

Countable Sums and Discrete (Sub)Distributions

Gergely Buday Andrei Popescu

June 4, 2024

Abstract

We provide elementary formalizations of countable sums over positive real numbers, and of discrete probabilistic subdistributions and distributions. This is intended as a lightweight alternative to the corresponding concepts from the Isabelle distribution, which are defined using their continuous counterparts (namely Lebesgue integral and general probability distributions) and therefore have significant dependencies.

Contents

1	Infinite Sums of Positive Reals	1
1.1	Preliminaries	1
1.2	Positivity, boundedness and infinite summation	7
2	Discrete Subdistributions and Distributions	18
2.1	Definitions and Basic Properties	19
2.2	Monadic structure	21
2.3	Expectation	36

1 Infinite Sums of Positive Reals

This is a theory of infinite sums of positive reals defined as limits of finite sums. The goal is to make reasoning about these infinite sums almost as easy as that about finite sums.

```
theory Infinite-Sums-of-Positive-Reals
imports Complex-Main HOL-Library.Countable-Set
begin
```

1.1 Preliminaries

lemma *real-pm-iff*:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge a b c. (a::real) + b \leq c &\longleftrightarrow a \leq c - b \\ \bigwedge a b c. (a::real) + b \leq c &\longleftrightarrow b \leq c - a \end{aligned}$$

$\bigwedge a b c. (a::real) \leq b - c \longleftrightarrow c \leq b - a$
by auto

lemma *real-md-iff*:

$\bigwedge a b c. a \geq 0 \implies b > 0 \implies c \geq 0 \implies (a::real) * b \leq c \longleftrightarrow a \leq c / b$
 $\bigwedge a b c. a > 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies c \geq 0 \implies (a::real) * b \leq c \longleftrightarrow b \leq c / a$
 $\bigwedge a b c. a > 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies c > 0 \implies (a::real) \leq b / c \longleftrightarrow c \leq b / a$
by (auto simp: field-simps)

lemma *disjoint-finite-aux*:

$\forall i \in I. \forall j \in I. i \neq j \implies A i \cap A j = \{\} \implies B \subseteq \bigcup (A ` I) \implies \text{finite } B \implies \text{finite } \{i \in I. B \cap A i \neq \{\}\}$
apply(rule inj-on-finite[of λi. SOME b. b ∈ B ∩ A i - B])
subgoal unfolding inj-on-def by auto (metis (no-types, lifting) IntI empty-Iff someI)
subgoal by auto (metis (no-types, lifting) someI)
subgoal by auto .

lemma *incl-UNION-aux*: $B \subseteq \bigcup (A ` I) \implies B = \bigcup ((\lambda i. (B \cap A i)) ` \{i \in I. B \cap A i \neq \{\}\})$
by fastforce

lemma *incl-UNION-aux2*: $B \subseteq \bigcup (A ` I) \longleftrightarrow B = \bigcup ((\lambda i. (B \cap A i)) ` I)$
by fastforce

lemma *sum-singl[simp]*: $\text{sum } f \{a\} = f a$
by simp

lemma *sum-two[simp]*: $a1 \neq a2 \implies \text{sum } f \{a1, a2\} = f a1 + f a2$
by simp

lemma *sum-three[simp]*: $a1 \neq a2 \implies a1 \neq a3 \implies a2 \neq a3 \implies \text{sum } f \{a1, a2, a3\} = f a1 + f a2 + f a3$
by (simp add: add.assoc)

lemma *Sup-leq*:

$A \neq \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (a::real) \leq b \implies \text{bdd-above } B \implies \text{Sup } A \leq \text{Sup } B$
by (simp add: cSup-mono)

lemma *Sup-image-leq*:

$A \neq \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (f a::real) \leq g b \implies \text{bdd-above } (g ` B) \implies \text{Sup } (f ` A) \leq \text{Sup } (g ` B)$
by (rule Sup-leq) auto

lemma *Sup-cong*:

assumes $A \neq \{\} \vee B \neq \{\}$ $\forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (a::real) \leq b \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. (b::real)$

```

 $\leq a$ 
bdd-above A  $\vee$  bdd-above B
shows Sup A = Sup B
proof-
have A  $\neq \{\}$   $\wedge$  B  $\neq \{\}$  bdd-above A  $\wedge$  bdd-above B
using assms unfolding bdd-above-def using order.trans by blast+
thus ?thesis using assms by (smt Sup-leq)
qed

lemma Sup-image-cong:
A  $\neq \{\}$   $\vee$  B  $\neq \{\}$   $\implies \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (f a::real) \leq g b \implies \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. (g b::real) \leq f a \implies$ 
bdd-above (f ` A)  $\vee$  bdd-above (g ` B)  $\implies$ 
Sup (f ` A) = Sup (g ` B)
by (rule Sup-cong) auto

lemma Sup-congL:
A  $\neq \{\}$   $\implies \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (a::real) \leq b \implies \forall b \in B. b \leq \text{Sup } A \implies \text{Sup } A = \text{Sup } B$ 
by (metis Collect-empty-eq Collect-mem-eq Sup-leq bdd-aboveI cSup-least dual-order.antisym)

lemma Sup-image-congL:
A  $\neq \{\}$   $\implies \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (f a::real) \leq g b \implies \forall b \in B. g b \leq \text{Sup } (f ` A) \implies$ 
Sup (f ` A) = Sup (g ` B)
by (rule Sup-congL) auto

lemma Sup-congR:
B  $\neq \{\}$   $\implies \forall a \in A. a \leq \text{Sup } B \implies \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. (b::real) \leq a \implies \text{Sup } A = \text{Sup } B$ 
by (metis Collect-empty-eq Collect-mem-eq Sup-leq bdd-aboveI cSup-least dual-order.antisym)

lemma Sup-image-congR:
B  $\neq \{\}$   $\implies \forall a \in A. f a \leq \text{Sup } (g ` B) \implies \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. (g b::real) \leq f a \implies$ 
Sup (f ` A) = Sup (g ` B)
by (rule Sup-congR) auto

lemma Sup-eq-0-iff:
assumes A  $\neq \{\}$  bdd-above A ( $\forall a \in A. (a::real) \geq 0$ )
shows Sup A = 0  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. a = 0)$ 
using assms by (metis all-not-in-conv cSup-eq-maximum cSup-upper leD less-eq-real-def)

lemma plus-Sup-commute:
assumes f1: {f1 b1 | b1.  $\varphi_1 b_1\} \neq \{\}$  bdd-above {f1 b1 | b1.  $\varphi_1 b_1\}$  and
f2: {f2 b2 | b2.  $\varphi_2 b_2\} \neq \{\}$  bdd-above {f2 b2 | b2.  $\varphi_2 b_2\}$ 
shows
Sup {(f1 b1::real) | b1 .  $\varphi_1 b_1\} + \text{Sup } \{f2 b2 | b2 . \varphi_2 b_2\} =$ 
Sup {f1 b1 + f2 b2 | b1 b2.  $\varphi_1 b_1 \wedge \varphi_2 b_2\} \text{ (is ?L1 + ?L2 = ?R)}$ 
```

```

proof –
have f:
   $\{f1 b1 + f2 b2 \mid b1 b2. \varphi1 b1 \wedge \varphi2 b2\} \neq \{\}$ 
   $bdd\text{-above } \{f1 b1 + f2 b2 \mid b1 b2. \varphi1 b1 \wedge \varphi2 b2\}$ 
  subgoal using f1 f2 by auto
  subgoal using f1(2) f2(2) unfolding bdd-above-def apply safe
  subgoal for M1 M2
    apply(rule exI[of - M1 + M2]) using add-mono by blast . .
  show ?thesis proof(rule order.antisym)
    show ?L1 + ?L2  $\leq$  ?R unfolding real-pm-iff(1) cSup-le-iff[OF f1] apply safe
    apply(subst real-pm-iff(3)) unfolding cSup-le-iff[OF f2] apply safe
    unfolding real-pm-iff(2)[symmetric] apply(rule cSup-upper[OF - f(2)]) by auto
  next
    show ?R  $\leq$  ?L1 + ?L2 unfolding cSup-le-iff[OF f] apply safe
    subgoal for - b1 b2
      using cSup-upper[OF - f1(2), of f1 b1] cSup-upper[OF - f2(2), of f2 b2]
      by fastforce .
  qed
qed

lemma plus-Sup-commute':
assumes f1: A1  $\neq \{\}$  bdd-above A1 and
  f2: A2  $\neq \{\}$  bdd-above A2
shows Sup A1 + Sup A2 = Sup {(a1::real) + a2 | a1  $\in$  A1  $\wedge$  a2  $\in$  A2}
using plus-Sup-commute[of id  $\lambda a1. a1 \in A1$  id  $\lambda a2. a2 \in A2$ ] assms
by auto

lemma plus-SupR: A  $\neq \{\}$   $\implies$  bdd-above A  $\implies$  Sup A + (b::real) = Sup {a + b | a. a  $\in$  A}
  apply(subst cSup-singleton[of b, symmetric])
  apply(subst plus-Sup-commute') by auto

lemma plus-SupL: A  $\neq \{\}$   $\implies$  bdd-above A  $\implies$  (b::real) + Sup A = Sup {b + a | a. a  $\in$  A}
  apply(subst cSup-singleton[of b, symmetric])
  apply(subst plus-Sup-commute') by auto

lemma mult-Sup-commute:
assumes f1: {f1 b1 | b1.  $\varphi1 b1\}$   $\neq \{\}$  bdd-above {f1 b1 | b1.  $\varphi1 b1\}$   $\forall b1. \varphi1 b1 \longrightarrow f1 b1 \geq 0$  and
  f2: {f2 b2 | b2.  $\varphi2 b2\}$   $\neq \{\}$  bdd-above {f2 b2 | b2.  $\varphi2 b2\}$   $\forall b2. \varphi2 b2 \longrightarrow f2 b2 \geq 0$ 
shows
  Sup {(f1 b1::real) * b1 .  $\varphi1 b1\} * Sup \{f2 b2 | b2 . \varphi2 b2\} =$ 
```

```

 $\text{Sup } \{f1 b1 * f2 b2 \mid b1 b2. \varphi1 b1 \wedge \varphi2 b2\} (\text{is } ?L1 * ?L2 = ?R)$ 
proof-
  have  $f$ :
     $\{f1 b1 * f2 b2 \mid b1 b2. \varphi1 b1 \wedge \varphi2 b2\} \neq \{\}$ 
     $bdd\text{-above } \{f1 b1 * f2 b2 \mid b1 b2. \varphi1 b1 \wedge \varphi2 b2\}$ 
     $\forall b1 b2. \varphi1 b1 \wedge \varphi2 b2 \longrightarrow f1 b1 * f2 b2 \geq 0$ 
    subgoal using  $f1 f2$  by auto
    subgoal using  $f1(2) f2(2)$  unfolding  $bdd\text{-above-def}$  apply safe
    subgoal for  $M1 M2$ 
      apply (rule exI[of - ]  $M1 * M2$ ) using mult-mono  $f1(3) f2(3)$  by fastforce
    .
    subgoal using  $f1(3) f2(3)$  by auto .
  show  $?thesis$ 
  proof (rule order.antisym)
    show  $?L1 * ?L2 \leq ?R$ 
    proof (cases  $?L1 = 0 \vee ?L2 = 0$ )
      case True thus  $?thesis$  using  $f$ 
        by (smt Collect-empty-eq cSup-upper mem-Collect-eq mult-not-zero)
  next
    case False
    have  $gez: ?L1 > 0 ?L2 > 0 ?R \geq 0$ 
      apply (smt Collect-empty-eq False cSup-upper f1 mem-Collect-eq)
      apply (smt Collect-empty-eq False cSup-upper f2 mem-Collect-eq)
      by (smt Collect-empty-eq cSup-upper f(1) f(2) f(3) mem-Collect-eq)
    hence  $ggez: ?L1 \geq 0 ?L2 \geq 0$  by linarith+
    show  $?thesis$ 
      unfolding real-md-iff(1)[OF ggez(1) gez(2) gez(3)]
      unfolding cSup-le-iff[OF f1(1,2)] apply safe
      subgoal for  $- b1$ 
        apply (cases  $f1 b1 = 0$ )
        subgoal using divide-nonneg-pos gez(2) gez(3) by auto
        subgoal apply (subst real-md-iff(3))
          subgoal using  $f1(3)$  by auto
          subgoal using  $gez(3)$  by blast
          subgoal using  $gez(2)$  by blast
          subgoal unfolding cSup-le-iff[OF f2(1,2)] apply safe
            apply (subst real-md-iff(2)[symmetric])
            using  $f1(3) f2(3) gez(3)$  by (auto intro: cSup-upper[OF - f(2)]) . .
      qed
  next
    show  $?R \leq ?L1 * ?L2$  unfolding cSup-le-iff[OF f(1,2)] apply safe subgoal
  for  $- b1 b2$ 
    using cSup-upper[OF - f1(2), of f1 b1] cSup-upper[OF - f2(2), of f2 b2]
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) f1(3) f2(3) mem-Collect-eq mult-mono') .
  qed
  qed

lemma mult-Sup-commute':
  assumes  $A1 \neq \{\}$  bdd-above  $A1 \forall a1 \in A1. a1 \geq 0$  and

