

Pricing in discrete financial models

Mnacho Echenim

May 26, 2024

Contents

1	Generated subalgebras	1
1.1	Independence between a random variable and a subalgebra. . .	3
2	Filtrations	4
2.1	Basic definitions	4
2.2	Stochastic processes	5
2.2.1	Adapted stochastic processes	6
2.2.2	Predictable stochastic processes	7
2.3	Initially trivial filtrations	9
2.4	Filtration-equivalent measure spaces	10
3	Martingales	11
4	Discrete Conditional Expectation	12
4.1	Preliminary measurability results	12
4.2	Definition of explicit conditional expectation	14
5	Infinite coin toss space	19
5.1	Preliminary results	19
5.2	Bernoulli streams	21
5.3	Natural filtration on the infinite coin toss space	22
5.3.1	The projection function	22
5.3.2	Natural filtration locale	25
5.3.3	Probability component	30
5.3.4	Filtration equivalence for the natural filtration	33
5.3.5	More results on the projection function	34
5.3.6	Integrals and conditional expectations on the natural filtration	36
5.4	Images of stochastic processes by prefixes of streams	39
5.4.1	Definitions	39
5.4.2	Induced filtration, relationship with filtration gener- ated by underlying stochastic process	41

6	Geometric random walk	45
7	Fair Prices	46
7.1	Preliminary results	46
7.1.1	On the almost everywhere filter	46
7.1.2	On conditional expectations	47
7.2	Financial formalizations	48
7.2.1	Markets	48
7.2.2	Quantity processes and portfolios	49
7.2.3	Trading strategies	52
7.2.4	Self-financing portfolios	54
7.2.5	Replicating portfolios	60
7.2.6	Arbitrages	60
7.2.7	Fair prices	62
7.3	Risk-neutral probability space	65
7.3.1	risk-free rate and discount factor processes	65
7.3.2	Discounted value of a stochastic process	67
7.3.3	Results on risk-neutral probability spaces	69
8	The Cox Ross Rubinstein model	71
8.1	Preliminary results on the market	71
8.2	Risk-neutral probability space for the geometric random walk	74
8.3	Existence of a replicating portfolio	78
9	Effective computation definitions and results	86
9.1	Generation of lists of boolean elements	86
9.2	Probability components for lists	87
9.3	Geometric process applied to lists	87
9.4	Effective computation of discounted values	88
10	Pricing results on options	88
10.1	Call option	88
10.2	Put option	89
10.3	Lookback option	89
10.4	Asian option	91

1 Generated subalgebras

This section contains definitions and properties related to generated subalgebras.

```
theory Generated-Subalgebra imports HOL-Probability.Probability
```

```
begin
```

definition *gen-subalgebra* **where**
gen-subalgebra $M G = \text{sigma} (\text{space } M) G$

lemma *gen-subalgebra-space*:
shows $\text{space} (\text{gen-subalgebra } M G) = \text{space } M$
<proof>

lemma *gen-subalgebra-sets*:
assumes $G \subseteq \text{sets } M$
and $A \in G$
shows $A \in \text{sets} (\text{gen-subalgebra } M G)$
<proof>

lemma *gen-subalgebra-sig-sets*:
assumes $G \subseteq \text{Pow} (\text{space } M)$
shows $\text{sets} (\text{gen-subalgebra } M G) = \text{sigma-sets} (\text{space } M) G$ <proof>

lemma *gen-subalgebra-sigma-sets*:
assumes $G \subseteq \text{sets } M$
and *sigma-algebra* $(\text{space } M) G$
shows $\text{sets} (\text{gen-subalgebra } M G) = G$
<proof>

lemma *gen-subalgebra-is-subalgebra*:
assumes *sub*: $G \subseteq \text{sets } M$
and *sigal*: *sigma-algebra* $(\text{space } M) G$
shows *subalgebra* $M (\text{gen-subalgebra } M G)$ (**is** *subalgebra* $M ?N$)
<proof>

definition *fct-gen-subalgebra* :: $'a \text{ measure} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ measure} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ measure}$ **where**
fct-gen-subalgebra $M N X = \text{gen-subalgebra } M (\text{sigma-sets} (\text{space } M) \{X - ' B \cap (\text{space } M) \mid B. B \in \text{sets } N\})$

lemma *fct-gen-subalgebra-sets*:
shows $\text{sets} (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X) = \text{sigma-sets} (\text{space } M) \{X - ' B \cap \text{space } M \mid B. B \in \text{sets } N\}$
<proof>

lemma *fct-gen-subalgebra-space*:

shows $\text{space } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X) = \text{space } M$
<proof>

lemma *fct-gen-subalgebra-eq-sets:*

assumes $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } P$

shows $\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X = \text{fct-gen-subalgebra } P N X$

<proof>

lemma *fct-gen-subalgebra-sets-mem:*

assumes $B \in \text{sets } N$

shows $X - ' B \cap (\text{space } M) \in \text{sets } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X)$ *<proof>*

lemma *fct-gen-subalgebra-is-subalgebra:*

assumes $X \in \text{measurable } M N$

shows $\text{subalgebra } M (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X)$

<proof>

lemma *fct-gen-subalgebra-fct-measurable:*

assumes $X \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$

shows $X \in \text{measurable } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X) N$

<proof>

lemma *fct-gen-subalgebra-min:*

assumes $\text{subalgebra } M P$

and $f \in \text{measurable } P N$

shows $\text{subalgebra } P (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f)$

<proof>

lemma *fct-preimage-sigma-sets:*

assumes $X \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$

shows $\text{sigma-sets } (\text{space } M) \{X - ' B \cap \text{space } M \mid B. B \in \text{sets } N\} = \{X - ' B \cap \text{space } M \mid B. B \in \text{sets } N\}$ **(is ?L = ?R)**

<proof>

lemma *fct-gen-subalgebra-sigma-sets:*

assumes $X \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$

shows $\text{sets } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X) = \{X - ' B \cap \text{space } M \mid B. B \in \text{sets } N\}$

<proof>

lemma *fct-gen-subalgebra-info:*

assumes $f \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$

and $x \in \text{space } M$

and $w \in \text{space } M$

and $f x = f w$

shows $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f) \implies (x \in A) = (w \in A)$

<proof>

1.1 Independence between a random variable and a subalgebra.

definition (in *prob-space*) *subalgebra-indep-var* :: ('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow 'a *measure* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

subalgebra-indep-var X $N \longleftrightarrow$
 $X \in$ *borel-measurable* M &
(*subalgebra* M N) &
(*indep-set* (*sigma-sets* (*space* M) { X - ' $A \cap$ *space* M | A . $A \in$ *sets borel* })
(*sets* N))

lemma (in *prob-space*) *indep-set-mono*:

assumes *indep-set* A B
assumes $A' \subseteq A$
assumes $B' \subseteq B$
shows *indep-set* A' B'

<proof>

lemma (in *prob-space*) *subalgebra-indep-var-indicator*:

fixes $X :: 'a \Rightarrow$ *real*
assumes *subalgebra-indep-var* X N
and $X \in$ *borel-measurable* M
and $A \in$ *sets* N
shows *indep-var borel* X *borel* (*indicator* A)

<proof>

lemma *fct-gen-subalgebra-cong*:

assumes *space* $M =$ *space* P
and *sets* $N =$ *sets* Q
shows *fct-gen-subalgebra* M N $X =$ *fct-gen-subalgebra* P Q X

<proof>

end

2 Filtrations

This theory introduces basic notions about filtrations, which permit to define adaptable processes and predictable processes in the case where the filtration is indexed by natural numbers.

theory *Filtration* **imports** *HOL-Probability.Probability*
begin

2.1 Basic definitions

```

class linorder-bot = linorder + bot
instantiation nat::linorder-bot
begin
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

definition filtration :: 'a measure ⇒ ('i::linorder-bot ⇒ 'a measure) ⇒ bool where
filtration M F ←→
  (∀ t. subalgebra M (F t)) ∧
  (∀ s t. s ≤ t → subalgebra (F t) (F s))

```

```

lemma filtrationI:
  assumes ∀ t. subalgebra M (F t)
  and ∀ s t. s ≤ t → subalgebra (F t) (F s)
shows filtration M F ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filtrationE1:
  assumes filtration M F
  shows subalgebra M (F t) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filtrationE2:
  assumes filtration M F
  shows s ≤ t ⇒ subalgebra (F t) (F s) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale filtrated-prob-space = prob-space +
  fixes F
  assumes filtration: filtration M F

```

```

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) filtration-space:
  assumes s ≤ t
  shows space (F s) = space (F t) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) filtration-measurable:
  assumes f ∈ measurable (F t) N
shows f ∈ measurable M N ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) increasing-measurable-info:
  assumes f ∈ measurable (F s) N
  and s ≤ t
  shows f ∈ measurable (F t) N
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition disc-filtr :: 'a measure ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a measure) ⇒ bool where
disc-filtr M F ←→

```

$(\forall n. \text{subalgebra } M (F n)) \wedge$
 $(\forall n m. n \leq m \longrightarrow \text{subalgebra } (F m) (F n))$

locale *disc-filtr-prob-space* = *prob-space* +
fixes *F*
assumes *discrete-filtration*: *disc-filtr M F*

lemma (**in** *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *subalgebra-filtration*:
assumes *subalgebra N M*
and *filtration M F*
shows *filtration N F*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *disc-filtr-prob-space* \subseteq *filtrated-prob-space*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Stochastic processes

Stochastic processes are collections of measurable functions. Those of a particular interest when there is a filtration are the adapted stochastic processes.

definition *stoch-procs where*
 $\text{stoch-procs } M N = \{X. \forall t. (X t) \in \text{measurable } M N\}$

2.2.1 Adapted stochastic processes

definition *adapt-stoch-proc where*
 $(\text{adapt-stoch-proc } F X N) \longleftrightarrow (\forall t. (X t) \in \text{measurable } (F t) N)$

abbreviation *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X* \equiv *adapt-stoch-proc F X borel*

lemma (**in** *filtrated-prob-space*) *adapted-is-dsp*:
assumes *adapt-stoch-proc F X N*
shows $X \in \text{stoch-procs } M N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *filtrated-prob-space*) *adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable*:
assumes *adapt-stoch-proc F X N*
shows $\forall n. (X n) \in \text{measurable } M N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *filtrated-prob-space*) *borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable*:
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X*
shows $\forall n. (X n) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$

<proof>

lemma (in *filtrated-prob-space*) *constant-process-borel-adapted*:

shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F* ($\lambda n w. c$)

<proof>

lemma (in *filtrated-prob-space*) *borel-adapt-stoch-proc-add*:

fixes $X::'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c::\{\text{second-countable-topology, topological-monoid-add}\})$

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X*

and *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F Y*

shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F* ($\lambda t w. X t w + Y t w$) *<proof>*

lemma (in *filtrated-prob-space*) *borel-adapt-stoch-proc-sum*:

fixes $A::'d \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c::\{\text{second-countable-topology, topological-comm-monoid-add}\})$

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies \text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F (A i)$

shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F* ($\lambda t w. (\sum i \in S. A i t w)$) *<proof>*

lemma (in *filtrated-prob-space*) *borel-adapt-stoch-proc-times*:

fixes $X::'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c::\{\text{second-countable-topology, real-normed-algebra}\})$

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X*

and *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F Y*

shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F* ($\lambda t w. X t w * Y t w$) *<proof>*

lemma (in *filtrated-prob-space*) *borel-adapt-stoch-proc-prod*:

fixes $A::'d \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c::\{\text{second-countable-topology, real-normed-field}\})$

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies \text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F (A i)$

shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F* ($\lambda t w. (\prod i \in S. A i t w)$) *<proof>*

2.2.2 Predictable stochastic processes

definition *predict-stoch-proc where*

$(\text{predict-stoch-proc } F X N) \longleftrightarrow (X 0 \in \text{measurable } (F 0) N \wedge (\forall n. (X (\text{Suc } n)) \in \text{measurable } (F n) N))$

abbreviation *borel-predict-stoch-proc F X* \equiv *predict-stoch-proc F X borel*

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *predict-imp-adapt*:

assumes *predict-stoch-proc F X N*

shows *adapt-stoch-proc F X N* *<proof>*

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *predictable-is-dsp*:

assumes *predict-stoch-proc F X N*

shows $X \in \text{stoch-procs } M N$

<proof>

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *borel-predict-stoch-proc-borel-measurable*:
assumes *borel-predict-stoch-proc F X*
shows $\forall n. (X\ n) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *constant-process-borel-predictable*:
shows *borel-predict-stoch-proc F* $(\lambda\ n\ w.\ c)$
<proof>

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *borel-predict-stoch-proc-add*:
fixes $X::\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c::\{\text{second-countable-topology, topological-monoid-add}\})$
assumes *borel-predict-stoch-proc F X*
and *borel-predict-stoch-proc F Y*
shows *borel-predict-stoch-proc F* $(\lambda\ t\ w.\ X\ t\ w + Y\ t\ w)$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *borel-predict-stoch-proc-sum*:
fixes $A::'d \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c::\{\text{second-countable-topology, topological-comm-monoid-add}\})$
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies \text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } F\ (A\ i)$
shows *borel-predict-stoch-proc F* $(\lambda\ t\ w.\ (\sum\ i \in S. A\ i\ t\ w))$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *borel-predict-stoch-proc-times*:
fixes $X::\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c::\{\text{second-countable-topology, real-normed-algebra}\})$
assumes *borel-predict-stoch-proc F X*
and *borel-predict-stoch-proc F Y*
shows *borel-predict-stoch-proc F* $(\lambda\ t\ w.\ X\ t\ w * Y\ t\ w)$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *borel-predict-stoch-proc-prod*:
fixes $A::'d \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c::\{\text{second-countable-topology, real-normed-field}\})$
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies \text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } F\ (A\ i)$
shows *borel-predict-stoch-proc F* $(\lambda\ t\ w.\ (\prod\ i \in S. A\ i\ t\ w))$ *<proof>*

definition (in *prob-space*) *constant-image where*
constant-image f = (if $\exists c::'b::\{t2\text{-space}\}.$ $\forall x \in \text{space } M. f\ x = c$ then
SOME c. $\forall x \in \text{space } M. f\ x = c$ else undefined)

lemma (in *prob-space*) *constant-imageI*:
assumes $\exists c::'b::\{t2\text{-space}\}.$ $\forall x \in \text{space } M. f\ x = c$
shows $\forall x \in \text{space } M. f\ x = (\text{constant-image } f)$
<proof>

lemma (in *prob-space*) *constant-image-pos*:

assumes $\forall x \in \text{space } M. (0::\text{real}) < f x$
and $\exists c::\text{real}. \forall x \in \text{space } M. f x = c$
shows $0 < (\text{constant-image } f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition open-except where
 $\text{open-except } x y = (\text{if } x = y \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \text{SOME } A. \text{open } A \wedge x \in A \wedge y \notin A)$

lemma open-exceptI:
assumes $(x::'b::\{t1\text{-space}\}) \neq y$
shows $\text{open } (\text{open-except } x y)$ **and** $x \in \text{open-except } x y$ **and** $y \notin \text{open-except } x y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma open-except-set:
assumes $\text{finite } A$
and $(x::'b::\{t1\text{-space}\}) \notin A$
shows $\exists U. \text{open } U \wedge x \in U \wedge U \cap A = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition open-exclude-set where
 $\text{open-exclude-set } x A = (\text{if } (\exists U. \text{open } U \wedge U \cap A = \{x\}) \text{ then } \text{SOME } U. \text{open } U \wedge U \cap A = \{x\} \text{ else } \{\})$

lemma open-exclude-setI:
assumes $\exists U. \text{open } U \wedge U \cap A = \{x\}$
shows $\text{open } (\text{open-exclude-set } x A)$ **and** $(\text{open-exclude-set } x A) \cap A = \{x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma open-exclude-finite:
assumes $\text{finite } A$
and $(x::'b::\{t1\text{-space}\}) \in A$
shows $\text{open-set: open } (\text{open-exclude-set } x A)$ **and** $\text{inter-}x:(\text{open-exclude-set } x A) \cap A = \{x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Initially trivial filtrations

Intuitively, these are filtrations that can be used to denote the fact that there is no information at the start.

definition init-triv-filt::'a measure \Rightarrow ('i::linorder-bot \Rightarrow 'a measure) \Rightarrow bool where
 $\text{init-triv-filt } M F \iff \text{filtration } M F \wedge \text{sets } (F \text{ bot}) = \{\{\}, \text{space } M\}$

lemma triv-measurable-cst:
fixes $f::'a \Rightarrow 'b::\{t2\text{-space}\}$
assumes $\text{space } N = \text{space } M$
and $\text{space } M \neq \{\}$
and $\text{sets } N = \{\{\}, \text{space } M\}$
and $f \in \text{measurable } N \text{ borel}$

shows $\exists c::'b. \forall x \in \text{space } N. f x = c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

locale *trivial-init-filtrated-prob-space* = *prob-space* +
fixes *F*
assumes *info-filtration: init-triv-filt M F*

sublocale *trivial-init-filtrated-prob-space* \subseteq *filtrated-prob-space*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

locale *triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space* = *prob-space* +
fixes *F*
assumes *info-disc-filtr: disc-filtr M F \wedge sets (F bot) = {{}}, space M*

sublocale *triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space* \subseteq *trivial-init-filtrated-prob-space*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space* \subseteq *disc-filtr-prob-space*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space*) *adapted-init:*
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F x*
shows $\exists c. \forall w \in \text{space } M. ((x \ 0 \ w)::\text{real}) = c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4 Filtration-equivalent measure spaces

This is a relaxation of the notion of equivalent probability spaces, where equivalence is tested modulo a filtration. Equivalent measure spaces agree on events that have a zero probability of occurring; here, filtration-equivalent measure spaces agree on such events when they belong to the filtration under consideration.

