

Abstract Substitutions as Monoid Actions

Martin Desharnais

October 4, 2024

Abstract

This entry provides a small, reusable, theory that specifies the abstract concept of substitution as monoid action. Both the substitution type and the object type are kept abstract. The theory provides multiple useful definitions and lemmas. Two example usages are provided for first order terms: one for terms from the AFP/First_Order_Terms session and one for terms from the Isabelle/HOL-ex session.

Contents

1	General Results on Groups	1
2	Semigroup Action	2
3	Monoid Action	3
4	Group Action	4
5	Assumption-free Substitution	4
6	Basic Substitution	7
6.1	Substitution Composition	8
6.2	Substitution Identity	8
6.3	Generalization	9
6.4	Substituting on Ground Expressions	9
6.5	Instances of Ground Expressions	9
6.6	Unifier of Ground Expressions	10
6.7	Ground Substitutions	10
6.8	IMGU is Idempotent and an MGU	11
6.9	IMGU can be used before unification	11
6.10	Groundings Idempotence	11
6.11	Instances of Substitution	11
6.12	Instances of Renamed Expressions	12
theory	<i>Substitution</i>	
imports	<i>Main</i>	

begin

1 General Results on Groups

lemma (in *monoid*) *right-inverse-idem*:
fixes *inv*
assumes *right-inverse*: $\bigwedge a. a * \text{inv } a = \mathbf{1}$
shows $\bigwedge a. \text{inv } (\text{inv } a) = a$
by (*metis assoc right-inverse right-neutral*)

lemma (in *monoid*) *left-inverse-if-right-inverse*:
fixes *inv*
assumes
 right-inverse: $\bigwedge a. a * \text{inv } a = \mathbf{1}$
shows $\text{inv } a * a = \mathbf{1}$
by (*metis right-inverse-idem right-inverse*)

lemma (in *monoid*) *group-wrt-right-inverse*:
fixes *inv*
assumes *right-inverse*: $\bigwedge a. a * \text{inv } a = \mathbf{1}$
shows *group* (*) $\mathbf{1}$ *inv*
proof *unfold-locales*
 show $\bigwedge a. \mathbf{1} * a = a$
 by *simp*
next
 show $\bigwedge a. \text{inv } a * a = \mathbf{1}$
 by (*metis left-inverse-if-right-inverse right-inverse*)
qed

2 Semigroup Action

We define both left and right semigroup actions. Left semigroup actions seem to be prevalent in algebra, but right semigroup actions directly uses the usual notation of term/atom/literal/clause substitution.

locale *left-semigroup-action* = *semigroup* +
 fixes *action* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b (**infix** · 70)
 assumes *action-compatibility*[*simp*]: $\bigwedge a b x. (a * b) \cdot x = a \cdot (b \cdot x)$

locale *right-semigroup-action* = *semigroup* +
 fixes *action* :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b (**infix** · 70)
 assumes *action-compatibility*[*simp*]: $\bigwedge x a b. x \cdot (a * b) = (x \cdot a) \cdot b$

We then instantiate the right action in the context of the left action in order to get access to any lemma proven in the context of the other locale. We do analogously in the context of the right locale.

sublocale *left-semigroup-action* \subseteq *right*: *right-semigroup-action* **where**
 f = $\lambda x y. f y x$ **and** *action* = $\lambda x y. \text{action } y x$

proof *unfold-locale*

show $\bigwedge a b c. c * (b * a) = c * b * a$

by (*simp only: assoc*)

next

show $\bigwedge x a b. (b * a) \cdot x = b \cdot (a \cdot x)$

by *simp*

qed

sublocale *right-semigroup-action* \subseteq *left: left-semigroup-action* **where**

$f = \lambda x y. f y x$ **and** $action = \lambda x y. action y x$

proof *unfold-locale*

show $\bigwedge a b c. c * (b * a) = c * b * a$

by (*simp only: assoc*)

next

show $\bigwedge a b x. x \cdot (b * a) = (x \cdot b) \cdot a$

by *simp*

qed

lemma (*in right-semigroup-action*) *lifting-semigroup-action-to-set:*

right-semigroup-action $(*)$ $(\lambda X a. (\lambda x. action x a) ' X)$

proof *unfold-locale*

show $\bigwedge x a b. (\lambda x. x \cdot (a * b)) ' x = (\lambda x. x \cdot b) ' (\lambda x. x \cdot a) ' x$

by (*simp add: image-comp*)

qed

lemma (*in right-semigroup-action*) *lifting-semigroup-action-to-list:*

right-semigroup-action $(*)$ $(\lambda xs a. map (\lambda x. action x a) xs)$

proof *unfold-locale*

show $\bigwedge x a b. map (\lambda x. x \cdot (a * b)) x = map (\lambda x. x \cdot b) (map (\lambda x. x \cdot a) x)$

by (*simp add: image-comp*)

qed

3 Monoid Action

locale *left-monoid-action* = *monoid* +

fixes $action :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b$ (**infix** \cdot 70)

assumes

monoid-action-compatibility: $\bigwedge a b x. (a * b) \cdot x = a \cdot (b \cdot x)$ **and**

