

Farey Sequences and Ford Circles

Lawrence C. Paulson

6 February 2026

Abstract

The sequence F_n of *Farey fractions* of order n has the form

$$\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, \frac{1}{1}$$

where the fractions appear in numerical order and have denominators at most n . The transformation from F_n to F_{n+1} can be effected by combining adjacent elements of the sequence F_n , using an operation called the *mediant*. Adjacent (reduced) fractions $(a/b) < (c/d)$ satisfy the *unimodular* relation $bc - ad = 1$ and their mediant is $\frac{a+c}{b+d}$. A *Ford circle* is specified by a rational number, and interesting consequences follow in the case of Ford circles obtained from some Farey sequence F_n . The formalised material is drawn from Apostol's *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory* [1].

1 Farey Sequences and Ford Circles

theory *Farey-Ford*

imports *HOL-Analysis.Analysis HOL-Number-Theory.Totient HOL-Library.Sublist*

begin

lemma *sublist-map-nth*:

assumes $j \leq \text{length } xs$

shows $\text{sublist } (\text{map } (\lambda i. xs ! i) [i..<j]) xs$
<proof>

1.1 Farey sequences

lemma *sorted-two-sublist*:

fixes $x:: 'a::\text{order}$

assumes *sorted*: $\text{sorted-wrt } (<) l$

shows $\text{sublist } [x, y] l \longleftrightarrow x < y \wedge x \in \text{set } l \wedge y \in \text{set } l \wedge (\forall z \in \text{set } l. z \leq x \vee z \geq y)$
<proof>

lemma *sorted-two-sublist-nth*:

fixes $l:: 'a::\text{order list}$

assumes $\text{Suc } j < \text{length } l$ *sorted-wrt* $(<) l$

shows $\text{sublist } [l ! j, l ! \text{Suc } j] l$

<proof>

lemma *transp-add1-int*:

assumes $\bigwedge n::\text{int}. R (f n) (f (1 + n))$

and $n < n'$

and *transp* R

shows $R (f n) (f n')$

<proof>

lemma *refl-transp-add1-int*:

assumes $\bigwedge n::\text{int}. R (f n) (f (1 + n))$

and $n \leq n'$

and *reflp* R *transp* R

shows $R (f n) (f n')$

<proof>

lemma *transp-Suc*:

assumes $\bigwedge n. R (f n) (f (\text{Suc } n))$

and $n < n'$

and *transp* R

shows $R (f n) (f n')$

<proof>

lemma *refl-transp-Suc*:

assumes $\wedge n. R (f n) (f (Suc n))$
and $n \leq n'$
and $reflp R transp R$
shows $R (f n) (f n')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coprime-unimodular-int*:
fixes $a b :: int$
assumes $coprime a b a > 1 b > 1$
obtains $x y$ **where** $a * x - b * y = 1$ $0 < x x < b$ $0 < y y < a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.2 Farey Fractions

type-synonym $farey = rat$

definition $num-farey :: farey \Rightarrow int$
where $num-farey \equiv \lambda x. fst (quotient-of x)$

definition $denom-farey :: farey \Rightarrow int$
where $denom-farey \equiv \lambda x. snd (quotient-of x)$

definition $farey :: [int, int] \Rightarrow farey$
where $farey \equiv \lambda a b. max 0 (min 1 (Fract a b))$

lemma $farey01$ [*simp*]: $0 \leq farey a b$ $farey a b \leq 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $farey-0$ [*simp*]: $farey 0 n = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $farey-1$ [*simp*]: $farey 1 1 = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $num-farey-nonneg$: $x \in \{0..1\} \implies num-farey x \geq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $num-farey-le-denom$: $x \in \{0..1\} \implies num-farey x \leq denom-farey x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $denom-farey-pos$: $denom-farey x > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $coprime-num-denom-farey$ [*intro*]: $coprime (num-farey x) (denom-farey x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rat-of-farey-conv-num-denom$:
 $x = rat-of-int (num-farey x) / rat-of-int (denom-farey x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *num-denom-farey-eqI*:

assumes $x = \text{of-int } a / \text{of-int } b$ $b > 0$ *coprime* a b

shows $\text{num-farey } x = a$ $\text{denom-farey } x = b$

<proof>

lemma *farey-cases* [*cases type, case-names farey*]:

assumes $x \in \{0..1\}$

obtains a b **where** $0 \leq a \leq b$ *coprime* a b $x = \text{Fract } a$ b

<proof>

lemma *rat-of-farey*: $\llbracket x = \text{of-int } a / \text{of-int } b; x \in \{0..1\} \rrbracket \implies x = \text{farey } a$ b