definition *filt-equiv where*
filt-equiv F M N \longleftrightarrow *sets M = sets N \wedge filtration M F \wedge ($\forall t A. A \in \text{sets } (F t)$*
 \longrightarrow (*emeasure M A = 0*) \longleftrightarrow (*emeasure N A = 0*)

lemma *filt-equiv-space:*
assumes *filt-equiv F M N*
shows *space M = space N* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filt-equiv-sets:*
assumes *filt-equiv F M N*
shows *sets M = sets N* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filt-equiv-filtration*:
assumes *filt-equiv* $F M N$
shows *filtration* $N F$ \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *filtrated-prob-space*) *AE-borel-eq*:
fixes $f::'a\Rightarrow real$
assumes $f\in borel-measurable (F t)$
and $g\in borel-measurable (F t)$
and *AE* w *in* M . $f w = g w$
shows $\{w\in space M. f w \neq g w\} \in sets (F t) \wedge emeasure M \{w\in space M. f w \neq g w\} = 0$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *prob-space*) *filt-equiv-borel-AE-eq*:
fixes $f::'a\Rightarrow real$
assumes *filt-equiv* $F M N$
and $f\in borel-measurable (F t)$
and $g\in borel-measurable (F t)$
and *AE* w *in* M . $f w = g w$
shows *AE* w *in* N . $f w = g w$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *filt-equiv-prob-space-subalgebra*:
assumes *prob-space* N
and *filt-equiv* $F M N$
and *sigma-finite-subalgebra* $M G$
shows *sigma-finite-subalgebra* $N G$ \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *filt-equiv-measurable*:
assumes *filt-equiv* $F M N$
and $f\in measurable M P$
shows $f\in measurable N P$ \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *filt-equiv-imp-subalgebra*:
assumes *filt-equiv* $F M N$
shows *subalgebra* $N M$ \langle *proof* \rangle

end

3 Martingales

theory *Martingale* **imports** *Filtration*
begin

definition *martingale* **where**

martingale $M F X \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{filtration } M F) \wedge (\forall t. \text{integrable } M (X t)) \wedge (\text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F X) \wedge$
 $(\forall t s. t \leq s \longrightarrow (\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. \text{real-cond-exp } M (F t) (X s) w = X t w))$

lemma *martingaleAE*:

assumes *martingale* $M F X$
and $t \leq s$
shows $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. \text{real-cond-exp } M (F t) (X s) w = (X t) w$ *<proof>*

lemma *martingale-add*:

assumes *martingale* $M F X$
and *martingale* $M F Y$
and $\forall m. \text{sigma-finite-subalgebra } M (F m)$
shows *martingale* $M F (\lambda n w. X n w + Y n w)$ *<proof>*

lemma *disc-martingale-charact*:

assumes $(\forall n. \text{integrable } M (X n))$
and *filtration* $M F$
and $\forall m. \text{sigma-finite-subalgebra } M (F m)$
and $\forall m. X m \in \text{borel-measurable } (F m)$
and $(\forall n. \text{AE } w \text{ in } M. \text{real-cond-exp } M (F n) (X (\text{Suc } n)) w = (X n) w)$
shows *martingale* $M F X$ *<proof>*

lemma *(in finite-measure) constant-martingale*:

assumes $\forall t. \text{sigma-finite-subalgebra } M (F t)$
and *filtration* $M F$
shows *martingale* $M F (\lambda n w. c)$ *<proof>*

end

4 Discrete Conditional Expectation

theory *Disc-Cond-Expect* **imports** *HOL-Probability.Probability Generated-Subalgebra*
begin

4.1 Preliminary measurability results

These are some useful results, in particular when working with functions that have a countable codomain.

definition *disc-fct* **where**

disc-fct $f \equiv \text{countable } (\text{range } f)$

definition *point-measurable* **where**

point-measurable $M S f \equiv (f^{-1}(\text{space } M) \subseteq S) \wedge (\forall r \in (\text{range } f) \cap S . f^{-1}\{r\} \cap (\text{space } M) \in \text{sets } M)$

lemma *singl-meas-if*:

assumes $f \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$

and $\forall r \in \text{range } f \cap \text{space } N . \exists A \in \text{sets } N . \text{range } f \cap A = \{r\}$

shows *point-measurable* (*fct-gen-subalgebra* $M N f$) (*space* N) f *<proof>*

lemma *meas-single-meas*:

assumes $f \in \text{measurable } M N$

and $\forall r \in \text{range } f \cap \text{space } N . \exists A \in \text{sets } N . \text{range } f \cap A = \{r\}$

shows *point-measurable* M (*space* N) f *<proof>*

definition *countable-preimages* **where**

countable-preimages $B Y = (\lambda n . \text{if } ((\text{infinite } B) \vee (\text{finite } B \wedge n < \text{card } B)) \text{ then } Y - \{(from\text{-nat-into } B) n\} \text{ else } \{\})$

lemma *count-pre-disj*:

fixes $i :: \text{nat}$

assumes *countable* B

and $i \neq j$

shows (*countable-preimages* $B Y$) $i \cap$ (*countable-preimages* $B Y$) $j = \{\}$ *<proof>*

lemma *count-pre-surj*:

assumes *countable* B

and $w \in Y - \{B\}$

shows $\exists i . w \in$ (*countable-preimages* $B Y$) i *<proof>*

lemma *count-pre-img*:

assumes $x \in$ (*countable-preimages* $B Y$) n

shows $Y x =$ (*from-nat-into* B) n

<proof>

lemma *count-pre-union-img:*
assumes *countable B*
shows $Y - 'B = (\bigcup i. (\text{countable-preimages } B \ Y) \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *count-pre-meas:*
assumes *point-measurable M (space N) Y*
and $B \subseteq \text{space } N$
and *countable B*
shows $\forall i. (\text{countable-preimages } B \ Y) \ i \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *disct-fct-point-measurable:*
assumes *disc-fct f*
and *point-measurable M (space N) f*
shows $f \in \text{measurable } M \ N \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-point-measurable:*
assumes *point-measurable M (space N) Y*
and $B \subseteq \text{space } N$
and *countable B*
shows $(Y - 'B) \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2 Definition of explicit conditional expectation

This section is devoted to an explicit computation of a conditional expectation for random variables that have a countable codomain. More precisely, the computed random variable is almost everywhere equal to a conditional expectation of the random variable under consideration.

definition *img-dce where*
 $\text{img-dce } M \ Y \ X = (\lambda y. \text{if } \text{measure } M ((Y - ' \{y\}) \cap \text{space } M) = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } ((\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. ((X \ w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - ' \{y\}) \cap \text{space } M) \ w)))) / (\text{measure } M ((Y - ' \{y\}) \cap \text{space } M))))$

definition *expl-cond-expect where*
 $\text{expl-cond-expect } M \ Y \ X = (\text{img-dce } M \ Y \ X) \circ Y$

lemma *nn-expl-cond-expect-pos:*
assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X \ w$
shows $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq (\text{expl-cond-expect } M \ Y \ X) \ w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expl-cond-expect-const:*

assumes $Y w = Y y$
shows $\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expl-cond-exp-cong*:
assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. X w = Z w$
shows $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y Z w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expl-cond-exp-add*:
assumes *integrable* $M X$
and *integrable* $M Z$
shows $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y (\lambda x. X x + Z x) w = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w + \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y Z w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expl-cond-exp-diff*:
assumes *integrable* $M X$
and *integrable* $M Z$
shows $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y (\lambda x. X x - Z x) w = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w - \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y Z w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expl-cond-expect-prop-sets*:
assumes *disc-fct* Y
and *point-measurable* $M (\text{space } N) Y$
and $D = \{w \in \text{space } M. Y w \in \text{space } N \wedge (P (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w))\}$
shows $D \in \text{sets } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expl-cond-expect-prop-sets2*:
assumes *disc-fct* Y
and *point-measurable* $(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y) (\text{space } N) Y$
and $D = \{w \in \text{space } M. Y w \in \text{space } N \wedge (P (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w))\}$
shows $D \in \text{sets } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expl-cond-expect-disc-fct*:
assumes *disc-fct* Y
shows *disc-fct* $(\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expl-cond-expect-point-meas*:
assumes *disc-fct* Y
and *point-measurable* M (*space* N) Y
shows *point-measurable* M *UNIV* (*expl-cond-expect* M Y X)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *expl-cond-expect-borel-measurable*:
assumes *disc-fct* Y
and *point-measurable* M (*space* N) Y
shows (*expl-cond-expect* M Y X) \in *borel-measurable* M \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *expl-cond-exp-borel*:
assumes $Y \in$ *space* $M \rightarrow$ *space* N
and *disc-fct* Y
and $\forall r \in$ *range* $Y \cap$ *space* N . $\exists A \in$ *sets* N . *range* $Y \cap A = \{r\}$
shows (*expl-cond-expect* M Y X) \in *borel-measurable* (*fct-gen-subalgebra* M N Y)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *expl-cond-expect-indic-borel-measurable*:
assumes *disc-fct* Y
and *point-measurable* M (*space* N) Y
and $B \subseteq$ *space* N
and *countable* B
shows $(\lambda w$. *expl-cond-expect* M Y X $w *$ *indicator* (*countable-preimages* B Y n
 \cap *space* M) $w) \in$ *borel-measurable* M
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (*in finite-measure*) *dce-prod*:
assumes *point-measurable* M (*space* N) Y
and *integrable* M X
and $\forall w \in$ *space* M . $0 \leq X$ w
shows $\forall w$. $(Y$ $w) \in$ *space* $N \rightarrow$ (*expl-cond-expect* M Y X) $w *$ *measure* M ($(Y$
 $- \{Y$ $w\}) \cap$ *space* M) = *integral* ^{L} M $(\lambda y$. $(X$ $y) *$ (*indicator* $((Y$ $- \{Y$ $w\}) \cap$ *space*
 $M)$ $y))$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *expl-cond-expect-const-exp:*

shows $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * (\text{indicator } (Y - \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M)) y) =$
 $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y * (\text{indicator } (Y - \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M)) y)$
<proof>

lemma *nn-expl-cond-expect-const-exp:*

assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$
shows $\text{integral}^N M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * (\text{indicator } (Y - \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M)) y) =$
 $\text{integral}^N M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y * (\text{indicator } (Y - \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M)) y)$
<proof>

lemma (*in finite-measure*) *nn-expl-cond-bounded:*

assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$
and *integrable* $M X$
and *point-measurable* $M (\text{space } N) Y$
and $w \in \text{space } M$
and $Y w \in \text{space } N$
shows $\text{integral}^N M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y * (\text{indicator } (Y - \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M)) y) < \infty$
<proof>

lemma (*in finite-measure*) *count-prod:*

fixes $Y :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{space } N$
and *point-measurable* $M (\text{space } N) Y$
and *integrable* $M X$
and $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$
shows $\forall i. \text{integral}^L M (\lambda y. (X y) * (\text{indicator } (\text{countable-preimages } B Y i \cap \text{space } M)) y) =$
 $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda y. (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y) * (\text{indicator } (\text{countable-preimages } B Y i \cap \text{space } M)) y)$
<proof>

lemma (*in finite-measure*) *count-pre-integrable:*

assumes *point-measurable* $M (\text{space } N) Y$
and *disc-fct* Y
and $B \subseteq \text{space } N$
and *countable* B
and *integrable* $M X$

and $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$
shows *integrable* $M (\lambda w. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * \text{indicator } (\text{countable-preimages } B Y n \cap \text{space } M) w)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *finite-measure*) *nn-cond-expl-is-cond-exp-tmp*:
assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$
and *integrable* $M X$
and *disc-fct* Y
and *point-measurable* $M (\text{space } M') Y$
shows $\forall A \in \text{sets } M'. \text{integrable } M (\lambda w. ((\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M)) w)) \wedge$
 $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. (X w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M)) w)) =$
 $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. ((\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M)))) w)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *finite-measure*) *nn-expl-cond-exp-integrable*:
assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$
and *integrable* $M X$
and *disc-fct* Y
and *point-measurable* $M (\text{space } N) Y$
shows *integrable* $M (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *finite-measure*) *nn-cond-expl-is-cond-exp*:
assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$
and *integrable* $M X$
and *disc-fct* Y
and *point-measurable* $M (\text{space } N) Y$
shows $\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. (X w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M)) w)) =$
 $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. ((\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M)))) w)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *finite-measure*) *expl-cond-exp-integrable*:
assumes *integrable* $M X$
and *disc-fct* Y
and *point-measurable* $M (\text{space } N) Y$
shows *integrable* $M (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *finite-measure*) *is-cond-exp*:
assumes *integrable* $M X$
and *disc-fct* Y
and *point-measurable* M (*space* N) Y
shows $\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. (X w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M)) w)) =$
 $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. ((\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M)))) w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *finite-measure*) *charact-cond-exp*:
assumes *disc-fct* Y
and *integrable* $M X$
and *point-measurable* M (*space* N) Y
and $Y \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$
and $\forall r \in \text{range } Y \cap \text{space } N. \exists A \in \text{sets } N. \text{range } Y \cap A = \{r\}$
shows $\forall E w \text{ in } M. \text{real-cond-exp } M (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y) X w = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *finite-measure*) *charact-cond-exp'*:
assumes *disc-fct* Y
and *integrable* $M X$
and $Y \in \text{measurable } M N$
and $\forall r \in \text{range } Y \cap \text{space } N. \exists A \in \text{sets } N. \text{range } Y \cap A = \{r\}$
shows $\forall E w \text{ in } M. \text{real-cond-exp } M (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y) X w = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5 Infinite coin toss space

This section contains the formalization of the infinite coin toss space, i.e., the probability space constructed on infinite sequences of independent coin tosses.

theory *Infinite-Coin-Toss-Space* **imports** *Filtration Generated-Subalgebra Disc-Cond-Expect*

begin

5.1 Preliminary results

lemma *decompose-init-prod*:

fixes $n::nat$
shows $(\prod i \in \{0..n\}. f i) = f 0 * (\prod i \in \{1..n\}. f i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-nonempty-distrib*:
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
shows $\bigcap A \cap B = (\bigcap C \in A. (C \cap B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *enn2real-sum*: **shows** $finite A \implies (\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies f a < top) \implies enn2real (sum f A) = (\sum a \in A. enn2real (f a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ennreal-sum*: **shows** $finite A \implies (\bigwedge a. 0 \leq f a) \implies (\sum a \in A. ennreal (f a)) = ennreal (\sum a \in A. f a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stake-snth*:
assumes $stake n w = stake n x$
shows $Suc i \leq n \implies snth w i = snth x i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stake-snth-charact*:
assumes $stake n w = stake n x$
shows $\forall i < n. snth w i = snth x i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stake-snth'*:
shows $(\bigwedge i. Suc i \leq n \implies snth w i = snth x i) \implies stake n w = stake n x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stake-inter-snth*:
fixes x
assumes $Suc 0 \leq n$
shows $\{w \in space M. (stake n w = stake n x)\} = (\bigcap i \in \{0.. n-1\}. \{w \in space M. (snth w i = snth x i)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *streams-stake-set*:
shows $pw \in streams A \implies set (stake n pw) \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stake-finite-universe-induct*:
assumes $finite A$

and $A \neq \{\}$
shows $(\text{stake } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ `}(streams A)\text{`) = \{s\#w \mid s w. s \in A \wedge w \in (\text{stake } n \text{ `}(streams A)\text{`})\}$ **(is ?L = ?R)**
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stake-finite-universe-finite*:
assumes *finite A*
and $A \neq \{\}$
shows *finite (stake n `(streams A))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-streams-only-if*:
assumes $w \neq x$
shows $\exists n. \text{snth } w \ n \neq \text{snth } x \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-streams-if*:
assumes $\exists n. \text{snth } w \ n \neq \text{snth } x \ n$
shows $w \neq x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sigma-set-union-count*:
assumes $\forall y \in A. B \ y \in \text{sigma-sets } X \ Y$
and *countable A*
shows $(\bigcup_{y \in A. B \ y} \in \text{sigma-sets } X \ Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sigma-set-inter-init*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \leq (n::\text{nat}) \implies A \ i \in \text{sigma-sets } sp \ B$
and $B \subseteq \text{Pow } sp$
shows $(\bigcap_{i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. A \ i} \in \text{sigma-sets } sp \ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adapt-sigma-sets*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies (X \ i) \in \text{measurable } M \ N$
shows *sigma-algebra (space M) (sigma-sets (space M) ($\bigcup_{i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{X \ i -$
 $A \cap \text{space } M \mid A. A \in \text{sets } N\}$))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2 Bernoulli streams

Bernoulli streams represent the formal definition of the infinite coin toss space. The parameter p represents the probability of obtaining a head after a coin toss.

definition *bernoulli-stream::real \Rightarrow (bool stream) measure* **where**

$\text{bernoulli-stream } p = \text{stream-space } (\text{measure-pmf } (\text{bernoulli-pmf } p))$

lemma *bernoulli-stream-space:*
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$
shows $\text{space } N = \text{streams UNIV}::\text{bool}$
<proof>

lemma *bernoulli-stream-preimage:*
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$
shows $f^{-1} A \cap (\text{space } N) = f^{-1} A$
<proof>

lemma *bernoulli-stream-component-probability:*
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$ and $0 \leq p$ and $p \leq 1$
shows $\forall n. \text{emeasure } N \{w \in \text{space } N. (\text{snth } w \ n)\} = p$
<proof>

lemma *bernoulli-stream-component-probability-compl:*
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$ and $0 \leq p$ and $p \leq 1$
shows $\forall n. \text{emeasure } N \{w \in \text{space } N. \neg(\text{snth } w \ n)\} = 1 - p$
<proof>

lemma *bernoulli-stream-sets:*
assumes $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and $0 < p$
and $p < 1$
shows $\text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p) = \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } q)$ *<proof>*

locale *infinite-coin-toss-space =*
fixes $p::\text{real}$ and $M::\text{bool}$ *stream measure*
assumes $p\text{-gt-0}: 0 \leq p$
and $p\text{-lt-1}: p \leq 1$
and *bernoulli:* $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$

sublocale *infinite-coin-toss-space* \subseteq *prob-space*
<proof>

5.3 Natural filtration on the infinite coin toss space

The natural filtration on the infinite coin toss space is the discrete filtration F such that $F \ n$ represents the restricted measure space in which the outcome of the first n coin tosses is known.

