

# Countable Sums and Discrete (Sub)Distributions

Gergely Buday      Andrei Popescu

June 4, 2024

## Abstract

We provide elementary formalizations of countable sums over positive real numbers, and of discrete probabilistic subdistributions and distributions. This is intended as a lightweight alternative to the corresponding concepts from the Isabelle distribution, which are defined using their continuous counterparts (namely Lebesgue integral and general probability distributions) and therefore have significant dependencies.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Infinite Sums of Positive Reals</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	1
1.2	Positivity, boundedness and infinite summation . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Discrete Subdistributions and Distributions</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1	Definitions and Basic Properties . . . . .	11
2.2	Monadic structure . . . . .	13
2.3	Expectation . . . . .	20

## 1 Infinite Sums of Positive Reals

This is a theory of infinite sums of positive reals defined as limits of finite sums. The goal is to make reasoning about these infinite sums almost as easy as that about finite sums.

```
theory Infinite-Sums-of-Positive-Reals
imports Complex-Main HOL-Library.Countable-Set
begin
```

### 1.1 Preliminaries

**lemma** *real-pm-iff*:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge a b c. (a::real) + b \leq c &\longleftrightarrow a \leq c - b \\ \bigwedge a b c. (a::real) + b \leq c &\longleftrightarrow b \leq c - a \end{aligned}$$

$\bigwedge a b c. (a::real) \leq b - c \longleftrightarrow c \leq b - a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *real-md-iff*:

$\bigwedge a b c. a \geq 0 \implies b > 0 \implies c \geq 0 \implies (a::real) * b \leq c \longleftrightarrow a \leq c / b$   
 $\bigwedge a b c. a > 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies c \geq 0 \implies (a::real) * b \leq c \longleftrightarrow b \leq c / a$   
 $\bigwedge a b c. a > 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies c > 0 \implies (a::real) \leq b / c \longleftrightarrow c \leq b / a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *disjoint-finite-aux*:

$\forall i \in I. \forall j \in I. i \neq j \implies A_i \cap A_j = \{\} \implies B \subseteq \bigcup (A \setminus I) \implies \text{finite } B \implies$   
 $\text{finite } \{i \in I. B \cap A_i \neq \{\}\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *incl-UNION-aux*:  $B \subseteq \bigcup (A \setminus I) \implies B = \bigcup ((\lambda i. (B \cap A_i)) \setminus \{i \in I. B \cap A_i \neq \{\}\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *incl-UNION-aux2*:  $B \subseteq \bigcup (A \setminus I) \longleftrightarrow B = \bigcup ((\lambda i. (B \cap A_i)) \setminus I)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-singl[simp]*:  $\text{sum } f \{a\} = f a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-two[simp]*:  $a1 \neq a2 \implies \text{sum } f \{a1, a2\} = f a1 + f a2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-three[simp]*:  $a1 \neq a2 \implies a1 \neq a3 \implies a2 \neq a3 \implies$   
 $\text{sum } f \{a1, a2, a3\} = f a1 + f a2 + f a3$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sup-leq*:

$A \neq \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (a::real) \leq b \implies \text{bdd-above } B \implies \text{Sup } A \leq \text{Sup } B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sup-image-leq*:

$A \neq \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (f a::real) \leq g b \implies \text{bdd-above } (g \setminus B) \implies$   
 $\text{Sup } (f \setminus A) \leq \text{Sup } (g \setminus B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sup-cong*:

**assumes**  $A \neq \{\} \vee B \neq \{\} \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (a::real) \leq b \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. (b::real) \leq a$   
 $bdd-above A \vee bdd-above B$   
**shows**  $\text{Sup } A = \text{Sup } B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sup-image-cong*:

$A \neq \{\} \vee B \neq \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (f a :: real) \leq g b \implies \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. (g b :: real) \leq f a \implies$   
 $bdd\text{-above } (f ` A) \vee bdd\text{-above } (g ` B) \implies$   
 $\text{Sup } (f ` A) = \text{Sup } (g ` B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sup-congL*:

$A \neq \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (a :: real) \leq b \implies \forall b \in B. b \leq \text{Sup } A \implies \text{Sup } A = \text{Sup } B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sup-image-congL*:

$A \neq \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (f a :: real) \leq g b \implies \forall b \in B. g b \leq \text{Sup } (f ` A) \implies$   
 $\text{Sup } (f ` A) = \text{Sup } (g ` B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sup-congR*:

$B \neq \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. a \leq \text{Sup } B \implies \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. (b :: real) \leq a \implies \text{Sup } A = \text{Sup } B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sup-image-congR*:

$B \neq \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. f a \leq \text{Sup } (g ` B) \implies \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. (g b :: real) \leq f a \implies$   
 $\text{Sup } (f ` A) = \text{Sup } (g ` B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sup-eq-0-iff*:

**assumes**  $A \neq \{\}$  *bdd-above A* ( $\forall a \in A. (a :: real) \geq 0$ )  
**shows**  $\text{Sup } A = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. a = 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *plus-Sup-commute*:

**assumes**  $f1: \{f1 b1 | b1. \varphi1 b1\} \neq \{\}$  *bdd-above*  $\{f1 b1 | b1. \varphi1 b1\}$  **and**  
 $f2: \{f2 b2 | b2. \varphi2 b2\} \neq \{\}$  *bdd-above*  $\{f2 b2 | b2. \varphi2 b2\}$

**shows**

$\text{Sup } \{(f1 b1 :: real) | b1 . \varphi1 b1\} + \text{Sup } \{f2 b2 | b2 . \varphi2 b2\} =$   
 $\text{Sup } \{f1 b1 + f2 b2 | b1 b2. \varphi1 b1 \wedge \varphi2 b2\}$  (**is**  $?L1 + ?L2 = ?R$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *plus-Sup-commute'*:

**assumes**  $f1: A1 \neq \{\}$  *bdd-above A1* **and**  
 $f2: A2 \neq \{\}$  *bdd-above A2*  
**shows**  $\text{Sup } A1 + \text{Sup } A2 = \text{Sup } \{(a1 :: real) + a2 | a1 a2. a1 \in A1 \wedge a2 \in A2\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *plus-SupR*:  $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{bdd-above } A \implies \text{Sup } A + (b::real) = \text{Sup } \{a + b \mid a. a \in A\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *plus-SupL*:  $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{bdd-above } A \implies (b::real) + \text{Sup } A = \text{Sup } \{b + a \mid a. a \in A\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mult-Sup-commute*:  
**assumes**  $f1: \{f1 b1 \mid b1. \varphi1 b1\} \neq \{\} \text{ bdd-above } \{f1 b1 \mid b1. \varphi1 b1\} \forall b1. \varphi1 b1 \longrightarrow f1 b1 \geq 0$  **and**  
 $f2: \{f2 b2 \mid b2. \varphi2 b2\} \neq \{\} \text{ bdd-above } \{f2 b2 \mid b2. \varphi2 b2\} \forall b2. \varphi2 b2 \longrightarrow f2 b2 \geq 0$   
**shows**  
 $\text{Sup } \{(f1 b1::real) \mid b1. \varphi1 b1\} * \text{Sup } \{f2 b2 \mid b2. \varphi2 b2\} =$   
 $\text{Sup } \{f1 b1 * f2 b2 \mid b1 b2. \varphi1 b1 \wedge \varphi2 b2\}$  (**is**  $?L1 * ?L2 = ?R$ )  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mult-Sup-commute'*:  
**assumes**  $A1 \neq \{\} \text{ bdd-above } A1 \forall a1 \in A1. a1 \geq 0$  **and**  
 $A2 \neq \{\} \text{ bdd-above } A2 \forall a2 \in A2. a2 \geq 0$   
**shows**  $\text{Sup } A1 * \text{Sup } A2 = \text{Sup } \{(a1::real) * a2 \mid a1 a2. a1 \in A1 \wedge a2 \in A2\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mult-SupR*:  $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{bdd-above } A \implies \forall a \in A. a \geq 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies$   
 $\text{Sup } A * (b::real) = \text{Sup } \{a * b \mid a. a \in A\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mult-SupL*:  $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{bdd-above } A \implies \forall a \in A. a \geq 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies$   
 $(b::real) * \text{Sup } A = \text{Sup } \{b * a \mid a. a \in A\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sum-mono3*:  
 $\text{finite } B \implies A \subseteq B \implies (\bigwedge b. b \in B - A \implies 0 \leq g b) \implies (\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies (f a::real) \leq g a) \implies$   
 $\text{sum } f A \leq \text{sum } g B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sum-Sup-commute*:  
**fixes**  $h :: 'a \Rightarrow real$   
**assumes**  $\text{finite } J \text{ and } \forall i \in J. \{h b \mid b. \varphi i b\} \neq \{\} \wedge \text{bdd-above } \{h b \mid b. \varphi i b\}$   
**shows**  $\text{sum } (\lambda i. \text{Sup } \{h b \mid b. \varphi i b\}) J =$   
 $\text{Sup } \{\text{sum } (\lambda i. h(b i)) J \mid b. \forall i \in J. \varphi i (b i)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.2 Positivity, boundedness and infinite summation

**definition**  $positive :: ('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $positive f A \equiv \forall a \in A. f a \geq 0$

**definition**  $sbounded :: ('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $sbounded f A \equiv \exists r. \forall B. B \subseteq A \wedge finite B \longrightarrow sum f B \leq r$

**definition**  $isum :: ('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $isum f A \equiv Sup (sum f ' \{B \mid B . B \subseteq A \wedge finite B\})$

