

# ABY3 Multiplication and Array Shuffling

Shuwei Hu

May 26, 2024

## Abstract

We formalizes two protocols from a privacy-preserving machine-learning framework based on ABY3 [2], a particular three-party computation framework where inputs are systematically ‘reshared’ without being considered as privacy leakage. In particular, we consider the multiplication protocol [2] and the array shuffling protocol [1], both based on ABY3’s additive secret sharing scheme. We proved their security in the semi-honest setting under the ideal/real simulation paradigm. These two proof-of-concept opens the door to further verification of more protocols within the framework.

## Contents

```
theory Finite-Number-Type
imports
  HOL-Library.Cardinality
begin

  To avoid carrying the modulo all the time, we introduce a new type for
  integers  $\{0::'a.. $L\}$  with the only restriction that the bound  $L$  must be
  greater than 1. It generalizes  $Z_{2^k}$ , the group considered in ABY3’s additive
  secret sharing scheme.

  consts L :: nat

  specification (L) L-gt-1:  $L > 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

  typedef natL = {0..<int L}
  ⟨proof⟩

  setup-lifting type-definition-natL

  instantiation natL :: comm-ring-1
begin

  lift-definition zero-natL :: natL is 0$ 
```

```

⟨proof⟩

lift-definition one-natL :: natL is 1
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition uminus-natL :: natL ⇒ natL is λx. (−x) mod int L
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition plus-natL :: natL ⇒ natL ⇒ natL is λx y. (x + y) mod int L
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition minus-natL :: natL ⇒ natL ⇒ natL is λx y. (x − y) mod int L
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition times-natL :: natL ⇒ natL ⇒ natL is λx y. (x * y) mod int L
⟨proof⟩

instance
⟨proof⟩

end
typ int

instantiation natL :: {distrib-lattice, bounded-lattice, linorder}
begin

lift-definition inf-natL :: natL ⇒ natL ⇒ natL is inf
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition sup-natL :: natL ⇒ natL ⇒ natL is sup
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition less-eq-natL :: natL ⇒ natL ⇒ bool is less-eq ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition less-natL :: natL ⇒ natL ⇒ bool is less ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition top-natL :: natL is int L−1
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition bot-natL :: natL is 0
⟨proof⟩

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation natL :: semiring-modulo
begin

```

```

lift-definition divide-natL :: natL  $\Rightarrow$  natL  $\Rightarrow$  natL is divide
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition modulo-natL :: natL  $\Rightarrow$  natL  $\Rightarrow$  natL is modulo
  ⟨proof⟩

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instance natL :: finite
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma natL-card[simp]:
  CARD(natL) = L
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Additive-Sharing
imports
  CryptHOL.CryptHOL
  Finite-Number-Type
begin

datatype Role = Party1 | Party2 | Party3

lemma Role-exhaust'[dest!]:
  r  $\neq$  Party1  $\Longrightarrow$  r  $\neq$  Party2  $\Longrightarrow$  r  $\neq$  Party3  $\Longrightarrow$  False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Role-exhaust:
  (r1::Role) $\neq$ r2  $\Longrightarrow$  r2 $\neq$ r3  $\Longrightarrow$  r3 $\neq$ r1  $\Longrightarrow$  r=r1  $\vee$  r=r2  $\vee$  r=r3
  ⟨proof⟩

type-synonym 'a sharing = Role  $\Rightarrow$  'a

instance Role :: finite
  ⟨proof⟩

definition map-sharing :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a sharing  $\Rightarrow$  'b sharing where
  map-sharing f x = f  $\circ$  x

definition get-party :: Role  $\Rightarrow$  'a sharing  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  get-party r x = x r

lemma map-sharing-sel[simp]:
  get-party r (map-sharing f x) = f (get-party r x)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *make-sharing'* :: *Role*  $\Rightarrow$  *Role*  $\Rightarrow$  *Role*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*'  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*'  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*' sharing  
**where**  
*make-sharing'* *r1 r2 r3 x1 x2 x3* = *undefined(r1:=x1, r2:=x2, r3:=x3)*

**abbreviation** *make-sharing*  $\equiv$  *make-sharing'* *Party1 Party2 Party3*

**lemma** *make-sharing'-sel*:  
**assumes** *r1 ≠ r2 r2 ≠ r3 r3 ≠ r1*  
**shows**  
*get-party r1 (make-sharing' r1 r2 r3 x1 x2 x3) = x1*  
*get-party r2 (make-sharing' r1 r2 r3 x1 x2 x3) = x2*  
*get-party r3 (make-sharing' r1 r2 r3 x1 x2 x3) = x3*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *make-sharing-sel[simp]*:  
**shows**  
*get-party Party1 (make-sharing x1 x2 x3) = x1*  
*get-party Party2 (make-sharing x1 x2 x3) = x2*  
*get-party Party3 (make-sharing x1 x2 x3) = x3*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**primrec** *next-role* **where**  
*next-role Party1 = Party2*  
| *next-role Party2 = Party3*  
| *next-role Party3 = Party1*

**primrec** *prev-role* **where**  
*prev-role Party1 = Party3*  
| *prev-role Party2 = Party1*  
| *prev-role Party3 = Party2*

**lemma** *next-sharing-neq-self[simp]*:  
*next-role r = r  $\longleftrightarrow$  False* *r = next-role r  $\longleftrightarrow$  False*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prev-sharing-neq-self[simp]*:  
*prev-role r = r  $\longleftrightarrow$  False* *r = prev-role r  $\longleftrightarrow$  False*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *next-sharing-neq-prev[simp]*:  
*next-role r = prev-role r  $\longleftrightarrow$  False* *prev-role r = next-role r  $\longleftrightarrow$  False*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *role-otherE*:  
**obtains** *r :: Role* **where** *r0 ≠ r r ≠ r1*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma make-sharing-sel-p2:
  shows
    get-party (prev-role r) (make-sharing' (prev-role r) r (next-role r) x1 x2 x3) =
    x1
    get-party r           (make-sharing' (prev-role r) r (next-role r) x1 x2 x3) = x2
    get-party (next-role r) (make-sharing' (prev-role r) r (next-role r) x1 x2 x3) =
    x3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sharing-cases[cases type]:
  obtains x1 x2 x3 where s = make-sharing x1 x2 x3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sharing-cases':
  assumes p1≠p2 p2≠p3 p3≠p1
  obtains x1 x2 x3 where s = make-sharing' p1 p2 p3 x1 x2 x3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma make-sharing-collapse[simp]:
  make-sharing (get-party Party1 s) (get-party Party2 s) (get-party Party3 s) = s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sharing-eqI':
  [get-party Party1 x = get-party Party1 y;
   get-party Party2 x = get-party Party2 y;
   get-party Party3 x = get-party Party3 y]
   $\implies x = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sharing-eqI[intro]:
  ( $\bigwedge r.$  get-party r x = get-party r y)  $\implies x = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation prod-sharing :: 'a sharing  $\Rightarrow$  'b sharing  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b) sharing where
  prod-sharing  $\equiv$  corec-prod

abbreviation map-sharing2 :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  'a sharing  $\Rightarrow$  'b sharing  $\Rightarrow$  'c
  sharing where
  map-sharing2 f xs ys  $\equiv$  map-sharing (case-prod f) (prod-sharing xs ys)

lemma prod-sharing-sel[simp]:
  get-party r (prod-sharing x y) = (get-party r x, get-party r y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-sharing-def-alt:
  prod-sharing x y = make-sharing
  (get-party Party1 x, get-party Party1 y)
  (get-party Party2 x, get-party Party2 y)
  (get-party Party3 x, get-party Party3 y)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-sharing-map-sel*[simp]:  
*map-sharing fst* (*prod-sharing x y*) = *x*  
*map-sharing snd* (*prod-sharing x y*) = *y*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *shift-sharing* :: '*a sharing*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a sharing* **where**  
*shift-sharing x* = *make-sharing* (*get-party Party3 x*) (*get-party Party1 x*) (*get-party Party2 x*)

**lemma** *shift-sharing-def-alt*:  
*shift-sharing x* = *x*  $\circ$  (*make-sharing Party3 Party1 Party2*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**type-synonym** '*a repsharing* = ('*a*  $\times$  '*a*) *sharing*

**definition** *reshape* :: '*a sharing*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a repsharing* **where**  
*reshape s* = *prod-sharing* (*shift-sharing s*) *s*

**lemma** *reshape-sel*:  
*get-party Party1 (reshape s)* = (*get-party Party3 s*, *get-party Party1 s*)  
*get-party Party2 (reshape s)* = (*get-party Party1 s*, *get-party Party2 s*)  
*get-party Party3 (reshape s)* = (*get-party Party2 s*, *get-party Party3 s*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *derep-sharing* :: '*a repsharing*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a sharing* **where**  
*derep-sharing* = *map-sharing snd*

**lemma** *derep-sharing-sel*:  
*get-party r (derep-sharing s)* = *snd (get-party r s)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *derep-sharing-reshape*[simp]:  
*derep-sharing (reshape s)* = *s*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *map-rephrasing* :: ('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  '*a repsharing*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b repsharing* **where**  
*map-rephrasing f s* = *reshape (map-sharing f (derep-sharing s))*

**lemma** *map-rephrasing-reshape*:  
*map-rephrasing f (reshape s)* = *reshape (map-sharing f s)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *valid-rephrasing* :: '*a repsharing*  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*valid-rephrasing s*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *reshape (derep-sharing s) = s*

**lemma** *valid-rephrasingE*:

```

assumes valid-repsharing s
obtains p where s = reshare p
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-repsharing-def-alt:
valid-repsharing s  $\implies$  map-repsharing f s = map-sharing (map-prod ff) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma reshare-derep-sharing[simp]:
valid-repsharing s  $\implies$  reshare (derep-sharing s) = s
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid-reshape[simp]:
valid-repsharing (reshape s)
⟨proof⟩

definition make-repsharing :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a repsharing where
make-repsharing x1 x2 x3 = reshare (make-sharing x1 x2 x3)

definition reconstruct :: natL sharing  $\Rightarrow$  natL where
reconstruct s = get-party Party1 s + get-party Party2 s + get-party Party3 s

definition reconstruct-rep :: natL repsharing  $\Rightarrow$  natL where
reconstruct-rep s = reconstruct (derep-sharing s)

lemma reconstruct-share[simp]:
reconstruct-rep (reshape s) = reconstruct s
⟨proof⟩

lemma recontrust-def':
assumes r1  $\neq$  r2 r2  $\neq$  r3 r3  $\neq$  r1
shows reconstruct s = get-party r1 s + get-party r2 s + get-party r3 s
⟨proof⟩

lemma reconstruct-make-sharing'[simp]:
assumes r1  $\neq$  r2 r2  $\neq$  r3 r3  $\neq$  r1
shows reconstruct (make-sharing' r1 r2 r3 x1 x2 x3) = x1 + x2 + x3
⟨proof⟩

lemma reconstruct-make-repsharing[simp]:
reconstruct-rep (make-repsharing x1 x2 x3) = x1 + x2 + x3
⟨proof⟩

definition valid-nat-repsharing :: natL  $\Rightarrow$  natL repsharing  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
valid-nat-repsharing v s  $\longleftrightarrow$  reconstruct-rep s = v  $\wedge$  reshare (derep-sharing s) =
s

lemma comp-inj-on-iff':
inj-on (f'  $\circ$  f) A  $\longleftrightarrow$  inj-on f A  $\wedge$  inj-on f' (f ' A)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *corec-prod-inject[simp]*:

$$\text{corec-prod } f g = \text{corec-prod } f' g' \longleftrightarrow f = f' \wedge g = g'$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-on-corec-prodI*:

$$\text{inj-on } f A \vee \text{inj-on } g A \implies \text{inj-on } (\lambda x. \text{corec-prod } (f x) (g x)) A$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-on-reshape[simp]*:

$$\text{inj-on } \text{reshape } A$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-on-make-repsharing-eq-sharing*:

$$\text{inj-on } (\lambda x. \text{make-repsharing } (f x) (g x) (h x)) A \longleftrightarrow \text{inj-on } (\lambda x. \text{make-sharing } (f x) (g x) (h x)) A$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *make-sharing'-inject[simp]*:

**assumes**  $r1 \neq r2 \ r2 \neq r3 \ r3 \neq r1$

$$\text{shows } \text{make-sharing}' r1 r2 r3 x1 x2 x3 = \text{make-sharing}' r1 r2 r3 y1 y2 y3 \longleftrightarrow x1=y1 \wedge x2=y2 \wedge x3=y3$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *make-sharing-inject[simp]*:

$$\text{make-sharing } x1 x2 x3 = \text{make-sharing } y1 y2 y3 \longleftrightarrow x1=y1 \wedge x2=y2 \wedge x3=y3$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *reshape-inject[simp]*:

$$\text{reshape } a = \text{reshape } b \longleftrightarrow a = b$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *make-repsharing-inject[simp]*:

$$\text{make-repsharing } x1 x2 x3 = \text{make-repsharing } y1 y2 y3 \longleftrightarrow x1=y1 \wedge x2=y2 \wedge x3=y3$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-on-make-sharingI*:

$$\text{inj-on } f A \vee \text{inj-on } g A \vee \text{inj-on } h A \implies \text{inj-on } (\lambda x. \text{make-sharing } (f x) (g x) (h x)) A$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-on-make-repsharingI*:

$$\text{inj-on } f A \vee \text{inj-on } g A \vee \text{inj-on } h A \implies \text{inj-on } (\lambda x. \text{make-repsharing } (f x) (g x) (h x)) A$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma finite'-card-0: finite' A  $\longleftrightarrow$  card A  $\neq 0$ 
and card-0-finite': card A = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$ finite' A
<proof>

lemma spmf-of-set-bind:
assumes fin: finite A
and disj: disjoint-family-on f A
and card:  $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies \text{card } (f a) = c$ 
shows spmf-of-set (A  $\ggg$  f) = spmf-of-set A  $\ggg$  ( $\lambda x. \text{spmf-of-set } (f x)$ )
<proof>

lemma ap-set-singleton:
ap-set {f} A = f ` A
<proof>

lemma ap-set-Union:
ap-set F A = ( $\bigcup_{f \in F} f` A$ )
<proof>

lemma ap-set-curry:
ap-set (ap-set F A) B = ap-set (case-prod ` F) (A  $\times$  B)
<proof>

definition share-nat :: natL  $\Rightarrow$  natL sharing spmf where
share-nat x = spmf-of-set (reconstruct  $-` \{x\}$ )

definition zero-sharing :: natL sharing spmf where
zero-sharing = share-nat 0

lemma share-nat-def-calc':
assumes [simp]: r1  $\neq$  r2 r2  $\neq$  r3 r3  $\neq$  r1
shows
share-nat x = (do {
  (x1, x2)  $\leftarrow$  pair-spmf (spmf-of-set UNIV) (spmf-of-set UNIV);
  let x3 = x - (x1 + x2);
  return-spmf (make-sharing' r1 r2 r3 x1 x2 x3)
})
<proof>

lemma share-nat-def-calc:
share-nat x = (do {

```

```


$$(x1,x2) \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } \text{UNIV};$$


$$\text{let } x3 = x - (x1 + x2);$$


$$\text{return-spmf } (\text{make-sharing } x1 x2 x3)$$


$$\})$$


$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


definition repshare-nat :: natL  $\Rightarrow$  natL repsharing spmf where
repshare-nat x = (do {

$$(x1,x2) \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } \text{UNIV};$$


$$\text{let } x3 = x - (x1 + x2);$$


$$\text{return-spmf } (\text{make-repsharing } x1 x2 x3)$$

})

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma repshare-nat-def-share:
repshare-nat x = map-spmf reshare (share-nat x)

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma repshare-nat-def-alt:
repshare-nat x = spmf-of-set {reshare s | s. reconstruct s = x}

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma valid-nat-rephrasing-reshape[simp]:
valid-nat-rephrasing (reconstruct s) (reshape s)

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma valid-nat-rephrasingE:
assumes valid-nat-rephrasing x s
obtains s' where s = reshare s' and reconstruct s' = x

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma repshare-nat-def-alt':
repshare-nat x = spmf-of-set (Collect (valid-nat-rephrasing x))

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma share-nat-valid:
pred-spmf (valid-nat-rephrasing x) (repshare-nat x)

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma prod-sharing-map-fst-snd[simp]:
prod-sharing (map-sharing fst s) (map-sharing snd s) = s