5.3.1 The projection function

Intuitively, the restricted measure space in which the outcome of the first n coin tosses is known can be defined by any measurable function that maps all infinite sequences that agree on the first n coin tosses to the same element.

definition (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True*:: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{bool stream}$ **where**

$$\text{pseudo-proj-True } n = (\lambda w. \text{shift } (\text{stake } n \ w) \ (\text{sconst } \text{True}))$$

definition (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-False*:: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{bool stream}$ **where**

$$\text{pseudo-proj-False } n = (\lambda w. \text{shift } (\text{append } (\text{stake } n \ w) \ [\text{False}]) \ (\text{sconst } \text{True}))$$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-False-neq-True*:

shows $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n \ w \neq \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-False-measurable*:

shows $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n \in \text{measurable } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p) \ (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-stake*:

shows $\text{stake } n \ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ w) = \text{stake } n \ w \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-False-stake*:

shows $\text{stake } n \ (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n \ w) = \text{stake } n \ w \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-stake-image*:

assumes $\text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x$

shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ x \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-prefix*:

assumes $n \leq m$

and $\text{pseudo-proj-True } m \ x = \text{pseudo-proj-True } m \ y$

shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ x = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-measurable*:

shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \in \text{measurable } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p) \ (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-finite-image*:

shows $\text{finite } (\text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-False-finite-image*:

shows *finite* (*range* (*pseudo-proj-False* *n*))

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-proj*:

shows *pseudo-proj-True* *n* (*pseudo-proj-True* *n* *w*) = *pseudo-proj-True* *n* *w*

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-Suc-False-proj*:

shows *pseudo-proj-True* (*Suc* *n*) (*pseudo-proj-False* *n* *w*) = *pseudo-proj-False* *n*

w

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-Suc-proj*:

shows *pseudo-proj-True* (*Suc* *n*) (*pseudo-proj-True* *n* *w*) = *pseudo-proj-True* *n*

w

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-proj-Suc*:

shows *pseudo-proj-True* *n* (*pseudo-proj-True* (*Suc* *n*) *w*) = *pseudo-proj-True* *n*

w

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-shift*:

shows *length* *l* = *n* \implies *pseudo-proj-True* *n* (*shift* *l* (*sconst* *True*)) = *shift* *l* (*sconst* *True*)

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-suc-img*:

shows *pseudo-proj-True* (*Suc* *n*) *w* \in {*pseudo-proj-True* *n* *w*, *pseudo-proj-False* *n* *w*}

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *measurable-snth-count-space*:

shows ($\lambda w. \text{snth } w \ n$) \in *measurable* (*bernoulli-stream* *p*) (*count-space* (*UNIV::bool set*))

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-same-img*:

assumes *pseudo-proj-True* *n* *w* = *pseudo-proj-True* *n* *x*

shows $stake\ n\ w = stake\ n\ x$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-snth*:
assumes $pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n\ x = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n\ w$
shows $\bigwedge i. Suc\ i \leq n \implies snth\ x\ i = snth\ w\ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-snth'*:
assumes $(\bigwedge i. Suc\ i \leq n \implies snth\ w\ i = snth\ x\ i)$
shows $pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n\ w = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-preimage*:
assumes $w = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n\ w$
shows $(pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n) - \{w\} = (\bigcap_{i \in \{m. Suc\ m \leq n\}} (\lambda w. snth\ w\ i) - \{snth\ w\ i\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-False-preimage*:
assumes $w = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}False\ n\ w$
shows $(pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}False\ n) - \{w\} = (\bigcap_{i \in \{m. Suc\ m \leq n\}} (\lambda w. snth\ w\ i) - \{snth\ w\ i\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake*:
assumes $w = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n\ w$
shows $(pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n) - \{w\} = \{x. stake\ n\ x = stake\ n\ w\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-False-preimage-stake*:
assumes $w = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}False\ n\ w$
shows $(pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}False\ n) - \{w\} = \{x. stake\ n\ x = stake\ n\ w\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake-space*:
assumes $w = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n\ w$
shows $(pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n) - \{w\} \cap space\ M = \{x \in space\ M. stake\ n\ x = stake\ n\ w\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-singleton*:
assumes $w = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n\ w$
shows $(pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True\ n) - \{w\} \cap (space\ (bernoulli\text{-}stream\ p)) \in sets\ (bernoulli\text{-}stream\ p)$

p)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-False-singleton*:
assumes $w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n \ w$
shows $(\text{pseudo-proj-False } n) - \{w\} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)) \in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-inverse-induct*:
assumes $w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$
shows $(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} =$
 $(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) - \{w\} \cup (\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) - \{\text{pseudo-proj-False } n \ w\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3.2 Natural filtration locale

This part is mainly devoted to the proof that the projection function defined above indeed permits to obtain a filtration on the infinite coin toss space, and that this filtration is initially trivial.

definition (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration::nat \Rightarrow bool stream measure*
where

$$\text{nat-filtration } n = \text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M \ M \ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$$

locale *infinite-cts-filtration* = *infinite-coin-toss-space* +
fixes F
assumes *natural-filtration: $F = \text{nat-filtration}$*

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-space*:
shows $\text{space } (\text{nat-filtration } n) = \text{UNIV}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-sets*:
shows $\text{sets } (\text{nat-filtration } n) =$
 $\text{sigma-sets } (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p))$
 $\{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{B \cap \text{space } M \mid B. B \in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)\}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-singleton*:
assumes $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ w = w$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{w\} \in \text{sets } (\text{nat-filtration } n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-pseudo-proj-True-measurable*:
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \in \text{measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n) M \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-comp-measurable*:
assumes $f \in \text{measurable } M N$
and $f \circ \text{pseudo-proj-True } n = f$
shows $f \in \text{measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n) N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *set-discriminating where*
set-discriminating $n f N \equiv (\forall w. f w \neq f (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists A \in \text{sets } N. (f w \in A) = (f (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) \notin A)))$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *set-discriminating-if*:
fixes $f :: \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b :: \{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n)$
shows *set-discriminating* $n f \text{ borel} \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-not-borel-info*:
assumes $f \in \text{measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n) N$
and *set-discriminating* $n f N$
shows $f \circ \text{pseudo-proj-True } n = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-info*:
fixes $f :: \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b :: \{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n)$
shows $f \circ \text{pseudo-proj-True } n = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-not-borel-info'*:
assumes $f \in \text{measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n) N$
and *set-discriminating* $n f N$
shows $f \circ \text{pseudo-proj-False } n = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-info'*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n)$
shows $f \circ \text{pseudo-proj-False } n = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-borel-measurable-characterization*:
fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } M$
shows $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n) \longleftrightarrow f \circ \text{pseudo-proj-True } n = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-borel-measurable-init*:
fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } 0)$
shows $f = (\lambda w. f (\text{sconst True}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-Suc-sets*:
shows $\text{sets } (\text{nat-filtration } n) \subseteq \text{sets } (\text{nat-filtration } (\text{Suc } n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-subalgebra*:
shows $\text{subalgebra } M (\text{nat-filtration } n) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-discrete-filtration*:
shows $\text{filtration } M \text{ nat-filtration}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-info-filtration*:
shows $\text{init-triv-filt } M \text{ nat-filtration} \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $\text{infinite-cts-filtration} \subseteq \text{triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-vimage-finite*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t2\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable (nat-filtration } n)$
shows $\text{finite (f(space } M)) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-borel-measurable-simple:
fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t2\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable (nat-filtration } n)$
shows $\text{simple-function } M f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-singleton-range-set:
fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t2\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable (nat-filtration } n)$
shows $\exists A \in \text{sets borel. range } f \cap A = \{f x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-borel-measurable-singleton:
fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t2\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable (nat-filtration } n)$
shows $f - \{f x\} \in \text{sets (nat-filtration } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-adapt-nat-filtration-info:
fixes $X::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $\text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F X$
and $m \leq n$
shows $X m (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) = X m w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable:
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable (nat-filtration } n)$
shows $\text{integrable } M f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (in infinite-coin-toss-space) spick:: bool stream \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool stream where
 $\text{spick } w n v = \text{shift (stake } n w) (v\#\#\text{ sconst True)}$

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) spickI:
shows $\text{stake } n (\text{spick } w n v) = \text{stake } n w \wedge \text{snth (spick } w n v) n = v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) spick-eq-pseudo-proj-True:
shows $\text{spick } w n \text{True} = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n w \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *spick-eq-pseudo-proj-False*:
shows $spick\ w\ n\ False = pseudo-proj-False\ n\ w$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *spick-pseudo-proj*:
shows $spick\ (pseudo-proj-True\ (Suc\ n)\ w)\ n\ v = spick\ w\ n\ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *spick-pseudo-proj-gen*:
shows $m < n \implies spick\ (pseudo-proj-True\ n\ w)\ m\ v = spick\ w\ m\ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *spick-nat-filtration-measurable*:
shows $(\lambda w. spick\ w\ n\ v) \in measurable\ (nat-filtration\ n)\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *proj-rep-set*:
 $proj-rep-set\ n = range\ (pseudo-proj-True\ n)$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *proj-rep-set-finite*:
shows $finite\ (proj-rep-set\ n)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *set-filt-contain*:
assumes $A \in sets\ (nat-filtration\ n)$
and $w \in A$
shows $pseudo-proj-True\ n - \{pseudo-proj-True\ n\ w\} \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *measurable-range-rep*:
fixes $f::bool\ stream \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0-space\}$
assumes $f \in borel-measurable\ (nat-filtration\ n)$
shows $range\ f = (\bigcup\ r \in (proj-rep-set\ n). \{f(r)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *borel-measurable-stake*:
fixes $f::bool\ stream \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0-space\}$
assumes $f \in borel-measurable\ (nat-filtration\ n)$
and $stake\ n\ w = stake\ n\ y$
shows $f\ w = f\ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3.3 Probability component

The probability component permits to compute measures of subspaces in a straightforward way.

definition *prob-component* **where**

prob-component ($p::\text{real}$) $w\ n = (\text{if } (\text{snth } w\ n) \text{ then } p \text{ else } 1-p)$

lemma *prob-component-neq-zero*:

assumes $0 < p$

and $p < 1$

shows *prob-component* $p\ w\ n \neq 0$ *<proof>*

lemma *prob-component-measure*:

fixes $x::\text{bool stream}$

assumes $0 \leq p$

and $p \leq 1$

shows *emeasure* (*measure-pmf* (*bernoulli-pmf* p)) $\{\text{snth } x\ i\} = \text{prob-component } p\ x\ i$ *<proof>*

lemma *stake-preimage-measurable*:

fixes $x::\text{bool stream}$

assumes $\text{Suc } 0 \leq n$ **and** $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$

shows $\{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } n\ w = \text{stake } n\ x)\} \in \text{sets } M$
<proof>

lemma *snth-as-fct*:

fixes b

assumes $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$

shows *to-stream* $-\{w \in \text{space } M. \text{snth } w\ i = b\} = \{X::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}. X\ i = b\}$
<proof>

lemma *stake-as-fct*:

assumes $\text{Suc } 0 \leq n$ **and** $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$

shows *to-stream* $-\{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } n\ w = \text{stake } n\ x)\} = \{X::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}. \forall i. 0 \leq i \wedge i \leq n-1 \longrightarrow X\ i = \text{snth } x\ i\}$
<proof>

lemma *bernoulli-stream-npref-prob*:

fixes x

assumes $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$

shows *emeasure* $M\ \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } 0\ w = \text{stake } 0\ x)\} = 1$
<proof>

lemma *bernoulli-stream-pref-prob*:

fixes x

assumes $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$
and $0 \leq p$ **and** $p \leq 1$
shows $n \geq \text{Suc } 0 \implies \text{emeasure } M \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x)\} =$
 $(\prod_{i \in \{0..n-1\}}. \text{prob-component } p \ x \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bernoulli-stream-pref-prob'*:
fixes x
assumes $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$
and $p \leq 1$ **and** $0 \leq p$
shows $\text{emeasure } M \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x)\} = (\prod_{i \in \{0..<n\}}. \text{prob-component } p \ x \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bernoulli-stream-stake-prob*:
fixes x
assumes $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$
and $p \leq 1$ **and** $0 \leq p$
shows $\text{measure } M \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x)\} = (\prod_{i \in \{0..<n\}}. \text{prob-component } p \ x \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *bernoulli-stream-pseudo-prob*:
fixes x
assumes $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$
and $p \leq 1$ **and** $0 \leq p$
and $w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$
shows $\text{measure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) = (\prod_{i \in \{0..<n\}}. \text{prob-component } p \ w \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bernoulli-stream-element-prob-rec*:
fixes x
assumes $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$
and $0 \leq p$ **and** $p \leq 1$
shows $\bigwedge n. \text{emeasure } M \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } (\text{Suc } n) \ w = \text{stake } (\text{Suc } n) \ x)\} =$
 $(\text{emeasure } M \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x)\} * \text{prob-component } p \ x \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bernoulli-stream-element-prob-rec'*:
fixes x
assumes $M = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$
and $0 \leq p$ **and** $p \leq 1$
shows $\bigwedge n. \text{measure } M \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } (\text{Suc } n) \ w = \text{stake } (\text{Suc } n) \ x)\} =$
 $(\text{measure } M \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x)\} * \text{prob-component } p \ x \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *bernoulli-stream-pseudo-prob-rec'*:
fixes x
assumes $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ x = x$
shows $\text{measure } M \ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) - \{x\}) =$
 $(\text{measure } M \ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{x\}) * \text{prob-component } p \ x \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *bernoulli-stream-pref-prob-pos*:
fixes x
assumes $0 < p$
and $p < 1$
shows $\text{emeasure } M \ \{w \in \text{space } M. \ (\text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x)\} > 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *bernoulli-stream-pref-prob-neq-zero*:
fixes x
assumes $0 < p$
and $p < 1$
shows $\text{emeasure } M \ \{w \in \text{space } M. \ (\text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x)\} \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-element-prob-pref*:
assumes $w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$
shows $\text{emeasure } M \ \{y \in \text{space } M. \ \exists x \in (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{w\}). \ y = c \ \#\# \ x\} =$
 $\text{prob-component } p \ (c \ \#\# \ w) \ 0 * \text{emeasure } M \ ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap$
 $\text{space } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.3.4 Filtration equivalence for the natural filtration

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-null-set*:
assumes $A \in \text{sets } (\text{nat-filtration } n)$
and $0 < p$
and $p < 1$
and $\text{emeasure } M \ A = 0$
shows $A = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-AE-zero*:
fixes $f :: \text{bool } \text{stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $A \in \text{sets } (\text{nat-filtration } n)$
and $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n)$
and $0 < p$
and $p < 1$
shows $\forall w. \ f \ w = 0$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-AE-eq*:

fixes $f :: \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes $AE\ w\ \text{in}\ M.\ f\ w = g\ w$

and $0 < p$

and $p < 1$

and $f \in \text{borel-measurable}\ (\text{nat-filtration}\ n)$

and $g \in \text{borel-measurable}\ (\text{nat-filtration}\ n)$

shows $f\ w = g\ w$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *bernoulli-stream-equiv*:

assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream}\ q$

and $0 < p$

and $p < 1$

and $0 < q$

and $q < 1$

shows *filt-equiv nat-filtration* $M\ N$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *bernoulli-nat-filtration*:

assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream}\ q$

and $0 < q$

and $q < 1$

and $0 < p$

and $p < 1$

shows *infinite-cts-filtration* $q\ N$ *nat-filtration*

<proof>

5.3.5 More results on the projection function

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-Suc-prefix*:

shows *pseudo-proj-True* (*Suc* n) $w = (w!!0)\#\#\ \text{pseudo-proj-True}\ n\ (\text{stl}\ w)$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-img*:

assumes *pseudo-proj-True* $n\ w = w$

shows $w \in \text{range}\ (\text{pseudo-proj-True}\ n)$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *sconst-if*:

assumes $\bigwedge n.\ \text{snth}\ w\ n = \text{True}$

shows $w = \text{sconst}\ \text{True}$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-suc-img-pref*:

shows $\text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) = \{y. \exists w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{True} \#\# w\} \cup \{y. \exists w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{False} \#\# w\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *reindex-pseudo-proj*:
shows $(\sum_{w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)}. f (c \#\# w)) = (\sum_{y \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = c \#\# w\}}. f y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-True-imp-False*:
assumes $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n x$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-Suc-prefix*:
assumes $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n x$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) w \in \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n x, \text{pseudo-proj-False } n x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-proj-Suc-preimage*:
shows $\text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) \cap (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{ \text{pseudo-proj-True } n x \} = \{ \text{pseudo-proj-True } n x, \text{pseudo-proj-False } n x \}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *f-borel-Suc-preimage*:
assumes $f \in \text{measurable } (F n) N$
and *set-discriminating* $n f N$
shows $\text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) \cap f - \{f x\} = (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{f x\} \cup (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n) - \{f x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *pseudo-proj-preimage*:
assumes $g \in \text{measurable } (F n) N$
and *set-discriminating* $n g N$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{g - \{g z\}\} = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{g - \{g z\}\})\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *borel-pseudo-proj-preimage*:

fixes $g::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $g \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ n)$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' (g - ' \{g\ z\}) = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' (g - ' \{g\ z\}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) pseudo-proj-False-preimage:
assumes $g \in \text{measurable } (F\ n)\ N$
and $\text{set-discriminating } n\ g\ N$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n - ' (g - ' \{g\ z\}) = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n - ' (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n - ' (g - ' \{g\ z\}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-pseudo-proj-False-preimage:
fixes $g::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $g \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ n)$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n - ' (g - ' \{g\ z\}) = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n - ' (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n - ' (g - ' \{g\ z\}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) pseudo-proj-preimage':
assumes $g \in \text{measurable } (F\ n)\ N$
and $\text{set-discriminating } n\ g\ N$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' (g - ' \{g\ z\}) = g - ' \{g\ z\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-pseudo-proj-preimage':
fixes $g::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $g \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ n)$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' (g - ' \{g\ z\}) = g - ' \{g\ z\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) pseudo-proj-False-preimage':
assumes $g \in \text{measurable } (F\ n)\ N$
and $\text{set-discriminating } n\ g\ N$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n - ' (g - ' \{g\ z\}) = g - ' \{g\ z\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-pseudo-proj-False-preimage':
fixes $g::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $g \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ n)$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n - ' (g - ' \{g\ z\}) = g - ' \{g\ z\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.3.6 Integrals and conditional expectations on the natural filtration

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *cst-integral*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ 0)$
and $f (\text{sconst True}) = c$

shows $\text{has-bochner-integral } M\ f\ c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *cst-nn-integral*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ 0)$
and $\bigwedge w. 0 \leq f\ w$
and $f (\text{sconst True}) = c$

shows $\text{integral}^N\ M\ f = \text{ennreal } c$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *suc-measurable*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ (\text{Suc } n))$
shows $(\lambda w. f\ (c\ \#\#\ w)) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *F-n-nn-integral-pos*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
shows $\bigwedge f. (\forall x. 0 \leq f\ x) \implies f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ n) \implies \text{integral}^N\ M\ f =$
 $(\sum_{w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)}. (\text{emeasure } M\ ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\}$
 $\cap \text{space } M)) * \text{ennreal } (f\ w))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *F-n-integral-pos*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ n)$
and $\forall w. 0 \leq f\ w$
shows $\text{has-bochner-integral } M\ f$
 $(\sum_{w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)}. (\text{measure } M\ ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\}$
 $\cap \text{space } M)) * (f\ w))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *F-n-integral*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ n)$
shows $\text{has-bochner-integral } M\ f$
 $(\sum_{w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)}. (\text{measure } M\ ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\}$
 $\cap \text{space } M)) * (f\ w))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *F-n-integral-prob-comp*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ n)$

shows *has-bochner-integral* $M\ f$

$(\sum w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). (\text{prod } (\text{prob-component } p\ w) \{0..<n\}) * (f\ w))$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *expect-prob-comp*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ n)$

shows *expectation* $f =$

$(\sum w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). (\text{prod } (\text{prob-component } p\ w) \{0..<n\}) * (f\ w))$

<proof>

lemma *sum-union-disjoint'*:

assumes *finite* A

and *finite* B

and $A \cap B = \{\}$

and $A \cup B = C$

shows $\text{sum } g\ C = \text{sum } g\ A + \text{sum } g\ B$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *borel-Suc-expectation*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ (\text{Suc } n))$

and $g \in \text{measurable } (F\ n)\ N$

and *set-discriminating* $n\ g\ N$

and $g - \{g\ z\} \in \text{sets } (F\ n)$

and $\forall y\ z. (g\ y = g\ z \wedge \text{snth } y\ n = \text{snth } z\ n) \longrightarrow f\ y = f\ z$

shows *expectation* $(\lambda x. f\ x * \text{indicator } (g - \{g\ z\})\ x) =$

$\text{prob } (g - \{g\ z\}) * (p * f\ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n\ z) +$

