

# First Order Clause

Balazs Toth

March 17, 2025

## Abstract

This entry provides reusable theories that lift properties of first-order (ground and nonground) terms to atoms, literals, and clauses. These properties include substitutions, orders, entailment, and typing. The sessions `AFP/First_Order_Terms` and `AFP/Abstract_Substitution` are the basis of this entry.

## Contents

<b>1 Nonground Terms and Substitutions</b>	<b>17</b>
1.1 Unified naming . . . . .	17
1.2 Term . . . . .	17
1.3 Setup for lifting from terms . . . . .	19
<b>2 Nonground Contexts and Substitutions</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>3 Nonground Clauses and Substitutions</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1 Nonground Atoms . . . . .	22
3.2 Nonground Literals . . . . .	23
3.3 Nonground Literals - Alternative . . . . .	25
3.4 Nonground Clauses . . . . .	25
<b>4 Entailment</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>5 Restricted Orders</b>	<b>60</b>
5.1 Strict Orders . . . . .	60
5.2 Wellfounded Strict Orders . . . . .	61
5.3 Total Strict Orders . . . . .	61
<b>6 Orders with ground restrictions</b>	<b>66</b>
6.1 Ground substitution stability . . . . .	67
6.2 Substitution update stability . . . . .	68

<b>7 Multiset Extensions</b>	<b>69</b>
7.1 Wellfounded Multiset Extensions . . . . .	69
7.2 Total Multiset Extensions . . . . .	70
<b>8 Grounded Multiset Extensions</b>	<b>70</b>
8.1 Ground substitution stability . . . . .	72
8.2 Substitution update stability . . . . .	72
<b>9 Nonground Order</b>	<b>77</b>
theory <i>Ground-Term-Extra</i>	
imports <i>Regular-Tree-Relations.Ground-Terms</i>	
begin	
lemma <i>gterm-is-fun</i> : <i>is-Fun</i> ( <i>term-of-gterm</i> <i>t</i> ) <i>{proof}</i>	
no-notation <i>subst-compose</i> ( <i>infixl</i> $\circ_s$ 75)	
no-notation <i>subst-apply-term</i> ( <i>infixl</i> $\cdot$ 67)	
end	
theory <i>Ground-Context</i>	
imports <i>Ground-Term-Extra</i>	
begin	
type-synonym ' <i>f</i> ground-context = (' <i>f</i> , ' <i>f</i> <i>gterm</i> ) <i>actxt</i>	
abbreviation ( <i>input</i> ) <i>GHole</i> ( $\langle \Box_G \rangle$ ) where $\Box_G \equiv \Box$	
abbreviation <i>ctxt-apply-gterm</i> ( $\langle \cdot \langle \cdot \rangle_G \rangle$ [1000, 0] 1000) where $C\langle s \rangle_G \equiv GFun(C; s)$	
lemma <i>le-size-gctxt</i> : <i>size</i> <i>t</i> $\leq$ <i>size</i> ( $c\langle t \rangle_G$ ) <i>{proof}</i>	
lemma <i>lt-size-gctxt</i> : $c \neq \Box \implies \text{size } t < \text{size } c\langle t \rangle_G$ <i>{proof}</i>	
lemma <i>gctxt-ident-iff-eq-GHole[simp]</i> : $c\langle t \rangle_G = t \longleftrightarrow c = \Box$ <i>{proof}</i>	
end	
theory <i>Multiset-Extra</i>	
imports	
<i>HOL-Library.Multiset</i>	
<i>HOL-Library.Multiset-Order</i>	
<i>Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Multiset-More</i>	
<i>Abstract-Substitution.Natural-Magma-Functor</i>	
begin	

```

lemma exists-multiset [intro]:  $\exists M. x \in \text{set-mset } M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation muliset-magma: natural-magma-with-empty where
  to-set = set-mset and plus = (+) and wrap =  $\lambda l. \{\#l\#}$  and add = add-mset
  and empty = {#}
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation multiset-functor: finite-natural-functor where
  map = image-mset and to-set = set-mset
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation multiset-functor: natural-functor-conversion where
  map = image-mset and to-set = set-mset and map-to = image-mset and
  map-from = image-mset and
  map' = image-mset and to-set' = set-mset
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation muliset-functor: natural-magma-functor where
  map = image-mset and to-set = set-mset and plus = (+) and wrap =  $\lambda l. \{\#l\#}$ 
  and add = add-mset
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma one-le-countE:
  assumes  $1 \leq \text{count } M x$ 
  obtains  $M'$  where  $M = \text{add-mset } x M'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-le-countE:
  assumes  $2 \leq \text{count } M x$ 
  obtains  $M'$  where  $M = \text{add-mset } x (\text{add-mset } x M')$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma three-le-countE:
  assumes  $3 \leq \text{count } M x$ 
  obtains  $M'$  where  $M = \text{add-mset } x (\text{add-mset } x (\text{add-mset } x M'))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma one-step-implies-multipHO-strong:
  fixes  $A B J K :: -\text{multiset}$ 
  defines  $J \equiv B - A$  and  $K \equiv A - B$ 
  assumes  $J \neq \{\#\}$  and  $\forall k \in \# K. \exists x \in \# J. R k x$ 
  shows multipHO  $R A B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Uniq-antimono:  $Q \leq P \implies \text{Uniq } Q \geq \text{Uniq } P$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Uniq-antimono': ( $\bigwedge x. Q x \implies P x$ )  $\implies$  Uniq  $P \implies$  Uniq  $Q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-singleton-right[simp]:
  assumes transp  $R$ 
  shows multp  $R M \{\#x\#\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall y \in\# M. R y x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-singleton-left[simp]:
  assumes transp  $R$ 
  shows multp  $R \{\#x\#\} M \longleftrightarrow (\{\#x\#\} \subset\# M \vee (\exists y \in\# M. R x y))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-singleton-singleton[simp]: transp  $R \implies$  multp  $R \{\#x\#\} \{\#y\#\} \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $R x y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-subset-supersetI: transp  $R \implies$  multp  $R A B \implies C \subseteq\# A \implies B$ 
 $\subseteq\# D \implies$  multp  $R C D$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-double-doubleI:
  assumes transp  $R$  multp  $R A B$ 
  shows multp  $R (A + A) (B + B)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-implies-one-step-strong:
  fixes  $A B I J K :: -multiset$ 
  assumes transp  $R$  and asymp  $R$  and multp  $R A B$ 
  defines  $J \equiv B - A$  and  $K \equiv A - B$ 
  shows  $J \neq \{\#\}$  and  $\forall k \in\# K. \exists x \in\# J. R k x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-double-doubleD:
  assumes transp  $R$  and asymp  $R$  and multp  $R (A + A) (B + B)$ 
  shows multp  $R A B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-double-double:
  transp  $R \implies$  asymp  $R \implies$  multp  $R (A + A) (B + B) \longleftrightarrow$  multp  $R A B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-doubleton-doubleton[simp]:
  transp  $R \implies$  asymp  $R \implies$  multp  $R \{\#x, x\#\} \{\#y, y\#\} \longleftrightarrow R x y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-single-doubleI:  $M \neq \{\#\} \implies$  multp  $R M (M + M)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mult1-implies-one-step-strong:
  assumes trans r and asym r and (A, B) ∈ mult1 r
  shows B - A ≠ {#} and ∀ k ∈# A - B. ∃ j ∈# B - A. (k, j) ∈ r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma asymp-multp:
  assumes asymp R and transp R
  shows asymp (multp R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-doubleton-singleton: transp R ⇒ multp R {# x, x #} {# y #}
  ⇔ R x y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma image-mset-remove1-mset:
  assumes inj f
  shows remove1-mset (f a) (image-mset f X) = image-mset f (remove1-mset a X)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp_DM-map-strong:
  assumes
    f-mono: monotone-on (set-mset (M1 + M2)) R S f and
    M1-lt-M2: multp_DM R M1 M2
  shows multp_DM S (image-mset f M1) (image-mset f M2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-map-strong:
  assumes
    transp: transp R and
    f-mono: monotone-on (set-mset (M1 + M2)) R S f and
    M1-lt-M2: multp R M1 M2
  shows multp S (image-mset f M1) (image-mset f M2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp_HO-add-mset:
  assumes asymp R transp R R x y multp_HO R X Y
  shows multp_HO R (add-mset x X) (add-mset y Y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-add-mset:
  assumes asymp R transp R R x y multp R X Y
  shows multp R (add-mset x X) (add-mset y Y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multp-add-mset':
  assumes R x y
  shows multp R (add-mset x X) (add-mset y X)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multp-add-mset-reflclp*:  
  **assumes** *asymp R transp R R x y (multp R) == X Y*  
  **shows** *multp R (add-mset x X) (add-mset y Y)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multp-add-same [simp]*:  
  **assumes** *asymp R transp R*  
  **shows** *multp R (add-mset x X) (add-mset x Y) \leftrightarrow multp R X Y*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-mset-plus-same*: *inj (\lambda X :: 'a multiset . X + X)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multp-image-lesseq-if-all-lesseq*:  
  **assumes**  
    *asymp: asymp R and*  
    *transp: transp R and*  
    *all-lesseq: \forall x \in \#X. R == (f x) (g x)*  
  **shows** *(multp R) == (image-mset f X) (image-mset g X)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multp-image-less-if-all-lesseq-ex-less*:  
  **assumes**  
    *asymp: asymp R and*  
    *transp: transp R and*  
    *all-less-eq: \forall x \in \#X. R == (f x) (g x) and*  
    *ex-less: \exists x \in \#X. R (f x) (g x)*  
  **shows** *multp R {\# f x. x \in \# X \#} {\# g x. x \in \# X \#}*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-reflp-multpDM*:  $\neg reflp (multp_{DM} R)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-less-empty-multpDM*:  $\neg multp_{DM} R X \{\#\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-reflp-multpHO*:  $\neg reflp (multp_{HO} R)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-less-empty-multpHO*:  $\neg multp_{HO} R X \{\#\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-refl-mult*:  $\neg refl (mult R)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma not-less-empty-mult:  $(X, \{\#\}) \notin \text{mult } R$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma empty-less-mult:  $X \neq \{\#\} \implies (\{\#\}, X) \in \text{mult } R$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma not-reflp-multp:  $\neg \text{reflp}(\text{multp } R)$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma empty-less-multp:  $X \neq \{\#\} \implies \text{multp } R \{\#\} X$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma not-less-empty-multp:  $\neg \text{multp } R X \{\#\}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

end
theory Uprod-Extra
imports
  HOL-Library.Uprod
  Multiset-Extra
  Abstract-Substitution.Natural-Functor
begin

abbreviation upair where
  upair  $\equiv \lambda(x, y). \text{Upair } x y$ 

lemma Upair-sym:  $\text{Upair } x y = \text{Upair } y x$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma upair-in-sym [simp]:
  assumes sym I
  shows  $\text{Upair } a b \in \text{upair} \cdot I \longleftrightarrow (a, b) \in I \wedge (b, a) \in I$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ex-ordered-Upair:
  assumes tot: totalp-on (set-uprod p) R
  shows  $\exists x y. p = \text{Upair } x y \wedge R^{=^+} x y$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

definition mset-uprod :: 'a uprod  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset where
  mset-uprod = case-uprod (Abs-commute ( $\lambda x y. \{\#x, y\#\}$ )))

lemma Abs-commute-inverse-mset[simp]:
  apply-commute (Abs-commute ( $\lambda x y. \{\#x, y\#\}$ )) = ( $\lambda x y. \{\#x, y\#\}$ )
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma set-mset-mset-uprod[simp]: set-mset (mset-uprod up) = set-uprod up
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mset-uprod-Upair[simp]: mset-uprod (Upair x y) = {#x, y#}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-uprod-inverse: (Λx. f (g x) = x) ⟹ (Λy. map-uprod f (map-uprod
g y) = y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-uprod-image-mset: mset-uprod (map-uprod fp) = image-mset f (mset-uprod
p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ball-set-uprod [simp]: (forall t ∈ set-uprod (Upair t1 t2). P t) ←→ P t1 ∧ P t2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-mset-uprod: inj mset-uprod
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-uprod-plus-neq: mset-uprod a ≠ mset-uprod b + mset-uprod b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-uprod-not-empty: set-uprod a ≠ {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-uprod [intro]: ∃ a. x ∈ set-uprod a
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation uprod-functor: finite-natural-functor where map = map-uprod
and to-set = set-uprod
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation uprod-functor: natural-functor-conversion where
  map = map-uprod and to-set = set-uprod and map-to = map-uprod and map-from
  = map-uprod and
  map' = map-uprod and to-set' = set-uprod
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Ground-Clause
imports
  Saturation-Framework-Extensions.Clausal-Calculus
  Ground-Term-Extra
  Ground-Context
  Uprod-Extra
begin

type-synonym 'f gatom = 'f gterm uprod

end

```

```

theory Typing
imports Main
begin

locale predicate-typed =
  fixes typed :: 'expr ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool
  assumes right-unique: right-unique typed
begin

abbreviation is-typed where
  is-typed expr ≡ ∃τ. typed expr τ

lemmas right-uniqueD [dest] = right-uniqueD[OF right-unique]

end

definition uniform-typed-lifting where
  uniform-typed-lifting to-set sub-typed expr ≡ ∃τ. ∀sub ∈ to-set expr. sub-typed
  sub τ

definition is-typed-lifting where
  is-typed-lifting to-set sub-is-typed expr ≡ ∀sub ∈ to-set expr. sub-is-typed sub

locale typing =
  fixes is-typed is-welltyped
  assumes is-typed-if-is-welltyped:
    ∧expr. is-welltyped expr ⇒ is-typed expr

locale explicit-typing =
  typed: predicate-typed where typed = typed +
  welltyped: predicate-typed where typed = welltyped
  for typed welltyped :: 'expr ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool +
  assumes typed-if-welltyped: ∧expr τ. welltyped expr τ ⇒ typed expr τ
begin

abbreviation is-typed where
  is-typed ≡ typed.is-typed

abbreviation is-welltyped where
  is-welltyped ≡ welltyped.is-typed

sublocale typing where is-typed = is-typed and is-welltyped = is-welltyped
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma typed-welltyped-same-type:
  assumes typed expr τ welltyped expr τ'
  shows τ = τ'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

locale uniform-typing-lifting =
  sub: explicit-typing where typed = sub-typed and welltyped = sub-welltyped
  for sub-typed sub-welltyped :: 'sub ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool +
  fixes to-set :: 'expr ⇒ 'sub set
begin

abbreviation is-typed where
  is-typed ≡ uniform-typed-lifting to-set sub-typed

lemmas is-typed-def = uniform-typed-lifting-def[of to-set sub-typed]

abbreviation is-welltyped where
  is-welltyped ≡ uniform-typed-lifting to-set sub-welltyped

lemmas is-welltyped-def = uniform-typed-lifting-def[of to-set sub-welltyped]

sublocale typing where is-typed = is-typed and is-welltyped = is-welltyped
⟨proof⟩

end

locale typing-lifting =
  sub: typing where is-typed = sub-is-typed and is-welltyped = sub-is-welltyped
  for sub-is-typed sub-is-welltyped :: 'sub ⇒ bool +
  fixes
    to-set :: 'expr ⇒ 'sub set
begin

abbreviation is-typed where
  is-typed ≡ is-typed-lifting to-set sub-is-typed

lemmas is-typed-def = is-typed-lifting-def[of to-set sub-is-typed]

abbreviation is-welltyped where
  is-welltyped ≡ is-typed-lifting to-set sub-is-welltyped

lemmas is-welltyped-def = is-typed-lifting-def[of to-set sub-is-welltyped]

sublocale typing where is-typed = is-typed and is-welltyped = is-welltyped
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Natural-Magma-Typing-Lifting
imports
  Abstract-Substitution.Natural-Magma

```

*Typing*

```

begin

locale natural-magma-is-typed-lifting = natural-magma where to-set = to-set
  for to-set :: 'expr  $\Rightarrow$  'sub set +
  fixes sub-is-typed :: 'sub  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

  abbreviation (input) is-typed where
    is-typed  $\equiv$  is-typed-lifting to-set sub-is-typed

  lemma add [simp]:
    is-typed (add sub M)  $\longleftrightarrow$  sub-is-typed sub  $\wedge$  is-typed M
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma plus [simp]:
    is-typed (plus M M')  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-typed M  $\wedge$  is-typed M'
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale natural-magma-with-empty-is-typed-lifting =
  natural-magma-is-typed-lifting + natural-magma-with-empty
begin

  lemma empty [intro]: is-typed empty
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale natural-magma-typing-lifting = typing-lifting + natural-magma
begin

  sublocale is-typed: natural-magma-is-typed-lifting where sub-is-typed = sub-is-typed
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  sublocale is-welltyped: natural-magma-is-typed-lifting where sub-is-typed = sub-is-welltyped
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale natural-magma-with-empty-typing-lifting =
  natural-magma-typing-lifting + natural-magma-with-empty
begin

  sublocale is-typed: natural-magma-with-empty-is-typed-lifting where sub-is-typed
  = sub-is-typed
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

sublocale is-welltyped: natural-magma-with-empty-is-typed-lifting where
  sub-is-typed = sub-is-welltyped
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Multiset-Typing-Lifting
imports
  Natural-Magma-Typing-Lifting
  Multiset-Extra
  Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting
begin

locale multiset-typing-lifting = typing-lifting where to-set = set-mset
begin

sublocale natural-magma-with-empty-typing-lifting where
  to-set = set-mset and plus = (+) and wrap = λl. {#l#} and add = add-mset
  and empty = {#}
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Clausal-Calculus-Extra
imports
  Saturation-Framework-Extensions.Clausal-Calculus
  Uprod-Extra
begin

lemma literal-cases: [|P ∈ {Pos, Neg}; P = Pos ⟹ P; P = Neg ⟹ P|] ⟹ P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-literal-inverse:
  ( $\bigwedge x. f(gx) = x \implies (\bigwedge l. \text{map-literal } f(\text{map-literal } g l) = l)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-literal-comp:
   $\text{map-literal } f(\text{map-literal } g l) = \text{map-literal } (\lambda a. f(ga)) l$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma literals-distinct [simp]: Pos ≠ Neg Neg ≠ Pos
  ⟨proof⟩

primrec mset-lit :: 'a uprod literal ⇒ 'a multiset where
  mset-lit (Pos a) = mset-uprod a |
  mset-lit (Neg a) = mset-uprod a + mset-uprod a

