Binomial Heaps and Skew Binomial Heaps

Rene Meis Finn Nielsen Peter Lammich

February 6, 2026

Abstract

We implement and prove correct binomial heaps and skew binomial heaps. Both are data-structures for priority queues. While binomial heaps have logarithmic *findMin*, *deleteMin*, *insert*, and *meld* operations, skew binomial heaps have constant time *findMin*, *insert*, and *meld* operations, and only the *deleteMin*-operation is logarithmic. This is achieved by using *skew links* to avoid cascading linking on *insert*-operations, and *data-structural bootstrapping* to get constant-time *findMin* and *meld* operations. Our implementation follows the paper of Brodal and Okasaki [1].

Contents

1 Binomial Heaps **3**
- 1.1 Datatype Definition . . . 3
  - 1.1.1 Abstraction to Multiset . . . 3
  - 1.1.2 Invariant . . . 4
  - 1.1.3 Heap Ordering . . . 6
  - 1.1.4 Height and Length . . . 7
- 1.2 Operations . . . 10
  - 1.2.1 Empty . . . 10
  - 1.2.2 Insert . . . 10
  - 1.2.3 Meld . . . 13
  - 1.2.4 Find Minimal Element . . . 16
  - 1.2.5 Delete Minimal Element . . . 18
- 1.3 Hiding the Invariant . . . 23
  - 1.3.1 Datatype . . . 23
  - 1.3.2 Operations . . . 24
  - 1.3.3 Correctness . . . 25
- 1.4 Documentation . . . 26

2 Skew Binomial Heaps **27**
- 2.1 Datatype . . . 28
  - 2.1.1 Abstraction to Multisets . . . 28
  - 2.1.2 Invariant . . . 28
  - 2.1.3 Heap Order . . . 33
  - 2.1.4 Height and Length . . . 34
- 2.2 Operations . . . 39
  - 2.2.1 Empty Tree . . . 39
  - 2.2.2 Insert . . . 39
  - 2.2.3 meld . . . 42
  - 2.2.4 Find Minimal Element . . . 47
  - 2.2.5 Delete Minimal Element . . . 49
- 2.3 Bootstrapping . . . 59
  - 2.3.1 Auxiliary . . . 59
  - 2.3.2 Datatype . . . 60
  - 2.3.3 Specialization Boilerplate . . . 61
  - 2.3.4 Bootstrapping: Phase 1 . . . 67
  - 2.3.5 Bootstrapping: Phase 2 . . . 71
- 2.4 Hiding the Invariant . . . 73
  - 2.4.1 Datatype . . . 73
  - 2.4.2 Operations . . . 73
  - 2.4.3 Correctness . . . 75
- 2.5 Documentation . . . 76

1 Binomial Heaps

theory *BinomialHeap*
imports *Main HOL−Library.Multiset*
begin

locale *BinomialHeapStruc-loc*
begin

1.1 Datatype Definition

Binomial heaps are lists of binomial trees.

datatype ('*e*, '*a*) *BinomialTree* =
Node (*val*: '*e*) (*prio*: '*a*::*linorder*) (*rank*: *nat*) (*children*: ('*e* , '*a*) *BinomialTree list*)
type-synonym ('*e*, '*a*) *BinomialQueue-inv* = ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialTree list*

Combine two binomial trees (of rank r) to one (of rank $r + 1$).

fun *link* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialTree* ⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *BinomialTree* ⇒
('*e*, '*a*) *BinomialTree* **where**
link (*Node e1 a1 r1 ts1*) (*Node e2 a2 r2 ts2*) =
(*if a1*≤*a2*
then (*Node e1 a1* (*Suc r1*) ((*Node e2 a2 r2 ts2*)#*ts1*))
else (*Node e2 a2* (*Suc r2*) ((*Node e1 a1 r1 ts1*)#*ts2*)))

1.1.1 Abstraction to Multiset

Return a multiset with all (element, priority) pairs from a queue.

fun *tree-to-multiset*
:: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialTree* ⇒ ('*e* × '*a*) *multiset*
and *queue-to-multiset*
:: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* ⇒ ('*e* × '*a*) *multiset* **where**
tree-to-multiset (*Node e a r ts*) = {#(*e*,*a*)#} + *queue-to-multiset ts* |
queue-to-multiset [] = {#} |
queue-to-multiset (*t*#*q*) = *tree-to-multiset t* + *queue-to-multiset q*

lemma *qtmset-append-union*[*simp*]: *queue-to-multiset* (*q* @ *q*′) =
queue-to-multiset q + *queue-to-multiset q*′
apply(*induct q*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp add*: *union-ac*)
done

lemma *qtmset-rev*[*simp*]: *queue-to-multiset* (*rev q*) = *queue-to-multiset q*
apply(*induct q*)
apply(*simp*)
apply(*simp add*: *union-ac*)
done

1.1.2 Invariant

We first formulate the invariant for single binomial trees, and then extend the invariant to binomial heaps (lists of binomial trees). The invariant for trees claims that a tree labeled rank 0 has no children, and a tree labeled rank $r + 1$ is the result of a link operation of two rank r trees.

function *tree-invar* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialTree* ⇒ *bool* **where**
tree-invar (*Node e a 0 ts*) = (*ts* = []) |
tree-invar (*Node e a* (*Suc r*) *ts*) =
(∃ *e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2*.
tree-invar (*Node e1 a1 r ts1*) ∧
tree-invar (*Node e2 a2 r ts2*) ∧
(*Node e a* (*Suc r*) *ts*) = *link* (*Node e1 a1 r ts1*) (*Node e2 a2 r ts2*))
by *pat-completeness auto*
termination
apply(*relation measure* (λ*t*. *rank t*))
apply *auto*
done

A queue satisfies the invariant, iff all trees inside the queue satisfy the invariant, and the queue contains only trees of distinct rank and is ordered by rank

First part: All trees of the queue satisfy the tree invariant:

definition *queue-invar* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* ⇒ *bool* **where**
queue-invar q ≡ (∀ *t* ∈ *set q*. *tree-invar t*)

Second part: Trees have distinct rank, and are ordered by ascending rank:

fun *rank-invar* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* ⇒ *bool* **where**
rank-invar [] = *True* |
rank-invar [*t*] = *True* |
rank-invar (*t* # *t*′ # *bq*) = (*rank t* < *rank t*′ ∧ *rank-invar* (*t*′ # *bq*))

lemma *queue-invar-simps*[*simp*]:
queue-invar []
queue-invar (*t*#*q*) ⟷ *tree-invar t* ∧ *queue-invar q*
queue-invar (*q*@*q*′) ⟷ *queue-invar q* ∧ *queue-invar q*′
unfolding *queue-invar-def* **by** *auto*

Invariant for binomial queues:

definition *invar q* == *queue-invar q* ∧ *rank-invar q*

lemma *mset-link*[*simp*]: (*tree-to-multiset* (*link t1 t2*))
= (*tree-to-multiset t1*) + (*tree-to-multiset t2*)
by(*cases t1*, *cases t2*, *auto simp add*: *union-ac*)

lemma *link-tree-invar*:
⟦*tree-invar t1*; *tree-invar t2*; *rank t1* = *rank t2*⟧ ⟹ *tree-invar* (*link t1 t2*)

by (*cases t1*, *cases t2*, *simp*, *blast*)

lemma *invar-children*:
assumes *tree-invar* ((*Node e a r ts*)::(('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialTree*))
shows *queue-invar ts* **using** *assms*
unfolding *queue-invar-def*
proof(*induct r arbitrary*: *e a ts*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Suc r*)
from *Suc*(*2*) **obtain** *e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2* **where**
O: *tree-invar* (*Node e1 a1 r ts1*) *tree-invar* (*Node e2 a2 r ts2*)
(*Node e a* (*Suc r*) *ts*) = *link* (*Node e1 a1 r ts1*) (*Node e2 a2 r ts2*)
by (*simp only*: *tree-invar.simps*) *blast*
from *Suc*(*1*)[*OF O*(*1*)] *O*(*2*)
have *case1*: *queue-invar* ((*Node e2 a2 r ts2*) # *ts1*)
unfolding *queue-invar-def* **by** *simp*
from *Suc*(*1*)[*OF O*(*2*)] *O*(*1*)
have *case2*: *queue-invar* ((*Node e1 a1 r ts1*) # *ts2*)
unfolding *queue-invar-def* **by** *simp*
from *O*(*3*) **have** *ts* = (*if a1*≤*a2*
then (*Node e2 a2 r ts2*) # *ts1*
else (*Node e1 a1 r ts1*) # *ts2*) **by** *auto*
with *case1 case2* **show** *?case* **unfolding** *queue-invar-def* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *invar-children'*: *tree-invar t* ⟹ *queue-invar* (*children t*)
by (*cases t*) (*auto simp add*: *invar-children*)

lemma *rank-link*: *rank t* = *rank t'* ⟹ *rank* (*link t t'*) = *rank t* + *1*
apply (*cases t*)
apply (*cases t'*)
apply(*auto*)
done

lemma *rank-invar-not-empty-hd*: ⟦*rank-invar* (*t* # *bq*); *bq* ≠ []⟧ ⟹
rank t < *rank* (*hd bq*)
apply(*induct bq arbitrary*: *t*)
apply(*auto*)
done

lemma *rank-invar-to-set*: *rank-invar* (*t* # *bq*) ⟹
∀ *t'* ∈ *set bq*. *rank t* < *rank t'*
apply(*induct bq arbitrary*: *t*)
apply(*simp*)
apply (*metis nat-less-le rank-invar.simps*(*3*) *set-ConsD xt1*(*7*))
done

lemma *set-to-rank-invar*: ⟦∀ $t' \in$ *set bq*. *rank t* < *rank t'*; *rank-invar bq*⟧
  ⟹ *rank-invar* (*t* # *bq*)
apply(*induct bq arbitrary*: *t*)
apply(*simp*)
by (*metis list.sel*(*1*) *hd-in-set list.distinct*(*1*) *rank-invar.simps*(*3*))

lemma *rank-invar-hd-cons*:
  ⟦*rank-invar bq*; *rank t* < *rank* (*hd bq*)⟧ ⟹ *rank-invar* (*t* # *bq*)
apply(*cases bq*)
apply(*auto*)
done

lemma *rank-invar-cons*: *rank-invar* (*t* # *bq*) ⟹ *rank-invar bq*
apply(*cases bq*)
apply(*auto*)
done

lemma *invar-cons-up*:
  ⟦*invar* (*t* # *bq*); *rank t'* < *rank t*; *tree-invar t'*⟧ ⟹ *invar* (*t'* # *t* # *bq*)
  unfolding *invar-def*
  by (*cases bq*) *simp-all*

lemma *invar-cons-down*: *invar* (*t* # *bq*) ⟹ *invar bq*
  unfolding *invar-def*
  by (*cases bq*) *simp-all*

lemma *invar-app-single*:
  ⟦*invar bq*; ∀ *t* ∈ *set bq*. *rank t* < *rank t'*; *tree-invar t'*⟧
   ⟹ *invar* (*bq* @ [*t'*])
proof (*induct bq*)
  case *Nil*
  then show *?case* **by** (*simp add*: *invar-def*)
next
  case (*Cons a bq*)
  from ‹*invar* (*a* # *bq*)› **have** *invar bq* **by** (*rule invar-cons-down*)
  with *Cons* **have** *invar* (*bq* @ [*t'*]) **by** *simp*
  with *Cons* **show** *?case* **by** (*cases bq*) (*simp-all add*: *invar-def*)
qed

1.1.3 Heap Ordering

fun *heap-ordered* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialTree* ⇒ *bool* **where**
  heap-ordered (*Node e a r ts*) = (∀ *x* ∈ *set-mset*(*queue-to-multiset ts*). *a* ≤ *snd x*)

The invariant for trees implies heap order.

lemma *tree-invar-heap-ordered*:
  assumes *tree-invar t*

```
  shows heap-ordered t
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show ?thesis
  proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
    case 0
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Suc nat t)
    then obtain t1 e1 a1 ts1 t2 e2 a2 ts2 where
      O: tree-invar t1  tree-invar t2 t = link t1 t2
      and t1[simp]: t1 = (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)
      and t2[simp]: t2 = (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
      by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
    from O(3) have t = (if  a1≤a2
      then (Node e1 a1 (Suc nat) (t2 # ts1))
      else (Node e2 a2 (Suc nat) (t1 # ts2))) by simp
    with Suc(1)[OF O(1) t1] Suc(1)[OF O(2) t2]
    show ?case by (cases a1 ≤ a2) auto
  qed
qed
```

1.1.4 Height and Length

Although complexity of HOL-functions cannot be expressed within HOL, we can express the height and length of a binomial heap. By showing that both, height and length, are logarithmic in the number of contained elements, we give strong evidence that our functions have logarithmic complexity in the number of elements.

Height of a tree and queue

```
fun height-tree :: ('e, ('a::linorder)) BinomialTree ⇒ nat and
    height-queue :: ('e, ('a::linorder)) BinomialQueue-inv ⇒ nat
  where
  height-tree (Node e a r ts) = height-queue ts |
  height-queue [] = 0 |
  height-queue (t # ts) = max (Suc (height-tree t)) (height-queue ts)

lemma link-length: size (tree-to-multiset (link t1 t2)) =
  size (tree-to-multiset t1) + size (tree-to-multiset t2)
  apply(cases t1)
  apply(cases t2)
  apply simp
  done

lemma tree-rank-estimate:
  tree-invar (Node e a r ts) ⟹
    size (tree-to-multiset (Node e a r ts)) = (2::nat)^r
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)
```

```
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc r)
  from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 where link:
    (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
    and inv1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1)
    and inv2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2) by simp blast
  from link-length[of (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)]
    Suc(1)[OF inv1] Suc(1)[OF inv2] link
  show ?case by simp
qed

lemma tree-rank-height:
  tree-invar (Node e a r ts) ⟹ height-tree (Node e a r ts) = r
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc r)
  from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 where link:
    (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
    and inv1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1)
    and inv2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2) by simp blast
  with link Suc(1)[OF inv1] Suc(1)[OF inv2] Suc(2) show ?case
    by (cases a1 ≤ a2) simp-all
qed
```

A binomial tree of height h contains exactly 2^h elements

```
theorem tree-height-estimate:
  tree-invar t ⟹ size (tree-to-multiset t) = (2::nat)^(height-tree t)
  apply (cases t, simp only:)
  apply (frule tree-rank-estimate)
  apply (frule tree-rank-height)
  apply (simp only: )
  done



lemma size-mset-tree: tree-invar t ⟹
  size (tree-to-multiset t) = (2::nat)^(rank t)
  by (cases t) (simp only: tree-rank-estimate BinomialTree.sel(3))


lemma invar-butlast: invar (bq @ [t]) ⟹ invar bq
  unfolding invar-def
  apply (induct bq) apply simp apply (case-tac bq)
  by (simp-all)
```

lemma *invar-last-max*: *invar* (*bq* @ [*m*]) ⟹ ∀ *t* ∈ *set bq*. *rank t* < *rank m*
unfolding *invar-def*
apply (*induct bq*) **apply** *simp* **apply** (*case-tac bq*) **apply** *simp* **by** *simp*

lemma *invar-length*: *invar bq* ⟹ *length bq* ≤ *Suc* (*rank* (*last bq*))
proof (*induct bq rule*: *rev-induct*)
case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*snoc x xs*)
show *?case* **proof** (*cases xs*)
case *Nil* **thus** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case [*simp*]: (*Cons xxs xx*)
from *snoc.hyps*[*OF invar-butlast*[*OF snoc.prems*]] **have**
IH: *length xs* ≤ *Suc* (*rank* (*last xs*)) **.**
also from *invar-last-max*[*OF snoc.prems*] *last-in-set*[*of xs*] **have**
Suc (*rank* (*last xs*)) ≤ *rank* (*last* (*xs* @ [*x*]))
by *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *size-queue-sum-list*:
size (*queue-to-multiset bq*) = *sum-list* (*map* (*size* ∘ *tree-to-multiset*) *bq*)
by (*induct bq*) *simp-all*

A binomial heap of length l contains at least $2^l - 1$ elements.

theorem *queue-length-estimate-lower*:
invar bq ⟹ (*size* (*queue-to-multiset bq*)) ≥ *2*^(*length bq*) − *1*
proof (*induct bq rule*: *rev-induct*)
case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*snoc x xs*)
from *snoc.hyps*[*OF invar-butlast*[*OF snoc.prems*]]
have *IH*: *2* ^ *length xs* ≤ *Suc* (*size* (*queue-to-multiset xs*)) **by** *simp*
have *size-q*:
size (*queue-to-multiset* (*xs* @ [*x*])) =
size (*queue-to-multiset xs*) + *size* (*tree-to-multiset x*)
by (*simp add*: *size-queue-sum-list*)
also
from *snoc.prems* **have** *inv-x*: *tree-invar x* **by** (*simp add*: *invar-def*)
hence *size* (*tree-to-multiset x*) = *2* ^ *rank x* **by** (*simp add*: *size-mset-tree*)
finally have
eq: *size* (*queue-to-multiset* (*xs* @ [*x*])) =
size (*queue-to-multiset xs*) + (*2*::*nat*)^(*rank x*) **.**
from *invar-length*[*OF snoc.prems*] **have** *length xs* ≤ *rank x* **by** *simp*
hence *snd*: (*2*::*nat*) ^ *length xs* ≤ (*2*::*nat*) ^ *rank x* **by** *simp*
have
(*2*::*nat*) ^ *length* (*xs* @ [*x*]) = (*2*::*nat*) ^ (*length xs*) + (*2*::*nat*) ^ (*length xs*)

```
    by simp
  with IH have
    2 ^ length (xs @ [x]) ≤ Suc (size (queue-to-multiset xs)) + 2 ^ length xs
    by simp
  with snd have 2 ^ length (xs @ [x]) ≤
    Suc (size (queue-to-multiset xs)) + 2 ^ rank x
    by arith
  with eq show ?case by simp
qed
```

1.2 Operations

1.2.1 Empty

```
lemma empty-correct[simp]:
  invar Nil
  queue-to-multiset Nil = {#}
  by (simp-all add: invar-def)
```

The empty multiset is represented by exactly the empty queue

```
lemma empty-iff: t=Nil ⟷ queue-to-multiset t = {#}
  apply (cases t)
  apply auto
  apply (case-tac a)
  apply auto
  done
```