$(1 - p) * f\ (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n\ z))$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *borel-Suc-expectation-pseudo-proj*:

fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F\ (\text{Suc } n))$

shows *expectation* $(\lambda x. f\ x * \text{indicator } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n\ z\})\ x) =$

$\text{prob } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n\ z\}) *$

$(p * (f\ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n\ z)) + (1 - p) * (f\ (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n\ z)))$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *f-borel-Suc-expl-cond-expect*:
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ (Suc } n))$
and $g \in \text{measurable } (F \text{ } n) \text{ } N$
and *set-discriminating* $n \text{ } g \text{ } N$
and $g - \{g \text{ } w\} \in \text{sets } (F \text{ } n)$
and $\forall y \text{ } z. (g \text{ } y = g \text{ } z \wedge \text{snth } y \text{ } n = \text{snth } z \text{ } n) \longrightarrow f \text{ } y = f \text{ } z$
and $0 < p$
and $p < 1$
shows $\text{expl-cond-expect } M \text{ } g \text{ } f \text{ } w = p * f \text{ (pseudo-proj-True } n \text{ } w) + (1 - p) * f$
 $\text{(pseudo-proj-False } n \text{ } w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *f-borel-Suc-real-cond-exp*:
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ (Suc } n))$
and $g \in \text{measurable } (F \text{ } n) \text{ } N$
and *set-discriminating* $n \text{ } g \text{ } N$
and $\forall w. g - \{g \text{ } w\} \in \text{sets } (F \text{ } n)$
and $\forall r \in \text{range } g \cap \text{space } N. \exists A \in \text{sets } N. \text{range } g \cap A = \{r\}$
and $\forall y \text{ } z. (g \text{ } y = g \text{ } z \wedge \text{snth } y \text{ } n = \text{snth } z \text{ } n) \longrightarrow f \text{ } y = f \text{ } z$
and $0 < p$
and $p < 1$
shows *AE* w in $M. \text{real-cond-exp } M \text{ (fct-gen-subalgebra } M \text{ } N \text{ } g) \text{ } f \text{ } w = p * f$
 $\text{(pseudo-proj-True } n \text{ } w) + (1 - p) * f \text{ (pseudo-proj-False } n \text{ } w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *f-borel-Suc-real-cond-exp-proj*:
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ (Suc } n))$
and $0 < p$
and $p < 1$
shows *AE* w in $M. \text{real-cond-exp } M \text{ (fct-gen-subalgebra } M \text{ } M \text{ (pseudo-proj-True } n)) \text{ } f \text{ } w =$
 $p * f \text{ (pseudo-proj-True } n \text{ } w) + (1 - p) * f \text{ (pseudo-proj-False } n \text{ } w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4 Images of stochastic processes by prefixes of streams

We define a function that, given a stream of coin tosses and a stochastic process, returns a stream of the values of the stochastic process up to a given time. This function will be used to characterize the smallest filtration that, at any time n , makes each random variable of a given stochastic process measurable up to time n .

5.4.1 Definitions

primrec *smap-stoch-proc* **where**
 $\text{smap-stoch-proc } 0 \text{ } f \text{ } k \text{ } w = []$

| $\text{smap-stoch-proc } (\text{Suc } n) f k w = (f k w) \# (\text{smap-stoch-proc } n f (\text{Suc } k) w)$

lemma *smap-stoch-proc-length*:

shows $\text{length } (\text{smap-stoch-proc } n f k w) = n$
<proof>

lemma *smap-stoch-proc-nth*:

shows $\text{Suc } p \leq \text{Suc } n \implies \text{nth } (\text{smap-stoch-proc } (\text{Suc } n) f k w) p = f (k+p) w$
<proof>

definition *proj-stoch-proc where*

$\text{proj-stoch-proc } f n = (\lambda w. \text{shift } (\text{smap-stoch-proc } n f 0 w) (\text{sconst } (f n w)))$

lemma *proj-stoch-proc-component*:

shows $k < n \implies (\text{snth } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } f n w) k) = f k w$
and $n \leq k \implies (\text{snth } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } f n w) k) = f n w$
<proof>

lemma *proj-stoch-proc-component'*:

assumes $k \leq n$
shows $f k x = \text{snth } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x) k$
<proof>

lemma *proj-stoch-proc-eq-snth*:

assumes $\text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x = \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n y$
and $k \leq n$
shows $f k x = f k y$
<proof>

lemma *proj-stoch-measurable-if-adapted*:

assumes *filtration* $M F$
and *adapt-stoch-proc* $F f N$
shows $\text{proj-stoch-proc } f n \in \text{measurable } M (\text{stream-space } N)$
<proof>

lemma *proj-stoch-adapted-if-adapted*:

assumes *filtration* $M F$
and *adapt-stoch-proc* $F f N$
shows $\text{proj-stoch-proc } f n \in \text{measurable } (F n) (\text{stream-space } N)$
<proof>

lemma *proj-stoch-adapted-if-adapted'*:

assumes *filtration* $M F$
and *adapt-stoch-proc* $F f N$
shows *adapt-stoch-proc* $F (\text{proj-stoch-proc } f) (\text{stream-space } N)$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *proj-stoch-proj-invariant*:
fixes $X::nat \Rightarrow bool \text{ stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* $F X$
shows $\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n w = \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *proj-stoch-set-finite-range*:
fixes $X::nat \Rightarrow bool \text{ stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* $F X$
shows *finite* ($\text{range } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n)$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *proj-stoch-set-discriminating*:
fixes $X::nat \Rightarrow bool \text{ stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* $F X$
shows *set-discriminating* n ($\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n$) N
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *proj-stoch-preimage*:
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* $F X$
shows $(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n) -' \{ \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n w \} = (\bigcap_{i \in \{m. m \leq n\}} (X i) -' \{ X i w \})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *proj-stoch-singleton-set*:
fixes $X::nat \Rightarrow bool \text{ stream} \Rightarrow ('b::t2\text{-space})$
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* $F X$
shows $(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n) -' \{ \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n w \} \in \text{sets } (F n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *finite-range-stream-space*:
fixes $f::'a \Rightarrow 'b::t1\text{-space}$
assumes *finite* ($\text{range } f$)
shows $(\lambda w. \text{snth } w i) -' (\text{open-exclude-set } (f x) (\text{range } f)) \in \text{sets } (\text{stream-space borel})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *proj-stoch-range-singleton*:
fixes $X::nat \Rightarrow bool \text{ stream} \Rightarrow ('b::t2\text{-space})$
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* $F X$
and $r \in \text{range } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n)$
shows $\exists A \in \text{sets } (\text{stream-space borel}). \text{range } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n) \cap A = \{r\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *stream-space-single* **where**

stream-space-single $X r = (\text{if } (\exists U. U \in \text{sets } (\text{stream-space borel}) \wedge U \cap (\text{range } X) = \{r\})$

then SOME $U. U \in \text{sets } (\text{stream-space borel}) \wedge U \cap (\text{range } X) = \{r\} \text{ else } \{\}$)

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *stream-space-singleI*:

assumes $\exists U. U \in \text{sets } (\text{stream-space borel}) \wedge U \cap (\text{range } X) = \{r\}$

shows *stream-space-single* $X r \in \text{sets } (\text{stream-space borel}) \wedge \text{stream-space-single } X r \cap (\text{range } X) = \{r\}$

<proof>

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*)

fixes $X::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow ('b::t2\text{-space})$

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* $F X$

and $r \in \text{range } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n)$

shows *stream-space-single-set*: *stream-space-single* $(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n) r \in \text{sets } (\text{stream-space borel})$

and *stream-space-single-preimage*: *stream-space-single* $(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n) r \cap \text{range } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n) = \{r\}$

<proof>

5.4.2 Induced filtration, relationship with filtration generated by underlying stochastic process

definition *comp-proj-i where*

comp-proj-i $X n i y = \{z \in \text{range } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n). \text{snth } z i = y\}$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *comp-proj-i-finite*:

fixes $X::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* $F X$

shows *finite* $(\text{comp-proj-i } X n i y)$

<proof>

lemma *stoch-proc-comp-proj-i-preimage*:

assumes $i \leq n$

shows $(X i) - \{X i x\} = (\bigcup z \in \text{comp-proj-i } X n i (X i x). (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n) - \{z\})$

<proof>

definition *comp-proj where*

comp-proj $X n y = \{z \in \text{range } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n). \text{snth } z n = y\}$

lemma (in *infinite-cts-filtration*) *comp-proj-finite*:

fixes $X::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* $F X$

shows *finite* $(\text{comp-proj } X n y)$

<proof>

lemma *stoch-proc-comp-proj-preimage:*

shows $(X\ n) - \{X\ n\ x\} = (\bigcup_{z \in \text{comp-proj } X\ n} (X\ n\ x). (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X\ n) - \{z\})$
<proof>

lemma *comp-proj-stoch-proc-preimage:*

shows $(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X\ n) - \{\text{proj-stoch-proc } X\ n\ x\} = (\bigcap_{i \in \{m. m \leq n\}} (X\ i) - \{X\ i\ x\})$
<proof>

definition *stoch-proc-filt where*

stoch-proc-filt $M\ X\ N\ (n::\text{nat}) = \text{gen-subalgebra } M\ (\text{sigma-sets } (\text{space } M) (\bigcup_{i \in \{m. m \leq n\}} \{(X\ i - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M) \mid A. A \in \text{sets } N\}))$

lemma *stoch-proc-filt-space:*

shows $\text{space } (\text{stoch-proc-filt } M\ X\ N\ n) = \text{space } M$ *<proof>*

lemma *stoch-proc-filt-sets:*

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies (X\ i) \in \text{measurable } M\ N$

shows $\text{sets } (\text{stoch-proc-filt } M\ X\ N\ n) = (\text{sigma-sets } (\text{space } M) (\bigcup_{i \in \{m. m \leq n\}} \{(X\ i - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M) \mid A. A \in \text{sets } N\}))$
<proof>

lemma *stoch-proc-filt-adapt:*

assumes $\bigwedge n. X\ n \in \text{measurable } M\ N$

shows $\text{adapt-stoch-proc } (\text{stoch-proc-filt } M\ X\ N) X\ N$ *<proof>*

lemma *stoch-proc-filt-disc-filtr:*

assumes $\bigwedge i. (X\ i) \in \text{measurable } M\ N$

shows $\text{disc-filtr } M\ (\text{stoch-proc-filt } M\ X\ N)$ *<proof>*

lemma *gen-subalgebra-eq-space-sets:*

assumes $\text{space } M = \text{space } N$

and $P = Q$

and $P \subseteq \text{Pow } (\text{space } M)$

shows $sets (gen-subalgebra M P) = sets (gen-subalgebra N Q)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stoch-proc-filt-eq-sets:*

assumes $space M = space N$

shows $sets (stoch-proc-filt M X P n) = sets (stoch-proc-filt N X P n)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *infinite-cts-filtration*) *stoch-proc-filt-triv-init:*

fixes $X::nat \Rightarrow bool stream \Rightarrow real$

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc nat-filtration X*

shows *init-triv-filt M (stoch-proc-filt M X borel)* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *infinite-cts-filtration*) *stream-space-borel-union:*

fixes $X::nat \Rightarrow bool stream \Rightarrow ('b::t2-space)$

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X*

and $i \leq n$

and $A \in sets borel$

shows $\forall y \in A \cap range (X i). X i - \{y\} = (proj-stoch-proc X n) - \{ \bigcup z \in comp-proj-i X n i y.$

$(stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z) \}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *infinite-cts-filtration*) *proj-stoch-pre-borel:*

fixes $X::nat \Rightarrow bool stream \Rightarrow ('b::t2-space)$

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X*

shows $proj-stoch-proc X n - \{proj-stoch-proc X n x\} \in sets (stoch-proc-filt M X borel n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *infinite-cts-filtration*) *stoch-proc-filt-gen:*

fixes $X::nat \Rightarrow bool stream \Rightarrow ('b::t2-space)$

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X*

shows $stoch-proc-filt M X borel n = fct-gen-subalgebra M (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc X n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt:*

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc nat-filtration X*

shows *subalgebra (nat-filtration n) (stoch-proc-filt M X borel n)* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *infinite-coin-toss-space*)

assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 \leq q$
and $q \leq 1$
and $0 < p$
and $p < 1$
and $\text{filt-equiv nat-filtration } M N$
shows $\text{filt-equiv-sgt: } 0 < q$ **and** $\text{filt-equiv-slt: } q < 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{stoch-proc-filt-filt-equiv}$:
assumes $\text{filt-equiv } F M N$
shows $\text{stoch-proc-filt } M f P n = \text{stoch-proc-filt } N f P n$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma filt-equiv-filt :
assumes $\text{filt-equiv } F M N$
and $\text{filtration } M G$
shows $\text{filtration } N G$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{filt-equiv-borel-AE-eq-iff}$:
fixes $f::'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $\text{filt-equiv } F M N$
and $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F t)$
and $g \in \text{borel-measurable } (F t)$
and $\text{prob-space } N$
and $\text{prob-space } M$
shows $(\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. f w = g w) \longleftrightarrow (\text{AE } w \text{ in } N. f w = g w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $\text{infinite-coin-toss-space}$) $\text{filt-equiv-triv-init}$:
assumes $\text{filt-equiv } F M N$
and $\text{init-triv-filt } M G$
shows $\text{init-triv-filt } N G$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $\text{infinite-coin-toss-space}$) $\text{fct-gen-subalgebra-meas-info}$:
assumes $\forall w. f (g w) = f w$
and $f \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$
and $g \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } M$
shows $g \in \text{measurable } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f) (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end
theory $\text{Geometric-Random-Walk}$ **imports** $\text{Infinite-Coin-Toss-Space}$

begin

6 Geometric random walk

A geometric random walk is a stochastic process that can, at each time, move upwards or downwards, depending on the outcome of a coin toss.

fun (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *geom-rand-walk*:: *real* \Rightarrow *real* \Rightarrow *real* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow *bool stream* \Rightarrow *real*) **where**

base: (*geom-rand-walk* *u d v*) 0 = ($\lambda w. v$)
step: (*geom-rand-walk* *u d v*) (*Suc n*) = ($\lambda w. ((\lambda True \Rightarrow u \mid False \Rightarrow d) (snth w n)) * (geom-rand-walk u d v) n w$)

locale *prob-grw* = *infinite-coin-toss-space* +
fixes *geom-proc*::*nat* \Rightarrow *bool stream* \Rightarrow *real* **and** *u*::*real* **and** *d*::*real* **and** *init*::*real*
assumes *geometric-process*:*geom-proc* = *geom-rand-walk* *u d init*

lemma (in *prob-grw*) *geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable*:
shows (*geom-proc* *n*) \in *borel-measurable* *M*
<proof>

lemma (in *prob-grw*) *geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True*:
shows *geom-proc* *n* = *geom-proc* *n* \circ *pseudo-proj-True* *n*
<proof>

lemma (in *prob-grw*) *geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-False*:
shows *geom-proc* *n* = *geom-proc* *n* \circ *pseudo-proj-False* *n*
<proof>

lemma (in *prob-grw*) *geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted*:
shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* *nat-filtration* *geom-proc*
<proof>

lemma (in *prob-grw*) *grw-succ-img*:
assumes (*geom-proc* *n*) - ' {*x*} \neq {}
shows (*geom-proc* (*Suc n*)) - ' ((*geom-proc* *n*) - ' {*x*}) = {*u*x*, *d*x*}
<proof>

lemma (in *prob-grw*) *geom-rand-walk-strictly-positive*:
assumes 0 < *init*
and 0 < *d*
and *d* < *u*
shows $\forall n w. 0 < \text{geom-proc } n w$
<proof>

lemma (in *prob-grw*) *geom-rand-walk-diff-induct*:
shows $\bigwedge w. (\text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{spick } w \ n \ \text{True}) - \text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{spick } w \ n \ \text{False})) = (\text{geom-proc } n \ w * (u - d))$
<proof>

end

7 Fair Prices

This section contains the formalization of financial notions, such as markets, price processes, portfolios, arbitrages, fair prices, etc. It also defines risk-neutral probability spaces, and proves the main result about the fair price of a derivative in a risk-neutral probability space, namely that this fair price is equal to the expectation of the discounted value of the derivative's payoff.

theory *Fair-Price* **imports** *Filtration Martingale Geometric-Random-Walk*
begin

7.1 Preliminary results

7.1.1 On the almost everywhere filter

lemma *AE-eq-trans*[*trans*]:
assumes *AE* x in M . $A \ x = B \ x$
and *AE* x in M . $B \ x = C \ x$
shows *AE* x in M . $A \ x = C \ x$
<proof>

abbreviation *AEeq* **where** *AEeq* $M \ X \ Y \equiv \text{AE } w \ \text{in } M. \ X \ w = Y \ w$

lemma *AE-add*:
assumes *AE* w in M . $f \ w = g \ w$
and *AE* w in M . $f' \ w = g' \ w$
shows *AE* w in M . $f \ w + f' \ w = g \ w + g' \ w$ *<proof>*

lemma *AE-sum*:
assumes *finite* I
and $\forall i \in I. \text{AE } w \ \text{in } M. \ f \ i \ w = g \ i \ w$
shows *AE* w in M . $(\sum i \in I. \ f \ i \ w) = (\sum i \in I. \ g \ i \ w)$ *<proof>*

lemma *AE-eq-cst*:
assumes *AE* w in M . $(\lambda w. \ c) \ w = (\lambda w. \ d) \ w$

and *emeasure* M (*space* M) $\neq 0$
shows $c = d$
<proof>

7.1.2 On conditional expectations

lemma (*in prob-space*) *subalgebra-sigma-finite*:
assumes *subalgebra* $M N$
shows *sigma-finite-subalgebra* $M N$ *<proof>*

lemma (*in prob-space*) *trivial-subalg-cond-expect-AE*:
assumes *subalgebra* $M N$
and *sets* $N = \{\{\}, \text{space } M\}$
and *integrable* $M f$
shows *AE* x *in* M . *real-cond-exp* $M N f x = (\lambda x. \text{expectation } f) x$
<proof>

lemma (*in prob-space*) *triv-subalg-borel-eq*:
assumes *subalgebra* $M F$
and *sets* $F = \{\{\}, \text{space } M\}$
and *AE* x *in* M . $f x = (c::'b::\{t2\text{-space}\})$
and $f \in \text{borel-measurable } F$
shows $\forall x \in \text{space } M. f x = c$
<proof>

lemma (*in prob-space*) *trivial-subalg-cond-expect-eq*:
assumes *subalgebra* $M N$
and *sets* $N = \{\{\}, \text{space } M\}$
and *integrable* $M f$
shows $\forall x \in \text{space } M. \text{real-cond-exp } M N f x = \text{expectation } f$
<proof>

lemma (*in sigma-finite-subalgebra*) *real-cond-exp-cong'*:
assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. f w = g w$
and $f \in \text{borel-measurable } M$
shows *AE* w *in* M . *real-cond-exp* $M F f w = \text{real-cond-exp } M F g w$
<proof>

lemma (*in sigma-finite-subalgebra*) *real-cond-exp-bsum* :
fixes $f::'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes [*measurable*]: $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{integrable } M (f i)$
shows *AE* x *in* M . *real-cond-exp* $M F (\lambda x. \sum i \in I. f i x) x = (\sum i \in I. \text{real-cond-exp } M F (f i) x)$

<proof>

7.2 Financial formalizations

7.2.1 Markets

definition *stk-strict-subs*::'c set \Rightarrow bool **where**
stk-strict-subs $S \longleftrightarrow S \neq UNIV$

typedef ('a,'c) *discrete-market* = {(s::('c set), a::'c \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real)). *stk-strict-subs* s} *<proof>*

definition *prices* **where**
prices $Mkt = (snd (Rep-discrete-market Mkt))$

definition *assets* **where**

assets $Mkt = UNIV$

definition *stocks* **where**
stocks $Mkt = (fst (Rep-discrete-market Mkt))$

definition *discrete-market-of*
where
discrete-market-of $S A =$
Abs-discrete-market (if (*stk-strict-subs* S) then S else {}, A)

lemma *prices-of*:
shows *prices* (*discrete-market-of* $S A$) = A
<proof>

lemma *stocks-of*:
assumes $UNIV \neq S$
shows *stocks* (*discrete-market-of* $S A$) = S
<proof>

lemma *mkt-stocks-assets*:
shows *stk-strict-subs* (*stocks* Mkt) *<proof>*

7.2.2 Quantity processes and portfolios

These are functions that assign quantities to assets; each quantity is a stochastic process. Basic operations are defined on these processes.

