

# Formalization of Gyrovector Spaces as Models of Hyperbolic Geometry and Special Relativity

Jelena Marković and Filip Marić

April 9, 2025

## Abstract

In this paper, we present an Isabelle/HOL formalization of noncommutative and nonassociative algebraic structures known as *gyrogroups* and *gyrovector spaces*. These concepts were introduced by Abraham A. Ungar [1] and have deep connections to hyperbolic geometry and special relativity. Gyrovector spaces can be used to define models of hyperbolic geometry. Unlike other models, gyrovector spaces offer the advantage that all definitions exhibit remarkable syntactical similarities to standard Euclidean and Cartesian geometry (e.g., points on the line between  $a$  and  $b$  satisfy the parametric equation  $a \oplus t \otimes (\ominus a \oplus b)$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , while the hyperbolic Pythagorean theorem is expressed as  $a^2 \oplus b^2 = c^2$ , where  $\otimes$ ,  $\oplus$ , and  $\ominus$  represent gyro operations).

We begin by formally defining gyrogroups and gyrovector spaces and proving their numerous properties. Next, we formalize Möbius and Einstein models of these abstract structures (formulated in the two-dimensional, complex plane), and then demonstrate that these are equivalent to the Poincaré and Klein-Beltrami models, satisfying Tarski's geometry axioms for hyperbolic geometry.

## Contents

```
theory GyroGroup
  imports Main
begin

  class gyrogroupoid =
    fixes gyrozero :: 'a ( $\theta_g$ )
    fixes gyroplus :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infixl  $\oplus$  100)
  begin
    definition gyroaut :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
      gyroaut f  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
         $(\forall a b. f(a \oplus b) = f a \oplus f b) \wedge$ 
        bij f
  end
```

```

class gyrogroup = gyrogroupoid +
  fixes gyroinv :: 'a ⇒ 'a ( $\ominus$ )
  fixes gyr :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  assumes gyro-left-id [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. \theta_g \oplus a = a$ 
  assumes gyro-left-inv [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. \ominus a \oplus a = \theta_g$ 
  assumes gyro-left-assoc:  $\bigwedge a b z. a \oplus (b \oplus z) = (a \oplus b) \oplus (gyr a b z)$ 
  assumes gyr-left-loop:  $\bigwedge a b. gyr a b = gyr (a \oplus b) b$ 
  assumes gyr-gyroaut:  $\bigwedge a b. gyroaut (gyr a b)$ 
begin

definition gyrominus :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (infixl  $\ominus_b$  100) where
   $a \ominus_b b = a \oplus (\ominus b)$ 

end

context gyrogroup
begin

lemma gyr-distrib [simp]:
   $gyr a b (x \oplus y) = gyr a b x \oplus gyr a b y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gyr-inj:
  assumes  $gyr a b x = gyr a b y$ 
  shows  $x = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

  Def 2.7, (2.2)

definition cogyroplus (infixr  $\oplus_c$  100) where
   $a \oplus_c b = a \oplus (gyr a (\ominus b) b)$ 

definition cogyrominus :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (infixl  $\ominus_{cb}$  100) where
   $a \ominus_{cb} b = a \oplus_c (\ominus b)$ 

definition cogyroinv ( $\ominus_c$ ) where
   $\ominus_c a = \theta_g \ominus_{cb} a$ 

  Thm 2.8, (1)

lemma gyro-left-cancel:
  assumes  $a \oplus b = a \oplus c$ 
  shows  $b = c$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

  Thm 2.8, (2)

definition gyro-is-left-id where
   $gyro\text{-is-left-id } z \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. z \oplus x = x)$ 

lemma gyro-is-left-id-0 [simp]:

```

```
shows gyro-is-left-id 0g
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gyr-id-1':
assumes gyro-is-left-id z
shows gyr z a = id
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gyr-id-1 [simp]:
shows gyr 0g a = id
⟨proof⟩
```

Thm 2.8, (3)

```
definition gyro-is-left-inv where
gyro-is-left-inv x a ←→ x ⊕ a = 0g
```

```
definition gyro-is-right-inv where
gyro-is-right-inv x a ←→ a ⊕ x = 0g
```

```
lemma gyro-is-left-inv [simp]:
shows gyro-is-left-inv (⊖a) a
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gyr-inv-1':
assumes gyro-is-left-inv x a
shows gyr x a = id
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gyr-inv-1 [simp]:
shows gyr (⊖a) a = id
⟨proof⟩
```

Thm 2.8, (4)

```
lemma gyr-id [simp]:
shows gyr a a = id
⟨proof⟩
```

Thm 2.8, (5)

```
lemma gyro-right-id [simp]:
shows a ⊕ 0g = a
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gyro-inv-id [simp]: ⊖ 0g = 0g
⟨proof⟩
```

Thm 2.8, (6)

```
lemma gyro-left-id-unique:
assumes gyro-is-left-id z
shows z = 0g
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (7)

**lemma** *gyro-left-inv-right-inv*:  
**assumes** *gyro-is-left-inv*  $x$   $a$   
**shows** *gyro-is-right-inv*  $x$   $a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyro-righth-inv* [*simp*]:  
**shows**  $a \oplus (\ominus a) = \theta_g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (8)

**lemma**  
**assumes** *gyro-is-left-inv*  $x$   $a$   
**shows**  $x = \ominus a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (9)

**lemma** *gyro-left-cancel'*:  
**shows**  $\ominus a \oplus (a \oplus b) = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (10)

**lemma** *gyr-def*:  
**shows**  $gyr a b x = \ominus (a \oplus b) \oplus (a \oplus (b \oplus x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (11)

**lemma** *gyr-id-3*:  
**shows**  $gyr a b \theta_g = \theta_g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (12)

**lemma** *gyr-inv-3*:  
**shows**  $gyr a b (\ominus x) = \ominus (gyr a b x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (13)

**lemma** *gyr-id-2* [*simp*]:  
**shows**  $gyr a \theta_g = id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-distrib-gyrominus*:  
**shows**  $gyr a b (c \ominus_b d) = gyr a b c \ominus_b gyr a b d$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyro-inv-idem* [*simp*]:  
**shows**  $\ominus (\ominus a) = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-inv-2* [*simp*]:  
**shows**  $gyr a (\ominus a) = id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
(2.3.a)

**lemma** *cogyro-left-id*:  
**shows**  $\theta_g \oplus_c a = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
(2.3.b)

**lemma** *cogyro-rigth-id*:  
**shows**  $a \oplus_c \theta_g = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
(2.4)

**lemma** *cogyrominus*:  
**shows**  $a \ominus_{cb} b = a \ominus_b gyr a b b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
(2.7)

**lemma** *cogyro-right-inv*:  
**shows**  $a \oplus_c (\ominus_c a) = \theta_g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
(2.6)

**lemma** *cogyro-left-inv*:  
**shows**  $(\ominus_c a) \oplus_c a = \theta_g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
(2.8)

**lemma** *cogyro-gyro-inv*:  
**shows**  $\ominus_c a = \ominus a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.9, (2.9)

**lemma** *gyr-nested-1*:  
**shows**  $gyr a (b \oplus c) \circ gyr b c = gyr (a \oplus b) (gyr a b c) \circ gyr a b$  (**is**  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.9, (2.15)

**lemma** *gyr-nested-1'*:  
**shows**  $gyr (a \oplus b) (\ominus (gyr a b b)) \circ gyr a b = id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.9, (2.10)

**lemma** *gyr-nested-2*:

**shows**  $gyr\ a\ (\ominus\ (gyr\ a\ b\ b)) \circ\ gyr\ a\ b = id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.9, (2.11)

**lemma** *gyr-auto-id1*:

**shows**  $gyr\ (\ominus\ a)\ (a \oplus b) \circ\ gyr\ a\ b = id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.9, (2.12)

**lemma** *gyr-auto-id2*:

**shows**  $gyr\ b\ (a \oplus b) \circ\ gyr\ a\ b = id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.10, (2.18)

**lemma** *gyro-plus-def-co*:

**shows**  $a \oplus b = a \oplus_c gyr\ a\ b\ b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.11, (2.21)

**lemma** *gyro-polygonal-addition-lemma*:

**shows**  $(\ominus\ a \oplus b) \oplus gyr\ (\ominus a)\ b\ (\ominus\ b \oplus c) = \ominus\ a \oplus c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.12, (2.23)

**lemma** *gyro-translation-1*:

**shows**  $\ominus\ (\ominus a \oplus b) \oplus (\ominus a \oplus c) = gyr\ (\ominus a)\ b\ (\ominus b \oplus c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 3.13, (3.33a)

**lemma** *gyro-translation-2a*:

**shows**  $\ominus\ (a \oplus b) \oplus (a \oplus c) = gyr\ a\ b\ (\ominus b \oplus c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *gyro-polygonal-add* ( $\oplus_p$ ) **where**

$\oplus_p\ a\ b\ c = (\ominus a \oplus b) \oplus gyr\ (\ominus a)\ b\ (\ominus b \oplus c)$

Thm 2.15, (2.34, 2.35)

**lemma** *gyro-equation-right*:

**shows**  $a \oplus x = b \longleftrightarrow x = \ominus a \oplus b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.15, (2.36, 2.37)

**lemma** *gyro-equation-left*:

**shows**  $x \oplus a = b \longleftrightarrow x = b \ominus_{cb} a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oplus-ominus-cancel* [*simp*]:

**shows**  $y = x \oplus (\ominus x \oplus y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(2.39)

**lemma** *cogyro-right-cancel'*:

**shows**  $(b \ominus_{cb} a) \oplus a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(2.40)

**lemma** *gyro-right-cancel'-dual*:

**shows**  $(b \ominus_b a) \oplus_c a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.19 (2.48)

**lemma** *gyroaut-gyr-commute-lemma*:

**assumes** *gyroaut A*  
**shows**  $A \circ \text{gyr } a b = \text{gyr } (A a) (A b) \circ A$  (**is**  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.20

**lemma** *gyroaut-gyr-commute*:

**assumes** *gyroaut A*  
**shows**  $\text{gyr } a b = \text{gyr } (A a) (A b) \longleftrightarrow A \circ \text{gyr } a b = \text{gyr } a b \circ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.50

**lemma** *gyr-commute-misc-1*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } (\text{gyr } a b a) (\text{gyr } a b b) = \text{gyr } a b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.21 (2.52)

**definition**

*cogyroaut f*  $\longleftrightarrow ((\forall a b. f (a \oplus_c b) = f a \oplus_c f b) \wedge \text{bij } f)$

**lemma** *gyro-coaut-iff-gyro-aut*:

**shows**  $\text{gyroaut } f \longleftrightarrow \text{cogyroaut } f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.25, (2.76)

**lemma** *gyroplus-inv*:

**shows**  $\ominus (a \oplus b) = \text{gyr } a b (\ominus b \ominus_b a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.25, (2.77)

**lemma** *inv-gyr*:

**shows**  $\text{inv } (\text{gyr } a b) = \text{gyr } (\ominus b) (\ominus a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.26, (2.86)

**lemma** *gyr-aut-inv-1*:

**shows**  $\text{inv } (\text{gyr } a b) = \text{gyr } a (\ominus (\text{gyr } a b b))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.26, (2.87)

**lemma** *gyr-aut-inv-2*:

**shows**  $inv(gyr a b) = gyr(\ominus a)(a \oplus b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.26, (2.88)

**lemma** *gyr-aut-inv-3*:

**shows**  $inv(gyr a b) = gyr b(a \oplus b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.26, (2.89)

**lemma** *gyr-1*:

**shows**  $gyr a b = gyr b(\ominus b \ominus_b a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.26, (2.90)

**lemma** *gyr-2*:

**shows**  $gyr a b = gyr(\ominus a)(\ominus b \ominus_b a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.26, (2.91)

**lemma** *gyr-3*:

**shows**  $gyr a b = gyr(\ominus(a \oplus b))a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.27, (2.92)

**lemma** *gyr-even*:

**shows**  $gyr(\ominus a)(\ominus b) = gyr a b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.27, (2.93)

**lemma** *inv-gyr-sym*:

**shows**  $inv(gyr a b) = gyr b a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.27, (2.94a)

**lemma** *gyr-nested-3*:

**shows**  $gyr b(\ominus(gyr b a a)) = gyr a b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.27, (2.94b)

**lemma** *gyr-nested-4*:

**shows**  $gyr b(gyr b(\ominus a)a) = gyr a(\ominus b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.27, (2.94c)

**lemma** *gyr-nested-5*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr}(\ominus(\text{gyr } a \ b \ b)) \ a = \text{gyr } a \ b$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Thm 2.27, (2.94d)

**lemma** *gyr-nested-6*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr}(\text{gyr } a (\ominus b) \ b) \ a = \text{gyr } a (\ominus b)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Thm 2.28, (i)

**lemma** *gyro-right-assoc*:

**shows**  $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus \text{gyr } b \ a \ c)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Thm 2.28, (ii)

**lemma** *gyr-right-loop*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr } a (b \oplus a)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Thm 2.29, (a)

**lemma** *gyr-left-coloop*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr}(\text{gyr } a \ominus_{cb} b) \ b$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Thm 2.29, (b)

**lemma** *gyr-right-coloop*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr}(\text{gyr } b \ominus_{cb} a) \ b$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Thm 2.30, (2.101a)

**lemma** *gyr-misc-1*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr}(a \oplus b) (\ominus a) = \text{gyr } a \ b$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Thm 2.30, (2.101b)

**lemma** *gyr-misc-2*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr}(\ominus a) (a \oplus b) = \text{gyr } b \ a$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Thm 2.31, (2.103)

**lemma** *coautomorphic-inverse*:

**shows**  $\ominus(a \oplus_c b) = (\ominus b) \oplus_c (\ominus a)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Thm 2.32, (2.105a)

**lemma** *gyr-misc-3*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } a \ b \ b = \ominus(\text{gyr } a \ b) \oplus a$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Thm 2.32, (2.105b)

