

# A Verified Compiler for Probability Density Functions

Manuel Eberl, Johannes Hözl and Tobias Nipkow

May 26, 2024

## Abstract

Bhat *et al.* [1] developed an inductive compiler that computes density functions for probability spaces described by programs in a probabilistic functional language. In this work, we implement such a compiler for a modified version of this language within the theorem prover Isabelle and give a formal proof of its soundness w.r.t. the semantics of the source and target language. Together with Isabelle’s code generation for inductive predicates, this yields a fully verified, executable density compiler. The proof is done in two steps: First, an abstract compiler working with abstract functions modelled directly in the theorem prover’s logic is defined and proved sound. Then, this compiler is refined to a concrete version that returns a target-language expression.

A detailed presentation of this work can be found in the first author’s master’s thesis [2].

## Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Density Predicates</b>                    | <b>2</b>  |
| 1.1      | Probability Densities . . . . .              | 3         |
| 1.2      | Measure spaces with densities . . . . .      | 3         |
| 1.3      | Probability spaces with densities . . . . .  | 4         |
| 1.4      | Parametrized probability densities . . . . . | 6         |
| 1.5      | Density in the Giry monad . . . . .          | 7         |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Measure Space Transformations</b>         | <b>13</b> |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Source Language Values</b>                | <b>24</b> |
| 3.1      | Values and stock measures . . . . .          | 24        |
| 3.2      | Measures on states . . . . .                 | 31        |
| 3.3      | Equalities of measure embeddings . . . . .   | 31        |
| 3.4      | Monadic operations on values . . . . .       | 32        |
| 3.5      | Lifting of functions . . . . .               | 34        |

|           |  |            |
|-----------|--|------------|
| <b>4</b>  | <b>Built-in Probability Distributions</b>            | <b>41</b>  |
| 4.1       | Bernoulli . . . . .                                  | 41         |
| 4.2       | Uniform . . . . .                                    | 41         |
| 4.3       | Gaussian . . . . .                                   | 43         |
| 4.4       | Poisson . . . . .                                    | 44         |
| <b>5</b>  | <b>Source Language Syntax and Semantics</b>          | <b>45</b>  |
| 5.1       | Expressions . . . . .                                | 45         |
| 5.2       | Typing . . . . .                                     | 48         |
| 5.3       | Semantics . . . . .                                  | 49         |
| 5.3.1     | Countable types . . . . .                            | 51         |
| 5.4       | Semantics . . . . .                                  | 52         |
| 5.5       | Measurability . . . . .                              | 56         |
| 5.6       | Randomfree expressions . . . . .                     | 60         |
| <b>6</b>  | <b>Density Contexts</b>                              | <b>66</b>  |
| <b>7</b>  | <b>Abstract PDF Compiler</b>                         | <b>91</b>  |
| 7.1       | Density compiler predicate . . . . .                 | 91         |
| 7.2       | Auxiliary lemmas . . . . .                           | 93         |
| 7.3       | Soundness proof . . . . .                            | 105        |
| <b>8</b>  | <b>Target Language Syntax and Semantics</b>          | <b>128</b> |
| 8.1       | General functions on Expressions . . . . .           | 135        |
| 8.2       | Nonnegative expressions . . . . .                    | 140        |
| 8.3       | Randomfree expressions . . . . .                     | 147        |
| 8.4       | Builtin density expressions . . . . .                | 148        |
| 8.5       | Integral expressions . . . . .                       | 150        |
| <b>9</b>  | <b>Concrete Density Contexts</b>                     | <b>155</b> |
| 9.1       | Definition . . . . .                                 | 155        |
| 9.2       | Expressions for density context operations . . . . . | 157        |
| <b>10</b> | <b>Concrete PDF Compiler</b>                         | <b>164</b> |
| <b>11</b> | <b>Tests</b>   | <b>197</b> |

## 1 Density Predicates

```
theory Density-Predicates
imports HOL-Probability.Probability
begin
```

## 1.1 Probability Densities

**definition** *is-subprob-density* :: '*a measure*  $\Rightarrow$  ('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

$$\text{is-subprob-density } M f \equiv (f \in \text{borel-measurable } M) \wedge \text{space } M \neq \{\} \wedge (\forall x \in \text{space } M. f x \geq 0) \wedge (\int^+ x. f x \partial M) \leq 1$$

**lemma** *is-subprob-densityI[intro]*:

$$[f \in \text{borel-measurable } M; \bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies f x \geq 0; \text{space } M \neq \{\}; (\int^+ x. f x \partial M) \leq 1] \implies \text{is-subprob-density } M f$$

**unfolding** *is-subprob-density-def* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *is-subprob-densityD[dest]*:

$$\text{is-subprob-density } M f \implies f \in \text{borel-measurable } M$$

$$\text{is-subprob-density } M f \implies x \in \text{space } M \implies f x \geq 0$$

$$\text{is-subprob-density } M f \implies \text{space } M \neq \{\}$$

$$\text{is-subprob-density } M f \implies (\int^+ x. f x \partial M) \leq 1$$

**unfolding** *is-subprob-density-def* **by** *simp-all*

## 1.2 Measure spaces with densities

**definition** *has-density* :: '*a measure*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a measure*  $\Rightarrow$  ('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

$$\text{has-density } M N f \longleftrightarrow (f \in \text{borel-measurable } N) \wedge \text{space } N \neq \{\} \wedge M = \text{density } N f$$

**lemma** *has-densityI[intro]*:

$$[f \in \text{borel-measurable } N; M = \text{density } N f; \text{space } N \neq \{\}] \implies \text{has-density } M N f$$

**unfolding** *has-density-def* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *has-densityD*:

**assumes** *has-density M N f*

$$\text{shows } f \in \text{borel-measurable } N \wedge M = \text{density } N f \wedge \text{space } N \neq \{\}$$

**using assms unfolding has-density-def by simp-all**

**lemma** *has-density-sets*: *has-density M N f*  $\implies$  *sets M = sets N*

**unfolding** *has-density-def* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *has-density-space*: *has-density M N f*  $\implies$  *space M = space N*

**unfolding** *has-density-def* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *has-density-emeasure*:

$$\text{has-density } M N f \implies X \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } M X = \int^+ x. f x * \text{indicator } X x \partial N$$

**unfolding** *has-density-def* **by** (*simp-all add: emeasure-density*)

**lemma** *nn-integral-cong'*:  $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } N \Rightarrow f x = g x) \implies (\int^+ x. f x \partial N) = (\int^+ x. g x \partial N)$

**by** (*simp add: simp-implies-def cong: nn-integral-cong*)

```

lemma has-density-emeasure-space:
  has-density M N f  $\implies$  emeasure M (space M) = ( $\int^+ x. f x \partial N$ )
  by (simp add: has-density-emeasure) (simp add: has-density-space cong: nn-integral-cong')

lemma has-density-emeasure-space':
  has-density M N f  $\implies$  emeasure (density N f) (space (density N f)) =  $\int^+ x. f x \partial N$ 
  by (frule has-densityD(2)[symmetric]) (simp add: has-density-emeasure-space)

lemma has-density-imp-is-subprob-density:
   $\llbracket \text{has-density } M N f; (\int^+ x. f x \partial N) = 1 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-subprob-density } N f$ 
  by (auto dest: has-densityD)

lemma has-density-imp-is-subprob-density':
   $\llbracket \text{has-density } M N f; \text{prob-space } M \rrbracket \implies \text{is-subprob-density } N f$ 
  by (auto intro!: has-density-imp-is-subprob-density dest: prob-space.emeasure-space-1
        simp: has-density-emeasure-space)

lemma has-density-equal-on-space:
  assumes has-density M N f  $\wedge$   $\lambda x. x \in \text{space } N \implies f x = g x$ 
  shows has-density M N g
  proof
    from assms show g  $\in$  borel-measurable N
    by (subst measurable-cong[of - - f]) (auto dest: has-densityD)
    with assms show M = density N g
    by (subst density-cong[of - - f]) (auto dest: has-densityD)
    from assms(1) show space N  $\neq \{\}$  by (rule has-densityD)
  qed

lemma has-density-cong:
  assumes  $\lambda x. x \in \text{space } N \implies f x = g x$ 
  shows has-density M N f = has-density M N g
  using assms by (intro iffI) (erule has-density-equal-on-space, simp)+

lemma has-density-dens-AE:
   $\llbracket \forall E y \text{ in } N. f y = f' y; f' \in \text{borel-measurable } N;$ 
   $\wedge \lambda x. x \in \text{space } M \implies f' x \geq 0; \text{has-density } M N f \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{has-density } M N f'$ 
  unfolding has-density-def by (simp cong: density-cong)

```

### 1.3 Probability spaces with densities

```

lemma is-subprob-density-imp-has-density:
   $\llbracket \text{is-subprob-density } N f; M = \text{density } N f \rrbracket \implies \text{has-density } M N f$ 
  by (rule has-densityI) auto

lemma has-subprob-density-imp-subprob-space':
   $\llbracket \text{has-density } M N f; \text{is-subprob-density } N f \rrbracket \implies \text{subprob-space } M$ 

```

```

proof (rule subprob-spaceI)
  assume has-density  $M N f$ 
  hence  $M = \text{density } N f$  by (simp add: has-density-def)
  also from  $\langle \text{has-density } M N f \rangle$  have space ...  $\neq \{\}$  by (simp add: has-density-def)
  finally show space  $M \neq \{\}$ .
qed (auto simp add: has-density-emeasure-space dest: has-densityD)

lemma has-subprob-density-imp-subprob-space[dest]:
  is-subprob-density  $M f \implies \text{subprob-space} (\text{density } M f)$ 
  by (rule has-subprob-density-imp-subprob-space') auto

definition has-subprob-density  $M N f \equiv \text{has-density } M N f \wedge \text{subprob-space } M$ 

lemma subprob-space-density-not-empty: subprob-space ( $\text{density } M f$ )  $\implies$  space  $M \neq \{\}$ 
  by (subst space-density[symmetric], subst subprob-space.subprob-not-empty, assumption) simp

lemma has-subprob-densityI:
   $[f \in \text{borel-measurable } N; M = \text{density } N f; \text{subprob-space } M] \implies \text{has-subprob-density } M N f$ 
  unfolding has-subprob-density-def by (auto simp: subprob-space-density-not-empty)

lemma has-subprob-densityI':
  assumes  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } N$  space  $N \neq \{\}$ 
   $M = \text{density } N f (\int^+ x. f x \partial N) \leq 1$ 
  shows has-subprob-density  $M N f$ 
proof-
  from assms have  $D: \text{has-density } M N f$  by blast
  moreover from  $D$  and assms have subprob-space  $M$ 
  by (auto intro!: subprob-spaceI simp: has-density-emeasure-space emeasure-density cong: nn-integral-cong')
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding has-subprob-density-def by simp
qed

lemma has-subprob-densityD:
  assumes has-subprob-density  $M N f$ 
  shows  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } N \wedge x. x \in \text{space } N \implies f x \geq 0$   $M = \text{density } N f$ 
  subprob-space  $M$ 
  using assms unfolding has-subprob-density-def by (auto dest: has-densityD)

lemma has-subprob-density-measurable[measurable-dest]:
  has-subprob-density  $M N f \implies f \in N \rightarrow_M \text{borel}$ 
  by (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)

lemma has-subprob-density-imp-has-density:
  has-subprob-density  $M N f \implies \text{has-density } M N f$  by (simp add: has-subprob-density-def)

```

```

lemma has-subprob-density-equal-on-space:
  assumes has-subprob-density M N f  $\wedge$  x. x ∈ space N  $\implies$  f x = g x
  shows has-subprob-density M N g
  using assms unfolding has-subprob-density-def by (auto dest: has-density-equal-on-space)

lemma has-subprob-density-cong:
  assumes  $\wedge$  x. x ∈ space N  $\implies$  f x = g x
  shows has-subprob-density M N f = has-subprob-density M N g
  using assms by (intro iffI) (erule has-subprob-density-equal-on-space, simp)+

lemma has-subprob-density-dens-AE:
   $\llbracket \text{AE } y \text{ in } N. f y = f' y; f' \in \text{borel-measurable } N;$ 
   $\wedge$  x. x ∈ space M  $\implies$  f' x ≥ 0; has-subprob-density M N f
   $\implies$  has-subprob-density M N f'
  unfoldng has-subprob-density-def by (simp add: has-density-dens-AE)

```

## 1.4 Parametrized probability densities

### definition

```

has-parametrized-subprob-density M N R f ≡
  ( $\forall$  x. x ∈ space M. has-subprob-density (N x) R (f x))  $\wedge$  case-prod f ∈
  borel-measurable (M  $\otimes$  M R)

```

```

lemma has-parametrized-subprob-densityI:
  assumes  $\wedge$  x. x ∈ space M  $\implies$  N x = density R (f x)
  assumes  $\wedge$  x. x ∈ space M  $\implies$  subprob-space (N x)
  assumes case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (M  $\otimes$  M R)
  shows has-parametrized-subprob-density M N R f
  unfoldng has-parametrized-subprob-density-def using assms
  by (intro ballI conjI has-subprob-densityI) simp-all

```

```

lemma has-parametrized-subprob-densityD:
  assumes has-parametrized-subprob-density M N R f
  shows  $\wedge$  x. x ∈ space M  $\implies$  N x = density R (f x)
  and  $\wedge$  x. x ∈ space M  $\implies$  subprob-space (N x)
  and [measurable-dest]: case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (M  $\otimes$  M R)
  using assms unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def
  by (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)

```

```

lemma has-parametrized-subprob-density-integral:
  assumes has-parametrized-subprob-density M N R f x ∈ space M
  shows ( $\int^+ y. f x y \partial R$ ) ≤ 1
proof-
  have ( $\int^+ y. f x y \partial R$ ) = emeasure (density R (f x)) (space (density R (f x)))
  using assms
  by (auto simp: emeasure-density cong: nn-integral-cong' dest: has-parametrized-subprob-densityD)
  also have density R (f x) = (N x) using assms by (auto dest: has-parametrized-subprob-densityD)
  also have emeasure ... (space ...) ≤ 1 using assms
  by (subst subprob-space.emeasure-space-le-1) (auto dest: has-parametrized-subprob-densityD)

```

**finally show** ?thesis .  
**qed**

**lemma** has-parametrized-subprob-density-cong:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies N x = N' x$   
**shows** has-parametrized-subprob-density  $M N R f = \text{has-parametrized-subprob-density } M N' R f$   
**using assms unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def by auto**

**lemma** has-parametrized-subprob-density-dens-AE:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies AE y \text{ in } R. f x y = f' x y$   
**case-prod**  $f' \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M R)$   
**has-parametrized-subprob-density**  $M N R f$   
**shows** has-parametrized-subprob-density  $M N R f'$   
**unfolding** has-parametrized-subprob-density-def  
**proof** (intro conjI ballI)  
**fix**  $x$  **assume**  $x: x \in \text{space } M$   
**with assms(3) have** space  $(N x) = \text{space } R$   
**by** (auto dest!: has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(1))  
**with assms and x show** has-subprob-density  $(N x) R (f' x)$   
**by** (rule-tac has-subprob-density-dens-AE[of f x])  
**(auto simp: has-parametrized-subprob-density-def)**  
**qed fact**

## 1.5 Density in the Giry monad

**lemma** emeasure-bind-density:  
**assumes** space  $M \neq \{\} \wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-density } (f x) N (g x)$   
 $f \in \text{measurable } M (\text{subprob-algebra } N) X \in \text{sets } N$   
**shows** emeasure  $(M \gg f) X = \int^+ x. \int^+ y. g x y * \text{indicator } X y \partial N \partial M$   
**proof**–  
**from assms have** emeasure  $(M \gg f) X = \int^+ x. \text{emeasure } (f x) X \partial M$   
**by** (intro emeasure-bind)  
**also have** ...  $= \int^+ x. \int^+ y. g x y * \text{indicator } X y \partial N \partial M$  **using assms**  
**by** (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp add: has-density-emeasure sets-kernel)  
**finally show** ?thesis .  
**qed**

**lemma** bind-density:  
**assumes** sigma-finite-measure  $M$  sigma-finite-measure  $N$   
 $\text{space } M \neq \{\} \wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-density } (f x) N (g x)$   
**and [measurable]: case-prod**  $g \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M N) f \in \text{measurable } M (\text{subprob-algebra } N)$   
**shows**  $(M \gg f) = \text{density } N (\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y \partial M)$   
**proof** (rule measure-eqI)  
**interpret** sfN: sigma-finite-measure  $N$  **by** fact  
**interpret** sfNM: pair-sigma-finite  $N M$  **unfolding** pair-sigma-finite-def **using**  
**assms by** simp  
**show** eq: sets  $(M \gg f) = \text{sets } (\text{density } N (\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y \partial M))$

```

using sets-bind[OF sets-kernel[OF assms(6)] assms(3)] by auto
fix  $X$  assume  $X \in \text{sets } (M \gg f)$ 
with eq have [measurable]:  $X \in \text{sets } N$  by auto
with assms have emeasure ( $M \gg f$ )  $X = \int^+ x. \int^+ y. g x y * \text{indicator } X y$ 
 $\partial N \partial M$ 
by (intro emeasure-bind-density) simp-all
also from  $\langle X \in \text{sets } N \rangle$  have ...  $= \int^+ y. \int^+ x. g x y * \text{indicator } X y \partial M \partial N$ 
by (intro sfNM.Fubini') measurable
also {
fix  $y$  assume  $y \in \text{space } N$ 
have  $(\lambda x. g x y) = \text{case-prod } g \circ (\lambda x. (x, y))$  by (rule ext) simp
also from  $\langle y \in \text{space } N \rangle$  have ...  $\in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
by (intro measurable-comp[OF - assms(5)] measurable-Pair2')
finally have  $(\lambda x. g x y) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  .
}
hence ...  $= \int^+ y. (\int^+ x. g x y \partial M) * \text{indicator } X y \partial N$ 
by (intro nn-integral-cong nn-integral-multc) simp-all
also from  $\langle X \in \text{sets } N \rangle$  and assms have ...  $= \text{emeasure} (\text{density } N (\lambda y. \int^+ x.$ 
 $g x y \partial M)) X$ 
by (subst emeasure-density) (simp-all add: sfN.borel-measurable-nn-integral)
finally show emeasure ( $M \gg f$ )  $X = \text{emeasure} (\text{density } N (\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y$ 
 $\partial M)) X$  .
qed

```

**lemma** bind-has-density:

```

assumes sigma-finite-measure  $M$  sigma-finite-measure  $N$ 
space  $M \neq \{\}$   $\wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-density } (f x) N (g x)$ 
case-prod  $g \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M N)$ 
f  $\in \text{measurable } M$  (subprob-algebra  $N$ )
shows has-density ( $M \gg f$ )  $N (\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y \partial M)$ 
proof
interpret sigma-finite-measure  $M$  by fact
show  $(\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y \partial M) \in \text{borel-measurable } N$  using assms
by (intro borel-measurable-nn-integral, subst measurable-pair-swap-iff) simp
show  $M \gg f = \text{density } N (\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y \partial M)$ 
by (intro bind-density) (simp-all add: assms)
from  $\langle \text{space } M \neq \{\} \rangle$  obtain  $x$  where  $x \in \text{space } M$  by blast
with assms have has-density ( $f x$ )  $N (g x)$  by simp
thus space  $N \neq \{\}$  by (rule has-densityD)
qed

```

**lemma** bind-has-density':

```

assumes sfM: sigma-finite-measure  $M$ 
and sfR: sigma-finite-measure  $R$ 
and not-empty: space  $M \neq \{\}$  and dens-M: has-density  $M N \delta M$ 
and dens-f:  $\wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-density } (f x) R (\delta f x)$ 
and Mδf: case-prod  $\delta f \in \text{borel-measurable } (N \otimes_M R)$ 
and Mf:  $f \in \text{measurable } N$  (subprob-algebra  $R$ )

```

**shows** has-density ( $M \gg f$ )  $R (\lambda y. \int^+ x. \delta M x * \delta f x y \partial N)$   
**proof**–  
**from** dens-M **have** M-M: measurable  $M = \text{measurable } N$   
**by** (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) (auto dest: has-densityD)  
**from** dens-M **have** M-MR: measurable  $(M \otimes_M R) = \text{measurable } (N \otimes_M R)$   
**by** (intro ext measurable-cong-sets sets-pair-measure-cong) (auto dest: has-densityD)  
**have** has-density ( $M \gg f$ )  $R (\lambda y. \int^+ x. \delta f x y \partial M)$   
**by** (rule bind-has-density) (auto simp: assms M-MR M-M)  
**moreover** {  
**fix**  $y$  **assume**  $A: y \in \text{space } R$   
**have**  $(\lambda x. \delta f x y) = \text{case-prod } \delta f \circ (\lambda x. (x, y))$  **by** (rule ext) (simp add: o-def)  
**also have** ...  $\in \text{borel-measurable } N$  **by** (intro measurable-comp[OF - Mδf]  
measurable-Pair2' A)  
**finally have**  $M\text{-}\delta f': (\lambda x. \delta f x y) \in \text{borel-measurable } N$  .  
  
**from** dens-M **have**  $M = \text{density } N \delta M$  **by** (auto dest: has-densityD)  
**also from** dens-M **have**  $(\int^+ x. \delta f x y \partial ...) = \int^+ x. \delta M x * \delta f x y \partial N$   
**by** (subst nn-integral-density) (auto dest: has-densityD simp: M-δf')  
**finally have**  $(\int^+ x. \delta f x y \partial M) = \int^+ x. \delta M x * \delta f x y \partial N$  .  
}  
**ultimately show** has-density ( $M \gg f$ )  $R (\lambda y. \int^+ x. \delta M x * \delta f x y \partial N)$   
**by** (rule has-density-equal-on-space) simp-all  
**qed**

**lemma** bind-has-subprob-density:  
**assumes** subprob-space  $M$  sigma-finite-measure  $N$   
**space**  $M \neq \{\} \wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-density } (f x) N (g x)$   
**case-prod**  $g \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M N)$   
 $f \in \text{measurable } M$  (subprob-algebra  $N$ )  
**shows** has-subprob-density ( $M \gg f$ )  $N (\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y \partial M)$   
**proof** (unfold has-subprob-density-def, intro conjI)  
**from** assms **show** has-density ( $M \gg f$ )  $N (\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y \partial M)$   
**by** (intro bind-has-density) (auto simp: subprob-space-imp-sigma-finite)  
**from** assms **show** subprob-space ( $M \gg f$ ) **by** (intro subprob-space-bind)  
**qed**

**lemma** bind-has-subprob-density':  
**assumes** has-subprob-density  $M N \delta M$  space  $R \neq \{\}$  sigma-finite-measure  $R$   
 $\wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-subprob-density } (f x) R (\delta f x)$   
**case-prod**  $\delta f \in \text{borel-measurable } (N \otimes_M R)$   $f \in \text{measurable } N$  (subprob-algebra  
 $R$ )  
**shows** has-subprob-density ( $M \gg f$ )  $R (\lambda y. \int^+ x. \delta M x * \delta f x y \partial N)$   
**proof** (unfold has-subprob-density-def, intro conjI)  
**from** assms(1) **have** space  $M \neq \{\}$  **by** (intro subprob-space.subprob-not-empty  
has-subprob-densityD)  
**with** assms **show** has-density ( $M \gg f$ )  $R (\lambda y. \int^+ x. \delta M x * \delta f x y \partial N)$   
**by** (intro bind-has-density' has-densityI)  
(auto simp: subprob-space-imp-sigma-finite dest: has-subprob-densityD)  
**from** assms **show** subprob-space ( $M \gg f$ )

```

by (intro subprob-space-bind) (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)
qed

lemma null-measure-has-subprob-density:
space M ≠ {}  $\implies$  has-subprob-density (null-measure M) M (λ-. 0)
by (intro has-subprob-densityI)
(auto intro: null-measure-eq-density simp: subprob-space-null-measure-iff)

lemma emeasure-has-parametrized-subprob-density:
assumes has-parametrized-subprob-density M N R f
assumes x ∈ space M X ∈ sets R
shows emeasure (N x) X = ∫+y. f x y * indicator X y ∂R
proof-
from has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(3)[OF assms(1)] and assms(2)
have Mf: f x ∈ borel-measurable R by simp
have N x = density R (f x)
by (rule has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(1)[OF assms(1,2)])
also from Mf and assms(3) have emeasure ... X = ∫+y. f x y * indicator X y
∂R
by (rule emeasure-density)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma emeasure-count-space-density-singleton:
assumes x ∈ A has-density M (count-space A) f
shows emeasure M {x} = f x
proof-
from has-densityD[OF assms(2)] have nonneg:  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \geq 0$  by simp
from assms have M: M = density (count-space A) f by (intro has-densityD)
from assms have emeasure M {x} = ∫+y. f y * indicator {x} y ∂count-space
A
by (simp add: M emeasure-density)
also from assms and nonneg have ... = f x
by (subst nn-integral-indicator-singleton) auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma subprob-count-space-density-le-1:
assumes has-subprob-density M (count-space A) f x ∈ A
shows f x ≤ 1
proof (cases f x > 0)
assume f x > 0
from assms interpret subprob-space M by (intro has-subprob-densityD)
from assms have M: M = density (count-space A) f by (intro has-subprob-densityD)
from assms have f x = emeasure M {x}
by (intro emeasure-count-space-density-singleton[symmetric])
(auto simp: has-subprob-density-def)
also have ... ≤ 1 by (rule subprob-emeasure-le-1)
finally show ?thesis .

```

```

qed (auto simp: not-less intro: order.trans[of - 0 1])

lemma has-density-embed-measure:
  assumes inj: inj f and inv:  $\bigwedge x. x \in space N \implies f'(f x) = x$ 
  shows has-density (embed-measure M f) (embed-measure N f) ( $\delta \circ f'$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  has-density M N  $\delta$ 
    (is has-density ?M' ?N' ? $\delta'$   $\longleftrightarrow$  has-density M N  $\delta$ )
proof
  assume dens: has-density ?M' ?N' ? $\delta'$ 
  show has-density M N  $\delta$ 
  proof
    from dens show space N  $\neq \{\}$  by (auto simp: space-embed-measure dest:
    has-densityD)
    from dens have M $\delta f'$ :  $\delta \circ f' \in borel-measurable ?N'$  by (rule has-densityD)
    hence M $\delta f' f$ :  $\delta \circ f' \circ f \in borel-measurable N$ 
      by (rule-tac measurable-comp, rule-tac measurable-embed-measure2[OF inj])
    thus M $\delta$ :  $\delta \in borel-measurable N$  by (simp cong: measurable-cong add: inv)
    from dens have embed-measure M f = density (embed-measure N f) ( $\delta \circ f'$ )
      by (rule has-densityD)
    also have ... = embed-measure (density N ( $\delta \circ f' \circ f$ )) f
      by (simp only: density-embed-measure[OF inj M $\delta f'$ ])
    also have density N ( $\delta \circ f' \circ f$ ) = density N  $\delta$ 
      by (intro density-cong[OF M $\delta f' f$  M $\delta$ ]) (simp-all add: inv)
    finally show M = density N  $\delta$  by (simp add: embed-measure-eq-iff[OF inj])
  qed
next
  assume dens: has-density M N  $\delta$ 
  show has-density ?M' ?N' ? $\delta'$ 
  proof
    from dens show space ?N'  $\neq \{\}$  by (auto simp: space-embed-measure dest:
    has-densityD)
    have M $f' f$ :  $(\lambda x. f'(f x)) \in measurable N N$  by (subst measurable-cong[OF inv])
    simp-all
    from dens have M $\delta$ :  $\delta \in borel-measurable N$  by (auto dest: has-densityD)
    from M $f' f$  and dens show M $\delta f'$ :  $\delta \circ f' \in borel-measurable (embed-measure N$  f)
      by (intro measurable-comp) (erule measurable-embed-measure1, rule has-densityD)
    have embed-measure M f = embed-measure (density N  $\delta$ ) f
      by (simp only: has-densityD[OF dens])
    also from inv and dens and measurable-comp[OF M $f' f$  M $\delta$ ]
      have density N  $\delta$  = density N (? $\delta' \circ f$ )
        by (intro density-cong[OF M $\delta$ ]) (simp add: o-def, simp add: inv o-def)
    also have embed-measure (density N (? $\delta' \circ f$ )) f = density (embed-measure N
    f) ( $\delta \circ f'$ )
      by (simp only: density-embed-measure[OF inj M $\delta f'$ , symmetric])
    finally show embed-measure M f = density (embed-measure N f) ( $\delta \circ f'$ ).
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma has-density-embed-measure':
  assumes inj: inj f and inv:  $\bigwedge x. x \in space N \implies f'(f x) = x$  and
         sets-M: sets M = sets (embed-measure N f)
  shows has-density (distr M N f') N ( $\delta \circ f$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  has-density M (embed-measure
N f)  $\delta$ 
proof-
  have sets': sets (embed-measure (distr M N f') f) = sets (embed-measure N f)
    by (simp add: sets-embed-measure[OF inj])
  have Mff':  $(\lambda x. f'(f x)) \in measurable N N$  by (subst measurable-cong[OF inv])
  simp-all
  have inv':  $\bigwedge x. x \in space M \implies f(f' x) = x$ 
    by (subst (asm) sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF sets-M]) (auto simp: space-embed-measure
inv)
  have M = distr M (embed-measure (distr M N f') f)  $(\lambda x. f(f' x))$ 
    by (subst distr-cong[OF refl - inv', of - M]) (simp-all add: sets-embed-measure
inj sets-M)
  also have ... = embed-measure (distr M N f') f
    apply (subst (2) embed-measure-eq-distr[OF inj], subst distr-distr)
    apply (subst measurable-cong-sets[OF refl sets'], rule measurable-embed-measure2[OF
inj])
    apply (subst measurable-cong-sets[OF sets-M refl], rule measurable-embed-measure1,
rule Mff')
    apply (simp cong: distr-cong add: inv)
    done
  finally have M: M = embed-measure (distr M N f') f .
  show ?thesis by (subst (2) M, subst has-density-embed-measure[OF inj inv,
symmetric])
    (auto simp: space-embed-measure inv intro!: has-density-cong)
qed

lemma has-density-embed-measure'':
  assumes inj: inj f and inv:  $\bigwedge x. x \in space N \implies f'(f x) = x$  and
         has-density M (embed-measure N f)  $\delta$ 
  shows has-density (distr M N f') N ( $\delta \circ f$ )
proof (subst has-density-embed-measure')
  from assms(3) show sets M = sets (embed-measure N f) by (auto dest: has-densityD)
qed (insert assms)

lemma has-subprob-density-embed-measure'':
  assumes inj: inj f and inv:  $\bigwedge x. x \in space N \implies f'(f x) = x$  and
         has-subprob-density M (embed-measure N f)  $\delta$ 
  shows has-subprob-density (distr M N f') N ( $\delta \circ f$ )
proof (unfold has-subprob-density-def, intro conjI)
  from assms show has-density (distr M N f') N ( $\delta \circ f$ )
    by (intro has-density-embed-measure'' has-subprob-density-imp-has-density)
  from assms(3) have sets M = sets (embed-measure N f) by (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)
  hence M: measurable M = measurable (embed-measure N f)
    by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) simp-all
  have  $(\lambda x. f'(f x)) \in measurable N N$  by (simp cong: measurable-cong add: inv)

```

```

moreover from assms have space (embed-measure N f) ≠ {}
  unfolding has-subprob-density-def has-density-def by simp
ultimately show subprob-space (distr M N f') using assms
  by (intro subprob-space.subprob-space-distr has-subprob-densityD)
    (auto simp: M space-embed-measure intro!: measurable-embed-measure1 dest:
has-subprob-densityD)
qed (insert assms)

end

```

## 2 Measure Space Transformations

```

theory PDF-Transformations
imports Density-Predicates
begin

```

```

lemma not-top-le-1-ennreal[simp]: ¬ top ≤ (1::ennreal)
  by (simp add: top-unique)

```

```

lemma range-int: range int = {n. n ≥ 0}
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix n :: int assume n ∈ {n. n ≥ 0}
  hence n = int (nat n) by simp
  thus n ∈ range int by blast
qed auto

```

```

lemma range-exp: range (exp :: real ⇒ real) = {x. x > 0}
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix x :: real assume x ∈ {x. x > 0}
  hence x = exp (ln x) by simp
  thus x ∈ range exp by blast
qed auto

```

```

lemma Int-stable-Icc: Int-stable (range (λ(a, b). {a .. b::real}))
  by (auto simp: Int-stable-def)

```

```

lemma distr-mult-real:
  assumes c ≠ 0 has-density M lborel (f :: real ⇒ ennreal)
  shows has-density (distr M borel ((*) c)) lborel (λx. f (x / c) * inverse (abs c))
    (is has-density ?M' - ?f')
proof
  from assms(2) have M = density lborel f by (rule has-densityD)
  also from assms have Mf[measurable]: f ∈ borel-measurable borel
    by (auto dest: has-densityD)
  hence distr (density lborel f) borel ((*) c) = density lborel ?f' (is ?M1 = ?M2)
  proof (intro measure-eqI)
    fix X assume X[measurable]: X ∈ sets (distr (density lborel f) borel ((*) c))
    with assms have emeasure ?M1 X = ∫⁺ x. f x * indicator X (c * x) ∂lborel
      by (subst emeasure-distr, simp, simp, subst emeasure-density)
  qed
qed

```

```

(auto dest: has-densityD intro!: measurable-sets-borel nn-integral-cong
    split: split-indicator)
also from assms(1) and X have ... =  $\int^+ x \cdot ?f' x * \text{indicator } X x$   $\partial borel$ 
apply (subst lborel-distr-mult[of inverse c])
apply simp
apply (subst nn-integral-density)
apply (simp-all add: nn-integral-distr field-simps)
done
also from X have ... = emeasure ?M2 X
by (subst emeasure-density) auto
finally show emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure ?M2 X .
qed simp
finally show distr M borel ((*) c) = density lborel ?f' .
qed (insert assms, auto dest: has-densityD)

lemma distr-uminus-real:
assumes has-density M lborel (f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
shows has-density (distr M borel uminus) lborel ( $\lambda x. f(-x)$ )
proof-
from assms have has-density (distr M borel ((*) (-1))) lborel
    ( $\lambda x. f(x / -1) * ennreal(\text{inverse}(\text{abs}(-1)))$ )
by (intro distr-mult-real) simp-all
also have  $(*) (-1) = (uminus :: real \Rightarrow real)$  by (intro ext) simp
also have  $(\lambda x. f(x / -1) * ennreal(\text{inverse}(\text{abs}(-1)))) = (\lambda x. f(-x))$ 
by (intro ext) (simp add: one-ennreal-def[symmetric])
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma distr-plus-real:
assumes has-density M lborel (f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
shows has-density (distr M borel ((+) c)) lborel ( $\lambda x. f(x - c)$ )
proof
from assms have M = density lborel f by (rule has-densityD)
also from assms have Mf[measurable]: f  $\in$  borel-measurable borel
by (auto dest: has-densityD)
hence distr (density lborel f) borel ((+) c) = density lborel ( $\lambda x. f(x - c)$ ) (is
?M1 = ?M2)
proof (intro measure-eqI)
fix X assume X:  $X \in \text{sets}(\text{distr}(\text{density} \text{lborel} f) \text{ borel} ((+) c))$ 
with assms have emeasure ?M1 X =  $\int^+ x \cdot f x * \text{indicator } X (c + x)$   $\partial borel$ 
by (subst emeasure-distr, simp, simp, subst emeasure-density)
(auto dest: has-densityD intro!: measurable-sets-borel nn-integral-cong
    split: split-indicator)
also from X have ... =  $\int^+ x \cdot f(x - c) * \text{indicator } X x$   $\partial borel$ 
by (subst lborel-distr-plus[where c = -c, symmetric], subst nn-integral-distr)
auto
also from X have ... = emeasure ?M2 X
by (subst emeasure-density)
(auto simp: emeasure-density intro!: measurable-compose[OF borel-measurable-diff]
    cong: measurable-compose_cong)
qed

```

```

 $Mf])$ 
  finally show emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure ?M2 X .
qed simp
  finally show distr M borel ((+) c) = density lborel ( $\lambda x. f(x - c)$ ) .
qed (insert assms, auto dest: has-densityD)

lemma count-space-uminus:
  count-space UNIV = distr (count-space UNIV) (count-space UNIV) (uminus :: ('a :: ring  $\Rightarrow$  -))
proof (rule distr-bij-count-space[symmetric])
  show bij (uminus :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)
    by (auto intro!: o-bij[where g=uminus])
qed

lemma count-space-plus:
  count-space UNIV = distr (count-space UNIV) (count-space UNIV) ((+) (c :: ('a :: ring)))
  by (rule distr-bij-count-space [symmetric]) simp

lemma distr-uminus-ring-count-space:
  assumes has-density M (count-space UNIV) (f :: - :: ring  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
  shows has-density (distr M (count-space UNIV) uminus) (count-space UNIV)
  ( $\lambda x. f(-x)$ )
proof
  from assms have M = density (count-space UNIV) f by (rule has-densityD)
  also have distr (density (count-space UNIV) f) (count-space UNIV) uminus =
    density (count-space UNIV)( $\lambda x. f(-x)$ ) (is ?M1 = ?M2)
  proof (intro measure-eqI)
    fix X assume X: X  $\in$  sets (distr (density (count-space UNIV) f) (count-space UNIV) uminus)
    with assms have emeasure ?M1 X =  $\int^+ x. f x * indicator X (-x)$   $\partial$ count-space UNIV
    by (subst emeasure-distr, simp, simp, subst emeasure-density)
      (auto dest: has-densityD intro!: measurable-sets-borel nn-integral-cong
       split: split-indicator)
    also from X have ... = emeasure ?M2 X
    by (subst count-space-uminus) (simp-all add: nn-integral-distr emeasure-density)
    finally show emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure ?M2 X .
  qed simp
  finally show distr M (count-space UNIV) uminus = density (count-space UNIV)
  ( $\lambda x. f(-x)$ ) .
qed (insert assms, auto dest: has-densityD)

lemma distr-plus-ring-count-space:
  assumes has-density M (count-space UNIV) (f :: - :: ring  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
  shows has-density (distr M (count-space UNIV) ((+) c)) (count-space UNIV)
  ( $\lambda x. f(x - c)$ )
proof
  from assms have M = density (count-space UNIV) f by (rule has-densityD)

```

```

also have distr (density (count-space UNIV) f) (count-space UNIV) ((+) c) =
  density (count-space UNIV)( $\lambda x. f(x - c)$ ) (is ?M1 = ?M2)
proof (intro measure-eqI)
  fix X assume X:  $X \in \text{sets}(\text{distr}(\text{density}(\text{count-space UNIV}) f))$  (count-space UNIV) ((+) c))
    with assms have emeasure ?M1 X =  $\int^+ x. f x * \text{indicator}_X(c + x)$ 
      ∂count-space UNIV
    by (subst emeasure-distr, simp, simp, subst emeasure-density)
      (auto dest: has-densityD intro!: measurable-sets-borel nn-integral-cong
       split: split-indicator)
  also from X have ... = emeasure ?M2 X
    by (subst count-space-plus[of -c]) (simp-all add: nn-integral-distr emeasure-density)
  finally show emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure ?M2 X .
qed simp
finally show distr M (count-space UNIV) ((+) c) = density (count-space UNIV)
  ( $\lambda x. f(x - c)$ ) .
qed (insert assms, auto dest: has-densityD)

```

```

lemma subprob-density-distr-real-eq:
assumes dens: has-subprob-density M lborel f
assumes Mh: h ∈ borel-measurable borel
assumes Mg: g ∈ borel-measurable borel
assumes measure-eq:
   $\bigwedge a b. a \leq b \implies \text{emeasure}(\text{distr}(\text{density lborel } f) \text{ lborel } h) \{a..b\} =$ 
   $\text{emeasure}(\text{density lborel } g) \{a..b\}$ 
shows has-subprob-density (distr M borel (h :: real ⇒ real)) lborel g
proof (rule has-subprob-densityI)
  from dens have sets-M: sets M = sets borel by (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)
  have meas-M[simp]: measurable M = measurable borel
    by (intro ext, subst measurable-cong-sets[OF sets-M refl]) auto
  from Mh and dens show subprob-space: subprob-space (distr M borel h)
    by (intro subprob-space.subprob-space-distr) (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)
  show distr M borel h = density lborel g
  proof (rule measure-eqI-generator-eq[OF Int-stable-Icc, of UNIV])
    {
      fix x :: real
      obtain n :: nat where n > abs x using reals-Archimedean2 by auto
      hence  $\exists n::nat. x \in \{-real n..real n\}$  by (intro exI[of - n]) auto
    }
    thus ( $\bigcup i::nat. \{-real i..real i\}$ ) = UNIV by blast
  next
    fix i :: nat
    from subprob-space have emeasure (distr M borel h) {-real i..real i} ≤ 1
      by (intro subprob-space.subprob-emeasure-le-1) (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)
    thus emeasure (distr M borel h) {-real i..real i} ≠ ∞ by auto
  next
    fix X :: real set assume X ∈ range ( $\lambda(a,b). \{a..b\}$ )

```

```

then obtain a b where X = {a..b} by auto
with dens have emeasure (distr M lborel h) X = emeasure (density lborel g) X
  by (cases a ≤ b) (auto simp: measure-eq dest: has-subprob-densityD)
also have distr M lborel h = distr M borel h
  by (rule distr-cong) auto
finally show emeasure (distr M borel h) X = emeasure (density lborel g) X .
qed (auto simp: borel-eq-atLeastAtMost)
qed (insert assms, auto)

lemma subprob-density-distr-real-exp:
assumes dens: has-subprob-density M lborel f
shows has-subprob-density (distr M borel exp) lborel
  (λx. if x > 0 then f (ln x) * ennreal (inverse x) else 0)
  (is has-subprob-density - - ?g)
proof (rule subprob-density-distr-real-eq[OF dens])
from dens have [measurable]: f ∈ borel-measurable borel
  by (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)

have Mf: (λx. f (ln x) * ennreal (inverse x)) ∈ borel-measurable borel by simp

fix a b :: real assume a ≤ b

let ?A = λi. {inverse (Suc i) :: real ..}
let ?M1 = distr (density lborel f) lborel exp and ?M2 = density lborel ?g
{
  fix x :: real assume ∀i. x < inverse (Suc i)
  hence x ≤ 0 by (intro tendsto-lowerbound[OF LIMSEQ-inverse-real-of-nat])
    (auto intro!: always-eventually less-imp-le)
}
hence decomp: {a..b} = {x ∈ {a..b}. x ≤ 0} ∪ (∪i. ?A i ∩ {a..b}) (is - = ?C ∪
?D)
  by (auto simp: not-le)
have inv-le: ∀x i. x ≥ inverse (real (Suc i)) ⇒ ¬(x ≤ 0)
  by (subst not-le, erule dual-order.strict-trans1, simp)
hence emeasure ?M1 {a..b} = emeasure ?M1 ?C + emeasure ?M1 ?D
  by (subst decomp, intro plus-emeasure[symmetric]) auto
also have emeasure ?M1 ?C = 0 by (subst emeasure-distr) auto
also have 0 = emeasure ?M2 ?C
  by (subst emeasure-density, simp, simp, rule sym, subst nn-integral-0-iff) auto
also have emeasure ?M1 (∪i. ?A i ∩ {a..b}) = (SUP i. emeasure ?M1 (?A i
  ∩ {a..b}))
  by (rule SUP-emeasure-incseq[symmetric])
    (auto simp: incseq-def max-def not-le dest: order.strict-trans1)
also have ∪i. emeasure ?M1 (?A i ∩ {a..b}) = emeasure ?M2 (?A i ∩ {a..b})
proof (case-tac inverse (Suc i) ≤ b)
  fix i assume True: inverse (Suc i) ≤ b
  let ?a = inverse (Suc i)
  from ‹a ≤ b› have A: ?A i ∩ {a..b} = {max ?a a..b} (is ?E = ?F) by auto
  hence emeasure ?M1 ?E = emeasure ?M1 ?F by simp

```

```

also have strict-mono-on {max (inverse (real (Suc i))) a..b} ln
  by (rule strict-mono-onI, subst ln-less-cancel-iff)
    (auto dest: inv-le simp del: of-nat-Suc)
  with ‹a ≤ b› True dens
    have emeasure ?M1 ?F = emeasure (density lborel (λx. f (ln x) * inverse x))
?F
  by (intro emeasure-density-distr-interval)
    (auto simp: Mf not-less not-le range-exp dest: has-subprob-densityD dest!:
inv-le
    intro!: DERIV-ln continuous-on-inverse continuous-on-id simp del:
of-nat-Suc)
  also note A[symmetric]
  also have emeasure (density lborel (λx. f (ln x) * inverse x)) ?E = emeasure
?M2 ?E
  by (subst (1 2) emeasure-density)
    (auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: split-indicator dest!: inv-le simp del:
of-nat-Suc)
  finally show emeasure ?M1 (?A i ∩ {a..b}) = emeasure ?M2 (?A i ∩ {a..b})
  .
qed simp
hence (SUP i. emeasure ?M1 (?A i ∩ {a..b})) = (SUP i. emeasure ?M2 (?A i
∩ {a..b})) by simp
also have ... = emeasure ?M2 (UNION i. ?A i ∩ {a..b})
  by (rule SUP-emeasure-incseq)
    (auto simp: incseq-def max-def not-le dest: order.strict-trans1)
also have emeasure ?M2 ?C + emeasure ?M2 ?D = emeasure ?M2 (?C ∪ ?D)
  by (rule plus-emeasure) (auto dest: inv-le simp del: of-nat-Suc)
also note decomp[symmetric]
finally show emeasure ?M1 {a..b} = emeasure ?M2 {a..b} .
qed (insert dens, auto dest!: has-subprob-densityD(1))

lemma subprob-density-distr-real-inverse-aux:
  assumes dens: has-subprob-density M lborel f
  shows has-subprob-density (distr M borel (λx. - inverse x)) lborel
    (λx. f (- inverse x) * ennreal (inverse (x * x)))
    (is has-subprob-density - - ?g)
proof (rule subprob-density-distr-real-eq[OF dens])
  from dens have Mf[measurable]: f ∈ borel-measurable borel by (auto dest:
has-subprob-densityD)
  show Mg: ?g ∈ borel-measurable borel by measurable

  have surj[simp]: surj (λx. - inverse x :: real)
    by (intro surjI[of - λx. - inverse x]) (simp add: field-simps)
  fix a b :: real assume a ≤ b
  let ?A1 = λi. {.. - inverse (Suc i) :: real} and ?A2 = λi. {inverse (Suc i) :: real ..}
  let ?C = if 0 ∈ {a..b} then {0} else {}
  let ?M1 = distr (density lborel f) lborel (λx. - inverse x) and ?M2 = density
lborel ?g

```

```

have inv-le:  $\bigwedge x. x \geq \text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{Suc } i)) \implies \neg(x \leq 0)$ 
  by (subst not-le, erule dual-order.strict-trans1, simp)
have  $\bigwedge x. x > 0 \implies \exists i. x \geq \text{inverse}(\text{Suc } i)$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  fix  $x :: \text{real}$  assume  $x > 0 \neg(\exists i. x \geq \text{inverse}(\text{Suc } i))$ 
  hence  $x \leq 0$  by (intro tends-to-lowerbound[OF LIMSEQ-inverse-real-of-nat])
    (auto intro!: always-eventually less-imp-le simp: not-le)
  with  $\langle x > 0 \rangle$  show False by simp
qed
hence  $A: (\bigcup i. ?A2 i) = \{0 <..\}$  by (auto dest: inv-le simp del: of-nat-Suc)
moreover have  $\bigwedge x. x < 0 \implies \exists i. x \leq -\text{inverse}(\text{Suc } i)$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  fix  $x :: \text{real}$  assume  $x < 0 \neg(\exists i. x \leq -\text{inverse}(\text{Suc } i))$ 
  hence  $x \geq 0$ 
    by (intro tends-to-upperbound, simp)
    (auto intro!: always-eventually less-imp-le LIMSEQ-inverse-real-of-nat-add-minus
      simp: not-le)
  with  $\langle x < 0 \rangle$  show False by simp
qed
hence  $B: (\bigcup i. ?A1 i) = \{.. < 0\}$ 
  by (auto simp: le-minus-iff[of - inverse x for x] dest!: inv-le simp del: of-nat-Suc)
ultimately have  $C: \text{UNIV} = (\bigcup i. ?A1 i) \cup (\bigcup i. ?A2 i) \cup \{0\}$  by (subst A,
  subst B) force
have UN-Int-distrib:  $\bigwedge f A. (\bigcup i. f i) \cap A = (\bigcup i. f i \cap A)$  by blast
have decomp:  $\{a..b\} = (\bigcup i. ?A1 i \cap \{a..b\}) \cup (\bigcup i. ?A2 i \cap \{a..b\}) \cup \{C\}$  (is -
= ?D  $\cup$  ?E  $\cup$  -)
  by (subst Int-UNIV-left[symmetric], simp only: C Int-Un-distrib2 UN-Int-distrib)
  (simp split: if-split)
have emeasure ?M1 {a..b} = emeasure ?M1 ?D + emeasure ?M1 ?E + emeasure
?M1 ?C
  apply (subst decomp)
  apply (subst plus-emeasure[symmetric], simp, simp, simp)
  apply (subst plus-emeasure[symmetric])
  apply (auto dest!: inv-le simp: not-le le-minus-iff[of - inverse x for x] simp del:
of-nat-Suc)
done
also have  $(\lambda x. -\text{inverse } x) -` \{0 :: \text{real}\} = \{0\}$  by (auto simp: field-simps)
hence emeasure ?M1 ?C = 0
  by (subst emeasure-distr) (auto split: if-split simp: emeasure-density Mf)
also have emeasure ?M2 {0} = 0 by (simp add: emeasure-density)
hence 0 = emeasure ?M2 ?C
  by (rule-tac sym, rule-tac order.antisym, rule-tac order.trans, rule-tac emeasure-mono[of - {0}]) simp-all
also have emeasure ?M1  $(\bigcup i. ?A1 i \cap \{a..b\}) = (\text{SUP } i. \text{emeasure } ?M1 (?A1$ 
 $i \cap \{a..b\}))$ 
  by (rule SUP-emeasure-incseq[symmetric])
    (auto simp: incseq-def max-def not-le dest: order.strict-trans1)
also have  $\bigwedge i. \text{emeasure } ?M1 (?A1 i \cap \{a..b\}) = \text{emeasure } ?M2 (?A1 i \cap \{a..b\})$ 
proof (case-tac  $-\text{inverse}(\text{Suc } i) \geq a$ )

```

```

fix i assume True:  $\neg \text{inverse}(\text{Suc } i) \geq a$ 
let ?a =  $\neg \text{inverse}(\text{Suc } i)$ 
from  $\langle a \leq b \rangle$  have A:  $?A1 i \cap \{a..b\} = \{\min ?a b\}$  (is ?F = ?G) by auto
hence emeasure ?M1 ?F = emeasure ?M1 ?G by simp
also have strict-mono-on  $\{\min ?a b\} (\lambda x. \neg \text{inverse } x)$ 
by (rule strict-mono-onI)
(auto simp: le-minus-iff[of - inverse x for x] dest!: inv-le simp del: of-nat-Suc)
with  $\langle a \leq b \rangle$  True dens
have emeasure ?M1 ?G = emeasure ?M2 ?G
by (intro emeasure-density-distr-interval)
(auto simp: Mf not-less dest: has-subprob-densityD inv-le
intro!: derivative-eq-intros continuous-on-mult continuous-on-inverse
continuous-on-id)
also note A[symmetric]
finally show emeasure ?M1 (?A1 i \cap \{a..b\}) = emeasure ?M2 (?A1 i \cap \{a..b\})
.

qed simp
hence  $(\text{SUP } i. \text{emeasure } ?M1 (?A1 i \cap \{a..b\})) = (\text{SUP } i. \text{emeasure } ?M2 (?A1 i \cap \{a..b\}))$  by simp
also have ... = emeasure ?M2 ( $\bigcup i. ?A1 i \cap \{a..b\}$ )
by (rule SUP-emeasure-incseq)
(auto simp: incseq-def max-def not-le dest: order.strict-trans1)
also have emeasure ?M1 ( $\bigcup i. ?A2 i \cap \{a..b\}$ ) =  $(\text{SUP } i. \text{emeasure } ?M1 (?A2 i \cap \{a..b\}))$ 
by (rule SUP-emeasure-incseq[symmetric])
(auto simp: incseq-def max-def not-le dest: order.strict-trans1)
also have  $\bigwedge i. \text{emeasure } ?M1 (?A2 i \cap \{a..b\}) = \text{emeasure } ?M2 (?A2 i \cap \{a..b\})$ 
proof (case-tac inverse (Suc i)  $\leq b$ )
fix i assume True:  $\text{inverse}(\text{Suc } i) \leq b$ 
let ?a =  $\text{inverse}(\text{Suc } i)$ 
from  $\langle a \leq b \rangle$  have A:  $?A2 i \cap \{a..b\} = \{\max ?a a..b\}$  (is ?F = ?G) by auto
hence emeasure ?M1 ?F = emeasure ?M1 ?G by simp
also have strict-mono-on  $\{\max ?a a..b\} (\lambda x. \neg \text{inverse } x)$ 
by (rule strict-mono-onI) (auto dest!: inv-le simp: not-le simp del: of-nat-Suc)
with  $\langle a \leq b \rangle$  True dens
have emeasure ?M1 ?G = emeasure ?M2 ?G
by (intro emeasure-density-distr-interval)
(auto simp: Mf not-less dest: has-subprob-densityD inv-le
intro!: derivative-eq-intros continuous-on-mult continuous-on-inverse
continuous-on-id)
also note A[symmetric]
finally show emeasure ?M1 (?A2 i \cap \{a..b\}) = emeasure ?M2 (?A2 i \cap \{a..b\})
.

qed simp
hence  $(\text{SUP } i. \text{emeasure } ?M1 (?A2 i \cap \{a..b\})) = (\text{SUP } i. \text{emeasure } ?M2 (?A2 i \cap \{a..b\}))$  by simp
also have ... = emeasure ?M2 ( $\bigcup i. ?A2 i \cap \{a..b\}$ )
by (rule SUP-emeasure-incseq)
(auto simp: incseq-def max-def not-le dest: order.strict-trans1)

```

```

also have emeasure ?M2 ?D + emeasure ?M2 ?E + emeasure ?M2 ?C = emeasure ?M2 {a..b}
apply (subst (4) decomp)
apply (subst plus-emeasure, simp, simp)
apply (auto dest!: inv-le simp: not-le le-minus-iff[of - inverse x for x] simp del: of-nat-Suc)
apply (subst plus-emeasure)
apply (auto dest!: inv-le simp: not-le le-minus-iff[of - inverse x for x])
done
finally show emeasure ?M1 {a..b} = emeasure ?M2 {a..b} .
qed simp

```

**lemma** subprob-density-distr-real-inverse:

**assumes** dens: has-subprob-density M lborel f

**shows** has-subprob-density (distr M borel inverse) lborel ( $\lambda x. f(\text{inverse } x) * \text{ennreal}(\text{inverse}(x * x))$ )

**proof** (unfold has-subprob-density-def, intro conjI)

let  $?g' = (\lambda x. f(-\text{inverse } x) * \text{ennreal}(\text{inverse}(x * x)))$

**have** prob: has-subprob-density (distr M borel ( $\lambda x. -\text{inverse } x$ )) lborel  $?g'$

by (rule subprob-density-distr-real-inverse-aux[*OF assms*])

**from** *assms* **have** sets-M: sets M = sets borel **by** (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)

**have** [simp]: measurable M = measurable borel

by (intro ext, subst measurable-cong-sets[*OF sets-M refl*]) auto

**from** prob **have** dens: has-density (distr M lborel ( $\lambda x. -\text{inverse } x$ )) lborel

$(\lambda x. f(-\text{inverse } x) * \text{ennreal}(\text{inverse}(x * x)))$

**unfolding** has-subprob-density-def **by** (simp cong: distr-cong)

**from** distr-uminus-real[*OF this*]

**show** has-density (distr M borel inverse) lborel

$(\lambda x. f(\text{inverse } x) * \text{ennreal}(\text{inverse}(x * x)))$

by (simp add: distr-distr o-def cong: distr-cong)

**show** subprob-space (distr M borel inverse)

by (intro subprob-space.subprob-space-distr has-subprob-densityD[*OF assms*])

**simp-all**

**qed**

**lemma** distr-convolution-real:

**assumes** has-density M lborel ( $f :: (\text{real} \times \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{ennreal}$ )

**shows** has-density (distr M borel (case-prod (+))) lborel ( $\lambda z. \int^+ x. f(x, z - x) \partial\text{borel}$ )

(is has-density ?M' - ?f')

**proof**

**from** has-densityD[*OF assms*] **have** Mf[measurable]:  $f \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$

by simp

**show** Mf': ( $\lambda z. \int^+ x. f(x, z - x) \partial\text{borel}$ )  $\in \text{borel-measurable lborel}$  **by** measurable

**from** *assms* **have** sets-M: sets M = sets borel **by** (auto dest: has-densityD)

**hence** [simp]: space M = UNIV **by** (subst sets-eq-imp-space-eq[*OF sets-M*]) simp

**from** sets-M **have** [simp]: measurable M = measurable borel

```

by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) simp-all
have M-add: case-prod (+) ∈ borel-measurable (borel :: (real × real) measure)
by (simp add: borel-prod[symmetric])

show distr M borel (case-prod (+)) = density lborel ?f'
proof (rule measure-eqI)
  fix X :: real set assume X[measurable]: X ∈ sets (distr M borel (case-prod (+)))
  hence emeasure (distr M borel (case-prod (+))) X = emeasure M ((λ(x, y). x + y) − ` X)
  by (simp-all add: M-add emeasure-distr)
  also from X have ... = ∫+z. f z * indicator ((λ(x, y). x + y) − ` X) z ∂(lborel ⊗M lborel)
  by (simp add: emeasure-density has-densityD[OF assms]
    measurable-sets-borel[OF M-add] lborel-prod)
  also have ... = ∫+x. ∫+y. f (x, y) * indicator ((λ(x, y). x + y) − ` X) (x,y)
  ∂lborel ∂lborel
  apply (rule lborel.nn-integral-fst[symmetric])
  apply measurable
  apply (simp-all add: borel-prod)
  done
  also have ... = ∫+x. ∫+y. f (x, y) * indicator ((λ(x, y). x + y) − ` X) (x,y)
  ∂distr lborel borel ((+) (−x)) ∂lborel
  by (rule nn-integral-cong, subst lborel-distr-plus) simp
  also have ... = ∫+x. ∫+z. f (x, z−x) * indicator ((λ(x, y). x + y) − ` X) (x, z−x)
  ∂lborel ∂lborel
  apply (rule nn-integral-cong)
  apply (subst nn-integral-distr)
  apply simp-all
  apply measurable
  apply (subst space-count-space)
  apply auto
  done
  also have ... = ∫+x. ∫+z. f (x, z−x) * indicator X z ∂lborel ∂lborel
  by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = ∫+z. ∫+x. f (x, z−x) * indicator X z ∂lborel ∂lborel using X
  by (subst lborel-pair.Fubini')
    (simp-all add: pair-sigma-finite-def)
  also have ... = ∫+z. (∫+x. f (x, z−x) ∂lborel) * indicator X z ∂lborel
  by (rule nn-integral-cong) (simp split: split-indicator)
  also have ... = emeasure (density lborel ?f') X using X
  by (simp add: emeasure-density)
  finally show emeasure (distr M borel (case-prod (+))) X = emeasure (density lborel ?f') X .
  qed (insert assms, auto dest: has-densityD)
qed simp-all

```

**lemma** distr-convolution-ring-count-space:

```

assumes C: countable (UNIV :: 'a set)
assumes has-density M (count-space UNIV) (f :: (('a :: ring) × 'a) ⇒ ennreal)
shows has-density (distr M (count-space UNIV) (case-prod (+))) (count-space UNIV)
  (λz. ∫⁺ x. f (x, z - x) ∂count-space UNIV)
  (is has-density ?M' - ?f')

proof
  let ?CS = count-space UNIV :: 'a measure and ?CSP = count-space UNIV :: ('a × 'a) measure
  show Mf': (λz. ∫⁺ x. f (x, z - x) ∂count-space UNIV) ∈ borel-measurable ?CS
  by simp

  from assms have sets-M: sets M = UNIV and [simp]: space M = UNIV
    by (auto dest: has-densityD)
  from assms have [simp]: measurable M = measurable (count-space UNIV)
    by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) (simp-all add: sets-M)

  interpret sigma-finite-measure ?CS by (rule sigma-finite-measure-count-space-countable[OF C])
  show distr M ?CS (case-prod (+)) = density ?CS ?f'
  proof (rule measure-eqI)
    fix X :: 'a set assume X: X ∈ sets (distr M ?CS (case-prod (+)))
    hence emeasure (distr M ?CS (case-prod (+))) X = emeasure M ((λ(x, y). x + y) - ` X)
      by (simp-all add: emeasure-distr)
    also from X have ... = ∫⁺ z. f z * indicator ((λ(x, y). x + y) - ` X) z ∂(?CS ⊗ M ?CS)
      by (simp add: emeasure-density has-densityD[OF assms(2)] sets-M pair-measure-countable C)
    also have ... = ∫⁺ x. ∫⁺ y. f (x, y) * indicator ((λ(x, y). x + y) - ` X) (x, y)
      ∂?CS ∂?CS
      by (rule nn-integral-fst[symmetric]) (simp add: pair-measure-countable C)
    also have ... = ∫⁺ x. ∫⁺ y. f (x, y) * indicator ((λ(x, y). x + y) - ` X) (x, y)
      ∂distr ?CS ?CS ((+) (-x)) ∂?CS
      by (rule nn-integral-cong, subst count-space-plus) simp
    also have ... = ∫⁺ x. ∫⁺ z. f (x, z - x) * indicator ((λ(x, y). x + y) - ` X) (x, z - x)
      ∂?CS ∂?CS
      by (rule nn-integral-cong) (simp-all add: nn-integral-distr)
    also have ... = ∫⁺ x. ∫⁺ z. f (x, z - x) * indicator X z ∂?CS ∂?CS
      by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = ∫⁺ z. ∫⁺ x. f (x, z - x) * indicator X z ∂?CS ∂?CS using X
      by (subst pair-sigma-finite.Fubini')
      (simp-all add: pair-sigma-finite-def sigma-finite-measure-count-space-countable C pair-measure-countable)
    also have ... = ∫⁺ z. (∫⁺ x. f (x, z - x) ∂?CS) * indicator X z ∂?CS
      by (rule nn-integral-cong) (simp split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = emeasure (density ?CS ?f') X using X by (simp add: emeasure-density)
    finally show emeasure (distr M ?CS (case-prod (+))) X = emeasure (density

```

```
?CS ?f') X .
qed (insert assms, auto dest: has-densityD)
qed simp-all
end
```

### 3 Source Language Values

```
theory PDF-Values
imports Density-Predicates
begin

3.1 Values and stock measures

datatype pdf-type = UNIT | BOOL | INTEG | REAL | PRODUCT pdf-type
pdf-type

datatype val = UnitVal
| BoolVal (extract-bool: bool)
| IntVal (extract-int: int)
| RealVal (extract-real: real)
| PairVal (extract-fst: val) (extract-snd: val) (<|-, -|> [0, 61] 1000)
where
extract-bool UnitVal = False
| extract-bool (IntVal i) = False
| extract-bool (RealVal r) = False
| extract-bool (PairVal x y) = False
| extract-int UnitVal = 0
| extract-int (BoolVal b) = 0
| extract-int (RealVal r) = 0
| extract-int (PairVal x y) = 0
| extract-real UnitVal = 0
| extract-real (BoolVal b) = 0
| extract-real (IntVal i) = 0
| extract-real (PairVal x y) = 0

primrec extract-pair' where
extract-pair' f s <| x, y |> = (f x, s y)

definition map-int-pair where
map-int-pair f g x = (case x of <| IntVal a, IntVal b |> => f a b | - => g x)

definition map-real-pair where
map-real-pair f g x = (case x of <| RealVal a, RealVal b |> => f a b | - => g x)

abbreviation TRUE ≡ BoolVal True
abbreviation FALSE ≡ BoolVal False

type-synonym vname = nat
```

```

type-synonym state = vname  $\Rightarrow$  val

lemma map-int-pair[simp]: map-int-pair f g <| IntVal i, IntVal j |> = f i j
  by (simp add: map-int-pair-def)

lemma map-int-pair-REAL[simp]: map-int-pair f g <| RealVal i, RealVal j |> =
g <| RealVal i, RealVal j |>
  by (simp add: map-int-pair-def)

lemma map-real-pair[simp]: map-real-pair f g <| RealVal i, RealVal j |> = f i j
  by (simp add: map-real-pair-def)

abbreviation extract-pair ≡ extract-pair' id id
abbreviation extract-real-pair ≡ extract-pair' extract-real extract-real
abbreviation extract-int-pair ≡ extract-pair' extract-int extract-int

definition RealPairVal ≡  $\lambda(x,y). \langle|RealVal x, RealVal y|\rangle$ 

definition IntPairVal ≡  $\lambda(x,y). \langle|IntVal x, IntVal y|\rangle$ 

lemma inj-RealPairVal: inj RealPairVal by (auto simp: RealPairVal-def intro!: injI)
lemma inj-IntPairVal: inj IntPairVal by (auto simp: IntPairVal-def intro!: injI)

fun val-type :: val  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type where
  val-type (BoolVal b) = BOOL
  | val-type (IntVal i) = INTEG
  | val-type UnitVal = UNIT
  | val-type (RealVal r) = REAL
  | val-type (<|v1 , v2|>) = (PRODUCT (val-type v1) (val-type v2))

lemma val-type-eq-REAL: val-type x = REAL  $\longleftrightarrow$  x ∈ RealVal‘UNIV
  by (cases x) auto

lemma val-type-eq-INTEG: val-type x = INTEG  $\longleftrightarrow$  x ∈ IntVal‘UNIV
  by (cases x) auto

definition type-universe t = {v. val-type v = t}

lemma type-universe-nonempty[simp]: type-universe t  $\neq \{\}$ 
  by (induction t) (auto intro: val-type.simps simp: type-universe-def)

lemma val-in-type-universe[simp]:
  v ∈ type-universe (val-type v)
  by (simp add: type-universe-def)

lemma BoolVal-in-type-universe[simp]: BoolVal v ∈ type-universe BOOL
  by (simp add: type-universe-def)

```

```

lemma IntVal-in-type-universe[simp]: IntVal v ∈ type-universe INTEG
  by (simp add: type-universe-def)

lemma type-universe-type[simp]:
  w ∈ type-universe t ↔ val-type w = t
  by (simp add: type-universe-def)

lemma type-universe-REAL: type-universe REAL = RealVal ` UNIV
  apply (auto simp add: set-eq-iff image-iff)
  apply (case-tac x)
  apply auto
  done

lemma type-universe-eq-imp-type-eq:
  assumes type-universe t1 = type-universe t2
  shows t1 = t2
proof-
  from type-universe-nonempty obtain v where A: v ∈ type-universe t1 by blast
  hence t1 = val-type v by simp
  also from A and assms have v ∈ type-universe t2 by simp
  hence val-type v = t2 by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma type-universe-eq-iff[simp]: type-universe t1 = type-universe t2 ↔ t1 = t2
  by (blast intro: type-universe-eq-imp-type-eq)

primrec stock-measure :: pdf-type ⇒ val measure where
  stock-measure UNIT = count-space {UnitVal}
  | stock-measure INTEG = count-space (range IntVal)
  | stock-measure BOOL = count-space (range BoolVal)
  | stock-measure REAL = embed-measure lborel RealVal
  | stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2) =
    embed-measure (stock-measure t1 ⊗ M stock-measure t2) (λ(a, b). <|a, b|>)

declare [[coercion stock-measure]]

lemma sigma-finite-stock-measure[simp]: sigma-finite-measure (stock-measure t)
  by (induction t)
    (auto intro!: sigma-finite-measure-count-space-countable sigma-finite-pair-measure
      sigma-finite-embed-measure injI sigma-finite-lborel)

lemma val-case-stock-measurable:
  assumes t = UNIT ⇒ c ∈ space M
  assumes ∃b. t = BOOL ⇒ g b ∈ space M
  assumes ∃i. t = INTEG ⇒ h i ∈ space M
  assumes t = REAL ⇒ j ∈ measurable borel M
  assumes ∃t1 t2. t = PRODUCT t1 t2 ⇒ case-prod k ∈ measurable (stock-measure

