with the rest not containing "Start". The secret will be "uploaded" at this Start moment.

```
definition isSecV :: stateV  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
isSecV ss  $\equiv$  case ss of (cfg,ibT,ibUT)  $\Rightarrow$  (pcOf cfg = 0)
```

We consider the entire initial state as a secret:

```
fun getSecV :: stateV  $\Rightarrow$  secret where
getSecV (cfg,ibT,ibUT) = stateOf cfg
```

The secrecy infrastructure is similar to that of the "original" semantics:

```
definition isSecO :: stateO  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
isSecO ss  $\equiv$  case ss of (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls)  $\Rightarrow$  (pcOf cfg = 0  $\wedge$  cfgs = [])
fun getSecO :: stateO  $\Rightarrow$  secret where
getSecO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) = stateOf cfg
lemma isSecV-iff-isSecO: isSecV ss  $\longleftrightarrow$  pcOf (fst ss) = 0
  unfolding isSecV-def
  by (simp add: case-prod-beta)
```

```
lemma validTransO-iff-nextS: validTransO (s1, s2) = ( $\neg$  finalS s1  $\wedge$  (stepS s1 s2))
  unfolding finalS-def final-def
by simp (metis old.prod.exhaust)
```

end

```
sublocale Prog-Mispred-Init < Rel-Sec where
  validTransV = validTransV and istateV = istateV
  and finalV = finalN
  and isSecV = isSecV and getSecV = getSecV
  and isIntV = isIntV and getIntV = getIntV
```

```

and validTransO = validTransO and istateO = istateO
and finalO = finalS
and isSecO = isSecO and getSecO = getSecO
and isIntO = isIntO and getIntO = getIntO
and corrState = corrState
apply standard
subgoal by (simp add: finalN-defs)
subgoal for s by (cases s, simp)
subgoal for s apply(cases s) subgoal for cfg ibT ibUT ls apply(cases cfg)
subgoal for n st
  unfolding isSecV-def
  using stepB-0[of st ibT ibUT] stepB-iff-nextB by fastforce . .
  subgoal by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  subgoal by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  subgoal for ss apply(cases ss) subgoal for ps cfg cfgs ibT ibUT ls apply(cases
cfg) subgoal for n st
    unfolding isSecO-def finalS-def final-def
    using stepS-0[of ps st ibT ibUT ls] by auto . . .

```

```

context Prog-Mispred-Init
begin

```

```

lemmas reachV-induct = Van.reach.induct[split-format(complete)]
lemmas reachO-induct = Opt.reach.induct[split-format(complete)]

```

```

lemma is-getTrustedInput-getActV[simp]:
(prog!(pcOf cfg)) = Input T s  $\implies$  getActV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) = lhd ibT
by (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getAct-def)

```

```

lemma not-is-getTrustedInput-getActV[simp]:
 $\neg$  is-getInput (prog!(pcOf cfg))  $\implies$  getActV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) = noninform
apply (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getAct-def )
  subgoal for x by (cases x, simp-all) .

```

```

lemma is-Output-getObsV[simp]:
(prog!(pcOf cfg)) = Output U out  $\implies$  getObsV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
(outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
by (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getObs-def)

```

```

lemma not-is-Output-getObsV[simp]:
 $\neg$  is-Output (prog!(pcOf cfg))  $\implies$  getObsV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) =  $\perp$ 
apply (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getObs-def)
  subgoal for x by (cases x, simp-all) .

```

lemma *is-getTrustedInput-Nil-getActO*[simp]:
 $(prog!(pcOf\ cfg)) = Input\ T\ s \implies getActO\ (pstate, cfg, [], ibT, ibUT, ls) = lhd\ ibT$
by (cases prog!(pcOf\ cfg), auto simp: Opt.getAct-def)

lemma *not-is-getTrustedInput-Nil-getActO*[simp]:
 $\neg is-getInput\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))$
 $\vee\ cfs \neq [] \implies getActO\ (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) = \perp$
apply (cases cfs, auto)
apply (cases prog!(pcOf\ cfg), auto simp: Opt.getAct-def)
subgoal for x **by** (cases x , simp-all) .

lemma *is-Output-Nil-getObsO*[simp]:
 $prog!(pcOf\ cfg) = Output\ U\ s \implies$
 $getObsO\ (pstate, cfg, [], ibT, ibUT, ls) = (outOf\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))\ (stateOf\ cfg), ls)$
by (cases prog!(pcOf\ cfg), auto simp: Opt.getObs-def)

lemma *not-is-Output-Nil-getObsO*[simp]:
 $\neg is-Output\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg)) \vee\ cfs \neq [] \implies getObsO\ (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls)$
 $= \perp$
apply (cases cfs, auto)
apply (cases prog!(pcOf\ cfg), auto simp: Opt.getObs-def)
subgoal for x **by** (cases x , simp-all) .

lemma *getActV-simps*:
 $getActV\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
 $(case\ prog!(pcOf\ cfg)\ of$
 $\quad Input\ T\ - \Rightarrow lhd\ ibT$
 $\quad | Input\ U\ - \Rightarrow lhd\ ibUT$
 $\quad | - \Rightarrow \perp$
 $)$
unfolding Van.getAct-def
apply (simp split: com.splits, safe)
subgoal for t **by**(cases t , simp-all)
subgoal for t **by**(cases t , simp-all) .

lemma *getObsV-simps*:
 $getObsV\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
 $(case\ prog!(pcOf\ cfg)\ of$
 $\quad Output\ U\ - \Rightarrow (outOf\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))\ (stateOf\ cfg), ls)$
 $\quad | - \Rightarrow \perp$
 $)$
unfolding Van.getObs-def
apply (simp split: com.splits, safe)
subgoal for t **by**(cases t , simp-all)
subgoal for t **by**(cases t , simp-all) .

lemma *getActO-simps*:

```

getActO (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
  (case (cfgs, prog!(pcOf cfg)) of
    ([], Input T -)  $\Rightarrow$  lhd ibT
  | ([], Input U -)  $\Rightarrow$  lhd ibUT
  | -  $\Rightarrow \perp$ 
  )
  apply (simp split: com.splits list.splits, safe)
  unfolding Opt.getAct-def
  subgoal for t by(cases t, simp-all) .

lemma getObsO-simps:
getObsO (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
  (case (cfgs, prog!(pcOf cfg)) of
    ([], Output U -)  $\Rightarrow$  (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
  | -  $\Rightarrow \perp$ 
  )
  unfolding Opt.getObs-def
  apply (simp split: com.splits list.splits, safe)
  subgoal for t by(cases t, simp-all)
  subgoal for t by(cases t, simp-all) .

```

end

end

7 Relative Security Instance: Secret Memory Input

This theory sets up an instance of Relative Security used to prove an Security of a potentially infinite program

```

theory Instance-Secret-IMem-Inp
  imports Instance-Common Relative-Security.Relative-Security
begin

```

Using the following notation to denote an undefined element

no-notation bot (\perp)

definition ffile :: vname where ffile = "ffile"

definition xx :: vname where xx = "x"

definition yy :: vname where yy = "yy"

type-synonym secret = state \times val \times val

abbreviation writeSecretOnFile where writeSecretOnFile \equiv (Output T (Fun (V xx) (V yy)))

lemma writeOnFile-not-Jump[simp]: \neg is-IfJump writeSecretOnFile by (simp add:

)
lemma *writeOnFile-not-Inp*[simp]: \neg *is-getInput writeSecretOnFile* **by** (*simp add:*)
lemma *writeOnFile-not-Fence*[simp]:*writeSecretOnFile* \neq *Fence* **by** (*simp add:*)
definition *ffileVal* **where** *ffileVal* *cfg* = *vstoreOf*(*cfg*) *ffile*
lemma *ffileVal-vstore*[simp]:*ffileVal* *cfg* = *vstoreOf*(*cfg*) *ffile* **by**(*simp add:* *ffile-Val-def*)

context *Prog-Mispred*
begin

The following functions and definitions make up the required components of the Relative Security locale

fun *corrState* :: *stateV* \Rightarrow *stateO* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
corrState *cfgO* *cfgA* = *True*

definition *isSecV* :: *stateV* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
isSecV *ss* \equiv *case ss of* (*cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls*) \Rightarrow \neg *finalN* *ss*

fun *getSecV* :: *stateV* \Rightarrow *secret* **where**
getSecV (*cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls*) =
(*case prog!(pcOf* *cfg)* *of*
 Start \Rightarrow (*stateOf* *cfg*, \perp , \perp)
 | *Input* *T* - \Rightarrow (\perp , *lhd* *ibT*, \perp)
 | *Output* *T* - \Rightarrow (\perp , \perp , *outOf* (*prog!(pcOf* *cfg)*) (*stateOf* *cfg*))
 | - \Rightarrow (\perp , \perp , \perp)

lemma *isSecV-iff*:*isSecV* *ss* \longleftrightarrow \neg *finalN* *ss*
unfolding *isSecV-def*
by (*simp add:* *case-prod-beta*)

definition *isSecO* :: *stateO* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
isSecO *ss* \equiv *case ss of* (*pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls*) \Rightarrow \neg *finalS* *ss* \wedge *cfgs* = []
fun *getSecO* :: *stateO* \Rightarrow *secret* **where**
getSecO (*pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls*) =
(*case prog!(pcOf* *cfg)* *of*
 Start \Rightarrow (*stateOf* *cfg*, \perp , \perp)
 | *Input* *T* - \Rightarrow (\perp , *lhd* *ibT*, \perp)
 | *Output* *T* - \Rightarrow (\perp , \perp , *outOf* (*prog!(pcOf* *cfg)*) (*stateOf* *cfg*))
 | - \Rightarrow (\perp , \perp , \perp)
end

```

sublocale Prog-Mispred-Init < Rel-Sec where
  validTransV = validTransV and istateV = istateV
  and finalV = finalN
  and isSecV = isSecV and getSecV = getSecV
  and isIntV = isIntV and getIntV = getIntV

  and validTransO = validTransO and istateO = istateO
  and finalO = finalS
  and isSecO = isSecO and getSecO = getSecO
  and isIntO = isIntO and getIntO = getIntO
  and corrState = corrState
  apply standard
  subgoal by (simp add: finalN-defs)
  subgoal for s by (cases s, simp)
  subgoal by (simp add: isSecV-def)
  subgoal by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  subgoal by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  subgoal for ss apply(cases ss) subgoal for ps cfg cfgs ib ls apply(cases cfg)
subgoal for n s
  unfolding isSecO-def finalS-def final-def
  using stepS-0[of ps s ib ls] by auto . . .

```

```

context Prog-Mispred-Init
begin

```

```

lemmas reachV-induct = Van.reach.induct[split-format(complete)]
lemmas reachO-induct = Opt.reach.induct[split-format(complete)]

```

```

lemma is-getInputT-getActV[simp]:
(prog!(pcOf cfg)) = Input U inp  $\implies$  getActV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) = lhd ibUT
by (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getAct-def)

```

```

lemma is-getInputU-getActV[simp]:
(prog!(pcOf cfg)) = Input T inp  $\implies$  getActV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) = lhd ibT
by (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getAct-def)

```

```

lemma not-is-getInput-getActV[simp]:
 $\neg$  is-getInput (prog!(pcOf cfg))  $\implies$  getActV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =  $\perp$ 
apply (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getAct-def)
  subgoal for t apply(cases t, simp-all) . .

```

```

lemma is-Output-getObsV[simp]:
(prog!(pcOf cfg)) = Output U out  $\implies$  getObsV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
(outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
by (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getObs-def)

```

lemma *not-is-Output-getObsV*[simp]:
 $\neg \text{is-Output } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \implies \text{getObsV } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \perp$
apply (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getObs-def)
subgoal for t **apply**(cases t, simp-all) . .

lemma *is-getInputT-Nil-getActO*[simp]:
 $(\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) = \text{Input } T \text{ inp} \implies \text{getActO } (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, [], \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \text{lhs } \text{ibT}$
by (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Opt.getAct-def)

lemma *is-getInputU-Nil-getActO*[simp]:
 $(\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) = \text{Input } U \text{ inp} \implies \text{getActO } (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, [], \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \text{lhs } \text{ibUT}$
by (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Opt.getAct-def)

lemma *not-is-getInput-Nil-getActO*[simp]:
 $(\neg \text{is-getInput } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})))$
 $\vee \text{cfgs} \neq [] \implies \text{getActO } (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \perp$
apply (cases cfgs, auto)
apply (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Opt.getAct-def)
subgoal for t **apply**(cases t, simp-all) . .

lemma *is-Output-Nil-getObsO*[simp]:
 $(\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) = \text{Output } U \text{ out} \implies$
 $\text{getObsO } (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, [], \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = (\text{outOf } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}), \text{ls})$
by (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Opt.getObs-def)

lemma *not-is-Output-Nil-getObsO*[simp]:
 $\neg \text{is-Output } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \vee \text{cfgs} \neq [] \implies \text{getObsO } (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls})$
 $= \perp$
apply (cases cfgs, auto)
apply (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Opt.getObs-def)
subgoal for t **apply**(cases t, simp-all) . .

lemma *getActV-simps*:
 $\text{getActV } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) =$
 $(\text{case } \text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{Input } T \text{ -} \implies \text{lhs } \text{ibT}$
 $\quad | \text{Input } U \text{ -} \implies \text{lhs } \text{ibUT}$
 $\quad | \text{-} \implies \perp$
 $)$
unfolding Van.getAct-def
apply (simp split: com.splits, safe)
subgoal for t **apply**(cases t, simp-all) .
subgoal for t **apply**(cases t, simp-all) . .

lemma *getObsV-simps*:
getObsV (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) =
 (*case prog!(pcOf cfg)* of
 Output U - \Rightarrow (*outOf* (*prog!(pcOf cfg)*) (*stateOf cfg*), *ls*)
 | - $\Rightarrow \perp$
)
unfolding *Van.getObs-def*
apply (*simp split: com.splits, safe*)
subgoal for *t* **apply**(*cases t, simp-all*) .
subgoal for *t* **apply**(*cases t, simp-all*) . .

lemma *getActO-simps*:
getActO (*pstate*, *cfg*, *cfgs*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) =
 (*case (cfgs, prog!(pcOf cfg))* of
 (\square , *Input T* -) \Rightarrow *lhd ibT*
 | (\square , *Input U* -) \Rightarrow *lhd ibUT*
 | - $\Rightarrow \perp$
)
unfolding *Van.getAct-def*
apply (*simp split: com.splits list.splits, safe*)
subgoal for *t* **apply**(*cases t, simp-all*) . .

lemma *getObsO-simps*:
getObsO (*pstate*, *cfg*, *cfgs*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) =
 (*case (cfgs, prog!(pcOf cfg))* of
 (\square , *Output U* -) \Rightarrow (*outOf* (*prog!(pcOf cfg)*) (*stateOf cfg*), *ls*)
 | - $\Rightarrow \perp$
)
unfolding *Opt.getObs-def*
apply (*simp split: com.splits list.splits, safe*)
subgoal for *t* **apply**(*cases t, simp-all*) .
subgoal for *t* **apply**(*cases t, simp-all*) . .

end

end

8 Disproof of Relative Security for fun1

theory *Fun1*
imports *../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem*
Secret-Directed-Unwinding.SD-Unwinding-fin
begin

8.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

no-notation *bot* (\perp)

consts *NN* :: *nat*

consts *input* :: *int*

definition *aa1* :: *avname* **where** *aa1* = "a1"

definition *aa2* :: *avname* **where** *aa2* = "a2"

definition *vv* :: *avname* **where** *vv* = "v"

definition *xx* :: *avname* **where** *xx* = "i"

definition *tt* :: *avname* **where** *tt* = "tt"

lemma *NN-suc*[*simp*]:*nat* (*NN* + 1) = *Suc* (*nat NN*)

by *force*

lemma *NN:NN* ≥ 0 **by** *auto*

lemmas *vvars-defs* = *aa1-def aa2-def vv-def xx-def tt-def*

lemma *vvars-dff*[*simp*]:

aa1 ≠ *aa2* *aa1* ≠ *vv* *aa1* ≠ *xx* *aa1* ≠ *tt*

aa2 ≠ *aa1* *aa2* ≠ *vv* *aa2* ≠ *xx* *aa2* ≠ *tt*

vv ≠ *aa1* *vv* ≠ *aa2* *vv* ≠ *xx* *vv* ≠ *tt*

xx ≠ *aa1* *xx* ≠ *aa2* *xx* ≠ *vv* *xx* ≠ *tt*

tt ≠ *aa1* *tt* ≠ *aa2* *tt* ≠ *vv* *tt* ≠ *xx*

unfolding *vvars-defs* **by** *auto*

consts *size-aa1* :: *nat*

consts *size-aa2* :: *nat*

definition *s-add* = {*a*. *a* ≠ *nat NN+1*}

fun *vs0*::*char list* ⇒ *int* **where**

vs0 *x* = 0

lemma *vs0*[*simp*]:(λx . 0) = *vs0* **unfolding** *vs0.simps* **by** *simp*

fun *as*:: *char list* ⇒ *nat* × *nat* **where**

as *a* = (if *a* = *aa1* then (0, *nat NN*)

else (if *a* = *aa2* then (*nat NN*, *nat size-aa2*)

else (*nat size-aa2*, 0)))

definition *avst'* ≡ (*Avstore as*)

lemmas *avst-defs* = *avst'-def as.simps*

lemma *avstore-loc*[*simp*]:*Avstore* (λa . if *a* = *aa1* then (0, *nat NN*) else if *a* = *aa2* then (*nat NN*, *nat size-aa2*) else (*nat size-aa2*, 0)) =

```

    avst'
unfolding avst-defs by auto

abbreviation read-add  $\equiv \{a. a \neq (\text{nat } NN + 1)\}$ 

fun initVstore :: vstore  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  initVstore (Vstore vst) = (vst = vs0)

fun initAvstore :: avstore  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  initAvstore avst = (avst = avst')
fun initHeap :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  int)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  initHeap h = ( $\forall x \in \text{read-add}. h\ x = 0$ )

lemma initAvstore-0[intro]: initAvstore avst'  $\Longrightarrow$  array-base aa1 avst' = 0
  unfolding avst-defs array-base-def
  by (smt (verit, del-Insts) avstore.case fstI)

fun istate :: state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  istate s =
    (initVstore (getVstore s)  $\wedge$ 
     initAvstore (getAvstore s)  $\wedge$ 
     initHeap (getHheap s))

definition prog  $\equiv$ 
[
  / Start ,
  / Input U xx ,
  / tt ::= (N 0),
  / IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN)) 4 5,
  / tt ::= (VA aa2 (Times (VA aa1 (V xx)) (N 512))),
  / Output U (V tt)
]

lemma cases-5: (i::pcounter) = 0  $\vee$  i = 1  $\vee$  i = 2  $\vee$  i = 3  $\vee$  i = 4  $\vee$  i = 5  $\vee$  i
> 5
apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
  . . . . .

lemma xx-NN-cases: vs xx < (int NN)  $\vee$  vs xx  $\geq$  (int NN) by auto

lemma is-If-pcOf[simp]:

```

$pcOf\ cfg < 6 \implies is\text{-}If\text{-}Jump\ (prog\ !\ (pcOf\ cfg)) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg = 3$
apply(*cases cfg*) **subgoal for** *pc s* **using** *cases-5[of pcOf cfg]*
apply (*auto simp: prog-def*) . .

lemma *is-If-pc[simp]*:
 $pc < 6 \implies is\text{-}If\text{-}Jump\ (prog\ !\ pc) \longleftrightarrow pc = 3$
using *cases-5[of pc]*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *eq-Fence-pc[simp]*:
 $pc < 6 \implies prog\ !\ pc \neq Fence$
using *cases-5[of pc]*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

fun *mispred* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
mispred p pc = (*if pc* = [3] *then True else False*)

fun *resolve* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
resolve p pc = (*if pc* = [5,5] *then True else False*)

consts *update* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *predState*
consts *pstate₀* :: *predState*

interpretation *Prog-Mispred-Init* **where**
prog = *prog* **and** *initPstate* = *pstate₀* **and**
mispred = *mispred* **and** *resolve* = *resolve* **and** *update* = *update* **and**
istate = *istate*
by (*standard, simp add: prog-def*)

abbreviation

stepB-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\rightarrow B$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow B y == stepB\ x\ y$

abbreviation

stepsB-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\rightarrow B^*$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow B^* y == star\ stepB\ x\ y$

abbreviation

stepM-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\rightarrow M$ 55)

where $x \rightarrow M y == \text{stepM } x y$

abbreviation

$\text{stepN-abbrev} :: \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{loc set} \Rightarrow \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{loc set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\rightarrow N$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow N y == \text{stepN } x y$

abbreviation

$\text{stepsN-abbrev} :: \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{loc set} \Rightarrow \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{loc set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\rightarrow N^*$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow N^* y == \text{star stepN } x y$

abbreviation

$\text{stepS-abbrev} :: \text{configS} \Rightarrow \text{configS} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\rightarrow S$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow S y == \text{stepS } x y$

abbreviation

$\text{stepsS-abbrev} :: \text{configS} \Rightarrow \text{configS} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\rightarrow S^*$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow S^* y == \text{star stepS } x y$

lemma $\text{endPC}[simp]: \text{endPC} = 6$
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma $\text{is-getTrustedInput-pcOf}[simp]: \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} < 6 \Longrightarrow \text{is-getInput } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \longleftrightarrow \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} = 1$
using $\text{cases-5}[of \text{pcOf } \text{cfg}]$ **by** $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{getTrustedInput-pcOf}[simp]: (\text{prog!}1) = \text{Input } U \text{ } xx$
by $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{is-Output-pcOf}[simp]: \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} < 6 \Longrightarrow \text{is-Output } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \longleftrightarrow \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} = 5 \vee \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} = 6$
using $\text{cases-5}[of \text{pcOf } \text{cfg}]$ **by** $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{is-Fence-pcOf}[simp]: \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} < 6 \Longrightarrow (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \neq \text{Fence}$
using $\text{cases-5}[of \text{pcOf } \text{cfg}]$ **by** $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{prog0}[simp]: \text{prog} ! 0 = \text{Start}$
by $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{prog1}[simp]: \text{prog} ! (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{Input } U \text{ } xx$
by $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

```

lemma prog2[simp]:prog ! 2 = tt ::= (N 0)
  by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma prog3[simp]:prog ! 3 = IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN)) 4 5
  by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma prog4[simp]:prog ! 4 = tt ::= (VA aa2 (Times (VA aa1 (V xx)) (N 512)))
  by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma prog5[simp]:prog ! 5 = Output U (V tt)
  by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma isSecV-pcOf[simp]:
  isSecV (cfg,ibT, ibUT)  $\longleftrightarrow$  pcOf cfg = 0
  using isSecV-def by simp

lemma isSecO-pcOf[simp]:
  isSecO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (pcOf cfg = 0  $\wedge$  cfgs = [])
  using isSecO-def by simp

lemma getInputT-not[simp]: pcOf cfg < 6  $\implies$ 
  (prog ! pcOf cfg)  $\neq$  Input T x
  apply(cases cfg) subgoal for pc s using cases-5[of pcOf cfg ]
  by (auto simp: prog-def) .

lemma getActV-pcOf[simp]:
  pcOf cfg < 6  $\implies$ 
  getActV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
  (if pcOf cfg = 1 then lhd ibUT else  $\perp$ )
  apply(subst getActV-simps) unfolding prog-def
  apply simp
  using getActV-simps not-is-getTrustedInput-getActV prog-def by auto

lemma getObsV-pcOf[simp]:
  pcOf cfg < 6  $\implies$ 
  getObsV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
  (if pcOf cfg = 5 then
  (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
  else  $\perp$ 
  )
  apply(subst getObsV-simps)
  unfolding prog-def apply simp
  using getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf prog-def
  by (metis less-irrefl-nat)

lemma getActO-pcOf[simp]:

```

```

pcOf cfg < 6  $\implies$ 
  getActO (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
    (if pcOf cfg = 1  $\wedge$  cfgs = [] then lhd ibUT else  $\perp$ )
apply(subst getActO-simps)
apply(cases cfgs, auto)
unfolding prog-def
using getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def by presburger

```

```

lemma getObsO-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 6  $\implies$ 
  getObsO (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
    (if (pcOf cfg = 5  $\wedge$  cfgs = []) then
      (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
    else  $\perp$ 
    )
apply(subst getObsO-simps)
apply(cases cfgs, auto)
unfolding prog-def
using getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV prog-def
by (metis getObsV-pcOf)

```

```

lemma nextB-pc0[simp]:
nextB (Config 0 s, ibT, ibUT) =
  (Config 1 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence)
unfolding endPC-def unfolding prog-def by auto

```

```

lemma readLocs-pc0[simp]:
readLocs (Config 0 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def unfolding prog-def by auto

```

```

lemma nextB-pc1[simp]:
ibUT  $\neq$  LNil  $\implies$  nextB (Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
  (Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd ibUT))) avst h p), ibT, ltl ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-getUntrustedInput')
unfolding endPC-def unfolding prog-def by auto

```

```

lemma readLocs-pc1[simp]:
readLocs (Config 1 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def unfolding prog-def by auto

```

```

lemma nextB-pc1'[simp]:
ibUT  $\neq$  LNil  $\implies$  nextB (Config (Suc 0) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
=

```

(*Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd ibUT))) avst h p), ibT, ltl ibUT*)
apply(subst nextB-getUntrustedInput')
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc1 '[simp]:
 readLocs (*Config (Suc 0) s*) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc2 [simp]:
 nextB (*Config 2 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*) =
 ((*Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))) avst h p*), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc2 [simp]:
 readLocs (*Config 2 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc3-then [simp]:
 vs xx < NN \implies
 nextB (*Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*) =
 (*Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*)
apply(subst nextB-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc3-else [simp]:
 vs xx \geq NN \implies
 nextB (*Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*) =
 (*Config 5 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*)
apply(subst nextB-IfFalse)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc3:
 nextB (*Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*) =
 (*Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 5) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*)
by(cases vs xx < NN, auto)

lemma nextM-pc3-then [simp]:
 vs xx \geq NN \implies
 nextM (*Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*) =
 (*Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*)
apply(subst nextM-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc3-else [simp]:
 vs xx < NN \implies

$nextM$ (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextM-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3*:
 $nextM$ (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config* (*if vs xx < NN then 5 else 4*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
by(*cases vs xx < NN, auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc3[simp]*:
 $readLocs$ (*Config 3 s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc4[simp]*:
 $nextB$ (*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst (Heap h) p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*let i = array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst; j = (array-loc aa2 (nat ((h i) * 512))*
avst)
in (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore (vs(tt := h j))*) *avst (Heap h) p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc4[simp]*:
 $readLocs$ (*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst (Heap h) p*)) =
 (*let i = array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst;*
*j = (array-loc aa2 (nat ((h i) * 512)) avst)*
in {*i, j*})
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5[simp]*:
 $nextB$ (*Config 5 s*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) = (*Config 6 s*, *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Output*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc5[simp]*:
 $readLocs$ (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst (Heap h) p*)) =
 {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-stepB-pc*:
 $pc < 6 \implies (pc = 1 \implies ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$
 (*Config pc s*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) \rightarrow_B $nextB$ (*Config pc s*, *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*cases s*) **subgoal for** *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)

subgoal for *vs as h*
using *cases-5[of pc]* **apply** *safe*
subgoal by *simp*
subgoal by *simp*

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def, metis llist.collapse*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal by(*cases vs xx < NN, simp-all*)
subgoal by(*cases vs xx < NN, simp-all*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

by *simp+ . .*

lemma *not-finalB*:
 $pc < 6 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$
 $\neg finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
using *nextB-stepB-pc* **by** (*simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

lemma *finalB-pc-iff'*:
 $pc < 6 \implies$
 $finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil)$
subgoal apply *safe*
subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)
subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)
subgoal using *finalB-iff* **by** *auto . .*

lemma *finalB-pc-iff*:
 $pc \leq 6 \implies$
 $finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pc = 6)$
using *cases-5[of pc]* **apply** (*elim disjE, simp add: finalB-def*)

subgoal by (*meson final-def stebB-0*)
by (*simp add: finalB-pc-iff' finalB-endPC*)**+**

lemma *finalB-pcOf-iff[simp]*:

pcOf cfg ≤ 6 \implies

finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (*pcOf cfg = 1* \wedge *ibUT = LNil* \vee *pcOf cfg = 6*)

by (*metis config.collapse finalB-pc-iff*)

definition *vs_i-t cfg* \equiv (*vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg)) xx*) $<$ *NN*

definition *vs_i-f cfg* \equiv (*vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg)) xx*) \geq *NN*

lemma *vs-xx-cases:vs_i-t cfg \vee vs_i-f cfg* **unfolding** *vs_i-t-def vs_i-f-def* **by** *auto*

lemmas *vs_i-defs = vs_i-t-def vs_i-f-def*

lemma *bool-invar[simp]:-vs_i-t (Config 6 s)* \implies *vs_i-t (Config 6 s)* \implies (*Config 6 s, ib1*) \rightarrow *B (Config 6 s, ib1)* \implies *False*

unfolding *vs_i-defs*

by *simp*

lemma *nextB-vs-consistent-aux*:

2 ≤ pc \wedge *pc < 6* \implies

(*nextB (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap h) p), ibT, ibUT)*) = (*Config pc' (State (Vstore vs') avst'' (Heap h') p'), ibT', ibUT'*) \implies

avst = avst'' \wedge

vs xx = vs' xx \wedge

h = h' \wedge

pc < pc'

using *cases-5[of pc]* **apply**(*elim disjE*) **apply** *simp-all*

subgoal by *auto*

subgoal using *xx-NN-cases[of vs]* **by**(*elim disjE, simp-all*)

by *auto*

lemma *nextB-vs-consistent*:

2 ≤ pcOf cfg \wedge *pcOf cfg < 6* \implies

(*nextB (cfg, ibT, ibUT)*) = (*cfg', ibT', ibUT'*) \implies

(*getAvstore (stateOf cfg)*) = (*getAvstore (stateOf cfg')*) \wedge

(*getHheap (stateOf cfg)*) = (*getHheap (stateOf cfg')*) \wedge

vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg)) xx = vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg')) xx

apply(*cases cfg*) **subgoal for** *pc s*

apply(*cases s*) **subgoal for** *vstore avst heap-h p*

apply (*cases heap-h, cases vstore, cases avst*) **subgoal for** *h vs*

apply(*cases cfg'*) **subgoal for** *pc' s'*

apply(*cases s'*) **subgoal for** *vstore' avst'' heap-h' p'*

apply (*cases heap-h', cases vstore', cases avst''*) **subgoal for** *h vs*

using *nextB-vs-consistent-aux* **apply** *simp*

by *blast*

lemma *nextB-vs_i-t-consistent*:

$2 \leq pcOf\ cf g \wedge pcOf\ cf g < 6 \implies$
 $(nextB\ (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT)) = (cfg',\ ibT',\ ibUT') \implies$
 $vs_i-t\ cf g \longleftrightarrow vs_i-t\ cf g'$
unfolding vs_i-defs **using** $nextB-vs-consistent$
by $simp$

lemma $nextB-vs_i-f-consistent$:

$2 \leq pcOf\ cf g \wedge pcOf\ cf g < 6 \implies$
 $(nextB\ (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT)) = (cfg',\ ibT',\ ibUT') \implies$
 $vs_i-f\ cf g \longleftrightarrow vs_i-f\ cf g'$
unfolding vs_i-defs **using** $nextB-vs-consistent$
by $simp$

end

8.2 Proof

theory $Fun1-insecure$
imports $Fun1$
begin

8.2.1 Concrete leak

definition $PC \equiv \{0..6\}$

definition $same-xx\ cf g3\ cf gs3\ cf g4\ cf gs4 \equiv$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf g3))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf g4))\ xx \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cf g3' \in set\ cf gs3.\ vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf g3'))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf g3))\ xx) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cf g4' \in set\ cf gs4.\ vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf g4'))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf g4))\ xx)$

definition $trueProg = \{2,3,4,5,6\}$

definition $falseProg = \{2,3,5,6\}$

definition $pstate_1 \equiv update\ pstate_0\ [3]$

definition $pstate_2 \equiv update\ pstate_1\ [5,5]$

lemmas $pstate-def = pstate_1-def\ pstate_2-def$

fun $hh_3::\ nat \Rightarrow int$ **where**

$hh_3\ x = (if\ x = (nat\ NN + 1)\ then\ 5\ else\ 0)$

definition $h_3 \equiv (Heap\ hh_3)$

fun $hh_4 :: nat \Rightarrow int$ **where**
 $hh_4\ x = (if\ x = (nat\ NN + 1)\ then\ 6\ else\ 0)$

definition $h_4 \equiv (Heap\ hh_4)$

lemmas $h-def = h_3-def\ h_4-def\ hh_3.simps\ hh_4.simps$

lemma $ss-neq-aux1 : nat(5 * 512) \neq nat(6 * 512)$ **by auto**

lemma $ss-neq-aux2 : nat(3 * 512) \neq nat(5 * 512)$ **by auto**

lemmas $ss-neq = ss-neq-aux1\ ss-neq-aux2$

definition $p \equiv nat\ size-aa1 + nat\ size-aa2$

definition $vs_1 \equiv (vs_0(xx := NN + 1))$

definition $vs_2 \equiv (vs_1(tt := 0))$

definition $aa1_i \equiv array-loc\ aa1\ (nat\ (vs_2\ xx))\ avst'$

definition $aa2_{vs_3} \equiv array-loc\ aa2\ (nat\ (hh_3\ aa1_i * 512))\ avst'$

definition $vs_{33} = vs_2(tt := hh_3\ aa2_{vs_3})$

definition $aa2_{vs_4} \equiv array-loc\ aa2\ (nat\ (hh_4\ aa1_i * 512))\ avst'$

definition $vs_{34} = vs_2(tt := hh_4\ aa2_{vs_4})$

lemmas $reads_m-def = aa1_i-def\ aa2_{vs_3}-def\ aa2_{vs_4}-def$

lemmas $vs-def = vs_0.simps\ vs_1-def\ vs_2-def\ vs_{33}-def\ vs_{34}-def$

definition $s_{03} \equiv (State\ (Vstore\ vs_0)\ avst'\ h_3\ p)$

definition $s_{13} \equiv (State\ (Vstore\ vs_1)\ avst'\ h_3\ p)$

definition $s_{23} \equiv (State\ (Vstore\ vs_2)\ avst'\ h_3\ p)$

definition $s_{33} \equiv (State\ (Vstore\ vs_{33})\ avst'\ h_3\ p)$

definition $s_{04} \equiv (State\ (Vstore\ vs_0)\ avst'\ h_4\ p)$

definition $s_{14} \equiv (State\ (Vstore\ vs_1)\ avst'\ h_4\ p)$

definition $s_{24} \equiv (State\ (Vstore\ vs_2)\ avst'\ h_4\ p)$

definition $s_{34} \equiv (State\ (Vstore\ vs_{34})\ avst'\ h_4\ p)$

lemmas $s\text{-def} = s_{03}\text{-def } s_{13}\text{-def } s_{23}\text{-def } s_{33}\text{-def } s_{04}\text{-def } s_{14}\text{-def } s_{24}\text{-def } s_{34}\text{-def}$

definition $(s\mathfrak{3}_0:: \text{state}O) \equiv (pstate_0, (\text{Config } 0 \ s_{03}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{\})$

definition $(s\mathfrak{3}_1:: \text{state}O) \equiv (pstate_0, (\text{Config } 1 \ s_{03}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{\})$

definition $(s\mathfrak{3}_2:: \text{state}O) \equiv (pstate_0, (\text{Config } 2 \ s_{13}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{\})$

definition $(s\mathfrak{3}_3:: \text{state}O) \equiv (pstate_0, (\text{Config } 3 \ s_{23}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{\})$

definition $(s\mathfrak{3}_4:: \text{state}O) \equiv (pstate_1, (\text{Config } 5 \ s_{23}), [\text{Config } 4 \ s_{23}], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{\})$

definition $(s\mathfrak{3}_5:: \text{state}O) \equiv (pstate_1, (\text{Config } 5 \ s_{23}), [\text{Config } 5 \ s_{33}], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{aa2_{vs3}, aa1_i\})$

definition $(s\mathfrak{3}_6:: \text{state}O) \equiv (pstate_2, (\text{Config } 5 \ s_{23}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{aa2_{vs3}, aa1_i\})$

definition $(s\mathfrak{3}_7:: \text{state}O) \equiv (pstate_2, (\text{Config } 6 \ s_{23}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{aa2_{vs3}, aa1_i\})$

lemmas $s\mathfrak{3}\text{-def} = s\mathfrak{3}_0\text{-def } s\mathfrak{3}_1\text{-def } s\mathfrak{3}_2\text{-def } s\mathfrak{3}_3\text{-def } s\mathfrak{3}_4\text{-def } s\mathfrak{3}_5\text{-def } s\mathfrak{3}_6\text{-def } s\mathfrak{3}_7\text{-def}$

lemmas $state\text{-def} = s\text{-def } h\text{-def } vs\text{-def } reads_m\text{-def } pstate\text{-def } avst\text{-defs}$

definition $s\mathfrak{3}\text{-trans} \equiv [s\mathfrak{3}_0, s\mathfrak{3}_1, s\mathfrak{3}_2, s\mathfrak{3}_3, s\mathfrak{3}_4, s\mathfrak{3}_5, s\mathfrak{3}_6, s\mathfrak{3}_7]$

lemmas $s\mathfrak{3}\text{-trans}\text{-defs} = s\mathfrak{3}\text{-trans}\text{-def } s\mathfrak{3}\text{-def}$

lemma $hd\text{-}s\mathfrak{3}\text{-trans}[simp]: hd \ s\mathfrak{3}\text{-trans} = s\mathfrak{3}_0$ **by** $(simp \ add: \ s\mathfrak{3}\text{-trans}\text{-def})$

lemma $s\mathfrak{3}\text{-trans}\text{-nemp}[simp]: s\mathfrak{3}\text{-trans} \neq []$ **by** $(simp \ add: \ s\mathfrak{3}\text{-trans}\text{-def})$

lemma $s\mathfrak{3}_{01}[simp]: s\mathfrak{3}_0 \rightarrow_S s\mathfrak{3}_1$

unfolding $s\mathfrak{3}\text{-def}$
using $nonspec\text{-normal}$
by $simp$

lemma $s\mathfrak{3}_{12}[simp]: s\mathfrak{3}_1 \rightarrow_S s\mathfrak{3}_2$

unfolding $s\mathfrak{3}\text{-def } state\text{-def}$
using $nonspec\text{-normal}$
by $simp$

lemma $s\mathfrak{3}_{23}[simp]: s\mathfrak{3}_2 \rightarrow_S s\mathfrak{3}_3$

unfolding $s\mathfrak{3}\text{-def } state\text{-def}$
by $(simp \ add: \ finalM\text{-iff})$

lemma $s3_{34}[simp]:s3_3 \rightarrow S s3_4$
unfolding $s3\text{-def}$ $state\text{-def}$
using $nonspec\text{-mispred}$
by ($simp$ $add: finalM\text{-iff}$)

lemma $s3_{45}[simp]:s3_4 \rightarrow S s3_5$
unfolding $s3\text{-def}$ $state\text{-def}$
using $spec\text{-normal}$
by ($simp\text{-all}$ $add: finalM\text{-iff}$, $blast$)

lemma $s3_{56}[simp]:s3_5 \rightarrow S s3_6$
unfolding $s3\text{-def}$ $state\text{-def}$
using $spec\text{-resolve}$
by $simp$

lemma $s3_{67}[simp]:s3_6 \rightarrow S s3_7$
unfolding $s3\text{-def}$ $state\text{-def}$
using $nonspec\text{-normal}$
by $simp$

lemma $finalS\text{-}s3_7[simp]:finalS s3_7$
unfolding $finalS\text{-def}$ $final\text{-def}$ $s3\text{-def}$
by ($simp$ $add: stepS\text{-endPC}$)

lemmas $s3\text{-trans}\text{-sims} = s3_{01} s3_{12} s3_{23} s3_{34} s3_{45} s3_{56} s3_{67}$

definition ($s4_0:: stateO$) \equiv ($pstate_0$, ($Config\ 0\ s_{04}$), [], $repeat\ (NN+1)$, $repeat\ (NN+1)$, {})

definition ($s4_1:: stateO$) \equiv ($pstate_0$, ($Config\ 1\ s_{04}$), [], $repeat\ (NN+1)$, $repeat\ (NN+1)$, {})

definition ($s4_2:: stateO$) \equiv ($pstate_0$, ($Config\ 2\ s_{14}$), [], $repeat\ (NN+1)$, $repeat\ (NN+1)$, {})

definition ($s4_3:: stateO$) \equiv ($pstate_0$, ($Config\ 3\ s_{24}$), [], $repeat\ (NN+1)$, $repeat\ (NN+1)$, {})

definition ($s4_4:: stateO$) \equiv ($pstate_1$, ($Config\ 5\ s_{24}$), [$Config\ 4\ s_{24}$], $repeat\ (NN+1)$, $repeat\ (NN+1)$, {})

definition ($s4_5:: stateO$) \equiv ($pstate_1$, ($Config\ 5\ s_{24}$), [$Config\ 5\ s_{34}$], $repeat\ (NN+1)$, $repeat\ (NN+1)$, { $aa2_{vs4}$, $aa1_i$ })

definition ($s4_6:: stateO$) \equiv ($pstate_2$, ($Config\ 5\ s_{24}$), [], $repeat\ (NN+1)$, $repeat\ (NN+1)$, { $aa2_{vs4}$, $aa1_i$ })

definition ($s4_7:: stateO$) \equiv ($pstate_2$, ($Config\ 6\ s_{24}$), [], $repeat\ (NN+1)$, $repeat\ (NN+1)$, { $aa2_{vs4}$, $aa1_i$ })

lemmas $s4\text{-def} = s4_0\text{-def}\ s4_1\text{-def}\ s4_2\text{-def}\ s4_3\text{-def}\ s4_4\text{-def}\ s4_5\text{-def}\ s4_6\text{-def}\ s4_7\text{-def}$

definition $s4\text{-trans} \equiv [s4_0, s4_1, s4_2, s4_3, s4_4, s4_5, s4_6, s4_7]$
lemmas $s4\text{-trans-defs} = s4\text{-trans-def } s4\text{-def}$

lemma $hd\text{-}s4\text{-trans}[simp]: hd\ s4\text{-trans} = s4_0$ **by** (*simp add: s4-trans-def*)
lemma $s4\text{-trans-nemp}[simp]: s4\text{-trans} \neq []$ **by** (*simp add: s4-trans-def*)

lemma $s4_{01}[simp]: s4_0 \rightarrow S\ s4_1$
unfolding $s4\text{-def}$
using *nonspec-normal*
by *simp*

lemma $s4_{12}[simp]: s4_1 \rightarrow S\ s4_2$
unfolding $s4\text{-def } state\text{-def}$
using *nonspec-normal*
by *simp*

lemma $s4_{24}[simp]: s4_2 \rightarrow S\ s4_3$
unfolding $s4\text{-def } state\text{-def}$
using *nonspec-normal*
by (*simp add: finalM-iff*)

lemma $s4_{34}[simp]: s4_3 \rightarrow S\ s4_4$
unfolding $s4\text{-def } state\text{-def}$
using *nonspec-mispred*
by (*simp add: finalM-iff*)

lemma $s4_{45}[simp]: s4_4 \rightarrow S\ s4_5$
unfolding $s4\text{-def } state\text{-def}$
using *spec-normal*
by (*simp add: finalM-iff, blast*)

lemma $s4_{56}[simp]: s4_5 \rightarrow S\ s4_6$
unfolding $s4\text{-def } state\text{-def}$
using *spec-resolve*
by *simp*

lemma $s4_{67}[simp]: s4_6 \rightarrow S\ s4_7$
unfolding $s4\text{-def } state\text{-def}$
using *nonspec-normal*
by *simp*

lemma $finalS\text{-}s4_7[simp]: finalS\ s4_7$
unfolding $finalS\text{-def } final\text{-def } s4\text{-def}$
by (*simp add: stepS-endPC*)

lemmas $s4\text{-trans-simps} = s4_{01}\ s4_{12}\ s4_{24}\ s4_{34}\ s4_{45}\ s4_{56}\ s4_{67}$

8.2.2 Auxillary lemmas for disproof

lemma *validS-s3-trans*[simp]:*Opt.validS s3-trans*
unfolding *Opt.validS-def validTransO.simps s3-trans-def*
apply *safe*
subgoal for *i* **using** *cases-5*[of *i*]
by(*elim disjE, simp-all*) .

lemma *validS-s4-trans*[simp]:*Opt.validS s4-trans*
unfolding *Opt.validS-def validTransO.simps s4-trans-def*
apply *safe*
subgoal for *i* **using** *cases-5*[of *i*]
by(*elim disjE, simp-all*) .

