# Factorization of Polynomials with Algebraic Coefficients\*

Manuel Eberl René Thiemann

May 26, 2024

#### Abstract

The AFP already contains a verified implementation of algebraic numbers. However, it is has a severe limitation in its factorization algorithm of real and complex polynomials: the factorization is only guaranteed to succeed if the coefficients of the polynomial are rational numbers. In this work, we verify an algorithm to factor all real and complex polynomials whose coefficients are algebraic. The existence of such an algorithm proves in a constructive way that the set of complex algebraic numbers is algebraically closed. Internally, the algorithm is based on resultants of multivariate polynomials and an approximation algorithm using interval arithmetic.

#### Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Resultants and Multivariate Polynomials	2
	2.1 Connecting Univariate and Multivariate Polynomials	2
	2.2 Exact Division of Multivariate Polynomials	9
	2.3 Implementation of Division on Multivariate Polynomials	12
	2.4 Class Instances for Multivariate Polynomials and Containers .	14
	2.5 Resultants of Multivariate Polynomials	15
3	Testing for Integrality and Conversion to Integers	16
4	Representing Roots of Polynomials with Algebraic Coeffi	_
4	Representing Roots of Polynomials with Algebraic Coefficients	- 17
4	·	
4	cients	1 <b>7</b> 17
4	cients           4.1 Preliminaries	17 17 19

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

	1.0	A Representing Polynomial for the Roots of a Polynomial	
		with Algebraic Coefficients	21
	4.6	Soundness Proof for Complex Algebraic Polynomials	22
	4.7	Soundness Proof for Real Algebraic Polynomials	22
	4.8	Algebraic Closedness of Complex Algebraic Numbers	22
	4.9	Executable Version to Compute Representative Polynomials .	23
5	$-\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{O}}$	ot Filter via Interval Arithmetic	24
•	1000		
•	5.1	Generic Framework	24
-	5.1	Generic Framework	24
6	5.1		
6	5.1 <b>Roc</b>	Generic Framework	24 <b>27</b>
-	5.1 Roc Fac	Generic Framework	24 27 30
6	5.1 Roc Fac	Generic Framework	24 27 30
6	5.1 Roc Fac: 7.1	Generic Framework	24 27 30 30

#### 1 Introduction

The formalization of algebraic numbers [4, 6] includes an algorithm that given a univariate polynomial f over  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it computes all roots of f within  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . In this AFP entry we verify a generalized algorithm that also allows polynomials as input whose coefficients are complex or real algebraic numbers, following [5, Section 3].

The verified algorithm internally computes resultants of multivariate polynomials, where we utilize Braun and Traub's subresultant algorithm in our verified implementation [1, 2, 3]. In this way we achieve an efficient implementation with minimal effort: only a division algorithm for multivariate polynomials is required, but no algorithm for computing greatest common divisors of these polynomials.

**Acknowledgments** We thank Dmitriy Traytel for help with code generation for functions defined via **lift-definition**.

#### 2 Resultants and Multivariate Polynomials

#### 2.1 Connecting Univariate and Multivariate Polynomials

We define a conversion of multivariate polynomials into univariate polynomials w.r.t. a fixed variable x and multivariate polynomials as coefficients.

theory Poly-Connection

 ${\bf imports}$ 

Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Univariate Jordan-Normal-Form.Missing-Misc Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly

```
Hermite\text{-}Lindemann.More\text{-}Multivariate\text{-}Polynomial\text{-}HLW
    Polynomials. MPoly-Type-Class
begin
lemma mpoly-is-unitE:
  fixes p :: 'a :: \{comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1, semiring\text{-}no\text{-}zero\text{-}divisors\} \ mpoly
 assumes p \ dvd \ 1
  obtains c where p = Const \ c \ dvd \ 1
\langle proof \rangle
lemma Const-eq-Const-iff [simp]:
  Const\ c = Const\ c' \longleftrightarrow c = c'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma is-unit-ConstI [intro]: c \ dvd \ 1 \Longrightarrow Const \ c \ dvd \ 1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma is-unit-Const-iff:
  fixes c :: 'a :: \{comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1, semiring\text{-}no\text{-}zero\text{-}divisors\}
  shows Const c dvd 1 \longleftrightarrow c dvd 1
\langle proof \rangle
lemma vars-emptyE: vars p = \{\} \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge c. \ p = Const \ c \Longrightarrow P) \Longrightarrow P
lemma degree-geI:
  assumes MPoly-Type.coeff p m \neq 0
 shows MPoly-Type.degree p i \geq Poly-Mapping.lookup <math>m i
\langle proof \rangle
lemma monom-of-degree-exists:
  assumes p \neq 0
   obtains m where MPoly-Type.coeff p m \neq 0 Poly-Mapping.lookup m i =
MPoly-Type.degree p i
\langle proof \rangle
lemma degree-leI:
  assumes \bigwedge m. Poly-Mapping.lookup m \ i > n \Longrightarrow MPoly-Type.coeff p \ m = 0
  shows MPoly-Type.degree p \ i \leq n
\langle proof \rangle
lemma coeff-gt-degree-eq-\theta:
  assumes Poly-Mapping.lookup m \ i > MPoly-Type.degree p \ i
             MPoly-Type.coeff p m = 0
  shows
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma vars-altdef: vars p = (\bigcup m \in \{m. MPoly-Type.coeff p m \neq 0\}. keys m)
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma degree-pos-iff: MPoly-Type.degree p \ x > 0 \longleftrightarrow x \in vars \ p
\langle proof \rangle
lemma degree-eq-0-iff: MPoly-Type.degree p \ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x \notin vars \ p
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma MPoly-Type-monom-zero[simp]: MPoly-Type.monom m \ \theta = \theta
lemma vars-monom-keys': vars (MPoly-Type.monom m c) = (if c = 0 then \{\}
else keys m)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma Const-eq-0-iff [simp]: Const c = 0 \longleftrightarrow c = 0
lemma monom-remove-key: MPoly-Type.monom\ m\ (a:: 'a:: semiring-1) =
 MPoly-Type.monom (remove-key x m) a * MPoly-Type.monom (Poly-Mapping.single
x (lookup m x)) 1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma MPoly-Type-monom-0-iff[simp]: MPoly-Type.monom m \ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0
lemma vars-signof[simp]: vars(signof x) = \{\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma prod\text{-}mset\text{-}Const: prod\text{-}mset \ (image\text{-}mset\ Const\ A) = Const \ (prod\text{-}mset\ A)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma Const-eq-product-iff:
  fixes c :: 'a :: idom
  assumes c \neq 0
 shows Const c = a * b \longleftrightarrow (\exists a' b'. a = Const a' \land b = Const b' \land c = a' *
b'
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ irreducible\text{-}Const\text{-}iff\ [simp]:
  irreducible\ (Const\ (c::'a::idom)) \longleftrightarrow irreducible\ c
\langle proof \rangle
lemma Const-dvd-Const-iff [simp]: Const a dvd Const b \longleftrightarrow a \ dvd \ b
The lemmas above should be moved into the right theories. The part below
```

The imported theories only allow a conversion from one-variable mpoly's to poly and vice-versa. However, we require a conversion from arbitrary

is on the new connection between multivariate polynomials and univariate

polynomials.

```
mpoly's into poly's with mpolys as coefficients.
\textbf{definition} \ \textit{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly} :: \textit{nat} \Rightarrow \textit{'a} :: \textit{comm-ring-1} \ \textit{mpoly} \Rightarrow \textit{'a} \ \textit{mpoly} \ \textit{poly}
where
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p = (\sum m).
      Polynomial.monom\ (MPoly-Type.monom\ (remove-key\ x\ m)\ (MPoly-Type.coeff
(p \ m) \ (lookup \ m \ x)
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-add [simp]:
 mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ (p+q) = mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ p + mpoly-to-mpoly-poly
x q
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-monom: mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (MPoly-Type.monom
(m \ a) = Polynomial.monom \ (MPoly-Type.monom \ (remove-key \ x \ m) \ a) \ (lookup \ m)
x)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma remove-key-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  rel-fun \ (=) \ (rel-fun \ (pcr-poly-mapping \ (=) \ (=)) \ (pcr-poly-mapping \ (=) \ (=)))
     (\lambda k0 \ f \ k. \ f \ k \ when \ k \neq k0) remove-key
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma remove-key-0 [simp]: remove-key x \theta = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ remove\text{-}key\text{-}single'\ [simp]:
  x \neq y \Longrightarrow remove\text{-key } x \text{ (Poly-Mapping.single } y \text{ n)} = Poly\text{-Mapping.single } y \text{ n}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma poly-coeff-Sum-any:
  assumes finite \{x. \ f \ x \neq 0\}
  shows poly.coeff (Sum-any f) n = Sum-any (\lambda x. poly.coeff (f x) n)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma coeff-coeff-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly:
  MPoly-Type.coeff (poly.coeff (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) n) m =
     (MPoly-Type.coeff\ p\ (m+Poly-Mapping.single\ x\ n)\ when\ lookup\ m\ x=0)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-Const [simp]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly\ x\ (Const\ c) = [:Const\ c:]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-Var:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (Var y) = (if x = y then [:0, 1:] else [:Var y:])
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-Var-this [simp]:

```
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ (Var \ x) = [:0, \ 1:]
  x \neq y \Longrightarrow mpoly\text{-}to\text{-}mpoly\text{-}poly\ x\ (Var\ y) = [:Var\ y:]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-uminus [simp]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ (-p) = -mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ p
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ mpoly\text{-}to\text{-}mpoly\text{-}poly\text{-}diff \ [simp]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ (p-q) = mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ p - mpoly-to-mpoly-poly
x q
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-0 [simp]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x \theta = \theta
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-1 [simp]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x 1 = 1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-of-nat [simp]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (of-nat n) = of-nat n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-of-int [simp]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (of-int n) = of-int n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-numeral [simp]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ (numeral \ n) = numeral \ n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma coeff-monom-mult':
  MPoly-Type.coeff (MPoly-Type.monom m \ a * q) m' =
  (a * MPoly-Type.coeff \ q \ (m'-m) \ when \ lookup \ m' \geq lookup \ m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-mult-monom:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (MPoly-Type.monom m a * q) =
    Polynomial.monom\ (MPoly-Type.monom\ (remove-key\ x\ m)\ a)\ (lookup\ m\ x)\ *
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x q
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-mult [simp]:
 mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (p * q) = mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p * mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{coeff-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly}:
  Polynomial.coeff (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) n =
     Sum-any (\lambda m. MPoly-Type.monom (remove-key x m) (MPoly-Type.coeff p m)
when Poly-Mapping.lookup m \ x = n)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-coeff-to-mpoly-poly-coeff:
  MPoly-Type.coeff p m = MPoly-Type.coeff (poly.coeff (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p)
(lookup \ m \ x)) \ (remove-key \ x \ m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma degree-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly [simp]:
  Polynomial.degree \ (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ p) = MPoly-Type.degree \ p \ x
\langle proof \rangle
The upcoming lemma is similar to reduce-nested-mpoly (extract-var ?p ?v)
= ?p.
lemma poly-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly:
 poly\ (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly\ x\ p)\ (Var\ x) = p
\langle proof \rangle
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-eq-iff} \ [\textit{simp}]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly\ x\ p=mpoly-to-mpoly-poly\ x\ q\longleftrightarrow p=q
\langle proof \rangle
Evaluation, i.e., insertion of concrete values is identical
lemma insertion-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly: assumes \bigwedge y. y \neq x \Longrightarrow \beta y = \alpha y
 shows poly (map-poly (insertion \beta) (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p)) (\alpha x) = insertion
\alpha p
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-dvd-iff [simp]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p dvd mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x q \longleftrightarrow p dvd q
\langle proof \rangle
lemma vars-coeff-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly: vars (poly.coeff (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p)
i) \subseteq vars p - \{x\}
  \langle proof \rangle
locale transfer-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly =
  fixes x :: nat
begin
definition R :: 'a :: comm\text{-}ring\text{-}1 \text{ mpoly poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mpoly} \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}
  R \ p \ p' \longleftrightarrow p = mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ p'
context
```

```
begin
lemma transfer-0 [transfer-rule]: R 0 0
 and transfer-1 [transfer-rule]: R 1 1
 and transfer\text{-}Const\ [transfer\text{-}rule]:\ R\ [:Const\ c:]\ (Const\ c)
 and transfer-uninus [transfer-rule]: (R ===> R) uminus uminus
 and transfer-of-nat [transfer-rule]: ((=) ===> R) of-nat of-nat
 and transfer-of-int [transfer-rule]: ((=) ===> R) of-nat of-nat
 and transfer-numeral [transfer-rule]: ((=) ===> R) of-nat of-nat
 and transfer-add [transfer-rule]: (R ===> R ===> R) (+) (+)
 and transfer-diff [transfer-rule]: (R = = > R = = > R) (+) (+)
 and transfer-mult [transfer-rule]: (R ===> R ===> R) (*) (*)
 and transfer-dvd [transfer-rule]: (R ===> R ===> (=)) (dvd) (dvd)
 and transfer-monom [transfer-rule]:
       ((=) ===> (=) ===> R)
           (\lambda m \ a. \ Polynomial.monom \ (MPoly-Type.monom \ (remove-key \ x \ m) \ a)
(lookup \ m \ x))
        MPoly-Type.monom
 and transfer-coeff [transfer-rule]:
       (R = = > (=) = = > (=))
         (\lambda p \ m. \ MPoly-Type.coeff \ (poly.coeff \ p \ (lookup \ m \ x)) \ (remove-key \ x \ m))
         MPoly-Type.coeff
 and transfer-degree [transfer-rule]:
       (R = = > (=)) Polynomial.degree (\lambda p. MPoly-Type.degree p x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma transfer-vars [transfer-rule]:
 assumes [transfer-rule]: R p p'
  shows (\bigcup i. \ vars \ (poly.coeff \ p \ i)) \cup \ (if \ Polynomial.degree \ p = 0 \ then \ \{\} \ else
\{x\}) = vars p'
   (is ?A \cup ?B = -)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma right-total [transfer-rule]: right-total R
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bi-unique [transfer-rule]: bi-unique R
  \langle proof \rangle
end
end
lemma mpoly-degree-mult-eq:
 fixes p q :: 'a :: idom mpoly
 assumes p \neq 0 q \neq 0
```

includes lifting-syntax

```
q x
\langle proof \rangle
Converts a multi-variate polynomial into a univariate polynomial via insert-
ing values for all but one variable
definition partial-insertion :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm-ring-1 \ mpoly \Rightarrow 'a
poly where
 partial-insertion \alpha x p = map-poly (insertion \alpha) (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p)
lemma comm-ring-hom-insertion: comm-ring-hom (insertion \alpha)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma partial-insertion-add: partial-insertion \alpha x (p+q) = partial-insertion \alpha x
p + partial-insertion \alpha x q
\langle proof \rangle
lemma partial-insertion-monom: partial-insertion \alpha x (MPoly-Type.monom m a)
= Polynomial.monom (insertion \alpha (MPoly-Type.monom (remove-key x m) a))
(lookup \ m \ x)
  \langle proof \rangle
Partial insertion + insertion of last value is identical to (full) insertion
lemma insertion-partial-insertion: assumes \bigwedge y. y \neq x \Longrightarrow \beta y = \alpha y
  shows poly (partial-insertion \beta x p) (\alpha x) = insertion \alpha p
\langle proof \rangle
lemma insertion-coeff-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly[simp]:
 insertion \alpha (coeff (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) k) = coeff (partial-insertion \alpha x p) k
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma degree-map-poly-Const: degree (map-poly (Const :: 'a :: semiring-0 \Rightarrow -)
f) = degree f
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma degree-partial-insertion-le-mpoly: degree (partial-insertion \alpha x p) \leq degree
(mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ p)
  \langle proof \rangle
end
```

shows MPoly-Type.degree (p \* q) x = MPoly-Type.degree p x + MPoly-Type.degree

#### 2.2 Exact Division of Multivariate Polynomials

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{theory} \ MPoly\text{-}Divide\\ \textbf{imports}\\ Hermite\text{-}Lindemann.More\text{-}Multivariate\text{-}Polynomial\text{-}HLW\\ Polynomials.MPoly\text{-}Type\text{-}Class\\ Poly\text{-}Connection \end{array}$ 

#### begin

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ poly\text{-}lead\text{-}coeff\text{-}dvd\text{-}lead\text{-}coeff\text{:}
 assumes p \ dvd \ (q :: 'a :: idom \ poly)
 shows Polynomial.lead-coeff p dvd Polynomial.lead-coeff q
  \langle proof \rangle
Since there is no particularly sensible algorithm for division with a remainder
on multivariate polynomials, we define the following division operator that
performs an exact division if possible and returns 0 otherwise.
instantiation mpoly :: (comm-semiring-1) divide
begin
definition divide-mpoly :: 'a mpoly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly where
  divide-mpoly x y = (if y \neq 0 \land y \ dvd \ x \ then \ THE \ z. \ x = y * z \ else \ 0)
instance \langle proof \rangle
end
instance mpoly :: (idom) idom-divide
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in transfer-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly) transfer-div [transfer-rule]:
 assumes [transfer-rule]: R p' p R q' q
 assumes q \ dvd \ p
 shows R(p' div q')(p div q)
  \langle proof \rangle
instantiation mpoly :: ({normalization-semidom, idom}) normalization-semidom
begin
definition unit-factor-mpoly :: 'a mpoly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly where
  unit-factor-mpoly p = Const (unit-factor (lead-coeff p))
definition normalize\text{-}mpoly :: 'a mpoly <math>\Rightarrow 'a mpoly where
 normalize-mpoly p = Rings.divide p (unit-factor p)
```