*action-neutral[*simp*]*: $\bigwedge x. \mathbf{1} \cdot x = x$

locale *right-monoid-action* = *monoid* +

fixes $action :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ (**infix** \cdot 70)

assumes

monoid-action-compatibility: $\bigwedge x a b. x \cdot (a * b) = (x \cdot a) \cdot b$ **and**

*action-neutral[*simp*]*: $\bigwedge x. x \cdot \mathbf{1} = x$

sublocale *left-monoid-action* \subseteq *left-semigroup-action*

by *unfold-locale* (*fact monoid-action-compatibility*)

sublocale *right-monoid-action* \subseteq *right-semigroup-action*
by *unfold-locales (fact monoid-action-compatibility)*

sublocale *left-monoid-action* \subseteq *right: right-monoid-action* **where**
 $f = \lambda x y. f y x$ **and** $action = \lambda x y. action y x$
by *unfold-locales simp-all*

sublocale *right-monoid-action* \subseteq *left: left-monoid-action* **where**
 $f = \lambda x y. f y x$ **and** $action = \lambda x y. action y x$
by *unfold-locales simp-all*

lemma (**in** *right-monoid-action*) *lifting-monoid-action-to-set*:
right-monoid-action (*) **1** $(\lambda X a. (\lambda x. action x a) ' X)$

proof (*unfold-locales*)

show $\bigwedge x a b. (\lambda x. x \cdot (a * b)) ' x = (\lambda x. x \cdot b) ' (\lambda x. x \cdot a) ' x$
by (*simp add: image-comp*)

next

show $\bigwedge x. (\lambda x. x \cdot \mathbf{1}) ' x = x$
by *simp*

qed

lemma (**in** *right-monoid-action*) *lifting-monoid-action-to-list*:
right-monoid-action (*) **1** $(\lambda xs a. map (\lambda x. action x a) xs)$

proof *unfold-locales*

show $\bigwedge x a b. map (\lambda x. x \cdot (a * b)) x = map (\lambda x. x \cdot b) (map (\lambda x. x \cdot a) x)$
by *simp*

next

show $\bigwedge x. map (\lambda x. x \cdot \mathbf{1}) x = x$
by *simp*

qed

4 Group Action

locale *left-group-action = group +*
fixes $action :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b$ (**infix** \cdot 70)
assumes

group-action-compatibility: $\bigwedge a b x. (a * b) \cdot x = a \cdot (b \cdot x)$ **and**

group-action-neutral: $\bigwedge x. \mathbf{1} \cdot x = x$

locale *right-group-action = group +*
fixes $action :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ (**infixl** \cdot 70)
assumes

group-action-compatibility: $\bigwedge x a b. x \cdot (a * b) = (x \cdot a) \cdot b$ **and**

group-action-neutral: $\bigwedge x. x \cdot \mathbf{1} = x$

sublocale *left-group-action* \subseteq *left-monoid-action*
by *unfold-locales (fact group-action-compatibility group-action-neutral)+*

sublocale *right-group-action* \subseteq *right-monoid-action*

by *unfold-locales (fact group-action-compatibility group-action-neutral)+*

sublocale *left-group-action* \subseteq *right: right-group-action* **where**
 $f = \lambda x y. f y x$ **and** $action = \lambda x y. action y x$
by *unfold-locales simp-all*

sublocale *right-group-action* \subseteq *left: left-group-action* **where**
 $f = \lambda x y. f y x$ **and** $action = \lambda x y. action y x$
by *unfold-locales simp-all*

5 Assumption-free Substitution

locale *substitution-ops* =

fixes

$subst :: 'x \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'x$ (**infixl** \cdot 6γ) **and**

$id_subst :: 's$ **and**

$comp_subst :: 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's$ (**infixl** \odot 6γ) **and**

$is_ground :: 'x \Rightarrow bool$

begin

definition $subst_set :: 'x\ set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'x\ set$ **where**
 $subst_set X \sigma = (\lambda x. subst x \sigma) ` X$

definition $subst_list :: 'x\ list \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'x\ list$ **where**
 $subst_list xs \sigma = map (\lambda x. subst x \sigma) xs$

definition $is_ground_set :: 'x\ set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $is_ground_set X \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in X. is_ground x)$

definition $is_ground_subst :: 's \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $is_ground_subst \gamma \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. is_ground (x \cdot \gamma))$

definition $generalizes :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $generalizes x y \longleftrightarrow (\exists \sigma. x \cdot \sigma = y)$

definition $specializes :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $specializes x y \equiv generalizes y x$

