

Difference Bound Matrices

Simon Wimmer, Peter Lammich

August 26, 2024

Abstract

Difference Bound Matrices (DBMs) [2] are a data structure used to represent a type of convex polytopes, often called zones. DBMs find application such as in timed automata model checking and static program analysis. This entry formalizes DBMs and operations for inclusion checking, intersection, variable reset, upper-bound relaxation, and extrapolation (as used in timed automata model checking). With the help of the Imperative Refinement Framework, efficient imperative implementations of these operations are also provided. For each zone, there exists a canonical DBM. The characteristic properties of canonical forms are proved, including the fact that DBMs can be transformed in canonical form by the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. This entry is part of the work described in a paper by the authors of this entry [4] and a PhD thesis [3].

Contents

1 Difference Bound Matrices	3
1.1 Definitions	3
1.1.1 Definition and Semantics of DBMs	3
1.1.2 Ordering DBM Entries	5
1.1.3 Addition on DBM Entries	6
1.1.4 Negation of DBM Entries	7
1.2 DBM Entries Form a Linearly Ordered Abelian Monoid	8
1.3 Basic Properties of DBMs	10
1.3.1 DBMs and Length of Paths	10
2 Library for Paths, Arcs and Lengths	12
2.1 Arcs	12
2.2 Length of Paths	13
2.3 Cycle Rotation	14
2.4 More on Cycle-Freeness	14
2.5 Helper Lemmas for Bouyer's Theorem on Approximation	15
2.5.1 Successive	17

2.5.2	Zones and DBMs	21
2.5.3	Useful definitions	23
2.5.4	Updating DBMs	23
2.5.5	DBMs Without Negative Cycles are Non-Empty	24
2.5.6	Negative Cycles in DBMs	25
2.5.7	Floyd-Warshall Algorithm Preserves Zones	27
2.6	The Characteristic Property of Canonical DBMs	27
2.6.1	Floyd-Warshall and Empty DBMs	28
2.6.2	Mixed Corollaries	29
2.7	Orderings of DBMs	30
2.8	Partial Floyd-Warshall Preserves Zones	31
3	DBM Operations	31
3.1	Auxiliary	32
3.2	Relaxation	32
3.3	Intersection	34
3.4	Variable Reset	34
3.5	Misc Preservation Lemmas	39
3.5.1	Unused theorems	42
3.6	Extrapolation of DBMs	43
3.6.1	Classical extrapolation	44
3.6.2	Extrapolations based on lower and upper bounds	44
3.6.3	Extrapolations are widening operators	46
3.6.4	Finiteness of extrapolations	46
4	DBMs as Constraint Systems	47
4.1	Misc	47
4.2	Definition and Semantics of Constraint Systems	48
4.3	Conversion of DBMs to Constraint Systems and Back	50
4.4	Application: Relaxation On Constraint Systems	52
5	Implementation of DBM Operations	55
5.1	Misc	55
5.2	Reset	55
5.3	Relaxation	62
5.4	Intersection	64
5.5	Inclusion	65
5.6	Extrapolations	67
5.6.1	Additional proof rules for typical looping constructs .	73
5.7	Refinement	78
5.8	Pretty-Printing	85
5.9	Generate Code	86
5.10	Examples	87

```

theory DBM
imports
  Floyd-Warshall.Floyd-Warshall
  HOL.Real
begin

type-synonym ('c, 't) cval = 'c ⇒ 't

```

1 Difference Bound Matrices

1.1 Definitions

1.1.1 Definition and Semantics of DBMs

Difference Bound Matrices (DBMs) constrain differences of clocks (or more precisely, the difference of values assigned to individual clocks by a valuation). The possible constraints are given by the following datatype:

```
datatype 't DBMEntry = Le 't | Lt 't | INF (∞)
```

This yields a simple definition of DBMs:

```
type-synonym 't DBM = nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 't DBMEntry
```

To relate clocks with rows and columns of a DBM, we use a clock numbering v of type $'c \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ to map clocks to indices. DBMs will regularly be accompanied by a natural number n , which designates the number of clocks constrained by the matrix. To be able to represent the full set of clock constraints with DBMs, we add an imaginary clock $\mathbf{0}$, which shall be assigned to 0 in every valuation. In the following predicate we explicitly keep track of $\mathbf{0}$.

```

class time = linordered-ab-group-add +
assumes dense:  $x < y \implies \exists z. x < z \wedge z < y$ 
assumes non-trivial:  $\exists x. x \neq 0$ 

```

```
begin
```

```

lemma non-trivial-neg:  $\exists x. x < 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```

instantiation real :: time
begin
  instance ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

inductive dbm-entry-val :: ('c, 't) eval  $\Rightarrow$  'c option  $\Rightarrow$  'c option  $\Rightarrow$  ('t::time)
DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  u r  $\leq$  d  $\implies$  dbm-entry-val u (Some r) None (Le d) |
  -u c  $\leq$  d  $\implies$  dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Le d) |
  u r < d  $\implies$  dbm-entry-val u (Some r) None (Lt d) |
  -u c < d  $\implies$  dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Lt d) |
  u r - u c  $\leq$  d  $\implies$  dbm-entry-val u (Some r) (Some c) (Le d) |
  u r - u c < d  $\implies$  dbm-entry-val u (Some r) (Some c) (Lt d) |
  dbm-entry-val - - -  $\infty$ 

declare dbm-entry-val.intros[intro]
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Le d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (Le d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Lt d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (Lt d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some r) (Some c) (Le d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some r) (Some c) (Lt d)

fun dbm-entry-bound :: ('t::time) DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  't
where
  dbm-entry-bound (Le t) = t |
  dbm-entry-bound (Lt t) = t |
  dbm-entry-bound  $\infty$  = 0

inductive dbm-lt :: ('t::linorder) DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  't DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(-  $\prec$  - [51, 51] 50)
where
  dbm-lt (Lt -)  $\infty$  |
  dbm-lt (Le -)  $\infty$  |
  a < b  $\implies$  dbm-lt (Le a) (Le b) |
  a < b  $\implies$  dbm-lt (Le a) (Lt b) |
  a  $\leq$  b  $\implies$  dbm-lt (Lt a) (Le b) |
  a < b  $\implies$  dbm-lt (Lt a) (Lt b)

declare dbm-lt.intros[intro]

definition dbm-le :: ('t::linorder) DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  't DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(-  $\preceq$  - [51, 51] 50)
where
  dbm-le a b  $\equiv$  (a  $\prec$  b)  $\vee$  a = b

```

Now a valuation is contained in the zone represented by a DBM if it fulfills all individual constraints:

definition $DBM\text{-val-bounded} :: ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ cval} \Rightarrow ('t:\text{time}) DBM \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$

where

$DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u m n \equiv Le 0 \preceq m 0 0 \wedge$

$(\forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow (\text{dbm-entry-val } u \text{ None } (\text{Some } c) (m 0 (v c)))$

$\wedge \text{dbm-entry-val } u (\text{Some } c) \text{ None } (m (v c) 0)))$

$\wedge (\forall c1 c2. v c1 \leq n \wedge v c2 \leq n \longrightarrow \text{dbm-entry-val } u (\text{Some } c1) (\text{Some } c2) (m (v c1) (v c2)))$

abbreviation $DBM\text{-val-bounded-abbrev} ::$

$('c, 't) \text{ cval} \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('t:\text{time}) DBM \Rightarrow bool$

$(- \vdash_{-, -} [48, 48, 48, 48] 48)$

where

$u \vdash_{v,n} M \equiv DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n$

1.1.2 Ordering DBM Entries

abbreviation

$dmin a b \equiv \text{if } a \prec b \text{ then } a \text{ else } b$

lemma $dbm\text{-le-dbm-min}:$

$a \preceq b \implies a = dmin a b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dbm\text{-lt-asym}:$

assumes $e \prec f$

shows $\sim f \prec e$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dbm\text{-le-dbm-min2}:$

$a \preceq b \implies a = dmin b a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dmb\text{-le-dbm-entry-bound-inf}:$

$a \preceq b \implies a = \infty \implies b = \infty$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dbm\text{-not-lt-eq}: \neg a \prec b \implies \neg b \prec a \implies a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dbm\text{-not-lt-impl}: \neg a \prec b \implies b \prec a \vee a = b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dmin a b = dmin b a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm-lt-trans*: $a \prec b \implies b \prec c \implies a \prec c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aux-3*: $\neg a \prec b \implies \neg b \prec c \implies a \prec c \implies c = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

inductive-cases[*elim!*]: $\infty \prec x$

lemma *dbm-lt-asymmetric*[*simp*]: $x \prec y \implies y \prec x \implies False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-dbm-le*: $Le\ a \preceq Le\ b \implies a \leq b$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-dbm-lt*: $Le\ a \preceq Lt\ b \implies a < b$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-dbm-le*: $Lt\ a \preceq Le\ b \implies a \leq b$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-dbm-lt*: $Lt\ a \preceq Lt\ b \implies a \leq b$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-dbm-le-le-impl*: $\neg Le\ a \prec Le\ b \implies a \geq b$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-dbm-lt-le-impl*: $\neg Lt\ a \prec Le\ b \implies a > b$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-dbm-lt-lt-impl*: $\neg Lt\ a \prec Lt\ b \implies a \geq b$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-dbm-le-lt-impl*: $\neg Le\ a \prec Lt\ b \implies a \geq b$ $\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.3 Addition on DBM Entries

fun *dbm-add* :: ('t::linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add) DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't
DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't DBMEntry (**infixl** \otimes 70)

where

$$\begin{aligned} dbm\text{-}add\ \infty\ -\ \infty &= \infty \mid \\ dbm\text{-}add\ -\ \infty\ \infty &= \infty \mid \\ dbm\text{-}add\ (Le\ a)\ (Le\ b) &= (Le\ (a+b)) \mid \\ dbm\text{-}add\ (Le\ a)\ (Lt\ b) &= (Lt\ (a+b)) \mid \\ dbm\text{-}add\ (Lt\ a)\ (Le\ b) &= (Lt\ (a+b)) \mid \\ dbm\text{-}add\ (Lt\ a)\ (Lt\ b) &= (Lt\ (a+b)) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *aux-4*: $x \prec y \implies \neg dbm\text{-}add\ x\ z \prec dbm\text{-}add\ y\ z \implies dbm\text{-}add\ x\ z = dbm\text{-}add\ y\ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma aux-5: $\neg x \prec y \implies \text{dbm-add } x z \prec \text{dbm-add } y z \implies \text{dbm-add } y z$

$= \text{dbm-add } x z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma aux-42: $x \prec y \implies \neg \text{dbm-add } z x \prec \text{dbm-add } z y \implies \text{dbm-add } z x$

$= \text{dbm-add } z y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma aux-52: $\neg x \prec y \implies \text{dbm-add } z x \prec \text{dbm-add } z y \implies \text{dbm-add } z y$

$= \text{dbm-add } z x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma dbm-add-not-inf:

$a \neq \infty \implies b \neq \infty \implies \text{dbm-add } a b \neq \infty$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma dbm-le-not-inf:

$a \leq b \implies b \neq \infty \implies a \neq \infty$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.1.4 Negation of DBM Entries

fun neg-dbm-entry **where**

$\text{neg-dbm-entry } (\text{Le } a) = \text{Lt } (-a) \mid$

$\text{neg-dbm-entry } (\text{Lt } a) = \text{Le } (-a) \mid$

$\text{neg-dbm-entry } \infty = \infty$

— This case does not make sense but we make this definition for technical convenience.

lemma neg-entry:

$\{u. \neg \text{dbm-entry-val } u a b e\} = \{u. \text{dbm-entry-val } u b a (\text{neg-dbm-entry } e)\}$

if $e \neq (\infty :: \text{-DBMEntry})$ $a \neq \text{None} \vee b \neq \text{None}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation DBMEntry :: (uminus) uminus

begin

definition uminus: uminus = neg-dbm-entry

instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

Note that it is not clear that this is the only sensible definition for negation of DBM entries. The following would also have been quite viable: *fun*

neg-dbm-entry where $\text{neg-dbm-entry} (\text{Le } a) = \text{Le } (-a) \mid \text{neg-dbm-entry} (\text{Lt } a) = \text{Lt } (-a) \mid \text{neg-dbm-entry } \infty = \infty$

For most practical proofs using arithmetic on DBM entries we have found that this does not make much of a difference. Lemma $\llbracket ?e \neq \infty; ?a \neq \text{None} \vee ?b \neq \text{None} \rrbracket \implies \{u. \neg \text{dbm-entry-val } u ?a ?b ?e\} = \{u. \text{dbm-entry-val } u ?b ?a (\text{neg-dbm-entry } ?e)\}$ would not hold any longer, however.

1.2 DBM Entries Form a Linearly Ordered Abelian Monoid

```

instantiation DBMEntry :: (linorder) linorder
begin
  definition less-eq: ( $\leq$ )  $\equiv$  dbm-le
  definition less: ( $<$ )  $=$  dbm-lt
  instance
    ⟨proof⟩
  end

  class linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add =
    linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add + zero +
    assumes neutl[simp]:  $0 + x = x$ 
    assumes neutr[simp]:  $x + 0 = x$ 
  begin

    subclass linordered-ab-monoid-add
      ⟨proof⟩

    end

  instantiation DBMEntry :: (zero) zero
  begin
    definition neutral:  $0 = \text{Le } 0$ 
    instance ⟨proof⟩
  end

  instantiation DBMEntry :: (linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add) linordered-ab-monoid-add
  begin

    definition add: (+)  $=$  dbm-add
    instance ⟨proof⟩

  end

```

interpretation linordered-monoid:

linordered-ab-monoid-add dbm-add $Le (0 :: 't :: \text{linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add})$
dbm-le dbm-lt
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instance time \subseteq linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dbm-add-strict-right-mono-neutral: $a < Le (d :: 't :: \text{time}) \implies a + Le (-d) < Le 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dbm-lt-not-inf-less[intro]: $A \neq \infty \implies A \prec \infty \langle proof \rangle$

lemma add-inf[simp]:

$a + \infty = \infty \quad \infty + a = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma inf-lt[simp, dest!]:

$\infty < x \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma inf-lt-impl-False[simp]:

$\infty < x = \text{False}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Le-Le-dbm-lt-D[dest]: $Le a \prec Lt b \implies a < b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Le-Lt-dbm-lt-D[dest]: $Le a \prec Le b \implies a < b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Lt-Le-dbm-lt-D[dest]: $Lt a \prec Le b \implies a \leq b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Lt-Lt-dbm-lt-D[dest]: $Lt a \prec Lt b \implies a < b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Le-le-LeI[intro]: $a \leq b \implies Le a \leq Le b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Lt-le-LeI[intro]: $a \leq b \implies Lt a \leq Le b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Lt-le-LtI[intro]: $a \leq b \implies Lt a \leq Lt b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Le-le-LtI[intro]: $a < b \implies Le a \leq Lt b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Lt-lt-LeI: $x \leq y \implies Lt x < Le y \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Le-le-LeD[dest]: $Le a \leq Le b \implies a \leq b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Le-le-LtD[dest]: $Le a \leq Lt b \implies a < b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Lt-le-LeD[dest]: $Lt a \leq Le b \implies a \leq b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma Lt-le-LtD[dest]: $Lt a \leq Lt b \implies a \leq b \langle proof \rangle$

lemma inf-not-le-Le[simp]: $\infty \leq Le x = \text{False} \langle proof \rangle$

lemma inf-not-le-Lt[simp]: $\infty \leq Lt x = \text{False} \langle proof \rangle$

lemma inf-not-lt[simp]: $\infty \prec x = \text{False} \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *any-le-inf*: $x \leq (\infty :: - DBMEntry)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm-lt-code-simps*[*code*]:

```

dbm-lt (Lt a)  $\infty$  = True
dbm-lt (Le a)  $\infty$  = True
dbm-lt (Le a) (Le b) = ( $a < b$ )
dbm-lt (Le a) (Lt b) = ( $a < b$ )
dbm-lt (Lt a) (Le b) = ( $a \leq b$ )
dbm-lt (Lt a) (Lt b) = ( $a < b$ )
dbm-lt  $\infty$  x = False
⟨proof⟩

```

1.3 Basic Properties of DBMs

1.3.1 DBMs and Length of Paths

lemma *dbm-entry-val-add-1*: $dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u (Some c) (Some d) a \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u (Some d) None b \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u (Some c) None (dbm\text{-}add a b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm-entry-val-add-2*: $dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u None (Some c) a \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u (Some c) (Some d) b \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u None (Some d) (dbm\text{-}add a b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm-entry-val-add-3*:
 $dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u (Some c) (Some d) a \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u (Some d) (Some e) b \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u (Some c) (Some e) (dbm\text{-}add a b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dbm-entry-val-add-4*:
 $dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u (Some c) None a \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u None (Some d) b \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u (Some c) (Some d) (dbm\text{-}add a b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

no-notation *dbm-add* (**infixl** \otimes 70)

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-len-1'-aux*:
assumes *DBM-val-bounded* $v u m n v c \leq n \forall k \in set vs. k > 0 \wedge k \leq n \wedge (\exists c. v c = k)$
shows $dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val u (Some c) None (len m (v c) 0 vs)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-len-3'-aux*:

DBM-val-bounded v u m n \implies *v c* \leq *n* \implies *v d* \leq *n* $\implies \forall k \in \text{set } vs. k > 0 \wedge k \leq n \wedge (\exists c. v c = k)$
 $\implies \text{dbm-entry-val } u (\text{Some } c) (\text{Some } d) (\text{len } m (v c) (v d) vs)$
(proof)

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-len-2'-aux*:

DBM-val-bounded v u m n \implies *v c* \leq *n* $\implies \forall k \in \text{set } vs. k > 0 \wedge k \leq n \wedge (\exists c. v c = k)$
 $\implies \text{dbm-entry-val } u \text{None } (\text{Some } c) (\text{len } m 0 (v c) vs)$
(proof)

lemma *cnt-0-D*:

cnt x xs = 0 $\implies x \notin \text{set } xs$
(proof)

lemma *cnt-at-most-1-D*:

cnt x (xs @ x # ys) ≤ 1 $\implies x \notin \text{set } xs \wedge x \notin \text{set } ys$
(proof)

lemma *nat-list-0* [intro]:

x ∈ set xs $\implies 0 \notin \text{set } (xs :: \text{nat list})$ $\implies x > 0$
(proof)

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-len'1*:

fixes *v*
assumes *DBM-val-bounded v u m n 0* \notin *set vs* *v c* \leq *n*
 $\forall k \in \text{set } vs. k > 0 \longrightarrow k \leq n \wedge (\exists c. v c = k)$
shows *dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (len m (v c) 0 vs)*
(proof)

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-len'2*:

fixes *v*
assumes *DBM-val-bounded v u m n 0* \notin *set vs* *v c* \leq *n*
 $\forall k \in \text{set } vs. k > 0 \longrightarrow k \leq n \wedge (\exists c. v c = k)$
shows *dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (len m 0 (v c) vs)*
(proof)

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-len'3*:

fixes *v*
assumes *DBM-val-bounded v u m n cnt 0 vs* ≤ 1 *v c1* $\leq n$ *v c2* $\leq n$
 $\forall k \in \text{set } vs. k > 0 \longrightarrow k \leq n \wedge (\exists c. v c = k)$
shows *dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (len m (v c1) (v c2) vs)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