```

lemma gyr-misc-4:
  shows  $\text{gyr } a (\ominus b) \text{ } b = \ominus (a \ominus_b b) \oplus a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  Thm 2.35, (2.124)

lemma mixed-gyroassoc-law:  $(a \oplus_c b) \oplus c = a \oplus \text{gyr } a (\ominus b) (b \oplus c)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  Thm 3.2

lemma gyrocommute-iff-gyroautomorphic-inverse:
  shows  $(\forall a b. \ominus (a \oplus b) = \ominus a \ominus_b b) \longleftrightarrow (\forall a b. a \oplus b = \text{gyr } a b (b \oplus a))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  Thm 3.4

lemma cogyro-commute-iff-gyrocommute:
   $(\forall a b. a \oplus_c b = b \oplus_c a) \longleftrightarrow (\forall a b. a \oplus b = \text{gyr } a b (b \oplus a))$  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

class gyrocommutative-gyrogroup = gyrogroup +
  assumes gyro-commute:  $a \oplus b = \text{gyr } a b (b \oplus a)$ 
begin
lemma gyroautomorphic-inverse:
  shows  $\ominus (a \oplus b) = \ominus a \ominus_b b$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cogyro-commute:
  shows  $a \oplus_c b = b \oplus_c a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  Thm 3.5 (3.15)

lemma gyr-commute-misc-2:
  shows  $\text{gyr } a b \circ \text{gyr } (b \oplus a) c = \text{gyr } a (b \oplus c) \circ \text{gyr } b c$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  Thm 3.6 (3.17, 3.18)

lemma gyr-parallelogram:
  assumes  $d = (b \oplus_c c) \ominus_b a$ 
  shows  $\text{gyr } a (\ominus b) \circ \text{gyr } b (\ominus c) \circ \text{gyr } c (\ominus d) = \text{gyr } a (\ominus d)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  Thm 3.8 (3.23, 3.24)

lemma gyr-parallelogram-iff:
   $d = (b \oplus_c c) \ominus_b a \longleftrightarrow \ominus c \oplus d = \text{gyr } c (\ominus b) (b \ominus_b a)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  Thm 3.9 (3.26)

lemma gyr-commute-misc-3:

```

$\text{gyr } a \ b \ (b \oplus (a \oplus c)) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.10 (3.28)

**lemma** *gyro-left-right-cancel*:

**shows**  $(a \oplus b) \ominus_b a = \text{gyr } a \ b \ b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.11 (3.29)

**lemma** *cogyro-plus-def*:

**shows**  $a \oplus_c b = a \oplus ((\ominus a \oplus b) \oplus a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.12 (3.31)

**lemma** *cogyro-commute-misc1*:

**shows**  $a \oplus_c (a \oplus b) = a \oplus (b \oplus a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.13 (3.33b)

**lemma** *gyro-translation-2b*:

**shows**  $(a \oplus b) \ominus_b (a \oplus c) = \text{gyr } a \ b \ (b \ominus_b c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.14 (3.34)

(3.37)

**lemma** *gyr-commute-misc-4'*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } a \ (b \oplus c) = \text{gyr } a \ b \circ \text{gyr } (b \oplus a) \ c \circ \text{gyr } c \ b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(3.38)

**lemma** *gyr-commute-misc-4''*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } (\ominus b \oplus d) \ (b \oplus c) = \text{gyr } (\ominus b) \ d \circ \text{gyr } d \ c \circ \text{gyr } c \ b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.14 (3.34)

**lemma** *gyro-commute-misc-4*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } (\ominus a \oplus b) \ (a \ominus_b c) = \text{gyr } a \ (\ominus b) \circ \text{gyr } b \ (\ominus c) \circ \text{gyr } c \ (\ominus a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.15 (3.40)

**lemma** *gyr-inv-2'*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } a \ (\ominus b) = \text{gyr } (\ominus a \oplus b) \ (a \oplus b) \circ \text{gyr } a \ b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.17 (3.48)

**lemma** *gyr-master'*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } a \ x \circ \text{gyr } (\ominus (x \oplus a)) \ (x \oplus b) \circ \text{gyr } x \ b = \text{gyr } (\ominus a) \ b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(3.51)

**lemma** *gyr-master*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } a \ x \circ \text{gyr } (x \oplus a) (\ominus (x \oplus b)) \circ \text{gyr } x \ b = \text{gyr } (\ominus a) \ b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(3.52a)

**lemma** *gyr-master-misc1'*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } (\ominus a) \ b = \text{gyr } (\ominus (a \oplus a)) \ (a \oplus b) \circ \text{gyr } a \ b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(3.52b)

**lemma** *gyr-master-misc1''*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } (\ominus a) \ b = \text{gyr } a \ b \circ \text{gyr } (b \oplus a) (\ominus (b \oplus b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(3.53a)

**lemma** *gyr-master-misc2'*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } (\ominus a \oplus b) \ (a \oplus b) = \text{gyr } (\ominus a) \ b \circ \text{gyr } b \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(3.53b)

**lemma** *gyr-master-misc2''*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr } (\ominus a \oplus b) \ (a \oplus b) = \text{gyr } (\ominus a \oplus b) \ b \circ \text{gyr } b \ (a \oplus b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.18 (3.60)

**lemma**  $\text{gyr } a \ x \circ \text{gyr } (\ominus (\text{gyr } x \ a \ (\ a \ominus_b \ b))) \ (x \oplus b) \circ \text{gyr } x \ b = \text{gyr } a \ (\ominus b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *gyro-covariant* ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

$\text{gyro-covariant } n \ T \longleftrightarrow (\forall \tau \ xs. \ \text{length } xs = n \wedge \text{gyroaut } \tau \longrightarrow (\tau (T \ xs)) = T (\text{map } \tau \ xs)) \wedge$   
 $(\forall x \ xs. \ \text{length } xs = n \longrightarrow x \oplus T \ xs = T (\text{map } (\lambda a. \ x \oplus a) \ xs))$

**definition** *gyro-covariant-3* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

$\text{gyro-covariant-3 } T \longleftrightarrow (\forall \tau \ a \ b \ c. \ \text{gyroaut } \tau \longrightarrow (\tau (T \ a \ b \ c)) = T (\tau \ a) (\tau \ b) (\tau \ c)) \wedge$   
 $(\forall x \ a \ b \ c. \ x \oplus T \ a \ b \ c = T (x \oplus a) (x \oplus b) (x \oplus c))$

**lemma** *gyro-covariant-3*:

**shows**  $\text{gyro-covariant-3 } T \longleftrightarrow \text{gyro-covariant 3 } (\lambda xs. \ T (xs ! 0) (xs ! 1) (xs ! 2))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.19 (3.62)

**lemma** *gyro-covariant-3-parallelogram*:

**shows**  $\text{gyro-covariant-3 } (\lambda a \ b \ c. \ (b \oplus_c \ c) \ominus_b \ a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma gyro-commute-misc6':
  shows  $x \oplus ((b \oplus_c c) \ominus_b a) = ((x \oplus b) \oplus_c (x \oplus c)) \ominus_b (x \oplus a)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
(3.66)

```

```

lemma gyro-commute-misc6:
  shows  $(x \oplus b) \oplus_c (x \oplus c) = x \oplus ((b \oplus_c c) \oplus x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
(3.67)

```

```

lemma gyro-commute-misc6'':
  shows  $(x \oplus b) \oplus_c (x \ominus_b b) = x \oplus x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**end**

**type-synonym** ' $a$  rooted-gyrovec = ' $a$  × ' $a$

**context** gyrogroup  
**begin**

Def 5.2.

```

fun head :: ' $a$  rooted-gyrovec  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  where
  head ( $p, q$ ) =  $q$ 
fun tail :: ' $a$  rooted-gyrovec  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  where
  tail ( $p, q$ ) =  $p$ 
fun val :: ' $a$  rooted-gyrovec  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  where
  val ( $p, q$ ) =  $\ominus p \oplus q$ 
definition ort :: ' $a$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  rooted-gyrovec where
  ort  $p = (\theta_g, p)$ 

fun equiv-rooted-gyro-vec (infixl ~ 100) where
   $(p, q) \sim (p', q') \longleftrightarrow \ominus p \oplus q = \ominus p' \oplus q'$ 

```

```

lemma equivp-equiv-rooted-gyro-vec [simp]:
  shows equivp ( $\sim$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**end**

Def 5.4.

**quotient-type (overloaded)** ' $a$  gyrovec = ' $a$  :: gyrogroup × ' $a$  / equiv-rooted-gyro-vec  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** vec :: ' $a$ ::gyrogroup  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  gyrovec **is**  $\lambda p q. (p, q)$   $\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition ort :: ' $a$ ::gyrogroup  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  gyrovec where
  ort  $A = \text{vec } \theta_g A$ 

```

**context** gyrocommutative-gyrogroup  
**begin**

Thm 5.5. (5.4)

**lemma** equiv-rooted-gyro-vec-ex-t:

**shows**  $(p, q) \sim (p', q') \longleftrightarrow (\exists t. p' = \text{gyr } p t (t \oplus p) \wedge q' = \text{gyr } p t (t \oplus q))$   
**(is** ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 5.5. (5.5)

**lemma** gyro-translate-commute:

**assumes**  $p' = \text{gyr } p t (t \oplus p) \wedge q' = \text{gyr } p t (t \oplus q)$   
**shows**  $t = \ominus p \oplus p'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Def 5.6.

**fun** gyrovec-translation :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a$  rooted-gyrovec  $\Rightarrow 'a$  rooted-gyrovec **where**  
 $\text{gyrovec-translation } t (p, q) = (\text{gyr } p t (t \oplus p), \text{gyr } p t (t \oplus q))$   
**end**

**lift-definition** gyrovec-translation' :: (' $a$ : gyrocommutative-gyrogroup) gyrovec  $\Rightarrow$   
 $'a$  rooted-gyrovec  $\Rightarrow 'a$  rooted-gyrovec **is**  
 $\lambda (tp, tq) (p, q). \text{gyrovec-translation} (\ominus tp \oplus tq) (p, q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(5.14)

**lemma**

**shows** tail (gyrovec-translation  $t (p, q)$ ) =  $p \oplus t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(5.15)

**lemma** gyrovec-translation-id:

**shows** gyrovec-translation  $0_g (p, q) = (p, q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 5.7.

**lemma** equiv-rooted-gyrovec-t:

**shows**  $(p, q) \sim (p', q') \longleftrightarrow (p', q') = \text{gyrovec-translation} (\ominus p \oplus p') (p, q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 5.8.

**lemma** gyrovec-translation-head:

**assumes**  $(p', x) = \text{gyrovec-translation } t (p, q)$   
**shows**  $x = p' \oplus (\ominus p \oplus q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(5.24)

**context** gyrocommutative-gyrogroup

```

begin

definition gyrovec-translation-inv' :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a where
  gyrovec-translation-inv' p t = ⊕ (gyr p t t)

lemma gyrovec-translation-inv':
  shows gyrovec-translation (gyrovec-translation-inv' p t) (gyrovec-translation t (p, q)) = (p, q)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition gyrovec-translation-compose' :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a where
  gyrovec-translation-compose' p t1 t2 = t1 ⊕ gyr t1 p t2

lemma gyrovec-translation-compose':
  gyrovec-translation t2 (gyrovec-translation t1 (p, q)) =
    gyrovec-translation (gyrovec-translation-compose' p t1 t2) (p, q)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun equiv-translate (infixl  $\sim_t$  100) where
  (p1, q1)  $\sim_t$  (p2, q2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  t. gyrovec-translation t (p1, q1) = (p2, q2))

lemma equivp-equiv-translate:
  equivp ( $\sim_t$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
  (5.39)

definition vec :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a where
  vec a b = ⊕ a ⊕ b
  (5.40)

lemma vec  $\theta_g$  b = b
  ⟨proof⟩
  (5.41)

lemma
  assumes vec a b = v
  shows b = a ⊕ v
  ⟨proof⟩
  (5.42)

lemma
  (a ⊕ v) ⊕ u = a ⊕ (v ⊕ gyr v a u)
  ⟨proof⟩
  (5.43)

lemma
  assumes vec a b = v
  shows a = ⊕c v ⊕c b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma
  shows  $(\ominus a \oplus b) \oplus \text{gyr}(\ominus a) b (\ominus b \oplus c) = \ominus a \oplus c$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

definition torsion-elem::'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  torsion-elem g  $\longleftrightarrow$   $g \oplus g = \theta_g$ 

```

**end**

```

class tf-tw-group = gyrocommutative-gyrogroup +
  assumes a1: $\forall a.$  torsion-elem a  $\longrightarrow$  a =  $\theta_g$ 
  assumes a2: $\forall a.$   $\exists b.$  (b $\oplus$ b = a)
begin

```

T3.32

```

lemma unique-half:
  shows (a $\oplus$ a = c  $\wedge$  b $\oplus$ b = c)  $\longrightarrow$  a=b
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

T3.33

```

lemma unique-gyro-half:
  assumes gh $\oplus$ gh = g
    gyr-h  $\oplus$  gyr-h = gyr a b g
  shows gyr a b gh = gyr-h
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

3.102

```

lemma gh-minus:
  assumes gh $\oplus$ gh =  $\ominus g$ 
    gh2 $\oplus$ gh2 = g
  shows  $\ominus$  gh2 = gh
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

T3.34

```

lemma gyration-exclusion:
  assumes  $\exists g.$  g  $\neq \theta_g$ 
  shows  $\forall a b.$  gyr a b  $\neq \ominus \circ id$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

T3.35

```

lemma gyration-exclusion-cons:
  shows gyr a b b =  $\ominus b \longrightarrow b = \theta_g$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

T3.36

**lemma** equation-t3-36:

```

shows  $x \ominus_b (y \ominus_b x) = y \longleftrightarrow x = y$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

locale gyrogroup-isomorphism =
fixes  $\varphi :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup \Rightarrow 'b$ 
fixes  $\text{gyrozero}' :: 'b (\theta_{g1})$ 
fixes  $\text{gyroplus}' :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b (\text{infixl } \oplus_1 100)$ 
fixes  $\text{gyroinv}' :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b (\ominus_1)$ 
assumes  $\varphi\text{zero} [\text{simp}]: \varphi \theta_g = \theta_{g1}$ 
assumes  $\varphi\text{plus} [\text{simp}]: \varphi (a \oplus b) = (\varphi a) \oplus_1 (\varphi b)$ 
assumes  $\varphi\text{minus} [\text{simp}]: \varphi (\ominus a) = \ominus_1 (\varphi a)$ 
assumes  $\varphi\text{bij} [\text{simp}]: \text{bij } \varphi$ 
begin

definition  $\text{gyr}'$  where
 $\text{gyr}' a b x = \ominus_1 (a \oplus_1 b) \oplus_1 (a \oplus_1 (b \oplus_1 x))$ 

lemma  $\varphi\text{gyr} [\text{simp}]$ :
shows  $\varphi (\text{gyr } a b z) = \text{gyr}' (\varphi a) (\varphi b) (\varphi z)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale gyrogroup-isomorphism ⊆ gyrogroupoid gyrozero' gyroplus'
⟨proof⟩

sublocale gyrogroup-isomorphism ⊆ gyrocommutative-gyrogroup gyrozero' gyro-
plus' gyroinv' gyr'
⟨proof⟩

end
theory More-Real-Vector
imports Main HOL-Analysis.Inner-Product HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces
begin

lemma (in real-vector) inner-eq-1:
assumes norm a = 1 norm b = 1 inner a b = 1
shows a = b
⟨proof⟩

end
theory GyroVectorSpace
imports GyroGroup HOL-Analysis.Inner-Product HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces More-Real-Vector
begin

```

```

locale gyrocarrier' =
  fixes to-carrier :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{real-inner}
  assumes inj-to-carrier [simp]: inj to-carrier
  assumes to-carrier-zero [simp]: to-carrier  $0_g = 0$ 
begin

  definition carrier :: 'b set where
    carrier = to-carrier ` UNIV

  lemma bij-betw-to-carrier:
    shows bij-betw to-carrier UNIV carrier
    {proof}

  definition of-carrier :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
    of-carrier = inv to-carrier

  lemma bij-betw-of-carrier:
    shows bij-betw of-carrier carrier UNIV
    {proof}

  lemma inj-on-of-carrier [simp]:
    shows inj-on of-carrier carrier
    {proof}

  lemma to-carrier [simp]:
    shows  $\bigwedge b. b \in \text{carrier} \implies \text{to-carrier}(\text{of-carrier } b) = b$ 
    {proof}

  lemma of-carrier [simp]:
    shows  $\bigwedge a. \text{of-carrier}(\text{to-carrier } a) = a$ 
    {proof}

  lemma of-carrier-zero [simp]:
    shows of-carrier  $0 = 0_g$ 
    {proof}

  lemma to-carrier-zero-iff:
    assumes to-carrier  $a = 0$ 
    shows  $a = 0_g$ 
    {proof}

  definition gyronorm :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  real ( $\langle\!\rangle$  [100] 100) where
     $\langle\!\rangle a = \text{norm}(\text{to-carrier } a)$ 

  definition gyroinner :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  real (infixl  $\cdot$  100) where
     $a \cdot b = \text{inner}(\text{to-carrier } a)(\text{to-carrier } b)$ 

  lemma norm-inner:  $\langle\!\rangle a = \sqrt{a \cdot a}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma norm-zero:
  shows  $\langle\langle 0_g \rangle\rangle = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma norm-zero-iff:
  assumes  $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle = 0$ 
  shows  $a = 0_g$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition norms :: real set where
  norms = {x.  $\exists a. x = \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle \cup \{x. \exists a. x = -\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle\}$ }

lemma norm-in-norms [simp]:
  shows  $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle \in \text{norms}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minus-norm-in-norms [simp]:
  shows  $-\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle \in \text{norms}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale gyrocarrier = gyrocarrier' +
  assumes inner-gyroauto-invariant:  $\bigwedge u v a b. (\text{gyr } u v a) \cdot (\text{gyr } u v b) = a \cdot b$ 
begin

lemma norm-gyr:  $\langle\langle \text{gyr } u v a \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale pre-gyrovector-space = gyrocarrier +
  fixes scale :: real  $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  (infixl  $\otimes$  105)
  assumes scale-1:
     $\bigwedge a :: 'a.$ 
     $1 \otimes a = a$ 
  assumes scale-distrib:
     $\bigwedge (r1 :: \text{real}) (r2 :: \text{real}) (a :: 'a).$ 
     $(r1 + r2) \otimes a = r1 \otimes a \oplus r2 \otimes a$ 
  assumes scale-assoc:
     $\bigwedge (r1 :: \text{real}) (r2 :: \text{real}) (a :: 'a).$ 
     $(r1 * r2) \otimes a = r1 \otimes (r2 \otimes a)$ 
  assumes scale-prop1:
     $\bigwedge (r :: \text{real}) (a :: 'a).$ 
     $\llbracket r \neq 0; a \neq 0_g \rrbracket \implies \text{to-carrier}(|r| \otimes a) /_R \langle\langle r \otimes a \rangle\rangle = \text{to-carrier} a /_R \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$ 
  assumes gyroauto-property:
     $\bigwedge (u :: 'a) (v :: 'a) (r :: \text{real}) (a :: 'a).$ 
     $\text{gyr } u v (r \otimes a) = r \otimes (\text{gyr } u v a)$ 

