

Complete Elliptic Integrals and the Arithmetic–Geometric Mean

Manuel Eberl

July 8, 2026

Abstract

This entry builds up two theories and connects them. The first consists of the *complete elliptic integrals* of the first and second kind

$$K(x) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (1 - x \sin(t)^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \quad E(x) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (1 - x \sin(t)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt$$

for real or complex x .

The second one is the *arithmetic-geometric mean* function $\operatorname{agm}(x, y)$, which is defined as the limit of the sequence obtained by replacing the pair (x, y) with the pair consisting of the arithmetic and geometric means of x and y , i.e. $(x, y) \mapsto (\frac{1}{2}(x + y), \sqrt{xy})$.

The two theories are then connected by proving (among other things) that:

$$\operatorname{agm}(a, b) = \frac{\pi a}{2K((a^2 - b^2)/a^2)}$$

Various other important properties are shown, e.g.:

- Continuity, derivatives, antiderivatives of K and E as well as their relation to the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$
- Legendre's identity

$$K(x)E(1-x) + E(x)K(1-x) - K(x)K(1-x) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

- The convergence of the AGM iterations, including uniform convergence and an estimate of the speed of convergence
- Upward and downward identities for K and E , e.g.

$$K(x^2) = \frac{K\left(\frac{4x}{(1+x)^2}\right)}{1+x}$$

- The relationship of the AGM to the Jacobi theta functions
- The Brent–Salamin algorithm to approximate π via AGM iterations (abstractly, without rounding error analysis)

Contents

1	Auxiliary material	3
1.1	Uniform limits and uniform continuity	3
1.2	Integrals	4
1.3	Real analysis	5
1.4	Complex numbers in general	6
1.5	Analyticity, holomorphicity, continuity, limit of some complex functions	7
1.6	Products over lists	8
1.7	Formal power series and Laurent series	10
1.8	Normalisation of angles	11
1.9	Convexity of circular sectors in the complex plane	12
1.10	Complex cones	12
2	The arithmetic mean of two numbers	14
3	The geometric mean of two numbers	16
4	The arithmetic-geometric mean	23
4.1	Definition and basic properties	23
4.2	Convergence of the real AGM	25
4.3	Eventual quadratic convergence	28
4.4	The AGM iteration in the complex plane	30
4.5	Convergence of the complex AGM	33
5	Complete Elliptic Integrals	36
5.1	Complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind	37
5.1.1	Generic theorems about both functions	37
5.1.2	Complete elliptic integral of the third kind	44
5.1.3	Derivatives and antiderivatives	44
5.2	Legendre's relation	46
5.3	Relation to complete elliptic integrals	46
5.3.1	Complementary moduli	47
5.3.2	The AGM integrals	48
5.3.3	Upward and downward identities for complete elliptic integrals	51
5.3.4	Relating E to K and the AGM	52
6	Application: Computing π via the AGM	54
7	Relating the complete elliptic integral to the Jacobi theta functions	55

1 Auxiliary material

```
theory AGM_Lemma_Bucket
  imports "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis"
begin

lemma asymp_equiv_nhds_iff: "f ~[nhds x] g  $\longleftrightarrow$  f ~[at x] g  $\wedge$  f x =
g x"
  <proof>

lemma real_nonpos_Reals_eq [simp]: " $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} = \{x :: \text{real}. x \leq 0\}$ "
  <proof>

lemma nonpos_Reals_one_over_iff: " $1 / (x :: 'a :: \text{real\_div\_algebra}) \in
\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \longleftrightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  <proof>

lemma gbinomial_of_real:
  "(of_real x gchoose k :: 'a :: {real_div_algebra, field_char_0}) = of_real
(x gchoose k)"
  <proof>

lemma conv_radius_of_real [simp]:
  "conv_radius ( $\lambda n. \text{of\_real } (f n)$ ) :: 'a :: {banach, real_normed_algebra_1}
= conv_radius f"
  <proof>

1.1 Uniform limits and uniform continuity

lemma uniformly_continuous_on_fst [continuous_intros]:
  "uniformly_continuous_on (A :: (real  $\times$  real) set) fst"
  <proof>

lemma uniformly_continuous_on_snd [continuous_intros]:
  "uniformly_continuous_on (A :: (real  $\times$  real) set) snd"
  <proof>

lemma uniform_limit_compose':
  assumes "uniform_limit A f g F" and "h ' B  $\subseteq$  A"
  shows "uniform_limit B ( $\lambda n x. f n (h x)$ ) ( $\lambda x. g (h x)$ ) F"
  <proof>
```

1.2 Integrals

```
lemma has_absolute_integral_reflect_real:
  fixes f :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: euclidean_space"
  assumes "uminus ' A  $\subseteq$  B" "uminus ' B  $\subseteq$  A" "A  $\in$  sets lebesgue"
  shows " $(\lambda x. f (-x))$  absolutely_integrable_on A  $\wedge$  integral A  $(\lambda x. f$ 
 $(-x)) = b \iff$ 
      f absolutely_integrable_on B  $\wedge$  integral B f = b"
<proof>
```

```
lemma has_integral_spike_set_eq':
  fixes f :: "'n::euclidean_space  $\Rightarrow$  'a::banach"
  assumes "negligible U"
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in S - T - U \implies f x = 0$ "
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in T - S - U \implies f x = 0$ "
  shows "(f has_integral y) S  $\iff$  (f has_integral y) T"
<proof>
```

```
lemma integral_spike_set':
  fixes f :: "'n::euclidean_space  $\Rightarrow$  'a::banach"
  assumes "negligible U"
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in S - T - U \implies f x = 0$ "
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in T - S - U \implies f x = 0$ "
  shows "integral S f = integral T f"
<proof>
```

```
lemma integrable_spike_set_eq':
  fixes f :: "'n::euclidean_space  $\Rightarrow$  'a::banach"
  assumes "negligible U"
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in S - T - U \implies f x = 0$ "
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in T - S - U \implies f x = 0$ "
  shows "f integrable_on S  $\iff$  f integrable_on T"
<proof>
```

```
lemma absolutely_integrable_spike_set_eq':
  fixes f :: "'a::euclidean_space  $\Rightarrow$  'b::euclidean_space"
  assumes "negligible U"
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in S - T - U \implies f x = 0$ "
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in T - S - U \implies f x = 0$ "
  shows "f absolutely_integrable_on S  $\iff$  f absolutely_integrable_on
T"
<proof>
```

```
lemma has_integral_of_real:
  assumes "(f has_integral I) A"
```

shows $"((\lambda x. \text{of_real } (f \ x)) \text{ has_integral } (\text{of_real } I)) \ A"$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3 Real analysis

lemma filterlim_abs_real_at_bot:
 $"\text{filterlim } (\text{abs} :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \ \text{at_top} \ \text{at_bot}"$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas filterlim_abs_real' [tendsto_intros] =
 $\text{filterlim_abs_real} \ \text{[THEN filterlim_compose]}$

lemmas filterlim_abs_real_at_bot' [tendsto_intros] =
 $\text{filterlim_abs_real_at_bot} \ \text{[THEN filterlim_compose]}$

lemma filterlim_abs_real_at_infinity:
 assumes $"\text{filterlim } f \ \text{at_infinity } F"$
 shows $"\text{filterlim } (\lambda x. \text{abs } (f \ x :: \text{real})) \ \text{at_top } F"$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma has_field_derivative_abs:
 assumes $"(x :: \text{real}) \neq 0"$
 shows $"(\text{abs} \ \text{has_field_derivative } \text{sgn } x) \ (\text{at } x \ \text{within } A)"$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas has_field_derivative_abs' [derivative_intros] =
 $\text{has_field_derivative_abs} \ \text{[THEN DERIV_chain2]}$

lemma abs_has_real_derivative_1:
 assumes $"x > 0 \vee A \subseteq \{0..\}"$
 shows $"(\text{abs} \ \text{has_real_derivative } 1) \ (\text{at } x \ \text{within } A)"$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma abs_has_real_derivative_neg1:
 assumes $"x < 0 \vee A \subseteq \{..0\}"$
 shows $"(\text{abs} \ \text{has_real_derivative } (-1)) \ (\text{at } x \ \text{within } A)"$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma tendsto_MInfty_eq_at_top:
 $"((\lambda z. \text{ereal } (f \ z)) \longrightarrow -\infty) \ F \longleftrightarrow \text{filterlim } f \ \text{at_bot } F"$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma tendsto_ereal_asymp_equiv_transfer:
  fixes f g :: "'a  $\Rightarrow$  real"
  assumes "f  $\sim$ [F] g"
  shows "(( $\lambda$ x. ereal (f x))  $\longrightarrow$  c) F  $\longleftrightarrow$  (( $\lambda$ x. ereal (g x))  $\longrightarrow$ 
c) F"
<proof>

```

If $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are increasing and decreasing sequences, respectively, such that the difference $g(n) - f(n)$ is non-negative and vanishes for $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ tend to a common limit from below and above, respectively.

```

lemma incseq_decseq_tendsto_sandwich:
  fixes f g :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  real"
  assumes "incseq f" "decseq g" " $\bigwedge$ n. f n  $\leq$  g n"
  assumes "( $\lambda$ n. g n - f n)  $\longrightarrow$  0"
  obtains L where "f  $\longrightarrow$  L" "g  $\longrightarrow$  L" " $\bigwedge$ n. f n  $\leq$  L" " $\bigwedge$ n. g n
 $\geq$  L"
<proof>

```

Suppose we have two sequences of numbers $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ and let I_n denote the interval $[\min(f(n), g(n)), \max(f(n), g(n))]$.

Assume that $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$ for all n and that $|g(n) - f(n)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then f and g tend to a common limit, and that limit is contained in each of the intervals I_n .

```

lemma nested_intervals_tendsto_sandwich:
  fixes f g :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  real"
  assumes f: " $\bigwedge$ n. f (Suc n)  $\in$  closed_segment (f n) (g n)"
  assumes g: " $\bigwedge$ n. g (Suc n)  $\in$  closed_segment (f n) (g n)"
  assumes fg_vanishes: "( $\lambda$ n. g n - f n)  $\longrightarrow$  0"
  obtains L where "f  $\longrightarrow$  L" "g  $\longrightarrow$  L" " $\bigwedge$ n. L  $\in$  closed_segment
(f n) (g n)"
<proof>

```

1.4 Complex numbers in general

```

lemma linear_cmult_complex_left: "linear f  $\implies$  linear ( $\lambda$ x. c * f x ::
complex)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma linear_cmult_complex_right: "linear f  $\implies$  linear ( $\lambda$ x. f x * c
:: complex)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma cmod_rcis_add_squared:
  "norm (rcis r1 a1 + rcis r2 a2) ^ 2 = r1 ^ 2 + r2 ^ 2 + 2 * r1 * r2
* cos (a1 - a2)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma Im_one_over: "Im (1 / z) = -Im z / norm z ^ 2"
  <proof>

lemma Re_one_over: "Re (1 / z) = Re z / norm z ^ 2"
  <proof>

lemma Arg_times':
  assumes "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ 0"
  defines "x ≡ Arg w + Arg z"
  shows "Arg (w * z) = x + (if x ∈ {-pi<..pi} then 0 else if x > pi
then -2*pi else 2*pi)"
  <proof>

lemma Arg_divide':
  assumes [simp]: "z ≠ 0" "w ≠ 0"
  shows "Arg (z / w) = Arg z - Arg w +
        (if Arg z - Arg w > pi then -2 * pi else if Arg z - Arg w
≤ -pi then 2 * pi else 0)"
        (is "_ = ?rhs")
  <proof>

lemma Ln_not_in_nonpos_Reals:
  assumes "Im x ≠ 0 ∨ Re x > 1"
  shows "Ln x ∉ ℝ≤0"
  <proof>

lemma Ln_one_over: "z ∉ ℝ≤0 ⇒ Ln (1 / z) = -Ln z"
  <proof>

lemma csqrt_conv_rcis: "csqrt x = rcis (sqrt (norm x)) (Arg x / 2)"
  <proof>

lemma csqrt_rcis:
  assumes "r ≥ 0" "a ∈ {-pi<..pi}"
  shows "csqrt (rcis r a) = rcis (sqrt r) (a / 2)"
  <proof>

```

1.5 Analyticity, holomorphicity, continuity, limit of some complex functions