lemma *finalS-s3*[simp]:*finalS (last s3-trans)* **by** (*simp add: s3-trans-def*)
lemma *finalS-s4*[simp]:*finalS (last s4-trans)* **by** (*simp add: s4-trans-def*)

lemma *filter-s3*[simp]:(*filter isIntO (butlast s3-trans)*) = (*butlast s3-trans*)
unfolding *s3-trans-def finalS-def final-def*
using *s3-trans-simps validTransO.simps validTransO-iff-nextS*
by (*smt (verit) butlast.simps(2) filter.simps(1,2) isIntO.elims(3)*)

lemma *filter-s4*[simp]:(*filter isIntO (butlast s4-trans)*) = (*butlast s4-trans*)
unfolding *s4-trans-def finalS-def final-def*
using *s4-trans-simps validTransO.simps validTransO-iff-nextS*
by (*smt (verit) butlast.simps(2) filter.simps(1,2) isIntO.elims(3)*)

lemma *S-s3-trans*[simp]:*Opt.S s3-trans* = [*s03*]
apply (*simp add: Opt.S-def filtermap-def*)
unfolding *s3-trans-defs* **by** *simp*

lemma *S-s4-trans*[simp]:*Opt.S s4-trans* = [*s04*]
apply (*simp add: Opt.S-def filtermap-def*)
unfolding *s4-trans-defs* **by** *simp*

lemma *finalB-noStep*[simp]: $\bigwedge s1'. \text{finalB } (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1) \implies (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1) \rightarrow N s1' \implies \text{False}$
unfolding *finalN-def final-def finalB-eq-finalN* **by** *auto*

8.2.3 Disproof of fun1

fun *common-memory::config* \Rightarrow *config* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
common-memory *cfg1* *cfg2* =
 (*let* *h1* = (*getHheap (stateOf cfg1)*);
 h2 = (*getHheap (stateOf cfg2)*) *in*
 ($\forall x \in \text{read-add. } h1 \ x = h2 \ x \wedge h1 \ x = 0$) \wedge
 (*getAvstore (stateOf cfg1)*) = *avst'* \wedge

(*getAvstore (stateOf cfg2)*) = *avst'*)

lemma *heap-eq0[simp]*: $\forall x. x \neq \text{Suc } NN \longrightarrow hh1' x = hh2' x \wedge hh1' x = 0 \implies hh2' NN = 0$

by (*metis n-not-Suc-n*)

lemma *heap1-eq0[simp]*: $\forall x. x \neq \text{Suc } NN \longrightarrow hh1' x = hh2' x \wedge hh1' x = 0 \implies vs2\ xx < NN \implies$

$hh2' (\text{nat } (vs2\ xx)) = 0$

using *le-less-Suc-eq nat-le-eq-zle nat-less-eq-zless*

by (*metis lessI nat-int order.asym*)

fun $\Gamma\text{-inv}::\text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{state list} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{state list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\Gamma\text{-inv } (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1) sl1 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2) sl2 =$

(
 (*pcOf cfg1* = *pcOf cfg2*) \wedge

 (*pcOf cfg1* < 2 \longrightarrow *ibUT1* \neq *LNil* \wedge *ibUT2* \neq *LNil*) \wedge

 (*pcOf cfg1* > 2 \longrightarrow *same-var-val tt 0 cfg1 cfg2*) \wedge

 (*pcOf cfg1* > 1 \longrightarrow (*same-var xx cfg1 cfg2*) \wedge

 (*vs_i-t cfg1* \longrightarrow *pcOf cfg1* \in *trueProg*) \wedge

 (*vs_i-f cfg1* \longrightarrow *pcOf cfg1* \in *falseProg*))

 \wedge
ls1 = *ls2* \wedge

pcOf cfg1 \in *PC* \wedge
common-memory cfg1 cfg2
)

declare $\Gamma\text{-inv.simps[simp del]}$

lemmas $\Gamma\text{-def} = \Gamma\text{-inv.simps}$

lemmas $\Gamma\text{-defs} = \Gamma\text{-def common-memory.simps PC-def aa1_i-def$
trueProg-def falseProg-def same-var-val-def same-var-def

lemma $\Gamma\text{-implies}$: $\Gamma\text{-inv } (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1) sl1 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2) sl2 \implies$

$pcOf\ cfg1 \leq 6 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 \leq 6 \wedge$

$(pcOf\ cfg1 = 4 \longrightarrow vs_i\text{-t } cfg1) \wedge$

$(pcOf\ cfg2 = 4 \longrightarrow vs_i\text{-t } cfg2) \wedge$

$(pcOf\ cfg1 > 1 \longrightarrow vs_i\text{-t } cfg1 \longleftrightarrow vs_i\text{-t } cfg2) \wedge$

$(finalB (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg1 = 6) \wedge$

$(finalB (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg2 = 6)$

unfolding $\Gamma\text{-defs}$

apply(*elim conjE*, *intro conjI*)
subgoal using *atLeastAtMost-iff* **by** *blast*
subgoal using *vs-xx-cases[of cfg2]* **by** (*elim disjE*, *simp-all*)
subgoal apply (*rule impI, simp*) **using** *vs-xx-cases[of cfg1]* **by** (*elim disjE*,
simp-all)
subgoal apply (*rule impI, simp*) **using** *vs-xx-cases[of cfg2]* *vs_i-defs* **by** (*elim*
disjE, *simp-all*)
subgoal by (*simp add: vs_i-defs*)
using *finalB-pcOf-iff*
apply (*metis atLeastAtMost-iff one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76)*)
using *finalB-pcOf-iff*
by (*metis atLeastAtMost-iff numeral-One numeral-less-iff semiring-norm(76)*)

lemma *istateO-s3[simp]:istateO s3₀* **unfolding** *s3-def state-def* **by** *simp*
lemma *istateO-s4[simp]:istateO s4₀* **unfolding** *s4-def state-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *validFromS-s3[simp]:Opt.validFromS s3₀ s3-trans*
unfolding *Opt.validFromS-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *validFromS-s4[simp]:Opt.validFromS s4₀ s4-trans*
unfolding *Opt.validFromS-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *completedFromO-s3[simp]:completedFromO s3₀ s3-trans*
unfolding *Opt.completedFrom-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *completedFromO-s4[simp]:completedFromO s4₀ s4-trans*
unfolding *Opt.completedFrom-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Act-eq[simp]:Opt.A s3-trans = Opt.A s4-trans*
apply (*simp add: Opt.A-def filtermap-def*)
unfolding *s3-trans-defs s4-trans-defs*
by *simp*

lemma *aa2-neq:aa2_{vs3} ≠ aa2_{vs4}*
unfolding *vs-def reads_m-def avst-defs h-def array-loc-def*
by (*simp add: avst-defs array-base-def split: if-splits*)

lemma *aa1-neq:aa2_{vs3} ≠ aa1_i*
apply(*rule notI*)
unfolding *vs-def reads_m-def avst-defs h-def array-loc-def*
by (*simp add: avst-defs array-base-def split: if-splits*)

lemma *aa1-neq2:aa2_{vs4} ≠ aa1_i*
apply(*rule notI*)

unfolding *vs-def reads_m-def avst-defs h-def array-loc-def*
by (*simp add: avst-defs array-base-def split: if-splits*)

lemma *Obs-neq[*simp*]: Opt.O s3-trans ≠ Opt.O s4-trans*
apply (*simp add: Opt.O-def filtermap-def*)
unfolding *s3-trans-def s4-trans-def* **apply** *clarsimp*
unfolding *s3-trans-defs s4-trans-defs* **apply** *simp*
using *aa2-neq aa1-neq aa1-neq2* **by** *blast*

lemma $\Gamma\text{-init}[*simp*]: \wedge s1\ s2. \text{istateV } s1 \implies \text{corrState } s1\ s3_0 \implies \text{istateV } s2 \implies$
 $\text{corrState } s2\ s4_0 \implies \Gamma\text{-inv } s1\ [s_{03}]\ s2\ [s_{04}]$
subgoal for *s1 s2* **apply**(*cases s1, cases s2, simp*)
unfolding *s3-def s4-def s-def h-def* **by** (*auto simp: Γ -defs*) .

lemma *val-neq-1: nat (hh2' (nat (vs2 xx)) * 512) ≠ 1*
by (*smt (z3) nat-less-eq-zless nat-one-as-int*)

lemma *unwindSD[*simp*]: Rel-Sec.unwindSDCond validTransV istateV isSecV get-*
SecV isIntV getIntV Γ -inv
unfolding *unwindSDCond-def*
proof(*intro allI, rule impI, elim conjE, intro conjI*)
fix *ss1 ss2 sl1 sl2*
assume *reachV ss1 reachV ss2*
and $\Gamma: \Gamma\text{-inv } ss1\ sl1\ ss2\ sl2$

obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*
by (*cases ss1, auto*)
obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*
by (*cases ss2, auto*)
note *ss = ss1 ss2*

obtain *pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1* **where**
cfg1: cfg1 = Config pc1 (State (Vstore vs1) avst1 h1 p1)
by (*cases cfg1*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
obtain *pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2* **where**
cfg2: cfg2 = Config pc2 (State (Vstore vs2) avst2 h2 p2)
by (*cases cfg2*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
note *cfg = cfg1 cfg2*

obtain *hh1* **where** *h1: h1 = Heap hh1* **by**(*cases h1, auto*)
obtain *hh2* **where** *h2: h2 = Heap hh2* **by**(*cases h2, auto*)
note *hh = h1 h2*

show *isIntV ss1 = isIntV ss2*

```

using  $\Gamma$  unfolding isIntV.simps ss
unfolding  $\Gamma$ -defs
using vs-xx-cases[of cfg1]
apply (elim disjE) by simp-all

then have finalB:finalB (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1) = finalB (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2)
unfolding isIntV.simps finalN-iff-finalB ss by blast

show  $\neg$  isIntV ss1  $\longrightarrow$  move1  $\Gamma$ -inv ss1 sl1 ss2 sl2  $\wedge$  move2  $\Gamma$ -inv ss1 sl1
ss2 sl2
apply(unfold ss, auto)
subgoal unfolding move1-def finalB-defs by auto
subgoal unfolding finalB
unfolding move2-def finalB-defs by auto .

show isIntV ss1  $\longrightarrow$  getActV ss1 = getActV ss2  $\longrightarrow$  getObsV ss1 = getObsV
ss2  $\wedge$  move12  $\Gamma$ -inv ss1 sl1 ss2 sl2
proof(unfold ss isIntV.simps finalN-iff-finalB, intro impI, rule conjI)
assume final: $\neg$  finalB (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1) and
getAct:getActV (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1) = getActV (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2,
ls2)
have not6:pc1 = 6  $\implies$  False
using cfg final  $\Gamma$ 
by simp

show getObsV (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1) = getObsV (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2,
ls2)
using  $\Gamma$  getAct unfolding ss
apply–apply(frule  $\Gamma$ -implies, elim conjE)
using cases-5[of pcOf cfg1] cases-5[of pcOf cfg2]
by(elim disjE, simp-all add:  $\Gamma$ -defs final)

show move12  $\Gamma$ -inv (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1) sl1 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
sl2
unfolding move12-def validEtransO.simps
proof(intro allI, rule impI, elim conjE, unfold validTransV.simps isSecV-iff
getSecV.simps fst-conv)
fix ss1' ss2' sl1' sl2'

assume v: (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)  $\rightarrow$ N ss1' (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 $\rightarrow$ N ss2' and
sec: pcOf cfg1  $\neq$  0  $\wedge$  sl1 = sl1'  $\vee$  pcOf cfg1 = 0  $\wedge$  sl1 = stateOf cfg1
# sl1'
pcOf cfg2  $\neq$  0  $\wedge$  sl2 = sl2'  $\vee$  pcOf cfg2 = 0  $\wedge$  sl2 = stateOf cfg2
# sl2'

obtain cfg1' ibT1' ibUT1' ls1' where ss1': ss1' = (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1',
ls1')
by (cases ss1', auto)

```

```

obtain  $cfg2' \text{ ibT2}' \text{ ibUT2}' \text{ ls2}'$  where  $ss2': ss2' = (cfg2', \text{ibT2}', \text{ibUT2}',$ 
 $ls2')$ 
  by (cases  $ss2'$ , auto)

obtain  $pc1' \text{ vs1}' \text{ avst1}' \text{ h1}' \text{ p1}'$  where
   $cfg1': cfg1' = \text{Config } pc1' (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs1') \text{ avst1}' \text{ h1}' \text{ p1}')$ 
  by (cases  $cfg1'$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain  $pc2' \text{ vs2}' \text{ avst2}' \text{ h2}' \text{ p2}'$  where
   $cfg2': cfg2' = \text{Config } pc2' (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs2') \text{ avst2}' \text{ h2}' \text{ p2}')$ 
  by (cases  $cfg2'$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note  $cfg = \text{cfg } cfg1' \text{ } cfg2'$ 

obtain  $hh1'$  where  $h1': h1' = \text{Heap } hh1'$  by(cases  $h1'$ , auto)
obtain  $hh2'$  where  $h2': h2' = \text{Heap } hh2'$  by(cases  $h2'$ , auto)
note  $hh = hh \text{ } h1' \text{ } h2'$ 

note  $ss = ss1 \text{ } ss2 \text{ } ss1' \text{ } ss2'$ 
have  $v':(cfg1, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}) \rightarrow B (cfg1', \text{ibT1}', \text{ibUT1}')$  using v unfolding
 $ss$  by simp
  then have  $v1:\text{nextB } (cfg1, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}) = (cfg1', \text{ibT1}', \text{ibUT1}')$  using
stepB-nextB by auto

  have  $v'':(cfg2, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}) \rightarrow B (cfg2', \text{ibT2}', \text{ibUT2}')$  using v unfolding
 $ss$  by simp
  then have  $v2:\text{nextB } (cfg2, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}) = (cfg2', \text{ibT2}', \text{ibUT2}')$  using
stepB-nextB by auto
  note  $\text{valid} = v' \text{ } v1 \text{ } v'' \text{ } v2$ 

have  $ls1':ls1' = ls1 \cup \text{readLocs } cfg1$  using v unfolding  $ss$  by simp
have  $ls2':ls2' = ls2 \cup \text{readLocs } cfg2$  using v unfolding  $ss$  by simp
note  $ls = ls1' \text{ } ls2'$ 

note  $\Gamma\text{-simps} = \text{cfg } ls \text{ } vs_i\text{-defs } hh \text{ } \text{array-loc-def}$ 
 $\text{array-base-def } \text{state-def } \text{PC-def}$ 

show  $\Gamma\text{-inv } ss1' \text{ } sl1' \text{ } ss2' \text{ } sl2'$ 
  using  $\Gamma \text{ } \text{valid } \text{getAct}$ 
  unfolding  $ss$  apply–apply(frule  $\Gamma\text{-implies}$ )
  using cases-5[of  $pc1$ ] not6 apply(elim disjE, simp-all)
  unfolding  $\Gamma\text{-def } ss$ 
  prefer 4 subgoal using vs-xx-cases[of  $cfg1$ ]
  by (elim disjE, unfold  $\Gamma\text{-defs}$ , auto simp add: \Gamma-simps)
  subgoal by (unfold  $\Gamma\text{-defs}$ , auto simp add: \Gamma-simps)
  subgoal by (unfold  $\Gamma\text{-defs}$ , auto simp add: \Gamma-simps)
  subgoal by (unfold  $\Gamma\text{-defs}$ , auto simp add: \Gamma-simps)
  subgoal using val-neq-1 apply (unfold  $\Gamma\text{-defs}$ , auto simp add: \Gamma-simps)

  using val-neq-1 by (metis NN-suc add-left-cancel nat-int)

```

```

      subgoal by (unfold  $\Gamma$ -defs, auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -simps)
      subgoal by (unfold  $\Gamma$ -defs, auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -simps) .
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

theorem  $\neg$ rsecure
  apply(rule unwindSD-rsecure[of  $s3_0$   $s3$ -trans  $s4_0$   $s4$ -trans  $\Gamma$ -inv])
  by simp-all

end

```

9 Proof of Relative Security for fun2

```

theory Fun2
  imports
    ../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem
    Relative-Security.Unwinding-fin
begin

```

9.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

```

no-notation bot ( $\perp$ )

```

```

consts NN :: nat
lemma NN: NN  $\geq$  0 by auto

```

```

definition aa1 :: avname where aa1 = "a1"
definition aa2 :: avname where aa2 = "a2"
definition xx :: avname where xx = "xx"
definition tt :: avname where tt = "tt"

```

```

lemmas vvars-defs = aa1-def aa2-def xx-def tt-def

```

```

lemma vvars-dff[simp]:
  aa1  $\neq$  aa2 aa1  $\neq$  xx aa1  $\neq$  tt
  aa2  $\neq$  aa1 aa2  $\neq$  xx aa2  $\neq$  tt
  xx  $\neq$  aa1 xx  $\neq$  aa2 xx  $\neq$  tt
  tt  $\neq$  aa1 tt  $\neq$  aa2 tt  $\neq$  xx
unfolding vvars-defs by auto

```

```

consts size-aa1 :: nat
consts size-aa2 :: nat

```

```

lemma aa1: size-aa1  $\geq$  0 and aa2:size-aa2  $\geq$  0 by auto

```

```

fun initAvstore :: avstore  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
initAvstore (Avstore as) = (as aa1 = (0, nat size-aa1)  $\wedge$  as aa2 = (nat size-aa1,
nat size-aa2))

```

```

fun istate :: state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
istate s = (initAvstore (getAvstore s))

```

```

definition prog  $\equiv$ 
[
  / Start ,
  / Input U xx ,
  / tt ::= (N 0) ,
  / IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN)) 4 6 ,
  / Fence ,
  / tt ::= (VA aa2 (Times (VA aa1 (V xx)) (N 512))),
  / Output U (V tt)
]

```

```

lemma cases-6: (i::pcounter) = 0  $\vee$  i = 1  $\vee$  i = 2  $\vee$  i = 3  $\vee$  i = 4  $\vee$  i = 5  $\vee$ 
i = 6  $\vee$  i > 6

```

```

apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
  . . . . .

```

```

lemma xx-NN-cases: vs xx < int(NN)  $\vee$  vs xx  $\geq$  int(NN) by auto

```

```

lemma is-If-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 6  $\implies$  is-IfJump (prog ! (pcOf cfg))  $\longleftrightarrow$  pcOf cfg = 3
apply(cases cfg) subgoal for pc s using cases-6[of pcOf cfg ]
by (auto simp: prog-def) .

```

```

lemma is-If-pc[simp]:
pc < 6  $\implies$  is-IfJump (prog ! pc)  $\longleftrightarrow$  pc = 3
using cases-6[of pc]
by (auto simp: prog-def)

```

```

lemma eq-Fence-pc[simp]:
pc < 6  $\implies$  prog ! pc = Fence  $\longleftrightarrow$  pc = 4
using cases-6[of pc]
by (auto simp: prog-def)

```



```

consts mispred :: predState  $\Rightarrow$  pcounter list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
fun resolve :: predState  $\Rightarrow$  pcounter list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  resolve p pc = (if (set pc = {6,4}) then True else False)

```

```

consts update :: predState  $\Rightarrow$  pcounter list  $\Rightarrow$  predState
consts initPstate :: predState

```

```

interpretation Prog-Mispred-Init where
  prog = prog and initPstate = initPstate and
  mispred = mispred and resolve = resolve and update = update and
  istate = istate
  by (standard, simp add: prog-def)

```

abbreviation

```

stepB-abbrev :: config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\Rightarrow$  config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\Rightarrow$ 
bool (infix  $\rightarrow B$  55)
where x  $\rightarrow B$  y == stepB x y

```

abbreviation

```

stepsB-abbrev :: config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\Rightarrow$  config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\Rightarrow$ 
bool (infix  $\rightarrow B^*$  55)
where x  $\rightarrow B^*$  y == star stepB x y

```

abbreviation

```

stepM-abbrev :: config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\Rightarrow$  config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\Rightarrow$ 
bool (infix  $\rightarrow M$  55)
where x  $\rightarrow M$  y == stepM x y

```

abbreviation

```

stepN-abbrev :: config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  loc set  $\Rightarrow$  config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val
llist  $\times$  loc set  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\rightarrow N$  55)
where x  $\rightarrow N$  y == stepN x y

```

abbreviation

```

stepsN-abbrev :: config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  loc set  $\Rightarrow$  config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val
llist  $\times$  loc set  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\rightarrow N^*$  55)
where x  $\rightarrow N^*$  y == star stepN x y

```

abbreviation

```

stepS-abbrev :: configS  $\Rightarrow$  configS  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\rightarrow S$  55)

```

where $x \rightarrow_S y == \text{stepS } x \ y$

abbreviation

$\text{stepsS-abbrev} :: \text{configS} \Rightarrow \text{configS} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \rightarrow_{S*} 55)
where $x \rightarrow_{S*} y == \text{star stepS } x \ y$

lemma $\text{endPC}[simp]: \text{endPC} = 7$
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma $\text{is-getUntrustedInput-pcOf}[simp]: \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} < 6 \implies \text{is-getInput } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \longleftrightarrow \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} = 1$
using $\text{cases-6[of pcOf cfg]}$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: prog-def})$

lemma $\text{start}[simp]: \text{prog} ! 0 = \text{Start}$
by $(\text{auto simp: prog-def})$

lemma $\text{getUntrustedInput-pcOf}[simp]: \text{prog} ! 1 = \text{Input } U \ xx$
by $(\text{auto simp: prog-def})$

lemma $\text{if-stat}[simp]: \text{prog} ! 3 = (\text{IfJump } (\text{Less } (V \ xx) (N \ NN)) 4 \ 6)$
by $(\text{auto simp: prog-def})$

lemma $\text{isOutput1}[simp]: \text{prog} ! 6 = \text{Output } U \ (V \ tt)$
by $(\text{auto simp: prog-def})$

lemma $\text{is-Output-pcOf}[simp]: \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} < 6 \implies \text{is-Output } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \longleftrightarrow \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} = 6$
using $\text{cases-6[of pcOf cfg]}$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: prog-def})$

lemma $\text{is-Fence-pcOf}[simp]: \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} < 6 \implies (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) = \text{Fence} \longleftrightarrow \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} = 4$
using $\text{cases-6[of pcOf cfg]}$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: prog-def})$

lemma $\text{is-Output}[simp]: \text{is-Output } (\text{prog} ! 6)$
unfolding is-Output-def prog-def **by** auto

lemma $\text{isSecV-pcOf}[simp]:$
 $\text{isSecV } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \longleftrightarrow \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} = 0$
using isSecV-def **by** simp

lemma *isSecO-pcOf[simp]*:
isSecO (*pstate*,*cfg*,*cfgs*,*ibT*, *ibUT*,*ls*) \longleftrightarrow (*pcOf* *cfg* = 0 \wedge *cfgs* = [])
using *isSecO-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getInputT-not[simp]*: *pcOf* *cfg* < 7 \implies
(*prog* ! *pcOf* *cfg*) \neq *Input T inp*
apply(*cases* *cfg*) **subgoal for** *pc s* **using** *cases-6*[*of* *pcOf* *cfg*]
by (*auto simp: prog-def*) .

lemma *getActV-pcOf[simp]*:
pcOf *cfg* < 7 \implies
getActV (*cfg*,*ibT*,*ibUT*,*ls*) =
(*if* *pcOf* *cfg* = 1 *then* *lhd* *ibUT* *else* \perp)
apply(*subst* *getActV-simps*) **unfolding** *prog-def*
using *cases-6*[*of* *pcOf* *cfg*] **by** *auto*

lemma *getObsV-pcOf[simp]*:
pcOf *cfg* < 7 \implies
getObsV (*cfg*,*ibT*,*ibUT*,*ls*) =
(*if* *pcOf* *cfg* = 6 *then*
(*outOf* (*prog*!(*pcOf* *cfg*)) (*stateOf* *cfg*), *ls*)
else \perp
)
apply(*subst* *getObsV-simps*)
using *getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf*
unfolding *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getActO-pcOf[simp]*:
pcOf *cfg* < 7 \implies
getActO (*pstate*,*cfg*,*cfgs*,*ibT*,*ibUT*,*ls*) =
(*if* *pcOf* *cfg* = 1 \wedge *cfgs* = [] *then* *lhd* *ibUT* *else* \perp)
apply(*subst* *getActO-simps*)
apply(*cases* *cfgs*, *auto*)
unfolding *prog-def* **apply** *simp*
using *getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def* **by** *presburger*

lemma *getObsO-pcOf[simp]*:
pcOf *cfg* < 7 \implies
getObsO (*pstate*,*cfg*,*cfgs*,*ibT*, *ibUT*,*ls*) =
(*if* (*pcOf* *cfg* = 6 \wedge *cfgs* = []) *then*
(*outOf* (*prog*!(*pcOf* *cfg*)) (*stateOf* *cfg*), *ls*)
else \perp
)
apply(*subst* *getObsO-simps*)
apply(*cases* *cfgs*, *auto*)

using *getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV*
unfolding *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *eqSec-pcOf[simp]*:
 $eqSec\ (cfg1, ibT, ibUT1, ls1)\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT, ibUT3, ls3) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg3 = 0 \wedge cfs3 = []) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \longrightarrow stateOf\ cfg1 = stateOf\ cfg3)$
unfolding *eqSec-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *nextB-pc0[simp]*:
 $nextB\ (Config\ 0\ s, ibT, ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 1\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc0'[simp]*: $nextB\ (Config\ 0\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p), ibT, ibUT)$
 $=$
 $(Config\ (Suc\ 0)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p), ibT, ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc0[simp]*:
 $readLocs\ (Config\ 0\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1[simp]*:
 $ibUT \neq LNil \implies nextB\ (Config\ 1\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p), ibT, ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 2\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(xx := lhd\ ibUT))))\ avst\ h\ p), ibT, ltl\ ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput'*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1[simp]*:
 $readLocs\ (Config\ 1\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1'[simp]*:
 $ibUT \neq LNil \implies nextB\ (Config\ (Suc\ 0)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p), ibT, ibUT)$
 $=$
 $(Config\ 2\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(xx := lhd\ ibUT))))\ avst\ h\ p), ibT, ltl\ ibUT)$

apply(subst nextB-getUntrustedInput')
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc1 [simp]:
readLocs (Config (Suc 0) s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc2 [simp]:
nextB (Config 2 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc2 [simp]:
readLocs (Config 2 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc3-then [simp]:
vs xx < NN \implies
nextB (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc3-else [simp]:
vs xx \geq NN \implies
nextB (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-IfFalse)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc3:
nextB (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 6) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
by(cases vs xx < NN, auto)

lemma nextM-pc3-then [simp]:
vs xx \geq NN \implies
nextM (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextM-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc3-else [simp]:
vs xx < NN \implies

$nextM$ (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *h* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *h* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextM-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3*:
 $nextM$ (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *h* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config* (*if* *vs* *xx* < *NN* *then* 6 *else* 4) (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *h* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
by(*cases vs xx < NN, auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc3[simp]*:
 $readLocs$ (*Config 3* *s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc4[simp]*:
 $nextB$ (*Config 4* *s*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) = (*Config 5* *s*, *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc4[simp]*:
 $readLocs$ (*Config 4* *s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5[simp]*:
 $nextB$ (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* (*Heap* *h*) *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*let* *l* = (*array-loc* *aa2* (*nat* (*h* (*array-loc* *aa1* (*nat* (*vs* *xx*)) *avst*) * 512)) *avst*)
 in (*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore* (*vs*(*tt* := *h* *l*))) *avst* (*Heap* *h*) *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc5[simp]*:
 $readLocs$ (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* (*Heap* *h*) *p*)) =
 {*array-loc* *aa2* (*nat* (*h* (*array-loc* *aa1* (*nat* (*vs* *xx*)) *avst*) * 512)) *avst*, *array-loc*
 aa1 (*nat* (*vs* *xx*)) *avst*}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc6[simp]*:
 $nextB$ (*Config 6* *s*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) = (*Config 7* *s*, *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Output*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc6[simp]*:
 $readLocs$ (*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* (*Heap* *h*) *p*)) =

$\{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-stepB-pc*:

$pc < 7 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$

$(Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow_B\ nextB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

apply(*cases s*) **subgoal for** *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)

subgoal for *vs as h*

using *cases-6[of pc]* **apply** *safe*

subgoal by *simp*

subgoal by *simp*

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps, unfold endPC-def*)

by (*simp add: prog-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps, unfold endPC-def*)

by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps, unfold endPC-def*)

by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal by(*cases vs xx < NN, simp-all*)

subgoal by(*cases vs xx < NN, simp-all*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*

by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*

by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*

by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*

by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*

by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*

by (*simp add: prog-def*)

by *simp+ . .*

lemma *not-finalB*:

$pc < 7 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$

$\neg finalB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

using *nextB-stepB-pc* **by** (*simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

lemma *finalB-pc-iff'*:

$pc < 7 \implies$

$finalB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$

$(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil)$
subgoal apply safe
subgoal using nextB-stepB-pc[of pc] by (auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB)
subgoal using nextB-stepB-pc[of pc] by (auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB)
subgoal using finalB-iff getUntrustedInput-pcOf by auto . .

lemma finalB-pc-iff:
 $pc \leq \gamma \implies$
 $finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pc = \gamma)$
using cases-6[of pc] apply (elim disjE, simp add: finalB-def)
subgoal by (meson final-def stebB-0)
by (simp add: finalB-pc-iff' finalB-endPC)+

lemma finalB-pcOf-iff[simp]:
 $pcOf\ cfg \leq \gamma \implies$
 $finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pcOf\ cfg = \gamma)$
by (metis config.collapse finalB-pc-iff)

lemma finalS-cond:pcOf cfg < $\gamma \implies cfs = [] \implies (pcOf\ cfg = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq$
 $LNil) \implies \neg finalS (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls)$
apply(cases cfg)
subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs as h
using cases-6[of pc] apply(elim disjE) unfolding finalS-defs
subgoal using nonspec-normal[of [] Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 1\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls]$
using is-If-pc by force

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
 $hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 2\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(xx:=\ lhd\ ibUT)))\ avst\ hh$
 $p)$
 $ibT\ ltl\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls]$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all
subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
 $hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 3\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(tt:=\ 0)))\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$

$vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)) \ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(cases mispred pstate [3])
subgoal apply(frule nonspec-mispred[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
 $hh \ p)$
 $pstate \ update \ pstate \ [pcOf \ (Config \ pc \ (State$
 $(Vstore \ vs) \ avst \ hh \ p))]$
 $(State \ (Vstore \ vs) \ avst \ hh \ p)$
 $(State \ (Vstore \ vs) \ avst \ hh \ p)$
 $4) \ (State \ (Vstore \ vs) \ avst \ hh \ p)]$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ Config \ (if \ vs \ xx < NN \ then \ 4 \ else \ 6)$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ Config \ (if \ vs \ xx < NN \ then \ 6 \ else \ 4)$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ [Config \ (if \ vs \ xx < NN \ then \ 6 \ else$
 $4) \ (State \ (Vstore \ vs) \ avst \ hh \ p)]$
 $ls \cup \ readLocs \ (Config \ pc \ (State \ (Vstore \ vs)$
 $avst \ hh \ p)) \ ls])$
prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
 $hh \ p)$
 $pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$
 $Config \ (if \ vs \ xx < NN \ then \ 4 \ else \ 6) \ (State \ (Vstore$
 $vs) \ avst \ hh \ p)$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \cup \ readLocs \ (Config \ pc \ (State \ (Vstore$
 $vs) \ avst \ hh \ p)) \ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
 $hh \ p)$
 $pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$
 $Config \ 5 \ (State \ (Vstore \ vs) \ avst \ hh \ p)$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
 $hh \ p)$
 $pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$
 $(let \ l = (array-loc \ aa2 \ (nat \ (h \ (array-loc \ aa1 \ (nat \ (vs \ xx))$
 $avst) \ * \ 512)) \ avst)$
 $in \ (Config \ 6 \ (State \ (Vstore \ (vs(tt := h \ l))) \ avst \ hh \ p)))$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \cup \ readLocs \ (Config \ pc \ (State \ (Vstore \ vs) \ avst$
 $hh \ p)) \ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
 $hh \ p)$
 $pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$
 $Config \ 7 \ (State \ (Vstore \ vs) \ avst \ hh \ p)$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \ ls])$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

by simp-all . . .

lemma *finalS-cond-spec*:

$pcOf\ cfg < 7 \implies$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfgs) = 4 \wedge pcOf\ cfg = 6) \vee (pcOf\ (last\ cfgs) = 6 \wedge pcOf\ cfg = 4) \implies$
 $length\ cfgs = Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $\neg\ finalS\ (pstate,\ cfg,\ cfgs,\ ibT,\ ibUT,\ ls)$
apply(*cases cfg*)
subgoal for *pc s* **apply**(*cases s*)
subgoal for *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for *vs as h*
apply(*elim disjE, elim conjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs*
subgoal using *spec-resolve*[*of cfgs pstate cfg update pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cfgs) cfg [] ibT ibT ibUT ibUT ls ls*]
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) butlast.simps(2) empty-set last-ConsL length-0-conv length-Suc-conv list.simps(8,9,15) pos2 resolve.simps*)

subgoal apply(*elim conjE*)
using *spec-resolve*[*of cfgs pstate cfg update pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cfgs) cfg [] ibT ibT ibUT ibUT ls ls*]
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) empty-set insert-commute last-ConsL resolve.simps length-0-conv length-1-butlast length-Suc-conv list.simps(9,8,15)*) . .
. .

end

9.2 Proof

theory *Fun2-secure*

imports *Fun2*

begin

definition *PC* $\equiv \{0..6\}$

definition *same-xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4* \equiv

$vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))\ xx \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfgs3.\ vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3'))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ xx) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfgs4.\ vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4'))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))\ xx)$

definition $beforeInput = \{0,1\}$
definition $afterInput = \{2,3,4,5,6\}$
definition $inThenBranch = \{4,5,6\}$
definition $startOfThenBranch = 4$
definition $elseBranch = 6$

definition $common :: stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$

where

$common = (\lambda$
 $(pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(pstate3 = pstate4 \wedge$
 $cfg1 = cfg3 \wedge cfg2 = cfg4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = pcOf\ cfg4 \wedge map\ pcOf\ cfgs3 = map\ pcOf\ cfgs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in PC \wedge pcOf\ ' (set\ cfgs3) \subseteq PC \wedge$
 $///$
 $array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfgs3. array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array-base\ aa1$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfgs4. array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array-base\ aa1$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfgs3. array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array-base\ aa2$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfgs4. array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array-base\ aa2$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $///$
 $(statA = Diff \longrightarrow statO = Diff)))$

lemma $common-implies: common\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT, ibUT3, ls3)$

$(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \Longrightarrow$

$pcOf\ cfg1 < 8 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1$

unfolding $common-def\ PC-def$

by $(auto\ simp: image-def\ subset-eq)$

definition $\Delta 0 :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\Delta 0 = (\lambda \text{num}$

$(\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 statO .

$(\text{common } (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\text{statO} \wedge$
 $\text{ibUT1} = \text{ibUT3} \wedge \text{ibUT2} = \text{ibUT4} \wedge$
 $(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} > 1 \longrightarrow \text{same-xx } \text{cfg3 } \text{cfs3 } \text{cfg4 } \text{cfs4}) \wedge$
 $(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} < 2 \longrightarrow \text{ibUT1} \neq \text{LNil} \wedge \text{ibUT2} \neq \text{LNil} \wedge \text{ibUT3} \neq \text{LNil} \wedge \text{ibUT4} \neq \text{LNil})$
 \wedge
 $\text{ls1} = \text{ls3} \wedge \text{ls2} = \text{ls4} \wedge$
 $\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} \in \text{beforeInput} \wedge$
 $\text{noMisSpec } \text{cfs3}$
 $)$)

lemmas $\Delta 0\text{-defs} = \Delta 0\text{-def } \text{common-def } \text{PC-def}$
 beforeInput-def
 $\text{same-xx-def } \text{noMisSpec-def}$

lemma $\Delta 0\text{-implies: } \Delta 0 \text{ num}$

$(\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\text{statO} \implies$
 $(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} = 1 \longrightarrow \text{ibUT3} \neq \text{LNil}) \wedge$
 $(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg4} = 1 \longrightarrow \text{ibUT4} \neq \text{LNil}) \wedge$
 $\text{pcOf } \text{cfg1} < 7 \wedge \text{pcOf } \text{cfg2} = \text{pcOf } \text{cfg1} \wedge$
 $\text{cfs3} = [] \wedge \text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} < 7 \wedge$
 $\text{cfs4} = [] \wedge \text{pcOf } \text{cfg4} < 7$

unfolding $\Delta 0\text{-defs}$

apply $(\text{intro } \text{conjI})$

apply simp-all

by $(\text{metis } \text{map-is-Nil-conv})$

definition $\Delta 1 :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\Delta 1 = (\lambda \text{num}$

```

      (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
      (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
      statA
      (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
      (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
      statO.
    (common (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
      (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
      statA
      (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
      (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
      statO  $\wedge$ 
      ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
      same-xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4  $\wedge$ 
      pcOf cfg3  $\in$  afterInput  $\wedge$ 
      noMisSpec cfs3
    ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 1$ -defs = $\Delta 1$ -def common-def PC-def afterInput-def noMisSpec-def same-xx-def

lemma $\Delta 1$ -implies: $\Delta 1$ num

```

      (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
      (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
      statA
      (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
      (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
      statO  $\implies$ 
      pcOf cfg1 < 7  $\wedge$ 
      cfs3 = []  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3  $\neq$  1  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3 < 7  $\wedge$ 
      cfs4 = []  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4  $\neq$  1  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4 < 7
    unfolding  $\Delta 1$ -defs
    apply (intro conjI) apply simp-all
    apply linarith
    apply (metis list.map-disc-iff)
    using semiring-norm(83,84)
    by linarith

```

definition $\Delta 2$:: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status
 \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

 $\Delta 2$  = ( $\lambda$ num
      (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
      (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
      statA
      (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
      (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
      statO.
    (common (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
      (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)

```

```

    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
    same-xx cfg3 cfigs3 cfg4 cfigs4  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = startOfThenBranch  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf (last cfigs3) = elseBranch  $\wedge$ 
    misSpecL1 cfigs3
  ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 2$ -defs = $\Delta 2$ -def common-def PC-def same-xx-def inThenBranch-def
 elseBranch-def startOfThenBranch-def misSpecL1-def same-xx-def

lemma $\Delta 2$ -implies: $\Delta 2$ num (pstate3,cfg3,cfigs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)

```

    (pstate4,cfg4,cfigs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\implies$ 
    pcOf (last cfigs3) = 6  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3 = 4  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf (last cfigs4) = pcOf (last cfigs3)  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4  $\wedge$ 
    length cfigs3 = Suc 0  $\wedge$ 
    length cfigs3 = length cfigs4
  apply(intro conjI)
  unfolding  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply simp-all
  apply (simp add: image-subset-iff)
  apply (metis last-map list.map-disc-iff)
  by (metis length-map)

```

definition $\Delta 3$:: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status
 \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

 $\Delta 3$  = ( $\lambda$  num
  (pstate3,cfg3,cfigs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
  (pstate4,cfg4,cfigs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
  (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
  statO.
  (common (pstate3,cfg3,cfigs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfigs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 

```

```

pcOf cfg3 = elseBranch ∧
pcOf (last cfigs3) = startOfThenBranch ∧
same-xx cfg3 cfigs3 cfg4 cfigs4 ∧
misSpecL1 cfigs3
))

```

lemmas Δ_3 -defs = Δ_3 -def common-def PC-def same-xx-def elseBranch-def startOfThen-Branch-def
misSpecL1-def same-xx-def

lemma Δ_3 -implies: Δ_3 num

```

(pstate3, cfg3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO ⇒
pcOf (last cfigs3) = 4 ∧ pcOf cfg3 = 6 ∧
pcOf (last cfigs4) = pcOf (last cfigs3) ∧
pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4 ∧
array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf (last cfigs3))) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore
(stateOf cfg3)) ∧
array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf (last cfigs4))) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore
(stateOf cfg4)) ∧
length cfigs3 = Suc 0 ∧
length cfigs3 = length cfigs4

```

apply (intro conjI)

unfolding Δ_3 -defs **apply** simp-all

apply (simp add: image-subset-iff)

apply (metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv)

apply (metis last-in-set list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

apply (metis One-nat-def last-in-set length-0-conv length-map zero-neg-one)

by (metis length-map)

definition Δ_4 :: enat ⇒ stateO ⇒ stateO ⇒ status ⇒ stateV ⇒ stateV ⇒ status
⇒ bool **where**

```

 $\Delta_4$  = ( $\lambda$ num
(pstate3, cfg3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO.
(pcOf cfg3 = endPC ∧ pcOf cfg4 = endPC ∧ cfigs3 = [] ∧ cfigs4 = [] ∧
pcOf cfg1 = endPC ∧ pcOf cfg2 = endPC))

```

lemmas Δ_4 -defs = Δ_4 -def common-def endPC-def

```

lemma init: initCond  $\Delta 0$ 
  unfolding initCond-def
  unfolding initCond-def apply(intro allI)
  subgoal for  $s3\ s4$  apply(cases s3, cases s4)
subgoal for  $pstate3\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ ibT3\ ibUT3\ ls3\ pstate4\ cfg4\ cfgs4\ ibT4\ ibUT4\ ls4$ 
apply clarsimp
apply(cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg3), cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg4))
unfolding  $\Delta 0$ -defs
unfolding array-base-def by auto . .