```

```

lemma mset-lit-image-mset: mset-lit (map-literal (map-uprod f) l) = image-mset
f (mset-lit l)
⟨proof⟩

lemma uprod-mem-image-iff-prod-mem[simp]:
assumes sym I
shows (Upair t t') ∈ (λ(t1, t2). Upair t1 t2) ` I ↔ (t, t') ∈ I
⟨proof⟩

lemma true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod[simp]:
assumes sym I
shows
  upair ` I ≡ Pos (Upair t t') ↔ I ≡ Pos (t, t')
  upair ` I ≡ Neg (Upair t t') ↔ I ≡ Neg (t, t')
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation Pos-Upair (infix ≈ 66) where
Pos-Upair t t' ≡ Pos (Upair t t')

abbreviation Neg-Upair (infix !≈ 66) where
Neg-Upair t t' ≡ Neg (Upair t t')

lemma exists-literal-for-atom [intro]: ∃ l. a ∈ set-literal l
⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-literal-for-term [intro]: ∃ l. t ∈# mset-lit l
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-set-literal [intro]: finite (set-literal l)
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-literal-map-uprod-cong:
assumes ⋀t. t ∈# mset-lit l ⇒ f t = g t
shows map-literal (map-uprod f) l = map-literal (map-uprod g) l
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-mset-set-uprod: set-mset (mset-lit l) = set-uprod (atm-of l)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-lit-set-literal: t ∈# mset-lit l ↔ t ∈ ⋃ (set-uprod ` set-literal l)
⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-mset-lit: inj mset-lit
⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation literal-functor: finite-natural-functor where
map = map-literal and to-set = set-literal
⟨proof⟩

```

```

global-interpretation literal-functor: natural-functor-conversion where
  map = map-literal and to-set = set-literal and map-to = map-literal and
  map-from = map-literal and
  map' = map-literal and to-set' = set-literal
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation uprod-literal-to-set where uprod-literal-to-set l ≡ set-mset (mset-lit
l)

abbreviation map-uprod-literal where map-uprod-literal f ≡ map-literal (map-uprod
f)

global-interpretation uprod-literal-functor: finite-natural-functor where
  map = map-uprod-literal and to-set = uprod-literal-to-set
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation uprod-literal-functor: natural-functor-conversion where
  map = map-uprod-literal and to-set = uprod-literal-to-set and map-to = map-uprod-literal
  and
  map-from = map-uprod-literal and map' = map-uprod-literal and to-set' = up-
  rod-literal-to-set
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-inference [intro]: ∃ i. f ∈ set-inference i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-set-inference [intro]: finite (set-inference i)
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation inference-functor: finite-natural-functor where
  map = map-inference and to-set = set-inference
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation inference-functor: natural-functor-conversion where
  map = map-inference and to-set = set-inference and map-to = map-inference
  and
  map-from = map-inference and map' = map-inference and to-set' = set-inference
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Clause-Typing
  imports
    Multiset-Typing-Lifting

    Clausal-Calculus-Extra
    Multiset-Extra
    Uprod-Extra
begin

```

```

locale clause-typing =
  term: explicit-typing term-typed term-welltyped
  for term-typed term-welltyped
begin

sublocale atom: uniform-typing-lifting where
  sub-typed = term-typed and
  sub-welltyped = term-welltyped and
  to-set = set-uprod
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma atom-is-typed-iff [simp]:
  atom.is-typed (Upair t t')  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists \tau$ . term-typed  $t \tau \wedge$  term-typed  $t' \tau$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma atom-is-welltyped-iff [simp]:
  atom.is-welltyped (Upair t t')  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists \tau$ . term-welltyped  $t \tau \wedge$  term-welltyped  $t' \tau$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale literal: typing-lifting where
  sub-is-typed = atom.is-typed and
  sub-is-welltyped = atom.is-welltyped and
  to-set = set-literal
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma literal-is-typed-iff [simp]:
  literal.is-typed ( $t \approx t'$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom.is-typed (Upair t t')
  literal.is-typed ( $t \not\approx t'$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom.is-typed (Upair t t')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma literal-is-welltyped-iff [simp]:
  literal.is-welltyped ( $t \approx t'$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom.is-welltyped (Upair t t')
  literal.is-welltyped ( $t \not\approx t'$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom.is-welltyped (Upair t t')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma literal-is-typed-iff-atm-of: literal.is-typed  $l \longleftrightarrow$  atom.is-typed (atm-of  $l$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma literal-is-welltyped-iff-atm-of:
  literal.is-welltyped  $l \longleftrightarrow$  atom.is-welltyped (atm-of  $l$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale clause: mulitset-typing-lifting where
  sub-is-typed = literal.is-typed and
  sub-is-welltyped = literal.is-welltyped
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

end
theory Context-Extra
imports First-Order-Terms.Subterm-and-Context
begin

no-notation subst-compose (infixl  $\circ_s$  75)
no-notation subst-apply-term (infixl  $\cdot$  67)

end
theory Term-Typing
imports Typing Context-Extra
begin

type-synonym ('f, 'ty) fun-types = 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'ty list  $\times$  'ty

locale context-compatible-typing =
fixes Fun typed
assumes
context-compatible [intro]:
 $\bigwedge t t' c \tau \tau'.$ 
 $typed\ t\ \tau' \implies$ 
 $typed\ t'\ \tau' \implies$ 
 $typed\ (Fun(c; t))\ \tau \implies$ 
 $typed\ (Fun(c; t'))\ \tau$ 

locale subterm-typing =
fixes Fun typed
assumes
subterm':  $\bigwedge f ts \tau . typed\ (Fun\ f\ ts)\ \tau \implies \forall t \in set\ ts. \exists \tau'. typed\ t\ \tau'$ 
begin

lemma subterm: typed (Fun(c; t))  $\tau \implies \exists \tau. typed\ t\ \tau$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

locale term-typing =
explicit-typing +
typed: context-compatible-typing where typed = typed +
welltyped: context-compatible-typing where typed = welltyped +
welltyped: subterm-typing where typed = welltyped +
assumes all-terms-are-typed:  $\bigwedge t. is-typed\ t$ 
begin

sublocale typed: subterm-typing
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

end
theory Ground-Typing
imports
  Ground-Clause
  Clause-Typing
  Term-Typing
begin

inductive typed for  $\mathcal{F}$  where
   $GFun: \mathcal{F} f = (\tau s, \tau) \implies typed \mathcal{F} (GFun f ts) \tau$ 

inductive welltyped for  $\mathcal{F}$  where
   $GFun: \mathcal{F} f = (\tau s, \tau) \implies list-all2 (welltyped \mathcal{F}) ts \tau s \implies welltyped \mathcal{F} (GFun f ts) \tau$ 

locale ground-term-typing =
  fixes  $\mathcal{F} :: (f, 'ty)$  fun-types
begin

abbreviation typed where typed  $\equiv$  Ground-Typing.typed  $\mathcal{F}$ 
abbreviation welltyped where welltyped  $\equiv$  Ground-Typing.welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$ 

sublocale explicit-typing where typed = typed and welltyped = welltyped
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale term-typing where typed = typed and welltyped = welltyped and Fun
  = GFun
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale ground-typing = term: ground-term-typing
begin

sublocale clause-typing where term-typed = term.typed and term-welltyped =
  term.welltyped
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Nonground-Term
imports
  Abstract-Substitution.Substitution-First-Order-Term
  Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting
  Ground-Term-Extra
begin

no-notation subst-compose (infixl  $\circ_s$  75)

```

```

notation subst-compose (infixl ⊕ 75)

no-notation subst-apply-term (infixl · 67)
notation subst-apply-term (infixl · t 67)

Prefer term-subst.subst-id-subst to subst-apply-term-empty.

declare subst-apply-term-empty[no-atp]

```

## 1 Nonground Terms and Substitutions

**type-synonym** 'f ground-term = 'f gterm

### 1.1 Unified naming

```

locale vars-def =
  fixes vars-def :: 'expr ⇒ 'var
begin

abbreviation vars ≡ vars-def

end

locale grounding-def =
  fixes
    to-ground-def :: 'expr ⇒ 'exprG and
    from-ground-def :: 'exprG ⇒ 'expr
begin

abbreviation to-ground ≡ to-ground-def

abbreviation from-ground ≡ from-ground-def

end

```

### 1.2 Term

```

locale nonground-term-properties =
  base-functional-substitution +
  finite-variables +
  all-subst-ident-iff-ground

locale term-grounding =
  variables-in-base-imgu where base-vars = vars and base-subst = subst +
  grounding

locale nonground-term
begin

```

```

sublocale vars-def where vars-def = vars-term ⟨proof⟩

sublocale grounding-def where
  to-ground-def = gterm-of-term and from-ground-def = term-of-gterm ⟨proof⟩

lemma infinite-terms [intro]: infinite (UNIV :: ('f, 'v) term set)
⟨proof⟩

sublocale nonground-term-properties where
  subst = (·t) and id-subst = Var and comp-subst = (⊙) and
  vars = vars :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ 'v set
⟨proof⟩

sublocale renaming-variables where
  vars = vars :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ 'v set and subst = (·t) and id-subst = Var and
  comp-subst = (⊙)
⟨proof⟩

sublocale term-grounding where
  subst = (·t) and id-subst = Var and comp-subst = (⊙) and
  vars = vars :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ 'v set and from-ground = from-ground and
  to-ground = to-ground
⟨proof⟩

lemma term-context-ground-iff-term-is-ground [simp]: Term-Context.ground t =
is-ground t
⟨proof⟩

declare Term-Context.ground-vars-term-empty [simp del]

lemma obtain-ground-fun:
  assumes is-ground t
  obtains f ts where t = Fun f ts
⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 1.3 Setup for lifting from terms

```

locale lifting =
  based-functional-substitution-lifting +
  all-subst-ident-iff-ground-lifting +
  grounding-lifting +
  renaming-variables-lifting +
  variables-in-base-imgu-lifting

locale term-based-lifting =
  term: nonground-term +
  lifting where

```

```

comp-subst = ( $\odot$ ) and id-subst = Var and base-subst = ( $\cdot t$ ) and base-vars =
term.vars

```

```

end
theory Nonground-Context
imports
  Nonground-Term
  Ground-Context
begin

```

## 2 Nonground Contexts and Substitutions

```

type-synonym ('f, 'v) context = ('f, 'v) ctxt

```

```

abbreviation subst-apply-ctxt :: ('f, 'v) context  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) context (infixl  $\cdot t_c$  67) where
  subst-apply-ctxt  $\equiv$  subst-apply-actxt

```

```

global-interpretation context: finite-natural-functor where
  map = map-args-actxt and to-set = set2-actxt
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

global-interpretation context: natural-functor-conversion where
  map = map-args-actxt and to-set = set2-actxt and map-to = map-args-actxt
  and
  map-from = map-args-actxt and map' = map-args-actxt and to-set' = set2-actxt
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale nonground-context =
  term: nonground-term
begin

```

```

sublocale term-based-lifting where
  sub-subst = ( $\cdot t$ ) and sub-vars = term.vars and
  to-set = set2-actxt :: ('f, 'v) context  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term set and map = map-args-actxt
  and
  sub-to-ground = term.to-ground and sub-from-ground = term.from-ground and
  to-ground-map = map-args-actxt and from-ground-map = map-args-actxt and
  ground-map = map-args-actxt and to-set-ground = set2-actxt
rewrites
   $\lambda c \sigma. \text{subst } c \sigma = c \cdot t_c \sigma$  and
   $\lambda c. \text{vars } c = \text{vars-ctxt } c$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ground-ctxt-iff-context-is-ground [simp]: ground-ctxt c  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-ground c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma term-to-ground-context-to-ground [simp]:

```

```

shows term.to-ground  $c\langle t \rangle = (\text{to-ground } c)\langle \text{term.to-ground } t \rangle_G$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma term-from-ground-context-from-ground [simp]:
term.from-ground  $c_G\langle t_G \rangle_G = (\text{from-ground } c_G)\langle \text{term.from-ground } t_G \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma term-from-ground-context-to-ground:
assumes is-ground  $c$ 
shows term.from-ground  $(\text{to-ground } c)\langle t_G \rangle_G = c\langle \text{term.from-ground } t_G \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas safe-unfolds =
eval-ctxt
term-to-ground-context-to-ground
term-from-ground-context-from-ground

lemma composed-context-is-ground [simp]:
is-ground  $(c \circ_c c') \longleftrightarrow \text{is-ground } c \wedge \text{is-ground } c'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ground-context-subst:
assumes
is-ground  $c_G$ 
 $c_G = (c \cdot t_c \sigma) \circ_c c'$ 
shows
 $c_G = c \circ_c c' \cdot t_c \sigma$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma from-ground-hole [simp]: from-ground  $c_G = \square \longleftrightarrow c_G = \square$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma hole-simps [simp]: from-ground  $\square = \square$  to-ground  $\square = \square$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma term-with-context-is-ground [simp]:
term.is-ground  $c\langle t \rangle \longleftrightarrow \text{is-ground } c \wedge \text{term.is-ground } t$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma map-args-actxt-compose [simp]:
map-args-actxt  $f (c \circ_c c') = \text{map-args-actxt } f c \circ_c \text{map-args-actxt } f c'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma from-ground-compose [simp]: from-ground  $(c \circ_c c') = \text{from-ground } c \circ_c$ 
from-ground  $c'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma to-ground-compose [simp]: to-ground  $(c \circ_c c') = \text{to-ground } c \circ_c \text{to-ground }$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

 $c'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale nonground-term-with-context =
  term: nonground-term +
  context: nonground-context

end
theory Multiset-Grounding-Lifting
  imports
    HOL-Library.Multiset
    Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting
begin

locale multiset-grounding-lifting =
  functional-substitution-lifting where to-set = set-mset and map = image-mset
+
  grounding-lifting where
    to-set = set-mset and map = image-mset and to-ground-map = image-mset and
    from-ground-map = image-mset and ground-map = image-mset and to-set-ground
    = set-mset
begin

sublocale natural-magma-with-empty-grounding-lifting where
  plus = (+) and wrap =  $\lambda l. \{ \#l \# \}$  and plus-ground = (+) and wrap-ground =
   $\lambda l. \{ \#l \# \}$  and
  empty = {#} and empty-ground = {#} and to-set = set-mset and map =
  image-mset and
  to-ground-map = image-mset and from-ground-map = image-mset and ground-map
  = image-mset and
  to-set-ground = set-mset and add = add-mset and add-ground = add-mset
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale natural-magma-functor-functional-substitution-lifting where
  plus = (+) and wrap =  $\lambda l. \{ \#l \# \}$  and to-set = set-mset and map = image-mset
  and add = add-mset
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end
theory Nonground-Clause
  imports
    Ground-Clause
    Nonground-Term
    Nonground-Context
    Clausal-Calculus-Extra

```

```

Multiset-Extra
Multiset-Grounding-Lifting
begin

```

### 3 Nonground Clauses and Substitutions

```

type-synonym 'f ground-atom = 'f gatom
type-synonym ('f, 'v) atom = ('f, 'v) term uprod

locale term-based-multiset-lifting =
  term-based-lifting where
    map = image-mset and to-set = set-mset and to-ground-map = image-mset and
    from-ground-map = image-mset and ground-map = image-mset and to-set-ground
    = set-mset
begin

sublocale multiset-grounding-lifting where
  id-subst = Var and comp-subst = ( $\odot$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale nonground-clause = nonground-term-with-context
begin

```

#### 3.1 Nonground Atoms