```

$A2 \neq \{\} \text{ bdd-above } A2 \forall a2 \in A2. a2 \geq 0$
shows $\text{Sup } A1 * \text{Sup } A2 = \text{Sup } \{(a1:\text{real}) * a2 \mid a1 a2. a1 \in A1 \wedge a2 \in A2\}$

using $\text{mult-Sup-commute}[\text{of id } \lambda a1. a1 \in A1 \text{ id } \lambda a2. a2 \in A2]$ **assms**
by *auto*

lemma $\text{mult-SupR}: A \neq \{\} \implies \text{bdd-above } A \implies \forall a \in A. a \geq 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies$
 $\text{Sup } A * (b:\text{real}) = \text{Sup } \{a * b \mid a. a \in A\}$
apply(*subst cSup-singleton[of b, symmetric]*)
apply(*subst mult-Sup-commute'*) **by** *auto*

lemma $\text{mult-SupL}: A \neq \{\} \implies \text{bdd-above } A \implies \forall a \in A. a \geq 0 \implies b \geq 0 \implies$
 $(b:\text{real}) * \text{Sup } A = \text{Sup } \{b * a \mid a. a \in A\}$
apply(*subst cSup-singleton[of b, symmetric]*)
apply(*subst mult-Sup-commute'*) **by** *auto*

lemma $\text{sum-mono3}:$
 $\text{finite } B \implies A \subseteq B \implies (\bigwedge b. b \in B - A \implies 0 \leq g b) \implies (\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies (f a:\text{real}) \leq g a) \implies$
 $\text{sum } f A \leq \text{sum } g B$
by (*smt (verit, best) sum-mono sum-mono2*)

lemma $\text{sum-Sup-commute}:$
fixes $h :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $\text{finite } J \text{ and } \forall i \in J. \{h b \mid b. \varphi i b\} \neq \{\} \wedge \text{bdd-above } \{h b \mid b. \varphi i b\}$
shows $\text{sum } (\lambda i. \text{Sup } \{h b \mid b. \varphi i b\}) J =$
 $\text{Sup } \{\text{sum } (\lambda i. h (b i)) J \mid b. \forall i \in J. \varphi i (b i)\}$
using *assms proof(induction J)*
case (*insert j J*)

{**assume** $\forall i \in J. \text{Ex } (\varphi i) \wedge \text{bdd-above } \{h b \mid b. \varphi i b\}$
and $0: \{\sum i \in J. h (b2 i) \mid b2. \forall i \in J. \varphi i (b2 i)\} = \{\}$
hence $\forall i \in J. \exists b. \varphi i b$ **by** *auto*
hence $\exists b2. \forall i \in J. \varphi i (b2 i)$
by (*intro exI[of - λi. SOME b. φ i b]*) (*simp add: some-eq-ex*)
hence False using 0 by blast } **note** 1 = *this*

{**assume** $\forall i \in J. \text{Ex } (\varphi i) \wedge (\exists M. \forall x \in \{h b \mid b. \varphi i b\}. x \leq M)$
then obtain Ms **where** $0: \forall i \in J. \forall b. \varphi i b \longrightarrow h b \leq Ms i$
by *simp metis*
have $\exists M. \forall x \in \{\sum i \in J. h (b2 i) \mid b2. \forall i \in J. \varphi i (b2 i)\}. x \leq M$
apply(*rule exI[of - sum Ms J]*) **using** *insert 0*
by (*auto simp: sum-mono*)
} **note** 2 = *this*

show ?case **apply**(*subst sum.insert*)
subgoal **by** *fact*

```

subgoal by fact
subgoal apply(subst sum.insert)
  subgoal by fact
  subgoal by fact
  subgoal apply(subst insert.IH)
    subgoal using insert by auto
    subgoal using insert apply(subst plus-Sup-commute)
      subgoal by auto
      subgoal by auto
      subgoal using 1 by auto
      subgoal unfolding bdd-above-def using 2 by auto
      subgoal apply(rule arg-cong[of - - Sup]) apply(rule Collect-eqI) apply
safe
  subgoal for - b1 b2 apply(rule exI[of - λj'. if j'=j then b1 else b2 j'])
    by (smt (verit, best) insertE sum.cong)
  subgoal by auto . . .
qed auto

```

1.2 Positivity, boundedness and infinite summation

```

definition positive :: ('a ⇒ real) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool where
  positive f A ≡ ∀ a∈A. f a ≥ 0

```

```

definition sbounded :: ('a ⇒ real) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool where
  sbounded f A ≡ ∃ r. ∀ B. B ⊆ A ∧ finite B → sum f B ≤ r

```

```

definition isum :: ('a ⇒ real) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ real where
  isum f A ≡ Sup (sum f ` {B | B . B ⊆ A ∧ finite B})

```

```

lemma positive-mono: positive p A ⇒ B ⊆ A ⇒ positive p B
  unfolding positive-def by auto

```

```

lemma positive-eq:
  assumes positive f A and ∀ a∈A. f1 a = f a
  shows positive f1 A
  using assms unfolding positive-def by auto

```

```

lemma sbounded-eq:
  assumes sbounded f A and ∀ a∈A. f1 a = f a
  shows sbounded f1 A
  using assms unfolding sbounded-def by (simp add: subset-eq)

```

```

lemma finite-imp-sbounded: positive f A ⇒ finite A ⇒ sbounded f A
  unfolding sbounded-def positive-def apply(rule exI[of - sum f A])
  by simp (meson DiffD1 sum-mono2)

```

```

lemma sbounded-empty[simp,intro!]: sbounded f {}  

  unfolding sbounded-def by auto  
  

lemma sbounded-insert[simp]: sbounded f (insert a A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  sbounded f A  

  unfolding sbounded-def apply safe  

  subgoal by (meson subset-insertI2)  

  subgoal for r apply(rule exI[of - r + max 0 (f a)])  

  by simp (smt finite-Diff subset-insert-iff sum.remove) .  
  

lemma sbounded-Un[simp]: sbounded f (A1  $\cup$  A2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  sbounded f A1  $\wedge$  sbounded f A2  

  unfolding sbounded-def apply safe  

  subgoal by (meson sup.coboundedI1)  

  subgoal by (meson sup.coboundedI2)  

  subgoal for r1 r2 apply(rule exI[of - r1+r2]) apply safe apply(elim subset-UnE)  

  by simp (smt Diff-subset finite-Diff order.trans sum.union-diff2 sum.union-inter)  

.  
  

lemma sbounded-UNION:  

  assumes finite I shows sbounded f ( $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall i \in I$ . sbounded f (A i))  

  using assms by (induction I) auto  
  

lemma sbounded-mono: A  $\subseteq$  B  $\implies$  sbounded f B  $\implies$  sbounded f A  

  unfolding sbounded-def by (meson order-trans)  
  

lemma sbounded-reindex: sbounded (f o u) A  $\implies$  sbounded f (u ` A)  

  unfolding sbounded-def apply safe subgoal for r  

  apply(rule exI[of - r]) apply safe  

  by (metis finite-image-iff subset-image-inj sum.reindex) .  
  

lemma sbounded-reindex-inj-on: inj-on u A  $\implies$  sbounded f (u ` A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  sbounded (f o u) A  

  by (smt (verit, best) comp-apply f-the-inv-into-f sbounded-eq sbounded-reindex  

  the-inv-into-onto)  
  

lemma sbounded-swap:  

  sbounded ( $\lambda(a,b)$ . f a b) (A  $\times$  B)  $\longleftrightarrow$  sbounded ( $\lambda(b,a)$ . f a b) (B  $\times$  A)  

proof-  

  have 0: A  $\times$  B = ( $\lambda(a,b)$ . (b,a)) ` (B  $\times$  A) by auto  

  show ?thesis unfolding 0 apply(subst sbounded-reindex-inj-on)  

  by (auto simp: o-def inj-on-def intro!: arg-cong2[of ---- sbounded])  

qed  
  

lemma sbounded-constant-0:  

  assumes  $\forall a \in A$ . f a = (0::real)  

  shows sbounded f A  

  using assms unfolding sbounded-def

```

```

by (metis in-mono order-refl sum-nonpos)

lemma sbounded-setminus:
assumes sbounded f A and ∀ b∈B-A. f b = 0
shows sbounded f B
by (metis Un-Diff-cancel2 assms sbounded-Un sbounded-constant-0)

lemma isum-eq-sum:
positive f A ==> finite A ==> isum f A = sum f A
unfolding isum-def positive-def apply(subst cSup-eq-maximum[of sum f A])
subgoal by simp
subgoal using sum-mono3 by fastforce
subgoal by simp .

lemma isum-cong:
assumes A = B and ∀x. x ∈ B ==> g x = h x
shows isum g A = isum h B
using assms unfolding isum-def
by (auto intro!: SUP-cong comm-monoid-add-class.sum.cong)

lemma isum-mono:
assumes sbounded h A and ∀x. x ∈ A ==> g x ≤ h x
shows isum g A ≤ isum h A
using assms unfolding isum-def
apply(intro cSup-mono)
subgoal by auto
subgoal unfolding bdd-above-def sbounded-def by auto
subgoal for r apply safe
subgoal for - B apply(rule bexI[of - sum h B]) apply (auto intro: sum-mono)
...
.

lemma isum-mono':
assumes sbounded g B and A ⊆ B
shows isum g A ≤ isum g B
using assms unfolding isum-def
apply(intro cSup-subset-mono)
unfolding bdd-above-def sbounded-def by auto

lemma isum-empty[simp]: isum g {} = 0
unfolding isum-def by auto

```

```

lemma isum-const-zero[simp]: isum ( $\lambda x. 0$ ) A = 0
  unfolding isum-def
  by simp (metis cSUP-const empty-Iff empty-subsetI finite.emptyI mem-Collect-eq)

```

```

lemma isum-const-zero':  $\forall x \in A. g x = 0 \implies \text{isum } g A = 0$ 
  by (simp add: isum-cong)

```

```

lemma isum-eq-0-Iff: positive f A  $\implies$  sbounded f A  $\implies$  isum f A = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall a \in A. f a = 0$ )
  unfolding isum-def apply(subst Sup-eq-0-Iff)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal unfolding bdd-above-def sbounded-def by auto
  subgoal by (auto simp: positive-def in-mono sum-nonneg)
  subgoal by (auto simp: positive-def in-mono sum-nonneg) .