Basic operations **definition** *qty-empty* **where**
qty-empty = ($\lambda (x::'a) (n::nat) w. 0::real$)

definition *qty-single* **where**

$qty\text{-}single\ asset\ qt\text{-}proc = (qty\text{-}empty(asset := qt\text{-}proc))$

definition $qty\text{-}sum::('b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real)$ **where**
 $qty\text{-}sum\ pf1\ pf2 = (\lambda x\ n\ w.\ pf1\ x\ n\ w + pf2\ x\ n\ w)$

definition $qty\text{-}mult\text{-}comp::('b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real)$ **where**
 $qty\text{-}mult\text{-}comp\ pf1\ qty = (\lambda x\ n\ w.\ (pf1\ x\ n\ w) * (qty\ n\ w))$

definition $qty\text{-}rem\text{-}comp::('b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real)$ **where**
 $qty\text{-}rem\text{-}comp\ pf1\ x = pf1(x := (\lambda n\ w.\ 0))$

definition $qty\text{-}replace\text{-}comp$ **where**

$qty\text{-}replace\text{-}comp\ pf1\ x\ pf2 = qty\text{-}sum\ (qty\text{-}rem\text{-}comp\ pf1\ x)\ (qty\text{-}mult\text{-}comp\ pf2\ (pf1\ x))$

Support sets If $p\ x\ n\ w$ is different from 0, this means that this quantity is held on interval $]n-1, n]$.

definition $support\text{-}set::('b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow 'b\ set$ **where**
 $support\text{-}set\ p = \{x.\ \exists\ n\ w.\ p\ x\ n\ w \neq 0\}$

lemma $qty\text{-}empty\text{-}support\text{-}set:$

shows $support\text{-}set\ qty\text{-}empty = \{\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sum\text{-}support\text{-}set:$

shows $support\text{-}set\ (qty\text{-}sum\ pf1\ pf2) \subseteq (support\text{-}set\ pf1) \cup (support\text{-}set\ pf2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mult\text{-}comp\text{-}support\text{-}set:$

shows $support\text{-}set\ (qty\text{-}mult\text{-}comp\ pf1\ qty) \subseteq (support\text{-}set\ pf1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $remove\text{-}comp\text{-}support\text{-}set:$

shows $support\text{-}set\ (qty\text{-}rem\text{-}comp\ pf1\ x) \subseteq ((support\text{-}set\ pf1) - \{x\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $replace\text{-}comp\text{-}support\text{-}set:$

shows $support\text{-}set\ (qty\text{-}replace\text{-}comp\ pf1\ x\ pf2) \subseteq (support\text{-}set\ pf1 - \{x\}) \cup support\text{-}set\ pf2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $single\text{-}comp\text{-}support:$

shows $support\text{-}set\ (qty\text{-}single\ asset\ qty) \subseteq \{asset\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $single\text{-}comp\text{-}nz\text{-}support:$

assumes $\exists\ n\ w.\ qty\ n\ w \neq 0$

shows *support-set* (*qty-single asset qty*) = {*asset*}
⟨*proof*⟩

Portfolios **definition** *portfolio where*
portfolio p \longleftrightarrow *finite* (*support-set p*)

definition *stock-portfolio* :: ('a, 'b) *discrete-market* \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow
bool **where**
stock-portfolio Mkt p \longleftrightarrow *portfolio p* \wedge *support-set p* \subseteq *stocks Mkt*

lemma *sum-portfolio*:
assumes *portfolio pf1*
and *portfolio pf2*
shows *portfolio* (*qty-sum pf1 pf2*) ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sum-basic-support-set*:
assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf1*
and *stock-portfolio Mkt pf2*
shows *stock-portfolio Mkt* (*qty-sum pf1 pf2*) ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mult-comp-portfolio*:
assumes *portfolio pf1*
shows *portfolio* (*qty-mult-comp pf1 qty*) ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mult-comp-basic-support-set*:
assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf1*
shows *stock-portfolio Mkt* (*qty-mult-comp pf1 qty*) ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *remove-comp-portfolio*:
assumes *portfolio pf1*
shows *portfolio* (*qty-rem-comp pf1 x*) ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *remove-comp-basic-support-set*:
assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf1*
shows *stock-portfolio Mkt* (*qty-mult-comp pf1 qty*) ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *replace-comp-portfolio*:
assumes *portfolio pf1*
and *portfolio pf2*
shows *portfolio* (*qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2*) ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *replace-comp-stocks*:
assumes *support-set pf1* \subseteq *stocks Mkt* \cup {*x*}
and *support-set pf2* \subseteq *stocks Mkt*

shows $\text{support-set } (\text{qty-replace-comp } pf1 \ x \ pf2) \subseteq \text{stocks } Mkt$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-comp-portfolio*:
shows $\text{portfolio } (\text{qty-single asset qty})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Value processes **definition** *val-process* **where**
 $\text{val-process } Mkt \ p = (\text{if } (\neg (\text{portfolio } p)) \text{ then } (\lambda \ n \ w. \ 0)$
 $\text{else } (\lambda \ n \ w. (\text{sum } (\lambda x. ((\text{prices } Mkt) \ x \ n \ w) * (p \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w))) (\text{support-set } p))))$

lemma *subset-val-process'*:
assumes $\text{finite } A$
and $\text{support-set } p \subseteq A$
shows $\text{val-process } Mkt \ p \ n \ w = (\text{sum } (\lambda x. ((\text{prices } Mkt) \ x \ n \ w) * (p \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w))) A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-val-process*:
assumes $\text{portfolio } pf1$
and $\text{portfolio } pf2$
shows $\forall n \ w. \text{val-process } Mkt \ (\text{qty-sum } pf1 \ pf2) \ n \ w = (\text{val-process } Mkt \ pf1) \ n \ w$
 $+ (\text{val-process } Mkt \ pf2) \ n \ w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-comp-val-process*:
assumes $\text{portfolio } pf1$
shows $\forall n \ w. \text{val-process } Mkt \ (\text{qty-mult-comp } pf1 \ qty) \ n \ w = ((\text{val-process } Mkt \ pf1) \ n \ w) * (\text{qty } (\text{Suc } n) \ w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-comp-values*:
assumes $x \neq y$
shows $\forall n \ w. \text{pf1 } x \ n \ w = (\text{qty-rem-comp } pf1 \ y) \ x \ n \ w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-comp-val-process:*

assumes *portfolio pf1*

shows $\forall n w. \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) } n w = ((\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1})$
 $n w) - (\text{prices } Mkt \text{ y } n w) * (\text{pf1 y (Suc n) } w)$
<proof>

lemma *replace-comp-val-process:*

assumes $\forall n w. \text{prices } Mkt \text{ x } n w = \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf2 } n w$

and *portfolio pf1*

and *portfolio pf2*

shows $\forall n w. \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) } n w = \text{val-process } Mkt$
 $\text{pf1 } n w$
<proof>

lemma *qty-single-val-process:*

shows $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ (qty-single asset qty) } n w =$
 $\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } n w * \text{qty (Suc n) } w$
<proof>

7.2.3 Trading strategies

locale *disc-equity-market = triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space +*
fixes *Mkt::('a,'b) discrete-market*

Discrete predictable processes

Trading strategy definition (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *trading-strategy*

where

trading-strategy p \longleftrightarrow *portfolio p* $\wedge (\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } p. \text{borel-predict-stoch-proc}$
 $F (p \text{ asset}))$

definition (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *support-adapt:: ('a, 'b) discrete-market* \Rightarrow (*'b*
 $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

support-adapt Mkt pf $\longleftrightarrow (\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F$
 $(\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset}))$

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *quantity-adapted:*

assumes $\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } p. p \text{ asset (Suc n)} \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$

$\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } p. \text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } n \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$

shows $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ p } n \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$
<proof>

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *trading-strategy-adapted:*

assumes *trading-strategy p*
and *support-adapt Mkt p*
shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (val-process Mkt p) <proof>*

lemma (**in** *disc-equity-market*) *ats-val-process-adapted*:
assumes *trading-strategy p*
and *support-adapt Mkt p*
shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (val-process Mkt p) <proof>*

lemma (**in** *disc-equity-market*) *trading-strategy-init*:
assumes *trading-strategy p*
and *support-adapt Mkt p*
shows $\exists c. \forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{val-process } Mkt\ p\ 0\ w = c$ *<proof>*

definition (**in** *disc-equity-market*) *initial-value where*
initial-value pf = constant-image (val-process Mkt pf 0)

lemma (**in** *disc-equity-market*) *initial-valueI*:
assumes *trading-strategy pf*
and *support-adapt Mkt pf*
shows $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{val-process } Mkt\ pf\ 0\ w = \text{initial-value } pf$ *<proof>*

lemma (**in** *disc-equity-market*) *inc-predict-support-trading-strat*:
assumes *trading-strategy pf1*
shows $\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf1 \cup B. \text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } F\ (pf1\ \text{asset})$
<proof>

lemma (**in** *disc-equity-market*) *inc-predict-support-trading-strat'*:
assumes *trading-strategy pf1*
and *asset* \in *support-set pf1* \cup *B*
shows *borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 asset)*
<proof>

lemma (**in** *disc-equity-market*) *inc-support-trading-strat*:
assumes *trading-strategy pf1*
shows $\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf1 \cup B. \text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F\ (pf1\ \text{asset})$ *<proof>*

lemma (**in** *disc-equity-market*) *qty-empty-trading-strat*:
shows *trading-strategy qty-empty* *<proof>*

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *sum-trading-strat*:
assumes *trading-strategy pf1*
and *trading-strategy pf2*
shows *trading-strategy (qty-sum pf1 pf2)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *mult-comp-trading-strat*:
assumes *trading-strategy pf1*
and *borel-predict-stoch-proc F qty*
shows *trading-strategy (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *remove-comp-trading-strat*:
assumes *trading-strategy pf1*
shows *trading-strategy (qty-rem-comp pf1 x)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *replace-comp-trading-strat*:
assumes *trading-strategy pf1*
and *trading-strategy pf2*
shows *trading-strategy (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2)* ⟨*proof*⟩

7.2.4 Self-financing portfolios

Closing value process **fun** *up-cl-proc* **where**
up-cl-proc Mkt p 0 = val-process Mkt p 0 |
*up-cl-proc Mkt p (Suc n) = (λw. ∑_{x∈support-set p.} prices Mkt x (Suc n) w * p*
x (Suc n) w)

definition *cls-val-process* **where**
cls-val-process Mkt p = (if (¬ (portfolio p)) then (λ n w. 0)
else (λ n w . up-cl-proc Mkt p n w))

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *quantity-updated-borel*:
assumes $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } p. p \text{ asset } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \ n)$
and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } p. \text{prices Mkt asset } n \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \ n)$
shows $\forall n. \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \ n \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *cls-val-process-adapted*:
assumes *trading-strategy p*
and *support-adapt Mkt p*
shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (cls-val-process Mkt p)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *subset-cls-val-process*:

assumes *finite A*

and *support-set p ⊆ A*

shows $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \text{ (Suc } n) w = (\text{sum } (\lambda x. ((\text{prices Mkt}) x \text{ (Suc } n) w) * (p x \text{ (Suc } n) w)) A)$
<proof>

lemma *subset-cls-val-process'*:

assumes *finite A*

and *support-set p ⊆ A*

shows $\text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \text{ (Suc } n) w = (\text{sum } (\lambda x. ((\text{prices Mkt}) x \text{ (Suc } n) w) * (p x \text{ (Suc } n) w)) A)$
<proof>

lemma *sum-cls-val-process-Suc*:

assumes *portfolio pf1*

and *portfolio pf2*

shows $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) (Suc } n) w = (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf1) (Suc } n) w + (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf2) (Suc } n) w$
<proof>

lemma *sum-cls-val-process0*:

assumes *portfolio pf1*

and *portfolio pf2*

shows $\forall w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) 0 } w = (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf1) 0 } w + (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf2) 0 } w$ *<proof>*

lemma *sum-cls-val-process*:

assumes *portfolio pf1*

and *portfolio pf2*

shows $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) } n w = (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf1) } n w + (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf2) } n w$
<proof>

lemma *mult-comp-cls-val-process0*:

assumes *portfolio pf1*

shows $\forall w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) 0 } w = ((\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf1) 0 } w) * (\text{qty (Suc 0) } w)$ *<proof>*

lemma *mult-comp-cls-val-process-Suc*:

assumes *portfolio pf1*

shows $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) (Suc } n) w = ((\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf1) (Suc } n) w) * (\text{qty (Suc } n) w)$
<proof>

lemma *remove-comp-cls-val-process0*:

assumes *portfolio pf1*

shows $\forall w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) } 0 \ w =$

$((\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1) } 0 \ w) - (\text{prices } Mkt \text{ y } 0 \ w) * (\text{pf1 y (Suc 0) w}) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-comp-cls-val-process-Suc*:

assumes *portfolio pf1*

shows $\forall n \ w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) (Suc n) } w =$

$((\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1) (Suc n) } w) - (\text{prices } Mkt \text{ y (Suc n) } w) * (\text{pf1 y (Suc n) } w) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replace-comp-cls-val-process0*:

assumes $\forall w. \text{prices } Mkt \ x \ 0 \ w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ \text{pf2 } 0 \ w$

and *portfolio pf1*

and *portfolio pf2*

shows $\forall w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) } 0 \ w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ \text{pf1 } 0 \ w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replace-comp-cls-val-process-Suc*:

assumes $\forall n \ w. \text{prices } Mkt \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ \text{pf2 } (\text{Suc } n) \ w$

and *portfolio pf1*

and *portfolio pf2*

shows $\forall n \ w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) (Suc n) } w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ \text{pf1 } (\text{Suc } n) \ w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replace-comp-cls-val-process*:

assumes $\forall n \ w. \text{prices } Mkt \ x \ n \ w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ \text{pf2 } n \ w$

and *portfolio pf1*

and *portfolio pf2*

shows $\forall n \ w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) } n \ w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ \text{pf1 } n \ w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *qty-single-updated*:

shows $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ (qty-single asset qty) (Suc n) } w =$

$\text{prices } Mkt \ \text{asset } (\text{Suc } n) \ w * \text{qty } (\text{Suc } n) \ w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Self-financing definition *self-financing* where

self-financing $Mkt\ p \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \text{val-process } Mkt\ p\ (Suc\ n) = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt\ p\ (Suc\ n))$

lemma *self-financingE*:

assumes *self-financing* $Mkt\ p$

shows $\forall n. \text{val-process } Mkt\ p\ n = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt\ p\ n$

<proof>

lemma *static-portfolio-self-financing*:

assumes $\forall x \in \text{support-set } p. (\forall w\ i. p\ x\ i\ w = p\ x\ (Suc\ i)\ w)$

shows *self-financing* $Mkt\ p$

<proof>

lemma *sum-self-financing*:

assumes *portfolio* $pf1$

and *portfolio* $pf2$

and *self-financing* $Mkt\ pf1$

and *self-financing* $Mkt\ pf2$

shows *self-financing* $Mkt\ (\text{qty-sum } pf1\ pf2)$

<proof>

lemma *mult-time-constant-self-financing*:

assumes *portfolio* $pf1$

and *self-financing* $Mkt\ pf1$

and $\forall n\ w. \text{qty } n\ w = \text{qty } (Suc\ n)\ w$

shows *self-financing* $Mkt\ (\text{qty-mult-comp } pf1\ \text{qty})$

<proof>

lemma *replace-comp-self-financing*:

assumes $\forall n\ w. \text{prices } Mkt\ x\ n\ w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt\ pf2\ n\ w$

and *portfolio* $pf1$

and *portfolio* $pf2$

and *self-financing* $Mkt\ pf1$

and *self-financing* $Mkt\ pf2$

shows *self-financing* $Mkt\ (\text{qty-replace-comp } pf1\ x\ pf2)$

<proof>

Make a portfolio self-financing **fun** *remaining-qty* **where**

init: *remaining-qty* $Mkt\ v\ pf\ \text{asset } 0 = (\lambda w. 0) \mid$

first: *remaining-qty* $Mkt\ v\ pf\ \text{asset } (Suc\ 0) = (\lambda w. (v - \text{val-process } Mkt\ pf\ 0$

$w)/(prices\ Mkt\ asset\ 0\ w)) \mid$
step: remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc (Suc n)) = $(\lambda w. (remaining-qty\ Mkt\ v\ pf\ asset\ (Suc\ n)\ w) +$
 $(cls-val-process\ Mkt\ pf\ (Suc\ n)\ w - val-process\ Mkt\ pf\ (Suc\ n)\ w)/(prices\ Mkt\ asset\ (Suc\ n)\ w))$

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *remaining-qty-predict'*:
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)*
and *trading-strategy pf*
and *support-adapt Mkt pf*
shows *remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) \in borel-measurable (F n)*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *remaining-qty-predict*:
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)*
and *trading-strategy pf*
and *support-adapt Mkt pf*
shows *borel-predict-stoch-proc F (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset) \langle proof \rangle*