<proof>

lemma *farey-num-denom-eq* [*simp*]: $x \in \{0..1\} \implies \text{farey } (\text{num-farey } x)$ (*denom-farey* x) = x

<proof>

lemma *farey-eqI*:

assumes $\text{num-farey } x = \text{num-farey } y$ $\text{denom-farey } x = \text{denom-farey } y$

shows $x = y$

<proof>

lemma

assumes *coprime* a b $0 \leq a < b$

shows *num-farey-eq* [*simp*]: $\text{num-farey } (\text{farey } a$ $b) = a$

and *denom-farey-eq* [*simp*]: $\text{denom-farey } (\text{farey } a$ $b) = b$

<proof>

lemma

assumes $0 \leq a \leq b$ $0 < b$

shows *num-farey*: $\text{num-farey } (\text{farey } a$ $b) = a \text{ div } (\text{gcd } a$ $b)$

and *denom-farey*: $\text{denom-farey } (\text{farey } a$ $b) = b \text{ div } (\text{gcd } a$ $b)$

<proof>

lemma

assumes *coprime* a b $0 < b$

shows *num-farey-Fract* [*simp*]: $\text{num-farey } (\text{Fract } a$ $b) = a$

and *denom-farey-Fract* [*simp*]: $\text{denom-farey } (\text{Fract } a$ $b) = b$

<proof>

lemma *num-farey-0* [*simp*]: $\text{num-farey } 0 = 0$

and *denom-farey-0* [*simp*]: $\text{denom-farey } 0 = 1$

and *num-farey-1* [*simp*]: $\text{num-farey } 1 = 1$

and *denom-farey-1* [*simp*]: $\text{denom-farey } 1 = 1$

<proof>

lemma *num-farey-neq-denom*: $\text{denom-farey } x \neq 1 \implies \text{num-farey } x \neq \text{denom-farey } x$

<proof>

lemma *num-farey-0-iff* [simp]: $\text{num-farey } x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0$
<proof>

lemma *denom-farey-le1-cases*:
 assumes $\text{denom-farey } x \leq 1 \ x \in \{0..1\}$
 shows $x = 0 \vee x = 1$
<proof>

definition *mediant* :: $\text{farey} \Rightarrow \text{farey} \Rightarrow \text{farey}$ **where**
 $\text{mediant} \equiv \lambda x y. \text{Fract } (\text{fst } (\text{quotient-of } x) + \text{fst } (\text{quotient-of } y))$
 $(\text{snd } (\text{quotient-of } x) + \text{snd } (\text{quotient-of } y))$

lemma *mediant-eq-Fract*:
 $\text{mediant } x y = \text{Fract } (\text{num-farey } x + \text{num-farey } y) (\text{denom-farey } x + \text{denom-farey } y)$
<proof>

lemma *mediant-eq-farey*:
 assumes $x \in \{0..1\} \ y \in \{0..1\}$
 shows $\text{mediant } x y = \text{farey } (\text{num-farey } x + \text{num-farey } y) (\text{denom-farey } x + \text{denom-farey } y)$
<proof>

definition *farey-unimodular* :: $\text{farey} \Rightarrow \text{farey} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{farey-unimodular } x y \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{denom-farey } x * \text{num-farey } y - \text{num-farey } x * \text{denom-farey } y = 1$

lemma *farey-unimodular-imp-less*:
 assumes $\text{farey-unimodular } x y$
 shows $x < y$
<proof>

lemma *denom-mediante*: $\text{denom-farey } (\text{mediant } x y) \leq \text{denom-farey } x + \text{denom-farey } y$
<proof>

lemma *unimodular-imp-both-coprime*:
 fixes $a:: 'a::\{\text{algebraic-semidom}, \text{comm-ring-1}\}$
 assumes $b*c - a*d = 1$
 shows $\text{coprime } a \ b \ \text{coprime } c \ d$
<proof>

lemma *unimodular-imp-coprime*:
 fixes $a:: 'a::\{\text{algebraic-semidom}, \text{comm-ring-1}\}$
 assumes $b*c - a*d = 1$
 shows $\text{coprime } (a+c) \ (b+d)$

