

A General Theory of Syntax with Bindings

Lorenzo Gheri and Andrei Popescu

May 26, 2024

Abstract

We formalize a theory of syntax with bindings that has been developed and refined over the last decade to support several large formalization efforts. Terms are defined for an arbitrary number of constructors of varying numbers of inputs, quotiented to alpha-equivalence and sorted according to a binding signature. The theory includes many properties of the standard operators on terms: substitution, swapping and freshness. It also includes bindings-aware induction and recursion principles and support for semantic interpretation. This work has been presented in the ITP 2017 paper “A Formalized General Theory of Syntax with Bindings”.

Contents

1	Quasi-Terms with Swapping and Freshness	1
1.1	The datatype of quasi-terms with bindings	1
1.2	Induction principles	2
1.3	Swap and substitution on variables	4
1.4	The swapping and freshness operators	6
1.5	Compositional properties of swapping	8
1.6	Induction and well-foundedness modulo swapping	10
1.7	More properties connecting swapping and freshness	11
2	Availability of Fresh Variables and Alpha-Equivalence	13
2.1	The FixVars locale	13
2.2	Good quasi-terms	14
2.3	The ability to pick fresh variables	17
2.4	Alpha-equivalence	19
2.4.1	Definition	19
2.4.2	Simplification and elimination rules	20
2.4.3	Basic properties	21
2.4.4	Picking fresh representatives	25
2.5	Properties of swapping and freshness modulo alpha	25
2.6	Alternative statements of the alpha-clause for bound arguments	27

2.6.1	First for “qAFresh”	27
2.6.2	Then for “qFresh”	31
3	Environments and Substitution for Quasi-Terms	35
3.1	Environments	35
3.2	Parallel substitution	37
4	Some preliminaries on equivalence relations and quotients	41
5	Transition from Quasi-Terms to Terms	45
5.1	Preparation: Integrating quasi-inputs as first-class citizens	45
5.2	Definitions of terms and their operators	51
5.3	Items versus quasi-items modulo alpha	58
5.3.1	For terms	58
5.3.2	For abstractions	60
5.3.3	For inputs	61
5.3.4	For environments	64
5.3.5	The structural alpha-equivPalence maps commute with the syntactic constructs	65
5.4	All operators preserve the “good” predicate	66
5.4.1	The syntactic operators are almost constructors	70
5.5	Properties lifted from quasi-terms to terms	71
5.5.1	Simplification rules	71
5.5.2	The ability to pick fresh variables	75
5.5.3	Compositionality	75
5.5.4	Compositionality for environments	77
5.5.5	Properties of the relation of being swapped	78
5.6	Induction	79
5.6.1	Induction lifted from quasi-terms	79
5.6.2	Fresh induction	80
6	More on Terms	82
6.1	Identity environment versus other operators	83
6.2	Environment update versus other operators	84
6.3	Environment “get” versus other operators	85
6.4	Substitution versus other operators	87
6.5	Properties specific to variable-for-variable substitution	96
6.6	Abstraction versions of the properties	98
7	Binding Signatures and well-sorted terms	104
7.1	Binding signatures	104
7.2	The Binding Syntax locale	104
7.3	Definitions and basic properties of well-sortedness	106
7.3.1	Notations and definitions	106

7.3.2	Sublocale of “FixVars”	106
7.3.3	Abbreviations	106
7.3.4	Inner versions of the locale assumptions	108
7.3.5	Definitions of well-sorted items	109
7.3.6	Well-sorted exists	111
7.3.7	Well-sorted implies Good	112
7.3.8	Swapping preserves well-sortedness	112
7.3.9	Inversion rules for well-sortedness	114
7.4	Induction principles for well-sorted terms	116
7.4.1	Regular induction	116
7.4.2	Fresh induction	116
7.4.3	The syntactic constructs are almost free (on well-sorted terms)	118
7.5	The non-construct operators preserve well-sortedness	121
7.6	Simplification rules for swapping, substitution, freshness and skeleton	123
7.7	The ability to pick fresh variables	126
7.8	Compositionality properties of freshness and swapping	128
7.8.1	W.r.t. terms	128
7.8.2	W.r.t. environments	129
7.8.3	W.r.t. abstractions	130
7.9	Compositionality properties for the other operators	132
7.9.1	Environment identity, update and “get” versus other operators	132
7.9.2	Substitution versus other operators	133
7.9.3	Properties specific to variable-for-variable substitution	140
7.9.4	Abstraction versions of the properties	142
7.10	Operators for down-casting and case-analyzing well-sorted items	148
7.10.1	For terms	148
7.10.2	For abstractions	152
8	Iteration	155
8.1	Models	155
8.1.1	Raw models	156
8.1.2	Well-sorted models of various kinds	156
8.2	Morphisms of models	172
8.2.1	Preservation of the domains	172
8.2.2	Preservation of the constructs	173
8.2.3	Preservation of freshness	173
8.2.4	Preservation of swapping	174
8.2.5	Preservation of subst	174
8.2.6	Fresh-swap morphisms	174
8.2.7	Fresh-subst morphisms	175
8.2.8	Fresh-swap-subst morphisms	175

8.2.9	Basic facts	175
8.2.10	Identity and composition	177
8.3	The term model	179
8.3.1	Definitions and simplification rules	179
8.3.2	Well-sortedness of the term model	181
8.3.3	Direct description of morphisms from the term models	184
8.3.4	Sufficient criteria for being a morphism to a well-sorted model (of various kinds)	190
8.4	The “error” model of associated to a model	191
8.4.1	Preliminaries	192
8.4.2	Definitions and notations	193
8.4.3	Simplification rules	194
8.4.4	Nchotomies	200
8.4.5	Inversion rules	201
8.4.6	The error model is strongly well-sorted as a fresh- swap-subst and as a fresh-subst-swap model	203
8.4.7	The natural morhpism from an error model to its orig- inal model	207
8.5	Initiality of the models of terms	209
8.5.1	The initial map from quasi-terms to a strong model .	209
8.5.2	The initial morphism (iteration map) from the term model to any strong model	212
8.5.3	The initial morhpism (iteration map) from the term model to any model	214
9	Interpretation of syntax in semantic domains	215
9.1	Semantic domains and valuations	216
9.1.1	Definitions:	216
9.1.2	Basic facts	218
9.2	Interpretation maps	220
9.2.1	Definitions	220
9.2.2	Extension of domain preservation to inputs	222
9.3	The iterative model associated to a semantic domain	222
9.3.1	Definition and basic facts	223
9.3.2	The associated model is well-structured	226
9.4	The semantic interpretation	230
10	General Recursion	232
10.1	Raw models	233
10.2	Well-sorted models of various kinds	234
10.3	Model morphisms from the term model	241
10.4	From models to iterative models	244
10.5	The recursion-iteration “identity trick”	251
10.6	From iteration morphisms to morphisms	251

10.7	The recursion theorem	255
10.8	Models that are even “closer” to the term model	256
10.8.1	Relevant predicates on models	256
10.8.2	Relevant predicates on maps from the term model	259
10.8.3	Criterion for the reflection of freshness	260
10.8.4	Criterion for the injectiveness of the recursive map	261
10.8.5	Criterion for the surjectiveness of the recursive map	261

1 Quasi-Terms with Swapping and Freshness

```
theory QuasiTerms-Swap-Fresh imports Preliminaries
begin
```

This section defines and studies the (totally free) datatype of quasi-terms and the notions of freshness and swapping variables for them. “Quasi” refers to the fact that these items are not (yet) factored to alpha-equivalence. We shall later call “terms” those alpha-equivalence classes.

1.1 The datatype of quasi-terms with bindings

```
datatype
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm =
  qVar 'varSort 'var
  |qOp 'opSym ('index, (('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm))input
    ('bindex, (('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs)) input
and
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs =
  qAbs 'varSort 'var ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm
```

Above:

- “Var” stands for “variable injection”
- “Op” stands for “operation”
- “opSym” stands for “operation symbol”
- “q” stands for “quasi”
- “Abs” stands for “abstraction”

Thus, a quasi-term is either (an injection of) a variable, or an operation symbol applied to a term-input and an abstraction-input (where, for any type T , T -inputs are partial maps from indexes to T . A quasi-abstraction is essentially a pair (variable,quasi-term).

```
type-synonym ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem =
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm +
```

```

('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs

abbreviation termIn :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm  $\Rightarrow$  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem
where termIn X == Inl X

abbreviation absIn :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs  $\Rightarrow$  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem
where absIn A == Inr A

```

1.2 Induction principles

```

definition qTermLess :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem rel
where
qTermLess == {(termIn X, termIn(qOp delta inp binp))| X delta inp binp i. inp i = Some X}  $\cup$ 
{(absIn A, termIn(qOp delta inp binp))| A delta inp binp i. binp i = Some A}  $\cup$ 
{(termIn X, absIn (qAbs xs x X))| X xs x. True}

```

This induction will be used only temporarily, until we get a better one, involving swapping:

```

lemma qTerm-rawInduct[case-names Var Op Abs]:
fixes X :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm and
A :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs and phi phiAbs
assumes
Var:  $\bigwedge$  xs x. phi (qVar xs x) and
Op:  $\bigwedge$  delta inp binp. [liftAll phi inp; liftAll phiAbs binp]  $\Longrightarrow$  phi (qOp delta inp binp) and
Abs:  $\bigwedge$  xs x X. phi X  $\Longrightarrow$  phiAbs (qAbs xs x X)
shows phi X  $\wedge$  phiAbs A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma qTermLess-wf: wf qTermLess
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma qTermLessPlus-wf: wf (qTermLess  $\wedge$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

The skeleton of a quasi-term item – this is the generalization of the size function from the case of finitary syntax. We use the skeleton later for proving correct various recursive function definitions, notably that of “alpha”.

```

function
qSkel :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm  $\Rightarrow$  ('index,'bindex)tree
and
qSkelAbs :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs  $\Rightarrow$  ('index,'bindex)tree
where
qSkel (qVar xs x) = Branch ( $\lambda$ i. None) ( $\lambda$ i. None)
|

```

```

qSkel (qOp delta inp binp) = Branch (lift qSkel inp) (lift qSkelAbs binp)
|
qSkelAbs (qAbs xs x X) = Branch ( $\lambda i. \text{Some}(qSkel X)$ ) ( $\lambda i. \text{None}$ )
⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩

```

Next is a template for generating induction principles whenever we come up with relation on terms included in the kernel of the skeleton operator.

```

lemma qTerm-templateInduct[case-names Var Op Abs]:
fixes X :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm
and A :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
and phi phiAbs and rel
assumes
REL:  $\bigwedge X Y. (X, Y) \in \text{rel} \implies qSkel Y = qSkel X \text{ and}$ 
Var:  $\bigwedge xs x. \text{phi} (qVar xs x) \text{ and}$ 
Op:  $\bigwedge \text{delta inp binp}. [\text{liftAll phi inp}; \text{liftAll phiAbs binp}]$ 
 $\implies \text{phi} (\text{qOp delta inp binp}) \text{ and}$ 
Abs:  $\bigwedge xs x X. (\bigwedge Y. (X, Y) \in \text{rel} \implies \text{phi} Y) \implies \text{phiAbs} (\text{qAbs xs x X})$ 
shows phi X  $\wedge$  phiAbs A
⟨proof⟩

```

A modification of the canonical immediate-subterm relation on quasi-terms, that takes into account a relation assumed included in the skeleton kernel.

```

definition qTermLess-modulo :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm rel  $\Rightarrow$ 
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem rel
where
qTermLess-modulo rel ===
 $\{(termIn X, termIn(qOp delta inp binp)) | X \text{ delta inp binp } i. \text{inp } i = \text{Some } X\} \cup$ 
 $\{(absIn A, termIn(qOp delta inp binp)) | A \text{ delta inp binp } j. \text{binp } j = \text{Some } A\} \cup$ 
 $\{(termIn Y, absIn (qAbs xs x X)) | X \text{ Y xs x. } (X, Y) \in \text{rel}\}$ 

lemma qTermLess-modulo-wf:
fixes rel::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm rel
assumes  $\bigwedge X Y. (X, Y) \in \text{rel} \implies qSkel Y = qSkel X$ 
shows wf (qTermLess-modulo rel)
⟨proof⟩

```

1.3 Swap and substitution on variables

```

definition sw :: 'varSort  $\Rightarrow$  'var  $\Rightarrow$  'varSort  $\Rightarrow$  'var  $\Rightarrow$  'var
where
sw ys y1 y2 xs x ==
if ys = xs then if x = y1 then y2
else if x = y2 then y1
else x
else x

```

```

abbreviation sw-abbrev :: 'var  $\Rightarrow$  'varSort  $\Rightarrow$  'var  $\Rightarrow$  'varSort  $\Rightarrow$  'var

```

```

(- @[- \wedge -]'-- 200)
where (x @xs[y1 \wedge y2]-ys) == sw ys y1 y2 xs x

definition sb :: 'varSort => 'var => 'var => 'varSort => 'var => 'var
where
sb ys y1 y2 xs x ==
  if ys = xs then if x = y2 then y1
    else x
  else x

abbreviation sb-abbrev :: 'var => 'varSort => 'var => 'var => 'varSort => 'var
(- @[- '/ -]'-- 200)
where (x @xs[y1 / y2]-ys) == sb ys y1 y2 xs x

theorem sw-simps1[simp]: (x @xs[x \wedge y]-xs) = y
⟨proof⟩

theorem sw-simps2[simp]: (x @xs[y \wedge x]-xs) = y
⟨proof⟩

theorem sw-simps3[simp]:
(zs ≠ xs ∨ x ∉ {z1,z2}) => (x @xs[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) = x
⟨proof⟩

lemmas sw-simps = sw-simps1 sw-simps2 sw-simps3

theorem sw-ident[simp]: (x @xs[y \wedge y]-ys) = x
⟨proof⟩

theorem sw-compose:
((z @zs[x \wedge y]-xs) @zs[x' \wedge y']-xs') =
  ((z @zs[x' \wedge y']-xs') @zs[(x @xs[x' \wedge y']-xs') \wedge (y @xs[x' \wedge y']-xs')]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

theorem sw-commute:
assumes zs ≠ zs' ∨ {x,y} Int {x',y'} = {}
shows ((u @us[x \wedge y]-zs) @us[x' \wedge y']-zs') = ((u @us[x' \wedge y']-zs') @us[x \wedge y]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

theorem sw-involutive[simp]:
((z @zs[x \wedge y]-xs) @zs[x \wedge y]-xs) = z
⟨proof⟩

theorem sw-inj[simp]:
((z @zs[x \wedge y]-xs) = (z' @zs[x \wedge y]-xs)) = (z = z')
⟨proof⟩

lemma sw-preserves-mship[simp]:
assumes {y1,y2} ⊆ Var ys

```

shows $((x @xs[y1 \wedge y2]-ys) \in Var xs) = (x \in Var xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *sw-sym*:

$(z @zs[x \wedge y]-xs) = (z @zs[y \wedge x]-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *sw-involutive2[simp]*:

$((z @zs[x \wedge y]-xs) @zs[y \wedge x]-xs) = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *sw-trans*:

$us \neq zs \vee u \notin \{y, z\} \implies ((u @us[y \wedge x]-zs) @us[z \wedge y]-zs) = (u @us[z \wedge x]-zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *sw-otherSimps* =

sw-ident *sw-involutive* *sw-inj* *sw-preserves-mship* *sw-involutive2*

theorem *sb-simps1[simp]*: $(x @xs[y / x]-xs) = y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *sb-simps2[simp]*:

$(zs \neq xs \vee z2 \neq x) \implies (x @xs[z1 / z2]-zs) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *sb-simps* = *sb-simps1* *sb-simps2*

theorem *sb-ident[simp]*: $(x @xs[y / y]-ys) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *sb-compose1*:

$((z @zs[y1 / x]-xs) @zs[y2 / x]-xs) = (z @zs[(y1 @xs[y2 / x]-xs) / x]-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *sb-compose2*:

$ys \neq xs \vee (x2 \notin \{y1, y2\}) \implies ((z @zs[x1 / x2]-xs) @zs[y1 / y2]-ys) = ((z @zs[y1 / y2]-ys) @zs[(x1 @xs[y1 / y2]-ys) / x2]-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *sb-commute*:

assumes $zs \neq zs' \vee \{x, y\} \subset \{x', y'\} = \{\}$
shows $((u @us[x / y]-zs) @us[x' / y']-zs') = ((u @us[x' / y']-zs') @us[x / y]-zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *sb-idem[simp]*:

$((z @zs[x / y]-xs) @zs[x / y]-xs) = (z @zs[x / y]-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma sb-preserves-mship[simp]:
assumes {y1,y2} ⊆ Var ys
shows ((x @xs[y1 / y2]-ys) ∈ Var xs) = (x ∈ Var xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem sb-trans:

```

us ≠ zs ∨ u ≠ y ==>
((u @us[y / x]-zs) @us[z / y]-zs) = (u @us[z / x]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas sb-otherSimps =
sb-ident sb-idem sb-preserves-mship

```

1.4 The swapping and freshness operators

For establishing the preliminary results quickly, we use both the notion of binding-sensitive freshness (operator “qFresh”) and that of “absolute” freshness, ignoring bindings (operator “qAFresh”). Later, for alpha-equivalence classes, “qAFresh” will not make sense.

definition

```

aux-qSwap-ignoreFirst3 :: 
'varSort * 'var * 'var * ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm +
'varSort * 'var * 'var * ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs =>
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem

```

where

```

aux-qSwap-ignoreFirst3 K =
(case K of Inl(zs,x,y,X) => termIn X
| Inr(zs,x,y,A) => absIn A)

```

```

lemma qTermLess-ingoreFirst3-wf:
wf(inv-image qTermLess aux-qSwap-ignoreFirst3)
⟨proof⟩

```

function

```

qSwap :: 'varSort => 'var => 'var => ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm
=>
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm

```

and

```

qSwapAbs :: 'varSort => 'var => 'var => ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
=>
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs

```

where

```

qSwap zs x y (qVar zs' z) = qVar zs' (z @zs'[x ∧ y]-zs)
|
qSwap zs x y (qOp delta inp binp) =
qOp delta (lift (qSwap zs x y) inp) (lift (qSwapAbs zs x y) binp)
|

```

$qSwapAbs\ zs\ x\ y\ (qAbs\ zs'\ z\ X) = qAbs\ zs'\ (z @zs'[x \wedge y]-zs)\ (qSwap\ zs\ x\ y\ X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

termination

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $qSwapAll-simps = qSwap.simps\ qSwapAbs.simps$

abbreviation $qSwap-abbrev ::$

$('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm \Rightarrow 'var \Rightarrow 'var \Rightarrow 'varSort \Rightarrow$

$('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm (- \#[- \wedge -])'-- 200)$

where $(X \# [z1 \wedge z2])-zs) == qSwap\ zs\ z1\ z2\ X$

abbreviation $qSwapAbs-abbrev ::$

$('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs \Rightarrow 'var \Rightarrow 'var \Rightarrow 'varSort \Rightarrow$

$('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs (- \$[- \wedge -])'-- 200)$

where $(A \$ [z1 \wedge z2])-zs) == qSwapAbs\ zs\ z1\ z2\ A$

definition

$aux-qFresh-ignoreFirst2 ::$

$'varSort * 'var * ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm +$

$'varSort * 'var * ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs \Rightarrow$

$('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTermItem$

where

$aux-qFresh-ignoreFirst2 K =$

$(case K of Inl(zs, x, X) \Rightarrow termIn X$
 $| Inr (zs, x, A) \Rightarrow absIn A)$

lemma $qTermLess-ingoreFirst2-wf: wf(inv-image\ qTermLess\ aux-qFresh-ignoreFirst2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The quasi absolutely-fresh predicate: (note that this is not an oxymoron: “quasi” refers to being an operator on quasi-terms, and not on terms, i.e., on alpha-equivalence classes; “absolutely” refers to not ignoring bindings in the notion of freshness, and thus counting absolutely all the variables.

function

$qAFresh :: 'varSort \Rightarrow 'var \Rightarrow ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm \Rightarrow bool$
and

$qAFreshAbs :: 'varSort \Rightarrow 'var \Rightarrow ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs \Rightarrow bool$

where

$qAFresh xs\ x\ (qVar\ ys\ y) = (xs \neq ys \vee x \neq y)$

|

$qAFresh xs\ x\ (qOp\ delta\ inp\ binp) =$

$(liftAll\ (qAFresh\ xs\ x)\ inp \wedge liftAll\ (qAFreshAbs\ xs\ x)\ binp)$

|

$qAFreshAbs\ xs\ x\ (qAbs\ ys\ y\ X) = ((xs \neq ys \vee x \neq y) \wedge qAFresh\ xs\ x\ X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

termination

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $qAFreshAll\text{-}simps = qAFresh.simps \ qAFreshAbs.simps$

The next is standard freshness – note that its definition differs from that of absolute freshness only at the clause for abstractions.

```

function
 $qFresh :: 'varSort \Rightarrow 'var \Rightarrow ('index, 'bindx, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm \Rightarrow bool$ 
and
 $qFreshAbs :: 'varSort \Rightarrow 'var \Rightarrow ('index, 'bindx, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs \Rightarrow bool$ 
where
 $qFresh xs x (qVar ys y) = (xs \neq ys \vee x \neq y)$ 
|
 $qFresh xs x (qOp delta inp binp) =$ 
 $(liftAll (qFresh xs x) inp \wedge liftAll (qFreshAbs xs x) binp)$ 
|
 $qFreshAbs xs x (qAbs ys y X) = ((xs = ys \wedge x = y) \vee qFresh xs x X)$ 
⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

```

lemmas $qFreshAll\text{-}simps = qFresh.simps \ qFreshAbs.simps$

1.5 Compositional properties of swapping

lemma $qSwapAll\text{-}ident$:
fixes $X :: ('index, 'bindx, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm$ **and**
 $A :: ('index, 'bindx, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs$
shows $(X \# [[x \wedge x]]\text{-}zs) = X \wedge (A \$ [[x \wedge x]]\text{-}zs) = A$
⟨proof⟩

corollary $qSwap\text{-}ident[simp]$: $(X \# [[x \wedge x]]\text{-}zs) = X$
⟨proof⟩

lemma $qSwapAll\text{-compose}$:
fixes $X :: ('index, 'bindx, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm$ **and**
 $A :: ('index, 'bindx, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs$ **and** $zs x y x' y'$
shows
 $((X \# [[x \wedge y]]\text{-}zs) \# [[x' \wedge y']]\text{-}zs') =$
 $((X \# [[x' \wedge y']]\text{-}zs') \# [[(x @ zs[x' \wedge y'])\text{-}zs'] \wedge (y @ zs[x' \wedge y'])]\text{-}zs)$
 \wedge
 $((A \$ [[x \wedge y]]\text{-}zs) \$ [[x' \wedge y']]\text{-}zs') =$
 $((A \$ [[x' \wedge y']]\text{-}zs') \$ [[(x @ zs[x' \wedge y'])\text{-}zs'] \wedge (y @ zs[x' \wedge y'])]\text{-}zs)$
⟨proof⟩

corollary $qSwap\text{-compose}$:
 $((X \# [[x \wedge y]]\text{-}zs) \# [[x' \wedge y']]\text{-}zs') =$
 $((X \# [[x' \wedge y']]\text{-}zs') \# [[(x @ zs[x' \wedge y'])\text{-}zs'] \wedge (y @ zs[x' \wedge y'])]\text{-}zs)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *qSwap-commute*:

assumes $zs \neq zs' \vee \{x,y\} \text{ Int } \{x',y'\} = \{\}$

shows $((X \# [[x \wedge y]] - zs) \# [[x' \wedge y']] - zs') = ((X \# [[x' \wedge y']] - zs') \# [[x \wedge y]] - zs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qSwapAll-involutive*:

fixes $X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm$ **and**
 $A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs$ **and** $zs x y$

shows $((X \# [[x \wedge y]] - zs) \# [[x \wedge y]] - zs) = X \wedge$
 $((A \$ [[x \wedge y]] - zs) \$ [[x \wedge y]] - zs) = A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *qSwap-involutive[simp]*:

$((X \# [[x \wedge y]] - zs) \# [[x \wedge y]] - zs) = X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qSwapAll-sym*:

fixes $X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm$ **and**
 $A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs$ **and** $zs x y$

shows $(X \# [[x \wedge y]] - zs) = (X \# [[y \wedge x]] - zs) \wedge$
 $(A \$ [[x \wedge y]] - zs) = (A \$ [[y \wedge x]] - zs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *qSwap-sym*:

$(X \# [[x \wedge y]] - zs) = (X \# [[y \wedge x]] - zs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qAFreshAll-qSwapAll-id*:

fixes $X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm$ **and**
 $A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs$ **and** $zs z1 z2$

shows $(qAFresh zs z1 X \wedge qAFresh zs z2 X \longrightarrow (X \# [[z1 \wedge z2]] - zs) = X) \wedge$
 $(qAFreshAbs zs z1 A \wedge qAFreshAbs zs z2 A \longrightarrow (A \$ [[z1 \wedge z2]] - zs) = A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *qAFresh-qSwap-id[simp]*:

$\llbracket qAFresh zs z1 X; qAFresh zs z2 X \rrbracket \implies (X \# [[z1 \wedge z2]] - zs) = X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qAFreshAll-qSwapAll-compose*:

fixes $X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm$ **and**
 $A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs$ **and** $zs x y z$

shows $(qAFresh zs y X \wedge qAFresh zs z X \longrightarrow$
 $((X \# [[y \wedge x]] - zs) \# [[z \wedge y]] - zs) = (X \# [[z \wedge x]] - zs)) \wedge$
 $(qAFreshAbs zs y A \wedge qAFreshAbs zs z A \longrightarrow$
 $((A \$ [[y \wedge x]] - zs) \$ [[z \wedge y]] - zs) = (A \$ [[z \wedge x]] - zs))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *qAFresh-qSwap-compose*:

$\llbracket qAFresh\ zs\ y\ X; qAFresh\ zs\ z\ X \rrbracket \implies ((X \# [[y \wedge x]]\text{-zs}) \# [[z \wedge y]]\text{-zs}) = (X \# [[z \wedge x]]\text{-zs})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.6 Induction and well-foundedness modulo swapping

lemma *qSkel-qSwapAll*:
fixes $X::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm$ **and**
 $A::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs$ **and** $x\ y\ zs$
shows $qSkel(X \# [[x \wedge y]]\text{-zs}) = qSkel\ X \wedge$
 $qSkelAbs(A \$ [[x \wedge y]]\text{-zs}) = qSkelAbs\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *qSkel-qSwap*: $qSkel(X \# [[x \wedge y]]\text{-zs}) = qSkel\ X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

For induction modulo swapping, one may wish to swap not just once, but several times at the induction hypothesis (an example of this will be the proof of compatibility of “qSwap” with alpha) – for this, we introduce the following relation (the suffix “Raw” signifies the fact that the involved variables are not required to be well-sorted):

inductive-set *qSwapped* :: $('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm$ rel
where
Refl: $(X,X) \in qSwapped$
|
Trans: $\llbracket (X,Y) \in qSwapped; (Y,Z) \in qSwapped \rrbracket \implies (X,Z) \in qSwapped$
|
Swap: $(X,Y) \in qSwapped \implies (X, Y \# [[x \wedge y]]\text{-zs}) \in qSwapped$

lemmas *qSwapped-Clauses* = *qSwapped.Refl* *qSwapped.Trans* *qSwapped.Swap*

lemma *qSwap-qSwapped*: $(X, X \# [[x \wedge y]]\text{-zs}) : qSwapped$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qSwapped-qSkel*:
 $(X,Y) \in qSwapped \implies qSkel\ Y = qSkel\ X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following is henceforth our main induction principle for quasi-terms. At the clause for abstractions, the user may choose among the two induction hypotheses (IHs):

- (1) IH for all swapped terms
- (2) IH for all terms with the same skeleton.

The user may choose only one of the above, and ignore the others, but may of course also assume both. (2) is stronger than (1), but we offer both of them for convenience in proofs. Most of the times, (1) will be the most convenient.

lemma *qTerm-induct*[case-names *Var Op Abs*]:

```

fixes X :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm
and A :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs and phi phiAbs
assumes
  Var:  $\bigwedge xs x. \text{phi} (\text{qVar} xs x)$  and
  Op:  $\bigwedge \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp}. \llbracket \text{liftAll } \text{phi } \text{inp}; \text{liftAll } \text{phiAbs } \text{binp} \rrbracket$ 
        $\implies \text{phi} (\text{qOp } \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp})$  and
  Abs:  $\bigwedge xs x X. \llbracket \bigwedge Y. (X, Y) \in \text{qSwapped} \implies \text{phi } Y;$ 
         $\bigwedge Y. \text{qSkel } Y = \text{qSkel } X \implies \text{phi } Y \rrbracket$ 
        $\implies \text{phiAbs} (\text{qAbs } xs x X)$ 
shows phi X  $\wedge$  phiAbs A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The following relation will be needed for proving alpha-equivalence well-defined:

```

definition qTermQSwappedLess :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem
rel
where qTermQSwappedLess = qTermLess-modulo qSwapped

lemma qTermQSwappedLess-wf: wf qTermQSwappedLess
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.7 More properties connecting swapping and freshness

```

lemma qSwap-3commute:
assumes *: qAFresh ys y X and **: qAFresh ys y0 X
and ***: ys  $\neq$  zs  $\vee$  y0  $\notin$  {z1,z2}
shows ((X #[[z1  $\wedge$  z2]]-zs) #[[y0  $\wedge$  x @ys[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs]]-ys) =
  (((X #[[y  $\wedge$  x]]-ys) #[[y0  $\wedge$  y]]-ys) #[[z1  $\wedge$  z2]]-zs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma qAFreshAll-imp-qFreshAll:
fixes X::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm and
  A::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs and xs x
shows (qAFresh xs x X  $\longrightarrow$  qFresh xs x X)  $\wedge$ 
  (qAFreshAbs xs x A  $\longrightarrow$  qFreshAbs xs x A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary qAFresh-imp-qFresh:
qAFresh xs x X  $\implies$  qFresh xs x X
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma qSwapAll-preserves-qAFreshAll:
fixes X::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm and
  A::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs and ys y zs z1 z2
shows
  (qAFresh ys (y @ys[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) (X #[[z1  $\wedge$  z2]]-zs) = qAFresh ys y X)  $\wedge$ 
  (qAFreshAbs ys (y @ys[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) (A $[[z1  $\wedge$  z2]]-zs) = qAFreshAbs ys y A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary qSwap-preserves-qAFresh[simp]:

$$(qAFresh ys (y @ys[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) (X #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) = qAFresh ys y X)$$

<proof>

lemma qSwap-preserves-qAFresh-distinct:
assumes ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∉ {z1,z2}
shows qAFresh ys y (X #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) = qAFresh ys y X
<proof>

lemma qAFresh-qSwap-exchange1:

$$qAFresh zs z2 (X #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) = qAFresh zs z1 X$$

<proof>

lemma qAFresh-qSwap-exchange2:

$$qAFresh zs z2 (X #[[z2 \wedge z1]]-zs) = qAFresh zs z1 X$$

<proof>

lemma qSwapAll-preserves-qFreshAll:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm and
A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs and ys y zs z1 z2
shows

$$(qFresh ys (y @ys[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) (X #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) = qFresh ys y X) \wedge$$


$$(qFreshAbs ys (y @ys[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) (A $[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) = qFreshAbs ys y A)$$

<proof>

corollary qSwap-preserves-qFresh:

$$(qFresh ys (y @ys[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) (X #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) = qFresh ys y X)$$

<proof>

lemma qSwap-preserves-qFresh-distinct:
assumes ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∉ {z1,z2}
shows qFresh ys y (X #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) = qFresh ys y X
<proof>

lemma qFresh-qSwap-exchange1:

$$qFresh zs z2 (X #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) = qFresh zs z1 X$$

<proof>

lemma qFresh-qSwap-exchange2:

$$qFresh zs z1 X = qFresh zs z2 (X #[[z2 \wedge z1]]-zs)$$

<proof>

lemmas qSwap-qAFresh-otherSimps =
qSwap-ident qSwap-involutive qAFresh-qSwap-id qSwap-preserves-qAFresh

end

```

2 Availability of Fresh Variables and Alpha-Equivalence

```
theory QuasiTerms-PickFresh-Alpha
imports QuasiTerms-Swap-Fresh
```

```
begin
```

Here we define good quasi-terms and alpha-equivalence on quasi-terms, and prove relevant properties such as the ability to pick fresh variables for good quasi-terms and the fact that alpha is indeed an equivalence and is compatible with all the operators.

We do most of the work on freshness and alpha-equivalence unsortedly, for raw quasi-terms. (And we do it in such a way that it then applies immediately to sorted quasi-terms.) We do need sortedness of variables (as well as a cardinality assumption), however, for alpha-equivalence to have the desired properties. Therefore we work in a locale.

2.1 The FixVars locale

```
definition var-infinite where
```

```
var-infinite (- :: 'var) ===
infinite (UNIV :: 'var set)
```

```
definition var-regular where
```

```
var-regular (- :: 'var) ==
regular |UNIV :: 'var set|
```

```
definition varSort-lt-var where
```

```
varSort-lt-var (- :: 'varSort) (- :: 'var) ==
|UNIV :: 'varSort set| <o |UNIV :: 'var set|
```

```
locale FixVars =
```

```
fixes dummyV :: 'var and dummyVS :: 'varSort
```

```
assumes var-infinite: var-infinite (undefined :: 'var)
```

```
and var-regular: var-regular (undefined :: 'var)
```

```
and varSort-lt-var: varSort-lt-var (undefined :: 'varSort) (undefined :: 'var)
```

```
context FixVars
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma varSort-lt-var-INNER:
```

```
|UNIV :: 'varSort set| <o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma varSort-le-Var:
```

```
|UNIV :: 'varSort set| ≤o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩
```

theorem *var-infinite-INNER*: *infinite* (*UNIV* :: 'var set)
(proof)

theorem *var-regular-INNER*: *regular* | *UNIV* :: 'var set|
(proof)

theorem *infinite-var-regular-INNER*:
infinite (*UNIV* :: 'var set) \wedge *regular* | *UNIV* :: 'var set|
(proof)

theorem *finite-ordLess-var*:
 $(|S| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| \vee \text{finite } S) = (|S| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}|)$
(proof)

2.2 Good quasi-terms

Essentially, good quasi-term items will be those with meaningful binders and not too many variables. Good quasi-terms are a concept intermediate between (raw) quasi-terms and sorted quasi-terms. This concept was chosen to be strong enough to facilitate proofs of most of the desired properties of alpha-equivalence, avoiding, *for most of the hard part of the work*, the overhead of sortedness. Since we later prove that quasi-terms are good, all the results are then immediately transported to a sorted setting.

```

function
qGood :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and
qGoodAbs :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
qGood (qVar xs x) = True
|
qGood (qOp delta inp binp) =
  (liftAll qGood inp  $\wedge$  liftAll qGoodAbs binp  $\wedge$ 
   |\{i. inp i  $\neq$  None\}| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}|  $\wedge$ 
   |\{i. binp i  $\neq$  None\}| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| )
|
qGoodAbs (qAbs xs x X) = qGood X
(proof)
termination
(proof)

fun qGoodItem :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
qGoodItem (Inl qX) = qGood qX
|
qGoodItem (Inr qA) = qGoodAbs qA

```

```

lemma qSwapAll-preserves-qGoodAll1:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm and
A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs and zs x y
shows
(qGood X → qGood (X #[[x ∧ y]]-zs)) ∧
(qGoodAbs A → qGoodAbs (A $[[x ∧ y]]-zs))
⟨proof⟩

corollary qSwap-preserves-qGood1:
qGood X ⇒ qGood (X #[[x ∧ y]]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

corollary qSwapAbs-preserves-qGoodAbs1:
qGoodAbs A ⇒ qGoodAbs (A $[[x ∧ y]]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma qSwap-preserves-qGood2:
assumes qGood(X #[[x ∧ y]]-zs)
shows qGood X
⟨proof⟩

lemma qSwapAbs-preserves-qGoodAbs2:
assumes qGoodAbs(A $[[x ∧ y]]-zs)
shows qGoodAbs A
⟨proof⟩

lemma qSwap-preserves-qGood: (qGood (X #[[x ∧ y]]-zs)) = (qGood X)
⟨proof⟩

lemma qSwapAbs-preserves-qGoodAbs:
(qGoodAbs (A $[[x ∧ y]]-zs)) = (qGoodAbs A)
⟨proof⟩

lemma qSwap-twice-preserves-qGood:
(qGood ((X #[[x ∧ y]]-zs) #[[x' ∧ y']]-zs')) = (qGood X)
⟨proof⟩

lemma qSwapped-preserves-qGood:
(X,Y) ∈ qSwapped ⇒ qGood Y = qGood X
⟨proof⟩

lemma qGood-qTerm-templateInduct[case-names Rel Var Op Abs]:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm
and A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs and phi phiAbs rel
assumes
REL: ⋀ X Y. [|qGood X; (X,Y) ∈ rel|] ⇒ qGood Y ∧ qSkel Y = qSkel X and
Var: ⋀ xs x. phi (qVar xs x) and
Op: ⋀ delta inp binp. [|{|i. inp i ≠ None|} < o |UNIV :: 'var set|;
|{|i. binp i ≠ None|} < o |UNIV :: 'var set|;

```

```

liftAll ( $\lambda X. qGood X \wedge \phi X$ ) inp;
liftAll ( $\lambda A. qGoodAbs A \wedge \phiAbs A$ ) binp]
 $\implies \phi (qOp \delta inp binp) \text{ and}$ 
Abs:  $\bigwedge xs x X. [qGood X; \bigwedge Y. (X, Y) \in rel \implies \phi Y]$ 
 $\implies \phiAbs (qAbs xs x X)$ 

```

shows

$(qGood X \rightarrow \phi X) \wedge (qGoodAbs A \rightarrow \phiAbs A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qGood-qTerm-rawInduct*[case-names Var Op Abs]:
fixes $X :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm$
and $A :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs$ **and** $\phi \phiAbs$
assumes
 $Var: \bigwedge xs x. \phi (qVar xs x) \text{ and}$
 $Op: \bigwedge \delta inp binp. [| \{i. inp i \neq None\} | < o |UNIV :: 'var set|;$
 $| \{i. binp i \neq None\} | < o |UNIV :: 'var set|;$
 $liftAll (\lambda X. qGood X \wedge \phi X) inp;$
 $liftAll (\lambda A. qGoodAbs A \wedge \phiAbs A) binp]$
 $\implies \phi (qOp \delta inp binp) \text{ and}$
 $Abs: \bigwedge xs x X. [qGood X; \phi X] \implies \phiAbs (qAbs xs x X)$
shows $(qGood X \rightarrow \phi X) \wedge (qGoodAbs A \rightarrow \phiAbs A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qGood-qTerm-induct*[case-names Var Op Abs]:
fixes $X :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm$
and $A :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs$ **and** $\phi \phiAbs$
assumes
 $Var: \bigwedge xs x. \phi (qVar xs x) \text{ and}$
 $Op: \bigwedge \delta inp binp. [| \{i. inp i \neq None\} | < o |UNIV :: 'var set|;$
 $| \{i. binp i \neq None\} | < o |UNIV :: 'var set|;$
 $liftAll (\lambda X. qGood X \wedge \phi X) inp;$
 $liftAll (\lambda A. qGoodAbs A \wedge \phiAbs A) binp]$
 $\implies \phi (qOp \delta inp binp) \text{ and}$
 $Abs: \bigwedge xs x X. [qGood X;$
 $\bigwedge Y. qGood Y \wedge qSkel Y = qSkel X \implies \phi Y;$
 $\bigwedge Y. (X, Y) \in qSwapped \implies \phi Y]$
 $\implies \phiAbs (qAbs xs x X)$

shows

$(qGood X \rightarrow \phi X) \wedge (qGoodAbs A \rightarrow \phiAbs A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A form specialized for mutual induction (this time, without the cardinality hypotheses):

lemma *qGood-qTerm-induct-mutual*[case-names Var1 Var2 Op1 Op2 Abs1 Abs2]:
fixes $X :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm$
and $A :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs$ **and** $\phi1 \phi2 \phiAbs1 \phiAbs2$
assumes
 $Var1: \bigwedge xs x. \phi1 (qVar xs x) \text{ and}$
 $Var2: \bigwedge xs x. \phi2 (qVar xs x) \text{ and}$

```

Op1:  $\bigwedge \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp}. \llbracket \text{liftAll} (\lambda X. \text{qGood } X \wedge \text{phi1 } X) \text{ inp};$ 
       $\text{liftAll} (\lambda A. \text{qGoodAbs } A \wedge \text{phiAbs1 } A) \text{ binp} \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{phi1 } (\text{qOp delta } \text{inp } \text{binp}) \text{ and}$ 
Op2:  $\bigwedge \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp}. \llbracket \text{liftAll} (\lambda X. \text{qGood } X \wedge \text{phi2 } X) \text{ inp};$ 
       $\text{liftAll} (\lambda A. \text{qGoodAbs } A \wedge \text{phiAbs2 } A) \text{ binp} \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{phi2 } (\text{qOp delta } \text{inp } \text{binp}) \text{ and}$ 
Abs1:  $\bigwedge \text{xs } x \text{ X}. \llbracket \text{qGood } X;$ 
       $\bigwedge Y. \text{qGood } Y \wedge \text{qSkel } Y = \text{qSkel } X \implies \text{phi1 } Y;$ 
       $\bigwedge Y. \text{qGood } Y \wedge \text{qSkel } Y = \text{qSkel } X \implies \text{phi2 } Y;$ 
       $\bigwedge Y. (X, Y) \in \text{qSwapped} \implies \text{phi1 } Y;$ 
       $\bigwedge Y. (X, Y) \in \text{qSwapped} \implies \text{phi2 } Y \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{phiAbs1 } (\text{qAbs xs } x \text{ X}) \text{ and}$ 
Abs2:  $\bigwedge \text{xs } x \text{ X}. \llbracket \text{qGood } X;$ 
       $\bigwedge Y. \text{qGood } Y \wedge \text{qSkel } Y = \text{qSkel } X \implies \text{phi1 } Y;$ 
       $\bigwedge Y. \text{qGood } Y \wedge \text{qSkel } Y = \text{qSkel } X \implies \text{phi2 } Y;$ 
       $\bigwedge Y. (X, Y) \in \text{qSwapped} \implies \text{phi1 } Y;$ 
       $\bigwedge Y. (X, Y) \in \text{qSwapped} \implies \text{phi2 } Y;$ 
       $\text{phiAbs1 } (\text{qAbs xs } x \text{ X}) \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \text{phiAbs2 } (\text{qAbs xs } x \text{ X})$ 
shows
 $(\text{qGood } X \longrightarrow (\text{phi1 } X \wedge \text{phi2 } X)) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{qGoodAbs } A \longrightarrow (\text{phiAbs1 } A \wedge \text{phiAbs2 } A))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

2.3 The ability to pick fresh variables

```

lemma single-non-qAFreshAll-ordLess-var:
fixes  $X :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm$ 
and  $A :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs$ 
shows
 $(\text{qGood } X \longrightarrow |\{x. \neg \text{qAFresh } xs \ x \ X\}| < o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}|) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{qGoodAbs } A \longrightarrow |\{x. \neg \text{qAFreshAbs } xs \ x \ A\}| < o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}|)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

corollary single-non-qAFresh-ordLess-var:
 $\text{qGood } X \implies |\{x. \neg \text{qAFresh } xs \ x \ X\}| < o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}|$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

corollary single-non-qAFreshAbs-ordLess-var:
 $\text{qGoodAbs } A \implies |\{x. \neg \text{qAFreshAbs } xs \ x \ A\}| < o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}|$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma single-non-qFresh-ordLess-var:
assumes  $\text{qGood } X$ 
shows  $|\{x. \neg \text{qFresh } xs \ x \ X\}| < o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}|$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma single-non-qFreshAbs-ordLess-var:
assumes  $\text{qGoodAbs } A$ 

```

```

shows |{x.  $\neg qFreshAbs xs x A\}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩$ 
```

lemma *non-qAFresh-ordLess-var*:

assumes *GOOD*: $\forall X \in XS. qGood X$ **and** *Var*: $|XS| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$

shows $|\{x| x X. X \in XS \wedge \neg qAFresh xs x X\}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *non-qAFresh-or-in-ordLess-var*:

assumes *Var*: $|V| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and** $|XS| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and** $\forall X \in XS. qGood X$

shows $|\{x| x X. (x \in V \vee (X \in XS \wedge \neg qAFresh xs x X))\}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *obtain-set-qFresh-card-of*:

assumes $|V| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and** $|XS| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and** $\forall X \in XS. qGood X$

shows $\exists W. infinite W \wedge W Int V = \{\} \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in W. \forall X \in XS. qAFresh xs x X \wedge qFresh xs x X)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *obtain-set-qFresh*:

assumes $finite V \vee |V| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and** $finite XS \vee |XS| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and**
 $\forall X \in XS. qGood X$

shows $\exists W. infinite W \wedge W Int V = \{\} \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in W. \forall X \in XS. qAFresh xs x X \wedge qFresh xs x X)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *obtain-qFresh-card-of*:

assumes $|V| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and** $|XS| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and** $\forall X \in XS. qGood X$

shows $\exists x. x \notin V \wedge (\forall X \in XS. qAFresh xs x X \wedge qFresh xs x X)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *obtain-qFresh*:

assumes $finite V \vee |V| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and** $finite XS \vee |XS| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and**
 $\forall X \in XS. qGood X$

shows $\exists x. x \notin V \wedge (\forall X \in XS. qAFresh xs x X \wedge qFresh xs x X)$

⟨proof⟩

definition *pickQFresh* **where**

pickQFresh xs V XS ==
SOME x. x $\notin V \wedge (\forall X \in XS. qAFresh xs x X \wedge qFresh xs x X)$

lemma *pickQFresh-card-of*:

assumes $|V| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and** $|XS| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|$ **and** $\forall X \in XS. qGood X$

```

shows pickQFresh xs V XS  $\notin$  V  $\wedge$ 
     $(\forall X \in XS. qAFresh xs (pickQFresh xs V XS) X \wedge qFresh xs (pickQFresh xs V XS) X)$ 
{proof}

lemma pickQFresh:
assumes finite V  $\vee |V| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}' \text{ and } \text{finite } XS \vee |XS| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}' \text{ and }$ 
     $\forall X \in XS. qGood X$ 
shows pickQFresh xs V XS  $\notin$  V  $\wedge$ 
     $(\forall X \in XS. qAFresh xs (pickQFresh xs V XS) X \wedge qFresh xs (pickQFresh xs V XS) X)$ 
{proof}

end

```

2.4 Alpha-equivalence

2.4.1 Definition

```

definition aux-alpha-ignoreSecond :: 
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm * ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm +
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs * ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
 $\Rightarrow$ 
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem
where
aux-alpha-ignoreSecond K ==
case K of Inl(X,Y)  $\Rightarrow$  termIn X
| Inr(A,B)  $\Rightarrow$  absIn A

lemma aux-alpha-ignoreSecond-qTermLessQSwapped-wf:
wf(inv-image qTermQSwappedLess aux-alpha-ignoreSecond)
{proof}

```

```

function
alpha and alphaAbs
where
alpha (qVar xs x) (qVar xs' x')  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs = xs'  $\wedge$  x = x'
|
alpha (qOp delta inp binp) (qOp delta' inp' binp')  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
delta = delta'  $\wedge$  sameDom inp inp'  $\wedge$  sameDom binp binp'  $\wedge$ 
liftAll2 alpha inp inp'  $\wedge$ 
liftAll2 alphaAbs binp binp'
|
alpha (qVar xs x) (qOp delta' inp' binp')  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
|
alpha (qOp delta inp binp) (qVar xs' x')  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
|

```

```

alphaAbs (qAbs xs x X) (qAbs xs' x' X')  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
xs = xs'  $\wedge$ 
( $\exists$  y. y  $\notin$  {x,x'}  $\wedge$  qAFresh xs y X  $\wedge$  qAFresh xs' y X'  $\wedge$ 
    alpha (X #[[y  $\wedge$  x]]-xs) (X' #[[y  $\wedge$  x']] -xs'))
⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation alpha-abbrev (infix #= 50) where X #= Y  $\equiv$  alpha X Y
abbreviation alphaAbs-abbrev (infix $= 50) where A $= B  $\equiv$  alphaAbs A B

```

```

context FixVars
begin

```

2.4.2 Simplification and elimination rules

lemma alpha-inp-None:

```

qOp delta inp binp #= qOp delta' inp' binp'  $\implies$ 
  (inp i = None) = (inp' i = None)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma alpha-binp-None:

```

qOp delta inp binp #= qOp delta' inp' binp'  $\implies$ 
  (binp i = None) = (binp' i = None)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma qVar-alpha-iff:

```

qVar xs x #= X'  $\longleftrightarrow$  X' = qVar xs x
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma alpha-qVar-iff:

```

X #= qVar xs' x'  $\longleftrightarrow$  X = qVar xs' x'
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma qOp-alpha-iff:

```

qOp delta inp binp #= X'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists$  inp' binp'.
    X' = qOp delta inp' binp'  $\wedge$  sameDom inp inp'  $\wedge$  sameDom binp binp'  $\wedge$ 
    liftAll2 ( $\lambda$  Y Y'. Y #= Y') inp inp'  $\wedge$ 
    liftAll2 ( $\lambda$  A A'. A $= A') binp binp')
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma alpha-qOp-iff:

```

X #= qOp delta' inp' binp'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists$  inp binp. X = qOp delta' inp binp  $\wedge$  sameDom inp inp'  $\wedge$  sameDom binp binp'
 $\wedge$ 
    liftAll2 ( $\lambda$  Y Y'. Y #= Y') inp inp'  $\wedge$ 
    liftAll2 ( $\lambda$  A A'. A $= A') binp binp')

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qAbs-alphaAbs-iff*:
 $qAbs\ xs\ x\ X\$ = A' \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists\ x'\ y\ X'. A' = qAbs\ xs\ x'\ X' \wedge$
 $y \notin \{x, x'\} \wedge qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X \wedge qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X' \wedge$
 $(X\ #[[y \wedge x]]-xs) \# = (X'\ #[[y \wedge x']]-xs))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaAbs-qAbs-iff*:
 $A\$ = qAbs\ xs'\ x'\ X' \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists\ x\ y\ X.\ A = qAbs\ xs'\ x\ X \wedge$
 $y \notin \{x, x'\} \wedge qAFresh\ xs'\ y\ X \wedge qAFresh\ xs'\ y\ X' \wedge$
 $(X\ #[[y \wedge x]]-xs') \# = (X'\ #[[y \wedge x']]-xs'))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.4.3 Basic properties

In a nutshell: “alpha” is included in the kernel of “qSkel”, is an equivalence on good quasi-terms, preserves goodness, and all operators and relations (except “qAFresh”) preserve alpha.

lemma *alphaAll-qSkelAll*:
fixes $X::('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym)qTerm$ **and**
 $A::('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym)qAbs$
shows
 $(\forall\ X'. X \# = X' \longrightarrow qSkel\ X = qSkel\ X') \wedge$
 $(\forall\ A'. A \$ = A' \longrightarrow qSkelAbs\ A = qSkelAbs\ A')$

corollary *alpha-qSkel*:
fixes $X\ X'::('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym)qTerm$
shows $X \# = X' \Longrightarrow qSkel\ X = qSkel\ X'$

Symmetry of alpha is a property that holds for arbitrary (not necessarily good) quasi-terms.

lemma *alphaAll-sym*:
fixes $X::('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym)qTerm$ **and**
 $A::('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym)qAbs$
shows
 $(\forall\ X'. X \# = X' \longrightarrow X' \# = X) \wedge (\forall\ A'. A \$ = A' \longrightarrow A' \$ = A)$

corollary *alpha-sym*:
fixes $X\ X'::('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym)qTerm$
shows $X \# = X' \Longrightarrow X' \# = X$

```

corollary alphaAbs-sym:
fixes A A' ::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
shows A $= A'  $\Rightarrow$  A' $= A
⟨proof⟩

```

Reflexivity does not hold for arbitrary quasi-terms, but only for good ones. Indeed, the proof requires picking a fresh variable, guaranteed to be possible only if the quasi-term is good.

```

lemma alphaAll-refl:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm and
    A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
shows
    (qGood X  $\rightarrow$  X #= X)  $\wedge$  (qGoodAbs A  $\rightarrow$  A $= A)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary alpha-refl:
fixes X :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm
shows qGood X  $\Rightarrow$  X #= X
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary alphaAbs-refl:
fixes A ::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
shows qGoodAbs A  $\Rightarrow$  A $= A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma alphaAll-preserves-qGoodAll1:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm and
    A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
shows
    (qGood X  $\rightarrow$  ( $\forall$  X'. X #= X'  $\rightarrow$  qGood X'))  $\wedge$ 
    (qGoodAbs A  $\rightarrow$  ( $\forall$  A'. A $= A'  $\rightarrow$  qGoodAbs A'))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary alpha-preserves-qGood1:
 $\llbracket X \neq X'; qGood X \rrbracket \Rightarrow qGood X'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary alphaAbs-preserves-qGoodAbs1:
 $\llbracket A \neq A'; qGoodAbs A \rrbracket \Rightarrow qGoodAbs A'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma alpha-preserves-qGood2:
 $\llbracket X \neq X'; qGood X \rrbracket \Rightarrow qGood X$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma alphaAbs-preserves-qGoodAbs2:
 $\llbracket A \neq A'; qGoodAbs A \rrbracket \Rightarrow qGoodAbs A$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma alpha-preserves-qGood:
 $X \# X' \implies qGood X = qGood X'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-preserves-qGoodAbs:
 $A \$ A' \implies qGoodAbs A = qGoodAbs A'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma alpha-qSwap-preserves-qGood1:
assumes ALPHA:  $(X \#[[y \wedge x]]-zs) \#=(X' \#[[y' \wedge x']]-zs')$  and
GOOD:  $qGood X$ 
shows  $qGood X'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma alpha-qSwap-preserves-qGood2:
assumes ALPHA:  $(X \#[[y \wedge x]]-zs) \#=(X' \#[[y' \wedge x']]-zs')$  and
GOOD':  $qGood X'$ 
shows  $qGood X$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qSwapAbs-preserves-qGoodAbs2:
assumes ALPHA:  $(A \$[[y \wedge x]]-zs) \$=(A' \$[[y' \wedge x']]-zs')$  and
GOOD':  $qGoodAbs A'$ 
shows  $qGoodAbs A$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma alpha-qSwap-preserves-qGood:
assumes ALPHA:  $(X \#[[y \wedge x]]-zs) \#=(X' \#[[y' \wedge x']]-zs')$ 
shows  $qGood X = qGood X'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma qSwapAll-preserves-alphaAll:
fixes  $X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm$  and
 $A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs$  and  $z1 z2 zs$ 
shows
 $(qGood X \longrightarrow (\forall X' zs z1 z2. X \# X' \longrightarrow$ 
 $(X \#[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) \#=(X' \#[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs))) \wedge$ 
 $(qGoodAbs A \longrightarrow (\forall A' zs z1 z2. A \$= A' \longrightarrow$ 
 $(A \$[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) \$=(A' \$[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary qSwap-preserves-alpha:
assumes  $qGood X \vee qGood X'$  and  $X \# X'$ 
shows  $(X \#[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) \#=(X' \#[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary qSwapAbs-preserves-alphaAbs:
assumes  $qGoodAbs A \vee qGoodAbs A'$  and  $A \$= A'$ 
shows  $(A \$[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) \$=(A' \$[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma qSwap-twice-preserves-alpha:  
assumes qGood X ∨ qGood X' and X #≡ X'  
shows ((X #[[z1 ∧ z2]]-zs) #[[u1 ∧ u2]]-us) #≡ ((X' #[[z1 ∧ z2]]-zs) #[[u1 ∧  
u2]]-us)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma alphaAll-trans:  
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm and  
A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs  
shows  
(qGood X → (∀ X' X''. X #≡ X' ∧ X' #≡ X'' → X #≡ X'') ∧  
(qGoodAbs A → (∀ A' A''. A $= A' ∧ A' $= A'' → A $= A''))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
corollary alpha-trans:  
assumes qGood X ∨ qGood X' ∨ qGood X'' X #≡ X' X' #≡ X''  
shows X #≡ X''  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
corollary alphaAbs-trans:  
assumes qGoodAbs A ∨ qGoodAbs A' ∨ qGoodAbs A''  
and A $= A' A' $= A''  
shows A $= A''  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma alpha-trans-twice:  
[qGood X ∨ qGood X' ∨ qGood X'' ∨ qGood X''';  
 X #≡ X'; X' #≡ X''; X'' #≡ X'''] ⇒ X #≡ X'''  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma alphaAbs-trans-twice:  
[qGoodAbs A ∨ qGoodAbs A' ∨ qGoodAbs A'' ∨ qGoodAbs A''';  
 A $= A'; A' $= A''; A'' $= A'''] ⇒ A $= A'''  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma qAbs-preserves-alpha:  
assumes ALPHA: X #≡ X' and GOOD: qGood X ∨ qGood X'  
shows qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs x X'  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
corollary qAbs-preserves-alpha2:  
assumes ALPHA: X #≡ X' and GOOD: qGoodAbs(qAbs xs x X) ∨ qGoodAbs  
(qAbs xs x X')  
shows qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs x X'  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

2.4.4 Picking fresh representatives

lemma *qAbs-alphaAbs-qSwap-qAFresh*:
assumes *GOOD*: *qGood X* **and** *FRESH*: *qAFresh ys x' X*
shows *qAbs ys x X \$= qAbs ys x' (X #[[x' ∧ x]]-ys)*
(proof)

lemma *qAbs-ex-qAFresh-rep*:
assumes *GOOD*: *qGood X* **and** *FRESH*: *qAFresh xs x' X*
shows $\exists X'. qGood X' \wedge qAbs xs x X \$= qAbs xs x' X'$
(proof)

2.5 Properties of swapping and freshness modulo alpha

lemma *qFreshAll-imp-ex-qAFreshAll*:
fixes *X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm* **and**
A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs **and** *zs fZs*
assumes *FIN*: *finite V*
shows
 $(qGood X \longrightarrow ((\forall z \in V. \forall zs \in fZs z. qFresh zs z X) \longrightarrow (\exists X'. X \# = X' \wedge (\forall z \in V. \forall zs \in fZs z. qAFresh zs z X')))) \wedge$
 $(qGoodAbs A \longrightarrow ((\forall z \in V. \forall zs \in fZs z. qFreshAbs zs z A) \longrightarrow (\exists A'. A \$ = A' \wedge (\forall z \in V. \forall zs \in fZs z. qAFreshAbs zs z A'))))$
(proof)

corollary *qFresh-imp-ex-qAFresh*:
assumes *finite V* **and** *qGood X* **and** $\forall z \in V. \forall zs \in fZs z. qFresh zs z X$
shows $\exists X'. qGood X' \wedge X \# = X' \wedge (\forall z \in V. \forall zs \in fZs z. qAFresh zs z X')$
(proof)

corollary *qFreshAbs-imp-ex-qAFreshAbs*:
assumes *finite V* **and** *qGoodAbs A* **and** $\forall z \in V. \forall zs \in fZs z. qFreshAbs zs z A$
shows $\exists A'. qGoodAbs A' \wedge A \$ = A' \wedge (\forall z \in V. \forall zs \in fZs z. qAFreshAbs zs z A')$
(proof)

lemma *qFresh-imp-ex-qAFresh1*:
assumes *qGood X* **and** *qFresh zs z X*
shows $\exists X'. qGood X' \wedge X \# = X' \wedge qAFresh zs z X'$
(proof)

lemma *qFreshAbs-imp-ex-qAFreshAbs1*:
assumes *finite V* **and** *qGoodAbs A* **and** *qFreshAbs zs z A*
shows $\exists A'. qGoodAbs A' \wedge A \$ = A' \wedge qAFreshAbs zs z A'$
(proof)

lemma *qFresh-imp-ex-qAFresh2*:
assumes *qGood X* **and** *qFresh xs x X* **and** *qFresh ys y X*

shows $\exists X'. qGood X' \wedge X \# = X' \wedge qAFresh xs x X' \wedge qAFresh ys y X'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qFreshAbs-imp-ex-qAFreshAbs2*:
assumes *finite V and qGoodAbs A and qFreshAbs xs x A and qFreshAbs ys y A*
shows $\exists A'. qGoodAbs A' \wedge A \$ = A' \wedge qAFreshAbs xs x A' \wedge qAFreshAbs ys y A'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qAFreshAll-qFreshAll-preserves-alphaAll*:
fixes $X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm$ **and**
 $A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs$ **and** $zs z$
shows
 $(qGood X \longrightarrow$
 $(qAFresh zs z X \longrightarrow (\forall X'. X \# = X' \longrightarrow qFresh zs z X')) \wedge$
 $(qGoodAbs A \longrightarrow$
 $(qAFreshAbs zs z A \longrightarrow (\forall A'. A \$ = A' \longrightarrow qFreshAbs zs z A')))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *qAFresh-qFresh-preserves-alpha*:
 $\llbracket qGood X; qAFresh zs z X; X \# = X \rrbracket \implies qFresh zs z X'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *qAFreshAbs-imp-qFreshAbs-preserves-alphaAbs*:
 $\llbracket qGoodAbs A; qAFreshAbs zs z A; A \$ = A \rrbracket \implies qFreshAbs zs z A'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qFresh-preserves-alpha1*:
assumes *qGood X and qFresh zs z X and X \# = X'*
shows *qFresh zs z X'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qFreshAbs-preserves-alphaAbs1*:
assumes *qGoodAbs A and qFreshAbs zs z A and A \\$ = A'*
shows *qFreshAbs zs z A'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qFresh-preserves-alpha*:
assumes *qGood X \vee qGood X' and X \# = X'*
shows *qFresh zs z X \longleftrightarrow qFresh zs z X'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qFreshAbs-preserves-alphaAbs*:
assumes *qGoodAbs A \vee qGoodAbs A' and A \\$ = A'*
shows *qFreshAbs zs z A = qFreshAbs zs z A'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alpha-qFresh-qSwap-id*:
assumes *qGood X and qFresh zs z1 X and qFresh zs z2 X*
shows $(X \#[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) \# = X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaAbs-qFreshAbs-qSwapAbs-id*:
assumes *qGoodAbs A and qFreshAbs zs z1 A and qFreshAbs zs z2 A*
shows $(A \$[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs) \$= A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alpha-qFresh-qSwap-compose*:
assumes *GOOD: qGood X and qFresh zs y X and qFresh zs z X*
shows $((X \#[[y \wedge x]]-zs) \# [[z \wedge y]]-zs) \# = (X \# [[z \wedge x]]-zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qAbs-alphaAbs-qSwap-qFresh*:
assumes *GOOD: qGood X and FRESH: qFresh xs x' X*
shows $qAbs xs x X \$= qAbs xs x' (X \# [[x' \wedge x]]-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaAbs-qAbs-ex-qFresh-rep*:
assumes *GOOD: qGood X and FRESH: qFresh xs x' X*
shows $\exists X'. (X, X') \in qSwapped \wedge qGood X' \wedge qAbs xs x X \$= qAbs xs x' X'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.6 Alternative statements of the alpha-clause for bound arguments

These alternatives are essentially variations with forall/exists and and qFresh/qAFresh.

2.6.1 First for “qAFresh”

definition *alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qAFresh*
where
alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
 $(xs = xs' \wedge$
 $(\exists y. (y = x \vee qAFresh xs y X) \wedge (y = x' \vee qAFresh xs y X') \wedge$
 $(X \# [[y \wedge x]]-xs) \# = (X' \# [[y \wedge x']] - xs))$

definition *alphaAbs-ex-qAFresh*
where
alphaAbs-ex-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
 $(xs = xs' \wedge$
 $(\exists y. qAFresh xs y X \wedge qAFresh xs y X' \wedge$
 $(X \# [[y \wedge x]]-xs) \# = (X' \# [[y \wedge x']] - xs))$

definition *alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qAFresh*
where
alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
 $(xs = xs' \wedge$
 $(\exists y. y \notin \{x, x'\} \wedge qAFresh xs y X \wedge qAFresh xs y X' \wedge$
 $(X \# [[y \wedge x]]-xs) \# = (X' \# [[y \wedge x']] - xs))$

```

definition alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qAFresh
where
alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
(xs = xs' ∧
(∀ y. (y = x ∨ qAFresh xs y X) ∧ (y = x' ∨ qAFresh xs y X') →
(X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']] -xs)))

definition alphaAbs-all-qAFresh
where
alphaAbs-all-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
(xs = xs' ∧
(∀ y. qAFresh xs y X ∧ qAFresh xs y X' →
(X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']] -xs)))

definition alphaAbs-all-distinct-qAFresh
where
alphaAbs-all-distinct-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
(xs = xs' ∧
(∀ y. y ∉ {x, x'} ∧ qAFresh xs y X ∧ qAFresh xs y X' →
(X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']] -xs)))

lemma alphaAbs-weakestEx-imp-strongestAll:
assumes GOOD-X: qGood X and alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
shows alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-weakestAll-imp-strongestEx:
assumes GOOD: qGood X qGood X'
and alphaAbs-all-distinct-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
shows alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-weakestEx-imp-strongestEx:
assumes GOOD: qGood X
and alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
shows alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qAFresh:
(qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') = alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-ex-distinct-qAFresh:
(qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
(xs = xs' ∧
(∃ y. y ∉ {x, x'} ∧ qAFresh xs y X ∧ qAFresh xs y X' ∧

```

```


$$(X \# [[y \wedge x]]-xs) \# = (X' \# [[y \wedge x']] - xs)))$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qAFresh:
assumes qGood X
shows  $(qAbs\ xs\ x\ X\$=qAbs\ xs'\ x'\ X')=$   

alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-ex-equal-or-qAFresh:
assumes qGood X
shows  

 $(qAbs\ xs\ x\ X\$=qAbs\ xs'\ x'\ X')=$   

 $(xs=xs' \wedge$   

 $(\exists\ y.\ (y=x \vee qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X) \wedge (y=x' \vee qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X') \wedge$   

 $(X \# [[y \wedge x]]-xs) \# = (X' \# [[y \wedge x']] - xs)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-ex-qAFresh:
assumes qGood X
shows  $(qAbs\ xs\ x\ X\$=qAbs\ xs'\ x'\ X')=alphaAbs-ex-qAFresh\ xs\ x\ X\ xs'\ x'\ X'$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-ex-qAFresh:
assumes qGood X
shows  

 $(qAbs\ xs\ x\ X\$=qAbs\ xs'\ x'\ X')=$   

 $(xs=xs' \wedge$   

 $(\exists\ y.\ qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X \wedge qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X' \wedge$   

 $(X \# [[y \wedge x]]-xs) \# = (X' \# [[y \wedge x']] - xs)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-imp-alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qAFresh:
assumes qGood X and qAbs xs x X \$= qAbs xs' x' X'
shows alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-imp-all-equal-or-qAFresh:
assumes qGood X and (qAbs xs x X \$= qAbs xs' x' X')
shows  

 $(xs=xs' \wedge$   

 $(\forall\ y.\ (y=x \vee qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X) \wedge (y=x' \vee qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X') \longrightarrow$   

 $(X \# [[y \wedge x]]-xs) \# = (X' \# [[y \wedge x']] - xs)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qAFresh:
assumes qGood X and qGood X'
shows  $(qAbs\ xs\ x\ X\$=qAbs\ xs'\ x'\ X')=$   

alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-all-equal-or-qAFresh*:
assumes *qGood X and qGood X'*
shows $(qAbs\ xs\ x\ X \$= qAbs\ xs'\ x'\ X') =$
 $(xs = xs' \wedge$
 $(\forall y. (y = x \vee qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X) \wedge (y = x' \vee qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X') \longrightarrow$
 $(X \#[[y \wedge x]]-xs) \#= (X' \#[[y \wedge x']] - xs)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaAbs-qAbs-imp-alphaAbs-all-qAFresh*:
assumes *qGood X and qAbs xs x X \$= qAbs xs' x' X'*
shows *alphaAbs-all-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *alphaAbs-qAbs-imp-all-qAFresh*:
assumes *qGood X and (qAbs xs x X \$= qAbs xs' x' X')*
shows
 $(xs = xs' \wedge$
 $(\forall y. qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X \wedge qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X' \longrightarrow$
 $(X \#[[y \wedge x]]-xs) \#= (X' \#[[y \wedge x']] - xs)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-all-qAFresh*:
assumes *qGood X and qGood X'*
shows $(qAbs\ xs\ x\ X \$= qAbs\ xs'\ x'\ X') = alphaAbs-all-qAFresh\ xs\ x\ X\ xs'\ x'\ X'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-all-qAFresh*:
assumes *qGood X and qGood X'*
shows $(qAbs\ xs\ x\ X \$= qAbs\ xs'\ x'\ X') =$
 $(xs = xs' \wedge$
 $(\forall y. qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X \wedge qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X' \longrightarrow$
 $(X \#[[y \wedge x]]-xs) \#= (X' \#[[y \wedge x']] - xs)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaAbs-qAbs-imp-alphaAbs-all-distinct-qAFresh*:
assumes *qGood X and qAbs xs x X \$= qAbs xs' x' X'*
shows *alphaAbs-all-distinct-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *alphaAbs-qAbs-imp-all-distinct-qAFresh*:
assumes *qGood X and (qAbs xs x X \$= qAbs xs' x' X')*
shows
 $(xs = xs' \wedge$
 $(\forall y. y \notin \{x, x'\} \wedge qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X \wedge qAFresh\ xs\ y\ X' \longrightarrow$
 $(X \#[[y \wedge x]]-xs) \#= (X' \#[[y \wedge x']] - xs)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-all-distinct-qAFresh:
assumes qGood X and qGood X'
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
alphaAbs-all-distinct-qAFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-all-distinct-qAFresh:
assumes qGood X and qGood X'
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
(xs = xs' ∧
(∀ y. y ∉ {x, x'} ∧ qAFresh xs y X ∧ qAFresh xs y X' →
(X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']]-xs)))
⟨proof⟩

```

2.6.2 Then for “qFresh”

```

definition alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qFresh
where
alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
(xs = xs' ∧
(∃ y. (y = x ∨ qFresh xs y X) ∧ (y = x' ∨ qFresh xs y X') ∧
(X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']])))
```



```

definition alphaAbs-ex-qFresh
where
alphaAbs-ex-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
(xs = xs' ∧
(∃ y. qFresh xs y X ∧ qFresh xs y X' ∧
(X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']])))
```



```

definition alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qFresh
where
alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
(xs = xs' ∧
(∃ y. y ∉ {x, x'} ∧ qFresh xs y X ∧ qFresh xs y X' ∧
(X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']])))
```



```

definition alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qFresh
where
alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
(xs = xs' ∧
(∀ y. (y = x ∨ qFresh xs y X) ∧ (y = x' ∨ qFresh xs y X') →
(X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']])))
```



```

definition alphaAbs-all-qFresh
where
alphaAbs-all-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X' ==
(xs = xs' ∧
(∀ y. qFresh xs y X ∧ qFresh xs y X' →
```

$$(X \# [[y \wedge x]] - xs) \# = (X' \# [[y \wedge x']] - xs)))$$

definition *alphaAbs-all-distinct-qFresh*

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{alphaAbs-all-distinct-qFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' == \\ & (xs = xs' \wedge \\ & (\forall y. y \notin \{x, x'\} \wedge qFresh xs \ y \ X \wedge qFresh xs \ y \ X' \longrightarrow \\ & (X \# [[y \wedge x]] - xs) \# = (X' \# [[y \wedge x']] - xs))) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qAFresh-imp-qFresh:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qAFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \implies \\ & \text{alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qAFresh-imp-qFresh:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qAFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \implies \\ & \text{alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *alphaAbs-ex-qAFresh-imp-qFresh:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{alphaAbs-ex-qAFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \implies \\ & \text{alphaAbs-ex-qFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qFresh-imp-qAFresh:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \implies \\ & \text{alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qAFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *alphaAbs-all-distinct-qFresh-imp-qAFresh:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{alphaAbs-all-distinct-qFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \implies \\ & \text{alphaAbs-all-distinct-qAFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *alphaAbs-all-qFresh-imp-qAFresh:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{alphaAbs-all-qFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \implies \\ & \text{alphaAbs-all-qAFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qFresh-imp-alphaAbs-qAbs:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{assumes GOOD: } qGood X \text{ and alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \\ & \text{shows } qAbs xs \ x \ X \$= qAbs xs' \ x' \ X' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qFresh:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{assumes GOOD: } qGood X \\ & \text{shows } (qAbs xs \ x \ X \$= qAbs xs' \ x' \ X') = \\ & \quad \text{alphaAbs-ex-equal-or-qFresh } xs \ x \ X \ xs' \ x' \ X' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

```

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-ex-equal-or-qFresh:
assumes GOOD: qGood X
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
  (xs = xs' ∧
   (Ǝ y. (y = x ∨ qFresh xs y X) ∧ (y = x' ∨ qFresh xs y X') ∧
      (X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']] -xs)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-ex-qFresh:
assumes GOOD: qGood X
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
  alphaAbs-ex-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-ex-qFresh:
assumes GOOD: qGood X
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
  (xs = xs' ∧
   (Ǝ y. qFresh xs y X ∧ qFresh xs y X' ∧
      (X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']] -xs)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qFresh:
assumes GOOD: qGood X
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
  alphaAbs-ex-distinct-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-ex-distinct-qFresh:
assumes GOOD: qGood X
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
  (xs = xs' ∧
   (Ǝ y. y ∉ {x, x'} ∧ qFresh xs y X ∧ qFresh xs y X' ∧
      (X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']] -xs)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-imp-alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qFresh:
assumes qGood X and qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X'
shows alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-imp-all-equal-or-qFresh:
assumes qGood X and (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X')
shows
  (xs = xs' ∧
   ( ∀ y. (y = x ∨ qFresh xs y X) ∧ (y = x' ∨ qFresh xs y X') →
      (X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']] -xs)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qFresh:
assumes qGood X and qGood X'
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
    alphaAbs-all-equal-or-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-all-equal-or-qFresh:
assumes qGood X and qGood X'
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
    (xs = xs' ∧
     (∀ y. (y = x ∨ qFresh xs y X) ∧ (y = x' ∨ qFresh xs y X') →
      (X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']] -xs)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-imp-alphaAbs-all-qFresh:
assumes qGood X and qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X'
shows alphaAbs-all-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-imp-all-qFresh:
assumes qGood X and (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X')
shows
    (xs = xs' ∧
     (∀ y. qFresh xs y X ∧ qFresh xs y X' →
      (X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']] -xs)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-alphaAbs-all-qFresh:
assumes qGood X and qGood X'
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
    alphaAbs-all-qFresh xs x X xs' x' X'
⟨proof⟩

corollary alphaAbs-qAbs-iff-all-qFresh:
assumes qGood X and qGood X'
shows (qAbs xs x X $= qAbs xs' x' X') =
    (xs = xs' ∧
     (∀ y. qFresh xs y X ∧ qFresh xs y X' →
      (X #[[y ∧ x]]-xs) #= (X' #[[y ∧ x']] -xs)))
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

3 Environments and Substitution for Quasi-Terms

theory QuasiTerms-Environments-Substitution

```
imports QuasiTerms-PickFresh-Alpha
begin
```

Inside this theory, since anyway all the interesting properties hold only modulo alpha, we forget completely about qAFresh and use only qFresh.