1.2.2 Insert

Inserts a binomial tree into a binomial queue, such that the queue does not contain two trees of same rank.

```
fun  ins :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialTree ⇒ ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv ⇒
  ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv where
  ins t [] = [t] |
  ins t' (t # bq) = (if (rank t') < (rank t)
    then t' # t # bq
    else (if (rank t) < (rank t')
          then t # (ins t' bq)
          else ins (link t' t) bq))
```

Inserts an element with priority into the queue.

```
definition insert :: 'e ⇒ 'a::linorder ⇒ ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv ⇒
  ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv where
  insert e a bq = ins (Node e a 0 []) bq

lemma ins-mset:
  ⟦tree-invar t; queue-invar q⟧ ⟹ queue-to-multiset (ins t q)
   = tree-to-multiset t + queue-to-multiset q
by (induct q arbitrary: t) (auto simp: union-ac link-tree-invar)
```

lemma *insert-mset*: *queue-invar q* $\Longrightarrow$
  queue-to-multiset (*insert e a q*) = *queue-to-multiset q* + {# (*e*,*a*) #}
by(*simp add*: *ins-mset union-ac insert-def*)

lemma *ins-queue-invar*: ⟦*tree-invar t*; *queue-invar q*⟧ $\Longrightarrow$ *queue-invar* (*ins t q*)
proof (*induct q arbitrary*: *t*)
  case (*Cons a q*)
  note *iv* = *Cons.hyps*
  show *?case*
  proof (*cases rank t* = *rank a*)
    case [*simp*]: *True*
    from *Cons.prems* **have**
      inv-a: *tree-invar a* **and** *inv-q*: *queue-invar q*
      by (*simp-all*)
    note *inv-link* = *link-tree-invar*[*OF* ‹*tree-invar t*› *inv-a True*]
    from *iv*[*OF inv-link inv-q*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
  next
    case *False*
    with *Cons* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
  qed
qed *simp*

lemma *insert-queue-invar*:
  assumes *queue-invar q*
  shows *queue-invar* (*insert e a q*)
proof −
  have *inv*: *tree-invar* (*Node e a 0* []) **by** *simp*
  from *ins-queue-invar*[*OF inv assms*] **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *insert-def*)
qed

lemma *rank-ins*: (*rank-invar* (*t* # *bq*) $\Longrightarrow$
  (*rank* (*hd* (*ins t′* (*t* # *bq*))) ≥ *rank t*) ∨
  (*rank* (*hd* (*ins t′* (*t* # *bq*))) ≥ *rank t′*))
  apply(*auto*)
  apply(*induct bq arbitrary*: *t t′*)
  apply(*simp add*: *rank-link*)
proof *goal-cases*
  case *prems*: (*1 a bq t t′*)
  thus *?case*
    apply(*cases rank* (*link t′ t*) = *rank a*)
    apply(*auto simp add*: *rank-link*)
  proof *goal-cases*
    case *1*
    note ∗ = *this* **and** ‹⋀*t′ t*. ⟦*rank-invar* (*t* # *bq*); *rank t′* = *rank t*⟧
      $\Longrightarrow$ *rank t* ≤ *rank* (*hd* (*ins* (*link t′ t*) *bq*))›[*of a* (*link t′ t*)]
    show *?case*
    proof (*cases rank* (*hd* (*ins* (*link* (*link t′ t*) *a*) *bq*)) = *rank a*)
      case *True*

```
    with ∗ show ?thesis by simp
   next
    case False
    with ∗ have rank a ≤ rank (hd (ins (link (link t' t) a) bq))
     by (simp add: rank-link)
    with ∗ show ?thesis by simp
   qed
  qed
qed

lemma rank-ins2: rank-invar bq ⟹
  rank t ≤ rank (hd (ins t bq)) ∨
  (rank (hd (ins t bq)) = rank (hd bq) ∧ bq ≠ [])
  apply(induct bq arbitrary: t)
  apply(auto)
proof goal-cases
  case prems: (1 a bq t)
  hence r: rank (link t a) = rank a + 1 by (simp add: rank-link)
  from prems r and prems(1)[of (link t a)] show ?case by (cases bq) auto
qed

lemma rank-invar-ins: rank-invar bq ⟹ rank-invar (ins t bq)
  apply(induct bq arbitrary: t)
  apply(simp)
  apply(auto)
proof goal-cases
  case prems: (1 a bq t)
  hence inv: rank-invar (ins t bq) by (cases bq) simp-all
  from prems have hd: bq ≠ [] ⟹ rank a < rank (hd bq)
   by (cases bq) auto
  from prems have rank t ≤ rank (hd (ins t bq)) ∨
   (rank (hd (ins t bq)) = rank (hd bq) ∧ bq ≠ [])
   by (simp add: rank-ins2 rank-invar-cons)
  with prems have rank a < rank (hd (ins t bq)) ∨
   (rank (hd (ins t bq)) = rank (hd bq) ∧ bq ≠ []) by auto
  with prems and inv and hd show ?case by (auto simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons)
next
  case prems: (2 a bq t)
  hence inv: rank-invar bq by (cases bq) simp-all
  with prems and prems(1)[of (link t a)] show ?case by simp
qed

lemma rank-invar-insert: rank-invar bq ⟹ rank-invar (insert e a bq)
  by (simp add: rank-invar-ins insert-def)

lemma insert-correct:
  assumes I: invar q
  shows
  invar (insert e a q)
```

queue-to-multiset (*insert e a q*) = *queue-to-multiset q* + {# (*e*,*a*) #}
using *insert-queue-invar*[*of q*] *rank-invar-insert*[*of q*] *insert-mset*[*of q*] *I*
unfolding *invar-def* **by** *auto*

1.2.3 Meld

Melds two queues.

fun *meld* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* ⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *BinomialQueue-inv*
⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *BinomialQueue-inv*
where
meld [] *bq* = *bq* |
meld bq [] = *bq* |
meld (*t1*#*bq1*) (*t2*#*bq2*) =
(*if* (*rank t1*) < (*rank t2*)
then t1 # (*meld bq1* (*t2* # *bq2*))
else (
if (*rank t2* < *rank t1*)
then t2 # (*meld* (*t1* # *bq1*) *bq2*)
else ins (*link t1 t2*) (*meld bq1 bq2*)
)
)

lemma *meld-queue-invar*:
⟦*queue-invar q*; *queue-invar q*'⟧ ⟹ *queue-invar* (*meld q q*')
proof (*induct q q*' *rule*: *meld.induct*)
case *1*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case *2*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*3 t1 bq1 t2 bq2*)
consider (*lt*) *rank t1* < *rank t2* | (*gt*) *rank t1* > *rank t2* | (*eq*) *rank t1* = *rank t2*
by *atomize-elim auto*
then show *?case*
proof *cases*
case *lt*
from *3*(*4*) **have** *inv-bq1*: *queue-invar bq1* **by** *simp*
from *3*(*4*) **have** *inv-t1*: *tree-invar t1* **by** *simp*
from *3*(*1*)[*OF lt inv-bq1 3*(*5*)] *inv-t1 lt*
show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case *gt*
from *3*(*5*) **have** *inv-bq2*: *queue-invar bq2* **by** *simp*
from *3*(*5*) **have** *inv-t2*: *tree-invar t2* **by** *simp*
from *gt* **have** ¬ *rank t1* < *rank t2* **by** *simp*
from *3*(*2*)[*OF this gt 3*(*4*) *inv-bq2*] *inv-t2 gt*
show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

```
  next
    case eq
    from 3(4) have inv-bq1: queue-invar bq1 by simp
    from 3(4) have inv-t1: tree-invar t1 by simp
    from 3(5) have inv-bq2: queue-invar bq2 by simp
    from 3(5) have inv-t2: tree-invar t2 by simp
    note inv-link = link-tree-invar[OF inv-t1 inv-t2 eq]
    from eq have *: ¬ rank t1 < rank t2 ¬ rank t2 < rank t1 by simp-all
    note inv-meld = 3(3)[OF * inv-bq1 inv-bq2]
    from ins-queue-invar[OF inv-link inv-meld] *
    show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

lemma rank-ins-min: rank-invar bq ⟹
  rank (hd (ins t bq)) ≥ min (rank t) (rank (hd bq))
  apply(induct bq arbitrary: t)
  apply(auto)
proof goal-cases
  case prems: (1 a bq t)
  hence inv: rank-invar bq by (cases bq) simp-all
  from prems have r: rank (link t a) = rank a + 1 by (simp add: rank-link)
  with prems and inv and prems(1)[of (link t a)] show ?case by (cases bq) auto
qed

lemma rank-invar-meld-strong:
  ⟦rank-invar bq1; rank-invar bq2⟧ ⟹ rank-invar (meld bq1 bq2) ∧
  rank (hd (meld bq1 bq2)) ≥ min (rank (hd bq1)) (rank (hd bq2))
proof (induct bq1 bq2 rule: meld.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (3 t1 bq1 t2 bq2)
  from 3 have inv1: rank-invar bq1 by (cases bq1) simp-all
  from 3 have inv2: rank-invar bq2 by (cases bq2) simp-all

  from inv1 and inv2 and 3 show ?case
  proof (auto, goal-cases)
    let ?t = t2
    let ?bq = bq2
    let ?meld = rank t2 < rank (hd (meld (t1 # bq1) bq2))
    case prems: 1
    hence ?bq ≠ [] ⟹ rank ?t < rank (hd ?bq)
      by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
    with prems have ne: ?bq ≠ [] ⟹ ?meld by simp
    from prems have ?bq = [] ⟹ ?meld by simp
```

```
  with ne have ?meld by (cases ?bq = [])
  with prems show ?case by (simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons)
next — analog
  let ?t = t1
  let ?bq = bq1
  let ?meld = rank t1 < rank (hd (meld bq1 (t2 # bq2)))
  case prems: 2
  hence ?bq ≠ [] ⟹ rank ?t < rank (hd ?bq)
    by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
  with prems have ne: ?bq ≠ [] ⟹ ?meld by simp
  from prems have ?bq = [] ⟹ ?meld by simp
  with ne have ?meld by (cases ?bq = [])
  with prems show ?case by (simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons)
next
  case 3
  thus ?case by (simp add: rank-invar-ins)
next
  case prems: 4
  then have r: rank (link t1 t2) = rank t2 + 1
    by (simp add: rank-link)
  have m: meld bq1 [] = bq1 by (cases bq1, auto)

  from inv1 and inv2 and prems
  have mm: min (rank (hd bq1)) (rank (hd bq2)) ≤ rank (hd (meld bq1 bq2))
    by simp
  from ‹rank-invar (t1 # bq1)› have bq1 ≠ [] ⟹ rank t1 < rank (hd bq1)
    by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
  with prems have r1: bq1 ≠ [] ⟹ rank t2 < rank (hd bq1) by simp
  from ‹rank-invar (t2 # bq2)›
  have r2: bq2 ≠ [] ⟹ rank t2 < rank (hd bq2)
    by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)

  from inv1 r r1 rank-ins-min[of bq1 (link t1 t2)]
  have abc1: bq1 ≠ [] ⟹ rank t2 ≤ rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) bq1))
    by simp
  from inv2 r r2 rank-ins-min[of bq2 (link t1 t2)]
  have abc2: bq2 ≠ [] ⟹ rank t2 ≤ rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) bq2))
    by simp
  from r1 r2 mm have
    ⟦bq1 ≠ []; bq2 ≠ []⟧ ⟹ rank t2 < rank (hd (meld bq1 bq2)) by simp
  with ‹rank-invar (meld bq1 bq2)›
    r rank-ins-min[of meld bq1 bq2 link t1 t2]
  have ⟦bq1 ≠ []; bq2 ≠ []⟧ ⟹
    rank t2 < rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) (meld bq1 bq2))) by simp
  thm rank-ins-min[of meld bq1 bq2 link t1 t2]
  with inv1 and inv2 and r m r1 show ?case
    apply(cases bq2 = [])
    apply(cases bq1 = [])
    apply(simp)
```

```
    apply(auto simp add: abc1)
    apply(cases bq1 = [])
    apply(simp)
    apply(auto simp add: abc2)
    done
  qed
qed

lemma rank-invar-meld:
  ⟦rank-invar bq1; rank-invar bq2⟧ ⟹ rank-invar (meld bq1 bq2)
  by (simp only: rank-invar-meld-strong)

lemma meld-mset: ⟦queue-invar q; queue-invar q'⟧ ⟹
  queue-to-multiset (meld q q') =
  queue-to-multiset q + queue-to-multiset q'
by(induct q q' rule: meld.induct)
  (auto simp add: link-tree-invar meld-queue-invar ins-mset union-ac)

lemma meld-correct:
  assumes invar q invar q'
  shows
  invar (meld q q')
  queue-to-multiset (meld q q') = queue-to-multiset q + queue-to-multiset q'
  using assms
  unfolding invar-def
  by (simp-all add: meld-queue-invar rank-invar-meld meld-mset)
```

1.2.4 Find Minimal Element

Finds the tree containing the minimal element.

```
fun getMinTree :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialQueue-inv ⇒
  ('e, 'a) BinomialTree where
  getMinTree [t] = t |
  getMinTree (t#bq) = (if prio t ≤ prio (getMinTree bq)
    then t else (getMinTree bq))

lemma mintree-exists: (bq ≠ []) = (getMinTree bq ∈ set bq)
proof (induct bq)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons - bq)
  then show ?case by (cases bq) simp-all
qed

lemma treehead-in-multiset:
  t ∈ set bq ⟹ (val t, prio t) ∈# queue-to-multiset bq
  by (induct bq, simp, cases t, auto)
```

```
lemma heap-ordered-single:
heap-ordered t = (∀ x ∈ set-mset (tree-to-multiset t). prio t ≤ snd x)
  by (cases t) auto

lemma getMinTree-cons:
  prio (getMinTree (y # x # xs)) ≤ prio (getMinTree (x # xs))
  by (induct xs rule: getMinTree.induct) simp-all

lemma getMinTree-min-tree:
  t ∈ set bq ⟹ prio (getMinTree bq) ≤ prio t
  apply(induct bq arbitrary: t rule: getMinTree.induct)
  apply simp
  defer
  apply simp
proof goal-cases
  case prems: (1 t v va ta)
  thus ?case
    apply (cases ta = t)
    apply auto[1]
    apply (metis getMinTree-cons prems(1) prems(3) set-ConsD xt1(6))
    done
qed

lemma getMinTree-min-prio:
  assumes queue-invar bq
    and y ∈ set-mset (queue-to-multiset bq)
  shows prio (getMinTree bq) ≤ snd y
proof −
  from assms have bq ≠ [] by (cases bq) simp-all
  with assms have ∃ t ∈ set bq. (y ∈ set-mset ((tree-to-multiset t)))
  proof (induct bq)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons a bq)
    thus ?case
      apply(cases y ∈ set-mset (tree-to-multiset a))
      apply simp
      apply(cases bq)
      apply simp-all
      done
  qed
  from this obtain t where O:
    t ∈ set bq
    y ∈ set-mset (tree-to-multiset t) by blast
  obtain e a r ts where [simp]: t = (Node e a r ts) by (cases t) blast
  from O assms(1) have inv: tree-invar t by (simp add: queue-invar-def)
  from tree-invar-heap-ordered[OF inv] heap-ordered.simps[of e a r ts] O
  have prio t ≤ snd y by auto
```

with *getMinTree-min-tree*[*OF O*(*1*)] **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

Finds the minimal Element in the queue.

definition *findMin* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* ⇒ ('*e* × '*a*) **where**
findMin bq = (*let min* = *getMinTree bq in* (*val min*, *prio min*))

lemma *findMin-correct*:
assumes *I*: *invar q*
assumes *NE*: *q* ≠ *Nil*
shows
findMin q ∈# *queue-to-multiset q*
∀ *y*∈*set-mset* (*queue-to-multiset q*). *snd* (*findMin q*) ≤ *snd y*
proof −
from *NE* **have** *getMinTree q* ∈ *set q* **by** (*simp only*: *mintree-exists*)
thus *findMin q* ∈# *queue-to-multiset q*
by (*simp add*: *treehead-in-multiset Let-def findMin-def*)
show ∀ *y*∈*set-mset* (*queue-to-multiset q*). *snd* (*findMin q*) ≤ *snd y*
using *I*[*unfolded invar-def*]
by (*auto simp add*: *getMinTree-min-prio Let-def findMin-def*)
qed

1.2.5 Delete Minimal Element

Removes the first tree, which has the priority *a* within his root.

fun *remove1Prio* :: '*a* ⇒ ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* ⇒
('*e*, '*a*) *BinomialQueue-inv* **where**
remove1Prio a [] = [] |
remove1Prio a (*t*#*bq*) =
(*if* (*prio t*) = *a then bq else t* # (*remove1Prio a bq*))

Returns the queue without the minimal element.