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


end
theory Spmf-Common
imports CryptHOL.CryptHOL
begin

no-adhoc-overloading Monad-Syntax.bind bind-pmf

```

**lemma** *mk-lossless-back-eq*:  
 $\text{scale-spmf} (\text{weight-spmf } s) (\text{mk-lossless } s) = s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cond-spmf-enforce*:  
 $\text{cond-spmf } sx (\text{Collect } A) = \text{mk-lossless} (\text{enforce-spmf } A \ sx)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *rel-scale-spmf*  $s t \longleftrightarrow (\text{mk-lossless } s = \text{mk-lossless } t)$

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-refl*:  
 $\text{rel-scale-spmf } s s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-sym*:  
 $\text{rel-scale-spmf } s t \implies \text{rel-scale-spmf } t s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-trans*:  
 $\text{rel-scale-spmf } s t \implies \text{rel-scale-spmf } t u \implies \text{rel-scale-spmf } s u$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-equiv*:  
 $\text{equivp rel-scale-spmf}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *spmf-eq-iff*:  $p = q \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. \text{spmf } p \ i = \text{spmf } q \ i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *spmf-eq-iff-set*:  
 $\text{set-spmf } a = \text{set-spmf } b \implies (\forall x. x \in \text{set-spmf } b \implies \text{spmf } a \ x = \text{spmf } b \ x) \implies$   
 $a = b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-None*:  
 $\text{rel-scale-spmf } s t \implies s = \text{return-pmf } \text{None} \longleftrightarrow t = \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-def-alt*:  
 $\text{rel-scale-spmf } s t \longleftrightarrow (\exists k > 0. s = \text{scale-spmf } k \ t)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-def-alt2*:  
 $\text{rel-scale-spmf } s t \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(s = \text{return-pmf } \text{None} \wedge t = \text{return-pmf } \text{None})$   
 $\mid (\text{weight-spmf } s > 0 \wedge \text{weight-spmf } t > 0 \wedge s = \text{scale-spmf } (\text{weight-spmf } s /$

*weight-spmf t) t)  
 (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)  
 ⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-scale:*  
 $r > 0 \implies \text{rel-scale-spmf } s \ t \implies \text{rel-scale-spmf } s \ (\text{scale-spmf } r \ t)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-mk-lossless:*  
 $\text{rel-scale-spmf } s \ t \implies \text{rel-scale-spmf } s \ (\text{mk-lossless } t)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-eq-iff:*  
 $\text{rel-scale-spmf } s \ t \implies \text{weight-spmf } s = \text{weight-spmf } t \implies s = t$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-set-restrict:*  
 $\text{finite } A \implies \text{rel-scale-spmf} (\text{restrict-spmf} (\text{spmf-of-set } A) \ B) (\text{spmf-of-set} (A \cap B))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *spmf-of-set-restrict-empty:*  
 $A \cap B = \{\} \implies \text{restrict-spmf} (\text{spmf-of-set } A) \ B = \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *spmf-of-set-restrict-scale:*  
 $\text{finite } A \implies \text{restrict-spmf} (\text{spmf-of-set } A) \ B = \text{scale-spmf} (\text{card } (A \cap B) / \text{card } A) (\text{spmf-of-set } (A \cap B))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *min-em2:*  
 $\text{min } a \ b = c \implies a \neq c \implies b = c$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *weight-0-spmf:*  
 $\text{weight-spmf } s = 0 \implies \text{spmf } s \ i = 0$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *mk-lossless-scale-absorb:*  
 $r > 0 \implies \text{mk-lossless} (\text{scale-spmf } r \ s) = \text{mk-lossless } s$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *scale-spmf-None-iff:*  
 $\text{scale-spmf } k \ s = \text{return-pmf } \text{None} \longleftrightarrow k \leq 0 \vee s = \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *spmf-of-pmf-the:*  
 $\text{lossless-spmf } s \implies \text{spmf-of-pmf} (\text{map-pmf the } s) = s$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *lossless-mk-lossless*:  
 $s \neq \text{return-pmf None} \implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{mk-lossless } s)$   
*(proof)*

**definition** *pmf-of-spmf* **where**  
 $\text{pmf-of-spmf } p = \text{map-pmf} \text{ the} (\text{mk-lossless } p)$

**lemma** *scale-weight-spmf-of-pmf*:  
 $p = \text{scale-spmf} (\text{weight-spmf } p) (\text{spmf-of-pmf} (\text{pmf-of-spmf } p))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *pmf-spmf*:  
 $\text{pmf-of-spmf} (\text{spmf-of-pmf } p) = p$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *spmf-pmf*:  
 $\text{lossless-spmf } p \implies \text{spmf-of-pmf} (\text{pmf-of-spmf } p) = p$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *pmf-of-spmf-scale-spmf*:  
 $r > 0 \implies \text{pmf-of-spmf} (\text{scale-spmf } r p) = \text{pmf-of-spmf } p$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nonempty-spmf-weight*:  
 $p \neq \text{return-pmf None} \longleftrightarrow \text{weight-spmf } p > 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *pmf-of-spmf-mk-lossless*:  
 $\text{pmf-of-spmf} (\text{mk-lossless } p) = \text{pmf-of-spmf } p$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *spmf-pmf'*:  
 $p \neq \text{return-pmf None} \implies \text{spmf-of-pmf} (\text{pmf-of-spmf } p) = \text{mk-lossless } p$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *rel-scale-spmf-cond-UNIV*:  
 $\text{rel-scale-spmf } s (\text{cond-spmf } s \text{ UNIV})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *set-pmf p ∩ g ≠ {}*  $\implies \text{pmf-prob } p (f \cap g) = \text{pmf-prob} (\text{cond-pmf } p g) f$   
 $* \text{pmf-prob } p g$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *bayes*:  
 $\text{set-pmf } p \cap A \neq \{\} \implies \text{set-pmf } p \cap B \neq \{\} \implies$   
 $\text{measure-pmf.prob} (\text{cond-pmf } p A) B$   
 $= \text{measure-pmf.prob} (\text{cond-pmf } p B) A * \text{measure-pmf.prob } p B / \text{measure-pmf.prob}$   
 $p A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $spmf\text{-}prob :: 'a spmf \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow real$  where  
 $spmf\text{-}prob p = Sigma\text{-}Algebra.measure (measure\text{-}spmf p)$

**lemma**  $spmf\text{-}prob = measure\text{-}measure\text{-}spmf$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $spmf\text{-}prob\text{-}pmf$ :  
 $spmf\text{-}prob p A = pmf\text{-}prob p (Some ` A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $bayes\text{-}spmf$ :  
 $spmf\text{-}prob (cond\text{-}spmf p A) B$   
 $= spmf\text{-}prob (cond\text{-}spmf p B) A * spmf\text{-}prob p B / spmf\text{-}prob p A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $spmf\text{-}prob\text{-}pmf\text{-}of\text{-}spmf$ :  
 $spmf\text{-}prob p A = weight\text{-}spmf p * pmf\text{-}prob (pmf\text{-}of\text{-}spmf p) A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cond\text{-}spmf\text{-}Int$ :  
 $cond\text{-}spmf (cond\text{-}spmf p A) B = cond\text{-}spmf p (A \cap B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cond\text{-}spmf\text{-}prob$ :  
 $spmf\text{-}prob p (A \cap B) = spmf\text{-}prob (cond\text{-}spmf p A) B * spmf\text{-}prob p A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $empty\text{-}spmf = return\text{-}pmf None$

**lemma**  $spmf\text{-}prob\text{-}empty$ :  
 $spmf\text{-}prob empty\text{-}spmf A = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $le\text{-}spmf :: 'a spmf \Rightarrow 'a spmf \Rightarrow bool$  where  
 $le\text{-}spmf p q \longleftrightarrow (\exists k \leq 1. p = scale\text{-}spmf k q)$

**definition**  $lt\text{-}spmf :: 'a spmf \Rightarrow 'a spmf \Rightarrow bool$  where  
 $lt\text{-}spmf p q \longleftrightarrow (\exists k < 1. p = scale\text{-}spmf k q)$

**lemma**  $class.order\text{-}bot empty\text{-}spmf le\text{-}spmf lt\text{-}spmf$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $spmf\text{-}prob\text{-}cond\text{-}Int$ :  
 $spmf\text{-}prob (cond\text{-}spmf p C) (A \cap B)$   
 $= spmf\text{-}prob (cond\text{-}spmf p (B \cap C)) A * spmf\text{-}prob (cond\text{-}spmf p C) B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma cond-spmf-mk-lossless:
  cond-spmf (mk-lossless p) A = cond-spmf p A
  ⟨proof⟩

primrec sequence-spmf :: 'a spmf list ⇒ 'a list spmf where
  sequence-spmf [] = return-spmf []
  | sequence-spmf (x#xs) = map-spmf (case-prod Cons) (pair-spmf x (sequence-spmf xs))

lemma set-sequence-spmf:
  set-spmf (sequence-spmf xs) = {l. list-all2 (λx s. x ∈ set-spmf s) l xs}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-spmf-map-sequence:
  map-spmf (map f) (sequence-spmf xs) = sequence-spmf (map (map-spmf f) xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sequence-map-return-spmf:
  sequence-spmf (map return-spmf xs) = return-spmf xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sequence-bind-cong:
  [xs=ys; ∀y. length y = length ys ⇒ f y = g y] ⇒ bind-spmf (sequence-spmf xs) f = bind-spmf (sequence-spmf ys) g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-spmf-sequence-replicate-cong:
  (∀l. length l = n ⇒ f l = g l)
  ⇒ bind-spmf (sequence-spmf (replicate n x)) f = bind-spmf (sequence-spmf (replicate n x)) g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-spmf-sequence-map-cong:
  (∀l. length l = length x ⇒ f l = g l)
  ⇒ bind-spmf (sequence-spmf (map m x)) f = bind-spmf (sequence-spmf (map m x)) g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-pair-spmf-iff:
  lossless-spmf (pair-spmf a b) ←→ lossless-spmf a ∧ lossless-spmf b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-sequence-spmf:
  (∀x. x ∈ set xs ⇒ lossless-spmf x) ⇒ lossless-spmf (sequence-spmf xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Sharing-Lemmas
  imports