```

sublocale atom: term-based-lifting where
  sub-subst = ( $\cdot t$ ) and sub-vars = term.vars and map = map-uprod and to-set =
  set-uprod and
  sub-to-ground = term.to-ground and sub-from-ground = term.from-ground and
  to-ground-map = map-uprod and from-ground-map = map-uprod and ground-map
  = map-uprod and
  to-set-ground = set-uprod
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

notation atom.subst (infixl ·a 67)

```

```

lemma vars-atom [simp]: atom.vars (Upair t1 t2) = term.vars t1  $\cup$  term.vars t2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-atom [simp]:
  Upair t1 t2 ·a  $\sigma$  = Upair (t1 ·t  $\sigma$ ) (t2 ·t  $\sigma$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma atom-from-ground-term-from-ground [simp]:
  atom.from-ground (Upair tG1 tG2) = Upair (term.from-ground tG1) (term.from-ground
  tG2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma atom-to-ground-term-to-ground [simp]:
  atom.to-ground (Upair t1 t2) = Upair (term.to-ground t1) (term.to-ground t2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma atom-is-ground-term-is-ground [simp]:
  atom.is-ground (Upair t1 t2) ↔ term.is-ground t1 ∧ term.is-ground t2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-from-atom-subst:
  assumes Upair t1' t2' = a · a σ
  obtains t1 t2
  where a = Upair t1 t2 t1' = t1 · t σ t2' = t2 · t σ
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 3.2 Nonground Literals

```

sublocale literal: term-based-lifting where
  sub-subst = atom.subst and sub-vars = atom.vars and map = map-literal and
  to-set = set-literal and sub-to-ground = atom.to-ground and
  sub-from-ground = atom.from-ground and to-ground-map = map-literal and
  from-ground-map = map-literal and ground-map = map-literal and to-set-ground
  = set-literal
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
notation literal.subst (infixl · l 66)
```

```

lemma vars-literal [simp]:
  literal.vars (Pos a) = atom.vars a
  literal.vars (Neg a) = atom.vars a
  literal.vars ((if b then Pos else Neg) a) = atom.vars a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-literal [simp]:
  Pos a · l σ = Pos (a · a σ)
  Neg a · l σ = Neg (a · a σ)
  atm-of (l · l σ) = atm-of l · a σ
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-literal-if [simp]:
  (if b then Pos else Neg) a · l ρ = (if b then Pos else Neg) (a · a ρ)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-polarity-stable:
  shows
    subst-neg-stable [simp]: is-neg (l · l σ) ↔ is-neg l and
    subst-pos-stable [simp]: is-pos (l · l σ) ↔ is-pos l
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare literal.discI [intro]

lemma literal-from-ground-atom-from-ground [simp]:
  literal.from-ground (Neg aG) = Neg (atom.from-ground aG)
  literal.from-ground (Pos aG) = Pos (atom.from-ground aG)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma literal-from-ground-polarity-stable [simp]:
  shows
    neg-literal-from-ground-stable: is-neg (literal.from-ground lG)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-neg lG and
    pos-literal-from-ground-stable: is-pos (literal.from-ground lG)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-pos lG
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma literal-to-ground-atom-to-ground [simp]:
  literal.to-ground (Pos a) = Pos (atom.to-ground a)
  literal.to-ground (Neg a) = Neg (atom.to-ground a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma literal-is-ground-atom-is-ground [intro]:
  literal.is-ground l  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom.is-ground (atm-of l)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-from-pos-literal-subst:
  assumes l · l σ = t1'  $\approx$  t2'
  obtains t1 t2
  where l = t1  $\approx$  t2 t1' = t1 · t σ t2' = t2 · t σ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-from-neg-literal-subst:
  assumes l · l σ = t1' ! $\approx$  t2'
  obtains t1 t2
  where l = t1 ! $\approx$  t2 t1 · t σ = t1' t2 · t σ = t2'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas obtain-from-literal-subst = obtain-from-pos-literal-subst obtain-from-neg-literal-subst

```

### 3.3 Nonground Literals - Alternative

```

lemma uprod-literal [simp]:
  fixes l
  shows
    functional-substitution-lifting.subst (·t) map-uprod-literal l σ = l · l σ
    functional-substitution-lifting.vars term.vars uprod-literal-to-set l = literal.vars l
    grounding-lifting.from-ground term.from-ground map-uprod-literal lG = literal.from-ground
    lG
    grounding-lifting.to-ground term.to-ground map-uprod-literal l = literal.to-ground
    l
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uprod-literal-subst-eq-literal-subst: map-uprod-literal ( $\lambda t. t \cdot t \sigma$ )  $l = l \cdot l \sigma$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uprod-literal-vars-eq-literal-vars:  $\bigcup$  (term.vars ` uprod-literal-to-set  $l$ ) =  

  literal.vars  $l$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uprod-literal-from-ground-eq-literal-from-ground:  

  map-uprod-literal term.from-ground  $l_G$  = literal.from-ground  $l_G$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uprod-literal-to-ground-eq-literal-to-ground:  

  map-uprod-literal term.to-ground  $l$  = literal.to-ground  $l$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale uprod-literal: term-based-lifting where  

  sub-subst = ( $\cdot t$ ) and sub-vars = term.vars and map = map-uprod-literal and  

  to-set = uprod-literal-to-set and sub-to-ground = term.to-ground and  

  sub-from-ground = term.from-ground and to-ground-map = map-uprod-literal  

and  

  from-ground-map = map-uprod-literal and ground-map = map-uprod-literal and  

  to-set-ground = uprod-literal-to-set  

rewrites  

   $\bigwedge l \sigma. \text{uprod-literal.subst } l \sigma = \text{literal.subst } l \sigma \text{ and}$   

   $\bigwedge l. \text{uprod-literal.vars } l = \text{literal.vars } l \text{ and}$   

 $\bigwedge l_G. \text{uprod-literal.from-ground } l_G = \text{literal.from-ground } l_G \text{ and}$   

 $\bigwedge l. \text{uprod-literal.to-ground } l = \text{literal.to-ground } l$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mset-literal-from-ground:  

  mset-lit (literal.from-ground  $l$ ) = image-mset term.from-ground (mset-lit  $l$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

### 3.4 Nonground Clauses

```

sublocale clause: term-based-multiset-lifting where  

  sub-subst = literal.subst and sub-vars = literal.vars and sub-to-ground = lit-  

  eral.to-ground and  

  sub-from-ground = literal.from-ground  

   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**notation** clause.subst (infixl  $\cdot$  67)

```

lemmas clause-submset-vars-clause-subset [intro] =  

  clause.to-set-subset-vars-subset[OF set-mset-mono]

lemmas sub-ground-clause = clause.to-set-subset-is-ground[OF set-mset-mono]

lemma subst-clause-remove1-mset [simp]:

```

```

assumes  $l \in \# C$ 
shows  $\text{remove1-mset } l \ C \cdot \sigma = \text{remove1-mset} (l \cdot l \ \sigma) (C \cdot \sigma)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma clause-from-ground-remove1-mset [simp]:
  clause.from-ground (remove1-mset  $l_G \ C_G$ ) =
    remove1-mset (literal.from-ground  $l_G$ ) (clause.from-ground  $C_G$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas clause-safe-unfolds =
  atom-to-ground-term-to-ground
  literal-to-ground-atom-to-ground
  atom-from-ground-term-from-ground
  literal-from-ground-atom-from-ground
  literal-from-ground-polarity-stable
  subst-atom
  subst-literal
  vars-atom
  vars-literal

end

end
theory Selection-Function
  imports Ordered-Resolution-Prover.Clausal-Logic
begin

locale selection-function =
  fixes select :: 'a clause  $\Rightarrow$  'a clause
  assumes
    select-subset:  $\bigwedge C. \text{select } C \subseteq \# C$  and
    select-negative-literals:  $\bigwedge C \ l. \ l \in \# \text{select } C \implies \text{is-neg } l$ 

end
theory Nonground-Selection-Function
  imports
    Nonground-Clauses
    Selection-Function
begin

type-synonym 'f ground-select = 'f ground-atom clause  $\Rightarrow$  'f ground-atom clause
type-synonym ('f, 'v) select = ('f, 'v) atom clause  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) atom clause

context nonground-clause
begin

definition is-select-grounding :: ('f, 'v) select  $\Rightarrow$  'f ground-select  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-select-grounding select  $\text{select}_G \equiv \forall C_G. \ \exists C \ \gamma.$ 
    clause.is-ground ( $C \cdot \gamma$ )  $\wedge$ 

```

```

 $C_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (C \cdot \gamma) \wedge$ 
 $\text{select}_G C_G = \text{clause.to-ground } ((\text{select } C) \cdot \gamma)$ 

end

locale nonground-selection-function =
  nonground-clause +
  selection-function select
  for select :: ('f, 'v) atom clause  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) atom clause
begin

abbreviation is-grounding :: 'f ground-select  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-grounding select_G  $\equiv$  is-select-grounding select select_G

definition select_Gs where
  select_Gs = { select_G. is-grounding select_G }

definition select_G-simple where
  select_G-simple C = clause.to-ground (select (clause.from-ground C))

lemma select_G-simple: is-grounding select_G-simple
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma select-is-ground:
  assumes clause.is-ground C
  shows clause.is-ground (select C)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-ground-in-selection:
  assumes l  $\in \#$  select (clause.from-ground C)
  shows literal.is-ground l
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ground-literal-in-selection:
  assumes clause.is-ground C l_G  $\in \#$  clause.to-ground C
  shows literal.from-ground l_G  $\in \#$  C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma select-ground-subst:
  assumes clause.is-ground (C  $\cdot$   $\gamma$ )
  shows clause.is-ground (select C  $\cdot$   $\gamma$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma select-neg-subst:
  assumes l  $\in \#$  select C  $\cdot$   $\gamma$ 
  shows is-neg l
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma select-vars-subset:  $\bigwedge C. \text{clause.vars } (\text{select } C) \subseteq \text{clause.vars } C$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Infinite-Variables-Per-Type
imports
  HOL-Library.Countable-Set
  HOL-Cardinals.Cardinals
  Fresh-Identifiers.Fresh
begin

lemma infinite-prods:
  fixes x :: 'a :: infinite
  shows infinite {p :: 'a × 'a. fst p = x}
⟨proof⟩

lemma surj-infinite-set: surj g ==> infinite {x. f x = ty} ==> infinite {x. f (g x)
= ty}
⟨proof⟩

definition infinite-variables-per-type :: ('v ⇒ 'ty) ⇒ bool where
  infinite-variables-per-type V ≡ ∀ ty. infinite {x. V x = ty}

lemma obtain-type-preserving-inj:
  fixes V :: 'v ⇒ 'ty
  assumes
    finite-X: finite X and
    V: infinite-variables-per-type V
  obtains f :: 'v ⇒ 'v where
    inj f
    X ∩ f ` Y = {}
    ∀ x ∈ Y. V (f x) = V x
⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-type-preserving-injs:
  fixes V1 V2 :: 'v ⇒ 'ty
  assumes
    finite-X: finite X and
    V2: infinite-variables-per-type V2
  obtains ff' :: 'v ⇒ 'v where
    inj f inj f'
    f ` X ∩ f' ` Y = {}
    ∀ x ∈ X. V1 (f x) = V1 x
    ∀ x ∈ Y. V2 (f' x) = V2 x
⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-type-preserving-injs':
  fixes V1 V2 :: 'v ⇒ 'ty

```

```

assumes
  finite-Y: finite Y and
  V1: infinite-variables-per-type V1
obtains ff' :: 'v => 'v where
  inj f inj f'
  f ` X ∩ f' ` Y = {}
  ∀ x ∈ X. V1 (f x) = V1 x
  ∀ x ∈ Y. V2 (f' x) = V2 x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-infinite-variables-per-type:
  assumes |UNIV :: 'ty set| ≤o |UNIV :: ('v :: infinite) set|
  shows ∃ V :: 'v => 'ty. infinite-variables-per-type V
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-infinite-variables-per-type:
  assumes |UNIV :: 'ty set| ≤o |UNIV :: 'v set|
  obtains V :: 'v :: infinite => 'ty where infinite-variables-per-type V
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Collect-Extra
  imports Main
begin

lemma Collect-if-eq: {x. if b x then P x else Q x} = {x. b x ∧ P x} ∪ {x. ¬b x
  ∧ Q x}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Collect-not-mem-conj-eq: {x. x ∉ X ∧ P x} = {x. P x} − X
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Typed-Functional-Substitution
  imports
    Typing
    Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution
    Infinite-Variables-Per-Type
    Collect-Extra
begin

type-synonym ('var, 'ty) var-types = 'var => 'ty

locale explicitly-typed-functional-substitution =
  base-functional-substitution where vars = vars and id-subst = id-subst
for
  id-subst :: 'var => 'base and
  vars :: 'base => 'var set and
  typed :: ('var, 'ty) var-types => 'base => 'ty => bool +

```

```

assumes
  predicate-typed:  $\bigwedge \mathcal{V}. \text{predicate-typed}(\text{typed } \mathcal{V})$  and
  typed-id-subst [intro]:  $\bigwedge \mathcal{V} x. \text{typed } \mathcal{V} (\text{id-subst } x) (\mathcal{V} x)$ 
begin

sublocale predicate-typed typed  $\mathcal{V}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

abbreviation is-typed-on :: 'var set  $\Rightarrow$  ('var, 'ty) var-types  $\Rightarrow$  ('var  $\Rightarrow$  'base)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool where
     $\bigwedge \mathcal{V}. \text{is-typed-on } X \mathcal{V} \sigma \equiv \forall x \in X. \text{typed } \mathcal{V} (\sigma x) (\mathcal{V} x)$ 

lemma subst-update:
  assumes typed  $\mathcal{V}$  (id-subst var)  $\tau$  typed  $\mathcal{V}$  update  $\tau$  is-typed-on  $X \mathcal{V} \gamma$ 
  shows is-typed-on  $X \mathcal{V} (\gamma(\text{var} := \text{update}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma is-typed-on-subset:
  assumes is-typed-on  $Y \mathcal{V} \sigma X \subseteq Y$ 
  shows is-typed-on  $X \mathcal{V} \sigma$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma is-typed-id-subst [intro]: is-typed-on  $X \mathcal{V}$  id-subst
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale inhabited-explicitly-typed-functional-substitution =
  explicitly-typed-functional-substitution +
assumes types-inhabited:  $\bigwedge \tau. \exists b. \text{is-ground } b \wedge \text{typed } \mathcal{V} b \tau$ 

locale typed-functional-substitution =
  base: explicitly-typed-functional-substitution where
  vars = base-vars and subst = base-subst and typed = base-typed +
  based-functional-substitution where vars = vars
for
  vars :: 'expr  $\Rightarrow$  'var set and
  is-typed :: ('var, 'ty) var-types  $\Rightarrow$  'expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  base-typed :: ('var, 'ty) var-types  $\Rightarrow$  'base  $\Rightarrow$  'ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

abbreviation is-typed-ground-instance where
  is-typed-ground-instance expr  $\mathcal{V} \gamma \equiv$ 
  is-ground (expr  $\cdot$   $\gamma$ )  $\wedge$ 
  is-typed  $\mathcal{V}$  expr  $\wedge$ 
  base.is-typed-on (vars expr)  $\mathcal{V} \gamma \wedge$ 
  infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}$ 

```

```

end

sublocale explicitly-typed-functional-substitution  $\subseteq$  typed-functional-substitution where
  base-subst = subst and base-vars = vars and is-typed = is-typed and
  base-typed = typed
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale typed-grounding-functional-substitution =
  typed-functional-substitution + grounding
begin

definition typed-ground-instances where
  typed-ground-instances typed-expr =
  { to-ground (fst typed-expr ·  $\gamma$ ) |  $\gamma$ .
    is-typed-ground-instance (fst typed-expr) (snd typed-expr)  $\gamma$  }

lemma typed-ground-instances-ground-instances':
  typed-ground-instances (expr,  $\mathcal{V}$ )  $\subseteq$  ground-instances' expr
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale explicitly-typed-grounding-functional-substitution =
  explicitly-typed-functional-substitution + grounding
begin

sublocale typed-grounding-functional-substitution where
  base-subst = subst and base-vars = vars and is-typed = is-typed and
  base-typed = typed
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale inhabited-typed-functional-substitution =
  typed-functional-substitution +
  base: inhabited-explicitly-typed-functional-substitution where
  subst = base-subst and vars = base-vars and typed = base-typed
begin

lemma ground-subst-extension:
  assumes
    grounding: is-ground (expr ·  $\gamma$ ) and
     $\gamma$ -is-typed-on: base.is-typed-on (vars expr)  $\mathcal{V}$   $\gamma$ 
  obtains  $\gamma'$ 
  where
    base.is-ground-subst  $\gamma'$ 
    base.is-typed-on UNIV  $\mathcal{V}$   $\gamma'$ 
     $\forall x \in \text{vars expr}. \gamma x = \gamma' x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma grounding-extension:
  assumes
    grounding: is-ground (expr · γ) and
    γ-is-typed-on: base.is-typed-on (vars expr) V γ
  obtains γ'
  where
    is-ground (expr' · γ')
    base.is-typed-on (vars expr') V γ'
    ∀ x ∈ vars expr. γ x = γ' x
    ⟨proof⟩

  end

sublocale explicitly-typed-functional-substitution ⊆ typed-functional-substitution where
  base-subst = subst and base-vars = vars and is-typed = is-typed and
  base-typed = typed
  ⟨proof⟩

locale typed-subst-stability = typed-functional-substitution +
  assumes
    subst-stability [simp]:
    ∏V expr σ. base.is-typed-on (vars expr) V σ ==> is-typed V (expr · σ) ←→
    is-typed V expr
  begin

    lemma subst-stability-UNIV [simp]:
    ∏V expr σ. base.is-typed-on UNIV V σ ==> is-typed V (expr · σ) ←→ is-typed V
    expr
    ⟨proof⟩

  end

locale explicitly-typed-subst-stability = explicitly-typed-functional-substitution +
  assumes
    explicit-subst-stability [simp]:
    ∏V expr σ τ. is-typed-on (vars expr) V σ ==> typed V (expr · σ) τ ←→ typed
    V expr τ
  begin

    lemma explicit-subst-stability-UNIV [simp]:
    ∏V expr σ. is-typed-on UNIV V σ ==> typed V (expr · σ) τ ←→ typed V expr τ
    ⟨proof⟩

  sublocale typed-subst-stability where
    base-vars = vars and base-subst = subst and base-typed = typed and is-typed =
    is-typed
    ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma typed-subst-compose [intro]:
assumes
  is-typed-on X V σ
  is-typed-on (Union(vars ` σ ` X)) V σ'
shows is-typed-on X V (σ ⊕ σ')
⟨proof⟩

lemma typed-subst-compose-UNIV [intro]:
assumes
  is-typed-on UNIV V σ
  is-typed-on UNIV V σ'
shows is-typed-on UNIV V (σ ⊕ σ')
⟨proof⟩

end

locale replaceable-V = typed-functional-substitution +
assumes replace-V:
   $\bigwedge \text{expr } V V'. \forall x \in \text{vars expr}. V x = V' x \implies \text{is-typed } V \text{ expr} \implies \text{is-typed } V'$ 
expr
begin

lemma replace-V-iff:
assumes  $\forall x \in \text{vars expr}. V x = V' x$ 
shows is-typed V expr  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-typed V' expr
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-ground-typed:
assumes is-ground expr
shows is-typed V expr  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-typed V' expr
⟨proof⟩

end

locale explicitly-replaceable-V = explicitly-typed-functional-substitution +
assumes explicit-replace-V:
   $\bigwedge \text{expr } V V' \tau. \forall x \in \text{vars expr}. V x = V' x \implies \text{typed } V \text{ expr } \tau \implies \text{typed } V'$ 
expr τ
begin

lemma explicit-replace-V-iff:
assumes  $\forall x \in \text{vars expr}. V x = V' x$ 
shows typed V expr τ  $\longleftrightarrow$  typed V' expr τ
⟨proof⟩

lemma explicit-is-ground-typed:
assumes is-ground expr
shows typed V expr τ  $\longleftrightarrow$  typed V' expr τ
⟨proof⟩