```

```

lemma isum-reindex: inj-on h A  $\implies$  isum g (h ` A) = isum (g o h) A
  unfolding isum-def apply(rule arg-cong[of -- Sup])
  unfolding image-def[of sum g] image-def[of sum (g o h)]
  apply(rule Collect-eqI)
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) finite-image-Iff inj-on-subset mem-Collect-eq subset-image-inj
sum.reindex)

```

```

lemma isum-reindex-cong: inj-on l B  $\implies$  A = l ` B  $\implies$ 
( $\bigwedge x. x \in B \implies g(l x) = h x$ )  $\implies$  isum g A = isum h B
  by (metis isum-cong comp-def isum-reindex)

```

```

lemma isum-reindex-cong':
( $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \neq y \implies h x = h y \implies g(h x) = 0$ )  $\implies$  isum g
(h ` A) = isum (g o h) A
  unfolding isum-def apply(rule arg-cong[of -- Sup])
  unfolding image-def[of sum g] image-def[of sum (g o h)] apply(rule Collect-eqI)
  apply safe
  subgoal by(metis (no-types, lifting) finite-image-Iff mem-Collect-eq subset-image-inj
sum.reindex)
  subgoal by (smt finite-imageI image-mono in-mono mem-Collect-eq sum.reindex-nontrivial)
.

```

```

lemma isum-zeros-cong:
  assumes sbounded g (S ∩ T) ∨ sbounded h (S ∩ T)
  and ( $\bigwedge i. i \in T - S \implies h i = 0$ ) and ( $\bigwedge i. i \in S - T \implies g i = 0$ )
  and ( $\bigwedge x. x \in S \cap T \implies g x = h x$ )
  shows isum g S = isum h T

```

proof–

```
have 0: sbounded g S ∨ sbounded h T using assms
  by (metis Diff-Int2 Int-absorb Int-commute sbounded-setminus)
show ?thesis unfolding isum-def apply(rule Sup-image-cong)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal apply safe
  subgoal for - B
    apply(rule bexI[of - B ∩ T], rule order-eq-refl)
    apply(rule sum.mono-neutral-cong) using assms by auto .
  subgoal apply safe
  subgoal for - B
    apply(rule bexI[of - B ∩ S], rule order-eq-refl)
    apply(rule sum.mono-neutral-cong) using assms by auto .
  subgoal using assms(2,3,4) 0 unfolding bdd-above-def sbounded-def apply
  (elim disjE exE)
    subgoal for r apply(rule disjI1) apply(rule exI[of - r]) by auto
    subgoal for r apply(rule disjI2) apply(rule exI[of - r]) by auto ..
qed
```

lemma isum-zeros-congL:

```
sbounded g S ⇒ S ⊆ T ⇒ ∀ i ∈ T − S. g i = 0 ⇒ isum g S = isum g T
by (metis Diff-eq-empty-if emptyE isum-zeros-congL le-iff-inf)
```

lemma isum-zeros-congR:

```
sbounded g S ⇒ S ⊆ T ⇒ ∀ i ∈ T − S. g i = 0 ⇒ isum g T = isum g S
by (simp add: isum-zeros-congL)
```

lemma isum-singl[simp]: $f a \geq (0::real) \Rightarrow \text{isum } f \{a\} = f a$
by (simp add: positive-def isum-eq-sum)

lemma isum-two[simp]: $a1 \neq a2 \Rightarrow f a1 \geq (0::real) \Rightarrow f a2 \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{isum } f \{a1, a2\} = f a1 + f a2$
by (simp add: positive-def isum-eq-sum)

lemma isum-three[simp]: $a1 \neq a2 \Rightarrow a1 \neq a3 \Rightarrow a2 \neq a3 \Rightarrow f a1 \geq 0 \Rightarrow f a2 \geq (0::real) \Rightarrow f a3 \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{isum } f \{a1, a2, a3\} = f a1 + f a2 + f a3$
by (simp add: positive-def isum-eq-sum)

lemma isum-ge-0: $\text{positive } f A \Rightarrow \text{sbounded } f A \Rightarrow \text{isum } f A \geq 0$
using isum-mono' by fastforce

lemma in-le-isum: $\text{positive } f A \Rightarrow \text{sbounded } f A \Rightarrow a \in A \Rightarrow f a \leq \text{isum } f A$
by (metis (full-types) DiffE positive-def Set.set-insert equals0I
insert-mono isum-mono' isum-singl subsetI)

lemma isum-eq-singl:

```

assumes fx:  $f a = x$  and  $f: \forall a'. a' \neq a \longrightarrow f a' = 0$  and  $x: x \geq 0$  and  $a: a \in A$ 
shows  $\text{isum } f A = x$ 
apply(subst fx[symmetric])
apply(subst isum-singl[of f a, symmetric])
subgoal using assms by simp
subgoal apply(rule isum-zeros-cong) using assms by auto .

lemma isum-le-singl:
assumes fx:  $f a \leq x$  and  $f: \forall a'. a' \neq a \longrightarrow f a' = 0$  and  $x: f a \geq 0$  and  $a: a \in A$ 
shows  $\text{isum } f A \leq x$ 
by (metis a f fx isum-eq-singl x)

lemma isum-insert[simp]:  $a \notin A \implies \text{sbounded } f A \implies f a \geq 0 \implies \text{isum } f (\text{insert } a A) = \text{isum } f A + f a$ 
unfolding isum-def apply(subst plus-SupR)
subgoal by auto
subgoal unfolding sbounded-def bdd-above-def by auto
subgoal apply(rule Sup-cong)
subgoal by auto
subgoal apply safe subgoal for - - B
apply(rule bexI[of - sum f (B - {a}) + f a])
subgoal by (cases a ∈ B) (auto simp: image-def sum.remove)
subgoal by blast ..
subgoal apply safe subgoal for - - - B
apply(rule bexI[of - sum f (insert a B)])
subgoal by (cases a ∈ B) (auto simp: image-def sum.remove)
subgoal by blast ..
subgoal unfolding sbounded-def bdd-above-def apply (elim exE)
subgoal for r apply(rule disjI2, rule exI[of - r + f a]) by auto ...

lemma isum-UNION:
assumes dsj:  $\forall i \in I. \forall j \in I. i \neq j \longrightarrow A_i \cap A_j = \{\}$  and sb:  $\text{sbounded } g (\bigcup (A`I))$ 
shows  $\text{isum } g (\bigcup (A`I)) = \text{isum } (\lambda i. \text{isum } g (A_i)) I$ 
proof-
{fix J assume J:  $J \subseteq I$  finite J
have sum (λi. Sup {sum g B | B. B ⊆ A_i ∧ finite B}) J =
Sup {sum (λi. sum g (B i)) J | B. ∀ i ∈ J. B i ⊆ A_i ∧ finite (B i)}
using J sb apply(subst sum-Sup-commute)
subgoal by auto
subgoal unfolding sbounded-def bdd-above-def
by simp (metis SUP-upper2 bot.extremum finite.emptyI in-mono)
subgoal by auto .
also have ... =
Sup {sum g ((bigcup (B`J)) | B. ∀ i ∈ J. B i ⊆ A_i ∧ finite (B i))}
apply(rule arg-cong[of - - Sup]) apply(rule Collect-eqI) apply(rule ex-cong1)

```

```

apply safe
subgoal
  apply(rule sum.UNION-disjoint[symmetric])
  using J dsj by auto (metis IntI empty-iff subsetD)
subgoal
  apply(rule sum.UNION-disjoint)
  using J dsj by auto (metis IntI empty-iff subsetD) .

also have ... =
  Sup {sum g B | B . B ⊆ ∪ (A ` J) ∧ finite B}
  apply(rule Sup-cong) apply safe
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for - B
    apply(rule bexI[of - sum g (∪ (B ` J))]) using J by auto
  subgoal for - B
    apply(rule bexI[of - sum g (∪ ((λi. B ∩ A i) ` J))])
    subgoal using J unfolding incl-UNION-aux2 by auto
    subgoal by blast .
  subgoal using J sb unfolding bdd-above-def sbounded-def
    by simp (metis UN-mono finite-UN-I) .

also have ... ≤
  Sup {sum g B | B . B ⊆ ∪ (A ` I) ∧ finite B}
  apply(rule cSup-subset-mono) apply safe
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal using J sb unfolding sbounded-def bdd-above-def by auto
  subgoal for - B apply(rule exI[of - B]) using J by auto .

finally
have (∑ i∈J. Sup {y. ∃ B⊆A i. finite B ∧ y = sum g B}) ≤
  Sup {y. ∃ B⊆∪ (A ` I). finite B ∧ y = sum g B}
  by (smt Collect-cong sum-mono)
} note 1 = this

show ?thesis
  unfolding isum-def apply(rule Sup-image-congL)
  unfolding image-def[of sum g] image-def[of sum (λi. Sup {y. ∃ x∈{B | B ⊆ A i ∧ finite B}. y = sum g x})]
    apply safe
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for - B using assms
    apply(intro bexI[of - {i. i ∈ I ∧ B ∩ A i ≠ {}}])
    subgoal apply(subst incl-UNION-aux[of B A I], assumption)
      apply(subst comm-monoid-add-class.sum.UNION-disjoint)
      subgoal by (simp add: disjoint-finite-aux)
      subgoal by auto
      subgoal by auto
      subgoal apply(rule sum-mono)
        subgoal for i apply(rule cSup-upper)
          subgoal by auto
          subgoal unfolding bdd-above-def sbounded-def by simp (metis UN-I

```

```

in-mono subsetI) . .
  subgoal by (simp add: disjoint-finite-aux) .
  subgoal using 1 by auto .
qed

lemma isum-Un[simp]:
  assumes positive f A1 sbounded f A1 positive f A2 sbounded f A2 A1 ∩ A2 = {}
  shows isum f (A1 ∪ A2) = isum f A1 + isum f A2
proof-
  have [simp]: isum (λi. isum f (case i of 0 ⇒ A1 | Suc - ⇒ A2)) {0, Suc 0} =
    isum f A1 + isum f A2
    apply(subst isum-two) using assms by (auto intro!: isum-ge-0)
    show ?thesis using assms isum-UNION[of {0,1::nat} λi. case i of 0 ⇒ A1 |-
      ⇒ A2 f] by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma isum-Sigma:
  assumes sbd: sbounded (λ(a,b). f a b) (Sigma A Bs)
  shows isum (λ(a,b). f a b) (Sigma A Bs) = isum (λa. isum (f a) (Bs a)) A
proof-
  define g where g ≡ λ(a,b). f a b
  define Cs where Cs ≡ λa. {a} × Bs a
  have 1: ⋀a. {a} × Bs a = Pair a ‘(Bs a) by auto
  have 0: Sigma A Bs = (⋃a∈A. Cs a) unfolding Cs-def by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding 0 apply(subst isum-UNION)
    subgoal unfolding Cs-def by auto
    subgoal using sbd unfolding 0 .
    subgoal unfolding Cs-def apply(rule arg-cong2[of --- isum])
      subgoal unfolding 1
        apply(subst isum-reindex-cong') by (auto simp: o-def)
      subgoal ...
  qed

```

```

lemma isum-Times:
  assumes sbounded (λ(a,b). f a b) (A × B)
  shows isum (λ(a,b). f a b) (A × B) = isum (λa. isum (f a) B) A
  using isum-Sigma[OF assms] .