<proof>

definition *fareys* :: nat \Rightarrow rat list

where *fareys* n \equiv sorted-list-of-set {x \in {0..1}. denom-farey x \leq n}

lemma *strict-sorted-fareys*: sorted-wrt (<) (*fareys* n) **and** *sorted-fareys*: sorted (*fareys* n)

<proof>

lemma *distinct-fareys*: distinct (*fareys* n)

<proof>

lemma *farey-set-UN-farey*: {x \in {0..1}. denom-farey x \leq n} = (\bigcup b \in {1..n}. \bigcup a \in {0..b}. {farey a b})

<proof>

lemma *farey-set-UN-farey'*: {x \in {0..1}. denom-farey x \leq n} = (\bigcup b \in {1..n}. \bigcup a \in {0..b}. if coprime a b then {farey a b} else {})

<proof>

lemma *farey-set-UN-Fract*: {x \in {0..1}. denom-farey x \leq n} = (\bigcup b \in {1..n}. \bigcup a \in {0..b}. {Fract a b})

<proof>

lemma *farey-set-UN-Fract'*: {x \in {0..1}. denom-farey x \leq n} = (\bigcup b \in {1..n}. \bigcup a \in {0..b}. if coprime a b then {Fract a b} else {})

<proof>

lemma *finite-farey-set*: finite {x \in {0..1}. denom-farey x \leq n}

<proof>

lemma *denom-in-fareys-iff*: x \in set (*fareys* n) \longleftrightarrow denom-farey x \leq int n \wedge x \in {0..1}

<proof>

lemma *denom-fareys-leI*: x \in set (*fareys* n) \implies denom-farey x \leq n

<proof>

lemma *denom-fareys-leD*: [denom-farey x \leq int n; x \in {0..1}] \implies x \in set (*fareys* n)

<proof>

lemma *fareys-increasing-1*: set (*fareys* n) \subseteq set (*fareys* (Suc n))

<proof>

lemma *fareys-1-minus-half*:

assumes r \in set (*fareys* n)

shows 1-r \in set(*fareys* n)

<proof>

lemma *fareys-1-minus-image*: $(-)\ 1 \text{ ' set (fareys } n) = \text{set (fareys } n)$
<proof>

lemma *fareys-eq-rev-fareys*: $\text{fareys } n = \text{rev (map ((-)\ 1) (fareys } n))$
<proof>

lemma *fareys-opposite*: $i < \text{length(fareys } n) \implies \text{fareys } n \ ! \ i = 1 - \text{fareys } n \ !$
 $(\text{length(fareys } n) - \text{Suc } i)$
<proof>

lemma *fareys-nonempty*: $n > 0 \implies \text{fareys } n \neq []$
<proof>

lemma *hd-fareys [simp]*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows $\text{hd (fareys } n) = 0$
<proof>

lemma *last-fareys [simp]*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows $\text{last (fareys } n) = 1$
<proof>

1.3 Creating Farey sequences layer by layer

Specifying the precise denominator

definition *fareys-new* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{rat set}$ **where**
 $\text{fareys-new } n \equiv \{\text{Fract } a \ n \mid a. \text{coprime } a \ n \wedge a \in \{0..n\}\}$

lemma *fareys-new-0 [simp]*: $\text{fareys-new } 0 = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *fareys-new-1 [simp]*: $\text{fareys-new } 1 = \{0,1\}$
<proof>

lemma *fareys-new-not01*:
assumes $n > 1$
shows $0 \notin (\text{fareys-new } n) \ 1 \notin (\text{fareys-new } n)$
<proof>

lemma *inj-num-farey*: $\text{inj-on num-farey (fareys-new } n)$
<proof>

lemma *finite-fareys-new [simp]*: $\text{finite (fareys-new } n)$
<proof>

lemma *card-fareys-new*:
assumes $n > 1$

shows $\text{card } (\text{fareys-new } n) = \text{totient } n$
(proof)