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *remaining-qty-adapt*:
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)*
and *trading-strategy pf*
and *support-adapt Mkt pf*
shows *remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset n \in borel-measurable (F n)*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *remaining-qty-adapted*:
assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)*
and *trading-strategy pf*
and *support-adapt Mkt pf*
shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset) \langle proof \rangle*

definition *self-finance where*
self-finance Mkt v pf (asset::'a) = qty-sum pf (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset))

lemma *self-finance-portfolio*:
assumes *portfolio pf*
shows *portfolio (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) \langle proof \rangle*

lemma *self-finance-init*:
assumes $\forall w. prices\ Mkt\ asset\ 0\ w \neq 0$
and *portfolio pf*
shows *val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) 0 w = v*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *self-finance-succ*:

assumes *prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w \neq 0*

and *portfolio pf*

shows *val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w = prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) w + cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *self-finance-updated*:

assumes *prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w \neq 0*

and *portfolio pf*

shows *cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w + prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *self-finance-charact*:

assumes $\forall n w. \text{prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w} \neq 0$

and *portfolio pf*

shows *self-financing Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

7.2.5 Replicating portfolios

definition (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *price-structure::('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow bool* **where**

price-structure pyf T π pr \longleftrightarrow (($\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{pr } 0 \text{ } w = \pi$) \wedge (AE w in M. pr T w = pyf w) \wedge (pr T \in borel-measurable (F T)))

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *price-structure-init*:

assumes *price-structure pyf T π pr*

shows $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{pr } 0 \text{ } w = \pi$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *price-structure-borel-measurable*:

assumes *price-structure pyf T π pr*

shows *pr T \in borel-measurable (F T)* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *price-structure-maturity*:

assumes *price-structure pyf T π pr*

shows *AE w in M. pr T w = pyf w* $\langle proof \rangle$

definition (in *disc-equity-market*) *replicating-portfolio* **where**

replicating-portfolio pf der matur \longleftrightarrow (stock-portfolio Mkt pf) \wedge (trading-strategy pf) \wedge (self-financing Mkt pf) \wedge

(AE w in M. cls-val-process Mkt pf matur w = der w)

definition (in *disc-equity-market*) *is-attainable* **where**
is-attainable der matur $\longleftrightarrow (\exists \text{ pf. replicating-portfolio pf der matur})$

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *replicating-price-process*:
assumes *replicating-portfolio pf der matur*
and *support-adapt Mkt pf*
shows *price-structure der matur (initial-value pf) (cls-val-process Mkt pf)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.2.6 Arbitrages

definition (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *arbitrage-process*
where
arbitrage-process Mkt p $\longleftrightarrow (\exists m. (\text{self-financing Mkt p}) \wedge (\text{trading-strategy p})$
 \wedge
 $(\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{val-process Mkt p } 0 \ w = 0) \wedge$
 $(AE \ w \ \text{in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process Mkt p } m \ w) \wedge$
 $0 < \mathcal{P}(w \ \text{in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt p } m \ w > 0))$

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *arbitrage-processE*:
assumes *arbitrage-process Mkt p*
shows $(\exists m. (\text{self-financing Mkt p}) \wedge (\text{trading-strategy p}) \wedge$
 $(\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt p } 0 \ w = 0) \wedge$
 $(AE \ w \ \text{in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process Mkt p } m \ w) \wedge$
 $0 < \mathcal{P}(w \ \text{in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt p } m \ w > 0))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *arbitrage-processI*:
assumes $(\exists m. (\text{self-financing Mkt p}) \wedge (\text{trading-strategy p}) \wedge$
 $(\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt p } 0 \ w = 0) \wedge$
 $(AE \ w \ \text{in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process Mkt p } m \ w) \wedge$
 $0 < \mathcal{P}(w \ \text{in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt p } m \ w > 0))$
shows *arbitrage-process Mkt p* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *viable-market*
where
viable-market Mkt $\longleftrightarrow (\forall p. \text{stock-portfolio Mkt p} \longrightarrow \neg \text{arbitrage-process Mkt p})$

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *arbitrage-val-process*:
assumes *arbitrage-process Mkt pf1*
and *self-financing Mkt pf2*
and *trading-strategy pf2*
and $\forall n \ w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf1 } n \ w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf2 } n \ w$
shows *arbitrage-process Mkt pf2*

<proof>

definition *coincides-on where*

coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A \leftrightarrow (*stocks Mkt* = *stocks Mkt2* \wedge ($\forall x. x \in A \rightarrow$ *prices Mkt* x = *prices Mkt2* x))

lemma *coincides-val-process:*

assumes *coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A*

and *support-set pf* $\subseteq A$

shows $\forall n w. \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \ w = \text{val-process } Mkt2 \text{ pf } n \ w$

<proof>

lemma *coincides-cls-val-process':*

assumes *coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A*

and *support-set pf* $\subseteq A$

shows $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (Suc \ n) \ w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ pf } (Suc \ n) \ w$

w

<proof>

lemma *coincides-cls-val-process:*

assumes *coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A*

and *support-set pf* $\subseteq A$

shows $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \ w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ pf } n \ w$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *coincides-on-self-financing:*

assumes *coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A*

and *support-set p* $\subseteq A$

and *self-financing Mkt p*

shows *self-financing Mkt2 p*

<proof>

lemma (**in** *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *coincides-on-arbitrage:*

assumes *coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A*

and *support-set p* $\subseteq A$

and *arbitrage-process Mkt p*

shows *arbitrage-process Mkt2 p*

<proof>

lemma (**in** *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *coincides-on-stocks-viable:*

assumes *coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)*

and *viable-market Mkt*

shows *viable-market Mkt2* *<proof>*

lemma *coincides-stocks-val-process:*

assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf*

and *coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)*

shows $\forall n w. \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n w = \text{val-process } Mkt2 \text{ pf } n w$ *<proof>*

lemma *coincides-stocks-cls-val-process:*

assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf*

and *coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)*

shows $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ pf } n w$ *<proof>*

lemma (**in** *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *coincides-on-adapted-val-process:*

assumes *coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A*

and *support-set p \subseteq A*

and *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (val-process Mkt p)*

shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (val-process Mkt2 p) <proof>*

lemma (**in** *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *coincides-on-adapted-cls-val-process:*

assumes *coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A*

and *support-set p \subseteq A*

and *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (cls-val-process Mkt p)*

shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (cls-val-process Mkt2 p) <proof>*

7.2.7 Fair prices

definition (**in** *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *fair-price where*

fair-price Mkt π pyf matur \longleftrightarrow

(\exists pr. price-structure pyf matur π pr \wedge

(\forall x Mkt2 p. (x \notin stocks Mkt \longrightarrow

((coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)) \wedge (prices Mkt2 x = pr) \wedge portfolio p

\wedge support-set p \subseteq stocks Mkt \cup {x} \longrightarrow

\neg arbitrage-process Mkt2 p))))

lemma (**in** *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *fair-priceI:*

assumes *fair-price Mkt π pyf matur*

shows *(\exists pr. price-structure pyf matur π pr \wedge*

(\forall x. (x \notin stocks Mkt \longrightarrow

(\forall Mkt2 p. (coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)) \wedge (prices Mkt2 x = pr) \wedge

portfolio p \wedge support-set p \subseteq stocks Mkt \cup {x} \longrightarrow

\neg arbitrage-process Mkt2 p)))) *<proof>*

Existence when replicating portfolio **lemma** (**in** *disc-equity-market*) *replicating-fair-price:*

assumes *viable-market Mkt*

and *replicating-portfolio pf der matur*

and *support-adapt Mkt pf*

shows *fair-price Mkt (initial-value pf) der matur*

<proof>

Uniqueness when replicating portfolio The proof of uniqueness requires the existence of a stock that always takes strictly positive values.

locale *disc-market-pos-stock* = *disc-equity-market* +
fixes *pos-stock*
assumes *in-stock*: *pos-stock* \in *stocks Mkt*
and *positive*: $\forall n w. \text{prices } Mkt \text{ pos-stock } n w > 0$
and *readable*: $\forall \text{asset} \in \text{stocks } Mkt. \text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F (\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset})$

lemma (**in** *disc-market-pos-stock*) *pos-stock-borel-adapted*:
shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc* *F* (*prices Mkt pos-stock*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *static-quantities where*
static-quantities $p \longleftrightarrow (\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } p. \exists c::\text{real}. p \text{ asset} = (\lambda n w. c))$

lemma (**in** *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *static-quantities-trading-strat*:
assumes *static-quantities* p
and *finite* (*support-set* p)
shows *trading-strategy* $p \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *two-component-support-set*:
assumes $\exists n w. a \ n \ w \neq 0$
and $\exists n w. b \ n \ w \neq 0$
and $x \neq y$
shows *support-set* $((\lambda (x::'b) (n::\text{nat}) (w::'a). 0::\text{real})(x:= a, y:= b)) = \{x, y\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *two-component-val-process*:
assumes *arb-pf* = $((\lambda (x::'b) (n::\text{nat}) (w::'a). 0::\text{real})(x:= a, y:= b))$
and *portfolio* *arb-pf*
and $x \neq y$
and $\exists n w. a \ n \ w \neq 0$
and $\exists n w. b \ n \ w \neq 0$
shows *val-process* *Mkt* *arb-pf* $n \ w =$
 $\text{prices } Mkt \ y \ n \ w * b \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w + \text{prices } Mkt \ x \ n \ w * a \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quantity-update-support-set*:
assumes $\exists n w. pr \ n \ w \neq 0$
and $x \notin \text{support-set } p$
shows *support-set* $(p(x:=pr)) = \text{support-set } p \cup \{x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fix-asset-price*:
shows $\exists x \text{ Mkt2}. x \notin \text{stocks Mkt} \wedge$
coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt) \wedge
prices Mkt2 x = pr
<proof>

lemma (*in disc-market-pos-stock*) *arbitrage-portfolio-properties*:
assumes *price-structure der matur π pr*
and *replicating-portfolio pf der matur*
and (*coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)*)
and (*prices Mkt2 x = pr*)
and *x \notin stocks Mkt*
and *diff-inv = ($\pi - \text{initial-value pf}$) / constant-image (prices Mkt pos-stock 0)*
and *diff-inv \neq 0*
and *arb-pf = ($\lambda (x::'b) (n::\text{nat}) (w::'a). 0::\text{real})(x := (\lambda n w. -1), \text{pos-stock} :=$*
($\lambda n w. \text{diff-inv}$))
and *contr-pf = qty-sum arb-pf pf*
shows *self-financing Mkt2 contr-pf*
and *trading-strategy contr-pf*
and $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf } 0 \ w = 0$
and $0 < \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow (\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur } w)$
and $\text{diff-inv} < 0 \longrightarrow (\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. 0 > \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur } w)$
and *support-set arb-pf = {x, pos-stock}*
and *portfolio contr-pf*
<proof>

lemma (*in disc-equity-market*) *mult-comp-cls-val-process-measurable'*:
assumes *cls-val-process Mkt2 pf n \in borel-measurable (F n)*
and *portfolio pf*
and *qty n \in borel-measurable (F n)*
and $0 \neq n$
shows *cls-val-process Mkt2 (qty-mult-comp pf qty) n \in borel-measurable (F n)*
<proof>

lemma (*in disc-equity-market*) *mult-comp-cls-val-process-measurable*:
assumes $\forall n. \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 pf } n \in \text{borel-measurable (F } n)$
and *portfolio pf*
and $\forall n. \text{qty (Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable (F } n)$
shows $\forall n. \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 (qty-mult-comp pf qty) } n \in \text{borel-measurable (F } n)$
<proof>

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *mult-comp-val-process-measurable*:
assumes *val-process Mkt2 pf n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)*
and *portfolio pf*
and *qty (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (F n)*
shows *val-process Mkt2 (qty-mult-comp pf qty) n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *disc-market-pos-stock*) *repl-fair-price-unique*:
assumes *replicating-portfolio pf der matur*
and *fair-price Mkt π der matur*
shows $\pi = \text{initial-value } pf$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

7.3 Risk-neutral probability space

7.3.1 risk-free rate and discount factor processes

fun *disc-rfr-proc*:: $real \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real$
where
rfr-base: $(disc-rfr-proc\ r)\ 0\ w = 1$
rfr-step: $(disc-rfr-proc\ r)\ (Suc\ n)\ w = (1+r) * (disc-rfr-proc\ r)\ n\ w$

lemma *disc-rfr-proc-borel-measurable*:
shows $(disc-rfr-proc\ r)\ n \in borel-measurable\ M$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *disc-rfr-proc-nonrandom*:
fixes $r::real$
shows $\bigwedge n. disc-rfr-proc\ r\ n \in borel-measurable\ (F\ 0)$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *disc-equity-market*) *disc-rfr-constant-time*:
shows $\exists c. \forall w \in space\ (F\ 0). (disc-rfr-proc\ r\ n)\ w = c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *disc-rfr-proc-borel-adapted*:
shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (disc-rfr-proc r)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *disc-rfr-proc-positive*:
assumes $-1 < r$
shows $\bigwedge n\ w. 0 < disc-rfr-proc\ r\ n\ w$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *prob-space*) *disc-rfr-constant-time-pos*:
assumes $-1 < r$
shows $\exists c > 0. \forall w \in \text{space } M. (\text{disc-rfr-proc } r \ n) \ w = c$
<proof>

lemma *disc-rfr-proc-Suc-div*:
assumes $-1 < r$
shows $\bigwedge w. \text{disc-rfr-proc } r \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w / \text{disc-rfr-proc } r \ n \ w = 1+r$
<proof>

definition *discount-factor where*
 $\text{discount-factor } r \ n = (\lambda w. \text{inverse } (\text{disc-rfr-proc } r \ n \ w))$

lemma *discount-factor-times-rfr*:
assumes $-1 < r$
shows $(1+r) * \text{discount-factor } r \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w = \text{discount-factor } r \ n \ w$ *<proof>*

lemma *discount-factor-borel-measurable*:
shows $\text{discount-factor } r \ n \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ *<proof>*

lemma *discount-factor-init*:
shows $\text{discount-factor } r \ 0 = (\lambda w. 1)$ *<proof>*

lemma *discount-factor-nonrandom*:
shows $\text{discount-factor } r \ n \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ *<proof>*

lemma *discount-factor-positive*:
assumes $-1 < r$
shows $\bigwedge n \ w. 0 < \text{discount-factor } r \ n \ w$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *prob-space*) *discount-factor-constant-time-pos*:
assumes $-1 < r$
shows $\exists c > 0. \forall w \in \text{space } M. (\text{discount-factor } r \ n) \ w = c$ *<proof>*

locale *rsk-free-asset* =
fixes *Mkt r risk-free-asset*
assumes *acceptable-rate*: $-1 < r$
and *rf-price*: $\text{prices } Mkt \ \text{risk-free-asset} = \text{disc-rfr-proc } r$
and *rf-stock*: $\text{risk-free-asset} \in \text{stocks } Mkt$

locale *rfr-disc-equity-market* = *disc-equity-market* + *rsk-free-asset* +
assumes *rd*: $\forall \text{asset} \in \text{stocks } Mkt. \text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F \ (\text{prices } Mkt \ \text{asset})$

sublocale *rfr-disc-equity-market* \subseteq *disc-market-pos-stock* - - - *risk-free-asset*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

7.3.2 Discounted value of a stochastic process

definition *discounted-value* **where**

discounted-value $r X = (\lambda n w. \text{discount-factor } r n w * X n w)$

lemma (in *rfr-disc-equity-market*) *discounted-rfr*:

shows *discounted-value* r (*prices Mkt risk-free-asset*) $n w = 1$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discounted-init*:

shows $\forall w. \text{discounted-value } r X 0 w = X 0 w$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discounted-mult*:

shows $\forall n w. \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m x. X m x * Y m x) n w = X n w * (\text{discounted-value } r Y) n w$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discounted-mult'*:

shows *discounted-value* $r (\lambda m x. X m x * Y m x) n w = X n w * (\text{discounted-value } r Y) n w$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discounted-mult-times-rfr*:

assumes $-1 < r$

shows *discounted-value* $r (\lambda m w. (1+r) * X w) (Suc n) w = \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. X w) n w$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discounted-cong*:

assumes $\forall n w. X n w = Y n w$

shows $\forall n w. \text{discounted-value } r X n w = \text{discounted-value } r Y n w$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discounted-cong'*:

assumes $X n w = Y n w$

shows *discounted-value* $r X n w = \text{discounted-value } r Y n w$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discounted-AE-cong*:

assumes *AE* w in $N. X n w = Y n w$

shows *AE* w in $N. \text{discounted-value } r X n w = \text{discounted-value } r Y n w$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discounted-sum*:

assumes *finite I*

shows $\forall n w. (\sum_{i \in I}. (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m x. f i m x)) n w) = (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m x. (\sum_{i \in I}. f i m x)) n w)$
<proof>

lemma *discounted-adapted*:

assumes *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X*

shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (discounted-value r X) <proof>*

lemma *discounted-measurable*:

assumes *X ∈ borel-measurable N*

shows *discounted-value r (λm. X) m ∈ borel-measurable N <proof>*

lemma (*in prob-space*) *discounted-integrable*:

assumes *integrable N (X n)*

and $-1 < r$

and *space N = space M*

shows *integrable N (discounted-value r X n) <proof>*

7.3.3 Results on risk-neutral probability spaces

definition (*in rfr-disc-equity-market*) *risk-neutral-prob* **where**

risk-neutral-prob N \longleftrightarrow (*prob-space N*) \wedge (\forall *asset* \in *stocks Mkt. martingale N* F (*discounted-value r (prices Mkt asset)*))

lemma *integrable-val-process*:

assumes \forall *asset* \in *support-set pf. integrable M (λw. prices Mkt asset n w * pf asset (Suc n) w)*

shows *integrable M (val-process Mkt pf n)*

<proof>

lemma *integrable-self-fin-uwp*:

assumes \forall *asset* \in *support-set pf. integrable M (λw. prices Mkt asset n w * pf asset (Suc n) w)*

and *self-financing Mkt pf*

shows *integrable M (cls-val-process Mkt pf n)*

<proof>

lemma (*in rfr-disc-equity-market*) *stocks-portfolio-risk-neutral*:

assumes *risk-neutral-prob N*

and *trading-strategy pf*

and *subalgebra* $N M$
and *support-set* $pf \subseteq \text{stocks } Mkt$
and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{integrable } N (\lambda w. \text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } (Suc\ n) w$
 $* \text{pf asset } (Suc\ n) w)$
shows $\forall x \in \text{support-set } pf. AE\ w\ \text{in } N.$
 $(\text{real-cond-exp } N (F\ n) (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m\ y. \text{prices } Mkt\ x\ m\ y * \text{pf } x$
 $m\ y) (Suc\ n))) w =$
 $\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m\ y. \text{prices } Mkt\ x\ m\ y * \text{pf } x (Suc\ m) y) n\ w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *rfr-disc-equity-market*) *self-fin-trad-strat-mart*:

assumes *risk-neutral-prob* N
and *filt-equiv* $F M N$
and *trading-strategy* pf
and *self-financing* $Mkt\ pf$
and *stock-portfolio* $Mkt\ pf$
and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{integrable } N (\lambda w. \text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } n\ w * \text{pf}$
 $\text{asset } (Suc\ n) w)$
and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{integrable } N (\lambda w. \text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } (Suc\ n) w$
 $* \text{pf asset } (Suc\ n) w)$
shows *martingale* $N F (\text{discounted-value } r (\text{cls-val-process } Mkt\ pf))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *finite-integrable-vp*:

assumes $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{finite } (\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } n \text{ '}(space\ M))$
and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{finite } (\text{pf asset } n \text{ '}(space\ M))$
and *prob-space* N
and *filt-equiv* $F M N$
and *trading-strategy* pf
and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } n \in \text{borel-measurable } M$
shows $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{integrable } N (\lambda w. \text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } n\ w * \text{pf}$
 $\text{asset } (Suc\ n) w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *finite-integrable-uvp*:

assumes $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{finite } (\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } n \text{ '}(space\ M))$
and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{finite } (\text{pf asset } n \text{ '}(space\ M))$
and *prob-space* N
and *filt-equiv* $F M N$
and *trading-strategy* pf
and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } n \in \text{borel-measurable } M$
shows $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{integrable } N (\lambda w. \text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } (Suc\ n) w$
 $* \text{pf asset } (Suc\ n) w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *rfr-disc-equity-market*) *self-fin-trad-strat-mart-finite*:

assumes *risk-neutral-prob* N
and *filt-equiv* $F M N$
and *trading-strategy* pf
and *self-financing* $Mkt pf$
and *support-set* $pf \subseteq stocks Mkt$
and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. finite (prices Mkt asset n \text{ '(space } M))$
and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. finite (pf asset n \text{ '(space } M))$
and $\forall asset \in stocks Mkt. borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)$
shows *martingale* $N F (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf))$
<proof>

lemma (in *rfr-disc-equity-market*) *replicating-expectation*:

assumes *risk-neutral-prob* N
and *filt-equiv* $F M N$
and *replicating-portfolio* $pf pyf matur$
and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. integrable N (\lambda w. prices Mkt asset n w * pf asset (Suc n) w)$
and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. integrable N (\lambda w. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w)$
and *viable-market* Mkt
and *sets* $(F 0) = \{\{\}, space M\}$
and $pyf \in borel-measurable (F matur)$
shows *fair-price* $Mkt (prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r (\lambda m. pyf) matur))$
 $pyf matur$
<proof>

lemma (in *rfr-disc-equity-market*) *replicating-expectation-finite*:

assumes *risk-neutral-prob* N
and *filt-equiv* $F M N$
and *replicating-portfolio* $pf pyf matur$
and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. finite (prices Mkt asset n \text{ '(space } M))$
and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. finite (pf asset n \text{ '(space } M))$
and *viable-market* Mkt
and *sets* $(F 0) = \{\{\}, space M\}$
and $pyf \in borel-measurable (F matur)$
shows *fair-price* $Mkt (prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r (\lambda m. pyf) matur))$
 $pyf matur$
<proof>

end

8 The Cox Ross Rubinstein model

This section defines the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model of a financial market, and characterizes a risk-neutral probability space for this market. This, together with the proof that every derivative is attainable, permits to obtain a formula to explicitly compute the fair price of any derivative.

theory *CRR-Model* **imports** *Fair-Price*

begin

locale *CRR-hyps* = *prob-grw* + *rsk-free-asset* +
 fixes *stk*
assumes *stocks*: *stocks Mkt* = {*stk*, *risk-free-asset*}
 and *stk-price*: *prices Mkt stk* = *geom-proc*
 and *S0-positive*: $0 < \text{init}$
 and *down-positive*: $0 < d$ **and** *down-lt-up*: $d < u$
 and *psgt*: $0 < p$
 and *pslt*: $p < 1$

locale *CRR-market* = *CRR-hyps* +
 fixes *G*
assumes *stock-filtration*: *G* = *stoch-proc-filt M geom-proc borel*

8.1 Preliminary results on the market

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *case-asset*:
 assumes *asset* \in *stocks Mkt*
 shows *asset* = *stk* \vee *asset* = *risk-free-asset*
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market*)
 assumes *N* = *bernoulli-stream q*
 and $0 < q$
 and $q < 1$
 shows *bernoulli-gen-filtration*: *filtration N G*
 and *bernoulli-sigma-finite*: $\forall n.$ *sigma-finite-subalgebra N (G n)*
<proof>

sublocale *CRR-market* \subseteq *rfr-disc-equity-market - G*
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *two-stocks*:
shows *stk* \neq *risk-free-asset*
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *stock-pf-vp-expand*:

assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf*

shows $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \ w = \text{geom-proc } n \ w * \text{pf stk } (Suc \ n) \ w +$
 $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r \ n \ w * \text{pf risk-free-asset } (Suc \ n) \ w$

<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *stock-pf-uvp-expand*:

assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf*

shows $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (Suc \ n) \ w = \text{geom-proc } (Suc \ n) \ w * \text{pf stk } (Suc \ n)$
 $w +$

$\text{disc-rfr-proc } r \ (Suc \ n) \ w * \text{pf risk-free-asset } (Suc \ n) \ w$

<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *pos-pf-neg-uwp*:

assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf*

and $d < 1+r$

and $0 < \text{pf stk } (Suc \ n) \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ False)$

and $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ False) \leq 0$

shows $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (Suc \ n) \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ False) < 0$

<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *neg-pf-neg-uwp*:

assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf*

and $1+r < u$

and $\text{pf stk } (Suc \ n) \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ True) < 0$

and $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ True) \leq 0$

shows $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (Suc \ n) \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ True) < 0$

<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *zero-pf-neg-uwp*:

assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf*

and $\text{pf stk } (Suc \ n) \ w = 0$

and $\text{pf risk-free-asset } (Suc \ n) \ w \neq 0$

and $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \ w \leq 0$

shows $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (Suc \ n) \ w < 0$

<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *neg-pf-exists*:

assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf*

and *trading-strategy pf*
and $1+r < u$
and $d < 1+r$
and *val-process Mkt pf n w ≤ 0*
and *pf stk (Suc n) w $\neq 0 \vee$ pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) w $\neq 0$*
shows $\exists y. \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) } y < 0$
<proof>

lemma (in CRR-market) non-zero-components:
assumes *val-process Mkt pf n y $\neq 0$*
and *stock-portfolio Mkt pf*
shows *pf stk (Suc n) y $\neq 0 \vee$ pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) y $\neq 0$*
<proof>

lemma (in CRR-market) neg-pf-Suc:
assumes *stock-portfolio Mkt pf*
and *trading-strategy pf*
and *self-financing Mkt pf*
and $1+r < u$
and $d < 1+r$
and *cls-val-process Mkt pf n w < 0*
shows $n \leq m \implies \exists y. \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf m } y < 0$
<proof>

lemma (in CRR-market) viable-if:
assumes $1+r < u$
and $d < 1+r$
shows *viable-market Mkt <proof>*

lemma (in CRR-market) viable-only-if-d:
assumes *viable-market Mkt*
shows $d < 1+r$
<proof>

lemma (in CRR-market) viable-only-if-u:
assumes *viable-market Mkt*
shows $1+r < u$
<proof>

lemma (in CRR-market) viable-iff:
shows *viable-market Mkt $\longleftrightarrow (d < 1+r \wedge 1+r < u)$ <proof>*

8.2 Risk-neutral probability space for the geometric random walk

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *stock-price-borel-measurable*:
shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc G (prices Mkt stk)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *risk-free-asset-martingale*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows *martingale N G (discounted-value r (prices Mkt risk-free-asset))*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *nat-filtration-from-eq-sets*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows *sets (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N n) = sets (nat-filtration n)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *geom-proc-integrable*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 \leq q$
and $q \leq 1$
shows *integrable N (geom-proc n)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *CRR-infinite-cts-filtration*:
shows *infinite-cts-filtration p M nat-filtration*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *proj-stoch-proc-geom-disc-fct*:
shows *disc-fct (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ⟨proof⟩*

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *proj-stoch-proc-geom-rng*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
shows *proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n ∈ N →_M stream-space borel*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *proj-stoch-proc-geom-open-set*:
shows $\forall r \in \text{range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)} \cap \text{space (stream-space borel)}$.
 $\exists A \in \text{sets (stream-space borel)}$. $\text{range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)} \cap A = \{r\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *bernoulli-AE-cond-exp*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and *integrable* $N X$
shows *AE* w in N . *real-cond-exp* N (*fct-gen-subalgebra* N (*stream-space borel*)
(*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n)) $X w =$
expl-cond-expect N (*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n) $X w$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *geom-proc-cond-exp*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows *AE* w in N . *real-cond-exp* N (*fct-gen-subalgebra* N (*stream-space borel*)
(*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n)) (*geom-proc* (*Suc* n)) $w =$
expl-cond-expect N (*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n) (*geom-proc* (*Suc* n)) w
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *expl-cond-eq-sets*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
shows *expl-cond-expect* N (*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n) $X \in$
borel-measurable (*fct-gen-subalgebra* N (*stream-space borel*) (*proj-stoch-proc*
geom-proc n))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *bernoulli-real-cond-exp-AE*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and *integrable* $N X$
shows *real-cond-exp* N (*fct-gen-subalgebra* N (*stream-space borel*) (*proj-stoch-proc*
geom-proc n))
 $X w = \text{expl-cond-expect } N$ (*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n) $X w$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *geom-proc-real-cond-exp-AE*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows *real-cond-exp* N (*fct-gen-subalgebra* N (*stream-space borel*) (*proj-stoch-proc*
geom-proc n))
(*geom-proc* (*Suc* n)) $w = \text{expl-cond-expect } N$ (*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n)
(*geom-proc* (*Suc* n)) w
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *geom-proc-stoch-proc-filt*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows *stoch-proc-filt* N *geom-proc borel* $n = \text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N$ (*stream-space borel*) (*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *bernoulli-cond-exp*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and *integrable* $N X$
shows *real-cond-exp* N (*stoch-proc-filt* N *geom-proc borel* n) $X w = \text{expl-cond-expect}$ N (*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n) $X w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *stock-cond-exp*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows *real-cond-exp* N (*stoch-proc-filt* N *geom-proc borel* n) (*geom-proc* (*Suc* n)) $w = \text{expl-cond-expect}$ N (*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n) (*geom-proc* (*Suc* n)) w
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *prob-space*) *discount-factor-real-cond-exp*:
assumes *integrable* $M X$
and *subalgebra* $M G$
and $-1 < r$
shows *AE* w in M . *real-cond-exp* $M G$ (λx . *discount-factor* $r n x * X x$) $w = \text{discount-factor}$ $r n w * (\text{real-cond-exp } M G X) w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *prob-space*) *discounted-value-real-cond-exp*:
assumes *integrable* $M X$
and $-1 < r$
and *subalgebra* $M G$
shows *AE* w in M . *real-cond-exp* $M G$ (*discounted-value* $r (\lambda m. X) n$) $w = \text{discounted-value}$ $r (\lambda m. (\text{real-cond-exp } M G X) n w$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *CRR-market*)
assumes $q = (1 + r - d)/(u - d)$
and *viable-market* Mkt

shows *gt-param*: $0 < q$
and *lt-param*: $q < 1$
and *risk-neutral-param*: $u * q + d * (1 - q) = 1 + r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *bernoulli-expl-cond-expect-adapt*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows *expl-cond-expect* N (*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* n) $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (G$
 $n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *real-cond-exp-discount-stock*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows *AE* w in N . *real-cond-exp* N (G n)
 (*discounted-value* r (*prices Mkt stk*) (*Suc* n)) $w =$
 $\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{prices Mkt stk } n$
 $w) (\text{Suc } n) w$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *risky-asset-martingale-only-if*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and *martingale* N G (*discounted-value* r (*prices Mkt stk*))
shows $q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

locale *CRR-market-viable* = *CRR-market* +
assumes *CRR-viable*: *viable-market* Mkt

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *real-cond-exp-discount-stock-q-const*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$
shows *AE* w in N . *real-cond-exp* N (G n)
 (*discounted-value* r (*prices Mkt stk*) (*Suc* n)) $w =$
 $\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk } n) w$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *risky-asset-martingale-if*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$
shows *martingale* N G (*discounted-value* r (*prices* Mkt *stk*))
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *risk-neutral-iff'*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 \leq q$
and $q \leq 1$
and *filt-equiv nat-filtration* M N
shows *rfr-disc-equity-market.risk-neutral-prob* G Mkt r $N \longleftrightarrow q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *risk-neutral-iff*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows *rfr-disc-equity-market.risk-neutral-prob* G Mkt r $N \longleftrightarrow q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$
<proof>

8.3 Existence of a replicating portfolio

fun (in *CRR-market*) *rn-rev-price* **where**
rn-rev-price N *der matur* 0 $w = \text{der } w \mid$
rn-rev-price N *der matur* $(Suc\ n)$ $w = \text{discount-factor } r$ $(Suc\ 0)$ $w * \text{expl-cond-expect } N$ (*proj-stoch-proc geom-proc* (*matur* $- Suc\ n$)) (*rn-rev-price* N *der matur* n) w

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *stock-filtration-eq*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows G $n = \text{stoch-proc-filt } N$ *geom-proc borel* n
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *real-exp-eq*:
assumes *der* \in *borel-measurable* (G *matur*)

and $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows $\text{real-cond-exp } N \text{ (stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel } n) \text{ der } w =$
 $\text{expl-cond-expect } N \text{ (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n) \text{ der } w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in CRR-market) rn-rev-price-rev-borel-adapt:
assumes $\text{cash-flow} \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$
and $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows $(n \leq \text{matur}) \implies (\text{rn-rev-price } N \text{ cash-flow matur } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } (G$
 $(\text{matur} - n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) bernoulli-discounted-integrable:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and $\text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n)$
and $-1 < r$
shows $\text{integrable } N \text{ (discounted-value } r \text{ (}\lambda m. \text{der) } m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in CRR-market) rn-rev-expl-cond-expect:
assumes $\text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$
and $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows $n \leq \text{matur} \implies \text{rn-rev-price } N \text{ der matur } n \text{ } w =$
 $\text{expl-cond-expect } N \text{ (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur} - n)) \text{ (discounted-value } r$
 $(\lambda m. \text{der) } n) \text{ } w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (in CRR-market) rn-price where
 $\text{rn-price } N \text{ der matur } n \text{ } w = \text{expl-cond-expect } N \text{ (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n)$
 $(\text{discounted-value } r \text{ (}\lambda m. \text{der) } (\text{matur} - n)) \text{ } w$

definition (in CRR-market) rn-price-ind where
 $\text{rn-price-ind } N \text{ der matur } n \text{ } w = \text{rn-rev-price } N \text{ der matur } (\text{matur} - n) \text{ } w$

lemma (in CRR-market) rn-price-eq:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$

and $der \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$
and $n \leq \text{matur}$
shows $\text{rn-price } N \text{ der matur } n \ w = \text{rn-price-ind } N \text{ der matur } n \ w \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *CRR-market*) *geom-proc-filt-info*:
fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \ n)$
shows $f \ w = f \ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *CRR-market*) *geom-proc-filt-info'*:
fixes $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \ n)$
shows $f \ w = f \ (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n \ w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *CRR-market*) *rn-price-borel-adapt*:
assumes $\text{cash-flow} \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \ \text{matur})$
and $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and $n \leq \text{matur}$
shows $(\text{rn-price } N \ \text{cash-flow } \text{matur } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (**in** *CRR-market*) *delta-price where*
 $\text{delta-price } N \ \text{cash-flow } T =$
 $(\lambda \ n \ w. \ \text{if } (\text{Suc } n \leq T)$
 $\ \ \ \ \ \text{then } (\text{rn-price } N \ \text{cash-flow } T \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ w) - \text{rn-price } N$
 $\ \ \ \ \ \text{cash-flow } T \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n \ w)) /$
 $\ \ \ \ \ \ (\text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ \text{True}) - \text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ \text{False}))$
 $\ \ \ \ \ \ \text{else } 0)$

lemma (**in** *CRR-market*) *delta-price-eq*:
assumes $\text{Suc } n \leq T$
shows $\text{delta-price } N \ \text{cash-flow } T \ n \ w = (\text{rn-price } N \ \text{cash-flow } T \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{spick}$
 $\ w \ n \ \text{True}) - \text{rn-price } N \ \text{cash-flow } T \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ \text{False})) /$
 $((\text{geom-proc } n \ w) * (u - d))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *CRR-market*) *geom-proc-spick*:

shows $\text{geom-proc } (Suc\ n) \text{ (spick } w\ n\ x) = (\text{if } x \text{ then } u \text{ else } d) * \text{geom-proc } n\ w$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *spick-red-geom*:

shows $(\lambda w. \text{spick } w\ n\ x) \in \text{measurable } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M \text{ borel } (\text{geom-proc } n)) \text{ (fct-gen-subalgebra } M \text{ borel } (\text{geom-proc } (Suc\ n)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *geom-spick-Suc*:

assumes $A \in \{(\text{geom-proc } (Suc\ n)) - 'B \mid B. B \in \text{sets borel}\}$
shows $(\lambda w. \text{spick } w\ n\ x) - 'A \in \{\text{geom-proc } n - 'B \mid B. B \in \text{sets borel}\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *geom-spick-lt*:

assumes $m < n$
shows $\text{geom-proc } m \text{ (spick } w\ n\ x) = \text{geom-proc } m\ w$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *geom-spick-eq*:

shows $\text{geom-proc } m \text{ (spick } w\ m\ x) = \text{geom-proc } m\ w$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *spick-red-geom-filt*:

shows $(\lambda w. \text{spick } w\ n\ x) \in \text{measurable } (G\ n) \text{ (} G \text{ (} Suc\ n))$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *delta-price-adapted*:

fixes $\text{cash-flow}::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $\text{cash-flow} \in \text{borel-measurable } (G\ T)$
and $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows $\text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } G \text{ (delta-price } N \text{ cash-flow } T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun (in *CRR-market*) *delta-predict where*

$\text{delta-predict } N \text{ der matur } 0 = (\lambda w. \text{delta-price } N \text{ der matur } 0\ w) \mid$
 $\text{delta-predict } N \text{ der matur } (Suc\ n) = (\lambda w. \text{delta-price } N \text{ der matur } n\ w)$

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *delta-predict-predict*:

assumes $\text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$
and $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows $\text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } G \text{ (delta-predict } N \text{ der matur)}$ ⟨proof⟩

definition (in CRR-market) delta-pf where
delta-pf N der matur = qty-single stk (delta-predict N der matur)