```

```

assumes gyroauto-id:

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge (r1 :: \text{real}) (r2 :: \text{real}) (v :: 'a). \\ & \quad \text{gyr}(r1 \otimes v) (r2 \otimes v) = id \end{aligned}$$

begin

lemma scale-minus1:
shows  $(-1) \otimes a = \ominus a$ 
<proof>

lemma minus-norm:
shows  $\langle\ominus a\rangle = \langle a\rangle$ 
<proof>
(6.3)

lemma scale-minus:
shows  $(-r) \otimes a = \ominus(r \otimes a)$ 
<proof>

lemma scale-minus':
shows  $k \otimes (\ominus a) = \ominus(k \otimes a)$ 
<proof>

lemma zero-otimes [simp]:
shows  $0 \otimes x = 0_g$ 
<proof>

lemma times-zero [simp]:
shows  $t \otimes 0_g = 0_g$ 
<proof>

Theorem 6.4 (6.4)

lemma monodistributive:
shows  $r \otimes (r1 \otimes a \oplus r2 \otimes a) = r \otimes (r1 \otimes a) \oplus r \otimes (r2 \otimes a)$ 
<proof>

lemma times2:  $2 \otimes a = a \oplus a$ 
<proof>

lemma twosum:  $2 \otimes (a \oplus b) = a \oplus (2 \otimes b \oplus a)$ 
<proof>

definition gyrodistance :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  real ( $d_{\oplus}$ ) where

$$d_{\oplus} a b = \langle\ominus a \oplus b\rangle$$


lemma  $d_{\oplus} a b = \langle b \ominus_b a \rangle$ 
<proof>

lemma gyrodistance-metric-nonneg:
shows  $d_{\oplus} a b \geq 0$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyrodistance-metric-zero-iff*:

**shows**  $d_{\oplus} a b = 0 \longleftrightarrow a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyrodistance-metric-sym*:

**shows**  $d_{\oplus} a b = d_{\oplus} b a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *equation-solving*:

**assumes**  $x \oplus y = a \ominus x \oplus y = b$   
**shows**  $x = (1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) \wedge y = (1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) \oplus b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *double-plus*:  $(2 \otimes a) \oplus b = a \oplus (a \oplus b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *I6-33*:

**shows**  $(1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) = (-1/2) \otimes (b \ominus_{cb} a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *I6-34*:

**shows**  $(1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) \oplus b = (1/2) \otimes (b \ominus_{cb} a) \oplus a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *I6-35*:

**shows**  $gyr b a = gyr b ((1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) \oplus b) \circ (gyr ((1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) \oplus b) a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *double-half*:

**shows**  $2 \otimes ((1/2) \otimes a) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *I6-38*:

**shows**  $a \oplus (1/2) \otimes (\ominus a \oplus_c b) = (1/2) \otimes (a \oplus b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *I6-39*:

**shows**  $a \oplus (1/2) \otimes (\ominus a \oplus b) = (1/2) \otimes (a \oplus b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *I6-40*:

**shows**  $gyr ((r + s) \otimes a) b x = gyr (r \otimes a) (s \otimes a \oplus b) (gyr (s \otimes a) b x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *collinear* :: '*a* => '*a* => '*a* => *bool* **where**

*collinear*  $x\ y\ z \longleftrightarrow (y = z \vee (\exists t::real. (x = y \oplus t \otimes (\ominus y \oplus z))))$

**lemma** *collinear-aab*:

**shows** *collinear a a b*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *collinear-bab*:

**shows** *collinear b a b*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *T6-20*:

**assumes** *collinear p1 a b collinear p2 a b a ≠ b p1 ≠ p2*

**shows**  $\forall x. (\text{collinear } x\ p1\ p2 \longrightarrow \text{collinear } x\ a\ b)$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *T6-20-1*:

**assumes** *collinear p1 a b collinear p2 a b p1 ≠ p2 a ≠ b*

**shows**  $\forall x. (\text{collinear } x\ a\ b \longrightarrow \text{collinear } x\ p1\ p2)$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *collinear-sym1*:

**assumes** *collinear a b c*

**shows** *collinear b a c*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *collinear-sym2*:

**assumes** *collinear a b c*

**shows** *collinear a c b*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *collinear-transitive*:

**assumes** *collinear a b c collinear d b c b ≠ c*

**shows** *collinear a d b*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *collinear-translate'*:

**shows**  $x = u \oplus t \otimes (\ominus u \oplus v) \longleftrightarrow$

$(\ominus a \oplus x) = (\ominus a \oplus u) \oplus t \otimes (\ominus (\ominus a \oplus u) \oplus (\ominus a \oplus v))$

*{proof}*

**definition** *translate* **where**

*translate a x = ⊖ a ⊕ x*

**lemma** *collinear-translate*:

**shows** *collinear u v w ↔ collinear (translate a u) (translate a v) (translate a w)*

*{proof}*

**definition** *gyroline* :: '*a* ⇒ '*a* ⇒ '*a* **set** **where**

```

gyroline a b = {x. collinear x a b}

definition between :: 'a => 'a => 'a => bool where
  between x y z  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists t::\text{real}$ .  $0 \leq t \wedge t \leq 1 \wedge y = x \oplus t \otimes (\ominus x \oplus z)$ )

lemma between-xxy [simp]:
  shows between x x y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma between-xyy [simp]:
  shows between x y y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma between-xyx:
  assumes between x y x
  shows y = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma between-translate:
  shows between u v w  $\longleftrightarrow$  between (translate a u) (translate a v) (translate a w)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition distance where
  distance u v = ⟨ $\ominus u \oplus v$ ⟩

lemma distance-translate:
  shows distance u v = distance (translate a u) (translate a v)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale gyrocarrier-norms-embed' = gyrocarrier' to-carrier
  for to-carrier :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
+
  assumes norms-carrier: of-real ` norms  $\subseteq$  carrier
begin

  definition of-real' :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
    of-real' = of-carrier  $\circ$  of-real

  definition reals :: 'a set where
    reals = of-carrier ` of-real ` norms

  lemma bij-reals-norms:
    shows bij-betw of-real' norms reals
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma inj-on-of-real':
    shows inj-on of-real' norms

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *to-real* ::  $'b \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
*to-real* = *the-inv-into norms of-real*

**lemma** *to-real* [*simp*]:  
**assumes**  $x \in norms$   
**shows** *to-real* (*of-real*  $x$ ) =  $x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *of-real* [*simp*]:  
**assumes**  $x \in of-real`norms$   
**shows** *of-real* (*to-real*  $x$ ) =  $x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *to-real'* ::  $'a \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
*to-real'* = *to-real*  $\circ$  *to-carrier*

**lemma** *bij-betw-to-real'*:  
*bij-betw* *to-real'* *reals norms*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *to-real'* [*simp*]:  
**assumes**  $x \in norms$   
**shows** *to-real'* (*of-real'*  $x$ ) =  $x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *of-real'* [*simp*]:  
**assumes**  $x \in reals$   
**shows** *of-real'* (*to-real'*  $x$ ) =  $x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *to-real'-norm* [*simp*]:  
**shows** *to-real'* (*of-real'* ( $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$ )) = ( $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyronorm-of-real'*:  
**assumes**  $x \in norms$   
**shows**  $\langle\langle of-real' x \rangle\rangle = abs x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyronorm-abs-to-real'*:  
**assumes**  $x \in reals$   
**shows**  $abs (to-real' x) = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *oplusR* ::  $real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real$  (**infixl**  $\oplus_R 100$ ) **where**  
 $a \oplus_R b = to-real' (of-real' a \oplus of-real' b)$

```

definition oinvR :: real ⇒ real ( $\ominus_R$ ) where
   $\ominus_R a = \text{to-real}' (\ominus (\text{of-real}' a))$ 

end

locale gyrocarrier-norms-embed = gyrocarrier-norms-embed' +
  fixes scale :: real ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (infixl  $\otimes$  105)
  assumes oplus-reals:  $\bigwedge a b. [\![a \in \text{reals}; b \in \text{reals}]\!] \Rightarrow a \oplus b \in \text{reals}$ 
  assumes oinv-reals:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{reals} \Rightarrow \ominus a \in \text{reals}$ 
  assumes otimes-reals:  $\bigwedge a r. a \in \text{reals} \Rightarrow r \otimes a \in \text{reals}$ 
begin

definition otimesR :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real (infixl  $\otimes_R$  100) where
   $r \otimes_R a = \text{to-real}' (r \otimes (\text{of-real}' a))$ 

lemma oplusR-norms:
  shows  $\bigwedge a b. [\![a \in \text{norms}; b \in \text{norms}]\!] \Rightarrow a \oplus_R b \in \text{norms}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oinvR-norms:
  shows  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{norms} \Rightarrow \ominus_R a \in \text{norms}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma otimesR-norms:
  shows  $\bigwedge a r. a \in \text{norms} \Rightarrow r \otimes_R a \in \text{norms}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma of-real'-oplusR [simp]:
  shows  $\text{of-real}' (\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle \oplus_R \langle\!\langle b \rangle\!\rangle) = (\text{of-real}' (\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle) \oplus \text{of-real}' (\langle\!\langle b \rangle\!\rangle))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma of-real'-otimesR [simp]:
  shows  $\text{of-real}' (r \otimes_R \langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle) = r \otimes (\text{of-real}' (\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma of-real'-oinvR [simp]:
  shows  $\text{of-real}' (\ominus_R \langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle) = \ominus (\text{of-real}' (\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale gyrovector-space-norms-embed =
  gyrocarrier to-carrier +
  gyrocarrier-norms-embed to-carrier +
  pre-gyrovector-space to-carrier
  for to-carrier :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup ⇒ 'b:{real_inner, real_normed_algebra_1}
+
  assumes homogeneity:
     $\bigwedge (r :: \text{real}) (a :: 'a).$ 

```

```

 $\langle\langle r \otimes a \rangle\rangle = |r| \otimes_R (\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle)$ 
assumes gyrotriangle:
 $\wedge (a :: 'a) (b :: 'a).$ 
 $\langle\langle a \oplus b \rangle\rangle \leq (\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle) \oplus_R (\langle\langle b \rangle\rangle)$ 
begin

lemma gyrodistance-gyrotriangle:
shows  $d_{\oplus} a c \leq d_{\oplus} a b \oplus_R d_{\oplus} b c$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory VectorSpace
imports Main HOL.Real HOL-Types-To-Sets.Linear-Algebra-On-With

begin

locale vector-space-with-domain =
fixes dom :: 'a set
and add :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
and zero :: 'a
and smult :: real ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
assumes add-closed:  $[x \in \text{dom}; y \in \text{dom}] \implies \text{add } x y \in \text{dom}$ 
and zero-in-dom: zero ∈ dom
and add-assoc:  $[x \in \text{dom}; y \in \text{dom}; z \in \text{dom}] \implies \text{add } (\text{add } x y) z = \text{add } x (\text{add } y z)$ 
and add-comm:  $[x \in \text{dom}; y \in \text{dom}] \implies \text{add } x y = \text{add } y x$ 
and add-zero:  $[x \in \text{dom}] \implies \text{add } x \text{ zero} = x$ 
and add-inv:  $x \in \text{dom} \implies \exists y \in \text{dom}. \text{add } x y = \text{zero}$ 
and smult-closed:  $[x \in \text{dom}] \implies \text{smult } a x \in \text{dom}$ 
and smult-distr-sadd:  $[x \in \text{dom}] \implies \text{smult } (a + b) x = \text{add } (\text{smult } a x) (\text{smult } b x)$ 
and smult-assoc:  $[x \in \text{dom}] \implies \text{smult } a (\text{smult } b x) = \text{smult } (a * b) x$ 
and smult-one:  $[x \in \text{dom}] \implies \text{smult } 1 x = x$ 
and smult-distr-sadd2:  $[x \in \text{dom}; y \in \text{dom}] \implies \text{smult } a (\text{add } x y) = \text{add } (\text{smult } a x) (\text{smult } a y)$ 

begin

lemma inv-unique:
assumes  $a \in \text{dom}$   $z1 \in \text{dom}$   $z2 \in \text{dom}$ 
 $\text{add } a z1 = \text{zero}$ 
 $\text{add } a z2 = \text{zero}$ 
shows  $z1 = z2$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition inv::'a⇒'a where

```

```

 $inv a = (\text{if } a \in \text{dom} \text{ then } (\text{THE } z. (z \in \text{dom} \wedge \text{add } a z = \text{zero})) \text{ else undefined})$ 

definition  $\text{minus}::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  where
 $\text{minus } a b = (\text{if } a \in \text{dom} \wedge b \in \text{dom} \text{ then add } a (\text{inv } b) \text{ else undefined})$ 

lemma module-on-with-is-this:
shows module-on-with dom add minus inv zero smult
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma vector-space-on-with-is-this:
shows vector-space-on-with dom add minus inv zero smult
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end
theory Abe
imports GyroGroup HOL-Analysis.Inner-Product HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces VectorSpace
begin

locale one-dim-vector-space-with-domain =
vector-space-with-domain +
assumes  $\forall y. \forall x. (y \in \text{dom} \wedge x \in \text{dom} \wedge x \neq \text{zero} \longrightarrow (\exists !r::\text{real}. y = \text{smult } r x))$ 

locale GGV =
fixes  $fi :: 'a :: \text{gyrocommutative-gyrogroup} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{real-inner}$ 
fixes scale :: real  $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
fixes plus' :: real  $\Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
fixes smult' :: real  $\Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 

assumes inj fi
assumes norm (fi (gyr u v a)) = norm (fi a)
assumes scale 1 a = a
assumes scale (r1+r2) a = (scale r1 a)  $\oplus$  (scale r2 a)
assumes scale (r1*r2) a = scale r1 (scale r2 a)
assumes ( $a \neq \text{gyrozero} \wedge r \neq 0$ )  $\longrightarrow$  (fi (scale |r| a)) / R (norm (fi (scale r a))) = (fi a) / R (norm (fi a))
assumes gyr u v (scale r a) = scale r (gyr u v a)
assumes gyr (scale r1 v) (scale r2 v) = id
assumes vector-space-with-domain {x.  $\exists a. x = \text{norm } (fi a) \vee x = -\text{norm } (fi a)$ } plus' 0 smult'
assumes norm (fi (scale r a)) = smult' |r| (norm (fi a))
assumes norm (fi (a  $\oplus$  b)) = plus' (norm (fi a)) (norm (fi b))

begin