```

*Additive-Sharing*

**begin**

**lemma** *share-nat-2party-uniform*:

$p \neq q \implies \text{map-spmf}(\lambda s. (\text{get-party } p s, \text{get-party } q s)) (\text{share-nat } x) = \text{spmf-of-set UNIV}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *share-nat-party-uniform*:

$\text{map-spmf}(\text{get-party } p) (\text{share-nat } x) = \text{spmf-of-set UNIV}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *is-uniform-sharing* ::  $\text{natL sharing spmf} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

$\text{is-uniform-sharing } s \longleftrightarrow (\exists x :: \text{natL spmf}. s = \text{bind-spmf } x \text{ share-nat})$

**definition** *is-uniform-sharing2* ::  $(\text{natL sharing} \times \text{natL sharing}) \text{ spmf} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

$\text{is-uniform-sharing2 } s \longleftrightarrow (\exists xy :: (\text{natL} \times \text{natL}) \text{ spmf}.$   
 $s = \text{bind-spmf } xy (\lambda(x,y). \text{pair-spmf}(\text{share-nat } x) (\text{share-nat } y)))$

**lemma** *share-nat-uniform*:

$\text{is-uniform-sharing}(\text{share-nat } x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *share-nat-lossless*:

$\text{lossless-spmf}(\text{share-nat } x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *uniform-sharing2*:

$\text{is-uniform-sharing } s \implies p1 \neq p2 \implies \text{map-spmf}(\lambda x. (\text{get-party } p1 x, \text{get-party } p2 x)) s = \text{scale-spmf}(\text{weight-spmf } s) (\text{spmf-of-set UNIV})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *uniform-sharing*:

$\text{is-uniform-sharing } s \implies \text{map-spmf}(\text{get-party } p) s = \text{scale-spmf}(\text{weight-spmf } s)$   
 $(\text{spmf-of-set UNIV})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *uniform-sharing'*:

$[\text{is-uniform-sharing } s; \text{lossless-spmf } s] \implies \text{map-spmf}(\text{get-party } p) s = \text{spmf-of-set UNIV}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-masking-uniform*:

$p \neq q \implies (\text{map-spmf}((\lambda t. (\text{get-party } p t, \text{get-party } q t)) \circ \text{map-sharing2 } (+) s)$   
 $\text{zero-sharing}) = \text{spmf-of-set UNIV}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sharing-eqI2[consumes 3]:*

**assumes**  $p1 \neq p2 \ p2 \neq p3 \ p3 \neq p1 \ \wedge \ p \in \{p1, p2, p3\}$   $\implies \text{get-party } p \ s = \text{get-party } p \ t$   
**shows**  $s = t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sharing-map[simp]:*

**assumes**  $p1 \neq p2 \ p2 \neq p3 \ p3 \neq p1$   
**shows**  $\text{map-sharing } f (\text{make-sharing}' p1 p2 p3 x1 x2 x3) = \text{make-sharing}' p1 p2 p3 (f \ x1) (f \ x2) (f \ x3)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sharing-prod[simp]:*

**assumes**  $p1 \neq p2 \ p2 \neq p3 \ p3 \neq p1$   
**shows**  $\text{prod-sharing} (\text{make-sharing}' p1 p2 p3 x1 x2 x3) (\text{make-sharing}' p1 p2 p3 y1 y2 y3)$   
=  $\text{make-sharing}' p1 p2 p3 (x1, y1) (x2, y2) (x3, y3)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-sharing-inj:*

$\text{inj} (\text{map-sharing2 } (+) (s :: \text{natL sharing}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-sharing-surj:*

$\text{surj} (\text{map-sharing2 } (+) (s :: \text{natL sharing}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sharing-add-inv-eq-minus:*

$\text{Hilbert-Choice.inv} (\text{map-sharing2 } (+) (s :: \text{natL sharing})) \ t = \text{map-sharing2 } (-) \ t \ s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-masking-eq-share-nat:*

$\text{map-spmf} (\text{map-sharing2 } (+) (s :: \text{natL sharing})) \ \text{zero-sharing} = \text{share-nat} (\text{reconstruct } s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inv-uniform':*

**assumes**  $ss: s \subseteq U$  **and**  $\text{inj-on } f \ U$   
**shows**  $\text{map-spmf} (\lambda x. (x, f x)) (\text{spmf-of-set } s) = \text{map-spmf} (\lambda y. (\text{Hilbert-Choice.inv-into } U \ f \ y, y)) (\text{spmf-of-set } (f \ ' \ s))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inv-uniform:*

$\text{inj } f \implies \text{map-spmf} (\lambda x. (x, f x)) (\text{spmf-of-set } s) = \text{map-spmf} (\lambda y. (\text{Hilbert-Choice.inv } f \ y, y)) (\text{spmf-of-set } (f \ ' \ s))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *reconstruct-plus*:  
 $\text{reconstruct} (\text{map-sharing2 } (+) \ x \ y) = \text{reconstruct } x + \text{reconstruct } y$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *reconstruct-minus*:  
 $\text{reconstruct} (\text{map-sharing2 } (-) \ x \ y) = \text{reconstruct } x - \text{reconstruct } y$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *plus-reconstruct*:  
 $\text{map-sharing2 } (+) \ x \cdot \text{reconstruct} \ -^c \ \{y\} = \text{reconstruct} \ -^c \ \{\text{reconstruct } x + y\}$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *inv-zero-sharing*:  
 $\text{map-spmf} (\lambda \zeta. (\zeta, \text{map-sharing2 } (+) \ x \ \zeta)) \text{ zero-sharing} = \text{map-spmf} (\lambda y. (\text{map-sharing2 } (-) \ y \ x, y)) \text{ (share-nat (reconstruct } x))$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hoist-map-spmf*:  
 $(\text{do } \{x \leftarrow s; g x (f x)\}) = (\text{do } \{(x,y) \leftarrow \text{map-spmf} (\lambda x. (x, f x)) \ s; g x y\})$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hoist-map-spmf'*:  
 $(\text{do } \{x \leftarrow s; g x (f x)\}) = (\text{do } \{(y,x) \leftarrow \text{map-spmf} (\lambda x. (f x, x)) \ s; g x y\})$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**definition** *HOIST-TAG*  $x = x$   
**lemmas** *hoist-tag* = *HOIST-TAG-def*[*symmetric*]

**lemma** *tagged-hoist-map-spmf*:  
 $(\text{do } \{x \leftarrow s; g x (\text{HOIST-TAG } (f x))\}) = (\text{do } \{(x,y) \leftarrow \text{map-spmf} (\lambda x. (x, f x)) \ s; g x y\})$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *get-prev-sharing*[*simp*]:  
 $\text{get-party } p \ (\text{shift-sharing } s) = \text{get-party } (\text{prev-role } p) \ s$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *shift-sharing-make-sharing*:  
 $\text{shift-sharing } (\text{make-sharing } x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3) = \text{make-sharing } x_3 \ x_1 \ x_2$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *reconstruct-share-nat*:  
 $\text{map-spmf } (\lambda xs. (xs, \text{reconstruct } xs)) \ (\text{share-nat } x) = \text{map-spmf } (\lambda xs. (xs, x)) \ (\text{share-nat } x) \text{ for } x$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *weight-share-nat*:  
 $\text{weight-spmf } (\text{share-nat } x) = 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
end
theory Shuffle
imports
  CryptHOL.CryptHOL
  Additive-Sharing
  Spmf-Common
  Sharing-Lemmas
begin
```

This is the formalization of the array shuffling protocol defined in [1] adapted for the ABY3 sharing scheme. For the moment, we assume an oracle that generates uniformly distributed permutations, instead of instantiating it with e.g. Fischer-Yates algorithm.