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-pf-support:
shows support-set (delta-pf N der matur) \subseteq {stk} <proof>

definition (in CRR-market) self-fin-delta-pf where
self-fin-delta-pf N der matur v0 = self-finance Mkt v0 (delta-pf N der matur)
risk-free-asset

lemma (in disc-equity-market) self-finance-trading-strat:
assumes trading-strategy pf
and portfolio pf
and borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)
and support-adapt Mkt pf
shows trading-strategy (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) <proof>

lemma (in CRR-market) self-fin-delta-pf-trad-strat:
assumes der \in borel-measurable (G matur)
and N = bernoulli-stream q
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows trading-strategy (self-fin-delta-pf N der matur v0) <proof>

definition (in CRR-market) delta-hedging where
delta-hedging N der matur = self-fin-delta-pf N der matur
(prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r (λ m. der) matur))

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-proc-eq-snth:
shows ($\bigwedge m. m \leq \text{Suc } n \implies \text{geom-proc } m \ x = \text{geom-proc } m \ y$) \implies
($\bigwedge m. m \leq n \implies \text{snth } x \ m = \text{snth } y \ m$)
<proof>

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-proc-eq-pseudo-proj-True:
shows ($\bigwedge m. m \leq n \implies \text{geom-proc } m \ x = \text{geom-proc } m \ y$) \implies
(pseudo-proj-True (n) x = pseudo-proj-True (n) y)
<proof>

lemma (in CRR-market) proj-stoch-eq-pseudo-proj-True:
assumes proj-stoch-proc geom-proc m x = proj-stoch-proc geom-proc m y
shows pseudo-proj-True m x = pseudo-proj-True m y
<proof>

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) rn-rev-price-cond-expect:
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q

and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and $der \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$
and $Suc \ n \leq \text{matur}$
shows $\text{expl-cond-expect } N \ (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n) \ (\text{rn-rev-price } N \ \text{der matur} \ (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n)) \ w =$
 $(q * \text{rn-rev-price } N \ \text{der matur} \ (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n) \ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ w) +$
 $(1 - q) * \text{rn-rev-price } N \ \text{der matur} \ (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n) \ (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n \ w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *rn-price-eq-ind*:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $n < \text{matur}$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and $der \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$
shows $(1+r) * \text{rn-price } N \ \text{der matur } n \ w = q * \text{rn-price } N \ \text{der matur} \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \ w) +$
 $(1 - q) * \text{rn-price } N \ \text{der matur} \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n \ w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *self-finance-updated-suc-suc*:
assumes *portfolio pf*
and $\forall n. \text{prices } Mkt \ \text{asset } n \ w \neq 0$
shows $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ (\text{self-finance } Mkt \ v \ \text{pf } \text{asset}) \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \ w =$
 $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ \text{pf} \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \ w +$
 $(\text{prices } Mkt \ \text{asset} \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \ w / (\text{prices } Mkt \ \text{asset} \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w)) *$
 $(\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ (\text{self-finance } Mkt \ v \ \text{pf } \text{asset}) \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w -$
 $\text{val-process } Mkt \ \text{pf} \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *self-finance-updated-suc-0*:
assumes *portfolio pf*
and $\forall n \ w. \text{prices } Mkt \ \text{asset } n \ w \neq 0$
shows $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ (\text{self-finance } Mkt \ v \ \text{pf } \text{asset}) \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ w = \text{cls-val-process}$
 $Mkt \ \text{pf} \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ w +$
 $(\text{prices } Mkt \ \text{asset} \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ w / (\text{prices } Mkt \ \text{asset} \ 0 \ w)) *$
 $(\text{val-process } Mkt \ (\text{self-finance } Mkt \ v \ \text{pf } \text{asset}) \ 0 \ w -$
 $\text{val-process } Mkt \ \text{pf} \ 0 \ w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *self-finance-updated-ind*:
assumes *portfolio pf*
and $\forall n \ w. \text{prices } Mkt \ \text{asset } n \ w \neq 0$

shows $\text{cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w} = \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w} +$
 $(\text{prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w} / (\text{prices Mkt asset n w})) *$
 $(\text{val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) n w} -$
 $\text{val-process Mkt pf n w})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *rfr-disc-equity-market*) *self-finance-risk-free-update-ind*:
assumes *portfolio pf*
shows $\text{cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf risk-free-asset) (Suc n) w} =$
 $\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w} +$
 $(1 + r) * (\text{val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf risk-free-asset) n w} - \text{val-process Mkt pf n w})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *delta-pf-portfolio*:
shows *portfolio (delta-pf N der matur)* ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *delta-pf-updated*:
shows $\text{cls-val-process Mkt (delta-pf N der matur) (Suc n) w} =$
 $\text{geom-proc (Suc n) w} * \text{delta-price N der matur n w}$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *delta-pf-val-process*:
shows $\text{val-process Mkt (delta-pf N der matur) n w} =$
 $\text{geom-proc n w} * \text{delta-price N der matur n w}$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *delta-hedging-cls-val-process*:
shows $\text{cls-val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) (Suc n) w} =$
 $\text{geom-proc (Suc n) w} * \text{delta-price N der matur n w} +$
 $(1 + r) * (\text{val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) n w} - \text{geom-proc n w}$
 $* \text{delta-price N der matur n w})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *delta-hedging-eq-derivative-price*:
fixes *der::bool stream* \Rightarrow *real* **and** *matur::nat*
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d))$
and *der* \in *borel-measurable (G matur)*
shows $\bigwedge n w. n \leq \text{matur} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) n w} =$
 $(\text{rn-price N der matur}) n w$

<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *delta-hedging-same-cash-flow*:

assumes $der \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$

and $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d))$

shows $\text{cls-val-process Mkt } (\text{delta-hedging } N \text{ der matur}) \text{ matur } w =$
 $\text{der } w$

<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *delta-hedging-trading-strat*:

assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$

and $0 < q$

and $q < 1$

and $der \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$

shows *trading-strategy* (delta-hedging N der matur) *<proof>*

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *delta-hedging-self-financing*:

shows *self-financing Mkt* (delta-hedging N der matur) *<proof>*

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *delta-hedging-replicating*:

assumes $der \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$

and $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d))$

shows *replicating-portfolio* (delta-hedging N der matur) der matur

<proof>

definition (in *disc-equity-market*) *complete-market where*

complete-market $\longleftrightarrow (\forall \text{ matur. } \forall der \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ matur}). (\exists p. \text{replicat-}$
 $\text{ing-portfolio } p \text{ der matur}))$

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *CRR-market-complete*:

shows *complete-market* *<proof>*

lemma *subalgebras-filtration*:

assumes *filtration* $M F$

and $\forall t. \text{subalgebra } (F t) (G t)$

and $\forall s t. s \leq t \longrightarrow \text{subalgebra } (G t) (G s)$

shows *filtration* $M G$ *<proof>*

lemma *subfilt-filt-equiv*:

assumes *filt-equiv* $F M N$

and $\forall t. \text{subalgebra } (F t) (G t)$

and $\forall s t. s \leq t \longrightarrow \text{subalgebra } (G t) (G s)$

shows *filt-equiv* $G M N$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *CRR-market-fair-price*:

```

assumes  $pyf \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$ 
shows fair-price Mkt
   $(\sum w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } \text{matur}). (\text{prod } (\text{prob-component } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d)) w) \{0..<\text{matur}\}) * ((\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. pyf) \text{matur}) w))$ 
   $pyf \text{ matur}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

end
theory Option-Price-Examples imports CRR-Model

```

```

begin

```

This file contains pricing results for four options in the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model. The first section contains results relating some functions to the more abstract counterparts that were used to prove fairness and completeness results. The second section contains the pricing results for a few options; some path-dependent and others not.

9 Effective computation definitions and results

9.1 Generation of lists of boolean elements

The function `gener-bool-list` permits to generate lists of boolean elements. It is used to generate a list representative of the range of boolean streams by the function `pseudo-proj-True`.

```

fun gener-bool-list where
  gener-bool-list 0 = {}
  | gener-bool-list (Suc n) = {True # w | w. w ∈ gener-bool-list n} ∪ {False # w | w. w ∈ gener-bool-list n}

```

lemma *gener-bool-list-elem-length*:

```

shows  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{gener-bool-list } n \implies \text{length } x = n$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *stake-gener-bool-list*:

```

shows stake n'streams (UNIV::bool set) = gener-bool-list n
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *pseudo-range-stake*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge w. f w = g (\text{stake } n w)$ 
shows  $(\sum w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). f w) = (\sum y \in (\text{gener-bool-list } n). g y)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

9.2 Probability components for lists

```

fun lprob-comp where

```


lprob-comp (*p::real*) [] = 1
 | *lprob-comp* *p* (*x # xs*) = (if *x* then *p* else (1-*p*)) * *lprob-comp* *p* *xs*

lemma *lprob-comp-last*:

shows *lprob-comp* *p* (*xs @ [x]*) = (*lprob-comp* *p* *xs*) * (if *x* then *p* else (1 - *p*))
 <proof>

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *lprob-comp-stake*:

shows (prod (prob-component *pr* *w*) {0..< *matur*}) = *lprob-comp* *pr* (stake *matur* *w*)
 <proof>

9.3 Geometric process applied to lists

fun *lrev-geom* **where**

lrev-geom *u d v* [] = *v*
 | *lrev-geom* *u d v* (*x#xs*) = (if *x* then *u* else *d*) * *lrev-geom* *u d v* *xs*

fun *lgeom-proc* **where** *lgeom-proc* *u d v l* = *lrev-geom* *u d v* (rev *l*)

lemma (in *infinite-coin-toss-space*) *geom-lgeom*:

shows *geom-rand-walk* *u d v n w* = *lgeom-proc* *u d v* (stake *n* *w*)
 <proof>

lemma *lgeom-proc-take*:

assumes *i* ≤ *n*
shows *lgeom-proc* *u d* *init* (stake *i* *w*) = *lgeom-proc* *u d* *init* (take *i* (stake *n* *w*))
 <proof>

9.4 Effective computation of discounted values

fun *det-discount* **where**

det-discount (*r::real*) 0 = 1
 | *det-discount* *r* (Suc *n*) = (inverse (1+*r*)) * (*det-discount* *r* *n*)

lemma *det-discounted*:

shows *discounted-value* *r* *X n w* = (*det-discount* *r* *n*) * (*X n w*) <proof>

10 Pricing results on options

10.1 Call option

A call option is parameterized by a strike *K* and maturity *T*. If *S* denotes the price of the (unique) risky asset at time *T*, then the option pays max(*S* - *K*, 0) at that time.

definition (in *CRR-market*) *call-option* **where**

$call-option (T::nat) (K::real) = (\lambda w. max (prices Mkt stk T w - K) 0)$

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *call-borel*:

shows $call-option T K \in borel-measurable (G T)$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *call-option-lgeom*:

shows $call-option T K w = max ((lgeom-proc u d init (stake T w)) - K) 0$
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *disc-call-option-lgeom*:

shows $(discounted-value r (\lambda m. (call-option T K)) T w) =$
 $(det-discount r T) * (max ((lgeom-proc u d init (stake T w)) - K) 0)$
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *call-effect-compute*:

shows $(\sum_{w \in range (pseudo-proj-True matur).} (prod (prob-component pr w)$
 $\{0..<matur\}) * (discounted-value r (\lambda m. (call-option matur K)) matur w)) =$
 $(\sum_{y \in (gener-bool-list matur).} lprob-comp pr y * (det-discount r matur) * (max ((lgeom-proc u d init (take matur y)) - K) 0))$
<proof>

fun *call-price where*

$call-price u d init r matur K = (\sum_{y \in (gener-bool-list matur).} lprob-comp ((1 + r - d) / (u - d)) y * (det-discount r matur) * (max ((lgeom-proc u d init (take matur (take matur y))) - K) 0))$

Evaluating the function above returns the fair price of a call option.

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *call-price*:

shows *fair-price Mkt*
 $(call-price u d init r matur K)$
 $(call-option matur K) matur$
<proof>

10.2 Put option

A put option is also parameterized by a strike K and maturity T. If S denotes the price of the (unique) risky asset at time T, then the option pays $\max(K - S, 0)$ at that time.

definition (in *CRR-market*) *put-option where*

$put-option (T::nat) (K::real) = (\lambda w. max (K - prices Mkt stk T w) 0)$

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *put-borel*:

shows $put-option T K \in borel-measurable (G T)$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *put-option-lgeom*:

shows $put-option T K w = max (K - (lgeom-proc u d init (stake T w))) 0$
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *disc-put-option-lgeom*:
shows (*discounted-value* r ($\lambda m.$ (*put-option* T K)) T w) =
(*det-discount* r T) * (*max* ($K - (\text{lgeom-proc } u \text{ d init } (\text{stake } T \ w)))$ 0)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *put-effect-compute*:
shows ($\sum_{w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } \text{matur})}$. (*prod* (*prob-component* pr w)
 $\{0..<\text{matur}\}$) *
(*discounted-value* r ($\lambda m.$ (*put-option* matur K)) matur w)) =
($\sum_{y \in (\text{gener-bool-list } \text{matur})}$. *lprob-comp* pr y * (*det-discount* r matur) *
(*max* ($K - (\text{lgeom-proc } u \text{ d init } (\text{take } \text{matur } y))$)) 0))
 \langle *proof* \rangle

fun *put-price* **where**
put-price u d *init* r matur K = ($\sum_{y \in (\text{gener-bool-list } \text{matur})}$. *lprob-comp* (($1 +$
 $r - d$) / ($u - d$)) y * (*det-discount* r matur) *
(*max* ($K - (\text{lgeom-proc } u \text{ d init } (\text{take } \text{matur } (\text{take } \text{matur } y))))$)) 0))

Evaluating the function above returns the fair price of a put option.

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *put-price*:
shows *fair-price* Mkt
(*put-price* u d *init* r matur K)
(*put-option* matur K) matur
 \langle *proof* \rangle

10.3 Lookback option

A lookback option is parameterized by a maturity T . If S_n denotes the price of the (unique) risky asset at time n , then the option pays $\max(S_n, 0 \leq n \leq T) - S_T$ at that time.

definition (in *CRR-market*) *lbk-option* **where**
lbk-option ($T::\text{nat}$) = ($\lambda w.$ *Max* (($\lambda i.$ (*prices* Mkt stk) i w)) $\{0 .. T\}$) - (*prices* Mkt stk T w))

lemma *borel-measurable-Max-finite*:
fixes $f::'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c::\{\text{second-countable-topology, linorder-topology}\}$
assumes $0 < (n::\text{nat})$
shows $\bigwedge A.$ $\text{card } A = n \implies \forall a \in A.$ $f \ a \in \text{borel-measurable } M \implies (\lambda w.$ *Max*
(($\lambda a.$ $f \ a \ w$) $'A$)) $\in \text{borel-measurable } M$ \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *lbk-borel*:
shows *lbk-option* $T \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \ T)$ \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *lbk-option-lgeom*:
shows *lbk-option* T w = *Max* (($\lambda i.$ (*lgeom-proc* u d *init* ($\text{stake } i \ w$))) $\{0 .. T\}$)
- (*lgeom-proc* u d *init* ($\text{stake } T \ w$))

<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *disc-lbk-option-lgeom*:
shows (*discounted-value* r ($\lambda m.$ (*lbk-option* T)) T w) =
(*det-discount* r T) * (*Max* (($\lambda i.$ (*lgeom-proc* u d *init* (*take* i (*stake* T w)))) { 0
.. T }) - (*lgeom-proc* u d *init* (*stake* T w)))
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *lbk-effect-compute*:
shows ($\sum_{w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } \text{matur})}.$ (*prod* (*prob-component* pr w)
{ $0..<\text{matur}$ >} *
(*discounted-value* r ($\lambda m.$ (*lbk-option* matur)) matur w)) =
($\sum_{y \in (\text{gener-bool-list } \text{matur}).}$ (*lprob-comp* pr y * (*det-discount* r matur) *
(*Max* (($\lambda i.$ (*lgeom-proc* u d *init* (*take* i y)))) { 0 .. matur }) - (*lgeom-proc* u d
init y)))
<proof>

fun *lbk-price* **where**
lbk-price u d *init* r matur = ($\sum_{y \in (\text{gener-bool-list } \text{matur}).}$ (*lprob-comp* (($1 + r -$
 d) / ($u - d$) y * (*det-discount* r matur) *
(*Max* (($\lambda i.$ (*lgeom-proc* u d *init* (*take* i y)))) { 0 .. matur }) - (*lgeom-proc* u d
init y)))

Evaluating the function above returns the fair price of a lookback option.

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *lbk-price*:
shows *fair-price* Mkt
(*lbk-price* u d *init* r matur)
(*lbk-option* matur) matur
<proof>

value *lbk-price* 1.2 0.8 10 0.03 2

10.4 Asian option

An asian option is parameterized by a maturity T . This option pays the average price of the risky asset at time T .

definition (in *CRR-market*) *asian-option* **where**
asian-option ($T::\text{nat}$) = ($\lambda w.$ ($\sum_{i \in \{1.. T\}}.$ *prices* Mkt *stk* i w) / T)

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *asian-borel*:
shows *asian-option* $T \in \text{borel-measurable } (G$ $T)$ *<proof>*

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *asian-option-lgeom*:
shows *asian-option* T w = ($\sum_{i \in \{1.. T\}}.$ *lgeom-proc* u d *init* (*stake* i w)) / T
<proof>

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *disc-asian-option-lgeom*:
shows (*discounted-value* *r* ($\lambda m.$ (*asian-option* *T*)) *T w*) =
 (*det-discount* *r T*) * ($\sum_{i \in \{1.. T\}}$. *lgeom-proc* *u d init* (*take* *i* (*stake* *T w*))) /
T
 <*proof*>

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *asian-effect-compute*:
shows ($\sum_{w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } \text{matur})}$. (*prod* (*prob-component* *pr w*)
 {*0..<matur*}) *
 (*discounted-value* *r* ($\lambda m.$ (*asian-option* *matur*)) *matur w*) =
 ($\sum_{y \in (\text{gener-bool-list } \text{matur})}$. *lprob-comp* *pr y* * (*det-discount* *r matur*) *
 ($\sum_{i \in \{1.. matur\}}$. *lgeom-proc* *u d init* (*take* *i y*)) / *matur*)
 <*proof*>

fun *asian-price* **where**
asian-price *u d init r matur* = ($\sum_{y \in (\text{gener-bool-list } \text{matur})}$. *lprob-comp* ((*1 + r*
 - *d*) / (*u - d*)) *y* * (*det-discount* *r matur*) *
 ($\sum_{i \in \{1.. matur\}}$. *lgeom-proc* *u d init* (*take* *i y*)) / *matur*)

Evaluating the function above returns the fair price of an asian option.

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *asian-price*:
shows *fair-price Mkt*
 (*asian-price* *u d init r matur*)
 (*asian-option* *matur*) *matur*
 <*proof*>

end