**lemma**  $positive\text{-mono}: positive p A \implies B \subseteq A \implies positive p B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $positive\text{-eq}:$   
**assumes**  $positive f A$  **and**  $\forall a \in A. f1 a = f a$   
**shows**  $positive f1 A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $sbounded\text{-eq}:$   
**assumes**  $sbounded f A$  **and**  $\forall a \in A. f1 a = f a$   
**shows**  $sbounded f1 A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $finite\text{-imp}\text{-sbounded}: positive f A \implies finite A \implies sbounded f A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $sbounded\text{-empty}[simp,intro!]: sbounded f \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $sbounded\text{-insert}[simp]: sbounded f (insert a A) \longleftrightarrow sbounded f A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $sbounded\text{-Un}[simp]: sbounded f (A1 \cup A2) \longleftrightarrow sbounded f A1 \wedge sbounded f A2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $sbounded\text{-UNION}:$   
**assumes**  $finite I$  **shows**  $sbounded f (\bigcup_{i \in I} A i) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in I. sbounded f (A i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $sbounded\text{-mono}: A \subseteq B \implies sbounded f B \implies sbounded f A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma sbounded-reindex: sbounded (f o u) A ==> sbounded f (u ` A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sbounded-reindex-inj-on: inj-on u A ==> sbounded f (u ` A) ←→ sbounded
  (f o u) A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sbounded-swap:
  sbounded (λ(a,b). f a b) (A × B) ←→ sbounded (λ(b,a). f a b) (B × A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sbounded-constant-0:
  assumes ∀ a∈A. f a = (0::real)
  shows sbounded f A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sbounded-setminus:
  assumes sbounded f A and ∀ b∈B-A. f b = 0
  shows sbounded f B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-eq-sum:
  positive f A ==> finite A ==> isum f A = sum f A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-cong:
  assumes A = B and ∀x. x ∈ B ==> g x = h x
  shows isum g A = isum h B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-mono:
  assumes sbounded h A and ∀x. x ∈ A ==> g x ≤ h x
  shows isum g A ≤ isum h A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-mono':
  assumes sbounded g B and A ⊆ B
  shows isum g A ≤ isum g B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-empty[simp]: isum g {} = 0

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-const-zero*[simp]: *isum* ( $\lambda x. 0$ )  $A = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-const-zero'*:  $\forall x \in A. g x = 0 \implies \text{isum } g A = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-eq-0-iff*: *positive*  $f A \implies \text{sbounded } f A \implies \text{isum } f A = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. f a = 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-reindex*: *inj-on*  $h A \implies \text{isum } g (h ` A) = \text{isum } (g \circ h) A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-reindex-cong*: *inj-on*  $l B \implies A = l ` B \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in B \implies g (l x) = h x) \implies \text{isum } g A = \text{isum } h B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-reindex-cong'*:  
 $(\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \neq y \implies h x = h y \implies g (h x) = 0) \implies \text{isum } g (h ` A) = \text{isum } (g \circ h) A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-zeros-cong*:  
assumes *sbounded*  $g (S \cap T) \vee \text{sbounded } h (S \cap T)$   
and  $(\bigwedge i. i \in T - S \implies h i = 0)$  and  $(\bigwedge i. i \in S - T \implies g i = 0)$   
and  $(\bigwedge x. x \in S \cap T \implies g x = h x)$   
shows *isum*  $g S = \text{isum } h T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-zeros-congL*:  
*sbounded*  $g S \implies S \subseteq T \implies \forall i \in T - S. g i = 0 \implies \text{isum } g S = \text{isum } g T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-zeros-congR*:  
*sbounded*  $g S \implies S \subseteq T \implies \forall i \in T - S. g i = 0 \implies \text{isum } g T = \text{isum } g S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-singl*[simp]:  $f a \geq (0::real) \implies \text{isum } f \{a\} = f a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-two*[simp]:  $a1 \neq a2 \implies f a1 \geq (0::real) \implies f a2 \geq 0 \implies \text{isum } f \{a1, a2\} = f a1 + f a2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-three*[simp]:  $a1 \neq a2 \implies a1 \neq a3 \implies a2 \neq a3 \implies f a1 \geq 0 \implies f a2 \geq (0::real) \implies f a3 \geq 0 \implies \text{isum } f \{a1, a2, a3\} = f a1 + f a2 + f a3$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-ge-0*:  $\text{positive } f A \implies \text{sbounded } f A \implies \text{isum } f A \geq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-le-isum*:  $\text{positive } f A \implies \text{sbounded } f A \implies a \in A \implies f a \leq \text{isum } f A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-eq-singl*:  
**assumes**  $fx: f a = x$  **and**  $f: \forall a'. a' \neq a \longrightarrow f a' = 0$  **and**  $x: x \geq 0$  **and**  $a: a \in A$   
**shows**  $\text{isum } f A = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-le-singl*:  
**assumes**  $fx: f a \leq x$  **and**  $f: \forall a'. a' \neq a \longrightarrow f a' = 0$  **and**  $x: f a \geq 0$  **and**  $a: a \in A$   
**shows**  $\text{isum } f A \leq x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-insert*[simp]:  $a \notin A \implies \text{sbounded } f A \implies f a \geq 0 \implies \text{isum } f (\text{insert } a A) = \text{isum } f A + f a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-UNION*:  
**assumes**  $dsj: \forall i \in I. \forall j \in I. i \neq j \longrightarrow A_i \cap A_j = \{\}$  **and**  $sb: \text{sbounded } g (\bigcup (A ` I))$   
**shows**  $\text{isum } g (\bigcup (A ` I)) = \text{isum } (\lambda i. \text{isum } g (A_i)) I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-Un*[simp]:  
**assumes**  $\text{positive } f A1 \text{ sbounded } f A1 \text{ positive } f A2 \text{ sbounded } f A2 \text{ } A1 \cap A2 = \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{isum } f (A1 \cup A2) = \text{isum } f A1 + \text{isum } f A2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isum-Sigma*:  
**assumes**  $sbd: \text{sbounded } (\lambda(a,b). f a b) (\Sigma A Bs)$