```

```

lemma isum-swap:
  assumes sbounded (λ(a,b). f a b) (A × B)
  shows isum (λa. isum (f a) B) A = isum (λb. isum (λa. f a b) A) B (is ?L =
  ?R)
proof-
  have 0: A × B = (λ(a,b). (b,a)) ‘(B × A) by auto
  have ?L = isum (λ(a,b). f a b) (A × B) using isum-Times[OF assms] ..

```

```

also have ... = isum (λ(b,a). f a b) (B × A) unfolding 0 apply(subst isum-reindex-cong')
  by (auto simp: o-def intro!: arg-cong2[of ---- isum])
  also have ... = ?R apply(subst isum-Times) using assms sbounded-swap by
  auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma isum-plus:
assumes f1: positive f1 A sbounded f1 A
  and f2: positive f2 A sbounded f2 A
shows isum (λa. f1 a + f2 a) A = isum f1 A + isum f2 A
unfolding isum-def apply(subst plus-Sup-commute')
subgoal by auto
subgoal using f1 unfolding sbounded-def bdd-above-def by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal using f2 unfolding sbounded-def bdd-above-def by auto
subgoal apply(rule Sup-cong)
subgoal by auto
subgoal apply safe
subgoal for - - B
  apply(rule bexI[of - ∑ a∈B. f1 a + f2 a])
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal apply clarify apply(rule exI[of - sum f1 B]) apply(rule exI[of -
sum f2 B])
    using sum.distrib by auto ..
subgoal apply safe
subgoal for - - - - B1 B2
  apply(rule bexI[of - ∑ a∈B1 ∪ B2. f1 a + f2 a])
  subgoal apply(subst sum.distrib) apply(rule add-mono)
  subgoal apply(rule sum-mono2) using f1 unfolding positive-def by
  auto
  subgoal apply(rule sum-mono2) using f2 unfolding positive-def by
  auto .
  subgoal by auto ..
subgoal apply(rule disjI2)
using f1 f2 unfolding sbounded-def bdd-above-def apply (elim exE)
subgoal for r1 r2 apply(rule exI[of - r1 + r2]) apply safe
by (auto simp: add-mono) ...

lemma sbounded-product:
assumes f: positive f A sbounded f A and g: positive g B sbounded g B
shows sbounded (λ(a,b). f a * g b) (A × B)
using assms unfolding sbounded-def positive-def apply safe
subgoal for r1 r2
  apply(rule exI[of - r1 * r2]) apply safe subgoal for Ba

```

```

apply(rule order.trans[OF sum-mono2[of fst'Ba × snd'Ba Ba λ(a,b). f a * g
b]]))
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (simp add: subset-fst-snd)
  subgoal for ab apply(cases ab, simp)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) imageE mem-Sigma-iff mult-nonneg-nonneg
prod.collapse subset-eq)
  subgoal apply(subst sum.cartesian-product[symmetric])
    apply(subst sum-product[symmetric])
    by (smt finite-imageI imageE mem-Sigma-iff mult-mono prod.collapse sub-
set-eq sum-nonneg) ...

lemma sbounded-multL:  $x \geq 0 \implies \text{sbounded } f A \implies \text{sbounded } (\lambda a. x * f a) A$ 
  unfolding sbounded-def apply safe
  subgoal for r apply(rule exI[of - x * r])
    by (metis mult.commute mult-right-mono sum-distrib-left) .

lemma sbounded-multL-strict[simp]:
  assumes x:  $x > 0$ 
  shows sbounded ( $\lambda a. x * f a$ ) A  $\longleftrightarrow$  sbounded  $f A$ 
proof-
  have 0:  $f = (\lambda a. (1 / x) * (x * f a))$  unfolding fun-eq-iff using x by auto
  show ?thesis apply safe
    subgoal apply(subst 0) apply(rule sbounded-multL) using x by auto
    subgoal apply(rule sbounded-multL) using x by auto .
qed

lemma sbounded-multR:  $x \geq 0 \implies \text{sbounded } f A \implies \text{sbounded } (\lambda a. f a * x) A$ 
  unfolding sbounded-def apply safe
  subgoal for r apply(rule exI[of - r * x])
    by (metis mult.commute mult-left-mono sum-distrib-right) .

lemma sbounded-multR-strict[simp]:
  assumes x:  $x > 0$ 
  shows sbounded ( $\lambda a. f a * x$ ) A  $\longleftrightarrow$  sbounded  $f A$ 
proof-
  have 0:  $f = (\lambda a. (f a * x) * (1 / x))$  unfolding fun-eq-iff using x by auto
  show ?thesis apply safe
    subgoal apply(subst 0) apply(rule sbounded-multR) using x by auto
    subgoal apply(rule sbounded-multR) using x by auto .
qed

lemma positive-sbounded-multL:
  assumes f: positive f A sbounded f A and g:  $\forall a \in A. g a \leq x$ 
  shows sbounded ( $\lambda a. f a * g a$ ) A
proof-
  define y where y ≡ max x 0
  have g:  $\forall a \in A. g a \leq y \wedge y \geq 0$  using g unfolding y-def by auto
  show ?thesis using assms unfolding sbounded-def apply safe

```

```

subgoal for r apply(intro exI[of - r * y]) apply safe
  subgoal for B
    apply(rule order.trans[OF sum-mono[of B λa. f a * g a λa. f a * y]])
    subgoal unfolding positive-def
      by (simp add: g in-mono mult-left-mono)
    subgoal apply(subst sum-distrib-right[symmetric])
      by (metis max.cobounded2 mult.commute mult-left-mono y-def) .
  qed

lemma positive-sbounded-multR:
  assumes f: positive f A sbounded f A and g: ∀ a∈A. g a ≤ x
  shows sbounded (λa. g a * f a) A
  using positive-sbounded-multL[OF assms]
  unfolding sbounded-def apply safe
  subgoal for r apply(rule exI[of - r]) apply safe
    subgoal for B
      apply(subst sum.cong[of B B - λa. f a * g a]) by auto .

```



```

lemma isum-product-Times:
  assumes f: positive f A sbounded f A and g: positive g B sbounded g B
  shows isum f A * isum g B = isum (λ(a,b). f a * g b) (A × B)
  unfolding isum-def apply(subst mult-Sup-commute')
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal using f(2) unfolding bdd-above-def sbounded-def by auto
  subgoal using f by (auto simp: positive-def subsetD sum-nonneg)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal using g(2) unfolding bdd-above-def sbounded-def by auto
  subgoal using g by (auto simp: positive-def subsetD sum-nonneg)
  subgoal apply(rule Sup-cong)
    subgoal by auto
  subgoal using f(2) g(2) unfolding sbounded-def apply safe
    subgoal for a r1 r2 a1 a2 x xa A1 B1
      apply(rule bexI[of - sum (λ(a, b). f a * g b) (A1 × B1)])
    subgoal apply(subst sum-product)
      apply(subst sum.cartesian-product)
      apply(subst sum-mono2) by auto
    subgoal by (simp add: image-def) (metis Sigma-mono finite-SigmaI) .
  subgoal using f(2) g(2) unfolding sbounded-def apply safe
    subgoal for b x r ra AB1
      apply(rule bexI[of - sum f (fst ` AB1) * sum g (snd ` AB1)])
    subgoal apply(subst sum-product)
      apply(subst sum.cartesian-product)
      apply(subst sum-mono2)
    subgoal by (simp-all add: subset-fst-snd)
    subgoal by (simp-all add: subset-fst-snd)
    subgoal for ab using f(1) g(1) unfolding positive-def apply(cases ab)
      by simp (metis (no-types, lifting) imageE mem-Sigma-iff mult-nonneg-nonneg
prod.fold subsetD)

```

```

    subgoal .. .
    subgoal apply (simp add: image-def)
      apply(rule exI[of - sum f (fst `AB1)], rule exI[of - sum g (snd `AB1)])
      apply (simp add: image-def) apply safe
      subgoal apply(rule exI[of - fst `AB1]) by (fastforce simp: image-def)
      subgoal apply(rule exI[of - snd `AB1]) by (fastforce simp: image-def) .

    ..
    subgoal apply(rule disjI1) using sbounded-product[OF assms]
      unfolding sbounded-def bdd-above-def image-def apply safe
      subgoal for r apply(rule exI[of - r])
        by simp (metis (no-types) Sigma-mono finite-cartesian-product sum.cartesian-product
        sum-product)
    ..

lemma isum-product:
  assumes f: positive f A sbounded f A and g: positive g B sbounded g B
  shows isum f A * isum g B = isum ((λa. isum (λb. f a * g b) B) A)
  by (simp add: assms isum-Times isum-product-Times sbounded-product)

lemma isum-distribR:
  assumes f: positive f (A::'a set) sbounded f A and r: r ≥ 0
  shows isum f A * r = isum ((λa. f a * r) A)
proof-
  have 0: A × {0} = ((λa. (a, 0::nat)) ` A) by auto
  show ?thesis
    apply(subst isum-singl[of λ_. r 0::nat,symmetric, OF r])
    apply(subst isum-product-Times)
    subgoal by fact
    subgoal by fact
    subgoal using r unfolding positive-def by auto
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal apply (subst 0) apply(subst isum-reindex) unfolding inj-on-def o-def
  by auto .
qed

lemma isum-distribL:
  assumes f: positive f (A::'a set) sbounded f A and r: r ≥ 0
  shows r * isum f A = isum ((λa. r * f a) A)
proof-
  have 0: r * isum f A = isum f A * r
    (λa. r * f a) = (λa. f a * r) unfolding fun-eq-iff by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding 0 using isum-distribR[OF assms] .
qed

end

```

2 Discrete Subdistributions and Distributions

This theory defines countably discrete probability (sub)distributions and their monadic operators, namely:

- Kleisli extension, "ext"
- functorial action, the lifting operator "lift"
- monad unit, the indicator function "ind"
- monad counit, the flattening operators "flat" for subdistributions and "dflat" for distributions

Basic facts about them are proved, including the monadic laws.

In all operators except the monad counit (flattening/averaging), the operators for distributions are restrictions of those for subdistributions. For flattening, as explained later we must use two distinct operators "flat" and "dflat".