```
no-notation (ASCII) comp (infixl o 55)
no-notation m-inv (inv1 - [81] 80)
```

```
no-adhoc-overloading Monad-Syntax.bind bind-pmf
```

```
fun shuffleF :: natL sharing list  $\Rightarrow$  natL sharing list spmf where
  shuffleF xsl = spmf-of-set (permutations-of-multiset (mset xsl))
```

```
type-synonym zero-sharing = natL sharing list
type-synonym party2-data = natL list
type-synonym party01-permutation = nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
type-synonym phase-msg = zero-sharing  $\times$  party2-data  $\times$  party01-permutation
```

```
type-synonym role-msg = (natL list  $\times$  natL list  $\times$  natL list)  $\times$  party2-data  $\times$  (party01-permutation  $\times$  party01-permutation)
```

```
definition aby3-stack-sharing :: Role  $\Rightarrow$  natL sharing  $\Rightarrow$  natL sharing where
  aby3-stack-sharing r s = make-sharing' r (next-role r) (prev-role r)
    (get-party r s)
    (get-party (next-role r) s + get-party (prev-role r) s)
    0
```

```
definition aby3-do-permute :: Role  $\Rightarrow$  natL sharing list  $\Rightarrow$  (phase-msg  $\times$  natL sharing list) spmf where
  aby3-do-permute r x = (do {
    let n = length x;
     $\zeta \leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (replicate n zero-sharing);
     $\pi \leftarrow$  spmf-of-set { $\pi$ .  $\pi$  permutes {.. $<n$ }};
    let x2 = map (get-party (prev-role r)) x;
    let y' = map (aby3-stack-sharing r) x;
    let y = map2 (map-sharing2 (+)) (permute-list  $\pi$  y')  $\zeta$ ;
    let msg = ( $\zeta$ , x2,  $\pi$ );
```

```

    return-spmf (msg, y)
})

```

**definition** *aby3-shuffleR* :: *natL sharing list*  $\Rightarrow$  (*role-msg sharing*  $\times$  *natL sharing list*) *spmf* **where**

```

aby3-shuffleR x = (do {
  (( $\zeta a, x', \pi a$ ), a)  $\leftarrow$  aby3-do-permute Party1 x; — 1st round
  (( $\zeta b, a', \pi b$ ), b)  $\leftarrow$  aby3-do-permute Party2 a; — 2nd round
  (( $\zeta c, b', \pi c$ ), c)  $\leftarrow$  aby3-do-permute Party3 b; — 3rd round
  let msg1 = ((map (get-party Party1)  $\zeta a$ , map (get-party Party1)  $\zeta b$ , map
  (get-party Party1)  $\zeta c$ ), b',  $\pi a$ ,  $\pi c$ );
  let msg2 = ((map (get-party Party2)  $\zeta a$ , map (get-party Party2)  $\zeta b$ , map
  (get-party Party2)  $\zeta c$ ), x',  $\pi a$ ,  $\pi b$ );
  let msg3 = ((map (get-party Party3)  $\zeta a$ , map (get-party Party3)  $\zeta b$ , map
  (get-party Party3)  $\zeta c$ ), a',  $\pi b$ ,  $\pi c$ );
  let msg = make-sharing msg1 msg2 msg3;
  return-spmf (msg, c)
})

```

**definition** *aby3-shuffleF* :: *natL sharing list*  $\Rightarrow$  *natL sharing list* *spmf* **where**

```

aby3-shuffleF x = (do {
   $\pi \leftarrow$  spmf-of-set { $\pi$ .  $\pi$  permutes {.. $<\text{length } x$ }};
  let x1 = map reconstruct x;
  let x $\pi$  = permute-list  $\pi$  x1;
  y  $\leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (map share-nat x $\pi$ );
  return-spmf y
})

```

**definition** *S1* :: *natL list*  $\Rightarrow$  *natL list*  $\Rightarrow$  *role-msg spmf* **where**

```

S1 x1 yc1 = (do {
  let n = length x1;

```

```

   $\pi a \leftarrow$  spmf-of-set { $\pi$ .  $\pi$  permutes {.. $<n$ }};
   $\pi c \leftarrow$  spmf-of-set { $\pi$ .  $\pi$  permutes {.. $<n$ }};

```

```

  ya1::natL list  $\leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (replicate n (spmf-of-set UNIV));

```

```

  yb1::natL list  $\leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (replicate n (spmf-of-set UNIV));
  yb2::natL list  $\leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (replicate n (spmf-of-set UNIV));

```

— round 1

```

  let  $\zeta a1 =$  map2 (—) ya1 (permute-list  $\pi a$  x1);

```

— round 2

```

  let  $\zeta b1 =$  yb1;

```

```

— round 3
let b' = yb2;
let  $\zeta c1 = \text{map2 } (-) (\text{yc1}) (\text{permute-list } \pi c (\text{map2 } (+) \text{yb1 yb2}))$ ; —
non-free message

let msg1 = (( $\zeta a1, \zeta b1, \zeta c1$ ), b',  $\pi a, \pi c$ );
return-spmf msg1
})

definition S2 :: natL list  $\Rightarrow$  natL list  $\Rightarrow$  role-msg spmf where
S2 x2 yc2 = (do {
let n = length x2;
x3  $\leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (replicate n (spmfof-set UNIV));

 $\pi a \leftarrow \text{spmfof-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}\}$ ;
 $\pi b \leftarrow \text{spmfof-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}\}$ ;

ya2::natL list  $\leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (replicate n (spmfof-set UNIV));
yb2::natL list  $\leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (replicate n (spmfof-set UNIV));

— round 1
let x' = x3;
let  $\zeta a2 = \text{map2 } (-) \text{ya2 } (\text{permute-list } \pi a (\text{map2 } (+) \text{x2 x3}))$ ;

— round 2
let  $\zeta b2 = \text{map2 } (-) \text{yb2 } (\text{permute-list } \pi b \text{ya2})$ ;

— round 3
let  $\zeta c2 = \text{yc2}$ ; — non-free message

let msg2 = (( $\zeta a2, \zeta b2, \zeta c2$ ), x',  $\pi a, \pi b$ );
return-spmf msg2
})

definition S3 :: natL list  $\Rightarrow$  natL list  $\Rightarrow$  role-msg spmf where
S3 x3 yc3 = (do {
let n = length x3;

 $\pi b \leftarrow \text{spmfof-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}\}$ ;
 $\pi c \leftarrow \text{spmfof-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}\}$ ;

ya3::natL list  $\leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (replicate n (spmfof-set UNIV));
ya1::natL list  $\leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (replicate n (spmfof-set UNIV));

yb3::natL list  $\leftarrow$  sequence-spmf (replicate n (spmfof-set UNIV));

```

```

— round 1
let  $\zeta a3 = ya3$ ;  

— round 2
let  $a' = ya1$ ;  

let  $\zeta b3 = \text{map2 } (-) \text{ } yb3 \text{ } (\text{permute-list } \pi b \text{ } (\text{map2 } (+) \text{ } ya3 \text{ } ya1))$ ;  

— round 3
let  $\zeta c3 = \text{map2 } (-) \text{ } yc3 \text{ } (\text{permute-list } \pi c \text{ } yb3)$ ;  