```

```

sublocale replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$  where
  base-vars = vars and base-subst = subst and base-typed = typed and is-typed =
  is-typed
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale typed-renaming = typed-functional-substitution + renaming-variables +
assumes
  typed-renaming [simp]:
   $\bigwedge \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}' \text{expr } \varrho. \text{base.is-renaming } \varrho \implies$ 
   $\forall x \in \text{vars expr}. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' (\text{rename } \varrho x) \implies$ 
  is-typed  $\mathcal{V}' (\text{expr} \cdot \varrho) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-typed } \mathcal{V} \text{expr}$ 

locale explicitly-typed-renaming =
  explicitly-typed-functional-substitution where typed = typed +
  renaming-variables +
  explicitly-replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$  where typed = typed
for typed :: ('var ⇒ 'ty) ⇒ 'expr ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool +
assumes
  explicit-typed-renaming [simp]:
   $\bigwedge \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}' \text{expr } \varrho \tau. \text{is-renaming } \varrho \implies$ 
   $\forall x \in \text{vars expr}. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' (\text{rename } \varrho x) \implies$ 
  typed  $\mathcal{V}' (\text{expr} \cdot \varrho) \tau \longleftrightarrow \text{typed } \mathcal{V} \text{expr } \tau$ 
begin

sublocale typed-renaming
  where base-vars = vars and base-subst = subst and base-typed = typed and
  is-typed = is-typed
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma renaming-ground-subst:
  assumes
    is-renaming  $\varrho$ 
    is-typed-on  $(\bigcup (\text{vars} ' \varrho ' X)) \mathcal{V}' \gamma$ 
    is-typed-on  $X \mathcal{V} \varrho$ 
    is-ground-subst  $\gamma$ 
     $\forall x \in X. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' (\text{rename } \varrho x)$ 
  shows is-typed-on  $X \mathcal{V} (\varrho \odot \gamma)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-id-subst: inj id-subst
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-typed-renaming:
  fixes  $\mathcal{V} :: 'var \Rightarrow 'ty$ 
  assumes
    finite  $X$ 

```

```

infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}$ 
obtains  $\varrho :: 'var \Rightarrow 'expr$  where
  is-renaming  $\varrho$ 
  id-subst ‘ $X \cap \varrho$  ‘ $Y = \{\}$ 
  is-typed-on  $Y \mathcal{V} \varrho$ 
{proof}

lemma obtain-typed-renamings:
  fixes  $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 :: 'var \Rightarrow 'ty$ 
  assumes
    finite  $X$ 
    infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}_2$ 
  obtains  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 :: 'var \Rightarrow 'expr$  where
    is-renaming  $\varrho_1$ 
    is-renaming  $\varrho_2$ 
     $\varrho_1`X \cap \varrho_2`Y = \{\}$ 
    is-typed-on  $X \mathcal{V}_1 \varrho_1$ 
    is-typed-on  $Y \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_2$ 
{proof}

lemma obtain-typed-renamings':
  fixes  $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 :: 'var \Rightarrow 'ty$ 
  assumes
    finite  $Y$ 
    infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}_1$ 
  obtains  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 :: 'var \Rightarrow 'expr$  where
    is-renaming  $\varrho_1$ 
    is-renaming  $\varrho_2$ 
     $\varrho_1`X \cap \varrho_2`Y = \{\}$ 
    is-typed-on  $X \mathcal{V}_1 \varrho_1$ 
    is-typed-on  $Y \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_2$ 
{proof}

lemma renaming-subst-compose:
  assumes
    is-renaming  $\varrho$ 
    is-typed-on  $X \mathcal{V} (\varrho \odot \sigma)$ 
    is-typed-on  $X \mathcal{V} \varrho$ 
  shows is-typed-on  $(\bigcup (\text{vars } \varrho`X)) \mathcal{V} \sigma$ 
{proof}

end

lemma (in renaming-variables) obtain-merged-V:
  assumes
     $\varrho_1: \text{is-renaming } \varrho_1 \text{ and}$ 
     $\varrho_2: \text{is-renaming } \varrho_2 \text{ and}$ 
    rename-apart:  $\text{vars } (\text{expr } \cdot \varrho_1) \cap \text{vars } (\text{expr}' \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\}$  and
     $\mathcal{V}_2: \text{infinite-variables-per-type } \mathcal{V}_2 \text{ and}$ 

```

```

finite-vars: finite (vars expr)
obtains  $\mathcal{V}_3$  where
   $\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}. \mathcal{V}_1 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{rename } \varrho_1 x)$ 
   $\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}'. \mathcal{V}_2 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{rename } \varrho_2 x)$ 
  infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}_3$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in renaming-variables) obtain-merged- $\mathcal{V}'$ :
assumes
   $\varrho_1$ : is-renaming  $\varrho_1$  and
   $\varrho_2$ : is-renaming  $\varrho_2$  and
  rename-apart: vars (expr ·  $\varrho_1$ ) ∩ vars (expr' ·  $\varrho_2$ ) = {} and
   $\mathcal{V}_1$ : infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and
  finite-vars: finite (vars expr')
obtains  $\mathcal{V}_3$  where
   $\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}. \mathcal{V}_1 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{rename } \varrho_1 x)$ 
   $\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}'. \mathcal{V}_2 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{rename } \varrho_2 x)$ 
  infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}_3$ 
⟨proof⟩

locale based-typed-renaming =
base: explicitly-typed-renaming where
subst = base-subst and vars = base-vars :: 'base ⇒ 'v set and
typed = typed :: ('v ⇒ 'ty) ⇒ 'base ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool +
base: explicitly-typed-functional-substitution where
vars = base-vars and subst = base-subst +
based-functional-substitution +
renaming-variables
begin

lemma renaming-grounding:
assumes
  renaming: base.is-renaming  $\varrho$  and
   $\varrho$ - $\gamma$ -is-welltyped: base.is-typed-on (vars expr)  $\mathcal{V}$  ( $\varrho \odot \gamma$ ) and
  grounding: is-ground (expr ·  $\varrho \odot \gamma$ ) and
   $\mathcal{V}$ - $\mathcal{V}'$ :  $\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' (\text{rename } \varrho x)$ 
shows base.is-typed-on (vars (expr ·  $\varrho$ ))  $\mathcal{V}' \gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-merged-grounding:
fixes  $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$ 
assumes
  base.is-typed-on (vars expr)  $\mathcal{V}_1 \gamma_1$ 
  base.is-typed-on (vars expr')  $\mathcal{V}_2 \gamma_2$ 
  is-ground (expr ·  $\gamma_1$ )
  is-ground (expr' ·  $\gamma_2$ ) and
   $\mathcal{V}_2$ : infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}_2$  and
  finite-vars: finite (vars expr)
obtains  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 \gamma$  where

```

```

base.is-renaming  $\varrho_1$ 
base.is-renaming  $\varrho_2$ 
vars (expr ·  $\varrho_1$ )  $\cap$  vars (expr' ·  $\varrho_2$ ) = {}
base.is-typed-on (vars expr)  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $\varrho_1$ 
base.is-typed-on (vars expr')  $\mathcal{V}_2$   $\varrho_2$ 
 $\forall X \subseteq \text{vars expr}. \forall x \in X. \gamma_1 x = (\varrho_1 \odot \gamma) x$ 
 $\forall X \subseteq \text{vars expr}'. \forall x \in X. \gamma_2 x = (\varrho_2 \odot \gamma) x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-merged-grounding':
fixes  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $\mathcal{V}_2$  :: 'v ⇒ 'ty
assumes
  typed- $\gamma_1$ : base.is-typed-on (vars expr)  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $\gamma_1$  and
  typed- $\gamma_2$ : base.is-typed-on (vars expr')  $\mathcal{V}_2$   $\gamma_2$  and
  expr-grounding: is-ground (expr ·  $\gamma_1$ ) and
  expr'-grounding: is-ground (expr' ·  $\gamma_2$ ) and
   $\mathcal{V}_1$ : infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and
  finite-vars: finite (vars expr)
obtains  $\varrho_1$   $\varrho_2$   $\gamma$  where
  base.is-renaming  $\varrho_1$ 
  base.is-renaming  $\varrho_2$ 
  vars (expr ·  $\varrho_1$ )  $\cap$  vars (expr' ·  $\varrho_2$ ) = {}
  base.is-typed-on (vars expr)  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $\varrho_1$ 
  base.is-typed-on (vars expr')  $\mathcal{V}_2$   $\varrho_2$ 
   $\forall X \subseteq \text{vars expr}. \forall x \in X. \gamma_1 x = (\varrho_1 \odot \gamma) x$ 
   $\forall X \subseteq \text{vars expr}'. \forall x \in X. \gamma_2 x = (\varrho_2 \odot \gamma) x$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale explicitly-typed-renaming ⊆
based-typed-renaming where base-vars = vars and base-subst = subst
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Functional-Substitution-Typing
imports Typed-Functional-Substitution
begin

locale subst-is-typed-abbreviations =
is-typed: typed-functional-substitution where
base-typed = base-typed and is-typed = expr-is-typed +
is-welltyped: typed-functional-substitution where
base-typed = base-welltyped and is-typed = expr-is-welltyped
for
base-typed base-welltyped :: ('var, 'ty) var-types ⇒ 'base ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool and
expr-is-typed expr-is-welltyped :: ('var, 'ty) var-types ⇒ 'expr ⇒ bool
begin

```

```

abbreviation is-typed-on where
  is-typed-on ≡ is-typed.base.is-typed-on

abbreviation is-welltyped-on where
  is-welltyped-on ≡ is-welltyped.base.is-typed-on

abbreviation is-typed where
  is-typed ≡ is-typed.base.is-typed-on UNIV

abbreviation is-welltyped where
  is-welltyped ≡ is-welltyped.base.is-typed-on UNIV

end

locale functional-substitution-typing =
  is-typed: typed-functional-substitution where
    base-typed = base-typed and is-typed = is-typed +
    is-welltyped: typed-functional-substitution where
      base-typed = base-welltyped and is-typed = is-welltyped
for
  base-typed base-welltyped :: ('var, 'ty) var-types ⇒ 'base ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool and
  is-typed is-welltyped :: ('var, 'ty) var-types ⇒ 'expr ⇒ bool +
assumes typing: ∀V. typing (is-typed V) (is-welltyped V)
begin

sublocale base: typing is-typed V is-welltyped V
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale subst: subst-is-typed-abbreviations
  where expr-is-typed = is-typed and expr-is-welltyped = is-welltyped
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale base-functional-substitution-typing =
  typed: explicitly-typed-functional-substitution where typed = typed +
  welltyped: explicitly-typed-functional-substitution where typed = welltyped
for
  welltyped typed :: ('var, 'ty) var-types ⇒ 'expr ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool +
assumes
  explicit-typing: ∀V. explicit-typing (typed V) (welltyped V)
begin

sublocale base: explicit-typing typed V welltyped V
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas typed-id-subst = typed.typed-id-subst

```

```

lemmas welltyped-id-subst = welltyped.typed-id-subst

lemmas is-typed-id-subst = typed.is-typed-id-subst

lemmas is-welltyped-id-subst = welltyped.is-typed-id-subst

lemmas is-typed-on-subset = typed.is-typed-on-subset

lemmas is-welltyped-on-subset = welltyped.is-typed-on-subset

sublocale functional-substitution-typing where
  is-typed = base.is-typed and is-welltyped = base.is-welltyped and base-typed =
  typed and
  base-welltyped = welltyped and base-vars = vars and base-subst = subst
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale subst: typing subst.is-typed-on X V subst.is-welltyped-on X V
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory Typed-Functional-Substitution-Lifting
imports
  Typed-Functional-Substitution
  Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting
begin

lemma ext-equiv: ( $\bigwedge x. f x \equiv g x$ )  $\implies f \equiv g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

locale typed-functional-substitution-lifting =
  sub: typed-functional-substitution where
    vars = sub-vars and subst = sub-subst and is-typed = sub-is-typed and
    base-vars = base-vars +
    based-functional-substitution-lifting where to-set = to-set and base-vars = base-vars
for
  sub-is-typed :: ('var, 'ty) var-types  $\Rightarrow$  'sub  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  to-set :: 'expr  $\Rightarrow$  'sub set and
  base-vars :: 'base  $\Rightarrow$  'var set
begin

abbreviation (input) lifted-is-typed where
  lifted-is-typed V  $\equiv$  is-typed-lifting to-set (sub-is-typed V)

lemmas lifted-is-typed-def = is-typed-lifting-def[of to-set, THEN ext-equiv, of sub-is-typed]

sublocale typed-functional-substitution where

```

```

vars = vars and subst = subst and is-typed = lifted-is-typed
⟨proof⟩

end

locale uniform-typed-functional-substitution-lifting =
base: explicitly-typed-functional-substitution where
vars = base-vars and subst = base-subst and typed = base-typed +
based-functional-substitution-lifting where
to-set = to-set and sub-subst = base-subst and sub-vars = base-vars
for
base-typed :: ('var, 'ty) var-types ⇒ 'base ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool and
to-set :: 'expr ⇒ 'base set
begin

abbreviation (input) lifted-is-typed where
lifted-is-typed V ≡ uniform-typed-lifting to-set (base-typed V)

lemmas lifted-is-typed-def = uniform-typed-lifting-def[of to-set, THEN ext-equiv,
of base-typed]

sublocale typed-functional-substitution where
vars = vars and subst = subst and is-typed = lifted-is-typed
⟨proof⟩

end

locale uniform-typed-grounding-functional-substitution-lifting =
uniform-typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
grounding-lifting where sub-subst = base-subst and sub-vars = base-vars +
base: explicitly-typed-grounding-functional-substitution where
vars = base-vars and subst = base-subst and typed = base-typed and
to-ground = sub-to-ground and from-ground = sub-from-ground
begin

sublocale typed-grounding-functional-substitution where
vars = vars and subst = subst and is-typed = lifted-is-typed and to-ground =
to-ground and
from-ground = from-ground
⟨proof⟩

end

locale typed-grounding-functional-substitution-lifting =
typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
grounding-lifting +
sub: typed-grounding-functional-substitution where
vars = sub-vars and subst = sub-subst and is-typed = sub-is-typed and
to-ground = sub-to-ground and from-ground = sub-from-ground

```

```

begin

sublocale typed-grounding-functional-substitution where
  vars = vars and subst = subst and is-typed = lifted-is-typed and to-ground =
  to-ground and
    from-ground = from-ground
    ⟨proof⟩

end

locale uniform-inhabited-typed-functional-substitution-lifting =
  uniform-typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
  base: inhabited-explicitly-typed-functional-substitution where
    vars = base-vars and subst = base-subst and typed = base-typed
begin

sublocale inhabited-typed-functional-substitution where
  vars = vars and subst = subst and is-typed = lifted-is-typed
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale inhabited-typed-functional-substitution-lifting =
  typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
  sub: inhabited-typed-functional-substitution where
    vars = sub-vars and subst = sub-subst and is-typed = sub-is-typed
begin

sublocale inhabited-typed-functional-substitution where
  vars = vars and subst = subst and is-typed = lifted-is-typed
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale typed-subst-stability-lifting =
  typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
  sub: typed-subst-stability where is-typed = sub-is-typed and vars = sub-vars and
  subst = sub-subst
begin

sublocale typed-subst-stability where
  is-typed = lifted-is-typed and subst = subst and vars = vars
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale uniform-typed-subst-stability-lifting =
  uniform-typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
  base: explicitly-typed-subst-stability where

```

```

typed = base-typed and vars = base-vars and subst = base-subst
begin

sublocale typed-subst-stability where
  is-typed = lifted-is-typed and subst = subst and vars = vars
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$ -lifting =
  typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
  sub: replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$  where
    subst = sub-subst and vars = sub-vars and is-typed = sub-is-typed
begin

sublocale replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$  where
  subst = subst and vars = vars and is-typed = lifted-is-typed
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale uniform-replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$ -lifting =
  uniform-typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
  sub: explicitly-replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$  where
    typed = base-typed and vars = base-vars and subst = base-subst
begin

sublocale replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$  where
  is-typed = lifted-is-typed and subst = subst and vars = vars
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale based-typed-renaming-lifting =
  based-functional-substitution-lifting +
  renaming-variables-lifting +
  based-typed-renaming where subst = sub-subst and vars = sub-vars
begin

sublocale based-typed-renaming where subst = subst and vars = vars
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale typed-renaming-lifting =
  typed-functional-substitution-lifting where
  base-typed = base-typed :: ('v ⇒ 'ty) ⇒ 'base ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool +
  based-typed-renaming-lifting where typed = base-typed +
  sub: typed-renaming where