We also define the expectation operator, "expd", which is the Lebesgue integral for the discrete case.

```
theory Discrete-Subdistributions-and-Distributions
  imports Infinite-Sums-of-Positive-Realss
begin
```

2.1 Definitions and Basic Properties

```
definition Subdis :: "'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ real) set where
  Subdis A ≡ {p. positive p A ∧ sbounded p A ∧ isum p A ≤ 1}
```

```
definition Dis :: "'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ real) set where
  Dis A ≡ {p. p ∈ Subdis A ∧ isum p A ≥ 1}
```

```
lemma Dis-incl-Subdis: Dis A ⊆ Subdis A unfolding Dis-def by auto
```

```
lemma Subdis-mono: p ∈ Subdis A ⇒ B ⊆ A ⇒ p ∈ Subdis B
  unfolding Subdis-def by simp (meson isum-mono' order-trans positive-mono
  sbounded-mono)
```

```
lemma Subdis-Dis2: Subdis (Subdis A) ⊆ Subdis (Dis A)
  by (meson Dis-incl-Subdis Subdis-mono subsetI)
```

```
lemma Subdis-ge-0: p ∈ Subdis A ⇒ a ∈ A ⇒ p a ≥ 0
  unfolding Subdis-def positive-def by auto
```

```
lemma Subdis-le-1: p ∈ Subdis A ⇒ a ∈ A ⇒ p a ≤ 1
```

```

unfolding Subdis-def by simp (smt in-le-isum)

lemma Subdis-eq:
  assumes p ∈ Subdis A and ∀ a∈A. p1 a = p a
  shows p1 ∈ Subdis A
  using Subdis-def assms isum-cong positive-eq sbounded-eq by fastforce

lemma Dis-Subdis-mono: p ∈ Dis A ⇒ B ⊆ A ⇒ p ∈ Subdis B
  using Dis-def Subdis-mono by blast

lemma Dis-zeros-mono: p ∈ Dis A ⇒ B ⊆ A ⇒ ∀ a∈A-B. p a = 0 ⇒ p ∈
Dis B
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Dis-Subdis-mono Dis-def Subdis-def isum-zeros-congL
mem-Collect-eq)

lemma Dis-ge-0: p ∈ Dis A ⇒ a ∈ A ⇒ p a ≥ 0
  using Dis-def Subdis-ge-0 by fastforce

lemma Dis-le-1: p ∈ Dis A ⇒ a ∈ A ⇒ p a ≤ 1
  using Dis-def Subdis-le-1 by fastforce

lemma Dis-isum-1: p ∈ Dis A ⇒ isum p A = 1
  using Dis-def Subdis-def isum-eq-sum by fastforce

lemma Dis-sum-1: p ∈ Dis A ⇒ finite A ⇒ sum p A = 1
  using Dis-def Subdis-def isum-eq-sum by fastforce

lemma Dis-eq:
  assumes p ∈ Dis A and ∀ a∈A. p1 a = p a
  shows p1 ∈ Dis A
  unfolding Dis-def
  using Dis-def Subdis-eq assms isum-cong by fastforce

lemma Subdis-le-1-eq-1: p ∈ Subdis A ⇒ 1 ≤ isum p A ⇒ isum p A = 1
  unfoldng Subdis-def by auto

lemma Subdis-sum-le-1: p ∈ Subdis A ⇒ finite A ⇒ sum p A ≤ 1
  using Subdis-def isum-eq-sum by fastforce

lemma Subdis-sum-ge-0: p ∈ Subdis A ⇒ finite A ⇒ sum p A ≥ 0
  by (simp add: Subdis-ge-0 sum-nonneg)

lemma Subdis-sum-ge-0-sub: p ∈ Subdis A ⇒ B ⊆ A ⇒ finite B ⇒ sum p B
  ≥ 0
  using Subdis-mono Subdis-sum-ge-0 by blast

lemma Subdis-sum-le-1-sub: p ∈ Subdis A ⇒ B ⊆ A ⇒ finite B ⇒ sum p B
  ≤ 1
  using Subdis-mono Subdis-sum-le-1 by blast

```

```

lemma Subdis-sboundedL:
  assumes p ∈ Subdis A ∀ a∈A. g a ≤ x
  shows sbounded (λa. p a * g a) A
  using Subdis-def assms positive-sbounded-multL by fastforce

lemma Subdis-sboundedR:
  assumes p ∈ Subdis A ∀ a∈A. g a ≤ x
  shows sbounded (λa. g a * p a) A
  using Subdis-def assms positive-sbounded-multR by fastforce

lemma Subdis-isum-leL:
  assumes p: p ∈ Subdis A and g: positive g A ∀ a∈A. g a ≤ x and x: x ≥ 0
  shows isum (λa. p a * g a) A ≤ x
  apply(rule order.trans[OF isum-mono[of λa. p a * x]])
  subgoal apply(rule sbounded-multR) using x p unfolding Subdis-def by auto
  subgoal using x p g unfolding Subdis-def positive-def by (simp add: mult-mono)
  subgoal apply(subst isum-distribR[symmetric])
  using assms unfolding Subdis-def by (auto simp: mult.commute mult-left-le)
.

lemma Subdis-isum-leR:
  assumes p: p ∈ Subdis A and g: positive g A ∀ a∈A. g a ≤ x and x: x ≥ 0
  shows isum (λa. g a * p a) A ≤ x
proof-
  have 0: (λa. g a * p a) = (λa. p a * g a) unfolding fun-eq-iff by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding 0 using Subdis-isum-leL[OF assms] .
qed

lemma Subdis-sum-le-Max:
  assumes finite A p ∈ Subdis A positive g A A ≠ {}
  shows (∑ a∈A. p a * g a) ≤ Max (g ` A)
proof-
  have 0: Max (g ` A) ≥ 0
  by (metis positive-def Max-ge-iff assms(1,3,4) ex-in-conv finite-imageI image-eqI)
  have (∑ a∈A. p a * g a) ≤ (∑ a∈A. p a * Max (g ` A))
  apply(rule sum-mono) using assms unfolding Subdis-def positive-def
  by (simp add: mult-left-mono)
  also have ... = (∑ a∈A. p a) * Max (g ` A)
  using sum-distrib-right[symmetric] .
  also have ... ≤ Max (g ` A) using assms
  using Subdis-sum-le-1[of p A] 0 Subdis-sum-ge-0 mult-left-le-one-le by blast
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma Subdis-sum-le:
  assumes finite A p ∈ Subdis A positive g A A ≠ {} ∀ a∈A. g a ≤ x

```

shows $(\sum a \in A. p a * g a) \leq x$
using Subdis-sum-le-Max[*OF assms(1–4)*]
by (*smt Max-in assms(1) assms(4) assms(5) finite-imageI imageE image-is-empty*)

2.2 Monadic structure

definition *ind* :: '*a* \Rightarrow ('*a* \Rightarrow *real*) **where**
ind a \equiv $\lambda a'. \text{if } a' = a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0$

lemma *ind-simps[simp]*: $\bigwedge a. \text{ind } a \ a = 1$
 $\bigwedge a \ a'. a' \neq a \implies \text{ind } a' \ a = 0$
unfolding *ind-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ind-eq-0-iff[simp]*: $\text{ind } a \ a' = 0 \iff a \neq a'$
unfolding *ind-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ind-eq-1-iff[simp]*: $\text{ind } a \ a' = 1 \iff a = a'$
unfolding *ind-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ind-ge-0*: $\text{ind } a \ a' \geq 0$
unfolding *ind-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ind-le-1*: $\text{ind } a \ a' \leq 1$
unfolding *ind-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *positive-ind[simp]*: *positive* (*ind a*) *A*
unfolding *ind-def positive-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *sbounded-ind[simp]*: *sbounded* (*ind a*) *A*
unfolding *ind-def sbounded-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *sum-ind[simp]*: $\bigwedge a \ B. \text{finite } B \implies a \in B \implies \text{sum } (\text{ind } a) \ B = 1$
 $\bigwedge a \ B. \text{finite } B \implies a \notin B \implies \text{sum } (\text{ind } a) \ B = 0$
subgoal for *a B*
unfolding *ind-simps(1)[symmetric, of a] sum-singl[of ind a a, symmetric]*
apply (*rule sum.mono-neutral-right*) **by** *auto*
subgoal by (*metis ind-eq-0-iff sum.neutral*) .

lemma *isum-ind[simp]*: $\bigwedge a \ A. a \in A \implies \text{isum } (\text{ind } a) \ A = 1$
 $\bigwedge a \ A. a \notin A \implies \text{isum } (\text{ind } a) \ A = 0$
apply (*simp add: ind-ge-0 isum-eq-singl*)
by (*metis ind-simps(2) isum-const-zero'*)

lemma *ind-Subdis[simp, intro!]*: $\text{ind } a \in \text{Subdis } A$
unfolding *Subdis-def* **by** *simp* (*smt isum-ind(1) isum-ind(2) positive-ind sbounded-ind*)

lemma *Dis-ind[simp, intro!]*: $a \in A \implies \text{ind } a \in \text{Dis } A$
unfolding *Dis-def* **by** *simp*

```

lemma ind-mult-SubdisL:
  assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$ 
  shows  $(\lambda a. p a * ind(f a) a') \in Subdis A$ 
  unfolding Subdis-def mem-Collect-eq using p apply safe
  subgoal by (simp add: positive-def Subdis-ge-0 ind-ge-0)
  subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of --- 1]) by (auto simp: ind-le-1)
  subgoal apply(rule order.trans[of - isum p A])
  apply(rule isum-mono) unfolding Subdis-def using ind-le-1
  by (auto simp: positive-def ind-le-1 mult-left-le) .

lemma ind-mult-SubdisR:
  assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$ 
  shows  $(\lambda a. ind(f a) a' * p a) \in Subdis A$ 
proof-
  have 0:  $(\lambda a. ind(f a) a' * p a) = (\lambda a. p a * ind(f a) a')$  by fastforce
  show ?thesis unfolding 0 using ind-mult-SubdisL[OF p] .
qed

lemma isum-ind-multL:  $a' \in A \implies f a' \geq 0 \implies isum(\lambda a. f a * ind a' a) A = f a'$ 
  apply(rule isum-eq-singl[of - a']) by auto

lemma isum-ind-multR:  $a' \in A \implies f a' \geq 0 \implies isum(\lambda a. ind a' a * f a) A = f a'$ 
  apply(rule isum-eq-singl[of - a']) by auto

definition ext :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  real))  $\Rightarrow$  (('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  real))
where
  ext A f  $\equiv$   $\lambda p b. isum(\lambda a. p a * f a b) A$ 

lemma ext-ge-0:
  assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \in Subdis B$  and p:  $p \in Subdis A$  and b:  $b \in B$ 
  shows ext A f p b  $\geq 0$ 
  unfolding ext-def apply(rule isum-ge-0)
  subgoal using assms unfolding Subdis-def positive-def by auto
  subgoal apply(rule positive-sbounded-multL[of --- 1])
  using assms unfolding Subdis-def
  by auto (meson Subdis-le-1 f) .

lemma Subdis-sum-isum-le-1:
  assumes B: finite B and f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \in Subdis B$  and p:  $p \in Subdis A$ 
  shows  $(\sum b \in B. isum(\lambda a. p a * f a b) A) \leq 1$ 
proof-

```

```

have 0:  $(\lambda(b, a). p a * f a b) = (\lambda ba. p (snd ba) * f (snd ba) (fst ba))$ 
  unfolding fun-eq-iff by auto
have 1:  $(\lambda ba. p (snd ba)) = (\lambda(b, a). 1 * p a)$ 
  unfolding fun-eq-iff by auto
have 2:  $\bigwedge AB. AB \subseteq A \times B \implies \text{fst} ' AB \subseteq A$  by auto
have 3:  $\bigwedge AB. AB \subseteq A \times B \implies \text{finite } AB \implies \text{sum } p (\text{fst} ' AB) \leq 1$ 
  using p unfolding Subdis-def sbounded-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 2 Subdis-sum-le-1-sub finite-imageI p)
show ?thesis apply(subst isum-eq-sum[symmetric])
  subgoal unfolding Subdis-def positive-def apply safe
    subgoal for a apply(rule isum-ge-0)
      subgoal using f p unfolding Subdis-def positive-def by auto
      subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of - - - 1])
        subgoal using p .
      subgoal using f by (meson Subdis-le-1) ...
    subgoal by fact
    subgoal apply(subst isum-swap[symmetric])
      subgoal unfolding sbounded-def apply(rule exI[of - real (card B)]) apply
      safe
        subgoal for AB apply(rule order.trans[of -  $\sum (a, b) \in (\text{fst} ' AB) \times B$ . p a *
        1])
          subgoal apply(rule sum-mono3)
            subgoal using B by auto
            subgoal by (smt SigmaE mem-Sigma-iff subsetD subsetI subset-fst-snd)
            subgoal for ab using p f unfolding Subdis-def positive-def by (cases
            ab, auto)
              subgoal for ab using p f unfolding Subdis-def positive-def apply (cases
              ab, auto)
                by (meson Subdis-le-1 f in-mono mem-Sigma-iff mult-left-le) .
              subgoal apply(subst sum.cartesian-product[symmetric]) apply simp
                apply(subst sum-distrib-left[symmetric])
                by (metis 3 real-of-card sum-bounded-below) .
            subgoal apply(subst order.trans[OF isum-mono[of p]])
              subgoal using Subdis-def p by blast
              subgoal for a apply(subst isum-distribL[symmetric])
                subgoal using Subdis-def f by blast
                subgoal using Subdis-def f by blast
                subgoal by (meson Subdis-ge-0 p)
                subgoal using Subdis-def p f mult-left-le unfolding Subdis-def positive-def
                by fastforce .
              subgoal using Subdis-def p by blast
              subgoal by simp ...
  qed

lemma sbounded-prod-Subdis:
  assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \in \text{Subdis } B$  and p:  $p \in \text{Subdis } A$ 
  shows sbounded  $(\lambda(a, b). p b * f b a) (B \times A)$ 
proof-
  have 0:  $\bigwedge BA. BA \subseteq B \times A \implies \text{fst} ' BA \subseteq B \wedge \text{snd} ' BA \subseteq A$  by auto