definition *deleteMin* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* ⇒
('*e*, '*a*) *BinomialQueue-inv* **where**
deleteMin bq ≡ (*let min* = *getMinTree bq in*
meld (*rev* (*children min*))
(*remove1Prio* (*prio min*) *bq*))

lemma *queue-invar-rev*: *queue-invar q* ⟹ *queue-invar* (*rev q*)
by (*simp add*: *queue-invar-def*)

lemma *queue-invar-remove1*: *queue-invar q* ⟹ *queue-invar* (*remove1 t q*)
by (*auto simp add*: *queue-invar-def*)

lemma *qtm-in-set-subset*: *t* ∈ *set q* ⟹
tree-to-multiset t ⊆# *queue-to-multiset q*
proof(*induct q*)
case *Nil*

```
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a q)
  show ?case
  proof (cases t = a)
    case True
    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    with Cons have t-in-q: t ∈ set q by simp
    have queue-to-multiset q ⊆# queue-to-multiset (a # q)
      by simp
    from subset-mset.order-trans[OF Cons(1)[OF t-in-q] this] show ?thesis .
  qed
qed

lemma remove1-mset: t ∈ set q ⟹
  queue-to-multiset (remove1 t q) =
  queue-to-multiset q − tree-to-multiset t
by (induct q) (auto simp: qtm-in-set-subset)

lemma remove1Prio-remove1[simp]:
  remove1Prio (prio (getMinTree bq)) bq = remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq
proof (induct bq)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons t bq)
  note iv = Cons
  thus ?case
  proof (cases t = getMinTree (t # bq))
    case True
    with iv show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    hence ne: bq ≠ [] by auto
    with False have down: getMinTree (t # bq) = getMinTree bq
      by (induct bq rule: getMinTree.induct) auto
    from ne False have prio t ≠ prio (getMinTree bq)
      by (induct bq rule: getMinTree.induct) auto
    with down iv False ne show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

lemma deleteMin-queue-invar:
  assumes INV: queue-invar q
  assumes NE: q ≠ Nil
  shows queue-invar (deleteMin q)
proof (cases q)
  case Nil
```

```
  with assms show ?thesis by simp
next
  case Cons
  from NE and mintree-exists[of q] INV
  have inv-min: tree-invar (getMinTree q) by (simp add: queue-invar-def)
  note inv-children = invar-children'[OF inv-min]
  note inv-rev = queue-invar-rev[OF inv-children]
  note inv-rem = queue-invar-remove1[OF INV, of getMinTree q]
  from meld-queue-invar[OF inv-rev inv-rem] show ?thesis
    by (simp add: deleteMin-def Let-def)
qed

lemma children-rank-less:
  assumes tree-invar t
  shows ∀ t' ∈ set (children t). rank t' < rank t
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show ?thesis
  proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
    case 0
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Suc nat)
    then obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 where
      O: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) tree-invar (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
        t = link (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
      by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
    hence ch-id: children t =
      (if a1 ≤ a2 then (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)#ts1
       else (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)#ts2) by simp
    from O Suc(1)[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1 e1 a1 ts1]
    have  p1: ∀ t'∈set ((Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1). rank t' < Suc nat by auto
    from O Suc(1)[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2 e2 a2 ts2]
    have p2: ∀ t'∈set ((Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2). rank t' < Suc nat by auto
    from Suc(3) p1 p2 ch-id show ?case by simp
  qed
qed

lemma strong-rev-children:
  assumes tree-invar t
  shows invar (rev (children t))
  unfolding invar-def
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show queue-invar (rev (children t)) ∧ rank-invar (rev (children t))
  proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
    case 0
    then show ?case by simp
  next
```

```
    case (Suc nat)
    then obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 where
      O: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) tree-invar (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
        t = link (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
      by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
    hence ch-id: children t =
      (if a1 ≤ a2 then (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)#ts1
       else (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)#ts2) by simp
    from O Suc(1)[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1 e1 a1 ts1]
    have rev-ts1: invar (rev ts1) by (simp add: invar-def)
    from O children-rank-less[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1]
    have ∀ t∈set (rev ts1). rank t < rank (Node e2 a2 nat ts2) by simp
    with O rev-ts1 invar-app-single[of rev ts1 Node e2 a2 nat ts2]
    have p1: invar (rev ((Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1)) by simp
    from O Suc(1)[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2 e2 a2 ts2]
    have rev-ts2: invar (rev ts2) by (simp add: invar-def)
    from O children-rank-less[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2]
    have ∀ t∈set (rev ts2). rank t < rank (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) by simp
    with O rev-ts2 invar-app-single[of rev ts2 Node e1 a1 nat ts1]
    have p2: invar (rev ((Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2)) by simp
    from p1 p2 ch-id show ?case by (simp add: invar-def)
  qed
qed

lemma first-less: rank-invar (t # bq) ⟹ ∀ t' ∈ set bq. rank t < rank t'
  apply(induct bq arbitrary: t)
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis order-le-less rank-invar.simps(3) set-ConsD xt1(7))
  done

lemma strong-remove1: invar bq ⟹ invar (remove1 t bq)
proof (induct bq arbitrary: t)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a bq)
  show ?case
  proof (cases t=a)
    case True
    from Cons(2) have invar bq by (rule invar-cons-down)
    with True show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    from Cons(2) have invar bq by (rule invar-cons-down)
    with Cons(1)[of t] have si1: invar (remove1 t bq) .
    from False have invar (remove1 t (a # bq)) = invar (a # (remove1 t bq))
      by simp
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases remove1 t bq)
```

```
    case Nil
    with si1 Cons(2) False show ?thesis by (simp add: invar-def)
   next
    case Cons': (Cons aa list)
    from Cons have tree-invar a by (simp add: invar-def)
    from Cons first-less[of a bq] have ∀ t ∈ set (remove1 t bq). rank a < rank t
     by (metis notin-set-remove1 invar-def)
    with Cons' have rank a < rank aa by simp
    with si1 Cons(2) False Cons' invar-cons-up[of aa list a] show ?thesis
     by (simp add: invar-def)
   qed
  qed
qed

theorem deleteMin-invar:
  assumes invar bq
    and bq ≠ []
  shows invar (deleteMin bq)
proof −
  have eq: invar (deleteMin bq) =
    invar (meld (rev (children (getMinTree bq))) (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq))
    by (simp add: deleteMin-def Let-def)
  from assms mintree-exists[of bq] have ti: tree-invar (getMinTree bq)
    by (simp add: invar-def Let-def queue-invar-def)
  with strong-rev-children[of getMinTree bq]
  have m1: invar (rev (children (getMinTree bq))) .
  from strong-remove1[of bq getMinTree bq] assms(1)
  have m2: invar (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq) .
  from meld-correct(1)[of rev (children (getMinTree bq))
    remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq] m1 m2
 have invar (meld (rev (children (getMinTree bq))) (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq))
.
  with eq show ?thesis ..
qed

lemma children-mset: queue-to-multiset (children t) =
  tree-to-multiset t − {# (val t, prio t) #}
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  thus ?thesis by (induct list) simp-all
qed

lemma deleteMin-mset:
  assumes queue-invar q
    and q ≠ Nil
  shows queue-to-multiset (deleteMin q) = queue-to-multiset q − {# (findMin q)
#}
proof −
  from assms mintree-exists[of q] have min-in-q: getMinTree q ∈ set q by auto
```

with *assms*(*1*) **have** *inv-min*: *tree-invar* (*getMinTree q*)
by (*simp add*: *queue-invar-def*)
from *assms*(*2*) **have** *q-ne*: $q \neq []$ **.**
note *inv-children* = *invar-children'*[*OF inv-min*]
note *inv-rev* = *queue-invar-rev*[*OF inv-children*]
note *inv-rem* = *queue-invar-remove1*[*OF assms*(*1*), *of getMinTree q*]
note *m-meld* = *meld-mset*[*OF inv-rev inv-rem*]
note *m-rem* = *remove1-mset*[*OF min-in-q*]
note *m-rev* = *qtmset-rev*[*of children* (*getMinTree q*)]
note *m-children* = *children-mset*[*of getMinTree q*]
note *min-subset-q* = *qtm-in-set-subset*[*OF min-in-q*]
let *?Q* = *queue-to-multiset q*
let *?MT* = *tree-to-multiset* (*getMinTree q*)
from *q-ne* **have** *head-subset-min*:
$\{\#$ (*val* (*getMinTree q*), *prio* (*getMinTree q*)) $\#\} \subseteq\#$ *?MT*
by(*cases getMinTree q*) *simp*
let *?Q* = *queue-to-multiset q*
let *?MT* = *tree-to-multiset* (*getMinTree q*)
from *m-meld m-rem m-rev m-children*
multiset-diff-union-assoc[*OF head-subset-min*, *of ?Q* − *?MT*]
mset-subset-eq-multiset-union-diff-commute[*OF min-subset-q*, *of ?MT*]
show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *deleteMin-def union-ac Let-def findMin-def*)
qed

lemma *deleteMin-correct*:
assumes *INV*: *invar q*
assumes *NE*: $q \neq$ *Nil*
shows
invar (*deleteMin q*)
queue-to-multiset (*deleteMin q*) = *queue-to-multiset q* − $\{\#$ (*findMin q*) $\#\}$
using *deleteMin-invar deleteMin-mset INV NE*
unfolding *invar-def*
by *auto*

end

interpretation *BinomialHeapStruc*: *BinomialHeapStruc-loc* **.**

1.3 Hiding the Invariant

1.3.1 Datatype

typedef (**overloaded**) (*'e*, *'a*) *BinomialHeap* =
$\{q$ :: (*'e*,*'a*::*linorder*) *BinomialHeapStruc.BinomialQueue-inv*. *BinomialHeapStruc.invar q* $\}$
apply (*rule-tac x*=*Nil* **in** *exI*)
apply *auto*
done

lemma *Rep-BinomialHeap-invar*[*simp*]:

BinomialHeapStruc.invar (*Rep-BinomialHeap x*)
using *Rep-BinomialHeap*
by (*auto*)

lemma [*simp*]:
BinomialHeapStruc.invar q ⟹ *Rep-BinomialHeap* (*Abs-BinomialHeap q*) = *q*
using *Abs-BinomialHeap-inverse* **by** *auto*

lemma [*simp*, *code abstype*]: *Abs-BinomialHeap* (*Rep-BinomialHeap q*) = *q*
by (*rule Rep-BinomialHeap-inverse*)

locale *BinomialHeap-loc*
begin

1.3.2 Operations

definition [*code*]:
to-mset t == *BinomialHeapStruc.queue-to-multiset* (*Rep-BinomialHeap t*)

definition *empty* **where** *empty* == *Abs-BinomialHeap Nil*
lemma [*code abstract*, *simp*]: *Rep-BinomialHeap empty* = []
by (*unfold empty-def*) *simp*

definition [*code*]: *isEmpty q* == *Rep-BinomialHeap q* = *Nil*
lemma *empty-rep*: *q*=*empty* ⟷ *Rep-BinomialHeap q* = *Nil*
apply (*auto simp add*: *empty-def*)
apply (*metis Rep-BinomialHeap-inverse*)
done

lemma *isEmpty-correct*: *isEmpty q* ⟷ *q*=*empty*
by (*simp add*: *empty-rep isEmpty-def*)

definition
insert
:: *'e* ⇒ (*'a*::*linorder*) ⇒ (*'e*,*'a*) *BinomialHeap* ⇒ (*'e*,*'a*) *BinomialHeap*
where *insert e a q* ==
Abs-BinomialHeap (*BinomialHeapStruc.insert e a* (*Rep-BinomialHeap q*))
lemma [*code abstract*]:
Rep-BinomialHeap (*insert e a q*)
= *BinomialHeapStruc.insert e a* (*Rep-BinomialHeap q*)
by (*simp add*: *insert-def BinomialHeapStruc.insert-correct*)

definition [*code*]: *findMin q* == *BinomialHeapStruc.findMin* (*Rep-BinomialHeap q*)

definition *deleteMin q* ==
if q=*empty then empty*
else Abs-BinomialHeap (*BinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin* (*Rep-BinomialHeap q*))

In this lemma, we do not use equality, but case-distinction for checking non-emptyness. That prevents the code generator from introducing an equality-class parameter for the entry type *'a*.

```
lemma [code abstract]: Rep-BinomialHeap (deleteMin q) =
  (case (Rep-BinomialHeap q) of [] ⇒ [] |
   - ⇒ BinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin (Rep-BinomialHeap q))
proof (cases Rep-BinomialHeap q)
  case Nil
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: Nil)
    apply (auto simp add: deleteMin-def BinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin-correct
     BinomialHeapStruc.empty-iff empty-rep Nil)
    done
next
  case (Cons a b)
  hence NE: Rep-BinomialHeap q ≠ [] by auto
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: Cons)
    apply (fold Cons)
    using NE
    by (auto simp add: deleteMin-def BinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin-correct
      BinomialHeapStruc.empty-iff empty-rep)
qed



definition meld q1 q2 ==
  Abs-BinomialHeap (BinomialHeapStruc.meld (Rep-BinomialHeap q1)
                                           (Rep-BinomialHeap q2))
lemma [code abstract]:
  Rep-BinomialHeap (meld q1 q2)
  = BinomialHeapStruc.meld (Rep-BinomialHeap q1) (Rep-BinomialHeap q2)
  by (simp add: meld-def BinomialHeapStruc.meld-correct)
```

1.3.3 Correctness

```
lemma empty-correct: to-mset q = {#} ⟷ q=empty
  by (simp add: to-mset-def BinomialHeapStruc.empty-iff empty-rep)

lemma to-mset-of-empty[simp]: to-mset empty = {#}
  by (simp add: empty-correct)

lemma insert-correct: to-mset (insert e a q) = to-mset q + {#(e,a)#}
  apply (unfold insert-def to-mset-def)
  apply (simp add: BinomialHeapStruc.insert-correct)
  done

lemma findMin-correct:
  assumes q≠empty
```

shows
findMin q ∈# *to-mset q*
∀ *y*∈*set-mset* (*to-mset q*). *snd* (*findMin q*) ≤ *snd y*
using *assms*
apply (*unfold findMin-def to-mset-def*)
apply (*simp-all add*: *empty-rep BinomialHeapStruc.findMin-correct*)
done

lemma *deleteMin-correct*:
assumes *q*≠*empty*
shows *to-mset* (*deleteMin q*) = *to-mset q* − {# *findMin q* #}
using *assms*
apply (*unfold findMin-def deleteMin-def to-mset-def*)
apply (*simp-all add*: *empty-rep BinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin-correct*)
done

lemma *meld-correct*:
shows *to-mset* (*meld q q'*) = *to-mset q* + *to-mset q'*
apply (*unfold to-mset-def meld-def*)
apply (*simp-all add*: *BinomialHeapStruc.meld-correct*)
done

Correctness lemmas to be used with simplifier

lemmas *correct* = *empty-correct deleteMin-correct meld-correct*

end
interpretation *BinomialHeap*: *BinomialHeap-loc* **.**

1.4 Documentation

BinomialHeap.to-mset
Abstraction to multiset.

BinomialHeap.empty
The empty heap. ($O(1)$)
Spec *BinomialHeap.empty-correct*:

(*BinomialHeap.to-mset q* = {#}) = (*q* = *BinomialHeap.empty*)

BinomialHeap.isEmpty
Checks whether heap is empty. Mainly used to work around code-generation issues. ($O(1)$)
Spec *BinomialHeap.isEmpty-correct*:

BinomialHeap.isEmpty q = (*q* = *BinomialHeap.empty*)

BinomialHeap.insert
Inserts element ($O(\log(n))$)
Spec *BinomialHeap.insert-correct*:

BinomialHeap.to-mset (*BinomialHeap.insert e a q*) =
BinomialHeap.to-mset q + {#(*e*, *a*)#}

BinomialHeap.findMin
Returns a minimal element ($O(\log(n))$)
Spec *BinomialHeap.findMin-correct*:

q ≠ *BinomialHeap.empty* ⟹ *BinomialHeap.findMin q* ∈# *BinomialHeap.to-mset q*
q ≠ *BinomialHeap.empty* ⟹
∀ *y*∈#*BinomialHeap.to-mset q*. *snd* (*BinomialHeap.findMin q*) ≤ *snd y*

BinomialHeap.deleteMin
Deletes *the* element that is returned by *find_min*
Spec *BinomialHeap.deleteMin-correct*:

q ≠ *BinomialHeap.empty* ⟹
BinomialHeap.to-mset (*BinomialHeap.deleteMin q*) =
BinomialHeap.to-mset q − {#*BinomialHeap.findMin q*#}

BinomialHeap.meld

BinomialHeap.meld

Melds two heaps ($O(\log(n + m))$)
Spec *BinomialHeap.meld-correct*:

BinomialHeap.to-mset (*BinomialHeap.meld q q*′) =
BinomialHeap.to-mset q + *BinomialHeap.to-mset q*′

end

2 Skew Binomial Heaps

theory *SkewBinomialHeap*
imports *Main HOL−Library.Multiset*
begin

Skew Binomial Queues as specified by Brodal and Okasaki [1] are a data structure for priority queues with worst case O(1) *findMin*, *insert*, and *meld* operations, and worst-case logarithmic *deleteMin* operation. They are derived from priority queues in three steps:

1. Skew binomial trees are used to eliminate the possibility of cascading links during insert operations. This reduces the complexity of an insert operation to $O(1)$.

2. The current minimal element is cached. This approach, known as *global root*, reduces the cost of a *findMin*-operation to O(1).

3. By allowing skew binomial queues to contain skew binomial queues, the cost for meld-operations is reduced to $O(1)$. This approach is known as *data-structural bootstrapping*.

In this theory, we combine Steps 2 and 3, i.e. we first implement skew binomial queues, and then bootstrap them. The bootstrapping implicitly introduces a global root, such that we also get a constant time findMin operation.

locale *SkewBinomialHeapStruc-loc*
begin

2.1 Datatype

datatype ('*e*, '*a*) *SkewBinomialTree* =
Node (*val*: '*e*) (*prio*: '*a*::*linorder*) (*rank*: *nat*) (*children*: ('*e* , '*a*) *SkewBinomialTree list*)

type-synonym ('*e*, '*a*) *SkewBinomialQueue* = ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialTree list*

2.1.1 Abstraction to Multisets

Returns a multiset with all (element, priority) pairs from a queue

fun *tree-to-multiset*
:: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialTree* ⇒ ('*e* × '*a*) *multiset*
and *queue-to-multiset*
:: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ ('*e* × '*a*) *multiset* **where**
tree-to-multiset (*Node e a r ts*) = {#(*e*,*a*)#} + *queue-to-multiset ts* |
queue-to-multiset [] = {#} |
queue-to-multiset (*t*#*q*) = *tree-to-multiset t* + *queue-to-multiset q*

lemma *ttm-children*: *tree-to-multiset t* =
{#(*val t*,*prio t*)#} + *queue-to-multiset* (*children t*)
by (*cases t*) *auto*

lemma *qtm-conc*[*simp*]: *queue-to-multiset* (*q*@*q*′)
= *queue-to-multiset q* + *queue-to-multiset q*′
by (*induct q*) (*auto simp add*: *union-ac*)

2.1.2 Invariant

Link two trees of rank r to a new tree of rank $r + 1$

fun *link* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialTree* ⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *SkewBinomialTree* ⇒
('*e*, '*a*) *SkewBinomialTree* **where**
link (*Node e1 a1 r1 ts1*) (*Node e2 a2 r2 ts2*) =

```
  (if  a1≤a2
    then (Node e1 a1 (Suc r1) ((Node e2 a2 r2 ts2)#ts1))
    else (Node e2 a2 (Suc r2) ((Node e1 a1 r1 ts1)#ts2)))
```

Link two trees of rank r and a new element to a new tree of rank $r + 1$

```
fun skewlink :: 'e ⇒ 'a::linorder ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialTree ⇒
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialTree ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialTree where
  skewlink e a t t' = (if a ≤ (prio t) ∧ a ≤ (prio t')
  then (Node e a (Suc (rank t)) [t,t'])
  else (if (prio t) ≤ (prio t')
   then
    Node (val t)  (prio t)  (Suc (rank t))  (Node e a 0 [] # t' # children t)
   else
    Node (val t') (prio t') (Suc (rank t')) (Node e a 0 [] # t # children t')))
```

The invariant for trees claims that a tree labeled rank 0 has no children, and a tree labeled rank $r + 1$ is the result of an ordinary link or a skew link of two trees with rank r.

```
function tree-invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialTree ⇒ bool where
  tree-invar (Node e a 0 ts) = (ts = []) |
  tree-invar (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = (∃ e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a'.
  tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1) ∧ tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2) ∧
  ((Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2) ∨
   (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)))
by pat-completeness auto
termination
  apply(relation measure rank)
  apply auto
done
```

A heap satisfies the invariant, if all contained trees satisfy the invariant, the ranks of the trees in the heap are distinct, except that the first two trees may have same rank, and the ranks are ordered in ascending order.