```

```

 $t1 \otimes_M stock\text{-measure } t2) M$ 
shows  $(\lambda x. case\ x\ of\ UnitVal \Rightarrow c\mid BoolVal\ b \Rightarrow g\ b\mid IntVal\ i \Rightarrow h\ i\mid RealVal r \Rightarrow j\ r$ 
 $\quad\mid PairVal\ y\ z \Rightarrow k\ y\ z) \in measurable\ t\ M$ 
proof (cases t)
case (PRODUCT t1 t2) with *[of t1 t2] show ?thesis
by (auto intro!: measurable-embed-measure1 simp: split-beta')
qed (auto intro!: measurable-embed-measure1 assms)

lemma space-stock-measure[simp]: space (stock-measure t) = type-universe t
by (induction t)
(auto simp add: type-universe-def space-pair-measure space-embed-measure
simp del: type-universe-type elim: val-type.elims)

lemma type-universe-stock-measure[measurable]: type-universe t ∈ sets (stock-measure t)
using sets.top[of stock-measure t] by simp

lemma inj-RealVal[simp]: inj RealVal by (auto intro!: inj-onI)
lemma inj-IntVal[simp]: inj IntVal by (auto intro!: inj-onI)
lemma inj-BoolVal[simp]: inj BoolVal by (auto intro!: inj-onI)
lemma inj-PairVal[simp]: inj ( $\lambda(x, y). <| x, y |>$ ) by (auto intro: injI)

lemma measurable-PairVal[measurable]:
fixes t1 t2 :: pdf-type
shows case-prod PairVal ∈ measurable  $(t1 \otimes_M t2)$  (PRODUCT t1 t2)
using measurable-embed-measure2[measurable] by simp

lemma measurable-RealVal[measurable]: RealVal ∈ measurable borel REAL
using measurable-embed-measure2[measurable] by simp

lemma nn-integral-BoolVal:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. f(BoolVal\ x) \geq 0$ 
shows  $(\int^+ x. f\ x\ \partial\text{BOOL}) = f(BoolVal\ True) + f(BoolVal\ False)$ 
proof-
have A: range BoolVal = {BoolVal True, BoolVal False} by auto
from assms show ?thesis
by (subst stock-measure.simps, subst A, subst nn-integral-count-space-finite)
(simp-all add: max-def A)
qed

lemma nn-integral-RealVal:
 $f \in borel\text{-measurable } REAL \implies (\int^+ x. f\ x\ \partial\text{REAL}) = (\int^+ x. f(RealVal\ x)\ \partial\text{borel})$ 
unfoldng stock-measure.simps using measurable-embed-measure2[measurable]
by (subst embed-measure-eq-distr, simp-all add: nn-integral-distr)

lemma nn-integral-IntVal:  $(\int^+ x. f\ x\ \partial\text{INTEG}) = (\int^+ x. f(IntVal\ x)\ \partial\text{count-space UNIV})$ 

```

```

using measurable-embed-measure1[measurable (raw)]
unfolding stock-measure.simps embed-measure-count-space[OF inj-IntVal, symmetric]
by (subst embed-measure-eq-distr[OF inj-IntVal], simp add: nn-integral-distr space-embed-measure)

lemma nn-integral-PairVal:
 $f \in borel\text{-measurable } (PRODUCT t1 t2) \implies$ 
 $(\int^+ x. f x \partial PRODUCT t1 t2) = (\int^+ x. f (PairVal (fst x) (snd x)) \partial(t1 \otimes_M t2))$ 
unfolding stock-measure.simps
by (subst nn-integral-embed-measure) (simp-all add: split-beta' inj-on-def)

lemma BOOL-E:  $\llbracket val\text{-type } v = BOOL; \bigwedge b. v = BoolVal b \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
by (cases v) auto

lemma PROD-E:  $\llbracket val\text{-type } v = PRODUCT t1 t2 ;$ 
 $\bigwedge a b. val\text{-type } a = t1 \implies val\text{-type } b = t2 \implies v = \langle| a, b |> \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
by (cases v) auto

lemma REAL-E:  $\llbracket val\text{-type } v = REAL; \bigwedge b. v = RealVal b \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
by (cases v) auto

lemma INTEG-E:  $\llbracket val\text{-type } v = INTEG; \bigwedge i. v = IntVal i \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
by (cases v) auto

lemma measurable-extract-pair'[measurable (raw)]:
fixes t1 t2 :: pdf-type
assumes [measurable]:  $f \in measurable t1 M$ 
assumes [measurable]:  $g \in measurable t2 N$ 
assumes h:  $h \in measurable K (PRODUCT t1 t2)$ 
shows  $(\lambda x. extract\text{-pair}' f g (h x)) \in measurable K (M \otimes_M N)$ 
by (rule measurable-compose[OF h[unfolded stock-measure.simps] measurable-embed-measure1])
  (simp add: split-beta')

lemma measurable-extract-pair[measurable]:  $extract\text{-pair} \in measurable (PRODUCT t1 t2) (t1 \otimes_M t2)$ 
by measurable

lemma measurable-extract-real[measurable]:  $extract\text{-real} \in measurable REAL borel$ 
apply simp
apply measurable
apply (rule measurable-embed-measure1)
apply simp
done

lemma measurable-extract-int[measurable]:  $extract\text{-int} \in measurable INTEG (count\text{-space UNIV})$ 
by simp measurable

```

```

lemma measurable-extract-int-pair[measurable]:
  extract-int-pair ∈ measurable (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG) (count-space UNIV
  ⊗M count-space UNIV)
  by measurable

lemma measurable-extract-real-pair[measurable]:
  extract-real-pair ∈ measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL) (borel ⊗M borel)
  by measurable

lemma measurable-extract-real-pair'[measurable]:
  extract-real-pair ∈ measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL) borel
  by (subst borel-prod[symmetric]) measurable

lemma measurable-extract-bool[measurable]: extract-bool ∈ measurable BOOL (count-space
UNIV)
  by simp

lemma map-int-pair-measurable[measurable]:
  assumes f: case-prod f ∈ measurable (count-space UNIV ⊗M count-space
UNIV) M
  shows map-int-pair f g ∈ measurable (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG) M
  proof (subst measurable-cong)
    fix w assume w ∈ space (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG)
    then show map-int-pair f g w = (case-prod f o extract-int-pair) w
    by (auto simp: space-embed-measure space-pair-measure)
  next
    show (λ(x, y). f x y) o extract-int-pair ∈ measurable (stock-measure (PRODUCT
INTEG INTEG)) M
    using measurable-extract-int-pair f by (rule measurable)
  qed

lemma map-int-pair-measurable-REAL[measurable]:
  assumes g ∈ measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL) M
  shows map-int-pair f g ∈ measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL) M
  proof (subst measurable-cong)
    fix w assume w ∈ space (PRODUCT REAL REAL)
    then show map-int-pair f g w = g w
    by (auto simp: space-embed-measure space-pair-measure map-int-pair-def)
  qed fact

lemma map-real-pair-measurable[measurable]:
  assumes f: case-prod f ∈ measurable (borel ⊗M borel) M
  shows map-real-pair f g ∈ measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL) M
  proof (subst measurable-cong)
    fix w assume w ∈ space (PRODUCT REAL REAL)
    then show map-real-pair f g w = (case-prod f o extract-real-pair) w
    by (auto simp: space-embed-measure space-pair-measure)
  next
    show (λ(x, y). f x y) o extract-real-pair ∈ measurable (stock-measure (PRODUCT
REAL REAL)) M
    using measurable-extract-real-pair f by (rule measurable)
  qed

```

```

REAL REAL)) M
  using measurable-extract-real-pair f by (rule measurable)
qed

lemma count-space-IntVal-prod[simp]: INTEG  $\otimes_M$  INTEG = count-space (range
IntVal  $\times$  range IntVal)
  by (auto intro!: pair-measure-countable)

lemma count-space-BoolVal-prod[simp]: BOOL  $\otimes_M$  BOOL = count-space (range
BoolVal  $\times$  range BoolVal)
  by (auto intro!: pair-measure-countable)

lemma measurable-stock-measure-val-type:
  assumes f ∈ measurable M (stock-measure t) x ∈ space M
  shows val-type (f x) = t
  using assms by (auto dest!: measurable-space)

lemma singleton-in-stock-measure[simp]: val-type v = t  $\implies$  {v} ∈ sets t
proof (induction v arbitrary: t)
  case (PairVal v1 v2)
    have A: {<|v1, v2|>} = ( $\lambda(v1, v2). <|v1, v2|>$ ) ‘ ({v1}  $\times$  {v2}) by simp
    from pair-measureI[ OF PairVal.IH, OF refl refl] PairVal.prems[symmetric] show
?case
  by (simp only: val-type.simps stock-measure.simps A in-sets-embed-measure)
qed (auto simp: sets-embed-measure)

lemma emeasure-stock-measure-singleton-finite[simp]:
  emeasure (stock-measure (val-type v)) {v} ≠ ∞
proof (induction v)
  case (RealVal r)
    have A: {RealVal r} = RealVal ‘ {r} by simp
    have RealVal ‘ {r} ∈ sets (embed-measure lborel RealVal)
      by (rule in-sets-embed-measure) simp
    thus ?case by (simp only: A val-type.simps stock-measure.simps emeasure-embed-measure
      inj-RealVal inj-vimage-image-eq) simp
next
  case (PairVal v1 v2)
    let ?M =  $\lambda x.$  stock-measure (val-type x)
    interpret sigma-finite-measure stock-measure (val-type v2)
      by (rule sigma-finite-stock-measure)
    have A: {<|v1, v2|>} = ( $\lambda(v1, v2). <|v1, v2|>$ ) ‘ ({v1}  $\times$  {v2}) by simp
    have B: {v1}  $\times$  {v2} ∈ ?M v1  $\otimes_M$  ?M v2
      by (intro pair-measureI singleton-in-stock-measure) simp-all
    hence emeasure (?M (<|v1, v2|>)) {<|v1, v2|>} = emeasure (?M v1) {v1} *
      emeasure (?M v2) {v2}
      by (simp only: stock-measure.simps val-type.simps A emeasure-embed-measure-image
        inj-PairVal
          inj-vimage-image-eq emeasure-pair-measure-Times single-
          ton-in-stock-measure B)

```

```

with PairVal.IH show ?case by (simp add: ennreal-mult-eq-top-iff)
qed simp-all

```

### 3.2 Measures on states

```

definition state-measure :: vname set  $\Rightarrow$  (vname  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type)  $\Rightarrow$  state measure
where

```

$$\text{state-measure } V \Gamma \equiv \Pi_M y \in V. \Gamma y$$

```

lemma state-measure-nonempty[simp]: space (state-measure V  $\Gamma$ )  $\neq \{\}$ 
by (simp add: state-measure-def space-PiM PiE-eq-empty-iff)

```

```

lemma space-state-measure: space (state-measure V  $\Gamma$ ) = ( $\Pi_E y \in V.$  type-universe ( $\Gamma y$ ))
by (simp add: state-measure-def space-PiM PiE-eq-empty-iff)

```

```

lemma state-measure-var-type:
 $\sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies x \in V \implies \text{val-type} (\sigma x) = \Gamma x$ 
by (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM dest!: PiE-mem)

```

```

lemma merge-in-state-measure:
 $x \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } A \Gamma) \implies y \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } B \Gamma) \implies$ 
 $\text{merge } A B (x, y) \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } (A \cup B) \Gamma)$  unfolding state-measure-def
by (rule measurable-space, rule measurable-merge) (simp add: space-pair-measure)

```

```

lemma measurable-merge-stock[measurable (raw)]:
 $f \in N \rightarrow_M \text{state-measure } V \Gamma \implies g \in N \rightarrow_M \text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \implies$ 
 $(\lambda x. \text{merge } V V' (f x, g x)) \in N \rightarrow_M \text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma$ 
by (auto simp: state-measure-def)

```

```

lemma comp-in-state-measure:
assumes  $\sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$ 
shows  $\sigma \circ f \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } (f -` V) (\Gamma \circ f))$ 
using assms by (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM)

```

```

lemma sigma-finite-state-measure[intro]:
 $\text{finite } V \implies \text{sigma-finite-measure} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$  unfolding state-measure-def
by (auto intro!: product-sigma-finite.sigma-finite simp: product-sigma-finite-def)

```

### 3.3 Equalities of measure embeddings

```

lemma embed-measure-RealPairVal:
 $\text{stock-measure } (\text{PRODUCT REAL REAL}) = \text{embed-measure lborel RealPairVal}$ 
proof-
have [simp]:  $(\lambda(x, y). <| x, y |>) \circ (\lambda(x, y). (\text{RealVal } x, \text{RealVal } y)) = \text{RealPairVal}$ 
unfolding RealPairVal-def by auto
have stock-measure (PRODUCT REAL REAL) =
 $\text{embed-measure} (\text{embed-measure lborel } (\lambda(x, y). (\text{RealVal } x, \text{RealVal } y)))$ 
(case-prod PairVal)

```

```

by (auto simp: embed-measure-prod sigma-finite-lborel lborel-prod)
also have ... = embed-measure lborel RealPairVal
  by (subst embed-measure-comp) (auto intro!: injI)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma embed-measure-IntPairVal:
  stock-measure (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG) = count-space (range IntPairVal)
proof-
  have [simp]:  $(\lambda(x, y). <| x , y |>) ` (\text{range } \text{IntVal} \times \text{range } \text{IntVal}) = \text{range } \text{IntPairVal}$ 
    by (auto simp: IntPairVal-def)
  show ?thesis
  using count-space-IntVal-prod by (auto simp: embed-measure-prod embed-measure-count-space)
qed

```

### 3.4 Monadic operations on values

```

definition return-val x = return (stock-measure (val-type x)) x

lemma sets-return-val[measurable-cong]: sets (return-val x) = sets (stock-measure (val-type x))
  by (simp add: return-val-def)

lemma measurable-return-val[simp]:
  return-val ∈ measurable (stock-measure t) (subprob-algebra (stock-measure t))
  unfolding return-val-def[abs-def]
  apply (subst measurable-cong)
  apply (subst type-universe-type[THEN iffD1])
  apply simp
  apply (rule refl)
  apply (rule return-measurable)
  done

lemma bind-return-val:
  assumes space M ≠ {} f ∈ measurable M (stock-measure t')
  shows M ≈ (λx. return-val (f x)) = distr M (stock-measure t') f
  using assms
  by (subst bind-return-distr[symmetric])
    (auto simp: return-val-def intro!: bind-cong dest: measurable-stock-measure-val-type)

lemma bind-return-val':
  assumes val-type x = t f ∈ measurable (stock-measure t) (stock-measure t')
  shows return-val x ≈ (λx. return-val (f x)) = return-val (f x)
proof-
  have return-val x ≈ (λx. return-val (f x)) = return (stock-measure t') (f x)
    apply (subst bind-return-val, unfold return-val-def, simp)
    apply (insert assms, simp cong: measurable-cong-sets) []
    apply (subst distr-return, simp-all add: assms type-universe-def)

```

```

    del: type-universe-type)
done
also from assms(2) have f x ∈ space (stock-measure t')
  by (rule measurable-space)
    (simp add: assms(1) type-universe-def del: type-universe-type)
hence return (stock-measure t') (f x) = return-val (f x)
  by (simp add: return-val-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma bind-return-val'':
  assumes f ∈ measurable (stock-measure (val-type x)) (subprob-algebra M)
  shows return-val x ≈ f = f x
  unfolding return-val-def by (subst bind-return[OF assms]) simp-all

lemma bind-assoc-return-val:
  assumes sets-M: sets M = sets (stock-measure t)
  assumes Mf: f ∈ measurable (stock-measure t) (stock-measure t')
  assumes Mg: g ∈ measurable (stock-measure t') (stock-measure t'')
  shows (M ≈ (λx. return-val (f x))) ≈ (λx. return-val (g x)) =
    M ≈ (λx. return-val (g (f x)))
proof-
  have (M ≈ (λx. return-val (f x))) ≈ (λx. return-val (g x)) =
    M ≈ (λx. return-val (f x) ≈ (λx. return-val (g x)))
  apply (subst bind-assoc)
  apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-return-val])
  apply (subst measurable-cong-sets[OF sets-M refl], rule Mf)
  apply (rule measurable-compose[OF Mg measurable-return-val], rule refl)
  done
  also have ... = M ≈ (λx. return-val (g (f x)))
  apply (intro bind-cong refl)
  apply (subst (asm) sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF sets-M])
  apply (drule measurable-space[OF Mf])
  apply (subst bind-return-val'[where t = t' and t' = t''])
  apply (simp-all add: Mg)
  done
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma bind-return-val-distr:
  assumes sets-M: sets M = sets (stock-measure t)
  assumes Mf: f ∈ measurable (stock-measure t) (stock-measure t')
  shows M ≈ return-val ∘ f = distr M (stock-measure t') f
proof-
  have M ≈ return-val ∘ f = M ≈ return (stock-measure t') ∘ f
  apply (intro bind-cong refl)
  apply (subst (asm) sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF sets-M])
  apply (drule measurable-space[OF Mf])
  apply (simp add: return-val-def o-def)

```

```

done
also have ... = distr M (stock-measure t') f
  apply (rule bind-return-distr)
  apply (simp add: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF sets-M])
  apply (subst measurable-cong-sets[OF sets-M refl], rule Mf)
  done
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

### 3.5 Lifting of functions

```

definition lift-RealVal where
  lift-RealVal f ≡ λ RealVal v ⇒ RealVal (f v) | - ⇒ RealVal (f 0)
definition lift-IntVal where
  lift-IntVal f ≡ λ IntVal v ⇒ IntVal (f v) | - ⇒ IntVal (f 0)
definition lift-RealIntVal where
  lift-RealIntVal f g ≡ λ IntVal v ⇒ IntVal (f v) | RealVal v ⇒ RealVal (g v)

definition lift-RealIntVal2 where
  lift-RealIntVal2 f g ≡
    map-int-pair (λa b. IntVal (f a b))
    (map-real-pair (λa b. RealVal (g a b)))
    id

definition lift-Comp where
  lift-Comp f g ≡ map-int-pair (λa b. BoolVal (f a b))
  (map-real-pair (λa b. BoolVal (g a b)))
  (λ-. FALSE))

lemma lift-RealVal-eq: lift-RealVal f (RealVal x) = RealVal (f x)
  by (simp add: lift-RealVal-def)

lemma lift-RealIntVal-Real:
  x ∈ space (stock-measure REAL) ==> lift-RealIntVal f g x = lift-RealVal g x
  by (auto simp: space-embed-measure lift-RealIntVal-def lift-RealVal-def)

lemma lift-RealIntVal-Int:
  x ∈ space (stock-measure INTEG) ==> lift-RealIntVal f g x = lift-IntVal f x
  by (auto simp: space-embed-measure lift-RealIntVal-def lift-IntVal-def)

declare stock-measure.simps[simp del]

lemma measurable-lift-RealVal[measurable]:
  assumes [measurable]: f ∈ borel-measurable borel
  shows lift-RealVal f ∈ measurable REAL REAL
  unfolding lift-RealVal-def
  by (auto intro!: val-case-stock-measurable)

lemma measurable-lift-IntVal[simp]: lift-IntVal f ∈ range IntVal → range IntVal

```

```

by (auto simp: lift-IntVal-def)

lemma measurable-lift-IntVal'[measurable]: lift-IntVal f ∈ measurable INTEG IN-
TEG
  unfolding lift-IntVal-def
  by (auto intro!: val-case-stock-measurable)

lemma split-apply: (case x of (a, b) ⇒ f a b) y = (case x of (a, b) ⇒ f a b y)
  by (cases x) simp

lemma measurable-lift-Comp-RealVal[measurable]:
  assumes [measurable]: Measurable.pred (borel ⊗M borel) (case-prod g)
  shows lift-Comp f g ∈ measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL) BOOL
  unfolding lift-Comp-def by measurable

lemma measurable-lift-Comp-IntVal[simp]:
  lift-Comp f g ∈ measurable (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG) BOOL
  unfolding lift-Comp-def
  by (auto intro!: val-case-stock-measurable)

lemma measurable-lift-RealIntVal-IntVal[simp]: lift-RealIntVal f g ∈ range IntVal
→ range IntVal
  by (auto simp: embed-measure-count-space lift-RealIntVal-def)

lemma measurable-lift-RealIntVal-IntVal'[measurable]:
  lift-RealIntVal f g ∈ measurable INTEG INTEG
  by (auto simp: lift-RealIntVal-def intro!: val-case-stock-measurable)

lemma measurable-lift-RealIntVal-RealVal[measurable]:
  assumes [measurable]: g ∈ borel-measurable borel
  shows lift-RealIntVal f g ∈ measurable REAL REAL
  unfolding lift-RealIntVal-def
  by (auto intro!: val-case-stock-measurable)

lemma measurable-lift-RealIntVal2-IntVal[measurable]:
  lift-RealIntVal2 f g ∈ measurable (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG) INTEG
  unfolding lift-RealIntVal2-def
  by (auto intro!: val-case-stock-measurable)

lemma measurable-lift-RealIntVal2-RealVal[measurable]:
  assumes [measurable]: case-prod g ∈ borel-measurable (borel ⊗M borel)
  shows lift-RealIntVal2 f g ∈ measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL) REAL
  unfolding lift-RealIntVal2-def by measurable

lemma distr-lift-RealVal:
  fixes f
  assumes Mf[measurable]: f ∈ borel-measurable borel
  assumes pdens: has-subprob-density M (stock-measure REAL) δ
  assumes dens': ∏M δ. has-subprob-density M lborel δ ==> has-density (distr M

```

```

borel f) lborel (g δ)
defines N ≡ distr M (stock-measure REAL) (lift-RealVal f)
shows has-density N (stock-measure REAL) (g (λx. δ (RealVal x)) ○ extract-real)
proof (rule has-densityI)
  from assms(2) have dens: has-density M (stock-measure REAL) δ
    unfolding has-subprob-density-def by simp
  from dens have sets-M[measurable-cong]: sets M = sets REAL by (auto dest:
    has-densityD)

  note measurable-embed-measure1[measurable del]

  have N = distr M (stock-measure REAL) (lift-RealVal f) by (simp add: N-def)
  also have ... = distr M (stock-measure REAL) (RealVal ○ f ○ extract-real)
    using sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF sets-M]
    by (intro distr-cong) (auto simp: lift-RealVal-def stock-measure.simps space-embed-measure)
  also have ... = distr (distr (distr M lborel extract-real) borel f) (stock-measure
    REAL) RealVal
    by (subst distr-distr)
      (simp-all add: distr-distr[OF - measurable-comp[OF - Mf]] comp-assoc)
  also have dens'': has-density (distr (distr M lborel extract-real) borel f) lborel (g
    (δ ○ RealVal))
    by (intro dens' has-subprob-density-embed-measure'') (insert pdens, simp-all
      add: extract-real-def stock-measure.simps)
    hence distr (distr M lborel extract-real) borel f = density lborel (g (δ ○ RealVal))
      by (rule has-densityD)
    also have distr ... (stock-measure REAL) RealVal = embed-measure ... RealVal
    (is - = ?M)
      by (subst embed-measure-eq-distr[OF inj-RealVal], intro distr-cong)
        (simp-all add: sets-embed-measure stock-measure.simps)
    also have ... = density (embed-measure lborel RealVal) (g (λx. δ (RealVal x)) ○
      extract-real)
      using dens''[unfolded o-def]
      apply (subst density-embed-measure', simp, simp add: extract-real-def)
      apply (erule has-densityD, simp add: o-def)
      done
    finally show N = density (stock-measure REAL) (g (λx. δ (RealVal x)) ○ ex-
      tract-real)
      by (simp add: stock-measure.simps)

  from dens''[unfolded o-def, THEN has-densityD(1)] measurable-extract-real
  show g (λx. δ (RealVal x)) ○ extract-real ∈ borel-measurable (stock-measure
    REAL)
    by (intro measurable-comp) auto
qed (subst space-stock-measure, simp)

lemma distr-lift-IntVal:
  fixes f
  assumes pdens: has-density M (stock-measure INTEG) δ
  assumes dens': ∀M δ. has-density M (count-space UNIV) δ ==>

```

```

has-density (distr M (count-space UNIV) f) (count-space
UNIV) (g δ)
defines N ≡ distr M (stock-measure INTEG) (lift-IntVal f)
shows has-density N (stock-measure INTEG) (g (λx. δ (IntVal x)) ○ extract-int)
proof (rule has-densityI)
let ?R = count-space UNIV and ?S = count-space (range IntVal)
have Mf: f ∈ measurable ?R ?R by simp
from assms(1) have dens: has-density M (stock-measure INTEG) δ
unfolding has-subprob-density-def by simp
from dens have sets-M[measurable-cong]: sets M = sets INTEG by (auto dest!:
has-densityD(2))

have N = distr M (stock-measure INTEG) (lift-IntVal f) by (simp add: N-def)
also have ... = distr M (stock-measure INTEG) (IntVal ○ f ○ extract-int)
using sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF sets-M]
by (intro distr-cong) (auto simp: space-embed-measure lift-IntVal-def stock-measure.simps)
also have ... = distr (distr (distr M ?R extract-int) ?R f) (stock-measure IN-
TEG) IntVal
by (subst distr-distr) (simp-all add: distr-distr[OF - measurable-comp[OF - Mf]]]
comp-assoc)
also have dens'': has-density (distr (distr M ?R extract-int) ?R f) ?R (g (δ ○
IntVal))
by (intro dens' has-density-embed-measure'')
(insert dens, simp-all add: extract-int-def embed-measure-count-space stock-measure.simps)
hence distr (distr M ?R extract-int) ?R f = density ?R (g (δ ○ IntVal))
by (rule has-densityD)
also have distr ... (stock-measure INTEG) IntVal = embed-measure ... IntVal
(is - = ?M)
by (subst embed-measure-eq-distr[OF inj-IntVal], intro distr-cong)
(auto simp: sets-embed-measure subset-image-iff stock-measure.simps)
also have ... = density (embed-measure ?R IntVal) (g (λx. δ (IntVal x)) ○ ex-
tract-int)
using dens''[unfolded o-def]
apply (subst density-embed-measure', simp, simp add: extract-int-def)
apply (erule has-densityD, simp add: o-def)
done
finally show N = density (stock-measure INTEG) (g (λx. δ (IntVal x)) ○ ex-
tract-int)
by (simp add: embed-measure-count-space stock-measure.simps)

from dens''[unfolded o-def]
show g (λx. δ (IntVal x)) ○ extract-int ∈ borel-measurable (stock-measure
INTEG)
by (simp add: embed-measure-count-space stock-measure.simps)
qed (subst space-stock-measure, simp)

lemma distr-lift-RealPairVal:
fixes f f' g
assumes Mf[measurable]: case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable borel

```

```

assumes pdens: has-subprob-density M (stock-measure (PRODUCT REAL REAL))
 $\delta$ 
assumes dens':  $\bigwedge M \delta$ . has-subprob-density M lborel  $\delta \implies$  has-density (distr M
borel (case-prod f)) lborel ( $g \delta$ )
defines N  $\equiv$  distr M (stock-measure REAL) (lift-RealIntVal2 f' f)
shows has-density N (stock-measure REAL) ( $g (\lambda x. \delta (\text{RealPairVal } x)) \circ$  ex-
tract-real)
proof (rule has-densityI)
  from assms(2) have dens: has-density M (stock-measure (PRODUCT REAL
REAL))  $\delta$ 
    unfolding has-subprob-density-def by simp
    have sets-M[measurable-cong]: sets M = sets (stock-measure (PRODUCT REAL
REAL))
      by (auto simp: has-subprob-densityD[OF pdens])

    have N = distr M (stock-measure REAL) (lift-RealIntVal2 f' f) by (simp add:
N-def)
    also have ... = distr M (stock-measure REAL) (RealVal  $\circ$  case-prod f  $\circ$  ex-
tract-real-pair)
      using sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF sets-M]
      by (intro distr-cong) (auto simp: lift-RealIntVal2-def space-embed-measure space-pair-measure
stock-measure.simps)
    also have ... = distr (distr (distr M lborel extract-real-pair) borel (case-prod f))
REAL RealVal
      by (subst distr-distr) (simp-all add: distr-distr[OF - measurable-comp[OF - Mf]]]
comp-assoc)
    also have dens'': has-density (distr (distr M lborel extract-real-pair) borel (case-prod
f)) lborel
      ( $g (\delta \circ \text{RealPairVal})$ ) using inj-RealPairVal embed-measure-RealPairVal
      by (intro dens' has-subprob-density-embed-measure'')
        (insert pdens, simp-all add: RealPairVal-def split: prod.split)
      hence distr (distr M lborel extract-real-pair) borel (case-prod f) =
        density lborel ( $g (\delta \circ \text{RealPairVal})$ ) by (rule has-densityD)
    also have distr ... (stock-measure REAL) RealVal = embed-measure ... RealVal
(is - = ?M)
      by (subst embed-measure-eq-distr[OF inj-RealVal], intro distr-cong)
        (simp-all add: sets-embed-measure stock-measure.simps)
    also have ... = density (embed-measure lborel RealVal) ( $g (\lambda x. \delta (\text{RealPairVal } x)) \circ$  ex-
tract-real)
      using dens''[unfolded o-def]
      by (subst density-embed-measure', simp, simp add: extract-real-def)
        (erule has-densityD, simp add: o-def)
    finally show N = density (stock-measure REAL) ( $g (\lambda x. \delta (\text{RealPairVal } x)) \circ$  ex-
tract-real)
      by (simp add: stock-measure.simps)

from dens''[unfolded o-def]
  show  $g (\lambda x. \delta (\text{RealPairVal } x)) \circ$  extract-real  $\in$  borel-measurable (stock-measure
REAL)

```

```

by (intro measurable-comp)
    (rule measurable-extract-real, subst measurable-lborel2[symmetric], erule has-densityD)
qed (subst space-stock-measure, simp)

lemma distr-lift-IntPairVal:
  fixes  $f f'$ 
  assumes  $pdens: \text{has-density } M \text{ (stock-measure (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG)) } \delta$ 
  assumes  $\text{dens}': \bigwedge M \delta. \text{has-density } M \text{ (count-space UNIV) } \delta \implies$ 
     $\text{has-density} (\text{distr } M \text{ (count-space UNIV)} (\text{case-prod } f))$ 
     $(\text{count-space UNIV}) (g \delta)$ 
  defines  $N \equiv \text{distr } M \text{ (stock-measure INTEG)} (\text{lift-RealIntVal2 } f f')$ 
  shows  $\text{has-density } N \text{ (stock-measure INTEG)} (g (\lambda x. \delta (\text{IntPairVal } x)) \circ \text{extract-int})$ 
proof (rule has-densityI)
  let  $?R = \text{count-space UNIV}$  and  $?S = \text{count-space} (\text{range IntVal})$ 
  and  $?T = \text{count-space} (\text{range IntPairVal})$  and  $?tp = \text{PRODUCT INTEG INTEG}$ 
  have  $Mf: f \in \text{measurable } ?R ?R$  by simp
  have  $MIV: \text{IntVal} \in \text{measurable } ?R ?S$  by simp
  from assms(1) have  $\text{dens}: \text{has-density } M \text{ (stock-measure } ?tp) \delta$ 
    unfolding has-subprob-density-def by simp
  from dens have  $M = \text{density} (\text{stock-measure } ?tp) \delta$  by (rule has-densityD)
  hence  $\text{sets-}M: \text{sets } M = \text{sets } ?T$  by (subst embed-measure-IntPairVal[symmetric])
  auto
  hence [simp]:  $\text{space } M = \text{space } ?T$  by (rule sets-eq-imp-space-eq)
  from sets-}M have [simp]:  $\text{measurable } M = \text{measurable} (\text{count-space} (\text{range IntPairVal}))$ 
    by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) simp-all

  have  $N = \text{distr } M \text{ (stock-measure INTEG)} (\text{lift-RealIntVal2 } f f')$  by (simp add: N-def)

  also have ... =  $\text{distr } M \text{ (stock-measure INTEG)} (\text{IntVal} \circ \text{case-prod } f \circ \text{extract-int-pair})$ 
    by (intro distr-cong) (auto simp: lift-RealIntVal2-def space-embed-measure space-pair-measure IntPairVal-def)
  also have ... =  $\text{distr} (\text{distr} (\text{distr } M \text{ (count-space UNIV)} \text{ extract-int-pair})$ 
     $(\text{count-space UNIV}) (\text{case-prod } f)) \text{ (stock-measure INTEG)}$ 
IntVal
  apply (subst distr-distr[of - ?R, symmetric], simp, simp)
  apply (subst distr-distr[symmetric], subst stock-measure.simps, rule MIV,
    simp-all add: assms(1) cong: distr-cong)
  done
also have  $\text{dens}'': \text{has-density} (\text{distr} (\text{distr } M \text{ (count-space UNIV)} \text{ extract-int-pair})$ 
?R (case-prod f) ?R
   $(g (\delta \circ \text{IntPairVal}))$  using inj-IntPairVal embed-measure-IntPairVal
by (intro dens' has-density-embed-measure'')
  (insert dens, simp-all add: extract-int-def embed-measure-count-space IntPairVal-def split: prod.split)

```

```

hence distr (distr M (count-space UNIV) extract-int-pair) ?R (case-prod f) =
    density ?R (g (δ o IntPairVal)) by (rule has-densityD)
also have distr ... (stock-measure INTEG) IntVal = embed-measure ... IntVal
(is - = ?M)
by (subst embed-measure-eq-distr[OF inj-IntVal], intro distr-cong)
    (auto simp: sets-embed-measure subset-image-iff stock-measure.simps)
also have ... = density (embed-measure ?R IntVal) (g (λx. δ (IntPairVal x)) o
extract-int)
using dens''[unfolded o-def]
by (subst density-embed-measure', simp, simp add: extract-int-def)
    (erule has-densityD, simp add: o-def)
finally show N = density (stock-measure INTEG) (g (λx. δ (IntPairVal x)) o
extract-int)
by (simp add: embed-measure-count-space stock-measure.simps)

from dens''[unfolded o-def]
show g (λx. δ (IntPairVal x)) o extract-int ∈ borel-measurable (stock-measure
INTEG)
by (simp add: embed-measure-count-space stock-measure.simps)
qed (subst space-stock-measure, simp)

end

```

```

theory PDF-Semantics
imports PDF-Values
begin

lemma measurable-subprob-algebra-density:
assumes sigma-finite-measure N
assumes space N ≠ {}
assumes [measurable]: case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (M ⊗M N)
assumes ∫ x. x ∈ space M ⇒ (∫+ y. f x y ∂N) ≤ 1
shows (λx. density N (f x)) ∈ measurable M (subprob-algebra N)
proof (rule measurable-subprob-algebra)
fix x assume x ∈ space M
with assms show subprob-space (density N (f x))
by (intro subprob-spaceI) (auto simp: emeasure-density cong: nn-integral-cong')
next
interpret sigma-finite-measure N by fact
fix X assume X: X ∈ sets N
hence (λx. (∫+ y. f x y * indicator X y ∂N)) ∈ borel-measurable M by simp
moreover from X and assms have
    ∫ x. x ∈ space M ⇒ emeasure (density N (f x)) X = (∫+ y. f x y * indicator
X y ∂N)
by (simp add: emeasure-density)
ultimately show (λx. emeasure (density N (f x)) X) ∈ borel-measurable M
by (simp only: cong: measurable-cong)
qed simp-all

```

## 4 Built-in Probability Distributions

### 4.1 Bernoulli

```

definition bernoulli-density :: real  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
  bernoulli-density p b = (if p  $\in$  {0..1} then (if b then p else 1 - p) else 0)

definition bernoulli :: val  $\Rightarrow$  val measure where
  bernoulli p = density BOOL (bernoulli-density (extract-real p) o extract-bool)

lemma measurable-bernoulli-density[measurable]:
  case-prod bernoulli-density  $\in$  borel-measurable (borel  $\otimes_M$  count-space UNIV)
  unfolding bernoulli-density-def[abs-def] by measurable

lemma measurable-bernoulli[measurable]: bernoulli  $\in$  measurable REAL (subprob-algebra
BOOL)
  unfolding bernoulli-def[abs-def]
  by (auto intro!: measurable-subprob-algebra-density
    simp: measurable-split-conv nn-integral-BoolVal bernoulli-density-def
    ennreal-plus[symmetric]
    simp del: ennreal-plus)

```

### 4.2 Uniform

```

definition uniform-real-density :: real  $\times$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
  uniform-real-density  $\equiv$   $\lambda(a,b)$  x. ennreal (if a < b  $\wedge$  x  $\in$  {a..b} then inverse (b
  - a) else 0)

definition uniform-int-density :: int  $\times$  int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
  uniform-int-density  $\equiv$   $\lambda(a,b)$  x. (if x  $\in$  {a..b} then inverse (nat (b - a + 1))
  else 0)

lemma measurable-uniform-density-int[measurable]:
  (case-prod uniform-int-density)
   $\in$  borel-measurable ((count-space UNIV  $\otimes_M$  count-space UNIV)  $\otimes_M$ 
  count-space UNIV)
  by (simp add: pair-measure-countable)

lemma measurable-uniform-density-real[measurable]:
  (case-prod uniform-real-density)  $\in$  borel-measurable (borel  $\otimes_M$  borel)
proof-
  have (case-prod uniform-real-density) =
    ( $\lambda x$ . uniform-real-density (fst (fst x), snd (fst x)) (snd x))
    by (rule ext) (simp split: prod.split)
  also have ...  $\in$  borel-measurable (borel  $\otimes_M$  borel)
    unfolding uniform-real-density-def
    by (simp only: prod.case) (simp add: borel-prod[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

definition uniform-int :: val  $\Rightarrow$  val measure where
  uniform-int = map-int-pair ( $\lambda l\ u.$  density INTEG (uniform-int-density (l,u) o
  extract-int)) ( $\lambda \_.$  undefined)

definition uniform-real :: val  $\Rightarrow$  val measure where
  uniform-real = map-real-pair ( $\lambda l\ u.$  density REAL (uniform-real-density (l,u) o
  extract-real)) ( $\lambda \_.$  undefined)

lemma if-bounded: (if  $a \leq i \wedge i \leq b$  then  $v$  else 0) = ( $v::real$ ) * indicator { $a .. b$ }  

i  

by auto

lemma measurable-uniform-int[measurable]:
  uniform-int  $\in$  measurable (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG) (subprob-algebra IN-
  TEG)
  unfolding uniform-int-def
proof (rule measurable measurable-subprob-algebra-density)+  

  fix  $x :: int \times int$ 

  show integralN INTEG (uniform-int-density (fst  $x$ , snd  $x$ ) o extract-int)  $\leq 1$ 
  proof cases
    assume fst  $x \leq snd x$  then show ?thesis
    by (cases  $x$ )
    (simp add: uniform-int-density-def comp-def nn-integral-IntVal nn-integral-cmult
      nn-integral-set-ennreal[symmetric] ennreal-of-nat-eq-real-of-nat
      if-bounded[where 'a=int] ennreal-mult[symmetric]
      del: ennreal-plus)
  qed (simp add: uniform-int-density-def comp-def split-beta' if-bounded[where
  'a=int])
  qed (auto simp: comp-def)

lemma density-cong':
  ( $\bigwedge x. x \in space M \implies f x = g x$ )  $\implies$  density  $M f =$  density  $M g$ 
  unfolding density-def
  by (auto dest: sets.sets-into-space intro!: nn-integral-cong measure-of-eq)

lemma measurable-uniform-real[measurable]:
  uniform-real  $\in$  measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL) (subprob-algebra REAL)
  unfolding uniform-real-def
proof (rule measurable measurable-subprob-algebra-density)+  

  fix  $x :: real \times real$ 
  obtain  $l\ u$  where [simp]:  $x = (l, u)$ 
  by (cases  $x$ ) auto
  show ( $\int^+ y. (uniform-real-density (fst x, snd x) o extract-real) y \partial REAL$ )  $\leq 1$ 
  proof cases
    assume  $l < u$  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: nn-integral-RealVal uniform-real-density-def if-bounded nn-integral-cmult
      nn-integral-set-ennreal[symmetric] ennreal-mult[symmetric])
  qed (simp add: uniform-real-density-def comp-def)

```

```
qed (auto simp: comp-def borel-prod)
```

### 4.3 Gaussian

```
definition gaussian-density :: real × real ⇒ real ⇒ ennreal where
  gaussian-density ≡
    λ(m,s). x. (if s > 0 then exp(-(x - m)² / (2 * s²)) / sqrt(2 * pi * s²) else
    0)

lemma measurable-gaussian-density[measurable]:
  case-prod gaussian-density ∈ borel-measurable (borel ⊗ M borel)
proof –
  have case-prod gaussian-density =
    (λ(x,y). (if snd x > 0 then exp(-(y - fst x)² / (2 * snd x²)) / sqrt(2 * pi * snd x²) else 0))
  unfolding gaussian-density-def by (intro ext) (simp split: prod.split)
  also have ... ∈ borel-measurable (borel ⊗ M borel)
    by (simp add: borel-prod[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

definition gaussian :: val ⇒ val measure where
  gaussian = map-real-pair (λm s. density REAL (gaussian-density (m,s) o extract-real)) undefined

lemma measurable-gaussian[measurable]: gaussian ∈ measurable (PRODUCT REAL
REAL) (subprob-algebra REAL)
  unfolding gaussian-def
proof (rule measurable measurable-subprob-algebra-density)+
  fix x :: real × real
  show integralN (stock-measure REAL) (gaussian-density (fst x, snd x) o extract-real) ≤ 1
  proof cases
    assume snd x > 0
    then have integralN lborel (gaussian-density x) = (∫+ y. normal-density (fst
    x) (snd x) y ∂lborel)
      by (auto simp add: gaussian-density-def normal-density-def split-beta' intro!:
      nn-integral-cong)
    also have ... = 1
      using ⟨snd x > 0⟩
      by (subst nn-integral-eq-integral) (auto intro!: normal-density-nonneg)
    finally show ?thesis
      by (cases x) (simp add: nn-integral-RealVal comp-def)
  next
    assume ¬ snd x > 0 then show ?thesis
      by (cases x)
        (simp add: nn-integral-RealVal comp-def gaussian-density-def zero-ennreal-def[symmetric])
  qed
qed (auto simp: comp-def borel-prod)
```

## 4.4 Poisson

```

definition poisson-density' :: real  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
  poisson-density' rate k = pmf (poisson-pmf rate) (nat k) * indicator ( $\{0 <..\} \times \{0..\}$ ) (rate, k)

lemma measurable-poisson-density'[measurable]:
  case-prod poisson-density'  $\in$  borel-measurable (borel  $\otimes_M$  count-space UNIV)
proof -
  have case-prod poisson-density' =
     $(\lambda(\text{rate}, k). \text{rate} \wedge \text{nat } k / \text{real-of-nat} (\text{fact} (\text{nat } k)) * \exp (-\text{rate}) * \text{indicator} (\{0 <..\} \times \{0..\})) (\text{rate}, k)$ 
    by (auto split: split-indicator simp: fun-eq-iff poisson-density'-def)
  then show ?thesis
    by simp
qed

definition poisson :: val  $\Rightarrow$  val measure where
  poisson rate = density INTEG (poisson-density' (extract-real rate) o extract-int)

lemma measurable-poisson[measurable]: poisson  $\in$  measurable REAL (subprob-algebra INTEG)
unfold poisson-def[abs-def]
proof (rule measurable measurable-subprob-algebra-density)+
  fix r :: real
  have [simp]: nat ' $\{0..\}$  = UNIV
    by (auto simp: image-iff intro!: bexI[of - int x for x])

  { assume 0 < r
    then have  $(\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (r \wedge \text{nat } x * \exp (-r) * \text{indicator} (\{0 <..\} \times \{0..\}) (r, x) / (\text{fact} (\text{nat } x))) \partial \text{count-space } \text{UNIV})$ 
       $= (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\text{pmf} (\text{poisson-pmf } r) (\text{nat } x)) \partial \text{count-space } \{0 ..\})$ 
      by (auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp add: nn-integral-count-space-indicator split: split-indicator)
    also have ... = 1
      using measure-pmf.emeasure-space-1[of poisson-pmf r]
      by (subst nn-integral-pmf') (auto simp: inj-on-def)
    finally have  $(\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (r \wedge \text{nat } x * \exp (-r) * \text{indicator} (\{0 <..\} \times \{0..\}) (r, x) / (\text{fact} (\text{nat } x))) \partial \text{count-space } \text{UNIV}) = 1$ 
      .
  }
  then show integralN INTEG (poisson-density' r o extract-int)  $\leq 1$ 
  by (cases 0 < r)
    (auto simp: nn-integral-IntVal poisson-density'-def zero-ennreal-def[symmetric])
qed (auto simp: comp-def)

```

## 5 Source Language Syntax and Semantics

### 5.1 Expressions

```

class expr = fixes free-vars :: 'a ⇒ vname set

datatype pdf-dist = Bernoulli | UniformInt | UniformReal | Poisson | Gaussian

datatype pdf-operator = Fst | Snd | Add | Mult | Minus | Less | Equals | And |  

Not | Or | Pow |  

    Sqrt | Exp | Ln | Fact | Inverse | Pi | Cast pdf-type

datatype expr =  

    Var vname  

  | Val val  

  | LetVar expr expr (LET - IN - [0, 60] 61)  

  | Operator pdf-operator expr (infixl $$ 999)  

  | Pair expr expr (<- , -> [0, 60] 1000)  

  | Random pdf-dist expr  

  | IfThenElse expr expr expr (IF - THEN - ELSE - [0, 0, 70] 71)  

  | Fail pdf-type

type-synonym tyenv = vname ⇒ pdf-type

instantiation expr :: expr
begin

primrec free-vars-expr :: expr ⇒ vname set where
  free-vars-expr (Var x) = {x}
| free-vars-expr (Val -) = {}
| free-vars-expr (LetVar e1 e2) = free-vars-expr e1 ∪ Suc - ` free-vars-expr e2
| free-vars-expr (Operator - e) = free-vars-expr e
| free-vars-expr (<e1, e2>) = free-vars-expr e1 ∪ free-vars-expr e2
| free-vars-expr (Random - e) = free-vars-expr e
| free-vars-expr (IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =
    free-vars-expr b ∪ free-vars-expr e1 ∪ free-vars-expr e2
| free-vars-expr (Fail -) = {}

instance ..
end

primrec free-vars-expr-code :: expr ⇒ vname set where
  free-vars-expr-code (Var x) = {x}
| free-vars-expr-code (Val -) = {}
| free-vars-expr-code (LetVar e1 e2) =
    free-vars-expr-code e1 ∪ (λx. x - 1) ` (free-vars-expr-code e2 - {0})
| free-vars-expr-code (Operator - e) = free-vars-expr-code e
| free-vars-expr-code (<e1, e2>) = free-vars-expr-code e1 ∪ free-vars-expr-code e2
| free-vars-expr-code (Random - e) = free-vars-expr-code e
| free-vars-expr-code (IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =

```

```

free-vars-expr-code b ∪ free-vars-expr-code e1 ∪ free-vars-expr-code e2
| free-vars-expr-code (Fail -) = {}

```

```

lemma free-vars-expr-code[code]:
  free-vars (e::expr) = free-vars-expr-code e
proof-
  have ⋀A. Suc - ` A = (λx. x - 1) ` (A - {0}) by force
  thus ?thesis by (induction e) simp-all
qed

```

```

primrec dist-param-type where
  dist-param-type Bernoulli = REAL
| dist-param-type Poisson = REAL
| dist-param-type Gaussian = PRODUCT REAL REAL
| dist-param-type UniformInt = PRODUCT INTEG INTEG
| dist-param-type UniformReal = PRODUCT REAL REAL

```

```

primrec dist-result-type where
  dist-result-type Bernoulli = BOOL
| dist-result-type UniformInt = INTEG
| dist-result-type UniformReal = REAL
| dist-result-type Poisson = INTEG
| dist-result-type Gaussian = REAL

```

```

primrec dist-measure :: pdf-dist ⇒ val ⇒ val measure where
  dist-measure Bernoulli = bernoulli
| dist-measure UniformInt = uniform-int
| dist-measure UniformReal = uniform-real
| dist-measure Poisson = poisson
| dist-measure Gaussian = gaussian

```

```

lemma measurable-dist-measure[measurable]:
  dist-measure d ∈ measurable (dist-param-type d) (subprob-algebra (dist-result-type d))
by (cases d) simp-all

```

```

lemma sets-dist-measure[simp]:
  val-type x = dist-param-type dst ==>
    sets (dist-measure dst x) = sets (stock-measure (dist-result-type dst))
by (rule sets-kernel[OF measurable-dist-measure]) simp

```

```

lemma space-dist-measure[simp]:
  val-type x = dist-param-type dst ==>
    space (dist-measure dst x) = type-universe (dist-result-type dst)
by (subst space-stock-measure[symmetric]) (intro sets-eq-imp-space-eq sets-dist-measure)

```

```

primrec dist-dens :: pdf-dist ⇒ val ⇒ val ⇒ ennreal where
  dist-dens Bernoulli x y = bernoulli-density (extract-real x) (extract-bool y)

```

```

| dist-dens UniformInt x y = uniform-int-density (extract-int-pair x) (extract-int
y)
| dist-dens UniformReal x y = uniform-real-density (extract-real-pair x) (extract-real
y)
| dist-dens Gaussian x y = gaussian-density (extract-real-pair x) (extract-real y)
| dist-dens Poisson x y = poisson-density' (extract-real x) (extract-int y)

lemma measurable-dist-dens[measurable]:
  assumes f ∈ measurable M (stock-measure (dist-param-type dst)) (is - ∈ measurable M ?N)
  assumes g ∈ measurable M (stock-measure (dist-result-type dst)) (is - ∈ measurable M ?R)
    shows (λx. dist-dens dst (f x) (g x)) ∈ borel-measurable M
  apply (rule measurable-Pair-compose-split[of dist-dens dst, OF - assms])
  apply (subst dist-dens-def, cases dst, simp-all)
  done

lemma dist-measure-has-density:
  v ∈ type-universe (dist-param-type dst) ==>
    has-density (dist-measure dst v) (stock-measure (dist-result-type dst)) (dist-dens
dst v)
  proof (intro has-densityI)
    fix v assume v ∈ type-universe (dist-param-type dst)
    thus dist-measure dst v = density (stock-measure (dist-result-type dst)) (dist-dens
dst v)
      by (cases dst)
        (auto simp: bernoulli-def uniform-int-def uniform-real-def poisson-def gaus-
sian-def
         intro!: density-cong' elim!: PROD-E REAL-E INTEG-E)
  qed simp-all

lemma subprob-space-dist-measure:
  v ∈ type-universe (dist-param-type dst) ==> subprob-space (dist-measure dst v)
  using subprob-space-kernel[OF measurable-dist-measure, of v dst] by simp

lemma dist-measure-has-subprob-density:
  v ∈ type-universe (dist-param-type dst) ==>
    has-subprob-density (dist-measure dst v) (stock-measure (dist-result-type dst))
  (dist-dens dst v)
  unfolding has-subprob-density-def
  by (auto intro: subprob-space-dist-measure dist-measure-has-density)

lemma dist-dens-integral-space:
  assumes v ∈ type-universe (dist-param-type dst)
  shows (ʃ+u. dist-dens dst v u ∂stock-measure (dist-result-type dst)) ≤ 1
  proof-
    let ?M = density (stock-measure (dist-result-type dst)) (dist-dens dst v)
    from assms have (ʃ+u. dist-dens dst v u ∂stock-measure (dist-result-type dst)) =

```

```

      emeasure ?M (space ?M)
 $\text{by } (\text{subst } \text{space-density}, \text{subst } \text{emeasure-density})$ 
 $\quad (\text{auto intro!}: \text{measurable-dist-dens cong: nn-integral-cong}')$ 
 $\text{also have } ?M = \text{dist-measure dst v using dist-measure-has-density[ OF assms]}$ 
 $\quad \text{by } (\text{auto dest: has-densityD})$ 
 $\text{also from assms have emeasure ... (space ...) } \leq 1$ 
 $\quad \text{by } (\text{intro subprob-space.emeasure-space-le-1 subprob-space-dist-measure})$ 
 $\quad \text{finally show } ?thesis .$ 
qed

```

## 5.2 Typing

```

primrec op-type :: pdf-operator  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type option where
  op-type Add x =
    (case x of
      PRODUCT INTEG INTEG  $\Rightarrow$  Some INTEG
      | PRODUCT REAL REAL  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Mult x =
    (case x of
      PRODUCT INTEG INTEG  $\Rightarrow$  Some INTEG
      | PRODUCT REAL REAL  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Minus x =
    (case x of
      INTEG  $\Rightarrow$  Some INTEG
      | REAL  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Equals x =
    (case x of
      PRODUCT t1 t2  $\Rightarrow$  if t1 = t2 then Some BOOL else None
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Less x =
    (case x of
      PRODUCT INTEG INTEG  $\Rightarrow$  Some BOOL
      | PRODUCT REAL REAL  $\Rightarrow$  Some BOOL
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type (Cast t) x =
    (case (x, t) of
      (BOOL, INTEG)  $\Rightarrow$  Some INTEG
      | (BOOL, REAL)  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL
      | (INTEG, REAL)  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL
      | (REAL, INTEG)  $\Rightarrow$  Some INTEG
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Or x = (case x of PRODUCT BOOL BOOL  $\Rightarrow$  Some BOOL | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type And x = (case x of PRODUCT BOOL BOOL  $\Rightarrow$  Some BOOL | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Not x = (case x of BOOL  $\Rightarrow$  Some BOOL | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Inverse x = (case x of REAL  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)

```

```

| op-type Fact x = (case x of INTEG => Some INTEG | - => None)
| op-type Sqrt x = (case x of REAL => Some REAL | - => None)
| op-type Exp x = (case x of REAL => Some REAL | - => None)
| op-type Ln x = (case x of REAL => Some REAL | - => None)
| op-type Pi x = (case x of UNIT => Some REAL | - => None)
| op-type Pow x = (case x of
                     PRODUCT REAL INTEG => Some REAL
                     | PRODUCT INTEG INTEG => Some INTEG
                     | - => None)
| op-type Fst x = (case x of PRODUCT t -> Some t | - => None)
| op-type Snd x = (case x of PRODUCT - t => Some t | - => None)

```

### 5.3 Semantics

**abbreviation** (*input*) *de-bruijn-insert* (*infixr* · 65) **where**  
 $\text{de-bruijn-insert } x f \equiv \text{case-nat } x f$

**inductive** *expr-typing* :: *tyenv*  $\Rightarrow$  *expr*  $\Rightarrow$  *pdf-type*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* ((1-/  $\vdash$ / (- :/ -))  
[50,0,50] 50) **where**  
*et-var*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Var } x : \Gamma x$   
| *et-val*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Val } v : \text{val-type } v$   
| *et-let*:  $\Gamma \vdash e1 : t1 \implies t1 \cdot \Gamma \vdash e2 : t2 \implies \Gamma \vdash \text{LetVar } e1 e2 : t2$   
| *et-op*:  $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \text{op-type oper } t = \text{Some } t' \implies \Gamma \vdash \text{Operator oper } e : t'$   
| *et-pair*:  $\Gamma \vdash e1 : t1 \implies \Gamma \vdash e2 : t2 \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle e1, e2 \rangle : \text{PRODUCT } t1 t2$   
| *et-rand*:  $\Gamma \vdash e : \text{dist-param-type } dst \implies \Gamma \vdash \text{Random } dst e : \text{dist-result-type } dst$   
| *et-if*:  $\Gamma \vdash b : \text{BOOL} \implies \Gamma \vdash e1 : t \implies \Gamma \vdash e2 : t \implies \Gamma \vdash \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } e1 \text{ ELSE } e2 : t$   
| *et-fail*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Fail } t : t$

**lemma** *expr-typing-cong*:

$\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \Gamma x = \Gamma' x) \implies \Gamma' \vdash e : t$

**proof** (*induction arbitrary*:  $\Gamma'$  *rule*: *expr-typing.induct*)

**case** (*et-let*  $\Gamma e1 t1 e2 t2 \Gamma'$ )

**have**  $\Gamma' \vdash e1 : t1$  **using** *et-let.preds* **by** (*intro et-let.IH(1)*) *auto*

**moreover have** *case-nat*  $t1 \Gamma' \vdash e2 : t2$

**using** *et-let.preds* **by** (*intro et-let.IH(2)*) (*auto split: nat.split*)

**ultimately show** ?*case* **by** (*auto intro!*: *expr-typing.intros*)

**qed** (*auto intro!*: *expr-typing.intros*)

**lemma** *expr-typing-cong*:

$(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \Gamma x = \Gamma' x) \implies \Gamma \vdash e : t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma' \vdash e : t$

**by** (*intro iffI*) (*simp-all add: expr-typing-cong'*)

**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-valE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Val } v : t$

**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-varE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Var } x : t$

**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-letE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{LetVar } e1 e2 : t$

**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-ifE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{IfThenElse } b e1 e2 : t$

**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-opE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Operator oper } e : t$

**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-pairE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \langle e1, e2 \rangle : t$

```

inductive-cases expr-typing-randE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Random} \ dst \ e : t$ 
inductive-cases expr-typing-failE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Fail} \ t : t'$ 

lemma expr-typing-unique:
 $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \Gamma \vdash e : t' \implies t = t'$ 
apply (induction arbitrary:  $t'$  rule: expr-typing.induct)
apply blast
apply blast
apply (erule expr-typing-letE, blast)
apply (erule expr-typing-opE, simp)
apply (erule expr-typing-pairE, blast)
apply (erule expr-typing-randE, blast)
apply (erule expr-typing-ifE, blast)
apply blast
done

fun expr-type :: tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  expr  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type option where
  expr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $\text{Var } x$ ) = Some ( $\Gamma x$ )
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $\text{Val } v$ ) = Some ( $\text{val-type } v$ )
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $\text{LetVar } e1 \ e2$ ) =
    (case expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e1$  of
      Some  $t \Rightarrow$  expr-type (case-nat  $t \Gamma$ )  $e2$ 
      | None  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $\text{Operator } oper \ e$ ) =
    (case expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e$  of Some  $t \Rightarrow$  op-type  $oper \ t$  | None  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $\langle e1, e2 \rangle$ ) =
    (case (expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e1$ , expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e2$ ) of
      (Some  $t1$ , Some  $t2$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Some (PRODUCT  $t1 \ t2$ )
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $\text{Random} \ dst \ e$ ) =
    (if expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e$  = Some (dist-param-type  $dst$ ) then
      Some (dist-result-type  $dst$ )
      else None)
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $\text{IF } b \ THEN \ e1 \ ELSE \ e2$ ) =
    (if expr-type  $\Gamma$   $b$  = Some BOOL then
      (case (expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e1$ , expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e2$ ) of
        (Some  $t$ , Some  $t'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  if  $t = t'$  then Some  $t$  else None
        | -  $\Rightarrow$  None) else None)
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $\text{Fail} \ t$ ) = Some  $t$ 

lemma expr-type-Some-iff: expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e$  = Some  $t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma \vdash e : t$ 
apply rule
apply (induction e arbitrary:  $\Gamma \ t$ ,
  auto intro!: expr-typing.intros split: option.split-asm if-split-asm) []
apply (induction rule: expr-typing.induct, auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
done

lemmas expr-typing-code[code-unfold] = expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric]

```

### 5.3.1 Countable types

```

primrec countable-type :: pdf-type  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  countable-type UNIT = True
  | countable-type BOOL = True
  | countable-type INTEG = True
  | countable-type REAL = False
  | countable-type (PRODUCT t1 t2) = (countable-type t1  $\wedge$  countable-type t2)

lemma countable-type-countable[dest]:
  countable-type t  $\Longrightarrow$  countable (space (stock-measure t))
  by (induction t)
    (auto simp: pair-measure-countable space-embed-measure space-pair-measure
      stock-measure.simps)

lemma countable-type-imp-count-space:
  countable-type t  $\Longrightarrow$  stock-measure t = count-space (type-universe t)
proof (subst space-stock-measure[symmetric], induction t)
  case (PRODUCT t1 t2)
    hence countable: countable-type t1 countable-type t2 by simp-all
    note A = PRODUCT.IH(1)[OF countable(1)] and B = PRODUCT.IH(2)[OF
      countable(2)]
    show stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2) = count-space (space (stock-measure
      (PRODUCT t1 t2)))
    apply (subst (1 2) stock-measure.simps)
    apply (subst (1 2) A, subst (1 2) B)
    apply (subst (1 2) pair-measure-countable)
    apply (auto intro: countable-type-countable simp: countable simp del: space-stock-measure)
  [2]
    apply (subst (1 2) embed-measure-count-space, force intro: injI)
    apply simp
    done
qed (simp-all add: stock-measure.simps)

lemma return-val-countable:
  assumes countable-type (val-type v)
  shows return-val v = density (stock-measure (val-type v)) (indicator {v}) (is
    ?M1 = ?M2)
proof (rule measure-eqI)
  let ?M3 = count-space (type-universe (val-type v))
  fix X assume asm: X  $\in$  ?M1
  with assms have emeasure ?M2 X =  $\int^+ x \cdot \text{indicator } \{v\} x * \text{indicator } X x$ 
     $\partial \text{count-space} (\text{type-universe} (\text{val-type } v))$ 
    by (simp add: return-val-def emeasure-density countable-type-imp-count-space)
  also have ( $\lambda x. \text{indicator } \{v\} x * \text{indicator } X x :: \text{ennreal}$ ) = ( $\lambda x. \text{indicator } (X$ 
     $\cap \{v\}) x$ )
    by (rule ext, subst Int-commute) (simp split: split-indicator)
  also have nn-integral ?M3 ... = emeasure ?M3 (X  $\cap \{v\}$ )
    by (subst nn-integral-indicator[symmetric]) auto
  also from asm have ... = emeasure ?M1 X by (auto simp: return-val-def split):

```

```

split-indicator)
  finally show emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure ?M2 X ..
qed (simp add: return-val-def)

```

## 5.4 Semantics

```

definition bool-to-int :: bool ⇒ int where
  bool-to-int b = (if b then 1 else 0)

```

```

lemma measurable_bool_to_int[measurable]:
  bool-to-int ∈ measurable (count-space UNIV) (count-space UNIV)
  by (rule measurable_count_space)

```

```

definition bool-to-real :: bool ⇒ real where
  bool-to-real b = (if b then 1 else 0)

```

```

lemma measurable_bool_to_real[measurable]:
  bool-to-real ∈ borel_measurable (count-space UNIV)
  by (rule borel_measurable_count_space)

```

```

definition safe_ln :: real ⇒ real where
  safe_ln x = (if x > 0 then ln x else 0)

```

```

lemma safe_ln_gt_0[simp]: x > 0 ⇒ safe_ln x = ln x
  by (simp add: safe_ln_def)

```

```

lemma borel_measurable_safe_ln[measurable]: safe_ln ∈ borel_measurable borel
  unfolding safe_ln_def[abs_def] by simp

```

```

definition safe_sqrt :: real ⇒ real where
  safe_sqrt x = (if x ≥ 0 then sqrt x else 0)

```

```

lemma safe_sqrt_ge_0[simp]: x ≥ 0 ⇒ safe_sqrt x = sqrt x
  by (simp add: safe_sqrt_def)

```

```

lemma borel_measurable_safe_sqrt[measurable]: safe_sqrt ∈ borel_measurable borel
  unfolding safe_sqrt_def[abs_def] by simp

```

```

fun op_sem :: pdf_operator ⇒ val ⇒ val where
  op_sem Add = lift_RealIntVal2 (+) (+)
  | op_sem Mult = lift_RealIntVal2 (*) (*)
  | op_sem Minus = lift_RealIntVal uminus uminus
  | op_sem Equals = (λ <| v1, v2 |> ⇒ BoolVal (v1 = v2))
  | op_sem Less = lift_Comp (<) (<)
  | op_sem Or = (λ <| BoolVal a, BoolVal b |> ⇒ BoolVal (a ∨ b))
  | op_sem And = (λ <| BoolVal a, BoolVal b |> ⇒ BoolVal (a ∧ b))
  | op_sem Not = (λ BoolVal a ⇒ BoolVal (¬a))

```

```

| op-sem (Cast t) = (case t of
    INTEG => ( $\lambda$  BoolVal b => IntVal (bool-to-int b)
    | RealVal r => IntVal (floor r))
    | REAL => ( $\lambda$  BoolVal b => RealVal (bool-to-real b)
    | IntVal i => RealVal (real-of-int i)))
| op-sem Inverse = lift-RealVal inverse
| op-sem Fact = lift-IntVal ( $\lambda$ i:int. fact (nat i))
| op-sem Sqrt = lift-RealVal safe-sqrt
| op-sem Exp = lift-RealVal exp
| op-sem Ln = lift-RealVal safe-ln
| op-sem Pi = ( $\lambda$ . RealVal pi)
| op-sem Pow = ( $\lambda$  <|RealVal x, IntVal n|> => if n < 0 then RealVal 0 else RealVal
(x ^ nat n)
    | <|IntVal x, IntVal n|> => if n < 0 then IntVal 0 else IntVal (x ^
    nat n))
| op-sem Fst = fst o extract-pair
| op-sem Snd = snd o extract-pair

```

The semantics of expressions. Assumes that the expression given is well-typed.