```

```

end

class gyrolinear-space =
  gyrocommutative-gyrogroup +
  fixes scale :: real ⇒ 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup ⇒ 'a (infixl ⊗ 105)
  assumes scale-1: ∧ a :: 'a. 1 ⊗ a = a
  assumes scale-distrib: ∧ (r1 :: real) (r2 :: real) (a :: 'a). (r1 + r2) ⊗ a = r1
  ⊗ a ⊕ r2 ⊗ a
  assumes scale-assoc: ∧ (r1 :: real) (r2 :: real) (a :: 'a). (r1 * r2) ⊗ a = r1 ⊗
  (r2 ⊗ a)
  assumes gyroauto-property: ∧ (u :: 'a) (v :: 'a) (r :: real) (a :: 'a). gyr u v (r ⊗
  a) = r ⊗ (gyr u v a)
  assumes gyroauto-id: ∧ (r1 :: real) (r2 :: real) (v :: 'a). gyr (r1 ⊗ v) (r2 ⊗ v)
  = id

begin

end

locale normed-gyrolinear-space =
  fixes norm'::'a::gyrolinear-space ⇒ real
  fixes f::real ⇒ real
  assumes ∀ a::'a. (norm' a ≥ 0)
  assumes ∀ y::real. (y ∈ (norm' ` UNIV) → (f y) ≥ 0)
  assumes bij-betw f (norm' ` UNIV) {x::real. x≥0}
  assumes ∀ y::real. ∀ z::real. ((y ∈ norm' ` UNIV ∧
  z ∈ norm' ` UNIV ∧ y>z) → (f y) > (f z))

  assumes ∀ x::'a. ∀ y::'a. f(norm' (gyroplus x y)) ≤ (f (norm' x)) + (f (norm'
  y))
  assumes f (norm' (scale r x)) = |r| * (f (norm' x))
  assumes norm' (gyr u v x) = norm' x
  assumes ∀ x::'a. ((norm' x) = 0 ↔ x = gyrozero)

begin

definition norms::real set where
  norms = norm' ` UNIV

definition norms-neg::real set where
  norms-neg = (λx. -1 * norm' x) ` UNIV

definition norms-all::real set where
  norms-all = norms ∪ norms-neg

lemma norms-neq-not-empty:
  shows norms-neg ≠ {}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma zero-only-norms-norms-neg:
  assumes  $x \in \text{norms}$   $x \in \text{norms-neg}$ 
  shows  $x = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma a1-a2:
  shows  $\exists f' :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}. ((\forall x :: \text{real}. \forall y :: \text{real}. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge y \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x > y) \longrightarrow (f' x) > (f' y)) \wedge (f' 0) = 0 \wedge \text{bij-betw } f' \text{ norms-all } \text{UNIV})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale normed-gyrolinear-space' =
  fixes norm' :: 'a :: gyrolinear-space  $\Rightarrow$  real
  fixes f' :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real
  assumes  $\forall a :: 'a. (\text{norm}' a \geq 0)$ 
  assumes bij-betw f' ((norm' ` UNIV)  $\cup$  (( $\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x$ ) ` UNIV)) UNIV
  assumes  $\forall y :: \text{real}. \forall z :: \text{real}. ((y \in ((\text{norm}' ` \text{UNIV}) \cup ((\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x) ` \text{UNIV})) \wedge z \in ((\text{norm}' ` \text{UNIV}) \cup ((\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x) ` \text{UNIV})) \wedge y > z) \longrightarrow (f' y) > (f' z)$ 
  assumes  $f' 0 = 0$ 
  assumes  $\forall x :: 'a. \forall y :: 'a. f'(\text{norm}' (\text{gyroplus } x \ y)) \leq (f' (\text{norm}' x)) + (f' (\text{norm}' y))$ 
  assumes  $f' (\text{norm}' (\text{scale } r \ x)) = |r| * (f' (\text{norm}' x))$ 
  assumes  $\text{norm}' (\text{gyr } u \ v \ x) = \text{norm}' x$ 
  assumes  $\forall x :: 'a. ((\text{norm}' x) = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = \text{gyrozero})$ 
begin

definition norms :: real set where
  norms = norm' ` UNIV

definition norms-neg :: real set where
  norms-neg = ( $\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x$ ) ` UNIV

definition norms-all :: real set where
  norms-all = norms  $\cup$  norms-neg

lemma norms-neq-not-empty:
  shows norms-neg  $\neq \{\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma zero-only-norms-norms-neg:
  assumes  $x \in \text{norms}$   $x \in \text{norms-neg}$ 
  shows  $x = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

definition norm-oplus-f::real ⇒ real ⇒ real (infixl ⊕f 105)
  where a ⊕f b = (if (a ∈ norms-all ∧ b ∈ norms-all) then (inv-into norms-all f')
    ((f' a) + (f' b))
  else undefined)

definition norm-otimes-f::real ⇒ real ⇒ real (infixl ⊗f 105)
  where r ⊗f a = (if (a ∈ norms-all) then (inv-into norms-all f') (r * (f' a))
  else undefined)

lemma vector-space-of-norms:
  shows vector-space-with-domain norms-all norm-oplus-f 0 norm-otimes-f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma r2:
  shows norm' (x ⊕ y) ≤ (norm' x) ⊕f (norm' y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma r3:
  shows norm' (r ⊗ x) = |r| ⊗f (norm' x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma one-dim-vs:
  shows one-dim-vector-space-with-domain norms-all norm-oplus-f 0 norm-otimes-f
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale normed-gyrolinear-space'' =
  fixes norm'::'a::gyrolinear-space ⇒ real
  fixes oplus'::real ⇒ real ⇒ real
  fixes otimes'::real⇒real ⇒ real
  assumes ∀ a::'a. (norm' a ≥ 0)
  assumes ax-space: one-dim-vector-space-with-domain ((norm' ` UNIV) ∪ ((λx.
  - 1 * norm' x) ` UNIV))
  assumes oplus' 0 otimes'
  assumes ax3: ∀ x::'a. ∀ y::'a. (norm' (gyroplus x y)) ≤ oplus' (norm' x) (norm' y)
  assumes (norm' (scale r x)) = otimes' |r| (norm' x)
  assumes norm' (gyr u v x) = norm' x
  assumes ∀ x::'a. ((norm' x) = 0 ←→ x = gyrozero)
begin

definition norms::real set where
  norms = norm' ` UNIV

```

```

definition norms-neg::real set where
  norms-neg = ( $\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x$ ) ` UNIV

definition norms-all::real set where
  norms-all = norms  $\cup$  norms-neg

lemma norms-neq-not-empty:
  shows norms-neg  $\neq \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-only-norms-norms-neg:
  assumes  $x \in \text{norms}$   $x \in \text{norms-neg}$ 
  shows  $x=0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-trivial-domen-has-pos:
  assumes  $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x \neq 0)$ 
  shows  $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms} \wedge x \neq 0)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-with-real:
  assumes  $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x \neq 0)$ 
  shows  $\exists g. (\text{bij-betw } g \text{ norms-all UNIV} \wedge (g 0) = 0 \wedge$ 
     $(\forall u. \forall v. (u \in \text{norms-all} \wedge v \in \text{norms-all} \longrightarrow g (\text{oplus}' u v) = (g u) + (g v)))$ 
     $\wedge (\forall u. \forall r::\text{real}. (u \in \text{norms-all} \longrightarrow g (\text{otimes}' r u) = r*(g u))))$ 
  )
  ⟨proof⟩

definition g-iso::(real $\Rightarrow$ real) $\Rightarrow$ bool where
  g-iso  $g \longleftrightarrow (\text{bij-betw } g \text{ norms-all UNIV} \wedge (g 0) = 0 \wedge$ 
     $(\forall u. \forall v. (u \in \text{norms-all} \wedge v \in \text{norms-all} \longrightarrow g (\text{oplus}' u v) = (g u) + (g v)))$ 
     $\wedge (\forall u. \forall r::\text{real}. (u \in \text{norms-all} \longrightarrow g (\text{otimes}' r u) = r*(g u))))$ 

lemma iso-neg-with-real:
  assumes  $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x \neq 0)$ 
  shows g-iso  $g \longrightarrow \text{g-iso} (\lambda x. -1 * (g x))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-with-real-positive-on-norms:
  assumes  $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x \neq 0)$ 
  shows  $\exists g. (g\text{-iso } g \wedge (\forall x. (x \in \text{norms} \longrightarrow (g x) \geq 0)))$ 
   $\wedge \text{bij-betw } (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{norms} \text{ then } (g x) \text{ else undefined}) \text{ norms } \{r::\text{real}. r \geq 0\})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** comparing-norms-help:

```

assumes  $x \in norms$   $y \in norms\text{-}all$ 
 $x \leq y$ 
shows  $y \in norms$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma existence-of-f:
assumes  $\exists x. (x \in norms\text{-}all \wedge x \neq 0)$ 
shows  $\exists f. (\text{bij}\text{-}betw } f \text{ norms } \{x:\text{real}. x \geq 0\}$ 
 $\wedge (\forall y:\text{real}. \forall z:\text{real}. ((y \in norms \wedge$ 
 $z \in norms \wedge y > z) \rightarrow (f y) > (f z)))$ 
 $\wedge (\forall x. \forall y. f(\text{norm}'(x \oplus y)) \leq (f(\text{norm}' x)) + (f(\text{norm}' y)))$ 
 $\wedge (\forall r:\text{real}. (\forall x. (f(\text{norm}'(r \otimes x)) = |r| * (f(\text{norm}' x))))))$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory GyroVectorSpaceIsomorphism
imports GyroVectorSpace
begin

locale gyrocarrier-isomorphism' =
  gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-carrier +
  gyrocarrier to-carrier +
  G: gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-carrier'
for to-carrier :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
and
  to-carrier' :: 'c::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup  $\Rightarrow$  'd::{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
+
fixes  $\varphi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c$ 
begin

definition  $\varphi_R :: real \Rightarrow real$  where
 $\varphi_R x = G.\text{to-real}'(\varphi(\text{of-real}' x))$ 

end

locale gyrocarrier-isomorphism = gyrocarrier-isomorphism' +
assumes  $\varphi_{bij}$  [simp]:
  bij  $\varphi$ 
assumes  $\varphi_{plus}$  [simp]:
   $\wedge u v :: 'a. \varphi(u \oplus v) = \varphi u \oplus \varphi v$ 
assumes  $\varphi_{inner-unit}$ :
   $\wedge u v :: 'a. \llbracket u \neq 0_g; v \neq 0_g \rrbracket \implies$ 
    inner(to-carrier'( $\varphi u$ ) /R G.gyronorm( $\varphi u$ )) (to-carrier'( $\varphi v$ ))

```

```

/R G.gyronorm ( $\varphi$  v)) =
  inner (to-carrier u /R gyronorm u) (to-carrier v /R gyronorm v)
assumes  $\varphi_R$ gyronorm [simp]:
   $\wedge a. \varphi_R (\text{gyronorm } a) = G.\text{gyronorm} (\varphi a)$ 
begin

lemma  $\varphi_{\text{inv}}\varphi$  [simp]:
  shows  $\varphi (\text{inv } \varphi a) = a$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{inv}\varphi\varphi$  [simp]:
  shows  $(\text{inv } \varphi) (\varphi a) = a$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\varphi_{\text{zero}}$  [simp]:
  shows  $\varphi 0_g = 0_g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\varphi_{\text{minus}}$  [simp]:
  shows  $\varphi (\ominus a) = \ominus (\varphi a)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{inv}\varphi_{\text{plus}}$ [simp]:
  shows  $(\text{inv } \varphi)(a \oplus b) = \text{inv } \varphi a \oplus \text{inv } \varphi b$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\varphi_{\text{gyr}}$  [simp]:
  shows  $\varphi (\text{gyr } u v a) = \text{gyr } (\varphi u) (\varphi v) (\varphi a)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{inv}\varphi_{\text{gyr}}$  [simp]:
  shows  $(\text{inv } \varphi) (\text{gyr } u v a) = \text{gyr } (\text{inv } \varphi u) (\text{inv } \varphi v) (\text{inv } \varphi a)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\varphi_{\text{inner}}$ :
  assumes  $u \neq 0_g v \neq 0_g$ 
  shows  $G.\text{gyroinner} (\varphi u) (\varphi v) =$ 
     $(G.\text{gyronorm} (\varphi u) / \text{gyronorm } u) *_R (G.\text{gyronorm} (\varphi v) / \text{gyronorm } v)$ 
   $*_R \text{gyroinner } u v$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{gyronorm}'\text{gyr}$ :
  shows  $G.\text{gyronorm} (\text{gyr } u v a) = G.\text{gyronorm } a$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale gyrocarrier-isomorphism ⊆ gyrocarrier to-carrier'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism' =
  pre-gyrovector-space to-carrier scale +
  gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-carrier +
  GC: gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-carrier'
  for to-carrier :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
  and
    to-carrier' :: 'c::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup  $\Rightarrow$  'd::{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
  and
    scale :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a and
    scale' :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  'c +
  fixes  $\varphi$  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'c

sublocale pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism'  $\subseteq$  gyrocarrier-isomorphism'
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

locale pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism =
  pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism' +
  gyrocarrier-isomorphism +
  assumes  $\varphi$ scale [simp]:
     $\bigwedge r :: \text{real}. \bigwedge u :: 'a. \varphi(\text{scale } r u) = \text{scale}' r (\varphi u)$ 
  begin

    lemma scale'-1:
      shows scale' 1 a = a
       $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

    lemma scale'-distrib:
      shows scale' (r1 + r2) a = scale' r1 a  $\oplus$  scale' r2 a
       $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

    lemma scale'-assoc:
      shows scale' (r1 * r2) a = scale' r1 (scale' r2 a)
       $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

    lemma scale'-gyroauto-id:
      shows gyr (scale' r1 v) (scale' r2 v) = id
       $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

    lemma scale'-gyroauto-property:
      shows gyr u v (scale' r a) = scale' r (gyr u v a)
       $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

  end

locale gyrovector-space-isomorphism' =
  pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism +
  gyrovector-space-norms-embed scale +
  GC: gyrocarrier-norms-embed to-carrier' scale' +

```

```

assumes  $\varphi \text{reals}:$ 
 $\varphi \text{'reals} = GC.\text{reals}$ 
begin

lemma  $\varphi_R \text{norms}:$ 
assumes  $a \in \text{norms}$ 
shows  $\varphi_R a \in GC.\text{norms}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{\text{of-real}}'[\text{simp}]:$ 
assumes  $a \in \text{norms}$ 
shows  $\varphi (\text{of-real}' a) = GC.\text{of-real}' (\varphi_R a)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{\text{gyronorm}} [\text{simp}]:$ 
shows  $\varphi (\text{of-real}' (\text{gyronorm } a)) = GC.\text{of-real}' (GC.\text{gyronorm} (\varphi a))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{Rplus} [\text{simp}]:$ 
assumes  $a \in \text{norms} b \in \text{norms}$ 
shows  $\varphi_R (a \oplus_R b) = GC.\text{oplusR} (\varphi_R a) (\varphi_R b)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{Rplus}' [\text{simp}]:$ 
 $\varphi_R ((\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle \oplus_R \langle\!\langle b \rangle\!\rangle)) = GC.\text{oplusR} (\varphi_R (\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle)) (\varphi_R (\langle\!\langle b \rangle\!\rangle))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{Rtimes} [\text{simp}]:$ 
assumes  $a \in \text{norms}$ 
shows  $\varphi_R (r \otimes_R a) = GC.\text{otimesR} r (\varphi_R a)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{Rtimes}' [\text{simp}]:$ 
shows  $\varphi_R (r \otimes_R (\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle)) = GC.\text{otimesR} r (\varphi_R (\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{Rinv} [\text{simp}]:$ 
assumes  $a \in \text{norms}$ 
shows  $\varphi_R (\ominus_R a) = GC.\text{oinvR} (\varphi_R a)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{Rinv}' [\text{simp}]:$ 
 $\varphi_R (\ominus_R (\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle)) = GC.\text{oinvR} (\varphi_R (\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{scale}'\text{-prop1}':$ 
assumes  $u \neq 0_g r \neq 0$ 
shows  $\text{to-carrier}' (\varphi (\text{scale} |r| u)) /_R GC.\text{gyronorm} (\varphi (\text{scale} |r| u)) =$ 

```