First part: All trees inside the queue satisfy the invariant.

```
definition queue-invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ bool where
  queue-invar q ≡ (∀ t ∈ set q. tree-invar t)
```

```
lemma queue-invar-simps[simp]:
  queue-invar []
  queue-invar (t#q) ⟷ tree-invar t ∧ queue-invar q
  queue-invar (q@q') ⟷ queue-invar q ∧ queue-invar q'
  queue-invar q ⟹ t∈set q ⟹ tree-invar t
  unfolding queue-invar-def by auto
```

Second part: The ranks of the trees in the heap are distinct, except that the first two trees may have same rank, and the ranks are ordered in ascending order.

For tail of queue

fun *rank-invar* :: (*'e*, *'a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ *bool* **where**
rank-invar [] = *True* |
rank-invar [*t*] = *True* |
rank-invar (*t* # *t'* # *bq*) = (*rank t* < *rank t'* ∧ *rank-invar* (*t'* # *bq*))

For whole queue: First two elements may have same rank

fun *rank-skew-invar* :: (*'e*, *'a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ *bool* **where**
rank-skew-invar [] = *True* |
rank-skew-invar [*t*] = *True* |
rank-skew-invar (*t* # *t'* # *bq*) = ((*rank t* ≤ *rank t'*) ∧ *rank-invar* (*t'* # *bq*))

definition *tail-invar* :: (*'e*, *'a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ *bool* **where**
tail-invar bq = (*queue-invar bq* ∧ *rank-invar bq*)

definition *invar* :: (*'e*, *'a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ *bool* **where**
invar bq = (*queue-invar bq* ∧ *rank-skew-invar bq*)

lemma *invar-empty*[*simp*]:
invar []
tail-invar []
unfolding *invar-def tail-invar-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *invar-tail-invar*:
invar (*t* # *bq*) ⟹ *tail-invar bq*
unfolding *invar-def tail-invar-def*
by (*cases bq*) *simp-all*

lemma *link-mset*[*simp*]: *tree-to-multiset* (*link t1 t2*)
= *tree-to-multiset t1* +*tree-to-multiset t2*
by (*cases t1*, *cases t2*, *auto simp add*:*union-ac*)

lemma *link-tree-invar*: ⟦*tree-invar t1*; *tree-invar t2*; *rank t1* = *rank t2*⟧ ⟹
tree-invar (*link t1 t2*)
by (*cases t1*, *cases t2*, *simp*, *blast*)

lemma *skewlink-mset*[*simp*]: *tree-to-multiset* (*skewlink e a t1 t2*)
= {# (*e*,*a*) #} + *tree-to-multiset t1* + *tree-to-multiset t2*
by (*cases t1*, *cases t2*, *auto simp add*:*union-ac*)

lemma *skewlink-tree-invar*: ⟦*tree-invar t1*; *tree-invar t2*; *rank t1* = *rank t2*⟧ ⟹
tree-invar (*skewlink e a t1 t2*)
by (*cases t1*, *cases t2*, *simp*, *blast*)

lemma *rank-link*: *rank t* = *rank t'* ⟹ *rank* (*link t t'*) = *rank t* + *1*
apply (*cases t*)
apply (*cases t'*)
apply(*auto*)

done

lemma *rank-skew-rank-invar*: *rank-skew-invar* $(t \# bq) \Longrightarrow$ *rank-invar bq*
by (*cases bq*) *simp-all*

lemma *rank-invar-rank-skew*:
assumes *rank-invar q*
shows *rank-skew-invar q*
proof (*cases q*)
case *Nil*
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons - list*)
with *assms* **show** *?thesis*
by (*cases list*) *simp-all*
qed

lemma *rank-invar-cons-up*:
$[\![$*rank-invar* $(t \# bq)$; *rank* $t' <$ *rank* $t]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *rank-invar* $(t' \# t \# bq)$
by *simp*

lemma *rank-skew-cons-up*:
$[\![$*rank-invar* $(t \# bq)$; *rank* $t' \leq$ *rank* $t]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *rank-skew-invar* $(t' \# t \# bq)$
by *simp*

lemma *rank-invar-cons-down*: *rank-invar* $(t \# bq) \Longrightarrow$ *rank-invar bq*
by (*cases bq*) *simp-all*

lemma *rank-invar-hd-cons*:
$[\![$*rank-invar bq*; *rank* $t <$ *rank* $(hd\ bq)]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *rank-invar* $(t \# bq)$
apply(*cases bq*)
apply(*auto*)
done

lemma *tail-invar-cons-up*:
$[\![$*tail-invar* $(t \# bq)$; *rank* $t' <$ *rank* t; *tree-invar* $t']\!]$
$\Longrightarrow$ *tail-invar* $(t' \# t \# bq)$
unfolding *tail-invar-def*
apply (*cases bq*)
apply *simp-all*
done

lemma *tail-invar-cons-up-invar*:
$[\![$*tail-invar* $(t \# bq)$; *rank* $t' \leq$ *rank* t; *tree-invar* $t']\!] \Longrightarrow$ *invar* $(t' \# t \# bq)$
by (*cases bq*) (*simp-all add*: *invar-def tail-invar-def*)

lemma *tail-invar-cons-down*:
tail-invar $(t \# bq) \Longrightarrow$ *tail-invar bq*

```
  unfolding tail-invar-def
  by (cases bq) simp-all

lemma tail-invar-app-single:
  ⟦tail-invar bq; ∀ t ∈ set bq. rank t < rank t'; tree-invar t'⟧
    ⟹ tail-invar (bq @ [t'])
proof (induct bq)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by (simp add: tail-invar-def)
next
  case (Cons a bq)
  from ‹tail-invar (a # bq)› have tail-invar bq
    by (rule tail-invar-cons-down)
  with Cons have tail-invar (bq @ [t']) by simp
  with Cons show ?case
    by (cases bq) (simp-all add: tail-invar-cons-up tail-invar-def)
qed

lemma invar-app-single:
  ⟦invar bq; ∀ t ∈ set bq. rank t < rank t'; tree-invar t'⟧
    ⟹ invar (bq @ [t'])
proof (induct bq)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by (simp add: invar-def)
next
  case (Cons a bq)
  show ?case
  proof (cases bq)
    case Nil
    with Cons show ?thesis by (simp add: invar-def)
  next
    case Cons': (Cons ta qa)
    from Cons(2) have a1: tail-invar bq by (rule invar-tail-invar)
    from Cons(3) have a2: ∀ t∈set bq. rank t < rank t' by simp
    from a1 a2 Cons(4) tail-invar-app-single[of bq t']
    have tail-invar (bq @ [t']) by simp
    with Cons Cons' show ?thesis
      by (simp-all add: tail-invar-cons-up-invar invar-def tail-invar-def)
  qed
qed

lemma invar-children:
  assumes tree-invar ((Node e a r ts)::(('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialTree))
  shows queue-invar ts using assms
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc r)
```

```
  from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
    inv-t1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1) and
    inv-t2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2) and
    link-or-skew:
    ((Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
    ∨ (Node e a (Suc r) ts)
       = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2))
    by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
  from Suc(1)[OF inv-t1] inv-t2
  have case1: queue-invar ((Node e2 a2 r ts2) # ts1) by simp
  from Suc(1)[OF inv-t2] inv-t1
  have case2: queue-invar ((Node e1 a1 r ts1) # ts2) by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2))
    case True
    hence ts =
     (if a1 ≤ a2
      then (Node e2 a2 r ts2) # ts1
      else (Node e1 a1 r ts1) # ts2) by auto
    with case1 case2 show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    with link-or-skew
    have Node e a (Suc r) ts =
      skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2) by simp
    hence ts =
     (if a' ≤ a1 ∧ a' ≤ a2
      then [(Node e1 a1 r ts1),(Node e2 a2 r ts2)]
      else (if a1 ≤ a2
        then (Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e2 a2 r ts2) # ts1
        else (Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e1 a1 r ts1) # ts2)) by auto
    with case1 case2 show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
```

2.1.3 Heap Order

```
fun heap-ordered :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialTree ⇒ bool where
  heap-ordered (Node e a r ts)
   = (∀ x ∈ set-mset (queue-to-multiset ts). a ≤ snd x)
```

The invariant for trees implies heap order.

```
lemma tree-invar-heap-ordered:
  fixes t :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialTree
  assumes tree-invar t
  shows heap-ordered t
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show ?thesis
```

```
  proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
    case 0
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Suc nat)
    from Suc(2,3) obtain t1 e1 a1 ts1 t2 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
      inv-t1: tree-invar t1 and
      inv-t2: tree-invar t2 and
      link-or-skew: t = link t1 t2 ∨ t = skewlink e' a' t1 t2 and
      eq-t1[simp]: t1 = (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) and
      eq-t2[simp]: t2 = (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
      by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
    note heap-t1 = Suc(1)[OF inv-t1 eq-t1]
    note heap-t2 = Suc(1)[OF inv-t2 eq-t2]
    from link-or-skew heap-t1 heap-t2 show ?case
      by (cases t = link t1 t2) auto
  qed
qed
```

2.1.4 Height and Length

Although complexity of HOL-functions cannot be expressed within HOL, we can express the height and length of a binomial heap. By showing that both, height and length, are logarithmic in the number of contained elements, we give strong evidence that our functions have logarithmic complexity in the number of elements.

Height of a tree and queue

```
fun height-tree :: ('e, ('a::linorder)) SkewBinomialTree ⇒ nat and
    height-queue :: ('e, ('a::linorder)) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ nat
  where
  height-tree (Node e a r ts) = height-queue ts |
  height-queue [] = 0 |
  height-queue (t # ts) = max (Suc (height-tree t)) (height-queue ts)

lemma link-length: size (tree-to-multiset (link t1 t2)) =
  size (tree-to-multiset t1) + size (tree-to-multiset t2)
  apply(cases t1)
  apply(cases t2)
  apply simp
done

lemma tree-rank-estimate-upper:
  tree-invar (Node e a r ts) ⟹
   size (tree-to-multiset (Node e a r ts)) ≤ (2::nat)^(Suc r) - 1
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next
```

```
  case (Suc r)
  from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
    link:
      (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2) ∨
       (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
    and inv1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1)
    and inv2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
    by simp blast
  note iv1 = Suc(1)[OF inv1]
  note iv2 = Suc(1)[OF inv2]
  have (2::nat)^r - 1 + (2::nat)^r - 1 ≤ (2::nat)^(Suc r) - 1 by simp
  with link Suc show ?case
   apply (cases Node e a (Suc r) ts = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2))
    using iv1 iv2 apply (simp del: link.simps)
    using iv1 iv2 apply (simp del: skewlink.simps)
    done
qed

lemma tree-rank-estimate-lower:
  tree-invar (Node e a r ts) ⟹
   size (tree-to-multiset (Node e a r ts)) ≥ (2::nat)^r
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc r)
  from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
    link:
      (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2) ∨
       (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
    and inv1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1)
    and inv2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
    by simp blast
  note iv1 = Suc(1)[OF inv1]
  note iv2 = Suc(1)[OF inv2]
  have (2::nat)^r - 1 + (2::nat)^r - 1 ≤ (2::nat)^(Suc r) - 1 by simp
  with link Suc show ?case
   apply (cases Node e a (Suc r) ts = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2))
    using iv1 iv2 apply (simp del: link.simps)
    using iv1 iv2 apply (simp del: skewlink.simps)
    done
qed



lemma tree-rank-height:
  tree-invar (Node e a r ts) ⟹ height-tree (Node e a r ts) = r
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)
  case 0
```

then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Suc r*)
from *Suc*(*2*) **obtain** *e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a'* **where**
link:
(*Node e a* (*Suc r*) *ts*) = *link* (*Node e1 a1 r ts1*) (*Node e2 a2 r ts2*) ∨
(*Node e a* (*Suc r*) *ts*) = *skewlink e' a'* (*Node e1 a1 r ts1*) (*Node e2 a2 r ts2*)
and *inv1*: *tree-invar* (*Node e1 a1 r ts1*)
and *inv2*: *tree-invar* (*Node e2 a2 r ts2*)
by *simp blast*
note *iv1* = *Suc*(*1*)[*OF inv1*]
note *iv2* = *Suc*(*1*)[*OF inv2*]
from *Suc*(*2*) *link* **show** *?case*
apply (*cases Node e a* (*Suc r*) *ts* = *link* (*Node e1 a1 r ts1*) (*Node e2 a2 r ts2*))
apply (*cases a1* ≤ *a2*)
using *iv1 iv2* **apply** *simp*
using *iv1 iv2* **apply** *simp*
apply (*cases a'* ≤ *a1* ∧ *a'* ≤ *a2*)
apply (*simp only*: *height-tree.simps*)
using *iv1 iv2* **apply** *simp*
apply (*cases a1* ≤ *a2*)
using *iv1 iv2*
apply (*simp del*: *tree-invar.simps link.simps*)
using *iv1 iv2*
apply (*simp del*: *tree-invar.simps link.simps*)
done
qed

A skew binomial tree of height h contains at most $2^{h+1} - 1$ elements

theorem *tree-height-estimate-upper*:
tree-invar t ⟹
size (*tree-to-multiset t*) ≤ (*2*::*nat*)^(*Suc* (*height-tree t*)) − *1*
apply (*cases t*, *simp only*:)
apply (*frule tree-rank-estimate-upper*)
apply (*frule tree-rank-height*)
apply (*simp only*:)
done

A skew binomial tree of height h contains at least 2^h elements

theorem *tree-height-estimate-lower*:
tree-invar t ⟹ *size* (*tree-to-multiset t*) ≥ (*2*::*nat*)^(*height-tree t*)
apply (*cases t*, *simp only*:)
apply (*frule tree-rank-estimate-lower*)
apply (*frule tree-rank-height*)
apply (*simp only*:)
done

lemma *size-mset-tree-upper*: *tree-invar t* ⟹

```
  size (tree-to-multiset t) ≤ (2::nat)^(Suc (rank t)) − (1::nat)
  apply (cases t)
  by (simp only: tree-rank-estimate-upper SkewBinomialTree.sel(3))

lemma size-mset-tree-lower: tree-invar t ⟹
  size (tree-to-multiset t) ≥ (2::nat)^(rank t)
  apply (cases t)
  by (simp only: tree-rank-estimate-lower SkewBinomialTree.sel(3))


lemma invar-butlast: invar (bq @ [t]) ⟹ invar bq
  unfolding invar-def
  apply (induct bq)
  apply simp
  apply (case-tac bq)
  apply simp
  apply (case-tac list)
  by simp-all

lemma invar-last-max:
  invar ((b#b'#bq) @ [m]) ⟹ ∀ t ∈ set (b'#bq). rank t < rank m
  unfolding invar-def
  apply (induct bq) apply simp apply (case-tac bq) apply simp by simp

lemma invar-last-max': invar ((b#b'#bq) @ [m]) ⟹ rank b ≤ rank b'
  unfolding invar-def by simp

lemma invar-length: invar bq ⟹ length bq ≤ Suc (Suc (rank (last bq)))
proof (induct bq rule: rev-induct)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (snoc x xs)
  show ?case proof (cases xs)
    case Nil thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case [simp]: (Cons xxs xx)
    note Cons' = Cons
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases xx)
      case Nil with snoc.prems Cons show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case (Cons xxxs xxx)
      from snoc.hyps[OF invar-butlast[OF snoc.prems]] have
        IH: length xs ≤ Suc (Suc (rank (last xs))) .
      also from invar-last-max[OF snoc.prems[unfolded Cons' Cons]]
                invar-last-max'[OF snoc.prems[unfolded Cons' Cons]]
                last-in-set[of xs] Cons have
        Suc (rank (last xs)) ≤ rank (last (xs @ [x])) by auto
      finally show ?thesis by simp
```

```
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma size-queue-sum-list:
  size (queue-to-multiset bq) = sum-list (map (size ∘ tree-to-multiset) bq)
  by (induct bq) simp-all
```

A skew binomial heap of length l contains at least $2^{l-1} - 1$ elements.

```
theorem queue-length-estimate-lower:
  invar bq ⟹ (size (queue-to-multiset bq)) ≥ 2^(length bq − 1) − 1
proof (induct bq rule: rev-induct)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (snoc x xs) thus ?case
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case [simp]: (Cons xx xxs)
    from snoc.hyps[OF invar-butlast[OF snoc.prems]]
    have IH: 2 ^ (length xs − 1) ≤ Suc (size (queue-to-multiset xs)) by simp
    have size-q:
      size (queue-to-multiset (xs @ [x])) =
      size (queue-to-multiset xs) + size (tree-to-multiset x)
      by (simp add: size-queue-sum-list)
    moreover
    from snoc.prems have inv-x: tree-invar x by (simp add: invar-def)
    from size-mset-tree-lower[OF this]
    have 2 ^ (rank x) ≤ size (tree-to-multiset x) .
    ultimately have
      eq: size (queue-to-multiset xs) + (2::nat)^(rank x) ≤
      size (queue-to-multiset (xs @ [x])) by simp
    from invar-length[OF snoc.prems] have length xs ≤ (rank x + 1) by simp
    hence snd: (2::nat) ^ (length xs − 1) ≤ (2::nat) ^ ((rank x))
      by (simp del: power.simps)
    have
      (2::nat) ^ (length (xs @ [x]) − 1) =
      (2::nat) ^ (length xs − 1) + (2::nat) ^ (length xs − 1)
      by auto
    with IH have
      2 ^ (length (xs @ [x]) − 1) ≤
      Suc (size (queue-to-multiset xs)) + 2 ^ (length xs − 1)
      by simp
    with snd have 2 ^ (length (xs @ [x]) − 1) ≤
      Suc (size (queue-to-multiset xs)) + 2 ^ rank x
      by arith
    with eq show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
```

2.2 Operations

2.2.1 Empty Tree

lemma *empty-correct*: *q=Nil* $\longleftrightarrow$ *queue-to-multiset q* = {#}
apply (*cases q*)
apply *simp*
apply (*case-tac a*)
apply *auto*
done

2.2.2 Insert

Inserts a tree into the queue, such that two trees of same rank get linked and are recursively inserted. This is the same definition as for binomial queues and is used for melding.