**shows**  $\text{isum}(\lambda(a,b). f a b) (\Sigma A B s) = \text{isum}(\lambda a. \text{isum}(f a) (B s a)) A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{isum-Times}$ :

**assumes**  $s\text{bounded}(\lambda(a,b). f a b) (A \times B)$   
**shows**  $\text{isum}(\lambda(a,b). f a b) (A \times B) = \text{isum}(\lambda a. \text{isum}(f a) B) A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{isum-swap}$ :

**assumes**  $s\text{bounded}(\lambda(a,b). f a b) (A \times B)$   
**shows**  $\text{isum}(\lambda a. \text{isum}(f a) B) A = \text{isum}(\lambda b. \text{isum}(\lambda a. f a b) A) B$  (**is**  $?L = ?R$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{isum-plus}$ :

**assumes**  $f1: \text{positive } f1 A$   $s\text{bounded } f1 A$   
**and**  $f2: \text{positive } f2 A$   $s\text{bounded } f2 A$   
**shows**  $\text{isum}(\lambda a. f1 a + f2 a) A = \text{isum } f1 A + \text{isum } f2 A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $s\text{bounded-product}$ :

**assumes**  $f: \text{positive } f A$   $s\text{bounded } f A$  **and**  $g: \text{positive } g B$   $s\text{bounded } g B$   
**shows**  $s\text{bounded}(\lambda(a,b). f a * g b) (A \times B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $s\text{bounded-multL}$ :  $x \geq 0 \implies s\text{bounded } f A \implies s\text{bounded}(\lambda a. x * f a) A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $s\text{bounded-multL-strict}$ [simp]:

**assumes**  $x: x > 0$   
**shows**  $s\text{bounded}(\lambda a. x * f a) A \longleftrightarrow s\text{bounded } f A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $s\text{bounded-multR}$ :  $x \geq 0 \implies s\text{bounded } f A \implies s\text{bounded}(\lambda a. f a * x) A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $s\text{bounded-multR-strict}$ [simp]:

**assumes**  $x: x > 0$   
**shows**  $s\text{bounded}(\lambda a. f a * x) A \longleftrightarrow s\text{bounded } f A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{positive-sbounded-multL}$ :

**assumes**  $f: \text{positive } f A$   $s\text{bounded } f A$  **and**  $g: \forall a \in A. g a \leq x$

```

shows sbounded ( $\lambda a. f a * g a$ ) A
⟨proof⟩

lemma positive-sbounded-multR:
assumes f: positive f A sbounded f A and g:  $\forall a \in A. g a \leq x$ 
shows sbounded ( $\lambda a. g a * f a$ ) A
⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-product-Times:
assumes f: positive f A sbounded f A and g: positive g B sbounded g B
shows isum f A * isum g B = isum ( $\lambda(a,b). f a * g b$ ) (A × B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-product:
assumes f: positive f A sbounded f A and g: positive g B sbounded g B
shows isum f A * isum g B = isum ( $\lambda a. \text{isum} (\lambda b. f a * g b) B$ ) A
⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-distribR:
assumes f: positive f (A::'a set) sbounded f A and r:  $r \geq 0$ 
shows isum f A * r = isum ( $\lambda a. f a * r$ ) A
⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-distribL:
assumes f: positive f (A::'a set) sbounded f A and r:  $r \geq 0$ 
shows r * isum f A = isum ( $\lambda a. r * f a$ ) A
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 2 Discrete Subdistributions and Distributions

This theory defines countably discrete probability (sub)distributions and their monadic operators, namely:

- Kleisli extension, "ext"
- functorial action, the lifting operator "lift"
- monad unit, the indicator function "ind"
- monad counit, the flattening operators "flat" for subdistributions and "dflat" for distributions

Basic facts about them are proved, including the monadic laws.

In all operators except the monad counit (flattening/averaging), the operators for distributions are restrictions of those for subdistributions. For flattening, as explained later we must use two distinct operators "flat" and "dflat".

We also define the expectation operator, "expd", which is the Lebesgue integral for the discrete case.