```

lemma continuous_on_csqrt [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous_on A f" "∧x. x ∈ A ⇒ f x ∉ ℝ≤0"
  shows "continuous_on A (λx. csqrt (f x))"
  <proof>

```

lemma continuous_csqrt [continuous_intros]:
 assumes "continuous (at x within A) f" "f x $\notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows "continuous (at x within A) ($\lambda x. \text{csqrt } (f x)$)"
 <proof>

lemma tendsto_csqrt [tendsto_intros]:
 assumes "(f \longrightarrow z) F" "z $\notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} - \{0\}$ "
 shows "(($\lambda x. \text{csqrt } (f x)$) \longrightarrow csqrt z) F"
 <proof>

lemma has_field_derivative_csqrt' [derivative_intros]:
 assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at z within A)" "f z $\notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows "(($\lambda x. \text{csqrt } (f x)$) has_field_derivative (f' / (2 * csqrt (f z)))) (at z within A)"
 <proof>

lemma analytic_on_Ln [analytic_intros]:
 assumes "S $\cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} = \{\}$ "
 shows "Ln analytic_on S"
 <proof>

lemma analytic_on_Ln' [analytic_intros]:
 " $(\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies f z \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}) \implies f \text{ analytic_on } A \implies (\lambda z. \text{Ln } (f z)) \text{ analytic_on } A$ "
 <proof>

lemma continuous_Ln [continuous_intros]:
 assumes "continuous (at x within A) f" "f x $\notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows "continuous (at x within A) ($\lambda x. \text{Ln } (f x)$)"
 <proof>

lemma contour_integral_primitive':
 assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in s \implies (f \text{ has_field_derivative } f' x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$ "
 and "valid_path g" "path_image g $\subseteq s$ " "pathfinish g = b" "pathstart g = a"
 shows "(f' has_contour_integral (f b - f a)) g"
 <proof>

1.6 Products over lists

lemma prod_list_conv_prod_nth: "prod_list xs = ($\prod i < \text{length } xs. xs ! i$)"

<proof>

lemma *prod_list_conv_prod_nth'*: "prod_list (map f xs) = ($\prod_{i < \text{length } xs} f (xs ! i)$)"
<proof>

lemma *prod_list_const [simp]*: " $(\prod_{x \leftarrow xs} c) = c ^ \text{length } xs$ "
<proof>

lemma *prod_list_pos*:
" $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x > 0) \implies \text{prod_list } xs > (0 :: 'a :: \{\text{linordered_semiring_strict, linordered_semiring_1}\})$ "
<proof>

lemma *prod_list_nonneg'*:
" $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies f x \geq 0) \implies (\prod_{x \leftarrow xs} f x) \geq (0 :: 'a :: \text{linordered_semiring_1})$ "
<proof>

lemma *prod_list_pos'*:
" $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies f x > 0) \implies (\prod_{x \leftarrow xs} f x) > (0 :: 'a :: \{\text{linordered_semiring_strict, linordered_semiring_1}\})$ "
<proof>

lemma *prod_list_mono*:
fixes *xs ys* :: "'a :: linordered_semiring_1 list"
assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \geq 0$ " "list_all2 (\leq) xs ys"
shows "prod_list xs \leq prod_list ys"
<proof>

lemma *prod_list_mono'*:
fixes *f g* :: "'a \Rightarrow 'b :: linordered_semiring_1"
assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies f x \geq 0$ " " $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies f x \leq g x$ "
shows " $(\prod_{x \leftarrow xs} f x) \leq (\prod_{x \leftarrow xs} g x)$ "
<proof>

lemma *continuous_on_prod_list [continuous_intros]*:
fixes *f* :: "'a \Rightarrow 'b :: topological_space \Rightarrow 'c :: real_normed_algebra_1"
assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{continuous_on } X (f x)$ "
shows "continuous_on X ($\lambda y. \prod_{x \leftarrow xs} f x y$)"
<proof>

```

lemma holomorphic_on_prod_list [holomorphic_intros]:
  assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies f \ x \text{ holomorphic\_on } X$ "
  shows " $(\lambda y. \prod_{x \leftarrow xs}. f \ x \ y) \text{ holomorphic\_on } X$ "
  <proof>

```

```

lemma asymp_equiv_prod_list:
  assumes "list_all2  $(\lambda y \ z. f \ y \ \sim_{[F]} \ g \ z) \ ys \ zs$ "
  shows " $(\lambda x. (\prod_{y \leftarrow ys}. f \ y \ x)) \ \sim_{[F]} \ (\lambda x. (\prod_{z \leftarrow zs}. g \ z \ x))$ "
  <proof>

```

```

lemma asymp_equiv_prod_list' [asymp_equiv_intros]:
  assumes " $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } ys \implies f \ y \ \sim_{[F]} \ g \ y$ "
  shows " $(\lambda x. (\prod_{y \leftarrow ys}. f \ y \ x)) \ \sim_{[F]} \ (\lambda x. (\prod_{y \leftarrow ys}. g \ y \ x))$ "
  <proof>

```

```

lemma norm_prod_list:
  fixes xs :: "'a :: real_normed_div_algebra list"
  shows "norm (prod_list xs) = prod_list (map norm xs)"
  <proof>

```

1.7 Formal power series and Laurent series

```

lemma fls_deriv_divide:
  fixes f g :: "'a :: field fls"
  shows " $\text{fls\_deriv } (f / g) = (g * \text{fls\_deriv } f - f * \text{fls\_deriv } g) / g \ ^2$ "
  <proof>

```

```

lemma fls_deriv_divide_const:
  fixes f g :: "'a :: field fls"
  assumes "fls_deriv g = 0"
  shows " $\text{fls\_deriv } (f / g) = \text{fls\_deriv } f / g$ "
  <proof>

```

```

lemma fls_X_neq_1 [simp]: " $\text{fls\_X} \neq (1 :: 'a :: \text{zero\_neq\_one } fls)$ "
  <proof>

```

```

lemma has_fps_expansion_imp_asymp_equiv_0:
  assumes "f has_fps_expansion F"
  shows " $f \ \sim_{[\text{at } 0]} \ (\lambda x. \text{fps\_nth } F \ (\text{subdegree } F) * x \ ^{\text{subdegree } F})$ "
  <proof>

```

```

lemma has_fps_expansion_imp_tendsto_0:
  fixes f :: "'a ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes "f has_fps_expansion F"
  shows "(f ⟶ fps_nth F 0) (nhds 0)"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma has_laurent_expansion_0_analytic_continuation':
  assumes "f has_laurent_expansion 0" "f holomorphic_on A"
  assumes "open A" "connected A" "0 ∈ A" "x ∈ A"
  shows "f x = 0"
⟨proof⟩

```

1.8 Normalisation of angles

The following operation normalises an angle φ , i.e. returns the unique ψ in the range $(-\pi, \pi]$ such that $\varphi \equiv \psi \pmod{2\pi}$. This is the same convention used by the `Arg` function.

```

definition normalize_angle :: "real ⇒ real" where
  "normalize_angle x = x - [x / (2 * pi) - 1 / 2] * (2 * pi)"

```

```

lemma normalize_angle_id [simp]:
  assumes "x ∈ {-pi<..pi}"
  shows "normalize_angle x = x"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma normalize_angle_normalized: "normalize_angle x ∈ {-pi<..pi}"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cis_normalize_angle [simp]: "cis (normalize_angle x) = cis x"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rcis_normalize_angle [simp]: "rcis r (normalize_angle x) = rcis
r x"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma normalize_angle_lbound [intro]: "normalize_angle x > -pi"
and normalize_angle_ubound [intro]: "normalize_angle x ≤ pi"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma normalize_angle_idem [simp]: "normalize_angle (normalize_angle
x) = normalize_angle x"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Arg_rcis: "r > 0 ⟹ Arg (rcis r φ) = normalize_angle φ"
⟨proof⟩

```

1.9 Convexity of circular sectors in the complex plane

In this section we will show that if we have two non-zero points w and z in the complex plane whose arguments differ by less than π , then the argument of any point on the line connecting w and z lies between the arguments of w and z . Moreover, the norm of any such point is no greater than the norms of w and z .

Geometrically, this means that if we have a sector around the origin with a central angle less than π (minus the origin itself) then that region is convex.

lemma *Arg_closed_segment_aux1*:

```

  assumes "x ≠ 0" "y ≠ 0" "Re x > 0" "Re x = Re y"
  assumes "z ∈ closed_segment x y"
  shows   "Arg z ∈ closed_segment (Arg x) (Arg y)"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *Arg_closed_segment_aux1'*:

```

  fixes r1 r2 φ1 φ2 :: real
  defines "x ≡ rcis r1 φ1" and "y ≡ rcis r2 φ2"
  assumes "z ∈ closed_segment x y"
  assumes "r1 > 0" "r2 > 0" "Re x = Re y" "Re x ≥ 0" "Re x = 0 → Im
x * Im y > 0"
  assumes "dist φ1 φ2 < pi"
  obtains r φ where "r ∈ {0<..max r1 r2}" "φ ∈ closed_segment φ1 φ2"
  "z = rcis r φ"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *Arg_closed_segment'*:

```

  fixes r1 r2 φ1 φ2 :: real
  defines "x ≡ rcis r1 φ1" and "y ≡ rcis r2 φ2"
  assumes "r1 > 0" "r2 > 0" "dist φ1 φ2 < pi" "z ∈ closed_segment x
y"
  obtains r φ where "r ∈ {0<..max r1 r2}" "φ ∈ closed_segment φ1 φ2"
  "z = rcis r φ"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *Arg_closed_segment*:

```

  assumes "x ≠ 0" "y ≠ 0" "dist (Arg x) (Arg y) < pi" "z ∈ closed_segment
x y"
  shows   "Arg z ∈ closed_segment (Arg x) (Arg y)"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.10 Complex cones

We introduce the following notation to describe the set of all complex numbers of the form ce^{ix} where $c \geq 0$ and $x \in [a, b]$.

definition *complex_cone* :: "real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow complex set" where

```

  "complex_cone a b = ( $\lambda(r,a). \text{rcis } r \ a$ ) ' ( $\{0..\}$ )  $\times$  closed_segment a

```

b)"

lemma `in_complex_cone_iff`: " $z \in \text{complex_cone } a \ b \iff (\exists x \in \text{closed_segment } a \ b. z = r \text{cis } (\text{norm } z) \ x)$ "
<proof>

lemma `zero_in_complex_cone` [*simp, intro*]: " $0 \in \text{complex_cone } a \ b$ "
<proof>

lemma `in_complex_cone_iff_Arg`:
 assumes " $a \in \{-\pi < . \pi\}$ " " $b \in \{-\pi < . \pi\}$ "
 shows " $z \in \text{complex_cone } a \ b \iff z = 0 \vee \text{Arg } z \in \text{closed_segment } a \ b$ "
<proof>

lemma `complex_cone_rotate`: " $\text{complex_cone } (c + a) \ (c + b) = (*) \ (\text{cis } c) \ \text{complex_cone } a \ b$ "
<proof>

lemma `complex_cone_subset`:
 " $a \in \text{closed_segment } a' \ b' \implies b \in \text{closed_segment } a' \ b' \implies \text{complex_cone } a \ b \subseteq \text{complex_cone } a' \ b'$ "
<proof>

lemma `complex_cone_commute`: " $\text{complex_cone } a \ b = \text{complex_cone } b \ a$ "
<proof>

lemma `complex_cone_eq_UNIV`:
 assumes " $\text{dist } a \ b \geq 2 * \pi$ "
 shows " $\text{complex_cone } a \ b = \text{UNIV}$ "
<proof>

lemma `continuous_on_Arg`: " $\text{continuous_on } (-\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}) \ \text{Arg}$ "
<proof>

lemma `continuous_on_Arg'` [*continuous_intros*]:
 assumes " $\text{continuous_on } A \ f$ " " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies f \ z \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 shows " $\text{continuous_on } A \ (\lambda x. \text{Arg } (f \ x))$ "
<proof>

A surprisingly tough argument: cones in the complex plane are closed.

lemma `closed_complex_cone` [*continuous_intros, intro*]: " $\text{closed } (\text{complex_cone } a \ b)$ "
<proof>

end

2 The arithmetic mean of two numbers

```
theory Binary_Arithmetic_Mean
  imports "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis"
begin

definition amean :: "'a :: real_vector  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a"
  where "amean x y = (1/2) *R (x + y)"

lemma amean_commute: "amean x y = amean y x"
  <proof>

lemma amean_self [simp]: "amean x x = x"
  <proof>

lemma amean_of_real [simp]:
  "amean (of_real x) (of_real y :: 'a :: real_field) = of_real (amean
x y)"
  <proof>

lemma amean_nonneg: "(x::real)  $\geq$  0  $\implies$  y  $\geq$  0  $\implies$  amean x y  $\geq$  0"
  <proof>

lemma amean_pos: "(x::real) > 0  $\implies$  y > 0  $\implies$  amean x y > 0"
  <proof>

lemma amean_scaleR: "amean (a *R x) (a *R y) = a *R amean x y"
  <proof>

lemma amean_mult_left: "amean (a * x) (a * y :: 'a :: real_algebra) =
a * amean x y"
  <proof>

lemma amean_mult_right: "amean (x * a) (y * a :: 'a :: real_algebra)
= amean x y * a"
  <proof>

lemma amean_minus: "amean (-x) (-y) = -amean x y"
  <proof>

lemma amean_real_mono: "(x :: real)  $\leq$  x'  $\implies$  y  $\leq$  y'  $\implies$  amean x y  $\leq$ 
amean x' y'"
  <proof>

lemma amean_eq_midpoint: "amean x y = midpoint x y"
  <proof>

lemma amean_in_closed_segment: "amean x y  $\in$  closed_segment x y"
  <proof>
```

```

lemma amean_real_between: "amean x (y :: real) ∈ {min x y..max x y}"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_amean_le: "norm (amean x y) ≤ max (norm x) (norm y)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma amean_le_real: "amean x y ≤ max x (y :: real)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma amean_ge_real: "amean x y ≥ min x (y :: real)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Arg_amean:
  assumes "x ≠ 0" "y ≠ 0" "dist (Arg x) (Arg y) < pi"
  shows "Arg (amean x y) ∈ closed_segment (Arg x) (Arg y)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_derivative_amean [derivative_intros]:
  assumes "(f has_derivative f') (at x within A)"
  assumes "(g has_derivative g') (at x within A)"
  shows "((λx. amean (f x) (g x)) has_derivative (λx. amean (f' x)
(g' x))) (at x within A)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_vector_derivative_amean [derivative_intros]:
  assumes "(f has_vector_derivative f') (at x within A)"
  assumes "(g has_vector_derivative g') (at x within A)"
  shows "((λx. amean (f x) (g x)) has_vector_derivative (amean f' g'))
(at x within A)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_field_derivative_amean [derivative_intros]:
  assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at x within A)"
  assumes "(g has_field_derivative g') (at x within A)"
  shows "((λx. amean (f x) (g x)) has_field_derivative (amean f' g'))
(at x within A)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_on_amean [continuous_intros]:
  fixes f g :: "'a :: topological_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_vector"
  assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
  shows "continuous_on A (λz. amean (f z) (g z))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_amean [continuous_intros]:
  fixes f g :: "'a :: t2_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_vector"
  assumes "continuous (at x within A) f" "continuous (at x within A)
g"

```

```

shows "continuous (at x within A) (λz. amean (f z) (g z))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_amean [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes f g :: "'a :: t2_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_vector"
  assumes "(f ⟶ lf) F" "(g ⟶ lg) F"
  shows "((λz. amean (f z) (g z)) ⟶ amean lf lg) F"
⟨proof⟩

lemma holomorphic_on_amean [holomorphic_intros]:
  assumes "f holomorphic_on A" "g holomorphic_on A"
  shows "(λz. amean (f z) (g z)) holomorphic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma analytic_on_amean [analytic_intros]:
  assumes "f analytic_on A" "g analytic_on A"
  shows "(λz. amean (f z) (g z)) analytic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

end



### 3 The geometric mean of two numbers



theory Binary_Geometric_Mean
  imports "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis" Binary_Arithmetic_Mean
  AGM_Lemma_Bucket
begin

We say that two numbers are opposite in the complex plane if they are
non-zero and their quotient is a negative real.