**lemma** unit-factor-mpoly-Const [simp]:

**lemma** normalize-mpoly-Const [simp]:

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

unit-factor (Const c) = Const (unit-factor c)

 $normalize\ (Const\ c) =\ Const\ (normalize\ c)$ 

```
instance \langle proof \rangle
```

The following is an exact division operator that can fail, i.e. if the divisor does not divide the dividend, it returns *None*.

```
definition divide-option :: 'a :: idom-divide \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a option (infix1 div? 70) where
```

```
divide-option p = (if \ q \ dvd \ p \ then \ Some \ (p \ div \ q) \ else \ None)
```

We now show that exact division on the ring  $R[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  can be reduced to exact division on the ring  $R[X_1, \ldots, X_n][X]$ , i.e. we can go from 'a mpoly to a 'a mpoly poly where the coefficients have one variable less than the original multivariate polynomial. We basically simply use the isomorphism between these two rings.

```
lemma divide-option-mpoly:
fixes p \ q :: 'a :: idom-divide \ mpoly
shows p \ div? \ q = (let \ V = vars \ p \cup vars \ q \ in
(if \ V = \{\} \ then
let \ a = MPoly-Type.coeff \ p \ 0; \ b = MPoly-Type.coeff \ q \ 0; \ c = a \ div \ b
in \ if \ b * c = a \ then \ Some \ (Const \ c) \ else \ None
else
let \ x = Max \ V;
p' = mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ p; \ q' = mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ q
in \ case \ p' \ div? \ q' \ of
None \Rightarrow None
| \ Some \ r \Rightarrow Some \ (poly \ r \ (Var \ x)))) \ (is \ - = ?rhs)
\langle proof \rangle
```

Next, we show that exact division on the ring  $R[X_1, ..., X_n][Y]$  can be reduced to exact division on the ring  $R[X_1, ..., X_n]$ . This is essentially just polynomial division.

```
lemma divide-option-mpoly-poly:
    fixes p \ q :: 'a :: idom-divide \ mpoly \ poly
    shows p \ div? \ q =
        (if p = 0 \ then \ Some \ 0
        else if q = 0 \ then \ None
        else let dp = Polynomial.degree \ p; \ dq = Polynomial.degree \ q
        in if dp < dq \ then \ None
        else case Polynomial.lead-coeff p \ div? \ Polynomial.lead-coeff q \ of
        None \Rightarrow None
        | Some \ c \Rightarrow (
            case (p - Polynomial.monom \ c \ (dp - dq) * q) \ div? \ q \ of
        None \Rightarrow None
        | Some \ r \Rightarrow Some \ (Polynomial.monom \ c \ (dp - dq) + r)))

(is - = ?rhs)
\langle proof \rangle
```

These two equations now serve as two mutually recursive code equations that allow us to reduce exact division of multivariate polynomials to exact division of their coefficients. Termination of these code equations is not shown explicitly, but is obvious since one variable is eliminated in every step.

```
definition divide-option-mpoly :: 'a :: idom-divide mpoly \Rightarrow -
    where divide-option-mpoly = divide-option
definition divide-option-mpoly-poly :: 'a :: idom-divide mpoly poly \Rightarrow -
    where divide-option-mpoly-poly = divide-option
lemmas divide-option-mpoly-code [code] =
    divide-option-mpoly [folded divide-option-mpoly-def divide-option-mpoly-poly-def]
lemmas divide-option-mpoly-poly-code [code] =
   divide-option-mpoly-poly [folded\ divide-option-mpoly-def divide-option-mpoly-poly-def [folded\ divide-option-mpoly-def [folded\ divide-option-mpoly-d
lemma divide-mpoly-code [code]:
    fixes p \ q :: 'a :: idom-divide mpoly
    shows p div q = (case\ divide-option-mpoly\ p\ q\ of\ None <math>\Rightarrow 0 \mid Some\ r \Rightarrow r)
    \langle proof \rangle
end
                Implementation of Division on Multivariate Polynomials
theory MPoly-Divide-Code
   imports
        MPoly-Divide
        Polynomials. MPoly-Type-Class-FMap
        Polynomials. MPoly-Type-Univariate
begin
We now set up code equations for some of the operations that we will need,
such as division, mpoly-to-poly, and mpoly-to-mpoly-poly.
lemma mapping-of-MPoly[code]: mapping-of (MPoly p) = p
    \langle proof \rangle
lift-definition filter-pm :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b :: zero) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) is
    \lambda P f x. if P x then f x else \theta
    \langle proof \rangle
lemma lookup-filter-pm: lookup (filter-pm P f) x = (if P x then lookup f x else 0)
    \langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-pm-code [code]: filter-pm P (Pm-fmap m) = Pm-fmap (fmfilter P m)
    \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma remove-key-conv-filter-pm [code]: remove-key x m = filter-pm (\lambda y. y \neq x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma finite-poly-coeff-nonzero: finite \{n. poly.coeff p \ n \neq 0\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma poly-degree-conv-Max:
  assumes p \neq 0
  shows Polynomial.degree p = Max \{n. poly.coeff p \ n \neq 0\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma m poly-to-poly-code-aux:
  fixes p :: 'a :: comm{-monoid-add mooly and } x :: nat
   defines I \equiv (\lambda m. \ lookup \ m \ x) 'Set.filter (\lambda m. \ \forall y \in keys \ m. \ y = x) (keys
(mapping-of p)
 shows I = \{n. \ poly.coeff \ (mpoly-to-poly \ x \ p) \ n \neq 0\}
   and mpoly-to-poly x p = 0 \longleftrightarrow I = \{\}
           I \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow Polynomial.degree (mpoly-to-poly x p) = Max I
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-poly-code [code]:
  Polynomial.coeffs (mpoly-to-poly x p) =
    (let I = (\lambda m. lookup \ m \ x) 'Set.filter (\lambda m. \forall y \in keys \ m. \ y = x) (keys (mapping-of
      in if I = \{\} then [] else map (\lambda n. MPoly-Type.coeff p (Poly-Mapping.single x)
n)) [0..< Max I + 1])
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
\langle proof \rangle
fun mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 :: nat \Rightarrow ((nat \Rightarrow_0 nat) \times 'a) list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow
((nat \Rightarrow_0 nat) \times 'a) list where
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 \ i \ [] \ j = []
\mid mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 \ i \ ((mon', c) \# xs) \ j =
   (if lookup mon' i = j then [(remove-key i mon', c)] else []) @ mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1
i xs j
\mathbf{lemma}\ mpoly\text{-}to\text{-}mpoly\text{-}poly\text{-}impl\text{-}aux1\text{-}altdef\text{:}
  mpoly\mbox{-}to\mbox{-}mpoly\mbox{-}poly\mbox{-}impl\mbox{-}aux1\ i\ xs\ j=
     map\ (\lambda(mon,\ c).\ (remove-key\ i\ mon,\ c))\ (filter\ (\lambda(mon,\ c).\ lookup\ mon\ i=j)
xs
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma map-of-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1:
  map-of (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 i xs j) = (\lambda mon.
     (if lookup mon i > 0 then None
```

```
else\ map-of\ xs\ (mon\ +\ Poly-Mapping.single\ i\ j)))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma lookup0-fmap-of-list-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1:
  lookup0 (fmap-of-list (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 i xs j)) = (\lambda mon.
    lookup0 (fmap-of-list xs) (mon + Poly-Mapping.single i j) when lookup mon i
  \langle proof \rangle
definition mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux2 where
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux2 \ i \ p \ j = poly.coeff \ (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ i \ p) \ j
lemma coeff-MPoly: MPoly-Type.coeff (MPoly f) m = lookup f m
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux2-code [code]:
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux2 \ i \ (MPoly \ (Pm-fmap \ (fmap-of-list \ xs))) \ j =
    MPoly (Pm-fmap (fmap-of-list (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 i xs j)))
definition mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm-ring-1 mpoly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly
list where
  mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl x p = (if p = 0 then [] else
    map\ (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux2\ x\ p)\ [0..<Suc\ (MPoly-Type.degree\ p\ x)])
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-eq-0-iff [simp]: mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p = 0 \longleftrightarrow p = 0
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-code [code]:
  Polynomial.coeffs (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) = mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl x p
  \langle proof \rangle
value mpoly-to-mpoly-poly 0 (Var\ 0 \ 2 + Var\ 0 * Var\ 1 + Var\ 1 \ 2 :: int\ mpoly)
value Rings.divide (Var 0 \, \widehat{\ } 2 * Var 1 + Var 0 * Var 1 \, \widehat{\ } 2 :: int mpoly) (Var 1)
end
```

