

A Generalization of the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem

Mantas Bakšys
University of Cambridge
`mb2412@cam.ac.uk`

December 27, 2024

Abstract

The Cauchy–Davenport theorem is a fundamental result in additive combinatorics. It was originally independently discovered by Cauchy [2] and Davenport [3] and has been formalized in the AFP entry [1] as a corollary of Kneser’s theorem. More recently, many generalizations of this theorem have been found. In this entry, we formalise a generalization due to DeVos [4], which proves the theorem in a non-abelian setting.

Contents

1 Preliminaries on well-orderings, groups, and sumsets	3
1.1 Well-ordering lemmas	3
1.2 Pointwise set multiplication in a monoid: definition and key lemmas	3
1.3 Exponentiation in a monoid: definitions and lemmas	5
1.4 Definition of the order of an element in a monoid	8
1.5 Sumset scalar multiplication cardinality lemmas	8
1.6 Pointwise set multiplication in a group: auxiliary lemmas . .	9
1.7 <i>ecard</i> – extended definition of cardinality of a set	10
2 Generalized Cauchy–Davenport theorem: main proof	10
2.1 The counterexample pair relation in [4]	10
2.2 $p(G)$ – the order of the smallest nontrivial finite subgroup of a group: definition and lemmas	11
2.3 Proof of the generalized Cauchy–Davenport theorem for (non- abelian) groups	11

1 Preliminaries on well-orderings, groups, and sum-sets

```

theory Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-preliminaries
imports
  Complex-Main
  Jacobson-Basic-Algebra.Group-Theory
  HOL-Library.Extended-Nat

begin

1.1 Well-ordering lemmas

lemma wf-prod-lex-fibers-inter:
  fixes r :: ('a × 'a) set and s :: ('b × 'b) set and f :: 'c ⇒ 'a and g :: 'c ⇒ 'b
  and t :: ('c × 'c) set
  assumes h1: wf ((inv-image r f) ∩ t) and
  h2: ∀ a. a ∈ range f ⇒ wf (({x. f x = a} × {x. f x = a}) ∩ (inv-image s g))
  ∩ t) and
  h3: trans t
  shows wf ((inv-image (r <*lex*> s) (λ c. (f c, g c))) ∩ t)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wf-prod-lex-fibers:
  fixes r :: ('a × 'a) set and s :: ('b × 'b) set and f :: 'c ⇒ 'a and g :: 'c ⇒ 'b
  assumes h1: wf (inv-image r f) and
  h2: ∀ a. a ∈ range f ⇒ wf ({x. f x = a} × {x. f x = a}) ∩ (inv-image s g))
  shows wf (inv-image (r <*lex*> s) (λ c. (f c, g c)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

context monoid

begin

1.2 Pointwise set multiplication in a monoid: definition and key lemmas

```

inductive-set smul :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set for A B
  where
    smulI[intro]: ⟦a ∈ A; a ∈ M; b ∈ B; b ∈ M⟧ ⇒ a · b ∈ smul A B

```

syntax smul :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set ((· · · · ·))