In this section we define, for quasi-terms, parallel substitution according to *environments*. This is the most general kind of substitution – an environment, i.e., a partial map from variables to quasi-terms, indicates which quasi-term (if any) to be substituted for each variable; substitution is then applied to a subject quasi-term and an environment. In order to “keep up” with the notion of good quasi-term, we define good environments and show that substitution preserves goodness. Since, unlike swapping, substitution does not behave well w.r.t. quasi-terms (but only w.r.t. terms, i.e., to alpha-equivalence classes), here we prove the minimum amount of properties required for properly lifting parallel substitution to terms. Then compositionality properties of parallel substitution will be proved directly for terms.

3.1 Environments

```
type-synonym ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv =
  'varSort  $\Rightarrow$  'var  $\Rightarrow$  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm option

context FixVars
begin

definition qGoodEnv :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  qGoodEnv rho ===
    ( $\forall$  xs. liftAll qGood (rho xs))  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  ys. |{y. rho ys y  $\neq$  None}|  $<$  o |UNIV :: 'var set| )

definition qFreshEnv where
  qFreshEnv zs z rho ===
    rho zs z = None  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  xs. liftAll (qFresh zs z) (rho xs))

definition alphaEnv where
  alphaEnv =
    { $(\rho,\rho')$ .  $\forall$  xs. sameDom ( $\rho$  xs) ( $\rho'$  xs)  $\wedge$ 
     liftAll2 ( $\lambda X X' . X \# = X'$ ) ( $\rho$  xs) ( $\rho'$  xs) }

abbreviation alphaEnv-abbrev :: 
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix &= 50)
where
  rho &= rho' == (rho,rho')  $\in$  alphaEnv
```

```

definition pickQFreshEnv
where
pickQFreshEnv xs V XS Rho ==
  pickQFresh xs (V ∪ (⋃ rho ∈ Rho. {x. rho xs x ≠ None}))
  (XS ∪ (⋃ rho ∈ Rho. {X. ∃ ys y. rho ys y = Some X}))

lemma qGoodEnv-imp-card-of-qTerm:
assumes qGoodEnv rho
shows |{X. ∃ y. rho ys y = Some X}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩

lemma qGoodEnv-imp-card-of-qTerm2:
assumes qGoodEnv rho
shows |{X. ∃ ys y. rho ys y = Some X}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩

lemma qGoodEnv-iff:
qGoodEnv rho =
  ((∀ xs. liftAll qGood (rho xs)) ∧
   (∀ ys. |{y. rho ys y ≠ None}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|) ∧
   |{X. ∃ ys y. rho ys y = Some X}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|)
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaEnv-refl:
qGoodEnv rho ==> rho &= rho
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaEnv-sym:
rho &= rho' ==> rho' &= rho
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaEnv-trans:
assumes good: qGoodEnv rho and
          alpha1: rho &= rho' and alpha2: rho' &= rho''
shows rho &= rho''
⟨proof⟩

lemma pickQFreshEnv-card-of:
assumes Vvar: |V| < o |UNIV :: 'var set| and XSvar: |XS| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|
and
  good: ∀ X ∈ XS. qGood X and
  Rhovar: |Rho| < o |UNIV :: 'var set| and RhoGood: ∀ rho ∈ Rho. qGoodEnv
rho
shows
  pickQFreshEnv xs V XS Rho ≠ V ∧
  (∀ X ∈ XS. qFresh xs (pickQFreshEnv xs V XS Rho) X) ∧
  (∀ rho ∈ Rho. qFreshEnv xs (pickQFreshEnv xs V XS Rho) rho)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pickQFreshEnv:
assumes Vvar: |V| < o |UNIV| :: 'var set' ∨ finite V
and XSvar: |XS| < o |UNIV| :: 'var set' ∨ finite XS
and good: ∀ X ∈ XS. qGood X
and Rhovar: |Rho| < o |UNIV| :: 'var set' ∨ finite Rho
and RhoGood: ∀ rho ∈ Rho. qGoodEnv rho
shows
pickQFreshEnv xs V XS Rho ≠ V ∧
(∀ X ∈ XS. qFresh xs (pickQFreshEnv xs V XS Rho) X) ∧
(∀ rho ∈ Rho. qFreshEnv xs (pickQFreshEnv xs V XS Rho) rho)
⟨proof⟩

corollary obtain-qFreshEnv:
fixes XS::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm set and
Rho::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv set and rho
assumes Vvar: |V| < o |UNIV| :: 'var set' ∨ finite V
and XSvar: |XS| < o |UNIV| :: 'var set' ∨ finite XS
and good: ∀ X ∈ XS. qGood X
and Rhovar: |Rho| < o |UNIV| :: 'var set' ∨ finite Rho
and RhoGood: ∀ rho ∈ Rho. qGoodEnv rho
shows
∃ z. z ≠ V ∧
(∀ X ∈ XS. qFresh xs z X) ∧ (∀ rho ∈ Rho. qFreshEnv xs z rho)
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Parallel substitution

```

definition aux-qPsubst-ignoreFirst :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv * ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm +
('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv * ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
⇒ ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem
where
aux-qPsubst-ignoreFirst K ==
case K of Inl (rho,X) ⇒ termIn X
| Inr (rho,A) ⇒ absIn A

lemma aux-qPsubst-ignoreFirst-qTermLessQSwapped-wf:
wf(inv-image qTermQSwappedLess aux-qPsubst-ignoreFirst)
⟨proof⟩

function
qPsubst :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv ⇒ ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm
⇒ ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm
and
qPsubstAbs ::

```

```

('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv  $\Rightarrow$  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
 $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
where
  qPsubst rho (qVar xs x) = (case rho xs x of None  $\Rightarrow$  qVar xs x | Some X  $\Rightarrow$  X)
  |
  qPsubst rho (qOp delta inp binp) =
    qOp delta (lift (qPsubst rho) inp) (lift (qPsubstAbs rho) binp)
  |
  qPsubstAbs rho (qAbs xs x X) =
    (let x' = pickQFreshEnv xs {x} {X} {rho} in qAbs xs x' (qPsubst rho (X #[[x'  $\wedge$ 
x]]-xs)))
  ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation qPsubst-abbrev :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm  $\Rightarrow$  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv
 $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm (- #[[-]])
where X #[[rho]] == qPsubst rho X

abbreviation qPsubstAbs-abbrev :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs  $\Rightarrow$  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv
 $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs (- $[[-]])
where A $[[rho]] == qPsubstAbs rho A

lemma qPsubstAll-preserves-qGoodAll:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm and
  A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs and rho
assumes GOOD-ENV: qGoodEnv rho
shows
  (qGood X  $\longrightarrow$  qGood (X #[[rho]]))  $\wedge$  (qGoodAbs A  $\longrightarrow$  qGoodAbs (A $[[rho]]))
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary qPsubst-preserves-qGood:
  [[qGoodEnv rho; qGood X]]  $\Longrightarrow$  qGood (X #[[rho]])
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary qPsubstAbs-preserves-qGoodAbs:
  [[qGoodEnv rho; qGoodAbs A]]  $\Longrightarrow$  qGoodAbs (A $[[rho]])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qPsubstAll-preserves-qFreshAll:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm and
  A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs and rho
assumes GOOD-ENV: qGoodEnv rho
shows

```

```

( $qFresh\ zs\ z\ X \rightarrow$ 
 ( $qGood\ X \wedge qFreshEnv\ zs\ z\ rho \rightarrow qFresh\ zs\ z\ (X\ #[[rho]])) \wedge$ 
 ( $qFreshAbs\ zs\ z\ A \rightarrow$ 
 ( $qGoodAbs\ A \wedge qFreshEnv\ zs\ z\ rho \rightarrow qFreshAbs\ zs\ z\ (A\$[[rho]]))$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *qPsubst-preserves-qFresh*:

$$\llbracket qGood\ X; qGoodEnv\ rho; qFresh\ zs\ z\ X; qFreshEnv\ zs\ z\ rho \rrbracket$$

$$\implies qFresh\ zs\ z\ (X\ #[[rho]])$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qPsubstAbs-preserves-qFreshAbs*:

$$\llbracket qGoodAbs\ A; qGoodEnv\ rho; qFreshAbs\ zs\ z\ A; qFreshEnv\ zs\ z\ rho \rrbracket$$

$$\implies qFreshAbs\ zs\ z\ (A\$[[rho]])$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

While in general we try to avoid proving facts in parallel, here we seem to have no choice – it is the first time we must use mutual induction:

lemma *qPsubstAll-preserves-alphaAll-qSwapAll*:

fixes $X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm$ **and**
 $A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs$ **and**
 $\rho::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qEnv$

assumes $goodRho: qGoodEnv\ rho$

shows

$$(qGood\ X \rightarrow$$

$$(\forall Y. X\ #= Y \rightarrow (X\ #[[rho]])\ #= (Y\ #[[rho]])) \wedge$$

$$(\forall xs\ z1\ z2. qFreshEnv\ xs\ z1\ rho \wedge qFreshEnv\ xs\ z2\ rho \rightarrow$$

$$((X\ #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-xs)\ #[[rho]])\ #= ((X\ #[[rho]])\ #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-xs))) \wedge$$

$$(qGoodAbs\ A \rightarrow$$

$$(\forall B. A\$=B \rightarrow (A\$[[rho]])\$= (B\$[[rho]])) \wedge$$

$$(\forall xs\ z1\ z2. qFreshEnv\ xs\ z1\ rho \wedge qFreshEnv\ xs\ z2\ rho \rightarrow$$

$$((A\$[[z1 \wedge z2]]-xs)\ $[[rho]])\$= ((A\$[[rho]])\$[[z1 \wedge z2]]-xs)))$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *qPsubst-preserves-alpha1*:

assumes $qGoodEnv\ rho$ **and** $qGood\ X \vee qGood\ Y$ **and** $X\ #= Y$

shows $(X\ #[[rho]])\ #= (Y\ #[[rho]])$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *qPsubstAbs-preserves-alphaAbs1*:

assumes $qGoodEnv\ rho$ **and** $qGoodAbs\ A \vee qGoodAbs\ B$ **and** $A\$=B$

shows $(A\$[[rho]])\$= (B\$[[rho]])$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *alpha-qFreshEnv-qSwap-qPsubst-commute*:

$$\llbracket qGoodEnv\ rho; qGood\ X; qFreshEnv\ zs\ z1\ rho; qFreshEnv\ zs\ z2\ rho \rrbracket \implies$$

$$((X\ #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs)\ #[[rho]])\ #= ((X\ #[[rho]])\ #[[z1 \wedge z2]]-zs)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

corollary alphaAbs-qFreshEnv-qSwapAbs-qPsubstAbs-commute:
   $\llbracket qGoodEnv \rho; qGoodAbs A;$ 
     $qFreshEnv z_1 \rho; qFreshEnv z_2 \rho \rrbracket \implies$ 
     $((A \$[z_1 \wedge z_2] - z_s) \$[\rho]) \$= ((A \$[\rho]) \$[z_1 \wedge z_2] - z_s)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qPsubstAll-preserves-alphaAll2:
  fixes  $X ::= ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qTerm$  and
     $A ::= ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qAbs$  and
     $\rho' ::= ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) qEnv$  and  $\rho''$ 
  assumes  $\rho' \text{-alpha-}\rho'': \rho' \&= \rho''$  and
     $goodRho': qGoodEnv \rho'$  and  $goodRho'': qGoodEnv \rho''$ 
  shows
     $(qGood X \longrightarrow (X \$[\rho']) \$= (X \$[\rho''])) \wedge$ 
     $(qGoodAbs A \longrightarrow (A \$[\rho']) \$= (A \$[\rho'']))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

corollary qPsubst-preserves-alpha2:
   $\llbracket qGood X; qGoodEnv \rho'; qGoodEnv \rho''; \rho' \&= \rho'' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies (X \$[\rho']) \$= (X \$[\rho''])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

corollary qPsubstAbs-preserves-alphaAbs2:
   $\llbracket qGoodAbs A; qGoodEnv \rho'; qGoodEnv \rho''; \rho' \&= \rho'' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies (A \$[\rho']) \$= (A \$[\rho''])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qPsubst-preserves-alpha:
  assumes  $qGood X \vee qGood X'$  and  $qGoodEnv \rho$  and  $qGoodEnv \rho'$ 
  and  $X \$= X'$  and  $\rho \&= \rho'$ 
  shows  $(X \$[\rho]) \$= (X' \$[\rho'])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qPsubstAbs-preserves-alphaAbs:
  assumes  $qGoodAbs A \vee qGoodAbs A'$  and  $qGoodEnv \rho$  and  $qGoodEnv \rho'$ 
  and  $A \$= A'$  and  $\rho \&= \rho'$ 
  shows  $(A \$[\rho]) \$= (A' \$[\rho'])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qFresh-qPsubst-commute-qAbs:
  assumes  $good-X: qGood X$  and  $good-\rho: qGoodEnv \rho$  and
     $x\text{-fresh-}\rho: qFreshEnv xs x \rho$ 
  shows  $((qAbs xs x X) \$[\rho]) \$= qAbs xs x (X \$[\rho])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end
theory Pick imports Main

```

```

begin

definition pick X ≡ SOME x. x ∈ X

lemma pick[simp]: x ∈ X ⇒ pick X ∈ X
⟨proof⟩

lemma pick-NE[simp]: X ≠ {} ⇒ pick X ∈ X ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 Some preliminaries on equivalence relations and quotients

```

theory Equiv-Relation2 imports Preliminaries Pick
begin

```

Unary predicates vs. sets:

```
definition S2P A ≡ λ x. x ∈ A
```

```
lemma S2P-app[simp]: S2P r x ↔ x ∈ r
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma S2P-Collect[simp]: S2P (Collect φ) = φ
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Collect-S2P[simp]: Collect (S2P r) = r
⟨proof⟩
```

Binary predicates vs. relations:

```
definition P2R φ ≡ {(x,y). φ x y}
definition R2P r ≡ λ x y. (x,y) ∈ r
```

```
lemma in-P2R[simp]: xy ∈ P2R φ ↔ φ (fst xy) (snd xy)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma in-P2R-pair[simp]: (x,y) ∈ P2R φ ↔ φ x y
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma R2P-app[simp]: R2P r x y ↔ (x,y) ∈ r
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma R2P-P2R[simp]: R2P (P2R φ) = φ
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma P2R-R2P[simp]: P2R (R2P r) = r
⟨proof⟩
```

```

definition reflP P φ ≡ (forall x y. φ x y ∨ φ y x → P x) ∧ (forall x. P x → φ x x)
definition symP φ ≡ ∀ x y. φ x y → φ y x
definition transP where transP φ ≡ ∀ x y z. φ x y ∧ φ y z → φ x z
definition equivP A φ ≡ reflP A φ ∧ symP φ ∧ transP φ

lemma refl-on-P2R[simp]: refl-on (Collect P) (P2R φ) ←→ reflP P φ
⟨proof⟩

lemma reflP-R2P[simp]: reflP (S2P A) (R2P r) ←→ refl-on A r
⟨proof⟩

lemma sym-P2R[simp]: sym (P2R φ) ←→ symP φ
⟨proof⟩

lemma symP-R2P[simp]: symP (R2P r) ←→ sym r
⟨proof⟩

lemma trans-P2R[simp]: trans (P2R φ) ←→ transP φ
⟨proof⟩

lemma transP-R2P[simp]: transP (R2P r) ←→ trans r
⟨proof⟩

lemma equiv-P2R[simp]: equiv (Collect P) (P2R φ) ←→ equivP P φ
⟨proof⟩

lemma equivP-R2P[simp]: equivP (S2P A) (R2P r) ←→ equiv A r
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-P2R-Im-singl[simp]: y ∈ P2R φ “ {x} ←→ φ x y ⟨proof⟩

definition proj :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a set where
proj φ x ≡ {y. φ x y}

lemma proj-P2R: proj φ x = P2R φ “ {x} ⟨proof⟩

lemma proj-P2R-raw: proj φ = (λ x. P2R φ “ {x})
⟨proof⟩

definition univ :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a set ⇒ 'b)
where univ f X == f (SOME x. x ∈ X)

definition quotientP :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a set ⇒ bool) (infixl '/'/'/ 90)
where P /// φ ≡ S2P ((Collect P) // (P2R φ))

lemma proj-preserves:
P x ==> (P /// φ) (proj φ x)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *proj-in-iff*:

assumes *equivP P φ*

shows $(P//\varphi) (\text{proj } \varphi x) \longleftrightarrow P x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *proj-iff[simp]*:

$[\text{equivP } P \varphi; P x; P y] \implies \text{proj } \varphi x = \text{proj } \varphi y \longleftrightarrow \varphi x y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-proj[simp]*: $[\text{equivP } P \varphi; P x] \implies x \in \text{proj } \varphi x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *proj-image[simp]*: $(\text{proj } \varphi) \cdot (\text{Collect } P) = \text{Collect } (P//\varphi)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-quotientP-imp-non-empty*:

assumes *equivP P φ and $(P//\varphi) X$*

shows $X \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-quotientP-imp-in-rel*:

$[\text{equivP } P \varphi; (P//\varphi) X; x \in X; y \in X] \implies \varphi x y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-quotientP-imp-closed*:

$[\text{equivP } P \varphi; (P//\varphi) X; x \in X; \varphi x y] \implies y \in X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-quotientP-imp-subset*:

assumes *equivP P φ and $(P//\varphi) X$*

shows $X \subseteq \text{Collect } P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equivP-pick-in*:

assumes *equivP P φ and $(P//\varphi) X$*

shows *pick X ∈ X*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equivP-pick-preserves*:

assumes *equivP P φ and $(P//\varphi) X$*

shows *P (pick X)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *proj-pick*:

assumes $\varphi: \text{equivP } P \varphi \text{ and } X: (P//\varphi) X$

shows *proj φ (pick X) = X*

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma pick-proj:
assumes equivP P φ and P x
shows φ (pick (proj φ x)) x
⟨proof⟩

lemma equivP-pick-iff[simp]:
assumes φ: equivP P φ and X: (P///φ) X and Y: (P///φ) Y
shows φ (pick X) (pick Y) ←→ X = Y
⟨proof⟩

lemma equivP-pick-inj-on:
assumes equivP P φ
shows inj-on pick (Collect (P///φ))
⟨proof⟩

definition congruentP where
congruentP φ f ≡ ∀ x y. φ x y → f x = f y

abbreviation RESPECTS-P (infixr respectsP 80) where
f respectsP r == congruentP r f

lemma congruent-P2R: congruent (P2R φ) f = congruentP φ f
⟨proof⟩

lemma univ-commute[simp]:
assumes equivP P φ and f respectsP φ and P x
shows (univ f) (proj φ x) = f x
⟨proof⟩

lemma univ-unique:
assumes equivP P φ and f respectsP φ and ⋀ x. P x → G (proj φ x) = f x
shows ⍟ X. (P///φ) X → G X = univ f X
⟨proof⟩

lemma univ-preserves:
assumes equivP P φ and f respectsP φ and ⋀ x. P x → f x ∈ B
shows ⍟ X. (P///φ) X → univ f X ∈ B
⟨proof⟩

end

```

5 Transition from Quasi-Terms to Terms

```

theory Transition-QuasiTerms-Terms
imports QuasiTerms-Environments-Substitution Equiv-Relation2

```

begin

This section transits from quasi-terms to terms: defines terms as alpha-equivalence classes of quasi-terms (and also abstractions as alpha-equivalence classes of quasi-abstractions), then defines operators on terms corresponding to those on quasi-terms: variable injection, binding operation, freshness, swapping, parallel substitution, etc. Properties previously shown invariant under alpha-equivalence, including induction principles, are lifted from quasi-terms. Moreover, a new powerful induction principle, allowing freshness assumptions, is proved for terms.

As a matter of notation: Starting from this section, we change the notations for quasi-item meta-variables, prefixing their names with a "q" – e.g., qX, qA, qinp, qenv, etc. The old names are now assigned to the “real” items: terms, abstractions, inputs, environments.

5.1 Preparation: Integrating quasi-inputs as first-class citizens

context *FixVars*
begin

From now on it will be convenient to also define fresh, swap, good and alpha-equivalence for quasi-inputs.

definition *qSwapInp* **where**
 $qSwapInp\ xs\ x\ y\ qinp == lift\ (qSwap\ xs\ x\ y)\ qinp$

definition *qSwapBinp* **where**
 $qSwapBinp\ xs\ x\ y\ qbinp == lift\ (qSwapAbs\ xs\ x\ y)\ qbinp$

abbreviation *qSwapInp-abbrev* (- %[- \ -]’-- 200) **where**
 $(qinp\ %[[z1 \ \wedge\ z2]]\ -zs) == qSwapInp\ zs\ z1\ z2\ qinp$

abbreviation *qSwapBinp-abbrev* (- %%[- \ -]’-- 200) **where**
 $(qbinp\ %%[[z1 \ \wedge\ z2]]\ -zs) == qSwapBinp\ zs\ z1\ z2\ qbinp$

lemma *qSwap-qSwapInp*:
 $((qOp\ delta\ qinp\ qbinp)\ #[[x \ \wedge\ y]]\ -xs) =$
 $qOp\ delta\ (qinp\ %[[x \ \wedge\ y]]\ -xs)\ (qbinp\ %%[[x \ \wedge\ y]]\ -xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *qSwap.simps(2)* [*simp del*]
declare *qSwap-qSwapInp*[*simp*]

```

lemmas qSwapAll-simps = qSwap.simps(1) qSwap-qSwapInp

definition qPsubstInp where
qPsubstInp qrho qinp == lift (qPsubst qrho) qinp

definition qPsubstBinp where
qPsubstBinp qrho qbinp == lift (qPsubstAbs qrho) qbinp

abbreviation qPsubstInp-abbrev (- %[-] 200)
where (qinp %%[qrho]) == qPsubstInp qrho qinp

abbreviation qPsubstBinp-abbrev (- %%[-] 200)
where (qbinp %%[qrho]) == qPsubstBinp qrho qbinp

lemma qPsubst-qPsubstInp:
((qOp delta qinp qbinp) #[[rho]]) = qOp delta (qinp %%[rho]) (qbinp %%[rho])
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare qPsubst.simps(2) [simp del]
declare qPsubst-qPsubstInp[simp]

```

```

lemmas qPsubstAll-simps = qPsubst.simps(1) qPsubst-qPsubstInp

definition qSkelInp
where qSkelInp qinp = lift qSkel qinp

definition qSkelBinp
where qSkelBinp qbinp = lift qSkelAbs qbinp

lemma qSkel-qSkelInp:
qSkel (qOp delta qinp qbinp) =
Branch (qSkelInp qinp) (qSkelBinp qbinp)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare qSkel.simps(2) [simp del]
declare qSkel-qSkelInp[simp]

```

```

lemmas qSkelAll-simps = qSkel.simps(1) qSkel-qSkelInp

definition qFreshInp :: 
'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ ('index,'index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm)input ⇒

```

```

bool
where
qFreshInp xs x qinp == liftAll (qFresh xs x) qinp

definition qFreshBinp :: 
'varSort  $\Rightarrow$  'var  $\Rightarrow$  ('bindx,('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs)input  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
qFreshBinp xs x qbinp == liftAll (qFreshAbs xs x) qbinp

lemma qFresh-qFreshInp:
qFresh xs x (qOp delta qinp qbinp) =
(qFreshInp xs x qinp  $\wedge$  qFreshBinp xs x qbinp)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare qFresh.simps(2) [simp del]
declare qFresh-qFreshInp[simp]

```

```

lemmas qFreshAll-simps = qFresh.simps(1) qFresh-qFreshInp

```

```

definition qGoodInp where
qGoodInp qinp ==
liftAll qGood qinp  $\wedge$ 
|{i. qinp i  $\neq$  None}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|

```

```

definition qGoodBinp where
qGoodBinp qbinp ==
liftAll qGoodAbs qbinp  $\wedge$ 
|{i. qbinp i  $\neq$  None}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|

```

```

lemma qGood-qGoodInp:
qGood (qOp delta qinp qbinp) = (qGoodInp qinp  $\wedge$  qGoodBinp qbinp)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare qGood.simps(2) [simp del]
declare qGood-qGoodInp [simp]

```

```

lemmas qGoodAll-simps = qGood.simps(1) qGood-qGoodInp

```

```

definition alphaInp where
alphaInp ==
{(qinp,qinp'). sameDom qinp qinp'  $\wedge$  liftAll2 (λqX qX'. qX #= qX') qinp qinp'}

```

```

definition alphaBinp where
alphaBinp ==
{ (qbinp,qbinp'). sameDom qbinp qbinp' ∧ liftAll2 (λqA qA'. qA $= qA') qbinp
qbinp' }

abbreviation alphaInp-abbrev (infix % = 50) where
qinp % = qinp' == (qinp,qinp') ∈ alphaInp

abbreviation alphaBinp-abbrev (infix %% = 50) where
qbinp %% = qbinp' == (qbinp,qbinp') ∈ alphaBinp

lemma alpha-alphaInp:
(qOp delta qinp qbinp # = qOp delta' qinp' qbinp') =
(delta = delta' ∧ qinp % = qinp' ∧ qbinp %% = qbinp')
⟨proof⟩

declare alpha.simps(2) [simp del]
declare alpha-alphaInp[simp]

lemmas alphaAll-Simps =
alpha.simps(1) alpha-alphaInp
alphaAbs.simps

lemma alphaInp-refl:
qGoodInp qinp ==> qinp % = qinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaBinp-refl:
qGoodBinp qbinp ==> qbinp %% = qbinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaInp-sym:
fixes qinp qinp' :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm)input
shows qinp % = qinp' ==> qinp' % = qinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaBinp-sym:
fixes qbinp qbinp' :: ('bindex,'index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs)input
shows qbinp %% = qbinp' ==> qbinp' %% = qbinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaInp-trans:
assumes good: qGoodInp qinp and
alpha1: qinp % = qinp' and alpha2: qinp' % = qinp"
shows qinp % = qinp"

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaBinp-trans*:
assumes *good*: *qGoodBinp qbinp and alpha1: qbinp %%= qbinp' and alpha2: qbinp' %%= qbinp''*
shows *qbinp %%= qbinp''*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qSwapInp-preserves-qGoodInp*:
assumes *qGoodInp qinp*
shows *qGoodInp (qinp %%[[x1 ∧ x2]]-xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qSwapBinp-preserves-qGoodBinp*:
assumes *qGoodBinp qbinp*
shows *qGoodBinp (qbinp %%[[x1 ∧ x2]]-xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qSwapInp-preserves-alphaInp*:
assumes *qGoodInp qinp ∨ qGoodInp qinp' and qinp %%= qinp'*
shows *(qinp %%[[x1 ∧ x2]]-xs) %%= (qinp' %%[[x1 ∧ x2]]-xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qSwapBinp-preserves-alphaBinp*:
assumes *qGoodBinp qbinp ∨ qGoodBinp qbinp' and qbinp %%= qbinp'*
shows *(qbinp %%[[x1 ∧ x2]]-xs) %%= (qbinp' %%[[x1 ∧ x2]]-xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qPsubstInp-preserves-qGoodInp*:
assumes *qGoodInp qinp and qGoodEnv qrho*
shows *qGoodInp (qinp %%[[qrho]])*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qPsubstBinp-preserves-qGoodBinp*:
assumes *qGoodBinp qbinp and qGoodEnv qrho*
shows *qGoodBinp (qbinp %%[[qrho]])*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qPsubstInp-preserves-alphaInp*:
assumes *qGoodInp qinp ∨ qGoodInp qinp' and qGoodEnv qrho and qinp %%= qinp'*
shows *(qinp %%[[qrho]]) %%= (qinp' %%[[qrho]])*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qPsubstBinp-preserves-alphaBinp*:
assumes *qGoodBinp qbinp ∨ qGoodBinp qbinp' and qGoodEnv qrho and qbinp %%= qbinp'*
shows *(qbinp %%[[qrho]]) %%= (qbinp' %%[[qrho]])*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma qFreshInp-preserves-alphaInp-aux:
assumes good: qGoodInp qinp ∨ qGoodInp qinp' and alpha: qinp %≡ qinp'
and fresh: qFreshInp xs x qinp
shows qFreshInp xs x qinp'
⟨proof⟩

lemma qFreshBinp-preserves-alphaBinp-aux:
assumes good: qGoodBinp qbinp ∨ qGoodBinp qbinp' and alpha: qbinp %%≡ qbinp'
and fresh: qFreshBinp xs x qbinp
shows qFreshBinp xs x qbinp'
⟨proof⟩

lemma qFreshInp-preserves-alphaInp:
assumes qGoodInp qinp ∨ qGoodInp qinp' and qinp %≡ qinp'
shows qFreshInp xs x qinp ↔ qFreshInp xs x qinp'
⟨proof⟩

lemma qFreshBinp-preserves-alphaBinp:
assumes qGoodBinp qbinp ∨ qGoodBinp qbinp' and qbinp %%≡ qbinp'
shows qFreshBinp xs x qbinp ↔ qFreshBinp xs x qbinp'
⟨proof⟩

lemmas qItem-simps =
qSkelAll-simps qFreshAll-simps qSwapAll-simps qPsubstAll-simps qGoodAll-simps
alphaAll-Simps
qSwap-qAFresh-otherSimps qAFresh.simps qGoodItem.simps

end

```

5.2 Definitions of terms and their operators

```

type-synonym ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term =
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm set

type-synonym ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs =
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs set

type-synonym ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env =
'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term option

```

A “parameter” will be something for which freshness makes sense. Here is the most typical case of a parameter in proofs, putting together (as lists) finite collections of variables, terms, abstractions and environments:

```

datatype ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)param =
Par 'var list

```

```

('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term list
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs list
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env list

fun varsOf where
varsOf (Par xL ---) = set xL

fun termsOf where
termsOf (Par - XL --) = set XL

fun absOf where
absOf (Par -- AL -) = set AL

fun envsOf where
envsOf (Par --- rhoL) = set rhoL

context FixVars
begin

definition alphaGood ≡ λ qX qY. qGood qX ∧ qGood qY ∧ qX #≡ qY
definition alphaAbsGood ≡ λ qA qB. qGoodAbs qA ∧ qGoodAbs qB ∧ qA $≡ qB

definition good ≡ qGood /// alphaGood
definition goodAbs ≡ qGoodAbs /// alphaAbsGood

definition goodInp where
goodInp inp ===
liftAll good inp ∧
|{i. inp i ≠ None}| <o |UNIV :: 'var set|

definition goodBinp where
goodBinp binp ===
liftAll goodAbs binp ∧
|{i. binp i ≠ None}| <o |UNIV :: 'var set|

definition goodEnv where
goodEnv rho ===
(∀ ys. liftAll good (rho ys)) ∧
(∀ ys. |{y. rho ys y ≠ None}| <o |UNIV :: 'var set| )

definition asTerm where
asTerm qX ≡ proj alphaGood qX

definition asAbs where
asAbs qA ≡ proj alphaAbsGood qA

definition pickInp where
pickInp inp ≡ lift pick inp

```

```

definition pickBinp where
pickBinp binp ≡ lift pick binp

definition asInp where
asInp qinp ≡ lift asTerm qinp

definition asBinp where
asBinp qbinp ≡ lift asAbs qbinp

definition pickE where
pickE rho ≡ λ xs. lift pick (rho xs)

definition asEnv where
asEnv qrho ≡ λ xs. lift asTerm (qrho xs)

definition Var where
Var xs x ≡ asTerm(qVar xs x)

definition Op where
Op delta inp binp ≡ asTerm (qOp delta (pickInp inp) (pickBinp binp))

definition Abs where
Abs xs x X ≡ asAbs (qAbs xs x (pick X))

definition skel where
skel X ≡ qSkel (pick X)

definition skelAbs where
skelAbs A ≡ qSkelAbs (pick A)

definition skelInp where
skelInp inp = qSkelInp (pickInp inp)

definition skelBinp where
skelBinp binp = qSkelBinp (pickBinp binp)

lemma skelInp-def2:
assumes goodInp inp
shows skelInp inp = lift skel inp
⟨proof⟩

lemma skelBinp-def2:
assumes goodBinp binp
shows skelBinp binp = lift skelAbs binp
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition swap where
  swap xs x y X = asTerm (qSwap xs x y (pick X))

abbreviation swap-abbrev (- #[- ∧ -]'-- 200) where
  (X #[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) ≡ swap zs z1 z2 X

definition swapAbs where
  swapAbs xs x y A = asAbs (qSwapAbs xs x y (pick A))

abbreviation swapAbs-abbrev (- $[- ∧ -]'-- 200) where
  (A $[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) ≡ swapAbs zs z1 z2 A

definition swapInp where
  swapInp xs x y inp ≡ lift (swap xs x y) inp

definition swapBinp where
  swapBinp xs x y binp ≡ lift (swapAbs xs x y) binp

abbreviation swapInp-abbrev (- %[- ∧ -]'-- 200) where
  (inp %%[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) ≡ swapInp zs z1 z2 inp

abbreviation swapBinp-abbrev (- %%[- ∧ -]'-- 200) where
  (binp %%[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) ≡ swapBinp zs z1 z2 binp

definition swapEnvDom where
  swapEnvDom xs x y rho ≡ λzs z. rho zs (z @zs[x ∧ y]-xs)

definition swapEnvIm where
  swapEnvIm xs x y rho ≡ λzs. lift (swap xs x y) (rho zs)

definition swapEnv where
  swapEnv xs x y ≡ swapEnvIm xs x y o swapEnvDom xs x y

abbreviation swapEnv-abbrev (- &[- ∧ -]'-- 200) where
  (rho &[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) ≡ swapEnv zs z1 z2 rho

lemmas swapEnv-defs = swapEnv-def comp-def swapEnvDom-def swapEnvIm-def

inductive-set swapped where
  Refl: (X,X) ∈ swapped
  |
  Trans: [(X,Y) ∈ swapped; (Y,Z) ∈ swapped] ⇒ (X,Z) ∈ swapped
  |
  Swap: (X,Y) ∈ swapped ⇒ (X, Y #[x ∧ y]-zs) ∈ swapped

lemmas swapped-Clauses = swapped.Refl swapped.Trans swapped.Swap

definition fresh where
  fresh xs x X ≡ qFresh xs x (pick X)

```

```

definition freshAbs where
freshAbs xs x A ≡ qFreshAbs xs x (pick A)

definition freshInp where
freshInp xs x inp ≡ liftAll (fresh xs x) inp

definition freshBinp where
freshBinp xs x binp ≡ liftAll (freshAbs xs x) binp

definition freshEnv where
freshEnv xs x rho ==  

rho xs x = None ∧ (forall ys. liftAll (fresh xs x) (rho ys))

definition psubst where
psubst rho X ≡ asTerm(qPsubst (pickE rho) (pick X))

abbreviation psubst-abbrev (- #[ - ]) where  

(X #[ rho ]) ≡ psubst rho X

definition psubstAbs where
psubstAbs rho A ≡ asAbs(qPsubstAbs (pickE rho) (pick A))

abbreviation psubstAbs-abbrev (- $[ - ]) where  

A ${ rho } ≡ psubstAbs rho A

definition psubstInp where
psubstInp rho inp ≡ lift (psubst rho) inp

definition psubstBinp where
psubstBinp rho binp ≡ lift (psubstAbs rho) binp

abbreviation psubstInp-abbrev (- %[ - ]) where  

inp %[ rho ] ≡ psubstInp rho inp

abbreviation psubstBinp-abbrev (- %%[ - ]) where  

binp %%[ rho ] ≡ psubstBinp rho binp

definition psubstEnv where
psubstEnv rho rho' ≡  

λ xs x. case rho' xs x of None ⇒ rho xs x  

| Some X ⇒ Some (X #[ rho ])

abbreviation psubstEnv-abbrev (- &[ - ]) where  

rho &[ rho' ] ≡ psubstEnv rho' rho

definition idEnv where
idEnv ≡ λ xs. Map.empty

```

```

definition updEnv ::  

('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env =>  

'var => ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term => 'varSort =>  

('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env  

(- [- <- -]'-> 200) where  

(rho [x <- X]-xs) ≡ λ ys y. (if ys = xs ∧ y = x then Some X else rho ys y)

```

(Unary) substitution:

```

definition subst where  

subst xs X x ≡ psubst (idEnv [x <- X]-xs)

```

```

abbreviation subst-abbrev (- #[- '/ -]'-> 200) where  

(Y #[X / x]-xs) ≡ subst xs X x Y

```

```

definition substAbs where  

substAbs xs X x ≡ psubstAbs (idEnv [x <- X]-xs)

```

```

abbreviation substAbs-abbrev (- $[- '/ -]'-> 200) where  

(A ${[X / x]}-xs) ≡ substAbs xs X x A

```

```

definition substInp where  

substInp xs X x ≡ psubstInp (idEnv [x <- X]-xs)

```

```

definition substBinp where  

substBinp xs X x ≡ psubstBinp (idEnv [x <- X]-xs)

```

```

abbreviation substInp-abbrev (- %[- '/ -]'-> 200) where  

(inp %[X / x]-xs) ≡ substInp xs X x inp

```

```

abbreviation substBinp-abbrev (- %%[- '/ -]'-> 200) where  

(binp %%[X / x]-xs) ≡ substBinp xs X x binp

```

```

theorem substInp-def2:  

substInp ys Y y = lift (subst ys Y y)  

⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem substBinp-def2:  

substBinp ys Y y = lift (substAbs ys Y y)  

⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition substEnv where  

substEnv xs X x ≡ psubstEnv (idEnv [x <- X]-xs)

```

```

abbreviation substEnv-abbrev (- &[- '/ -]'-> 200) where  

(Y &[X / x]-xs) ≡ substEnv xs X x Y

```

```

theorem substEnv-def2:  

(rho &[Y / y]-ys) =  

(λxs x. case rho xs x of

```

$\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{if } (xs = ys \wedge x = y) \text{ then Some } Y \text{ else None}$
 $| \text{Some } X \Rightarrow \text{Some } (X \#[Y / y]\text{-}ys))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Variable-for-variable substitution:

definition *vsubst* **where**

vsubst ys y1 y2 \equiv *subst ys (Var ys y1) y2*

abbreviation *vsubst-abbrev* (- #[- ' / / -]'-- 200) **where**
 $(X \#[y1 // y2]\text{-}ys) \equiv vsubst ys y1 y2 X$

definition *vsubstAbs* **where**

vsubstAbs ys y1 y2 \equiv *substAbs ys (Var ys y1) y2*

abbreviation *vsubstAbs-abbrev* (- \$[- ' / / -]'-- 200) **where**
 $(A \$[y1 // y2]\text{-}ys) \equiv vsubstAbs ys y1 y2 A$

definition *vsubstInp* **where**

vsubstInp ys y1 y2 \equiv *substInp ys (Var ys y1) y2*

definition *vsubstBinp* **where**

vsubstBinp ys y1 y2 \equiv *substBinp ys (Var ys y1) y2*

abbreviation *vsubstInp-abbrev* (- %[- ' / / -]'-- 200) **where**
 $(inp \%[y1 // y2]\text{-}ys) \equiv vsubstInp ys y1 y2 inp$

abbreviation *vsubstBinp-abbrev* (- %%[- ' / / -]'-- 200) **where**
 $(binp \%[y1 // y2]\text{-}ys) \equiv vsubstBinp ys y1 y2 binp$

lemma *vsubstInp-def2*:

$(inp \%[y1 // y2]\text{-}ys) = lift (vsubst ys y1 y2) inp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vsubstBinp-def2*:

$(binp \%[y1 // y2]\text{-}ys) = lift (vsubstAbs ys y1 y2) binp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *vsubstEnv* **where**

vsubstEnv ys y1 y2 \equiv *substEnv ys (Var ys y1) y2*

abbreviation *vsubstEnv-abbrev* (- &[- ' / / -]'-- 200) **where**
 $(rho \&[y1 // y2]\text{-}ys) \equiv vsubstEnv ys y1 y2 rho$

theorem *vsubstEnv-def2*:

$(rho \&[y1 // y]\text{-}ys) =$
 $(\lambda xs. \text{case } rho \text{ xs } x \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{if } (xs = ys \wedge x = y) \text{ then Some } (\text{Var ys y1}) \text{ else None}$
 $\quad | \text{Some } X \Rightarrow \text{Some } (X \#[y1 // y]\text{-}ys))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition goodPar where
goodPar P  $\equiv$  ( $\forall X \in \text{termsOf } P$ . good X)  $\wedge$ 
          ( $\forall A \in \text{absOf } P$ . goodAbs A)  $\wedge$ 
          ( $\forall \rho \in \text{envsOf } P$ . goodEnv rho)

lemma Par-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes !! X. X  $\in$  set XL  $\implies$  good X
and !! A. A  $\in$  set AL  $\implies$  goodAbs A
and !! rho. rho  $\in$  set rhoL  $\implies$  goodEnv rho
shows goodPar (Par xL XL AL rhoL)
⟨proof⟩

lemma termsOf-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes goodPar P and X : termsOf P
shows good X
⟨proof⟩

lemma absOf-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes goodPar P and A : absOf P
shows goodAbs A
⟨proof⟩

lemma envsOf-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes goodPar P and rho : envsOf P
shows goodEnv rho
⟨proof⟩

lemmas param-simps =
termsOf.simps absOf.simps envsOf.simps
Par-preserves-good
termsOf-preserves-good absOf-preserves-good envsOf-preserves-good

```

5.3 Items versus quasi-items modulo alpha

Here we “close the accounts” (for a while) with quasi-items – beyond this subsection, there will not be any theorem that mentions quasi-items, except much later when we deal with iteration principles (and need to briefly switch back to quasi-terms in order to define the needed iterative map by the universality of the alpha-quotient).

5.3.1 For terms

```

lemma alphaGood-equivP: equivP qGood alphaGood
⟨proof⟩

lemma univ-asTerm-alphaGood[simp]:
assumes *: congruentP alphaGood f and **: qGood X

```

```

shows univ f (asTerm X) = f X
⟨proof⟩

corollary univ-asTerm-alpha[simp]:
assumes *: congruentP alpha f and **: qGood X
shows univ f (asTerm X) = f X
⟨proof⟩

lemma pick-inj-on-good: inj-on pick (Collect good)
⟨proof⟩

lemma pick-injective-good[simp]:
 $\llbracket \text{good } X; \text{good } Y \rrbracket \implies (\text{pick } X = \text{pick } Y) = (X = Y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma good-imp-qGood-pick:
 $\text{good } X \implies \text{qGood} (\text{pick } X)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma qGood-iff-good-asTerm:
 $\text{good} (\text{asTerm } qX) = \text{qGood } qX$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pick-asTerm:
assumes qGood qX
shows pick (asTerm qX) #= qX
⟨proof⟩

lemma asTerm-pick:
assumes good X
shows asTerm (pick X) = X
⟨proof⟩

lemma pick-alpha: good X  $\implies$  pick X #= pick X
⟨proof⟩

lemma alpha-imp-asTerm-equal:
assumes qGood qX and qX #= qY
shows asTerm qX = asTerm qY
⟨proof⟩

lemma asTerm-equal-imp-alpha:
assumes qGood qX and asTerm qX = asTerm qY
shows qX #= qY
⟨proof⟩

lemma asTerm-equal-iff-alpha:
assumes qGood qX  $\vee$  qGood qY
shows (asTerm qX = asTerm qY) = (qX #= qY)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma pick-alpha-iff-equal:  
assumes good X and good Y  
shows pick X #= pick Y  $\longleftrightarrow$  X = Y  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma pick-swap-qSwap:  
assumes good X  
shows pick (X #[x1  $\wedge$  x2]-xs) #= ((pick X) #[[x1  $\wedge$  x2]]-xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma asTerm-qSwap-swap:  
assumes qGood qX  
shows asTerm (qX #[[x1  $\wedge$  x2]]-xs) = ((asTerm qX) #[x1  $\wedge$  x2]-xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fresh-asTerm-qFresh:  
assumes qGood qX  
shows fresh xs x (asTerm qX) = qFresh xs x qX  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma skel-asTerm-qSkel:  
assumes qGood qX  
shows skel (asTerm qX) = qSkel qX  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma double-swap-qSwap:  
assumes good X  
shows qGood (((pick X) #[[x  $\wedge$  y]]-zs) #[[x'  $\wedge$  y']] - zs')  $\wedge$   
((X #[x  $\wedge$  y]-zs) #[[x'  $\wedge$  y']] - zs') = asTerm (((pick X) #[[x  $\wedge$  y]]-zs) #[[x'  $\wedge$  y']] - zs')  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fresh-swap-qFresh-qSwap:  
assumes good X  
shows fresh xs x (X #[y1  $\wedge$  y2]-ys) = qFresh xs x ((pick X) #[[y1  $\wedge$  y2]]-ys)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

5.3.2 For abstractions

```
lemma alphaAbsGood-equivP: equivP qGoodAbs alphaAbsGood  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma univ-asAbs-alphaAbsGood[simp]:  
assumes fAbs respectsP alphaAbsGood and qGoodAbs A  
shows univ fAbs (asAbs A) = fAbs A
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *univ-asAbs-alphaAbs[simp]*:
assumes $*: fAbs \ respectsP alphaAbs$ **and** $**: qGoodAbs A$
shows *univ fAbs (asAbs A) = fAbs A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pick-inj-on-goodAbs: inj-on pick (Collect goodAbs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pick-injective-goodAbs[simp]*:
 $\llbracket goodAbs A; goodAbs B \rrbracket \implies pick A = pick B \longleftrightarrow A = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *goodAbs-imp-qGoodAbs-pick*:
 $goodAbs A \implies qGoodAbs (pick A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qGoodAbs-iff-goodAbs-asAbs*:
 $goodAbs(asAbs qA) = qGoodAbs qA$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pick-asAbs*:
assumes $qGoodAbs qA$
shows $pick(asAbs qA) \$= qA$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asAbs-pick*:
assumes $goodAbs A$
shows $asAbs(pick A) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pick-alphaAbs: goodAbs A \implies pick A \\$= pick A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaAbs-imp-asAbs-equal*:
assumes $qGoodAbs qA$ **and** $qA \$= qB$
shows $asAbs qA = asAbs qB$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asAbs-equal-imp-alphaAbs*:
assumes $qGoodAbs qA$ **and** $asAbs qA = asAbs qB$
shows $qA \$= qB$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asAbs-equal-iff-alphaAbs*:
assumes $qGoodAbs qA \vee qGoodAbs qB$
shows $(asAbs qA = asAbs qB) = (qA \$= qB)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma pick-alphaAbs-iff-equal:
assumes goodAbs A and goodAbs B
shows (pick A $= pick B) = (A = B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma pick-swapAbs-qSwapAbs:
assumes goodAbs A
shows pick (A $[x1 ∧ x2]-xs) $= ((pick A) $[[x1 ∧ x2]]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma asAbs-qSwapAbs-swapAbs:
assumes qGoodAbs qA
shows asAbs (qA $[[x1 ∧ x2]]-xs) = ((asAbs qA) $[x1 ∧ x2]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma freshAbs-asAbs-qFreshAbs:
assumes qGoodAbs qA
shows freshAbs xs x (asAbs qA) = qFreshAbs xs x qA
⟨proof⟩

lemma skelAbs-asAbs-qSkelAbs:
assumes qGoodAbs qA
shows skelAbs (asAbs qA) = qSkelAbs qA
⟨proof⟩

```

5.3.3 For inputs

For unbound inputs:

```

lemma pickInp-inj-on-goodInp: inj-on pickInp (Collect goodInp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma goodInp-imp-qGoodInp-pickInp:
assumes goodInp inp
shows qGoodInp (pickInp inp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma qGoodInp-iff-goodInp-asInp:
goodInp (asInp qinp) = qGoodInp qinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma pickInp-asInp:
assumes qGoodInp qinp
shows pickInp (asInp qinp) %≡ qinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma asInp-pickInp:
assumes goodInp inp
shows asInp (pickInp inp) = inp

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pickInp-alphaInp*:
 assumes *goodInp*: *goodInp inp*
 shows *pickInp inp* $\% =$ *pickInp inp*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaInp-imp-asInp-equal*:
 assumes *qGoodInp qinp* **and** *qinp* $\% =$ *qinp'*
 shows *asInp qinp* = *asInp qinp'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asInp-equal-imp-alphaInp*:
 assumes *qGoodInp qinp* **and** *asInp qinp* = *asInp qinp'*
 shows *qinp* $\% =$ *qinp'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asInp-equal-iff-alphaInp*:
 qGoodInp qinp \implies (*asInp qinp* = *asInp qinp'*) = (*qinp* $\% =$ *qinp'*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pickInp-alphaInp-iff-equal*:
 assumes *goodInp inp* **and** *goodInp inp'*
 shows (*pickInp inp* $\% =$ *pickInp inp'*) = (*inp* = *inp'*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pickInp-swapInp-qSwapInp*:
 assumes *goodInp inp*
 shows *pickInp (inp %[x1 ∧ x2]-xs)* $\% =$ ((*pickInp inp*) $\% [[x1 \wedge x2]]-xs$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asInp-qSwapInp-swapInp*:
 assumes *qGoodInp qinp*
 shows *asInp (qinp %[x1 ∧ x2]-xs)* = ((*asInp qinp*) $\% [x1 \wedge x2]-xs$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swapInp-def2*:
 (*inp %[x1 ∧ x2]-xs*) = *asInp ((pickInp inp) %[[x1 \wedge x2]]-xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshInp-def2*:
 freshInp xs x inp = *qFreshInp xs x (pickInp inp)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

For bound inputs:

lemma *pickBinp-inj-on-goodBinp*: *inj-on pickBinp* (*Collect goodBinp*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *goodBinp-imp-qGoodBinp-pickBinp*:

```

assumes goodBinp binp
shows qGoodBinp (pickBinp binp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma qGoodBinp-iff-goodBinp-asBinp:
goodBinp (asBinp qbinp) = qGoodBinp qbinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma pickBinp-asBinp:
assumes qGoodBinp qbinp
shows pickBinp (asBinp qbinp) %%= qbinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma asBinp-pickBinp:
assumes goodBinp binp
shows asBinp (pickBinp binp) = binp
⟨proof⟩

lemma pickBinp-alphaBinp:
assumes goodBinp: goodBinp binp
shows pickBinp binp %%= pickBinp binp
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaBinp-imp-asBinp-equal:
assumes qGoodBinp qbinp and qbinp %%= qbinp'
shows asBinp qbinp = asBinp qbinp'
⟨proof⟩

lemma asBinp-equal-imp-alphaBinp:
assumes qGoodBinp qbinp and asBinp qbinp = asBinp qbinp'
shows qbinp %%= qbinp'
⟨proof⟩

lemma asBinp-equal-iff-alphaBinp:
qGoodBinp qbinp  $\implies$  (asBinp qbinp = asBinp qbinp') = (qbinp %%= qbinp')
⟨proof⟩

lemma pickBinp-alphaBinp-iff-equal:
assumes goodBinp binp and goodBinp binp'
shows (pickBinp binp %%= pickBinp binp') = (binp = binp')
⟨proof⟩

lemma pickBinp-swapBinp-qSwapBinp:
assumes goodBinp binp
shows pickBinp (binp %%[x1  $\wedge$  x2]-xs) %%= ((pickBinp binp) %%[[x1  $\wedge$  x2]]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma asBinp-qSwapBinp-swapBinp:
assumes qGoodBinp qbinp

```

shows $\text{asBinp} (\text{qbinp} \%[[x1 \wedge x2]]-\text{xs}) = ((\text{asBinp} \text{ qbinp}) \%[x1 \wedge x2]-\text{xs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{swapBinp-def2}:$
 $(\text{binp} \%[x1 \wedge x2]-\text{xs}) = \text{asBinp} ((\text{pickBinp} \text{ binp}) \%[[x1 \wedge x2]]-\text{xs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{freshBinp-def2}:$
 $\text{freshBinp} \text{ xs } x \text{ binp} = \text{qFreshBinp} \text{ xs } x \text{ (pickBinp} \text{ binp)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.3.4 For environments

lemma $\text{goodEnv-imp-qGoodEnv-pickE}:$
assumes $\text{goodEnv} \text{ rho}$
shows $\text{qGoodEnv} (\text{pickE} \text{ rho})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{qGoodEnv-iff-goodEnv-asEnv}:$
 $\text{goodEnv} (\text{asEnv} \text{ qrho}) = \text{qGoodEnv} \text{ qrho}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{pickE-asEnv}:$
assumes $\text{qGoodEnv} \text{ qrho}$
shows $\text{pickE} (\text{asEnv} \text{ qrho}) \&= \text{qrho}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{asEnv-pickE}:$
assumes $\text{goodEnv} \text{ rho}$ **shows** $\text{asEnv} (\text{pickE} \text{ rho}) \text{ xs } x = \text{rho} \text{ xs } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{pickE-alphaEnv}:$
assumes $\text{goodEnv}: \text{goodEnv} \text{ rho}$ **shows** $\text{pickE} \text{ rho} \&= \text{pickE} \text{ rho}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{alphaEnv-imp-asEnv-equal}:$
assumes $\text{qGoodEnv} \text{ qrho}$ **and** $\text{qrho} \&= \text{qrho}'$
shows $\text{asEnv} \text{ qrho} = \text{asEnv} \text{ qrho}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{asEnv-equal-imp-alphaEnv}:$
assumes $\text{qGoodEnv} \text{ qrho}$ **and** $\text{asEnv} \text{ qrho} = \text{asEnv} \text{ qrho}'$
shows $\text{qrho} \&= \text{qrho}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{asEnv-equal-iff-alphaEnv}:$
 $\text{qGoodEnv} \text{ qrho} \implies (\text{asEnv} \text{ qrho} = \text{asEnv} \text{ qrho}') = (\text{qrho} \&= \text{qrho}')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma pickE-alphaEnv-iff-equal:
assumes goodEnv rho and goodEnv rho'
shows (pickE rho &= pickE rho') = (rho = rho')
⟨proof⟩

lemma freshEnv-def2:
freshEnv xs x rho = qFreshEnv xs x (pickE rho)
⟨proof⟩

lemma pick-psubst-qPsubst:
assumes good X and goodEnv rho
shows pick (X #[rho]) #≡ ((pick X) #[[pickE rho]])
⟨proof⟩

lemma pick-psubstAbs-qPsubstAbs:
assumes goodAbs A and goodEnv rho
shows pick (A $[rho]) $≡ ((pick A) $[[pickE rho]])
⟨proof⟩

lemma pickInp-psubstInp-qPsubstInp:
assumes good: goodInp inp and good-rho: goodEnv rho
shows pickInp (inp %[rho]) %≡ ((pickInp inp) %[[pickE rho]])
⟨proof⟩

lemma pickBinp-psubstBinp-qPsubstBinp:
assumes good: goodBinp binp and good-rho: goodEnv rho
shows pickBinp (binp %%[rho]) %%≡ ((pickBinp binp) %%[[pickE rho]])
⟨proof⟩

```

5.3.5 The structural alpha-equivivalence maps commute with the syntactic constructs

```

lemma pick-Var-qVar:
pick (Var xs x) #≡ qVar xs x
⟨proof⟩

lemma Op-asInp-asTerm-qOp:
assumes qGoodInp qinp and qGoodBinp qbinp
shows Op delta (asInp qinp) (asBinp qbinp) = asTerm (qOp delta qinp qbinp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma qOp-pickInp-pick-Op:
assumes goodInp inp and goodBinp binp
shows qOp delta (pickInp inp) (pickBinp binp) #≡ pick (Op delta inp binp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Abs-asTerm-asAbs-qAbs:
assumes qGood qX
shows Abs xs x (asTerm qX) = asAbs (qAbs xs x qX)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma qAbs-pick-Abs:  
assumes good X  
shows qAbs xs x (pick X) $= pick (Abs xs x X)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemmas qItem-versus-item-simps =  
univ-asTerm-alphaGood univ-asAbs-alphaAbsGood  
univ-asTerm-alpha univ-asAbs-alphaAbs  
pick-injective-good pick-injective-goodAbs
```

5.4 All operators preserve the “good” predicate

```
lemma Var-preserves-good[simp]:  
good(Var xs x::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Op-preserves-good[simp]:  
assumes goodInp inp and goodBinp binp  
shows good(Op delta inp binp)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Abs-preserves-good[simp]:  
assumes good: good X  
shows goodAbs(Abs xs x X)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemmas Cons-preserve-good =  
Var-preserves-good Op-preserves-good Abs-preserves-good
```

```
lemma swap-preserves-good[simp]:  
assumes good X  
shows good (X #[x ∧ y]-xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma swapAbs-preserves-good[simp]:  
assumes goodAbs A  
shows goodAbs (A $[x ∧ y]-xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma swapInp-preserves-good[simp]:  
assumes goodInp inp  
shows goodInp (inp %[x ∧ y]-xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma swapBinp-preserves-good[simp]:  
assumes goodBinp binp  
shows goodBinp (binp %%[x ∧ y]-xs)
```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma swapEnvDom-preserves-good:
assumes goodEnv rho
shows goodEnv (swapEnvDom xs x y rho) (is goodEnv ?rho')
⟨proof⟩

lemma swapEnvIm-preserves-good:
assumes goodEnv rho
shows goodEnv (swapEnvIm xs x y rho)
⟨proof⟩

lemma swapEnv-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes goodEnv rho
shows goodEnv (rho &[x ∧ y]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas swapAll-preserve-good =
swap-preserves-good swapAbs-preserves-good
swapInp-preserves-good swapBinp-preserves-good
swapEnv-preserves-good

lemma psubst-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes goodEnv rho and good X
shows good (X #[rho])
⟨proof⟩

lemma psubstAbs-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good-rho: goodEnv rho and goodAbs-A: goodAbs A
shows goodAbs (A $[rho])
⟨proof⟩

lemma psubstInp-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good-rho: goodEnv rho and good: goodInp inp
shows goodInp (inp %[rho])
⟨proof⟩

lemma psubstBinp-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good-rho: goodEnv rho and good: goodBinp binp
shows goodBinp (binp %%[rho])
⟨proof⟩

lemma psubstEnv-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good: goodEnv rho and good': goodEnv rho'
shows goodEnv (rho &[rho'])
⟨proof⟩

lemmas psubstAll-preserve-good =
psubst-preserves-good psubstAbs-preserves-good

```

```
psubstInp-preserves-good psubstBinp-preserves-good
psubstEnv-preserves-good
```

```
lemma idEnv-preserves-good[simp]: goodEnv idEnv
<proof>
```

```
lemma updEnv-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good-X: good X and good-rho: goodEnv rho
shows goodEnv (rho [x ← X]-xs)
<proof>
```

```
lemma getEnv-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes goodEnv rho and rho xs x = Some X
shows good X
<proof>
```

```
lemmas envOps-preserve-good =
idEnv-preserves-good updEnv-preserves-good
getEnv-preserves-good
```

```
lemma subst-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good X and good Y
shows good (Y #[X / x]-xs)
<proof>
```

```
lemma substAbs-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good X and goodAbs A
shows goodAbs (A $[X / x]-xs)
<proof>
```

```
lemma substInp-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good X and goodInp inp
shows goodInp (inp %[X / x]-xs)
<proof>
```

```
lemma substBinp-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good X and goodBinp binp
shows goodBinp (binp %%[X / x]-xs)
<proof>
```

```
lemma substEnv-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good X and goodEnv rho
shows goodEnv (rho &[X / x]-xs)
<proof>
```

```
lemmas substAll-preserve-good =
subst-preserves-good substAbs-preserves-good
substInp-preserves-good substBinp-preserves-good
substEnv-preserves-good
```

```

lemma vsubst-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes good Y
shows good (Y #[x1 // x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstAbs-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes goodAbs A
shows goodAbs (A $[x1 // x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstInp-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes goodInp inp
shows goodInp (inp %[x1 // x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstBinp-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes goodBinp binp
shows goodBinp (binp %%[x1 // x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstEnv-preserves-good[simp]:
assumes goodEnv rho
shows goodEnv (rho &[x1 // x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas vsubstAll-preserve-good =
vsubst-preserves-good vsubstAbs-preserves-good
vsubstInp-preserves-good vsubstBinp-preserves-good
vsubstEnv-preserves-good

lemmas all-preserve-good =
Cons-preserve-good
swapAll-preserve-good
psubstAll-preserve-good
envOps-preserve-good
substAll-preserve-good
vsubstAll-preserve-good

```

5.4.1 The syntactic operators are almost constructors

The only one that does not act precisely like a constructor is “Abs”.