Another simple geometric characterisation is that the origin lies on the open
line segment connecting the two points.

definition opposite_complex :: "complex ⇒ complex ⇒ bool" where
  "opposite_complex w z ⟷ w ≠ 0 ∧ z ≠ 0 ∧ sgn w = -sgn z"

lemma opposite_complex_sym: "opposite_complex w z ⟷ opposite_complex
z w"
⟨proof⟩

lemma not_opposite_complex_0_left [simp]: "¬opposite_complex 0 z"
and not_opposite_complex_0_right [simp]: "¬opposite_complex w 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma opposite_complex_minus_self_iff: "opposite_complex w (-w) ⟷
w ≠ 0"
and opposite_complex_minus_self_iff': "opposite_complex (-w) w ⟷
w ≠ 0"
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma opposite_complex_altdef1:
 "opposite_complex w z \longleftrightarrow w \neq 0 \wedge z \neq 0 \wedge w / z $\in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 <proof>

lemma opposite_complex_altdef1':
 "opposite_complex w z \longleftrightarrow w \neq 0 \wedge z \neq 0 \wedge ($\exists c > 0$. w = -of_real c * z)"
 <proof>

lemma opposite_complex_real_right_iff:
 assumes "w $\in \mathbb{R}$ "
 shows "opposite_complex z w \longleftrightarrow z \neq 0 \wedge Im z = 0 \wedge sgn (Re z) = - sgn (Re w)"
 <proof>

lemma opposite_complex_real_left_iff:
 assumes "w $\in \mathbb{R}$ "
 shows "opposite_complex w z \longleftrightarrow z \neq 0 \wedge Im z = 0 \wedge sgn (Re z) = - sgn (Re w)"
 <proof>

lemma opposite_complex_1_right_iff: "opposite_complex w 1 \longleftrightarrow w \neq 0 \wedge w $\in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 <proof>

lemma opposite_complex_1_left_iff: "opposite_complex 1 w \longleftrightarrow w \neq 0 \wedge w $\in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
 <proof>

lemma opposite_complex_altdef2:
 "opposite_complex w z \longleftrightarrow w \neq 0 \wedge z \neq 0 \wedge dist (Arg w) (Arg z) = pi"
 <proof>

lemma opposite_complex_altdef3: "opposite_complex a b \longleftrightarrow 0 \in open_segment a b"
 <proof>

lemma opposite_complex_mult_left: "opposite_complex a b \implies c \neq 0 \implies opposite_complex (c * a) (c * b)"
 <proof>

lemma opposite_complex_mult_left_iff:
 "c \neq 0 \implies opposite_complex (c * a) (c * b) \longleftrightarrow opposite_complex a b"
 <proof>

lemma opposite_complex_mult_right_iff:

```

"  $c \neq 0 \implies \text{opposite\_complex } (a * c) (b * c) \longleftrightarrow \text{opposite\_complex } a$ 
 $b$ "
<proof>

```

We introduce the geometric mean via a locale in order to make things uniform for both the reals and the complex numbers.

```

class gmean = real_normed_field +
  fixes gmean :: "'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a"
  assumes gmean_commute: "gmean x y = gmean y x"
  and     gmean_0_left [simp]: "gmean 0 y = 0"
  and     norm_gmean_aux: "norm (gmean x y) = sqrt (norm x * norm y)"
begin

```

```

lemma gmean_0_right [simp]: "gmean x 0 = 0"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma gmean_0_iff: "gmean x y = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = 0  $\vee$  y = 0"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma norm_gmean_ge: "norm (gmean x y)  $\geq$  min (norm x) (norm y)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma norm_gmean_le: "norm (gmean x y)  $\leq$  max (norm x) (norm y)"
  <proof>

```

```

end

```

```

instantiation real :: gmean
begin

```

```

definition gmean_real :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real"
  where "gmean x y = abs (sqrt (x * y))"

```

```

instance
  <proof>

```

```

end

```

```

lemma (in gmean) norm_gmean: "norm (gmean x y) = gmean (norm x) (norm
y)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma gmean_real_pos: "(x :: real) > 0  $\implies$  y > 0  $\implies$  gmean x y > 0"
  <proof>

```

```

instantiation complex :: gmean
begin

```

```

definition gmean_complex :: "complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex"
  where "gmean x y = (if dist (Arg x) (Arg y)  $\leq$  pi then csqrt x * csqrt
y else -csqrt x * csqrt y)"

instance <proof>

end

lemma gmean_complex_square: "gmean x y  $\wedge$  2 = x * (y :: complex)"
  <proof>

lemma gmean_real_self [simp]: "x  $\geq$  0  $\implies$  gmean x x = abs (x :: real)"
  <proof>

lemma gmean_real_nonneg: "gmean x y  $\geq$  (0 :: real)"
  <proof>

lemma gmean_le_amean_real:
  assumes "x  $\geq$  0" "y  $\geq$  (0 :: real)"
  shows "gmean x y  $\leq$  amean x y"
  <proof>

lemma gmean_real_between:
  assumes "x  $\geq$  0" "y  $\geq$  0"
  shows "gmean x (y :: real)  $\in$  {min x y..max x y}"
  <proof>

lemma gmean_real_strictly_between:
  assumes "0 < x" "x < (y::real)"
  shows "gmean x y  $\in$  {x<..\geq 0" "b  $\geq$  0" "x  $\geq$  0" "y  $\geq$  0"
  shows "gmean (a * x :: real) (b * y) = gmean a b * gmean x y"
  <proof>

lemma gmean_real_mono:
  "(x :: real)  $\leq$  x'  $\implies$  y  $\leq$  y'  $\implies$  0  $\leq$  x  $\implies$  0  $\leq$  y  $\implies$  gmean x y  $\leq$ 
gmean x' y'"
  <proof>

lemma gmean_in_closed_segment_real:
  "(x::real) > 0  $\implies$  y > 0  $\implies$  gmean x y  $\in$  closed_segment x y"
  <proof>

lemma gmean_in_open_segment_real:
  "(x::real) > 0  $\implies$  y > 0  $\implies$  x  $\neq$  y  $\implies$  gmean x y  $\in$  open_segment x

```

y"
⟨proof⟩

lemma Arg_csqrt_mult_csqrt:
 assumes "x ≠ 0" "y ≠ 0"
 shows "Arg (csqrt x * csqrt y) = amean (Arg x) (Arg y)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma Arg_gmean:
 assumes "x ≠ 0" "y ≠ 0"
 shows "Arg (gmean x y) = amean (Arg x) (Arg y) +
 (if dist (Arg x) (Arg y) ≤ pi then 0 else if Arg x + Arg
y > 0 then -pi else pi)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma gmean_complex_of_real [simp]:
 assumes "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ 0"
 shows "gmean (complex_of_real x) (complex_of_real y) = of_real (gmean
x y)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma dist_Arg_amean_gmean_le:
 assumes "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ 0" "dist (Arg w) (Arg z) < pi"
 shows "dist (Arg (amean w z)) (Arg (gmean w z)) ≤ dist (Arg w) (Arg
z) / 2"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_amean_over_gmean:
 fixes w z :: complex
 assumes "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ 0"
 shows "norm (amean w z / gmean w z) =
 sqrt (norm (w / z) + 2 * cos (Arg w - Arg z) + norm (z /
w)) / 2"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_amean_over_gmean_ge:
 assumes "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ 0"
 shows "norm (amean w z / gmean w z) ≥ |cos ((Arg w - Arg z) / 2)|"
⟨proof⟩

lemma dist_le_norm_add_complex_strong:
 assumes "r1 ≥ 0" "r2 ≥ 0" "||x2 - x1| ≤ pi / 2"
 defines "w ≡ rcis r1 x1" and "z ≡ rcis r2 x2"
 shows "dist w z ≤ norm (w + z)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma dist_le_norm_add_complex:
 assumes "dist (Arg w) (Arg z) ≤ pi / 2"
 shows "dist w z ≤ norm (w + z)"

```

    <proof>

lemma csqrt_minus': "csqrt (-z) = (if Arg z ≤ 0 then i else -i) * csqrt
z"
<proof>

lemma gmean_opposite:
  fixes w z :: complex
  assumes c: "c > 0" and w: "w = -of_real c * z"
  shows "gmean w z = (if Arg z ≤ 0 then i else -i) * (of_real (sqrt c)
* z)"
<proof>

lemma gmean_mult_left_complex:
  fixes u w z :: complex
  assumes "-opposite_complex w z"
  shows "gmean (u * w) (u * z) = u * gmean w z"
<proof>

lemma gmean_minus_complex:
  assumes "-opposite_complex w z"
  shows "gmean (-w) (-z) = -gmean w z"
<proof>

lemma gmean_complex_1_left [simp]: "gmean 1 z = csqrt z"
and gmean_complex_1_right [simp]: "gmean z 1 = csqrt z"
<proof>

lemma gmean_same_real [simp]: "x ≥ 0 ⇒ gmean x x = (x::real)"
<proof>

lemma gmean_same_complex [simp]: "gmean z z = (z::complex)"
<proof>

lemma dist_amean_gmean_le_complex_aux:
  assumes "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ 0" "dist (Arg w) (Arg z) < pi"
  shows "dist (amean w z) (gmean w z) ≤ dist w z / 2"
<proof>

lemma dist_amean_gmean_le_complex:
  fixes w z :: complex
  shows "dist (amean w z) (gmean w z) ≤ dist w z / 2"
<proof>

hide_fact dist_amean_gmean_le_complex_aux

lemma holomorphic_on_gmean [holomorphic_intros]:

```

```

    assumes "f holomorphic_on A" "g holomorphic_on A"
    assumes " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies f z / g z \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
    shows " $(\lambda z. \text{gmean } (f z) (g z)) \text{ holomorphic\_on } A$ "
  <proof>

lemma analytic_on_gmean [analytic_intros]:
  assumes "f analytic_on A" "g analytic_on A"
  assumes " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies f z / g z \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows " $(\lambda z. \text{gmean } (f z) (g z)) \text{ analytic\_on } A$ "
  <proof>

lemma has_field_derivative_gmean_real [derivative_intros]:
  assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at x within A)"
  assumes "(g has_field_derivative g') (at x within A)"
  assumes "f x > 0" "g x > 0"
  shows " $((\lambda x. \text{gmean } (f x :: \text{real}) (g x)) \text{ has\_field\_derivative } ((f' * g x + g' * f x) / (2 * \text{gmean } (f x) (g x))))$  (at x within A)"
  <proof>

lemma continuous_on_gmean_real [continuous_intros]:
  fixes f g :: "'a :: topological_space  $\Rightarrow$  real"
  assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
  shows "continuous_on A  $(\lambda z. \text{gmean } (f z) (g z))$ "
  <proof>

lemma continuous_on_gmean_complex [continuous_intros]:
  fixes f g :: "'a :: topological_space  $\Rightarrow$  complex"
  assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
  assumes " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies f z / g z \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows "continuous_on A  $(\lambda z. \text{gmean } (f z) (g z))$ "
  <proof>

lemma tendsto_gmean_real [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes f g :: "'a :: t2_space  $\Rightarrow$  real"
  assumes "(f  $\longrightarrow$  lf) F" "(g  $\longrightarrow$  lg) F"
  shows " $((\lambda z. \text{gmean } (f z) (g z)) \longrightarrow \text{gmean lf lg}) F$ "
  <proof>

lemma tendsto_gmean_complex [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes f g :: "'a :: t2_space  $\Rightarrow$  complex"
  assumes "(f  $\longrightarrow$  lf) F" "(g  $\longrightarrow$  lg) F"
  assumes " $lf / lg \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows " $((\lambda z. \text{gmean } (f z) (g z)) \longrightarrow \text{gmean lf lg}) F$ "
  <proof>

lemma continuous_gmean_real [continuous_intros]:

```

```

    fixes f g :: "'a :: t2_space ⇒ real"
    assumes "continuous (at x within A) f" "continuous (at x within A)
g"
    shows "continuous (at x within A) (λz. gmean (f z) (g z))"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_gmean_complex [continuous_intros]:
    fixes f g :: "'a :: t2_space ⇒ complex"
    assumes "continuous (at x within A) f" "continuous (at x within A)
g"
    assumes "f x / g x ∉ ℝ≤0"
    shows "continuous (at x within A) (λz. gmean (f z) (g z))"
    ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 The arithmetic-geometric mean

```

theory Arithmetic_Geometric_Mean
imports
    AGM_Lemma_Bucket
    Binary_Arithmetic_Mean
    Binary_Geometric_Mean
begin

```

4.1 Definition and basic properties

```

definition agm_seq :: "'a :: gmean ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a × 'a" where
    "agm_seq x y n = ((λ(a,g). (amean a g, gmean a g)) ^^ n) (x, y)"

lemma agm_seq_0 [simp]: "agm_seq x y 0 = (x, y)"
    and agm_seq_rec: "agm_seq x y (Suc n) = agm_seq (amean x y) (gmean x
y) n"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma agm_seq_rec': "agm_seq x y (Suc n) = (let (a, g) = agm_seq x y
n in (amean a g, gmean a g))"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma agm_seq_commute:
    assumes "n > 0"
    shows "agm_seq x y n = agm_seq y x n"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma agm_seq_same_real [simp]: "x ≥ 0 ⇒ agm_seq x x n = (x, x ::
real)"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma agm_seq_same_complex [simp]: "agm_seq x x n = (x, x :: complex)"

```

```

    <proof>

lemma agm_seq_real_ge:
  assumes "0 ≤ y" "y ≤ (x :: real)"
  shows "fst (agm_seq x y n) ≥ snd (agm_seq x y n)"
    <proof>

lemma agm_seq_real_ge':
  assumes "0 ≤ x" "0 ≤ (y :: real)" "n > 0"
  shows "fst (agm_seq x y n) ≥ snd (agm_seq x y n)"
    <proof>

lemma agm_seq_real_mono:
  assumes "0 ≤ y" "y ≤ (x :: real)" "m ≤ n"
  shows "fst (agm_seq x y m) ≥ fst (agm_seq x y n)" (is ?th1)
    and "snd (agm_seq x y m) ≤ snd (agm_seq x y n)" (is ?th2)
    <proof>

lemma agm_seq_real_nonneg:
  assumes "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ (0 :: real)"
  shows "fst (agm_seq y x n) ≥ 0" "snd (agm_seq y x n) ≥ 0"
    <proof>

lemma agm_seq_real_pos:
  assumes "x > 0" "y > (0 :: real)"
  shows "fst (agm_seq y x n) > 0" "snd (agm_seq y x n) > 0"
    <proof>

lemma agm_seq_0_right: "agm_seq x 0 n = (x / 2 ^ n, 0)"
    <proof>

lemma agm_seq_0_left: "n > 0 ⇒ agm_seq 0 x n = (x / 2 ^ n, 0)"
    <proof>

lemma agm_seq_fst_conv_snd_real:
  assumes "x > 0" "y > (0 :: real)"
  shows "fst (agm_seq x y n) = snd (agm_seq x y (Suc n)) ^ 2 / snd (agm_seq
x y n)"
    <proof>

lemma agm_seq_fst_times_snd_complex:
  fixes x y :: complex
  shows "fst (agm_seq x y n) * snd (agm_seq x y n) = snd (agm_seq x
y (Suc n)) ^ 2"
    <proof>

lemma agm_seq_mult_real:
  assumes "a ≥ 0" "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ (0 :: real)"

```

shows "agm_seq (a * x) (a * y) n = map_prod ((* a) ((* a) (agm_seq x y n))"
 <proof>

lemma fst_agm_seq_real_ge_min:
 "(x :: real) ≥ 0 ⇒ y ≥ 0 ⇒ fst (agm_seq x y n) ≥ min x y"
 <proof>

lemma snd_agm_seq_real_ge_min:
 "(x :: real) ≥ 0 ⇒ y ≥ 0 ⇒ snd (agm_seq x y n) ≥ min x y"
 <proof>

lemma snd_agm_seq_le_max:
 "(x :: real) ≥ 0 ⇒ y ≥ 0 ⇒ snd (agm_seq x y n) ≤ max x y"
 <proof>

lemma fst_agm_seq_le_max:
 "(x :: real) ≥ 0 ⇒ y ≥ 0 ⇒ fst (agm_seq x y n) ≤ max x y"
 <proof>

4.2 Convergence of the real AGM

The AGM *always* converges at least linearly:

lemma dist_agm_seq_le_real:
 assumes "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ (0 :: real)"
 defines "a ≡ fst ∘ agm_seq x y" and "b ≡ snd ∘ agm_seq x y"
 shows "dist (a n) (b n) ≤ dist x y / 2 ^ n"
 <proof>

definition agm :: "'a :: gmean ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a" where
 "agm x y = lim (fst ∘ agm_seq x y)"

lemma agm_commute: "agm x y = agm y x"
 <proof>

lemma agm_same_real [simp]: "x ≥ 0 ⇒ agm x x = (x :: real)"
 <proof>

lemma agm_same_complex [simp]: "agm z z = (z :: complex)"
 <proof>

lemma
 fixes x y :: real
 assumes "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ 0"
 shows tendsto_agm1_real: "(fst ∘ agm_seq x y) ⟶ agm x y" (is
 ?th1)
 and tendsto_agm2_real: "(snd ∘ agm_seq x y) ⟶ agm x y" (is

```

?th2)
  and agm_seq_le_agm_real: "n > 0  $\implies$  snd (agm_seq x y n)  $\leq$  agm x
y" (is "_  $\implies$  ?th3")
  and agm_seq_ge_agm_real: "n > 0  $\implies$  fst (agm_seq x y n)  $\geq$  agm x
y" (is "_  $\implies$  ?th4")
<proof>

lemma tendsto_agm_seq [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes "filterlim f at_top F" "x  $\geq$  0" "y  $\geq$  0"
  shows "filterlim ( $\lambda$ t. agm_seq x y (f t)) (nhds (agm x y, agm x y))
F"
<proof>

lemma agm_0_right_real [simp]: "agm x 0 = (0 :: real)"
<proof>

lemma agm_0_left_real [simp]: "agm 0 (x :: real) = 0"
<proof>

lemma agm_real_between_gmean_amean:
  assumes "x  $\geq$  0" "y  $\geq$  (0 :: real)"
  shows "agm x y  $\in$  {gmean x y..amean x y}"
<proof>

lemma agm_real_between:
  assumes "x  $\geq$  0" "y  $\geq$  (0 :: real)"
  shows "agm x y  $\in$  {min x y..max x y}"
<proof>

lemma agm_real_upper_bound:
  "x  $\in$  {0..c::real}  $\implies$  y  $\in$  {0..c::real}  $\implies$  agm x y  $\leq$  c"
<proof>

lemma agm_real_lower_bound:
  "x  $\geq$  c  $\implies$  y  $\geq$  c  $\implies$  c  $\geq$  (0::real)  $\implies$  agm x y  $\geq$  c"
<proof>

lemma agm_strictly_between_real:
  assumes "x  $\neq$  y" "0 < x" "x < (y :: real)"
  shows "agm x y  $\in$  {x<..\neq y" "x > 0" "y > 0" "x  $\neq$  (y :: real)"
  shows "agm x y  $\in$  open_segment x y"
<proof>

lemma agm_amean_gmean_real:

```

```

  assumes "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ (0 :: real)"
  shows "agm (amean x y) (gmean x y) = agm x y"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma agm_mult_real:
  assumes "a ≥ (0 :: real)" "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ 0"
  shows "agm (a * x) (a * y) = a * agm x y"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma agm_mono_real:
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes "x ≤ x'" "y ≤ y'" "0 ≤ x" "0 ≤ y"
  shows "agm x y ≤ agm x' y'"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma agm_pos_real:
  assumes "a > 0" "b > (0 :: real)"
  shows "agm a b > 0"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma agm_nonneg_real:
  assumes "a ≥ 0" "b ≥ (0 :: real)"
  shows "agm a b ≥ 0"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uniform_limit_fst_agm_seq_real:
  fixes X :: "(real × real) set"
  assumes "bounded X" "X ⊆ {0..} × {0..}"
  shows "uniform_limit X (λn (x,y). fst (agm_seq x y n)) (λ(x,y). agm
x y) sequentially"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uniform_limit_snd_agm_seq:
  fixes X :: "(real × real) set"
  assumes "bounded X" "X ⊆ {0..} × {0..}"
  shows "uniform_limit X (λn (x,y). snd (agm_seq x y n)) (λ(x,y). agm
x y) sequentially"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma continuous_on_agm_seq_real [continuous_intros]:
  assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
  assumes "∧x. x ∈ A ⇒ f x ≥ 0" "∧x. x ∈ A ⇒ g x ≥ 0"
  shows "continuous_on A (λx. agm_seq (f x) (g x) n :: real × real)"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma continuous_on_agm_real [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
  assumes "∧x. x ∈ A ⇒ f x ≥ 0" "∧x. x ∈ A ⇒ g x ≥ 0"
  shows "continuous_on A (λx. agm (f x) (g x) :: real)"

```

<proof>

```
lemma tendsto_agm_real [tendsto_intros]:
  assumes "(f ⟶ a) F" "(g ⟶ b) F"
  assumes "a ≥ 0" "b ≥ 0"
  assumes "a = 0 ⟹ eventually (λx. f x ≥ 0) F"
  assumes "b = 0 ⟹ eventually (λx. g x ≥ 0) F"
  shows "((λx. agm (f x) (g x)) :: real) ⟶ agm a b) F"
<proof>
```

```
lemma continuous_agm_real [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous F f" "continuous F g"
  assumes "f (netlimit F) ≥ 0" "g (netlimit F) ≥ 0"
  assumes "f (netlimit F) = 0 ⟹ eventually (λx. f x ≥ 0) F"
  assumes "g (netlimit F) = 0 ⟹ eventually (λx. g x ≥ 0) F"
  shows "continuous F (λx. agm (f x) (g x)) :: real)"
<proof>
```

4.3 Eventual quadratic convergence

We define the sequence $a_n = \sqrt{|a_n^2 - b_n^2|} = \frac{1}{2}|a_{n-1} - b_{n-1}|$.

definition *agm_diff* :: "real ⇒ real ⇒ nat ⇒ real" **where**
"agm_diff x y n = sqrt |fst (agm_seq x y n) ^ 2 - snd (agm_seq x y n) ^ 2|"

context

```
  fixes x y :: real and a b c :: "nat ⇒ real"
  assumes xy: "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ 0"
  defines "a ≡ (λn. fst (agm_seq x y n))" and "b ≡ (λn. snd (agm_seq
x y n))" and "c ≡ agm_diff x y"
begin
```

```
lemma agm_diff_Suc_eq: "c (Suc n) = dist (a n) (b n) / 2"
<proof>
```

We have the recurrence $c_{n+1} = \frac{c_n^2}{4a_{n+1}}$. This already shows that if c_n is less than 1, it converges quadratically to 0, which means that a_n and b_n converge quadratically to the AGM.

```
lemma agm_diff_rec_aux: "c (Suc n) * 4 * a (Suc n) = c n ^ 2"
<proof>
```

```
lemma agm_diff_rec: "c (Suc n) = c n ^ 2 / (4 * a (Suc n))"
<proof>
```

end

The following makes the quadratic convergence clearer by removing the dependency on a_n .

```

lemma agm_diff_Suc_le:
  assumes xy: "x > 0" "y > 0"
  shows "agm_diff x y (Suc n) ≤ agm_diff x y n ^ 2 / (4 * agm x y)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma agm_diff_le:
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes xy: "0 < y" "0 < x"
  defines "c ≡ (λn. agm_diff x y n)"
  defines "A ≡ 4 * agm x y"
  shows "c n ≤ A * (c 0 / A) ^ (2 ^ n)"
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes a b c :: "real ⇒ real ⇒ nat ⇒ real"
  defines "a ≡ (λx y n. fst (agm_seq x y n))"
  defines "b ≡ (λx y n. snd (agm_seq x y n))"
  defines "c ≡ (λx y n. agm_diff x y n)"
begin

lemma agm_seq_shift: "agm_seq x y (n + m) = agm_seq (a x y n) (b x y
n) m"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma agm_diff_shift: "c x y (n + m) = c (a x y n) (b x y n) m"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma agm_agm_seq_eq:
  assumes "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ (0 :: real)"
  shows "agm (fst (agm_seq x y n)) (snd (agm_seq x y n)) = agm x y"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma agm_diff_le':
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes xy: "0 < x" "0 < y"
  defines "A ≡ 4 * agm x y"
  assumes "n ≥ n0"
  shows "c x y n ≤ 4 * agm x y * (c x y n0 / (4 * agm x y)) ^ (2 ^ (n
- n0))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma agm_diff_tendsto_0:
  assumes "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ (0 :: real)"
  shows "c x y ⟶ 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Finally, we explicitly prove quadratic convergence of c_n .

```

theorem agm_diff_bigo:
  fixes x y :: real

```

```

    assumes xy: "0 < x" "0 < y"
    obtains C where "C ∈ {0<.. $1$ } " "c x y ∈ O(λn. C ^ (2 ^ n))"
  <proof>

end

```

4.4 The AGM iteration in the complex plane

```

lemma agm_seq_complex_of_real:
  assumes "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ 0"
  shows "agm_seq (complex_of_real x) (of_real y) n = map_prod of_real
of_real (agm_seq x y n)"
  <proof>