```

lemma smul-eq: smul A B = {c. ∃ a ∈ A ∩ M. ∃ b ∈ B ∩ M. c = a · b}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma smul: smul A B = (⋃ a ∈ A ∩ M. ⋃ b ∈ B ∩ M. {a · b})
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *smul-subset-carrier*: $\text{smul } A \ B \subseteq M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-Int-carrier [simp]*: $\text{smul } A \ B \cap M = \text{smul } A \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-mono*: $\llbracket A' \subseteq A; B' \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies \text{smul } A' \ B' \subseteq \text{smul } A \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-insert1*: *NO-MATCH* $\{\}$ $A \implies \text{smul } (\text{insert } x \ A) \ B = \text{smul } \{x\} \ B$
 $\cup \text{smul } A \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-insert2*: *NO-MATCH* $\{\}$ $B \implies \text{smul } A \ (\text{insert } x \ B) = \text{smul } A \ \{x\}$
 $\cup \text{smul } A \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-subset-Un1*: $\text{smul } (A \cup A') \ B = \text{smul } A \ B \cup \text{smul } A' \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-subset-Un2*: $\text{smul } A \ (B \cup B') = \text{smul } A \ B \cup \text{smul } A \ B'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-subset-Union1*: $\text{smul } (\bigcup A) \ B = (\bigcup a \in A. \text{smul } a \ B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-subset-Union2*: $\text{smul } A \ (\bigcup B) = (\bigcup b \in B. \text{smul } A \ b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-subset-insert*: $\text{smul } A \ B \subseteq \text{smul } A \ (\text{insert } x \ B)$ $\text{smul } A \ B \subseteq \text{smul } (\text{insert } x \ A) \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-subset-Un*: $\text{smul } A \ B \subseteq \text{smul } A \ (B \cup C)$ $\text{smul } A \ B \subseteq \text{smul } (A \cup C) \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-empty [simp]*: $\text{smul } A \ \{\} = \{\}$ $\text{smul } \{\} \ A = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-empty'*:
assumes $A \cap M = \{\}$
shows $\text{smul } B \ A = \{\}$ $\text{smul } A \ B = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smul-is-empty-iff [simp]*: $\text{smul } A \ B = \{\} \iff A \cap M = \{\} \vee B \cap M = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma smul-D [simp]:  $\text{smul } A \{1\} = A \cap M$   $\text{smul } \{1\} A = A \cap M$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma smul-Int-carrier-eq [simp]:  $\text{smul } A (B \cap M) = \text{smul } A B \text{smul } (A \cap M) B$ 
   $= \text{smul } A B$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma smul-assoc:
  shows  $\text{smul } (\text{smul } A B) C = \text{smul } A (\text{smul } B C)$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma finite-smul:
  assumes finite A finite B shows finite ( $\text{smul } A B$ )
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma finite-smul':
  assumes finite ( $A \cap M$ ) finite ( $B \cap M$ )
  shows finite ( $\text{smul } A B$ )
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

1.3 Exponentiation in a monoid: definitions and lemmas

```

primrec power :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infix  $\wedge$  100)
  where
    power0: power g 0 = 1
  | power-suc: power g (Suc n) = power g n  $\cdot$  g

lemma power-one:
  assumes g  $\in$  M
  shows power g 1 = g  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma power-mem-carrier:
  fixes n
  assumes g  $\in$  M
  shows  $g^\wedge n \in M$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma power-mult:
  assumes g  $\in$  M
  shows  $g^\wedge n \cdot g^\wedge m = g^\wedge (n + m)$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma mult-inverse-power:
  assumes g  $\in$  M and invertible g
  shows  $g^\wedge n \cdot ((\text{inverse } g)^\wedge n) = 1$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma inverse-mult-power:
  assumes g  $\in$  M and invertible g

```

```

shows ((inverse g)  $\wedge$  n)  $\cdot$  g  $\wedge$  n = 1 ⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-mult-power-eq:
assumes g ∈ M and invertible g
shows inverse (g  $\wedge$  n) = (inverse g)  $\wedge$  n
⟨proof⟩

definition power-int :: 'a ⇒ int ⇒ 'a (infixr powi 80) where
power-int g n = (if n ≥ 0 then g  $\wedge$  (nat n) else (inverse g)  $\wedge$  (nat (-n)))

definition nat-powers :: 'a ⇒ 'a set where nat-powers g = ((λ n. g  $\wedge$  n) ‘ UNIV)

lemma nat-powers-eq-Union: nat-powers g = (U n. {g  $\wedge$  n}) ⟨proof⟩

definition powers :: 'a ⇒ 'a set where powers g = ((λ n. g powi n) ‘ UNIV)

lemma nat-powers-subset:
assumes nat-powers g ⊆ powers g
⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-nat-powers-subset:
assumes nat-powers (inverse g) ⊆ powers g
⟨proof⟩

lemma powers-eq-union-nat-powers:
assumes powers g = nat-powers g ∪ nat-powers (inverse g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-mem-nat-powers: 1 ∈ nat-powers g
⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-powers-subset-carrier:
assumes g ∈ M
shows nat-powers g ⊆ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-powers-mult-closed:
assumes g ∈ M
shows  $\wedge$  x y. x ∈ nat-powers g ⇒ y ∈ nat-powers g ⇒ x · y ∈ nat-powers g
⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-powers-inv-mult:
assumes g ∈ M and invertible g
shows  $\wedge$  x y. x ∈ nat-powers g ⇒ y ∈ nat-powers (inverse g) ⇒ x · y ∈
powers g
⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-nat-powers-mult:
assumes g ∈ M and invertible g