```

theorem Var-inj[simp]:
(((Var xs x)::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term) = Var ys y) =
(xs = ys ∧ x = y)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Op-inj[simp]:
assumes goodInp inp and goodBinp binp

```

and *goodInp inp'* **and** *goodBinp binp'*
shows

$$(Op \ delta \ inp \ binp = Op \ delta' \ inp' \ binp') = \\ (\delta = \delta' \wedge inp = inp' \wedge binp = binp') \\ \langle proof \rangle$$

“Abs” is almost injective (“ainj”), with almost injectivity expressed in two ways:

- maximally, using “forall” – this is suitable for elimination of “Abs” equalities;
- minimally, using “exists” – this is suitable for introduction of “Abs” equalities.

lemma *Abs-ainj-all*:

assumes *good: good X and good': good X'*
shows

$$(Abs \ xs \ x \ X = Abs \ xs' \ x' \ X') = \\ (xs = xs' \wedge \\ (\forall \ y. (y = x \vee fresh \ xs \ y \ X) \wedge (y = x' \vee fresh \ xs \ y \ X') \longrightarrow \\ (X \ # [y \wedge x] - xs) = (X' \ # [y \wedge x'] - xs))) \\ \langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *Abs-ainj-ex*:

assumes *good: good X and good': good X'*
shows

$$(Abs \ xs \ x \ X = Abs \ xs' \ x' \ X') = \\ (xs = xs' \wedge \\ (\exists \ y. y \notin \{x, x'\} \wedge fresh \ xs \ y \ X \wedge fresh \ xs \ y \ X' \wedge \\ (X \ # [y \wedge x] - xs) = (X' \ # [y \wedge x'] - xs))) \\ \langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *Abs-cong[fundef-cong]*:

assumes *good: good X and good': good X'*
and *y: fresh xs y X and y': fresh xs y X'*
and eq: $(X \ # [y \wedge x] - xs) = (X' \ # [y \wedge x'] - xs)$
shows *Abs xs x X = Abs xs x' X'*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Abs-swap-fresh*:

assumes *good-X: good X and fresh: fresh xs x' X*
shows *Abs xs x X = Abs xs x' (X # [x' \wedge x] - xs)*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Var-diff-Op[simp]*:

Var xs x ≠ Op delta inp binp
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Op-diff-Var[simp]*:

Op delta inp binp ≠ Var xs x
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem term-nchotomy:
assumes good X
shows
 $(\exists \text{ xs } x. X = \text{Var xs } x) \vee$ 
 $(\exists \text{ delta inp binp. goodInp inp} \wedge \text{goodBinp binp} \wedge X = \text{Op delta inp binp})$ 
⟨proof⟩

theorem abs-nchotomy:
assumes goodAbs A
shows  $\exists \text{ xs } x. \text{good } X \wedge A = \text{Abs xs } x X$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas good-freeCons =
Op-inj Var-diff-Op Op-diff-Var

```

5.5 Properties lifted from quasi-terms to terms

5.5.1 Simplification rules

```

theorem swap-Var-simp[simp]:
 $((\text{Var xs } x) \#[y1 \wedge y2]-ys) = \text{Var xs } (x @xs[y1 \wedge y2]-ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-Op-simp[simp]:
assumes goodInp inp goodBinp binp
shows  $((\text{Op delta inp binp}) \#[x1 \wedge x2]-xs) =$ 
 $\text{Op delta } (\text{inp \%}[x1 \wedge x2]-xs) (\text{binp \% \%}[x1 \wedge x2]-xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma swapAbs-simp[simp]:
assumes good X
shows  $((\text{Abs xs } x X) \$[y1 \wedge y2]-ys) = \text{Abs xs } (x @xs[y1 \wedge y2]-ys) (X \#[y1 \wedge y2]-ys)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas good-swapAll-simps =
swap-Op-simp swapAbs-simp

theorem fresh-Var-simp[simp]:
fresh ys y ( $\text{Var xs } x :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)\text{term}$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-Op-simp[simp]:
assumes goodInp inp goodBinp binp
shows
fresh xs x ( $\text{Op delta inp binp}$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(freshInp xs x inp  $\wedge$  freshBinp xs x binp)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma freshAbs-simp[simp]:
assumes good X
shows freshAbs ys y (Abs xs x X)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (ys = xs  $\wedge$  y = x  $\vee$  fresh ys y X)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas good-freshAll-simps =
fresh-Op-simp freshAbs-simp

theorem skel-Var-simp[simp]:
skel (Var xs x) = Branch Map.empty Map.empty
⟨proof⟩

lemma skel-Op-simp[simp]:
assumes goodInp inp and goodBinp binp
shows skel (Op delta inp binp) = Branch (skelInp inp) (skelBinp binp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma skelAbs-simp[simp]:
assumes good X
shows skelAbs (Abs xs x X) = Branch ( $\lambda i.$  Some (skel X)) Map.empty
⟨proof⟩

lemmas good-skelAll-simps =
skel-Op-simp skelAbs-simp

lemma psubst-Var:
assumes goodEnv rho
shows ((Var xs x) #[rho]) =
(case rho xs x of None  $\Rightarrow$  Var xs x
| Some X  $\Rightarrow$  X)
⟨proof⟩

corollary psubst-Var-simp1[simp]:
assumes goodEnv rho and rho xs x = None
shows ((Var xs x) #[rho]) = Var xs x
⟨proof⟩

corollary psubst-Var-simp2[simp]:
assumes goodEnv rho and rho xs x = Some X
shows ((Var xs x) #[rho]) = X
⟨proof⟩

lemma psubst-Op-simp[simp]:
assumes good-inp: goodInp inp goodBinp binp
and good-rho: goodEnv rho
shows
((Op delta inp binp) #[rho]) = Op delta (inp %[rho]) (binp %%[rho])
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma psubstAbs-simp[simp]:
assumes good-X: good X and good-rho: goodEnv rho and
          x-fresh-rho: freshEnv xs x rho
shows ((Abs xs x X) ${rho}) = Abs xs x (X #[rho])
⟨proof⟩

lemmas good-psubstAll-simps =
psubst-Var-simp1 psubst-Var-simp2
psubst-Op-simp psubstAbs-simp

theorem getEnv-idEnv[simp]: idEnv xs x = None
⟨proof⟩

lemma getEnv-updEnv[simp]:
(rho [x ← X]-xs) ys y = (if ys = xs ∧ y = x then Some X else rho ys y)
⟨proof⟩

theorem getEnv-updEnv1:
ys ≠ xs ∨ y ≠ x  $\implies$  (rho [x ← X]-xs) ys y = rho ys y
⟨proof⟩

theorem getEnv-updEnv2:
(rho [x ← X]-xs) xs x = Some X
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-Var-simp1[simp]:
assumes good Y
and ys ≠ xs ∨ y ≠ x
shows ((Var xs x) #[Y / y]-ys) = Var xs x
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-Var-simp2[simp]:
assumes good Y
shows ((Var xs x) #[Y / x]-xs) = Y
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-Op-simp[simp]:
assumes good Y
and goodInp inp and goodBinp binp
shows
((Op delta inp binp) #[Y / y]-ys) =
Op delta (inp %[Y / y]-ys) (binp %%[Y / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substAbs-simp[simp]:
assumes good Y and good-X: good X and
          x-dif-y: xs ≠ ys ∨ x ≠ y and x-fresh: fresh xs x Y
shows ((Abs xs x X) ${Y / y}-ys) = Abs xs x (X #[Y / y]-ys)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemmas good-substAll-simps =
subst-Var-simp1 subst-Var-simp2
subst-Op-simp substAbs-simp
```

```
theorem vsubst-Var-simp[simp]:
((Var xs x) #[y1 // y]-ys) = Var xs (x @xs[y1 / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma vsubst-Op-simp[simp]:
assumes goodInp inp and goodBinp binp
shows ((Op delta inp binp) #[y1 // y]-ys) =
Op delta (inp %[y1 // y]-ys) (binp %%[y1 // y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma vsubstAbs-simp[simp]:
assumes good X and
xs ≠ ys ∨ x ∉ {y,y1}
shows ((Abs xs x X) $[y1 // y]-ys) = Abs xs x (X #[y1 // y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas good-vsubstAll-simps =
vsubst-Op-simp vsubstAbs-simp
```

```
lemmas good-allopers-simps =
good-swapAll-simps
good-freshAll-simps
good-skelAll-simps
good-psubstAll-simps
good-substAll-simps
good-vsubstAll-simps
```

5.5.2 The ability to pick fresh variables

```
lemma single-non-fresh-ordLess-var:
good X ⟹ |{x. ¬ fresh xs x X}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma single-non-freshAbs-ordLess-var:
goodAbs A ⟹ |{x. ¬ freshAbs xs x A}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma obtain-fresh1:
fixes XS::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term set and
Rho::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env set and rho
assumes Vvar: |V| < o |UNIV :: 'var set| ∨ finite V and XSvar: |XS| < o |UNIV
:: 'var set| ∨ finite XS and
```

```

good:  $\forall X \in XS. \text{good } X \text{ and }$ 
Rhovar:  $|Rho| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| \vee \text{finite } Rho$  and RhoGood:  $\forall rho \in Rho. \text{goodEnv } rho$ 
shows
 $\exists z. z \notin V \wedge$ 
 $(\forall X \in XS. \text{fresh } xs z X) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall rho \in Rho. \text{freshEnv } xs z rho)$ 
{proof}

lemma obtain-fresh:
fixes  $V :: \text{'var set}$  and
 $XS :: (\text{'index}, \text{'bindex}, \text{'varSort}, \text{'var}, \text{'opSym}) \text{term set}$  and
 $AS :: (\text{'index}, \text{'bindex}, \text{'varSort}, \text{'var}, \text{'opSym}) \text{abs set}$  and
 $Rho :: (\text{'index}, \text{'bindex}, \text{'varSort}, \text{'var}, \text{'opSym}) \text{env set}$ 
assumes  $V\text{var}: |V| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| \vee \text{finite } V$  and
 $X\text{Svar}: |XS| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| \vee \text{finite } XS$  and
 $A\text{Svar}: |AS| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| \vee \text{finite } AS$  and
Rhovar:  $|Rho| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| \vee \text{finite } Rho$  and
good:  $\forall X \in XS. \text{good } X$  and
ASGood:  $\forall A \in AS. \text{goodAbs } A$  and
RhoGood:  $\forall rho \in Rho. \text{goodEnv } rho$ 
shows
 $\exists z. z \notin V \wedge$ 
 $(\forall X \in XS. \text{fresh } xs z X) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall A \in AS. \text{freshAbs } xs z A) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall rho \in Rho. \text{freshEnv } xs z rho)$ 
{proof}

```

5.5.3 Compositionality

```

lemma swap-ident[simp]:
assumes good X
shows  $(X \# [x \wedge x] - xs) = X$ 
{proof}

lemma swap-compose:
assumes good-X: good X
shows  $((X \# [x \wedge y] - zs) \# [x' \wedge y'] - zs') =$ 
 $((X \# [x' \wedge y'] - zs') \# [(x @ zs[x' \wedge y'] - zs') \wedge (y @ zs[x' \wedge y'] - zs')] - zs)$ 
{proof}

lemma swap-commute:
 $[\text{good } X; zs \neq zs' \vee \{x, y\} \cap \{x', y'\} = \{\}] \implies$ 
 $((X \# [x \wedge y] - zs) \# [x' \wedge y'] - zs') = ((X \# [x' \wedge y'] - zs') \# [x \wedge y] - zs)$ 
{proof}

lemma swap-involutive[simp]:
assumes good-X: good X
shows  $((X \# [x \wedge y] - zs) \# [x \wedge y] - zs) = X$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem swap-sym: $(X \#[x \wedge y]\text{-zs}) = (X \#[y \wedge x]\text{-zs})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma swap-involutive2[simp]:

assumes good X
shows $((X \#[x \wedge y]\text{-zs}) \#[y \wedge x]\text{-zs}) = X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma swap-preserves-fresh[simp]:

assumes good X
shows fresh xs $(x @xs[y1 \wedge y2]\text{-ys}) (X \#[y1 \wedge y2]\text{-ys}) = \text{fresh xs } x X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma swap-preserves-fresh-distinct:

assumes good X **and**
 $xs \neq ys \vee x \notin \{y1, y2\}$
shows fresh xs x $(X \#[y1 \wedge y2]\text{-ys}) = \text{fresh xs } x X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fresh-swap-exchange1:

assumes good X
shows fresh xs x2 $(X \#[x1 \wedge x2]\text{-xs}) = \text{fresh xs } x1 X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fresh-swap-exchange2:

assumes good X **and** $\{x1, x2\} \subseteq \text{var xs}$
shows fresh xs x2 $(X \#[x2 \wedge x1]\text{-xs}) = \text{fresh xs } x1 X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fresh-swap-id[simp]:

assumes good X **and** fresh xs x1 X fresh xs x2 X
shows $(X \#[x1 \wedge x2]\text{-xs}) = X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma freshAbs-swapAbs-id[simp]:

assumes goodAbs A freshAbs xs x1 A freshAbs xs x2 A
shows $(A \$[x1 \wedge x2]\text{-xs}) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fresh-swap-compose:

assumes good X fresh xs y X fresh xs z X
shows $((X \#[y \wedge x]\text{-xs}) \#[z \wedge y]\text{-xs}) = (X \#[z \wedge x]\text{-xs})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma skel-swap:

```

assumes good X
shows skel (X #[x1 ∧ x2]-xs) = skel X
⟨proof⟩

```

5.5.4 Compositionality for environments

```

lemma swapEnv-ident[simp]:
assumes goodEnv rho
shows (rho &[x ∧ x]-xs) = rho
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma swapEnv-compose:
assumes good: goodEnv rho
shows ((rho &[x ∧ y]-zs) &[x' ∧ y']-zs') =
      ((rho &[x' ∧ y']-zs') &[(x @zs[x' ∧ y']-zs') ∧ (y @zs[x' ∧ y']-zs')]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma swapEnv-commute:
[goodEnv rho; {x,y} ⊆ var zs; zs ≠ zs' ∨ {x,y} ∩ {x',y'} = {}] ⇒
((rho &[x ∧ y]-zs) &[x' ∧ y']-zs') = ((rho &[x' ∧ y']-zs') &[x ∧ y]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma swapEnv-involutive[simp]:
assumes goodEnv rho
shows ((rho &[x ∧ y]-zs) &[x ∧ y]-zs) = rho
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem swapEnv-sym: (rho &[x ∧ y]-zs) = (rho &[y ∧ x]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma swapEnv-involutive2[simp]:
assumes good: goodEnv rho
shows ((rho &[x ∧ y]-zs) &[y ∧ x]-zs) = rho
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma swapEnv-preserves-freshEnv[simp]:
assumes good: goodEnv rho
shows freshEnv xs (x @xs[y1 ∧ y2]-ys) (rho &[y1 ∧ y2]-ys) = freshEnv xs x rho
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma swapEnv-preserves-freshEnv-distinct:
assumes goodEnv rho and
      xs ≠ ys ∨ x ∉ {y1,y2}
shows freshEnv xs x (rho &[y1 ∧ y2]-ys) = freshEnv xs x rho
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma freshEnv-swapEnv-exchange1:
assumes goodEnv rho
shows freshEnv xs x2 (rho &[x1 ∧ x2]-xs) = freshEnv xs x1 rho

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma freshEnv-swapEnv-exchange2:  
assumes goodEnv rho  
shows freshEnv xs x2 (rho &[x2 ∧ x1]-xs) = freshEnv xs x1 rho  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma freshEnv-swapEnv-id[simp]:  
assumes good: goodEnv rho and  
fresh: freshEnv xs x1 rho freshEnv xs x2 rho  
shows (rho &[x1 ∧ x2]-xs) = rho  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma freshEnv-swapEnv-compose:  
assumes good: goodEnv rho and  
fresh: freshEnv xs y rho freshEnv xs z rho  
shows ((rho &[y ∧ x]-xs) &[z ∧ y]-xs) = (rho &[z ∧ x]-xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemmas good-swapAll-freshAll-otherSimps =  
swap-ident swap-involutive swap-involutive2 swap-preserves-fresh fresh-swap-id  
freshAbs-swapAbs-id  
swapEnv-ident swapEnv-involutive swapEnv-involutive2 swapEnv-preserves-freshEnv  
freshEnv-swapEnv-id
```

5.5.5 Properties of the relation of being swapped

```
theorem swap-swapped: (X, X #[x ∧ y]-zs) ∈ swapped  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma swapped-preserves-good:  
assumes good X and (X, Y) ∈ swapped  
shows good Y  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma swapped-skel:  
assumes good X and (X, Y) ∈ swapped  
shows skel Y = skel X  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma obtain-rep:  
assumes GOOD: good X and FRESH: fresh xs x' X  
shows ∃ X'. (X, X') ∈ swapped ∧ good X' ∧ Abs xs x X = Abs xs x' X'  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

5.6 Induction

5.6.1 Induction lifted from quasi-terms

```
lemma term-templateInduct[case-names rel Var Op Abs]:
```

```

fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and
A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and phi phiAbs rel
assumes
rel:  $\bigwedge X Y. \llbracket \text{good } X; (X, Y) \in \text{rel} \rrbracket \implies \text{good } Y \wedge \text{skel } Y = \text{skel } X$  and
var:  $\bigwedge xs x. \text{phi } (\text{Var } xs x)$  and
op:  $\bigwedge \text{delta inp binp}. \llbracket \text{goodInp } \text{inp}; \text{goodBinp } \text{binp}; \text{liftAll } \text{phi } \text{inp}; \text{liftAll } \text{phiAbs } \text{binp} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{phi } (\text{Op } \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp})$  and
abs:  $\bigwedge xs x X. \llbracket \text{good } X; \bigwedge Y. (X, Y) \in \text{rel} \implies \text{phi } Y \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{phiAbs } (\text{Abs } xs x X)$ 
shows ( $\text{good } X \rightarrow \text{phi } X$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\text{goodAbs } A \rightarrow \text{phiAbs } A$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma term-rawInduct[case-names Var Op Abs]:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and
A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and phi phiAbs
assumes
Var:  $\bigwedge xs x. \text{phi } (\text{Var } xs x)$  and
Op:  $\bigwedge \text{delta inp binp}. \llbracket \text{goodInp } \text{inp}; \text{goodBinp } \text{binp}; \text{liftAll } \text{phi } \text{inp}; \text{liftAll } \text{phiAbs } \text{binp} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{phi } (\text{Op } \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp})$  and
Abs:  $\bigwedge xs x X. \llbracket \text{good } X; \text{phi } X \rrbracket \implies \text{phiAbs } (\text{Abs } xs x X)$ 
shows ( $\text{good } X \rightarrow \text{phi } X$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\text{goodAbs } A \rightarrow \text{phiAbs } A$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma term-induct[case-names Var Op Abs]:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and
A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and phi phiAbs
assumes
Var:  $\bigwedge xs x. \text{phi } (\text{Var } xs x)$  and
Op:  $\bigwedge \text{delta inp binp}. \llbracket \text{goodInp } \text{inp}; \text{goodBinp } \text{binp}; \text{liftAll } \text{phi } \text{inp}; \text{liftAll } \text{phiAbs } \text{binp} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{phi } (\text{Op } \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp})$  and
Abs:  $\bigwedge xs x X. \llbracket \text{good } X;$ 
 $\bigwedge Y. (X, Y) \in \text{swapped} \implies \text{phi } Y;$ 
 $\bigwedge Y. \llbracket \text{good } Y; \text{skel } Y = \text{skel } X \rrbracket \implies \text{phi } Y$ 
 $\implies \text{phiAbs } (\text{Abs } xs x X)$ 
shows ( $\text{good } X \rightarrow \text{phi } X$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\text{goodAbs } A \rightarrow \text{phiAbs } A$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

5.6.2 Fresh induction

First a general situation, where parameters are of an unspecified type (that should be given by the user):

```

lemma term-fresh-forall-induct[case-names PAR Var Op Abs]:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs
and phi and phiAbs and varsOf :: 'param  $\Rightarrow$  'varSort  $\Rightarrow$  'var set
assumes

```

$PAR: \bigwedge p \text{ } xs. (\lvert \text{varsOf } xs \text{ } p \rvert < o \lvert \text{UNIV}::'var \text{ set} \rvert) \text{ and}$
 $\text{var: } \bigwedge xs \text{ } x \text{ } p. \text{phi} (\text{Var } xs \text{ } x) \text{ } p \text{ and}$
 $\text{op: } \bigwedge \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp } p.$
 $\quad [\lvert \{i. \text{inp } i \neq \text{None}\} \rvert < o \lvert \text{UNIV}::'var \text{ set} \rvert; \lvert \{i. \text{binp } i \neq \text{None}\} \rvert < o \lvert \text{UNIV}::'var \text{ set} \rvert;]$
 $\quad liftAll (\lambda X. \text{good } X \wedge (\forall q. \text{phi } X \text{ } p)) \text{ } \text{inp}; liftAll (\lambda A. \text{goodAbs } A \wedge (\forall q. \text{phiAbs } A \text{ } p)) \text{ } \text{binp}]$
 $\implies \text{phi} (\text{Op } \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp}) \text{ } p \text{ and}$
 $\text{abs: } \bigwedge xs \text{ } x \text{ } X \text{ } p. [\text{good } X; x \notin \text{varsOf } p \text{ } xs; \text{phi } X \text{ } p] \implies \text{phiAbs} (\text{Abs } xs \text{ } x \text{ } X) \text{ } p$
shows ($\text{good } X \longrightarrow (\forall p. \text{phi } X \text{ } p)$) \wedge ($\text{goodAbs } A \longrightarrow (\forall p. \text{phiAbs } A \text{ } p)$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *term-templateInduct-fresh*[case-names PAR Var Op Abs]:
fixes $X::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)\text{term}$ **and**
 $A::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)\text{abs}$ **and**
 rel **and** phi **and** phiAbs **and**
 $vars :: 'varSort \Rightarrow 'var \text{ set}$ **and**
 $terms :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)\text{term set}$ **and**
 $abs :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)\text{abs set}$ **and**
 $envs :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)\text{env set}$
assumes
 $PAR:$
 $\bigwedge xs.$
 $(\lvert \text{vars } xs \rvert < o \lvert \text{UNIV} :: 'var \text{ set} \rvert \vee \text{finite } (\text{vars } xs)) \wedge$
 $(\lvert \text{terms} \rvert < o \lvert \text{UNIV} :: 'var \text{ set} \rvert \vee \text{finite terms}) \wedge (\forall X \in \text{terms}. \text{good } X) \wedge$
 $(\lvert \text{abs} \rvert < o \lvert \text{UNIV} :: 'var \text{ set} \rvert \vee \text{finite abs}) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{abs}. \text{goodAbs } A) \wedge$
 $(\lvert \text{envs} \rvert < o \lvert \text{UNIV} :: 'var \text{ set} \rvert \vee \text{finite envs}) \wedge (\forall rho \in \text{envs}. \text{goodEnv } rho) \text{ and}$
 $rel: \bigwedge X \text{ } Y. [\text{good } X; (X,Y) \in rel] \implies \text{good } Y \wedge \text{skel } Y = \text{skel } X \text{ and}$
 $\text{Var: } \bigwedge xs \text{ } x. \text{phi} (\text{Var } xs \text{ } x) \text{ and}$
 $Op:$
 $\bigwedge \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp}.$
 $\quad [\text{goodInp } \text{inp}; \text{goodBinp } \text{binp};$
 $\quad liftAll \text{phi } \text{inp}; liftAll \text{phiAbs } \text{binp}]$
 $\implies \text{phi} (\text{Op } \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp}) \text{ and}$
 $abs:$
 $\bigwedge xs \text{ } x \text{ } X.$
 $\quad [\text{good } X;$
 $\quad x \notin \text{vars } xs;$
 $\quad \bigwedge Y. Y \in \text{terms} \implies \text{fresh } xs \text{ } x \text{ } Y;$
 $\quad \bigwedge A. A \in \text{abs} \implies \text{freshAbs } xs \text{ } x \text{ } A;$
 $\quad \bigwedge rho. rho \in \text{envs} \implies \text{freshEnv } xs \text{ } x \text{ } rho;$
 $\quad \bigwedge Y. (X,Y) \in \text{rel} \implies \text{phi } Y]$
 $\implies \text{phiAbs} (\text{Abs } xs \text{ } x \text{ } X)$
shows
 $(\text{good } X \longrightarrow \text{phi } X) \wedge$
 $(\text{goodAbs } A \longrightarrow \text{phiAbs } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A version of the above not employing any relation for the bound-argument

case:

```

lemma term-rawInduct-fresh[case-names Par Var Op Obs]:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and
    A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and
    vars :: 'varSort  $\Rightarrow$  'var set and
    terms :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term set and
    abs :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs set and
    envs :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env set
assumes
  PAR:
 $\wedge$  xs.
  ( $|vars\ xs| < o$   $|UNIV :: 'var\ set| \vee finite\ (vars\ xs)$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $|terms| < o$   $|UNIV :: 'var\ set| \vee finite\ terms$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall X \in terms.$  good  $X$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $|abs| < o$   $|UNIV :: 'var\ set| \vee finite\ abs$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall A \in abs.$  goodAbs  $A$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $|envs| < o$   $|UNIV :: 'var\ set| \vee finite\ envs$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall rho \in envs.$  goodEnv  $rho$ ) and
  Var:  $\wedge$  xs x. phi (Var xs x) and
  Op:
 $\wedge$  delta inp binp.
   $\llbracket$ goodInp inp; goodBinp binp;
    liftAll phi inp; liftAll phiAbs binp $\rrbracket$ 
   $\implies$  phi (Op delta inp binp) and
  Abs:
 $\wedge$  xs x X.
   $\llbracket$ good X;
   $x \notin vars\ xs;$ 
   $\wedge$  Y.  $Y \in terms \implies fresh\ xs\ x\ Y;$ 
   $\wedge$  A.  $A \in abs \implies freshAbs\ xs\ x\ A;$ 
   $\wedge$  rho.  $rho \in envs \implies freshEnv\ xs\ x\ rho;$ 
  phi X $\rrbracket$ 
   $\implies$  phiAbs (Abs xs x X)
shows
  (good X  $\longrightarrow$  phi X)  $\wedge$ 
  (goodAbs A  $\longrightarrow$  phiAbs A)
  {proof}

```

The typical raw induction with freshness is one dealing with finitely many variables, terms, abstractions and environments as parameters – we have all these condensed in the notion of a parameter (type constructor “param”):

```

lemma term-induct-fresh[case-names Par Var Op Abs]:
fixes X :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and
    A :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and
    P :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)param
assumes
  goodP: goodPar P and
  Var:  $\wedge$  xs x. phi (Var xs x) and
  Op:
 $\wedge$  delta inp binp.
   $\llbracket$ goodInp inp; goodBinp binp;
    liftAll phi inp; liftAll phiAbs binp $\rrbracket$ 

```

```

 $\implies \text{phi } (\text{Op } \delta \text{ inp } b\text{inp}) \text{ and}$ 
Abs:
 $\wedge \text{xs } x \text{ X}.$ 
 $\llbracket \text{good } X;$ 
 $x \notin \text{varsOf } P;$ 
 $\wedge \text{Y. Y} \in \text{termsOf } P \implies \text{fresh } \text{xs } x \text{ Y};$ 
 $\wedge \text{A. A} \in \text{absOf } P \implies \text{freshAbs } \text{xs } x \text{ A};$ 
 $\wedge \rho. \rho \in \text{envsOf } P \implies \text{freshEnv } \text{xs } x \text{ rho};$ 
 $\text{phi } X \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{phiAbs } (\text{Abs } \text{xs } x \text{ X})$ 
shows
 $(\text{good } X \longrightarrow \text{phi } X) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{goodAbs } A \longrightarrow \text{phiAbs } A)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

6 More on Terms

```

theory Terms imports Transition-QuasiTerms-Terms
begin

```

In this section, we continue the study of terms, with stating and proving properties specific to terms (while in the previous section we dealt with lifting properties from quasi-terms). Consequently, in this theory, not only the theorems, but neither the proofs should mention quasi-items at all. Among the properties specific to terms will be the compositionality properties of substitution (while, by contrast, similar properties of swapping also held for quasi-items).

```

context FixVars
begin

declare qItem-simps[simp del]
declare qItem-versus-item-simps[simp del]

```

6.1 Identity environment versus other operators

```

theorem getEnv-updEnv-idEnv[simp]:
(idEnv [x ← X]-xs) ys y = (if (ys = xs ∧ y = x) then Some X else None)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem subst-psubst-idEnv:
(X #[Y / y]-ys) = (X #[idEnv [y ← Y]-ys])
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem vsubst-psubst-idEnv:

```

$(X \#[z // y]\text{-}ys) = (X \#[idEnv [y \leftarrow Var ys z]\text{-}ys])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *substEnv-psubstEnv-idEnv*:
 $(\rho \& [Y / y]\text{-}ys) = (\rho \& [idEnv [y \leftarrow Y]\text{-}ys])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *vsubstEnv-psubstEnv-idEnv*:
 $(\rho \& [z // y]\text{-}ys) = (\rho \& [idEnv [y \leftarrow Var ys z]\text{-}ys])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *freshEnv-idEnv*: *freshEnv xs x idEnv*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *swapEnv-idEnv[simp]*: $(idEnv \& [x \wedge y]\text{-}xs) = idEnv$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *psubstEnv-idEnv[simp]*: $(idEnv \& [\rho]) = \rho$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *substEnv-idEnv*: $(idEnv \& [X / x]\text{-}xs) = (idEnv [x \leftarrow X]\text{-}xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *vsubstEnv-idEnv*: $(idEnv \& [y // x]\text{-}xs) = (idEnv [x \leftarrow (Var xs y)]\text{-}xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *psubstAll-idEnv*:
fixes $X::('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) term$ **and**
 $A::('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) abs$
shows
 $(good X \longrightarrow (X \#[idEnv]) = X) \wedge$
 $(goodAbs A \longrightarrow (A \$[idEnv]) = A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *psubst-idEnv[simp]*:
 $good X \implies (X \#[idEnv]) = X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *psubstEnv-idEnv-id[simp]*:
assumes *goodEnv rho*
shows $(\rho \& [idEnv]) = \rho$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2 Environment update versus other operators

theorem *updEnv-overwrite[simp]*: $((\rho [x \leftarrow X]\text{-}xs) [x \leftarrow X']\text{-}xs) = (\rho [x \leftarrow X']\text{-}xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *updEnv-commute*:
assumes $xs \neq ys \vee x \neq y$
shows $((rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs) [y \leftarrow Y]-ys) = ((rho [y \leftarrow Y]-ys) [x \leftarrow X]-xs)$
(proof)

theorem *freshEnv-updEnv-E1*:
assumes *freshEnv xs y* $(rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs)$
shows $y \neq x$
(proof)

theorem *freshEnv-updEnv-E2*:
assumes *freshEnv ys y* $(rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs)$
shows *fresh ys y X*
(proof)

theorem *freshEnv-updEnv-E3*:
assumes *freshEnv ys y* $(rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs)$
shows $rho ys y = None$
(proof)

theorem *freshEnv-updEnv-E4*:
assumes *freshEnv ys y* $(rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs)$
and $zs \neq xs \vee z \neq x$ **and** $rho zs z = Some Z$
shows *fresh ys y Z*
(proof)

theorem *freshEnv-updEnv-I*:
assumes $ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x$ **and** *fresh ys y X* **and** $rho ys y = None$
and $\bigwedge zs z. [zs \neq xs \vee z \neq x; rho zs z = Some Z] \implies fresh ys y Z$
shows *freshEnv ys y* $(rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs)$
(proof)

theorem *swapEnv-updEnv*:
 $((rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs) \& [y1 \wedge y2]-ys) =$
 $((rho \& [y1 \wedge y2]-ys) [(x @xs[y1 \wedge y2]-ys) \leftarrow (X \# [y1 \wedge y2]-ys)]-xs)$
(proof)

lemma *swapEnv-updEnv-fresh*:
assumes $ys \neq xs \vee x \notin \{y1, y2\}$ **and** *good X*
and *fresh ys y1 X* **and** *fresh ys y2 X*
shows $((rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs) \& [y1 \wedge y2]-ys) =$
 $((rho \& [y1 \wedge y2]-ys) [x \leftarrow X]-xs)$
(proof)

theorem *psubstEnv-updEnv*:
 $((rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs) \& [rho']) = ((rho \& [rho']) [x \leftarrow (X \# [rho'])]-xs)$
(proof)

theorem *psubstEnv-updEnv-idEnv*:

$((idEnv [x \leftarrow X]-xs) \&[rho]) = (rho [x \leftarrow (X \#[rho])-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *substEnv-updEnv*:

$((rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs) \&[Y / y]-ys) = ((rho \&[Y / y]-ys) [x \leftarrow (X \#[Y / y]-ys)]-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *vsubstEnv-updEnv*:

$((rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs) \&[y1 // y]-ys) = ((rho \&[y1 // y]-ys) [x \leftarrow (X \#[y1 // y]-ys)]-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.3 Environment “get” versus other operators

Currently, “get” is just function application. While the next properties are immediate consequences of the definitions, it is worth stating them because of their abstract character (since later, concrete terms inferred from abstract terms by a presumptive package, “get” will no longer be function application).

theorem *getEnv-ext*:

assumes $\bigwedge xs x. rho xs x = rho' xs x$
shows $rho = rho'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *freshEnv-getEnv1[simp]*:

$\llbracket freshEnv ys y rho; rho xs x = Some X \rrbracket \implies ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *freshEnv-getEnv2[simp]*:

$\llbracket freshEnv ys y rho; rho xs x = Some X \rrbracket \implies fresh ys y X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *freshEnv-getEnv[simp]*:

$freshEnv ys y rho \implies rho ys y = None$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *getEnv-swapEnv1[simp]*:

assumes $rho xs (x @xs [z1 \wedge z2]-zs) = None$
shows $(rho \&[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) xs x = None$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *getEnv-swapEnv2[simp]*:

assumes $rho xs (x @xs [z1 \wedge z2]-zs) = Some X$
shows $(rho \&[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) xs x = Some (X \#[z1 \wedge z2]-zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *getEnv-psubstEnv-None[simp]*:

assumes $rho xs x = None$

```

shows ( $\rho \& [\rho']$ )  $xs\ x = \rho' xs\ x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $getEnv\text{-}psubstEnv\text{-}Some[simp]$ :
assumes  $\rho\ xs\ x = Some\ X$ 
shows ( $\rho \& [\rho']$ )  $xs\ x = Some\ (X \# [\rho'])$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $getEnv\text{-}substEnv1[simp]$ :
assumes  $ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x$  and  $\rho\ xs\ x = None$ 
shows ( $\rho \& [Y / y]\text{-}ys$ )  $xs\ x = None$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $getEnv\text{-}substEnv2[simp]$ :
assumes  $ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x$  and  $\rho\ xs\ x = Some\ X$ 
shows ( $\rho \& [Y / y]\text{-}ys$ )  $xs\ x = Some\ (X \# [Y / y]\text{-}ys)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $getEnv\text{-}substEnv3[simp]$ :
 $\llbracket ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x; freshEnv\ xs\ x\ \rho \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies (\rho \& [Y / y]\text{-}ys)\ xs\ x = None$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $getEnv\text{-}substEnv4[simp]$ :
 $freshEnv\ ys\ y\ \rho \implies (\rho \& [Y / y]\text{-}ys)\ ys\ y = Some\ Y$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $getEnv\text{-}vsubstEnv1[simp]$ :
assumes  $ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x$  and  $\rho\ xs\ x = None$ 
shows ( $\rho \& [y1 // y]\text{-}ys$ )  $xs\ x = None$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $getEnv\text{-}vsubstEnv2[simp]$ :
assumes  $ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x$  and  $\rho\ xs\ x = Some\ X$ 
shows ( $\rho \& [y1 // y]\text{-}ys$ )  $xs\ x = Some\ (X \# [y1 // y]\text{-}ys)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $getEnv\text{-}vsubstEnv3[simp]$ :
 $\llbracket ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x; freshEnv\ xs\ x\ \rho \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies (\rho \& [z // y]\text{-}ys)\ xs\ x = None$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $getEnv\text{-}vsubstEnv4[simp]$ :
 $freshEnv\ ys\ y\ \rho \implies (\rho \& [z // y]\text{-}ys)\ ys\ y = Some\ (Var\ ys\ z)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

6.4 Substitution versus other operators

definition $freshEnvAt ::$

```

'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env ⇒ 'varSort ⇒ 'var
⇒ bool
where
freshImEnvAt xs x rho ys y ==
  rho ys y = None ∧ (ys ≠ xs ∨ y ≠ x) ∨
  (∃ Y. rho ys y = Some Y ∧ fresh xs x Y)

lemma freshAll-psubstAll:
fixes X::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and
  A::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and
  P::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)param and x
assumes goodP: goodPar P
shows
(good X → z ∈ varsOf P →
  (∀ rho ∈ envsOf P.
    fresh zs z (X #[rho]) =
    (∀ ys. ∀ y. fresh ys y X ∨ freshImEnvAt zs z rho ys y)))
∧
(goodAbs A → z ∈ varsOf P →
  (∀ rho ∈ envsOf P.
    freshAbs zs z (A $[rho]) =
    (∀ ys. ∀ y. freshAbs ys y A ∨ freshImEnvAt zs z rho ys y)))
⟨proof⟩

corollary fresh-psubst:
assumes good X and goodEnv rho
shows
fresh zs z (X #[rho]) =
  (∀ ys y. fresh ys y X ∨ freshImEnvAt zs z rho ys y)
⟨proof⟩

corollary fresh-psubst-E1:
assumes good X and goodEnv rho
and rho ys y = None and fresh zs z (X #[rho])
shows fresh ys y X ∨ (ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z)
⟨proof⟩

corollary fresh-psubst-E2:
assumes good X and goodEnv rho
and rho ys y = Some Y and fresh zs z (X #[rho])
shows fresh ys y X ∨ fresh zs z Y
⟨proof⟩

corollary fresh-psubst-I1:
assumes good X and goodEnv rho
and fresh zs z X and freshEnv zs z rho
shows fresh zs z (X #[rho])
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary psubstEnv-preserves-freshEnv:
assumes good: goodEnv rho goodEnv rho'
and fresh: freshEnv zs z rho freshEnv zs z rho'
shows freshEnv zs z (rho &[rho'])
⟨proof⟩

corollary fresh-psubst-I:
assumes good X and goodEnv rho
and rho zs z = None  $\implies$  fresh zs z X and
 $\wedge ys y. rho ys y = Some Y \implies$  fresh ys y X  $\vee$  fresh zs z Y
shows fresh zs z (X #[rho])
⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-subst:
assumes good X and good Y
shows fresh zs z (X #[Y / y]-ys) =
 $((zs = ys \wedge z = y) \vee$  fresh zs z X)  $\wedge$  (fresh ys y X  $\vee$  fresh zs z Y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-vsubst:
assumes good X
shows fresh zs z (X #[y1 // y]-ys) =
 $((zs = ys \wedge z = y) \vee$  fresh zs z X)  $\wedge$  (fresh ys y X  $\vee$  (zs  $\neq$  ys  $\vee$  z  $\neq$  y1))
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-preserves-fresh:
assumes good X and good Y
and fresh zs z X and fresh zs z Y
shows fresh zs z (X #[Y / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substEnv-preserves-freshEnv-aux:
assumes rho: goodEnv rho and Y: good Y
and fresh-rho: freshEnv zs z rho and fresh-Y: fresh zs z Y and diff: zs  $\neq$  ys  $\vee$  z  $\neq$  y
shows freshEnv zs z (rho &[Y / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substEnv-preserves-freshEnv:
assumes rho: goodEnv rho and Y: good Y
and fresh-rho: freshEnv zs z rho and fresh-Y: fresh zs z Y and diff: zs  $\neq$  ys  $\vee$  z  $\neq$  y
shows freshEnv zs z (rho &[Y / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubst-preserves-fresh:
assumes good X
and fresh zs z X and zs  $\neq$  ys  $\vee$  z  $\neq$  y1
shows fresh zs z (X #[y1 // y]-ys)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma vsubstEnv-preserves-freshEnv:  
assumes rho: goodEnv rho  
and fresh-rho: freshEnv zs z rho and diff: zs ≠ ys ∨ z ∈ {y,y1}  
shows freshEnv zs z (rho &[y1 // y]-ys)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma fresh-fresh-subst[simp]:  
assumes good Y and good X  
and fresh ys y Y  
shows fresh ys y (X #[Y / y]-ys)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma diff-fresh-vsubst[simp]:  
assumes good X  
and y ≠ y1  
shows fresh ys y (X #[y1 // y]-ys)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma fresh-subst-E1:  
assumes good X and good Y  
and fresh zs z (X #[Y / y]-ys) and zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y  
shows fresh zs z X  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma fresh-vsubst-E1:  
assumes good X  
and fresh zs z (X #[y1 // y]-ys) and zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y  
shows fresh zs z X  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma fresh-subst-E2:  
assumes good X and good Y  
and fresh zs z (X #[Y / y]-ys)  
shows fresh ys y X ∨ fresh zs z Y  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma fresh-vsubst-E2:  
assumes good X  
and fresh zs z (X #[y1 // y]-ys)  
shows fresh ys y X ∨ zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y1  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma psubstAll-cong:  
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and  
A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and  
P::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)param  
assumes goodP: goodPar P
```

shows

(*good X* \rightarrow
 ($\forall \rho \rho'. \{\rho, \rho'\} \subseteq \text{envsOf } P \rightarrow$
 ($\forall ys. \forall y. \text{fresh } ys y X \vee \rho ys y = \rho' ys y \rightarrow$
 $(X \#[\rho]) = (X \#[\rho'])$))
 \wedge
 (*goodAbs A* \rightarrow
 ($\forall \rho \rho'. \{\rho, \rho'\} \subseteq \text{envsOf } P \rightarrow$
 ($\forall ys. \forall y. \text{freshAbs } ys y A \vee \rho ys y = \rho' ys y \rightarrow$
 $(A \$[\rho]) = (A \$[\rho'])$))
 {proof})

corollary *psubst-cong[fundef-cong]*:

assumes *good X and goodEnv rho and goodEnv rho'*
and $\wedge ys y. \text{fresh } ys y X \vee \rho ys y = \rho' ys y$
shows $(X \#[\rho]) = (X \#[\rho'])$
{proof}

lemma *fresh-psubst-updEnv*:

assumes *good X and good Y and goodEnv rho*
and *fresh xs x Y*
shows $(Y \#[\rho [x \leftarrow X]-xs]) = (Y \#[\rho])$
{proof}

lemma *psubstAll-ident*:

fixes $X :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) \text{term}$ **and**
 $A :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) \text{abs}$ **and**
 $P :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) \text{Transition-QuasiTerms-Terms.param}$
assumes $P: \text{goodPar } P$
shows
(*good X* \rightarrow
 ($\forall \rho \in \text{envsOf } P.$
 ($\forall zs z. \text{freshEnv } zs z \rho \vee \text{fresh } zs z X$
 $\rightarrow (X \#[\rho]) = X)$)
 \wedge
 (*goodAbs A* \rightarrow
 ($\forall \rho \in \text{envsOf } P.$
 ($\forall zs z. \text{freshEnv } zs z \rho \vee \text{freshAbs } zs z A$
 $\rightarrow (A \$[\rho]) = A)$)
 {proof})

corollary *freshEnv-psubst-ident[simp]*:

fixes $X :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym) \text{term}$
assumes *good X and goodEnv rho*
and $\wedge zs z. \text{freshEnv } zs z \rho \vee \text{fresh } zs z X$
shows $(X \#[\rho]) = X$
{proof}

```

lemma fresh-subst-ident[simp]:
assumes good X and good Y and fresh xs x Y
shows (Y #[X / x]-xs) = Y
⟨proof⟩

corollary substEnv-updEnv-fresh:
assumes good X and good Y and fresh ys y X
shows ((rho [x ← X]-xs) &[Y / y]-ys) = ((rho &[Y / y]-ys) [x ← X]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-substEnv-updEnv[simp]:
assumes rho: goodEnv rho and Y: good Y
and *: freshEnv ys y rho
shows (rho &[Y / y]-ys) = (rho [y ← Y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-vsubst-ident[simp]:
assumes good Y and fresh xs x Y
shows (Y #[x1 // x]-xs) = Y
⟨proof⟩

corollary vsubstEnv-updEnv-fresh:
assumes good X and fresh ys y X
shows ((rho [x ← X]-xs) &[y1 // y]-ys) = ((rho &[y1 // y]-ys) [x ← X]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-vsubstEnv-updEnv[simp]:
assumes rho: goodEnv rho
and *: freshEnv ys y rho
shows (rho &[y1 // y]-ys) = (rho [y ← Var ys y1]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma swapAll-psubstAll:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and
A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and
P::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)param
assumes P: goodPar P
shows
(good X →
(∀ rho z1 z2. rho ∈ envsOf P ∧ {z1,z2} ⊆ varsOf P →
((X #[rho]) #[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) = ((X #[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) #[rho &[z1 ∧ z2]-zs)))
∧
(goodAbs A →
(∀ rho z1 z2. rho ∈ envsOf P ∧ {z1,z2} ⊆ varsOf P →
((A $[rho]) ${[z1 ∧ z2]-zs}) = ((A ${[z1 ∧ z2]-zs}) $[rho &[z1 ∧ z2]-zs])))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma swap-psubst:
assumes good X and goodEnv rho
shows ((X #[rho]) #[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) = ((X #[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) #[rho &[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs))
<proof>

lemma swap-subst:
assumes good X and good Y
shows ((X #[Y / y]-ys) #[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) =
    ((X #[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) #[(Y #[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) / (y @ys[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs)]-ys)
<proof>

lemma swap-vsubst:
assumes good X
shows ((X #[y1 // y]-ys) #[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) =
    ((X #[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) #[(y1 @ys[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) // (y @ys[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs)]-ys)
<proof>

lemma swapEnv-psubstEnv:
assumes goodEnv rho and goodEnv rho'
shows ((rho &[rho']) &[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) = ((rho &[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) &[rho' &[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs])
<proof>

lemma swapEnv-substEnv:
assumes good Y and goodEnv rho
shows ((rho &[Y / y]-ys) &[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) =
    ((rho &[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) &[(Y #[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) / (y @ys[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs)]-ys)
<proof>

lemma swapEnv-vsubstEnv:
assumes goodEnv rho
shows ((rho &[y1 / y]-ys) &[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) =
    ((rho &[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) &[(y1 @ys[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) // (y @ys[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs)]-ys)
<proof>

lemma psubstAll-compose:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and
        A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and
        P::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)param
assumes P: goodPar P
shows
  (good X  $\longrightarrow$ 
   ( $\forall$  rho rho'. {rho,rho'}  $\subseteq$  envsOf P  $\longrightarrow$  ((X #[rho]) #[rho']) = (X #[(rho &[rho'])])))
 $\wedge$ 
  (goodAbs A  $\longrightarrow$ 
   ( $\forall$  rho rho'. {rho,rho'}  $\subseteq$  envsOf P  $\longrightarrow$  ((A $[rho]) $[rho']) = (A $[(rho &[rho'])])))
<proof>

```

corollary *psubst-compose*:
assumes *good X and goodEnv rho and goodEnv rho'*
shows $((X \#[rho]) \#[\rho']) = (X \#[(\rho \& [\rho'])])$
(proof)

lemma *psubstEnv-compose*:
assumes *goodEnv rho and goodEnv rho' and goodEnv rho''*
shows $((\rho \& [\rho']) \& [\rho'']) = (\rho \& [(\rho' \& [\rho''])])$
(proof)

lemma *psubst-subst-compose*:
assumes *good X and good Y and goodEnv rho*
shows $((X \#[Y / y]-ys) \#[\rho]) = (X \#[(\rho [y \leftarrow (Y \#[\rho])-ys)])])$
(proof)

lemma *psubstEnv-substEnv-compose*:
assumes *goodEnv rho and good Y and goodEnv rho'*
shows $((\rho \& [Y / y]-ys) \& [\rho']) = (\rho \& [(\rho' [y \leftarrow (Y \#[\rho'])]-ys)])$
(proof)

lemma *psubst-vsubst-compose*:
assumes *good X and goodEnv rho*
shows $((X \#[y1 // y]-ys) \#[\rho]) = (X \#[(\rho [y \leftarrow ((Var ys y1) \#[\rho])-ys)])])$
(proof)

lemma *psubstEnv-vsubstEnv-compose*:
assumes *goodEnv rho and goodEnv rho'*
shows $((\rho \& [y1 // y]-ys) \& [\rho']) = (\rho \& [(\rho' [y \leftarrow ((Var ys y1) \#[\rho'])]-ys)])$
(proof)

lemma *subst-psubst-compose*:
assumes *good X and good Y and goodEnv rho*
shows $((X \#[rho]) \#[Y / y]-ys) = (X \#[(\rho \& [Y / y]-ys)])$
(proof)

lemma *substEnv-psubstEnv-compose*:
assumes *goodEnv rho and good Y and goodEnv rho'*
shows $((\rho \& [\rho']) \& [Y / y]-ys) = (\rho \& [(\rho' \& [Y / y]-ys)])$
(proof)

lemma *psubst-subst-compose-freshEnv*:
assumes *goodEnv rho and good X and good Y*
assumes *freshEnv ys y rho*
shows $((X \#[Y / y]-ys) \#[\rho]) = ((X \#[\rho]) \#[(Y \#[\rho]) / y]-ys)$
(proof)

lemma *psubstEnv-substEnv-compose-freshEnv*:
assumes *goodEnv rho and goodEnv rho' and good Y*
assumes *freshEnv ys y rho'*

```

shows (( $\rho \& [Y / y]$ -ys) &[ $\rho'$ ]) = (( $\rho \& [\rho']$ ) &[( $Y \# [\rho']$ ) /  $y$ ]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubst-psubst-compose:
assumes good X and goodEnv  $\rho$ 
shows (( $X \# [\rho]$ ) #[ $y_1 // y$ ]-ys) = ( $X \# [(\rho \& [y_1 // y]$ -ys)]) 
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstEnv-psubstEnv-compose:
assumes goodEnv  $\rho$  and goodEnv  $\rho'$ 
shows (( $\rho \& [\rho']$ ) &[ $y_1 // y$ ]-ys) = ( $\rho \& [(\rho' \& [y_1 // y]$ -ys)]) 
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-compose1:
assumes good X and good Y1 and good Y2
shows (( $X \# [Y_1 / y]$ -ys) #[ $Y_2 / y$ ]-ys) = ( $X \# [(Y_1 \# [Y_2 / y]$ -ys) /  $y$ ]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substEnv-compose1:
assumes goodEnv  $\rho$  and good Y1 and good Y2
shows (( $\rho \& [Y_1 / y]$ -ys) &[ $Y_2 / y$ ]-ys) = ( $\rho \& [(Y_1 \# [Y_2 / y]$ -ys) /  $y$ ]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-vsubst-compose1:
assumes good X and good Y and  $y \neq y_1$ 
shows (( $X \# [y_1 // y]$ -ys) #[ $Y / y$ ]-ys) = ( $X \# [y_1 // y]$ -ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substEnv-vsubstEnv-compose1:
assumes goodEnv  $\rho$  and good Y and  $y \neq y_1$ 
shows (( $\rho \& [y_1 // y]$ -ys) &[ $Y / y$ ]-ys) = ( $\rho \& [y_1 // y]$ -ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubst-subst-compose1:
assumes good X and good Y
shows (( $X \# [Y / y]$ -ys) #[ $y_1 // y$ ]-ys) = ( $X \# [(Y \# [y_1 // y]$ -ys) /  $y$ ]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstEnv-substEnv-compose1:
assumes goodEnv  $\rho$  and good Y
shows (( $\rho \& [Y / y]$ -ys) &[ $y_1 // y$ ]-ys) = ( $\rho \& [(Y \# [y_1 // y]$ -ys) /  $y$ ]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubst-compose1:
assumes good X
shows (( $X \# [y_1 // y]$ -ys) #[ $y_2 // y$ ]-ys) = ( $X \# [(y_1 @ ys[y_2 / y]$ -ys) //  $y$ ]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstEnv-compose1:

```

```

assumes goodEnv rho
shows ((rho &[y1 // y]-ys) &[y2 // y]-ys) = (rho &[(y1 @ys[y2 / y]-ys) // y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-compose2:
assumes good X and good Y and good Z
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z
shows ((X #[Y / y]-ys) #[Z / z]-zs) = ((X #[Z / z]-zs) #[(Y #[Z / z]-zs) /
y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substEnv-compose2:
assumes goodEnv rho and good Y and good Z
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z
shows ((rho &[Y / y]-ys) &[Z / z]-zs) = ((rho &[Z / z]-zs) &[(Y #[Z / z]-zs) /
y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-vsubst-compose2:
assumes good X and good Z
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z
shows ((X #[y1 // y]-ys) #[Z / z]-zs) = ((X #[Z / z]-zs) #[((Var ys y1) #[Z /
z]-zs) / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substEnv-vsubstEnv-compose2:
assumes goodEnv rho and good Z
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z
shows ((rho &[y1 // y]-ys) &[Z / z]-zs) = ((rho &[Z / z]-zs) &[((Var ys y1) #[Z /
z]-zs) / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubst-subst-compose2:
assumes good X and good Y
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∈ {z,z1}
shows ((X #[Y / y]-ys) #[z1 // z]-zs) = ((X #[z1 // z]-zs) #[(Y #[z1 // z]-zs) /
y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstEnv-substEnv-compose2:
assumes goodEnv rho and good Y
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∈ {z,z1}
shows ((rho &[Y / y]-ys) &[z1 // z]-zs) = ((rho &[z1 // z]-zs) &[(Y #[z1 // z]-zs) /
y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubst-compose2:
assumes good X
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∈ {z,z1}

```

```

shows (( $X \#[y1 // y]$ - $ys$ )  $\#[z1 // z]$ - $zs$ ) =
  (( $X \#[z1 // z]$ - $zs$ )  $\#[(y1 @ys[z1 / z]$ - $zs) // y]$ - $ys$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma vsubstEnv-compose2:
assumes goodEnv rho
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∉ {z, z1}
shows ((rho &[y1 // y]- $ys$ ) &[z1 // z]- $zs$ ) =
  ((rho &[z1 // z]- $zs$ ) &[(y1 @ys[z1 / z]- $zs) // y]$ - $ys$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

6.5 Properties specific to variable-for-variable substitution

```

lemma vsubstAll-ident:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and
  A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and
  P::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)param and zs
assumes P: goodPar P
shows
  (good X →
    (forall z. z ∈ varsOf P → (X #[z // z]- $zs$ ) = X))
  ∧
  (goodAbs A →
    (forall z. z ∈ varsOf P → (A $[z // z]- $zs$ ) = A))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

corollary vsubst-ident[simp]:
assumes good X
shows (X #[z // z]- $zs$ ) = X
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

corollary subst-ident[simp]:
assumes good X
shows (X #[(Var zs z) / z]- $zs$ ) = X
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma vsubstAll-swapAll:
fixes X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term and
  A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs and
  P::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)param and ys
assumes P: goodPar P
shows
  (good X →
    (forall y1 y2. {y1,y2} ⊆ varsOf P ∧ fresh ys y1 X →
      (X #[y1 // y2]- $ys$ ) = (X #[y1 ∧ y2]- $ys$ )))
  ∧
  (goodAbs A →
    (forall y1 y2. {y1,y2} ⊆ varsOf P ∧ freshAbs ys y1 A →
      (A $[y1 // y2]- $ys$ ) = (A $[y1 ∧ y2]- $ys$ )))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *vsubst-eq-swap*:

assumes *good X and y1 = y2 ∨ fresh ys y1 X*

shows $(X \#[y1 // y2]-ys) = (X \#[y1 \wedge y2]-ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skelAll-vsubstAll*:

fixes $X::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term$ **and**

$A::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs$ **and**

$P::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)param$ **and** ys

assumes $P: goodPar P$

shows

$(good X \rightarrow$

$(\forall y1 y2. \{y1,y2\} \subseteq varsOf P \rightarrow$

$skel(X \#[y1 // y2]-ys) = skel(X))$

\wedge

$(goodAbs A \rightarrow$

$(\forall y1 y2. \{y1,y2\} \subseteq varsOf P \rightarrow$

$skelAbs(A \$[y1 // y2]-ys) = skelAbs(A))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *skel-vsubst*:

assumes *good X*

shows $skel(X \#[y1 // y2]-ys) = skel(X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-vsubst-trans*:

assumes *good X and good Y and fresh ys y1 X*

shows $((X \#[y1 // y]-ys) \#[Y / y1]-ys) = (X \#[Y / y]-ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vsubst-trans*:

assumes *good X and fresh ys y1 X*

shows $((X \#[y1 // y]-ys) \#[y2 // y1]-ys) = (X \#[y2 // y]-ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vsubst-commute*:

assumes $X: good X$

and $xs \neq xs' \vee \{x,y\} \cap \{x',y'\} = \{\}$ **and** *fresh xs x X and fresh xs' x' X*

shows $((X \#[x // y]-xs) \#[x' // y']-xs') = ((X \#[x' // y']-xs') \#[x // y]-xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.6 Abstraction versions of the properties

Environment identity and update versus other operators:

lemma *psubstAbs-idEnv[simp]*:

$goodAbs A \implies (A \$[idEnv]) = A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Substitution versus other operators:

corollary *freshAbs-psubstAbs*:

assumes *goodAbs A* **and** *goodEnv rho*
shows

freshAbs zs z (A \$[rho]) =
 $(\forall ys y. \text{freshAbs } ys y A \vee \text{freshImEnvAt } zs z rho ys y)$
{proof}

corollary *freshAbs-psubstAbs-E1*:

assumes *goodAbs A* **and** *goodEnv rho*
and *rho ys y = None* **and** *freshAbs zs z (A \$[rho])*
shows *freshAbs ys y A* \vee (*ys* \neq *zs* \vee *y* \neq *z*)
{proof}

corollary *freshAbs-psubstAbs-E2*:

assumes *goodAbs A* **and** *goodEnv rho*
and *rho ys y = Some Y* **and** *freshAbs zs z (A \$[rho])*
shows *freshAbs ys y A* \vee *fresh zs z Y*
{proof}

corollary *freshAbs-psubstAbs-I1*:

assumes *goodAbs A* **and** *goodEnv rho*
and *freshAbs zs z A* **and** *freshEnv zs z rho*
shows *freshAbs zs z (A \$[rho])*
{proof}

corollary *freshAbs-psubstAbs-I*:

assumes *goodAbs A* **and** *goodEnv rho*
and *rho zs z = None* \implies *freshAbs zs z A* **and**
 $\wedge ys y. \text{rho } ys y = \text{Some } Y \implies \text{freshAbs } ys y A \vee \text{fresh } zs z Y$
shows *freshAbs zs z (A \$[rho])*
{proof}

lemma *freshAbs-substAbs*:

assumes *goodAbs A* **and** *good Y*
shows *freshAbs zs z (A \$[Y / y]-ys)* =
 $((zs = ys \wedge z = y) \vee \text{freshAbs } zs z A) \wedge (\text{freshAbs } ys y A \vee \text{fresh } zs z Y)$
{proof}

lemma *freshAbs-vsubstAbs*:

assumes *goodAbs A*
shows *freshAbs zs z (A \$[y1 // y]-ys)* =
 $((zs = ys \wedge z = y) \vee \text{freshAbs } zs z A) \wedge$
 $(\text{freshAbs } ys y A \vee (zs \neq ys \vee z \neq y1))$
{proof}

lemma *substAbs-preserves-freshAbs*:

assumes *goodAbs A* **and** *good Y*
and *freshAbs zs z A* **and** *fresh zs z Y*

```

shows freshAbs zs z (A $[Y / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstAbs-preserves-freshAbs:
assumes goodAbs A
and freshAbs zs z A and zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y1
shows freshAbs zs z (A $[y1 // y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-freshAbs-substAbs[simp]:
assumes good Y and goodAbs A
and fresh ys y Y
shows freshAbs ys y (A $[Y / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma diff-freshAbs-vsubstAbs[simp]:
assumes goodAbs A
and y ≠ y1
shows freshAbs ys y (A $[y1 // y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma freshAbs-substAbs-E1:
assumes goodAbs A and good Y
and freshAbs zs z (A $[Y / y]-ys) and zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y
shows freshAbs zs z A
⟨proof⟩

lemma freshAbs-vsubstAbs-E1:
assumes goodAbs A
and freshAbs zs z (A $[y1 // y]-ys) and zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y
shows freshAbs zs z A
⟨proof⟩

lemma freshAbs-substAbs-E2:
assumes goodAbs A and good Y
and freshAbs zs z (A $[Y / y]-ys)
shows freshAbs ys y A ∨ fresh zs z Y
⟨proof⟩

lemma freshAbs-vsubstAbs-E2:
assumes goodAbs A
and freshAbs zs z (A $[y1 // y]-ys)
shows freshAbs ys y A ∨ zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y1
⟨proof⟩

corollary psubstAbs-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes goodAbs A and goodEnv rho and goodEnv rho'
and  $\bigwedge ys\ y. \ freshAbs\ ys\ y\ A \vee rho\ ys\ y = rho'\ ys\ y$ 
shows (A $[rho]) = (A $[rho'])

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshAbs-psubstAbs-updEnv*:
 assumes *good X and goodAbs A and goodEnv rho*
 and *freshAbs xs x A*
 shows $(A \$[\rho] [x \leftarrow X]-xs) = (A \$[\rho])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *freshEnv-psubstAbs-ident[simp]*:
 fixes $A :: ('index, 'bindex, 'varSort, 'var, 'opSym)abs$
 assumes *goodAbs A and goodEnv rho*
 and $\bigwedge z s. \text{freshEnv } z s z \rho \vee \text{freshAbs } z s z A$
 shows $(A \$[\rho]) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshAbs-substAbs-ident[simp]*:
 assumes *good X and goodAbs A and freshAbs xs x A*
 shows $(A \$[X / x]-xs) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *substAbs-Abs[simp]*:
 assumes *good X and good Y*
 shows $((\text{Abs } xs x X) \$[Y / x]-xs) = \text{Abs } xs x X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshAbs-vsubstAbs-ident[simp]*:
 assumes *goodAbs A and freshAbs xs x A*
 shows $(A \$[x1 // x]-xs) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swapAbs-psubstAbs*:
 assumes *goodAbs A and goodEnv rho*
 shows $((A \$[\rho]) \$[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) = ((A \$[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) \$[\rho \wedge [z1 \wedge z2]-zs])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swapAbs-substAbs*:
 assumes *goodAbs A and good Y*
 shows $((A \$[Y / y]-ys) \$[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) =$
 $((A \$[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) \$[(Y \# [z1 \wedge z2]-zs) / (y @ys[z1 \wedge z2]-zs)]-ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swapAbs-vsubstAbs*:
 assumes *goodAbs A*
 shows $((A \$[y1 // y]-ys) \$[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) =$
 $((A \$[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) \$[(y1 @ys[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) // (y @ys[z1 \wedge z2]-zs)]-ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *psubstAbs-compose*:
 assumes *goodAbs A and goodEnv rho and goodEnv rho'*

```

shows (( $A \$[\rho]$ )  $\$[\rho']$ ) = ( $A \$[(\rho \& \rho')]$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma psubstAbs-substAbs-compose:
assumes goodAbs A and good Y and goodEnv rho
shows (( $A \$[Y / y]$ -ys)  $\$[\rho]$ ) = ( $A \$[(\rho [y \leftarrow (Y \# \rho)] - ys)]$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma psubstAbs-vsubstAbs-compose:
assumes goodAbs A and goodEnv rho
shows (( $A \$[y1 // y]$ -ys)  $\$[\rho]$ ) = ( $A \$[(\rho [y \leftarrow ((Var ys y1) \# \rho)] - ys)]$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma substAbs-psubstAbs-compose:
assumes goodAbs A and good Y and goodEnv rho
shows (( $A \$[\rho]$ )  $\$[Y / y]$ -ys) = ( $A \$[(\rho \& [Y / y])]$ -ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma psubstAbs-substAbs-compose-freshEnv:
assumes goodAbs A and goodEnv rho and good Y
assumes freshEnv ys y rho
shows (( $A \$[Y / y]$ -ys)  $\$[\rho]$ ) = (( $A \$[\rho]$ )  $\$[(Y \# \rho) / y]$ -ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstAbs-psubstAbs-compose:
assumes goodAbs A and goodEnv rho
shows (( $A \$[\rho]$ )  $\$[y1 // y]$ -ys) = ( $A \$[(\rho \& [y1 // y])]$ -ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substAbs-compose1:
assumes goodAbs A and good Y1 and good Y2
shows (( $A \$[Y1 / y]$ -ys)  $\$[Y2 / y]$ -ys) = ( $A \$[(Y1 \# [Y2 / y]) / y]$ -ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substAbs-vsubstAbs-compose1:
assumes goodAbs A and good Y and y ≠ y1
shows (( $A \$[y1 // y]$ -ys)  $\$[Y / y]$ -ys) = ( $A \$[y1 // y]$ -ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstAbs-substAbs-compose1:
assumes goodAbs A and good Y
shows (( $A \$[Y / y]$ -ys)  $\$[y1 // y]$ -ys) = ( $A \$[(Y \# [y1 // y]) / y]$ -ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstAbs-compose1:
assumes goodAbs A
shows (( $A \$[y1 // y]$ -ys)  $\$[y2 // y]$ -ys) = ( $A \$[(y1 @ys[y2 / y]) // y]$ -ys)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *substAbs-compose2*:
assumes *goodAbs A and good Y and good Z*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z*
shows $((A \$[Y / y]-ys) \$[Z / z]-zs) = ((A \$[Z / z]-zs) \$[(Y \# [Z / z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
{proof}

lemma *substAbs-vsubstAbs-compose2*:
assumes *goodAbs A and good Z*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z*
shows $((A \$[y1 // y]-ys) \$[Z / z]-zs) = ((A \$[Z / z]-zs) \$[((Var ys y1) \# [Z / z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
{proof}

lemma *vsubstAbs-substAbs-compose2*:
assumes *goodAbs A and good Y*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∉ {z,z1}*
shows $((A \$[Y / y]-ys) \$[z1 // z]-zs) = ((A \$[z1 // z]-zs) \$[(Y \# [z1 // z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
{proof}

lemma *vsubstAbs-compose2*:
assumes *goodAbs A*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∉ {z,z1}*
shows $((A \$[y1 // y]-ys) \$[z1 // z]-zs) = ((A \$[z1 // z]-zs) \$[(y1 @ys[z1 / z]-zs) // y]-ys)$
{proof}

Properties specific to variable-for-variable substitution:

corollary *vsubstAbs-ident[simp]*:
assumes *goodAbs A*
shows $(A \$[z // z]-zs) = A$
{proof}

corollary *substAbs-ident[simp]*:
assumes *goodAbs A*
shows $(A \$[(Var zs z) / z]-zs) = A$
{proof}

corollary *vsubstAbs-eq-swapAbs*:
assumes *goodAbs A and freshAbs ys y1 A*
shows $(A \$[y1 // y2]-ys) = (A \$[y1 \wedge y2]-ys)$
{proof}

corollary *skelAbs-vsubstAbs*:
assumes *goodAbs A*
shows *skelAbs (A \\$[y1 // y2]-ys) = skelAbs A*
{proof}

lemma *substAbs-vsubstAbs-trans*:

```

assumes goodAbs A and good Y and freshAbs ys y1 A
shows ((A $[y1 // y]-ys) ${[Y / y1]-ys}) = (A ${[Y / y]-ys})
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstAbs-trans:
assumes goodAbs A and freshAbs ys y1 A
shows ((A ${[y1 // y]-ys}) ${[y2 // y1]-ys}) = (A ${[y2 // y]-ys})
⟨proof⟩

lemmas good-psubstAll-freshAll-otherSimps =
psubst-idEnv psubstEnv-idEnv-id psubstAbs-idEnv
freshEnv-psubst-ident freshEnv-psubstAbs-ident

lemmas good-substAll-freshAll-otherSimps =
fresh-fresh-subst fresh-subst-ident fresh-substEnv-updEnv subst-ident
fresh-freshAbs-substAbs freshAbs-substAbs-ident substAbs-ident

lemmas good-vsubstAll-freshAll-otherSimps =
diff-fresh-vsubst fresh-vsubst-ident fresh-vsubstEnv-updEnv vsubst-ident
diff-freshAbs-vsubstAbs freshAbs-vsubstAbs-ident vsubstAbs-ident

lemmas good-allOperss-otherSimps =
good-swapAll-freshAll-otherSimps
good-psubstAll-freshAll-otherSimps
good-substAll-freshAll-otherSimps
good-vsubstAll-freshAll-otherSimps

lemmas good-item-simps =
param-simps
all-preserve-good
good-freeCons
good-allOperss-simps
good-allOperss-otherSimps

end

end

```

7 Binding Signatures and well-sorted terms

```

theory Well-Sorted-Terms
imports Terms
begin

```

This section introduces binding signatures and well-sorted terms for them. All the properties we proved for good terms are then lifted to well-sorted terms.

7.1 Binding signatures

A (*binding*) *signature* consists of:

- an indication of which sorts of variables can be injected in which sorts of terms;
- for any operation symbol, dwelling in a type “*opSym*”, an indication of its result sort, its (nonbinding) arity, and its binding arity.

In addition, we have a predicate, “*wlsOpSym*”, that specifies which operations symbols are well-sorted (or well-structured)¹ – only these operation symbols will be considered in forming terms. In other words, the relevant collection of operation symbols is given not by the whole type “*opSym*”, but by the predicate “*wlsOpSym*”. This bit of extra flexibility will be useful when (pre)instantiating the signature to concrete syntaxes. (Note that the “*wlsOpSym*” condition will be required for well-sorted terms as part of the notion of well-sorted (free and bound) input, “*wlsInp*” and “*wlsBinp*”.)