definition $strictly_generalizes :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $strictly_generalizes x y \longleftrightarrow generalizes x y \wedge \neg generalizes y x$

definition $strictly_specializes :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $strictly_specializes x y \equiv strictly_generalizes y x$

definition $instances :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x\ set$ **where**
 $instances x = \{y. generalizes x y\}$

definition $instances_set :: 'x\ set \Rightarrow 'x\ set$ **where**
 $instances_set X = (\bigcup x \in X. instances x)$

definition *ground-instances* :: 'x ⇒ 'x set **where**
ground-instances x = {x_G ∈ instances x. is-ground x_G}

definition *ground-instances-set* :: 'x set ⇒ 'x set **where**
ground-instances-set X = {x_G ∈ instances-set X. is-ground x_G}

lemma *ground-instances-set-eq-Union-ground-instances*:
ground-instances-set X = (⋃ x ∈ X. *ground-instances* x)
unfolding *ground-instances-set-def* *ground-instances-def*
unfolding *instances-set-def*
by *auto*

lemma *ground-instances-eq-Collect-subst-grounding*:
ground-instances x = {x · γ | γ. is-ground (x · γ)}
by (*auto simp: ground-instances-def instances-def generalizes-def*)

definition *is-renaming* :: 's ⇒ bool **where**
is-renaming ρ ↔ (∃ ρ-inv. ρ ⊙ ρ-inv = id-subst)

definition *renaming-inverse* **where**
renaming-inverse ρ = (SOME ρ-inv. ρ ⊙ ρ-inv = id-subst)

lemma *renaming-comp-renaming-inverse[simp]*:
is-renaming ρ ⇒ ρ ⊙ *renaming-inverse* ρ = id-subst
by (*auto simp: is-renaming-def renaming-inverse-def intro: someI-ex*)

definition *is-unifier* :: 's ⇒ 'x set ⇒ bool **where**
is-unifier v X ↔ card (subst-set X v) ≤ 1

definition *is-unifier-set* :: 's ⇒ 'x set set ⇒ bool **where**
is-unifier-set v XX ↔ (∀ X ∈ XX. *is-unifier* v X)

definition *is-mgu* :: 's ⇒ 'x set set ⇒ bool **where**
is-mgu μ XX ↔ *is-unifier-set* μ XX ∧ (∀ v. *is-unifier-set* v XX → (∃ σ. μ ⊙ σ = v))

definition *is-imgu* :: 's ⇒ 'x set set ⇒ bool **where**
is-imgu μ XX ↔ *is-unifier-set* μ XX ∧ (∀ τ. *is-unifier-set* τ XX → μ ⊙ τ = τ)

definition *is-idem* :: 's ⇒ bool **where**
is-idem σ ↔ σ ⊙ σ = σ

lemma *is-unifier-iff-if-finite*:
assumes *finite* X
shows *is-unifier* σ X ↔ (∀ x ∈ X. ∀ y ∈ X. x · σ = y · σ)
proof (*rule iffI*)

show $is\text{-unifier } \sigma X \implies (\forall x \in X. \forall y \in X. x \cdot \sigma = y \cdot \sigma)$
using *assms*
unfolding *is-unifier-def*
by (*metis One-nat-def card-le-Suc0-iff-eq finite-imageI image-eqI subst-set-def*)
next
show $(\forall x \in X. \forall y \in X. x \cdot \sigma = y \cdot \sigma) \implies is\text{-unifier } \sigma X$
unfolding *is-unifier-def*
by (*smt (verit, del-insts) One-nat-def substitution-ops.subst-set-def card-eq-0-iff card-le-Suc0-iff-eq dual-order.eq-iff imageE le-Suc-eq*)
qed

lemma *is-unifier-singleton[simp]: is-unifier v {x}*
by (*simp add: is-unifier-iff-if-finite*)

lemma *is-unifier-set-insert-singleton[simp]:*
 $is\text{-unifier-set } \sigma (\text{insert } \{x\} XX) \longleftrightarrow is\text{-unifier-set } \sigma XX$
by (*simp add: is-unifier-set-def*)

lemma *is-mgu-insert-singleton[simp]: is-mgu μ (insert {x} XX) \longleftrightarrow is-mgu μ XX*
by (*simp add: is-mgu-def*)

lemma *is-imgu-insert-singleton[simp]: is-imgu μ (insert {x} XX) \longleftrightarrow is-imgu μ XX*
by (*simp add: is-imgu-def*)

lemma *subst-set-empty[simp]: subst-set {} $\sigma = \{\}$*
by (*simp only: subst-set-def image-empty*)

lemma *subst-set-insert[simp]: subst-set (insert x X) $\sigma = \text{insert } (x \cdot \sigma) (\text{subst-set } X \sigma)$*
by (*simp only: subst-set-def image-insert*)