Now unused lemma *DBM-val-bounded-len'*:

```
fixes v
defines vo ≡ λ k. if k = 0 then None else Some (SOME c. v c = k)
assumes DBM-val-bounded v u m n cnt 0 (i # j # vs) ≤ 1
    ∀ k ∈ set (i # j # vs). k > 0 → k ≤ n ∧ (∃ c. v c = k)
shows dbm-entry-val u (vo i) (vo j) (len m i j vs)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-1: DBM-val-bounded v u m n ⇒ v c ≤ n
⇒ ∀ c ∈ set cs. v c ≤ n
    ⇒ dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (len m (v c) 0 (map v cs))
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-3: DBM-val-bounded v u m n ⇒ v c ≤ n
⇒ v d ≤ n ⇒ ∀ c ∈ set cs. v c ≤ n
    ⇒ dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some d) (len m (v c) (v d) (map v cs))
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-2: DBM-val-bounded v u m n ⇒ v c ≤ n
⇒ ∀ c ∈ set cs. v c ≤ n
    ⇒ dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (len m 0 (v c) (map v cs))
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *DBM-arith-defs* = add neutral uminus

end

theory *Paths-Cycles*

imports Floyd-Warshall.Floyd-Warshall

begin

2 Library for Paths, Arcs and Lengths

lemma *length-eq-distinct*:

```
assumes set xs = set ys distinct xs length xs = length ys
shows distinct ys
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.1 Arcs

```
fun arcs :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list ⇒ (nat * nat) list where
arcs a b [] = [(a,b)] |
```

$$\text{arcs } a \ b \ (x \ # \ xs) = (a, x) \ # \ \text{arcs } x \ b \ xs$$

definition $\text{arcs}' :: \text{nat list} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} * \text{nat}) \text{ set where}$
 $\text{arcs}' xs = \text{set}(\text{arcs}(\text{hd } xs) (\text{last } xs) (\text{butlast } (\text{tl } xs)))$

lemma $\text{arcs}'\text{-decomp}:$

$\text{length } xs > 1 \implies (i, j) \in \text{arcs}' xs \implies \exists \ zs \ ys. \ xs = zs @ i \ # \ j \ # \ ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs-decomp-tail}:$

$\text{arcs } j \ l \ (ys @ [i]) = \text{arcs } j \ i \ ys @ [(i, l)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs-decomp}: xs = ys @ y \ # \ zs \implies \text{arcs } x \ z \ xs = \text{arcs } x \ y \ ys @ \text{arcs } y \ z \ zs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{distinct-arcs-ex}:$

$\text{distinct } xs \implies i \notin \text{set } xs \implies xs \neq [] \implies \exists \ a \ b. \ a \neq x \wedge (a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cycle-rotate-2-aux}:$

$(i, j) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } a \ b \ (xs @ [c])) \implies (i, j) \neq (c, b) \implies (i, j) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } a \ c \ xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs-set-elem1}:$

assumes $j \neq k \ k \in \text{set}(i \ # \ xs)$
shows $\exists \ l. \ (k, l) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs-set-elem2}:$

assumes $i \neq k \ k \in \text{set}(j \ # \ xs)$
shows $\exists \ l. \ (l, k) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Length of Paths

lemmas (in *linordered-ab-monoid-add*) $\text{comm} = \text{add.commute}$

lemma $\text{len-add}:$

fixes $M :: ('a :: \text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$
shows $\text{len } M \ i \ j \ xs + \text{len } M \ i \ j \ xs = \text{len } (\lambda i \ j. \ M \ i \ j + M \ i \ j) \ i \ j \ xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Cycle Rotation

```

lemma cycle-rotate:
  fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
  assumes length xs > 1 (i, j) ∈ arcs' xs
  shows ∃ ys zs. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys @ a # zs) ∧ xs = zs @
    i # j # ys (proof)

lemma cycle-rotate-2:
  fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
  assumes xs ≠ [] (i, j) ∈ set (arcs a a xs)
  shows ∃ ys. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys) ∧ set ys ⊆ set (a # xs)
    ∧ length ys < length xs
(proof)

lemma cycle-rotate-len-arcs:
  fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
  assumes length xs > 1 (i, j) ∈ arcs' xs
  shows ∃ ys zs. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys @ a # zs)
    ∧ set (arcs a a xs) = set (arcs i i (j # ys @ a # zs)) ∧ xs =
    zs @ i # j # ys
(proof)

lemma cycle-rotate-2':
  fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
  assumes xs ≠ [] (i, j) ∈ set (arcs a a xs)
  shows ∃ ys. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys) ∧ set (i # j # ys) = set
    (a # xs)
    ∧ 1 + length ys = length xs ∧ set (arcs a a xs) = set (arcs i i (j
    # ys))
(proof)

```

2.4 More on Cycle-Freeness

```

lemma cyc-free-diag-dest:
  assumes cyc-free M n i ≤ n set xs ⊆ {0..n}
  shows len M i i xs ≥ 0
(proof)

lemma cycle-free-0-0:
  fixes M :: ('a::linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
  assumes cycle-free M n
  shows M 0 0 ≥ 0
(proof)

```

2.5 Helper Lemmas for Bouyer's Theorem on Approximation

lemma *aux1*: $i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \implies (a,b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs) \implies a \leq n \wedge b \leq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arcs-distinct1*:

$i \notin \text{set } xs \implies j \notin \text{set } xs \implies \text{distinct } xs \implies xs \neq [] \implies (a,b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs) \implies a \neq b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arcs-distinct2*:

$i \notin \text{set } xs \implies j \notin \text{set } xs \implies \text{distinct } xs \implies i \neq j \implies (a,b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs) \implies a \neq b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arcs-distinct3*: $\text{distinct } (a \ # \ b \ # \ c \ # \ xs) \implies (i,j) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } a \ b \ xs) \implies i \neq c \wedge j \neq c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arcs-elem*:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$ **shows** $a \in \text{set } (i \ # \ xs)$ $b \in \text{set } (j \ # \ xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arcs-distinct-dest1*:

$\text{distinct } (i \ # \ a \ # \ zs) \implies (b,c) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } a \ j \ zs) \implies b \neq i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arcs-distinct-fix*:

$\text{distinct } (a \ # \ x \ # \ xs @ [b]) \implies (a,c) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } a \ b \ (x \ # \ xs)) \implies c = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *disjE3*: $A \vee B \vee C \implies (A \implies G) \implies (B \implies G) \implies (C \implies G) \implies G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arcs-predecessor*:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$ $a \neq i$
shows $\exists c. (c, a) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arcs-successor*:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$ $b \neq j$

shows $\exists c. (b,c) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i j xs)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs-predecessor}'$:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i j (x \# xs))$ $(a,b) \neq (i, x)$
shows $\exists c. (c, a) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i j (x \# xs))$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma arcs-cases :

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i j xs)$ $xs \neq []$
shows $(\exists ys. xs = b \# ys \wedge a = i) \vee (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [a] \wedge b = j)$
 $\vee (\exists c d ys. (a,b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } c d ys) \wedge xs = c \# ys @ [d])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs-cases}'$:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i j xs)$ $xs \neq []$
shows $(\exists ys. xs = b \# ys \wedge a = i) \vee (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [a] \wedge b = j) \vee$
 $(\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# b \# zs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs-successor}'$:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i j xs)$ $b \neq j$
shows $\exists c. xs = [b] \wedge a = i \vee (\exists ys. xs = b \# c \# ys \wedge a = i) \vee (\exists ys.$
 $xs = ys @ [a,b] \wedge c = j)$
 $\vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma list-last :

$xs = [] \vee (\exists y ys. xs = ys @ [y])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arcs-predecessor}''$:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i j xs)$ $a \neq i$
shows $\exists c. xs = [a] \vee (\exists ys. xs = a \# b \# ys) \vee (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [c,a]$
 $\wedge b = j)$
 $\vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ c \# a \# b \# zs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma arcs-ex-middle :

$\exists b. (a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i j (ys @ a \# xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma arcs-ex-head :

$\exists b. (i, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i j xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.5.1 Successive

```

fun successive where
  successive - [] = True |
  successive P [-] = True |
  successive P (x # y # xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg P y \wedge$  successive P xs  $\vee \neg P x \wedge$ 
  successive P (y # xs)

lemma  $\neg$  successive ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [Suc 0, Suc 0]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma successive ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [Suc 0]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma successive ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [Suc 0, 0, Suc 0]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma  $\neg$  successive ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [Suc 0, 0, Suc 0, Suc 0]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma  $\neg$  successive ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [Suc 0, 0, 0, Suc 0, Suc 0]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma successive ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [Suc 0, 0, Suc 0, 0, Suc 0]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma  $\neg$  successive ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [Suc 0, Suc 0, 0, Suc 0]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma successive ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [0, 0, Suc 0, 0]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma successive-step: successive P (x # xs)  $\implies$   $\neg P x \implies$  successive P
xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma successive-step-2: successive P (x # y # xs)  $\implies$   $\neg P y \implies$  suc-
cessive P xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma successive-stepI:
  successive P xs  $\implies$   $\neg P x \implies$  successive P (x # xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas list-two-induct[case-names Nil Single Cons] = induct-list012

lemma successive-end-1:
  successive P xs  $\implies$   $\neg P x \implies$  successive P (xs @ [x])
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma successive-ends-1:
  successive P xs  $\implies$   $\neg P x \implies$  successive P ys  $\implies$  successive P (xs @ x
# ys)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma successive-ends-1':
  successive P xs  $\implies$   $\neg P x \implies P y \implies \neg P z \implies$  successive P ys  $\implies$ 
successive P (xs @ x # y # z # ys)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-end-2:

successive P $xs \implies \neg P x \implies$ successive P ($xs @ [x,y]$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-end-2':

successive P ($xs @ [x]$) $\implies \neg P x \implies$ successive P ($xs @ [x,y]$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-end-3:

successive P ($xs @ [x]$) $\implies \neg P x \implies P y \implies \neg P z \implies$ successive P ($xs @ [x,y,z]$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-step-rev:

successive P ($xs @ [x]$) $\implies \neg P x \implies$ successive P xs

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-glue:

successive P ($zs @ [z]$) \implies successive P ($x \# xs$) $\implies \neg P z \vee \neg P x \implies$

successive P ($zs @ [z] @ x \# xs$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-glue':

successive P ($zs @ [y]$) $\wedge \neg P z \vee$ successive P $zs \wedge \neg P y$

\implies successive P ($x \# xs$) $\wedge \neg P w \vee$ successive P $xs \wedge \neg P x$

$\implies \neg P z \vee \neg P w \implies$ successive P ($zs @ y \# z \# w \# x \# xs$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-dest-head:

$xs = w \# x \# ys \implies$ successive P $xs \implies$ successive P ($x \# xs$) $\wedge \neg P w$
 \vee successive P $xs \wedge \neg P x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-dest-tail:

$xs = zs @ [y,z] \implies$ successive P xs

\implies successive P ($zs @ [y]$) $\wedge \neg P z \vee$ successive P $zs \wedge \neg P y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-split:

$xs = ys @ zs \implies$ successive P $xs \implies$ successive P $ys \wedge$ successive P zs

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-decomp:

assumes $xs = x \# ys @ zs @ [z] \Rightarrow \text{successive } P xs \Rightarrow \neg P x \vee \neg P z \Rightarrow \text{successive } P (zs @ [z] @ (x \# ys))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-predecessor:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set} (\text{arcs } i j xs)$ $a \neq i$ successive $P (\text{arcs } i j xs)$ $P (a, b)$
 $xs \neq []$
shows $\exists c. (xs = [a] \wedge c = i \vee (\exists ys. xs = a \# b \# ys \wedge c = i) \vee (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [c, a] \wedge b = j)$
 $\vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ c \# a \# b \# zs) \wedge \neg P (c, a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma successive-successor:

assumes $(a, b) \in \text{set} (\text{arcs } i j xs)$ $b \neq j$ successive $P (\text{arcs } i j xs)$ $P (a, b)$
 $xs \neq []$
shows $\exists c. (xs = [b] \wedge c = j \vee (\exists ys. xs = b \# c \# ys) \vee (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [a, b] \wedge c = j)$
 $\vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs) \wedge \neg P (b, c))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas add-mono-right = add-mono[*OF order-refl*]

lemmas add-mono-left = add-mono[*OF - order-refl*]

Obtaining successive and distinct paths lemma canonical-successive:

fixes A B
defines $M \equiv \lambda i j. \min (A i j) (B i j)$
assumes canonical $A n$
assumes set $xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$
assumes $i \leq n$ $j \leq n$
shows $\exists ys. \text{len } M i j ys \leq \text{len } M i j xs \wedge \text{set } ys \subseteq \{0..n\}$
 $\wedge \text{successive } (\lambda (a, b). M a b = A a b) (\text{arcs } i j ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma canonical-successive-distinct:

fixes A B
defines $M \equiv \lambda i j. \min (A i j) (B i j)$
assumes canonical $A n$
assumes set $xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$
assumes $i \leq n$ $j \leq n$
assumes distinct $xs i \notin \text{set } xs$ $j \notin \text{set } xs$
shows $\exists ys. \text{len } M i j ys \leq \text{len } M i j xs \wedge \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs$
 $\wedge \text{successive } (\lambda (a, b). M a b = A a b) (\text{arcs } i j ys)$

$\wedge \text{distinct } ys \wedge i \notin \text{set } ys \wedge j \notin \text{set } ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma successive-snd-last: successive $P (xs @ [x, y]) \implies P y \implies \neg P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma canonical-shorten-rotate-neg-cycle:

```

fixes A B
defines M ≡ λ i j. min (A i j) (B i j)
assumes canonical A n
assumes set xs ⊆ {0..n}
assumes i ≤ n
assumes len M i i xs < 0
shows ∃ j ys. len M j j ys < 0 ∧ set (j # ys) ⊆ set (i # xs)
    ∧ successive (λ (a, b). M a b = A a b) (arcs j j ys)
    ∧ distinct ys ∧ j ∉ set ys ∧
        (ys ≠ [] → M j (hd ys) ≠ A j (hd ys) ∨ M (last ys) j ≠ A
        (last ys) j)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

lemma successive-arcs-extend-last:

```

successive P (arcs i j xs) → P (i, hd xs) ∨ P (last xs, j) → xs ≠ []
→ successive P (arcs i j xs @ [(i, hd xs)])
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

lemma cycle-rotate-arcs:

```

fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
assumes length xs > 1 (i, j) ∈ arcs' xs
shows ∃ ys zs. set (arcs a a xs) = set (arcs i i (j # ys @ a # zs)) ∧ xs
= zs @ i # j # ys  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

lemma cycle-rotate-len-arcs-successive:

```

fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
assumes length xs > 1 (i, j) ∈ arcs' xs successive P (arcs a a xs) → P
(a, hd xs) ∨ P (last xs, a)
shows ∃ ys zs. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys @ a # zs)
    ∧ set (arcs a a xs) = set (arcs i i (j # ys @ a # zs)) ∧ xs =
zs @ i # j # ys
    ∧ successive P (arcs i i (j # ys @ a # zs))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

lemma successive-successors:

```

xs = ys @ a # b # c # zs → successive P (arcs i j xs) → P (a, b)
```

```

 $\vee \neg P(b, c)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma successive-successors':
   $xs = ys @ a \# b \# zs \implies \text{successive } P xs \implies \neg P a \vee \neg P b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cycle-rotate-len-arcs-successive':
  fixes  $M :: ('a :: \text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$ 
  assumes  $\text{length } xs > 1 \ (i, j) \in \text{arcs}' xs \ \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } a \ a \ xs)$ 
     $\neg P(a, \text{hd } xs) \vee \neg P(\text{last } xs, a)$ 
  shows  $\exists ys \ zs. \text{len } M a \ a \ xs = \text{len } M i \ i \ (j \ # \ ys @ a \ # \ zs)$ 
     $\wedge \text{set}(\text{arcs } a \ a \ xs) = \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ i \ (j \ # \ ys @ a \ # \ zs)) \wedge xs =$ 
     $zs @ i \ # \ j \ # \ ys$ 
     $\wedge \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } i \ i \ (j \ # \ ys @ a \ # \ zs) @ [(i, j)])$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cycle-rotate-3':
  fixes  $M :: ('a :: \text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$ 
  assumes  $xs \neq [] \ (i, j) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } a \ a \ xs) \ \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } a \ a \ xs) \neg P$ 
     $(a, \text{hd } xs) \vee \neg P(\text{last } xs, a)$ 
  shows  $\exists ys. \text{len } M a \ a \ xs = \text{len } M i \ i \ (j \ # \ ys) \wedge \text{set}(i \ # \ j \ # \ ys) = \text{set}$ 
     $(a \ # \ xs) \wedge 1 + \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs$ 
     $\wedge \text{set}(\text{arcs } a \ a \ xs) = \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ i \ (j \ # \ ys))$ 
     $\wedge \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } i \ i \ (j \ # \ ys))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cycle-rotate-3':
  fixes  $M :: ('a :: \text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$ 
  assumes  $xs \neq [] \ (i, j) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } a \ a \ xs) \ \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } a \ a \ xs) \neg P$ 
     $(a, \text{hd } xs) \vee \neg P(\text{last } xs, a)$ 
  shows  $\exists ys. \text{len } M a \ a \ xs = \text{len } M i \ i \ (j \ # \ ys) \wedge \text{set}(i \ # \ j \ # \ ys) = \text{set}$ 
     $(a \ # \ xs) \wedge 1 + \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs$ 
     $\wedge \text{set}(\text{arcs } a \ a \ xs) = \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ i \ (j \ # \ ys))$ 
     $\wedge \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } i \ i \ (j \ # \ ys) @ [(i, j)])$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

2.5.2 Zones and DBMs

```

theory Zones
  imports DBM
begin

```

type-synonym $('c, 't) \text{ zone} = ('c, 't) \text{ eval set}$

type-synonym $('c, 't) \text{ eval} = 'c \Rightarrow 't$

definition $\text{eval-add} :: ('c, 't) \text{ eval} \Rightarrow 't::\text{plus} \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ eval}$ (**infixr** \oplus 64)

where

$$u \oplus d = (\lambda x. u x + d)$$

definition $\text{zone-delay} :: ('c, ('t::\text{time})) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ zone}$
 $(\text{--}^\dagger [71] 71)$

where

$$Z^\dagger = \{u \oplus d | u, d. u \in Z \wedge d \geq (0::'t)\}$$

fun $\text{clock-set} :: 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow 't::\text{time} \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ eval} \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ eval}$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{clock-set} [] - u &= u \\ \text{clock-set} (c \# cs) t u &= (\text{clock-set} cs t u)(c := t) \end{aligned}$$

abbreviation $\text{clock-set-abbrv} :: 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow 't::\text{time} \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ eval} \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ eval}$
 $(\text{--} \rightarrow \text{--}^- [65, 65, 65] 65)$

where

$$[r \rightarrow t]u \equiv \text{clock-set } r t u$$

definition $\text{zone-set} :: ('c, 't::\text{time}) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ zone}$
 $(\text{--} \rightarrow 0 [71] 71)$

where

$$\text{zone-set } Z r = \{[r \rightarrow (0::'t)]u | u \in Z\}$$

lemma $\text{clock-set-set[simp]}:$

$$([r \rightarrow d]u) c = d \text{ if } c \in \text{set } r$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{clock-set-id[simp]}:$

$$([r \rightarrow d]u) c = u \text{ c if } c \notin \text{set } r$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{DBM-zone-repr} :: ('t::\text{time}) \text{ DBM} \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('c, 't :: \text{time}) \text{ zone}$
 $(\text{--} \rightarrow \text{--}^- [72, 72, 72] 72)$

where

$$[M]_{v,n} = \{u . \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n\}$$

```

lemma dbm-entry-val-mono1:
  dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c') b  $\implies$  b  $\preceq$  b'  $\implies$  dbm-entry-val u
  (Some c) (Some c') b'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dbm-entry-val-mono2:
  dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) b  $\implies$  b  $\preceq$  b'  $\implies$  dbm-entry-val u None
  (Some c) b'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dbm-entry-val-mono3:
  dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None b  $\implies$  b  $\preceq$  b'  $\implies$  dbm-entry-val u (Some
  c) None b'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas dbm-entry-val-mono = dbm-entry-val-mono1 dbm-entry-val-mono2
dbm-entry-val-mono3

lemma DBM-le-subset:
   $\forall i j. i \leq n \longrightarrow j \leq n \longrightarrow M i j \preceq M' i j \implies u \in [M]_{v,n} \implies u \in [M']_{v,n}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
theory DBM-Basics
imports
  DBM
  Paths-Cycles
  Zones
begin