```

Under mild preconditions, the arguments of x and y after an AGM iteration lie between the arguments of x and y , i.e. the values we get lie in a cone that shrinks with every iteration.

```

lemma
  assumes "x ≠ 0" "y ≠ 0" "dist (Arg x) (Arg y) < pi"
  shows Arg_agm_seq:
    "Arg (fst (agm_seq x y n)) ∈ closed_segment (Arg x) (Arg y)"
(is ?th1)
    "Arg (snd (agm_seq x y n)) ∈ closed_segment (Arg x) (Arg y)"
(is ?th2)
    "dist (Arg (fst (agm_seq x y n))) (Arg (snd (agm_seq x y n)))
< pi"
  and agm_seq_complex_nonzero:
    "fst (agm_seq x y n) ≠ 0" (is ?th3) "snd (agm_seq x y n) ≠
0" (is ?th4)
  <proof>

```

Similarly, the norm of the values also never grows beyond the norm of the bigger one.

```

lemma norm_agm_seq_complex_le:
  fixes x y :: complex
  assumes "x ≠ 0" "y ≠ 0" "dist (Arg x) (Arg y) < pi"
  shows "norm (fst (agm_seq x y n)) ≤ max (norm x) (norm y)" (is ?th1)
  and "norm (snd (agm_seq x y n)) ≤ max (norm x) (norm y)" (is ?th2)
  <proof>

```

The distance between a_n and b_n is bounded by $|a_0 - b_0|/2^n$ and therefore vanishes.

```

lemma dist_agm_seq_complex_le:
  fixes w z :: complex
  shows "dist (fst (agm_seq w z n)) (snd (agm_seq w z n)) ≤ dist w z
/ 2 ^ n"
  <proof>

```

After an AGM iteration, the values are never opposite in the complex plane (even if they were before).

```
lemma not_opposite_complex_amean_gmean:
  fixes w z :: complex
  shows "¬opposite_complex (amean w z) (gmean w z)"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma not_opposite_complex_agm_seq:
  assumes "n > 0 ∨ ¬opposite_complex w z"
  shows "¬opposite_complex (fst (agm_seq w z n)) (snd (agm_seq w z n))"
⟨proof⟩
```

The result of an AGM iteration is zero if and only if one of the input numbers or their sum was zero.

```
lemma agm_seq_complex_nonzero':
  assumes "w + z ≠ 0" "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ (0::complex)"
  shows "fst (agm_seq w z n) ≠ 0" "snd (agm_seq w z n) ≠ 0"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma agm_seq_mult_left_complex:
  assumes "n = 0 ∨ ¬opposite_complex w z"
  shows "fst (agm_seq (u * w) (u * z) n) = u * fst (agm_seq w z n)"
(is ?th1)
  and "snd (agm_seq (u * w) (u * z) n) = u * snd (agm_seq w z n)"
(is ?th2)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma agm_seq_minus_complex:
  assumes "n = 0 ∨ ¬opposite_complex w z"
  shows "fst (agm_seq (-w) (-z) n) = -fst (agm_seq w z n)" (is ?th1)
  and "snd (agm_seq (-w) (-z) n) = -snd (agm_seq w z n)" (is ?th2)
⟨proof⟩
```

We now analyse the phase difference between a_n and b_n .

```
definition agm_phase_diff :: "complex ⇒ complex ⇒ nat ⇒ real" where
  "agm_phase_diff w z n =
    (let φ = dist (Arg (fst (agm_seq w z n))) (Arg (snd (agm_seq w z n)))
    in min φ (2 * pi - φ))"
```

The phase difference is at least cut in half by every iteration.

```
lemma abs_agm_seq_phase_diff_Suc_le_aux:
  assumes "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ 0" "dist (Arg w) (Arg z) < pi"
  shows "agm_phase_diff w z (Suc n) ≤ agm_phase_diff w z n / 2"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma agm_phase_diff_minus:
  assumes "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ 0" "¬opposite_complex w z"
```

shows "agm_phase_diff (-w) (-z) n = agm_phase_diff w z n"
 <proof>

lemma agm_phase_diff_commute: "agm_phase_diff w z n = agm_phase_diff z w n"
 <proof>

lemma agm_phase_diff_bounded: "agm_phase_diff w z n ∈ {0..pi}"
 <proof>

lemma agm_phase_diff_rec: "agm_phase_diff w z (Suc n) = agm_phase_diff (amean w z) (gmean w z) n"
 <proof>

lemma abs_agm_seq_phase_diff_Suc_le:
 assumes "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ 0" "w + z ≠ 0"
 shows "agm_phase_diff w z (Suc n) ≤ agm_phase_diff w z n / 2"
 <proof>

lemma abs_agm_seq_phase_diff_le:
 assumes "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ 0" "w + z ≠ 0"
 shows "agm_phase_diff w z n ≤ agm_phase_diff w z 0 / 2 ^ n"
 <proof>

lemma abs_agm_seq_phase_diff_le':
 assumes "w ≠ 0" "z ≠ 0" "w + z ≠ 0"
 shows "agm_phase_diff w z n ≤ pi / 2 ^ n"
 <proof>

lemma continuous_on_agm_seq_complex_aux:
 assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
 assumes not_op: "∧z. z ∈ A ⇒ f z ≠ 0 ∧ g z ≠ 0 ∧ ¬opposite_complex (f z) (g z)"
 shows "continuous_on A (λz. fst (agm_seq (f z) (g z) n))" (is ?th1)
 "continuous_on A (λz. snd (agm_seq (f z) (g z) n))" (is ?th2)
 <proof>

lemma continuous_on_agm_seq_complex [continuous_intros]:
 assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
 assumes not_op: "∧z. z ∈ A ⇒ f z ≠ 0 ∧ g z ≠ 0 ∧ ¬opposite_complex (f z) (g z)"
 shows "continuous_on A (λz. agm_seq (f z) (g z) n)"
 <proof>

lemma holomorphic_agm_seq [holomorphic_intros]:
 assumes [holomorphic_intros]: "f holomorphic_on A" "g holomorphic_on A"
 assumes not_op: "∧z. z ∈ A ⇒ f z ≠ 0 ∧ g z ≠ 0 ∧ ¬opposite_complex (f z) (g z)"

```

  shows "(λz. fst (agm_seq (f z) (g z) n)) holomorphic_on A" (is ?th1)
         "(λz. snd (agm_seq (f z) (g z) n)) holomorphic_on A" (is ?th2)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma analytic_agm_seq:
  assumes [analytic_intros]: "f analytic_on A" "g analytic_on A"
  assumes not_op: "∧z. z ∈ A ⇒ f z ≠ 0 ∧ g z ≠ 0 ∧ ¬opposite_complex
(f z) (g z)"
  shows "(λz. fst (agm_seq (f z) (g z) n)) analytic_on A" (is ?th1)
         "(λz. snd (agm_seq (f z) (g z) n)) analytic_on A" (is ?th2)
⟨proof⟩

```

4.5 Convergence of the complex AGM

We now have all the ingredients to show that the complex AGM always converges. Note however that the square root present in the definition of the geometric mean necessarily introduces a branch cut when the input paramers lie opposite of each other.

```

definition agm_cball :: "complex ⇒ complex ⇒ complex set" where
  "agm_cball w z = cball (amean w z) (dist w z / 2)"

```

```

lemma point_in_agm_cball [simp, intro]: "w ∈ agm_cball w z" "z ∈ agm_cball
w z"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma amean_gmean_in_cball:
  fixes w z :: complex
  defines "w' ≡ amean w z" and "z' ≡ gmean w z"
  shows "w' ∈ agm_cball w z" "z' ∈ agm_cball w z" "agm_cball w' z'
⊆ agm_cball w z"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma agm_cball_minus: "agm_cball (-w) (-z) = uminus ` agm_cball w z"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cos_gt_zero':
  assumes "x ∈ {-pi/2<..

```

```

lemma zero_in_agm_cball_iff:
  "0 ∈ agm_cball w z ↔
w = 0 ∨ z = 0 ∨ min (dist (Arg w) (Arg z)) (2 * pi - dist (Arg w)
(Arg z)) ≥ pi / 2"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition agm_seq_cball :: "complex ⇒ complex ⇒ nat ⇒ complex set"

```

```

where
  "agm_seq_cball w z n = agm_cball (fst (agm_seq w z n)) (snd (agm_seq
w z n))"

lemma agm_seq_cball_0 [simp]: "agm_seq_cball w z 0 = agm_cball w z"
  <proof>

lemma decseq_agm_seq_cball: "decseq (agm_seq_cball w z)"
  <proof>

lemma agm_seq_in_cball:
  assumes "m ≤ n"
  shows "fst (agm_seq w z n) ∈ agm_seq_cball w z m" (is ?th1)
  and "snd (agm_seq w z n) ∈ agm_seq_cball w z m" (is ?th2)
  <proof>

theorem
  fixes w z :: complex
  shows tendsto_agm1_complex: "(fst ∘ agm_seq w z) ⟶ agm w z"
  and tendsto_agm2_complex: "(snd ∘ agm_seq w z) ⟶ agm w z"
  <proof>

lemmas tendsto_agm1_complex' = tendsto_agm1_complex[unfolded o_def]
lemmas tendsto_agm2_complex' = tendsto_agm2_complex[unfolded o_def]

lemma agm_complex_of_real:
  assumes "x ≥ 0" "y ≥ 0"
  shows "agm (complex_of_real x) (complex_of_real y) = complex_of_real
(agm x y)"
  <proof>

lemma agm_amean_gmean_complex:
  "agm (amean w z) (gmean w z :: complex) = agm w z"
  <proof>

lemma agm_in_cball:
  fixes w z :: complex
  shows "agm w z ∈ agm_seq_cball w z n"
  <proof>

lemma dist_agm_agm_seq_complex_le:
  fixes w z :: complex
  shows "dist (agm w z) (fst (agm_seq w z n)) ≤ dist w z / 2 ^ n"
  and "dist (agm w z) (snd (agm_seq w z n)) ≤ dist w z / 2 ^ n"
  <proof>

lemma agm_eq_0_complex_iff: "agm w z = 0 ⟷ w = 0 ∨ z = (0::complex)"

```

$\forall w + z = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma `agm_0_left_complex [simp]: "agm 0 z = (0::complex)"`
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma `agm_0_right_complex [simp]: "agm z 0 = (0::complex)"`
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma `Arg_agm_bounds:`
 assumes `"w \neq 0" "z \neq 0" "dist (Arg w) (Arg z) < pi"`
 shows `"Arg (agm w z) \in closed_segment (Arg w) (Arg z)"`
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma `uniform_limit_fst_agm_seq_complex:`
 fixes `X :: "(complex \times complex) set"`
 assumes `"bounded X"`
 shows `"uniform_limit X (λ n (w,z). fst (agm_seq w z n)) (λ (w,z). agm w z) sequentially"`
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma `uniform_limit_snd_agm_seq_complex:`
 fixes `X :: "(complex \times complex) set"`
 assumes `"bounded X"`
 shows `"uniform_limit X (λ n (w,z). snd (agm_seq w z n)) (λ (w,z). agm w z) sequentially"`
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma `agm_mult_complex:`
 assumes `" \neg opposite_complex w z"`
 shows `"agm (u * w) (u * z) = u * agm w z"`
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma `agm_1_rec_complex: "agm 1 (z :: complex) = amean 1 z * agm 1 ((2 * csqrt z) / (1 + z))"`
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma `agm_1_rec_complex': "agm 1 (z :: complex) = gmean 1 z * agm 1 ((1 + z) / (2 * csqrt z))"`
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following may be interesting: $\text{agm}(1, z) \in \mathbb{R}$ only if the input is real.

lemma `agm_1_in_Reals_imp_Real:`
 fixes `z :: complex`
 assumes `"agm 1 z \in \mathbb{R} " "z \notin $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "`
 shows `"Im z = 0 \wedge Re z > 0"`
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma agm_holomorphic [holomorphic_intros]:
  assumes "f holomorphic_on A" "g holomorphic_on A"
  assumes " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies g z / f z \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows " $(\lambda z. \text{agm } (f z) (g z)) \text{ holomorphic\_on } A$ "
<proof>

lemma agm_analytic [analytic_intros]:
  assumes "f analytic_on A" "g analytic_on A"
  assumes " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies g z / f z \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows " $(\lambda z. \text{agm } (f z) (g z)) \text{ analytic\_on } A$ "
<proof>

lemma continuous_on_agm_complex [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
  assumes " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies g z / f z \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows "continuous_on A  $(\lambda z. \text{agm } (f z) (g z) :: \text{complex})$ "
<proof>

lemma tendsto_agm_complex [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes w z :: complex
  assumes "(f  $\longrightarrow$  w) F" "(g  $\longrightarrow$  z) F" "z / w  $\notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows " $((\lambda x. \text{agm } (f x) (g x)) \longrightarrow \text{agm } w z) F$ "
<proof>

lemma continuous_agm_complex [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous F f" "continuous F g" "g (netlimit F) / f (netlimit
F)  $\notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ "
  shows "continuous F  $((\lambda x. \text{agm } (f x) (g x) :: \text{complex}))$ "
<proof>

end

```

5 Complete Elliptic Integrals

```

theory Complete_Elliptic_Integrals
imports
  "Generalized_Hypergeometric_Series.Generalized_Hypergeometric_Series"
  "Incomplete_Gamma.More_Beta"
  AGM_Lemma_Bucket
begin

```

In this section, we will introduce the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, written as $K(m)$ and $E(m)$, respectively.

There is a big caveat concerning the argument of K and E : the m that we use is simply called the *parameter* in the literature. Many books write K in terms of the *modulus* $k = m^2$ instead. The *modular angle* α with $\sin \alpha = k$ can also be used.

Since it is fairly easy to convert any of the other two to m whereas the

reverse direction may require dealing with branch cuts, we chose m as the argument. This is also the choice made e.g. in Mathematica.