lemma *disjoint-fareys-plus1*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows $\text{disjnt } (\text{set } (\text{fareys } n)) (\text{fareys-new } (\text{Suc } n))$
(proof)

lemma *set-fareys-Suc*: $\text{set } (\text{fareys } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{set } (\text{fareys } n) \cup \text{fareys-new } (\text{Suc } n)$
(proof)

lemma *length-fareys-Suc*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows $\text{length } (\text{fareys } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{length } (\text{fareys } n) + \text{totient } (\text{Suc } n)$
(proof)

lemma *fareys-0 [simp]*: $\text{fareys } 0 = []$
(proof)

lemma *fareys-1 [simp]*: $\text{fareys } 1 = [0, 1]$
(proof)

lemma *fareys-2 [simp]*: $\text{fareys } 2 = [0, 1/2, 1]$
(proof)

lemma *length-fareys-1*: $\text{length } (\text{fareys } 1) = 1 + \text{totient } 1$
(proof)

lemma *length-fareys-Suc-if*:
shows $\text{length } (\text{fareys } (\text{Suc } n)) = (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } \text{Suc } (\text{totient } 1) \text{ else } \text{length } (\text{fareys } n) + \text{totient } (\text{Suc } n))$
(proof)

lemma *length-fareys*: $n > 0 \implies \text{length } (\text{fareys } n) = 1 + (\sum k=1..n. \text{totient } k)$
(proof)

lemma *length-fareys-ge2*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows $\text{length } (\text{fareys } n) \geq 2$
(proof)

lemma *subseq-fareys-1*: $\text{subseq } (\text{fareys } n) (\text{fareys } (\text{Suc } n))$
(proof)

lemma *monotone-fareys*: $\text{monotone } (\leq) \text{ subseq } \text{ fareys}$
(proof)

lemma *farey-unimodular-0-1 [simp, intro]*: *farey-unimodular 0 1*
(proof)

lemma *fareys-have-01*: $n > 0 \implies \{0,1\} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{fareys } n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Apostol's Theorem 5.2 for integers

lemma *mediant-lies-betw-int*:

fixes $a\ b\ c\ d::\text{int}$

assumes $\text{rat-of-int } a / \text{of-int } b < \text{of-int } c / \text{of-int } d\ b>0\ d>0$

shows $\text{rat-of-int } a / \text{of-int } b < (\text{of-int } a + \text{of-int } c) / (\text{of-int } b + \text{of-int } d)$

$(\text{rat-of-int } a + \text{of-int } c) / (\text{of-int } b + \text{of-int } d) < \text{of-int } c / \text{of-int } d$

⟨proof⟩

Apostol's Theorem 5.2

theorem *mediant-inbetween*:

fixes $x\ y::\text{farey}$

assumes $x < y$

shows $x < \text{mediant } x\ y\ \text{mediant } x\ y < y$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *sublist-fareysD*:

assumes *sublist* $[x,y]$ (*fareys* n)

obtains $x \in \text{set } (\text{fareys } n)\ y \in \text{set } (\text{fareys } n)$

⟨proof⟩

Adding the denominators of two consecutive Farey fractions

lemma *sublist-fareys-add-denoms*:

fixes $a\ b\ c\ d::\text{int}$

defines $x \equiv \text{Fract } a\ b$

defines $y \equiv \text{Fract } c\ d$

assumes *sub*: *sublist* $[x,y]$ (*fareys* n) **and** $b>0\ d>0\ \text{coprime } a\ b\ \text{coprime } c\ d$

shows $b + d > n$

⟨proof⟩

1.4 Apostol's Theorems 5.3–5.5

theorem *consec-subset-fareys*:

fixes $a\ b\ c\ d::\text{int}$

assumes *abcd*: $0 \leq \text{Fract } a\ b\ \text{Fract } a\ b < \text{Fract } c\ d\ \text{Fract } c\ d \leq 1$

and *consec*: $b*c - a*d = 1$

and *max*: $\max\ b\ d \leq n\ n < b+d$

and $b>0$

shows *sublist* $[\text{Fract } a\ b, \text{Fract } c\ d]$ (*fareys* n)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *farey-unimodular-mediant*:

assumes *farey-unimodular* $x\ y$

shows *farey-unimodular* $x\ (\text{mediant } x\ y)\ \text{farey-unimodular } (\text{mediant } x\ y)\ y$