```
record ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym)signature =
  varSortAsSort :: 'varSort  $\Rightarrow$  'sort
  wlsOpSym :: 'opSym  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  sortOf :: 'opSym  $\Rightarrow$  'sort
  arityOf :: 'opSym  $\Rightarrow$  ('index, 'sort)input
  barityOf :: 'opSym  $\Rightarrow$  ('bindex, 'varSort * 'sort)input
```

7.2 The Binding Syntax locale

For our signatures, we shall make some assumptions:

- For each sort of term, there is at most one sort of variables injectable in terms of that sort (i.e., “*varSortAsSort*” is injective);
- The domains of arities (sets of indexes) are smaller than the set of variables of each sort;
- The type of sorts is smaller than the set of variables of each sort.

These are satisfiable assumptions, and in particular they are trivially satisfied by any finitary syntax with bindings.

```
definition varSortAsSort-inj where
  varSortAsSort-inj Delta ===
    inj (varSortAsSort Delta)

definition arityOf-lt-var where
  arityOf-lt-var (- :: 'var) Delta ===
     $\forall$  delta.
      wlsOpSym Delta delta  $\longrightarrow$  |{i. arityOf Delta delta i  $\neq$  None}| < o |UNIV :: 'var set|
```

```
definition barityOf-lt-var where
```

¹We shall use “*wls*” in many contexts as a prefix indicating well-sortedness or well-structuredness.

```

 $\text{arityOf-lt-var } (- :: 'var) \Delta ==$ 
 $\forall \delta.$ 
 $wlsOpSym \Delta \delta \rightarrow |\{i. \text{arityOf } \Delta \delta i \neq \text{None}\}| <_o |\text{UNIV} ::$ 
 $'var set|$ 

definition sort-lt-var where
sort-lt-var (- :: 'sort) (- :: 'var) ==
|UNIV :: 'sort set| <_o |UNIV :: 'var set|

locale FixSyn =
fixes dummyV :: 'var
and Delta :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'sort,'opSym)signature
assumes

  FixSyn-var-infinite: var-infinite (undefined :: 'var)
and FixSyn-var-regular: var-regular (undefined :: 'var)

and varSortAsSort-inj: varSortAsSort-inj Delta
and arityOf-lt-var: arityOf-lt-var (undefined :: 'var) Delta
and barityOf-lt-var: barityOf-lt-var (undefined :: 'var) Delta
and sort-lt-var: sort-lt-var (undefined :: 'sort) (undefined :: 'var)

context FixSyn
begin
lemmas FixSyn-assms =
FixSyn-var-infinite FixSyn-var-regular
varSortAsSort-inj arityOf-lt-var barityOf-lt-var
sort-lt-var
end

```

7.3 Definitions and basic properties of well-sortedness

7.3.1 Notations and definitions

```

datatype ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym,'sort)paramS =
ParS 'varSort => 'var list
  'sort => ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term list
  ('varSort * 'sort) => ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs list
  ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env list

fun varsOfS :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym,'sort)paramS => 'varSort => 'var set
where varsOfS (ParS xLF --) xs = set (xLF xs)

fun termsOfS :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym,'sort)paramS =>
  'sort => ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term set
where termsOfS (ParS - XLF --) s = set (XLF s)

fun absOfS ::
```

```

('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym,'sort)paramS =>
  ('varSort * 'sort) => ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs set
where absOfS (ParS - - ALF -) (xs,s) = set (ALF (xs,s))

fun envsOfS :: 
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym,'sort)paramS => ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env
  set
where envsOfS (ParS - - - rhoL) = set rhoL

```

7.3.2 Sublocale of “FixVars”

```

lemma sort-lt-var-imp-varSort-lt-var:
assumes
  ***: varSortAsSort-inj (Delta :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym)signature)
and ***: sort-lt-var (undefined :: 'sort) (undefined :: 'var)
shows varSort-lt-var (undefined :: 'varSort) (undefined :: 'var)
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale FixSyn < FixVars
where dummyV = dummyV and dummyVS = undefined::'varSort
  ⟨proof⟩

```

7.3.3 Abbreviations

```

context FixSyn
begin

abbreviation asSort where asSort == varSortAsSort Delta
abbreviation wlsOpS where wlsOpS == wlsOpSym Delta
abbreviation stOf where stOf == sortOf Delta
abbreviation arOf where arOf == arityOf Delta
abbreviation barOf where barOf == b arityOf Delta

abbreviation empInp :: 
  ('index,('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term)input
where empInp == λi. None

abbreviation empAr :: ('index,'sort)input
where empAr == λi. None

abbreviation empBinp :: ('bindex,('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs)input
where empBinp == λi. None

abbreviation empBar :: ('bindex,'varSort * 'sort)input
where empBar == λi. None

lemma freshInp-empInp[simp]:
  freshInp xs x empInp
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma swapInp-empInp[simp]:
( empInp %[x1 ∧ x2]-xs ) = empInp
⟨proof⟩

lemma psubstInp-empInp[simp]:
( empInp %[ρ] ) = empInp
⟨proof⟩

lemma substInp-empInp[simp]:
( empInp %[Y / y]-ys ) = empInp
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstInp-empInp[simp]:
( empInp %[y1 // y]-ys ) = empInp
⟨proof⟩

lemma freshBinp-empBinp[simp]:
freshBinp xs x empBinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma swapBinp-empBinp[simp]:
( empBinp %%[x1 ∧ x2]-xs ) = empBinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma psubstBinp-empBinp[simp]:
( empBinp %%[ρ] ) = empBinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma substBinp-empBinp[simp]:
( empBinp %%[Y / y]-ys ) = empBinp
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstBinp-empBinp[simp]:
( empBinp %%[y1 // y]-ys ) = empBinp
⟨proof⟩

lemmas empInp-simps =
freshInp-empInp swapInp-empInp psubstInp-empInp substInp-empInp vsubstInp-empInp
freshBinp-empBinp swapBinp-empBinp psubstBinp-empBinp substBinp-empBinp vsubstBinp-empBinp

```

7.3.4 Inner versions of the locale assumptions

```

lemma varSortAsSort-inj-INNER: inj asSort
⟨proof⟩

lemma asSort-inj[simp]:
( asSort xs = asSort ys ) = ( xs = ys )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma arityOf-lt-var-INNER:
assumes wlsOpS delta
shows |{i. arityOf Delta delta i ≠ None}| <o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩

lemma barityOf-lt-var-INNER:
assumes wlsOpS delta
shows |{i. barityOf Delta delta i ≠ None}| <o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩

lemma sort-lt-var-INNER:
|UNIV :: 'sort set| <o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩

lemma sort-le-var:
|UNIV :: 'sort set| ≤o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩

lemma varSort-sort-lt-var:
|UNIV :: ('varSort * 'sort) set| <o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩

lemma varSort-sort-le-var:
|UNIV :: ('varSort * 'sort) set| ≤o |UNIV :: 'var set|
⟨proof⟩

```

7.3.5 Definitions of well-sorted items

We shall only be interested in abstractions that pertain to some bound arities:

```

definition isInBar where
isInBar xs-s ==
  ∃ delta i. wlsOpS delta ∧ barOf delta i = Some xs-s

```

Well-sorted terms (according to the signature) are defined as expected (mutually inductively together with well-sorted abstractions and inputs):

```

inductive
wls :: 'sort ⇒ ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term ⇒ bool
and
wlsAbs :: 'varSort * 'sort ⇒ ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs ⇒ bool
and
wlsInp :: 'opSym ⇒ ('index,('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term)input ⇒ bool
and
wlsBinp :: 'opSym ⇒ ('bindex,('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs)input ⇒ bool
where
Var: wls (asSort xs) (Var xs x)

```

```

| 
Op:  $\llbracket wlsInp \ delta \ inp; wlsBinp \ delta \ binp \rrbracket \implies wls \ (stOf \ delta) \ (Op \ \delta \ inp \ binp)$ 
|
Inp:
 $\llbracket wlsOpS \ \delta;$ 
 $\wedge \ i. \ (arOf \ \delta \ i = None \wedge inp \ i = None) \vee$ 
 $(\exists \ s \ X. \ arOf \ \delta \ i = Some \ s \wedge inp \ i = Some \ X \wedge wls \ s \ X) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies wlsInp \ \delta \ inp$ 
|
Binp:
 $\llbracket wlsOpS \ \delta;$ 
 $\wedge \ i. \ (barOf \ \delta \ i = None \wedge binp \ i = None) \vee$ 
 $(\exists \ us \ s \ A. \ barOf \ \delta \ i = Some \ (us,s) \wedge binp \ i = Some \ A \wedge wlsAbs \ (us,s)$ 
 $A) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies wlsBinp \ \delta \ binp$ 
|
Abs:  $\llbracket isInBar \ (xs,s); wls \ s \ X \rrbracket \implies wlsAbs \ (xs,s) \ (Abs \ xs \ x \ X)$ 

lemmas Var-preserves-wls = wls-wlsAbs-wlsInp-wlsBinp.Var
lemmas Op-preserves-wls = wls-wlsAbs-wlsInp-wlsBinp.Op
lemmas Abs-preserves-wls = wls-wlsAbs-wlsInp-wlsBinp.Abs

lemma barOf-isInBar[simp]:
assumes wlsOpS delta and barOf delta i = Some (us,s)
shows isInBar (us,s)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas Cons-preserve-wls =
barOf-isInBar
Var-preserves-wls Op-preserves-wls
Abs-preserves-wls

declare Cons-preserve-wls [simp]

definition wlsEnv :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
wlsEnv rho ==
 $(\forall \ ys. \ liftAll \ (wls \ (asSort \ ys)) \ (\rho \ ys)) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall \ ys. |\{y. \ rho \ ys \ y \neq None\}| <_o |UNIV :: 'var \ set| )$ 

definition wlsPar :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym,'sort)paramS  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
wlsPar P ==
 $(\forall \ s. \ \forall \ X \in termsOfS \ P \ s. \ wls \ s \ X) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall \ xs \ s. \ \forall \ A \in absOfS \ P \ (xs,s). \ wlsAbs \ (xs,s) \ A) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall \ rho \in envsOfS \ P. \ wlsEnv \ rho)$ 

lemma ParS-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes  $\bigwedge \ s \ X. \ X \in set \ (XLF \ s) \implies wls \ s \ X$ 

```

```
and  $\bigwedge xs s A. A \in set (ALF (xs,s)) \implies wlsAbs (xs,s) A$ 
```

```
and  $\bigwedge rho. rho \in set rhoF \implies wlsEnv rho$ 
```

```
shows  $wlsPar (ParS xLF XLF ALF rhoF)$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma  $termsOfS\text{-preserves-wls}[simp]$ :
```

```
assumes  $wlsPar P$  and  $X : termsOfS P s$ 
```

```
shows  $wls s X$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma  $absOfS\text{-preserves-wls}[simp]$ :
```

```
assumes  $wlsPar P$  and  $A : absOfS P (us,s)$ 
```

```
shows  $wlsAbs (us,s) A$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma  $envsOfS\text{-preserves-wls}[simp]$ :
```

```
assumes  $wlsPar P$  and  $\rho : envsOfS P$ 
```

```
shows  $wlsEnv \rho$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma  $not\text{-}isInBar-absOfS-empty[simp]$ :
```

```
assumes  $*: \neg isInBar (us,s)$  and  $**: wlsPar P$ 
```

```
shows  $absOfS P (us,s) = \{\}$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemmas  $paramS\text{-simps} =$ 
```

```
 $varsOfS\text{.simps} termsOfS\text{.simps} absOfS\text{.simps} envsOfS\text{.simps}$ 
```

```
 $ParS\text{-preserves-wls}$ 
```

```
 $termsOfS\text{-preserves-wls} absOfS\text{-preserves-wls} envsOfS\text{-preserves-wls}$ 
```

```
 $not\text{-}isInBar-absOfS-empty$ 
```

7.3.6 Well-sorted exists

```
lemma  $wlsInp\text{-iff}$ :
```

```
 $wlsInp \delta inp =$ 
```

```
 $(wlsOpS \delta \wedge sameDom (arOf \delta) inp \wedge liftAll2 wls (arOf \delta) inp)$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma  $wlsBinp\text{-iff}$ :
```

```
 $wlsBinp \delta binp =$ 
```

```
 $(wlsOpS \delta \wedge sameDom (barOf \delta) binp \wedge liftAll2 wlsAbs (barOf \delta) binp)$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma  $exists\text{-asSort-wls}$ :
```

```
 $\exists X. wls (asSort xs) X$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma  $exists\text{-wls-imp-exists-wlsAbs}$ :
```

```

assumes *: isInBar (us,s) and **:  $\exists X. \text{wls } s \text{ } X$ 
shows  $\exists A. \text{wlsAbs } (\text{us},s) \text{ } A$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma exists-asSort-wlsAbs:
assumes isInBar (us,asSort xs)
shows  $\exists A. \text{wlsAbs } (\text{us},\text{asSort } xs) \text{ } A$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Standard criterion for the non-emptiness of the sets of well-sorted terms for each sort, by a well-founded relation and a function picking, for sorts not corresponding to varSorts, an operation symbol as an “inductive” witness for non-emptiness. “*witOpS*” stands for “witness operation symbol”.

```

definition witOpS where
witOpS s delta R ===
wlsOpS delta  $\wedge$  stOf delta = s  $\wedge$ 
liftAll ( $\lambda s'. (s',s) : R$ ) (arOf delta)  $\wedge$ 
liftAll ( $\lambda (us,s'). (s',s) : R$ ) (barOf delta)

```

```

lemma wf-exists-wls:
assumes wf: wf R and *:  $\bigwedge s. (\exists xs. s = \text{asSort } xs) \vee \text{witOpS } s (f s) \text{ } R$ 
shows  $\exists X. \text{wls } s \text{ } X$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wf-exists-wlsAbs:
assumes isInBar (us,s)
and wf R and  $\bigwedge s. (\exists xs. s = \text{asSort } xs) \vee \text{witOpS } s (f s) \text{ } R$ 
shows  $\exists A. \text{wlsAbs } (\text{us},s) \text{ } A$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

7.3.7 Well-sorted implies Good

```

lemma wlsInp-empAr-empInp[simp]:
assumes wlsOpS delta and arOf delta = empAr
shows wlsInp delta empInp
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wlsBinp-empBar-empBinp[simp]:
assumes wlsOpS delta and barOf delta = empBar
shows wlsBinp delta empBinp
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas empInp-otherSimps =
wlsInp-empAr-empInp wlsBinp-empBar-empBinp

```

```

lemma wlsAll-implies-goodAll:
(wls s X  $\longrightarrow$  good X)  $\wedge$ 
(wlsAbs (xs,s') A  $\longrightarrow$  goodAbs A)  $\wedge$ 
(wlsInp delta inp  $\longrightarrow$  goodInp inp)  $\wedge$ 

```

($wlsBinp \ delta \ binp \longrightarrow goodBinp \ binp$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $wls\text{-}imp\text{-}good[simp]$: $wls \ s \ X \implies good \ X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $wlsAbs\text{-}imp\text{-}goodAbs[simp]$: $wlsAbs \ (xs,s) \ A \implies goodAbs \ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $wlsInp\text{-}imp\text{-}goodInp[simp]$: $wlsInp \ delta \ inp \implies goodInp \ inp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $wlsBinp\text{-}imp\text{-}goodBinp[simp]$: $wlsBinp \ delta \ binp \implies goodBinp \ binp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wlsEnv\text{-}imp\text{-}goodEnv[simp]$: $wlsEnv \ rho \implies goodEnv \ rho$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $wlsAll\text{-}imp\text{-}goodAll =$
 $wls\text{-}imp\text{-}good \ wlsAbs\text{-}imp\text{-}goodAbs$
 $wlsInp\text{-}imp\text{-}goodInp \ wlsBinp\text{-}imp\text{-}goodBinp$
 $wlsEnv\text{-}imp\text{-}goodEnv$

7.3.8 Swapping preserves well-sortedness

lemma $swapAll\text{-}pres\text{-}wlsAll$:
 $(wls \ s \ X \longrightarrow wls \ s \ (X \ #[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs)) \wedge$
 $(wlsAbs \ (xs,s') \ A \longrightarrow wlsAbs \ (xs,s') \ (A \$[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-zs})) \wedge$
 $(wlsInp \ delta \ inp \longrightarrow wlsInp \ delta \ (inp \%[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-zs})) \wedge$
 $(wlsBinp \ delta \ binp \longrightarrow wlsBinp \ delta \ (binp \%%[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-zs}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $swap\text{-}preserves\text{-}wls[simp]$:
 $wls \ s \ X \implies wls \ s \ (X \ #[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-zs})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $swap\text{-}preserves\text{-}wls2[simp]$:
assumes $good \ X$
shows $wls \ s \ (X \ #[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-zs}) = wls \ s \ X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $swap\text{-}preserves\text{-}wls3$:
assumes $good \ X$ **and** $good \ Y$
and $(X \ #[x1 \wedge x2]\text{-xs}) = (Y \ #[y1 \wedge y2]\text{-ys})$
shows $wls \ s \ X = wls \ s \ Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $swapAbs\text{-}preserves\text{-}wls[simp]$:
 $wlsAbs \ (xs,x) \ A \implies wlsAbs \ (xs,x) \ (A \$[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-zs})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swapInp-preserves-wls*[simp]:
wlsInp delta inp \implies *wlsInp delta (inp %[z1 \wedge z2]-zs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swapBinp-preserves-wls*[simp]:
wlsBinp delta binp \implies *wlsBinp delta (binp %%[z1 \wedge z2]-zs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swapEnvDom-preserves-wls*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho*
shows *wlsEnv (swapEnvDom xs x y rho)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swapEnvIm-preserves-wls*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho*
shows *wlsEnv (swapEnvIm xs x y rho)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swapEnv-preserves-wls*[simp]:
assumes *wlsEnv rho*
shows *wlsEnv (rho &[z1 \wedge z2]-zs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *swapAll-preserve-wls* =
swap-preserves-wls swapAbs-preserves-wls
swapInp-preserves-wls swapBinp-preserves-wls
swapEnv-preserves-wls

lemma *swapped-preserves-wls*:
assumes *wls s X and (X, Y) ∈ swapped*
shows *wls s Y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.3.9 Inversion rules for well-sortedness

lemma *wlsAll-inversion*:
 $(wls s X \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall xs x. X = Var xs x \longrightarrow s = asSort xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall delta inp binp. goodInp inp \wedge goodBinp binp \wedge X = Op delta inp binp \longrightarrow$
 $stOf delta = s \wedge wlsInp delta inp \wedge wlsBinp delta binp))$
 \wedge
 $(wlsAbs xs-s A \longrightarrow$
 $isInBar xs-s \wedge$
 $(\forall x X. good X \wedge A = Abs (fst xs-s) x X \longrightarrow$
 $wls (snd xs-s) X))$
 \wedge
 $(wlsInp delta inp \longrightarrow True)$

\wedge
 $(wlsBinp\ delta\ binp \longrightarrow True)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjLeft*: $\llbracket \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2; \phi_1 \implies \chi \rrbracket \implies \chi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjRight*: $\llbracket \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2; \phi_2 \implies \chi \rrbracket \implies \chi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wls-inversion*[rule-format]:
 $wls\ s\ X \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall\ xs\ x.\ X = Var\ xs\ x \longrightarrow s = asSort\ xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ delta\ inp\ binp.\ goodInp\ inp \wedge goodBinp\ binp \wedge X = Op\ delta\ inp\ binp \longrightarrow$
 $stOf\ delta = s \wedge wlsInp\ delta\ inp \wedge wlsBinp\ delta\ binp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wlsAbs-inversion*[rule-format]:
 $wlsAbs\ (xs,s)\ A \longrightarrow$
 $isInBar\ (xs,s) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ x\ X.\ good\ X \wedge A = Abs\ xs\ x\ X \longrightarrow wls\ s\ X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wls-Var-simp*[simp]:
 $wls\ s\ (Var\ xs\ x) = (s = asSort\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wls-Op-simp*[simp]:
assumes *goodInp inp* and *goodBinp binp*
shows
 $wls\ s\ (Op\ delta\ inp\ binp) =$
 $(stOf\ delta = s \wedge wlsInp\ delta\ inp \wedge wlsBinp\ delta\ binp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wls-Abs-simp*[simp]:
assumes *good X*
shows $wlsAbs\ (xs,s)\ (Abs\ xs\ x\ X) = (isInBar\ (xs,s) \wedge wls\ s\ X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wlsAll-inversion2*:
 $(wls\ s\ X \longrightarrow True)$
 \wedge
 $(wlsAbs\ xs-s\ A \longrightarrow$
 $isInBar\ xs-s \wedge$
 $(\exists\ x\ X.\ wls\ (snd\ xs-s)\ X \wedge A = Abs\ (fst\ xs-s)\ x\ X))$
 \wedge
 $(wlsInp\ delta\ inp \longrightarrow True)$

```

 $\wedge$ 
(wlsBinp delta binp  $\longrightarrow$  True)
⟨proof⟩

lemma wlsAbs-inversion2[rule-format]:
wlsAbs (xs,s) A  $\longrightarrow$ 
isInBar (xs,s)  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  x X. wls s X  $\wedge$  A = Abs xs x X)
⟨proof⟩

corollary wlsAbs-Abs-varSort:
assumes X: good X and wlsAbs: wlsAbs (xs,s) (Abs xs' x X)
shows xs = xs'
⟨proof⟩

lemma wlsAbs:
wlsAbs (xs,s) A  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
isInBar (xs,s)  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  x X. wls s X  $\wedge$  A = Abs xs x X)
⟨proof⟩

lemma wlsAbs-Abs[simp]:
assumes X: good X
shows wlsAbs (xs',s) (Abs xs x X) = (isInBar (xs',s)  $\wedge$  xs = xs'  $\wedge$  wls s X)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas Cons-wls-simps =
wls-Var-simp wls-Op-simp wls-Abs-simp wlsAbs-Abs

```

7.4 Induction principles for well-sorted terms

7.4.1 Regular induction

```

theorem wls-templateInduct[case-names rel Var Op Abs]:
assumes
rel:  $\bigwedge s X Y. \llbracket wls s X; (X,Y) \in rel s \rrbracket \implies wls s Y \wedge skel Y = skel X$  and
Var:  $\bigwedge xs x. \phi (asSort xs) (\text{Var } xs x)$  and
Op:
 $\bigwedge delta inp binp.$ 
 $\llbracket wlsInp delta inp; wlsBinp delta binp;$ 
 $liftAll2 \phi (arOf delta) inp; liftAll2 \phiAbs (barOf delta) binp \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \phi (stOf delta) (Op delta inp binp)$  and
Abs:
 $\bigwedge s xs x X.$ 
 $\llbracket \text{isInBar} (xs,s); wls s X; \bigwedge Y. (X,Y) \in rel s \implies \phi s Y \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \phiAbs (xs,s) (\text{Abs } xs x X)$ 
shows
(wls s X  $\longrightarrow$  phi s X)  $\wedge$ 
(wlsAbs (xs,s') A  $\longrightarrow$  phiAbs (xs,s') A)
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem wls-rawInduct[case-names Var Op Abs]:

```

assumes
Var:  $\bigwedge xs x. \text{phi} (\text{asSort} xs) (\text{Var} xs x)$  and
Op:
 $\bigwedge \delta \text{ delta inp binp}$ .
   $\llbracket \text{wlsInp} \delta \text{ delta inp}; \text{wlsBinp} \delta \text{ delta binp};$ 
   $\text{liftAll2} \text{ phi} (\text{arOf} \delta) \text{ inp}; \text{liftAll2} \text{ phiAbs} (\text{barOf} \delta) \text{ binp} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{phi} (\text{stOf} \delta) (\text{Op} \delta \text{ delta inp binp})$  and
Abs:  $\bigwedge s xs x X. \llbracket \text{isInBar} (xs,s); \text{wls} s X; \text{phi} s X \rrbracket \implies \text{phiAbs} (xs,s) (\text{Abs} xs x X)$ 
shows
 $(\text{wls} s X \longrightarrow \text{phi} s X) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{wlsAbs} (xs,s') A \longrightarrow \text{phiAbs} (xs,s') A)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

7.4.2 Fresh induction

First for an unspecified notion of parameter:

```

theorem wls-templateInduct-fresh[case-names Par Rel Var Op Abs]:
fixes s X xs s' A phi phiAbs rel
and vars :: 'varSort  $\Rightarrow$  'var set
and terms :: 'sort  $\Rightarrow$  ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term set
and abs :: ('varSort * 'sort)  $\Rightarrow$  ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs set
and envs :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env set
assumes
PAR:
 $\bigwedge xs us s.$ 
   $(|\text{vars} xs| < o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{var set}| \vee \text{finite} (\text{vars} xs)) \wedge$ 
   $(|\text{terms} s| < o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{var set}| \vee \text{finite} (\text{terms} s)) \wedge$ 
   $(|\text{abs} (us,s)| < o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{var set}| \vee \text{finite} (\text{abs} (us,s))) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall X \in \text{terms} s. \text{wls} s X) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall A \in \text{abs} (us,s). \text{wlsAbs} (us,s) A) \wedge$ 
   $(|\text{envs}| < o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{var set}| \vee \text{finite} (\text{envs})) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall \rho \in \text{envs}. \text{wlsEnv} \rho)$  and
rel:  $\bigwedge s X Y. \llbracket \text{wls} s X; (X,Y) \in \text{rel} s \rrbracket \implies \text{wls} s Y \wedge \text{skel} Y = \text{skel} X$  and
Var:  $\bigwedge xs x. \text{phi} (\text{asSort} xs) (\text{Var} xs x)$  and
Op:
 $\bigwedge \delta \text{ delta inp binp}$ .
   $\llbracket \text{wlsInp} \delta \text{ delta inp}; \text{wlsBinp} \delta \text{ delta binp};$ 
   $\text{liftAll2} (\lambda s. \text{phi} s X) (\text{arOf} \delta) \text{ inp};$ 
   $\text{liftAll2} (\lambda (us,s). \text{phiAbs} (us,s) A) (\text{barOf} \delta) \text{ binp} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{phi} (\text{stOf} \delta) (\text{Op} \delta \text{ delta inp binp})$  and
Abs:
 $\bigwedge s xs x X.$ 
   $\llbracket \text{isInBar} (xs,s); \text{wls} s X;$ 
   $x \notin \text{vars} xs;$ 
   $\bigwedge s' Y. Y \in \text{terms} s' \implies \text{fresh} xs x Y;$ 
   $\bigwedge xs' s' A. A \in \text{abs} (xs',s') \implies \text{freshAbs} xs x A;$ 
   $\bigwedge \rho. \rho \in \text{envs} \implies \text{freshEnv} xs x \rho;$ 
   $\bigwedge Y. (X,Y) \in \text{rel} s \implies \text{phi} s Y \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{phiAbs} (xs,s) (\text{Abs} xs x X)$ 

```

shows

$$\begin{aligned} & (wls s X \longrightarrow phi s X) \wedge \\ & (wlsAbs (xs,s') A \longrightarrow phiAbs (xs,s') A) \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

A version of the above not employing any relation for the abstraction case:

theorem *wls-rawInduct-fresh*[case-names Par Var Op Abs]:

fixes $s X xs s' A phi phiAbs$

and $vars :: 'varSort \Rightarrow 'var set$

and $terms :: 'sort \Rightarrow ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term set$

and $abs :: ('varSort * 'sort) \Rightarrow ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs set$

and $envs :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)env set$

assumes

PAR:

$$\bigwedge \{xs, us, s : \text{UNIV}\} \left(\begin{array}{l} |\{vars\}| < o \quad |\{UNIV\}| \leq |\{vars\}| \vee \text{finite } (\{vars\}) \\ |\{terms\}| < o \quad |\{UNIV\}| \leq |\{terms\}| \vee \text{finite } (\{terms\}) \\ (\forall X \in \{terms\}. wls s X) \wedge \\ (|\{abs\}| < o \quad |\{UNIV\}| \leq |\{abs\}| \vee \text{finite } (\{abs\})) \wedge \\ (\forall A \in \{abs\}. wlsAbs (us,s) A) \wedge \\ (|\{envs\}| < o \quad |\{UNIV\}| \leq |\{envs\}| \vee \text{finite } (\{envs\})) \wedge \\ (\forall rho \in \{envs\}. wlsEnv rho) \end{array} \right)$$

Var: $\bigwedge \{xs, x : \text{UNIV}\} \left(\text{phi } (\text{asSort } xs) \quad (\text{Var } xs x) \right)$ **and**

Op:

$$\bigwedge \{delta, inp, binp : \text{UNIV}\} \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{wlsInp } delta \text{ inp; wlsBinp } delta \text{ binp;} \\ \text{liftAll2 } (\lambda s. \text{phi } s X) (\text{arOf } delta) \text{ inp;} \\ \text{liftAll2 } (\lambda (us,s). A. \text{phiAbs } (us,s) A) (\text{barOf } delta) \text{ binp;} \\ \implies \text{phi } (\text{stOf } delta) (\text{Op } delta \text{ inp binp}) \end{array} \right] \text{ and}$$

Abs:

$$\bigwedge \{s, xs, x, X : \text{UNIV}\} \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{isInBar } (xs,s); \text{wls } s X; \\ x \notin \{vars\}; \\ \bigwedge \{s' : \text{UNIV}\} \left(Y \in \{terms\} \implies \text{fresh } xs x Y \right); \\ \bigwedge \{us, s' : \text{UNIV}\} \left(A \in \{abs\} \implies \text{freshAbs } xs x A \right); \\ \bigwedge \{rho : \text{UNIV}\} \left(rho \in \{envs\} \implies \text{freshEnv } xs x rho \right); \\ \text{phi } s X \end{array} \right] \implies \text{phiAbs } (xs,s) (\text{Abs } xs x X)$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} & (wls s X \longrightarrow phi s X) \wedge \\ & (wlsAbs (xs,s') A \longrightarrow phiAbs (xs,s') A) \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Then for our notion of sorted parameter:

theorem *wls-induct-fresh*[case-names Par Var Op Abs]:

fixes $X :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term$ **and** s **and**

$A :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs$ **and** xs, s' **and**

$P :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym,'sort)paramS$ **and** $phi, phiAbs$

assumes

$P: wlsPar P$ **and**
 $Var: \bigwedge xs x. phi (asSort xs) (Var xs x)$ **and**
 $Op:$
 $\bigwedge delta inp binp.$
 $\llbracket wlsInp delta inp; wlsBinp delta binp;$
 $liftAll2 (\lambda s X. phi s X) (arOf delta) inp;$
 $liftAll2 (\lambda (us,s) A. phiAbs (us,s) A) (barOf delta) binp \rrbracket$
 $\implies phi (stOf delta) (Op delta inp binp)$ **and**
 $Abs:$
 $\bigwedge s xs x X.$
 $\llbracket isInBar (xs,s); wls s X;$
 $x \notin varsOfS P xs;$
 $\bigwedge s' Y. Y \in termsOfS P s' \implies fresh xs x Y;$
 $\bigwedge us s' A. A \in absOfS P (us,s') \implies freshAbs xs x A;$
 $\bigwedge rho. rho \in envsOfS P \implies freshEnv xs x rho;$
 $phi s X \rrbracket$
 $\implies phiAbs (xs,s) (Abs xs x X)$
shows
 $(wls s X \longrightarrow phi s X) \wedge$
 $(wlsAbs (xs,s') A \longrightarrow phiAbs (xs,s') A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.4.3 The syntactic constructs are almost free (on well-sorted terms)

theorem *wls-Op-inj[simp]*:
assumes *wlsInp delta inp* **and** *wlsBinp delta binp*
and *wlsInp delta' inp'* **and** *wlsBinp delta' binp'*
shows
 $(Op delta inp binp = Op delta' inp' binp') =$
 $(delta = delta' \wedge inp = inp' \wedge binp = binp')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wls-Abs-ainj-all*:
assumes *wls s X* **and** *wls s' X'*
shows
 $(Abs xs x X = Abs xs' x' X') =$
 $(xs = xs' \wedge$
 $(\forall y. (y = x \vee fresh xs y X) \wedge (y = x' \vee fresh xs y X') \longrightarrow$
 $(X \#[y \wedge x]-xs) = (X' \#[y \wedge x']-xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-Abs-swap-all*:
assumes *wls s X* **and** *wls s X'*
shows
 $(Abs xs x X = Abs xs x' X') =$
 $(\forall y. (y = x \vee fresh xs y X) \wedge (y = x' \vee fresh xs y X') \longrightarrow$
 $(X \#[y \wedge x]-xs) = (X' \#[y \wedge x']-xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wls-Abs-ainj-ex*:

assumes *wls s X and wls s X'*

shows

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Abs } xs \ x \ X = \text{Abs } xs' \ x' \ X') &= \\ (xs = xs' \wedge \\ (\exists \ y. \ y \notin \{x, x'\} \wedge \text{fresh } xs \ y \ X \wedge \text{fresh } xs \ y \ X' \wedge \\ (X \#[y \wedge x]-xs) = (X' \#[y \wedge x']-xs))) \end{aligned}$$

(proof)

theorem *wls-Abs-swap-ex*:

assumes *wls s X and wls s X'*

shows

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Abs } xs \ x \ X = \text{Abs } xs \ x' \ X') &= \\ (\exists \ y. \ y \notin \{x, x'\} \wedge \text{fresh } xs \ y \ X \wedge \text{fresh } xs \ y \ X' \wedge \\ (X \#[y \wedge x]-xs) = (X' \#[y \wedge x']-xs)) \end{aligned}$$

(proof)

theorem *wls-Abs-inj[simp]*:

assumes *wls s X and wls s X'*

shows

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Abs } xs \ x \ X = \text{Abs } xs \ x' \ X') &= \\ (X = X') \end{aligned}$$

(proof)

theorem *wls-Abs-swap-cong[fundef-cong]*:

assumes *wls s X and wls s X'*

and *fresh xs y X and fresh xs y X' and* $(X \#[y \wedge x]-xs) = (X' \#[y \wedge x']-xs)$

shows *Abs xs x X = Abs xs x' X'*

(proof)

theorem *wls-Abs-swap-fresh[simp]*:

assumes *wls s X and fresh xs x' X*

shows *Abs xs x' (X \#[x' \wedge x]-xs) = Abs xs x X*

(proof)

theorem *wls-Var-diff-Op[simp]*:

assumes *wlsInp delta inp and wlsBinp delta binp*

shows *Var xs x ≠ Op delta inp binp*

(proof)

theorem *wls-Op-diff-Var[simp]*:

assumes *wlsInp delta inp and wlsBinp delta binp*

shows *Op delta inp binp ≠ Var xs x*

(proof)

theorem *wls-nchotomy*:

assumes *wls s X*

shows

```

( $\exists \text{ } xs \text{ } x. \text{ } asSort \text{ } xs = s \wedge X = \text{Var} \text{ } xs \text{ } x$ )  $\vee$ 
( $\exists \text{ } \delta \text{ } inp \text{ } binp. \text{ } stOf \text{ } \delta = s \wedge wlsInp \text{ } \delta \text{ } inp \wedge wlsBinp \text{ } \delta \text{ } binp$ 
 $\wedge \text{ } X = \text{Op} \text{ } \delta \text{ } inp \text{ } binp$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemmas wls-cases = wls-wlsAbs-wlsInp-wlsBinp.inducts(1)

lemmas wlsAbs-nchotomy = wlsAbs-inversion2

theorem wlsAbs-cases:
assumes wlsAbs (xs,s) A
and  $\bigwedge x. \llbracket \text{isInBar} \text{ } (xs,s); \text{wls} \text{ } s \text{ } X \rrbracket \implies \text{phiAbs} \text{ } (xs,s) \text{ } (\text{Abs} \text{ } xs \text{ } x \text{ } X)$ 
shows phiAbs (xs,s) A
⟨proof⟩

lemma wls-disjoint:
assumes wls s X and wls s' X
shows s = s'
⟨proof⟩

lemma wlsAbs-disjoint:
assumes wlsAbs (xs,s) A and wlsAbs (xs',s') A
shows xs = xs'  $\wedge$  s = s'
⟨proof⟩

lemmas wls-freeCons =
Var-inj wls-Op-inj wls-Var-diff-Op wls-Op-diff-Var wls-Abs-swap-fresh

```

7.5 The non-construct operators preserve well-sortedness

```

lemma idEnv-preserves-wls[simp]:
wlsEnv idEnv
⟨proof⟩

lemma updEnv-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsEnv rho and wls (asSort xs) X
shows wlsEnv (rho [x ← X]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma getEnv-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsEnv rho and rho xs x = Some X
shows wls (asSort xs) X
⟨proof⟩

lemmas envOps-preserve-wls =
idEnv-preserves-wls updEnv-preserves-wls
getEnv-preserves-wls

lemma psubstAll-preserves-wlsAll:

```

```

assumes P: wlsPar P
shows
  (wls s X  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\forall \rho \in \text{envsOfS } P$ . wls s (X #[\rho])))  $\wedge$ 
  (wlsAbs (xs,s') A  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\forall \rho \in \text{envsOfS } P$ . wlsAbs (xs,s') (A $[\rho])))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma psubst-preserves-wls[simp]:
   $\llbracket wls s X; wlsEnv \rho \rrbracket \implies wls s (X #[\rho])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma psubstAbs-preserves-wls[simp]:
   $\llbracket wlsAbs (xs,s) A; wlsEnv \rho \rrbracket \implies wlsAbs (xs,s) (A $[\rho])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma psubstInp-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsInp delta inp and wlsEnv rho
shows wlsInp delta (inp %[\rho])
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma psubstBinp-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsBinp delta binp and wlsEnv rho
shows wlsBinp delta (binp %%[\rho])
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma psubstEnv-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho'
shows wlsEnv (\rho & [\rho'])
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas psubstAll-preserve-wls =
psubst-preserves-wls psubstAbs-preserves-wls
psubstInp-preserves-wls psubstBinp-preserves-wls
psubstEnv-preserves-wls

lemma subst-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y
shows wls s (X #[Y / y]-ys)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma substAbs-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsAbs (xs,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y
shows wlsAbs (xs,s) (A $[Y / y]-ys)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma substInp-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsInp delta inp and wls (asSort ys) Y
shows wlsInp delta (inp %[Y / y]-ys)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma substBinp-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsBinp delta binp and wls (asSort ys) Y
shows wlsBinp delta (binp %%[Y / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substEnv-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsEnv rho and wls (asSort ys) Y
shows wlsEnv (rho &[Y / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas substAll-preserve-wls =
subst-preserves-wls substAbs-preserves-wls
substInp-preserves-wls substBinp-preserves-wls
substEnv-preserves-wls

lemma vsubst-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wls s Y
shows wls s (Y #[x1 // x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstAbs-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsAbs (us,s) A
shows wlsAbs (us,s) (A $[x1 // x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstInp-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsInp delta inp
shows wlsInp delta (inp %%[x1 // x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstBinp-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsBinp delta binp
shows wlsBinp delta (binp %%[x1 // x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vsubstEnv-preserves-wls[simp]:
assumes wlsEnv rho
shows wlsEnv (rho &[x1 // x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas vsubstAll-preserve-wls = vsubst-preserves-wls vsubstAbs-preserves-wls
vsubstInp-preserves-wls vsubstBinp-preserves-wls vsubstEnv-preserves-wls

lemmas all-preserve-wls = Cons-preserve-wls swapAll-preserve-wls psubstAll-preserve-wls
envOps-preserve-wls
substAll-preserve-wls vsubstAll-preserve-wls

```

7.6 Simplification rules for swapping, substitution, freshness and skeleton

theorem *wls-swap-Op-simp*[simp]:

assumes *wlsInp delta inp* **and** *wlsBinp delta binp*

shows

$$((Op\ delta\ inp\ binp)\ #[x_1 \wedge x_2]\text{-}xs) = \\ Op\ delta\ (inp\ %[x_1 \wedge x_2]\text{-}xs)\ (binp\ %%[x_1 \wedge x_2]\text{-}xs)$$

(proof)

theorem *wls-swapAbs-simp*[simp]:

assumes *wls s X*

shows $((Abs\ xs\ x\ X)\ \$[y_1 \wedge y_2]\text{-}ys) = Abs\ xs\ (x @xs[y_1 \wedge y_2]\text{-}ys)\ (X\ #[y_1 \wedge y_2]\text{-}ys)$

(proof)

lemmas *wls-swapAll-simps* =

swap-Var-simp wls-swap-Op-simp wls-swapAbs-simp

theorem *wls-fresh-Op-simp*[simp]:

assumes *wlsInp delta inp* **and** *wlsBinp delta binp*

shows

$$fresh\ xs\ x\ (Op\ delta\ inp\ binp) = \\ (freshInp\ xs\ x\ inp \wedge freshBinp\ xs\ x\ binp)$$

(proof)

theorem *wls-freshAbs-simp*[simp]:

assumes *wls s X*

shows *freshAbs ys y (Abs xs x X) = (ys = xs \wedge y = x \vee fresh ys y X)*

(proof)

lemmas *wls-freshAll-simps* =

fresh-Var-simp wls-fresh-Op-simp wls-freshAbs-simp

theorem *wls-skel-Op-simp*[simp]:

assumes *wlsInp delta inp* **and** *wlsBinp delta binp*

shows

$$skel\ (Op\ delta\ inp\ binp) = Branch\ (skelInp\ inp)\ (skelBinp\ binp)$$

(proof)

lemma *wls-skelInp-def2*:

assumes *wlsInp delta inp*

shows *skelInp inp = lift skel inp*

(proof)

```

lemma wls-skelBinp-def2:
assumes wlsBinp delta binp
shows skelBinp binp = lift skelAbs binp
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-skelAbs-simp[simp]:
assumes wls s X
shows skelAbs (Abs xs x X) = Branch (λi. Some (skel X)) Map.empty
⟨proof⟩

lemmas wls-skelAll-simps =
skel-Var-simp wls-skel-Op-simp wls-skelAbs-simp

theorem wls-psubst-Var-simp1[simp]:
assumes wlsEnv rho and rho xs x = None
shows ((Var xs x) #[rho]) = Var xs x
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-psubst-Var-simp2[simp]:
assumes wlsEnv rho and rho xs x = Some X
shows ((Var xs x) #[rho]) = X
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-psubst-Op-simp[simp]:
assumes wlsInp delta inp and wlsBinp delta binp and wlsEnv rho
shows ((Op delta inp binp) #[rho]) = Op delta (inp %[rho]) (binp % %[rho])
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-psubstAbs-simp[simp]:
assumes wls s X and wlsEnv rho and freshEnv xs x rho
shows ((Abs xs x X) ${rho}) = Abs xs x (X #[rho])
⟨proof⟩

lemmas wls-psubstAll-simps =
wls-psubst-Var-simp1 wls-psubst-Var-simp2 wls-psubst-Op-simp wls-psubstAbs-simp

lemmas wls-envOps-simps =
getEnv-idEnv getEnv-updEnv1 getEnv-updEnv2

theorem wls-subst-Var-simp1[simp]:
assumes wls (asSort ys) Y
and ys ≠ xs ∨ y ≠ x
shows ((Var xs x) #[Y / y]-ys) = Var xs x
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem wls-subst-Var-simp2[simp]:
assumes wls (asSort xs) Y
shows ((Var xs x) #[Y / x]-xs) = Y
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-subst-Op-simp[simp]:
assumes wls (asSort ys) Y
and wlsInp delta inp and wlsBinp delta binp
shows
((Op delta inp binp) #[Y / y]-ys) =
Op delta (inp %[Y / y]-ys) (binp %%[Y / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-substAbs-simp[simp]:
assumes wls (asSort ys) Y
and wls s X and xs ≠ ys ∨ x ≠ y and fresh xs x Y
shows ((Abs xs x X) ${[Y / y]-ys}) = Abs xs x (X #[Y / y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas wls-substAll-simps =
wls-subst-Var-simp1 wls-subst-Var-simp2 wls-subst-Op-simp wls-substAbs-simp

theorem wls-vsubst-Op-simp[simp]:
assumes wlsInp delta inp and wlsBinp delta binp
shows
((Op delta inp binp) #[y1 // y]-ys) =
Op delta (inp %[y1 // y]-ys) (binp %%[y1 // y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-vsubstAbs-simp[simp]:
assumes wls s X and
xs ≠ ys ∨ x ∉ {y,y1}
shows ((Abs xs x X) ${[y1 // y]-ys}) = Abs xs x (X #[y1 // y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas wls-vsubstAll-simps =
vsubst-Var-simp wls-vsubst-Op-simp wls-vsubstAbs-simp

theorem wls-swapped-skel:
assumes wls s X and (X, Y) ∈ swapped
shows skel Y = skel X
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-obtain-rep:
assumes wls s X and FRESH: fresh xs x' X

```

shows $\exists X'. \text{skel } X' = \text{skel } X \wedge (X, X') \in \text{swapped} \wedge \text{wls } s X' \wedge \text{Abs } xs x X = \text{Abs } xs x' X'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemmas wls-allOps-simps =
wls-swapAll-simps
wls-freshAll-simps
wls-skelAll-simps
wls-envOps-simps
wls-psubstAll-simps
wls-substAll-simps
wls-vsubstAll-simps
```

7.7 The ability to pick fresh variables

theorem wls-single-non-fresh-ordLess-var:
 $\text{wls } s X \implies |\{x. \neg \text{fresh } xs x X\}| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem wls-single-non-freshAbs-ordLess-var:
 $\text{wlsAbs } (us,s) A \implies |\{x. \neg \text{freshAbs } xs x A\}| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem wls-obtain-fresh:
fixes $V :: \text{'varSort} \Rightarrow \text{'var set}$ **and**
 $XS :: \text{'sort} \Rightarrow (\text{'index}, \text{'bindex}, \text{'varSort}, \text{'var}, \text{'opSym}) \text{term set}$ **and**
 $AS :: \text{'varSort} \Rightarrow \text{'sort} \Rightarrow (\text{'index}, \text{'bindex}, \text{'varSort}, \text{'var}, \text{'opSym}) \text{abs set}$ **and**
 $Rho :: (\text{'index}, \text{'bindex}, \text{'varSort}, \text{'var}, \text{'opSym}) \text{env set}$ **and** zs
assumes $VVar: \forall xs. |V xs| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| \vee \text{finite } (V xs)$
and $XSVar: \forall s. |XS s| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| \vee \text{finite } (XS s)$
and $ASVar: \forall xs s. |AS xs s| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| \vee \text{finite } (AS xs s)$
and $XSwls: \forall s. \forall X \in XS s. \text{wls } s X$
and $ASwls: \forall xs s. \forall A \in AS xs s. \text{wlsAbs } (xs, s) A$
and $RhoVar: |Rho| <_o |\text{UNIV} :: \text{'var set}| \vee \text{finite } Rho$
and $Rhowls: \forall rho \in Rho. \text{wlsEnv } rho$
shows
 $\exists z. (\forall xs. z \notin V xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall s. \forall X \in XS s. \text{fresh } zs z X) \wedge$
 $(\forall xs s. \forall A \in AS xs s. \text{freshAbs } zs z A) \wedge$
 $(\forall rho \in Rho. \text{freshEnv } zs z rho)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem wls-obtain-fresh-paramS:
assumes $wlsPar P$
shows
 $\exists z.$
 $(\forall xs. z \notin \text{varsOfS } P xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall s. \forall X \in \text{termsOfS } P s. \text{fresh } zs z X) \wedge$
 $(\forall us s. \forall A \in \text{absOfS } P (us, s). \text{freshAbs } zs z A) \wedge$

$(\forall \rho \in \text{envsOfS } P. \text{freshEnv } z s \rho)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wlsAbs-freshAbs-nchotomy*:
assumes $A: \text{wlsAbs } (xs,s) A$ **and** $\text{fresh}: \text{freshAbs } xs x A$
shows $\exists X. \text{wls } s X \wedge A = \text{Abs } xs x X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wlsAbs-fresh-nchotomy*:
assumes $A: \text{wlsAbs } (xs,s) A$ **and** $P: \text{wlsPar } P$
shows $\exists x X. A = \text{Abs } xs x X \wedge$
 $\text{wls } s X \wedge$
 $(\forall ys. x \notin \text{varsOfS } P ys) \wedge$
 $(\forall s'. \forall Y \in \text{termsOfS } P s'. \text{fresh } xs x Y) \wedge$
 $(\forall us s'. \forall B \in \text{absOfS } P (us,s'). \text{freshAbs } xs x B) \wedge$
 $(\forall \rho \in \text{envsOfS } P. \text{freshEnv } xs x \rho)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wlsAbs-fresh-cases*:
assumes $\text{wlsAbs } (xs,s) A$ **and** $\text{wlsPar } P$
and $\bigwedge x X.$
 $\llbracket \text{wls } s X;$
 $\bigwedge ys. x \notin \text{varsOfS } P ys;$
 $\bigwedge s' Y. Y \in \text{termsOfS } P s' \implies \text{fresh } xs x Y;$
 $\bigwedge us s' B. B \in \text{absOfS } P (us,s') \implies \text{freshAbs } xs x B;$
 $\bigwedge \rho. \rho \in \text{envsOfS } P \implies \text{freshEnv } xs x \rho \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{phi } (xs,s) (\text{Abs } xs x X) P$
shows $\text{phi } (xs,s) A P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.8 Compositionality properties of freshness and swapping

7.8.1 W.r.t. terms

theorem *wls-swap-ident[simp]*:
assumes $\text{wls } s X$
shows $(X \#[x \wedge x]-xs) = X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wls-swap-compose*:
assumes $\text{wls } s X$
shows $((X \#[x \wedge y]-zs) \#[x' \wedge y']-zs') =$
 $((X \#[x' \wedge y']-zs') \#[((x @zs[x' \wedge y']-zs') \wedge (y @zs[x' \wedge y']-zs'))-zs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wls-swap-commute*:
 $\llbracket \text{wls } s X; zs \neq zs' \vee \{x,y\} \cap \{x',y'\} = \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $((X \#[x \wedge y]-zs) \#[x' \wedge y']-zs') = ((X \#[x' \wedge y']-zs') \#[x \wedge y]-zs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wls-swap-involutive*[simp]:
assumes *wls s X*
shows $((X \# [x \wedge y]-zs) \# [x \wedge y]-zs) = X$
{proof}

theorem *wls-swap-inj*[simp]:
assumes *wls s X and wls s X'*
shows
 $((X \# [x \wedge y]-zs) = (X' \# [x \wedge y]-zs)) =$
 $(X = X')$
{proof}

theorem *wls-swap-involutive2*[simp]:
assumes *wls s X*
shows $((X \# [x \wedge y]-zs) \# [y \wedge x]-zs) = X$
{proof}

theorem *wls-swap-preserves-fresh*[simp]:
assumes *wls s X*
shows *fresh xs (x @xs[y1 \wedge y2]-ys) (X \# [y1 \wedge y2]-ys) = fresh xs x X*
{proof}

theorem *wls-swap-preserves-fresh-distinct*:
assumes *wls s X and*
 $xs \neq ys \vee x \notin \{y1, y2\}$
shows *fresh xs x (X \# [y1 \wedge y2]-ys) = fresh xs x X*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-swap-exchange1*:
assumes *wls s X*
shows *fresh xs x2 (X \# [x1 \wedge x2]-xs) = fresh xs x1 X*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-swap-exchange2*:
assumes *wls s X*
shows *fresh xs x2 (X \# [x2 \wedge x1]-xs) = fresh xs x1 X*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-swap-id*[simp]:
assumes *wls s X and fresh xs x1 X and fresh xs x2 X*
shows $(X \# [x1 \wedge x2]-xs) = X$
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-swap-compose*:
assumes *wls s X and fresh xs y X and fresh xs z X*
shows $((X \# [y \wedge x]-xs) \# [z \wedge y]-xs) = (X \# [z \wedge x]-xs)$
{proof}

theorem *wls-skel-swap*:
assumes *wls s X*
shows *skel (X #[x1 ∧ x2]-xs) = skel X*
(proof)

7.8.2 W.r.t. environments

theorem *wls-swapEnv-ident[simp]*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho*
shows *(rho &[x ∧ x]-xs) = rho*
(proof)

theorem *wls-swapEnv-compose*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho*
shows *((rho &[x ∧ y]-zs) &[x' ∧ y']-zs') = ((rho &[x' ∧ y']-zs') &[(x @zs[x' ∧ y']-zs') ∧ (y @zs[x' ∧ y']-zs')]-zs)*
(proof)

theorem *wls-swapEnv-commute*:
 $\llbracket wlsEnv \rho; zs \neq zs' \vee \{x,y\} \cap \{x',y'\} = \{\} \rrbracket \implies ((\rho &[x \wedge y]-zs) \&[x' \wedge y']-zs') = ((\rho &[x' \wedge y']-zs') \&[x \wedge y]-zs)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-swapEnv-involutive[simp]*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho*
shows *((rho &[x ∧ y]-zs) &[x ∧ y]-zs) = rho*
(proof)

theorem *wls-swapEnv-inj[simp]*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho'*
shows *((rho &[x ∧ y]-zs) = (rho' &[x ∧ y]-zs)) = (rho = rho')*
(proof)

theorem *wls-swapEnv-involutive2[simp]*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho*
shows *((rho &[x ∧ y]-zs) &[y ∧ x]-zs) = rho*
(proof)

theorem *wls-swapEnv-preserves-freshEnv[simp]*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho*
shows *freshEnv xs (x @xs[y1 ∧ y2]-ys) (rho &[y1 ∧ y2]-ys) = freshEnv xs x rho*
(proof)

theorem *wls-swapEnv-preserves-freshEnv-distinct*:

```

assumes wlsEnv rho
  xs ≠ ys ∨ x ∉ {y1,y2}
shows freshEnv xs x (rho &[y1 ∧ y2]-ys) = freshEnv xs x rho
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-freshEnv-swapEnv-exchange1:
assumes wlsEnv rho
shows freshEnv xs x2 (rho &[x1 ∧ x2]-xs) = freshEnv xs x1 rho
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-freshEnv-swapEnv-exchange2:
assumes wlsEnv rho
shows freshEnv xs x2 (rho &[x2 ∧ x1]-xs) = freshEnv xs x1 rho
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-freshEnv-swapEnv-id[simp]:
assumes wlsEnv rho and freshEnv xs x1 rho and freshEnv xs x2 rho
shows (rho &[x1 ∧ x2]-xs) = rho
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem wls-freshEnv-swapEnv-compose:
assumes wlsEnv rho and freshEnv xs y rho and freshEnv xs z rho
shows ((rho &[y ∧ x]-xs) &[z ∧ y]-xs) = (rho &[z ∧ x]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

7.8.3 W.r.t. abstractions

```

theorem wls-swapAbs-ident[simp]:
wlsAbs (us,s) A ⇒ (A $[x ∧ x]-xs) = A
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-swapAbs-compose:
wlsAbs (us,s) A ⇒
((A $[x ∧ y]-zs) $[x' ∧ y']-zs') =
((A $[x' ∧ y']-zs') $[(x @zs[x' ∧ y']-zs') ∧ (y @zs[x' ∧ y']-zs')]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem wls-swapAbs-commute:
assumes zs ≠ zs' ∨ {x,y} ∩ {x',y'} = {}
shows
wlsAbs (us,s) A ⇒
((A $[x ∧ y]-zs) $[x' ∧ y']-zs') = ((A $[x' ∧ y']-zs') $[x ∧ y]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem wls-swapAbs-involutive[simp]:
wlsAbs (us,s) A ⇒ ((A $[x ∧ y]-zs) $[x ∧ y]-zs) = A
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem wls-swapAbs-sym:

wlsAbs (*us,s*) *A* \implies (*A* $\$[x \wedge y]$ -zs) = (*A* $\$[y \wedge x]$ -zs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-swapAbs-inj*[simp]:
assumes *wlsAbs* (*us,s*) *A* **and** *wlsAbs* (*us,s*) *A'*
shows
 $((A \$[x \wedge y]$ -zs) = (*A'* $\$[x \wedge y]$ -zs)) =
 $(A = A')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-swapAbs-involutive2*[simp]:
wlsAbs (*us,s*) *A* \implies ((*A* $\$[x \wedge y]$ -zs) $\$[y \wedge x]$ -zs) = *A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-swapAbs-preserves-freshAbs*[simp]:
wlsAbs (*us,s*) *A*
 \implies *freshAbs* *xs* (*x* @*xs*[*y1* \wedge *y2*]-*ys*) (*A* $\$[y1 \wedge y2]$ -*ys*) = *freshAbs* *xs* *x A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-swapAbs-preserves-freshAbs-distinct*:
 $\llbracket wlsAbs (us,s) A; xs \neq ys \vee x \notin \{y1, y2\} \rrbracket$
 \implies *freshAbs* *xs* *x* (*A* $\$[y1 \wedge y2]$ -*ys*) = *freshAbs* *xs* *x A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-freshAbs-swapAbs-exchange1*:
wlsAbs (*us,s*) *A*
 \implies *freshAbs* *xs* *x2* (*A* $\$[x1 \wedge x2]$ -*xs*) = *freshAbs* *xs* *x1 A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-freshAbs-swapAbs-exchange2*:
wlsAbs (*us,s*) *A*
 \implies *freshAbs* *xs* *x2* (*A* $\$[x2 \wedge x1]$ -*xs*) = *freshAbs* *xs* *x1 A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-freshAbs-swapAbs-id*[simp]:
assumes *wlsAbs* (*us,s*) *A*
and *freshAbs* *xs* *x1 A* **and** *freshAbs* *xs* *x2 A*
shows (*A* $\$[x1 \wedge x2]$ -*xs*) = *A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wls-freshAbs-swapAbs-compose-aux*:
 $\llbracket wlsAbs (us,s) A; wlsPar P \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x y z. \{x, y, z\} \subseteq varsOfS P xs \wedge freshAbs xs y A \wedge freshAbs xs z A \longrightarrow$
 $((A \$[y \wedge x]$ -*xs*) $\$[z \wedge y]$ -*xs*) = (*A* $\$[z \wedge x]$ -*xs*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-freshAbs-swapAbs-compose*:
assumes *wlsAbs* (*us,s*) *A*
and *freshAbs* *xs* *y A* **and** *freshAbs* *xs* *z A*

```

shows (( $A \$[y \wedge x]$ -xs)  $\$[z \wedge y]$ -xs) = ( $A \$[z \wedge x]$ -xs)
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-skelAbs-swapAbs:
wlsAbs (us,s) A
⇒ skelAbs (A  $\$[x1 \wedge x2]$ -xs) = skelAbs A
⟨proof⟩

lemmas wls-swapAll-freshAll-otherSimps =
wls-swap-ident wls-swap-involutive wls-swap-inj wls-swap-involutive2 wls-swap-preserves-fresh
wls-fresh-swap-id

wls-swapAbs-ident wls-swapAbs-involutive wls-swapAbs-inj wls-swapAbs-involutive2
wls-swapAbs-preserves-freshAbs
wls-freshAbs-swapAbs-id

wls-swapEnv-ident wls-swapEnv-involutive wls-swapEnv-inj wls-swapEnv-involutive2
wls-swapEnv-preserves-freshEnv
wls-freshEnv-swapEnv-id

```

7.9 Compositionality properties for the other operators

7.9.1 Environment identity, update and “get” versus other operators

theorem wls-psubst-idEnv[simp]:
wls s X ⇒ (X #[idEnv]) = X
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-psubstEnv-idEnv-id[simp]:
wlsEnv rho ⇒ (rho &[idEnv]) = rho
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-swapEnv-updEnv-fresh:
assumes zs ≠ ys ∨ y ∉ {z1,z2} **and** wls (asSort ys) Y
and fresh zs z1 Y **and** fresh zs z2 Y
shows ((rho [y ← Y]-ys) &[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) = ((rho &[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) [y ← Y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