### 2.4 Class Instances for Multivariate Polynomials and Containers

```
theory MPoly-Container
imports
Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Class
Containers.Set-Impl
begin
```

Basic setup for using multivariate polynomials in combination with container

#### framework.

```
derive (eq) ceq poly-mapping
derive (dlist) set-impl poly-mapping
derive (no) ccompare poly-mapping
```

end

#### 2.5 Resultants of Multivariate Polynomials

We utilize the conversion of multivariate polynomials into univariate polynomials for the definition of the resultant of multivariate polynomials via the resultant for univariate polynomials. In this way, we can use the algorithm to efficiently compute resultants for the multivariate case.

```
theory Multivariate-Resultant
  imports
    Poly-Connection
    Algebraic-Numbers.Resultant
    Subresultants. Subresultant \\
    MPolu-Divide-Code
    MPoly-Container
begin
hide-const (open)
  MPoly-Type.degree
  MPoly-Type.coeff
  Symmetric-Polynomials.lead-coeff
\mathbf{lemma}\ det\text{-}sylvester\text{-}matrix\text{-}higher\text{-}degree\text{:}
  det (sylvester-mat-sub (degree f + n) (degree g) f g)
  = det \ (sylvester\text{-}mat\text{-}sub \ (degree \ f) \ (degree \ g) \ f \ g) * (lead\text{-}coeff \ g * (-1) \ (degree \ g) \ f \ g) 
g)) \hat{n}
\langle proof \rangle
```

The conversion of multivariate into univariate polynomials permits us to define resultants in the multivariate setting. Since in our application one of the polynomials is already univariate, we use a non-symmetric definition where only one of the input polynomials is multivariate.

```
definition resultant-mpoly-poly :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm\text{-}ring\text{-}1 \text{ mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly} \Rightarrow 'a mpoly where resultant-mpoly-poly x p q = resultant (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) (map-poly Const q)
```

This lemma tells us that there is only a minor difference between computing the multivariate resultant and then plugging in values, or first inserting values and then evaluate the univariate resultant.

**lemma** insertion-resultant-mpoly-poly: insertion  $\alpha$  (resultant-mpoly-poly  $x \ p \ q$ ) = resultant (partial-insertion  $\alpha \ x \ p$ )  $q \ *$ 

```
(lead-coeff \ q * (-1)^ degree \ q)^ (degree \ (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly \ x \ p) \ - \ degree
(partial-insertion \ \alpha \ x \ p))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma insertion-resultant-mpoly-poly-zero: fixes q :: 'a :: idom poly
 assumes q: q \neq 0
 shows insertion \alpha (resultant-mpoly-poly x p q) = \theta \longleftrightarrow resultant (partial-insertion
\alpha x p) q = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma vars-resultant: vars (resultant p q) \subseteq \bigcup (vars '(range (coeff p) \cup range
(coeff q))
  \langle proof \rangle
By taking the resultant, one variable is deleted.
lemma vars-resultant-mpoly-poly: vars (resultant-mpoly-poly x p q) \subseteq vars p - \{x\}
\langle proof \rangle
For resultants, we manually have to select the implementation that works
on integral domains, because there is no factorial ring instance for int mpoly.
lemma resultant-mpoly-poly-code[code]:
 resultant-mpoly-poly x p q = resultant-impl-basic (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) (map-poly
Const \ q)
  \langle proof \rangle
```

## 3 Testing for Integrality and Conversion to Integers

```
theory Is-Int-To-Int imports Polynomial-Interpolation.Is-Rat-To-Rat begin lemma inv-of-rat: inv of-rat (of-rat x) = x \langle proof \rangle lemma of-rat-Ints-iff: ((of-rat x :: 'a :: field-char-0) \in \mathbb{Z}) = (x \in \mathbb{Z}) \langle proof \rangle lemma is-int-code[code-unfold]: shows (x \in \mathbb{Z}) = (is-rat x \wedge is-int-rat (to-rat x)) \langle proof \rangle definition to-int :: 'a :: is-rat \Rightarrow int where to-int x = int-of-rat (to-rat x)
```

end

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{lemma} \ \ of\text{-}int\text{-}to\text{-}int\text{: } x \in \mathbb{Z} \Longrightarrow of\text{-}int \ (to\text{-}int \ x) = x \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{lemma} \ \ to\text{-}int\text{-}of\text{-}int\text{: } to\text{-}int \ (of\text{-}int \ x) = x \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{lemma} \ \ to\text{-}rat\text{-}complex\text{-}of\text{-}real[simp]\text{: } to\text{-}rat \ (complex\text{-}of\text{-}real \ x) = to\text{-}rat \ x \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{lemma} \ \ to\text{-}int\text{-}complex\text{-}of\text{-}real[simp]\text{: } to\text{-}int \ (complex\text{-}of\text{-}real \ x) = to\text{-}int \ x \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

## 4 Representing Roots of Polynomials with Algebraic Coefficients

We provide an algorithm to compute a non-zero integer polynomial q from a polynomial p with algebraic coefficients such that all roots of p are also roots of q.

In this way, we have a constructive proof that the set of complex algebraic numbers is algebraically closed.

```
theory Roots-of-Algebraic-Poly
imports
Algebraic-Numbers.Complex-Algebraic-Numbers
Multivariate-Resultant
Is-Int-To-Int
begin
```

#### 4.1 Preliminaries

lemma remove-key-single':

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{hide-const} \ (\textbf{open}) \ \textit{up-ring.monom} \\ \textbf{hide-const} \ (\textbf{open}) \ \textit{MPoly-Type.monom} \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{map-mpoly-Const:} \ f \ \textit{0} = \ \textit{0} \implies \textit{map-mpoly} \ f \ (\textit{Const} \ i) = \textit{Const} \ (f \ i) \\ \langle \textit{proof} \rangle \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{map-mpoly-Var:} \ f \ \textit{1} = \ \textit{1} \implies \textit{map-mpoly} \ (f :: \ 'b :: \textit{zero-neq-one} \Rightarrow \ \text{-}) \ (\textit{Var} \ i) = \textit{Var} \ i \\ \langle \textit{proof} \rangle \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{map-mpoly-monom:} \ f \ \textit{0} = \ \textit{0} \implies \textit{map-mpoly} \ f \ (\textit{MPoly-Type.monom} \ m \ a) \\ = \ (\textit{MPoly-Type.monom} \ m \ (f \ a)) \\ \langle \textit{proof} \rangle \end{array}
```