```

shows $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{nat-powers}(\text{inverse } g) \implies y \in \text{nat-powers } g \implies x \cdot y \in \text{powers } g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *powers-mult-closed*:

assumes $g \in M$ **and** *invertible* g
shows $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{powers } g \implies y \in \text{powers } g \implies x \cdot y \in \text{powers } g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-powers-submonoid*:

assumes $g \in M$
shows *submonoid* ($\text{nat-powers } g$) $M (\cdot) \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-powers-monoid*:

assumes $g \in M$
shows *Group-Theory.monoid* ($\text{nat-powers } g$) $(\cdot) \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *powers-submonoid*:

assumes $g \in M$ **and** *invertible* g
shows *submonoid* ($\text{powers } g$) $M (\cdot) \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *powers-monoid*:

assumes $g \in M$ **and** *invertible* g
shows *Group-Theory.monoid* ($\text{powers } g$) $(\cdot) \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem-nat-powers-invertible*:

assumes $g \in M$ **and** *invertible* g **and** $u \in \text{nat-powers } g$
shows *monoid.invertible* ($\text{powers } g$) $(\cdot) \mathbf{1} u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem-nat-inv-powers-invertible*:

assumes $g \in M$ **and** *invertible* g **and** $u \in \text{nat-powers}(\text{inverse } g)$
shows *monoid.invertible* ($\text{powers } g$) $(\cdot) \mathbf{1} u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *powers-group*:

assumes $g \in M$ **and** *invertible* g
shows *Group-Theory.group* ($\text{powers } g$) $(\cdot) \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-powers-ne-one*:

assumes $g \in M$ **and** $g \neq \mathbf{1}$
shows $\text{nat-powers } g \neq \{\mathbf{1}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma powers-ne-one:
  assumes g ∈ M and g ≠ 1
  shows powers g ≠ {1} ⟨proof⟩

end

context group

begin

lemma powers-subgroup:
  assumes g ∈ G
  shows subgroup (powers g) G (·) 1
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context monoid

begin

```

1.4 Definition of the order of an element in a monoid

```

definition order
  where order g = (if (exists n. n > 0 ∧ g ^ n = 1) then Min {n. g ^ n = 1 ∧ n > 0} else ∞)

definition min-order where min-order = Min ((order ` M) - {0})

end

```

1.5 Sumset scalar multiplication cardinality lemmas

```

context group

begin

lemma card-smul-singleton-right-eq:
  assumes finite A shows card (smul A {a}) = (if a ∈ G then card (A ∩ G) else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-smul-singleton-left-eq:
  assumes finite A shows card (smul {a} A) = (if a ∈ G then card (A ∩ G) else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-smul-sing-right-le:
  assumes finite A shows card (smul A {a}) ≤ card A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
lemma card-smul-sing-left-le:
  assumes finite A shows card (smul {a} A) ≤ card A
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma card-le-smul-right:
  assumes A: finite A a ∈ A a ∈ G
  and B: finite B B ⊆ G
  shows card B ≤ card (smul A B)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma card-le-smul-left:
  assumes A: finite A b ∈ B b ∈ G
  and B: finite B A ⊆ G
  shows card A ≤ card (smul A B)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma infinite-smul-right:
  assumes A ∩ G ≠ {} and infinite (B ∩ G)
  shows infinite (A ⋯ B)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma infinite-smul-left:
  assumes B ∩ G ≠ {} and infinite (A ∩ G)
  shows infinite (A ⋯ B)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

1.6 Pointwise set multiplication in a group: auxiliary lemmas

```
lemma set-inverse-composition-commute:
  assumes X ⊆ G and Y ⊆ G
  shows inverse ‘(X ⋯ Y) = (inverse ‘ Y) ⋯ (inverse ‘ X)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma smul-singleton-eq-contains-nat-powers:
  fixes n :: nat
  assumes X ⊆ G and g ∈ G and X ⋯ {g} = X
  shows X ⋯ {g ^ n} = X
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma smul-singleton-eq-contains-inverse-nat-powers:
  fixes n :: nat
  assumes X ⊆ G and g ∈ G and X ⋯ {g} = X
  shows X ⋯ {(inverse g) ^ n} = X
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma smul-singleton-eq-contains-powers:
  fixes n :: nat
```

```

assumes  $X \subseteq G$  and  $g \in G$  and  $X \cdots \{g\} = X$ 
shows  $X \cdots (\text{powers } g) = X$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

1.7 *ecard – extended definition of cardinality of a set*

ecard – definition of a cardinality of a set taking values in *enat* – extended natural numbers, defined to be ∞ for infinite sets