```

```

subst = sub-subst and vars = sub-vars and is-typed = sub-is-typed
begin

sublocale typed-renaming where
  subst = subst and vars = vars and is-typed = lifted-is-typed
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale uniform-typed-renaming-lifting =
  uniform-typed-functional-substitution-lifting where base-typed = base-typed +
  based-typed-renaming-lifting where
    typed = base-typed and sub-vars = base-vars and sub-subst = base-subst
  for base-typed :: ('v ⇒ 'ty) ⇒ 'base ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool
begin

sublocale typed-renaming where
  is-typed = lifted-is-typed and subst = subst and vars = vars
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory Functional-Substitution-Typing-Lifting
imports
  Functional-Substitution-Typing
  Typed-Functional-Substitution-Lifting
begin

locale functional-substitution-typing-lifting =
  sub: functional-substitution-typing where
    vars = sub-vars and subst = sub-subst and is-typed = sub-is-typed and
    is-welltyped = sub-is-welltyped +
    based-functional-substitution-lifting where to-set = to-set
  for
    to-set :: 'expr ⇒ 'sub set and
    sub-is-typed sub-is-welltyped :: ('var, 'ty) var-types ⇒ 'sub ⇒ bool
begin

sublocale typing-lifting where
  sub-is-typed = sub-is-typed V and sub-is-welltyped = sub-is-welltyped V
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale functional-substitution-typing where
  is-typed = is-typed and is-welltyped = is-welltyped and vars = vars and subst
  = subst
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

locale functional-substitution-uniform-typing-lifting =
base: base-functional-substitution-typing where
vars = base-vars and subst = base-subst and typed = base-typed and welltyped
= base-welltyped +
based-functional-substitution-lifting where
to-set = to-set and sub-vars = base-vars and sub-subst = base-subst
for
to-set :: 'expr ⇒ 'base set and
base-typed base-welltyped :: ('var, 'ty) var-types ⇒ 'base ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool
begin

sublocale uniform-typing-lifting where
sub-typed = base-typed V and sub-welltyped = base-welltyped V
⟨proof⟩

sublocale functional-substitution-typing where
is-typed = is-typed and is-welltyped = is-welltyped and vars = vars and subst
= subst
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Nonground-Term-Typing
imports
Term-Typing
Typed-Functional-Substitution
Functional-Substitution-Typing
Nonground-Term
begin

locale base-typed-properties =
explicitly-typed-subst-stability +
explicitly-replaceable-V +
explicitly-typed-renaming +
explicitly-typed-grounding-functional-substitution

locale base-typing-properties =
base-functional-substitution-typing +
typed: base-typed-properties +
welltyped: base-typed-properties where typed = welltyped

locale base-inhabited-typing-properties =
base-typing-properties +
typed: inhabited-explicitly-typed-functional-substitution +
welltyped: inhabited-explicitly-typed-functional-substitution where typed = welltyped

```

```

locale nonground-term-typing =
  term: nonground-term +
  fixes  $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty) \text{ fun-types}$ 
begin

  inductive typed :: ('v, 'ty) var-types  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  'ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    for  $\mathcal{V}$  where
      Var:  $\mathcal{V} x = \tau \implies \text{typed } \mathcal{V} (\text{Var } x) \tau$ 
      | Fun:  $\mathcal{F} f = (\tau s, \tau) \implies \text{typed } \mathcal{V} (\text{Fun } f ts) \tau$ 
       $\tau$ 

  Note: Implicitly implies that every function symbol has a fixed arity

  inductive welltyped :: ('v, 'ty) var-types  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  'ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    for  $\mathcal{V}$  where
      Var:  $\mathcal{V} x = \tau \implies \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} (\text{Var } x) \tau$ 
      | Fun:  $\mathcal{F} f = (\tau s, \tau) \implies \text{list-all2 } (\text{welltyped } \mathcal{V}) ts \tau s \implies \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} (\text{Fun } f ts)$ 
       $\tau$ 

  sublocale term: explicit-typing typed ( $\mathcal{V} :: ('v, 'ty) \text{ var-types}$ ) welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  <proof>

  sublocale term: term-typing where
    typed = typed ( $\mathcal{V} :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$ ) and welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$  and Fun = Fun
  <proof>

  sublocale term: base-typing-properties where
    id-subst = Var :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term and comp-subst =  $(\odot)$  and subst =  $(\cdot t)$  and
    vars = term.vars and welltyped = welltyped and typed = typed and to-ground
    = term.to-ground and
    from-ground = term.from-ground
  <proof>

  end

  locale nonground-term-inhabited-typing =
    nonground-term-typing where  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$  for  $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty) \text{ fun-types} +$ 
    assumes types-inhabited:  $\bigwedge \tau. \exists f. \mathcal{F} f = (\emptyset, \tau)$ 
  begin

    sublocale base-inhabited-typing-properties where
      id-subst = Var :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term and comp-subst =  $(\odot)$  and subst =  $(\cdot t)$  and
      vars = term.vars and welltyped = welltyped and typed = typed and to-ground
      = term.to-ground and
      from-ground = term.from-ground
    <proof>

    end

  end
  theory Nonground-Typing

```

```

imports
  Clause-Typing
  Functional-Substitution-Typing-Lifting
  Nonground-Term-Typing
  Nonground-Clause
begin

type-synonym ('f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause = ('f, 'v) atom clause × ('v, 'ty) var-types

locale nonground-uniform-typed-lifting =
  uniform-typed-subst-stability-lifting +
  uniform-replaceable-V-lifting +
  uniform-typed-renaming-lifting +
  uniform-typed-grounding-functional-substitution-lifting

locale nonground-typed-lifting =
  typed-subst-stability-lifting +
  replaceable-V-lifting +
  typed-renaming-lifting +
  typed-grounding-functional-substitution-lifting

locale nonground-uniform-typing-lifting =
  functional-substitution-uniform-typing-lifting +
  is-typed: nonground-uniform-typed-lifting where base-typed = base-typed +
  is-welltyped: nonground-uniform-typed-lifting where base-typed = base-welltyped
begin

abbreviation is-typed-ground-instance ≡ is-typed.is-typed-ground-instance
abbreviation is-welltyped-ground-instance ≡ is-welltyped.is-typed-ground-instance
abbreviation typed-ground-instances ≡ is-typed.typed-ground-instances
abbreviation welltyped-ground-instances ≡ is-welltyped.typed-ground-instances
lemmas typed-ground-instances-def = is-typed.typed-ground-instances-def
lemmas welltyped-ground-instances-def = is-welltyped.typed-ground-instances-def
end

locale nonground-typing-lifting =
  functional-substitution-typing-lifting +
  is-typed: nonground-typed-lifting +
  is-welltyped: nonground-typed-lifting where
    sub-is-typed = sub-is-welltyped and base-typed = base-welltyped
begin

```

```

abbreviation is-typed-ground-instance  $\equiv$  is-typed.is-typed-ground-instance

abbreviation is-welltyped-ground-instance  $\equiv$  is-welltyped.is-typed-ground-instance

abbreviation typed-ground-instances  $\equiv$  is-typed.typed-ground-instances

abbreviation welltyped-ground-instances  $\equiv$  is-welltyped.typed-ground-instances

lemmas typed-ground-instances-def = is-typed.typed-ground-instances-def

lemmas welltyped-ground-instances-def = is-welltyped.typed-ground-instances-def

end

locale nonground-uniform-inhabited-typing-lifting =
  nonground-uniform-typing-lifting +
  is-typed: uniform-inhabited-typed-functional-substitution-lifting where base-typed =
  base-typed +
  is-welltyped: uniform-inhabited-typed-functional-substitution-lifting where
  base-typed = base-welltyped

locale nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting =
  nonground-typing-lifting +
  is-typed: inhabited-typed-functional-substitution-lifting where base-typed = base-typed
+
  is-welltyped: inhabited-typed-functional-substitution-lifting where
  sub-is-typed = sub-is-welltyped and base-typed = base-welltyped

locale term-based-nonground-typing-lifting =
  term: nonground-term +
  nonground-typing-lifting where
  id-subst = Var and comp-subst =  $(\odot)$  and base-subst =  $(\cdot t)$  and base-vars =
  term.vars

locale term-based-nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting =
  term: nonground-term +
  nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting where
  id-subst = Var and comp-subst =  $(\odot)$  and base-subst =  $(\cdot t)$  and base-vars =
  term.vars

locale term-based-nonground-uniform-typing-lifting =
  term: nonground-term +
  nonground-uniform-typing-lifting where
  id-subst = Var and comp-subst =  $(\odot)$  and map = map-uprod and to-set =
  set-uprod and
  base-vars = term.vars and base-subst =  $(\cdot t)$  and sub-to-ground = term.to-ground
  and
  sub-from-ground = term.from-ground and to-ground-map = map-uprod and

```

```

from-ground-map = map-uprod and ground-map = map-uprod and to-set-ground
= set-uprod

locale term-based-nonground-uniform-inhabited-typing-lifting =
  term: nonground-term +
  nonground-uniform-inhabited-typing-lifting where
    id-subst = Var and comp-subst = ( $\odot$ ) and map = map-uprod and to-set =
    set-uprod and
    base-vars = term.vars and base-subst = ( $\cdot t$ ) and sub-to-ground = term.to-ground
    and
    sub-from-ground = term.from-ground and to-ground-map = map-uprod and
    from-ground-map = map-uprod and ground-map = map-uprod and to-set-ground
    = set-uprod

locale nonground-typing =
  nonground-clause +
  nonground-term-typing  $\mathcal{F}$ 
  for  $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty)$  fun-types
  begin

sublocale clause-typing typed ( $\mathcal{V} :: ('v, 'ty)$  var-types) welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale atom: term-based-nonground-uniform-typing-lifting where
  base-typed = typed :: (' $v \Rightarrow 'ty$ )  $\Rightarrow ('f, 'v)$  Term.term  $\Rightarrow 'ty \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  base-welltyped = welltyped
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale literal: term-based-nonground-typing-lifting where
  base-typed = typed :: (' $v \Rightarrow 'ty$ )  $\Rightarrow ('f, 'v)$  Term.term  $\Rightarrow 'ty \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  base-welltyped = welltyped and sub-vars = atom.vars and sub-subst = ( $\cdot a$ ) and
  map = map-literal and to-set = set-literal and sub-is-typed = atom.is-typed and
  sub-is-welltyped = atom.is-welltyped and sub-to-ground = atom.to-ground and
  sub-from-ground = atom.from-ground and to-ground-map = map-literal and
  from-ground-map = map-literal and ground-map = map-literal and to-set-ground
  = set-literal
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale clause: term-based-nonground-typing-lifting where
  base-typed = typed and base-welltyped = welltyped and
  sub-vars = literal.vars and sub-subst = ( $\cdot l$ ) and map = image-mset and to-set
  = set-mset and
  sub-is-typed = literal.is-typed and sub-is-welltyped = literal.is-welltyped and
  sub-to-ground = literal.to-ground and sub-from-ground = literal.from-ground and
  to-ground-map = image-mset and from-ground-map = image-mset and ground-map
  = image-mset and
  to-set-ground = set-mset
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

end

locale nonground-inhabited-typing =
  nonground-typing  $\mathcal{F}$  +
  nonground-term-inhabited-typing  $\mathcal{F}$ 
  for  $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty)$  fun-types
begin

sublocale atom: term-based-nonground-uniform-inhabited-typing-lifting where
  base-typed = typed ::  $('v \Rightarrow 'ty) \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)$  Term.term  $\Rightarrow 'ty \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  base-welltyped = welltyped
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale literal: term-based-nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting where
  base-typed = typed ::  $('v \Rightarrow 'ty) \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)$  Term.term  $\Rightarrow 'ty \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  base-welltyped = welltyped and sub-vars = atom.vars and sub-subst =  $(\cdot a)$  and
  map = map-literal and to-set = set-literal and sub-is-typed = atom.is-typed and
  sub-is-welltyped = atom.is-welltyped and sub-to-ground = atom.to-ground and
  sub-from-ground = atom.from-ground and to-ground-map = map-literal and
  from-ground-map = map-literal and ground-map = map-literal and to-set-ground
  = set-literal
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale clause: term-based-nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting where
  base-typed = typed and base-welltyped = welltyped and
  sub-vars = literal.vars and sub-subst =  $(\cdot l)$  and map = image-mset and to-set
  = set-mset and
  sub-is-typed = literal.is-typed and sub-is-welltyped = literal.is-welltyped and
  sub-to-ground = literal.to-ground and sub-from-ground = literal.from-ground and
  to-ground-map = image-mset and from-ground-map = image-mset and ground-map
  = image-mset and
  to-set-ground = set-mset
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory HOL-Extra
  imports Main
begin

lemmas UniqI = Uniq-I

lemma Uniq-prodI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x1 y1 x2 y2. P x1 y1 \implies P x2 y2 \implies (x1, y1) = (x2, y2)$ 
  shows  $\exists_{\leq 1}(x, y). P x y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Uniq-implies-ex1:  $\exists_{\leq 1} x. P x \implies P y \implies \exists !x. P x$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Uniq-antimono*:  $Q \leq P \implies \text{Uniq } Q \geq \text{Uniq } P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Uniq-antimono'*:  $(\bigwedge x. Q x \implies P x) \implies \text{Uniq } P \implies \text{Uniq } Q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-eq-if-Uniq*:  $(\exists_{\leq 1} x. P x) \implies \{x. P x\} = \{\} \vee (\exists x. \{x. P x\} = \{x\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-eq-if-Uniq-prod*:  
 $(\exists_{\leq 1} (x, y). P x y) \implies \{(x, y). P x y\} = \{\} \vee (\exists x y. \{(x, y). P x y\} = \{(x, y)\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ball-Ex-comm*:  
 $(\forall x \in X. \exists f. P (f x) x) \implies (\exists f. \forall x \in X. P (f x) x)$   
 $(\exists f. \forall x \in X. P (f x) x) \implies (\forall x \in X. \exists f. P (f x) x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *set-map-id*:  
assumes  $x \in \text{set } X$   $f x \notin \text{set } X$   $\text{map } f X = X$   
shows *False*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ball-singleton*:  $(\forall x \in \{x\}. P x) \longleftrightarrow P x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**  
**theory** *Grounded-Selection-Function*  
**imports**  
  *Nonground-Selection-Function*  
  *Nonground-Typing*  
  *HOL-Extra*  
**begin**

**context** *nonground-typing*  
**begin**

**abbreviation** *select-subst-stability-on-clause* **where**  
  *select-subst-stability-on-clause* *select*  $\text{select}_G C_G C \mathcal{V} \gamma \equiv$   
     $C \cdot \gamma = \text{clause.from-ground } C_G \wedge$   
     $\text{select}_G C_G = \text{clause.to-ground } ((\text{select } C) \cdot \gamma) \wedge$   
     $\text{clause.is-welltyped-ground-instance } C \mathcal{V} \gamma$

**abbreviation** *select-subst-stability-on* **where**  
  *select-subst-stability-on* *select*  $\text{select}_G N \equiv$   
     $\forall C_G \in \bigcup (\text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances} \cdot N). \exists (C, \mathcal{V}) \in N. \exists \gamma.$   
    *select-subst-stability-on-clause* *select*  $\text{select}_G C_G C \mathcal{V} \gamma$

```

lemma obtain-subst-stable-on-select-grounding:
  fixes select :: ('f, 'v) select
  obtains selectG where
    select-subst-stability-on select selectG N
    is-select-grounding select selectG
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale grounded-selection-function =
  nonground-selection-function select +
  nonground-typing  $\mathcal{F}$ 
  for
    select :: ('f, 'v :: infinite) atom clause  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) atom clause and
     $\mathcal{F}$  :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types +
  fixes selectG
  assumes selectG: is-select-grounding select selectG
  begin

    abbreviation subst-stability-on where
      subst-stability-on N  $\equiv$  select-subst-stability-on select selectG N

    lemma selectG-subset: selectG C ⊆# C
    ⟨proof⟩

    lemma selectG-negative-literals:
      assumes lG ∈# selectG CG
      shows is-neg lG
    ⟨proof⟩

    sublocale ground: selection-function selectG
    ⟨proof⟩

  end

end
theory Term-Rewrite-System
  imports Ground-Context
  begin

    definition compatible-with-gctxt :: 'f gterm rel  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
      compatible-with-gctxt I  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall t t'$  ctxt. (t, t') ∈ I  $\longrightarrow$  (ctxt⟨t⟩G, ctxt⟨t'⟩G) ∈ I)

    lemma compatible-with-gctxtD:
      compatible-with-gctxt I  $\Longrightarrow$  (t, t') ∈ I  $\Longrightarrow$  (ctxt⟨t⟩G, ctxt⟨t'⟩G) ∈ I
    ⟨proof⟩

    lemma compatible-with-gctxt-converse:

```

```

assumes compatible-with-gctxt I
shows compatible-with-gctxt ( $I^{-1}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-symcl:
assumes compatible-with-gctxt I
shows compatible-with-gctxt ( $I^{\leftrightarrow}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-rtrancl:
assumes compatible-with-gctxt I
shows compatible-with-gctxt ( $I^*$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-relcomp:
assumes compatible-with-gctxt I1 and compatible-with-gctxt I2
shows compatible-with-gctxt (I1 O I2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-join:
assumes compatible-with-gctxt I
shows compatible-with-gctxt ( $I^\downarrow$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-conversion:
assumes compatible-with-gctxt I
shows compatible-with-gctxt ( $I^{\leftrightarrow*}$ )
⟨proof⟩

definition rewrite-inside-gctxt :: 'f gterm rel  $\Rightarrow$  'f gterm rel where
  rewrite-inside-gctxt R = { $(\text{ctxt}(t1)_G, \text{ctxt}(t2)_G) \mid \text{ctxt } t1 \text{ } t2. (t1, t2) \in R$ }

lemma mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt-if-mem-rewrite-rules[intro]:
   $(l, r) \in R \implies (l, r) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ctxt-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt-if-mem-rewrite-rules[intro]:
   $(l, r) \in R \implies (\text{ctxt}(l)_G, \text{ctxt}(r)_G) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rewrite-inside-gctxt-mono:  $R \subseteq S \implies \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R \subseteq \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } S$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rewrite-inside-gctxt-union:
   $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (R \cup S) = \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R \cup \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } S$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rewrite-inside-gctxt-insert:

```