7.9.2 Substitution versus other operators

theorem wls-fresh-psubst:
assumes wls s X **and** wlsEnv rho
shows
fresh zs z (X #[rho]) =
(∀ ys y. fresh ys y X ∨ freshImEnvAt zs z rho ys y)
⟨proof⟩

theorem *wls-fresh-psubst-E1*:
assumes *wls s X and wlsEnv rho*
and *rho ys y = None and fresh zs z (X #[rho])*
shows *fresh ys y X ∨ (ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z)*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-psubst-E2*:
assumes *wls s X and wlsEnv rho*
and *rho ys y = Some Y and fresh zs z (X #[rho])*
shows *fresh ys y X ∨ fresh zs z Y*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-psubst-I1*:
assumes *wls s X and wlsEnv rho*
and *fresh zs z X and freshEnv zs z rho*
shows *fresh zs z (X #[rho])*
{proof}

theorem *wls-psubstEnv-preserves-freshEnv*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho'*
and *fresh: freshEnv zs z rho ∘ freshEnv zs z rho'*
shows *freshEnv zs z (rho &[rho'])*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-psubst-I*:
assumes *wls s X and wlsEnv rho*
and *rho zs z = None ⇒ fresh zs z X and*
 $\bigwedge ys y. rho ys y = Some Y \Rightarrow fresh ys y X \vee fresh zs z Y$
shows *fresh zs z (X #[rho])*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-subst*:
assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y*
shows *fresh zs z (X #[Y / y]-ys) =*
 $((zs = ys \wedge z = y) \vee fresh zs z X) \wedge (fresh ys y X \vee fresh zs z Y))$
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-vsubst*:
assumes *wls s X*
shows *fresh zs z (X #[y1 // y]-ys) =*
 $((zs = ys \wedge z = y) \vee fresh zs z X) \wedge (fresh ys y X \vee (zs \neq ys \vee z \neq y))$
{proof}

theorem *wls-subst-preserves-fresh*:
assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y*
and *fresh zs z X and fresh zs z Y*
shows *fresh zs z (X #[Y / y]-ys)*
{proof}

theorem *wls-substEnv-preserves-freshEnv*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho and wls (asSort ys) Y*
and *freshEnv zs z rho and fresh zs z Y and zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y*
shows *freshEnv zs z (rho &[Y / y]-ys)*
{proof}

theorem *wls-vsubst-preserves-fresh*:
assumes *wls s X*
and *fresh zs z X and zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y1*
shows *fresh zs z (X #[y1 // y]-ys)*
{proof}

theorem *wls-vsubstEnv-preserves-freshEnv*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho*
and *freshEnv zs z rho and zs ≠ ys ∨ z ∈ {y,y1}*
shows *freshEnv zs z (rho &[y1 // y]-ys)*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-fresh-subst[simp]*:
assumes *wls (asSort ys) Y and wls s X*
and *fresh ys y Y*
shows *fresh ys y (X #[Y / y]-ys)*
{proof}

theorem *wls-diff-fresh-vsubst[simp]*:
assumes *wls s X*
and *y ≠ y1*
shows *fresh ys y (X #[y1 // y]-ys)*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-subst-E1*:
assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y*
and *fresh zs z (X #[Y / y]-ys) and zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y*
shows *fresh zs z X*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-vsubst-E1*:
assumes *wls s X*
and *fresh zs z (X #[y1 // y]-ys) and zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y*
shows *fresh zs z X*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-subst-E2*:
assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y*
and *fresh zs z (X #[Y / y]-ys)*
shows *fresh ys y X ∨ fresh zs z Y*
{proof}

theorem *wls-fresh-vsubst-E2*:

```

assumes wls s X
and fresh zs z (X #[y1 // y]-ys)
shows fresh ys y X ∨ zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y1
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-psubst-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes wls s X and wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho'
and ⋀ ys y. fresh ys y X ∨ rho ys y = rho' ys y
shows (X #[rho]) = (X #[rho'])
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-fresh-psubst-updEnv:
assumes wls (asSort ys) Y and wls s X and wlsEnv rho
and fresh ys y X
shows (X #[rho [y ← Y]-ys]) = (X #[rho])
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-freshEnv-psubst-ident[simp]:
assumes wls s X and wlsEnv rho
and ⋀ zs z. freshEnv zs z rho ∨ fresh zs z X
shows (X #[rho]) = X
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-fresh-subst-ident[simp]:
assumes wls (asSort ys) Y and wls s X and fresh ys y X
shows (X #[Y / y]-ys) = X
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-substEnv-updEnv-fresh:
assumes wls (asSort xs) X and wls (asSort ys) Y and fresh ys y X
shows ((rho [x ← X]-xs) & [Y / y]-ys) = ((rho & [Y / y]-ys) [x ← X]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-fresh-substEnv-updEnv[simp]:
assumes wlsEnv rho and wls (asSort ys) Y
and freshEnv ys y rho
shows (rho & [Y / y]-ys) = (rho [y ← Y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-fresh-vsubst-ident[simp]:
assumes wls s X and fresh ys y X
shows (X #[y1 // y]-ys) = X
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-vsubstEnv-updEnv-fresh:
assumes wls s X and fresh ys y X
shows ((rho [x ← X]-xs) & [y1 // y]-ys) = ((rho & [y1 // y]-ys) [x ← X]-xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem *wls-fresh-vsubstEnv-updEnv[simp]*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho*

and *freshEnv ys y rho*

shows $(\rho \& [y_1 // y] \cdot ys) = (\rho [y \leftarrow \text{Var } ys \ y_1] \cdot ys)$

{proof}

theorem *wls-swap-psubst*:

assumes *wls s X and wlsEnv rho*

shows $((X \# [\rho]) \#[z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) = ((X \# [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) \# [\rho \& [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs])$

{proof}

theorem *wls-swap-subst*:

assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y*

shows $((X \# [Y / y] \cdot ys) \#[z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) = ((X \# [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) \# [(Y \# [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) / (y @ ys[z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs)] \cdot ys)$

{proof}

theorem *wls-swap-vsubst*:

assumes *wls s X*

shows $((X \# [y_1 // y] \cdot ys) \#[z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) = ((X \# [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) \# [(y_1 @ ys[z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) / (y @ ys[z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs)] \cdot ys)$

{proof}

theorem *wls-swapEnv-psubstEnv*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho'*

shows $((\rho \& [\rho']) \& [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) = ((\rho \& [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) \& [\rho' \& [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs])$

{proof}

theorem *wls-swapEnv-substEnv*:

assumes *wls (asSort ys) Y and wlsEnv rho*

shows $((\rho \& [Y / y] \cdot ys) \& [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) = ((\rho \& [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) \& [(Y \# [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) / (y @ ys[z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs)] \cdot ys)$

{proof}

theorem *wls-swapEnv-vsubstEnv*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho*

shows $((\rho \& [y_1 // y] \cdot ys) \& [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) = ((\rho \& [z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) \& [(y_1 @ ys[z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs) / (y @ ys[z_1 \wedge z_2] \cdot zs)] \cdot ys)$

{proof}

theorem *wls-psubst-compose*:

assumes *wls s X and wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho'*

shows $((X \# [\rho]) \# [\rho']) = (X \# [(\rho \& [\rho'])])$

{proof}

theorem *wls-psubstEnv-compose*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho' and wlsEnv rho''*

shows $((\rho \& [\rho']) \& [\rho'']) = (\rho \& [(\rho' \& [\rho''])])$

{proof}

theorem *wls-psubst-subst-compose*:

assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y and wlsEnv rho*
shows $((X \# [Y / y]-ys) \#[rho]) = (X \# [(rho [y \leftarrow (Y \# [rho])-ys)])])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-psubst-subst-compose-freshEnv*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y and freshEnv ys y rho*
shows $((X \# [Y / y]-ys) \#[rho]) = ((X \#[rho]) \# [(Y \# [rho]) / y]-ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-psubstEnv-substEnv-compose-freshEnv*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho' and wls (asSort ys) Y and freshEnv ys y rho'*
shows $((rho \& [Y / y]-ys) \& [rho']) = ((rho \& [rho']) \& [(Y \# [rho']) / y]-ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-psubstEnv-substEnv-compose*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wls (asSort ys) Y and wlsEnv rho'*
shows $((rho \& [Y / y]-ys) \& [rho']) = (rho \& [(rho' [y \leftarrow (Y \# [rho'])]-ys)])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-psubst-vsubst-compose*:

assumes *wls s X and wlsEnv rho*
shows $((X \#[y1 // y]-ys) \#[rho]) = (X \# [(rho [y \leftarrow ((Var ys y1) \# [rho])-ys)])])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-psubstEnv-vsubstEnv-compose*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho'*
shows $((rho \& [y1 // y]-ys) \& [rho']) = (rho \& [(rho' [y \leftarrow ((Var ys y1) \# [rho'])]-ys)])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-subst-psubst-compose*:

assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y and wlsEnv rho*
shows $((X \#[rho]) \# [Y / y]-ys) = (X \# [(rho \& [Y / y]-ys)])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-substEnv-psubstEnv-compose*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wls (asSort ys) Y and wlsEnv rho'*
shows $((rho \& [rho']) \& [Y / y]-ys) = (rho \& [(rho' \& [Y / y]-ys)])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-vsubst-psubst-compose*:

assumes *wls s X and wlsEnv rho*
shows $((X \#[rho]) \#[y1 // y]-ys) = (X \# [(rho \& [y1 // y]-ys)])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-vsubstEnv-psubstEnv-compose*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho* **and** *wlsEnv rho'*
shows $((\rho \& [\rho']) \& [y1 // y]-ys) = (\rho \& [(\rho' \& [y1 // y]-ys)])$
(proof)

theorem *wls-subst-compose1*:
assumes *wls s X* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y1* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y2*
shows $((X \# [Y1 / y]-ys) \# [Y2 / y]-ys) = (X \# [(Y1 \# [Y2 / y]-ys) / y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-substEnv-compose1*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y1* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y2*
shows $((\rho \& [Y1 / y]-ys) \& [Y2 / y]-ys) = (\rho \& [(Y1 \# [Y2 / y]-ys) / y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-subst-vsubst-compose1*:
assumes *wls s X* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y* **and** $y \neq y_1$
shows $((X \# [y1 // y]-ys) \# [Y / y]-ys) = (X \# [y1 // y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-substEnv-vsubstEnv-compose1*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y* **and** $y \neq y_1$
shows $((\rho \& [y1 // y]-ys) \& [Y / y]-ys) = (\rho \& [y1 // y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubst-subst-compose1*:
assumes *wls s X* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y*
shows $((X \# [Y / y]-ys) \# [y1 // y]-ys) = (X \# [(Y \# [y1 // y]-ys) / y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstEnv-substEnv-compose1*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y*
shows $((\rho \& [Y / y]-ys) \& [y1 // y]-ys) = (\rho \& [(Y \# [y1 // y]-ys) / y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubst-compose1*:
assumes *wls s X*
shows $((X \# [y1 // y]-ys) \# [y2 // y]-ys) = (X \# [(y1 @ys[y2 / y]-ys) // y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstEnv-compose1*:
assumes *wlsEnv rho*
shows $((\rho \& [y1 // y]-ys) \& [y2 // y]-ys) = (\rho \& [(y1 @ys[y2 / y]-ys) // y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-subst-compose2*:
assumes *wls s X* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y* **and** *wls (asSort zs) Z*
and $ys \neq zs \vee y \neq z$ **and** *fresh: fresh ys y Z*
shows $((X \# [Y / y]-ys) \# [Z / z]-zs) = ((X \# [Z / z]-zs) \# [(Y \# [Z / z]-zs) / y]-ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-substEnv-compose2*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wls (asSort ys) Y and wls (asSort zs) Z*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z*
shows $((\rho \& [Y / y]-ys) \& [Z / z]-zs) = ((\rho \& [Z / z]-zs) \& [(Y \#[Z / z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-subst-vsubst-compose2*:

assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort zs) Z*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z*
shows $((X \#[y1 // y]-ys) \#[Z / z]-zs) = ((X \#[Z / z]-zs) \#[((Var ys y1) \#[Z / z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-substEnv-vsubstEnv-compose2*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wls (asSort zs) Z*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z*
shows $((\rho \& [y1 // y]-ys) \& [Z / z]-zs) = ((\rho \& [Z / z]-zs) \& [(Var ys y1) \#[Z / z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-vsubst-subst-compose2*:

assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∈ {z, z1}*
shows $((X \#[Y / y]-ys) \#[z1 // z]-zs) = ((X \#[z1 // z]-zs) \#[(Y \#[z1 // z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-vsubstEnv-substEnv-compose2*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wls (asSort ys) Y*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∈ {z, z1}*
shows $((\rho \& [Y / y]-ys) \& [z1 // z]-zs) = ((\rho \& [z1 // z]-zs) \& [(Y \#[z1 // z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-vsubst-compose2*:

assumes *wls s X*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∈ {z, z1}*
shows $((X \#[y1 // y]-ys) \#[z1 // z]-zs) = ((X \#[z1 // z]-zs) \#[(y1 @ys[z1 / z]-zs) // y]-ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-vsubstEnv-compose2*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∈ {z, z1}*
shows $((\rho \& [y1 // y]-ys) \& [z1 // z]-zs) = ((\rho \& [z1 // z]-zs) \& [(y1 @ys[z1 / z]-zs) // y]-ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

7.9.3 Properties specific to variable-for-variable substitution

theorem *wls-vsubst-ident[simp]*:

assumes *wls s X*

shows $(X \#[z // z]\text{-zs}) = X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-subst-ident[simp]*:

assumes *wls s X*

shows $(X \#[(\text{Var } zs z) / z]\text{-zs}) = X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-vsubst-eq-swap*:

assumes *wls s X and $y_1 = y_2 \vee \text{fresh } ys y_1 X$*

shows $(X \#[y_1 // y_2]\text{-ys}) = (X \#[y_1 \wedge y_2]\text{-ys})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-skel-vsubst*:

assumes *wls s X*

shows $\text{skel}(X \#[y_1 // y_2]\text{-ys}) = \text{skel } X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-subst-vsubst-trans*:

assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort ys) Y and fresh ys y1 X*

shows $((X \#[y_1 // y]\text{-ys}) \#[Y / y_1]\text{-ys}) = (X \#[Y / y]\text{-ys})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-vsubst-trans*:

assumes *wls s X and fresh ys y1 X*

shows $((X \#[y_1 // y]\text{-ys}) \#[y_2 // y_1]\text{-ys}) = (X \#[y_2 // y]\text{-ys})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-vsubst-commute*:

assumes *wls s X*

and $xs \neq xs' \vee \{x,y\} \cap \{x',y'\} = \{\}$ **and** $\text{fresh } xs x X$ **and** $\text{fresh } xs' x' X$

shows $((X \#[x // y]\text{-xs}) \#[x' // y']\text{-xs'}) = ((X \#[x' // y']\text{-xs'}) \#[x // y]\text{-xs})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-induct[case-names Var Op Abs]*:

assumes

Var: $\bigwedge xs x. \text{phi } (\text{asSort } xs) (\text{Var } xs x)$ and

Op:

$\bigwedge \text{delta inp binp.}$

$\llbracket \text{wlsInp delta inp; wlsBinp delta binp;}$

$\text{liftAll2 phi (arOf delta) inp; liftAll2 phiAbs (barOf delta) binp} \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{phi } (\text{stOf delta}) (\text{Op delta inp binp}) \text{ and}$
Abs:
 $\wedge s \text{ xs } x \text{ X}.$
 $\quad \llbracket \text{isInBar } (xs, s); \text{wls } s \text{ X};$
 $\quad \wedge Y. (X, Y) \in \text{swapped} \implies \text{phi } s \text{ Y};$
 $\quad \wedge ys \text{ y1 } y2. \text{phi } s (X \# [y1 // y2]-ys);$
 $\quad \wedge Y. \llbracket \text{wls } s \text{ Y}; \text{skel } Y = \text{skel } X \rrbracket \implies \text{phi } s \text{ Y} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{phiAbs } (xs, s) (\text{Abs xs } x \text{ X})$
shows
 $(\text{wls } s \text{ X} \longrightarrow \text{phi } s \text{ X}) \wedge$
 $(\text{wlsAbs } (xs, s') A \longrightarrow \text{phiAbs } (xs, s') A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wls-Abs-vsubst-all-aux:*

assumes *wls s X and wls s X'*

shows

$(\text{Abs xs } x \text{ X} = \text{Abs xs } x' \text{ X}') =$
 $(\forall y. (y = x \vee \text{fresh xs } y \text{ X}) \wedge (y = x' \vee \text{fresh xs } y \text{ X}') \longrightarrow$
 $(X \# [y // x]-xs) = (X' \# [y // x']-xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wls-Abs-vsubst-ex:*

assumes *wls s X and wls s X'*

shows

$(\text{Abs xs } x \text{ X} = \text{Abs xs } x' \text{ X}') =$
 $(\exists y. y \notin \{x, x'\} \wedge \text{fresh xs } y \text{ X} \wedge \text{fresh xs } y \text{ X}' \wedge$
 $(X \# [y // x]-xs) = (X' \# [y // x']-xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wls-Abs-vsubst-all:*

assumes *wls s X and wls s X'*

shows

$(\text{Abs xs } x \text{ X} = \text{Abs xs } x' \text{ X}') =$
 $(\forall y. (X \# [y // x]-xs) = (X' \# [y // x']-xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wls-Abs-subst-all:*

assumes *wls s X and wls s X'*

shows

$(\text{Abs xs } x \text{ X} = \text{Abs xs } x' \text{ X}') =$
 $(\forall Y. \text{wls } (\text{asSort xs}) Y \longrightarrow (X \# [Y / x]-xs) = (X' \# [Y / x']-xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Abs-inj-fresh[simp]:*

assumes *X: wls s X and X': wls s X'*

and *fresh-X: fresh ys x X and fresh-X': fresh ys x' X'*

and eq: *Abs ys x X = Abs ys x' X'*

shows *X = X'*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wls-Abs-vsubst-cong*:
assumes *wls s X* **and** *wls s X'*
and *fresh xs y X* **and** *fresh xs y X'* **and** $(X \#[y // x]\text{-}xs) = (X' \#[y // x']\text{-}xs)$
shows *Abs xs x X = Abs xs x' X'*
{proof}

theorem *wls-Abs-vsubst-fresh[simp]*:
assumes *wls s X* **and** *fresh xs x' X*
shows *Abs xs x' (X \#[x' // x]\text{-}xs) = Abs xs x X*
{proof}

theorem *wls-Abs-subst-Var-fresh[simp]*:
assumes *wls s X* **and** *fresh xs x' X*
shows *Abs xs x' (subst xs (Var xs x') x X) = Abs xs x X*
{proof}

theorem *wls-Abs-vsubst-congSTR*:
assumes *wls s X* **and** *wls s X'*
and $y = x \vee \text{fresh xs y } X \ y = x' \vee \text{fresh xs y } X'$
and $(X \#[y // x]\text{-}xs) = (X' \#[y // x']\text{-}xs)$
shows *Abs xs x X = Abs xs x' X'*
{proof}

7.9.4 Abstraction versions of the properties

theorem *wls-psubstAbs-idEnv[simp]*:
wlsAbs (us,s) A \implies *(A \\$[idEnv]) = A*
{proof}

theorem *wls-freshAbs-psubstAbs*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A* **and** *wlsEnv rho*
shows
freshAbs zs z (A \\$[rho]) =
 $(\forall ys y. \text{freshAbs } ys y A \vee \text{freshImEnvAt } zs z rho ys y)$
{proof}

theorem *wls-freshAbs-psubstAbs-E1*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A* **and** *wlsEnv rho*
and *rho ys y = None* **and** *freshAbs zs z (A \\$[rho])*
shows *freshAbs ys y A \vee (ys \neq zs \vee y \neq z)*
{proof}

theorem *wls-freshAbs-psubstAbs-E2*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A* **and** *wlsEnv rho*
and *rho ys y = Some Y* **and** *freshAbs zs z (A \\$[rho])*
shows *freshAbs ys y A \vee fresh zs z Y*

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-freshAbs-psubstAbs-I1*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A* **and** *wlsEnv rho*
and *freshAbs zs z A* **and** *freshEnv zs z rho*
shows *freshAbs zs z (A \$[rho])*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-freshAbs-psubstAbs-I*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A* **and** *wlsEnv rho*
and *rho zs z = None* \implies *freshAbs zs z A* **and**
 $\quad \wedge ys y. rho ys y = Some Y \implies freshAbs ys y A \vee fresh zs z Y$
shows *freshAbs zs z (A \$[rho])*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-freshAbs-substAbs*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y*
shows *freshAbs zs z (A \$[Y / y]-ys) =*
 $((zs = ys \wedge z = y) \vee freshAbs zs z A) \wedge (freshAbs ys y A \vee fresh zs z Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-freshAbs-vsubstAbs*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A*
shows *freshAbs zs z (A \$[y1 // y]-ys) =*
 $((zs = ys \wedge z = y) \vee freshAbs zs z A) \wedge$
 $(freshAbs ys y A \vee (zs \neq ys \vee z \neq y1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-substAbs-preserves-freshAbs*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A* **and** *wls (asSort ys) Y*
and *freshAbs zs z A* **and** *fresh zs z Y*
shows *freshAbs zs z (A \$[Y / y]-ys)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-vsubstAbs-preserves-freshAbs*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A*
and *freshAbs zs z A* **and** *zs \neq ys \vee z \neq y1*
shows *freshAbs zs z (A \$[y1 // y]-ys)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-fresh-freshAbs-substAbs[simp]*:
assumes *wls (asSort ys) Y* **and** *wlsAbs (us,s) A*
and *fresh ys y Y*
shows *freshAbs ys y (A \$[Y / y]-ys)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wls-diff-freshAbs-vsubstAbs[simp]*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A*
and *y \neq y1*

```

shows freshAbs ys y (A $[y1 // y]-ys)
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-freshAbs-substAbs-E1:
assumes wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y
and freshAbs zs z (A $[Y / y]-ys) and z ≠ y ∨ zs ≠ ys
shows freshAbs zs z A
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-freshAbs-vsubstAbs-E1:
assumes wlsAbs (us,s) A
and freshAbs zs z (A $[y1 // y]-ys) and z ≠ y ∨ zs ≠ ys
shows freshAbs zs z A
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-freshAbs-substAbs-E2:
assumes wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y
and freshAbs zs z (A $[Y / y]-ys)
shows freshAbs ys y A ∨ fresh zs z Y
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-freshAbs-vsubstAbs-E2:
assumes wlsAbs (us,s) A
and freshAbs zs z (A $[y1 // y]-ys)
shows freshAbs ys y A ∨ zs ≠ ys ∨ z ≠ y1
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-psubstAbs-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes wlsAbs (us,s) A and wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho'
and ⋀ ys y. freshAbs ys y A ∨ rho ys y = rho' ys y
shows (A $[rho]) = (A $[rho'])
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-freshAbs-psubstAbs-updEnv:
assumes wls (asSort xs) X and wlsAbs (us,s) A and wlsEnv rho
and freshAbs xs x A
shows (A $[rho [x ← X]-xs]) = (A $[rho])
⟨proof⟩

lemma wls-freshEnv-psubstAbs-ident[simp]:
assumes wlsAbs (us,s) A and wlsEnv rho
and ⋀ zs z. freshEnv zs z rho ∨ freshAbs zs z A
shows (A $[rho]) = A
⟨proof⟩

theorem wls-freshAbs-substAbs-ident[simp]:
assumes wls (asSort xs) X and wlsAbs (us,s) A and freshAbs xs x A
shows (A $[X / x]-xs) = A
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem *wls-substAbs-Abs[simp]*:

assumes *wls s X and wls (asSort xs) Y*

shows $((\text{Abs } xs \ x \ X) \$[Y / x]\text{-}xs) = \text{Abs } xs \ x \ X$

(proof)

theorem *wls-freshAbs-vsubstAbs-ident[simp]*:

assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and freshAbs xs x A*

shows $(A \$[x1 // x]\text{-}xs) = A$

(proof)

theorem *wls-swapAbs-psubstAbs*:

assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wlsEnv rho*

shows $((A \$[\rho]) \$[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs) = ((A \$[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs) \$[\rho \ \& [z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs])$

(proof)

theorem *wls-swapAbs-substAbs*:

assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y*

shows $((A \$[Y / y]\text{-}ys) \$[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs) = ((A \$[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs) \$[(Y \# [z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs) / (y @ys[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs)]\text{-}ys)$

(proof)

theorem *wls-swapAbs-vsubstAbs*:

assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A*

shows $((A \$[y1 // y]\text{-}ys) \$[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs) = ((A \$[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs) \$[(y1 @ys[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs) // (y @ys[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-}zs)]\text{-}ys)$

(proof)

theorem *wls-psubstAbs-compose*:

assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wlsEnv rho and wlsEnv rho'*

shows $((A \$[\rho]) \$[\rho']) = (A \$[(\rho \ \& [\rho'])])$

(proof)

theorem *wls-psubstAbs-substAbs-compose*:

assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y and wlsEnv rho*

shows $((A \$[Y / y]\text{-}ys) \$[\rho]) = (A \$[(\rho [y \leftarrow (Y \# [\rho])]\text{-}ys)])$

(proof)

theorem *wls-psubstAbs-substAbs-compose-freshEnv*:

assumes *wlsEnv rho and wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y*

assumes *freshEnv ys y rho*

shows $((A \$[Y / y]\text{-}ys) \$[\rho]) = ((A \$[\rho]) \$[(Y \# [\rho]) / y]\text{-}ys)$

(proof)

theorem *wls-psubstAbs-vsubstAbs-compose*:

assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wlsEnv rho*

shows $((A \$[y1 // y]\text{-}ys) \$[\rho]) = (A \$[(\rho [y \leftarrow ((Var \ ys \ y1) \# [\rho])]\text{-}ys)])$

(proof)

theorem *wls-substAbs-psubstAbs-compose*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y and wlsEnv rho*
shows $((A \$[\rho]) \$[Y / y]-ys) = (A \$[(\rho \& [Y / y]-ys)])$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstAbs-psubstAbs-compose*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wlsEnv rho*
shows $((A \$[\rho]) \$[y1 // y]-ys) = (A \$[(\rho \& [y1 // y]-ys)])$
(proof)

theorem *wls-substAbs-compose1*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y1 and wls (asSort ys) Y2*
shows $((A \$[Y1 / y]-ys) \$[Y2 / y]-ys) = (A \$[(Y1 \# [Y2 / y]-ys) / y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-substAbs-vsubstAbs-compose1*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y and y ≠ y1*
shows $((A \$[y1 // y]-ys) \$[Y / y]-ys) = (A \$[y1 // y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstAbs-substAbs-compose1*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y*
shows $((A \$[Y / y]-ys) \$[y1 // y]-ys) = (A \$[(Y \# [y1 // y]-ys) / y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstAbs-compose1*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A*
shows $((A \$[y1 // y]-ys) \$[y2 // y]-ys) = (A \$[(y1 @ys[y2 / y]-ys) // y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-substAbs-compose2*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y and wls (asSort zs) Z
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z*
shows $((A \$[Y / y]-ys) \$[Z / z]-zs) = ((A \$[Z / z]-zs) \$[(Y \# [Z / z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-substAbs-vsubstAbs-compose2*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort zs) Z
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ≠ z and fresh: fresh ys y Z*
shows $((A \$[y1 // y]-ys) \$[Z / z]-zs) = ((A \$[Z / z]-zs) \$[((Var ys y1) \# [Z / z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstAbs-substAbs-compose2*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y
and ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∈ {z,z1}*
shows $((A \$[Y / y]-ys) \$[z1 // z]-zs) = ((A \$[z1 // z]-zs) \$[(Y \# [z1 // z]-zs) / y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstAbs-compose2*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A*
and *ys ≠ zs ∨ y ∉ {z,z1}*
shows $((A \$[y1 // y]-ys) \$[z1 // z]-zs) = ((A \$[z1 // z]-zs) \$[(y1 @ys[z1 / z]-zs) // y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstAbs-ident[simp]*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A*
shows $(A \$[z // z]-zs) = A$
(proof)

theorem *wls-substAbs-ident[simp]*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A*
shows $(A \$[(Var\ zs\ z) / z]-zs) = A$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstAbs-eq-swapAbs*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and y1 = y2 ∨ freshAbs ys y1 A*
shows $(A \$[y1 // y2]-ys) = (A \$[y1 \wedge y2]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-skelAbs-vsubstAbs*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A*
shows $skelAbs (A \$[y1 // y2]-ys) = skelAbs A$
(proof)

theorem *wls-substAbs-vsubstAbs-trans*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and wls (asSort ys) Y and freshAbs ys y1 A*
shows $((A \$[y1 // y]-ys) \$[Y / y1]-ys) = (A \$[Y / y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstAbs-trans*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A and freshAbs ys y1 A*
shows $((A \$[y1 // y]-ys) \$[y2 // y1]-ys) = (A \$[y2 // y]-ys)$
(proof)

theorem *wls-vsubstAbs-commute*:
assumes *wlsAbs (us,s) A*
and *xs ≠ xs' ∨ {x,y} ∩ {x',y'} = {} and freshAbs xs x A and freshAbs xs' x' A*
shows $((A \$[x // y]-xs) \$[x' // y']-xs') = ((A \$[x' // y']-xs') \$[x // y]-xs)$
(proof)

lemmas *wls-psubstAll-freshAll-otherSimps* =
wls-psubst-idEnv wls-psubstEnv-idEnv-id wls-psubstAbs-idEnv
wls-freshEnv-psubst-ident wls-freshEnv-psubstAbs-ident

```

lemmas wls-substAll-freshAll-otherSimps =
wls-fresh-fresh-subst wls-fresh-subst-ident wls-fresh-substEnv-updEnv wls-subst-ident
wls-fresh-freshAbs-substAbs wls-freshAbs-substAbs-ident wls-substAbs-ident
wls-Abs-subst-Var-fresh

lemmas wls-vsubstAll-freshAll-otherSimps =
wls-diff-fresh-vsubst wls-fresh-vsubst-ident wls-fresh-vsubstEnv-updEnv wls-vsubst-ident
wls-diff-freshAbs-vsubstAbs wls-freshAbs-vsubstAbs-ident wls-vsubstAbs-ident
wls-Abs-vsubst-fresh

lemmas wls-allOper-otherSimps =
wls-swapAll-freshAll-otherSimps
wls-psubstAll-freshAll-otherSimps
wls-substAll-freshAll-otherSimps
wls-vsubstAll-freshAll-otherSimps

```

7.10 Operators for down-casting and case-analyzing well-sorted items

The features developed here may occasionally turn out more convenient than obtaining the desired effect by hand, via the corresponding nchotomies. E.g., when we want to perform the case-analysis uniformly, as part of a function definition, the operators defined in the subsection save some tedious definitions and proofs pertaining to Hilbert choice.

7.10.1 For terms

```

definition isVar where
isVar s (X :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term) ==
 $\exists x. s = \text{asSort } x \wedge X = \text{Var } x$ 

definition castVar where
castVar s (X :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term) ==
 $\text{SOME } x. s = \text{asSort } (\text{fst } x) \wedge X = \text{Var } (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x)$ 

definition isOp where
isOp s X  $\equiv$ 
 $\exists \delta \text{ inp } b\text{in}p.$ 
wlsInp delta inp  $\wedge$  wlsBinp delta binp  $\wedge$  s = stOf delta  $\wedge$  X = Op delta inp binp

definition castOp where
castOp s X  $\equiv$ 
 $\text{SOME } \delta\text{-inp-}b\text{in}p.$ 
wlsInp (fst3 delta-inp-binp) (snd3 delta-inp-binp)  $\wedge$ 
wlsBinp (fst3 delta-inp-binp) (trd3 delta-inp-binp)  $\wedge$ 
s = stOf (fst3 delta-inp-binp)  $\wedge$ 
X = Op (fst3 delta-inp-binp) (snd3 delta-inp-binp) (trd3 delta-inp-binp)

```

```

definition sortTermCase where
sortTermCase fVar fOp s X ≡
  if isVar s X then fVar (fst (castVar s X)) (snd (castVar s X))
  else if isOp s X then fOp (fst3 (castOp s X)) (snd3 (castOp s X))
  (trd3 (castOp s X))
  else undefined

lemma isVar-asSort-Var[simp]:
isVar (asSort xs) (Var xs x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-isVar-Op[simp]:
¬ isVar s (Op delta inp binp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma isVar-imp-wls:
isVar s X ==> wls s X
⟨proof⟩

lemmas isVar-simps =
isVar-asSort-Var not-isVar-Op

lemma castVar-asSort-Var[simp]:
castVar (asSort xs) (Var xs x) = (xs,x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma isVar-castVar:
assumes isVar s X
shows asSort (fst (castVar s X)) = s ∧
      Var (fst (castVar s X)) (snd (castVar s X)) = X
⟨proof⟩

lemma asSort-castVar[simp]:
isVar s X ==> asSort (fst (castVar s X)) = s
⟨proof⟩

lemma Var-castVar[simp]:
isVar s X ==> Var (fst (castVar s X)) (snd (castVar s X)) = X
⟨proof⟩

lemma castVar-inj[simp]:
assumes *: isVar s X and **: isVar s' X'
shows (castVar s X = castVar s' X') = (s = s' ∧ X = X')
⟨proof⟩

lemmas castVar-simps =

```

$\text{castVar-asSort-Var}$
 asSort-castVar Var-castVar castVar-inj

```

lemma isOp-stOf-Op[simp]:
   $\llbracket \text{wlsInp } \delta \text{ inp} ; \text{wlsBinp } \delta \text{ binp} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{isOp } (\text{stOf } \delta) (\text{Op } \delta \text{ inp binp})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma not-isOp-Var[simp]:
   $\neg \text{isOp } s (\text{Var } xs X)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma isOp-imp-wls:
   $\text{isOp } s X \implies \text{wls } s X$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas isOp-simps =
  isOp-stOf-Op not-isOp-Var

lemma castOp-stOf-Op[simp]:
assumes  $\text{wlsInp } \delta \text{ inp}$  and  $\text{wlsBinp } \delta \text{ binp}$ 
shows  $\text{castOp } (\text{stOf } \delta) (\text{Op } \delta \text{ inp binp}) = (\delta, \text{inp}, \text{binp})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma isOp-castOp:
assumes  $\text{isOp } s X$ 
shows  $\text{wlsInp } (\text{fst3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) (\text{snd3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) \wedge$ 
   $\text{wlsBinp } (\text{fst3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) (\text{trd3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) \wedge$ 
   $\text{stOf } (\text{fst3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) = s \wedge$ 
   $\text{Op } (\text{fst3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) (\text{snd3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) (\text{trd3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) = X$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wlsInp-castOp[simp]:
   $\text{isOp } s X \implies \text{wlsInp } (\text{fst3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) (\text{snd3 } (\text{castOp } s X))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wlsBinp-castOp[simp]:
   $\text{isOp } s X \implies \text{wlsBinp } (\text{fst3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) (\text{trd3 } (\text{castOp } s X))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma stOf-castOp[simp]:
   $\text{isOp } s X \implies \text{stOf } (\text{fst3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) = s$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Op-castOp[simp]:
   $\text{isOp } s X \implies \text{Op } (\text{fst3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) (\text{snd3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) (\text{trd3 } (\text{castOp } s X)) = X$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *castOp-inj*[simp]:
assumes *isOp s X* and *isOp s' X'*
shows $(\text{castOp } s \text{ } X = \text{castOp } s' \text{ } X') = (s = s' \wedge X = X')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *castOp-simps* =
castOp-stOf-Op wlsInp-castOp wlsBinp-castOp
stOf-castOp Op-castOp castOp-inj

lemma *not-isVar-isOp*:
 $\neg (\text{isVar } s \text{ } X \wedge \text{isOp } s \text{ } X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isVar-or-isOp*:
wls s X \implies *isVar s X* \vee *isOp s X*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sortTermCase-asSort-Var-simp*[simp]:
sortTermCase fVar fOp (asSort xs) (Var xs x) = *fVar xs x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sortTermCase-stOf-Op-simp*[simp]:
 $\llbracket \text{wlsInp } \delta \text{ } \text{inp}; \text{wlsBinp } \delta \text{ } \text{binp} \rrbracket \implies$
sortTermCase fVar fOp (stOf delta) (Op delta inp binp) = *fOp delta inp binp*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sortTermCase-cong*[fundef-cong]:
assumes $\bigwedge \text{xs } x. \text{fVar } xs \text{ } x = \text{gVar } xs \text{ } x$
and $\bigwedge \delta \text{ } \text{inp } \text{binp}. \llbracket \text{wlsInp } \delta \text{ } \text{inp}; \text{wlsInp } \delta \text{ } \text{inp} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{fOp } \delta \text{ } \text{inp } \text{binp} = \text{gOp } \delta \text{ } \text{inp } \text{binp}$
shows *wls s X* \implies
sortTermCase fVar fOp s X = *sortTermCase gVar gOp s X*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *sortTermCase-simps* =
sortTermCase-asSort-Var-simp
sortTermCase-stOf-Op-simp

lemmas *term-cast-simps* =
isOp-simps castOp-simps sortTermCase-simps

7.10.2 For abstractions

Here, the situation will be different than that of terms, since:

- an abstraction can only be built using "Abs", hence we need no "is" operators;
- the constructor "Abs" for abstractions is not injective, so need a more subtle condition on the case-analysis operator.

Yet another difference is that when casting an abstraction "A" such that "wlsAbs (xs,s) A", we need to cast only the value "A", and not the sorting part "xs s", since the latter already contains the desired information. Consequently, below, in the arguments for the case-analysis operator, the sorts "xs s" come before the function "f", and the latter does not take sorts into account.

definition *castAbs* **where**

$$\text{castAbs } xs\ s\ A \equiv \text{SOME } x\text{-}X. \text{ wls } s\ (\text{snd } x\text{-}X) \wedge A = \text{Abs } xs\ (\text{fst } x\text{-}X)\ (\text{snd } x\text{-}X)$$

definition *absCase* **where**

$$\text{absCase } xs\ s\ f\ A \equiv \text{if } \text{wlsAbs } (xs,s) A \text{ then } f\ (\text{fst } (\text{castAbs } xs\ s\ A))\ (\text{snd } (\text{castAbs } xs\ s\ A)) \text{ else undefined}$$

definition *compatAbsSwap* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{compatAbsSwap } xs\ s\ f &\equiv \\ \forall x\ X\ x'\ X'. (\forall y. (y = x \vee \text{fresh } xs\ y\ X) \wedge (y = x' \vee \text{fresh } xs\ y\ X')) &\\ \longrightarrow (X \# [y \wedge x]\text{-}xs) &= (X' \# [y \wedge x']\text{-}xs) \\ \longrightarrow f\ x\ X &= f\ x'\ X' \end{aligned}$$

definition *compatAbsSubst* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{compatAbsSubst } xs\ s\ f &\equiv \\ \forall x\ X\ x'\ X'. (\forall Y. \text{wls } (\text{asSort } xs)\ Y \longrightarrow (X \# [Y / x]\text{-}xs) &= (X' \# [Y / x']\text{-}xs)) \\ \longrightarrow f\ x\ X &= f\ x'\ X' \end{aligned}$$

definition *compatAbsVsubst* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{compatAbsVsubst } xs\ s\ f &\equiv \\ \forall x\ X\ x'\ X'. (\forall y. (X \# [y // x]\text{-}xs) &= (X' \# [y // x']\text{-}xs)) \\ \longrightarrow f\ x\ X &= f\ x'\ X' \end{aligned}$$

lemma *wlsAbs-castAbs*:

assumes *wlsAbs* (xs,s) A

shows *wls* s (snd (castAbs xs s A)) \wedge
 $\text{Abs } xs\ (\text{fst } (\text{castAbs } xs\ s\ A))\ (\text{snd } (\text{castAbs } xs\ s\ A)) = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wls-castAbs[simp]*:

wlsAbs (xs,s) A \implies *wls* s (snd (castAbs xs s A))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma Abs-castAbs[simp]:
wlsAbs (xs,s) A  $\implies$  Abs xs (fst (castAbs xs s A)) (snd (castAbs xs s A)) = A
⟨proof⟩

lemma castAbs-Abs-swap:
assumes isInBar (xs,s) and X: wls s X
and yxX:  $y = x \vee \text{fresh } xs \ y \ X$  and yx'X':  $y = x' \vee \text{fresh } xs \ y \ X'$ 
and *: castAbs xs s (Abs xs x X) = (x',X')
shows (X #[y ∧ x]-xs) = (X' #[y ∧ x']-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma castAbs-Abs-subst:
assumes isInBar: isInBar (xs,s)
and X: wls s X and Y: wls (asSort xs) Y
and *: castAbs xs s (Abs xs x X) = (x',X')
shows (X #[Y / x]-xs) = (X' #[Y / x']-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma castAbs-Abs-vsubst:
assumes isInBar (xs,s) and wls s X
and castAbs xs s (Abs xs x X) = (x',X')
shows (X #[y // x]-xs) = (X' #[y // x']-xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma castAbs-inj[simp]:
assumes *: wlsAbs (xs,s) A and **: wlsAbs (xs,s) A'
shows (castAbs xs s A = castAbs xs s A') = (A = A')
⟨proof⟩

lemmas castAbs-simps =
wls-castAbs Abs-castAbs castAbs-inj

```

```

lemma absCase-Abs-swap[simp]:
assumes isInBar: isInBar (xs,s) and X: wls s X
and f-compat: compatAbsSwap xs s f
shows absCase xs s f (Abs xs x X) = f x X
⟨proof⟩

lemma absCase-Abs-subst[simp]:
assumes isInBar: isInBar (xs,s) and X: wls s X
and f-compat: compatAbsSubst xs s f
shows absCase xs s f (Abs xs x X) = f x X
⟨proof⟩

lemma compatAbsVsubst-imp-compatAbsSubst[simp]:
compatAbsVsubst xs s f  $\implies$  compatAbsSubst xs s f
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma absCase-Abs-vsubst[simp]:
assumes isInBar (xs,s) and wls s X
and compatAbsVsubst xs s f
shows absCase xs s f (Abs xs x X) = f x X
⟨proof⟩

lemma absCase-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes compatAbsSwap xs s f ∨ compatAbsSubst xs s f ∨ compatAbsVsubst xs s f
and compatAbsSwap xs s f' ∨ compatAbsSubst xs s f' ∨ compatAbsVsubst xs s f'
and ⋀ x X. wls s X ⟹ f x X = f' x X
shows wlsAbs (xs,s) A ⟹
      absCase xs s f A = absCase xs s f' A
⟨proof⟩

lemmas absCase-simps = absCase-Abs-swap absCase-Abs-subst
compatAbsVsubst-imp-compatAbsSubst absCase-Abs-vsubst

lemmas abs-cast-simps = castAbs-simps absCase-simps

lemmas cast-simps = term-cast-simps abs-cast-simps

lemmas wls-item-simps =
wlsAll-imp-goodAll paramS-simps Cons-wls-simps all-preserve-wls
wls-freeCons wls-allOper-simps wls-allOper-otherSimps Abs-inj-fresh cast-simps

```

```

lemmas wls-copy-of-good-item-simps = good-freeCons good-allOper-simps good-allOper-otherSimps
param-simps all-preserve-good

declare wls-copy-of-good-item-simps [simp del]
declare qItem-simps [simp del] declare qItem-versus-item-simps [simp del]

end

end

```

8 Iteration

```

theory Iteration imports Well-Sorted-Terms
begin

```

In this section, we introduce first-order models (models, for short). These are structures having operators that match those for terms (including variable-injection, binding operations, freshness, swapping and substitution) and satisfy some clauses, and show that terms form initial models. This gives iter-

ation principles.

As a matter of notation: the prefix "g" will stand for "generalized" – elements of models are referred to as "generalized terms". The actual full prefix will be "ig" (where "i" stands for "iteration"), symbolizing the fact that the models from this section support iteration, and not general recursion. The latter is dealt with by the models introduced in the next section, for which we use the simple prefix "g".

8.1 Models

We have two basic kinds of models:

- fresh-swap (FSw) models, featuring operations corresponding to the concrete syntactic constructs ("Var", "Op", "Abs"), henceforth referred to simply as *the constructs*, and to fresh and swap;
- fresh-swap-subst (FSb) models, featuring substitution instead of swapping.

We also consider two combinations of the above, FSwSb-models and FSbSw-models.

To keep things structurally simple, we use one single Isabelle for all the 4 kinds models, allowing the most generous signature. Since terms are the main actors of our theory, models being considered only for the sake of recursive definitions, we call the items inhabiting these models "generalized" terms, abstractions and inputs, and correspondingly the operations; hence the prefix "g" from the names of the type parameters and operators. (However, we refer to the generalized items using the same notations as for "concrete items": X, A, etc.) Indeed, a model can be regarded as implementing a generalization/axiomatization of the term structure, where now the objects are not terms, but do have term-like properties.

8.1.1 Raw models

```
record ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model =
  igWls :: 'sort ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒ bool
  igWlsAbs :: 'varSort × 'sort ⇒ 'gAbs ⇒ bool

  igVar :: 'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'gTerm
  igAbs :: 'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒ 'gAbs
  igOp :: 'opSym ⇒ ('index,'gTerm)input ⇒ ('bindex,'gAbs)input ⇒ 'gTerm

  igFresh :: 'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒ bool
  igFreshAbs :: 'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'gAbs ⇒ bool

  igSwap :: 'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒ 'gTerm
  igSwapAbs :: 'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'gAbs ⇒ 'gAbs

  igSubst :: 'varSort ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒ 'gTerm
```

```

 $igSubstAbs :: 'varSort \Rightarrow 'gTerm \Rightarrow 'var \Rightarrow 'gAbs \Rightarrow 'gAbs$ 

- "igSwap MOD zs z1 z2 X" swaps in X z1 and z2 (assumed of sorts zs).
- "igSubst MOD ys Y x X" substitutes, in X, Y with y (assumed of sort ys).

definition igFreshInp where  

igFreshInp MOD ys y inp == liftAll (igFresh MOD ys y) inp

definition igFreshBinp where  

igFreshBinp MOD ys y binp == liftAll (igFreshAbs MOD ys y) binp

definition igSwapInp where  

igSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp == lift (igSwap MOD zs z1 z2) inp

definition igSwapBinp where  

igSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 binp == lift (igSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2) binp

definition igSubstInp where  

igSubstInp MOD ys Y y inp == lift (igSubst MOD ys Y y) inp

definition igSubstBinp where  

igSubstBinp MOD ys Y y binp == lift (igSubstAbs MOD ys Y y) binp

```

context *FixSyn*
begin

8.1.2 Well-sorted models of various kinds

We define the following kinds of well-sorted models

- fresh-swap models (predicate "iwlsFSw");
- fresh-subst models ("iwlsFSb");
- fresh-swap-subst models ("iwlsFSwSb");
- fresh-subst-swap models ("iwlsFSbSw").

All of these models are defined as raw models subject to various Horn conditions:

- For "iwlsFSw":
 - definition-like clauses for "fresh" and "swap" in terms of the construct operators;
 - congruence for abstraction based on fresh and swap (mirroring the abstraction case in the definition of alpha-equivalence for quasi-terms). ²
- For "iwlsFSb": the same as for "iwlsFSw", except that:

²Here, by "congruence for abstraction" we do not mean the standard notion of congruence (satisfied by any operator once or ever), but a *stronger* notion: in order for two abstractions to be equal, it is not required that their arguments be equal, but that they be in a "permutative" relationship based either on swapping or on substitution.

- “swap” is replaced by “subst”; ³
- The [fresh and swap]-based congruence clause is replaced by an “abstraction-renaming” clause, which is stronger than the corresponding [fresh and subst]-based congruence clause. ⁴
- For “iwlsFSwSb”: the clauses for “iwlsFSw”, plus some of the definition-like clauses for “subst”. ⁵
- For “iwlsFSbSw”: the clauses for “iwlsFSb”, plus definition-like clauses for “swap”.

Thus, a fresh-swap-subst model is also a fresh-swap model, and a fresh-subst-swap model is also a fresh-subst model.

For convenience, all these 4 kinds of models are defined on one single type, that of *raw models*, which interpret the most generous signature, comprising all the operations and relations required by all 4 kinds of models. Note that, although some operations (namely, “subst” or “swap”) may not be involved in the clauses for certain kinds of models, the extra structure is harmless to the development of their theory.

Note that for the models operations and relations we do not actually write “fresh”, “swap” and “subst”, but “igFresh”, “igSwap” and “igSubst”.

As usual, we shall have not only term versions, but also abstraction versions of the above operations.

```
definition igWlsInp where
  igWlsInp MOD delta inp == 
    wlsOpS delta ∧ sameDom (arOf delta) inp ∧ liftAll2 (igWls MOD) (arOf delta)
    inp
```

```
lemmas igWlsInp-defs = igWlsInp-def sameDom-def liftAll2-def
```

```
definition igWlsBinp where
  igWlsBinp MOD delta binp == 
    wlsOpS delta ∧ sameDom (barOf delta) binp ∧ liftAll2 (igWlsAbs MOD) (barOf delta)
    binp
```

```
lemmas igWlsBinp-defs = igWlsBinp-def sameDom-def liftAll2-def
```

Domain disjointness:

```
definition igWlsDisj where
  igWlsDisj MOD == ∀ s s' X. igWls MOD s X ∧ igWls MOD s' X → s = s'
```

```
definition igWlsAbsDisj where
  igWlsAbsDisj MOD ==
```

³Note that traditionally alpha-equivalence is defined using “subst”, not “swap”.

⁴We also define the [fresh and subst]-based congruence clause, although we do not employ it directly in the definition of any kind of model.

⁵Not all the “subst” definition-like clauses from “iwlsFSb” are required for “iwlsFSwSb” – namely, the clause that we call “igSubstIGAbsCls2” is not required here.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall xs s xs' s' A. \\ & isInBar (xs,s) \wedge isInBar (xs',s') \wedge \\ & igWlsAbs MOD (xs,s) A \wedge igWlsAbs MOD (xs',s') A \\ \longrightarrow & xs = xs' \wedge s = s' \end{aligned}$$

```
definition igWlsAllDisj where
igWlsAllDisj MOD ===
igWlsDisj MOD  $\wedge$  igWlsAbsDisj MOD

lemmas igWlsAllDisj-defs =
igWlsAllDisj-def
igWlsDisj-def igWlsAbsDisj-def
```

Abstraction domains inhabited only within bound arities:

```
definition igWlsAbsIsInBar where
igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD ===
 $\forall us s A.$  igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A  $\longrightarrow$  isInBar (us,s)
```

Domain preservation by the operators: weak ("if") versions and strong ("iff") versions (for the latter, we use the suffix "STR"):

The constructs preserve the domains:

```
definition igVarIPresIGWls where
igVarIPresIGWls MOD ===
 $\forall xs x.$  igWls MOD (asSort xs) (igVar MOD xs x)
```

```
definition igAbsIPresIGWls where
igAbsIPresIGWls MOD ===
 $\forall xs s x X.$  isInBar (xs,s)  $\wedge$  igWls MOD s X  $\longrightarrow$ 
igWlsAbs MOD (xs,s) (igAbs MOD xs x X)
```

```
definition igAbsIPresIGWlsSTR where
igAbsIPresIGWlsSTR MOD ===
 $\forall xs s x X.$  isInBar (xs,s)  $\longrightarrow$ 
igWlsAbs MOD (xs,s) (igAbs MOD xs x X) =
igWls MOD s X
```

```
lemma igAbsIPresIGWlsSTR-imp-igAbsIPresIGWls:
igAbsIPresIGWlsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igAbsIPresIGWls MOD
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition igOpIPresIGWls where
igOpIPresIGWls MOD ===
 $\forall \delta \text{ inp } binp.$ 
igWlsInp MOD delta inp  $\wedge$  igWlsBinp MOD delta binp
 $\longrightarrow$  igWls MOD (stOf delta) (igOp MOD delta inp binp)
```

```
definition igOpIPresIGWlsSTR where
igOpIPresIGWlsSTR MOD ===
 $\forall \delta \text{ inp } binp.$ 
```

```

 $\forall \delta \text{ inp } binp.$ 
 $igWls \text{ MOD } (\text{stOf } \delta) (igOp \text{ MOD } \delta \text{ inp } binp) =$ 
 $(igWlsInp \text{ MOD } \delta \text{ inp} \wedge igWlsBinp \text{ MOD } \delta \text{ binp})$ 

lemma igOpIPresIGWlsSTR-imp-igOpIPresIGWls:
igOpIPresIGWlsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igOpIPresIGWls MOD
(proof)

definition igConsIPresIGWls where
igConsIPresIGWls MOD ==
igVarIPresIGWls MOD  $\wedge$ 
igAbsIPresIGWls MOD  $\wedge$ 
igOpIPresIGWls MOD

lemmas igConsIPresIGWls-defs = igConsIPresIGWls-def
igVarIPresIGWls-def
igAbsIPresIGWls-def
igOpIPresIGWls-def

definition igConsIPresIGWlsSTR where
igConsIPresIGWlsSTR MOD ==
igVarIPresIGWls MOD  $\wedge$ 
igAbsIPresIGWlsSTR MOD  $\wedge$ 
igOpIPresIGWlsSTR MOD

lemmas igConsIPresIGWlsSTR-defs = igConsIPresIGWlsSTR-def
igVarIPresIGWls-def
igAbsIPresIGWlsSTR-def
igOpIPresIGWlsSTR-def

lemma igConsIPresIGWlsSTR-imp-igConsIPresIGWls:
igConsIPresIGWlsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igConsIPresIGWls MOD
(proof)

“swap” preserves the domains:

definition igSwapIPresIGWls where
igSwapIPresIGWls MOD ==
 $\forall zs z1 z2 s X. igWls \text{ MOD } s X \longrightarrow$ 
 $igWls \text{ MOD } s (igSwap \text{ MOD } zs z1 z2 X)$ 

definition igSwapIPresIGWlsSTR where
igSwapIPresIGWlsSTR MOD ==
 $\forall zs z1 z2 s X. igWls \text{ MOD } s (igSwap \text{ MOD } zs z1 z2 X) =$ 
 $igWls \text{ MOD } s X$ 

lemma igSwapIPresIGWlsSTR-imp-igSwapIPresIGWls:
igSwapIPresIGWlsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSwapIPresIGWls MOD
(proof)

```

```

definition igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs where
  igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD == 
     $\forall z s z1 z2 us s A.$ 
       $isInBar(us,s) \wedge igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A \longrightarrow$ 
       $igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) (igSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 A)$ 

definition igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR where
  igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR MOD == 
     $\forall z s z1 z2 us s A.$ 
       $igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) (igSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 A) =$ 
       $igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A$ 

lemma igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR-imp-igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs:
  igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll where
  igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD == 
    igSwapIPresIGWls MOD  $\wedge$  igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD

lemmas igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll-defs = igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll-def
  igSwapIPresIGWls-def igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs-def

definition igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR where
  igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR MOD == 
    igSwapIPresIGWlsSTR MOD  $\wedge$  igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR MOD

lemmas igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR-defs = igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR-def
  igSwapIPresIGWlsSTR-def igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR-def

lemma igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR-imp-igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll:
  igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

“subst” preserves the domains:

definition igSubstIPresIGWls where
  igSubstIPresIGWls MOD == 
     $\forall ys Y y s X.$   $igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \wedge igWls MOD s X \longrightarrow$ 
     $igWls MOD s (igSubst MOD ys Y y X)$ 

definition igSubstIPresIGWlsSTR where
  igSubstIPresIGWlsSTR MOD == 
     $\forall ys Y y s X.$ 
       $igWls MOD s (igSubst MOD ys Y y X) =$ 
       $(igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \wedge igWls MOD s X)$ 

lemma igSubstIPresIGWlsSTR-imp-igSubstIPresIGWls:
  igSubstIPresIGWlsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSubstIPresIGWls MOD
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs where
  igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD ==
     $\forall ys Y y us s A.$ 
       $isInBar(ys, s) \wedge igWls MOD(asSort ys) Y \wedge igWlsAbs MOD(us, s) A \rightarrow$ 
       $igWlsAbs MOD(us, s)(igSubstAbs MOD ys Y y A)$ 

definition igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR where
  igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR MOD ==
     $\forall ys Y y us s A.$ 
       $igWlsAbs MOD(us, s)(igSubstAbs MOD ys Y y A) =$ 
       $(igWls MOD(asSort ys) Y \wedge igWlsAbs MOD(us, s) A)$ 

lemma igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR-imp-igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs:
  igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

definition igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll where
  igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD ==
    igSubstIPresIGWls MOD  $\wedge$  igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD

lemmas igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll-defs = igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll-def
  igSubstIPresIGWls-def igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs-def

definition igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR where
  igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR MOD ==
    igSubstIPresIGWlsSTR MOD  $\wedge$  igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR MOD

lemmas igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR-defs = igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR-def
  igSubstIPresIGWlsSTR-def igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR-def

lemma igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR-imp-igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll:
  igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

Clausules for fresh: fully conditional versions and less conditional, stronger
versions (the latter having suffix "STR").

definition igFreshIGVar where
  igFreshIGVar MOD ==
     $\forall ys y xs x.$ 
       $ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x \rightarrow$ 
       $igFresh MOD ys y (igVar MOD xs x)$ 

definition igFreshIGAbs1 where
  igFreshIGAbs1 MOD ==
     $\forall ys y s X.$ 
       $isInBar(ys, s) \wedge igWls MOD s X \rightarrow$ 
       $igFreshAbs MOD ys y (igAbs MOD ys y X)$ 

```

```

definition igFreshIGAbs1STR where
  igFreshIGAbs1STR MOD ===
     $\forall ys y X. \text{igFreshAbs MOD } ys y (\text{igAbs MOD } ys y X)$ 

lemma igFreshIGAbs1STR-imp-igFreshIGAbs1:
  igFreshIGAbs1STR MOD  $\Rightarrow$  igFreshIGAbs1 MOD
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition igFreshIGAbs2 where
  igFreshIGAbs2 MOD ===
     $\forall ys y xs x s X.$ 
     $\text{isInBar } (xs, s) \wedge \text{igWls MOD } s X \rightarrow$ 
     $\text{igFresh MOD } ys y X \rightarrow \text{igFreshAbs MOD } ys y (\text{igAbs MOD } xs x X)$ 

definition igFreshIGAbs2STR where
  igFreshIGAbs2STR MOD ===
     $\forall ys y xs x.$ 
     $\text{igFresh MOD } ys y X \rightarrow \text{igFreshAbs MOD } ys y (\text{igAbs MOD } xs x X)$ 

lemma igFreshIGAbs2STR-imp-igFreshIGAbs2:
  igFreshIGAbs2STR MOD  $\Rightarrow$  igFreshIGAbs2 MOD
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition igFreshIGOOp where
  igFreshIGOOp MOD ===
     $\forall ys y \delta \text{inp } binp.$ 
     $\text{igWlsInp MOD } \delta \text{inp} \wedge \text{igWlsBinp MOD } \delta \text{binp} \rightarrow$ 
     $(\text{igFreshInp MOD } ys y \text{inp} \wedge \text{igFreshBinp MOD } ys y \text{binp}) \rightarrow$ 
     $\text{igFresh MOD } ys y (\text{igOp MOD } \delta \text{inp } binp)$ 

definition igFreshIGOOpSTR where
  igFreshIGOOpSTR MOD ===
     $\forall ys y \delta \text{inp } binp.$ 
     $\text{igFreshInp MOD } ys y \text{inp} \wedge \text{igFreshBinp MOD } ys y \text{binp} \rightarrow$ 
     $\text{igFresh MOD } ys y (\text{igOp MOD } \delta \text{inp } binp)$ 

lemma igFreshIGOOpSTR-imp-igFreshIGOOp:
  igFreshIGOOpSTR MOD  $\Rightarrow$  igFreshIGOOp MOD
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition igFreshCls where
  igFreshCls MOD ===
    igFreshIGVar MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igFreshIGAbs1 MOD  $\wedge$  igFreshIGAbs2 MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igFreshIGOOp MOD

lemmas igFreshCls-defs = igFreshCls-def
  igFreshIGVar-def
  igFreshIGAbs1-def igFreshIGAbs2-def

```

```

igFreshIGOOp-def

definition igFreshClsSTR where
igFreshClsSTR MOD ==
igFreshIGVar MOD  $\wedge$ 
igFreshIGAbs1STR MOD  $\wedge$  igFreshIGAbs2STR MOD  $\wedge$ 
igFreshIGOOpSTR MOD

lemmas igFreshClsSTR-defs = igFreshClsSTR-def
igFreshIGVar-def
igFreshIGAbs1STR-def igFreshIGAbs2STR-def
igFreshIGOOpSTR-def

lemma igFreshClsSTR-imp-igFreshCls:
igFreshClsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igFreshCls MOD
{proof}

definition igSwapIGVar where
igSwapIGVar MOD ==
 $\forall$  zs z1 z2 xs x.
igSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (igVar MOD xs x) = igVar MOD xs (x @xs[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs)

definition igSwapIGAbs where
igSwapIGAbs MOD ==
 $\forall$  zs z1 z2 xs x s X.
isInBar (xs,s)  $\wedge$  igWls MOD s X  $\longrightarrow$ 
igSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 (igAbs MOD xs x X) =
igAbs MOD xs (x @xs[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) (igSwap MOD zs z1 z2 X)

definition igSwapIGAbsSTR where
igSwapIGAbsSTR MOD ==
 $\forall$  zs z1 z2 xs x X.
igSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 (igAbs MOD xs x X) =
igAbs MOD xs (x @xs[z1  $\wedge$  z2]-zs) (igSwap MOD zs z1 z2 X)

lemma igSwapIGAbsSTR-imp-igSwapIGAbs:
igSwapIGAbsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSwapIGAbs MOD
{proof}

definition igSwapIGOOp where
igSwapIGOOp MOD ==
 $\forall$  zs z1 z2 delta inp binp.
igWlsInp MOD delta inp  $\wedge$  igWlsBinp MOD delta binp  $\longrightarrow$ 
igSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (igOp MOD delta inp binp) =
igOp MOD delta (igSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp) (igSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 binp)

```

```

definition igSwapIGOpSTR where
  igSwapIGOpSTR MOD ===
     $\forall \text{zs } z1 \text{ z2 } \text{delta } \text{inp } \text{binp}.$ 
      igSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (igOp MOD delta inp binp) ==
        igOp MOD delta (igSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp) (igSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2
        binp)

lemma igSwapIGOpSTR-imp-igSwapIGOp:
  igSwapIGOpSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSwapIGOp MOD
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition igSwapCls where
  igSwapCls MOD ===
    igSwapIGVar MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igSwapIGAbs MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igSwapIGOp MOD

lemmas igSwapCls-defs = igSwapCls-def
  igSwapIGVar-def
  igSwapIGAbs-def
  igSwapIGOp-def

definition igSwapClsSTR where
  igSwapClsSTR MOD ===
    igSwapIGVar MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igSwapIGAbsSTR MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igSwapIGOpSTR MOD

lemmas igSwapClsSTR-defs = igSwapClsSTR-def
  igSwapIGVar-def
  igSwapIGAbsSTR-def
  igSwapIGOpSTR-def

lemma igSwapClsSTR-imp-igSwapCls:
  igSwapClsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSwapCls MOD
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition igSubstIGVar1 where
  igSubstIGVar1 MOD ===
     $\forall \text{ys } y \text{ Y } \text{xs } x.$ 
      igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y  $\longrightarrow$ 
      ( $ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x$ )  $\longrightarrow$ 
      igSubst MOD ys Y y (igVar MOD xs x) = igVar MOD xs x

definition igSubstIGVar1STR where
  igSubstIGVar1STR MOD ===
    ( $\forall \text{ys } y \text{ y1 } \text{xs } x.$ 