```

```

show ?thesis
  unfolding sbounded-def apply(rule exI[of - 1]) apply safe
  subgoal for BA apply(rule order.trans[OF sum-mono2[of fst`BA × snd`BA]])
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by (simp add: subset-fst-snd)
    subgoal for ab using assms unfolding Subdis-def positive-def
      using in-mono by fastforce
    subgoal unfolding sum.cartesian-product[symmetric]
      apply(rule order.trans[OF - Subdis-sum-isum-le-1[of fst`BA snd`BA f p]])
      subgoal apply(rule sum-mono)
        subgoal for b apply(subst isum-eq-sum)
          subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def
            by (metis 0 Subdis-ge-0 in-mono zero-le-mult-iff)
          subgoal by auto
          subgoal by auto ..
        subgoal by auto
        subgoal using f by (metis 0 Subdis-mono in-mono)
        subgoal using p using 0 Subdis-mono by force ...
qed

```

```

lemma ext-eq: ∀ a∈A. p1 a = p2 a ⇒ ∀ a∈A. ∀ b∈B. f1 a b = f2 a b ⇒
  b ∈ B ⇒ ext A f1 p1 b = ext A f2 p2 b
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) ext-def isum-cong)

lemma ext-Subdis:
  assumes f: ∀ a∈A. f a ∈ Subdis B and p: p ∈ Subdis A
  shows ext A f p ∈ Subdis B
proof-
  have 0: (λ(b, a). p a * f a b) = (λba. p (snd ba) * f (snd ba) (fst ba))
    unfolding fun-eq-iff by auto
  have 1: (λba. p (snd ba)) = (λ(b,a). 1 * p a)
    unfolding fun-eq-iff by auto
  show ?thesis
    unfolding Subdis-def mem-Collect-eq apply safe
    subgoal using ext-ge-0[OF assms] unfolding positive-def by auto
    subgoal unfolding sbounded-def ext-def
      apply(rule exI[of - 1]) apply safe
      subgoal for Ba
        apply(rule Subdis-sum-isum-le-1[of Ba])
        using assms Subdis-mono by force+
      subgoal unfolding ext-def apply(subst isum-swap)
        subgoal using sbounded-prod-Subdis[OF assms].
        subgoal apply(subst order.trans[OF isum-mono[of p]])
          subgoal using Subdis-def p by blast
          subgoal for a apply(subst isum-distribL[symmetric])
            subgoal using Subdis-def f by blast
            subgoal using Subdis-def f by blast

```

```

    subgoal by (meson Subdis-ge-0 p)
    subgoal using Subdis-def p f mult-left-le unfolding Subdis-def positive-def
    by fastforce .
    subgoal using Subdis-def p by blast
    subgoal by simp ...
qed

```

```

lemma ext-Dis:
assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \in Dis B$  and p:  $p \in Dis A$ 
shows ext A f p  $\in Dis B$ 
proof-
have isum (ext A f p) B = 1 unfolding ext-def apply(subst isum-swap)
  subgoal apply(rule sbounded-prod-Subdis)
    using assms unfolding Dis-def by auto
  subgoal apply(rule trans[of - isum ( $\lambda b. p b * isum (f b) B$ ) A])
    subgoal apply(rule isum-cong, rule refl)
      apply(rule isum-distribL[symmetric])
      subgoal by (meson Dis-ge-0 positive-def f)
      subgoal using Dis-def Subdis-def f by blast
      subgoal by (meson Dis-ge-0 p) .
    subgoal apply(rule trans[of - isum p A])
      subgoal apply(rule isum-cong, rule refl)
        subgoal for a using f apply(subst Dis-isum-1) by auto .
      subgoal using p using Dis-isum-1 by auto ...
thus ?thesis using assms unfolding Dis-def by (simp add: ext-Subdis)
qed

```

```

lemma ext-ind:  $p \in Subdis A \implies a \in A \implies ext A \ ind p a = p a$ 
  unfolding ext-def fun-eq-iff
  by (smt (verit) Subdis-ge-0 ind-simps isum-eq-singl mult-cancel-left2 mult-minus-right)

```

```

lemma ext-o:
assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \in B$  and gg:  $\forall b \in B. gg b \in Subdis C$  and p:  $p \in Subdis$ 
A and c:  $c \in C$ 
shows ext A (gg o f) p c = ext B gg (ext A (ind o f) p) c
proof-
have 0:  $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies positive (\lambda aa. ind (f a) aa * gg aa c) B \wedge$ 
        $sbounded (\lambda aa. ind (f a) aa * gg aa c) B$ 
  subgoal for a apply safe
    subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def apply safe
      subgoal for b by (cases b = f a, auto dest: Subdis-ge-0) .
    subgoal using assms by (metis Subdis-le-1 positive-ind positive-sbounded-multL
                           sbounded-ind) .
show ?thesis

```

```

unfolding ext-def
apply(rule trans[of - isum ( $\lambda b.$  isum ( $\lambda a.$  p a * (ind (f a) b * gg b c)) A) B])
subgoal apply(subst isum-swap)
subgoal apply(subst sbounded-prod-Subdis)
subgoal unfolding Subdis-def mem-Collect-eq apply safe
  subgoal using 0 by auto
  subgoal using 0 by auto
  subgoal for a apply(rule isum-le-singl[of - f a])
    using assms by (auto dest: Subdis-ge-0 Subdis-le-1) .
  subgoal by fact
  subgoal by simp .
subgoal apply(rule isum-cong, rule refl)
  subgoal for a apply(subst isum-distribL[symmetric])
    subgoal using 0 by auto
    subgoal using 0 by auto
    subgoal by (meson Subdis-ge-0 p)
  subgoal unfolding mult-cancel-left apply(rule disjI2)
    unfolding o-def apply(subst isum-singl[of  $\lambda b.$  gg b c f a, symmetric])
    subgoal by (meson Subdis-ge-0 c f gg)
    subgoal apply(rule isum-zeros-cong)
      subgoal using assms by auto
      subgoal by auto
      subgoal using f by auto
      subgoal by auto . . .
  subgoal apply(rule isum-cong, rule refl)
    apply(subst isum-distribR)
    subgoal by (smt positive-def Subdis-ge-0 comp-apply ind-eq-0-iff ind-simps(1)
      mult-nonneg-nonneg p)
    subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of - - - 1]) using assms
      by (simp-all add: ind-le-1)
    subgoal by (meson Subdis-ge-0 c gg)
    subgoal apply(rule isum-cong) by auto ..
qed

```

```

definition lift :: ' $a$  set  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a \Rightarrow$  ' $b$ )  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a \Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  (' $b \Rightarrow$  real) where
  lift A f p  $\equiv$   $\lambda b.$  isum ( $\lambda a.$  p a) {a. a  $\in$  A  $\wedge$  f a = b}

```

```

lemma lift-ext:
  assumes p: p  $\in$  Subdis A
  shows lift A f p = ext A (ind o f) p
  apply(rule ext) unfolding lift-def ext-def apply(rule isum-zeros-cong)
  subgoal by (metis (no-types, lifting) Subdis-def inf-le2 mem-Collect-eq p sbounded-mono)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal using assms by auto .

```

```

lemma lift-eq:
  assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f1\ a = f2\ a$  and p:  $\forall a \in A. p1\ a = p2\ a$  and b:  $b \in B$ 
  shows lift A f1 p1 b = lift A f2 p2 b
  unfolding lift-def apply(rule isum-cong) using assms by auto

lemma lift-Subdis:
  assumes p:  $p \in Subdis\ A$ 
  shows lift A f p  $\in Subdis\ B$ 
  by (simp add: ext-Subdis lift-ext p)

lemma lift-Dis:
  assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f\ a \in B$  and p:  $p \in Dis\ A$ 
  shows lift A f p  $\in Dis\ B$ 
  by (smt (verit, best) Dis-incl-Subdis Dis-ind comp-apply ext-Dis f lift-ext p sub-
set-iff)

lemma lift-id[simp]:
  assumes p:  $p \in Subdis\ A$  and a $\in A$ 
  shows lift A id p a = p a
  unfolding lift-ext[OF p] using ext-ind[OF assms] by simp

lemma lift-o[simp]:
  assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f\ a \in B$  and g:  $\forall b \in B. g\ b \in C$  and p:  $p \in Subdis\ A$  and c:
c $\in C$ 
  shows lift A (g o f) p c = lift B g (lift A f p) c
  apply(subst lift-ext[OF p])
  apply(subst o-assoc)
  apply(subst ext-o[of A f B ind o g C])
  subgoal using f by auto
  subgoal using g by auto
  subgoal by fact
  subgoal by fact
  by (metis lift-Subdis lift-ext p)

lemma lift-ind:
  assumes a:  $a \in A$ 
  shows lift A f (ind a) = ind (f a)
  apply(rule ext)
  subgoal for b
  apply(cases f a = b)
  subgoal using a unfolding lift-def by auto
  subgoal unfolding lift-def by auto ..

```

```

lemma isum-lift:
  assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \in B$  and p:  $p \in Subdis A$ 
  shows isum (lift A f p) B = isum p A
proof-
  have A: isum p A = isum p ( $\bigcup ((\lambda b. \{a . a \in A \wedge f a = b\}) ` B)$ )
    apply(rule arg-cong[of - - isum p]) using f by auto
  show ?thesis apply(subst A) apply(subst isum-UNION)
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal using p unfolding Subdis-def
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) SUP-least mem-Collect-eq sbounded-mono sub-set-eq)
    subgoal unfolding lift-def ...
  qed

```

```

lemma lift-reflects-Dis:
  assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \in B$  and p:  $p \in Subdis A$ 
  shows lift A f p  $\in Dis B \longleftrightarrow p \in Dis A$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Dis-def f isum-lift lift-Dis mem-Collect-eq p)

```

```

definition flatP :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real) set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real) where
  flatP Da pp  $\equiv$   $\lambda a. isum (\lambda p. pp p * p a) Da$ 

```

```

lemma flatP-ext: flatP Da = ext Da id
  unfolding flatP-def ext-def by simp

```

```

lemma flatP-eq:  $\forall p \in Da. pp1 p = pp2 p \implies a \in A \implies flatP Da pp1 a = flatP Da pp2 a$ 
  unfolding flatP-ext apply(rule ext-eq[of - - - A]) by auto

```

```

lemma flatP-Subdis: Da  $\subseteq$  Subdis A  $\implies$ 
  pp  $\in$  Subdis Da  $\implies$  flatP Da pp  $\in$  Subdis A
  unfolding flatP-ext apply(rule ext-Subdis) unfolding Dis-def by auto