```

rewrite-inside-gctxt (insert r R) = rewrite-inside-gctxt {r}  $\cup$  rewrite-inside-gctxt
R
⟨proof⟩

lemma converse-rewrite-steps: (rewrite-inside-gctxt R)-1 = rewrite-inside-gctxt (R-1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rhs-lt-lhs-if-rule-in-rewrite-inside-gctxt:
  fixes less-trm :: 'f gterm  $\Rightarrow$  'f gterm  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\prec_t$  50)
  assumes
    rule-in: (t1, t2)  $\in$  rewrite-inside-gctxt R and
    ball-R-rhs-lt-lhs:  $\bigwedge t1\ t2.\ (t1,\ t2) \in R \implies t2 \prec_t t1$  and
    compatible-with-gctxt:  $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ ctxt.\ t2 \prec_t t1 \implies ctxt\langle t2 \rangle_G \prec_t ctxt\langle t1 \rangle_G$ 
  shows t2  $\prec_t$  t1
⟨proof⟩

lemma mem-rewrite-step-union-NF:
  assumes (t, t')  $\in$  rewrite-inside-gctxt (R1  $\cup$  R2)
    t  $\in$  NF (rewrite-inside-gctxt R2)
  shows (t, t')  $\in$  rewrite-inside-gctxt R1
⟨proof⟩

lemma predicate-holds-of-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt:
  assumes rule-in: (t1, t2)  $\in$  rewrite-inside-gctxt R and
    ball-P:  $\bigwedge t1\ t2.\ (t1,\ t2) \in R \implies P\ t1\ t2$  and
    preservation:  $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ ctxt\ \sigma.\ (t1,\ t2) \in R \implies P\ t1\ t2 \implies P\ ctxt\langle t1 \rangle_G\ ctxt\langle t2 \rangle_G$ 
  shows P t1 t2
⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-rewrite-inside-gctxt[simp]: compatible-with-gctxt (rewrite-inside-gctxt E)
⟨proof⟩

lemma subset-rewrite-inside-gctxt[simp]: E  $\subseteq$  rewrite-inside-gctxt E
⟨proof⟩

lemma wf-converse-rewrite-inside-gctxt:
  fixes E :: 'f gterm rel
  assumes
    wfP-R: wfP R and
    R-compatible-with-gctxt:  $\bigwedge ctxt\ t\ t'.\ R\ t\ t' \implies R\ ctxt\langle t \rangle_G\ ctxt\langle t' \rangle_G$  and
    equations-subset-R:  $\bigwedge x\ y.\ (x,\ y) \in E \implies R\ y\ x$ 
  shows wf ((rewrite-inside-gctxt E)-1)
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Entailment-Lifting
  imports Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting
begin

```

```

locale entailment =
  based: based-functional-substitution where base-subst = base-subst and vars =
  vars +
  base: grounding where subst = base-subst and vars = base-vars and to-ground
  = base-to-ground and
  from-ground = base-from-ground for
  vars :: 'expr ⇒ 'var set and
  base-subst :: 'base ⇒ ('var ⇒ 'base) ⇒ 'base and
  base-to-ground :: 'base ⇒ 'baseG and
  base-from-ground +
fixes entails-def :: 'expr ⇒ bool and I :: ('baseG × 'baseG) set
assumes
  congruence:  $\bigwedge \text{expr } \gamma \text{ var update}.$ 
    based.base.is-ground update  $\implies$ 
    based.base.is-ground ( $\gamma$  var)  $\implies$ 
    (base-to-ground ( $\gamma$  var), base-to-ground update) ∈ I  $\implies$ 
    based.is-ground (subst expr  $\gamma$ )  $\implies$ 
    entails-def (subst expr ( $\gamma$ (var := update)))  $\implies$ 
    entails-def (subst expr  $\gamma$ )
begin

abbreviation entails ≡ entails-def

end

locale symmetric-entailment = entailment +
assumes sym: sym I
begin

lemma symmetric-congruence:
assumes
  update-is-ground: based.base.is-ground update and
  var-grounding: based.base.is-ground ( $\gamma$  var) and
  var-update: (base-to-ground ( $\gamma$  var), base-to-ground update) ∈ I and
  expr-grounding: based.is-ground (subst expr  $\gamma$ )
shows
  entails (subst expr ( $\gamma$ (var := update)))  $\longleftrightarrow$  entails (subst expr  $\gamma$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
end

locale symmetric-base-entailment =
  base-functional-substitution where subst = subst +
  grounding where subst = subst and to-ground = to-ground for
  subst :: 'base ⇒ ('var ⇒ 'base) ⇒ 'base (infixl · 70) and
  to-ground :: 'base ⇒ 'baseG +
fixes I :: ('baseG × 'baseG) set
assumes

```

**sym:** *sym I and*  
**congruence:**  $\bigwedge \text{expr } \text{expr}' \text{ update } \gamma \text{ var.}$

*is-ground update*  $\implies$   
*is-ground* ( $\gamma$  *var*)  $\implies$   
 $(\text{to-ground } (\gamma \text{ var}), \text{to-ground update}) \in I \implies$   
*is-ground* (*expr*  $\cdot$   $\gamma$ )  $\implies$   
 $(\text{to-ground } (\text{expr} \cdot (\gamma(\text{var} := \text{update}))), \text{expr}') \in I \implies$   
 $(\text{to-ground } (\text{expr} \cdot \gamma), \text{expr}') \in I$

**begin**

**lemma** *symmetric-congruence*:

**assumes**

*update-is-ground*: *is-ground update and*  
*var-grounding*: *is-ground* ( $\gamma$  *var*) **and**  
*expr-grounding*: *is-ground* (*expr*  $\cdot$   $\gamma$ ) **and**  
*var-update*:  $(\text{to-ground } (\gamma \text{ var}), \text{to-ground update}) \in I$   
**shows**  $(\text{to-ground } (\text{expr} \cdot (\gamma(\text{var} := \text{update}))), \text{expr}') \in I \longleftrightarrow (\text{to-ground } (\text{expr} \cdot \gamma), \text{expr}') \in I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *simultaneous-congruence*:

**assumes**

*update-is-ground*: *is-ground update and*  
*var-grounding*: *is-ground* ( $\gamma$  *var*) **and**  
*var-update*:  $(\text{to-ground } (\gamma \text{ var}), \text{to-ground update}) \in I$  **and**  
*expr-grounding*: *is-ground* (*expr*  $\cdot$   $\gamma$ ) *is-ground* (*expr'*  $\cdot$   $\gamma$ )

**shows**

$(\text{to-ground } (\text{expr} \cdot (\gamma(\text{var} := \text{update}))), \text{to-ground } (\text{expr}' \cdot (\gamma(\text{var} := \text{update})))) \in I \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\text{to-ground } (\text{expr} \cdot \gamma), \text{to-ground } (\text{expr}' \cdot \gamma)) \in I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**locale** *entailment-lifting* =  
*based-functional-substitution-lifting* +  
*finite-variables-lifting* +  
*sub*: *symmetric-entailment*  
**where** *subst* = *sub-subst* **and** *vars* = *sub-vars* **and** *entails-def* = *sub-entails*  
**for** *sub-entails* +  
**fixes**

*is-negated* :: '*d*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **and**  
*empty* :: *bool* **and**  
*connective* :: *bool*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **and**  
*entails-def*

**assumes**

*is-negated-subst*:  $\bigwedge \text{expr } \sigma. \text{is-negated } (\text{subst } \text{expr } \sigma) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-negated } \text{expr}$  **and**  
*entails-def*:  $\bigwedge \text{expr}. \text{entails-def } \text{expr} \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\text{if is-negated } \text{expr} \text{ then Not else } (\lambda x. x))$

```

(Finite-Set.fold connective empty (sub-entails `to-set expr))
begin

notation sub-entails ((|=s -) [50] 50)
notation entails-def ((|= -) [50] 50)

sublocale symmetric-entailment where subst = subst and vars = vars and entails-def = entails-def
⟨proof⟩

end

locale entailment-lifting-conj = entailment-lifting
where connective = (Λ) and empty = True

locale entailment-lifting-disj = entailment-lifting
where connective = (∨) and empty = False

end
theory Fold-Extra
imports Main
begin

lemma comp-fun-idem-conj: comp-fun-idem-on X (Λ)
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-fun-idem-disj: comp-fun-idem-on X (∨)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fold-conj-insert [simp]:
Finite-Set.fold (Λ) True (insert b B) ←→ b ∧ Finite-Set.fold (Λ) True B
⟨proof⟩

lemma fold-disj-insert [simp]:
Finite-Set.fold (∨) False (insert b B) ←→ b ∨ Finite-Set.fold (∨) False B
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Nonground-Entailment
imports
  Nonground-Context
  Nonground-Clause
  Term-Rewrite-System
  Entailment-Lifting
  Fold-Extra
begin

```

## 4 Entailment

```

context nonground-term
begin

lemma var-in-term:
  assumes  $x \in \text{vars } t$ 
  obtains  $c$  where  $t = c\langle \text{Var } x \rangle$ 
  <proof>

lemma vars-term-ms-count:
  assumes is-ground  $t$ 
  shows
     $\text{size } \{\#x' \in \# \text{vars-term-ms } c\langle \text{Var } x \rangle. x' = x\# \} = \text{Suc } (\text{size } \{\#x' \in \# \text{vars-term-ms } c\langle t \rangle. x' = x\# \})$ 
  <proof>

end

context nonground-clause
begin

lemma not-literal-entails [simp]:
   $\neg \text{upair } 'I \Vdash_l \text{Neg } a \longleftrightarrow \text{upair } 'I \Vdash_l \text{Pos } a$ 
   $\neg \text{upair } 'I \Vdash_l \text{Pos } a \longleftrightarrow \text{upair } 'I \Vdash_l \text{Neg } a$ 
  <proof>

lemmas literal-entails-unfolds =
  not-literal-entails true-lit-simps

end

locale clause-entailment = nonground-clause +
  fixes  $I :: ('f gterm \times 'f gterm) \text{ set}$ 
  assumes
    trans:  $\text{trans } I \text{ and}$ 
    sym:  $\text{sym } I \text{ and}$ 
    compatible-with-gctxt:  $\text{compatible-with-gctxt } I$ 
begin

lemma symmetric-context-congruence:
  assumes  $(t, t') \in I$ 
  shows  $(c\langle t \rangle_G, t'') \in I \longleftrightarrow (c\langle t' \rangle_G, t'') \in I$ 
  <proof>

lemma symmetric-upair-context-congruence:
  assumes  $\text{Upair } t t' \in \text{upair } 'I$ 
  shows  $\text{Upair } c\langle t \rangle_G t'' \in \text{upair } 'I \longleftrightarrow \text{Upair } c\langle t' \rangle_G t'' \in \text{upair } 'I$ 
  <proof>

```

**lemma** *upair-compatible-with-gctxtI* [intro]:  
 $Upair t t' \in upair ` I \implies Upair c\langle t \rangle_G c\langle t' \rangle_G \in upair ` I$   
*(proof)*

**sublocale** *term*: *symmetric-base-entailment* **where** *vars* = *term.vars* :: ('f, 'v)  
*term*  $\Rightarrow$  'v set **and**  
  *id-subst* = Var **and** *comp-subst* = ( $\odot$ ) **and** *subst* = ( $\cdot t$ ) **and** *to-ground* =  
*term.to-ground* **and**  
  *from-ground* = *term.from-ground*  
*(proof)*

**sublocale** *atom*: *symmetric-entailment*  
**where** *comp-subst* = ( $\odot$ ) **and** *id-subst* = Var  
  **and** *base-subst* = ( $\cdot t$ ) **and** *base-vars* = *term.vars* **and** *subst* = ( $\cdot a$ ) **and** *vars*  
= *atom.vars*  
  **and** *base-to-ground* = *term.to-ground* **and** *base-from-ground* = *term.from-ground*  
**and** *I* = *I*  
  **and** *entails-def* =  $\lambda a. atom.to-ground a \in upair ` I$   
*(proof)*

**sublocale** *literal*: *entailment-lifting-conj*  
**where** *comp-subst* = ( $\odot$ ) **and** *id-subst* = Var  
  **and** *base-subst* = ( $\cdot t$ ) **and** *base-vars* = *term.vars* **and** *sub-subst* = ( $\cdot a$ ) **and**  
*sub-vars* = *atom.vars*  
  **and** *base-to-ground* = *term.to-ground* **and** *base-from-ground* = *term.from-ground*  
**and** *I* = *I*  
  **and** *sub-entails* = *atom.entails* **and** *map* = *map-literal* **and** *to-set* = *set-literal*  
  **and** *is-negated* = *is-neg* **and** *entails-def* =  $\lambda l. upair ` I \Vdash l literal.to-ground l$   
*(proof)*

**sublocale** *clause*: *entailment-lifting-disj*  
**where** *comp-subst* = ( $\odot$ ) **and** *id-subst* = Var  
  **and** *base-subst* = ( $\cdot t$ ) **and** *base-vars* = *term.vars*  
  **and** *base-to-ground* = *term.to-ground* **and** *base-from-ground* = *term.from-ground*  
**and** *I* = *I*  
  **and** *sub-subst* = ( $\cdot l$ ) **and** *sub-vars* = *literal.vars* **and** *sub-entails* = *literal.entails*  
  **and** *map* = *image-mset* **and** *to-set* = *set-mset* **and** *is-negated* =  $\lambda -. False$   
  **and** *entails-def* =  $\lambda C. upair ` I \Vdash clause.to-ground C$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *literal-compatible-with-gctxtI* [intro]:  
*literal.entails* ( $t \approx t'$ )  $\implies$  *literal.entails* ( $c\langle t \rangle \approx c\langle t' \rangle$ )  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *symmetric-literal-context-congruence*:  
**assumes**  $Upair t t' \in upair ` I$   
**shows**  
 $upair ` I \Vdash l c\langle t \rangle_G \approx t'' \longleftrightarrow upair ` I \Vdash l c\langle t' \rangle_G \approx t''$

```

    upair `I ⊨l c⟨t⟩G ≈ t'' ←→ upair `I ⊨l c⟨t'⟩G ≈ t''  

    ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Nonground-Inference
  imports Nonground-Clause Nonground-Typing
begin

locale nonground-inference = nonground-clause
begin

sublocale inference: term-based-lifting where
  sub-subst = clause.subst and sub-vars = clause.vars and map = map-inference
  and
    to-set = set-inference and sub-to-ground = clause.to-ground and
    sub-from-ground = clause.from-ground and to-ground-map = map-inference and
    from-ground-map = map-inference and ground-map = map-inference and to-set-ground
    = set-inference
    ⟨proof⟩

notation inference.subst (infixl ·ι 67)

lemma vars-inference [simp]:
  inference.vars (Infer Ps C) = ⋃ (clause.vars ` set Ps) ∪ clause.vars C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-inference [simp]:
  Infer Ps C ·ι σ = Infer (map (λP. P · σ) Ps) (C · σ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inference-from-ground-clause-from-ground [simp]:
  inference.from-ground (Infer Ps C) = Infer (map clause.from-ground Ps) (clause.from-ground
  C)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inference-to-ground-clause-to-ground [simp]:
  inference.to-ground (Infer Ps C) = Infer (map clause.to-ground Ps) (clause.to-ground
  C)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inference-is-ground-clause-is-ground [simp]:
  inference.is-ground (Infer Ps C) ←→ list-all clause.is-ground Ps ∧ clause.is-ground
  C
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

end
theory Restricted-Order
imports Main
begin

5 Restricted Orders

locale relation-restriction =
fixes R :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool and lift :: 'b ⇒ 'a
assumes inj-lift [intro]: inj lift
begin

definition Rr :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool where
Rr b b' ≡ R (lift b) (lift b')

end

```

## 5.1 Strict Orders

```

locale strict-order =
fixes
less :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infix ⊏ 50)
assumes
transp [intro]: transp (⊏) and
asymp [intro]: asymp (⊏)
begin

abbreviation less-eq where less-eq ≡ (⊏) ==
notation less-eq (infix ⊑ 50)

sublocale order (⊑) (⊏)
⟨proof⟩

end

locale strict-order-restriction =
strict-order +
relation-restriction where R = (⊏)
begin

abbreviation lessr ≡ Rr

lemmas lessr-def = Rr-def

notation lessr (infix ⊏r 50)

sublocale restriction: strict-order (⊏r)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation less-eqr ≡ restriction.less-eq
notation less-eqr (infix  $\preceq_r$  50)

```