```

2.5.3 Useful definitions

```

fun get-const where
  get-const (Le c) = c |
  get-const (Lt c) = c |
  get-const ( $\infty :: -DBMEntry$ ) = undefined

```

2.5.4 Updating DBMs

```

abbreviation DBM-update :: ('t::time) DBM  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('t DBMEntry)
 $\Rightarrow$  ('t::time) DBM
where
  DBM-update M m n v  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda x y. if m = x \wedge n = y then v else M x y$ )

```

```

fun DBM-upd :: ('t::time) DBM  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  't DBMEntry)  $\Rightarrow$  nat
 $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  't DBM
where
  DBM-upd M f 0 0 - = DBM-update M 0 0 (f 0 0) |
  DBM-upd M f (Suc i) 0 n = DBM-update (DBM-upd M f i n n) (Suc i)
  0 (f (Suc i) 0) |
  DBM-upd M f i (Suc j) n = DBM-update (DBM-upd M f i j n) i (Suc j)
  (f i (Suc j))

lemma upd-1:
assumes j  $\leq$  n
shows DBM-upd M1 f (Suc m) n N (Suc m) j = DBM-upd M1 f (Suc m)
j N (Suc m) j
⟨proof⟩

lemma upd-2:
assumes i  $\leq$  m
shows DBM-upd M1 f (Suc m) n N i j = DBM-upd M1 f (Suc m) 0 N i j
⟨proof⟩

lemma upd-3:
assumes m  $\leq$  N n  $\leq$  N j  $\leq$  n i  $\leq$  m
shows (DBM-upd M1 f m n N) i j = (DBM-upd M1 f i j N) i j
⟨proof⟩

lemma upd-id:
assumes m  $\leq$  N n  $\leq$  N i  $\leq$  m j  $\leq$  n
shows (DBM-upd M1 f m n N) i j = f i j
⟨proof⟩

```

2.5.5 DBMs Without Negative Cycles are Non-Empty

We need all of these assumptions for the proof that matrices without negative cycles represent non-negative zones:

- Abelian (linearly ordered) monoid
- Time is non-trivial
- Time is dense

lemmas (in linordered-ab-monoid-add) comm = add.commute

lemma sum-gt-neutral-dest' :

$(a :: (('a :: time) DBMEntry)) \geq 0 \implies a + b > 0 \implies \exists d. Le d \leq a \wedge Le (-d) \leq b \wedge d \geq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-gt-neutral-dest*:

$(a :: (('a :: time) DBMEntry)) + b > 0 \implies \exists d. Le d \leq a \wedge Le (-d) \leq b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.5.6 Negative Cycles in DBMs

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle1*:

fixes i xs **assumes**

bounded: $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n$ **and** $A:i \leq n$ $set xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$ $len M i i xs < 0$ **and**

surj-on: $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ **and** *at-most*: $i \neq 0$ $cnt 0 xs \leq 1$

shows *False*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cnt-0-I*:

$x \notin set xs \implies cnt x xs = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-cnt*: $distinct xs \implies cnt x xs \leq 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle*:

fixes i xs **assumes**

bounded: $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n$ **and** $A:i \leq n$ $set xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$ $len M i i xs < 0$ **and**

surj-on: $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$

shows *False*

$\langle proof \rangle$

Nicer Path Boundedness Theorems **lemma** *DBM-val-bounded-len-1*:

fixes v

assumes $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n v c \leq n$ $set vs \subseteq \{0..n\} \forall k \leq n. (\exists c. v c = k)$

shows *dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (len M (v c) 0 vs)* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-len-2*:

fixes v

assumes $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n v c \leq n$ $set vs \subseteq \{0..n\} \forall k \leq n. (\exists$

c. v c = k)
shows *dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (len M 0 (v c) vs) ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-len-3:*

fixes *v*

assumes *DBM-val-bounded v u M n v c1 ≤ n v c2 ≤ n set vs ⊆ {0..n}*
 $\forall k \leq n. (\exists c. v c = k)$

shows *dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (len M (v c1) (v c2) vs)*
⟨proof⟩

An equivalent way of handling **0**

fun *val-0 :: ('c ⇒ ('a :: linordered-ab-group-add)) ⇒ 'c option ⇒ 'a where*
val-0 u None = 0 |
val-0 u (Some c) = u c

notation *val-0 (-0 - [90,90] 90)*

lemma *dbm-entry-val-None-None[dest]:*
dbm-entry-val u None None l ⟹ l = ∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma *dbm-entry-val-dbm-lt:*

assumes *dbm-entry-val u x y l*
shows *Lt (u₀ x - u₀ y) ≺ l*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *dbm-lt-dbm-entry-val-1:*

assumes *Lt (u x) ≺ l*
shows *dbm-entry-val u (Some x) None l*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *dbm-lt-dbm-entry-val-2:*

assumes *Lt (– u x) ≺ l*
shows *dbm-entry-val u None (Some x) l*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *dbm-lt-dbm-entry-val-3:*

assumes *Lt (u x – u y) ≺ l*
shows *dbm-entry-val u (Some x) (Some y) l*
⟨proof⟩

A more uniform theorem for boundedness by paths

lemma *DBM-val-bounded-len:*

fixes *v*

defines $v' \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x = \text{None} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } v \text{ (the } x)$
assumes DBM-val-bounded $v u M n v' x \leq n v' y \leq n$ set $vs \subseteq \{0..n\}$
 $\forall k \leq n. (\exists c. v c = k) x \neq \text{None} \vee y \neq \text{None}$
shows $Lt(u_0 x - u_0 y) \prec \text{len } M(v' x) (v' y) vs \langle proof \rangle$

2.5.7 Floyd-Warshall Algorithm Preservers Zones

lemma $D\text{-dest}: x = D m i j k \implies$
 $x \in \{\text{len } m i j xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs \wedge j \notin \text{set } xs \wedge \text{distinct } xs\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $FW\text{-zone-equiv}:$
 $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \implies [M]_{v,n} = [FW M n]_{v,n}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $new\text{-negative}\text{-cycle-aux}':$
fixes $M :: ('a :: \text{time}) \text{ DBM}$
fixes $i j d$
defines $M' \equiv \lambda i' j'. \text{if } (i' = i \wedge j' = j) \text{ then } Le d$
 $\quad \text{else if } (i' = j \wedge j' = i) \text{ then } Le (-d)$
 $\quad \text{else } M i' j'$
assumes $i \leq n j \leq n$ set $xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$ cycle-free $M n$ length $xs = m$
assumes $\text{len } M' i i (j \# xs) < 0 \vee \text{len } M' j j (i \# xs) < 0$
assumes $i \neq j$
shows $\exists xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \wedge j \notin \text{set } xs \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs$
 $\wedge (\text{len } M' i i (j \# xs) < 0 \vee \text{len } M' j j (i \# xs) < 0) \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $new\text{-negative}\text{-cycle-aux}:$
fixes $M :: ('a :: \text{time}) \text{ DBM}$
fixes $i d$
defines $M' \equiv \lambda i' j'. \text{if } (i' = i \wedge j' = 0) \text{ then } Le d$
 $\quad \text{else if } (i' = 0 \wedge j' = i) \text{ then } Le (-d)$
 $\quad \text{else } M i' j'$
assumes $i \leq n$ set $xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$ cycle-free $M n$ length $xs = m$
assumes $\text{len } M' 0 0 (i \# xs) < 0 \vee \text{len } M' i i (0 \# xs) < 0$
assumes $i \neq 0$
shows $\exists xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \wedge 0 \notin \text{set } xs \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs$
 $\wedge (\text{len } M' 0 0 (i \# xs) < 0 \vee \text{len } M' i i (0 \# xs) < 0) \langle proof \rangle$

2.6 The Characteristic Property of Canonical DBMs

theorem $fix\text{-index}':$
fixes $M :: (('a :: \text{time}) \text{ DBMEntry}) mat$

assumes $Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i$ cycle-free $M n$ canonical $M n$ $i \leq n$ $j \leq n$ $i \neq j$
defines $M' \equiv \lambda i' j'. \text{if } (i' = i \wedge j' = j) \text{ then } Le r$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{else if } (i' = j \wedge j' = i) \text{ then } Le (-r)$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{else } M i' j'$
shows $(\forall u. DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M' n \longrightarrow DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n) \wedge \text{cycle-free } M' n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fix-index:
fixes $M :: (('a :: time) DBMEntry) mat$
assumes $M 0 i + M i 0 > 0$ cycle-free $M n$ canonical $M n$ $i \leq n$ $i \neq 0$
shows
 $\exists (M' :: ('a DBMEntry) mat). ((\exists u. DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M' n) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists u. DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n))$
 $\wedge M' 0 i + M' i 0 = 0 \wedge \text{cycle-free } M' n$
 $\wedge (\forall j. i \neq j \wedge M 0 j + M j 0 = 0 \longrightarrow M' 0 j + M' j 0 = 0)$
 $\wedge (\forall j. i \neq j \wedge M 0 j + M j 0 > 0 \longrightarrow M' 0 j + M' j 0 > 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Putting it together lemma FW-not-empty:
 $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u (FW M' n) n \implies DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M' n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fix-indices:
fixes $M :: (('a :: time) DBMEntry) mat$
assumes set $xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$ distinct xs
assumes cyc-free $M n$ canonical $M n$
shows
 $\exists (M' :: ('a DBMEntry) mat). ((\exists u. DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M' n) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists u. DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n))$
 $\wedge (\forall i \in \text{set } xs. i \neq 0 \longrightarrow M' 0 i + M' i 0 = 0) \wedge \text{cyc-free } M' n$
 $\wedge (\forall i \leq n. i \notin \text{set } xs \wedge M 0 i + M i 0 = 0 \longrightarrow M' 0 i + M' i 0 = 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cyc-free-obtains-valuation:
 $cyc\text{-free } M n \implies \forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow v c > 0 \implies \exists u. DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.6.1 Floyd-Warshall and Empty DBMs

theorem FW-detects-empty-zone:
 $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \implies \forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow v c > 0$

$\implies [FW M n]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists i \leq n. (FW M n) i i < Le 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

hide-const (open) D

2.6.2 Mixed Corollaries

lemma cyc-free-not-empty:
assumes $cyc\text{-free } M n \forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow 0 < v c$
shows $[(M :: ('a :: time) DBM)]_{v,n} \neq \{ \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma empty-not-cyc-free:
assumes $\forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow 0 < v c [(M :: ('a :: time) DBM)]_{v,n} = \{ \}$
shows $\neg cyc\text{-free } M n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-empty-cyc-free:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) [(M :: ('a :: time) DBM)]_{v,n} \neq \{ \}$
shows $cyc\text{-free } M n \langle proof \rangle$

lemma neg-cycle-empty:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) set xs \subseteq \{0..n\} i \leq n len M i i xs < 0$
shows $[(M :: ('a :: time) DBM)]_{v,n} = \{ \} \langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation clock-numbering' :: ('c ⇒ nat) ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
where
 $clock\text{-numbering}' v n \equiv \forall c. v c > 0 \wedge (\forall x. \forall y. v x \leq n \wedge v y \leq n \wedge v x = v y \longrightarrow x = y)$

lemma non-empty-dbm-diag-set:
 $clock\text{-numbering}' v n \implies [M]_{v,n} \neq \{ \}$
 $\implies [M]_{v,n} = [(\lambda i j. if i = j then 0 else M i j)]_{v,n}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma non-empty-cycle-free:
assumes $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{ \}$
and $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$
shows $cycle\text{-free } M n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *neg-diag-empty*:

assumes $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \ i \leq n \ M i \ i < 0$

shows $[M]_{v,n} = \{\}$

(proof)

lemma *canonical-empty-zone*:

assumes $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \ \forall c. v c \leq n \rightarrow 0 < v c$

and *canonical M n*

shows $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists i \leq n. M i \ i < 0)$

(proof)

2.7 Orderings of DBMs

lemma *canonical-saturated-1*:

assumes *Le r ≤ M (v c1) 0*

and *Le (–r) ≤ M 0 (v c1)*

and *cycle-free M n*

and *canonical M n*

and *v c1 ≤ n*

and *v c1 > 0*

and $\forall c. v c \leq n \rightarrow 0 < v c$

obtains *u where u ∈ [M]_{v,n} u c1 = r*

(proof)

lemma *canonical-saturated-2*:

assumes *Le r ≤ M 0 (v c2)*

and *Le (–r) ≤ M (v c2) 0*

and *cycle-free M n*

and *canonical M n*

and *v c2 ≤ n*

and *v c2 > 0*

and $\forall c. v c \leq n \rightarrow 0 < v c$

obtains *u where u ∈ [M]_{v,n} u c2 = –r*

(proof)

lemma *canonical-saturated-3*:

assumes *Le r ≤ M (v c1) (v c2)*

and *Le (–r) ≤ M (v c2) (v c1)*

and *cycle-free M n*

and *canonical M n*

and *v c1 ≤ n v c2 ≤ n*

and *v c1 ≠ v c2*

and $\forall c. v c \leq n \rightarrow 0 < v c$

obtains *u where u ∈ [M]_{v,n} u c1 – u c2 = r*

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma DBM-canonical-subset-le:
  notes any-le-inf[intro]
  fixes M :: real DBM
  assumes canonical M n [M]_{v,n} ⊆ [M']_{v,n} [M]_{v,n} ≠ {} i ≤ n j ≤ n i ≠ j
  assumes clock-numbering: clock-numbering' v n
    ∀ k ≤ n. 0 < k → (exists c. v c = k)
  shows M i j ≤ M' i j
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory FW-More
imports
  DBM-Basics
  Floyd-Warshall.FW-Code
begin
```

2.8 Partial Floyd-Warshall Preserves Zones

```
lemma fwi-len-distinct:
  ∃ ys. set ys ⊆ {k} ∧ fwi m n k n n i j = len m i j ys ∧ i ∉ set ys ∧ j ∉
  set ys ∧ distinct ys
  if i ≤ n j ≤ n k ≤ n m k k ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma FWI-mono:
  i ≤ n ⇒ j ≤ n ⇒ FWI M n k i j ≤ M i j
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma FWI-zone-equiv:
  [M]_{v,n} = [FWI M n k]_{v,n} if surj-on: ∀ k ≤ n. k > 0 → (exists c. v c = k)
  and k ≤ n
  ⟨proof⟩

end
```

3 DBM Operations

```
theory DBM-Operations
imports
  DBM-Basics
begin
```

3.1 Auxiliary

lemmas [*trans*] = *finite-subset*

lemma *finite-vimageI2*: *finite* (*h* − ‘*F*) **if** *finite F inj-on h {x. h x ∈ F}*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *gt-swap*:
 fixes *a b c* :: ‘*t* :: *time*
 assumes *c < a + b*
 shows *c < b + a*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *le-swap*:
 fixes *a b c* :: ‘*t* :: *time*
 assumes *c ≤ a + b*
 shows *c ≤ b + a*
⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *clock-numbering* :: (‘*c* ⇒ *nat*) ⇒ *bool*

where

clock-numbering v ≡ ∀ *c. v c > 0*

lemma *DBM-triv*:

u ⊢_{v,n} (λi j. ∞)
⟨*proof*⟩

3.2 Relaxation

Relaxation of upper bound constraints on all variables. Used to compute time lapse in timed automata.

definition

up :: (‘*t*:linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add) *DBM* ⇒ ‘*t* *DBM*

where

up M ≡
 $\lambda i j. \text{if } i > 0 \text{ then if } j = 0 \text{ then } \infty \text{ else } \min (\text{dbm-add } (M i 0) (M 0 j))$
 $(M i j) \text{ else } M i j$

lemma *dbm-entry-dbm-lt*:

assumes *dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) a a ⊲ b*
 shows *dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) b*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dbm-entry-dbm-min2*:

```

assumes dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (min a b)
shows dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) b
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min3:
assumes dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (min a b)
shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None b
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min:
assumes dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (min a b)
shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) b
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min3':
assumes dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (min a b)
shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None a
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min2':
assumes dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (min a b)
shows dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) a
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min':
assumes dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (min a b)
shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) a
⟨proof⟩

lemma DBM-up-complete': clock-numbering v  $\implies$   $u \in ([M]_{v,n})^\uparrow \implies u \in [up M]_{v,n}$ 
⟨proof⟩

fun theLe :: ('t::time) DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  't where
  theLe (Le d) = d |
  theLe (Lt d) = d |
  theLe  $\infty$  = 0

lemma DBM-up-sound':
assumes clock-numbering' v n  $u \in [up M]_{v,n}$ 
shows  $u \in ([M]_{v,n})^\uparrow$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.3 Intersection

```
fun And :: ('t :: {linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add}) DBM  $\Rightarrow$  't DBM  $\Rightarrow$  't DBM
where
  And M1 M2 = ( $\lambda$  i j. min (M1 i j) (M2 i j))
```

lemma DBM-and-complete:

```
assumes DBM-val-bounded v u M1 n DBM-val-bounded v u M2 n
shows DBM-val-bounded v u (And M1 M2) n
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma DBM-and-sound1:

```
assumes DBM-val-bounded v u (And M1 M2) n
shows DBM-val-bounded v u M1 n
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma DBM-and-sound2:

```
assumes DBM-val-bounded v u (And M1 M2) n
shows DBM-val-bounded v u M2 n
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma And-correct:

```
[M1]_{v,n}  $\cap$  [M2]_{v,n} = [And M1 M2]_{v,n}
⟨proof⟩
```

3.4 Variable Reset

definition

```
DBM-reset :: ('t :: time) DBM  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  't DBM  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
```

```
DBM-reset M n k d M'  $\equiv$ 
  ( $\forall$  j  $\leq$  n. 0 < j  $\wedge$  k  $\neq$  j  $\longrightarrow$  M' k j =  $\infty$   $\wedge$  M' j k =  $\infty$ )  $\wedge$  M' k 0 =
  Le d  $\wedge$  M' 0 k = Le (- d)
   $\wedge$  M' k k = M k k
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  i  $\leq$  n.  $\forall$  j  $\leq$  n.
  i  $\neq$  k  $\wedge$  j  $\neq$  k  $\longrightarrow$  M' i j = min (dbm-add (M i k) (M k j)) (M i j))
```

lemma DBM-reset-mono:

```
assumes DBM-reset M n k d M' i  $\leq$  n j  $\leq$  n i  $\neq$  k j  $\neq$  k
shows M' i j  $\leq$  M i j
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma DBM-reset-len-mono:

assumes $DBM\text{-reset } M n k d M' k \notin set xs i \neq k j \neq k set (i \# j \# xs) \subseteq \{0..n\}$
shows $\text{len } M' i j xs \leq \text{len } M i j xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $DBM\text{-reset-neg-cycle-preservation}:$

assumes $DBM\text{-reset } M n k d M' \text{len } M i i xs < Le 0 set (k \# i \# xs) \subseteq \{0..n\}$
shows $\exists j. \exists ys. set (j \# ys) \subseteq \{0..n\} \wedge \text{len } M' j j ys < Le 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Implementation of DBM reset

definition

$\text{reset} :: ('t:\{\text{linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add}, \text{uminus}\}) DBM \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't DBM$

where

$\text{reset } M n k d =$
 $(\lambda i j.$
 $\quad \text{if } i = k \wedge j = 0 \text{ then } Le d$
 $\quad \text{else if } i = 0 \wedge j = k \text{ then } Le (-d)$
 $\quad \text{else if } i = k \wedge j \neq k \text{ then } \infty$
 $\quad \text{else if } i \neq k \wedge j = k \text{ then } \infty$
 $\quad \text{else if } i = k \wedge j = k \text{ then } M k k$
 $\quad \text{else min (dbm-add (M i k) (M k j)) (M i j)}$
 $)$

fun

$\text{reset}' ::$
 $('t:\{\text{linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add}, \text{uminus}\}) DBM$
 $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't DBM$

where

$\text{reset}' M n [] v d = M |$
 $\text{reset}' M n (c \# cs) v d = \text{reset} (\text{reset}' M n cs v d) n (v c) d$

lemma $DBM\text{-reset-reset}:$

$0 < k \implies k \leq n \implies DBM\text{-reset } M n k d (\text{reset } M n k d)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $DBM\text{-reset-complete}:$

assumes $\text{clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n DBM\text{-reset } M n (v c) d M'$
 $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n$
shows $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v (u(c := d)) M' n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DBM-reset-sound-empty*:
assumes *clock-numbering'* $v n v c \leq n$ *DBM-reset M n (v c) d M'*
 $\forall u . \neg DBM\text{-val}\text{-bounded } v u M' n$
shows $\neg DBM\text{-val}\text{-bounded } v u M n$
(proof)

lemma *DBM-reset-diag-preservation*:
 $\forall k \leq n. M' k k \leq 0$ **if** $\forall k \leq n. M k k \leq 0$ *DBM-reset M n i d M'*
(proof)

lemma *FW-diag-preservation*:
 $\forall k \leq n. M k k \leq 0 \implies \forall k \leq n. (FW M n) k k \leq 0$
(proof)

lemma *DBM-reset-not-cyc-free-preservation*:
assumes $\neg cyc\text{-free } M n$ *DBM-reset M n k d M' k \leq n*
shows $\neg cyc\text{-free } M' n$
(proof)

lemma *DBM-reset-complete-empty'*:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ *clock-numbering v k \leq n*
 $DBM\text{-reset } M n k d M' \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-val}\text{-bounded } v u M n$
shows $\neg DBM\text{-val}\text{-bounded } v u M' n$
(proof)

lemma *DBM-reset-complete-empty*:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ *clock-numbering v*
 $DBM\text{-reset } (FW M n) n (v c) d M' \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-val}\text{-bounded } v u$
 $(FW M n) n$
shows $\neg DBM\text{-val}\text{-bounded } v u M' n$
(proof)

lemma *DBM-reset-complete-empty1*:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ *clock-numbering v*
 $DBM\text{-reset } (FW M n) n (v c) d M' \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-val}\text{-bounded } v u$
 $M n$
shows $\neg DBM\text{-val}\text{-bounded } v u M' n$
(proof)

Lemma *FW-canonical-id* allows us to prove correspondences between reset and canonical, like for the two below. Can be left out for the rest because of the triviality of the correspondence.

lemma *DBM-reset-empty''*:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ *clock-numbering' v n v c \leq n*

$DBM\text{-reset } M n (v c) d M'$
shows $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [M']_{v,n} = \{ \}$
(proof)

lemma $DBM\text{-reset-empty}:$
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n$
 $DBM\text{-reset } (FW M n) n (v c) d M'$
shows $[FW M n]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [M']_{v,n} = \{ \}$
(proof)

lemma $DBM\text{-reset-empty}':$
assumes canonical $M n \forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}'$
 $v n v c \leq n$
 $DBM\text{-reset } (FW M n) n (v c) d M'$
shows $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [M']_{v,n} = \{ \}$
(proof)

lemma $DBM\text{-reset-sound}':$
assumes $\text{clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n DBM\text{-reset } M n (v c) d M'$
 $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M' n$
 $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u'' M n$
obtains $d' \text{ where } DBM\text{-val-bounded } v (u(c := d')) M n$
(proof)

lemma $DBM\text{-reset-sound2}:$
assumes $v c \leq n DBM\text{-reset } M n (v c) d M' DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M' n$
shows $u c = d$
(proof)

lemma $DBM\text{-reset-sound}'':$
fixes $M v c n d$
defines $M' \equiv \text{reset } M n (v c) d$
assumes $\text{clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M' n$
 $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u'' M n$
obtains $d' \text{ where } DBM\text{-val-bounded } v (u(c := d')) M n$
(proof)

lemma $DBM\text{-reset-sound}:$
fixes $M v c n d$
defines $M' \equiv \text{reset } M n (v c) d$
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n$
 $u \in [M']_{v,n}$
obtains $d' \text{ where } u(c := d') \in [M]_{v,n}$
(proof)

```

lemma DBM-reset'-complete':
  assumes DBM-val-bounded  $v u M n$  clock-numbering'  $v n \forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$ 
  shows  $\exists u'. DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u' (\text{reset}' M n cs v d) n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma DBM-reset'-complete:
  assumes DBM-val-bounded  $v u M n$  clock-numbering'  $v n \forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$ 
  shows DBM-val-bounded  $v ([cs \rightarrow d]u) (\text{reset}' M n cs v d) n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma DBM-reset'-sound-empty:
  assumes clock-numbering'  $v n \forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$ 
   $\forall u . \neg DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u (\text{reset}' M n cs v d) n$ 
  shows  $\neg DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

fun set-clocks :: 'c list  $\Rightarrow$  't::time list  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t) cval  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t) cval
where
  set-clocks [] -  $u = u$  |
  set-clocks - []  $u = u$  |
  set-clocks ( $c \# cs$ ) ( $t \# ts$ )  $u = (\text{set-clocks } cs ts (u(c:=t)))$ 

lemma DBM-reset'-sound':
  fixes  $M v c n d cs$ 
  assumes clock-numbering'  $v n \forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$ 
  DBM-val-bounded  $v u (\text{reset}' M n cs v d) n$  DBM-val-bounded  $v u'' M n$ 
  shows  $\exists ts. DBM\text{-val-bounded } v (\text{set-clocks } cs ts u) M n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma DBM-reset'-resets:
  fixes  $M v c n d cs$ 
  assumes  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$  clock-numbering'  $v n \forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$ 
  DBM-val-bounded  $v u (\text{reset}' M n cs v d) n$ 
  shows  $\forall c \in set cs. u c = d$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma DBM-reset'-resets':
  fixes  $M :: ('t :: time) DBM$  and  $v c n d cs$ 
  assumes clock-numbering'  $v n \forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$  DBM-val-bounded  $v$ 

```

$u (\text{reset}' M n cs v d) n$
 $\quad \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u'' M n$
shows $\forall c \in \text{set } cs. u c = d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DBM-reset'-neg-diag-preservation'*:
fixes $M :: ('t :: \text{time}) \text{DBM}$
assumes $k \leq n$ $M k k < 0$ *clock-numbering* $v \forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n$
shows $\text{reset}' M n cs v d k k < 0 \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DBM-reset'-complete-empty'*:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ *clock-numbering'* $v n$
 $\quad \forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n \forall u. \neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n$
shows $\forall u. \neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u (\text{reset}' M n cs v d) n \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DBM-reset'-complete-empty*:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ *clock-numbering'* $v n$
 $\quad \forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n \forall u. \neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n$
shows $\forall u. \neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u (\text{reset}' (FW M n) n cs v d) n \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DBM-reset'-empty'*:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ *clock-numbering'* $v n \forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n$
shows $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [\text{reset}' (FW M n) n cs v d]_{v,n} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DBM-reset'-empty*:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ *clock-numbering'* $v n \forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n$
shows $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [\text{reset}' M n cs v d]_{v,n} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DBM-reset'-sound*:
assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ *clock-numbering'* $v n$
and $\forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n$
and $u \in [\text{reset}' M n cs v d]_{v,n}$
shows $\exists ts. \text{set-clocks } cs ts u \in [M]_{v,n}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.5 Misc Preservation Lemmas

lemma *get-const-sum[simp]*:
 $a \neq \infty \implies b \neq \infty \implies \text{get-const } a \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \text{get-const } b \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \text{get-const } (a + b) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-not-inf-dest*:

assumes $a + b \neq (\infty :: -DBMEntry)$

shows $a \neq (\infty :: -DBMEntry) \wedge b \neq (\infty :: -DBMEntry)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-not-inf-int*:

assumes $a + b \neq (\infty :: -DBMEntry)$ *get-const* $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ *get-const* $b \in \mathbb{Z}$

shows *get-const* $(a + b) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-fw-upd*:

$\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. m[i][j] \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const}(m[i][j]) \in \mathbb{Z} \implies k \leq n \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n$

$\implies i' \leq n \implies j' \leq n \implies (\text{fw-upd } m[k][i][j][i'][j']) \neq \infty$

$\implies \text{get-const}(\text{fw-upd } m[k][i][j][i'][j']) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *dbm-int* $M n \equiv \forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M[i][j] \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const}(M[i][j]) \in \mathbb{Z}$

abbreviation *dbm-int-all* $M \equiv \forall i. \forall j. M[i][j] \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const}(M[i][j]) \in \mathbb{Z}$

lemma *dbm-intI*:

dbm-int-all $M \implies \text{dbm-int } M n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fwi-int-preservation*:

dbm-int $(\text{fwi } M n k i j) n$ **if** *dbm-int* $M n k \leq n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fw-int-preservation*:

dbm-int $(\text{fw } M n k) n$ **if** *dbm-int* $M n k \leq n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FW-int-preservation*:

assumes *dbm-int* $M n$

shows *dbm-int* $(\text{FW } M n) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FW-int-all-preservation*:

assumes *dbm-int-all* M

shows $dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all (FW M n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $And\text{-}int\text{-}all\text{-}preservation[intro]$:
 assumes $dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all M1 dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all M2$
 shows $dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all (And M1 M2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $And\text{-}int\text{-}preservation$:
 assumes $dbm\text{-}int M1 n dbm\text{-}int M2 n$
 shows $dbm\text{-}int (And M1 M2) n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $up\text{-}int\text{-}all\text{-}preservation$:
 $dbm\text{-}int-all (M :: (('t :: \{time, ring-1\}) DBM)) \implies dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all (up M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $up\text{-}int\text{-}preservation$:
 $dbm\text{-}int (M :: (('t :: \{time, ring-1\}) DBM)) n \implies dbm\text{-}int (up M) n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $DBM\text{-}reset\text{-}int\text{-}preservation'$:
 assumes $dbm\text{-}int M n DBM\text{-}reset M n k d M' d \in \mathbb{Z} k \leq n$
 shows $dbm\text{-}int M' n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $DBM\text{-}reset\text{-}int\text{-}preservation$:
 fixes $M :: ('t :: \{time, ring-1\}) DBM$
 assumes $dbm\text{-}int M n d \in \mathbb{Z} 0 < k k \leq n$
 shows $dbm\text{-}int (reset M n k d) n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $DBM\text{-}reset\text{-}int\text{-}all\text{-}preservation$:
 fixes $M :: ('t :: \{time, ring-1\}) DBM$
 assumes $dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all M d \in \mathbb{Z}$
 shows $dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all (reset M n k d)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $DBM\text{-}reset'\text{-}int\text{-}all\text{-}preservation$:
 fixes $M :: ('t :: \{time, ring-1\}) DBM$
 assumes $dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all M d \in \mathbb{Z}$
 shows $dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all (reset' M n cs v d)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma DBM-reset'-int-preservation:
  fixes M :: ('t :: {time, ring-1}) DBM
  assumes dbm-int M n d ∈ ℤ ∀ c. v c > 0 ∀ c ∈ set cs. v c ≤ n
  shows dbm-int (reset' M n cs v d) n ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reset-set1:
  ∀ c ∈ set cs. ([cs→d]u) c = d
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reset-set11:
  ∀ c. c ∉ set cs → ([cs→d]u) c = u c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reset-set2:
  ∀ c. c ∉ set cs → (set-clocks cs ts u)c = u c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reset-set:
  assumes ∀ c ∈ set cs. u c = d
  shows [cs→d](set-clocks cs ts u) = u
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.5.1 Unused theorems

```

lemma canonical-cyc-free:
  canonical M n ⇒ ∀ i ≤ n. M i i ≥ 0 ⇒ cyc-free M n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma canonical-cyc-free2:
  canonical M n ⇒ cyc-free M n ←→ (∀ i ≤ n. M i i ≥ 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma DBM-reset'-diag-preservation:
  fixes M :: ('t :: time) DBM
  assumes ∀ k≤n. M k k ≤ 0 clock-numbering v ∀ c ∈ set cs. v c ≤ n
  shows ∀ k≤n. reset' M n cs v d k k ≤ 0 ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end
theory DBM-Misc
imports
  Main
  HOL.Real
begin

```

```

lemma finite-set-of-finite-funs2:
  fixes A :: 'a set
    and B :: 'b set
    and C :: 'c set
    and d :: 'c
  assumes finite A
    and finite B
    and finite C
  shows finite {f.  $\forall x. \forall y. (x \in A \wedge y \in B \longrightarrow f x y \in C) \wedge (x \notin A \longrightarrow f x y = d) \wedge (y \notin B \longrightarrow f x y = d)}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

3.6 Extrapolation of DBMs

```

theory DBM-Normalization
  imports
    DBM-Basics
    DBM-Misc
    HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
begin

```

NB: The journal paper on extrapolations based on lower and upper bounds [1] provides slightly incorrect definitions that would always set (lower) bounds of the form $M 0 i$ to ∞ . To fix this, we use two invariants that can also be found in TChecker's DBM library, for instance:

1. Lower bounds are always nonnegative, i.e. $\forall i \leq n. M 0 i \leq 0$ (see *extra-lup-lower-bounds*).
2. Entries to the diagonal is always normalized to $Le 0$, $Lt 0$ or ∞ . This makes it again obvious that the set of normalized DBMs is finite.

lemmas dbm-less-simps[simp] = dbm-lt-code-simps[folded DBM.less]

```

lemma dbm-less-eq-simps[simp]:
  Le a ≤ Le b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a ≤ b
  Le a ≤ Lt b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a < b
  Lt a ≤ Le b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a ≤ b
  Lt a ≤ Lt b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a ≤ b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma Le-less-Lt[simp]: $Le x < Lt x \longleftrightarrow False$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.6.1 Classical extrapolation

This is the implementation of the classical extrapolation operator ($Extra_M$).

fun *norm-upper* :: ('t::linorder) DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't DBMEntry

where

norm-upper e t = (if $Le\ t \prec e$ then ∞ else *e*)

fun *norm-lower* :: ('t::linorder) DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't DBMEntry

where

norm-lower e t = (if *e* $\prec Lt\ t$ then *Lt t* else *e*)

definition

norm-diag e = (if *e* $\prec Le\ 0$ then *Lt 0* else if *e* = $Le\ 0$ then *e* else ∞)

Note that literature pretends that **0** would have a bound of negative infinity in *k* and thus defines normalization uniformly. The easiest way to get around this seems to explicate this in the definition as below.

definition *norm* :: ('t :: linordered-ab-group-add) DBM \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't DBM

where

norm M k n \equiv $\lambda i j.$

let *ub* = if *i* > 0 then *k i* else 0 in

let *lb* = if *j* > 0 then $-k\ j$ else 0 in

if *i* $\leq n \wedge j \leq n$ then

if *i* $\neq j$ then *norm-lower (norm-upper (M i j) ub) lb* else *norm-diag (M i j)*

else *M i j*

3.6.2 Extrapolations based on lower and upper bounds

This is the implementation of the LU-bounds based extrapolation operation ($Extra-\{LU\}$).

definition *extra-lu* ::

('t :: linordered-ab-group-add) DBM \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't DBM

where

extra-lu M l u n \equiv $\lambda i j.$

let *ub* = if *i* > 0 then *l i* else 0 in

let *lb* = if *j* > 0 then $-u\ j$ else 0 in

if *i* $\leq n \wedge j \leq n$ then

if *i* $\neq j$ then *norm-lower (norm-upper (M i j) ub) lb* else *norm-diag (M i j)*

else M i j

lemma *norm-is-extra*:

norm M k n = extra-lu M k k n
⟨proof⟩

This is the implementation of the LU-bounds based extrapolation operation (*Extra-{LU}*)⁺.

definition *extra-lup* ::

('t :: linordered-ab-group-add) DBM ⇒ (nat ⇒ 't) ⇒ (nat ⇒ 't) ⇒ nat
⇒ 't DBM

where

```
extra-lup M l u n ≡ λi j.
let ub = if i > 0 then Lt (l i) else Le 0;
lb = if j > 0 then Lt (− u j) else Lt 0
in
if i ≤ n ∧ j ≤ n then
  if i ≠ j then
    if ub ∙ M i j then ∞
    else if i > 0 ∧ M 0 i ∙ Lt (− l i) then ∞
    else if i > 0 ∧ M 0 j ∙ lb then ∞
    else if i = 0 ∧ M 0 j ∙ lb then Lt (− u j)
    else M i j
  else norm-diag (M i j)
else M i j
```

method *csimp* = (*clar simp simp: extra-lup-def Let-def DBM.less[symmetric]*
not-less any-le-inf neutral)

method *solve* = *csimp?*; *safe?*; (*csimp | meson Lt-le-LeI le-less le-less-trans*
less-asym'); *fail*

λe m d / extra-lup-def / Lt-def / Le-def / norm-def / extra-lu-def / Lt-le-LeI-def / le-less-trans-def / less-asym-def / fail

lemma

assumes $\forall i \leq n. i > 0 \rightarrow M 0 i \leq 0 \quad \forall i \leq n. U i \geq 0$

shows

extra-lu-lower-bounds: $\forall i \leq n. i > 0 \rightarrow \text{extra-lu } M L U n 0 i \leq 0$

and

norm-lower-bounds: $\forall i \leq n. i > 0 \rightarrow \text{norm } M U n 0 i \leq 0$ **and**

extra-lup-lower-bounds: $\forall i \leq n. i > 0 \rightarrow \text{extra-lup } M L U n 0 i \leq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *extra-lu-le-extra-lup*:
assumes canonical: canonical $M n$
and canonical-lower-bounds: $\forall i \leq n. i > 0 \rightarrow M 0 i \leq 0$
shows extra-lu $M l u n i j \leq \text{extra-lup } M l u n i j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *extra-lu-subs-extra-lup*:
assumes canonical: canonical $M n$ **and** canonical-lower-bounds: $\forall i \leq n. i > 0 \rightarrow M 0 i \leq 0$
shows [extra-lu $M L U n]_{v,n} \subseteq [\text{extra-lup } M L U n]_{v,n}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.6.3 Extrapolations are widening operators

lemma *extra-lu-mono*:
assumes $\forall c. v c > 0 u \in [M]_{v,n}$
shows $u \in [\text{extra-lu } M L U n]_{v,n}$ (**is** $u \in [?M2]_{v,n}$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *norm-mono*:
assumes $\forall c. v c > 0 u \in [M]_{v,n}$
shows $u \in [\text{norm } M k n]_{v,n}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.6.4 Finiteness of extrapolations

abbreviation dbm-default $M n \equiv (\forall i > n. \forall j. M i j = 0) \wedge (\forall j > n. \forall i. M i j = 0)$

lemma *norm-default-preservation*:
 $\text{dbm-default } M n \implies \text{dbm-default } (\text{norm } M k n) n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *extra-lu-default-preservation*:
 $\text{dbm-default } M n \implies \text{dbm-default } (\text{extra-lu } M L U n) n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance int :: linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas finite-subset-rev[intro?] = finite-subset[rotated]
lemmas [intro?] = finite-subset

```

lemma extra-lu-finite:
  fixes L U :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  shows finite {extra-lu M L U n | M. dbm-default M n}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma normalized-integral-dbms-finite:
  finite {norm M (k :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat) n | M. dbm-default M n}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

4 DBMs as Constraint Systems