⟨proof⟩

Apostol's Theorem 5.4

theorem *mediant-unimodular*:

fixes $a\ b\ c\ d::int$

assumes $abcd: 0 \leq \text{Fract } a\ b\ \text{Fract } a\ b < \text{Fract } c\ d\ \text{Fract } c\ d \leq 1$

and *consec*: $b*c - a*d = 1$

and $0: b>0\ d>0$

defines $h \equiv a+c$

defines $k \equiv b+d$

obtains $\text{Fract } a\ b < \text{Fract } h\ k\ \text{Fract } h\ k < \text{Fract } c\ d\ \text{coprime } h\ k$

$b*h - a*k = 1\ c*k - d*h = 1$

<proof>

Apostol's Theorem 5.5, first part: "Each fraction in $F(n+1)$ which is not in $F(n)$ is the mediant of a pair of consecutive fractions in $F(n)$ "

lemma *get-consecutive-parents*:

fixes $m\ n::int$

assumes *coprime* $m\ n\ 0 < m < n$

obtains $a\ b\ c\ d$ **where** $m = a+c\ n = b+d\ b*c - a*d = 1\ a \geq 0\ b > 0\ c > 0\ d > 0$
 $a < b\ c \leq d$

<proof>

theorem *fareys-new-eq-mediante*:

assumes $x \in \text{fareys-new } n\ n > 1$

obtains $a\ b\ c\ d$ **where**

sublist $[\text{Fract } a\ b, \text{Fract } c\ d]$ (*fareys* $(n-1)$)

$x = \text{mediant } (\text{Fract } a\ b)\ (\text{Fract } c\ d)\ \text{coprime } a\ b\ \text{coprime } c\ d\ a \geq 0\ b > 0\ c > 0\ d > 0$

<proof>

Apostol's Theorem 5.5, second part: "Moreover, if $a/b < c/d$ are consecutive in any $F(n)$, then they satisfy the unimodular relation $bc - ad = 1$."

theorem *consec-imp-unimodular*:

assumes *sublist* $[\text{Fract } a\ b, \text{Fract } c\ d]$ (*fareys* n) $b > 0\ d > 0\ \text{coprime } a\ b\ \text{coprime } c\ d$

shows $b*c - a*d = 1$

<proof>

1.5 Ford circles

definition *Ford-center* $:: \text{rat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **where**

Ford-center $r \equiv (\lambda(h,k). \text{Complex } (h/k)\ (1/(2 * k^2)))$ (*quotient-of* r)

definition *Ford-radius* $:: \text{rat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**

Ford-radius $r \equiv (\lambda(h,k). 1/(2 * k^2))$ (*quotient-of* r)

definition *Ford-tan* $:: [\text{rat}, \text{rat}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

Ford-tan $r\ s \equiv \text{dist } (\text{Ford-center } r)\ (\text{Ford-center } s) = \text{Ford-radius } r + \text{Ford-radius } s$

s

definition *Ford-circle* :: *rat* \Rightarrow *complex set* **where**
Ford-circle $r \equiv$ *sphere* (*Ford-center* r) (*Ford-radius* r)

lemma *Im-Ford-center* [*simp*]: *Im* (*Ford-center* r) = *Ford-radius* r
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Ford-radius-nonneg*: *Ford-radius* $r \geq 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *two-Ford-tangent*:
assumes $r: (a,b) = \text{quotient-of } r$ **and** $s: (c,d) = \text{quotient-of } s$
shows $(\text{dist } (\text{Ford-center } r) (\text{Ford-center } s))^2 - (\text{Ford-radius } r + \text{Ford-radius } s)^2$
 $= ((a*d - b*c)^2 - 1) / (b*d)^2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Apostol's Theorem 5.6

lemma *two-Ford-tangent-iff*:
assumes $r: (a,b) = \text{quotient-of } r$ **and** $s: (c,d) = \text{quotient-of } s$
shows *Ford-tan* r $s \iff |b * c - a * d| = 1$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Also Apostol's Theorem 5.6: Distinct Ford circles do not overlap