return-spmf msg3  

})

```

**definition**  $S :: \text{Role} \Rightarrow \text{natL list} \Rightarrow \text{natL list} \Rightarrow \text{role-msg spmf}$  **where**  
 $S r = \text{get-party } r \text{ } (\text{make-sharing } S1 \text{ } S2 \text{ } S3)$

**definition**  $\text{is-uniform-sharing-list} :: \text{natL sharing list spmf} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{is-uniform-sharing-list } xss \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs. \text{ } xss = \text{bind-spmf } xs \text{ } (\text{sequence-spmf} \circ \text{map share-nat}))$

**lemma**  $\text{case-prod-nesting-same}$ :  
 $\text{case-prod } (\lambda a \text{ } b. \text{ } f \text{ } (\text{case-prod } g \text{ } x) \text{ } a \text{ } b) \text{ } x = \text{case-prod } (\lambda a \text{ } b. \text{ } f \text{ } (g \text{ } a \text{ } b) \text{ } a \text{ } b) \text{ } x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{zip-map-map-same}$ :  
 $\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{ } (f \text{ } x, \text{ } g \text{ } x)) \text{ } xs = \text{zip } (\text{map } f \text{ } xs) \text{ } (\text{map } g \text{ } xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{dup-map-eq}$ :  
 $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \implies (xs, \text{map2 } f \text{ } ys \text{ } xs) = (\lambda xys. \text{ } (\text{map } \text{fst } xys, \text{map } \text{snd } xys))$   
 $(\text{map2 } (\lambda x \text{ } y. \text{ } (y, \text{ } f \text{ } x \text{ } y)) \text{ } ys \text{ } xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**abbreviation**  $\text{map2-spmff } f \text{ } xs \text{ } ys \equiv \text{map-spmf } (\text{case-prod } f) \text{ } (\text{pair-spmf } xs \text{ } ys)$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{map3-spmff } f \text{ } xs \text{ } ys \text{ } zs \equiv \text{map2-spmf } (\lambda a. \text{case-prod } (f \text{ } a)) \text{ } xs \text{ } (\text{pair-spmf } ys \text{ } zs)$

**lemma**  $\text{map-spmf-cong2}$ :  
**assumes**  $p = \text{map-spmf } m \text{ } q \wedge x. \text{ } x \in \text{set-spmf } q \implies f \text{ } (m \text{ } x) = g \text{ } x$   
**shows**  $\text{map-spmff } p = \text{map-spmf } g \text{ } q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{bind-spmf-cong2}$ :  
**assumes**  $p = \text{map-spmf } m \text{ } q \wedge x. \text{ } x \in \text{set-spmf } q \implies f \text{ } (m \text{ } x) = g \text{ } x$   
**shows**  $\text{bind-spmff } p \text{ } f = \text{bind-spmf } q \text{ } g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map2-spmf-map2-sequence*:

$length\ xss = length\ yss \implies map2-spmf\ (map2\ f)\ (sequence-spmf\ xss)\ (sequence-spmf\ yss) = sequence-spmf\ (map2\ (map2-spmf\ f)\ xss\ yss)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *map3* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd) \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'b\ list \Rightarrow 'c\ list \Rightarrow 'd\ list$   
**where**

$map3\ f\ a\ b\ c \equiv map2\ (\lambda a\ (b,c).\ f\ a\ b\ c)\ a\ (zip\ b\ c)$

**lemma** *map3-spmf-map3-sequence*:

$length\ xss = length\ yss = length\ zss \implies map3-spmf\ (map3\ f)\ (sequence-spmf\ xss)\ (sequence-spmf\ yss)\ (sequence-spmf\ zss) = sequence-spmf\ (map3\ (map3-spmf\ f))\ xss\ yss\ zss$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-pairD2*:

$x \in A \times B \implies snd\ x \in B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-map-cong2*:

$x = map\ m\ y \implies (\bigwedge z \in set\ y\ simp \Rightarrow f\ (m\ z) = g\ z) \implies map\ f\ x = map\ g\ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-swap-zip*:

$map\ prod.swap\ (zip\ xs\ ys) = zip\ ys\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inv-zero-sharing-sequence*:

$n = length\ x \implies$   
 $map-spmf\ (\lambda \zeta s.\ (\zeta s,\ map2\ (map-sharing2\ (+))\ x\ \zeta s))\ (sequence-spmf\ (replicate\ n\ zero-sharing))$   
 $=$   
 $map-spmf\ (\lambda ys.\ (map2\ (map-sharing2\ (-))\ ys\ x,\ ys))\ (sequence-spmf\ (map\ (share-nat\ \circ reconstruct)\ x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *get-party-map-sharing2*:

$get-party\ p \circ (case-prod\ (map-sharing2\ f)) = case-prod\ f \circ map-prod\ (get-party\ p)\ (get-party\ p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-map-prod-zip*:

$map\ (map-prod\ f\ g)\ (zip\ xs\ ys) = zip\ (map\ f\ xs)\ (map\ g\ ys)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-map-prod-zip'*:  
 $\text{map } (h \circ \text{map-prod } f g) (\text{zip } xs ys) = \text{map } h (\text{zip } (\text{map } f xs) (\text{map } g ys))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *eq-map-spmf-conv*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. f(f' x) = x f' = \text{inv } f \text{ map-spmf } f' x = y$   
**shows**  $x = \text{map-spmf } f y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lift-map-spmf-pairs*:  
 $\text{map2-spmf } f = F \implies \text{map-spmf } (\text{case-prod } f) (\text{pair-spmf } A B) = F A B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *measure-pair-spmf-times'*:  
 $C = A \times B \implies \text{measure } (\text{measure-spmf } (\text{pair-spmf } p q)) C = \text{measure } (\text{measure-spmf } p) A * \text{measure } (\text{measure-spmf } q) B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-spmf-pairs-tmp*:  
 $\begin{aligned} \text{map-spmf } (\lambda(a,b,c,d,e,f,g). (a,e,b,f,c,g,d)) (\text{pair-spmf } A (\text{pair-spmf } B (\text{pair-spmf } C (\text{pair-spmf } D (\text{pair-spmf } E (\text{pair-spmf } F G)))))) \\ = (\text{pair-spmf } A (\text{pair-spmf } E (\text{pair-spmf } B (\text{pair-spmf } F (\text{pair-spmf } C (\text{pair-spmf } G D)))))) \end{aligned}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *case-case-prods-tmp*:  
 $\begin{aligned} (\text{case case } x \text{ of } (a, b, c, d, e, f, g) \Rightarrow (a, e, b, f, c, g, d) \text{ of } \\ (ya, yb, yc, yd, ye, yf, yg) \Rightarrow F ya yb yc yd ye yf yg) \\ = (\text{case } x \text{ of } (a, b, c, d, e, f, g) \Rightarrow F a e b f c g d) \end{aligned}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *bind-spmf-permutes-cong*:  
 $\begin{aligned} (\bigwedge \pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{\dots < (x::\text{nat})\} \implies f \pi = g \pi) \\ \implies \text{bind-spmf } (\text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{\dots < x\}\}) f = \text{bind-spmf } (\text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{\dots < x\}\}) g \end{aligned}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-eq-iff-list-all2*:  
 $\text{map } f xs = \text{map } g ys \longleftrightarrow \text{list-all2 } (\lambda x y. f x = g y) xs ys$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *bind-spmf-sequence-map-share-nat-cong*:  
 $\begin{aligned} (\bigwedge l. \text{map reconstruct } l = x \implies f l = g l) \\ \implies \text{bind-spmf } (\text{sequence-spmf } (\text{map share-nat } x)) f = \text{bind-spmf } (\text{sequence-spmf } (\text{map share-nat } x)) g \end{aligned}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-reconstruct-comp-eq-iff*:

$(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{reconstruct } (f x) = \text{reconstruct } x) \implies \text{map } (\text{reconstruct} \circ f) xs = \text{map reconstruct } xs$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *permute-list-replicate*:

$p \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{permute-list } p (\text{replicate } n x) = \text{replicate } n x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map2-minus-zero*:

$\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \implies (\bigwedge y :: \text{nat}L. y \in \text{set } ys \implies y = 0) \implies \text{map2 } (-) xs ys = xs$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *permute-comp-left-inj*:

$p \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{inj } (\lambda p'. p \circ p')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *permute-comp-left-inj-on*:

$p \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{inj-on } (\lambda p'. p \circ p') A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *permute-comp-right-inj*:

$p \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{inj } (\lambda p'. p' \circ p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *permute-comp-right-inj-on*:

$p \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{inj-on } (\lambda p'. p' \circ p) A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *permutes-inv-comp-left*:

$p \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{inv } (\lambda p'. p \circ p') = (\lambda p'. \text{inv } p \circ p')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *permutes-inv-comp-right*:

$p \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{inv } (\lambda p'. p' \circ p) = (\lambda p'. p' \circ \text{inv } p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *permutes-inv-comp-left-right*:

$\pi a \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \pi b \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{inv } (\lambda p'. \pi a \circ p' \circ \pi b) = (\lambda p'. \text{inv } \pi a \circ p' \circ \text{inv } \pi b)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *permutes-inv-comp-left-left*:

$\pi a \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \pi b \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{inv } (\lambda p'. \pi a \circ \pi b \circ p') = (\lambda p'. \text{inv } \pi b \circ \text{inv } \pi a \circ p')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *permutes-inv-comp-right-right*:

$\pi a \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \pi b \text{ permutes } \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{inv } (\lambda p'. p' \circ \pi a \circ \pi b) = (\lambda p'. \text{inv } \pi b \circ \text{inv } \pi a \circ p')$