```

theory DBM-Constraint-Systems
imports
  DBM-Operations
  DBM-Normalization
begin

```

4.1 Misc

```

lemma Max-le-MinI:
  assumes finite S finite T S  $\neq \{\}$  T  $\neq \{\}$   $\wedge$   $\forall x y. x \in S \Rightarrow y \in T \Rightarrow x \leq y$ 
  shows Max S  $\leq$  Min T  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Min-insert-cases:
  assumes x = Min (insert a S) finite S
  obtains (default) x = a | (elem) x  $\in$  S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma eval-add-simp[simp]:
  ( $u \oplus d$ ) x = u x + d
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemmas [simp] = any-le-inf

```

```

lemma Le-in-between:
  assumes a < b
  obtains d where a  $\leq$  Le d Le d  $\leq$  b
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma DBMEntry-le-to-sum:

```

```

fixes e e' :: 't :: time DBMEntry
assumes e' ≠ ∞ e ≤ e'
shows - e' + e ≤ 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma DBMEntry-le-add:
fixes a b c :: 't :: time DBMEntry
assumes a ≤ b + c c ≠ ∞
shows -c + a ≤ b
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma DBM-triv-emptyI:
assumes M 0 0 < 0
shows [M]v,n = {}
⟨proof⟩

```

4.2 Definition and Semantics of Constraint Systems

```

datatype ('x, 'v) constr =
  Lower 'x 'v DBMEntry | Upper 'x 'v DBMEntry | Diff 'x 'x 'v DBMEntry

```

```

type-synonym ('x, 'v) cs = ('x, 'v) constr set

```

```

inductive entry-sem (- ⊨e - [62, 62] 62) where
  v ⊨e Lt x if v < x |
  v ⊨e Le x if v ≤ x |
  v ⊨e ∞

```

```

inductive constr-sem (- ⊨c - [62, 62] 62) where
  u ⊨c Lower x e if - u x ⊨e e |
  u ⊨c Upper x e if u x ⊨e e |
  u ⊨c Diff x y e if u x - u y ⊨e e

```

```

definition cs-sem (- ⊨cs - [62, 62] 62) where
  u ⊨cs cs ↔ (∀ c ∈ cs. u ⊨c c)

```

```

definition cs-models (- ⊨ - [62, 62] 62) where
  cs ⊨ c ≡ ∀ u. u ⊨cs cs → u ⊨c c

```

```

definition cs-equiv (- ≡cs - [62, 62] 62) where
  cs ≡cs cs' ≡ ∀ u. u ⊨cs cs ↔ u ⊨cs cs'

```

```

definition
  closure cs ≡ {c. cs ⊨ c}

```

definition

$$\text{bot-}cs = \{\text{Lower undefined } (\text{Lt } 0), \text{ Upper undefined } (\text{Lt } 0)\}$$
lemma constr-sem-less-eq-iff:
$$\begin{aligned} u \models_c \text{Lower } x \ e &\longleftrightarrow \text{Le } (-u \ x) \leq e \\ u \models_c \text{Upper } x \ e &\longleftrightarrow \text{Le } (u \ x) \leq e \\ u \models_c \text{Diff } x \ y \ e &\longleftrightarrow \text{Le } (u \ x - u \ y) \leq e \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$
lemma constr-sem-mono:
assumes $e \leq e'$
shows

$$\begin{aligned} u \models_c \text{Lower } x \ e &\implies u \models_c \text{Lower } x \ e' \\ u \models_c \text{Upper } x \ e &\implies u \models_c \text{Upper } x \ e' \\ u \models_c \text{Diff } x \ y \ e &\implies u \models_c \text{Diff } x \ y \ e' \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$
lemma constr-sem-triv[simp,intro]:
$$u \models_c \text{Upper } x \infty \ u \models_c \text{Lower } y \infty \ u \models_c \text{Diff } x \ y \infty$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma cs-sem-antimono:
assumes $cs \subseteq cs' \ u \models_{cs} cs'$
shows $u \models_{cs} cs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma cs-equivD[intro, dest]:
assumes $u \models_{cs} cs \ cs \equiv_{cs} cs'$
shows $u \models_{cs} cs'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma cs-equiv-sym:
$$cs \equiv_{cs} cs' \text{ if } cs' \equiv_{cs} cs$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma cs-equiv-union:
$$cs \equiv_{cs} cs \cup cs' \text{ if } cs \equiv_{cs} cs'$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma cs-equiv-alt-def:
$$cs \equiv_{cs} cs' \longleftrightarrow (\forall c. \ cs \models c \longleftrightarrow cs' \models c)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma closure-equiv:
  closure cs  $\equiv_{cs}$  cs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closure-superset:
  cs  $\subseteq$  closure cs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bot-cs-empty:
   $\neg (u :: ('c \Rightarrow 't :: linordered-ab-group-add)) \models_{cs} \text{bot-cs}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-bot-cs:
  finite bot-cs
  ⟨proof⟩

definition cs-vars where
  cs-vars cs =  $\bigcup (\text{set1-constr} ` cs)$ 

definition map-cs-vars where
  map-cs-vars v cs = map-constr v id ` cs

lemma constr-sem-rename-vars:
  assumes inj-on v S set1-constr c  $\subseteq S$ 
  shows (u o inv-into S v)  $\models_c$  map-constr v id c  $\longleftrightarrow$  u  $\models_c$  c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cs-sem-rename-vars:
  assumes inj-on v (cs-vars cs)
  shows (u o inv-into (cs-vars cs) v)  $\models_{cs}$  map-cs-vars v cs  $\longleftrightarrow$  u  $\models_{cs}$  cs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.3 Conversion of DBMs to Constraint Systems and Back

```

definition dbm-to-cs :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  ('v :: {linorder,zero}) DBM
 $\Rightarrow$  ('x, 'v) cs where
  dbm-to-cs n v M  $\equiv$  if M 0 0 < 0 then bot-cs else
    {Lower x (M 0 (v x)) | x. v x  $\leq$  n}  $\cup$ 
    {Upper x (M (v x) 0) | x. v x  $\leq$  n}  $\cup$ 
    {Diff x y (M (v x) (v y)) | x y. v x  $\leq$  n  $\wedge$  v y  $\leq$  n}

```

```

lemma dbm-entry-val-Lower-iff:
  dbm-entry-val u None (Some x) e  $\longleftrightarrow$  u  $\models_c$  Lower x e
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *dbm-entry-val-Upper-iff*:
dbm-entry-val u (Some x) None e \longleftrightarrow *u* \models_c *Upper x e*
(proof)

lemma *dbm-entry-val-Diff-iff*:
dbm-entry-val u (Some x) (Some y) e \longleftrightarrow *u* \models_c *Diff x y e*
(proof)

lemmas *dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff* =
dbm-entry-val-Lower-iff
dbm-entry-val-Upper-iff
dbm-entry-val-Diff-iff

theorem *dbm-to-cs-correct*:
u $\vdash_{v,n}$ *M* \longleftrightarrow *u* \models_{cs} *dbm-to-cs n v M*
(proof)

definition
 $cs\text{-to-}dbm\ v\ cs \equiv if\ (\forall u.\ \neg u \models_{cs} cs)\ then\ (\lambda\text{-}\ -. Lt\ 0)\ else\ (\lambda i\ j.$
 $if\ i = 0\ then$
 $if\ j = 0\ then$
 $Le\ 0$
 $else$
 $Min\ (insert\ \infty\ \{e.\ \exists x.\ Lower\ x\ e \in cs \wedge v\ x = j\})$
 $else$
 $if\ j = 0\ then$
 $Min\ (insert\ \infty\ \{e.\ \exists x.\ Upper\ x\ e \in cs \wedge v\ x = i\})$
 $else$
 $Min\ (insert\ \infty\ \{e.\ \exists x\ y.\ Diff\ x\ y\ e \in cs \wedge v\ x = i \wedge v\ y = j\})$
 $)$

lemma *finite-dbm-to-cs*:
assumes *finite {x. v x ≤ n}*
shows *finite (dbm-to-cs n v M)*
(proof)

lemma *empty-dbm-empty*:
u $\vdash_{v,n}$ $(\lambda\text{-}\ -. Lt\ 0) \longleftrightarrow False$
(proof)

fun *expr-of-constr where*
expr-of-constr (Lower - e) = e |

expr-of-constr (*Upper* - *e*) = *e* |
expr-of-constr (*Diff* - - *e*) = *e*

lemma *cs-to-dbm1*:

assumes $\forall x \in cs\text{-}vars\ cs. v\ x > 0 \wedge v\ x \leq n$ finite *cs*
assumes $u \vdash_{v,n} cs\text{-}to\text{-}dbm\ v\ cs$
shows $u \models_{cs} cs$
(proof)

lemma *cs-to-dbm2*:

assumes $\forall x. v\ x \leq n \longrightarrow v\ x > 0 \quad \forall x\ y. v\ x \leq n \wedge v\ y \leq n \wedge v\ x = v\ y \longrightarrow x = y$
assumes finite *cs*
assumes $u \models_{cs} cs$
shows $u \vdash_{v,n} cs\text{-}to\text{-}dbm\ v\ cs$
(proof)

theorem *cs-to-dbm-correct*:

assumes $\forall x \in cs\text{-}vars\ cs. v\ x \leq n \quad \forall x. v\ x \leq n \longrightarrow v\ x > 0$
 $\forall x\ y. v\ x \leq n \wedge v\ y \leq n \wedge v\ x = v\ y \longrightarrow x = y$
assumes finite *cs*
shows $u \vdash_{v,n} cs\text{-}to\text{-}dbm\ v\ cs \longleftrightarrow u \models_{cs} cs$
(proof)

corollary *cs-to-dbm-correct'*:

assumes
bij-betw v (*cs-vars* *cs*) $\{1..n\}$ $\forall x. v\ x \leq n \longrightarrow v\ x > 0 \quad \forall x. x \notin cs\text{-}vars$
cs $\longrightarrow v\ x > n$
assumes finite *cs*
shows $u \vdash_{v,n} cs\text{-}to\text{-}dbm\ v\ cs \longleftrightarrow u \models_{cs} cs$
(proof)

4.4 Application: Relaxation On Constraint Systems

The following is a sample application of viewing DBMs as constraint systems. We show define an equivalent of the *up* operation on DBMs, prove it correct, and then derive an alternative correctness proof for *up*.

definition

up-*cs* *cs* = {*c*. *c* ∈ *cs* ∧ (*case c of Upper* - - ⇒ *False* | - ⇒ *True*)}{}

lemma *Lower-shiftI*:

$u \oplus d \models_c Lower\ x\ e$ **if** $u \models_c Lower\ x\ e$ (*d* :: 't :: linordered-ab-group-add)
 ≥ 0

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Upper-shiftI*:

$u \oplus d \models_c \text{Upper } x e \text{ if } u \models_c \text{Upper } x e \ (d :: 't :: \text{linordered-ab-group-add}) \leq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-shift*:

$u \oplus d \models_c \text{Diff } x y e \longleftrightarrow u \models_c \text{Diff } x y e \text{ for } d :: 't :: \text{linordered-ab-group-add}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *up-cs-complete*:

$u \oplus d \models_{cs} \text{up-cs cs} \text{ if } u \models_{cs} \text{cs } d \geq 0 \text{ for } d :: 't :: \text{linordered-ab-group-add}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

lower-upper-closed cs $\equiv \forall x y e e'.$

$\text{Lower } x e \in \text{cs} \wedge \text{Upper } y e' \in \text{cs} \longrightarrow (\exists e''. \text{Diff } y x e'' \in \text{cs} \wedge e'' \leq e + e')$

lemma *up-cs-sound*:

assumes $u \models_{cs} \text{up-cs cs lower-upper-closed cs finite cs}$
obtains $u' \text{ and } d :: 't :: \text{time where } d \geq 0 \ u' \models_{cs} \text{cs } u = u' \oplus d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Note that if we compare this proof to $\llbracket \forall c. 0 < ?v c \wedge (\forall x y. ?v x \leq ?n \wedge ?v y \leq ?n \wedge ?v x = ?v y \longrightarrow x = y); ?u \in [up ?M]_{?v,?n} \rrbracket \implies ?u \in [?M]_{?v,?n}^\uparrow$, we can see that we have not gained much. Settling on DBM entry arithmetic as done above was not the optimal choice for this proof, while it can drastically simplify some other proofs. Also, note that the final theorem we obtain below (*DBM-up-correct*) is slightly stronger than what we would get with $\llbracket \forall c. 0 < ?v c \wedge (\forall x y. ?v x \leq ?n \wedge ?v y \leq ?n \wedge ?v x = ?v y \longrightarrow x = y); ?u \in [up ?M]_{?v,?n} \rrbracket \implies ?u \in [?M]_{?v,?n}^\uparrow$. Finally, note that a more elegant definition of *lower-upper-closed* would probably be: *definition lower-upper-closed cs* $\equiv \forall x y e e'. \text{cs} \models \text{Lower } x e \wedge \text{cs} \models \text{Upper } y e' \longrightarrow (\exists e''. \text{cs} \models \text{Diff } y x e'' \wedge e'' \leq e + e')$ This would mean that in the proof we would have to replace minimum and maximum by supremum and infimum. The advantage would be that the finiteness assumption could be removed. However, as our DBM entries do not come with $-\infty$, they do not form a complete lattice. Thus we would either have to make this extension or directly refer to the embedded values directly, which would again have to form a complete lattice. Both variants come with some technical inconvenience.

```

lemma up-cs-sem:
  fixes cs :: ('x, 'v :: time) cs
  assumes lower-upper-closed cs finite cs
  shows {u. u ⊨cs up-cs cs} = {u ⊕ d | u d. u ⊨cs cs ∧ d ≥ 0}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  close-lu :: ('t::linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add) DBM ⇒ 't DBM
where
  close-lu M ≡ λi j. if i > 0 then min (dbm-add (M i 0) (M 0 j)) (M i j)
  else M i j

definition
  up' :: ('t::linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add) DBM ⇒ 't DBM
where
  up' M ≡ λi j. if i > 0 ∧ j = 0 then ∞ else M i j

lemma up-alt-def:
  up M = up' (close-lu M)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma close-lu-equiv:
  fixes M :: 't ::time DBM
  shows dbm-to-cs n v M ≡cs dbm-to-cs n v (close-lu M)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma close-lu-closed:
  lower-upper-closed (dbm-to-cs n v (close-lu M)) if M 0 0 ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma close-lu-closed': — Unused
  lower-upper-closed (dbm-to-cs n v (close-lu M) ∪ dbm-to-cs n v M) if M
  0 0 ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma up-cs-up'-equiv:
  fixes M :: 't ::time DBM
  assumes M 0 0 ≥ 0 clock-numbering v
  shows up-cs (dbm-to-cs n v M) ≡cs dbm-to-cs n v (up' M)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma up-equiv-cong: — Unused
  fixes cs cs' :: ('x, 'v :: time) cs
  assumes cs ≡cs cs' finite cs finite cs' lower-upper-closed cs lower-upper-closed

```

```

 $cs'$ 
shows  $up\text{-}cs\ cs \equiv_{cs} up\text{-}cs\ cs'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma DBM-up-correct:
  fixes  $M :: 't :: time\ DBM$ 
  assumes  $clock\text{-}numbering\ v\ finite\ \{x. v\ x \leq n\}$ 
  shows  $u \in ([M]_{v,n})^\uparrow \longleftrightarrow u \in [up\ M]_{v,n}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

5 Implementation of DBM Operations

```

theory DBM-Operations-Impl
imports
  DBM-Operations
  DBM-Normalization
  Refine-Imperative-HOL.IICF
  HOL-Library.IArray
begin

```

5.1 Misc

```

lemma fold-last:
   $fold\ f\ (xs @ [x])\ a = f\ x\ (fold\ f\ xs\ a)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

5.2 Reset

definition

```

reset-canonical  $M\ k\ d =$ 
   $(\lambda i\ j.\ if\ i = k \wedge j = 0\ then\ Le\ d$ 
   $\quad else\ if\ i = 0 \wedge j = k\ then\ Le\ (-d)$ 
   $\quad else\ if\ i = k \wedge j \neq k\ then\ Le\ d + M\ 0\ j$ 
   $\quad else\ if\ i \neq k \wedge j = k\ then\ Le\ (-d) + M\ i\ 0$ 
   $\quad else\ M\ i\ j$ 
  )

```

— However, DBM entries are NOT a member of this typeclass.

lemma canonical-is-cyc-free:

```

fixes  $M :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('b :: \{linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add, linordered-ab-monoid-add\})$ 
assumes canonical  $M\ n$ 
shows cyc-free  $M\ n$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma dbm-neg-add:  
  fixes a :: ('t :: time) DBMEntry  
  assumes a < 0  
  shows a + a < 0  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

instance linordered-ab-group-add \subseteq linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma Le-cancel-1[simp]:  
  fixes d :: 'c :: linordered-ab-group-add  
  shows Le d + Le (-d) = Le 0  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Le-cancel-2[simp]:  
  fixes d :: 'c :: linordered-ab-group-add  
  shows Le (-d) + Le d = Le 0  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma reset-canonical-canonical':  
  canonical (reset-canonical M k (d :: 'c :: linordered-ab-group-add)) n  
  if M 0 0 = 0 M k k = 0 canonical M n k > 0 for k n :: nat  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma reset-canonical-canonical:  
  canonical (reset-canonical M k (d :: 'c :: linordered-ab-group-add)) n  
  if  $\forall i \leq n. M i i = 0$  canonical M n k > 0 for k n :: nat  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma canonicalD[simp]:  
  assumes canonical M n i  $\leq n$  j  $\leq n$  k  $\leq n$   
  shows min (dbm-add (M i k) (M k j)) (M i j) = M i j  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma reset-reset-canonical:  
  assumes canonical M n k > 0 k  $\leq n$  clock-numbering v  
  shows [reset M n k d]_{v,n} = [reset-canonical M k d]_{v,n}  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma reset-canonical-diag-preservation:  
  fixes k :: nat  
  assumes k > 0
```

shows $\forall i \leq n. (\text{reset-canonical } M k d) i i = M i i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition reset'' **where**