```
remove-key\ v\ (Poly-Mapping.single\ w\ n) = (if\ v = w\ then\ 0\ else\ Poly-Mapping.single
w n
       \langle proof \rangle
context comm-monoid-add-hom
begin
lemma hom-Sum-any: assumes fin: finite \{x. f x \neq 0\}
      shows hom (Sum\text{-}any\ f) = Sum\text{-}any\ (\lambda\ x.\ hom\ (f\ x))
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma comm-monoid-add-hom-mpoly-map: comm-monoid-add-hom (map-mpoly
hom)
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma map-mpoly-hom-Const: map-mpoly hom (Const\ i) = Const (hom\ i)
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma map-mpoly-hom-monom: map-mpoly hom (MPoly-Type.monom\ m\ a)=
MPoly-Type.monom\ m\ (hom\ a)
       \langle proof \rangle
end
context comm-ring-hom
begin
lemma mpoly-to-poly-map-mpoly-hom: mpoly-to-poly x (map-mpoly hom p) = map-poly
hom \ (mpoly-to-poly \ x \ p)
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma comm-ring-hom-mpoly-map: comm-ring-hom (map-mpoly hom)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-map-mpoly-hom:
    mpoly-to-mpoly-poly\ x\ (map-mpoly\ hom\ p)=map-poly\ (map-mpoly\ hom)\ (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly\ poly\ 
x p
\langle proof \rangle
end
context inj-comm-ring-hom
begin
lemma inj-comm-ring-hom-mpoly-map: inj-comm-ring-hom (map-mpoly hom)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma resultant-mpoly-poly-hom: resultant-mpoly-poly x (map-mpoly hom p) (map-poly hom p)
hom\ q) = map-mpoly\ hom\ (resultant-mpoly-poly\ x\ p\ q)
\langle proof \rangle
\quad \mathbf{end} \quad
lemma map-insort-key: assumes [simp]: \bigwedge x y. g1 x \leq g1 y \longleftrightarrow g2 (f x) \leq g2 (f x)
y)
```

```
shows map f (insort-key g1 a xs) = insort-key g2 (f a) (map f xs) \langle proof \rangle

lemma map-sort-key: assumes [simp]: \bigwedge x y. g1 x \leq g1 y \longleftrightarrow g2 (f x) \leq g2 (f y) shows map f (sort-key g1 xs) = sort-key g2 (map f xs) \langle proof \rangle

hide-const (open) MPoly-Type. degree hide-const (open) MPoly-Type. coeffs hide-const (open) MPoly-Type. coeff hide-const (open) Symmetric-Polynomials.lead-coeff
```

#### 4.2 More Facts about Resultants

```
lemma resultant-iff-coprime-main:

fixes f g :: 'a :: field poly

assumes deg: degree \ f > 0 \lor degree \ g > 0

shows resultant f \ g = 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg \ coprime \ f \ g

\langle proof \rangle

lemma resultant-zero-iff-coprime: fixes f \ g :: 'a :: field \ poly

assumes f \ne 0 \lor g \ne 0

shows resultant f \ g = 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg \ coprime \ f \ g

\langle proof \rangle
```

The problem with the upcoming lemma is that "root" and "irreducibility" refer to the same type. In the actual application we interested in "irreducibility" over the integers, but the roots we are interested in are either real or complex.

```
lemma resultant-zero-iff-common-root-irreducible: fixes f g :: 'a :: field poly assumes irr: irreducible g and root: poly <math>g \ a = 0 shows resultant f \ g = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ poly \ f \ x = 0 \land poly \ g \ x = 0) \langle proof \rangle
lemma resultant-zero-iff-common-root-complex: fixes f \ g :: complex \ poly assumes g: g \ne 0 shows resultant f \ g = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ x. \ poly \ f \ x = 0 \land poly \ g \ x = 0)
```

#### 4.3 Systems of Polynomials

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

Definition of solving a system of polynomials, one being multivariate

```
definition mpoly-polys-solution :: 'a :: field mpoly \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a poly) \Rightarrow nat set \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool where mpoly-polys-solution p qs N \alpha = (
```

```
insertion \alpha p = 0 \land (\forall i \in N. poly (qs i) (\alpha (Suc i)) = 0))
```

The upcoming lemma shows how to eliminate single variables in multi-variate root-problems. Because of the problem mentioned in *resultant-zero-iff-common-root-irreducible* we here restrict to polynomials over the complex numbers. Since the result computations are homomorphisms, we are able to lift it to integer polynomials where we are interested in real or complex roots.

```
lemma resultant-mpoly-polys-solution: fixes p :: complex mpoly assumes nz: 0 \notin qs ' N and i: i \in N shows mpoly-polys-solution (resultant-mpoly-poly (Suc i) p (qs i)) qs (N - \{i\}) \alpha \longleftrightarrow (\exists v. mpoly-polys-solution <math>p qs N (\alpha((Suc i) := v))) \langle proof \rangle
```

We now restrict solutions to be evaluated to zero outside the variable range. Then there are only finitely many solutions for our applications.

```
definition mpoly-polys-zero-solution :: 'a :: field mpoly \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a poly) \Rightarrow nat set \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool where mpoly-polys-zero-solution p qs N \alpha = (mpoly-polys-solution p qs N \alpha \wedge (\forall i. i \notin insert 0 (Suc `N) \longrightarrow \alpha i = 0))
```

```
lemma resultant-mpoly-polys-zero-solution: fixes p:: complex mpoly assumes nz: 0 \notin qs ' N and i: i \in N shows  mpoly-polys-zero-solution \ (resultant-mpoly-poly \ (Suc \ i) \ p \ (qs \ i)) \ qs \ (N-\{i\}) \ \alpha \\ \Longrightarrow \exists \ v. \ mpoly-polys-zero-solution \ p \ qs \ N \ (\alpha(Suc \ i:=v)) \\ mpoly-polys-zero-solution \ p \ qs \ N \ \alpha \\ \Longrightarrow mpoly-polys-zero-solution \ (resultant-mpoly-poly \ (Suc \ i) \ p \ (qs \ i)) \ qs \ (N-\{i\}) \ (\alpha(Suc \ i:=0)) \\ \langle proof \rangle
```

The following two lemmas show that if we start with a system of polynomials with finitely many solutions, then the resulting polynomial cannot be the zero-polynomial.

```
lemma finite-resultant-mpoly-polys-non-empty: fixes p :: complex mpoly assumes nz: 0 \notin qs ' N and i: i \in N and fin: finite \{\alpha. mpoly-polys-zero-solution p qs N \alpha\} shows finite \{\alpha. mpoly-polys-zero-solution (resultant-mpoly-poly (Suc i) p (qs i)) qs (N-\{i\}) \alpha\} \langle proof \rangle
lemma finite-resultant-mpoly-polys-empty: fixes p :: complex mpoly assumes finite \{\alpha. mpoly-polys-zero-solution p qs \{\} \alpha\} shows p \neq 0 \langle proof \rangle
```

#### 4.4 Elimination of Auxiliary Variables

```
fun eliminate-aux-vars :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 mpoly ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a poly) ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a poly where eliminate-aux-vars p qs [] = mpoly-to-poly 0 p [] eliminate-aux-vars p qs (i # is) = eliminate-aux-vars (resultant-mpoly-poly (Suc i) p (qs i)) qs is [] lemma eliminate-aux-vars-of-int-poly: eliminate-aux-vars (map-mpoly (of-int :: - ⇒ 'a :: {comm-ring-1,ring-char-0}) mp) (of-int-poly \circ qs) is = of-int-poly (eliminate-aux-vars mp qs is) \circ {proof}
```

The polynomial of the elimination process will represent the first value  $\alpha$  ( $\theta$ ::'a) of any solution to the multi-polynomial problem.