```

 $p' \circ \text{inv } \pi b \circ \text{inv } \pi a)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma image-compose-permutations-left-right:
  fixes  $S$ 
  assumes  $\pi a \text{ permutes } S$   $\pi b \text{ permutes } S$ 
  shows  $\{\pi a \circ \pi \circ \pi b \mid \pi. \pi \text{ permutes } S\} = \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } S\}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma image-compose-permutations-left-left:
  fixes  $S$ 
  assumes  $\pi a \text{ permutes } S$   $\pi b \text{ permutes } S$ 
  shows  $\{\pi a \circ \pi b \circ \pi \mid \pi. \pi \text{ permutes } S\} = \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } S\}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma image-compose-permutations-right-right:
  fixes  $S$ 
  assumes  $\pi a \text{ permutes } S$   $\pi b \text{ permutes } S$ 
  shows  $\{\pi \circ \pi a \circ \pi b \mid \pi. \pi \text{ permutes } S\} = \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } S\}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma random-perm-middle:
  defines  $\text{random-perm } n \equiv \text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n :: \text{nat}\}\}$ 
  shows
     $\text{map-spmf } (\lambda(\pi a, \pi b, \pi c). ((\pi a, \pi b, \pi c), \pi a \circ \pi b \circ \pi c)) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{random-perm } n)))$ 
     $= \text{map-spmf } (\lambda(\pi, \pi a, \pi c). ((\pi a, \text{inv } \pi a \circ \pi \circ \text{inv } \pi c, \pi c), \pi)) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{random-perm } n)))$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma random-perm-right:
  defines  $\text{random-perm } n \equiv \text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n :: \text{nat}\}\}$ 
  shows
     $\text{map-spmf } (\lambda(\pi a, \pi b, \pi c). ((\pi a, \pi b, \pi c), \pi a \circ \pi b \circ \pi c)) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{random-perm } n)))$ 
     $= \text{map-spmf } (\lambda(\pi, \pi a, \pi b). ((\pi a, \pi b, \text{inv } \pi b \circ \text{inv } \pi a \circ \pi), \pi)) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{random-perm } n)))$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma random-perm-left:
  defines  $\text{random-perm } n \equiv \text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n :: \text{nat}\}\}$ 
  shows
     $\text{map-spmf } (\lambda(\pi a, \pi b, \pi c). ((\pi a, \pi b, \pi c), \pi a \circ \pi b \circ \pi c)) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{random-perm } n)))$ 
     $= \text{map-spmf } (\lambda(\pi, \pi b, \pi c). ((\pi \circ \text{inv } \pi c \circ \text{inv } \pi b, \pi b, \pi c), \pi)) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{pair-spmf } (\text{random-perm } n) (\text{random-perm } n)))$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma case-prod-return-spmf:
  case-prod (λa b. return-spmf (f a b)) = (λx. return-spmf (case-prod f x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sequence-share-nat-calc':
  assumes r1≠r2 r2≠r3 r3≠r1
  shows
    sequence-spmf (map share-nat xs) = (do {
      let n = length xs;
      let random-seq = sequence-spmf (replicate n (spmf-of-set UNIV));
      (dp, dpm) ← (pair-spmf random-seq random-seq);
      return-spmf (map3 (λx a b. make-sharing' r1 r2 r3 a b (x - (a + b))) xs dp
      dpm)
    }) (is - = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma reconstruct-stack-sharing-eq-reconstruct:

```
reconstruct ∘ aby3-stack-sharing r = reconstruct
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma map2-ignore1:

```
length xs = length ys ==> map2 (λ-. f) xs ys = map f ys
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma map2-ignore2:

```
length xs = length ys ==> map2 (λa b. f a) xs ys = map f xs
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma map-sequence-share-nat-reconstruct:

```
map-spmf (λx. (x, map reconstruct x)) (sequence-spmf (map share-nat y)) =
map-spmf (λx. (x, y)) (sequence-spmf (map share-nat y))
⟨proof⟩
```

theorem shuffle-secrecy:

assumes

```
is-uniform-sharing-list x-dist
```

shows

```
(do {
  x ← x-dist;
  (msg, y) ← aby3-shuffleR x;
  return-spmf (map (get-party r) x,
    get-party r msg,
    y)
})
```

```

=
(do {
  x ← x-dist;
  y ← aby3-shuffleF x;
  let xr = map (get-party r) x;
  let yr = map (get-party r) y;
  msg ← S r xr yr;
  return-spmf (xr, msg, y)
})
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *Collect-case-prod*:  
 $\{f\,x\,y \mid x\,y. P\,x\,y\} = (\text{case-prod } f) \cdot (\text{Collect } (\text{case-prod } P))$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *inj-split-Cons'*: *inj-on*  $(\lambda(n, xs). n\#xs) X$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *finite-indicator-eq-sum*:  
 $\text{finite } A \implies \text{indicat-real } A\,x = \text{sum } (\text{indicat-real } \{x\})\,A$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *spmf-of-set-Cons*:  
 $\text{spmf-of-set } (\text{set-Cons } A\,B) = \text{map2-spmf } (\#) (\text{spmf-of-set } A)\,(\text{spmf-of-set } B)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *sequence-spmf-replicate*:  
 $\text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n\,(\text{spmf-of-set } A)) = \text{spmf-of-set } (\text{listset } (\text{replicate } n\,A))$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *listset-replicate*:  
 $\text{listset } (\text{replicate } n\,A) = \{l. \text{length } l = n \wedge \text{set } l \subseteq A\}$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *map2-map2-map3*:  
 $\text{map2 } f\,(\text{map2 } g\,x\,y)\,z = \text{map3 } (\lambda x\,y.\,f\,(g\,x\,y))\,x\,y\,z$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *inv-add-sequence*:  
**assumes**  $n = \text{length } x$   
**shows**  
 $\text{map-spmf } (\lambda \zeta :: \text{natL list}. (\zeta, \text{map2 } (+)\,\zeta\,x))\,(\text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n\,(\text{spmf-of-set } \text{UNIV})))$   
 $=$   
 $\text{map-spmf } (\lambda y. (\text{map2 } (-)\,y\,x, y))\,(\text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n\,(\text{spmf-of-set } \text{UNIV})))$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *S1-def-simplified*:

```

S1 x1 yc1 = (do {
  let n = length x1;
   $\pi a \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}\};$ 
   $\pi c \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}\};$ 
   $\zeta a1::natL \text{ list} \leftarrow \text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n (\text{spmf-of-set } UNIV));$ 
   $yb1::natL \text{ list} \leftarrow \text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n (\text{spmf-of-set } UNIV));$ 
   $yb2::natL \text{ list} \leftarrow \text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n (\text{spmf-of-set } UNIV));$ 
  let  $\zeta c1 = \text{map2 } (-) (yc1) (\text{permute-list } \pi c (\text{map2 } (+) yb1 yb2));$ 
  return-spmf (( $\zeta a1$ ,  $yb1$ ,  $\zeta c1$ ),  $yb2$ ,  $\pi a$ ,  $\pi c$ )
})

```

*<proof>*

**lemma** *S2-def-simplified*:

```

S2 x2 yc2 = (do {
  let n = length x2;
   $x3 \leftarrow \text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n (\text{spmf-of-set } UNIV));$ 
   $\pi a \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}\};$ 
   $\pi b \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}\};$ 
   $\zeta a2::natL \text{ list} \leftarrow \text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n (\text{spmf-of-set } UNIV));$ 
   $\zeta b2::natL \text{ list} \leftarrow \text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n (\text{spmf-of-set } UNIV));$ 
  let msg2 = (( $\zeta a2$ ,  $\zeta b2$ ,  $yc2$ ),  $x3$ ,  $\pi a$ ,  $\pi b$ );
  return-spmf msg2
})

```

*<proof>*

**lemma** *S3-def-simplified*:

```

S3 x3 yc3 = (do {
  let n = length x3;
   $\pi b \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}\};$ 
   $\pi c \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } \{\pi. \pi \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}\};$ 
   $ya3::natL \text{ list} \leftarrow \text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n (\text{spmf-of-set } UNIV));$ 
   $ya1::natL \text{ list} \leftarrow \text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n (\text{spmf-of-set } UNIV));$ 
   $\zeta b3::natL \text{ list} \leftarrow \text{sequence-spmf } (\text{replicate } n (\text{spmf-of-set } UNIV));$ 
  let  $\zeta c3 = \text{map2 } (-) yc3 (\text{permute-list } \pi c (\text{map2 } (+) \zeta b3 (\text{permute-list } \pi b$ 
( $\text{map2 } (+) ya3 ya1))));$ 
  return-spmf (( $ya3$ ,  $\zeta b3$ ,  $\zeta c3$ ),  $ya1$ ,  $\pi b$ ,  $\pi c$ )
})