fun *ins* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialTree* ⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *SkewBinomialQueue* ⇒
('*e*, '*a*) *SkewBinomialQueue* **where**
ins t [] = [*t*] |
ins t' (*t* # *bq*) =
(*if* (*rank t'*) < (*rank t*)
then t' # *t* # *bq*
else (*if* (*rank t*) < (*rank t'*)
then t # (*ins t' bq*)
else ins (*link t' t*) *bq*))

Insert an element with priority into a queue using skewlinks.

fun *insert* :: '*e* ⇒ '*a*::*linorder* ⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *SkewBinomialQueue* ⇒
('*e*, '*a*) *SkewBinomialQueue* **where**
insert e a [] = [*Node e a 0* []] |
insert e a [*t*] = [*Node e a 0* [],*t*] |
insert e a (*t* # *t'* # *bq*) =
(*if rank t* ≠ *rank t'*
then (*Node e a 0* []) # *t* # *t'* # *bq*
else (*skewlink e a t t'*) # *bq*)

lemma *ins-mset*:
⟦*tree-invar t*; *queue-invar q*⟧ ⟹
queue-to-multiset (*ins t q*) = *tree-to-multiset t* + *queue-to-multiset q*
by (*induct q arbitrary*: *t*) (*auto simp*: *union-ac link-tree-invar*)

lemma *insert-mset*: *queue-invar q* ⟹
queue-to-multiset (*insert e a q*) =
queue-to-multiset q + {# (*e*,*a*) #}
by (*induct q rule*: *insert.induct*) (*auto simp add*: *union-ac ttm-children*)

lemma *ins-queue-invar*: ⟦*tree-invar t*; *queue-invar q*⟧ ⟹ *queue-invar* (*ins t q*)
proof (*induct q arbitrary*: *t*)
case *Nil*

```
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a q)
  note iv = Cons(1)
  from Cons(2,3) show ?case
    apply (cases rank t < rank a)
    apply simp
    apply (cases rank t = rank a)
    defer
    using iv[of t] apply simp
  proof goal-cases
    case prems: 1
    from prems(2) have inv-a: tree-invar a by simp
    from prems(2) have inv-q: queue-invar q by simp
    note inv-link = link-tree-invar[OF prems(1) inv-a prems(4)]
    from iv[OF inv-link inv-q] prems(4) show ?case by simp
  qed
qed

lemma insert-queue-invar: queue-invar q ⟹ queue-invar (insert e a q)
proof (induct q rule: insert.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (3 e a t t' bq)
  show ?case
  proof (cases rank t = rank t')
    case False
    with 3 show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case True
    from 3 have inv-t: tree-invar t by simp
    from 3 have inv-t': tree-invar t' by simp
    from 3 skewlink-tree-invar[OF inv-t inv-t' True, of e a] True
    show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

lemma rank-ins2:
  rank-invar bq ⟹
    rank t ≤ rank (hd (ins t bq))
    ∨ (rank (hd (ins t bq)) = rank (hd bq) ∧ bq ≠ [])
  apply (induct bq arbitrary: t)
  apply auto
proof goal-cases
  case prems: (1 a bq t)
```

```
  hence r: rank (link t a) = rank a + 1 by (simp add: rank-link)
  with prems and prems(1)[of (link t a)] show ?case
    apply (cases bq)
    apply auto
    done
qed

lemma insert-rank-invar: rank-skew-invar q ⟹ rank-skew-invar (insert e a q)
proof (cases q, simp)
  fix t q'
  assume rank-skew-invar q q = t # q'
  thus rank-skew-invar (insert e a q)
  proof (cases q', (auto intro: gr0I)[1])
    fix t' q''
    assume rank-skew-invar q q = t # q' q' = t' # q''
    thus rank-skew-invar (insert e a q)
      apply(cases rank t = rank t') defer
      apply (auto intro: gr0I)[1]
      apply (simp del: skewlink.simps)
    proof goal-cases
      case prems: 1
      with rank-invar-cons-down[of t' q'] have rank-invar q' by simp
      show ?case
      proof (cases q'')
        case Nil
        then show ?thesis by simp
      next
        case (Cons t'' q''')
        with prems have rank t' < rank t'' by simp
        with prems have rank (skewlink e a t t') ≤ rank t'' by simp
        with prems Cons rank-skew-cons-up[of t'' q''' skewlink e a t t']
        show ?thesis by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma insert-invar: invar q ⟹ invar (insert e a q)
  unfolding invar-def
  using insert-queue-invar[of q] insert-rank-invar[of q]
  by simp

theorem insert-correct:
  assumes I: invar q
  shows
  invar (insert e a q)
  queue-to-multiset (insert e a q) = queue-to-multiset q + {# (e,a) #}
  using insert-mset[of q] insert-invar[of q] I
  unfolding invar-def by simp-all
```

2.2.3 meld

Remove duplicate tree ranks by inserting the first tree of the queue into the rest of the queue.

```
fun uniqify
  :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue
  where
  uniqify [] = [] |
  uniqify (t#bq) = ins t bq
```

Meld two uniquified queues using the same definition as for binomial queues.

```
fun meldUniq
  :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ ('e,'a) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where
  meldUniq [] bq = bq |
  meldUniq bq [] = bq |
  meldUniq (t1#bq1) (t2#bq2) = (if rank t1 < rank t2
      then t1 # (meldUniq bq1 (t2#bq2))
      else (if rank t2 < rank t1
            then t2 # (meldUniq (t1#bq1) bq2)
            else ins (link t1 t2) (meldUniq bq1 bq2)))
```

Meld two queues using above functions.

```
definition meld
  :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒
     ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where
  meld bq1 bq2 = meldUniq (uniqify bq1) (uniqify bq2)

lemma invar-uniqify: queue-invar q ⟹ queue-invar (uniqify q)
  apply(cases q, simp)
  apply(auto simp add: ins-queue-invar)
done

lemma invar-meldUniq: ⟦queue-invar q; queue-invar q'⟧ ⟹ queue-invar (meldUniq
q q')
proof (induct q q' rule: meldUniq.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (3 t1 bq1 t2 bq2)
  consider (lt) rank t1 < rank t2 | (gt) rank t1 > rank t2 | (eq) rank t1 = rank
t2
    by atomize-elim auto
  then show ?case
  proof cases
    case t1t2: lt
```

```
    from 3(4) have inv-bq1: queue-invar bq1 by simp
    from 3(4) have inv-t1: tree-invar t1 by simp
    from 3(1)[OF t1t2 inv-bq1 3(5)] inv-t1 t1t2
    show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case t1t2: gt
    from 3(5) have inv-bq2: queue-invar bq2 by simp
    from 3(5) have inv-t2: tree-invar t2 by simp
    from t1t2 have ¬ rank t1 < rank t2 by simp
    from 3(2) [OF this t1t2 3(4) inv-bq2] inv-t2 t1t2
    show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case t1t2: eq
    from 3(4) have inv-bq1: queue-invar bq1 by simp
    from 3(4) have inv-t1: tree-invar t1 by simp
    from 3(5) have inv-bq2: queue-invar bq2 by simp
    from 3(5) have inv-t2: tree-invar t2 by simp
    note inv-link = link-tree-invar[OF inv-t1 inv-t2 t1t2]
    from t1t2 have ¬ rank t1 < rank t2 ¬ rank t2 < rank t1 by auto
    note inv-meld = 3(3)[OF this inv-bq1 inv-bq2]
    from ins-queue-invar[OF inv-link inv-meld] t1t2
    show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed


lemma meld-queue-invar:
  assumes queue-invar q
    and queue-invar q'
  shows queue-invar (meld q q')
proof −
  note inv-uniq-q = invar-uniqify[OF assms(1)]
  note inv-uniq-q' = invar-uniqify[OF assms(2)]
  note inv-meldUniq = invar-meldUniq[OF inv-uniq-q inv-uniq-q']
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: meld-def)
qed

lemma uniqify-mset: queue-invar q ⟹ queue-to-multiset q = queue-to-multiset
(uniqify q)
  apply (cases q)
  apply simp
  apply (simp add: ins-mset)
  done

lemma meldUniq-mset: ⟦queue-invar q; queue-invar q'⟧ ⟹
  queue-to-multiset (meldUniq q q') =
  queue-to-multiset q + queue-to-multiset q'
by(induct q q' rule: meldUniq.induct)
  (auto simp: ins-mset link-tree-invar invar-meldUniq union-ac)
```

lemma *meld-mset*:
  ⟦ *queue-invar q*; *queue-invar q′* ⟧ ⟹
  queue-to-multiset (*meld q q′*) = *queue-to-multiset q* + *queue-to-multiset q′*
by (*simp add*: *meld-def meldUniq-mset invar-uniqify uniqify-mset*[*symmetric*])

Ins operation satisfies rank invariant, see binomial queues

lemma *rank-ins*: *rank-invar bq* ⟹ *rank-invar* (*ins t bq*)
proof (*induct bq arbitrary*: *t*)
  case *Nil*
  then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
  case (*Cons a bq*)
  then show *?case*
    apply *auto*
  proof *goal-cases*
    case *prems*: *1*
    hence *inv*: *rank-invar* (*ins t bq*) **by** (*cases bq*) *simp-all*
    from *prems* **have** *hd*: *bq* ≠ [] ⟹ *rank a* < *rank* (*hd bq*) **by** (*cases bq*) *auto*
    from *prems* **have** *rank t* ≤ *rank* (*hd* (*ins t bq*))
                    ∨ (*rank* (*hd* (*ins t bq*)) = *rank* (*hd bq*) ∧ *bq* ≠ [])
      by (*metis rank-ins2 rank-invar-cons-down*)
    with *prems* **have** *rank a* < *rank* (*hd* (*ins t bq*))
      ∨ (*rank* (*hd* (*ins t bq*)) = *rank* (*hd bq*) ∧ *bq* ≠ []) **by** *auto*
    with *prems* **and** *inv* **and** *hd* **show** *?case*
      by (*auto simp add*: *rank-invar-hd-cons*)
  next
    case *prems*: *2*
    hence *inv*: *rank-invar bq* **by** (*cases bq*) *simp-all*
    with *prems* **and** *prems*(*1*)[*of* (*link t a*)] **show** *?case* **by** *simp*
  qed
qed

lemma *rank-uniqify*:
  assumes *rank-skew-invar q*
  shows *rank-invar* (*uniqify q*)
proof (*cases q*)
  case *Nil*
  then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
  case (*Cons a list*)
  with *rank-skew-rank-invar*[*of a list*] *rank-ins*[*of list a*] *assms*
  show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *rank-ins-min*: *rank-invar bq* ⟹ *rank* (*hd* (*ins t bq*)) ≥ *min* (*rank t*) (*rank* (*hd bq*))
proof (*induct bq arbitrary*: *t*)
  case *Nil*

```
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a bq)
  then show ?case
    apply auto
  proof goal-cases
    case prems: 1
    hence inv: rank-invar bq by (cases bq) simp-all
    from prems have r: rank (link t a) = rank a + 1 by (simp add: rank-link)
    with prems and inv and prems(1)[of (link t a)] show ?case
      by (cases bq) auto
  qed
qed

lemma rank-invar-not-empty-hd: ⟦rank-invar (t # bq); bq ≠ []⟧ ⟹ rank t < rank
(hd bq)
  by (induct bq arbitrary: t) auto

lemma rank-invar-meldUniq-strong:
  ⟦rank-invar bq1; rank-invar bq2⟧ ⟹
    rank-invar (meldUniq bq1 bq2)
    ∧ rank (hd (meldUniq bq1 bq2)) ≥ min (rank (hd bq1)) (rank (hd bq2))
proof (induct bq1 bq2 rule: meldUniq.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (3 t1 bq1 t2 bq2)
  from 3 have inv1: rank-invar bq1 by (cases bq1) simp-all
  from 3 have inv2: rank-invar bq2 by (cases bq2) simp-all

  from inv1 and inv2 and 3 show ?case
    apply auto
  proof goal-cases
    let ?t = t2
    let ?bq = bq2
    let ?meldUniq = rank t2 < rank (hd (meldUniq (t1 # bq1) bq2))
    case prems: 1
    hence ?bq ≠ [] ⟹ rank ?t < rank (hd ?bq)
      by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
    with prems have ne: ?bq ≠ [] ⟹ ?meldUniq by simp
    from prems have ?bq = [] ⟹ ?meldUniq by simp
    with ne have ?meldUniq by (cases ?bq = [])
    with prems show ?case by (simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons)
  next — analog
    let ?t = t1
    let ?bq = bq1
```

```
    let ?meldUniq = rank t1 < rank (hd (meldUniq bq1 (t2 # bq2)))
    case prems: 2
    hence ?bq ≠ [] ⟹ rank ?t < rank (hd ?bq)
      by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
    with prems have ne: ?bq ≠ [] ⟹ ?meldUniq by simp
    from prems have ?bq = [] ⟹ ?meldUniq by simp
    with ne have ?meldUniq by (cases ?bq = [])
    with prems show ?case by (simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons)
  next
    case 3
    thus ?case by (simp add: rank-ins)
  next
    case prems: 4
    then have r: rank (link t1 t2) = rank t2 + 1 by (simp add: rank-link)
    have m: meldUniq bq1 [] = bq1 by (cases bq1) auto

    from inv1 and inv2 and prems have
      mm: min (rank (hd bq1)) (rank (hd bq2)) ≤ rank (hd (meldUniq bq1 bq2))
      by simp
    from ‹rank-invar (t1 # bq1)› have bq1 ≠ [] ⟹ rank t1 < rank (hd bq1)
      by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
    with prems have r1: bq1 ≠ [] ⟹ rank t2 < rank (hd bq1) by simp
    from ‹rank-invar (t2 # bq2)› have r2: bq2 ≠ [] ⟹ rank t2 < rank (hd bq2)
      by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)

    from inv1 r r1 rank-ins-min[of bq1 (link t1 t2)] have
      abc1: bq1 ≠ [] ⟹ rank t2 ≤ rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) bq1)) by simp
    from inv2 r r2 rank-ins-min[of bq2 (link t1 t2)] have
      abc2: bq2 ≠ [] ⟹ rank t2 ≤ rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) bq2)) by simp

    from r1 r2 mm have
      ⟦bq1 ≠ []; bq2 ≠ []⟧ ⟹ rank t2 < rank (hd (meldUniq bq1 bq2))
      by (simp)
    with ‹rank-invar (meldUniq bq1 bq2)› r
      rank-ins-min[of meldUniq bq1 bq2 link t1 t2]
    have ⟦bq1 ≠ []; bq2 ≠ []⟧ ⟹
      rank t2 < rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) (meldUniq bq1 bq2)))
      by simp
    with inv1 and inv2 and r m r1 show ?case
      apply(cases bq2 = [])
      apply(cases bq1 = [])
      apply(simp)
      apply(auto simp add: abc1)
      apply(cases bq1 = [])
      apply(simp)
      apply(auto simp add: abc2)
      done
  qed
qed
```

lemma *rank-meldUniq*:
⟦*rank-invar bq1*; *rank-invar bq2*⟧ ⟹ *rank-invar* (*meldUniq bq1 bq2*)
by (*simp only*: *rank-invar-meldUniq-strong*)

lemma *rank-meld*:
⟦*rank-skew-invar q1*; *rank-skew-invar q2*⟧ ⟹ *rank-skew-invar* (*meld q1 q2*)
by (*simp only*: *meld-def rank-meldUniq rank-uniqify rank-invar-rank-skew*)

theorem *meld-invar*:
⟦*invar bq1*; *invar bq2*⟧
⟹ *invar* (*meld bq1 bq2*)
by (*metis meld-queue-invar rank-meld invar-def*)

theorem *meld-correct*:
assumes *I*: *invar q invar q′*
shows
invar (*meld q q′*)
queue-to-multiset (*meld q q′*) = *queue-to-multiset q* + *queue-to-multiset q′*
using *meld-invar*[*of q q′*] *meld-mset*[*of q q′*] *I*
unfolding *invar-def* **by** *simp-all*

2.2.4 Find Minimal Element

Find the tree containing the minimal element.

fun *getMinTree* :: (′*e*, ′*a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialQueue* ⇒
(′*e*, ′*a*) *SkewBinomialTree* **where**
getMinTree [*t*] = *t* |
getMinTree (*t*#*bq*) =
(*if prio t* ≤ *prio* (*getMinTree bq*)
then t
else (*getMinTree bq*))

Find the minimal Element in the queue.

definition *findMin* :: (′*e*, ′*a*::*linorder*) *SkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ (′*e* × ′*a*) **where**
findMin bq = (*let min* = *getMinTree bq in* (*val min*, *prio min*))

lemma *mintree-exists*: (*bq* ≠ []) = (*getMinTree bq* ∈ *set bq*)
proof (*induct bq*)
case *Nil*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons* - *bq*)
then show *?case* **by** (*cases bq*) *simp-all*
qed

lemma *treehead-in-multiset*:

```
  t ∈ set bq ⟹ (val t, prio t) ∈# (queue-to-multiset bq)
  by (induct bq, simp, cases t, auto)

lemma heap-ordered-single:
  heap-ordered t = (∀ x ∈ set-mset (tree-to-multiset t). prio t ≤ snd x)
  by (cases t) auto

lemma getMinTree-cons:
  prio (getMinTree (y # x # xs)) ≤ prio (getMinTree (x # xs))
  by (induct xs rule: getMinTree.induct) simp-all

lemma getMinTree-min-tree: t ∈ set bq ⟹ prio (getMinTree bq) ≤ prio t
  by (induct bq arbitrary: t rule: getMinTree.induct) (simp, fastforce, simp)

lemma getMinTree-min-prio:
  assumes queue-invar bq
    and y ∈ set-mset (queue-to-multiset bq)
  shows prio (getMinTree bq) ≤ snd y
proof −
  from assms have bq ≠ [] by (cases bq) simp-all
  with assms have ∃ t ∈ set bq. (y ∈ set-mset (tree-to-multiset t))
  proof (induct bq)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons a bq)
    then show ?case
    apply (cases y ∈ set-mset (tree-to-multiset a))
    apply simp
    apply (cases bq)
    apply simp-all
    done
  qed
  from this obtain t where O:
    t ∈ set bq
    y ∈ set-mset ((tree-to-multiset t)) by blast
  obtain e a r ts where [simp]: t = (Node e a r ts) by (cases t) blast
  from O assms(1) have inv: tree-invar t by simp
  from tree-invar-heap-ordered[OF inv] heap-ordered.simps[of e a r ts] O
  have prio t ≤ snd y by auto
  with getMinTree-min-tree[OF O(1)] show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma findMin-mset:
  assumes I: queue-invar q
  assumes NE: q≠Nil
  shows findMin q ∈# queue-to-multiset q
  ∀ y∈set-mset (queue-to-multiset q). snd (findMin q) ≤ snd y
proof −
```

```
  from NE have getMinTree q ∈ set q by (simp only: mintree-exists)
  thus findMin q ∈# queue-to-multiset q
    by (simp add: treehead-in-multiset findMin-def Let-def)
  show ∀ y∈set-mset (queue-to-multiset q). snd (findMin q) ≤ snd y
    by (simp add: getMinTree-min-prio findMin-def Let-def NE I)
qed

theorem findMin-correct:
  assumes I: invar q
  assumes NE: q≠Nil
  shows findMin q ∈# queue-to-multiset q
  ∀ y∈set-mset (queue-to-multiset q). snd (findMin q) ≤ snd y
  using I NE findMin-mset
  unfolding invar-def by auto
```