```
lemma eliminate-aux-vars: fixes p :: complex mpoly assumes distinct is and vars p \subseteq insert \ \theta (Suc 'set is) and finite \{\alpha.\ mpoly-polys-zero-solution\ p\ qs\ (set\ is)\ \alpha\} and \theta \notin qs 'set is and mpoly-polys-solution p qs (set is) \alpha shows poly (eliminate-aux-vars p qs is) (\alpha \ \theta) = \theta \land eliminate-aux-vars\ p\ qs\ is \neq \theta \langle proof \rangle
```

### 4.5 A Representing Polynomial for the Roots of a Polynomial with Algebraic Coefficients

First convert an algebraic polynomial into a system of integer polynomials.

```
definition initial-root-problem :: 'a :: \{is\text{-rat}, field\text{-}gcd\}\ poly \Rightarrow int\ mpoly \times (nat
\times 'a \times int poly) list where
  initial-root-problem p = (let
      n = degree p;
      cs = coeffs p;
      rcs = remdups (filter (\lambda \ c. \ c \notin \mathbb{Z}) \ cs);
      pairs = map (\lambda \ c. \ (c, min-int-poly \ c)) \ rcs;
       spairs = sort-key (\lambda (c,f). degree f) pairs; — sort by degree so that easy
computations will be done first
      triples = zip [0 ... < length spairs] spairs;
      mpoly = (sum (\lambda i. let c = coeff p i in
            MPoly-Type.monom (Poly-Mapping.single 0 i) 1 * - x_0^i * ...
            (case find (\lambda (j,d,f), d = c) triples of
            None \Rightarrow Const (to-int c)
           | Some (j,pair) \Rightarrow Var (Suc j)))
             \{..n\})
     in (mpoly, triples))
```

And then eliminate all auxiliary variables

```
definition representative-poly :: 'a :: {is-rat,field-char-0,field-gcd} poly \Rightarrow int poly where representative-poly p = (case\ initial\text{-root-problem}\ p\ of\ (mp,\ triples) \Rightarrow let is = map\ fst\ triples; qs = (\lambda\ j.\ snd\ (snd\ (triples\ !\ j))) in eliminate-aux-vars mp\ qs\ is)
```

#### 4.6 Soundness Proof for Complex Algebraic Polynomials

```
lemma get-representative-complex: fixes p:: complex poly assumes p: p \neq 0 and algebraic: Ball (set (coeffs p)) algebraic and res: initial-root-problem p = (mp, triples) and is: is = map \ fst \ triples and qs: \bigwedge j. j < length \ is \Longrightarrow qs \ j = snd \ (snd \ (triples ! \ j)) and root: poly p \ x = 0 shows eliminate-aux-vars mp \ qs is represents x < proof >
lemma representative-poly-complex: fixes x:: complex assumes p: p \neq 0 and algebraic: Ball (set (coeffs p)) algebraic and root: poly p \ x = 0 shows representative-poly p represents x < proof >
```

#### 4.7 Soundness Proof for Real Algebraic Polynomials

We basically use the result for complex algebraic polynomials which are a superset of real algebraic polynomials.

```
lemma initial-root-problem-complex-of-real-poly:
    initial-root-problem (map-poly complex-of-real p) =
        map-prod id (map (map-prod id (map-prod complex-of-real id))) (initial-root-problem
    p)
    \langle proof \rangle

lemma representative-poly-real: fixes x :: real
    assumes p: p \neq 0
    and algebraic: Ball (set (coeffs p)) algebraic
    and root: poly p x = 0

shows representative-poly p represents x
\langle proof \rangle
```

#### 4.8 Algebraic Closedness of Complex Algebraic Numbers

 ${\bf lemma}\ complex-algebraic-numbers-are-algebraically-closed:$ 

```
assumes nc: \neg constant \ (poly \ p)
and alg: Ball \ (set \ (coeffs \ p)) \ algebraic
shows \exists \ z :: complex. \ algebraic \ z \land poly \ p \ z = 0
\langle proof \rangle
```

### 4.9 Executable Version to Compute Representative Polynomials

```
theory Roots-of-Algebraic-Poly-Impl
imports
Roots-of-Algebraic-Poly
Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Class-FMap
begin
```

We need to specialize our code to real and complex polynomials, since *algebraic* and *min-int-poly* are not executable in their parametric versions.

```
definition initial-root-problem-real :: real poly \Rightarrow - where
  [simp]: initial-root-problem-real\ p=initial-root-problem\ p
definition initial-root-problem-complex :: complex poly \Rightarrow - where
  [simp]: initial-root-problem-complex p = initial-root-problem p
lemmas initial-root-problem-code =
  initial-root-problem-real-def[unfolded initial-root-problem-def]
  initial-root-problem-complex-def[unfolded initial-root-problem-def]
declare initial-root-problem-code[code]
lemma initial-root-problem-code-unfold[code-unfold]:
  initial-root-problem = initial-root-problem-complex
  initial-root-problem = initial-root-problem-real
  \langle proof \rangle
definition representative-poly-real :: real poly \Rightarrow - where
  [simp]: representative-poly-real p = representative-poly p
definition representative-poly-complex :: complex poly \Rightarrow - where
  [simp]: representative-poly-complex p = representative-poly p
```

lemmas representative-poly-code =
representative-poly-real-def[unfolded representative-poly-def]
representative-poly-complex-def[unfolded representative-poly-def]

 $extbf{declare}$   $representative ext{-poly-code}[code]$ 

**lemma** representative-poly-code-unfold[code-unfold]:

```
representative-poly = representative-poly-complex \\ representative-poly = representative-poly-real \\ \langle proof \rangle
```

#### 5 Root Filter via Interval Arithmetic

#### 5.1 Generic Framework

We provide algorithms for finding all real or complex roots of a polynomial from a superset of the roots via interval arithmetic. These algorithms are much faster than just evaluating the polynomial via algebraic number computations.

```
theory Roots-via-IA
 imports
    Algebraic\text{-}Numbers.Interval\text{-}Arithmetic
begin
definition interval-of-real :: nat \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real interval where
  interval-of-real prec x =
      (if is-rat x then Interval x x
       else let n = 2 ^n prec; x' = x * of\text{-int } n
            in Interval (of-rat (Rat.Fract |x'| n)) (of-rat (Rat.Fract [x'] n)))
definition interval-of-complex :: nat \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex-interval where
  interval-of-complex prec\ z =
     Complex-Interval (interval-of-real prec (Re\ z)) (interval-of-real prec (Im\ z))
fun poly-interval :: 'a :: {plus,times,zero} list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a where
  poly-interval [] -= 0
 poly-interval[c] - = c
| poly-interval (c \# cs) x = c + x * poly-interval cs x
definition filter-fun-complex :: complex \ poly \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow bool where
  filter-fun-complex p = (let c = coeffs p in
      (\lambda \ prec. \ let \ cs = map \ (interval-of-complex \ prec) \ c
      in (\lambda \ x. \ \theta \in_c poly-interval \ cs \ (interval-of-complex \ prec \ x))))
definition filter-fun-real :: real poly \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool where
 filter-fun-real p = (let c = coeffs p in
      (\lambda \ prec. \ let \ cs = map \ (interval-of-real \ prec) \ c
      in (\lambda \ x. \ \theta \in_i poly-interval \ cs \ (interval-of-real \ prec \ x))))
definition genuine-roots :: - poly \Rightarrow - list \Rightarrow - list where
  genuine-roots p xs = filter (\lambda x. poly p x = 0) xs
lemma zero-in-interval-0 [simp, intro]: 0 \in_i 0
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma zero-in-complex-interval-0 [simp, intro]: 0 \in_c 0
lemma length-coeffs-degree':
  length (coeffs p) = (if p = 0 then 0 else Suc (degree p))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma poly-in-poly-interval-complex:
  assumes list-all2 (\lambda c \text{ ivl. } c \in_c \text{ ivl}) (coeffs p) cs x \in_c \text{ ivl}
  shows poly p \ x \in_c poly\text{-}interval \ cs \ ivl
\langle proof \rangle
lemma poly-in-poly-interval-real: fixes x :: real
  assumes list-all2 (\lambda c \text{ ivl. } c \in_i \text{ ivl}) (coeffs p) cs \ x \in_i \text{ ivl}
  \mathbf{shows} \quad \textit{poly p} \ x \in_{i} \textit{poly-interval cs ivl}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma in-interval-of-real [simp, intro]: x \in_i interval-of-real prec x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma in-interval-of-complex [simp, intro]: z \in_c interval-of-complex prec z
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma distinct-genuine-roots [simp, intro]:
  distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow distinct \ (genuine-roots \ p \ xs)
  \langle proof \rangle
definition filter-fun :: 'a poly \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm-ring \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool where
  filter-fun p f = (\forall n x. poly p x = 0 \longrightarrow f n x)
lemma filter-fun-complex: filter-fun p (filter-fun-complex p)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-fun-real: filter-fun p (filter-fun-real p)
  \langle proof \rangle
context
  fixes p :: 'a :: comm\text{-}ring poly and f
  assumes ff: filter-fun p f
begin
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{genuine-roots-step} :
  genuine-roots p xs = genuine-roots p (filter (f prec) xs)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma genuine-roots-step-preserve-invar:
```