```

```

lemma flatP-Da:  $\forall pp \in Dis\ Da. ext\ Da\ id\ pp \in Da \implies$ 
 $pp \in Dis\ Da \implies flatP\ Da\ pp \in Da$ 
by (simp add: flatP-ext)

lemma flatP-lift-ind:
assumes Da:  $Da \subseteq Subdis\ A$  ind `  $A \subseteq Da$ 
and p:  $p \in Subdis\ A$  and a:  $a \in A$ 
shows flatP Da (lift A ind p) a = p a
unfolding flatP-ext lift-ext[OF p]
apply(subst ext-o[symmetric, of - - - A])
using assms by (auto simp: ext-ind)

lemma flatP-ind:
assumes Da:  $Da \subseteq Subdis\ A$ 
and p ∈ Da and a ∈ A
shows flatP Da (ind p) a = p a
unfolding flatP-def apply(rule isum-eq-singl[of - p p a]) using assms
by (auto simp: Subdis-ge-0)

lemma flatP-lift:
assumes Da:  $Da \subseteq Subdis\ A$ 
and Db:  $Db \subseteq Subdis\ B$ 
and Dab:  $\forall p \in Da. lift\ A\ f\ p \in Db$ 
assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f\ a \in B$  and pp:  $pp \in Subdis\ Da$  and b:  $b \in B$ 
shows flatP Db (lift Da (lift A f) pp) b = lift A f (flatP Da pp) b
proof-
have 0:  $\bigwedge p. p \in Subdis\ A \implies positive(\lambda a. p\ a * ind(f\ a)\ b)\ A$ 
and sbounded ( $\lambda a. p\ a * ind(f\ a)\ b)\ A$ 
apply safe
subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def by (simp add: Subdis-ge-0)
subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of - - - 1]) using assms
by (auto simp: ind-le-1).
show ?thesis
unfolding lift-ext[OF pp] unfolding ext-def flatP-def
apply(subst lift-ext)
subgoal unfolding Subdis-def apply(subst Subdis-def[symmetric])
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Da Subdis-eq flatP-Subdis flatP-def isum-cong pp)

subgoal unfolding ext-def
subgoal
apply(rule trans[of - isum (λp. isum (λa. pp a * (ind ∘ lift A f) a p * p b)
Da) Db])
subgoal apply(rule isum-cong)
subgoal by simp
subgoal for p apply(subst isum-distribR)

```

```

    subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def by (simp add: Subdis-ge-0)
      subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of --- 1]) using assms by (auto
        simp: ind-le-1)
        subgoal by (meson Db Subdis-ge-0 b subsetD)
        subgoal by simp ..
      subgoal
        apply(rule trans[of - isum (\lambda a. isum (\lambda p. pp p * p a * (ind o f) a b) Da)
A])
        subgoal apply(subst isum-swap[of -- Da])
          subgoal unfolding mult.assoc apply(rule sbounded-prod-Subdis)
            subgoal unfolding Subdis-def[of Db] mem-Collect-eq apply safe
              subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def
              by (metis Subdis-ge-0 comp-apply in-mono ind-ge-0 mult-nonneg-nonneg)
              subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of --- 1]) using assms by
                (auto simp: Subdis-le-1)
                subgoal for p apply(rule isum-le-singl[of - lift A f p - Db])
                  subgoal by simp (meson Dab Db Subdis-le-1 b in-mono)
                  subgoal by simp
                  subgoal by simp (meson Dab Db Subdis-ge-0 b in-mono)
                  subgoal using Dab by simp ..
                subgoal by fact .
                subgoal apply(subst isum-swap[of -- Da])
                  subgoal unfolding mult.assoc apply(rule sbounded-prod-Subdis)
                    subgoal using Da by (auto intro!: ind-mult-SubdisL)
                    subgoal by fact .
                  subgoal apply(rule isum-cong)
                    subgoal by simp
                    subgoal for p unfolding o-def lift-def mult.assoc
                      subgoal apply(subst isum-distribL[symmetric])
                        subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def
                          by (meson Subdis-ge-0 in-mono ind-ge-0 mult-nonneg-nonneg)
                          subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of --- 1]) using assms by
                            (auto simp: Subdis-le-1)
                            subgoal using Subdis-ge-0 pp by fastforce
                            subgoal apply(subst isum-distribL[symmetric])
                              subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def
                                by (meson Subdis-ge-0 in-mono ind-ge-0 mult-nonneg-nonneg)
                                subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of --- 1]) using assms
                                  by (auto simp: Subdis-le-1)
                                  subgoal using Subdis-ge-0 pp by fastforce
                                  subgoal unfolding mult-cancel-left apply(rule disjI2)
                                    apply(rule isum-eq-singl[of - \lambda b. isum p {a \in A. f a = b}])
                                    subgoal unfolding Subdis-def using Da
                                      by (fastforce intro: isum-zeros-cong sbounded-mono[of - A p]
                                        simp: Subdis-def)
                                      subgoal by simp
                                      subgoal apply(rule isum-ge-0) using Da 0 by auto
                                      subgoal unfolding lift-def[symmetric] by (simp add: Dab) ..
          ....

```

```

subgoal apply(rule isum-cong)
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal for p apply(subst isum-distribR)
    subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def
      by (metis Subdis-ge-0 in-mono mult-nonneg-nonneg)
    subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of - - - 1]) using assms by (auto simp: Subdis-le-1)
      subgoal using assms by (simp add: ind-ge-0)
      subgoal by simp . . .
qed

```

```

lemma flatP-flatP-lift:
  assumes Da: Da  $\subseteq$  Subdis A
  and fDa:  $\forall pp \in Daa. flatP Da pp \in Da$ 
  and Daa: Daa  $\subseteq$  Subdis Da
  assumes ppp: ppp  $\in$  Subdis Daa and a: a  $\in$  A
  shows flatP Da (flatP Daa ppp) a = flatP Da (lift Daa (flatP Da) ppp) a
  unfolding flatP-def lift-ext[OF ppp] ext-def
  apply(rule trans[of - isum (λp. isum (λpp. ppp pp * pp p * p a) Daa) Da])
  subgoal apply(rule isum-cong)
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal apply(rule isum-distribR)
      subgoal using assms unfolding Subdis-def[of Daa] positive-def
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) Subdis-ge-0 in-mono mult-nonneg-nonneg ppp)
      subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of - - - 1]) using assms by (auto simp: Subdis-le-1)
        subgoal by (meson Da Subdis-ge-0 a subsetD) . .
    subgoal apply(subst isum-swap)
      subgoal apply(subst mult.assoc) apply(rule sbounded-prod-Subdis)
        subgoal unfolding Subdis-def mem-Collect-eq apply safe unfolding Subdis-def[symmetric]
          subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def
            by (metis Subdis-ge-0 split-mult-pos-le subset-iff)
          subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of - - - 1])
            using assms Subdis-def by (auto simp: Subdis-le-1)
          subgoal by (metis (full-types) Da Daa Subdis-le-1 a flatP-Subdis flatP-def in-mono) .
        subgoal by fact .

    subgoal apply(rule trans[of - isum (λp. isum (λpp. ppp pp * (ind o (λpp a. isum (λp. pp p * p a) Da)) pp p * p a) Da)])
    subgoal apply(subst isum-swap[of - Da])
      subgoal apply(subst mult.assoc) apply(rule sbounded-prod-Subdis)
        subgoal unfolding Subdis-def mem-Collect-eq apply safe unfolding Subdis-def[symmetric]
          subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def

```

```

by simp (meson Subdis-ge-0 in-mono ind-ge-0 mult-nonneg-nonneg)
subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of --- 1])
  using assms Subdis-def by (auto simp: Subdis-le-1)
  subgoal for p unfolding o-def
    by (metis (no-types) Da Subdis-le-1 a flatP-Subdis flatP-def ind-Subdis)

  subgoal by fact .
  subgoal apply(rule isum-cong)
    subgoal by simp
  subgoal for pp unfolding mult.assoc apply(subst isum-distribL[symmetric])
    subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def
      by (metis Subdis-ge-0 mult-nonneg-nonneg subset-eq)
    subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of --- 1]) using assms by (auto
simp: Subdis-le-1)
    subgoal using Subdis-ge-0 ppp by fastforce
    subgoal apply(subst isum-distribL[symmetric])
      subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def
        by (smt Subdis-ge-0 comp-apply in-mono ind-ge-0 mult-nonneg-nonneg)
      subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of --- 1]) using assms by (auto
simp: Subdis-le-1)
      subgoal using Subdis-ge-0 ppp by fastforce
    subgoal unfolding mult-cancel-left apply(rule disjI2)
      unfolding o-def apply(subst isum-ind-multR)
      subgoal unfolding flatP-def[symmetric] using Daa fDa by auto
      subgoal apply(rule isum-ge-0)
        subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def
          by (metis Subdis-ge-0 mult-nonneg-nonneg subset-iff)
        subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of --- 1]) using assms by
(auto simp: Subdis-le-1) .
      subgoal by simp . . .
    subgoal apply(rule isum-cong)
      subgoal by auto
      apply(rule isum-distribR[symmetric])
        subgoal using assms unfolding positive-def by (simp add: Subdis-ge-0
ind-ge-0)
        subgoal apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of --- 1]) using assms by (auto
simp: Subdis-le-1 ind-le-1)
      subgoal using Da by (auto simp: Subdis-ge-0 a) . . .

```

definition flat :: ' a set \Rightarrow (($'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$) \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow ($'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$) **where**
 $\text{flat } A \text{ pp} \equiv \lambda a. \text{isum } (\lambda p. \text{pp } p * p \ a) (\text{Subdis } A)$

lemma flat-flatP: $\text{flat } A = \text{flatP } (\text{Subdis } A)$
by (auto simp: flat-def flatP-def)

```

lemma flat-ext: flat A = ext (Subdis A) id
  unfolding flat-flatP using flatP-ext .

lemma flat-eq:  $\forall p \in \text{Subdis } A. pp1\ p = pp2\ p \implies a \in A \implies \text{flat } A\ pp1\ a = \text{flat } A\ pp2\ a$ 
  by (simp add: flatP-eq flat-flatP)

lemma flat-Subdis: pp  $\in \text{Subdis } (\text{Subdis } A) \implies \text{flat } A\ pp \in \text{Subdis } A$ 
  unfolding flat-flatP apply(rule flatP-Subdis) by auto

lemma flat-lift-ind:
  assumes p: p  $\in \text{Subdis } A$  and a: a  $\in A$ 
  shows flat A (lift A ind p) a = p a
  by (simp add: a flatP-lift-ind flat-flatP image-subsetI p subset-eq)

lemma flat-ind:
  assumes p  $\in \text{Subdis } A$  and a  $\in A$ 
  shows flat A (ind p) a = p a
  by (metis assms flatP-ind flat-flatP subset-iff)

lemma flat-lift:
  assumes f:  $\forall a \in A. f\ a \in B$  and pp: pp  $\in \text{Subdis } (\text{Subdis } A)$  and b: b  $\in B$ 
  shows flat B (lift (Subdis A) (lift A f) pp) b = lift A f (flat A pp) b
  unfolding flat-flatP apply(rule flatP-lift)
  using assms using lift-Subdis by fastforce+

lemma flat-flat-lift:
  assumes ppp: ppp  $\in \text{Subdis } (\text{Subdis } (\text{Subdis } A))$  and a: a  $\in A$ 
  shows flat A (flat (Subdis A) ppp) a = flat A (lift (Subdis (Subdis A)) (flat A) ppp) a
  unfolding flat-flatP apply(rule flatP-flatP-lift)
  using assms by (auto intro: flatP-Subdis)