```
theory Discrete-Subdistributions-and-Distributions
  imports Infinite-Sums-of-Positive-Real
  begin
```

## 2.1 Definitions and Basic Properties

```
definition Subdis :: ' $a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \text{ set}$ ' where
  Subdis A  $\equiv \{p. \text{ positive } p \text{ A} \wedge \text{sbounded } p \text{ A} \wedge \text{isum } p \text{ A} \leq 1\}$ 
```

```
definition Dis :: ' $a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \text{ set}$ ' where
  Dis A  $\equiv \{p. p \in \text{Subdis A} \wedge \text{isum } p \text{ A} \geq 1\}$ 
```

```
lemma Dis-incl-Subdis: Dis A  $\subseteq$  Subdis A  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Subdis-mono:  $p \in \text{Subdis A} \implies B \subseteq A \implies p \in \text{Subdis B}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Subdis-Dis2: Subdis (Subdis A)  $\subseteq$  Subdis (Dis A)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Subdis-ge-0:  $p \in \text{Subdis A} \implies a \in A \implies p a \geq 0$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Subdis-le-1:  $p \in \text{Subdis A} \implies a \in A \implies p a \leq 1$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Subdis-eq:
  assumes  $p \in \text{Subdis A}$  and  $\forall a \in A. p1 a = p a$ 
  shows  $p1 \in \text{Subdis A}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Dis-Subdis-mono:  $p \in \text{Dis A} \implies B \subseteq A \implies p \in \text{Subdis B}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Dis-zeros-mono:  $p \in \text{Dis A} \implies B \subseteq A \implies \forall a \in A - B. p a = 0 \implies p \in \text{Dis B}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Dis-ge-0:  $p \in \text{Dis A} \implies a \in A \implies p a \geq 0$ 
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Dis-le-1*:  $p \in Dis A \implies a \in A \implies p a \leq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Dis-isum-1*:  $p \in Dis A \implies isum p A = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Dis-sum-1*:  $p \in Dis A \implies finite A \implies sum p A = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Dis-eq*:  
assumes  $p \in Dis A$  and  $\forall a \in A. p1 a = p a$   
shows  $p1 \in Dis A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Subdis-le-1-eq-1*:  $p \in Subdis A \implies 1 \leq isum p A \implies isum p A = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Subdis-sum-le-1*:  $p \in Subdis A \implies finite A \implies sum p A \leq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Subdis-sum-ge-0*:  $p \in Subdis A \implies finite A \implies sum p A \geq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Subdis-sum-ge-0-sub*:  $p \in Subdis A \implies B \subseteq A \implies finite B \implies sum p B \geq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Subdis-sum-le-1-sub*:  $p \in Subdis A \implies B \subseteq A \implies finite B \implies sum p B \leq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Subdis-sboundedL*:  
assumes  $p \in Subdis A \quad \forall a \in A. g a \leq x$   
shows  $sbounded (\lambda a. p a * g a) A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Subdis-sboundedR*:  
assumes  $p \in Subdis A \quad \forall a \in A. g a \leq x$   
shows  $sbounded (\lambda a. g a * p a) A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Subdis-isum-leL*:  
assumes  $p: p \in Subdis A$  and  $g: positive g A \quad \forall a \in A. g a \leq x$  and  $x: x \geq 0$   
shows  $isum (\lambda a. p a * g a) A \leq x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Subdis-isum-leR*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$  **and**  $g: positive g A \forall a \in A. g a \leq x$  **and**  $x: x \geq 0$   
**shows**  $isum (\lambda a. g a * p a) A \leq x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Subdis-sum-le-Max*:  
**assumes**  $finite A p \in Subdis A$   $positive g A A \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $(\sum a \in A. p a * g a) \leq Max (g ` A)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Subdis-sum-le*:  
**assumes**  $finite A p \in Subdis A$   $positive g A A \neq \{\} \forall a \in A. g a \leq x$   
**shows**  $(\sum a \in A. p a * g a) \leq x$   
*(proof)*

## 2.2 Monadic structure

**definition** *ind* ::  $'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow real)$  **where**  
 $ind a \equiv \lambda a'. if a' = a then 1 else 0$

**lemma** *ind-simps[simp]*:  $\bigwedge a. ind a a = 1$   
 $\bigwedge a a'. a' \neq a \implies ind a' a = 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ind-eq-0-iff[simp]*:  $ind a a' = 0 \longleftrightarrow a \neq a'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ind-eq-1-iff[simp]*:  $ind a a' = 1 \longleftrightarrow a = a'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ind-ge-0*:  $ind a a' \geq 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ind-le-1*:  $ind a a' \leq 1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *positive-ind[simp]*:  $positive (ind a) A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sbounded-ind[simp]*:  $sbounded (ind a) A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sum-ind[simp]*:  $\bigwedge a B. finite B \implies a \in B \implies sum (ind a) B = 1$   
 $\bigwedge a B. finite B \implies a \notin B \implies sum (ind a) B = 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isum-ind[simp]*:  $\bigwedge a A. a \in A \implies isum (ind a) A = 1$   
 $\bigwedge a A. a \notin A \implies isum (ind a) A = 0$   
*(proof)*

```

lemma ind-Subdis[simp, intro!]: ind a ∈ Subdis A
⟨proof⟩

lemma Dis-ind[simp, intro!]: a ∈ A ⇒ ind a ∈ Dis A
⟨proof⟩

lemma ind-mult-SubdisL:
assumes p: p ∈ Subdis A
shows (λa. p a * ind (f a) a') ∈ Subdis A
⟨proof⟩

lemma ind-mult-SubdisR:
assumes p: p ∈ Subdis A
shows (λa. ind (f a) a' * p a) ∈ Subdis A
⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-ind-multL: a' ∈ A ⇒ f a' ≥ 0 ⇒ isum (λa. f a * ind a' a) A = f
a'
⟨proof⟩

lemma isum-ind-multR: a' ∈ A ⇒ f a' ≥ 0 ⇒ isum (λa. ind a' a * f a) A = f
a'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition ext :: 'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ ('b ⇒ real)) ⇒ (('a ⇒ real) ⇒ ('b ⇒ real))
where
ext A f ≡ λp b. isum (λa. p a * f a b) A