```

  (to-carrier' ( $\varphi$  u) /R GC.gyronorm ( $\varphi$  u)) (is ?a = ?b)
⟨proof⟩

lemma scale'-prop1:
  assumes a ≠ 0g r ≠ 0
  shows to-carrier' (scale' |r| a) /R GC.gyronorm (scale' r a) = to-carrier' a /R
GC.gyronorm a
⟨proof⟩

lemma scale'-homogeneity:
  shows GC.gyronorm (scale' r a) = GC.otimesR |r| (GC.gyronorm a)
⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale gyrovector-space-isomorphism' ⊆ GV: pre-gyrovector-space to-carrier'
scale'
⟨proof⟩

locale gyrovector-space-isomorphism =
gyrovector-space-isomorphism' +
assumes φRmono:
   $\wedge a b. [a \in norms; b \in norms; 0 \leq a; a \leq b] \implies \varphi_R a \leq \varphi_R b$ 
begin

lemma scale'-triangle:
  shows GC.gyronorm (a ⊕ b) ≤ GC.oplusR (GC.gyronorm a) (GC.gyronorm b)
⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale gyrovector-space-isomorphism ⊆ gyrovector-space-norms-embed scale' to-carrier'
⟨proof⟩

locale gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed' = gyrovector-space-norms-embed scale
to-carrier +
GC: gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-carrier'
for to-carrier :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup ⇒ 'b:{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
and
  to-carrier' :: 'c::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup ⇒ 'd:{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
and
  scale :: real ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a +
fixes scale' :: real ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'c
fixes φ :: 'a ⇒ 'c
begin

definition φR :: real ⇒ real where

```

```

 $\varphi_R x = GC.\text{to-real}' (\varphi (\text{of-real}' x))$ 

end

locale gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed = gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed'
+
assumes  $\varphi\text{bij}$ :
   $\text{bij } \varphi$ 
assumes  $\varphi\text{plus}$  [simp]:
   $\bigwedge u v :: 'a. \varphi(u \oplus v) = \varphi u \oplus \varphi v$ 
assumes  $\varphi\text{scale}$  [simp]:
   $\bigwedge r :: \text{real}. \bigwedge u :: 'a. \varphi(\text{scale } r u) = \text{scale}' r (\varphi u)$ 
assumes  $\varphi\text{reals}$ :
   $\varphi \text{ 'reals} = GC.\text{reals}$ 
assumes  $\varphi_R\text{gyronorm}$  [simp]:
   $\bigwedge a. \varphi_R(\text{gyronorm } a) = GC.\text{gyronorm} (\varphi a)$ 
assumes  $GC.\text{oinvRminus}$ :
   $\bigwedge a. a \in GC.\text{norms} \implies GC.\text{oinvR } a = -a$ 
begin

lemma  $\varphi\text{inv}\varphi$  [simp]:
  shows  $\varphi(\text{inv } \varphi a) = a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi\text{zero}$  [simp]:
  shows  $\varphi 0_g = 0_g$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi\text{minus}$  [simp]:
  shows  $\varphi(\ominus a) = \ominus(\varphi a)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi\text{gyronorm}$  [simp]:
  shows  $\varphi(\text{of-real}'(\text{gyronorm } a)) = GC.\text{of-real}'(GC.\text{gyronorm} (\varphi a))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_R\text{inv}'$  [simp]:
   $\varphi_R(\ominus_R(\langle\!\langle a\rangle\!\rangle)) = GC.\text{oinvR}(\varphi_R(\langle\!\langle a\rangle\!\rangle))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

sublocale gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed  $\subseteq GV': \text{gyrocarrier-norms-embed}$ 
   $\text{to-carrier}' \text{scale}'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end
theory MoreComplex
imports Complex-Main HOL-Analysis.Inner-Product
begin

```

```

lemma real-compex-cmod:
  fixes r::real
  shows cmod(r * z) = abs r * cmod z
  {proof}

lemma cnj-closed-for-unit-disc:
  assumes cmod z1 < 1
  shows cmod (cnj z1) < 1
  {proof}

lemma mult-closed-for-unit-disc:
  assumes cmod z1 < 1 cmod z2 < 1
  shows cmod (z1*z2) < 1
  {proof}

lemma cnj-cmod:
  shows z1 * cnj z1 = (cmod z1)^2
  {proof}

lemma cnj-cmod-1:
  assumes cmod z1 = 1
  shows z1 * cnj z1 = 1
  {proof}

lemma den-not-zero:
  assumes cmod a < 1 cmod b < 1
  shows 1 + cnj a * b ≠ 0
  {proof}

lemma cmod-mix-cnj:
  assumes cmod u < 1 cmod v < 1
  shows cmod ((1 + u*cnj v) / (1 + v*cnj u)) = 1
  {proof}

lemma cnj-mix-ex-real-k:
  assumes v ≠ 0
  shows x * cnj v = v * cnj x  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  (k::real). x = k * v)
  {proof}

lemma two-inner-cnj:
  shows 2 * inner u v = cnj u * v + cnj v * u
  {proof}

abbreviation cor ≡ complex-of-real

lemma abs-inner-lt-1:
  assumes norm u < 1 norm v < 1
  shows abs (inner u v) < 1

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma inner-lt-1:
  assumes norm u < 1 norm v < 1
  shows inner u v < 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inner-def1:
  shows inner z1 z2 = (z1 * cnj z2 + z2 * cnj z1) / 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inner-def2:
  shows inner z1 z2 = Re (cnj z1 * z2)
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory GammaFactor
  imports Complex-Main MoreComplex
begin

definition gamma-factor :: 'a::real-inner ⇒ real (γ) where
  γ u = (if norm u < 1 then
            1 / sqrt (1 - (norm u)2)
          else
            0)

lemma gamma-factor-nonzero:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows 1 / sqrt (1 - (norm u)2) ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-factor-increasing:
  fixes t1 t2 ::real
  assumes 0 ≤ t2 t2 < t1 t1 < 1
  shows γ t2 < γ t1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-factor-increase-reverse:
  fixes t1 t2 :: real
  assumes t1 ≥ 0 t1 < 1 t2 ≥ 0 t2 < 1
  assumes γ t1 > γ t2
  shows t1 > t2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-factor-u-normu:
  fixes u :: real
  assumes 0 ≤ u u ≤ 1
  shows γ u = γ (norm u)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-factor-positive:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows γ u > 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-square-gamma-factor:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows (norm u) ^ 2 = 1 - 1 / (γ u) ^ 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-square-gamma-factor':
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows (norm u) ^ 2 = ((γ u) ^ 2 - 1) / (γ u) ^ 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-factor-square-norm:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows (γ u) ^ 2 = 1 / (1 - (norm u) ^ 2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-expression-eq-one-1:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows 1 / γ u + (γ u * (norm u) ^ 2) / (1 + γ u) = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-expression-eq-one-2:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows ((γ u) ^ 2 * (norm u) ^ 2) / (1 + γ u) ^ 2 + (2 * γ u) / (γ u * (1 + γ u))
  = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory PoincareDisc
  imports Complex-Main HOL-Analysis.Inner-Product GammaFactor
begin

typedef PoincareDisc = {z::complex. cmod z < 1}
  morphisms to-complex of-complex
  ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-PoincareDisc

lemma poincare-disc-two-elems:
  shows ∃ z1 z2::PoincareDisc. z1 ≠ z2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition inner-p :: PoincareDisc  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDisc  $\Rightarrow$  real (infixl · 100) is
inner  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition norm-p :: PoincareDisc  $\Rightarrow$  real ( $\langle\!\rangle$  [100] 101) is norm  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma norm-lt-one:
shows  $\langle\!\rangle u < 1$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma norm-geq-zero:
shows  $\langle\!\rangle u \geq 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma square-norm-inner:
shows  $(\langle\!\rangle u)^2 = u \cdot u$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition gamma-factor-p :: PoincareDisc  $\Rightarrow$  real ( $\gamma_p$ ) is gamma-factor
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gamma-factor-p-nonzero [simp]:
shows  $\gamma_p u \neq 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gamma-factor-p-positive [simp]:
shows  $\gamma_p u > 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma norm-square-gamma-factor-p:
shows  $(\langle\!\rangle u)^2 = 1 - 1 / (\gamma_p u)^2$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma norm-square-gamma-factor-p':
shows  $(\langle\!\rangle u)^2 = ((\gamma_p u)^2 - 1) / (\gamma_p u)^2$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gamma-factor-p-square-norm:
shows  $(\gamma_p u)^2 = 1 / (1 - (\langle\!\rangle u)^2)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
theory MobiussGyroGroup
imports Complex-Main HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces HOL.Transcendental MoreComplex
GyroGroup PoincareDisc
begin

definition ozero-m' :: complex where

```

$ozero-m' = 0$

**lift-definition**  $ozero-m :: PoincareDisc (\theta_m)$  **is**  $ozero-m'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $to-complex-0$  [*simp*]:  
  **shows**  $to-complex \theta_m = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $to-complex-0\text{-}iff$  [*iff*]:  
  **shows**  $to-complex x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = \theta_m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $oplus-m' :: complex \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex$  **where**  
 $oplus-m' a z = (a + z) / (1 + (cnj a) * z)$

**lemma**  $oplus-m'\text{-}in-disc$ :  
  **assumes**  $cmod c1 < 1$   $cmod c2 < 1$   
  **shows**  $cmod (oplus-m' c1 c2) < 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition**  $oplus-m :: PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc$  (**infixl**  
 $\oplus_m 100$ ) **is**  $oplus-m'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $ominus-m' :: complex \Rightarrow complex$  **where**  
 $ominus-m' z = -z$

**lemma**  $ominus-m'\text{-}in-disc$ :  
  **assumes**  $cmod z < 1$   
  **shows**  $cmod (ominus-m' z) < 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition**  $ominus-m :: PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc$  ( $\ominus_m$ ) **is**  $ominus-m'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $m\text{-}left\text{-}id$ :  
  **shows**  $\theta_m \oplus_m a = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $m\text{-}left\text{-}inv$ :  
  **shows**  $\ominus_m a \oplus_m a = \theta_m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $gyr-m' :: complex \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex$  **where**  
 $gyr-m' a b z = ((1 + a * cnj b) / (1 + cnj a * b)) * z$

**lift-definition**  $gyr_m :: PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc \Rightarrow Poincar-eDisc$  **is**  $gyr-m'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-m-commute*:

$$a \oplus_m b = \text{gyr}_m a b (b \oplus_m a)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-m-left-assoc*:

$$a \oplus_m (b \oplus_m z) = (a \oplus_m b) \oplus_m \text{gyr}_m a b z$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-m-inv*:

$$\text{gyr}_m a b (\text{gyr}_m b a z) = z$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-m-bij*:

**shows** *bij* ( $\text{gyr}_m a b$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-m-not-degenerate*:

**shows**  $\exists z1 z2. \text{gyr}_m a b z1 \neq \text{gyr}_m a b z2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-m-left-loop*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr}_m a b = \text{gyr}_m (a \oplus_m b) b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-m-distrib*:

**shows**  $\text{gyr}_m a b (a' \oplus_m b') = \text{gyr}_m a b a' \oplus_m \text{gyr}_m a b b'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *Mobius-gyrogroup*: gyrogroup ozero-m oplus-m ominus-m  $\text{gyr}_m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *Mobius-gyrocommutative-gyrogroup*: gyrocommutative-gyrogroup ozero-m oplus-m ominus-m  $\text{gyr}_m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**instantiation** *PoincareDisc* :: gyrogroupoid

**begin**

**definition** *gyrozero-PoincareDisc* **where**

*gyrozero-PoincareDisc* = ozero-m

**definition** *gyroplus-PoincareDisc* **where**

*gyroplus-PoincareDisc* = oplus-m

**instance**  $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**instantiation** *PoincareDisc* :: gyrogroup

**begin**

**definition** *gyroinv-PoincareDisc* **where**

```

gyroinv-PoincareDisc = ominus-m
definition gyr-PoincareDisc where
  gyr-PoincareDisc = gyrm
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation PoincareDisc :: gyrocommutative-gyrogrogroup
begin
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma oplusM-reals:
  assumes Im (to-complex x) = 0 Im (to-complex y) = 0
  shows Im (to-complex (x ⊕m y)) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oplusM-pos-reals:
  assumes Im (to-complex x) = 0 Im (to-complex y) = 0
  assumes Re (to-complex x) ≥ 0 Re (to-complex y) ≥ 0
  shows Re (to-complex (x ⊕m y)) ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

definition gyrm-alternative :: PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc ⇒
PoincareDisc where
  gyrm-alternative u v w = ⊕m (u ⊕m v) ⊕m (u ⊕m (v ⊕m w))

lemma gyr-m-alternative-gyr-m:
  shows gyrm-alternative u v w = gyrm u v w
  ⟨proof⟩

definition oplus-m'-alternative :: complex ⇒ complex ⇒ complex where
  oplus-m'-alternative u v =
    ((1 + 2*inner u v + (norm v)2) *R u + (1 - (norm u)2) *R v) /
    (1 + 2*inner u v + (norm u)2 * (norm v)2)

lemma oplus-m'-alternative:
  assumes cmod u < 1 cmod v < 1
  shows oplus-m'-alternative u v = oplus-m' u v
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition oplus-m-alternative :: PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc ⇒ Poincare-
Disc is oplus-m'-alternative
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Gyrotrigonometry
imports Main GyroVectorSpace

```

```

begin

datatype 'a otriangle = M-gyrotriangle (A:'a) (B:'a) (C:'a)

context pre-gyrovector-space
begin

definition unit :: 'a ⇒ 'b where
  unit a = to-carrier a /R 《a》

lemma norm-inner-le-1:
  fixes a b :: 'b
  assumes norm a ≤ 1 norm b ≤ 1
  shows norm (inner a b) ≤ 1
  {proof}

lemma norm-inner-unit:
  shows norm (inner (unit (⊖ a ⊕ b)) (unit (⊖ a ⊕ c))) ≤ 1
  {proof}

definition angle :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ real where
  angle a b c = arccos (inner (unit (⊖ a ⊕ b)) (unit (⊖ a ⊕ c)))

definition o-ray :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a set where
  o-ray x p = {s::'a. ∃ t::real. t ≥ 0 ∧ s = (x ⊕ t ⊗ (⊖ x ⊕ p))}

lemma T8-5:
  assumes b2 ∈ o-ray a1 b1 b2 ≠ a1
  c2 ∈ o-ray a1 c1 c2 ≠ a1
  shows angle a1 b1 c1 = angle a1 b2 c2
  {proof}

definition get-a :: 'a otriangle ⇒ 'a where
  get-a t = ⊖ (C t) ⊕ (B t)
definition get-b :: 'a otriangle ⇒ 'a where
  get-b t = ⊖ (C t) ⊕ (A t)
definition get-c :: 'a otriangle ⇒ 'a where
  get-c t = ⊖ (B t) ⊕ (A t)

definition get-alpha :: 'a otriangle ⇒ real where
  get-alpha t = angle (A t) (B t) (C t)
definition get-beta :: 'a otriangle ⇒ real where
  get-beta t = angle (B t) (C t) (A t)
definition get-gamma :: 'a otriangle ⇒ real where
  get-gamma t = angle (C t) (A t) (B t)

definition cong-gyrotriangles :: 'a otriangle ⇒ 'a otriangle ⇒ bool where
  cong-gyrotriangles t1 t2 ←→
    (《get-a t1》 = 《get-a t2》 ∧ 《get-b t1》 = 《get-b t2》 ∧ 《get-c t1》 = 《get-c t2》)

```