```
lemma of_real_real: "of_real x = x"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma elliptic_integral_wf_aux2:
  assumes "x < (1 :: real)" "y ∈ {0..1}"
  shows "x * y < 1"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma elliptic_integral_wf_aux:
  assumes "x < (1 :: real)"
  shows "x * sin y ^ 2 < 1"
  <proof>
```

5.1 Complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind

5.1.1 Generic theorems about both functions

Instead of proving everything for the elliptic integrals of K and E separately, we generalise to an arbitrary exponent e and later instantiate it with $e = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $e = \frac{1}{2}$, respectively.

```
locale complete_elliptic_integral_gen =
  fixes ellipticr :: "real ⇒ real" and ellipticc :: "complex ⇒ complex"
  and e :: real
  assumes real_def:
    "∧m. m < 1 ⇒ ellipticr m = integral {0..pi/2} (λt. (1 - m * sin
  t ^ 2) powr e)"
  assumes complex_def:
    "∧m. Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1 ⇒
    ellipticc m = integral {0..pi/2} (λt. (1 - m * of_real (sin t ^
  2)) powr of_real e)"
  begin
```

```
lemma at_0_complex [simp]: "ellipticc 0 = of_real pi / 2"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma at_0_real [simp]: "ellipticr 0 = pi / 2"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma pos_real:
  assumes "m < (1 :: real)"
  shows "ellipticr m > 0"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma nonzero_real [simp]:
  assumes "m < (1 :: real)"
  shows "ellipticr m ≠ 0"
```

```

    <proof>

lemma absolutely_integrable_real:
  assumes "m < (1 :: real)"
  shows "(λt::real. (1 - m * sin t ^ 2) powr e) absolutely_integrable_on
{0..pi/2}"
  <proof>

lemma absolutely_integrable_complex:
  assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"
  shows "(λt::real. (1 - m * of_real (sin t ^ 2)) powr e) absolutely_integrable_on
{0..pi/2}"
  <proof>

lemma has_integral_real:
  assumes "m < (1 :: real)"
  shows "((λt. (1 - m * sin t ^ 2) powr e) has_integral ellipticr m)
{0..pi/2}"
  <proof>

lemma has_integral_complex:
  fixes m :: complex
  assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"
  shows "((λt. (1 - m * of_real (sin t ^ 2)) powr e) has_integral ellipticc
m) {0..pi/2}"
  <proof>

lemma has_integral_real2:
  assumes m: "m < (1 :: real)"
  shows "(λx. (1 - m * x ^ 2) powr e / sqrt (1 - x ^ 2)) absolutely_integrable_on
{0..1}" (is ?th1)
  and "((λx. (1 - m * x ^ 2) powr e / sqrt (1 - x ^ 2)) has_integral
ellipticr m) {0..1}" (is ?th2)
  <proof>

lemma has_integral_complex2:
  assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"
  shows "(λx. (1 - m * of_real x ^ 2) powr e / sqrt (1 - x ^ 2)) absolutely_integrable_on
{0..1}" (is ?th1)
  and "((λx. (1 - m * of_real x ^ 2) powr e / sqrt (1 - x ^ 2)) has_integral
ellipticc m) {0..1}" (is ?th2)
  <proof>

lemma has_field_derivative_complex:
  fixes m :: complex
  assumes m: "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"
  defines "f' ≡ (λm t. -of_real e * (1 - m * complex_of_real (sin t) ^
2) powr (of_real e - 1) * (of_real (sin t) ^ 2))"

```

shows "(ellipticc has_field_derivative integral {0..pi/2} ($\lambda t. f' m$
t)) (at m within A)"

<proof>

lemma holomorphic:

assumes " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies \text{Im } z \neq 0 \vee \text{Re } z < 1$ "

shows "ellipticc holomorphic_on A"

<proof>

lemma holomorphic' [holomorphic_intros]:

assumes "f holomorphic_on A" " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies \text{Im } (f z) \neq 0 \vee \text{Re } (f$
z) < 1"

shows " $(\lambda z. \text{ellipticc } (f z))$ holomorphic_on A"

<proof>

lemma analytic [analytic_intros]:

assumes "f analytic_on A" " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies \text{Im } (f z) \neq 0 \vee \text{Re } (f z)$
< 1"

shows " $(\lambda z. \text{ellipticc } (f z))$ analytic_on A"

<proof>

lemma continuous_on_complex:

assumes "continuous_on A f" " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies \text{Im } (f z) \neq 0 \vee \text{Re } (f z)$
< 1"

shows "continuous_on A $(\lambda z. \text{ellipticc } (f z))$ "

<proof>

lemma continuous_on_real:

assumes "continuous_on A f" " $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x < 1$ "

shows "continuous_on A $(\lambda x. \text{ellipticr } (f x :: \text{real}))$ "

<proof>

lemma continuous_complex:

assumes "continuous (at x within A) f" " $\text{Im } (f x) \neq 0 \vee \text{Re } (f x) <$
1"

shows "continuous (at x within A) $(\lambda z. \text{ellipticc } (f z))$ "

<proof>

lemma continuous_real:

assumes "continuous (at x within A) f" " $f x < (1 :: \text{real})$ "

shows "continuous (at x within A) $(\lambda z. \text{ellipticr } (f z))$ "

<proof>

lemma tendsto_complex:

assumes " $(f \longrightarrow x) F$ " " $\text{Im } x \neq 0 \vee \text{Re } x < 1$ "

shows " $((\lambda z. \text{ellipticc } (f z)) \longrightarrow \text{ellipticc } x) F$ "

<proof>

lemma tendsto_real:

```

    assumes "(f ⟶ x) F" "x < 1"
    shows "(λz. elliptic (f z)) ⟶ elliptic x F"
  <proof>

lemma conj:
  assumes "Im x ≠ 0 ∨ Re x < 1"
  shows "elliptic (conj x) = conj (elliptic x)"
  <proof>

lemma of_real:
  assumes "x < 1"
  shows "elliptic (of_real x) = of_real (elliptic x)"
  <proof>

lemma of_real':
  assumes "Im x = 0" "Re x < 1"
  shows "elliptic x = of_real (elliptic (Re x))"
  <proof>

lemma power_series_complex:
  assumes z: "norm z < 1"
  defines "h ≡ (λn. pi/2 * pochhammer (-e) n * pochhammer (1/2) n / fact
n ^ 2)"
  shows "(λn. of_real (h n) * z ^ n) sums elliptic z"
  <proof>

lemma power_series_real:
  assumes x: "|x| < (1 :: real)"
  defines "h ≡ (λn. pi/2 * pochhammer (-e) n * pochhammer (1/2) n / fact
n ^ 2)"
  shows "(λn. h n * x ^ n) sums elliptic x"
  <proof>

lemma conv_hypergeo_F_complex:
  assumes z: "norm (z :: complex) < 1"
  shows "elliptic z = of_real (pi / 2) * hypergeo_F [-of_real e, 1/2]
[1] z"
  <proof>

lemma conv_hypergeo_F_real:
  assumes x: "|x| < 1"
  shows "elliptic x = pi / 2 * hypergeo_F [-e, 1/2] [1] x"
  <proof>

lemma has_fps_expansion_complex [fps_expansion_intros]:
  "elliptic has_fps_expansion (fps_const (of_real pi / 2) * fps_hypergeo
[-of_real e, 1/2] [1] 1)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma has_fps_expansion_real [fps_expansion_intros]:
  "ellipticr has_fps_expansion (fps_const (pi/2) * fps_hypergeo [-e, 1/2]
[1] 1)"
⟨proof⟩

lemmas has_laurent_expansion_complex [laurent_expansion_intros] =
  has_laurent_expansion_fps [OF has_fps_expansion_complex]

end

locale complete_elliptic_integral_gen' =
  complete_elliptic_integral_gen +
  fixes rpowr :: "real ⇒ real" and cpowr :: "complex ⇒ complex"
  assumes rpowr_eq: "∧x. x ≥ 0 ⇒ x powr e = rpowr x"
  assumes cpowr_eq: "∧x. x ≠ 0 ⇒ x powr e = cpowr x"
begin

lemma real_def':
  assumes "m < 1"
  shows "ellipticr m = integral {0..pi / 2} (λt. rpowr (1 - m * sin
t ^ 2))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma complex_def':
  assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"
  shows "ellipticc m = integral {0..pi / 2} (λt. cpowr (1 - m * of_real
(sin t ^ 2)))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_integral_real':
  assumes "m < (1 :: real)"
  shows "((λt. rpowr (1 - m * sin t ^ 2)) has_integral ellipticr m)
{0..pi/2}"
⟨proof⟩

lemma absolutely_integrable_real':
  assumes "m < (1 :: real)"
  shows "(λt::real. rpowr (1 - m * sin t ^ 2)) absolutely_integrable_on
{0..pi/2}"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_integral_complex':
  assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"
  shows "((λt. cpowr (1 - m * of_real (sin t ^ 2))) has_integral ellipticc
m) {0..pi/2}"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_integral_real2':

```

```

    assumes m: "m < (1 :: real)"
    shows "(λx. rpowr (1 - m * x ^ 2) / sqrt (1 - x ^ 2)) absolutely_integrable_on
{0..1}" (is ?th1)
    and "(λx. rpowr (1 - m * x ^ 2) / sqrt (1 - x ^ 2)) has_integral
ellipticr m) {0..1}" (is ?th2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_integral_complex2':
    assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"
    shows "(λx. cpowr (1 - m * of_real x ^ 2) / sqrt (1 - x ^ 2)) absolutely_integrable_on
{0..1}" (is ?th1)
    and "(λx. cpowr (1 - m * of_real x ^ 2) / sqrt (1 - x ^ 2)) has_integral
ellipticc m) {0..1}" (is ?th2)
⟨proof⟩

```

end

We now instantiate this generic locale concretely for the elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, denoted by K and E , respectively.

```

definition elliptic_K :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, ln} ⇒ 'a" where
    "elliptic_K m = integral {0..pi/2} (λt. (1 - m * of_real (sin t ^ 2))
powr (-of_real (1/2)))"

```

interpretation elliptic_K:

```

    complete_elliptic_integral_gen' elliptic_K elliptic_K "-1/2" "λx. 1
/ sqrt x" "λx. 1 / csqrt x"
    rewrites "x / y / z = x / (y * z :: 'a :: field)" and
    "pi / 2 * pochhammer (1 / 2) n * pochhammer (1 / 2) n / (fact
n)^2 =
    pi / 2 * pochhammer (1 / 2) n ^ 2 / fact n ^ 2" and
    "- (- 1 / 2) = 1 / (2 :: real)" and
    "- (- 1 / 2) = 1 / (2 :: complex)" and
    "complex_of_real (- 1 / 2) = - 1 / 2"
⟨proof⟩

```

lemmas [continuous_intros] =

```

    elliptic_K.continuous_on_complex elliptic_K.continuous_on_real
    elliptic_K.continuous_complex elliptic_K.continuous_real

```

lemmas [tendsto_intros] =

```

    elliptic_K.tendsto_complex elliptic_K.tendsto_real

```

```

thm elliptic_K.power_series_real
thm elliptic_K.power_series_complex
thm elliptic_K.conv_hypergeo_F_real
thm elliptic_K.conv_hypergeo_F_complex

```