```

primrec expr-sem :: state => expr => val measure where
  expr-sem  $\sigma$  (Var x) = return-val ( $\sigma$  x)
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  (Val v) = return-val v
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  (LET e1 IN e2) =
    do {
      v  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  e1;
      expr-sem (v .  $\sigma$ ) e2
    }
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  (oper $$ e) =
    do {
      x  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  e;
      return-val (op-sem oper x)
    }
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  <v, w> =
    do {
      x  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  v;
      y  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  w;
      return-val <|x, y|>
    }
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  (IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =
    do {
      b'  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  b;
      if b' = TRUE then expr-sem  $\sigma$  e1 else expr-sem  $\sigma$  e2
    }
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  (Random dst e) =
    do {
      x  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  e;
      dist-measure dst x
    }

```

```

| expr-sem  $\sigma$  (Fail  $t$ ) = null-measure (stock-measure  $t$ )

lemma expr-sem-pair-vars: expr-sem  $\sigma$  < $\text{Var } x, \text{Var } y$ > = return-val < $|\sigma x, \sigma y|$ >
  by (simp add: return-val-def bind-return[where  $N=PRODUCT (\text{val-type } (\sigma x)) (\text{val-type } (\sigma y))$ ]
    cong: bind-cong-simp)

Well-typed expressions produce a result in the measure space that corresponds to their type

lemma op-sem-val-type:
  op-type oper (val-type  $v$ ) = Some  $t' \Rightarrow \text{val-type } (\text{op-sem oper } v) = t'$ 
  by (cases oper) (auto split: val.split if-split-asm pdf-type.split-asm
    simp: lift-RealIntVal-def lift-Comp-def
    lift-IntVal-def lift-RealVal-def lift-RealIntVal2-def
    elim!: PROD-E INTEG-E REAL-E)

lemma sets-expr-sem:
   $\Gamma \vdash w : t \Rightarrow (\forall x \in \text{free-vars } w. \text{val-type } (\sigma x) = \Gamma x) \Rightarrow$ 
  sets (expr-sem  $\sigma$   $w$ ) = sets (stock-measure  $t$ )
  proof (induction arbitrary:  $\sigma$  rule: expr-typing.induct)
    case (et-var  $\Gamma x \sigma$ )
      thus ?case by (simp add: return-val-def)
    next
      case (et-val  $\Gamma v \sigma$ )
      thus ?case by (simp add: return-val-def)
    next
      case (et-let  $\Gamma e1 t1 e2 t2 \sigma$ )
      hence sets (expr-sem  $\sigma e1$ ) = sets (stock-measure  $t1$ ) by simp
      from sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF this]
        have A: space (expr-sem  $\sigma e1$ ) = type-universe  $t1$  by (simp add:)
        hence B: (SOME  $x. x \in \text{space } (\text{expr-sem } \sigma e1)$ )  $\in \text{space } (\text{expr-sem } \sigma e1)$  (is ? $v \in \cdot$ )
          unfolding some-in-eq by simp
          with A et-let have sets (expr-sem (case-nat ? $v \sigma$ )  $e2$ ) = sets (stock-measure  $t2$ )
            by (intro et-let.IH(2)) (auto split: nat.split)
          with B show sets (expr-sem  $\sigma$  (LetVar  $e1 e2$ )) = sets (stock-measure  $t2$ )
            by (subst expr-sem.simps, subst bind-nonempty) auto
    next
      case (et-op  $\Gamma e t \text{oper } t' \sigma$ )
      from et-op.IH[of  $\sigma$ ] and et-op.prems
        have [simp]: sets (expr-sem  $\sigma e$ ) = sets (stock-measure  $t$ ) by simp
        from sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF this]
          have [simp]: space (expr-sem  $\sigma e$ ) = type-universe  $t$  by (simp add:)
          have (SOME  $x. x \in \text{space } (\text{expr-sem } \sigma e)$ )  $\in \text{space } (\text{expr-sem } \sigma e)$ 
            unfolding some-in-eq by simp
            with et-op show ?case by (simp add: bind-nonempty return-val-def op-sem-val-type)
    next
      case (et-pair  $\Gamma e1 t1 e2 t2 \sigma$ )

```

```

hence [simp]:  $\text{space}(\text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e1) = \text{type-universe } t1$   

 $\text{space}(\text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e2) = \text{type-universe } t2$   

by (simp-all add: sets-eq-imp-space-eq)  

have ( $\text{SOME } x. x \in \text{space}(\text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e1)$ )  $\in \text{space}(\text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e1)$   

 $(\text{SOME } x. x \in \text{space}(\text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e2)) \in \text{space}(\text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e2)$   

unfolding some-in-eq by simp-all  

with et-pair.hyps show ?case by (simp add: bind-nonempty return-val-def)
next  

case (et-rand  $\Gamma \ e \ dst \ \sigma$ )  

from et-rand.IH[of  $\sigma$ ] et-rand.prems  

have sets (expr-sem  $\sigma \ e$ ) = sets (stock-measure (dist-param-type dst)) by simp  

from this sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF this]  

show ?case  

apply simp-all  

apply (subst sets-bind)  

apply auto  

done  

next  

case (et-if  $\Gamma \ b \ e1 \ t \ e2 \ \sigma$ )  

have sets (expr-sem  $\sigma \ b$ ) = sets (stock-measure BOOL)  

using et-if.prems by (intro et-if.IH) simp  

from sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF this]  

have space (expr-sem  $\sigma \ b$ )  $\neq \{\}$  by simp  

moreover have sets (expr-sem  $\sigma \ e1$ ) = sets (stock-measure  $t$ )  

 $sets(\text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e2) = sets(\text{stock-measure } t)$   

using et-if.prems by (intro et-if.IH, simp)+  

ultimately show ?case by (simp add: bind-nonempty)  

qed simp-all

lemma space-expr-sem:  

 $\Gamma \vdash w : t \implies (\forall x \in \text{free-vars } w. \text{val-type } (\sigma \ x) = \Gamma \ x)$   

 $\implies \text{space}(\text{expr-sem } \sigma \ w) = \text{type-universe } t$   

by (subst space-stock-measure[symmetric]) (intro sets-expr-sem sets-eq-imp-space-eq)

lemma measurable-expr-sem-eq:  

 $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \ \Gamma) \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V \implies$   

 $\text{measurable}(\text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e) = \text{measurable}(\text{stock-measure } t)$   

by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets sets-expr-sem)  

(auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM dest: PiE-mem)

lemma measurable-expr-semI:  

 $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \ \Gamma) \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V \implies$   

 $f \in \text{measurable}(\text{stock-measure } t) \ M \implies f \in \text{measurable}(\text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e) \ M$   

by (subst measurable-expr-sem-eq)

lemma expr-sem-eq-on-vars:  

 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \sigma_1 \ x = \sigma_2 \ x) \implies \text{expr-sem } \sigma_1 \ e = \text{expr-sem } \sigma_2 \ e$   

proof (induction e arbitrary:  $\sigma_1 \ \sigma_2$ )  

case (LetVar  $e1 \ e2 \ \sigma_1 \ \sigma_2$ )

```

```

from LetVar.prem have A: expr-sem  $\sigma_1 e_1 = \text{expr-sem } \sigma_2 e_1$  by (rule
LetVar.IH(1)) simp-all
from LetVar.prem show ?case
by (subst (1 2) expr-sem.simps, subst A)
(auto intro!: bind-cong LetVar.IH(2) split: nat.split)
next
case (Operator oper e  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ )
from Operator.IH[OF Operator.prem] show ?case by simp
next
case (Pair e1 e2  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ )
from Pair.prem have expr-sem  $\sigma_1 e_1 = \text{expr-sem } \sigma_2 e_1$  by (intro Pair.IH)
auto
moreover from Pair.prem have expr-sem  $\sigma_1 e_2 = \text{expr-sem } \sigma_2 e_2$  by (intro
Pair.IH) auto
ultimately show ?case by simp
next
case (Random dst e  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ )
from Random.prem have A: expr-sem  $\sigma_1 e = \text{expr-sem } \sigma_2 e$  by (rule Random.IH)
simp-all
show ?case
by (subst (1 2) expr-sem.simps, subst A) (auto intro!: bind-cong)
next
case (IfThenElse b e1 e2  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ )
have A: expr-sem  $\sigma_1 b = \text{expr-sem } \sigma_2 b$ 
expr-sem  $\sigma_1 e_1 = \text{expr-sem } \sigma_2 e_1$ 
expr-sem  $\sigma_1 e_2 = \text{expr-sem } \sigma_2 e_2$ 
using IfThenElse.prem by (intro IfThenElse.IH, simp)+
thus ?case by (simp only: expr-sem.simps A)
qed simp-all

```

## 5.5 Measurability

```

lemma borel-measurable-eq[measurable (raw)]:
assumes [measurable]:  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } M$   $g \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
shows Measurable.pred M ( $\lambda x. f x = (g x :: \text{real})$ )
proof -
have *:  $(\lambda x. f x = g x) = (\lambda x. f x - g x = 0)$ 
by simp
show ?thesis
unfolding * by measurable
qed

lemma measurable>equals:
 $(\lambda(x,y). x = y) \in \text{measurable} (\text{stock-measure } t \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t)$  (count-space
UNIV)
proof (induction t)
case REAL
let ?f =  $\lambda x. \text{extract-real} (\text{fst } x) = \text{extract-real} (\text{snd } x)$ 
show ?case

```

```

proof (subst measurable-cong)
  fix x assume x ∈ space (stock-measure REAL  $\otimes_M$  stock-measure REAL)
  thus ( $\lambda(x,y).$  x = y) x = ?f x
    by (auto simp: space-pair-measure elim!: REAL-E)
next
  show ?f ∈ measurable (stock-measure REAL  $\otimes_M$  stock-measure REAL)
(count-space UNIV)
  by measurable
qed
next
  case (PRODUCT t1 t2)
  let ?g =  $\lambda(x,y).$  x = y
  let ?f =  $\lambda x.$  ?g (fst (extract-pair (fst x)), fst (extract-pair (snd x)))  $\wedge$ 
    ?g (snd (extract-pair (fst x)), snd (extract-pair (snd x)))
  show ?case
  proof (subst measurable-cong)
    fix x assume x ∈ space (stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2)  $\otimes_M$  stock-measure
(PRODUCT t1 t2))
    thus ( $\lambda(x,y).$  x = y) x = ?f x
      apply (auto simp: space-pair-measure)
      apply (elim PROD-E)
      apply simp
      done
next
  note PRODUCT[measurable]
  show Measurable.pred (stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2)  $\otimes_M$  stock-measure
(PRODUCT t1 t2)) ?f
  by measurable
qed
qed (simp-all add: pair-measure-countable stock-measure.simps)

lemma measurable-equals-stock-measure[measurable (raw)]:
  assumes f ∈ measurable M (stock-measure t) g ∈ measurable M (stock-measure
t)
  shows Measurable.pred M ( $\lambda x.$  f x = g x)
  using measurable-compose[OF measurable-Pair[OF assms] measurable-equals] by
simp

lemma measurable-op-sem:
  assumes op-type oper t = Some t'
  shows op-sem oper ∈ measurable (stock-measure t) (stock-measure t')
proof (cases oper)
  case Fst with assms show ?thesis by (simp split: pdf-type.split-asm)
next
  case Snd with assms show ?thesis by (simp split: pdf-type.split-asm)
next
  case Equals with assms show ?thesis
    by (auto intro!: val-case-stock-measurable split: if-split-asm)
next

```

```

case Pow with assms show ?thesis
  apply (auto intro!: val-case-stock-measurable split: pdf-type.splits)
  apply (subst measurable-cong[where
    g=λ(x, n). if extract-int n < 0 then RealVal 0 else RealVal (extract-real x ^ nat (extract-int n))])
  apply (auto simp: space-pair-measure elim!: REAL-E INTEG-E)
  done
next
case Less with assms show ?thesis
  by (auto split: pdf-type.splits)
qed (insert assms, auto split: pdf-type.split-asm intro!: val-case-stock-measurable)

definition shift-var-set :: vname set ⇒ vname set where
  shift-var-set V = insert 0 (Suc ` V)

lemma shift-var-set-0[simp]: 0 ∈ shift-var-set V
  by (simp add: shift-var-set-def)

lemma shift-var-set-Suc[simp]: Suc x ∈ shift-var-set V ↔ x ∈ V
  by (auto simp add: shift-var-set-def)

lemma case-nat-update-0[simp]: (case-nat x σ)(0 := y) = case-nat y σ
  by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split)

lemma case-nat-delete-var-1[simp]:
  case-nat x (case-nat y σ) ∘ case-nat 0 (λx. Suc (Suc x)) = case-nat x σ
  by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split)

lemma delete-var-1-vimage[simp]:
  case-nat 0 (λx. Suc (Suc x)) -` (shift-var-set (shift-var-set V)) = shift-var-set V
  by (auto simp: shift-var-set-def split: nat.split-asm)

lemma measurable-case-nat[measurable]:
  assumes g ∈ measurable R N h ∈ measurable R (Pi_M V M)
  shows (λx. case-nat (g x) (h x)) ∈ measurable R (Pi_M (shift-var-set V) (case-nat N M))
proof (rule measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF - assms])
  have (λ(t,f). λx∈shift-var-set V. case-nat t f x)
    ∈ measurable (N ⊗_M Pi_M V M) (Pi_M (shift-var-set V) (case-nat N M))
(is ?P)
  unfolding shift-var-set-def
  by (subst measurable-split-conv, rule measurable-restrict) (auto split: nat.split-asm)
  also have λx f. f ∈ space (Pi_M V M) ⇒ x ∉ V ⇒ undefined = f x
    by (rule sym, subst (asm) space-Pi_M, erule PiE-arb)
  hence ?P ↔ (λ(t,f). case-nat t f)
    ∈ measurable (N ⊗_M Pi_M V M) (Pi_M (shift-var-set V) (case-nat N M))
(is - = ?P)

```

```

by (intro measurable-cong ext)
  (auto split: nat.split simp: inj-image-mem-iff space-pair-measure shift-var-set-def)
finally show ?P .
qed

lemma measurable-case-nat'[measurable]:
assumes g ∈ measurable R (stock-measure t) h ∈ measurable R (state-measure V Γ)
shows (λx. case-nat (g x) (h x)) ∈
  measurable R (state-measure (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t Γ))
proof-
have A: (λx. stock-measure (case-nat t Γ x)) =
  case-nat (stock-measure t) (λx. stock-measure (Γ x))
by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split)
show ?thesis using assms unfolding state-measure-def by (simp add: A)
qed

lemma case-nat-in-state-measure[intro]:
assumes x ∈ type-universe t1 σ ∈ space (state-measure V Γ)
shows case-nat x σ ∈ space (state-measure (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t1 Γ))
apply (rule measurable-space[OF measurable-case-nat'])
apply (rule measurable-ident-sets[OF refl], rule measurable-const[OF assms(2)])
using assms
apply simp
done

lemma subset-shift-var-set:
  Suc -` A ⊆ V  $\implies$  A ⊆ shift-var-set V
by (rule subsetI, rename-tac x, case-tac x) (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)

lemma measurable-expr-sem[measurable]:
assumes Γ ⊢ e : t and free-vars e ⊆ V
shows (λσ. expr-sem σ e) ∈ measurable (state-measure V Γ)
  (subprob-algebra (stock-measure t))
using assms
proof (induction arbitrary: V rule: expr-typing.induct)
case (et-var Γ x)
have A: (λσ. expr-sem σ (Var x)) = return-val ∘ (λσ. σ x) by (simp add: o-def)
with et-var show ?case unfolding state-measure-def
  by (subst A) (rule measurable-comp[OF measurable-component-singleton], simp-all)
next
case (et-val Γ v)
thus ?case by (auto intro!: measurable-const subprob-space-return
  simp: space-subprob-algebra return-val-def)
next
case (et-let Γ e1 t1 e2 t2 V)
have A: (λv. stock-measure (case-nat t1 Γ v)) =
  case-nat (stock-measure t1) (λv. stock-measure (Γ v))
by (rule ext) (simp split: nat.split)

```

```

from et-let.preds and et-let.hyps show ?case
  apply (subst expr-sem.simps, intro measurable-bind)
  apply (rule et-let.IH(1), simp)
  apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - et-let.IH(2)[of shift-var-set V]])
  apply (simp-all add: subset-shift-var-set)
  done
next
  case (et-op Γ e t oper t')
    thus ?case by (auto intro!: measurable-bind measurable-compose[OF - measurable-return-val]
                  measurable-op-sem cong: measurable-cong)
next
  case (et-pair t t1 t2 Γ e1 e2)
    have inj (λ(a,b). <|a, b|>) by (auto intro: injI)
    with et-pair show ?case
      apply (subst expr-sem.simps)
      apply (rule measurable-bind, (auto []))
      apply (rule measurable-bind[OF measurable-compose[OF measurable-fst]], (auto [])[])
      apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-return-val], simp)
      done
next
  case (et-rand Γ e dst V)
  from et-rand.preds and et-rand.hyps show ?case
    by (auto intro!: et-rand.IH measurable-compose[OF measurable-snd]
          measurable-bind measurable-dist-measure)
next
  case (et-if Γ b e1 t e2 V)
    let ?M = λe t. (λσ. expr-sem σ e) ∈
      measurable (state-measure V Γ) (subprob-algebra (stock-measure t))
    from et-if.preds have A[measurable]: ?M b BOOL ?M e1 t ?M e2 t by (intro et-if.IH, simp)+
    show ?case by (subst expr-sem.simps, rule measurable-bind[OF A(1)]) simp-all
next
  case (et-fail Γ t V)
  show ?case
    by (auto intro!: measurable-subprob-algebra subprob-spaceI simp:)
qed

```

## 5.6 Randomfree expressions

```

fun randomfree :: expr ⇒ bool where
  randomfree (Val _) = True
  | randomfree (Var _) = True
  | randomfree (Pair e1 e2) = (randomfree e1 ∧ randomfree e2)
  | randomfree (Operator - e) = randomfree e
  | randomfree (LetVar e1 e2) = (randomfree e1 ∧ randomfree e2)
  | randomfree (IfThenElse b e1 e2) = (randomfree b ∧ randomfree e1 ∧ randomfree e2)

```

```

e2)
| randomfree (Random - -) = False
| randomfree (Fail -) = False

primrec expr-sem-rf :: state  $\Rightarrow$  expr  $\Rightarrow$  val where
  expr-sem-rf - (Val v) = v
  | expr-sem-rf σ (Var x) = σ x
  | expr-sem-rf σ (<e1, e2>) = <|expr-sem-rf σ e1, expr-sem-rf σ e2|>
  | expr-sem-rf σ (Operator oper e) = op-sem oper (expr-sem-rf σ e)
  | expr-sem-rf σ (LetVar e1 e2) = expr-sem-rf (expr-sem-rf σ e1 · σ) e2
  | expr-sem-rf σ (IfThenElse b e1 e2) =
    (if expr-sem-rf σ b = BoolVal True then expr-sem-rf σ e1 else expr-sem-rf σ e2)
  | expr-sem-rf - (Random - -) = undefined
  | expr-sem-rf - (Fail -) = undefined

```

**lemma** *measurable-expr-sem-rf*[*measurable*]:  
 $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \text{randomfree } e \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V \implies$   
 $(\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e) \in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) (\text{stock-measure } t)$

**proof** (*induction arbitrary*: *V rule*: *expr-typing.induct*)

**case** (*et-val*  $\Gamma$  *v* *V*)

**thus** ?*case by* (*auto intro!*: *measurable-const simp*:)

**next**

**case** (*et-var*  $\Gamma$  *x* *V*)

**thus** ?*case by* (*auto simp*: *state-measure-def intro!*: *measurable-component-singleton*)

**next**

**case** (*et-pair*  $\Gamma$  *e1 t1 e2 t2 V*)

**have** *inj*  $(\lambda(x,y). \langle |x|, |y| \rangle)$  **by** (*auto intro*: *injI*)

**with** *et-pair show* ?*case by* *simp*

**next**

**case** (*et-op*  $\Gamma$  *e t oper t' V*)

**thus** ?*case by* (*auto intro!*: *measurable-compose*[*OF - measurable-op-sem*])

**next**

**case** (*et-let*  $\Gamma$  *e1 t1 e2 t2 V*)

**hence** *M1*:  $(\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e1) \in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) (\text{stock-measure } t1)$

**and** *M2*:  $(\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e2) \in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t1 \Gamma))$

(*stock-measure t2*)

**using** *subset-shift-var-set*

**by** (*auto intro!*: *et-let.IH(1)[of V]* *et-let.IH(2)[of shift-var-set V]*)

**have**  $(\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma (\text{LetVar } e1 e2)) =$

$(\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e2) \circ (\lambda(\sigma,y). \text{case-nat } y \sigma) \circ (\lambda\sigma. (\sigma, \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e1))$  (**is** - = ?*f*)

**by** (*intro ext*) *simp*

**also have** ?*f*  $\in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) (\text{stock-measure } t2)$

**apply** (*intro measurable-comp, rule measurable-Pair, rule measurable-ident-sets[**OF refl**]*)

```

apply (rule M1, subst measurable-split-conv, rule measurable-case-nat')
apply (rule measurable-snd, rule measurable-fst, rule M2)
done
finally show ?case .
qed (simp-all add: expr-sem-rf-def)

lemma expr-sem-rf-sound:
 $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \text{randomfree } e \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V \implies \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V)$ 
 $\Gamma \implies$ 
  return-val (expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e) = expr-sem  $\sigma$  e
proof (induction arbitrary: V  $\sigma$  rule: expr-typing.induct)
  case (et-val  $\Gamma$  v)
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (et-var  $\Gamma$  x)
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (et-pair  $\Gamma$  e1 t1 e2 t2 V  $\sigma$ )
  let ?M = state-measure V  $\Gamma$ 
  from et-pair.hyps and et-pair.prems
    have e1: return-val (expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e1) = expr-sem  $\sigma$  e1 and
      e2: return-val (expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e2) = expr-sem  $\sigma$  e2
    by (auto intro!: et-pair.IH[of V])

  from e1 and et-pair.prems have space (return-val (expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e1)) = type-universe t1
  by (subst e1, subst space-expr-sem[OF et-pair.hyps(1)])
    (auto dest: state-measure-var-type)
  hence A: val-type (expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e1) = t1 expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e1  $\in$  type-universe t1
    by (auto simp add: return-val-def)
  from e2 and et-pair.prems have space (return-val (expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e2)) = type-universe t2
  by (subst e2, subst space-expr-sem[OF et-pair.hyps(2)])
    (auto dest: state-measure-var-type)
  hence B: val-type (expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e2) = t2 expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e2  $\in$  type-universe t2
  by (auto simp add: return-val-def)

  have expr-sem  $\sigma$  ( $e1, e2$ ) = expr-sem  $\sigma$  e1  $\gg$ 
    (λv. expr-sem  $\sigma$  e2  $\gg$  (λw. return-val ( $<|v,w|>$ ))) by simp
  also have expr-sem  $\sigma$  e1 = return (stock-measure t1) (expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e1)
    using e1 by (simp add: et-pair.prems return-val-def A)
  also have ...  $\gg$  (λv. expr-sem  $\sigma$  e2  $\gg$  (λw. return-val ( $<|v,w|>$ ))) =
    ...  $\gg$  (λv. return-val ( $<|v, expr-sem-rf \sigma e2|>$ ))
  proof (intro bind-cong refl)
    fix v assume v  $\in$  space (return (stock-measure t1) (expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e1))
    hence v: val-type v = t1 v  $\in$  type-universe t1 by (simp-all add:)
    have expr-sem  $\sigma$  e2  $\gg$  (λw. return-val ( $<|v,w|>$ )) =
      return (stock-measure t2) (expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e2)  $\gg$  (λw. return-val
      ( $<|v,w|>$ ))
  
```

```

using e2 by (simp add: et-pair.prems return-val-def B)
also have ... = return (stock-measure t2) (expr-sem-rf σ e2) ≈≈
  (λw. return (stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2)) (<|v,w|>))
proof (intro bind-cong refl)
  fix w assume w ∈ space (return (stock-measure t2) (expr-sem-rf σ e2))
  hence w: val-type w = t2 by (simp add:)
    thus return-val (<|v,w|>) = return (stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2))
  (<|v,w|>)
    by (auto simp: return-val-def v w)
  qed
  also have ... = return-val (<|v, expr-sem-rf σ e2|>)
    using v B
    by (subst bind-return[where N=PRODUCT t1 t2]) (auto simp: return-val-def)
  finally show expr-sem σ e2 ≈≈ (λw. return-val (<|v,w|>)) = return-val (<|v,
  expr-sem-rf σ e2|>).
  qed
  also have (λv. <|v, expr-sem-rf σ e2|>) ∈ measurable (stock-measure t1) (stock-measure
  (PRODUCT t1 t2))
    using B by (auto intro!: injI)
  hence return (stock-measure t1) (expr-sem-rf σ e1) ≈≈ (λv. return-val (<|v,
  expr-sem-rf σ e2|>)) =
    return-val (<|expr-sem-rf σ e1, expr-sem-rf σ e2|>)
    by (subst bind-return, rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-return-val])
      (auto simp: A)
  finally show return-val (expr-sem-rf σ (<e1,e2>)) = expr-sem σ (<e1, e2>)
by simp
next
  case (et-if Γ b e1 t e2 V σ)
  let ?P = λe. expr-sem σ e = return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e)
  from et-if.prems have A: ?P b ?P e1 ?P e2 by ((intro et-if.IH[symmetric],
  simp-all] [])+
  from et-if.prems and et-if.hyps have space (expr-sem σ b) = type-universe
  BOOL
    by (intro space-expr-sem) (auto simp: state-measure-var-type)
  hence [simp]: val-type (expr-sem-rf σ b) = BOOL by (simp add: A return-val-def)
  have B: return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e1) ∈ space (subprob-algebra (stock-measure
  t))
    return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e2) ∈ space (subprob-algebra (stock-measure t))
  using et-if.hyps and et-if.prems
  by ((subst A[symmetric], intro measurable-space[OF measurable-expr-sem], auto)
  [])+
  thus ?case
    by (auto simp: A bind-return-val'[where M=t])
next
  case (et-op Γ e t oper t' V)
  let ?M = PiM V (λx. stock-measure (Γ x))
  from et-op.prems have e: return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e) = expr-sem σ e
    by (intro et-op.IH[of V]) auto

```

```

with et-op.prems have space (return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e)) = type-universe t
  by (subst e, subst space-expr-sem[OF et-op.hyps(1)])
    (auto dest: state-measure-var-type)
hence A: val-type (expr-sem-rf σ e) = t expr-sem-rf σ e ∈ type-universe t
  by (auto simp add: return-val-def)
from et-op.prems e
  have expr-sem σ (Operator oper e) =
    return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e) ≈ (λv. return-val (op-sem oper v)) by
simp
also have ... = return-val (op-sem oper (expr-sem-rf σ e))
  by (subst return-val-def, rule bind-return,
    rule measurable-compose[OF measurable-op-sem measurable-return-val])
    (auto simp: A et-op.hyps)
finally show return-val (expr-sem-rf σ (Operator oper e)) = expr-sem σ (Operator
oper e) by simp
next
  case (et-let Γ e1 t1 e2 t2 V)
  let ?M = state-measure V Γ and ?N = state-measure (shift-var-set V) (case-nat
t1 Γ)
  let ?σ' = case-nat (expr-sem-rf σ e1) σ
  from et-let.prems have e1: return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e1) = expr-sem σ e1
    by (auto intro!: et-let.IH(1)[of V])
  from et-let.prems have S: space (return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e1)) = type-universe
t1
    by (subst e1, subst space-expr-sem[OF et-let.hyps(1)])
      (auto dest: state-measure-var-type)
hence A: val-type (expr-sem-rf σ e1) = t1 expr-sem-rf σ e1 ∈ type-universe t1
  by (auto simp add: return-val-def)
with et-let.prems have e2: ∃σ. σ ∈ space ?N ⇒ return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e2)
= expr-sem σ e2
  using subset-shift-var-set
  by (intro et-let.IH(2)[of shift-var-set V]) (auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)

from et-let.prems have expr-sem σ (LetVar e1 e2) =
  return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e1) ≈ (λv. expr-sem (case-nat
v σ) e2)
  by (simp add: e1)
also from et-let.prems
  have ... = return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e1) ≈ (λv. return-val (expr-sem-rf
(case-nat v σ) e2))
  by (intro bind-cong refl, subst e2) (auto simp: S)
also from et-let have Me2[measurable]: (∃σ. expr-sem-rf σ e2) ∈ measurable
?N (stock-measure t2)
  using subset-shift-var-set by (intro measurable-expr-sem-rf) auto
have (∃(σ,y). case-nat y σ) ∘ (∃y. (σ, y)) ∈ measurable (stock-measure t1) ?N
  using ⟨σ ∈ space ?M, by simp
have return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e1) ≈ (λv. return-val (expr-sem-rf (case-nat
v σ) e2)) =
  return-val (expr-sem-rf ?σ' e2) using ⟨σ ∈ space ?M⟩

```

```

by (subst return-val-def, intro bind-return, subst A)
  (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-return-val[of t2]], simp-all)
finally show ?case by simp
qed simp-all

lemma val-type-expr-sem-rf:
assumes "Γ ⊢ e : t randomfree e free-vars e ⊆ V σ ∈ space (state-measure V Γ)"
shows "val-type (expr-sem-rf σ e) = t"
proof -
  have type-universe ("val-type (expr-sem-rf σ e)) = space (return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e))"
    by (simp add: return-val-def)
  also from assms have "return-val (expr-sem-rf σ e) = expr-sem σ e"
    by (intro expr-sem-rf-sound) auto
  also from assms have "space ... = type-universe t"
    by (intro space-expr-sem[of Γ])
    (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM dest: PiE-mem)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma expr-sem-rf-eq-on-vars:
  ( $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \sigma_1 x = \sigma_2 x$ )  $\implies$  "expr-sem-rf σ1 e = expr-sem-rf σ2 e"
proof (induction e arbitrary: σ1 σ2)
  case (Operator oper e σ1 σ2)
    hence "expr-sem-rf σ1 e = expr-sem-rf σ2 e" by (intro Operator.IH) auto
    thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (LetVar e1 e2 σ1 σ2)
      hence A: "expr-sem-rf σ1 e1 = expr-sem-rf σ2 e1" by (intro LetVar.IH) auto
      {
        fix y assume "y ∈ free-vars e2"
        hence "case-nat (expr-sem-rf σ1 e1) σ1 y = case-nat (expr-sem-rf σ2 e1) σ2 y"
          using LetVar(3) by (auto simp add: A split: nat.split)
      }
      hence "expr-sem-rf (case-nat (expr-sem-rf σ1 e1) σ1) e2 = expr-sem-rf (case-nat (expr-sem-rf σ2 e1) σ2) e2" by (intro LetVar.IH)
      simp
      thus ?case by simp
    next
      case (Pair e1 e2 σ1 σ2)
        have "expr-sem-rf σ1 e1 = expr-sem-rf σ2 e1" "expr-sem-rf σ1 e2 = expr-sem-rf σ2 e2"
          by (intro Pair.IH, simp add: Pair)+
        thus ?case by simp
    next
      case (IfThenElse b e1 e2 σ1 σ2)
        have "expr-sem-rf σ1 b = expr-sem-rf σ2 b" "expr-sem-rf σ1 e1 = expr-sem-rf σ2 e1"
          "expr-sem-rf σ1 e2 = expr-sem-rf σ2 e2" by (intro IfThenElse.IH, simp add:

```

```

IfThenElse)+  

  thus ?case by simp  

next  

  case (Random dst e σ1 σ2)  

    have expr-sem-rf σ1 e = expr-sem-rf σ2 e by (intro Random.IH) (simp add:  

      Random)  

    thus ?case by simp  

qed auto  

end

```

## 6 Density Contexts

```

theory PDF-Density-Contexts
imports PDF-Semantics
begin

lemma measurable-proj-state-measure[measurable (raw)]:
  i ∈ V ⟹ (λx. x i) ∈ measurable (state-measure V Γ) (Γ i)
  unfolding state-measure-def by measurable

lemma measurable-dens-ctxt-fun-upd[measurable (raw)]:
  f ∈ N →M state-measure V' Γ ⟹ V = V' ∪ {x} ⟹
  g ∈ N →M stock-measure (Γ x) ⟹
  (λω. (f ω)(x := g ω)) ∈ N →M state-measure V Γ
  unfolding state-measure-def
  by (rule measurable-fun-upd[where J=V']) auto

lemma measurable-case-nat-Suc-PiM:
  (λσ. σ ∘ Suc) ∈ measurable (PiM (Suc ` A) (case-nat M N)) (PiM A N)
proof-
  have (λσ. λx∈A. σ (Suc x)) ∈ measurable
    (PiM (Suc ` A) (case-nat M N)) (PiM A (λx. case-nat M N (Suc x))) (is ?A)
    by measurable
  also have ?A ↔ ?thesis
    by (force intro!: measurable-cong ext simp: state-measure-def space-PiM dest:
      PiE-mem)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma measurable-case-nat-Suc:
  (λσ. σ ∘ Suc) ∈ measurable (state-measure (Suc ` A) (case-nat t Γ)) (state-measure
  A Γ)
proof-
  have (λσ. λx∈A. σ (Suc x)) ∈ measurable
    (state-measure (Suc ` A) (case-nat t Γ)) (state-measure A (λi. case-nat t Γ))

```

```

(Suc i))) (is ?A)
  unfolding state-measure-def by measurable
  also have ?A  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?thesis
    by (force intro!: measurable-cong ext simp: state-measure-def space-PiM dest:
PiE-mem)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

A density context holds a set of variables  $V$ , their types (using  $\Gamma$ ), and a common density function  $\delta$  of the finite product space of all the variables in  $V$ .  $\delta$  takes a state  $\sigma \in (\prod_E x \in V. \text{type-universe}(\Gamma x))$  and returns the common density of these variables.

```

type-synonym dens_ctxt = vname set  $\times$  vname set  $\times$  (vname  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type)  $\times$ 
(state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
type-synonym expr-density = state  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal

```

```

definition empty-dens_ctxt :: dens_ctxt where
empty-dens_ctxt = ({}, {}, λ-. undefined, λ-. 1)

```

```

definition state-measure'
:: vname set  $\Rightarrow$  vname set  $\Rightarrow$  (vname  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type)  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  state measure
where
state-measure'  $V V' \Gamma \varrho =$ 
distr (state-measure  $V \Gamma$ ) (state-measure ( $V \cup V'$ )  $\Gamma$ ) ( $\lambda \sigma. \text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)$ )

```

The marginal density of a variable  $x$  is obtained by integrating the common density  $\delta$  over all the remaining variables.

```

definition marg-dens :: dens_ctxt  $\Rightarrow$  vname  $\Rightarrow$  expr-density where
marg-dens = ( $\lambda(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) x \varrho v. \int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma(x := v), \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure}$ 
( $V - \{x\}$ )  $\Gamma$ )

```

```

definition marg-dens2 :: dens_ctxt  $\Rightarrow$  vname  $\Rightarrow$  vname  $\Rightarrow$  expr-density where
marg-dens2  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) x y \varrho v.$ 
 $\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma(x := fst (\text{extract-pair } v), y := snd (\text{extract-pair } v)), \varrho))$ 
 $\partial \text{state-measure } (V - \{x, y\}) \Gamma$ )

```

```

definition dens_ctxt-measure :: dens_ctxt  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  state measure where
dens_ctxt-measure  $\equiv \lambda(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho. \text{density} (\text{state-measure}' V V' \Gamma \varrho) \delta$ 

```

```

definition branch-prob :: dens_ctxt  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
branch-prob  $\mathcal{Y} \varrho = \text{emeasure} (\text{dens_ctxt-measure } \mathcal{Y} \varrho) (\text{space} (\text{dens_ctxt-measure } \mathcal{Y} \varrho))$ 

```

```

lemma dens_ctxt-measure-nonempty[simp]:
space (dens_ctxt-measure  $\mathcal{Y} \varrho$ )  $\neq \{\}$ 
unfolding dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def by (cases  $\mathcal{Y}$ ) simp

```

```

lemma sets-dens-ctxt-measure-eq[measurable-cong]:
  sets (dens-ctxt-measure (V,V',Γ,δ) ρ) = sets (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
  by (simp-all add: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)

lemma measurable-dens-ctxt-measure-eq:
  measurable (dens-ctxt-measure (V,V',Γ,δ) ρ) = measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
  by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets)
  (simp-all add: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)

lemma space-dens-ctxt-measure:
  space (dens-ctxt-measure (V,V',Γ,δ) ρ) = space (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
  unfolding dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def by simp

definition apply-dist-to-dens :: pdf-dist ⇒ (state ⇒ val ⇒ ennreal) ⇒ (state ⇒ val ⇒ ennreal) where
  apply-dist-to-dens dst f = (λρ y. ∫+x. f ρ x * dist-dens dst x y) stock-measure (dist-param-type dst)

definition remove-var :: state ⇒ state where
  remove-var σ = (λx. σ (Suc x))

lemma measurable-remove-var[measurable]:
  remove-var ∈ measurable (state-measure (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t Γ)) (state-measure V Γ)
  proof-
    have (λσ. λx ∈ V. σ (Suc x)) ∈ measurable
      (state-measure (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t Γ)) (state-measure V (λx. case-nat t Γ (Suc x)))
      (is ?f ∈ ?M)
      unfolding state-measure-def shift-var-set-def by measurable
      also have ∀x f. x ∉ V ⇒ f ∈ space (state-measure (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t Γ)) ⇒
        f (Suc x) = undefined unfolding state-measure-def
        by (subst (asm) space-PiM, drule PiE-arb[of - - - Suc x for x])
        (simp-all add: space-PiM shift-var-set-def inj-image-mem-iff)
      hence ?f ∈ ?M ↔ remove-var ∈ ?M unfolding remove-var-def[abs-def]
      state-measure-def
      by (intro measurable-cong ext) (auto simp: space-PiM intro!: sym[of - undefined])
      finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed

lemma measurable-case-nat-undefined[measurable]:
  case-nat undefined ∈ measurable (state-measure A Γ) (state-measure (Suc'A))
  (case-nat t Γ)) (is - ∈ ?M)
  proof-
    have (λσ. λx ∈ Suc'A. case-nat undefined σ x) ∈ ?M (is ?f ∈ -)
    unfolding state-measure-def by (rule measurable-restrict) auto
    also have ?f ∈ ?M ↔ ?thesis

```

```

by (intro measurable-cong ext)
  (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM dest: PiE-mem split: nat.split)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

definition insert-dens
  :: vname set  $\Rightarrow$  vname set  $\Rightarrow$  expr-density  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
    insert-dens V V' f δ ≡ λσ. δ (remove-var σ) * f (remove-var σ) (σ 0)

definition if-dens :: (state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal) where
  if-dens δ f b ≡ λσ. δ σ * f σ (BoolVal b)

definition if-dens-det :: (state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal) where
  if-dens-det δ e b ≡ λσ. δ σ * (if expr-sem-rf σ e = BoolVal b then 1 else 0)

lemma measurable-if-dens:
  assumes [measurable]: δ ∈ borel-measurable M
  assumes [measurable]: case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (M ⊗M count-space (range BoolVal))
  shows if-dens δ f b ∈ borel-measurable M
  unfolding if-dens-def by measurable

lemma measurable-if-dens-det:
  assumes e: Γ ⊢ e : BOOL randomfree e free-vars e ⊆ V
  assumes [measurable]: δ ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V Γ)
  shows if-dens-det δ e b ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V Γ)
  unfolding if-dens-det-def
  proof (intro borel-measurable-times-ennreal assms measurable-If)
    have {x ∈ space (state-measure V Γ). expr-sem-rf x e = BoolVal b} =
      (λσ. expr-sem-rf σ e) − {BoolVal b} ∩ space (state-measure V Γ) by
    auto
    also have ... ∈ sets (state-measure V Γ)
    by (rule measurable-sets, rule measurable-expr-sem-rf[OF e]) simp-all
    finally show {x ∈ space (state-measure V Γ). expr-sem-rf x e = BoolVal b}
      ∈ sets (state-measure V Γ) .
  qed simp-all

locale density-context =
  fixes V V' Γ δ
  assumes subprob-space-dens:
     $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma) \implies \text{subprob-space} (\text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho)$ 
    and finite-vars[simp]: finite V finite V'
    and measurable-dens[measurable]:
      δ ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
    and disjoint: V ∩ V' = {}

```

```

begin

abbreviation  $\mathcal{Y} \equiv (V, V', \Gamma, \delta)$ 

lemma branch-prob-altdef:
  assumes  $\varrho: \varrho \in space(state-measure V' \Gamma)$ 
  shows branch-prob  $\mathcal{Y} \varrho = \int^+ x. \delta(\text{merge } V V'(x, \varrho)) \partial state-measure V \Gamma$ 
proof-
  have branch-prob  $\mathcal{Y} \varrho =$ 
     $\int^+ x. \delta(\text{merge } V V'(x, \varrho)) * \text{indicator}(\text{space(state-measure}(V \cup V')$ 
 $\Gamma))$ 
     $(\text{merge } V V'(x, \varrho)) \partial state-measure V \Gamma$ 
  using  $\varrho$  unfolding branch-prob-def[abs-def] dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def
  by (simp add: emeasure-density ennreal-mult'' ennreal-indicator nn-integral-distr)
  also from  $\varrho$  have ... =  $\int^+ x. \delta(\text{merge } V V'(x, \varrho)) \partial state-measure V \Gamma$ 
  by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp split: split-indicator add: merge-in-state-measure)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma measurable-branch-prob[measurable]:
  branch-prob  $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{borel-measurable(state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
proof-
  interpret sigma-finite-measure state-measure  $V \Gamma$  by auto
  show ?thesis
  by (simp add: branch-prob-altdef cong: measurable-cong)
qed

lemma measurable-marg-dens':
  assumes [simp]:  $x \in V$ 
  shows case-prod (marg-dens  $\mathcal{Y} x$ )  $\in \text{borel-measurable(state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M stock-measure(\Gamma x))$ 
proof-
  interpret sigma-finite-measure state-measure  $(V - \{x\}) \Gamma$ 
  unfolding state-measure-def
  by (rule product-sigma-finite.sigma-finite, simp-all add: product-sigma-finite-def)
  from assms have  $V = \text{insert } x (V - \{x\})$  by blast
  hence  $A: PiM V = PiM \dots$  by simp
  show ?thesis unfolding marg-dens-def
  by (simp add: insert-absorb)
qed

lemma insert-Diff:  $\text{insert } x (A - B) = \text{insert } x A - (B - \{x\})$ 
by auto

lemma measurable-marg-dens2':
  assumes  $x \in V y \in V$ 
  shows case-prod (marg-dens2  $\mathcal{Y} x y$ )  $\in$ 
    borel-measurable(state-measure  $V' \Gamma \otimes_M stock-measure(PROPERTY(\Gamma x)(\Gamma y)))$ 

```

```

proof-
  interpret sigma-finite-measure state-measure ( $V - \{x, y\}$ )  $\Gamma$ 
    unfolding state-measure-def
    by (rule product-sigma-finite.sigma-finite, simp-all add: product-sigma-finite-def)
    have [measurable]:  $V = \text{insert } x (V - \{x, y\}) \cup \{y\}$ 
      using assms by blast
    show ?thesis unfolding marg-dens2-def
      by simp
  qed

lemma measurable-marg-dens:
  assumes  $x \in V$   $\varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
  shows marg-dens  $\mathcal{Y} x \varrho \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x))$ 
  using assms by (intro measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF measurable-marg-dens])
  simp-all

lemma measurable-marg-dens2:
  assumes  $x \in V$   $y \in V$   $x \neq y$   $\varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
  shows marg-dens2  $\mathcal{Y} x y \varrho \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{stock-measure}(\text{PRODUCT}(\Gamma x)(\Gamma y)))$ 
  using assms by (intro measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF measurable-marg-dens2])
  simp-all

lemma measurable-state-measure-component:
   $x \in V \implies (\lambda\sigma. \sigma x) \in \text{measurable}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) (\text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x))$ 
  unfolding state-measure-def
  by (auto intro!: measurable-component-singleton)

lemma measurable-dens-ctxt-measure-component:
   $x \in V \implies (\lambda\sigma. \sigma x) \in \text{measurable}(\text{dens-ctxt-measure}(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho) (\text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x))$ 
  unfolding dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def
  by (auto intro!: measurable-component-singleton)

lemma space-dens-ctxt-measure-dens-ctxt-measure':
  assumes  $x \in V$ 
  shows space (state-measure  $V \Gamma$ ) =
     $\{\sigma(x := y) \mid \sigma y. \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(V - \{x\}) \Gamma) \wedge y \in \text{type-universe}(\Gamma x)\}$ 
proof-
  from assms have  $\text{insert } x (V - \{x\}) = V$  by auto
  hence state-measure  $V \Gamma = \text{Pi}_M(\text{insert } x (V - \{x\})) (\lambda y. \text{stock-measure}(\Gamma y))$ 
    unfolding state-measure-def by simp
  also have space ... =  $\{\sigma(x := y) \mid \sigma y. \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(V - \{x\}) \Gamma) \wedge y \in \text{type-universe}(\Gamma x)\}$ 
    unfolding state-measure-def space-PiM PiE-insert-eq
    by (simp add: image-def Bex-def) blast
  finally show ?thesis .
  qed

```

```

lemma state-measure-integral-split:
  assumes  $x \in A$  finite  $A$ 
  assumes  $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{state-measure } A \Gamma)$ 
  shows  $(\int^+ \sigma. f \sigma \partial\text{state-measure } A \Gamma) =$ 
     $(\int^+ y. \int^+ \sigma. f (\sigma(x := y)) \partial\text{state-measure } (A - \{x\}) \Gamma \partial\text{stock-measure}$ 
 $(\Gamma x))$ 
proof-
  interpret product-sigma-finite  $\lambda y. \text{stock-measure}(\Gamma y)$ 
  unfolding product-sigma-finite-def by auto
  from assms have [simp]:  $\text{insert } x A = A$  by auto
  have  $(\int^+ \sigma. f \sigma \partial\text{state-measure } A \Gamma) = (\int^+ \sigma. f \sigma \partial\Pi_M v \in \text{insert } x (A - \{x\}).$ 
 $\text{stock-measure}(\Gamma v))$ 
  unfolding state-measure-def by simp
  also have ...  $= \int^+ y. \int^+ \sigma. f (\sigma(x := y)) \partial\text{state-measure } (A - \{x\}) \Gamma \partial\text{stock-measure}$ 
 $(\Gamma x)$ 
  using assms unfolding state-measure-def
  by (subst product-nn-integral-insert-rev) simp-all
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma fun-upd-in-state-measure:
   $[\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } A \Gamma); y \in \text{space}(\text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x))] \Rightarrow$ 
   $\sigma(x := y) \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } (\text{insert } x A) \Gamma)$ 
  unfolding state-measure-def by (auto simp: space-PiM split: if-split-asm)

lemma marg-dens-integral:
  fixes  $X :: \text{val set}$  assumes  $x \in V$  and [measurable]:  $X \in \text{sets}(\text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x))$ 
  assumes  $\varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
  defines  $X' \equiv (\lambda \sigma. \sigma x) -` X \cap \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$ 
  shows  $(\int^+ y. \text{marg-dens } \mathcal{Y} x \varrho y * \text{indicator } X y \partial\text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x)) =$ 
     $(\int^+ \sigma. \delta(\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) * \text{indicator } X' \sigma \partial\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$ 
proof-
  from assms have [simp]:  $\text{insert } x V = V$  by auto
  interpret product-sigma-finite  $\lambda y. \text{stock-measure}(\Gamma y)$ 
  unfolding product-sigma-finite-def by auto
  have  $(\int^+ \sigma. \delta(\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) * \text{indicator } X' \sigma \partial\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) =$ 
     $\int^+ y. \int^+ \sigma. \delta(\text{merge } V V' (\sigma(x := y), \varrho)) * \text{indicator } X' (\sigma(x := y))$ 
     $\partial\text{state-measure } (V - \{x\}) \Gamma \partial\text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x)$  using assms(1-3)
    by (subst state-measure-integral-split[of x]) (auto simp: X'-def)
  also have ...  $= \int^+ y. \int^+ \sigma. \delta(\text{merge } V V' (\sigma(x := y), \varrho)) * \text{indicator } X y$ 
     $\partial\text{state-measure } (V - \{x\}) \Gamma \partial\text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x)$ 
    by (intro nn-integral-cong)
    (auto simp: X'-def split: split-indicator dest: fun-upd-in-state-measure)
  also have ...  $= (\int^+ y. \text{marg-dens } \mathcal{Y} x \varrho y * \text{indicator } X y \partial\text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x))$ 
  using measurable-dens ctxt-fun-upd unfolding marg-dens-def using assms(1-3)
  by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp split: split-indicator)

```

```

finally show ?thesis ..
qed

lemma marg-dens2-integral:
fixes X :: val set
assumes x ∈ V y ∈ V x ≠ y and [measurable]: X ∈ sets (stock-measure (PRODUCT (Γ x) (Γ y)))
assumes ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
defines X' ≡ (λσ. <|σ x, σ y|>) −' X ∩ space (state-measure V Γ)
shows (ʃ+z. marg-dens2 Y x y ρ z * indicator X z ∂stock-measure (PRODUCT (Γ x) (Γ y))) =
      (ʃ+σ. δ (merge V V' (σ,ρ)) * indicator X' σ ∂state-measure V Γ)
proof –
let ?M = stock-measure (PRODUCT (Γ x) (Γ y))
let ?M' = stock-measure (Γ x) ⊗M stock-measure (Γ y)
interpret product-sigma-finite λx. stock-measure (Γ x)
unfolding product-sigma-finite-def by simp
from assms have (ʃ+z. marg-dens2 Y x y ρ z * indicator X z ∂?M) =
      ʃ+z. marg-dens2 Y x y ρ (case-prod PairVal z) * indicator X (case-prod
      PairVal z) ∂?M'
by (subst nn-integral-PairVal)
(auto simp add: split-beta' intro!: borel-measurable-times-ennreal measurable-marg-dens2)

have V'': V − {x, y} = V − {y} − {x}
by auto

from assms have A: V = insert y (V − {y}) by blast
from assms have B: insert x (V − {x,y}) = V − {y} by blast
from assms have X'[measurable]: X' ∈ sets (state-measure V Γ) unfolding
X'-def
by (intro measurable-sets[OF - assms(4)], unfold state-measure-def, subst stock-measure.simps)
(rule measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF measurable-embed-measure2], rule
inj-PairVal,
erule measurable-component-singleton, erule measurable-component-singleton)

have V[simp]: insert y (V − {y}) = V insert x (V − {x, y}) = V − {y} insert
y V = V
and [measurable]: x ∈ V − {y}
using assms by auto

have (ʃ+σ. δ (merge V V' (σ,ρ)) * indicator X' σ ∂state-measure V Γ) =
      (ʃ+σ. δ (merge V V' (σ,ρ)) * indicator X' σ ∂state-measure (insert y (insert
      x (V − {x, y}))) Γ)
using assms by (intro arg-cong2[where f=nn-integral] arg-cong2[where f=state-measure])
auto
also have ... = ʃ+w. ʃ+v. ʃ+σ. δ (merge V V' (σ(x := v, y := w), ρ)) *
indicator X' (σ(x := v, y := w))
∂state-measure (V − {x, y}) Γ ∂stock-measure (Γ x) ∂stock-measure (Γ y)

```

```

(is - = ?I)
  unfolding state-measure-def
  using assms
  apply (subst product-nn-integral-insert-rev)
  apply (auto simp: state-measure-def[symmetric])
  apply (rule nn-integral-cong)
  apply (subst state-measure-def)
  apply (subst V(2)[symmetric])
  apply (subst product-nn-integral-insert-rev)
  apply (auto simp: state-measure-def[symmetric])
  apply measurable
  apply simp-all
  done
also from assms(1–5)
  have  $\bigwedge v w \sigma. v \in space (stock-measure (\Gamma x)) \implies w \in space (stock-measure (\Gamma y))$ 
     $\implies \sigma \in space (state-measure (V - \{x, y\}) \Gamma)$ 
     $\implies \sigma(x := v, y := w) \in X' \longleftrightarrow <|v, w|> \in X$ 
    by (simp add: X'-def space-state-measure PiE-iff extensional-def)
  hence ?I =  $\int^+ w. \int^+ v. \int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma(x := v, y := w), \varrho)) * \text{indicator } X <|v, w|>$ 
     $\partial \text{state-measure } (V - \{x, y\}) \Gamma \partial \text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x) \partial \text{stock-measure } (\Gamma y)$ 
    by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp split: split-indicator)
  also from assms(5)
    have ... =  $\int^+ w. \int^+ v. (\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma(x := v, y := w), \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure } (V - \{x, y\}) \Gamma)$ 
      * indicator  $X <|v, w|> \partial \text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x) \partial \text{stock-measure } (\Gamma y)$ 
    using assms
    apply (simp add: ennreal-mult'' ennreal-indicator)
    by (intro nn-integral-cong nn-integral-multc) (simp-all add: )
  also have ... =  $\int^+ w. \int^+ v. \text{marg-dens2 } \mathcal{Y} x y \varrho <|v, w|> * \text{indicator } X <|v, w|>$ 
     $\partial \text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x) \partial \text{stock-measure } (\Gamma y)$ 
    by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp add: marg-dens2-def)
  also from assms(4)
    have ... =  $\int^+ z. \text{marg-dens2 } \mathcal{Y} x y \varrho (\text{case-prod } \text{PairVal } z) * \text{indicator } X$ 
     $(\text{case-prod } \text{PairVal } z)$ 
     $\partial (\text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x) \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } (\Gamma y))$ 
    using assms
    by (subst pair-sigma-finite.nn-integral-snd[symmetric])
      (auto simp add: pair-sigma-finite-def intro!: borel-measurable-times-ennreal
      measurable-compose[OF - measurable-marg-dens2])
  also have ... =  $\int^+ z. \text{marg-dens2 } \mathcal{Y} x y \varrho z * \text{indicator } X z \partial \text{stock-measure }$ 
     $(\text{PRODUCT } (\Gamma x) (\Gamma y))$ 
    apply (subst stock-measure.simps, subst embed-measure-eq-distr, rule inj-PairVal)
      apply (rule nn-integral-distr[symmetric], intro measurable-embed-measure2
      inj-PairVal)
    apply (subst stock-measure.simps[symmetric])
    apply (intro borel-measurable-times-ennreal)

```

```

apply simp
apply (intro measurable-marg-dens2)
apply (insert assms)
apply simp-all
done
finally show ?thesis ..
qed

The space described by the marginal density is the same as the space obtained by projecting  $x$  (resp.  $x$  and  $y$ ) out of the common distribution of all variables.

lemma density-marg-dens-eq:
assumes  $x \in V \varrho \in space (state-measure V' \Gamma)$ 
shows density (stock-measure ( $\Gamma x$ )) (marg-dens  $\mathcal{Y} x \varrho$ ) =
      distr (dens ctxt-measure ( $V, V', \Gamma, \delta$ )  $\varrho$ ) (stock-measure ( $\Gamma x$ )) ( $\lambda\sigma. \sigma x$ )
(is ?M1 = ?M2)
proof (rule measure-eqI)
fix X assume X:  $X \in sets ?M1$ 
let ?X' =  $(\lambda\sigma. \sigma x) -` X \cap space (state-measure V \Gamma)$ 
let ?X'' =  $(\lambda\sigma. \sigma x) -` X \cap space (state-measure (V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
from X have emeasure ?M1 X =  $\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) * \text{indicator } ?X'$ 
 $\sigma \partial state-measure V \Gamma$ 
using assms measurable-marg-dens measurable-dens
by (subst emeasure-density)
(auto simp: emeasure-distr nn-integral-distr
  dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def emeasure-density marg-dens-integral)
also from assms have ... =  $\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) *$ 
  indicator ?X'' (merge  $V V' (\sigma, \varrho)$ )  $\partial state-measure$ 
 $V \Gamma$ 
by (intro nn-integral-cong)
(auto split: split-indicator simp: space-state-measure merge-def PiE-iff extensional-def)
also from X and assms have ... = emeasure ?M2 X using measurable-dens
by (auto simp: emeasure-distr emeasure-density nn-integral-distr ennreal-indicator
ennreal-mult")
dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def)
finally show emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure ?M2 X .
qed simp

lemma density-marg-dens2-eq:
assumes  $x \in V y \in V x \neq y \varrho \in space (state-measure V' \Gamma)$ 
defines M ≡ stock-measure (PRODUCT ( $\Gamma x$ ) ( $\Gamma y$ ))
shows density M (marg-dens2  $\mathcal{Y} x y \varrho$ ) =
      distr (dens ctxt-measure ( $V, V', \Gamma, \delta$ )  $\varrho$ ) M ( $\lambda\sigma. <|\sigma x, \sigma y|>$ ) (is ?M1
= ?M2)
proof (rule measure-eqI)
fix X assume X:  $X \in sets ?M1$ 
let ?X' =  $(\lambda\sigma. <|\sigma x, \sigma y|>) -` X \cap space (state-measure V \Gamma)$ 
let ?X'' =  $(\lambda\sigma. <|\sigma x, \sigma y|>) -` X \cap space (state-measure (V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 

```

```

from assms have meas[measurable]:  $(\lambda\sigma. <|\sigma x, \sigma y|>) \in measurable$  (state-measure
 $(V \cup V') \Gamma$ )
 $(stock-measure (PRODUCT (\Gamma x) (\Gamma y)))$ 
unfolding state-measure-def
apply (subst stock-measure.simps)
apply (rule measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF measurable-embed-measure2[OF
inj-PairVal]])
apply (rule measurable-component-singleton, simp)+
done
from assms(1–4) X meas have emeasure ?M2 X = emeasure (dens ctxt-measure
 $\mathcal{Y} \varrho$ ) ?X"
apply (subst emeasure-distr)
apply (subst measurable-dens ctxt-measure-eq, unfold state-measure-def M-def)
apply (simp-all add: space-dens ctxt-measure state-measure-def)
done
also from assms(1–4) X meas
have ... =  $\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) * \text{indicator } ?X'' (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho))$ 
∂state-measure V Γ
(is - = ?I) unfolding dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def M-def
by (simp add: emeasure-density nn-integral-distr ennreal-indicator ennreal-mult")
also from assms(1–4) X
have  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in space (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies \text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho) \in ?X'' \longleftrightarrow \sigma$ 
 $\in ?X'$ 
by (auto simp: space-state-measure merge-def PiE-iff extensional-def)
hence ?I =  $\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) * \text{indicator } ?X' \sigma \partialstate-measure V \Gamma$ 
by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp split: split-indicator)
also from assms X have ... =  $\int^+ z. \text{marg-dens2 } \mathcal{Y} x y \varrho z * \text{indicator } X z \partial M$ 
unfolding M-def
by (subst marg-dens2-integral) simp-all
also from X have ... = emeasure ?M1 X
using assms measurable-dens unfolding M-def
by (subst emeasure-density, intro measurable-marg-dens2) simp-all
finally show emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure ?M2 X ..
qed simp

lemma measurable-insert-dens[measurable]:
assumes Mf[measurable]: case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure (V ∪
 $V') \Gamma \otimes_M stock-measure t)$ 
shows insert-dens V V' f δ
 $\in borel-measurable (\text{state-measure} (\text{shift-var-set} (V \cup V')) (\text{case-nat} t$ 
 $\Gamma))$ 
proof –
have  $(\lambda\sigma. \sigma 0) \in measurable (\text{state-measure} (\text{shift-var-set} (V \cup V')) (\text{case-nat}$ 
 $t \Gamma))$ 
 $(stock-measure (\text{case-nat} t \Gamma 0))$  unfolding state-measure-def
unfolding shift-var-set-def by measurable
thus ?thesis unfolding insert-dens-def[abs-def] by simp

```

qed

**lemma** nn-integral-dens ctxt-measure:

assumes  $\varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$

$f \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$

shows  $(\int^+ x. f x \partial \text{dens ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho) =$

$\int^+ x. \delta(\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) * f(\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure } V \Gamma$

unfolding dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def using assms measurable-dens by (simp only: prod.case, subst nn-integral-density)

(auto simp: nn-integral-distr state-measure-def )

**lemma** shift-var-set-Un[simp]: shift-var-set  $V \cup \text{Suc}' V' = \text{shift-var-set } (V \cup V')$

unfolding shift-var-set-def by (simp add: image-Un)

**lemma** emeasure-dens ctxt-measure-insert:

fixes  $t f \varrho$

defines  $M \equiv \text{dens ctxt-measure } (\text{shift-var-set } V, \text{Suc}' V', \text{case-nat } t \Gamma, \text{insert-dens } V V' f \delta) \varrho$

assumes  $\text{dens}: \text{has-parametrized-subprob-density}(\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma) F$  (stock-measure  $t$ )  $f$

assumes  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } (\text{Suc}' V') (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma))$

assumes  $X: X \in \text{sets } M$

shows  $\text{emeasure } M X =$

$\int^+ x. \text{insert-dens } V V' f \delta(\text{merge } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{Suc}' V') (x, \varrho)) * \text{indicator } X(\text{merge } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{Suc}' V') (x, \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma) (\text{is } - = ?I)$

proof –

note [measurable] = has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(3)[OF dens]

have [measurable]:

$(\lambda \sigma. \text{merge } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{Suc}' V') (\sigma, \varrho))$

$\in \text{measurable}(\text{state-measure } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma))$

$(\text{state-measure } (\text{shift-var-set } (V \cup V')) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma))$

using  $\varrho$  unfolding state-measure-def

by (simp del: shift-var-set-Un add: shift-var-set-Un[symmetric])

from assms have emeasure  $M X = (\int^+ x. \text{indicator } X x \partial M)$

by (subst nn-integral-indicator)

(simp-all add: dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)

also have MI: indicator  $X \in \text{borel-measurable}$

$(\text{state-measure } (\text{shift-var-set } (V \cup V')) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma))$

using  $X$  unfolding M-def dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def by simp

have  $(\int^+ x. \text{indicator } X x \partial M) = ?I$

using  $X$  unfolding M-def dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def

apply (simp only: prod.case)

apply (subst nn-integral-density)

apply (simp-all add: nn-integral-density nn-integral-distr MI)

done

finally show ?thesis .

qed

**lemma** merge-Suc-aux':  
 $\varrho \in space(state-measure(Suc' V') (case-nat t \Gamma)) \implies (\lambda\sigma. merge V V'(\sigma, \varrho \circ Suc)) \in measurable(state-measure V \Gamma) (state-measure(V \cup V') \Gamma)$   
**by** (unfold state-measure-def,  
rule measurable-compose[OF measurable-Pair measurable-merge], simp,  
rule measurable-const, auto simp: space-PiM dest: PiE-mem)

**lemma** merge-Suc-aux:  
 $\varrho \in space(state-measure(Suc' V') (case-nat t \Gamma)) \implies (\lambda\sigma. \delta(merge V V'(\sigma, \varrho \circ Suc))) \in borel-measurable(state-measure V \Gamma)$   
**by** (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-dens], unfold state-measure-def,  
rule measurable-compose[OF measurable-Pair measurable-merge], simp,  
rule measurable-const, auto simp: space-PiM dest: PiE-mem)

**lemma** nn-integral-PiM-Suc:  
**assumes** fin:  $\bigwedge i. \text{sigma-finite-measure}(N i)$   
**assumes** Mf:  $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(Pi_M V N)$   
**shows**  $(\int^+ x. f x \partial \text{distr}(Pi_M (Suc' V) (case-nat M N)) (Pi_M V N) (\lambda\sigma. \sigma \circ Suc) = (\int^+ x. f x \partial Pi_M V N)$   
(is nn-integral (?M1 V) - = -)  
**using** Mf  
**proof** (induction arbitrary: f  
rule: finite-induct[OF finite-vars(1), case-names empty insert])  
**case** empty  
**show** ?case **by** (auto simp add: PiM-empty nn-integral-distr intro!: nn-integral-cong)  
**next**  
**case** (insert v V)  
**let** ?V = insert v V **and** ?M3 = PiM (insert (Suc v) (Suc' V)) (case-nat M N)  
**let** ?M4 = PiM (insert (Suc v) (Suc' V)) (case-nat (count-space {}) N)  
**let** ?M4' = PiM (Suc' V) (case-nat (count-space {}) N)  
**have** A: ?M3 = ?M4 **by** (intro PiM-cong) auto  
**interpret** product-sigma-finite case-nat (count-space {}) N  
**unfolding** product-sigma-finite-def  
**by** (auto intro: fin sigma-finite-measure-count-space-countable split: nat.split)  
**interpret** sigma-finite-measure N v **by** (rule assms)  
**note** Mf[measurable] = insert(4)  
**from** insert **have**  $(\int^+ x. f x \partial ?M1 ?V) = \int^+ x. f (x \circ Suc) \partial ?M4$   
**by** (subst A[symmetric], subst nn-integral-distr)  
(simp-all add: measurable-case-nat-Suc-PiM image-insert[symmetric] del:  
image-insert)  
**also from** insert **have** ... =  $\int^+ x. \int^+ y. f(x(Suc v := y) \circ Suc) \partial N v \partial ?M4'$   
**apply** (subst product-nn-integral-insert, simp, blast, subst image-insert[symmetric])  
**apply** (erule measurable-compose[OF measurable-case-nat-Suc-PiM], simp)  
**done**  
**also have**  $(\lambda x y. x(Suc v := y) \circ Suc) = (\lambda x y. (x \circ Suc)(v := y))$

```

by (intro ext) (simp add: o-def)
also have ?M4' = PiM (Suc ` V) (case-nat M N) by (intro PiM-cong) auto
also from insert have (ʃ+x. ʃ+y. f ((x ∘ Suc)(v := y)) ∂N v ∂...) =
          (ʃ+x. ʃ+y. f (x(v := y)) ∂N v ∂?M1 V)
by (subst nn-integral-distr)
      (simp-all add: borel-measurable-nn-integral measurable-case-nat-Suc-PiM)
also from insert have ... = (ʃ+x. ʃ+y. f (x(v := y)) ∂N v ∂PiM V N)
by (intro insert(3)) measurable
also from insert have ... = (ʃ+x. f x ∂PiM ?V N)
by (subst product-sigma-finite.product-nn-integral-insert)
      (simp-all add: assms product-sigma-finite-def)
finally show ?case .
qed

```

**lemma** PiM-Suc:

```

assumes ⋀i. sigma-finite-measure (N i)
shows distr (PiM (Suc ` V) (case-nat M N)) (PiM V N) (λσ. σ ∘ Suc) = PiM
V N (is ?M1 = ?M2)
by (intro measure-eqI)
      (simp-all add: nn-integral-indicator[symmetric] nn-integral-PiM-Suc assms
      del: nn-integral-indicator)

```

**lemma** distr-state-measure-Suc:

```

distr (state-measure (Suc ` V) (case-nat t Γ)) (state-measure V Γ) (λσ. σ ∘ Suc)
= state-measure V Γ (is ?M1 = ?M2)
unfolding state-measure-def
apply (subst (2) PiM-Suc[of λx. stock-measure (Γ x) stock-measure t, symmetric], simp)
apply (intro distr-cong PiM-cong)
apply (simp-all split: nat.split)
done

```

**lemma** emeasure-dens-ctxt-measure-insert':

```

fixes t f ρ
defines M ≡ dens-ctxt-measure (shift-var-set V, Suc ` V', case-nat t Γ, insert-dens
V V' f δ) ρ
assumes dens: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) F
(stock-measure t) f
assumes ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (Suc ` V') (case-nat t Γ))
assumes X: X ∈ sets M
shows emeasure M X = ʃ+σ. δ (merge V V' (σ, ρ ∘ Suc)) * ʃ+y. f (merge V
V' (σ, ρ ∘ Suc)) y *
          indicator X (merge (shift-var-set V) (Suc ` V') (case-nat y σ, ρ))
          ∂stock-measure t ∂state-measure V Γ (is - = ?I)

```

**proof-**

```

let ?m = λx y. merge (insert 0 (Suc ` V)) (Suc ` V') (x(0 := y), ρ)
from dens have Mf:
  case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ ⊗M stock-measure

```

$t)$   
**by** (rule has-parametrized-subprob-densityD)  
**note** [measurable] =  $Mf[unfolded\ state-measure-def]$   
**have** meas-merge:  $(\lambda x. \text{merge}(\text{shift-var-set } V)(\text{Suc}'V')(x, \varrho))$   
 $\in \text{measurable}(\text{state-measure}(\text{shift-var-set } V)(\text{case-nat } t \Gamma))$   
 $\quad (\text{state-measure}(\text{shift-var-set}(V \cup V'))(\text{case-nat } t \Gamma))$   
**using**  $\varrho$  **unfolding** state-measure-def shift-var-set-def  
**by** (simp add: image-Un image-insert[symmetric] Un-insert-left[symmetric]  
 $\quad \text{del: image-insert Un-insert-left})$   
**note** measurable-insert-dens' =  
 $\quad \text{measurable-insert-dens}[unfolded\ shift-var-set-def\ state-measure-def]$   
**have** meas-merge':  $(\lambda x. \text{merge}(\text{shift-var-set } V)(\text{Suc}'V')(\text{case-nat}(\text{snd } x)(\text{fst } x), \varrho))$   
 $\in \text{measurable}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma \bigotimes_M \text{stock-measure } t)$   
 $\quad (\text{state-measure}(\text{shift-var-set}(V \cup V'))(\text{case-nat } t \Gamma))$   
**by** (rule measurable-compose[OF - meas-merge]) simp  
**have** meas-integral:  $(\lambda \sigma. \int^+ y. \delta(\text{merge } V V'(\sigma, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) * f(\text{merge } V V'$   
 $(\sigma, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) y *$   
 $\quad \text{indicator } X(\text{merge}(\text{shift-var-set } V)(\text{Suc}'V')(\text{case-nat } y \sigma, \varrho))$   
 $\quad \partial \text{stock-measure } t) \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$   
**apply** (rule sigma-finite-measure.borel-measurable-nn-integral, simp)  
**apply** (subst measurable-split-conv, intro borel-measurable-times-ennreal)  
**apply** (rule measurable-compose[OF measurable-fst merge-Suc-aux[OF  $\varrho$ ]])  
**apply** (rule measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF  $Mf$ ])  
**apply** (rule measurable-compose[OF measurable-fst merge-Suc-aux'[OF  $\varrho$ ]],  
simp)  
**apply** (rule measurable-compose[OF meas-merge' borel-measurable-indicator])  
**apply** (insert  $X$ , simp add: M-def dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)  
**done**  
**have** meas':  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$   
 $\implies (\lambda y. f(\text{merge } V V'(x, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) y *$   
 $\quad \text{indicator } X(\text{merge}(\text{shift-var-set } V)(\text{Suc}'V')(\text{case-nat } y x, \varrho)))$   
 $\in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{stock-measure } t) \text{ using } X$   
**apply** (intro borel-measurable-times-ennreal)  
**apply** (rule measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF  $Mf$ ])  
**apply** (rule measurable-const, erule measurable-space[OF merge-Suc-aux'[OF  
 $\varrho]]])  
**apply** (simp, rule measurable-compose[OF - borel-measurable-indicator])  
**apply** (rule measurable-compose[OF measurable-case-nat'])  
**apply** (rule measurable-ident-sets[OF refl], erule measurable-const)  
**apply** (rule meas-merge, simp add: M-def dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)  
**done**  
  
**have** emeasure  $M X =$   
 $\int^+ x. \text{insert-dens } V V' f \delta(\text{merge}(\text{shift-var-set } V)(\text{Suc}'V')(x, \varrho)) *$   
 $\quad \text{indicator } X(\text{merge}(\text{shift-var-set } V)(\text{Suc}'V')(x, \varrho))$   
 $\quad \partial \text{state-measure}(\text{shift-var-set } V)(\text{case-nat } t \Gamma)$   
**using** assms **unfolding** M-def **by** (intro emeasure-dens-ctxt-measure-insert)$

```

also have ... =  $\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{insert-dens } V V' f \delta (\text{?m } x y) *$ 
  indicator  $X (\text{?m } x y) \partial\text{stock-measure } t \partial\text{state-measure } (\text{Suc}' V)$ 
(case-nat  $t \Gamma$ )
(is  $- = ?I$ ) using  $\varrho X \text{ meas-merge}$ 
unfolding shift-var-set-def M-def dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def
apply (subst product-sigma-finite.product-nn-integral-insert)
apply (auto simp: product-sigma-finite-def) [3]
apply (intro borel-measurable-times-ennreal)
apply (rule measurable-compose[OF measurable-insert-dens], simp)
apply (simp-all add: measurable-compose[OF borel-measurable-indicator] image-Un)
done
also have  $\bigwedge \sigma y. \sigma \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } (\text{Suc}' V)) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma) \implies$ 
   $y \in \text{space } (\text{stock-measure } t) \implies$ 
  (remove-var (merge (insert 0 (Suc' V)) (Suc' V) ( $\sigma(0:=y)$ ,  $\varrho$ )))
=
  merge  $V V' (\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})$ 
  by (auto simp: merge-def remove-var-def)
hence  $?I = \int^+ \sigma. \int^+ y. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) * f (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) y *$ 
  indicator  $X (\text{?m } \sigma y)$ 
   $\partial\text{stock-measure } t \partial\text{state-measure } (\text{Suc}' V) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma) (\text{is } - = ?I)$ 
  by (intro nn-integral-cong)
  (auto simp: insert-dens-def inj-image-mem-iff merge-def split: split-indicator nat.split)
also have m-eq:  $\bigwedge x y. \text{?m } x y = \text{merge } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{Suc}' V') (\text{case-nat } y (x \circ \text{Suc}), \varrho)$ 
  by (intro ext) (auto simp add: merge-def shift-var-set-def split: nat.split)
have  $?I = \int^+ \sigma. \int^+ y. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) * f (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) y *$ 
  indicator  $X (\text{merge } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{Suc}' V') (\text{case-nat } y \sigma, \varrho))$ 
   $\partial\text{stock-measure } t \partial\text{state-measure } V \Gamma \text{ using } \varrho X$ 
apply (subst distr-state-measure-Suc[symmetric, of t])
apply (subst nn-integral-distr)
apply (rule measurable-case-nat-Suc)
apply simp
apply (rule meas-integral)
apply (intro nn-integral-cong)
apply (simp add: m-eq)
done
also have ... =  $\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) * \int^+ y. f (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) y *$ 
  indicator  $X (\text{merge } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{Suc}' V') (\text{case-nat } y \sigma, \varrho))$ 
   $\partial\text{stock-measure } t \partial\text{state-measure } V \Gamma \text{ using } \varrho X$ 
apply (intro nn-integral-cong)
apply (subst nn-integral-cmult[symmetric])
apply (erule meas')
apply (simp add: mult.assoc)
done