```

 $\wedge$ 
  (get-alpha t1 = get-alpha t2)  $\wedge$  (get-beta t1 = get-beta t2)  $\wedge$  (get-gamma t1
= get-gamma t2))
end

end
theory HyperbolicFunctions
imports HOL.Transcendental
begin

lemma artanh-abs-tanh:
  fixes x::real
  shows artanh (abs (tanh x)) = abs x
  {proof}

lemma artanh-nonneg:
  fixes x :: real
  assumes 0  $\leq$  x x < 1
  shows artanh x  $\geq$  0
  {proof}

lemma artanh-not-0:
  fixes x :: real
  assumes x > 0 x < 1
  shows artanh x  $\neq$  0
  {proof}

lemma tanh-not-0:
  fixes x :: real
  assumes x > 0 x < 1
  shows tanh x  $\neq$  0
  {proof}

lemma tanh-monotone:
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes x > y
  shows tanh x > tanh y
  {proof}

lemma artanh-monotone1:
  fixes x::real
  assumes x  $\geq$  0 x < 1 y  $\geq$  0 y < 1 x  $\leq$  y
  shows (1+x) / (1-x)  $\leq$  (1+y) / (1-y)
  {proof}

lemma artanh-monotone2:
  fixes x::real
  assumes x $\geq$ 0 x<1 y $\geq$ 0 y<1 x $\leq$ y
  shows ln ((1+x)/(1-x))  $\leq$  ln((1+y)/(1-y))

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma artanh-monotone:
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes x ≥ 0 x < 1 0 ≤ y y < 1
  assumes x ≤ y
  shows artanh x ≤ artanh y
⟨proof⟩

lemma tanh-artsinh-nonneg:
  fixes x r :: real
  assumes r ≥ 0 x ≥ 0 x < 1
  shows tanh (r * artanh x) ≥ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma tanh-artsinh-mono:
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes 0 ≤ x x < 1 0 ≤ y y < 1
  assumes x ≤ y
  shows tanh (2 * artanh x) ≤ tanh (2 * artanh y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma tanh-def':
  fixes x :: real
  shows tanh x = (exp (2*x) - 1) / (exp (2*x) + 1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma tanh-artsinh:
  fixes x :: real
  assumes -1 < x x < 1
  shows tanh (artanh x) = x
⟨proof⟩

end
theory MobiussGyroVectorSpace
imports Main MobiussGyroGroup GyroVectorSpace Gyrotrigonometry GammaFactor HyperbolicFunctions
begin

lemma norms:
  shows {x. ∃ a. x = cmod (to-complex a)} ∪ {x. ∃ a. x = - cmod (to-complex a)} = {x. |x| < 1}
⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation Mobiuss-gyrocarrier': gyrocarrier'
  where to-carrier = to-complex
  rewrites

```

```

Mobius-gyrocarrier'.gyroinner = inner-p and
Mobius-gyrocarrier'.gyronorm = norm-p and
Mobius-gyrocarrier'.carrier = {z. cmod z < 1} and
Mobius-gyrocarrier'.norms = {x. abs x < 1}
defines
of-complex = gyrocarrier'.of-carrier to-complex
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Mobius-gyrocarrier'-norms [simp]:
shows gyrocarrier'.norms to-complex = {x. abs x < 1}
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Mobius-gyrocarrier'-carrier [simp]:
shows gyrocarrier'.carrier to-complex = {z. cmod z < 1}
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma moebius-gyroauto:
shows  $gyr_m u v a \cdot gyr_m u v b = a \cdot b$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

interpretation Mobius-gyrocarrier: gyrocarrier
where to-carrier = to-complex
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

global-interpretation Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed': gyrocarrier-norms-embed'
where to-carrier = to-complex
rewrites
Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals = of-complex ` cor ` {x. abs x < 1}
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'-to-real':
assumes  $x \in Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals$ 
shows  $Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.to-real' x = Re (to-complex x)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'-of-real':
assumes  $x \in Mobius-gyrocarrier'.norms$ 
shows  $Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.of-real' x = PoincareDisc.of-complex$ 
(cor x)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gyronorm-Re:
assumes  $Re (to-complex x) \geq 0$   $Im (to-complex x) = 0$ 
shows  $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle = Re (to-complex x)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'-reals [simp]:
  shows gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals to-complex = of-complex ` cor ` {x. |x| < 1}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition otimes'-k :: real ⇒ complex ⇒ real where
  otimes'-k r z = ((1 + cmod z) powr r - (1 - cmod z) powr r) / ((1 + cmod z) powr r + (1 - cmod z) powr r)

lemma otimes'-k-tanh:
  assumes cmod z < 1
  shows otimes'-k r z = tanh (r * artanh (cmod z))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cmod-otimes'-k:
  assumes cmod z < 1
  shows cmod (otimes'-k r z) < 1
  ⟨proof⟩

definition otimes' :: real ⇒ complex ⇒ complex where
  otimes' r z = (if z = 0 then 0 else cor (otimes'-k r z) * (z / cmod z))

lemma cmod-otimes':
  assumes cmod z < 1
  shows cmod (otimes' r z) = abs (otimes'-k r z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition otimes :: real ⇒ PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc (infixl ⊗ 105) is
  otimes'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma otimes-distrib-lemma':
  fixes ax bx ay by :: real
  assumes ax + bx ≠ 0 ay + by ≠ 0
  shows (ax * ay - bx * by) / (ax * ay + bx * by) =
    ((ax - bx)/(ax + bx) + (ay - by)/(ay + by)) /
    (1 + ((ax - bx)/(ax + bx))*((ay - by)/(ay + by))) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma otimes-distrib-lemma:
  assumes cmod a < 1
  shows otimes'-k (r1 + r2) a = oplus-m' (otimes'-k r1 a) (otimes'-k r2 a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma otimes-oplus-m-distrib:
  shows (r1 + r2) ⊗ a = r1 ⊗ a ⊕m r2 ⊗ a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma otimes-assoc:
  shows  $(r1 * r2) \otimes a = r1 \otimes (r2 \otimes a)$ 
  <proof>

lemma otimes-scale-prop:
  fixes  $r :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $r \neq 0$ 
  shows  $\text{to-complex}(|r| \otimes a) / \langle\langle r \otimes a \rangle\rangle = \text{to-complex} a / \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$ 
  <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-eq1-lemma1:
  shows  $\text{cmod}(1 + \text{cnj } a * b) * \text{cmod}(1 + \text{cnj } a * b) - \text{cmod}(a+b) * \text{cmod}(a+b) =$ 
     $(1 - \text{cmod } a * \text{cmod } a) * (1 - \text{cmod } b * \text{cmod } b)$ 
  <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-eq1-lemma2:
  fixes  $x y :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $y > 0$ 
  shows  $1 / \sqrt{1 - (x*x)/(y*y)} = \text{abs } y / \sqrt{y*y - x*x}$ 
  <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-norm-oplus-m:
  shows  $\gamma(\langle\langle a \oplus_m b \rangle\rangle) =$ 
     $\gamma(\text{to-complex } a) *$ 
     $\gamma(\text{to-complex } b) *$ 
     $\text{cmod}(1 + \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } a) * (\text{to-complex } b))$ 
  <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-norm-oplus-m':
  shows  $\gamma_p(\text{of-complex}(\text{cor}(\langle\langle a \oplus_m b \rangle\rangle))) =$ 
     $\gamma_p(a) *$ 
     $\gamma_p(b) *$ 
     $\text{cmod}(1 + \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } a) * (\text{to-complex } b))$ 
  <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-oplus-m-triangle-lemma:
  fixes  $x y :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $x \geq 0 \quad x < 1 \quad y \geq 0 \quad y < 1$ 
  shows  $1 / \sqrt{(1 - ((x+y)*(x+y)) / ((1+x*x)*(1+y*y)))} =$ 
     $(1+x*x) / (\sqrt{(1-x*x)} * \sqrt{(1-y*y)})$ 
  <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-oplus-m-triangle:
  shows  $\gamma(\langle\langle a \oplus_m b \rangle\rangle) \leq \gamma(\text{to-complex}((\text{of-complex}(\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle)) \oplus_m (\text{of-complex}(\langle\langle b \rangle\rangle))))$ 
  <proof>

```

**lemma** *mobius-triangle*:

shows  $\langle\!\langle a \oplus_m b \rangle\!\rangle \leq \langle\!\langle \text{of-complex}(\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle) \oplus_m \text{of-complex}(\langle\!\langle b \rangle\!\rangle) \rangle\!\rangle$

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma** *mobius-triangle'*:

shows  $\langle\!\langle a \oplus_m b \rangle\!\rangle \leq \text{Re}(\text{to-complex}(\text{of-complex}(\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle) \oplus_m \text{of-complex}(\langle\!\langle b \rangle\!\rangle)))$

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma** *mobius-gyroauto-norm*:

shows  $\langle\!\langle \text{gyr}_m a b v \rangle\!\rangle = \langle\!\langle v \rangle\!\rangle$

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma** *otimes-homogenity*:

shows  $\langle\!\langle r \otimes a \rangle\!\rangle = \text{cmod}(\text{to-complex}(|r| \otimes \text{of-complex}(\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle)))$

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma** *otimes-homogenity'*:

shows  $\langle\!\langle r \otimes a \rangle\!\rangle = \text{Re}(\text{to-complex}(|r| \otimes \text{of-complex}(\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle)))$

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-m-gyrospace*:

shows  $\text{gyr}_m(r1 \otimes v)(r2 \otimes v) = \text{id}$

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma** *gyr-m-gyrospace2*:

shows  $\text{gyr}_m u v (r \otimes a) = r \otimes (\text{gyr}_m u v a)$

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma** *reals'*:

shows  $\text{cor}^{\text{'}}\{x. \text{abs } x < 1\} = \{z. \text{cmod } z < 1 \wedge \text{Im } z = 0\}$

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**lemma** *zero-times-m [simp]*:

shows  $0 \otimes x = 0_m$

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**interpretation** *Mobius-gyrocarrrier-norms-embed*: *gyrocarrrier-norms-embed* *to-complex otimes*

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**interpretation** *Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space*: *pre-gyrovector-space* *to-complex otimes*

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

**interpretation** *Mobius-gyrovector-space*: *gyrovector-space-norms-embed* *otimes to-complex*

$\langle\!\langle \text{proof} \rangle\!\rangle$

```

lemma norm-scale-tanh:
  shows  $\langle\langle r \otimes z \rangle\rangle = |\tanh(r * \operatorname{artanh}(\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle))|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ominus-m-scale:
  shows  $k \otimes (\ominus_m u) = \ominus_m (k \otimes u)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma otimes-2-plus-m:  $2 \otimes u = u \oplus_m u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition half' :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex where
  half'  $v = (\gamma v / (1 + \gamma v)) *_R v$ 

lift-definition half :: PoincareDisc  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDisc is half'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma otimes-2-half:
  shows  $2 \otimes (\text{half } v) = v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma half:
  shows  $\text{half } v = (1/2) \otimes v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma half':
  assumes  $\operatorname{cmod} u < 1$ 
  shows  $\text{otimes}'(1/2) u = \text{half}' u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma half-gamma':
  shows  $\text{to-complex}((1/2) \otimes u) =$ 
     $(\gamma(\text{to-complex } u)) / (1 + \gamma(\text{to-complex } u)) * \text{to-complex } u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition double' :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex where
  double'  $v = (2 * (\gamma v)^2 / (2 * (\gamma v)^2 - 1)) *_R v$ 

lemma double'-cmod:
  assumes  $\operatorname{cmod} v < 1$ 
  shows  $2 * (\gamma v)^2 / (2 * (\gamma v)^2 - 1) = 2 / (1 + (\operatorname{cmod} v)^2)$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cmod-double':
  assumes  $\operatorname{cmod} v < 1$ 
  shows  $\operatorname{cmod}(\text{double}' v) = 2 * \operatorname{cmod} v / (1 + (\operatorname{cmod} v)^2)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lift-definition double :: PoincareDisc  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDisc is double'
⟨proof⟩

lemma double'-otimes'-2:
assumes cmod v < 1
shows double' v = otimes' 2 v
⟨proof⟩

lemma double:
shows double u = 2  $\otimes$  u
⟨proof⟩

end

theory Einstein
imports Complex-Main GyroGroup GyroVectorSpace GyroVectorSpaceIsomorphism GammaFactor HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces
MobiusGyroGroup MobiusGyroVectorSpace HOL.Transcendental
begin

    Einstein zero

definition ozero-e' :: complex where
ozero-e' = 0

lift-definition ozero-e :: PoincareDisc ( $\theta_e$ ) is ozero-e'
⟨proof⟩

lemma ozero-e-ozero-m:
shows  $\theta_e = \theta_m$ 
⟨proof⟩

    Einstein addition

definition oplus-e' :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex where
oplus-e' u v = (1 / (1 + inner u v)) *R (u + (1 / γ u) *R v + ((γ u / (1 + γ u)) * (inner u v)) *R u)

lemma noroplus-m'-e:
assumes norm u < 1 norm v < 1
shows norm (oplus-e' u v)  $\hat{=}$  1 / (1 + inner u v)  $\hat{=}$  2 * (norm(u+v)  $\hat{=}$  2 - ((norm u)  $\hat{=}$  2 * (norm v)  $\hat{=}$  2 - (inner u v)  $\hat{=}$  2))
⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-oplus-e':
assumes norm u < 1 norm v < 1
shows 1 / sqrt(1 - norm (oplus-e' u v)  $\hat{=}$  2) = γ u * γ v * (1 + inner u v)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gamma-oplus-e'-not-zero:
  assumes norm u < 1 norm v < 1
  shows 1 / sqrt(1 - norm(oplus-e' u v) ^ 2) ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oplus-e'-in-unit-disc:
  assumes norm u < 1 norm v < 1
  shows norm (oplus-e' u v) < 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-factor-oplus-e':
  assumes norm u < 1 norm v < 1
  shows γ (oplus-e' u v) = (γ u) * (γ v) * (1 + inner u v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition oplus-e :: PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc (infixl
⊕e 100) is oplus-e'
  ⟨proof⟩

definition ominus-e' :: complex ⇒ complex where
  ominus-e' v = - v

lemma ominus-e'-in-unit-disc:
  assumes norm z < 1
  shows norm (ominus-e' z) < 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition ominus-e :: PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc (⊖e) is ominus-e'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ominus-e-ominus-m:
  shows ⊖e a = ⊖m a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ominus-e-scale:
  shows k ⊗ (⊖e u) = ⊖e (k ⊗ u)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-factor-p-positive:
  shows γp a > 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gamma-factor-p-oplus-e:
  shows γp (u ⊕e v) = γp u * γp v * (1 + u · v)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation  $\gamma_2 :: \text{complex} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where

$$\gamma_2 u \equiv \gamma u / (1 + \gamma u)$$


lemma norm-square-gamma-half-scale:
assumes  $\text{norm } u < 1$ 
shows  $(\text{norm } (\gamma_2 u *_R u))^2 = (\gamma u - 1) / (1 + \gamma u)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-half-square-gamma:
assumes  $\text{norm } u < 1$ 
shows  $(\text{norm } (\text{half}' u))^2 = (\gamma_2 u)^2 * (\text{cmod } u)^2$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-half-square-gamma':
assumes  $\text{cmod } u < 1$ 
shows  $(\text{norm } (\text{half}' u))^2 = (\gamma u - 1) / (1 + \gamma u)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma inner-half-square-gamma:
assumes  $\text{cmod } u < 1 \text{ cmod } v < 1$ 
shows  $\text{inner } (\text{half}' u) (\text{half}' v) = \gamma_2 u * \gamma_2 v * \text{inner } u v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-me-help1:
assumes  $\text{norm } v < 1$ 
shows  $1 + (\gamma v - 1) / (1 + \gamma v) = 2 * \gamma v / (1 + \gamma v)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-me-help2:
assumes  $\text{norm } v < 1$ 
shows  $1 - (\gamma v - 1) / (1 + \gamma v) = 2 / (1 + \gamma v)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-me-help3:
assumes  $\text{norm } v < 1 \text{ norm } u < 1$ 
shows  $1 + ((\gamma v - 1) / (1 + \gamma v)) * ((\gamma u - 1) / (1 + \gamma u)) =$ 
 $2 * (1 + (\gamma u) * (\gamma v)) / ((1 + \gamma v) * (1 + \gamma u))$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma half'-oplus-e':
fixes  $u v :: \text{complex}$ 
assumes  $\text{cmod } u < 1 \text{ cmod } v < 1$ 
shows  $\text{half}' (\text{oplus-e}' u v) =$ 
 $\gamma u * \gamma v / (\gamma u * \gamma v * (1 + \text{inner } u v) + 1) * (u + (1 / \gamma u) * v + (\gamma u / (1 + \gamma u)) * \text{inner } u v * u)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma oplus-m'-half':

```