$\text{reset}'' M n cs v d = \text{fold } (\lambda c M. \text{reset-canonical } M (v c) d) cs M$

lemma $\text{reset}''\text{-diag-preservation}:$

assumes $\text{clock-numbering } v$

shows $\forall i \leq n. (\text{reset}'' M n cs v d) i i = M i i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{reset-resets}:$

assumes $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n$

shows $[\text{reset } M n (v c) d]_{v,n} = \{u(c := d) \mid u. u \in [M]_{v,n}\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{reset-eq}':$

assumes $\text{prems}: \forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n$

and $\text{eq}: [M]_{v,n} = [M']_{v,n}$

shows $[\text{reset } M n (v c) d]_{v,n} = [\text{reset } M' n (v c) d]_{v,n}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{reset-eq}:$

assumes $\text{prems}: \forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n$

and $k: k > 0 k \leq n$

and $\text{eq}: [M]_{v,n} = [M']_{v,n}$

shows $[\text{reset } M n k d]_{v,n} = [\text{reset } M' n k d]_{v,n}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{FW-reset-commute}:$

assumes $\text{prems}: \forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n k > 0 k \leq n$

shows $[\text{FW } (\text{reset } M n k d) n]_{v,n} = [\text{reset } (\text{FW } M n) n k d]_{v,n}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{reset-canonical-diag-presv}:$

fixes $k :: \text{nat}$

assumes $M i i = \text{Le } 0 k > 0$

shows $(\text{reset-canonical } M k d) i i = \text{Le } 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{reset-cycle-free}:$

fixes $M :: ('t :: \text{time}) \text{DBM}$

assumes cycle-free $M n$
and prems: $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ clock-numbering' $v n k > 0 k \leq n$
shows cycle-free (reset $M n k d$) n
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma reset'-reset''-equiv:
assumes canonical $M n d \geq 0 \forall i \leq n. M i i = 0$
clock-numbering' $v n \forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$
and surj: $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$
shows [reset' $M n cs v d]_{v,n} = [reset'' M n cs v d]_{v,n}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Eliminating the clock numbering

definition reset''' where
 $reset''' M n cs d = fold (\lambda c M. reset-canonical M c d) cs M$

lemma reset''-reset'''
assumes $\forall c \in set cs. v c = c$
shows reset'' $M n cs v d = reset''' M n cs d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

type-synonym ' a DBM' = nat \times nat \Rightarrow ' a DBMEntry

definition

reset-canonical-upd

$(M :: ('a :: \{linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add, uminus\}) DBM') (n :: nat)$
 $(k :: nat) d =$
 $fold (\lambda i M. if i = k then M else M((k, i) := Le d + M(0, i), (i, k) :=$
 $Le (-d) + M(i, 0)))$
 $(map nat [1..n])$
 $(M((k, 0) := Le d, (0, k) := Le (-d)))$

lemma one upto-Suc:
 $[1..<Suc i + 1] = [1..<i+1] @ [Suc i]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma one upto-Suc':
 $[1..Suc i] = [1..i] @ [Suc i]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma one upto-Suc'':
 $[1..1 + i] = [1..i] @ [Suc i]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reset-canonical-upd-diag-id*:
 fixes $k n :: nat$
 assumes $k > 0$
 shows (*reset-canonical-upd M n k d*) $(k, k) = M (k, k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds-id1*:
 fixes $i j k n :: nat$
 assumes $i \neq k i > n$
 shows (*reset-canonical-upd M n k d*) $(i, j) = M (i, j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds-id2*:
 fixes $i j k n :: nat$
 assumes $j \neq k j > n$
 shows (*reset-canonical-upd M n k d*) $(i, j) = M (i, j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds1*:
 fixes $i j k n :: nat$
 assumes $k \leq n i > n$
 shows (*reset-canonical-upd M n k d*) $(i, j) = M (i, j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds2*:
 fixes $i j k n :: nat$
 assumes $k \leq n j > n$
 shows (*reset-canonical-upd M n k d*) $(i, j) = M (i, j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reset-canonical-upd-id1*:
 fixes $k n :: nat$
 assumes $k > 0 i > 0 i \leq n i \neq k$
 shows (*reset-canonical-upd M n k d*) $(i, k) = Le (-d) + M(i, 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reset-canonical-upd-id2*:
 fixes $k n :: nat$
 assumes $k > 0 i > 0 i \leq n i \neq k$
 shows (*reset-canonical-upd M n k d*) $(k, i) = Le d + M(0, i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma reset-canonical-updid-0-1:
  fixes n :: nat
  assumes k > 0
  shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d) (0, k) = Le (-d)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reset-canonical-updid-0-2:
  fixes n :: nat
  assumes k > 0
  shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d) (k, 0) = Le d
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reset-canonical-upd-id:
  fixes n :: nat
  assumes i ≠ k j ≠ k
  shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d) (i,j) = M (i,j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reset-canonical-upd-reset-canonical:
  fixes i j k n :: nat and M :: nat × nat ⇒ ('a :: {linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add,uminus})
  DBMEntry
  assumes k > 0 i ≤ n j ≤ n ∀ i ≤ n. ∀ j ≤ n. M (i, j) = M' i j
  shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d)(i,j) = (reset-canonical M' k d) i j
  (is ?M(i,j) = -)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reset-canonical-upd-reset-canonical':
  fixes i j k n :: nat
  assumes k > 0 i ≤ n j ≤ n
  shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d)(i,j) = (reset-canonical (curry M) k
  d) i j (is ?M(i,j) = -)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reset-canonical-upd-canonical:
  canonical (curry (reset-canonical-upd M n k (d :: 'c :: {linordered-ab-group-add,uminus})))
  n
  if ∀ i ≤ n. M (i, i) = 0 canonical (curry M) n k > 0 for k n :: nat
  ⟨proof⟩

definition reset'-upd where
  reset'-upd M n cs d = fold (λ c M. reset-canonical-upd M n c d) cs M

lemma reset'''-reset'-upd:
  fixes n:: nat and cs :: nat list

```

```

assumes  $\forall c \in set\ cs. c \neq 0 \ i \leq n \ j \leq n \ \forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M(i, j) = M' i j$ 
shows  $(reset'\text{-}upd\ M\ n\ cs\ d)\ (i, j) = (reset'''\ M'\ n\ cs\ d)\ i\ j$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reset'''-reset'-upd':
fixes  $n :: nat$  and  $cs :: nat\ list$  and  $M :: ('a :: \{linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add, uminus\})\ DBM'$ 
assumes  $\forall c \in set\ cs. c \neq 0 \ i \leq n \ j \leq n$ 
shows  $(reset'\text{-}upd\ M\ n\ cs\ d)\ (i, j) = (reset'''\ (curry\ M)\ n\ cs\ d)\ i\ j$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reset'-upd-out-of-bounds1:
fixes  $i\ j\ k\ n :: nat$ 
assumes  $\forall c \in set\ cs. c \leq n \ i > n$ 
shows  $(reset'\text{-}upd\ M\ n\ cs\ d)\ (i, j) = M\ (i, j)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reset'-upd-out-of-bounds2:
fixes  $i\ j\ k\ n :: nat$ 
assumes  $\forall c \in set\ cs. c \leq n \ j > n$ 
shows  $(reset'\text{-}upd\ M\ n\ cs\ d)\ (i, j) = M\ (i, j)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reset-canonical-int-preservation:
fixes  $n :: nat$ 
assumes  $dbm\text{-}int\ M\ n\ d \in \mathbb{Z}$ 
shows  $dbm\text{-}int\ (reset\text{-}canonical\ M\ k\ d)\ n$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reset-canonical-upd-int-preservation:
assumes  $dbm\text{-}int\ (curry\ M)\ n\ d \in \mathbb{Z} \ k > 0$ 
shows  $dbm\text{-}int\ (curry\ (reset\text{-}canonical\text{-}upd\ M\ n\ k\ d))\ n$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reset'-upd-int-preservation:
assumes  $dbm\text{-}int\ (curry\ M)\ n\ d \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall c \in set\ cs. c \neq 0$ 
shows  $dbm\text{-}int\ (curry\ (reset'\text{-}upd\ M\ n\ cs\ d))\ n$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reset-canonical-upd-diag-preservation:
fixes  $i :: nat$ 
assumes  $k > 0$ 
shows  $\forall i \leq n. (reset\text{-}canonical\text{-}upd\ M\ n\ k\ d)\ (i, i) = M\ (i, i)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reset'-upd-diag-preservation*:
 assumes $\forall c \in \text{set cs}. c > 0 \ i \leq n$
 shows $(\text{reset}'\text{-}upd M n cs d) (i, i) = M (i, i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upto-from-1-upt*:
 fixes $n :: \text{nat}$
 shows $\text{map nat } [1.. \text{int } n] = [1.. < n+1]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reset-canonical-upd-alt-def*:
 reset-canonical-upd ($M :: ('a :: \{\text{linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add}, \text{uminus}\})$
 $DBM')$ ($n :: \text{nat}$) ($k :: \text{nat}$) $d =$
 fold
 $(\lambda i M.$
 if $i = k$ *then*
 M
 else do {
 let $m0i = \text{op-mtx-get } M(0, i);$
 let $mi0 = \text{op-mtx-get } M(i, 0);$
 $M((k, i) := Le d + m0i, (i, k) := Le (-d) + mi0)$
 }
 }
)
 $[1.. < n+1]$
 $(M((k, 0) := Le d, (0, k) := Le (-d)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.3 Relaxation

named-theorems *dbm-entry-simps*

lemma [*dbm-entry-simps*]:
 $a + \infty = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*dbm-entry-simps*]:
 $\infty + b = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *any-le-inf* [*dbm-entry-simps*]

lemma *up-canonical-preservation*:

assumes *canonical M n*

shows *canonical (up M) n*

{proof}

definition *up-canonical :: 't DBM ⇒ 't DBM where*

up-canonical M = (λ i j. if i > 0 ∧ j = 0 then ∞ else M i j)

lemma *up-canonical-eq-up*:

assumes *canonical M n i ≤ n j ≤ n*

shows *up-canonical M i j = up M i j*

{proof}

lemma *DBM-up-to-equiv*:

assumes $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i j = M' i j$

shows $[M]_{v,n} = [M']_{v,n}$

{proof}

lemma *up-canonical-equiv-up*:

assumes *canonical M n*

shows $[up\text{-canonical } M]_{v,n} = [up\text{ } M]_{v,n}$

{proof}

lemma *up-canonical-diag-preservation*:

assumes $\forall i \leq n. M i i = 0$

shows $\forall i \leq n. (up\text{-canonical } M) i i = 0$

{proof}

no-notation *Ref.update (- := - 62)*

definition *up-canonical-upd :: 't DBM' ⇒ nat ⇒ 't DBM' where*

up-canonical-upd M n = fold (λ i M. M((i,0) := ∞)) [1..<n+1] M

lemma *up-canonical-upd-rec*:

up-canonical-upd M (Suc n) = (up-canonical-upd M n) ((Suc n, 0) := ∞)

{proof}

lemma *up-canonical-out-of-bounds1*:

fixes $i :: \text{nat}$

assumes $i > n$

shows *up-canonical-upd M n (i, j) = M(i,j)*

{proof}

```

lemma up-canonical-out-of-bounds2:
  fixes j :: nat
  assumes j > 0
  shows up-canonical-upd M n (i, j) = M(i,j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma up-canonical-upd-up-canonical:
  assumes i ≤ n j ≤ n ∀ i ≤ n. ∀ j ≤ n. M (i, j) = M' i j
  shows (up-canonical-upd M n) (i, j) = (up-canonical M') i j
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma up-canonical-int-preservation:
  assumes dbm-int M n
  shows dbm-int (up-canonical M) n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma up-canonical-upd-int-preservation:
  assumes dbm-int (curry M) n
  shows dbm-int (curry (up-canonical-upd M n)) n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma up-canonical-diag-preservation':
  (up-canonical M) i i = M i i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma up-canonical-upd-diag-preservation:
  (up-canonical-upd M n) (i, i) = M (i, i)
  ⟨proof⟩

5.4 Intersection

definition
  unbounded-dbm n = (λ (i, j). (if i = j ∨ i > n ∨ j > n then Le 0 else
  ∞))

definition And-upd :: nat ⇒ ('t:{linorder,zero}) DBM' ⇒ 't DBM' ⇒ 't
DBM' where
  And-upd n A B =
    fold (λi M.
      fold (λj M. M((i,j) := min (A(i,j)) (B(i,j)))) [0..<n+1] M)
    [0..<n+1]
    (unbounded-dbm n)

lemma fold-loop-inv-rule:

```

```

assumes  $I \ 0 \ x$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i \ x. \ I \ i \ x \implies i \leq n \implies I \ (\text{Suc } i) \ (f \ i \ x)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. \ I \ n \ x \implies Q \ x$ 
shows  $Q \ (\text{fold } f \ [0..<n] \ x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma And-upd-min:
assumes  $i \leq n \ j \leq n$ 
shows  $\text{And-upd } n \ A \ B \ (i, j) = \min (A(i,j)) \ (B(i,j))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma And-upd-And:
assumes  $i \leq n \ j \leq n$ 
 $\forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ A \ (i, j) = A' \ i \ j \ \forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ B \ (i, j) = B' \ i \ j$ 
shows  $\text{And-upd } n \ A \ B \ (i, j) = \text{And } A' \ B' \ i \ j$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

5.5 Inclusion

```

definition pointwise-cmp where
 $\text{pointwise-cmp } P \ n \ M \ M' = (\forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ P \ (M \ i \ j) \ (M' \ i \ j))$ 

```

```

lemma subset-eq-pointwise-le:
fixes  $M :: \text{real DBM}$ 
assumes  $\text{canonical } M \ n \ \forall i \leq n. \ M \ i \ i = 0 \ \forall i \leq n. \ M' \ i \ i = 0$ 
and prems:  $\text{clock-numbering}' v \ n \ \forall k \leq n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)$ 
shows  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq [M']_{v,n} \longleftrightarrow \text{pointwise-cmp } (\leq) \ n \ M \ M'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition check-diag :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('t :: {linorder, zero}) DBM'  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
 $\text{check-diag } n \ M \equiv \exists i \leq n. \ M \ (i, i) < \text{Le } 0$ 

```

```

lemma check-diag-empty:
fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$  and  $v$ 
assumes  $\text{surj}: \forall k \leq n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)$ 
assumes check-diag  $n \ M$ 
shows  $[\text{curry } M]_{v,n} = \{\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma check-diag-alt-def:
 $\text{check-diag } n \ M = \text{list-ex } (\lambda i. \ \text{op-mtx-get } M \ (i, i) < \text{Le } 0) \ [0..<\text{Suc } n]$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition dbm-subset :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('t :: {linorder, zero}) DBM'  $\Rightarrow$  't DBM'

```

$\Rightarrow \text{bool where}$
 $\text{dbm-subset } n M M' \equiv \text{check-diag } n M \vee \text{pointwise-cmp } (\leq) n (\text{curry } M)$
 $(\text{curry } M')$

lemma *dbm-subset-refl*:
 $\text{dbm-subset } n M M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dbm-subset-trans*:
assumes $\text{dbm-subset } n M_1 M_2 \text{ dbm-subset } n M_2 M_3$
shows $\text{dbm-subset } n M_1 M_3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *canonical-nonneg-diag-non-empty*:
assumes $\text{canonical } M n \forall i \leq n. 0 \leq M i i \forall c. v c \leq n \rightarrow 0 < v c$
shows $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The type constraint in this lemma is due to $[\text{canonical } ?M ?n; [?M]_{?v,?n} \subseteq [?M']_{?v,?n}; [?M]_{?v,?n} \neq \{\}; ?i \leq ?n; ?j \leq ?n; ?i \neq ?j; \forall c. 0 < ?v c \wedge (\forall x y. ?v x \leq ?n \wedge ?v y \leq ?n \wedge ?v x = ?v y \rightarrow x = y); \forall k \leq ?n. 0 < k \rightarrow (\exists c. ?v c = k)] \implies ?M ?i ?j \leq ?M' ?i ?j$. Proving it for a more general class of types is possible but also tricky due to a missing setup for arithmetic.

lemma *subset-eq-dbm-subset*:
fixes $M :: \text{real DBM}'$
assumes $\text{canonical } (\text{curry } M) n \vee \text{check-diag } n M \forall i \leq n. M (i, i) \leq 0 \forall i \leq n. M' (i, i) \leq 0$
and $\text{cn: clock-numbering}' v n$ **and** $\text{surj: } \forall k \leq n. 0 < k \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$
shows $[\text{curry } M]_{v,n} \subseteq [\text{curry } M']_{v,n} \longleftrightarrow \text{dbm-subset } n M M'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pointwise-cmp-alt-def*:
 $\text{pointwise-cmp } P n M M' =$
 $\text{list-all } (\lambda i. \text{list-all } (\lambda j. P (M i j) (M' i j)) [0..<\text{Suc } n]) [0..<\text{Suc } n]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dbm-subset-alt-def[code]*:
 $\text{dbm-subset } n M M' =$
 $(\text{list-ex } (\lambda i. \text{op-mtx-get } M (i, i) < \text{Le } 0) [0..<\text{Suc } n] \vee$
 $\text{list-all } (\lambda i. \text{list-all } (\lambda j. (\text{op-mtx-get } M (i, j) \leq \text{op-mtx-get } M' (i, j)))$
 $[0..<\text{Suc } n]) [0..<\text{Suc } n]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *pointwise-cmp-alt-def* **where**

$$\text{pointwise-cmp-alt-def } P \ n \ M \ M' = \text{fold} \ (\lambda i \ b. \text{fold} \ (\lambda j \ b. \ P \ (M \ i \ j) \ (M' \ i \ j)) \ \wedge \ b) \ [1..<\text{Suc } n] \ b) \ [1..<\text{Suc } n] \ \text{True}$$

lemma *list-all-foldli*:

$$\text{list-all } P \ xs = \text{foldli} \ xs \ (\lambda x. \ x = \text{True}) \ (\lambda x -. \ P \ x) \ \text{True}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-ex-foldli*:

$$\text{list-ex } P \ xs = \text{foldli} \ xs \ \text{Not} \ (\lambda x \ y. \ P \ x \vee y) \ \text{False}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.6 Extrapolations

context

fixes

$\text{upd-entry} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow ('t::\{\text{linordered-ab-group-add}\})$
 $\text{DBMEntry} \Rightarrow 't \text{DBMEntry}$
and $\text{upd-entry-0} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't \text{DBMEntry} \Rightarrow 't \text{DBMEntry}$
begin

definition *extra* ::

$'t \text{DBM} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 't \text{DBM}$

where

$\text{extra } M \ l \ u \ n \equiv \lambda i \ j.$

$\text{let } ub = \text{if } i > 0 \text{ then } l \ i \text{ else } 0 \text{ in}$
 $\text{let } lb = \text{if } j > 0 \text{ then } u \ j \text{ else } 0 \text{ in}$
 $\text{if } i \leq n \wedge j \leq n \text{ then}$
 $\quad \text{if } i \neq j \text{ then}$
 $\quad \quad \text{if } i > 0 \text{ then } \text{upd-entry } i \ j \ lb \ ub \ (M \ i \ j) \text{ else } \text{upd-entry-0 } j \ lb \ (M \ i \ j)$
 $\quad \quad \text{else norm-diag } (M \ i \ j)$
 $\quad \text{else } M \ i \ j$

definition *upd-line-0* ::

$'t \text{DBM}' \Rightarrow 't \text{list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 't \text{DBM}'$

where

$\text{upd-line-0 } M \ k \ n =$

fold

$(\lambda j \ M.$
 $M((0, j) := \text{upd-entry-0 } j \ (\text{op-list-get } k \ j) \ (M(0, j))))$
 $[1..<\text{Suc } n]$
 $(M((0, 0) := \text{norm-diag } (M(0, 0))))$

definition *upd-line* ::

't DBM' \Rightarrow 't list \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't DBM'

where

upd-line M k ub i n =

fold

$(\lambda j \ M.$

if $i \neq j$ *then*

$M((i, j) := upd\text{-entry} \ i \ j \ (op\text{-list}\text{-get} \ k \ j) \ ub \ (M(i, j)))$

else $M((i, j) := norm\text{-diag} \ (M(i, j)))$

$[1..<Suc \ n]$

$(M((i, 0) := upd\text{-entry} \ i \ 0 \ 0 \ ub \ (M(i, 0))))$

lemma *upd-line-Suc-unfold*:

upd-line M k ub i (*Suc n*) = (*let* $M' = upd\text{-line} \ M \ k \ ub \ i \ n$ *in*

if $i \neq Suc \ n$ *then*

$M'((i, Suc \ n) := upd\text{-entry} \ i \ (Suc \ n) \ (op\text{-list}\text{-get} \ k \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, Suc \ n)))$

else $M'((i, Suc \ n) := norm\text{-diag} \ (M'(i, Suc \ n)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upd-line-out-of-bounds*:

assumes $j > n$

shows *upd-line* M k ub i n (i', j) = M (i', j)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upd-line-alt-def*:

assumes $i > 0$

shows

upd-line M k ub i n (i', j) = (

let $lb = if \ j > 0 \ then \ op\text{-list}\text{-get} \ k \ j \ else \ 0$ *in*

if $i' = i \wedge j \leq n$ *then*

if $i \neq j$ *then*

upd-entry i j lb ub (M (i, j))

else

norm-diag (M (i, j))

else M (i', j)

)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upd-line-0-alt-def*:

upd-line-0 M k n (i', j) = (

if $i' = 0 \wedge j \leq n$ *then*

if $j > 0$ *then* *upd-entry-0* j (*op-list-get* k j) (M (0, j)) *else* *norm-diag*

(M (0, 0))