```

```

finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma density-context-insert:
  assumes dens: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) F
  (stock-measure t) f
  shows density-context (shift-var-set V) (Suc ` V') (case-nat t Γ) (insert-dens V
  V' f δ)
    (is density-context ?V ?V' ?Γ' ?δ')
  unfolding density-context-def
  proof (intro allI conjI impI)
    note measurable-insert-dens[OF has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(3)[OF dens]]
    thus insert-dens V V' f δ
      ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure (shift-var-set V ∪ Suc ` V') (case-nat t
      Γ))
    unfolding shift-var-set-def by (simp only: image-Un Un-insert-left)
  next
    fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure ?V' ?Γ')
    hence ρ': ρ ∘ Suc ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
      by (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM dest: PiE-mem)
    note dens' = has-parametrized-subprob-densityD[OF dens]
    note Mf[measurable] = dens'(3)
    have M-merge: (λx. merge (shift-var-set V) (Suc ` V') (x, ρ))
      ∈ measurable (Pi_M (insert 0 (Suc ` V)) (λy. stock-measure (case-nat
      t Γ y)))
        (state-measure (shift-var-set (V ∪ V')) (case-nat t Γ))
    using ρ by (subst shift-var-set-Un[symmetric], unfold state-measure-def)
      (simp add: shift-var-set-def del: shift-var-set-Un Un-insert-left)
    show subprob-space (dens-ctxt-measure (?V,?V',?Γ',?δ') ρ) (is subprob-space
    ?M)
    proof (rule subprob-spaceI)
      interpret product-sigma-finite (λy. stock-measure (case y of 0 ⇒ t | Suc x ⇒
      Γ x))
        by (simp add: product-sigma-finite-def)
      have Suc-state-measure:
         $\bigwedge x. x \in space (state-measure (Suc ` V) (case-nat t Γ)) \implies$ 
        merge V V' (x ∘ Suc, ρ ∘ Suc) ∈ space (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
    using ρ
      by (intro merge-in-state-measure) (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM
      dest: PiE-mem)

    have S[simp]:  $\bigwedge x X. Suc x \in Suc ` X \longleftrightarrow x \in X$  by (rule inj-image-mem-iff)
    simp
    let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure (?V,?V',?Γ',?δ') ρ
    from ρ have  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in space (state-measure ?V ?Γ') \implies$  merge ?V ?V' (σ, ρ)
    ∈ space ?M
    by (auto simp: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def simp del: shift-var-set-Un
    intro!: merge-in-state-measure)

```

**hence**  $\text{emeasure } ?M \text{ (space } ?M) =$   
 $\int^+ \sigma. \text{insert-dens } V V' f \delta (\text{merge } ?V ?V' (\sigma, \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure } ?V ?\Gamma'$   
**by** (*subst emeasure-dens-ctxt-measure-insert[OF dens  $\varrho$ ], simp, intro nn-integral-cong*)  
*(simp split: split-indicator)*  
**also have** ... =  $\int^+ \sigma. \text{insert-dens } V V' f \delta (\text{merge } ?V ?V' (\sigma, \varrho))$   
 $\partial \text{state-measure} (\text{insert } 0 (\text{Suc} ` V)) ?\Gamma'$   
**by** (*simp add: shift-var-set-def*)  
**also have** ... =  $\int^+ \sigma. \int^+ x. \text{insert-dens } V V' f \delta (\text{merge } ?V ?V' (\sigma(0 := x),$   
 $\varrho))$   
 $\partial \text{stock-measure } t \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{Suc} ` V) ?\Gamma'$   
**unfolding** *state-measure-def using M-merge*  
**by** (*subst product-nn-integral-insert*) *auto*  
**also have** ... =  $\int^+ \sigma. \int^+ x. \delta (\text{remove-var} (\text{merge } ?V ?V' (\sigma(0 := x), \varrho))) *$   
 $f (\text{remove-var} (\text{merge } ?V ?V' (\sigma(0 := x), \varrho))) x$   
 $\partial \text{stock-measure } t \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{Suc} ` V) ?\Gamma' (\text{is } - = ?I)$   
**by** (*intro nn-integral-cong*) (*auto simp: insert-dens-def merge-def shift-var-set-def*)  
**also have**  $\bigwedge \sigma x. \text{remove-var} (\text{merge } ?V ?V' (\sigma(0 := x), \varrho)) = \text{merge } V V' (\sigma$   
 $\circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})$   
**by** (*intro ext*) (*auto simp: remove-var-def merge-def shift-var-set-def o-def*)  
**hence**  $?I = \int^+ \sigma. \int^+ x. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) * f (\text{merge } V V'$   
 $(\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) x$   
 $\partial \text{stock-measure } t \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{Suc} ` V) ?\Gamma' \text{ by } \text{simp}$   
**also have** ... =  $\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) *$   
 $(\int^+ x. f (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) x \partial \text{stock-measure } t)$   
 $\partial \text{state-measure} (\text{Suc} ` V) ?\Gamma' (\text{is } - = ?I)$   
**using**  $\varrho$  *disjoint*  
**apply** (*intro nn-integral-cong nn-integral-cmult*)  
**apply** (*rule measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF Mf]*, *rule measurable-const*)  
**apply** (*auto intro!: Suc-state-measure*)  
**done**  
**also {**  
**fix**  $\sigma$  **assume**  $\sigma: \sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} (\text{Suc} ` V) ?\Gamma')$   
**let**  $?{\sigma}' = \text{merge } V V' (\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})$   
**let**  $?N = \text{density} (\text{stock-measure } t) (f ?{\sigma}')$   
**have**  $(\int^+ x. f (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) x \partial \text{stock-measure } t) = \text{emeasure}$   
 $?N \text{ (space } ?N)$   
**using** *dens'(3) Suc-state-measure[OF  $\sigma$ ]*  
**by** (*simp-all cong: nn-integral-cong' add: emeasure-density*)  
**also have**  $?N = F ?{\sigma}'$  **by** (*subst dens'*) (*simp-all add: Suc-state-measure  $\sigma$* )  
**also have** *subprob-space* ( $F ?{\sigma}'$ ) **by** (*rule dens'*) (*simp-all add: Suc-state-measure*  
 $\sigma$ )  
**hence**  $\text{emeasure } (F ?{\sigma}') (\text{space } (F ?{\sigma}')) \leq 1$  **by** (*rule subprob-space.emeasure-space-le-1*)  
**finally have**  $(\int^+ x. f (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) x \partial \text{stock-measure } t)$   
 $\leq 1$ .  
 $\}$   
**hence**  $?I \leq \int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma \circ \text{Suc}, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) * 1 \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{Suc}$   
 $` V) ?\Gamma'$   
**by** (*intro nn-integral-mono mult-left-mono*) (*simp-all add: Suc-state-measure*)  
**also have** ... =  $\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho \circ \text{Suc}))$

```

 $\partial \text{distr} (\text{state-measure} (\text{Suc} ` V) ?\Gamma') (\text{state-measure} V \Gamma) (\lambda \sigma.$ 
 $\sigma \circ \text{Suc})$ 
 $(\mathbf{is} - = \text{nn-integral} ?N -)$ 
 $\mathbf{using} \varrho \mathbf{by} (\text{subst nn-integral-distr}) (\text{simp-all add: measurable-case-nat-Suc}$ 
 $\text{merge-Suc-aux})$ 
 $\mathbf{also have} ?N = \text{state-measure} V \Gamma \mathbf{by} (\text{rule distr-state-measure-Suc})$ 
 $\mathbf{also have} (\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge} V V' (\sigma, \varrho \circ \text{Suc})) \partial \text{state-measure} V \Gamma) =$ 
 $(\int^+ \sigma. 1 \partial \text{dens ctxt-measure} \mathcal{Y} (\varrho \circ \text{Suc})) (\mathbf{is} - = \text{nn-integral} ?N -)$ 
 $\mathbf{by} (\text{subst nn-integral-dens ctxt-measure}) (\text{simp-all add: } \varrho')$ 
 $\mathbf{also have} ... = (\int^+ \sigma. \text{indicator} (\text{space} ?N) \sigma \partial ?N)$ 
 $\mathbf{by} (\text{intro nn-integral-cong}) (\text{simp split: split-indicator})$ 
 $\mathbf{also have} ... = \text{emeasure} ?N (\text{space} ?N) \mathbf{by} \text{simp}$ 
 $\mathbf{also have} ... \leq 1 \mathbf{by} (\text{simp-all add: subprob-space.emeasure-space-le-1 sub-}$ 
 $\text{prob-space-dens } \varrho')$ 
 $\mathbf{finally show} \text{emeasure} ?M (\text{space} ?M) \leq 1 .$ 
 $\mathbf{qed} (\text{simp-all add: space-dens ctxt-measure state-measure-def space-PiM PiE-eq-empty-iff})$ 
 $\mathbf{qed} (\text{insert disjoint, auto simp: shift-var-set-def})$ 

```

**lemma** *dens ctxt-measure-insert*:

**assumes**  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} V' \Gamma)$

**assumes**  $\text{meas-}M: M \in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure} (V \cup V') \Gamma) (\text{subprob-algebra}$

$(\text{stock-measure} t))$

**assumes**  $\text{meas-f}[\text{measurable}]: \text{case-prod } f \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{state-measure} (V \cup V')$

$\Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure} t)$

**assumes**  $\text{has-dens}: \bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} (V \cup V') \Gamma) \implies$

$\text{has-subprob-density} (M \varrho) (\text{stock-measure} t) (f \varrho)$

**shows**  $\text{do} \{\sigma \leftarrow \text{dens ctxt-measure} (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho;$

$y \leftarrow M \sigma;$

$\text{return} (\text{state-measure} (\text{shift-var-set} (V \cup V')) (\text{case-nat} t \Gamma)) (\text{case-nat}$

$y \sigma)\} =$

$\text{dens ctxt-measure} (\text{shift-var-set} V, \text{Suc} ` V', \text{case-nat} t \Gamma, \text{insert-dens} V V'$

$f \delta)$

$(\text{case-nat undefined } \varrho)$

$(\mathbf{is} \text{ bind} ?N (\lambda -. \text{bind} - (\lambda -. \text{return} ?R -)) = \text{dens ctxt-measure} (?V, ?V', ?\Gamma', ?\delta')$

$-)$

**proof** (*intro measure-eqI*)

**let**  $?lhs = ?N \gg= (\lambda \sigma. M \sigma \gg= (\lambda y. \text{return} ?R (\text{case-nat} y \sigma)))$

**let**  $?rhs = \text{dens ctxt-measure} (?V, ?V', ?\Gamma', ?\delta') (\text{case-nat undefined } \varrho)$

**have**  $\text{meas-f}': \bigwedge M g h. g \in \text{measurable} M (\text{state-measure} (V \cup V') \Gamma) \implies$

$h \in \text{measurable} M (\text{stock-measure} t) \implies$

$(\lambda x. f (g x) (h x)) \in \text{borel-measurable} M \mathbf{by} \text{ measurable}$

**have**  $t: t = ?\Gamma' 0 \mathbf{by} \text{simp}$

**have**  $\text{nonempty}: \text{space} ?N \neq \{\}$

**by** (*auto simp: dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def*

*space-PiM PiE-eq-empty-iff*)

**have**  $\text{meas-N-eq}: \text{measurable} ?N = \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure} (V \cup V') \Gamma)$

```

by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) (auto simp: dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
have meas-M':  $M \in \text{measurable } ?N$  (subprob-algebra (stock-measure  $t$ ))
  by (subst meas-N-eq) (rule meas-M)
have meas-N':  $\bigwedge R. \text{measurable } (?N \otimes_M R) = \text{measurable } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V'))$ 
 $\Gamma \otimes_M R)$ 
  by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets[OF - refl] sets-pair-measure-cong)
    (simp-all add: dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
have meas-M-eq:  $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{space } ?N \implies \text{measurable } (M \varrho) = \text{measurable } (\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
  by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets sets-kernel[OF meas-M']) simp-all
have meas-rhs:  $\bigwedge M. \text{measurable } M ?rhs = \text{measurable } M ?R$ 
  by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) (simp-all add: dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
have subprob-algebra-rhs: subprob-algebra ?rhs = subprob-algebra (state-measure
  (shift-var-set ( $V \cup V'$ )) ? $\Gamma'$ )
  unfolding dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def by (intro subprob-algebra-cong)
  auto
have nonempty':  $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{space } ?N \implies \text{space } (M \varrho) \neq \{\}$ 
  by (rule subprob-space.subprob-not-empty)
    (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD has-dens simp: space-dens_ctxt-measure)
have merge-in-space:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies$ 
   $\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho) \in \text{space } (\text{dens ctxt-measure } \mathcal{Y} \varrho)$ 
  by (simp add: space-dens_ctxt-measure merge-in-state-measure  $\varrho$ )
have sets ?lhs = sets (state-measure (shift-var-set ( $V \cup V'$ )) ? $\Gamma'$ )
  using nonempty' by (subst sets-bind, subst sets-bind) auto
thus sets-eq: sets ?lhs = sets ?rhs
  unfolding dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def by simp
have meas-merge[measurable]:
   $(\lambda \sigma. \text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) \in \text{measurable } (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
  using  $\varrho$  unfolding state-measure-def by – measurable
fix  $X$  assume  $X \in \text{sets } ?lhs$ 
hence  $X: X \in \text{sets } ?rhs$  by (simp add: sets-eq)
hence emeasure ?lhs  $X = \int^+ \sigma. \text{emeasure } (M \sigma \gg= (\lambda y. \text{return } ?R (\text{case-nat } y \sigma))) X \partial ?N$ 
  by (intro emeasure-bind measurable-bind[OF meas-M'])
    (simp, rule measurable-compose[OF - return-measurable],
     simp-all add: dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
also from  $X$  have ... =
   $\int^+ x. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) * \text{emeasure } (M (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) \gg=$ 
   $(\lambda y. \text{return } ?R (\text{case-nat } y (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)))) X \partial \text{state-measure } V$ 
 $\Gamma$ 
  apply (subst nn-integral-dens_ctxt-measure[OF  $\varrho$ ])
  apply (rule measurable-emeasure-kernel[OF measurable-bind[OF meas-M]])
  apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - return-measurable], simp)
  apply (simp-all add: dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
  done

```

```

also from X have ... =  $\int^+ x. \delta(\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) *$ 
 $\int^+ y. \text{indicator } X (\text{case-nat } y (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)))$ 
 $\partial M (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure } V \Gamma (\text{is } - = ?I)$ 
apply (intro nn-integral-cong)
apply (subst emeasure-bind, rule nonempty', simp add: merge-in-space)
apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - return-measurable], simp add: merge-in-space
meas-M-eq)
apply (simp-all add: dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
done
also have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies$ 
 $M (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) = \text{density} (\text{stock-measure } t) (f (\text{merge } V V'$ 
 $(x, \varrho)))$ 
by (intro has-subprob-densityD[OF has-dens]) (simp add: merge-in-state-measure
 $\varrho)$ 
hence  $?I = \int^+ x. \delta(\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) *$ 
 $\int^+ y. \text{indicator } X (\text{case-nat } y (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)))$ 
 $\partial \text{density} (\text{stock-measure } t) (f (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho))) \partial \text{state-measure } V$ 
 $\Gamma$ 
by (intro nn-integral-cong) simp
also have ... =  $\int^+ x. \delta(\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) *$ 
 $\int^+ y. f (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) y * \text{indicator } X (\text{case-nat } y (\text{merge }$ 
 $V V' (x, \varrho)))$ 
 $\partial \text{stock-measure } t \partial \text{state-measure } V \Gamma (\text{is } - = ?I) \text{ using } X$ 
by (intro nn-integral-cong, subst nn-integral-density, simp)
(auto simp: mult.assoc dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def
intro!: merge-in-state-measure  $\varrho$  AE-I'[of {}]
has-subprob-densityD[OF has-dens])
also have A: case-nat undefined  $\varrho \circ \text{Suc} = \varrho$  by (intro ext) simp
have B:  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies y \in \text{space} (\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
 $\implies$ 
 $(\text{case-nat } y (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho))) =$ 
 $(\text{merge} (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{Suc}' V') (\text{case-nat } y x, \text{case-nat undefined } \varrho))$ 
by (intro ext) (auto simp add: merge-def shift-var-set-def split: nat.split)
have C:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies$ 
 $(\int^+ y. f (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) y * \text{indicator } X (\text{case-nat } y (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)))$ 
 $\partial \text{stock-measure } t) =$ 
 $\int^+ y. f (\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) y * \text{indicator } X (\text{merge} (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{Suc}' V'))$ 
 $(\text{case-nat } y x, \text{case-nat undefined } \varrho) \partial \text{stock-measure } t$ 
by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp add: B)
have ?I = emeasure ?rhs X using X
apply (subst emeasure-dens ctxt-measure-insert'[where F = M])
apply (insert has-dens, simp add: has-parametrized-subprob-density-def)
apply (rule measurable-space[OF measurable-case-nat-undefined  $\varrho$ ], simp)
apply (intro nn-integral-cong, simp add: A C)
done
finally show emeasure ?lhs X = emeasure ?rhs X .
qed

```

**lemma** density-context-if-dens:

```

assumes has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) M
          (count-space (range BoolVal)) f
shows density-context V V' Γ (if-dens δ f b)
unfolding density-context-def
proof (intro allI conjI impI subprob-spaceI)
note D = has-parametrized-subprob-densityD[OF assms]
from D(3) show M: if-dens δ f b ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V')
Γ)
by (intro measurable-if-dens) simp-all

fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
hence [measurable]: (λσ. merge V V' (σ, ρ)) ∈
          measurable (state-measure V Γ) (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
unfolding state-measure-def by simp

{
  fix σ assume σ ∈ space (state-measure V Γ)
  with ρ have σρ: merge V V' (σ, ρ) ∈ space (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
    by (intro merge-in-state-measure)
  with assms have has-subprob-density (M (merge V V' (σ, ρ))) (count-space
  (range BoolVal))
    (f (merge V V' (σ, ρ)))
  unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def by auto
  with σρ have f (merge V V' (σ, ρ)) (BoolVal b) ≤ 1 δ (merge V V' (σ, ρ)) ≥
  0
    by (auto intro: subprob-count-space-density-le-1)
} note dens-props = this

from ρ interpret subprob-space dens-ctxt-measure ℳ ρ by (rule subprob-space-dens)
let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, if-dens δ f b) ρ
have emeasure ?M (space ?M) =
  ∫⁺ x. if-dens δ f b (merge V V' (x, ρ)) ∂state-measure V Γ
using M ρ unfolding dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def
by (simp only: prod.case space-density)
  (auto simp: nn-integral-distr emeasure-density cong: nn-integral-cong')
also from ρ have ... ≤ ∫⁺ x. δ (merge V V' (x, ρ)) * 1 ∂state-measure V Γ
unfolding if-dens-def using dens-props
by (intro nn-integral-mono mult-left-mono) simp-all
also from ρ have ... = branch-prob ℳ ρ by (simp add: branch-prob-altdef)
also have ... = emeasure (dens-ctxt-measure ℳ ρ) (space (dens-ctxt-measure ℳ
ρ))
by (simp add: branch-prob-def)
also have ... ≤ 1 by (rule emeasure-space-le-1)
finally show emeasure ?M (space ?M) ≤ 1 .
qed (insert disjoint, auto)

lemma density-context-if-dens-det:
assumes e: Γ ⊢ e : BOOL randomfree e free-vars e ⊆ V ∪ V'
shows density-context V V' Γ (if-dens-det δ e b)

```

```

unfolding density-context-def
proof (intro allI conjI impI subprob-spaceI)
  from assms show M: if-dens-det δ e b ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
    by (intro measurable-if-dens-det) simp-all

  fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
  hence [measurable]: (λσ. merge V V' (σ, ρ)) ∈
    measurable (state-measure V Γ) (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
  unfolding state-measure-def by simp

  from ρ interpret subprob-space dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ by (rule subprob-space-dens)
  let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, if-dens-det δ e b) ρ
  have emeasure ?M (space ?M) =
    ∫+x. if-dens-det δ e b (merge V V' (x, ρ)) ∂state-measure V Γ
  using M ρ unfolding dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def
  by (simp only: prod.case space-density)
    (auto simp: nn-integral-distr emeasure-density cong: nn-integral-cong')
  also from ρ have ... ≤ ∫+x. δ (merge V V' (x, ρ)) * 1 ∂state-measure V Γ
    unfolding if-dens-det-def
    by (intro nn-integral-mono mult-left-mono) (simp-all add: merge-in-state-measure)
    also from ρ have ... = branch-prob Y ρ by (simp add: branch-prob-altdef)
    also have ... = emeasure (dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ) (space (dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ))
      by (simp add: branch-prob-def)
    also have ... ≤ 1 by (rule emeasure-space-le-1)
    finally show emeasure ?M (space ?M) ≤ 1 .
  qed (insert disjoint assms, auto intro: measurable-if-dens-det)

```

```

lemma density-context-empty[simp]: density-context {} (V ∪ V') Γ (λ-. 1)
unfolding density-context-def
proof (intro allI conjI impI subprob-spaceI)
  fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
  let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure ({} , V ∪ V' , Γ , λ-. 1) ρ
  from ρ have ∫σ. merge {} (V ∪ V') (σ, ρ) = ρ
    by (intro ext) (auto simp: merge-def state-measure-def space-PiM)
  with ρ show emeasure ?M (space ?M) ≤ 1
    unfolding dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def
    by (auto simp: emeasure-density emeasure-distr state-measure-def PiM-empty)
  qed auto

```

```

lemma dens-ctxt-measure-bind-const:
  assumes ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ) subprob-space N
  shows dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ ≈ (λ-. N) = density N (λ-. branch-prob Y ρ) (is
?M1 = ?M2)
proof (rule measure-eqI)
  have [simp]: sets ?M1 = sets N by (auto simp: space-subprob-algebra assms)
  thus sets ?M1 = sets ?M2 by simp

```

```

fix X assume X: X ∈ sets ?M1
with assms have emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure N X * branch-prob Y ρ
  unfolding branch-prob-def by (subst emeasure-bind-const') (auto simp: sub-
prob-space-dens)
  also from X have emeasure N X = ∫+x. indicator X x ∂N by simp
  also from X have ... * branch-prob Y ρ = ∫+x. branch-prob Y ρ * indicator X
x ∂N
  by (subst nn-integral-cmult) (auto simp: branch-prob-def field-simps)
  also from X have ... = emeasure ?M2 X by (simp add: emeasure-density)
  finally show emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure ?M2 X .
qed

```

```

lemma nn-integral-dens ctxt-measure-restrict:
assumes ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ) f ρ ≥ 0
assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ)
shows (∫+x. f (restrict x V') ∂dens ctxt-measure Y ρ) = branch-prob Y ρ * f ρ
proof-
  have (∫+x. f (restrict x V') ∂dens ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ) =
    ∫+x. δ (merge V V' (x, ρ)) * f (restrict (merge V V' (x, ρ)) V')
  ∂state-measure V Γ
  (is - = ?I)
  by (subst nn-integral-dens ctxt-measure, simp add: assms,
    rule measurable-compose[OF measurable-restrict], unfold state-measure-def,
    rule measurable-component-singleton, insert assms, simp-all add: state-measure-def)
  also from assms(1) and disjoint
  have ∀x. x ∈ space (state-measure V Γ) ⇒ restrict (merge V V' (x, ρ)) V'
  = ρ
  by (intro ext) (auto simp: restrict-def merge-def state-measure-def space-PiM
  dest: PiE-mem)
  hence ?I = ∫+x. δ (merge V V' (x, ρ)) * f ρ ∂state-measure V Γ
  by (intro nn-integral-cong) simp
  also have ... = (∫+x. f ρ ∂dens ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ)
  by (subst nn-integral-dens ctxt-measure) (simp-all add: assms)
  also have ... = f ρ * branch-prob Y ρ
  by (subst nn-integral-const)
    (simp-all add: assms branch-prob-def)
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: field-simps)
qed

```

```

lemma expr-sem-op-eq-distr:
assumes Γ ⊢ oper $$ e : t' free-vars e ⊆ V ∪ V' ρ ∈ space (state-measure V'
Γ)
defines M ≡ dens ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ
shows M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ (oper $$ e)) =
  distr (M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e)) (stock-measure t') (op-sem oper)
proof-
  from assms(1) obtain t where t1: Γ ⊢ e : t and t2: op-type oper t = Some t'
  by auto

```

```

let ?N = stock-measure t and ?R = subprob-algebra (stock-measure t')
{fix x assume x ∈ space (stock-measure t)
with t1 assms(2,3) have val-type x = t
  by (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM dest: PiE-mem)
hence return-val (op-sem oper x) = return (stock-measure t') (op-sem oper x)
  unfolding return-val-def by (subst op-sem-val-type) (simp-all add: t2)
} note return-op-sem = this

from assms and t1 have M-e: ( $\lambda\sigma$ . expr-sem  $\sigma$  e) ∈ measurable M (subprob-algebra (stock-measure t))
  by (simp add: M-def measurable-dens ctxt-measure-eq measurable-expr-sem)
from return-op-sem
have M-cong: ( $\lambda x$ . return-val (op-sem oper x)) ∈ measurable ?N ?R  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\lambda x$ . return (stock-measure t') (op-sem oper x)) ∈ measurable ?N
?R
  by (intro measurable-cong) simp
have M-ret: ( $\lambda x$ . return-val (op-sem oper x)) ∈ measurable (stock-measure t) ?R
  by (subst M-cong, intro measurable-compose[OF measurable-op-sem[OF t2]] return-measurable)

from M-e have [simp]: sets (M  $\gg$  ( $\lambda\sigma$ . expr-sem  $\sigma$  e)) = sets (stock-measure t)
  by (intro sets-bind) (auto simp: M-def space-subprob-algebra dest!: measurable-space)
from measurable-cong-sets[OF this refl]
have M-op: op-sem oper ∈ measurable (M  $\gg$  ( $\lambda\sigma$ . expr-sem  $\sigma$  e)) (stock-measure t')
  by (auto intro!: measurable-op-sem t2)
have [simp]: space (M  $\gg$  ( $\lambda\sigma$ . expr-sem  $\sigma$  e)) = space (stock-measure t)
  by (rule sets-eq-imp-space-eq) simp

from M-e and M-ret have M  $\gg$  ( $\lambda\sigma$ . expr-sem  $\sigma$  (oper $$ e)) =
  (M  $\gg$  ( $\lambda\sigma$ . expr-sem  $\sigma$  e))  $\gg$  ( $\lambda x$ . return-val (op-sem oper x))
  unfolding M-def by (subst expr-sem.simps, intro bind-assoc[symmetric]) simp-all
  also have ... = (M  $\gg$  ( $\lambda\sigma$ . expr-sem  $\sigma$  e))  $\gg$  ( $\lambda x$ . return (stock-measure t') (op-sem oper x))
    by (intro bind-cong refl) (simp add: return-op-sem)
  also have ... = distr (M  $\gg$  ( $\lambda\sigma$ . expr-sem  $\sigma$  e)) (stock-measure t') (op-sem oper)
    by (subst bind-return-distr[symmetric]) (simp-all add: o-def M-op)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

end

lemma density-context-equiv:

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in space(state-measure(V \cup V') \Gamma) \implies \delta \sigma = \delta' \sigma$ 
assumes [simp, measurable]:  $\delta' \in borel-measurable(state-measure(V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
assumes density-context  $V V' \Gamma \delta$ 
shows density-context  $V V' \Gamma \delta'$ 
proof (unfold density-context-def, intro conjI allI impI subprob-spaceI)
interpret density-context  $V V' \Gamma \delta$  by fact
fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in space(state-measure V' \Gamma)$ 
let ?M = dens ctxt-measure  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta')$   $\varrho$ 
let ?N = dens ctxt-measure  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta)$   $\varrho$ 
from  $\varrho$  have emeasure ?M (space ?M) =  $\int^+ x. \delta'(merge V V'(x, \varrho)) \partial state-measure V \Gamma$ 
  unfolding dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def
  apply (simp only: prod.case, subst space-density)
  apply (simp add: emeasure-density cong: nn-integral-cong')
  apply (subst nn-integral-distr, simp add: state-measure-def, simp-all)
  done
also from  $\varrho$  have ... =  $\int^+ x. \delta(merge V V'(x, \varrho)) \partial state-measure V \Gamma$ 
by (intro nn-integral-cong, subst assms(1)) (simp-all add: merge-in-state-measure)
also from  $\varrho$  have ... = branch-prob  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta)$   $\varrho$  by (simp add: branch-prob-altdef)
also have ... = emeasure ?N (space ?N) by (simp add: branch-prob-def)
also from  $\varrho$  have ... ≤ 1 by (intro subprob-space.emeasure-space-le-1 subprob-space-dens)
finally show emeasure ?M (space ?M) ≤ 1 .
qed (insert assms, auto simp: density-context-def)

end

```

## 7 Abstract PDF Compiler

```

theory PDF-Compiler-Pred
imports PDF-Semantics PDF-Density-Contexts PDF-Transformations Density-Predicates
begin

```

### 7.1 Density compiler predicate

Predicate version of the probability density compiler that compiles a expression to a probability density function of its distribution. The density is a HOL function of type  $val \Rightarrow ennreal$ .

```

inductive expr-has-density :: dens ctxt ⇒ expr ⇒ (state ⇒ val ⇒ ennreal) ⇒
bool
((1- ⊢d / (- ⇒ / -)) [50,0,50] 50) where
hd-AE:  $\llbracket (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f; \Gamma \vdash e : t;$ 
 $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in space(state-measure V' \Gamma) \implies$ 
 $\text{AE } x \text{ in stock-measure } t. f \varrho x = f' \varrho x;$ 
 $\text{case-prod } f' \in borel-measurable(state-measure V' \Gamma \otimes_M stock-measure t) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f'$ 
| hd-dens ctxt-cong:

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies (\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(V \cup V') \Gamma) \\
& \implies \delta \sigma = \delta' \sigma) \\
& \implies (V, V', \Gamma, \delta') \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \\
| \text{hd-val}: \text{countable-type}(\text{val-type } v) \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Val } v \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. \text{branch-prob}(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho * \text{indicator} \\
& \{v\} x) \\
| \text{hd-var}: x \in V \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Var } x \Rightarrow \text{marg-dens}(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) x \\
| \text{hd-let}: \llbracket (\{\}, V \cup V', \Gamma, \lambda \cdot. 1) \rrbracket \vdash_d e1 \Rightarrow f; \\
& (\text{shift-var-set } V, \text{Suc}' V', \text{the(expr-type } \Gamma \text{ e1}) \cdot \Gamma, \text{insert-dens } V V' f \delta) \vdash_d \\
e2 \Rightarrow g \rrbracket \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{LetVar } e1 e2 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho. g \text{ (case-nat undefined } \varrho)) \\
| \text{hd-rand}: (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Random dst } e \Rightarrow \text{apply-dist-to-dens} \\
& \text{dst } f \\
| \text{hd-rand-det}: \text{randomfree } e \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V' \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Random dst } e \Rightarrow \\
& (\lambda \varrho x. \text{branch-prob}(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho * \text{dist-dens dst } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \varrho e) \\
x) \\
| \text{hd-fail}: (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Fail } t \Rightarrow (\lambda \cdot \cdot. 0) \\
| \text{hd-pair}: x \in V \implies y \in V \implies x \neq y \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \langle \text{Var } x, \text{Var } y \rangle \Rightarrow \\
\text{marg-dens2 } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) x y \\
| \text{hd-if}: \llbracket (\{\}, V \cup V', \Gamma, \lambda \cdot. 1) \rrbracket \vdash_d b \Rightarrow f; \\
& ((V, V', \Gamma, \text{if-dens } \delta f \text{ True}) \vdash_d e1 \Rightarrow g1; (V, V', \Gamma, \text{if-dens } \delta f \text{ False}) \vdash_d e2 \\
\Rightarrow g2 \rrbracket \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } e1 \text{ ELSE } e2 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. g1 \varrho x + g2 \varrho x) \\
| \text{hd-if-det}: \llbracket \text{randomfree } b; (V, V', \Gamma, \text{if-dens-det } \delta b \text{ True}) \rrbracket \vdash_d e1 \Rightarrow g1; \\
& ((V, V', \Gamma, \text{if-dens-det } \delta b \text{ False}) \vdash_d e2 \Rightarrow g2 \rrbracket \implies (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } e1 \text{ ELSE } e2 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. g1 \varrho x + g2 \varrho x) \\
| \text{hd-fst}: (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Fst } \$\$ e \Rightarrow \\
& (\lambda \varrho x. \int^+ y. f \varrho \langle |x, y| \rangle \partial \text{stock-measure } (\text{the(expr-type } \Gamma \text{ (Snd } \$\$ \\
e))) \\
| \text{hd-snd}: (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Snd } \$\$ e \Rightarrow \\
& (\lambda \varrho y. \int^+ x. f \varrho \langle |x, y| \rangle \partial \text{stock-measure } (\text{the(expr-type } \Gamma \text{ (Fst } \$\$ \\
e))) \\
| \text{hd-op-discr}: \text{countable-type}(\text{the(expr-type } \Gamma \text{ (oper } \$\$ e))) \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \\
\Rightarrow f \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{oper } \$\$ e \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho y. \int^+ x. (\text{if op-sem oper } x = y \\
\text{then 1 else 0}) * f \varrho x \quad \partial \text{stock-measure } (\text{the(expr-type } \Gamma \text{ e)))) \\
| \text{hd-neg}: (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Minus } \$\$ e \Rightarrow (\lambda \sigma x. f \sigma (\text{op-sem Minus } x)) \\
| \text{hd-addc}: (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies \text{randomfree } e' \implies \text{free-vars } e' \subseteq V' \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Add } \$\$ \langle e, e' \rangle \Rightarrow \\
& (\lambda \varrho x. f \varrho (\text{op-sem Add } \langle |x, \text{expr-sem-rf } \varrho (\text{Minus } \$\$ e') | \rangle)) \\
| \text{hd-multc}: (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies \text{val-type } c = \text{REAL} \implies c \neq \text{RealVal } 0 \implies & (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Mult } \$\$ \langle e, \text{Val } c \rangle \Rightarrow \\
& (\lambda \varrho x. f \varrho (\text{op-sem Mult } \langle |x, \text{op-sem Inverse } c | \rangle) * \\
& \text{inverse } (\text{abs } (\text{extract-real } c))) )
\end{aligned}$$

```

| hd-exp:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies$ 
 $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Exp} \ \$\$ e \Rightarrow$ 
 $(\lambda \sigma x. \text{if extract-real } x > 0 \text{ then}$ 
 $f \sigma (\text{lift-RealVal safe-} \ln x) * \text{inverse} (\text{extract-real } x) \text{ else } 0)$ 
| hd-inv:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies$ 
 $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Inverse} \ \$\$ e \Rightarrow (\lambda \sigma x. f \sigma (\text{op-sem Inverse } x) *$ 
 $\text{inverse} (\text{extract-real } x) \wedge 2)$ 
| hd-add:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies$ 
 $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Add} \ \$\$ e \Rightarrow (\lambda \sigma z. \int^+ x. f \sigma <|x, \text{op-sem Add} <|z,$ 
 $\text{op-sem Minus } x|>|>$ 
 $\partial \text{stock-measure} (\text{val-type } z))$ 

```

**lemmas** *expr-has-density-intros* =  
*hd-val* *hd-var* *hd-let* *hd-rand* *hd-rand-det* *hd-fail* *hd-pair* *hd-if* *hd-if-det*  
*hd-fst* *hd-snd* *hd-op-discr* *hd-neg* *hd-addc* *hd-multc* *hd-exp* *hd-inv* *hd-add*

## 7.2 Auxiliary lemmas

```

lemma has-subprob-density-distr-Fst:
  fixes  $t_1 t_2 f$ 
  defines  $N \equiv \text{stock-measure} (\text{PRODUCT } t_1 t_2)$ 
  defines  $N' \equiv \text{stock-measure } t_1$ 
  defines  $\text{fst}' \equiv \text{op-sem Fst}$ 
  defines  $f' \equiv \lambda x. \int^+ y. f <|x,y|> \partial \text{stock-measure } t_2$ 
  assumes  $\text{dens}: \text{has-subprob-density } M N f$ 
  shows  $\text{has-subprob-density} (\text{distr } M N' \text{fst}') N' f'$ 
  proof (intro has-subprob-densityI measure-eqI)
    from  $\text{dens}$  interpret subprob-space  $M$  by (rule has-subprob-densityD)
    from  $\text{dens}$  have  $M\text{-}M: \text{measurable } M = \text{measurable } N$ 
      by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)
    hence  $\text{meas-fst}: \text{fst}' \in \text{measurable } M N' \text{ unfolding fst'-def}$ 
      by (subst op-sem.simps) (simp add: N'-def N-def M-M)
    thus  $\text{subprob-space} (\text{distr } M N' \text{fst}')$ 
      by (rule subprob-space-distr) (simp-all add: N'-def)
    interpret sigma-finite-measure stock-measure  $t_2$  by simp
    have  $f[\text{measurable}]: f \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{stock-measure} (\text{PRODUCT } t_1 t_2))$ 
      using  $\text{dens}$  by (auto simp: has-subprob-density-def has-density-def N-def)
      then show  $\text{meas-f': } f' \in \text{borel-measurable } N' \text{ unfolding f'-def N'-def}$ 
        by measurable
    let  $?M1 = \text{distr } M N' \text{fst}'$  and  $?M2 = \text{density } N' f'$ 
    show  $\text{sets } ?M1 = \text{sets } ?M2$  by simp
    fix  $X$  assume  $X \in \text{sets } ?M1$ 
    hence  $X: X \in \text{sets } N' X \in \text{sets } N'$  by (simp-all add: N'-def)
    then have [measurable]:  $X \in \text{sets} (\text{stock-measure } t_1)$ 
      by (simp add: N'-def)
    from  $\text{meas-fst}$  and  $X(1)$  have  $\text{emeasure } ?M1 X = \text{emeasure } M (\text{fst}' -` X \cap$ 

```

$space M)$   
**by** (rule emeasure-distr)  
**also from** dens **have**  $M: M = density N f$  **by** (rule has-subprob-densityD)  
**from** this **and** meas-fst **have**  $meas-fst': fst' \in measurable N N'$  **by** simp  
**with** dens **and** X **have**  $emeasure M (fst' -` X \cap space M) =$   
 $\int^+ x. f x * indicator (fst' -` X \cap space N) x \partial N$   
**by** (subst (1 2) M, subst space-density, subst emeasure-density)  
(erule has-subprob-densityD, erule measurable-sets, simp, simp)  
**also have**  $N = distr (N' \otimes_M stock-measure t2) N (case-prod PairVal)$  (**is** - = ?N)  
**unfolding**  $N\text{-def } N'\text{-def stock-measure.simps}$  **by** (rule embed-measure-eq-distr)  
(simp add: inj-PairVal)  
**hence**  $\bigwedge f. nn\text{-integral } N f = nn\text{-integral } ... f$  **by** simp  
**also from** dens **and** X  
**have**  $(\int^+ x. f x * indicator (fst' -` X \cap space N) x \partial N) =$   
 $\int^+ x. f (case-prod PairVal x) * indicator (fst' -` X \cap space N) (case-prod$   
 $PairVal x)$   
 $\partial(N' \otimes_M stock-measure t2)$   
**by** (intro nn-integral-distr)  
(simp-all add: measurable-embed-measure2 N-def N'-def fst'-def)  
**also from** has-subprob-densityD(1)[OF dens] **and** X  
**have** ... =  $\int^+ x. \int^+ y. f <|x,y|> * indicator (fst' -` X \cap space N) <|x, y|>$   
 $\partial stock-measure t2 \partial N'$   
(**is** - = ?I)  
**by** (subst sigma-finite-measure.nn-integral-fst[symmetric])  
(auto simp: N-def N'-def fst'-def comp-def simp del: space-stock-measure)  
**also from** X **have**  $A: \bigwedge x y. x \in space N' \implies y \in space (stock-measure t2) \implies$   
 $indicator (fst' -` X \cap space N) <|x, y|> = indicator X x$   
**by** (auto split: split-indicator simp: fst'-def N-def  
space-embed-measure space-pair-measure N'-def)  
**have** ?I =  $\int^+ x. \int^+ y. f <|x,y|> * indicator X x \partial stock-measure t2 \partial N'$  (**is** - = ?I)  
**by** (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp add: A)  
**also have**  $A: \bigwedge x. x \in space N' \implies (\lambda y. f <|x,y|>) = f \circ case-prod PairVal \circ$   
 $(\lambda y. (x,y))$   
**by** (intro ext) simp  
**from** dens **have** ?I =  $\int^+ x. (\int^+ y. f <|x,y|> \partial stock-measure t2) * indicator X$   
 $x \partial N'$   
**by** (intro nn-integral-cong nn-integral-multc, subst A)  
(auto intro!: measurable-comp f measurable-PairVal simp: N'-def)  
**also from** meas-f' **and** X(2) **have** ... = emeasure ?M2 X **unfolding** f'-def  
**by** (rule emeasure-density[symmetric])  
**finally show** emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure ?M2 X .  
**qed**

**lemma** has-subprob-density-distr-Snd:  
**fixes** t1 t2 f  
**defines**  $N \equiv stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2)$   
**defines**  $N' \equiv stock-measure t2$

```

defines snd' ≡ op-sem Snd
defines f' ≡ λy. ∫+x. f <|x,y|> ∂stock-measure t1
assumes dens: has-subprob-density M N f
shows has-subprob-density (distr M N' snd') N' f'
proof (intro has-subprob-densityI measure-eqI)
  from dens interpret subprob-space M by (rule has-subprob-densityD)
  from dens have M-M: measurable M = measurable N
    by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)
  hence meas-snd: snd' ∈ measurable M N' unfolding snd'-def
    by (subst op-sem.simps) (simp add: N'-def N-def M-M)
  thus subprob-space (distr M N' snd')
    by (rule subprob-space-distr) (simp-all add: N'-def)

interpret t1: sigma-finite-measure stock-measure t1 by simp
have A: (λ(x, y). f <| x , y |>) = f ∘ case-prod PairVal
  by (intro ext) (simp add: o-def split: prod.split)
have f[measurable]: f ∈ borel-measurable (stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2))
  using dens by (auto simp: has-subprob-density-def has-density-def N-def)
then show meas-f': f' ∈ borel-measurable N' unfolding f'-def N'-def
  by measurable

interpret N': sigma-finite-measure N'
  unfolding N'-def by (rule sigma-finite-stock-measure)

interpret N'-t1: pair-sigma-finite t1 N' proof qed

let ?M1 = distr M N' snd' and ?M2 = density N' f'
show sets ?M1 = sets ?M2 by simp
fix X assume X ∈ sets ?M1
hence X: X ∈ sets N' X ∈ sets N' by (simp-all add: N'-def)
then have [measurable]: X ∈ sets (stock-measure t2)
  by (simp add: N'-def)

from meas-snd and X(1) have emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure M (snd' -` X ∩ space M)
  by (rule emeasure-distr)
also from dens have M: M = density N f by (rule has-subprob-densityD)
from this and meas-snd have meas-snd': snd' ∈ measurable N N' by simp
with dens and X have emeasure M (snd' -` X ∩ space M) =
  ∫+x. f x * indicator (snd' -` X ∩ space N) x ∂N
  by (subst (1 2) M, subst space-density, subst emeasure-density)
    (erule has-subprob-densityD, erule measurable-sets, simp, simp)
also have N = distr (stock-measure t1 ⊗M N') N (case-prod PairVal) (is - = ?N)
  unfolding N-def N'-def stock-measure.simps by (rule embed-measure-eq-distr)
  (simp add: inj-PairVal)
hence ∫f. nn-integral N f = nn-integral ... f by simp
also from dens and X
  have (∫+x. f x * indicator (snd' -` X ∩ space N) x ∂?N) =

```

```

 $\int^+ x. f (\text{case-prod } \text{PairVal } x) * \text{indicator} (\text{snd}' -` X \cap \text{space } N)$ 
(case-prod PairVal x)
 $\partial(\text{stock-measure } t1 \otimes_M N')$ 
by (intro nn-integral-distr)
(simp-all add: measurable-embed-measure2 N-def N'-def snd'-def)
also from has-subprob-densityD(1)[OF dens] and X
have ... =  $\int^+ y. \int^+ x. f <|x,y|> * \text{indicator} (\text{snd}' -` X \cap \text{space } N) <|x, y|>$ 
 $\partial \text{stock-measure } t1 \partial N'$ 
(is ... = ?I)
by (subst N'-t1.nn-integral-snd[symmetric])
(auto simp: N-def N'-def snd'-def comp-def simp del: space-stock-measure)
also from X have A:  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{space } N' \implies y \in \text{space } (\text{stock-measure } t1) \implies$ 
 $\text{indicator} (\text{snd}' -` X \cap \text{space } N) <|y, x|> = \text{indicator } X x$ 
by (auto split: split-indicator simp: snd'-def N-def
space-embed-measure space-pair-measure N'-def)
have ?I =  $\int^+ y. \int^+ x. f <|x,y|> * \text{indicator } X y \partial \text{stock-measure } t1 \partial N'$  (is - = ?I)
by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp add: A)
also have A:  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{space } N' \implies (\lambda x. f <|x,y|>) = f \circ \text{case-prod } \text{PairVal} \circ$ 
 $(\lambda x. (x,y))$ 
by (intro ext) simp
from dens have ?I =  $\int^+ y. (\int^+ x. f <|x,y|> \partial \text{stock-measure } t1) * \text{indicator } X$ 
y  $\partial N'$ 
by (intro nn-integral-cong nn-integral-multc) (auto simp: N'-def)
also from meas-f' and X(2) have ... = emeasure ?M2 X unfolding f'-def
by (rule emeasure-density[symmetric])
finally show emeasure ?M1 X = emeasure ?M2 X .
qed

```

```

lemma dens ctxt-measure-empty-bind:
assumes  $\varrho \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
assumes f[measurable]:  $f \in \text{measurable } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$  (subprob-algebra N)
shows dens ctxt-measure ( $\{\}, V', \Gamma, \lambda \cdot. 1$ )  $\varrho \gg= f = f \varrho$  (is bind ?M - = ?R)
proof (intro measure-eqI)
from assms have nonempty:  $\text{space } ?M \neq \{\}$ 
by (auto simp: dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def space-PiM)
moreover have meas: measurable ?M = measurable (state-measure V'  $\Gamma$ )
by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) (auto simp: dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
moreover from assms have [simp]: sets (f  $\varrho$ ) = sets N
by (intro sets-kernel[OF assms(2)])
ultimately show sets-eq: sets (?M  $\gg=$  f) = sets ?R using assms
by (subst sets-bind[OF sets-kernel[OF f]]) (simp-all add: dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def)

from assms have [simp]:  $\bigwedge \sigma. \text{merge } \{\} V' (\sigma, \varrho) = \varrho$ 
by (intro ext) (auto simp: merge-def state-measure-def space-PiM)

fix X assume X:  $X \in \text{sets } (?M \gg= f)$ 

```

```

hence emeasure (?M ≈ f) X = ∫+x. emeasure (f x) X ∂?M using assms
  by (subst emeasure-bind[OF nonempty]) (simp-all add: nonempty meas sets-eq
cong: measurable-cong-sets)
also have ... = ∫+(x::state). emeasure (f ρ) X ∂count-space {λ-. undefined}
  unfolding dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def using X
assms
  apply (simp only: prod.case)
  apply (subst nn-integral-density)
apply (auto intro!: measurable-compose[OF - measurable-emeasure-subprob-algebra]
  simp: state-measure-def sets-eq PiM-empty) [3]
  apply (subst nn-integral-distr)
apply (auto intro!: measurable-compose[OF - measurable-emeasure-subprob-algebra]
  simp: state-measure-def sets-eq PiM-empty)
done
also have ... = emeasure (f ρ) X
  by (subst nn-integral-count-space-finite) (simp-all add: max-def)
finally show emeasure (?M ≈ f) X = emeasure (f ρ) X .
qed

lemma (in density-context) bind-dens_ctxt-measure-cong:
assumes fg: ∀σ. (∀x. x ∈ V' ⇒ σ x = ρ x) ⇒ f σ = g σ
assumes ρ[measurable]: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
assumes Mf[measurable]: f ∈ measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) (subprob-algebra N)
assumes Mg[measurable]: g ∈ measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) (subprob-algebra N)
defines M ≡ dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ
shows M ≈ f = M ≈ g
proof -
  have [measurable]: (λσ. merge V V' (σ, ρ)) ∈ measurable (state-measure V Γ)
  (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
  using ρ unfolding state-measure-def by simp
  show ?thesis
    using disjoint
    apply (simp add: M-def dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def density-distr)
    apply (subst (1 2) bind-distr)
    apply measurable
    apply (intro bind-cong-AE[where B=N] AE-I2 refl fg)
    apply measurable
    done
qed

lemma (in density-context) bin-op-randomfree-restructure:
assumes t1: Γ ⊢ e : t and t2: Γ ⊢ e' : t' and t3: op-type oper (PRODUCT t
t') = Some tr
assumes rf: randomfree e' and vars1: free-vars e ⊆ V ∪ V' and vars2: free-vars
e' ⊆ V'
assumes ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
defines M ≡ dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ

```

```

defines  $v \equiv \text{expr-sem-rf } \varrho e'$ 
shows  $M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{oper } \$\$ <e, e'>)) =$ 
       $\text{distr} (M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e)) (\text{stock-measure } tr) (\lambda w. \text{op-sem } \text{oper}$ 
 $<|w, v|>)$ 
proof-
  from assms have vars1':  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{space } M \implies \forall x \in \text{free-vars } e. \text{val-type } (\sigma x) = \Gamma x$ 
  and vars2':  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{space } M \implies \forall x \in \text{free-vars } e'. \text{val-type } (\sigma x) = \Gamma x$ 
  by (auto simp: M-def space-dens-ctxt-measure state-measure-def space-PiM
        dest: PiE-mem)
  have Me:  $(\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e) \in$ 
            measurable (state-measure ( $V \cup V'$ )  $\Gamma$ ) (subprob-algebra (stock-measure t))
  by (rule measurable-expr-sem[OF t1 vars1])

  from assms have e':  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{space } M \implies \text{expr-sem } \sigma e' = \text{return-val } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e')$ 
  by (intro expr-sem-rf-sound[symmetric]) (auto simp: M-def space-dens-ctxt-measure)
  from assms have vt-e':  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{space } M \implies \text{val-type } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e') = t'$ 
  by (intro val-type-expr-sem-rf) (auto simp: M-def space-dens-ctxt-measure)

  let ?tt' = PRODUCT t t'
  {
    fix  $\sigma$  assume  $\sigma: \sigma \in \text{space } M$ 
    with vars2 have [simp]: measurable (expr-sem  $\sigma e') = \text{measurable } (\text{stock-measure } t')$ 
    by (intro measurable-expr-sem-eq[OF t2, of -  $V \cup V'$ ]) (auto simp: M-def
           space-dens-ctxt-measure)
    from  $\sigma$  have [simp]: space (expr-sem  $\sigma e) = \text{space } (\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
          space (expr-sem  $\sigma e') = \text{space } (\text{stock-measure } t')$ 
    using space-expr-sem[OF t1 vars1'[OF  $\sigma$ ]] space-expr-sem[OF t2 vars2'[OF  $\sigma$ ]] by simp-all
    have expr-sem  $\sigma e \gg= (\lambda x. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e' \gg= (\lambda y. \text{return-val } <| x, y |>)) =$ 
          expr-sem  $\sigma e \gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-val } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e') \gg= (\lambda y. \text{return-val } <| x, y |>))$ 
    by (intro bind-cong refl, subst e'[OF  $\sigma$ ]) simp
    also have ... = expr-sem  $\sigma e \gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-val } <| x, \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e' |>)$ 
  using  $\sigma$  vars2
  by (intro bind-cong refl, subst bind-return-val'[of -  $t' - ?tt'$ ])
     (auto simp: vt-e' M-def space-dens-ctxt-measure
               intro!: measurable-PairVal)
  finally have expr-sem  $\sigma e \gg= (\lambda x. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e' \gg= (\lambda y. \text{return-val } <| x, y |>))$ 
  =
  expr-sem  $\sigma e \gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-val } <| x, \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e' |>).$ 
}
hence  $M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{oper } \$\$ <e, e'>)) =$ 
       $M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. (\text{expr-sem } \sigma e \gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-val } <| x, \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e' |>))$ 
       $\gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-val } (\text{op-sem } \text{oper } x))) (\text{is } - = ?T)$ 

```

```

by (intro bind-cong refl) (simp only: expr-sem.simps)
also have [measurable]:  $\lambda\sigma. \sigma \in space M \implies expr-sem-rf \sigma e' \in space t'$ 
  by (simp add: type-universe-def vt-e' del: type-universe-type)
  note [measurable] = measurable-op-sem[ $OF t3$ ]
  hence ?T = M  $\gg= (\lambda\sigma. expr-sem \sigma e \gg= (\lambda x. return-val (op-sem oper <|x,$ 
expr-sem-rf  $\sigma e'|>)))$ 
    (is - = ?T)
    by (intro bind-cong[ $OF refl$ ], subst bind-assoc-return-val[of - t - ?tt' - tr])
      (auto simp: sets-expr-sem[ $OF t1 vars1$ ])
  also have eq:  $\lambda\sigma. (\lambda x. x \in V' \implies \sigma x = \varrho x) \implies expr-sem-rf \sigma e' = expr-sem-rf$ 
 $\varrho e'$ 
    using vars2 by (intro expr-sem-rf-eq-on-vars) auto
  have [measurable]:  $(\lambda\sigma. expr-sem-rf \sigma e') \in measurable (state-measure (V \cup V'))$ 
 $\Gamma (stock-measure t')$ 
    using vars2 by (intro measurable-expr-sem-rf[ $OF t2 rf$ ]) blast
  note [measurable] = Me measurable-bind measurable-return-val
  have expr-sem-rf-space:  $expr-sem-rf \varrho e' \in space (stock-measure t')$ 
    using val-type-expr-sem-rf[ $OF t2 rf vars2 \varrho$ ]
    by (simp add: type-universe-def del: type-universe-type)
  hence ?T = M  $\gg= (\lambda\sigma. expr-sem \sigma e \gg= (\lambda x. return-val (op-sem oper <|x,$ 
expr-sem-rf  $\varrho e'|>)))$ 
    using  $\varrho$  unfolding M-def
    by (intro bind-dens ctxt-measure-cong, subst eq) (simp, simp, simp, measurable)
  also have ... =  $(M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. expr-sem \sigma e)) \gg=$ 
    return-val  $\circ (\lambda x. op-sem oper <|x, expr-sem-rf \varrho e'|>)$ 
  using expr-sem-rf-space
    by (subst bind-assoc[of - stock-measure t - stock-measure tr, symmetric])
      (simp-all add: M-def measurable-dens ctxt-measure-eq o-def)
  also have ... = distr  $(M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. expr-sem \sigma e)) (stock-measure tr)$ 
     $(\lambda x. op-sem oper <|x, expr-sem-rf \varrho e'|>)$  using Me
expr-sem-rf-space
  by (subst bind-return-val-distr[of - t - tr])
    (simp-all add: M-def sets-expr-sem[ $OF t1 vars1$ ])
  finally show ?thesis unfolding v-def .
qed

```

```

lemma addc-density-measurable:
  assumes Mf: case-prod f  $\in$  borel-measurable (state-measure  $V' \Gamma \otimes_M stock-measure$ 
t)
  assumes t-disj:  $t = REAL \vee t = INTEG$  and  $t: \Gamma \vdash e': t$ 
  assumes rf: randomfree e' and vars: free-vars e'  $\subseteq V'$ 
  defines f'  $\equiv (\lambda \varrho x. f \varrho (op-sem Add <|x, expr-sem-rf \varrho (Minus \$\$ e')|>))$ 
  shows case-prod f'  $\in$  borel-measurable (state-measure  $V' \Gamma \otimes_M stock-measure$ 
t)
  proof (insert t-disj, elim disjE)
    assume A:  $t = REAL$ 
    from A and t have t':  $\Gamma \vdash e': REAL$  by simp
    with rf vars have vt-e':
       $\lambda \varrho. \varrho \in space (state-measure V' \Gamma) \implies val-type (expr-sem-rf \varrho e') = REAL$ 

```

```

    by (intro val-type-expr-sem-rf) simp-all
 $\text{let } ?f' = \lambda\sigma x. \text{let } c = \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e'$ 
      in  $f \sigma (\text{RealVal} (\text{extract-real } x - \text{extract-real } c))$ 
 $\text{note } Mf[\text{unfolded } A, \text{measurable}] \text{ and } rf[\text{measurable}] \text{ and } vars[\text{measurable}] \text{ and }$ 
 $t[\text{unfolded } A, \text{measurable}]$ 
 $\text{have case-prod } ?f' \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure}$ 
 $t)$ 
 $\text{unfolding Let-def } A \text{ by measurable}$ 
 $\text{also have case-prod } ?f' \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure}$ 
 $t) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{case-prod } f' \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure}$ 
 $t)$ 
 $\text{by (intro measurable-cong)}$ 
 $(\text{auto simp: Let-def space-pair-measure } A \text{ space-embed-measure } f'\text{-def lift-RealIntVal2-def}$ 
 $\text{lift-RealIntVal-def extract-real-def}$ 
 $\text{dest!: vt-e' split: val.split})$ 
 $\text{finally show ?thesis} .$ 
 $\text{next}$ 
 $\text{assume } A: t = \text{INTEG}$ 
 $\text{with } t \text{ have } t': \Gamma \vdash e': \text{INTEG} \text{ by simp}$ 
 $\text{with } rf \text{ vars have } vt-e':$ 
 $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma) \implies \text{val-type} (\text{expr-sem-rf } \varrho e') = \text{INTEG}$ 
 $\text{by (intro val-type-expr-sem-rf) simp-all}$ 
 $\text{let } ?f' = \lambda\sigma x. \text{let } c = \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e'$ 
      in  $f \sigma (\text{IntVal} (\text{extract-int } x - \text{extract-int } c))$ 
 $\text{note } Mf[\text{unfolded } A, \text{measurable}] \text{ and } rf[\text{measurable}] \text{ and } vars[\text{measurable}] \text{ and }$ 
 $t[\text{unfolded } A, \text{measurable}]$ 
 $\text{have } Mdiff: \text{case-prod } ((-) :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int}) \in$ 
 $\text{measurable} (\text{count-space } UNIV \otimes_M \text{count-space } UNIV) (\text{count-space } UNIV) \text{ by simp}$ 
 $\text{have case-prod } ?f' \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure}$ 
 $t)$ 
 $\text{unfolding Let-def } A \text{ by measurable}$ 
 $\text{also have case-prod } ?f' \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure}$ 
 $t) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{case-prod } f' \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure}$ 
 $t)$ 
 $\text{by (intro measurable-cong)}$ 
 $(\text{auto simp: Let-def space-pair-measure } A \text{ space-embed-measure } f'\text{-def lift-RealIntVal2-def}$ 
 $\text{lift-RealIntVal-def extract-int-def}$ 
 $\text{dest!: vt-e' split: val.split})$ 
 $\text{finally show ?thesis} .$ 
 $\text{qed}$ 

 $\text{lemma (in density-context) emeasure-bind-if-dens-cxtt-measure:}$ 
 $\text{assumes } \varrho: \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
 $\text{defines } M \equiv \text{dens-cxtt-measure } \mathcal{Y} \varrho$ 
 $\text{assumes } Mf[\text{measurable}]: f \in \text{measurable } M \text{ (subprob-algebra (stock-measure }$ 
 $BOOL))$ 

```

```

assumes Mg[measurable]:  $g \in \text{measurable } M$  (subprob-algebra R)
assumes Mh[measurable]:  $h \in \text{measurable } M$  (subprob-algebra R)
assumes densf: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure ( $V \cup V'$ )  $\Gamma$ )
           $f$  (stock-measure BOOL)  $\delta f$ 
assumes densg: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure  $V'$   $\Gamma$ )
           $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * \delta f \sigma) (\text{BoolVal True}))$ 
 $\varrho \gg g$   $R \delta g$ 
assumes densh: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure  $V'$   $\Gamma$ )
           $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * \delta f \sigma) (\text{BoolVal False}))$ 
 $\varrho \gg h$   $R \delta h$ 
defines  $P \equiv \lambda b. b = \text{BoolVal True}$ 
shows  $M \gg (\lambda x. f x \gg (\lambda b. \text{if } P b \text{ then } g x \text{ else } h x)) = \text{density } R (\lambda x. \delta g \varrho$ 
 $x + \delta h \varrho x)$ 
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof (intro measure-eqI)
have sets-lhs: sets ?lhs = sets R
apply (subst sets-bind-measurable[of - - R])
apply measurable
apply (simp-all add: P-def M-def)
done
thus sets ?lhs = sets ?rhs by simp

fix X assume X ∈ sets ?lhs
hence X: X ∈ sets R by (simp only: sets-lhs)
from Mf have [simp]:  $\lambda x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{sets } (f x) = \text{sets } (\text{stock-measure}$ 
BOOL)
by (rule sets-kernel)
note [simp] = sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF this]
from has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(3)[OF densf]
have Mδf[measurable]:  $(\lambda(x, y). \delta f x y)$ 
 $\in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } \text{BOOL})$ 
by (simp add: M-def dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
have [measurable]: Measurable.pred (stock-measure BOOL) P
unfolding P-def by simp
have BoolVal-in-space: BoolVal True ∈ space (stock-measure BOOL)
BoolVal False ∈ space (stock-measure BOOL) by auto
from Mg have Mg'[measurable]:  $g \in \text{measurable } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
(subprob-algebra R)
by (simp add: M-def measurable-dens-ctxt-measure-eq)
from Mh have Mh'[measurable]:  $h \in \text{measurable } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
(subprob-algebra R)
by (simp add: M-def measurable-dens-ctxt-measure-eq)
from densf have densf': has-parametrized-subprob-density M f (stock-measure
BOOL)  $\delta f$ 
unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def
apply (subst measurable-cong-sets, subst sets-pair-measure-cong)
apply (unfold M-def dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def, (subst prod.case)+)
[] apply (subst sets-density, subst sets-distr, rule refl, rule refl, rule refl)

```

```

apply (auto simp: M-def space-dens ctxt-measure)
done

interpret dc-True: density-context V V' Γ λσ. δ σ * δf σ (BoolVal True)
  using density-context-if-dens[of - δf True] densf unfolding if-dens-def by
  (simp add: stock-measure.simps)
interpret dc-False: density-context V V' Γ λσ. δ σ * δf σ (BoolVal False)
  using density-context-if-dens[of - δf False] densf unfolding if-dens-def by
  (simp add: stock-measure.simps)

have emeasure (M ≈ (λx. f x ≈ (λb. if P b then g x else h x))) X =
  ∫+x. emeasure (f x ≈ (λb. if P b then g x else h x)) X ∂M using X
  by (subst emeasure-bind[of - - R], simp add: M-def, intro measurable-bind[OF M], measurable)
also have ... = ∫+x. ∫+b. emeasure (if P b then g x else h x) X ∂f x ∂M
  by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp-all add: X emeasure-bind[where N=R])
also have ... = ∫+x. ∫+b. emeasure (if P b then g x else h x) X * δf x b
  ∂stock-measure BOOL ∂M
  using has-parametrized-subprob-densityD[OF densf]
  by (intro nn-integral-cong)
  (simp-all add: AE-count-space field-simps nn-integral-density
    M-def space-dens ctxt-measure stock-measure.simps)
also have ... = ∫+x. emeasure (g x) X * δf x (BoolVal True) +
  emeasure (h x) X * δf x (BoolVal False) ∂M
  using has-parametrized-subprob-densityD[OF densf]
  by (intro nn-integral-cong, subst nn-integral-BoolVal)
  (auto simp: P-def nn-integral-BoolVal)
also have ... = (∫+x. emeasure (g x) X * δf x (BoolVal True) ∂M) +
  (∫+x. emeasure (h x) X * δf x (BoolVal False) ∂M) using X
  using has-parametrized-subprob-densityD[OF densf'] BoolVal-in-space
  by (intro nn-integral-add) (auto simp:)
also have (∫+x. emeasure (g x) X * δf x (BoolVal True) ∂M) =
  ∫+x. δ (merge V V'(x, ρ)) * δf (merge V V'(x, ρ)) (BoolVal True)
*
  (emeasure (g (merge V V'(x, ρ)))) X ∂state-measure V Γ
  using X has-parametrized-subprob-densityD[OF densf] BoolVal-in-space unfolding M-def
  by (subst nn-integral-dens ctxt-measure) (simp-all add: ρ mult-ac)
also have ... = emeasure (density R (δg ρ)) X using ρ X
  apply (subst dc-True.nn-integral-dens ctxt-measure[symmetric], simp-all) []
  apply (subst emeasure-bind[of - - R, symmetric], simp-all add: measurable-dens ctxt-measure-eq)
[]

  apply (subst has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(1)[OF densg], simp-all)
  done
also have (∫+x. emeasure (h x) X * δf x (BoolVal False) ∂M) =
  ∫+x. δ (merge V V'(x, ρ)) * δf (merge V V'(x, ρ)) (BoolVal False)
*
  (emeasure (h (merge V V'(x, ρ)))) X ∂state-measure V Γ
  using X has-parametrized-subprob-densityD[OF densf] BoolVal-in-space unfolding M-def

```

```

folding M-def
  by (subst nn-integral-dens ctxt-measure) (simp-all add:  $\varrho$  mult-ac)
  also have ... = emeasure (density R ( $\delta h \varrho$ )) X using  $\varrho$  X
    apply (subst dc-False.nn-integral-dens ctxt-measure[symmetric], simp-all) []
    apply (subst emeasure-bind[of - - R, symmetric], simp-all add: measurable-dens ctxt-measure-eq)
  []
  apply (subst has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(1)[OF densh], simp-all)
  done
  also have emeasure (density R ( $\delta g \varrho$ )) X + emeasure (density R ( $\delta h \varrho$ )) X =
    emeasure (density R ( $\lambda x. \delta g \varrho x + \delta h \varrho x$ )) X using X  $\varrho$ 
    using has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(2,3)[OF densg]
      has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(2,3)[OF densh]
    by (intro emeasure-density-add) simp-all
  finally show emeasure ?lhs X = emeasure ?rhs X .
qed

lemma (in density-context) emeasure-bind-if-det-dens ctxt-measure:
  fixes f
  assumes  $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
  defines M  $\equiv$  dens ctxt-measure  $\mathcal{Y} \varrho$ 
  defines P  $\equiv$   $\lambda b. f b = \text{BoolVal True}$  and  $P' \equiv \lambda b. f b = \text{BoolVal False}$ 
  assumes dc1: density-context  $V V' \Gamma (\lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * (\text{if } P \sigma \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$ 
  assumes dc2: density-context  $V V' \Gamma (\lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * (\text{if } P' \sigma \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$ 
  assumes Mf[measurable]:  $f \in \text{measurable } M$  (stock-measure BOOL)
  assumes Mg[measurable]:  $g \in \text{measurable } M$  (subprob-algebra R)
  assumes Mh[measurable]:  $h \in \text{measurable } M$  (subprob-algebra R)
  assumes densg: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure  $V' \Gamma$ )
     $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * (\text{if } P \sigma \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$ 
 $\varrho \gg g)$  R  $\delta g$ 
  assumes densh: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure  $V' \Gamma$ )
     $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * (\text{if } P' \sigma \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$ 
 $\varrho \gg h)$  R  $\delta h$ 
  shows M  $\gg (\lambda x. \text{if } P x \text{ then } g x \text{ else } h x) = \text{density } R (\lambda x. \delta g \varrho x + \delta h \varrho x)$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof (intro measure-eqI)
    have [measurable]: Measurable.pred M P
      unfolding P-def by (rule pred-eq-const1[OF Mf]) simp
    have [measurable]: Measurable.pred M P'
      unfolding P'-def by (rule pred-eq-const1[OF Mf]) simp
    have sets-lhs: sets ?lhs = sets R
      by (subst sets-bind-measurable[of - - R]) (simp-all, simp add: M-def)
    thus sets ?lhs = sets ?rhs by simp
    from Mg have Mg'[measurable]:  $g \in \text{measurable } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
      (subprob-algebra R)
      by (simp add: M-def measurable-dens ctxt-measure-eq)
    from Mh have Mh'[measurable]:  $h \in \text{measurable } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
      (subprob-algebra R)
      by (simp add: M-def measurable-dens ctxt-measure-eq)
    have [simp]:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{sets } (g x) = \text{sets } R$ 

```