```

```

lemma step0: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 0$  (oor  $\Delta 0\ \Delta 1$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix  $n\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
  assume  $r: reachO\ ss3\ reachO\ ss4\ reachV\ ss1\ reachV\ ss2$ 
  and  $\Delta 0: \Delta 0\ n\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 

```

```

  obtain  $pstate3\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ ibT3\ ibUT3\ ls3$  where  $ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,$ 
 $ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$ 
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain  $pstate4\ cfg4\ cfgs4\ ibT4\ ibUT4\ ls4$  where  $ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,$ 
 $ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$ 
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain  $cfg1\ ibT1\ ibUT1\ ls1$  where  $ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$ 
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain  $cfg2\ ibT2\ ibUT2\ ls2$  where  $ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$ 
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note  $ss = ss3\ ss4\ ss1\ ss2$ 

```

```

  obtain  $pc3\ vs3\ avst3\ h3\ p3$  where
 $cfg3: cfg3 = Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3)$ 
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain  $pc4\ vs4\ avst4\ h4\ p4$  where
 $cfg4: cfg4 = Config\ pc4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4)$ 
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note  $cfg = cfg3\ cfg4$ 

```

```

  obtain  $hh3$  where  $h3: h3 = Heap\ hh3$  by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain  $hh4$  where  $h4: h4 = Heap\ hh4$  by(cases h4, auto)
  note  $hh = h3\ h4$ 

```

```

  have  $f1: \neg finalN\ ss1$ 
    using  $\Delta 0$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss\ finalN$ -iff-finalB  $\Delta 0$ -defs
    by simp

```



```

have f2:¬finalN ss2
  using Δ0 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB Δ0-defs
  by simp

have f3:¬finalS ss3
  using Δ0 unfolding ss apply-apply(frul Δ0-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ0 unfolding ss apply-apply(frul Δ0-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor Δ0 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  show match2 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  show match12 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)

```

```

by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

show eqSec ss1 ss3
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
by (simp add: Δ0-defs eqSec-def)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
apply (simp add: Δ0-defs eqSec-def)
by (metis length-0-conv length-map)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
unfolding Opt.eqAct-def Van.eqAct-def
apply (simp-all add: Δ0-defs)
by (metis f3 map-is-Nil-conv ss3)

show match12-12 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof (rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
  show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
  using v sa Δ0 sstat unfolding ss cfg statA' apply simp
  apply (simp add: Δ0-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def finalS-def final-def)
  using cases-6[of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
  apply simp-all apply (cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
  apply (cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
  apply (fastforce)
  using updStat.simps status.exhaust status.distinct by (smt(z3))
  } note stat = this

show oor Δ0 Δ1 ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO' statO
ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ0-defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat unfolding ss by (simp add:

```

```

Δ0-defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ0-defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ0-defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat unfolding ss apply (simp add:
Δ0-defs)
  by (metis is-If-pc less-Suc-eq nat-less-le numeral-1-eq-Suc-0 nu-
meral-3-eq-3
      one-eq-numeral-iff semiring-norm(83) zero-less-numeral zero-neq-numeral)

  next
  case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis
  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ0-defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ0-defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ0-defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ0-defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ0-defs)
  next
  case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis using sa stat Δ0 v3 v4 nn3 nn4 f4 unfolding ss cfg hh
Opt.eqAct-def
  apply clarsimp
  using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
  subgoal apply(rule oorI1) by (simp add: Δ0-defs)
  subgoal apply(rule oorI2) apply (simp add: Δ0-defs,auto)
  unfolding Δ1-defs

```

```

      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs) .
    by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)+
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

lemma *step1: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 1$ (*oor*₄ $\Delta 1$ $\Delta 2$ $\Delta 3$ $\Delta 4$)
proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

```

  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 1$ :  $\Delta 1$  n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

```

```

  obtain pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1 where
cfg1: cfg1 = Config pc1 (State (Vstore vs1) avst1 h1 p1)
  by (cases cfg1) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2 where
cfg2: cfg2 = Config pc2 (State (Vstore vs2) avst2 h2 p2)
  by (cases cfg2) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg1 cfg2 cfg3 cfg4

```

```

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

```

```

  have f1:  $\neg$ finalN ss1
  using  $\Delta 1$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 1$ -defs

```

```

by simp linarith

have f2:¬finalN ss2
  using Δ1 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB Δ1-defs
  by simp linarith

have f3:¬finalS ss3
  using Δ1 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ1-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ1 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ1-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4

show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ1-defs eqSec-def)

```

```

show eqSec ss2 ss4
using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss
apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs eqSec-def)
by (metis length-0-conv length-map)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
apply (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
by linarith

show match12-12 (oor4  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 4$ ) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
using v sa  $\Delta 1$  sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
apply(simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
defer 1 defer 1
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
  by simp+
} note stat = this

show (oor4  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 4$ )  $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2)
(ssstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next

```

```

      case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

  next
    case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

  next
    case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

  next
    case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
  show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis
  using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nm3 nm4 unfolding ss cfg hh apply clarsimp
  using cases-6 [of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal using xx-NN-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal apply (rule oor4I2) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  subgoal apply (rule oor4I3) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 3$ -defs) .
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)+
  qed
  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal

```

```

    show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
      next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
      next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
      next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
      next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
      next
      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat v3 v4 nn3 unfolding ss cfg hh
apply clarsimp
      using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
      subgoal by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by(simp add:Δ1-defs)
      subgoal using xx-NN-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs) .
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I4) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ4-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I4) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ4-defs) .
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed

```

```

lemma step2: unwindIntoCond Δ2 Δ1
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2

```


and $\Delta 2$: $\Delta 2$ n $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

obtain $pstate3$ $cfg3$ $cfgs3$ $ibT3$ $ibUT3$ $ls3$ **where** $ss3$: $ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

by (*cases* $ss3$, *auto*)

obtain $pstate4$ $cfg4$ $cfgs4$ $ibT4$ $ibUT4$ $ls4$ **where** $ss4$: $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

by (*cases* $ss4$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg1$ $ibT1$ $ibUT1$ $ls1$ **where** $ss1$: $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

by (*cases* $ss1$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg2$ $ibT2$ $ibUT2$ $ls2$ **where** $ss2$: $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

by (*cases* $ss2$, *auto*)

note $ss = ss3$ $ss4$ $ss1$ $ss2$

obtain $pc3$ $vs3$ $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$ **where**

$lcfgs3$: $last$ $cfgs3 = Config$ $pc3$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs3$) $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$)

by (*cases* $last$ $cfgs3$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc4$ $vs4$ $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$ **where**

$lcfgs4$: $last$ $cfgs4 = Config$ $pc4$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs4$) $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$)

by (*cases* $last$ $cfgs4$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

note $lcfgs = lcfgs3$ $lcfgs4$

have $f1$: $\neg finalN$ $ss1$

using $\Delta 2$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** ss *finalN-iff-finalB* $\Delta 2$ -*defs*

by *auto*

have $f2$: $\neg finalN$ $ss2$

using $\Delta 2$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** ss *finalN-iff-finalB* $\Delta 2$ -*defs*

by *auto*

have $f3$: $\neg finalS$ $ss3$

using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** ss **apply-apply**(*frule* $\Delta 2$ -*implies*)

using *finalS-cond-spec* **by** *simp*

have $f4$: $\neg finalS$ $ss4$

using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** ss **apply-apply**(*frule* $\Delta 2$ -*implies*)

using *finalS-cond-spec* **by** *simp*

note $finals = f1$ $f2$ $f3$ $f4$

show $finalS$ $ss3 = finalS$ $ss4 \wedge finalN$ $ss1 = finalS$ $ss3 \wedge finalN$ $ss2 = finalS$ $ss4$

using $finals$ **by** *auto*

then show $isIntO$ $ss3 = isIntO$ $ss4$ **by** *simp*

show *match* $\Delta 1$ $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

unfolding *match-def* **proof**(*intro* *conjI*)

show *match1* $\Delta 1$ $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

```

unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfigs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfigs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfigs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfigs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$ 
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all)
  apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  unfolding finalS-def final-def
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) updStat.simps(1))

  show  $\Delta 1 \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO

  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred

```

```

    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  v3 unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
    show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  v3 v4 sr3 sr4
    unfolding ss lcfgs hh apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma step3: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 3$ (oor $\Delta 3$ $\Delta 1$)

```

proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and Δ3: Δ3 n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  lcfgs3: last cfgs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases last cfgs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  lcfgs4: last cfgs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases last cfgs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  have f1:¬finalN ss1
    using Δ3 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB Δ3-defs
    by auto

  have f2:¬finalN ss2
    using Δ3 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB Δ3-defs
    by auto

  have f3:¬finalS ss3
    using Δ3 unfolding ss apply–apply(frule Δ3-implies)
    using finalS-cond-spec by simp

  have f4:¬finalS ss4
    using Δ3 unfolding ss apply–apply(frule Δ3-implies)
    using finalS-cond-spec by simp

  note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
  show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4

```

```

using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor Δ3 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor Δ3 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor Δ3 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor Δ3 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI)
    fix ss3' ss4' statA'
    assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
    and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
    and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
    note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

    obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
    by (cases ss3', auto)
    obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
    by (cases ss4', auto)
    note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show ¬ isSecO ss3
  using v sa Δ3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3-defs)

  show ¬ isSecO ss4
  using v sa Δ3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3-defs)

  show stat: statA = statA' ∨ statO = Diff
  using v sa Δ3
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  using v sa Δ3 unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add: Δ3-defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  unfolding finalS-defs
  by (smt (z3) Zero-neq-Suc list.size(3)
      map-eq-imp-length-eq status.exhaust updStat.simps)

  show oor Δ3 Δ1 ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal

```

```

    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss by (simp-all add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss by (simp-all add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss
    apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    by (metis cfigs-map config.sel(1) empty-set list.set-map list.simps(15))
  next
    case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
    show ?thesis
    using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
      by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
      by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
      by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
      by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
      by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
      show ?thesis
      apply(intro oorI2)
      using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sr3 sr4 unfolding ss hh
      apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      by (metis empty-iff empty-set length-1-butlast map-eq-imp-length-eq)

```

qed
 qed
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *stepe: unwindIntoCond* Δ_4 Δ_4

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix n $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

assume r : *reachO* $ss3$ *reachO* $ss4$ *reachV* $ss1$ *reachV* $ss2$

and Δ_4 : Δ_4 n $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

obtain $pstate3$ $cfg3$ $cfgs3$ $ibT3$ $ibUT3$ $ls3$ **where** $ss3$: $ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

by (*cases* $ss3$, *auto*)

obtain $pstate4$ $cfg4$ $cfgs4$ $ibT4$ $ibUT4$ $ls4$ **where** $ss4$: $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

by (*cases* $ss4$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg1$ $ibT1$ $ibUT1$ $ls1$ **where** $ss1$: $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

by (*cases* $ss1$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg2$ $ibT2$ $ibUT2$ $ls2$ **where** $ss2$: $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

by (*cases* $ss2$, *auto*)

note $ss = ss3$ $ss4$ $ss1$ $ss2$

obtain $pc3$ $vs3$ $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$ **where**

$cfg3$: $cfg3 = Config$ $pc3$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs3$) $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$)

by (*cases* $cfg3$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc4$ $vs4$ $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$ **where**

$cfg4$: $cfg4 = Config$ $pc4$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs4$) $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$)

by (*cases* $cfg4$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

note $cfg = cfg3$ $cfg4$

obtain $hh3$ **where** $h3$: $h3 = Heap$ $hh3$ **by**(*cases* $h3$, *auto*)

obtain $hh4$ **where** $h4$: $h4 = Heap$ $hh4$ **by**(*cases* $h4$, *auto*)

note $hh = h3$ $h4$

show *finalS* $ss3 = finalS$ $ss4 \wedge finalN$ $ss1 = finalS$ $ss3 \wedge finalN$ $ss2 = finalS$ $ss4$

using Δ_4 *Opt.final-def* *Prog.endPC-def* *finalS-def* *stepS-endPC*

unfolding Δ_4 -*defs* ss **apply** *clarify*

by (*metis* *Prog.finalN-defs(1)* *Prog.finalN-endPC* *Prog-axioms* *stepS-endPC*)

then show *isIntO* $ss3 = isIntO$ $ss4$ **by** *simp*

show *match* Δ_4 $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

unfolding *match-def* **proof**(*intro* *conjI*)

show *match1* Δ_4 $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

unfolding *match1-def* **by** (*simp* *add*: *finalS-def* *final-def*)

```

show match2  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
apply(rule match12-simpleI) using  $\Delta_4$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta_4$ -defs)
by (simp add: stepS-endPC)
qed
qed

```

lemmas theConds = step0 step1 step2 step3 stepe

proposition rsecure

proof –

```

define m where m: m  $\equiv$  (5::nat)
define  $\Delta_s$  where  $\Delta_s$ :  $\Delta_s \equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
  if i = 0 then  $\Delta_0$ 
  else if i = 1 then  $\Delta_1$ 
  else if i = 2 then  $\Delta_2$ 
  else if i = 3 then  $\Delta_3$ 
  else  $\Delta_4$ 
define next where next: next  $\equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
  if i = 0 then {0,1::nat}
  else if i = 1 then {1,2,3,4}
  else if i = 2 then {1}
  else if i = 3 then {3,1}
  else {4}
show ?thesis apply(rule distrib-unwind-rsecure[of m next  $\Delta_s$ ])
  subgoal unfolding m by auto
  subgoal unfolding next m by auto
  subgoal using init unfolding  $\Delta_s$  by auto
  subgoal
    unfolding m next  $\Delta_s$  apply (simp split: if-splits)
    using theConds
    unfolding oor-def oor3-def oor4-def by auto .

```

qed

end

10 Proof of Relative Security for fun3

theory Fun3

imports ../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem

Relative-Security.Unwinding-fin

begin

10.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

no-notation bot (\perp)

consts *NN::nat*

lemma *NN:int NN ≥ 0 by auto*

consts *size-aa1 :: nat*

consts *size-aa2 :: nat*

consts *mispred :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ bool*

consts *update :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ predState*

consts *initPstate :: predState*

definition *aa1 :: avname where aa1 = "a1"*

definition *aa2 :: avname where aa2 = "a2"*

definition *vv :: avname where vv = "v"*

definition *xx :: avname where xx = "x"*

definition *tt :: avname where tt = "t"*

lemmas *vvars-defs = aa1-def aa2-def vv-def xx-def tt-def*

lemma *vvars-dff[simp]:*

aa1 ≠ aa2 aa1 ≠ vv aa1 ≠ xx aa1 ≠ tt

aa2 ≠ aa1 aa2 ≠ vv aa2 ≠ xx aa2 ≠ tt

vv ≠ aa1 vv ≠ aa2 vv ≠ xx vv ≠ tt

xx ≠ aa1 xx ≠ aa2 xx ≠ vv xx ≠ tt

tt ≠ aa1 tt ≠ aa2 tt ≠ vv tt ≠ xx

unfolding *vvars-defs by auto*

fun *initAvstore :: avstore ⇒ bool where*

initAvstore (Avstore as) = (as aa1 = (0, size-aa1) ∧ as aa2 = (size-aa1, size-aa2))

fun *istate :: state ⇒ bool where*

istate s = (initAvstore (getAvstore s))

definition *prog ≡*

[

∅ *Start ,*

∕ *Input U xx ,*

∕ *tt ::= (N 0) ,*

∕ *IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN)) 4 7 ,*

∕ *vv ::= VA aa1 (V xx) ,*

∕ *Fence ,*

∕ *tt ::= (VA aa2 (Times (V vv) (N 512))) ,*

∕ *Output U (V tt)*

]

lemma *cases-7: (i::pcounter) = 0 ∨ i = 1 ∨ i = 2 ∨ i = 3 ∨ i = 4 ∨ i = 5 ∨*

```

i = 6 ∨ i = 7 ∨ i > 7
apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
.....

```

lemma *xx-NN-cases*: *vs xx < int NN ∨ vs xx ≥ int NN* **by** *auto*

```

lemma is-If-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 8 ⇒ is-IfJump (prog ! (pcOf cfg)) ↔ pcOf cfg = 3
apply(cases cfg) subgoal for pc s using cases-7[of pcOf cfg]
by (auto simp: prog-def) .

```

```

lemma is-If-pc[simp]:
pc < 8 ⇒ is-IfJump (prog ! pc) ↔ pc = 3
using cases-7[of pc]
by (auto simp: prog-def)

```

```

lemma eq-Fence-pc[simp]:
pc < 8 ⇒ prog ! pc = Fence ↔ pc = 5
using cases-7[of pc]
by (auto simp: prog-def)

```

```

fun resolve :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ bool where
  resolve p pc = (if (pc = [4,7]) then True else False)

```

```

interpretation Prog-Mispred-Init where
  prog = prog and initPstate = initPstate and
  mispred = mispred and resolve = resolve and update = update and
  istate = istate
  by (standard, simp add: prog-def)

```

```

abbreviation
  stepB-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒
  bool (infix →B 55)

```

where $x \rightarrow_B y == \text{step}B\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$\text{steps}B\text{-abbrev} :: \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \Rightarrow \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \Rightarrow$
 bool (**infix** \rightarrow_{B^*} 55)
where $x \rightarrow_{B^*} y == \text{star}\ \text{step}B\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$\text{step}M\text{-abbrev} :: \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \Rightarrow \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \Rightarrow$
 bool (**infix** \rightarrow_M 55)
where $x \rightarrow_M y == \text{step}M\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$\text{step}N\text{-abbrev} :: \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{loc set} \Rightarrow \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val}$
 $\text{llist} \times \text{loc set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \rightarrow_N 55)
where $x \rightarrow_N y == \text{step}N\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$\text{steps}N\text{-abbrev} :: \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{loc set} \Rightarrow \text{config} \times \text{val llist} \times \text{val}$
 $\text{llist} \times \text{loc set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \rightarrow_{N^*} 55)
where $x \rightarrow_{N^*} y == \text{star}\ \text{step}N\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$\text{step}S\text{-abbrev} :: \text{config}S \Rightarrow \text{config}S \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \rightarrow_S 55)
where $x \rightarrow_S y == \text{step}S\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$\text{steps}S\text{-abbrev} :: \text{config}S \Rightarrow \text{config}S \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \rightarrow_{S^*} 55)
where $x \rightarrow_{S^*} y == \text{star}\ \text{step}S\ x\ y$

lemma $\text{end}PC[\text{simp}]$: $\text{end}PC = 8$

unfolding $\text{end}PC\text{-def}$ **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma $\text{is-getTrustedInput-pcOf}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{pcOf}\ \text{cfg} < 8 \implies \text{is-getInput}\ (\text{prog}!(\text{pcOf}\ \text{cfg})) \longleftrightarrow \text{pcOf}\ \text{cfg} = 1$

using $\text{cases-7}[\text{of}\ \text{pcOf}\ \text{cfg}]$ **by** $(\text{auto}\ \text{simp}:\ \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{getUntrustedInput-pcOf}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{prog}!1 = \text{Input}\ U\ \text{xx}$

by $(\text{auto}\ \text{simp}:\ \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{getInput-not3}[\text{simp}]$: $\neg \text{is-getInput}\ (\text{prog}\ !\ 3)$

by $(\text{auto}\ \text{simp}:\ \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{getInput-not4}[\text{simp}]$: $\neg \text{is-getInput}\ (\text{prog}\ !\ 4)$

by $(\text{auto}\ \text{simp}:\ \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{Output-not4}[\text{simp}]$: $\neg \text{is-Output}\ (\text{prog}\ !\ 4)$

by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output-pcOf[simp]*: $pcOf\ cf g < 8 \implies is-Output\ (prog!(pcOf\ cf g)) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cf g = 7$
using *cases-7[of pcOf cf g]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output*: $is-Output\ (prog\ !\ 7)$
unfolding *is-Output-def prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-Fence[simp]*: $(prog\ !\ 5) = Fence$
unfolding *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *not-is-getTrustedInput[simp]*: $cfg = Config\ 3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ (Avstore\ as))\ (Heap\ h)\ p \implies \neg is-getInput\ (prog\ !\ pcOf\ cf g)$
unfolding *is-getInput-def prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *not-is-Output[simp]*: $cfg = Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ (Avstore\ as))\ (Heap\ h)\ p \implies pc = 3 \implies \neg is-Output\ (prog\ !\ pcOf\ cf g)$
unfolding *is-Output prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *isSecV-pcOf[simp]*:
 $isSecV\ (cfg,ibT,ibUT) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cf g = 0$
using *isSecV-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *isSecO-pcOf[simp]*:
 $isSecO\ (pstate,cf g,cf gs,ibT,ibUT,ls) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cf g = 0 \wedge cf gs = [])$
using *isSecO-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getInputT-not[simp]*: $pcOf\ cf g < 8 \implies (prog\ !\ pcOf\ cf g) \neq Input\ T\ inp$
apply(*cases cf g*) **subgoal for** *pc s* **using** *cases-7[of pcOf cf g]*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*) .

lemma *getActV-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cf g < 8 \implies getActV\ (cf g,ibT,ibUT,ls) = (if\ pcOf\ cf g = 1\ then\ lhd\ ibUT\ else\ \perp)$
apply(*subst getActV-simps*) **unfolding** *prog-def*
apply *simp*
using *cases-7[of pcOf cf g]* **apply**(*elim disjE*)
using *getActV-simps not-is-getTrustedInput-getActV* **by** *auto*

lemma *getObsV-pcOf[simp]*:

```

pcOf cfg < 8  $\implies$ 
  getObsV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
    (if pcOf cfg = 7 then
      (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
    else  $\perp$ 
    )
apply(subst getObsV-simps)
  unfolding prog-def apply simp
  using getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf prog-def by presburger

```

```

lemma getActO-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 8  $\implies$ 
  getActO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
    (if pcOf cfg = 1  $\wedge$  cfgs = [] then lhd ibUT else  $\perp$ )
apply(subst getActO-simps)
apply(cases cfgs, auto)
  unfolding prog-def apply simp
  using getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def by presburger

```

```

lemma getObsO-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 8  $\implies$ 
  getObsO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
    (if (pcOf cfg = 7  $\wedge$  cfgs = []) then
      (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
    else  $\perp$ 
    )
apply(subst getObsO-simps)
apply(cases cfgs, auto)
unfolding prog-def apply simp
using getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV prog-def by presburger

```

```

lemma eqSec-pcOf[simp]:
eqSec (cfg1, ibT,ibUT1, ls1) (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT,ibUT3, ls3)  $\iff$ 
  (pcOf cfg1 = 0  $\iff$  pcOf cfg3 = 0  $\wedge$  cfgs3 = [])  $\wedge$ 
  (pcOf cfg1 = 0  $\implies$  stateOf cfg1 = stateOf cfg3)
unfolding eqSec-def by simp

```

```

lemma nextB-pc0[simp]:
nextB (Config 0 s, ibT,ibUT) =
  (Config 1 s, ibT,ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence)

```

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc0[simp]*:

readLocs (Config 0 s) = {}

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1[simp]*:

ibUT ≠ LNil ⇒ nextB (Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd ibUT))) avst h p), ibT, ltl ibUT)

apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput'*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1[simp]*:

readLocs (Config 1 s) = {}

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1'[simp]*:

ibUT ≠ LNil ⇒ nextB (Config (Suc 0) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
=

(Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd ibUT))) avst h p), ibT, ltl ibUT)

apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput'*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1'[simp]*:

readLocs (Config (Suc 0) s) = {}

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc2[simp]*:

nextB (Config 2 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =

(Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)

apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc2[simp]*:

readLocs (Config 2 s) = {}

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-then[simp]*:

vs xx < int NN ⇒

nextB (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =

(Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)

apply(*subst nextB-IfTrue*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-else*[simp]:
vs xx ≥ int NN ⇒
nextB (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst *nextB-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3*:
nextB (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 7) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
by(cases *vs xx < NN*, *auto*)

lemma *nextM-pc3-then*[simp]:
vs xx ≥ int NN ⇒
nextM (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst *nextM-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3-else*[simp]:
vs xx < int NN ⇒
nextM (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst *nextM-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3*:
nextM (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config (if vs xx < NN then 7 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
by(cases *vs xx < NN*, *auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc3*[simp]:
readLocs (Config 3 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc4*[simp]:
nextB (Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap h) p), ibT, ibUT) =
(let l = array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst
in (Config 5 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h l))) avst (Heap h) p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst *nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc4*[simp]:
readLocs (Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)) = {array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx))
avst}

unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5[simp]*:
nextB (Config 5 s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config 6 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc5[simp]*:
readLocs (Config 5 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc6[simp]*:
nextB (Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap h) p), ibT, ibUT) =
*(let l = array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) avst*
in (Config 7 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := h l))) avst (Heap h) p)), ibT, ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc6[simp]*:
*readLocs (Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)) = {array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) avst}*
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc7[simp]*:
nextB (Config 7 s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config 8 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Output*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc7[simp]*:
readLocs (Config 7 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-stepB-pc*:
pc < 8 \implies (pc = 1 \implies ibUT \neq LNil) \implies
(Config pc s, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B nextB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(*cases s*) **subgoal for** *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for *vs as h*
using *cases-7[of pc]* **apply** *safe*
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def, metis llist.collapse)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply(cases vs xx < NN)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def) .

subgoal apply(cases vs xx < NN)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def) .

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal by auto

subgoal by auto

...

lemma not-finalB:

$pc < 8 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$

$\neg finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT)$

using nextB-stepB-pc by (simp add: stepB-iff-nextB)

lemma *finalB-pc-iff'*:

$pc < 8 \implies$

$finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil)$

subgoal apply *safe*

subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

subgoal using *finalB-iff getUntrustedInput-pcOf* **by** *auto . .*

lemma *finalB-pc-iff*:

$pc \leq 8 \implies$

$finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pc = 8)$

using *cases-7[of pc]* **apply** (*elim disjE, simp add: finalB-def*)

subgoal by (*meson final-def stebB-0*)

by (*simp add: finalB-pc-iff' finalB-endPC*)**+**

lemma *finalB-pcOf-iff[simp]*:

$pcOf\ cfg \leq 8 \implies$

$finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pcOf\ cfg = 8)$

by (*metis config.collapse finalB-pc-iff*)

lemma *finalS-cond:pcOf cfg < 8 \implies cfigs = [] \implies (pcOf cfg = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies \neg finalS (pstate, cfg, cfigs, ibT, ibUT, ls)*

apply(*rule notI, cases cfg*)

subgoal for *pc s* **apply**(*cases s*)

subgoal for *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)

subgoal for *vs as h*

using *cases-7[of pc]* **apply**(*elim disjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs*

subgoal by(*erule allE[of - (pstate, Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), [], ibT, ibUT, ls)], erule notE, rule nonspec-normal, auto*)

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*)

pstate pstate ibT ibUT

Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx:= lhd ibUT))) avst hh

p)

ibT ltl ibUT [] ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by *metis by simp-all*

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*)

pstate pstate ibT ibUT

Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt:= 0))) avst hh p)

ibT ibUT [] ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore

vs) avst hh p)) ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(cases mispred pstate [3])
subgoal by(erule allE[of - (update pstate [pcOf (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p))]),
Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 7) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p),
[Config (if vs xx < NN then 7 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)],
ibT,ibUT, ls)], erule notE, rule nonspec-mispred, auto
simp: finalM-iff)

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 7) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis apply simp-all by (simp add: nextB-pc3)
.

subgoal by(erule allE[of - (pstate, Config 5 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst)))) avst hh p),
[], ibT,ibUT, ls ∪ {array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst}],
erule notE, rule nonspec-normal, auto)

subgoal by(erule allE[of - (pstate, Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), [],
ibT,ibUT, ls)], erule notE, rule nonspec-normal, auto)

subgoal by(erule allE[of - (pstate, Config 7 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := h (array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) (Avstore as)))) avst hh p),
[], ibT,ibUT, ls ∪ {array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) (Avstore as)}]),
erule notE, rule nonspec-normal, auto)

subgoal by(erule allE[of - (pstate, Config 8 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), [],
ibT,ibUT, ls)], erule notE, rule nonspec-normal, auto)

by simp-all . . .

lemma finalS-cond-spec:

$pcOf\ cfig < 8 \implies$
 $((pcOf\ (last\ cfigs) = 4 \vee pcOf\ (last\ cfigs) = 5) \wedge pcOf\ cfig = 7) \vee$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfigs) = 7 \wedge pcOf\ cfig = 4) \implies$
 $length\ cfigs = Suc\ 0 \implies$

```

    ¬ finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)
using not-is-getTrustedInput not-is-Output
apply(cases cfg)
subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs as h apply(cases last cfgs)
subgoal for pcs ss apply(cases ss)
subgoal for vsts avsts hhs ps apply(cases vsts, cases avsts, cases hhs, simp)
  subgoal for vss ass hs apply(elim disjE, elim conjE, elim disjE, simp)
    unfolding finalS-defs
    subgoal apply(rule notI,
      erule allE[of - (pstate, Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) (Astore as) (Heap h) p),
        [Config 5 (State (Vstore (vss(vv := hs (array-loc aa1 (nat (vss
xx)) avsts)))) avsts hhs ps]),
        ibT, ibUT, ls ∪ readLocs (last cfgs)])])
      by(erule notE,
        rule spec-normal[of - - - - Config 5 (State (Vstore (vss(vv := hs (array-loc
aa1 (nat (vss xx)) avsts)))) avsts hhs ps]), auto)

    subgoal apply(rule notI,
      erule allE[of - (pstate, Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) (Astore as) (Heap h)
p), [], ibT, ibUT, ls)])
      apply(erule notE) by(rule spec-Fence, auto)

    subgoal apply(rule notI,
      erule allE[of - (update pstate (4 # map pcOf cfgs), Config 4 (State (Vstore vs)
(Astore as) (Heap h) p),
        [], ibT, ibUT, ls)])
      by(erule notE, rule spec-resolve, auto)
    . . . . .
end

```

10.2 Proof

```

theory Fun3-secure
imports Fun3
begin

```

```

type-synonym stateO = configS
type-synonym stateV = config × val llist × val llist × loc set

```

```

definition PC ≡ {0..7}

```

```

definition beforeInput = {0,1}
definition afterInput = {2,3,4,5,6,7}
definition startOfThenBranch = 4
definition inThenBranchBeforeFence = {4,5}
definition elseBranch = 7

```

definition $beforeFence = \{2..4\}$
definition $beforeAssign-vv = \{0..4\}$

definition $common :: stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$

where

$common = (\lambda$
 $(pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(pstate3 = pstate4 \wedge$
 $cfg1 = cfg3 \wedge cfg2 = cfg4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = pcOf\ cfg4 \wedge map\ pcOf\ cfgs3 = map\ pcOf\ cfgs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in PC \wedge pcOf\ (set\ cfgs3) \subseteq PC \wedge$
 $///$
 $array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfgs3. array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array-base\ aa1$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfgs4. array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array-base\ aa1$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfgs3. array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array-base\ aa2$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfgs4. array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array-base\ aa2$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $///$
 $(statA = Diff \longrightarrow statO = Diff)))$

lemma $common-implies: common$

$(pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \Longrightarrow$

$pcOf\ cfg1 < 9 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1$

unfolding $common-def\ PC-def\ by\ (auto\ simp: image-def\ subset-eq)$

definition $\Delta 0 :: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

```

Δ0 = (λnum
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO.
  (common (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
    (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
    (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
    statO ∧
    ibUT1 = ibUT3 ∧ ibUT2 = ibUT4 ∧
    (pcOf cfg3 > 1 → same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4) ∧
    (pcOf cfg3 < 2 → ibUT1 ≠ LNil ∧ ibUT2 ≠ LNil ∧ ibUT3 ≠ LNil ∧ ibUT4 ≠ LNil)
  ∧
  pcOf cfg3 ∈ beforeInput ∧
  ls1 = ls3 ∧ ls2 = ls4 ∧
  noMisSpec cfs3
))

```

lemmas Δ0-defs = Δ0-def common-def PC-def beforeInput-def noMisSpec-def same-var-o-def

lemma Δ0-implies: Δ0 num

```

  (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO ⇒
  (pcOf cfg3 = 1 → ibUT3 ≠ LNil) ∧
  (pcOf cfg4 = 1 → ibUT4 ≠ LNil) ∧
  pcOf cfg1 < 8 ∧ pcOf cfg2 = pcOf cfg1 ∧
  cfs3 = [] ∧ pcOf cfg3 < 8 ∧
  cfs4 = [] ∧ pcOf cfg4 < 8
unfolding Δ0-defs
apply(intro conjI)
apply simp-all
by (metis map-is-Nil-conv)

```

definition Δ1 :: enat ⇒ stateO ⇒ stateO ⇒ status ⇒ stateV ⇒ stateV ⇒ status
⇒ bool **where**

```

Δ1 = (λnum
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)

```

```

    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO.
  (common
    (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3  $\in$  afterInput  $\wedge$ 
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4  $\wedge$ 
    ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
    noMisSpec cfgs3
  ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 1$ -defs = $\Delta 1$ -def common-def PC-def afterInput-def same-var-o-def noMisSpec-def

lemma $\Delta 1$ -implies: $\Delta 1$ num

```

    (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\implies$ 
    pcOf cfg1 < 8  $\wedge$ 
    cfgs3 = []  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3  $\neq$  1  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3 < 8  $\wedge$ 
    cfgs4 = []  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4  $\neq$  1  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4 < 8

```

unfolding $\Delta 1$ -defs

apply(intro conjI) **apply** simp-all

using One-nat-def verit-eq-simplify(10,12) **apply** linarith

apply (metis list.map-disc-iff)

by linarith

definition $\Delta 2$:: enat \implies stateO \implies stateO \implies status \implies stateV \implies stateV \implies status
 \implies bool **where**

```

 $\Delta 2$  = ( $\lambda$ num
  (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
  (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
  (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
  statO.
  (common
    (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA

```

```

    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = startOfThenBranch  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf (last cfs3) = elseBranch  $\wedge$ 
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4  $\wedge$ 
    ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
    misSpecL1 cfs3
  ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 2$ -defs = $\Delta 2$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-def startOfThenBranch-def

misSpecL1-def elseBranch-def

lemma $\Delta 2$ -implies: $\Delta 2$ num

```

    (pstate3,cfg3,cfs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\implies$ 
    pcOf (last cfs3) = 7  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3 = 4  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf (last cfs4) = pcOf (last cfs3)  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4  $\wedge$ 
    length cfs3 = Suc 0  $\wedge$ 
    length cfs3 = length cfs4
  apply (intro conjI)
  unfolding  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply simp-all
  apply (simp add: image-subset-iff)
  apply (metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv)
  by (metis length-map)

```

definition $\Delta 3$:: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status
 \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

 $\Delta 3$  = ( $\lambda$ num
    (pstate3,cfg3,cfs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO.
  (common (pstate3,cfg3,cfs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = elseBranch  $\wedge$ 

```



```

pcOf (last cfigs3) ∈ inThenBranchBeforeFence ∧
same-var-o xx cfig3 cfigs3 cfig4 cfigs4 ∧
Language-Prelims.dist ls3 ls4 ⊆ Language-Prelims.dist ls1 ls2 ∧
(pcOf (last cfigs3) = 4 → ls1 = ls3 ∧ ls2 = ls4) ∧
misSpecL1 cfigs3
))

```

lemmas $\Delta 3$ -defs = $\Delta 3$ -def common-def PC-def inThenBranchBeforeFence-def
beforeAssign-vv-def misSpecL1-def elseBranch-def
same-var-o-def

lemma $\Delta 3$ -implies: $\Delta 3$ num

```

(pstate3, cfig3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfig4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO ⇒
(pcOf (last cfigs3) = 4 ∨ pcOf (last cfigs3) = 5) ∧ pcOf cfig3 = 7 ∧
pcOf (last cfigs4) = pcOf (last cfigs3) ∧
pcOf cfig3 = pcOf cfig4 ∧
array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf (last cfigs3))) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore
(stateOf cfig3)) ∧
array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf (last cfigs4))) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore
(stateOf cfig4)) ∧
length cfigs3 = Suc 0 ∧
length cfigs3 = length cfigs4 ∧
vstore (getVstore (stateOf (last cfigs3))) xx = vstore (getVstore (stateOf (last
cfigs4))) xx
apply (intro conjI)
unfolding  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply simp-all
apply (simp add: image-subset-iff)
apply (metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv)
apply (metis last-in-set list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)
apply (metis One-nat-def last-in-set length-0-conv length-map zero-neq-one)
apply (metis length-map)
by (metis last-in-set list.map-disc-iff)

```

definition $\Delta 1'$:: enat ⇒ stateO ⇒ stateO ⇒ status ⇒ stateV ⇒ stateV ⇒ status
⇒ bool **where**

```

 $\Delta 1'$  = (λnum
(pstate3, cfig3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfig4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)

```

```

    statO.
  (common
    (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
    (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
    (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = elseBranch  $\wedge$ 
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4  $\wedge$ 
    Language-Prelims.dist ls3 ls4  $\subseteq$  Language-Prelims.dist ls1 ls2  $\wedge$ 
    noMisSpec cfs3
  ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 1'$ -defs = $\Delta 1'$ -def common-def PC-def afterInput-def same-var-o-def
noMisSpec-def
elseBranch-def

lemma $\Delta 1'$ -implies: $\Delta 1'$ num
 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO \implies
 pcOf cfg1 < 8 \wedge
 cfs3 = [] \wedge pcOf cfg3 \neq 1 \wedge pcOf cfg3 < 8 \wedge
 cfs4 = [] \wedge pcOf cfg4 \neq 1 \wedge pcOf cfg4 < 8
unfolding $\Delta 1'$ -defs
apply(intro conjI) **apply** simp-all
by (metis list.map-disc-iff)

definition $\Delta 4$:: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status
 \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

 $\Delta 4$  = ( $\lambda$ num
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO.
  (pcOf cfg3 = endPC  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4 = endPC  $\wedge$  cfs3 = []  $\wedge$  cfs4 = []  $\wedge$ 
  pcOf cfg1 = endPC  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg2 = endPC))

```

lemmas $\Delta 4$ -defs = $\Delta 4$ -def common-def endPC-def

```

lemma init: initCond  $\Delta 0$ 
  unfolding initCond-def apply(intro allI)
  subgoal for  $s3\ s4$  apply(cases s3, cases s4)
  subgoal for  $pstate3\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ ibT3\ ibUT3\ ls3\ pstate4\ cfg4\ cfgs4\ ibT4\ ibUT4\ ls4$ 

    apply clarify
    apply(rule exI[of - (cfg3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)])
    apply(cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg3))
    apply(rule exI[of - (cfg4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)])
    apply(cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg4))
  unfolding  $\Delta 0$ -defs array-base-def by auto . .

lemma step0: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 0$  (oor  $\Delta 0\ \Delta 1$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix  $n\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
  assume  $r: reachO\ ss3\ reachO\ ss4\ reachV\ ss1\ reachV\ ss2$ 
  and  $\Delta 0: \Delta 0\ n\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 

  obtain  $pstate3\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ ibT3\ ibUT3\ ls3$  where  $ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,$ 
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain  $pstate4\ cfg4\ cfgs4\ ibT4\ ibUT4\ ls4$  where  $ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,$ 
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain  $cfg1\ ibT1\ ibUT1\ ls1$  where  $ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$ 
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain  $cfg2\ ibT2\ ibUT2\ ls2$  where  $ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$ 
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note  $ss = ss3\ ss4\ ss1\ ss2$ 

  obtain  $pc3\ vs3\ avst3\ h3\ p3$  where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain  $pc4\ vs4\ avst4\ h4\ p4$  where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note  $cfg = cfg3\ cfg4$ 

  obtain  $hh3$  where  $h3: h3 = Heap hh3$  by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain  $hh4$  where  $h4: h4 = Heap hh4$  by(cases h4, auto)
  note  $hh = h3\ h4$ 

  have  $f1: \neg finalN\ ss1$ 
    using  $\Delta 0$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss\ finalN$ -iff-finalB  $\Delta 0$ -defs
    by simp

```

```

have f2:¬finalN ss2
  using Δ0 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB Δ0-defs
  by simp

have f3:¬finalS ss3
  using Δ0 unfolding ss apply-apply(frul Δ0-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ0 unfolding ss apply-apply(frul Δ0-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor Δ0 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  show match2 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  show match12 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)

```

```

by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

show eqSec ss1 ss3
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
by (simp add: Δ0-defs eqSec-def)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
apply (simp add: Δ0-defs eqSec-def)
by (metis length-0-conv length-map)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
unfolding Opt.eqAct-def Van.eqAct-def
apply (simp-all add: Δ0-defs)
by (metis f3 map-is-Nil-conv ss3)

show match12-12 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof (rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
  show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
  using v sa Δ0 sstat unfolding ss cfg statA' apply simp
  apply (simp add: Δ0-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def finalS-def final-def)
  using cases-7[of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
  apply simp-all apply (cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
  apply (cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
  by (smt (z3) status.distinct status.exhaust updStat.simps)+
  } note stat = this

  show oor Δ0 Δ1 ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO' statO
ss1 ss2)

  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ0-defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ0-defs)

```

```

      next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss apply (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      by (metis is-If-pc less-Suc-eq nat-less-le numeral-1-eq-Suc-0 nu-
meral-3-eq-3
      one-eq-numeral-iff semiring-norm(83) zero-less-numeral zero-neq-numeral)

      next
      case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
      show ?thesis
      using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
      show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 0$  v3 v4 nn3 nn4 f4 unfolding ss cfg hh
Opt.eqAct-def
      apply clarsimp
      using cases-7[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal apply(rule oorI1) by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oorI2) apply (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs,auto)
      unfolding  $\Delta 1$ -defs
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)

```

```

      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs) .
    by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)+
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma step1: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 1$  (oor4  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 4$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 1$ :  $\Delta 1$  n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

```

```

  obtain pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1 where
cfg1: cfg1 = Config pc1 (State (Vstore vs1) avst1 h1 p1)
  by (cases cfg1) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2 where
cfg2: cfg2 = Config pc2 (State (Vstore vs2) avst2 h2 p2)
  by (cases cfg2) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg1 cfg2 cfg3 cfg4

```

```

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

```

```

  have f1:  $\neg$ finalN ss1
  using  $\Delta 1$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 1$ -defs
  by simp linarith

```

```

have f2:¬finalN ss2
  using Δ1 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB Δ1-defs
  by simp linarith

have f3:¬finalS ss3
  using Δ1 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ1-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ1 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ1-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4

show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ1-defs eqSec-def)

```



```

show eqSec ss2 ss4
using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss
apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs eqSec-def)
by (metis length-0-conv length-map)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
apply (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
by linarith

show match12-12 (oor4  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 4$ ) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
    by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
    by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
  show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
  using v sa  $\Delta 1$  sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
  apply(simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
  using cases-7[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
  defer 1 defer 1
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
      using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
      using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
      using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
      using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
      using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
      using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
    by simp+
  } note stat = this

  show (oor4  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 4$ )  $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2)
  (sstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
  show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis
      using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nm3 nm4 unfolding ss cfg hh apply clarsimp
      using cases-7 [of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
      subgoal by simp
      subgoal by simp
      subgoal by simp
      subgoal using xx-NN-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
      subgoal apply (rule oor4I2) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
      subgoal apply (rule oor4I3) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 3$ -defs) .
      by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)+
    qed
  next

```

```

      case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
    show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat v3 v4 nn3 unfolding ss cfg hh
apply clarsimp
using cases-7 [of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
subgoal by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
subgoal by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
subgoal apply (rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
subgoal using xx-NN-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
subgoal apply (rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
subgoal apply (rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs) .
subgoal apply (rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
subgoal apply (rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
subgoal apply (rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
subgoal apply (rule oor4I4) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ4-defs)
subgoal apply (rule oor4I4) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ4-defs) .
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma step2: unwindIntoCond Δ2 Δ1
proof (rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)

```

```

fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
and Δ2: Δ2 n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
by (cases ss3, auto)
obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
by (cases ss4, auto)
obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
by (cases ss1, auto)
obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
by (cases ss2, auto)
note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
lcfgs3: last cfs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
by (cases last cfs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
lcfgs4: last cfs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
by (cases last cfs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4

have f1:¬finalN ss1
using Δ2 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB Δ2-defs
by auto

have f2:¬finalN ss2
using Δ2 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB Δ2-defs
by auto

have f3:¬finalS ss3
using Δ2 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ2-implies)
using finalS-cond-spec by simp

have f4:¬finalS ss4
using Δ2 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ2-implies)
using finalS-cond-spec by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match Δ1 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

```

```

show match1  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply clarsimp
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs, linarith)

  show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$ 
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  unfolding finalS-defs
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) updStat.simps(1))

  show  $\Delta 1 \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO

  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next

```

```

    case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  v3 unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
    show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  v3 v4 sr3 sr4
    unfolding ss lcfgs hh apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) apply (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs) by clarsimp
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma step3: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 3$  (oor  $\Delta 3 \Delta 1'$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 3$ :  $\Delta 3$  n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  lcfgs3: last cfgs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases last cfgs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  lcfgs4: last cfgs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases last cfgs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  have f1: ¬finalN ss1
    using  $\Delta 3$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 3$ -defs
    by auto

  have f2: ¬finalN ss2
    using  $\Delta 3$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 3$ -defs
    by auto

  have f3: ¬finalS ss3
    using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frul  $\Delta 3$ -implies)
    using finalS-cond-spec by simp

  have f4: ¬finalS ss4
    using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frul  $\Delta 3$ -implies)
    using finalS-cond-spec by simp

  have vs3 xx = vs4 xx
    using  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss
    apply-by(frul  $\Delta 3$ -implies, simp)

```

```

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor Δ3 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor Δ3 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor Δ3 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor Δ3 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI)
    fix ss3' ss4' statA'
    assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
    and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
    and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
    note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

    obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
    by (cases ss3', auto)
    obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
    by (cases ss4', auto)
    note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show ¬ isSecO ss3
  using v sa Δ3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3-defs)

  show ¬ isSecO ss4
  using v sa Δ3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3-defs)

  show stat: statA = statA' ∨ statO = Diff
  using v sa Δ3
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply(cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add: Δ3-defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  unfolding finalS-defs
  by (smt (z3) list.size(3) map-eq-imp-length-eq
    n-not-Suc-n status.exhaust updStat.simps)

  show oor Δ3 Δ1' ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO

```



```

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss by (simp-all add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss by (simp-all add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies, clarsimp)
    by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
show ?thesis
using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  by (metis config.sel(1) last-map)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  by (metis config.sel(1) last-map)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis
  apply(intro oorI1)
  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -def apply- apply(clarify,intro conjI)
  subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-7[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
  apply simp-all
  by (metis config.collapse config.inject in-set-butlastD last-in-set length-1-butlast
length-map state.sel(2))+

```

```

subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
apply- apply(frul  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
apply- apply(frul  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
using cases-7[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
by simp-all
subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
apply- apply(frul  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
using cases-7[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE, simp-all)
unfolding array-loc-def by (metis config.sel(2) dist-insert-su last-in-set
state.sel(1) vstore.sel)+
subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frul  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
using cases-7[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
apply simp-all by (metis array-loc-def dist-insert-su)+
subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frul  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-7[of pc3] by(elim disjE, simp-all)
subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frul  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  by (metis length-Suc-conv list.size(3)) .
qed
next
case spec-Fence note sf3 = spec-Fence
show ?thesis
using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  by (metis com.disc config.sel(1) last-map)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  by (metis last-map local.spec-Fence(3) local.spec-normal(1) local.spec-normal(4))
next

```