```
assumes \{z. \ poly \ p \ z = 0\} \subseteq set \ xs
  shows \{z. \ poly \ p \ z = 0\} \subseteq set \ (filter \ (f \ prec) \ xs)
\langle proof \rangle
end
lemma genuine-roots-finish:
  fixes p :: 'a :: field\text{-}char\text{-}0 poly
 assumes \{z. poly p z = 0\} \subseteq set xs distinct xs
 assumes length xs = card \{z. poly p z = 0\}
  shows genuine-roots p xs = xs
\langle proof \rangle
This is type of the initial search problem. It consists of a polynomial p, a
list xs of candidate roots, the cardinality of the set of roots of p and a filter
function to drop non-roots that is parametric in a precision parameter.
typedef (overloaded) 'a genuine-roots-aux =
  \{(p :: 'a :: field\text{-}char\text{-}0 poly, xs, n, ff).
    distinct\ xs\ \land
    \{z. \ poly \ p \ z = 0\} \subseteq set \ xs \land
    card \{z. \ poly \ p \ z = 0\} = n \land
    filter-fun p ff
  \langle proof \rangle
setup-lifting type-definition-genuine-roots-aux
lift-definition genuine-roots' :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: field-char-0 genuine-roots-aux \Rightarrow 'a
list is
  \lambda prec\ (p,\ xs,\ n,\ ff).\ genuine-roots\ p\ xs\ \langle proof\rangle
lift-definition qenuine-roots-impl-step' :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: field-char-0 qenuine-roots-aux
\Rightarrow 'a genuine-roots-aux is
  \lambda prec\ (p,\ xs,\ n,\ ff).\ (p,\ filter\ (ff\ prec)\ xs,\ n,\ ff)
  \langle proof \rangle
lift-definition gr-poly :: 'a :: field-char-0 genuine-roots-aux \Rightarrow 'a poly is
  \lambda(p :: 'a \ poly, -, -, -). \ p \ \langle proof \rangle
lift-definition gr-list :: 'a :: field-char-0 genuine-roots-aux \Rightarrow 'a list is
  \lambda(-, xs :: 'a \ list, -, -). \ xs \ \langle proof \rangle
lift-definition gr-numroots :: 'a :: field-char-0 genuine-roots-aux \Rightarrow nat is
 \lambda(-, -, n, -). n \langle proof \rangle
lemma genuine-roots'-code [code]:
  genuine\text{-}roots' prec gr =
     (if length (gr-list gr) = gr-numroots gr then gr-list gr
      else genuine-roots' (2 * prec) (genuine-roots-impl-step' prec gr))
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
definition initial-precision :: nat where initial-precision = 10  
definition genuine-roots-impl :: 'a genuine-roots-aux \Rightarrow 'a :: field-char-0 list where genuine-roots-impl = genuine-roots' initial-precision  
lemma genuine-roots-impl: set (genuine-roots-impl p) = \{z. \text{ poly } (gr\text{-poly } p) | z = 0\} distinct (genuine-roots-impl p) \langle proof \rangle
```

## 6 Roots of Real and Complex Algebraic Polynomials

We are now able to actually compute all roots of polynomials with real and complex algebraic coefficients. The main addition to calculating the representative polynomial for a superset of all roots is to find the genuine roots. For this we utilize the approximation algorithm via interval arithmetic.

```
theory Roots-of-Real-Complex-Poly
 imports
    Roots-of-Algebraic-Poly-Impl
    Roots-via-IA
   MPoly-Container
begin
hide-const (open) Module.smult
typedef (overloaded) 'a rf-poly = { p :: 'a :: idom poly. rsquarefree p}
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{setup\text{-}lifting}\ type\text{-}definition\text{-}rf\text{-}poly
context
begin
lifting-forget poly.lifting
lift-definition poly-rf :: 'a :: idom rf-poly \Rightarrow 'a poly is \lambda x. x \langle proof \rangle
definition roots-of-poly-dummy :: 'a::\{comm-ring-1, ring-no-zero-divisors\}\ poly \Rightarrow
 where roots-of-poly-dummy p = (SOME \ xs. \ set \ xs = \{r. \ poly \ p \ r = 0\} \land distinct
xs
lemma roots-of-poly-dummy-code[code]:
   roots-of-poly-dummy \ p = Code.abort \ (STR \ ''roots-of-poly-dummy'') \ (\lambda \ x.
roots-of-poly-dummy p)
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma roots-of-poly-dummy: assumes p: p \neq 0
  shows set (roots-of-poly-dummy p) = \{x. poly p = 0\} distinct (roots-of-poly-dummy p) = \{x. poly p = 0\}
p)
\langle proof \rangle
lift-definition roots-of-complex-rf-poly-part1:: complex \ rf-poly \Rightarrow complex \ gen-
uine-roots-aux is
    \lambda p. if Ball (set (Polynomial.coeffs p)) algebraic then
               let q = representative poly p;
                 zeros = complex-roots-of-int-poly q
                 in (p,zeros,Polynomial.degree p, filter-fun-complex p)
                else (p,roots-of-poly-dummy p,Polynomial.degree p, filter-fun-complex p)
    \langle proof \rangle
lift-definition roots-of-real-rf-poly-part1:: real rf-poly \Rightarrow real genuine-roots-aux is
    \lambda p. let n = count\text{-roots } p in
                if Ball (set (Polynomial.coeffs p)) algebraic then
                let q = representative poly p;
                 zeros = real-roots-of-int-poly q
                 in (p,zeros,n, filter-fun-real p)
                else (p,roots-of-poly-dummy p,n, filter-fun-real p)
    \langle proof \rangle
definition roots-of-complex-rf-poly :: complex rf-poly \Rightarrow complex list where
    roots-of-complex-rf-poly\ p=qenuine-roots-impl\ (roots-of-complex-rf-poly-part1\ p)
lemma roots-of-complex-rf-poly: set (roots-of-complex-rf-poly p) = \{x. poly (poly-rf-poly) = (x. poly (poly-rf-poly) = (x. poly (poly-rf-poly)) = (x. 
p) x = 0
    distinct (roots-of-complex-rf-poly p)
    \langle proof \rangle
definition roots-of-real-rf-poly :: real rf-poly \Rightarrow real list where
    roots-of-real-rf-poly p = genuine-roots-impl (roots-of-real-rf-poly-part1 p)
lemma roots-of-real-rf-poly: set (roots-of-real-rf-poly p) = \{x. poly (poly-rf p) | x = 0\}
    distinct (roots-of-real-rf-poly p)
    \langle proof \rangle
typedef (overloaded) 'a rf-polys = { (a :: 'a :: idom, ps :: ('a poly \times nat) list).}
Ball (fst 'set ps) rsquarefree}
    \langle proof \rangle
setup-lifting type-definition-rf-polys
```