```

by (rule sets-kernel[OF Mg])
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{sets } (h x) = \text{sets } R$ 
  by (rule sets-kernel[OF Mh])
have [simp]:  $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
  by (simp add: M-def dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
then have [measurable-cong]:  $\text{sets } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma) = \text{sets } M ..$ 
have [simp]:  $\text{range BoolVal} = \{\text{BoolVal True}, \text{BoolVal False}\}$  by auto

fix X assume X ∈ sets ?lhs
hence X[measurable]: X ∈ sets R by (simp only: sets-lhs)

interpret dc-True: density-context V V' Γ λσ. δ σ * (if P σ then 1 else 0) by
fact
interpret dc-False: density-context V V' Γ λσ. δ σ * (if P' σ then 1 else 0) by
fact

have emeasure (M ≈ (λx. if P x then g x else h x)) X =
  ∫+ x. (if P x then emeasure (g x) X else emeasure (h x) X) ∂M using X
  by (subst emeasure-bind[of - - R], simp add: M-def, measurable)
    (intro nn-integral-cong, simp)
also have ... = ∫+ x. (if P x then 1 else 0) * emeasure (g x) X +
  (if P' x then 1 else 0) * emeasure (h x) X ∂M using X
  using measurable-space[OF Mf]
  by (intro nn-integral-cong) (auto simp add: P-def P'-def stock-measure.simps)
also have ... = (∫+ x. (if P x then 1 else 0) * emeasure (g x) X ∂M) +
  (∫+ x. (if P' x then 1 else 0) * emeasure (h x) X ∂M) using X
  by (intro nn-integral-add) (simp-all add:)
also have ... = (∫+ y. δg ρ y * indicator X y ∂R) + (∫+ y. δh ρ y * indicator
X y ∂R)
  unfolding M-def using ρ X
  apply (simp add: nn-integral-dens_ctxt-measure)
  apply (subst (1 2) mult.assoc[symmetric])
  apply (subst dc-True.nn-integral-dens_ctxt-measure[symmetric], simp, simp)
  apply (subst dc-False.nn-integral-dens_ctxt-measure[symmetric], simp, simp)
  apply (subst (1 2) emeasure-bind[symmetric], simp-all add: measurable-dens_ctxt-measure-eq)
  apply measurable
  apply (subst emeasure-has-parametrized-subprob-density[OF densg], simp, simp)
  apply (subst emeasure-has-parametrized-subprob-density[OF densh], simp-all)
  done
also have ... = emeasure (density R (λx. δg ρ x + δh ρ x)) X using X ρ
  using has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(2,3)[OF densg]
  using has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(2,3)[OF densh]
  apply (subst (1 2) emeasure-density[symmetric], simp-all) []
  apply (intro emeasure-density-add, simp-all)
  done
finally show emeasure ?lhs X = emeasure ?rhs X .
qed

```

### 7.3 Soundness proof

```

lemma restrict-state-measure[measurable]:
   $(\lambda x. \text{restrict } x \ V') \in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma) (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
  by (simp add: state-measure-def)

lemma expr-has-density-sound-op:
  assumes dens ctxt: density-context  $V \ V' \ \Gamma \ \delta$ 
  assumes dens: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure  $V' \ \Gamma$ )
     $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho \gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e))$ 
  ( $\text{stock-measure } t$ )  $f$ 
  assumes Mg: case-prod  $g \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t')$ 
  assumes dens':  $\bigwedge M \varrho. \text{has-subprob-density } M (\text{stock-measure } t) (f \varrho) \implies$ 
     $\text{has-density } (\text{distr } M (\text{stock-measure } t')) (\text{op-sem oper})$ 
     $(\text{stock-measure } t') (g \varrho)$ 
  assumes t1:  $\Gamma \vdash e : t$  and t2: op-type oper  $t = \text{Some } t'$ 
  assumes free-vars: free-vars (oper $$ e)  $\subseteq V \cup V'$ 
  shows has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure  $V' \ \Gamma$ )
     $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho \gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{oper } $$ e)))$ 
  ( $\text{stock-measure } t'$ )  $g$ 
proof -
  interpret density-context  $V \ V' \ \Gamma \ \delta$  by fact
  show ?thesis unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def
  proof (intro conjI ballI impI)
    show case-prod  $g \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t')$  by fact

  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V' \ \Gamma)$ 
  let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho$ 
  have Me:  $(\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e) \in \text{measurable } ?M (\text{subprob-algebra } (\text{stock-measure } t))$ 
    by (subst measurable-dens-ctxt-measure-eq)
    (insert assms t1, auto intro!: measurable-expr-sem)
  from dens and  $\varrho$  have dens: has-subprob-density (?M  $\gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e))$ 
  ( $\text{stock-measure } t$ )  $(f \varrho)$ 
    unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def by auto
    have has-subprob-density (distr (?M  $\gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e))$ ) ( $\text{stock-measure } t'$ ) ( $\text{op-sem oper}$ )
       $(\text{stock-measure } t') (g \varrho)$  (is has-subprob-density ?N - -)
    proof (unfold has-subprob-density-def, intro conjI)
      show subprob-space ?N
        apply (intro subprob-space.subprob-space-distr has-subprob-densityD[OF dens])
        apply (subst measurable-cong-sets[OF sets-bind-measurable refl])
        apply (rule Me)
        apply (simp-all add: measurable-op-sem t2)
        done
    from dens show has-density ?N ( $\text{stock-measure } t'$ )  $(g \varrho)$ 
  
```

```

    by (intro dens') (simp add: has-subprob-density-def)
qed
also from assms and  $\varrho$ 
have  $?N = ?M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{oper } \$\$ e))$ 
by (intro expr-sem-op-eq-distr[symmetric] expr-typing.intros) simp-all
finally show has-subprob-density ... (stock-measure t') (g  $\varrho$ ) .
qed
qed

lemma expr-has-density-sound-aux:
assumes  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Gamma \vdash e : t$ 
density-context  $V V' \Gamma \delta$  free-vars  $e \subseteq V \cup V'$ 
shows has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure  $V' \Gamma$ )
 $(\lambda\varrho. \text{do } \{\sigma \leftarrow \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho; \text{expr-sem } \sigma e\})$ 
(stock-measure t)
 $(\lambda\varrho x. f \varrho x)$ 
using assms
proof (induction arbitrary: t rule: expr-has-density.induct[split-format (complete)])
case (hd-AE  $V V' \Gamma \delta e f t f' t'$ )
from  $\langle \Gamma \vdash e : t' \rangle$  and  $\langle \Gamma \vdash e : t \rangle$  have t[simp]:  $t' = t$ 
by (rule expr-typing-unique)
have has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure  $V' \Gamma$ )
 $(\lambda\varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e))$  (stock-measure t) f (is ?P)
by (intro hd-AE.IH) fact+
from has-parametrized-subprob-density-dens-AE[OF hd-AE.hyps(3,4) this] show
?case by simp
next

case (hd-dens-ctxt-cong  $V V' \Gamma \delta e f \delta' t$ )
interpret dc': density-context  $V V' \Gamma \delta'$  by fact
from hd-dens-ctxt-cong.hyps and dc'.measurable-dens
have [simp]:  $\delta \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
by (erule-tac subst[OF measurable-cong, rotated]) simp
hence density-context  $V V' \Gamma \delta$ 
by (intro density-context-equiv[OF hd-dens-ctxt-cong.hyps(2)[symmetric]])
(insert hd-dens-ctxt-cong.prem hd-dens-ctxt-cong.hyps, simp-all)
hence has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure  $V' \Gamma$ )
 $(\lambda\varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e))$  (stock-measure t) f (is ?P)
using hd-dens-ctxt-cong.prem hd-dens-ctxt-cong.hyps
by (intro hd-dens-ctxt-cong.IH) simp-all
also have  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma) \implies$ 
dens-ctxt-measure  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta')$   $\sigma = \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta)$ 
 $\sigma$ 
by (auto simp: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def AE-distr-iff hd-dens-ctxt-cong.hyps
intro!: density-cong)
hence ?P  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?case by (intro has-parametrized-subprob-density-cong) simp
finally show ?case .

```

```

next
  case (hd-val v V V'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  t)
  hence [simp]:  $t = \text{val-type } v$  by auto
  interpret density-context V V'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule has-parametrized-subprob-densityI)
    show ( $\lambda(\varrho, y)$ . branch-prob (V, V',  $\Gamma, \delta$ )  $\varrho * \text{indicator } \{v\} y$ )  $\in$ 
      borel-measurable (state-measure V'  $\Gamma$   $\otimes_M$  stock-measure t)
    by (subst measurable-split-conv)
    (auto intro!: measurable-compose[OF measurable-snd borel-measurable-indicator]
      borel-measurable-times-ennreal)
    fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
    have return-probspace: prob-space (return-val v) unfolding return-val-def
      by (simp add: prob-space-return)
    thus subprob-space (dens ctxt-measure (V, V',  $\Gamma, \delta$ )  $\varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{Val } v))$ )
      using  $\varrho$ 
      by (auto simp: return-val-def
        intro!: measurable-compose[OF measurable-const return-measurable]
        subprob-space-bind
          subprob-space-dens hd-val.prem)
    from hd-val.hyps have stock-measure (val-type v) = count-space (type-universe t)
      by (simp add: countable-type-imp-count-space)
    thus dens ctxt-measure  $\mathcal{Y} \varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{Val } v)) =$ 
      density (stock-measure t) ( $\lambda x. \text{branch-prob } \mathcal{Y} \varrho * \text{indicator } \{v\} x$ )
      by (subst expr-sem.simps, subst dens ctxt-measure-bind-const, insert return-probspace)
      (auto simp: return-val-def return-count-space-eq-density  $\varrho$ 
        density-density-eq field-simps intro!: prob-space-imp-subprob-space)
  qed

next
  case (hd-var x V V'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  t)
  hence  $t: t = \Gamma x$  by auto
  interpret density-context V V'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  by fact
  from hd-var have  $x \in V$  by simp
  show ?case
  proof (rule has-parametrized-subprob-densityI)
    fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
    have subprob-space (dens ctxt-measure  $\mathcal{Y} \varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{return } (\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
    ( $\sigma x$ )))
      (is subprob-space (?M  $\gg=$  ?f)) using hd-var  $\varrho$ 
      by (intro subprob-space-bind)
      (auto simp: return-val-def t intro!: subprob-space-bind subprob-space-dens
        measurable-compose[OF measurable-dens ctxt-measure-component
        return-measurable])
    also from hd-var.hyps have ?M  $\gg=$  ?f = ?M  $\gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{return-val } (\sigma x))$ 
      by (intro bind-cong) (auto simp: return-val-def t space-dens ctxt-measure

```

```

state-measure-def space-PiM dest!: PiE-mem)
finally show subprob-space (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ (Var x))) by simp

from hd-var interpret dcm: subprob-space dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ
  by (intro subprob-space-dens ρ)
let ?M1 = dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ (Var x))
let ?M2 = density (stock-measure t) (λv. marg-dens Y x ρ v)
have ∀σ∈space (dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ). val-type (σ x) = t using hd-var
  by (auto simp: space-dens-ctxt-measure space-PiM PiE-iff
      state-measure-def intro: type-universe-type)
hence ?M1 = dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ ≈ (return (stock-measure t) ○ (λσ. σ
x))
  by (intro bind-cong-All) (simp add: return-val-def)
also have ... = distr (dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ) (stock-measure t) (λσ. σ x)
  using dcm.subprob-not-empty hd-var
by (subst bind-return-distr) (auto intro!: measurable-dens-ctxt-measure-component)
also have ... = ?M2 using density-marg-dens-eq[OF `x ∈ V`]
  by (simp add: t hd-var.preds ρ)
finally show ?M1 = ?M2 .
qed (auto intro!: measurable-marg-dens' simp: hd-var t)

next
case (hd-let V V' Γ e1 f δ e2 g t)
let ?t = the (expr-type Γ e1)
let ?Γ' = case-nat ?t Γ and ?δ' = insert-dens V V' f δ
let ?Y' = (shift-var-set V, Suc`V', ?Γ', ?δ')
from hd-let.preds have t1: Γ ⊢ e1 : ?t and t2: ?Γ' ⊢ e2 : t
  by (auto simp: expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric] split: option.split-asm)
interpret dc: density-context V V' Γ δ by fact

show ?case unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def
proof (intro ballI conjI)
  have density-context {} (V ∪ V') Γ (λa. 1) by (rule dc.density-context-empty)
  moreover note hd-let.preds
  ultimately have has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (V ∪ V')
Γ)
    (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure ({}, V ∪ V', Γ, λa. 1) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem
σ e1))
    (stock-measure ?t) f (is ?P)
    by (intro hd-let.IH(1)) (auto intro!: t1)
  also have ?P ←→ has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (V ∪ V')
Γ)
    (λσ. expr-sem σ e1) (stock-measure ?t) f using hd-let.preds
  by (intro has-parametrized-subprob-density-cong dens-ctxt-measure-empty-bind)
    (auto simp: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def
    intro!: measurable-expr-sem[OF t1])
  finally have f: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
    (λρ. expr-sem ρ e1) (stock-measure ?t) f .
  have g: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (Suc`V') ?Γ')

```

```

 $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } ?Y' \varrho \gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e2)) (\text{stock-measure}$ 
 $t) g$ 
using hd-let.prems hd-let.hyps f subset-shift-var-set
by (intro hd-let.IH(2) t2 dc.density-context-insert)
    (auto dest: has-parametrized-subprob-densityD)

note g[measurable]
thus  $(\lambda(\varrho, x). g (\text{case-nat undefined } \varrho) x) \in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{state-measure}$ 
 $V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t)$ 
by simp

fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure (V, V',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )  $\varrho$  and
    ?N = state-measure (shift-var-set ( $V \cup V'$ )) ? $\Gamma'$ 
have M-dcm: measurable ?M = measurable (state-measure ( $V \cup V'$ )  $\Gamma$ )
    by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets)
        (auto simp: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure-def state-measure'-def)
    have M-dcm':  $\bigwedge N.$  measurable ( $?M \otimes_M N$ ) = measurable (state-measure
( $V \cup V'$ )  $\Gamma \otimes_M N$ )
        by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets)
            (auto simp: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure-def state-measure'-def)
    have ?M  $\gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{LetVar } e1 e2)) =$ 
        do  $\{\sigma \leftarrow ?M; y \leftarrow \text{expr-sem } \sigma e1; \text{return } ?N (\text{case-nat } y \sigma)\} \gg= (\lambda \sigma.$ 
         $\text{expr-sem } \sigma e2)$ 
        is - = bind ?R -
    using hd-let.prems subset-shift-var-set
    apply (simp only: expr-sem.simps, intro double-bind-assoc)
    apply (rule measurable-expr-sem[OF t2], simp)
    apply (subst M-dcm, rule measurable-expr-sem[OF t1], simp)
    apply (subst M-dcm', simp)
    done

also from t1 and hd-let.prems
have  $(\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e1) \in$ 
    measurable (state-measure ( $V \cup V'$ )  $\Gamma$ ) (subprob-algebra (stock-measure
?t))
    by (intro measurable-expr-sem) auto
hence ?R = dens-ctxt-measure ?Y' (case-nat undefined  $\varrho$ ) using hd-let.prems
hd-let.hyps f  $\varrho$ 
    by (intro dc.dens-ctxt-measure-insert) (auto simp: has-parametrized-subprob-density-def)
also have case-nat undefined  $\varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } (\text{Suc}' V') ?\Gamma')$ 
    by (rule measurable-space[OF measurable-case-nat-undefined  $\varrho$ ])
with g have has-subprob-density (dens-ctxt-measure ?Y' (case-nat undefined
 $\varrho) \gg=$ 
 $(\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e2)) (\text{stock-measure } t) (g (\text{case-nat undefined }$ 
 $\varrho))$ 
    using  $\varrho$  unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def by auto
finally show has-subprob-density (?M  $\gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{LetVar } e1 e2)))$ 
(stock-measure t)
 $(g (\text{case-nat undefined } \varrho)).$ 

```

```

qed

next
  case (hd-rand-det e V' V Γ δ dst t)
  then have [measurable]:  $\Gamma \vdash e : \text{dist-param-type dst randomfree } e \text{ free-vars } e \subseteq V'$ 
    by auto

interpret density-context V V' Γ δ by fact
from hd-rand-det have t:  $t = \text{dist-result-type dst}$  by auto

{
  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho : \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
  let ?M = dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ)  $\varrho$  and ?t = dist-param-type dst
  have ?M  $\gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{Random dst } e)) =$ 
     $?M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{return-val } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e) \gg= \text{dist-measure dst})$  (is - = ?N)
    using hd-rand-det by (subst expr-sem.simps, intro bind-cong refl, subst expr-sem-rf-sound)
      (auto simp: dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
  also from hd-rand-det have A:  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{space } ?M \implies \text{val-type } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e) = ?t$ 
    by (intro val-type-expr-sem-rf) (auto simp: dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
    hence ?N = ?M  $\gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{return } (\text{stock-measure } ?t) (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e) \gg= \text{dist-measure dst})$ 
      using hd-rand-det unfolding return-val-def
      by (intro bind-cong) (auto simp: dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
    also have ... = ?M  $\gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{dist-measure dst } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e))$ 
      unfolding return-val-def
      by (intro bind-cong refl bind-return, rule measurable-dist-measure)
        (auto simp: type-universe-def A simp del: type-universe-type)
    finally have ?M  $\gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{Random dst } e)) =$ 
       $?M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{dist-measure dst } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e))$  .
  } note A = this

have has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
   $(\lambda\varrho. \text{dens ctxt-measure } \mathcal{Y} \varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{dist-measure dst } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e)))$ 
   $(\text{stock-measure } t) (\lambda\varrho x. \text{branch-prob } \mathcal{Y} \varrho * \text{dist-dens dst } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \varrho e) x)$ 
proof (unfold has-parametrized-subprob-density-def, intro conjI ballI)
  show M:  $(\lambda(\varrho, v). \text{branch-prob } \mathcal{Y} \varrho * \text{dist-dens dst } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \varrho e) v)$ 
     $\in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t)$ 
  by (subst t) measurable

fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho : \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
let ?M = dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ)  $\varrho$  and ?t = dist-param-type dst
have ?M  $\gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{Random dst } e)) =$ 
   $?M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{return-val } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e) \gg= \text{dist-measure dst})$  (is - = ?N)

```

```

using hd-rand-det by (subst expr-sem.simps, intro bind-cong refl, subst
expr-sem-rf-sound)
  (auto simp: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
also from hd-rand-det have A:  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{space } ?M \implies \text{val-type } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e) = ?t$ 
  by (intro val-type-expr-sem-rf) (auto simp: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
  hence  $?N = ?M \gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{return } (\text{stock-measure } ?t) (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e)) \gg=$ 
    dist-measure dst
using hd-rand-det unfolding return-val-def
  by (intro bind-cong) (auto simp: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
also have ... =  $?M \gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{dist-measure } dst (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e))$ 
  unfolding return-val-def
  by (intro bind-cong refl bind-return, rule measurable-dist-measure)
    (auto simp: type-universe-def A simp del: type-universe-type)
also have has-subprob-density ( $?M \gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{dist-measure } dst (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e))) (\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
  (λv.  $\int^+ \sigma. \text{dist-dens } dst (\text{expr-sem-rf } (\text{restrict } \sigma V') e) v \partial ?M$ )
  (is has-subprob-density  $?N ?R ?f$ )
proof (rule bind-has-subprob-density)
  show space  $?M \neq \{\}$  unfolding dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def
state-measure-def
  by (auto simp: space-PiM PiE-eq-empty-iff)
  show  $(\lambda \sigma. \text{dist-measure } dst (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e)) \in \text{measurable } ?M$  (subprob-algebra
  (stock-measure t))
  unfolding dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def
  by (subst t, rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-dist-measure], simp)
    (insert hd-rand-det, auto intro!: measurable-expr-sem-rf)
  show  $(\lambda(x, y). \text{dist-dens } dst (\text{expr-sem-rf } (\text{restrict } x V') e) y) \in \text{borel-measurable } (?M \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t)$ 
  unfolding t by measurable
fix  $\sigma$  assume  $\sigma: \sigma \in \text{space } ?M$ 
hence  $\sigma': \text{restrict } \sigma V' \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
  unfolding dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def restrict-def
  by (auto simp: space-PiM)
  from hd-rand-det have restr:  $\text{expr-sem-rf } (\text{restrict } \sigma V') e = \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e$ 
  by (intro expr-sem-rf-eq-on-vars) auto
  from hd-rand-det have val-type:  $\text{val-type } (\text{expr-sem-rf } (\text{restrict } \sigma V') e) = \text{dist-param-type } dst$ 
  by (auto intro!: val-type-expr-sem-rf[OF --- σ'])
  also note restr
  finally have has-density:  $(\text{dist-measure } dst (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e)) (\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
    (dist-dens dst (expr-sem-rf σ e)) using hd-rand-det
  by (subst t, intro dist-measure-has-density)
  (auto intro!: val-type-expr-sem-rf simp: type-universe-def dens-ctxt-measure-def
    state-measure'-def simp del: type-universe-type)
thus has-density:  $(\text{dist-measure } dst (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma e)) (\text{stock-measure } t)$ 

```

```

        (dist-dens dst (expr-sem-rf (restrict σ V') e)) by (simp add: restr)
qed (insert ρ, auto intro!: subprob-space-dens)
moreover have val-type (expr-sem-rf ρ e) = dist-param-type dst using hd-rand-det
ρ
  by (intro val-type-expr-sem-rf) auto
  hence expr-sem-rf ρ e ∈ type-universe (dist-param-type dst)
    by (simp add: type-universe-def del: type-universe-type)
  ultimately show has-subprob-density (?M ≈ (λσ. dist-measure dst (expr-sem-rf
σ e)))
    (stock-measure t) (λv. branch-prob Y ρ * dist-dens dst (expr-sem-rf
ρ e) v)
    using hd-rand-det
    apply (rule-tac has-subprob-density-equal-on-space, simp)
    apply (intro nn-integral-dens-ctxt-measure-restrict)
    apply (simp-all add: t ρ)
    done
qed
with A show ?case by (subst has-parametrized-subprob-density-cong) (simp-all
add: A)

next
case (hd-rand V V' Γ δ e f dst t)
let ?t = dist-param-type dst
from hd-rand.preds have t1: Γ ⊢ e : ?t and t2: t = dist-result-type dst by auto
interpret density-context V V' Γ δ by fact
have dens[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e)) (stock-measure
?t) f
  using hd-rand.preds by (intro hd-rand.IH) auto
show ?case
proof (unfold has-parametrized-subprob-density-def, intro ballI conjI impI)
interpret sigma-finite-measure stock-measure (dist-param-type dst) by simp
show case-prod (apply-dist-to-dens dst f) ∈
  borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗ M stock-measure t)
unfolding apply-dist-to-dens-def t2 by measurable

fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ
have meas-M: measurable ?M = measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) (auto simp: dens-ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def)
from hd-rand have Me: (λσ. expr-sem σ e) ∈ measurable ?M (subprob-algebra
(stock-measure ?t))
  by (subst meas-M, intro measurable Expr-sem[OF t1]) auto
hence ?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ (Random dst e)) = (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ
e)) ≈ dist-measure dst
(is - = ?N)
by (subst expr-sem.simps, intro bind-assoc[OF Me, symmetric])
(insert hd-rand, auto intro!: measurable-dist-measure)
also have space ?M ≠ {}

```

```

by (auto simp: dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def
      space-PiM PiE-eq-empty-iff)
with dens  $\varrho$  Me have has-subprob-density ?N (stock-measure t) (apply-dist-to-dens
dst f  $\varrho$ )
  unfolding apply-dist-to-dens-def has-parametrized-subprob-density-def
  by (subst t2, intro bind-has-subprob-density')
    (auto simp: hd-rand.IH space-bind-measurable
      intro!: measurable-dist-dens dist-measure-has-subprob-density)
  finally show has-subprob-density (?M  $\gg$  (λσ. expr-sem σ (Random dst e)))
    (stock-measure t)
      (apply-dist-to-dens dst f  $\varrho$ ) .
qed

next
case (hd-fail V V' Γ δ t t')
interpret density-context V V' Γ δ by fact
have has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λ-. null-measure (stock-measure t)) (stock-measure t') (λ- -. 0) (is ?P)
using hd-fail by (auto simp: has-parametrized-subprob-density-def
      intro!: null-measure-has-subprob-density)
also have ?P  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?case
  by (intro has-parametrized-subprob-density-cong)
    (auto simp: dens_ctxt-measure-bind-const subprob-space-null-measure-iff)
finally show ?case .

next
case (hd-pair x V y V' Γ δ t)
interpret density-context V V' Γ δ by fact
let ?R = stock-measure t
from hd-pair.preds have t: t = PRODUCT (Γ x) (Γ y) by auto

have meas: ( $\lambda\sigma.$   $\langle|\sigma x, \sigma y|\rangle$ )  $\in$  measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) ?R
using hd-pair unfolding t state-measure-def by simp

have has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λ $\varrho.$  distr (dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ)  $\varrho$ ) ?R ( $\lambda\sigma.$   $\langle|\sigma x, \sigma y|\rangle))
  (stock-measure t) (marg-dens2 Y x y)
proof (rule has-parametrized-subprob-densityI)
fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in$  space (state-measure V' Γ)
let ?M = dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ)  $\varrho$ 
from hd-pair.hyps  $\varrho$  show distr ?M ?R ( $\lambda\sigma.$   $\langle|\sigma x, \sigma y|\rangle) = density ?R
(marg-dens2 Y x y  $\varrho$ )
  by (subst (1 2) t, rule density-marg-dens2-eq[symmetric])
from  $\varrho$  interpret subprob-space ?M by (rule subprob-space-dens)
show subprob-space (distr (dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ)  $\varrho$ ) ?R ( $\lambda\sigma.$   $\langle|\sigma x, \sigma y|\rangle))
  by (rule subprob-space-distr)
  (simp-all add: meas measurable-dens_ctxt-measure-eq)
qed (auto simp: t intro!: measurable-marg-dens2' hd-pair.hyps simp del: stock-measure.simps)$$$ 
```

```

also from hd-pair.hyps
have (λρ. distr (dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ) ?R (λσ. <|σ x, σ y|>)) =
    (λρ. dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ ≈ (λσ. return-val <|σ x, σ
y|>))
by (intro ext bind-return-val[symmetric]) (simp-all add: meas measurable-dens_ctxt-measure-eq)
finally show ?case by (simp only: expr-sem-pair-vars)

next
case (hd-if V V' Γ b f δ e1 g1 e2 g2 t)
interpret dc: density-context V V' Γ δ by fact
from hd-if.prems have tb: Γ ⊢ b : BOOL and t1: Γ ⊢ e1 : t and t2: Γ ⊢ e2 : t
by auto

have has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
    (λρ. dens_ctxt-measure ({}, V ∪ V', Γ, λa. 1) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ b))
(stock-measure BOOL) f
(is ?P) using hd-if.prems tb by (intro hd-if.IH(1)) auto
also have ?P ← has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)
    (λσ. expr-sem σ b) (stock-measure BOOL) f (is - = ?P) using
hd-if.prems
by (intro has-parametrized-subprob-density-cong dens_ctxt-measure-empty-bind)
(auto simp: dens_ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def
intro!: measurable-expr-sem[OF tb])
finally have f: ?P .

let ?M = λρ. dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ
let ?M' = λb ρ. dens_ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, if-dens δ b) ρ

from f have dc': ∀b. density-context V V' Γ (if-dens δ f b)
by (intro dc.density-context-if-dens) (simp add: stock-measure.simps)
have g1[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
    (λρ. ?M' True ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e1)) (stock-measure t) g1 using
hd-if.prems
by (intro hd-if.IH(2)[OF t1 dc']) simp
have g2[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
    (λρ. ?M' False ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e2)) (stock-measure t) g2 using
hd-if.prems
by (intro hd-if.IH(3)[OF t2 dc']) simp

show ?case
proof (rule has-parametrized-subprob-densityI)
show (λ(ρ, x). g1 ρ x + g2 ρ x) ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗ M
stock-measure t)
by measurable
fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
show subprob-space (?M ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ (IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2)))
using ρ hd-if.prems
by (intro subprob-space-bind[of -- stock-measure t], simp add: dc.subprob-space-dens)
(auto intro!: measurable-expr-sem simp: measurable-dens_ctxt-measure-eq)

```

```

simp del: expr-sem.simps)
show ?M  $\varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{IF } b \text{ THEN } e1 \text{ ELSE } e2)) =$ 
      density (stock-measure t) ( $\lambda x. g1 \varrho x + g2 \varrho x$ ) using  $\varrho$  hd-if-prems f
g1 g2
by (subst expr-sem.simps, intro dc.emeasure-bind-if-dens-ctxt-measure)
(auto simp: measurable-dens-ctxt-measure-eq if-dens-def
      simp del: stock-measure.simps intro!: measurable-expr-sem)
qed

next
case (hd-if-det b V V'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  e1 g1 e2 g2 t)
interpret dc: density-context V V'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  by fact
from hd-if-det.prems ⟨randomfree b⟩
have tb[measurable (raw)]:  $\Gamma \vdash b : \text{BOOL}$  and [measurable (raw)]: randomfree b
and t1[measurable (raw)]:  $\Gamma \vdash e1 : t$ 
and t2[measurable (raw)]:  $\Gamma \vdash e2 : t$ 
and fv-b[measurable (raw)]: free-vars b  $\subseteq V \cup V'$ 
and fv-e1[measurable (raw)]: free-vars e1  $\subseteq V \cup V'$ 
and fv-e2[measurable (raw)]: free-vars e2  $\subseteq V \cup V'$  by auto
let ?M =  $\lambda\varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho$ 
let ?M' =  $\lambda x \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \text{if-dens-det } \delta b x) \varrho$ 
let ?N =  $\lambda\varrho. ?M \varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{if expr-sem-rf } \sigma b = \text{BoolVal True} \text{ then expr-sem } \sigma e1 \text{ else expr-sem } \sigma e2)$ 

from hd-if-det.hyps hd-if-det.prems tb
have dc':  $\bigwedge x. \text{density-context } V V' \Gamma (\text{if-dens-det } \delta b x)$ 
by (intro dc.density-context-if-dens-det) simp-all
have g1[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V'  $\Gamma$ )
  ( $\lambda\varrho. ?M' \text{True } \varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e1))$  (stock-measure t) g1 using
hd-if-det.prems
  by (intro hd-if-det.IH(1)[OF]) (simp-all add: dc' t1)
have g2[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V'  $\Gamma$ )
  ( $\lambda\varrho. ?M' \text{False } \varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e2))$  (stock-measure t) g2 using
hd-if-det.prems
  by (intro hd-if-det.IH(2)[OF]) (simp-all add: dc' t2)

note val-type-expr-sem-rf[OF tb, of V ∪ V', simp]

have has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V'  $\Gamma$ ) ?N
  (stock-measure t) ( $\lambda a b. g1 a b + g2 a b$ ) (is ?P)
proof (rule has-parametrized-subprob-densityI)
  show  $(\lambda(\varrho, x). g1 \varrho x + g2 \varrho x) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t)$ 
    by measurable
  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
  show subprob-space (?N  $\varrho$ )
    using  $\varrho$  hd-if-det.prems hd-if-det.hyps t1 t2
  by (intro subprob-space-bind[of - stock-measure t]) (auto simp add: dc.subprob-space-dens)
  show ?N  $\varrho = \text{density } (\text{stock-measure } t) (\lambda x. g1 \varrho x + g2 \varrho x)$ 

```

```

using  $\varrho$  hd-if-det.prems g1 g2 dc' hd-if-det.prems unfolding if-dens-det-def
by (intro dc.emeasure-bind-if-det-dens ctxt-measure)
  (simp-all add: space-dens ctxt-measure)
qed
also from hd-if-det.prems hd-if-det.hyps have ?P  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?case
  apply (intro has-parametrized-subprob-density-cong bind-cong refl)
  apply (subst expr-sem.simps)
  apply (subst expr-sem-rf-sound[OF tb, of V  $\cup$  V', symmetric]) []
  apply (simp-all add: space-dens ctxt-measure bind-return-val"["where M=stock-measure
t])
done
finally show ?case .

next
case (hd-fst V V'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  e f t)
interpret density-context V V'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  by fact
from hd-fst.prems obtain t' where t:  $\Gamma \vdash e : PRODUCT t t'$ 
  by (elim expr-typing-opE) (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm)
hence  $\Gamma \vdash Snd \$\$ e : t'$  by (intro expr-typing.intros) auto
hence t2: the(expr-type  $\Gamma$  (Snd \$\$ e)) = t' by (simp add: expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric])
let ?N = stock-measure (PRODUCT t t')
have dens[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V'  $\Gamma$ )
  ( $\lambda\varrho.$  dens ctxt-measure (V, V',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )  $\varrho \ggg (\lambda\sigma.$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  e)) ?N f
  by (intro hd-fst.IH) (insert hd-fst.prems hd-fst.hyps t, auto)

let ?f =  $\lambda\varrho x. \int^+ y. f \varrho <|x,y|> \partial stock-measure t'$ 
have has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V'  $\Gamma$ )
  ( $\lambda\varrho.$  dens ctxt-measure (V, V',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )  $\varrho \ggg (\lambda\sigma.$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  (Fst \$\$ e)))
(stock-measure t) ?f
unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def
proof (intro conjI ballI impI)
  interpret sigma-finite-measure stock-measure t' by simp
  show case-prod ?f  $\in$  borel-measurable (state-measure V'  $\Gamma$   $\otimes_M$  stock-measure
t)
    by measurable

fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state-measure V' \Gamma)$ 
let ?M = dens ctxt-measure (V, V',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )  $\varrho$ 
from dens and  $\varrho$  have has-subprob-density (?M  $\ggg (\lambda\sigma.$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  e)) ?N
(f  $\varrho$ )
  unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def by auto
  hence has-subprob-density (distr (?M  $\ggg (\lambda\sigma.$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  e)) (stock-measure
t) (op-sem Fst))
    (stock-measure t) (?f  $\varrho$ ) (is has-subprob-density ?R - -)
    by (intro has-subprob-density-distr-Fst) simp
also from hd-fst.prems and  $\varrho$  have ?R = ?M  $\ggg (\lambda\sigma.$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  (Fst \$\$ e))
  by (intro expr-sem-op-eq-distr[symmetric]) simp-all
finally show has-subprob-density ... (stock-measure t) (?f  $\varrho$ ) .
qed

```

thus ?case by (subst t2)

next

```

case (hd-snd V V' Γ δ e f t')
interpret density-context V V' Γ δ by fact
from hd-snd.prems obtain t where t: Γ ⊢ e : PRODUCT t t'
  by (elim expr-typing-opE) (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm)
hence Γ ⊢ Fst $$ e : t by (intro expr-typing.intros) auto
hence t2: the (expr-type Γ (Fst $$ e)) = t by (simp add: expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric])
let ?N = stock-measure (PRODUCT t t')
have dens[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e)) ?N f
  by (intro hd-snd.IH) (insert hd-snd.prems hd-snd.hyps t, auto)

let ?f = λρ y. ∫+ x. f ρ <|x,y|> ∂stock-measure t
have has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ (Snd $$ e))) (stock-measure t') ?f
  unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def
  proof (intro conjI ballI impI)
    interpret sigma-finite-measure stock-measure t by simp
    show case-prod ?f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗M stock-measure t')
      by measurable

fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ
from dens and ρ have has-subprob-density (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e)) ?N (f ρ)
  unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def by auto
  hence has-subprob-density (distr (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e)) (stock-measure t') (op-sem Snd))
    (stock-measure t') (?f ρ) (is has-subprob-density ?R - -)
    by (intro has-subprob-density-distr-Snd) simp
    also from hd-snd.prems and ρ have ?R = ?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ (Snd $$ e))
      by (intro expr-sem-op-eq-distr[symmetric]) simp-all
      finally show has-subprob-density ... (stock-measure t') (?f ρ) .
    qed
  thus ?case by (subst t2)

```

next

```

case (hd-op-discr Γ oper e V V' δ f t')
interpret density-context V V' Γ δ by fact
from hd-op-discr.prems obtain t where t1: Γ ⊢ e : t and t2: op-type oper t = Some t' by auto
have dens[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e)) (stock-measure t) f

```

```

    by (intro hd-op-discr.IH) (insert hd-op-discr.prems hd-op-discr.hyps t1, auto)
  from hd-op-discr t1 have expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e = \text{Some } t$  and expr-type  $\Gamma$  (oper $$ e) = \text{Some } t'
  by (simp-all add: expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric])
  hence t1': the (expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e) = t$  and t2': the (expr-type  $\Gamma$  (oper $$ e)) = t'
by auto
with hd-op-discr have countable: countable-type  $t'$  by simp

have A: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure  $V' \Gamma$ )
  ( $\lambda \varrho.$  distr (dens-ctxt-measure ( $V, V', \Gamma, \delta$ )  $\varrho \gg (\lambda \sigma.$  expr-sem  $\sigma e)$ )
   (stock-measure  $t')$  (op-sem oper))
  (stock-measure  $t')$ 
  ( $\lambda a b.$   $\int^+ x.$  (if op-sem oper  $x = b$  then 1 else 0) * f a x  $\partial$  stock-measure
t)
proof (intro has-parametrized-subprob-densityI)
let ?f =  $\lambda \varrho y.$   $\int^+ x.$  (if op-sem oper  $x = y$  then 1 else 0) * f  $\varrho x \partial$  stock-measure
t
note sigma-finite-measure.borel-measurable-nn-integral[Of sigma-finite-stock-measure,
measurable]
show case-prod ?f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure  $V' \Gamma \otimes_M$  stock-measure
t')
using measurable-op-sem[Of t2] by measurable

fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure ( $V, V', \Gamma, \delta$ )  $\varrho$ 
let ?N = ?M  $\gg (\lambda \sigma.$  expr-sem  $\sigma e)$ 

from dens and  $\varrho$  have dens': has-subprob-density ?N (stock-measure t) (f  $\varrho$ )
  unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def by auto
from hd-op-discr.prems t1
have M-e: ( $\lambda \sigma.$  expr-sem  $\sigma e) \in \text{measurable } ?M$  (subprob-algebra (stock-measure
t))
  by (auto simp: measurable-dens-ctxt-measure-eq intro!: measurable-expr-sem)
from M-e have meas-N: measurable ?N = measurable (stock-measure t)
  by (intro ext measurable-cong-sets) (simp-all add: sets-bind-measurable)
from dens' and t2 show subprob-space (distr ?N (stock-measure t') (op-sem
oper))
  by (intro subprob-space.subprob-space-distr)
  (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD intro!: measurable-op-sem simp: meas-N)

from countable have count-space: stock-measure  $t' = \text{count-space} (\text{type-universe } t')$ 
  by (rule countable-type-imp-count-space)
from dens' have ?N = density (stock-measure t) (f  $\varrho$ ) by (rule has-subprob-densityD)
also {
  fix x assume x ∈ type-universe t
  with M-e have val-type x = t by (auto simp:)
  hence val-type (op-sem oper x) = t' by (intro op-sem-val-type) (simp add:
t2)

```

```

} note op-sem-type-universe = this
from countable countable-type-countable measurable-op-sem[OF t2] dens'
have distr ... (stock-measure t') (op-sem oper) = density (stock-measure t') (?f
ρ)
  by (subst count-space, subst distr-density-discrete)
    (auto simp: meas-N count-space intro!: op-sem-type-universe dest: has-subprob-densityD)
  finally show distr ?N (stock-measure t') (op-sem oper) = density (stock-measure
t') (?f ρ) .
qed
from hd-op-discr.prems
have B:  $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma) \implies$ 
  distr (dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ)  $\gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e)$ 
    (stock-measure t') (op-sem oper) =
  dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ  $\gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{oper } \$\$ e))$ 
  by (intro expr-sem-op-eq-distr[symmetric]) simp-all
show ?case by (simp only: has-parametrized-subprob-density-cong[OF B[symmetric]]
t1' A)

next
case (hd-neg V V' Γ δ e f t')
from hd-neg.prems obtain t where t1:  $\Gamma \vdash e : t$  and t2: op-type Minus t =
Some t' by auto
have dens: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  ( $\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho \gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e)$ )
  (stock-measure t) f
  by (intro hd-neg.IH) (insert hd-neg.prems hd-neg.hyps t1, auto)
  with hd-neg and t1 and t2 show ?case
  proof (intro expr-has-density-sound-op[where t = t])
    from t2 have [measurable]: lift-RealIntVal uminus uminus ∈ measurable (stock-measure
t') (stock-measure t)
    by (simp split: pdf-type.split-asm)
    from dens have Mf[measurable]: case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure
V' Γ  $\bigotimes_M$  stock-measure t)
      by (blast dest: has-parametrized-subprob-densityD)
    show  $(\lambda(\varrho, x). f \varrho (\text{op-sem Minus } x))$ 
      ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ  $\bigotimes_M$  stock-measure t') by simp

fix M ρ assume dens': has-subprob-density M (stock-measure t) (f ρ)
hence space-M: space M = space (stock-measure t) by (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)
from t2 have t-disj:  $(t = \text{REAL} \wedge t' = \text{REAL}) \vee (t = \text{INTEG} \wedge t' = \text{INTEG})$ 
  by (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm)
thus has-density (distr M (stock-measure t') (op-sem Minus))
  (stock-measure t') ( $\lambda x. f \varrho (\text{op-sem Minus } x)$ ) (is ?thesis)
proof (elim disjE conjE)
  assume A:  $t = \text{REAL} \wedge t' = \text{REAL}$ 
  have has-density (distr M (stock-measure t') (lift-RealVal uminus)) (stock-measure
t')
    (( $\lambda x. f \varrho (\text{RealVal } (-x))$ )  $\circ$  extract-real) using dens'
  by (simp only: A, intro distr-lift-RealVal)

```

```

(auto intro!: distr-uminus-real dest: has-subprob-density-imp-has-density)
also have distr M (stock-measure t') (lift-RealVal uminus) =
      distr M (stock-measure t') (lift-RealIntVal uminus uminus) using
dens'
  by (intro distr-cong) (auto intro!: lift-RealIntVal-Real[symmetric] simp:
space-M A)
also have has-density ... (stock-measure t') ((λx. f ρ (RealVal (-x))) ∘
extract-real) ↔
  has-density ... (stock-measure t') (λx. f ρ (lift-RealIntVal uminus
uminus x))
  by (intro has-density-cong)
    (auto simp: lift-RealIntVal-def extract-real-def A space-embed-measure split:
val.split)
finally show ?thesis by simp
next
assume A: t = INTEG t' = INTEG
have has-density (distr M (stock-measure t') (lift-IntVal uminus)) (stock-measure
t')
  ((λx. f ρ (IntVal (-x))) ∘ extract-int) using dens'
  by (simp only: A, intro distr-lift-IntVal)
    (auto intro!: distr-uminus-ring-count-space simp: has-subprob-density-def)
also have distr M (stock-measure t') (lift-IntVal uminus) =
      distr M (stock-measure t') (lift-RealIntVal uminus uminus) using
dens'
  by (intro distr-cong) (auto intro!: lift-RealIntVal-Int[symmetric] simp: space-M
A)
also have has-density ... (stock-measure t') ((λx. f ρ (IntVal (-x))) ∘ ex-
tract-int) ↔
  has-density ... (stock-measure t') (λx. f ρ (lift-RealIntVal uminus
uminus x))
  by (intro has-density-cong)
    (auto simp: lift-RealIntVal-def extract-int-def A space-embed-measure split:
val.split)
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed auto

next
case (hd-exp V V' Γ δ e f t')
from hd-exp.prems have t1: Γ ⊢ e : REAL and t2: t' = REAL
  by (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm)
have dens[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ ≈= (λσ. expr-sem σ e))
(stock-measure REAL) f
  by (intro hd-exp.IH) (insert hd-exp.prems hd-exp.hyps t1, auto)
with hd-exp and t1 and t2 show ?case
proof (intro expr-has-density-sound-op[where t = REAL])
  from t2 have [measurable]: lift-RealVal safe-tn ∈ measurable (stock-measure
REAL) (stock-measure REAL)

```

```

    by (simp split: pdf-type.split-asm)
  from dens have Mf[measurable]: case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure
V' Γ ⊗M stock-measure REAL)
    by (blast dest: has-parametrized-subprob-densityD)
  let ?f = λ $\varrho$  x. if extract-real x > 0 then
    f  $\varrho$  (lift-RealVal safe- $\ln$  x) * inverse (extract-real x) else 0
  show case-prod ?f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗M stock-measure
t')
    unfolding t2 by measurable
    fix M  $\varrho$  assume dens': has-subprob-density M (stock-measure REAL) (f  $\varrho$ )
    hence space-M: space M = space (stock-measure REAL) by (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)
    have has-density (distr M (stock-measure t') (lift-RealVal exp)) (stock-measure
t')
      ((λx. if 0 < x then f  $\varrho$  (RealVal (ln x)) * ennreal (inverse x) else 0)
       ∘ extract-real) (is has-density - - ?f') using dens'
    apply (simp only: t2)
    apply (rule distr-lift-RealVal[where g = λf x. if x > 0 then f (ln x) * ennreal
(inverse x) else 0])
    apply (auto intro!: subprob-density-distr-real-exp intro: has-subprob-density-imp-has-density)
    done
    also have ?f' = ?f  $\varrho$ 
      by (intro ext) (simp add: o-def lift-RealVal-def extract-real-def split: val.split)
    finally show has-density (distr M (stock-measure t') (op-sem Exp)) (stock-measure
t') ...
      by simp
qed auto

next
case (hd-inv V V' Γ δ e f t')
from hd-inv.prem have t1: Γ ⊢ e : REAL and t2: t' = REAL
  by (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm)
have dens: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λ $\varrho$ . dens ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ)  $\varrho$  ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e))
(stock-measure REAL) f
  by (intro hd-inv.IH) (insert hd-inv.prem hd-inv.hyps t1, auto)
with hd-inv and t1 and t2 show ?case
proof (intro expr-has-density-sound-op[where t = REAL])
  from t2 have [measurable]: lift-RealVal inverse ∈ measurable (stock-measure
REAL) (stock-measure REAL)
    by (simp split: pdf-type.split-asm)
  from dens have Mf[measurable]: case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure
V' Γ ⊗M stock-measure REAL)
    by (blast dest: has-parametrized-subprob-densityD)
  let ?f = λ $\varrho$  x. f  $\varrho$  (op-sem Inverse x) * inverse (extract-real x) ^ 2
    have [measurable]: extract-real ∈ borel-measurable (stock-measure REAL) by
simp
  show case-prod ?f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗M stock-measure
t') by (simp add: t2)
  fix M  $\varrho$  assume dens': has-subprob-density M (stock-measure REAL) (f  $\varrho$ )

```

```

hence space-M: space M = space (stock-measure REAL) by (auto dest: has-subprob-densityD)
have has-density (distr M (stock-measure t') (lift-RealVal inverse)) (stock-measure
t')
  ((λx. f ρ (RealVal (inverse x)) * ennreal (inverse (x * x)))
   □ extract-real) (is has-density - - ?f') using dens'
apply (simp only: t2)
apply (rule distr-lift-RealVal)
apply (auto intro!: subprob-density-distr-real-inverse intro: has-subprob-density-imp-has-density
simp del: inverse-mult-distrib)
done
also have ?f' = ?f ρ
  by (intro ext) (simp add: o-def lift-RealVal-def extract-real-def power2-eq-square
split: val.split)
finally show has-density (distr M (stock-measure t') (op-sem Inverse)) (stock-measure
t') ...
  by simp
qed auto

next

case (hd-addc V V' Γ δ e f e' t)
interpret density-context V V' Γ δ by fact
from hd-addc.prems have t1: Γ ⊢ e : t and t2: Γ ⊢ e' : t and t-disj: t = REAL
∨ t = INTEG
  by (elim expr-typing-opE, (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm)[])
hence t4: op-type Add (PRODUCT t t) = Some t by auto
have dens: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e))
(stock-measure t) f
  by (rule hd-addc.IH) (insert hd-addc.prems t1, auto)
show ?case (is has-parametrized-subprob-density - ?N - ?f)
proof (unfold has-parametrized-subprob-density-def has-subprob-density-def, intro
conjI ballI)
  from t2 t-disj hd-addc.prems hd-addc.hyps
  show case-prod ?f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗_M stock-measure
t)
    by (intro addc-density-measurable has-parametrized-subprob-densityD[OF
dens]) auto

fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ
let ?v1 = extract-int (expr-sem-rf ρ e') and ?v2 = extract-real (expr-sem-rf ρ
e')
  from dens and ρ have dens': has-subprob-density (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ
e)) (stock-measure t) (f ρ)
    unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def has-subprob-density-def by
auto

have Me: (λσ. expr-sem σ e) ∈

```

```

measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) (subprob-algebra (stock-measure
t))
  using t1 hd-addc.preds by (intro measurable Expr-sem) simp-all
  from hd-addc.preds hd-addc.hyps ρ have vt-e': val-type (Expr-sem-rf ρ e') = t
    by (intro val-type-Expr-sem-rf[OF t2]) auto
  have space-e: space (?M ≫ (λσ. Expr-sem σ e)) = type-universe t
    by (subst space-bind-measurable, subst measurable-dens-ctx-measure-eq)
      (rule Me, simp, simp add:)
  from hd-addc.preds show subprob-space (?N ρ)
    by (intro subprob-space-bind subprob-space-dens[OF ρ],
        subst measurable-dens-ctx-measure-eq)
      (rule measurable-Expr-sem, auto)

let ?N' = distr (?M ≫ (λσ. Expr-sem σ e)) (stock-measure t)
  (lift-RealIntVal ((+) ?v1) ((+) ?v2))
have has-density ?N' (stock-measure t) (?f ρ) using t-disj
proof (elim disjE)
  assume t: t = REAL
  let ?N'' = distr (?M ≫ (λσ. Expr-sem σ e)) (stock-measure t) (lift-RealVal
  ((+) ?v2))
  let ?f' = (λx. f ρ (RealVal (x - ?v2))) ∘ extract-real
  from dens' have has-density ?N'' (stock-measure t) ?f'
    by (subst (1 2) t, intro distr-lift-RealVal)
    (auto simp: t intro! distr-plus-real dest: has-subprob-density-imp-has-density)
  also have ?N'' = ?N'
    by (intro distr-cong)
    (auto simp: lift-RealVal-def lift-RealIntVal-def extract-real-def vt-e' space-e
    t
      dest: split: val.split)
  also have has-density ?N' (stock-measure t) ?f' = has-density ?N' (stock-measure
  t) (?f ρ)
    using vt-e' by (intro has-density-cong)
    (auto simp: lift-RealIntVal-def t extract-real-def space-embed-measure
      lift-RealIntVal2-def split: val.split)
  finally show has-density ?N' (stock-measure t) (?f ρ) .
next
  assume t: t = INTEG
  let ?N'' = distr (?M ≫ (λσ. Expr-sem σ e)) (stock-measure t) (lift-IntVal
  ((+) ?v1))
  let ?f' = (λx. f ρ (IntVal (x - ?v1))) ∘ extract-int
  from dens' have has-density ?N'' (stock-measure t) ?f'
    by (subst (1 2) t, intro distr-lift-IntVal)
    (auto simp: t intro! distr-plus-ring-count-space dest: has-subprob-density-imp-has-density)
  also have ?N'' = ?N'
    by (intro distr-cong)
    (auto simp: lift-IntVal-def lift-RealIntVal-def extract-real-def vt-e' space-e t
      split: val.split)
  also have has-density ?N' (stock-measure t) ?f' = has-density ?N' (stock-measure
  t) (?f ρ)

```

```

using vt-e' by (intro has-density-cong)
  (auto simp: lift-RealIntVal-def t extract-int-def space-embed-measure
    lift-RealIntVal2-def split: val.split)
finally show has-density ?N' (stock-measure t) (?f ρ) .
qed
also have ?N' = distr (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e)) (stock-measure t)
  (λw. op-sem Add <|w, expr-sem-rf ρ e'|>) using t-disj vt-e'
by (intro distr-cong, simp, simp)
  (auto split: val.split simp: lift-RealIntVal-def
    lift-RealIntVal2-def space-e extract-real-def extract-int-def)
also have ... = ?N ρ
  using hd-addc.preds hd-addc.hyps t-disj ρ
  by (intro bin-op-randomfree-restructure[OF t1 t2, symmetric]) auto
finally show has-density (?N ρ) (stock-measure t) (?f ρ) .
qed

```

next

```

case (hd-multc V V' Γ δ e f c t)
interpret density-context V V' Γ δ by fact
from hd-multc.preds hd-multc.hyps
have t1: Γ ⊢ e : REAL and t2: val-type c = REAL and t: t = REAL
  by (elim expr-typing-opE expr-typing-valE expr-typing-pairE,
    (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm) [])+
have t4: op-type Mult (PRODUCT REAL REAL) = Some REAL by simp
have dens[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e))
(stock-measure t) f
  by (rule hd-multc.IH) (insert hd-multc.preds t1 t, auto)
show ?case (is has-parametrized-subprob-density - ?N - ?f)
proof (unfold has-parametrized-subprob-density-def has-subprob-density-def, intro conjI ballI)
let ?MR = stock-measure t and ?MP = stock-measure (PRODUCT t t)
  have M-mult[measurable]: (op-sem Mult) ∈ measurable ?MP ?MR by (simp
add: measurable-op-sem t)
  show case-prod ?f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗_M stock-measure
t)
    by measurable (insert t2, auto simp: t val-type-eq-REAL lift-RealVal-def)

fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ
  from dens and ρ have dens': has-subprob-density (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ
e)) (stock-measure t) (f ρ)
    unfolding has-parametrized-subprob-density-def has-subprob-density-def by
auto

have Me: (λσ. expr-sem σ e) ∈
  measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) (subprob-algebra (stock-measure
REAL))

```

```

using t1 hd-multc.prem by (intro measurable-expr-sem) simp-all
have space-e: space (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e)) = range RealVal
  by (subst space-bind-measurable, subst measurable-dens-cxt-measure-eq)
    (rule Me, simp, simp add: t space-embed-measure type-universe-REAL)
from hd-multc.prem show subprob-space (?N ρ)
  by (intro subprob-space-bind subprob-space-dens[OF ρ],
       subst measurable-dens-cxt-measure-eq)
    (rule measurable-expr-sem, auto)

let ?N' = distr (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e)) (stock-measure t)
  (lift-RealVal ((*) (extract-real c)))
let ?g = λf x. f (x / extract-real c) * ennreal (inverse (abs (extract-real c)))
let ?f' = (λx. f ρ (RealVal (x / extract-real c)) *
  inverse (abs (extract-real c))) ∘ extract-real
from hd-multc.hyps have extract-real c ≠ 0
  by (auto simp: extract-real-def split: val.split)
with dens' have has-density ?N' (stock-measure REAL) ?f'
  by (subst t, intro distr-lift-RealVal[where g = ?g])
    (auto simp: t intro!: distr-mult-real dest: has-subprob-density-imp-has-density)
also have has-density ?N' (stock-measure REAL) ?f' =
  has-density ?N' (stock-measure REAL) (?f ρ)
using hd-multc.hyps
by (intro has-density-cong)
  (auto simp: lift-RealVal-def t extract-real-def space-embed-measure
    lift-RealIntVal2-def field-simps split: val.split)
finally have has-density ?N' (stock-measure REAL) (?f ρ) .
also have ?N' = distr (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e)) (stock-measure t)
  (λw. op-sem Mult <|w, expr-sem-rf ρ (Val c)|>) using
hd-multc.hyps
by (intro distr-cong, simp, simp)
  (auto simp: lift-RealVal-def lift-RealIntVal2-def space-e extract-real-def
    split: val.split)
also have ... = ?N ρ
using hd-multc.prem hd-multc.hyps ρ
by (intro bin-op-randomfree-restructure[OF t1, symmetric])
  (auto simp: t intro!: expr-typing.intros)
finally show has-density (?N ρ) (stock-measure t) (?f ρ) by (simp only: t)
qed

```

next

```

case (hd-add V V' Γ δ e f t)
interpret density-context V V' Γ δ by fact
from hd-add.prem hd-add.hyps
  have t1: Γ ⊢ e : PRODUCT t t and t2: op-type Add (PRODUCT t t) = Some
  t and
    t-disj: t = REAL ∨ t = INTEG
  by (elim expr-typing-opE expr-typing-valE expr-typing-pairE,
       (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm) [])+
```

```

let ?tp = PRODUCT t t
have dens[measurable]: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ)
  (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e))
(stock-measure ?tp) f
  by (rule hd-add.IH) (insert hd-add.prem t1 t2 t-disj, auto)
from hd-add.prem hd-add.hyps t1 t2 t-disj show ?case (is has-parametrized-subprob-density
- ?N - ?f)
  proof (intro expr-has-density-sound-op[OF - dens])
    note sigma-finite-measure.borel-measurable-nn-integral[OF sigma-finite-stock-measure,
measurable]
    have [measurable]: op-type Minus t = Some t
      using t-disj by auto
    note measurable-op-sem[measurable] t2[measurable]
    let ?f' = λρ z. ∫+ x. f ρ <|x, op-sem Add <|z, op-sem Minus x|>>
  ∂stock-measure t
    have case-prod ?f' ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗M stock-measure
t)
      by measurable
    also have case-prod ?f' ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗M stock-measure
t) ←→
      case-prod ?f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗M
  stock-measure t)
      by (intro measurable-cong) (auto simp: space-pair-measure)
    finally show case-prod ?f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ ⊗M stock-measure
t) .
fix M ρ assume dens': has-subprob-density M (stock-measure (PRODUCT t
t)) (f ρ)
  hence Mf[measurable]: f ρ ∈ borel-measurable (stock-measure (PRODUCT t
t)) by (rule has-subprob-densityD)
  let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ
  show has-density (distr M (stock-measure t) (op-sem Add)) (stock-measure t)
  (?f ρ)
  proof (insert t-disj, elim disjE)
    assume t: t = REAL
    let ?f'' = (λz. ∫+ x. f ρ (RealPairVal (x, z - x)) ∂lborel) ∘ extract-real
    have has-density (distr M (stock-measure t) (op-sem Add)) (stock-measure t)
    (?f'')
      using dens'
      by (simp only: t op-sem.simps, intro distr-lift-RealPairVal)
        (simp-all add: borel-prod[symmetric] has-subprob-density-imp-has-density
        distr-convolution-real)
    also have ?f'' = (λz. ∫+ x. f ρ (RealPairVal (x, extract-real z - x)) ∂lborel)
      (is - = ?f'')
      by (auto simp add: t space-embed-measure extract-real-def)
    also have ∀z. val-type z = REAL ⇒
      ((λx. f ρ <|x, op-sem Add <|z, op-sem Minus x|>>) ∈ borel-measurable
    (stock-measure REAL)
      by (rule Mf[THEN measurable-compose-rev]) (simp add: t)

```

```

hence has-density (distr M (stock-measure t) (op-sem Add)) (stock-measure
t) ?f''  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
          has-density (distr M (stock-measure t) (op-sem Add)) (stock-measure t)
(?f ρ)
by (intro has-density-cong, simp add: t space-embed-measure del: op-sem.simps)
      (auto simp add: nn-integral-RealVal RealPairVal-def lift-RealIntVal2-def
lift-RealIntVal-def val-type-eq-REAL)
finally show ... .
next
assume t: t = INTEG
let ?f'' = ( $\lambda z. \int^+ x. f \rho$  (IntPairVal (x, z - x)) ∂count-space UNIV) ∘
extract-int
have has-density (distr M (stock-measure t) (op-sem Add)) (stock-measure t)
?f''  

using dens'
by (simp only: t op-sem.simps, intro distr-lift-IntPairVal)
      (simp-all add: has-subprob-density-imp-has-density
distr-convolution-ring-count-space)
also have ?f'' = ( $\lambda z. \int^+ x. f \rho$  (IntPairVal (x, extract-int z - x)) ∂count-space
UNIV)
      (is - = ?f'')
by (auto simp add: t space-embed-measure extract-int-def)
also have has-density (distr M (stock-measure t) (op-sem Add)) (stock-measure
t) ?f''  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
          has-density (distr M (stock-measure t) (op-sem Add)) (stock-measure t)
(?f ρ)
by (intro has-density-cong, simp add: t space-embed-measure del: op-sem.simps)
      (auto simp: nn-integral-IntVal IntPairVal-def val-type-eq-INTEG
lift-RealIntVal2-def lift-RealIntVal-def)
finally show ... .
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma hd-cong:
assumes (V, V', Γ, δ) ⊢d e  $\Rightarrow$  f density-context V V' Γ δ Γ ⊢ e : t free-vars e ⊆
V ∪ V'  

assumes  $\bigwedge \varrho x. \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma) \implies x \in \text{space}(\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
 $\implies f \varrho x = f' \varrho x$ 
shows (V, V', Γ, δ) ⊢d e  $\Rightarrow$  f'  

proof (rule hd-AE[OF assms(1,3) AE-I2[OF assms(5)]])
note dens = expr-has-density-sound-aux[OF assms(1,3,2,4)]
note dens' = has-parametrized-subprob-densityD[OF this]
show ( $\lambda(\varrho, x). f' \varrho x$ ) ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ  $\otimes_M$  stock-measure
t)
using assms(5) dens'(3)
by (subst measurable-cong[of - - case-prod f]) (auto simp: space-pair-measure)
qed auto

```

```

lemma prob-space-empty-dens ctxt[simp]:
  prob-space (dens ctxt-measure ({} , {} , Γ , (λ- . 1)) (λ- . undefined))
  unfold density-context-def
  by (auto simp: dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def
        emeasure-distr emeasure-density PiM-empty intro!: prob-spaceI)

lemma branch-prob-empty ctxt[simp]: branch-prob ({} , {} , Γ , (λ- . 1)) (λ- . undefined)
= 1
  unfold branch-prob-def by (subst prob-space.emeasure-space-1) simp-all

lemma expr-has-density-sound:
  assumes ({} , {} , Γ , (λ- . 1)) ⊢d e ⇒ f Γ ⊢ e : t free-vars e = {}
  shows has-subprob-density (expr-sem σ e) (stock-measure t) (f (λ- . undefined))
proof –
  let ?M = dens ctxt-measure ({} , {} , Γ , λ- . 1) (λ- . undefined)
  have density-context {} {} Γ (λ- . 1)
  unfold density-context-def
  by (auto simp: dens ctxt-measure-def state-measure'-def state-measure-def
        emeasure-distr emeasure-density PiM-empty intro!: subprob-spaceI)
  from expr-has-density-sound-aux[OF assms(1,2) this] assms(3)
  have has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure {}) Γ
    (λρ. dens ctxt-measure ({} , {} , Γ , λ- . 1) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e))
  (stock-measure t) f
  by blast
  also have state-measure {} Γ = count-space {λ- . undefined}
  by (rule measure-eqI) (simp-all add: state-measure-def PiM-empty emeasure-density)
  finally have has-subprob-density (?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e))
    (stock-measure t) (f (λ- . undefined))
  unfold has-parametrized-subprob-density-def by simp
  also from assms have (λσ. expr-sem σ e) ∈ measurable (state-measure {}) Γ
    (subprob-algebra (stock-measure t))
  by (intro measurable-expr-sem) auto
  hence ?M ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ e) = expr-sem (λ- . undefined) e
  by (intro dens ctxt-measure-empty-bind) (auto simp: state-measure-def PiM-empty)
  also from assms have ... = expr-sem σ e by (intro expr-sem-eq-on-vars) auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

end

```

## 8 Target Language Syntax and Semantics

```

theory PDF-Target-Semantics
imports PDF-Semantics
begin

```

```

datatype cexpr =
  CVar vname

```

```

| CVal val
| CPair cexpr cexpr (<-, ->c [0, 0] 1000)
| COperator pdf-operator cexpr (infixl $$c 999)
| CIf cexpr cexpr cexpr (IFc - THEN - ELSE - [0, 0, 10] 10)
| CIntegral cexpr pdf-type (ʃc - ∂- [61] 110)

```

**abbreviation** (*input*) *cexpr-fun* :: (*cexpr* ⇒ *cexpr*) ⇒ *cexpr* (**binder**  $\lambda_c$  10)  
**where**

```

cexpr-fun f ≡ f (CVar 0)
abbreviation cexpr-Add (infixl +c 65) where
  cexpr-Add a b ≡ Add $$c <a, b>c
abbreviation cexpr-Minus (-c - [81] 80) where
  cexpr-Minus a ≡ Minus $$c a
abbreviation cexpr-Sub (infixl -c 65) where
  cexpr-Sub a b ≡ a +c -c b
abbreviation cexpr-Mult (infixl *c 70) where
  cexpr-Mult a b ≡ Mult $$c <a, b>c
abbreviation inversec e ≡ Inverse $$c e
abbreviation cexpr-Div (infixl '/c 70) where
  cexpr-Div a b ≡ a *c inversec b
abbreviation factc e ≡ Fact $$c e
abbreviation sqrtc e ≡ Sqrt $$c e
abbreviation expc e ≡ Exp $$c e
abbreviation lnc e ≡ Ln $$c e
abbreviation fstc e ≡ Fst $$c e
abbreviation sndc e ≡ Snd $$c e
abbreviation cexpr-Pow (infixl ^c 75) where
  cexpr-Pow a b ≡ Pow $$c <a, b>c
abbreviation cexpr-And (infixl ∧c 35) where
  cexpr-And a b ≡ And $$c <a, b>c
abbreviation cexpr-Or (infixl ∨c 30) where
  cexpr-Or a b ≡ Or $$c <a, b>c
abbreviation cexpr-Not (¬c - [40] 40) where
  cexpr-Not a ≡ Not $$c a
abbreviation cexpr-Equals (infixl =c 70) where
  cexpr-Equals a b ≡ Equals $$c <a, b>c
abbreviation cexpr-Less (infixl <c 70) where
  cexpr-Less a b ≡ Less $$c <a, b>c
abbreviation cexpr-LessEq (infixl ≤c 70) where
  cexpr-LessEq a b ≡ a =c b ∨c a <c b
abbreviation cexpr-RealCast (<-c [0] 90) where
  cexpr-RealCast a ≡ Cast REAL $$c a
abbreviation CReal where
  CReal x ≡ CVal (RealVal x)
abbreviation CInt where
  CInt x ≡ CVal (IntVal x)
abbreviation πc where
  πc ≡ Pi $$c (CVal UnitVal)

```

```

instantiation cexpr :: expr
begin

primrec free-vars-cexpr :: cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  vname set where
  free-vars-cexpr (CVar x) = {x}
  | free-vars-cexpr (CVal _) = {}
  | free-vars-cexpr (oper $$c e) = free-vars-cexpr e
  | free-vars-cexpr (<e1, e2>c) = free-vars-cexpr e1  $\cup$  free-vars-cexpr e2
  | free-vars-cexpr (IFc b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =
    free-vars-cexpr b  $\cup$  free-vars-cexpr e1  $\cup$  free-vars-cexpr e2
  | free-vars-cexpr ( $\int_c$  e  $\partial t$ ) = Suc - ` free-vars-cexpr e

instance ..
end

inductive cexpr-typing :: tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type  $\Rightarrow$  bool ((1-/  $\vdash_c$ / (- :/ -))  