```

    else M (i', j)
)
⟨proof⟩

definition extra-upd :: 't DBM' ⇒ 't list ⇒ 't list ⇒ nat ⇒ 't DBM'
where
  extra-upd M l u n ≡
    fold (λi M. upd-line M u (op-list-get l i) i n) [1..<Suc n] (upd-line-0 M
u n)

lemma upd-line-0-out-of-bounds1:
  assumes i > 0
  shows upd-line-0 M k n (i, j) = M (i, j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd-line-0-out-of-bounds2:
  assumes j > n
  shows upd-line-0 M k n (i, j) = M (i, j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd-out-of-bounds-aux1:
  assumes i > n
  shows fold (λi M. upd-line M k (op-list-get l i) i m) [1..<Suc n] M (i, j)
= M (i, j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd-out-of-bounds-aux2:
  assumes j > m
  shows fold (λi M. upd-line M k (op-list-get l i) i m) [1..<Suc n] M (i, j)
= M (i, j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd-out-of-bounds1:
  assumes i > n
  shows extra-upd M l u n (i, j) = M (i, j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd-out-of-bounds2:
  assumes j > n
  shows extra-upd M l u n (i, j) = M (i, j)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition norm-entry where
  norm-entry x l u i j = (

```

```

let ub = if i > 0 then (l ! i) else 0 in
let lb = if j > 0 then (u ! j) else 0 in
if i ≠ j then if i = 0 then upd-entry-0 j lb x else upd-entry i j lb ub x else
norm-diag x)

```

lemma *upd-extra-aux*:

assumes $i \leq n$ $j \leq m$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fold } (\lambda i M. \text{upd-line } M u (\text{op-list-get } l i) i m) [1..<\text{Suc } n] (\text{upd-line-0 } M \\ & u m) (i, j) \\ &= \text{norm-entry } (M (i, j)) l u i j \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *upd-extra-aux'*:

assumes $i \leq n$ $j \leq n$

shows $\text{extra-upd } M l u n (i, j) = \text{extra } (\text{curry } M) (\lambda i. l ! i) (\lambda i. u ! i) n$
 $i j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *extra-upd-extra''*:

$\text{extra-upd } M l u n (i, j) = \text{extra } (\text{curry } M) (\lambda i. l ! i) (\lambda i. u ! i) n i j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *extra-upd-extra'*:

$\text{curry } (\text{extra-upd } M l u n) = \text{extra } (\text{curry } M) (\lambda i. l ! i) (\lambda i. u ! i) n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *extra-upd-extra*:

$\text{extra-upd} = (\lambda M l u n (i, j). \text{extra } (\text{curry } M) (\lambda i. l ! i) (\lambda i. u ! i) n i j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *norm-is-extra*:

$\text{norm } M k n =$

extra

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda - - lb ub e. \text{norm-lower } (\text{norm-upper } e ub) (-lb)) \\ & (\lambda - lb e. \text{norm-lower } (\text{norm-upper } e 0) (-lb)) M k k n \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *extra-lu-is-extra*:

$\text{extra-lu } M l u n =$

extra

$$(\lambda - - lb ub e. \text{norm-lower } (\text{norm-upper } e ub) (-lb))$$

$(\lambda - lb e. norm-lower (norm-upper e 0) (-lb)) M l u n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma extra-lup-is-extra:

extra-lup $M l u n =$
 extra
 $(\lambda i j lb ub e. if Lt ub \prec e then \infty$
 else if $M 0 i \prec Lt (-ub)$ then ∞
 else if $M 0 j \prec (if j > 0 then Lt (-lb) else Lt 0)$ then ∞
 else e)

$(\lambda j lb e. if Le 0 \prec M 0 j then \infty$
 else if $M 0 j \prec (if j > 0 then Lt (-lb) else Lt 0)$ then $Lt (-lb)$
 else $M 0 j) M l u n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

norm-upd $M k =$
 extra-upd
 $(\lambda - lb ub e. norm-lower (norm-upper e ub) (-lb))$
 $(\lambda - lb e. norm-lower (norm-upper e 0) (-lb)) M k k$

definition

extra-lu-upd $=$
 extra-upd
 $(\lambda - - lb ub e. norm-lower (norm-upper e ub) (-lb))$
 $(\lambda - lb e. norm-lower (norm-upper e 0) (-lb))$

definition

extra-lup-upd $M =$
 extra-upd
 $(\lambda i j lb ub e. if Lt ub \prec e then \infty$
 else if $M (0, i) \prec Lt (-ub)$ then ∞
 else if $M (0, j) \prec (if j > 0 then Lt (-lb) else Lt 0)$ then ∞
 else e)

$(\lambda j lb e. if Le 0 \prec M (0, j) then \infty$
 else if $M (0, j) \prec (if j > 0 then Lt (-lb) else Lt 0)$ then $Lt (-lb)$
 else $M (0, j)) M$

lemma extra-upd-cong:

assumes $\bigwedge i j x y e. i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies upd\text{-entry } i j x y e = upd\text{-entry}' i j x y e$
shows $extra\text{-upd } upd\text{-entry } upd\text{-entry-0 } M l u n = extra\text{-upd } upd\text{-entry}' upd\text{-entry-0' } M l u n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma extra-lup-upd-alt-def:

```

extra-lup-upd M l u n = (
  let xs = IArray (map (λi. M (0, i)) [0..<Suc n]) in
  extra-upd
    (λi j lb ub e. if Lt ub ≺ e then ∞
     else if (xs !! i) ≺ Lt (– ub) then ∞
     else if (xs !! j) ≺ (if j > 0 then Lt (– lb) else Lt 0) then ∞
     else e)
    (λj lb e. if Le 0 ≺ (xs !! j) then ∞
     else if (xs !! j) ≺ (if j > 0 then Lt (– lb) else Lt 0) then Lt (– lb)
     else (xs !! j))) M l u n

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma extra-lup-upd-alt-def2:

```

extra-lup-upd M l u n = (
  let xs = map (λi. M (0, i)) [0..<Suc n] in
  extra-upd
    (λi j lb ub e. if Lt ub ≺ e then ∞
     else if (xs ! i) ≺ Lt (– ub) then ∞
     else if (xs ! j) ≺ (if j > 0 then Lt (– lb) else Lt 0) then ∞
     else e)
    (λj lb e. if Le 0 ≺ (xs ! j) then ∞
     else if (xs ! j) ≺ (if j > 0 then Lt (– lb) else Lt 0) then Lt (– lb)
     else (xs ! j))) M l u n

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma norm-upd-norm: norm-upd = $(\lambda M k n (i, j). \text{norm} (\text{curry } M) (\lambda i. k ! i) n i j)$

and extra-lu-upd-extra-lu:

extra-lu-upd = $(\lambda M l u n (i, j). \text{extra-lu} (\text{curry } M) (\lambda i. l ! i) (\lambda i. u ! i) n i j)$

and extra-lup-upd-extra-lup:

extra-lup-upd = $(\lambda M l u n (i, j). \text{extra-lup} (\text{curry } M) (\lambda i. l ! i) (\lambda i. u ! i) n i j)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma norm-upd-norm':

curry (norm-upd M k n) = norm (curry M) ($\lambda i. k ! i$) n

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma norm-int-preservation:

assumes dbm-int M n $\forall c \leq n. k c \in \mathbb{Z}$

shows dbm-int (norm M k n) n

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

assumes $dbm\text{-int } M n \forall c \leq n. l c \in \mathbb{Z} \forall c \leq n. u c \in \mathbb{Z}$

shows extra-lu-preservation: $dbm\text{-int } (\text{extra-lu } M l u n) n$

and extra-lup-preservation: $dbm\text{-int } (\text{extra-lup } M l u n) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma norm-upd-int-preservation:

fixes $M :: ('t :: \{\text{linordered-ab-group-add}, \text{ring-1}\}) DBM'$

assumes $dbm\text{-int } (\text{curry } M) n \forall c \in \text{set } k. c \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ length } k = Suc n$

shows $dbm\text{-int } (\text{curry } (\text{norm-upd } M k n)) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

fixes $M :: ('t :: \{\text{linordered-ab-group-add}, \text{ring-1}\}) DBM'$

assumes $dbm\text{-int } (\text{curry } M) n$

$\forall c \in \text{set } l. c \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ length } l = Suc n \forall c \in \text{set } u. c \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ length } u = Suc n$

shows extra-lu-upd-int-preservation: $dbm\text{-int } (\text{curry } (\text{extra-lu-upd } M l u n)) n$

and extra-lup-upd-int-preservation: $dbm\text{-int } (\text{curry } (\text{extra-lup-upd } M l u n)) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

assumes $dbm\text{-default } (\text{curry } M) n$

shows norm-upd-default: $dbm\text{-default } (\text{curry } (\text{norm-upd } M k n)) n$

and extra-lu-upd-default: $dbm\text{-default } (\text{curry } (\text{extra-lu-upd } M l u n)) n$

and extra-lup-upd-default: $dbm\text{-default } (\text{curry } (\text{extra-lup-upd } M l u n)) n$

n

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *DBM-Imperative-Loops*

imports

Refine-Imperative-HOL.IICF

begin

5.6.1 Additional proof rules for typical looping constructs

Heap-Monad.fold-map **lemma** fold-map-ht:

assumes list-all $(\lambda x. \langle A * \text{true} \rangle f x \langle \lambda r. \uparrow(Q x r) * A \rangle_t) xs$

shows $\langle A * \text{true} \rangle \text{Heap-Monad.fold-map } f xs \langle \lambda rs. \uparrow(\text{list-all2 } (\lambda x r. Q x r) xs rs) * A \rangle_t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fold-map-ht'*:

assumes $list\text{-}all (\lambda x. \langle true \rangle f x <\lambda r. \uparrow(Q x r)>_t) xs$
shows $\langle true \rangle Heap\text{-}Monad.fold\text{-}map f xs <\lambda rs. \uparrow(list\text{-}all2 (\lambda x r. Q x r) xs rs)>_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fold-map-ht1*:

assumes $\wedge x xi. \langle A * R x xi * true \rangle f xi <\lambda r. A * \uparrow(Q x r)>_t$
shows
 $\langle A * list\text{-}assn R xs xsi * true \rangle$
 $Heap\text{-}Monad.fold\text{-}map f xsi$
 $<\lambda rs. A * \uparrow(list\text{-}all2 (\lambda x r. Q x r) xs rs)>_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fold-map-ht2*:

assumes $\wedge x xi. \langle A * R x xi * true \rangle f xi <\lambda r. A * R x xi * \uparrow(Q x r)>_t$
shows
 $\langle A * list\text{-}assn R xs xsi * true \rangle$
 $Heap\text{-}Monad.fold\text{-}map f xsi$
 $<\lambda rs. A * list\text{-}assn R xs xsi * \uparrow(list\text{-}all2 (\lambda x r. Q x r) xs rs)>_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fold-map-ht3*:

assumes $\wedge x xi. \langle A * R x xi * true \rangle f xi <\lambda r. A * Q x r>_t$
shows $\langle A * list\text{-}assn R xs xsi * true \rangle Heap\text{-}Monad.fold\text{-}map f xsi <\lambda rs.$
 $A * list\text{-}assn Q xs rs>_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

imp-for' and *imp-for* **lemma** *imp-for-rule2*:

assumes

$emp \implies_A I i a$

$\wedge i a. \langle A * I i a * true \rangle ci a <\lambda r. A * I i a * \uparrow(r \longleftrightarrow c a)>_t$

$\wedge i a. i < j \implies c a \implies \langle A * I i a * true \rangle f i a <\lambda r. A * I (i + 1)$

$r>_t$

$\wedge a. I j a \implies_A Q a \wedge i a. i < j \implies \neg c a \implies I i a \implies_A Q a$

$i \leq j$

shows $\langle A * true \rangle imp\text{-}for i j ci f a <\lambda r. A * Q r>_t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-for-rule*:

assumes

$emp \implies_A I i a$
 $\wedge i a. \langle I i a * true \rangle ci a \langle \lambda r. I i a * \uparrow(r \longleftrightarrow c a) \rangle_t$
 $\wedge i a. i < j \implies c a \implies \langle I i a * true \rangle f i a \langle \lambda r. I (i + 1) r \rangle_t$
 $\wedge a. I j a \implies_A Q a \wedge i a. i < j \implies \neg c a \implies I i a \implies_A Q a$
 $i \leq j$
shows $\langle true \rangle imp\text{-}for\ i j ci f a \langle \lambda r. Q r \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $imp\text{-}for'\text{-rule2}$:

assumes

$emp \implies_A I i a$
 $\wedge i a. i < j \implies \langle A * I i a * true \rangle f i a \langle \lambda r. A * I (i + 1) r \rangle_t$
 $\wedge a. I j a \implies_A Q a$
 $i \leq j$
shows $\langle A * true \rangle imp\text{-}for' i j f a \langle \lambda r. A * Q r \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $imp\text{-}for'\text{-rule}$:

assumes

$emp \implies_A I i a$
 $\wedge i a. i < j \implies \langle I i a * true \rangle f i a \langle \lambda r. I (i + 1) r \rangle_t$
 $\wedge a. I j a \implies_A Q a$
 $i \leq j$
shows $\langle true \rangle imp\text{-}for' i j f a \langle \lambda r. Q r \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nth\text{-rule}$:

assumes $is\text{-pure } S$

and $b < length a$

shows

$\langle nat\text{-assn } b bi * array\text{-assn } S a ai \rangle Array.nth ai bi$
 $\langle \lambda r. \exists_A x. nat\text{-assn } b bi * array\text{-assn } S a ai * S x r * true * \uparrow(x = a$
 $! b) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $imp\text{-}for\text{-list-all}$:

assumes

$is\text{-pure } R n \leq length xs$

$\wedge x xi. \langle A * R x xi * true \rangle Pi xi \langle \lambda r. A * \uparrow(r \longleftrightarrow P x) \rangle_t$

shows

$\langle A * array\text{-assn } R xs a * true \rangle$
 $imp\text{-for } 0 n Heap\text{-Monad.return}$
 $(\lambda i -. do \{$
 $x \leftarrow Array.nth a i; Pi x$

```

  })
  True
<λr. A * array-assn R xs a * ↑(r ←→ list-all P (take n xs))>t
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *imp-for-list-ex*:

assumes

is-pure R n ≤ length xs

Λx xi. <A * R x xi * true> Pi xi <λr. A * ↑(r ←→ P x)>_t

shows

<A * array-assn R xs a * true>

imp-for 0 n (λx. Heap-Monad.return (¬ x))

(λi -. do {

x ← Array.nth a i; Pi x

)

False

<λr. A * array-assn R xs a * ↑(r ←→ list-ex P (take n xs))>_t

⟨proof⟩

lemma *imp-for-list-all2*:

assumes

is-pure R is-pure S n ≤ length xs n ≤ length ys

Λx xi y yi. <A * R x xi * S y yi * true> Pi xi yi <λr. A * ↑(r ←→ P x y)>_t

shows

<A * array-assn R xs a * array-assn S ys b * true>

imp-for 0 n Heap-Monad.return

(λi -. do {

x ← Array.nth a i; y ← Array.nth b i; Pi x y

)

True

<λr. A * array-assn R xs a * array-assn S ys b * ↑(r ←→ list-all2 P (take n xs) (take n ys))>_t

⟨proof⟩

lemma *imp-for-list-all2'*:

assumes

is-pure R is-pure S n ≤ length xs n ≤ length ys

Λx xi y yi. <R x xi * S y yi> Pi xi yi <λr. ↑(r ←→ P x y)>_t

shows

<array-assn R xs a * array-assn S ys b>

imp-for 0 n Heap-Monad.return

(λi -. do {

x ← Array.nth a i; y ← Array.nth b i; Pi x y

```

    })
  True
< $\lambda r.$  array-assn  $R$   $xs$   $a$  * array-assn  $S$   $ys$   $b$  *  $\uparrow(r \longleftrightarrow \text{list-all2 } P (\text{take } n$ 
 $xs) (\text{take } n ys))$ > $t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

theory DBM-Operations-Impl-Refine
imports
  DBM-Operations-Impl
  HOL-Library.IArray
  DBM-Imperative-Loops
begin

lemma rev-map-fold-append-aux:
  fold ( $\lambda x xs.$   $f x \# xs)$   $xs$   $zs @ ys =$  fold ( $\lambda x xs.$   $f x \# xs)$   $xs$  ( $zs @ ys$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rev-map-fold:
  rev (map  $f$   $xs$ ) = fold ( $\lambda x xs.$   $f x \# xs)$   $xs$  []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-rev-fold:
  map  $f$   $xs =$  rev (fold ( $\lambda x xs.$   $f x \# xs)$   $xs$  [])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pointwise-cmp-iff:
  pointwise-cmp  $P$   $n$   $M$   $M' \longleftrightarrow \text{list-all2 } P (\text{take } ((n + 1) * (n + 1)) xs)$ 
  ( $\text{take } ((n + 1) * (n + 1)) ys$ )
  if  $\forall i \leq n.$   $\forall j \leq n.$   $xs ! (i + i * n + j) = M i j$ 
     $\forall i \leq n.$   $\forall j \leq n.$   $ys ! (i + i * n + j) = M' i j$ 
     $(n + 1) * (n + 1) \leq \text{length } xs$   $(n + 1) * (n + 1) \leq \text{length } ys$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

fun intersperse ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list$  where
  intersperse sep ( $x \# y \# xs$ ) =  $x \# sep \# \text{intersperse } sep (y \# xs)$  |
  intersperse -  $xs = xs$ 

lemma the-pure-id-assn-eq[simp]:
  the-pure ( $\lambda a c.$   $\uparrow(c = a)$ ) = Id
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pure-eq-conv:
  ( $\lambda a c.$   $\uparrow(c = a)$ ) = id-assn

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.7 Refinement

instance $DBMEntry :: (\{countable\}) countable$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instance $DBMEntry :: (\{heap\}) heap \langle proof \rangle$

definition $dbm\text{-subset}' :: nat \Rightarrow ('t :: \{linorder, zero\}) DBM' \Rightarrow 't DBM'$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $dbm\text{-subset}' n M M' \equiv pointwise\text{-}cmp (\leq) n (curry M) (curry M')$

lemma $dbm\text{-subset}'\text{-alt-def}:$
 $dbm\text{-subset}' n M M' \equiv$
 $list\text{-all } (\lambda i. list\text{-all } (\lambda j. (op\text{-}mtx\text{-}get M (i, j) \leq op\text{-}mtx\text{-}get M' (i, j))))$
 $[0..<Suc n])$
 $[0..<Suc n]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dbm\text{-subset}\text{-alt-def}'[code]:$
 $dbm\text{-subset} n M M' \longleftrightarrow$
 $list\text{-ex } (\lambda i. op\text{-}mtx\text{-}get M (i, i) < 0) [0..<Suc n] \vee$
 $list\text{-all } (\lambda i. list\text{-all } (\lambda j. (op\text{-}mtx\text{-}get M (i, j) \leq op\text{-}mtx\text{-}get M' (i, j))))$
 $[0..<Suc n])$
 $[0..<Suc n]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$mtx\text{-line-to-iarray} m M = IArray (map (\lambda i. M (0, i)) [0..<Suc m])$

definition

$mtx\text{-line} m (M :: - DBM') = map (\lambda i. M (0, i)) [0..<Suc m]$

locale $DBM\text{-Impl} =$

fixes $n :: nat$

begin

abbreviation

$mtx\text{-assn} :: (nat \times nat \Rightarrow ('a :: \{linordered-ab-monoid-add, heap\})) \Rightarrow 'a$
 $array \Rightarrow assn$

where

$mtx\text{-assn} \equiv asmtx\text{-assn} (Suc n) id\text{-assn}$

abbreviation *clock-assn* \equiv *nbn-assn* (*Suc n*)