```

$(ys \neq xs \vee x \neq y) \rightarrow$
 $igSubst MOD ys (igVar MOD ys y1) y (igVar MOD xs x) = igVar MOD xs x)$
 \wedge
 $(\forall ys y Y xs x.$
 $igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \rightarrow$
 $(ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x) \rightarrow$
 $igSubst MOD ys Y y (igVar MOD xs x) = igVar MOD xs x)$

lemma *igSubstIGVar1STR-imp-igSubstIGVar1*:
 $igSubstIGVar1STR MOD \Rightarrow igSubstIGVar1 MOD$
{proof}

definition *igSubstIGVar2* **where**
 $igSubstIGVar2 MOD ==$
 $\forall ys y Y.$
 $igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \rightarrow$
 $igSubst MOD ys Y y (igVar MOD ys y) = Y$

definition *igSubstIGVar2STR* **where**
 $igSubstIGVar2STR MOD ==$
 $(\forall ys y y1.$
 $igSubst MOD ys (igVar MOD ys y1) y (igVar MOD ys y) = igVar MOD ys y1)$
 \wedge
 $(\forall ys y Y.$
 $igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \rightarrow$
 $igSubst MOD ys Y y (igVar MOD ys y) = Y)$

lemma *igSubstIGVar2STR-imp-igSubstIGVar2*:
 $igSubstIGVar2STR MOD \Rightarrow igSubstIGVar2 MOD$
{proof}

definition *igSubstIGAbs* **where**
 $igSubstIGAbs MOD ==$
 $\forall ys y Y xs x s X.$
 $isInBar (xs, s) \wedge igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \wedge igWls MOD s X \rightarrow$
 $(xs \neq ys \vee x \neq y) \wedge igFresh MOD xs x Y \rightarrow$
 $igSubstAbs MOD ys Y y (igAbs MOD xs x X) =$
 $igAbs MOD xs x (igSubst MOD ys Y y X)$

definition *igSubstIGAbsSTR* **where**
 $igSubstIGAbsSTR MOD ==$
 $\forall ys y Y xs x X.$
 $(xs \neq ys \vee x \neq y) \wedge igFresh MOD xs x Y \rightarrow$
 $igSubstAbs MOD ys Y y (igAbs MOD xs x X) =$
 $igAbs MOD xs x (igSubst MOD ys Y y X)$

lemma *igSubstIGAbsSTR-imp-igSubstIGAbs*:
 $igSubstIGAbsSTR MOD \Rightarrow igSubstIGAbs MOD$
{proof}

```

definition igSubstIGOp where
  igSubstIGOp MOD ==
     $\forall ys y Y \delta \alpha \beta. \text{igWls } MOD (\text{asSort } ys) Y \wedge$ 
     $\text{igWlsInp } MOD \delta \alpha \beta \wedge \text{igWlsBinp } MOD \delta \alpha \beta \rightarrow$ 
     $\text{igSubst } MOD ys Y y (\text{igOp } MOD \delta \alpha \beta) =$ 
     $\text{igOp } MOD \delta (\text{igSubstInp } MOD ys Y y \alpha \beta) (\text{igSubstBinp } MOD ys Y y \alpha \beta)$ 

definition igSubstIGOpSTR where
  igSubstIGOpSTR MOD ==
     $(\forall ys y y1 \delta \alpha \beta. \text{igSubst } MOD ys (\text{igVar } MOD ys y1) y (\text{igOp } MOD \delta \alpha \beta) =$ 
     $\text{igOp } MOD \delta (\text{igSubstInp } MOD ys (\text{igVar } MOD ys y1) y \alpha \beta)$ 
     $(\text{igSubstBinp } MOD ys (\text{igVar } MOD ys y1) y \alpha \beta))$ 
     $\wedge$ 
     $(\forall ys y Y \delta \alpha \beta. \text{igWls } MOD (\text{asSort } ys) Y \rightarrow$ 
     $\text{igSubst } MOD ys Y y (\text{igOp } MOD \delta \alpha \beta) =$ 
     $\text{igOp } MOD \delta (\text{igSubstInp } MOD ys Y y \alpha \beta) (\text{igSubstBinp } MOD ys Y y \alpha \beta))$ 

lemma igSubstIGOpSTR-imp-igSubstIGOp:
  igSubstIGOpSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSubstIGOp MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

definition igSubstCls where
  igSubstCls MOD ==
    igSubstIGVar1 MOD  $\wedge$  igSubstIGVar2 MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igSubstIGAbs MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igSubstIGOp MOD

lemmas igSubstCls-defs = igSubstCls-def
  igSubstIGVar1-def igSubstIGVar2-def
  igSubstIGAbs-def
  igSubstIGOp-def

definition igSubstClsSTR where
  igSubstClsSTR MOD ==
    igSubstIGVar1STR MOD  $\wedge$  igSubstIGVar2STR MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igSubstIGAbsSTR MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igSubstIGOpSTR MOD

lemmas igSubstClsSTR-defs = igSubstClsSTR-def
  igSubstIGVar1STR-def igSubstIGVar2STR-def
  igSubstIGAbsSTR-def
  igSubstIGOpSTR-def

lemma igSubstClsSTR-imp-igSubstCls:
  igSubstClsSTR MOD  $\implies$  igSubstCls MOD

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition igAbsCongS where
igAbsCongS MOD ==
 $\forall xs x x' y s X X'.$ 
 $isInBar(xs, s) \wedge igWls MOD s X \wedge igWls MOD s X' \rightarrow$ 
 $igFresh MOD xs y X \wedge igFresh MOD xs y X' \wedge igSwap MOD xs y x X = igSwap$ 
 $MOD xs y x' X' \rightarrow$ 
 $igAbs MOD xs x X = igAbs MOD xs x' X'$ 

```

```

definition igAbsCongSSTR where
igAbsCongSSTR MOD ==
 $\forall xs x x' y X X'.$ 
 $igFresh MOD xs y X \wedge igFresh MOD xs y X' \wedge igSwap MOD xs y x X = igSwap$ 
 $MOD xs y x' X' \rightarrow$ 
 $igAbs MOD xs x X = igAbs MOD xs x' X'$ 

```

```

lemma igAbsCongSSTR-imp-igAbsCongS:
igAbsCongSSTR MOD  $\implies$  igAbsCongS MOD
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition igAbsCongU where
igAbsCongU MOD ==
 $\forall xs x x' y s X X'.$ 
 $isInBar(xs, s) \wedge igWls MOD s X \wedge igWls MOD s X' \rightarrow$ 
 $igFresh MOD xs y X \wedge igFresh MOD xs y X' \wedge$ 
 $igSubst MOD xs (igVar MOD xs y) x X = igSubst MOD xs (igVar MOD xs y)$ 
 $x' X' \rightarrow$ 
 $igAbs MOD xs x X = igAbs MOD xs x' X'$ 

```

```

definition igAbsCongUSTR where
igAbsCongUSTR MOD ==
 $\forall xs x x' y X X'.$ 
 $igFresh MOD xs y X \wedge igFresh MOD xs y X' \wedge$ 
 $igSubst MOD xs (igVar MOD xs y) x X = igSubst MOD xs (igVar MOD xs y)$ 
 $x' X' \rightarrow$ 
 $igAbs MOD xs x X = igAbs MOD xs x' X'$ 

```

```

lemma igAbsCongUSTR-imp-igAbsCongU:
igAbsCongUSTR MOD  $\implies$  igAbsCongU MOD
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition igAbsRen where
  igAbsRen MOD ==
     $\forall xs y x s X.$ 
       $isInBar(xs, s) \wedge igWls MOD s X \longrightarrow$ 
       $igFresh MOD xs y X \longrightarrow$ 
       $igAbs MOD xs y (igSubst MOD xs (igVar MOD xs y) x X) = igAbs MOD xs x$ 
       $X$ 

definition igAbsRenSTR where
  igAbsRenSTR MOD ==
     $\forall xs y x X.$ 
       $igFresh MOD xs y X \longrightarrow$ 
       $igAbs MOD xs y (igSubst MOD xs (igVar MOD xs y) x X) = igAbs MOD xs x X$ 

lemma igAbsRenSTR-imp-igAbsRen:
  igAbsRenSTR MOD  $\implies$  igAbsRen MOD
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma igAbsRenSTR-imp-igAbsCongUSTR:
  igAbsRenSTR MOD  $\implies$  igAbsCongUSTR MOD
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Well-sorted fresh-swap models:

```

definition iwlsFSw where
  iwlsFSw MOD ==
    igWlsAllDisj MOD  $\wedge$  igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igConsIPresIGWls MOD  $\wedge$  igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igFreshCls MOD  $\wedge$  igSwapCls MOD  $\wedge$  igAbsCongS MOD

lemmas iwlsFSw-defs1 = iwlsFSw-def
  igWlsAllDisj-def igWlsAbsIsInBar-def
  igConsIPresIGWls-def igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll-def
  igFreshCls-def igSwapCls-def igAbsCongS-def

lemmas iwlsFSw-defs = iwlsFSw-def
  igWlsAllDisj-defs igWlsAbsIsInBar-def
  igConsIPresIGWls-defs igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll-defs
  igFreshCls-defs igSwapCls-defs igAbsCongS-def

definition iwlsFSwSTR where
  iwlsFSwSTR MOD ==
    igWlsAllDisj MOD  $\wedge$  igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igConsIPresIGWlsSTR MOD  $\wedge$  igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR MOD  $\wedge$ 
    igFreshClsSTR MOD  $\wedge$  igSwapClsSTR MOD  $\wedge$  igAbsCongSSTR MOD

lemmas iwlsFSwSTR-defs1 = iwlsFSwSTR-def

```

```

 $igWlsAllDisj\text{-def}$   $igWlsAbsIsInBar\text{-def}$   

 $igConsIPresIGWlsSTR\text{-def}$   $igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR\text{-def}$   

 $igFreshClsSTR\text{-def}$   $igSwapClsSTR\text{-def}$   $igAbsCongSSTR\text{-def}$ 

```

```

lemmas  $iwlsFSwSTR\text{-defs} = iwlsFSwSTR\text{-def}$   

 $igWlsAllDisj\text{-defs}$   $igWlsAbsIsInBar\text{-def}$   

 $igConsIPresIGWlsSTR\text{-defs}$   $igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR\text{-defs}$   

 $igFreshClsSTR\text{-defs}$   $igSwapClsSTR\text{-defs}$   $igAbsCongSSTR\text{-def}$ 

```

```

lemma  $iwlsFSwSTR\text{-imp}\text{-}iwlsFSw:$   

 $iwlsFSwSTR \text{ MOD} \implies iwlsFSw \text{ MOD}$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Well-sorted fresh-subst models:

```

definition  $iwlsFSb$  where  

 $iwlsFSb \text{ MOD} ==$   

 $igWlsAllDisj \text{ MOD} \wedge igWlsAbsIsInBar \text{ MOD} \wedge$   

 $igConsIPresIGWls \text{ MOD} \wedge igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll \text{ MOD} \wedge$   

 $igFreshCls \text{ MOD} \wedge igSubstCls \text{ MOD} \wedge igAbsRen \text{ MOD}$ 

```

```

lemmas  $iwlsFSb\text{-defs}1 = iwlsFSb\text{-def}$   

 $igWlsAllDisj\text{-def}$   $igWlsAbsIsInBar\text{-def}$   

 $igConsIPresIGWls\text{-def}$   $igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll\text{-def}$   

 $igFreshCls\text{-def}$   $igSubstCls\text{-def}$   $igAbsRen\text{-def}$ 

```

```

lemmas  $iwlsFSb\text{-defs} = iwlsFSb\text{-def}$   

 $igWlsAllDisj\text{-defs}$   $igWlsAbsIsInBar\text{-def}$   

 $igConsIPresIGWls\text{-defs}$   $igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll\text{-defs}$   

 $igFreshCls\text{-defs}$   $igSubstCls\text{-defs}$   $igAbsRen\text{-def}$ 

```

```

definition  $iwlsFSbSwTR$  where  

 $iwlsFSbSwTR \text{ MOD} ==$   

 $igWlsAllDisj \text{ MOD} \wedge igWlsAbsIsInBar \text{ MOD} \wedge$   

 $igConsIPresIGWlsSTR \text{ MOD} \wedge igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR \text{ MOD} \wedge$   

 $igFreshClsSTR \text{ MOD} \wedge igSubstClsSTR \text{ MOD} \wedge igAbsRenSTR \text{ MOD}$ 

```

```

lemmas  $wlsFSbSwSTR\text{-defs}1 = wlsFSbSwTR\text{-def}$   

 $igWlsAllDisj\text{-def}$   $igWlsAbsIsInBar\text{-def}$   

 $igConsIPresIGWlsSTR\text{-def}$   $igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR\text{-def}$   

 $igFreshClsSTR\text{-def}$   $igSwapClsSTR\text{-def}$   $igAbsRenSTR\text{-def}$ 

```

```

lemmas  $iwlsFSbSwTR\text{-defs} = iwlsFSbSwTR\text{-def}$   

 $igWlsAllDisj\text{-defs}$   $igWlsAbsIsInBar\text{-def}$   

 $igConsIPresIGWlsSTR\text{-defs}$   $igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR\text{-defs}$   

 $igFreshClsSTR\text{-defs}$   $igSwapClsSTR\text{-defs}$   $igAbsRenSTR\text{-def}$ 

```

```

lemma  $iwlsFSbSwTR\text{-imp}\text{-}iwlsFSb:$   

 $iwlsFSbSwTR \text{ MOD} \implies iwlsFSb \text{ MOD}$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Well-sorted fresh-swap-subst-models

```
definition iwlxFSwSb where
iwlxFSwSb MOD ==  

iwlxFSw MOD ∧ igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD ∧ igSubstCls MOD
```

```
lemmas iwlxFSwSb-defs1 = iwlxFSwSb-def  

iwlxFSw-def igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll-def igSubstCls-def
```

```
lemmas iwlxFSwSb-defs = iwlxFSwSb-def  

iwlxFSw-def igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll-defs igSubstCls-defs
```

Well-sorted fresh-subst-swap-models

```
definition iwlxFSbSw where
iwlxFSbSw MOD ==  

iwlxFSb MOD ∧ igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD ∧ igSwapCls MOD
```

```
lemmas iwlxFSbSw-defs1 = iwlxFSbSw-def  

iwlxFSw-def igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll-def igSwapCls-def
```

```
lemmas iwlxFSbSw-defs = iwlxFSbSw-def  

iwlxFSw-def igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll-defs igSwapCls-defs
```

Extension of domain preservation (by swap and subst) to inputs:

First for free inputs:

```
definition igSwapInpIPresIGWlsInp where
igSwapInpIPresIGWlsInp MOD ==  

∀ zs z1 z2 delta inp.  

    igWlsInp MOD delta inp →  

    igWlsInp MOD delta (igSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp)
```

```
definition igSwapInpIPresIGWlsInpSTR where
igSwapInpIPresIGWlsInpSTR MOD ==  

∀ zs z1 z2 delta inp.  

    igWlsInp MOD delta (igSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp) =  

    igWlsInp MOD delta inp
```

```
definition igSubstInpIPresIGWlsInp where
igSubstInpIPresIGWlsInp MOD ==  

∀ ys y Y delta inp.  

    igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y ∧ igWlsInp MOD delta inp →  

    igWlsInp MOD delta (igSubstInp MOD ys Y y inp)
```

```
definition igSubstInpIPresIGWlsInpSTR where
igSubstInpIPresIGWlsInpSTR MOD ==  

∀ ys y Y delta inp.  

    igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y →  

    igWlsInp MOD delta (igSubstInp MOD ys Y y inp) =  

    igWlsInp MOD delta inp
```

```

lemma imp-igSwapInpIPresIGWlsInp:
  igSwapIPresIGWls MOD ==> igSwapInpIPresIGWlsInp MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma imp-igSwapInpIPresIGWlsInpSTR:
  igSwapIPresIGWlsSTR MOD ==> igSwapInpIPresIGWlsInpSTR MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma imp-igSubstInpIPresIGWlsInp:
  igSubstIPresIGWls MOD ==> igSubstInpIPresIGWlsInp MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma imp-igSubstInpIPresIGWlsInpSTR:
  igSubstIPresIGWlsSTR MOD ==> igSubstInpIPresIGWlsInpSTR MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

Then for bound inputs:

definition igSwapBinpIPresIGWlsBinp where
  igSwapBinpIPresIGWlsBinp MOD ==
  ∀ zs z1 z2 delta binp.
    igWlsBinp MOD delta binp —>
    igWlsBinp MOD delta (igSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 binp)

definition igSwapBinpIPresIGWlsBinpSTR where
  igSwapBinpIPresIGWlsBinpSTR MOD ==
  ∀ zs z1 z2 delta binp.
    igWlsBinp MOD delta (igSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 binp) =
    igWlsBinp MOD delta binp

definition igSubstBinpIPresIGWlsBinp where
  igSubstBinpIPresIGWlsBinp MOD ==
  ∀ ys y Y delta binp.
    igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y ∧ igWlsBinp MOD delta binp —>
    igWlsBinp MOD delta (igSubstBinp MOD ys Y y binp)

definition igSubstBinpIPresIGWlsBinpSTR where
  igSubstBinpIPresIGWlsBinpSTR MOD ==
  ∀ ys y Y delta binp.
    igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y —>
    igWlsBinp MOD delta (igSubstBinp MOD ys Y y binp) =
    igWlsBinp MOD delta binp

lemma imp-igSwapBinpIPresIGWlsBinp:
  igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD ==> igSwapBinpIPresIGWlsBinp MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma imp-igSwapBinpIPresIGWlsBinpSTR:
  igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR MOD ==> igSwapBinpIPresIGWlsBinpSTR MOD

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-igSubstBinpIPresIGWlsBinp*:
igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD \implies *igSubstBinpIPresIGWlsBinp MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-igSubstBinpIPresIGWlsBinpSTR*:
igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR MOD \implies *igSubstBinpIPresIGWlsBinpSTR MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2 Morphisms of models

The morphisms between models shall be the usual first-order-logic morphisms, i.e., functions commuting with the operations and preserving the (freshness) relations. Because they involve the same signature, the morphisms for fresh-swap-subst models (called fresh-swap-subst morphisms) will be the same as those for fresh-subst-swap-models.

8.2.1 Preservation of the domains

definition *ipresIGWls where*
ipresIGWls h MOD MOD' ==
 $\forall s X. igWls MOD s X \longrightarrow igWls MOD' s (h X)$

definition *ipresIGWlsAbs where*
ipresIGWlsAbs hA MOD MOD' ==
 $\forall us s A. igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A \longrightarrow igWlsAbs MOD' (us,s) (hA A)$

definition *ipresIGWlsAll where*
ipresIGWlsAll h hA MOD MOD' ==
 $ipresIGWls h MOD MOD' \wedge ipresIGWlsAbs hA MOD MOD'$

lemmas *ipresIGWlsAll-defs = ipresIGWlsAll-def*
ipresIGWls-def ipresIGWlsAbs-def

8.2.2 Preservation of the constructs

definition *ipresIGVar where*
ipresIGVar h MOD MOD' ==
 $\forall xs x. h (igVar MOD xs x) = igVar MOD' xs x$

definition *ipresIGAbs where*
ipresIGAbs h hA MOD MOD' ==
 $\forall xs x s X. isInBar (xs,s) \wedge igWls MOD s X \longrightarrow$
 $hA (igAbs MOD xs x X) = iqAbs MOD' xs x (h X)$

definition *ipresIGOOp*
where
ipresIGOOp h hA MOD MOD' ==

```

 $\forall \delta \text{ inp } binp.$ 
 $igWlsInp MOD \delta \text{ inp} \wedge igWlsBinp MOD \delta \text{ binp} \rightarrow$ 
 $h (igOp MOD \delta \text{ inp } binp) = igOp MOD' \delta (lift h \text{ inp}) (lift hA \text{ binp})$ 

definition ipresIGCons where
ipresIGCons h hA MOD MOD' ==
ipresIGVar h MOD MOD'  $\wedge$ 
ipresIGAbs h hA MOD MOD'  $\wedge$ 
ipresIGOp h hA MOD MOD'

lemmas ipresIGCons-defs = ipresIGCons-def
ipresIGVar-def
ipresIGAbs-def
ipresIGOp-def

```

8.2.3 Preservation of freshness

```

definition ipresIGFresh where
ipresIGFresh h MOD MOD' ==
 $\forall ys \text{ } y \text{ } s \text{ } X.$ 
 $igWls MOD s \text{ } X \rightarrow$ 
 $igFresh MOD ys \text{ } y \text{ } X \rightarrow igFresh MOD' ys \text{ } y (h \text{ } X)$ 

definition ipresIGFreshAbs where
ipresIGFreshAbs hA MOD MOD' ==
 $\forall ys \text{ } y \text{ } us \text{ } s \text{ } A.$ 
 $igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) \text{ } A \rightarrow$ 
 $igFreshAbs MOD ys \text{ } y \text{ } A \rightarrow igFreshAbs MOD' ys \text{ } y (hA \text{ } A)$ 

```

```

definition ipresIGFreshAll where
ipresIGFreshAll h hA MOD MOD' ==
ipresIGFresh h MOD MOD'  $\wedge$  ipresIGFreshAbs hA MOD MOD'

```

```

lemmas ipresIGFreshAll-defs = ipresIGFreshAll-def
ipresIGFresh-def ipresIGFreshAbs-def

```

8.2.4 Preservation of swapping

```

definition ipresIGSwap where
ipresIGSwap h MOD MOD' ==
 $\forall zs \text{ } z1 \text{ } z2 \text{ } s \text{ } X.$ 
 $igWls MOD s \text{ } X \rightarrow$ 
 $h (igSwap MOD zs \text{ } z1 \text{ } z2 \text{ } X) = igSwap MOD' zs \text{ } z1 \text{ } z2 (h \text{ } X)$ 

```

```

definition ipresIGSwapAbs where
ipresIGSwapAbs hA MOD MOD' ==
 $\forall zs \text{ } z1 \text{ } z2 \text{ } us \text{ } s \text{ } A.$ 
 $igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) \text{ } A \rightarrow$ 
 $hA (igSwapAbs MOD zs \text{ } z1 \text{ } z2 \text{ } A) = igSwapAbs MOD' zs \text{ } z1 \text{ } z2 (hA \text{ } A)$ 

```

```

definition ipresIGSwapAll where
ipresIGSwapAll h hA MOD MOD' ==
  ipresIGSwap h MOD MOD' ∧ ipresIGSwapAbs hA MOD MOD'

```

```

lemmas ipresIGSwapAll-defs = ipresIGSwapAll-def
ipresIGSwap-def ipresIGSwapAbs-def

```

8.2.5 Preservation of subst

```

definition ipresIGSubst where
ipresIGSubst h MOD MOD' ==
  ∀ ys Y y s X.
    igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y ∧ igWls MOD s X →
    h (igSubst MOD ys Y y X) = igSubst MOD' ys (h Y) y (h X)

```

```

definition ipresIGSubstAbs where
ipresIGSubstAbs h hA MOD MOD' ==
  ∀ ys Y y us s A.
    igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y ∧ igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A →
    hA (igSubstAbs MOD ys Y y A) = igSubstAbs MOD' ys (h Y) y (hA A)

```

```

definition ipresIGSubstAll where
ipresIGSubstAll h hA MOD MOD' ==
  ipresIGSubst h MOD MOD' ∧
  ipresIGSubstAbs h hA MOD MOD'

```

```

lemmas ipresIGSubstAll-defs = ipresIGSubstAll-def
ipresIGSubst-def ipresIGSubstAbs-def

```

8.2.6 Fresh-swap morphisms

```

definition FSwiMorph where
FSwiMorph h hA MOD MOD' ==
  ipresIGWlsAll h hA MOD MOD' ∧ ipresIGCons h hA MOD MOD' ∧
  ipresIGFreshAll h hA MOD MOD' ∧ ipresIGSwapAll h hA MOD MOD'

```

```

lemmas FSwiMorph-defs1 = FSwiMorph-def
ipresIGWlsAll-def ipresIGCons-def
ipresIGFreshAll-def ipresIGSwapAll-def

```

```

lemmas FSwiMorph-defs = FSwiMorph-def
ipresIGWlsAll-defs ipresIGCons-defs
ipresIGFreshAll-defs ipresIGSwapAll-defs

```

8.2.7 Fresh-subst morphisms

```

definition FSbIMorph where
FSbIMorph h hA MOD MOD' ==
  ipresIGWlsAll h hA MOD MOD' ∧ ipresIGCons h hA MOD MOD' ∧
  ipresIGFreshAll h hA MOD MOD' ∧ ipresIGSubstAll h hA MOD MOD'

```

```
lemmas FSbImorph-defs1 = FSbImorph-def
ipresIGWlsAll-def ipresIGCons-def
ipresIGFreshAll-def ipresIGSubstAll-def
```

```
lemmas FSbImorph-defs = FSbImorph-def
ipresIGWlsAll-defs ipresIGCons-defs
ipresIGFreshAll-defs ipresIGSubstAll-defs
```

8.2.8 Fresh-swap-subst morphisms

```
definition FSwSbImorph where
FSwSbImorph h hA MOD MOD' ===
FSwImorph h hA MOD MOD' ∧ ipresIGSubstAll h hA MOD MOD'
```

```
lemmas FSwSbImorph-defs1 = FSwSbImorph-def
FSwImorph-def ipresIGSubstAll-def
```

```
lemmas FSwSbImorph-defs = FSwSbImorph-def
FSwImorph-defs ipresIGSubstAll-defs
```

8.2.9 Basic facts

FSwSb morphisms are the same as FSbSw morphisms:

```
lemma FSwSbImorph-iff:
FSwSbImorph h hA MOD MOD' =
(FSbImorph h hA MOD MOD' ∧ ipresIGSwapAll h hA MOD MOD')
⟨proof⟩
```

Some facts for free inputs:

```
lemma igSwapInp-None[simp]:
(igSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp i = None) = (inp i = None)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma igSubstInp-None[simp]:
(igSubstInp MOD ys Y y inp i = None) = (inp i = None)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma imp-igWlsInp:
igWlsInp MOD delta inp ==> ipresIGWls h MOD MOD'
==> igWlsInp MOD' delta (lift h inp)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary FSwImorph-igWlsInp:
assumes igWlsInp MOD delta inp and FSwImorph h hA MOD MOD'
shows igWlsInp MOD' delta (lift h inp)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary FSbImorph-igWlsInp:
```

```

assumes igWlsInp MOD delta inp and FSbImorph h hA MOD MOD'
shows igWlsInp MOD' delta (lift h inp)
(proof)

```

```

lemma FSwSbImorph-igWlsInp:
assumes igWlsInp MOD delta inp and FSwSbImorph h hA MOD MOD'
shows igWlsInp MOD' delta (lift h inp)
(proof)

```

Similar facts for bound inputs:

```

lemma igSwapBinp-None[simp]:
(igSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 binp i = None) = (binp i = None)
(proof)

```

```

lemma igSubstBinp-None[simp]:
(igSubstBinp MOD ys Y y binp i = None) = (binp i = None)
(proof)

```

```

lemma imp-igWlsBinp:
assumes *: igWlsBinp MOD delta binp
and **: ipresIGWlsAbs hA MOD MOD'
shows igWlsBinp MOD' delta (lift hA binp)
(proof)

```

```

corollary FSwImorph-igWlsBinp:
assumes igWlsBinp MOD delta binp and FSwImorph h hA MOD MOD'
shows igWlsBinp MOD' delta (lift hA binp)
(proof)

```

```

corollary FSbImorph-igWlsBinp:
assumes igWlsBinp MOD delta binp and FSbImorph h hA MOD MOD'
shows igWlsBinp MOD' delta (lift hA binp)
(proof)

```

```

lemma FSwSbImorph-igWlsBinp:
assumes igWlsBinp MOD delta binp and FSwSbImorph h hA MOD MOD'
shows igWlsBinp MOD' delta (lift hA binp)
(proof)

```

```

lemmas input-igSwap-igSubst-None =
igSwapInp-None igSubstInp-None
igSwapBinp-None igSubstBinp-None

```

8.2.10 Identity and composition

```

lemma id-FSwImorph: FSwImorph id id MOD MOD
(proof)

```

```

lemma id-FSbImorph: FSbImorph id id MOD MOD

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-FSwSbImorph*: *FSwSbImorph id id MOD MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-ipresIGWls*:
assumes *ipresIGWls h MOD MOD' and ipresIGWls h' MOD' MOD''*
shows *ipresIGWls (h' o h) MOD MOD''*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-ipresIGWlsAbs*:
assumes *ipresIGWlsAbs hA MOD MOD' and ipresIGWlsAbs hA' MOD' MOD''*
shows *ipresIGWlsAbs (hA' o hA) MOD MOD''*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-ipresIGWlsAll*:
assumes *ipresIGWlsAll h hA MOD MOD' and ipresIGWlsAll h' hA' MOD' MOD''*
shows *ipresIGWlsAll (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD''*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-ipresIGVar*:
assumes *ipresIGVar h MOD MOD' and ipresIGVar h' MOD' MOD''*
shows *ipresIGVar (h' o h) MOD MOD''*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-ipresIGAbs*:
assumes *ipresIGWls h MOD MOD'*
and *ipresIGAbs h hA MOD MOD' and ipresIGAbs h' hA' MOD' MOD''*
shows *ipresIGAbs (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD''*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-ipresIGOp*:
assumes *ipres: ipresIGWls h MOD MOD' and ipresAbs: ipresIGWlsAbs hA MOD MOD'*
and *h: ipresIGOp h hA MOD MOD' and h': ipresIGOp h' hA' MOD' MOD''*
shows *ipresIGOp (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD''*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-ipresIGCons*:
assumes *ipresIGWlsAll h hA MOD MOD'*
and *ipresIGCons h hA MOD MOD' and ipresIGCons h' hA' MOD' MOD''*
shows *ipresIGCons (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD''*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-ipresIGFresh*:
assumes *ipresIGWls h MOD MOD'*
and *ipresIGFresh h MOD MOD' and ipresIGFresh h' MOD' MOD''*
shows *ipresIGFresh (h' o h) MOD MOD''*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma comp-ipresIGFreshAbs:
assumes ipresIGWlsAbs hA MOD MOD'
and ipresIGFreshAbs hA MOD MOD' and ipresIGFreshAbs hA' MOD' MOD"
shows ipresIGFreshAbs (hA' o hA) MOD MOD"
<proof>

lemma comp-ipresIGFreshAll:
assumes ipresIGWlsAll h hA MOD MOD'
and ipresIGFreshAll h hA MOD MOD' and ipresIGFreshAll h' hA' MOD' MOD"
shows ipresIGFreshAll (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD"
<proof>

lemma comp-ipresIGSwap:
assumes ipresIGWls h MOD MOD'
and ipresIGSwap h MOD MOD' and ipresIGSwap h' MOD' MOD"
shows ipresIGSwap (h' o h) MOD MOD"
<proof>

lemma comp-ipresIGSwapAbs:
assumes ipresIGWlsAbs hA MOD MOD'
and ipresIGSwapAbs hA MOD MOD' and ipresIGSwapAbs hA' MOD' MOD"
shows ipresIGSwapAbs (hA' o hA) MOD MOD"
<proof>

lemma comp-ipresIGSwapAll:
assumes ipresIGWlsAll h hA MOD MOD'
and ipresIGSwapAll h hA MOD MOD' and ipresIGSwapAll h' hA' MOD' MOD"
shows ipresIGSwapAll (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD"
<proof>

lemma comp-ipresIGSubst:
assumes ipresIGWls h MOD MOD'
and ipresIGSubst h MOD MOD' and ipresIGSubst h' MOD' MOD"
shows ipresIGSubst (h' o h) MOD MOD"
<proof>

lemma comp-ipresIGSubstAbs:
assumes *: igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
and h: ipresIGWls h MOD MOD' and hA: ipresIGWlsAbs hA MOD MOD'
and hhA: ipresIGSubstAbs h hA MOD MOD' and h'hA': ipresIGSubstAbs h' hA'
MOD' MOD"
shows ipresIGSubstAbs (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD"
<proof>

lemma comp-ipresIGSubstAll:
assumes igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
and ipresIGWlsAll h hA MOD MOD'
and ipresIGSubstAll h hA MOD MOD' and ipresIGSubstAll h' hA' MOD' MOD"

```

```

shows ipresIGSubstAll (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD"
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-FSwImorph:
assumes *: FSwImorph h hA MOD MOD' and **: FSwImorph h' hA' MOD'
MOD"
shows FSwImorph (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD"
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-FSbImorph:
assumes igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
and FSbImorph h hA MOD MOD' and FSbImorph h' hA' MOD' MOD"
shows FSbImorph (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD"
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-FSwSbImorph:
assumes igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
and FSwSbImorph h hA MOD MOD' and FSwSbImorph h' hA' MOD' MOD"
shows FSwSbImorph (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD MOD"
⟨proof⟩

```

8.3 The term model

We show that terms form fresh-swap-subst and fresh-subst-swap models.

8.3.1 Definitions and simplification rules

```

definition termMOD where
termMOD ==
(igWls = wls, igWlsAbs = wlsAbs,
 igVar = Var, igAbs = Abs, igOp = Op,
 igFresh = fresh, igFreshAbs = freshAbs,
 igSwap = swap, igSwapAbs = swapAbs,
 igSubst = subst, igSubstAbs = substAbs)

lemma igWls-termMOD[simp]: igWls termMOD = wls
⟨proof⟩

lemma igWlsAbs-termMOD[simp]: igWlsAbs termMOD = wlsAbs
⟨proof⟩

lemma igWlsInp-termMOD-wlsInp[simp]:
igWlsInp termMOD delta inp = wlsInp delta inp
⟨proof⟩

lemma igWlsBinp-termMOD-wlsBinp[simp]:
igWlsBinp termMOD delta binp = wlsBinp delta binp
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas igWlsAll-termMOD-simps =
igWls-termMOD igWlsAbs-termMOD
igWlsInp-termMOD-wlsInp igWlsBinp-termMOD-wlsBinp

lemma igVar-termMOD[simp]: igVar termMOD = Var
⟨proof⟩

lemma igAbs-termMOD[simp]: igAbs termMOD = Abs
⟨proof⟩

lemma igOp-termMOD[simp]: igOp termMOD = Op
⟨proof⟩

lemmas igCons-termMOD-simps =
igVar-termMOD igAbs-termMOD igOp-termMOD

lemma igFresh-termMOD[simp]: igFresh termMOD = fresh
⟨proof⟩

lemma igFreshAbs-termMOD[simp]: igFreshAbs termMOD = freshAbs
⟨proof⟩

lemma igFreshInp-termMOD[simp]: igFreshInp termMOD = freshInp
⟨proof⟩

lemma igFreshBinp-termMOD[simp]: igFreshBinp termMOD = freshBinp
⟨proof⟩

lemmas igFreshAll-termMOD-simps =
igFresh-termMOD igFreshAbs-termMOD
igFreshInp-termMOD igFreshBinp-termMOD

lemma igSwap-termMOD[simp]: igSwap termMOD = swap
⟨proof⟩

lemma igSwapAbs-termMOD[simp]: igSwapAbs termMOD = swapAbs
⟨proof⟩

lemma igSwapInp-termMOD[simp]: igSwapInp termMOD = swapInp
⟨proof⟩

lemma igSwapBinp-termMOD[simp]: igSwapBinp termMOD = swapBinp
⟨proof⟩

lemmas igSwapAll-termMOD-simps =
igSwap-termMOD igSwapAbs-termMOD
igSwapInp-termMOD igSwapBinp-termMOD

lemma igSubst-termMOD[simp]: igSubst termMOD = subst

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igSubstAbs-termMOD[simp]*: *igSubstAbs termMOD = substAbs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igSubstInp-termMOD[simp]*: *igSubstInp termMOD = substInp*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igSubstBinp-termMOD[simp]*: *igSubstBinp termMOD = substBinp*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *igSubstAll-termMOD-simps* =
igSubst-termMOD igSubstAbs-termMOD
igSubstInp-termMOD igSubstBinp-termMOD

lemmas *structure-termMOD-simps* =
igWlsAll-termMOD-simps
igFreshAll-termMOD-simps
igSwapAll-termMOD-simps
igSubstAll-termMOD-simps

8.3.2 Well-sortedness of the term model

Domains are disjoint:

lemma *termMOD-igWlsDisj*: *igWlsDisj termMOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *termMOD-igWlsAbsDisj*: *igWlsAbsDisj termMOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *termMOD-igWlsAllDisj*: *igWlsAllDisj termMOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Abstraction domains inhabited only within bound arities:

lemma *termMOD-igWlsAbsIsInBar*: *igWlsAbsIsInBar termMOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The syntactic constructs preserve the domains:

lemma *termMOD-igVarIPresIGWls*: *igVarIPresIGWls termMOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *termMOD-igAbsIPresIGWls*: *igAbsIPresIGWls termMOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *termMOD-igOpIPresIGWls*: *igOpIPresIGWls termMOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *termMOD-igConsIPresIGWls*: *igConsIPresIGWls termMOD*

$\langle proof \rangle$

Swap preserves the domains:

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igSwapIPresIGWls: igSwapIPresIGWls termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs: igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll: igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

“Subst” preserves the domains:

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igSubstIPresIGWls: igSubstIPresIGWls termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs: igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll: igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The “fresh” clauses hold:

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igFreshIGVar: igFreshIGVar termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igFreshIGAbs1: igFreshIGAbs1 termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igFreshIGAbs2: igFreshIGAbs2 termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igFreshIGOp: igFreshIGOp termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igFreshCls: igFreshCls termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The “swap” clauses hold:

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igSwapIGVar: igSwapIGVar termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igSwapIGAbs: igSwapIGAbs termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $termMOD\text{-}igSwapIGOp: igSwapIGOp termMOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *termMOD-igSwapCls*: *igSwapCls termMOD*
(proof)

The “subst” clauses hold:

lemma *termMOD-igSubstIGVar1*: *igSubstIGVar1 termMOD*
(proof)

lemma *termMOD-igSubstIGVar2*: *igSubstIGVar2 termMOD*
(proof)

lemma *termMOD-igSubstIGAbs*: *igSubstIGAbs termMOD*
(proof)

lemma *termMOD-igSubstIGOp*: *igSubstIGOp termMOD*
(proof)

lemma *termMOD-igSubstCls*: *igSubstCls termMOD*
(proof)

The swap-congruence clause for abstractions holds:

lemma *termMOD-igAbsCongS*: *igAbsCongS termMOD*
(proof)

The subst-renaming clause for abstractions holds:

lemma *termMOD-igAbsRen*: *igAbsRen termMOD*
(proof)

lemma *termMOD-iwlsFSw*: *iwlsFSw termMOD*
(proof)

lemma *termMOD-iwlsFSb*: *iwlsFSb termMOD*
(proof)

lemma *termMOD-iwlsFSwSb*: *iwlsFSwSb termMOD*
(proof)

lemma *termMOD-iwlsFSbSw*: *iwlsFSbSw termMOD*
(proof)

8.3.3 Direct description of morphisms from the term models

definition *ipresWls* where
ipresWls h MOD ==
 $\forall s X. wls s X \rightarrow igWls MOD s (h X)$

lemma *ipresIGWls-termMOD[simp]*:
ipresIGWls h termMOD MOD = ipresWls h MOD
(proof)

```

definition ipresWlsAbs where
ipresWlsAbs hA MOD ==
 $\forall us s A. wlsAbs (us,s) A \longrightarrow igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) (hA A)$ 

lemma ipresIGWlsAbs-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGWlsAbs hA termMOD MOD = ipresWlsAbs hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

definition ipresWlsAll where
ipresWlsAll h hA MOD ==
ipresWls h MOD  $\wedge$  ipresWlsAbs hA MOD

lemmas ipresWlsAll-defs = ipresWlsAll-def
ipresWls-def ipresWlsAbs-def

lemma ipresIGWlsAll-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGWlsAll h hA termMOD MOD = ipresWlsAll h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemmas ipresIGWlsAll-termMOD-simps =
ipresIGWls-termMOD ipresIGWlsAbs-termMOD ipresIGWlsAll-termMOD

definition ipresVar where
ipresVar h MOD ==
 $\forall xs x. h (\text{Var} xs x) = igVar MOD xs x$ 

lemma ipresIGVar-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGVar h termMOD MOD = ipresVar h MOD
⟨proof⟩

definition ipresAbs where
ipresAbs h hA MOD ==
 $\forall xs x s X. \text{isInBar} (xs,s) \wedge wls s X \longrightarrow hA (\text{Abs} xs x X) = igAbs MOD xs x (h X)$ 

lemma ipresIGAbs-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGAbs h hA termMOD MOD = ipresAbs h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

definition ipresOp where
ipresOp h hA MOD ==
 $\forall delta inp binp.$ 
 $wlsInp delta inp \wedge wlsBinp delta binp \longrightarrow$ 
 $h (\text{Op} delta inp binp) =$ 
 $igOp MOD delta (lift h inp) (lift hA binp)$ 

lemma ipresIGOp-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGOp h hA termMOD MOD = ipresOp h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition ipresCons where
ipresCons h hA MOD ==  

  ipresVar h MOD ∧  

  ipresAbs h hA MOD ∧  

  ipresOp h hA MOD

lemmas ipresCons-defs = ipresCons-def
ipresVar-def
ipresAbs-def
ipresOp-def

lemma ipresIGCons-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGCons h hA termMOD MOD = ipresCons h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemmas ipresIGCons-termMOD-simps =
ipresIGVar-termMOD ipresIGAbs-termMOD ipresIGOOp-termMOD
ipresIGCons-termMOD

definition ipresFresh where
ipresFresh h MOD ==  

  ∀ ys y s X.  

    wls s X →  

    fresh ys y X → igFresh MOD ys y (h X)

lemma ipresIGFresh-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGFresh h termMOD MOD = ipresFresh h MOD
⟨proof⟩

definition ipresFreshAbs where
ipresFreshAbs hA MOD ==  

  ∀ ys y us s A.  

    wlsAbs (us,s) A →  

    freshAbs ys y A → igFreshAbs MOD ys y (hA A)

lemma ipresIGFreshAbs-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGFreshAbs hA termMOD MOD = ipresFreshAbs hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

definition ipresFreshAll where
ipresFreshAll h hA MOD ==  

  ipresFresh h MOD ∧ ipresFreshAbs hA MOD

lemmas ipresFreshAll-defs = ipresFreshAll-def
ipresFresh-def ipresFreshAbs-def

lemma ipresIGFreshAll-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGFreshAll h hA termMOD MOD = ipresFreshAll h hA MOD

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *ipresIGFreshAll-termMOD-simps* =
ipresIGFresh-termMOD ipresIGFreshAbs-termMOD ipresIGFreshAll-termMOD

definition *ipresSwap* **where**
ipresSwap h MOD ==
 $\forall zs z1 z2 s X.$
 $wls s X \rightarrow$
 $h (X \# [z1 \wedge z2] - zs) = igSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (h X)$

lemma *ipresIGSwap-termMOD[simp]*:
ipresIGSwap h termMOD MOD = ipresSwap h MOD
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *ipresSwapAbs* **where**
ipresSwapAbs hA MOD ==
 $\forall zs z1 z2 us s A.$
 $wlsAbs (us, s) A \rightarrow$
 $hA (A \$ [z1 \wedge z2] - zs) = igSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 (hA A)$

lemma *ipresIGSwapAbs-termMOD[simp]*:
ipresIGSwapAbs hA termMOD MOD = ipresSwapAbs hA MOD
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *ipresSwapAll* **where**
ipresSwapAll h hA MOD ==
ipresSwap h MOD \wedge ipresSwapAbs hA MOD

lemmas *ipresSwapAll-defs* = *ipresSwapAll-def*
ipresSwap-def ipresSwapAbs-def

lemma *ipresIGSwapAll-termMOD[simp]*:
ipresIGSwapAll h hA termMOD MOD = ipresSwapAll h hA MOD
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *ipresIGSwapAll-termMOD-simps* =
ipresIGSwap-termMOD ipresIGSwapAbs-termMOD ipresIGSwapAll-termMOD

definition *ipresSubst* **where**
ipresSubst h MOD ==
 $\forall ys Y y s X.$
 $wls (asSort ys) Y \wedge wls s X \rightarrow$
 $h (subst ys Y y X) = igSubst MOD ys (h Y) y (h X)$

lemma *ipresIGSubst-termMOD[simp]*:
ipresIGSubst h termMOD MOD = ipresSubst h MOD
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition ipresSubstAbs where
ipresSubstAbs h hA MOD ==
 $\forall ys Y y us s A.$ 
 $wls(asSort ys) Y \wedge wlsAbs(us,s) A \longrightarrow$ 
 $hA(A \$[Y / y]-ys) = igSubstAbs MOD ys (h Y) y (hA A)$ 

lemma ipresIGSubstAbs-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGSubstAbs h hA termMOD MOD = ipresSubstAbs h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

definition ipresSubstAll where
ipresSubstAll h hA MOD ==
ipresSubst h MOD \wedge ipresSubstAbs h hA MOD

lemmas ipresSubstAll-defs = ipresSubstAll-def
ipresSubst-def ipresSubstAbs-def

lemma ipresIGSubstAll-termMOD[simp]:
ipresIGSubstAll h hA termMOD MOD = ipresSubstAll h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemmas ipresIGSubstAll-termMOD-simps =
ipresIGSubst-termMOD ipresIGSubstAbs-termMOD ipresIGSubstAll-termMOD

definition termFSwImorph where
termFSwImorph h hA MOD ==
ipresWlsAll h hA MOD \wedge ipresCons h hA MOD \wedge
ipresFreshAll h hA MOD \wedge ipresSwapAll h hA MOD

lemmas termFSwImorph-defs1 = termFSwImorph-def
ipresWlsAll-def ipresCons-def
ipresFreshAll-def ipresSwapAll-def

lemmas termFSwImorph-defs = termFSwImorph-def
ipresWlsAll-defs ipresCons-defs
ipresFreshAll-defs ipresSwapAll-defs

lemma FSwImorph-termMOD[simp]:
FSwImorph h hA termMOD MOD = termFSwImorph h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

definition termFSbImorph where
termFSbImorph h hA MOD ==
ipresWlsAll h hA MOD \wedge ipresCons h hA MOD \wedge
ipresFreshAll h hA MOD \wedge ipresSubstAll h hA MOD

lemmas termFSbImorph-defs1 = termFSbImorph-def
ipresWlsAll-def ipresCons-def
ipresFreshAll-def ipresSubstAll-def

```

```

lemmas termFSbImorph-defs = termFSbImorph-def
ipresWlsAll-defs ipresCons-defs
ipresFreshAll-defs ipresSubstAll-defs

lemma FSbImorph-termMOD[simp]:
FSbImorph h hA termMOD MOD = termFSbImorph h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

definition termFSwSbImorph where
termFSwSbImorph h hA MOD ==
termFSwImorph h hA MOD ∧ ipresSubstAll h hA MOD

lemmas termFSwSbImorph-defs1 = termFSwSbImorph-def
termFSwImorph-def ipresSubstAll-def

lemmas termFSwSbImorph-defs = termFSwSbImorph-def
termFSwImorph-defs ipresSubstAll-defs

Term FSwSb morphisms are the same as FSbSw morphisms:

lemma termFSwSbImorph-iff:
termFSwSbImorph h hA MOD =
(termFSbImorph h hA MOD ∧ ipresSwapAll h hA MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma FSwSbImorph-termMOD[simp]:
FSwSbImorph h hA termMOD MOD = termFSwSbImorph h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma ipresWls-wlsInp:
assumes wlsInp delta inp and ipresWls h MOD
shows igWlsInp MOD delta (lift h inp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma termFSwImorph-wlsInp:
assumes wlsInp delta inp and termFSwImorph h hA MOD
shows igWlsInp MOD delta (lift h inp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma termFSwSbImorph-wlsInp:
assumes wlsInp delta inp and termFSwSbImorph h hA MOD
shows igWlsInp MOD delta (lift h inp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ipresWls-wlsBinp:
assumes wlsBinp delta binp and ipresWlsAbs hA MOD
shows igWlsBinp MOD delta (lift hA binp)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma termFSwImorph-wlsBinp:
assumes wlsBinp delta binp and termFSwImorph h hA MOD
shows igWlsBinp MOD delta (lift hA binp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma termFSwSbImorph-wlsBinp:
assumes wlsBinp delta binp and termFSwSbImorph h hA MOD
shows igWlsBinp MOD delta (lift hA binp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma id-termFSwImorph: termFSwImorph id id termMOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma id-termFSbImorph: termFSbImorph id id termMOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma id-termFSwSbImorph: termFSwSbImorph id id termMOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-termFSwImorph:
assumes *: termFSwImorph h hA MOD and **: FSwImorph h' hA' MOD MOD'
shows termFSwImorph (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD'
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-termFSbImorph:
assumes *: termFSbImorph h hA MOD and **: FSbImorph h' hA' MOD MOD'
shows termFSbImorph (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD'
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-termFSwSbImorph:
assumes *: termFSwSbImorph h hA MOD and **: FSwSbImorph h' hA' MOD MOD'
shows termFSwSbImorph (h' o h) (hA' o hA) MOD'
⟨proof⟩

lemmas mapFrom-termMOD-simps =
ipresIGWlsAll-termMOD-simps
ipresIGCons-termMOD-simps
ipresIGFreshAll-termMOD-simps
ipresIGSwapAll-termMOD-simps
ipresIGSubstAll-termMOD-simps
FSwImorph-termMOD FSbImorph-termMOD FSwSbImorph-termMOD

lemmas termMOD-simps =
structure-termMOD-simps mapFrom-termMOD-simps

```

8.3.4 Sufficient criteria for being a morphism to a well-sorted model (of various kinds)

In a nutshell: in these cases, we only need to check preservation of the syntactic constructs, “ipresCons”.

```

lemma ipresCons-imp-ipresWlsAll:
assumes *: ipresCons h hA MOD and **: igConsIPresIGWls MOD
shows ipresWlsAll h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma ipresCons-imp-ipresFreshAll:
assumes *: ipresCons h hA MOD and **: igFreshCls MOD
and igConsIPresIGWls MOD
shows ipresFreshAll h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma ipresCons-imp-ipresSwapAll:
assumes *: ipresCons h hA MOD and **: igSwapCls MOD
and igConsIPresIGWls MOD
shows ipresSwapAll h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma ipresCons-imp-ipresSubstAll-aux:
assumes *: ipresCons h hA MOD and **: igSubstCls MOD
and igConsIPresIGWls MOD and igFreshCls MOD
assumes P: wlsPar P
shows
(wls s X →
(∀ ys y Y. y ∈ varsOfS P ys ∧ Y ∈ termsOfS P (asSort ys) →
h (X #[Y / y]-ys) = igSubst MOD ys (h Y) y (h X)))
∧
(wlsAbs (us,s') A →
(∀ ys y Y. y ∈ varsOfS P ys ∧ Y ∈ termsOfS P (asSort ys) →
hA (A $[Y / y]-ys) = igSubstAbs MOD ys (h Y) y (hA A)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ipresCons-imp-ipresSubst:
assumes *: ipresCons h hA MOD and **: igSubstCls MOD
and igConsIPresIGWls MOD and igFreshCls MOD
shows ipresSubst h MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma ipresCons-imp-ipresSubstAbs:
assumes *: ipresCons h hA MOD and **: igSubstCls MOD
and igConsIPresIGWls MOD and igFreshCls MOD
shows ipresSubstAbs h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma ipresCons-imp-ipresSubstAll:
```

```

assumes *: ipresCons h hA MOD and **: igSubstCls MOD
and igConsIPresIGWls MOD and igFreshCls MOD
shows ipresSubstAll h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma iwlsFSw-termFSwImorph-iff:
iwlsFSw MOD  $\implies$  termFSwImorph h hA MOD = ipresCons h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

corollary iwlsFSwSTR-termFSwImorph-iff:
iwlsFSwSTR MOD  $\implies$  termFSwImorph h hA MOD = ipresCons h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma iwlsFSb-termFSbImorph-iff:
iwlsFSb MOD  $\implies$  termFSbImorph h hA MOD = ipresCons h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

corollary iwlsFSbSwTR-termFSbImorph-iff:
iwlsFSbSwTR MOD  $\implies$  termFSbImorph h hA MOD = ipresCons h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma iwlsFSwSb-termFSwSbImorph-iff:
iwlsFSwSb MOD  $\implies$  termFSwSbImorph h hA MOD = ipresCons h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma iwlsFSbSw-termFSwSbImorph-iff:
iwlsFSbSw MOD  $\implies$  termFSwSbImorph h hA MOD = ipresCons h hA MOD
⟨proof⟩

end

```

8.4 The “error” model of associated to a model

The error model will have the operators act like the original ones on well-formed terms, except that will return “ERR” (error) or “True” (in the case of fresh) whenever one of the inputs (variables, terms or abstractions) is “ERR” or is not well-formed.

The error model is more convenient than the original one, since one can define more easily a map from the model of terms to the former. This map shall be defined by the universal property of quotients, via a map from quasi-terms whose kernel includes the alpha-equivalence relation. The latter property (of including the alpha-equivalence would not be achievable with the original model as target, since alpha is defined unsortedly and the model clauses hold sortedly).

We shall only need error models associated to fresh-swap and to fresh-subst models.

8.4.1 Preliminaries

```
datatype 'a withERR = ERR | OK 'a
```

```
context FixSyn
begin

definition OKI where
OKI inp = lift OK inp

definition check where
check eX == THE X. eX = OK X

definition checkI where
checkI einp == lift check einp

lemma check-ex-unique:
eX ≠ ERR ⇒ (EX! X. eX = OK X)
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-OK[simp]:
check (OK X) = X
⟨proof⟩

lemma OK-check[simp]:
eX ≠ ERR ⇒ OK (check eX) = eX
⟨proof⟩

lemma checkI-OKI[simp]:
checkI (OKI inp) = inp
⟨proof⟩

lemma OKI-checkI[simp]:
assumes liftAll (λ X. X ≠ ERR) einp
shows OKI (checkI einp) = einp
⟨proof⟩

lemma OKI-inj[simp]:
fixes inp inp' :: ('index,'gTerm)input
shows (OKI inp = OKI inp') = (inp = inp')
⟨proof⟩

lemmas OK-OKI-simps =
check-OK OK-check checkI-OKI OKI-checkI OKI-inj
```

8.4.2 Definitions and notations

```
definition errMOD :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model ⇒
```

```

('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm withERR,'gAbs withERR)model
where
errMOD MOD ==
  (igWls =  $\lambda s eX. \text{case } eX \text{ of } \text{ERR} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{OK } X \Rightarrow \text{igWls MOD } s X,$ 
   igWlsAbs =  $\lambda (us,s) eA. \text{case } eA \text{ of } \text{ERR} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{OK } A \Rightarrow \text{igWlsAbs MOD } (us,s) A,$ 
  igVar =  $\lambda xs x. \text{OK } (\text{igVar MOD } xs x),$ 
  igAbs =  $\lambda xs x eX.$ 
    if ( $eX \neq \text{ERR} \wedge (\exists s. \text{isInBar } (xs,s) \wedge \text{igWls MOD } s (\text{check } eX))$ )
      then  $\text{OK } (\text{igAbs MOD } xs x (\text{check } eX))$ 
      else  $\text{ERR},$ 
  igOp =  $\lambda \text{delta einp ebinp}.$ 
    if  $\text{liftAll } (\lambda X. X \neq \text{ERR}) \text{ einp} \wedge \text{liftAll } (\lambda A. A \neq \text{ERR}) \text{ ebinp}$ 
       $\wedge \text{igWlsInp MOD delta } (\text{checkI einp}) \wedge \text{igWlsBinp MOD delta } (\text{checkI ebinp})$ 
      then  $\text{OK } (\text{igOp MOD delta } (\text{checkI einp}) (\text{checkI ebinp}))$ 
      else  $\text{ERR},$ 
  igFresh =  $\lambda ys y eX.$ 
    if  $eX \neq \text{ERR} \wedge (\exists s. \text{igWls MOD } s (\text{check } eX))$ 
      then  $\text{igFresh MOD } ys y (\text{check } eX)$ 
      else  $\text{True},$ 
  igFreshAbs =  $\lambda ys y eA.$ 
    if  $eA \neq \text{ERR} \wedge (\exists us s. \text{igWlsAbs MOD } (us,s) (\text{check } eA))$ 
      then  $\text{igFreshAbs MOD } ys y (\text{check } eA)$ 
      else  $\text{True},$ 
  igSwap =  $\lambda zs z1 z2 eX.$ 
    if  $eX \neq \text{ERR} \wedge (\exists s. \text{igWls MOD } s (\text{check } eX))$ 
      then  $\text{OK } (\text{igSwap MOD } zs z1 z2 (\text{check } eX))$ 
      else  $\text{ERR},$ 
  igSwapAbs =  $\lambda zs z1 z2 eA.$ 
    if  $eA \neq \text{ERR} \wedge (\exists us s. \text{igWlsAbs MOD } (us,s) (\text{check } eA))$ 
      then  $\text{OK } (\text{igSwapAbs MOD } zs z1 z2 (\text{check } eA))$ 
      else  $\text{ERR},$ 
  igSubst =  $\lambda ys eY y eX.$ 
    if  $eY \neq \text{ERR} \wedge \text{igWls MOD } (\text{asSort } ys) (\text{check } eY)$ 
       $\wedge eX \neq \text{ERR} \wedge (\exists s. \text{igWls MOD } s (\text{check } eX))$ 
      then  $\text{OK } (\text{igSubst MOD } ys (\text{check } eY) y (\text{check } eX))$ 
      else  $\text{ERR},$ 
  igSubstAbs =  $\lambda ys eY y eA.$ 
    if  $eY \neq \text{ERR} \wedge \text{igWls MOD } (\text{asSort } ys) (\text{check } eY)$ 
       $\wedge eA \neq \text{ERR} \wedge (\exists us s. \text{igWlsAbs MOD } (us,s) (\text{check } eA))$ 
      then  $\text{OK } (\text{igSubstAbs MOD } ys (\text{check } eY) y (\text{check } eA))$ 
      else  $\text{ERR}$ 
)

```

abbreviation $eWls$ **where** $eWls MOD == \text{igWls (errMOD MOD)}$
abbreviation $eWlsAbs$ **where** $eWlsAbs MOD == \text{igWlsAbs (errMOD MOD)}$
abbreviation $eWlsInp$ **where** $eWlsInp MOD == \text{igWlsInp (errMOD MOD)}$

```

abbreviation eWlsBinp where eWlsBinp MOD == igWlsBinp (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eVar where eVar MOD == igVar (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eAbs where eAbs MOD == igAbs (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eOp where eOp MOD == igOp (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eFresh where eFresh MOD == igFresh (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eFreshAbs where eFreshAbs MOD == igFreshAbs (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eFreshInp where eFreshInp MOD == igFreshInp (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eFreshBinp where eFreshBinp MOD == igFreshBinp (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eSwap where eSwap MOD == igSwap (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eSwapAbs where eSwapAbs MOD == igSwapAbs (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eSwapInp where eSwapInp MOD == igSwapInp (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eSwapBinp where eSwapBinp MOD == igSwapBinp (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eSubst where eSubst MOD == igSubst (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eSubstAbs where eSubstAbs MOD == igSubstAbs (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eSubstInp where eSubstInp MOD == igSubstInp (errMOD MOD)
abbreviation eSubstBinp where eSubstBinp MOD == igSubstBinp (errMOD MOD)

```

8.4.3 Simplification rules

lemma eWls-simp1[simp]:

$eWls \text{ MOD } s \text{ (OK } X\text{)} = igWls \text{ MOD } s \text{ } X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eWls-simp2[simp]:

$eWls \text{ MOD } s \text{ } ERR = False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eWlsAbs-simp1[simp]:

$eWlsAbs \text{ MOD } (us,s) \text{ (OK } A\text{)} = igWlsAbs \text{ MOD } (us,s) \text{ } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eWlsAbs-simp2[simp]:

$eWlsAbs \text{ MOD } (us,s) \text{ } ERR = False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eWlsInp-simp1[simp]:

$eWlsInp \text{ MOD } delta \text{ (OKI } inp\text{)} = igWlsInp \text{ MOD } delta \text{ } inp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eWlsInp-simp2[simp]:

$\neg liftAll (\lambda eX. eX \neq ERR) \text{ } einp \implies \neg eWlsInp \text{ MOD } delta \text{ } einp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary eWlsInp-simp3[simp]:

$\neg eWlsInp \text{ MOD } delta \text{ (}\lambda i. \text{ Some } ERR\text{)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eWlsBinp\text{-}simp1$ [simp]:
 $eWlsBinp \text{ MOD } \delta (\text{OKI } binp) = igWlsBinp \text{ MOD } \delta binp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eWlsBinp\text{-}simp2$ [simp]:
 $\neg liftAll (\lambda eA. eA \neq \text{ERR}) ebinp \implies \neg eWlsBinp \text{ MOD } \delta ebinp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $eWlsBinp\text{-}simp3$ [simp]:
 $\neg eWlsBinp \text{ MOD } \delta (\lambda i. \text{Some } \text{ERR})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $eWlsAll\text{-}simps =$
 $eWls\text{-}simp1 \ eWls\text{-}simp2$
 $eWlsAbs\text{-}simp1 \ eWlsAbs\text{-}simp2$
 $eWlsInp\text{-}simp1 \ eWlsInp\text{-}simp2 \ eWlsInp\text{-}simp3$
 $eWlsBinp\text{-}simp1 \ eWlsBinp\text{-}simp2 \ eWlsBinp\text{-}simp3$

lemma $eVar\text{-}simp$ [simp]:
 $eVar \text{ MOD } xs x = \text{OK} (igVar \text{ MOD } xs x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eAbs\text{-}simp1$ [simp]:
 $\llbracket \text{isInBar } (xs, s); igWls \text{ MOD } s X \rrbracket \implies eAbs \text{ MOD } xs x (\text{OK } X) = \text{OK} (igAbs \text{ MOD } xs x X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eAbs\text{-}simp2$ [simp]:
 $\forall s. \neg (\text{isInBar } (xs, s) \wedge igWls \text{ MOD } s X) \implies eAbs \text{ MOD } xs x (\text{OK } X) = \text{ERR}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eAbs\text{-}simp3$ [simp]:
 $eAbs \text{ MOD } xs x \text{ ERR} = \text{ERR}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eOp\text{-}simp1$ [simp]:
assumes $igWlsInp \text{ MOD } \delta \text{ inp}$ **and** $igWlsBinp \text{ MOD } \delta binp$
shows $eOp \text{ MOD } \delta (\text{OKI } \text{inp}) (\text{OKI } binp) = \text{OK} (igOp \text{ MOD } \delta \text{ inp } binp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eOp\text{-}simp2$ [simp]:
assumes $\neg igWlsInp \text{ MOD } \delta \text{ inp}$
shows $eOp \text{ MOD } \delta (\text{OKI } \text{inp}) ebinp = \text{ERR}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eOp\text{-}simp3$ [simp]:
assumes $\neg igWlsBinp \text{ MOD } \delta binp$
shows $eOp \text{ MOD } \delta einp (\text{OKI } binp) = \text{ERR}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eOp-simp4*[simp]:
assumes $\neg liftAll (\lambda eX. eX \neq ERR) einp$
shows $eOp MOD delta einp ebinp = ERR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *eOp-simp5*[simp]:
 $eOp MOD delta (\lambda i. Some ERR) ebinp = ERR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eOp-simp6*[simp]:
assumes $\neg liftAll (\lambda eA. eA \neq ERR) ebinp$
shows $eOp MOD delta einp ebinp = ERR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *eOp-simp7*[simp]:
 $eOp MOD delta einp (\lambda i. Some ERR) = ERR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *eCons-simps* =
eVar-simp
eAbs-simp1 *eAbs-simp2* *eAbs-simp3*
eOp-simp1 *eOp-simp2* *eOp-simp3* *eOp-simp4* *eOp-simp5* *eOp-simp6* *eOp-simp7*

lemma *eFresh-simp1*[simp]:
 $igWls MOD s X \implies eFresh MOD ys y (OK X) = igFresh MOD ys y X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eFresh-simp2*[simp]:
 $\forall s. \neg igWls MOD s X \implies eFresh MOD ys y (OK X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eFresh-simp3*[simp]:
 $eFresh MOD ys y ERR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eFreshAbs-simp1*[simp]:
 $igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A \implies eFreshAbs MOD ys y (OK A) = igFreshAbs MOD ys y A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eFreshAbs-simp2*[simp]:
 $\forall us s. \neg igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A \implies eFreshAbs MOD ys y (OK A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eFreshAbs-simp3*[simp]:
 $eFreshAbs MOD ys y ERR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma eFreshInp-simp[simp]:
igWlsInp MOD delta inp
 $\implies eFreshInp MOD ys y (OKI inp) = igFreshInp MOD ys y inp$ 
{proof}

lemma eFreshBinp-simp[simp]:
igWlsBinp MOD delta binp
 $\implies eFreshBinp MOD ys y (OKI binp) = igFreshBinp MOD ys y binp$ 
{proof}

lemmas eFreshAll-simps =
eFresh-simp1 eFresh-simp2 eFresh-simp3
eFreshAbs-simp1 eFreshAbs-simp2 eFreshAbs-simp3
eFreshInp-simp
eFreshBinp-simp

lemma eSwap-simp1[simp]:
igWls MOD s X
 $\implies eSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (OK X) = OK (igSwap MOD zs z1 z2 X)$ 
{proof}

lemma eSwap-simp2[simp]:
 $\forall s. \neg igWls MOD s X \implies eSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (OK X) = ERR$ 
{proof}

lemma eSwap-simp3[simp]:
eSwap MOD zs z1 z2 ERR = ERR
{proof}

lemma eSwapAbs-simp1[simp]:
igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A
 $\implies eSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 (OK A) = OK (igSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 A)$ 
{proof}

lemma eSwapAbs-simp2[simp]:
 $\forall us s. \neg igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A \implies eSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 (OK A) = ERR$ 
{proof}

lemma eSwapAbs-simp3[simp]:
eSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 ERR = ERR
{proof}

lemma eSwapInp-simp1[simp]:
igWlsInp MOD delta inp
 $\implies eSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 (OKI inp) = OKI (igSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp)$ 
{proof}

lemma eSwapInp-simp2[simp]:

```

```

assumes  $\neg liftAll (\lambda eX. eX \neq ERR) einp$ 
shows  $\neg liftAll (\lambda eX. eX \neq ERR) (eSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 einp)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $eSwapBinp\text{-}simp1[simp]$ :
 $igWlsBinp MOD delta binp$ 
 $\implies eSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 (OKI binp) = OKI (igSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 binp)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $eSwapBinp\text{-}simp2[simp]$ :
assumes  $\neg liftAll (\lambda eA. eA \neq ERR) ebinp$ 
shows  $\neg liftAll (\lambda eA. eA \neq ERR) (eSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 ebinp)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas  $eSwapAll\text{-}simps =$ 
 $eSwap\text{-}simp1\;eSwap\text{-}simp2\;eSwap\text{-}simp3$ 
 $eSwapAbs\text{-}simp1\;eSwapAbs\text{-}simp2\;eSwapAbs\text{-}simp3$ 
 $eSwapInp\text{-}simp1\;eSwapInp\text{-}simp2$ 
 $eSwapBinp\text{-}simp1\;eSwapBinp\text{-}simp2$ 

lemma  $eSubst\text{-}simp1[simp]$ :
 $\llbracket igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y; igWls MOD s X \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies eSubst MOD ys (OK Y) y (OK X) = OK (igSubst MOD ys Y y X)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $eSubst\text{-}simp2[simp]$ :
 $\neg igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \implies eSubst MOD ys (OK Y) y eX = ERR$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $eSubst\text{-}simp3[simp]$ :
 $\forall s. \neg igWls MOD s X \implies eSubst MOD ys eY y (OK X) = ERR$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $eSubst\text{-}simp4[simp]$ :
 $eSubst MOD ys eY y ERR = ERR$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $eSubst\text{-}simp5[simp]$ :
 $eSubst MOD ys ERR y eX = ERR$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $eSubstAbs\text{-}simp1[simp]$ :
 $\llbracket igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y; igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies eSubstAbs MOD ys (OK Y) y (OK A) = OK (igSubstAbs MOD ys Y y A)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $eSubstAbs\text{-}simp2[simp]$ :
 $\neg igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \implies eSubstAbs MOD ys (OK Y) y eA = ERR$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstAbs-simp3*[simp]:

$\forall us s. \neg igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A \implies eSubstAbs MOD ys eY y (OK A) = ERR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstAbs-simp4*[simp]:

$eSubstAbs MOD ys eY y ERR = ERR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstAbs-simp5*[simp]:

$eSubstAbs MOD ys ERR y eA = ERR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstInp-simp1*[simp]:

$\llbracket igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y; igWlsInp MOD delta inp \rrbracket$
 $\implies eSubstInp MOD ys (OK Y) y (OKI inp) = OKI (igSubstInp MOD ys Y y$
 $inp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstInp-simp2*[simp]:

assumes $\neg liftAll (\lambda eX. eX \neq ERR) einp$
shows $\neg liftAll (\lambda eX. eX \neq ERR) (eSubstInp MOD ys eY y einp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstInp-simp3*[simp]:

assumes $\ast: \neg igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y$ **and** $\ast\ast: \neg einp = (\lambda i. None)$
shows $\neg liftAll (\lambda eX. eX \neq ERR) (eSubstInp MOD ys (OK Y) y einp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstInp-simp4*[simp]:

assumes $\neg einp = (\lambda i. None)$
shows $\neg liftAll (\lambda eX. eX \neq ERR) (eSubstInp MOD ys ERR y einp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstBinp-simp1*[simp]:

$\llbracket igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y; igWlsBinp MOD delta binp \rrbracket$
 $\implies eSubstBinp MOD ys (OK Y) y (OKI binp) = OKI (igSubstBinp MOD ys Y$
 $y binp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstBinp-simp2*[simp]:

assumes $\neg liftAll (\lambda eA. eA \neq ERR) ebinp$
shows $\neg liftAll (\lambda eA. eA \neq ERR) (eSubstBinp MOD ys eY y ebinp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstBinp-simp3*[simp]:

assumes $\ast: \neg igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y$ **and** $\ast\ast: \neg ebinp = (\lambda i. None)$
shows $\neg liftAll (\lambda eA. eA \neq ERR) (eSubstBinp MOD ys (OK Y) y ebinp)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma eSubstBinp-simp4[simp]:
assumes  $\neg ebinp = (\lambda i. None)$ 
shows  $\neg liftAll (\lambda eA. eA \neq ERR) (eSubstBinp MOD ys ERR y ebinp)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas eSubstAll-simps =
eSubst-simp1 eSubst-simp2 eSubst-simp3 eSubst-simp4 eSubst-simp5
eSubstAbs-simp1 eSubstAbs-simp2 eSubstAbs-simp3 eSubstAbs-simp4 eSubstAbs-simp5
eSubstInp-simp1 eSubstInp-simp2 eSubstInp-simp3 eSubstInp-simp4
eSubstBinp-simp1 eSubstBinp-simp2 eSubstBinp-simp3 eSubstBinp-simp4

lemmas error-model-simps =
OK-OKI-simps
eWlsAll-simps
eCons-simps
eFreshAll-simps
eSwapAll-simps
eSubstAll-simps

```

8.4.4 Nchotomies

```

lemma eWls-nchotomy:
 $(\exists X. eX = OK X \wedge igWls MOD s X) \vee \neg eWls MOD s eX$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eWlsAbs-nchotomy:
 $(\exists A. eA = OK A \wedge igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A) \vee \neg eWlsAbs MOD (us,s) eA$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eAbs-nchotomy:
 $((\exists s X. eX = OK X \wedge isInBar (xs,s) \wedge igWls MOD s X)) \vee (eAbs MOD xs x eX = ERR)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eOp-nchotomy:
 $(\exists inp binp. einp = OKI inp \wedge igWlsInp MOD delta inp \wedge$ 
 $ebinp = OKI binp \wedge igWlsBinp MOD delta binp)$ 
 $\vee$ 
 $(eOp MOD delta einp ebinp = ERR)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eFresh-nchotomy:
 $(\exists s X. eX = OK X \wedge igWls MOD s X) \vee eFresh MOD ys y eX$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eFreshAbs-nchotomy:
 $(\exists us s A. eA = OK A \wedge igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A)$ 

```

$\vee eFreshAbs MOD ys y eA$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSwap-nchotomy*:
 $(\exists s X. eX = OK X \wedge igWls MOD s X) \vee$
 $(eSwap MOD zs z1 z2 eX = ERR)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSwapAbs-nchotomy*:
 $(\exists us s A. eA = OK A \wedge igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A) \vee$
 $(eSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 eA = ERR)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubst-nchotomy*:
 $(\exists Y. eY = OK Y \wedge$
 $igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y) \wedge (\exists s X. eX = OK X \wedge igWls MOD s X)$
 \vee
 $(eSubst MOD ys eY y eX = ERR)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstAbs-nchotomy*:
 $(\exists Y. eY = OK Y \wedge igWls MOD (asSort ys) Y) \wedge$
 $(\exists us s A. eA = OK A \wedge igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A)$
 \vee
 $(eSubstAbs MOD ys eY y eA = ERR)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.4.5 Inversion rules

lemma *eWls-invert*:
assumes $eWls MOD s eX$
shows $\exists X. eX = OK X \wedge igWls MOD s X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eWlsAbs-invert*:
assumes $eWlsAbs MOD (us,s) eA$
shows $\exists A. eA = OK A \wedge igWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eWlsInp-invert*:
assumes $eWlsInp MOD delta einp$
shows $\exists inp. igWlsInp MOD delta inp \wedge einp = OKI inp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eWlsBinp-invert*:
assumes $eWlsBinp MOD delta ebinp$
shows $\exists binp. igWlsBinp MOD delta binp \wedge ebinp = OKI binp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eAbs-invert*:

assumes $eAbs \text{ MOD } xs \ x \ eX = OK \ A$

shows $\exists \ s \ X. \ eX = OK \ X \wedge \text{isInBar} \ (xs, s) \wedge A = igAbs \text{ MOD } xs \ x \ X \wedge igWls \text{ MOD } s \ X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eOp-invert*:

assumes $eOp \text{ MOD } delta \ einp \ ebinp = OK \ X$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \ inp \ binp. \ einp = OKI \ inp \wedge ebinp = OKI \ binp \wedge \\ X = igOp \text{ MOD } delta \ inp \ binp \wedge \\ igWlsInp \text{ MOD } delta \ inp \wedge igWlsBinp \text{ MOD } delta \ binp \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eFresh-invert*:

assumes $\neg eFresh \text{ MOD } ys \ y \ eX$

shows $\exists \ s \ X. \ eX = OK \ X \wedge \neg igFresh \text{ MOD } ys \ y \ X \wedge igWls \text{ MOD } s \ X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eFreshAbs-invert*:

assumes $\neg eFreshAbs \text{ MOD } ys \ y \ eA$

shows $\exists \ us \ s \ A. \ eA = OK \ A \wedge \neg igFreshAbs \text{ MOD } ys \ y \ A \wedge igWlsAbs \text{ MOD } (us, s) \ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSwap-invert*:

assumes $eSwap \text{ MOD } zs \ z1 \ z2 \ eX = OK \ Y$

shows $\exists \ s \ X. \ eX = OK \ X \wedge Y = igSwap \text{ MOD } zs \ z1 \ z2 \ X \wedge igWls \text{ MOD } s \ X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSwapAbs-invert*:

assumes $eSwapAbs \text{ MOD } zs \ z1 \ z2 \ eA = OK \ B$

shows $\exists \ us \ s \ A. \ eA = OK \ A \wedge B = igSwapAbs \text{ MOD } zs \ z1 \ z2 \ A \wedge igWlsAbs \text{ MOD } (us, s) \ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubst-invert*:

assumes $eSubst \text{ MOD } ys \ eY \ y \ eX = OK \ Z$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \ s \ X \ Y. \ eY = OK \ Y \wedge eX = OK \ X \wedge igWls \text{ MOD } s \ X \wedge igWls \text{ MOD } (\text{asSort} \ ys) \ Y \wedge \\ Z = igSubst \text{ MOD } ys \ Y \ y \ X \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eSubstAbs-invert*:

assumes $eSubstAbs \text{ MOD } ys \ eY \ y \ eA = OK \ Z$

shows

$$\exists \ us \ s \ A \ Y. \ eY = OK \ Y \wedge eA = OK \ A \wedge igWlsAbs \text{ MOD } (us, s) \ A \wedge igWls \text{ MOD } (\text{asSort} \ ys) \ Y \wedge$$

$Z = \text{igSubstAbs MOD } ys \ Y \ y \ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.4.6 The error model is strongly well-sorted as a fresh-swap-subst and as a fresh-subst-swap model

That is, provided the original model is a well-sorted fresh-swap model.