```
\textbf{lift-definition} \ yun-polys:: 'a:: \{euclidean-ring-gcd, field-char-0, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize\}
poly \Rightarrow 'a rf-polys
 is \lambda p. yun-factorization gcd p
  \langle proof \rangle
context
  {\bf notes}\,\,[[typedef\text{-}overloaded]]
lift-definition (code-dt) yun-rf: 'a:: idom rf-polys \Rightarrow 'a \times ('a rf-poly \times nat) list
is \lambda x. x
  \langle proof \rangle
end
end
definition polys-rf :: 'a :: idom rf-polys \Rightarrow 'a rf-poly list where
  polys-rf = map \ fst \ o \ snd \ o \ yun-rf
lemma yun-polys: assumes p \neq 0
 shows poly p \ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ q \in set \ (polys-rf \ (yun-polys \ p)). poly \ (poly-rf \ q) \ x =
  \langle proof \rangle
definition roots-of-complex-rf-polys :: complex rf-polys \Rightarrow complex list where
  roots-of-complex-rf-polys ps = concat (map roots-of-complex-rf-poly (polys-rf ps))
lemma roots-of-complex-rf-polys:
 set (roots-of-complex-rf-polys \ ps) = \{x. \ \exists \ p \in set \ (polys-rf \ ps). \ poly \ (poly-rf \ p) \ x
= 0}
  \langle proof \rangle
definition roots-of-real-rf-polys :: real rf-polys \Rightarrow real list where
  roots-of-real-rf-polys ps = concat (map roots-of-real-rf-poly (polys-rf ps))
lemma roots-of-real-rf-polys:
 set (roots-of-real-rf-polys ps) = \{x. \exists p \in set (polys-rf ps), poly (poly-rf p) = 0\}
}
  \langle proof \rangle
definition roots-of-complex-poly :: complex poly \Rightarrow complex list where
 roots-of-complex-poly p = (if p = 0 then [] else roots-of-complex-rf-polys (yun-polys
p))
lemma roots-of-complex-poly: assumes p: p \neq 0
 shows set (roots-of-complex-poly p) = \{x. poly p \mid x = 0\}
  \langle proof \rangle
definition roots-of-real-poly :: real poly \Rightarrow real list where
  roots-of-real-poly p = (if \ p = 0 \ then \ [] \ else \ roots-of-real-rf-polys (yun-polys p))
```

```
lemma roots-of-real-poly: assumes p: p \neq 0
 shows set (roots\text{-}of\text{-}real\text{-}poly\ p) = \{x.\ poly\ p\ x = 0\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma distinct-concat':
  [\![ distinct (list-neq xs [\!]);
    \bigwedge ys. \ ys \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow distinct \ ys;
    ] \implies distinct (concat xs)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma roots-of-rf-yun-polys-distinct: assumes
  rt: \land p. set (rop \ p) = \{x. \ poly \ (poly-rf \ p) \ x = 0\}
 and dist: \bigwedge p. distinct (rop p)
shows distinct (concat (map rop (polys-rf (yun-polys p))))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma distinct-roots-of-real-poly: distinct (roots-of-real-poly p)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma distinct-roots-of-complex-poly: distinct (roots-of-complex-poly p)
  \langle proof \rangle
```

## 7 Factorization of Polynomials with Algebraic Coefficients

#### 7.1 Complex Algebraic Coefficients

```
theory Factor-Complex-Poly imports
Roots-of-Real-Complex-Poly begin
hide-const (open) MPoly-Type.smult MPoly-Type.degree MPoly-Type.coeff MPoly-Type.coeffs

definition factor-complex-main: complex poly \Rightarrow complex \times (complex \times nat) list where
factor-complex-main p \equiv let (c,pis) = yun-rf (yun-polys p) in
(c, concat (map (\lambda (p,i). map (\lambda r. (r,i)) (roots-of-complex-rf-poly p)) pis))

lemma roots-of-complex-poly-via-factor-complex-main:
map fst (snd (factor-complex-main p)) = roots-of-complex-poly p
\( \text{proof} \) \\

lemma distinct-factor-complex-main:
distinct (map fst (snd (factor-complex-main p)))
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma factor-complex-main: assumes rt: factor-complex-main p = (c,xis)
 shows p = smult\ c\ (\prod (x, i) \leftarrow xis.\ [:-x, 1:] \ \widehat{\ } i)
    0 \notin snd 'set xis
\langle proof \rangle
definition factor-complex-poly :: complex poly \Rightarrow complex \times (complex poly \times nat)
list where
 factor-complex-poly p = (case factor-complex-main p of
    (c,ris) \Rightarrow (c, map (\lambda (r,i). ([:-r,1:],i)) ris))
lemma distinct-factor-complex-poly:
  distinct (map fst (snd (factor-complex-poly p)))
\langle proof \rangle
theorem factor-complex-poly: assumes fp: factor-complex-poly p = (c,qis)
 p = smult \ c \ (\prod (q, i) \leftarrow qis. \ q \ \hat{i})
  (q,i) \in set \ qis \Longrightarrow irreducible \ q \land i \neq 0 \land monic \ q \land degree \ q = 1
\langle proof \rangle
end
       Real Algebraic Coefficients
7.2
We basically perform a factorization via complex algebraic numbers, take
all real roots, and then merge each pair of conjugate roots into a quadratic
factor.
theory Factor-Real-Poly
  imports
    Factor-Complex-Poly
begin
hide-const (open) Coset.order
fun delete-cnj :: complex \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (complex \times nat) \ list \Rightarrow (complex \times nat) \ list
  delete-cnj \ x \ i \ ((y,j) \ \# \ yjs) = (if \ x = y \ then \ if \ j = i \ then \ yjs \ else \ if \ j > i \ then
   ((y,j-i) \# yjs) else delete-cnj x (i-j) yjs else (y,j) \# delete-cnj x i yjs)
| delete-cnj - - [] = []
lemma delete-cnj-length[termination-simp]: length (delete-cnj x i yjs) \leq length yjs
  \langle proof \rangle
fun complex-roots-to-real-factorization :: (complex \times nat) list \Rightarrow (real poly \times
nat)list where
```

complex-roots-to-real-factorization [] = []

```
| complex-roots-to-real-factorization ((x,i) \# xs) = (if x \in \mathbb{R} \ then
    ([:-(Re\ x),1:],i) \# complex-roots-to-real-factorization\ xs\ else
    let xx = cnj x; ys = delete-cnj xx i xs; p = map-poly Re ([:-x,1:] * [:-xx,1:])
    in (p,i) \# complex-roots-to-real-factorization ys)
definition factor-real-poly :: real poly \Rightarrow real \times (real poly \times nat) list where
  factor-real-poly p \equiv case factor-complex-main (map-poly of-real p) of
    (c,ris) \Rightarrow (Re\ c,\ complex-roots-to-real-factorization\ ris)
lemma monic-imp-nonzero: monic x \Longrightarrow x \neq 0 for x :: 'a :: semiring-1 poly \langle proof \rangle
lemma delete-cnj-\theta: assumes \theta \notin snd 'set xis
  shows 0 \notin snd 'set (delete-cnj x si xis)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma delete-cnj: assumes
  order x (\prod (x, i) \leftarrow xis. [:-x, 1:] \cap i) \ge si \ si \ne 0
  shows (\prod_{i=1}^{n} (x, i) \leftarrow xis. [:-x, 1:] \cap i) =
    [:-x, 1:] \hat{s}i * (\prod (x, i) \leftarrow delete-cnj \ x \ si \ xis. [:-x, 1:] \hat{i})
\langle proof \rangle
theorem factor-real-poly: assumes fp: factor-real-poly p = (c,qis)
  shows p = smult \ c \ (\prod (q, i) \leftarrow qis. \ q \hat{i})
    (q,j) \in set \ qis \Longrightarrow irreducible \ q \land j \neq 0 \land monic \ q \land degree \ q \in \{1,2\}
\langle proof \rangle
```

#### References

end

- [1] W. S. Brown. The subresultant PRS algorithm. ACM Trans. Math. Softw., 4(3):237–249, 1978.
- [2] W. S. Brown and J. F. Traub. On Euclid's algorithm and the theory of subresultants. *Journal of the ACM*, 18(4):505–514, 1971.
- [3] S. Joosten, R. Thiemann, and A. Yamada. Subresultants. Archive of Formal Proofs, Apr. 2017. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Subresultants.html, Formal proof development.
- [4] S. J. C. Joosten, R. Thiemann, and A. Yamada. A verified implementation of algebraic numbers in Isabelle/HOL. J. Autom. Reason., 64(3):363–389, 2020.
- [5] A. W. Strzeboński. Computing in the field of complex algebraic numbers. J. Symbolic Computation, 24:647–656, 1997.

[6] R. Thiemann, A. Yamada, and S. Joosten. Algebraic numbers in Isabelle/HOL. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Dec. 2015. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Algebraic\_Numbers.html, Formal proof development.