```

definition elliptic_E :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, ln}  $\Rightarrow$  'a" where
  "elliptic_E m = integral {0..pi/2} ( $\lambda$ t. (1 - m * of_real (sin t ^ 2))
  powr (of_real (1/2)))"

interpretation elliptic_E:
  complete_elliptic_integral_gen' elliptic_E elliptic_E "1/2" " $\lambda$ x. sqrt
  x" " $\lambda$ x. csqrt x"
  rewrites "complex_of_real (1 / 2) = 1 / 2" and "- (1 / 2 :: complex)
  = - 1 / 2"
  and "- (1 / 2 :: real) = - 1 / 2"
  <proof>

thm elliptic_E.power_series_real
thm elliptic_E.power_series_complex
thm elliptic_E.conv_hypergeo_F_real
thm elliptic_E.conv_hypergeo_F_complex

lemmas [continuous_intros] =
  elliptic_E.continuous_on_complex elliptic_E.continuous_complex

lemmas [tendsto_intros] = elliptic_E.tendsto_complex

lemma elliptic_E_1_complex [simp]: "elliptic_E (1 :: complex) = 1"
  <proof>

lemma elliptic_E_1_real [simp]: "elliptic_E (1 :: real) = 1"
  <proof>

lemma elliptic_E_continuous_on_real [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous_on A f" " $\bigwedge$ x. x  $\in$  A  $\implies$  f x  $\leq$  (1::real)"
  shows "continuous_on A ( $\lambda$ x. elliptic_E (f x))"
  <proof>

lemma elliptic_E_tendsto_real [tendsto_intros]:
  assumes "(f  $\longrightarrow$  (x :: real)) F"
  assumes "x < 1  $\vee$  x = 1  $\wedge$  eventually ( $\lambda$ y. f y  $\leq$  1) F"
  shows "(( $\lambda$ x. elliptic_E (f x))  $\longrightarrow$  elliptic_E x) F"
  <proof>

lemma elliptic_E_continuous_real [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous (at x within A) f"
  assumes "f x < 1  $\vee$  f x = (1::real)  $\wedge$  eventually ( $\lambda$ y. f y  $\leq$  1) (at
  x within A)"
  shows "continuous (at x within A) ( $\lambda$ x. elliptic_E (f x))"
  <proof>

```

5.1.2 Complete elliptic integral of the third kind

definition `elliptic_Pi` :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, ln} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a" where
`"elliptic_Pi n m = integral {0..pi/2}`
`($\lambda t. (1 - m * \text{of_real} (\sin t ^ 2)) \text{powr} (-1/2) / (1 - n * \text{of_real}$`
`($\sin t ^ 2$))"`

lemma `elliptic_Pi_0_left [simp]`: `"elliptic_Pi 0 m = elliptic_K m"`
`<proof>`

lemma `elliptic_Pi_has_integral_complex`:
`fixes m n :: complex`
`assumes "Im n \neq 0 \vee Re n < 1" "Im m \neq 0 \vee Re m < 1"`
`shows "($\lambda t. (1 - m * \text{of_real} (\sin t ^ 2)) \text{powr} (-1/2) / (1 - n * \text{of_real}$`
`($\sin t ^ 2$))`
`has_integral elliptic_Pi n m) {0..pi/2}"`
`<proof>`

lemma `elliptic_Pi_has_integral_real`:
`fixes m n :: real`
`assumes "n < 1" "m < 1"`
`shows "($\lambda t. (1 - m * \sin t ^ 2) \text{powr} (-1/2) / (1 - n * \sin t ^ 2)$)`
`has_integral elliptic_Pi n m) {0..pi/2}"`
`<proof>`

lemma `continuous_on_elliptic_Pi_real [continuous_intros]`:
`fixes f g :: "'a :: topological_space \Rightarrow real"`
`assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g" " $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x$`
`< 1" " $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies g x < 1$ "`
`shows "continuous_on A ($\lambda x. \text{elliptic_Pi} (f x) (g x)$)"`
`<proof>`

lemma `continuous_on_elliptic_Pi_complex [continuous_intros]`:
`fixes f g :: "'a :: topological_space \Rightarrow complex"`
`assumes "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"`
`assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{Im} (f x) \neq 0 \vee \text{Re} (f x) < 1$ "`
`assumes " $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{Im} (g x) \neq 0 \vee \text{Re} (g x) < 1$ "`
`shows "continuous_on A ($\lambda x. \text{elliptic_Pi} (f x) (g x)$)"`
`<proof>`

5.1.3 Derivatives and antiderivatives

lemma `fls_deriv_elliptic_K`:
`assumes "SORT_CONSTRAINT('a :: field_char_0)"`
`defines "E \equiv fps_to_fls (fps_hypergeo [-(1/2), 1/2] [1 :: 'a] 1)"`
`defines "K \equiv fps_to_fls (fps_hypergeo [1/2, 1/2] [1 :: 'a] 1)"`
`shows "fls_deriv K = (E - (1 - fls_X) * K) / (2 * fls_X * (1 - fls_X))"`
`<proof>`

lemma

```

    assumes z: "Im z ≠ 0 ∨ Re z < 1" "z ≠ 0"
    shows deriv_elliptic_E: "deriv elliptic_E z = (elliptic_E z - elliptic_K
z) / (2 * z)"
    and deriv_elliptic_K: "deriv elliptic_K z = (elliptic_E z - (1 - z)
* elliptic_K z) / (2 * z * (1 - z))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_field_derivative_elliptic_E_complex [derivative_intros]:
  assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at z within A)"
  assumes z: "Im (f z) ≠ 0 ∨ Re (f z) < 1"
  defines "d ≡ (if f z = 0 then -(of_real pi / 8) else (elliptic_E (f
z) - elliptic_K (f z)) / (2 * f z))"
  shows "((λz. elliptic_E (f z)) has_field_derivative d * f') (at z within
A)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_field_derivative_elliptic_K_complex [derivative_intros]:
  assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at z within A)"
  assumes z: "Im (f z) ≠ 0 ∨ Re (f z) < 1"
  defines "d ≡ (if f z = 0 then (of_real pi / 8) else
(elliptic_E (f z) - (1 - f z) * elliptic_K (f z)) / (2 *
f z * (1 - f z)))"
  shows "((λz. elliptic_K (f z)) has_field_derivative d * f') (at z within
A)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_field_derivative_elliptic_E_real [derivative_intros]:
  assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at z within A)"
  assumes z: "f z < (1 :: real)"
  defines "d ≡ (if f z = 0 then -(pi / 8) else (elliptic_E (f z) - elliptic_K
(f z)) / (2 * f z))"
  shows "((λz. elliptic_E (f z)) has_field_derivative d * f') (at z within
A)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_field_derivative_elliptic_K_real [derivative_intros]:
  assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at z within A)"
  assumes z: "f z < (1 :: real)"
  defines "d ≡ (if f z = 0 then (of_real pi / 8) else
(elliptic_E (f z) - (1 - f z) * elliptic_K (f z)) / (2 *
f z * (1 - f z)))"
  shows "((λz. elliptic_K (f z)) has_field_derivative d * f') (at z within
A)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma antiderivative_elliptic_K_complex:
  assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"
  shows "((λm. 2 * (m - 1) * elliptic_K m + 2 * elliptic_E m)
has_field_derivative elliptic_K m) (at m within A)"

```

<proof>

lemma antiderivative_elliptic_E_complex:

assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"

shows "((λm. 2 / 3 * (m - 1) * elliptic_K m + 2 / 3 * (m + 1) * elliptic_E m)

has_field_derivative elliptic_E m) (at m within A)"

<proof>

5.2 Legendre's relation

Legendre's relation states that

$$K(m)E(1-m) + E(m)K(1-m) - K(m)K(1-m) = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

We first show that it holds for reals (necessarily constrained to $m \in (0, 1)$) and then lift it to complex values of m by analytic continuation.

Basic arithmetic shows that the derivative of the left-hand side is identically 0, so it suffices to prove the identity for any arbitrary value of m . We do it by showing that the left-hand side tends to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$.

theorem elliptic_KE_legendre_real:

assumes "x ∈ {0<..<1}"

defines "K ≡ (elliptic_K :: real ⇒ _)" and "E ≡ (elliptic_E :: real ⇒ _)"

shows "K x * E (1 - x) + E x * K (1 - x) - K x * K (1 - x) = pi / 2"

<proof>

corollary elliptic_KE_legendre_complex:

assumes "Im z ≠ 0 ∨ Re z ∈ {0<..<1}"

defines "K ≡ (elliptic_K :: complex ⇒ _)" and "E ≡ (elliptic_E :: complex ⇒ _)"

shows "K z * E (1 - z) + E z * K (1 - z) - K z * K (1 - z) = of_real pi / 2"

<proof>

corollary elliptic_KE_legendre_lemniscatic_real:

defines "K ≡ (elliptic_K :: real ⇒ _)" and "E ≡ (elliptic_E :: real ⇒ _)"

shows "K (1 / 2) * (2 * E (1 / 2) - K (1 / 2)) = pi / 2"

<proof>

end

5.3 Relation to complete elliptic integrals

theory Arithmetic_Geometric_Mean_Integral

imports Arithmetic_Geometric_Mean Complete_Elliptic_Integrals

begin

In this section, we make the connection between the AGM and the complete elliptic integrals.

5.3.1 Complementary moduli

We first define the complementary modulus.

definition `ell_compl` :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, ln} ⇒ 'a" where
"ell_compl x = (1 - x ^ 2) powr (1/2)"

lemma `ell_compl_0` [simp]: "ell_compl 0 = 1"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_1` [simp]: "ell_compl 1 = 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_sqrt2_half` [simp]: "ell_compl (sqrt 2 / 2) = sqrt 2 / 2"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_one_over_sqrt2` [simp]: "ell_compl (1 / sqrt 2) = 1 / sqrt 2"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_real_def`: "x ∈ {0..1} ⇒ ell_compl x = sqrt (1 - x ^ 2)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_complex_def`: "ell_compl z = csqrt (1 - z ^ 2)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_squared_real`: "(x :: real) ∈ {0..1} ⇒ ell_compl x ^ 2 = 1 - x ^ 2"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_nonneg`: "x ≤ (1 :: real) ⇒ ell_compl x ≥ 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_pos`: "x ∈ {0..<1::real} ⇒ ell_compl x > 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_le_1`: "x ∈ {0..1::real} ⇒ ell_compl x ≤ 1"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_less_1`: "x ∈ {0<..1::real} ⇒ ell_compl x < 1"
⟨proof⟩

lemma `ell_compl_ell_compl [simp]: "x ∈ {0..1::real} ⇒ ell_compl (ell_compl x) = x"`
 ⟨*proof*⟩

5.3.2 The AGM integrals

Next, we derive a simple auxiliary integral we will need later, namely those of the form $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{a^2+x^2} dx$. For $a = 1$, the indefinite integral becomes the arctangent function; hence the name.

lemma `arctan_type_integral_0_infinity:`
`fixes a :: real`
`assumes a: "a > 0"`
`shows "(λx::real. 1 / (a2 + x2)) absolutely_integrable_on {0..}"`
`"((λx. 1 / (a2 + x2)) has_integral (pi / (2 * a))) {0..}"`
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma `arctan_type_integral_UNIV:`
`fixes a :: real`
`assumes a: "a > 0"`
`shows "(λx::real. 1 / (a2 + x2)) absolutely_integrable_on UNIV"`
`"((λx. 1 / (a2 + x2)) has_integral (pi / a)) UNIV"`
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Next, we look at the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} I(a, b) &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (a^2 \cos^2 u^2 + b^2 \sin^2 u^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} du \\ &= \int_0^\infty ((x^2 + a^2)(x^2 + b^2))^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ J(a, b) &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (a^2 \cos^2 u^2 + b^2 \sin^2 u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} du \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $I(a, b) = K(1 - (b/a)^2)/a$ and $J(a, b) = aE(1 - (b/a)^2)$. Also, we have $I(x, x) = \frac{\pi}{2x}$ and $J(x, x) = \frac{x\pi}{2}$.

lemma `agm_abs_integrable:`
`assumes "a > 0" "b > 0" and [measurable]: "A ∈ sets borel"`
`shows "(λu. 1 / sqrt ((u2 + a2) * (u2 + b2))) absolutely_integrable_on A"`
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma `agm_integrable:`
`assumes "a > 0" "b > 0" "A ∈ sets borel"`
`shows "(λu. 1 / sqrt ((u2 + a2) * (u2 + b2))) integrable_on A"`
 ⟨*proof*⟩

```

definition agm_integral :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real" where
  "agm_integral a b = elliptic_K (1 - (b / a) ^ 2) / a"

definition agm_integral' :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real" where
  "agm_integral' a b = a * elliptic_E (1 - (b / a) ^ 2)"

context
  fixes I J :: "real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real"
  defines "I  $\equiv$  agm_integral"
  defines "J  $\equiv$  agm_integral'"
begin

lemma agm_integral_same_real: "I x x = pi / (2 * x)"
  <proof>

lemma agm_integral'_same_real: "J x x = x * pi / 2"
  <proof>

lemma has_integral_agm_integral1:
  assumes ab: "a > 0" "b > 0"
  shows "( $\lambda$ u. 1 / sqrt (a ^ 2 * cos u ^ 2 + b ^ 2 * sin u ^ 2)) absolutely_integrable_on
  {0..pi/2}"
  and "( $\lambda$ u. 1 / sqrt (a ^ 2 * cos u ^ 2 + b ^ 2 * sin u ^ 2)) has_integral
  I a b) {0..pi/2}"
  <proof>

lemma has_integral_agm_integral2:
  assumes ab: "a > 0" "b > 0"
  shows "( $\lambda$ x. 1 / (sqrt ((x^2 + a^2) * (x^2 + b^2)))) absolutely_integrable_on
  {0<..}"
  and "( $\lambda$ x. 1 / (sqrt ((x^2 + a^2) * (x^2 + b^2)))) has_integral I
  a b) {0<..}"
  <proof>

lemma agm_integral_commute_real: "a > 0  $\implies$  b > 0  $\implies$  I a b = I b a"
  <proof>