lemma *subst-set-union[simp]: subst-set (X1 \cup X2) $\sigma = \text{subst-set } X1 \sigma \cup \text{subst-set } X2 \sigma$*
by (*simp only: subst-set-def image-Un*)

lemma *subst-list-Nil[simp]: subst-list [] $\sigma = []$*
by (*simp only: subst-list-def list.map*)

lemma *subst-list-insert[simp]: subst-list (x # xs) $\sigma = (x \cdot \sigma) \# (\text{subst-list } xs \sigma)$*
by (*simp only: subst-list-def list.map*)

lemma *subst-list-append[simp]: subst-list (xs1 @ xs2) $\sigma = \text{subst-list } xs1 \sigma @ \text{subst-list } xs2 \sigma$*
by (*simp only: subst-list-def map-append*)

lemma *is-unifier-set-union:*
 $is\text{-unifier-set } v (XX_1 \cup XX_2) \longleftrightarrow is\text{-unifier-set } v XX_1 \wedge is\text{-unifier-set } v XX_2$
by (*auto simp add: is-unifier-set-def*)

lemma *is-unifier-subset*: *is-unifier* v $A \implies$ *finite* $A \implies B \subseteq A \implies$ *is-unifier* v
 B
by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *card-mono dual-order.trans finite-imageI image-mono is-unifier-def subst-set-def*)

lemma *is-ground-set-subset*: *is-ground-set* $A \implies B \subseteq A \implies$ *is-ground-set* B
by (*auto simp: is-ground-set-def*)

lemma *is-ground-set-ground-instances[simp]*: *is-ground-set* (*ground-instances* x)
by (*simp add: ground-instances-def is-ground-set-def*)

lemma *is-ground-set-ground-instances-set[simp]*: *is-ground-set* (*ground-instances-set* x)
by (*simp add: ground-instances-set-def is-ground-set-def*)

end

6 Basic Substitution

locale *substitution* =

comp-subst: *right-monoid-action comp-subst id-subst subst* +
substitution-ops subst id-subst comp-subst is-ground

for

comp-subst :: $'s \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's$ (**infixl** \odot 70) **and**

id-subst :: $'s$ **and**

subst :: $'x \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'x$ (**infixl** \cdot 70) **and**

— Predicate identifying the fixed elements w.r.t. the monoid action

is-ground :: $'x \Rightarrow$ *bool* +

assumes

all-subst-ident-if-ground: *is-ground* $x \implies (\forall \sigma. x \cdot \sigma = x)$

begin

sublocale *comp-subst-set*: *right-monoid-action comp-subst id-subst subst-set*
using *comp-subst.lifting-monoid-action-to-set unfolding subst-set-def* .

sublocale *comp-subst-list*: *right-monoid-action comp-subst id-subst subst-list*
using *comp-subst.lifting-monoid-action-to-list unfolding subst-list-def* .

6.1 Substitution Composition

lemmas *subst-comp-subst* = *comp-subst.action-compatibility*

lemmas *subst-set-comp-subst* = *comp-subst-set.action-compatibility*

lemmas *subst-list-comp-subst* = *comp-subst-list.action-compatibility*

6.2 Substitution Identity

lemmas *subst-id-subst* = *comp-subst.action-neutral*

lemmas *subst-set-id-subst = comp-subst-set.action-neutral*
lemmas *subst-list-id-subst = comp-subst-list.action-neutral*

lemma *is-renaming-id-subst[simp]: is-renaming id-subst*
by (*simp add: is-renaming-def*)

lemma *is-unifier-id-subst-empty[simp]: is-unifier id-subst {}*
by (*simp add: is-unifier-def*)

lemma *is-unifier-set-id-subst-empty[simp]: is-unifier-set id-subst {}*
by (*simp add: is-unifier-set-def*)

lemma *is-mgu-id-subst-empty[simp]: is-mgu id-subst {}*
by (*simp add: is-mgu-def*)

lemma *is-imgu-id-subst-empty[simp]: is-imgu id-subst {}*
by (*simp add: is-imgu-def*)

lemma *is-idem-id-subst[simp]: is-idem id-subst*
by (*simp add: is-idem-def*)

lemma *is-unifier-id-subst: is-unifier id-subst X \longleftrightarrow card X \leq 1*
by (*simp add: is-unifier-def*)

lemma *is-unifier-set-id-subst: is-unifier-set id-subst XX \longleftrightarrow ($\forall X \in XX. \text{card } X \leq 1$)*
by (*simp add: is-unifier-set-def is-unifier-id-subst*)

lemma *is-mgu-id-subst: is-mgu id-subst XX \longleftrightarrow ($\forall X \in XX. \text{card } X \leq 1$)*
by (*simp add: is-mgu-def is-unifier-set-id-subst*)

lemma *is-imgu-id-subst: is-imgu id-subst XX \longleftrightarrow ($\forall X \in XX. \text{card } X \leq 1$)*
by (*simp add: is-imgu-def is-unifier-set-id-subst*)