```

fixes u v :: complex
assumes cmod u < 1 cmod v < 1
shows oplus-m' (half' u) (half' v) =
  ( $\gamma u * \gamma v / (\gamma u * \gamma v * (1 + \text{inner } u v) + 1)) * (u + (1 / \gamma u) * v + (\gamma u / (1 + \gamma u) * \text{inner } u v) * u)$ 
<proof>

lemma iso-me-oplus:
shows (1/2)  $\otimes$  (u  $\oplus_e$  v) = ((1/2)  $\otimes$  u)  $\oplus_m$  ((1/2)  $\otimes$  v)
<proof>

lemma oplus-e-oplus-m:
shows u  $\oplus_e$  v = 2  $\otimes$  ((1/2)  $\otimes$  u  $\oplus_m$  (1/2)  $\otimes$  v)
<proof>

lemma iso-two-me-oplus:
shows 2  $\otimes$  (u  $\oplus_m$  v) = (2  $\otimes$  u)  $\oplus_e$  (2  $\otimes$  v)
<proof>

lemma iso-two-me-ominus:
shows 2  $\otimes$  ( $\ominus_m$  u) =  $\ominus_e$  (2  $\otimes$  u)
<proof>

lemma iso-two-me-zero:
shows 2  $\otimes$  0m = 0e
<proof>

lemma iso-two-me-bij:
shows bij ( $\lambda x::\text{PoincareDisc}$ . 2  $\otimes$  x)
<proof>

definition gyre::PoincareDisc  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDisc  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDisc  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDisc
where
  gyre u v w =  $\ominus_e$  (u  $\oplus_e$  v)  $\oplus_e$  (u  $\oplus_e$  (v  $\oplus_e$  w))

typedef PoincareDiscM = UNIV::PoincareDisc set
<proof>
setup-lifting type-definition-PoincareDiscM

lift-definition zero-M :: PoincareDiscM (0M) is 0m <proof>

lift-definition ominus-M :: PoincareDiscM  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscM ( $\ominus_M$ ) is ( $\ominus_m$ )
<proof>

lift-definition oplus-M :: PoincareDiscM  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscM  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscM
(infixl  $\oplus_M$  100) is ( $\oplus_m$ ) <proof>

```

```

lift-definition gyr-M :: PoincareDiscM  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscM  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscM  $\Rightarrow$ 
PoincareDiscM is gyrm  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift-definition to-complex-M :: PoincareDiscM  $\Rightarrow$  complex is to-complex  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

interpretation gyrogroupoid-M: gyrogroupoid zero-M oplus-M  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

instantiation PoincareDiscM :: gyrogroupoid
begin
definition gyrozero-PoincareDiscM where gyrozero-PoincareDiscM =  $0_M$ 
definition gyroplus-PoincareDiscM where gyroplus-PoincareDiscM = oplus-M
instance
     $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
end

instantiation PoincareDiscM :: gyrocommutative-gyrogroup
begin
definition gyroinv-PoincareDiscM where gyroinv-PoincareDiscM = ominus-M
definition gyr-PoincareDiscM where gyr-PoincareDiscM = gyr-M
instance  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
end

typedef PoincareDiscE = UNIV::PoincareDisc set
     $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
setup-lifting type-definition-PoincareDiscE

lift-definition zero-E :: PoincareDiscE ( $0_E$ ) is  $0_e$   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift-definition ominus-E :: PoincareDiscE  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscE ( $\ominus_E$ ) is  $(\ominus_e)$   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift-definition oplus-E :: PoincareDiscE  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscE  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscE (infixl
 $\oplus_E$  100) is  $(\oplus_e)$   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift-definition gyr-E :: PoincareDiscE  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscE  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscE  $\Rightarrow$ 
PoincareDiscE is gyre  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift-definition to-complex-E :: PoincareDiscE  $\Rightarrow$  complex is to-complex  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift-definition  $\varphi_{ME}$  :: PoincareDiscM  $\Rightarrow$  PoincareDiscE is  $\lambda x::PoincareDisc.$   $\varphi$ 
 $\otimes x$   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

interpretation Einstein-gyrogroup-iso:
    gyrogroup-isomorphism  $\varphi_{ME}$  zero-E oplus-E ominus-E
    rewrites
    Einstein-gyrogroup-iso.gyr' = gyr-E
     $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

instantiation PoincareDiscE :: gyrogroupoid

```

```

begin
definition gyrozero-PoincareDiscE where gyrozero-PoincareDiscE = 0_E
definition gyroplus-PoincareDiscE where gyroplus-PoincareDiscE = oplus-E
instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation PoincareDiscE :: gyrocommutative-gyrogroup
begin
definition gyroinv-PoincareDiscE where gyroinv-PoincareDiscE = ominus-E
definition gyr-PoincareDiscE where gyr-PoincareDiscE = gyr-E
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lift-definition scale-M :: real ⇒ PoincareDiscM ⇒ PoincareDiscM is (⊗) ⟨proof⟩
lift-definition scale-E :: real ⇒ PoincareDiscE ⇒ PoincareDiscE is (⊗) ⟨proof⟩

lemma gyrocarrier'M:
  shows gyrocarrier' to-complex-M
⟨proof⟩

lemma gyrocarrier-norms-embed'M:
  shows gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-complex-M
⟨proof⟩

lemma of-carrier-M:
  assumes cmod z < 1
  shows gyrocarrier'.of-carrier to-complex-M z = Abs-PoincareDiscM (PoincareDisc.of-complex z)
⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation GCM: gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-complex-M
  rewrites GCM.norms = {x. abs x < 1} and
    GCM.reals = Abs-PoincareDiscM ` PoincareDisc.of-complex ` cor ` {x. |x| < 1}
  defines of-complex-M = gyrocarrier'.of-carrier to-complex-M
⟨proof⟩

lemma of-real'-M:
  assumes abs x < 1
  shows GCM.of-real' x = Abs-PoincareDiscM (PoincareDisc.of-complex (cor x))
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-real'-M:
  assumes z ∈ GCM.reals
  shows GCM.to-real' z = Re (to-complex-M z)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma gyronorm-M-lt-1 [simp]:
  shows abs (GCM.gyronorm a) < 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gyrocarrier'-norms-M [simp]:
  shows gyrocarrier'.norms to-complex-M = GCM.norms
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gyrocarrier-norms-embed'-reals-M [simp]:
  shows gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals to-complex-M = GCM.reals
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gyrocarrier'E:
  shows gyrocarrier' to-complex-E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gyrocarrier-norms-embed'E:
  shows gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-complex-E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma of-carrier-E:
  assumes cmod z < 1
  shows gyrocarrier'.of-carrier to-complex-E z = Abs-PoincareDiscE (PoincareDisc.of-complex
z)
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation GCE: gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-complex-E
  rewrites GCE.norms = {x. abs x < 1} and
    GCE.reals = Abs-PoincareDiscE ‘PoincareDisc.of-complex ‘cor ‘{x. |x|
< 1}
  defines of-complex-E = gyrocarrier'.of-carrier to-complex-E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma of-real'-E:
  assumes abs x < 1
  shows GCE.of-real' x = Abs-PoincareDiscE (PoincareDisc.of-complex (cor x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-real'-E:
  assumes z ∈ GCE.reals
  shows GCE.to-real' z = Re (to-complex-E z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gyronorm-E-lt-1 [simp]:
  shows abs (GCE.gyronorm a) < 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *gyrocarrier'-norms-E* [*simp*]:  
**shows** *gyrocarrier'.norms to-complex-E* = *GCE.norms*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'-reals-E* [*simp*]:  
**shows** *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals to-complex-E* = *GCE.reals*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *φreals-to-reals*:  
**shows**  $\varphi_{ME} \circ \text{gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals to-complex-M} = \text{gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals to-complex-E}$   
*{proof}*

**interpretation** *gyrocarrier-norms-embed-M*: *gyrocarrier-norms-embed to-complex-M scale-M*  
*{proof}*

**interpretation** *pre-gyrovector-space-M*: *pre-gyrovector-space to-complex-M scale-M*  
*{proof}*

**interpretation** *gyrovector-space-norms-embed-M*: *gyrovector-space-norms-embed scale-M to-complex-M*  
*{proof}*

**lemmas**  $bij\varphi_{ME} = \text{Einstein-gyroggroup-iso.}\varphi_{bij}$

**lemma** *oplusφ\_{ME}*:  
**shows**  $\varphi_{ME}(u \oplus v) = \varphi_{ME} u \oplus \varphi_{ME} v$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *scaleφ\_{ME}*:  
**shows**  $\varphi_{ME}(\text{scale-M } r u) = \text{scale-E } r (\varphi_{ME} u)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *GCEoinvRMinus*:  
**assumes**  $a \in \text{gyrocarrier'.norms to-complex-E}$   
**shows**  $\text{GCE.oinvR } a = -a$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *gyronormφ\_{ME}*:  
**shows**  $\varphi_{ME}(\text{GCM.of-real'}(\text{GCM.gyronorm } a)) = \text{GCE.of-real'}(\text{GCE.gyronorm}(\varphi_{ME} a))$   
*{proof}*

**interpretation** *isoME''*: *gyrocarrier-isomorphism' to-complex-M to-complex-E φ\_{ME}*  
*{proof}*

**interpretation** *isoME'*: *gyrocarrier-isomorphism to-complex-M to-complex-E φ\_{ME}*  
*{proof}*

**interpretation** *PGVME*: *pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism to-complex-M to-complex-E scale-M scale-E*  $\varphi_{ME}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *isoME-norms-embed'*: *gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed' to-complex-M to-complex-E scale-M scale-E*  $\varphi_{ME}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *isoME-norms-embed*: *gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed to-complex-M to-complex-E scale-M scale-E*  $\varphi_{ME}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *isoME'*: *gyrovector-space-isomorphism' to-complex-M to-complex-E scale-M scale-E*  $\varphi_{ME}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *isoME*: *gyrovector-space-isomorphism to-complex-M to-complex-E scale-M scale-E*  $\varphi_{ME}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory** *GyroVectorSpaceTrivial*  
**imports** *GyroVectorSpace*

**begin**

Every group is a gyrogroup with identity gyration

**sublocale** *group-add*  $\subseteq$  *groupGyrogroupoid*: *gyrogroupoid 0 (+)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** *group-add*  $\subseteq$  *groupGyrogroup*: *gyrogroup 0 (+)  $\lambda x. -x$   $\lambda u v x. x$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**locale** *gyrocarrier-trivial* = *gyrocarrier' to-carrier* **for**  
*to-carrier* :: '*a*::{*gyrocommutative-gyrogroup*, *real-inner*, *real-normed-algebra-1*}  
 $\Rightarrow 'a +$   
*assumes* *gyr-id*:  $\bigwedge u v x. (\text{gyr}:'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) u v x = x$   
*assumes* *to-carrier-id*:  $\bigwedge x. \text{to-carrier } x = x$   
*assumes* *oplus*:  $\bigwedge x y:'a . x \oplus y = x + y$   
*assumes* *ominus*:  $\bigwedge x:'a . \ominus x = -x$

**sublocale** *gyrocarrier-trivial*  $\subseteq$  *gyrocarrier to-carrier*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** *gyrocarrier-trivial*  $\subseteq$  *pre-gyrovector-space to-carrier* ( $*_R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

sublocale gyrocarrier-trivial  $\subseteq$  TG': gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-carrier
⟨proof⟩

context gyrocarrier-trivial
begin

lemma norms-UNIV:
  shows norms = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reals-UNIV:
  shows TG'.reals = of-real ` UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma of-real':
  shows TG'.of-real' = of-real
  ⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale gyrocarrier-trivial  $\subseteq$  TG: gyrocarrier-norms-embed to-carrier (*R)
⟨proof⟩

sublocale gyrocarrier-trivial  $\subseteq$  gyrovector-space-norms-embed (*R) to-carrier
⟨proof⟩

end
theory hDistance
  imports MobiusGyroVectorSpace
begin

abbreviation distance-m-expr :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  distance-m-expr u v  $\equiv$  1 + 2 * (cmod (u - v))2 / ((1 - (cmod u)2) * (1 - (cmod v)2))

definition distance-m :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  distance-m u v = arcosh (distance-m-expr u v)

lemma arcosh-atanh-lemma:
  shows (cmod (1 - cnj u * v))2 - (cmod (u - v))2 = (1 - (cmod u)2) * (1 - (cmod v)2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma distance-m-expr-ge-1:
  fixes u v :: complex
  assumes cmod u < 1 cmod v < 1
  shows distance-m-expr u v  $\geq$  1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma arcosh-artanh:
  fixes u v :: complex
  assumes cmod u < 1 cmod v < 1
  shows arcosh (distance-m-expr u v) =
    2 * artanh (cmod ((u-v) / (1 - (cnj u)*v)))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition distance-m-gyro :: PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc ⇒ real where
  distance-m-gyro u v = 2 * artanh (Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.distance u v)

lemma distance-equiv:
  shows distance-m-gyro u v = distance-m (to-complex u) (to-complex v)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition blaschke where
  blaschke a z = (z - a) / (1 - cnj a * z)

lemma
  fixes a z :: complex
  shows blaschke a z = oplus-m' (ominus-m' a) z
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory MobiusCollinear
  imports MobiusGyroVectorSpace
begin

lemma collinear-0-proportional':
  assumes v ≠ 0m
  shows Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.collinear x 0m v ←→ (∃ k::real. to-complex
x = k * (to-complex v))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  assumes v ≠ 0m
  shows Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.collinear x 0m v ←→ to-complex x * cnj
(to-complex v) = cnj (to-complex x) * to-complex v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma collinear-0-proportional:
  shows Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.collinear x 0m v ←→ v = 0m ∨ (∃ k::real.
to-complex x = k * (to-complex v))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-complex-0 [simp]:
  shows to-complex 0m = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-complex-0-iff [iff]:

```