2.2.5 Delete Minimal Element

Insert the roots of a given queue into an other queue.

```
fun insertList ::
  ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒
   ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where
  insertList [] tbq = tbq |
  insertList (t#bq) tbq = insertList bq (insert (val t) (prio t) tbq)
```

Remove the first tree, which has the priority a within his root.

```
fun remove1Prio :: 'a ⇒ ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where
  remove1Prio a [] = [] |
  remove1Prio a (t#bq) =
  (if (prio t) = a then bq else t # (remove1Prio a bq))

lemma remove1Prio-remove1[simp]:
  remove1Prio (prio (getMinTree bq)) bq = remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq
proof (induct bq)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons t bq)
  note iv = Cons
  thus ?case
  proof (cases t = getMinTree (t # bq))
    case True
    with iv show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    hence ne: bq ≠ [] by auto
    with False have down: getMinTree (t # bq) = getMinTree bq
      by (induct bq rule: getMinTree.induct) auto
    from ne False have prio t ≠ prio (getMinTree bq)
      by (induct bq rule: getMinTree.induct) auto
```

```
    with down iv False ne show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
```

Return the queue without the minimal element found by findMin

```
definition deleteMin :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where
  deleteMin bq = (let min = getMinTree bq in insertList
    (filter (λ t. rank t = 0) (children min))
    (meld (rev (filter (λ t. rank t > 0) (children min)))
     (remove1Prio (prio min) bq)))

lemma invar-rev[simp]: queue-invar (rev q) ⟷ queue-invar q
  by (unfold queue-invar-def) simp

lemma invar-remove1: queue-invar q ⟹ queue-invar (remove1 t q)
  by (unfold queue-invar-def) (auto)

lemma mset-rev: queue-to-multiset (rev q) = queue-to-multiset q
  by (induct q) (auto simp add: union-ac)

lemma in-set-subset: t ∈ set q ⟹ tree-to-multiset t ⊆# queue-to-multiset q
proof (induct q)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a q)
  show ?case
  proof (cases t = a)
    case True
    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    with Cons have t-in-q: t ∈ set q by simp
    have queue-to-multiset q ⊆# queue-to-multiset (a # q)
      by simp
    from subset-mset.order-trans[OF Cons(1)[OF t-in-q] this] show ?thesis .
  qed
qed

lemma mset-remove1: t ∈ set q ⟹
  queue-to-multiset (remove1 t q) =
  queue-to-multiset q − tree-to-multiset t
by (induct q) (auto simp: in-set-subset)

lemma invar-children':
  assumes tree-invar t
  shows queue-invar (children t)
proof (cases t)
```

```
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms have inv: tree-invar (Node e a nat list) by simp
  from Node invar-children[OF inv] show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma invar-filter: queue-invar q ⟹ queue-invar (filter f q)
  by (unfold queue-invar-def) simp

lemma insertList-queue-invar: queue-invar q ⟹ queue-invar (insertList ts q)
proof (induct ts arbitrary: q)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a q)
  note inv-insert = insert-queue-invar[OF Cons(2), of val a prio a]
  from Cons(1)[OF inv-insert] show ?case by simp
qed

lemma deleteMin-queue-invar:
  ⟦queue-invar q; queue-to-multiset q ≠ {#}⟧ ⟹
  queue-invar (deleteMin q)
  unfolding deleteMin-def Let-def
proof goal-cases
  case prems: 1
  from prems(2) have q-ne: q ≠ [] by auto
  with prems(1) mintree-exists[of q]
  have inv-min: tree-invar (getMinTree q) by simp
  note inv-rem = invar-remove1[OF prems(1), of getMinTree q]
  note inv-children = invar-children'[OF inv-min]
  note inv-filter = invar-filter[OF inv-children, of λt. 0 < rank t]
  note inv-rev = iffD2[OF invar-rev inv-filter]
  note inv-meld = meld-queue-invar[OF inv-rev inv-rem]
  note inv-ins =
    insertList-queue-invar[OF inv-meld,
      of [t←children (getMinTree q). rank t = 0]]
  then show ?case by simp
qed

lemma mset-children: queue-to-multiset (children t) =
  tree-to-multiset t − {# (val t, prio t) #}
  by(cases t, auto)

lemma mset-insertList:
  ⟦∀ t ∈ set ts. rank t = 0 ∧ children t = [] ; queue-invar q⟧ ⟹
  queue-to-multiset (insertList ts q) =
  queue-to-multiset ts + queue-to-multiset q
proof (induct ts arbitrary: q)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
```

```
next
  case (Cons a ts)
  from Cons(2) have ball-ts: ∀ t∈set ts. rank t = 0 ∧ children t = [] by simp
  note inv-insert = insert-queue-invar[OF Cons(3), of val a prio a]
  note iv = Cons(1)[OF ball-ts inv-insert]
  from Cons(2) have mset-a: tree-to-multiset a = {# (val a, prio a)#}
    by (cases a) simp
  note insert-mset[OF Cons(3), of val a prio a]
  with mset-a iv show ?case by (simp add: union-ac)
qed

lemma mset-filter: (queue-to-multiset [t←q . rank t = 0]) +
  queue-to-multiset [t←q . 0 < rank t] =
  queue-to-multiset q
  by (induct q) (auto simp add: union-ac)

lemma deleteMin-mset:
  assumes queue-invar q
    and queue-to-multiset q ≠ {#}
  shows queue-to-multiset (deleteMin q) = queue-to-multiset q − {# (findMin q)
#}
proof −
  from assms(2) have q-ne: q ≠ [] by auto
  with mintree-exists[of q]
  have min-in-q: getMinTree q ∈ set q by simp
  with assms(1) have inv-min: tree-invar (getMinTree q) by simp
  note inv-rem = invar-remove1[OF assms(1), of getMinTree q]
  note inv-children = invar-children'[OF inv-min]
  note inv-filter = invar-filter[OF inv-children, of λt. 0 < rank t]
  note inv-rev = iffD2[OF invar-rev inv-filter]
  note inv-meld = meld-queue-invar[OF inv-rev inv-rem]
  note mset-rem = mset-remove1[OF min-in-q]
  note mset-rev = mset-rev[of [t←children (getMinTree q). 0 < rank t]]
  note mset-meld = meld-mset[OF inv-rev inv-rem]
  note mset-children = mset-children[of getMinTree q]
  thm mset-insertList[of [t←children (getMinTree q) .
          rank t = 0]]
  have ⟦tree-invar t; rank t = 0⟧ ⟹ children t = [] for t
    by (cases t) simp
  with inv-children
  have ball-min: ∀ t∈set [t←children (getMinTree q). rank t = 0].
    rank t = 0 ∧ children t = [] by (unfold queue-invar-def) auto
  note mset-insertList = mset-insertList[OF ball-min inv-meld]
  note mset-filter = mset-filter[of children (getMinTree q)]
  let ?Q = queue-to-multiset q
  let ?MT = tree-to-multiset (getMinTree q)
  from q-ne have head-subset-min:
    {# (val (getMinTree q), prio (getMinTree q)) #} ⊆# ?MT
    by(cases getMinTree q) simp
```

```
  note min-subset-q = in-set-subset[OF min-in-q]
  from mset-insertList mset-meld mset-rev mset-rem mset-filter mset-children
    multiset-diff-union-assoc[OF head-subset-min, of ?Q − ?MT]
    mset-subset-eq-multiset-union-diff-commute[OF min-subset-q, of ?MT]
  show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: deleteMin-def Let-def union-ac findMin-def)
qed

lemma rank-insertList: rank-skew-invar q ⟹ rank-skew-invar (insertList ts q)
  by (induct ts arbitrary: q) (simp-all add: insert-rank-invar)

lemma insertList-invar: invar q ⟹ invar (insertList ts q)
proof (induct ts arbitrary: q)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a q)
  show ?case
    apply (unfold insertList.simps)
  proof goal-cases
    case 1
    from Cons(2) insert-rank-invar[of q val a prio a]
    have a1: rank-skew-invar (insert (val a) (prio a) q)
      by (simp add: invar-def)
    from Cons(2) insert-queue-invar[of q val a prio a]
    have a2: queue-invar (insert (val a) (prio a) q) by (simp add: invar-def)
    from a1 a2 have invar (insert (val a) (prio a) q) by (simp add: invar-def)
    with Cons(1)[of (insert (val a) (prio a) q)] show ?case .
  qed
qed

lemma children-rank-less:
  assumes tree-invar t
  shows ∀ t' ∈ set (children t). rank t' < rank t
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show ?thesis
  proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
    case 0
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Suc nat)
    then obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
      O: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)  tree-invar (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
      t = link (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
       ∨ t = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
      by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
    hence ch-id:
      children t = (if a1 ≤ a2 then (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)#ts1
```

```
                else (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)#ts2) ∨
    children t =
     (if a' ≤ a1 ∧ a' ≤ a2 then [(Node e1 a1 nat ts1), (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)]
      else (if a1 ≤ a2 then (Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1
      else (Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2))
    by auto
  from O Suc(1)[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1 e1 a1 ts1]
  have  p1: ∀ t'∈set ((Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1). rank t' < Suc nat by auto
  from O Suc(1)[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2 e2 a2 ts2]
  have p2: ∀ t'∈set ((Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2). rank t' < Suc nat by auto
  from O have
    p3: ∀ t' ∈ set [(Node e1 a1 nat ts1), (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)].
          rank t' < Suc nat by simp
  from O Suc(1)[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1 e1 a1 ts1]
  have
    p4: ∀ t' ∈ set ((Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1).
          rank t' < Suc nat by auto
  from O Suc(1)[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2 e2 a2 ts2]
  have p5:
    ∀ t' ∈ set ((Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2).
          rank t' < Suc nat by auto
  from Suc(3) p1 p2 p3 p4 p5 ch-id show ?case
    by(cases children t = (if a1 ≤ a2 then Node e2 a2 nat ts2 # ts1
                           else Node e1 a1 nat ts1 # ts2)) simp-all
 qed
qed

lemma strong-rev-children:
 assumes tree-invar t
 shows invar (rev [t ← children t. 0 < rank t])
proof (cases t)
 case (Node e a nat list)
 with assms show ?thesis
 proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
  case 0
  then show ?case by (simp add: invar-def)
 next
  case (Suc nat)
  show ?case
  proof (cases nat)
   case 0
   with Suc obtain e1 a1 e2 a2 e' a' where
    O: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 0 []) tree-invar (Node e2 a2 0 [])
    t = link (Node e1 a1 0 []) (Node e2 a2 0 [])
    ∨ t = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 0 []) (Node e2 a2 0 [])
    by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
   hence [t ← children t. 0 < rank t] = [] by auto
   then show ?thesis by (simp add: invar-def)
  next
```

case *Suc'*: (*Suc n*)
from *Suc* **obtain** *e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a'* **where**
O: *tree-invar* (*Node e1 a1 nat ts1*) *tree-invar* (*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*)
t = *link* (*Node e1 a1 nat ts1*) (*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*)
∨ *t* = *skewlink e' a'* (*Node e1 a1 nat ts1*) (*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*)
by (*simp only*: *tree-invar.simps*) *blast*
hence *ch-id*:
children t = (*if a1* ≤ *a2 then*
(*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*)#*ts1*
else (*Node e1 a1 nat ts1*)#*ts2*)
∨
children t = (*if a'* ≤ *a1* ∧ *a'* ≤ *a2 then*
[(*Node e1 a1 nat ts1*), (*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*)]
else (*if a1* ≤ *a2 then*
(*Node e' a' 0* []) # (*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*) # *ts1*
else (*Node e' a' 0* []) # (*Node e1 a1 nat ts1*) # *ts2*))
by *auto*
from *O Suc*(*1*)[*of Node e1 a1 nat ts1 e1 a1 ts1*] **have**
rev-ts1: *invar* (*rev* [*t* ← *ts1*. *0* < *rank t*]) **by** *simp*
from *O children-rank-less*[*of Node e1 a1 nat ts1*] **have**
∀ *t*∈*set* (*rev* [*t* ← *ts1*. *0* < *rank t*]). *rank t* < *rank* (*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*)
by *simp*
with *O rev-ts1*
invar-app-single[*of rev* [*t* ← *ts1*. *0* < *rank t*]
Node e2 a2 nat ts2]
have
invar (*rev* ((*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*) # [*t* ← *ts1*. *0* < *rank t*]))
by *simp*
with *Suc'* **have** *p1*: *invar* (*rev* [*t* ← ((*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*) # *ts1*). *0* < *rank t*])
by *simp*
from *O Suc*(*1*)[*of Node e2 a2 nat ts2 e2 a2 ts2*]
have *rev-ts2*: *invar* (*rev* [*t* ← *ts2*. *0* < *rank t*]) **by** *simp*
from *O children-rank-less*[*of Node e2 a2 nat ts2*]
have ∀ *t*∈*set* (*rev* [*t* ← *ts2*. *0* < *rank t*]).
rank t < *rank* (*Node e1 a1 nat ts1*) **by** *simp*
with *O rev-ts2 invar-app-single*[*of rev* [*t* ← *ts2*. *0* < *rank t*]
Node e1 a1 nat ts1]
have *invar* (*rev* [*t* ← *ts2*. *0* < *rank t*] @ [*Node e1 a1 nat ts1*])
by *simp*
with *Suc'* **have** *p2*: *invar* (*rev* [*t* ← ((*Node e1 a1 nat ts1*) # *ts2*). *0* < *rank t*])
by *simp*
from *O*(*1*−*2*)
have *p3*: *invar* (*rev* (*filter* (λ *t*. *0* < *rank t*)
[(*Node e1 a1 nat ts1*), (*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*)]))
by (*simp add*: *invar-def*)
from *p1* **have** *p4*: *invar* (*rev*
[*t* ← ((*Node e' a' 0* []) # (*Node e2 a2 nat ts2*) # *ts1*). *0* < *rank t*])

```
      by simp
    from p2 have p5: invar (rev
        [t ← ((Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2). 0 < rank t])
      by simp
    from p1 p2 p3 p4 p5 ch-id show
      invar (rev [t←children t . 0 < rank t])
      by (cases children t = (if a1 ≤ a2 then (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)#ts1
                              else (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)#ts2)) metis+
  qed
 qed
qed

lemma first-less: rank-invar (t # bq) ⟹ ∀ t' ∈ set bq. rank t < rank t'
 apply(induct bq arbitrary: t)
 apply (simp)
 apply (metis List.set-simps(2) insert-iff not-le-imp-less
   not-less-iff-gr-or-eq order-less-le-trans rank-invar.simps(3)
   rank-invar-cons-down)
 done

lemma first-less-eq:
 rank-skew-invar (t # bq) ⟹ ∀ t' ∈ set bq. rank t ≤ rank t'
 apply(induct bq arbitrary: t)
 apply (simp)
 apply (metis List.set-simps(2) insert-iff le-trans
   rank-invar-rank-skew rank-skew-invar.simps(3) rank-skew-rank-invar)
 done

lemma remove1-tail-invar: tail-invar bq ⟹ tail-invar (remove1 t bq)
proof (induct bq arbitrary: t)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons a bq)
 show ?case
 proof (cases t = a)
   case True
   from Cons(2) have tail-invar bq by (rule tail-invar-cons-down)
   with True show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case False
   from Cons(2) have tail-invar bq by (rule tail-invar-cons-down)
   with Cons(1)[of t] have si1: tail-invar (remove1 t bq) .
   from False have tail-invar (remove1 t (a # bq)) = tail-invar (a # (remove1 t
bq))
     by simp
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases remove1 t bq)
     case Nil
```

```
    with si1 Cons(2) False show ?thesis by (simp add: tail-invar-def)
   next
    case Cons': (Cons aa list)
    from Cons(2) have tree-invar a by (simp add: tail-invar-def)
    from Cons(2) first-less[of a bq]
    have ∀ t ∈ set (remove1 t bq). rank a < rank t
     by (metis notin-set-remove1 tail-invar-def)
    with Cons' have rank a < rank aa by simp
    with si1 Cons(2) False Cons' tail-invar-cons-up[of aa list a] show ?thesis
     by (simp add: tail-invar-def)
   qed
  qed
qed

lemma invar-cons-down: invar (t # bq) ⟹ invar bq
  by (metis rank-invar-rank-skew tail-invar-def
    invar-def invar-tail-invar)

lemma remove1-invar: invar bq ⟹ invar (remove1 t bq)
proof (induct bq arbitrary: t)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a bq)
  show ?case
  proof (cases t = a)
    case True
    from Cons(2) have invar bq by (rule invar-cons-down)
    with True show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    from Cons(2) have invar bq by (rule invar-cons-down)
    with Cons(1)[of t] have si1: invar (remove1 t bq) .
    from False have invar (remove1 t (a # bq)) = invar (a # (remove1 t bq))
     by simp
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases remove1 t bq)
     case Nil
     with si1 Cons(2) False show ?thesis by (simp add: invar-def)
    next
     case Cons': (Cons aa list)
     from Cons(2) have ti: tree-invar a by (simp add: invar-def)
     from Cons(2) have sbq: tail-invar bq by (metis invar-tail-invar)
     hence srm: tail-invar (remove1 t bq) by (metis remove1-tail-invar)
     from Cons(2) first-less-eq[of a bq]
     have ∀ t ∈ set (remove1 t bq). rank a ≤ rank t
      by (metis notin-set-remove1 invar-def)
     with Cons' have rank a ≤ rank aa by simp
     with si1 Cons(2) False Cons' ti srm tail-invar-cons-up-invar[of aa list a]
```

```
    show ?thesis by simp
   qed
  qed
qed

lemma deleteMin-invar:
  assumes invar bq
    and bq ≠ []
  shows invar (deleteMin bq)
proof −
  have eq: invar (deleteMin bq) =
    invar (insertList
    (filter (λ t. rank t = 0) (children (getMinTree bq)))
    (meld (rev (filter (λ t. rank t > 0) (children (getMinTree bq))))
          (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq)))
    by (simp add: deleteMin-def Let-def)
  from assms mintree-exists[of bq] have ti: tree-invar (getMinTree bq)
    by (simp add: invar-def queue-invar-def del: queue-invar-simps)
  with strong-rev-children[of getMinTree bq] have
    m1: invar (rev [t ← children (getMinTree bq). 0 < rank t]) .
  from remove1-invar[of bq getMinTree bq] assms(1)
  have m2: invar (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq) .
  from meld-invar[of rev [t ← children (getMinTree bq). 0 < rank t]
                    remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq] m1 m2
  have invar (meld (rev [t ← children (getMinTree bq). 0 < rank t])
                   (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq)) .
  with insertList-invar[of
    (meld (rev [t←children (getMinTree bq) . 0 < rank t])
          (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq))
    [t←children (getMinTree bq) . rank t = 0]]
  have invar
   (insertList
     [t←children (getMinTree bq) . rank t = 0]
     (meld (rev [t←children (getMinTree bq) . 0 < rank t])
       (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq))) .
  with eq show ?thesis ..
qed

theorem deleteMin-correct:
  assumes I: invar q
    and NE: q ≠ Nil
  shows invar (deleteMin q)
    and queue-to-multiset (deleteMin q) = queue-to-multiset q − {#findMin q#}
  apply (rule deleteMin-invar[OF I NE])
  using deleteMin-mset[of q] I NE
  unfolding invar-def
  apply (auto simp add: empty-correct)
  done
```

lemmas [*simp del*] = *insert.simps*

end

interpretation *SkewBinomialHeapStruc*: *SkewBinomialHeapStruc-loc* **.**

2.3 Bootstrapping

In this section, we implement datastructural bootstrapping, to reduce the complexity of meld-operations to $O(1)$. The bootstrapping also contains a *global root*, caching the minimal element of the queue, and thus also reducing the complexity of findMin-operations to $O(1)$.