lemma has_integral_agm_integral':
  assumes ab: "a > 0" "b > 0"
  shows "( $\lambda$ u. sqrt (a ^ 2 * cos u ^ 2 + b ^ 2 * sin u ^ 2)) absolutely_integrable_on
  {0..pi/2}"
  and "( $\lambda$ u. sqrt (a ^ 2 * cos u ^ 2 + b ^ 2 * sin u ^ 2)) has_integral
  J a b) {0..pi/2}"
  <proof>

```

The key property of $I(a, b)$ is that it is invariant under a single step of the

AGM iteration, and therefore

$$I(a, b) = I(\operatorname{agm}(a, b), \operatorname{agm}(a, b)) = \frac{\pi}{2\operatorname{agm}(a, b)}.$$

For simplicity, we show the real case first and then use analytic continuation.

proposition *agm_integral_preserve_real*:
 assumes *ab*: "a > 0" "b > 0"
 shows "I (amean a b) (gmean a b) = I a b"
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *agm_integral'_commute*:
 assumes "a > 0" "b > 0"
 shows "J a b = J b a"
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *agm_integral_preserve_real'*:
 assumes *ab*: "a > 0" "b > 0"
 shows "I (fst (agm_seq a b n)) (snd (agm_seq a b n)) = I a b"
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *agm_integral_conv_agm_real*:
 assumes "a > 0" "b > 0"
 shows "I a b = pi / (2 * agm a b)"
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Finally, we get the main result of this section: The identity that expresses $\operatorname{agm}(a, b)$ in terms of K .

theorem *agm_conv_elliptic_K_real*:
 assumes "a > 0" "b > 0"
 shows "agm a b = pi * a / (2 * elliptic_K ((a ^ 2 - b ^ 2) / a ^ 2))"
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *agm_conv_elliptic_K'_real*:
 assumes "a > 0" "b > 0"
 shows "agm a b = pi * (a + b) / (4 * elliptic_K (((a - b) / (a + b)) ^ 2))"
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *elliptic_K_conv_agm_real*:
 assumes "m < (1 :: real)"
 shows "elliptic_K m = pi / (2 * agm 1 (sqrt (1 - m)))"
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *elliptic_K_conv_agm_complex*:
 assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"
 shows "elliptic_K m = of_real pi / (2 * agm 1 (csqrt (1 - m)))"
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary `elliptic_K_complex_nonzero:`

`assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"`

`shows "elliptic_K m ≠ 0"`

`<proof>`

theorem `agm_conv_elliptic_K_complex:`

`assumes "Re (z / w) > 0"`

`shows "agm w z = of_real pi * w / (2 * elliptic_K ((w ^ 2 - z ^ 2) / w ^ 2))"`

`<proof>`

corollary `agm_conv_elliptic_K'_complex:`

`assumes "Re (z / w) > 0"`

`shows "agm w z = of_real pi * (w + z) / (4 * elliptic_K (((w - z) / (w + z)) ^ 2))"`

`<proof>`

5.3.3 Upward and downward identities for complete elliptic integrals

One straightforward consequence of the above relationship between the AGM and K is the following identity, which allows

corollary `elliptic_K_downward'_real:`

`assumes "m < (1 :: real)"`

`defines "m' ≡ sqrt (1 - m)"`

`shows "elliptic_K m = 2 / (1 + m') * elliptic_K (((1 - m') / (1 + m')) ^ 2)"`

`<proof>`

The corresponding identity in the complex plane follows by analytic continuation:

corollary `elliptic_K_downward'_complex:`

`assumes "Im m ≠ 0 ∨ Re m < 1"`

`defines "m' ≡ csqrt (1 - m)"`

`shows "elliptic_K m = 2 / (1 + m') * elliptic_K (((1 - m') / (1 + m')) ^ 2)"`

`<proof>`

corollary `elliptic_K_downward_real:`

`assumes "k ∈ {0<.. 1 ::real}"`

`defines "k' ≡ ell_compl k"`

`shows "elliptic_K (k ^ 2) = 2 / (1 + k') * elliptic_K (((1 - k') / (1 + k')) ^ 2)"`

`<proof>`

corollary `elliptic_K_downward_complex:`

`assumes "Im k ≠ 0 ∨ Re k ∈ {0<.. 1 }"`

`defines "k' ≡ ell_compl k"`

shows "elliptic_K (k ^ 2) = 2 / (1 + k') * elliptic_K (((1 - k') / (1 + k')) ^ 2)"
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary elliptic_K_upward_real:
 assumes k: "(k::real) ∈ {0<..<1}"
 shows "elliptic_K (k ^ 2) = elliptic_K (4 * k / (1 + k)²) / (1 + k)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma elliptic_E_upward_real:
 fixes x :: real
 assumes x: "x ∈ {0<..<1}"
 defines "g ≡ (λx. 4 * x / (1 + x) ^ 2)"
 shows "elliptic_E (x²) = elliptic_E (g x) * ((1 + x) / 2) + elliptic_K (g x) * ((1 - x) / 2)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma elliptic_E_downward_real:
 fixes k :: real
 assumes k: "k ∈ {0<..<1}"
 defines "k' ≡ ell_compl k"
 defines "g ≡ (λx. 4 * x / (1 + x) ^ 2)"
 shows "elliptic_E (k ^ 2) = (1 + k') * elliptic_E (((1 - k') / (1 + k')) ^ 2) - k' * elliptic_K (k ^ 2)"
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma agm_integral'_amean_gmean:
 assumes "0 < a" "0 < b"
 shows "2 * J (amean a b) (gmean a b) = J a b + a * b * I a b"
 ⟨proof⟩

5.3.4 Relating E to K and the AGM

definition agm_integral_aux :: "real ⇒ real ⇒ real" where
 "agm_integral_aux x y = 2 * (x² - J x y / I x y)"

lemma agm_integral_aux_altdef:
 "agm_integral_aux x y = 2 * x² * (1 - elliptic_E (1 - (y / x) ^ 2) / elliptic_K (1 - (y / x) ^ 2))"
 ⟨proof⟩

definition agm_integral_aux_psum :: "real ⇒ real ⇒ nat ⇒ real" where
 "agm_integral_aux_psum x y n = (∑ i ≤ n. 2 ^ i * agm_diff x y i ^ 2)"

lemma incseq_agm_integral_aux_psum:
 "incseq (agm_integral_aux_psum x y)"
 ⟨proof⟩

We can relate the two integrals $I(a, b)$ and $J(a, b)$ by defining $f(a, b) = 2(a^2 - J(a, b)/I(a, b))$. Then if we write a_n and b_n for the AGM sequence starting with $a_0 = a$ and $b_0 = b$ and $c_n = \sqrt{a_n^2 + b_n^2}$ we have

$$f(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n c_n^2.$$

Furthermore, the error made by truncating this sum after N terms is between 0 and $2^N c_N^2$. Since eventually $c_n < 1$, this means that the sum converges quadratically (i.e. eventually the number of correct digits at least doubles with each additional term).

Note also that the c_n are a free sideproduct of running the AGM iteration. This means that the AGM can be used to compute $I(x, y)$ and $J(x, y)$ – or, equivalently, $K(m)$ and $E(m)$ – simultaneously.

theorem *agm_diff_sums_agm_integral*:

fixes $x\ y :: \text{real}$ **and** $N :: \text{nat}$

assumes xy : " $0 < y$ " " $y \leq x$ "

defines " $a \equiv (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\text{agm_seq } x\ y\ n))$ "

defines " $b \equiv (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\text{agm_seq } x\ y\ n))$ "

defines " $c \equiv (\lambda n. \text{agm_diff } x\ y\ n)$ "

defines " $\text{err} \equiv \text{agm_integral_aux } x\ y - \text{agm_integral_aux_psum } x\ y\ N$ "

shows " $(\lambda n. 2 \wedge n * c\ n \wedge 2)$ *sums* $\text{agm_integral_aux } x\ y$ "

and " $\text{err} \in \{0..2 \wedge N * c\ N \wedge 2\}$ "

<proof>

lemma *summable_agm_diff*:

assumes " $0 < y$ " " $y \leq (x :: \text{real})$ "

shows "*summable* $(\lambda n. 2 \wedge n * \text{agm_diff } x\ y\ n \wedge 2)$ "

<proof>

lemma *agm_integral'_conv_agm_integral*:

fixes $x\ y :: \text{real}$

assumes xy : " $0 < y$ " " $y \leq x$ "

defines " $c \equiv (\lambda n. \text{agm_diff } x\ y\ n)$ "

shows " $J\ x\ y = I\ x\ y * (x^2 - \text{agm_integral_aux } x\ y / 2)$ "

<proof>

lemma *elliptic_E_conv_elliptic_K*:

fixes $k :: \text{real}$

assumes k : " $k \in \{0 <..<1\}$ "

defines " $x \equiv \text{sqrt } (1 - k)$ "

shows " $\text{elliptic_E } k = \text{elliptic_K } k * (1 - \text{agm_integral_aux } 1\ x / 2)$ "

<proof>

lemma *pi_conv_elliptic_K*:

defines " $c \equiv \text{agm_diff } 1\ (1 / \text{sqrt } 2)$ "

shows " $\text{pi} = 2 * \text{elliptic_K } (1 / 2) \wedge 2 * (1 - \text{agm_integral_aux } 1\ (1 / \text{sqrt } 2))$ "

<proof>

We now also easily obtain a way to express π using the AGM:

theorem *pi_conv_agm*:

" $\pi = 2 * \text{agm } 1 (1 / \text{sqrt } 2) ^ 2 / (1 - \text{agm_integral_aux } 1 (1 / \text{sqrt } 2))$ "

<proof>

end

end

6 Application: Computing π via the AGM

theory *Pi_Approx_AGM*

imports *Arithmetic_Geometric_Mean_Integral*

begin

As we just saw, π can be expressed in terms of the AGM and the quantity *agm_integral_aux*, which is an infinite sum over the numbers c_n that is produced by the AGM iteration.

We will now analyse the error made by truncating the AGM iterations at some point and show that it decreases exponentially, i.e. every additional AGM iteration doubles the number of correct bits.

Note that this is still an abstract mathematical statement that assumes that the AGM iterations are performed with infinite precision. A more detailed analysis for doing the iteration with finite-precision floating or fixed point numbers would be interesting but much more complicated.

definition *pi_agm_err1* :: "nat \Rightarrow real" where

" $\text{pi_agm_err1 } n = \text{fst } (\text{agm_seq } 1 (1 / \text{sqrt } 2) n) - \text{agm } 1 (1 / \text{sqrt } 2)$ "

definition *pi_agm_err2* :: "nat \Rightarrow real" where

" $\text{pi_agm_err2 } n = \text{agm_integral_aux } 1 (1 / \text{sqrt } 2) - \text{agm_integral_aux_psum } 1 (1 / \text{sqrt } 2) n$ "

definition *pi_agm* :: "nat \Rightarrow real" where

" $\text{pi_agm } n = 2 * \text{fst } (\text{agm_seq } 1 (1 / \text{sqrt } 2) (\text{Suc } n)) ^ 2 / (1 - \text{agm_integral_aux_psum } 1 (1 / \text{sqrt } 2) n)$ "

lemma *power_int_eq_powerI*: "int $n = m \implies x \text{ powi } m = x ^ n$ "

<proof>

lemma *pi_agm_err1_bound_strong*: " $\text{pi_agm_err1 } n \in \{0..8 / 18 ^ (2 ^ n)\}$ "

and *pi_agm_err1_bound*: " $\text{pi_agm_err1 } n \in \{0..2 \text{ powi } (3 - 2 ^ (n + 2))\}$ "

and *pi_agm_err2_bound*: " $n > 0 \implies \text{pi_agm_err2 } n \in \{0..2 \text{ powi } (\text{int } n + 5 - 2 ^ (n + 2))\}$ "

<proof>

```
lemma abs_diff_le_max_real:  
  assumes "a ≥ 0" "b ≥ (0::real)"  
  shows   "|a - b| ≤ max a b"  
  <proof>
```

With some tedious arithmetic, we obtain the following concrete bound for the AGM approximation of π . The number of correct bits after the decimal point is at least $2^{n+2} - n - 10$, i.e. roughly 2^{n+2} for large n .

```
theorem dist_pi_agm_bound: "dist pi (pi_agm n) ≤ 2 powi (int n + 10 -  
2^(n+2))"  
<proof>
```

end

7 Relating the complete elliptic integral to the Jacobi theta functions

```
theory AGM_Theta  
  imports "Theta_Functions.Theta_Nullwert" Arithmetic_Geometric_Mean_Integral  
begin
```

The Jacobi theta nullwert functions have the property that $(\vartheta_3(q)^2, \vartheta_4(q)^2)$ is transformed by a single step of the AGM iteration into $(\vartheta_3(q^2)^2, \vartheta_4(q^2)^2)$. Clearly, for $n \rightarrow \infty$, this converges to $(\vartheta_3(0)^2, \vartheta_4(0)^2) = (1, 1)$.

```
lemma agm_seq_jacobi_theta_00_01_square_real:  
  fixes q :: real  
  assumes "q ∈ {-1<.. $<1$ >}"  
  shows   "agm_seq (\vartheta_3 q ^ 2) (\vartheta_4 q ^ 2) n = (\vartheta_3 (q ^ (2 ^ n)) ^ 2, \vartheta_4  
(q ^ (2 ^ n)) ^ 2)"  
  <proof>
```

```
lemma agm_jacobi_theta_00_01_square_real:  
  fixes q :: real  
  assumes "q ∈ {-1<.. $<1$ >}"  
  shows   "agm (\vartheta_3 q ^ 2) (\vartheta_4 q ^ 2) = 1"  
<proof>
```

By recasting the above in terms of the complete elliptic integral k , we get the following identity that relates K to the Jacobi theta functions.

We only show the identity for real q with $0 \leq q < 1$. The version for the complex z -plane is a bit more intricate: there the identity fails to hold at any point within a disc of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ around any point of the form $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. This is due to the branch cut of K .

```
theorem elliptic_K_jacobi_theta_real:
```

```

fixes q :: real
assumes q: "q ∈ {0..<1}"
shows "elliptic_K (∅2 q ^ 4 / ∅3 q ^ 4) = pi / 2 * ∅3 q ^ 2"
⟨proof⟩

end

```

References

- [1] J. M. Borwein and P. B. Borwein. *Pi and the AGM: a study in the analytic number theory and computational complexity*. Wiley-Interscience, USA, 1987.
- [2] R. P. Brent. The Borwein brothers, pi and the AGM. In D. H. Bailey, N. S. Borwein, R. P. Brent, R. S. Burachik, J.-a. H. Osborn, B. Sims, and Q. J. Zhu, editors, *From Analysis to Visualization*, pages 323–347, Cham, 2020. Springer International Publishing.