```

    case spec-Fence note sf4 = spec-Fence
    show ?thesis
    apply(intro oorI2)
    unfolding ss Δ1'-defs
    using sa stat Δ3 lcfgs v3 v4 sf3 sf4 unfolding ss hh
    apply- by(simp-all add: Δ3-defs Δ1'-defs, blast)
  qed
next
case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
show ?thesis
using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat Δ3 lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ3-defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat Δ3 lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ3-defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat Δ3 lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ3-defs)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat Δ3 lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ3-defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa stat Δ3 lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ3-defs)
next
case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
show ?thesis
apply(intro oorI2)
using sa stat Δ3 lcfgs v3 v4 sr3 sr4 unfolding ss hh
by(simp add: Δ3-defs Δ1-defs)
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma step1': unwindIntoCond Δ1' Δ4
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2

```

and $\Delta 1'$: $\Delta 1' n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

obtain $pstate3\ cfg3\ cfs3\ ibT3\ ibUT3\ ls3$ **where** $ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfs3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
by $(cases\ ss3, auto)$
obtain $pstate4\ cfg4\ cfs4\ ibT4\ ibUT4\ ls4$ **where** $ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfs4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
by $(cases\ ss4, auto)$
obtain $cfg1\ ibT1\ ibUT1\ ls1$ **where** $ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
by $(cases\ ss1, auto)$
obtain $cfg2\ ibT2\ ibUT2\ ls2$ **where** $ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
by $(cases\ ss2, auto)$
note $ss = ss3\ ss4\ ss1\ ss2$

obtain $pc3\ vs3\ avst3\ h3\ p3$ **where**
 $cfg3: cfs3 = Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3)$
by $(cases\ cfs3)\ (metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse)$
obtain $pc4\ vs4\ avst4\ h4\ p4$ **where**
 $cfg4: cfs4 = Config\ pc4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4)$
by $(cases\ cfs4)\ (metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse)$
note $cfg = cfs3\ cfs4$

obtain $hh3$ **where** $h3: h3 = Heap\ hh3$ **by** $(cases\ h3, auto)$
obtain $hh4$ **where** $h4: h4 = Heap\ hh4$ **by** $(cases\ h4, auto)$
note $hh = h3\ h4$

have $f1: \neg finalN\ ss1$
using $\Delta 1'\ finalB-pc-iff'$ **unfolding** $ss\ cfg\ finalN-iff-finalB\ \Delta 1'-defs$
by $simp$

have $f2: \neg finalN\ ss2$
using $\Delta 1'\ finalB-pc-iff'$ **unfolding** $ss\ cfg\ finalN-iff-finalB\ \Delta 1'-defs$
by $simp$

have $f3: \neg finalS\ ss3$
using $\Delta 1'$ **unfolding** ss **apply-apply** $(frule\ \Delta 1'-implies)$
using $finalS-cond$ **by** $simp$

have $f4: \neg finalS\ ss4$
using $\Delta 1'$ **unfolding** ss **apply-apply** $(frule\ \Delta 1'-implies)$
using $finalS-cond$ **by** $simp$

note $finals = f1\ f2\ f3\ f4$

show $finalS\ ss3 = finalS\ ss4 \wedge finalN\ ss1 = finalS\ ss3 \wedge finalN\ ss2 = finalS\ ss4$
using $finals$ **by** $auto$

then show $isIntO\ ss3 = isIntO\ ss4$ **by** $simp$

```

show match  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

show match1  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta_1'$  unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta_1'$ -defs eqSec-def)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta_1'$  unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta_1'$ -defs eqSec-def)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa  $\Delta_1'$  unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
by (simp-all add:  $\Delta_1'$ -defs)

show match12-12  $\Delta_4$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
  conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff

```

```

show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
using v sa Δ1' sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
apply(simp add: Δ1'-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) updStat.simps by auto
} note stat = this

show  $\Delta_4 \infty ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO' statO ss1$ 
ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
case spec-normal
then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
next
case spec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
next
case spec-Fence
then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
next
case spec-resolve
then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
next
case nonspec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
next
case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

case nonspec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
next
case spec-normal
then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
next
case spec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
next
case spec-Fence
then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)

```

```

      next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
      next
      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat v3 v4 nn3 unfolding ss cfg hh
apply clarsimp
      by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 4$ -defs)
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed

```

lemma *stepe: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 4$ $\Delta 4$*

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

assume *r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*

and $\Delta 4$: $\Delta 4$ *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

obtain *pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3* **where** *ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain *pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4* **where** *ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)*

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note *ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2*

obtain *pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3* **where**

cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)

by (*cases cfg3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain *pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4* **where**

cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)

by (*cases cfg4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

note *cfg = cfg3 cfg4*

obtain *hh3* **where** *h3: h3 = Heap hh3* **by**(*cases h3, auto*)

obtain *hh4* **where** *h4: h4 = Heap hh4* **by**(*cases h4, auto*)

note *hh = h3 h4*

show *finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 \wedge finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 \wedge finalN ss2 = finalS ss4*

```

    using  $\Delta_4$  Opt.final-def Prog.endPC-def finalS-def stepS-endPC endPC-def fi-
    nalB-endPC
    unfolding  $\Delta_4$ -defs ss by clarsimp

    then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

    show match  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
    unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

        show match1  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
        unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
        show match2  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
        unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
        show match12  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
        apply(rule match12-simpleI) using  $\Delta_4$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta_4$ -defs)
        by (simp add: stepS-endPC)
    qed
qed

```

lemmas *theConds* = *step0 step1 step2 step3 step1' stepe*

proposition *rsecure*

proof –

```

    define m where m: m  $\equiv$  (6::nat)
    define  $\Delta s$  where  $\Delta s$ :  $\Delta s \equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
    if i = 0 then  $\Delta 0$ 
    else if i = 1 then  $\Delta 1$ 
    else if i = 2 then  $\Delta 2$ 
    else if i = 3 then  $\Delta 3$ 
    else if i = 4 then  $\Delta 4$ 
    else  $\Delta 1'$ 
    define nxt where nxt: nxt  $\equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
    if i = 0 then {0,1::nat}
    else if i = 1 then {1,2,3,4}
    else if i = 2 then {1}
    else if i = 3 then {3,5}
    else {4}
    show ?thesis apply(rule distrib-unwind-rsecure[of m nxt  $\Delta s$ ])
    subgoal unfolding m by auto
    subgoal unfolding nxt m by auto
    subgoal using init unfolding  $\Delta s$  by auto
    subgoal
    unfolding m nxt  $\Delta s$  apply (simp split: if-splits)
    using theConds
    unfolding oor-def oor4-def by auto .
qed

```


end

11 Proof of Relative Security for fun4

```
theory Fun4
  imports ../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem
  Relative-Security.Unwinding-fin
begin
```

11.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

no-notation *bot* (\perp)

```
consts NN :: nat
consts size-aa1 :: nat
consts size-aa2 :: nat
lemma NN: int NN  $\geq$  0 by auto
```

locale *array-nempty* = assumes *aa1:size-aa1* > 0 and *NN:int NN* > 0

```
definition aa1 :: avname where aa1 = "a1"
definition aa2 :: avname where aa2 = "a2"
definition vv :: avname where vv = "v"
definition xx :: avname where xx = "i"
definition tt :: avname where tt = "w"
```

lemmas *vvars-defs* = *aa1-def aa2-def vv-def xx-def tt-def*

```
lemma vvars-dff[simp]:
  aa1  $\neq$  aa2 aa1  $\neq$  vv aa1  $\neq$  xx aa1  $\neq$  tt
  aa2  $\neq$  aa1 aa2  $\neq$  vv aa2  $\neq$  xx aa2  $\neq$  tt
  vv  $\neq$  aa1 vv  $\neq$  aa2 vv  $\neq$  xx vv  $\neq$  tt
  xx  $\neq$  aa1 xx  $\neq$  aa2 xx  $\neq$  vv xx  $\neq$  tt
  tt  $\neq$  aa1 tt  $\neq$  aa2 tt  $\neq$  vv tt  $\neq$  xx
  unfolding vvars-defs by auto
```

```
fun initAvstore :: avstore  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  initAvstore (Avstore as) = (as aa1 = (0, size-aa1)  $\wedge$  as aa2 = (size-aa1,
  size-aa2))
```

```
fun istate :: state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  istate s = (initAvstore (getAvstore s))
```

```
definition prog  $\equiv$ 
[
   $\emptyset$  / Start ,
   $\emptyset$  / Input U xx ,
   $\emptyset$  / tt ::= (N 0) ,
```

```

/ IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN)) 4 6 ,
/ vv ::= VA aa1 (N 0) ,
/ tt ::= Plus (VA aa2 (Times (V vv) (N 512))) (V xx) ,
/ Output U (V tt)
]

```

```

lemma cases-6: (i::pcounter) = 0  $\vee$  i = 1  $\vee$  i = 2  $\vee$  i = 3  $\vee$  i = 4  $\vee$  i = 5  $\vee$ 
i = 6  $\vee$  i > 6
  apply(cases i, simp-all)
  subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
  subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
  subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
  subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
  subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
  subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
  . . . . .

```

```

lemma cases-thenBranch: (i::pcounter) < 4  $\vee$  i = 4  $\vee$  i = 5  $\vee$  i = 6  $\vee$  i > 6
  apply(cases i, simp-all)
  subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
    subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
      subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
        subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
          subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
          subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
          . . . . .

```

lemma xx-NN-cases: vs xx < int NN \vee vs xx \geq int NN **by auto**

```

lemma is-If-pcOf[simp]:
  pcOf cfg < 7  $\implies$  is-IfJump (prog ! (pcOf cfg))  $\longleftrightarrow$  pcOf cfg = 3
  apply(cases cfg) subgoal for pc s using cases-6[of pcOf cfg]
  by (auto simp: prog-def) .

```

```

lemma is-If-pc[simp]:
  pc < 7  $\implies$  is-IfJump (prog ! pc)  $\longleftrightarrow$  pc = 3
  using cases-6[of pc]
  by (auto simp: prog-def)

```

```

lemma is-If-pcThen[simp]: pcOf cfg  $\in$  {4..6}  $\implies$   $\neg$ is-IfJump (prog ! pcOf cfg)
  using cases-thenBranch[of pcOf cfg]
  by (auto simp: prog-def)

```

```

consts mispred :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ bool
fun resolve :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ bool where
  resolve p pc = (if (pc = [6,6] ∨ pc = [4,6]) then True else False)

```

```

consts update :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ predState
consts initPstate :: predState

```

```

interpretation Prog-Mispred-Init where
  prog = prog and initPstate = initPstate and
  mispred = mispred and resolve = resolve and update = update and
  istate = istate
by (standard, simp add: prog-def)

```

abbreviation

```

stepB-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒
bool (infix →B 55)
where x →B y == stepB x y

```

abbreviation

```

stepsB-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒
bool (infix →B* 55)
where x →B* y == star stepB x y

```

abbreviation

```

stepM-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒
bool (infix →M 55)
where x →M y == stepM x y

```

abbreviation

```

stepN-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist × loc set ⇒ config × val llist × val
llist × loc set ⇒ bool (infix →N 55)
where x →N y == stepN x y

```

abbreviation

```

stepsN-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist × loc set ⇒ config × val llist × val
llist × loc set ⇒ bool (infix →N* 55)
where x →N* y == star stepN x y

```

abbreviation

```

stepS-abbrev :: configS ⇒ configS ⇒ bool (infix →S 55)
where x →S y == stepS x y

```

abbreviation

stepsS-abbrev :: *configS* \Rightarrow *configS* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** $\rightarrow S^*$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow S^* y == \text{star stepS } x y$

lemma *endPC[simp]*: *endPC* = 7
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-getUntrustedInput-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 7 \implies *is-getInput* (*prog!*(*pcOf* *cfg*)) \longleftrightarrow *pcOf* *cfg* = 1
using *cases-6[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *getUntrustedInput-pcOf[simp]*: *prog!1* = *Input U xx*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-getTrustedInput[simp]*: *is-getInput* (*prog ! 1*)
unfolding *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *getInput-not4[simp]*: \neg *is-getInput* (*prog ! 4*)
unfolding *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *getInput-not5[simp]*: \neg *is-getInput* (*prog ! 5*)
unfolding *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *OutputT-not6[simp]*: (*prog ! 6*) = *Output U (V tt)*
unfolding *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-Output-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 7 \implies *is-Output* (*prog!*(*pcOf* *cfg*)) \longleftrightarrow *pcOf* *cfg* = 6
using *cases-6[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Fence-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 7 \implies *prog ! (pcOf* *cfg)* \neq *Fence*
using *cases-6[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Fence-pcThen[simp]*: $3 \leq \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} \wedge \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} \leq 5 \implies (\text{prog ! } \text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) \neq \text{Fence}$
using *cases-thenBranch[of pcOf cfg]*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output[simp]*: *is-Output* (*prog ! 6*)
unfolding *is-Output-def* *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *getInput-not[intro]*: *is-getInput* (*prog ! 4*) \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Output-not4[intro]*: *is-Output* (*prog ! 4*) \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Fence-not4[intro]*: *prog ! 4* = *Fence* \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getInput-not55*[intro]:*is-getInput* (prog ! 5) \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def*
by *simp*

lemma *Output-not5*[intro]:*is-Output* (prog ! 5) \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by**
simp

lemma *Fence-not5*[intro]:*prog ! 5 = Fence* \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Jump-not6*: \neg *is-IfJump* (prog ! 6) **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *isSecV-pcOf*[*simp*]:
isSecV (cfg,ibT,ibUT) \longleftrightarrow *pcOf* cfg = 0
using *isSecV-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *isSecO-pcOf*[*simp*]:
isSecO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) \longleftrightarrow (*pcOf* cfg = 0 \wedge *cfgs* = [])
using *isSecO-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *inputT-not*[*simp*]: *pcOf* cfg < 7 \implies
(*prog ! pcOf* cfg) \neq *Input T inp*
apply(*cases* *cfg*) **subgoal for** *pc s* **using** *cases-6*[*of pcOf* *cfg*]
by (*auto simp: prog-def*) .

lemma *getActV-pcOf*[*simp*]:
pcOf cfg < 7 \implies
getActV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
(*if* *pcOf* cfg = 1 *then* *lhd* *ibUT* *else* \perp)
apply(*subst getActV-simps*) **unfolding** *prog-def*
apply *simp*
using *getActV-simps not-is-getTrustedInput-getActV* *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *getObsV-pcOf*[*simp*]:
pcOf cfg < 7 \implies
getObsV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
(*if* *pcOf* cfg = 6 *then*
(*outOf* (prog!(*pcOf* cfg)) (*stateOf* cfg), *ls*)
else \perp
)
apply(*subst getObsV-simps*)
unfolding *prog-def* **apply** *simp*
using *getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf* *prog-def*
by (*auto, simp*)

lemma *getObsV-pcOf6*[*simp*]:
pcOf cfg = 6 \implies
getObsV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
(*outOf* (prog!(*pcOf* cfg)) (*stateOf* cfg), *ls*)

by *simp*

lemma *getActO-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 7 \implies$
 $getActO\ (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
(if $pcOf\ cfg = 1 \wedge cfs = []$ *then* $lhd\ ibUT$ *else* \perp *)*
apply(*subst* *getActO-simps*)
apply(*cases* *cfs, auto*)
unfolding *prog-def* **apply** *simp*
using *getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def* **by** *presburger*

lemma *getObsO-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 7 \implies$
 $getObsO\ (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
(if $(pcOf\ cfg = 6 \wedge cfs = [])$ *then*
 $(outOf\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))\ (stateOf\ cfg), ls)$
else \perp
)
apply(*subst* *getObsO-simps*)
apply(*cases* *cfs, auto*)
unfolding *prog-def*
using *getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV prog-def* **by** *presburger*

lemma *eqSec-pcOf[simp]*:
 $eqSec\ (cfg1, ibT, ibUT1, ls1)\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg3 = 0 \wedge cfs3 = []) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \longrightarrow stateOf\ cfg1 = stateOf\ cfg3)$
unfolding *eqSec-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *nextB-pc0[simp]*:
 $nextB\ (Config\ 0\ s, ibT, ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 1\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
apply(*subst* *nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc0[simp]*:
 $readLocs\ (Config\ 0\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1[simp]*:
 $ibUT \neq LNil \implies nextB\ (Config\ 1\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p), ibT, ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 2\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(x := lhd\ ibUT))))\ avst\ h\ p, ibT, ltl\ ibUT)$

apply(subst nextB-getUntrustedInput')
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc1[simp]:
 readLocs (Config 1 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc1'[simp]:
 ibUT \neq LNil \implies nextB (Config (Suc 0) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
 =
 (Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd ibUT)))) avst h p), ibT, ltl ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-getUntrustedInput')
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc1'[simp]:
 readLocs (Config (Suc 0) s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc2[simp]:
 nextB (Config 2 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
 (Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0)))) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc2[simp]:
 readLocs (Config 2 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc3-then[simp]:
 vs xx < int NN \implies
 nextB (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
 (Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc3-else[simp]:
 vs xx \geq int NN \implies
 nextB (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
 (Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-IfFalse)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc3:
 nextB (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
 (Config (if vs xx < int NN then 4 else 6) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
by(cases vs xx < int NN, auto)

lemma *nextM-pc3-then*[simp]:
vs xx ≥ int NN ⇒
nextM (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst *nextM-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3-else*[simp]:
vs xx < int NN ⇒
nextM (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst *nextM-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3*:
nextM (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config (if vs xx < int NN then 6 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
by(cases *vs xx < int NN*, *auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc3*[simp]:
readLocs (Config 3 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc4*[simp]:
nextB (Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap h) p), ibT, ibUT) =
(let l = array-loc aa1 0 avst
in (Config 5 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h l))) avst (Heap h) p)), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst *nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc4*[simp]:
readLocs (Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)) = {array-loc aa1 0 avst}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5*[simp]:
nextB (Config 5 (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap h) p), ibT, ibUT) =
*(let l = array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) avst*
in (Config 6 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := h l + vs xx))) avst (Heap h) p)), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst *nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc5*[simp]:
*readLocs (Config 5 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)) = {array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) avst}*
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc6*[simp]:
nextB (*Config 6 s, ibT,ibUT*) = (*Config 7 s, ibT,ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Output*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc6*[simp]:
readLocs (*Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-stepB-pc*:
 $pc < 7 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$
(*Config pc s, ibT,ibUT*) \rightarrow_B *nextB* (*Config pc s, ibT,ibUT*)
apply(*cases s*) **subgoal for** *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for *vs as h*
using *cases-6*[*of pc*] **apply** *safe*
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def, metis llist.collapse*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*) **subgoal apply** *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*)
unfolding *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply(*cases vs xx < NN*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*) .
subgoal apply(*cases vs xx < NN*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*) .

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
...

lemma nextB-avst-consistent-aux:

$4 \leq pc \wedge pc \leq 6 \implies$
 $(nextB (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap h) p), ibT, ibUT)) = (Config pc'$
 $(State (Vstore vs') avst' (Heap h') p'), ibT, ibUT')) \implies$
 $avst = avst' \wedge$
 $vs \ xx = vs' \ xx \wedge$
 $h = h'$
using cases-thenBranch[of pc]
apply safe
apply simp-all by auto

lemma nextB-avst-consistent:

$4 \leq pcOf\ cfg \wedge pcOf\ cfg \leq 6 \implies$
 $(nextB (cfg, ibT, ibUT)) = (cfg', ibT, ibUT') \implies$
 $(getAvstore (stateOf\ cfg)) = (getAvstore (stateOf\ cfg')) \wedge$
 $(getHheap (stateOf\ cfg)) = (getHheap (stateOf\ cfg')) \wedge$
 $vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg)) \ xx = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg')) \ xx$
apply(cases cfg) **subgoal for pc s**
apply(cases s) **subgoal for vstore avst heap-h p**
apply (cases heap-h, cases vstore, cases avst) **subgoal for h vs**
apply(cases cfg') **subgoal for pc' s'**
apply(cases s') **subgoal for vstore' avst' heap-h' p'**
apply (cases heap-h', cases vstore', cases avst') **subgoal for h vs**
using nextB-avst-consistent-aux apply simp
by blast

lemma nextB-pcs-consistent:

$4 \leq pcOf\ cfg1 \wedge pcOf\ cfg1 \leq 6 \implies pcOf\ cfg1 = pcOf\ cfg2 \implies$
 $(nextB (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1)) = (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') \implies$
 $(nextB (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2)) = (cfg2', ibT2', ibUT2') \implies$
 $pcOf\ cfg1' = pcOf\ cfg2'$
apply (cases cfg1, cases cfg2, cases cfg1', cases cfg2')
subgoal for pc1 s1 pc2 s2 pc1' s1' pc2' s2'

```

apply(cases s1, cases s2, cases s1', cases s2')
subgoal for vs1 avst1 h1 p1 vs2 avst2 h2 p2
      vs1' avst1' h1' p1' vs2' avst2' h2' p2'
apply(cases vs1, cases vs2, cases h1, cases h2)
using cases-6[of pcOf cfg1] apply safe
by simp-all . .

```

lemma not-finalB:

```

pc < 7  $\implies$  (pc = 1  $\longrightarrow$  ibUT  $\neq$  LNil)  $\implies$ 
 $\neg$  finalB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)
using nextB-stepB-pc by (simp add: stepB-iff-nextB)

```

lemma finalB-pc-iff':

```

pc < 7  $\implies$ 
finalB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(pc = 1  $\wedge$  ibUT = LNil)
subgoal apply safe
  subgoal using nextB-stepB-pc[of pc] by (auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB)
  subgoal using nextB-stepB-pc[of pc] by (auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB)
  subgoal using finalB-iff getUntrustedInput-pcOf by auto . .

```

lemma finalB-pc-iff:

```

pc  $\leq$  7  $\implies$ 
finalB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(pc = 1  $\wedge$  ibUT = LNil  $\vee$  pc = 7)
using cases-6[of pc] apply (elim disjE, simp add: finalB-def)
subgoal by (meson final-def stebB-0)
by (simp add: finalB-pc-iff' finalB-endPC)+

```

lemma finalB-pcOf-iff[simp]:

```

pcOf cfg  $\leq$  7  $\implies$ 
finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (pcOf cfg = 1  $\wedge$  ibUT = LNil  $\vee$  pcOf cfg = 7)
by (metis config.exhaust config.sel(1) finalB-pc-iff)

```

lemma finalS-cond:pcOf cfg < 7 \implies cfs = [] \implies (pcOf cfg = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies \neg finalS (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls)

```

apply(cases cfg)
subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs as h
  using cases-6[of pc] apply(elim disjE) unfolding finalS-defs
  subgoal using nonspec-normal[of [] Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
    pstate pstate ibT ibUT
    Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
    ibT ibUT [] ls  $\cup$  readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls]
  using is-If-pc by force

```

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*])

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx:= lhd ibUT))) avst hh p)

ibT ltl ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*])

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt:= 0))) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*cases mispred pstate [3]*)

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-mispred*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*])

pstate update pstate [pcOf (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p))]

ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 6)

ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx < NN then 6 else 4)

ibT ibUT [Config (if vs xx < NN then 6 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)]

ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])

prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*])

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 6) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)

ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*])

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
(let l = (array-loc aa1 0 avst)
in (Config 5 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h l))) avst hh p))
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst

$hh\ p))\ ls]$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
*(let l = (array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) avst)*
in (Config 6 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := h l + vs xx))) avst

$hh\ p))$)
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls\ \cup\ readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst$
 $hh\ p))\ ls]$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls]

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all
by simp-all . . .

lemma finalS-cond-spec:

$pcOf\ cfg < 7 \implies$
 $((pcOf\ (last\ cfgs) = 4 \vee pcOf\ (last\ cfgs) = 5 \vee pcOf\ (last\ cfgs) = 6) \wedge pcOf$
 $cfg = 6) \vee (pcOf\ (last\ cfgs) = 6 \wedge pcOf\ cfg = 4) \implies$
 $length\ cfgs = Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $\neg\ finalS\ (pstate,\ cfg,\ cfgs,\ ibT,\ ibUT,\ ls)$

apply(*cases cfg*)
subgoal for pc s apply(*cases s*)
subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for vs as h apply(*cases last cfgs*)
subgoal for pcs ss apply(*cases ss*)
subgoal for vsts avsts hhs ps apply(*cases vsts, cases avsts, cases hhs, simp*)
subgoal for vss ass hs apply(*elim disjE, elim conjE, elim disjE, simp*)
unfolding finalS-defs
subgoal apply(*rule notI,*
 $erule\ allE$ [of - (*pstate, Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) (Avstore as) (Heap h)*
 $p)$,
 $[Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vss(vv := hs\ (array-loc\ aa1\ (nat$
 $0)\ avsts)))]\ avsts\ hhs\ ps)]$,
 $ibT,\ ibUT,\ ls\ \cup\ readLocs\ (last\ cfgs)]$, *erule notE,*
 $rule\ spec-normal$ [of - - - - *Config 5 (State (Vstore (vss(vv*
 $:= hs\ (array-loc\ aa1\ (nat\ 0)\ avsts)))]\ avsts\ hhs\ ps)]$)]
by auto
subgoal apply(*rule notI,*
 $erule\ allE$ [of - (*pstate, Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) (Avstore as) (Heap h)*
 $p)$,
 $[Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vss(tt := hs\ (array-loc\ aa2\ (nat$

```

(vss vv * 512)) avsts) + vss xx))) avsts hhs ps)],
      ibT,ibUT,ls ∪ readLocs (last cfgs)], erule notE,
      rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 6 (State (Vstore (vss(tt
:= hs (array-loc aa2 (nat (vss vv * 512)) avsts) + vss xx))) avsts hhs ps)])

```

```

prefer 7 apply auto[1]
by auto

```

```

subgoal apply(rule notI,
  erule allE[of - (update pstate (6 # map pcOf cfgs),Config 6 (State (Vstore vs)
(Avstore as) (Heap h) p),
    [],ibT,ibUT,ls)])
by(erule notE, rule spec-resolve, auto)

```

```

subgoal apply(rule notI,
  erule allE[of - (update pstate (4 # map pcOf cfgs),Config 4 (State (Vstore vs)
(Avstore as) (Heap h) p),
    [],ibT,ibUT,ls)])
by(erule notE, rule spec-resolve, auto) . . . . .

```

end

11.2 Proof

```

theory Fun4-secure
  imports Fun4
begin

```

definition $PC \equiv \{0..6\}$

definition $same\text{-}xx\text{-}cp\ cfg1\ cfg2 \equiv$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg2))\ xx$
 $\wedge\ vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))\ xx = 0$

definition $common\text{-}memory\ cfg\ cfg'\ cfs' \equiv$
 $array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg)) = array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg')) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg'' \in set\ cfs'.\ array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg'')) = array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg))) \wedge$
 $array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg)) = array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg')) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg'' \in set\ cfs'.\ array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg'')) = array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg))) \wedge$
 $(getHheap\ (stateOf\ cfg)) = (getHheap\ (stateOf\ cfg')) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg'' \in set\ cfs'.\ getHheap\ (stateOf\ cfg) = (getHheap\ (stateOf\ cfg''))) \wedge$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg)) = (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg'))$

definition *beforeInput* = {0,1}
definition *afterInput* = {2..6}
definition *elseBranch* = 6
definition *startOfThenBranch* = 4
definition *inThenBranch* = {4..6}

definition *afterInputNotInElse* = {2,3,4,5,6,8}
definition *inThenBranchBeforeOutput* = {3,4,5}
definition *atCond* = 3
definition *atThenOutput* = 5
definition *atJump* = 6

definition *common-strat1* :: stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool

where

common-strat1 =
 $(\lambda$ (*pstate3*,*cfg3*,*cfgs3*,*ibT3*,*ibUT3*,*ls3*)
 (*pstate4*,*cfg4*,*cfgs4*,*ibT4*,*ibUT4*,*ls4*)
 statA
 (*cfg1*,*ibT1*,*ibUT1*,*ls1*)
 (*cfg2*,*ibT2*,*ibUT2*,*ls2*)
 statO.
 (*pstate3* = *pstate4* \wedge
cfg1 = *cfg3* \wedge *cfg2* = *cfg4* \wedge
pcOf *cfg3* = *pcOf* *cfg4* \wedge *map pcOf* *cfgs3* = *map pcOf* *cfgs4* \wedge
pcOf *cfg3* \in PC \wedge *pcOf* ' (*set* *cfgs3*) \subseteq PC \wedge

~~/// /get/ /t3/ /t4/ /cond/ /ib/ /ut/ /ls/ /~~
common-memory *cfg1* *cfg3* *cfgs3* \wedge

~~/// /t2/ /t4/ /t4/ /~~
common-memory *cfg2* *cfg4* *cfgs4* \wedge

$(\forall n \geq 0. \text{array-loc } aa1 \ 0 \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg2})) \neq \text{array-loc } aa2 \ n \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg2}))) \wedge$
 $\text{array-loc } aa1 \ 0 \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg1})) \neq \text{array-loc } aa2 \ n \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg1}))) \wedge$
 ///
 $\text{array-base } aa1 \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3})) = \text{array-base } aa1 \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg4})) \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{cfg3}' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs3}. \text{array-base } aa1 \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3}')) = \text{array-base } aa1 \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3}))) \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{cfg4}' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs4}. \text{array-base } aa1 \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg4}')) = \text{array-base } aa1 \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg4}))) \wedge$
 $\text{array-base } aa2 \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3})) = \text{array-base } aa2 \ (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3}))$

```

cfg4)) ∧
  (∀ cfg3' ∈ set cfgs3. array-base aa2 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3')) = array-base aa2
  (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3))) ∧
  (∀ cfg4' ∈ set cfgs4. array-base aa2 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg4')) = array-base aa2
  (getAvstore (stateOf cfg4))) ∧
  ///
  (statA = Diff → statO = Diff)))

```

lemmas *common-strat1-defs = common-strat1-def common-memory-def*

definition *common :: enat ⇒ stateO ⇒ stateO ⇒ status ⇒ stateV ⇒ stateV ⇒ status ⇒ bool*

```

where
common = (λ(num::enat)
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO.
  (pstate3 = pstate4 ∧

  (num = (endPC - pcOf cfg1) ∨ num = ∞) ∧

```

```


  (pcOf cfg1 = pcOf cfg2 ∧


```

```


  (pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4 ∧
  map pcOf cfgs3 = map pcOf cfgs4 ∧
  pcOf cfg3 ∈ PC ∧ pcOf (set cfgs3) ⊆ PC ∧
  pcOf cfg1 ∈ PC ∧


```

```


  common-memory cfg1 cfg3 cfgs3 ∧


```

```


  common-memory cfg2 cfg4 cfgs4 ∧


```

```

  (∀ n ≥ 0. array-loc aa1 0 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg2)) ≠ array-loc aa2 n (getAvstore
  (stateOf cfg2)) ∧
  array-loc aa1 0 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg1)) ≠ array-loc aa2 n (getAvstore (stateOf
  cfg1))) ∧
  
  array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3)) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf
  cfg4)) ∧
  (∀ cfg3' ∈ set cfgs3. array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3')) = array-base aa1


```


$(\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3})) \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{cfg4}' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs4}. \text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg4}')) = \text{array-base } \text{aa1}$
 $(\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg4}))) \wedge$
 $\text{array-base } \text{aa2 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3})) = \text{array-base } \text{aa2 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf}$
 $\text{cfg4})) \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{cfg3}' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs3}. \text{array-base } \text{aa2 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3}')) = \text{array-base } \text{aa2}$
 $(\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3}))) \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{cfg4}' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs4}. \text{array-base } \text{aa2 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg4}')) = \text{array-base } \text{aa2}$
 $(\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg4}))) \wedge$
 $(\text{statA} = \text{Diff} \longrightarrow \text{statO} = \text{Diff})$
 $)$

lemmas $\text{common-defs} = \text{common-def } \text{common-memory-def}$

lemma $\text{common-implies: common num}$

$(\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfgs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfgs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\text{statO} \implies$
 $\text{pcOf } \text{cfg1} < 9 \wedge \text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} < 9 \wedge$

$(n \geq 0 \longrightarrow \text{array-loc } \text{aa1 } 0 (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg2})) \neq \text{array-loc } \text{aa2 } n (\text{getAvstore}$
 $(\text{stateOf } \text{cfg2})) \wedge$
 $\text{array-loc } \text{aa1 } 0 (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg1})) \neq \text{array-loc } \text{aa2 } n (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf}$
 $\text{cfg1})))$

unfolding $\text{common-defs } \text{PC-def}$
by force

definition $\Delta 0 :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\Delta 0 = (\lambda \text{num } (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfgs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfgs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\text{statO}.$
 $(\text{common num } (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfgs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfgs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\text{statO} \wedge$

WGA/6f/Me/ByUfEs/GrE/EtU6/boWtEtbWtUvSd/

$(\text{llength } \text{ibUT1} = \infty \wedge \text{llength } \text{ibUT2} = \infty \wedge$
 $\text{llength } \text{ibUT3} = \infty \wedge \text{llength } \text{ibUT4} = \infty) \wedge$
 $(\text{lhd } \text{ibUT3} \geq \text{NN} \wedge (\text{lhd } \text{ibUT1} = 0) \wedge \text{ibUT1} = \text{ibUT2})$
 $\vee \text{lhd } \text{ibUT3} < \text{NN} \wedge \text{ibUT1} = \text{ibUT3} \wedge \text{ibUT2} = \text{ibUT4}) \wedge$
 $\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} \in \text{beforeInput} \wedge$

~~$\text{state} = \text{state} \wedge \text{cfg1} = \text{cfg3} \wedge \text{cfg2} = \text{cfg4} \wedge$~~
 ~~$\text{ls1} = \text{ls3} \wedge \text{ls2} = \text{ls4} \wedge$~~
 ~~$\text{ls1} = \{\} \wedge \text{ls2} = \{\} \wedge$~~
 ~~$\text{noMisSpec } \text{cfgs3}$~~
 ~~$)$~~

lemmas $\Delta 0\text{-defs}' = \Delta 0\text{-def } \text{common-defs } \text{PC-def } \text{beforeInput-def } \text{noMisSpec-def}$

lemma $\Delta 0\text{-def2}$:

$\Delta 0 \text{ num } (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfgs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfgs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 statO
 $=$
 $(\text{common num } (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfgs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfgs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\text{statO} \wedge$

~~$\text{state} = \text{state} \wedge \text{cfg1} = \text{cfg3} \wedge \text{cfg2} = \text{cfg4} \wedge$~~
 ~~$\text{ls1} = \text{ls3} \wedge \text{ls2} = \text{ls4} \wedge$~~
 ~~$\text{ls1} = \{\} \wedge \text{ls2} = \{\} \wedge$~~
 ~~$\text{noMisSpec } \text{cfgs3}$~~
 ~~$)$~~

~~$\text{state} = \text{state} \wedge \text{cfg1} = \text{cfg3} \wedge \text{cfg2} = \text{cfg4} \wedge$~~
 ~~$\text{ls1} = \text{ls3} \wedge \text{ls2} = \text{ls4} \wedge$~~
 ~~$\text{ls1} = \{\} \wedge \text{ls2} = \{\} \wedge$~~
 ~~$\text{noMisSpec } \text{cfgs3}$~~
 ~~$)$~~

unfolding $\Delta 0\text{-defs}' \text{ apply}(\text{clarsimp}, \text{standard})$
subgoal by $(\text{smt } (\text{verit } \text{infinity-ne-i0 } \text{llength-LNil}))$
subgoal by $(\text{smt } (\text{verit}))$.

lemmas $\Delta 0\text{-defs} = \Delta 0\text{-def2 } \text{common-defs } \text{PC-def } \text{beforeInput-def } \text{noMisSpec-def}$

lemma $\Delta 0$ -implies: $\Delta 0$ num (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO \implies
 (pcOf cfg3 = 1 \implies ibUT3 \neq LNil) \wedge
 (pcOf cfg4 = 1 \implies ibUT4 \neq LNil) \wedge
 pcOf cfg1 < 7 \wedge pcOf cfg2 = pcOf cfg1 \wedge
 cfs3 = [] \wedge pcOf cfg3 < 7 \wedge
 cfs4 = [] \wedge pcOf cfg4 < 7
unfolding $\Delta 0$ -defs
apply (intro conjI)
apply (simp-all)
by (metis Nil-is-map-conv)

definition $\Delta 1$:: enat \implies stateO \implies stateO \implies status \implies stateV \implies stateV \implies status
 \implies bool **where**

$\Delta 1 = (\lambda$ num
 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO.
 (common-strat1 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO \wedge
 pcOf cfg3 \in afterInput \wedge
 same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4 \wedge
 vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg3)) xx < NN \wedge
 ls1 = ls3 \wedge ls2 = ls4 \wedge
 noMisSpec cfs3
))

lemmas $\Delta 1$ -defs = $\Delta 1$ -def common-strat1-defs PC-def afterInput-def same-var-o-def
 noMisSpec-def

lemma $\Delta 1$ -implies: $\Delta 1$ num
 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)

```

(cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
statO  $\implies$ 
pcOf cfg1 < 7  $\wedge$ 
cfs3 = []  $\wedge$  pcOf cfs3  $\neq$  1  $\wedge$  pcOf cfs3 < 7  $\wedge$ 
cfs4 = []  $\wedge$  pcOf cfs4  $\neq$  1  $\wedge$  pcOf cfs4 < 7
unfolding  $\Delta 1$ -defs
apply(intro conjI) apply simp-all
by (metis map-is-Nil-conv)

```

definition $\Delta 2 :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

```

 $\Delta 2 = (\lambda \text{num}$ 
  (pstate3,cfg3,cfs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
  (pstate4,cfg4,cfs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
  (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
  statO.
  (common-strat1
    (pstate3,cfg3,cfs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = startOfThenBranch  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg1 = pcOf cfg3  $\wedge$ 

    pcOf (last cfs3) = elseBranch  $\wedge$ 
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4  $\wedge$ 
    vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg3)) xx < NN  $\wedge$ 
    ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
    misSpecL1 cfs3
  ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 2$ -defs = $\Delta 2$ -def common-strat1-defs PC-def same-var-def startOfThen-Branch-def
 misSpecL1-def elseBranch-def

lemma $\Delta 2$ -implies: $\Delta 2$ num
 (pstate3,cfg3,cfs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
 (pstate4,cfg4,cfs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
 (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
 statO \implies
 pcOf (last cfs3) = 6 \wedge pcOf cfg3 = 4 \wedge
 pcOf (last cfs4) = pcOf (last cfs3) \wedge

$pcOf\ cfg3 = pcOf\ cfg4 \wedge$
 $length\ cfs3 = Suc\ 0 \wedge$
 $length\ cfs3 = length\ cfs4$
apply(*intro conjI*)
unfolding $\Delta 2$ -*defs apply simp-all*
apply (*metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv*)
by (*metis length-map*)

definition $\Delta 1' :: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta 1' = (\lambda num\ (pstate3, cfs3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $\ (pstate4, cfs4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $\ statA$
 $\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $\ (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $\ statO.$
 $(common\ num\ (pstate3, cfs3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $\ (pstate4, cfs4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $\ statA$
 $\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $\ (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $\ statO \wedge$
 $///$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in afterInput \wedge$
 $same-var-o\ xx\ cfs3\ cfs3\ cfs4\ cfs4 \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 > 2 \longrightarrow vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ tt = vstore\ (getVstore$
 $\ (stateOf\ cfg4))\ tt) \wedge$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ xx \geq NN \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 < 4 \longrightarrow pcOf\ cfg1 = pcOf\ cfg3 \wedge$
 $\ ls1 = \{\} \wedge ls2 = \{\} \wedge$
 $\ ls1 = ls3 \wedge ls2 = ls4) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 \leq 5 \longrightarrow ls1 \subseteq \{array-loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))\}$
 $\ \wedge ls1 = ls2 \wedge ls3 = ls4) \wedge$
 $(Language-Prelims.dist\ ls3\ ls4 \subseteq Language-Prelims.dist\ ls1\ ls2) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 \geq 4 \longrightarrow pcOf\ cfg1 \in inThenBranch \wedge pcOf\ cfg3 = elseBranch) \wedge$
 $same-xx-cp\ cfg1\ cfg2 \wedge$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))\ xx = 0 \wedge$
 $ls3 \subseteq ls1 \wedge ls4 \subseteq ls2 \wedge$
 $noMisSpec\ cfs3$

```

))
lemmas  $\Delta 1'$ -defs =  $\Delta 1'$ -def common-defs PC-def afterInput-def
  same-var-o-def same-xx-cp-def noMisSpec-def inThenBranch-def elseBranch-def
lemma  $\Delta 1'$ -implies:  $\Delta 1'$  num (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO  $\implies$ 
  pcOf cfg1 < 7  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg1  $\neq$  Suc 0  $\wedge$ 
  pcOf cfg2 = pcOf cfg1  $\wedge$ 
  cfgs3 = []  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3 < 7  $\wedge$ 
  cfgs4 = []  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4 < 7
unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
apply (intro conjI)
apply simp-all
using Suc-lessI startOfThenBranch-def verit-eq-simplify(10) zero-neq-numeral
apply linarith
by (metis list.map-disc-iff)

```

```

definition  $\Delta 3'$  :: enat  $\Rightarrow$  stateO  $\Rightarrow$  stateO  $\Rightarrow$  status  $\Rightarrow$  stateV  $\Rightarrow$  stateV  $\Rightarrow$  status
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
   $\Delta 3'$  = ( $\lambda$  num (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
    (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
    (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
    statO.
    (common num (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
      (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
      statA
      (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
      (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
      statO  $\wedge$ 
      ///
      pcOf cfg3 = elseBranch  $\wedge$  cfgs3  $\neq$  []  $\wedge$ 
      pcOf (last cfgs3)  $\in$  inThenBranch  $\wedge$ 
      pcOf (last cfgs4) = pcOf (last cfgs3)  $\wedge$ 
      pcOf cfg1 = pcOf (last cfgs3)  $\wedge$ 
      same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4  $\wedge$ 
      (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3)) = (getAvstore (stateOf (last cfgs3)))  $\wedge$ 
      (getAvstore (stateOf cfg4)) = (getAvstore (stateOf (last cfgs4)))  $\wedge$ 
      same-xx-cp cfg1 cfg2  $\wedge$ 
      ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 

```

$vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cf3))\ tt = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cf4))\ tt \wedge$
 $vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cf3))\ xx \geq NN \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cf1 = 4 \longrightarrow ls1 = \{\} \wedge ls2 = \{\}) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cf1 \leq 5 \longrightarrow ls1 \subseteq \{array-loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cf1))\}$
 $\quad \wedge ls2 \subseteq \{array-loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cf2))\}$
 $\quad \wedge ls3 = ls4) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cf1 > 4 \longrightarrow same-var\ vv\ cf1\ (last\ cf3) \wedge same-var\ vv\ cf2\ (last\ cf4))$
 \wedge
 $misSpecL1\ cf3$
 $)$
lemmas $\Delta 3'-defs = \Delta 3'-def\ common-defs\ PC-def\ elseBranch-def$
 $inThenBranch-def\ startOfThenBranch-def$
 $same-var-o-def\ same-xx-cp-def\ misSpecL1-def\ same-var-def$
lemma $\Delta 3'-implies: \Delta 3'\ num\ (pstate3, cf3, cf3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cf4, cf4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cf1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cf2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ cf1 < 7 \wedge pcOf\ cf1 \neq Suc\ 0 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cf2 = pcOf\ cf1 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cf3 < 7 \wedge pcOf\ cf4 < 7 \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cf3) = 4 \vee pcOf\ (last\ cf3) = 5 \vee pcOf\ (last\ cf3) = 6) \wedge pcOf$
 $cf3 = 6$
unfolding $\Delta 3'-defs$
apply $(intro\ conjI)$
apply $simp-all$
by $(metis\ cases-thenBranch\ le-neq-implies-less\ less-SucI\ not-less-eq)$

definition $\Delta e :: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta e = (\lambda(num::enat)\ (pstate3, cf3, cf3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cf4, cf4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cf1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cf2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $((num = (endPC - pcOf\ cf1) \vee num = \infty) \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cf3 = endPC \wedge pcOf\ cf4 = endPC \wedge cf3 = [] \wedge cf4 = [] \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cf1 = endPC \wedge pcOf\ cf2 = endPC))$

lemmas $\Delta e-defs = \Delta e-def\ common-def\ endPC$

```

context array-nempty
begin
lemma init: initCond  $\Delta 0$ 
  unfolding initCond-def apply(intro allI)
  subgoal for  $s3\ s4$  apply(cases s3, cases s4)
  subgoal for  $pstate3\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ ibT3\ ibUT3\ ls3\ pstate4\ cfg4\ cfgs4\ ibT4\ ibUT4\ ls4$ 
apply safe
  apply clarsimp
  apply (cases lhd ibUT3 < NN)
  subgoal
    apply(cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg3), cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg4))
    unfolding  $\Delta 0$ -defs
    unfolding array-base-def array-loc-def
    using aa1 by auto
  subgoal
    apply(cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg3), cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg4))
    unfolding  $\Delta 0$ -defs'
    unfolding array-base-def array-loc-def
    using aa1 apply (simp split: avstore.splits)
    apply(rule exI[of - cfg3]) using ex-llength-infty by auto
  . . .