```

*<proof>*

```

end
theory Multiplication
imports
  Additive-Sharing
  Spmf-Common
  Sharing-Lemmas
begin

  This is the formalisation of ABY3's multiplication protocol. We manually book-keep the messages to be simulated, and we manually define the simulator used in the simulation proof.

  definition do-mul ::  $(natL \times natL) \Rightarrow (natL \times natL) \Rightarrow natL$  where
    do-mul  $xy1\ xy2 = fst\ xy1 * snd\ xy1 + fst\ xy1 * snd\ xy2 + fst\ xy2 * snd\ xy1$ 

  type-synonym mul-in =  $natL \times natL$ 
  type-synonym mul-msg =  $(natL \times natL) \times natL$ 
  type-synonym mul-view = mul-in  $\times$  mul-msg
  type-synonym mul-out =  $natL$ 

  definition aby3-mulR :: mul-in sharing  $\Rightarrow$  (mul-msg sharing  $\times$  mul-out sharing)
  spmf where
    aby3-mulR  $xy = (do\ \{$ 
      let  $xy\text{-shift} = shift\text{-sharing}\ xy;$ 
      let  $z\text{-raw} = map\text{-sharing2}\ do\text{-mul}\ xy\ xy\text{-shift};$ 
       $\zeta \leftarrow zero\text{-sharing};$ 
      let  $z = map\text{-sharing2}\ (+)\ z\text{-raw}\ \zeta;$ 
      let msg = prod-sharing  $xy\text{-shift}\ \zeta;$ 
      return-spmf (msg, z)
     $\})$ 

  definition aby3-mulF :: mul-in sharing  $\Rightarrow$  mul-out sharing spmf where
    aby3-mulF  $xy = ($ 
      let  $x = reconstruct\ (map\text{-sharing}\ fst\ xy);$ 
       $y = reconstruct\ (map\text{-sharing}\ snd\ xy)$ 
      in share-nat ( $x * y$ )
     $)$ 

  definition S :: mul-in  $\Rightarrow$  mul-out  $\Rightarrow$  mul-msg spmf where
    S inp outp = (do {
      let  $(x1, y1) = inp;$ 
       $(x2, y2) \leftarrow spmf\text{-of-set}\ UNIV;$ 
      let  $\zeta = outp - do\text{-mul}\ (x1, y1)\ (x2, y2);$ 
      return-spmf (( $x2, y2$ ),  $\zeta$ )
     $)$ 

  lemma reconstruct-do-mul:
    reconstruct (map-sharing2 do-mul xys (shift-sharing xys)) = reconstruct (map-sharing fst xys) * reconstruct (map-sharing snd xys)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *mul-spec*:

**fixes** *x-dist* *y-dist* :: *natL sharing spmf*  
**assumes** *is-uniform-sharing x-dist* *is-uniform-sharing y-dist*  
**shows**

*secure*:

(**do** {  
    *xs*  $\leftarrow$  *x-dist*;  
    *ys*  $\leftarrow$  *y-dist*;  
    *let* *inps* = *prod-sharing* *xs ys*;  
    (*msgs*, *outps*)  $\leftarrow$  (*aby3-mulR* *inps*);  
    *let* *view* = (*get-party* *p inps*, *get-party* *p msgs*);  
    *return-spmf* (*view*, *outps*)  
})

=

(**do** {  
    *xs*  $\leftarrow$  *x-dist*;  
    *ys*  $\leftarrow$  *y-dist*;  
    *let* *inps* = *prod-sharing* *xs ys*;  
    *outps*  $\leftarrow$  *aby3-mulF* *inps*;  
    *msg*  $\leftarrow$  *S* (*get-party* *p inps*) (*get-party* *p outps*);  
    *let* *view* = (*get-party* *p inps*, *msg*);  
    *return-spmf* (*view*, *outps*)  
}) (**is** ?*secure*)

**and**

*correct*:

*is-uniform-sharing* (**do** {  
    *xs*  $\leftarrow$  *x-dist*;  
    *ys*  $\leftarrow$  *y-dist*;  
    *aby3-mulF* (*prod-sharing* *xs ys*)  
}) (**is** ?*uniform*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory** *Multiplication-Synthesization*

**imports**

*Multiplication*

**begin**

This is an experimental re-formalization of the multiplication protocol, which differs from the original one in three aspects: 1) We use the writer transformer for automatic bookkeeping of simulation obligations in the privacy proof. Since monad transformers are hard to deal with in HOL, we combine (writer transformer + spmf monad) into writer\_spmf. To ease the modelling, we allow heterogeneous message types in the binding operation,

a technicality that might disqualify it as a monad but, luckily, does not stop us from using the built-in do-notation. 2) We wraps the adding of zero-sharing into a new operation called “sharing flattening”. The proof for the sharing flattening is then “composed” into the larger proof for multiplication. 3) The simulator is not manually defined but synthesized through **schematic-goal**.

```

type-synonym ('val, 'msg) writer-spmf = ('val × 'msg) spmf

definition bind-writer-spmf :: ('val1, 'msg1) writer-spmf ⇒ ('val1 ⇒ ('val2,
'msg2) writer-spmf) ⇒ ('val2, ('msg1 × 'msg2)) writer-spmf where
  bind-writer-spmf x f = bind-spmf x (λ(val1, msg1). map-spmf (λ(val2, msg2).
  (val2, (msg1, msg2))) (f val1))

adhoc-overloading Monad-Syntax.bind bind-writer-spmf

definition flatten-sharingF :: natL sharing ⇒ natL sharing spmf where
  flatten-sharingF s = share-nat (reconstruct s)

definition flatten-sharingR :: Role ⇒ natL sharing ⇒ (natL sharing, natL) writer-spmf
where
  flatten-sharingR p s = do {
    ζ ← zero-sharing;
    let r = map-sharing2 (+) s ζ;
    return-spmf (r, (get-party p ζ))
  }

definition flatten-sharingS :: natL ⇒ natL ⇒ natL spmf where
  flatten-sharingS inp outp = return-spmf (outp - inp)

lemma flatten-sharing-spec:
  flatten-sharingR p x = do {
    y ← flatten-sharingF x;
    msg ← flatten-sharingS (get-party p x) (get-party p y);
    return-spmf (y, msg)
  }
  ⟨proof⟩

definition aby3-mulR' :: Role ⇒ natL ⇒ natL ⇒ (natL sharing, ((natL × natL)
  × (natL × natL)) × natL) writer-spmf where
  aby3-mulR' p x y = do {
    xs ← share-nat x;
    ys ← share-nat y;
    let xy = prod-sharing xs ys;
    let xy-shift = shift-sharing xy;
    let z-raw = map-sharing2 do-mul xy xy-shift;
    (z, ζ-msg) ← flatten-sharingR p z-raw;
  }

```

```

    return-spmf (z, (((get-party p xs, get-party p ys), get-party p xy-shift),  $\zeta$ -msg))
}

```

```

definition aby3-mulF' :: natL  $\Rightarrow$  natL  $\Rightarrow$  natL sharing spmf where
  aby3-mulF' x y = share-nat (x * y)

```

```

definition aby3-mulS' :: natL  $\Rightarrow$  (((natL  $\times$  natL)  $\times$  (natL  $\times$  natL))  $\times$  natL) spmf
where

```

```

  aby3-mulS' z = do {
    x1  $\leftarrow$  spmf-of-set UNIV;
    x2  $\leftarrow$  spmf-of-set UNIV;
    y1  $\leftarrow$  spmf-of-set UNIV;
    y2  $\leftarrow$  spmf-of-set UNIV;
    let  $\zeta$  = z - (x1 * y1 + x1 * y2 + x2 * y1);
    return-spmf (((x1, y1), (x2, y2)),  $\zeta$ )
}

```

**lemma** set-spmf-share-nat:

```

  set-spmf (share-nat x) = {s. reconstruct s = x}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** reconstruct-share-nat':

```

  pred-spmf ( $\lambda$ s. reconstruct s = x) (share-nat x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** share-nat-cong:

```

  x = y  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge$ s. reconstruct s = x  $\implies$  f s = g s)  $\implies$  bind-spmf (share-nat x) f
  = bind-spmf (share-nat y) g
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** return-ResSim:

```

  return-spmf (r, s) = bind-spmf (return-spmf s) ( $\lambda$ msg. return-spmf (r, msg))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**schematic-goal** aby3-mul-spec:

```

  aby3-mulR' p x y =
    bind-spmf (aby3-mulF' x y) ( $\lambda$ z.
    bind-spmf (?aby3-mulS' (get-party p z)) ( $\lambda$ msg.
      return-spmf (z, msg)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**end**

## References

- [1] P. Laud and M. Pettai. Secure multiparty sorting protocols with covert privacy. *Nordic Conference on Secure IT Systems*, pages 216–231, 2016.
- [2] P. Mohassel and P. Rindal. Aby3: A mixed protocol framework for machine learning. *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, 2018.