6.3 Generalization

sublocale *generalizes: preorder generalizes strictly-generalizes*

proof *unfold-locales*

show $\bigwedge x y. \text{strictly-generalizes } x y = (\text{generalizes } x y \wedge \neg \text{generalizes } y x)$

unfolding *strictly-generalizes-def generalizes-def* **by** *blast*

next

show $\bigwedge x. \text{generalizes } x x$

unfolding *generalizes-def* **using** *subst-id-subst* **by** *metis*

next

show $\bigwedge x y z. \text{generalizes } x y \implies \text{generalizes } y z \implies \text{generalizes } x z$

unfolding *generalizes-def* **using** *subst-comp-subst* **by** *metis*

qed

6.4 Substituting on Ground Expressions

lemma *subst-ident-if-ground*[simp]: $is_ground\ x \implies x \cdot \sigma = x$
using *all-subst-ident-if-ground* **by** *simp*

lemma *subst-set-ident-if-ground*[simp]: $is_ground_set\ X \implies subst_set\ X\ \sigma = X$
unfolding *is-ground-set-def* *subst-set-def* **by** *simp*

6.5 Instances of Ground Expressions

lemma *instances-ident-if-ground*[simp]: $is_ground\ x \implies instances\ x = \{x\}$
unfolding *instances-def* *generalizes-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *instances-set-ident-if-ground*[simp]: $is_ground_set\ X \implies instances_set\ X = X$
unfolding *instances-set-def* *is-ground-set-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *ground-instances-ident-if-ground*[simp]: $is_ground\ x \implies ground_instances\ x = \{x\}$
unfolding *ground-instances-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ground-instances-set-ident-if-ground*[simp]: $is_ground_set\ X \implies ground_instances_set\ X = X$
unfolding *is-ground-set-def* *ground-instances-set-eq-Union-ground-instances* **by** *simp*

6.6 Unifier of Ground Expressions

lemma *ground-eq-ground-if-unifiable*:
assumes *is-unifier* $v\ \{t_1, t_2\}$ **and** *is-ground* t_1 **and** *is-ground* t_2
shows $t_1 = t_2$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add: card-Suc-eq is-unifier-def le-Suc-eq subst-set-def*)

lemma *ball-eq-constant-if-unifier*:
assumes *finite* X **and** $x \in X$ **and** *is-unifier* $v\ X$ **and** *is-ground-set* X
shows $\forall y \in X. y = x$
using *assms*
proof (*induction* X *rule: finite-induct*)
case *empty*
show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*insert* $z\ F$)
then show *?case*
by (*metis is-ground-set-def finite.insertI is-unifier-iff-if-finite subst-ident-if-ground*)
qed

lemma *subst-mgu-eq-subst-mgu*:
assumes *is-mgu* $\mu\ \{\{t_1, t_2\}\}$
shows $t_1 \cdot \mu = t_2 \cdot \mu$
using *assms is-unifier-iff-if-finite*[*of* $\{t_1, t_2\}$]

unfolding *is-mgu-def is-unifier-set-def*
by *blast*

lemma *subst-imgu-eq-subst-imgu*:
assumes *is-imgu* μ $\{\{t_1, t_2\}\}$
shows $t_1 \cdot \mu = t_2 \cdot \mu$
using *assms is-unifier-iff-if-finite*[of $\{t_1, t_2\}$]
unfolding *is-imgu-def is-unifier-set-def*
by *blast*

6.7 Ground Substitutions

lemma *is-ground-subst-comp-left*: *is-ground-subst* $\sigma \implies$ *is-ground-subst* $(\sigma \odot \tau)$
by (*simp add: is-ground-subst-def*)

lemma *is-ground-subst-comp-right*: *is-ground-subst* $\tau \implies$ *is-ground-subst* $(\sigma \odot \tau)$
by (*simp add: is-ground-subst-def*)

lemma *is-ground-subst-is-ground*:
assumes *is-ground-subst* γ
shows *is-ground* $(t \cdot \gamma)$
using *assms is-ground-subst-def* **by** *blast*

6.8 IMGU is Idempotent and an MGU

lemma *is-imgu-iff-is-idem-and-is-mgu*: *is-imgu* μ $XX \iff$ *is-idem* $\mu \wedge$ *is-mgu* μ XX
by (*auto simp add: is-imgu-def is-idem-def is-mgu-def simp flip: comp-subst.assoc*)

6.9 IMGU can be used before unification

lemma *subst-imgu-subst-unifier*:
assumes *unif*: *is-unifier* v X **and** *imgu*: *is-imgu* μ $\{X\}$ **and** $x \in X$
shows $x \cdot \mu \cdot v = x \cdot v$

proof –

have $x \cdot \mu \cdot v = x \cdot (\mu \odot v)$
by *simp*

also have $\dots = x \cdot v$
using *imgu unif* **by** (*simp add: is-imgu-def is-unifier-set-def*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