[50,0,50] 50) where
  cet-val:  $\Gamma \vdash_c CVal v$ : val-type v
  | cet-var:  $\Gamma \vdash_c CVar x$ :  $\Gamma x$ 
  | cet-pair:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e_1 : t_1 \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e_2 : t_2 \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c <e_1, e_2>_c : PRODUCT t_1 t_2$ 
  | cet-op:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t \Rightarrow op\text{-type } oper t = Some t' \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c oper $$c e : t'$ 
  | cet-if:  $\Gamma \vdash_c b : BOOL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e_1 : t \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e_2 : t$ 
     $\Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c IF_c b THEN e_1 ELSE e_2 : t$ 
  | cet-int:  $t \cdot \Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c \int_c e \partial t : REAL$ 

lemma cet-val': t = val-type v  $\Rightarrow$   $\Gamma \vdash_c CVal v : t$ 
  by (simp add: cet-val)

lemma cet-var': t =  $\Gamma x$   $\Rightarrow$   $\Gamma \vdash_c CVar x : t$ 
  by (simp add: cet-var)

lemma cet-not:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : BOOL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c \neg_c e : BOOL$ 
  by (intro cet-op[where t = BOOL] cet-pair, simp, simp)

lemma cet-and:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e_1 : BOOL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e_2 : BOOL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e_1 \wedge_c e_2 : BOOL$ 
  and
  cet-or:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e_1 : BOOL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e_2 : BOOL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e_1 \vee_c e_2 : BOOL$ 
  by (intro cet-op[where t = PRODUCT BOOL BOOL] cet-pair, simp, simp, simp)+

lemma cet-minus-real:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c -_c e : REAL$  and
  cet-inverse:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c inverse_c e : REAL$  and
  cet-sqrt:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c sqrt_c e : REAL$  and
  cet-exp:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c exp_c e : REAL$  and
  cet-ln:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c ln_c e : REAL$ 
  by (rule cet-op[where t = REAL], simp, simp)+

lemma cet-pow-real:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e_1 : REAL \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e_2 : INTEG \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e_1 \wedge_c e_2 : REAL$ 

```

by (intro cet-op[where  $t = \text{PRODUCT REAL REAL}$ ] cet-pair) simp-all

**lemma**  $\text{cet-add-real}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 +_c e2 : \text{REAL}$  **and**  
 $\text{cet-mult-real}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 *_c e2 : \text{REAL}$  **and**  
 $\text{cet-less-real}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 <_c e2 : \text{BOOL}$   
**by** (intro cet-op[where  $t = \text{PRODUCT REAL REAL}$ ] cet-pair, simp, simp, simp)+

**lemma**  $\text{cet-eq}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : t \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : t \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 =_c e2 : \text{BOOL}$   
**by** (intro cet-op[where  $t = \text{PRODUCT } t \ t$ ] cet-pair, simp, simp, simp)+

**lemma**  $\text{cet-less-eq-real}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 \leq_c e2 : \text{BOOL}$   
**by** (intro cet-less-real cet-or cet-eq)

**lemma**  $\text{cet-minus-int}: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c -_c e : \text{INTEG}$   
**by** (rule cet-op[where  $t = \text{INTEG}$ ], simp, simp)+

**lemma**  $\text{cet-add-int}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 +_c e2 : \text{INTEG}$  **and**  
 $\text{cet-mult-int}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 *_c e2 : \text{INTEG}$  **and**  
 $\text{cet-less-int}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 <_c e2 : \text{BOOL}$   
**by** (intro cet-op[where  $t = \text{PRODUCT INTEG INTEG}$ ] cet-pair, simp, simp, simp)+

**lemma**  $\text{cet-less-eq-int}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 \leq_c e2 : \text{BOOL}$   
**by** (intro cet-less-int cet-or cet-eq)

**lemma**  $\text{cet-sub-int}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 -_c e2 : \text{INTEG}$   
**by** (intro cet-minus-int cet-add-int)

**lemma**  $\text{cet-fst}: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{PRODUCT } t \ t' \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \text{fst}_c e : t$  **and**  
 $\text{cet-snd}: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{PRODUCT } t \ t' \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \text{snd}_c e : t'$   
**by** (erule cet-op, simp)+

**lemma**  $\text{cet-cast-real}: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{BOOL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \langle e \rangle_c : \text{REAL}$   
**by** (intro cet-op[where  $t = \text{BOOL}$ ]) simp-all

**lemma**  $\text{cet-cast-real-int}: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \langle e \rangle_c : \text{REAL}$   
**by** (intro cet-op[where  $t = \text{INTEG}$ ]) simp-all

**lemma**  $\text{cet-sub-real}: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 -_c e2 :$

```

REAL
by (intro cet-minus-real cet-add-real)

lemma cet-pi:  $\Gamma \vdash_c \pi_c : REAL$ 
by (rule cet-op, rule cet-val, simp)

lemmas cet-op-intros =
cet-minus-real cet-exp cet-sqrt cet-ln cet-inverse cet-pow-real cet-pi
cet-cast-real cet-add-real cet-mult-real cet-less-real
cet-not cet-and cet-or

inductive-cases cexpr-typing-valE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c CVal v : t$ 
inductive-cases cexpr-typing-varE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c CVar x : t$ 
inductive-cases cexpr-typing-pairE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c \langle e1, e2 \rangle_c : t$ 
inductive-cases cexpr-typing-opE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c oper \$\$_c e : t$ 
inductive-cases cexpr-typing-ifE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c IF_c b THEN e1 ELSE e2 : t$ 
inductive-cases cexpr-typing-intE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c \int_c e \partial t : t'$ 

primrec cexpr-type :: tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type option where
cexpr-type - ( $CVal v$ ) = Some (val-type  $v$ )
| cexpr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $CVar x$ ) = Some ( $\Gamma x$ )
| cexpr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $\langle e1, e2 \rangle_c$ ) = (case (cexpr-type  $\Gamma e1$ , cexpr-type  $\Gamma e2$ ) of
  (Some  $t1$ , Some  $t2$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Some (PRODUCT  $t1 t2$ )
  | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
| cexpr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $oper \$\$_c e$ ) = (case cexpr-type  $\Gamma e$  of
  Some  $t$   $\Rightarrow$  op-type  $oper t$ 
  | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
| cexpr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $IF_c b THEN e1 ELSE e2$ ) =
  (if cexpr-type  $\Gamma b$  = Some BOOL then
    case (cexpr-type  $\Gamma e1$ , cexpr-type  $\Gamma e2$ ) of
      (Some  $t$ , Some  $t'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  if  $t = t'$  then Some  $t$  else None
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None
      else None)
| cexpr-type  $\Gamma$  ( $\int_c e \partial t$ ) =
  (if cexpr-type (case-nat  $t \Gamma$ )  $e$  = Some REAL then Some REAL else None)

lemma cexpr-type-Some-iff: cexpr-type  $\Gamma e$  = Some  $t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e : t$ 
apply rule
apply (induction e arbitrary:  $\Gamma t$ ,
  auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros split: option.split-asm if-split-asm) []
apply (induction rule: cexpr-typing.induct, auto)
done

lemmas cexpr-typing-code[code-unfold] = cexpr-type-Some-iff[symmetric]

lemma cexpr-typing-cong':
assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t \wedge x. x \in free-vars e \implies \Gamma x = \Gamma' x$ 
shows  $\Gamma' \vdash_c e : t$ 
using assms

```

```

proof (induction arbitrary:  $\Gamma'$  rule: cexpr-typing.induct)
  case (cet-int t  $\Gamma$  e  $\Gamma'$ )
    hence  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \text{case-nat } t \Gamma x = \text{case-nat } t \Gamma' x$ 
      by (auto split: nat.split)
    from cet-int.IH[Of this] show ?case by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros)
  qed (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros)

lemma cexpr-typing-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \Gamma x = \Gamma' x$ 
  shows  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma' \vdash_c e : t$ 
  by (rule iffI) (erule cexpr-typing-cong', simp add: assms)+

primrec cexpr-sem :: state  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  val where
  cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  (CVal v) = v
  | cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  (CVar x) =  $\sigma x$ 
  | cexpr-sem  $\sigma$   $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_c = \langle \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e_1, \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e_2 \rangle$ 
  | cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  (oper $$c e) = op-sem oper (cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  e)
  | cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  (IFc b THEN e1 ELSE e2) = (if cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  b = TRUE then
    cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  e1 else cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  e2)
  | cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  ( $\int_c e \partial t$ ) = RealVal ( $\int x. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem } (x \cdot \sigma) e) \partial (\text{stock-measure } t)$ )

definition cexpr-equiv :: cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  cexpr-equiv  $e_1 e_2 \equiv \forall \sigma. \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e_1 = \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e_2$ 

lemma cexpr-equiv-commute: cexpr-equiv  $e_1 e_2 \longleftrightarrow \text{cexpr-equiv } e_2 e_1$ 
  by (auto simp: cexpr-equiv-def)

lemma val-type-cexpr-sem[simp]:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t$  free-vars e  $\subseteq V$   $\sigma \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$ 
  shows val-type (cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  e) =  $t$ 
  using assms by (induction arbitrary:  $\sigma$  V rule: cexpr-typing.induct)
    (auto intro: state-measure-var-type op-sem-val-type)

lemma cexpr-sem-eq-on-vars:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \sigma x = \sigma' x$ 
  shows cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  e = cexpr-sem  $\sigma'$  e
  using assms
  proof (induction e arbitrary:  $\sigma \sigma'$ )
    case (CPair e1 e2  $\sigma \sigma'$ )
      from CPair.preds show ?case by (auto intro!: CPair.IH)
  next
    case (COperator oper e  $\sigma \sigma'$ )
      from COperator.preds show ?case by (auto simp: COperator.IH[of  $\sigma \sigma'$ ])
  next
    case (CIf b e1 e2  $\sigma \sigma'$ )
      from CIf.preds show ?case by (auto simp: CIf.IH[of  $\sigma \sigma'$ ])

```

```

next
  case (CIntegral e t σ σ')
    have cexpr-sem σ (ʃ c e ∂t) = RealVal (ʃ x. extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat x σ) e) ∂stock-measure t)
      by simp
    also from CIntegral.prems have A: (λv. cexpr-sem (case-nat v σ) e) = (λv. cexpr-sem (case-nat v σ') e)
      by (intro ext CIntegral.IH) (auto split: nat.split)
    also have RealVal (ʃ x. extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat x σ') e) ∂stock-measure t) = cexpr-sem σ' (ʃ c e ∂t)
      by simp
    finally show ?case .
  qed simp-all

```

**definition** *eval-cexpr :: cexpr ⇒ state ⇒ val ⇒ real* **where**  
*eval-cexpr e σ v = extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat v σ) e)*

```

lemma measurable-cexpr-sem[measurable]:
   $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V \implies (\lambda\sigma. \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e) \in \text{measurable}(\text{state-measure } V \ \Gamma) \ (\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
proof (induction arbitrary: V rule: cexpr-typing.induct)
  case (cet-op oper t t' Γ e)
    thus ?case using measurable-op-sem by simp
next
  case (cet-int t Γ e)
    interpret sigma-finite-measure stock-measure t by simp
    let ?M = ( $\prod_M x \in V. \text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x)$ )  $\bigotimes_M \text{stock-measure } t$ 
    let ?N = embed-measure lborel RealVal
    have *[measurable]:  $(\lambda a. \text{cexpr-sem } a e) \in \text{measurable}(\text{state-measure}(\text{shift-var-set } V) \ (\text{case-nat } t \ \Gamma)) \ \text{REAL}$ 
      using cet-int.prems subset-shift-var-set
      by (intro cet-int.IH) simp
    show ?case
      by simp
  qed (simp-all add: state-measure-def inj-PairVal)

```

```

lemma measurable-eval-cexpr[measurable]:
  assumes case-nat t Γ ⊢c e : REAL
  assumes free-vars e ⊆ shift-var-set V
  shows case-prod (eval-cexpr e) ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V Γ ⊗ M stock-measure t)
  unfolding eval-cexpr-def[abs-def] using measurable-cexpr-sem[OF assms] by simp

```

```

lemma cexpr-sem-Add:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e_1 : \text{REAL} \ \Gamma \vdash_c e_2 : \text{REAL}$ 
  assumes  $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \ \Gamma) \ \text{free-vars } e_1 \subseteq V \ \text{free-vars } e_2 \subseteq V$ 
  shows extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (e1 +c e2)) = extract-real (cexpr-sem σ e1) + extract-real (cexpr-sem σ e2)

```

```

extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  e2)
  using val-type-cexpr-sem[OF assms(1,4,3)] val-type-cexpr-sem[OF assms(2,5,3)]
  by (auto simp: lift-RealIntVal2-def extract-real-def split: val.split)

lemma cexpr-sem-Mult:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : REAL$   $\Gamma \vdash_c e2 : REAL$ 
  assumes  $\sigma \in space (state-measure V \Gamma)$  free-vars  $e1 \subseteq V$  free-vars  $e2 \subseteq V$ 
  shows extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  (e1 * $_c$  e2)) = extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  e1) *
    extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  e2)
  using val-type-cexpr-sem[OF assms(1,4,3)] val-type-cexpr-sem[OF assms(2,5,3)]
  by (auto simp: lift-RealIntVal2-def extract-real-def split: val.split)

```

## 8.1 General functions on Expressions

Transform variable names in an expression.

```

primrec map-vars :: (vname  $\Rightarrow$  vname)  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr where
  map-vars f (CVal v) = CVal v
  | map-vars f (CVar x) = CVar (f x)
  | map-vars f (<e1, e2> $_c$ ) = <map-vars f e1, map-vars f e2> $_c$ 
  | map-vars f (oper $$ $_c$  e) = oper $$ $_c$  (map-vars f e)
  | map-vars f (IF $_c$  b THEN e1 ELSE e2) = (IF $_c$  map-vars f b THEN map-vars f
  e1 ELSE map-vars f e2)
  | map-vars f ( $\int_c e \partial t$ ) =  $\int_c$  map-vars (case-nat 0 ( $\lambda x. Suc (f x)$ )) e  $\partial t$ 

lemma free-vars-map-vars[simp]:
  free-vars (map-vars f e) = f ` free-vars e
proof (induction e arbitrary: f)
  case (CIntegral e t f)
  {
    fix x A assume Suc x  $\in$  A
    hence Suc (f x)  $\in$  case-nat 0 ( $\lambda x. Suc (f x)$ ) ` A
      by (subst image-iff, intro bexI[of - Suc x]) (simp split: nat.split)
  }
  with CIntegral show ?case by (auto split: nat.split-asm)
qed auto

lemma cexpr-typing-map-vars:
   $\Gamma \circ f \vdash_c e : t \implies \Gamma \vdash_c map-vars f e : t$ 
proof (induction  $\Gamma \circ f e t$  arbitrary:  $\Gamma f$  rule: cexpr-typing.induct)
  case (cet-int t e  $\Gamma$ )
  have case-nat t ( $\Gamma \circ f$ ) = case-nat t  $\Gamma \circ$  (case-nat 0 ( $\lambda x. Suc (f x)$ ))
    by (intro ext) (auto split: nat.split)
  from cet-int(2)[OF this] show ?case by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros)
qed (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros)

lemma cexpr-sem-map-vars:
  cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  (map-vars f e) = cexpr-sem ( $\sigma \circ f$ ) e
proof (induction e arbitrary:  $\sigma f$ )
  case (CIntegral e t  $\sigma f$ )

```

```

{
  fix x
  have cexpr-sem (case-nat x σ) (map-vars (case-nat 0 (λx. Suc (f x))) e) =
    cexpr-sem (case-nat x σ ∘ case-nat 0 (λx. Suc (f x))) e
    by (rule CIntegral.IH)
  also have case-nat x σ ∘ case-nat 0 (λx. Suc (f x)) = case-nat x (λa. σ (f a))
    by (intro ext) (auto simp add: o-def split: nat.split)
  finally have cexpr-sem (case-nat x σ) (map-vars (case-nat 0 (λx. Suc (f x))) e) =
    cexpr-sem (case-nat x (λa. σ (f a))) e .
}
thus ?case by simp
qed simp-all

```

**definition** insert-var :: vname ⇒ (vname ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a ⇒ vname ⇒ 'a **where**  
 $\text{insert-var } v f x w \equiv \text{if } w = v \text{ then } x \text{ else if } w > v \text{ then } f(w - 1) \text{ else } f w$

**lemma** insert-var-0[simp]:  $\text{insert-var } 0 f x = \text{case-nat } x f$   
 by (intro ext) (simp add: insert-var-def split: nat.split)

Substitutes expression e for variable x in e'.

**primrec** cexpr-subst :: vname ⇒ cexpr ⇒ cexpr ⇒ cexpr **where**  
 $\text{cexpr-subst } - \text{ (CVal } v) = \text{CVal } v$   
 $\text{cexpr-subst } x e \text{ (CVar } y) = \text{insert-var } x \text{ CVar } e y$   
 $\text{cexpr-subst } x e \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_c = \langle \text{cexpr-subst } x e e_1, \text{cexpr-subst } x e e_2 \rangle_c$   
 $\text{cexpr-subst } x e (\text{oper } \$\$_c e') = \text{oper } \$\$_c (\text{cexpr-subst } x e e')$   
 $\text{cexpr-subst } x e (\text{IF}_c b \text{ THEN } e_1 \text{ ELSE } e_2) =$   
 $(\text{IF}_c \text{cexpr-subst } x e b \text{ THEN } \text{cexpr-subst } x e e_1 \text{ ELSE } \text{cexpr-subst } x e e_2)$   
 $\text{cexpr-subst } x e (\int_c e' \partial t) = (\int_c \text{cexpr-subst } (\text{Suc } x) (\text{map-vars } \text{Suc } e) e' \partial t)$

**lemma** cexpr-sem-cexpr-subst-aux:  
 $\text{cexpr-sem } σ (\text{cexpr-subst } x e e') = \text{cexpr-sem } (\text{insert-var } x σ (\text{cexpr-sem } σ e)) e'$   
**proof** (induction e' arbitrary: x e σ)  
**case** (CIntegral e' t x e σ)  
 have A:  $\bigwedge y. \text{insert-var } (\text{Suc } x) (\text{case-nat } y σ) (\text{cexpr-sem } σ e) =$   
 $\text{case-nat } y (\text{insert-var } x σ (\text{cexpr-sem } σ e))$   
 by (intro ext) (simp add: insert-var-def split: nat.split)  
 show ?case by (simp add: o-def A cexpr-sem-map-vars CIntegral.IH)  
**qed** (simp-all add: insert-var-def)

This corresponds to a Let-binding; the variable with index 0 is substituted with the given expression.

**lemma** cexpr-sem-cexpr-subst:  
 $\text{cexpr-sem } σ (\text{cexpr-subst } 0 e e') = \text{cexpr-sem } (\text{case-nat } (\text{cexpr-sem } σ e) σ) e'$   
**using** cexpr-sem-cexpr-subst-aux **by** simp

**lemma** cexpr-typing-subst-aux:  
**assumes**  $\text{insert-var } x \Gamma t \vdash_c e' : t' \Gamma \vdash_c e : t$

```

shows  $\Gamma \vdash_c cexpr\text{-}subst x e e' : t'$ 
using assms
proof (induction e' arbitrary: x  $\Gamma$  e t')
  case CVar
    thus ?case by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros simp: insert-var-def)
  next
    case COperator
    thus ?case by (auto simp: cexpr-type-Some-iff[symmetric] split: option.split-asm)
  next
    case (CIntegral e' t'')
      have t': t' = REAL using CIntegral.prems(1) by auto
      have case-nat t'' (insert-var x  $\Gamma$  t)  $\vdash_c e' : t'$  using CIntegral.prems(1) by auto
      also have case-nat t'' (insert-var x  $\Gamma$  t) = insert-var (Suc x) (case-nat t''  $\Gamma$ ) t
        by (intro ext) (simp add: insert-var-def split: nat.split)
      finally have insert-var (Suc x) (case-nat t''  $\Gamma$ ) t  $\vdash_c e' : t'$ .
      moreover from CIntegral.prems(2) have case-nat t''  $\Gamma \vdash_c$  map-vars Suc e : t
        by (intro cexpr-typing-map-vars) (simp add: o-def)
      ultimately have case-nat t''  $\Gamma \vdash_c$  cexpr-subst (Suc x) (map-vars Suc e) e' : t'
        by (rule CIntegral.IH)
      thus ?case by (auto intro: cet-int simp: t')
  qed (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros)

lemma cexpr-typing-subst[intro]:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t$  case-nat t  $\Gamma \vdash_c e' : t'$ 
  shows  $\Gamma \vdash_c cexpr\text{-}subst 0 e e' : t'$ 
  using cexpr-typing-subst-aux assms by simp

lemma free-vars-cexpr-subst-aux:
  free-vars (cexpr-subst x e e')  $\subseteq$  ( $\lambda y$ . if  $y \geq x$  then  $y + 1$  else  $y$ ) - `free-vars e'  $\cup$ 
  free-vars e
  (is free-vars -  $\subseteq$  ?f x - ` -  $\cup$  -)
proof (induction e' arbitrary: x e)
  case (CVar y x e)
    show ?case by (auto simp: insert-var-def)
  next
    case (CPair e'1 e'2 x e)
      from CPair.IH[of x e] show ?case by auto
  next
    case (COperator - - x e)
      from COperator.IH[of x e] show ?case by auto
  next
    case (CIf b e'1 e'2 x e)
      from CIf.IH[of x e] show ?case by auto
  next
    case (CIntegral e' t x e)
      have free-vars (cexpr-subst x e ( $\int_c e' dt$ ))  $\subseteq$ 
        Suc - ` (?f (Suc x) - `free-vars e')  $\cup$ 
        Suc - ` (free-vars (map-vars Suc e)) (is -  $\subseteq$  ?A  $\cup$  ?B)

```

```

by (simp only: cexpr-subst.simps free-vars-cexpr.simps
      vimage-mono CIntegral.IH vimage-Un[symmetric])
also have ?B = free-vars e by (simp add: inj-vimage-image-eq)
also have ?A ⊆ ?f x -` free-vars (ʃ c e' ∂t) by auto
finally show ?case by blast
qed simp-all

lemma free-vars-cexpr-subst:
  free-vars (cexpr-subst 0 e e') ⊆ Suc -` free-vars e' ∪ free-vars e
  by (rule order.trans[OF free-vars-cexpr-subst-aux]) (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)

primrec cexpr-comp-aux :: vname ⇒ cexpr ⇒ cexpr ⇒ cexpr where
  cexpr-comp-aux - - (CVal v) = CVal v
  | cexpr-comp-aux x e (CVar y) = (if x = y then e else CVar y)
  | cexpr-comp-aux x e <e1, e2>c = <cexpr-comp-aux x e e1, cexpr-comp-aux x e e2>c
  | cexpr-comp-aux x e (oper $$c e') = oper $$c (cexpr-comp-aux x e e')
  | cexpr-comp-aux x e (IFc b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =
    (IFc cexpr-comp-aux x e b THEN cexpr-comp-aux x e e1 ELSE cexpr-comp-aux x e e2)
  | cexpr-comp-aux x e (ʃ c e' ∂t) = (ʃ c cexpr-comp-aux (Suc x) (map-vars Suc e) e' ∂t)

lemma cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp-aux:
  cexpr-sem σ (cexpr-comp-aux x e e') = cexpr-sem (σ(x := cexpr-sem σ e)) e'
proof (induction e' arbitrary: x e σ)
  case (CIntegral e' t x e σ)
  have ∀y. (case-nat y σ)(Suc x := cexpr-sem (case-nat y σ) (map-vars Suc e)) =
    case-nat y (σ(x := cexpr-sem σ e))
  by (intro ext) (auto simp: cexpr-sem-map-vars o-def split: nat.split)
  thus ?case by (auto intro!: integral-cong simp: CIntegral.IH simp del: fun-upd-apply)
qed (simp-all add: insert-var-def)

definition cexpr-comp (infixl ∘c 55) where
  cexpr-comp b a ≡ cexpr-comp-aux 0 a b

lemma cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp-aux:
  assumes Γ(x := t1) ⊢c e' : t2 Γ ⊢c e : t1
  shows Γ ⊢c cexpr-comp-aux x e e' : t2
using assms
proof (induction e' arbitrary: Γ e x t2)
  case COperator
  thus ?case by (elim cexpr-typing-opE) (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros) []
next
  case CPair
  thus ?case by (elim cexpr-typing-pairE) (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros) []
next

```

```

case (CIntegral e' t Γ e x t2)
from CIntegral.preds have [simp]: t2 = REAL by auto
from CIntegral.preds have case-nat t (Γ(x := t1)) ⊢c e' : REAL by (elim
cexpr-typing-intE)
also have case-nat t (Γ(x := t1)) = (case-nat t Γ)(Suc x := t1)
by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split)
finally have ... ⊢c e' : REAL .
thus  $\Gamma \vdash_c \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x \ e \ (\int_c e' \partial t) : t2$ 
by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros CIntegral.IH cexpr-typing-map-vars
simp: o-def CIntegral.preds)
qed (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros)

lemma cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp[intro]:
assumes case-nat t1 Γ ⊢c g : t2
assumes case-nat t2 Γ ⊢c f : t3
shows case-nat t1 Γ ⊢c f ∘c g : t3
proof (unfold cexpr-comp-def, intro cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp-aux)
have (case-nat t1 Γ(0 := t2) = case-nat t2 Γ)
by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split)
with assms show (case-nat t1 Γ(0 := t2) ⊢c f : t3 by simp)
qed (insert assms)

lemma free-vars-cexpr-comp-aux:
free-vars (cexpr-comp-aux x e e') ⊆ (free-vars e' - {x}) ∪ free-vars e
proof (induction e' arbitrary: x e)
case (CIntegral e' t x e)
note IH = CIntegral.IH[of Suc x map-vars Suc e]
have free-vars (cexpr-comp-aux x e (ʃc e' ∂t)) =
Suc - ' free-vars (cexpr-comp-aux (Suc x) (map-vars Suc e) e') by simp
also have ...  $\subseteq \text{Suc} - ' (\text{free-vars } e' - \{\text{Suc } x\} \cup \text{free-vars} (\text{map-vars } \text{Suc } e))$ 
by (rule vimage-mono, rule CIntegral.IH)
also have ...  $\subseteq \text{free-vars} (\int_c e' \partial t) - \{x\} \cup \text{free-vars } e$ 
by (auto simp add: vimage-Diff vimage-image-eq)
finally show ?case .
qed (simp, blast?)+

lemma free-vars-cexpr-comp:
free-vars (cexpr-comp e e') ⊆ (free-vars e - {0}) ∪ free-vars e'
by (simp add: free-vars-cexpr-comp-aux cexpr-comp-def)

lemma free-vars-cexpr-comp':
free-vars (cexpr-comp e e') ⊆ free-vars e ∪ free-vars e'
using free-vars-cexpr-comp by blast

lemma cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp:
cexpr-sem σ (f ∘c g) = cexpr-sem (σ(0 := cexpr-sem σ g)) f
unfolding cexpr-comp-def by (simp add: cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp-aux)

```

```

lemma eval-cexpr-comp:
  eval-cexpr (f oc g) σ x = eval-cexpr f σ (cexpr-sem (case-nat x σ) g)
proof-
  have (case-nat x σ)(0 := cexpr-sem (case-nat x σ) g) = case-nat (cexpr-sem
  (case-nat x σ) g) σ
    by (intro ext) (auto split: nat.split)
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: eval-cexpr-def cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp)
qed

primrec cexpr-subst-val-aux :: nat ⇒ cexpr ⇒ val ⇒ cexpr where
  cexpr-subst-val-aux - (CVal v) - = CVal v
| cexpr-subst-val-aux x (CVar y) v = insert-var x CVar (CVal v) y
| cexpr-subst-val-aux x (IFc b THEN e1 ELSE e2) v =
  (IFc cexpr-subst-val-aux x b v THEN cexpr-subst-val-aux x e1 v ELSE cexpr-subst-val-aux
  x e2 v)
| cexpr-subst-val-aux x (oper $$c e) v = oper $$c (cexpr-subst-val-aux x e v)
| cexpr-subst-val-aux x <e1, e2>c v = <cexpr-subst-val-aux x e1 v, cexpr-subst-val-aux
  x e2 v>c
| cexpr-subst-val-aux x (ʃc e ∂t) v = ∫c cexpr-subst-val-aux (Suc x) e v ∂t

lemma cexpr-subst-val-aux-eq-cexpr-subst:
  cexpr-subst-val-aux x e v = cexpr-subst x (CVal v) e
  by (induction e arbitrary: x) simp-all

definition cexpr-subst-val :: cexpr ⇒ val ⇒ cexpr where
  cexpr-subst-val e v ≡ cexpr-subst-val-aux 0 e v

lemma cexpr-sem-cexpr-subst-val[simp]:
  cexpr-sem σ (cexpr-subst-val e v) = cexpr-sem (case-nat v σ) e
  by (simp add: cexpr-subst-val-def cexpr-subst-val-aux-eq-cexpr-subst cexpr-sem-cexpr-subst)

lemma cexpr-typing-subst-val[intro]:
  case-nat t Γ ⊢c e : t' ⇒ val-type v = t ⇒ Γ ⊢c cexpr-subst-val e v : t'
  by (auto simp: cexpr-subst-val-def cexpr-subst-val-aux-eq-cexpr-subst intro!: cet-val')

lemma free-vars-cexpr-subst-val-aux:
  free-vars (cexpr-subst-val-aux x e v) = (λy. if y ≥ x then Suc y else y) - ` free-vars e
  by (induction e arbitrary: x) (auto simp: insert-var-def split: if-split-asm)

lemma free-vars-cexpr-subst-val[simp]:
  free-vars (cexpr-subst-val e v) = Suc - ` free-vars e
  by (simp add: cexpr-subst-val-def free-vars-cexpr-subst-val-aux)

```

## 8.2 Nonnegative expressions

**definition** nonneg-cexpr V Γ e ≡  
 $\forall \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma). \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e) \geq 0$

**lemma** *nonneg-cexprI*:

$$(\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e) \geq 0) \implies$$

*nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma e$

**unfolding** *nonneg-cexpr-def* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *nonneg-cexprD*:

$$\text{nonneg-cexpr } V \Gamma e \implies \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies \text{extract-real}$$

$$(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e) \geq 0$$

**unfolding** *nonneg-cexpr-def* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-map-vars*:

**assumes** *nonneg-cexpr*  $(f -` V) (\Gamma \circ f) e$

**shows** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma (\text{map-vars } f e)$

**by** (*intro nonneg-cexprI*, *subst cexpr-sem-map-vars*, *intro nonneg-cexprD[OF assms]*)

(*auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM*)

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-subset*:

**assumes** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma e$   $V \subseteq V'$  *free-vars*  $e \subseteq V$

**shows** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V' \Gamma e$

**proof** (*intro nonneg-cexprI*)

**fix**  $\sigma$  **assume**  $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$

**with** *assms(2)* **have** *restrict*  $\sigma$   $V \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$

**by** (*auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM restrict-def*)

**from** *nonneg-cexprD[OF assms(1)] this* **have** *extract-real*  $(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{restrict } \sigma V) e) \geq 0$ .

**also have** *cexpr-sem*  $(\text{restrict } \sigma V) e = \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e$  **using** *assms(3)*

**by** (*intro cexpr-sem-eq-on-vars*) *auto*

**finally show** *extract-real*  $(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e) \geq 0$ .

**qed**

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-Mult*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{REAL}$   $\Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{REAL}$

**assumes** *free-vars*  $e1 \subseteq V$  *free-vars*  $e2 \subseteq V$

**assumes** *N1: nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma e1$  **and** *N2: nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma e2$

**shows** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma (e1 *_c e2)$

**proof** (*rule nonneg-cexprI*)

**fix**  $\sigma$  **assume**  $\sigma : \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$

**hence** *extract-real*  $(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma (e1 *_c e2)) = \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e1) * \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e2)$

**using** *assms* **by** (*subst cexpr-sem-Mult[of*  $\Gamma \dashv\dashv V$ *]*) *simp-all*

**also have** ...  $\geq 0$  **using**  $\sigma$  *N1 N2* **by** (*intro mult-nonneg-nonneg nonneg-cexprD*)

**finally show** *extract-real*  $(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma (e1 *_c e2)) \geq 0$ .

**qed**

**lemma** *nonneg-indicator*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{BOOL}$  *free-vars*  $e \subseteq V$

**shows** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma (\langle e \rangle_c)$

**proof** (*intro nonneg-cexprI*)

**fix**  $\varrho$  **assume**  $\varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$

**with** *assms* **have** *val-type* (*cexpr-sem*  $\varrho$  *e*) = *BOOL* **by** (*rule val-type-cexpr-sem*)  
**thus** *extract-real* (*cexpr-sem*  $\varrho$  ( $\langle e \rangle_c$ ))  $\geq 0$

**by** (*auto simp: extract-real-def bool-to-real-def split: val.split*)

**qed**

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-comp-aux*:

**assumes** *nonneg*: *nonneg-cexpr* *V* ( $\Gamma(x := t1)$ ) *e* **and**  $x : x \in V$

**assumes** *t2*:  $\Gamma(x := t1) \vdash_c e : t2$  **and** *t1*:  $\Gamma \vdash_c f : t1$  **and** *vars*: *free-vars* *f*  $\subseteq V$   
**shows** *nonneg-cexpr* *V*  $\Gamma$  (*cexpr-comp-aux* *x f e*)

**proof** (*intro nonneg-cexprI*)

**fix**  $\sigma$  **assume**  $\sigma$ :  $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \ \Gamma)$

**have** *extract-real* (*cexpr-sem*  $\sigma$  (*cexpr-comp-aux* *x f e*)) =  
*extract-real* (*cexpr-sem* ( $\sigma(x := \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma f)$ ) *e*)

**by** (*simp add: cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp-aux*)

**also from** *val-type-cexpr-sem*[*OF t1 vars σ*] **have** *cexpr-sem*  $\sigma f \in \text{type-universe}$   
*t1* **by** *auto*

**with**  $\sigma x$  **have**  $\sigma(x := \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma f) \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V (\Gamma(x := t1)))$

**by** (*auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM shift-var-set-def split: if-split-asm*)

**hence** *extract-real* (*cexpr-sem* ( $\sigma(x := \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma f)$ ) *e*)  $\geq 0$

**by** (*intro nonneg-cexprD*[*OF assms(1)*])

**finally show** *extract-real* (*cexpr-sem*  $\sigma$  (*cexpr-comp-aux* *x f e*))  $\geq 0$ .

**qed**

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-comp*:

**assumes** *nonneg-cexpr* (*shift-var-set* *V*) (*case-nat* *t2*  $\Gamma$ ) *e*

**assumes** *case-nat* *t1*  $\Gamma \vdash_c f : t2$  *free-vars* *f*  $\subseteq \text{shift-var-set } V$

**shows** *nonneg-cexpr* (*shift-var-set* *V*) (*case-nat* *t1*  $\Gamma$ ) (*e o<sub>c</sub> f*)

**proof** (*intro nonneg-cexprI*)

**fix**  $\sigma$  **assume**  $\sigma$ :  $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t1 \ \Gamma))$

**have** *extract-real* (*cexpr-sem*  $\sigma$  (*e o<sub>c</sub> f*)) = *extract-real* (*cexpr-sem* ( $\sigma(0 := \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma f)$ ) *e*)

**by** (*simp add: cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp*)

**also from** *val-type-cexpr-sem*[*OF assms(2,3) σ*] **have** *cexpr-sem*  $\sigma f \in \text{type-universe}$   
*t2* **by** *auto*

**with**  $\sigma$  **have**  $\sigma(0 := \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma f) \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t2 \ \Gamma))$

**by** (*auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM shift-var-set-def split: if-split-asm*)

**hence** *extract-real* (*cexpr-sem* ( $\sigma(0 := \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma f)$ ) *e*)  $\geq 0$

**by** (*intro nonneg-cexprD*[*OF assms(1)*])

**finally show** *extract-real* (*cexpr-sem*  $\sigma$  (*e o<sub>c</sub> f*))  $\geq 0$ .

**qed**

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-subst-val*:

**assumes** *nonneg-cexpr* (*shift-var-set* *V*) (*case-nat* *t*  $\Gamma$ ) *e* *val-type* *v* = *t*

**shows** *nonneg-cexpr* *V*  $\Gamma$  (*cexpr-subst-val* *e v*)

**proof** (*intro nonneg-cexprI*)

**fix**  $\sigma$  **assume**  $\sigma$ :  $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \ \Gamma)$

**moreover from** *assms(2)* **have** *v*  $\in \text{type-universe}$  *t* **by** *auto*

**ultimately show** *extract-real* (*cexpr-sem*  $\sigma$  (*cexpr-subst-val* *e v*))  $\geq 0$

```

by (auto intro!: nonneg-cexprD[OF assms(1)])
qed

lemma nonneg-cexpr-int:
assumes nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t Γ) e
shows nonneg-cexpr V Γ (ʃc e ∂t)
proof (intro nonneg-cexprI)
  fix σ assume σ: σ ∈ space (state-measure V Γ)
  have extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (ʃc e ∂t)) = ∫ x. extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat x σ) e) ∂stock-measure t
    by (simp add: extract-real-def)
  also from σ have ... ≥ 0
    by (intro integral-nonneg-AE AE-I2 nonneg-cexprD[OF assms]) auto
  finally show extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (ʃc e ∂t)) ≥ 0 .
qed

```

Subprobability density expressions

```

definition subprob-cexpr V V' Γ e ≡
  ∀ ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ).
  (∫+σ. extract-real (cexpr-sem (merge V V' (σ, ρ)) e) ∂state-measure V Γ) ≤
  1

```

```

lemma subprob-cexprI:
assumes ⋀ρ. ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ) ==>
  (∫+σ. extract-real (cexpr-sem (merge V V' (σ, ρ)) e) ∂state-measure V Γ) ≤ 1
shows subprob-cexpr V V' Γ e
using assms unfolding subprob-cexpr-def by simp

```

```

lemma subprob-cexprD:
assumes subprob-cexpr V V' Γ e
shows ⋀ρ. ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ) ==>
  (∫+σ. extract-real (cexpr-sem (merge V V' (σ, ρ)) e) ∂state-measure V Γ) ≤ 1
using assms unfolding subprob-cexpr-def by simp

```

```

lemma subprob-indicator:
assumes subprob: subprob-cexpr V V' Γ e1 and nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (V ∪ V')
Γ e1
assumes t1: Γ ⊢c e1 : REAL and t2: Γ ⊢c e2 : BOOL
assumes vars1: free-vars e1 ⊆ V ∪ V' and vars2: free-vars e2 ⊆ V ∪ V'
shows subprob-cexpr V V' Γ (e1 *c ⟨e2⟩c)
proof (intro subprob-cexprI)
  fix ρ assume ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
  from t2 have t2': Γ ⊢c ⟨e2⟩c : REAL by (rule cet-op) simp-all
  from vars2 have vars2': free-vars ((⟨e2⟩c) ⊆ V ∪ V' by simp
  let ?eval = λσ e. extract-real (cexpr-sem (merge V V' (σ, ρ)) e)
  have (∫+σ. ?eval σ (e1 *c ⟨e2⟩c) ∂state-measure V Γ) =
    (∫+σ. ?eval σ e1 * ?eval σ (⟨e2⟩c) ∂state-measure V Γ)

```

```

by (intro nn-integral-cong)
  (simp only: cexpr-sem-Mult[OF t1 t2' merge-in-state-measure[OF - ρ] vars1 vars2])
also {
  fix σ assume σ: σ ∈ space (state-measure V Γ)
  with ρ have val-type (cexpr-sem (merge V V' (σ,ρ)) e2) = BOOL
    by (intro val-type-cexpr-sem[OF t2 vars2 merge-in-state-measure])
  hence ?eval σ ⟨e2⟩c ∈ {0,1}
    by (cases cexpr-sem (merge V V' (σ,ρ)) e2) (auto simp: extract-real-def
      bool-to-real-def)
  moreover have ?eval σ e1 ≥ 0 using nonneg ρ σ
    by (auto intro!: nonneg-cexprD merge-in-state-measure)
  ultimately have ?eval σ e1 * ?eval σ ⟨e2⟩c ≤ ?eval σ e1
    by (intro mult-right-le-one-le) auto
  }
  hence (ʃ+σ. ?eval σ e1 * ?eval σ ⟨e2⟩c ∂state-measure V Γ) ≤
    (ʃ+σ. ?eval σ e1 ∂state-measure V Γ)
    by (intro nn-integral-mono) (simp add: ennreal-leI)
  also from subprob and ρ have ... ≤ 1 by (rule subprob-cexprD)
  finally show (ʃ+σ. ?eval σ (e1 *c ⟨e2⟩c) ∂state-measure V Γ) ≤ 1 .
qed

```

```

lemma measurable-cexpr-sem':
assumes ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
assumes e: Γ ⊢c e : REAL free-vars e ⊆ V ∪ V'
shows (λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem (merge V V' (σ, ρ)) e))
  ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V Γ)
apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-extract-real])
apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-cexpr-sem[OF e]])
apply (insert ρ, unfold state-measure-def, rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-merge], simp)
done

```

```

lemma measurable-fun-upd-state-measure[measurable]:
assumes v ∉ V
shows (λ(x,y). y(v := x)) ∈ measurable (stock-measure (Γ v) ⊗M state-measure
  V Γ)
  (state-measure (insert v V) Γ)
unfolding state-measure-def by simp

```

```

lemma integrable-cexpr-projection:
assumes fin: finite V
assumes disjoint: V ∩ V' = {} v ∉ V v ∉ V'
assumes ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
assumes e: Γ ⊢c e : REAL free-vars e ⊆ insert v V ∪ V'
assumes int: integrable (state-measure (insert v V) Γ)
  (λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem (merge (insert v V) V' (σ, ρ)) e))
  (is integrable - ?f')

```

```

shows AE x in stock-measure ( $\Gamma$  v).
  integrable (state-measure V  $\Gamma$ )
     $(\lambda \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge } V (\text{insert } v V') (\sigma, \varrho(v := x))) e))$ 
  (is AE x in ?N. integrable ?M (?f x))
proof (unfold real-integrable-def, intro AE-conjI)
show AE x in ?N. ?f x ∈ borel-measurable ?M using  $\varrho$  e disjoint
  by (intro AE-I2 measurable-cexpr-sem')
    (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM dest: PiE-mem split: if-split-asm)

let ?f'' =  $\lambda x \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{insert } v V) V' (\sigma(v := x), \varrho)))$ 
e)
{
  fix x  $\sigma$  assume x ∈ space ?N  $\sigma$  ∈ space ?M
  hence merge (insert v V) V' ( $\sigma(v := x)$ ,  $\varrho$ ) = merge V (insert v V') ( $\sigma$ ,  $\varrho(v := x)$ )
    using disjoint by (intro ext) (simp add: merge-def split: if-split-asm)
    hence ?f'' x  $\sigma$  = ?f x  $\sigma$  by simp
} note f''-eq-f = this

interpret product-sigma-finite ( $\lambda v. \text{stock-measure} (\Gamma v)$ )
  by (simp add: product-sigma-finite-def)
interpret sigma-finite-measure state-measure V  $\Gamma$ 
  by (rule sigma-finite-state-measure[OF fin])

from int have  $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (?f' \sigma) \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{insert } v V) \Gamma) \neq \infty$ 
  by (simp add: real-integrable-def)
also have  $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (?f' \sigma) \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{insert } v V) \Gamma) =$ 
   $\int^+ x. \int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (?f'' x \sigma) \partial ?M \partial ?N (\mathbf{is} - = ?I)$ 
  using fin disjoint e  $\varrho$ 
  by (unfold state-measure-def, subst product-nn-integral-insert-rev)
    (auto intro!: measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal] measurable-cexpr-sem'[unfolded
state-measure-def])
finally have AE x in ?N.  $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (?f'' x \sigma) \partial ?M) \neq \infty$  (is ?P) using e
disjoint
  by (intro nn-integral-PInf-AE)
    (auto simp: measurable-split-conv intro!: borel-measurable-nn-integral measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal]
measurable-compose[OF - measurable-cexpr-sem'[OF  $\varrho$ ]])
moreover have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } ?N \implies (\int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (?f'' x \sigma) \partial ?M) = (\int^+ \sigma.$ 
 $\text{ennreal} (?f x \sigma) \partial ?M)$ 
  by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp add: f''-eq-f)
  hence ?P  $\longleftrightarrow$  (AE x in ?N.  $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (?f x \sigma) \partial ?M) \neq \infty$ ) by (intro
AE-cong) simp
ultimately show AE x in ?N.  $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (?f x \sigma) \partial ?M) \neq \infty$  by simp

from int have  $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (-?f' \sigma) \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{insert } v V) \Gamma) \neq \infty$ 
  by (simp add: real-integrable-def)
also have  $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (-?f' \sigma) \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{insert } v V) \Gamma) =$ 
   $\int^+ x. \int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (-?f'' x \sigma) \partial ?M \partial ?N (\mathbf{is} - = ?I)$ 

```

```

using fn disjoint e  $\varrho$ 
by (unfold state-measure-def, subst product-nn-integral-insert-rev)
  (auto intro!: measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal] borel-measurable-uminus
    measurable-cexpr-sem'[unfolded state-measure-def])
finally have AE x in ?N. ( $\int^+ \sigma.$  ennreal  $(-\varrho f'' x \sigma) \partial?M$ )  $\neq \infty$  (is ?P) using
e disjoint
by (intro nn-integral-PInf-AE)
  (auto simp: measurable-split-conv intro!: borel-measurable-nn-integral measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal]
    measurable-compose[OF - measurable-cexpr-sem'[OF  $\varrho$ ]] borel-measurable-uminus)
moreover have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } ?N \implies (\int^+ \sigma.$  ennreal  $(-\varrho f'' x \sigma) \partial?M) =$ 
 $(\int^+ \sigma.$  ennreal  $(-\varrho f x \sigma) \partial?M)
by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp add: f''-eq-f)
hence ?P  $\longleftrightarrow$  (AE x in ?N. ( $\int^+ \sigma.$  ennreal  $(-\varrho f x \sigma) \partial?M$ )  $\neq \infty$ ) by (intro
AE-cong) simp
ultimately show AE x in ?N. ( $\int^+ \sigma.$  ennreal  $(-\varrho f x \sigma) \partial?M$ )  $\neq \infty$  by simp
qed$ 
```

**definition** cdens-ctxt-invar :: vname list  $\Rightarrow$  vname list  $\Rightarrow$  tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**where**

```

cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta \equiv$ 
  distinct (vs @ vs')  $\wedge$ 
  free-vars  $\delta \subseteq \text{set } (vs @ vs')$   $\wedge$ 
   $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta : REAL$   $\wedge$ 
  nonneg-cexpr (set vs  $\cup$  set vs')  $\Gamma$   $\delta \wedge$ 
  subprob-cexpr (set vs) (set vs')  $\Gamma$   $\delta$ 

```

**lemma** cdens-ctxt-invarI:

```

[distinct (vs @ vs'); free-vars  $\delta \subseteq \text{set } (vs @ vs')$ ;  $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta : REAL$ ;
  nonneg-cexpr (set vs  $\cup$  set vs')  $\Gamma$   $\delta$ ;
  subprob-cexpr (set vs) (set vs')  $\Gamma$   $\delta]$   $\implies$ 
  cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$ 
by (simp add: cdens-ctxt-invar-def)

```

**lemma** cdens-ctxt-invarD:

```

assumes cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$ 
shows distinct (vs @ vs') free-vars  $\delta \subseteq \text{set } (vs @ vs')$   $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta : REAL$ 
  nonneg-cexpr (set vs  $\cup$  set vs')  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  subprob-cexpr (set vs) (set vs')  $\Gamma$   $\delta$ 
using assms by (simp-all add: cdens-ctxt-invar-def)

```

**lemma** cdens-ctxt-invar-empty:

```

assumes cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$ 
shows cdens-ctxt-invar [] (vs @ vs')  $\Gamma$  (CReal 1)
using cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF assms]
by (intro cdens-ctxt-invarI)
  (auto simp: cexpr-type-Some-iff[symmetric] extract-real-def state-measure-def
PiM-empty
  intro!: nonneg-cexprI subprob-cexprI)

```

```

lemma cdens ctxt-invar-imp-integrable:
  assumes cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  and  $\varrho \in space(state-measure(set vs')) \Gamma$ 
  shows integrable (state-measure (set vs)  $\Gamma$ )
     $(\lambda \sigma. extract-real(cexpr-sem(merge(set vs)(set vs')(\sigma, \varrho))\delta))$  (is
    integrable ?M ?f)
  unfolding integrable-iff-bounded
proof (intro conjI)
  note invar = cdens ctxt-invarD[OF assms(1)]
  show ?f ∈ borel-measurable ?M
    apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-extract-real])
    apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-cexpr-sem[OF invar(3,2)]])
    apply (simp only: state-measure-def set-append, rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-merge])
    apply (rule measurable-Pair, simp, insert assms(2), simp add: state-measure-def)
  done

  have nonneg:  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in space ?M \implies ?f \sigma \geq 0$ 
  using <nonneg-cexpr (set vs ∪ set vs')  $\Gamma \deltaby (rule nonneg-cexprD, intro merge-in-state-measure[OF -  $\varrho$ ])
  with <subprob-cexpr (set vs) (set vs')  $\Gamma \delta$  and  $\varrho$ 
  show  $(\int^+ \sigma. ennreal(norm(?f \sigma)) \partial ?M) < \infty$  unfolding subprob-cexpr-def
    by (auto simp: less-top[symmetric] top-unique cong: nn-integral-cong)
  qed$ 
```

### 8.3 Randomfree expressions

Translates an expression with no occurrences of Random or Fail into an equivalent target language expression.

```

primrec expr-rf-to-cexpr :: expr ⇒ cexpr where
  expr-rf-to-cexpr (Val v) = CVal v
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (Var x) = CVar x
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr <e1, e2> = <expr-rf-to-cexpr e1, expr-rf-to-cexpr e2>_c
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (oper $$ e) = oper $$_c (expr-rf-to-cexpr e)
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =
    (IF_c expr-rf-to-cexpr b THEN expr-rf-to-cexpr e1 ELSE expr-rf-to-cexpr e2)
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (LET e1 IN e2) =
    cexpr-subst 0 (expr-rf-to-cexpr e1) (expr-rf-to-cexpr e2)
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (Random - -) = undefined
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (Fail -) = undefined

```

```

lemma cexpr-sem-expr-rf-to-cexpr:
  randomfree e ⇒ cexpr-sem σ (expr-rf-to-cexpr e) = expr-sem-rf σ e
  by (induction e arbitrary: σ) (auto simp: cexpr-sem-cexpr-subst)

```

```

lemma cexpr-typing-expr-rf-to-cexpr[intro]:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash e : t$  randomfree e
  shows  $\Gamma \vdash_c$  expr-rf-to-cexpr e : t
  using assms by (induction rule: expr-typing.induct) (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros)

```

```

lemma free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr:
  randomfree e  $\implies$  free-vars (expr-rf-to-cexpr e)  $\subseteq$  free-vars e
proof (induction e)
  case (LetVar e1 e2)
  thus ?case
    by (simp only: free-vars-cexpr.simps expr-rf-to-cexpr.simps,
          intro order.trans[OF free-vars-cexpr-subst]) auto
  qed auto

```

## 8.4 Builtin density expressions

```

primrec dist-dens-cexpr :: pdf-dist  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr where
  dist-dens-cexpr Bernoulli p x = (IFc CReal 0  $\leq_c$  p  $\wedge_c$  p  $\leq_c$  CReal 1 THEN
    IFc x THEN p ELSE CReal 1  $-_c$  p
    ELSE CReal 0)
  | dist-dens-cexpr UniformInt p x = (IFc fstc p  $\leq_c$  sndc p  $\wedge_c$  fstc p  $\leq_c$  x  $\wedge_c$  x  $\leq_c$ 
    sndc p THEN
      inversec ((sndc p  $-_c$  fstc p  $+_c$  CInt 1)c) ELSE
      CReal 0)
  | dist-dens-cexpr UniformReal p x = (IFc fstc p <c sndc p  $\wedge_c$  fstc p  $\leq_c$  x  $\wedge_c$  x  $\leq_c$ 
    sndc p THEN
      inversec (sndc p  $-_c$  fstc p) ELSE CReal 0)
  | dist-dens-cexpr Gaussian p x = (IFc CReal 0 <c sndc p THEN
    expc (-c((x  $-_c$  fstc p)  $\hat{}$ c CInt 2 /c (CReal 2 *c sndc
    p  $\hat{}$ c CInt 2))) /c
    sqrtc (CReal 2 *c πc *c sndc p  $\hat{}$ c CInt 2) ELSE
    CReal 0)
  | dist-dens-cexpr Poisson p x = (IFc CReal 0 <c p  $\wedge_c$  CInt 0  $\leq_c$  x THEN
    p  $\hat{}$ c x /c ⟨factc x⟩c *c expc (-c p) ELSE CReal 0)

```

```

lemma free-vars-dist-dens-cexpr:
  free-vars (dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2)  $\subseteq$  free-vars e1  $\cup$  free-vars e2
  by (subst dist-dens-cexpr-def, cases dst) simp-all

```

```

lemma cexpr-typing-dist-dens-cexpr:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{dist-param-type}$  dst  $\Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{dist-result-type}$  dst
  shows  $\Gamma \vdash_c \text{dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2 : REAL}$ 
  using assms
  apply (subst dist-dens-cexpr-def, cases dst)

  apply (simp, intro cet-op-intros cet-if cet-val' cet-var' cet-eq, simp-all) []

  apply (simp, intro cet-if cet-and cet-or cet-less-int cet-eq)
  apply (erule cet-fst cet-snd | simp)+
  apply (rule cet-inverse, rule cet-op[where t = INTEG], intro cet-add-int cet-minus-int)
  apply (simp-all add: cet-val' cet-fst cet-snd) [5]

  apply (simp, intro cet-if cet-op-intros cet-eq cet-fst cet-snd, simp-all add: cet-val')

```

[]

```

apply (simp, intro cet-if cet-and, rule cet-less-real, simp add: cet-val', simp)
apply (rule cet-less-eq-int, simp add: cet-val', simp)
apply (intro cet-mult-real cet-pow-real cet-inverse cet-cast-real-int cet-exp cet-minus-real
        cet-op[where oper = Fact and t = INTEG] cet-var', simp-all add:
cet-val') [2]

apply (simp, intro cet-if cet-op-intros cet-val', simp-all add: cet-fst cet-snd)
done

lemma val-type-eq-BOOL: val-type x = BOOL  $\longleftrightarrow$  x ∈ BoolVal‘UNIV
by (cases x) auto

lemma val-type-eq-INTEG: val-type x = INTEG  $\longleftrightarrow$  x ∈ IntVal‘UNIV
by (cases x) auto

lemma val-type-eq-PRODUCT: val-type x = PRODUCT t1 t2  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists a b. \text{val-type } a = t1 \wedge \text{val-type } b = t2 \wedge x = \langle| a, b |>$ )
by (cases x) auto

lemma cexpr-sem-dist-dens-cexpr-nonneg:
assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{dist-param-type dst} \quad \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{dist-result-type dst}$ 
assumes  $\text{free-vars } e1 \subseteq V \quad \text{free-vars } e2 \subseteq V$ 
assumes  $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$ 
shows  $\text{ennreal}(\text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma (\text{dist-dens-cexpr dst } e1 e2))) =$ 
   $\text{dist-dens dst}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e1)(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e2) \wedge$ 
   $0 \leq \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma (\text{dist-dens-cexpr dst } e1 e2))$ 

proof-
  from val-type-cexpr-sem[OF assms(1,3,5)] and val-type-cexpr-sem[OF assms(2,4,5)]
  have cexpr-sem σ e1 ∈ space(stock-measure(dist-param-type dst)) and
    cexpr-sem σ e2 ∈ space(stock-measure(dist-result-type dst))
  by (auto simp: type-universe-def simp del: type-universe-type)
  thus ?thesis
    by (subst dist-dens-cexpr-def, cases dst)
    (auto simp:
      lift-Comp-def lift-RealVal-def lift-RealIntVal-def lift-RealIntVal2-def
      beroulli-density-def val-type-eq-REAL val-type-eq-BOOL val-type-eq-PRODUCT
      val-type-eq-INTEG
      uniform-int-density-def uniform-real-density-def
      lift-IntVal-def poisson-density'-def one-ennreal-def
      field-simps gaussian-density-def)
  qed

lemma cexpr-sem-dist-dens-cexpr:
assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{dist-param-type dst} \quad \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{dist-result-type dst}$ 
assumes  $\text{free-vars } e1 \subseteq V \quad \text{free-vars } e2 \subseteq V$ 
assumes  $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$ 
```

```

shows ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2))) =
      dist-dens dst (cexpr-sem σ e1) (cexpr-sem σ e2)
using cexpr-sem-dist-dens-cexpr-nonneg[OF assms] by simp

lemma nonneg-dist-dens-cexpr:
assumes Γ ⊢c e1 : dist-param-type dst Γ ⊢c e2 : dist-result-type dst
assumes free-vars e1 ⊆ V free-vars e2 ⊆ V
shows nonneg-cexpr V Γ (dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2)
proof (intro nonneg-cexprI)
fix σ assume ρ: σ ∈ space (state-measure V Γ)
from cexpr-sem-dist-dens-cexpr-nonneg[OF assms this]
show 0 ≤ extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2))
by simp
qed

```

## 8.5 Integral expressions

```

definition integrate-var :: tyenv ⇒ vname ⇒ cexpr ⇒ cexpr where
integrate-var Γ v e = ∫c map-vars (λw. if v = w then 0 else Suc w) e ∂(Γ v)

```

```

definition integrate-vars :: tyenv ⇒ vname list ⇒ cexpr ⇒ cexpr where
integrate-vars Γ = foldr (integrate-var Γ)

```

```

lemma cexpr-sem-integrate-var:
cexpr-sem σ (integrate-var Γ v e) =
RealVal (∫ x. extract-real (cexpr-sem (σ(v := x)) e) ∂stock-measure (Γ v))
proof-
let ?f = (λw. if v = w then 0 else Suc w)
have cexpr-sem σ (integrate-var Γ v e) =
RealVal (∫ x. extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat x σ ∘ ?f) e) ∂stock-measure
(Γ v))
by (simp add: extract-real-def integrate-var-def cexpr-sem-map-vars)
also have (λx. case-nat x σ ∘ ?f) = (λx. σ(v := x))
by (intro ext) (simp add: o-def split: if-split)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma cexpr-sem-integrate-var':
extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (integrate-var Γ v e)) =
(∫ x. extract-real (cexpr-sem (σ(v := x)) e) ∂stock-measure (Γ v))
by (subst cexpr-sem-integrate-var, simp add: extract-real-def)

```

```

lemma cexpr-typing-integrate-var[simp]:
Γ ⊢c e : REAL ⇒ Γ ⊢c integrate-var Γ v e : REAL
unfolding integrate-var-def
by (rule cexpr-typing.intros, rule cexpr-typing-map-vars)
(erule cexpr-typing-cong', simp split: nat.split)

```

```

lemma cexpr-typing-integrate-vars[simp]:

```

$\Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \text{integrate-vars } \Gamma \text{ vs } e : REAL$   
**by** (induction vs arbitrary: e)  
 (simp-all add: integrate-vars-def)

**lemma** free-vars-integrate-var[simp]:  
 $\text{free-vars} (\text{integrate-var } \Gamma v e) = \text{free-vars } e - \{v\}$   
**by** (auto simp: integrate-var-def)

**lemma** free-vars-integrate-vars[simp]:  
 $\text{free-vars} (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma \text{ vs } e) = \text{free-vars } e - \text{set vs}$   
**by** (induction vs arbitrary: e) (auto simp: integrate-vars-def)

**lemma** (in product-sigma-finite) product-integral-insert':  
**fixes**  $f :: - \Rightarrow real$   
**assumes** finite  $I i \notin I$  integrable ( $Pi_M (\text{insert } i I) M$ )  $f$   
**shows** integral<sup>L</sup> ( $Pi_M (\text{insert } i I) M$ )  $f = LINT y|M i. LINT x|Pi_M I M. f (x(i := y))$   
**proof**–  
**interpret** pair-sigma-finite  $M i Pi_M I M$   
**by** (simp-all add: sigma-finite assms pair-sigma-finite-def sigma-finite-measures)  
**interpret**  $Mi$ : sigma-finite-measure  $M i$   
**by** (simp add: assms sigma-finite-measures)  
**from** assms(3) **have** int: integrable ( $M i \otimes_M Pi_M I M$ ) ( $\lambda(x, y). f (y(i := x))$ )  
**unfolding** real-integrable-def  
**apply** (elim conjE)  
**apply** (subst (1 2) nn-integral-snd[symmetric])  
**apply** ((subst (asm) (1 2) product-nn-integral-insert[OF assms(1,2)]),  
 auto intro!: measurable-compose[OF measurable-ennreal] borel-measurable-uminus)  
[])+  
**done**  
**from** assms **have** integral<sup>L</sup> ( $Pi_M (\text{insert } i I) M$ )  $f = LINT x|Pi_M I M. LINT y|M i. f (x(i := y))$   
**by** (rule product-integral-insert)  
**also from** int **have** ... =  $LINT y|M i. LINT x|Pi_M I M. f (x(i := y))$   
**by** (rule Fubini-integral)  
**finally show** ?thesis .  
**qed**

**lemma** cexpr-sem-integrate-vars:  
**assumes**  $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in space (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$   
**assumes** disjoint: distinct vs set vs  $\cap V' = \{\}$   
**assumes** integrable (state-measure (set vs)  $\Gamma$ )  
 $(\lambda\sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set vs}) V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e))$   
**assumes**  $e: \Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL$  free-vars  $e \subseteq \text{set vs} \cup V'$   
**shows** extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\varrho$  (integrate-vars  $\Gamma$  vs  $e$ )) =  
 $\int \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set vs}) V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e) \partial \text{state-measure}$   
 (set vs)  $\Gamma$   
**using** assms

```

proof (induction vs arbitrary:  $\varrho V'$ )
  case Nil
    hence  $\bigwedge v. (\text{if } v \in V' \text{ then } \varrho v \text{ else undefined}) = \varrho v$ 
      by (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM)
    thus ?case by (auto simp: integrate-vars-def state-measure-def merge-def PiM-empty)
  next
    case (Cons v vs  $\varrho V'$ )
      interpret product-sigma-finite  $\lambda v.$  stock-measure ( $\Gamma v$ )
        by (simp add: product-sigma-finite-def)
      interpret sigma-finite-measure state-measure (set vs)  $\Gamma$ 
        by (simp add: sigma-finite-state-measure)
      have  $\varrho': \bigwedge x. x \in \text{type-universe}(\Gamma v) \implies \varrho(v := x) \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(\text{insert } v V') \Gamma)$ 
        using Cons.prems(1) by (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM split: if-split-asm)
      have extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\varrho$  (integrate-vars  $\Gamma (v \# vs) e$ )) =
         $\int x. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\varrho(v := x))) (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma \text{ vs } e) \partial \text{stock-measure}$ 
        ( $\Gamma v$ )
        (is - = ?I) by (simp add: integrate-vars-def cexpr-sem-integrate-var extract-real-def)
        also from Cons.prems(4) have int: integrable (state-measure (insert v (set vs))
         $\Gamma$ )
         $(\lambda \sigma. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{merge}(\text{insert } v (\text{set vs})) V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e))$  by simp
        have AE x in stock-measure ( $\Gamma v$ ).
           $\text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\varrho(v := x)) (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma \text{ vs } e)) =$ 
           $\int \sigma. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{merge}(\text{set vs}) (\text{insert } v V') (\sigma, \varrho(v := x)))) e)$ 
           $\partial \text{state-measure}(\text{set vs}) \Gamma$ 
        apply (rule AE-mp[OF - AE-I2[OF impI]])
        apply (rule integrable-cexpr-projection[OF - - - - - int])
        apply (insert Cons.prems, auto) [7]
        apply (subst Cons.IH, rule  $\varrho'$ , insert Cons.prems, auto)
        done
        hence ?I =  $\int x. \int \sigma. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{merge}(\text{set vs}) (\text{insert } v V') (\sigma, \varrho(v := x)))) e)$ 
           $\partial \text{state-measure}(\text{set vs}) \Gamma \partial \text{stock-measure}(\Gamma v)$  using Cons.prems
        apply (intro integral-cong-AE)
        apply (rule measurable-compose[OF measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF measurable-fun-upd-state-measure[of v V'  $\Gamma$ ]]])
        apply (simp, simp, rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-extract-real])
        apply (rule measurable-cexpr-sem, simp, (auto) [])
        apply (rule borel-measurable-lebesgue-integral)
        apply (subst measurable-split-conv)
        apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-extract-real])
        apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-cexpr-sem[of  $\Gamma$  - - set vs  $\cup$  insert v V']])
        apply (unfold state-measure-def, rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-merge])
        apply simp-all
        done
        also have  $(\lambda x \sigma. \text{merge}(\text{set vs}) (\text{insert } v V') (\sigma, \varrho(v := x))) =$ 
           $(\lambda x \sigma. \text{merge}(\text{set } (v \# vs) V' (\sigma(v := x), \varrho)))$ 

```

```

using Cons.prems by (intro ext) (auto simp: merge-def split: if-split)
also have ( $\int x. \int \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set} (v\#vs)) V' (\sigma(v := x), \varrho))) e$ )
     $\partial\text{state-measure} (\text{set} vs) \Gamma \partial\text{stock-measure} (\Gamma v) =$ 
     $\int \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set} (v\#vs)) V' (\sigma, \varrho))) e$ 
     $\partial\text{state-measure} (\text{set} (v\#vs)) \Gamma$ 
using Cons.prems unfolding state-measure-def
by (subst (2) set-simps, subst product-integral-insert') simp-all
finally show ?case .
qed

lemma cexpr-sem-integrate-vars':
assumes  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} V' \Gamma)$ 
assumes disjoint: distinct vs set vs  $\cap V' = \{\}$ 
assumes nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (set vs  $\cup V'$ )  $\Gamma$  e
assumes integrable (state-measure (set vs)  $\Gamma$ )
     $(\lambda \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set} vs) V' (\sigma, \varrho))) e))$ 
assumes e:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{REAL}$  free-vars e  $\subseteq \text{set} vs \cup V'$ 
shows ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\varrho$  (integrate- $\Gamma$  vs e))) =
     $\int^+ \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set} vs) V' (\sigma, \varrho))) e) \partial\text{state-measure}$ 
    (set vs)  $\Gamma$ 
proof-
from assms have extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\varrho$  (integrate- $\Gamma$  vs e)) =
     $\int \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set} vs) V' (\sigma, \varrho))) e) \partial\text{state-measure} (\text{set}$ 
    vs)  $\Gamma$ 
    by (intro cexpr-sem-integrate- $\Gamma$ )
also have ennreal ... =
     $\int^+ \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set} vs) V' (\sigma, \varrho))) e) \partial\text{state-measure} (\text{set}$ 
    vs)  $\Gamma$ 
using assms
by (intro nn-integral-eq-integral[symmetric] AE-I2)
    (auto intro!: nonneg-cexprD merge-in-state-measure)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma nonneg-cexpr-sem-integrate- $\Gamma$ :
assumes  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} V' \Gamma)$ 
assumes disjoint: distinct vs set vs  $\cap V' = \{\}$ 
assumes nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (set vs  $\cup V'$ )  $\Gamma$  e
assumes e:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{REAL}$  free-vars e  $\subseteq \text{set} vs \cup V'$ 
shows extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\varrho$  (integrate- $\Gamma$  vs e))  $\geq 0$ 
using assms
proof (induction vs arbitrary:  $\varrho V'$ )
case Nil
hence  $\bigwedge v. (\text{if } v \in V' \text{ then } \varrho v \text{ else undefined}) = \varrho v$ 
by (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM)
with Nil show ?case
by (auto simp: integrate- $\Gamma$ -def state-measure-def merge-def PiM-empty non-neg-cexprD)

```

```

next
  case (Cons v vs ρ V')
    have  $\varrho': \bigwedge x. x \in \text{type-universe}(\Gamma v) \implies \varrho(v := x) \in \text{space(state-measure(insert } v V') \Gamma)$ 
      using Cons.prems(1) by (auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM split: if-split-asm)
      have extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\varrho$  (integrate-vars  $\Gamma (v \# vs) e$ ) =  

         $\int x. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\varrho(v := x)) (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma vs e)) \partial \text{stock-measure}$   

 $(\Gamma v)$ 
        by (simp add: integrate-vars-def cexpr-sem-integrate-var extract-real-def)
      also have ...  $\geq 0$ 
        by (rule integral-nonneg-AE, rule AE-I2, subst Cons.IH[OF ρ']) (insert Cons.prems, auto)
      finally show extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\varrho$  (integrate-vars  $\Gamma (v \# vs) e$ )  $\geq 0$  .
    qed

lemma nonneg-cexpr-sem-integrate-vars':
  distinct  $vs \implies \text{set } vs \cap V' = \{\}$   $\implies \text{nonneg-cexpr} (\text{set } vs \cup V') \Gamma e \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e$ 
  : REAL  $\implies$ 
    free-vars  $e \subseteq \text{set } vs \cup V' \implies \text{nonneg-cexpr } V' \Gamma (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma vs e)$ 
    apply (intro nonneg-cexprI allI)
    apply (rule nonneg-cexpr-sem-integrate-vars[where  $V' = V$ ])
    apply auto
    done

lemma cexpr-sem-integral-nonneg:
  assumes finite:  $(\int^+ x. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) e) \partial \text{stock-measure } t) < \infty$ 
  assumes nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set  $V$ ) (case-nat  $t \Gamma$ )  $e$ 
  assumes  $t: \text{case-nat } t \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{REAL}$  and vars: free-vars  $e \subseteq \text{shift-var-set } V$ 
  assumes  $\varrho: \sigma \in \text{space(state-measure } V \Gamma)$ 
  shows ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma (\int_c e \partial t)$ )) =  

     $\int^+ x. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) e) \partial \text{stock-measure } t$ 
proof -
  let ?f =  $\lambda x. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) e)$ 
  have meas: ?f  $\in \text{borel-measurable(stock-measure } t)$ 
    apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-extract-real])
    apply (rule measurable-compose[OF measurable-case-nat' measurable-cexpr-sem])
    apply (rule measurable-ident-sets[OF refl], rule measurable-const[OF  $\varrho$ ])
    apply (simp-all add: t vars)
    done
  from this and finite and nonneg have int: integrable (stock-measure  $t$ ) ?f
  by (auto intro!: integrableI-nonneg nonneg-cexprD case-nat-in-state-measure[OF -  $\varrho$ ])

  have extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma (\int_c e \partial t)$ ) =  