```

lemmas Relation.IdI[where a =  $\infty$ , sepref-import-param]
lemma [sepref-import-param]: ((+),(+))  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (uminus, uminus)  $\in$  (Id :: (-*-set))  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (Lt, Lt)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (Le, Le)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
lemma [sepref-import-param]: ( $\infty$ ,  $\infty$ )  $\in$  Id  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (min :: - DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  -, min)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id
 $\rightarrow$  Id  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (Suc, Suc)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 

lemma [sepref-import-param]: (norm-lower, norm-lower)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (norm-upper, norm-upper)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (norm-diag, norm-diag)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 

end

```

definition zero-clock :: - :: linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add **where**
zero-clock = 0

sepref-register zero-clock

lemma [*sepref-import-param*]: (zero-clock, zero-clock) \in Id \langle proof \rangle

lemmas [*sepref-opt-simps*] = zero-clock-def

```

context
  fixes n :: nat
begin

```

interpretation DBM-Impl *n* \langle proof \rangle

```

sepref-definition reset-canonical-upd-impl' is
  uncurry2 (uncurry ( $\lambda x$ . RETURN ooo reset-canonical-upd x)) :: 
  [ $\lambda(((\mathbf{-}, i), j), \mathbf{-})$ .  $i \leq n \wedge j \leq n$ ]_a mtx-assn^d *a nat-assn^k *a nat-assn^k *a
  id-assn^k  $\rightarrow$  mtx-assn
   $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 

```

```

sepref-definition reset-canonical-upd-impl is
  uncurry2 (uncurry ( $\lambda x$ . RETURN ooo reset-canonical-upd x)) :: 
  [ $\lambda(((\mathbf{-}, i), j), \mathbf{-})$ .  $i \leq n \wedge j \leq n$ ]_a mtx-assn^d *a nat-assn^k *a nat-assn^k *a

```

id-assn^k → *mtx-assn*
⟨proof⟩

sepref-definition *up-canonical-upd-impl* **is**
uncurry (*RETURN oo up-canonical-upd*) :: $[\lambda(-, i). \ i \leq n]_a \ mtx\text{-assn}^d *_a$
nat-assn^k → *mtx-assn*
⟨proof⟩

lemma [*sepref-import-param*]:
(Le 0, 0) ∈ Id
⟨proof⟩
sepref-register 0

sepref-definition *check-diag-impl'* **is**
uncurry (*RETURN oo check-diag*) ::
 $[\lambda(i, -). \ i \leq n]_a \ nat\text{-assn}^k *_a mtx\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow bool\text{-assn}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma [*sepref-opt-simps*]:
(x = True) = x
⟨proof⟩

sepref-definition *dbm-subset'-impl2* **is**
uncurry2 (*RETURN ooo dbm-subset'*) ::
 $[\lambda((i, -), -). \ i \leq n]_a \ nat\text{-assn}^k *_a mtx\text{-assn}^k *_a mtx\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow bool\text{-assn}$
⟨proof⟩

definition
dbm-subset'-impl' ≡ λm a b.
do {
*imp-for 0 ((m + 1) * (m + 1)) Heap-Monad.return*
(λi -. do {
x ← Array.nth a i; y ← Array.nth b i; Heap-Monad.return (x ≤ y)
*})
True
*}**

lemma *imp-for-list-all2-spec*:

*<a ↦_a xs * b ↦_a ys>*
imp-for 0 n' Heap-Monad.return
(λi -. do {
x ← Array.nth a i; y ← Array.nth b i; Heap-Monad.return (P x y)
})

```

True
< $\lambda r. \uparrow(r \longleftrightarrow \text{list-all2 } P (\text{take } n' xs) (\text{take } n' ys)) * a \mapsto_a xs * b \mapsto_a ys>_t$ 
if  $n' \leq \text{length } xs$   $n' \leq \text{length } ys$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-subset'-impl'-refine:
  (uncurry2 dbm-subset'-impl', uncurry2 (RETURN ooo dbm-subset'))
 $\in [\lambda((i, -), -). i = n]_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{local mtx-assn}^k *_a \text{local mtx-assn}^k \rightarrow$ 
  bool-assn
  ⟨proof⟩

sepref-register check-diag :: 
  nat  $\Rightarrow$  - :: {linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add,heap} DBMEntry i-mtx  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool

sepref-register dbm-subset' :: 
  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add,heap} DBMEntry i-mtx  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'a DBMEntry i-mtx  $\Rightarrow$  bool

lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = dbm-subset'-impl'-refine check-diag-impl'.refine

sepref-definition dbm-subset-impl' is
  uncurry2 (RETURN ooo dbm-subset) :: 
  [ $\lambda((i, -), -). i = n]_a \text{nat-assn}^k *_a \text{mtx-assn}^k *_a \text{mtx-assn}^k \rightarrow$  bool-assn
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  notes [id-rules] = itypeI[of n TYPE (nat)]
  and [sepref-import-param] = IdI[of n]
begin

sepref-definition dbm-subset-impl is
  uncurry (RETURN oo PR-CONST (dbm-subset n)) :: mtx-assnk *a mtx-assnk
 $\rightarrow_a$  bool-assn
  ⟨proof⟩

sepref-definition check-diag-impl is
  RETURN o PR-CONST (check-diag n) :: mtx-assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  bool-assn
  ⟨proof⟩

sepref-definition dbm-subset'-impl is
  uncurry (RETURN oo PR-CONST (dbm-subset' n)) :: mtx-assnk *a mtx-assnk
 $\rightarrow_a$  bool-assn
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

abbreviation

iarray-assn $x\ y \equiv \text{pure}(\text{br } I\text{Array}(\lambda_. \text{True}))\ y\ x$

lemma [*sepref-fr-rules*]:

(*uncurry* (*return oo* *IArray.sub*), *uncurry* (*RETURN oo op-list-get*))

$\in i\text{array-assn}^k *_a id\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a id\text{-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *extra-defs* = *extra-upd-def upd-line-def upd-line-0-def*

sepref-definition *norm-upd-impl* **is**

uncurry2 (*RETURN ooo norm-upd*) ::

$[\lambda((-, xs), i). \text{length } xs > n \wedge i \leq n]_a m\text{tx-assn}^d *_a i\text{array-assn}^k *_a n\text{at-assn}^k \rightarrow m\text{tx-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

sepref-definition *norm-upd-impl'* **is**

uncurry2 (*RETURN ooo norm-upd*) ::

$[\lambda((-, xs), i). \text{length } xs > n \wedge i \leq n]_a m\text{tx-assn}^d *_a (\text{list-assn } id\text{-assn})^k *_a n\text{at-assn}^k \rightarrow m\text{tx-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

sepref-definition *extra-lu-upd-impl* **is**

uncurry3 ($\lambda x. \text{RETURN ooo (extra-lu-upd } x)$) ::

$[\lambda(((-, ys), xs), i). \text{length } xs > n \wedge \text{length } ys > n \wedge i \leq n]_a$

$m\text{tx-assn}^d *_a i\text{array-assn}^k *_a i\text{array-assn}^k *_a n\text{at-assn}^k \rightarrow m\text{tx-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

sepref-definition *mtx-line-to-list-impl* **is**

uncurry (*RETURN oo PR-CONST mtx-line*) ::

$[\lambda(m, -). m \leq n]_a n\text{at-assn}^k *_a m\text{tx-assn}^k \rightarrow \text{list-assn } id\text{-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes $m :: \text{nat}$ **assumes** $m \leq n$

notes [*id-rules*] = *itypeI*[*of m TYPE (nat)*]

and [*sepref-import-param*] = *IdI*[*of m*]

begin

sepref-definition *mtx-line-to-list-impl2* **is**

RETURN o PR-CONST mtx-line $m :: m\text{tx-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{list-assn } id\text{-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *IArray-impl*:

$(return \circ IArray, RETURN \circ id) \in (list\text{-}assn id\text{-}assn)^k \rightarrow_a iarray\text{-}assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

mtx-line-to-iarray-impl m M = (mtx-line-to-list-impl2 m M ≈ return o IArray)

lemmas *mtx-line-to-iarray-impl-ht =*

mtx-line-to-list-impl2.refine[to-hnr, unfolded hn-refine-def hn-ctxt-def, simplified]

lemmas *IArray-ht = IArray-impl[to-hnr, unfolded hn-refine-def hn-ctxt-def, simplified]*

lemma *mtx-line-to-iarray-impl-refine[sepref-fr-rules]*:

(uncurry mtx-line-to-iarray-impl, uncurry (RETURN ∘ mtx-line))
 $\in [\lambda(m, -). m \leq n]_a nat\text{-}assn^k *_a mtx\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow iarray\text{-}assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sepref-register *mtx-line :: nat ⇒ ('ef) DBMEntry i-mtx ⇒ 'ef DBMEntry list*

lemma [sepref-import-param]: $(dbm-lt :: - DBMEntry \Rightarrow -, dbm-lt) \in Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow Id$ $\langle proof \rangle$

sepref-definition *extra-lup-upd-impl* **is**

uncurry3 (λx. RETURN ooo (extra-lup-upd x)) ::
 $[\lambda(((-, ys), xs), i). length xs > n \wedge length ys > n \wedge i \leq n]_a$
 $mtx\text{-}assn^d *_a iarray\text{-}assn^k *_a iarray\text{-}assn^k *_a nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow mtx\text{-}assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

notes [id-rules] = *itypeI*[of *n* TYPE (nat)]

and [sepref-import-param] = *IdI*[of *n*]

begin

definition

unbounded-dbm' = unbounded-dbm n

lemma *unbounded-dbm-alt-def*:

unbounded-dbm n = op-amtx-new (Suc n) (Suc n) (unbounded-dbm')
⟨proof⟩

We need the custom rule here because *unbounded-dbm* is a higher-order constant

lemma [*sepref-fr-rules*]:

(*uncurry0 (return unbounded-dbm')*, *uncurry0 (RETURN (PR-CONST (unbounded-dbm')))*)
∈ unit-assn^k →_a pure (nat-rel ×_r nat-rel → Id)
⟨proof⟩

sepref-register *PR-CONST (unbounded-dbm n) :: nat × nat ⇒ int DB-MEntry :: 'b DBMEntry i-mtx*

sepref-register *unbounded-dbm' :: nat × nat ⇒ - DBMEntry*

Necessary to solve side conditions of *op-amtx-new*

lemma *unbounded-dbm'-bounded*:

mtx-nonzero unbounded-dbm' ⊆ {0..<Suc n} × {0..<Suc n}
⟨proof⟩

We need to pre-process the lemmas due to a failure of *TRADE*

lemma *unbounded-dbm'-bounded-1*:

(a, b) ∈ mtx-nonzero unbounded-dbm' ⇒ a < Suc n
⟨proof⟩

lemma *unbounded-dbm'-bounded-2*:

(a, b) ∈ mtx-nonzero unbounded-dbm' ⇒ b < Suc n
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *dbm-subset-impl.refine*

sepref-register *PR-CONST (dbm-subset n) :: 'e DBMEntry i-mtx ⇒ 'e DBMEntry i-mtx ⇒ bool*

lemma [*def-pat-rules*]:

dbm-subset \$ n ≡ PR-CONST (dbm-subset n)
⟨proof⟩

sepref-definition *unbounded-dbm-impl* **is**

uncurry0 (RETURN (PR-CONST (unbounded-dbm n))) :: unit-assn^k →_a mtx-assn
⟨proof⟩

DBM to List

```
definition dbm-to-list :: (nat × nat ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a list where
  dbm-to-list M ≡
    rev $ fold (λi xs. fold (λj xs. M (i, j) # xs) [0..<Suc n] xs) [0..<Suc n] []

sepref-definition dbm-to-list-impl is
  RETURN o PR-CONST dbm-to-list :: mtx-assnk →a list-assn id-assn
  ⟨proof⟩
```

5.8 Pretty-Printing

context

```
fixes show-clock :: nat ⇒ string
  and show-num :: 'a :: {linordered-ab-group-add,heap} ⇒ string
```

begin

definition

```
make-string e i j ≡
  if i = j then if e < 0 then Some ("EMPTY") else None
  else
    if i = 0 then
      case e of
        DBMEntry.Le a ⇒ if a = 0 then None else Some (show-clock j @ "
        >= " @ show-num (- a))
        | DBMEntry.Lt a ⇒ Some (show-clock j @ " > " @ show-num (- a))
        | - ⇒ None
    else if j = 0 then
      case e of
        DBMEntry.Le a ⇒ Some (show-clock i @ " <= " @ show-num a)
        | DBMEntry.Lt a ⇒ Some (show-clock i @ " < " @ show-num a)
        | - ⇒ None
    else
      case e of
        DBMEntry.Le a ⇒ Some (show-clock i @ " - " @ show-clock j @ "
        <= " @ show-num a)
        | DBMEntry.Lt a ⇒ Some (show-clock i @ " - " @ show-clock j @ " <
        " @ show-num a)
        | - ⇒ None
```

definition

```
dbm-list-to-string xs ≡
  concat o intersperse ", " o rev o snd o snd) $ fold (λe (i, j, acc).
  let
```

```

 $v = \text{make-string } e \ i \ j;$ 
 $j = (j + 1) \ \text{mod} \ (n + 1);$ 
 $i = (\text{if } j = 0 \text{ then } i + 1 \text{ else } i)$ 
 $\text{in}$ 
 $\text{case } v \text{ of}$ 
 $\quad \text{None} \Rightarrow (i, j, acc)$ 
 $\quad | \text{Some } s \Rightarrow (i, j, s \# acc)$ 
 $\quad ) \ xs \ (0, 0, [])$ 

lemma [sepref-import-param]:
 $(\text{dbm-list-to-string}, \text{PR-CONST dbm-list-to-string}) \in \langle Id \rangle \text{list-rel} \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle \text{list-rel}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition show-dbm where
 $\text{show-dbm } M \equiv \text{PR-CONST dbm-list-to-string } (\text{dbm-to-list } M)$ 

sepref-register PR-CONST local.dbm-list-to-string
sepref-register dbm-to-list ::  $'b \ i\text{-mtx} \Rightarrow 'b \ list$ 

lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = dbm-to-list-impl.refine

sepref-definition show-dbm-impl is
 $\text{RETURN } o \ \text{show-dbm} :: \text{mtx-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{list-assn id-assn}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

end

## 5.9 Generate Code

lemma [code]:
 $\text{dbm-le } a \ b = (a = b \vee (a \prec b))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

export-code
 $\text{norm-upd-impl}$ 
 $\text{reset-canonical-upd-impl}$ 
 $\text{up-canonical-upd-impl}$ 
 $\text{dbm-subset-impl}$ 
 $\text{dbm-subset}$ 

```

```

show-dbm-impl
checking SML

export-code
norm-upd-impl
reset-canonical-upd-impl
up-canonical-upd-impl
dbm-subset-impl
dbm-subset
show-dbm-impl
checking SML-imp

end
theory DBM-Examples
imports
DBM-Operations-Impl-Refine
FW-More
Show.Show-Instances
begin

```

5.10 Examples

no-notation *Ref.update* (*- := -* 62)

Let us represent the zone $y \leq x \wedge x \leq 2 \wedge y \geq 1$ as a DBM:

definition *test-dbm* :: *int DBM'* **where**

$$\text{test-dbm} = (((\lambda(i, j). \text{Le } 0)((1, 2) := \text{Le } 2))((0, 2) := \text{Le } (-1)))((1, 0) := \infty))((2, 0) := \infty)$$

— Pretty-printing

definition *show-test-dbm* **where**

$$\text{show-test-dbm } M = \text{String.implode} ($$

$$\text{show-dbm } 2$$

$$(\lambda i. \text{if } i = 1 \text{ then "x"} \text{ else if } i = 2 \text{ then "y"} \text{ else "f"}) \text{ show}$$

$$M$$

$$)$$

— Pretty-printing

value [*code*] *show-test-dbm test-dbm*

— Canonical form

value [*code*] *show-test-dbm (FW' test-dbm 2)*

— Projection onto *x* axis

value [code] *show-test-dbm* (*reset'-upd* (*FW'* *test-dbm* 2) 2 [2] 0)
— Note that if *reset'-upd* is not applied to the canonical form, the result is incorrect:
value [code] *show-test-dbm* (*reset'-upd* *test-dbm* 2 [2] 0)
— In this case, we already obtained a new canonical form after reset:
value [code] *show-test-dbm* (*FW'* (*reset'-upd* (*FW'* *test-dbm* 2) 2 [2] 0) 2)
— Note that *FWI* can be used to restore the canonical form without running a full *FW'*.
— Relaxation, a.k.a computing the "future", or "letting time elapse":
value [code] *show-test-dbm* (*up-canonical-upd* (*reset'-upd* (*FW'* *test-dbm* 2) 2 [2] 0) 2)
— Note that *up-canonical-upd* always preserves canonical form.
— Intersection
value [code] *show-test-dbm* (*FW'* (*And-upd* 2
 (*up-canonical-upd* (*reset'-upd* (*FW'* *test-dbm* 2) 2 [2] 0) 2)
 (($\lambda(i, j). \infty$)((1, 0):=Lt 1))) 2)
— Note that *up-canonical-upd* always preserves canonical form.
— Checking if DBM represents the empty zone
value [code] *check-diag* 2 (*FW'* (*And-upd* 2
 (*up-canonical-upd* (*reset'-upd* (*FW'* *test-dbm* 2) 2 [2] 0) 2)
 (($\lambda(i, j). \infty$)((1, 0):=Lt 1))) 2)
— Instead of $\lambda(i, j). \infty$ we could also have been using *unbounded-dbm*.

end

References

- [1] G. Behrmann, P. Bouyer, K. G. Larsen, and R. Pelánek. Lower and upper bounds in zone-based abstractions of timed automata. *Int. J. Softw. Tools Technol. Transf.*, 8(3):204–215, 2006.
- [2] D. L. Dill. Timing assumptions and verification of finite-state concurrent systems. In J. Sifakis, editor, *Automatic Verification Methods for Finite State Systems*, pages 197–212, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [3] S. Wimmer. *Trustworthy Verification of Realtime Systems*. PhD thesis, Technical University of Munich, Germany, 2020.

- [4] S. Wimmer and P. Lammich. Verified model checking of timed automata. In *TACAS (1)*, volume 10805 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 61–78. Springer, 2018.