The domains are disjoint:

```
lemma errMOD-igWlsDisj:
assumes igWlsDisj MOD
shows igWlsDisj (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma errMOD-igWlsAbsDisj:
assumes igWlsAbsDisj MOD
shows igWlsAbsDisj (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma errMOD-igWlsAllDisj:
assumes igWlsAllDisj MOD
shows igWlsAllDisj (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩
```

Only “bound arity” abstraction domains are inhabited:

```
lemma errMOD-igWlsAbsIsInBar:
assumes igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
shows igWlsAbsIsInBar (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩
```

The operators preserve the domains strongly:

```
lemma errMOD-igVarIPresIGWlsSTR:
assumes igVarIPresIGWls MOD
shows igVarIPresIGWls (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igAbsIPresIGWlsSTR:
assumes *: igAbsIPresIGWls MOD and **: igWlsAbsDisj MOD
and ***: igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
shows igAbsIPresIGWlsSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igOpIPresIGWlsSTR:
fixes MOD :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model
assumes igOpIPresIGWls MOD
shows igOpIPresIGWlsSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma errMOD-igConsIPresIGWlsSTR:
assumes igConsIPresIGWls MOD and igWlsAllDisj MOD
and igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
shows igConsIPresIGWlsSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igSwapIPresIGWlsSTR:
assumes igSwapIPresIGWls MOD and igWlsDisj MOD
shows igSwapIPresIGWlsSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR:
assumes *: igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD and **: igWlsAbsDisj MOD
and ***: igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
shows igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR:
assumes igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD and igWlsAllDisj MOD
and igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
shows igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igSubstIPresIGWlsSTR:
assumes igSubstIPresIGWls MOD and igWlsDisj MOD
shows igSubstIPresIGWlsSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR:
assumes *: igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD and **: igWlsAbsDisj MOD
and ***: igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
shows igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbsSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR:
assumes igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD and igWlsAllDisj MOD
and igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
shows igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAllSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

The strong “fresh” clauses are satisfied:

```

lemma errMOD-igFreshIGVarSTR:
assumes igVarIPresIGWls MOD and igFreshIGVar MOD
shows igFreshIGVar (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igFreshIGAbs1STR:
assumes *: igAbsIPresIGWls MOD and **: igFreshIGAbs1 MOD
shows igFreshIGAbs1STR (errMOD MOD)

```

(proof)

```
lemma errMOD-igFreshIGAbs2STR:  
assumes igAbsIPresIGWls MOD and igFreshIGAbs2 MOD  
shows igFreshIGAbs2STR (errMOD MOD)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma errMOD-igFreshIGOOpSTR:  
fixes MOD :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model  
assumes igOpIPresIGWls MOD and igFreshIGOOp MOD  
shows igFreshIGOOpSTR (errMOD MOD)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma errMOD-igFreshClsSTR:  
assumes igConsIPresIGWls MOD and igFreshCls MOD  
shows igFreshClsSTR (errMOD MOD)  
<proof>
```

The strong “swap” clauses are satisfied:

```
lemma errMOD-igSwapIGVarSTR:  
fixes MOD :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model  
assumes igVarIPresIGWls MOD and igSwapIGVar MOD  
shows igSwapIGVar (errMOD MOD)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma errMOD-igSwapIGAbsSTR:  
assumes *: igAbsIPresIGWls MOD and **: igWlsDisj MOD  
and ***: igSwapIPresIGWls MOD and ****: igSwapIGAbs MOD  
shows igSwapIGAbsSTR (errMOD MOD)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma errMOD-igSwapIGOOpSTR:  
assumes igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD and igOpIPresIGWls MOD  
and igSwapIPresIGWls MOD and igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD  
and igWlsDisj MOD and igWlsAbsDisj MOD  
and igSwapIGOOp MOD  
shows igSwapIGOOpSTR (errMOD MOD)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma errMOD-igSwapClsSTR:  
assumes igWlsAllDisj MOD and igWlsDisj MOD  
and igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD and igConsIPresIGWls MOD  
and igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD and igSwapCls MOD  
shows igSwapClsSTR (errMOD MOD)  
<proof>
```

The strong “subst” clauses are satisfied:

```

lemma errMOD-igSubstIGVar1STR:
assumes igVarIPresIGWls MOD and igSubstIGVar1 MOD
shows igSubstIGVar1STR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igSubstIGVar2STR:
assumes igVarIPresIGWls MOD and igSubstIGVar2 MOD
shows igSubstIGVar2STR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igSubstIGAbsSTR:
fixes MOD :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model
assumes *: igAbsIPresIGWls MOD and **: igWlsDisj MOD
and ***: igSubstIPresIGWls MOD and ****: igSubstIGAbs MOD
shows igSubstIGAbsSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igSubstIGOOpSTR:
assumes igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
and igVarIPresIGWls MOD and igOpIPresIGWls MOD
and igSubstIPresIGWls MOD and igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs MOD
and igWlsDisj MOD and igWlsAbsDisj MOD
and igSubstIGOOp MOD
shows igSubstIGOOpSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma errMOD-igSubstClsSTR:
assumes igWlsAllDisj MOD and igConsIPresIGWls MOD
and igWlsAbsIsInBar MOD
and igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll MOD and igSubstCls MOD
shows igSubstClsSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

Strong swap-based congruence for abstractions holds:

```

lemma errMOD-igAbsCongSSTR:
assumes igSwapIPresIGWls MOD and igWlsDisj MOD and igAbsCongS MOD
shows igAbsCongSSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

The renaming clause for abstractions holds:

```

lemma errMOD-igAbsRenSTR:
assumes igVarIPresIGWls MOD and igSubstIPresIGWls MOD
and igWlsDisj MOD and igAbsRen MOD
shows igAbsRenSTR (errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

Strong subst-based congruence for abstractions holds:

```

corollary errMOD-igAbsCongUSTR:
assumes igVarIPresIGWls MOD and igSubstIPresIGWls MOD

```

```

and igWlsDisj MOD and igAbsRen MOD
shows igAbsCongUSTR (errMOD MOD)
{proof}

```

The error model is a strongly well-sorted fresh-swap model:

```

lemma errMOD-iwlsFSwSTR:
fixes MOD :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs) model
assumes iwlsFSw MOD
shows iwlsFSwSTR (errMOD MOD)
{proof}

```

The error model is a strongly well-sorted fresh-subst model:

```

lemma errMOD-iwlsFSbSwTR:
fixes MOD :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs) model
assumes iwlsFSb MOD
shows iwlsFSbSwTR (errMOD MOD)
{proof}

```

8.4.7 The natural morphism from an error model to its original model

This morphism is given by the “check” functions.

Preservation of the domains:

```

lemma check-ipresIGWls:
ipresIGWls check (errMOD MOD) MOD
{proof}

```

```

lemma check-ipresIGWlsAbs:
ipresIGWlsAbs check (errMOD MOD) MOD
{proof}

```

```

lemma check-ipresIGWlsAll:
ipresIGWlsAll check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
{proof}

```

Preservation of the operations:

```

lemma check-ipresIGVar:
ipresIGVar check (errMOD MOD) MOD
{proof}

```

```

lemma check-ipresIGAbs:
ipresIGAbs check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
{proof}

```

```

lemma check-ipresIGOp:
ipresIGOp check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
{proof}

```

```

lemma check-ipresIGCons:
ipresIGCons check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-ipresIGFresh:
ipresIGFresh check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-ipresIGFreshAbs:
ipresIGFreshAbs check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-ipresIGFreshAll:
ipresIGFreshAll check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-ipresIGSwap:
ipresIGSwap check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-ipresIGSwapAbs:
ipresIGSwapAbs check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-ipresIGSwapAll:
ipresIGSwapAll check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-ipresIGSubst:
ipresIGSubst check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-ipresIGSubstAbs:
ipresIGSubstAbs check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-ipresIGSubstAll:
ipresIGSubstAll check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

“check” is a fresh-swap morphism:

lemma check-FSwImorph:
FSwImorph check check (errMOD MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

“check” is a fresh-subst morphism:

lemma check-FSbImorph:
FSbImorph check check (errMOD MOD) MOD

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

8.5 Initiality of the models of terms

We show that terms form initial models in all the considered kinds. The desired initial morphism will be the composition of “check” with the factorization of the standard (absolute-initial) function from quasi-terms, “qInit”, to alpha-equivalence. “qInit” preserving alpha-equivalence (in an unsorted fashion) was the main reason for introducing error models.

```
declare qItem-simps[simp]
declare qItem-versus-item-simps[simp]
declare good-item-simps[simp]
```

8.5.1 The initial map from quasi-terms to a strong model

definition

```
aux-qInit-ignoreFirst :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model *
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm +
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model *
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs =>
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTermItem
where
aux-qInit-ignoreFirst K =
  (case K of Inl (MOD,qX) => termIn qX
  | Inr (MOD,qA) => absIn qA)
```

lemma qTermLess-ingoreFirst-wf:
 $wf (\text{inv-image } qTermLess \text{ aux-qInit-ignoreFirst})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

function

```
qInit :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model =>
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm => 'gTerm
and
qInitAbs :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model =>
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs => 'gAbs
where
qInit MOD (qVar xs x) = igVar MOD xs x
|
qInit MOD (qOp delta qinp qbinp) =
  igOp MOD delta (lift (qInit MOD) qinp) (lift (qInitAbs MOD) qbinp)
|
qInitAbs MOD (qAbs xs x qX) = igAbs MOD xs x (qInit MOD qX)
⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *qFreshAll-igFreshAll-qInitAll*:
assumes *igFreshClsSTR MOD*
shows
 $(qFresh ys y qX \rightarrow igFresh MOD ys y (qInit MOD qX)) \wedge$
 $(qFreshAbs ys y qA \rightarrow igFreshAbs MOD ys y (qInitAbs MOD qA))$
{proof}

corollary *iwlsFSwSTR-qFreshAll-igFreshAll-qInitAll*:
assumes *iwlsFSwSTR MOD*
shows
 $(qFresh ys y qX \rightarrow igFresh MOD ys y (qInit MOD qX)) \wedge$
 $(qFreshAbs ys y qA \rightarrow igFreshAbs MOD ys y (qInitAbs MOD qA))$
{proof}

corollary *iwlsFSbSwTR-qFreshAll-igFreshAll-qInitAll*:
assumes *iwlsFSbSwTR MOD*
shows
 $(qFresh ys y qX \rightarrow igFresh MOD ys y (qInit MOD qX)) \wedge$
 $(qFreshAbs ys y qA \rightarrow igFreshAbs MOD ys y (qInitAbs MOD qA))$
{proof}

lemma *qSwapAll-igSwapAll-qInitAll*:
assumes *igSwapClsSTR MOD*
shows
 $qInit MOD (qX \# [[z1 \wedge z2]] - zs) = igSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (qInit MOD qX) \wedge$
 $qInitAbs MOD (qA \$ [[z1 \wedge z2]] - zs) = igSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 (qInitAbs MOD qA)$
{proof}

corollary *iwlsFSwSTR-qSwapAll-igSwapAll-qInitAll*:
assumes *wls: iwlsFSwSTR MOD*
shows
 $qInit MOD (qX \# [[z1 \wedge z2]] - zs) = igSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (qInit MOD qX) \wedge$
 $qInitAbs MOD (qA \$ [[z1 \wedge z2]] - zs) = igSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 (qInitAbs MOD qA)$
{proof}

lemma *qSwapAll-igSubstAll-qInitAll*:
fixes *qX::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm* **and**
qA::('index,'bindx,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs
assumes **: igSubstClsSTR MOD and igFreshClsSTR MOD*
and *igAbsRenSTR MOD*
shows
 $(qGood qX \rightarrow$
 $(\forall ys y1 y.$
 $qAFresh ys y1 qX \rightarrow$
 $qInit MOD (qX \# [y1 \wedge y] - ys) = igSubst MOD ys (igVar MOD ys y1) y (qInit MOD qX))$
 \wedge

```

( $qGoodAbs qA \rightarrow$ 
 $(\forall ys y1 y.$ 
 $qAFreshAbs ys y1 qA \rightarrow$ 
 $qInitAbs MOD (qA \$[y1 \wedge y]-ys) = igSubstAbs MOD ys (igVar MOD ys y1)$ 
 $y (qInitAbs MOD qA)))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *iwlsFSbSwTR-qSwapAll-igSubstAll-qInitAll*:

assumes *wls: iwlsFSbSwTR MOD*

shows

```

( $qGood qX \rightarrow$ 
 $qAFresh ys y1 qX \rightarrow$ 
 $qInit MOD (qX #[y1 \wedge y]-ys) = igSubst MOD ys (igVar MOD ys y1) y (qInit$ 
 $MOD qX))$ 
 $\wedge$ 
( $qGoodAbs qA \rightarrow$ 
 $qAFreshAbs ys y1 qA \rightarrow$ 
 $qInitAbs MOD (qA \$[y1 \wedge y]-ys) = igSubstAbs MOD ys (igVar MOD ys y1) y$ 
 $(qInitAbs MOD qA))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *iwlsFSwSTR-alphaAll-qInitAll*:

assumes *iwlsFSwSTR MOD*

shows

```

 $(\forall qX'. qX \#= qX' \rightarrow qInit MOD qX = qInit MOD qX') \wedge$ 
 $(\forall qA'. qA \$= qA' \rightarrow qInitAbs MOD qA = qInitAbs MOD qA')$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

corollary *iwlsFSwSTR-qInit-respectsP-alpha*:

assumes *iwlsFSwSTR MOD shows (qInit MOD) respectsP alpha*

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *iwlsFSwSTR-qInitAbs-respectsP-alphaAbs*:

assumes *iwlsFSwSTR MOD shows (qInitAbs MOD) respectsP alphaAbs*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iwlsFSbSwTR-alphaAll-qInitAll*:

fixes *qX::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qTerm* **and**

qA::('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)qAbs

assumes *iwlsFSbSwTR MOD*

shows

```

( $qGood qX \rightarrow (\forall qX'. qX \#= qX' \rightarrow qInit MOD qX = qInit MOD qX')) \wedge$ 
 $(qGoodAbs qA \rightarrow (\forall qA'. qA \$= qA' \rightarrow qInitAbs MOD qA = qInitAbs MOD$ 
 $qA'))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

corollary *iwlsFSbSwTR-qInit-respectsP-alphaGood*:

assumes *iwlsFSbSwTR MOD*

shows *(qInit MOD) respectsP alphaGood*

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *iwlsFSbSwTR-qInitAbs-respectsP-alphaAbsGood:*
assumes *iwlsFSbSwTR MOD*
shows *(qInitAbs MOD) respectsP alphaAbsGood*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.5.2 The initial morphism (iteration map) from the term model to any strong model

This morphism has the same definition for fresh-swap and fresh-subst strong models

definition *iterSTR where*
iterSTR MOD == univ (qInit MOD)

definition *iterAbsSTR where*
iterAbsSTR MOD == univ (qInitAbs MOD)

lemma *iwlsFSwSTR-iterSTR-ipresVar:*
assumes *iwlsFSwSTR MOD*
shows *ipresVar (iterSTR MOD) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iwlsFSbSwTR-iterSTR-ipresVar:*
assumes *iwlsFSbSwTR MOD*
shows *ipresVar (iterSTR MOD) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iwlsFSwSTR-iterSTR-ipresAbs:*
assumes *iwlsFSwSTR MOD*
shows *ipresAbs (iterSTR MOD) (iterAbsSTR MOD) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iwlsFSbSwTR-iterSTR-ipresAbs:*
assumes *iwlsFSbSwTR MOD*
shows *ipresAbs (iterSTR MOD) (iterAbsSTR MOD) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iwlsFSwSTR-iterSTR-ipresOp:*
assumes *iwlsFSwSTR MOD*
shows *ipresOp (iterSTR MOD) (iterAbsSTR MOD) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iwlsFSbSwTR-iterSTR-ipresOp:*
assumes *iwlsFSbSwTR MOD*
shows *ipresOp (iterSTR MOD) (iterAbsSTR MOD) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iwlsFSwSTR-iterSTR-ipresCons:*

```

assumes iwlsFSwSTR MOD
shows ipresCons (iterSTR MOD) (iterAbsSTR MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma iwlsFSbSwTR-iterSTR-ipresCons:
assumes iwlsFSbSwTR MOD
shows ipresCons (iterSTR MOD) (iterAbsSTR MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma iwlsFSwSTR-iterSTR-termFSwImorph:
assumes iwlsFSwSTR MOD
shows termFSwImorph (iterSTR MOD) (iterAbsSTR MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

corollary iterSTR-termFSwImorph-errMOD:
assumes iwlsFSw MOD
shows
termFSwImorph (iterSTR (errMOD MOD))
(iterAbsSTR (errMOD MOD))
(errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

lemma iwlsFSbSwTR-iterSTR-termFSbImorph:
assumes iwlsFSbSwTR MOD
shows termFSbImorph (iterSTR MOD) (iterAbsSTR MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

corollary iterSTR-termFSbImorph-errMOD:
assumes iwlsFSb MOD
shows
termFSbImorph (iterSTR (errMOD MOD))
(iterAbsSTR (errMOD MOD))
(errMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare qItem-simps[simp del]
declare qItem-versus-item-simps[simp del]
declare good-item-simps[simp del]

```

8.5.3 The initial morphism (iteration map) from the term model to any model

Again, this morphism has the same definition for fresh-swap and fresh-subst models, as well as (of course) for fresh-swap-subst and fresh-subst-swap models. (Remember that there is no such thing as “fresh-subst-swap” morphism – we use the notion of “fresh-swap-subst” morphism.)

Existence of the morphism:

definition *iter* **where**
iter MOD == check o (iterSTR (errMOD MOD))

definition *iterAbs* **where**
iterAbs MOD == check o (iterAbsSTR (errMOD MOD))

theorem *iwlsFSw-iterAll-termFSwImorph:*
iwlsFSw MOD ==> termFSwImorph (iter MOD) (iterAbs MOD) MOD
<proof>

theorem *iwlsFSb-iterAll-termFSbImorph:*
iwlsFSb MOD ==> termFSbImorph (iter MOD) (iterAbs MOD) MOD
<proof>

theorem *iwlsFSwSb-iterAll-termFSwSbImorph:*
iwlsFSwSb MOD ==> termFSwSbImorph (iter MOD) (iterAbs MOD) MOD
<proof>

theorem *iwlsFSbSw-iterAll-termFSwSbImorph:*
iwlsFSbSw MOD ==> termFSwSbImorph (iter MOD) (iterAbs MOD) MOD
<proof>

Uniqueness of the morphism

In fact, already a presumptive construct-preserving map has to be unique:

lemma *ipresCons-unique:*
assumes *ipresCons f fA MOD and ipresCons ig igA MOD*
shows
(wls s X —> f X = ig X) ∧
(wlsAbs (us,s') A —> fA A = igA A)
<proof>

theorem *iwlsFSw-iterAll-unique-ipresCons:*
assumes *iwlsFSw MOD and ipresCons h hA MOD*
shows
(wls s X —> h X = iter MOD X) ∧
(wlsAbs (us,s') A —> hA A = iterAbs MOD A)
<proof>

theorem *iwlsFSb-iterAll-unique-ipresCons:*
assumes *iwlsFSb MOD and ipresCons h hA MOD*
shows
(wls s X —> h X = iter MOD X) ∧
(wlsAbs (us,s') A —> hA A = iterAbs MOD A)
<proof>

theorem *iwlsFSwSb-iterAll-unique-ipresCons:*
assumes *iwlsFSwSb MOD and ipresCons h hA MOD*

```

shows
(wls s X → h X = iter MOD X) ∧
(wlsAbs (us,s') A → hA A = iterAbs MOD A)
⟨proof⟩

theorem iwlsFSbSw-iterAll-unique-ipresCons:
assumes *: iwlsFSbSw MOD and **: ipresCons h hA MOD
shows
(wls s X → h X = iter MOD X) ∧
(wlsAbs (us,s') A → hA A = iterAbs MOD A)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas iteration-simps =
input-igSwap-igSubst-None
termMOD-simps
error-model-simps

declare iteration-simps [simp del]

end

end

```

9 Interpretation of syntax in semantic domains

```

theory Semantic-Domains imports Iteration
begin

```

In this section, we employ our iteration principle to obtain interpretation of syntax in semantic domains via valuations. A bonus from our Horn-theoretic approach is the built-in commutation of the interpretation with substitution versus valuation update, a property known in the literature as the “substitution lemma”.

9.1 Semantic domains and valuations

Semantic domains are for binding signatures what algebras are for standard algebraic signatures. They fix carrier sets for each sort, and interpret each operation symbol as an operation on these sets ⁶ of corresponding arity, where:

⁶To match the Isabelle type system, we model (as usual) the family of carrier sets as a “well-sortedness” predicate taking sorts and semantic items from a given (initially unsorted) universe into booleans, and require the operations, considered on the unsorted universe, to preserve well-sortedness.

- non-binding arguments are treated as usual (first-order) arguments;
 - binding arguments are treated as second-order (functional) arguments.⁷
- In particular, for the untyped and simply-typed λ -calculi, the semantic domains become the well-known (set-theoretic) Henkin models.

We use terminology and notation according to our general methodology employed so far: the inhabitants of semantic domains are referred to as “semantic items”; we prefix the reference to semantic items with an “s”: sX, sA, etc. This convention also applies to the operations on semantic domains: “sAbs”, “sOp”, etc.

We eventually show that the function spaces consisting of maps from valuations to semantic items form models; in other words, these maps can be viewed as “generalized items”; we use for them term-like notations “X”, “A”, etc. (as we did in the theory that dealt with iteration).

9.1.1 Definitions:

```
datatype ('varSort,'sTerm)sAbs = sAbs 'varSort 'sTerm => 'sTerm

record ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'sTerm)semDom =
  sWls :: 'sort => 'sTerm => bool
  sDummy :: 'sort => 'sTerm
  sOp :: 'opSym => ('index,'sTerm)input => ('bindex,('varSort,'sTerm)sAbs)input
  =>'sTerm
```

The type of valuations:

```
type-synonym ('varSort,'var,'sTerm)val = 'varSort => 'var => 'sTerm
```

```
context FixSyn
begin
```

```
fun sWlsAbs where
  sWlsAbs SEM (xs,s) (sAbs xs' sF) =
    (isInBar (xs,s)  $\wedge$  xs = xs'  $\wedge$ 
     ( $\forall$  sX. if sWls SEM (asSort xs) sX
        then sWls SEM s (sF sX)
        else sF sX = sDummy SEM s))
```

```
definition sWlsInp where
  sWlsInp SEM delta simp  $\equiv$ 
    wlsOpS delta  $\wedge$  sameDom (arOf delta) simp  $\wedge$  liftAll2 (sWls SEM) (arOf delta)
    simp
```

⁷In other words, syntactic bindings are captured semantically as functional bindings.

```

definition sWlsBinp where
sWlsBinp SEM delta sbinp  $\equiv$ 
  wlsOpS delta  $\wedge$  sameDom (barOf delta) sbinp  $\wedge$  liftAll2 (sWlsAbs SEM) (barOf
delta) sbinp

definition sWlsNE where
sWlsNE SEM  $\equiv$ 
   $\forall$  s.  $\exists$  sX. sWls SEM s sX

definition sWlsDisj where
sWlsDisj SEM  $\equiv$ 
   $\forall$  s s' sX. sWls SEM s sX  $\wedge$  sWls SEM s' sX  $\longrightarrow$  s = s'

definition sOpPrSWls where
sOpPrSWls SEM  $\equiv$ 
   $\forall$  delta sinp sbinp.
    sWlsInp SEM delta sinp  $\wedge$  sWlsBinp SEM delta sbinp
     $\longrightarrow$  sWls SEM (stOf delta) (sOp SEM delta sinp sbinp)

```

The notion of a “well-sorted” (better read as “well-structured”) semantic domain: ⁸

```

definition wlsSEM where
wlsSEM SEM  $\equiv$ 
  sWlsNE SEM  $\wedge$  sWlsDisj SEM  $\wedge$  sOpPrSWls SEM

```

The properties described in the next 4 definitions turn out to be consequences of the well-structuredness of the semantic domain:

```

definition sWlsAbsNE where
sWlsAbsNE SEM  $\equiv$ 
   $\forall$  us s. isInBar (us,s)  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  sA. sWlsAbs SEM (us,s) sA)

```

```

definition sWlsAbsDisj where
sWlsAbsDisj SEM  $\equiv$ 
   $\forall$  us s us' s' sA.
    isInBar (us,s)  $\wedge$  isInBar (us',s')  $\wedge$  sWlsAbs SEM (us,s) sA  $\wedge$  sWlsAbs SEM
    (us',s') sA
     $\longrightarrow$  us = us'  $\wedge$  s = s'

```

The notion of two valuations being equal everywhere but on a given variable:

```

definition eqBut where
eqBut val val' xs x  $\equiv$ 
   $\forall$  ys y. (ys = xs  $\wedge$  y = x)  $\vee$  val ys y = val' ys y

```

```

definition updVal :: 
('varSort,'var,'sTerm)val  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'var  $\Rightarrow$  'sTerm  $\Rightarrow$  'varSort  $\Rightarrow$ 

```

⁸As usual in Isabelle, we first define the “raw” version, and then “fix” it with a well-structuredness predicate.

```

('varSort,'var,'sTerm)val (- '(- := -)'-- 200)
where
(val (x := sX)-xs) ≡
λ ys y. (if ys = xs ∧ y = x then sX else val ys y)

definition swapVal :: 
'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'var ⇒ ('varSort,'var,'sTerm)val ⇒
('varSort,'var,'sTerm)val
where
swapVal zs z1 z2 val ≡ λxs x. val xs (x @xs[z1 ∧ z2]-zs)

abbreviation swapVal-abbrev (- ⌢[- ∧ -]'-- 200) where
val ⌢[z1 ∧ z2]-zs ≡ swapVal zs z1 z2 val

definition sWlsVal where
sWlsVal SEM val ≡
∀ ys y. sWls SEM (asSort ys) (val ys y)

```

```

definition sWlsValNE :: 
('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'sTerm)semDom ⇒ 'var ⇒ bool
where
sWlsValNE SEM x ≡ ∃ (val :: ('varSort,'var,'sTerm)val). sWlsVal SEM val

```

9.1.2 Basic facts

lemma sWlsNE-imp-sWlsAbsNE:

assumes sWlsNE SEM
shows sWlsAbsNE SEM
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sWlsDisj-imp-sWlsAbsDisj:

sWlsDisj SEM ⇒ sWlsNE SEM ⇒ sWlsAbsDisj SEM
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sWlsNE-imp-sWlsValNE:

sWlsNE SEM ⇒ sWlsValNE SEM x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem updVal-simp[simp]:

(val (x := sX)-xs) ys y = (if ys = xs ∧ y = x then sX else val ys y)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem updVal-over[simp]:

((val (x := sX)-xs) (x := sX')-xs) = (val (x := sX')-xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem updVal-commute:

assumes $xs \neq ys \vee x \neq y$
shows $((val (x := sX)-xs) (y := sY)-ys) = ((val (y := sY)-ys) (x := sX)-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $updVal\text{-preserves-}sWls[simp]$:
assumes $sWls SEM (asSort xs) sX$ **and** $sWlsVal SEM val$
shows $sWlsVal SEM (val (x := sX)-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $updVal\text{-simps} = updVal\text{-simp}$ $updVal\text{-over}$ $updVal\text{-preserves-}sWls$

theorem $swapVal\text{-ident}[simp]$: $(val \tilde{[}x \wedge x\tilde{]}-xs) = val$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $swapVal\text{-compose}$:
 $((val \tilde{[}x \wedge y\tilde{]}-zs) \tilde{[}x' \wedge y'\tilde{]}-zs') =$
 $((val \tilde{[}x' @ zs[x \wedge y]-zs \wedge y' @ zs'[x \wedge y]-zs]-zs') \tilde{[}x \wedge y\tilde{]}-zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $swapVal\text{-commute}$:
 $zs \neq zs' \vee \{x,y\} \cap \{x',y'\} = \{\} \implies$
 $((val \tilde{[}x \wedge y\tilde{]}-zs) \tilde{[}x' \wedge y'\tilde{]}-zs') = ((val \tilde{[}x' \wedge y'\tilde{]}-zs') \tilde{[}x \wedge y\tilde{]}-zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $swapVal\text{-involutive}[simp]$: $((val \tilde{[}x \wedge y\tilde{]}-zs) \tilde{[}x \wedge y\tilde{]}-zs) = val$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $swapVal\text{-sym}$: $(val \tilde{[}x \wedge y\tilde{]}-zs) = (val \tilde{[}y \wedge x\tilde{]}-zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $swapVal\text{-preserves-}sWls1$:
assumes $sWlsVal SEM val$
shows $sWlsVal SEM (val \tilde{[}z1 \wedge z2\tilde{]}-zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $swapVal\text{-preserves-}sWls[simp]$:
 $sWlsVal SEM (val \tilde{[}z1 \wedge z2\tilde{]}-zs) = sWlsVal SEM val$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $swapVal\text{-simps} = swapVal\text{-ident}$ $swapVal\text{-involutive}$ $swapVal\text{-preserves-}sWls$

lemma $updVal\text{-swapVal}$:
 $((val (x := sX)-xs) \tilde{[}y1 \wedge y2\tilde{]}-ys) =$
 $((val \tilde{[}y1 \wedge y2\tilde{]}-ys) ((x @ xs[y1 \wedge y2]-ys) := sX)-xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $updVal\text{-preserves-eqBut}$:
assumes $eqBut val val' ys y$
shows $eqBut (val (x := sX)-xs) (val' (x := sX)-xs) ys y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma updVal-eqBut-eq:
assumes eqBut val val' ys y
shows (val (y := sY)-ys) = (val' (y := sY)-ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma swapVal-preserves-eqBut:
assumes eqBut val val' xs x
shows eqBut (val [z1 ∧ z2]-zs) (val' [z1 ∧ z2]-zs) xs (x @xs[z1 ∧ z2]-zs)
⟨proof⟩

```

9.2 Interpretation maps

An interpretation map, of syntax in a semantic domain, is the usual one w.r.t. valuations. Here we state its compositionality conditions (including the “substitution lemma”), and later we prove the existence of a map satisfying these conditions.

9.2.1 Definitions

Below, prefix “pr” means “preserves”.

```

definition prWls where
prWls g SEM ≡ ∀ s X val.
  wls s X ∧ sWlsVal SEM val
  → sWls SEM s (g X val)

definition prWlsAbs where
prWlsAbs gA SEM ≡ ∀ us s A val.
  wlsAbs (us,s) A ∧ sWlsVal SEM val
  → sWlsAbs SEM (us,s) (gA A val)

definition prWlsAll where
prWlsAll g gA SEM ≡ prWls g SEM ∧ prWlsAbs gA SEM

definition prVar where
prVar g SEM ≡ ∀ xs x val.
  sWlsVal SEM val → g (Var xs x) val = val xs x

definition prAbs where
prAbs g gA SEM ≡ ∀ xs s x X val.
  isInBar (xs,s) ∧ wls s X ∧ sWlsVal SEM val
  →
  gA (Abs xs x X) val =
  sAbs xs (λsX. if sWls SEM (asSort xs) sX then g X (val (x := sX)-xs)
            else sDummy SEM s)

definition prOp where

```

$\text{prOp } g \text{ } gA \text{ } SEM \equiv \forall \text{ } \delta \text{ } \text{inp} \text{ } \text{binp} \text{ } \text{val}.$
 $wlsInp \text{ } \delta \text{ } \text{inp} \wedge wlsBinp \text{ } \delta \text{ } \text{binp} \wedge sWlsVal \text{ } SEM \text{ } val$
 \longrightarrow
 $g \text{ } (\text{Op } \delta \text{ } \text{inp} \text{ } \text{binp}) \text{ } \text{val} =$
 $sOp \text{ } SEM \text{ } \delta \text{ } (lift \text{ } (\lambda X. \text{ } g \text{ } X \text{ } val) \text{ } \text{inp})$
 $(lift \text{ } (\lambda A. \text{ } gA \text{ } A \text{ } val) \text{ } \text{binp})$

definition prCons where

$\text{prCons } g \text{ } gA \text{ } SEM \equiv \text{prVar } g \text{ } SEM \wedge \text{prAbs } g \text{ } gA \text{ } SEM \wedge \text{prOp } g \text{ } gA \text{ } SEM$

definition prFresh where

$\text{prFresh } g \text{ } SEM \equiv \forall \text{ } ys \text{ } y \text{ } s \text{ } X \text{ } val \text{ } val'.$
 $wls \text{ } s \text{ } X \wedge \text{fresh } ys \text{ } y \text{ } X$
 $sWlsVal \text{ } SEM \text{ } val \wedge sWlsVal \text{ } SEM \text{ } val' \wedge \text{eqBut } val \text{ } val' \text{ } ys \text{ } y$
 $\longrightarrow g \text{ } X \text{ } val = g \text{ } X \text{ } val'$

definition prFreshAbs where

$\text{prFreshAbs } gA \text{ } SEM \equiv \forall \text{ } ys \text{ } y \text{ } us \text{ } s \text{ } A \text{ } val \text{ } val'.$
 $wlsAbs \text{ } (us,s) \text{ } A \wedge \text{freshAbs } ys \text{ } y \text{ } A$
 $sWlsVal \text{ } SEM \text{ } val \wedge sWlsVal \text{ } SEM \text{ } val' \wedge \text{eqBut } val \text{ } val' \text{ } ys \text{ } y$
 $\longrightarrow gA \text{ } A \text{ } val = gA \text{ } A \text{ } val'$

definition prFreshAll where

$\text{prFreshAll } g \text{ } gA \text{ } SEM \equiv \text{prFresh } g \text{ } SEM \wedge \text{prFreshAbs } gA \text{ } SEM$

definition prSwap where

$\text{prSwap } g \text{ } SEM \equiv \forall \text{ } zs \text{ } z1 \text{ } z2 \text{ } s \text{ } X \text{ } val.$
 $wls \text{ } s \text{ } X \wedge sWlsVal \text{ } SEM \text{ } val$
 \longrightarrow
 $g \text{ } (X \# [z1 \wedge z2] - zs) \text{ } val =$
 $g \text{ } X \text{ } (val \text{ } \tilde{\wedge} [z1 \wedge z2] - zs)$

definition prSwapAbs where

$\text{prSwapAbs } gA \text{ } SEM \equiv \forall \text{ } zs \text{ } z1 \text{ } z2 \text{ } us \text{ } s \text{ } A \text{ } val.$
 $wlsAbs \text{ } (us,s) \text{ } A \wedge sWlsVal \text{ } SEM \text{ } val$
 \longrightarrow
 $gA \text{ } (A \$ [z1 \wedge z2] - zs) \text{ } val =$
 $gA \text{ } A \text{ } (val \text{ } \tilde{\wedge} [z1 \wedge z2] - zs)$

definition prSwapAll where

$\text{prSwapAll } g \text{ } gA \text{ } SEM \equiv \text{prSwap } g \text{ } SEM \wedge \text{prSwapAbs } gA \text{ } SEM$

definition prSubst where

$\text{prSubst } g \text{ } SEM \equiv \forall \text{ } ys \text{ } Y \text{ } y \text{ } s \text{ } X \text{ } val.$
 $wls \text{ } (asSort } ys) \text{ } Y \wedge wls \text{ } s \text{ } X$
 $\wedge sWlsVal \text{ } SEM \text{ } val$
 \longrightarrow
 $g \text{ } (X \# [Y / y] - ys) \text{ } val =$
 $g \text{ } X \text{ } (val \text{ } (y := g \text{ } Y \text{ } val) - ys)$

```

definition prSubstAbs where
prSubstAbs g gA SEM  $\equiv \forall ys Y y us s A val.$ 
  wls (asSort ys) Y  $\wedge$  wlsAbs (us,s) A
   $\wedge$  sWlsVal SEM val
   $\longrightarrow$ 
  gA (A $[Y / y]-ys) val =
  gA A (val (y := g Y val)-ys)

definition prSubstAll where
prSubstAll g gA SEM  $\equiv$  prSubst g SEM  $\wedge$  prSubstAbs g gA SEM

definition compInt where
compInt g gA SEM  $\equiv$  prWlsAll g gA SEM  $\wedge$  prCons g gA SEM  $\wedge$ 
  prFreshAll g gA SEM  $\wedge$  prSwapAll g gA SEM  $\wedge$  prSubstAll g gA SEM

```

9.2.2 Extension of domain preservation to inputs

```

lemma prWls-wlsInp:
assumes wlsInp delta inp and prWls g SEM and sWlsVal SEM val
shows sWlsInp SEM delta (lift ( $\lambda X. g X val$ ) inp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma prWlsAbs-wlsBinp:
assumes wlsBinp delta binp and prWlsAbs gA SEM and sWlsVal SEM val
shows sWlsBinp SEM delta (lift ( $\lambda A. gA A val$ ) binp)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

9.3 The iterative model associated to a semantic domain

“asIMOD SEM” stands for “SEM (regarded) as a model”. ⁹ The associated model is built essentially as follows:

- Its carrier sets consist of functions from valuations to semantic items.
- The construct operations (i.e., those corresponding to the syntactic constructs indicated in the given binding signature) are lifted componentwise from those of the semantic domain “SEM” (also taking into account the higher-order nature of the semantic counterparts of abstractions).
- For a map from valuations to items (terms or abstractions), freshness of a variable “x” is defined as being oblivious what the argument valuation returns for “x”.
- Swapping is defined componentwise, by two iterations of the notion of swapping the returned value of a function.
- Substitution of a semantic term “Y” for a variable “y” is a semantic term

⁹We use the word “model” as introduced in the theory “Models-and-Recursion”.

“X” is defined to map each valuation “val” to the application of “X” to [“val” updated at “y” with whatever “Y” returns for “val”].

Note that:

- The construct operations definitions are determined by the desired clauses of the standard notion of interpreting syntax in a semantic domains.
- Substitution and freshness are defined having in mind the (again standard) facts of the interpretation commuting with substitution versus valuation update and the interpretation being oblivious to the valuation of fresh variables.

9.3.1 Definition and basic facts

The next two types of “generalized items” are used to build models from semantic domains:¹⁰

type-synonym ('varSort,'var,'sTerm) gTerm = ('varSort,'var,'sTerm) val \Rightarrow 'sTerm

type-synonym ('varSort,'var,'sTerm) gAbs = ('varSort,'var,'sTerm) val \Rightarrow ('varSort,'sTerm)sAbs

```

context FixSyn
begin

definition asIMOD :: 
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'sTerm)semDom  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,
   ('varSort,'var,'sTerm)gTerm,
   ('varSort,'var,'sTerm)gAbs)model
where
  asIMOD SEM  $\equiv$ 
    (igWls =  $\lambda s X. \forall val. (sWlsVal SEM val \vee X val = undefined) \wedge$ 
      $(sWlsVal SEM val \rightarrow sWls SEM s (X val)),$ 
    igWlsAbs =  $\lambda (xs,s) A. \forall val. (sWlsVal SEM val \vee A val = undefined) \wedge$ 
      $(sWlsVal SEM val \rightarrow sWlsAbs SEM (xs,s) (A val)),$ 
    igVar =  $\lambda ys y. \lambda val. if sWlsVal SEM val then val ys y else undefined,$ 
    igAbs =
       $\lambda xs x X. \lambda val. if sWlsVal SEM val$ 
         $then sAbs xs (\lambda sX. if sWls SEM (asSort xs) sX$ 
           $then X (val (x := sX)-xs)$ 
           $else sDummy SEM (SOME s. sWls SEM s (X$ 
           $val)))$ 
         $else undefined,$ 
    igOp =  $\lambda delta inp binp. \lambda val.$ 
       $if sWlsVal SEM val then sOp SEM delta (lift (\lambda X. X val) inp)$ 
         $(lift (\lambda A. A val) binp)$ 
       $else undefined,$ 

```

¹⁰Recall that “generalized items” inhabit models.

$$\begin{aligned}
igFresh &= \\
&\lambda ys\ y\ X. \forall val\ val'. sWlsVal SEM val \wedge sWlsVal SEM val' \wedge eqBut val\ val' ys\ y \\
&\quad \longrightarrow X\ val = X\ val', \\
igFreshAbs &= \\
&\lambda ys\ y\ A. \forall val\ val'. sWlsVal SEM val \wedge sWlsVal SEM val' \wedge eqBut val\ val' ys\ y \\
&\quad \longrightarrow A\ val = A\ val', \\
igSwap &= \lambda zs\ z1\ z2\ X. \lambda val. if\ sWlsVal SEM val\ then\ X\ (val\ \tilde{[}z1\ \wedge\ z2\tilde{]}-zs) \\
&\quad else\ undefined, \\
igSwapAbs &= \lambda zs\ z1\ z2\ A. \lambda val. if\ sWlsVal SEM val\ then\ A\ (val\ \tilde{[}z1\ \wedge\ z2\tilde{]}-zs) \\
&\quad else\ undefined, \\
igSubst &= \lambda ys\ Y\ y\ X. \lambda val. if\ sWlsVal SEM val\ then\ X\ (val\ (y := Y\ val)-ys) \\
&\quad else\ undefined, \\
igSubstAbs &= \lambda ys\ Y\ y\ A. \lambda val. if\ sWlsVal SEM val\ then\ A\ (val\ (y := Y\ val)-ys) \\
&\quad else\ undefined)
\end{aligned}$$

Next we state, as usual, the direct definitions of the operators and relations of associated model, freeing ourselves from having to go through the “asIMOD” definition each time we reason about them.

lemma asIMOD-igWls:

$$\begin{aligned}
igWls\ (asIMOD\ SEM)\ s\ X &\longleftrightarrow \\
(\forall val. (sWlsVal\ SEM\ val \vee X\ val = undefined) \wedge \\
(sWlsVal\ SEM\ val \longrightarrow sWls\ SEM\ s\ (X\ val)))
\end{aligned}$$

{proof}

lemma asIMOD-igWlsAbs:

$$\begin{aligned}
igWlsAbs\ (asIMOD\ SEM)\ (us,s)\ A &\longleftrightarrow \\
(\forall val. (sWlsVal\ SEM\ val \vee A\ val = undefined) \wedge \\
(sWlsVal\ SEM\ val \longrightarrow sWlsAbs\ SEM\ (us,s)\ (A\ val)))
\end{aligned}$$

{proof}

lemma asIMOD-igOp:

$$\begin{aligned}
igOp\ (asIMOD\ SEM)\ delta\ inp\ binp &= \\
(\lambda val. if\ sWlsVal\ SEM\ val\ then\ sOp\ SEM\ delta\ (lift\ (\lambda X. X\ val)\ inp) \\
(lift\ (\lambda A. A\ val)\ binp) \\
else\ undefined)
\end{aligned}$$

{proof}

lemma asIMOD-igVar:

$$\begin{aligned}
igVar\ (asIMOD\ SEM)\ ys\ y &= (\lambda val. if\ sWlsVal\ SEM\ val\ then\ val\ ys\ y\ else\ undefined) \\
\langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma asIMOD-igAbs:

$$\begin{aligned}
igAbs\ (asIMOD\ SEM)\ xs\ x\ X &= \\
(\lambda val. if\ sWlsVal\ SEM\ val\ then\ sAbs\ xs\ (\lambda sX. if\ sWls\ SEM\ (asSort\ xs)\ sX \\
then\ X\ (val\ (x := sX)-xs) \\
else\ sDummy\ SEM\ (SOME\ s. sWls\ SEM\ s \\
(X\ val)))
\end{aligned}$$

else undefined)

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma asIMOD-igAbs2:  
fixes SEM :: ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'sTerm)semDom  
assumes *: sWlsDisj SEM and **: igWls (asIMOD SEM) s X  
shows igAbs (asIMOD SEM) xs x X =  
(λval. if sWlsVal SEM val then sAbs xs (λsX. if sWls SEM (asSort xs) sX  
then X (val (x := sX)-xs)  
else sDummy SEM s)  
else undefined)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma asIMOD-igFresh:  
igFresh (asIMOD SEM) ys y X =  
(∀ val val'. sWlsVal SEM val ∧ sWlsVal SEM val' ∧ eqBut val val' ys y  
→ X val = X val')  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma asIMOD-igFreshAbs:  
igFreshAbs (asIMOD SEM) ys y A =  
(∀ val val'. sWlsVal SEM val ∧ sWlsVal SEM val' ∧ eqBut val val' ys y  
→ A val = A val')  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma asIMOD-igSwap:  
igSwap (asIMOD SEM) zs z1 z2 X =  
(λval. if sWlsVal SEM val then X (val ↑[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) else undefined)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma asIMOD-igSwapAbs:  
igSwapAbs (asIMOD SEM) zs z1 z2 A =  
(λval. if sWlsVal SEM val then A (val ↑[z1 ∧ z2]-zs) else undefined)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma asIMOD-igSubst:  
igSubst (asIMOD SEM) ys Y y X =  
(λval. if sWlsVal SEM val then X (val (y := Y val)-ys) else undefined)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma asIMOD-igSubstAbs:  
igSubstAbs (asIMOD SEM) ys Y y A =  
(λval. if sWlsVal SEM val then A (val (y := Y val)-ys) else undefined)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma asIMOD-igWlsInp:  
assumes sWlsNE SEM  
shows igWlsInp (asIMOD SEM) delta inp ↔  
((∀ val. liftAll (λX. sWlsVal SEM val ∨ X val = undefined) inp) ∧
```

$(\forall \text{ val. } sWlsVal SEM \text{ val} \longrightarrow sWlsInp SEM \text{ delta} (\text{lift} (\lambda X. X \text{ val}) \text{ inp}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igSwapInp*:
 $sWlsVal SEM \text{ val} \implies$
 $\text{lift} (\lambda X. X \text{ val}) (\text{igSwapInp} (\text{asIMOD SEM}) \text{ zs z1 z2 inp}) =$
 $\text{lift} (\lambda X. X (\text{swapVal} \text{ zs z1 z2 val})) \text{ inp}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igSubstInp*:
 $sWlsVal SEM \text{ val} \implies$
 $\text{lift} (\lambda X. X \text{ val}) (\text{igSubstInp} (\text{asIMOD SEM}) \text{ ys Y y inp}) =$
 $\text{lift} (\lambda X. X (\text{val} (y := Y \text{ val}) \text{-ys})) \text{ inp}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igWlsBinp*:
assumes $sWlsNE SEM$
shows
 $\text{igWlsBinp} (\text{asIMOD SEM}) \text{ delta binp} =$
 $((\forall \text{ val. } \text{liftAll} (\lambda X. sWlsVal SEM \text{ val} \vee X \text{ val} = \text{undefined}) \text{ binp}) \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{ val. } sWlsVal SEM \text{ val} \longrightarrow sWlsBinp SEM \text{ delta} (\text{lift} (\lambda X. X \text{ val}) \text{ binp}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igSwapBinp*:
 $sWlsVal SEM \text{ val} \implies$
 $\text{lift} (\lambda A. A \text{ val}) (\text{igSwapBinp} (\text{asIMOD SEM}) \text{ zs z1 z2 binp}) =$
 $\text{lift} (\lambda A. A (\text{swapVal} \text{ zs z1 z2 val})) \text{ binp}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igSubstBinp*:
 $sWlsVal SEM \text{ val} \implies$
 $\text{lift} (\lambda A. A \text{ val}) (\text{igSubstBinp} (\text{asIMOD SEM}) \text{ ys Y y binp}) =$
 $\text{lift} (\lambda A. A (\text{val} (y := Y \text{ val}) \text{-ys})) \text{ binp}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.3.2 The associated model is well-structured

That is to say: it is a fresh-swap-subst and fresh-subst-swap model (hence of course also a fresh-swap and fresh-subst) model.

Domain disjointness:

lemma *asIMOD-igWlsDisj*:
 $sWlsNE SEM \implies sWlsDisj SEM \implies \text{igWlsDisj} (\text{asIMOD SEM})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igWlsAbsDisj*:
 $sWlsNE SEM \implies sWlsDisj SEM \implies \text{igWlsAbsDisj} (\text{asIMOD SEM})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igWlsAllDisj*:
 $sWlsNE \text{ SEM} \implies sWlsDisj \text{ SEM} \implies igWlsAllDisj \text{ (asIMOD SEM)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Only “bound arit” abstraction domains are inhabited:

lemma *asIMOD-igWlsAbsIsInBar*:
 $sWlsNE \text{ SEM} \implies igWlsAbsIsInBar \text{ (asIMOD SEM)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Domain preservation by the operators

The constructs preserve the domains:

lemma *asIMOD-igVarIPresIGWls*: *igVarIPresIGWls* (asIMOD SEM)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igAbsIPresIGWls*:
 $sWlsDisj \text{ SEM} \implies igAbsIPresIGWls \text{ (asIMOD SEM)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igOpIPresIGWls*:
 $sOpPrSWls \text{ SEM} \implies sWlsNE \text{ SEM} \implies igOpIPresIGWls \text{ (asIMOD SEM)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igConsIPresIGWls*:
 $wlsSEM \text{ SEM} \implies igConsIPresIGWls \text{ (asIMOD SEM)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Swap preserves the domains:

lemma *asIMOD-igSwapIPresIGWls*: *igSwapIPresIGWls* (asIMOD SEM)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs*: *igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs* (asIMOD SEM)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll*: *igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll* (asIMOD SEM)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Subst preserves the domains:

lemma *asIMOD-igSubstIPresIGWls*: *igSubstIPresIGWls* (asIMOD SEM)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs*: *igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs* (asIMOD SEM)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll*: *igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll* (asIMOD SEM)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The clauses for fresh hold:

lemma *asIMOD-igFreshIGVar*: *igFreshIGVar* (*asIMOD SEM*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igFreshIGAbs1*:

sWlsDisj SEM \implies *igFreshIGAbs1* (*asIMOD SEM*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igFreshIGAbs2*:

sWlsDisj SEM \implies *igFreshIGAbs2* (*asIMOD SEM*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igFreshIGOp*:

fixes *SEM* :: ('index,'binders,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'sTerm)*semDom*

shows *igFreshIGOp* (*asIMOD SEM*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igFreshCls*:

assumes *sWlsDisj SEM*

shows *igFreshCls* (*asIMOD SEM*)

⟨proof⟩

The clauses for swap hold:

lemma *asIMOD-igSwapIGVar*: *igSwapIGVar* (*asIMOD SEM*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igSwapIGAbs*: *igSwapIGAbs* (*asIMOD SEM*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igSwapIGOp*: *igSwapIGOp* (*asIMOD SEM*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igSwapCls*: *igSwapCls* (*asIMOD SEM*)

⟨proof⟩

The clauses for subst hold:

lemma *asIMOD-igSubstIGVar1*: *igSubstIGVar1* (*asIMOD SEM*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igSubstIGVar2*: *igSubstIGVar2* (*asIMOD SEM*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igSubstIGAbs*: *igSubstIGAbs* (*asIMOD SEM*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igSubstIGOp*: *igSubstIGOp* (*asIMOD SEM*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *asIMOD-igSubstCls*: *igSubstCls* (*asIMOD SEM*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

The fresh-swap-based congruence clause holds:

lemma *updVal-swapVal-eqBut*: $eqBut (val (x := sX)-xs) ((val (y := sX)-xs) \uplus [y \wedge x]-xs) xs y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igAbsCongS*: $sWlsDisj SEM \implies igAbsCongS (asIMOD SEM)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The abstraction-renaming clause holds:

lemma *asIMOD-igAbs3*:
assumes $sWlsDisj SEM$ **and** $igWls (asIMOD SEM) s X$
shows
 $igAbs (asIMOD SEM) xs y (igSubst (asIMOD SEM) xs (igVar (asIMOD SEM) xs y) x X) =$
 $(\lambda val. if sWlsVal SEM val$
 $\quad then sAbs xs (\lambda sX. if sWls SEM (asSort xs) sX$
 $\quad \quad then (igSubst (asIMOD SEM) xs (igVar (asIMOD SEM) xs y) x X) (val (y := sX)-xs)$
 $\quad \quad \quad else sDummy SEM s)$
 $\quad \quad else undefined)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-igAbsRen*:
 $sWlsDisj SEM \implies igAbsRen (asIMOD SEM)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The associated model forms well-structured models of all 4 kinds:

lemma *asIMOD-wlsFSw*:
assumes $wlsSEM SEM$
shows $iwlsFSw (asIMOD SEM)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-wlsFSb*:
assumes $wlsSEM SEM$
shows $iwlsFSb (asIMOD SEM)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-wlsFSwSb*: $wlsSEM SEM \implies iwlsFSwSb (asIMOD SEM)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asIMOD-wlsFSbSw*: $wlsSEM SEM \implies iwlsFSbSw (asIMOD SEM)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.4 The semantic interpretation

The well-definedness of the semantic interpretation, as well as its associated substitution lemma and non-dependence of fresh variables, are the end

products of this theory.

Note that in order to establish these results either fresh-subst-swap or fresh-swap-subst algebras would do the job, and, moreover, if we did not care about swapping, fresh-subst algebras would do the job. Therefore, our exhaustive study of the model from previous section had a degree of redundancy w.r.t. to our main goal – we pursued it however in order to better illustrate the rich structure laying under the apparent paucity of the notion of a semantic domain. Next, we choose to employ fresh-subst-swap algebras to establish the required results. (Recall however that either algebraic route we take, the initial morphism turns out to be the same function.)

definition *semInt* **where** *semInt SEM* \equiv *iter (asIMOD SEM)*

definition *semIntAbs* **where** *semIntAbs SEM* \equiv *iterAbs (asIMOD SEM)*

lemma *semIntAll-termFSwSbImorph*:
wlsSEM SEM \implies
termFSwSbImorph (semInt SEM) (semIntAbs SEM) (asIMOD SEM)
(proof)

lemma *semInt-prWls*:
wlsSEM SEM \implies *prWls (semInt SEM) SEM*
(proof)

lemma *semIntAbs-prWlsAbs*:
wlsSEM SEM \implies *prWlsAbs (semIntAbs SEM) SEM*
(proof)

lemma *semIntAll-prWlsAll*:
wlsSEM SEM \implies *prWlsAll (semInt SEM) (semIntAbs SEM) SEM*
(proof)

lemma *semInt-prVar*:
wlsSEM SEM \implies *prVar (semInt SEM) SEM*
(proof)

lemma *semIntAll-prAbs*:
fixes *SEM* :: ('index,'bindx,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'sTerm)*semDom*
assumes *wlsSEM SEM*
shows *prAbs (semInt SEM) (semIntAbs SEM) SEM*
(proof)

lemma *semIntAll-prOp*:
assumes *wlsSEM SEM*
shows *prOp (semInt SEM) (semIntAbs SEM) SEM*
(proof)

lemma *semIntAll-prCons*:
assumes *wlsSEM SEM*

```

shows prCons (semInt SEM) (semIntAbs SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

lemma semInt-prFresh:
assumes wlsSEM SEM
shows prFresh (semInt SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

lemma semIntAbs-prFreshAbs:
assumes wlsSEM SEM
shows prFreshAbs (semIntAbs SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

lemma semIntAll-prFreshAll:
assumes wlsSEM SEM
shows prFreshAll (semInt SEM) (semIntAbs SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

lemma semInt-prSwap:
assumes wlsSEM SEM
shows prSwap (semInt SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

lemma semIntAbs-prSwapAbs:
assumes wlsSEM SEM
shows prSwapAbs (semIntAbs SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

lemma semIntAll-prSwapAll:
assumes wlsSEM SEM
shows prSwapAll (semInt SEM) (semIntAbs SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

lemma semInt-prSubst:
assumes wlsSEM SEM
shows prSubst (semInt SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

lemma semIntAbs-prSubstAbs:
assumes wlsSEM SEM
shows prSubstAbs (semInt SEM) (semIntAbs SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

lemma semIntAll-prSubstAll:
assumes wlsSEM SEM
shows prSubstAll (semInt SEM) (semIntAbs SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

theorem semIntAll-compInt:

```

```

assumes wlsSEM SEM
shows compInt (semInt SEM) (semIntAbs SEM) SEM
⟨proof⟩

lemmas semDom-simps = updVal-simps swapVal-simps

end

end

```

10 General Recursion

```

theory Recursion imports Iteration
begin

```

The initiality theorems from the previous section support iteration principles. Next we extend the results to general recursion. The difference between general recursion and iteration is that the former also considers the (source) “items” (terms and abstractions), and not only the (target) generalized items, appear in the recursive clauses.

(Here is an example illustrating the above difference for the standard case of natural numbers:

- Given a number n , the operator “add- n ” can be defined by iteration:
 - “add- $n\ 0 = n$ ”,
 - “add- $n\ (\text{Suc } m) = \text{Suc } (\text{add-}n\ m)$ ”.

Notice that, in right-hand side of the recursive clause, “ m ” is not used “directly”, but only via “add- n ” – this makes the definition iterative. By contrast, the following definition of predecessor is trivial form of recursion (namely, case analysis), but is *not* iteration:

- “pred $0 = 0$ ”,
- “pred $(\text{Suc } n) = n$ ”.)

We achieve our desired extension by augmenting the notion of model and then essentially inferring recursion (as customary) from [iteration having as target the product between the term model and the original model].

As a matter of notation: remember we are using for generalized items the same meta-variables as for “items” (terms and abstractions). But now the model operators will take both items and generalized items. We shall prime the meta-variables for items (as in X' , A' , etc).

10.1 Raw models

```

record ('index,'bindIndex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs)model =
  gWls :: 'sort ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒ bool
  gWlsAbs :: 'varSort × 'sort ⇒ 'gAbs ⇒ bool

```