     $\int x. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) e) \partial \text{stock-measure } t$ 
    by (simp add: extract-real-def)
  also have ennreal ... =  $\int^+ x. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) e) \partial \text{stock-measure } t$ 

```

```

by (subst nn-integral-eq-integral[OF int AE-I2])
  (auto intro!: nonneg-cexprD[OF nonneg] case-nat-in-state-measure[OF - ρ])
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma has-parametrized-subprob-density-cexpr-sem-integral:
assumes dens: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure V' Γ) M (stock-measure t)
  (λρ x. ∫+y. eval-cexpr f (case-nat x ρ) y ∂stock-measure t')
assumes nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (shift-var-set V')) (case-nat t' (case-nat t Γ)) f
assumes tf: case-nat t' (case-nat t Γ) ⊢c f : REAL
assumes vars: free-vars f ⊆ shift-var-set (shift-var-set V')
assumes ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
shows AE x in stock-measure t.
  (∫+y. eval-cexpr f (case-nat x ρ) y ∂stock-measure t') = ennreal (eval-cexpr
  (∫c f ∂t') ρ x)
proof (rule AE-mp[OF - AE-I2[OF impI]])
interpret sigma-finite-measure stock-measure t' by simp
let ?f = λx. ∫+y. eval-cexpr f (case-nat x ρ) y ∂stock-measure t'
from has-parametrized-subprob-density-integral[OF dens ρ]
have (∫+x. ?fx ∂stock-measure t) ≠ ∞ by (auto simp: eval-cexpr-def top-unique)
thus AE x in stock-measure t. ?fx ≠ ∞ using ρ tf vars by (intro nn-integral-PInf-AE)
simp-all
fix x assume x: x ∈ space (stock-measure t) and finite: ?fx ≠ ∞
have nonneg': AE y in stock-measure t'. eval-cexpr f (case-nat x ρ) y ≥ 0
  unfolding eval-cexpr-def using ρ x
  by (intro AE-I2 nonneg-cexprD[OF nonneg]) (auto intro!: case-nat-in-state-measure)
  hence integrable (stock-measure t') (λy. eval-cexpr f (case-nat x ρ) y)
  using x ρ tf vars finite by (intro integrableI-nonneg) (simp-all add: top-unique
  less-top)
thus ?fx = ennreal (eval-cexpr (∫c f ∂t') ρ x) using nonneg'
  by (simp add: extract-real-def nn-integral-eq-integral eval-cexpr-def)
qed

end

```

## 9 Concrete Density Contexts

```

theory PDF-Target-Density-Contexts
imports PDF-Density-Contexts PDF-Target-Semantics
begin

```

### 9.1 Definition

```

type-synonym cdens-ctxt = vname list × vname list × tyenv × cexpr

```

```

definition dens-ctxt-α :: cdens-ctxt ⇒ dens-ctxt where
  dens-ctxt-α ≡ λ(vs,vs',Γ,δ). (set vs, set vs', Γ, λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ))

```

```

definition shift-vars :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
  shift-vars vs = 0 # map Suc vs

lemma set-shift-vars[simp]: set (shift-vars vs) = shift-var-set (set vs)
  unfolding shift-vars-def shift-var-set-def by simp

definition is-density-expr :: cdens-ctxt  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-density-expr  $\equiv$   $\lambda(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) t e.$ 
    case-nat t  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \wedge$ 
    free-vars e  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs')  $\wedge$ 
    nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ ) e

lemma is-density-exprI:
  case-nat t  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \implies$ 
  free-vars e  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs')  $\implies$ 
  nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ ) e  $\implies$ 
  is-density-expr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ) t e
  unfolding is-density-expr-def by simp

lemma is-density-exprD:
  assumes is-density-expr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ) t e
  shows case-nat t  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL$  free-vars e  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs')
  and is-density-exprD-nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t
 $\Gamma$ ) e
  using assms unfolding is-density-expr-def by simp-all

lemma density-context- $\alpha$ :
  assumes cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$ 
  shows density-context (set vs) (set vs')  $\Gamma$  ( $\lambda\sigma.$  extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma$   $\delta$ ))
  proof (unfold density-context-def, intro allI ballI conjI impI subprob-spaceI)
  show ( $\lambda x.$  ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem x  $\delta$ )))
     $\in$  borel-measurable (state-measure (set vs  $\cup$  set vs')  $\Gamma$ )
  apply (intro measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal] measurable-compose[OF
- measurable-extract-real])
  apply (insert cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF assms], auto)
  done
  note [measurable] = this

  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state-measure (set vs') \Gamma)$ 
  let ?M = dens-ctxt-measure (set vs, set vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\lambda x.$  ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem
x  $\delta$ )))  $\varrho$ 
  from  $\varrho$  have ( $\lambda\sigma.$  merge (set vs) (set vs') ( $\sigma$ ,  $\varrho$ ))
     $\in$  measurable (state-measure (set vs)  $\Gamma$ ) (state-measure (set vs  $\cup$ 
set vs')  $\Gamma$ )
  unfolding state-measure-def by simp
  hence emeasure ?M (space ?M) =
     $\int^+ x. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (merge (set vs) (set vs') (x,  $\varrho$ )))$ 

```

```

 $\delta))$ 
 $\partial_{state\text{-}measure} (set vs) \Gamma (\mathbf{is} - = ?I)$ 
using  $\varrho$  unfolding dens ctxt measure def state-measure' def
by (simp add: emeasure-density nn-integral-distr, intro nn-integral-cong)
    (simp-all split: split-indicator add: merge-in-state-measure)
also from cdens ctxt-invarD[OF assms] have subprob-cexpr (set vs) (set vs')  $\Gamma$ 
 $\delta$  by simp
with  $\varrho$  have  $?I \leq 1$  unfolding subprob-cexpr-def by blast
finally show emeasure ?M (space ?M) \leq 1 .
qed (insert cdens ctxt-invarD[OF assms], simp-all add: nonneg-cexpr-def)

```

## 9.2 Expressions for density context operations

**definition** *marg-dens-cexpr :: tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  vname list  $\Rightarrow$  vname  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr*  
**where**

*marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x e =*

*map-vars ( $\lambda y. if y = x then 0 else Suc y$ ) (integrate-vars  $\Gamma$  (filter ( $\lambda y. y \neq x$ ) vs) e)*

**lemma** *free-vars-marg-dens-cexpr:*

**assumes** *cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$*

**shows** *free-vars (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ ) \subseteq shift-var-set (set vs')*

**proof –**

**have** *free-vars (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ ) \subseteq shift-var-set (free-vars  $\delta - set vs$ )*

**unfolding** *marg-dens-cexpr-def shift-var-set-def by auto*

**also from** *cdens ctxt-invarD[OF assms]* **have** ...  $\subseteq shift-var-set (set vs')$

**unfolding** *shift-var-set-def by auto*

**finally show** *?thesis .*

**qed**

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-marg-dens-cexpr[intro]:*

$\Gamma \vdash_c \delta : REAL \implies case\text{-}nat (\Gamma x) \Gamma \vdash_c marg\text{-}dens\text{-}cexpr \Gamma vs x \delta : REAL$

**unfolding** *marg-dens-cexpr-def*

**by** (*rule cexpr-typing-map-vars, rule cexpr-typing-cong', erule cexpr-typing-integrate-vars*)  
*simp*

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-marg-dens:*

**assumes** *cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$*

**assumes** *x: x \in set vs and  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state\text{-}measure (set vs') \Gamma)$*

**shows** *AE v in stock-measure ( $\Gamma x$ ).*

*ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat v  $\varrho$ ) (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ ))) =*

*marg-dens (dens ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma, \delta$ )) x  $\varrho$  v*

**proof –**

**note** *invar = cdens ctxt-invarD[OF assms(1)]*

**let**  $?vs = filter (\lambda y. y \neq x) vs$

**note** *cdens ctxt-invar-imp-integrable[OF assms(1)  $\varrho$ ]*

**moreover from** *x have insert-eq: insert x {xa \in set vs. xa \neq x} = set vs by auto*

**ultimately have integrable:**

$\text{AE } v \text{ in stock-measure } (\Gamma x).$

$\text{integrable} (\text{state-measure} (\text{set } ?vs) \Gamma)$

$(\lambda\sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set } ?vs) (\text{insert } x (\text{set } vs')) (\sigma, \varrho(x := v))) \delta))$

**using**  $\text{invar } x \varrho$  **by** (*intro integrable-cexpr-projection*) *auto*

**show**  $?thesis$

**proof** (*rule AE-mp[*OF integrable*], rule AE-I2, intro impI*)

**fix**  $v$  **assume**  $v: v \in \text{space} (\text{stock-measure} (\Gamma x))$

**assume**  $\text{integrable}$ :

$\text{integrable} (\text{state-measure} (\text{set } ?vs) \Gamma)$

$(\lambda\sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set } ?vs) (\text{insert } x (\text{set } vs')) (\sigma, \varrho(x := v))) \delta))$

**from**  $v$  **and**  $\varrho$  **have**  $\varrho': (\varrho(x := v)) \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} (\text{set } (x \# vs')) \Gamma)$

**by** (*auto simp: state-measure-def space-PiM split: if-split-asm*)

**have**  $\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } v \varrho) (\text{marg-dens-cexpr } \Gamma vs x \delta) =$

$\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } v \varrho \circ (\lambda y. \text{if } y = x \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{Suc } y))$

$(\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma [y \leftarrow vs . y \neq x] \delta) (\mathbf{is} - = ?A)$

**unfolding**  $\text{marg-dens-cexpr-def}$  **by** (*simp add: cexpr-sem-map-vars*)

**also have**  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{free-vars} (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma [y \leftarrow vs . y \neq x] \delta)$

$\implies (\text{case-nat } v \varrho \circ (\lambda y. \text{if } y = x \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{Suc } y)) y = (\varrho(x := v)) y$

**unfolding**  $o\text{-def}$  **by** *simp*

**hence**  $?A = \text{cexpr-sem} (\varrho(x := v)) (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma [y \leftarrow vs . y \neq x] \delta)$  **by** (*rule cexpr-sem-eq-on-vars*)

**also from**  $x$  **have**  $\text{insert } x \{xa \in \text{set } vs. xa \neq x\} \cup \text{set } vs' = \text{set } vs \cup \text{set } vs'$

**by** *auto*

**hence**  $\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\varrho(x := v)) (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma [y \leftarrow vs . y \neq x] \delta))$

=

$\int^+ \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set } ?vs) (\text{insert } x (\text{set } vs')) (\sigma, \varrho(x := v))) \delta)$

$\partial \text{state-measure} (\text{set } ?vs) \Gamma$

**using**  $\varrho'$  **invar integrable** **by** (*subst cexpr-sem-integrate-vars'*) (*auto*)

**also from**  $x$  **have**  $(\lambda\sigma. \text{merge} (\text{set } ?vs) (\text{insert } x (\text{set } vs')) (\sigma, \varrho(x := v))) =$   
 $(\lambda\sigma. \text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\sigma(x := v), \varrho))$

**by** (*intro ext*) (*auto simp: merge-def*)

**also from**  $x$  **have**  $\text{set } ?vs = \text{set } vs - \{x\}$  **by** *auto*

**also have**  $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\sigma(x := v), \varrho))) \delta)$

$\partial \text{state-measure} (\text{set } vs - \{x\}) \Gamma =$

$\text{marg-dens} (\text{dens-ctxt-}\alpha (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)) x \varrho v$

**unfolding**  $\text{marg-dens-def dens-ctxt-}\alpha\text{-def}$  **by** *simp*

**finally show**  $\text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\lambda a. \text{case } a \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow v \mid \text{Suc } a \Rightarrow \varrho a)))$

$(\text{marg-dens-cexpr } \Gamma vs x \delta)) =$

$\text{marg-dens} (\text{dens-ctxt-}\alpha (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)) x \varrho v .$

**qed**

**qed**

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-sem-marg-dens*:  
  **assumes** *cdens ctxt-invar vs vs' Γ δ*  
  **assumes** *x: x ∈ set vs and ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs') Γ)*  
  **assumes** *v: v ∈ type-universe (Γ x)*  
  **shows** *extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat v ρ) (marg-dens-cexpr Γ vs x δ)) ≥ 0*  
**proof-**  
  **note** *invar = cdens ctxt-invarD[OF assms(1)]*  
  **from** *assms have ρ: case-nat v ρ o (λy. if y = x then 0 else Suc y)*  
    *∈ space (state-measure (set (x#vs')) Γ)*  
  **by** (*force simp: state-measure-def space-PiM o-def split: if-split-asm*)  
  **moreover from** *x have insert x {xa ∈ set vs. xa ≠ x} ∪ set vs' = set vs ∪ set vs'* **by auto**  
  **ultimately show ?thesis using assms invar unfolding marg-dens-cexpr-def**  
    **by** (*subst cexpr-sem-map-vars, intro nonneg-cexpr-sem-integrate-vars[of - set (x#vs')]) auto*  
**qed**

**definition** *marg-dens2-cexpr :: tyenv ⇒ vname list ⇒ vname ⇒ vname ⇒ cexpr ⇒ cexpr where*  
  *marg-dens2-cexpr Γ vs x y e =*  
    *(cexpr-comp-aux (Suc x) (fst<sub>c</sub> (CVar 0)))*  
    *(cexpr-comp-aux (Suc y) (snd<sub>c</sub> (CVar 0)))*  
    *(map-vars Suc (integrate-vars Γ (filter (λz. z ≠ x ∧ z ≠ y) vs) e)))*

**lemma** *free-vars-marg-dens2-cexpr*:  
  **assumes** *cdens ctxt-invar vs vs' Γ δ*  
  **shows** *free-vars (marg-dens2-cexpr Γ vs x y δ) ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs')*  
**proof-**  
  **have** *free-vars (marg-dens2-cexpr Γ vs x y δ) ⊆*  
    *shift-var-set (free-vars δ - set vs)*  
  **unfolding** *marg-dens2-cexpr-def using cdens ctxt-invarD[OF assms(1)]*  
  **apply** (*intro order.trans[OF free-vars-cexpr-comp-aux] Un-least*)  
  **apply** (*subst Diff-subset-conv, intro order.trans[OF free-vars-cexpr-comp-aux]*)  
  **apply** (*auto simp: shift-var-set-def*)  
  **done**  
  **also from** *cdens ctxt-invarD[OF assms(1)] have ... ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs')*  
    **unfolding** *shift-var-set-def by auto*  
  **finally show ?thesis .**  
**qed**

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-marg-dens2-cexpr[intro]*:  
  **assumes** *Γ ⊢<sub>c</sub> δ : REAL*  
  **shows** *case-nat (PRODUCT (Γ x) (Γ y)) Γ ⊢<sub>c</sub> marg-dens2-cexpr Γ vs x y δ : REAL*  
**proof-**

```

have A: (case-nat (PRODUCT (Γ x) (Γ y)) Γ) (Suc x := Γ x, Suc y := Γ y) ∘
Suc = Γ
  by (intro ext) (auto split: nat.split)
show ?thesis unfolding marg-dens2-cexpr-def
apply (rule cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp-aux[of - - Γ x])
apply (rule cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp-aux[of - - Γ y])
apply (rule cexpr-typing-map-vars, subst A, rule cexpr-typing-integrate-vars[OF
assms])
apply (rule cet-op, rule cet-var, simp, rule cet-op, rule cet-var, simp)
done
qed

lemma cexpr-sem-marg-dens2:
  assumes cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs' Γ δ
  assumes x: x ∈ set vs and y: y ∈ set vs and x ≠ y
  assumes ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs') Γ)
  shows AE z in stock-measure (PRODUCT (Γ x) (Γ y)).
  ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat z ρ) (marg-dens2-cexpr Γ vs x
y δ))) =
    marg-dens2 (dens-ctxt-α (vs,vs',Γ,δ)) x y ρ z
proof-
  note invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF assms(1)]
  let ?f = λx. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem x δ))
  let ?vs = filter (λz. z ≠ x ∧ z ≠ y) vs
  interpret product-sigma-finite λx. stock-measure (Γ x)
  unfolding product-sigma-finite-def by simp
  interpret sf-PiM: sigma-finite-measure PiM (set ?vs) (λx. stock-measure (Γ x))
  by (intro sigma-finite) simp

  have meas: (λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem (merge (set vs) (set vs') (σ, ρ)) δ))
    ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure (set vs) Γ) using assms invar
    by (intro measurable-cexpr-sem') simp-all
  from x y have insert-eq: insert x (insert y (set ?vs)) = set vs by auto
  from x y have insert-eq': insert y (insert x (set ?vs)) = set vs by auto
  have meas-upd1: (λ(σ,v). σ(y := v)) ∈
    measurable (PiM (insert x (set vs)) (λx. stock-measure (Γ x)) ⊗ M stock-measure
(Γ y))
    (PiM (insert y (insert x (set vs))) (λx. stock-measure (Γ x)))
  using measurable-add-dim[of y insert x (set ?vs) λx. stock-measure (Γ x)]
  by (simp only: insert-eq', simp)
  hence meas-upd2: (λxa. (snd xa) (x := fst (fst xa), y := snd (fst xa)))
    ∈ measurable ((stock-measure (Γ x) ⊗ M stock-measure (Γ y)) ⊗ M
      PiM (set ?vs) (λy. stock-measure (Γ y)))
    (PiM (set vs) (λy. stock-measure (Γ y)))
  by (subst insert-eq'[symmetric], intro measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF measurable-add-dim])
  (simp-all del: fun-upd-apply)

from x y have A: set vs = {x, y} ∪ set ?vs by auto

```

```

have ( $\int^+ \sigma. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\sigma, \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{set } vs) \Gamma =$ 
 $(\int^+ \sigma'. \int^+ \sigma. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\text{merge} \{x, y\} (\text{set } ?vs) (\sigma',$ 
 $\sigma), \varrho))$ 
 $\partial \text{state-measure} (\text{set } ?vs) \Gamma \partial \text{state-measure} \{x, y\} \Gamma)$  (is  $- = ?I$ )
using meas insert-eq unfolding state-measure-def
by (subst A, subst product-nn-integral-fold) (simp-all add: measurable-compose[OF
- measurable-ennreal])
also have  $\bigwedge \sigma' \sigma. \text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\text{merge} \{x, y\} (\text{set } ?vs) (\sigma', \sigma), \varrho) =$ 
 $\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\sigma(x := \sigma' x, y := \sigma' y), \varrho)$ 
by (intro ext) (auto simp: merge-def)
hence  $?I = (\int^+ \sigma'. \int^+ \sigma. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\sigma(x := \sigma' x, y := \sigma' y),$ 
 $\varrho))$ 
 $\partial \text{state-measure} (\text{set } ?vs) \Gamma \partial \text{state-measure} \{x, y\} \Gamma$  by simp
also have ...  $= \int^+ z. \int^+ \sigma. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\sigma(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z), \varrho))$ 
 $\partial \text{state-measure} (\text{set } ?vs) \Gamma \partial (\text{stock-measure} (\Gamma x) \otimes_M \text{stock-measure}$ 
 $(\Gamma y))$ 
(is  $- = ?I$ ) using  $\langle x \neq y \rangle$  meas-upd2  $\varrho$  invar unfolding state-measure-def
by (subst product-nn-integral-pair, subst measurable-split-conv,
intro sf-PiM.borel-measurable-nn-integral)
(auto simp: measurable-split-conv state-measure-def intro!: measurable-compose[OF
- measurable-ennreal]
measurable-compose[OF - measurable-cexpr-sem] measurable-Pair)
finally have ( $\int^+ \sigma. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\sigma, \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{set } vs)$ 
 $\Gamma) = ?I$ .
moreover have ( $\int^+ \sigma. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\sigma, \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure} (\text{set } vs)$ 
 $\Gamma) \neq \infty$ 
using cdens-ctxt-invar-imp-integrable[OF assms(1)  $\varrho$ ] unfolding real-integrable-def
by simp
ultimately have  $?I \neq \infty$  by simp
hence AE  $z$  in stock-measure ( $\Gamma x$ )  $\otimes_M$  stock-measure ( $\Gamma y$ ).
 $(\int^+ \sigma. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\sigma(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z), \varrho))$ 
 $\partial \text{state-measure} (\text{set } ?vs) \Gamma) \neq \infty$  (is AE  $z$  in  $\dots ?P z$ )
using meas-upd2  $\varrho$  invar unfolding state-measure-def
by (intro nn-integral-PInf-AE sf-PiM.borel-measurable-nn-integral)
(auto intro!: measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal] measurable-compose[OF
- measurable-cexpr-sem]
measurable-Pair simp: measurable-split-conv state-measure-def)
hence AE  $z$  in stock-measure ( $\Gamma x$ )  $\otimes_M$  stock-measure ( $\Gamma y$ ).
ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat (case-prod PairVal z)  $\varrho$ )
 $(\text{marg-dens2-cexpr } \Gamma \text{ vs } x \text{ y } \delta))) =$ 
marg-dens2 (dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma, \delta$ )) x y  $\varrho$  (case-prod PairVal z)
proof (rule AE-mp[OF - AE-I2[OF impI]])
fix  $z$  assume  $z: z \in \text{space} (\text{stock-measure} (\Gamma x) \otimes_M \text{stock-measure} (\Gamma y))$ 
assume  $\text{fin}: ?P z$ 
have  $\bigwedge \sigma. \text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') (\sigma(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z), \varrho) =$ 
 $\text{merge} (\text{set } ?vs) (\{x, y\} \cup \text{set } vs') (\sigma, \varrho(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z))$  using
 $x \text{ y}$ 
by (intro ext) (simp add: merge-def)

```

```

hence A: ( $\int^+ \sigma. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs')) (\sigma(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z), \varrho)$ )
 $\partial_{\text{state-measure}} (\text{set } ?vs) \Gamma =$ 
 $(\int^+ \sigma. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } ?vs) (\{x,y\} \cup \text{set } vs')) (\sigma, \varrho(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z)))$ 
 $\partial_{\text{state-measure}} (\text{set } ?vs) \Gamma$  (is  $- = \int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (?g \sigma) \partial ?M$ )
by (intro nn-integral-cong) simp
have  $\varrho': \varrho(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z) \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} (\{x, y\} \cup \text{set } vs') \Gamma)$ 
using z  $\varrho$  unfolding state-measure-def
by (auto simp: space-PiM space-pair-measure split: if-split-asm)
have integrable: integrable ?M ?g
proof (intro integrableI-nonneg[OF - AE-I2])
show ?g  $\in$  borel-measurable ?M using invar  $\varrho'$  by (intro measurable-cexpr-sem')
auto
show ( $\int^+ \sigma. \text{ennreal} (?g \sigma) \partial ?M < \infty$ ) using fin A by (simp add: top-unique less-top)
fix  $\sigma$  assume  $\sigma: \sigma \in \text{space} ?M$ 
from x y have set ?vs  $\cup (\{x,y\} \cup \text{set } vs') = \text{set } vs \cup \text{set } vs'$  by auto
thus ?g  $\sigma \geq 0$  using merge-in-state-measure[OF  $\sigma$   $\varrho'$ ]
by (intro nonneg-cexprD[OF invar(4)]) simp-all
qed
from x y have B: ( $\text{set } ?vs \cup (\{x, y\} \cup \text{set } vs') = \text{set } vs \cup \text{set } vs'$ ) by auto
have nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (set [z←vs . z ≠ x ∧ z ≠ y]  $\cup (\{x, y\} \cup \text{set } vs')$ )
 $\Gamma \delta$ 
using invar by (subst B) simp

have ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat (case-prod PairVal z)  $\varrho$ ) (marg-dens2-cexpr
 $\Gamma$  vs x y  $\delta$ ))) =
    extract-real (cexpr-sem ((case-nat <|fst z, snd z|>  $\varrho$ ) (Suc x := fst z, Suc
    y := snd z)  $\circ$  Suc)
        (integrate-vars  $\Gamma$  ?vs  $\delta$ ))
unfolding marg-dens2-cexpr-def
by (simp add: cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp-aux cexpr-sem-map-vars split: prod.split)
also have ((case-nat <|fst z, snd z|>  $\varrho$ ) (Suc x := fst z, Suc y := snd z))  $\circ$ 
Suc =
     $\varrho(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z)$  (is ? $\varrho$ 1 = ? $\varrho$ 2) by (intro ext) (simp split:
nat.split)
also have ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem ( $\varrho(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z)$ )
    (integrate-vars  $\Gamma$  [z←vs . z ≠ x ∧ z ≠ y]  $\delta$ ))) =
     $\int^+ x a. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } ?vs) (\{x, y\} \cup \text{set } vs')) (xa, \varrho(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z))$ 
 $\partial ?M$ 
using invar assms by (intro cexpr-sem-integrate-vars'[OF  $\varrho'$  - - nonneg
integrable]) auto
also have C: set ?vs = set vs - {x, y} by auto
have ( $\int^+ x a. ?f (\text{merge} (\text{set } ?vs) (\{x, y\} \cup \text{set } vs')) (xa, \varrho(x := \text{fst } z, y := \text{snd } z))$ )
 $\partial ?M$  =
    marg-dens2 (dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )) x y  $\varrho$  (case-prod PairVal z)
unfolding marg-dens2-def
by (subst A[symmetric], subst C, simp only: dens ctxt- $\alpha$ -def prod.case)
    (auto intro!: nn-integral-cong split: prod.split)

```

```

finally show ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat (case-prod PairVal z)
 $\varrho$ )
 $(marg-dens2\text{-}cexpr \Gamma vs x y \delta))) =$ 
 $marg-dens2 (dens\text{-}ctxt\text{-}\alpha (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)) x y \varrho (case\text{-}prod PairVal$ 
z) .
```

**qed**

**thus** ?thesis **by** (subst stock-measure.simps, subst AE-embed-measure[OF inj-PairVal])  
*simp*

**qed**

**lemma** nonneg-cexpr-sem-marg-dens2:

**assumes** cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$

**assumes**  $x: x \in set vs$  **and**  $y: y \in set vs$  **and**  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state\text{-}measure (set vs') \Gamma)$

**assumes**  $v: v \in type\text{-}universe (PRODUCT (\Gamma x) (\Gamma y))$

**shows** extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat v  $\varrho$ ) (marg-dens2-cexpr  $\Gamma vs x y \delta))) \geq 0$

**proof** –

**from**  $v$  **obtain**  $a b$  **where**  $v': v = \langle |a, b| \rangle$   $a \in type\text{-}universe (\Gamma x)$   $b \in type\text{-}universe (\Gamma y)$

**by** (auto simp: val-type-eq-PRODUCT)

**let** ?vs = filter ( $\lambda z. z \neq x \wedge z \neq y$ ) vs

**note** invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF assms(1)]

**have** A: ((case-nat v  $\varrho$ ) (Suc x := fst (extract-pair v), Suc y := snd (extract-pair v)))  $\circ$  Suc =

$\varrho(x := fst (extract-pair v), y := snd (extract-pair v))$  **by** (intro ext)

**auto**

**have** B:  $\varrho(x := fst (extract-pair v), y := snd (extract-pair v))$   
 $\in space (state\text{-}measure (set vs' \cup \{x, y\}) \Gamma)$  **using** x y v'  $\varrho$

**by** (auto simp: space-state-measure split: if-split-asm)

**from** x y **have** set ?vs  $\cup$  (set vs'  $\cup$  {x, y}) = set vs  $\cup$  set vs' **by** auto

**with** invar **have** nonneg-cexpr (set ?vs  $\cup$  (set vs'  $\cup$  {x, y}))  $\Gamma \delta$  **by** simp

**thus** ?thesis **using** assms invar(1–3) A **unfolding** marg-dens2-cexpr-def

**by** (auto simp: cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp-aux cexpr-sem-map-vars intro!: non-neg-cexpr-sem-integrate-vars[OF B])

**qed**

**definition** branch-prob-cexpr :: cdens-ctxt  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr **where**  
 $branch\text{-}prob\text{-}cexpr \equiv \lambda (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta). integrate\text{-}vars \Gamma vs \delta$

**lemma** free-vars-branch-prob-cexpr[simp]:  
 $free\text{-}vars (branch\text{-}prob\text{-}cexpr (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)) = free\text{-}vars \delta - set vs$   
**unfolding** branch-prob-cexpr-def **by** simp

**lemma** cexpr-typing-branch-prob-cexpr[intro]:  
 $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c branch\text{-}prob\text{-}cexpr (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) : REAL$   
**unfolding** branch-prob-cexpr-def  
**by** (simp only: prod.case, rule cexpr-typing-integrate-vars)

```

lemma cexpr-sem-branch-prob:
  assumes cdens ctxt-invar vs vs' Γ δ
  assumes ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs') Γ)
  shows ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem ρ (branch-prob-cexpr (vs, vs', Γ, δ)))) =
    branch-prob (dens ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ)) ρ
proof –
  note invar = cdens ctxt-invarD[OF assms(1)]
  interpret density-context set vs set vs' Γ λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ)
    by (rule density-context-α) fact
  have ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem ρ (branch-prob-cexpr (vs, vs', Γ, δ)))) =
    ∫⁺ σ. extract-real (cexpr-sem (merge (set vs) (set vs') (σ, ρ)) δ)
      ∂state-measure (set vs) Γ (is - = ?I)
  using assms(2) invar unfolding branch-prob-cexpr-def
  by (simp only: prod.case, subst cexpr-sem-integrate-vars')
    (auto intro!: cdens ctxt-invar-imp-integrable assms)
  also have ... = branch-prob (dens ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ)) ρ
  using ρ unfolding dens ctxt-α-def by (simp only: prod.case branch-prob-altdef[of
ρ])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma subprob-imp-subprob-cexpr:
  assumes density-context V V' Γ (λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ))
  shows subprob-cexpr V V' Γ δ
proof (intro subprob-cexprI)
  interpret density-context V V' Γ λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ) by fact
  fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
  let ?M = dens ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ)) ρ
  from ρ have (∫⁺ x. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (merge V V' (x, ρ)) δ)))
    ∂state-measure V Γ =
      branch-prob (V, V', Γ, λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ)) ρ (is ?I
      = -)
    by (subst branch-prob-altdef[symmetric]) simp-all
  also have ... = emeasure ?M (space ?M) unfolding branch-prob-def by simp
  also have ... ≤ 1 by (rule subprob-space.emeasure-space-le-1) (simp add: sub-
prob-space-dens ρ)
  finally show ?I ≤ 1 .
qed

end

```

## 10 Concrete PDF Compiler

```

theory PDF-Compiler
imports PDF-Compiler-Pred PDF-Target-Density-Contexts
begin

```

```

inductive expr-has-density-cexpr :: cdens ctxt ⇒ expr ⇒ cexpr ⇒ bool

```

$((1/\vdash_c / (- \Rightarrow / -)) [50,0,50] 50)$  where

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{edc-val: } & \text{countable-type (val-type } v) \implies \\
& (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Val } v \implies \\
& \quad \text{map-vars } \text{Suc} (\text{branch-prob-cexpr } (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)) *_c \langle \text{CVar } 0 =_c \\
& \langle \text{CVal } v \rangle_c \\
| \text{edc-var: } & x \in \text{set } vs \implies (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Var } x \implies \text{marg-dens-cexpr } \Gamma \text{ vs } x \delta \\
| \text{edc-pair: } & x \in \text{set } vs \implies y \in \text{set } vs \implies x \neq y \implies \\
& (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \langle \text{Var } x, \text{Var } y \rangle \implies \text{marg-dens2-cexpr } \Gamma \text{ vs } x y \delta \\
| \text{edc-fail: } & (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Fail } t \implies \text{CReal } 0 \\
| \text{edc-let: } & ([], vs @ vs', \Gamma, \text{CReal } 1) \vdash_c e \implies f \implies \\
& \quad (\text{shift-vars } vs, \text{map } \text{Suc } vs', \text{the } (\text{expr-type } \Gamma \text{ e}) \cdot \Gamma, \\
& \quad \text{map-vars } \text{Suc } \delta *_c f) \vdash_c e' \implies g \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{LET } e \text{ IN } e' \implies \text{map-vars } (\lambda x. x - 1) g \\
| \text{edc-rand: } & (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \implies f \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Random } dst \text{ e} \implies \\
& \quad \int_c \text{map-vars } (\text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. x + 2)) f *_c \\
& \quad \text{dist-dens-cexpr } dst (\text{CVar } 0) (\text{CVar } 1) \partial \text{dist-param-type } dst \\
| \text{edc-rand-det: } & \text{randomfree } e \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq \text{set } vs' \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Random } dst \text{ e} \implies \\
& \quad \text{map-vars } \text{Suc} (\text{branch-prob-cexpr } (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)) *_c \\
& \quad \text{dist-dens-cexpr } dst (\text{map-vars } \text{Suc} (\text{expr-rf-to-cexpr } e)) (\text{CVar } 0) \\
| \text{edc-if-det: } & \text{randomfree } b \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta *_c \langle \text{expr-rf-to-cexpr } b \rangle_c) \vdash_c e1 \implies f1 \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta *_c \langle \neg_c \text{expr-rf-to-cexpr } b \rangle_c) \vdash_c e2 \implies f2 \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } e1 \text{ ELSE } e2 \implies f1 +_c f2 \\
| \text{edc-if: } & ([], vs @ vs', \Gamma, \text{CReal } 1) \vdash_c b \implies f \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta *_c \text{ceexpr-subst-val } f \text{ TRUE}) \vdash_c e1 \implies g1 \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta *_c \text{ceexpr-subst-val } f \text{ FALSE}) \vdash_c e2 \implies g2 \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } e1 \text{ ELSE } e2 \implies g1 +_c g2 \\
| \text{edc-op-discr: } & (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \implies f \implies \Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \\
& \quad \text{op-type } \text{oper } t = \text{Some } t' \implies \text{countable-type } t' \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{oper } \$\$ \text{ e} \implies \\
& \quad \int_c \langle (\text{oper } \$\$_c (\text{CVar } 0)) =_c \text{CVar } 1 \rangle_c *_c \text{map-vars } (\text{case-nat} \\
& \quad 0 (\lambda x. x + 2)) f \partial t \\
| \text{edc-fst: } & (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \implies f \implies \Gamma \vdash e : \text{PRODUCT } t t' \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Fst } \$\$ \text{ e} \implies \\
& \quad \int_c (\text{map-vars } (\text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. x + 2)) f \circ_c \langle \text{CVar } 1, \text{CVar} \\
& \quad 0 >_c \partial t') \\
| \text{edc-snd: } & (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \implies f \implies \Gamma \vdash e : \text{PRODUCT } t t' \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Snd } \$\$ \text{ e} \implies \\
& \quad \int_c (\text{map-vars } (\text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. x + 2)) f \circ_c \langle \text{CVar } 0, \text{CVar} \\
& \quad 1 >_c \partial t) \\
| \text{edc-neg: } & (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \implies f \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Minus } \$\$ \text{ e} \implies f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. -_c x) \\
| \text{edc-addc: } & (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \implies f \implies \text{randomfree } e' \implies \text{free-vars } e' \subseteq \text{set } vs' \\
& \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Add } \$\$ \langle e, e' \rangle \implies \\
& \quad f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. x -_c \text{map-vars } \text{Suc} (\text{expr-rf-to-cexpr } e'))
\end{aligned}$$

```

| edc-multc:    $(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies c \neq 0 \implies$ 
                $(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Mult } \$\$ <e, \text{Val}(\text{RealVal } c)> \implies$ 
                $(f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. x *_c \text{CReal}(\text{inverse } c))) *_c \text{CReal}(\text{inverse } (\text{abs } c))$ 
| edc-add:      $(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies \Gamma \vdash e : \text{PRODUCT } t t \implies$ 
                $(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Add } \$\$ e \implies$ 
                $\int_c (\text{map-vars}(\text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. x+2)) f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. <x, \text{CVar } 1 -_c$ 
                $x>_c)) \partial t$ 
| edc-inv:      $(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies$ 
                $(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Inverse } \$\$ e \implies$ 
                $(f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. \text{inverse}_c x)) *_c (\lambda_c x. (\text{inverse}_c x) \wedge_c \text{CInt } 2)$ 
| edc-exp:      $(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies$ 
                $(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c \text{Exp } \$\$ e \implies$ 
                $(\lambda_c x. \text{IF}_c \text{CReal } 0 <_c x \text{ THEN } (f \circ_c \ln_c x) *_c \text{inverse}_c x \text{ ELSE}$ 
                $\text{CReal } 0)$ 

```

**code-pred** *expr-has-density-cexpr* .

Auxiliary lemmas

```

lemma cdens-ctxt-invar-insert:
  assumes inv: cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$ 
  assumes t:  $\Gamma \vdash e : t'$ 
  assumes free-vars: free-vars e  $\subseteq$  set vs  $\cup$  set vs'
  assumes hd: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  ([] vs @ vs',  $\Gamma$ , CReal 1)  $\vdash_d e \Rightarrow (\lambda x. xa. \text{ennreal}$ 
  (eval-cexpr f xa))
  notes invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF inv]
  assumes wf1: is-density-expr ([] vs @ vs',  $\Gamma$ , CReal 1) t' f
  shows cdens-ctxt-invar (shift-vars vs) (map Suc vs') (t' ·  $\Gamma$ ) (map-vars Suc  $\delta$  *_c
  f)
  proof (intro cdens-ctxt-invarI)
    show t': case-nat t'  $\Gamma \vdash_c$  map-vars Suc  $\delta$  *_c f : REAL using invar wf1
    by (intro cet-op[where t = PRODUCT REAL REAL])
      (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros cexpr-typing-map-vars simp: o-def dest:
      is-density-exprD)

  let ?vs = shift-var-set (set vs) and ?vs' = Suc ` set vs' and ? $\Gamma$  = case-nat t'  $\Gamma$ 
  and
    ? $\delta$  = insert-dens (set vs) (set vs') ( $\lambda\sigma x. \text{ennreal}(\text{eval-cexpr } f \sigma x)$ )
    ( $\lambda x. \text{ennreal}(\text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } x \delta)))$ 
  interpret density-context set vs set vs'  $\Gamma$   $\lambda\sigma. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma \delta)$ 
  by (rule density-context- $\alpha$ [OF inv])

  have dc: density-context {} (set vs  $\cup$  set vs')  $\Gamma$  ( $\lambda\_. 1$ )
  by (rule density-context-empty)
  hence dens: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (set vs  $\cup$  set vs')
   $\Gamma$ )
    ( $\lambda\varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure}(\{\}, \text{set vs} \cup \text{set vs}', \Gamma, \lambda\_. 1) \varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma.$ 
    expr-sem  $\sigma e))$ 
    ( $\text{stock-measure } t'$ ) ( $\lambda\sigma x. \text{ennreal}(\text{eval-cexpr } f \sigma x))$ 
  using hd free-vars by (intro expr-has-density-sound-aux[OF - t dc])

```

```

(auto simp: shift-var-set-def dens ctxt α-def simp: extract-real-def one ennreal-def)
from density-context.density-context-insert[OF density-context-α[OF inv] this]
have density-context ?vs ?vs' ?Γ ?δ .
have dc: density-context (shift-var-set (set vs)) (Suc ` set vs') (case-nat t' Γ)
  (λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (map-vars Suc δ *c f)))
proof (rule density-context-equiv)
  show density-context (shift-var-set (set vs)) (Suc ` set vs') (case-nat t' Γ) ?δ
by fact
  show (λx. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem x (map-vars Suc δ *c f))))
    ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure (?vs ∪ ?vs') ?Γ)
  apply (rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal], rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-extract-real])
  apply (rule measurable-cexpr-sem[OF t'])
  apply (insert invar is-density-exprD[OF wf1], auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
done
next
fix σ assume σ: σ ∈ space (state-measure (?vs ∪ ?vs') ?Γ)
have [simp]: case-nat (σ 0) (λx. σ (Suc x)) = σ by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split)
from σ show insert-dens (set vs) (set vs') (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f σ x))
  (λx. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem x δ))) σ =
  ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (map-vars Suc δ *c f)))
unfolding insert-dens-def using invar is-density-exprD[OF wf1]
apply (subst ennreal-mult'[symmetric])
apply (erule nonneg-cexprD)
apply (rule measurable-space[OF measurable-remove-var[where t=t']])
apply simp
apply (subst cexpr-sem-Mult[of ?Γ --- ?vs ∪ ?vs'])
apply (auto intro!: cexpr-typing-map-vars ennreal-mult'[symmetric]
  simp: o-def shift-var-set-def eval-cexpr-def
  cexpr-sem-map-vars remove-var-def)
done
qed

from subprob-imp-subprob-cexpr[OF this]
show subprob-cexpr (set (shift-vars vs)) (set (map Suc vs')) (case-nat t' Γ)
  (map-vars Suc δ *c f) by simp

have Suc - ` shift-var-set (set vs ∪ set vs') = set vs ∪ set vs'
  by (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
moreover have nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs ∪ set vs')) (case-nat t' Γ) f
  using wf1[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg] by simp
ultimately show nonneg-cexpr (set (shift-vars vs) ∪ set (map Suc vs')) (case-nat t' Γ)
  (map-vars Suc δ *c f)
  using invar is-density-exprD[OF wf1]
  by (intro nonneg-cexpr-Mult)
  (auto intro!: cexpr-typing-map-vars nonneg-cexpr-map-vars
  simp: o-def shift-var-set-def image-Un)
qed (insert invar is-density-exprD[OF wf1],

```

auto simp: shift-vars-def shift-var-set-def distinct-map intro!: cexpr-typing-map-vars)

**lemma** cdens ctxt-invar-insert-bool:  
**assumes** dens: dens ctxt- $\alpha$  ([]), vs @ vs',  $\Gamma$ , CReal 1)  $\vdash_d b \Rightarrow (\lambda\varrho x. \text{ennreal}(\text{eval-cexpr } f \varrho x))$   
**assumes** wf: is-density-expr ([]), vs @ vs',  $\Gamma$ , CReal 1) BOOL f  
**assumes** t:  $\Gamma \vdash b : \text{BOOL}$  **and** vars: free-vars  $b \subseteq \text{set vs} \cup \text{set vs}'$   
**assumes** invar: cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma \delta$   
**shows** cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma (\delta *_c \text{cexpr-subst-val } f (\text{BoolVal } v))$   
**proof** (intro cdens ctxt-invarI nonneg-cexpr-Mult nonneg-cexpr-subst-val)  
**note** invar' = cdens ctxt-invarD[OF invar] **and** wf' = is-density-exprD[OF wf]  
**show**  $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta *_c \text{cexpr-subst-val } f (\text{BoolVal } v) : \text{REAL}$  **using** invar' wf'  
**by** (intro cet-op[where t = PRODUCT REAL REAL] cet-pair cexpr-typing-subst-val)  
*simp-all*  
**let** ?M =  $\lambda\varrho. \text{dens ctxt-measure } (\{\}, \text{set vs} \cup \text{set vs}', \Gamma, \lambda\cdot. 1) \varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma b)$   
**have** dens': has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (set vs  $\cup$  set vs')  $\Gamma$ ) ?M  
 $(\text{stock-measure } \text{BOOL}) (\lambda\sigma v. \text{ennreal}(\text{eval-cexpr } f \sigma v))$   
**using** density-context- $\alpha$ [OF invar] t vars dens **unfolding** dens ctxt- $\alpha$ -def  
**by** (intro expr-has-density-sound-aux density-context.density-context-empty)  
 $(\text{auto simp: extract-real-def one-ennreal-def})$   
**thus** nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs  $\cup$  set vs')) (case-nat BOOL  $\Gamma$ ) f  
**using** wf[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg] **by** simp  
  
**show** subprob-cexpr (set vs) (set vs')  $\Gamma (\delta *_c \text{cexpr-subst-val } f (\text{BoolVal } v))$   
**proof** (intro subprob-cexprI)  
**fix**  $\varrho$  **assume**  $\varrho : \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(\text{set vs}')) \Gamma$   
**let** ?eval =  $\lambda e \sigma. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{merge}(\text{set vs})(\text{set vs}')(\sigma, \varrho))) e$   
 $\{$   
**fix**  $\sigma$  **assume**  $\sigma : \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(\text{set vs})) \Gamma$   
**have** A: ?eval ( $\delta *_c \text{cexpr-subst-val } f (\text{BoolVal } v)$ )  $\sigma =$   
 $?eval \delta \sigma * ?eval (\text{cexpr-subst-val } f (\text{BoolVal } v)) \sigma$  **using** wf' invar'  
 $\sigma \varrho$   
**by** (subst cexpr-sem-Mult[where  $\Gamma = \Gamma$  **and**  $V = \text{set vs} \cup \text{set vs}'$ ])  
 $(\text{auto intro: merge-in-state-measure simp: shift-var-set-def})$   
**have** ?eval  $\delta \sigma \geq 0$  **using**  $\sigma \varrho$  invar'  
**by** (blast dest: nonneg-cexprD intro: merge-in-state-measure)  
**moreover have** ?eval ( $\text{cexpr-subst-val } f (\text{BoolVal } v)$ )  $\sigma \geq 0$  **using**  $\sigma \varrho$  nonneg  
**by** (intro nonneg-cexprD nonneg-cexpr-subst-val) (auto intro: merge-in-state-measure)  
**moreover have** B: ennreal (?eval ( $\text{cexpr-subst-val } f (\text{BoolVal } v)$ )  $\sigma) =$   
 $\text{ennreal}(\text{eval-cexpr } f (\text{merge}(\text{set vs})(\text{set vs}')(\sigma, \varrho)) (\text{BoolVal } v))$   
 $(\text{is } - = ?f (\text{BoolVal } v))$  **by** (simp add: eval-cexpr-def)  
**hence** ennreal (?eval ( $\text{cexpr-subst-val } f (\text{BoolVal } v)$ )  $\sigma) \leq 1$   
**using**  $\sigma \varrho$  dens' **unfolding** has-parametrized-subprob-density-def  
**by** (subst B, intro subprob-count-space-density-le-1[of - - ?f])  
 $(\text{auto intro: merge-in-state-measure simp: stock-measure.simps})$   
**ultimately have** ?eval ( $\delta *_c \text{cexpr-subst-val } f (\text{BoolVal } v)$ )  $\sigma \leq ?eval \delta \sigma$

```

    by (subst A, intro mult-right-le-one-le) simp-all
}
hence ( $\int^+ \sigma. ?eval (\delta *_c cexpr-subst-val f (BoolVal v)) \sigma \partial state-measure (set vs) \Gamma \leq$ 
 $(\int^+ \sigma. ?eval \delta \sigma \partial state-measure (set vs) \Gamma)$  by (intro nn-integral-mono)
(simp add: ennreal-leI)
also have ...  $\leq 1$  using invar'  $\rho$  by (intro subprob-cexprD)
finally show ( $\int^+ \sigma. ?eval (\delta *_c cexpr-subst-val f (BoolVal v)) \sigma \partial state-measure (set vs) \Gamma \leq 1$  .
qed
qed (insert cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF invar] is-density-exprD[OF wf],
auto simp: shift-var-set-def)

lemma space-state-measureD-shift:
 $\sigma \in space (state-measure (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t \Gamma)) \implies$ 
 $\exists x \sigma'. x \in type-universe t \wedge \sigma' \in space (state-measure V \Gamma) \wedge \sigma = case-nat x$ 
 $\sigma'$ 
by (intro exI[of - \sigma 0] exI[of - \sigma \circ Suc])
(auto simp: fun-eq-iff PiE-iff space-state-measure extensional-def split: nat.split)

lemma space-state-measure-shift-iff:
 $\sigma \in space (state-measure (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t \Gamma)) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists x \sigma'. x \in type-universe t \wedge \sigma' \in space (state-measure V \Gamma) \wedge \sigma = case-nat x$ 
 $\sigma')$ 
by (auto dest!: space-state-measureD-shift)

lemma nonneg-cexprI-shift:
assumes  $\bigwedge x \sigma. x \in type-universe t \implies \sigma \in space (state-measure V \Gamma) \implies$ 
 $0 \leq extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat x \sigma) e)$ 
shows nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t \Gamma) e
by (auto intro!: nonneg-cexprI assms dest!: space-state-measureD-shift)

lemma nonneg-cexpr-shift-iff:
nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t \Gamma) (map-vars Suc e)  $\longleftrightarrow$  nonneg-cexpr
V \Gamma e
apply (auto simp: cexpr-sem-map-vars o-def nonneg-cexpr-def space-state-measure-shift-iff)
subgoal for  $\sigma$ 
apply (drule bspec[of - - case-nat (SOME x. x \in type-universe t) \sigma])
using type-universe-nonempty[of t]
unfolding ex-in-conv[symmetric]
apply (auto intro!: case-nat-in-state-measure intro: someI)
done
done

lemma case-nat-case-nat: case-nat x n (case-nat y m i) = case-nat (case-nat x n
y) ( $\lambda i'. case-nat x n (m i')$ ) i
by (rule nat.case-distrib)

lemma nonneg-cexpr-shift-iff2:

```

```

nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (shift-var-set V))
  (case-nat t1 (case-nat t2 Γ)) (map-vars (case-nat 0 (λx. Suc (Suc x))) e) ←→
    nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t1 Γ) e
  apply (auto simp: cexpr-sem-map-vars o-def nonneg-cexpr-def space-state-measure-shift-iff)
  subgoal for x σ
    apply (drule bspec[of - - case-nat x (case-nat (SOME x. x ∈ type-universe t2)
σ)])
      using type-universe-nonempty[of t2]
      unfolding ex-in-conv[symmetric]
      apply (auto simp: case-nat-case-nat cong: nat.case-cong
intro!: case-nat-in-state-measure intro: someI-ex someI)
        done
      apply (erule bspec)
      subgoal for x1 x2 σ
        by (auto simp add: space-state-measure-shift-iff fun-eq-iff split: nat.split
intro!: exI[of - x1] exI[of - σ])
      done
done

lemma nonneg-cexpr-Add:
  assumes Γ ⊢c e1 : REAL Γ ⊢c e2 : REAL
  assumes free-vars e1 ⊆ V free-vars e2 ⊆ V
  assumes N1: nonneg-cexpr V Γ e1 AND N2: nonneg-cexpr V Γ e2
  shows nonneg-cexpr V Γ (e1 +c e2)
proof (rule nonneg-cexprI)
  fix σ assume σ: σ ∈ space (state-measure V Γ)
  hence extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (e1 +c e2)) = extract-real (cexpr-sem σ e1) +
  extract-real (cexpr-sem σ e2)
    using assms by (subst cexpr-sem-Add[of Γ - - - V]) simp-all
  also have ... ≥ 0 using σ N1 N2 by (intro add-nonneg-nonneg nonneg-cexprD)
  finally show extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (e1 +c e2)) ≥ 0 .
qed

lemma expr-has-density-cexpr-sound-aux:
  assumes Γ ⊢ e : t (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c e ⇒ f cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs' Γ δ
    free-vars e ⊆ set vs ∪ set vs'
  shows dens-ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d e ⇒ eval-cexpr f ∧ is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ)
t f
using assms(2,1,3,4)
proof (induction arbitrary: t rule: expr-has-density-cexpr.induct[split-format (complete)])
  case (edc-val v vs vs' Γ δ)
    from edc-val.preds have [simp]: t = val-type v by auto
    note invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF edc-val.preds(2)]
    let ?e1 = map-vars Suc (branch-prob-cexpr (vs, vs', Γ, δ)) AND ?e2 = ⟨CVar 0
=c CVal v⟩c
    have ctype1: case-nat t Γ ⊢c ?e1 : REAL AND ctype2: case-nat t Γ ⊢c ?e2:
REAL using invar
      by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros cexpr-typing-map-vars simp: o-def)
    hence ctype: case-nat t Γ ⊢c ?e1 *c ?e2 : REAL by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros)

```

```

{
fix  $\varrho$   $x$  assume  $x: x \in \text{type-universe}(\text{val-type } v)$ 
  and  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(\text{set } vs') \Gamma)$ 
hence  $\text{case-nat } x \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(\text{shift-var-set}(\text{set } vs')) (\text{case-nat}$ 
 $(\text{val-type } v) \Gamma))$ 
  by (rule case-nat-in-state-measure)
hence  $\text{ennreal}(\text{eval-cexpr} (?e1 *_c ?e2) \varrho x) =$ 
   $\text{ennreal}(\text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{case-nat } x \varrho)$ 
     $(\text{map-vars } \text{Suc}(\text{branch-prob-cexpr}(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)))) *$ 
     $\text{ennreal}(\text{extract-real}(\text{RealVal}(\text{bool-to-real}(x = v)))) (\text{is } - = ?a * ?b)$ 
using invar unfolding eval-cexpr-def
apply (subst ennreal-mult"[symmetric])
apply (simp add: bool-to-real-def)
apply (subst cexpr-sem-Mult[of case-nat t  $\Gamma \dashv\dashv \text{shift-var-set}(\text{set } vs')$ ])
apply (insert invar ctype1 ctype2)
apply (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
done
also have  $?a = \text{branch-prob}(\text{dens-ctxt-}\alpha(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)) \varrho$ 
  by (subst cexpr-sem-map-vars, subst cexpr-sem-branch-prob) (simp-all add:
 $\varrho \text{ edc-val.prem}$ s)
also have  $?b = \text{indicator}\{v\} x$ 
  by (simp add: extract-real-def bool-to-real-def split: split-indicator)
finally have  $\text{ennreal}(\text{eval-cexpr} (?e1 *_c ?e2) \varrho x) =$ 
   $\text{branch-prob}(\text{dens-ctxt-}\alpha(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)) \varrho * \text{indicator}\{v\} x.$ 
} note  $e = \text{this}$ 

have  $\text{meas}: (\lambda(\sigma, x). \text{ennreal}(\text{eval-cexpr} (?e1 *_c ?e2) \sigma x))$ 
   $\in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{state-measure}(\text{set } vs') \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure}$ 
 $(\text{val-type } v))$ 
  apply (subst measurable-split-conv, rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal])
  apply (subst measurable-split-conv[symmetric], rule measurable-eval-cexpr)
  apply (insert ctype invar, auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
done

have  $*: \text{Suc} \dashv \text{shift-var-set}(\text{set } vs') = \text{set } vs' \text{ case-nat } (\text{val-type } v) \Gamma \circ \text{Suc} = \Gamma$ 
  by (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)

have  $nn: \text{nonneg-cexpr}(\text{shift-var-set}(\text{set } vs')) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma)$ 
   $(\text{map-vars } \text{Suc}(\text{branch-prob-cexpr}(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)) *_c \langle \text{CVar } 0 =_c \text{CVal } v \rangle_c)$ 
  using invar ctype1 ctype2
  by (fastforce intro!: nonneg-cexpr-Mult nonneg-indicator nonneg-cexpr-map-vars
    cexpr-typing.intros nonneg-cexpr-sem-integrate-vars'
    simp: branch-prob-cexpr-def *)
show ?case unfolding dens-ctxt-}\alpha\text{-def}
  apply (simp only: prod.case, intro conjI)
  apply (rule hd-AE[OF hd-val et-val AE-I2])
}

```

```

apply (insert edc-val, simp-all add: e dens-ctxt- $\alpha$ -def meas) [4]
apply (intro is-density-exprI)
using ctype
apply simp
apply (insert invar nn, auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
done
next

case (edc-var x vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  t)
hence t:  $t = \Gamma x$  by auto
note invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF edc-var.prems(2)]
from invar have ctype: case-nat t  $\Gamma \vdash_c$  marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$  : REAL by
(auto simp: t)

show ?case unfolding dens-ctxt- $\alpha$ -def
proof (simp only: prod.case, intro conjI is-density-exprI, rule hd-AE[OF hd-var
edc-var.prems(1)])
show case-nat t  $\Gamma \vdash_c$  marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$  : REAL by fact
next
show free-vars (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ )  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs')
using edc-var.prems(2) by (rule free-vars-marg-dens-cexpr)
next
have free-vars: free-vars (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ )  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs')
using edc-var.prems(2) by (rule free-vars-marg-dens-cexpr)
show ( $\lambda(\varrho, y)$ . ennreal (eval-cexpr (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ )  $\varrho y$ ))
 $\in$  borel-measurable (state-measure (set vs')  $\Gamma \otimes_M$  stock-measure t)
apply (subst measurable-split-conv, rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-
ennreal])
apply (subst measurable-split-conv[symmetric], rule measurable-eval-cexpr)
apply (insert ctype free-vars, auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
done
next
fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho \in$  space (state-measure (set vs')  $\Gamma$ )
hence AE y in stock-measure t.
marg-dens (dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )) x  $\varrho y$  =
ennreal (eval-cexpr (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ )  $\varrho y$ )
using edc-var unfolding eval-cexpr-def by (subst t, subst eq-commute, intro
cexpr-sem-marg-dens)
thus AE y in stock-measure t.
marg-dens (set vs, set vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\lambda x$ . ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem x  $\delta$ )))
x  $\varrho y$  =
ennreal (eval-cexpr (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ )  $\varrho y$ )
by (simp add: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$ -def)
next
show x  $\in$  set vs
by (insert edc-var.prems edc-var.hyps, auto simp: eval-cexpr-def intro!: non-
neg-cexpr-sem-marg-dens)
show nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ ) (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$ 
vs x  $\delta$ )

```

```

    by (intro nonneg-cexprI-shift nonneg-cexpr-sem-marg-dens[OF edc-var.prems(2)
<math>x \in set vs''])
        (auto simp: t)
qed
next
case (edc-pair x vs y vs' <math>\Gamma \delta t)
hence t[simp]:  $t = PRODUCT(\Gamma x)(\Gamma y)$  by auto
note invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF edc-pair.prems(2)]
from invar have ctype: case-nat t <math>\vdash_c marg-dens2-cexpr \Gamma vs x y \delta : REAL</math> by
auto
from edc-pair.prems have vars: free-vars (marg-dens2-cexpr <math>\Gamma vs x y \delta</math>) ⊆
shift-var-set (set vs')
using free-vars-marg-dens2-cexpr by simp

show ?case unfolding dens-ctxt-α-def
proof (simp only: prod.case, intro conjI is-density-exprI, rule hd-AE[OF hd-pair
edc-pair.prems(1)])
fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs') <math>\Gamma</math>)
show AE z in stock-measure t.
    marg-dens2 (set vs, set vs', <math>\Gamma</math>, λx. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem x
δ))) x y ρ z =
        ennreal (eval-cexpr (marg-dens2-cexpr <math>\Gamma vs x y \delta</math>) ρ z)
    using cexpr-sem-marg-dens2[OF edc-pair.prems(2) edc-pair.hyps ρ] unfolding
eval-cexpr-def
        by (subst t, subst eq-commute) (simp add: dens-ctxt-α-def)
next
show nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t <math>\Gamma</math>) (marg-dens2-cexpr <math>\Gamma
vs x y \delta</math>)
by (intro nonneg-cexprI-shift nonneg-cexpr-sem-marg-dens2[OF edc-pair.prems(2)
<math>x \in set vs' \wedge y \in set vs'>])
auto
qed (insert edc-pair invar ctype vars, auto simp: dens-ctxt-α-def)

next
case (edc-fail vs vs' <math>\Gamma \delta t t'</math>)
hence [simp]:  $t = t'$  by auto
have ctype: case-nat t' <math>\vdash_c CReal 0 : REAL</math>
    by (subst val-type.simps[symmetric]) (rule cexpr-typing.intros)
thus ?case by (auto simp: dens-ctxt-α-def eval-cexpr-def extract-real-def
zero-ennreal-def[symmetric] hd-fail
intro!: is-density-exprI nonneg-cexprI)

next
case (edc-let vs vs' <math>\Gamma e f \delta e' g t</math>)
then obtain t' where t1:  $\Gamma \vdash e : t'$  and t2: case-nat t' <math>\Gamma \vdash e' : t</math> by auto
note invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF edc-let.prems(2)]
from t1 have t1': the (expr-type <math>\Gamma e</math>) = t' by (auto simp: expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric])
have dens1: dens-ctxt-α ([] @ vs', <math>\Gamma</math>, CReal 1) ⊢_d e ⇒
    (λx xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr f x xa)) and

```

```

wf1: is-density-expr ([][], vs @ vs',  $\Gamma$ , CReal 1)  $t' f$ 
using edc-let.IH(1)[OF t1] edc-let.preds by (auto dest: cdens-ctxt-invar-empty)

have invf: cdens-ctxt-invar (shift-vars vs) (map Suc vs') (case-nat  $t' \Gamma$ ) (map-vars
Suc  $\delta *_c f$ )
  using edc-let.preds edc-let.hyps dens1 wf1 invar
  by (intro cdens-ctxt-invar-insert[OF - t1]) (auto simp: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$ -def)

let ?Y = (shift-vars vs, map Suc vs', case-nat  $t' \Gamma$ , map-vars Suc  $\delta *_c f$ )
have set (shift-vars vs)  $\cup$  set (map Suc vs') = shift-var-set (set vs  $\cup$  set vs')
  by (simp add: shift-var-set-def image-Un)
hence dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  (shift-vars vs, map Suc vs', case-nat  $t' \Gamma$ , map-vars Suc  $\delta *_c f$ )  $\vdash_d$ 
   $e' \Rightarrow (\lambda x xa. ennreal (eval-ceexpr g x xa)) \wedge$ 
  is-density-expr (shift-vars vs, map Suc vs', case-nat  $t' \Gamma$ , map-vars Suc  $\delta *_c f$ )  $t g$ 
  using invf t2 edc-let.preds subset-shift-var-set
  by (simp only: t1'[symmetric], intro edc-let.IH(2)) simp-all
hence dens2: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  ?Y  $\vdash_d e' \Rightarrow (\lambda x xa. ennreal (eval-ceexpr g x xa))$  and
  wf2: is-density-expr (shift-vars vs, map Suc vs', case-nat  $t' \Gamma$ , map-vars Suc
 $\delta *_c f$ )  $t g$ 
  by simp-all

have cexpr-eq: cexpr-sem (case-nat x  $\varrho \circ (\lambda x. x - Suc 0)$ )  $g =$ 
  cexpr-sem (case-nat x (case-nat undefined  $\varrho$ ))  $g$  for  $x \varrho$ 
using is-density-exprD[OF wf2]
by (intro cexpr-sem-eq-on-vars) (auto split: nat.split simp: shift-var-set-def)

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge \sigma. case\text{-}nat (\sigma 0) (\lambda x. \sigma (Suc x)) = \sigma$  by (intro ext) (simp split:
nat.split)
hence (shift-var-set (set vs), Suc ' set vs', case-nat  $t' \Gamma$ ,
insert-dens (set vs) (set vs') ( $\lambda x xa. ennreal (eval-ceexpr f x xa)$ )
( $\lambda x. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem x \delta)))$ )
 $\vdash_d e' \Rightarrow (\lambda a aa. ennreal (eval-ceexpr g a aa))$  using dens2
apply (simp only: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$ -def prod.case set-shift-vars set-map)
apply (erule hd-dens-ctxt-cong)
apply (insert invar is-density-exprD[OF wf1])
unfolding insert-dens-def
apply (subst ennreal-mult'[symmetric])
apply (erule nonneg-ceexprD)
apply (rule measurable-space[OF measurable-remove-var[where  $t=t'$ ]])
apply simp
apply (simp add: shift-var-set-def image-Un)
apply (subst cexpr-sem-Mult[of case-nat  $t' \Gamma$ ])
apply (auto intro!: cexpr-typing-map-vars simp: o-def shift-var-set-def image-Un
cexpr-sem-map-vars insert-dens-def eval-ceexpr-def remove-var-def)
done

hence dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )  $\vdash_d$  LET  $e$  IN  $e' \Rightarrow$ 

```

```

 $(\lambda \varrho x. ennreal (\text{eval-cexpr } g (\text{case-nat undefined } \varrho) x))$ 
unfolding dens ctxt α def
by (simp only: prod.case, intro hd-let[where f = λx xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr f x xa)])
    (insert dens1 dens2, simp-all add: dens ctxt α def extract-real-def one-ennreal-def t1')
hence dens ctxt α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d LET e IN e' ⇒
 $(\lambda \varrho x. ennreal (\text{eval-cexpr} (\text{map-vars} (\lambda x. x - 1) g) \varrho x))$ 
proof (simp only: dens ctxt α def prod.case, erule-tac hd-cong[OF -- edc-let.prems(1,3)])
fix  $\varrho x$  assume  $\varrho : \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} (\text{set } vs') \Gamma)$ 
and  $x : x \in \text{space} (\text{stock-measure} t)$ 
have eval-cexpr (map-vars (λx. x - 1) g) ρ x =
 $\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \varrho \circ (\lambda x. x - \text{Suc } 0)) g)$ 
unfolding eval-cexpr-def by (simp add: cexpr-sem-map-vars)
also note cexpr-eq[of x ρ]
finally show ennreal (eval-cexpr g (case-nat undefined ρ) x) =
 $\text{ennreal} (\text{eval-cexpr} (\text{map-vars} (\lambda x. x - 1) g) \varrho x)$ 
by (simp add: eval-cexpr-def)
qed (simp-all add: density-context-α[OF edc-let.prems(2)])
moreover have is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t (map-vars (λx. x - 1) g)
proof (intro is-density-exprI)
note  $wf = \text{is-density-exprD}[OF wf2]$ 
show case-nat t Γ ⊢c map-vars (λx. x - 1) g : REAL
by (rule cexpr-typing-map-vars, rule cexpr-typing-cong'[OF wf(1)])
    (insert wf(2), auto split: nat.split simp: shift-var-set-def)
from wf(2) show free-vars (map-vars (λx. x - 1) g)
 $\subseteq \text{shift-var-set} (\text{set } vs')$ 
by (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
next
show nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t Γ) (map-vars (λx. x - 1) g)
apply (intro nonneg-cexprI-shift)
apply (simp add: cexpr-sem-map-vars cexpr-eq)
apply (rule nonneg-cexprD[OF wf2[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg]])
apply (auto simp: space-state-measure PiE-iff extensional-def split: nat.splits)
done
qed
ultimately show ?case by (rule conjI)

```

**next**

```

case (edc-rand vs vs' Γ δ e f dst t')
define  $t$  where  $t = \text{dist-param-type} \ dst$ 
note  $\text{invar} = \text{cdens ctxt invarD}[OF \text{edc-rand}.prems(2)]$ 
from edc-rand have  $t1: \Gamma \vdash e : t \text{ and } t2: t' = \text{dist-result-type} \ dst$  by (auto simp: t-def)

```

**have** *dens: dens ctxt α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d e ⇒ (λx xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr f x xa))*

**and**

*wf: is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t f using edc-rand t1 t2 by auto*

```

from wf have tf: case-nat t Γ ⊢c f : REAL and varsf: free-vars f ⊆ shift-var-set
(set vs')
  unfolding is-density-expr-def by simp-all
  let ?M = (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure (dens-ctxt-α (vs,vs',Γ,δ)) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem
σ e))
  have dens': has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (set vs') Γ) ?M
(stock-measure t)
  (λρ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f ρ x)) using dens t1 edc-rand.prem
  by (simp-all add: dens-ctxt-α-def expr-has-density-sound-aux density-context-α)

let ?shift = case-nat 0 (λx. Suc (Suc x))
let ?e1 = map-vars ?shift f
let ?e2 = dist-dens-cexpr dst (CVar 0) (CVar 1)
let ?e = (ʃc ?e1 *c ?e2 ∂t)
have [simp]: ∀t t' Γ. case-nat t (case-nat t' Γ) ○ ?shift = case-nat t Γ
  by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split add: o-def)
have te1: case-nat t (case-nat t' Γ) ⊢c ?e1 : REAL using tf
  by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros cexpr-typing-dist-dens-cexpr cet-var'
cexpr-typing-map-vars simp: t-def t2)
have te2: case-nat t (case-nat t' Γ) ⊢c ?e2 : REAL
  by (intro cexpr-typing-dist-dens-cexpr cet-var') (simp-all add: t-def t2)
have te: case-nat t' Γ ⊢c ?e : REAL using te1 te2
  by (intro cet-int cet-op[where t = PRODUCT REAL REAL] cet-pair) (simp-all
add: t2 t-def)
have vars-e1: free-vars ?e1 ⊆ shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs'))
  using varsf by (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
have (case-nat 0 (λx. Suc (Suc x)) -` shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs'))) =
  shift-var-set (set vs') by (auto simp: shift-var-set-def split: nat.split-asm)
have nonneg-e1: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs'))) (case-nat t
(case-nat t' Γ)) ?e1
  by (auto intro!: nonneg-cexprI wf[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg, THEN non-
neg-cexprD] case-nat-in-state-measure
dest!: space-state-measureD-shift simp: cexpr-sem-map-vars)
have vars-e2: free-vars ?e2 ⊆ shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs'))
  by (intro order.trans[OF free-vars-dist-dens-cexpr]) (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
have nonneg-e2: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs'))) (case-nat t
(case-nat t' Γ)) ?e2
  by (intro nonneg-dist-dens-cexpr cet-var') (auto simp: t2 t-def shift-var-set-def)

let ?f = λρ x. ∫+ y. ennreal (eval-cexpr f ρ y) * dist-dens dst y x ∂stock-measure
t
let ?M = (λρ. dens-ctxt-measure (dens-ctxt-α (vs,vs',Γ,δ)) ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem
σ (Random dst e)))
have dens': dens-ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d Random dst e ⇒ ?f using dens
  by (simp only: dens-ctxt-α-def prod.case t-def hd-rand[unfolded apply-dist-to-dens-def])
hence dens'': has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (set vs') Γ) ?M
(stock-measure t') ?f
  using edc-rand.prem invar
  by (simp only: dens-ctxt-α-def prod.case, intro expr-has-density-sound-aux)

```

(auto intro!: density-context- $\alpha$ )