```

```

lemma step0: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 0$  (oor3  $\Delta 0\ \Delta 1\ \Delta 1'$ )

```

```

proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)

```

```

  fix  $n\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 

```

```

  assume  $r$ : reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2

```

```

  and  $\Delta 0$ :  $\Delta 0\ n\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 

```

```

  obtain  $pstate3\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ ibT3\ ibUT3\ ls3$  where  $ss3$ :  $ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$ 

```

```

  by (cases ss3, auto)

```

```

  obtain  $pstate4\ cfg4\ cfgs4\ ibT4\ ibUT4\ ls4$  where  $ss4$ :  $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$ 

```

```

  by (cases ss4, auto)

```

```

  obtain  $cfg1\ ibT1\ ibUT1\ ls1$  where  $ss1$ :  $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$ 

```

```

  by (cases ss1, auto)

```

```

  obtain  $cfg2\ ibT2\ ibUT2\ ls2$  where  $ss2$ :  $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$ 

```

```

  by (cases ss2, auto)

```

```

  note  $ss = ss3\ ss4\ ss1\ ss2$ 

```

```

obtain  $pc3\ vs3\ avst3\ h3\ p3$  where

```

```

   $cfg3$ :  $cfg3 = Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3)$ 

```

```

  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)

```



```

obtain  $pc_4\ vs_4\ avst_4\ h_4\ p_4$  where
   $cfg_4: cfg_4 = Config\ pc_4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs_4)\ avst_4\ h_4\ p_4)$ 
  by  $(cases\ cfg_4)\ (metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse)$ 
note  $cfg = cfg_3\ cfg_4$ 

obtain  $hh_3$  where  $h_3: h_3 = Heap\ hh_3$  by $(cases\ h_3,\ auto)$ 
obtain  $hh_4$  where  $h_4: h_4 = Heap\ hh_4$  by $(cases\ h_4,\ auto)$ 
note  $hh = h_3\ h_4$ 

have  $f_1: \neg finalN\ ss_1$ 
  using  $\Delta_0\ finalB-pc-iff'$  unfolding  $ss\ finalN-iff-finalB\ \Delta_0-defs$ 
  by  $simp$ 

have  $f_2: \neg finalN\ ss_2$ 
  using  $\Delta_0\ finalB-pc-iff'$  unfolding  $ss\ finalN-iff-finalB\ \Delta_0-defs$ 
  by  $simp$ 

have  $f_3: \neg finalS\ ss_3$ 
  using  $\Delta_0$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply $(frule\ \Delta_0-implies)$ 
  using  $finalS-cond$  by  $simp$ 

have  $f_4: \neg finalS\ ss_4$ 
  using  $\Delta_0$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply $(frule\ \Delta_0-implies)$ 
  using  $finalS-cond$  by  $simp$ 

note  $finals = f_1\ f_2\ f_3\ f_4$ 
show  $finalS\ ss_3 = finalS\ ss_4 \wedge finalN\ ss_1 = finalS\ ss_3 \wedge finalN\ ss_2 = finalS\ ss_4$ 
  using  $finals$  by  $auto$ 

then show  $isIntO\ ss_3 = isIntO\ ss_4$  by  $simp$ 

show  $match\ (oor_3\ \Delta_0\ \Delta_1\ \Delta_1')\ ss_3\ ss_4\ statA\ ss_1\ ss_2\ statO$ 
  unfolding  $match-def$  proof $(intro\ conjI)$ 

  show  $match1\ (oor_3\ \Delta_0\ \Delta_1\ \Delta_1')\ ss_3\ ss_4\ statA\ ss_1\ ss_2\ statO$ 
    unfolding  $match1-def$  by  $(simp\ add: finalS-def\ final-def)$ 
  show  $match2\ (oor_3\ \Delta_0\ \Delta_1\ \Delta_1')\ ss_3\ ss_4\ statA\ ss_1\ ss_2\ statO$ 
    unfolding  $match2-def$  by  $(simp\ add: finalS-def\ final-def)$ 
  show  $match12\ (oor_3\ \Delta_0\ \Delta_1\ \Delta_1')\ ss_3\ ss_4\ statA\ ss_1\ ss_2\ statO$ 

proof $(rule\ match12-simpleI,\ rule\ disjI2,\ intro\ conjI)$ 
  fix  $ss_3'\ ss_4'\ statA'$ 
  assume  $statA': statA' = sstatA'\ statA\ ss_3\ ss_4$ 
  and  $v: validTransO\ (ss_3,\ ss_3')\ validTransO\ (ss_4,\ ss_4')$ 
  and  $sa: Opt.eqAct\ ss_3\ ss_4$ 
  note  $v_3 = v(1)$  note  $v_4 = v(2)$ 

```

```

obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
by (cases ss3', auto)
obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
by (cases ss4', auto)
note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v Δ0 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ0-defs)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v Δ0 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add: Δ0-defs) by (metis length-0-conv length-map)

show saO: Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
unfolding Opt.eqAct-def Van.eqAct-def
apply(simp-all add: Δ0-defs)
by (metis enat.distinct(2) f3 list.map-disc-iff llength-LNil ss3 zero-enat-def)

show match12-12 (oor3 Δ0 Δ1 Δ1') ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)

show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
using v sa Δ0 sstat unfolding ss cfg statA' apply simp
apply(simp add: Δ0-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def finalS-def final-def)
using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
apply simp-all apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
apply (smt (z3) status.distinct updStat.simps)

```

```

    using updStat.simps by (smt (z3) status.exhaust)
  } note stat = this

  show oor3  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\infty$   $ss3'$   $ss4'$   $statA'$  (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)

    using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss apply- apply(frule
 $\Delta 0$ -implies)
      by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
    show ?thesis
      using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
      show ?thesis using sa saO  $\Delta 0$  stat v3 v4 nn3 nn4 f4

```

```

unfolding ss cfg Opt.eqAct-def apply clarsimp
apply(cases pc3 = 0)
subgoal apply(rule oor3I1)
  apply (simp add: Δ0-defs) by (metis config.sel(2) state.sel(2))
subgoal apply(subgoal-tac pc4 = 1)
  defer subgoal by (simp add: Δ0-defs)
    subgoal using xx-NN-cases[of vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg3'))]
apply(elim disjE)
  subgoal apply(rule oor3I2)
    by (simp add: Δ0-defs Δ1-defs, metis)
  subgoal apply(rule oor3I3)
    apply (simp add: Δ0-defs Δ1'-defs)
    apply(intro conjI, metis+)
    apply blast by fastforce+
    ...
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *step1: unwindIntoCond Δ1 (oor3 Δ1 Δ2 Δe)*

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

assume *r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*

and *Δ1: Δ1 n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

obtain *pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3* **where** *ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain *pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4* **where** *ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)*

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note *ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2*

obtain *pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1* **where**

cfg1: cfg1 = Config pc1 (State (Vstore vs1) avst1 h1 p1)

by (*cases cfg1*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain *pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2* **where**

cfg2: cfg2 = Config pc2 (State (Vstore vs2) avst2 h2 p2)

by (*cases cfg2*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain *pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3* **where**

cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)

```

by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg1 cfg2 cfg3 cfg4

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

have f1:¬finalN ss1
  using Δ1 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB Δ1-defs
  by simp

have f2:¬finalN ss2
  using Δ1 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB Δ1-defs
  by simp

have f3:¬finalS ss3
  using Δ1 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ1-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ1 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ1-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4

show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor3 Δ1 Δ2 Δe) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor3 Δ1 Δ2 Δe) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor3 Δ1 Δ2 Δe) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor3 Δ1 Δ2 Δe) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

```

```

obtain  $pstate3'$   $cfg3'$   $cfgs3'$   $ibT3'$   $ibUT3'$   $ls3'$  where  $ss3'$ :  $ss3' = (pstate3',$ 
 $cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')$ 
by (cases  $ss3'$ , auto)
obtain  $pstate4'$   $cfg4'$   $cfgs4'$   $ibT4'$   $ibUT4'$   $ls4'$  where  $ss4'$ :  $ss4' = (pstate4',$ 
 $cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')$ 
by (cases  $ss4'$ , auto)
note  $ss = ss\ ss3'\ ss4'$ 

show  $eqSec\ ss1\ ss3$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta1$  unfolding  $ss$ 
by (simp add:  $\Delta1$ -defs eqSec-def)

show  $eqSec\ ss2\ ss4$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta1$  unfolding  $ss$ 
by (simp add:  $\Delta1$ -defs eqSec-def)

show  $Van.eqAct\ ss1\ ss2$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta1$  unfolding  $ss\ Van.eqAct-def$ 
by (simp-all add:  $\Delta1$ -defs)

show  $match12-12\ (oor3\ \Delta1\ \Delta2\ \Delta e)\ ss3'\ ss4'\ statA'\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
unfolding  $match12-12-def$ 
proof (rule  $exI[of - nextN\ ss1]$ , rule  $exI[of - nextN\ ss2]$ , unfold  $Let-def$ , intro
 $conjI\ impI$ )
show  $validTransV\ (ss1,\ nextN\ ss1)$ 
by (simp add:  $f1\ nextN-stepN$ )

show  $validTransV\ (ss2,\ nextN\ ss2)$ 
by (simp add:  $f2\ nextN-stepN$ )

{assume  $sstat: statA' = Diff$ 
show  $sstatO'\ statO\ ss1\ ss2 = Diff$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta1\ sstat$  unfolding  $ss\ cfg\ statA'$ 
apply (simp add:  $\Delta1$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
using  $cases-6[of\ pc3]$  apply (elim disjE)
defer 1 defer 1
subgoal apply (cases  $statO$ , simp-all) apply (cases  $statA$ , simp-all)
using  $cfg\ finals\ ss\ status.distinct(1)\ updStat.simps$  by auto
subgoal apply (cases  $statO$ , simp-all) apply (cases  $statA$ , simp-all)
using  $cfg\ finals\ ss\ status.distinct(1)\ updStat.simps$  by auto
subgoal apply (cases  $statO$ , simp-all) apply (cases  $statA$ , simp-all)
using  $cfg\ finals\ ss\ status.distinct(1)\ updStat.simps$  by auto
subgoal apply (cases  $statO$ , simp-all) apply (cases  $statA$ , simp-all)
using  $cfg\ finals\ ss\ status.distinct(1)\ updStat.simps$  by auto
subgoal apply (cases  $statO$ , simp-all) apply (cases  $statA$ , simp-all)
using  $cfg\ finals\ ss\ status.distinct(1)\ updStat.simps$  by auto
by simp-all

```

```

} note stat = this

show (oor3  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta e$ )  $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis
    using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nm3 nm4 unfolding ss cfg hh apply clarsimp
    using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE, simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

```

```

      by(rule oor3I2, simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ -defs, metis)
    qed
  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
    show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nn3 unfolding ss cfg hh
    apply clarsimp
      using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor3I1) by(simp add: $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
      subgoal apply(rule oor3I1) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
      subgoal apply(rule oor3I1) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
      subgoal apply(rule oor3I1) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
      apply(rule oor3I3) by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta e$ -defs)
    qed
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma step2: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 1$ 
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2

```


and $\Delta 2$: $\Delta 2$ n $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

obtain $pstate3$ $cfg3$ $cfgs3$ $ibT3$ $ibUT3$ $ls3$ **where** $ss3$: $ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

by (*cases* $ss3$, *auto*)

obtain $pstate4$ $cfg4$ $cfgs4$ $ibT4$ $ibUT4$ $ls4$ **where** $ss4$: $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

by (*cases* $ss4$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg1$ $ibT1$ $ibUT1$ $ls1$ **where** $ss1$: $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

by (*cases* $ss1$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg2$ $ibT2$ $ibUT2$ $ls2$ **where** $ss2$: $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

by (*cases* $ss2$, *auto*)

note $ss = ss3$ $ss4$ $ss1$ $ss2$

obtain $pc3$ $vs3$ $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$ **where**

$lcfgs3$: $last$ $cfgs3 = Config$ $pc3$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs3$) $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$)

by (*cases* $last$ $cfgs3$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc4$ $vs4$ $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$ **where**

$lcfgs4$: $last$ $cfgs4 = Config$ $pc4$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs4$) $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$)

by (*cases* $last$ $cfgs4$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

note $lcfgs = lcfgs3$ $lcfgs4$

have $f1$: $\neg finalN$ $ss1$

using $\Delta 2$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** ss *finalN-iff-finalB* $\Delta 2$ -*defs*

by *simp*

have $f2$: $\neg finalN$ $ss2$

using $\Delta 2$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** ss *finalN-iff-finalB* $\Delta 2$ -*defs*

by *auto*

have $f3$: $\neg finalS$ $ss3$

using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** ss **apply-apply**(*frule* $\Delta 2$ -*implies*)

using *finalS-cond-spec* **by** *simp*

have $f4$: $\neg finalS$ $ss4$

using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** ss **apply-apply**(*frule* $\Delta 2$ -*implies*)

using *finalS-cond-spec* **by** *simp*

note $finals = f1$ $f2$ $f3$ $f4$

show $finalS$ $ss3 = finalS$ $ss4 \wedge finalN$ $ss1 = finalS$ $ss3 \wedge finalN$ $ss2 = finalS$ $ss4$

using $finals$ **by** *auto*

then show $isIntO$ $ss3 = isIntO$ $ss4$ **by** *simp*

show *match* $\Delta 1$ $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

unfolding *match-def* **proof**(*intro* *conjI*)

show *match1* $\Delta 1$ $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

```

unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI,rule disjI1, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply clarsimp
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs, linarith)

  show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$ 
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply(cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  unfolding finalS-defs
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) updStat.simps(1))

  show  $\Delta 1 \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO

  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  v3 unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
      show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  v3 v4 sr3 sr4
      unfolding ss lcfgs hh apply-
      by(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies, simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma xx -le-NN[simp]:cfg = Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst h p) \implies vs xx = 0 \implies vs xx < int NN
 using NN by auto

lemma *match12I:match12* (*oor3* $\Delta 1'$ $\Delta 3'$ Δe) *ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO* \implies
 $(\exists v < n. \text{proact } (\text{oor3 } \Delta 1' \Delta 3' \Delta e) v \text{ ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO}) \vee$
 $\text{match } (\text{oor3 } \Delta 1' \Delta 3' \Delta e) \text{ ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO}$
apply(*rule disjI2*) **unfolding** *match-def match1-def match2-def*
by(*simp-all add: finalS-def final-def*)

lemma *step1'*: *unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 1'$ (*oor3* $\Delta 1'$ $\Delta 3'$ Δe)
proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleIB*)
fix *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*
assume *r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*
and $\Delta 1'$: $\Delta 1' n \text{ ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO}$

obtain *pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3* **where** *ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*
by (*cases ss3, auto*)
obtain *pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4* **where** *ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)*
by (*cases ss4, auto*)
obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*
by (*cases ss1, auto*)
obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*
by (*cases ss2, auto*)
note *ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2*

obtain *pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1* **where**
cfg1: cfg1 = Config pc1 (State (Vstore vs1) avst1 h1 p1)
by (*cases cfg1*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
obtain *pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2* **where**
cfg2: cfg2 = Config pc2 (State (Vstore vs2) avst2 h2 p2)
by (*cases cfg2*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
obtain *pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3* **where**
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
by (*cases cfg3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
obtain *pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4* **where**
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
by (*cases cfg4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
note *cfg = cfg3 cfg4*

obtain *hh1* **where** *h1: h1 = Heap hh1* **by**(*cases h1, auto*)
obtain *hh2* **where** *h2: h2 = Heap hh2* **by**(*cases h2, auto*)
obtain *hh3* **where** *h3: h3 = Heap hh3* **by**(*cases h3, auto*)
obtain *hh4* **where** *h4: h4 = Heap hh4* **by**(*cases h4, auto*)
note *hh = h3 h4*

have *f1: \neg finalN ss1*
using $\Delta 1'$

```

unfolding ss apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
unfolding finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
using finalB-pcOf-iff by simp

have f2: $\neg$ finalN ss2
using  $\Delta 1'$ 
unfolding ss apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
unfolding finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
using finalB-pcOf-iff by simp

have f3: $\neg$ finalS ss3
using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
using finalS-cond by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

have f4: $\neg$ finalS ss4
using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
using finalS-cond by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4

show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show ( $\exists v < n$ . proact (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) v ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO)  $\vee$ 
  match (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
using cases-6[of pcOf cfg1] apply(elim disjE)
subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, linarith)
subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, linarith)
subgoal proof(rule match12I, rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4 and pc:pcOf cfg1 = 2
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

```

```

    by (metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-less-numeral)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  by (metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-neq-numeral)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
  apply (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  by (metis  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 1'$ -implies ss)

show match12-12 (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match12-12-def
  proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
    show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
      by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

    show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
      by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

    have cfigs4:cfigs4 = [] using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs by (clarify, metis
list.map-disc-iff)

    have notJump: $\neg$ is-IfJump (prog ! pcOf cfig3) using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding ss
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs
      by(simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)

    {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
      show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
        using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  sstat pc unfolding ss cfg statA'
        apply(simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
        apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
          using cfg finals ss by simp
      } note stat = this

    have pc4:pc4 = 2
      using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding ss cfg
      by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

    show (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ )  $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2)
(sstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

    using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
      case spec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using notJump by auto
  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
      show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

        case nonspec-mispred
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-normal
            then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-mispred
            then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-Fence
            then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-resolve
            then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
          case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
            show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I1)
              using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat pc pc4 v3 v4 nn3 config.sel(2) state.sel(2)
                unfolding ss cfg cfg1 cfg2 hh apply(simp add: $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
                  using numeral-le-iff semiring-norm(69,72) by force
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
subgoal proof(rule match12I, rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)

```

```

fix  $ss3'$   $ss4'$   $statA'$ 
assume  $statA'$ :  $statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4$ 
  and  $v$ :  $validTransO (ss3, ss3')$   $validTransO (ss4, ss4')$ 
  and  $sa$ :  $Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4$  and  $pc$ : $pcOf cfg1 = 3$ 
note  $v3 = v(1)$  note  $v4 = v(2)$ 

obtain  $pstate3'$   $cfg3'$   $cfgs3'$   $ibT3'$   $ibUT3'$   $ls3'$  where  $ss3'$ :  $ss3' = (pstate3',$ 
 $cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')$ 
by ( $cases ss3'$ ,  $auto$ )
obtain  $pstate4'$   $cfg4'$   $cfgs4'$   $ibT4'$   $ibUT4'$   $ls4'$  where  $ss4'$ :  $ss4' = (pstate4',$ 
 $cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')$ 
by ( $cases ss4'$ ,  $auto$ )
note  $ss = ss ss3' ss4'$ 

show  $eqSec ss1 ss3$ 
  using  $v sa \Delta1'$  unfolding  $ss$  apply ( $simp add: \Delta1'-defs$ )
  by ( $metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-less-numeral$ )

show  $eqSec ss2 ss4$ 
  using  $v sa \Delta1'$  unfolding  $ss$  apply ( $simp add: \Delta1'-defs$ )
  by ( $metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-neq-numeral$ )

show  $Van.eqAct ss1 ss2$ 
  using  $v sa \Delta1'$  unfolding  $ss Van.eqAct-def$ 
  apply ( $simp-all add: \Delta1'-defs$ )
  by ( $metis \Delta1' \Delta1'-implies ss$ )

show  $match12-12 (oor3 \Delta1' \Delta3' \Delta e) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO$ 
unfolding  $match12-12-def$ 
proof( $rule exI[of - nextN ss1]$ ,  $rule exI[of - nextN ss2]$ ,  $unfold Let-def$ ,  $intro$ 
 $conjI impI$ )
  show  $validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)$ 
    by ( $simp add: f1 nextN-stepN$ )

  show  $validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)$ 
    by ( $simp add: f2 nextN-stepN$ )

  have  $cfgs4':cfgs4 = []$  using  $\Delta1'$  unfolding  $ss \Delta1'-defs$  by ( $clarify,metis$ 
 $map-is-Nil-conv$ )

  {assume  $sstat: statA' = Diff$ 
  show  $sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff$ 
  using  $v sa \Delta1'$   $sstat pc$  unfolding  $ss cfg statA'$ 
  apply( $simp add: \Delta1'-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def$ )
  apply( $cases statO, simp-all$ ) apply( $cases statA, simp-all$ )
    using  $cfg finals ss$  by  $simp$ 
  } note  $stat = this$ 

  have  $pc4:pc4 = 3$ 

```



```

using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding ss cfg
by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

show (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ )  $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2)
(ssstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

  case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs cfigs4)
  next
  case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs cfigs4)
  next
  case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs cfigs4)
  next
  case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs cfigs4)
  next
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs cfigs4)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
  show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I2)

```

```

      using sa pc4  $\Delta 1'$  stat pc v3 v4 nm3 nm4 config.sel(2) state.sel(2)
      unfolding ss cfg cfg1 cfg2 hh apply(simp add: $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      by (metis empty-subsetI nat-less-le nat-neq-iff numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(89) set-eq-subset)
    qed
  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
    show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
      show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I1)
        using sa pc4  $\Delta 1'$  stat pc v3 v4 nm3 config.sel(2) state.sel(2)
        unfolding ss cfg cfg1 cfg2 hh apply(simp add: $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        by (metis nat-le-linear nat-less-le numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(88))
    qed
  qed
qed
subgoal apply(rule disjI1, rule exI[of - 2], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs apply clarify
apply(erule disjE)
subgoal premises p using p(1,47) unfolding endPC by simp
subgoal using enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity by presburger .
unfolding proact-def proof(intro disjI2, intro conjI)
assume pc:pcOf cfg1 = 4

show  $\neg$  isSecV ss1 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg by auto

show  $\neg$  isSecV ss2 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg by auto

```

```

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg Van.eqAct-def
by auto

show move-12 (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) 2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding move-12-def Let-def
proof (rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], intro conjI)
show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding validTransV-iff-nextN ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
by simp

show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding validTransV-iff-nextN ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
by simp
have a1-0:array-loc aa1 0 avst3 = array-loc aa1 0 avst4
using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding cfg cfg1 ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs array-loc-def by simp
have pc1:pc1 = 4 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding cfg cfg1 ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs by simp

show oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$  2 ss3 ss4 statA (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)
apply(rule oor3I1)
using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding ss cfg cfg1 cfg2 hh h1 h2 endPC apply(simp
add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
apply-apply(intro conjI)
subgoal by (metis numeral-eq-enat)
subgoal by (metis Nil-is-map-conv)
subgoal by metis
subgoal by metis
subgoal unfolding sstatO'-def by simp
subgoal using a1-0 by force
subgoal unfolding a1-0 dist-def pc1 array-loc-def by simp
subgoal by blast
subgoal by (simp add: subset-insertI2)
subgoal by (simp add: subset-insertI2) .
qed
qed
subgoal apply(rule disjI1, rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs apply clarify
apply(erule disjE)
subgoal premises p using p(1,47) unfolding endPC by (simp add:
one-enat-def)
subgoal by (metis enat-ord-code(4) one-enat-def) .
unfolding proact-def proof(intro disjI2, intro conjI)
assume pc:pcOf cfg1 = 5

show  $\neg$  isSecV ss1 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg by auto

show  $\neg$  isSecV ss2 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg by auto

```

```

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg Van.eqAct-def
by auto

show move-12 (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) 1 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding move-12-def Let-def
proof (rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], intro conjI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
    using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding validTransV-iff-nextN ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
    by simp

show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding validTransV-iff-nextN ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
  by simp

show oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$  1 ss3 ss4 statA (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)
  apply(rule oor3I1)
  using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding ss cfg cfg1 cfg2 hh h1 h2 endPC apply(simp
add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  apply–apply(intro conjI)
  subgoal by (metis One-nat-def one-enat-def)
  subgoal by (metis Nil-is-map-conv)
  subgoal by metis
  subgoal by metis
  subgoal unfolding sstatO'-def by simp
  subgoal by (metis Suc-n-not-le-n eval-nat-numeral(3) nat-le-linear)
  subgoal by (metis atThenOutput-def insert-compr less-or-eq-imp-le
mult.commute nat-numeral pc subset-insertI2)
  subgoal by (simp add: subset-insertI2) .
  qed
qed
subgoal proof(rule match12I, rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4 and pc:pcOf cfg1 = 6
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  by (metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-less-numeral)

```

```

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  by (metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-neq-numeral)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
  apply (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  by (metis  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 1'$ -implies ss)

show match12-12 (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2],unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
    by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
    by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  have cfs4:cfs4 = [] using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs by (clarify,metis
map-is-Nil-conv)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
  show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  sstat pc unfolding ss cfg statA'
  apply(simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss apply (simp split: if-splits)
  unfolding dist-def by blast
  } note stat = this

  have pc4:pc4 = 6
    using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding ss cfg
    by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

  have notJump: $\neg$ is-IfJump (prog ! pcOf cfg3) using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding ss
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs
    by(simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)

  show (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ )  $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2)
(ssstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next

```

```

      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using notJump by auto
    next
      case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
      show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

        case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-resolve
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
      next
        case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
        show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I3)
          using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat pc pc4 v3 v4 nn3 config.sel(2) state.sel(2)
          unfolding ss cfg cfg1 cfg2 hh by(simp add: $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta e$ -defs)
        qed
      qed
    qed
  using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss by(simp add: $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
qed

```

```

lemma step3': unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 3'$  (oor  $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta 1'$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 3'$ :  $\Delta 3'$  n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1 where
    cfg1: cfg1 = Config pc1 (State (Vstore vs1) avst1 h1 p1)
    by (cases cfg1) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2 where
    cfg2: cfg2 = Config pc2 (State (Vstore vs2) avst2 h2 p2)
    by (cases cfg2) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
    cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
    by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
    cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
    by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg1 cfg2 cfg3 cfg4

  obtain lpc3 lvs3 lavst3 lh3 lp3 where
    lcfgs3: last cfigs3 = Config lpc3 (State (Vstore lvs3) lavst3 lh3 lp3)
    by (cases last cfigs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain lpc4 lvs4 lavst4 lh4 lp4 where
    lcfgs4: last cfigs4 = Config lpc4 (State (Vstore lvs4) lavst4 lh4 lp4)
    by (cases last cfigs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4

  obtain hh1 where h1: h1 = Heap hh1 by(cases h1, auto)
  obtain hh2 where h2: h2 = Heap hh2 by(cases h2, auto)

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  obtain lhh3 where lh3: lh3 = Heap lhh3 by(cases lh3, auto)
  obtain lhh4 where lh4: lh4 = Heap lhh4 by(cases lh4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4 lh3 lh4 h1 h2

```

```

define a1-3 where a1-3:a1-3 = array-loc aa1 0 avst3
define a1-4 where a1-4:a1-4 = array-loc aa1 0 avst4
define a2-3 where a2-3:a2-3 = array-loc aa2 (nat (lvs3 vv * 512)) avst3
define a2-4 where a2-4:a2-4 = array-loc aa2 (nat (lvs4 vv * 512)) avst4

```

```

have butlast:butlast cfgs4 = []
  using  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
  by (metis length-1-butlast length-map)

```

```

have h3-eq:hh3 = lhh3
  using cfg lcfgs hh  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding  $\Delta 3'$ -defs ss apply clarify
  using config.sel(2) getHheap.simps heap.sel last-in-set
  by metis

```

```

have h4-eq:hh4 = lhh4
  using cfg lcfgs hh  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding  $\Delta 3'$ -defs ss apply clarify
  using config.sel(2) getHheap.simps heap.sel last-in-set
  by (metis map-is-Nil-conv)

```

```

have f1: $\neg$ finalN ss1
  using  $\Delta 3'$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 3'$ -defs
  by simp

```

```

have f2: $\neg$ finalN ss2
  using  $\Delta 3'$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 3'$ -defs
  by simp

```

```

have f3: $\neg$ finalS ss3
  using  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss apply—apply(frule  $\Delta 3'$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond-spec by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)

```

```

have f4: $\neg$ finalS ss4
  using  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss apply—apply(frule  $\Delta 3'$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond-spec apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
  by (metis length-map)

```

```

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4

```

```

show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

```

```

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

```

```

show match (oor  $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta 1'$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

  unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

```



```

show match1 (oor  $\Delta 3' \Delta 1'$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor  $\Delta 3' \Delta 1'$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor  $\Delta 3' \Delta 1'$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
using cases-thenBranch[of pcOf (last cfgs3)]
apply(elim disjE)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss lcfgs  $\Delta 3'$ -defs
by (clarify, metis atLeastAtMost-iff inThenBranch-def lcfgs3 le-antisym less-irrefl-nat
less-or-eq-imp-le startOfThenBranch-def)
subgoal
proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
    and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
    and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
    and pc:pcOf (last cfgs3) = 4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  have pc2:pc2 = 4
    using  $\Delta 3'$  pc unfolding ss cfg unfolding  $\Delta 3'$ -defs
    apply clarify
    by (metis config.sel(1))

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
    by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
    by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs lessI less-or-eq-imp-le numeral-3-eq-3 pc)

show match12-12 (oor  $\Delta 3' \Delta 1'$ ) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)

```

```

    by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
  show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
    using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
    apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
    apply (cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
      by (smt (z3) Nil-is-map-conv cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) upd-
Stat.simps(1))
  } note stat = this

  show oor  $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta 1' \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)
    using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat pc unfolding ss apply (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      by (metis last-ConsL last-map n-not-Suc-n numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-3-eq-3
numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(87))

    next
      case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
      show ?thesis
        using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
        case nonspec-mispred
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-mispred

```

```

      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
      then show ?thesis
        using  $\Delta 3'$  sn3 sn4 pc2 lcfgs h3-eq h4-eq hh stat a1-3 a1-4
        unfolding ss cfg
        apply simp
        apply (rule oorI1)
        apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs butlast )
        apply clarsimp apply (intro conjI)
        subgoal by (smt (z3) config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel)
        subgoal by (smt (z3) config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel)
        subgoal unfolding array-loc-def by simp .
      qed
    qed
  qed
  qed
  subgoal proof (rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
    fix ss3' ss4' stata'
    assume stata': stata' = sstata' statA ss3 ss4
      and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
      and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
      and pc: pcOf (last cfigs3) = 5
    note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

    have pc2: pc2 = 5
      using  $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta 3'$ -implies pc unfolding ss cfg  $\Delta 3'$ -defs
      apply clarify by (smt (z3) config.sel(1))

    obtain pstate3' cfig3' cfigs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfig3', cfigs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
    by (cases ss3', auto)
    obtain pstate4' cfig4' cfigs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfig4', cfigs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')

```

```

by (cases ss4', auto)
note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs pc)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs pc)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs pc)

show match12-12 (oor  $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta 1'$ ) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match12-12-def
  proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
  conjI impI)
    show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
      by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

    show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
      by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

    {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
      show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
        using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
        apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
        apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
        by (smt (z3) Nil-is-map-conv cfg f3 f4 ss status.distinct(1) upd-
        Stat.simps(1))
      } note stat = this

    show oor  $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta 1'$   $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
    statO ss1 ss2)
      using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
        case nonspec-mispred
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
           $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-mispred
            then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
             $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-Fence
            then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
             $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
          case nonspec-normal

```

```

      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat pc unfolding ss apply (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        by (metis last-ConsL last-map numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(89))

    next
      case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
      show ?thesis
        using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
          case nonspec-mispred
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-mispred
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-Fence
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-resolve
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
          case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
          then show ?thesis
            using  $\Delta 3'$  sn3 sn4 pc2 lcfgs h3-eq h4-eq hh stat
            unfolding ss cfg a1-3 a1-4
            apply simp apply(rule oorI1)
            apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs butlast)
            apply clarsimp
            by (smt (z3) config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel)
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
subgoal proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4

```

```

    and pc:pcOf (last cfgs3) = 6
    note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

    obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
    cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
    by (cases ss3', auto)
    obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
    cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
    by (cases ss4', auto)
    note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

    show ¬ isSecO ss3
    using v sa Δ3' unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3'-defs)

    show ¬ isSecO ss4
    using v sa Δ3' unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3'-defs)

    show stat: statA = statA' ∨ statO = Diff
    using v sa Δ3'
    unfolding ss statA' sstatA'-def
    apply (simp-all add: Δ3'-defs)
    apply (cases statA, simp-all)
    by (smt (verit, best) Nil-is-map-conv f3 f4 ss updStat.simps(1))

    show oor Δ3' Δ1' ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
    using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
    Δ3'-defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
    Δ3'-defs)
    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
    Δ3'-defs)
    next
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
    Δ3'-defs)
    next
    case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
    show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 pc v3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
    Δ3'-defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve

```

```

      show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule:
stepS-cases)
        case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
        case nonspec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
        then show ?thesis
        using  $\Delta 3'$  sr3 sr4 lcfgs hh stat a2-3 a2-4
        butlast array-locBase le-refl
        unfolding ss cfg
        apply simp
        apply(rule oorI2)
        apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, intro conjI, metis)
        apply meson apply meson apply blast by meson
      qed
    qed
  qed
  subgoal using  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss lcfgs  $\Delta 3'$ -defs
  by (simp add: avstoreOf.cases elseBranch-def lcfgs3) .
qed
qed

```

lemma *stepe: unwindIntoCond $\Delta e \Delta e$*

proof(rule *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix $n \text{ ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO}$

assume $r: \text{reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2}$

and $\Delta e: \Delta e n \text{ ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO}$

obtain $pstate3 \text{ cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3}$ **where** $ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfgs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

```

obtain  $pstate4$   $cfg4$   $cfgs4$   $ibT4$   $ibUT4$   $ls4$  where  $ss4$ :  $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$ 
by (cases  $ss4$ , auto)
obtain  $cfg1$   $ibT1$   $ibUT1$   $ls1$  where  $ss1$ :  $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$ 
by (cases  $ss1$ , auto)
obtain  $cfg2$   $ibT2$   $ibUT2$   $ls2$  where  $ss2$ :  $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$ 
by (cases  $ss2$ , auto)
note  $ss = ss3$   $ss4$   $ss1$   $ss2$ 

obtain  $pc3$   $vs3$   $avst3$   $h3$   $p3$  where
   $cfg3$ :  $cfg3 = Config$   $pc3$  (State (Vstore  $vs3$ )  $avst3$   $h3$   $p3$ )
  by (cases  $cfg3$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain  $pc4$   $vs4$   $avst4$   $h4$   $p4$  where
   $cfg4$ :  $cfg4 = Config$   $pc4$  (State (Vstore  $vs4$ )  $avst4$   $h4$   $p4$ )
  by (cases  $cfg4$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note  $cfg = cfg3$   $cfg4$ 

obtain  $hh3$  where  $h3$ :  $h3 = Heap$   $hh3$  by(cases  $h3$ , auto)
obtain  $hh4$  where  $h4$ :  $h4 = Heap$   $hh4$  by(cases  $h4$ , auto)
note  $hh = h3$   $h4$ 

show  $finalS$   $ss3 = finalS$   $ss4 \wedge finalN$   $ss1 = finalS$   $ss3 \wedge finalN$   $ss2 = finalS$   $ss4$ 
  using  $\Delta e$  Opt.final-def Prog.endPC-def finalS-def stepS-endPC
  unfolding  $\Delta e$ -defs  $ss$  by clarsimp

then show  $isIntO$   $ss3 = isIntO$   $ss4$  by simp

show match  $\Delta e$   $ss3$   $ss4$  statA  $ss1$   $ss2$  statO
  unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1  $\Delta e$   $ss3$   $ss4$  statA  $ss1$   $ss2$  statO
    unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2  $\Delta e$   $ss3$   $ss4$  statA  $ss1$   $ss2$  statO
    unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12  $\Delta e$   $ss3$   $ss4$  statA  $ss1$   $ss2$  statO
    apply(rule match12-simpleI)
    using  $\Delta e$  stepS-endPC unfolding  $ss$ 
    by (simp add: \Delta e-defs)
qed
qed

lemmas theConds = step0 step1 step2
  step1' step3' stepe

proposition rsecure
proof –
  define  $m$  where  $m$ :  $m \equiv (6::nat)$ 

```



```

define  $\Delta s$  where  $\Delta s$ :  $\Delta s \equiv \lambda i :: nat.$ 
  if  $i = 0$  then  $\Delta 0$ 
  else if  $i = 1$  then  $\Delta 1$ 
  else if  $i = 2$  then  $\Delta 2$ 
  else if  $i = 3$  then  $\Delta 1'$ 
  else if  $i = 4$  then  $\Delta 3'$ 
  else  $\Delta e$ 
define  $next$  where  $next$ :  $next \equiv \lambda i :: nat.$ 
  if  $i = 0$  then  $\{0, 1, 3 :: nat\}$ 
  else if  $i = 1$  then  $\{1, 2, 5\}$ 
  else if  $i = 2$  then  $\{1\}$ 
  else if  $i = 3$  then  $\{3, 4, 5\}$ 
  else if  $i = 4$  then  $\{4, 3\}$ 
  else  $\{5\}$ 
show ?thesis apply(rule distrib-unwind-rsecure[of m next  $\Delta s$ ])
  subgoal unfolding  $m$  by auto
  subgoal unfolding  $next$   $m$  by auto
  subgoal using init unfolding  $\Delta s$  by auto
  subgoal
    unfolding  $m$   $next$   $\Delta s$  apply (simp split: if-splits)
    using theConds
    unfolding oor-def oor3-def oor4-def by auto .
qed
end
end

```

12 Proof of Relative Security for fun5

```

theory Fun5
imports ../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem
  Relative-Security.Unwinding
begin

```

12.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

```

no-notation bot ( $\perp$ )
consts  $NN :: nat$ 
consts  $SS :: nat$ 
lemma  $NN$ : int  $NN \geq 0$  and  $SS$ : int  $SS \geq 0$  by auto

definition  $aa1 :: avname$  where  $aa1 = "a1"$ 
definition  $aa2 :: avname$  where  $aa2 = "a2"$ 
definition  $vv :: avname$  where  $vv = "v"$ 
definition  $xx :: avname$  where  $xx = "x"$ 
definition  $tt :: avname$  where  $tt = "y"$ 
definition  $temp :: avname$  where  $temp = "temp"$ 

```

```

lemmas vvars-defs = aa1-def aa2-def vv-def xx-def tt-def temp-def

```

lemma *vvars-dff*[simp]:

aa1 ≠ *aa2* *aa1* ≠ *vv* *aa1* ≠ *xx* *aa1* ≠ *temp* *aa1* ≠ *tt*
aa2 ≠ *aa1* *aa2* ≠ *vv* *aa2* ≠ *xx* *aa2* ≠ *temp* *aa2* ≠ *tt*
vv ≠ *aa1* *vv* ≠ *aa2* *vv* ≠ *xx* *vv* ≠ *temp* *vv* ≠ *tt*
xx ≠ *aa1* *xx* ≠ *aa2* *xx* ≠ *vv* *xx* ≠ *temp* *xx* ≠ *tt*
tt ≠ *aa1* *tt* ≠ *aa2* *tt* ≠ *vv* *tt* ≠ *temp* *tt* ≠ *xx*
temp ≠ *aa1* *temp* ≠ *aa2* *temp* ≠ *vv* *temp* ≠ *xx* *temp* ≠ *tt*
unfolding *vvars-defs* **by** *auto*

consts *size-aa1* :: *nat*

consts *size-aa2* :: *nat*

fun *initAvstore* :: *avstore* ⇒ *bool* **where**

initAvstore (*Avstore as*) = (*as aa1* = (0, *size-aa1*) ∧ *as aa2* = (*size-aa1*, *size-aa2*))

fun *istate* :: *state* ⇒ *bool* **where**

istate *s* = (*initAvstore* (*getAvstore s*))

definition *prog* ≡

[
 Start ,
 tt ::= (*N 0*),
 xx ::= (*N 1*),
 IfJump (*Not* (*Eq* (*V xx*) (*N 0*))) 4 11 ,
 Input *U xx* ,
 IfJump (*Less* (*V xx*) (*N NN*)) 6 10 ,
 vv ::= *VA aa1* (*V xx*) ,
 Fence ,
 tt ::= (*VA aa2* (*Times* (*V vv*) (*N SS*))) ,
 Output *U* (*V tt*) ,
 Jump 3,
 Output *U* (*N 0*)
]

definition *PC* ≡ {0..11}

definition *beforeWhile* = {0,1,2}

definition *inWhile* = {3..11}

definition *startOfWhileThen* = 4

definition *startOfIfThen* = 6

definition *inThenIfBeforeFence* = {6,7}

definition *startOfElseBranch* = 10

definition *inElseIf* = {10,3,4,11}

definition *whileElse* = 11

fun *leftWhileSpec* **where**

```

leftWhileSpec cfg cfg' =
  (pcOf cfg = whileElse  $\wedge$ 
   pcOf cfg' = startOfWhileThen)

```

```

fun rightWhileSpec where
  rightWhileSpec cfg cfg' =
    (pcOf cfg = startOfWhileThen  $\wedge$ 
     pcOf cfg' = whileElse)

```

```

fun whileSpeculation where
  whileSpeculation cfg cfg' =
    (leftWhileSpec cfg cfg'  $\vee$ 
     rightWhileSpec cfg cfg')
lemmas whileSpec-def = whileSpeculation.simps
          startOfWhileThen-def
          whileElse-def

```

```

lemmas whileSpec-defs = whileSpec-def
          leftWhileSpec.simps
          rightWhileSpec.simps

```

```

lemma cases-12: (i::pcounter) = 0  $\vee$  i = 1  $\vee$  i = 2  $\vee$  i = 3  $\vee$  i = 4  $\vee$  i = 5  $\vee$ 
  i = 6  $\vee$  i = 7  $\vee$  i = 8  $\vee$  i = 9  $\vee$  i = 10  $\vee$  i = 11  $\vee$  i = 12  $\vee$  i > 12

```

```

apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)

```

```

lemma xx-0-cases: vs xx = 0  $\vee$  vs xx  $\neq$  0 by auto

```

```

lemma xx-NN-cases: vs xx < int NN  $\vee$  vs xx  $\geq$  int NN by auto

```

```

lemma is-IfJump-pcOf[simp]:
  pcOf cfg < 12  $\implies$  is-IfJump (prog ! (pcOf cfg))  $\iff$  pcOf cfg = 3  $\vee$  pcOf cfg = 5
apply(cases cfg) subgoal for pc s using cases-12[of pcOf cfg ]
by (auto simp: prog-def) .