lemma *Ford-no-overlap*:
assumes $r \neq s$
shows $\text{dist } (\text{Ford-center } r) (\text{Ford-center } s) \geq \text{Ford-radius } r + \text{Ford-radius } s$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Ford-aux1*:
assumes $a \neq 0$
shows $\text{cmod } (\text{Complex } (b / (a * (a^2 + b^2))) (1 / (2 * a^2) - \text{inverse } (a^2 + b^2)))$
 $= 1 / (2 * a^2)$
 (**is** $\text{cmod } ?z = ?r$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Ford-aux2*:
assumes $a \neq 0$
shows $\text{cmod } (\text{Complex } (a / (b * (b^2 + a^2)) - 1 / (a * b)) (1 / (2 * a^2) - \text{inverse } (b^2 + a^2))) = 1 / (2 * a^2)$
 (**is** $\text{cmod } ?z = ?r$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

The Rademacher transformation (for theorem 5.8)

definition *Radem-trans* :: *rat* \Rightarrow *complex* \Rightarrow *complex* **where**
Radem-trans $\equiv \lambda r \tau. \text{let } (h,k) = \text{quotient-of } r \text{ in } -i * \text{of-int } k \wedge 2 * (\tau - \text{of-rat } r)$

Theorem 5.8 first part

lemma *Radem-trans-image*: *Radem-trans* $r \text{ ' Ford-circle } r = \text{sphere } (1/2) (1/2)$

<proof>

For the last part of theorem 5.9

lemma *RMS-calc*:

assumes $b + a > \text{int } N \ N > 0$

shows $1 / \text{sqrt } (a^2 + b^2) < \text{sqrt } 2 / N$

<proof>

locale *three-Ford* =

fixes $N::\text{nat}$

fixes $h1 \ k1 \ h \ k \ h2 \ k2::\text{int}$

assumes *sub1*: *sublist* [*Fract* $h1 \ k1$, *Fract* $h \ k$] (*fareys* N)

assumes *sub2*: *sublist* [*Fract* $h \ k$, *Fract* $h2 \ k2$] (*fareys* N)

assumes *coprime*: *coprime* $h1 \ k1$ *coprime* $h \ k$ *coprime* $h2 \ k2$

assumes *k-pos*: $k1 > 0 \ k > 0 \ k2 > 0$

begin

definition $r1 \equiv \text{Fract } h1 \ k1$

definition $r \equiv \text{Fract } h \ k$

definition $r2 \equiv \text{Fract } h2 \ k2$

lemma *N-pos*: $N > 0$

<proof>

lemma *r-eq-quotient*:

$(h1, k1) = \text{quotient-of } r1 \ (h, k) = \text{quotient-of } r \ (h2, k2) = \text{quotient-of } r2$

<proof>

lemma *r-eq-divide*:

$r1 = \text{of-int } h1 / \text{of-int } k1 \ r = \text{of-int } h / \text{of-int } k \ r2 = \text{of-int } h2 / \text{of-int } k2$

<proof>

lemma *collapse-r*:

$\text{real-of-int } h1 / \text{of-int } k1 = \text{of-rat } r1$

$\text{real-of-int } h / \text{of-int } k = \text{of-rat } r \ \text{real-of-int } h2 / \text{of-int } k2 = \text{of-rat } r2$

<proof>

lemma *unimod1*: $k1 * h - h1 * k = 1$

and *unimod2*: $k * h2 - h * k2 = 1$

<proof>

lemma *r-less*: $r1 < r \ r < r2$

<proof>

lemma *r01*:

obtains $r1 \in \{0..1\} \ r \in \{0..1\} \ r2 \in \{0..1\}$

<proof>

lemma *atMost-N*:

obtains $k1 \leq N \ k \leq N \ k2 \leq N$

<proof>

lemma *greaterN1*: $k1 + k > N$

<proof>

lemma *greaterN2*: $k + k2 > N$

<proof>

definition *alpha1* \equiv *Complex* ($h/k - k1 / \text{of-int}(k * (k^2 + k1^2))$) (*inverse* (*of-int* ($k^2 + k1^2$)))

definition *alpha2* \equiv *Complex* ($h/k + k2 / \text{of-int}(k * (k^2 + k2^2))$) (*inverse* (*of-int* ($k^2 + k2^2$)))

definition *zed1* \equiv *Complex* (k^2) ($k*k1 / ((k^2 + k1^2))$)

definition *zed2* \equiv *Complex* (k^2) ($- k*k2 / ((k^2 + k2^2))$)