```
{
fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho : \varrho \in space (state-measure (set vs') \Gamma)$ 
fix  $x$  assume  $x : x \in type-universe t'$ 
fix  $y$  assume  $y : y \in type-universe t$ 
let  $?{\varrho}'' = case-nat y (case-nat x \varrho) \text{ and } ?\Gamma'' = case-nat t (case-nat t' \Gamma)$ 
let  $?V'' = shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs'))$ 
have  $\varrho'' : ?{\varrho}'' \in space (state-measure (shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs')))) ?\Gamma''$ 
  using  $\varrho x y$  by (intro case-nat-in-state-measure) simp-all
have  $A : extract-real (cexpr-sem ?{\varrho}'' (?e1 *_c ?e2)) =$ 
  extract-real (cexpr-sem ?{\varrho}'' ?e1) * extract-real (cexpr-sem ?{\varrho}'' ?e2)
  by (rule cexpr-sem-Mult[OF te1 te2 \varrho'' vars-e1 vars-e2])
also have ...  $\geq 0$  using nonneg-e1 nonneg-e2 \varrho''
  by (blast intro: mult-nonneg-nonneg dest: nonneg-cexprD)
finally have  $B : extract-real (cexpr-sem ?{\varrho}'' (?e1 *_c ?e2)) \geq 0$  .
note  $A$ 
hence eval-cexpr f \varrho y * dist-dens dst y x = extract-real (cexpr-sem ?{\varrho}'' (?e1 *_c ?e2))
  using \varrho''
  apply (subst A)
  apply (subst ennreal-mult')
  using nonneg-e2
  apply (erule nonneg-cexprD)
  apply (subst cexpr-sem-dist-dens-cexpr[of ?\Gamma'' - - - ?V''])
  apply (force simp: cexpr-sem-map-vars eval-cexpr-def t2 t-def intro!: cet-var')+
  done
note this  $B$ 
} note e1e2 = this

{
fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho : \varrho \in space (state-measure (set vs') \Gamma)$ 
have  $AE x$  in stock-measure  $t'$ .
  apply-dist-to-dens dst ( $\lambda \varrho x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f \varrho x)$ ) \varrho x = eval-cexpr
?e \varrho x
proof (rule AE-mp[OF - AE-I2[OF impI]])
  from has-parametrized-subprob-density-integral[OF dens'' \varrho]
  have  $(\int^+ x. ?f \varrho x \partial stock-measure t') \neq \infty$  by auto
  thus  $AE x$  in stock-measure  $t'$ .  $?f \varrho x \neq \infty$ 
    using has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(3)[OF dens'' \varrho]
    by (intro nn-integral-PInf-AE) simp-all
next
fix  $x$  assume  $x : x \in space (stock-measure t')$  and finite:  $?f \varrho x \neq \infty$ 
let  $?{\varrho}' = case-nat x \varrho$ 
have  $\varrho' : ?{\varrho}' \in space (state-measure (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t' \Gamma))$ 
  using \varrho x by (intro case-nat-in-state-measure) simp-all
hence  $* : (\int^+ y. ennreal (eval-cexpr f \varrho y) * dist-dens dst y x \partial stock-measure$ 
 $t) =$ 
 $\int^+ y. extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat y ?{\varrho}') (?e1 *_c ?e2))$ 
```

```

 $\partial stock\text{-}measure t$  (is  $- = ?I$ )
  using  $\varrho x$  by (intro nn-integral-cong) (simp add: e1e2)
  also from * and finite have  $finite': ?I < \infty$  by (simp add: less-top)
  have  $?I = ennreal (eval-cexpr ?e \varrho x)$  using  $\varrho' te te1 te2 vars-e1 vars-e2$ 
  nonneg-e1 nonneg-e2
    unfolding eval-cexpr-def
    by (subst cexpr-sem-integral-nonneg[OF finite'])
      (auto simp: eval-cexpr-def t2 t-def intro!: nonneg-cexpr-Mult)
    finally show  $apply-dist-to-dens dst (\lambda \varrho x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f \varrho x)) \varrho x =$ 
       $ennreal (eval-cexpr ?e \varrho x)$ 
    unfolding apply-dist-to-dens-def by (simp add: t-def)
  qed
} note  $AE\text{-}eq = this$ 

have  $meas: (\lambda(\varrho, x). ennreal (eval-cexpr ?e \varrho x))$ 
   $\in borel\text{-}measurable (state-measure (set vs') \Gamma \bigotimes_M stock\text{-}measure t')$ 
  apply (subst measurable-split-conv, rule measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal])
  apply (subst measurable-split-conv[symmetric], rule measurable-eval-cexpr[OF te])
  apply (insert vars-e1 vars-e2, auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
  done
show  $?case$ 
proof (intro conjI is-density-exprI, simp only: dens ctxt alpha-def prod.case,
  rule hd-AE[OF hd-rand edc-rand.prems(1)])
  from dens show (set vs, set vs', \Gamma, \lambda x. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem x \delta)))
 $\vdash_d$ 
 $e \Rightarrow (\lambda x xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr f x xa))$ 
  unfolding dens ctxt alpha-def by simp
next
  have  $nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t' \Gamma) (\int_c ?e1 *_c ?e2 \partial t)$ 
    by (intro nonneg-cexpr-int nonneg-cexpr-Mult nonneg-dist-dens-cexpr te1 te2
vars-e1 vars-e2 nonneg-e1)
    (auto simp: t-def t2 intro!: cet-var')
  then show  $nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t' \Gamma)$ 
     $(\int_c map-vars (case-nat 0 (\lambda x. x + 2)) f *_c ?e2 \partial dist\text{-}param\text{-}type dst)$ 
    by (simp add: t-def)
  qed (insert AE-eq meas te vars-e1 vars-e2, auto simp: t-def t2 shift-var-set-def)

next
  case (edc-rand-det e vs' vs \Gamma \delta dst t')
  define  $t$  where  $t = dist\text{-}param\text{-}type dst$ 
  note  $invar = cdens ctxt invarD[OF edc-rand-det.prems(2)]$ 
  from edc-rand-det have  $t1: \Gamma \vdash e : t$  and  $t2: t' = dist\text{-}result\text{-}type dst$  by (auto
simp: t-def)
  let  $?e1 = map-vars Suc (branch-prob-cexpr (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta))$  and
     $?e2 = dist\text{-}dens\text{-}cexpr dst (map-vars Suc (expr-rf-to-cexpr e)) (CVar 0)$ 
  have  $ctype1: case-nat t' \Gamma \vdash_c ?e1 : REAL$ 
    using invar by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing-map-vars simp: o-def)

```

```

have vars2': free-vars (map-vars Suc (expr-rf-to-cexpr e)) ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs')
  unfolding shift-var-set-def using free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr edc-rand-det.hyps
  by auto
have vars2: free-vars ?e2 ⊆ shift-var-set (free-vars e)
  unfolding shift-var-set-def using free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr edc-rand-det.hyps
  by (intro order.trans[OF free-vars-dist-dens-cexpr]) auto
have ctype2: case-nat t' Γ ⊢c ?e2 : REAL using t1 edc-rand-det.hyps
  by (intro cexpr-typing-dist-dens-cexpr cexpr-typing-map-vars)
  (auto simp: o-def t-def t2 intro!: cet-var')
done

have nonneg-e2: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t' Γ) ?e2
  using t1 ⟨randomfree e⟩ free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr[of e] edc-rand-det.hyps
  apply (intro nonneg-dist-dens-cexpr cexpr-typing-map-vars)
  apply (auto simp add: o-def t-def t2 intro!: cet-var')
done

have nonneg-e1: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t' Γ) ?e1
  using invar
  by (auto simp add: branch-prob-cexpr-def nonneg-cexpr-shift-iff intro!: nonneg-cexpr-sem-integrate-vars')

{
  fix ρ x
  assume x: x ∈ type-universe t' and ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs') Γ)
  hence ρ': case-nat x ρ ∈ space (state-measure (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t' Γ))
    by (rule case-nat-in-state-measure)
  hence eval-cexpr (?e1 *c ?e2) ρ x =
    ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat x ρ)
      (map-vars Suc (branch-prob-cexpr (vs, vs', Γ, δ)))) * *
    ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat x ρ) ?e2)) (is - = ?a * ?b))
  using invar
  apply (subst ennreal-mult'[symmetric])
  apply (rule nonneg-cexprD[OF nonneg-e2])
  apply simp
  unfolding eval-cexpr-def
  apply (subst cexpr-sem-Mult[of case-nat t' Γ - - - shift-var-set (set vs')])
  apply (insert invar ctype1 vars2 ctype2 edc-rand-det.hyps(2))
  apply (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
  done

  also have ?a = branch-prob (dens ctxt α (vs, vs', Γ, δ)) ρ (is - = ?c)
    by (subst cexpr-sem-map-vars, subst cexpr-sem-branch-prob) (simp-all add: o-def ρ edc-rand-det.prems)
    also have ?b = dist-dens dst (expr-sem-rf ρ e) x (is - = ?d) using t1 edc-rand-det.hyps
      by (subst cexpr-sem-dist-dens-cexpr[of case-nat t' Γ], insert ρ' vars2')
        (auto intro!: cexpr-typing-map-vars cet-var'
        simp: o-def t-def t2 cexpr-sem-map-vars cexpr-sem-expr-rf-to-cexpr)

```

```

finally have A: ennreal (eval-cexpr (?e1 *_c ?e2) ρ x) = ?c * ?d .
} note A = this

have meas: ( $\lambda(\varrho, x). \text{ennreal} (\text{eval-cexpr} (?e1 *_c ?e2) \varrho x))$ 
    ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure (set vs')  $\Gamma \bigotimes_M \text{stock-measure } t'$ )
using ctype1 ctype2 vars2 invar edc-rand-det.hyps
by (subst measurable-split-conv, intro measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal],
    subst measurable-split-conv[symmetric], intro measurable-eval-cexpr)
    (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros simp: shift-var-set-def)
from ctype1 ctype2 vars2 invar edc-rand-det.hyps
have wf: is-density-expr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma, \delta$ ) t' (?e1 *_c ?e2)
proof (intro is-density-exprI)
    show nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t'  $\Gamma$ ) (?e1 *_c ?e2)
    using invar(2)
        order-trans[OF free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr[OF <randomfree e>] <free-vars e ⊆
    set vs'>]
    by (intro nonneg-cexpr-Mult ctype1 ctype2 nonneg-e2 nonneg-e1
        free-vars-dist-dens-cexpr[THEN order-trans])
        (auto simp: intro: order-trans)
qed (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros simp: shift-var-set-def)
show ?case using edc-rand-det.prem edc-rand-det.hyps meas wf A
apply (intro conjI, simp add: dens ctxt α-def)
apply (intro hd-AE[OF hd-rand-det[OF edc-rand-det.hyps] edc-rand-det.prem(1)
AE-I2])
apply (simp-all add: dens ctxt α-def)
done

next
case (edc-if-det b vs vs'  $\Gamma \delta e1 f1 e2 f2 t$ )
hence tb:  $\Gamma \vdash b : \text{BOOL}$  and t1:  $\Gamma \vdash e1 : t$  and t2:  $\Gamma \vdash e2 : t$  by auto
from edc-if-det have b: randomfree b free-vars b ⊆ set vs ∪ set vs' by simp-all
note invar = cdens ctxt invarD[OF edc-if-det.prem(2)]

let ?ind1 = <expr-rf-to-cexpr b>_c and ?ind2 = < $\neg_c$  expr-rf-to-cexpr b>_c
have tind1:  $\Gamma \vdash_c ?ind1 : \text{REAL}$  and tind2:  $\Gamma \vdash_c ?ind2 : \text{REAL}$ 
    using edc-if-det.hyps tb by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros)
have tδ1:  $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta *_c ?ind1 : \text{REAL}$  and tδ2:  $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta *_c ?ind2 : \text{REAL}$ 
    using invar(3) edc-if-det.hyps tb by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros)
have nonneg-ind1: nonneg-cexpr (set vs ∪ set vs')  $\Gamma ?ind1$  and
    nonneg-ind2: nonneg-cexpr (set vs ∪ set vs')  $\Gamma ?ind2$ 
using tind1 tind2 edc-if-det.hyps tb
by (auto intro!: nonneg-cexprI simp: cexpr-sem-expr-rf-to-cexpr bool-to-real-def
extract-real-def
    dest: val-type-expr-sem-rf[OF tb b] elim!: BOOL-E split: if-split)
have subprob1: subprob-cexpr (set vs) (set vs')  $\Gamma (\delta *_c ?ind1)$  and
    subprob2: subprob-cexpr (set vs) (set vs')  $\Gamma (\delta *_c ?ind2)$ 
using invar tb edc-if-det.hyps edc-if-det.prem free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr[OF
edc-if-det.hyps(1)]
by (auto intro!: subprob-indicator cet-op)

```

```

have vars1: free-vars ( $\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind1$ )  $\subseteq$  set vs  $\cup$  set vs' and
  vars2: free-vars ( $\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind2$ )  $\subseteq$  set vs  $\cup$  set vs'
  using invar edc-if-det.hyps edc-if-det.prems free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr by auto
have inv1: cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$  ( $\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind1$ )
  using invar edc-if-det.hyps edc-if-det.prems tind1 t $\delta$ 1 subprob1 nonneg-ind1
vars1
  by (intro cdens ctxt-invarI nonneg-cexpr-Mult) auto
have inv2: cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$  ( $\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind2$ )
  using invar edc-if-det.hyps edc-if-det.prems tind2 t $\delta$ 2 subprob2 nonneg-ind2
vars2
  by (intro cdens ctxt-invarI nonneg-cexpr-Mult) auto
have dens1: dens ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind1$ )  $\vdash_d e1 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. \text{eval-cexpr } f1 \varrho x)$  and
  wf1: is-density-expr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind1$ ) t f1
  using edc-if-det.IH(1)[OF t1 inv1] edc-if-det.prems by auto
have dens2: dens ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind2$ )  $\vdash_d e2 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. \text{eval-cexpr } f2 \varrho x)$  and
  wf2: is-density-expr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind2$ ) t f2
  using edc-if-det.IH(2)[OF t2 inv2] edc-if-det.prems by auto

show ?case
proof (rule conjI, simp only: dens ctxt- $\alpha$ -def prod.case, rule hd-cong[OF hd-if-det])
  let ?Y = (set vs, set vs',  $\Gamma$ , if-dens-det ( $\lambda x. \text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem } x \delta)))$ ) b True)
  show ?Y  $\vdash_d e1 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. \text{eval-cexpr } f1 \varrho x)$ 
  proof (rule hd-dens ctxt-cong)
    let ? $\delta$  =  $\lambda \sigma. \text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma (\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind1)))$ 
    show (set vs, set vs',  $\Gamma$ , ? $\delta$ )  $\vdash_d e1 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. \text{ennreal} (\text{eval-cexpr } f1 \varrho x))$ 
      using dens1 by (simp add: dens ctxt- $\alpha$ -def)
    fix  $\sigma$  assume  $\sigma: \sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} (\text{set vs} \cup \text{set vs'}))$ 
    have extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma (\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind1)$ ) =
      extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma \delta$ ) * extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  ?ind1) using
    invar vars1
      by (subst cexpr-sem-Mult[OF invar(3) tind1  $\sigma$ ]) simp-all
      also have extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  ?ind1) = (if expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  b = TRUE then 1 else 0)
        using edc-if-det.hyps val-type-expr-sem-rf[OF tb b  $\sigma$ ]
        by (auto simp: cexpr-sem-expr-rf-to-cexpr extract-real-def bool-to-real-def elim!: BOOL-E)
        finally show ? $\delta$   $\sigma = \text{if-dens-det} (\lambda \sigma. \text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma \delta)))$ 
      b True  $\sigma$ 
        by (simp add: if-dens-det-def)
      qed
    next
    let ?Y = (set vs, set vs',  $\Gamma$ , if-dens-det ( $\lambda x. \text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem } x \delta)))$ ) b False)
    show ?Y  $\vdash_d e2 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. \text{eval-cexpr } f2 \varrho x)$ 
    proof (rule hd-dens ctxt-cong)
      let ? $\delta$  =  $\lambda \sigma. \text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma (\delta *_{\text{c}} ?ind2)))$ 

```

```

show (set vs, set vs', Γ, ?δ) ⊢d e2 ⇒ (λρ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f2 ρ x))
  using dens2 by (simp add: dens ctxt α-def)
fix σ assume σ: σ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs ∪ set vs') Γ)
have extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (δ *c ?ind2)) =
  extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ) * extract-real (cexpr-sem σ ?ind2) using
invar vars1
  by (subst cexpr-sem-Mult[OF invar(3) tind2 σ]) simp-all
  also have extract-real (cexpr-sem σ ?ind2) = (if expr-sem-rf σ b = FALSE
then 1 else 0)
    using edc-if-det.hyps val-type-cexpr-sem-rf[OF tb b σ]
    by (auto simp: cexpr-sem-cexpr-rf-to-cexpr extract-real-def bool-to-real-def
elim!: BOOL-E)
  finally show ?δ σ = if-dens-det (λσ. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ)))
b False σ
  by (simp add: if-dens-det-def)
qed
next
fix ρ x assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs') Γ) and x : x ∈ space
(stock-measure t)
hence eval-cexpr (f1 +c f2) ρ x = eval-cexpr f1 ρ x + eval-cexpr f2 ρ x
  using wf1 wf2 unfolding eval-cexpr-def is-density-cexpr-def
  by (subst cexpr-sem-Add[where Γ = case-nat t Γ and V = shift-var-set (set
vs')]) auto
moreover have 0 ≤ eval-cexpr f1 ρ x 0 ≤ eval-cexpr f2 ρ x
  unfolding eval-cexpr-def
  using ρ x wf1[THEN is-density-cexprD-nonneg, THEN nonneg-cexprD] wf2[THEN
is-density-cexprD-nonneg, THEN nonneg-cexprD]
  unfolding space-state-measure-shift-iff by auto
ultimately show ennreal (eval-cexpr f1 ρ x) + ennreal (eval-cexpr f2 ρ x) =
ennreal (eval-cexpr (f1 +c f2) ρ x)
  by simp
next
show is-density-cexpr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t (f1 +c f2) using wf1 wf2
  using wf1[THEN is-density-cexprD-nonneg] wf2[THEN is-density-cexprD-nonneg]
  by (auto simp: is-density-cexpr-def intro!: cet-op[where t = PRODUCT REAL
REAL] cet-pair nonneg-cexpr-Add)
qed (insert edc-if-det.pirms edc-if-det.hyps, auto intro!: density-context-α)

next
case (edc-if vs vs' Γ b f δ e1 g1 e2 g2 t)
hence tb: Γ ⊢ b : BOOL and t1: Γ ⊢ e1 : t and t2: Γ ⊢ e2 : t by auto
note invar = cdens ctxt invarD[OF edc-if.pirms(2)]
have densb: dens ctxt α ([] vs @ vs', Γ, CReal 1) ⊢d b ⇒ (λρ b. ennreal
(eval-cexpr f ρ b)) and
  wfb: is-density-cexpr ([] vs @ vs', Γ, CReal 1) BOOL f
using edc-if.IH(1)[OF tb] edc-if.pirms by (simp-all add: cdens ctxt invar-empty)
have inv1: cdens ctxt invar vs vs' Γ (δ *c cexpr-subst-val f TRUE) and
  inv2: cdens ctxt invar vs vs' Γ (δ *c cexpr-subst-val f FALSE)

```

```

using tb densb wfb edc-if.prems by (auto intro!: cdens ctxt-invar-insert-bool)
let ?δ1 = cexpr-subst-val f TRUE and ?δ2 = cexpr-subst-val f FALSE
have tδ1: Γ ⊢c δ *c ?δ1 : REAL and tδ2: Γ ⊢c δ *c ?δ2 : REAL
  using is-density-exprD[OF wfb] invar
  by (auto intro!: cet-op[where t = PRODUCT REAL REAL] cet-pair)
have vars1: free-vars (δ *c ?δ1) ⊆ set vs ∪ set vs' and
  vars2: free-vars (δ *c ?δ2) ⊆ set vs ∪ set vs'
  using invar is-density-exprD[OF wfb] by (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
have dens1: dens ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ *c ?δ1) ⊢d e1 ⇒ (λx xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr
g1 x xa)) and
  wf1: is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ *c ?δ1) t g1 and
  dens2: dens ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ *c ?δ2) ⊢d e2 ⇒ (λx xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr
g2 x xa)) and
  wf2: is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ *c ?δ2) t g2
  using edc-if.IH(2)[OF t1 inv1] edc-if.IH(3)[OF t2 inv2] edc-if.prems by
simp-all

have f-nonneg[simp]: σ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs ∪ set vs') Γ) ==>
  0 ≤ extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat (BoolVal b) σ) f) for b σ
  using wfb[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg] by (rule nonneg-cexprD) auto

let ?δ' = λσ. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ)) and ?f = λσ x. ennreal
(eval-cexpr f σ x)
show ?case
proof (rule conjI, simp only: dens ctxt-α-def prod.case, rule hd-cong[OF hd-if])
  let ?Y = (set vs, set vs', Γ, if-dens ?δ' ?f True)
  show ?Y ⊢d e1 ⇒ (λρ x. eval-cexpr g1 ρ x)
  proof (rule hd-dens ctxt-cong)
    let ?δ = λσ. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (δ *c ?δ1)))
    show (set vs, set vs', Γ, ?δ) ⊢d e1 ⇒ (λρ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr g1 ρ x))
      using dens1 by (simp add: dens ctxt-α-def)
    fix σ assume σ: σ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs ∪ set vs') Γ)
    have extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (δ *c ?δ1)) =
      extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ) * extract-real (cexpr-sem σ ?δ1)
      using invar vars1 is-density-exprD[OF wfb] by (subst cexpr-sem-Mult[OF
invar(3) - σ]) auto
    also have ... = if-dens ?δ' ?f True σ unfolding if-dens-def by (simp add:
eval-cexpr-def ennreal-mult'' σ)
    finally show ?δ σ = if-dens ?δ' ?f True σ by (simp add: if-dens-det-def)
  qed
next
let ?Y = (set vs, set vs', Γ, if-dens ?δ' ?f False)
show ?Y ⊢d e2 ⇒ (λρ x. eval-cexpr g2 ρ x)
proof (rule hd-dens ctxt-cong)
  let ?δ = λσ. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (δ *c ?δ2)))
  show (set vs, set vs', Γ, ?δ) ⊢d e2 ⇒ (λρ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr g2 ρ x))
    using dens2 by (simp add: dens ctxt-α-def)
  fix σ assume σ: σ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs ∪ set vs') Γ)
  have extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (δ *c ?δ2)) =

```

```

extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ) * extract-real (cexpr-sem σ ?δ2)
using invar vars1 is-density-exprD[OF wfb] by (subst cexpr-sem-Mult[OF
invar(3) - σ]) auto
also have ... = if-dens ?δ' ?f False σ unfolding if-dens-def by (simp add:
eval-cexpr-def ennreal-mult'' σ)
finally show ?δ σ = if-dens ?δ' ?f False σ by (simp add: if-dens-det-def)
qed
next
fix ρ x assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs') Γ) and x : x ∈ space
(stock-measure t)
hence eval-cexpr (g1 +c g2) ρ x = eval-cexpr g1 ρ x + eval-cexpr g2 ρ x
using wf1 wf2 unfolding eval-cexpr-def is-density-expr-def
by (subst cexpr-sem-Add[where Γ = case-nat t Γ and V = shift-var-set (set
vs')]) auto
moreover have 0 ≤ eval-cexpr g1 ρ x 0 ≤ eval-cexpr g2 ρ x
unfolding eval-cexpr-def
using ρ x wf1[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg, THEN nonneg-cexprD] wf2[THEN
is-density-exprD-nonneg, THEN nonneg-cexprD]
unfolding space-state-measure-shift-iff by auto
ultimately show ennreal (eval-cexpr g1 ρ x) + ennreal (eval-cexpr g2 ρ x) =
ennreal (eval-cexpr (g1 +c g2) ρ x)
by simp
next
show is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t (g1 +c g2) using wf1 wf2
by (auto simp: is-density-expr-def intro!: cet-op[where t = PRODUCT REAL
REAL] cet-pair nonneg-cexpr-Add)
next
show ({}), set vs ∪ set vs', Γ, λ-. 1) ⊢d b ⇒ (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f σ x))
using densb unfolding dens ctxt-α-def by (simp add: extract-real-def one-ennreal-def)
qed (insert edc-if.prems edc-if.hyps, auto intro!: density-context-α)

next
case (edc-op-discr vs vs' Γ δ e f t oper t' t'')
let ?expr' = ⟨⟨oper $$c (CVar 0)) =c CVar 1⟩c *c map-vars (case-nat 0 (λx.
x+2)) f
let ?expr = ∫c ?expr' ∂t and ?shift = case-nat 0 (λx. x + 2)
from edc-op-discr.prems(1) edc-op-discr.hyps
have t: Γ ⊢ e : t by (elim expr-typing-opE, fastforce split: pdf-type.split-asm)
with edc-op-discr.prems(1) and edc-op-discr.hyps have [simp]: t'' = t'
by (intro expr-typing-unique) (auto intro: et-op)
from t and edc-op-discr.prems(1)
have the-t1: the (expr-type Γ e) = t and the-t2: the (expr-type Γ (oper $$ e))
= t'
by (simp-all add: expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric])

from edc-op-discr.prems edc-op-discr.IH[OF t]
have dens: dens ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d e ⇒ (λx xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr f x
xa)) and
wf: is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t f by simp-all

```

```

note wf' = is-density-exprD[OF wf]
have ctype'': case-nat t (case-nat t'  $\Gamma$ )  $\vdash_c$  (oper $$c (CVar 0)) =c CVar 1 : BOOL and
  ctype'': case-nat t (case-nat t'  $\Gamma$ )  $\vdash_c$  ((oper $$c (CVar 0)) =c CVar 1)c : REAL and
    ctype': case-nat t (case-nat t'  $\Gamma$ )  $\vdash_c$  ?expr' : REAL using wf' edc-op-discr.hyps
    by ((intro cet-op-intros cexpr-typing-map-vars cet-var' cet-pair cet-eq,
      auto intro!: cet-op cet-var') [])+
from ctype' have ctype: case-nat t'  $\Gamma$   $\vdash_c$  ?expr : REAL by (rule cet-int)
have vars': free-vars ?expr'  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs')) using wf'
  by (auto split: nat.split simp: shift-var-set-def)
hence vars: free-vars ?expr  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs') by (auto split: nat.split-asm)

let ?Y = (set vs, set vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\lambda\varrho.$  ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\varrho$   $\delta$ )))
let ?M =  $\lambda\varrho.$  dens ctxt-measure ?Y  $\varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma.$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  e)
have nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ ) f
  using wf[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg] .
hence nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs')))
  (case-nat t (case-nat t'  $\Gamma$ )) ?expr'
using wf' vars' ctype'' by (intro nonneg-cexpr-Mult[OF ctype'] cexpr-typing-map-vars
  nonneg-cexpr-map-vars nonneg-indicator)
  (auto dest: nonneg-cexprD simp: extract-real-def
  bool-to-real-def)

let ?M =  $\lambda\varrho.$  dens ctxt-measure ?Y  $\varrho \gg= (\lambda\sigma.$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  (oper $$ e))
let ?f =  $\lambda\varrho x y.$  (if op-sem oper y = x then 1 else 0) * ennreal (eval-cexpr f  $\varrho$  y)
have ?Y  $\vdash_d$  oper $$ e  $\Rightarrow (\lambda\varrho x. \int^+ y. ?f \varrho x y \partial stock-measure t)$  using dens t
  edc-op-discr.hyps
  by (subst the-t1[symmetric], intro hd-op-discr)
    (simp-all add: dens ctxt-alpha-def the-t1 expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric])
hence dens: ?Y  $\vdash_d$  oper $$ e  $\Rightarrow (\lambda\varrho x. \int^+ y. eval-cexpr ?expr' (case-nat x \varrho) y$ 
   $\partial stock-measure t)$ 
proof (rule hd-cong[OF - - - nn-integral-cong])
  fix  $\varrho x y$  let ?P =  $\lambda x M.$   $x \in space M$ 
  assume A: ?P  $\varrho$  (state-measure (set vs')  $\Gamma$ ) ?P x (stock-measure t') ?P y
  (stock-measure t)
  hence val-type (cexpr-sem (case-nat y  $\varrho$ ) f) = REAL using wf' by (intro
  val-type-cexpr-sem) auto
  thus ?f  $\varrho x y = ennreal (eval-cexpr ?expr' (case-nat x \varrho) y)$ 
  by (auto simp: eval-cexpr-def extract-real-def lift-RealIntVal2-def
    bool-to-real-def cexpr-sem-map-vars elim!: REAL-E)
qed (insert edc-op-discr.prems, auto intro!: density-context-alpha)
hence dens': has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (set vs')  $\Gamma$ ) ?M
  (stock-measure t')
  ( $\lambda\varrho x. \int^+ y. eval-cexpr ?expr' (case-nat x \varrho) y \partial stock-measure t)$ 
using edc-op-discr.prems by (intro expr-has-density-sound-aux density-context-alpha)
  simp-all

show ?case

```

```

proof (intro conjI is-density-exprI, simp only: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$ -def prod.case, rule hd-AE[OF dens])  

  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho : \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(\text{set } vs') \Gamma)$   

  let  $?dens = \lambda x. \int^+ y. \text{eval-expr}'(case-nat x \varrho) y \partial \text{stock-measure} t$   

  show  $AE x \text{ in stock-measure } t'. ?dens x = ennreal(\text{eval-expr}' \varrho x)$   

  proof (rule AE-mp[OF - AE-I2[OF impI]])  

    from has-parametrized-subprob-density-integral[OF dens'  $\varrho$ ] and  

      has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(3)[OF dens'] and  $\varrho$   

    show  $AE x \text{ in stock-measure } t'. ?dens x \neq \infty$  by (intro nn-integral-PInf-AE)  

  auto  

next  

  fix  $x$  assume  $x : x \in \text{space}(\text{stock-measure } t')$  and  $\text{fin} : ?dens x \neq \infty$   

  thus  $?dens x = ennreal(\text{eval-expr}' \varrho x)$   

    using  $\varrho$  vars' ctype' ctype' nonneg unfolding eval-expr-def  

      by (subst cexpr-sem-integral-nonneg) (auto intro!: nonneg-expr-map-vars  

simp: less-top)  

  qed  

next  

  show nonneg-expr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t''  $\Gamma$ ) ( $\int_c ?expr' \partial t$ )  

    using nonneg by (intro nonneg-expr-int) simp  

  qed (insert vars ctype edc-op-discr.prems, auto)  

next  

  case (edc-fst vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$   $e f t'' t' t$ )  

  hence [simp]:  $t'' = t$  by (auto intro!: expr-typing-unique et-op)  

  from edc-fst.hyps have  $t' : \text{the}(\text{expr-type } \Gamma (\text{Snd } \$\$ e)) = t'$   

    by (simp add: expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric])  

  let  $?shift = \text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. x + 2)$   

  have [simp]:  $\bigwedge t t'. \text{case-nat } t (\text{case-nat } t' \Gamma) \circ \text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } x)) =$   

case-nat t  $\Gamma$   

    by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split add: o-def)  

  note invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF edc-fst.prems(2)]  

  have dens: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )  $\vdash_d e \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. ennreal(\text{eval-expr}' \varrho x))$   

and  

  wf: is-density-expr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ) (PRODUCT t t') f using edc-fst by auto  

  let  $?M = \lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure}(\text{set } vs, \text{set } vs', \Gamma, \lambda \varrho. ennreal(\text{extract-real}(\text{expr-sem } \varrho \delta))) \varrho$   

     $\gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e)$   

  have nonneg: nonneg-expr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat (PRODUCT t t')  

 $\Gamma$ ) f  

  using wf by (rule is-density-exprD-nonneg)  

note wf' = is-density-exprD[OF wf]  

let  $?expr = \text{map-vars } ?shift f \circ_c < \text{CVar } 1, \text{CVar } 0 >_c$   

have ctype: case-nat t' (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ )  $\vdash_c ?expr : \text{REAL}$   

  using wf' by (auto intro!: cexpr-typing.intros cexpr-typing-map-vars)  

have vars: free-vars ?expr  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs')) using free-vars-cexpr-comp  

wf'  

  by (intro subset-shift-var-set) (force simp: shift-var-set-def)

```

```

let ?M = λρ. dens ctxt-measure (set vs, set vs', Γ, λρ. ennreal (extract-real
(cexpr-sem ρ δ))) ρ
    ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ (Fst $$ e))
have A: ∀x y ρ. ((case-nat x (case-nat y ρ))(0 := <|y, x|>) ∘ ?shift = case-nat
<|y, x|> ρ
    by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split add: o-def)
have dens': (set vs, set vs', Γ, λρ. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem ρ δ))) ⊢d Fst
$$ e ⇒
    (λρ x. (ʃ+ y. eval-cexpr f ρ (<|x, y|>) ∂stock-measure t')) (is ?Y
⊢d - ⇒ ?f)
    using dens by (subst t'[symmetric], intro hd-fst) (simp add: dens ctxt-α-def)
    hence dens': ?Y ⊢d Fst $$ e ⇒ (λρ x. (ʃ+ y. eval-cexpr ?expr (case-nat x ρ) y
∂stock-measure t')) (is - ⊢d - ⇒ ?f) by (rule hd-cong, intro density-context-α, insert edc-fst.preds
A)
    (auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: eval-cexpr-def cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp
cexpr-sem-map-vars)
    hence dens'': has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (set vs') Γ) ?M
(stock-measure t) ?f
    using edc-fst.preds by (intro expr-has-density-sound-aux density-context-α)
simp-all

have ∀ V. ?shift - ` shift-var-set (shift-var-set V) = shift-var-set V
    by (auto simp: shift-var-set-def split: nat.split-asm)
hence nonneg': nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs'))) (case-nat t'
(case-nat t Γ)) ?expr
    by (auto intro!: nonneg-cexpr-comp nonneg-cexpr-map-vars nonneg cexpr-typing.intros
cet-var')
    show ?case
    proof (intro conjI is-density-exprI, simp only: dens ctxt-α-def prod.case, rule
hd-AE[OF dens'])
        fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs') Γ)
        thus AE x in stock-measure t. ?f ρ x = ennreal (eval-cexpr (ʃc ?expr ∂t') ρ
x)
            using ctype vars edc-fst.hyps nonneg'
            by (intro has-parametrized-subprob-density-cexpr-sem-integral[OF dens']) auto
next
    show nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t Γ)
        (ʃc (map-vars (case-nat 0 (λx. x + 2)) f ∘c <CVar 1, CVar 0>c) ∂t')
    using nonneg' by (intro nonneg-cexpr-int)
qed (insert edc-fst.preds ctype vars, auto simp: measurable-split-conv
intro!: cet-int measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal]
measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF measurable-eval-cexpr])

next
case (edc-snd vs vs' Γ δ e f t t' t'')
hence [simp]: t'' = t' by (auto intro!: expr-typing-unique et-op)
from edc-snd.hyps have t': the (expr-type Γ (Fst $$ e)) = t
    by (simp add: expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric])

```

```

let ?shift = case-nat 0 ( $\lambda x. x + 2$ )
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge t t'. \text{case-nat } t (\text{case-nat } t' \Gamma) \circ \text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } x)) = \text{case-nat } t \Gamma$ 
  by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split add: o-def)
note invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[ $\text{OF edc-snd.prem}(2)$ ]
have dens: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  ( $vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta$ )  $\vdash_d e \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. \text{ennreal} (\text{eval-cepr } f \varrho x))$ 
and
  wf: is-density-exp (vs, vs',  $\Gamma, \delta$ ) (PRODUCT t t') f using edc-snd by auto
  let ?M =  $\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure} (\text{set } vs, \text{set } vs', \Gamma, \lambda \varrho. \text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cepr-sem } \varrho \delta))) \varrho$ 
     $\gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e)$ 
  have nonneg: nonneg-cepr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat (PRODUCT t t')  $\Gamma$ ) f
    using wf by (rule is-density-expD-nonneg)

note wf' = is-density-expD[ $\text{OF wf}$ ]
let ?expr = map-vars ?shift f  $\circ_c <\text{CVar } 0, \text{CVar } 1>_c$ 
have ctype: case-nat t (case-nat t'  $\Gamma$ )  $\vdash_c ?expr : \text{REAL}$ 
  using wf' by (auto intro!: cepr-typing.intros cepr-typing-map-vars)
have vars: free-vars ?expr  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs')) using free-vars-cepr-comp wf'
  by (intro subset-shift-var-set) (force simp: shift-var-set-def)
  let ?M =  $\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure} (\text{set } vs, \text{set } vs', \Gamma, \lambda \varrho. \text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cepr-sem } \varrho \delta))) \varrho$ 
     $\gg= (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{Snd } \$\$ e))$ 
  have A:  $\bigwedge x y \varrho. ((\text{case-nat } y (\text{case-nat } x \varrho))(0 := <|y, x|>) \circ ?shift = \text{case-nat } <|y, x|> \varrho$ 
    by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split add: o-def)
  have dens': (set vs, set vs',  $\Gamma, \lambda \varrho. \text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cepr-sem } \varrho \delta))) \vdash_d \text{Snd } \$\$ e \Rightarrow$ 
     $(\lambda \varrho y. (\int^+ x. \text{eval-cepr } f \varrho (<|x, y|>) \partial \text{stock-measure } t)) (\text{is } ?Y \vdash_d - \Rightarrow ?f)$ 
    using dens by (subst t'[symmetric], intro hd-snd) (simp add: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$ -def)
    hence dens': ?Y  $\vdash_d \text{Snd } \$\$ e \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho y. (\int^+ x. \text{eval-cepr } ?expr (\text{case-nat } y \varrho) x \partial \text{stock-measure } t))$ 
      (is -  $\vdash_d - \Rightarrow ?f$ ) by (rule hd-cong, intro density-context- $\alpha$ , insert edc-snd.prem A)
    (auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: eval-cepr-def cepr-sem-cepr-comp cepr-sem-map-vars)
    hence dens'': has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (set vs')  $\Gamma$ ) ?M (stock-measure t') ?f
      using edc-snd.prem by (intro expr-has-density-sound-aux density-context- $\alpha$ ) simp-all

have  $\bigwedge V. ?shift - ` \text{shift-var-set} (\text{shift-var-set } V) = \text{shift-var-set } V$ 
  by (auto simp: shift-var-set-def split: nat.split-asm)
hence nonneg': nonneg-cepr (shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs'))) (case-nat t (case-nat t'  $\Gamma$ )) ?expr
  by (auto intro!: nonneg-cepr-comp nonneg-cepr-map-vars nonneg cepr-typing.intros

```

```

cet-var')
  show ?case
  proof (intro conjI is-density-exprI , simp only: dens ctxt α-def prod.case, rule
hd-AE[OF dens'])
    fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs') Γ)
    thus AE x in stock-measure t'. ?f ρ x = ennreal (eval-cexpr (ʃ c ?expr ∂t) ρ
x)
      using ctype vars edc-snd.hyps nonneg'
      by (intro has-parametrized-subprob-density-cexpr-sem-integral[OF dens']) auto
next
  show nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t'' Γ) (ʃ c ?expr ∂t)
    using nonneg' by (intro nonneg-cexpr-int) simp
qed (insert edc-snd.premis ctype vars, auto simp: measurable-split-conv
      intro!: cet-int measurable-compose[OF - measurable-ennreal]
      measurable-Pair-compose-split[OF measurable-eval-cexpr])

next
  case (edc-neg vs vs' Γ δ e f t)
  from edc-neg.premis(1) have t: Γ ⊢ e : t by (cases t) (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm)
  from edc-neg.premis(1) have t-disj: t = REAL ∨ t = INTEG
    by (cases t) (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm)
  from edc-neg.premis edc-neg.IH[OF t]
    have dens: dens ctxt α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢ d e ⇒ (λx xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr f x
xa)) and
      wf: is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t f by simp-all
    have dens ctxt α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢ d Minus $$ e ⇒ (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f σ
(op-sem Minus x)))
      using dens by (simp only: dens ctxt α-def prod.case, intro hd-neg) simp-all
      also have (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f σ (op-sem Minus x))) =
        (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr (f ∘c -c CVar 0) σ x))
      by (intro ext) (auto simp: eval-cexpr-comp)
    finally have dens ctxt α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢ d Minus $$ e ⇒
      (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr (f ∘c -c CVar 0) σ x)) .
  moreover have is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t (f ∘c -c CVar 0)
  proof (intro is-density-exprI)
    from t-disj have t-minus: case-nat t Γ ⊢c -c CVar 0 : t
      by (intro cet-op[where t = t]) (auto simp: cexpr-type-Some-iff[symmetric])
      thus case-nat t Γ ⊢c f ∘c -c CVar 0 : REAL using is-density-exprD(1)[OF
wf]
        by (intro cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp[of - - - t])
    show free-vars (f ∘c -c CVar 0) ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs') using is-density-exprD(2)[OF
wf]
      by (intro order.trans[OF free-vars-cexpr-comp]) (auto simp: shift-var-set-def)
    show nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t Γ) (f ∘c -c CVar 0)
      using wf[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg] t-disj
      by (intro nonneg-cexpr-comp)
        (auto intro!: cet-var' cet-minus-real cet-minus-int)
  qed

```

```

ultimately show ?case by (rule conjI)

next
  case (edc-addc vs vs' Γ δ e f e' t)
  let ?expr = f ∘c (λcx. x −c map-vars Suc (expr-rf-to-cexpr e'))
  from edc-addc.prems(1)
    have t1: Γ ⊢ e : t and t2: Γ ⊢ e' : t and t3: op-type Add (PRODUCT t t) =
  Some t
    by (elim expr-typing-opE expr-typing-pairE, fastforce split: pdf-type.split-asm)++
  from edc-addc.prems(1) have t-disj: t = REAL ∨ t = INTEG
    by (cases t) (auto split: pdf-type.split-asm)
  hence t3': op-type Minus t = Some t by auto
  from edc-addc.prems edc-addc.IH[OF t1]
    have dens: dens-ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d e ⇒ (λx xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr f x
  xa)) and
      wf: is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t f by simp-all
    hence ctype: case-nat t Γ ⊢c ?expr : REAL using t1 t2 t3 t3' edc-addc.hyps
  edc-addc.prems
      by (intro cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp cet-op[where t = PRODUCT t t] cet-var')
        (auto intro!: cet-pair cexpr-typing-map-vars cet-var' cet-op dest: is-density-exprD
  simp: o-def)
    have vars: free-vars ?expr ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs') using edc-addc.prems edc-addc.hyps
      using free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr is-density-exprD[OF wf]
      by (intro order.trans[OF free-vars-cexpr-comp subset-shift-var-set]) auto

  have cet-e': Γ ⊢ e' : t
    using edc-addc.prems(1)
    apply (cases)
    apply (erule expr-typing.cases)
    apply (auto split: pdf-type.splits)
    done

  have dens-ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d Add $$ <e, e'> ⇒
    (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f σ (op-sem Add <|x, expr-sem-rf σ (Minus
  $$ e')|>)))
    (is ?Y ⊢d - ⇒ ?f) using dens edc-addc.hyps
    by (simp only: dens-ctxt-α-def prod.case, intro hd-addc) simp-all
  also have ?f = (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr ?expr σ x)) using edc-addc.hyps
    by (intro ext) (auto simp: eval-cexpr-comp cexpr-sem-map-vars o-def cexpr-sem-expr-rf-to-cexpr)
  finally have dens-ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d Add $$ <e, e'> ⇒
    (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr ?expr σ x)) .
  moreover have is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t ?expr using ctype vars
  proof (intro is-density-exprI)
    show nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t Γ) ?expr
      using t-disj edc-addc.hyps edc-addc.prems cet-e' free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr[of
  e']
      by (intro nonneg-cexpr-comp[OF wf[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg]])
        (auto intro!: cet-add-int cet-add-real cet-minus-int cet-minus-real cet-var'
  cexpr-typing-map-vars

```

```

simp: o-def)
qed auto
ultimately show ?case by (rule conjI)

next
  case (edc-multc vs vs' Γ δ e f c t)
  let ?expr = (f ∘c (λcx. x ∗c CReal (inverse c))) ∗c CReal (inverse (abs c))
  from edc-multc.prems(1) edc-multc.hyps have t1: Γ ⊢ e : REAL and [simp]: t
  = REAL
    by (elim expr-typing-opE expr-typing-pairE, force split: pdf-type.split-asm)+
    from edc-multc.prems edc-multc.IH[OF t1]
      have dens: dens ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d e ⇒ (λx xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr f x
xa)) and
        wf: is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) REAL f by simp-all
      have ctype': case-nat t Γ ⊢c f ∘c (λcx. x ∗c CReal (inverse c)) : REAL
        using t1 edc-multc.hyps edc-multc.prems is-density-exprD[OF wf]
        by (intro cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp)
          (auto intro!: cet-pair cexpr-typing-map-vars cet-var' cet-val' cet-op-intros)
      hence ctype: case-nat t Γ ⊢c ?expr : REAL
        by (auto intro!: cet-op-intros cet-pair cet-val')
      have vars': free-vars (f ∘c (λcx. x ∗c CReal (inverse c))) ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs')
        using edc-multc.prems edc-multc.hyps free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr is-density-exprD[OF
wf]
        by (intro order.trans[OF free-vars-cexpr-comp subset-shift-var-set]) auto
      hence vars: free-vars ?expr ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs') by simp

      have dens-ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d Mult $$ <e, Val (RealVal c)> ⇒
        (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f σ (op-sem Mult <|x, op-sem Inverse (RealVal
c)|>)) *
          ennreal (inverse (abs (extract-real (RealVal c)))))
        (is ?Y ⊢d - ⇒ ?f) using dens edc-multc.hyps
        by (simp only: dens-ctxt-α-def prod.case, intro hd-multc) simp-all
      hence dens-ctxt-α (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢d Mult $$ <e, Val (RealVal c)> ⇒
        (λσ x. ennreal (eval-cexpr ?expr σ x))
      proof (simp only: dens-ctxt-α-def prod.case, erule-tac hd-cong)
        fix ρ x assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs')) Γ and x: x ∈ space
(stock-measure REAL)
        hence eval-cexpr ?expr ρ x =
          extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat x ρ) (f ∘c CVar 0 ∗c CReal (inverse
c))) * inverse |c|
          (is - = ?a * ?b) unfolding eval-cexpr-def
          by (subst cexpr-sem-Mult[OF ctype' cet-val' - vars'])
            (auto simp: extract-real-def simp del: stock-measure.simps)
        also hence ?a = eval-cexpr f ρ (op-sem Mult <|x, op-sem Inverse (RealVal
c)|>)
          by (auto simp: cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp eval-cexpr-def lift-RealVal-def lift-RealIntVal2-def)
        finally show ennreal (eval-cexpr f ρ (op-sem Mult <|x, op-sem Inverse (RealVal
c)|>)) *
          ennreal (inverse |extract-real (RealVal c)|) = ennreal (eval-cexpr

```

```

?expr  $\varrho$   $x$ )
  by (simp add: extract-real-def ennreal-mult'')
qed (insert edc-multc.prems, auto intro!: density-context- $\alpha$ )
moreover have is-density-expr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )  $t$  ?expr using ctype vars
proof (intro is-density-exprI)
  show nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat  $t$   $\Gamma$ ) ?expr
    using is-density-exprD[OF wf] vars vars'
    by (intro nonneg-cexpr-comp[OF wf[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg]] non-
neg-cexpr-Mult ctype')
      (auto intro!: nonneg-cexprI cet-var' cet-val' cet-op-intros)
  qed auto
  ultimately show ?case by (rule conjI)

next
case (edc-add vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$   $e f t t'$ )
note  $t = \langle \Gamma \vdash e : PRODUCT t t \rangle$ 
note invar = cdens ctxt-invarD[OF edc-add.prems(2)]
from edc-add.prems and  $t$  have op-type Add (PRODUCT t t) = Some  $t'$ 
  by (elim expr-typing-opE) (auto dest: expr-typing-unique)
  hence [simp]:  $t' = t$  and  $t\text{-disj}$ :  $t = INTEG \vee t = REAL$  by (auto split:
pdf-type.split-asm)

have dens: dens ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )  $\vdash_d e \Rightarrow (\lambda x xa. ennreal (eval-cexpr f x xa))$ 
and
  wf: is-density-expr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ) (PRODUCT t t)  $f$ 
  using edc-add by simp-all
note wf' = is-density-exprD[OF wf]
let ?Y = (set vs, set vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\lambda \varrho. ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem \varrho \delta)))$ 
let ?M =  $\lambda \varrho. dens\text{-ctxt-measure } ?Y \varrho \gg (\lambda \sigma. expr-sem \sigma e)$ 
have nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat (PRODUCT t t)  $\Gamma$ )
f
  using wf by (rule is-density-exprD-nonneg)

let ?shift = case-nat 0 ( $\lambda x. x + 2$ )
let ?expr' = map-vars ?shift  $f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. CVar 1 -_c x >_c)$ 
let ?expr =  $\int_c ?expr' \partial t$ 
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge t t' \Gamma. case\text{-nat } t (case\text{-nat } t' \Gamma) \circ case\text{-nat } 0 (\lambda x. Suc (Suc x)) =$ 
  case-nat  $t \Gamma$ 
  by (intro ext) (simp split: nat.split add: o-def)
  have ctype'': case-nat  $t$  (case-nat  $t \Gamma$ )  $\vdash_c \langle CVar 0, CVar 1 -_c CVar 0 \rangle_c :$ 
  PRODUCT t t
    by (rule cet-pair, simp add: cet-var', rule cet-op[where  $t = PRODUCT t t$ ],
rule cet-pair)
      (insert t-disj, auto intro!: cet-var' cet-op[where  $t = t$ ])
  hence ctype': case-nat  $t$  (case-nat  $t \Gamma$ )  $\vdash_c ?expr' : REAL$  using wf'
    by (intro cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp cexpr-typing-map-vars) simp-all
  hence ctype: case-nat  $t \Gamma \vdash_c ?expr : REAL$  by (rule cet-int)
  have vars': free-vars ?expr'  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (shift-var-set (set vs')) using wf'
    by (intro order.trans[OF free-vars-cexpr-comp]) (auto split: nat.split simp:

```

```

shift-var-set-def)
  hence vars: free-vars ?expr ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs') by auto

  let ?M = λρ. dens ctxt-measure ?Y ρ ≈ (λσ. expr-sem σ (Add $$ e))
  let ?f = λρ x y. eval-ceexpr f ρ <|y, op-sem Add <|x, op-sem Minus y|>|>
  have ?Y ⊢d Add $$ e ⇒ (λρ x. ∫+y. ?f ρ x y) ∂stock-measure (val-type x) using
  dens
    by (intro hd-add) (simp add: dens ctxt-α-def)
    hence dens: ?Y ⊢d Add $$ e ⇒ (λρ x. ∫+y. eval-ceexpr ?expr' (case-nat x ρ) y) ∂stock-measure t)
      by (rule hd-cong) (insert edc-add.prems, auto intro!: density-context-α nn-integral-cong
        simp: eval-ceexpr-def cexpr-sem-ceexpr-comp
        cexpr-sem-map-vars)
      hence dens': has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (set vs') Γ) ?M
        (stock-measure t)
        (λρ x. ∫+y. eval-ceexpr ?expr' (case-nat x ρ) y ∂stock-measure t)
        using edc-add.prems by (intro expr-has-density-sound-aux density-context-α)
        simp-all

  show ?case
  proof (intro conjI is-density-ceexprI, simp only: dens ctxt-α-def prod.case, rule
  hd-AE[OF dens])
    fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure (set vs') Γ)
    let ?dens = λx. ∫+y. eval-ceexpr ?expr' (case-nat x ρ) y ∂stock-measure t
    show AE x in stock-measure t. ?dens x = ennreal (eval-ceexpr ?expr ρ x)
    proof (rule AE-mp[OF - AE-I2[OF impI]])
      from has-parametrized-subprob-density-integral[OF dens' ρ] and
      has-parametrized-subprob-densityD(3)[OF dens'] and ρ
      show AE x in stock-measure t. ?dens x ≠ ∞ by (intro nn-integral-PInf-AE)
    auto
  next
    fix x assume x: x ∈ space (stock-measure t) and fin: ?dens x ≠ ∞
    thus ?dens x = ennreal (eval-ceexpr ?expr ρ x)
      using ρ vars' ctype' ctype'' nonneg unfolding eval-ceexpr-def
      by (subst cexpr-sem-integral-nonneg) (auto intro!: nonneg-ceexpr-comp non-
      neg-ceexpr-map-vars simp: less-top)
    qed
  next
    show nonneg-ceexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t' Γ) ?expr
      using ctype'' nonneg
      by (intro nonneg-ceexpr-int nonneg-ceexpr-comp[of - PRODUCT t t] non-
      neg-ceexpr-map-vars)
      auto
    qed (insert vars ctype edc-add.prems, auto)

  next
  case (edc-inv vs vs' Γ δ e f t)
  hence t: Γ ⊢ e : t and [simp]: t = REAL
    by (elim expr-typing-opE, force split: pdf-type.split-asm)+
```

```

note invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF edc-inv.prems(2)]
let ?expr = (f  $\circ_c$  ( $\lambda_c x$ . inversec x))  $*_c$  ( $\lambda_c x$ . (inversec x)  $\wedge_c$  CInt 2)

have dens: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )  $\vdash_d$  e  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\lambda_\varrho x$ . ennreal (eval-cepr f  $\varrho$  x))

and
    wf: is-density-exp (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ) REAL f using edc-inv t by simp-all
note wf' = is-density-expD[OF wf]
from wf' have ctype: case-nat REAL  $\Gamma \vdash_c ?expr : REAL$ 
    by (auto intro!: cet-op-intros cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp cet-var' cet-val')
from wf' have vars': free-vars (f  $\circ_c$  ( $\lambda_c x$ . inversec x))  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs')
    by (intro order.trans[OF free-vars-cexpr-comp]) auto
hence vars: free-vars ?expr  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs') using free-vars-cexpr-comp
by simp

show ?case
proof (intro conjI is-density-expI, simp only: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$ -def prod.case, rule hd-cong[OF hd-inv])
    fix  $\varrho x$  assume  $\varrho \in space$  (state-measure (set vs')  $\Gamma$ )
        and  $x: x \in space$  (stock-measure REAL)
    from x obtain x' where [simp]: x = RealVal x' by (auto simp: val-type-eq-REAL)
        from  $\varrho$  and wf' have val-type (cexpr-sem (case-nat (RealVal (inverse x')  $\varrho$ ) f) = REAL
            by (intro val-type-cexpr-sem[OF - - case-nat-in-state-measure ])
                (auto simp: type-universe-def simp del: type-universe-type)
            thus ennreal (eval-cepr  $\varrho$  (op-sem Inverse x)) * ennreal ((inverse (extract-real x))2) =
                ennreal (eval-cepr  $\varrho$  x)
            by (auto simp: eval-cepr-def lift-RealVal-def lift-RealIntVal2-def ennreal-mult'' extract-real-def cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp elim!: REAL-E)
    next
        have nonneg-cepr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat REAL  $\Gamma$ ) (inversec (CVar 0)  $\wedge_c$  CInt 2)
            by (auto intro!: nonneg-ceprI simp: space-state-measure-shift-iff val-type-eq-REAL lift-RealVal-eq)
        then show nonneg-cepr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ ) ?expr
            using wf'
            by (intro nonneg-cepr-Mult nonneg-cepr-comp vars'
                (auto intro!: cet-op-intros cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp cet-var' cet-val')
            qed (insert edc-inv.prem ctype vars dens,
                auto intro!: density-context- $\alpha$  simp: dens-ctxt- $\alpha$ -def)

next
case (edc-exp vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  e f t)
hence t:  $\Gamma \vdash e : t$  and [simp]: t = REAL
    by (elim expr-typing-opE, force split: pdf-type.split-asm)+
note invar = cdens-ctxt-invarD[OF edc-exp.prems(2)]
let ?expr = ( $\lambda_c x$ . IFc CReal 0 <_c x THEN (f  $\circ_c$  lnc x)  $*_c$  inversec x ELSE CReal 0)

```

```

have dens: dens ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )  $\vdash_d$  e  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\lambda \varrho x. ennreal (eval-cexpr f \varrho x)$ )
and
  wf: is-density-expr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ) REAL f using edc-exp t by simp-all
  note wf' = is-density-exprD[OF wf]
  from wf' have ctype: case-nat REAL  $\Gamma \vdash_c ?expr : REAL$ 
    by (auto intro!: cet-if cet-op-intros cet-var' cet-val' cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp)
  from wf' have free-vars (f  $\circ_c (\lambda_c x. ln_c x)$ )  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs')
    by (intro order.trans[OF free-vars-cexpr-comp]) auto
  hence vars: free-vars ?expr  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs') using free-vars-cexpr-comp
by simp

show ?case
proof (intro conjI is-density-exprI, simp only: dens ctxt- $\alpha$ -def prod.case, rule
hd-cong[OF hd-exp])
fix  $\varrho x$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state-measure (set vs') \Gamma)$ 
  and  $x: x \in space (stock-measure REAL)$ 
from x obtain x' where [simp]:  $x = RealVal x'$  by (auto simp: val-type-eq-REAL)
from  $\varrho$  and wf' have val-type (cexpr-sem (case-nat (RealVal (ln x'))  $\varrho$ ) f) =
REAL
  by (intro val-type-cexpr-sem[OF - - case-nat-in-state-measure ])
    (auto simp: type-universe-def simp del: type-universe-type)
  thus (if  $0 < extract-real x$  then ennreal (eval-cexpr f  $\varrho$  (lift-RealVal safe-ln x)))
*
  ennreal (inverse (extract-real x)) else 0) = ennreal (eval-cexpr ?expr  $\varrho$ 
x)
  by (auto simp: eval-cexpr-def lift-RealVal-def lift-RealIntVal2-def lift-Comp-def
ennreal-mult"
    extract-real-def cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp elim!: REAL-E)
next
show nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ ) ?expr
proof (rule nonneg-cexprI-shift)
fix x  $\sigma$  assume x  $\in$  type-universe t and  $\sigma: \sigma \in space (state-measure (set vs')$ 
 $\Gamma)$ 
then obtain r where x = RealVal r
  by (auto simp: val-type-eq-REAL)
moreover note  $\sigma$  nonneg-cexprD[OF is-density-exprD-nonneg[OF wf], of
case-nat (RealVal (ln r))  $\sigma$ ]
moreover have val-type (cexpr-sem (case-nat (RealVal (ln r))  $\sigma$ ) f) = REAL
  using  $\sigma$  by (intro val-type-cexpr-sem[OF wf'(1,2)] case-nat-in-state-measure)
auto
ultimately show  $0 \leq extract-real$ 
  (cexpr-sem (case-nat x  $\sigma$ )
    (IFc CReal  $0 <_c CVar 0$  THEN (f  $\circ_c ln_c (CVar 0)$ ) /c CVar 0
ELSE CReal 0))
  by (auto simp: lift-Comp-def lift-RealVal-eq cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp val-type-eq-REAL
case-nat-in-state-measure lift-RealIntVal2-def)
qed
qed (insert edc-exp.premis ctype vars dens,
      auto intro!: density-context- $\alpha$  simp: dens ctxt- $\alpha$ -def)

```

qed

**lemma** *expr-has-density-cexpr-sound*:

**assumes**  $([], [], \Gamma, CReal 1) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \Gamma \vdash e : t$  *free-vars*  $e = \{\}$

**shows** *has-subprob-density (expr-sem σ e) (stock-measure t)*  $(\lambda x. ennreal (\text{eval-cexpr } f \sigma x))$

$\forall x \in \text{type-universe } t. 0 \leq \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) f)$

$\Gamma' 0 = t \implies \Gamma' \vdash_c f : REAL$

*free-vars*  $f \subseteq \{0\}$

**proof-**

**have** *dens ctxt α ( [], [], Γ, CReal 1 )*  $\vdash_d e \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. ennreal (\text{eval-cexpr } f \varrho x)) \wedge$   
*is-density-expr ( [], [], Γ, CReal 1 ) t f* **using** *assms*

**by** (*intro expr-has-density-cexpr-sound-aux assms cdens ctxt-invarI nonneg-cexprI subprob-cexprI*)  
(*auto simp: state-measure-def PiM-empty cexpr-type-Some-iff[symmetric] extract-real-def*)

**hence** *dens: dens ctxt α ( [], [], Γ, CReal 1 )*  $\vdash_d e \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. ennreal (\text{eval-cexpr } f \varrho x))$

**and** *wf: is-density-expr ( [], [], Γ, CReal 1 ) t f* **using** *assms* **by** *blast+*

**have** *has-subprob-density (expr-sem σ e) (stock-measure t)*  
 $(\lambda x. ennreal (\text{eval-cexpr } f (\lambda \cdot. \text{undefined}) x))$  **is** *?P* **using** *dens assms*

**by** (*intro expr-has-density-sound*) (*auto simp: dens ctxt α-def extract-real-def one-ennreal-def*)

**also have**  $\lambda x. \text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x (\lambda \cdot. \text{undefined})) f = \text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) f$

**using** *is-density-exprD[OF wf]*

**by** (*intro cexpr-sem-eq-on-vars*) (*auto split: nat.split simp: shift-var-set-def*)

**hence** *?P*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *has-subprob-density (expr-sem σ e) (stock-measure t)*  
 $(\lambda x. ennreal (\text{eval-cexpr } f \sigma x))$

**by** (*intro has-subprob-density-cong*) (*simp add: eval-cexpr-def*)

**finally show ... .**

**from** *is-density-exprD[OF wf]* **show** *vars: free-vars f ⊆ {0}* **by** (*auto simp: shift-var-set-def*)

**show**  $\forall x \in \text{type-universe } t. 0 \leq \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) f)$

**proof**

**fix**  $v$  **assume**  $v : v \in \text{type-universe } t$

**then have**  $0 \leq \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } v (\lambda \cdot. \text{undefined})) f)$

**by** (*intro nonneg-cexprD[OF wf[THEN is-density-exprD-nonneg]] case-nat-in-state-measure*)  
(*auto simp: space-state-measure*)

**also have** *cexpr-sem (case-nat v (λ ·. undefined)) f = cexpr-sem (case-nat v σ)*

$f$  **using** *free-vars f ⊆ {0}* **by** (*intro cexpr-sem-eq-on-vars*) *auto*

**finally show**  $0 \leq \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } v \sigma) f)$ .

**qed**

```

assume  $\Gamma' \theta = t$ 
thus  $\Gamma' \vdash_c f : REAL$ 
  by (intro cexpr-typing-cong'[OF is-density-exprD(1)[OF wf]])
    (insert vars, auto split: nat.split)
qed

inductive expr-compiles-to :: expr  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  bool (- : -  $\Rightarrow_c$  - [10,0,10]
10)
for e t f where
  ( $\lambda\text{-}.$  UNIT)  $\vdash e : t \implies$  free-vars e = {}  $\implies$ 
  ([][],  $\lambda\text{-}.$  UNIT, CReal 1)  $\vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies$ 
  e : t  $\Rightarrow_c f$ 

code-pred expr-compiles-to .

lemma expr-compiles-to-sound:
assumes e : t  $\Rightarrow_c f$ 
shows expr-sem  $\sigma$  e = density (stock-measure t) ( $\lambda x.$  ennreal (eval-cexpr f  $\sigma'$ 
x))
   $\forall x \in$  type-universe t. eval-cexpr f  $\sigma'$  x  $\geq 0$ 
   $\Gamma \vdash e : t$ 
  t  $\cdot \Gamma' \vdash_c f : REAL$ 
  free-vars f  $\subseteq \{0\}$ 
proof-
  let ?T =  $\lambda\text{-}.$  UNIT
  from assms have A: ([][], ?T, CReal 1)  $\vdash_c e \Rightarrow f$  ?T  $\vdash e : t$  free-vars e = {}
    by (simp-all add: expr-compiles-to.simps)
  hence expr-sem  $\sigma$  e = expr-sem  $\sigma'$  e by (intro expr-sem-eq-on-vars) simp
  with expr-has-density-cexpr-sound[OF A]
    show expr-sem  $\sigma$  e = density (stock-measure t) ( $\lambda x.$  ennreal (eval-cexpr f  $\sigma'$ 
x))
       $\forall x \in$  type-universe t. eval-cexpr f  $\sigma'$  x  $\geq 0$ 
      t  $\cdot \Gamma' \vdash_c f : REAL$ 
      free-vars f  $\subseteq \{0\}$  unfolding has-subprob-density-def has-density-def
      eval-cexpr-def
      by (auto intro!: nonneg-cexprD case-nat-in-state-measure)
  from assms have ( $\lambda\text{-}.$  UNIT)  $\vdash e : t$  by (simp add: expr-compiles-to.simps)
  from this and assms show  $\Gamma \vdash e : t$ 
    by (subst expr-typing-cong) (auto simp: expr-compiles-to.simps)
qed

```

## 11 Tests

```

values {(t, f) | t f. Val (IntVal 42) : t  $\Rightarrow_c f$ }
values {(t, f) | t f. Minus $$ (Val (IntVal 42)) : t \Rightarrow_c f\}
values {(t, f) | t f. Fst $$ (Val <| IntVal 13, IntVal 37|>) : t \Rightarrow_c f\}
values {(t, f) | t f. Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.5)) : t \Rightarrow_c f\}
values {(t, f) | t f. Add $$ <Val (IntVal 37), Minus $$ (Val (IntVal 13))> : t \Rightarrow_c f\}

```

```

values {(t, f) |t f. LET Val (IntVal 13) IN LET Minus $$ (Val (IntVal 37)) IN
          Add $$ <Var 0, Var 1> : t ⇒c f}
values {(t, f) |t f. IF Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.5)) THEN
          Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.25))
          ELSE
          Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.75)) : t ⇒c f}
values {(t, f) |t f. LET Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.5)) IN
          IF Var 0 THEN
          Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.25))
          ELSE
          Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.75)) : t ⇒c f}
values {(t, f) |t f. LET Random Gaussian <Val (RealVal 0), Val (RealVal 1)>
IN
          LET Random Gaussian <Val (RealVal 0), Val (RealVal 1)> IN
          Add $$ <Var 0, Var 1> : t ⇒c f}
values {(t, f) |t f. LET Random UniformInt <Val (IntVal 1), Val (IntVal 6)>
IN
          LET Random UniformInt <Val (IntVal 1), Val (IntVal 6)> IN
          Add $$ <Var 0, Var 1> : t ⇒c f}

values {(t, f) |t f. LET Random UniformReal <Val (RealVal 0), Val (RealVal
1)> IN
          LET Random Bernoulli (Var 0) IN
          IF Var 0 THEN Add $$ <Var 1, Val (RealVal 1)> ELSE Var
1 : t ⇒c f}

```

```

definition cthulhu skill ≡
LET Random UniformInt (Val <|IntVal 1, IntVal 100|>)
IN IF Less $$ <Val (IntVal skill), Var 0> THEN
    Val (IntVal skill)
ELSE IF Or $$ <Less $$ <Var 0, Val (IntVal 6)>,
        Less $$ <Mult $$ <Var 0, Val (IntVal 5)>,
        Add $$ <Val (IntVal skill), Val (IntVal 1)> >> THEN
        Add $$ <IF Less $$ <Val (IntVal skill),
                    Random UniformInt <Val (IntVal 1), Val (IntVal 100)>>
        THEN
                    Random UniformInt <Val (IntVal 1), Val (IntVal 10)>
        ELSE

```

```

    Val (IntVal 0),
    Val (IntVal skill)>
ELSE Val (IntVal skill)

definition cthulhu' (skill :: int) =
LET Random UniformInt (Val <|IntVal 1, IntVal 100|>)
IN IF Less $$ <Val (IntVal skill), Var 0> THEN
    Val (IntVal skill)
ELSE IF Or $$ <Less $$ <Var 0, Val (IntVal 6)>,
        Less $$ <Mult $$ <Var 0, Val (IntVal 5)>,
        Add $$ <Val (IntVal skill), Val (IntVal 1)>>> THEN
    LET Random UniformInt (Val <|IntVal 1, IntVal 100|>)
    IN Add $$ <IF Less $$ <Val (IntVal skill), Var 1 > THEN
        Random UniformInt (Val <|IntVal 1, IntVal 10|>)
    ELSE
        Val (IntVal 0),
        Val (IntVal skill)>
    ELSE Val (IntVal skill)

values {(t, f) | t f. cthulhu' 42 : t  $\Rightarrow_c$  f}

end

```

## References

- [1] S. Bhat, J. Borgström, A. D. Gordon, and C. Russo. Deriving probability density functions from probabilistic functional programs. In *Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems*, volume 7795 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 508–522. Springer, 2013.
- [2] M. Eberl. A verified compiler for probability density functions. Master’s thesis, Institut für Informatik, TU München, 2014. <http://home.in.tum.de/~eberlm/pdfcompiler.pdf>.