```

```

lemma is-IfJump-pc[simp]:
   $pc < 12 \implies is-IfJump (prog ! pc) \longleftrightarrow pc = 3 \vee pc = 5$ 
using cases-12[of pc]
by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma eq-Fence-pc[simp]:
   $pc < 12 \implies prog ! pc = Fence \longleftrightarrow pc = 7$ 
using cases-12[of pc]
by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma output1[simp]:
   $prog ! 9 = Output U (V tt)$  by(simp add: prog-def)
lemma output2[simp]:
   $prog ! 11 = Output U (N 0)$  by(simp add: prog-def)
lemma is-if[simp]:is-IfJump ( $prog ! 3$ ) by(simp add: prog-def)

lemma is-nif1[simp]: $\neg is-IfJump$  ( $prog ! 6$ ) by(simp add: prog-def)
lemma is-nif2[simp]: $\neg is-IfJump$  ( $prog ! 7$ ) by(simp add: prog-def)

lemma is-nin1[simp]: $\neg is-getInput$  ( $prog ! 6$ ) by(simp add: prog-def)
lemma is-nout1[simp]: $\neg is-Output$  ( $prog ! 6$ ) by(simp add: prog-def)
lemma is-nin2[simp]: $\neg is-getInput$  ( $prog ! 10$ ) by(simp add: prog-def)
lemma is-nout2[simp]: $\neg is-Output$  ( $prog ! 10$ ) by(simp add: prog-def)

lemma fence[simp]: $prog ! 7 = Fence$  by(simp add: prog-def)

lemma nfence[simp]: $prog ! 6 \neq Fence$  by(simp add: prog-def)

consts mispred ::  $predState \Rightarrow pcounter list \Rightarrow bool$ 
fun resolve ::  $predState \Rightarrow pcounter list \Rightarrow bool$  where
  resolve p pc =
    (if ( $set pc = \{4, 11\} \vee (6 \in set pc \wedge (4 \in set pc \vee 11 \in set pc))$ )
      then True else False)

lemma resolve-63:  $\neg resolve p [6, 3]$  by auto
lemma resolve-64:  $resolve p [6, 4]$  by auto
lemma resolve-611:  $resolve p [6, 11]$  by auto
lemma resolve-106:  $\neg resolve p [10, 6]$  by auto

consts update ::  $predState \Rightarrow pcounter list \Rightarrow predState$ 
consts initPstate ::  $predState$ 

interpretation Prog-Mispred-Init where
  prog = prog and initPstate = initPstate and
  mispred = mispred and resolve = resolve and update = update and
  istate = istate
  by (standard, simp add: prog-def)

```

abbreviation

$stepB\text{-abbrev} :: config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow$
 $bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow B$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow B y == stepB\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$stepsB\text{-abbrev} :: config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow$
 $bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow B^*$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow B^* y == star\ stepB\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$stepM\text{-abbrev} :: config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow$
 $bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow MM$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow MM y == stepM\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$stepN\text{-abbrev} :: config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \times loc\ set \Rightarrow config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \times loc\ set \Rightarrow$
 $bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow N$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow N y == stepN\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$stepsN\text{-abbrev} :: config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \times loc\ set \Rightarrow config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \times loc\ set \Rightarrow$
 $bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow N^*$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow N^* y == star\ stepN\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$stepS\text{-abbrev} :: configS \Rightarrow configS \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow S$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow S y == stepS\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$stepsS\text{-abbrev} :: configS \Rightarrow configS \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow S^*$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow S^* y == star\ stepS\ x\ y$

lemma $endPC[simp]$: $endPC = 12$

unfolding $endPC\text{-def}$ **unfolding** $prog\text{-def}$ **by** $auto$

lemma $is\text{-getInput}\text{-pcOf}[simp]$: $pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies is\text{-getInput}\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))$

$\longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg = 4$

using $cases\text{-}12[of\ pcOf\ cfg]$ **by** $(auto\ simp:\ prog\text{-def})$

lemma $getUntrustedInput\text{-pcOf}[simp]$: $prog!4 = Input\ U\ xx$

by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not6*[*simp*]: \neg *is-getInput* (*prog* ! 6) **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not7*[*simp*]: \neg *is-getInput* (*prog* ! 7) **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not10*[*simp*]: \neg *is-getInput* (*prog* ! 10) **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output-pcOf*[*simp*]: $pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies is-Output\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg)) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 9 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 11)$

using *cases-12*[*of pcOf cfg*] **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output*: *is-Output* (*prog* ! 9)

unfolding *is-Output-def prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-Output-1*: *is-Output* (*prog* ! 11)

unfolding *is-Output-def prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *isSecV-pcOf*[*simp*]:

isSecV (*cfg,ibT,ibUT*) $\longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg = 0$

using *isSecV-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *isSecO-pcOf*[*simp*]:

isSecO (*pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls*) $\longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 0 \wedge cfgs = [])$

using *isSecO-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getInputT-not*[*simp*]: $pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies (prog\ !\ pcOf\ cfg) \neq Input\ T\ inp$

apply(*cases cfg*) **subgoal for** *pc s* **using** *cases-12*[*of pcOf cfg*]

by (*auto simp: prog-def*) .

lemma *getActV-pcOf*[*simp*]:

$pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies$

getActV (*cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls*) =

(if pcOf cfg = 4 then lhd ibUT else \perp)

apply(*subst getActV-simps*) **unfolding** *prog-def*

apply *simp*

using *getActV-simps*

using *cases-12*[*of pcOf cfg*]

by *auto*

lemma *getObsV-pcOf*[*simp*]:

$pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies$

getObsV (*cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls*) =

(if pcOf cfg = 9 \vee pcOf cfg = 11 then

(outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)

else \perp

)

apply(*subst getObsV-simps*)

unfolding *prog-def* **apply** *simp*
using *getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf prog-def*
One-nat-def **by** *presburger*

lemma *getActO-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies$
 $getActO\ (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
(if $pcOf\ cfg = 4 \wedge cfgs = []$ *then* $lhd\ ibUT$ *else* \perp *)*
apply(*subst getActO-simps*)
apply(*cases cfgs, auto*)
unfolding *prog-def*
apply(*cases pcOf cfg = 4, auto*)
using *getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getObsO-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies$
 $getObsO\ (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
(if $(pcOf\ cfg = 9 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 11) \wedge cfgs = []$ *then*
 $(outOf\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))\ (stateOf\ cfg), ls)$
else \perp
)
apply(*subst getObsO-simps*)
apply(*cases cfgs, auto*)
unfolding *prog-def*
using *getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV prog-def*
One-nat-def **by** *presburger*

lemma *eqSec-pcOf[simp]*:
 $eqSec\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg3 = 0 \wedge cfgs3 = []) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \longrightarrow stateOf\ cfg1 = stateOf\ cfg3)$
unfolding *eqSec-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getActInput:pc4 = 4 \implies pc3 = 4 \implies cfgs3 = [] \implies cfgs4 = [] \implies*
 $getActO\ (pstate3, Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3), [], ibT3, ibUT3,$
 $ls3) =$
 $getActO\ (pstate4, Config\ pc4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4), [], ibT4, ibUT4,$
 $ls4)$
 $\implies lhd\ ibUT3 = lhd\ ibUT4$
using *getActO-pcOf zero-less-numeral* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc0[simp]*:
 $nextB\ (Config\ 0\ s, ibT, ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 1\ s, ibT, ibUT)$

apply(subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc0[simp]:
readLocs (Config 0 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc1[simp]:
nextB (Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT,ibUT) =
((Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))) avst hh p)), ibT,ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc1'[simp]:
nextB (Config (Suc 0) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT,ibUT) =
((Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))) avst hh p)), ibT,ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc1[simp]:
readLocs (Config 1 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc1'[simp]:
readLocs (Config (Suc 0) s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc2[simp]:
nextB (Config 2 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT,ibUT) =
((Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := 1))) avst hh p)), ibT,ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc2[simp]:
readLocs (Config 2 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc3-then[simp]:
vs xx ≠ 0 ⇒
nextB (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT,ibUT) =
(Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT,ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma *nextB-pc3-else*[simp]:

vs xx = 0 \implies

nextB (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 11* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextB-IfFalse*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3*:

nextB (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =

(*Config* (*if vs xx \neq 0 then 4 else 11*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

by(*cases vs xx = 0, auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc3*[simp]:

readLocs (*Config 3 s*) = {}

unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3-then*[simp]:

vs xx = 0 \implies

nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextM-IfTrue*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3-else*[simp]:

vs xx \neq 0 \implies

nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 11* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextM-IfFalse*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3*:

nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =

(*Config* (*if vs xx \neq 0 then 11 else 4*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

by(*cases vs xx = 0, auto*)

lemma *nextB-pc4*[simp]:

ibUT \neq LNil \implies *nextB* (*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore* (*vs(xx := lhd ibUT)*)) *avst hh p*), *ibT, ltl ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput'*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc4*[simp]:

readLocs (*Config 4 s*) = {}

unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5-then[simp]*:
 $vs\ xx < int\ NN \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst *nextB-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5-else[simp]*:
 $vs\ xx \geq int\ NN \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 10\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst *nextB-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5*:
 $nextB\ (Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ (if\ vs\ xx < NN\ then\ 6\ else\ 10)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(cases $vs\ xx < NN$, *auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc5[simp]*:
 $readLocs\ (Config\ 5\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc5-then[simp]*:
 $vs\ xx \geq int\ NN \implies$
 $nextM\ (Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst *nextM-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc5-else[simp]*:
 $vs\ xx < int\ NN \implies$
 $nextM\ (Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 10\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst *nextM-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc5*:
 $nextM\ (Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ (if\ vs\ xx < NN\ then\ 10\ else\ 6)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(cases $vs\ xx < NN$, *auto*)

lemma *nextB-pc6[simp]*:
 $nextB\ (Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ (Heap\ hh)\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(let\ l = array-loc\ aa1\ (nat\ (vs\ xx))\ avst$
 $in\ (Config\ 7\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(vv := hh\ l)))\ avst\ (Heap\ hh)\ p)),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc6[simp]*:
readLocs (Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) = {array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc7[simp]*:
nextB (Config 7 s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config 8 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc7[simp]*:
readLocs (Config 7 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc8[simp]*:
nextB (Config 8 (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap hh) p), ibT, ibUT) =
*(let l = array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * SS)) avst*
in (Config 9 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := hh l))) avst (Heap hh) p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc8[simp]*:
*readLocs (Config 8 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) = {array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * SS)) avst}*
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc9[simp]*:
nextB (Config 9 s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config 10 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Output*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc9[simp]*:
readLocs (Config 9 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc10[simp]*:
nextB (Config 10 s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config 3 s, ibT, ibUT)

apply(*subst nextB-Jump*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc10*[*simp*]:
readLocs (Config 10 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc11*[*simp*]:
nextB (Config 11 s, ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 12 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Output*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc11*[*simp*]:
readLocs (Config 11 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *map-L1:length* *cfgs = Suc 0* \implies
pcOf (last cfgs) = y \implies *map pcOf cfgs = [y]*
by (*smt (verit, del-insts) Suc-length-conv cfgs-map last.simps*
length-0-conv map-eq-Cons-conv nth-Cons-0 numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma *map-L2:length* *cfgs = 2* \implies
pcOf (cfgs ! 0) = x \implies
pcOf (last cfgs) = y \implies *map pcOf cfgs = [x, y]*
by (*smt (verit) Suc-length-conv cfgs-map last.simps*
length-0-conv map-eq-Cons-conv nth-Cons-0 numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma *length* *cfgs = 2* \implies *(cfgs ! 0) = last (butlast cfgs)*
by (*cases cfgs, auto*)

lemma *nextB-stepB-pc*:
pc < 12 \implies (*pc = 4* \longrightarrow *ibUT \neq LNil*) \implies
(Config pc s, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B nextB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(*cases s*) **subgoal for** *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for *vs as h*
using *cases-12*[*of pc*] **apply** *safe*
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

```

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx < NN)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def) .

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  apply (simp add: prog-def)
  using nextB-pc5 prog-def by presburger
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)

```

```

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal by simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def) . .

```

lemma not-finalB:

```

pc < 12  $\implies$  (pc = 4  $\longrightarrow$  ibUT  $\neq$  LNil)  $\implies$ 
 $\neg$  finalB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)
using nextB-stepB-pc by (simp add: stepB-iff-nextB)

```

lemma finalB-pc-iff':

```

pc < 12  $\implies$ 
finalB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(pc = 4  $\wedge$  ibUT = LNil)
subgoal apply safe
  subgoal using nextB-stepB-pc[of pc] by (auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB)
  subgoal using nextB-stepB-pc[of pc] by (auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB)
  subgoal using finalB-iff getUntrustedInput-pcOf by auto . .

```

lemma finalB-pc-iff:

```

pc  $\leq$  12  $\implies$ 
finalB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(pc = 12  $\vee$  pc = 4  $\wedge$  ibUT = LNil)
using Prog.finalB-iff endPC finalB-pc-iff' order-le-less finalB-iff
by metis

```

lemma finalB-pcOf-iff[simp]:

```

pcOf cfg  $\leq$  12  $\implies$ 
finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (pcOf cfg = 12  $\vee$  pcOf cfg = 4  $\wedge$  ibUT = LNil)
by (metis config.collapse finalB-pc-iff)

```

lemma finalS-cond:pcOf cfg < 12 \implies noMisSpec cfgs \implies ibUT \neq LNil \implies \neg

```

finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)
  apply(cases cfg)
  subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
  subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)

```

subgoal for *vs as h*
using *cases-12*[of *pc*] **apply**(*elim disjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs noMisSpec-def*
subgoal using *nonspec-normal*[of [] *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls]
using *is-IfJump-pc* **by force**

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt:= 0))) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls]
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(xx:= 1))) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls]
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*cases mispred pstate [3]*)
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-mispred*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
(Vstore vs) avst hh p))]
(State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
(State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
(State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)]
ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 11)
ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 11 else 4)
ibT ibUT [Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 11 else 4)
ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs)
avst hh p)) ls]
prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 11) (State (Vstore vs)
avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls]
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfgs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 5 (State (Vstore (vs(xx:= lhd ibUT))) avst hh
p)
ibT ltl ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*cases mispred pstate [5]*)
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-mispred*[of cfgs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)]
pstate update pstate [pcOf (Config pc (State
(Vstore vs) avst hh p))]
ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx < NN then 6 else
10) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx < NN then 10 else
6) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [Config (if vs xx < NN then 10 else
6) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)]
ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs)
avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfgs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config (if vs xx < NN then 6 else 10) (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfgs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
(let l = (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) (Avstore as))
in (Config 7 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h l))) avst hh p)))
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfgs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 8 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
 (let *l = (array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * SS)) (Avstore as))*
 in (*Config 9 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := h l))) avst hh p)*))
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
hh p)) ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 10 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 12 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

by simp-all . . .

lemma *finalS-cond'*:*pcOf cfg < 12 ⇒ cfgs = [] ⇒ ibUT ≠ LNil ⇒ ¬ finalS*
(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)

using *finalS-cond* **by** (*simp add: noMisSpec-def*)

lemma *finalS-while-spec*:

whileSpeculation cfg (last cfgs) ⇒
length cfgs = Suc 0 ⇒
 \neg *finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)*

apply(*unfold whileSpec-defs, cases cfg*)

subgoal for *pc s* **apply**(*cases s*)

subgoal for *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)

subgoal for *vs as h*

apply(*elim disjE, elim conjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs*

subgoal using *stepS-spec-resolve-iff*[of *cfgs pstate cfg ibT ibUT ls update*
pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cfgs)]

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) cfgs-map empty-set insert-commute less-numeral-extra(3)*)

```

      resolve.simps list.simps(15) list.size(3) numeral-2-eq-2 pos2)
    subgoal apply(elim conjE)
      using spec-resolve[of cfgs pstate cfg update pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf
cfgs) cfg [] ibT ibT ibUT ibUT ls ls ]
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) empty-set insert-commute last-ConsL
resolve.simps
length-0-conv length-1-butlast length-Suc-conv list.simps(9,8,15)) . .
. .

```

lemma *finalS-while-spec-L2*:

```

  pcOf cfg = 6  $\implies$ 
  whileSpeculation (cfgs!0) (last cfgs)  $\implies$ 
  length cfgs = 2  $\implies$ 
   $\neg$  finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT,ibUT, ls)
apply(unfold whileSpec-defs, cases cfg)
  subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
  subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
  subgoal for vs as h
    apply(elim disjE, elim conjE) unfolding finalS-defs
    subgoal using stepS-spec-resolve-iff[of cfgs pstate cfg ibT ibUT ls update
pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cfgs)]
    unfolding resolve.simps
    using list.set-intros(1,2) map-L2 zero-neq-numeral
    by fastforce
  subgoal apply(elim conjE)
    using spec-resolve
    unfolding resolve.simps
    using list.set-intros(1,2) map-L2 zero-neq-numeral
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Prog-Mispred.spec-resolve Prog-Mispred-axioms
list.size(3))
  . . . .

```

lemma *finalS-if-spec*:

```

  (pcOf (last cfgs)  $\in$  inThenIfBeforeFence  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg = 10)  $\vee$ 
  (pcOf (last cfgs)  $\in$  inElseIf  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg = 6)  $\implies$ 
  length cfgs = Suc 0  $\implies$ 
   $\neg$  finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT,ibUT, ls)
unfolding inThenIfBeforeFence-def inElseIf-def
apply(simp,cases last cfgs)
subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs h
  apply(elim disjE, elim conjE) unfolding finalS-defs
  subgoal apply(elim disjE)
    subgoal apply(rule notI,
erule allE[of - (pstate,cfg,
[Config 7 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs
xx)) avst)))) avst hh p]),
ibT,ibUT,ls  $\cup$  readLocs (last cfgs))])

```

```

      by(erule notE, rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 7 (State (Vstore (vs(vv
:= h (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst)))) avst hh p]), auto)
      by (metis cfigs-Suc-zero fence not-Cons-self2 stepS-spec-Fence-iff spec-resolve)
    subgoal apply (elim conjE, elim disjE)
      subgoal apply (rule notI,
        erule allE[of - (pstate, cfig,
          [Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p]),
            ibT, ibUT, ls  $\cup$  readLocs (last cfigs))])
        by(erule notE, rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 3 (State (Vstore vs)
avst hh p)], auto)
      subgoal apply (cases mispred pstate [6,3])
        subgoal apply (rule notI, erule allE[of -
          (update pstate (pcOf cfig # map pcOf cfigs),
            cfig,
            [Config (if vs xx  $\neq$  0 then 4 else 11) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p),
              Config (if vs xx  $\neq$  0 then 11 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)],
            ibT, ibUT,
            ls  $\cup$  readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p))]), erule notE,
          rule spec-mispred[of - - - - -
            Config (if vs xx  $\neq$  0 then 4 else 11) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
            - - Config (if vs xx  $\neq$  0 then 11 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p) ibT
            ibUT])
            by(auto simp: finalM-iff)

        apply (rule notI, erule allE[of -
          (pstate, cfig, [Config (if vs xx  $\neq$  0 then 4 else 11) (State (Vstore vs) avst
hh p)], ibT, ibUT,
            ls  $\cup$  readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p))])
          by(erule notE, rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config (if vs xx  $\neq$  0 then 4
else 11) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)], auto)

      subgoal by (metis resolve-64 stepS-spec-resolve-iff
        map-L1 cfigs-Suc-zero not-Cons-self2)
      subgoal by (metis resolve-611 stepS-spec-resolve-iff
        map-L1 cfigs-Suc-zero not-Cons-self2)
      . . . . .

end

```

12.2 Proof

```

theory Fun5-secure
imports Fun5
begin

```

definition *common* :: *enat* \Rightarrow *enat* \Rightarrow *stateO* \Rightarrow *stateO* \Rightarrow *status* \Rightarrow *stateV* \Rightarrow *stateV* \Rightarrow *status* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

common = ($\lambda w1 w2$
 (*pstate3*,*cfg3*,*cfgs3*,*ibT3*,*ibUT3*,*ls3*)
 (*pstate4*,*cfg4*,*cfgs4*,*ibT4*,*ibUT4*,*ls4*)
statA
 (*cfg1*,*ibT1*,*ibUT1*,*ls1*)
 (*cfg2*,*ibT2*,*ibUT2*,*ls2*)
statO.
 (*pstate3* = *pstate4* \wedge
cfg1 = *cfg3* \wedge *cfg2* = *cfg4* \wedge
pcOf *cfg3* = *pcOf* *cfg4* \wedge *map pcOf* *cfgs3* = *map pcOf* *cfgs4* \wedge
pcOf *cfg3* \in *PC* \wedge *pcOf* ' (*set* *cfgs3*) \subseteq *PC* \wedge
llength *ibUT1* = ∞ \wedge *llength* *ibUT2* = ∞ \wedge
ibUT1 = *ibUT3* \wedge *ibUT2* = *ibUT4* \wedge

w1 = *w2* \wedge
 ///
array-base *aa1* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3*)) = *array-base* *aa1* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4*)) \wedge
 (\forall *cfg3'* \in *set* *cfgs3*. *array-base* *aa1* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3'*)) = *array-base* *aa1* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3*))) \wedge
 (\forall *cfg4'* \in *set* *cfgs4*. *array-base* *aa1* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4'*)) = *array-base* *aa1* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4*))) \wedge
array-base *aa2* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3*)) = *array-base* *aa2* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4*)) \wedge
 (\forall *cfg3'* \in *set* *cfgs3*. *array-base* *aa2* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3'*)) = *array-base* *aa2* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3*))) \wedge
 (\forall *cfg4'* \in *set* *cfgs4*. *array-base* *aa2* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4'*)) = *array-base* *aa2* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4*))) \wedge
 ///
 (*statA* = *Diff* \longrightarrow *statO* = *Diff*) \wedge
Dist *ls1* *ls2* *ls3* *ls4*))

lemma *common-implies*: *common* *w1* *w2* (*pstate3*,*cfg3*,*cfgs3*,*ibT3*,*ibUT3*,*ls3*)

(*pstate4*,*cfg4*,*cfgs4*,*ibT4*,*ibUT4*,*ls4*)
statA
 (*cfg1*,*ibT1*,*ibUT1*,*ls1*)
 (*cfg2*,*ibT2*,*ibUT2*,*ls2*)
statO \Longrightarrow
pcOf *cfg1* < 12 \wedge *pcOf* *cfg2* = *pcOf* *cfg1* \wedge
ibUT1 \neq [[]] \wedge *ibUT2* \neq [[]] \wedge *w1* = *w2*
unfolding *common-def* *PC-def* **by** (*auto simp: image-def subset-eq*)

definition $\Delta 0$:: *enat* \Rightarrow *enat* \Rightarrow *enat* \Rightarrow *stateO* \Rightarrow *stateO* \Rightarrow *status* \Rightarrow *stateV* \Rightarrow *stateV* \Rightarrow *status* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

```

Δ0 = (λnum w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
      (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
      statA
      (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
      (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
      statO.
      (common w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
        (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
        statA
        (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
        (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
        statO ∧
        pcOf cfg3 ∈ beforeWhile ∧
        (pcOf cfg3 > 1 → same-var-o tt cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4) ∧
        (pcOf cfg3 > 2 → same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4) ∧
        (pcOf cfg3 > 4 → same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4) ∧
        noMisSpec cfs3
      ))

```

lemmas Δ0-defs = Δ0-def common-def PC-def same-var-o-def
beforeWhile-def noMisSpec-def

lemma Δ0-implies: Δ0 num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO ⇒
pcOf cfg1 < 12 ∧ pcOf cfg2 = pcOf cfg1 ∧
ibUT1 ≠ [] ∧ ibUT2 ≠ [] ∧ cfs4 = []
apply (meson Δ0-def common-implies)
by (simp-all add: Δ0-defs, metis Nil-is-map-conv)

definition Δ1 :: enat ⇒ enat ⇒ enat ⇒ stateO ⇒ stateO ⇒ status ⇒ stateV
⇒ stateV ⇒ status ⇒ bool **where**

```

Δ1 = (λ num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
      (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
      statA
      (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
      (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
      statO.
      (common w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
        (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
        statA
        (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
        (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
        statO ∧
        pcOf cfg3 ∈ inWhile ∧

```

same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4 \wedge
noMisSpec cfs3
))
lemmas $\Delta 1$ -defs = $\Delta 1$ -def common-def PC-def noMisSpec-def in While-def same-var-o-def
lemma $\Delta 1$ -implies: $\Delta 1$ n w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO \implies
 pcOf cfg3 < 12 \wedge cfs3 = [] \wedge ibUT3 \neq [] \wedge
 pcOf cfg4 < 12 \wedge cfs4 = [] \wedge ibUT4 \neq []
unfolding $\Delta 1$ -defs apply simp
by (metis Nil-is-map-conv infinity-ne-i0 llength-LNil)

definition $\Delta 1'$:: enat \implies enat \implies enat \implies stateO \implies stateO \implies status \implies stateV
 \implies stateV \implies status \implies bool **where**
 $\Delta 1'$ = (λ num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO.
 (common w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO \wedge
 same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4 \wedge
 whileSpeculation cfg3 (last cfs3) \wedge
 misSpecL1 cfs3 \wedge misSpecL1 cfs4 \wedge
 w1 = ∞
))
lemmas $\Delta 1'$ -defs = $\Delta 1'$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-def
 startOfIfThen-def startOfElseBranch-def
 misSpecL1-def whileSpec-defs

lemma $\Delta 1'$ -implies: $\Delta 1'$ num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO \implies
 pcOf cfg3 < 12 \wedge pcOf cfg4 < 12 \wedge
 whileSpeculation cfg3 (last cfs3) \wedge
 whileSpeculation cfg4 (last cfs4) \wedge
 length cfs3 = Suc 0 \wedge length cfs4 = Suc 0

unfolding $\Delta 1'$ -defs
apply (simp add: lessI, clarify)
by (metis last-map length-0-conv)

definition $\Delta 2 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta 2 = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfig3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfig4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfig1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfig2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfig3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfig4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfig1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfig2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$

$same-var-o\ xx\ cfig3\ cfigs3\ cfig4\ cfigs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfig3 = startOfIfThen \wedge pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) \in inElseIf \wedge$
 $misSpecL1\ cfigs3 \wedge misSpecL1\ cfigs4 \wedge$

$(pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = startOfElseBranch \longrightarrow w1 = \infty) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 3 \longrightarrow w1 = 3) \wedge$

$(pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = startOfWhileThen \vee$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = whileElse \longrightarrow w1 = 1)$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 2$ -defs = $\Delta 2$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-o-def misSpecL1-def
startOfIfThen-def inElseIf-def same-var-def
startOfWhileThen-def whileElse-def startOfElseBranch-def

lemma $\Delta 2$ -implies: $\Delta 2\ num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfig3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

$(pstate4, cfig4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfig1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfig2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) \in inElseIf \wedge pcOf\ cfig3 = 6 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfigs4) = pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfig4 = pcOf\ cfig3 \wedge length\ cfigs3 = Suc\ 0 \wedge$
 $length\ cfigs4 = Suc\ 0 \wedge same-var\ xx\ (last\ cfigs3)\ (last\ cfigs4)$

apply (intro conjI)
unfolding $\Delta 2$ -defs
apply (simp-all add: image-subset-iff)

by (metis last-in-set length-0-conv Nil-is-map-conv last-map length-map)+

definition $\Delta 2' :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\Delta 2' = (\lambda \text{num } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\text{statO}.$
 $(\text{common } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\text{statO} \wedge$
 $\text{same-var-o } xx \ \text{cfg3} \ \text{cfs3} \ \text{cfg4} \ \text{cfs4} \wedge$
 $\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} = \text{startOfIfThen} \wedge$
 $\text{whileSpeculation } (\text{cfs3!0}) \ (\text{last } \text{cfs3}) \wedge$
 $\text{misSpecL2 } \text{cfs3} \wedge \text{misSpecL2 } \text{cfs4} \wedge$
 $w1 = 2$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 2' \text{-defs} = \Delta 2' \text{-def}$ common-def PC-def same-var-def
 $\text{startOfElseBranch-def}$ startOfIfThen-def
 whileSpec-defs misSpecL2-def

lemma $\Delta 2' \text{-implies: } \Delta 2' \ \text{num } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$

$(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA
 $(\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $(\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\text{statO} \implies$
 $\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} = 6 \wedge \text{pcOf } \text{cfg4} = 6 \wedge$
 $\text{whileSpeculation } (\text{cfs3!0}) \ (\text{last } \text{cfs3}) \wedge$
 $\text{whileSpeculation } (\text{cfs4!0}) \ (\text{last } \text{cfs4}) \wedge$
 $\text{length } \text{cfs3} = 2 \wedge \text{length } \text{cfs4} = 2$
apply(intro conjI)
unfolding $\Delta 2' \text{-defs}$ **apply** (simp add: lessI, clarify)
apply linarith+ **apply** simp-all
by (metis list.inject map-L2)

definition $\Delta 3 :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\Delta 3 = (\lambda \text{num } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $(\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 statA


```

      (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
      (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
      statO.
    (common w1 w2 (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
      (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
      statA
      (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
      (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
      statO  $\wedge$ 
      same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4  $\wedge$ 
      pcOf cfg3 = startOfElseBranch  $\wedge$  pcOf (last cfgs3)  $\in$  inThenIfBeforeFence  $\wedge$ 
      misSpecL1 cfgs3  $\wedge$ 
      (pcOf (last cfgs3) = 6  $\longrightarrow$  w1 =  $\infty$ )  $\wedge$ 
      (pcOf (last cfgs3) = 7  $\longrightarrow$  w1 = 1)
    ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 3$ -defs = $\Delta 3$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-o-def
startOfElseBranch-def inThenIfBeforeFence-def

lemma $\Delta 3$ -implies: $\Delta 3$ num w1 w2 (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
(pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
statA
(cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
(cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
statO \implies
pcOf (last cfgs3) \in inThenIfBeforeFence \wedge
pcOf (last cfgs4) = pcOf (last cfgs3) \wedge
pcOf cfg3 = 10 \wedge pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4 \wedge
length cfgs3 = Suc 0 \wedge length cfgs4 = Suc 0
apply(intro conjI)
unfolding $\Delta 3$ -defs
apply (simp-all add: image-subset-iff)
by (metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv length-map)+

definition Δe :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow
stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $\Delta e = (\lambda \text{num } w1 \ w2 \ (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)$
 (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
 (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
 statO.
 (pcOf cfg3 = endPC \wedge pcOf cfg4 = endPC \wedge cfgs3 = [] \wedge cfgs4 = [] \wedge
 pcOf cfg1 = endPC \wedge pcOf cfg2 = endPC))

lemmas Δe -defs = Δe -def common-def endPC-def

```

lemma init: initCond  $\Delta 0$ 
unfolding initCond-def apply safe
  subgoal for pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4

  unfolding istateO.simps apply clarsimp
apply(cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg3), cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg4))
unfolding  $\Delta 0$ -defs
unfolding array-base-def by auto .

```

```

lemma step0: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 0$  (oor  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 0$ :  $\Delta 0$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  have f1:  $\neg$ finalN ss1
    using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding ss
    apply-by(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies, simp)

  have f2:  $\neg$ finalN ss2

```

```

using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding ss
apply-by(frul  $\Delta 0$ -implies, simp)

have f3: $\neg$ finalS ss3
using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding ss
apply-apply(frul  $\Delta 0$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
by (clarify,metis finalS-cond')

have f4: $\neg$ finalS ss4
using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding ss
apply-apply(frul  $\Delta 0$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
by (clarify,metis finalS-cond')

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

show match1 (oor  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI,rule disjI2, intro conjI)
fix ss3' ss4' statA'
assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
by (cases ss3', auto)
obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
by (cases ss4', auto)
note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)

```

```

by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

show eqSec ss1 ss3
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ0-defs)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
apply (simp add: Δ0-defs)
by (metis map-is-Nil-conv)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ0-implies)
unfolding Opt.eqAct-def
  Van.eqAct-def
by(simp-all add: Δ0-defs, linarith)

show match12-12 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ∞ ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2],unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
using v sa Δ0 sstat unfolding ss cfg statA' apply simp
apply(simp add: Δ0-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def finalS-def final-def)
using cases-12[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
apply simp-all apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
by (smt (z3) status.distinct(1) updStat.simps(2,3) updStat-diff)+
} note stat = this

show oor Δ0 Δ1 ∞ ∞ ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ0-defs numeral-1-eq-Suc-0, linarith)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ0-defs)
next

```

```

      case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis
  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
    show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat v3 v4 nn3 nn4 unfolding ss cfg apply
clarsimp
    apply(unfold  $\Delta 0$ -defs, clarsimp, elim disjE)
    subgoal by(rule oorI1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    subgoal by (rule oorI1, simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    subgoal by (rule oorI2, simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma step1: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 1$ (oor5 $\Delta 1$ $\Delta 1'$ $\Delta 2$ $\Delta 3$ Δe)

```

proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and Δ1: Δ1 n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
  ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
  ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

have f1:¬finalN ss1
  using Δ1 unfolding ss Δ1-def apply clarify
  apply(frule common-implies)
  using finalB-pcOf-iff finalN-iff-finalB nat-less-le by blast

  have f2:¬finalN ss2
  using Δ1 unfolding ss Δ1-def apply clarify
  apply(frule common-implies)
  using finalB-pcOf-iff finalN-iff-finalB nat-less-le by metis

  have f3:¬finalS ss3
  using Δ1 unfolding ss
  apply—apply(frule Δ1-implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-cond')

  have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ1 unfolding ss
  apply—apply(frule Δ1-implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-cond')

```

```

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

  show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

  show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss
  unfolding Opt.eqAct-def Van.eqAct-def
  apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  by (metis Nil-is-map-conv f3 infinity-ne-i0 llength-LNil ss3)

  show match12-12 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ )  $\infty \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2
statO
  unfolding match12-12-def
  proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)

```

```

show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
 show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
   using v sa Δ1 sstat finals unfolding ss cfg statA'
   apply–apply(frule Δ1-implies)
 apply(simp add: Δ1-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def updStat-EqI)
 using cases-12[of pc3] apply(elim disjE, simp-all)
 subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
   by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
 subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
   by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
 subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
   by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
 subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
   by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
 subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
   by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
 subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
   by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
 subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
   by (simp-all add: updStat-EqI split: if-splits)
 subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
   by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
 apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
   by (simp-all add: updStat-EqI split: if-splits)
} note stat = this

show oor5 Δ1 Δ1' Δ2 Δ3 Δe ∞ ∞ ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1)
(nextN ss2) (sstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ1-defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ1-defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ1-defs)

next
  case spec-resolve

```



```

then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nn3 nn4 f4 unfolding ss cfg
Opt.eqAct-def
      apply clarsimp using cases-12 [of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal using xx-0-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
      subgoal apply (rule oor5I1) by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal using xx-NN-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs hh)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs hh)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      by (rule oor5I5, simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta e$ -defs)
      qed
    next
      case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred

```

```

    show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
      next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
      next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
      next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
      next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
      next
      case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat v3 v4 nm3 nm4 unfolding ss cfg
apply clarsimp
      using cases-12[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
      prefer 4 subgoal using xx-0-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal by(rule oor5I2, auto simp add: Δ1-defs Δ1'-defs)
      subgoal by(rule oor5I2, auto simp add: Δ1-defs Δ1'-defs) .
      prefer 5 subgoal using xx-NN-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal apply(rule oor5I3) by (auto simp add: Δ1-defs Δ2-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor5I4) by (auto simp add: Δ1-defs Δ3-defs) .
      by (simp-all add: Δ1-defs)
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed

```

lemma *step2: unwindIntoCond* Δ2 (oor3 Δ2 Δ2' Δ1)

proof(rule *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2

and Δ2: Δ2 n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 **where** ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,

```

ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  lcfgs3: last cfs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases last cfs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  lcfgs4: last cfs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases last cfs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4

  have f1:¬finalN ss1
  using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-def
  apply clarsimp
  by(frule common-implies, simp)

  have f2:¬finalN ss2
  using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-def
  apply clarsimp
  by(frule common-implies, simp)

  have f3:¬finalS ss3
  using Δ2 unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ2-implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-if-spec)

  have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ2 unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ2-implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-if-spec)

  note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
  show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

  then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

  then have lpc3:pcOf (last cfs3) = 10 ∨
  pcOf (last cfs3) = 3 ∨
  pcOf (last cfs3) = 4 ∨

```

$pcOf (last\ cfgs3) = 11$
using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** ss $\Delta 2$ -**defs** **by** $simp$

have $sec3[simp]: \neg isSecO\ ss3$
using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** ss **by** ($simp$ $add: \Delta 2$ - $defs$)
have $sec4[simp]: \neg isSecO\ ss4$
using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** ss **by** ($simp$ $add: \Delta 2$ - $defs$)

have $stat[simp]: \wedge s3'\ s4'\ statA'. statA' = sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4 \implies$
 $validTransO (ss3, s3') \implies validTransO (ss4, s4') \implies$
 $(statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff)$

subgoal for $ss3'\ ss4'$
apply ($cases\ ss3, cases\ ss4, cases\ ss1, cases\ ss2$)
apply ($cases\ ss3', cases\ ss4', clarsimp$)
using $\Delta 2$ **finals** **unfolding** ss **apply** $clarsimp$
apply($simp$ - all $add: \Delta 2$ - $defs\ sstatA'$ - def)
apply($cases\ statO, simp$ - all) **by** ($cases\ statA, simp$ - all $add: updStat$ - EqI) .

have $xx: vs3\ xx = vs4\ xx$ **using** $\Delta 2$ **lcfgs** **unfolding** ss $\Delta 2$ -**defs** **apply** $clarsimp$
by ($metis\ cfgs$ - Suc - $zero\ config.sel(2)\ list.set$ - $intros(1)\ state.sel(1)\ vstore.sel$)

have $oor3$ - $rule: \wedge ss3'\ ss4'. ss3 \rightarrow S\ ss3' \implies ss4 \rightarrow S\ ss4' \implies$
 $(pcOf (last\ cfgs3) = 10 \rightarrow oor3\ \Delta 2\ \Delta 2'\ \Delta 1 \infty 3\ 3\ ss3'\ ss4'$
 $(sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4)\ ss1\ ss2\ statO)$
 $\wedge (pcOf (last\ cfgs3) = 3 \wedge mispred\ pstate4\ [6, 3] \rightarrow oor3\ \Delta 2\ \Delta 2'$
 $\Delta 1 \infty 2\ 2\ ss3'\ ss4'\ (sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4)\ ss1\ ss2\ statO)$
 $\wedge (pcOf (last\ cfgs3) = 3 \wedge \neg mispred\ pstate4\ [6, 3] \rightarrow oor3\ \Delta 2$
 $\Delta 2'\ \Delta 1 \infty 1\ 1\ ss3'\ ss4'\ (sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4)\ ss1\ ss2\ statO)$
 $\wedge ((pcOf (last\ cfgs3) = 4 \vee pcOf (last\ cfgs3) = 11) \rightarrow oor3\ \Delta 2$
 $\Delta 2'\ \Delta 1 \infty 0\ 0\ ss3'\ ss4'\ (sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4)\ ss1\ ss2\ statO) \implies$
 $\exists w1' < w1. \exists w2' < w2. oor3\ \Delta 2\ \Delta 2'\ \Delta 1 \infty w1'\ w2'\ ss3'\ ss4'$
 $(sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4)\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
subgoal for $ss3'\ ss4'$ **apply**($cases\ ss3', cases\ ss4'$)
subgoal for $pstate3'\ cfg3'\ cfgs3'\ ibT3'\ ibUT3'\ ls3'$
 $pstate4'\ cfg4'\ cfgs4'\ ibT4'\ ibUT4'\ ls4'$
subgoal premises p **using** $lpc3$ **apply**-**apply**($erule\ disjE$)
subgoal apply($intro\ exI[of - 3], intro\ conjI$)
subgoal using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** ss $\Delta 2$ -**defs** **apply** $clarify$
by ($metis\ enat$ - ord - $simps(4)\ numeral$ - ne - $infinity$)
apply($intro\ exI[of - 3], rule\ conjI$)
subgoal using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** ss $\Delta 2$ -**defs** **apply** $clarify$
by ($metis\ enat$ - ord - $simps(4)\ numeral$ - ne - $infinity$)
using p **by** ($simp$ $add: p$)
apply($erule\ disjE$)
subgoal apply($cases\ mispred\ pstate4\ [6, 3]$)
subgoal apply($intro\ exI[of - 2], intro\ conjI$)
using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** ss $\Delta 2$ -**defs** **apply** $clarify$
apply ($metis\ enat$ - ord - $number(2)\ eval$ - nat - $numeral(3)\ lessI$)

```

    apply(intro exI[of - 2], rule conjI)
    using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
    apply (metis enat-ord-number(2) eval-nat-numeral(3) lessI)
    using  $\Delta 2$  p unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by clarify
  subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 1], intro conjI)
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
    apply (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(77))
  apply(intro exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
    apply (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(77))
  using  $\Delta 2$  p unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by clarify .
  subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 0], intro conjI)
    using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
      apply (metis less-numeral-extra(1))
    apply(intro exI[of - 0], rule conjI)
    using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
      apply (metis less-numeral-extra(1))
    using  $\Delta 2$  p unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by clarify . . . .