```
end
```

## 5.2 Wellfounded Strict Orders

```

locale restricted-wellfounded-strict-order = strict-order +
  fixes restriction
  assumes wfp [intro]: wfp-on restriction ( $\prec$ )

```

```

locale wellfounded-strict-order =
  restricted-wellfounded-strict-order where restriction = UNIV

```

```

locale wellfounded-strict-order-restriction =
  strict-order-restriction +
  restricted-wellfounded-strict-order where restriction = range lift and less = ( $\prec$ )
begin

```

```

sublocale wellfounded-strict-order ( $\prec_r$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

## 5.3 Total Strict Orders

```

locale restricted-total-strict-order = strict-order +
  fixes restriction
  assumes totalp [intro]: totalp-on restriction ( $\prec$ )
begin

```

```

lemma restricted-not-le:
  assumes a ∈ restriction b ∈ restriction  $\neg b \prec a$ 
  shows a  $\preceq$  b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```

locale total-strict-order =
  restricted-total-strict-order where restriction = UNIV
begin

```

```

sublocale linorder ( $\preceq$ ) ( $\prec$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```

locale total-strict-order-restriction =
  strict-order-restriction +

```

```

restricted-total-strict-order where restriction = range lift and less = ( $\prec$ )
begin

sublocale total-strict-order ( $\prec_r$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

locale restricted-wellfounded-total-strict-order =
  restricted-wellfounded-strict-order + restricted-total-strict-order

end
theory Context-Compatible-Order
imports
  Ground-Context
  Restricted-Order
begin

locale restriction-restricted =
  fixes restriction context-restriction restricted restricted-context
  assumes
    restricted:
       $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{restriction} \longleftrightarrow \text{restricted } t$ 
       $\bigwedge c. c \in \text{context-restriction} \longleftrightarrow \text{restricted-context } c$ 

locale restricted-context-compatibility =
  restriction-restricted +
  fixes R Fun
  assumes
    context-compatible [simp]:
       $\bigwedge c t_1 t_2.$ 
       $\text{restricted } t_1 \implies$ 
       $\text{restricted } t_2 \implies$ 
       $\text{restricted-context } c \implies$ 
       $R (\text{Fun}\langle c; t_1 \rangle) (\text{Fun}\langle c; t_2 \rangle) \longleftrightarrow R t_1 t_2$ 

locale context-compatibility = restricted-context-compatibility where
  restriction = UNIV and context-restriction = UNIV and restricted =  $\lambda\_. \text{True}$ 
and
  restricted-context =  $\lambda\_. \text{True}$ 
begin

lemma context-compatibility [simp]:  $R (\text{Fun}\langle c; t_1 \rangle) (\text{Fun}\langle c; t_2 \rangle) \longleftrightarrow R t_1 t_2$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

locale context-compatible-restricted-order =
  restricted-total-strict-order +

```

```

restriction-restricted +
fixes Fun
assumes less-context-compatible:
   $\bigwedge c t_1 t_2.$ 
    restricted  $t_1 \implies$ 
    restricted  $t_2 \implies$ 
    restricted-context  $c \implies$ 
     $t_1 \prec t_2 \implies$ 
     $\text{Fun}\langle c; t_1 \rangle \prec \text{Fun}\langle c; t_2 \rangle$ 
begin
  sublocale restricted-context-compatibility where  $R = (\prec)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

  sublocale less-eq: restricted-context-compatibility where  $R = (\preceq)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma context-less-term-lesseq:
    assumes
      restricted  $t$ 
      restricted  $t'$ 
      restricted-context  $c$ 
      restricted-context  $c'$ 
       $\bigwedge t. \text{restricted } t \implies \text{Fun}\langle c; t \rangle \prec \text{Fun}\langle c'; t \rangle$ 
       $t \preceq t'$ 
    shows  $\text{Fun}\langle c; t \rangle \prec \text{Fun}\langle c'; t' \rangle$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma context-lesseq-term-less:
    assumes
      restricted  $t$ 
      restricted  $t'$ 
      restricted-context  $c$ 
      restricted-context  $c'$ 
       $\bigwedge t. \text{restricted } t \implies \text{Fun}\langle c; t \rangle \preceq \text{Fun}\langle c'; t \rangle$ 
       $t \prec t'$ 
    shows  $\text{Fun}\langle c; t \rangle \prec \text{Fun}\langle c'; t' \rangle$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  end

  locale context-compatible-order =
  total-strict-order +
  fixes Fun
  assumes less-context-compatible:  $t_1 \prec t_2 \implies \text{Fun}\langle c; t_1 \rangle \prec \text{Fun}\langle c; t_2 \rangle$ 
begin
  sublocale restricted: context-compatible-restricted-order where
    restriction = UNIV and context-restriction = UNIV and restricted = λ-. True

```

```

and
restricted-context = λ-. True
⟨proof⟩

sublocale context-compatibility (⊲)
⟨proof⟩

sublocale less-eq: context-compatibility (⊴)
⟨proof⟩

lemma context-less-term-lesseq:
assumes
  ⋀t. Fun⟨c;t⟩ ⊲ Fun⟨c';t⟩
  t ⊣ t'
shows Fun⟨c;t⟩ ⊲ Fun⟨c';t'⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma context-lesseq-term-less:
assumes
  ⋀t. Fun⟨c;t⟩ ⊣ Fun⟨c';t⟩
  t ⊲ t'
shows Fun⟨c;t⟩ ⊲ Fun⟨c';t'⟩
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Term-Order-Notation
imports Main
begin

locale term-order-notation =
  fixes lesst :: 't ⇒ 't ⇒ bool
begin

notation lesst (infix ⊲t 50)
abbreviation less-eqt ≡ (⊲t)==
notation less-eqt (infix ⊣t 50)

end

end
theory Transitive-Closure-Extra
imports Main
begin

lemma reflcp-iff: ⋀R x y. R== x y ⟷ R x y ∨ x = y

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma reflclp-refl:  $R^{==} x x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma transpD-strict-non-strict:
assumes transp R
shows  $\bigwedge x y z. R x y \implies R^{==} y z \implies R x z$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma transpD-non-strict-strict:
assumes transp R
shows  $\bigwedge x y z. R^{==} x y \implies R y z \implies R x z$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mem-rtrancl-union-iff-mem-rtrancl-lhs:
assumes  $\bigwedge z. (x, z) \in A^* \implies z \notin \text{Domain } B$ 
shows  $(x, y) \in (A \cup B)^* \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in A^*$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mem-rtrancl-union-iff-mem-rtrancl-rhs:
assumes
 $\bigwedge z. (x, z) \in B^* \implies z \notin \text{Domain } A$ 
shows  $(x, y) \in (A \cup B)^* \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in B^*$ 
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Ground-Term-Order
imports
  Ground-Context
  Context-Compatible-Order
  Term-Order-Notation
  Transitive-Closure-Extra
begin

locale context-compatible-ground-order = context-compatible-order where Fun =
  GFun

locale subterm-property =
  strict-order where less = lesst
  for lesst :: 'f gterm  $\Rightarrow$  'f gterm  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
  assumes
    subterm-property [simp]:  $\bigwedge t c. c \neq \square \implies \text{less}_t t c \langle t \rangle_G$ 
begin

interpretation term-order-notation⟨proof⟩

lemma less-eq-subterm-property:  $t \preceq_t c \langle t \rangle_G$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

locale ground-term-order =
  wellfounded-strict-order lesst +
  total-strict-order lesst +
  context-compatible-ground-order lesst +
  subterm-property lesst
  for lesst :: 'f gterm ⇒ 'f gterm ⇒ bool
begin

interpretation term-order-notation⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Grounded-Order
imports
  Restricted-Order
  Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting
begin

```

## 6 Orders with ground restrictions

```

locale grounded-order =
  strict-order where less = less +
  grounding where vars = vars
for
  less :: 'expr ⇒ 'expr ⇒ bool (infix ⟨⊲⟩ 50) and
  vars :: 'expr ⇒ 'var set
begin

sublocale strict-order-restriction where lift = from-ground
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation lessG ≡ lessr
lemmas lessG-def = lessr-def
notation lessG (infix ⊲G 50)

abbreviation less-eqG ≡ less-eqr
notation less-eqG (infix ⊣G 50)

lemma to-ground-lessr [simp]:
  assumes is-ground e and is-ground e'
  shows to-ground e ⊲G to-ground e' ↔ e ⊲ e'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-ground-less-eqr [simp]:

```

```

assumes is-ground e and is-ground e'
shows to-ground e ⊑G to-ground e' ↔ e ⊑ e'
⟨proof⟩

lemma less-eqr-from-ground [simp]:
  eG ⊑G eG' ↔ from-ground eG ⊑ from-ground eG'
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale grounded-restricted-total-strict-order =
  order: restricted-total-strict-order where restriction = range from-ground +
  grounded-order
begin

sublocale total-strict-order-restriction where lift = from-ground
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-less-eq [simp]:
  assumes is-ground expr and is-ground expr'
  shows ¬ order.less-eq expr' expr ↔ expr < expr'
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale grounded-restricted-wellfounded-strict-order =
  restricted-wellfounded-strict-order where restriction = range from-ground +
  grounded-order
begin

sublocale wellfounded-strict-order-restriction where lift = from-ground
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 6.1 Ground substitution stability

```

locale ground-subst-stability = grounding +
  fixes R
  assumes
    ground-subst-stability:
      ∧expr1 expr2 γ.
      is-ground (expr1 · γ) ⇒
      is-ground (expr2 · γ) ⇒
      R expr1 expr2 ⇒
      R (expr1 · γ) (expr2 · γ)

locale ground-subst-stable-grounded-order =
  grounded-order +

```

```

ground-subst-stability where  $R = (\prec)$ 
begin

sublocale less-eq: ground-subst-stability where  $R = (\preceq)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ground-less-not-less-eq:
assumes
  grounding: is-ground ( $expr_1 \cdot \gamma$ ) is-ground ( $expr_2 \cdot \gamma$ ) and
  less:  $expr_1 \cdot \gamma \prec expr_2 \cdot \gamma$ 
shows
   $\neg expr_2 \preceq expr_1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 6.2 Substitution update stability

```

locale subst-update-stability =
  based-functional-substitution +
  fixes base-R  $R$ 
assumes
  subst-update-stability:
   $\bigwedge update\ x\ \gamma\ expr.$ 
  base-is-ground update  $\implies$ 
  base-R update ( $\gamma\ x$ )  $\implies$ 
  is-ground ( $expr \cdot \gamma$ )  $\implies$ 
  x ∈ vars expr  $\implies$ 
   $R\ (expr \cdot \gamma(x := update))\ (expr \cdot \gamma)$ 

locale base-subst-update-stability =
  base-functional-substitution +
  subst-update-stability where base-R =  $R$  and base-subst = subst and base-vars
  = vars

locale subst-update-stable-grounded-order =
  grounded-order + subst-update-stability where  $R = less$  and base-R = base-less
  for base-less
begin

sublocale less-eq: subst-update-stability
  where base-R = base-less== and  $R = less^{==}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale base-subst-update-stable-grounded-order =
  base-subst-update-stability where  $R = less$  +
  subst-update-stable-grounded-order where

```

```

base-less = less and base-subst = subst and base-vars = vars

end
theory Multiset-Extension
imports
  Restricted-Order
  Multiset-Extra
begin

```

## 7 Multiset Extensions

```

locale multiset-extension = order: strict-order +
  fixes to-mset :: 'b ⇒ 'a multiset
begin

definition multiset-extension :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool where
  multiset-extension b1 b2 ≡ multp (≺) (to-mset b1) (to-mset b2)

notation multiset-extension (infix ≺m 50)

sublocale strict-order (≺m)
  ⟨proof⟩

notation less-eq (infix ⊲m 50)

end

```

### 7.1 Wellfounded Multiset Extensions

```

locale wellfounded-multiset-extension =
  order: wellfounded-strict-order +
  multiset-extension
begin

sublocale wellfounded-strict-order (≺m)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 7.2 Total Multiset Extensions

```

locale restricted-total-multiset-extension =
  base: restricted-total-strict-order +
  multiset-extension +
  assumes inj-on-to-mset: inj-on to-mset {b. set-mset (to-mset b) ⊆ restriction}
begin

sublocale restricted-total-strict-order (≺m) {b. set-mset (to-mset b) ⊆ restriction}

```

```

⟨proof⟩

end

locale total-multiset-extension =
order: total-strict-order +
multiset-extension +
assumes inj-to-mset: inj to-mset
begin

sublocale restricted-total-multiset-extension where restriction = UNIV
⟨proof⟩

sublocale total-strict-order (≺m)
⟨proof⟩

end

locale total-wellfounded-multiset-extension =
wellfounded-multiset-extension + total-multiset-extension

end
theory Grounded-Multiset-Extension
imports Grounded-Order Multiset-Extension
begin

```

## 8 Grounded Multiset Extensions

```

locale functional-substitution-multiset-extension =
sub: strict-order where less = (≺) :: 'sub ⇒ 'sub ⇒ bool +
multiset-extension where to-mset = to-mset +
functional-substitution-lifting where id-subst = id-subst and to-set = to-set
for
to-mset :: 'expr ⇒ 'sub multiset and
id-subst :: 'var ⇒ 'base and
to-set :: 'expr ⇒ 'sub set +
assumes

to-mset-to-set: ⋀ expr. set-mset (to-mset expr) = to-set expr and
to-mset-map: ⋀ f b. to-mset (map f b) = image-mset f (to-mset b) and
inj-to-mset: inj to-mset
begin

no-notation less-eq (infix ⊑ 50)
notation sub.less-eq (infix ⊑ 50)

lemma lesseq-if-all-lesseq:
assumes ∀ sub ∈# to-mset expr. sub ·s σ' ⊑ sub ·s σ

```

```

shows expr · σ' ⊢m expr · σ
⟨proof⟩

lemma less-if-all-lesseq-ex-less:
assumes
  ∀ sub∈#to-mset expr. sub ·s σ' ⊢ sub ·s σ
  ∃ sub∈#to-mset expr. sub ·s σ' ≺ sub ·s σ
shows
  expr · σ' ≺m expr · σ
⟨proof⟩

end

locale grounded-multiset-extension =
grounding-lifting where
id-subst = id-subst :: 'var ⇒ 'base and to-set = to-set :: 'expr ⇒ 'sub set and
to-set-ground = to-set-ground +
functional-substitution-multiset-extension where to-mset = to-mset
for
to-mset :: 'expr ⇒ 'sub multiset and
to-set-ground :: 'exprG ⇒ 'subG set
begin

sublocale strict-order-restriction (≺m) from-ground
⟨proof⟩

end

locale total-grounded-multiset-extension =
grounded-multiset-extension +
sub: total-strict-order-restriction where lift = sub-from-ground
begin

sublocale total-strict-order-restriction (≺m) from-ground
⟨proof⟩

end

locale based-grounded-multiset-extension =
based-functional-substitution-lifting where base-vars = base-vars +
grounded-multiset-extension +
base: strict-order where less = base-less
for
base-vars :: 'base ⇒ 'var set and
base-less :: 'base ⇒ 'base ⇒ bool

```

## 8.1 Ground substitution stability

```
locale ground-subst-stable-total-multiset-extension =
  grounded-multiset-extension +
  sub: ground-subst-stable-grounded-order where
    less = less and subst = sub-subst and vars = sub-vars and from-ground =
    sub-from-ground and
    to-ground = sub-to-ground
begin

sublocale ground-subst-stable-grounded-order where
  less = ( $\prec_m$ ) and subst = subst and vars = vars and from-ground = from-ground
  and
  to-ground = to-ground
  ⟨proof⟩

end
```

## 8.2 Substitution update stability

```
locale subst-update-stable-multiset-extension =
  based-grounded-multiset-extension +
  sub: subst-update-stable-grounded-order where
    vars = sub-vars and subst = sub-subst and to-ground = sub-to-ground and
    from-ground = sub-from-ground
begin

no-notation less-eq (infix  $\preceq$  50)

sublocale subst-update-stable-grounded-order where
  less = ( $\prec_m$ ) and vars = vars and subst = subst and from-ground = from-ground
  and
  to-ground = to-ground
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Maximal-Literal
imports
  Clausal-Calculus-Extra
  Min-Max-Least-Greatest.Min-Max-Least-Greatest-Multiset
  Restricted-Order
begin

locale maximal-literal = order: strict-order where less = less
for less :: 'a literal  $\Rightarrow$  'a literal  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin
```