6.10 Groundings Idempotence

lemma *image-ground-instances-ground-instances*:
ground-instances ‘ *ground-instances* $x = (\lambda x. \{x\})$ ‘ *ground-instances* x
proof (*rule image-cong*)
show $\bigwedge x_G. x_G \in$ *ground-instances* $x \implies$ *ground-instances* $x_G = \{x_G\}$

using *ground-instances-ident-if-ground ground-instances-def* **by** *auto*
qed *simp*

lemma *grounding-of-set-grounding-of-set-idem[simp]*:
ground-instances-set (ground-instances-set X) = ground-instances-set X
unfolding *ground-instances-set-eq-Union-ground-instances UN-UN-flatten*
unfolding *image-ground-instances-ground-instances*
by *simp*

6.11 Instances of Substitution

lemma *instances-subst*:
instances (x · σ) \subseteq instances x
proof (*rule subsetI*)
fix x_σ **assume** $x_\sigma \in \text{instances } (x \cdot \sigma)$
thus $x_\sigma \in \text{instances } x$
by (*metis CollectD CollectI generalizes-def instances-def subst-comp-subst*)
qed

lemma *instances-set-subst-set*:
instances-set (subst-set X σ) \subseteq instances-set X
unfolding *instances-set-def subst-set-def*
using *instances-subst* **by** *auto*

lemma *ground-instances-subst*:
ground-instances (x · σ) \subseteq ground-instances x
unfolding *ground-instances-def*
using *instances-subst* **by** *auto*

lemma *ground-instances-set-subst-set*:
ground-instances-set (subst-set X σ) \subseteq ground-instances-set X
unfolding *ground-instances-set-def*
using *instances-set-subst-set* **by** *auto*

6.12 Instances of Renamed Expressions

lemma *instances-subst-ident-if-renaming[simp]*:
is-renaming $\varrho \implies \text{instances } (x \cdot \varrho) = \text{instances } x$
by (*metis instances-subst is-renaming-def subset-antisym subst-comp-subst subst-id-subst*)

lemma *instances-set-subst-set-ident-if-renaming[simp]*:
is-renaming $\varrho \implies \text{instances-set } (\text{subst-set } X \varrho) = \text{instances-set } X$
by (*simp add: instances-set-def subst-set-def*)

lemma *ground-instances-subst-ident-if-renaming[simp]*:
is-renaming $\varrho \implies \text{ground-instances } (x \cdot \varrho) = \text{ground-instances } x$
by (*simp add: ground-instances-def*)

lemma *ground-instances-set-subst-set-ident-if-renaming[simp]*:
is-renaming $\varrho \implies \text{ground-instances-set } (\text{subst-set } X \varrho) = \text{ground-instances-set } X$

```

    by (simp add: ground-instances-set-def)

end

end

theory Substitution-First-Order-Term
  imports
    Substitution
    First-Order-Terms.Unification
begin

abbreviation is-ground-trm where
  is-ground-trm t  $\equiv$  vars-term t = {}

lemma is-ground-iff: is-ground-trm (t ·  $\gamma$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in$  vars-term t. is-ground-trm
( $\gamma$  x))
  by (induction t) simp-all

lemma is-ground-trm-iff-ident-forall-subst: is-ground-trm t  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall \sigma$ . t ·  $\sigma$  = t)
proof (induction t)
  case Var
  then show ?case
    by auto
next
  case Fun
  moreover have  $\bigwedge xs$  x  $\sigma$ .  $\forall \sigma$ . map ( $\lambda s$ . s ·  $\sigma$ ) xs = xs  $\implies$  x  $\in$  set xs  $\implies$  x ·  $\sigma$ 
= x
  by (metis list.map-ident map-eq-conv)

  ultimately show ?case
    by (auto simp: map-idI)
qed

global-interpretation term-subst: substitution where
  subst = subst-apply-term and id-subst = Var and comp-subst = subst-compose
and
  is-ground = is-ground-trm
proof unfold-locales
  show  $\bigwedge x$ . x · Var = x
    by simp
next
  show  $\bigwedge x$   $\sigma$   $\tau$ . x ·  $\sigma$   $\circ_s$   $\tau$  = x ·  $\sigma$  ·  $\tau$ 
    by simp
next
  show  $\bigwedge x$ . is-ground-trm x  $\implies$   $\forall \sigma$ . x ·  $\sigma$  = x
    using is-ground-trm-iff-ident-forall-subst ..
qed