```

```

show match (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

```

```

show match1 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)
  subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')
    subgoal for pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3'
      pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4'
    apply-apply(rule oor3-rule, assumption+, intro conjI impI)

```

```

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp

```

```

add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
    using  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) resolve-106
    unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
    using cfigs-map misSpecL1-def
    by (smt (z3) insert-commute list.simps(15) resolve.simps)
next
  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
  case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
  have pc4:pc4 = 10 using  $\Delta 2$  prem lcfgs unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by auto
  show ?thesis
    using  $\Delta 2$  prem sn3 sn4 finals stat unfolding ss prem(4,5) lcfgs
    apply-apply(frul  $\Delta 2$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 2$ -defs) apply clarsimp
    apply(rule oor3I1) apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs pc4)
    using final-def config.sel(2) last-in-set
      lcfgs state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel xx
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed
qed

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred

```

```

then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
  using  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) resolve-63
  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs using cfigs-map misSpecL1-def apply clarify
  by (smt (z3) insert-commute list.simps(15) resolve.simps)
  next
  case spec-mispred note sm3 = spec-mispred
  show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule
 $\Delta 2$ -implies)
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
  next
  case spec-mispred note sm4 = spec-mispred
  have pc:pc4 = 3
  using prem(6) lcfgs  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs )
  show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I2)
  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ '-def using xx-0-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
  subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  lcfgs prem pc sm3 sm4 xx finals stat unfolding ss
  apply- apply(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ '-defs, clarify)
  apply(intro conjI)
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
final-def)

```

```

    subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
    subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
    subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
    subgoal by (smt (verit) prem(1) prem(2) ss3 ss4)
    subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel) .
  subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  lcfs prem pc sm3 sm4 xx finals stat unfolding ss
  apply- apply(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 2'$ -defs, clarify)
  apply(intro conjI)
    subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
final-def)
    subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
    subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
    subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
    subgoal by (smt (verit) prem(1) prem(2) ss3 ss4)
    subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel) . .
  qed
  qed

  subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
  proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis
      using  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) resolve-63
      unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs using cfs-map misSpecL1-def apply clarify
      by (smt (z3) insert-commute list.simps(15) resolve.simps)
  next
    case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

```



```

next
  case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add: \Delta 2-defs,
metis last-map)
  next
    case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add: \Delta 2-defs,
metis last-map)
    next
      case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add: \Delta 2-defs,
metis last-map)
      next
        case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
          show ?thesis
            using  $\Delta 2$  lcfgs prem sn3 sn4 finals unfolding ss
            apply-apply(frule \Delta 2-implies) apply clarify
            apply(rule oor3I1, clarsimp)
              using xx-0-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
              subgoal apply(simp-all add: \Delta 2-defs)
              using config.sel(2) last-in-set stat state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
              by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Opt.final-def config.sel(1) eval-nat-numeral(3)
f3 f4 is-Output-1 le-imp-less-Suc le-refl nat-less-le ss)
              subgoal apply(simp-all add: \Delta 2-defs, clarify)
              using config.sel(2) last-in-set stat state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
              apply(intro conjI, unfold config.sel(1))
              subgoal by simp
              subgoal by simp
              subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
              subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
              subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
              subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
              subgoal by (smt (verit) cfgs-Suc-zero lcfgs list.set-intros(1))
              subgoal by (smt (verit) cfgs-Suc-zero lcfgs list.set-intros(1))
              subgoal by (smt (z3) Opt.final-def ss3 ss4)
              subgoal by (smt (z3) cfgs-Suc-zero lcfgs3 list.set-intros(1))
              subgoal by (smt (z3) cfgs-Suc-zero lcfgs3 list.set-intros(1))
              subgoal by linarith
              subgoal by linarith
              subgoal by linarith . .
          qed qed

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat \Delta 2 prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred

```

```

then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by auto
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by auto
next
  case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem(5)] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis)
next
  case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem sr3 sr4
  unfolding ss lcfgs apply-
  apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) apply (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  apply(rule oor3I3, simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  by (smt(verit) prem(1) prem(2) ss)
qed
qed. . .
qed
qed

```

lemma step3: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 3$ (oor $\Delta 3$ $\Delta 1$)
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)

fix $n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$
assume $r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2$
and $\Delta3: \Delta3 n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

obtain $pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3$ **where** $ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
by $(cases ss3, auto)$
obtain $pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4$ **where** $ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
by $(cases ss4, auto)$
obtain $cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1$ **where** $ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
by $(cases ss1, auto)$
obtain $cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2$ **where** $ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
by $(cases ss2, auto)$
note $ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2$

obtain $pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3$ **where**
 $lcfs3: last cfs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)$
by $(cases last cfs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)$
obtain $pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4$ **where**
 $lcfs4: last cfs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)$
by $(cases last cfs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)$
note $lcfs = lcfs3 lcfs4$

obtain $hh3$ **where** $h3: h3 = Heap hh3$ **by** $(cases h3, auto)$
obtain $hh4$ **where** $h4: h4 = Heap hh4$ **by** $(cases h4, auto)$
note $hh = h3 h4$

have $f1: \neg finalN ss1$
using $\Delta3$ **unfolding** $ss \Delta3-def$
apply $clarsimp$
by $(frule common-implies, simp)$

have $f2: \neg finalN ss2$
using $\Delta3$ **unfolding** $ss \Delta3-def$
apply $clarsimp$
by $(frule common-implies, simp)$

have $f3: \neg finalS ss3$
using $\Delta3$ **unfolding** ss
apply $\text{--}apply(frule \Delta3-implies)$
using $finalS-if-spec$ **by** $force$

have $f4: \neg finalS ss4$
using $\Delta3$ **unfolding** ss
apply $\text{--}apply(frule \Delta3-implies)$
using $finalS-if-spec$ **by** $force$

```

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

then have lpc3:pcOf (last cfigs3) = 6  $\vee$ 
  pcOf (last cfigs3) = 7
  using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs by simp

have sec3[simp]: $\neg$  isSecO ss3
  using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
have sec4[simp]: $\neg$  isSecO ss4
  using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

have stat[simp]: $\wedge$  ss3' s4' statA' . statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4  $\implies$ 
  validTransO (ss3, s3')  $\implies$  validTransO (ss4, s4')  $\implies$ 
  (statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff)
subgoal for ss3' ss4'
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  using  $\Delta 3$  finals unfolding ss apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) by (cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI) .

have vs3 xx = vs4 xx using  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply clarsimp
  by (metis cfigs-Suc-zero config.sel(2) list.set-intros(1) state.sel(1) vstore.sel)

then have a1x:(array-loc aa1 (nat (vs4 xx)) avst4) =
  (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs3 xx)) avst3)
  using  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs array-loc-def apply clarsimp
  by (metis Zero-not-Suc config.sel(2) last-in-set list.size(3) state.sel(2))

have oor2-rule: $\wedge$  ss3' ss4' . ss3  $\rightarrow_S$  ss3'  $\implies$  ss4  $\rightarrow_S$  ss4'  $\implies$ 
  (pcOf (last cfigs3) = 6  $\longrightarrow$  oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$   $\infty$  1 1 ss3' ss4' (sstatA'
statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO)
   $\wedge$  (pcOf (last cfigs3) = 7  $\longrightarrow$  oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$   $\infty$  0 0 ss3' ss4' (sstatA'
statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO) $\implies$ 
   $\exists w1' < w1 . \exists w2' < w2 .$  oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$   $\infty$  w1' w2' ss3' ss4' (sstatA'
statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO
  subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')
  subgoal for pstate3' cfg3' cfigs3' ib3' ls3'
  pstate4' cfg4' cfigs4' ib4' ls4'
  using lpc3 apply(elim disjE)

subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 1], intro conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) infinity-ne-i1)

```

```

apply(intro exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) infinity-ne-i1)
by simp

apply(intro exI[of - 0], intro conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs by (clarify,metis zero-less-one)
apply(intro exI[of - 0], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs by (clarify,metis zero-less-one)
by simp . .

show match (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)
subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4' ^)
  subgoal for pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3'
    pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4'
  apply-apply(rule oor2-rule, assumption+, intro conjI impI)

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred
then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
then show ?thesis
  using  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) resolve-106
  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs by (clarify,metis cfs-map misSpecL1-def)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal

```

```

show ?thesis
using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs, metis config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs, metis config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis
  apply(intro oorI1)
unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -def prem(4,5) apply clarify apply– apply(intro conjI)
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-12[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
apply simp-all by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2) Dist-ignore
a1x )
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss prem(4,5)
hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-12[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
  apply simp-all
  by (metis config.collapse config.inject last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel)
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss prem(4,5)
hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-12[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
  by simp-all
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
using cases-12[of pc3] apply (simp add: array-loc-def) apply(elim disjE)
  by (simp-all add: array-loc-def)
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh

```

```

    apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-12[of pc3] apply (simp add: array-loc-def) apply(elim disjE)
  by (simp-all add: array-loc-def)
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs) .
qed
qed

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply simp
  by (smt (verit,del-insts) cfigs-map empty-set insertCI insert-absorb
list.set-map list.simps(15) numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(87,89)
set-ConsD singleton-insert-inj-eq^)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence note sf3 = spec-Fence
  show ?thesis
  using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
    apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    by (metis com.disc config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
    case spec-resolve

```

```

    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
    by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
    apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  by (metis last-map local.spec-Fence(3) local.spec-normal(1) local.spec-normal(4))

  next
    case spec-Fence note sf4 = spec-Fence
    show ?thesis
    apply(intro oorI2)
    unfolding ss  $\Delta 1$ -def prem(4,5) apply- apply(clarify,intro conjI)
      subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sf3 sf4 unfolding ss hh
    apply- by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis ss stat validTransO.simps)

      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(4,5) sf3 sf4 unfolding ss hh
    apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(4,5) sf3 sf4 unfolding ss hh
    apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(4,5) sf3 sf4 unfolding ss hh
    apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
  qed

  qed . . .
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma step4: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 1$ 
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 1'$ :  $\Delta 1'$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
  ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
  ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

```



```

obtain  $pc3\ vs3\ avst3\ h3\ p3$  where
 $cfg3: cfg3 = Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3)$ 
by ( $cases\ cfg3$ ) ( $metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse$ )
obtain  $pc4\ vs4\ avst4\ h4\ p4$  where
 $cfg4: cfg4 = Config\ pc4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4)$ 
by ( $cases\ cfg4$ ) ( $metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse$ )
note  $cfg = cfg3\ cfg4$ 

obtain  $hh3$  where  $h3: h3 = Heap\ hh3$  by( $cases\ h3, auto$ )
obtain  $hh4$  where  $h4: h4 = Heap\ hh4$  by( $cases\ h4, auto$ )
note  $hh = h3\ h4$ 

have  $f1: \neg finalN\ ss1$ 
using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding  $ss\ \Delta 1'$ - $def$ 
apply  $clarsimp$ 
by( $frule\ common-implies, simp$ )

have  $f2: \neg finalN\ ss2$ 
using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding  $ss\ \Delta 1'$ - $def$ 
apply  $clarsimp$ 
by( $frule\ common-implies, simp$ )

have  $f3: \neg finalS\ ss3$ 
using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding  $ss$ 
apply–apply( $frule\ \Delta 1'$ - $implies$ )
by ( $simp\ add: finalS-while-spec$ )

have  $f4: \neg finalS\ ss4$ 
using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding  $ss$ 
apply–apply( $frule\ \Delta 1'$ - $implies$ )
by ( $simp\ add: finalS-while-spec$ )

note  $finals = f1\ f2\ f3\ f4$ 
show  $finalS\ ss3 = finalS\ ss4 \wedge finalN\ ss1 = finalS\ ss3 \wedge finalN\ ss2 = finalS\ ss4$ 
using  $finals$  by  $auto$ 

then show  $isIntO\ ss3 = isIntO\ ss4$  by  $simp$ 

have  $match12-aux:$ 
( $\bigwedge s1'\ s2'\ statA'$ .
 $statA' = sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4 \implies$ 
 $validTransO\ (ss3, s1') \implies$ 
 $validTransO\ (ss4, s2') \implies$ 
 $Opt.eqAct\ ss3\ ss4 \implies$ 
 $(\neg isSecO\ ss3 \wedge \neg isSecO\ ss4 \wedge$ 
 $(statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff) \wedge$ 
 $\Delta 1 \infty 1\ 1\ s1'\ s2'\ statA'\ ss1\ ss2\ statO))$ 
 $\implies match12\ \Delta 1\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ )

```

```

apply(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1)

apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
  subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs apply clarify
  by(metis enat-ord-simps(4) infinity-ne-i1)
apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
  subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs apply clarify
  by(metis enat-ord-simps(4) infinity-ne-i1)
by auto

show match  $\Delta 1$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1  $\Delta 1$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2  $\Delta 1$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12  $\Delta 1$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  proof(rule match12-aux,intro conjI)
    fix ss3' ss4' statA'
    assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
    and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
    and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
    note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

    obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfigs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
    cfg3', cfigs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
    by (cases ss3', auto)
    obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfigs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
    cfg4', cfigs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
    by (cases ss4', auto)
    note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

    obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
    obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
    note hh = h3 h4

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, linarith)

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, linarith)

show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff

  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$ 
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply(cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp

```

```

apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs sstatA'-def)
apply(cases statO, simp-all)
apply(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
unfolding finalS-def final-def
using One-nat-def less-numeral-extra(4)
      less-one list.size(3) map-is-Nil-conv
by (smt (verit) status.exhaust updStat-diff)

show  $\Delta 1 \infty 1 1 ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO$ 
  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
          apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarify, elim disjE)
          by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
          apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarify, elim disjE)
          by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
        show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sn3(2) unfolding ss
          apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarsimp)
          by (smt (z3) insert-commute)
    next
      case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
        show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
          case nonspec-normal
            then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
          next
            case nonspec-mispred
              then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
            next
              case spec-mispred
                then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
          next
            case spec-normal
              then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
          next

```

```

      case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
  next
    case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
    show ?thesis
    using sa stat  $\Delta 1'$  v3 v4 sr3 sr4 unfolding ss hh
    apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    by (metis atLeastAtMost-iff atLeastatMost-empty-iff empty-iff empty-set
nat-le-linear numeral-le-iff semiring-norm(68,69,72)
length-1-butlast length-map in-set-butlastD)

  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

lemma *step5: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 2'$ $\Delta 2$*

proof(rule *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix $n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

assume r : *reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*

and $\Delta 2'$: $\Delta 2'$ $n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

obtain $pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3$ **where** $ss3$: $ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain $pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4$ **where** $ss4$: $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain $cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1$ **where** $ss1$: $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain $cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2$ **where** $ss2$: $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note $ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2$

obtain $pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3$ **where**

$cfg3$: $cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)$

by (*cases cfg3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4$ **where**

$cfg4$: $cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)$

by (*cases cfg4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

note $cfg = cfg3 cfg4$

obtain $hh3$ **where** $h3$: $h3 = Heap hh3$ **by**(*cases h3, auto*)

obtain $hh4$ **where** $h4$: $h4 = Heap hh4$ **by**(*cases h4, auto*)

note $hh = h3 h4$

have $f1:\neg\text{finalN } ss1$
using $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** $ss \Delta 2'$ -def
apply *clarsimp*
by(*frule common-implies, simp*)

have $f2:\neg\text{finalN } ss2$
using $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** $ss \Delta 2'$ -def
apply *clarsimp*
by(*frule common-implies, simp*)

have $f3:\neg\text{finalS } ss3$
using $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** ss
apply–**apply**(*frule $\Delta 2'$ -implies*)
using *finalS-while-spec-L2* **by** *force*

have $f4:\neg\text{finalS } ss4$
using $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** ss
apply–**apply**(*frule $\Delta 2'$ -implies*)
using *finalS-while-spec-L2* **by** *force*

note $\text{finals} = f1 f2 f3 f4$
show $\text{finalS } ss3 = \text{finalS } ss4 \wedge \text{finalN } ss1 = \text{finalS } ss3 \wedge \text{finalN } ss2 = \text{finalS } ss4$
using finals **by** *auto*

then show $\text{isIntO } ss3 = \text{isIntO } ss4$ **by** *simp*

have $\text{sec3}[simp]:\neg \text{isSecO } ss3$
using $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** ss **by** (*simp add: $\Delta 2'$ -defs*)
have $\text{sec4}[simp]:\neg \text{isSecO } ss4$
using $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** ss **by** (*simp add: $\Delta 2'$ -defs*)

have $\text{stat}[simp]:\bigwedge s3' s4' \text{statA}'. \text{statA}' = \text{sstatA}' \text{statA } ss3 ss4 \implies$
 $\text{validTransO } (ss3, s3') \implies \text{validTransO } (ss4, s4') \implies$
 $(\text{statA} = \text{statA}' \vee \text{statO} = \text{Diff})$

subgoal for $ss3' ss4'$
apply (*cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2*)
apply(*cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp*)
using $\Delta 2'$ **finals** **unfolding** ss **apply** *clarsimp*
apply(*simp-all add: $\Delta 2'$ -defs sstatA'-def*)
apply(*cases statO, simp-all*) **by** (*cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI*) .

have *match12-aux*:
 $(\bigwedge \text{pstate3}' \text{cfg3}' \text{cfgs3}' \text{ib3}' \text{ibUT3}' \text{ls3}'$
 $\text{pstate4}' \text{cfg4}' \text{cfgs4}' \text{ib4}' \text{ibUT4}' \text{ls4}' \text{statA}'.$
 $(\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfgs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3}) \rightarrow S (\text{pstate3}', \text{cfg3}', \text{cfgs3}', \text{ib3}',$
 $\text{ibUT3}', \text{ls3}') \implies$

```

      (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4) →S (pstate4', cfg4', cfgs4', ib4',
ibUT4', ls4') ⇒
      Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4 ⇒ statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4 ⇒
      (Δ2 ∞ 1 1 (pstate3', cfg3', cfgs3', ib3', ibUT3', ls3') (pstate4', cfg4', cfgs4',
ib4', ibUT4', ls4') statA' ss1 ss2 statO))
      ⇒ match12 Δ2 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
      apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)

```

```

apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
  subgoal using Δ2' unfolding ss Δ2'-defs apply clarify
    by (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
  subgoal using Δ2' unfolding ss Δ2'-defs apply clarify
    by (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
  subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')
  subgoal for pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ib3' ibUT3' ls3'
    pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ib4' ibUT4' ls4'
    using ss3 ss4 by blast . .

```

```

show match Δ2 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

```

```

  show match1 Δ2 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 Δ2 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 Δ2 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  apply(rule match12-aux)

```

```

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:

```

```

 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
    show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolding ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
        next
          case nonspec-mispred
          then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
            next
              case spec-mispred
              then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
                next
                  case spec-normal
                  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
                    next
                      case spec-Fence
                      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
                        next
                          case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
                          show ?thesis
                          using stat  $\Delta 2'$  prem sr3 sr4 unfolding ss
                          apply (simp add:  $\Delta 2'$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
                          apply (intro conjI)
                          apply (metis last-map map-butlast map-is-Nil-conv)
                          apply (metis image-subset-iff in-set-butlastD)
                          apply (metis) apply (metis) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
                          apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
                          apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis prem(1) prem(2) ss3 ss4)
                          apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
                          apply (smt (verit, del-insts) butlast.simps(2) last-ConsL last-map
                                  list.simps(8) map-L2 map-butlast not-Cons-self2)
                          apply clarify apply (elim disjE)
                          using butlast.simps(2) insertCI last-ConsL last-map
                                  list.simps(15) list.simps(8) map-L2 map-butlast not-Cons-self2
                                  resolve.simps resolve-106
                          apply metis
                          using butlast.simps(2) insertCI last-ConsL last-map
                                  list.simps(15) list.simps(8) map-L2 map-butlast not-Cons-self2
                                  resolve.simps resolve-106 apply metis
                          using butlast.simps(2) last.simps map-L2
                                  map-butlast map-is-Nil-conv neq-Nil-conv nth-Cons-0
                                  resolve-611 resolve-63 resolve-64
                          by (metis last-map list.simps(15))

```

qed
 qed .
 qed
 qed

lemma *stepe: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta e \Delta e$

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix $n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

assume $r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2$

and $\Delta e: \Delta e n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

obtain $pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3$ **where** $ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain $pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4$ **where** $ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain $cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1$ **where** $ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain $cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2$ **where** $ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note $ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2$

obtain $pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3$ **where**

$cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)$

by (*cases cfg3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4$ **where**

$cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)$

by (*cases cfg4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

note $cfg = cfg3 cfg4$

obtain $hh3$ **where** $h3: h3 = Heap hh3$ **by**(*cases h3, auto*)

obtain $hh4$ **where** $h4: h4 = Heap hh4$ **by**(*cases h4, auto*)

note $hh = h3 h4$

show $finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 \wedge finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 \wedge finalN ss2 = finalS ss4$

using $\Delta e Opt.final-def finalS-def stepS-endPC endPC-def finalB-endPC$

unfolding $\Delta e-defs ss$ **by** *clarsimp*

then show $isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4$ **by** *simp*

show *match* $\Delta e w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

unfolding *match-def* **proof**(*intro conjI*)

show *match1* $\Delta e w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

unfolding *match1-def* **by** (*simp add: finalS-def final-def*)

show *match2* $\Delta e w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$


```

    unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
    show match12  $\Delta e w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
    apply (rule match12-simpleI) using  $\Delta e$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta e$ -defs)
    by (simp add: stepS-endPC)
  qed
qed

```

lemmas *theConds* = *step0 step1 step2 step3 step4 step5 step6*

proposition *lrsecure*

proof –

```

  define m where m: m  $\equiv$  (7::nat)
  define  $\Delta s$  where  $\Delta s$ :  $\Delta s \equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
    if i = 0 then  $\Delta 0$ 
    else if i = 1 then  $\Delta 1$ 
    else if i = 2 then  $\Delta 2$ 
    else if i = 3 then  $\Delta 3$ 
    else if i = 4 then  $\Delta 1'$ 
    else if i = 5 then  $\Delta 2'$ 
    else  $\Delta e$ 
  define next where next: next  $\equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
    if i = 0 then {0,1::nat}
    else if i = 1 then {1,4,2,3,6}
    else if i = 2 then {2,5,1}
    else if i = 3 then {3,1}
    else if i = 4 then {1}
    else if i = 5 then {2}
    else {6}
  show ?thesis apply (rule distrib-unwind-lrsecure[of m next  $\Delta s$ ])
    subgoal unfolding m by auto
    subgoal unfolding next m by auto
    subgoal using init unfolding  $\Delta s$  by auto
    subgoal
      unfolding m next  $\Delta s$  apply (simp split: if-splits)
      using theConds
      unfolding oor-def oor3-def oor4-def oor5-def by auto .
  qed

```

end

13 Proof of Relative Security for fun6

theory *Fun6*

imports *../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem-Inp*
Relative-Security.Unwinding

begin

13.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

no-notation *bot* (\perp)

consts *NN* :: *nat*

lemma *NN*: *NN* \geq 0 **by** *auto*

definition *aa1* :: *avname* **where** *aa1* = "a1"

definition *aa2* :: *avname* **where** *aa2* = "a2"

definition *vv* :: *vname* **where** *vv* = "v"

definition *tt* :: *vname* **where** *tt* = "y"

lemmas *vvars-defs* = *aa1-def aa2-def vv-def xx-def tt-def yy-def ffile-def*

lemma *vvars-dff*[*simp*]:

aa1 \neq *aa2* *aa1* \neq *vv* *aa1* \neq *xx* *aa1* \neq *yy* *aa1* \neq *tt* *aa1* \neq *ffile*

aa2 \neq *aa1* *aa2* \neq *vv* *aa2* \neq *xx* *aa2* \neq *yy* *aa2* \neq *tt* *aa2* \neq *ffile*

vv \neq *aa1* *vv* \neq *aa2* *vv* \neq *xx* *vv* \neq *yy* *vv* \neq *tt* *vv* \neq *ffile*

xx \neq *aa1* *xx* \neq *aa2* *xx* \neq *vv* *xx* \neq *yy* *xx* \neq *tt* *xx* \neq *ffile*

tt \neq *aa1* *tt* \neq *aa2* *tt* \neq *vv* *tt* \neq *yy* *tt* \neq *xx* *tt* \neq *ffile*

yy \neq *aa1* *yy* \neq *aa2* *yy* \neq *vv* *yy* \neq *xx* *yy* \neq *tt* *yy* \neq *ffile*

ffile \neq *aa1* *ffile* \neq *aa2* *ffile* \neq *vv* *ffile* \neq *xx* *ffile* \neq *tt* *ffile* \neq *yy*

unfolding *vvars-defs* **by** *auto*

consts *size-aa1* :: *nat*

consts *size-aa2* :: *nat*

fun *initAvstore* :: *avstore* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

initAvstore (*Avstore as*) = (*as aa1* = (0, *size-aa1*) \wedge *as aa2* = (*size-aa1*, *size-aa2*))

fun *istate* :: *state* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

istate *s* = (*initAvstore* (*getAvstore s*))

definition *prog* \equiv

[
~~/~~ *Start* ,
~~/~~ *tt* ::= (*N* 0),
~~/~~ *xx* ::= (*N* 1),
~~/~~ *IfJump* (*Not* (*Eq* (*V* *xx*) (*N* 0))) 4 13 ,
~~/~~ *Input* *U* *xx* ,
~~/~~ *Input* *T* *yy* ,
~~/~~ *IfJump* (*Less* (*V* *xx*) (*N* *NN*)) 7 12 ,
~~/~~ *vv* ::= *VA* *aa1* (*V* *xx*) ,
~~/~~ *writeSecretOnFile*,
~~/~~ *Fence* ,
~~/~~ *tt* ::= (*VA* *aa2* (*Times* (*V* *vv*) (*N* 512))),
~~/~~ *Output* *U* (*V* *tt*) ,
~~/~~ *Jump* 3,
~~/~~ *Output* *U* (*N* 0)

]

definition $PC \equiv \{0..13\}$

definition $beforeWhile = \{0,1,2\}$

definition $afterWhile = \{3..13\}$

definition $startOfWhileThen = 4$

definition $startOfIfThen = 7$

definition $inThenIfBeforeOutput = \{7,8\}$

definition $startOfElseBranch = 12$

definition $inElseIf = \{12,3,4,13\}$

definition $whileElse = 13$

fun $leftWhileSpec$ **where**

$leftWhileSpec\ cfg\ cfg' =$
 $(pcOf\ cfg = whileElse \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg' = startOfWhileThen)$

fun $rightWhileSpec$ **where**

$rightWhileSpec\ cfg\ cfg' =$
 $(pcOf\ cfg = startOfWhileThen \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg' = whileElse)$

fun $whileSpeculation$ **where**

$whileSpeculation\ cfg\ cfg' =$
 $(leftWhileSpec\ cfg\ cfg' \vee$
 $rightWhileSpec\ cfg\ cfg')$

lemmas $whileSpec-def = whileSpeculation.simps$
 $startOfWhileThen-def$
 $whileElse-def$

lemmas $whileSpec-defs = whileSpec-def$
 $leftWhileSpec.simps$
 $rightWhileSpec.simps$

lemma $cases-14: (i::pcounter) = 0 \vee i = 1 \vee i = 2 \vee i = 3 \vee i = 4 \vee i = 5 \vee$
 $i = 6 \vee i = 7 \vee i = 8 \vee i = 9 \vee i = 10 \vee i = 11 \vee i = 12 \vee i = 13 \vee i = 14$
 $\vee i > 14$

apply($cases\ i, simp-all$)

subgoal **for** i **apply**($cases\ i, simp-all$)

subgoal **for** i **apply**($cases\ i, simp-all$)

subgoal **for** i **apply**($cases\ i, simp-all$)

subgoal **for** i **apply**($cases\ i, simp-all$)

subgoal **for** i **apply**($cases\ i, simp-all$)

subgoal **for** i **apply**($cases\ i, simp-all$)

subgoal **for** i **apply**($cases\ i, simp-all$)

subgoal **for** i **apply**($cases\ i, simp-all$)

subgoal for i apply(*cases* i , *simp-all*)
subgoal for i apply(*cases* i , *simp-all*)
subgoal for i apply(*cases* i , *simp-all*)
subgoal for i apply(*cases* i , *simp-all*)
subgoal for i apply(*cases* i , *simp-all*)
subgoal for i apply(*cases* i , *simp-all*)

lemma *xx-0-cases*: $vs\ xx = 0 \vee vs\ xx \neq 0$ **by** *auto*

lemma *xx-NN-cases*: $vs\ xx < int\ NN \vee vs\ xx \geq int\ NN$ **by** *auto*

lemma *is-If-pcOf*[*simp*]:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 14 \implies is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ (pcOf\ cfg)) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg = 3 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 6$
apply(*cases* *cfg*) **using** *cases-14*[*of* *pcOf* *cfg*] **by** (*auto* *simp*: *prog-def*)

lemma *is-If-pc*[*simp*]:
 $pc < 14 \implies is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ pc) \longleftrightarrow pc = 3 \vee pc = 6$
using *cases-14*[*of* *pc*] **by** (*auto* *simp*: *prog-def*)

lemma *eq-Fence-pc*[*simp*]:
 $pc < 14 \implies prog\ !\ pc = Fence \longleftrightarrow pc = 9$
using *cases-14*[*of* *pc*] **by** (*auto* *simp*: *prog-def*)

lemma *output1*[*simp*]: $prog\ !\ 11 = Output\ U\ (V\ tt)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *output2*[*simp*]: $prog\ !\ 13 = Output\ U\ (N\ 0)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *is-if*[*simp*]: $is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ 3)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *is-nif1*[*simp*]: $\neg is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ 7)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *is-nif2*[*simp*]: $\neg is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ 8)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not6*[*simp*]: $\neg is-getInput\ (prog\ !\ 6)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *Output-not6*[*simp*]: $\neg is-Output\ (prog\ !\ 6)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not7*[*simp*]: $\neg is-getInput\ (prog\ !\ 7)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *Output-not7*[*simp*]: $\neg is-Output\ (prog\ !\ 7)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not8*[*simp*]: $\neg is-getInput\ (prog\ !\ 8)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *Output-not8*[*simp*]: $is-Output\ (prog\ !\ 8)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *is-nif*[*simp*]: $\neg is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ 9)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *getInput-not10*[*simp*]: $\neg is-getInput\ (prog\ !\ 10)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *Output-not10*[*simp*]: $\neg is-Output\ (prog\ !\ 10)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not12*[*simp*]: $\neg is-getInput\ (prog\ !\ 12)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *Output-not12*[*simp*]: $\neg is-Output\ (prog\ !\ 12)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *fence*[*simp*]: $prog\ !\ 9 = Fence$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *nfence*[*simp*]: *prog* ! 7 \neq *Fence* **by**(*simp add: prog-def*)

consts *mispred* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool*
fun *resolve* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 resolve p pc =
 (*if* (*set pc* = {4,13} \vee (7 \in *set pc* \wedge (4 \in *set pc* \vee 13 \in *set pc*))) \vee *pc* = [12,8])
 then True else False)

lemma *resolve-73*: \neg *resolve p* [7,3] **by** *auto*
lemma *resolve-74*: *resolve p* [7,4] **by** *auto*
lemma *resolve-713*: *resolve p* [7,13] **by** *auto*
lemma *resolve-127*: \neg *resolve p* [12,7] **by** *auto*
lemma *resolve-129*: \neg *resolve p* [12,9] **by** *auto*

consts *update* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *predState*
consts *initPstate* :: *predState*

interpretation *Prog-Mispred-Init* **where**
prog = *prog* **and** *initPstate* = *initPstate* **and**
mispred = *mispred* **and** *resolve* = *resolve* **and** *update* = *update* **and**
istate = *istate*
 by (*standard, simp add: prog-def*)

abbreviation

stepB-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\rightarrow B$ 55)
 where *x* $\rightarrow B$ *y* == *stepB x y*

abbreviation

stepsB-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\rightarrow B^*$ 55)
 where *x* $\rightarrow B^*$ *y* == *star stepB x y*

abbreviation

stepM-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\rightarrow MM$ 55)
 where *x* $\rightarrow MM$ *y* == *stepM x y*

abbreviation

stepN-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \times *loc set* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \times *loc set* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** $\rightarrow N$ 55)
 where *x* $\rightarrow N$ *y* == *stepN x y*

abbreviation

stepsN-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** →*N** 55)
where *x* →*N** *y* == *star stepN x y*

abbreviation

stepS-abbrev :: *configS* ⇒ *configS* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** →*S* 55)
where *x* →*S* *y* == *stepS x y*

abbreviation

stepsS-abbrev :: *configS* ⇒ *configS* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** →*S** 55)
where *x* →*S** *y* == *star stepS x y*

lemma *endPC[simp]*: *endPC* = 14

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-getInput-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 14 ⇒ *is-getInput (prog!(pcOf cfg))*
 ⇔ *pcOf cfg* = 4 ∨ *pcOf cfg* = 5

using *cases-14[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 14 ⇒ *is-Output (prog!(pcOf cfg))* ⇔
 (*pcOf cfg* = 8 ∨ *pcOf cfg* = 11 ∨ *pcOf cfg* = 13)

using *cases-14[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output-T*: *is-Output (prog ! 8)*

unfolding *is-Output-def* *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-Output*: *is-Output (prog ! 11)*

unfolding *is-Output-def* *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-Output-1*: *is-Output (prog ! 13)*

unfolding *is-Output-def* *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *isSecV-pcOf[simp]*:

isSecV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) ⇔ ¬*finalB (cfg,ibT,ibUT)*

using *isSecV-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *isSecO-pcOf[simp]*:

isSecO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) ⇔

¬*finalS (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls)* ∧ *cfgs* = []

using *isSecO-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getActV-pcOf[simp]*:

pcOf cfg < 14 ⇒

```

getActV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
  (if pcOf cfg = 4 then lhd ibUT
   else if pcOf cfg = 5 then lhd ibT
   else ⊥)
apply(subst getActV-simps) unfolding prog-def
apply simp
using getActV-simps not-is-getInput-getActV prog-def by auto

lemma getObsV-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 14 ⇒
  getObsV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
    (if pcOf cfg = 11 ∨ pcOf cfg = 13 then
      (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
      else ⊥
    )
apply(subst getObsV-simps)
apply (simp add: prog-def)
unfolding getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf prog-def One-nat-def

using cases-14[of pcOf cfg] by auto

lemma getActO-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 12 ⇒
  getActO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
    (if cfgs = [] then
      (if pcOf cfg = 4 then lhd ibUT
       else if pcOf cfg = 5 then lhd ibT
       else ⊥) else ⊥)
apply(subst getActO-simps)
apply(cases cfgs, auto)
unfolding prog-def apply simp
apply(cases pcOf cfg = 4, auto)
using getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def by simp

lemma getObsO-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 14 ⇒
  getObsO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
    (if (pcOf cfg = 11 ∨ pcOf cfg = 13) ∧ cfgs = [] then
      (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
      else ⊥
    )
apply(subst getObsO-simps)
apply(cases cfgs, auto)
using getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV prog-def
  One-nat-def
unfolding prog-def
using cases-14[of pcOf cfg]
by auto

```

lemma *getActTrustedInput*: $pc4 = 4 \implies pc3 = 4 \implies cfs3 = [] \implies cfs4 = []$
 \implies
 $getActO (pstate3, Config\ pc3 (State (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3), [], ib3T,$
 $ib3UT, ls3) =$
 $getActO (pstate4, Config\ pc4 (State (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4), [], ib4T,$
 $ib4UT, ls4)$
 $\implies lhd\ ib3UT = lhd\ ib4UT$
using *getActO-pcOf zero-less-numeral* **by** *auto*

lemma *getActUntrustedInput*: $pc4 = 5 \implies pc3 = 5 \implies cfs3 = [] \implies cfs4 = []$
 \implies
 $getActO (pstate3, Config\ pc3 (State (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3), [], ib3T,$
 $ib3UT, ls3) =$
 $getActO (pstate4, Config\ pc4 (State (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4), [], ib4T,$
 $ib4UT, ls4)$
 $\implies lhd\ ib3T = lhd\ ib4T$
using *getActO-pcOf zero-less-numeral* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc0[simp]*:
 $nextB (Config\ 0\ s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config\ 1\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc0[simp]*:
 $readLocs (Config\ 0\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1[simp]*:
 $nextB (Config\ 1 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p), ibT, ibUT) =$
 $((Config\ 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0)))\ avst\ hh\ p), ibT, ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1'[simp]*:
 $nextB (Config (Suc\ 0) (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p), ibT, ibUT) =$
 $((Config\ 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0)))\ avst\ hh\ p), ibT, ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1[simp]*:
 $readLocs (Config\ 1\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1*[simp]:
readLocs (*Config* (*Suc* 0) *s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc2*[simp]:
nextB (*Config* 2 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 ((*Config* 3 (*State* (*Vstore* (*vs*(*xx* := 1))) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc2*[simp]:
readLocs (*Config* 2 *s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-then*[simp]:
 $vs\ xx \neq 0 \implies$
nextB (*Config* 3 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config* 4 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-else*[simp]:
 $vs\ xx = 0 \implies$
nextB (*Config* 3 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config* 13 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3*:
nextB (*Config* 3 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config* (*if* *vs* *xx* \neq 0 *then* 4 *else* 13) (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
by(*cases vs* *xx* = 0, *auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc3*[simp]:
readLocs (*Config* 3 *s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3-then*[simp]:
 $vs\ xx = 0 \implies$
nextM (*Config* 3 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config* 4 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextM-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3-else[simp]*:
 $vs\ xx \neq 0 \implies$
 $nextM\ (Config\ 3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 13\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextM-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3*:
 $nextM\ (Config\ 3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ (if\ vs\ xx \neq 0\ then\ 13\ else\ 4)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(*cases vs xx = 0, auto*)

lemma *nextB-pc4[simp]*:
 $ibUT \neq LNil \implies nextB\ (Config\ 4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(xx := lhd\ ibUT))))\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ltl\ ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput'*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc4[simp]*:
 $readLocs\ (Config\ 4\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5[simp]*:
 $ibT \neq LNil \implies nextB\ (Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(yy := lhd\ ibT))))\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ltl\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-getTrustedInput'*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc5[simp]*:
 $readLocs\ (Config\ 5\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc6-then[simp]*:
 $vs\ xx < int\ NN \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 7\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc6-else[simp]*:
 $vs\ xx \geq int\ NN \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 12\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

apply(subst nextB-IfFalse)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc6:
nextB (Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config (if vs xx < int NN then 7 else 12) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT,
ibUT)
by(cases vs xx < int NN, auto)

lemma readLocs-pc6[simp]:
readLocs (Config 6 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc6-then[simp]:
vs xx ≥ int NN ⇒
nextM (Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextM-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc6-else[simp]:
vs xx < int NN ⇒
nextM (Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 12 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextM-IfFalse)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc6:
nextM (Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config (if vs xx < int NN then 12 else 7) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT,
ibUT)
by(cases vs xx < int NN, auto)

lemma nextB-pc7[simp]:
nextB (Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap hh) p), ibT, ibUT) =
(let l = array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst
in (Config 8 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := hh l))) avst (Heap hh) p)), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc7[simp]:
readLocs (Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) = {array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx))
avst}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc8[simp]:

$nextB (Config\ 8 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $((Config\ 9 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-Output)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc8[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 8\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def
unfolding prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc9[simp]:
 $nextB (Config\ 9\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ 10\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc9[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 9\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc10[simp]:
 $nextB (Config\ 10 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst (Heap\ hh)\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(let\ l = array-loc\ aa2 (nat (vs\ vv * 512))\ avst$
 $in (Config\ 11 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := hh\ l)))\ avst (Heap\ hh)\ p)),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc10[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 10 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)) = \{array-loc\ aa2 (nat (vs\ vv * 512))\ avst\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc11[simp]:
 $nextB (Config\ 11\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ 12\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-Output)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc11[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 11\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc12[simp]:

$nextB (Config\ 12\ s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config\ 3\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-Jump)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc12[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 12\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc13[simp]:
 $nextB (Config\ 13\ s, ibT, ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 14\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-Output)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc13[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 13\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma map-L1:length cfgs = Suc 0 \implies
 $pcOf (last\ cfgs) = y \implies map\ pcOf\ cfgs = [y]$
by (smt (verit, del-insts) Suc-length-conv cfgs-map last.simps
length-0-conv map-eq-Cons-conv nth-Cons-0 numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma map-L2:length cfgs = 2 \implies
 $pcOf (cfgs ! 0) = x \implies$
 $pcOf (last\ cfgs) = y \implies map\ pcOf\ cfgs = [x, y]$
by (smt (verit) Suc-length-conv cfgs-map last.simps
length-0-conv map-eq-Cons-conv nth-Cons-0 numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma length cfgs = 2 $\implies (cfgs ! 0) = last (butlast\ cfgs)$
by (cases cfgs, auto)

lemma nextB-stepB-pc:
 $pc < 14 \implies (pc = 4 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies (pc = 5 \longrightarrow ibT \neq LNil) \implies$
 $(Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow_B nextB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
apply(cases s) **subgoal** for vst avst hh p **apply**(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs as h
using cases-14[of pc] **apply** safe
subgoal **apply** simp **apply**(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding** endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal **apply** simp **apply**(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding** endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal **apply** simp **apply**(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding** endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal **apply** simp **apply**(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding** endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
by (simp add: prog-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel)

lemma *finalB-pc-iff*:

$pc \leq 14 \implies$

$finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$

$(pc = 14 \vee (pc = 4 \wedge ibUT = LNil) \vee (pc = 5 \wedge ibT = LNil))$

using *Prog.finalB-iff endPC finalB-pc-iff' order-le-less finalB-iff*

by *metis*

lemma *finalB-pcOf-iff[simp]*:

$pcOf\ cfg \leq 14 \implies$

$finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 14 \vee (pcOf\ cfg = 4 \wedge ibUT = LNil) \vee$

$(pcOf\ cfg = 5 \wedge ibT = LNil))$

using *config.collapse finalB-pc-iff by metis*

lemma *finalS-cond:pcOf cfg < 14 \implies noMisSpec cfgs \implies ibT \neq LNil \implies ibUT \neq LNil \implies \neg finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)*

apply(*cases cfg*)

subgoal for *pc s* **apply**(*cases s*)

subgoal for *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)

subgoal for *vs as h*

using *cases-14[of pc]* **apply**(*elim disjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs noMisSpec-def*

subgoal using *nonspec-normal[of [] Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT

Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)

ibT ibUT [] ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore

vs) avst hh p)) ls]

using *is-If-pc by force*

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal[of cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT

Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt:= 0))) avst hh p)

ibT ibUT [] ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore

vs) avst hh p)) ls]

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal[of cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT

Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(xx:= 1))) avst hh p)

ibT ibUT [] ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore

vs) avst hh p)) ls]

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*cases mispred pstate [?]*)

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-mispred[of cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

$(Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))]$
 $(State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $(State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $(State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)]$
 $avst\ hh\ p))\ ls]$
prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+
subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
 $hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ (if\ vs\ xx\ \neq\ 0\ then\ 4\ else\ 13)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)$
 $avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls\ \cup\ readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls]$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .
subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
 $hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(xx:=\ lhd\ ibUT)))\ avst\ hh$
 $p)$
 $ibT\ ltl\ ibUT\ []\ ls\ \cup\ readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls]$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all
subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
 $hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(yy:=\ lhd\ ibT)))\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ltl\ ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls\ \cup\ readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls]$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all
subgoal apply(cases mispred pstate [6])
subgoal apply(frule nonspec-mispred[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
 $hh\ p)$
 $(Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))]$
 $12)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $7)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $7)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)]$
 $pstate\ update\ pstate\ [pcOf\ (Config\ pc\ (State$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ Config\ (if\ vs\ xx\ <\ NN\ then\ 7\ else$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ Config\ (if\ vs\ xx\ <\ NN\ then\ 12\ else$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ [Config\ (if\ vs\ xx\ <\ NN\ then\ 12\ else$

$ls \cup \text{readLocs } (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs)$

$\text{avst } hh \ p)) \ ls]$

prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst

$hh \ p)$

$pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$

$\text{Config } (\text{if } vs \ xx < NN \ \text{then } 7 \ \text{else } 12) \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore}$

$vs) \ \text{avst } hh \ p)$

$ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \cup \text{readLocs } (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore}$

$vs) \ \text{avst } hh \ p)) \ ls]$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst

$hh \ p)$

$pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$

$(\text{let } l = (\text{array-loc } aa1 \ (\text{nat } (vs \ xx)) \ (\text{Avstore } as))$

$\text{in } (\text{Config } 8 \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } (vs(vv := h \ l))) \ \text{avst } hh \ p)))$

$ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \cup \text{readLocs } (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst}$

$hh \ p)) \ ls]$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst

$hh \ p)$

$pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$

$(\text{Config } 9 \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } hh \ p))$

$ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \cup \text{readLocs } (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst}$

$hh \ p)) \ ls]$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst

$hh \ p)$

$pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$

$\text{Config } 10 \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } hh \ p)$

$ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \ ls]$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst

$hh \ p)$

$pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$

$(\text{let } l = (\text{array-loc } aa2 \ (\text{nat } (vs \ vv * 512)) \ (\text{Avstore } as))$

$\text{in } (\text{Config } 11 \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } (vs(tt := h \ l))) \ \text{avst } hh \ p)))$

$ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \cup \text{readLocs } (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst}$

$hh \ p)) \ ls]$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst

$hh \ p)$

$pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$

Config 12 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls]

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal[of cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal[of cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 14 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all
by simp-all . . .

lemma *finalS-cond':pcOf cfg < 14 ⇒ cfgs = [] ⇒ ibT ≠ LNil ⇒ ibUT ≠ LNil ⇒*
 \neg *finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)*

using *finalS-cond* **by** (*simp add: noMisSpec-def*)

lemma *finalS-while-spec:*
whileSpeculation cfg (last cfgs) ⇒
length cfgs = Suc 0 ⇒
 \neg *finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)*

apply(*unfold whileSpec-defs, cases cfg*)
subgoal for *pc s* **apply**(*cases s*)
subgoal for *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for *vs as h*
apply(*elim disjE, elim conjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs*
subgoal using *stepS-spec-resolve-iff[of cfgs pstate cfg ibT ibUT ls update pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cfgs)]*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) cfgs-map empty-set insert-commute less-numeral-extra(3)*

resolve.simps list.simps(15) list.size(3) numeral-2-eq-2 pos2)

subgoal apply(*elim conjE*)
using *spec-resolve[of cfgs pstate cfg update pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cfgs) cfg [] ibT ibT ibUT ibUT ls ls]*
using *empty-set resolve.simps length-0-conv length-1-butlast length-Suc-conv list.simps(9,15) cfgs-map not-Cons-self2 spec-resolve* **by metis**

lemma *finalS-while-spec-L2:*
pcOf cfg = 7 ⇒
whileSpeculation (cfgs!0) (last cfgs) ⇒

```

length cfgs = 2  $\implies$ 
 $\neg$  finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)
apply(unfold whileSpec-defs, cases cfg)
  subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
  subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
  subgoal for vs as h
    apply(elim disjE, elim conjE) unfolding finalS-defs
    subgoal using stepS-spec-resolve-iff[of cfgs pstate cfg ibT ibUT ls update
pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cfgs)]
    unfolding resolve.simps
    using list.set-intros(1,2) map-L2 zero-neq-numeral
    by fastforce
  subgoal apply(elim conjE)
    using spec-resolve
    unfolding resolve.simps
    using list.set-intros(1,2) map-L2 zero-neq-numeral
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Prog-Mispred.spec-resolve Prog-Mispred-axioms
list.size(3))
  . . . .

```

lemma finalS-if-spec:

```

(pcOf (last cfgs)  $\in$  inThenIfBeforeOutput  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg = 12)  $\vee$ 
(pcOf (last cfgs)  $\in$  inElseIf  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg = 7)  $\implies$ 
length cfgs = Suc 0  $\implies$ 
 $\neg$  finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)
unfolding inThenIfBeforeOutput-def inElseIf-def
apply(simp,cases last cfgs)
subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs h
  apply(elim disjE, elim conjE) unfolding finalS-defs
  subgoal apply(elim disjE)
    subgoal apply(rule notI,
erule allE[of - (pstate,cfg,
[Config 8 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs
xx)) avst)))) avst hh p],
ibT,ibUT,ls  $\cup$  readLocs (last cfgs))])
  by (erule notE,
rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 8 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h (array-loc
aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst)))) avst hh p], auto)
    subgoal apply(rule notI, erule allE[of - (update pstate (pcOf cfg # map
pcOf cfgs),cfg,[],ibT,ibUT,ls  $\cup$  readLocs (last cfgs))])
    by(erule notE, rule spec-resolve, auto) .
  subgoal apply(elim conjE, elim disjE)
  subgoal apply(rule notI, erule allE[of -
(pstate, cfg, [Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)], ibT,ibUT,
ls  $\cup$  readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p))])
    by(erule notE, rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 3 (State (Vstore vs)
avst hh p)], auto)

```

```

subgoal apply(cases mispred pstate [7,3])
  subgoal apply(rule notI, erule allE[of -
    (update pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cfs),
    cfg,
    [Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 13) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p),
    Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 13 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)], ibT,
ibUT,
    ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)))]))
    apply(erule notE,
  rule spec-mispred[of - - - - -
    Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 13) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p) -
    - Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 13 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p) ibT
ibUT])
    by(auto simp: finalM-iff)

apply(rule notI, erule allE[of -
  (pstate, cfg, [Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 13) (State (Vstore vs) avst
hh p)], ibT,ibUT,
    ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)))]))
  by (erule notE,
  rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 13) (State
(Vstore vs) avst hh p)], auto)

subgoal by (metis resolve-74 stepS-spec-resolve-iff
  map-L1 cfs-Suc-zero not-Cons-self2)
subgoal by (metis resolve-713 stepS-spec-resolve-iff
  map-L1 cfs-Suc-zero not-Cons-self2)
  . . . . .

```

end

13.2 Proof

```

theory Fun6-secure
imports Fun6
begin

```

```

definition common :: enat ⇒ enat ⇒ stateO ⇒ stateO ⇒ status ⇒ stateV ⇒
stateV ⇒ status ⇒ bool

```

where

```

common = (λw1 w2
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)

```

$(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(pstate3 = pstate4 \wedge$
 $cfg1 = cfg3 \wedge cfg2 = cfg4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = pcOf\ cfg4 \wedge map\ pcOf\ cfs3 = map\ pcOf\ cfs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in PC \wedge pcOf\ (set\ cfs3) \subseteq PC \wedge$
 $llength\ ibT1 = \infty \wedge llength\ ibT2 = \infty \wedge$
 $llength\ ibUT1 = \infty \wedge llength\ ibUT2 = \infty \wedge$

 $ibT1 = ibT3 \wedge ibT2 = ibT4 \wedge$
 $ibUT1 = ibUT3 \wedge ibUT2 = ibUT4 \wedge$

 $w1 = w2 \wedge$
 $///$
 $array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf$
 $cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfs3. array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array\text{-}base\ aa1$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfs4. array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array\text{-}base\ aa1$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf$
 $cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfs3. array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array\text{-}base\ aa2$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfs4. array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array\text{-}base\ aa2$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $///$
 $(statA = Diff \longrightarrow statO = Diff) \wedge$
 $Dist\ ls1\ ls2\ ls3\ ls4))$

lemma *common-implies: common w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*

$(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

$statA$

$(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

$(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

$statO \implies$

$pcOf\ cfg1 < 14 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1 \wedge$

$ibT1 \neq [] \wedge ibT2 \neq [] \wedge$

$ibUT1 \neq [] \wedge ibUT2 \neq [] \wedge$

$w1 = w2$

unfolding *common-def PC-def by (auto simp: image-def subset-eq)*

definition $\Delta 0 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta 0 = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

$(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

$statA$