```
definition ecard where ecard  $A = (\text{if finite } A \text{ then card } A \text{ else } \infty)$ 
```

```

lemma ecard-eq-card-finite:
  assumes finite  $A$ 
  shows ecard  $A = \text{card } A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

context monoid
begin

```

orderOf – abbreviation for the order of a monoid

```
abbreviation orderOf where orderOf == ecard
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

2 Generalized Cauchy–Davenport theorem: main proof

```

theory Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-main-proof
  imports Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-preliminaries
begin

```

```
context group
```

```
begin
```

2.1 The counterexample pair relation in [4]

```
definition devos-rel where
```

```

devos-rel =  $(\lambda (A, B). \text{card}(A \cdots B)) \langle *mlex* \rangle (\text{inv-image } (\{(n, m). n > m\}$ 
 $\langle *lex* \rangle$ 
 $\text{measure } (\lambda (A, B). \text{card } A))) (\lambda (A, B). (\text{card } A + \text{card } B, (A, B)))$ 

```

```
lemma devos-rel-iff:
```

```
 $((A, B), (C, D)) \in \text{devos-rel} \longleftrightarrow \text{card}(A \cdots B) < \text{card}(C \cdots D) \vee$ 
```

```


$$(card(A \cdots B) = card(C \cdots D) \wedge card A + card B > card C + card D) \vee$$


$$(card(A \cdots B) = card(C \cdots D) \wedge card A + card B = card C + card D \wedge card$$


$$A < card C)$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


```

lemma *devos-rel-le-smul*:

```


$$((A, B), (C, D)) \in devos-rel \implies card(A \cdots B) \leq card(C \cdots D)$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


```

Lemma stating that the above relation due to DeVos is well-founded

lemma *devos-rel-wf* : wf (*Restr devos-rel*

```


$$\{(A, B). finite A \wedge A \neq \{\} \wedge A \subseteq G \wedge finite B \wedge B \neq \{\} \wedge B \subseteq G\}$$
 (is wf

$$(\text{Restr devos-rel ?fin}))$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


```

2.2 $p(G)$ – the order of the smallest nontrivial finite subgroup of a group: definition and lemmas

$p(G)$ – the size of the smallest nontrivial finite subgroup of G , set to ∞ if none exist

definition $p :: enat$ **where** $p = Inf (orderOf ` \{H. subgroup H G (\cdot) \mathbf{1} \wedge H \neq \{1\}\})$

lemma *subgroup-finite-ge*:

```

assumes subgroup H G (\cdot)  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $H \neq \{1\}$  and finite H
shows card H  $\geq p$ 

$$\langle proof \rangle$$


```

lemma *subgroup-infinite-or-card-ge*:

```

assumes subgroup H G (\cdot)  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $H \neq \{1\}$ 
shows infinite H  $\vee$  card H  $\geq p$ 

$$\langle proof \rangle$$


```

end

2.3 Proof of the generalized Cauchy–Davenport theorem for (non-abelian) groups

Generalized Cauchy–Davenport theorem for (non-abelian) groups due to Matt DeVos [4]

theorem (*in group*) *Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport*:

```

assumes hAne:  $A \neq \{\}$  and hBne:  $B \neq \{\}$  and hAG:  $A \subseteq G$  and hBG:  $B \subseteq$ 

$$G$$
 and
hAfin: finite A and hBfin: finite B
shows card (A  $\cdots$  B)  $\geq \min p (card A + card B - 1)$ 

$$\langle proof \rangle$$


```

end

References

- [1] M. Bakšys and A. Koutsoukou-Argyraiki. Kneser’s Theorem and the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, November 2022. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Kneser_Cauchy_Davenport.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] A. L. B. Cauchy. Recherches sur les nombres. *J. École Polytech.*, 9:99–116, 1813.
- [3] H. Davenport. On the Addition of Residue Classes. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-10(1):30–32, 01 1935.
- [4] M. DeVos. On a Generalization of the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem. *Integers*, 16:A7, 2016.