```

gVar :: 'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'gTerm
gAbs :: 
  'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒
    ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒
      'gAbs
gOp :: 
  'opSym ⇒
    ('index,('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term)input ⇒ ('index,'gTerm)input
  ⇒
    ('bindex,('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs)input ⇒ ('bindex,'gAbs)input
  ⇒
    'gTerm

gFresh :: 
  'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒ bool
gFreshAbs :: 
  'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs ⇒ 'gAbs ⇒ bool

gSwap :: 
  'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'var ⇒
    ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒
      'gTerm
gSwapAbs :: 
  'varSort ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'var ⇒
    ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs ⇒ 'gAbs ⇒
      'gAbs

gSubst :: 
  'varSort ⇒
    ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒
      'var ⇒
        ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒
          'gTerm
gSubstAbs :: 
  'varSort ⇒
    ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term ⇒ 'gTerm ⇒
      'var ⇒
        ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs ⇒ 'gAbs ⇒
          'gAbs

```

10.2 Well-sorted models of various kinds

Lifting the model operations to inputs

definition *gFreshInp* **where**
 $gFreshInp \text{ MOD } ys \ y \ inp' \ inp \equiv liftAll2 (gFresh \text{ MOD } ys \ y) \ inp' \ inp$

definition *gFreshBinp* **where**
 $gFreshBinp \text{ MOD } ys \ y \ binp' \ binp \equiv liftAll2 (gFreshAbs \text{ MOD } ys \ y) \ binp' \ binp$

```

definition gSwapInp where
gSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp' inp ≡ lift2 (gSwap MOD zs z1 z2) inp' inp

definition gSwapBinp where
gSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 binp' binp ≡ lift2 (gSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2) binp' binp

definition gSubstInp where
gSubstInp MOD ys Y' Y y inp' inp ≡ lift2 (gSubst MOD ys Y' Y y) inp' inp

definition gSubstBinp where
gSubstBinp MOD ys Y' Y y binp' binp ≡ lift2 (gSubstAbs MOD ys Y' Y y) binp' binp

context FixSyn
begin

definition gWlsInp where
gWlsInp MOD delta inp ≡
wlsOpS delta ∧ sameDom (arOf delta) inp ∧ liftAll2 (gWls MOD) (arOf delta)
inp

lemmas gWlsInp-defs = gWlsInp-def sameDom-def liftAll2-def

definition gWlsBinp where
gWlsBinp MOD delta binp ≡
wlsOpS delta ∧ sameDom (barOf delta) binp ∧ liftAll2 (gWlsAbs MOD) (barOf
delta) binp

lemmas gWlsBinp-defs = gWlsBinp-def sameDom-def liftAll2-def

Basic properties of the lifted model operations

. for free inputs:

lemma sameDom-swapInp-gSwapInp[simp]:
assumes wlsInp delta inp' and gWlsInp MOD delta inp
shows sameDom (swapInp zs z1 z2 inp') (gSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp' inp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sameDom-substInp-gSubstInp[simp]:
assumes wlsInp delta inp' and gWlsInp MOD delta inp
shows sameDom (substInp ys Y' y inp') (gSubstInp MOD ys Y' Y y inp' inp)
⟨proof⟩

. for bound inputs:

lemma sameDom-swapBinp-gSwapBinp[simp]:
assumes wlsBinp delta binp' and gWlsBinp MOD delta binp
shows sameDom (swapBinp zs z1 z2 binp') (gSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 binp' binp)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sameDom-substBinp-gSubstBinp[simp]:
assumes wlsBinp delta binp' and gWlsBinp MOD delta binp
shows sameDom (substBinp ys Y' y binp') (gSubstBinp MOD ys Y' Y y binp'
binp)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas sameDom-gInput-simps =
sameDom-swapInp-gSwapInp sameDom-substInp-gSubstInp
sameDom-swapBinp-gSwapBinp sameDom-substBinp-gSubstBinp

```

Domain disjointness:

```

definition gWlsDisj where
gWlsDisj MOD ≡ ∀ s s' X. gWls MOD s X ∧ gWls MOD s' X → s = s'

```

```

definition gWlsAbsDisj where
gWlsAbsDisj MOD ≡ ∀ xs s xs' s' A.
isInBar (xs,s) ∧ isInBar (xs',s') ∧
gWlsAbs MOD (xs,s) A ∧ gWlsAbs MOD (xs',s') A
→ xs = xs' ∧ s = s'

```

```

definition gWlsAllDisj where
gWlsAllDisj MOD ≡ gWlsDisj MOD ∧ gWlsAbsDisj MOD

```

```

lemmas gWlsAllDisj-defs =
gWlsAllDisj-def gWlsDisj-def gWlsAbsDisj-def

```

Abstraction domains inhabited only within bound arities:

```

definition gWlsAbsIsInBar where
gWlsAbsIsInBar MOD ≡ ∀ us s A. gWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A → isInBar (us,s)

```

Domain preservation by the operators

The constructs preserve the domains:

```

definition gVarPresGwls where
gVarPresGwls MOD ≡ ∀ xs x. gWls MOD (asSort xs) (gVar MOD xs x)

```

```

definition gAbsPresGwls where
gAbsPresGwls MOD ≡ ∀ xs s x X' X.
isInBar (xs,s) ∧ wls s X' ∧ gWls MOD s X →
gWlsAbs MOD (xs,s) (gAbs MOD xs x X' X)

```

```

definition gOpPresGwls where
gOpPresGwls MOD ≡ ∀ delta inp' inp binp' binp.
wlsInp delta inp' ∧ gWlsInp MOD delta inp ∧ wlsBinp delta binp' ∧ gWlsBinp
MOD delta binp
→ gWls MOD (stOf delta) (gOp MOD delta inp' inp binp' binp)

```

```

definition gConsPresGwls where

```

$gConsPresGWls MOD \equiv gVarPresGWls MOD \wedge gAbsPresGWls MOD \wedge gOpPresGWls MOD$

lemmas $gConsPresGWls\text{-}defs = gConsPresGWls\text{-}def$
 $gVarPresGWls\text{-}def$ $gAbsPresGWls\text{-}def$ $gOpPresGWls\text{-}def$

“swap” preserves the domains:

definition $gSwapPresGWls$ **where**
 $gSwapPresGWls MOD \equiv \forall zs z1 z2 s X' X.$
 $wls s X' \wedge gWls MOD s X \longrightarrow$
 $gWls MOD s (gSwap MOD zs z1 z2 X' X)$

definition $gSwapAbsPresGWlsAbs$ **where**
 $gSwapAbsPresGWlsAbs MOD \equiv \forall zs z1 z2 us s A' A.$
 $isInBar (us,s) \wedge wlsAbs (us,s) A' \wedge gWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A \longrightarrow$
 $gWlsAbs MOD (us,s) (gSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 A' A)$

definition $gSwapAllPresGWlsAll$ **where**
 $gSwapAllPresGWlsAll MOD \equiv gSwapPresGWls MOD \wedge gSwapAbsPresGWlsAbs MOD$

lemmas $gSwapAllPresGWlsAll\text{-}defs =$
 $gSwapAllPresGWlsAll\text{-}def$ $gSwapPresGWls\text{-}def$ $gSwapAbsPresGWlsAbs\text{-}def$

“subst” preserves the domains:

definition $gSubstPresGWls$ **where**
 $gSubstPresGWls MOD \equiv \forall ys Y' Y y s X' X.$
 $wls (asSort ys) Y' \wedge gWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \wedge wls s X' \wedge gWls MOD s X \longrightarrow$
 $gWls MOD s (gSubst MOD ys Y' Y y X' X)$

definition $gSubstAbsPresGWlsAbs$ **where**
 $gSubstAbsPresGWlsAbs MOD \equiv \forall ys Y' Y y us s A' A.$
 $isInBar (us,s) \wedge$
 $wls (asSort ys) Y' \wedge gWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \wedge wlsAbs (us,s) A' \wedge gWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A \longrightarrow$
 $gWlsAbs MOD (us,s) (gSubstAbs MOD ys Y' Y y A' A)$

definition $gSubstAllPresGWlsAll$ **where**
 $gSubstAllPresGWlsAll MOD \equiv gSubstPresGWls MOD \wedge gSubstAbsPresGWlsAbs MOD$

lemmas $gSubstAllPresGWlsAll\text{-}defs =$
 $gSubstAllPresGWlsAll\text{-}def$ $gSubstPresGWls\text{-}def$ $gSubstAbsPresGWlsAbs\text{-}def$

Clauses for fresh:

definition $gFreshGVar$ **where**
 $gFreshGVar MOD \equiv \forall ys y xs x.$
 $(ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x) \longrightarrow$

$gFresh MOD ys y (Var xs x) (gVar MOD xs x)$

definition $gFreshGabs1$ **where**

$gFreshGabs1 MOD \equiv \forall ys y s X' X.$
 $isInBar (ys,s) \wedge wls s X' \wedge gWls MOD s X \rightarrow$
 $gFreshAbs MOD ys y (Abs ys y X') (gAbs MOD ys y X' X)$

definition $gFreshGabs2$ **where**

$gFreshGabs2 MOD \equiv \forall ys y xs x s X' X.$
 $isInBar (xs,s) \wedge wls s X' \wedge gWls MOD s X \rightarrow$
 $fresh ys y X' \wedge gFresh MOD ys y X' X \rightarrow$
 $gFreshAbs MOD ys y (Abs xs x X') (gAbs MOD xs x X' X)$

definition $gFreshGOp$ **where**

$gFreshGOp MOD \equiv \forall ys y delta inp' inp binp' binp.$
 $wlsInp delta inp' \wedge gWlsInp MOD delta inp \wedge wlsBinp delta binp' \wedge gWlsBinp$
 $MOD delta binp \rightarrow$
 $freshInp ys y inp' \wedge gFreshInp MOD ys y inp' inp \wedge$
 $freshBinp ys y binp' \wedge gFreshBinp MOD ys y binp' binp \rightarrow$
 $gFresh MOD ys y (Op delta inp' binp') (gOp MOD delta inp' inp binp' binp)$

definition $gFreshCls$ **where**

$gFreshCls MOD \equiv gFreshGVar MOD \wedge gFreshGabs1 MOD \wedge gFreshGabs2 MOD$
 $\wedge gFreshGOp MOD$

lemmas $gFreshCls\text{-defs} = gFreshCls\text{-def}$

$gFreshGVar\text{-def } gFreshGabs1\text{-def } gFreshGabs2\text{-def } gFreshGOp\text{-def}$

definition $gSwapGVar$ **where**

$gSwapGVar MOD \equiv \forall zs z1 z2 xs x.$
 $gSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (Var xs x) (gVar MOD xs x) =$
 $gVar MOD xs (x @xs[z1 \wedge z2]-zs)$

definition $gSwapGabs$ **where**

$gSwapGabs MOD \equiv \forall zs z1 z2 xs x s X' X.$
 $isInBar (xs,s) \wedge wls s X' \wedge gWls MOD s X \rightarrow$
 $gSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 (Abs xs x X') (gAbs MOD xs x X' X) =$
 $gAbs MOD xs (x @xs[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) (X' #[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) (gSwap MOD zs z1 z2 X'$
 $X)$

definition $gSwapGOp$ **where**

$gSwapGOp MOD \equiv \forall zs z1 z2 delta inp' inp binp' binp.$
 $wlsInp delta inp' \wedge gWlsInp MOD delta inp \wedge wlsBinp delta binp' \wedge gWlsBinp$
 $MOD delta binp \rightarrow$
 $gSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (Op delta inp' binp') (gOp MOD delta inp' inp binp' binp)$
 $=$
 $gOp MOD delta$

$(inp' \%[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) (gSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp' inp)$
 $(binp' \%%[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) (gSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 binp' binp)$

definition $gSwapCls$ **where**
 $gSwapCls MOD \equiv gSwapGVar MOD \wedge gSwapGAbs MOD \wedge gSwapGOOp MOD$
lemmas $gSwapCls\text{-}defs = gSwapCls\text{-}def$
 $gSwapGVar\text{-}def gSwapGAbs\text{-}def gSwapGOOp\text{-}def$

definition $gSubstGVar1$ **where**
 $gSubstGVar1 MOD \equiv \forall ys y Y' Y xs x.$
 $wls (asSort ys) Y' \wedge gWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \rightarrow$
 $(ys \neq xs \vee y \neq x) \rightarrow$
 $gSubst MOD ys Y' Y y (Var xs x) (gVar MOD xs x) =$
 $gVar MOD xs x$

definition $gSubstGVar2$ **where**
 $gSubstGVar2 MOD \equiv \forall ys y Y' Y.$
 $wls (asSort ys) Y' \wedge gWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \rightarrow$
 $gSubst MOD ys Y' Y y (Var ys y) (gVar MOD ys y) = Y$

definition $gSubstGAbs$ **where**
 $gSubstGAbs MOD \equiv \forall ys y Y' Y xs x s X' X.$
 $isInBar (xs,s) \wedge$
 $wls (asSort ys) Y' \wedge gWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \wedge$
 $wls s X' \wedge gWls MOD s X \rightarrow$
 $(xs \neq ys \vee x \neq y) \wedge fresh xs x Y' \wedge gFresh MOD xs x Y' Y \rightarrow$
 $gSubstAbs MOD ys Y' Y y (Abs xs x X') (gAbs MOD xs x X' X) =$
 $gAbs MOD xs x (X' \#[Y' / y]-ys) (gSubst MOD ys Y' Y y X' X)$

definition $gSubstGOOp$ **where**
 $gSubstGOOp MOD \equiv \forall ys y Y' Y delta inp' inp binp' binp.$
 $wls (asSort ys) Y' \wedge gWls MOD (asSort ys) Y \wedge$
 $wlsInp delta inp' \wedge gWlsInp MOD delta inp \wedge$
 $wlsBinp delta binp' \wedge gWlsBinp MOD delta binp \rightarrow$
 $gSubst MOD ys Y' Y y (Op delta inp' binp') (gOp MOD delta inp' inp binp'$
 $binp) =$
 $gOp MOD delta$
 $(inp' \%[Y' / y]-ys) (gSubstInp MOD ys Y' Y y inp' inp)$
 $(binp' \%%[Y' / y]-ys) (gSubstBinp MOD ys Y' Y y binp' binp)$

definition $gSubstCls$ **where**
 $gSubstCls MOD \equiv gSubstGVar1 MOD \wedge gSubstGVar2 MOD \wedge gSubstGAbs MOD$
 $\wedge gSubstGOOp MOD$

lemmas $gSubstCls\text{-}defs = gSubstCls\text{-}def$
 $gSubstGVar1\text{-}def gSubstGVar2\text{-}def gSubstGAbs\text{-}def gSubstGOOp\text{-}def$

```

definition gAbsCongS where
gAbsCongS MOD  $\equiv \forall xs x x2 y s X' X X2' X2.$ 
  isInBar (xs,s)  $\wedge$ 
  wls s X'  $\wedge$  gWls MOD s X  $\wedge$ 
  wls s X2'  $\wedge$  gWls MOD s X2  $\longrightarrow$ 
  fresh xs y X'  $\wedge$  gFresh MOD xs y X' X  $\wedge$ 
  fresh xs y X2'  $\wedge$  gFresh MOD xs y X2' X2  $\wedge$ 
  (X' #[y  $\wedge$  x]-xs) = (X2' #[y  $\wedge$  x2]-xs)  $\longrightarrow$ 
  gSwap MOD xs y x X' X = gSwap MOD xs y x2 X2' X2  $\longrightarrow$ 
  gAbs MOD xs x X' X = gAbs MOD xs x2 X2' X2

```

```

definition gAbsRen where
gAbsRen MOD  $\equiv \forall xs y x s X' X.$ 
  isInBar (xs,s)  $\wedge$  wls s X'  $\wedge$  gWls MOD s X  $\longrightarrow$ 
  fresh xs y X'  $\wedge$  gFresh MOD xs y X' X  $\longrightarrow$ 
  gAbs MOD xs y (X' #[y // x]-xs) (gSubst MOD xs (Var xs y) (gVar MOD xs
y) x X' X) =
  gAbs MOD xs x X' X

```

Well-sorted fresh-swap models:

```

definition wlsFSw where
wlsFSw MOD  $\equiv$  gWlsAllDisj MOD  $\wedge$  gWlsAbsIsInBar MOD  $\wedge$ 
gConsPresGWls MOD  $\wedge$  gSwapAllPresGWlsAll MOD  $\wedge$ 
gFreshCls MOD  $\wedge$  gSwapCls MOD  $\wedge$  gAbsCongS MOD

```

```

lemmas wlsFSw-defs1 = wlsFSw-def
gWlsAllDisj-def gWlsAbsIsInBar-def
gConsPresGWls-def gSwapAllPresGWlsAll-def
gFreshCls-def gSwapCls-def gAbsCongS-def

```

```

lemmas wlsFSw-defs = wlsFSw-def
gWlsAllDisj-defs gWlsAbsIsInBar-def
gConsPresGWls-defs gSwapAllPresGWlsAll-defs
gFreshCls-defs gSwapCls-defs gAbsCongS-def

```

Well-sorted fresh-subst models:

```

definition wlsFSb where
wlsFSb MOD  $\equiv$  gWlsAllDisj MOD  $\wedge$  gWlsAbsIsInBar MOD  $\wedge$ 
gConsPresGWls MOD  $\wedge$  gSubstAllPresGWlsAll MOD  $\wedge$ 
gFreshCls MOD  $\wedge$  gSubstCls MOD  $\wedge$  gAbsRen MOD

```

```

lemmas wlsFSb-defs1 = wlsFSb-def
gWlsAllDisj-def gWlsAbsIsInBar-def
gConsPresGWls-def gSubstAllPresGWlsAll-def
gFreshCls-def gSubstCls-def gAbsRen-def

```

```

lemmas wlsFSb-defs = wlsFSb-def
gWlsAllDisj-defs gWlsAbsIsInBar-def
gConsPresGWls-defs gSubstAllPresGWlsAll-defs
gFreshCls-defs gSubstCls-defs gAbsRen-def

```

Well-sorted fresh-swap-subst-models

definition wlsFSwSb **where**

```
wlsFSwSb MOD ≡ wlsFSw MOD ∧ gSubstAllPresGWlsAll MOD ∧ gSubstCls MOD
```

```

lemmas wlsFSwSb-defs1 = wlsFSwSb-def
wlsFSw-def gSubstAllPresGWlsAll-def gSubstCls-def

```

```

lemmas wlsFSwSb-defs = wlsFSwSb-def
wlsFSw-def gSubstAllPresGWlsAll-defs gSubstCls-defs

```

Well-sorted fresh-subst-swap-models

definition wlsFSbSw **where**

```
wlsFSbSw MOD ≡ wlsFSb MOD ∧ gSwapAllPresGWlsAll MOD ∧ gSwapCls MOD
```

```

lemmas wlsFSbSw-defs1 = wlsFSbSw-def
wlsFSw-def gSwapAllPresGWlsAll-def gSwapCls-def

```

```

lemmas wlsFSbSw-defs = wlsFSbSw-def
wlsFSw-def gSwapAllPresGWlsAll-defs gSwapCls-defs

```

Extension of domain preservation (by swap and subst) to inputs:

First for free inputs:

```

definition gSwapInpPresGWlsInp where
gSwapInpPresGWlsInp MOD ≡ ∀ zs z1 z2 delta inp' inp.
wlsInp delta inp' ∧ gWlsInp MOD delta inp →
gWlsInp MOD delta (gSwapInp MOD zs z1 z2 inp' inp)

```

```

definition gSubstInpPresGWlsInp where
gSubstInpPresGWlsInp MOD ≡ ∀ ys y Y' Y delta inp' inp.
wls (asSort ys) Y' ∧ gWls MOD (asSort ys) Y ∧
wlsInp delta inp' ∧ gWlsInp MOD delta inp →
gWlsInp MOD delta (gSubstInp MOD ys Y' Y inp' inp)

```

```

lemma imp-gSwapInpPresGWlsInp:
gSwapPresGWls MOD ⇒ gSwapInpPresGWlsInp MOD
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *imp-gSubstInpPresGWlsInp*:
 $gSubstPresGWls MOD \implies gSubstInpPresGWlsInp MOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Then for bound inputs:

definition *gSwapBinpPresGWlsBinp* **where**
 $gSwapBinpPresGWlsBinp MOD \equiv \forall zs z1 z2 \text{ delta binp' binp.}$
 $wlsBinp \text{ delta binp'} \wedge gWlsBinp MOD \text{ delta binp} \longrightarrow$
 $gWlsBinp MOD \text{ delta } (gSwapBinp MOD zs z1 z2 \text{ binp' binp})$

definition *gSubstBinpPresGWlsBinp* **where**
 $gSubstBinpPresGWlsBinp MOD \equiv \forall ys y Y' Y \text{ delta binp' binp.}$
 $wls \text{ (asSort ys)} Y' \wedge gWls MOD \text{ (asSort ys)} Y \wedge$
 $wlsBinp \text{ delta binp'} \wedge gWlsBinp MOD \text{ delta binp} \longrightarrow$
 $gWlsBinp MOD \text{ delta } (gSubstBinp MOD ys Y' Y y \text{ binp' binp})$

lemma *imp-gSwapBinpPresGWlsBinp*:
 $gSwapAbsPresGWlsAbs MOD \implies gSwapBinpPresGWlsBinp MOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-gSubstBinpPresGWlsBinp*:
 $gSubstAbsPresGWlsAbs MOD \implies gSubstBinpPresGWlsBinp MOD$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.3 Model morphisms from the term model

definition *presWls* **where**
 $presWls h MOD \equiv \forall s X. wls s X \longrightarrow gWls MOD s (h X)$

definition *presWlsAbs* **where**
 $presWlsAbs hA MOD \equiv \forall us s A. wlsAbs (us,s) A \longrightarrow gWlsAbs MOD (us,s) (hA A)$

definition *presWlsAll* **where**
 $presWlsAll h hA MOD \equiv presWls h MOD \wedge presWlsAbs hA MOD$

lemmas *presWlsAll-defs* = *presWlsAll-def presWls-def presWlsAbs-def*

definition *presVar* **where**
 $presVar h MOD \equiv \forall xs x. h (\text{Var} xs x) = gVar MOD xs x$

definition *presAbs* **where**
 $presAbs h hA MOD \equiv \forall xs x s X.$
 $isInBar (xs,s) \wedge wls s X \longrightarrow$
 $hA (\text{Abs} xs x X) = gAbs MOD xs x X (h X)$

definition *presOp* **where**
 $presOp h hA MOD \equiv \forall \text{ delta inp binp.}$
 $wlsInp \text{ delta inp} \wedge wlsBinp \text{ delta binp} \longrightarrow$

```


$$h (\text{Op} \ \delta \ \text{inp} \ b\text{inp}) = \\ g\text{Op} \ \text{MOD} \ \delta \ \text{inp} \ (\text{lift} \ h \ \text{inp}) \ b\text{inp} \ (\text{lift} \ hA \ b\text{inp})$$


definition presCons where  


$$\text{presCons } h \ hA \ \text{MOD} \equiv \text{presVar } h \ \text{MOD} \wedge \text{presAbs } h \ hA \ \text{MOD} \wedge \text{presOp } h \ hA \ \text{MOD}$$


lemmas presCons-defs = presCons-def  


$$\text{presVar-def} \ \text{presAbs-def} \ \text{presOp-def}$$


definition presFresh where  


$$\text{presFresh } h \ \text{MOD} \equiv \forall \ ys \ y \ s \ X. \\ \text{wls } s \ X \longrightarrow \\ \text{fresh } ys \ y \ X \longrightarrow g\text{Fresh} \ \text{MOD} \ ys \ y \ X \ (h \ X)$$


definition presFreshAbs where  


$$\text{presFreshAbs } hA \ \text{MOD} \equiv \forall \ ys \ y \ us \ s \ A. \\ \text{wlsAbs } (us,s) \ A \longrightarrow \\ \text{freshAbs } ys \ y \ A \longrightarrow g\text{FreshAbs} \ \text{MOD} \ ys \ y \ A \ (hA \ A)$$


definition presFreshAll where  


$$\text{presFreshAll } h \ hA \ \text{MOD} \equiv \text{presFresh } h \ \text{MOD} \wedge \text{presFreshAbs } hA \ \text{MOD}$$


lemmas presFreshAll-defs = presFreshAll-def  


$$\text{presFresh-def} \ \text{presFreshAbs-def}$$


definition presSwap where  


$$\text{presSwap } h \ \text{MOD} \equiv \forall \ zs \ z1 \ z2 \ s \ X. \\ \text{wls } s \ X \longrightarrow \\ h \ (X \ #[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) = g\text{Swap} \ \text{MOD} \ zs \ z1 \ z2 \ X \ (h \ X)$$


definition presSwapAbs where  


$$\text{presSwapAbs } hA \ \text{MOD} \equiv \forall \ zs \ z1 \ z2 \ us \ s \ A. \\ \text{wlsAbs } (us,s) \ A \longrightarrow \\ hA \ (A \$[z1 \wedge z2]-zs) = g\text{SwapAbs} \ \text{MOD} \ zs \ z1 \ z2 \ A \ (hA \ A)$$


definition presSwapAll where  


$$\text{presSwapAll } h \ hA \ \text{MOD} \equiv \text{presSwap } h \ \text{MOD} \wedge \text{presSwapAbs } hA \ \text{MOD}$$


lemmas presSwapAll-defs = presSwapAll-def  


$$\text{presSwap-def} \ \text{presSwapAbs-def}$$


definition presSubst where  


$$\text{presSubst } h \ \text{MOD} \equiv \forall \ ys \ Y \ y \ s \ X. \\ \text{wls } (\text{asSort } ys) \ Y \wedge \text{wls } s \ X \longrightarrow \\ h \ (\text{subst } ys \ Y \ y \ X) = g\text{Subst} \ \text{MOD} \ ys \ Y \ (h \ Y) \ y \ X \ (h \ X)$$


definition presSubstAbs where  


$$\text{presSubstAbs } h \ hA \ \text{MOD} \equiv \forall \ ys \ Y \ y \ us \ s \ A.$$


```

$wls(\text{asSort } ys) Y \wedge wlsAbs(us,s) A \longrightarrow$
 $hA(A \$[Y / y]\text{-}ys) = gSubstAbs MOD ys Y (h Y) y A (hA A)$

definition *presSubstAll where*

presSubstAll h hA MOD \equiv *presSubst h MOD* \wedge *presSubstAbs h hA MOD*

lemmas *presSubstAll-defs* = *presSubstAll-def*
presSubst-def presSubstAbs-def

definition *termFSwMorph where*

termFSwMorph h hA MOD \equiv *presWlsAll h hA MOD* \wedge *presCons h hA MOD* \wedge
presFreshAll h hA MOD \wedge *presSwapAll h hA MOD*

lemmas *termFSwMorph-defs1* = *termFSwMorph-def*
presWlsAll-def presCons-def presFreshAll-def presSwapAll-def

lemmas *termFSwMorph-defs* = *termFSwMorph-def*
presWlsAll-defs presCons-defs presFreshAll-defs presSwapAll-defs

definition *termFSbMorph where*

termFSbMorph h hA MOD \equiv *presWlsAll h hA MOD* \wedge *presCons h hA MOD* \wedge
presFreshAll h hA MOD \wedge *presSubstAll h hA MOD*

lemmas *termFSbMorph-defs1* = *termFSbMorph-def*
presWlsAll-def presCons-def presFreshAll-def presSubstAll-def

lemmas *termFSbMorph-defs* = *termFSbMorph-def*
presWlsAll-defs presCons-defs presFreshAll-defs presSubstAll-defs

definition *termFSwSbMorph where*

termFSwSbMorph h hA MOD \equiv *termFSwMorph h hA MOD* \wedge *presSubstAll h hA MOD*

lemmas *termFSwSbMorph-defs1* = *termFSwSbMorph-def*
termFSwMorph-def presSubstAll-def

lemmas *termFSwSbMorph-defs* = *termFSwSbMorph-def*
termFSwMorph-defs presSubstAll-defs

Extension of domain preservation (by the morphisms) to inputs

. for free inputs:

lemma *presWls-wlsInp*:

wlsInp delta inp \implies *presWls h MOD* \implies *gWlsInp MOD delta (lift h inp)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

. for bound inputs:

lemma *presWls-wlsBinp*:

wlsBinp delta binp \implies *presWlsAbs hA MOD* \implies *gWlsBinp MOD delta (lift hA binp)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

10.4 From models to iterative models

The transition map:

```

definition fromMOD ::  

('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,'gTerm,'gAbs) model  

⇒  

('index,'bindex,'varSort,'sort,'opSym,'var,  

 ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term × 'gTerm,  

 ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs × 'gAbs) Iteration.model  

where  

fromMOD MOD ≡  

⟨  

igWls = λs X'X. wls s (fst X'X) ∧ gWls MOD s (snd X'X),  

igWlsAbs = λus-s A'A. wlsAbs us-s (fst A'A) ∧ gWlsAbs MOD us-s (snd A'A),  

igVar = λxs x. (Var xs x, gVar MOD xs x),  

igAbs = λxs x X'X. (Abs xs x (fst X'X), gAbs MOD xs x (fst X'X) (snd X'X)),  

igOp =  

λdelta iinp biinp.  

(Op delta (lift fst iinp) (lift fst biinp),  

 gOp MOD delta  

(lift fst iinp) (lift snd iinp)  

(lift fst biinp) (lift snd biinp)),  

igFresh =  

λys y X'X. fresh ys y (fst X'X) ∧ gFresh MOD ys y (fst X'X) (snd X'X),  

igFreshAbs =  

λys y A'A. freshAbs ys y (fst A'A) ∧ gFreshAbs MOD ys y (fst A'A) (snd A'A),  

igSwap =  

λzs z1 z2 X'X. ((fst X'X) #[z1 ∧ z2]-zs, gSwap MOD zs z1 z2 (fst X'X) (snd X'X)),  

igSwapAbs =  

λzs z1 z2 A'A. ((fst A'A) ${z1 ∧ z2}-zs, gSwapAbs MOD zs z1 z2 (fst A'A) (snd A'A)),  

igSubst =  

λys Y'Y y X'X.  

((fst X'X) #[fst Y'Y / y]-ys,  

 gSubst MOD ys (fst Y'Y) (snd Y'Y) y (fst X'X) (snd X'X)),  

igSubstAbs =  

λys Y'Y y A'A.  

((fst A'A) ${fst Y'Y / y}-ys,  

 gSubstAbs MOD ys (fst Y'Y) (snd Y'Y) y (fst A'A) (snd A'A))  

⟩

```

Basic simplification rules:

lemma *fromMOD-basic-simps*[simp]:
 $igWls \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } s X'X =$
 $(wls s (\text{fst } X'X) \wedge gWls \text{ MOD } s (\text{snd } X'X))$

$igWlsAbs \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } us\text{-}s A'A =$
 $(wlsAbs us\text{-}s (\text{fst } A'A) \wedge gWlsAbs \text{ MOD } us\text{-}s (\text{snd } A'A))$

$igVar \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } xs x = (Var xs x, gVar \text{ MOD } xs x)$

$igAbs \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } xs x X'X = (Abs xs x (\text{fst } X'X), gAbs \text{ MOD } xs x (\text{fst } X'X) (\text{snd } X'X))$

$igOp \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } \delta iinp biinp =$
 $(Op \delta (\text{lift fst } iinp) (\text{lift fst } biinp),$
 $gOp \text{ MOD } \delta$
 $(\text{lift fst } iinp) (\text{lift snd } iinp)$
 $(\text{lift fst } biinp) (\text{lift snd } biinp))$

$igFresh \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } ys y X'X =$
 $(fresh ys y (\text{fst } X'X) \wedge gFresh \text{ MOD } ys y (\text{fst } X'X) (\text{snd } X'X))$

$igFreshAbs \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } ys y A'A =$
 $(freshAbs ys y (\text{fst } A'A) \wedge gFreshAbs \text{ MOD } ys y (\text{fst } A'A) (\text{snd } A'A))$

$igSwap \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } zs z1 z2 X'X =$
 $((\text{fst } X'X) \#[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-zs}, gSwap \text{ MOD } zs z1 z2 (\text{fst } X'X) (\text{snd } X'X))$

$igSwapAbs \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } zs z1 z2 A'A =$
 $((\text{fst } A'A) \$[z1 \wedge z2]\text{-zs}, gSwapAbs \text{ MOD } zs z1 z2 (\text{fst } A'A) (\text{snd } A'A))$

$igSubst \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } ys Y'Y y X'X =$
 $((\text{fst } X'X) \#[(\text{fst } Y'Y) / y]\text{-ys},$
 $gSubst \text{ MOD } ys (\text{fst } Y'Y) (\text{snd } Y'Y) y (\text{fst } X'X) (\text{snd } X'X))$

$igSubstAbs \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } ys Y'Y y A'A =$
 $((\text{fst } A'A) \$[(\text{fst } Y'Y) / y]\text{-ys},$
 $gSubstAbs \text{ MOD } ys (\text{fst } Y'Y) (\text{snd } Y'Y) y (\text{fst } A'A) (\text{snd } A'A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Simps for inputs

- . for free inputs:

lemma *igWlsInp-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $igWlsInp \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } \delta iinp \longleftrightarrow$
 $wlsInp \delta (\text{lift fst } iinp) \wedge gWlsInp \text{ MOD } \delta (\text{lift snd } iinp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igFreshInp-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $igFreshInp \text{ (fromMOD MOD) } ys y iinp \longleftrightarrow$
 $freshInp ys y (\text{lift fst } iinp) \wedge gFreshInp \text{ MOD } ys y (\text{lift fst } iinp) (\text{lift snd } iinp)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igSwapInp-fromMOD*[simp]:
igSwapInp (fromMOD MOD) zs z1 z2 iinp =
lift2 Pair
 $(swapInp\ zs\ z1\ z2\ (lift\ fst\ iinp))$
 $(gSwapInp\ MOD\ zs\ z1\ z2\ (lift\ fst\ iinp)\ (lift\ snd\ iinp))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igSubstInp-fromMOD*[simp]:
igSubstInp (fromMOD MOD) ys Y'Y y iinp =
lift2 Pair
 $(substInp\ ys\ (fst\ Y'Y)\ y\ (lift\ fst\ iinp))$
 $(gSubstInp\ MOD\ ys\ (fst\ Y'Y)\ (snd\ Y'Y)\ y\ (lift\ fst\ iinp)\ (lift\ snd\ iinp))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *input-fromMOD-simps* =
igWlsInp-fromMOD *igFreshInp-fromMOD* *igSwapInp-fromMOD* *igSubstInp-fromMOD*
. for bound inputs:

lemma *igWlsBinp-fromMOD*[simp]:
igWlsBinp (fromMOD MOD) delta biinp \longleftrightarrow
 $(wlsBinp\ delta\ (lift\ fst\ biinp)\ \wedge\ gWlsBinp\ MOD\ delta\ (lift\ snd\ biinp))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igFreshBinp-fromMOD*[simp]:
igFreshBinp (fromMOD MOD) ys y biinp \longleftrightarrow
 $(freshBinp\ ys\ y\ (lift\ fst\ biinp)\ \wedge\ gFreshBinp\ MOD\ ys\ y\ (lift\ fst\ biinp)\ (lift\ snd\ biinp))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igSwapBinp-fromMOD*[simp]:
igSwapBinp (fromMOD MOD) zs z1 z2 biinp =
lift2 Pair
 $(swapBinp\ zs\ z1\ z2\ (lift\ fst\ biinp))$
 $(gSwapBinp\ MOD\ zs\ z1\ z2\ (lift\ fst\ biinp)\ (lift\ snd\ biinp))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igSubstBinp-fromMOD*[simp]:
igSubstBinp (fromMOD MOD) ys Y'Y y biinp =
lift2 Pair
 $(substBinp\ ys\ (fst\ Y'Y)\ y\ (lift\ fst\ biinp))$
 $(gSubstBinp\ MOD\ ys\ (fst\ Y'Y)\ (snd\ Y'Y)\ y\ (lift\ fst\ biinp)\ (lift\ snd\ biinp))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *binput-fromMOD-simps* =
igWlsBinp-fromMOD *igFreshBinp-fromMOD* *igSwapBinp-fromMOD* *igSubstBinp-fromMOD*

Domain disjointness:

lemma *igWlsDisj-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $gWlsDisj \text{ MOD} \implies igWlsDisj \text{ (fromMOD MOD)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igWlsAbsDisj-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $gWlsAbsDisj \text{ MOD} \implies igWlsAbsDisj \text{ (fromMOD MOD)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igWlsAllDisj-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $gWlsAllDisj \text{ MOD} \implies igWlsAllDisj \text{ (fromMOD MOD)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *igWlsAllDisj-fromMOD-simps* =
 $igWlsDisj\text{-fromMOD } igWlsAbsDisj\text{-fromMOD } igWlsAllDisj\text{-fromMOD}$

Abstractions only within IsInBar:

lemma *igWlsAbsIsInBar-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $gWlsAbsIsInBar \text{ MOD} \implies igWlsAbsIsInBar \text{ (fromMOD MOD)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The constructs preserve the domains:

lemma *igVarIPresIGWls-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $gVarPresGWls \text{ MOD} \implies igVarIPresIGWls \text{ (fromMOD MOD)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igAbsIPresIGWls-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $gAbsPresGWls \text{ MOD} \implies igAbsIPresIGWls \text{ (fromMOD MOD)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igOpIPresIGWls-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $gOpPresGWls \text{ MOD} \implies igOpIPresIGWls \text{ (fromMOD MOD)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igConsIPresIGWls-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $gConsPresGWls \text{ MOD} \implies igConsIPresIGWls \text{ (fromMOD MOD)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *igConsIPresIGWls-fromMOD-simps* =
 $igVarIPresIGWls\text{-fromMOD } igAbsIPresIGWls\text{-fromMOD }$
 $igOpIPresIGWls\text{-fromMOD } igConsIPresIGWls\text{-fromMOD }$

Swap preserves the domains:

lemma *igSwapIPresIGWls-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $gSwapPresGWls \text{ MOD} \implies igSwapIPresIGWls \text{ (fromMOD MOD)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs-fromMOD*[simp]:
 $gSwapAbsPresGWlsAbs \text{ MOD} \implies igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs \text{ (fromMOD MOD)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSwapAllPresGWlsAll MOD \implies *igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemmas *igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll-fromMOD-simps* =
igSwapIPresIGWls-fromMOD igSwapAbsIPresIGWlsAbs-fromMOD igSwapAllIPresIG-
WlsAll-fromMOD

Subst preserves the domains:

lemma *igSubstIPresIGWls-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSubstPresGWls MOD \implies *igSubstIPresIGWls (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSubstAbsPresGWlsAbs MOD \implies *igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSubstAllPresGWlsAll MOD \implies *igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemmas *igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll-fromMOD-simps* =
igSubstIPresIGWls-fromMOD igSubstAbsIPresIGWlsAbs-fromMOD igSubstAllIPresIG-
WlsAll-fromMOD

The fresh clauses:

lemma *igFreshIGVar-fromMOD*[simp]:
gFreshGVar MOD \implies *igFreshIGVar (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igFreshIGAbs1-fromMOD*[simp]:
gFreshGAbs1 MOD \implies *igFreshIGAbs1 (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igFreshIGAbs2-fromMOD*[simp]:
gFreshGAbs2 MOD \implies *igFreshIGAbs2 (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igFreshIGOOp-fromMOD*[simp]:
gFreshGOOp MOD \implies *igFreshIGOOp (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igFreshCls-fromMOD*[simp]:
gFreshCls MOD \implies *igFreshCls (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemmas *igFreshCls-fromMOD-simps* =
igFreshIGVar-fromMOD igFreshIGAbs1-fromMOD igFreshIGAbs2-fromMOD

igFreshIGOp-fromMOD *igFreshCls-fromMOD*

The swap clauses

lemma *igSwapIGVar-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSwapGVar MOD \implies *igSwapIGVar (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igSwapIGAbs-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSwapGAbs MOD \implies *igSwapIGAbs (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igSwapIGOp-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSwapGOp MOD \implies *igSwapIGOp (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igSwapCls-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSwapCls MOD \implies *igSwapCls (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemmas *igSwapCls-fromMOD-simps* =
igSwapIGVar-fromMOD *igSwapIGAbs-fromMOD*
igSwapIGOp-fromMOD *igSwapCls-fromMOD*

The subst clauses

lemma *igSubstIGVar1-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSubstGVar1 MOD \implies *igSubstIGVar1 (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igSubstIGVar2-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSubstGVar2 MOD \implies *igSubstIGVar2 (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igSubstIGAbs-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSubstGAbs MOD \implies *igSubstIGAbs (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igSubstIGOp-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSubstGOp MOD \implies *igSubstIGOp (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemma *igSubstCls-fromMOD*[simp]:
gSubstCls MOD \implies *igSubstCls (fromMOD MOD)*
(proof)

lemmas *igSubstCls-fromMOD-simps* =
igSubstIGVar1-fromMOD *igSubstIGVar2-fromMOD* *igSubstIGAbs-fromMOD*
igSubstIGOp-fromMOD *igSubstCls-fromMOD*

Abstraction swapping congruence:

```

lemma igAbsCongS-fromMOD[simp]:
assumes gAbsCongS MOD
shows igAbsCongS (fromMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

Abstraction renaming:

```

lemma igAbsRen-fromMOD[simp]:
gAbsRen MOD  $\Rightarrow$  igAbsRen (fromMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

Models:

```

lemma iwlsFSw-fromMOD[simp]:
wlsFSw MOD  $\Rightarrow$  iwlsFSw (fromMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma iwlsFSb-fromMOD[simp]:
wlsFSb MOD  $\Rightarrow$  iwlsFSb (fromMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma iwlsFSwSb-fromMOD[simp]:
wlsFSwSb MOD  $\Rightarrow$  iwlsFSwSb (fromMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma iwlsFSbSw-fromMOD[simp]:
wlsFSbSw MOD  $\Rightarrow$  iwlsFSbSw (fromMOD MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas iwlsModel-fromMOD-simps =
iwlsFSw-fromMOD iwlsFSb-fromMOD
iwlsFSwSb-fromMOD iwlsFSbSw-fromMOD

```

```

lemmas fromMOD-predicate-simps =
igWlsAllDisj-fromMOD-simps
igConsIPresIGWls-fromMOD-simps
igSwapAllIPresIGWlsAll-fromMOD-simps
igSubstAllIPresIGWlsAll-fromMOD-simps
igFreshCls-fromMOD-simps
igSwapCls-fromMOD-simps
igSubstCls-fromMOD-simps
igAbsCongS-fromMOD
igAbsRen-fromMOD
iwlsModel-fromMOD-simps

```

```

lemmas fromMOD-simps =
fromMOD-basic-simps
input-fromMOD-simps
binput-fromMOD-simps
fromMOD-predicate-simps

```

10.5 The recursion-iteration “identity trick”

Here we show that any construct-preserving map from terms to “fromMOD MOD” is the identity on its first projection – this is the main trick when reducing recursion to iteration.

```
lemma ipresCons-fromMOD-fst:
  assumes ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD)
  shows (wls s X → fst (h X) = X) ∧ (wlsAbs (us,s') A → fst (hA A) = A)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ipresCons-fromMOD-fst-simps[simp]:
  [| ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD); wls s X |]
  ⟹ fst (h X) = X
```

```
[| ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD); wlsAbs (us,s') A |]
  ⟹ fst (hA A) = A
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ipresCons-fromMOD-fst-inp[simp]:
  ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) ⟹ wlsInp delta inp ⟹ lift (fst o h) inp = inp
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ipresCons-fromMOD-fst-binp[simp]:
  ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) ⟹ wlsBinp delta binp ⟹ lift (fst o hA) binp
  = binp
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas ipresCons-fromMOD-fst-all-simps =
  ipresCons-fromMOD-fst-simps ipresCons-fromMOD-fst-inp ipresCons-fromMOD-fst-binp
```

10.6 From iteration morphisms to morphisms

The transition map:

```
definition fromIMor ::  

  (('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term ⇒  

   ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term × 'gTerm)  

  ⇒  

  (('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)term ⇒ 'gTerm)  

where fromIMor h ≡ snd o h
```

```
definition fromIMorAbs ::  

  (('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs ⇒  

   ('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs × 'gAbs)  

  ⇒  

  (('index,'bindex,'varSort,'var,'opSym)abs ⇒ 'gAbs)  

where fromIMorAbs hA ≡ snd o hA
```

Basic simplification rules:

lemma *fromIMor[simp]*: *fromIMor h X' = snd (h X')*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fromIMorAbs[simp]*: *fromIMorAbs hA A' = snd (hA A')*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fromIMor-snd-inp[simp]*:
wlsInp delta inp ==> lift (fromIMor h) inp = lift (snd o h) inp
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fromIMorAbs-snd-binp[simp]*:
wlsBinp delta binp ==> lift (fromIMorAbs hA) binp = lift (snd o hA) binp
⟨proof⟩

lemmas *fromIMor-basic-simps* =
fromIMor fromIMorAbs fromIMor-snd-inp fromIMorAbs-snd-binp

Predicate simplification rules

Domain preservation

lemma *presWls-fromIMor[simp]*:
ipresWls h (fromMOD MOD) ==> presWls (fromIMor h) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma *presWlsAbs-fromIMorAbs[simp]*:
ipresWlsAbs hA (fromMOD MOD) ==> presWlsAbs (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma *presWlsAll-fromIMorAll[simp]*:
ipresWlsAll h hA (fromMOD MOD) ==> presWlsAll (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemmas *presWlsAll-fromIMorAll-simps* =
presWls-fromIMor presWlsAbs-fromIMorAbs presWlsAll-fromIMorAll

Preservation of the constructs

lemma *presVar-fromIMor[simp]*:
ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) ==> presVar (fromIMor h) MOD
⟨proof⟩

lemma *presAbs-fromIMor[simp]*:
assumes *ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD)*
shows *presAbs (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *presOp-fromIMor[simp]*:
assumes *ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD)*
shows *presOp (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *presCons-fromIMor*[simp]:
assumes *ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD)*
shows *presCons (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *presCons-fromIMor-simps* =
presVar-fromIMor presAbs-fromIMor presOp-fromIMor presCons-fromIMor

Preservation of freshness

lemma *presFresh-fromIMor*[simp]:
ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) \Rightarrow ipresFresh h (fromMOD MOD)
 \Rightarrow *presFresh (fromIMor h) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *presFreshAbs-fromIMor*[simp]:
ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) \Rightarrow ipresFreshAbs hA (fromMOD MOD)
 \Rightarrow *presFreshAbs (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *presFreshAll-fromIMor*[simp]:
ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) \Rightarrow ipresFreshAll h hA (fromMOD MOD)
 \Rightarrow *presFreshAll (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *presFreshAll-fromIMor-simps* =
presFresh-fromIMor presFreshAbs-fromIMor presFreshAll-fromIMor

Preservation of swap

lemma *presSwap-fromIMor*[simp]:
ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) \Rightarrow ipresSwap h (fromMOD MOD)
 \Rightarrow *presSwap (fromIMor h) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *presSwapAbs-fromIMor*[simp]:
ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) \Rightarrow ipresSwapAbs hA (fromMOD MOD)
 \Rightarrow *presSwapAbs (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *presSwapAll-fromIMor*[simp]:
ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) \Rightarrow ipresSwapAll h hA (fromMOD MOD)
 \Rightarrow *presSwapAll (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *presSwapAll-fromIMor-simps* =
presSwap-fromIMor presSwapAbs-fromIMor presSwapAll-fromIMor

Preservation of subst

```

lemma presSubst-fromIMor[simp]:
  ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) ==> ipresSubst h (fromMOD MOD)
  ==> presSubst (fromIMor h) MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma presSubstAbs-fromIMor[simp]:
  ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) ==> ipresSubstAbs h hA (fromMOD MOD)
  ==> presSubstAbs (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma presSubstAll-fromIMor[simp]:
  ipresCons h hA (fromMOD MOD) ==> ipresSubstAll h hA (fromMOD MOD)
  ==> presSubstAll (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas presSubstAll-fromIMor-simps =
  presSubst-fromIMor presSubstAbs-fromIMor presSubstAll-fromIMor

Morphisms

lemma fromIMor-termFSwMorph[simp]:
  termFSwImorph h hA (fromMOD MOD) ==> termFSwMorph (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fromIMor-termFSbMorph[simp]:
  termFSbImorph h hA (fromMOD MOD) ==> termFSbMorph (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fromIMor-termFSwSbMorph[simp]:
  assumes termFSwSbImorph h hA (fromMOD MOD)
  shows termFSwSbMorph (fromIMor h) (fromIMorAbs hA) MOD
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas mor-fromIMor-simps =
  fromIMor-termFSwMorph fromIMor-termFSbMorph fromIMor-termFSwSbMorph

lemmas fromIMor-predicate-simps =
  presCons-fromIMor-simps
  presFreshAll-fromIMor-simps
  presSwapAll-fromIMor-simps
  presSubstAll-fromIMor-simps
  mor-fromIMor-simps

lemmas fromIMor-simps =
  fromIMor-basic-simps fromIMor-predicate-simps

```

10.7 The recursion theorem

The recursion maps:

definition *rec where* $\text{rec MOD} \equiv \text{fromIMor} (\text{iter} (\text{fromMOD MOD}))$

definition *recAbs where* $\text{recAbs MOD} \equiv \text{fromIMorAbs} (\text{iterAbs} (\text{fromMOD MOD}))$

Existence:

theorem *wlsFSw-recAll-termFSwMorph:*

$\text{wlsFSw MOD} \implies \text{termFSwMorph} (\text{rec MOD}) (\text{recAbs MOD}) \text{ MOD}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wlsFSb-recAll-termFSbMorph:*

$\text{wlsFSb MOD} \implies \text{termFSbMorph} (\text{rec MOD}) (\text{recAbs MOD}) \text{ MOD}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wlsFSwSb-recAll-termFSwSbMorph:*

$\text{wlsFSwSb MOD} \implies \text{termFSwSbMorph} (\text{rec MOD}) (\text{recAbs MOD}) \text{ MOD}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wlsFSbSw-recAll-termFSwSbMorph:*

$\text{wlsFSbSw MOD} \implies \text{termFSwSbMorph} (\text{rec MOD}) (\text{recAbs MOD}) \text{ MOD}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Uniqueness:

lemma *presCons-unique:*

assumes $\text{presCons } f \text{ fA MOD and presCons } g \text{ gA MOD}$
shows $(\text{wls } s \text{ X} \longrightarrow f \text{ X} = g \text{ X}) \wedge (\text{wlsAbs} (\text{us}, s') \text{ A} \longrightarrow f \text{ A} = g \text{ A} \text{ A})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wlsFSw-recAll-unique-presCons:*

assumes $\text{wlsFSw MOD and presCons } h \text{ hA MOD}$
shows $(\text{wls } s \text{ X} \longrightarrow h \text{ X} = \text{rec MOD X}) \wedge$
 $(\text{wlsAbs} (\text{us}, s') \text{ A} \longrightarrow h \text{ A} = \text{recAbs MOD A})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wlsFSb-recAll-unique-presCons:*

assumes $\text{wlsFSb MOD and presCons } h \text{ hA MOD}$
shows $(\text{wls } s \text{ X} \longrightarrow h \text{ X} = \text{rec MOD X}) \wedge$
 $(\text{wlsAbs} (\text{us}, s') \text{ A} \longrightarrow h \text{ A} = \text{recAbs MOD A})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wlsFSwSb-recAll-unique-presCons:*

assumes $\text{wlsFSwSb MOD and presCons } h \text{ hA MOD}$
shows $(\text{wls } s \text{ X} \longrightarrow h \text{ X} = \text{rec MOD X}) \wedge$
 $(\text{wlsAbs} (\text{us}, s') \text{ A} \longrightarrow h \text{ A} = \text{recAbs MOD A})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *wlsFSbSw-recAll-unique-presCons:*

```

assumes wlsFSbSw MOD and presCons h hA MOD
shows (wls s X → h X = rec MOD X) ∧
    (wlsAbs (us,s') A → hA A = recAbs MOD A)
⟨proof⟩

```

10.8 Models that are even “closer” to the term model

We describe various conditions (later referred to as “extra clauses” or “extra conditions”) that, when satisfied by models, yield the recursive maps (1) freshness-preserving and/or (2) injective and/or (3) surjective, thus bringing the considered models “closer” to (being isomorphic to) the term model. The extreme case, when all of (1)-(3) above are ensured, means indeed isomorphism to the term model – this is in fact an abstract characterization of the term model.

10.8.1 Relevant predicates on models

The fresh clauses reversed

```

definition gFreshGVarRev where
gFreshGVarRev MOD ≡ ∀ xs y x.
    gFresh MOD xs y (Var xs x) (gVar MOD xs x) → y ≠ x

```

```

definition gFreshGAbsRev where
gFreshGAbsRev MOD ≡ ∀ ys y xs x s X' X.
    isInBar (xs,s) ∧ wls s X' ∧ gWls MOD s X →
    gFreshAbs MOD ys y (Abs xs x X') (gAbs MOD xs x X' X) →
    (ys = xs ∧ y = x) ∨ gFresh MOD ys y X' X

```

```

definition gFreshGOpRev where
gFreshGOpRev MOD ≡ ∀ ys y delta inp' inp binp' binp.
    wlsInp delta inp' ∧ gWlsInp MOD delta inp ∧ wlsBinp delta binp' ∧ gWlsBinp
    MOD delta binp →
    gFresh MOD ys y (Op delta inp' binp') (gOp MOD delta inp' inp binp' binp) →
    gFreshInp MOD ys y inp' inp ∧ gFreshBinp MOD ys y binp' binp

```

```

definition gFreshClsRev where
gFreshClsRev MOD ≡ gFreshGVarRev MOD ∧ gFreshGAbsRev MOD ∧ gFresh-
GOpRev MOD

```

```

lemmas gFreshClsRev-def = gFreshClsRev-def
gFreshGVarRev-def gFreshGAbsRev-def gFreshGOpRev-def

```

Injectiveness of the construct operators

```

definition gVarInj where
gVarInj MOD ≡ ∀ xs x y. gVar MOD xs x = gVar MOD xs y → x = y

```

```

definition gAbsInj where

```

$$\begin{aligned}
gAbsInj MOD &\equiv \forall xs s x X' X X1' X1. \\
&\quad isInBar(xs, s) \wedge wls s X' \wedge gWls MOD s X \wedge wls s X1' \wedge gWls MOD s X1 \wedge \\
&\quad gAbs MOD xs x X' X = gAbs MOD xs x X1' X1 \\
&\quad \longrightarrow \\
&\quad X = X1
\end{aligned}$$

definition *gOpInj* **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
gOpInj MOD &\equiv \forall delta delta1 inp' binp' inp binp inp1' binp1' inp1 binp1. \\
&\quad wlsInp delta inp' \wedge wlsBinp delta binp' \wedge gWlsInp MOD delta inp \wedge gWlsBinp \\
&\quad MOD delta binp \wedge \\
&\quad wlsInp delta1 inp1' \wedge wlsBinp delta1 binp1' \wedge gWlsInp MOD delta1 inp1 \wedge \\
&\quad gWlsBinp MOD delta1 binp1 \wedge \\
&\quad stOf delta = stOf delta1 \wedge \\
&\quad gOp MOD delta inp' inp binp' binp = gOp MOD delta1 inp1' inp1 binp1' binp1 \\
&\quad \longrightarrow \\
&\quad delta = delta1 \wedge inp = inp1 \wedge binp = binp1
\end{aligned}$$

definition *gVarGOpInj* **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
gVarGOpInj MOD &\equiv \forall xs x delta inp' binp' inp binp. \\
&\quad wlsInp delta inp' \wedge wlsBinp delta binp' \wedge gWlsInp MOD delta inp \wedge gWlsBinp \\
&\quad MOD delta binp \wedge \\
&\quad asSort xs = stOf delta \\
&\quad \longrightarrow \\
&\quad gVar MOD xs x \neq gOp MOD delta inp' inp binp' binp
\end{aligned}$$

definition *gConsInj* **where**

$$gConsInj MOD \equiv gVarInj MOD \wedge gAbsInj MOD \wedge gOpInj MOD \wedge gVarGOpInj MOD$$

lemmas *gConsInj-defs* = *gConsInj-def*
gVarInj-def *gAbsInj-def* *gOpInj-def* *gVarGOpInj-def*

Abstraction renaming for swapping

definition *gAbsRenS* **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
gAbsRenS MOD &\equiv \forall xs y x s X' X. \\
&\quad isInBar(xs, s) \wedge wls s X' \wedge gWls MOD s X \longrightarrow \\
&\quad fresh xs y X' \wedge gFresh MOD xs y X' X \longrightarrow \\
&\quad gAbs MOD xs y (X' \#[y \wedge x]-xs) (gSwap MOD xs y x X' X) = \\
&\quad gAbs MOD xs x X' X
\end{aligned}$$

Indifference to the general-recursive argument

- . This “indifference” property says that the construct operators from the model only depend on the generalized item (i.e., generalized term or abstraction) argument, and *not* on the “item” (i.e., concrete term or abstraction) argument. In other words, the model constructs correspond to *iterative clauses*, and not to the more general notion of “general-recursive” clause.

definition *gAbsIndif* **where**
gAbsIndif MOD $\equiv \forall xs s x X1' X2' X.$

isInBar (*xs,s*) \wedge *wls s X1'* \wedge *wls s X2'* \wedge *gWls MOD s X* \longrightarrow
gAbs MOD xs x X1' X = *gAbs MOD xs x X2' X*

definition *gOpIndif* **where**

gOpIndif MOD \equiv $\forall \delta \ i \ n \ p \ b \ i \ n \ p \ g \ O \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p$.
 $w \ l \ s \ I \ n \ p \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ w \ l \ s \ B \ i \ n \ p \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ b \ i \ n \ p \ w \ l \ s \ I \ n \ p \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ w \ l \ s \ B \ i \ n \ p \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \wedge$
 $g \ W \ l \ s \ I \ n \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ g \ W \ l \ s \ B \ i \ n \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \wedge$
 \longrightarrow
 $g \ O \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ b \ i \ n \ p \ g \ O \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ b \ i \ n \ p$

definition *gConsIndif* **where**

gConsIndif MOD \equiv *gOpIndif MOD* \wedge *gAbsIndif MOD*

lemmas *gConsIndif-defs* = *gConsIndif-def gAbsIndif-def gOpIndif-def*

Inductiveness

. Inductiveness of a model means the satisfaction of a minimal inductive principle (“minimal” in the sense that no fancy swapping or freshness induction-friendly conditions are involved).

definition *gInduct* **where**

gInduct MOD \equiv $\forall \phi \ \phi \ A \ s \ X \ u \ s' \ A.$
 $($
 $(\forall \ x \ s. \ \phi \ (\text{asSort } xs) \ (gVar \ M \ O \ D \ xs \ x))$
 \wedge
 $(\forall \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ b \ i \ n \ p. \ w \ l \ s \ I \ n \ p \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ w \ l \ s \ B \ i \ n \ p \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ b \ i \ n \ p \ g \ W \ l \ s \ I \ n \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ g \ W \ l \ s \ B \ i \ n \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \wedge$
 $l \ i \ f \ t \ A \ l \ l \ 2 \ \phi \ (a \ r \ o \ f \ \delta) \ i \ n \ p \ \wedge \ l \ i \ f \ t \ A \ l \ l \ 2 \ \phi \ A \ b \ s \ (b \ a \ r \ o \ f \ \delta) \ b \ i \ n \ p \wedge$
 $\longrightarrow \phi \ (s \ t \ o \ f \ \delta) \ (g \ O \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ i \ n \ p \ b \ i \ n \ p)$
 \wedge
 $(\forall \ x \ s \ x \ X' \ X. \ i \ s \ i \ n \ B \ a \ r \ (x \ s) \ \wedge \ w \ l \ s \ s \ X' \ \wedge \ g \ W \ l \ s \ M \ O \ D \ s \ X \ \wedge$
 $\phi \ s \ X \ \longrightarrow \phi \ A \ b \ s \ (x \ s) \ (g \ A \ b \ s \ M \ O \ D \ x \ s \ X' \ X))$
 $)$
 \longrightarrow
 $(g \ W \ l \ s \ M \ O \ D \ s \ X \ \longrightarrow \phi \ s \ X) \ \wedge$
 $(g \ W \ l \ s \ A \ b \ s \ (u \ s, s') \ A \ \longrightarrow \phi \ A \ b \ s \ (u \ s, s') \ A)$

lemma *gInduct-elim*:

assumes *gInduct MOD* **and**

Var: $\bigwedge \ x \ s. \ \phi \ (\text{asSort } xs) \ (gVar \ M \ O \ D \ xs \ x)$ **and**

Op:

$\wedge \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ b \ i \ n \ p \ g \ O \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p \ b \ i \ n \ p$.

$\llbracket w \ l \ s \ I \ n \ p \ \delta \ i \ n \ p; w \ l \ s \ B \ i \ n \ p \ \delta \ i \ n \ p; g \ W \ l \ s \ I \ n \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p; g \ W \ l \ s \ B \ i \ n \ p \ M \ O \ D \ \delta \ i \ n \ p;$

$l \ i \ f \ t \ A \ l \ l \ 2 \ \phi \ (a \ r \ o \ f \ \delta) \ i \ n \ p; l \ i \ f \ t \ A \ l \ l \ 2 \ \phi \ A \ b \ s \ (b \ a \ r \ o \ f \ \delta) \ b \ i \ n \ p \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{phi} (\text{stOf } \delta) (\text{gOp } \text{MOD } \delta \text{ inp}' \text{ inp } \text{binp}' \text{ binp}) \text{ and}$
Abs:
 $\wedge \text{xs } s \ x \ X' \ X.$
 $\llbracket \text{isInBar } (\text{xs}, s); \text{wls } s \ X'; \text{gWls } \text{MOD } s \ X; \text{phi } s \ X \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{phiAbs } (\text{xs}, s) (\text{gAbs } \text{MOD } \text{xs } x \ X' \ X)$
shows
 $(\text{gWls } \text{MOD } s \ X \longrightarrow \text{phi } s \ X) \wedge$
 $(\text{gWlsAbs } \text{MOD } (\text{us}, s') \ A \longrightarrow \text{phiAbs } (\text{us}, s') \ A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.8.2 Relevant predicates on maps from the term model

Reflection of freshness

```

definition reflFresh where
reflFresh h MOD ≡ ∀ ys y s X.
  wls s X →
  gFresh MOD ys y X (h X) → fresh ys y X

definition reflFreshAbs where
reflFreshAbs hA MOD ≡ ∀ ys y us s A.
  wlsAbs (us, s) A →
  gFreshAbs MOD ys y A (hA A) → freshAbs ys y A

definition reflFreshAll where
reflFreshAll h hA MOD ≡ reflFresh h MOD ∧ reflFreshAbs hA MOD

```

```

lemmas reflFreshAll-defs = reflFreshAll-def
reflFresh-def reflFreshAbs-def

```

Injectiveness

```

definition isInj where
isInj h ≡ ∀ s X Y.
  wls s X ∧ wls s Y →
  h X = h Y → X = Y

definition isInjAbs where
isInjAbs hA ≡ ∀ us s A B.
  wlsAbs (us, s) A ∧ wlsAbs (us, s) B →
  hA A = hA B → A = B

```

```

definition isInjAll where
isInjAll h hA ≡ isInj h ∧ isInjAbs hA

```

```

lemmas isInjAll-defs = isInjAll-def
isInj-def isInjAbs-def

```

Surjectiveness

```

definition isSurj where

```

```


$$\text{isSurj } h \text{ MOD} \equiv \forall s X.$$


$$gWls \text{ MOD } s X \longrightarrow$$


$$(\exists X'. wls s X' \wedge h X' = X)$$


definition isSurjAbs where
  isSurjAbs hA MOD  $\equiv \forall us s A.$ 
    gWlsAbs MOD (us,s) A  $\longrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists A'. wlsAbs (us,s) A' \wedge hA A' = A)$ 

definition isSurjAll where
  isSurjAll h hA MOD  $\equiv$  isSurj h MOD  $\wedge$  isSurjAbs hA MOD

lemmas isSurjAll-defs = isSurjAll-def
isSurj-def isSurjAbs-def

```

10.8.3 Criterion for the reflection of freshness

First an auxiliary fact, independent of the type of model:

```

lemma gFreshClsRev-recAll-reflFreshAll:
assumes pWls: presWlsAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD) MOD
and pCons: presCons (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD) MOD
and pFresh: presFreshAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD) MOD
and **: gFreshClsRev MOD
shows reflFreshAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD) MOD
⟨proof⟩

```

For fresh-swap models

```

theorem wlsFSw-recAll-reflFreshAll:
wlsFSw MOD  $\implies$  gFreshClsRev MOD  $\implies$  reflFreshAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD)
MOD
⟨proof⟩

```

For fresh-subst models

```

theorem wlsFSb-recAll-reflFreshAll:
wlsFSb MOD  $\implies$  gFreshClsRev MOD  $\implies$  reflFreshAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD)
MOD
⟨proof⟩

```

10.8.4 Criterion for the injectiveness of the recursive map

For fresh-swap models

```

theorem wlsFSw-recAll-isInjAll:
assumes *: wlsFSw MOD gAbsRenS MOD and **: gConsInj MOD
shows isInjAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD)
⟨proof⟩

```

For fresh-subst models

```

theorem wlsFSb-recAll-isInjAll:

```

```

assumes *: wlsFSb MOD and **: gConsInj MOD
shows isInjAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD)
{proof}

```

10.8.5 Criterion for the surjectiveness of the recursive map

First an auxiliary fact, independent of the type of model:

```

lemma gInduct-gConsIndif-recAll-isSurjAll:
assumes pWls: presWlsAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD) MOD
and pCons: presCons (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD) MOD
and gConsIndif MOD and *: gInduct MOD
shows isSurjAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD) MOD
{proof}

```

For fresh-swap models

```

theorem wlsFSw-recAll-isSurjAll:
wlsFSw MOD  $\implies$  gConsIndif MOD  $\implies$  gInduct MOD
 $\implies$  isSurjAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD) MOD
{proof}

```

For fresh-subst models

```

theorem wlsFSb-recAll-isSurjAll:
wlsFSb MOD  $\implies$  gConsIndif MOD  $\implies$  gInduct MOD
 $\implies$  isSurjAll (rec MOD) (recAbs MOD) MOD
{proof}

```

```

lemmas recursion-simps =
fromMOD-simps ipresCons-fromMOD-fst-all-simps fromIMor-simps

```

```

declare recursion-simps [simp del]

```

```

end

```

```

end

```