```

abbreviation is-maximal :: 'a literal ⇒ 'a clause ⇒ bool where
  is-maximal l C ≡ order.is-maximal-in-mset C l

abbreviation is-strictly-maximal :: 'a literal ⇒ 'a clause ⇒ bool where
  is-strictly-maximal l C ≡ order.is-strictly-maximal-in-mset C l

lemmas is-maximal-def = order.is-maximal-in-mset-iff

lemmas is-strictly-maximal-def = order.is-strictly-maximal-in-mset-iff

lemmas is-maximal-if-is-strictly-maximal = order.is-maximal-in-mset-if-is-strictly-maximal-in-mset

lemma maximal-in-clause:
  assumes is-maximal l C
  shows l ∈# C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma strictly-maximal-in-clause:
  assumes is-strictly-maximal l C
  shows l ∈# C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-maximal-not-empty [intro]: is-maximal l C ⇒ C ≠ {#}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-strictly-maximal-not-empty [intro]: is-strictly-maximal l C ⇒ C ≠ {#}
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Term-Order-Lifting
imports
  Grounded-Multiset-Extension
  Maximal-Literal
  Term-Order-Notation
begin

locale restricted-term-order-lifting =
  term.order: restricted-wellfounded-total-strict-order where less = lesst
for lesst :: 't ⇒ 't ⇒ bool +
fixes literal-to-mset :: 'a literal ⇒ 't multiset
assumes inj-literal-to-mset: inj literal-to-mset
begin

sublocale term-order-notation⟨proof⟩

abbreviation literal-order-restriction where

```

```

literal-order-restriction ≡ {b. set-mset (literal-to-mset b) ⊆ restriction}

sublocale literal.order: restricted-total-multiset-extension where
  less = (≺t) and to-mset = literal-to-mset
  ⟨proof⟩

notation literal.order.multiset-extension (infix ≺l 50)
notation literal.order.less-eq (infix ≼l 50)

lemmas lessl-def = literal.order.multiset-extension-def

sublocale maximal-literal (≺l)
⟨proof⟩

sublocale clause.order: restricted-total-multiset-extension where
  less = (≺l) and to-mset = λx. x and restriction = literal-order-restriction
  ⟨proof⟩

notation clause.order.multiset-extension (infix ≺c 50)
notation clause.order.less-eq (infix ≼c 50)

lemmas lessc-def = clause.order.multiset-extension-def

end

locale term-order-lifting =
  restricted-term-order-lifting where restriction = UNIV +
  term.order: wellfounded-strict-order lesst +
  term.order: total-strict-order lesst
begin

sublocale literal.order: total-wellfounded-multiset-extension where
  less = (≺t) and to-mset = literal-to-mset
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale clause.order: total-wellfounded-multiset-extension where
  less = (≺l) and to-mset = λx. x
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Ground-Order
  imports Ground-Term-Order Term-Order-Lifting
begin

locale ground-order =
  term.order: ground-term-order +
  term-order-lifting

```

```

locale ground-order-with-equality =
  term.order: ground-term-order
begin

sublocale ground-order
  where literal-to-mset = mset-lit
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Nonground-Term-Order
imports
  Nonground-Term
  Nonground-Context
  Ground-Order
begin

locale ground-context-compatible-order =
  nonground-term-with-context +
  restricted-total-strict-order where restriction = range term.from-ground +
assumes ground-context-compatibility:
   $\bigwedge c t_1 t_2.$ 
    term.is-ground  $t_1 \implies$ 
    term.is-ground  $t_2 \implies$ 
    context.is-ground  $c \implies$ 
     $t_1 \prec t_2 \implies$ 
     $c(t_1) \prec c(t_2)$ 
begin

sublocale context-compatible-restricted-order where
  restriction = range term.from-ground and context-restriction = range context.from-ground
and
  Fun = Fun and restricted = term.is-ground and restricted-context = context.is-ground
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale ground-subterm-property =
  nonground-term-with-context +
fixes R
assumes ground-subterm-property:
   $\bigwedge t_G c_G.$ 
    term.is-ground  $t_G \implies$ 
    context.is-ground  $c_G \implies$ 
     $c_G \neq \square \implies$ 
     $R t_G c_G(t_G)$ 

```

```

locale base-grounded-order =
  order: base-subst-update-stable-grounded-order +
  order: grounded-restricted-total-strict-order +
  order: grounded-restricted-wellfounded-strict-order +
  order: ground-subst-stable-grounded-order +
  grounding

locale nonground-term-order =
  nonground-term-with-context +
  order: restricted-wellfounded-total-strict-order where
    less = lesst and restriction = range term.from-ground +
    order: ground-subst-stability where R = lesst and comp-subst = (⊙) and subst
    = (·t) and
    vars = term.vars and id-subst = Var and to-ground = term.to-ground and
    from-ground = term.from-ground +
    order: ground-context-compatible-order where less = lesst +
    order: ground-subterm-property where R = lesst
for lesst :: ('f, 'v) Term.term ⇒ ('f, 'v) Term.term ⇒ bool
begin

interpretation term-order-notation⟨proof⟩

sublocale base-grounded-order where
  comp-subst = (⊙) and subst = (·t) and vars = term.vars and id-subst = Var
  and
  to-ground = term.to-ground and from-ground = term.from-ground and less =
  (·t)
  ⟨proof⟩

notation order.lessG (infix ⊢tG 50)
notation order.less-eqG (infix ⊣tG 50)

sublocale restriction: ground-term-order (·tG)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Nonground-Order
  imports
    Nonground-Clause
    Nonground-Term-Order
    Term-Order-Lifting
begin

```

## 9 Nonground Order

```

locale nonground-order-lifting =
  grounding-lifting +
  order: total-grounded-multiset-extension +
  order: ground-subst-stable-total-multiset-extension +
  order: subst-update-stable-multiset-extension
begin

sublocale order: grounded-restricted-total-strict-order where
  less = order.multiset-extension and subst = subst and vars = vars and to-ground
  = to-ground and
  from-ground = from-ground
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale nonground-term-based-order-lifting =
  term: nonground-term +
  nonground-order-lifting where
  id-subst = Var and comp-subst = (⊙) and base-vars = term.vars and base-less
  = lesst and
  base-subst = (.t)
for lesst

locale nonground-equality-order =
  nonground-clause +
  term: nonground-term-order
begin

sublocale restricted-term-order-lifting where
  restriction = range term.from-ground and literal-to-mset = mset-lit
  ⟨proof⟩

notation term.order.lessG (infix  $\prec_{tG}$  50)
notation term.order.less-eqG (infix  $\preceq_{tG}$  50)

sublocale literal: nonground-term-based-order-lifting where
  less = lesst and sub-subst = (.t) and sub-vars = term.vars and sub-to-ground
  = term.to-ground and
  sub-from-ground = term.from-ground and map = map-uprod-literal and to-set
  = uprod-literal-to-set and
  to-ground-map = map-uprod-literal and from-ground-map = map-uprod-literal
  and
  ground-map = map-uprod-literal and to-set-ground = uprod-literal-to-set and
  to-mset = mset-lit
rewrites

```

```

 $\wedge l \sigma. \text{functional-substitution-lifting}.subst (\cdot t) \text{ map-uprod-literal } l \sigma = \text{literal}.subst$ 
 $l \sigma \text{ and}$ 
 $\wedge l. \text{functional-substitution-lifting}.vars \text{ term.vars uprod-literal-to-set } l = \text{literal}.vars$ 
 $l \text{ and}$ 
 $\wedge l_G. \text{grounding-lifting}.from-ground \text{ term.from-ground map-uprod-literal } l_G$ 
 $= \text{literal}.from-ground l_G \text{ and}$ 
 $\wedge l. \text{grounding-lifting}.to-ground \text{ term.to-ground map-uprod-literal } l = \text{literal}.to-ground$ 
 $l$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

notation literal.order.lessG (infix  $\prec_{lG} 50$ )
notation literal.order.less-eqG (infix  $\preceq_{lG} 50$ )

sublocale clause: nonground-term-based-order-lifting where
  less = ( $\prec_l$ ) and sub-subst = literal.subst and sub-vars = literal.vars and
  sub-to-ground = literal.to-ground and sub-from-ground = literal.from-ground and
  map = image-mset and to-set = set-mset and to-ground-map = image-mset and
  from-ground-map = image-mset and ground-map = image-mset and to-set-ground
  = set-mset and
  to-mset =  $\lambda x. x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

notation clause.order.lessG (infix  $\prec_{cG} 50$ )
notation clause.order.less-eqG (infix  $\preceq_{cG} 50$ )

lemma obtain-maximal-literal:
  assumes
    not-empty:  $C \neq \{\#\}$  and
    grounding: clause.is-ground ( $C \cdot \gamma$ )
  obtains  $l$ 
  where is-maximal  $l C$  is-maximal ( $l \cdot l \gamma$ ) ( $C \cdot \gamma$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma obtain-strictly-maximal-literal:
  assumes
    grounding: clause.is-ground ( $C \cdot \gamma$ ) and
    ground-strictly-maximal: is-strictly-maximal  $l_G (C \cdot \gamma)$ 
  obtains  $l$  where
    is-strictly-maximal  $l C l_G = l \cdot l \gamma$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is-maximal-if-grounding-is-maximal:
  assumes
    l-in-C:  $l \in\# C$  and
    C-grounding: clause.is-ground ( $C \cdot \gamma$ ) and
    l-grounding-is-maximal: is-maximal ( $l \cdot l \gamma$ ) ( $C \cdot \gamma$ )
  shows
    is-maximal  $l C$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma is-strictly-maximal-if-grounding-is-strictly-maximal:
  assumes
    l-in-C:  $l \in\# C$  and
    grounding: clause.is-ground ( $C \cdot \gamma$ ) and
    grounding-strictly-maximal: is-strictly-maximal ( $l \cdot l \gamma$ ) ( $C \cdot \gamma$ )
  shows
    is-strictly-maximal  $l C$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma unique-maximal-in-ground-clause:
  assumes
    clause.is-ground  $C$ 
    is-maximal  $l C$ 
    is-maximal  $l' C$ 
  shows
     $l = l'$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma unique-strictly-maximal-in-ground-clause:
  assumes
    clause.is-ground  $C$ 
    is-strictly-maximal  $l C$ 
    is-strictly-maximal  $l' C$ 
  shows
     $l = l'$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lesslG-rewrite [simp]: multiset-extension.multiset-extension ( $\prec_{tG}$ ) mset-lit
   $= (\prec_{lG})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lesscG-rewrite [simp]:
  multiset-extension.multiset-extension ( $\prec_{lG}$ ) ( $\lambda x. x$ )  $= (\prec_{cG})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is-maximal-rewrite [simp]:
  is-maximal-in-mset-wrt ( $\prec_{lG}$ )  $C l$   $=$  is-maximal (literal.from-ground  $l$ ) (clause.from-ground  $C$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

thm literal.order.order.strict-iff-order

lemma is-strictly-maximal-rewrite [simp]:
  is-strictly-maximal-in-mset-wrt ( $\prec_{lG}$ )  $C l$   $=$ 
  is-strictly-maximal (literal.from-ground  $l$ ) (clause.from-ground  $C$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale ground: ground-order-with-equality where

```

```

 $less_t = (\prec_{tG})$ 
rewrites
  multiset-extension.multiset-extension ( $\prec_{tG}$ ) mset-lit = ( $\prec_{lG}$ ) and
  multiset-extension.multiset-extension ( $\prec_{lG}$ ) ( $\lambda x. x$ ) = ( $\prec_{cG}$ ) and
   $\bigwedge l C. \text{ground.is-maximal } l C \longleftrightarrow \text{is-maximal}(\text{literal.from-ground } l) (\text{clause.from-ground } C)$  and
   $\bigwedge l C. \text{ground.is-strictly-maximal } l C \longleftrightarrow \text{is-strictly-maximal}(\text{literal.from-ground } l) (\text{clause.from-ground } C)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation ground-is-maximal where
  ground-is-maximal  $l_G C_G \equiv \text{is-maximal}(\text{literal.from-ground } l_G) (\text{clause.from-ground } C_G)$ 

abbreviation ground-is-strictly-maximal where
  ground-is-strictly-maximal  $l_G C_G \equiv \text{is-strictly-maximal}(\text{literal.from-ground } l_G) (\text{clause.from-ground } C_G)$ 

lemma lesst-lessl:
  assumes  $t_1 \prec_t t_2$ 
  shows
    lesst-lessl-pos:  $t_1 \approx t_3 \prec_l t_2 \approx t_3$  and
    lesst-lessl-neg:  $t_1 \not\approx t_3 \prec_l t_2 \not\approx t_3$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma literal-order-less-if-all-lesseq-ex-less-set:
  assumes
     $\forall t \in \text{set-uprod}(\text{atm-of } l). t \cdot t \sigma' \preceq_t t \cdot t \sigma$ 
     $\exists t \in \text{set-uprod}(\text{atm-of } l). t \cdot t \sigma' \prec_t t \cdot t \sigma$ 
  shows  $l \cdot l \sigma' \prec_l l \cdot l \sigma$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lessc-add-mset:
  assumes  $l \prec_l l' C \preceq_c C'$ 
  shows add-mset  $l C \prec_c \text{add-mset } l' C'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas lessc-add-same [simp] =
  multp-add-same[OF literal.order.asymp literal.order.transp, folded lessc-def]

end

end
theory Typed-Functional-Substitution-Example
imports
  Functional-Substitution-Typing
  Typed-Functional-Substitution
  Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Example

```

```

begin

type-synonym ('f, 'ty) fun-types = 'f ⇒ 'ty list × 'ty

Inductive predicates defining well-typed terms.

inductive typed :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types ⇒ ('v, 'ty) var-types ⇒ ('f,'v) term ⇒ 'ty ⇒
bool
for F V where
  Var: V x = τ ⇒ typed F V (Var x) τ
  | Fun: F f = (τs, τ) ⇒ typed F V (Fun f ts) τ

inductive welltyped :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types ⇒ ('v, 'ty) var-types ⇒ ('f,'v) term ⇒
'ty ⇒ bool
for F V where
  Var: V x = τ ⇒ welltyped F V (Var x) τ
  | Fun: F f = (τs, τ) ⇒ list-all2 (welltyped F V) ts τs ⇒ welltyped F V (Fun
f ts) τ

global-interpretation term: explicit-typing typed F V welltyped F V
⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation term: base-functional-substitution-typing where
  typed = typed (F :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types) and welltyped = welltyped F and
  subst = subst-apply-term and id-subst = Var and comp-subst = subst-compose
and
  vars = vars-term :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ 'v set
  ⟨proof⟩

```

A selection of substitution properties for typed terms.

```

locale typed-term-subst-properties =
  typed: explicitly-typed-subst-stability where typed = typed F +
  welltyped: explicitly-typed-subst-stability where typed = welltyped F
for F :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types

global-interpretation term: typed-term-subst-properties where
  subst = subst-apply-term and id-subst = Var and comp-subst = subst-compose
and
  vars = vars-term :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ 'v set and F = F
for F :: 'f ⇒ 'ty list × 'ty
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Examples of generated lemmas and definitions

```

thm
  term.welltyped.right-unique
  term.welltyped.explicit-subst-stability
  term.welltyped.subst-stability
  term.welltyped.subst-update

  term.typed.right-unique

```

```

term.typed.explicit-subst-stability
term.typed.subst-stability
term.typed.subst-update

term.is-welltyped-on-subset
term.is-typed-on-subset
term.is-welltyped-id-subst
term.is-typed-id-subst

term term.is-welltyped
term term.subst.is-welltyped-on
term term.subst.is-welltyped
term term.is-typed
term term.subst.is-typed-on
term term.subst.is-typed

end
theory Typed-Functional-Substitution-Lifting-Example
imports
  Functional-Substitution-Typing-Lifting
  Typed-Functional-Substitution-Lifting
  Typed-Functional-Substitution-Example
  Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting-Example
begin

All property locales have corresponding lifting locales

locale nonground-uniform-typing-lifting =
  functional-substitution-uniform-typing-lifting where
    base-typed = typed  $\mathcal{F}$  and base-welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$  +
    is-typed: uniform-typed-subst-stability-lifting where
      base-typed = typed  $\mathcal{F}$  +
    is-welltyped: uniform-typed-subst-stability-lifting where
      base-typed = welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$ 
    for  $\mathcal{F}$  :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types

locale nonground-typing-lifting =
  functional-substitution-typing-lifting where
    base-typed = typed  $\mathcal{F}$  and base-welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$  +
    is-typed: typed-subst-stability-lifting where base-typed = typed  $\mathcal{F}$  +
    is-welltyped: typed-subst-stability-lifting where
      sub-is-typed = sub-is-welltyped and base-typed = welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$ 
    for  $\mathcal{F}$  :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types

locale example-typing-lifting =

```

```

fixes  $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty) \text{ fun-types}$ 
begin

sublocale equation:
  uniform-typing-lifting where
  sub-typed = typed  $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}$  and sub-welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}$  and
  to-set = set-prod
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale equation:
  nonground-uniform-typing-lifting where
  base-vars = vars-term and base-subst = subst-apply-term and map =  $\lambda f. map\text{-prod}$ 
f f and
  to-set = set-prod and comp-subst = subst-compose and id-subst = Var
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Lifted lemmas and definitions

```

thm
  equation.is-welltyped-def
  equation.is-typed-def

  equation.is-welltyped.subst-stability
  equation.is-typed.subst-stability
  equation.is-typed-if-is-welltyped

```

We can lift multiple levels

```

sublocale equation-set:
  typing-lifting where
  sub-is-typed = equation.is-typed  $\mathcal{V}$  and sub-is-welltyped = equation.is-welltyped
 $\mathcal{V}$  and
  to-set = fset
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale equation-set:
  nonground-typing-lifting where
  base-vars = vars-term and base-subst = subst-apply-term and map = fimage
and
  to-set = fset and comp-subst = subst-compose and id-subst = Var and
  sub-vars = equation-subst.vars and sub-subst = equation-subst.subst and
  sub-is-welltyped = equation.is-welltyped and sub-is-typed = equation.is-typed
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Lifted lemmas and definitions

```

thm
  equation-set.is-welltyped-def
  equation-set.is-typed-def

  equation-set.is-welltyped.subst-stability
  equation-set.is-typed.subst-stability

```

*equation-set.is-typed-if-is-welltyped*

**end**

Interpretation with Unit-Typing

**global-interpretation** *example-typing-lifting*  $\lambda\text{-}.\langle[],()\rangle\langle proof\rangle$

**end**