```

```

lemma ext-ge-0:
assumes f: ∀a∈A. f a ∈ Subdis B and p: p ∈ Subdis A and b: b ∈ B
shows ext A f p b ≥ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma Subdis-sum-isum-le-1:
assumes B: finite B and f: ∀a∈A. f a ∈ Subdis B and p: p ∈ Subdis A
shows (∑ b∈B. isum (λa. p a * f a b) A) ≤ 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma sbounded-prod-Subdis:
assumes f: ∀a∈A. f a ∈ Subdis B and p: p ∈ Subdis A
shows sbounded (λ(a, b). p b * f b a) (B × A)
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *ext-eq*:  $\forall a \in A. p1 a = p2 a \implies \forall a \in A. \forall b \in B. f1 a b = f2 a b \implies b \in B \implies \text{ext } A f1 p1 b = \text{ext } A f2 p2 b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ext-Subdis*:  
**assumes**  $f: \forall a \in A. f a \in \text{Subdis } B$  **and**  $p: p \in \text{Subdis } A$   
**shows**  $\text{ext } A f p \in \text{Subdis } B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ext-Dis*:  
**assumes**  $f: \forall a \in A. f a \in \text{Dis } B$  **and**  $p: p \in \text{Dis } A$   
**shows**  $\text{ext } A f p \in \text{Dis } B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ext-ind*:  $p \in \text{Subdis } A \implies a \in A \implies \text{ext } A \text{ ind } p a = p a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ext-o*:  
**assumes**  $f: \forall a \in A. f a \in B$  **and**  $gg: \forall b \in B. gg b \in \text{Subdis } C$  **and**  $p: p \in \text{Subdis } A$  **and**  $c: c \in C$   
**shows**  $\text{ext } A (gg o f) p c = \text{ext } B gg (\text{ext } A (\text{ind } o f) p) c$   
*(proof)*

**definition** *lift* :: '*a* set  $\Rightarrow$  ('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  ('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  ('*b*  $\Rightarrow$  real) **where**  
*lift*  $A f p \equiv \lambda b. \text{isum } (\lambda a. p a) \{a. a \in A \wedge f a = b\}$

**lemma** *lift-ext*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in \text{Subdis } A$   
**shows**  $\text{lift } A f p = \text{ext } A (\text{ind } o f) p$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lift-eq*:  
**assumes**  $f: \forall a \in A. f1 a = f2 a$  **and**  $p: \forall a \in A. p1 a = p2 a$  **and**  $b: b \in B$   
**shows**  $\text{lift } A f1 p1 b = \text{lift } A f2 p2 b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lift-Subdis*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in \text{Subdis } A$

**shows**  $\text{lift } A \ f \ p \in \text{Subdis } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lift-Dis}$ :

**assumes**  $f: \forall a \in A. f \ a \in B$  **and**  $p: p \in \text{Dis } A$   
**shows**  $\text{lift } A \ f \ p \in \text{Dis } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lift-id[simp]}$ :

**assumes**  $p: p \in \text{Subdis } A$  **and**  $a \in A$   
**shows**  $\text{lift } A \ \text{id } p \ a = p \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lift-o[simp]}$ :

**assumes**  $f: \forall a \in A. f \ a \in B$  **and**  $g: \forall b \in B. g \ b \in C$  **and**  $p: p \in \text{Subdis } A$  **and**  $c: c \in C$   
**shows**  $\text{lift } A \ (g \ o \ f) \ p \ c = \text{lift } B \ g \ (\text{lift } A \ f \ p) \ c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lift-ind}$ :

**assumes**  $a: a \in A$   
**shows**  $\text{lift } A \ f \ (\text{ind } a) = \text{ind } (f \ a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{isum-lift}$ :

**assumes**  $f: \forall a \in A. f \ a \in B$  **and**  $p: p \in \text{Subdis } A$   
**shows**  $\text{isum } (\text{lift } A \ f \ p) \ B = \text{isum } p \ A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lift-reflects-Dis}$ :

**assumes**  $f: \forall a \in A. f \ a \in B$  **and**  $p: p \in \text{Subdis } A$   
**shows**  $\text{lift } A \ f \ p \in \text{Dis } B \longleftrightarrow p \in \text{Dis } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{flatP} :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real})$  **where**

$$\text{flatP } Da \text{ pp} \equiv \lambda a. \text{ isum } (\lambda p. \text{ pp } p * p a) \text{ Da}$$

**lemma** flatP-ext: flatP Da = ext Da id  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** flatP-eq:  $\forall p \in Da. \text{ pp1 } p = \text{ pp2 } p \implies a \in A \implies \text{ flatP } Da \text{ pp1 } a = \text{ flatP } Da \text{ pp2 } a$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** flatP-Subdis:  $Da \subseteq \text{ Subdis } A \implies pp \in \text{ Subdis } Da \implies \text{ flatP } Da \text{ pp} \in \text{ Subdis } A$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** flatP-Da:  $\forall pp \in \text{ Dis } Da. \text{ ext } Da \text{ id } pp \in Da \implies pp \in \text{ Dis } Da \implies \text{ flatP } Da \text{ pp} \in Da$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** flatP-lift-ind:  
**assumes** Da:  $Da \subseteq \text{ Subdis } A$  ind ‘  $A \subseteq Da$   
**and** p:  $p \in \text{ Subdis } A$  **and** a:  $a \in A$   
**shows** flatP Da (lift A ind p) a = p a  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** flatP-ind:  
**assumes** Da:  $Da \subseteq \text{ Subdis } A$   
**and** p ∈ Da **and** a ∈ A  
**shows** flatP Da (ind p) a = p a  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** flatP-lift:  
**assumes** Da:  $Da \subseteq \text{ Subdis } A$   
**and** Db:  $Db \subseteq \text{ Subdis } B$   
**and** Dab:  $\forall p \in Da. \text{ lift } A f p \in Db$   
**assumes** f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \in B$  **and** pp:  $pp \in \text{ Subdis } Da$  **and** b:  $b \in B$   
**shows** flatP Db (lift Da (lift A f) pp) b = lift A f (flatP Da pp) b  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** flatP-flatP-lift:

```

assumes Da:  $Da \subseteq Subdis A$ 
and fDa:  $\forall pp \in Daa. flatP Da pp \in Da$ 
and Daa:  $Daa \subseteq Subdis Da$ 
assumes ppp:  $ppp \in Subdis Daa$  and a:  $a \in A$ 
shows flatP Da (flatP Daa ppp) a = flatP Da (lift Daa (flatP Da) ppp) a
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition flat :: ' $'a$  set  $\Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow real)$  where
flat A pp  $\equiv \lambda a. isum (\lambda p. pp p * p a) (Subdis A)$ 

```

```

lemma flat-flatP: flat A = flatP (Subdis A)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma flat-ext: flat A = ext (Subdis A) id
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma flat-eq:  $\forall p \in Subdis A. pp1 p = pp2 p \implies a \in A \implies flat A pp1 a = flat A pp2 a$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma flat-Subdis: pp  $\in Subdis (Subdis A) \implies flat A pp \in Subdis A$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma flat-lift-ind:
assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$  and a:  $a \in A$ 
shows flat A (lift A ind p) a = p a
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma flat-ind:
assumes p  $\in Subdis A$  and a  $\in A$ 
shows flat A (ind p) a = p a
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma flat-lift:
assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \in B$  and pp:  $pp \in Subdis (Subdis A)$  and b:  $b \in B$ 
shows flat B (lift (Subdis A) (lift A f) pp) b = lift A f (flat A pp) b
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *flat-flat-lift*:  
**assumes**  $ppp: ppp \in Subdis(Subdis(Subdis A))$  **and**  $a: a \in A$   
**shows**  $flat A (flat (Subdis A) ppp) a = flat A (lift (Subdis (Subdis A)) (flat A) ppp) a$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**definition**  $dflat :: 'a set \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow real)$  **where**  
 $dflat A pp \equiv \lambda a. isum (\lambda p. pp * p a) (Dis A)$

**lemma** *dflat-flatP*:  $dflat A = flatP (Dis A)$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *dflat-ext*:  $dflat A = ext (Dis A) id$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *dflat-eq*:  $\forall p \in Dis A. pp1 p = pp2 p \implies a \in A \implies dflat A pp1 a = dflat A pp2 a$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *dflat-Subdis*:  $pp \in Subdis(Dis A) \implies dflat A pp \in Subdis A$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *dflat-Dis*:  $pp \in Dis(Dis A) \implies dflat A pp \in Dis A$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *dflat-lift-ind*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Dis A$  **and**  $a: a \in A$   
**shows**  $dflat A (lift A ind p) a = p a$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *dflat-ind*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Dis A$  **and**  $a: a \in A$   
**shows**  $dflat A (ind p) a = p a$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *dflat-lift-Subdis*:  
**assumes**  $f: \forall a \in A. f a \in B$  **and**  $pp: pp \in Subdis(Dis A)$  **and**  $b: b \in B$   
**shows**  $dflat B (lift (Dis A) (lift A f) pp) b = lift A f (dflat A pp) b$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**corollary** *dflat-lift*:

**assumes**  $f: \forall a \in A. f a \in B$  **and**  $pp: pp \in Dis(Dis A)$  **and**  $b: b \in B$   
**shows**  $dflat B (lift(Dis A) (lift A f) pp) b = lift A f (dflat A pp) b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dflat-dflat-lift-Subdis*:

**assumes**  $ppp: ppp \in Subdis(Dis(Dis A))$  **and**  $a: a \in A$   
**shows**  $dflat A (dflat(Dis A) ppp) a = dflat A (lift(Dis(Dis A)) (dflat A) ppp) a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *dflat-dflat-lift*:

**assumes**  $ppp: ppp \in Dis(Dis(Dis A))$  **and**  $a: a \in A$   
**shows**  $dflat A (dflat(Dis A) ppp) a = dflat A (lift(Dis(Dis A)) (dflat A) ppp) a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dflat-from-flat*:

**assumes**  $pp: pp \in Subdis(Dis A)$  **and**  $a: a \in A$   
**shows**  $dflat A pp a = flat A (\lambda p. if p \in Dis A then pp p else 0) a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dflat-flat*:

**assumes**  $pp: pp \in Subdis(Dis A)$  **and**  $a: a \in A$  **and**  $\forall p \in Subdis A - Dis A. pp p = 0$   
**shows**  $dflat A pp a = flat A pp a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dflat-flat'*:

**assumes**  $pp: pp \in Dis(Dis A)$  **and**  $a: a \in A$  **and**  $\forall p \in Subdis A - Dis A. pp p = 0$   
**shows**  $dflat A pp a = flat A pp a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 2.3 Expectation

**definition**  $expd :: 'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow real$  **where**  
 $expd A p X \equiv isum(\lambda a. p a * X a) A$

**lemma** *ext-expd*:  $ext A f p b = expd A p (\lambda a. f a b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-ge-0'*:  
**assumes**  $p \in Subdis A$  **and**  $f: positive f A$  **and**  $sbounded (\lambda a. p a * f a) A$   
**shows**  $expd A p f \geq 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *expd-ge-0*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$  **and**  $f: positive f A \forall a \in A. f a \leq x$   
**shows**  $expd A p f \geq 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *expd-le-upper*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$  **and**  $f: positive f A \forall a \in A. f a \leq x$  **and**  $x: x \geq 0$   
**shows**  $expd A p f \leq x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *expd-ge-lower-Subdis*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$  **and**  $f: \forall a \in A. f a \geq x$  **and**  $x: x \geq 0$   
**and**  $pf: sbounded (\lambda a. p a * f a) A$   
**shows**  $expd A p f \geq x * isum p A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *expd-ge-lower-Dis'*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Dis A$  **and**  $f: \forall a \in A. f a \geq x$  **and**  $x: x \geq 0$   
**and**  $pf: sbounded (\lambda a. p a * f a) A$   
**shows**  $expd A p f \geq x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *expd-ge-lower-Dis*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Dis A$  **and**  $f: \forall a \in A. f a \geq x \forall a \in A. f a \leq y$   
**and**  $xy: x \geq 0 y \geq 0$   
**shows**  $expd A p f \geq x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *expd-ge01*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$  **and**  $f: \forall a \in A. f a \geq 0 \forall a \in A. f a \leq 1$   
**shows**  $expd A p f \geq 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *expd-le01*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$  **and**  $f: \forall a \in A. f a \geq 0 \forall a \in A. f a \leq 1$   
**shows**  $expd A p f \leq 1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *expd-const-Subdis[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$  **and**  $c \geq 0$   
**shows**  $expd A p (\lambda a. c) = c * isum p A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-const-le*:

**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$  **and**  $c \geq 0$   
**shows**  $expd A p (\lambda a. c) \leq c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-const-Dis[simp]*:

**assumes**  $p: p \in Dis A$  **and**  $c \geq 0$   
**shows**  $expd A p (\lambda a. c) = c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-eq-ct-iff[simp]*:

**assumes**  $p \in Subdis A$   $c > 0$   
**shows**  $expd A p (\lambda a. c) = c \longleftrightarrow p \in Dis A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-0[simp]*:  $expd A p (\lambda a. 0) = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-1-le-1*:  $p \in Subdis A \implies expd A p (\lambda a. 1) \leq 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-1-eq-1[simp]*:  $p \in Dis A \implies expd A p (\lambda a. 1) = 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-plus'*:

**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$   
**and**  $f1: positive f1 A sbounded (\lambda a. p a * f1 a) A$   
**and**  $f2: positive f2 A sbounded (\lambda a. p a * f2 a) A$   
**shows**  $expd A p (\lambda a. f1 a + f2 a) = expd A p f1 + expd A p f2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-plus*:

**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$   
**and**  $f1: positive f1 A bdd-above (f1'A)$   
**and**  $f2: positive f2 A bdd-above (f2'A)$   
**shows**  $expd A p (\lambda a. f1 a + f2 a) = expd A p f1 + expd A p f2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-mult'*:

**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$   
**and**  $f: positive f A sbounded (\lambda a. p a * f a) A$  **and**  $c: c \geq 0$   
**shows**  $expd A p (\lambda a. c * f a) = c * expd A p f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expd-mult*:

**assumes**  $p: p \in Subdis A$   
**and**  $f: positive f A bdd-above (f'A)$  **and**  $c: c \geq 0$

**shows**  $\text{expd } A \ p \ (\lambda a. \ c * f a) = c * \text{expd } A \ p \ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**