```

```

definition dflat :: ' $a$  set  $\Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real})$ ' where
  dflat A pp  $\equiv \lambda a. \text{isum } (\lambda p. pp\ p * p\ a) (\text{Dis } A)$ 

```

```

lemma dflat-flatP: dflat A = flatP (Dis A)
  by (auto simp: dflat-def flatP-def)

```

lemma *dflat-ext*: $dflat A = ext (Dis A)$ *id*
unfolding *dflat-flatP* **using** *flatP-ext* .

lemma *dflat-eq*: $\forall p \in Dis A. pp1 p = pp2 p \implies a \in A \implies dflat A pp1 a = dflat A pp2 a$
by (*metis dflat-flatP flatP-eq*)

lemma *dflat-Subdis*: $pp \in Subdis (Dis A) \implies dflat A pp \in Subdis A$
by (*simp add: Dis-incl-Subdis dflat-flatP flatP-Subdis*)

lemma *dflat-Dis*: $pp \in Dis (Dis A) \implies dflat A pp \in Dis A$
by (*simp add: dflat-ext ext-Dis*)

lemma *dflat-lift-ind*:
assumes $p: p \in Dis A$ **and** $a: a \in A$
shows $dflat A (lift A ind p) a = p a$
by (*metis Dis-Subdis-mono Dis-ind a dflat-flatP flatP-lift-ind image-subsetI p subsetI*)

lemma *dflat-ind*:
assumes $p \in Dis A$ **and** $a \in A$
shows $dflat A (ind p) a = p a$
by (*metis Dis-incl-Subdis assms dflat-flatP flatP-ind*)

lemma *dflat-lift-Subdis*:
assumes $f: \forall a \in A. f a \in B$ **and** $pp: pp \in Subdis (Dis A)$ **and** $b: b \in B$
shows $dflat B (lift (Dis A) (lift A f) pp) b = lift A f (dflat A pp) b$
unfolding *dflat-flatP apply(rule flatP-lift)*
using *assms using lift-Subdis Dis-incl-Subdis by (fastforce simp: lift-Dis) +*

corollary *dflat-lift*:
assumes $f: \forall a \in A. f a \in B$ **and** $pp: pp \in Dis (Dis A)$ **and** $b: b \in B$
shows $dflat B (lift (Dis A) (lift A f) pp) b = lift A f (dflat A pp) b$
by (*meson Dis-incl-Subdis b dflat-lift-Subdis f in-mono pp*)

lemma *dflat-dflat-lift-Subdis*:
assumes $ppp: ppp \in Subdis (Dis (Dis A))$ **and** $a: a \in A$
shows $dflat A (dflat (Dis A) ppp) a = dflat A (lift (Dis (Dis A)) (dflat A) ppp) a$
by (*metis Dis-incl-Subdis Dis-incl-Subdis a dflat-Dis dflat-flatP dflat-flatP flatP-flatP-lift ppp*)

corollary *dflat-dflat-lift*:

assumes $ppp: ppp \in Dis(Dis(Dis A))$ **and** $a: a \in A$
shows $dflat A (dflat(Dis A) ppp) a = dflat A (lift(Dis(Dis A)) (dflat A) ppp)$
 a
by (meson *Dis-incl-Subdis* *a dflat-dflat-lift-Subdis in-mono* *ppp*)

lemma *dflat-from-flat*:

assumes $pp: pp \in Subdis(Dis A)$ **and** $a: a \in A$
shows $dflat A pp a = flat A (\lambda p. if p \in Dis A then pp else 0) a$
using *assms unfolding dflat-def flat-def apply(intro isum-zeros-cong)*
subgoal **apply(rule disjI1)** **apply(rule Subdis-sboundedL[of - - - 1])**
by (auto simp: *Subdis-mono Subdis-le-1*)
subgoal by auto
subgoal using Dis-incl-Subdis by auto
subgoal by auto .

lemma *dflat-flat*:

assumes $pp: pp \in Subdis(Dis A)$ **and** $a: a \in A$ **and** $\forall p \in Subdis A - Dis A. pp p = 0$
shows $dflat A pp a = flat A pp a$
by (simp add: *assms dflat-from-flat flat-eq*)

lemma *dflat-flat'*:

assumes $pp: pp \in Dis(Dis A)$ **and** $a: a \in A$ **and** $\forall p \in Subdis A - Dis A. pp p = 0$
shows $dflat A pp a = flat A pp a$
by (meson *assms Dis-incl-Subdis dflat-flat in-mono*)

2.3 Expectation

definition *expd* :: '*a* set \Rightarrow ('*a* \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow ('*a* \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow real **where**
expd A p X \equiv *isum* ($\lambda a. p a * X a$) *A*

lemma *ext-expd*: $ext A f p b = expd A p (\lambda a. f a b)$
unfolding *ext-def expd-def* **by auto**

lemma *expd-ge-0'*:

assumes $p \in Subdis A$ **and** *positive f A* **and** *sbounded* ($\lambda a. p a * f a$) *A*
shows $expd A p f \geq 0$
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) *positive-def Subdis-def assms expd-def*

isum-ge-0 mem-Collect-eq mult-nonneg-nonneg)

lemma *expd-ge-0:*

assumes *p: p ∈ Subdis A and f: positive f A ∀ a∈A. f a ≤ x*
shows *expd A p f ≥ 0*
by (*meson Subdis-sboundedL expd-ge-0' assms*)

lemma *expd-le-upper:*

assumes *p: p ∈ Subdis A and f: positive f A ∀ a∈A. f a ≤ x and x: x ≥ 0*
shows *expd A p f ≤ x*
unfolding *expd-def apply(rule order.trans[of - isum (λa. p a * x) A])*
subgoal *apply(rule isum-mono)*
subgoal *apply(rule sbounded-multR)*
using *x f(2) Subdis-def p by auto*
subgoal *by (meson Subdis-ge-0 f(2) mult-left-mono p) .*
subgoal *apply(subst isum-distribR[symmetric])*
using *assms x unfolding Subdis-def*
by (*auto simp: mult.commute mult-left-le*) .

lemma *expd-ge-lower-Subdis:*

assumes *p: p ∈ Subdis A and f: ∀ a∈A. f a ≥ x and x: x ≥ 0*
and *pf: sbounded (λa. p a * f a) A*
shows *expd A p f ≥ x * isum p A*

proof–

have *f2: positive f A using fx unfolding positive-def by auto*
show *?thesis*
unfolding *expd-def apply(rule order.trans[of - isum (λa. p a * x) A])*
apply (*smt (verit, best) Subdis-def isum-cong isum-distribL mem-Collect-eq mult-commute-abs p x*)
by (*smt (verit) Subdis-ge-0 f isum-mono mult-mono p pf x*)
qed

lemma *expd-ge-lower-Dis':*

assumes *p: p ∈ Dis A and f: ∀ a∈A. f a ≥ x and x: x ≥ 0*
and *pf: sbounded (λa. p a * f a) A*
shows *expd A p f ≥ x*
apply (*rule order.trans[OF - expd-ge-lower-Subdis]*)
using *assms Dis-Subdis-mono by (auto simp: Dis-isum-1)*

lemma *expd-ge-lower-Dis:*

assumes *p: p ∈ Dis A and f: ∀ a∈A. f a ≥ x ∀ a∈A. f a ≤ y*
and *xy: x ≥ 0 y ≥ 0*
shows *expd A p f ≥ x*

proof–

have *sbounded (λa. p a * f a) A*
using *Dis-incl-Subdis Subdis-sboundedL f(2) p by blast*
thus *?thesis using assms apply(intro expd-ge-lower-Dis')* **by** *auto*
qed

```

lemma expd-ge01:
  assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$  and f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \geq 0 \quad \forall a \in A. f a \leq 1$ 
  shows expd A p f  $\geq 0$ 
  apply(rule order.trans[OF - expd-ge-lower-Subdis])
  using assms Dis-Subdis-mono by (auto simp: Subdis-sboundedL)

lemma expd-le01:
  assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$  and f:  $\forall a \in A. f a \geq 0 \quad \forall a \in A. f a \leq 1$ 
  shows expd A p f  $\leq 1$ 
  by (simp add: positive-def expd-le-upper assms)

lemma expd-const-Subdis[simp]:
  assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$  and c  $\geq 0$ 
  shows expd A p ( $\lambda\_. c$ ) =  $c * isum p A$ 
  unfolding expd-def apply(subst isum-distribR[symmetric])
  using assms unfolding Subdis-def by auto

lemma expd-const-le:
  assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$  and c  $\geq 0$ 
  shows expd A p ( $\lambda\_. c$ )  $\leq c$ 
  apply(subst expd-const-Subdis[OF assms])
  using assms by (simp add: Subdis-def mult-left-le)

lemma expd-const-Dis[simp]:
  assumes p:  $p \in Dis A$  and c  $\geq 0$ 
  shows expd A p ( $\lambda\_. c$ ) = c
  apply(subst expd-const-Subdis)
  using assms unfolding Dis-def Subdis-def by auto

lemma expd-eq-ct-iff[simp]:
  assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$  c  $> 0$ 
  shows expd A p ( $\lambda\_. c$ ) = c  $\longleftrightarrow p \in Dis A$ 
proof -
  have c =  $c * isum p A \longrightarrow p \in Dis A$ 
  using Dis-def assms by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types) assms expd-const-Dis expd-const-Subdis less-le)
qed

lemma expd-0[simp]:  $expd A p (\lambda\_. 0) = 0$ 
  unfolding expd-def by simp

lemma expd-1-le-1:  $p \in Subdis A \implies expd A p (\lambda\_. 1) \leq 1$ 
  using expd-const-le zero-le-one by blast

lemma expd-1-eq-1[simp]:  $p \in Dis A \implies expd A p (\lambda\_. 1) = 1$ 
  by simp

lemma expd-plus':

```

```

assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$ 
  and f1: positive  $f1 A$  sbounded  $(\lambda a. p a * f1 a) A$ 
  and f2: positive  $f2 A$  sbounded  $(\lambda a. p a * f2 a) A$ 
shows  $\expd{A}{p} (\lambda a. f1 a + f2 a) = \expd{A}{p} f1 + \expd{A}{p} f2$ 
unfoldings  $\expd\text{-def}$  apply(rule trans[of - isum  $(\lambda a. p a * f1 a + p a * f2 a) A$ ])

subgoal apply(rule isum-cong) by (simp-all add: distrib-left)
subgoal apply(subst isum-plus)
  using assms unfolding Subdis-def positive-def by auto .

lemma expd-plus:
assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$ 
  and f1: positive  $f1 A$  bdd-above  $(f1`A)$ 
  and f2: positive  $f2 A$  bdd-above  $(f2`A)$ 
shows  $\expd{A}{p} (\lambda a. f1 a + f2 a) = \expd{A}{p} f1 + \expd{A}{p} f2$ 
apply(rule expd-plus')
using assms unfolding bdd-above-def by (auto simp add: Subdis-sboundedL)

lemma expd-mult':
assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$ 
  and f: positive  $f A$  sbounded  $(\lambda a. p a * f a) A$  and c:  $c \geq 0$ 
shows  $\expd{A}{p} (\lambda a. c * f a) = c * \expd{A}{p} f$ 
unfoldings  $\expd\text{-def}$  unfolding mult.left-commute apply(rule isum-distribL[symmetric])
using assms unfolding Subdis-def positive-def by auto

lemma expd-mult:
assumes p:  $p \in Subdis A$ 
  and f: positive  $f A$  bdd-above  $(f`A)$  and c:  $c \geq 0$ 
shows  $\expd{A}{p} (\lambda a. c * f a) = c * \expd{A}{p} f$ 
apply(rule expd-mult')
using assms unfolding bdd-above-def by (auto simp: Subdis-sboundedL)

```

end