Bootstrapping adds one more level of recursion: An *element* is an entry and a priority queues of elements.

In the original paper on skew binomial queues [1], higher order functors and recursive structures are used to elegantly implement bootstrapped heaps on top of ordinary heaps. However, such concepts are not supported in Isabelle/HOL, nor in Standard ML. Hence we have to use the „much less clean" [1] alternative: We manually specialize the heap datastructure, and re-implement the functions on the specialized data structure.

The correctness proofs are done by defining a mapping from teh specialized to the original data structure, and reusing the correctness statements of the original data structure.

2.3.1 Auxiliary

We first have to state some auxiliary lemmas and functions, mainly about multisets.

Finding the preimage of an element

lemma *in-image-msetE*:
assumes *x*∈#*image-mset f M*
obtains *y* **where** *y*∈#*M x*=*f y*
using *assms*
apply (*induct M*)
apply *simp*
apply (*force split*: *if-split-asm*)
done

Very special lemma for images multisets of pairs, where the second component is a function of the first component

lemma *mset-image-fst-dep-pair-diff-split*:

```
  (∀ e a. (e,a)∈#M ⟶ a=f e) ⟹
  image-mset fst (M − {#(e, f e)#}) = image-mset fst M − {#e#}
proof (induct M)
  case empty thus ?case by auto
next
  case (add x M)
  then obtain e' where [simp]: x=(e',f e')
    apply (cases x)
    apply (force)
    done

  from add.prems have ∀ e a. (e, a) ∈# M ⟶ a = f e by simp
  with add.hyps have
    IH: image-mset fst (M − {#(e, f e)#}) = image-mset fst M − {#e#}
    by auto

  show ?case proof (cases e=e')
    case True
    thus ?thesis by (simp)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: IH)
  qed
qed
```

```
locale Bootstrapped
begin
```

2.3.2 Datatype

We manually specialize the binomial tree to contain elements, that, in, turn, may contain trees. Note that we specify nodes without explicit priority, as the priority is contained in the elements stored in the nodes.

```
datatype ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree =
  BsNode (val: ('e, 'a::linorder) BsSkewElem)
        (rank: nat) (children: ('e , 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree list)
and
('e,'a) BsSkewElem =
  Element 'e (eprio: 'a) ('e,'a) BsSkewBinomialTree list

type-synonym ('e,'a) BsSkewHeap = unit + ('e,'a) BsSkewElem
type-synonym ('e,'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue = ('e,'a) BsSkewBinomialTree list
```

2.3.3 Specialization Boilerplate

In this section, we re-define the functions on the specialized priority queues, and show there correctness. This is done by defining a mapping to original priority queues, and re-using the correctness lemmas proven there.

Mapping to original binomial trees and queues

fun *bsmapt* **where**
bsmapt (*BsNode e r q*) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.Node e* (*eprio e*) *r* (*map bsmapt q*)

abbreviation *bsmap* **where**
bsmap q == *map bsmapt q*

Invariant and mapping to multiset are defined via the mapping

abbreviation *invar q* == *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.invar* (*bsmap q*)
abbreviation *queue-to-multiset q*
== *image-mset fst* (*SkewBinomialHeapStruc.queue-to-multiset* (*bsmap q*))
abbreviation *tree-to-multiset t*
== *image-mset fst* (*SkewBinomialHeapStruc.tree-to-multiset* (*bsmapt t*))

abbreviation *queue-to-multiset-aux q*
== (*SkewBinomialHeapStruc.queue-to-multiset* (*bsmap q*))

Now starts the re-implementation of the functions

primrec *prio* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialTree* ⇒ '*a* **where**
prio (*BsNode e r ts*) = *eprio e*

lemma *proj-xlate*:
val t = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.val* (*bsmapt t*)
prio t = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.prio* (*bsmapt t*)
rank t = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.rank* (*bsmapt t*)
bsmap (*children t*) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.children* (*bsmapt t*)
eprio (*SkewBinomialHeapStruc.val* (*bsmapt t*))
= *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.prio* (*bsmapt t*)
apply (*case-tac* [!] *t*)
apply *auto*
done

fun *link* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialTree*
⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *BsSkewBinomialTree* ⇒
('*e*, '*a*) *BsSkewBinomialTree* **where**
link (*BsNode e1 r1 ts1*) (*BsNode e2 r2 ts2*) =
(*if* *eprio e1*≤*eprio e2*
then (*BsNode e1* (*Suc r1*) ((*BsNode e2 r2 ts2*)#*ts1*))
else (*BsNode e2* (*Suc r2*) ((*BsNode e1 r1 ts1*)#*ts2*)))

Link two trees of rank r and a new element to a new tree of rank $r + 1$

fun *skewlink* :: ('*e*,'*a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewElem* ⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *BsSkewBinomialTree* ⇒

```
  ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree ⇒ ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree where
  skewlink e t t' = (if eprio e ≤ (prio t) ∧ eprio e ≤ (prio t')
  then (BsNode e (Suc (rank t)) [t,t'])
  else (if (prio t) ≤ (prio t')
   then
    BsNode (val t) (Suc (rank t))  (BsNode e 0 [] # t' # children t)
   else
    BsNode (val t') (Suc (rank t')) (BsNode e 0 [] # t # children t')))

lemma link-xlate:
  bsmapt (link t t') = SkewBinomialHeapStruc.link (bsmapt t) (bsmapt t')
  bsmapt (skewlink e t t') =
     SkewBinomialHeapStruc.skewlink e (eprio e) (bsmapt t) (bsmapt t')
  by (case-tac [!] t, case-tac [!] t') auto


fun ins :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BsSkewBinomialTree ⇒
  ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue ⇒
  ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue where
  ins t [] = [t] |
  ins t' (t # bq) =
    (if (rank t') < (rank t)
      then t' # t # bq
      else (if (rank t) < (rank t')
        then t # (ins t' bq)
        else ins (link t' t) bq))

lemma ins-xlate:
  bsmap (ins t q) = SkewBinomialHeapStruc.ins (bsmapt t) (bsmap q)
  by (induct q arbitrary: t) (auto simp add: proj-xlate link-xlate)
```

Insert an element with priority into a queue using skewlinks.

```
fun insert :: ('e,'a::linorder) BsSkewElem ⇒
  ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue ⇒
  ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue where
  insert e [] = [BsNode e 0 []] |
  insert e [t] = [BsNode e 0 [],t] |
  insert e (t # t' # bq) =
    (if rank t ≠ rank t'
      then (BsNode e 0 []) # t # t' # bq
      else (skewlink e t t') # bq)

lemma insert-xlate:
  bsmap (insert e q) = SkewBinomialHeapStruc.insert e (eprio e) (bsmap q)
  apply (cases (e,q) rule: insert.cases)
  apply (auto simp add: proj-xlate link-xlate SkewBinomialHeapStruc.insert.simps)
  done

lemma insert-correct:
```

assumes *I*: *invar q*
shows
invar (*insert e q*)
queue-to-multiset (*insert e q*) = *queue-to-multiset q* + {#(*e*)#}
by (*simp-all add*: *I SkewBinomialHeapStruc.insert-correct insert-xlate*)

fun *uniqify*
:: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue*
where
uniqify [] = [] |
uniqify (*t*#*bq*) = *ins t bq*

fun *meldUniq*
:: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ ('*e*,'*a*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒
('*e*, '*a*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* **where**
meldUniq [] *bq* = *bq* |
meldUniq bq [] = *bq* |
meldUniq (*t1*#*bq1*) (*t2*#*bq2*) = (*if rank t1* < *rank t2*
then t1 # (*meldUniq bq1* (*t2*#*bq2*))
else (*if rank t2* < *rank t1*
then t2 # (*meldUniq* (*t1*#*bq1*) *bq2*)
else ins (*link t1 t2*) (*meldUniq bq1 bq2*)))

definition *meld*
:: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒
('*e*, '*a*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* **where**
meld bq1 bq2 = *meldUniq* (*uniqify bq1*) (*uniqify bq2*)

lemma *uniqify-xlate*:
bsmap (*uniqify q*) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.uniqify* (*bsmap q*)
by (*cases q*) (*simp-all add*: *ins-xlate*)

lemma *meldUniq-xlate*:
bsmap (*meldUniq q q*′) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.meldUniq* (*bsmap q*) (*bsmap q*′)
apply (*induct q q*′ *rule*: *meldUniq.induct*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *link-xlate proj-xlate uniqify-xlate ins-xlate*)
done

lemma *meld-xlate*:
bsmap (*meld q q*′) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.meld* (*bsmap q*) (*bsmap q*′)
by (*simp add*: *meld-def meldUniq-xlate uniqify-xlate*
SkewBinomialHeapStruc.meld-def)

lemma *meld-correct*:
assumes *I*: *invar q invar q*′
shows
invar (*meld q q*′)
queue-to-multiset (*meld q q*′) = *queue-to-multiset q* + *queue-to-multiset q*′

by (*simp-all add*: *I SkewBinomialHeapStruc.meld-correct meld-xlate*)

fun *insertList* ::
(*'e*, *'a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ (*'e*, *'a*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒
(*'e*, *'a*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* **where**
insertList [] *tbq* = *tbq* |
insertList (*t*#*bq*) *tbq* = *insertList bq* (*insert* (*val t*) *tbq*)

fun *remove1Prio* :: *'a* ⇒ (*'e*, *'a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒
(*'e*, *'a*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* **where**
remove1Prio a [] = [] |
remove1Prio a (*t*#*bq*) =
(*if* (*prio t*) = *a then bq else t* # (*remove1Prio a bq*))

fun *getMinTree* :: (*'e*, *'a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒
(*'e*, *'a*) *BsSkewBinomialTree* **where**
getMinTree [*t*] = *t* |
getMinTree (*t*#*bq*) =
(*if prio t* ≤ *prio* (*getMinTree bq*)
then t
else (*getMinTree bq*))

definition *findMin*
:: (*'e*, *'a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒ (*'e*,*'a*) *BsSkewElem* **where**
findMin bq = *val* (*getMinTree bq*)

definition *deleteMin* :: (*'e*, *'a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* ⇒
(*'e*, *'a*) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* **where**
deleteMin bq = (*let min* = *getMinTree bq in insertList*
(*filter* (λ *t*. *rank t* = *0*) (*children min*))
(*meld* (*rev* (*filter* (λ *t*. *rank t* > *0*) (*children min*)))
(*remove1Prio* (*prio min*) *bq*)))

lemma *insertList-xlate*:
bsmap (*insertList q q'*)
= *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.insertList* (*bsmap q*) (*bsmap q'*)
apply (*induct q arbitrary*: *q'*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *insert-xlate proj-xlate*)
done

lemma *remove1Prio-xlate*:
bsmap (*remove1Prio a q*) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.remove1Prio a* (*bsmap q*)
by (*induct q*) (*auto simp add*: *proj-xlate*)

lemma *getMinTree-xlate*:
q≠[] ⟹ *bsmapt* (*getMinTree q*) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.getMinTree* (*bsmap q*)
apply (*induct q*)
apply *simp*

apply (*case-tac q*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *proj-xlate*)
done

lemma *findMin-xlate*:
q≠[] ⟹ *findMin q* = *fst* (*SkewBinomialHeapStruc.findMin* (*bsmap q*))
apply (*unfold findMin-def SkewBinomialHeapStruc.findMin-def*)
apply (*simp add*: *proj-xlate Let-def getMinTree-xlate*)
done

lemma *findMin-xlate-aux*:
q≠[] ⟹ (*findMin q*, *eprio* (*findMin q*)) =
(*SkewBinomialHeapStruc.findMin* (*bsmap q*))
apply (*unfold findMin-def SkewBinomialHeapStruc.findMin-def*)
apply (*simp add*: *proj-xlate Let-def getMinTree-xlate*)
apply (*induct q*)
apply *simp*
apply (*case-tac q*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *proj-xlate*)
done

lemma *bsmap-filter-xlate*:
bsmap [*x*←*l* . *P* (*bsmapt x*)] = [*x* ← *bsmap l*. *P x*]
by (*induct l*) *auto*

lemma *bsmap-rev-xlate*:
bsmap (*rev q*) = *rev* (*bsmap q*)
by (*induct q*) *auto*

lemma *deleteMin-xlate*:
q≠[] ⟹ *bsmap* (*deleteMin q*) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin* (*bsmap q*)
apply (*simp add*:
deleteMin-def SkewBinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin-def
proj-xlate getMinTree-xlate insertList-xlate meld-xlate remove1Prio-xlate
Let-def bsmap-rev-xlate, (*subst bsmap-filter-xlate*)*?*)+
done

lemma *deleteMin-correct-aux*:
assumes *I*: *invar q*
assumes *NE*: *q*≠[]
shows
invar (*deleteMin q*)
queue-to-multiset-aux (*deleteMin q*) = *queue-to-multiset-aux q* −
{# (*findMin q*, *eprio* (*findMin q*)) #}
apply (*simp-all add*:
I NE deleteMin-xlate findMin-xlate-aux
SkewBinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin-correct)

done

lemma *bsmap-fs-dep*:
(*e*,*a*)∈#*SkewBinomialHeapStruc.tree-to-multiset* (*bsmapt t*) ⟹ *a*=*eprio e*
(*e*,*a*)∈#*SkewBinomialHeapStruc.queue-to-multiset* (*bsmap q*) ⟹ *a*=*eprio e*
thm *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.tree-to-multiset-queue-to-multiset.induct*
apply (*induct bsmapt t* **and** *bsmap q arbitrary*: *t* **and** *q*
rule: *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.tree-to-multiset-queue-to-multiset.induct*)
apply *auto*
apply (*case-tac t*)
apply (*auto split*: *if-split-asm*)
done

lemma *bsmap-fs-depD*:
(*e*,*a*)∈#*SkewBinomialHeapStruc.tree-to-multiset* (*bsmapt t*)
⟹ *e* ∈# *tree-to-multiset t* ∧ *a*=*eprio e*
(*e*,*a*)∈#*SkewBinomialHeapStruc.queue-to-multiset* (*bsmap q*)
⟹ *e* ∈# *queue-to-multiset q* ∧ *a*=*eprio e*
by (*auto dest*: *bsmap-fs-dep intro*!: *image-eqI*)

lemma *findMin-correct-aux*:
assumes *I*: *invar q*
assumes *NE*: *q*≠[]
shows (*findMin q*, *eprio* (*findMin q*)) ∈# *queue-to-multiset-aux q*
∀ *y*∈*set-mset* (*queue-to-multiset-aux q*). *snd* (*findMin q*,*eprio* (*findMin q*)) ≤ *snd y*
apply (*simp-all add*:
I NE findMin-xlate-aux
SkewBinomialHeapStruc.findMin-correct)
done

lemma *findMin-correct*:
assumes *I*: *invar q*
and *NE*: *q*≠[]
shows *findMin q* ∈# *queue-to-multiset q*
and ∀ *y*∈*set-mset* (*queue-to-multiset q*). *eprio* (*findMin q*) ≤ *eprio y*
using *findMin-correct-aux*[*OF I NE*]
apply *simp-all*
apply (*force dest*: *bsmap-fs-depD*)
apply *auto*
proof *goal-cases*
case *prems*: (*1 a b*)
from *prems*(*3*) **have** (*a*, *eprio a*) ∈# *queue-to-multiset-aux q*
apply −
apply (*frule bsmap-fs-dep*)
apply *simp*
done

```
  with prems(2)[rule-format, simplified]
  show ?case by auto
qed

lemma deleteMin-correct:
  assumes I: invar q
  assumes NE: q≠[]
  shows
  invar (deleteMin q)
  queue-to-multiset (deleteMin q) = queue-to-multiset q −
  {# findMin q #}
  using deleteMin-correct-aux[OF I NE]
  apply simp-all
  apply (rule mset-image-fst-dep-pair-diff-split)
  apply (auto dest: bsmap-fs-dep)
  done


declare insert.simps[simp del]
```

2.3.4 Bootstrapping: Phase 1

In this section, we define the ticked versions of the functions, as defined in [1]. These functions work on elements, i.e. only on heaps that contain at least one entry. Additionally, we define an invariant for elements, and a mapping to multisets of entries, and prove correct the ticked functions.

```
primrec findMin' where findMin' (Element e a q) = (e,a)
fun meld'':: ('e,'a::linorder) BsSkewElem ⇒
  ('e,'a) BsSkewElem ⇒ ('e,'a) BsSkewElem
  where meld' (Element e1 a1 q1) (Element e2 a2 q2) =
  (if a1≤a2 then
    Element e1 a1 (insert (Element e2 a2 q2) q1)
   else
    Element e2 a2 (insert (Element e1 a1 q1) q2)
  )
fun insert' where
  insert' e a q = meld' (Element e a []) q
fun deleteMin' where
  deleteMin' (Element e a q) = (
    case (findMin q) of
      Element ey ay q1 ⇒
        Element ey ay (meld q1 (deleteMin q))
  )
```