```

lemma *term-subst-is-unifier-iff-unifiers*:

assumes *finite X*

shows *term-subst.is-unifier $\mu X \longleftrightarrow \mu \in \text{unifiers } (X \times X)$*

unfolding *term-subst.is-unifier-iff-if-finite[OF assms] unifiers-def*

by *simp*

lemma *term-subst-is-unifier-set-iff-unifiers*:

assumes $\forall X \in XX. \text{finite } X$

shows *term-subst.is-unifier-set $\mu XX \longleftrightarrow \mu \in \text{unifiers } (\bigcup X \in XX. X \times X)$*

using *term-subst-is-unifier-iff-unifiers assms*

unfolding *term-subst.is-unifier-set-def unifiers-def*

by *fast*

lemma *term-subst-is-imagu-iff-is-imagu*:

assumes $\forall X \in XX. \text{finite } X$

shows *term-subst.is-imagu $\mu XX \longleftrightarrow \text{is-imagu } \mu (\bigcup X \in XX. X \times X)$*

using *term-subst-is-unifier-set-iff-unifiers[OF assms]*

unfolding *term-subst.is-imagu-def is-imagu-def*

by *auto*

lemma *range-vars-subset-if-is-imagu*:

assumes *term-subst.is-imagu $\mu XX \forall X \in XX. \text{finite } X \text{ finite } XX$*

shows *range-vars $\mu \subseteq (\bigcup t \in \bigcup XX. \text{vars-term } t)$*

proof –

have *is-imagu: is-imagu $\mu (\bigcup X \in XX. X \times X)$*

using *term-subst-is-imagu-iff-is-imagu[of XX] assms*

by *simp*

have *finite-prod: finite $(\bigcup X \in XX. X \times X)$*

using *assms*

by *blast*

have $(\bigcup e \in \bigcup X \in XX. X \times X. \text{vars-term } (\text{fst } e) \cup \text{vars-term } (\text{snd } e)) = (\bigcup t \in \bigcup XX. \text{vars-term } t)$

by *fastforce*

then show *?thesis*

using *imagu-range-vars-subset[OF is-imagu finite-prod]*

by *argo*

qed

lemma *term-subst-is-renaming-iff*:

term-subst.is-renaming $\varrho \longleftrightarrow \text{inj } \varrho \wedge (\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\varrho x))$

proof (*rule iffI*)

show *term-subst.is-renaming $\varrho \Longrightarrow \text{inj } \varrho \wedge (\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\varrho x))$*

unfolding *term-subst.is-renaming-def subst-compose-def inj-def*

by (*metis term.sel(1) is-VarI subst-apply-eq-Var*)

next

show *inj $\varrho \wedge (\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\varrho x)) \Longrightarrow \text{term-subst.is-renaming } \varrho$*

unfolding *term-subst.is-renaming-def*
using *ex-inverse-of-renaming* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *term-subst-is-renaming-iff-ex-inj-fun-on-vars:*
term-subst.is-renaming $\varrho \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. \text{inj } f \wedge \varrho = \text{Var} \circ f)$

proof (*rule iffI*)

assume *term-subst.is-renaming* ϱ
hence *inj* ϱ **and** *all-Var*: $\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\varrho x)$
unfolding *term-subst-is-renaming-iff* **by** *simp-all*
from *all-Var* **obtain** f **where** $\forall x. \varrho x = \text{Var } (f x)$
by (*metis comp-apply term.collapse(1)*)
hence $\varrho = \text{Var} \circ f$
using $\langle \forall x. \varrho x = \text{Var } (f x) \rangle$
by (*intro ext*) *simp*
moreover **have** *inj* f
using $\langle \text{inj } \varrho \rangle$ **unfolding** $\langle \varrho = \text{Var} \circ f \rangle$
using *inj-on-imageI2* **by** *metis*
ultimately show $\exists f. \text{inj } f \wedge \varrho = \text{Var} \circ f$
by *metis*

next

show $\exists f. \text{inj } f \wedge \varrho = \text{Var} \circ f \implies \text{term-subst.is-renaming } \varrho$
unfolding *term-subst-is-renaming-iff* *comp-apply inj-def*
by *auto*

qed

lemma *ground-ingu-equals:*

assumes *is-ground-trm* t_1 **and** *is-ground-trm* t_2 **and** *term-subst.is-ingu* $\mu \{\{t_1, t_2\}\}$
shows $t_1 = t_2$
using *assms*
using *term-subst.ground-eq-ground-if-unifiable*
by (*metis insertCI term-subst.is-ingu-def term-subst.is-unifier-set-def*)

lemma *the-mgu-is-unifier:*

assumes $\text{term} \cdot \text{the-mgu term term}' = \text{term}' \cdot \text{the-mgu term term}'$
shows *term-subst.is-unifier* (*the-mgu term term'*) $\{\text{term}, \text{term}'\}$
using *assms*
unfolding *term-subst.is-unifier-def the-mgu-def*
by *simp*