```

      (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
      (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
      statO.
    (common w1 w2 (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
      (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
      statA
      (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
      (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
      statO  $\wedge$ 
      pcOf cfg3  $\in$  beforeWhile  $\wedge$ 
      (pcOf cfg3 > 1  $\longrightarrow$  same-var-o tt cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4)  $\wedge$ 
      (pcOf cfg3 > 2  $\longrightarrow$  same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4)  $\wedge$ 
      (pcOf cfg3 > 4  $\longrightarrow$  same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4)  $\wedge$ 
      noMisSpec cfgs3
    ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 0$ -defs = $\Delta 0$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-o-def
beforeWhile-def noMisSpec-def

lemma $\Delta 0$ -implies: $\Delta 0$ num w1 w2 (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
(pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
statA
(cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
(cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
statO \implies
pcOf cfg1 < 14 \wedge pcOf cfg2 = pcOf cfg1 \wedge
ibT1 \neq [] \wedge ibT2 \neq [] \wedge
ibUT1 \neq [] \wedge ibUT2 \neq [] \wedge
cfgs4 = []
apply (meson $\Delta 0$ -def common-implies)
by (simp-all add: $\Delta 0$ -defs, metis Nil-is-map-conv)

definition $\Delta 1 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

```

 $\Delta 1 = (\lambda num w1 w2 (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)$ 
  (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
  (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
  statO.
  (common w1 w2 (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3  $\in$  afterWhile  $\wedge$ 
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4  $\wedge$ 

```


noMisSpec cfgs3
))
lemmas $\Delta 1$ -defs = $\Delta 1$ -def common-def noMisSpec-def PC-def afterWhile-def same-var-o-def
lemma $\Delta 1$ -implies: $\Delta 1$ n w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO \implies
 pcOf cfg3 < 14 \wedge cfgs3 = [] \wedge ibT3 \neq [] \wedge
 pcOf cfg4 < 14 \wedge cfgs4 = [] \wedge ibT4 \neq [] \wedge
 ibUT3 \neq [] \wedge ibUT4 \neq []
unfolding $\Delta 1$ -defs apply clarify
by (metis atLeastAtMost-iff eval-nat-numeral(2) infinity-ne-i0
 less-Suc-eq-le list.map-disc-iff llength-LNil semiring-norm(28))

definition $\Delta 1'$:: enat \implies enat \implies enat \implies stateO \implies stateO \implies status \implies stateV
 \implies stateV \implies status \implies bool **where**
 $\Delta 1'$ = (λ num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO.
 (common w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO \wedge
 same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4 \wedge
 whileSpeculation cfg3 (last cfgs3) \wedge
 misSpecL1 cfgs3 \wedge misSpecL1 cfgs4 \wedge
 w1 = ∞
))

lemmas $\Delta 1'$ -defs = $\Delta 1'$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-def
 startOfIfThen-def startOfElseBranch-def
 misSpecL1-def whileSpec-defs

lemma $\Delta 1'$ -implies: $\Delta 1'$ num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO \implies
 pcOf cfg3 < 14 \wedge pcOf cfg4 < 14 \wedge

$whileSpeculation\ cf_3\ (last\ cfs_3) \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ cf_4\ (last\ cfs_4) \wedge$
 $length\ cfs_3 = Suc\ 0 \wedge length\ cfs_4 = Suc\ 0$
unfolding $\Delta 1'$ -defs by clarsimp

definition $\Delta 2 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta 2 = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cf_3, cfs_3, ibT_3, ibUT_3, ls_3)$
 $(pstate4, cf_4, cfs_4, ibT_4, ibUT_4, ls_4)$
 $statA$
 $(cf_1, ibT_1, ibUT_1, ls_1)$
 $(cf_2, ibT_2, ibUT_2, ls_2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cf_3, cfs_3, ibT_3, ibUT_3, ls_3)$
 $(pstate4, cf_4, cfs_4, ibT_4, ibUT_4, ls_4)$
 $statA$
 $(cf_1, ibT_1, ibUT_1, ls_1)$
 $(cf_2, ibT_2, ibUT_2, ls_2)$
 $statO \wedge$

$same-var-o\ xx\ cf_3\ cfs_3\ cf_4\ cfs_4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cf_3 = startOfIfThen \wedge pcOf\ (last\ cfs_3) \in inElseIf \wedge$
 $misSpecL1\ cfs_3 \wedge misSpecL1\ cfs_4 \wedge$

$(pcOf\ (last\ cfs_3) = startOfElseBranch \longrightarrow w1 = \infty) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfs_3) = 3 \longrightarrow w1 = 3) \wedge$

$(pcOf\ (last\ cfs_3) = startOfWhileThen \vee$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfs_3) = whileElse \longrightarrow w1 = 1)$

))

lemmas $\Delta 2$ -defs = $\Delta 2$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-o-def misSpecL1-def
 $startOfIfThen$ -def $inElseIf$ -def same-var-def
 $startOfWhileThen$ -def $whileElse$ -def $startOfElseBranch$ -def

lemma $\Delta 2$ -implies: $\Delta 2\ num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cf_3, cfs_3, ibT_3, ibUT_3, ls_3)$

$(pstate4, cf_4, cfs_4, ibT_4, ibUT_4, ls_4)$
 $statA$
 $(cf_1, ibT_1, ibUT_1, ls_1)$
 $(cf_2, ibT_2, ibUT_2, ls_2)$
 $statO \implies$

$pcOf\ (last\ cfs_3) \in inElseIf \wedge pcOf\ cf_3 = 7 \wedge$

$pcOf\ (last\ cfs_4) = pcOf\ (last\ cfs_3) \wedge$

$pcOf\ cf_4 = pcOf\ cf_3 \wedge length\ cfs_3 = Suc\ 0 \wedge$

$length\ cfs_4 = Suc\ 0 \wedge same-var\ xx\ (last\ cfs_3)\ (last\ cfs_4)$

apply(intro conjI)

unfolding $\Delta 2$ -defs
apply (simp-all add: image-subset-iff)
by (metis last-in-set length-0-conv Nil-is-map-conv last-map length-map)+

definition $\Delta 2' :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\Delta 2' = (\lambda \text{num } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $\quad (\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 $\quad \text{statA}$
 $\quad (\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $\quad (\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\quad \text{statO}.$
 $(\text{common } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $\quad (\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 $\quad \text{statA}$
 $\quad (\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $\quad (\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\quad \text{statO} \wedge$
 $\text{same-var-o } xx \ \text{cfg3} \ \text{cfs3} \ \text{cfg4} \ \text{cfs4} \wedge$
 $\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} = \text{startOfIfThen} \wedge$
 $\text{whileSpeculation } (\text{cfs3!0}) \ (\text{last } \text{cfs3}) \wedge$
 $\text{misSpecL2 } \text{cfs3} \wedge \text{misSpecL2 } \text{cfs4} \wedge$
 $w1 = 2$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 2'$ -defs = $\Delta 2'$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-def
startOfElseBranch-def startOfIfThen-def
whileSpec-defs misSpecL2-def

lemma $\Delta 2'$ -implies: $\Delta 2' \ \text{num } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $\quad (\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 $\quad \text{statA}$
 $\quad (\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $\quad (\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\quad \text{statO} \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} = 7 \wedge \text{pcOf } \text{cfg4} = 7 \wedge$
 $\text{whileSpeculation } (\text{cfs3!0}) \ (\text{last } \text{cfs3}) \wedge$
 $\text{whileSpeculation } (\text{cfs4!0}) \ (\text{last } \text{cfs4}) \wedge$
 $\text{length } \text{cfs3} = 2 \wedge \text{length } \text{cfs4} = 2$
apply(intro conjI)
unfolding $\Delta 2'$ -defs **apply** (simp add: lessI, clarify)
apply linarith+ **apply** simp-all
by (metis list.inject map-L2)

definition $\Delta 3 :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\Delta 3 = (\lambda \text{num } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$

```

    (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
    (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
    statO.
  (common w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
    (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
    (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = startOfElseBranch  $\wedge$  pcOf (last cfs3)  $\in$  inThenIfBeforeOutput  $\wedge$ 
    misSpecL1 cfs3  $\wedge$ 
    (pcOf (last cfs3) = 7  $\longrightarrow$  w1 =  $\infty$ )  $\wedge$ 
    (pcOf (last cfs3) = 8  $\longrightarrow$  w1 = 2)  $\wedge$ 
    (pcOf (last cfs3) = 9  $\longrightarrow$  w1 = 1)
  ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 3$ -defs = $\Delta 3$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-o-def
startOfElseBranch-def inThenIfBeforeOutput-def

lemma $\Delta 3$ -implies: $\Delta 3$ num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO \implies
pcOf (last cfs3) \in inThenIfBeforeOutput \wedge
pcOf (last cfs4) = pcOf (last cfs3) \wedge
pcOf cfg3 = 12 \wedge pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4 \wedge
length cfs3 = Suc 0 \wedge length cfs4 = Suc 0
apply(intro conjI)
unfolding $\Delta 3$ -defs
apply (simp-all add: image-subset-iff)
by (metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv length-map)+

definition $\Delta e :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow$
 $stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta e = (\lambda num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ib3, ls3)$
(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ib4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ib1, ls1)
(cfg2, ib2, ls2)
statO.
(pcOf cfg3 = endPC \wedge pcOf cfg4 = endPC \wedge cfs3 = [] \wedge cfs4 = [] \wedge

$pcOf\ cfg1 = endPC \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = endPC$)

lemmas $\Delta e-defs = \Delta e-def\ common-def\ endPC-def$

lemma *init*: *initCond* $\Delta 0$

unfolding *initCond-def* **apply** *safe*

subgoal for *pstate3* *cfg3* *cfgs3* *ibT3* *ibUT3* *ls3*

pstate4 *cfg4* *cfgs4* *ibT4* *ibUT4* *ls4*

unfolding *istateO.simps* **apply** *clarsimp*

apply(*cases* *getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3*), *cases* *getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4*))

unfolding $\Delta 0-defs$

unfolding *array-base-def* **by** *auto* .

lemma *step0*: *unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 0$ (*oor* $\Delta 0$ $\Delta 1$)

proof(*rule* *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix *n* *w1* *w2* *ss3* *ss4* *statA* *ss1* *ss2* *statO*

assume *r*: *reachO* *ss3* *reachO* *ss4* *reachV* *ss1* *reachV* *ss2*

and $\Delta 0$: $\Delta 0$ *n* *w1* *w2* *ss3* *ss4* *statA* *ss1* *ss2* *statO*

obtain *pstate3* *cfg3* *cfgs3* *ibT3* *ibUT3* *ls3* **where** *ss3*: *ss3* = (*pstate3*, *cfg3*, *cfgs3*, *ibT3*, *ibUT3*, *ls3*)

by (*cases* *ss3*, *auto*)

obtain *pstate4* *cfg4* *cfgs4* *ibT4* *ibUT4* *ls4* **where** *ss4*: *ss4* = (*pstate4*, *cfg4*, *cfgs4*, *ibT4*, *ibUT4*, *ls4*)

by (*cases* *ss4*, *auto*)

obtain *cfg1* *ibT1* *ibUT1* *ls1* **where** *ss1*: *ss1* = (*cfg1*, *ibT1*, *ibUT1*, *ls1*)

by (*cases* *ss1*, *auto*)

obtain *cfg2* *ibT2* *ibUT2* *ls2* **where** *ss2*: *ss2* = (*cfg2*, *ibT2*, *ibUT2*, *ls2*)

by (*cases* *ss2*, *auto*)

note *ss* = *ss3* *ss4* *ss1* *ss2*

obtain *pc3* *vs3* *avst3* *h3* *p3* **where**

cfg3: *cfg3* = *Config* *pc3* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs3*) *avst3* *h3* *p3*)

by (*cases* *cfg3*) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

obtain *pc4* *vs4* *avst4* *h4* *p4* **where**

cfg4: *cfg4* = *Config* *pc4* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs4*) *avst4* *h4* *p4*)

by (*cases* *cfg4*) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

note *cfg* = *cfg3* *cfg4*

obtain *hh3* **where** *h3*: *h3* = *Heap* *hh3* **by**(*cases* *h3*, *auto*)

obtain *hh4* **where** *h4*: *h4* = *Heap* *hh4* **by**(*cases* *h4*, *auto*)

note *hh* = *h3* *h4*

have *f1*: $\neg finalN$ *ss1*

using $\Delta 0$ **unfolding** *ss*

```

apply-by(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies, simp)

have f2: $\neg$ finalN ss2
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding ss
  apply-by(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies, simp)

have f3: $\neg$ finalS ss3
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  using finalS-cond' by simp

have f4: $\neg$ finalS ss4
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  using finalS-cond' by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)

```

```

by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa Δ0 finals unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ0-defs eqSec-def)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa Δ0 finals unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ0-defs eqSec-def, metis map-is-Nil-conv)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ0-implies)
  unfolding Opt.eqAct-def
    Van.eqAct-def
  by(simp-all add: Δ0-defs, linarith)

show match12-12 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ∞ ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match12-12-def
  proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
    by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
    by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
  show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
  using v sa Δ0 sstat unfolding ss cfg statA' apply simp
  apply(simp add: Δ0-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def finalS-def final-def)
  using cases-14[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
  apply simp-all apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
  by (smt (z3) status.distinct status.exhaust updStat.simps)+
  } note stat = this

  show oor Δ0 Δ1 ∞ ∞ ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)

  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ0 stat unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ0-defs numeral-1-eq-Suc-0, linarith)
next

```

```

      case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis
  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
    show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat v3 v4 nn3 nn4 unfolding ss cfg apply
clarsimp
    apply(unfold  $\Delta 0$ -defs, clarsimp, elim disjE)
    subgoal by(rule oorI1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    subgoal by (rule oorI1, simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    subgoal by (rule oorI2, simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```



```

lemma step1: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 1$  (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix  $n$   $w1$   $w2$   $ss3$   $ss4$   $statA$   $ss1$   $ss2$   $statO$ 
  assume  $r$ : reachO  $ss3$  reachO  $ss4$  reachV  $ss1$  reachV  $ss2$ 
  and  $\Delta 1$ :  $\Delta 1$   $n$   $w1$   $w2$   $ss3$   $ss4$   $statA$   $ss1$   $ss2$   $statO$ 

  obtain  $pstate3$   $cfg3$   $cfgs3$   $ibT3$   $ibUT3$   $ls3$  where  $ss3$ :  $ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,$ 
 $ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$ 
  by (cases  $ss3$ , auto)
  obtain  $pstate4$   $cfg4$   $cfgs4$   $ibT4$   $ibUT4$   $ls4$  where  $ss4$ :  $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,$ 
 $ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$ 
  by (cases  $ss4$ , auto)
  obtain  $cfg1$   $ibT1$   $ibUT1$   $ls1$  where  $ss1$ :  $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$ 
  by (cases  $ss1$ , auto)
  obtain  $cfg2$   $ibT2$   $ibUT2$   $ls2$  where  $ss2$ :  $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$ 
  by (cases  $ss2$ , auto)
  note  $ss = ss3$   $ss4$   $ss1$   $ss2$ 

  obtain  $pc3$   $vs3$   $avst3$   $h3$   $p3$  where
 $cfg3$ :  $cfg3 = Config$   $pc3$  (State (Vstore  $vs3$ )  $avst3$   $h3$   $p3$ )
  by (cases  $cfg3$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain  $pc4$   $vs4$   $avst4$   $h4$   $p4$  where
 $cfg4$ :  $cfg4 = Config$   $pc4$  (State (Vstore  $vs4$ )  $avst4$   $h4$   $p4$ )
  by (cases  $cfg4$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note  $cfg = cfg3$   $cfg4$ 

  obtain  $hh3$  where  $h3$ :  $h3 = Heap$   $hh3$  by(cases  $h3$ , auto)
  obtain  $hh4$  where  $h4$ :  $h4 = Heap$   $hh4$  by(cases  $h4$ , auto)
  note  $hh = h3$   $h4$ 

have  $f1$ :  $\neg finalN$   $ss1$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding  $ss$   $\Delta 1$ -def apply clarify
  apply(frule common-implies)
  using finalB-pcOf-iff finalN-iff-finalB nat-less-le by metis

  have  $f2$ :  $\neg finalN$   $ss2$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding  $ss$   $\Delta 1$ -def apply clarify
  apply(frule common-implies)
  using finalB-pcOf-iff finalN-iff-finalB nat-less-le by metis

  have  $f3$ :  $\neg finalS$   $ss3$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding  $ss$ 
  apply—apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-cond')

  have  $f4$ :  $\neg finalS$   $ss4$ 

```

```

using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss
apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
by (simp add: finalS-cond')

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  proof(rule match12-simpleI,rule disjI2, intro conjI)
    fix ss3' ss4' statA'
    assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
    and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
    and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
    note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

    obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
    by (cases ss3', auto)
    obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
    by (cases ss4', auto)
    note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 1$  finals unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs eqSec-def)

  show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 1$  finals unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs eqSec-def, metis map-is-Nil-conv)

  show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
  unfolding Opt.eqAct-def Van.eqAct-def
  apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  by (metis f3 getActO-pcOf numeral-eq-iff numeral-less-iff semiring-norm(77,78,81,89)
  ss3)

```

```

show match12-12 (oor5  $\Delta 1 \Delta 1' \Delta 2 \Delta 3 \Delta e$ )  $\infty \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2
statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2],unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
    by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
    by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
  show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
    using v sa  $\Delta 1$  sstat finals unfolding ss cfg statA'
    apply—apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
    apply(simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def updStat-EqI)
    using cases-14 [of pc3] apply(elim disjE, simp-all)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
      by (simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
      by (simp-all add: updStat-EqI split: if-splits)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
      by (simp-all add: updStat-EqI split: if-splits)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
      by (simp-all add: updStat-EqI split: if-splits) .
  } note stat = this

  show oor5  $\Delta 1 \Delta 1' \Delta 2 \Delta 3 \Delta e$   $\infty \infty \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN
ss2) (sstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next

```

```

      case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

  next
    case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

  next
    case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nn3 nn4 f4 unfolding ss cfg
Opt.eqAct-def
  apply clarsimp using cases-14 [of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  subgoal using xx-0-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
  subgoal apply (rule oor5I1) by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  subgoal apply (rule oor5I1) by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  subgoal using xx-NN-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

```

```

      subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add: Δ1-defs) .
    subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add: Δ1-defs hh)
    subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add: Δ1-defs)
    subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add: Δ1-defs hh)
    subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add: Δ1-defs hh)
    subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add: Δ1-defs)
    subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add: Δ1-defs)
    by(rule oor5I5, simp-all add: Δ1-defs Δe-defs)
  qed
next
  case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
  show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat v3 v4 nm3 nm4 unfolding ss cfg
  apply clarsimp
  using cases-14 [of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
  prefer 4 subgoal using xx-0-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal by(rule oor5I2, auto simp add: Δ1-defs Δ1'-defs)
  subgoal by(rule oor5I2, auto simp add: Δ1-defs Δ1'-defs) .
  prefer 6 subgoal using xx-NN-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal apply (rule oor5I3) by (auto simp add: Δ1-defs Δ2-defs)
  subgoal apply (rule oor5I4) by (auto simp add: Δ1-defs Δ3-defs) .
  by (simp-all add: Δ1-defs)
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

qed

```
lemma step2: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 2$  (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 2$ :  $\Delta 2$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
lcfgs3: last cfgs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases last cfgs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
lcfgs4: last cfgs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases last cfgs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4

  have f1:  $\neg$ finalN ss1
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -def
  apply clarsimp
  by(frule common-implies, simp)

  have f2:  $\neg$ finalN ss2
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -def
  apply clarsimp
  by(frule common-implies, simp)

  have f3:  $\neg$ finalS ss3
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-if-spec)

  have f4:  $\neg$ finalS ss4
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
```

```

by (simp add: finalS-if-spec)

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

then have lpc3:pcOf (last cfgs3) = 12 ∨
  pcOf (last cfgs3) = 3 ∨
  pcOf (last cfgs3) = 4 ∨
  pcOf (last cfgs3) = 13
  using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-defs by simp

have sec3[simp]:¬ isSecO ss3
  using Δ2 finals unfolding ss isSecO-def
  by(simp add: Δ2-defs, metis list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

have sec4[simp]:¬ isSecO ss4
  using Δ2 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ2-defs, metis list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

have stat[simp]:∧s3' s4' statA'. statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4 ⇒
  validTransO (ss3, s3') ⇒ validTransO (ss4, s4') ⇒
  (statA = statA' ∨ statO = Diff)
subgoal for ss3' ss4'
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  using Δ2 finals unfolding ss apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add: Δ2-defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) by (cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI) .

have xx:vs3 xx = vs4 xx using Δ2 lcfgs unfolding ss Δ2-defs apply clarsimp
  by (metis cfigs-Suc-zero config.sel(2) list.set-intros(1) state.sel(1) vstore.sel)

have oor3-rule:∧ss3' ss4'. ss3 →S ss3' ⇒ ss4 →S ss4' ⇒
  (pcOf (last cfgs3) = 12 → oor3 Δ2 Δ2' Δ1 ∞ 3 3 ss3' ss4'
  (sstatA' statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO)
  ∧ (pcOf (last cfgs3) = 3 ∧ mispred pstate4 [7, 3] → oor3 Δ2 Δ2'
  Δ1 ∞ 2 2 ss3' ss4' (sstatA' statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO)
  ∧ (pcOf (last cfgs3) = 3 ∧ ¬mispred pstate4 [7, 3] → oor3 Δ2
  Δ2' Δ1 ∞ 1 1 ss3' ss4' (sstatA' statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO)
  ∧ ((pcOf (last cfgs3) = 4 ∨ pcOf (last cfgs3) = 13) → oor3 Δ2
  Δ2' Δ1 ∞ 0 0 ss3' ss4' (sstatA' statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO) ⇒
  ∃ w1' < w1. ∃ w2' < w2. oor3 Δ2 Δ2' Δ1 ∞ w1' w2' ss3' ss4'
  (sstatA' statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO
  subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')

```

```

subgoal for pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3'
      pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4'
subgoal premises p using lpc3 apply-apply(erule disjE)
subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 3], intro conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity)
apply(intro exI[of - 3], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity)
using p by (simp add: p)
apply(erule disjE)
subgoal apply(cases mispred pstate4 [7, 3])
subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 2], intro conjI)
using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
  apply (metis enat-ord-number(2) eval-nat-numeral(3) lessI)
apply(intro exI[of - 2], rule conjI)
using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
  apply (metis enat-ord-number(2) eval-nat-numeral(3) lessI)
using  $\Delta 2$  p unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by clarify
subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 1], intro conjI)
using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
  apply (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(77))
apply(intro exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
  apply (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(77))
using  $\Delta 2$  p unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by clarify .
subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 0], intro conjI)
using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
  apply (metis less-numeral-extra(1))
apply(intro exI[of - 0], rule conjI)
using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
  apply (metis less-numeral-extra(1))
using  $\Delta 2$  p unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by clarify . . . .

```

```

show match (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

```

```

show match1 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)
subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')
subgoal for pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3'
      pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4'
apply-apply(rule oor3-rule, assumption+, intro conjI impI)

```



```

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
    using  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs, clarsimp)
    by (meson doubleton-eq-iff numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(89) semiring-norm(90))
next
  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
  next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
  next
    case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
    have pc4:pc4 = 12 using  $\Delta 2$  prem lcfgs unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by auto
    show ?thesis
      using  $\Delta 2$  prem sn3 sn4 finals stat unfolding ss prem(4,5) lcfgs
      apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 2$ -defs) apply clarsimp

```

```

    apply(rule oor3I1) apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs pc4)
    using final-def config.sel(2) last-in-set
      lcfgs state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel xx
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  qed
qed

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis cfigs-map)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
    using  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) resolve-73
    unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs using cfigs-map misSpecL1-def
    by (clarify, smt (z3) insert-commute list.simps(15) resolve.simps)
  next
  case spec-mispred note sm3 = spec-mispred
  show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frul
 $\Delta 2$ -implies)
    by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next

```

```

    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
  next
    case spec-mispred note sm4 = spec-mispred
    have pc:pc4 = 3
      using prem(6) lcfgs  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
      by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I2)
      unfolding ss  $\Delta 2'$ -def using xx-0-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  lcfgs prem pc sm3 sm4 xx finals stat unfolding ss
      apply-apply(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 2'$ -defs, clarify)
      apply(intro conjI)
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
final-def)
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
      subgoal by (smt(verit) prem(1) prem(2) ss)
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel) .
      subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  lcfgs prem pc sm3 sm4 xx finals stat unfolding ss
      apply-apply(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 2'$ -defs, clarify)
      apply(intro conjI)
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
final-def)
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
      subgoal by (smt(verit) prem(1) prem(2) ss)
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel) . .
    qed
  qed

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:

```

```

Δ2-defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
    using Δ2 prem(6) resolve-73
    unfolding ss Δ2-defs using cfgs-map misSpecL1-def
    by (clarify,smt (z3) insert-commute list.simps(15) resolve.simps)
  next
  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sn3 Δ2 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sn3 Δ2 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sn3 Δ2 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs,
metis last-map)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sn3 Δ2 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs,
metis last-map)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sn3 Δ2 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs,
metis last-map)
  next
  case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
show ?thesis
  using Δ2 lcfgs prem sn3 sn4 finals unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ2-implies, unfold Δ2-defs) apply clarsimp
  apply(rule oor3I1)
  using xx-0-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
  subgoal apply(simp-all add: Δ2-defs, clarify)
  using config.sel(2) last-in-set stat state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Opt.final-def config.sel(1) eval-nat-numeral(3)
f3 f4 is-Output-1 le-imp-less-Suc le-refl nat-less-le ss)
  subgoal apply(simp-all add: Δ2-defs, clarify)
  using config.sel(2) last-in-set stat state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
  apply(intro conjI,unfold config.sel(1))
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
  subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
  subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
  subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
  subgoal by (smt (verit) Opt.final-def ss)
  apply (smt (verit) cfgs-Suc-zero lcfgs list.set-intros(1))

```

```

      apply (smt (verit) cfgs-Suc-zero lcfgs list.set-intros(1))
      apply presburger
      apply (smt (verit) insertCI list.simps(15) resolve.elims(3) resolve-74
resolve-127)
      by linarith .
    qed

  subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
  proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem(5)] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis)
    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis)

```

```

next
  case spec-resolve note  $sr_4 = \text{spec-resolve}$ 
  show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2$  prem  $sr_3$   $sr_4$ 
  unfolding ss lcfgs apply-
  apply(frule  $\Delta_2$ -implies) apply (simp add:  $\Delta_2$ -defs  $\Delta_1$ -defs)
  apply(rule oor3I3, simp add:  $\Delta_1$ -defs)
  by (metis prem(1) prem(2) ss)
qed
qed. . .

qed
qed

```

```

lemma step3: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta_3$  (oor  $\Delta_3$   $\Delta_1$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix  $n$   $w_1$   $w_2$   $ss_3$   $ss_4$  statA  $ss_1$   $ss_2$  statO
  assume  $r$ : reachO  $ss_3$  reachO  $ss_4$  reachV  $ss_1$  reachV  $ss_2$ 
  and  $\Delta_3$ :  $\Delta_3$   $n$   $w_1$   $w_2$   $ss_3$   $ss_4$  statA  $ss_1$   $ss_2$  statO

  obtain  $pstate_3$   $cfg_3$   $cfgs_3$   $ibT_3$   $ibUT_3$   $ls_3$  where  $ss_3$ :  $ss_3 = (pstate_3, cfg_3, cfgs_3,$ 
   $ibT_3, ibUT_3, ls_3)$ 
  by (cases  $ss_3$ , auto)
  obtain  $pstate_4$   $cfg_4$   $cfgs_4$   $ibT_4$   $ibUT_4$   $ls_4$  where  $ss_4$ :  $ss_4 = (pstate_4, cfg_4, cfgs_4,$ 
   $ibT_4, ibUT_4, ls_4)$ 
  by (cases  $ss_4$ , auto)
  obtain  $cfg_1$   $ibT_1$   $ibUT_1$   $ls_1$  where  $ss_1$ :  $ss_1 = (cfg_1, ibT_1, ibUT_1, ls_1)$ 
  by (cases  $ss_1$ , auto)
  obtain  $cfg_2$   $ibT_2$   $ibUT_2$   $ls_2$  where  $ss_2$ :  $ss_2 = (cfg_2, ibT_2, ibUT_2, ls_2)$ 
  by (cases  $ss_2$ , auto)
  note  $ss = ss_3$   $ss_4$   $ss_1$   $ss_2$ 

  obtain  $pc_3$   $vs_3$   $avst_3$   $h_3$   $p_3$  where
   $lcfgs_3$ :  $last$   $cfgs_3 = Config$   $pc_3$  (State (Vstore  $vs_3$ )  $avst_3$   $h_3$   $p_3$ )
  by (cases  $last$   $cfgs_3$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain  $pc_4$   $vs_4$   $avst_4$   $h_4$   $p_4$  where
   $lcfgs_4$ :  $last$   $cfgs_4 = Config$   $pc_4$  (State (Vstore  $vs_4$ )  $avst_4$   $h_4$   $p_4$ )
  by (cases  $last$   $cfgs_4$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note  $lcfgs = lcfgs_3$   $lcfgs_4$ 

  obtain  $hh_3$  where  $h_3$ :  $h_3 = Heap$   $hh_3$  by(cases  $h_3$ , auto)
  obtain  $hh_4$  where  $h_4$ :  $h_4 = Heap$   $hh_4$  by(cases  $h_4$ , auto)
  note  $hh = h_3$   $h_4$ 

  have  $f1$ :  $\neg finalN$   $ss_1$ 
  using  $\Delta_3$  unfolding  $ss$   $\Delta_3$ -def
  apply clarsimp

```

```

by(frule common-implies, simp)

have f2:¬finalN ss2
using Δ3 unfolding ss Δ3-def
apply clarsimp
by(frule common-implies, simp)

have f3:¬finalS ss3
  using Δ3 unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ3-implies)
  using finalS-if-spec by force

have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ3 unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ3-implies)
  using finalS-if-spec by force

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

then have lpc3:pcOf (last cfigs3) = 7 ∨
  pcOf (last cfigs3) = 8
  using Δ3 unfolding ss Δ3-defs by simp

have sec3[simp]:¬ isSecO ss3
  using Δ3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3-defs, metis list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

have sec4[simp]:¬ isSecO ss4
  using Δ3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ3-defs, metis list.size(3) map-is-Nil-conv nat.distinct(1))

have stat[simp]:∧s3' s4' statA'. statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4 ⇒
  validTransO (ss3, s3') ⇒ validTransO (ss4, s4') ⇒
  (statA = statA' ∨ statO = Diff)
subgoal for ss3' s4'
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  using Δ3 finals unfolding ss apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add: Δ3-defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) by (cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI) .

have vs3 xx = vs4 xx using Δ3 lcfgs unfolding ss Δ3-defs apply clarsimp
  by (metis cfigs-Suc-zero config.sel(2) list.set-intros(1) state.sel(1) vstore.sel)

```

```

then have a1x:(array-loc aa1 (nat (vs4 xx)) avst4) =
  (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs3 xx)) avst3)
using  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs array-loc-def apply clarsimp
by (metis Zero-not-Suc config.sel(2) last-in-set list.size(3) state.sel(2))

have oor2-rule: $\bigwedge ss3' ss4'. ss3 \rightarrow_S ss3' \implies ss4 \rightarrow_S ss4' \implies$ 
  (pcOf (last cfigs3) = 7  $\longrightarrow$  oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$   $\infty$  2 2 ss3' ss4' (sstatA'
statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO)
   $\wedge$  (pcOf (last cfigs3) = 8  $\longrightarrow$  oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$   $\infty$  1 1 ss3' ss4' (sstatA'
statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO) $\implies$ 
   $\exists w1' < w1. \exists w2' < w2. oor \Delta 3 \Delta 1 \infty w1' w2' ss3' ss4' (sstatA'
statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO$ 
subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')
subgoal for pstate3' cfig3' cfigs3' ib3' ls3'
  pstate4' cfig4' cfigs4' ib4' ls4'
using lpc3 apply(elim disjE)

subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 2], intro conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply clarify
by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity)
apply(intro exI[of - 2], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply clarify
by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity)
by simp

subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 1], intro conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply clarify
by (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
apply(intro exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply clarify
by (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
by simp . . .

show match (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)
subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')
  subgoal for pstate3' cfig3' cfigs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3'
    pstate4' cfig4' cfigs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4'
  apply—apply(rule oor2-rule, assumption+, intro conjI impI)

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

```



```

    case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
  using  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) resolve-127
  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs by (clarify,metis cfgs-map misSpecL1-def)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis
  using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs, metis config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
    by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs, metis config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
    show ?thesis
    apply(intro oorI1)
    unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -def prem(4,5) apply- apply(clarify,intro conjI)
    subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh

```

```

      apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      using cases-14[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
      apply simp-all by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2) Dist-ignore
a1x )+
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss prem(4,5)
hh
      apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      using cases-14[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
      apply simp-all
      by (metis config.collapse config.inject last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel)+
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss prem(4,5)
hh
      apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
      apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      using cases-14[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
      by simp-all
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
      apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      using cases-14[of pc3] apply (simp add: array-loc-def) apply(elim disjE)
      by (simp-all add: array-loc-def)
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
      apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      using cases-14[of pc3] apply (simp add: array-loc-def) apply(elim disjE)
      by (simp-all add: array-loc-def)
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
      apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 prem(6) unfolding ss hh
      apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs) .
      qed
      qed
      subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
      proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

      next
      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

      next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      next

```

```

      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
      show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
        case nonspec-normal
        then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      next
        case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-normal
        then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-normal
        show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem sr3 sr4
        unfolding ss lcfgs apply-
        apply (frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
        apply (rule oorI2, simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs local.spec-resolve)
        by (metis prem(1) ss3)
      qed qed . . .
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma step4: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 1$ 
proof (rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 1'$ :  $\Delta 1'$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)

```

```

by (cases ss4, auto)
obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
by (cases ss1, auto)
obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
by (cases ss2, auto)
note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by (cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by (cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

have f1: ¬finalN ss1
using Δ1' unfolding ss Δ1'-def
apply clarsimp
by (frule common-implies, simp)

have f2: ¬finalN ss2
using Δ1' unfolding ss Δ1'-def
apply clarsimp
by (frule common-implies, simp)

have f3: ¬finalS ss3
using Δ1' unfolding ss
apply-apply (frule Δ1'-implies)
by (simp add: finalS-while-spec)

have f4: ¬finalS ss4
using Δ1' unfolding ss
apply-apply (frule Δ1'-implies)
by (simp add: finalS-while-spec)

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

have match12-aux:
(∧ s1' s2' statA'.
statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4 ⇒

```

```

validTransO (ss3, s1')  $\implies$ 
validTransO (ss4, s2')  $\implies$ 
Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4  $\implies$ 
( $\neg$  isSecO ss3  $\wedge$   $\neg$  isSecO ss4  $\wedge$ 
 (statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff)  $\wedge$ 
  $\Delta 1 \infty 1 1 s1' s2' statA' ss1 ss2 statO$ )
 $\implies$  match12  $\Delta 1 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
apply(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1)

```

```

apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
  subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs apply clarify
    by(metis enat-ord-simps(4) infinity-ne-i1)
apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
  subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs apply clarify
    by(metis enat-ord-simps(4) infinity-ne-i1)
  by auto

```

```

show match  $\Delta 1 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

```

```

show match1  $\Delta 1 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2  $\Delta 1 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12  $\Delta 1 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
proof(rule match12-aux, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

```

```

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

```

```

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by (cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by (cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

```

```

show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, metis list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

```

```

show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4

```

```

using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,metis list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff

using v sa  $\Delta 1'$ 
apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs sstatA'-def)
apply(cases statO, simp-all)
apply(cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI)
unfolding finalS-def final-def
using One-nat-def less-numeral-extra(4)
      less-one list.size(3) map-is-Nil-conv
by (smt (verit) status.exhaust updStat.simps)

show  $\Delta 1 \infty 1 1$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-Fence
            then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
              apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarify, elim disjE)
              by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
            next
              case spec-mispred
                then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
                  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarify, elim disjE)
                  by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
                next
                  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
                    show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sn3(2) unfolding ss
                      apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarsimp)
                      by (smt (z3) insert-commute)
                  next
                    case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
                      show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
                        case nonspec-normal
                          then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
                          next
                            case nonspec-mispred
                              then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
next
  case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis
  using sa stat  $\Delta 1'$  v3 v4 sr3 sr4 unfolding ss hh
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  by (metis atLeastAtMost-iff atLeastatMost-empty-iff empty-iff empty-set
    nat-le-linear numeral-le-iff semiring-norm(68,69,72)
    length-1-butlast length-map in-set-butlastD)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *step5: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 2'$ $\Delta 2$*

proof(rule *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix $n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

assume $r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2$

and $\Delta 2': \Delta 2' n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

obtain $pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3$ **where** $ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

by (cases $ss3$, auto)

obtain $pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4$ **where** $ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

by (cases $ss4$, auto)

obtain $cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1$ **where** $ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

by (cases $ss1$, auto)

obtain $cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2$ **where** $ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

by (cases $ss2$, auto)

note $ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2$

obtain $pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3$ **where**

$cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)$

```

by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

have f1: $\neg$ finalN ss1
using  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2'$ -def
apply clarsimp
by(frule common-implies, simp)

have f2: $\neg$ finalN ss2
using  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2'$ -def
apply clarsimp
by(frule common-implies, simp)

have f3: $\neg$ finalS ss3
using  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss
apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 2'$ -implies)
using finalS-while-spec-L2 by force

have f4: $\neg$ finalS ss4
using  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss
apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 2'$ -implies)
using finalS-while-spec-L2 by force

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

have sec3[simp]: $\neg$  isSecO ss3
using  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss
by (simp add:  $\Delta 2'$ -defs, metis list.size(3) zero-neq-numeral)

have sec4[simp]: $\neg$  isSecO ss4
using  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss
by (simp add:  $\Delta 2'$ -defs, metis list.size(3) zero-neq-numeral)

have stat[simp]: $\wedge$ s3' s4' statA'. statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4  $\implies$ 
validTransO (ss3, s3')  $\implies$  validTransO (ss4, s4')  $\implies$ 
(statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff)

```


subgoal for $ss3' ss4'$
apply (cases $ss3'$, cases $ss4'$, cases $ss1$, cases $ss2$)
apply (cases $ss3'$, cases $ss4'$, clarsimp)
using $\Delta 2'$ finals **unfolding** ss **apply** clarsimp
apply(simp-all add: $\Delta 2'$ -defs sstatA'-def)
apply(cases statO, simp-all) **by** (cases statA, simp-all add: updStat-EqI) .

have match12-aux:
 $(\wedge pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ib3' ibUT3' ls3'$
 $pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ib4' ibUT4' ls4' statA'$
 $(pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3) \rightarrow_S (pstate3', cfg3', cfgs3', ib3',$
 $ibUT3', ls3') \implies$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4) \rightarrow_S (pstate4', cfg4', cfgs4', ib4',$
 $ibUT4', ls4') \implies$
 $Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4 \implies statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4 \implies$
 $(\Delta 2 \infty 1 1 (pstate3', cfg3', cfgs3', ib3', ibUT3', ls3') (pstate4', cfg4', cfgs4',$
 $ib4', ibUT4', ls4') statA' ss1 ss2 statO))$
 \implies match12 $\Delta 2 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$
apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)

apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** ss $\Delta 2'$ -defs **apply** clarify
by (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** ss $\Delta 2'$ -defs **apply** clarify
by (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
subgoal for $ss3' ss4'$ **apply**(cases $ss3'$, cases $ss4'$)
subgoal for $pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ib3' ibUT3' ls3'$
 $pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ib4' ibUT4' ls4'$
using $ss3 ss4$ **by** blast . .

show match $\Delta 2 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$
unfolding match-def **proof**(intro conjI)

show match1 $\Delta 2 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$
unfolding match1-def **by** (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 $\Delta 2 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$
unfolding match2-def **by** (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 $\Delta 2 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$
apply(rule match12-aux)

subgoal premises prem **using** prem(1)[unfolded ss]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
case nonspec-normal
then show ?thesis **using** stat $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** ss **by** (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
next
case nonspec-mispred

```

      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
      show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
        case nonspec-normal
        then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
      next
        case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-normal
        then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
        show ?thesis
        using stat  $\Delta 2'$  prem sr3 sr4 unfolding ss
        apply (simp add:  $\Delta 2'$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
        apply (intro conjI)
        apply (metis last-map map-butlast map-is-Nil-conv)
        apply (metis image-subset-iff in-set-butlastD)
        apply (metis) apply (metis) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
        apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
        apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
        apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis prem(1) prem(2) ss3 ss4)
        apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)

```

```

apply (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) butlast.simps(2) last-ConsL last-map
length-0-conv length-map map-L2 map-butlast not-Cons-self2)
apply clarify apply(elim disjE)
apply (metis map-L2 butlast.simps(2) last.simps last-map list.simps(8)

map-butlast not-Cons-self2 numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(88))

by (metis map-L2 butlast.simps(2) last.simps last-map list.simps(8)
map-butlast image-constant-conv not-Cons-self2 image-subset-iff
list.set-intros(1,2) list.simps(15) resolve.simps resolve-127
set-empty2 subset-insertI resolve-73 numeral-eq-iff)+
qed
qed .
qed
qed

```

```

lemma stepe: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta e$   $\Delta e$ 
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
and  $\Delta e$ :  $\Delta e$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
by (cases ss3, auto)
obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
by (cases ss4, auto)
obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
by (cases ss1, auto)
obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
by (cases ss2, auto)
note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4

```

```

using  $\Delta e$  Opt.final-def finalS-def stepS-endPC endPC-def finalB-endPC
unfolding  $\Delta e$ -defs ss by clarsimp

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show match  $\Delta e$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1  $\Delta e$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2  $\Delta e$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12  $\Delta e$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  apply(rule match12-simpleI) using  $\Delta e$  unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta e$ -defs stepS-endPC)
qed
qed

```

lemmas theConds = step0 step1 step2 step3 step4 step5 step6

proposition lrsecure

proof –

```

define m where m: m  $\equiv$  (7::nat)
define  $\Delta s$  where  $\Delta s$ :  $\Delta s \equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
  if i = 0 then  $\Delta 0$ 
  else if i = 1 then  $\Delta 1$ 
  else if i = 2 then  $\Delta 2$ 
  else if i = 3 then  $\Delta 3$ 
  else if i = 4 then  $\Delta 1'$ 
  else if i = 5 then  $\Delta 2'$ 
  else  $\Delta e$ 
define next where next: next  $\equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
  if i = 0 then {0,1::nat}
  else if i = 1 then {1,4,2,3,6}
  else if i = 2 then {2,5,1}
  else if i = 3 then {3,1}
  else if i = 4 then {1}
  else if i = 5 then {2}
  else {6}
show ?thesis apply(rule distrib-unwind-lrsecure[of m next  $\Delta s$ ])
  subgoal unfolding m by auto
  subgoal unfolding next m by auto
  subgoal using init unfolding  $\Delta s$  by auto
  subgoal
    unfolding m next  $\Delta s$  apply (simp split: if-splits)
    using theConds
    unfolding oor-def oor3-def oor4-def oor5-def by auto .

```

qed

end

[3] [1]

References

- [1] K. Cheang, C. Rasmussen, S. A. Seshia, and P. Subramanyan, “A formal approach to secure speculation,” in *CSF*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 288–303. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/CSF.2019.00027>
- [2] B. Dongol, M. Griffin, A. Popescu, and J. Wright, “Relative security: Formally modeling and (dis)proving resilience against semantic optimization vulnerabilities,” in *2024 IEEE 37th Computer Security Foundations Symposium (CSF)*. Los Alamitos, CA, USA: IEEE Computer Society, jul 2024, pp. 409–424. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.ieeecomputersociety.org/10.1109/CSF61375.2024.00027>
- [3] T. Nipkow and G. Klein, *Concrete Semantics: With Isabelle/HOL*. Springer, 2014.