Size-function for termination proofs

```
fun tree-level and queue-level where
  tree-level (BsNode (Element - - qd) - q) =
  max (Suc (queue-level qd)) (queue-level q) |
```

queue-level [] = (*0*::*nat*) |
queue-level (*t*#*q*) = *max* (*tree-level t*) (*queue-level q*)

fun *level* **where**
level (*Element* - - *q*) = *Suc* (*queue-level q*)

lemma *level-m*:
x∈#*tree-to-multiset t* ⟹ *level x* < *Suc* (*tree-level t*)
x∈#*queue-to-multiset q* ⟹ *level x* < *Suc* (*queue-level q*)
apply (*induct t* **and** *q rule*: *tree-level-queue-level.induct*)
apply (*case-tac* [!] *x*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *less-max-iff-disj*)
done

lemma *level-measure*:
x ∈ *set-mset* (*queue-to-multiset q*) ⟹ (*x*,(*Element e a q*))∈*measure level*
x ∈# (*queue-to-multiset q*) ⟹ (*x*,(*Element e a q*))∈*measure level*
apply (*case-tac* [!] *x*)
apply (*auto dest*: *level-m simp del*: *set-image-mset*)
done

Invariant for elements

function *elem-invar* **where**
elem-invar (*Element e a q*) ⟷
(∀ *x*. *x*∈# (*queue-to-multiset q*) ⟶ *a* ≤ *eprio x* ∧ *elem-invar x*) ∧
invar q
by *pat-completeness auto*
termination
proof
show *wf* (*measure level*) **by** *auto*
qed (*rule level-measure*)

Abstraction to multisets

function *elem-to-mset* **where**
elem-to-mset (*Element e a q*) = {# (*e*,*a*) #}
+ *sum-mset* (*image-mset elem-to-mset* (*queue-to-multiset q*))
by *pat-completeness auto*
termination
proof
show *wf* (*measure level*) **by** *auto*
qed (*rule level-measure*)

lemma *insert-correct′*:
assumes *I*: *elem-invar x*
shows
elem-invar (*insert′ e a x*)
elem-to-mset (*insert′ e a x*) = *elem-to-mset x* + {#(*e*,*a*)#}
using *I*
apply (*case-tac* [!] *x*)

```
  apply (auto simp add: insert-correct union-ac)
  done

lemma meld-correct':
  assumes I: elem-invar x elem-invar x'
  shows
  elem-invar (meld' x x')
  elem-to-mset (meld' x x') = elem-to-mset x + elem-to-mset x'
  using I
  apply (case-tac [!] x)
  apply (case-tac [!] x')
  apply (auto simp add: insert-correct union-ac)
  done

lemma findMin'-min:
  ⟦elem-invar x; y∈#elem-to-mset x⟧ ⟹ snd (findMin' x) ≤ snd y
proof (induct n≡level x arbitrary: x rule: full-nat-induct)
  case 1
  note IH=1.hyps[rule-format, OF - refl]
  note PREMS=1.prems
  obtain e a q where [simp]: x=Element e a q by (cases x) auto

  from PREMS(2) have y=(e,a) ∨
    y∈#sum-mset (image-mset elem-to-mset (queue-to-multiset q))
    (is ?C1 ∨ ?C2)
    by (auto split: if-split-asm)
  moreover {
    assume y=(e,a)
    with PREMS have ?case by simp
  } moreover {
    assume ?C2
    then obtain yx where
      A: yx ∈# queue-to-multiset q  and
      B: y ∈# elem-to-mset yx
      apply (auto elim!: in-image-msetE)
      done

    from A PREMS have IYX: elem-invar yx by auto

    from PREMS(1) A have a ≤ eprio yx by auto
    hence snd (findMin' x) ≤ snd (findMin' yx)
      by (cases yx) auto
    also
    from IH[OF - IYX B] level-m(2)[OF A]
    have snd (findMin' yx) ≤ snd y by simp
    finally have ?case .
  } ultimately show ?case by blast
qed
```

lemma *findMin-correct′*:
assumes *I*: *elem-invar x*
shows
findMin′ x ∈# *elem-to-mset x*
∀ *y*∈*set-mset* (*elem-to-mset x*). *snd* (*findMin′ x*) ≤ *snd y*
using *I*
apply (*cases x*)
apply *simp*
apply (*simp add*: *findMin′-min*[*OF I*])
done

lemma *deleteMin-correct′*:
assumes *I*: *elem-invar* (*Element e a q*)
assumes *NE*[*simp*]: *q*≠[]
shows
elem-invar (*deleteMin′* (*Element e a q*))
elem-to-mset (*deleteMin′* (*Element e a q*)) =
elem-to-mset (*Element e a q*) − {# *findMin′* (*Element e a q*) #}

proof −
from *I* **have** *IQ*[*simp*]: *invar q* **by** *simp*
from *findMin-correct*[*OF IQ NE*] **have**
FMIQ: *findMin q* ∈# *queue-to-multiset q* **and**
FMIN: !!*y*. *y*∈#(*queue-to-multiset q*) ⟹ *eprio* (*findMin q*) ≤ *eprio y*
by (*auto simp del*: *set-image-mset*)
from *FMIQ I* **have** *FMEI*: *elem-invar* (*findMin q*) **by** *auto*
from *I* **have** *FEI*: !!*y*. *y*∈#(*queue-to-multiset q*) ⟹ *elem-invar y* **by** *auto*

obtain *ey ay qy* **where** [*simp*]: *findMin q* = *Element ey ay qy*
by (*cases findMin q*) *auto*
from *FMEI* **have**
IQY[*simp*]: *invar qy* **and**
AYMIN: !!*x*. *x* ∈# *queue-to-multiset qy* ⟹ *ay* ≤ *eprio x* **and**
QEI: !!*x*. *x* ∈# *queue-to-multiset qy* ⟹ *elem-invar x*
by *auto*

show *elem-invar* (*deleteMin′* (*Element e a q*))
using *AYMIN QEI FMIN FEI*
by (*auto simp add*: *deleteMin-correct meld-correct in-diff-count*)

from *FMIQ* **have**
S: (*queue-to-multiset q* − {#*Element ey ay qy*#}) + {#*Element ey ay qy*#}
= *queue-to-multiset q* **by** *simp*

show *elem-to-mset* (*deleteMin′* (*Element e a q*)) =
elem-to-mset (*Element e a q*) − {# *findMin′* (*Element e a q*) #}
apply (*simp add*: *deleteMin-correct meld-correct*)
by (*subst S*[*symmetric*], *simp add*: *union-ac*)

qed

2.3.5 Bootstrapping: Phase 2

In this phase, we extend the ticked versions to also work with empty priority queues.

definition *bs-empty* **where** *bs-empty* ≡ *Inl* ()

primrec *bs-findMin* **where**
bs-findMin (*Inr x*) = *findMin′ x*

fun *bs-meld*
:: (*′e*,*′a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewHeap* ⇒ (*′e*,*′a*) *BsSkewHeap* ⇒ (*′e*,*′a*) *BsSkewHeap*
where
bs-meld (*Inl* -) *x* = *x* |
bs-meld x (*Inl* -) = *x* |
bs-meld (*Inr x*) (*Inr x′*) = *Inr* (*meld′ x x′*)
lemma [*simp*]: *bs-meld x* (*Inl u*) = *x*
by (*cases x*) *auto*

primrec *bs-insert*
:: *′e* ⇒ (*′a*::*linorder*) ⇒ (*′e*,*′a*) *BsSkewHeap* ⇒ (*′e*,*′a*) *BsSkewHeap*
where
bs-insert e a (*Inl* -) = *Inr* (*Element e a* []) |
bs-insert e a (*Inr x*) = *Inr* (*insert′ e a x*)

fun *bs-deleteMin*
:: (*′e*,*′a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewHeap* ⇒ (*′e*,*′a*) *BsSkewHeap*
where
bs-deleteMin (*Inr* (*Element e a* [])) = *Inl* () |
bs-deleteMin (*Inr* (*Element e a q*)) = *Inr* (*deleteMin′* (*Element e a q*))

primrec *bs-invar* :: (*′e*,*′a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewHeap* ⇒ *bool*
where
bs-invar (*Inl* -) ⟷ *True* |
bs-invar (*Inr x*) ⟷ *elem-invar x*

lemma [*simp*]: *bs-invar bs-empty* **by** (*simp add*: *bs-empty-def*)

primrec *bs-to-mset* :: (*′e*,*′a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewHeap* ⇒ (*′e*×*′a*) *multiset*
where
bs-to-mset (*Inl* -) = {#} |
bs-to-mset (*Inr x*) = *elem-to-mset x*

theorem *bs-empty-correct*: *h*=*bs-empty* ⟷ *bs-to-mset h* = {#}
apply (*unfold bs-empty-def*)
apply (*cases h*)
apply *simp*
apply (*case-tac b*)

apply *simp*
done

lemma *bs-mset-of-empty*[*simp*]:
bs-to-mset bs-empty = {#}
by (*simp add*: *bs-empty-def*)

theorem *bs-findMin-correct*:
assumes *I*: *bs-invar h*
assumes *NE*: *h*≠*bs-empty*
shows *bs-findMin h* ∈# *bs-to-mset h*
∀ *y*∈*set-mset* (*bs-to-mset h*). *snd* (*bs-findMin h*) ≤ *snd y*
using *I NE*
apply (*case-tac* [!] *h*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *bs-empty-def findMin-correct*′)
done

theorem *bs-insert-correct*:
assumes *I*: *bs-invar h*
shows
bs-invar (*bs-insert e a h*)
bs-to-mset (*bs-insert e a h*) = {#(*e*,*a*)#} + *bs-to-mset h*
using *I*
apply (*case-tac* [!] *h*)
apply (*simp-all*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *meld-correct*′)
done

theorem *bs-meld-correct*:
assumes *I*: *bs-invar h bs-invar h*′
shows
bs-invar (*bs-meld h h*′)
bs-to-mset (*bs-meld h h*′) = *bs-to-mset h* + *bs-to-mset h*′
using *I*
apply (*case-tac* [!] *h*, *case-tac* [!] *h*′)
apply (*auto simp add*: *meld-correct*′)
done

theorem *bs-deleteMin-correct*:
assumes *I*: *bs-invar h*
assumes *NE*: *h* ≠ *bs-empty*
shows
bs-invar (*bs-deleteMin h*)
bs-to-mset (*bs-deleteMin h*) = *bs-to-mset h* − {#*bs-findMin h*#}
using *I NE*
apply (*case-tac* [!] *h*)
apply (*simp-all add*: *bs-empty-def*)
apply (*case-tac* [!] *b*)
apply (*rename-tac* [!] *list*)

apply (*case-tac* [!] *list*)
apply (*simp-all del*: *elem-invar.simps deleteMin'.simps add*: *deleteMin-correct'*)
done

end

interpretation *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc*: *Bootstrapped* **.**

2.4 Hiding the Invariant

2.4.1 Datatype

typedef (**overloaded**) (*'e*, *'a*) *SkewBinomialHeap* =
{*q* :: (*'e*,*'a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.BsSkewHeap*. *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-invar q* }
apply (*rule-tac x*=*BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty* **in** *exI*)
apply (*auto*)
done

lemma *Rep-SkewBinomialHeap-invar*[*simp*]:
BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-invar (*Rep-SkewBinomialHeap x*)
using *Rep-SkewBinomialHeap*
by (*auto*)

lemma [*simp*]:
BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-invar q
⟹ *Rep-SkewBinomialHeap* (*Abs-SkewBinomialHeap q*) = *q*
using *Abs-SkewBinomialHeap-inverse* **by** *auto*

lemma [*simp*, *code abstype*]: *Abs-SkewBinomialHeap* (*Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q*) = *q*
by (*rule Rep-SkewBinomialHeap-inverse*)

locale *SkewBinomialHeap-loc*
begin

2.4.2 Operations

definition [*code*]:
to-mset t
== *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-to-mset* (*Rep-SkewBinomialHeap t*)

definition *empty* **where**
empty == *Abs-SkewBinomialHeap BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty*
lemma [*code abstract*, *simp*]:
Rep-SkewBinomialHeap empty = *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty*
by (*unfold empty-def*) *simp*

definition [*code*]:

```
  isEmpty q == Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q = BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty
lemma empty-rep:
  q=empty ⟷ Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q = BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty
  apply (auto simp add: empty-def)
  apply (metis Rep-SkewBinomialHeap-inverse)
  done

lemma isEmpty-correct: isEmpty q ⟷ q=empty
  by (simp add: empty-rep isEmpty-def)

definition
  insert
  :: 'e  ⇒ ('a::linorder) ⇒ ('e,'a) SkewBinomialHeap
     ⇒ ('e,'a) SkewBinomialHeap
  where insert e a q ==
        Abs-SkewBinomialHeap (
          BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-insert e a (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q))
lemma [code abstract]:
  Rep-SkewBinomialHeap (insert e a q)
  = BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-insert e a (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q)
  by (simp add: insert-def BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-insert-correct)

definition [code]: findMin q
  == BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-findMin (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q)

definition deleteMin q ==
  if q=empty then empty
  else Abs-SkewBinomialHeap (
         BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-deleteMin (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q))
```

We don't use equality here, to prevent the code-generator from introducing equality-class parameter for type $'a$. Instead we use a case-distinction to check for emptiness.

```
lemma [code abstract]: Rep-SkewBinomialHeap (deleteMin q) =
  (case (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q) of Inl - ⇒ BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty
|
   - ⇒ BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-deleteMin (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q))
proof (cases (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q))
  case [simp]: (Inl a)
  hence (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q) = BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty
    apply (cases q)
    apply (auto simp add: BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty-def)
    done
  thus ?thesis
    apply (auto simp add: deleteMin-def
      BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-deleteMin-correct
      BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty-correct empty-rep )
    done
next
```

case (*Inr x*)
hence (*Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q*) ≠ *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty*
apply (*cases q*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty-def*)
done
thus *?thesis*
apply (*simp add*: *Inr*)
apply (*fold Inr*)
apply (*auto simp add*: *deleteMin-def*
BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-deleteMin-correct
BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty-correct empty-rep)
done
qed

definition *meld q1 q2* ==
Abs-SkewBinomialHeap (*BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-meld*
(*Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q1*) (*Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q2*))
lemma [*code abstract*]:
Rep-SkewBinomialHeap (*meld q1 q2*)
= *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-meld* (*Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q1*)
(*Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q2*)
by (*simp add*: *meld-def BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-meld-correct*)

2.4.3 Correctness

lemma *empty-correct*: *to-mset q* = {#} ⟷ *q*=*empty*
by (*simp add*: *to-mset-def BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty-correct empty-rep*)

lemma *to-mset-of-empty*[*simp*]: *to-mset empty* = {#}
by (*simp add*: *empty-correct*)

lemma *insert-correct*: *to-mset* (*insert e a q*) = *to-mset q* + {#(*e*,*a*)#}
apply (*unfold insert-def to-mset-def*)
apply (*simp add*: *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-insert-correct union-ac*)
done

lemma *findMin-correct*:
assumes *q*≠*empty*
shows
findMin q ∈# *to-mset q*
∀ *y*∈*set-mset* (*to-mset q*). *snd* (*findMin q*) ≤ *snd y*
using *assms*
apply (*unfold findMin-def to-mset-def*)
apply (*simp-all add*: *empty-rep BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-findMin-correct*)
done

lemma *deleteMin-correct*:

assumes *q≠empty*
shows *to-mset* (*deleteMin q*) = *to-mset q* − {# *findMin q* #}
using *assms*
apply (*unfold findMin-def deleteMin-def to-mset-def*)
apply (*simp-all add*: *empty-rep BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-deleteMin-correct*)
done

lemma *meld-correct*:
shows *to-mset* (*meld q q'*) = *to-mset q* + *to-mset q'*
apply (*unfold to-mset-def meld-def*)
apply (*simp-all add*: *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-meld-correct*)
done

Correctness lemmas to be used with simplifier

lemmas *correct* = *empty-correct deleteMin-correct meld-correct*

end

interpretation *SkewBinomialHeap*: *SkewBinomialHeap-loc* .

2.5 Documentation

SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset
Abstraction to multiset.

SkewBinomialHeap.empty
The empty heap. ($O(1)$)
Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.empty-correct*:

(*SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset q* = {#}) = (*q* = *SkewBinomialHeap.empty*)

SkewBinomialHeap.isEmpty
Checks whether heap is empty. Mainly used to work around code-generation issues. ($O(1)$)
Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.isEmpty-correct*:

SkewBinomialHeap.isEmpty q = (*q* = *SkewBinomialHeap.empty*)

SkewBinomialHeap.insert

SkewBinomialHeap.insert

Inserts element ($O(1)$)
Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.insert-correct*:

SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset (*SkewBinomialHeap.insert e a q*) =
SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset q + {#(*e*, *a*)#}

SkewBinomialHeap.findMin
Returns a minimal element ($O(1)$)
Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.findMin-correct*:

$q \neq$ *SkewBinomialHeap.empty* $\Longrightarrow$
SkewBinomialHeap.findMin $q \in\#$ *SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset* q
$q \neq$ *SkewBinomialHeap.empty* $\Longrightarrow$
$\forall\, y \in\#$ *SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset* q. *snd* (*SkewBinomialHeap.findMin* q) $\leq$ *snd* y

SkewBinomialHeap.deleteMin

SkewBinomialHeap.deleteMin

Deletes *the* element that is returned by *find_min*. $O(\log(n))$
Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.deleteMin-correct*:

$q \neq$ *SkewBinomialHeap.empty* $\Longrightarrow$
SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset (*SkewBinomialHeap.deleteMin* q) =
SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset $q - \{\#$*SkewBinomialHeap.findMin* $q\#\}$

SkewBinomialHeap.meld

SkewBinomialHeap.meld

Melds two heaps ($O(1)$)
Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.meld-correct*:

SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset (*SkewBinomialHeap.meld* $q\ q'$) =
SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset q + *SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset* q'

end

References

[1] G. S. Brodal and C. Okasaki. Optimal purely functional priority queues. *Journal of Functional Programming*, 6:839–857, 1996.