lemma *ingu-exists-extendable:*

fixes $v :: ('f, 'v) \text{subst}$
assumes $\text{term} \cdot v = \text{term}' \cdot v$ *P term term'* (*the-mgu term term'*)
obtains $\mu :: ('f, 'v) \text{subst}$
where $v = \mu \circ_s v$ *term-subst.is-ingu* $\mu \{\{\text{term}, \text{term}'\}\}$ *P term term'* μ
proof
have *finite*: *finite* $\{\text{term}, \text{term}'\}$
by *simp*

```

have term-subst.is-unifier-set (the-mgu term term') {{term, term'}}
  unfolding term-subst.is-unifier-set-def
  using the-mgu-is-unifier[OF the-mgu[OF assms(1), THEN conjunct1]]
  by simp

moreover have
   $\bigwedge \sigma. \textit{term-subst.is-unifier-set } \sigma \{ \{ \textit{term, term'} \} \} \implies \sigma = \textit{the-mgu term term'}$ 
   $\circ_s \sigma$ 
  unfolding term-subst.is-unifier-set-def
  using term-subst.is-unifier-iff-if-finite[OF finite] the-mgu
  by blast

ultimately have is-imgu: term-subst.is-imgu (the-mgu term term') {{term,
term'}}
  unfolding term-subst.is-imgu-def
  by metis

show  $v = (\textit{the-mgu term term'}) \circ_s v$ 
  using the-mgu[OF assms(1)]
  by blast

show term-subst.is-imgu (the-mgu term term') {{term, term'}}
  using is-imgu
  by blast

show  $P \textit{ term term'} (\textit{the-mgu term term'})$ 
  using assms(2).
qed

lemma imgu-exists:
  fixes  $v :: ('f, 'v) \textit{subst}$ 
  assumes  $\textit{term} \cdot v = \textit{term'} \cdot v$ 
  obtains  $\mu :: ('f, 'v) \textit{subst}$ 
  where  $v = \mu \circ_s v$  term-subst.is-imgu  $\mu \{ \{ \textit{term, term'} \} \}$ 
  using imgu-exists-extendable[OF assms, of ( $\lambda - - . \textit{True}$ )]
  by auto

lemma is-renaming-if-term-subst-is-renaming:
  assumes term-subst.is-renaming  $\rho$ 
  shows is-renaming  $\rho$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: inj-on-def is-renaming-def term-subst-is-renaming-iff)

end
theory Substitution-HOL-ex-Unification
imports
  Substitution

```



```

    HOL-ex.Unification
begin

no-notation Comb (infix · 60)

quotient-type 'a subst = ('a × 'a trm) list / (≐)
proof (rule equivpI)
  show reflp (≐)
    using reflpI subst-refl by metis
next
  show symp (≐)
    using sympI subst-sym by metis
next
  show transp (≐)
    using transpI subst-trans by metis
qed

lift-definition subst-comp :: 'a subst ⇒ 'a subst ⇒ 'a subst (infixl ∘ 67)
is Unification.comp
using Unification.subst-cong .

definition subst-id :: 'a subst where
  subst-id = abs-subst []

global-interpretation subst-comp: monoid subst-comp subst-id
proof unfold-locales
  show  $\bigwedge a b c. a \circ b \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c)$ 
    by (smt (verit, del-Insts) Quotient3-abs-rep Quotient3-subst Unification.comp-assoc
        subst.abs-eq-iff subst-comp.abs-eq)
next
  show  $\bigwedge a. \text{subst-id} \circ a = a$ 
    by (metis Quotient3-abs-rep Quotient3-subst comp.simps(1) subst-comp.abs-eq
        subst-id-def)
next
  show  $\bigwedge a. a \circ \text{subst-id} = a$ 
    by (metis Quotient3-abs-rep Quotient3-subst comp-Nil subst-comp.abs-eq subst-id-def)
qed

lift-definition subst-apply :: 'a trm ⇒ 'a subst ⇒ 'a trm
is Unification.subst
using Unification.subst-eq-dest .

abbreviation is-ground-trm where
  is-ground-trm t ≡ vars-of t = {}

global-interpretation term-subst: substitution where
  subst = subst-apply and id-subst = subst-id and comp-subst = subst-comp and
  is-ground = is-ground-trm
proof unfold-locales

```

```

show  $\bigwedge x a b. \text{subst-apply } x (a \odot b) = \text{subst-apply } (\text{subst-apply } x a) b$ 
  by (metis map-fun-apply subst-apply.abs-eq subst-apply.rep-eq subst-comp subst-comp-def)
next
show  $\bigwedge x. \text{subst-apply } x \text{subst-id} = x$ 
  by (simp add: subst-apply.abs-eq subst-id-def)
next
show  $\bigwedge x. \text{is-ground-trm } x \implies \forall \sigma. \text{subst-apply } x \sigma = x$ 
  by (metis agreement empty-iff subst-Nil subst-apply.rep-eq)
qed

end

```