```

shows to-complex  $x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0_m$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mobius-between-0xy:
shows Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.between  $0_m x y \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists k::real. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq 1 \wedge \text{to-complex } x = k * \text{to-complex } y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end
theory MobiusGeometry
imports MobiusGyroVectorSpace
begin

lemma mobius-collinear-u0v':
assumes  $v \neq 0_m$ 
shows Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.collinear  $u 0_m v \longleftrightarrow (\exists k::real. \text{to-complex } u = k * (\text{to-complex } v))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mobius-collinear-u0v:
shows Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.collinear  $x 0_m v \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $v = 0_m \vee (\exists k::real. \text{to-complex } x = k * (\text{to-complex } v))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mobius-between-0uv:
shows Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.between  $0_m u v \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists k::real. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq 1 \wedge \text{to-complex } u = k * \text{to-complex } v)$ 
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation distance-m-expr :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  real where
 $\text{distance-m-expr } u v \equiv 1 + 2 * (\text{cmod } (u - v))^2 / ((1 - (\text{cmod } u)^2) * (1 - (\text{cmod } v)^2))$ 

definition distance-m :: complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  real where
 $\text{distance-m } u v = \text{arcosh} (\text{distance-m-expr } u v)$ 

lemma arcosh-atanh-lemma:
shows  $(\text{cmod } (1 - \text{cnj } u * v))^2 - (\text{cmod } (u - v))^2 = (1 - (\text{cmod } u)^2) * (1 - (\text{cmod } v)^2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma distance-m-expr-ge-1:
fixes  $u v :: \text{complex}$ 
assumes  $\text{cmod } u < 1 \text{ cmod } v < 1$ 
shows  $\text{distance-m-expr } u v \geq 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma arcosh-artanh:
  fixes u v :: complex
  assumes cmod u < 1 cmod v < 1
  shows arcosh (distance-m-expr u v) =
    2 * artanh (cmod ((u-v) / (1 - (cnj u)*v)))
  (proof)

definition distancem :: PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc ⇒ real where
  distancem u v = 2 * artanh (Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.distance u v)

lemma distancem-equiv:
  shows distancem u v = distance-m (to-complex u) (to-complex v)
  (proof)

definition congm :: PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc ⇒ PoincareDisc ⇒ bool where
  congm a b c d ←→ distancem a b = distancem c d

end
theory TarskiIsomorphism
  imports Poincare-Disc.Tarski
begin

locale TarskiAbsoluteIso = TarskiAbsolute +
  fixes φ :: 'a ⇒ 'b
  fixes cong' :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  fixes betw' :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  assumes φbij: bij φ
  assumes φcong: ∀ x y z w. cong' (φ x) (φ y) (φ z) (φ w) ←→ cong x y z w
  assumes φbetw: ∀ x y z. betw' (φ x) (φ y) (φ z) ←→ betw x y z

sublocale TarskiAbsoluteIso ⊆ TA: TarskiAbsolute cong' betw'
  (proof)

context TarskiAbsoluteIso
begin
  lemma φon-line:
    shows TA.on-line (φ p) (φ a) (φ b) ←→ on-line p a b
    (proof)

  lemma φon-ray:
    shows TA.on-ray (φ p) (φ a) (φ b) ←→ on-ray p a b
    (proof)

  lemma φin-angle:
    shows TA.in-angle (φ p) (φ a) (φ b) (φ c) ←→ in-angle p a b c
    (proof)

  lemma φray-meets-line:

```

```

shows TA.ray-meets-line ( $\varphi$  ra) ( $\varphi$  rb) ( $\varphi$  la) ( $\varphi$  lb)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
ray-meets-line ra rb la lb
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale TarskiHyperbolicIso = TarskiHyperbolic +
fixes  $\varphi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ 
fixes cong' :: ' $b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
fixes betw' :: ' $b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
assumes  $\varphi bij: \text{bij } \varphi$ 
assumes  $\varphi cong: \bigwedge x y z w. \text{cong}' (\varphi x) (\varphi y) (\varphi z) (\varphi w) \longleftrightarrow \text{cong } x y z w$ 
assumes  $\varphi betw: \bigwedge x y z. \text{betw}' (\varphi x) (\varphi y) (\varphi z) \longleftrightarrow \text{betw } x y z$ 

sublocale TarskiHyperbolicIso  $\subseteq$  TAI: TarskiAbsoluteIso
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale TarskiHyperbolicIso  $\subseteq$  TarskiHyperbolic cong' betw'
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale ElementaryTarskiHyperbolicIso = ElementaryTarskiHyperbolic +
fixes  $\varphi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ 
fixes cong' :: ' $b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
fixes betw' :: ' $b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
assumes  $\varphi bij: \text{bij } \varphi$ 
assumes  $\varphi cong: \bigwedge x y z w. \text{cong}' (\varphi x) (\varphi y) (\varphi z) (\varphi w) \longleftrightarrow \text{cong } x y z w$ 
assumes  $\varphi betw: \bigwedge x y z. \text{betw}' (\varphi x) (\varphi y) (\varphi z) \longleftrightarrow \text{betw } x y z$ 

sublocale ElementaryTarskiHyperbolicIso  $\subseteq$  THI: TarskiHyperbolicIso
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale ElementaryTarskiHyperbolicIso  $\subseteq$  ElementaryTarskiHyperbolic cong' betw'
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
theory MobiusGyroTarski
imports MobiusGeometry TarskiIsomorphism Poincare-Disc.Poincare-Tarski
begin

```

This theory depends on the following AFP entries:

[https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Poincare\\_Disc.html](https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Poincare_Disc.html) [https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Complex\\_Geometry.html](https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Complex_Geometry.html)

They must be downloaded in order to check this theory.

The following lemmas can be moved to the cited AFP entries.

```

lemma eqArgLessCmod:
assumes  $u \neq 0$   $v \neq 0$ 
shows Arg u = Arg v  $\wedge$  cmod u  $\leq$  cmod v  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\exists k. k \geq 0 \wedge k \leq 1 \wedge u = cor k * v)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition**  $p\text{-blaschke} :: p\text{-point} \Rightarrow p\text{-isometry}$  **is**  $\lambda a. (\text{moebius-pt } (\text{blaschke } (\text{to-complex } a)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $p\text{-between-}p\text{-isometry-pt}$  [*simp*]:  
  **shows**  $p\text{-between } (p\text{-isometry-pt } f a) (p\text{-isometry-pt } f b) (p\text{-isometry-pt } f c) \longleftrightarrow p\text{-between } a b c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $p\text{-blaschke-id}$  [*simp*]:  
  **shows**  $p\text{-isometry-pt } (p\text{-blaschke } x) x = p\text{-zero}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $p\text{-between-}0uv$ :  
  **shows**  $p\text{-between } p\text{-zero } u v \longleftrightarrow (\exists k \geq 0. k \leq 1 \wedge \text{to-complex } (\text{Rep-}p\text{-point } u) = \text{cor } k * \text{to-complex } (\text{Rep-}p\text{-point } v))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A bijection between AFP type representing the Poincare disc (based on complex homogenous coordinates) and our type for poincare disc (based on ordinary complex numbers)

**lift-definition**  $\varphi :: p\text{-point} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}$  **is**  $\text{to-complex}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $distance-m\text{-}p\text{-dist}$ :  
  **shows**  $distance-m \ (PoincareDisc.\text{to-complex } (\varphi x)) (PoincareDisc.\text{to-complex } (\varphi y)) = p\text{-dist } x y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{blaschke}' :: \text{complex} \Rightarrow \text{complex} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$  **where**  
 $\text{blaschke}' a z = (z - a) / (1 - \text{cnj } a * z)$

**lemma**  $\text{blaschke}'\text{-translation}$ :  
  **fixes**  $a z :: \text{complex}$   
  **shows**  $\text{blaschke}' a z = oplus-m' (ominus-m' a) z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition**  $\text{blaschke-g} :: \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}$  **is**  
 $\text{blaschke}'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{blaschke-translation}$ :  
  **blaschke-g**  $a z = (\ominus_m a) \oplus_m z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Isomorphism between hyperbolic geometry of Poincare disc defined in AFP entry, and hyperbolic geometry in M\"obius gyrovector space. Since these two are isomorphic, the geometry of M\"obius gyrovector space satisfies Tarski axioms.

**interpretation** *MobiusGyroTarskiIso: ElementaryTarskiHyperbolicIso p-congruent p-between  $\varphi$  cong<sub>m</sub> M\"obius-pre-gyrovector-space.between*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *MobiusGyroTarski: ElementaryTarskiHyperbolic cong<sub>m</sub> M\"obius-pre-gyrovector-space.between*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory** *MobiusGyrotrigonometry*

**imports** *Main GammaFactor PoincareDisc MobiusGyroVectorSpace MoreComplex*

**begin**

**lemma** *m-gamma-h1:*

**shows**  $\ominus_m a \oplus_m b = \text{of-complex} ((\text{to-complex } b - \text{to-complex } a) / (1 - \text{cnj}(\text{to-complex } a) * \text{to-complex } b))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *m-gamma-h2:*

**shows**  $(\langle \ominus_m a \oplus_m b \rangle)^2 = ((\langle b \rangle)^2 + (\langle a \rangle)^2 - (\text{to-complex } a) * \text{cnj}(\text{to-complex } b) - \text{cnj}(\text{to-complex } a) * (\text{to-complex } b)) / (1 - (\text{to-complex } a) * \text{cnj}(\text{to-complex } b) - \text{cnj}(\text{to-complex } a) * (\text{to-complex } b) + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *m-gamma-h3:*

**shows**  $1 - (\langle \ominus_m a \oplus_m b \rangle)^2 = (1 - (\langle b \rangle)^2 - (\langle a \rangle)^2 + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2) / (1 - (\text{to-complex } a) * \text{cnj}(\text{to-complex } b) - \text{cnj}(\text{to-complex } a) * (\text{to-complex } b) + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2) \text{ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** *gamma-factor-m :: PoincareDisc  $\Rightarrow$  real ( $\gamma_m$ ) is gamma-factor*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *m-gamma-h4:*

**shows**  $(\gamma_m (\ominus_m a \oplus_m b))^2 = (1 - (\text{to-complex } a) * \text{cnj}(\text{to-complex } b) - \text{cnj}(\text{to-complex } a) * (\text{to-complex } b) + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2) / (1 - (\langle b \rangle)^2 - (\langle a \rangle)^2 + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *m-gamma-equation:*

**shows**  $(\gamma_m (\ominus_m a \oplus_m b))^2 = (\gamma_m a)^2 * (\gamma_m b)^2 * (1 - 2 * a \cdot b + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** T8-25-help1:

**assumes**  $A t \neq B t A t \neq C t C t \neq B t$   
 $a = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a } t \rangle^2 b = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b } t \rangle^2 c = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c } t \rangle^2$   
**shows**  $\text{to-complex}((\text{of-complex } a) \oplus_m (\text{of-complex } b) \oplus_m (\ominus_m (\text{of-complex } c)))$   
 $=$   
 $(a + b - c - a*b*c) / (1 + a*b - a*c - b*c)$  (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** T8-25-help2:

**fixes**  $t :: \text{PoincareDisc otriangle}$   
**assumes**  $(A t) \neq (B t) (A t) \neq (C t) (C t) \neq (B t)$   
 $a = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a } t \rangle b = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b } t \rangle c = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c } t \rangle$   
 $\gamma = \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma } t$   
**shows**  $\cos \gamma = (a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - (a*b*c)^2) / (2 * a * b * (1 - c^2))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** T8-25-help3:

**fixes**  $t :: \text{PoincareDisc otriangle}$   
**assumes**  $(A t) \neq (B t) (A t) \neq (C t) (C t) \neq (B t)$   
 $a = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a } t \rangle b = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b } t \rangle c = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c } t \rangle$   
 $\gamma = \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma } t$   
 $\beta_a = 1 / \sqrt{1 + a^2} \quad \beta_b = 1 / \sqrt{1 + b^2}$   
**shows**  $2 * \beta_a^2 * a * \beta_b^2 * b * \cos \gamma = (a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - (a*b*c)^2) / ((1 + a^2) * (1 + b^2) * (1 - c^2))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** T8-25-help4:

**fixes**  $t :: \text{PoincareDisc otriangle}$   
**assumes**  $(A t) \neq (B t) (A t) \neq (C t) (C t) \neq (B t)$   
 $a = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a } t \rangle b = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b } t \rangle c = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c } t \rangle$   
 $\gamma = \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma } t$   
 $\beta_a = 1 / \sqrt{1 + a^2} \quad \beta_b = 1 / \sqrt{1 + b^2}$   
**shows**  $1 - 2 * \beta_a^2 * a * \beta_b^2 * b * \cos \gamma =$   
 $(1 + (a*b)^2 - (a*c)^2 - (b*c)^2) / ((1 + a^2) * (1 + b^2) * (1 - c^2))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** T25-help5:

**fixes**  $t :: \text{PoincareDisc otriangle}$   
**assumes**  $(A t) \neq (B t) (A t) \neq (C t) (C t) \neq (B t)$   
 $a = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a } t \rangle b = \langle \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b } t \rangle$

```

t} c = «Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c t»
    gamma = Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma t
    beta-a = 1 / sqrt (1 + a2) beta-b = 1 / sqrt (1+b2)
shows (2 * beta-a2 * a * beta-b2 * b * cos gamma) / (1 - 2 * beta-a2 * a *
beta-b2 * b * cos gamma) =
    to-complex ((of-complex (a2)) ⊕m (of-complex (b2)) ⊕m (⊖m (of-complex
(c2)))) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** T25-MobiusCosineLaw:

```

fixes t :: PoincareDisc otriangle
assumes (A t) ≠ (B t) (A t) ≠ (C t) (C t) ≠ (B t)
    a = «Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a t» b = «Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b
t} c = «Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c t»
    gamma = Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma t
    beta-a = 1 / sqrt (1 + a2) beta-b = 1 / sqrt (1+b2)
shows c2 = to-complex ((of-complex (a2)) ⊕m (of-complex (b2)) ⊕m (⊖m
(of-complex
    (2 * beta-a2 * a * beta-b2 * b * cos(gamma) /
    (1 - 2 * beta-a2 * a * beta-b2 * b * cos gamma))))))
⟨proof⟩

```

**abbreviation** add-complex (**infixl** ⊕<sub>mc</sub> 100) **where**  
add-complex c1 c2 ≡ to-complex (of-complex c1 ⊕<sub>m</sub> of-complex c2)

**lemma** T-MobiusPythagorean:

```

fixes t :: PoincareDisc otriangle
assumes (A t) ≠ (B t) (A t) ≠ (C t) (C t) ≠ (B t)
    a = «Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a t» b = «Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b
t} c = «Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c t»
    gamma = Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma t gamma = pi / 2
shows c2 = a2 ⊕mc b2
⟨proof⟩

```

**end**  
**theory** Poincare  
**imports** Complex-Main HOL-Analysis.Inner-Product GammaFactor  
**begin**

**typedef** PoincareDisc = {z::complex. cmod z < 1}  
⟨proof⟩

**setup-lifting** type-definition-PoincareDisc

**abbreviation** to-complex :: PoincareDisc ⇒ complex **where**  
to-complex ≡ Rep-PoincareDisc

**abbreviation** of-complex :: complex ⇒ PoincareDisc **where**  
of-complex ≡ Abs-PoincareDisc

```

lemma poincare-disc-two-elems:
  shows  $\exists z1 z2 :: \text{PoincareDisc}$ .  $z1 \neq z2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition inner-p ::  $\text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  (infixl  $\cdot 100$ ) is
  inner  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition norm-p ::  $\text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  ( $\langle \rangle$  [100] 101) is norm  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma norm-lt-one:
  shows  $\langle u \rangle < 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma norm-geq-zero:
  shows  $\langle u \rangle \geq 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma square-norm-inner:
  shows  $(\langle u \rangle)^2 = u \cdot u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition gamma-factor-p ::  $\text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  ( $\gamma_p$ ) is gamma-factor
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gamma-factor-p-nonzero [simp]:
  shows  $\gamma_p u \neq 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gamma-factor-p-positive [simp]:
  shows  $\gamma_p u > 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma norm-square-gamma-factor-p:
  shows  $(\langle u \rangle)^2 = 1 - 1 / (\gamma_p u)^2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma norm-square-gamma-factor-p':
  shows  $(\langle u \rangle)^2 = ((\gamma_p u)^2 - 1) / (\gamma_p u)^2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gamma-factor-p-square-norm:
  shows  $(\gamma_p u)^2 = 1 / (1 - (\langle u \rangle)^2)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## References

- [1] A. A. Ungar. *Analytic Hyperbolic Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.