Apostol's Theorem 5.7

lemma *three-Ford-tangent*:

obtains $\alpha1 \in \text{Ford-circle } r \ \alpha1 \in \text{Ford-circle } r1$

$\alpha2 \in \text{Ford-circle } r \ \alpha2 \in \text{Ford-circle } r2$

<proof>

Theorem 5.8 second part, for alpha1

lemma *Radem-trans-alpha1*: *Radem-trans* $r \ \alpha1 = \text{zed1}$

<proof>

Theorem 5.8 second part, for alpha2

lemma *Radem-trans-alpha2*: *Radem-trans* $r \ \alpha2 = \text{zed2}$

<proof>

Theorem 5.9, for zed1

lemma *cmod-zed1*: *cmod* $\text{zed1} = k / \text{sqrt}(k^2 + k1^2)$

<proof>

Theorem 5.9, for zed2

lemma *cmod-zed2*: *cmod* $\text{zed2} = k / \text{sqrt}(k^2 + k2^2)$

<proof>

lemma *on-chord-bounded-cmod*:

assumes $z \in \text{closed-segment } \text{zed1 } \text{zed2}$

shows $\text{cmod } z < \text{sqrt } 2 * k / N$

<proof>

lemma *chord-length-less*: $\text{dist } \text{zed1 } \text{zed2} < 2 * \text{sqrt } 2 * k / N$

<proof>

end

1.6 Material for Farey_Ford

The point of tangency between the Ford circles corresponding to the given two rational numbers. It is assumed that x and y are consecutive Farey fractions, in that order.

definition *Ford-tanp* :: *rat* \Rightarrow *rat* \Rightarrow *complex* **where**

Ford-tanp x y =
 (let (h1,k1) = quotient-of x ; (h2,k2) = quotient-of y ; $m = k1^2+k2^2$
 in Complex (h1 / k1 + k2 / (k1 * m)) (1 / m))

lemma *Im-Ford-tanp-pos*: *Im* (*Ford-tanp* x y) > 0

<proof>

lemma *Ford-tanp-on-Ford-circle1*: *Ford-tanp* x y \in *Ford-circle* x

<proof>

lemma *Ford-tanp-on-Ford-circle2*:

assumes *farey-unimodular* x y

shows *Ford-tanp* x y \in *Ford-circle* y

<proof>

lemma *farey-unimodular-fareys*:

assumes *Suc* $j < \text{length}$ (*fareys* n)

shows *farey-unimodular* (*fareys* n ! j) (*fareys* n ! *Suc* j)

<proof>

lemma *quotient-of-divide* [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{coprime } h \ k; \ k > 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{quotient-of } (\text{rat-of-int } h / \text{rat-of-int } k) = (h, k)$

<proof>

lemma *quotient-of-divide-nat* [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{coprime } h \ k; \ k > 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{quotient-of } (\text{of-nat } h / \text{of-nat } k) = (\text{int } h, \text{int } k)$

<proof>

lemma *quotient-of-oneover-pos-int*: $n > 0 \Longrightarrow \text{quotient-of } (1 / \text{of-int } n) = (1, n)$

<proof>

lemma *quotient-of-oneover-pos-nat*: $n > 0 \Longrightarrow \text{quotient-of } (1 / \text{of-nat } n) = (1, \text{int } n)$

<proof>

lemma *nth-fareys-1*:

assumes $n > 0$

shows *fareys* n ! *Suc* $0 = 1 / \text{of-nat } n$ (**is** - = ?*rhs*)

<proof>

lemma *nth-fareys-second-to-last*:

assumes $n > 0$
shows $\text{fareys } n ! (\text{length } (\text{fareys } n) - 2) = \text{of-nat } (n - 1) / \text{of-nat } n$ (**is** *?lhs*
= ?rhs)
<proof>

end

Acknowledgements Manual Eberl set up the initial Farey development.

References

- [1] T. M. Apostol. *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*. Springer, 1990.